BIOMERICA INC Form 10-K August 31, 2009

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

		FORM 10-1	K		
[X]	ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 1 1934	3 OR 15(D)	OF TH	E SECURITIES	EXCHANGE ACT OF
	FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED MA	Y 31, 2009			
		or			
[]	Transition Report Under Secti Act Of 1934	on 13 or 1	5(d) o	f The Securi	ties Exchange
	For The Transition Period Fro	m To	٥		
	COMMISSIO	N FILE NUM	BER: 0	-8765	
	B (Exact Name of regist	IOMERICA, i		d in its cha	rter)
	DELAWARE te or other jurisdiction of orporation of organization)			I	95-2645573 (I.R.S. Employer dentification No.
	33 MONROVIA AVENUE, NEWPORT BE ddress of principal executive	•			92663 (Zip Code)
		T'S TELEPHO 949) 645-23		MBER:	
	Securities registered und	er Section	12(b)	of the Exch	ange Act:
(T	ITLE OF EACH CLASS)	(NAME OF I	EACH E	XCHANGE ON W	HICH REGISTERED)
	NONE			OTC-BULLETIN	BOARD
Secu	rities registered pursuant to	Section 12	(g) of	the Act:	

(TITLE OF EACH CLASS)
COMMON STOCK, PAR VALUE \$0.08

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act Yes $[_]$ No [X]

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Securities Act. Yes [X] No $[_]$

Note - Checking the box above will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or $15\,(d)$ of the Exchange Act from their obligations under those Sections.

Indicate by check whether the registrant (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required

to	file	such	reports),	and	(2)	has	been	subject	to	such	filing	requirements	for
the	past	90	davs.										

Yes [X] No [_]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Date File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (paragraph 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes [_] No [_]

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (paragraph 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer [_] Accelerated Filer [_]
Non-Accelerated Filer [_] Smaller Reporting Company [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes [_] No [X]

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter (based upon 5,302,847 shares held by non-affiliates and the closing price of \$0.43 per share for Common Stock in the over-the-counter market as of November 30, 2008): \$2,280,224

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.08, outstanding as of August 28, 2009: 6,631,039

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE: Part III contains information incorporated by reference to the Company's proxy statement for its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be filed not later than 120 days after the end of the Company's fiscal year ended May 31, 2009. The Exhibit Index incorporates by reference various documents previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

PART I*

ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

BUSINESS OVERVIEW

THE COMPANY

Biomerica, Inc. ("Biomerica", the "Company", "we" or "our") was incorporated in Delaware in September 1971 as Nuclear Medical Systems, Inc.

The Company develops, manufactures, and markets medical diagnostic products designed for the early detection and monitoring of chronic diseases and medical conditions. Our medical diagnostic products are sold worldwide in two markets:

1) clinical laboratories and 2) point of care (physicians' offices and over-the-counter drugstores). Our diagnostic test kits are used to analyze blood or urine from patients in the diagnosis of various diseases and other medical complications, or to measure the level of specific hormones, antibodies, antigens or other substances, which may exist in the human body in extremely small concentrations.

Technological advances in medical diagnostics have made it possible to perform diagnostic tests within the home and the physician's office (the point of care), rather than in the clinical laboratory. One of our objectives has been to develop and market rapid diagnostic tests that are accurate, employ easily obtained specimens, and are simple to perform without instrumentation. Our over-the-counter and professional rapid diagnostic products help to manage existing medical conditions and may save lives through early detection and prompt diagnosis. Until recently, tests of this kind required the services of medical technologists and sophisticated instrumentation. Frequently, results were not available until at least the following day. We believe that rapid point of care tests are as accurate as laboratory tests when used properly and they require no instrumentation, give reliable results in minutes and can be performed with confidence in the home or the physician's office.

Our clinical laboratory diagnostic products include tests for bone and anemia conditions, gastrointestinal diseases, food intolerance, diabetes and others. These diagnostic test kits utilize enzyme immunoassay technology. Some of these products have not yet been submitted for clearance by the FDA for diagnostic use, but can be sold in various foreign countries.

-1-

A significant part of Biomerica's manufacturing and assembly operations are located in Mexicali, Mexico, in order to reduce the cost of manufacturing and compete more effectively worldwide. Biomerica maintains its headquarters in Newport Beach, California where it houses administration, research and development, sales and marketing, and customer services.

Biomerica has undergone no material change in the mode of conducting its business other than as described above and it did not dispose of any material amount of its assets in fiscal 2009 and 2008 except for its ownership in Lancer Orthodontics in fiscal 2008.

PRODUCTION

Most of our diagnostic test kits are processed and assembled at our facilities in Newport Beach, California and in Mexicali, Mexico. During fiscal 2003, the diagnostics division established a manufacturing facility in Mexicali, Mexico. We moved a significant portion of our diagnostic production (primarily packaging and assembly) to that facility. We sublease facilities from and subcontract with Lancer Orthodontics (a former subsidiary) to provide labor and other services. Production of diagnostic tests can involve formulating component antibodies and antigens in specified concentrations, attaching a tracer to the antigen, filling components into vials, packaging and labeling. We continually engage in quality control procedures to assure the consistency and quality of our products and to comply with applicable FDA regulations. In June 2008 the Company incorporated in Mexico under the name of Biomerica de Mexico for the purpose of establishing our own mequiladora operation in Mexico at some time in the future.

All manufacturing production is regulated by the FDA Good Manufacturing

Practices for medical devices. We have an internal quality control department that monitors and evaluates product quality and output. We also have an internal Quality Systems department which ensures that our operating procedures are in compliance with current FDA, CE Mark and ISO regulations. We either produce our own antibodies and antigens or purchase these materials from qualified vendors. We have alternate, approved sources for raw materials procurement and we do not believe that material availability in the foreseeable future will be a problem.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Biomerica is engaged in research and development to broaden its diagnostic product line in specific areas. Research and development expenses include the costs of materials, supplies, personnel, facilities and equipment as well as outside contract services. Consolidated research and development expenses incurred by Biomerica for the years ended May 31, 2009 and 2008 aggregated \$278,308 and \$259,085, respectively.

MARKETS AND METHODS OF DISTRIBUTION

Biomerica has approximately 450 current customers for its diagnostic business, of which approximately 100 are distributors and the balance are hospital and clinical laboratories, medical research institutions, medical schools, pharmaceutical companies, chain drugstores, wholesalers and physicians' offices.

We rely on unaffiliated distributors, advertising in medical and trade journals, exhibitions at trade conventions, direct mailings and an internal sales staff to market our diagnostic products. We target two main markets: (a) clinical laboratories and (b) point of care testing (physicians' offices and over-the-counter drug stores). Marketing plans are utilized in targeting each of the two markets.

For the year ended May 31, 2009 the Company had two customers which accounted for 26% of consolidated sales and during fiscal 2008 the Company had two customers which accounted for 29% of consolidated sales.

BACKLOG

At May 31, 2009 and 2008 Biomerica had a backlog of approximately \$97,000 and \$346,000 respectively.

RAW MATERIALS

The principal raw materials utilized by Biomerica consist of various chemicals, serums, reagents and packaging supplies. Almost all of our raw materials are available from several sources, and we are not dependent upon any single source of supply or a few suppliers. For the years ended May 31, 2009 and 2008, no company accounted for more than 10% of the consolidated purchases of raw materials.

We maintain inventories of antibodies and antigens as components for our diagnostic test kits. Some sales orders are processed on the day received while others are processed at a later date depending on the quantity and type of order.

-2-

COMPETITION

Immunodiagnostic products are currently produced by more than 100 companies.

Biomerica is not a significant factor in the overall market.

Our competitors vary greatly in size. Many are divisions or subsidiaries of well-established medical and pharmaceutical concerns which are much larger than Biomerica and expend substantially greater amounts than we do for research and development, manufacturing, advertising and marketing.

The primary competitive factors affecting the sale of diagnostic products are uniqueness, quality of product performance, price, service and marketing. We believe we compete primarily on the basis of the uniqueness of our products, the quality of our products, the speed of our test results, our patent position, our favorable pricing and our prompt shipment of orders. We offer a broader range of products than many competitors of comparable size, but to date have had limited marketing capability. We are working on expanding this capability through marketing and strategic cooperation with larger companies and distributors.

GOVERNMENT REGULATION OF OUR DIAGNOSTIC BUSINESS

As part of our diagnostic business, we sell products that are legally defined to be medical devices. As a result, we are considered to be a medical device manufacturer, and as such are subject to the regulations of numerous governmental entities. These agencies include the Food and Drug Administration the "FDA"), the United States Drug Enforcement Agency (the "DEA"), Environmental Protection Agency, Federal Trade Commission, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture ("USDA"), and Consumer Product Safety Commission. These activities are also regulated by various agencies of the states and localities in which our products are sold. These regulations govern the introduction of new medical devices, the observance of certain standards with respect to the manufacture and labeling of medical devices, the maintenance of certain records and the reporting of potential product problems and other matters.

The Food, Drug & Cosmetic Act of 1938 (the "FDCA") regulates medical devices in the United States by classifying them into one of three classes based on the extent of regulation believed necessary to ensure safety and effectiveness. Class I devices are those devices for which safety and effectiveness can reasonably be ensured through general controls, such as device listing, adequate labeling, pre-market notification and adherence to the Quality System Regulation ("QSR") as well as Medical Device Reporting (MDR), labeling and other regulatory requirements. Some Class I medical devices are exempt from the requirement of Pre-Market Approval ("PMA") or clearance. Class II devices are those devices for which safety and effectiveness can reasonably be ensured through the use of special controls, such as performance standards, post-market surveillance and patient registries, as well as adherence to the general controls provisions applicable to Class I devices. Class III devices are devices that generally must receive pre-market approval by the FDA pursuant to a pre-market approval application to ensure their safety and effectiveness. Generally, Class III devices are limited to life-sustaining, life-supporting or implantable devices. However, this classification can also apply to novel technology or new intended uses or applications for existing devices. The Company's products are primarily either Class I or Class II medical devices. The following is a breakdown of the Biomerica products by class:

Class I - Fortel(TM) Ovulation test, EZ-LH(TM) Rapid Ovulation test

Class II - GAP(tm) IgG H. Pylori ELISA kit, GAP (TM)IgM H. Pylori ELISA kit, Anti-thyroglobulin ELISA kit, anti-TPO ELISA kit, PTH (intact) ELISA kit, Calcitonin ELISA kit, Erythropoietin ELISA kit, ACTH ELISA kit, Isletest(tm) GAD ELISA kit, IAA ELISA kit, GAP(tm) IgA H. Pylori ELISA kit, C-Peptide ELISA kit, Myoglobin ELISA, Troponin I ELISA, HS-CRP ELISA, Allerquant (tm) Food Intolerance Kits, Allerquant(tm) Food Additive Intolerance Kit, Gliadin IgG and IgA kits, Transglutaminase IgA kit, Fortel Ultra Midstream (OTC and plastic

stick), EZ-HCG(tm) Rapid Pregnancy test (professional and dipstick), EZ Detect(tm) Fecal Occult Blood test (Physician's dispenser pack and OTC), Aware(tm) Breast Self-Examination, drugs of abuse rapid tests, EZ-HP Professional, EZ-HP OTC, Fortel Microalbumin test, Fortel Cat Allergy Test.

Class III - Isletest(tm) ICA ELISA kit, EZ PSA (Professional and OTC).

If the FDA finds that the device is not substantially equivalent to a predicate device, the device may be deemed a Class III device, and a manufacturer or seller is required to file a PMA application. Approval of a PMA application for a new medical device usually requires, among other things, extensive clinical data on the safety and effectiveness of the device. PMA applications may take years to be approved after they are filed, but approval is required before the product can be sold for general use in the U.S. In addition to requiring clearance or approval for new medical devices, FDA rules also require a new 510(k) filing and review period, prior to marketing a changed or modified version of an existing legally marketed device, if such changes or modifications could significantly affect the safety of effectiveness of that device. The FDA prohibits the advertisement or promotion of any approved or cleared device for uses other than those that are stated in the device's approved or cleared application.

-3-

Pursuant to FDA requirements, we have registered our manufacturing facility with the FDA as a medical device manufacturer, and listed the medical devices we manufacture. We are also subject to inspection on a routine basis for compliance with FDA regulations. This includes the Quality System Requirements, which requires that we manufacture our products and maintain our documents in a prescribed manner with respect to issues such as design controls, manufacturing, testing and validation activities. Further, we are required to comply with other FDA requirements with respect to labeling, and the Medical Device Reporting (MDR) regulation which requires that we provide information to the FDA on deaths or serious injuries alleged to have been associated with the use of our products, as well as product malfunctions that are likely to cause or contribute to death or serious injury if the malfunction were to recur. We believe that we are currently in material compliance with all relevant QSR and MDR requirements.

In addition, our facility is required to have a California Medical Device Manufacturing License. The license is not transferable and must be renewed annually. Approval of the license requires that we be in compliance with QSR, labeling and MDR regulations. Our license expires on March 16, 2010. These licenses are renewed periodically, and to date we have never failed to obtain a renewal.

Through compliance with FDA and California regulations, we can market our medical devices throughout the United States. International sales of medical devices are also subject to the regulatory requirements of each country. In Europe, the regulations of the European Union require that a device have a "CE Mark" in order to be sold in EU countries. The directive went into effect beginning December 7, 2003. The Company has completed the process for complying with the "CE Mark" directives, In Vitro Directive 98/79/EC, ISO 13485 for medical devices, and Medical Device Directive 93/42/EEC. At present the regulatory international review process varies from country to country. We, in general, rely upon our distributors and sales representatives in the foreign countries in which we market our products to ensure that we comply with the regulatory laws of such countries. We believe that our international sales to date have been in compliance with the laws of the foreign countries in which we have made sales. Exports of most medical devices are also subject to certain FDA regulatory controls.

The following products are FDA-cleared and may be sold to clinical laboratories, physician laboratories and/or retail outlets in the United States as well as internationally:

ACTH ELISA Kit Anti-thyroglobulin ELISA kit Anti-TPO ELISA Kit AWARE(tm) Breast Self-Examination Kit Calcitonin ELISA Kit Drugs-of-Abuse Rapid Tests Erythropoietin ELISA Kit EZ-HCG Rapid Pregnancy Test Fortel Microalbumin Test EZ-LH(tm) Rapid Ovulation Test EZ Detect(tm) Fecal Occult Blood Test (Physician's package, OTC package) GAP IgG H.Pylori ELISA Kit HS-CRP ELISA Drugs-of-Abuse Rapid Tests Myoglobin ELISA PTH (Intact) ELISA Kit Troponin I ELISA

The following products are not FDA-cleared. These are sold internationally and can be sold in the U.S. "FOR RESEARCH ONLY":

Allerquant(tm) IgG Food Intolerance ELISA Kit (90-foods, 14-foods, custom kits) Allerquant IgG Food Additives Kit C-Peptide ELISA Kit EZ-PSA Rapid Test EZ-H. Pylori Rapid Test Fortel Cat Allergy Test Fortel Microalbumin Test Fortel (tm) Ultra Midstream Pregnancy Test Fortel(tm) Ovulation Test GAP (tm) IgM H. Pylori ELISA Kit GAP (tm) IgA H. Pylori ELISA Kit Gliadin IgG ELISA Kit Gliadin IqA ELISA Kit Transglutaminase IgA ELISA Kit Isletest (tm) GAD ELISA Kit Isletest (tm) ICA ELISA Kit Isletest (tm) IAA ELISA Kit

-4-

Biomerica is licensed to design, develop, manufacture and distribute IN VITRO diagnostic and medical devices and is subject to the Code of Federal Regulations, Section 21, parts 800 - 1299. The FDA is the governing body that assesses and issues Biomerica's license to assure that it complies with these regulations. Biomerica is currently licensed, and its last assessment was in March 2006. During the inspection the FDA noted five observations that were corrected in a timely manner. Biomerica is also registered and licensed with the State of California's Department of Health Services. The Company believes that all Biomerica products sold in the U.S. comply with the FDA regulations.

Biomerica's Quality Management System is in compliance with the International Standards Organization (ISO) EN ISO 13485:2003. EN ISO 13485:2003 is an internationally recognized standard in which companies establish their methods of operation and commitment to quality.

SEASONALITY OF BUSINESS

The businesses of the Company and its subsidiary have not been subject to significant seasonal fluctuations.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS

Most of Biomerica's property and equipment are located within Southern California. The Company currently has a minor amount of property and equipment located in Mexico. The following table sets forth the dollar volume of revenue attributable to sales to domestic customers and foreign customers during the last two fiscal years for Biomerica:

Year Ended May 31,	2009		2008	
Europe	\$2,631,000	/53.3%	\$2,549,000	/51.7%
U.S. Customers	1,198,000	/24.3%	1,359,000	/27.5%
Asia	956,000	/19.4%	854,000	/17.3%
S. America	92,000	/1.9%	70,000	/1.4%
Middle East	40,000	/.8%	57 , 000	/1.1%
OTHER FOREIGN	18,000	/.3%	38,000	/1.0%
Total Revenues	\$4,935,000	/100%	\$4,927,000	/100%

We recognize that our foreign sales could be subject to some special or unusual risks, which are not present in the ordinary course of business in the United States. Changes in economic factors, government regulations, terrorism and import restrictions all could impact sales within certain foreign countries. Foreign countries have licensing requirements applicable to the sale of diagnostic products, which vary substantially from domestic requirements; depending upon the product and the foreign country, these may be more or less restrictive than requirements within the United States. Foreign diagnostic sales at Biomerica are made primarily through a network of approximately 100 independent distributors in approximately 60 countries.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

We regard the protection of our copyrights, service marks, trademarks and trade secrets as important to our future success. We rely on a combination of copyright, trademark, service mark and trade secret laws and contractual restrictions to establish and protect our proprietary rights in products and services. We have entered into confidentiality and invention assignment agreements with our employees and contractors, and nondisclosure agreements with most of our fulfillment partners and strategic partners to limit access to and disclosure of proprietary information. We cannot be certain that these contractual arrangements or the other steps taken by us to protect our intellectual property will prevent misappropriation of our technology. We have licensed in the past, and expect that we may license in the future, certain of our proprietary rights, such as trademarks or copyrighted material, to third parties. While we attempt to ensure that the quality of our product brands is maintained by such licensees, we cannot be certain that such licensees will not take actions that might hurt the value of our proprietary rights or reputation.

BRANDS, TRADEMARKS, PATENTS, LICENSES

We registered the tradenames "Fortel", "Isletest", "Nimbus" and "GAP" with the Office of Patents and Trademarks on December 31, 1985. Our unregistered tradenames are "EZ-Detect", "Candiquant," "Candigen", "EZ-H.P." and EZ-PSA". A trademark for "Aware" was issued and assigned in November 2001. In addition, Biomerica holds the following patents: Immunotherapy Agents for Treatment of IgE Mediated Allergies and Allergen-thymic Hormone Conjugates for Treatment of IgE

-5-

Mediated Allergies, U.S. Patent #5,275,814, issued January 4, 1994 and Diagnostic Test for Measuring Islet Cell Autoantibodies and Reagents Relating Thereto, U.S. Patent #5,786,221, issued July 28, 1998. Biomerica has obtained the rights to manufacture and sell certain products. In some cases royalties are paid on the sales of these products. Biomerica anticipates that it will license or purchase the rights to other products or technology in the future.

The laws of some foreign countries do not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as do the laws of the U.S. Effective copyright, trademark and trade secret protection may not be available in such jurisdictions. Our efforts to protect our intellectual property rights may not prevent misappropriation of our content. In addition, there can be no assurance that Biomerica is not violating any third party patents.

On March 27, 2009 the Company signed an Asset Purchase Agreement with a European company for the purchase of certain technology related to the manufacture of certain medical diagnostic tests. Consideration for this purchase was a nominal deposit upon signing the agreement and a nominal transfer fee upon successful commencement of production of the products. A royalty shall be paid for five years beginning on the date of first sale of finished product derived from the Purchased Assets.

EMPLOYEES

As of May 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company employed 33 employees of whom 2 are part-time employees in the United States. The following is a breakdown between departments:

	2009	2008
Administrative	4	5
Marketing & Sales	3	3
Research & Development	3	3
Production and Operations	23	22
Total	33	33

In addition, Biomerica contracts with Lancer for the services of 17 people at its Mexican facility. We also engage the services of various outside Ph.D. and M.D. consultants as well as medical institutions for technical support on a regular basis. We are not a party to any collective bargaining agreement and have never experienced a work stoppage. We consider our employee relations to be good.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Distribution - Biomerica has entered into various exclusive and non-exclusive distribution agreements (the "Agreements") which generally specify territories of distribution. The Agreements range in term from one to five years. Biomerica may be dependent upon such distributors for the marketing and selling of its products worldwide during the terms of these agreements. Such distributors are generally not obligated to sell any specified minimum quantities of the Company's product to keep the exclusive while non-exclusive distributors have no minimum purchase requirements. There can be no assurance of the volume of product sales that may be achieved by such distributors. The Company has several large distributors which account for a significant portion of its business. The loss of one of these distributors could adversely affect the Company's financial results.

Government Regulation - Biomerica's immunodiagnostic products are regulated in the United States as medical devices primarily by the FDA and as such, require regulatory clearance or approval prior to commercialization in the United States. Pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder, the FDA regulates, among other things, the clinical testing, manufacture, labeling, promotion, distribution, sale and use of medical devices in the United States. Failure of Biomerica to comply with applicable regulatory requirements can result in, among other things, warning letters, fines, injunctions, civil penalties, recall or seizure of products, total or partial suspension of production, the government's refusal to grant pre-market clearance or pre-market approval of devices, withdrawal of marketing approvals, and criminal prosecution.

Sales of medical devices outside the United States are subject to foreign regulatory requirements that vary widely from country to country. The time required to obtain registrations or approvals required by foreign countries may be longer or shorter than that required for FDA clearance or approval, and requirements for licensing may differ significantly from FDA requirements. There can be no assurance that Biomerica will be able to obtain regulatory clearances for its current or any future products in the United States or in foreign markets.

European Community - Biomerica is required to obtain certification in the European community to sell products in those countries. The certification requires Biomerica to maintain certain quality standards. Biomerica has been granted certification and undergoes annual audits to assure that the Company remains in compliance with regulations. There is no assurance that Biomerica will be able to retain its certification in the future. The loss of business or the ability to conduct business in Europe could materially adversely affect the results of the Company.

-6-

Risk of Product Liability - Testing, manufacturing and marketing of Biomerica's products entails risk of product liability. Biomerica currently has product liability insurance. There can be no assurance, however, that Biomerica will be able to maintain such insurance at a reasonable cost or in sufficient amounts to protect Biomerica against losses due to product liability. An inability to obtain sufficient insurance coverage could prevent or inhibit the commercialization of Biomerica's products. In addition, a product liability claim or recall could have a material adverse effect on the business or financial condition of the Company.

Hazardous Materials - Biomerica's manufacturing and research and development involves the controlled use of hazardous materials and chemicals. Although Biomerica believes that safety procedures for handling and disposing of such materials comply with the standards prescribed by state and Federal regulations, the risk of accidental contamination or injury from these materials cannot be completely eliminated. In the event of such an accident, the Company could be held liable for any damages that result and any such liability could exceed the resources of the Company. The Company may incur substantial costs to comply with environmental regulations.

Common stock performance - The common stock of the Company is subject to fluctuations as a result of a variety of factors including, but not limited to, financial results, general economic conditions, fluctuations in sales volumes and expenses, competition, and our failure to generate new products.

ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

The Company is currently leasing its facilities on a month-to-month agreement while it explores various other facility options. The facilities are owned and operated by Ms. Janet Moore (an officer and director of the Company), Ilse Sultanian, Susan Irani Rigdon and Jennifer Irani, some of whom are shareholders. Effective May 1, 2007, the monthly rent was set at \$14,000. Management believes there would be no significant difference in the terms of the property rental if the Company was renting from a third party. Total gross rent expense for this facility was approximately \$168,000 plus proportionate insurance and real estate taxes per year during each of the years ended May 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

On June 18, 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to lease a building in Irvine, California, commencing September 1, 2009 and ending August 31, 2016. The initial base rent is set at \$18,490 with a security deposit of \$22,080. The sum of \$40,568 was due upon execution of the lease. Management is currently working on plans for the relocation of the Company which should take place by the end of the calendar year.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Since June 20, 2002, the Company's stock has been quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol "BMRA.OB". The following table shows the high and low bid prices for Biomerica's common stock for the periods indicated, based upon data reported by Yahoo Finance. Such quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commissions, and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

	Bid Prices		
	HIGH	LOW	
Quarter ended:			
May 31, 2009	\$0.70	\$0.28	
February 28, 2009	\$0.60	\$0.25	
November 30, 2008	\$0.99	\$0.45	
August 31, 2008	\$1.05	\$0.75	
May 31, 2008	\$1.40	\$0.76	
February 29, 2008	\$1.55	\$1.02	
November 30, 2007	\$1.89	\$1.10	
August 31, 2007	\$1.50	\$0.71	

-7-

As of May 31, 2009, the number of holders of record of Biomerica's common stock was approximately 880, excluding stock held in street name. The number of record holders does not bear any relationship to the number of beneficial owners of the Common Stock.

The Company has not paid any cash dividends on its Common Stock in the past and does not plan to pay any cash dividends on its Common Stock in the foreseeable future. The Company's Board of Directors intends, for the foreseeable future, to

retain any earnings to finance the continued operation and expansion of the Company's business.

The table below provides information relating to our equity compensation plans as of May 31, 2009:

> Securi Available fo Under Comp (Excluding t

> > Fir

Securities Plan Category

Number of Securities to Be

Compensation Plans Compensation I tall
Weighted-Average Exercise

Issued Upon Exercise of Weighted-Average Exercise
Outstanding Options Price of Outstanding Options

\$0.54

Equity compensation Plans approved by Securities holders

1,472,675

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

None.

-8-

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

EXCEPT FOR HISTORICAL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN, THE STATEMENTS IN THIS FORM 10-K MAY BE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS WITHIN THE MEANING OF SECTION 21E OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 AND SECTION 27A OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933. FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS INVOLVE KNOWN AND UNKNOWN RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES WHICH MAY CAUSE BIOMERICA'S RESULTS IN FUTURE PERIODS TO DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM FORECASTED RESULTS. THESE RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES INCLUDE, AMONG OTHER THINGS, THE CONTINUED DEMAND FOR THE COMPANY'S PRODUCTS, AVAILABILITY OF RAW MATERIALS, THE STATE OF THE ECONOMY, RESULTS OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES AND THE CONTINUED ABILITY OF THE COMPANY TO MAINTAIN THE LICENSES AND APPROVALS REQUIRED. THESE AND OTHER RISKS ARE DESCRIBED IN THE COMPANY'S ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K AND IN THE COMPANY'S OTHER FILINGS WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.

EXCEPT AS MAY BE REQUIRED BY APPLICABLE LAW, WE MAY NOT UPDATE OR REVISE OUR FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND THE LACK OF SUCH UPDATE DOES NOT IMPLY THAT ACTUAL EVENTS ARE AS ORIGINALLY EXPRESSED BY SUCH FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS. YOU SHOULD READ THE DISCLOSURES IN THIS REPORT AND OTHER REPORTS WHICH WE FILE WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Fiscal 2009 Compared to Fiscal 2008

Our consolidated net sales were \$4,934,771 for fiscal 2009 compared to \$4,926,505 for fiscal 2008. This represents an increase of \$8,266, or 0% for fiscal 2009.

Cost of sales in fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2009 increased by \$174,025 or 6.2%. The percentage of cost of sales relative to sales increased from 56.7% to 60.0%, or by 3.3% due to various factors which included write-offs in fiscal 2009 of new product scrap, obsolete inventory, increased reserves for slow-moving inventory and to lower capitalization of labor and overhead into inventory on some work in process and finished goods.

Selling, general and administrative costs increased in fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008 by \$76,848 or 5.6%. These increases were a result of a variety of factors which included increase in the reserve for bad debt, Sarbanes-Oxley consulting, trade show expense, wages, option expense and startup costs for Biomerica Europe GmbH, the Company's newly formed subsidiary.

Research and development expense was \$278,308 in fiscal 2009 as compared to \$259,085 in fiscal 2008. This is an increase of \$19,223, or 7.4%. The Company continues to work on the development of several new products and anticipates that it will increase its efforts for development and product approvals in the next fiscal year.

Interest expense decreased from \$49,542 to \$27,521 in fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008, or 44.4%. The change in interest expense resulted from the decrease in the balance on the shareholder/note payable and the equipment loan, as well as a decrease in the interest rate on the accrued wages payable and equipment loan. Interest income decreased from \$33,552 to \$29,867, primarily due to lower interest rates.

Other income decreased by \$1,132,370 in fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008. This decrease was a result of one-time income from the sale of the Company's interest in Lancer Orthodontics as well as income received as a result of the one-time sale of a warrant held in Hollister-Stier in fiscal 2008.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

As of May 31, 2009, the Company had cash and cash equivalents in the amount of \$1,595,823, and a short-term investment (certificate of deposit) of \$100,000 as compared to \$2,022,380 of cash and cash equivalents as of May 31, 2008. As of May 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company had working capital of \$3,831,112 and \$3,428,936, respectively. In May 2008 the Company sold its investment in Lancer Orthodontics, Inc., for a net amount of \$1,083,444, which increased the cash position of the Company. In June 2007 the Company also exercised a warrant and sold the underlying shares that it owned in Hollister-Stier (valued on the books at zero cost) for a net amount of \$697,034. During 2009, cash used in operations was \$101,999 as compared to cash used in fiscal 2008 of \$194,595. During fiscal 2009, cash used in investing activities was \$215,890 as compared to cash provided by investing activities of \$1,515,695 in fiscal 2008. Cash of \$85,890 and \$264,783 for fiscal 2009 and 2008, respectively, was used for the purchase of property and equipment. In fiscal 2008 proceeds from the sale of marketable securities was \$1,780,478. Cash used in financing activities in fiscal 2009 was \$106,942 as compared to cash provided by financing activities of \$184,380 in fiscal 2008. During fiscal 2009, Biomerica repaid shareholder debt of \$95,936 as compared to \$61,056 in fiscal 2008. The change in cash and cash equivalents at May 31, 2009 compared to May 31, 2008 was a decrease of \$426,557.

On February 13, 2009, the Company entered into a Small Business Banking Agreement with Union Bank of California for a one year business line (the "Line") of credit in the amount of \$400,000. The interest rate for the line of credit is the prime rate in effect on the first day of the billing period, as published in the Wall Street Journal Prime West Coast Edition, plus a spread of 1.00%. Minimum monthly payments will be the sum of (i) the amount of interest charge for the billing period, plus (ii) any amount past due, plus (iii) any fees, late charges and/or out-of-pocket expenses assessed. If the Line is not renewed as of the last day of the term of the Line, the entire unpaid balance of the Line, including unpaid fees and charges will be due and payable. The Company has granted the bank security interest in the assets of the Company as collateral.

The Company must maintain for not less than thirty consecutive days in every calendar year, a period in which all amounts due under the revolving credit agreements with the Bank are at a zero balance. The Company did not owe anything on this line of credit as of May 31, 2009.

In February 2007 the Company obtained a \$200,000 working capital line of credit and was approved for a \$200,000 equipment loan with Commercial Bank of California. The credit line and the equipment loan were collateralized by substantially all of the assets of the Company. On February 13, 2009 the Company entered into a Small Business Bank Agreement for a Business Loan with Union Bank for \$133,000. Loan proceeds were disbursed in one single funding on March 5, 2009. The loan was used for the purpose of paying off the business loan, which had been established with Commercial Bank, for the acquisition of manufacturing equipment. As of May 31, 2009 and 2008, \$122,781 and \$162,993 was owed on the equipment loan and there was no outstanding balance due on the working capital line of credit, respectively. The loan is payable in thirty-six monthly payments of approximately \$4,000. The interest rate is 6.50% and the payments are to be made by automatic deduction from the Company's Union Bank account. Initial fees for the loan were \$740.

Payments on the shareholder's note payable were made during fiscal 2009, according to the agreement through July 31, 2008, at which time the remaining balance on the loan was paid in full.

As of May 31, 2009, the Company no longer has a line of credit with Commercial Bank of California.

SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On June 18, 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to lease a building in Irvine, California, commencing September 1, 2009 and ending August 31, 2016. The initial base rent is set at \$18,490 with a security deposit of \$22,080. The sum of \$40,568 was due upon execution of the lease. Management is currently working on plans for the relocation of the Company which should take place by the end of the calendar year.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on the consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements describes the significant accounting policies essential to the consolidated financial statements. The preparation of these financial statements requires estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosures.

In general, the critical accounting policies that may require judgments or

estimates relate specifically to the Allowance for Doubtful Accounts, Inventory Reserves for Obsolescence and Declines in Market Value, Impairment of Long-Lived Assets, Stock Based Compensation, and Income Tax Accruals.

We believe the following to be critical accounting policies as they require more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Revenues from product sales are recognized at the time the product is shipped, customarily FOB shipping point, at which point title passes. An allowance is established if necessary for estimated returns as revenue is recognized.

The Allowance for Doubtful Accounts is established for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. The assessment of specific receivable balances and required reserves is performed by management and discussed with the audit committee. We have identified specific customers where collection is not probable and have established specific reserves, but to the extent collection is made, the allowance will be released. Additionally, if the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required.

-10-

Reserves are provided for excess and obsolete inventory, which are estimated based on a comparison of the quantity and cost of inventory on hand to management's forecast of customer demand. Customer demand is dependent on many factors and requires us to use significant judgment in our forecasting process. We must also make assumptions regarding the rate at which new products will be accepted in the marketplace and at which customers will transition from older products to newer products. Once a reserve is established, it is maintained until the product to which it relates is sold or otherwise disposed of, even if in subsequent periods we forecast demand for the product.

Historically we were in a loss position for tax purposes, and established a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets, as we did not believe it was likely that we would generate sufficient taxable income in future periods to realize the benefit of our deferred tax assets. Although the Company has achieved net income in increasing amounts over the last four fiscal years, predicting future taxable income is difficult, and requires the use of significant judgment. Due to the fact that many factors can influence profitability, management determined at May 31, 2008, that \$170,000 of previously allowed for deferred tax assets should be released, which resulted in an income tax benefit of \$170,000 being recognized. Management has determined that the tax asset should be increased to \$238,000 as of May 31, 2009. Management will re-evaluate this determination periodically.

FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

You should read the following factors in conjunction with the factors discussed elsewhere in this and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission and in materials incorporated by reference in these filings. The following is intended to highlight certain factors that may affect the financial condition and results of operations of Biomerica, Inc. and are not meant to be an exhaustive discussion of risks that apply to companies such as Biomerica, Inc. Like other businesses, Biomerica, Inc. is susceptible to macroeconomic downturns in the United States or abroad, as were experienced in recently, that may affect the general economic climate and performance of Biomerica, Inc. or its customers.

Aside from general macroeconomic downturns, the additional material factors that could affect future financial results include, but are not limited to: Terrorist attacks and the impact of such events; diminished access to raw materials that directly enter into our manufacturing process; shipping labor disruption or other major degradation of the ability to ship out products to end users; inability to successfully control our margins which are affected by many factors including competition and product mix; protracted shutdown of the U.S. border due to an escalation of terrorist or counter terrorist activity; any changes in our business relationships with international distributors or the economic climate they operate in; any event that has a material adverse impact on our foreign manufacturing operations may adversely affect our operations as a whole; failure to manage the future expansion of our business could have a material adverse affect on our revenues and profitability; possible costs in complying with government regulations and the delays in receiving required regulatory approvals or the enactment of new adverse regulations or regulatory requirements; numerous competitors, some of which have substantially greater financial and other resources than we do; potential claims and litigation brought by patients or medical professionals alleging harm caused by the use of or exposure to our products; quarterly variations in operating results caused by a number of factors, including business and industry conditions; difficulties encountered during the impending move of the Company's operations to its new facility and other factors beyond our control. All these factors make it difficult to predict operating results for any particular period.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements—an amendment of ARB No. 51. This statement applies to all entities that prepare consolidated financial statements, except for non-profit organizations, but will affect only those entities that have an outstanding noncontrolling interest in one or more subsidiaries or that deconsolidate a subsidiary. SFAS No. 160 is effective for annual periods beginning December 15, 2008. The Company does not believe that the adoption of SFAS No. 160 will have a material impact on its financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, Business Combinations. SFAS 141R establishes a defined measurement period that governs the time period within which the business combination must be reported. In addition, the revised standard significantly expands the scope of disclosure requirements. SFAS No. 141R is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company does not believe that the adoption of SFAS No. 141R will have a material impact on its financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities—an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133. This Statement requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of and gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit—risk—related contingent features in derivative agreements. SFAS No. 161 is effective for financial statements issue years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company does not believe that the adoption of SFAS No. 161 will have a material impact on its financial statements.

-11-

In May, 2009 the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, "Subsequent Events". This statement established general standards of accounting for disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statement are issued or are available to be issued. It requires the disclosure date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date. This would alert

all users of financial statements that an entity has not evaluated subsequent events after that in the set of financial statements being presented. This statement is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company does not believe that the adoption of SFAS No. 165 will have a material impact on its financial statements.

The FASB issued SFAS No. 168, "The FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles— a replacement of Financial Statement No. 162". On the effective date of the statement, The FASB Accounting Standards Codification will become the source of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for interim and periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Company does not believe that the adoption of SFAS No. 168 will have a material impact on its financial statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

None

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Exhibit 99.3, "Biomerica, Inc. and Subsidiary Consolidated Financial Statements" is incorporated herein by this reference.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Attached as exhibits to this Form 10-K are certifications of our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") that are required in accordance with Rule 13a-14 of the Exchange Act. This "Disclosure Controls and Procedures" section includes information concerning the controls and controls evaluation referred to in the certifications.

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS

Our management evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act as of the end of the period covered by this report. Our management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. The disclosure controls and procedures have been designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective at the "reasonable assurance" level. Based on that evaluation the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file and submit under the Exchange Act is (1) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms; and (2) accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

For the reasons discussed in "Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting" below, Company management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of May 31, 2009, the

Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective. Management has concluded that the consolidated financial statements included in this annual report present fairly, in all material respects, the Company's financial position, results of operations, and cash flows for the periods presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation that occurred during the last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or that is reasonably likely to affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

-12-

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Company management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and Board of Directors regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

A Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

The effectiveness of any system of internal control over financial reporting is subject to inherent limitations, including the exercise of judgment in designing, implementing, operating and evaluating the controls and procedures. Because of these inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Company management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13(a)-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, as of the end of the period covered by this report. In making this assessment, Management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control - Integrated Framework. Based on this assessment, management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, believes that, as of May 31, 2009, the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective based on those criteria.

Company management will continue to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures and its internal controls over financial reporting on an ongoing basis and are committed to taking further action and implementing improvements, as necessary and as funds allow.

Note: This 10-K does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this 10-K.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS; COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(A) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT.

This information is incorporated by reference to the Company's proxy statement for its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be filed not later than 120 days after the end of the Company's fiscal year ended May 31, 2009.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

This information is incorporated by reference to the Company's proxy statement for its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be filed not later than 120 days after the end of the Company's fiscal year ended May 31, 2009.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

This information is incorporated by reference to the Company's proxy statement for its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be filed not later than 120 days after the end of the Company's fiscal year ended May 31, 2009.

-13-

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

In fiscal 2003, Biomerica entered into an agreement with Lancer whereby Biomerica agreed to pay an initial shelter fee of \$5,000 with additional monthly payments of \$2,875 for use of the Lancer de Mexico facilities to produce and manufacture Biomerica products. The monthly payments are due as long as Biomerica produces its products at the Lancer de Mexico facility. At May 31, 2009, Biomerica has paid all applicable shelter fees.

Other information regarding related transactions is incorporated by reference to the Company's proxy statement for its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be filed not later than 120 days after the end of the Company's fiscal year ended May 31, 2009.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The aggregate fees billed for professional services by PKF in 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

2009	2008
\$72,182(1)	\$58,198(2)

Audit fees

Audit related fees		
Tax fees	6,043	9,874
ALL OTHER FEES	784	3,792
Total	\$79,009	\$71 , 864

- (1) Also includes fees to be billed in fiscal 2010 for fiscal 2009.
- (2) Also includes fees to be billed in fiscal 2009 for fiscal 2008.

Audit Fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered for the audit of our annual financial statements, the audit of our subsidiary's financial statements, the reviews of the financial statements included in our Forms 10-Q and for any other services that are normally provided by PKF in connection with our statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

Audit Related Fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered for assurance and related services that were reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements and the financial statements of our subsidiary that were not otherwise included in Audit Fees.

Tax Fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning. Included in such Tax Fees were fees for preparation of our tax returns and consultancy and advice on other tax planning matters.

All Other Fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for products and services provided by PKF and not otherwise included in Audit Fees, Audit Related fees or Tax Fees.

POLICY ON AUDIT COMMITTEE PRE-APPROVAL OF AUDIT AND NON-AUDIT SERVICES

The Audit Committee has the responsibility of appointing the independent audit firm and overseeing their work. The Audit Committee pre-approves all audit and related services. Should the audit committee pre-approve any services other than audit and related services, it evaluates whether the services would compromise the auditor's independence.

Of the services provided in fiscal 2009 and 2008, all fees and services were pre-approved by the audit committee.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS LIST AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant filed with the Secretary of the State of Delaware on September 22, 1971 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 filed with Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1, Commission File No. 2-83308).
- 3.2 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant filed with the Secretary of the State of Delaware on February 6, 1978 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 filed with Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1, Commission File No. 2-83308).

3.3	Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant filed with the Secretary of the State of Delaware on February 4, 1983 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 filed with Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1, Commission File No. 2-83308).
3.4	Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant filed with the Secretary of the State of Delaware on January 19, 1987 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 filed with Form 8 Amendment No. 1 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 31, 1987).
3.5	Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant filed with the Secretary of the State of Delaware on November 4, 1987 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 filed with Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1, Commission File No. 2-83308).
3.6	Bylaws of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 filed with Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1, Commission File No. 2-83308).
3.7	Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant filed with the Secretary of the State of Delaware on December 20, 1994 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.7 filed with Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended May 31, 1995).
3.8	First Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Biomerica, Inc. filed with the Secretary of State of Delaware on August 1, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.8 filed with the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2000).
4.1	Specimen Stock Certificate of Common Stock of Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 filed with Registrant's Registration Statement on Form SB-2, Commission No. 333-87231 filed on September 16, 1999).
10.3	1999 Stock Incentive Plan of Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 29, 2000 and on May 30 , 2007).
10.4	1995 Stock Option and Common Stock Plan of Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 20, 1996).
10.5	1991 Stock Option and Restricted Stock Plan of Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 6, 1992).
10.31	Loan Modification, Forbearance and Security Agreement (incorporated by reference to the Company's February 29, 2004 Form 10-QSB filed April 14, 2004).
10.32	Promissory Note (incorporated by reference to the Company's February 29, 2004 Form 10-QSB filed April 14, 2004).

10.33	Second Amendment of the Note, Loan and Modification Agreement (incorporated by reference to the Company's February 28, 2007 Form 10-QSB filed April 16, 2007).
10.34	Commercial Security Agreement (loan #0100000250) with Commercial Bank of California dated February 20, 2007 (incorporated by reference to the Company's February 28, 2007 Form 10-QSB filed April 16, 2007).
10.35	Promissory Note (loan #0100000250) dated February 20, 2007 with Commercial Bank of California (incorporated by reference to the Company's February 28, 2007 Form 10-QSB filed April 16, 2007).
10.36	Promissory Note (loan #0100000251) dated February 20, 2007 with Commercial Bank of California (incorporated by reference to the Company's February 28, 2007 Form 10-QSB filed April 16, 2007).
10.37	Subordination Agreement (loan #0100000250) dated February 20, 2007 with Commercial Bank of California and Janet Moore, Trustee of the Janet Moore Trust (incorporated by reference to the Company's February 28, 2007 Form 10-QSB filed April 16, 2007).
10.38	Business Loan Agreement with Commercial Bank of California (incorporated by reference to the Company's February 28, 2007 Form 10-QSB filed April 16, 2007).
	-15-
10.39	Small Business Banking Agreement (Business Line of Credit Number 0366422012) with Union Bank (incorporated by reference to the Company's February 28, 2009 Form 10Q filed April 14, 2009).
10.40	Small Business Banking Agreement (Business Loan Number 0366422020) with Union Bank (incorporated by reference to the Company's February 28, 2009 Form 10Q filed April 14, 2009).
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (PKF).
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Statements For The Years Ended May 31, 2009 and 2008 and Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm's Report.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K.

None.

-16-

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BIOMERICA, INC. Registrant

By: /s/ Zackary S. Irani
----Zackary S. Irani,
Chief Executive Officer

Dated: 8/29/09

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Signature and Capacity

/s/ Zackary S. Irani Date: 8/29/09

Zackary S. Irani

Director, Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Janet Moore Date: 8/29/09

Janet Moore,

Secretary, Director, Chief Financial Officer

/s/ Francis R. Cano, PH.D. Date: 8/29/09

Francis R. Cano, Ph.D.

Director

/s/ Allen Barbieri Date: 8/29/09

, , , -----

Allen Barbieri

Director

/s/ Jane Emerson, M.D., PH.D. Date: 8/29/09

Jane Emerson,

M.D., Ph.D. Director

/s/ John Roehm Date: 8/29/09

John Roehm Director

-17-