

BankUnited, Inc.
Form 10-K
February 28, 2012

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011
Commission file number: 001-35039

BankUnited, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

27-0162450

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

14817 Oak Lane, Miami Lakes, FL
(Address of principal executive offices)

33016
(Zip Code)

(305) 569-2000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value

Name of each exchange on which registered
New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **None**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a "smaller reporting company."

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a
smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant on June 30, 2011 was \$992,146,227.

The number of outstanding shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.01 par value, as of February 24, 2012, was 97,703,169.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders are incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K in response to Part III. Items 10, 11, 12 13 and 14.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC.
Form 10-K
For the Year Ended December 31, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|-----------------|---|-------------|
| | <u>PART I</u> | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Business</u> | <u>1</u> |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>21</u> |
| <u>Item 1B.</u> | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | <u>30</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Properties</u> | <u>30</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>30</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>30</u> |
| | <u>PART II</u> | |
| <u>Item 5.</u> | <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | <u>31</u> |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Selected Consolidated Financial Data</u> | <u>33</u> |
| <u>Item 7.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>36</u> |
| <u>Item 7A.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | <u>98</u> |
| <u>Item 8.</u> | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | <u>F-1</u> |
| <u>Item 9.</u> | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | <u>99</u> |
| <u>Item 9A.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>99</u> |
| <u>Item 9B.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | <u>99</u> |
| | <u>PART III</u> | |
| <u>Item 10.</u> | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | <u>100</u> |
| <u>Item 11.</u> | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | <u>100</u> |
| <u>Item 12.</u> | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | <u>100</u> |
| <u>Item 13.</u> | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | <u>100</u> |
| <u>Item 14.</u> | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | <u>100</u> |
| | <u>PART IV</u> | |
| <u>Item 15.</u> | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | <u>101</u> |
| | <u>Signatures</u> | <u>102</u> |

Table of Contents

Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Words such as "anticipate," "expect," "intend," "plan," "believe," "seek," "estimate," "project," "predict," "will" and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements.

These forward-looking statements are based on management's current views with respect to future results, and are subject to risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements are based on beliefs and assumptions made by management using currently available information, such as market and industry materials, historical performance and current financial trends. These statements are only predictions and are not guarantees of future performance. The inclusion of forward-looking statements should not be regarded as a representation by the Company that the future plans, estimates or expectations contemplated by a forward-looking statement will be achieved. Forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties and assumptions, including those relating to the Company's operations, financial results, financial condition, business prospects, growth strategy and liquidity. If one or more of these or other risks or uncertainties materialize, or if the Company's underlying assumptions prove to be incorrect, the Company's actual results could differ materially from those contemplated by a forward looking statement. These risks and uncertainties include, without limitation:

failure to comply with the terms of the Company's Loss Sharing Agreements (as defined below) with the FDIC (as defined below);

geographic concentration of the Company's markets in the coastal regions of Florida which makes the Company's business highly susceptible to local economic conditions and natural disasters;

court backlogs and an increase in the amount of legislative action that might restrict or delay the Company's ability to foreclose on residential mortgages and hence delay the collection of payments for single family residential loans under the Loss Sharing Agreements;

ongoing correction in residential and commercial real estate prices and reduced levels of residential and commercial real estate sales;

credit risk;

interest rate risk;

loss of executive officers or key personnel; and

inadequate allowance for credit losses.

Additional factors are set forth in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, including this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which they are made. The Company expressly disclaims any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

As used herein, the terms the "Company," "we," "us" and "our" refer to BankUnited, Inc. and its subsidiaries unless the context otherwise requires.

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

Summary

As of December 31, 2011, BankUnited, Inc. was a savings and loan holding company with two wholly-owned subsidiaries: BankUnited ("BankUnited" or the "Bank"), which is one of the largest independent depository institutions headquartered in Florida by assets, and BankUnited Investment Services, Inc. ("BankUnited Investment Services" or "BUIS"), a Florida insurance agency which provides comprehensive wealth management products and financial planning services, collectively (the "Company"). As of December 31, 2011, BankUnited was a federally-chartered, federally-insured savings association headquartered in Miami Lakes, Florida, with \$11.3 billion of assets, more than 1,300 professionals and 95 branches in 15 counties. The Company's goal is to build a premier, large regional bank with a low-risk, long-term value-oriented business model focused on small and medium sized businesses and consumers. We endeavor to provide personalized customer service and offer a full range of traditional banking products and financial services to both our commercial and consumer customers, who are predominantly located in Florida.

On February 29, 2012, the Company expects to complete its previously announced acquisition of Herald National Bank ("Herald"), and the Bank to complete its previously announced conversion to a national bank charter. Upon the occurrence of these events, the Company will become a bank holding company and will cease to be a savings and loan holding company. Herald will operate as a separate subsidiary of BankUnited, Inc., until the end of August 2012, at which time it will be merged into the Bank.

BankUnited, Inc. was organized by a management team led by our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, John A. Kanas, on April 28, 2009 and was initially capitalized with \$945.0 million by a group of investors. On May 21, 2009, BankUnited was granted a savings association charter and the newly formed bank acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed all of the non-brokered deposits and substantially all other liabilities of BankUnited, FSB (the "Failed Bank"), from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or the FDIC, in a transaction which we refer to as the Acquisition. Concurrently with the Acquisition, we entered into two loss sharing agreements, or the Loss Sharing Agreements, which cover certain legacy assets, including the entire legacy loan portfolio and other real estate owned ("OREO"), and certain purchased investment securities, including private-label mortgage-backed securities and non-investment grade securities. We refer to assets covered by the Loss Sharing Agreements as covered assets or, in certain cases, covered loans or covered securities.

Since the Bank's establishment in May 2009, we have pursued our new strategy and as part of this strategy we have recruited a new executive management team, substantially enhanced our middle management team, redesigned the Bank's underwriting functions, completed a conversion of the Bank's core operating systems, and refurbished and re-branded our existing branch network. BankUnited's Tier 1 leverage ratio was 10.8% and its Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio was 34.6% at December 31, 2011. We intend to invest our excess capital to grow opportunistically both organically and through acquisitions.

On February 2, 2011, we completed the initial public offering of 33,350,000 shares of our common stock (4,000,000 of which was sold by us) for which we received proceeds, after deducting underwriting discounts and estimated offering expenses, of approximately \$98.6 million. We refer to this transaction as the IPO. Prior to the IPO we were a direct, wholly owned subsidiary of BU Financial Holdings LLC, or the LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and whose common equity interests are referred to herein as units. Immediately prior to the consummation of the IPO, the LLC was liquidated and all LLC interests were distributed to the members of the LLC in accordance with its amended and restated limited liability company agreement dated as of May 21, 2009, or the LLC Agreement. All of

Table of Contents

the transactions necessary to effect the liquidation are collectively referred to herein as the Reorganization.

The Acquisition

On May 21, 2009, BankUnited entered into a purchase and assumption agreement (the "Purchase and Assumption Agreement") with the FDIC, Receiver of the Failed Bank, to acquire substantially all of the assets and assume all of the non-brokered deposits and substantially all other liabilities of the Failed Bank. Excluding the effects of acquisition accounting adjustments, BankUnited acquired \$13.6 billion of assets and assumed \$12.8 billion of liabilities. The fair value of the assets acquired was \$10.9 billion and the fair value of the liabilities assumed was \$13.1 billion. BankUnited received a net cash consideration from the FDIC in the amount of \$2.2 billion.

The acquired assets included \$5.0 billion of loans with a corresponding unpaid principal balance, ("UPB"), of \$11.2 billion, a \$3.4 billion FDIC indemnification asset, \$538.9 million of investment securities, \$1.2 billion of cash and cash equivalents, \$177.7 million of foreclosed assets, \$243.3 million of Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB"), stock and \$347.4 million of other assets. Liabilities assumed included \$8.3 billion of non-brokered deposits, \$4.6 billion of FHLB advances, and \$112.2 million of other liabilities.

Concurrently with the Acquisition, the Bank entered into the Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC with respect to the covered assets. The Bank acquired other BankUnited, FSB assets that are not covered by the Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC including cash, certain investment securities purchased at fair market value and other tangible assets. The Loss Sharing Agreements do not apply to subsequently acquired, purchased or originated assets. At December 31, 2011, the covered assets consisted of assets with a book value of \$2.8 billion. The total UPB or, for investment securities, unamortized cost basis, of the covered assets at December 31, 2011 was \$6.2 billion. The following charts illustrate the percentage of total assets represented by covered assets at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

Pursuant to the terms of the Loss Sharing Agreements, the covered assets are subject to a stated loss threshold whereby the FDIC will reimburse the Bank for 80% of losses up to a \$4.0 billion stated threshold and 95% of losses in excess of the \$4.0 billion stated threshold, calculated, in each case, based on UPB (or, for investment securities, unamortized cost basis) plus certain interest and expenses. The carrying value of the FDIC indemnification asset at December 31, 2011 was \$2.0 billion. The Bank will reimburse the FDIC for its share of recoveries with respect to losses for which the FDIC paid the Bank a reimbursement under the Loss Sharing Agreements. The FDIC's obligation to reimburse the Company for losses with respect to the covered assets began with the first dollar of loss incurred. We

Table of Contents

have submitted claims of \$1.9 billion to the FDIC for reimbursements under the Loss Sharing Agreements as of December 31, 2011.

The Loss Sharing agreements consist of a single family shared-loss agreement (the "Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement"), and a commercial and other loans shared-loss agreement, (the "Commercial Shared-Loss Agreement"). The Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement provides for FDIC loss sharing and the Bank's reimbursement for recoveries to the FDIC for ten years from May 21, 2009 for single family residential loans. The Commercial Shared-Loss Agreement provides for FDIC loss sharing for five years from May 21, 2009 and the Bank's reimbursement for recoveries to the FDIC for eight years from May 21, 2009 for all other covered assets.

Under the Purchase and Assumption Agreement, the Bank may sell up to 2.5% of the covered loans based on the UPB at Acquisition, or approximately \$280.0 million, on an annual basis without prior consent of the FDIC. Any losses incurred from such loan sale are covered under the Loss Sharing Agreements. Any loan sale in excess of the annual 2.5% of the covered loans requires approval from the FDIC to be eligible for loss share coverage. However, if the Bank seeks to sell residential or non-residential loans in excess of the agreed 2.5% threshold in the nine months prior to the tenth anniversary or the fifth anniversary, respectively, and the FDIC refuses to consent, then the Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement and the Commercial Shared-Loss Agreement will be extended for two years after their respective anniversaries. The terms of the Loss Sharing Agreements are extended only with respect to the loans to be included in such sales. The Bank will have the right to sell all or any portion of such loans without FDIC consent at any time within the nine months prior to the respective extended termination dates, and any losses incurred will be covered under the Loss Sharing Agreements. If exercised, this final sale mechanism ensures no residual credit risk in our covered loan portfolio that would otherwise arise from credit losses occurring after the five- and ten-year periods, respectively.

The Loss Sharing Agreements require us to follow specific servicing procedures and to undertake loss mitigation efforts. Additionally, the FDIC has information rights with respect to our performance under the Loss Sharing Agreements, requiring us to maintain detailed compliance records.

Our Market Area

We view our market as the southeast region of the United States with a current focus on Florida, and in particular the Miami metropolitan statistical area, or MSA. We believe Florida represents a long-term attractive banking market. After the closing of the Herald acquisition, the New York MSA will also be a market in which we see long-term growth opportunities.

Florida's economy and banking industry continue to face significant challenges. Since 2007, many Florida banks have experienced capital constraints and liquidity challenges as a result of significant losses from loans with poor credit quality and investments that have had sizeable decreases in value or realized losses. The undercapitalization and increased regulation of the banking sector have caused many banks to reduce lending to new and existing clients and focus primarily on improving their balance sheets, putting pressure on commercial borrowers to look for new banking relationships. Given our competitive strengths, including an experienced management team, robust capital position and scalable platform, we believe these challenges present significant acquisition and organic growth opportunities for us.

Over time, we will look to expand our branch network outside of Florida in selected markets including New York, where our management team has had significant experience and has the competitive advantage of having managed one of the most successful regional banks in that market. However, until August 2012 certain of our executive officers are subject to non-compete agreements which may restrict them individually from operating in New York, New Jersey and Connecticut.

Table of Contents

Products and Services

Loan Origination Activities

General. Our primary lending focus is to serve consumers, commercial and middle-market businesses and their executives with a variety of financial products and services, while maintaining a strong and disciplined credit policy and procedures.

We offer a full array of lending products that cater to our customers' needs including small business loans, residential mortgage loans, commercial real estate loans, equipment loans and leases, term loans, asset-backed loans, municipal leases, letters of credit and commercial lines of credit. As part of our loan activities, we also purchase performing residential loans on a national basis. We do not originate or purchase negatively amortizing or sub-prime residential loans.

In 2010 and 2011, we hired a number of commercial lenders and teams from competing institutions in our Florida markets, which has resulted in significant growth in our new loan portfolio. At December 31, 2011, our loan portfolio included \$1.7 billion in loans originated or purchased since the Acquisition, including \$1.3 billion in commercial and commercial real estate loans and \$463.5 million in residential loans. Our consumer loan origination has not been significant.

Commercial loans. Our commercial loans, which are generally made to small and middle-market businesses primarily in Florida, include equipment loans, lines of credit, acquisition finance credit facilities and an array of Small Business Administration product offerings, and typically have maturities of 5 years or less. We also offer term financing for the acquisition or refinancing of properties, primarily rental apartments, industrial properties, retail shopping centers and free-standing buildings, office buildings and hotels located primarily in Florida. Other products that we provide include secured lines of credit, acquisition, development and construction loan facilities and construction financing. In addition, in the fourth quarter of 2010, we acquired a small business lending company and a municipal leasing business. We now provide secured loan and lease programs for small and medium sized businesses on a national basis through United Capital Business Lending. These loans and leases are typically used for equipment purchases and upgrades, business expansion and acquisition purposes. Through Pinnacle Public Finance, we also offer tax-exempt leasing to municipalities and governmental entities nationwide for the financing of essential-use assets.

Residential real estate loans. At December 31, 2011, the portfolio of 1-4 single family residential loans originated or purchased by us since the Acquisition included \$403.2 million of purchased loans and \$58.2 million of originated residential real estate loans. We have decided to purchase loans to supplement our mortgage origination platform and to geographically diversify our loan portfolio given the current credit environment of the non-agency mortgage market in Florida. While the credit parameters we use for purchased loans are substantially similar to the underwriting guidelines we use for originated loans, differences include: (i) loans are purchased on a nationwide basis, while originated loans are currently limited to Florida; (ii) purchased loans, on average, have a higher principal balance than originated loans; and (iii) we consider payment history in selecting which seasoned loans to purchase, while such information is not available for originated loans. We provide 1-4 single family residential real estate loans with terms ranging from 10 to 40 years, with either fixed or adjustable interest rates. Loans are currently offered to customers primarily in Florida through BankUnited branches and loan officers. We do not originate subprime loans or option ARM loans. Loans are typically closed-end first lien loans for purposes of property purchased, or for refinancing existing loans with or without cashout. The majority of our loans are owner occupied, full documentation loans. We have not originated a significant amount of home equity loans since the Acquisition.

Consumer loans. We offer consumer loans to our customers primarily in Florida for personal, family and household purposes, including home equity loans, auto, boat and personal installment loans. This portfolio segment is currently not significant.

Table of Contents

Credit Policy and Procedures

The fundamental principles of the Bank's credit policy and procedures are to maintain high quality credit standards, which enhance the long term value of the Bank to its customers, employees, stockholders and communities. Credit quality is a key corporate objective that is managed in concert with other key objectives including volume growth, earnings and expense management. We recognize that our credit policy and procedures are dynamic and responsive to the market place. It is the foundation of our credit culture.

The Board of Directors of the Bank is responsible for the safety and soundness of the Bank. As such, they are charged to monitor the efforts of the Bank's management activities. Since lending represents risk exposure, our Board and its duly appointed committees seek to ensure that the Bank maintains high credit quality standards.

The Bank has established asset oversight committees to administer the loan portfolio. These committees include: (i) the Enterprise Risk Management Committee; (ii) the Credit Risk Management Committee; (iii) the Asset Recovery Committee; and (iv) the Criticized Asset Committee. These committees meet at least quarterly to review and approve the lending activities of the Bank.

The credit approval process at the Bank provides for the prompt and thorough underwriting and approval or decline of loan requests. The approval method used is a hierarchy of individual lending authorities for new credits and renewals. The Credit Risk Management Committee approves loan authorities for lending and credit personnel, which are ultimately submitted to our Board for ratification. Lending authorities are based on position, capability and experience of the individuals filling these positions. Authorities are periodically reviewed and updated.

The Bank has established in-house borrower lending limits which are significantly lower than its legal lending limit of approximately \$183.9 million, at December 31, 2011. The present in-house lending limit is \$75.0 million based on total credit exposure of a borrower. These limits are reviewed periodically by the Credit Risk Management Committee and approved annually by the Board of Directors of the Bank.

Deposits

We offer traditional deposit products including checking accounts, money market deposit accounts, savings accounts and certificates of deposit with a variety of rates. Our deposits are insured by the FDIC up to statutory limits. At December 31, 2011, the balance of our interest bearing deposits was \$6.6 billion, representing 89.5% of our total deposits, and the balance of our non-interest bearing deposits was \$770.8 million, representing 10.5% of our total deposits. Our strategy has been to increase our mix of transaction accounts and reduce our time deposit portfolio. We have a service fee schedule, which is competitive with other financial institutions in our market, covering such matters as maintenance fees on checking accounts, per item processing fees on checking accounts, returned check charges and similar fees.

Wealth Management

Through dedicated financial consultants and licensed bankers, BankUnited Investment Services provides a comprehensive wealth management product offering that includes mutual funds, annuities, life insurance, and individual securities. We also provide comprehensive succession planning, estate planning, and financial planning to individuals and business owners. We use a third-party financial services company to provide our trading platform, administrative and back office support, and provide our customers with 24-hour access to account balances and summaries, positions and portfolio views, transaction detail, customized portfolio view, and online statements.

Table of Contents

Investments

The primary objectives of our investment policy are to provide liquidity necessary for the day-to-day operations of the Company, provide a suitable balance of high credit and diversified quality assets to the consolidated balance sheet, manage interest rate risk exposure, and generate acceptable returns given the Company's established risk parameters.

The investment policy is reviewed annually by our Board of Directors. Overall investment goals are established by our Board, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and members of the Asset/Liability Committee ("ALCO"). The Board has delegated the responsibility of monitoring our investment activities to ALCO. Day-to-day activities pertaining to the investment portfolio are conducted within the Company's Treasury Division under the supervision of the Chief Financial Officer.

Our strategy for investment security purchases since the Acquisition has been to achieve the objectives noted above, with an emphasis on managing interest rate risk exposure and maintaining liquidity in the portfolio.

Marketing and Distribution

We conduct our banking business through 95 branches located in 15 counties throughout Florida as of December 31, 2011. Our distribution network also includes 91 ATMs, fully integrated on-line banking, and a telephone banking service. We target growing companies and commercial and middle-market businesses, as well as individual consumers throughout Florida.

In order to market our products, we use local television, radio, print and direct mail advertising and provide sales incentives for our employees.

Competition

The primary market we serve is Florida. Our market is highly competitive. Our market contains not only a large number of community and regional banks, but also a significant presence of the country's largest commercial banks. We compete with other state and national financial institutions located in Florida and adjoining states as well as savings associations, savings banks and credit unions for deposits and loans. In addition, we compete with financial intermediaries, such as consumer finance companies, mortgage banking companies, insurance companies, securities firms, mutual funds and several government agencies as well as major retailers, all actively engaged in providing various types of loans and other financial services. Our largest banking competitors in our market include Bank of America, BankAtlantic, BB&T, JPMorgan Chase, Regions Bank, SunTrust Banks, TD Bank and Wells Fargo.

Interest rates, both on loans and deposits, and prices of fee-based services are significant competitive factors among financial institutions generally. Other important competitive factors include office location, office hours, quality of customer service, community reputation, continuity of personnel and services, and, in the case of larger commercial customers, relative lending limits and ability to offer sophisticated cash management and other commercial banking services. While we continue to provide competitive interest rates on both depository and lending products, we believe that we can compete most successfully by focusing on the financial needs of growing companies and their executives, consumers and commercial and middle-market businesses, and offering them a broad range of personalized services and sophisticated cash management tools tailored to their businesses. We also believe that further volatility and consolidation in the banking industry would create additional opportunities for us to enhance our competitive position.

Table of Contents

Information Technology Systems

Information Technology and Bank Operations

We have recently made and continue to make significant investments in our information technology systems for our banking and lending operations and cash management activities. We believe this is a necessary investment in order to enhance our capabilities to offer new products and overall customer experience, and to provide scale for future growth and acquisitions. Critical enhancements include the consolidation of all residential servicing to a leading servicing platform, upgrading our general ledger system, selecting an automated anti-money laundering software solution and enhancing other ancillary systems. We also converted our core deposit banking system to more effectively automate bank transactions for our branches, improve our commercial and consumer loan origination, electronic banking and direct response marketing processes, as well as enhance cash management, streamlined reporting, reconciliation support and sales support.

The majority of our systems including our electronic funds transfer or EFT, transaction processing and our online banking services are hosted by third-party service providers. Additionally, we rely on a leading third-party provider to provide a comprehensive, fully integrated solution that gives us the ability to automate areas of our residential loan servicing, including loan set-up and maintenance, customer service, cashiering, escrow administration, investor accounting, default management, corporate accounting and federal regulatory reporting. The scalability of this new infrastructure supports our growth strategy. In addition, the capability of these vendors to automatically switch over to standby systems allows us to recover our systems and provide business continuity quickly in case of a disaster.

Loan Servicing

Substantially all of our loans are serviced by us. Since the Acquisition, we have invested heavily in our loan servicing platform to ensure we are making best efforts in minimizing losses on the Covered Loans. Additionally, we have been an active participant in the U.S. Treasury Department's Home Affordable Modification Program ("HAMP") since 2009, which focuses on helping at-risk homeowners avoid foreclosure by reducing payments through interest rate reduction, term extension, principal forbearance and principal forgiveness.

Regulation and Supervision

The U.S. banking industry is highly regulated under federal and state law. These regulations affect the operations of the Company and its subsidiaries.

Statutes, regulations and policies limit the activities in which we may engage and the conduct of our permitted activities. Further, the regulatory system imposes reporting and information collection obligations. We incur significant costs relating to compliance with these laws and regulations. Banking statutes, regulations and policies are continually under review by federal and state legislatures and regulatory agencies, and a change in them, including changes in how they are interpreted or implemented, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

The material statutory and regulatory requirements that are applicable to us are summarized below. The description below is not intended to summarize all laws and regulations applicable to us.

Currently a Savings and Loan Holding Company

BankUnited is currently a federal savings association organized under the Federal Home Owners' Loan Act, or "HOLA." A federal savings association is commonly referred to as a federal thrift. As a federal thrift, BankUnited is subject to ongoing and comprehensive supervision, regulation, examination and enforcement by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC"). Any entity that directly or indirectly controls a thrift (but that does not control a bank) must be approved to become a savings

Table of Contents

and loan holding company, or SLHC. The Company, which controls BankUnited, received such approval to become a SLHC on May 21, 2009. As an SLHC, the Company is currently subject to ongoing and comprehensive supervision, regulation, examination and enforcement by the Federal Reserve Board. This jurisdiction also extends to any company that is directly or indirectly controlled by us.

Prior to July 21, 2011, the Company and BankUnited were subject to supervision and regulation by the Office of Thrift Supervision ("OTS"). However, effective July 21, 2011, supervisory and regulatory responsibilities were shifted from the OTS to the Federal Reserve Board with respect to the Company and to the OCC with respect to BankUnited. This shift in jurisdiction was mandated by the Dodd-Frank Act, as noted in the Section below, entitled "The Dodd-Frank Act."

Will Become a Bank Holding Company

The Company's regulatory structure will change as a result of two proposed events that are expected to occur on February 29, 2012. First, the Company will acquire control of Herald. Second, the Company will convert the charter of BankUnited from a federal thrift to a national bank to be known as BankUnited, National Association, or "BankUnited, NA." National banks are depository institutions chartered under the federal National Bank Act and regulated and supervised by the OCC.

The occurrence of these events will cause the Company to control a bank for purposes of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, or the "BHC Act." Any entity that directly or indirectly controls a bank must be approved by the Federal Reserve Board under the BHC Act to become a bank holding company, or "BHC." BHCs are subject to regulation, inspection, examination, supervision and enforcement by the Federal Reserve Board under the BHC Act. This Federal Reserve Board's jurisdiction also extends to any company that is directly or indirectly controlled by a BHC. Once the Company becomes a BHC, it would no longer be, and no longer be regulated as, an SLHC.

The Company has applied for and received all necessary regulatory approvals to acquire Herald, to convert BankUnited from a federal thrift to a national bank, and to thereby become a BHC. Specifically, the OCC approved the Company's acquisition of Herald and BankUnited's conversion to a national bank on February 14, 2012. The Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta, acting under delegated authority for the Federal Reserve Board, approved the Company's application to become a BHC on February 13, 2012. The Company expects to consummate these transactions on February 29, 2012, and to become a BHC as of that date.

FDIC Deposit Insurance

The FDIC is an independent federal agency that insures the deposits of federally insured depository institutions up to applicable limits. The FDIC also has certain regulatory, examination and enforcement powers with respect to FDIC-insured institutions. The deposits of BankUnited (as well as Herald) are insured by the FDIC up to applicable limits. As a general matter, the maximum deposit insurance amount is \$250,000 per depositor.

Broad Supervision, Examination and Enforcement Powers

A principal objective of the U.S. bank regulatory system is to protect depositors by ensuring the financial safety and soundness of banking organizations. To that end, the banking regulators have broad regulatory, examination and enforcement authority. The regulators regularly examine the operations of banking organizations. In addition, banking organizations are subject to periodic reporting requirements.

The regulators have various remedies available if they determine that the financial condition, capital resources, asset quality, earnings prospects, management, liquidity or other aspects of a banking

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

organization's operations are unsatisfactory. The regulators may also take action if they determine that the banking organization or its management is violating or has violated any law or regulation. The regulators have the power to, among other things:

- enjoin "unsafe or unsound" practices;
- require affirmative actions to correct any violation or practice;
- issue administrative orders that can be judicially enforced;
- direct increases in capital;
- direct the sale of subsidiaries or other assets;
- limit dividends and distributions;
- restrict growth;
- assess civil monetary penalties;
- remove officers and directors; and
- terminate deposit insurance.

The FDIC may terminate a depository institution's deposit insurance upon a finding that the institution's financial condition is unsafe or unsound or that the institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices or has violated any applicable rule, regulation, order or condition enacted or imposed by the institution's regulatory agency. Engaging in unsafe or unsound practices or failing to comply with applicable laws, regulations and supervisory agreements could subject the Company, and subsidiaries of the Company (including BankUnited), or their officers, directors and institution-affiliated parties to the remedies described above and other sanctions.

Approval Orders

On May 21, 2009, we received approvals from the OTS and FDIC for the organization of BankUnited as a federal thrift, for the Company to become a SLHC, and for BankUnited to obtain federal deposit insurance. Those approval orders contained conditions related to the conduct of our business. Those conditions include, among other things, the following requirements:

during our first three years of operation, BankUnited must maintain a tier 1 capital to adjusted total assets leverage ratio at not less than eight percent; and

during our first three years of operation, we must operate within the parameters of our business plan and obtain prior written regulatory consent to any material change in our business plan.

On February 13, 2012, we received approval of the Federal Reserve Board to become a BHC. In connection with that approval, we committed that within a period of two years of becoming a BHC, or by February 29, 2014, we would conform our nonbanking activities to those permissible for a BHC under the BHC Act. In addition, we committed to adding another independent member to our board of directors within

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

18 months of becoming a BHC, or by the end of August 2013.

On February 14, 2012, we received approval of the OCC to convert BankUnited to a national bank. In connection with the conversion, BankUnited, NA will enter into an operating agreement with the OCC. Under that agreement, BankUnited, NA will be required to, among other things:

adopt a comprehensive 3-year business and capital plan acceptable to the OCC;

operate within the parameters of the plan and obtain prior written non-objection from the OCC for any material change or significant deviation from the plan; and

Table of Contents

at all times continue to maintain a Tier 1 capital to adjusted total assets leverage ratio of not less than eight percent.

The Dodd-Frank Act

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, or the Dodd-Frank Act, was signed into law. The Dodd-Frank Act is having a broad impact on the financial services industry, and imposes significant regulatory and compliance requirements, including the designation of certain financial companies as systemically important financial companies, or SIFIs, the imposition of increased capital, leverage, and liquidity requirements, and numerous other provisions designed to improve supervision and oversight of, and strengthen safety and soundness within, the financial services sector. Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act establishes a new framework of authority to conduct systemic risk oversight within the financial system to be distributed among new and existing federal regulatory agencies, including the Financial Stability Oversight Council, or Council, the Federal Reserve Board, the OCC, and the FDIC.

The following items provide a brief description of certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act that are most relevant to the Company and its banking subsidiaries.

Principal changes for federal thrifts and SLHCs. The Dodd-Frank Act preserves the charter for federal thrifts, but eliminates the OTS as the primary federal regulator for federal thrifts and SLHCs. The functions of the OTS were allocated among the OCC, FDIC, and the Federal Reserve Board on July 21, 2011. Primary jurisdiction for the supervision and regulation of federal thrifts, including BankUnited, was transferred to the OCC; supervision and regulation of SLHCs, including the Company, was transferred to the Federal Reserve Board. Although the Dodd-Frank Act maintains the federal thrift charter, it eliminates certain benefits of the charter and imposes new penalties for failure to comply with the qualified thrift lender, or QTL test. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, the risk-based and leverage capital standards currently applicable to U.S. insured depository institutions will be imposed on U.S. BHCs and SLHCs, and depository institutions and their holding companies will be subject to minimum risk-based and leverage capital requirements on a consolidated basis. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act requires that SLHCs be well-capitalized and well managed in the same manner as BHCs in order to engage in the expanded financial activities permissible only for a financial holding company.

Source of strength. The Dodd-Frank Act requires all companies, including SLHCs and BHCs, that directly or indirectly control an insured depository institution to serve as a source of strength for the institution. Under this requirement, the Company in the future could be required to provide financial assistance to its insured depository institution subsidiaries should they experience financial distress.

Limitation on federal preemption. The Dodd-Frank Act significantly reduces the ability of national banks and federal thrifts to rely on federal preemption of state consumer financial laws. Although the OCC, as the new primary regulator of federal thrifts, will have the ability to make preemption determinations where certain conditions are met, the broad rollback of federal preemption has the potential to create a patchwork of federal and state compliance obligations. This could, in turn, result in significant new regulatory requirements applicable to BankUnited, with potentially significant changes in our operations and increases in our compliance costs. It could also result in uncertainty concerning compliance, with attendant regulatory and litigation risks.

Mortgage loan origination and risk retention. The Dodd-Frank Act contains additional regulatory requirements that may affect our operations and result in increased compliance costs. For example, the Dodd-Frank Act imposes new standards for mortgage loan originations on all lenders, including banking organizations, in an effort to require steps to verify a borrower's

Table of Contents

ability to repay. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act generally requires lenders or securitizers to retain an economic interest in the credit risk relating to loans the lender sells or mortgage and other asset-backed securities that the securitizer issues. The risk retention requirement generally will be 5%, but could be increased or decreased by regulation.

Imposition of restrictions on certain activities. The Dodd-Frank Act imposes a new regulatory structure on the over-the-counter derivatives market, including requirements for clearing, exchange trading, capital, margin, reporting, and record keeping. In addition, certain swaps and other derivatives activities are required to be "pushed out" of insured depository institutions and conducted in separately capitalized non-bank affiliates. The Dodd-Frank Act also will require certain persons to register as a "major swap participant" or a "swap dealer." The U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission, the SEC and other U.S. regulators are in the process of adopting regulations to implement the Dodd-Frank Act. It is anticipated that this rulemaking process will further clarify, among other things, reporting and recordkeeping obligations, margin and capital requirements, the scope of registration requirements, and what swaps are required to be centrally cleared and exchange-traded. Rules will also be issued to enhance the oversight of clearing and trading entities. These restrictions may affect our ability to manage certain risks in our business.

Expanded FDIC resolution authority. While insured depository institutions have long been subject to the FDIC's resolution process, the Dodd-Frank Act creates a new mechanism for the FDIC to conduct the orderly liquidation of certain "covered financial companies," including bank and thrift holding companies and systemically significant non-bank financial companies. Upon certain findings being made, the FDIC may be appointed receiver for a covered financial company, and would conduct an orderly liquidation of the entity. The FDIC liquidation process is modeled on the existing Federal Deposit Insurance Act, or FDIA bank resolution process, and generally gives the FDIC more discretion than in the traditional bankruptcy context. On July 6, 2011, the FDIC approved a final rule, which became effective on August 15, 2011, implementing the orderly liquidation authority.

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau ("CFPB"). The Dodd-Frank Act creates a new independent CFPB within the Federal Reserve Board. The CFPB is tasked with establishing and implementing rules and regulations under certain federal consumer protection laws with respect to the conduct of providers of certain consumer financial products and services. The CFPB has rulemaking authority over many of the statutes governing products and services offered to bank and thrift consumers. For banking organizations with assets of \$10 billion or more, the CFPB has exclusive rule making and examination, and primary enforcement authority under federal consumer financial law. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act permits states to adopt consumer protection laws and regulations that are stricter than those regulations promulgated by the CFPB. Compliance with any such new regulations would increase our cost of operations. On July 21, 2011, the CFPB assumed its authority to supervise and enforce existing consumer financial protection rules.

Deposit insurance. The Dodd-Frank Act makes permanent the general \$250,000 deposit insurance limit for insured deposits. The Dodd-Frank Act also extends until January 1, 2013, federal deposit coverage for the full net amount held by depositors in non-interest bearing transaction accounts. Amendments to the FDIA also revise the assessment base against which an insured depository institution's deposit insurance premiums paid to the deposit insurance fund, or DIF, of the FDIC will be calculated. Under the amendments, the assessment base will no longer be the institution's deposit base, but rather its average consolidated total assets less its average tangible equity. Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act makes changes to the minimum designated reserve ratio of the DIF, increasing the minimum from 1.15 percent to 1.35 percent of the estimated amount of total insured deposits, and eliminating the requirement that the FDIC pay dividends to depository institutions when the reserve ratio exceeds certain thresholds. Several of these provisions could increase the FDIC deposit insurance premiums paid by BankUnited and Herald.

Table of Contents

Transactions with affiliates and insiders. The Dodd-Frank Act generally enhances the restrictions on transactions with affiliates under Section 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, including an expansion of the definition of "covered transactions" and an increase in the amount of time for which collateral requirements regarding covered credit transactions must be satisfied. Insider transaction limitations are expanded through the strengthening of loan restrictions to insiders and the expansion of the types of transactions subject to the various limits, including derivatives transactions, repurchase agreements, reverse repurchase agreements and securities lending or borrowing transactions. Restrictions are also placed on certain asset sales to and from an insider to an institution, including requirements that such sales be on market terms and, in certain circumstances, approved by the institution's board of directors.

Enhanced lending limits. The Dodd-Frank Act strengthens the existing limits on a depository institution's credit exposure to one borrower.

Corporate governance. The Dodd-Frank Act addresses many investor protection, corporate governance and executive compensation matters that will affect most U.S. publicly traded companies, including the Company. The Dodd-Frank Act (1) grants stockholders of U.S. publicly traded companies an advisory vote on executive compensation; (2) enhances independence requirements for compensation committee members; (3) requires companies listed on national securities exchanges to adopt incentive-based compensation clawback policies for executive officers; and (4) provides the SEC with authority to adopt proxy access rules that would allow stockholders of publicly traded companies to nominate candidates for election as a director and have those nominees included in a company's proxy materials.

Many of the requirements of the Dodd-Frank Act will be implemented over time and most will be subject to regulations implemented over the course of several years. Given the uncertainty associated with the manner in which the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act will be implemented by the various regulatory agencies and through regulations, the full extent of the impact such requirements will have on our operations is unclear. The changes resulting from the Dodd-Frank Act may impact the profitability of our business activities, require changes to certain of our business practices, impose upon us more stringent capital, liquidity and leverage requirements or otherwise adversely affect our business. These changes may also require us to invest significant management attention and resources to evaluate and make any changes necessary to comply with new statutory and regulatory requirements.

Failure to comply with the new requirements may negatively impact our results of operations and financial condition.

Notice and Approval Requirements Related to Control

Banking laws impose notice, approval, and ongoing regulatory requirements on any stockholder or other party that seeks to acquire direct or indirect "control" of an FDIC-insured depository institution. These laws include the Savings and Loan Holding Company Act, the BHC Act and the Change in Bank Control Act. Among other things, these laws require regulatory filings by a stockholder or other party that seeks to acquire direct or indirect "control" of an FDIC-insured depository institution. The determination whether an investor "controls" a depository institution is based on all of the facts and circumstances surrounding the investment. As a general matter, a party is deemed to control a depository institution or other company if the party owns or controls 25% or more of any class of voting stock. Subject to rebuttal, a party may be presumed to control a depository institution or other company if the investor owns or controls 10% or more of any class of voting stock. Ownership by affiliated parties, or parties acting in concert, is typically aggregated for these purposes. If a party's ownership of the Company were to exceed certain thresholds, the investor could be deemed to "control" the Company for regulatory purposes. This could subject the investor to regulatory filings or other regulatory consequences.

Table of Contents

Permissible Activities and Investments

Banking laws generally restrict the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries from engaging in activities other than those determined by the Federal Reserve Board to be so closely related to banking as to be a proper incident thereto. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Financial Modernization Act of 1999, or "GLB Act," expanded the scope of permissible activities for a BHC that qualifies as a financial holding company. Under the regulations implementing the GLB Act, a financial holding company may engage in additional activities that are financial in nature or incidental or complementary to a financial activity. Those activities include, among other activities, certain insurance and securities activities. Qualifications for becoming a financial holding company include, among other things, meeting certain specified capital standards and achieving certain management ratings in examinations. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, SLHCs like the Company currently must be well-capitalized and well managed in the same manner as BHCs in order to engage in the expanded financial activities permissible only for a financial holding company.

In addition, as a general matter, the establishment or acquisition by the Company of a depository institution or, in certain cases, a non-bank entity, requires prior regulatory approval.

Regulatory Capital Requirements and Prompt Corrective Action

The regulators view capital levels as important indicators of an institution's financial soundness. As a general matter, FDIC-insured depository institutions and their holding companies are required to maintain minimum capital relative to the amount and types of assets they hold. The final supervisory judgment on an institution's capital adequacy is based on the regulator's individualized assessment of numerous factors.

BankUnited is subject to various regulatory capital adequacy requirements. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act, or "FDICIA," requires that the federal regulatory agencies adopt regulations defining five capital tiers for depository institutions: well-capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary, actions by regulators that could have a direct material adverse effect on our financial condition.

The regulators have established quantitative measures that require that an FDIC-insured depository institution (such as BankUnited) to maintain minimum ratios of capital to risk-weighted assets. There are two main categories of capital under the guidelines. Tier 1 capital includes common equity holders' equity, qualifying preferred stock and trust preferred securities, less goodwill and certain other deductions (including a portion of servicing assets and the unrealized net gains and losses, after taxes, on securities available for sale). Tier 2 capital includes preferred stock not qualifying as Tier 1 capital, subordinated debt, the allowance for credit losses and net unrealized gains on marketable equity securities, subject to limitations by the guidelines. Tier 2 capital is limited to the amount of Tier 1 capital (i.e., at least half of the total capital must be in the form of tier 1 capital). Under the risk-based guidelines, capital is compared with the relative risk related to the balance sheet. To derive the risk included in the balance sheet, a risk weighting is applied to each balance sheet asset and off-balance sheet item, primarily based on the relative credit risk of the counterparty. For example, claims guaranteed by the U.S. government or one of its agencies are risk-weighted at 0% and certain real-estate related loans risk-weighted at 50%. Off-balance sheet items, such as loan commitments and derivatives, are also applied a risk weight after calculating balance sheet equivalent amounts.

In order to be deemed well-capitalized, FDIC-insured depository institutions (such as BankUnited) currently are required to (i) maintain a total risk-based capital ratio of 10% or greater, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6% or greater and a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 5% or greater (measured as Tier 1 capital to adjusted total assets) and (ii) not be subject to any written agreement, order, capital

Table of Contents

directive or prompt corrective action issued by its banking regulator(s) to meet and maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure. The regulators may set higher capital requirements for an individual institution when particular circumstances warrant. The OTS has required BankUnited to maintain a Tier 1 capital to adjusted total assets leverage ratio of not less than 8% for the first three years of its operation. In addition, under BankUnited, NA's operating agreement with the OCC, BankUnited, NA will be required continue to maintain this same 8% ratio. At December 31, 2011, BankUnited's Tier 1 leverage ratio was equal to 10.8%.

In July 2011, the OCC assumed the OTS's powers with respect to federal savings associations (like BankUnited currently), as well as rulemaking authority over all savings associations (except for the limited rulemaking authority transferred to the Federal Reserve Board). Although the federal banking agencies have substantially similar capital adequacy standards and utilize the same accounting standards, some differences in capital standards exist, such as the regulatory treatment of noncumulative perpetual preferred stock and the risk-weightings assigned to certain assets. The OCC also limits the amount of subordinated debt and intermediate-term preferred stock that may be treated as part of Tier 2 capital to 50% of Tier 1 capital, whereas the OTS did not prescribe such a restriction. Finally, the OCC recognizes an additional category, "Tier 3 capital," consisting of forms of unsecured, subordinated debt that can be allocated for market risk and is included in the total risk-based capital ratio numerator.

At this time, banking regulatory agencies are more inclined to impose higher capital requirements in order to meet well-capitalized standards, and future regulatory change could impose higher capital standards as a routine matter. The regulators may also set higher capital requirements for holding companies whose circumstances warrant it. For example, holding companies experiencing internal growth or making acquisitions are expected to maintain strong capital positions substantially above the minimum supervisory levels, without significant reliance on intangible assets.

As an additional means to identify problems in the financial management of depository institutions, the FDIA requires federal banking regulators to establish certain non-capital safety and soundness standards for institutions for which they are the primary federal regulator. The standards relate generally to operations and management, asset quality, interest rate exposure and executive compensation. The agencies are authorized to take action against institutions that fail to meet such standards.

SLHCs are not currently required to maintain specific minimum capital ratios. However, as a result of the Dodd-Frank Act, the risk-based and leverage capital standards currently applicable to U.S. insured depository institutions and U.S. BHCs will in the future become applicable to SLHCs. The Dodd-Frank Act generally authorizes the Federal Reserve Board to promulgate capital requirements for SLHCs, an action the Federal Reserve Board has indicated that it will take once it adopts consolidated capital standards under Basel III (described below).

Once the Company becomes a BHC, it must comply with the capital requirements imposed on BHCs. The Federal Reserve Board requires BHCs to maintain a minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio, Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio and total risk-based capital ratio. In addition, the Federal Reserve Board requires BHCs that engage in trading activities to adjust their risk-based capital ratios to take into consideration market risks that may result from movements in market prices of covered trading positions in trading accounts, or from foreign exchange or commodity positions, whether or not in trading accounts, including changes in interest rates, equity prices, foreign exchange rates or commodity prices. Any capital required to be maintained under these provisions may consist of Tier 3 capital. Also, the Federal Reserve Board considers a "tangible Tier 1 leverage ratio" (deducting all intangibles) and other indications of capital strength in evaluating proposals for expansion or engaging in new activities.

In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act further requires the federal banking agencies to adopt capital requirements which address the risks that the activities of an institution poses to the institution and the

Table of Contents

public and private stakeholders, including risks arising from certain enumerated activities. The federal banking agencies will likely change existing capital guidelines or adopt new capital guidelines in the future pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the implementation of Basel III (described below) or other regulatory or supervisory changes.

The FDIA requires federal banking regulators to take "prompt corrective action" with respect to FDIC-insured depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements. A depository institution's treatment for purposes of the prompt corrective action provisions will depend upon how its capital levels compare to various capital measures and certain other factors, as established by regulation. Under this system, the federal banking regulators have established five capital categories, well-capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized, in which all institutions are placed. The federal banking regulators have also specified by regulation the relevant capital levels for each of the other categories. Federal banking regulators are required to take various mandatory supervisory actions and are authorized to take other discretionary actions with respect to institutions in the three undercapitalized categories. The severity of the action depends upon the capital category in which the institution is placed. Generally, subject to a narrow exception, the banking regulator must appoint a receiver or conservator for an institution that is critically undercapitalized.

Basel, Basel II and Basel III Accords

The current risk-based capital guidelines that apply to BankUnited are based on the 1988 capital accord of the International Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, a committee of central banks and bank supervisors, as implemented by U.S. federal banking agencies. In 2008, federal banking agencies began to phase-in capital standards based on a second capital accord, referred to as Basel II, for large or "core" international banks (total assets of \$250 billion or more or consolidated foreign exposures of \$10 billion or more). Basel II emphasizes internal assessment of credit, market and operational risk, as well as supervisory assessment and market discipline in determining minimum capital requirements.

On September 12, 2010, the Group of Governors and Heads of Supervision, the oversight body of the Basel Committee, announced agreement on the calibration and phase-in arrangements for a strengthened set of capital requirements, known as Basel III. Basel III increases the minimum Tier 1 common equity ratio to 4.5%, net of regulatory deductions, and introduces a capital conservation buffer of an additional 2.5% of common equity to risk-weighted assets, raising the target minimum common equity ratio to 7%. Basel III increases the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio to 8.5% inclusive of the capital conservation buffer, increases the minimum total capital ratio to 10.5% inclusive of the capital buffer and introduces a countercyclical capital buffer of up to 2.5% of common equity or other fully loss absorbing capital for periods of excess credit growth. Basel III also introduces a non-risk adjusted Tier 1 leverage ratio of 3%, based on a measure of total exposure rather than total assets, and new liquidity standards. The Basel III capital and liquidity standards will be phased in over a multi-year period.

The final package of Basel III reforms was submitted to and endorsed by the Seoul G20 Leaders Summit in November, 2010. On December 16, 2010, the Basel Committee issued the text of the Basel III rules, which are now subject to individual adoption by member nations, including the United States. The federal banking agencies will likely implement changes to the capital adequacy standards applicable to the insured depository institutions and their holding companies in light of Basel III.

If adopted by federal banking agencies, Basel III could lead to higher capital requirements and more restrictive leverage and liquidity ratios. The ultimate impact of the new capital and liquidity standards on us and our bank subsidiary is currently being reviewed and will depend on a number of factors, including the rulemaking and implementation by the U.S. banking regulators. We cannot determine the ultimate effect that potential legislation, or subsequent regulations, if enacted, would

Table of Contents

have upon our earnings or financial position. In addition, significant questions remain as to how the capital and liquidity mandates of the Dodd-Frank Act will be integrated with the requirements of Basel III.

Qualified Thrift Lender Test

Federal banking laws require a thrift to meet the QTL test by maintaining at least 65% of its "portfolio assets" in certain "qualified thrift investments," such as residential housing related loans, certain consumer and small business loans and residential mortgage-backed securities, on a monthly average basis in at least nine months out of every twelve months. A thrift that fails the QTL test must either operate under certain restrictions on its activities or convert to a bank charter. The Dodd-Frank Act imposes additional restrictions on the ability of any thrift that fails to become or remain a QTL to pay dividends. Specifically, the thrift is not only subject to the general dividend restrictions as would apply to a national bank (as under prior law), but also is prohibited from paying dividends at all (regardless of its financial condition) unless required to meet the obligations of a company that controls the thrift, permissible for a national bank and specifically approved by the OCC and the Federal Reserve Board. In addition, violations of the QTL test now are treated as violations of federal banking laws subject to remedial enforcement action. At December 31, 2011, BankUnited was in compliance with the QTL test.

HOLA limits the amount of non-residential mortgage loans a federal savings association, such as BankUnited, may currently make. Separate from the QTL test, the law limits a federal savings association to a maximum of 20% of its total assets in commercial loans not secured by real estate; however, only 10% can be large commercial loans not secured by real estate (defined as loans in excess of \$2 million). Commercial loans secured by real estate can be made in an amount up to four times an institution's total capital. An institution can also have leases, in addition to the above items, up to 10% of its assets. Commercial paper, corporate bonds, and consumer loans taken together cannot exceed 35% of a savings association's assets. For this purpose, residential mortgage loans and credit card loans, however, are not considered consumer loans, and are both unlimited in amount. The foregoing limitations are established by statute, and cannot be waived by the OCC. At December 31, 2011, BankUnited was in compliance with these limits.

Upon its conversion to a national bank, BankUnited will no longer be subject to the QTL test or the HOLA limits on making non-residential mortgage loans.

Regulatory Limits on Dividends and Distributions

Federal law currently imposes limitations upon certain capital distributions by thrifts, such as certain cash dividends, payments to repurchase or otherwise acquire its shares, payments to stockholders of another institution in a cash-out merger and other distributions charged against capital. The Federal Reserve Board and OCC regulate all capital distributions by BankUnited directly or indirectly to us, including dividend payments. BankUnited currently must file an application to receive the approval of the Federal Reserve Board prior to making any proposed capital distribution. Upon BankUnited's conversion to a national bank, application to receive the approval of the Federal Reserve Board prior to making any proposed capital distribution will no longer be required.

BankUnited may not pay dividends to us if, after paying those dividends, it would fail to meet the required minimum levels under risk-based capital guidelines and the minimum leverage and tangible capital ratio requirements, or in the event the OCC notified BankUnited that it was in need of more than normal supervision. Under the FDIA, an insured depository institution such as BankUnited is prohibited from making capital distributions, including the payment of dividends, if, after making such distribution, the institution would become "undercapitalized." Payment of dividends by BankUnited also may be restricted at any time at the discretion of the appropriate regulator if it deems the

Table of Contents

payment to constitute an unsafe and unsound banking practice. Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act imposes additional restrictions on the ability of any thrift that fails to become or remain a QTL to pay dividends.

Under BankUnited, NA's operating agreement with the OCC, BankUnited, NA will not be permitted to declare or pay a dividend or reduce its capital unless it would maintain a Tier 1 capital to adjusted total assets leverage ratio of not less than 8% both before and after the paying the dividend or reducing its capital and otherwise be in compliance with its comprehensive business plan.

Reserve Requirements

Pursuant to regulations of the Federal Reserve Board, all banking organizations are required to maintain average daily reserves at mandated ratios against their transaction accounts. In addition, reserves must be maintained on certain non-personal time deposits. These reserves must be maintained in the form of vault cash or in an account at a Federal Reserve Bank.

Liability of Commonly Controlled Institutions

FDIC-insured depository institutions can be held liable for any loss incurred, or reasonably expected to be incurred, by the FDIC due to the default of an FDIC-insured depository institution controlled by the same company and for any assistance provided by the FDIC to an FDIC-insured depository institution that is in danger of default and that is controlled by the same company. "Default" means generally the appointment of a conservator or receiver for the institution. "In danger of default" means generally the existence of certain conditions indicating that a default is likely to occur in the absence of regulatory assistance.

The cross-guarantee liability for a loss at a commonly controlled institution is subordinated in right of payment to deposit liabilities, secured obligations, any other general or senior liability and any obligation subordinated to depositors or general creditors, other than obligations owed to any affiliate of the depository institution (with certain exceptions). After the Company acquires Herald and BankUnited converts to a national bank, BankUnited, NA and Herald will be commonly controlled by the Company.

Limits on Transactions with Affiliates and Insiders

Insured depository institutions are subject to restrictions on their ability to conduct transactions with affiliates and other related parties. Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act imposes quantitative limits, qualitative requirements, and collateral requirements on certain transactions by an insured depository institution with, or for the benefit of, its affiliates. Transactions covered by Section 23A include loans, extensions of credit, investment in securities issued by an affiliate, and acquisitions of assets from an affiliate. Section 23B of the Federal Reserve Act requires that most types of transactions by an insured depository institution with, or for the benefit of, an affiliate be on terms at least as favorable to the insured depository institution as if the transaction were conducted with an unaffiliated third party.

The Dodd-Frank Act generally enhances the restrictions on transactions with affiliates under Section 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, including an expansion of the definition of "covered transactions" and an increase in the amount of time for which collateral requirements regarding covered credit transactions must be satisfied. The ability of the Federal Reserve Board to grant exemptions from these restrictions is also narrowed by the Dodd-Frank Act, including by requiring coordination with other bank regulators.

Table of Contents

The Federal Reserve Board's Regulation O and OCC regulations impose restrictions and procedural requirements in connection with the extension of credit by an insured depository institution to directors, executive officers, principal stockholders and their related interests.

Examination Fees

The OCC currently charges fees to recover the costs of examining federal thrifts and national banks, processing applications and other filings, and covering direct and indirect expenses in regulating thrifts and national banks. The Dodd-Frank Act provides various agencies with the authority to assess additional supervision fees.

Deposit Insurance Assessments

FDIC-insured depository institutions are required to pay deposit insurance assessments to the FDIC. The amount of a particular institution's deposit insurance assessment is based on that institution's risk classification under an FDIC risk-based assessment system. An institution's risk classification is assigned based on its capital levels and the level of supervisory concern the institution poses to the regulators. Deposit insurance assessments fund the DIF, which is currently under-funded. The FDIC recently raised assessment rates to increase funding for the DIF.

The Dodd-Frank Act changes the way an insured depository institution's deposit insurance premiums are calculated. The assessment base will no longer be the institution's deposit base, but rather its average consolidated total assets less its average tangible equity. The Dodd-Frank Act also makes changes to the minimum designated reserve ratio of the DIF, increasing the minimum from 1.15 percent to 1.35 percent of the estimated amount of total insured deposits, eliminating the upper limit for the reserve ratio designated by the FDIC each year, and eliminating the requirement that the FDIC pay dividends to depository institutions when the reserve ratio exceeds certain thresholds.

Continued action by the FDIC to replenish the DIF as well as the changes contained in the Dodd-Frank Act may result in higher assessment rates, which could reduce our profitability or otherwise negatively impact our operations.

Depositor Preference

The FDIA provides that, in the event of the "liquidation or other resolution" of an insured depository institution, the claims of depositors of the institution (including the claims of the FDIC as subrogee of insured depositors) and certain claims for administrative expenses of the FDIC as a receiver will have priority over other general unsecured claims against the institution. If we invest in or acquire an insured depository institution that fails, insured and uninsured depositors, along with the FDIC, will have priority in payment ahead of unsecured, non-deposit creditors, including us, with respect to any extensions of credit they have made to such insured depository institution.

Federal Home Loan Bank System

BankUnited is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta, which is one of the twelve regional FHLB's composing the FHLB system. Each FHLB provides a central credit facility primarily for its member institutions as well as other entities involved in home mortgage lending. Any advances from a FHLB must be secured by specified types of collateral, and all long-term advances may be obtained only for the purpose of providing funds for residential housing finance. As a member of the FHLB of Atlanta, BankUnited is required to acquire and hold shares of capital stock in the FHLB of Atlanta. BankUnited has always been in compliance with this requirement with an investment in FHLB of Atlanta stock. BankUnited will continue its FHLB membership after converting to a national bank.

Table of Contents

Federal Reserve Bank System

Upon conversion of its charter to that of a national bank, BankUnited will be required to hold shares of capital stock in the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta.

Anti-Money Laundering and OFAC

Under federal law, financial institutions must maintain anti-money laundering programs that include established internal policies, procedures, and controls; a designated compliance officer; an ongoing employee training program; and testing of the program by an independent audit function. Financial institutions are also prohibited from entering into specified financial transactions and account relationships and must meet enhanced standards for due diligence and customer identification in their dealings with non-U.S. financial institutions and non-U.S. customers. Financial institutions must take reasonable steps to conduct enhanced scrutiny of account relationships to guard against money laundering and to report any suspicious transactions, and law enforcement authorities have been granted increased access to financial information maintained by financial institutions. Bank regulators routinely examine institutions for compliance with these obligations and they must consider an institution's compliance in connection with the regulatory review of applications, including applications for banking mergers and acquisitions. The regulatory authorities have imposed "cease and desist" orders and civil money penalty sanctions against institutions found to be violating these obligations.

The U.S. Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control, or "OFAC," is responsible for helping to insure that U.S. entities do not engage in transactions with certain prohibited parties, as defined by various Executive Orders and Acts of Congress. OFAC publishes lists of persons, organizations, and countries suspected of aiding, harboring or engaging in terrorist acts, known as Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons. If the Company or BankUnited finds a name on any transaction, account or wire transfer that is on an OFAC list, the Company or BankUnited must freeze or block such account or transaction, file a suspicious activity report and notify the appropriate authorities.

Consumer Laws and Regulations

Banking organizations are subject to numerous laws and regulations intended to protect consumers. These laws include, among others:

Truth in Lending Act;

Truth in Savings Act;

Electronic Funds Transfer Act;

Expedited Funds Availability Act;

Equal Credit Opportunity Act;

Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act;

Fair Housing Act;

Fair Credit Reporting Act;

Fair Debt Collection Act;

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act;

Home Mortgage Disclosure Act;

Right to Financial Privacy Act;

Table of Contents

Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act;

laws regarding unfair and deceptive acts and practices; and

usury laws.

Many states and local jurisdictions have consumer protection laws analogous, and in addition, to those listed above. These federal, state and local laws regulate the manner in which financial institutions deal with customers when taking deposits, making loans, or conducting other types of transactions. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations could give rise to regulatory sanctions, customer rescission rights, action by state and local attorneys general, and civil or criminal liability. The creation of the CFPB by the Dodd-Frank Act is likely to lead to enhanced and strengthened enforcement of consumer financial protection laws.

The Community Reinvestment Act

The Community Reinvestment Act, or "CRA," is intended to encourage banks to help meet the credit needs of their service areas, including low and moderate-income neighborhoods, consistent with safe and sound operations. The bank regulators examine and assign each bank a public CRA rating. The CRA then requires bank regulators to take into account the federal banking bank's record in meeting the needs of its service area when considering an application by a bank to establish or relocate a branch or to conduct certain mergers or acquisitions. The Federal Reserve Board is required to consider the CRA records of a BHC's controlled banks when considering an application by the BHC to acquire a banking organization or to merge with another BHC. When the Company or BankUnited applies for regulatory approval to make certain investments, the regulators will consider the CRA record of target institutions and the Company's depository institution subsidiaries. An unsatisfactory CRA record could substantially delay approval or result in denial of an application. The regulatory agency's assessment of the institution's record is made available to the public. Since the Acquisition, bank regulators have not conducted a CRA exam of BankUnited.

Changes in Laws, Regulations or Policies

Federal, state and local legislators and regulators regularly introduce measures or take actions that would modify the regulatory requirements applicable to banks, thrifts, their holding companies and other financial institutions. Changes in laws, regulations or regulatory policies could adversely affect the operating environment for the Company in substantial and unpredictable ways, increase our cost of doing business, impose new restrictions on the way in which we conduct our operations or add significant operational constraints that might impair our profitability. We cannot predict whether new legislation will be enacted and, if enacted, the effect that it, or any implementing regulations, would have on our business, financial condition or results of operations. The Dodd-Frank Act imposes substantial changes to the regulatory framework applicable to us and our subsidiaries. The majority of these changes will be implemented over time by various regulatory agencies. The full effect that these changes will have on us remains uncertain at this time and may have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Employees

At December 31, 2011, we employed 1,335 full-time employees and 30 part-time employees. None of our employees are parties to a collective bargaining agreement. We believe that our relations with our employees are good.

Table of Contents

Available Information

Our website address is www.bankunited.com. Our electronic filings with the SEC (including all Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and if applicable, amendments to those reports) are available free of charge on the website as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. The information posted on our website is not incorporated into this Annual Report. In addition, the SEC maintains a website that contains reports and other information filed with the SEC. The website can be accessed at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Risks Related to Our Business

Failure to comply with the terms of our Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC may result in significant losses.

In May 2009, we purchased substantially all of the assets and assumed all of the non-brokered deposits and substantially all other liabilities of the Failed Bank in an FDIC-assisted transaction, and presently a substantial portion of BankUnited's revenue is derived from such assets. The purchased loans, commitments, foreclosed assets and certain securities are covered by the Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC, which provide that a significant portion of the losses related to the covered assets will be borne by the FDIC. Under the Loss Sharing Agreements, we are obligated to comply with certain loan servicing standards, including requirements to participate in government-sponsored loan modification programs. As these standards evolve, we may experience difficulties in complying with the requirements of the Loss Sharing Agreements, which could result in covered assets losing some or all of their coverage. BankUnited is subject to audits with the terms of the Loss Sharing Agreements by the FDIC through its designated agent. The required terms of the agreements are extensive and failure to comply with any of the guidelines could result in a specific asset or group of assets losing their loss sharing coverage. See Item 1 "Business The Acquisition."

The geographic concentration of our markets in the coastal regions of Florida makes our business highly susceptible to local economic conditions and natural disasters.

Unlike larger financial institutions that are more geographically diversified, our branch offices are primarily concentrated in the coastal regions of Florida. Additionally, a significant portion of our loans secured by real estate are secured by commercial and residential properties in Florida. The Florida economy and our market in particular have been affected by the downturn in commercial and residential property values, and the decline in real estate values in Florida during the downturn has been higher than the national average. Additionally, the Florida economy relies heavily on tourism and seasonal residents, which have also been affected by recent market disruptions. Continued deterioration in economic conditions in the markets we serve or the occurrence of a natural disaster, such as a hurricane, or a man-made catastrophe, such as the Gulf of Mexico oil spill, could result in one or more of the following:

an increase in loan delinquencies;

an increase in problem assets and foreclosures;

a decrease in the demand for our products and services; or

a decrease in the value of collateral for loans, especially real estate, in turn reducing customers' borrowing power, the value of assets associated with problem loans and collateral coverage.

Hurricanes and other catastrophes to which our markets in the coastal regions of Florida are susceptible also can disrupt our operations, result in damage to our properties, reduce or destroy the

Table of Contents

value of collateral and negatively affect the local economies in which we operate, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

A decline in existing and new real estate sales decreases lending opportunities, may delay the collection of our cash flow from the Loss Sharing Agreements, and negatively affects our income. We do not anticipate that the real estate market will improve in the near-term and, accordingly, this could lead to additional valuation adjustments on our loan portfolios.

Delinquencies and defaults in residential mortgages continue to increase, creating a backlog in courts and an increase in the amount of legislative action that might restrict or delay our ability to foreclose and hence delay the collection of payments for single family residential loans under the Loss Sharing Agreements.

For the single family residential loans covered by the Loss Sharing Agreements, we cannot collect loss share payments until we liquidate the properties securing those loans. These loss share payments could be delayed by an extended foreclosure process, including delays resulting from a court backlog, local or national foreclosure moratoriums or other delays, and these delays could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Homeowner protection laws may also delay the initiation or completion of foreclosure proceedings on specified types of residential mortgage loans. Any such limitations are likely to cause delayed or reduced collections from mortgagors. Any restriction on our ability to foreclose on a loan, any requirement that we forgo a portion of the amount otherwise due on a loan or any requirement that we modify any original loan terms could negatively impact our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations.

Our loan portfolio has and will continue to be affected by the ongoing correction in residential and commercial real estate prices and reduced levels of residential and commercial real estate sales.

Soft residential and commercial real estate markets, higher delinquency and default rates, and increasingly volatile and constrained secondary credit markets have affected the mortgage industry generally, and Florida in particular, which is where our business is currently most heavily concentrated. Our financial results may be adversely affected by changes in real estate values. We make credit and reserve decisions based on the current conditions of borrowers or projects combined with our expectations for the future. If the slowdown in the real estate market continues, we could experience higher charge-offs and delinquencies beyond that which is provided in the allowance for loan and lease losses. Although we have the Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC, these agreements do not cover 100% of the losses attributable to covered assets. In addition, the Loss Sharing Agreements will not mitigate any losses on our non-covered assets and our earnings could be adversely affected through a higher than anticipated provision for loan losses on such assets.

Our business is highly susceptible to credit risk on our non-covered assets.

As a lender, we are exposed to the risk that our customers will be unable to repay their loans according to their terms and that the collateral securing the payment of their loans (if any) may not be sufficient to assure repayment. Similarly, we have credit risk embedded in our securities portfolio. Our credit standards, procedures and policies may not prevent us from incurring substantial credit losses, particularly in light of market developments in recent years. Recent economic and market developments and the potential for continued economic disruption present considerable risks to us and it is difficult to determine the depth and duration of the economic and financial market problems and the many ways in which they may impact our business in general. The Loss Sharing Agreements only cover certain legacy assets, and credit losses on assets not covered by the Loss Sharing Agreements could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

Table of Contents

Our business is susceptible to interest rate risk.

Our earnings and cash flows depend to a great extent upon the level of our net interest income. The current low level of market interest limits our ability to add higher yielding assets to the balance sheet and may have a negative impact on our net interest income in the future. Changes in interest rates can increase or decrease our net interest income, because different types of assets and liabilities may react differently, and at different times, to market interest rate changes. Net interest income is the difference between the interest income we earn on loans, investments and other interest earning assets, and the interest we pay on interest bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. When interest bearing liabilities mature or reprice more quickly than interest earning assets in a period, an increase in interest rates could reduce net interest income. Similarly, when interest earning assets mature or reprice more quickly than interest bearing liabilities, falling interest rates could reduce net interest income. Additionally, an increase in interest rates may, among other things, reduce the demand for loans and our ability to originate loans and decrease loan repayment rates. A decrease in the general level of interest rates may affect us through, among other things, increased prepayments on our loan and mortgage-backed securities portfolios and increased competition for deposits. Accordingly, changes in the level of market interest rates affect our net yield on interest earning assets, loan origination volume, loan and mortgage-backed securities portfolios, and our overall results.

We attempt to manage our risk from changes in market interest rates by adjusting the rates, maturity, repricing, and balances of the different types of interest-earning assets and interest bearing liabilities; however, interest rate risk management techniques are not precise, and we may not be able to successfully manage our interest rate risk. As a result, a rapid increase or decrease in interest rates could have an adverse effect on our net interest margin and results of operations.

Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors beyond our control, including general economic conditions and policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies, particularly the Federal Reserve.

We depend on our executive officers and key personnel to continue the implementation of our long-term business strategy and could be harmed by the loss of their services.

We believe that our continued growth and future success will depend in large part on the skills of our senior management team. We believe our senior management team possesses valuable knowledge about and experience in the banking industry and that their knowledge and relationships would be very difficult to replicate. Although our senior management team has entered into employment agreements with us, they may not complete the term of their employment agreements or renew them upon expiration. Our success also depends on the experience of our branch managers and lending officers and on their relationships with the customers and communities they serve. The loss of service of one or more of our executive officers or key personnel, or the inability to recruit and retain qualified personnel in the future, could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition or operating results and the value of our common stock.

Our allowance for credit losses may not be adequate to cover actual credit losses.

We maintain an allowance for loan and lease losses that represents management's estimate of probable losses inherent in our credit portfolio. This estimate requires management to make certain assumptions and involves a high degree of judgment, particularly as our originated loan portfolio is not yet seasoned and has not yet developed an observable loss trend and covered loans that did not exhibit evidence of deterioration in credit quality at acquisition, or non-ACI loans, have limited delinquency statistics. Management considers numerous factors, including, but not limited to, internal risk ratings, loss forecasts, collateral values, geographic location, borrower FICO scores, delinquency rates, the

Table of Contents

proportion of non-performing and restructured loans in the loan portfolio, origination channels, product mix, underwriting practices, industry conditions, economic trends and net charge-off trends.

If management's assumptions and judgments prove to be incorrect, our current allowance may be insufficient and we may be required to increase our allowance for loan and lease losses. In addition, federal and state regulators periodically review our allowance for loan and lease losses and may require us to increase our provision for loan losses or recognize further loan charge-offs, based on judgments different than those of our management. Continued adverse economic conditions could make management's estimate even more complex and difficult to determine. Any increase in our allowance for loan and lease losses will result in a decrease in net income and capital and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. See Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Analysis of the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses."

We may not be able to find suitable acquisition candidates and may be unable to manage our growth due to acquisitions.

A key component of our growth strategy is to pursue acquisitions of complementary businesses. As consolidation of the banking industry continues, the competition for suitable acquisition candidates may increase. We compete with other banking companies for acquisition opportunities and there are a limited number of candidates that meet our acquisition criteria. Consequently, we may not be able to identify suitable candidates for acquisitions. If we are unable to locate suitable acquisition candidates willing to sell on terms acceptable to us, our net income could decline and we would be required to find other methods to grow our business.

Even if suitable candidates are identified and we succeed in consummating future acquisitions, acquisitions involve risks that the acquired business may not achieve anticipated revenue, earnings or cash flows. There may also be unforeseen liabilities relating to the acquired institution or arising out of the acquisition, asset quality problems of the acquired entity, difficulty operating in markets in which we have had no or only limited experience and other conditions not within our control, such as adverse personnel relations, loss of customers because of change in identity, and deterioration in local economic conditions.

In addition, the process of integrating acquired entities will divert significant management time and resources. We may not be able to integrate successfully or operate profitably any financial institutions we may acquire. We may experience disruption and incur unexpected expenses in integrating acquisitions. Any acquisitions we do make may not enhance our cash flows, business, financial condition, results of operations or prospects and may have an adverse effect on our results of operations, particularly during periods in which the acquisitions are being integrated into our operations.

We face significant competition from other financial institutions and financial services providers, which may decrease our growth or profits.

The primary market we serve is Florida. Consumer and commercial banking in Florida is highly competitive. Our market contains not only a large number of community and regional banks, but also a significant presence of the country's largest commercial banks. We compete with other state and national financial institutions located in Florida and adjoining states as well as savings and loan associations, savings banks and credit unions for deposits and loans. In addition, we compete with financial intermediaries, such as consumer finance companies, mortgage banking companies, insurance

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

companies, securities firms, mutual funds and several government agencies as well as major retailers, all actively engaged in providing various types of loans and other financial services.

The financial services industry could become even more competitive as a result of legislative, regulatory and technological changes and continued consolidation. Banks, securities firms and insurance companies can merge under the umbrella of a financial holding company, which can offer virtually any type of financial service, including banking, securities underwriting, insurance (both agency and underwriting) and merchant banking. Increased competition among financial services companies due to the recent consolidation of certain competing financial institutions may adversely affect our ability to market our products and services. Also, technology has lowered barriers to entry and made it possible for banks to compete in our market without a retail footprint by offering competitive rates, as well as non-banks to offer products and services traditionally provided by banks. Many of our competitors have fewer regulatory constraints and may have lower cost structures. Additionally, due to their size, many competitors may offer a broader range of products and services as well as better pricing for certain products and services than we can.

Our ability to compete successfully depends on a number of factors, including:

the ability to develop, maintain and build upon long-term customer relationships based on quality service, high ethical standards and safe and sound assets;

the ability to attract and retain qualified employees to operate our business effectively;

the ability to expand our market position;

the scope, relevance and pricing of products and services offered to meet customer needs and demands;

the rate at which we introduce new products and services relative to our competitors;

customer satisfaction with our level of service; and

industry and general economic trends.

Failure to perform in any of these areas could significantly weaken our competitive position, which could adversely affect our growth and profitability, which, in turn, could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Since we engage in lending secured by real estate and may be forced to foreclose on the collateral property and own the underlying real estate, we may be subject to the increased costs and risks associated with the ownership of real property, which could have an adverse effect on our business or results of operations.

A significant portion of our loan portfolio is secured by real property. During the ordinary course of business, we may foreclose on and take title to properties securing certain loans, in which case, we are exposed to the risks inherent in the ownership of real estate. The amount that we, as a mortgagee, may realize after a default is dependent upon factors outside of our control, including:

general or local economic conditions;

environmental cleanup liability;

neighborhood values;

interest rates;

real estate tax rates;

operating expenses of the mortgaged properties;

supply of and demand for rental units or properties;

Table of Contents

ability to obtain and maintain adequate occupancy of the properties;

zoning laws;

governmental rules, regulations and fiscal policies; and

hurricanes or other natural or man-made disasters.

Certain expenditures associated with the ownership of real estate, principally real estate taxes and maintenance costs, may also adversely affect our operating expenses.

We are dependent on our information technology and telecommunications systems and third-party servicers, and systems failures, interruptions or breaches of security could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our business is highly dependent on the successful and uninterrupted functioning of our information technology and telecommunications systems and third-party servicers. We outsource our major systems including our electronic funds transfer transaction processing, cash management and online banking services. We rely on these systems to process new and renewal loans, gather deposits, provide customer service, facilitate collections and share data across our organization. The failure of these systems, or the termination of a third-party software license or service agreement on which any of these systems is based, could interrupt our operations. Because our information technology and telecommunications systems interface with and depend on third-party systems, we could experience service denials if demand for such services exceeds capacity or such third-party systems fail or experience interruptions. If sustained or repeated, a system failure or service denial could result in a deterioration of our ability to process new and renewal loans, gather deposits and provide customer service, compromise our ability to operate effectively, damage our reputation, result in a loss of customer business, and/or subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, we provide our customers the ability to bank remotely, including online and over the telephone. The secure transmission of confidential information over the Internet and other remote channels is a critical element of remote banking. Our network could be vulnerable to unauthorized access, computer viruses, phishing schemes and other security breaches. We may be required to spend significant capital and other resources to protect against the threat of security breaches and computer viruses, or to alleviate problems caused by security breaches or viruses. To the extent that our activities or the activities of our customers involve the storage and transmission of confidential information, security breaches and viruses could expose us to claims, litigation and other possible liabilities. Any inability to prevent security breaches or computer viruses could also cause existing customers to lose confidence in our systems and could adversely affect our reputation and our ability to generate business.

Reputational risks could affect our results.

Our ability to originate and maintain accounts is highly dependent upon consumer and other external perceptions of our business practices. Adverse perceptions regarding our business practices could damage our reputation in both the customer and funding markets, leading to difficulties in generating and maintaining accounts as well as in financing them. Adverse developments with respect to the consumer or other external perceptions regarding the practices of our competitors, or our industry as a whole, may also adversely impact our reputation. In addition, adverse reputational impacts on third parties with whom we have important relationships may also adversely impact our reputation. Adverse reputational impacts or events may also increase our litigation risk. We carefully monitor internal and external developments for areas of potential reputational risk and have established governance structures to assist in evaluating such risks in our business practices and decisions.

Table of Contents

BankUnited Investment Services offers third-party products including mutual funds, annuities, life insurance, individual securities and other wealth management services which could experience significant declines in value subjecting us to reputational damage and litigation risk.

Through our subsidiary BankUnited Investment Services, we offer third-party products including mutual funds, annuities, life insurance, individual securities and other wealth management products and services. If these products do not generate competitive risk-adjusted returns that satisfy clients in a variety of asset classes, we will have difficulty maintaining existing business and attracting new business. Additionally, our investment services businesses involve the risk that clients or others may sue us, claiming that we have failed to perform under a contract or otherwise failed to carry out a duty owed to them. Our investment services businesses are particularly subject to this risk and this risk may be heightened during periods when credit, equity or other financial markets are deteriorating in value or are particularly volatile, or when clients or investors are experiencing losses. Significant declines in the performance of these third-party products could subject us to reputational damage and litigation risk.

Global economic conditions may adversely affect our business and results of operations.

There continues to be significant volatility and uncertainty in the global economy which has affected and may continue to affect the markets in which we operate. In particular, the current uncertainty in Europe, including concerns that certain European countries may default on payments due on their sovereign debt, and any resulting disruption may affect interest rates, consumer confidence levels and spending, bankruptcy and default rates, commercial and residential real estate values, and other factors. While we do not have direct exposure to European sovereign debt or the European credit markets, market disruptions in Europe could spread into markets in which we operate. A sustained weakness or weakening in business and economic conditions generally or specifically in the markets in which we do business could have adverse effects on our business including:

A decrease in the demand for loans and other products and services offered by us;

A decrease in the value of our assets; and

An increase in loan delinquencies and defaults.

If economic conditions worsen or remain volatile, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

Risks Relating to the Regulation of Our Industry

The enactment of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 may have a material effect on our operations.

On July 21, 2010, President Obama signed into law the Dodd-Frank Act, which imposes significant regulatory and compliance changes. The changes resulting from the Dodd-Frank Act may impact the profitability of our business activities, require changes to certain of our business practices, impose upon us more stringent capital, liquidity and leverage requirements or otherwise adversely affect our business. These changes may also require us to invest significant management attention and resources to evaluate and make any changes necessary to comply with new statutory and regulatory requirements. Failure to comply with the new requirements or with any future changes in laws or regulations may negatively impact our results of operations and financial condition. For a more detailed description of the Dodd-Frank Act, see Item 1 "Business Regulation and Supervision The Dodd-Frank Act."

Table of Contents

We operate in a highly regulated environment and the laws and regulations that govern our operations, corporate governance, executive compensation and accounting principles, or changes in them, or our failure to comply with them, may adversely affect us.

We are subject to extensive regulation, supervision, and legal requirements that govern almost all aspects of our operations. Intended to protect customers, depositors, the DIF, and the overall financial stability of the United States, these laws and regulations, among other matters, prescribe minimum capital requirements, impose limitations on the business activities in which we can engage, limit the dividend or distributions that BankUnited can pay to us, restrict the ability of institutions to guarantee our debt, and impose certain specific accounting requirements on us that may be more restrictive and may result in greater or earlier charges to earnings or reductions in our capital than generally accepted accounting principles. Compliance with laws and regulations can be difficult and costly, and changes to laws and regulations often impose additional compliance costs. Our failure to comply with these laws and regulations, even if the failure follows good faith effort or reflects a difference in interpretation, could subject us to restrictions on our business activities, fines and other penalties, any of which could adversely affect our results of operations, capital base and the price of our securities. Further, any new laws, rules and regulations could make compliance more difficult or expensive or otherwise adversely affect our business and financial condition.

The FDIC's restoration plan and the related increased assessment rate could adversely affect our earnings.

Market developments have significantly depleted the DIF and reduced the ratio of reserves to insured deposits. As a result of recent economic conditions and the enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act, the FDIC has increased the deposit insurance assessment rates and thus raised deposit premiums for insured depository institutions. If these increases are insufficient for the DIF to meet its funding requirements, further special assessments or increases in deposit insurance premiums may be required. We are generally unable to control the amount of premiums that we are required to pay for FDIC insurance. If there are additional bank or financial institution failures, we may be required to pay even higher FDIC premiums than the recently increased levels. Any future additional assessments, increases or required prepayments in FDIC insurance premiums may materially adversely affect results of operations.

Failure to comply with the business plan to be filed with the OCC could have an adverse effect on our ability to execute our business plan.

In conjunction with the conversion of its charter to that of a national bank, BankUnited is required to file a business plan with the OCC. Failure to comply with the business plan could subject the bank to regulatory actions that could impede our ability to execute our business strategy. The provisions of the business plan will restrict our ability to engage in business activities outside of those contemplated in the business plan without regulatory approval.

Federal banking agencies periodically conduct examinations of our business, including compliance with laws and regulations, and our failure to comply with any supervisory actions to which we are or become subject as a result of such examinations may adversely affect us.

Federal banking agencies, including the OCC and Federal Reserve Board, periodically conduct examinations of our business, including compliance with laws and regulations. If, as a result of an examination, a federal banking agency were to determine that the financial condition, capital resources, asset quality, earnings prospects, management, liquidity or other aspects of any of our operations had become unsatisfactory, or that the Company or its management was in violation of any law or regulation, it may take a number of different remedial actions as it deems appropriate. These actions include the power to enjoin "unsafe or unsound" practices, to require affirmative actions to correct any conditions resulting from any violation or practice, to issue an administrative order that can be

Table of Contents

judicially enforced, to direct an increase in BankUnited's capital, to restrict our growth, to assess civil monetary penalties against our officers or directors, to remove officers and directors and, if it is concluded that such conditions cannot be corrected or there is an imminent risk of loss to depositors, to terminate BankUnited's deposit insurance. If we become subject to such regulatory actions, our business, results of operations and reputation may be negatively impacted.

Many of our new activities and expansion plans require regulatory approvals, and failure to obtain them may restrict our growth.

We intend to complement and expand our business by pursuing strategic acquisitions of banks and other financial institutions. We must generally receive federal regulatory approval before we can acquire an institution or business. In determining whether to approve a proposed acquisition, federal banking regulators will consider, among other factors, the effect of the acquisition on the competition, our financial condition, and our future prospects. The regulators also review current and projected capital ratios and levels, the competence, experience, and integrity of management and its record of compliance with laws and regulations, the convenience and needs of the communities to be served (including the acquiring institution's record of compliance under the CRA) and the effectiveness of the acquiring institution in combating money laundering activities. Such regulatory approvals may not be granted on terms that are acceptable to us, or at all. We may also be required to sell branches as a condition to receiving regulatory approval, which condition may not be acceptable to us or, if acceptable to us, may reduce the benefit of any acquisition.

In addition to the acquisition of existing financial institutions, as opportunities arise, we plan to continue *de novo* branching as a part of our internal growth strategy and possibly enter into new markets through *de novo* branching. *De novo* branching and any acquisition carries with it numerous risks, including the inability to obtain all required regulatory approvals. The failure to obtain these regulatory approvals for potential future strategic acquisitions and *de novo* branches may impact our business plans and restrict our growth.

Financial institutions, such as BankUnited, face a risk of noncompliance and enforcement action with the Bank Secrecy Act and other anti-money laundering statutes and regulations.

The federal Bank Secrecy Act, the USA PATRIOT Act, and other laws and regulations require financial institutions, among other duties, to institute and maintain an effective anti-money laundering program and file suspicious activity and currency transaction reports as appropriate. The federal Financial Crimes Enforcement Network, established by the U.S. Treasury Department to administer the Bank Secrecy Act, is authorized to impose significant civil money penalties for violations of those requirements, and has recently engaged in coordinated enforcement efforts with the individual federal banking regulators, as well as the U.S. Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration, and Internal Revenue Service. There is also increased scrutiny of compliance with the sanctions programs and rules administered and enforced by the U.S. Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control.

In order to comply with regulations, guidelines and examination procedures in this area, we have enhanced our anti-money laundering program by adopting new policies and procedures and selecting a new, robust automated anti-money laundering software solution that was implemented in 2011. If our policies, procedures and systems are deemed deficient or the policies, procedures and systems of the financial institutions that we have already acquired or may acquire in the future are deficient, we would be subject to liability, including fines and regulatory actions such as restrictions on our ability to pay dividends and the necessity to obtain regulatory approvals to proceed with certain aspects of our business plan, including our acquisition plans.

Table of Contents

We are subject to the CRA and fair lending laws, and failure to comply with these laws could lead to material penalties.

The CRA, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Fair Housing Act and other fair lending laws and regulations impose nondiscriminatory lending requirements on financial institutions. The Department of Justice and other federal agencies are responsible for enforcing these laws and regulations. A successful challenge to an institution's performance under the CRA or fair lending laws and regulations could result in a wide variety of sanctions, including the required payment of damages and civil money penalties, injunctive relief, imposition of restrictions on mergers and acquisitions activity, and restrictions on expansion activity. Private parties may also have the ability to challenge an institution's performance under fair lending laws in private class action litigation.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

At December 31, 2011, we leased 120,672 square feet of office and operations space in Miami Lakes, Florida. This space includes our principal executive offices, operations center and a retail branch. We also dedicated approximately 2,100 square feet of this space to house BankUnited Investment Services. At December 31, 2011, we provided banking services at 95 branch locations in 15 Florida counties. Of the 95 branch properties, we leased 87 locations and owned 8 locations.

At December 31, 2011, we also leased 10,619 square feet of office and operations space in Hunt Valley, Maryland to house United Business Capital Lending, 5,488 square feet of office and operations space in Scottsdale, Arizona to house Pinnacle Public Finance, 18,061 square feet of office and future branch space in New York City, New York, and 7,886 square feet of office and operations space in Melville, New York.

We believe that our facilities are in good condition and are adequate to meet our operating needs for the foreseeable future.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company is involved as plaintiff or defendant in various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, based upon advice of legal counsel, the likelihood is remote that the impact of these proceedings, either individually or in the aggregate, would be material to the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities****Market Information and Holders of Record**

Shares of our common stock began trading on the NYSE under the symbol "BKU" on January 28, 2011. The last sale price of our common stock on the NYSE on February 24, 2012 was \$23.08 per share.

The following table shows the high and low sales prices for our common stock for the periods indicated, as reported by the NYSE:

| | 2011 | |
|-------------|----------|----------|
| | High | Low |
| 1st Quarter | \$ 29.90 | \$ 27.25 |
| 2nd Quarter | 29.54 | 26.10 |
| 3rd Quarter | 27.60 | 19.41 |
| 4th Quarter | 23.49 | 19.26 |

As of February 24, 2012, there were 284 stockholders of record of our common stock.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The information set forth under the caption "Equity Compensation Plan Information" in our definitive proxy statement for the Company's 2012 annual meeting of stockholders (the "Proxy Statement") is incorporated herein by reference.

Dividend Policy

The Company declared quarterly dividends of \$0.14 per share on its common stock in 2011, resulting in total dividends for 2011 of \$56.7 million or \$0.56 per share on an annualized basis. On October 28, 2010, we paid a quarterly dividend of \$14.0 million and an additional one-time special dividend of \$6.0 million. In December 2010, we declared a quarterly dividend of \$14.0 million, payable in January, 2011. Dividends from the Bank are the principal source of funds for the payment of dividends on our common stock. The Bank is subject to certain restrictions that may limit its ability to pay dividends to us. See Item 1 "Business Regulation and Supervision Regulatory Limits on Dividends and Distributions." During the period ended December 31, 2009, we did not pay a cash dividend to the holder of our common stock. The quarterly dividends on our common stock are subject to the discretion of our Board and dependent on, among other things, our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements, restrictions contained in financing instruments and other factors that our Board may deem relevant.

Table of Contents

Stock Performance Graph

The graph set forth below compares the cumulative total stockholder return on an initial investment of \$100 in our common stock between January 28, 2011 (the day shares of our common stock began trading) and December 31, 2011, with the comparative cumulative total return of such amount on the S&P 500 Index over the same period. Reinvestment of all dividends is assumed to have been made in our common stock. The graph assumes our closing sales price on January 28, 2011 of \$28.40 per share as the initial value of our common stock.

The comparisons shown in the graph below are based upon historical data. We caution that the stock price performance shown in the graph below is not necessarily indicative of, nor is it intended to forecast, the potential future performance of our common stock.

COMPARISON OF CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN

| Index | 01/28/11 | 01/31/11 | 02/28/11 | 03/31/11 | 04/30/11 | 05/31/11 | 06/30/11 | 07/31/11 | 08/31/11 | 09/30/11 | 10/31/11 | 11/30/11 | 12/31/11 |
|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| BankUnited, Inc. | 100.00 | 98.59 | 99.82 | 101.59 | 99.39 | 100.35 | 94.40 | 88.60 | 83.41 | 74.34 | 78.03 | 77.67 | 79.24 |
| S&P 500 | 100.00 | 100.77 | 103.99 | 103.88 | 106.84 | 105.39 | 103.47 | 101.25 | 95.50 | 88.65 | 98.19 | 97.70 | 98.53 |
| S&P Bank | 100.00 | 101.36 | 102.11 | 99.82 | 95.49 | 93.89 | 91.80 | 90.01 | 80.64 | 75.59 | 82.74 | 82.53 | 87.20 |

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

None.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data**

You should read the selected consolidated financial data set forth below in conjunction with "Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," and the audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes thereto included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. The selected consolidated financial data set forth below at December 31, 2011, and 2010, and for the years then ended and at December 31, 2009 and for the period then ended is derived from our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. The selected consolidated financial data set forth below at September 30, 2008, and 2007, for the period from October 1, 2008 to May 21, 2009 and for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2008, and 2007 has been derived from the consolidated financial statements of the Failed Bank.

Although we were incorporated on April 28, 2009, neither we nor the Bank had any substantive operations prior to the Acquisition on May 21, 2009. Results of operations of the Company for the post-Acquisition periods are not comparable to the results of operations of the Failed Bank for the pre-Acquisition periods. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Periods Presented" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Impact of Acquisition Accounting, ACI Loan Accounting and the Loss Sharing Agreements."

| | BankUnited, Inc. At December 31, | | | Failed Bank At September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| | (dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 303,742 | \$ 564,774 | \$ 356,215 | \$ 1,223,346 | \$ 512,885 |
| Investment securities available for sale, at fair value | 4,181,977 | 2,926,602 | 2,243,143 | 755,225 | 1,098,665 |
| Loans, net | 4,088,656 | 3,875,857 | 4,588,898 | 11,249,367 | 12,561,693 |
| FDIC indemnification asset | 2,049,151 | 2,667,401 | 3,279,165 | | |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets | 68,667 | 69,011 | 60,981 | 28,353 | 28,353 |
| Total assets | 11,322,038 | 10,869,560 | 11,129,961 | 14,088,591 | 15,107,310 |
| Deposits | 7,364,714 | 7,163,728 | 7,666,775 | 8,176,817 | 7,305,788 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 2,236,131 | 2,255,200 | 2,079,051 | 5,279,350 | 6,234,360 |
| Total liabilities | 9,786,758 | 9,616,052 | 10,035,701 | 13,689,821 | 13,904,508 |
| Total stockholder's equity | 1,535,280 | 1,253,508 | 1,094,260 | 398,770 | 1,202,802 |

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| | BankUnited, Inc. | | | Failed Bank | | |
|--|---|--------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|
| | Year Ended | Year Ended | Period from | Period from | September 30, | |
| | December 31, | December 31, | April 28, | October 1, 2008 | 2008 | 2007 |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 to | to May 21, | | |
| | | | December 31, | 2009(1) | | |
| | | | 2009(1) | | | |
| | (dollars in thousands, except share data) | | | | | |
| Consolidated Income Statement Data: | | | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 638,097 | \$ 557,688 | \$ 335,524 | \$ 339,068 | \$ 834,460 | \$ 957,897 |
| Interest expense | 138,937 | 168,200 | 83,856 | 333,392 | 555,594 | 604,558 |
| Net interest income | 499,160 | 389,488 | 251,668 | 5,676 | 278,866 | 353,339 |
| Provision for loan losses | 13,828 | 51,407 | 22,621 | 919,139 | 856,374 | 31,500 |
| Net interest income (loss) after provision for loan losses | 485,332 | 338,081 | 229,047 | (913,463) | (577,508) | 321,839 |
| Non-interest income (loss) | 163,217 | 297,779 | 253,636 | (81,431) | (128,859) | 28,367 |
| Non-interest expense | 455,805 | 323,320 | 283,262 | 238,403 | 246,480 | 185,634 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 192,744 | 312,540 | 199,421 | (1,233,297) | (952,847) | 164,572 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 129,576 | 127,805 | 80,375 | | (94,462) | 55,067 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 63,168 | \$ 184,735 | \$ 119,046 | \$ (1,233,297) | \$ (858,385) | \$ 109,505 |
| Share Data: | | | | | | |
| Earnings (loss) per common share, basic | \$ 0.63 | \$ 1.99 | \$ 1.29 | \$ (12,332,970) | \$ (8,583,850) | \$ 1,095,054 |
| Earnings (loss) per common share, diluted | \$ 0.62 | \$ 1.99 | \$ 1.29 | \$ (12,332,970) | \$ (8,583,850) | \$ 1,095,054 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.37 | \$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Other Data (unaudited): | | | | | | |
| Financial ratios | | | | | | |
| Return on average assets(2) | 0.58% | 1.65% | 1.69% | (14.26)% | (5.94)% | 0.78% |
| Return on average common equity(2) | 4.34% | 15.43% | 18.98% | (2041.04)% | (75.43)% | 10.04% |
| Yield on earning assets(2) | 7.85% | 7.23% | 7.42% | 3.91% | 5.91% | 6.96% |
| Cost of interest bearing liabilities(2) | 1.62% | 1.81% | 1.39% | 3.94% | 4.36% | 4.91% |
| Equity to assets ratio | 13.56% | 11.53% | 9.83% | (7.25)% | 2.83% | 7.96% |
| Interest rate spread(2) | 6.23% | 5.42% | 6.03% | (0.03)% | 1.55% | 2.05% |
| Net interest margin(2) | 6.14% | 5.05% | 5.58% | 0.06% | 1.98% | 2.57% |
| Loan to deposit ratio(5) | 56.17% | 54.92% | 60.15% | 128.73% | 146.33% | 172.74% |
| Asset quality ratios | | | | | | |
| Non-performing loans to total loans(3)(5) | 0.70% | 0.66% | 0.38% | 24.58% | 11.98% | 1.59% |
| Non-performing assets to total assets(4) | 1.35% | 2.14% | 1.24% | 23.53% | 11.13% | 1.51% |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to total loans | 1.17% | 1.48% | 0.49% | 11.14% | 5.98% | 0.46% |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to non-performing loans(3) | 167.59% | 226.35% | 130.22% | 45.33% | 49.96% | 29.15% |
| Net charge-offs to average loans(2) | 0.62% | 0.37% | 0.00% | 5.51% | 1.58% | 0.08% |

Table of Contents

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009(1) | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|--------|--------|---------|-------|--------|
| Capital ratios(6) | | | | | |
| Tier 1 common capital to total risk weighted assets | 34.59% | 41.30% | 40.42% | 4.90% | 14.64% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital | 34.59% | 41.30% | 40.42% | 4.90% | 14.64% |
| Total risk-based capital | 35.86% | 42.04% | 40.55% | 6.21% | 15.37% |
| Tier 1 leverage | 10.77% | 10.34% | 8.78% | 2.89% | 7.84% |

- (1) The Company was incorporated on April 28, 2009, but neither the Company nor the Bank had any substantive operations prior to the Acquisition on May 21, 2009. The period from May 22, 2009 to December 31, 2009 contained 224 days. The period from October 1, 2008 to May 21, 2009 contained 233 days.
- (2) Ratio is annualized for the period from October 1, 2008 to May 21, 2009 and for the period from May 22, 2009 to December 31, 2009. See note 1 above.
- (3) We define non-performing loans to include nonaccrual loans, loans, other than ACI loans, that are past due 90 days or more and still accruing and certain loans modified in troubled debt restructurings. Contractually delinquent ACI loans on which interest continues to be accreted are excluded from non-performing loans. The carrying value of ACI loans contractually delinquent by more than 90 days, but not identified as non-performing was \$361.2 million and \$717.7 million at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.
- (4) Non-performing assets include non performing loans and OREO.
- (5) Total loans is net of unearned discounts and deferred fees and costs.
- (6) All capital ratios presented are ratios of the Bank.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis is intended to assist readers in understanding the consolidated financial condition and results of operations of BankUnited, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the "Company", "we", "us" and "our") and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements, accompanying footnotes and supplemental financial data included herein. In addition to historical information, this discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions that could cause actual results to differ materially from management's expectations. Factors that could cause such differences are discussed in the sections entitled "Forward-Looking Statements" and "Risk Factors." We assume no obligation to update any of these forward-looking statements.

Overview

Performance Highlights

In measuring our financial performance, we evaluate the level of and trends in net interest income, the net interest margin and interest rate spread, the allowance and provision for loan losses, performance ratios such as the return on average assets and return on average equity, asset quality ratios including the ratio of non-performing loans to total loans, non-performing assets to total assets, and portfolio delinquency and charge-off trends. We consider growth in the loan portfolio and trends in deposit mix. We analyze these ratios and trends against our own historical performance, our budgeted performance and the financial condition and performance of comparable financial institutions in our region and nationally.

Performance highlights include:

The completion of the IPO of 33,350,000 shares of our common stock in February, 2011 at \$27 per share. BankUnited, Inc. sold 4,000,000 shares while selling stockholders sold 29,350,000 shares. We received proceeds of \$98.6 million from the offering, net of underwriting discounts and \$4.0 million of direct stock issuance costs.

Equity based compensation expense of \$110.4 million recorded in conjunction with the IPO had a significant impact on net income for 2011. This expense was not deductible for income tax purposes. See Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements and the section entitled "Non-Interest Expense" below for further discussion of this expense.

Net interest income for 2011 was \$499.2 million, an increase of \$109.7 million over the prior year. The net interest margin increased to 6.14% from 5.05% for 2010. The primary drivers of improvement in the net interest margin were (i) an increase in the average yield on loans resulting primarily from improvements in actual and expected cash flows from loans acquired with evidence of deterioration in credit quality since origination, which we refer to as ACI loans, and (ii) a decrease in the average rate paid on deposits resulting from declines in market interest rates and a continued shift in deposit mix away from time deposits and into demand, savings and money market accounts. The following chart provides a comparison of net interest

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

margin, the interest rate spread, the average yield on interest earning assets and the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

We experienced strong loan portfolio growth. Loans originated or purchased by us since the Acquisition, which we refer to as "new loans," increased by \$1.2 billion in 2011. New loan growth in 2011 outpaced the resolution of covered loans, resulting in net growth in the total loan portfolio. Most of the new loan growth was in the commercial portfolio segment, commensurate with our business strategy, which emphasizes commercial lending. The following charts compare the composition of our loan portfolio at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

Time deposits decreased as a percentage of total deposits. The following charts illustrate the composition of deposits outstanding at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

Asset quality remains strong. At December 31, 2011, 98% of the new commercial loan portfolio was rated "pass" and 97% of the new residential portfolio was current. Credit risk related to the covered loans is significantly mitigated by the Loss Sharing Agreements.

Table of Contents

The Bank's capital ratios exceed all regulatory "well capitalized" guidelines. The charts below present BankUnited's regulatory capital ratios compared to regulatory guidelines as of December 31, 2011 and 2010:

In February, 2012, we received regulatory approvals from the OCC and the Federal Reserve Bank for the acquisition of Herald, a national banking association based in the New York metropolitan area. Herald had total assets of approximately \$524 million as of December 31, 2011. The acquisition of Herald will ultimately allow the Company to begin to expand its footprint into the New York market. We anticipate closing the Herald acquisition on February 29, 2012. Herald will be operated as a separate subsidiary of BankUnited, Inc. until August of 2012, at which time we plan to merge Herald into BankUnited.

Opportunities and Challenges

Management has identified significant opportunities for our Company, including:

Our capital position, market presence and experienced lending team position us well to compete for high quality commercial credits in our primary market areas. In 2012, we intend to deploy excess liquidity into loans through expansion of our existing product lines and the introduction of new lending platforms including indirect auto and floor plan loans and an expanded leasing product line. We will continue our existing efforts to favorably resolve covered loans.

Organic growth through planned expansion of our branch footprint in Florida and in the New York area through the Herald acquisition.

Potential growth through strategic acquisitions of healthy financial institutions and complementary businesses and participation in the resolution of failed and troubled institutions in the Southeast and New York.

The potential to further shift our deposit mix from time deposits into lower cost money market and transaction accounts.

The continued enhancement of our technology platforms will enable us to expand product offerings to our customers and increase operational efficiency.

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

We have also identified significant challenges confronting the industry and our Company:

The economic impact of the financial crisis continued into 2011 and is expected to continue into 2012. Florida home prices continued to decline in 2011, as evidenced by a 6.2% decline in the

Table of Contents

Case-Shiller home price index for the state of Florida over the course of the year. The unemployment rate in Florida remained high, at 9.9% as of December 2011. These continued distressed economic conditions may lead to elevated levels of non-performing assets and deterioration in the credit quality of our loan portfolio and may impact our ability to continue to favorably resolve covered loans.

Economic conditions in our primary market may serve to limit loan demand, which will impact our ability to add higher yielding assets to the balance sheet and put pressure on our net interest margin.

The current low interest rate environment is likely to put pressure on our net interest margin, particularly as higher-yielding covered assets are liquidated or mature and are replaced with assets purchased or originated at current market rates of interest.

Management expects that the Company and the banking industry as a whole may be required by market forces and/or regulation to operate with higher capital ratios than in the recent past.

Uncertainty about the full impact of new regulation, including the Dodd-Frank Act, may present challenges in the execution of our business strategy and the management of non-interest expense. For additional discussion, see Item 1 "Business Regulation and Supervision."

The full integration of Herald into BankUnited in August 2012.

Periods Presented

Financial information presented throughout this "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period from May 22, 2009 through December 31, 2009 (which we refer to as the post-Acquisition periods) is that of the Company. Historical financial information for the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009 and the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 (which we refer to as the pre-Acquisition periods) is that of the Failed Bank. Results of operations of the Company for the post-Acquisition periods are not comparable to the results of operations of the Failed Bank for the pre-Acquisition period. Results of operations for the post-Acquisition periods reflect, among other things, the application of the acquisition method of accounting, the application of Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") section 310-30, "Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality" to ACI loans, and the impact of the provisions of the Loss Sharing Agreements on certain transactions in the covered assets.

Impact of Acquisition Accounting, ACI Loan Accounting and the Loss Sharing Agreements

The application of acquisition accounting, ACI loan accounting and the provisions of the Loss Sharing Agreements have had a material impact on our financial condition and results of operations in the post-Acquisition periods. The more significant ways in which our financial statements have been impacted are summarized below and discussed in more detail throughout this "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations":

Under the acquisition method of accounting, all of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed were initially recorded on the consolidated balance sheet at their estimated fair values as of May 21, 2009. These estimated fair values differed materially from the carrying amounts of many of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as reflected in the financial statements of the Failed Bank immediately prior to the Acquisition. In particular, the carrying amount of investment securities, loans, the FDIC indemnification asset, goodwill and other intangible assets, net deferred tax assets, deposit liabilities, and FHLB advances were materially impacted by these adjustments. The impact of acquisition accounting fair value adjustments on individual line items in the consolidated balance sheet at Acquisition is detailed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements;

Table of Contents

Interest income, interest expense and the net interest margin subsequent to the Acquisition reflect the impact of accretion of the fair value adjustments made to the carrying amounts of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities;

The estimated fair value at which the acquired loans were initially recorded by the Company was significantly less than the unpaid principal balances of the loans or the pre-Acquisition carrying value of those loans on the balance sheet of the Failed Bank. No allowance for loan and lease losses was recorded with respect to acquired loans at the Acquisition date. The write-down of loans to fair value in conjunction with the application of acquisition accounting and credit protection provided by the Loss Sharing Agreements results in a significantly lower impact on the results of operations related to the provision for loan losses subsequent to the Acquisition;

Acquired investment securities were recorded at their estimated fair values at the Acquisition date, significantly reducing the potential for other-than-temporary impairment charges in periods subsequent to the Acquisition for the acquired securities. Certain of the acquired investment securities are covered under the Loss Sharing Agreements. The impact on results of operations of any future other-than-temporary impairment charges related to covered securities would be significantly mitigated by indemnification by the FDIC;

An indemnification asset related to the Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC was recorded in conjunction with the Acquisition. Loans, OREO and certain investment securities, including certain private label mortgage-backed and non-investment grade securities acquired from the Failed Bank are covered by the Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC. The Loss Sharing Agreements afford the Company significant protection against future credit losses related to these assets;

Non-interest income for periods subsequent to the Acquisition includes the effects of accretion of discount on the indemnification asset;

Non-interest income for the post-Acquisition periods includes gains and losses associated with the resolution of covered assets and the related effect of indemnification under the terms of the Loss Sharing Agreements. The impact of gains or losses related to transactions in covered loans and other real estate owned is significantly mitigated by indemnification by the FDIC;

Certain loans that may have been reflected as nonaccrual loans in the financial statements of the Failed Bank are not categorized as non-performing assets due to the accounting treatment accorded such loans under ASC Subtopic 310-30. The balances of non-performing assets were significantly reduced by the adjustments to fair value recorded in conjunction with the Acquisition; and

Goodwill and other intangible assets were recorded in conjunction with the Acquisition.

These factors also impact the comparability of our financial performance to that of other financial institutions.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and follow general practices within the banking industry. Application of these principles requires management to make complex and subjective estimates and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under current circumstances. These assumptions form the basis for our judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily available from independent, objective sources. We

Table of Contents

evaluate our estimates on an ongoing basis. Use of alternative assumptions may have resulted in significantly different estimates. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

Accounting policies are an integral part of our financial statements. A thorough understanding of these accounting policies is essential when reviewing our reported results of operations and our financial position. We believe that the critical accounting policies and estimates discussed below involve additional management judgment due to the complexity, subjectivity and sensitivity involved in their application.

Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements contains a further discussion of our significant accounting policies.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

The allowance for loan and lease losses ("ALLL") represents management's estimate of probable loan losses inherent in the Company's loan portfolio. Determining the amount of the ALLL is considered a critical accounting estimate because of its complexity and because it requires significant judgment and estimation. Estimates that are particularly susceptible to change that may have a material impact on the amount of the ALLL include:

the amount and timing of expected future cash flows from ACI loans and impaired loans;

the value of underlying collateral, which impacts loss severity and certain cash flow assumptions;

estimated losses based on risk characteristics and risk rating of loans; and

consideration of a variety of qualitative factors.

Note 1 of the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements describes the methodology used to determine the ALLL.

Accounting for Acquired Loans and the FDIC Indemnification Asset

A significant portion of the covered loans acquired on May 21, 2009 and covered by the Loss Sharing Agreements were ACI Loans. The accounting for ACI loans requires the Company to estimate the timing and amount of cash flows to be collected from these loans and to continually update estimates of the cash flows expected to be collected over the life of the loans. Similarly, the accounting for the FDIC indemnification asset requires the Company to estimate the timing and amount of cash flows to be received from the FDIC in reimbursement for losses and expenses related to the covered loans; these estimates are directly related to estimates of cash flows to be received from the covered loans. Estimated cash flows impact the rate of accretion on covered loans and the FDIC indemnification asset as well as the amount of any ALLL to be established related to the covered loans. These estimates are considered to be critical accounting estimates because they involve significant judgment and assumptions as to the amount and timing of cash flows to be collected.

Covered 1-4 single family residential and home equity loans were placed into homogenous pools at Acquisition; the ongoing credit quality and performance of these loans is monitored on a pool basis and expected cash flows are estimated on a pool basis. At Acquisition, the fair value of the pools was measured based on the expected cash flows to be derived from each pool. For ACI pools, the difference between total contractual payments due and the cash flows expected to be received at Acquisition was recognized as non-accretable difference. The excess of expected cash flows over the recorded fair value of each ACI pool at Acquisition is referred to as the accretable yield and is being recognized as interest income over the life of each pool.

We monitor the pools quarterly by updating our expected cash flows to determine whether any material changes have occurred in expected cash flows that would be indicative of impairment or

Table of Contents

necessitate reclassification between non-accretable difference and accretable yield. Initial and ongoing cash flow expectations incorporate significant assumptions regarding prepayment rates, the timing of resolution of loans, frequency of default, delinquency and loss severity, which is dependent on estimates of underlying collateral values. Changes in these assumptions could have a potentially material impact on the amount of the ALLL related to the covered loans as well as on the rate of accretion on these loans. Prepayment, delinquency and default curves used to forecast pool cash flows are derived from roll rates generated from the historical performance of the ACI residential loan portfolio observed over the immediately preceding four quarters. Generally, improvements in expected cash flows less than 1% of the expected cash flows from a pool are not recorded. This threshold is judgmentally determined and may be revised as we gain greater experience.

Generally, commercial loans are monitored and expected cash flows updated individually due to the size and other unique characteristics of these loans. The expected cash flows are estimated based on judgments and assumptions which include credit risk grades established in the Bank's ongoing credit review program, likelihood of default based on observations of specific loans during the credit review process as well as applicable industry data, loss severity based on updated evaluation of cash flows from available collateral, and the contractual terms of the underlying loan agreements. Changes in the assumptions that impact forecasted cash flows could result in a potentially material change to the amount of the ALLL or the rate of accretion on these loans.

The estimated cash flows from the FDIC indemnification asset are sensitive to changes in the same assumptions that impact expected cash flows on covered loans. Estimated cash flows impact the rate of accretion on the FDIC indemnification asset.

Other Real Estate Owned

Assets acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure are held for sale and are initially recorded at the fair value of the collateral at the date of foreclosure based on estimates, including some obtained from third parties, less estimated costs to sell, establishing a new cost basis. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management, and the assets are carried at the lower of cost or fair value, less estimated costs to sell. Significant property improvements that enhance the salability of the property are capitalized to the extent that the carrying value does not exceed estimated realizable value. Legal fees, maintenance and other direct costs of foreclosed properties are expensed as incurred. Given the large number of properties included in OREO, and the judgment involved in estimating fair value of the properties, accounting for OREO is regarded as a critical accounting policy. Estimates of value of OREO properties at the date of foreclosure are typically based on real estate appraisals performed by independent appraisers. In some cases, if an appraisal is not available, values may be based on brokers' price opinions. These values are generally updated as appraisals become available. Subsequently, values may be updated using Case-Shiller home price indices for the relevant Metropolitan Statistical Area.

Equity Based Compensation

Prior to the consummation of the IPO, the LLC had issued equity awards in the form of Profits Interest Units ("PIUs") to certain members of management. Compensation expense related to PIUs was based on the fair value of the underlying units on the date of the consolidated financial statements. At the time of the IPO, the PIUs were exchanged for a combination of vested and unvested shares and vested and unvested options. The fair value of PIUs and options issued in exchange for PIUs was estimated using a Black-Scholes option pricing model, which incorporated significant assumptions as to expected volatility, dividends, terms, risk free rates and, prior to the IPO, equity value per share. Changes in these underlying assumptions would have a potentially material effect on the values assigned to these instruments. Determining the fair value of the PIUs and the options issued in exchange for the PIUs is considered a critical accounting estimate because it requires significant

Table of Contents

judgments and the determination of fair value may be material to our consolidated financial statements. See "Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" and "Note 16, Equity Based Compensation and Other Benefit Plans" to our consolidated financial statements for further information about equity based compensation awards and the techniques used to value them.

Income Taxes

The Company is subject to the income tax laws of the United States and states and other jurisdictions where the Company conducts business. These laws are complex and subject to different interpretations by the taxpayer and the various taxing authorities. In determining the provision for income taxes, management must make judgments and estimates about the application of these laws and related regulations. In the process of preparing the Company's tax returns, management attempts to make reasonable interpretations of the tax laws. These interpretations are subject to challenge by the tax authorities upon audit or to re-interpretation based on management's ongoing assessment of facts and evolving case law. Given the judgment involved in various aspects of accounting for income taxes, this is considered a critical accounting estimate. See "Note 13 Income Taxes" of the notes to our consolidated financial statements for further information.

Fair Value Measurements

The Company measures certain of its assets and liabilities at fair value on a recurring or non-recurring basis. Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis include investment securities available for sale, derivative instruments and, for periods prior to the IPO, the liability for PIUs. Assets that may be measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis include OREO, impaired loans, loans held for sale and intangible assets. The consolidated financial statements also include disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments that are not recorded at fair value.

Fair value is the price that would be received on sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Inputs used to determine fair value measurements are prioritized into a three level hierarchy based on observability and transparency of the inputs, summarized as follows:

Level 1 observable inputs that reflect quoted prices in active markets,

Level 2 inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are based on observable market data, and

Level 3 unobservable inputs requiring significant management judgment or estimation.

When observable market inputs are not available, fair value is estimated using modeling techniques such as discounted cash flow analyses and option pricing models. These modeling techniques utilize assumptions that we believe market participants would use in pricing the asset or the liability.

Particularly for estimated fair values of assets and liabilities categorized within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, the selection of different valuation techniques or underlying assumptions could result in fair value estimates that are higher or lower than the amounts recorded or disclosed in our consolidated financial statements. Considerable judgment may be involved in determining the amount that is most representative of fair value.

Because of the degree of judgment involved in selecting valuation techniques and underlying assumptions, fair value measurements are considered a critical accounting estimate.

Notes 1, 4, and 19 to our consolidated financial statements contain further information about fair value estimates.

Table of Contents

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements for a discussion of recent accounting pronouncements.

Results of Operations for the Post-Acquisition Periods

The Company reported net income of \$63.2 million, \$184.7 million and \$119.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period from April 28, 2009 (date of inception) through December 31, 2009, respectively. Net income for the year ended December 31, 2011 was impacted by the \$110.4 million equity based compensation charge recorded in conjunction with the IPO. This expense was not deductible for income tax purposes.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the difference between interest earned on interest earning assets and interest incurred on interest bearing liabilities and is the primary driver of core earnings. Key measures that we use to evaluate our net interest income are the level and stability of the net interest margin and the interest rate spread. Net interest margin is calculated by dividing net interest income for the period by average interest earning assets. The interest rate spread is the difference between the yield earned on average interest earning assets and the rate paid on average interest bearing liabilities for the period.

Net interest income is impacted by the relative mix of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, the ratio of interest earning assets to total assets and of interest bearing liabilities to total funding sources, levels of and movements in market interest rates, levels of non-performing assets and pricing pressure from competitors. The mix of interest earning assets is influenced by loan demand and by management's continual assessment of the rate of return and relative risk associated with various classes of earning assets. The mix of interest bearing liabilities is influenced by management's assessment of the need for lower cost funding sources weighed against relationships with customers and growth requirements and is impacted by competition for deposits in the Bank's market and the availability and pricing of other sources of funds.

Net interest income is also impacted by accretion of fair value adjustments recorded in conjunction with the Acquisition and the accounting for ACI loans. At Acquisition, ACI loans were recorded at fair value, measured based on the present value of expected cash flows. The excess of expected cash flows over the recorded fair value at Acquisition, known as accretable yield, is being recognized as interest income over the lives of the underlying loans. Accretion related to ACI loans has a positive impact on our net interest income, net interest margin and interest rate spread. The impact of accretion related to ACI loans on net interest income is expected to decline in the future as ACI loans comprise a declining percentage of total loans. The proportion of total loans represented by ACI loans will decline as the ACI loans are resolved and new loans are added to the portfolio. ACI loans represented 50.8%, 76.3% and 87.4% of total loans, net of discount and deferred fees and costs, at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Payments received in excess of expected cash flows may result in a pool of ACI residential loans becoming fully amortized and its carrying value reduced to zero even though outstanding contractual balances remain related to loans in the pool. Once the carrying value of a pool is reduced to zero, any future proceeds from the remaining loans are recognized as interest income upon receipt. In late 2011, the carrying value of one pool was reduced to zero. Future expected cash flows from this pool total \$206.8 million. We expect that future proceeds from loans in this pool will result in an increase in interest income from the pool. To some extent, the increase in interest income will be offset by a reduction in non-interest income reported in the consolidated statement of income line item "Income

Table of Contents

from resolution of covered assets, net". The timing of receipt of proceeds from loans in this pool may be unpredictable, leading to increased volatility in the yield on the pool.

Fair value adjustments of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities recorded at Acquisition are accreted to interest income or expense over the lives of the related assets or liabilities. Generally, accretion of fair value adjustments increases interest income and decreases interest expense, and thus has a positive impact on our net interest income, net interest margin and interest rate spread. The impact of accretion of fair value adjustments on interest income and interest expense has declined each year since the Acquisition and will continue to decline as these assets and liabilities mature or are repaid and constitute a smaller portion of total interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities.

The impact of accretion and ACI loan accounting on net interest income makes it difficult to compare our net interest margin and interest rate spread to those reported by other financial institutions.

The following table presents, for the periods indicated, information about (i) average balances, the total dollar amount of interest income from earning assets and the resultant average yields; (ii) average balances, the total dollar amount of interest expense on interest bearing liabilities and the resultant average rates; (iii) net interest income; (iv) the interest rate spread; and (v) the net interest margin. Nonaccrual and restructured loans are included in the average balances presented in this table;

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

however, interest income foregone on nonaccrual loans is not included. Yields have been calculated on a pre-tax basis (*dollars in thousands*):

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | | | | | For the Period Ended December 31, | | |
|--|----------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | | | 2010 | | | 2009 | | |
| | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/Rate(1) |
| Assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest earning assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| Investment securities available for sale | \$ 3,654,137 | \$ 122,626 | 3.36% | \$ 2,891,493 | \$ 124,262 | 4.30% | \$ 959,554 | \$ 45,142 | 7.73% |
| Other interest earning assets | 628,782 | 2,743 | 0.44% | 640,506 | 1,958 | 0.31% | 1,719,417 | 2,922 | 0.28% |
| Loans | 3,848,837 | 512,728 | 13.32% | 4,181,062 | 431,468 | 10.32% | 4,754,739 | 287,460 | 9.92% |
| Total interest earning assets | 8,131,756 | 638,097 | 7.85% | 7,713,061 | 557,688 | 7.23% | 7,433,710 | 335,524 | 7.42% |
| Allowance for loan losses | (57,462) | | | (38,236) | | | (1,031) | | |
| Noninterest earning assets | 2,866,486 | | | 3,513,839 | | | 4,026,356 | | |
| Total assets | \$ 10,940,780 | | | \$ 11,188,664 | | | \$ 11,459,035 | | |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity: | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest bearing liabilities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest bearing deposits: | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest bearing demand | \$ 382,329 | \$ 2,499 | 0.65% | \$ 273,897 | \$ 1,981 | 0.72% | \$ 183,416 | \$ 891 | 0.79% |
| Savings and money market | 3,366,466 | 29,026 | 0.86% | 2,870,768 | 34,243 | 1.19% | 2,153,446 | 25,578 | 1.94% |
| Time | 2,585,201 | 44,248 | 1.71% | 3,889,961 | 72,120 | 1.85% | 5,506,320 | 31,360 | 0.93% |
| Total interest bearing deposits | 6,333,996 | 75,773 | 1.20% | 7,034,626 | 108,344 | 1.54% | 7,843,182 | 57,829 | 1.20% |
| Borrowings: | | | | | | | | | |
| FHLB advances | 2,246,068 | 63,158 | 2.81% | 2,244,601 | 59,784 | 2.66% | 1,974,755 | 26,026 | 2.15% |
| Short term borrowings | 1,333 | 6 | 0.48% | 7,812 | 72 | 0.92% | 2,091 | 1 | 0.02% |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | 8,581,397 | 138,937 | 1.62% | 9,287,039 | 168,200 | 1.81% | 9,820,028 | 83,856 | 1.39% |
| Non-interest bearing demand deposits | | | | | | | | | |
| | 622,377 | | | 440,673 | | | 303,810 | | |
| Other non-interest bearing liabilities | | | | | | | | | |
| | 282,416 | | | 263,789 | | | 313,399 | | |
| Total liabilities | 9,486,190 | | | 9,991,501 | | | 10,437,237 | | |
| Stockholders' equity | 1,454,590 | | | 1,197,163 | | | 1,021,798 | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 10,940,780 | | | \$ 11,188,664 | | | \$ 11,459,035 | | |
| Net interest income | | \$ 499,160 | | | \$ 389,488 | | | \$ 251,668 | |
| Interest rate spread | | | 6.23% | | | 5.42% | | | 6.03% |
| Net interest margin | | | 6.14% | | | 5.05% | | | 5.58% |

(1) Annualized.

Increases and decreases in interest income and interest expense result from changes in average balances (volume) of interest earning assets and liabilities, as well as changes in average interest rates. The comparison of total interest income and total interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2010 to the period ended December 31, 2009 is also impacted by the different number of days in the comparative periods. The following table shows the effect that these factors had on the interest earned on our interest earning assets and the interest incurred on our interest bearing liabilities for the periods indicated. The effect of changes in volume is determined by multiplying the change in volume by the previous period's average rate. Similarly, the effect of rate changes is calculated by multiplying the

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

change in average rate by the previous period's volume. Changes applicable to both volume and rate have been allocated to volume (*in thousands*):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | | Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Period Ended December 31, 2009 | | | |
|---|---|--------------------|---------------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Changes in Volume | Changes in Rate | Total Increase (Decrease) | Changes in Volume | Changes in Rate | Change due to Number of Days | Total Increase (Decrease) |
| Interest Income | | | | | | | |
| Attributable to: | | | | | | | |
| Investment securities available for sale | \$ 25,593 | \$ (27,229) | \$ (1,636) | \$ 61,613 | \$ (31,163) | \$ 48,670 | \$ 79,120 |
| Other interest earning assets | (51) | 836 | 785 | (2,215) | 495 | 756 | (964) |
| Loans | (44,258) | 125,518 | 81,260 | (43,983) | 18,999 | 168,992 | 144,008 |
| Total interest income | (18,716) | 99,125 | 80,409 | 15,415 | (11,669) | 218,418 | 222,164 |
| Interest Expense | | | | | | | |
| Attributable to: | | | | | | | |
| Interest bearing demand deposits | \$ 709 | \$ (191) | \$ 518 | \$ 450 | \$ (125) | \$ 765 | \$ 1,090 |
| Savings and money market deposits | 4,274 | (9,491) | (5,217) | 11,429 | (15,992) | 13,228 | 8,665 |
| Time deposits | (22,332) | (5,540) | (27,872) | (38,087) | 50,987 | 27,860 | 40,760 |
| Total interest bearing deposits | (17,349) | (15,222) | (32,571) | (26,208) | 34,870 | 41,853 | 50,515 |
| FHLB advances | 41 | 3,333 | 3,374 | 475 | 10,188 | 23,095 | 33,758 |
| Short term borrowings | (32) | (34) | (66) | 24 | 19 | 28 | 71 |
| Total interest expense | (17,340) | (11,923) | (29,263) | (25,709) | 45,077 | 64,976 | 84,344 |
| Increase (decrease) in net interest income | \$ (1,376) | \$ 111,048 | \$ 109,672 | \$ 41,124 | \$ (56,746) | \$ 153,442 | \$ 137,820 |

Year ended December 31, 2011 compared to year ended December 31, 2010

Net interest income increased to \$499.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$389.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, an increase of \$109.7 million. The increase was comprised of an increase in interest income of \$80.4 million coupled with a decline in interest expense of \$29.3 million.

The increase in interest income was primarily driven by an \$81.3 million increase in interest income from loans. The average yield on loans increased by 300 basis points, to 13.32% for the year ended December 31, 2011 from 10.32% for the year ended December 31, 2010, primarily because of an increase in the yield on loans acquired in the Acquisition to 16.00% for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 10.66% for the year ended December 31, 2010. This increase resulted from (i) covered loans being resolved at a faster rate than expected, resulting in higher accretion, (ii) improved default frequency and severity rates leading to an increase in expected cash flows, (iii) favorable resolutions of commercial ACI loans and (iv) to a lesser extent, recognition of all proceeds from resolution of loans in one residential pool with a carrying value of zero as interest income, as discussed above. The average yield on new loans declined to 4.84% for the year ended December 31, 2011 from 5.46% for the year ended December 31, 2010, primarily due to continued declines in market interest rates. New loans constituted 41.3% of loans, net of unearned discount and deferred fees and costs, at December 31, 2011 as compared to 13.7% at December 31, 2010. The overall increase in the average yield on loans was in part offset by a decrease of \$332.2 million in the average balance outstanding. The decrease in the average balance of loans resulted from paydowns and resolutions of covered loans, partially offset by growth in the new loan portfolio. The average balance of acquired loans declined to \$2.9 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$3.9 billion for the year ended December 31, 2010, while the average balance of new loans grew to \$923.8 million from \$274.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

Interest income from investment securities declined by \$1.6 million as a result of a decrease in the average yield to 3.36% from 4.30%, almost wholly offset by a \$762.6 million increase in the average balance. The decline in average yield is indicative of the addition of securities to the portfolio at lower prevailing market rates of interest.

The decline in interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 was primarily driven by a decrease of \$32.6 million in interest expense on deposits, partially offset by an increase of \$3.4 million in interest expense on FHLB advances. The average rate paid on interest bearing deposits declined by 34 basis points, to 1.20% from 1.54%. Three factors contributed to the decline in the average rate paid on deposits. A decrease in market rates of interest across all deposit product groups and continued runoff of higher cost time deposits were partially offset by a reduction in accretion of acquisition date fair value adjustments. Accretion of fair value adjustments on time deposits totaled \$7.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to \$21.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. Accretion continues to decrease as time deposits outstanding at the date of the Acquisition mature. The average rate paid on time deposits, exclusive of fair value accretion, declined to 1.98% for 2011 from 2.41% for 2010. A decline in the overall average balance of deposits also contributed to reduced interest expense. Consistent with our strategy of replacing more costly time deposits with lower cost deposits, the average balance of time deposits declined by \$1.3 billion while the average balance of interest bearing demand, savings and money market deposits increased by \$604.1 million. The increase in interest expense on FHLB advances was primarily attributable to a decrease of \$4.8 million in accretion of acquisition date fair value adjustments.

The net interest margin increased by 109 basis points to 6.14% for the year ended December 31, 2011 from 5.05% for the year ended December 31, 2010. Similarly, the interest rate spread increased by 81 basis points to 6.23% for 2011 from 5.42% for 2010. Increases in the net interest margin and interest rate spread were driven primarily by the increased yield on loans and the lower cost of interest bearing deposits discussed above.

Year ended December 31, 2010 compared to period from May 22, 2009 to December 31, 2009

Net interest income was \$389.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 and \$251.7 million for the period ended December 31, 2009, for an increase of \$137.8 million. The increase in net interest income was comprised of an increase in interest income of \$222.1 million partially offset by an increase in interest expense of \$84.3 million.

On an annualized basis, net interest income was \$389.5 million and \$414.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 and period ended December 31, 2009, respectively. The decline of \$25.4 million, or 6.1%, in annualized net interest income was comprised of an increase of \$31.6 million in annualized interest expense partly offset by an increase of \$6.2 million in annualized interest income.

The increase in interest income on an annualized basis reflected increased interest income from investment securities partially offset by a decline in interest income from loans. The increase in interest income from investment securities resulted from an increase in average volume significantly mitigated by a decline in the average yield. The average yield on investment securities declined to 4.30% for the year ended December 31, 2010 from 7.73% for the period ended December 31, 2009. The decrease in average yield resulted primarily from new purchases reflecting lower general market rates of interest as well as the continued impact of a shift since the Acquisition in the type of securities purchased, including \$1.2 billion of U.S. Government agency floating rate securities and \$0.4 billion of non-mortgage asset-backed securities purchased as of December 31, 2010. The decline in interest income from loans is indicative of a decline in average volume resulting from pay-downs and resolutions, partially offset by an increase in the average yield to 10.32% for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to 9.92% for the period ended December 31, 2009. The increased yield reflects an increased yield on covered loans partially offset by the origination and purchase of new

Table of Contents

loans at lower prevailing market rates of interest. The average yield on new loans was 5.46% and 6.35% for the year ended December 31, 2010 and period ended December 31, 2009, respectively. The yield on covered loans increased to 10.66% for the year ended December 31, 2010 from 9.93% for the period ending December 31, 2009 due to an increase in projected cash flows from the covered ACI Loans.

Interest expense on deposits increased on an annualized basis by \$14.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 due to lower accretion of fair market value adjustments on time deposits, partially mitigated by a shift in deposit mix toward lower rate products and a decline in market rates. Accretion of fair value adjustments on time deposits totaled \$21.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to \$79.9 million for the period ended December 31, 2009. The decline in accretion of fair value adjustments on time deposits is attributable to the maturity and continued run-off of acquired time deposits. The average rate paid on time deposits excluding the impact of accretion was 2.41% for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 3.32% for the period ended December 31, 2009. The decline in the adjusted average rate is attributable to lower prevailing rates. Interest expense on FHLB advances and other borrowings increased by \$17.4 million on an annualized basis, primarily as a result of lower accretion of fair value adjustments. Accretion of fair value adjustments on FHLB advances totaled \$23.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to \$25.1 million for the period ended December 31, 2009. Accretion decreased the average rate paid on FHLB advances by 115 and 228 basis points for the year ended December 31, 2010 and period ended December 31, 2009, respectively. The decline in accretion is due to the maturity and repayment of a portion of the advances outstanding at the Acquisition date, offset by the difference in the number of days in the comparative periods.

The net interest margin for the year ended December 31, 2010 was 5.05% as compared to 5.58% for the period ending December 31, 2009, a decline of 53 basis points. The average yield on interest earning assets declined by 19 basis points for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the period ended December 31, 2009 while the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities increased by 42 basis points, for a decline in the interest rate spread of 61 basis points. The decline in both net interest margin and interest rate spread resulted primarily from lower accretion of fair value adjustments, particularly on interest bearing liabilities, the origination and purchase of loans and investment securities at lower prevailing market rates of interest, and a shift in the composition of interest earning assets from loans to investment securities as discussed above.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses is the amount of expense that, based on our judgment, is required to maintain the ALLL at an adequate level to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio at the balance sheet date and that, in management's judgment, is appropriate under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The determination of the amount of the allowance is complex and involves a high degree of judgment and subjectivity. Our determination of the amount of the allowance and corresponding provision for loan losses considers ongoing evaluations of the various segments of the loan portfolio and of individually significant credits, levels of non-performing loans and charge-offs, statistical trends and economic and other relevant factors. See "Analysis of the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses" below for more information about how we determine the appropriate level of the allowance.

Because the determination of fair value at which the loans acquired from the Failed Bank were initially recorded as of May 21, 2009 encompassed assumptions about expected future cash flows and credit risk, no ALLL was recorded at the date of acquisition. Fair value adjustments to the carrying amount of acquired loans totaled \$6.2 billion. Subsequent to the Acquisition, an allowance for loan losses related to the ACI loans is recorded only when estimates of future cash flows related to these loans are revised downward, indicating further deterioration in credit quality. An allowance for loan

Table of Contents

losses for non-ACI loans may be established if factors considered relevant by management indicate that the credit quality of the non-ACI loans has deteriorated.

Since the recognition of a provision for loan losses on covered loans represents an increase in the amount of reimbursement we ultimately expect to receive from the FDIC, we also record an increase in the FDIC indemnification asset for the present value of the projected increase in reimbursement, with a corresponding increase in non-interest income, recorded in "Net gain (loss) on indemnification asset" as discussed below in the section entitled "Non-interest income." Therefore, the impact on our results of operations of any provision for loan losses on covered loans is significantly mitigated by an increase in non-interest income. For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, we recorded provisions for (recoveries of) loan losses on covered loans of \$(7.7) million, \$46.5 million and \$21.3 million, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, the impact on earnings from these provisions was significantly mitigated by recording non-interest income of \$(6.3) million, \$29.3 million and \$14.4 million, respectively.

For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, we recorded provisions for loan losses of \$21.5 million, \$4.9 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, for new loans. These loans are not protected by the Loss Sharing Agreements and as such, these provisions are not offset by an increase in non-interest income.

Non-Interest Income

The Company reported non-interest income of \$163.2 million, \$297.8 million and \$253.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, respectively. The majority of our non-interest income resulted from the resolution of assets covered by our Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC and accretion of discount on the FDIC indemnification asset. Non-interest income related to transactions in covered assets represented 71%, 76% and 83% of total non-interest income for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, respectively. Typically, the primary components of non-interest income of financial institutions are service charges and fees and gains or losses related to the sale or valuation of investment securities, loans and other assets. Thus, it is difficult to compare the amount and composition of our non-interest income with that of other financial institutions of our size.

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table presents a comparison of the categories of non-interest income for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 (*in thousands*):

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Accretion of discount on FDIC indemnification asset | \$ 55,901 | \$ 134,703 | \$ 149,544 |
| Income from resolution of covered assets, net | 18,776 | 121,462 | 120,954 |
| Net gain (loss) on indemnification asset | 79,812 | 17,736 | (21,761) |
| FDIC reimbursement of costs of resolution of covered assets | 31,528 | 29,762 | 8,095 |
| Loss on sale of loans, net | (69,714) | (76,310) | (47,078) |
| Non-interest income from covered assets | 116,303 | 227,353 | 209,754 |
| Service charges on deposits and other fee income | 7,971 | 8,606 | 4,923 |
| Service charges on loans | 3,157 | 1,961 | 1,840 |
| Gain (loss) on sale or exchange of investment securities available for sale | 1,136 | (998) | (337) |
| Mortgage insurance income | 16,904 | 18,441 | 1,338 |
| Settlement with the FDIC | | 24,055 | |
| Investment services income | 7,496 | 6,226 | 830 |
| Gain on extinguishment of debt | | | 31,303 |
| Other non-interest income | 10,250 | 12,135 | 3,985 |
| | \$ 163,217 | \$ 297,779 | \$ 253,636 |

Non-interest income related to transactions in the covered assets

Accretion of discount on the FDIC indemnification asset totaled \$55.9 million, \$134.7 million and \$149.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, respectively. Accretion is a result of discounting and may also increase or decrease from period to period due to changes in expected cash flows from the ACI loans.

The FDIC indemnification asset was recorded at Acquisition at its estimated fair value of \$3.4 billion, representing the present value of estimated future cash payments from the FDIC for probable losses on covered assets, up to 90 days of past due interest, excluding interest related to loans on nonaccrual at Acquisition, and reimbursement of certain expenses. A discount rate of 7.10%, determined using a risk-free yield curve plus a premium reflecting uncertainty related to the collection, amount and timing of cash flows and liquidity concerns, was used in the initial calculation of fair value. If projected cash flows from the ACI loans increase, the yield on the loans will increase accordingly and the discount rate of accretion on the FDIC indemnification asset will decrease as less cash flow is expected to be recovered from the indemnification asset. For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, the average rate at which discount was accreted on the FDIC indemnification asset was 2.48%, 4.69% and 7.10%, respectively.

The decrease in total accretion for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 and for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the period ended December 31, 2009 related both to the decrease in the average discount rate and to the decrease in the average balance of the indemnification asset. The average balance of the indemnification asset decreased primarily as a result of the submission of claims and receipt of cash from the FDIC under the terms of the Loss Sharing Agreements. We expect the amount of accretion to continue to decline in future periods because our projected cash flows from ACI loans have continued to increase, and as a result we expect to collect less cash flow from the indemnification asset. Additionally, as we continue to

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

submit claims under the Loss Sharing Agreements, the remaining balance of the indemnification asset will continue to decline.

The balance of the FDIC indemnification asset is also reduced or increased as a result of decreases or increases in estimated cash flows to be received from the FDIC related to the gains or losses recorded in our consolidated financial statements from transactions in the covered assets. When these transaction gains or losses are recorded, we also record an offsetting amount in the income statement line item "Net gain (loss) on indemnification asset." This line item includes the significantly mitigating impact of FDIC indemnification related to the following types of transactions in covered assets:

gains or losses from the resolution of covered assets;

provisions for (recoveries of) losses on covered loans;

gains or losses on the sale of covered loans;

gains or losses on the sale of OREO; and

impairment of OREO.

Each of these types of transactions is discussed further below.

A rollforward of the FDIC indemnification asset from May 21, 2009 to December 31, 2011 follows (*in thousands*):

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Balance, May 21, 2009 | \$ 3,442,890 |
| Accretion | 149,544 |
| Reduction for claims filed | (291,508) |
| Net gain (loss) on indemnification asset | (21,761) |
| | |
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | 3,279,165 |
| Accretion | 134,703 |
| Reduction for claims filed | (764,203) |
| Net gain (loss) on indemnification asset | 17,736 |
| | |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | 2,667,401 |
| Accretion | 55,901 |
| Reduction for claims filed | (753,963) |
| Net gain (loss) on indemnification asset | 79,812 |
| | |
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | \$ 2,049,151 |

Covered loans may be resolved through repayment, short sale of the underlying collateral, foreclosure, or, for the non-residential portfolio, charge-off. The difference between consideration received in resolution of covered loans and the amount of projected losses from resolution of those loans is recorded in the income statement line item "Income from resolution of covered assets, net." Both gains and losses on individual resolutions are included in this line item. Losses from the resolution of covered loans increase the amount recoverable from the FDIC under the Loss Sharing Agreements. Gains from the resolution of covered loans reduce the amount recoverable from the FDIC under the Loss Sharing Agreements. These additions to or reductions in amounts recoverable from the FDIC related to the resolution of covered loans are recorded in non-interest income in the line item "Net gain (loss) on indemnification asset" and reflected as corresponding increases or decreases in the FDIC indemnification asset.

The amount of income recorded in any period will be impacted by the number and UPB of ACI loans resolved, the amount of consideration received, and our ability to accurately project cash flows

Table of Contents

from ACI loans in future periods. As history of the performance and resolution of ACI loans has grown and we have updated our projections of cash flows from the ACI loans, gains or losses recorded on resolution of covered loans have declined in overall significance. As our projections of cash flows from the ACI loans have been updated, these cash flows have increasingly been reflected in interest income, through increased yields and higher accretion, rather than in income from resolution of covered assets. This decline is particularly apparent when comparing "Income from resolution of covered assets, net" for the year ended December 31, 2011 to that for the year ended December 31, 2010. For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, ACI loans with a UPB of \$1.7 billion, \$1.9 billion and \$1.4 billion were resolved, resulting in income of \$18.8 million, \$121.5 million and \$121.0 million, respectively. Income from the resolution of non-ACI loans is not significant.

The following table provides further detail of the components of income from resolution of covered assets, net for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 (*in thousands*):

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|-----------|------------|------------|
| Payments in full | \$ 90,773 | \$ 142,172 | \$ 76,428 |
| Foreclosures | (46,726) | (15,691) | 30,489 |
| Short sales | (25,185) | 7,801 | 28,610 |
| Modifications | | (2,424) | |
| Charge-offs | (6,917) | (14,303) | (14,573) |
| Recoveries | 6,831 | 3,907 | |
| Income from resolution of covered assets, net | \$ 18,776 | \$ 121,462 | \$ 120,954 |

As expected, the impact of payments in full on the results of operations declined for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 as additional history with the performance of covered loans has been reflected in our updated cash flow forecasts and the number of paid in full resolutions has declined. In contrast, the volume of loan resolutions resulting from payments in full increased for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to the period ended December 31, 2009 as we augmented and enhanced our mortgage servicing and workout and recovery departments and our efforts to work with borrowers to effect resolution of outstanding loans. We expect the impact on non-interest income of resolutions from payments in full to decline further in the future as we continue to update our cash flow forecasts and the number of loans in the portfolio likely to be resolved in this manner decreases. Continuing home price depreciation in our primary market areas led to increased losses, or declines in net gains, from short sales and foreclosures for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 and for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the period ended December 31, 2009.

Under the Purchase and Assumption Agreement, we are permitted to sell on an annual basis up to 2.5% of the covered loans, based upon the UPB at Acquisition, or approximately \$280.0 million, without prior consent of the FDIC. Any losses incurred from such loan sales are covered under the Loss Sharing Agreements. The significantly mitigating amounts recoverable from the FDIC related to these losses are recorded as increases in the FDIC indemnification asset and corresponding increases in the non-interest income line item "Net gain (loss) on indemnification asset." Sales of covered loans for

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 are summarized as follows (*in thousands*):

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Unpaid principal balance of loans sold | \$ 268,588 | \$ 272,178 | \$ 274,989 |
| Gross cash proceeds | \$ 76,422 | \$ 68,099 | \$ 84,562 |
| Carrying value of loans sold | 146,148 | 143,526 | 129,813 |
| Transaction costs incurred | (640) | (933) | (1,827) |
| Loss on sale of covered loans | \$ (70,366) | \$ (76,360) | \$ (47,078) |
| Related gain on indemnification asset | \$ 56,053 | \$ 57,747 | \$ 37,600 |

Loans were sold on a non-recourse basis to third parties. We may continue to exercise our right to sell covered loans in future periods.

In addition to the losses on sales of covered loans reflected in the table above, the income statement line item "Loss on sale of loans, net" for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 includes approximately \$651.7 thousand and \$50 thousand of gains on the sale of loans held for sale. These transactions are not subject to the Loss Sharing Agreements.

Additional impairment arising since the Acquisition related to covered loans is recorded in earnings through the provision for losses on covered loans. Under the terms of the Loss Sharing Agreements, the Company is entitled to recover from the FDIC a portion of losses on these loans; therefore, the discounted amount of additional expected cash flows from the FDIC related to these losses is recorded in non-interest income in the line item "Net gain (loss) on indemnification asset" and reflected as a corresponding increase in the FDIC indemnification asset.

The Company records impairment charges related to declines in the net realizable value of OREO properties subject to the Loss Sharing Agreements and recognizes additional gains or losses upon the eventual sale of such OREO properties. These amounts are included in non-interest expense in the consolidated financial statements. The estimated increase or reduction in amounts recoverable from the FDIC with respect to these gains and losses is reflected as an increase or decrease in the FDIC indemnification asset and in non-interest income in the line item "Net gain (loss) on indemnification asset."

Net gain (loss) on indemnification asset of \$79.8 million, \$17.7 million and \$(21.8) million was recorded for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, respectively, representing the net change in the FDIC indemnification asset from increases or decreases in cash flows estimated to be received from the FDIC related to gains and losses from covered assets as discussed in the preceding paragraphs. The net impact on earnings before taxes of transactions related to covered assets for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

December 31, 2009 was \$(12.2) million, \$(1.9) million and \$9.0 million, respectively, as detailed in the following tables (*in thousands*):

| | 2011 | | |
|---|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Transaction | Net Gain (Loss) on | Net Impact on |
| | Income (Loss) | Indemnification | Pre-tax Earnings |
| | | Asset | |
| Recovery of losses on covered loans | \$ 7,692 | \$ (6,327) | \$ 1,365 |
| Income from resolution of covered assets, net | 18,776 | (6,871) | 11,905 |
| Net loss on sale of covered loans | (70,366) | 56,053 | (14,313) |
| | (51,590) | 49,182 | (2,408) |
| Loss on sale of OREO | (23,576) | 17,272 | (6,304) |
| Impairment of OREO | (24,569) | 19,685 | (4,884) |
| Net OREO gain (loss) | (48,145) | 36,957 | (11,188) |
| | \$ (92,043) | \$ 79,812 | \$ (12,231) |

| | 2010 | | |
|---|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Transaction | Net Gain (Loss) on | Net Impact on |
| | Income (Loss) | Indemnification | Pre-tax Earnings |
| | | Asset | |
| Provision for losses on covered loans | \$ (46,481) | \$ 29,291 | \$ (17,190) |
| Income from resolution of covered assets, net | 121,462 | (84,138) | 37,324 |
| Net loss on sale of covered loans | (76,360) | 57,747 | (18,613) |
| | 45,102 | (26,391) | 18,711 |
| Loss on sale of OREO | (2,174) | 1,932 | (242) |
| Impairment of OREO | (16,131) | 12,904 | (3,227) |
| Net OREO gain (loss) | (18,305) | 14,836 | (3,469) |
| | \$ (19,684) | \$ 17,736 | \$ (1,948) |

| | 2009 | | |
|---|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Transaction | Net Gain (Loss) on | Net Impact on |
| | Income (Loss) | Indemnification | Pre-tax Earnings |
| | | Asset | |
| Provision for losses on covered loans | \$ (21,287) | \$ 14,433 | \$ (6,854) |
| Income from resolution of covered assets, net | 120,954 | (88,801) | 32,153 |
| Net loss on sale of covered loans | (47,078) | 37,600 | (9,478) |
| | 73,876 | (51,201) | 22,675 |
| Loss on sale of OREO | (807) | | |
| Impairment of OREO | (21,055) | | |
| Net OREO gain (loss) | (21,862) | 15,007 | (6,855) |
| | \$ 30,727 | \$ (21,761) | \$ 8,966 |

Table of Contents

Certain OREO and foreclosure related expenses, including fees paid to attorneys and other service providers, property preservation costs, maintenance and repair costs, advances for taxes and insurance, appraisal costs and inspection costs are also reimbursed under the terms of the Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC. Such expenses are recorded in non-interest expense when incurred, and the reimbursement is recorded as "FDIC reimbursement of costs of resolution of covered assets" in non-interest income when submitted to the FDIC, generally upon ultimate resolution of the underlying covered asset. This may result in the expense and the related income from reimbursements being recorded in different periods. For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 non-interest expense includes approximately \$32.0 million, \$49.7 million and \$26.1 million, respectively, of disbursements subject to reimbursement under the Loss Sharing Agreements. For those same periods, claims of \$31.5 million, \$29.8 million and \$8.1 million, respectively, were submitted to the FDIC for reimbursement. As of December 31, 2011, \$21.1 million of disbursements remain to be submitted for reimbursement from the FDIC in future periods.

Other components of non-interest income

Mortgage insurance income represents mortgage insurance proceeds received with respect to covered loans in excess of the portion of losses on those loans that is recoverable from the FDIC. Mortgage insurance proceeds up to the amount of losses on covered loans reimbursable by the FDIC offsets amounts otherwise recoverable from the FDIC. The increase in mortgage insurance income for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 as compared to the period ended December 31, 2009 is a result of increased efforts by the Company to file and collect insurance claims.

Investment services income represents fees earned by BUIS for wealth management services. The increase for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 as compared to the period ended December 31, 2009 reflects an increased level of activity by BUIS.

Non-interest income for the year ended December 31, 2010 includes approximately \$24.1 million representing the settlement of a dispute with the FDIC associated with the valuation established on certain investment securities at Acquisition.

The Company prepaid FHLB advances with a principal balance of \$2.7 billion during the period ended December 31, 2009. These advances had a carrying amount of \$2.8 billion at the time of repayment. The Company recognized a gain of \$31.3 million on this transaction.

The increase in other non-interest income for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the period ended December 31, 2009 related primarily to an increase in loan modification incentives received under the U.S. Treasury HAMP program and increases in the cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance.

Table of Contents***Non-Interest Expense***

The following table presents the components of non-interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 (*in thousands*):

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Employee compensation and benefits | \$ 272,991 | \$ 144,486 | \$ 62,648 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 36,680 | 28,692 | 20,121 |
| Impairment of other real estate owned | 24,569 | 16,131 | 21,055 |
| Foreclosure expense | 18,976 | 30,669 | 18,042 |
| Loss on sale of other real estate owned | 23,576 | 2,174 | 807 |
| Other real estate owned expense | 13,001 | 19,003 | 7,577 |
| Change in value of FDIC warrant | | 21,832 | 1,704 |
| Deposit insurance expense | 8,480 | 13,899 | 11,850 |
| Professional fees | 17,330 | 14,677 | 14,854 |
| Telecommunications and data processing | 12,041 | 12,321 | 6,440 |
| Other non-interest expense | 28,161 | 19,436 | 8,920 |
| Loss on FDIC receivable | | | 69,444 |
| Acquisition related costs | | | 39,800 |
| | \$ 455,805 | \$ 323,320 | \$ 283,262 |

Non-interest expense as a percentage of average assets was 4.2%, 2.9% and 4.0%, on an annualized basis, for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, respectively. The primary cause of the increase in this ratio for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 was higher employee compensation and benefits expense. The largest component of the increase in employee compensation and benefits was the \$110.4 million of equity based compensation recorded in conjunction with the IPO. The main reason for the decline in non-interest expense as a percentage of average assets for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the period ended December 31, 2009 on an annualized basis was non-recurring expenses related to the Acquisition incurred during the period ended December 31, 2009.

Employee compensation and benefits

As is typical for financial institutions, employee compensation and benefits represents the single largest component of recurring non-interest expense. Employee compensation and benefits increased by approximately \$128.5 million or 88.9% for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 and \$42.4 million, or 41.5% on an annualized basis for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the period ended December 31, 2009. These increases resulted primarily from increases in equity based compensation. The increases are also attributable to continued enhancement of our management team and other personnel subsequent to the Acquisition. Employee compensation and benefits included \$144.8 million, inclusive of the \$110.4 million charge recorded in conjunction with the IPO, \$37.5 million and \$9.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, respectively, related to equity based compensation. Included in these amounts is \$141.0 million, \$36.2 million and \$8.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, respectively, related to PIUs and, for 2011, instruments issued in exchange for PIUs, as discussed below.

Prior to the consummation of the IPO, our employee compensation and benefits expense included expense related to PIUs issued to certain members of executive management. The PIUs were divided into two equal types of profits interests. Half of the PIUs, referred to as time-based PIUs, vested with the passage of time following the grant date. Compensation expense related to time-based PIUs was recorded on a straight line basis over the vesting period based on their fair value. Fair value of the

Table of Contents

time-based PIUs was estimated using a Black-Scholes option pricing model incorporating estimates of the per share value of our common stock and assumptions as to expected volatility, dividends, expected term, and risk-free rates. The remaining half of the PIUs, referred to as IRR-based PIUs, vested immediately prior to the consummation of the IPO and compensation expense related to the IRR-based PIUs was recorded at that time. In conjunction with the IPO, the PIUs were exchanged for a combination of vested and unvested common shares and vested and unvested stock options. The equity instruments issued in exchange for PIUs included:

3,863,491 vested common shares

1,931,745 unvested common shares

3,023,314 vested stock options

1,511,656 unvested stock options

The unvested instruments corresponded to the unvested time-based PIUs and continue to vest according to the original vesting schedule of such time-based PIUs. The remainder of these instruments will vest in 2012. At the time of the IPO, we recorded additional compensation expense of approximately \$110.4 million related to the vesting of the IRR-based PIUs and the adjustment of the fair value of the vested portion of time-based PIUs. Fair value of the PIUs at the date of the IPO was measured based on the fair value of the common shares and options for which they were exchanged. The common shares were valued at the IPO price of \$27. The options have an exercise price of \$27 and had a weighted average fair value at the date issued of \$9.42. Fair value of the options was estimated using a Black-Scholes option pricing model incorporating the following weighted average assumptions:

Expected volatility of 45%

Expected dividend yield of 2.07%

Expected term of 5.1 years

Risk free interest rate of 1.98%

Occupancy and equipment

Occupancy and equipment expense increased by \$8.0 million or 27.8% for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 and decreased by approximately \$4.1 million, or 12.5% on an annualized basis for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the period ended December 31, 2009. The increase in occupancy and equipment expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 related primarily to the expansion of our branch network. The decline in occupancy and equipment expense for the year ended December 31, 2010 resulted primarily from renegotiation of leases.

OREO and foreclosure related expenses and losses

At December 31, 2011 as well as during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, all of our OREO properties were covered by the Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC. Therefore, OREO losses are substantially offset by non-interest income related to indemnification by the FDIC. Generally, OREO and foreclosure related expenses are also reimbursed under the terms of the Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC.

OREO expense, foreclosure expense and impairment of OREO remained at high levels during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 due to continuing deterioration in home prices in our primary market areas and the high volume of foreclosure activity. Liquidation of OREO properties in a market with deteriorating values contributed to increased losses

Table of Contents

on sales of OREO in 2011. At December 31, 2011, approximately 2,200 units were in the foreclosure pipeline, down from approximately 4,700 units at December 31, 2010 and a peak of approximately 7,300 units in November of 2009. Increased foreclosure expense for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the period ended December 31, 2009 reflects the cost of liquidation of the high number of properties in the pipeline at the end of 2009. The decline in foreclosure expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 corresponds to the declining number of units in the pipeline. The number of units in OREO increased from 811 at the end of 2009 to 1,318 at the end of 2010 and then declined to 778 at the end of 2011. These trends are reflected in the decline in OREO expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 and in the increase in OREO expense for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the period ended December 31, 2009.

We have performed an internal assessment of our foreclosure practices and procedures and of our vendor management processes related to outside vendors that assist us in the foreclosure process. This assessment did not reveal any deficiencies in processes and procedures that we believe to be of significance.

Other components of non-interest expense

For the period ended December 31, 2009, non-interest expense included two significant non-recurring items. The first of these was the write-off of a receivable from the FDIC in the amount of \$69.4 million, which was established at the date of the Acquisition and related to the disputed valuation of certain acquired investment securities. Given that the disagreement over the valuation extended past December 31, 2009 with the likelihood that no additional consideration would be paid, the receivable was written off in 2009. Subsequently, the Company reached a settlement with the FDIC regarding this dispute. Under the settlement, the Company received \$24.1 million, which was reflected in non-interest income in the fourth quarter of 2010. The second of these non-recurring items was \$39.8 million in direct costs associated with the Acquisition, consisting primarily of legal and investment banking advisory fees.

Other non-interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 included the increase in value of a warrant issued to the FDIC in conjunction with the Acquisition. Based on its initial terms, the value of the warrant, as defined, was based on the value the Company realized in an IPO or exit event. We utilized third party valuation specialists to assist in the determination of the fair value of the warrant at Acquisition and at each quarter end beginning with September 30, 2009 through September 30, 2010. The warrant was initially recorded with a fair value of \$1.5 million at May 21, 2009. In October 2010, the Company and the FDIC amended the warrant to guarantee a minimum value to the FDIC in the amount of \$25.0 million. During the year ended December 31, 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, we recorded \$21.8 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, of non-interest expense reflecting the increase in the value of the warrant which, at December 31, 2010, was adjusted to the guaranteed minimum value. In February, 2011, the Company redeemed the FDIC warrant for its agreed upon value of \$25.0 million in cash.

Deposit insurance expense declined by \$5.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 and by \$5.4 million on an annualized basis for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the period ended December 31, 2009. In 2011, the FDIC revised the assessment base for deposit insurance premiums. The change in the assessment base coupled with the relatively low risk rating assigned to the Bank resulted in a reduction of the Bank's premiums. The decline in deposit insurance expense on an annualized basis for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the period ended December 31, 2009 primarily resulted from a decline in deposits.

Professional fees increased by \$2.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 primarily due to legal and other professional fees incurred in

Table of Contents

conjunction with the acquisition of Herald. Professional fees declined by \$9.5 million on an annualized basis for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the period ended December 31, 2009. The period ended December 31, 2009 included non-recurring legal and accounting fees related to certain litigation matters and formation of the Company.

The primary components of other non-interest expense are advertising and promotion, the cost of regulatory examinations, insurance, travel and general office expense. Period over period increases in other non-interest expense relate to general organic growth of our business.

Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 was \$129.6 million, \$127.8 million and \$80.4 million, respectively. The Company's effective tax rate was 67.2%, 40.9% and 40.3% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, respectively. The Company's effective tax rate differed from the statutory federal tax rate of 35.0% primarily due to non-deductible equity based compensation expense, the effect of state income taxes and for the year ended December 31, 2011, the provision for uncertain state tax positions. Non-deductible equity based compensation totaled \$134.4 million, \$36.2 million and \$8.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, respectively. Non-deductible expense related primarily to PIUs and the equity instruments for which PIUs were exchanged at the time of the IPO. We expect non-deductible equity based compensation to continue to impact the effective tax rate, but to a lesser extent, in 2012 as unrecognized compensation cost related to these equity instruments continues to decline. Based on the nature of equity instruments currently outstanding, we expect the impact of non-deductible compensation expense on the effective tax rate to be immaterial for periods after 2012.

At December 31, 2011 and 2009, the Company had net deferred tax assets of \$19.5 million and \$22.5 million, respectively. At December 31, 2010, the Company had net deferred tax liabilities of \$4.6 million. Based on an evaluation of both positive and negative evidence related to ultimate realization of deferred tax assets, we have concluded it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will be realized. Persuasive positive evidence leading to this conclusion as of December 31, 2011 includes the availability of sufficient tax loss carrybacks and future taxable income resulting from reversal of existing taxable temporary differences to assure realization of the deferred tax assets. Realization of deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2011 is not dependent on the generation of additional future taxable income.

For more information, see Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements.

Analysis of Financial Condition for the Post-Acquisition Periods

Average interest earning assets increased \$418.7 million to \$8.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$7.7 billion for the year ended December 31, 2010. This increase was driven primarily by growth in the average balance of investment securities of \$762.6 million resulting from continued deployment of cash generated by loan resolutions and reimbursements under the Loss Sharing Agreements. The average balance of loans declined by \$332.2 million, largely due to continued resolution of covered loans, significantly offset by growth in the new loan portfolio. Average non-interest earning assets declined by \$647.4 million, primarily because of the reduction in the FDIC indemnification asset for claims paid.

Table of Contents

Average interest bearing liabilities decreased by \$705.6 million to \$8.6 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$9.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2010, reflecting primarily a decrease in average interest-bearing deposits of \$700.6 million. The reduction in outstanding interest-bearing deposits resulted from a continued shift in emphasis away from rate sensitive time deposits. Average non-interest bearing liabilities increased by \$200.3 million, primarily as a result of an increase of \$181.7 million in non-interest bearing demand deposits. Average equity increased by \$257.4 million. Average equity was impacted by proceeds from the IPO, earnings and equity based compensation, partially offset by dividends paid.

Investment Securities Available for Sale

The following table shows the amortized cost and fair value of our investment securities as of the dates indicated. All of our investment securities are classified available for sale (*in thousands*):

| | December 31, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|---|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 10,066 | \$ 10,072 |
| U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities | 1,952,095 | 1,985,713 | 1,282,757 | 1,290,910 | 1,288,277 | 1,288,643 |
| Resecuritized real estate mortgage investment conduits ("Re-Remics") | 544,924 | 546,310 | 599,682 | 612,631 | 478,731 | 475,003 |
| Private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMO's | 342,999 | 387,687 | 320,096 | 382,920 | 319,765 | 366,508 |
| Private label commercial mortgage-backed securities | 255,868 | 262,562 | | | | |
| Non-mortgage asset-backed securities | 414,274 | 410,885 | 407,158 | 408,994 | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| Mutual funds and preferred stocks | 252,087 | 253,817 | 136,489 | 138,535 | 43,344 | 43,523 |
| State and municipal obligations | 24,994 | 25,270 | 22,898 | 22,960 | 22,964 | 23,106 |
| Small Business Administration securities | 301,109 | 303,677 | 62,831 | 62,891 | | |
| Other debt securities | 3,868 | 6,056 | 3,695 | 6,761 | 3,581 | 6,288 |
| | \$ 4,092,218 | \$ 4,181,977 | \$ 2,835,606 | \$ 2,926,602 | \$ 2,196,728 | \$ 2,243,143 |

Our available for sale securities portfolio consists of both securities acquired in the Acquisition (the "acquired securities") and those purchased by us subsequent to the Acquisition. Investment securities increased by \$1.3 billion, to \$4.2 billion at December 31, 2011 from \$2.9 billion at December 31, 2010 and by \$683.5 million to \$2.9 billion at December 31, 2010 from \$2.2 billion at December 31, 2009. Growth of the investment portfolio has been driven primarily by the deployment of cash generated by loan resolution activity and submission of claims to the FDIC under the Loss Sharing Agreements into higher yielding assets during a period of diminished loan demand. Our investment strategy has focused on providing liquidity necessary for day-to-day operations, adding a suitable balance of high credit quality, diversifying assets to the consolidated balance sheet, managing interest rate risk, and generating acceptable returns given our established risk parameters. We have sought to maintain liquidity and manage interest rate risk by investing a significant portion of the portfolio in high quality liquid securities consisting primarily of U.S. Government agency floating rate residential mortgage-backed securities. We have also invested in highly rated structured products including private label residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities and Re-Remics, bank preferred stocks, U.S. Small Business Administration securities and non-mortgage asset-backed securities collateralized primarily by auto loans, credit card receivables, student loans, floor plan loans, servicer advances and small balance commercial loans that, while somewhat less liquid, provide us with

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

higher yields. A relatively short effective portfolio duration helps mitigate interest rate risk arising from the currently low level of market interest rates.

A summary of activity in the investment portfolio for the year ended December 31, 2011 follows:

| | |
|---|---------------------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 2,926,602 |
| Purchases | 2,074,483 |
| Proceeds from repayments | (541,016) |
| Sales, maturities and calls | (277,498) |
| Amortization of discounts and premiums, net | 643 |
| Unrealized gain (loss), net | (1,237) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 4,181,977 |

The following tables show, as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the breakdown of covered and non-covered securities in the Company's investment portfolio (*in thousands*):

| | December 31, 2011 | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|----------|---------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------|
| | Covered Securities | | | | Non-Covered Securities | | | |
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized | | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized | | Fair Value |
| Gains | | Losses | Gains | | | Losses | | |
| U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,952,095 | \$ 34,823 | \$ (1,205) | \$ 1,985,713 |
| Re-Remics | | | | | 544,924 | 4,972 | (3,586) | 546,310 |
| Private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMO's | 165,385 | 44,746 | (310) | 209,821 | 177,614 | 1,235 | (983) | 177,866 |
| Private label commercial mortgage-backed securities | | | | | 255,868 | 6,694 | | 262,562 |
| Non mortgage asset-backed securities | | | | | 414,274 | 2,246 | (5,635) | 410,885 |
| Mutual funds and preferred stocks | 16,382 | 491 | (556) | 16,317 | 235,705 | 3,071 | (1,276) | 237,500 |
| State and municipal obligations | | | | | 24,994 | 278 | (2) | 25,270 |
| Small Business Administration securities | | | | | 301,109 | 2,664 | (96) | 303,677 |
| Other debt securities | 3,868 | 2,188 | | 6,056 | | | | |
| | \$ 185,635 | \$ 47,425 | \$ (866) | \$ 232,194 | \$ 3,906,583 | \$ 55,983 | \$ (12,783) | \$ 3,949,783 |

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| | December 31, 2010 | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|--------------|
| | Covered Securities | | | | Non-Covered Securities | | | |
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Losses | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Losses | Fair Value |
| U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,282,757 | \$ 11,411 | \$ (3,258) | \$ 1,290,910 |
| Re-Remics | | | | | 599,682 | 14,054 | (1,105) | 612,631 |
| Private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMO's | 181,337 | 61,679 | (1,726) | 241,290 | 138,759 | 2,906 | (35) | 141,630 |
| Non mortgage asset-backed securities | | | | | 407,158 | 1,908 | (72) | 408,994 |
| Mutual funds and preferred stocks | 16,382 | 57 | (922) | 15,517 | 120,107 | 3,402 | (491) | 123,018 |
| State and municipal obligations | | | | | 22,898 | 101 | (39) | 22,960 |
| Small Business Administration securities | | | | | 62,831 | 191 | (131) | 62,891 |
| Other debt securities | 3,695 | 3,066 | | 6,761 | | | | |
| | \$ 201,414 | \$ 64,802 | \$ (2,648) | \$ 263,568 | \$ 2,634,192 | \$ 33,973 | \$ (5,131) | \$ 2,663,034 |

| | December 31, 2009 | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Covered Securities | | | | Non-Covered Securities | | | |
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Losses | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Losses | Fair Value |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 10,066 | \$ 6 | \$ | \$ 10,072 |
| U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities | | | | | 1,288,277 | 3,581 | (3,215) | 1,288,643 |
| Re-Remics | | | | | 478,731 | 1,007 | (4,735) | 475,003 |
| Private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMO's | 201,149 | 51,285 | (480) | 251,954 | 118,616 | | (4,062) | 114,554 |
| Non mortgage asset-backed securities | | | | | 30,000 | | | 30,000 |
| Mutual funds and preferred stocks | 18,094 | 338 | (698) | 17,734 | 25,250 | 661 | (122) | 25,789 |
| State and municipal obligations | | | | | 22,964 | 143 | (1) | 23,106 |
| Other debt securities | 3,331 | 2,707 | | 6,038 | 250 | | | 250 |
| | \$ 222,574 | \$ 54,330 | \$ (1,178) | \$ 275,726 | \$ 1,974,154 | \$ 5,398 | \$ (12,135) | \$ 1,967,417 |

Covered securities include private label mortgage-backed securities, mortgage-backed security mutual funds, trust preferred collateralized debt obligations, U.S. Government sponsored enterprise preferred stocks, and corporate debt securities covered under the Commercial Shared-Loss Agreement. BankUnited will be reimbursed 80%, or 95% if cumulative losses exceed the \$4.0 billion stated threshold, of realized losses, other than temporary impairments, and reimbursable expenses associated with the covered securities. BankUnited must pay the FDIC 80%, or 95% if cumulative losses are

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

greater than the stated threshold, of realized gains and other-than-temporary impairment recoveries. Unrealized losses recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income do not qualify for loss sharing. BankUnited cannot sell securities covered under the Loss Sharing Agreements without prior approval of the FDIC. To date, the Company has not submitted any claims for reimbursement related to the covered securities. As the investment portfolio has grown, covered securities have represented a declining percentage of the total portfolio. Covered securities represented 5.6%, 9.0% and 12.3% of the fair value of the investment portfolio at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We expect this declining trend to continue.

The following table shows the scheduled maturities adjusted for anticipated prepayments of mortgage-backed and other pass through securities, carrying values and current yields for our investment portfolio as of December 31, 2011. Yields on tax-exempt securities have been calculated on a pre-tax basis (*dollars in thousands*):

| | Within One Year | | After One Year Through Five Years | | After Five Years Through Ten Years | | After Ten Years | | Total | |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
| | Carrying Value | Weighted Average Yield | Carrying Value | Weighted Average Yield | Carrying Value | Weighted Average Yield | Carrying Value | Weighted Average Yield | Carrying Value | Weighted Average Yield |
| U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities | \$ 290,528 | 1.88% | \$ 850,635 | 2.15% | \$ 613,067 | 2.57% | \$ 231,483 | 1.90% | \$ 1,985,713 | 2.21% |
| Re-Remics | 94,326 | 4.33% | 267,023 | 3.49% | 150,836 | 3.39% | 34,125 | 3.41% | 546,310 | 3.60% |
| Private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMO's | 90,729 | 6.49% | 179,419 | 7.09% | 77,329 | 8.09% | 40,210 | 8.91% | 387,687 | 7.34% |
| Private label commercial mortgage-backed securities | | | 168,052 | 2.98% | 94,510 | 4.18% | | | 262,562 | 3.41% |
| Non mortgage asset-backed securities | 55,314 | 3.17% | 214,776 | 3.08% | 115,378 | 3.16% | 25,417 | 2.65% | 410,885 | 3.09% |
| State and municipal obligations | 7,179 | 1.40% | 14,202 | 2.10% | 2,403 | 3.08% | 1,486 | 1.13% | 25,270 | 1.94% |
| Small Business Administration securities | 60,735 | 1.88% | 143,433 | 1.87% | 69,991 | 1.85% | 29,518 | 1.80% | 303,677 | 1.86% |
| Other debt securities | | | | | | | 6,056 | 4.49% | 6,056 | 4.49% |
| Total investment portfolio | \$ 598,811 | 3.03% | \$ 1,837,540 | 2.95% | \$ 1,123,514 | 3.18% | \$ 368,295 | 2.81% | \$ 3,928,160 | 3.01% |
| Mutual funds and preferred stocks with no scheduled maturity | | | | | | | | | 253,817 | 5.69% |
| Total investment securities available for sale | | | | | | | | | \$ 4,181,977 | 3.18% |

The weighted average life of the investment portfolio as of December 31, 2011 was 4.7 years and the effective duration was 1.7 years.

The credit quality of the investment portfolio remained strong at December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2011, 89.0% of the non-covered securities were backed by U.S. Government agencies or sponsored enterprises or were rated AAA. There were two non-covered securities with a fair value of \$29.4 million that were unrated; the remaining non-covered securities were investment grade. The investment portfolio was in a net unrealized gain position of \$89.8 million at December 31, 2011 with aggregate fair value equal to 102% of amortized cost. Net unrealized gains included \$103.4 million of gross unrealized gains and \$13.6 million of gross unrealized losses. Securities in unrealized loss positions for 12 months or more had an aggregate fair value of \$119.8 million, representing only 2.9% of the fair value of the portfolio, with total unrealized losses of \$1.3 million at December 31, 2011.

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

We evaluate the credit quality of individual securities in the portfolio quarterly to determine whether any of the investments in unrealized loss positions are other-than-temporarily impaired. This evaluation considers but is not necessarily limited to the following factors, the relative significance of which varies depending on the circumstances pertinent to each individual security:

- our intent to hold the security until maturity or for a period of time sufficient for a recovery in value;
- whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis;
- the length of time and extent to which fair value has been less than amortized cost;
- adverse changes in expected cash flows;
- available information about the value and performance of underlying collateral;
- the payment structure of the security, including levels of subordination or over-collateralization;
- the general market condition of the geographic area or industry of the issuer;
- the issuer's financial condition, performance and business prospects; and
- credit ratings of issuers and individual securities.

No securities were determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired during the years ended December 31, 2011 or 2010 or the period ended December 31, 2009.

The majority of the unrealized losses in the portfolio at December 31, 2011 were driven by widening spreads on private label Re-remics and non-mortgage asset-backed securities, particularly student loan backed securities, in the fourth quarter of 2011. To a lesser extent, unrealized losses resulted from widening spreads on GNMA HECM securities and private label mortgage-backed securities as well as market conditions impacting the value of financial institution preferred stocks. We believe all of these factors to be consistent with temporary impairment.

We do not intend to sell securities in unrealized loss positions, and have not sold any such securities subsequent to December 31, 2011. Based on an assessment of our liquidity position and internal and regulatory guidelines for permissible investments and concentrations, it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell securities in unrealized loss positions prior to recovery of amortized cost basis. The severity and duration of impairment of individual securities in the portfolio is generally not material. The timely repayment of principal and interest on U.S. Government agency securities in unrealized loss positions is explicitly guaranteed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government. Management engaged a third party to perform projected cash flow analyses of the private label mortgage-backed securities, Re-remics and non-mortgage asset-backed securities, incorporating CUSIP level collateral default rate, voluntary prepayment rate, severity and delinquency assumptions. Based on the results of this analysis, no credit losses were projected. Given the expectation of timely repayment of principal and interest and the limited duration and severity of impairment, we concluded that none of the debt securities were other-than-temporarily impaired. Given the results of our analysis of the financial condition and business prospects of the underlying issuers and the limited duration and severity of impairment, we considered the impairment of the equity securities to be temporary.

For further discussion of our analysis of investment securities for OTTI, see Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements.

We use third party pricing services to assist us in estimating the fair value of investment securities. We perform a variety of procedures to insure that we have a thorough understanding of the methodologies and assumptions used by the pricing services including obtaining and reviewing written documentation of the methods and assumptions employed, conducting interviews with valuation desk

Table of Contents

personnel, performing on-site walkthroughs and reviewing model results and detailed assumptions used to value selected securities as considered necessary. Our classification of prices within the fair value hierarchy is based on an evaluation of the nature of the significant assumptions impacting the valuation of each type of security in the portfolio. We have established a robust price challenge process that includes a review by our treasury front office of all prices provided on a monthly basis. Any price evidencing unexpected month over month fluctuations or deviations from our expectations based on recent observed trading activity and other information available in the marketplace that would impact the value of the security is challenged. Responses to the price challenges, which generally include specific information about inputs and assumptions incorporated in the valuation and their sources, are reviewed in detail. If considered necessary to resolve any discrepancies, a price will be obtained from an additional independent valuation specialist. We do not typically adjust the prices provided, other than through this established challenge process. Our primary pricing services utilize observable inputs when available, and employ unobservable inputs and propriety models only when observable inputs are not available. As a matter of course, the services validate prices by comparison to recent trading activity whenever such activity exists. Quotes obtained from the pricing services are typically non-binding.

We have also established a quarterly price validation process whereby we verify the prices provided by our primary pricing service for a sample of securities in the portfolio. Sample sizes vary based on the type of security being priced, with higher sample sizes applied to more difficult to value security types. Verification procedures may consist of obtaining prices from an additional outside source or internal modeling, generally based on Intex. We have established acceptable percentage deviations from the price provided by the initial pricing source. If deviations fall outside the established parameters, we will obtain and evaluate more detailed information about the assumptions and inputs used by each pricing source, or, if considered necessary, employ an additional valuation specialist to price the security in question. When there are price discrepancies, the final determination of fair value is based on careful consideration of the assumptions and inputs employed by each of the pricing sources given our knowledge of the market for each individual security and may include interviews with the outside pricing sources utilized. Depending on the results of the validation process, sample sizes may be extended for particular classes of securities. Results of the validation process are reviewed by the treasury front office and by senior management.

The majority of our investment securities are classified within level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Certain preferred stocks are classified within level 1 of the hierarchy. At December 31, 2011, 11.3% of our investment securities were classified within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy as compared to 38.6% of the portfolio at December 31, 2010. Securities classified within level 3 of the hierarchy at December 31, 2011 included primarily private label residential mortgage-backed securities and certain non-mortgage asset-backed securities. The non-mortgage asset-backed securities consist of securities backed by servicer advances, small balance commercial loans, older vintage student loans and franchise product sub tranches. These securities were classified within level 3 of the hierarchy because proprietary credit related assumptions related to default probabilities and loss severities were considered significant to the valuation. At December 31, 2010, Re-remics were also classified within level 3 of the hierarchy. These securities were classified within level 2 of the hierarchy at December 31, 2011. Market activity for these securities has increased; valuation at December 31, 2011 was primarily spread driven and proprietary credit related assumptions no longer had a significant impact on pricing.

For additional discussion of the fair values of investment securities, see Note 19 to the consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Loans

The loan portfolio comprises the Company's primary interest-earning asset. At December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, 58.6%, 86.3% and 97.3% of loans, net of discount and deferred fees and costs, were covered loans. Covered loans are declining and new loans increasing as a percentage of the total portfolio as covered loans are repaid or resolved and new loan originations and purchases increase. This trend is expected to continue. Covered loans may be further broken out into two broad categories: (i) ACI loans and (ii) non-ACI loans. The following table shows the composition of the loan portfolio and the breakdown of the portfolio between covered ACI loans, covered non-ACI loans, non-covered ACI loans and new loans at the dates indicated (*dollars in thousands*):

| | December 31, 2011 | | | | | | December 31, 2010 | | | | | December 31, 2009 | | | | |
|-------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------|-------------------|---------------|------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|------------|-------------------|--|
| | Covered Loans | | Non-Covered Loans | | | | Percent of Total | Covered Loans | | Non-Covered Loans | | | Covered Loans | | Non-Covered Loans | |
| | ACI | Non-ACI | ACI | New Loans | Total | ACI | | Non-ACI | New Loans | Total | Percent of Total | ACI | Non-ACI | New Loans | Total | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$ 1,681,866 | \$ 117,992 | \$ | \$ 461,431 | \$ 2,261,289 | 53.8% | \$ 2,421,016 | \$ 151,945 | \$ 113,439 | \$ 2,686,400 | 67.5% | \$ 3,306,306 | \$ 184,669 | \$ 43,110 | \$ 3,534,085 | |
| Equity | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Credit | 71,565 | 182,745 | | 2,037 | 256,347 | 6.1% | 98,599 | 206,797 | 2,255 | 307,651 | 7.7% | 113,578 | 215,591 | 1,615 | 330,784 | |
| Total | 1,753,431 | 300,737 | | 463,468 | 2,517,636 | 59.9% | 2,519,615 | 358,742 | 115,694 | 2,994,051 | 75.2% | 3,419,884 | 400,260 | 44,725 | 3,864,869 | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Family | 61,710 | 791 | | 108,178 | 170,679 | 4.1% | 73,015 | 5,548 | 34,271 | 112,834 | 2.8% | 71,321 | 4,971 | 700 | 76,992 | |
| Commercial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rate | 219,136 | 32,678 | 4,220 | 311,434 | 567,468 | 13.5% | 299,068 | 33,938 | 118,857 | 451,863 | 11.4% | 363,965 | 39,733 | 24,460 | 428,158 | |
| Function | 4,102 | | | 23,252 | 27,354 | 0.7% | 8,267 | | 8,582 | 16,849 | 0.4% | 44,812 | 377 | | 45,189 | |
| Total | 33,018 | 163 | | 7,469 | 40,650 | 1.0% | 48,251 | 170 | 1,873 | 50,294 | 1.3% | 43,903 | 173 | | 44,076 | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 24,007 | 20,382 | | 817,274 | 861,663 | 20.6% | 49,731 | 30,139 | 266,586 | 346,456 | 8.7% | 81,765 | 48,635 | 51,565 | 181,965 | |
| Total | 341,973 | 54,014 | 4,220 | 1,267,607 | 1,667,814 | 39.9% | 478,332 | 69,795 | 430,169 | 978,296 | 24.6% | 605,766 | 93,889 | 76,725 | 776,380 | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| mer: | 2,937 | | | 3,372 | 6,309 | 0.2% | 4,403 | | 3,056 | 7,459 | 0.2% | 7,065 | | 3,151 | 10,216 | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ans | 2,098,341 | 354,751 | 4,220 | 1,734,447 | 4,191,759 | 100.0% | 3,002,350 | 428,537 | 548,919 | 3,979,806 | 100.0% | 4,032,715 | 494,149 | 124,601 | 4,651,465 | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| nt and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| d fees | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| sts, net | | (30,281) | | (24,420) | (54,701) | | | (34,840) | (10,749) | (45,589) | | | (39,986) | 40 | (39,946) | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| net of | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| nt and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| d fees | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| sts | 2,098,341 | 324,470 | 4,220 | 1,710,027 | 4,137,058 | | 3,002,350 | 393,697 | 538,170 | 3,934,217 | | 4,032,715 | 454,163 | 124,641 | 4,611,519 | |
| nce for | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| sses | (16,332) | (7,742) | | (24,328) | (48,402) | | (39,925) | (12,284) | (6,151) | (58,360) | | (20,021) | (1,266) | (1,334) | (22,621) | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| net | \$ 2,082,009 | \$ 316,728 | \$ 4,220 | \$ 1,685,699 | \$ 4,088,656 | | \$ 2,962,425 | \$ 381,413 | \$ 532,019 | \$ 3,875,857 | | \$ 4,012,694 | \$ 452,897 | \$ 123,307 | \$ 4,588,898 | |

Table of Contents*Residential Mortgages*

Residential mortgages, including 1-4 single family residential mortgages and home equity loans and lines of credit, have historically represented the majority of the total loan portfolio, although, consistent with our strategy of emphasizing commercial loan production, this portfolio segment is declining as a percentage of total loans. Residential mortgages constituted 26.7% of total new loans and 83.6% of total acquired loans at December 31, 2011. Residential mortgages totaled \$2.5 billion, or 59.9% of total loans and \$3.0 billion, or 75.2% of total loans at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The decline in this portfolio segment, both in total and as a percentage of loans, is primarily a result of the resolution of covered loans, including transfers to OREO. We expect residential loans to continue to decline as a percentage of total loans.

The new residential loan portfolio includes both loans originated and purchased since the Acquisition. We currently originate 1-4 single family residential mortgage loans with terms ranging from 10 to 40 years, with either fixed or adjustable interest rates, primarily to customers in the state of Florida. New residential mortgage loans are primarily closed-end first lien loans for the purchase or re-finance of owner occupied property. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, \$58.2 million or 12.6% and \$28.9 million or 25.6%, respectively of our new 1-4 single family residential loans were originated loans; \$403.2 million or 87.4% and \$84.5 million or 74.4% of our new 1-4 single family residential loans were purchased loans. We have purchased loans to supplement our mortgage origination platform and to geographically diversify our loan portfolio given the current credit environment and limited demand for non-agency mortgage product in Florida. The number of newly originated residential mortgage loans that are refinancings of covered loans is not significant.

Home equity loans and lines of credit are not significant to the new loan portfolio.

The residential portfolio contains option adjustable rate mortgage, ("ARM"), "no-doc" or "reduced-doc" and wholesale production loans originated by the Failed Bank prior to the Acquisition. Subsequent to the Acquisition, we shut down the broker origination channel of the Failed Bank and are no longer originating or purchasing these types of products. All of these loans are covered loans; therefore, the Company's exposure to future losses on these mortgage loans is mitigated by the Loss Sharing Agreements as well as by the fair value basis recorded in these loans resulting from the application of acquisition accounting. The covered loan portfolio includes loans which have been modified by us under the U.S. Treasury Department's Home Affordable Modification Program, or HAMP.

The following table presents a breakdown of the 1-4 single family residential mortgage portfolio categorized between fixed rate and adjustable rate mortgages at the dates indicated (*dollars in thousands*):

| | December 31, 2011 | | | | December 31, 2010 | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|
| | Covered Loans | New Loans | Total | Percent of Total | Covered Loans | New Loans | Total | Percent of Total |
| Fixed rate loans(1) | \$ 534,552 | \$ 311,131 | \$ 845,683 | 37.4% | \$ 653,814 | \$ 72,067 | \$ 725,881 | 27.0% |
| ARM Loans(1) | 1,265,306 | 150,300 | 1,415,606 | 62.6% | 1,919,147 | 41,372 | 1,960,519 | 73.0% |
| | \$ 1,799,858 | \$ 461,431 | \$ 2,261,289 | 100.0% | \$ 2,572,961 | \$ 113,439 | \$ 2,686,400 | 100.0% |

(1)

Before deferred fees and costs, unearned discounts, premiums and the ALLL.

Included in ARM loans above are payment option ARMs representing 37.2% and 32.1% of total ARM loans outstanding as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. All of the option ARMs are

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

covered loans and the substantial majority are ACI loans. The ACI loans are accounted for in accordance with ASC 310-30; therefore, the optionality embedded in these loans does not impact the carrying value of the loans or the amount of interest income recognized on them. These features are taken into account in quarterly updates of expected cash flows from these loans.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, based on UPB, the majority of the 1 - 4 single family residential loans outstanding were to customers domiciled in the following states (*dollars in thousands*):

| | December 31, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|------------|-------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| Florida | \$ 2,819,813 | 53.9% | \$ 3,772,764 | 57.9% |
| California | 496,165 | 9.5% | 451,578 | 6.9% |
| Illinois | 300,500 | 5.7% | 377,975 | 5.8% |
| New Jersey | 241,455 | 4.6% | 381,198 | 5.8% |
| All others | 1,372,000 | 26.3% | 1,537,358 | 23.6% |
| | \$ 5,229,933 | 100.0% | \$ 6,520,873 | 100.0% |

No other state represented borrowers with more than 3% of 1-4 single family residential loans outstanding at December 31, 2011.

Commercial Loans

The commercial portfolio segment includes loans secured by multi-family properties, loans secured by both owner-occupied and non-owner occupied commercial real estate, construction, land and commercial and industrial loans and leases.

Commercial real estate loans include term loans secured by owner and non-owner occupied income producing properties including rental apartments, industrial properties, retail shopping centers, office buildings, warehouses and hotels as well as real estate secured lines of credit. Loans secured by commercial real estate typically have shorter repayment periods and re-price more frequently than 1-4 single family residential loans. The Company's underwriting standards generally provide for loan terms of five years, with amortization schedules of no more than twenty-five years. Loan to value, or LTV, ratios are typically limited to no more than 80%. In addition, the Company usually obtains personal guarantees of the principals as additional security for most commercial real estate loans.

Commercial loans are typically made to growing companies and middle market businesses and include equipment loans, working capital lines of credit, asset-backed loans, acquisition finance credit facilities, lease financing and Small Business Administration product offerings. These loans may be structured as term loans, typically with maturities of five years or less, or revolving lines of credit which typically mature annually. Lease financing consists primarily of municipal equipment leases originated by Pinnacle Public Finance.

Since the Acquisition, management's loan origination strategy has been heavily focused on the commercial portfolio segment, which comprised 73.1% and 78.4% of new loans as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. New commercial loans that represent refinancings of covered loans are not significant.

Consumer Loans

Consumer loans include loans secured by certificates of deposit, auto loans, demand deposit account overdrafts and unsecured personal lines of credit and are not material.

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Loan Maturities

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2011, the anticipated repayments of our loan portfolio by category, based on UPB. Anticipated repayments are based on contractual maturities adjusted for an estimated rate of prepayments and defaults based on historical trends, current interest rates, types of loans and refinance patterns (*in thousands*):

| | One Year or Less | Due in After One Through Five Years | After Five Years | Total |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------|--------------|
| Residential: | | | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | \$ 968,438 | \$ 2,825,292 | \$ 1,436,203 | \$ 5,229,933 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 50,272 | 164,921 | 142,190 | 357,383 |
| | 1,018,710 | 2,990,213 | 1,578,393 | 5,587,316 |
| Commercial: | | | | |
| Multi-family | 18,057 | 114,663 | 10,362 | 143,082 |
| Commercial real estate | 114,335 | 523,730 | 91,027 | 729,092 |
| Construction | 3,899 | 26,943 | 5,483 | 36,325 |
| Land | 29,290 | 22,858 | | 52,148 |
| Commercial loans and leases | 293,804 | 519,223 | 51,956 | 864,983 |
| | 459,385 | 1,207,417 | 158,828 | 1,825,630 |
| Consumer: | 1,555 | 4,538 | 1,002 | 7,095 |
| | \$ 1,479,650 | \$ 4,202,168 | \$ 1,738,223 | \$ 7,420,041 |

The following table shows the distribution of UPB of those loans that mature in more than one year between fixed and adjustable interest rate loans as of December 31, 2011 (*in thousands*):

| | Interest Rate Type | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Fixed | Adjustable | Total |
| Residential: | | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | \$ 1,344,964 | \$ 2,916,531 | \$ 4,261,495 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 30,981 | 276,130 | 307,111 |
| | 1,375,945 | 3,192,661 | 4,568,606 |
| Commercial: | | | |
| Multi-family | 49,612 | 75,413 | 125,025 |
| Commercial real estate | 288,288 | 326,469 | 614,757 |
| Construction | | 32,426 | 32,426 |
| Land | 5,608 | 17,250 | 22,858 |
| Commercial loans and leases | 210,473 | 360,706 | 571,179 |
| | 553,981 | 812,264 | 1,366,245 |
| Consumer: | 3,985 | 1,555 | 5,540 |
| | \$ 1,933,911 | \$ 4,006,480 | \$ 5,940,391 |

Table of Contents

Asset Quality

In discussing asset quality, a distinction must be made between covered loans and new loans. New loans were underwritten under significantly different and generally more conservative standards than the covered loans. In particular, credit approval policies have been strengthened, wholesale mortgage origination channels have been eliminated, "no-doc" and option ARM loan products have been eliminated, and real estate appraisal policies have been improved. Although the risk profile of covered loans is higher than that of new loans, our exposure to loss related to the covered loans is significantly mitigated by the Loss Sharing Agreements and by the fair value basis recorded in these loans resulting from the application of acquisition accounting.

We recognize that developing and maintaining a strong credit culture is paramount to our success. We have established a robust credit risk management framework and put in place an experienced team to lead the workout and recovery process for the commercial and commercial real estate portfolios. We have also implemented a dedicated internal loan review function that reports directly to our Audit Committee. We have an experienced resolution team in place for covered residential mortgage loans, and have implemented outsourcing arrangements with industry leading firms in certain areas such as OREO resolution.

Loan performance is monitored by our credit administration, workout and recovery and loan review departments. Commercial loans are regularly reviewed by our internal loan review department. Relationships with committed balances greater than \$250,000 are reviewed at least annually. The Company utilizes an internal asset risk classification system as part of its efforts to monitor and improve commercial asset quality. Loans exhibiting potential credit weaknesses that deserve management's close attention and that if left uncorrected may result in deterioration of the repayment capacity of the borrower are categorized as special mention. These borrowers may exhibit negative financial trends or erratic financial performance, strained liquidity, marginal collateral coverage, declining industry trends or weak management. Loans with well defined credit weaknesses that may result in a loss if the deficiencies are not corrected are assigned a risk rating of substandard. These borrowers may exhibit payment defaults, insufficient cash flows, operating losses, negative financial trends, or declining collateral values. Loans with weaknesses so severe that collection in full is highly questionable or improbable, but because of certain reasonably specific pending factors have not been charged off, are assigned risk ratings of doubtful.

Residential mortgage loans are not individually risk rated. Delinquency status is the primary measure we use to monitor the credit quality of these loans.

Non-covered loans

At December 31, 2011, forty-seven non-covered commercial loans with an aggregate balance of \$7.7 million were rated special mention and forty-three non-covered commercial loans totaling \$13.7 million were classified substandard or doubtful. At December 31, 2010, twenty non-covered commercial loans aggregating \$9.0 million were rated special mention and twelve non-covered commercial loans aggregating \$5.9 million were classified substandard.

At December 31, 2011, no new 1-4 single family residential loans were 90 days or more past due. New 1-4 single family residential loans past due less than 90 days totaled \$15.9 million at December 31, 2011; this population consisted primarily of eighteen purchased loans with missed payments due on December 1, 2011. Home equity loans and lines of credit in the new loan portfolio that were 90 days or more past due totaled \$27 thousand. Substantially all of the home equity loans and lines of credit in the new portfolio are first liens. There were no delinquencies in the new residential mortgage or home equity loan portfolios as of December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

The majority of our new residential mortgage portfolio consists of purchased loans. The credit parameters for purchasing loans are similar to the underwriting guidelines in place for our mortgage origination platform. For purchasing seasoned loans, good payment history is required. In general, we purchase performing jumbo mortgage pools which have average FICO scores above 700, primarily are owner-occupied and full documentation, and have a current LTV less than 80%. We perform due diligence on the purchased loans for credit, compliance, counterparty, payment history and property valuation.

At December 31, 2011, the purchased loan portfolio had the following characteristics: 69.2% were fixed rate loans, 100% were full documentation and had an average FICO score of 765 and average LTV of 68.5%. The majority of this portfolio was owner-occupied, with 82.1% primary residence and 17.9% second homes or investment properties. In terms of vintage, 6.0% of the portfolio was originated pre 2007, 0.9% in 2007, 5.0% in 2008, 2.3% in 2009, 7.0% in 2010 and 78.8% in 2011.

Similarly, the originated loan portfolio had the following characteristics at December 31, 2011: 68.5% were fixed rate loans, 100% were full documentation and had an average FICO score of 771 and average LTV of 63.9%. The majority of this portfolio was owner-occupied, with 95.1% primary residence and 4.9% second home. In terms of vintage, 8.2% of the portfolio was originated in 2009, 37.5% in 2010 and 54.3% in 2011.

Delinquent consumer loans in the new portfolio were insignificant as of December 31, 2011 and 2010.

Covered loans

Covered loans consist of both ACI loans and non-ACI loans. At December 31, 2011, covered ACI loans totaled \$2.1 billion and covered non-ACI loans totaled \$324.5 million, net of unearned discounts and deferred fees and costs.

Residential

Covered residential loans were placed into homogenous pools at Acquisition and the ongoing credit quality and performance of these loans is monitored on a pool basis. At Acquisition, the fair value of the pools was measured based on the expected cash flows to be derived from each pool. Initial cash flow expectations incorporated significant assumptions regarding prepayment rates, frequency of default and loss severity. For ACI pools, the difference between total contractual payments due and the cash flows expected to be received at Acquisition was recognized as non-accretable difference. The excess of expected cash flows over the recorded fair value of each ACI pool at Acquisition, known as the accretable yield, is being recognized as interest income over the life of each pool. We monitor the pools quarterly to determine whether any significant changes have occurred in expected cash flows that would be indicative of impairment or necessitate reclassification between non-accretable difference and accretable yield. Generally, improvements in expected cash flows less than 1% of the expected cash flows from a pool are not recorded. This materiality threshold may be revised as we gain greater experience. Generally, commercial and commercial real estate loans are monitored individually due to their size and other unique characteristics.

Residential mortgage loans, including home equity loans, comprised 87.8% of the UPB of the acquired loan portfolio at the Acquisition date. We performed a detailed analysis of the portfolio to determine the key loan characteristics influencing performance. Key characteristics influencing the performance of the residential portfolio were determined to be delinquency status; product type, in particular, amortizing as opposed to option ARM products; current indexed LTV ratio; and original FICO score. The ACI loans in the residential mortgage portfolio were grouped into ten homogenous static pools based on these characteristics, and the non-ACI residential loans were grouped into two homogenous static pools. There were other variables which we initially expected to have a significant

Table of Contents

influence on performance and which were considered in our analysis; however, the results of our analysis demonstrated that their impact was less significant after controlling for current indexed LTV, product type, and FICO score. Therefore, these additional factors were not used in grouping the covered residential loans into pools and are not used in monitoring ongoing asset quality of the pools. The factors we considered but determined not to be significant included the level and type of documentation required at origination, i.e., whether a loan was originated under full documentation, reduced documentation, or no documentation programs; occupancy, defined as owner occupied vs. non-owner occupied collateral properties; geography; and vintage, i.e., year of origination.

At December 31, 2011, the carrying value of 1-4 single family residential non-ACI loans was \$92.7 million; \$9.6 million or 10.4% of these loans were 30 days or more past due and \$6.6 million or 7.1% were 90 days or more past due. At December 31, 2011, ACI 1-4 single family residential loans totaled \$1.7 billion. \$403.0 million or 24.0% of these loans were delinquent by 30 days or more and \$310.8 million or 18.5% were delinquent by 90 days or more.

At December 31, 2011, non-ACI home equity loans and lines of credit had an aggregate carrying value of \$179.0 million; \$14.6 million or 8.2% of these loans were 30 days or more past due and \$7.8 million or 4.4% were 90 days or more past due. ACI home equity loans and lines of credit had a carrying amount of \$71.6 million at December 31, 2011. At December 31, 2011, \$14.3 million or 19.9% of ACI home equity loans and lines of credit were 30 days or more contractually delinquent and \$10.9 million or 15.3% were delinquent by 90 days or more. At December 31, 2011 4.5% and 5.8%, respectively, of the non-ACI and ACI home equity loans and lines of credit are first liens while 95.5% and 94.2%, respectively, of the non-ACI and ACI home equity loans and lines of credit are second or third liens. Expected loss severity given default is significantly higher for home equity loans that are not first liens.

Although delinquencies in the covered residential portfolio are high, potential future losses to the Company related to these loans are significantly mitigated by the Loss Sharing Agreements.

Commercial

The ongoing asset quality of significant commercial and commercial real estate loans is monitored on an individual basis through our regular credit review and risk rating process. We believe internal risk rating is the best indicator of the credit quality of commercial loans. Homogenous groups of smaller balance commercial loans may be monitored collectively.

At December 31, 2011, non-ACI commercial loans had an aggregate UPB of \$54.0 million and a carrying value, net of discounts of \$52.8 million; 82% of these loans were rated "pass" and this portfolio segment has limited delinquency history. At December 31, 2011, eleven loans with a carrying value totaling \$2.0 million were rated special mention, forty-six loans with a carrying value totaling \$7.1 million were rated substandard and 4 loans with a carrying value of \$0.2 million were rated doubtful.

At December 31, 2011, ACI commercial loans had a carrying value of \$346.2 million, of which \$342.0 million are covered under the Loss Sharing Agreements. At December 31, 2011, loans with carrying values of \$13.1 million, \$140.1 million and \$1.4 million were internally risk rated special mention, substandard and doubtful, respectively.

Potential future losses to the Company related to the covered ACI loans are significantly mitigated by the Loss Sharing Agreements.

Table of Contents

Impaired Loans and Non-Performing Assets

Non-performing assets consist of (i) non-accrual loans, including loans that have been restructured in troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") and placed on nonaccrual status or that have not yet exhibited a consistent six month payment history since modification, (ii) accruing loans that are more than 90 days contractually past due as to interest or principal, excluding ACI loans, and (iii) OREO. Impaired loans also include loans modified in TDRs that are performing according to their modified terms and ACI loans for which expected cash flows have been revised downward since Acquisition. Because of discount accretion, these ACI loans have not been classified as nonaccrual loans and we do not consider them to be non-performing assets. As of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, substantially all of the non-performing loans and all of the OREO were covered assets. The Company's exposure to loss related to covered assets is significantly mitigated by the Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC and by the fair value basis recorded in these assets resulting from the application of acquisition accounting.

Non-performing loans at December 31, 2011 included twenty-seven new commercial loans with an aggregate balance of \$2.8 million and new residential loans totaling \$27 thousand. At December 31, 2010 non-performing loans included five new commercial loans with balances totaling \$3.2 million. There were no non-performing new commercial loans at December 31, 2009. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, there were no non-performing new residential loans.

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the Company's impaired loans and other non-performing assets at the dates indicated (*in thousands*):

| | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Nonaccrual loans: | | | |
| Residential: | | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | \$ 7,410 | \$ 9,585 | \$ 14,495 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 10,478 | 10,817 | 2,726 |
| Total residential loans | 17,888 | 20,402 | 17,221 |
| Commercial: | | | |
| Multi-family | | 200 | |
| Commercial real estate | 295 | 75 | |
| Construction | 3 | | |
| Land | 332 | | |
| Commercial loans and leases | 9,164 | 5,097 | 150 |
| Total commercial loans | 9,794 | 5,372 | 150 |
| Total nonaccrual loans | 27,682 | 25,774 | 17,371 |
| Non-ACI and new loans past due 90 days and still accruing | 375 | | |
| TDRs | 824 | | |
| Total non-performing loans | 28,881 | 25,774 | 17,371 |
| Other real estate owned | 123,737 | 206,680 | 120,110 |
| Total non-performing assets | 152,618 | 232,454 | 137,481 |
| Impaired ACI loans on accrual status | 94,536 | 262,130 | 567,253 |
| TDRs in compliance with their modified terms | 583 | | |
| Total impaired loans and non-performing assets | \$ 247,737 | \$ 494,584 | \$ 704,734 |
| | | | |
| Non-performing loans to total loans(1) | 0.70% | 0.66% | 0.38% |
| Non-performing assets to total assets | 1.35% | 2.14% | 1.24% |
| ALLL to total loans(1) | 1.17% | 1.48% | 0.49% |
| ALLL to non-performing loans | 167.59% | 226.35% | 130.22% |
| Net charge-offs to average loans | 0.62% | 0.37% | 0.00% |

(1) Total loans for purposes of calculating these ratios is net of unearned discounts and deferred fees and costs.

At December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, substantially all of the nonaccrual loans are non-ACI loans. Contractually delinquent ACI loans are not reflected as nonaccrual loans because discount continues to be accreted. Discount accretion continues to be recorded as there continues to be an expectation of future cash flows in excess of carrying amount from these loans. The carrying value of ACI loans contractually delinquent by more than 90 days but still accruing was \$361.2 million, \$717.7 million and \$1.2 billion at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The decline in the ratio of non-performing assets to total assets at December 31, 2011 as compared to December 31, 2010 is primarily attributable to the decrease in OREO. The decline in the ratio of the ALLL to total loans and to non-performing loans resulted from the recovery of provision for ACI loans attributable to improvements in expected cash flows from those loans.

Non-performing assets reported for the post-Acquisition periods are substantially lower than non-performing assets for the pre-Acquisition periods primarily due to the recording of these assets at their fair values in conjunction with the application of acquisition accounting and the fact that ACI

Table of Contents

loans are no longer reflected as nonaccrual loans as discussed above. The lower ratio of the ALLL to total loans at dates subsequent to the Acquisition is a direct result of the fact that no allowance was initially recorded with respect to the acquired loans. Rather, the estimated fair value at which these loans were initially recorded incorporated significant assumptions related to credit quality and default probabilities. Due to the foregoing factors, the ratios presented in the table above may lack comparability to those of our peers.

Except for ACI loans, commercial loans are placed on nonaccrual status when (i) management has determined that full payment of all contractual principal and interest is in doubt, or (ii) the loan is past due 90 days or more as to principal and/or interest, unless the loan is well-secured and in the process of collection. Residential loans are placed on nonaccrual status when there is 90 days of interest due and uncollected. Residential loans are returned to accrual status when less than 90 days of interest is due and unpaid. Commercial loans are returned to accruing status only after all past due principal and interest has been collected. Except for ACI loans accounted for in pools, loans that are the subject of troubled debt restructurings are generally placed on nonaccrual status at the time of the modification unless the borrower has no history of missed payments for six months prior to the restructuring. If borrowers perform pursuant to the modified loan terms for at least six months and the remaining loan balances are considered collectable, the loans are returned to accrual status.

A loan modification is considered a TDR if the Company, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that the Company would not otherwise grant. These concessions may take the form of temporarily or permanently reduced interest rates, payment abatement periods, extensions of maturity, or in some cases, partial forgiveness of principal. Under generally accepted accounting principles, modified ACI loans accounted for in pools are not accounted for as troubled debt restructurings and are not separated from their respective pools when modified. As of December 31, 2011 there were five non-ACI commercial relationships with a total carrying value of \$366 thousand and one new commercial relationship with a balance of \$231 thousand that had been modified in TDRs. Additionally, at December 31, 2011 there were eleven non-ACI residential loans with a total carrying value of \$1.5 million that were the subject of HAMP modifications and classified as TDRs. No non-ACI or new loans were modified in TDRs during the year ended December 31, 2010 or the period ended December 31, 2009.

At December 31, 2011, there were four ACI commercial relationships with an aggregate carrying value of \$1.4 million that had been modified in TDRs. As of December 31, 2010, there were three commercial ACI relationships with a total carrying value of \$2.4 million that were the subject of TDRs.

Additional interest income that would have been recognized on nonaccrual loans and TDRs had they performed in accordance with their original contractual terms is not material.

Loss Mitigation Strategies

Although our exposure to loss on covered assets is mitigated by the Loss Sharing Agreements, we have implemented strategies designed to minimize losses on these assets. We have increased the quality and experience level of our workout and recovery and mortgage servicing departments. We evaluate each loan in default to determine the most effective loss mitigation strategy, which may be modification, short sale, or foreclosure. In 2009, we began loan modifications under HAMP for eligible borrowers in the residential portfolio. HAMP is a uniform loan modification process that provides eligible borrowers with sustainable monthly mortgage payments equal to a target 31% of their gross monthly income. As of December 31, 2011, 11,278 borrowers had been counseled regarding their participation in HAMP; 7,946 of those borrowers were initially determined to be potentially eligible for loan modifications under the program. As of December 31, 2011, 1,507 borrowers who did not elect to participate in the program had been sent termination letters and 2,574 borrowers had been denied due to ineligibility. At December 31, 2011, there were 3,078 permanent loan modifications and 121 active trial modifications.

Table of Contents

Analysis of the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

The ALLL relates to (i) new loans, (ii) estimated additional losses arising on non-ACI loans subsequent to the Acquisition, and (iii) additional impairment recognized as a result of decreases in expected cash flows on ACI loans due to further credit deterioration. The impact of any additional provision for losses on covered loans is significantly mitigated by an increase in the FDIC indemnification asset. The determination of the amount of the ALLL is, by nature, highly complex and subjective. Future events that are inherently uncertain could result in material changes to the level of the ALLL. General economic conditions such as unemployment rates, real estate values in our primary market areas and the level of interest rates, as well as a variety of other factors that affect the ability of borrowers' businesses to generate cash flows sufficient to service their debts will impact the future performance of the portfolio.

New and non-ACI Loans

Based on an analysis of historical performance of the non-ACI residential mortgage and home equity portfolio, OREO and short sale losses and recent trending data, we have concluded that LTV ratio is the leading predictive indicator of loss severity for this portfolio. The non-ACI residential mortgage and home equity portfolios have therefore been divided into homogenous groups and stratified based on LTV for purposes of calculating the ALLL. Calculated frequency of roll to loss and severity percentages are applied to the dollar value of loans in each group to calculate an overall loss allowance. LTV ratios at the individual loan level are updated quarterly using the appropriate Case-Shiller quarterly MSA Home Price Index to adjust the original appraised value of the underlying collateral. Frequency is calculated for each pool using a four month roll to loss percentage, based on the assumption that if an event has occurred with a borrower that will ultimately result in a loss, this will manifest itself as a loan in default and in process of foreclosure within four months. Loss severity given default is estimated based on internal data about OREO sales and short sales from the portfolio. The ALLL calculation incorporates a 100% loss severity assumption for home equity loans at 120 days delinquency.

Due to the lack of similarity between the risk characteristics of new loans and covered loans in the residential and home equity portfolios, management does not believe it is appropriate to use the historical performance of the Failed Bank's residential mortgage portfolio as a basis for calculating the ALLL applicable to new loans. The new loan portfolio is not seasoned and has not yet developed an observable loss trend. Therefore, the ALLL for new residential loans is based primarily on peer group average historical loss rates as discussed further below.

Since the new loan portfolio is not yet seasoned enough to exhibit a loss trend and the non-ACI portfolio has limited delinquency history, the ALLL for new and non-ACI commercial loans is based primarily on the Bank's internal credit risk rating system and peer group average historical loss rates by loan class. The allowance is comprised of specific reserves for significant classified loans that are individually evaluated and determined to be impaired as well as general reserves for individually evaluated loans determined not to be impaired and loans that do not meet our established threshold for individual evaluation. Commercial relationships graded substandard or doubtful and on nonaccrual status with committed credit facilities greater than or equal to \$500,000 are individually evaluated for impairment. A quarterly net realizable value analysis is prepared for each of these relationships. This analysis forms the basis for establishing specific reserves. Loans modified in TDRs are also evaluated individually for impairment. We believe that loans rated substandard or doubtful that are not individually evaluated for impairment exhibit characteristics indicative of a heightened level of credit risk. We group these loans by product type and performance status and establish general reserve percentages based on our analysis of the risks characterizing each group. Factors that impact our judgment as to the appropriate loss percentage to assign may include the underwriting criteria applied

Table of Contents

to a particular product type, whether the loans are secured or unsecured, the type of collateral, and delinquency status.

The peer group used to calculate the average historical loss rates that form the basis for our general reserve calculations is a group of 20 banks in the southeast region determined by management to be the most comparable to BankUnited. Factors that impacted the selection of the peer group included asset size, composition of the loan portfolio and credit quality ratios including net charge-offs to average loans, ALLL to total loans, ALLL to noncurrent loans and noncurrent loans to total loans. Peer bank data was obtained from the Statistics on Depository Institutions Report published by the FDIC for the most recent quarter available. For new loans, a six quarter average of peer group historical loss rates was used as this period corresponds to the vintage of the majority of loans in this portfolio segment. For the non-ACI portfolio, a twelve quarter average of peer group historical loss rates was used as this period is considered more representative of expected loss experience for the more seasoned loans in this segment.

Our internal risk rating system comprises 13 credit grades; grades 1 through 8 are "pass" grades. The risk ratings are driven largely by debt service coverage. Peer group average historical loss rates are adjusted upward for loans rated special mention or assigned a lower "pass" rating. Peer group average historical loss rates are adjusted downward for loans assigned the highest "pass" grades.

In addition to the quantitative calculations described above, adjustments are made to the allowance for relevant qualitative factors when there is a material observable trend in those factors not already taken into account in the quantitative calculations. Qualitative factors that may result in an adjustment to the allowance have been grouped into four categories:

portfolio trends,

policy and credit guidelines,

economic factors, and

credit concentrations.

At December 31, 2011, qualitative adjustments were made to historical loss percentages related to the current economic climate, portfolio trends and for certain classes of small business commercial loans, policy and credit guidelines. Adjustments related to the current economic climate were driven by uncertainty about general economic conditions including unemployment rates and real estate prices. Adjustments for portfolio trends related to the rapid growth rate of the new loan portfolio. Adjustments related to policy and credit guidelines impacted certain small business commercial loan products and related to the underwriting criteria applied to those particular products. Qualitative adjustments did not have a material impact on the ALLL as of December 31, 2011.

Prior to the fourth quarter of 2011, we used the OTS "Thrift Industry Charge-Off Rates by Asset Type, annualized Net Charge-Off Rates Twelve Quarter Average" for the southeast region (the "OTS Charge-Off Rates") rather than peer group historical loss rates in our determination of general reserve percentages. Particularly as the new loan portfolio has grown, we believe the peer group average loss rates are more representative of expected loss experience from our portfolio. The transition to use of peer group historical loss rates did not have a material impact on the determination of the amount of the ALLL as of December 31, 2011.

For non-ACI loans, the allowance is initially calculated based on UPB. The total of UPB, less the calculated allowance, is then compared to the carrying amount of the loans, net of unamortized credit related fair value adjustments established at Acquisition. If the calculated balance net of the allowance is less than the carrying amount, an additional allowance is established. Any such increase in the allowance for non-ACI loans will result in a corresponding increase in the FDIC indemnification asset.

Table of Contents

ACI Loans

For ACI loans, a valuation allowance is established when periodic evaluations of expected cash flows reflect a decrease resulting from credit related factors from the level of cash flows that were estimated to be collected at Acquisition plus any additional expected cash flows arising from revisions in those estimates. We perform a quarterly analysis of expected cash flows for ACI loans.

The analysis of expected cash flows for residential ACI pools incorporates updated pool level expected prepayment rates, default rates, and delinquency levels, and loan level loss severity given default assumptions. Prepayment, delinquency and default curves used for this purpose are derived from roll rates generated from the historical performance of the ACI residential loan portfolio observed over the immediately preceding four quarters. Given the static nature of the pools and unique characteristics of the loans, we believe that regularly updated historical information from the Company's own portfolio is the best available indicator of future performance. Estimates of default probability and severity of loss given default also incorporate updated LTV ratios. Historic and projected values for the Case-Shiller Home Price Index for the relevant MSA are utilized at the individual loan level to project current and future property values. Costs and fees represent an additional component of loss on default, and are projected using the "Making Home Affordable" cost factors provided by the Federal government.

Our analysis at December 31, 2009 indicated a decrease in expected cash flows due to credit related assumptions related to two ACI residential mortgage pools; therefore, a provision for loan losses of \$20.0 million was recorded, along with a corresponding increase in the FDIC indemnification asset of \$14.4 million. As of December 31, 2010, our analysis evidenced a significant improvement in expected cash flows related to these two ACI residential pools and an offsetting decrease in expected cash flows due to credit related assumptions related to the ACI home equity loan pool. As a result, the \$20.0 million allowance established at December 31, 2009 related to ACI residential pools, along with the increase in the FDIC indemnification asset of \$14.4 million, was reversed, and a provision for loan losses of \$18.5 million, along with a corresponding increase in the FDIC indemnification asset of \$14.0 million, was recorded related to the pooled home equity ACI loans during the year ended December 31, 2010. Due to improved performance of and projected cash flows from the home equity ACI pool during 2011, the allowance established related to this pool in 2010, along with the corresponding increase in the FDIC indemnification asset, were reversed in 2011. As a result, there is no valuation allowance related to ACI residential and home equity pools at December 31, 2011.

The primary assumptions underlying estimates of expected cash flows for ACI commercial loans are default probability and severity of loss given default. Updated assumptions for large balance and delinquent loans in the commercial ACI portfolio are based on net realizable value analyses prepared at the individual loan level by the Company's workout and recovery department. Updated assumptions for smaller balance commercial loans are based on a combination of the Company's own historical delinquency and severity data and industry level data. Delinquency data is used as a proxy for defaults as the Company's experience has been that few of these loans return to performing status after being delinquent greater than 60 days. An additional multiplier is also applied in developing assumptions for loans rated special mention, substandard, or doubtful based on the Company's historical loss experience with classified loans.

For the period ended December 31, 2009, there were no decreases in expected cash flows for commercial ACI loans; therefore, no ALLL was provided related to these loans. For the year ended December 31, 2010, our analysis indicated a decrease in expected cash flows from certain ACI commercial loans evaluated individually for credit impairment, resulting in a provision for loan losses of \$35.5 million related to these ACI loans. An increase in the FDIC indemnification asset of \$19.9 million was recorded related to this provision. Based on our loan level analysis of commercial ACI loans for the year ended December 31, 2011 an additional provision for loan losses related to commercial ACI loans of \$7.2 million was recorded. An increase in the FDIC indemnification asset of \$6.2 million was recorded related to this provision.

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table provides an analysis of the ALLL, provision for loan losses and net charge-offs for the periods indicated (*in thousands*):

| | Years Ended | | Period from May 22, 2009 to December 31, 2009 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--|
| | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | |
| ALLL, beginning of period | \$ 58,360 | \$ 22,621 | \$ |
| Provision for loan losses: | | | |
| ACI loans | | | |
| Residential loans | (18,488) | (1,533) | 20,021 |
| Commercial loans | 7,210 | 35,461 | |
| Total provision for loan losses on ACI loans | (11,278) | 33,928 | 20,021 |
| Non-ACI loans | | | |
| Residential loans | (1,491) | 10,985 | 130 |
| Commercial loans | 5,077 | 1,353 | 1,136 |
| Consumer loans | | 215 | |
| Total provision for losses on non-ACI loans | 3,586 | 12,553 | 1,266 |
| New loans | | | |
| Residential loans | 3,862 | 102 | 69 |
| Commercial loans | 17,662 | 4,815 | 1,219 |
| Consumer loans | (4) | 9 | 46 |
| Total provision for losses on new loans | 21,520 | 4,926 | 1,334 |
| Total provision for loan losses | 13,828 | 51,407 | 22,621 |
| Charge-offs: | | | |
| ACI loans | (13,527) | (14,024) | |
| Non-ACI loans | (8,489) | (1,535) | |
| New loans | (3,367) | (109) | |
| Total charge-offs | (25,383) | (15,668) | |
| Recoveries: | | | |
| ACI loans | 1,212 | | |
| Non-ACI loans | 361 | | |
| New loans | 24 | | |
| Total recoveries | 1,597 | | |
| ALLL, end of period | \$ 48,402 | \$ 58,360 | \$ 22,621 |

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table shows the distribution of the ALLL, broken out between Covered and non-Covered loans, as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 (*in thousands*):

| | December 31, 2011 | | | | | December 31, 2010 | | | | | December 31, 2009 | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|--------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|--------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|--------|
| | Covered ACI | Non- ACI | New Loans | Total | % (1) | Covered ACI | Non- ACI | New Loans | Total | % (1) | Covered ACI | Non- ACI | New Loans | Total | % (1) |
| Residential: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1-4 single family residential | \$ | \$ 593 | \$ 4,015 | \$ 4,608 | 53.8% | \$ | \$ 761 | \$ 168 | \$ 929 | 67.5% | \$20,021 | \$ 119 | \$ 65 | \$20,205 | 76.0% |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | | 5,549 | 18 | 5,567 | 6.1% | 18,488 | 9,229 | 3 | 27,720 | 7.7% | | 11 | 4 | 15 | 7.1% |
| Total | | 6,142 | 4,033 | 10,175 | 59.9% | 18,488 | 9,990 | 171 | 28,649 | 75.2% | 20,021 | 130 | 69 | 20,220 | 83.1% |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Multi-family real estate | 1,063 | 5 | 929 | 1,997 | 4.1% | 5,701 | 633 | 772 | 7,106 | 2.8% | | 60 | 11 | 71 | 1.7% |
| Commercial construction | 10,672 | 284 | 4,529 | 15,485 | 13.5% | 5,795 | 418 | 1,189 | 7,402 | 11.4% | | 465 | 303 | 768 | 9.2% |
| Land | 991 | | 266 | 1,257 | 0.7% | 1,017 | 1 | 118 | 1,136 | 0.4% | | 5 | | 5 | 1.0% |
| Commercial loans and leases | 1,319 | 62 | 71 | 1,452 | 1.0% | 3,874 | 26 | 102 | 4,002 | 1.3% | | 2 | | 2 | 0.9% |
| Total | 2,287 | 1,249 | 14,449 | 17,985 | 20.6% | 5,050 | 1,216 | 3,744 | 10,010 | 8.7% | | 604 | 905 | 1,509 | 3.9% |
| Total | 16,332 | 1,600 | 20,244 | 38,176 | 39.9% | 21,437 | 2,294 | 5,925 | 29,656 | 24.6% | | 1,136 | 1,219 | 2,355 | 16.7% |
| Consumer | | | 51 | 51 | 0.2% | | | 55 | 55 | 0.2% | | | 46 | 46 | 0.2% |
| Total ALLL | \$ 16,332 | \$ 7,742 | \$ 24,328 | \$ 48,402 | 100.0% | \$ 39,925 | \$ 12,284 | \$ 6,151 | \$ 58,360 | 100.0% | \$ 20,021 | \$ 1,266 | \$ 1,334 | \$ 22,621 | 100.0% |

(1) Represents percentage of loans receivable in each category to total loans receivable.

Other Real Estate Owned

All of the OREO properties owned by the Company are covered assets. The following table presents the changes in OREO for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ending December 31, 2009 (*in thousands*):

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 206,680 | \$ 120,110 | \$ 177,679 |
| Transfers from loan portfolio | 338,256 | 401,763 | 115,192 |
| (Decrease) increase from resolution of covered loans | (25,298) | (9,530) | 25,702 |
| Sales | (371,332) | (289,532) | (177,408) |
| Impairment | (24,569) | (16,131) | (21,055) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 123,737 | \$ 206,680 | \$ 120,110 |

The majority of our OREO properties are located in the State of Florida. At December 31, 2011, 57.3% of properties were located in Florida, 10.0%, in Illinois, 8.9% in California, 4.0% in New Jersey and 3.1% in Massachusetts. At December 31, 2011, 94.3% of OREO consisted of residential properties and 5.7% consisted of commercial properties.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

In conjunction with the Acquisition, we recognized approximately \$59.4 million of goodwill and a \$1.8 million core deposit intangible. In conjunction with the acquisition of a small business lending company and a municipal leasing company in the fourth quarter of 2010, we recorded customer relationship intangible assets of \$0.4 million and additional goodwill of \$7.9 million.

Table of Contents

The Company has a single reporting unit. We perform goodwill impairment testing in the third quarter of each fiscal year or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that impairment may exist. As of the 2011 impairment testing date, the estimated fair value of the reporting unit substantially exceeded its carrying amount; therefore, no impairment was indicated. Estimated fair value was based on the market capitalization of the Company's common stock.

Other Assets

During the year ended December 31, 2011, \$70.4 million of FHLB stock was redeemed at par.

The most significant components of other assets are FHLB stock, bank owned life insurance, premises and equipment, accrued interest receivable and prepaid expenses. The increase in other assets at December 31, 2011 as compared to December 31, 2010 resulted primarily from an increase in premises and equipment of approximately \$35.0 million related to improvements to new and existing branch facilities and increased investment in our technology platforms, partially offset by the satisfaction of a receivable of \$20.8 million related to the surrender of bank owned life insurance.

Deposits

The following table presents information about our deposits for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 (*dollars in thousands*):

| | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Average Balance | Average Rate | Average Balance | Average Rate | Average Balance | Average Rate |
| Demand deposits: | | | | | | |
| Non-interest bearing | \$ 622,377 | | \$ 440,673 | | \$ 303,810 | |
| Interest bearing | 382,329 | 0.65% | 273,897 | 0.72% | 183,416 | 0.79% |
| Money market | 2,165,230 | 0.88% | 1,667,277 | 1.20% | 1,205,446 | 1.93% |
| Savings | 1,201,236 | 0.83% | 1,203,491 | 1.18% | 948,000 | 1.94% |
| Time | 2,585,201 | 1.71% | 3,889,961 | 1.85% | 5,506,320 | 0.93% |
| | \$ 6,956,373 | 1.09% | \$ 7,475,299 | 1.45% | \$ 8,146,992 | 1.16% |

Excluding the impact of accretion from fair value adjustments due to acquisition accounting, the average rate paid on interest bearing deposits for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 was 1.31%, 1.85% and 2.77%, respectively.

The distribution of deposits reflected in the table reflects the continued run-off of time deposits and increases in lower-rate deposit products, consistent with management's business strategy.

The following table shows scheduled maturities of certificates of deposit with denominations equal to or greater than \$100,000 as of December 31, 2011 (*in thousands*):

| | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Three months or less | \$ 126,699 |
| Over three through six months | 68,141 |
| Over six through twelve months | 438,052 |
| Over twelve months | 695,615 |
| | \$ 1,328,507 |

Borrowed Funds

The following table sets forth information regarding our short-term borrowings, consisting of securities sold under agreements to repurchase and federal funds purchased, as of December 31, 2011,

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

2010 and 2009 and for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 (*dollars in thousands*):

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|---------------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Maximum outstanding at any month-end | \$ 2,165 | \$ 17,459 | \$ 2,972 |
| Balance outstanding at end of year | \$ 206 | \$ 492 | \$ 2,972 |
| Average outstanding during the year | \$ 1,333 | \$ 7,812 | \$ 2,091 |
| Average interest rate during the year | 0.48% | 0.92% | 0.02% |
| Average interest rate at end of year | 0.50% | 0.43% | 0.01% |

The Company also utilizes FHLB advances to finance its operations. FHLB advances are secured by stock in the FHLB required to be purchased in proportion to outstanding advances and qualifying first mortgage, commercial real estate, and home equity loans and mortgage-backed securities. The following table provides information about outstanding FHLB advances at December 31, 2011 (*in thousands*):

| Maturing in: | |
|--|--------------|
| 2012 | \$ 1,145,000 |
| 2013 | 565,000 |
| 2014 | 505,000 |
| 2015 | 350 |
| | |
| Total contractual balance outstanding | 2,215,350 |
| Acquisition accounting fair value adjustment | 20,781 |
| | |
| Carrying value | \$ 2,236,131 |

The change in carrying value of outstanding FHLB advances from December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2011 was attributable to accretion of fair value adjustments recorded in connection with the Acquisition.

Results of Operations for the Pre-Acquisition Periods

The Failed Bank reported net losses of \$(1.2) billion and \$(858.4) million for the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009 and for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008, or fiscal 2008, respectively. The net losses for the period ending May 21, 2009 and the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008 resulted primarily from severe deterioration in the Failed Bank's asset quality and the resultant reduction in net interest income, increase in the provision for loan losses, and impairment charges related to investment securities, OREO and mortgage servicing rights.

Net Interest Income

The following table presents, for the periods indicated, information about: (i) average balances, the total dollar amount of interest income from earning assets and the resultant average yields; (ii) average balances, the total dollar amount of interest expense on interest bearing liabilities and the resultant average rates; (iii) net interest income; (iv) the interest rate spread; and (v) the net interest margin. Average balance information is based on daily average balances for the periods indicated. Nonaccrual and restructured loans are included in the average balances presented in this table; however, interest

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

income foregone on nonaccrual loans is not included. Yields have been calculated on a pre-tax basis (*dollars in thousands*):

| | Period from October 1, 2008 to May 21, 2009 | | | Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2008 | | |
|--|--|----------|-------------------|---|------------|----------------|
| | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/ Rate(1) | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/ Rate |
| Assets: | | | | | | |
| Interest earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Investment securities available for sale | | | | | | |
| Investment securities available for sale | \$ 88,655 | \$ 1,685 | 2.97% | \$ 141,935 | \$ 7,417 | 5.23% |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 576,131 | 20,722 | 5.63% | 780,279 | 43,017 | 5.51% |
| Total investment securities available for sale | | | | | | |
| Total investment securities available for sale | 664,786 | 22,407 | 5.28% | 922,214 | 50,434 | 5.47% |
| Other interest earning assets | | | | | | |
| Other interest earning assets | 1,325,075 | 3,667 | 0.43% | 630,204 | 21,856 | 3.47% |
| Loans receivable | | | | | | |
| Loans receivable | 11,596,788 | 312,994 | 4.22% | 12,564,903 | 762,170 | 6.07% |
| Total interest earning assets | | | | | | |
| Total interest earning assets | 13,586,649 | 339,068 | 3.91% | 14,117,321 | 834,460 | 5.91% |
| Allowance for loan losses | | | | | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (905,440) | | | (184,884) | | |
| Noninterest earning assets | | | | | | |
| Noninterest earning assets | 869,381 | | | 510,000 | | |
| Total assets | | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 13,550,590 | | | \$ 14,442,437 | | |
| Liabilities and Equity: | | | | | | |
| Interest bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Interest bearing deposits: | | | | | | |
| Interest bearing demand | | | | | | |
| Interest bearing demand | \$ 164,669 | \$ 895 | 0.85% | \$ 199,942 | \$ 2,145 | 1.07% |
| Savings and money market accounts | | | | | | |
| Savings and money market accounts | 1,485,455 | 28,009 | 2.95% | 1,873,728 | 67,600 | 3.61% |
| Time deposits | | | | | | |
| Time deposits | 6,611,919 | 170,666 | 4.04% | 4,929,198 | 223,110 | 4.53% |
| Total interest bearing deposits | | | | | | |
| Total interest bearing deposits | 8,262,043 | 199,570 | 3.78% | 7,002,868 | 292,855 | 4.18% |
| Borrowings: | | | | | | |
| FHLB advances | | | | | | |
| FHLB advances | 4,965,251 | 133,764 | 4.22% | 5,605,211 | 259,000 | 4.62% |
| Repurchase agreements | | | | | | |
| Repurchase agreements | 22,732 | 58 | 0.40% | 124,564 | 3,739 | 3.00% |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | | | | | | |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | 13,250,026 | 333,392 | 3.94% | 12,732,643 | 555,594 | 4.36% |
| Non-interest bearing demand deposits | | | | | | |
| Non-interest bearing demand deposits | 282,215 | | | 441,570 | | |
| Other non-interest bearing liabilities | | | | | | |
| Other non-interest bearing liabilities | 113,006 | | | 130,225 | | |
| Total liabilities | | | | | | |
| Total liabilities | 13,645,247 | | | 13,304,438 | | |
| Equity | | | | | | |
| Equity | (94,657) | | | 1,137,999 | | |
| Total liabilities and equity | | | | | | |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 13,550,590 | | | \$ 14,442,437 | | |
| Net interest income | | | | | | |
| Net interest income | | \$ 5,676 | | | \$ 278,866 | |
| Interest rate spread | | | | | | |
| Interest rate spread | | | (0.03)% | | | 1.55% |
| Net interest margin | | | | | | |
| Net interest margin | | | 0.06% | | | 1.98% |

(1) Annualized.

Table of Contents

Increases and decreases in interest income and interest expense result from changes in average balances (volume) of interest earning assets and liabilities, as well as changes in average interest rates. The comparison of total interest income and total interest expense for the period ending May 21, 2009 to the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008 is also impacted by the different number of days in the comparative periods. The following table shows the effect that these factors had on the interest earned on the interest earning assets and the interest incurred on the interest bearing liabilities for the periods indicated. The effect of changes in volume is determined by multiplying the change in volume by the previous period's average rate. Similarly, the effect of rate changes is calculated by multiplying the change in average rate by the previous period's volume. Changes applicable to both volume and rate have been allocated to volume (*dollars in thousands*):

| | Period from October 1, 2008 to May 21, 2009 Compared to the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2008 Increase (Decrease) Due To | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|---|--|
| | Changes in Volume | Changes in Rate | Change due to Number of Days | Total Increase (Decrease) |
| Interest Income Attributable to | | | | |
| Investment securities available for sale | \$ (1,002) | \$ (2,049) | \$ (2,681) | \$ (5,732) |
| Mortgage-backed securities | (7,368) | 598 | (15,525) | (22,295) |
| Total investment securities available for sale | (8,370) | (1,451) | (18,206) | (28,027) |
| Other interest earning assets | 1,949 | (12,230) | (7,908) | (18,189) |
| Loans receivable | (25,250) | (148,510) | (275,416) | (449,176) |
| Total interest earning assets | (31,671) | (162,191) | (301,530) | (495,392) |
| Interest Expense Attributable to | | | | |
| Interest bearing demand deposits | \$ (196) | \$ (281) | \$ (773) | \$ (1,250) |
| Savings and money market deposit accounts | (7,235) | (7,894) | (24,462) | (39,591) |
| Time deposits | 43,727 | (15,418) | (80,753) | (52,444) |
| Total interest bearing deposits | 36,296 | (23,593) | (105,988) | (93,285) |
| FHLB advances | (17,272) | (14,312) | (93,652) | (125,236) |
| Repurchase agreements | (262) | (2,067) | (1,352) | (3,681) |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | 18,762 | (39,972) | (200,992) | (222,202) |
| Decrease in net interest income | \$ (50,433) | \$ (122,219) | \$ (100,538) | \$ (273,190) |

Period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009 compared to the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008

Net interest income was \$5.7 million for the period ended May 21, 2009 as compared to \$278.9 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, for a decline of \$273.2 million. The decline in net interest income was comprised of a decline in interest income of \$495.4 million and a decline in interest expense of \$222.2 million. On an annualized basis, net interest income for the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009 decreased by \$270.0 million or 96.8% as compared with the year ending September 30, 2008. The decrease in net interest income was comprised of a decline in annualized interest income of \$303.3 million partially offset by a decline in annualized interest expense of \$33.3 million.

The decrease in interest income resulted primarily from an increase in non-performing assets, evidenced by a decrease in the average yield on loans of 185 basis points from 6.07% for the year ending September 30, 2008 to 4.22% for the period ending May 21, 2009. Nonaccrual loans grew from \$1.2 billion at September 30, 2008 to \$2.4 billion at May 21, 2009. Decreases in the average volume of

Table of Contents

both investment securities and loans outstanding and a decline in market rates on variable rate investment securities also contributed to the decline in interest income. The decline in average volume of loans and investment securities resulted from the reduction in the scope of the Failed Bank's residential mortgage business and the size of the balance sheet in response to capital requirements and growth restrictions imposed by the OTS.

The decline in interest expense resulted from lower rates paid on both deposits and FHLB advances, reflective of continued repricing of liabilities at lower market rates, partly offset by an increase in the average volume of outstanding interest bearing liabilities.

The net interest margin decreased by 192 basis points from 1.98% for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008 to 0.06% for the period ending May 21, 2009 while the interest rate spread declined by 158 basis points from 1.55% to (0.03)%. The primary driver of the decline in net interest margin and interest rate spread was the increase in non-performing assets.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses recorded by the Failed Bank was \$919.1 million and \$856.4 million for the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009 and the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008, respectively. The increases in the provision for the period ending May 21, 2009 and the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008 largely reflected severe deterioration in the residential housing market, particularly in Florida and California. Total non-performing loans were \$2.7 billion, or 24.6%, of total loans at May 21, 2009. Net charge-offs totaled \$407.9 million for the period from October 1, 2008 to May 21, 2009 and \$199.1 million for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008. The majority of charge-offs were concentrated in the 1-4 single family residential portfolio.

Non-Interest Income (Loss)

The Failed Bank reported a non-interest loss of \$81.4 million for the period from October 1, 2008 to May 21, 2009 and a non-interest loss of \$128.9 million for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008.

The following table presents a comparison of the categories of non-interest income (loss) for the periods indicated (*dollars in thousands*):

| | Period from October 1, 2008 to May 21, 2009 | Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2008 |
|--|--|---|
| Service charges on deposits and other fee income | \$ 5,357 | \$ 9,712 |
| Service charges on loans | 2,072 | 4,630 |
| Loan servicing fees | 2,543 | 5,601 |
| Impairment and amortization of mortgage servicing rights | (26,595) | (8,434) |
| Net gain (loss) on sale of investment securities | 39 | (1,465) |
| Net gain (loss) on sale and writedown of loans held for sale | 196 | (9,784) |
| Other-than-temporary impairment of securities available for sale | (68,609) | (142,035) |
| Fees received from BankUnited Financial Corporation | 1,824 | 5,193 |
| Other non-interest income | 1,742 | 7,723 |
| Total non-interest income (loss) | \$ (81,431) | \$ (128,859) |

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Period from October 1, 2008 to May 21, 2009 compared to the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008

The non-interest loss for the period from October 1, 2008 to May 21, 2009 was largely driven by additional impairment charges on securities available for sale and mortgage servicing rights. See the section entitled " Investment Securities Available for Sale" below for further discussion of impairment charges related to investment securities. The impairment of mortgage servicing assets resulted primarily from termination of the Failed Bank's rights to service loans for the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae), or FNMA, and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac), or FHLMC, during the period ending May 21, 2009. A continued decline in secondary market mortgage activity led to a reduced gain (loss) on sale of loans for the period ending May 21, 2009. The reduction in other non-interest income resulted primarily from an adjustment to outstanding mortgage insurance claims receivable.

Non-Interest Expense

The following table presents the components of non-interest expense for the periods indicated (*dollars in thousands*):

| | Period from October 1, 2008 to May 21, 2009 | Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2008 |
|--|--|---|
| Employee compensation and benefits | \$ 51,695 | \$ 88,893 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 25,247 | 46,743 |
| OREO expense | 34,697 | 17,901 |
| Impairment of OREO | 38,742 | 22,749 |
| Professional fees | 10,062 | 8,910 |
| Foreclosure expense | 4,907 | 6,007 |
| Deposit insurance expense | 38,299 | 6,147 |
| Telecommunications and data processing | 9,573 | 13,536 |
| Other non-interest expense | 25,181 | 35,594 |
| Total non-interest expense | \$ 238,403 | \$ 246,480 |

Non-interest expense as a percentage of average assets increased to 2.8% (annualized) for the period ended May 21, 2009 from 1.7% for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008. The primary drivers of increasing non-interest expense over this period were increased impairment of OREO, higher OREO expense, foreclosure expense and the deposit insurance expense.

Period from October 1, 2008 to May 21, 2009 compared to the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008

On an annualized basis, employee compensation and benefits as a percentage of average assets remained consistent over the period ending May 21, 2009 and the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008. The total decline in employee compensation and benefits expense of \$7.9 million or approximately 9% on an annualized basis was primarily a result of an approximate 70% reduction in the Failed Bank's wholesale residential lending staff and other reductions in the workforce.

OREO expense, foreclosure expense and impairment of OREO continued to increase during the period ending May 21, 2009 due to further deterioration in home prices and the increasing volume of foreclosures. As of May 21, 2009, there were slightly over 6,000 units in the foreclosure process as compared to approximately 3,000 units at September 30, 2008.

Deposit insurance expense was significantly impacted by additional assessments by the FDIC during the period ending May 21, 2009.

Table of Contents***Income Taxes***

For the period ending May 21, 2009 and the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008, the Failed Bank recorded an income tax provision (benefit) of \$0.0 and \$(94.5) million, respectively. The Failed Bank's effective tax rate for the period ending May 21, 2009 and the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008 was 0.1% and 9.9%, respectively. The effective tax rate varied from the federal statutory tax rate of 35.0% primarily due to state income taxes and the valuation allowance established related to deferred tax assets. The Failed Bank had net deferred tax assets, prior to any valuation allowance, of \$730.0 million at May 21, 2009.

Balance Sheet Analysis for the Pre-Acquisition Periods

Average total assets of the Failed Bank declined by \$891.8 million to \$13.6 billion for the period ending May 21, 2009 from \$14.4 billion for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008. This decline related primarily to the decline in average loans, which was fueled by increased impairments and foreclosures during the period combined with normal paydowns and a curtailment in lending activity. Average total liabilities increased by \$340.8 million to \$13.6 billion for the period ending May 21, 2009 from \$13.3 billion for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008. Average deposits increased by \$1.1 billion, offset by a \$741.8 million decline in average outstanding borrowings.

Investment Securities Available for Sale

The following table shows the amortized cost and fair value of the investment securities as of the dates indicated. All of the investment securities were classified available for sale (*dollars in thousands*):

| | At May 21, 2009 | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ 35,167 | \$ 35,423 |
| U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities | 224,587 | 227,879 |
| Other residential collateralized mortgage obligations | 3,371 | 1,785 |
| Residential mortgage pass-through certificates | 323,829 | 230,091 |
| Mutual funds and preferred stocks | 18,241 | 18,094 |
| State and Municipal obligations | 22,671 | 22,696 |
| Other debt securities | 4,317 | 2,976 |
| Total investment securities available for sale | \$ 632,183 | \$ 538,944 |

Investment securities decreased by \$216.3 million from September 30, 2008 to May 21, 2009 primarily due to impairment charges of \$68.6 million coupled with paydowns and sales of \$106.3 million, offset by purchases of \$10.4 million.

During the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009, the Failed Bank recognized other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$68.6 million, consisting of \$39.4 million related to subordinate tranches of the Failed Bank's 2005 mortgage securitization (the "2005 securities"), \$16.1 million related to private-label collateralized mortgage obligations ("CMOs"), \$6.4 million related to trust preferred securities, \$1.5 million related to FNMA and FHLMC preferred stock and \$5.2 million related to a mutual fund. The majority of the impairment charges recorded during the period ending May 21, 2009 represented further deterioration in value of securities for which other-than-temporary impairment charges were initially recorded in fiscal 2008 as discussed below. Additional impairment of the 2005 securities and private-label CMOs was reflective of further deterioration in projected cash flows from the underlying collateral resulting from increasing frequency and severity of defaults. Recognition of other-than-temporary impairment of pooled trust preferred

Table of Contents

securities was based on a third party discounted cash flow analysis incorporating proprietary collateral default rate assumptions that indicated less than full recovery of principal, as well as consideration of the severity and duration of impairment. Other-than-temporary impairment of FNMA and FHLMC preferred stock was based on further deterioration in the market price of these securities coupled with lack of evidence of improvement in the financial condition of the issuers. Cash flow analysis incorporating updated underlying collateral default assumptions led to further other-than-temporary impairment of the mutual fund investment.

During the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008, the Failed Bank recorded other-than-temporary impairment charges totaling \$142.0 million, including \$89.3 million relating to the 2005 securities, \$5.8 million relating to private-label CMOs, \$37.8 million relating to FNMA and FHLMC preferred stocks, \$8.1 million relating to a mutual fund, and \$1.0 million relating to other debt securities. The determination that unrealized losses on the 2005 securities were other-than-temporary was based on an analysis of discounted expected future cash flows using third party developed models that incorporated proprietary behavioral assumptions about collateral default rates, loss severity levels and voluntary annual prepayment rates. Cash flow projections for the underlying mortgages, given current loss trends, indicated that projected losses could completely erode the value of certain subordinate classes and significantly erode the value of several other subordinate classes of the 2005 securitization, leading to the determination that these securities were other-than-temporarily impaired. Management's determination that certain other private-label CMOs were other-than-temporarily impaired was also based on the analysis of discounted expected future cash flows. The magnitude and duration of unrealized losses was considered in these determinations as well. As a result of significant declines in value of FNMA and FHLMC preferred stock after these entities were placed into conservatorship on September 7, 2008, the cost basis of these investments was well in excess of the market price of the stock at September 30, 2008. The determination that impairment of these securities was other-than-temporary was based on the severity of impairment and uncertainty about the potential for market recovery of the issuers. The mutual fund determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired was a fund that invested primarily in mortgage related investments, the majority of which were subordinate securities with increasing levels of underlying collateral delinquencies and defaults. The severity of impairment combined with the high probability of significant principal loss of the underlying collateral led to the conclusion that the security was other-than-temporarily impaired. The other debt securities consisted of pooled trust preferred securities, collateralized by subordinated debt issued by financial institutions. Management's determination that these securities were other-than-temporarily impaired was based on an analysis of projected collateral cash flows.

Table of Contents**Loan Portfolio**

The following table presents the composition of the loan portfolio as of the dates indicated (*dollars in thousands*):

| | At May 21, 2009 | | At September 30, 2008 | | At September 30, 2007 | |
|---|---------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| | Balance | % | Balance | % | Balance | % |
| Real estate loans: | | | | | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | \$ 8,993,077 | 83.1% | \$ 9,916,696 | 84.4% | \$ 10,693,832 | 86.3% |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 505,642 | 4.7% | 486,467 | 4.1% | 420,386 | 3.4% |
| Multi-family | 129,481 | 1.2% | 144,324 | 1.2% | 120,058 | 1.0% |
| Commercial real estate | 594,877 | 5.5% | 600,261 | 5.1% | 496,556 | 4.0% |
| Construction | 187,333 | 1.7% | 171,213 | 1.5% | 146,557 | 1.2% |
| Land | 219,736 | 2.0% | 224,723 | 1.9% | 303,294 | 2.5% |
| Total real estate loans | 10,630,146 | 98.2% | 11,543,684 | 98.2% | 12,180,683 | 98.4% |
| Other loans: | | | | | | |
| Commercial | 181,484 | 1.7% | 197,985 | 1.7% | 187,951 | 1.5% |
| Consumer | 12,179 | 0.1% | 12,740 | 0.1% | 16,228 | 0.1% |
| Total other loans | 193,663 | 1.8% | 210,725 | 1.8% | 204,179 | 1.6% |
| Total loans | 10,823,809 | 100.0% | 11,754,409 | 100.0% | 12,384,862 | 100.0% |
| Unearned discount, premiums and deferred costs, net | 190,406 | | 210,875 | | 235,454 | |
| Loans held in portfolio, net of discount premiums and deferred costs | 11,014,215 | | 11,965,284 | | 12,620,316 | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (1,227,173) | | (715,917) | | (58,623) | |
| Total loans held in portfolio, net | \$ 9,787,042 | | \$ 11,249,367 | | \$ 12,561,693 | |
| Loans held for sale | \$ 788 | | \$ 10,050 | | \$ 174,868 | |

Net loans held in portfolio decreased to \$9.8 billion at May 21, 2009 from \$11.2 billion at September 30, 2008 and \$12.6 billion at September 30, 2007. This decrease was driven by the decline in the Failed Bank's 1-4 single family residential portfolio as discussed below.

Residential Mortgages

1-4 single family residential loans amounted to \$9.0 billion or 83.1% of total loans at May 21, 2009. Beginning in fiscal 2008, the Failed Bank curtailed growth of the 1-4 single family residential portfolio. Total originations of residential loans were \$22.8 million for the period ending May 21, 2009.

The Failed Bank also terminated its option ARM and reduced documentation loan programs during fiscal 2008. Originations of option ARM loans totaled \$187.0 million for fiscal 2008 and \$3.1 billion for fiscal 2007, representing 11.9% and 77.5%, respectively, of total residential loan originations. Option ARM loans generally started with a below market incentive interest rate that adjusted to an applicable index rate plus a defined margin after a specified period of time. Each month, the borrower had the option to make one of several payments, including a minimum payment that may not have covered the interest accrued on the loan for the month, resulting in the deferred

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

interest being added to the loan balance. The contractual terms of Option ARM loans limited the amount of the increase in the loan balance to 115% of the original balance. At the earlier of 5 years from origination or reaching the 115% cap, the loan was contractually reset to be repaid on a fully amortizing basis over its remaining term. Some residential mortgage loans were also originated under "reduced-doc" and "no-doc" programs requiring reduced or no verification of the borrowers' income, employment and assets.

The following table presents a breakdown of the 1-4 single family residential mortgage portfolio categorized between fixed rate, option adjustable rate mortgages and non-option adjustable rate mortgages at the dates indicated (*dollars in thousands*):

| | At May 21, 2009 | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Total Loans | % of Total |
| 1 - 4 single family residential loans | | |
| Fixed rate loans | \$ 1,774,598 | 19.7% |
| Adjustable rate loans | | |
| Option adjustable rate mortgages(1) | 4,685,090 | 52.1% |
| Non-option adjustable rate mortgages | 2,533,389 | 28.2% |
| Total | \$ 8,993,077 | 100.0% |

(1)

Payment option loans with balances of \$3.8 billion representing 78.9% of the payment option portfolio were negatively amortizing at May 21, 2009. As of May 21, 2009, negative amortization included in the payment option portfolio totaled \$265.3 million or 5.6% of the portfolio.

A breakdown of 1-4 single family residential loans by state as of the dates indicated follows (*dollars in millions*):

| | At May 21, 2009 | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| | Amount | % |
| Florida | \$ 5,076 | 56.4% |
| California | 721 | 8.0% |
| Illinois | 501 | 5.6% |
| Arizona | 500 | 5.6% |
| New Jersey | 480 | 5.3% |
| Virginia | 348 | 3.9% |
| States with less than 4% | 1,367 | 15.2% |
| Total | \$ 8,993 | 100.0% |

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Asset Quality

Impaired Loans and Non-performing Assets

The following table summarizes the Company's impaired loans, including troubled debt restructurings, and other non-performing assets as of the dates indicated (*dollars in thousands*):

| | At May 21, 2009 | At September 30, | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|------------|
| | | 2008 | 2007 |
| Nonaccrual loans | | | |
| Real estate loans: | | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | | | |
| Payment option | \$ 1,674,325 | \$ 968,647 | \$ 149,749 |
| Non-payment option | 453,743 | 153,125 | 22,894 |
| Total 1 - 4 single family residential | 2,128,068 | 1,121,772 | 172,643 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 27,263 | 8,866 | 2,251 |
| Multi-family | 21,544 | 10,028 | |
| Commercial real estate | 2,888 | | 5,593 |
| Construction | 78,403 | 58,549 | |
| Land | 94,493 | 38,465 | |
| Total real estate loans | 2,352,659 | 1,237,680 | 180,487 |
| Other loans: | | | |
| Commercial | 763 | 65 | 232 |
| Consumer | 23 | 30 | 91 |
| Total other loans | 786 | 95 | 323 |
| Total nonaccrual loans | 2,353,445 | 1,237,775 | 180,810 |
| Accruing loans 90 days or more past due | | 71 | 493 |
| Other impaired loans still accruing | 353,903 | 195,073 | 19,771 |
| Total non-performing loans | 2,707,348 | 1,432,919 | 201,074 |
| OREO | 177,679 | 135,324 | 27,732 |
| Total non-performing assets | 2,885,027 | 1,568,243 | 228,806 |
| Troubled debt restructurings in compliance with modified terms(1) | 651,236 | 68,033 | |
| Total impaired loans and non-performing assets | \$ 3,536,263 | \$ 1,636,276 | \$ 228,806 |
| Non-performing loans to total loans | 24.58% | 11.98% | 1.59% |
| Non-performing assets to total assets | 23.53% | 11.13% | 1.51% |
| Non-performing loans and troubled debt restructurings to total loans | 30.49% | 12.54% | 1.59% |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans | 11.14% | 5.98% | 0.46% |
| Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans | 45.33% | 49.96% | 29.15% |

(1)

Consists of only 1 - 4 single family residential loans.

The increase in total non-performing assets to \$2.9 billion at May 21, 2009 resulted directly from the economic downturn, both nationally and in the Failed Bank's primary geographic markets, particularly the precipitous decline in housing prices. Non-performing loans were concentrated in the option ARM portfolio, and a significant percentage of the non-performing loans were those with higher LTV ratios, originated during periods of historically high housing prices.

Table of Contents

Interest income foregone on nonaccrual loans amounted to \$88.9 million for the period ending May 21, 2009. Interest income reversed due to loans being placed on nonaccrual status amounted to \$20.1 million for the period ending May 21, 2009.

Nonaccrual loans include troubled debt restructured loans of \$177.3 million at May 21, 2009. Additional interest income that would have been recognized on troubled debt restructured loans not on nonaccrual status if they had been current based on their original contractual terms was \$3.3 million and \$0.5 million for the period ended May 21, 2009 and the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008, respectively. Interest income recognized on these loans for the period ended May 21, 2009 and the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 was \$14.6 million and \$2.9 million, respectively.

Analysis of the Allowance for Loan Losses

The following table provides an analysis of the allowance for loan losses and net charge-offs for the periods indicated (*dollars in thousands*):

| | Period from October 1, 2008 to May 21, 2009 | Fiscal Years Ended September 30, | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| | | 2008 | 2007 |
| Allowance for loan losses, beginning of period | \$ 715,917 | \$ 58,623 | \$ 36,378 |
| Provision for loan losses | 919,139 | 856,374 | 31,500 |
| Charge-offs: | | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | (434,391) | (211,323) | (5,347) |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | (12,676) | (9,396) | (620) |
| Multi-family | | | |
| Commercial real estate | | | |
| Construction | | (1,218) | |
| Land | | (6,647) | (2,651) |
| Commercial | (879) | (1,468) | (2,425) |
| Consumer | (1,064) | (257) | (7) |
| Total charge-offs | (449,010) | (230,309) | (11,050) |
| Recoveries: | | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | 40,825 | 31,079 | 1,407 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 111 | 34 | 73 |
| Multi-family | | | |
| Commercial real estate | | | |
| Construction | | | |
| Land | | | |
| Commercial | 189 | 115 | 306 |
| Consumer | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| Total recoveries | 41,127 | 31,229 | 1,795 |
| Net charge-offs | (407,883) | (199,080) | (9,255) |
| Allowance for loan losses, end of period | \$ 1,227,173 | \$ 715,917 | \$ 58,623 |
| Ratio of net charge-offs to average loans receivable outstanding during the period | 5.51%(1) | 1.58% | 0.08% |

(1) Annualized.

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table allocates the allowance for loan losses by loan category as of the dates indicated (*dollars in thousands*):

| | At May 21, 2009 | | At September 30, 2008 | | 2007 | |
|--|---------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| | Amount | % (1) | Amount | % (1) | Amount | % (1) |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | \$ 890,551 | 83.1% | \$ 616,486 | 84.4% | \$ 33,911 | 86.3% |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 41,638 | 4.7% | 16,055 | 4.1% | 6,850 | 3.4% |
| Multi-family | 1,461 | 1.2% | 836 | 1.2% | 960 | 1.0% |
| Commercial real estate | 186,130 | 5.5% | 891 | 5.1% | 8,092 | 4.0% |
| Construction | 53,452 | 1.7% | 47,495 | 1.5% | 1,173 | 1.2% |
| Land | 47,986 | 2.0% | 30,699 | 1.9% | 2,426 | 2.5% |
| Commercial | 5,102 | 1.7% | 2,860 | 1.7% | 4,331 | 1.5% |
| Consumer | 853 | 0.1% | 595 | 0.1% | 880 | 0.1% |
| Total allowance for loan losses | \$ 1,227,173 | 100.0% | \$ 715,917 | 100.0% | \$ 58,623 | 100.0% |

(1) Represents percentage of loans receivable in each category to total loans receivable.

Other Assets

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill of \$28.4 million at May 21, 2009 arose from previous business combinations entered into by the Failed Bank. Goodwill impairment tests were performed as of May 21, 2009. As of May 21, 2009, the carrying value of the reporting unit to which goodwill was assigned was negative, therefore, the first phase of the goodwill impairment test was passed and no impairment of goodwill was recorded. Based on this comparison, the implied fair value of goodwill exceeded its carrying amount; therefore, no impairment was indicated.

Other Assets

Other assets totaled \$212.3 million at May 21, 2009. The most significant components of the decrease in other assets from September 30, 2008 to May 21, 2009 were a \$25.9 million decline in mortgage servicing rights arising from impairment charges, and a \$18.5 million decline in accrued interest receivable attributable primarily to the decline in total loans outstanding and the increase in non-performing loans.

Table of Contents**Deposits**

The following table presents information about deposits for the periods indicated (*dollars in thousands*):

| | Period from October 1, 2008 to May 21, 2009 | | Fiscal Years Ended September 30, 2008 | |
|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|----------------------|
| | Average Balance | Average Rate Paid | Average Balance | Average Rate Paid |
| Demand deposits: | | | | |
| Non-interest bearing | \$ 282,215 | % | \$ 441,570 | % |
| Interest bearing | 164,669 | 0.85% | 199,942 | 1.07% |
| Savings and money market accounts | 1,485,455 | 2.95% | 1,873,728 | 3.61% |
| Time deposits | 6,611,919 | 4.04% | 4,929,198 | 4.53% |
| Total deposits | \$ 8,544,258 | 3.66% | \$ 7,444,438 | 3.93% |

Borrowed Funds

The following table sets forth information regarding the short-term borrowings, consisting of securities sold under agreements to repurchase and federal funds purchased, as of the dates, and for the periods, indicated (*dollars in thousands*):

| | Ending Balance | Weighted- Average Rate | Maximum Amount At Month-End | Yearly Weighted Averages | |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| | | | | Balance | Rate |
| For the period from October 1, 2008 to May 21, 2009: | \$ 1,310 | 0.00% | \$ 48,114 | \$ 22,732 | 0.40% |
| For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008: | \$ 56,930 | 0.99% | \$ 227,218 | \$ 124,564 | 3.00% |

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Since inception, the Company's stockholders' equity has been impacted primarily by the retention of earnings, and to a lesser extent, proceeds from the common shares issued in the Company's IPO in February of 2011, equity compensation, changes in unrealized gains, net of taxes, on investment securities available for sale, changes in unrealized losses, net of taxes, on cash flow hedges, and the payment of dividends. Stockholders' equity increased \$281.8 million, or 22.5%, from \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2010 to \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2011. The increase resulted primarily from the retention of earnings of \$63.2 million, proceeds from the issuance of stock of \$98.6 million, and equity based compensation (including the reclassification of \$45.0 million previously recorded as a liability) of \$189.7 million, offset by dividends of \$56.7 million.

BankUnited must get approval by the Federal Reserve Bank to pay dividends to its parent. Applications have been filed with the Federal Reserve Bank (and, previously the OTS) since the third quarter of 2010. All quarterly dividend requests have been approved.

Pursuant to FDICIA, the OCC and FDIC have adopted regulations setting forth a five-tier system for measuring the capital adequacy of the financial institutions they supervise. At December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, BankUnited had capital levels that exceeded the well-capitalized guidelines. In addition, a condition of approval of BankUnited's application for Federal Deposit Insurance requires BankUnited to maintain a tier 1 leverage ratio at no less than eight percent throughout the first three years of operation. To date, BankUnited has exceeded that requirement.

Table of Contents

Liquidity involves the Company's ability to raise funds to support asset growth or reduce assets to meet deposit withdrawals and other borrowing needs, to maintain reserve requirements and to otherwise operate the Company on an ongoing basis. The Company's liquidity needs are primarily met by growth in transaction deposit accounts, its cash position and cash flow from its amortizing investment and loan portfolios and reimbursements under the Shared Loss Agreements. If necessary, the Bank has the ability to raise liquidity through collateralized borrowings, FHLB advances, or the sale of its available for sale investment portfolio. The Company's ALCO policy has established several measures of liquidity which are reviewed monthly by ALCO and quarterly by the Company's Board of Directors. The primary measurement of liquidity used by the Company is liquid assets (defined as cash and cash equivalents, and pledgeable securities) to total assets. The Company's liquidity is considered acceptable if liquid assets divided by total assets exceeds 2.5%. At December 31, 2011, the Company's liquid assets divided by total assets was 12.1%. In addition, the Company monitors a One Year Liquidity Ratio, defined as cash and cash equivalents, pledgeable securities, unused borrowing capacity at the FHLB, and loans and non-agency securities maturing within one year, divided by deposits and borrowing maturing within one year. The maturity of deposits (excluding certificate of deposits) is based on retention rates derived from the most recent external core deposit analysis obtained by the Company. This ratio allows the Company to monitor liquidity over a longer time horizon. At December 31, 2011, the Company exceeds the acceptable limit established by ALCO for this ratio.

As a holding company, BankUnited, Inc. is a corporation separate and apart from our subsidiary BankUnited, and therefore, provides for its own liquidity. BankUnited, Inc.'s main sources of funding include management fees and dividends paid by its subsidiaries, and access to capital markets. There are regulatory limitations that affect the ability of BankUnited to pay dividends to BankUnited, Inc. Management believes that such limitations will not impact our ability to meet our on-going short-term cash obligations.

We expect that our cash and liquidity requirements will continue to be generated by operations, including reimbursements under the Loss Sharing Agreements, and we intend to satisfy our capital requirements over the next 12 months through these sources of liquidity.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

The principal component of the Company's risk of loss arising from adverse changes in the fair value of financial instruments, or market risk, is interest rate risk, including the risk that assets and liabilities with similar repricing characteristics may not reprice at the same time or to the same degree. The primary objective of the Company's asset/liability management activities is to maximize net interest income, while maintaining acceptable levels of interest rate risk. The ALCO is responsible for establishing policies to limit exposure to interest rate risk, and to ensure procedures are established to monitor compliance with these policies. The guidelines established by ALCO are reviewed and approved by the Company's Board of Directors.

Management believes that the simulation of net interest income in different interest rate environments provides the most meaningful measure of the Company's interest rate risk. Income simulation analysis is designed to capture not only the potential of all assets and liabilities to mature or reprice, but also the probability that they will do so. Income simulation also attends to the relative interest rate sensitivities of these items, and projects their behavior over an extended period of time. Finally, income simulation permits management to assess the probable effects on the balance sheet not only of changes in interest rates, but also of proposed strategies for responding to them.

The Company's income simulation model analyzes interest rate sensitivity by projecting net interest income over the next twenty four months in a most likely rate scenario based on forward interest rate curves versus net interest income in alternative rate scenarios. Management continually reviews and refines its interest rate risk management process in response to the changing economic climate.

Table of Contents

Currently, the Company's model projects a plus 100, plus 200, and plus 300 basis point change (with rates increasing 25 basis points per month until the applicable limit is reached) as well as a modified flat scenario incorporating a more flattened yield curve. We did not simulate a decrease in interest rates at December 31, 2011 due to the extremely low rate environment.

The Company's ALCO policy has established that interest income sensitivity will be considered acceptable if forecasted net interest income in the plus 200 basis point scenario is within 5% of forecasted net interest income in the most likely rate scenario over the next twelve months and within 10% in the second year. The following table illustrates the impact on forecasted net interest income of a plus 200 basis points scenario at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

| | Plus 200 |
|--------------------|-----------------|
| December 31, 2011: | |
| Twelve Months | 2.0% |
| Twenty Four Months | 9.3 |
| December 31, 2010 | |
| Twelve Months | 1.8 |
| Twenty Four Months | 9.0 |

These forecasts are within an acceptable level of interest rate risk per the policies established by ALCO. In the event the model indicates an unacceptable level of risk, the Company could undertake a number of actions that would reduce this risk, including the sale of a portion of its available for sale investment portfolio or the use of risk management strategies such as interest rate swaps and caps.

Many assumptions were used by the Company to calculate the impact of changes in interest rates, including the change in rates. Actual results may not be similar to the Company's projections due to several factors including the timing and frequency of rate changes, market conditions and the shape of the yield curve. Actual results may also differ due to the Company's actions, if any, in response to the changing rates.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements*Commitments*

We routinely enter into commitments to extend credit to our customers, including commitments to fund loans or lines of credit and commercial and standby letters of credit. The credit risk associated with these commitments is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers and they are subject to our normal credit policies and approval processes. While these commitments represent contractual cash requirements, a significant portion of commitments to extend credit may expire without being drawn upon. The following table details our outstanding commitments to extend credit as of December 31, 2011 (*in thousands*):

| | Covered | Non-Covered | Total |
|--|----------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Commitments to fund loans | \$ | \$ 112,019 | \$ 112,019 |
| Commitments to purchase loans | | 44,359 | 44,359 |
| Unfunded commitments under lines of credit | 87,256 | 380,494 | 467,750 |
| Commercial and standby letters of credit | | 27,859 | 27,859 |
| | \$ 87,256 | \$ 564,731 | \$ 651,987 |

Table of Contents***Derivative Financial Instruments***

Interest rate swaps are one of the tools we use to manage interest rate risk. These derivative instruments are used to mitigate exposure to changes in interest rates on FHLB advances and time deposits. These interest rate swaps are designated as cash flow hedging instruments. The fair value of these instruments is included in other assets or other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets and changes in fair value are reported in accumulated other comprehensive income. At December 31, 2011, outstanding interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges had an aggregate notional amount of \$630.0 million. The aggregate fair value of interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges included in other liabilities at December 31, 2011 was \$63.4 million.

Interest rate swaps not designated as cash flow hedges had an aggregate notional amount of \$106.0 million at December 31, 2011. The aggregate fair value of these interest rate swaps included in other assets was \$3.7 million and the aggregate fair value included in other liabilities was \$3.7 million.

Contractual Obligations

The following table contains supplemental information regarding our outstanding contractual obligations as of December 31, 2011 (*in thousands*) :

| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1 - 3 years | 3 - 5 years | More than 5 years |
|--|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| Long-term debt obligations | \$ 2,309,536 | \$ 1,205,694 | \$ 1,103,492 | \$ 350 | \$ |
| Operating lease obligations | 132,344 | 14,512 | 27,899 | 21,022 | 68,911 |
| Service contracts and purchase obligations | | | | | |
| Certificates of deposits | 2,431,705 | 1,158,972 | 996,008 | 274,733 | 1,992 |
| Other long-term liabilities reflected on the balance sheet | | | | | |
| | \$ 4,873,585 | \$ 2,379,178 | \$ 2,127,399 | \$ 296,105 | \$ 70,903 |

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

See the section entitled "Interest Rate Sensitivity" included in Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations".

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u> <i>BankUnited, Inc. Consolidated Financial Statements for the Years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the Period from April 28, 2009 (date of inception) through December 31, 2009</i> <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | F-2 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u> | F-3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period from April 28, 2009 (date of inception) through December 31, 2009</u> | F-5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period from April 28, 2009 (date of inception) through December 31, 2009</u> | F-6 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period from April 28, 2009 (date of inception) through December 31, 2009</u> | F-7 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | F-9 |
| <i>BankUnited, FSB and Subsidiaries (a wholly-owned subsidiary of BankUnited Financial Corporation) Consolidated Financial Statements for the Period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009, and the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2008</i> <u>Report of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm</u> | F-10 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheet as of May 21, 2009</u> | F-93 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009, and the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008</u> | F-94 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009, and the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008</u> | F-95 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholder's Equity (Deficit) for the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009, and the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008</u> | F-96 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009, and the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008</u> | F-98 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | F-99 |
| | F-100 |

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rule 13a-15(f). The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements prepared for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation under the framework in Internal Control Integrated Framework, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2011.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 has been audited by KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included herein.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
BankUnited, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of BankUnited, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for the years then ended and for the period from April 28, 2009 (date of inception) through December 31, 2009. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of BankUnited, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended and for the period from April 28, 2009 (date of inception) through December 31, 2009, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 28, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Miami, Florida
February 28, 2012
Certified Public Accountants

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
BankUnited, Inc.:

We have audited BankUnited, Inc. and subsidiaries' (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying report. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of the Company as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for the years then ended and for the period from April 28, 2009 (date of inception) through December 31, 2009, and our report dated February 28, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Miami, Florida
February 28, 2012
Certified Public Accountants

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)**

| | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and due from banks: | | |
| Non-interest bearing | \$ 39,894 | \$ 44,860 |
| Interest bearing | 13,160 | 12,523 |
| Due from Federal Reserve Bank | 247,488 | 502,828 |
| Federal funds sold | 3,200 | 4,563 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 303,742 | 564,774 |
| Investment securities available for sale, at fair value (including covered securities of \$232,194 and \$263,568) | 4,181,977 | 2,926,602 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 147,055 | 217,408 |
| Loans held for sale | 3,952 | 2,659 |
| Loans (including covered loans of \$2,422,811 and \$3,396,047) | 4,137,058 | 3,934,217 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | (48,402) | (58,360) |
| Loans, net | 4,088,656 | 3,875,857 |
| FDIC indemnification asset | 2,049,151 | 2,667,401 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 204,077 | 207,061 |
| Other real estate owned, covered by loss sharing agreements | 123,737 | 206,680 |
| Deferred tax asset, net | 19,485 | |
| Income tax receivable | | 10,862 |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets | 68,667 | 69,011 |
| Other assets | 131,539 | 121,245 |
| Total assets | \$ 11,322,038 | \$ 10,869,560 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Demand deposits: | | |
| Non-interest bearing | \$ 770,846 | \$ 494,499 |
| Interest bearing | 453,666 | 349,985 |
| Savings and money market | 3,553,018 | 3,134,884 |
| Time | 2,587,184 | 3,184,360 |
| Total deposits | 7,364,714 | 7,163,728 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 206 | 492 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 2,236,131 | 2,255,200 |
| Income taxes payable | 53,171 | |
| Deferred tax liability, net | | 4,618 |
| Advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance | 21,838 | 22,563 |
| Other liabilities | 110,698 | 169,451 |
| Total liabilities | 9,786,758 | 9,616,052 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share 400,000,000 and 110,000,000 shares authorized; 97,700,829 and 92,971,850 shares issued and outstanding | 977 | 930 |
| Paid-in capital | 1,240,068 | 950,831 |

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Retained earnings | 276,216 | 269,781 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 18,019 | 31,966 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 1,535,280 | 1,253,508 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 11,322,038 | \$ 10,869,560 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

F-5

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | Period from April 28, 2009 Through December 31, 2009 |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Interest income: | | | |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ 512,728 | \$ 431,468 | \$ 287,460 |
| Interest and dividends on investment securities available for sale | 122,626 | 124,262 | 45,142 |
| Other | 2,743 | 1,958 | 2,922 |
| Total interest income | 638,097 | 557,688 | 335,524 |
| Interest expense: | | | |
| Interest on deposits | 75,773 | 108,344 | 57,829 |
| Interest on borrowings | 63,164 | 59,856 | 26,027 |
| Total interest expense | 138,937 | 168,200 | 83,856 |
| Net interest income before provision for loan losses | 499,160 | 389,488 | 251,668 |
| Provision for loan losses (including provision (recovery) for covered loans of \$(7,692), \$46,481 and \$21,287) | 13,828 | 51,407 | 22,621 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 485,332 | 338,081 | 229,047 |
| Non-interest income: | | | |
| Accretion of discount on FDIC indemnification asset | 55,901 | 134,703 | 149,544 |
| Income from resolution of covered assets, net | 18,776 | 121,462 | 120,954 |
| Net gain (loss) on indemnification asset | 79,812 | 17,736 | (21,761) |
| FDIC reimbursement of costs of resolution of covered assets | 31,528 | 29,762 | 8,095 |
| Service charges and fees | 11,128 | 10,567 | 6,763 |
| Loss on sale of loans, net (including loss related to covered loans of \$70,366, \$76,360, and \$47,078) | (69,714) | (76,310) | (47,078) |
| Gain (loss) on sale or exchange of investment securities available for sale | 1,136 | (998) | (337) |
| Mortgage insurance income | 16,904 | 18,441 | 1,338 |
| Settlement with the FDIC | | 24,055 | |
| Investment services income | 7,496 | 6,226 | 830 |
| Gain on extinguishment of debt | | | 31,303 |
| Other non-interest income | 10,250 | 12,135 | 3,985 |
| Total non-interest income | 163,217 | 297,779 | 253,636 |
| Non-interest expense: | | | |
| Employee compensation and benefits (including \$110.4 million in equity based compensation recorded in conjunction with the IPO for 2011; see Note 16) | 272,991 | 144,486 | 62,648 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 36,680 | 28,692 | 20,121 |
| Impairment of other real estate owned | 24,569 | 16,131 | 21,055 |
| Foreclosure expense | 18,976 | 30,669 | 18,042 |
| Loss on sale of other real estate owned | 23,576 | 2,174 | 807 |
| Other real estate owned expense | 13,001 | 19,003 | 7,577 |
| Change in value of FDIC warrant | | 21,832 | 1,704 |
| Deposit insurance expense | 8,480 | 13,899 | 11,850 |
| Professional fees | 17,330 | 14,677 | 14,854 |
| Telecommunications and data processing | 12,041 | 12,321 | 6,440 |
| Other non-interest expense | 28,161 | 19,436 | 8,920 |
| Loss on FDIC receivable | | | 69,444 |

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|
| Acquisition related costs | | | | 39,800 |
| Total non-interest expense | 455,805 | 323,320 | | 283,262 |
| Income before income taxes | 192,744 | 312,540 | | 199,421 |
| Provision for income taxes | 129,576 | 127,805 | | 80,375 |
| Net income | \$ 63,168 | \$ 184,735 | \$ | 119,046 |
| Earnings per common share, basic (Note 3) | \$ 0.63 | \$ 1.99 | \$ | 1.29 |
| Earnings per common share, diluted (Note 3) | \$ 0.62 | \$ 1.99 | \$ | 1.29 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.37 | \$ | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | Period from April 28, 2009 Through December 31, 2009 |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 63,168 | \$ 184,735 | \$ 119,046 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities: | | | |
| Accretion of fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed | (476,104) | (443,012) | (378,533) |
| Amortization of fees, discounts and premiums, net | (1,250) | (31,611) | (19,107) |
| Provision for loan losses | 13,828 | 51,407 | 22,621 |
| Accretion of discount on FDIC indemnification asset | (55,901) | (134,703) | (149,544) |
| Income from resolution of covered assets, net | (18,776) | (121,462) | (120,954) |
| Net (gain) loss on indemnification asset | (79,812) | (17,736) | 21,761 |
| Net loss on sale of loans | 69,714 | 76,310 | 47,078 |
| Settlement with the FDIC | | (24,055) | |
| Increase in cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance | (3,891) | (5,259) | (3,219) |
| Income from life insurance proceeds | | (544) | |
| (Gain) loss on sale or exchange of investment securities available for sale | (1,136) | 998 | 337 |
| Loss on sale of other real estate owned | 23,576 | 2,174 | 807 |
| Loss on disposal of premises and equipment | 43 | 316 | |
| Equity based compensation | 144,769 | 1,301 | 210 |
| Change in fair value of equity instruments classified as liabilities | | 58,002 | 10,497 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 7,987 | 3,399 | 1,201 |
| Impairment of other real estate owned | 24,569 | 16,131 | 21,055 |
| Deferred income taxes | (15,109) | 24,088 | (2,325) |
| Gain on extinguishment of debt | | | (31,303) |
| Loss on FDIC receivable | | | 69,444 |
| Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale | 34,895 | 3,849 | |
| Loans originated for sale, net of repayments | (35,536) | (6,459) | |
| Realized tax benefits from dividend equivalents and equity based compensation | (606) | | |
| Other: | | | |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets | 15,101 | (3,523) | (20,675) |
| Increase (decrease) in other liabilities | 41,926 | (82,087) | 67,111 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (248,545) | (447,741) | (344,492) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Net cash (paid) acquired in business combinations | | (50,489) | 1,160,321 |
| Cash received from the FDIC related to business combination | | | 2,274,206 |
| Decrease in due to FDIC | | (89,951) | (9,447) |
| Purchase of investment securities available for sale | (2,074,483) | (1,496,002) | (1,824,870) |
| Proceeds from repayments of investment securities available for sale | 541,016 | 655,517 | 177,074 |
| Proceeds from sale of investment securities available for sale | 217,069 | 222,014 | 9,271 |
| Maturities and calls of investment securities available for sale | 61,565 | 10,250 | |
| Purchases of loans | (384,171) | (74,970) | (37,082) |
| Loan repayments and resolutions, net of originations | 170,147 | 762,085 | 559,916 |
| Proceeds from sale of loans, net | 75,782 | 67,166 | 82,735 |
| Proceeds from redemption of Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 70,353 | 25,926 | |
| Decrease in FDIC indemnification asset for claims filed | 753,963 | 764,203 | 291,508 |
| Purchase of bank owned life insurance | (50,000) | (150,000) | |
| Bank owned life insurance proceeds | 77,721 | 60,226 | |
| Purchase of office properties and equipment, net | (42,638) | (27,856) | (4,890) |
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned | 347,756 | 287,358 | 176,601 |
| Net cash provided (used in) by investing activities | (235,920) | 965,477 | 2,855,343 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

F-7

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)**

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | Period from April 28, 2009 Through December 31, 2009 |
|---|-----------------------------|------------|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in deposits | 207,972 | (481,696) | (587,811) |
| Additions to Federal Home Loan Bank advances | | 605,000 | 300,000 |
| Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank advances | | (405,000) | (2,795,112) |
| Increase (decrease) in securities sold under agreements to repurchase | (286) | (2,480) | 1,662 |
| Settlement of FDIC warrant liability | (25,000) | | |
| Decrease in advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance | (3,001) | (7,501) | (21,125) |
| Issuance of common stock | 98,620 | 2,500 | 947,750 |
| Dividends paid | (55,803) | (20,000) | |
| Realized tax benefits from dividend equivalents and equity based compensation | 606 | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 325 | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 223,433 | (309,177) | (2,154,636) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (261,032) | 208,559 | 356,215 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 564,774 | 356,215 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 303,742 | \$ 564,774 | \$ 356,215 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | | |
| Interest paid on deposits and borrowings | \$ 164,960 | \$ 217,947 | \$ 227,421 |
| Income taxes paid | \$ 80,224 | \$ 197,224 | \$ |
| Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities: | | | |
| Transfers from loans to other real estate owned | \$ 338,256 | \$ 401,763 | \$ 115,192 |
| Dividends declared, not paid | \$ 14,930 | \$ 14,000 | \$ |
| Reclassification of PIU liability to equity | \$ 44,964 | \$ | \$ |
| Rescission of surrender of bank owned life insurance | \$ 20,846 | \$ | \$ |
| Restructuring of Federal Home Loan Bank Advances | \$ | \$ | \$ 505,000 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Common stock | Paid-in capital | Retained earnings | Accumulated other comprehensive income | Total stockholders' equity |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Balance at April 28, 2009 (date of inception) | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Initial capital contribution | 925 | 924,075 | | | 925,000 |
| Additional capital contribution | 3 | 22,747 | | | 22,750 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | |
| Net income | | | 119,046 | | 119,046 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | | |
| Unrealized gains on investment securities available for sale arising during the period, net of taxes of \$17,870 | | | | 28,546 | 28,546 |
| Unrealized losses on cash flow hedges, net of taxes of \$809 | | | | (1,292) | (1,292) |
| Total comprehensive income | | | 119,046 | 27,254 | 146,300 |
| Equity based compensation | | 210 | | | 210 |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | 928 | 947,032 | 119,046 | 27,254 | 1,094,260 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | |
| Net income | | | 184,735 | | 184,735 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | | |
| Unrealized gains on investment securities available for sale arising during the year, net of taxes of \$16,791 | | | | 26,738 | 26,738 |
| Reclassification adjustment for realized losses on investment securities available for sale, net of taxes of \$385 | | | | 613 | 613 |
| Unrealized losses on cash flow hedges, net of taxes of \$14,218 | | | | (22,639) | (22,639) |
| Total comprehensive income | | | 184,735 | 4,712 | 189,447 |
| Capital contribution | 2 | 2,498 | | | 2,500 |
| Dividends | | | (34,000) | | (34,000) |
| Equity based compensation | | 1,301 | | | 1,301 |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 930 | 950,831 | 269,781 | 31,966 | 1,253,508 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | |
| Net income | | | 63,168 | | 63,168 |
| Other comprehensive loss: | | | | | |
| Unrealized losses on investment securities available for sale arising during the year, net of taxes of \$17 | | | | (27) | (27) |
| Reclassification adjustment for realized gains on investment securities available for sale, net of taxes of \$438 | | | | (698) | (698) |
| Unrealized losses on cash flow hedges, net of taxes of \$8,303 | | | | (13,222) | (13,222) |
| Total comprehensive income | | | 63,168 | (13,947) | 49,221 |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock net of direct costs of \$3,979 | 42 | 98,578 | | | 98,620 |
| Dividends | | | (56,733) | | (56,733) |
| Reclassification of PIU liability to equity | | 44,964 | | | 44,964 |
| Equity based compensation | 5 | 144,764 | | | 144,769 |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | | 325 | | | 325 |
| Tax benefits from dividend equivalents and equity based compensation | | 606 | | | 606 |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ 977 | \$ 1,240,068 | \$ 276,216 | \$ 18,019 | \$ 1,535,280 |

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

F-9

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2011

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

BankUnited, Inc. ("BankUnited, Inc." or "BKU") is the holding company for BankUnited ("BankUnited" or the "Bank"), a federally chartered, federally insured savings association headquartered in Miami Lakes, Florida. On May 21, 2009, BankUnited was granted a savings association charter and the newly formed bank acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed all of the non-brokered deposits and substantially all of the other liabilities of BankUnited, FSB from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") in a transaction referred to as the "Acquisition". Operations commenced on May 22, 2009. BankUnited, Inc.'s wholly owned subsidiaries include BankUnited and BankUnited Investment Services, Inc., collectively the "Company". BankUnited provides a full range of banking and related services to individual and corporate customers through 95 branch offices located in 15 Florida counties. Prior to the initial public offering ("IPO") of the Company's common stock in February, 2011, BankUnited, Inc. was a wholly-owned subsidiary of BU Financial Holdings, LLC ("BUFH"). Immediately prior to the completion of the IPO, a reorganization was effected in accordance with BUFH's LLC agreement, pursuant to which all equity interests in BankUnited, Inc. were distributed to the members of BUFH and BUFH was liquidated.

In February, 2012, the Company received approval from the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the "OCC") to change BankUnited's charter to that of a national bank. The Company also received approval from the Federal Reserve Board and the OCC to change its charter to that of a bank holding company.

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and prevailing practices in the banking industry.

The Company has a single reportable segment, community banking.

Accounting Estimates

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ significantly from these estimates. The current economic environment has increased the degree of uncertainty inherent in these estimates and assumptions.

Significant estimates include the allowance for loan and lease losses, the amount and timing of expected cash flows from covered assets and the FDIC indemnification asset, the fair values of investment securities and other financial instruments, the valuation of other real estate owned, the value of equity based compensation, the valuation of deferred tax assets, the evaluation of investment securities for other-than-temporary impairment and the evaluation of goodwill for impairment. Management has used information provided by third parties to assist in the determination of the fair values of investment securities, other real estate owned, and certain equity based compensation.

Significant estimates were also made in the determination of the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Acquisition, including loans acquired with evidence of deterioration in credit quality since origination, the FDIC indemnification asset, investment securities, other real estate owned and goodwill.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of BankUnited, Inc., and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Fair Value Measurements

Certain of the Company's assets and liabilities are reflected in the financial statements at fair value on either a recurring or non-recurring basis. Investment securities available for sale, derivative instruments and equity awards classified as liabilities are measured at fair value on a recurring basis. Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis may include collateral dependent impaired loans, other real estate owned, loans held for sale, goodwill, other intangible assets and assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations. These nonrecurring fair value measurements typically involve the application of acquisition accounting, lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or the measurement of impairment of certain assets.

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. GAAP establishes a hierarchy that prioritizes inputs used to determine fair value measurements into three levels based on the observability and transparency of the inputs:

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical assets or liabilities; estimated fair values of certain preferred stock and mutual fund investments are generally based on level 1 inputs.

Level 2 Observable inputs other than level 1 inputs, including quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities, quoted prices for identical assets and liabilities in less active markets and other inputs that can be corroborated by observable market data; estimated fair values of U. S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise securities, certain private label mortgage-backed and non-mortgage asset-backed securities, certain preferred stocks, state and municipal obligations, Small Business Administration securities, certain other debt securities and most derivatives are generally based on level 2 inputs.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs supported by limited or no market activity or data and inputs requiring significant management judgment or estimation; estimated fair values of certain private label mortgage-backed securities and non-mortgage asset-backed securities, certain other debt securities, equity awards, other real estate owned and collateral dependent impaired loans are generally based on level 3 inputs. Valuation techniques utilizing level 3 inputs include option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques.

The fair value hierarchy requires the Company to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs in estimating fair value. Unobservable inputs are utilized in determining fair value measurements only to the extent that observable inputs are unavailable. The need to use unobservable inputs generally results from a lack of market liquidity and diminished

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

observability of actual trades or assumptions that would otherwise be available to value a particular asset or liability.

Transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy are recorded as of the end of the reporting period.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash and due from banks, both interest bearing and non-interest bearing, amounts on deposit at the Federal Reserve Bank and federal funds sold. Cash equivalents have original maturities of three months or less.

Investment Securities Available for Sale

Debt securities that the Company may not have the intent to hold to maturity and marketable equity securities are classified as available for sale at the time of acquisition and carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, excluded from earnings and reported in accumulated other comprehensive income, a separate component of stockholders' equity. Securities classified as available for sale may be used as part of the Company's asset/liability management strategy and may be sold in response to changes in interest rates, prepayment risk or other market factors. Currently, all of the Company's investment securities are classified as available for sale; the Company does not maintain a trading or held to maturity portfolio. Purchase premiums and discounts on debt securities are amortized as adjustments to yield over the expected lives of the securities using the level yield method. Realized gains and losses from sales of securities are recorded on the trade date and are determined using the specific identification method.

The Company reviews investment securities available for sale for impairment on a quarterly basis or more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that a potential impairment may have occurred. An investment security is impaired if its fair value is lower than its amortized cost basis. The Company considers many factors in determining whether a decline in fair value below amortized cost represents other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI"), including, but not limited to:

the Company's intent to hold the security until maturity or for a period of time sufficient for a recovery in value;

whether it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis;

the length of time and extent to which fair value has been less than amortized cost;

adverse changes in expected cash flows;

collateral values and performance;

the payment structure of the security including levels of subordination or over-collateralization;

changes in the economic or regulatory environment;

the general market condition of the geographic area or industry of the issuer;

F-12

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

the issuer's financial condition, performance and business prospects; and

changes in credit ratings

The relative importance assigned to each of these factors varies depending on the facts and circumstances pertinent to the individual security being evaluated.

The Company recognizes OTTI of a debt security for which there has been a decline in fair value below amortized cost if (i) management intends to sell the security, (ii) it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, or (iii) the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. The amount by which amortized cost exceeds the fair value of a debt security that is considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired is separated into a component representing the credit loss, which is recognized in earnings, and a component related to all other factors, which is recognized in other comprehensive income. The measurement of the credit loss component is equal to the difference between the debt security's amortized cost basis and the present value of its expected future cash flows discounted at the security's effective yield. If the Company intends to sell the security, or if it is more likely than not it will be required to sell the security before recovery, an OTTI write-down is recognized in earnings equal to the entire difference between the amortized cost basis and fair value of the security.

The evaluation of OTTI of marketable equity securities focuses on whether evidence supports recovery of the unrealized loss within a timeframe consistent with temporary impairment. The entire amount by which cost basis exceeds the fair value of an equity security that is considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired is recognized in earnings.

Federal Home Loan Bank Stock

The Bank, as a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta ("FHLB") system, is required to maintain an investment in the stock of the FHLB. No market exists for this stock, and the Bank's investment can be liquidated only through redemption by the FHLB, at the discretion of and subject to conditions imposed by the FHLB. The stock has no readily determinable fair value and is carried at cost. Historically, FHLB stock redemptions have been at par value, which equals the Company's carrying value. The Company monitors its investment in FHLB stock for impairment through review of recent financial results of the FHLB including capital adequacy and liquidity position, dividend payment history, redemption history and information from credit agencies. The Company has not identified any indicators of impairment of FHLB stock.

Loans Held for Sale

Loans originated with the intent to sell in the secondary market are carried at the lower of cost or fair value, determined in the aggregate. These loans are generally sold on a non-recourse basis with servicing released. Gains and losses on the sale of loans recognized in earnings are measured based on the difference between proceeds received and the carrying amount of the loans, inclusive of deferred origination fees and costs, if any.

As a result of changes in events and circumstances or developments regarding management's view of the foreseeable future, loans not originated or acquired with the intent to sell may subsequently be

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

designated as held for sale. These loans are transferred to the held for sale portfolio at the lower of their carrying amounts or fair value.

Loans

The Company's loan portfolio contains 1-4 single family residential first mortgages, home equity loans and lines of credit, multi-family, commercial real estate, construction, land, commercial and consumer loans and small business and municipal leases. A significant portion of the Company's loan portfolio consists of loans acquired from the FDIC in the Acquisition. The substantial majority of these loans are covered under BankUnited's loss sharing agreements with the FDIC. These loans are referred to as covered loans. The Company segregates its loan portfolio between covered and non-covered loans. Non-covered loans are primarily those originated or purchased since the Acquisition ("new loans"). Loans acquired in the Acquisition are further segregated between those acquired with evidence of deterioration in credit quality since origination (Acquired Credit Impaired or "ACI" loans) and those acquired without evidence of deterioration in credit quality since origination ("non-ACI" loans).

ACI Loans

ACI loans are those for which, at acquisition, management determined it probable that the Company would be unable to collect all contractual principal and interest payments due. These loans were recorded at estimated fair value at the time of the Acquisition, measured as the present value of all cash flows expected to be received, discounted at an appropriately risk-weighted discount rate. Initial cash flow expectations incorporated significant assumptions regarding prepayment rates, frequency of default and loss severity.

The difference between total contractually required payments on ACI loans and the cash flows expected to be received represents non-accretable difference. The excess of all cash flows expected to be received over the Company's recorded investment in the loans represents accretable yield and is recognized as interest income on a level-yield basis over the expected life of the loans.

The Company aggregated ACI 1-4 single family residential mortgage loans and home equity loans and lines of credit with similar risk characteristics into homogenous pools at acquisition. A composite interest rate and composite expectations of future cash flows are used in accounting for each pool. These loans were aggregated into pools based on the following characteristics:

delinquency status;

product type, in particular, amortizing as opposed to option ARM products;

loan-to-value ratio; and

borrower FICO score.

Loans that do not have similar risk characteristics, primarily commercial and commercial real estate loans, are accounted for on an individual loan basis using interest rates and expectations of cash flows for each loan.

The Company is required to develop reasonable expectations about the timing and amount of cash flows to be collected related to ACI loans and to continue to update those estimates over the lives of

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

the loans. Expected cash flows from ACI loans are updated quarterly. If it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all the cash flows expected from a loan or pool at acquisition plus additional cash flows expected to be collected arising from changes in estimates after acquisition, the loan or pool is considered impaired and a valuation allowance is established by a charge to the provision for loan losses. If there is a significant increase in expected cash flows from a loan or pool, the Company first reduces any valuation allowance previously established by the amount of the increase in the present value of expected cash flows, then recalculates the amount of accretable yield for that loan or pool. The adjustment of accretable yield due to an increase in expected cash flows, as well as changes in expected cash flows due to changes in interest rate indices and changes in prepayment assumptions is accounted for prospectively as a change in estimate. Additional cash flows expected to be collected are transferred from non-accretable difference to accretable yield and the amount of periodic accretion is adjusted accordingly over the remaining life of the loan or pool.

The Company may resolve an ACI loan either through a sale of the loan, by working with the customer and obtaining partial or full repayment, by short sale of the collateral, or by foreclosure. In the event of a sale of the loan, the Company recognizes a gain or loss on sale based on the difference between the sales proceeds and the carrying value of the loan. For loans resolved through agreed pre-payments or short sale of the collateral, the Company recognizes the difference between the amount of the payment received and the carrying amount of the loan in the income statement line item "Income from resolution of covered assets, net". For loans resolved through foreclosure, the difference between the fair value of the collateral obtained through foreclosure less estimated cost to sell and the carrying amount of the loan is recognized in the income statement line item "Income from resolution of covered assets, net". Any remaining accretable discount related to loans not accounted for in pools that are resolved by full or partial pre-payment, short sale or foreclosure is recognized in interest income at the time of resolution. Accretable discount represents the cumulative undiscounted difference between the contractual coupon rate on the loan and the accretion rate and is generally amortized over the remaining life of the loan using the effective interest method.

Payments received in excess of expected cash flows may result in a pool becoming fully amortized and its carrying value reduced to zero even though outstanding contractual balances remain related to loans in the pool. Once the carrying value of a pool is reduced to zero, any future proceeds, which may include cash or real estate acquired in foreclosure, from the remaining loans are recognized as interest income upon receipt. As of December 31, 2011, the portfolio includes one pool whose carrying value has been reduced to zero.

Non-ACI Loans

Loans acquired without evidence of deterioration in credit quality since origination were initially recorded at estimated fair value on the acquisition date. These loans are carried at the principal amount outstanding, adjusted for unamortized acquisition date fair value adjustments and the allowance for loan losses. Interest income is accrued based on the unpaid principal balance ("UPB") and acquisition date fair value adjustments are amortized using the level-yield method over the expected lives of the related loans. Non-ACI 1-4 single family residential mortgage loans and home equity loans and lines of credit with similar risk characteristics were aggregated into pools for

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

accounting purposes at acquisition. Loans that do not have similar risk characteristics, primarily commercial and commercial real estate loans, are accounted for on an individual loan basis.

New Loans

New loans are those originated or purchased by the Company since the Acquisition. New loans are carried at the principal amount outstanding, net of premiums, discounts, unearned income, deferred loan origination fees and costs, and the allowance for loan and lease losses.

Interest income on new loans is accrued based on the principal amount outstanding. Non-refundable loan origination fees, net of direct costs of originating or acquiring loans, as well as purchase premiums and discounts, are deferred and recognized as adjustments to yield over the expected lives of the related loans using the level yield method.

Nonaccrual Loans

Non-ACI and new commercial loans are placed on non-accrual status when (i) management has determined that full repayment of all contractual principal and interest is in doubt, or (ii) the loan is past due 90 days or more as to principal or interest unless the loan is well secured and in the process of collection. Non-ACI and new residential loans are generally placed on non-accrual status when 90 days of interest is due and unpaid. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, uncollected interest accrued is reversed and charged to interest income. If full collection of principal is doubtful, subsequent payments of interest are applied to reduce the outstanding principal balance. Interest income may be recognized on a cash basis if full collection of the outstanding principal balance is reasonably assured. Commercial loans are returned to accrual status only after all past due principal and interest has been collected and full repayment of remaining contractual principal and interest is reasonably assured. Residential and consumer loans are returned to accrual status when there is no longer 90 days of interest due and unpaid. When a residential or consumer loan is returned to accrual status, interest accrued at the date the loan was placed on non-accrual status along with interest foregone during the non-accrual period are recognized as interest income. Past due status of loans is determined based on the contractual next payment due date. Loans less than 30 days past due are reported as current.

Contractually delinquent ACI loans are not classified as non-accrual as long as discount continues to be accreted on the loans or pools.

Impaired Loans

An ACI pool or loan is considered to be impaired when it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all the cash flows expected at acquisition, plus additional cash flows expected to be collected arising from changes in estimates after acquisition. 1-4 single family residential and home equity ACI loans accounted for in pools are evaluated collectively for impairment on a pool by pool basis based on expected pool cash flows. Commercial ACI loans are individually evaluated for impairment based on expected cash flows from the individual loans. Discount continues to be accreted on ACI loans or pools as long as there are expected future cash flows in excess of the current carrying amount of the loan or pool.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Non-ACI and new loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due, according to the contractual terms of the loan agreements. Commercial relationships with committed balances greater than or equal to \$500,000 that have internal risk ratings of substandard or doubtful and are on non-accrual status are individually evaluated for impairment. The likelihood of loss related to loans assigned internal risk ratings of substandard or doubtful is considered elevated due to their identified credit weaknesses. Loans with well defined credit weaknesses that may result in a loss if the identified deficiencies are not corrected are assigned an internal risk rating of substandard. Loans in this category may exhibit payment defaults, insufficient cash flows, operating losses, or declining collateral values. A loan with a weakness so severe that collection in full is highly questionable or improbable, but because of certain reasonably specific pending factors charge-off is not yet appropriate, will be assigned an internal risk rating of doubtful. Factors considered by management in evaluating impairment include payment status, financial condition of the borrower, collateral value, and other factors impacting the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Generally, non-ACI and new loans identified as impaired have already been placed on non-accrual status.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

In certain situations due to economic or legal reasons related to a borrower's financial difficulties, the Company may grant a concession to the borrower for other than an insignificant period of time that it would not otherwise consider. At that time, except for ACI loans accounted for in pools, the related loan is classified as a troubled-debt restructuring ("TDR") and considered impaired. The concessions granted may include rate reductions, principal forgiveness, payment forbearance, extensions of maturity at rates of interest below that commensurate with the risk profile of the loans, and other actions intended to minimize economic loss. A troubled-debt restructured loan is generally placed on non-accrual status at the time of the modification unless the borrower has no history of missed payments for six months prior to the restructuring. If the borrower performs pursuant to the modified loan terms for at least six months and the remaining loan balance is considered collectible, the loan is returned to accrual status. Modified ACI loans accounted for in pools are not accounted for as TDRs, are not separated from the pools and are not classified as impaired loans. The majority of the Company's TDRs are covered loans.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

The allowance for loan and lease losses ("ALLL") represents the amount considered adequate by management to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio at the balance sheet date. The ALLL relates to (i) new loans, (ii) estimated additional losses arising on non-ACI loans subsequent to the Acquisition and (iii) additional impairment recognized as a result of decreases in expected cash flows on ACI loans due to further credit deterioration since acquisition. The ALLL consists of both specific and general components. The ALLL is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision charged to earnings. Individual loans are charged off against the ALLL when management determines them to be uncollectible. Inadequately secured home equity loans and lines of credit are typically charged off when they are 180 to 210 days past due. Unsecured consumer loans are

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

generally charged off when they become 90 days past due. Subsequent recoveries are credited to the ALLL.

ACI Loans

A specific valuation allowance related to an ACI loan or pool is established when quarterly evaluations of expected cash flows indicate it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all of the cash flows expected at acquisition plus any additional cash flows expected to be collected arising from changes in estimate after acquisition. The amount of any necessary valuation allowance is measured by comparing the carrying value of the loan or pool to the updated net present value of expected cash flows for the loan or pool. In calculating the present value of expected cash flows for this purpose, changes in cash flows related to credit related factors are isolated from those related to changes in interest rate indices or prepayment assumptions. Alternatively, an improvement in the expected cash flows related to ACI loans results in a reduction of any previously established specific allowance with a corresponding credit to the provision for loan losses. A charge-off is taken for an individual ACI commercial loan when it is deemed probable that the loan will be resolved for an amount less than its carrying value.

Expected cash flows are estimated on a pool basis for ACI 1-4 single family residential and home equity loans. The analysis of expected pool cash flows incorporates updated pool level expected prepayment rate, default rate, delinquency level and loss severity given default assumptions. Prepayment, delinquency and default curves are derived primarily from roll rates generated from the historical performance of the portfolio over the immediately preceding four quarters. Estimates of default probability and loss severity given default also incorporate updated loan-to-value ("LTV") ratios, at the loan level, based on Case-Shiller Home Price Indices for the relevant Metropolitan Statistical Area ("MSA"). Costs and fees represent an additional component of loss on default, and are projected using the "Making Home Affordable" cost factors provided by the Federal government.

The primary assumptions underlying estimates of expected cash flows for commercial ACI loans are default probability and severity of loss given default. For commercial relationships with committed balances greater than or equal to \$500,000, updated cash flow assumptions are based primarily on net realizable value analyses prepared at the individual loan level. These analyses incorporate information about loan performance, collateral values, the financial condition of the borrower and other available information that may impact sources of repayment. Updated assumptions for smaller balance commercial loans are based on a combination of internal risk ratings, the Company's own historical delinquency and default severity data and industry level delinquency data. Cash flow estimates for consumer loans are based primarily on regularly updated historical performance information.

Non-ACI and New Loans

Non-ACI 1-4 single family residential mortgages and home equity loans and lines of credit are grouped into homogenous pools based on loan type for purposes of determining the amount of the ALLL. The credit quality of loans in the residential portfolio segment may be impacted by fluctuations in home values, unemployment, general economic conditions and, to a lesser extent in the current economic environment, fluctuations in interest rates. Home equity loans and lines of credit that are junior liens are likely to experience greater loss severity in the event of default. Calculated loss

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

frequency and severity percentages are applied to the dollar value of loans in each pool to calculate the ALLL. Based on an analysis of historical portfolio performance, other real estate owned ("OREO") and short sale data and other internal and external factors, management has determined that LTV is the leading predictive indicator of loss severity. LTV ratios are updated quarterly at the loan level using Case-Shiller Home Price Indices for the relevant MSA. Home price index data used in updating LTV's is that for the preceding calendar quarter, the most recent data available. The loans in each pool are further disaggregated based on LTV ratios for purposes of calculating loss frequency and severity. Frequency is calculated using a four month roll to loss percentage. Loss severity given default is estimated based on internal data about short sales and OREO sales for the most recent quarter. The ALLL calculation incorporates a 100% loss severity assumption for home equity loans and lines of credit and consumer loans at 120 days delinquency.

The new residential and home equity portfolio segments have not yet developed an observable loss trend. Due to several factors, there is a lack of similarity between the risk characteristics of new loans and covered loans in the residential and home equity portfolios. Those factors include elimination of wholesale origination channels, elimination of Alt-A and no document loans, enhancements to real estate appraisal policies, elimination of Option ARM loans and tightening of underwriting policies. Therefore, management does not believe it is appropriate to use the historical performance of the covered loans as a basis for calculating the ALLL applicable to the new loans. The ALLL for new residential and home equity loans is based on peer group average historical loss rates as described further below.

The new and non-ACI commercial loan portfolios have limited delinquency history and have not yet exhibited an observable loss trend. The credit quality of loans in this portfolio segment is impacted by debt service coverage generated by the borrowers' businesses and fluctuations in the value of real estate collateral. For loans evaluated individually for impairment and determined to be impaired, a specific allowance is established based on the present value of expected cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the estimated fair value of the loan, or for collateral dependent loans, the estimated fair value of collateral less costs to sell. Loans not individually determined to be impaired are grouped based on common risk characteristics. The ALLL for these portfolio segments is based primarily on the Bank's internal credit risk rating system and peer group average historical loss rates. The ALLL for municipal lease receivables is based on historical loss experience of a portfolio of similar loans.

The peer group used to calculate average historical loss rates consists of banks in the Southeast region determined by management to be comparable to BankUnited. Factors impacting the selection of the banks in the peer group include asset size, loan portfolio composition and credit quality statistics published by the FDIC. For the new bank portfolio, a six quarter average of peer group historical loss rates is used as this period corresponds to the vintage of the majority of loans in this portfolio segment. For the non-ACI portfolio, a twelve quarter average is used as this period is considered more representative of expected loss experience for the more seasoned loans in this segment.

Prior to 2011, the ALLL for non-ACI and new loans was calculated based primarily on the Bank's internal credit risk rating system and the OTS "Thrift Industry Charge-Off Rates by Asset Type, annualized Net Charge-Off Rates Twelve Quarter Average" for the southeast region. Largely in response to growth in the new loan portfolio, management incorporated peer group historical loss rates

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

in the ALLL methodology. The peer group data is considered more representative of expected losses than broader based industry averages. The impact of this change was not material to the overall ALLL estimate.

Qualitative adjustments are made to the ALLL when, based on management's judgment and experience, there are internal or external factors impacting loss frequency and severity not taken into account by the quantitative calculations. Management has categorized potential qualitative adjustments into the following four categories:

Portfolio trends, including trends in delinquencies and impaired loans, levels of and trends in recoveries and charge-offs, trends in volume, type and term of loans, emerging trends for particular loan types and pools, and strategic initiatives of the Company that may impact loan performance;

Policy and credit guidelines, including changes in lending policies and procedures, experience, ability and depth of lending management and staff;

Economic factors, including national, regional and local economic trends and conditions as well as housing and banking industry conditions and trends; and

Credit concentrations.

FDIC Indemnification Asset

The FDIC indemnification asset was initially recorded at the time of the Acquisition at fair value, measured as the present value of the estimated cash payments expected from the FDIC for probable losses on covered assets, past due interest and reimbursement of certain expenses. Covered assets consist of loans, other real estate owned and certain investment securities acquired from the FDIC. The FDIC indemnification asset is measured separately from the related covered assets. It is not contractually embedded in the covered assets and it is not transferrable with the covered assets should the Company choose to dispose of them. The discount rate used to estimate the initial fair value of the FDIC indemnification asset was determined using a risk-free yield curve adjusted for a premium reflecting the uncertainty related to the collection, amount and timing of the cash flows as well as illiquidity of the asset.

The discount resulting from recording the FDIC indemnification asset at present value is accreted to non-interest income using the effective interest method over the period during which cash flows from the FDIC are expected to be collected. Increases in expected cash flows from covered assets result in decreases in cash flows expected to be collected from the FDIC. These decreases in expected cash flows from the FDIC are recognized prospectively through an adjustment of the rate of accretion on the FDIC indemnification asset, consistent with the approach taken to recognize increases in expected cash flows on the covered assets. Impairment of expected cash flows from covered assets results in an increase in cash flows expected to be collected from the FDIC. These increased expected cash flows from the FDIC are recognized as increases in the FDIC indemnification asset and as non-interest income in the same period that the impairment of the covered assets is recognized in earnings.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Gains and losses from resolution of ACI loans are included in the income statement line item "Income from resolution of covered assets, net". These gains and losses represent the difference between the expected losses from ACI loans and consideration actually received in satisfaction of such loans that were resolved either by payment in full, foreclosure, short sale or, for the non-residential portfolio, charge-offs. The Company may also realize gains or losses on the sale of covered loans or other real estate owned. When the Company recognizes gains or losses related to the resolution or sale of covered assets in earnings, corresponding changes in the estimated amount recoverable from the FDIC under the loss sharing agreements are reflected in the consolidated financial statements as increases or decreases in the FDIC indemnification asset and in the income statement line item "Net gain (loss) on indemnification asset".

The ultimate realization of the FDIC indemnification asset is dependent upon the performance of the underlying covered assets and payment of claims by the FDIC.

Bank Owned Life Insurance

Bank owned life insurance is carried at the amount that could be realized under the contract at the balance sheet date, which is typically cash surrender value. Changes in cash surrender value are recorded in non-interest income.

Other Real Estate Owned

Other real estate owned ("OREO") consists of real estate assets acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure. These assets are held for sale and are initially recorded at the estimated fair value of the collateral less costs to sell at the date of foreclosure, establishing a new cost basis. Subsequent to foreclosure, periodic valuations are performed and the assets are carried at the lower of the carrying amount at the date of foreclosure or estimated fair value less cost to sell. Significant property improvements that enhance the salability of the property are capitalized to the extent that the resulting carrying value does not exceed fair value less cost to sell. Legal fees, maintenance, taxes, insurance and other direct costs of holding and maintaining foreclosed properties are expensed as incurred.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of consideration transferred in business combinations over the fair value of net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired. Goodwill is not amortized, but is tested for impairment annually or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that impairment may have occurred. The Company performs its annual goodwill impairment test in the third fiscal quarter. The Company has a single reporting unit. The impairment test compares the estimated fair value of the reporting unit to its carrying amount. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, no impairment is indicated. If the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, impairment of goodwill is measured as the excess of the carrying amount of goodwill over its implied fair value. In 2011, the estimated fair value of the reporting unit was based on the market capitalization of the Company's common stock. Prior to 2011, management engaged third party valuation specialists to estimate the fair value of the reporting unit using a discounted cash flow valuation technique. The estimated fair value of the reporting unit at each impairment testing date substantially exceeded its carrying amount; therefore, no impairment of goodwill was indicated.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Intangible assets with determinable lives include core deposit intangible assets and other customer relationship intangible assets, and are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives. Intangible assets with determinable lives are evaluated for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable.

Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization and are included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Depreciation is calculated using the straight line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The lives of improvements to existing buildings are based on the lesser of the estimated remaining lives of the buildings or the estimated useful lives of the improvements. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the expected terms of the leases at inception, considering options to extend that are reasonably assured, or their useful lives. External direct costs of materials and services associated with developing or obtaining and implementing internal use computer software are capitalized and amortized over the estimated useful lives of the software. The estimated useful lives of premises and equipment are as follows:

branch buildings and improvements-30 years

leasehold improvements-5 to 20 years

furniture, fixtures and equipment-5 to 7 years

computer equipment-3 years

software and software licensing rights-3 to 5 years.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for periods in which the differences are expected to reverse. The effect of changes in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. A valuation allowance is established for deferred tax assets when management determines that it is more likely than not that some portion or all of a deferred tax asset will not be realized. In making such determinations, the Company considers all available positive and negative evidence that may impact the realization of deferred tax assets. These considerations include the amount of taxable income generated in statutory carryback periods, future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, projected future taxable income and available tax planning strategies.

The Company recognizes tax benefits from uncertain tax positions when it is more likely than not that the related tax positions will be sustained upon examination, including resolutions of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the tax positions. An uncertain tax position is a position taken in a previously filed tax return or a position expected to be taken in a future tax return that is not based on clear and unambiguous tax law. The Company measures tax benefits related to uncertain tax positions based on the largest benefit that has a greater than 50%

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

likelihood of being realized upon settlement. If the initial assessment fails to result in recognition of a tax benefit, the Company subsequently recognizes a tax benefit if (i) there are changes in tax law or case law that raise the likelihood of prevailing on the technical merits of the position to more-likely-than-not, (ii) the statute of limitations expires, or (iii) there is a completion of an examination resulting in a settlement of that tax year or position with the appropriate agency. The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax positions in the provision for income taxes.

Equity Based Compensation

The Company periodically grants nonqualified stock options or unvested shares of common stock to key employees. Compensation cost is measured based on the estimated fair value of the awards at the grant date and is recognized in earnings on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period. The fair value of unvested shares is based on the closing market price of the Company's common stock at the date of grant. The fair value of stock options is estimated at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model. This model requires assumptions as to expected volatility, expected term, dividend yield, and risk free interest rates. Since the Company's common stock has limited trading history, the measurement of expected volatility incorporates the volatility of the common stock of peer companies. The expected term represents the period of time that options are expected to be outstanding from the grant date and is based on the contractual term of the options and employees' anticipated exercise behavior. The risk free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury constant maturity rate corresponding to the expected term of the options at the date of grant. The expected dividend yield is determined based on historical dividend rates and dividends expected to be declared in the foreseeable future.

Prior to the IPO, BUFH had a class of authorized non-voting membership interests identified as Profits Interest Units ("PIUs"). PIUs were issued by BUFH to management members of the Company who owned common units of BUFH. The PIUs entitled their holders to share in distributions from BUFH after investors in BUFH received certain defined returns on their investment. PIUs consisted of both time-based awards, which vested based on fulfillment of a service condition and IRR-based awards. Based on their settlement provisions, the PIUs were classified as liabilities and adjusted to estimated fair value at each financial statement date. Fair value was estimated using a Black-Scholes option pricing model. Compensation expense related to PIUs was based on the fair value of the underlying units. Compensation expense related to time-based PIUs was recognized over the requisite service period on a straight-line basis. Compensation expense related to IRR-based PIUs was recognized upon vesting, which occurred on completion of the IPO. In conjunction with the IPO, all of the outstanding PIUs were exchanged for a combination of non-qualified stock options and common shares in the Company.

Warrant Issued to the FDIC

In conjunction with the Acquisition, the Company issued a warrant to the FDIC. Based on its settlement provisions, the warrant was classified as a liability and adjusted to the greater of fair value or guaranteed minimum value at each financial statement date, with changes in value reflected in earnings. The warrant was settled for cash in February, 2011.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities

Interest rate swap agreements

Interest rate swaps are contracts in which a series of interest cash flows are exchanged over a prescribed period. Interest rate swaps are recorded as assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets at fair value. Interest rate swaps that are used as a risk management tool to hedge the Company's exposure to changes in interest rates have been designated as cash flow hedging instruments. The effective portion of the gain or loss on an interest rate swap designated and qualifying as a cash flow hedging instrument is initially reported as a component of other comprehensive income and subsequently reclassified into earnings in the same period in which the hedged transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative instrument, if any, is recognized currently in earnings. Hedge effectiveness is assessed using the hypothetical derivative method. Assessments of hedge effectiveness and measurements of hedge ineffectiveness are performed quarterly.

The Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively when it is determined that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of the hedged item, the derivative expires or is sold, terminated, or exercised, or management determines that the designation of the derivative as a hedging instrument is no longer appropriate. When hedge accounting is discontinued, any subsequent changes in fair value are recognized in earnings.

Cash flows resulting from derivative financial instruments that are accounted for as hedges are classified in the cash flow statement in the same category as the cash flows from the hedged items.

Changes in the fair value of interest rate swaps not designated as, or not qualifying as, hedging instruments are recognized currently in earnings.

Derivative loan commitments

Interest rate lock commitments to originate mortgage loans to be held for sale upon funding are derivative instruments and are recognized in the consolidated balance sheets at fair value with changes in fair value reflected in earnings.

Forward loan sale commitments

Mandatory delivery forward loan sale commitments are derivative instruments that are reflected in the consolidated balance sheets at fair value with changes in fair value reflected in earnings.

Transfers of Financial Assets

Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales when control over the assets has been surrendered. A gain or loss is recognized in earnings upon completion of the sale based on the difference between the sales proceeds and the carrying value of the assets. Control over the transferred assets is deemed to have been surrendered when: (i) the assets have been legally isolated from the Company, (ii) the transferee obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets, and (iii) the Company does not

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity or the ability to unilaterally cause the holder to return specific assets.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred.

Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per common share is calculated by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common share reflect the effect of common stock equivalents, including stock options and unvested shares, calculated using the treasury stock method. Common stock equivalents are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per common share in periods in which the effect is anti-dilutive.

Some of the Company's outstanding equity based payment awards, including unvested shares and certain stock options, contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents. These securities are considered participating securities. Accordingly, the Company calculates net income available to common stockholders using the two-class method, whereby net income is allocated between common stock and participating securities. In periods of a net loss, no allocation is made to participating securities as they are not contractually required to fund net losses.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts presented for prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-02, "*A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring is a Troubled Debt Restructuring*." This update clarifies existing guidance on a creditor's evaluation of whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring, including clarification of a creditor's evaluation of whether it has granted a concession and of whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The Company adopted this update in 2011. Adoption of this update did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In April 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-03, "*Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements*." This update removes from the assessment of effective control: (1) the criterion requiring the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee, and (2) the collateral maintenance implementation guidance related to that criterion. The update is required to be adopted prospectively by the Company for the quarter ending March 31, 2012. Management does not anticipate that adoption will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-04, "*Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs.*" The amendments in this Update result in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). The amendments change the wording used to describe many of the requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. Some of the amendments clarify the FASB's intent about the application of fair value measurement requirements and others change principles or requirements for measuring fair value or disclosing information about fair value measurements. The Company is required to adopt this update prospectively for the quarter ending March 31, 2012. This update will result in expanded disclosures in the Company's financial statements; however, management does not anticipate that adoption will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-05, "*Presentation of Comprehensive Income.*" This update provides entities with an option of presenting the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. This update eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The Company is required to adopt this update retrospectively for the quarter ending March 31, 2012. Adoption of this update will affect the manner of presentation of the components of comprehensive income in the Company's financial statements, but will not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Accounting Standards Update 2011-12 delayed the effective date of certain requirements of Accounting Standards Update 2011-05 related to the presentation of reclassifications of items out of accumulated other comprehensive income.

In September 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-08, "*Testing Goodwill for Impairment.*" This update simplifies how entities test goodwill for impairment. It permits an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test. The more-likely-than-not threshold is defined as having a likelihood of more than 50 percent. Under the amendments in this update, an entity is not required to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit unless the entity determines that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. The Company is required to adopt this update for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Management does not anticipate that adoption will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2011, The FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-11, "*Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities.*" This update requires entities to disclose both gross information and net information about instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. The scope of this update includes derivatives, sale and repurchase agreements and reverse sale and repurchase agreements and securities borrowing and lending arrangements. The Company is required to adopt this update retrospectively for periods beginning after January 1, 2013. Management does not

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

anticipate that adoption will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Note 2 Acquisition Activity

BankUnited, FSB

On May 21, 2009, BankUnited entered into a purchase and assumption agreement (the "FSB Agreement") with the FDIC, as receiver, pursuant to which BankUnited acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the non-brokered deposits and other liabilities of BankUnited, FSB, a community bank headquartered in Coral Gables, Florida.

Excluding the effects of acquisition accounting adjustments, the Bank acquired \$13.6 billion in assets and assumed \$12.8 billion of the deposits and liabilities of BankUnited, FSB. The Bank received net consideration in the amount of \$2.2 billion, partially offset by liabilities due to the FDIC in the amount of \$156.8 million.

In connection with the Acquisition, the Bank entered into loss sharing agreements with the FDIC that cover single family residential mortgage loans, commercial real estate, commercial and industrial and consumer loans, certain investment securities and OREO, collectively referred to as the "covered assets". The Bank acquired other BankUnited, FSB assets that are not covered by the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC including cash balances of \$1.2 billion, certain investment securities purchased at fair value and other tangible assets. Pursuant to the terms of the loss sharing agreements, the covered assets are subject to a stated loss threshold whereby the FDIC will reimburse the Bank for 80% of losses of up to \$4.0 billion, and 95% of losses in excess of this amount. The Bank will reimburse the FDIC for its share of recoveries with respect to losses for which the FDIC paid the Bank a reimbursement under the loss sharing agreements. The FDIC's obligation to reimburse the Company for losses with respect to covered assets begins with the first dollar of loss incurred. The expected reimbursements under the loss sharing agreements were recorded as an indemnification asset at an estimated fair value of \$3.4 billion on the acquisition date. The indemnification asset reflects the present value of the expected net cash reimbursements under the loss sharing agreements.

The amounts covered by the loss sharing agreements are the pre-acquisition book values of the underlying covered assets, the contractual balance of unfunded commitments that were acquired, plus certain interest and expenses. The loss sharing agreements are subject to servicing procedures specified in the agreement with the FDIC. The loss sharing agreement applicable to single family residential mortgage loans provides for FDIC loss sharing and the Bank's reimbursement of recoveries to the FDIC for ten years. The loss sharing agreements applicable to all other covered assets provide for FDIC loss sharing for five years and the Bank's reimbursement of recoveries to the FDIC for 8 years. Under the loss sharing agreements, the Bank may sell up to 2.5% of the acquired residential and commercial loan portfolio, with certain restrictions, based on the UPB of the loans at Acquisition, or approximately \$280 million, on an annual basis without prior consent from the FDIC. If the Bank seeks to sell residential or non-residential loans in excess of the agreed 2.5% threshold, nine months prior to the tenth anniversary or fifth anniversary, respectively, and does not receive approval from the FDIC, the loss sharing agreements are extended for a period of two years after the respective anniversaries. The loss sharing term is extended only with respect to the loans to be included in such sales. The Bank

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 2 Acquisition Activity (Continued)**

will have the right to sell all or any portion of such loans without FDIC consent, at any time within nine months prior to the respective extended termination dates.

The Acquisition was determined to be a business combination; accordingly, the assets acquired and liabilities assumed were recorded at their estimated fair values at the acquisition date. The determination of the initial fair value of loans purchased in the acquisition and the initial fair value of the related FDIC indemnification asset involved a high degree of judgment and complexity and required management to make subjective assumptions about discount rates, future expected cash flows, market conditions and other future events that are subject to change. The amount the Company ultimately realizes from these assets could differ materially from the initial estimates.

The following table summarizes the carrying amount and estimated fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date (in thousands):

| | As Recorded by BankUnited FSB | Fair Value Adjustments | Net Cash Received From the FDIC | As Recorded by the Company |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Assets | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 1,160,321 | \$ | \$ 2,156,393 | \$ 3,316,714 |
| Investment securities, at fair value | 608,388 | (69,444) | | 538,944 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 243,334 | | | 243,334 |
| Loans | 11,174,232 | (6,163,904) | | 5,010,328 |
| FDIC receivable | | 69,444 | | 69,444 |
| FDIC indemnification asset | | 3,442,890 | | 3,442,890 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 129,111 | | | 129,111 |
| Other real estate owned | 199,819 | (22,140) | | 177,679 |
| Deferred tax asset, net | | 37,269 | | 37,269 |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets | | 61,150 | | 61,150 |
| Other assets | 95,171 | (44,696) | | 50,475 |
| Total assets | 13,610,376 | (2,689,431) | 2,156,393 | 13,077,338 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Deposits | 8,225,916 | 108,566 | | 8,334,482 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 1,310 | | | 1,310 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 4,429,350 | 201,264 | | 4,630,614 |
| Advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance | 52,362 | | | 52,362 |
| Other liabilities | 59,137 | (567) | | 58,570 |
| Total liabilities | 12,768,075 | 309,263 | | 13,077,338 |
| Net Assets | \$ 842,301 | \$ (2,998,694) | \$ 2,156,393 | \$ |

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 2 Acquisition Activity (Continued)

Goodwill and other intangible assets recognized in conjunction with the Acquisition included approximately \$59.4 million of goodwill and a \$1.8 million core deposit intangible asset.

The following is a description of the methods used to determine the fair values of significant assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

Cash and cash equivalents, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance:

The carrying amount of these assets and liabilities was considered a reasonable estimate of fair value based on the short-term, liquid nature of these assets and liabilities.

Investment securities:

Fair values of investment securities were based on quoted prices in active markets, where available. If quoted prices in active markets were not available, fair value estimates were based on observable inputs including quoted prices in less active markets, quoted prices in active markets for similar instruments, or other inputs observable in the market. In the absence of observable inputs, fair value was estimated based on pricing models and/or discounted cash flow methodologies.

FHLB stock:

Par value was considered a reasonable estimate of fair value based on the redemption provisions of the securities, as these instruments are restricted securities with no evidence of impairment at the time of acquisition.

Loans:

Fair values for loans were based on a discounted cash flow methodology that considered factors including the type of loan and related collateral, delinquency and credit classification status, fixed or variable interest rate, term of loan, whether or not the loan was amortizing, and current discount rates. Additional assumptions used included default rates, loss severity, payment curves, loss curves and prepayment speeds. Certain residential loans were pooled according to similar characteristics and fair value was estimated at the pool level. The discount rates used to estimate the fair value of loans were based on market rates for new originations of comparable loans at the time of acquisition, and included adjustments for liquidity concerns.

FDIC receivable:

The \$69.4 million FDIC receivable represented a receivable recognized by the Company for an amount due from the FDIC related to the disputed purchase price of certain investment securities. The FDIC assigned a purchase price to these securities that the Company believed to be higher than the price required by the FSB Agreement. The FSB Agreement incorporates dispute resolution procedures that describe the process by which disputes regarding interpretation, application, calculation of loss or calculation of payments regarding the loss share must be resolved. In 2009, the Company recognized an impairment charge on the full amount of the FDIC receivable due to concerns over collectability. In 2010, \$24.1 million was received from the FDIC in settlement of this dispute.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 2 Acquisition Activity (Continued)

FDIC indemnification asset:

Fair value was estimated using projected cash flows related to the loss sharing agreements based on the expected reimbursements of losses and the applicable loss sharing percentages. These cash flows were discounted using a risk-free yield curve plus a premium reflecting the uncertainty related to the collection, amounts and timing of the cash flows and other liquidity concerns.

Bank owned life insurance:

The fair value of bank owned life insurance was based on the amount that could be realized at the acquisition date from the underlying insurance contract.

OREO:

OREO was recorded at estimated fair value, generally based on real estate appraisals or other market based indications of value, net of estimated costs of disposal.

Deferred tax asset, net:

The deferred tax asset, net represented the tax effects of differences between the book bases and tax bases of certain assets acquired and liabilities assumed, including investment securities, loans, the FDIC indemnification asset, time deposits, FHLB advances and the deferred tax gain resulting from the Acquisition.

Goodwill:

Goodwill represented the residual difference between the fair value of tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed by the Company and the payment received from the FDIC for assuming the net liabilities and reflects the market share and related benefits expected to result from the Acquisition. Goodwill was assigned to the Company's single operating segment and reporting unit at the date of the Acquisition, community banking.

Core deposit intangible:

The core deposit intangible asset represented the value of relationships with deposit customers. Fair value was estimated based on the present value of the expected cost savings attributable to core deposit funding relative to an alternative source of funding. In determining fair value, consideration was given to expected customer attrition rates, cost of the deposit base, reserve requirements and the net maintenance cost attributable to customer deposits.

Other assets:

The fair value of other assets was determined based on management's assessment of the realizability of such assets at acquisition date.

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 2 Acquisition Activity (Continued)

Deposits:

Fair values of transaction accounts acquired, including demand, savings and money market deposits, equaled the amount payable on demand at the acquisition date. The fair values of time deposits were estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applied interest rates being offered at the acquisition date to the contractual cash flows on such deposits.

Federal Home Loan Bank advances:

The fair values of Federal Home Loan Bank advances were estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applied interest rates being offered at the acquisition date for advances with similar terms and remaining maturities to the contractual cash flows on such advances.

Other liabilities:

The fair value of other liabilities was based primarily on their carrying amounts, which was considered a reasonable estimate based on the short-term nature of these liabilities. Included in other liabilities was the estimated fair value of the warrant issued to the FDIC in connection with the acquisition, amounting to \$1.5 million.

F-31

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 2 Acquisition Activity (Continued)**

A summary of the covered loans acquired as of May 21, 2009 and the related discount is as follows (in thousands):

| | Acquired Credit Impaired | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|-------------------|---------------------|
| | Unpaid Principal Balance | Additional Contractual Cash Flows | Total Estimated Contractual Cash Flows on ACI Loans | Non-ACI | Total |
| Residential: | | | | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | \$ 9,114,641 | \$ 4,047,208 | \$ 13,161,849 | \$ 212,847 | \$ 13,374,696 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 284,222 | 82,164 | 366,386 | 220,434 | 586,820 |
| Total residential | 9,398,863 | 4,129,372 | 13,528,235 | 433,281 | 13,961,516 |
| Commercial: | | | | | |
| Multi-family | 124,785 | 48,072 | 172,857 | 6,032 | 178,889 |
| Commercial real estate | 566,990 | 245,204 | 812,194 | 40,582 | 852,776 |
| Construction | 187,025 | 99,338 | 286,363 | 377 | 286,740 |
| Land | 220,100 | 54,636 | 274,736 | 173 | 274,909 |
| Commercial | 131,590 | 21,746 | 153,336 | 51,434 | 204,770 |
| Total commercial | 1,230,490 | 468,996 | 1,699,486 | 98,598 | 1,798,084 |
| Consumer | 13,000 | 348 | 13,348 | | 13,348 |
| Total loans | \$ 10,642,353 | \$ 4,598,716 | 15,241,069 | 531,879 | 15,772,948 |
| Less: Non-accretable difference | | | 8,714,344 | | 8,714,344 |
| Cash flows expected to be collected | | | 6,526,725 | | |
| Accretable discount | | | 2,004,337 | 43,939 | 2,048,276 |
| | | | \$ 4,522,388 | \$ 487,940 | \$ 5,010,328 |

The estimated contractual cash flows for the acquired non-ACI loans at the acquisition date were \$713.0 million.

The following table presents the components of the FDIC indemnification asset at May 21, 2009 (in thousands):

| | Loans | OREO | Total |
|---|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Estimated portion of gross losses subject to FDIC indemnification: | | | |
| Residential | \$ 4,119,357 | \$ 18,860 | \$ 4,138,217 |
| Commercial | 411,095 | | 411,095 |
| Total | 4,530,452 | 18,860 | 4,549,312 |
| Fair value discount | 1,103,681 | 2,741 | 1,106,422 |
| FDIC indemnification asset at May 21, 2009 | \$ 3,426,771 | \$ 16,119 | \$ 3,442,890 |

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 2 Acquisition Activity (Continued)

At the closing of the Acquisition on May 21, 2009, the Company paid transaction fees to related parties totaling \$20.0 million and reimbursed those parties for \$2.5 million in certain expenses related to the Acquisition. These fees and costs are included in non-interest expense for the period ended December 31, 2009.

Herald National Bank

On June 2, 2011, BKU entered into a Merger Agreement with Herald National Bank ("Herald"), a national banking association based in the New York metropolitan area ("Merger Agreement"). The Merger Agreement provides that, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth therein, a to-be-formed direct, wholly-owned national bank subsidiary of BKU will merge with and into Herald, with Herald continuing as the surviving entity and a wholly-owned subsidiary of BKU. Upon completion of the merger, holders of Herald common and preferred stock will receive cash or shares of BKU common stock having a value equal to \$1.35 plus the value of 0.099 shares of BKU common stock as of the effective time of the Merger. The Merger Agreement provides that the surviving bank will be merged with and into BankUnited, with BankUnited surviving, in August 2012. The Company received the requisite regulatory approvals for the acquisition of Herald in February, 2012 and anticipates closing the transaction on February 29, 2012 for an estimated purchase price of approximately \$62 million consisting of cash of approximately \$23 million and common stock valued at approximately \$39 million. The final purchase price will not be determined until closing. Herald had total assets of approximately \$524 million at December 31, 2011. Financial statements of Herald and pro-forma financial information are not required to be presented due to the immateriality of this acquisition to the Company's overall financial position and results of operations.

Other Acquisitions

In October 2010, in two separate transactions, the Company acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of a small business commercial lending company and a municipal leasing company for total cash consideration of approximately \$50.5 million. These transactions were determined to be business combinations and were accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting. The acquired businesses are complementary to the Company's commercial lending business strategy. The assets acquired and liabilities assumed were accounted for at their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition and included primarily small business loans valued at \$42.7 million, customer relationship intangible assets of \$442 thousand, goodwill of \$7.9 million, premises and equipment of \$570 thousand, and other liabilities of \$1.1 million. Goodwill resulted primarily from the value of an assembled workforce and related industry expertise. The results of operations of the acquired businesses have been included in the Company's financial statements from the date of acquisition. Pro-forma financial information is not presented due to immateriality of these acquisitions to the Company's overall financial position and results of operations.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 3 Earnings per Common Share**

Basic earnings per common share is calculated by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period, reduced by average unvested stock awards. Unvested stock awards and stock option awards with nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents, whether paid or unpaid, are considered participating securities and are included in the computation of basic earnings per share using the two class method. Diluted earnings per common share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period, increased for the dilutive effect of unexercised stock options and unvested share awards using the treasury stock method.

The computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 is presented below (in thousands except per share amounts):

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Basic earnings per common share: | | | |
| Numerator: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 63,168 | \$ 184,735 | \$ 119,046 |
| Distributed and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities | (3,449) | | |
| Income available to common stockholders | \$ 59,719 | \$ 184,735 | \$ 119,046 |
| Denominator: | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 96,875,386 | 92,950,735 | 92,664,910 |
| Less average unvested stock awards | (1,421,694) | | |
| Weighted average shares for basic earnings per share | 95,453,692 | 92,950,735 | 92,664,910 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.63 | \$ 1.99 | \$ 1.29 |
| Diluted earnings per common share: | | | |
| Numerator: | | | |
| Income available to common shareholders | \$ 59,719 | \$ 184,735 | \$ 119,046 |
| Adjustment for earnings reallocated from participating securities | | | |
| Income used in calculating diluted earnings per share | \$ 59,719 | \$ 184,735 | \$ 119,046 |
| Denominator: | | | |
| Average shares for basic earnings per share | 95,453,692 | 92,950,735 | 92,664,910 |
| Dilutive effect of stock options | 151,585 | | |
| Weighted average shares for diluted earnings per share | 95,605,277 | 92,950,735 | 92,664,910 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.62 | \$ 1.99 | \$ 1.29 |

No participating securities were outstanding during the year ended December 31, 2010 or the period ended December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 3 Earnings per Common Share (Continued)**

At December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the following potentially dilutive securities were outstanding but excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive:

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|-----------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Options | 5,073,580 | 981,710 | 384,680 |
| Unvested shares | 1,663,822 | | |

Note 4 Investment Securities Available for Sale

Investment securities available for sale at December 31, 2011 and 2010 consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2011 | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | Covered Securities | | | | Non-Covered Securities | | | |
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,952,095 | \$ 34,823 | \$ (1,205) | \$ 1,985,713 |
| Resecuritized real estate mortgage investment conduits ("Re-Remics") | | | | | 544,924 | 4,972 | (3,586) | 546,310 |
| Private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMO's | 165,385 | 44,746 | (310) | 209,821 | 177,614 | 1,235 | (983) | 177,866 |
| Private label commercial mortgage-backed securities | | | | | 255,868 | 6,694 | | 262,562 |
| Non-mortgage asset-backed securities | | | | | 414,274 | 2,246 | (5,635) | 410,885 |
| Mutual funds and preferred stocks | 16,382 | 491 | (556) | 16,317 | 235,705 | 3,071 | (1,276) | 237,500 |
| State and municipal obligations | | | | | 24,994 | 278 | (2) | 25,270 |
| Small Business Administration securities | | | | | 301,109 | 2,664 | (96) | 303,677 |
| Other debt securities | 3,868 | 2,188 | | 6,056 | | | | |
| | \$ 185,635 | \$ 47,425 | \$ (866) | \$ 232,194 | \$ 3,906,583 | \$ 55,983 | \$ (12,783) | \$ 3,949,783 |

F-35

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 4 Investment Securities Available for Sale (Continued)**

| | December 31, 2010 | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| | Covered Securities | | | | Non-Covered Securities | | | |
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Losses | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Losses | Fair Value |
| U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,282,757 | \$ 11,411 | \$ (3,258) | \$ 1,290,910 |
| Re-Remics | | | | | 599,682 | 14,054 | (1,105) | 612,631 |
| Private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMO's | 181,337 | 61,679 | (1,726) | 241,290 | 138,759 | 2,906 | (35) | 141,630 |
| Non-mortgage asset-backed securities | | | | | 407,158 | 1,908 | (72) | 408,994 |
| Mutual funds and preferred stocks | 16,382 | 57 | (922) | 15,517 | 120,107 | 3,402 | (491) | 123,018 |
| State and municipal obligations | | | | | 22,898 | 101 | (39) | 22,960 |
| Small Business Administration securities | | | | | 62,831 | 191 | (131) | 62,891 |
| Other debt securities | 3,695 | 3,066 | | 6,761 | | | | |
| | \$ 201,414 | \$ 64,802 | \$ (2,648) | \$ 263,568 | \$ 2,634,192 | \$ 33,973 | \$ (5,131) | \$ 2,663,034 |

At December 31, 2011, investment securities available for sale by contractual maturity, adjusted for anticipated prepayments of mortgage-backed and other pass-through securities, are shown below (in thousands):

| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Due in one year or less | \$ 583,060 | \$ 598,811 |
| Due after one year through five years | 1,797,898 | 1,837,540 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 1,101,762 | 1,123,514 |
| Due after ten years | 357,411 | 368,295 |
| Mutual funds and preferred stocks with no stated maturity | 252,087 | 253,817 |
| | \$ 4,092,218 | \$ 4,181,977 |

Based on the Company's proprietary model and prepayment assumptions, the estimated weighted average life of the investment portfolio as of December 31, 2011 was 4.7 years. The effective duration of the investment portfolio as of December 31, 2011 was 1.7 years. The model results are based on assumptions that may differ from the eventual outcome.

The carrying value of securities pledged as collateral for FHLB advances, public deposits, interest rate swaps, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and to secure borrowing capacity at the Federal Reserve Bank totaled \$1.2 billion and \$496.5 million at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 4 Investment Securities Available for Sale (Continued)**

The following table provides information about gains and losses on the sale and exchange of investment securities available for sale for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|------------|------------|----------|
| Proceeds from sale of investment securities available for sale | \$ 217,069 | \$ 222,014 | \$ 9,271 |
| Gross realized gains | \$ 1,224 | \$ 1,861 | \$ 44 |
| Gross realized losses | (88) | (48) | (381) |
| Loss on exchange of securities | | (2,811) | |
| Net realized gain (loss) | \$ 1,136 | \$ (998) | \$ (337) |

During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company exchanged certain non-covered trust preferred securities for preferred stock of the same issuer to achieve higher returns and more favorable tax treatment. Based on the market value of the trust preferred securities at the time of the exchange, the Company recognized a gross realized loss of \$2.8 million.

The following tables present the aggregate fair value and the aggregate amount by which amortized cost exceeds fair value for investment securities that are in unrealized loss positions at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities had been in continuous unrealized loss positions. At December 31, 2010, all of the securities in unrealized loss positions had been in continuous unrealized loss positions for less than twelve months (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Greater | | Total | |
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities | \$ 211,168 | \$ (830) | \$ 70,049 | \$ (375) | \$ 281,217 | \$ (1,205) |
| Re-Remics | 254,826 | (3,344) | 19,491 | (242) | 274,317 | (3,586) |
| Private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMO's | 114,915 | (1,120) | 6,469 | (173) | 121,384 | (1,293) |
| Non-mortgage asset-backed securities | 221,904 | (5,590) | 8,772 | (45) | 230,676 | (5,635) |
| Mutual funds and preferred stocks | 77,811 | (1,371) | 14,982 | (461) | 92,793 | (1,832) |
| State and municipal obligations | 1,002 | (2) | | | 1,002 | (2) |
| Small Business Administration securities | 29,774 | (96) | | | 29,774 | (96) |
| | \$ 911,400 | \$ (12,353) | \$ 119,763 | \$ (1,296) | \$ 1,031,163 | \$ (13,649) |

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 4 Investment Securities Available for Sale (Continued)**

| | December 31, 2010 | |
|--|--------------------------|--|
| | Fair Value | Less Than 12 Months Unrealized Losses |
| U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities | \$ 486,216 | \$ (3,258) |
| Re-Remics | 59,408 | (1,105) |
| Private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMO's | 16,626 | (1,761) |
| Non-mortgage asset-backed securities | 63,802 | (72) |
| Mutual funds and preferred stocks | 61,336 | (1,413) |
| State and municipal obligations | 6,144 | (39) |
| Small Business Administration securities | 24,108 | (131) |
| | \$ 717,640 | \$ (7,779) |

The Company monitors its investment securities available for sale for other than temporary impairment, or OTTI, on an individual security basis. No securities were determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 or the period ended December 31, 2009. The Company does not intend to sell securities that are in unrealized loss positions and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell these securities before recovery of the amortized cost basis, which may be at maturity. At December 31, 2011, seventy-nine securities were in unrealized loss positions. The amount of impairment related to fourteen of these securities was considered insignificant, totaling approximately \$53 thousand and no further analysis with respect to these securities was considered necessary. The basis for concluding that impairment of the remaining securities is not other-than-temporary is further described below:

U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise mortgage-backed and Small Business Administration securities:

At December 31, 2011, ten U.S. Government agency mortgage-backed securities and one Small Business Administration security were in unrealized loss positions. Three of these securities have been in unrealized loss positions for more than twelve months. The remaining securities have been in unrealized loss positions for less than twelve months. The amount of impairment of each of the individual securities is less than 1% of amortized cost. Unrealized losses in this portfolio segment result primarily from widening spreads on GNMA HECM securities. The timely payment of principal and interest on these securities is explicitly guaranteed by the U.S. Government. Given the limited severity of impairment and the expectation of timely payment of principal and interest, the impairments are considered to be temporary.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 4 Investment Securities Available for Sale (Continued)

Private label mortgage-backed securities and CMO's and Re-Remics:

At December 31, 2011, twenty-five private label mortgage-backed securities and Re-Remics were in unrealized loss positions. These securities were assessed for OTTI using third-party developed credit and prepayment behavioral models incorporating CUSIP level constant default rate, voluntary prepayment rate, loss severity and delinquency assumptions. The results of this evaluation were not indicative of credit losses related to any of these securities as of December 31, 2011. Three of these securities have been in unrealized loss positions for more than twelve months, with aggregate unrealized losses of \$415 thousand. The remaining securities have been in unrealized loss positions for six months or less. Unrealized losses in this portfolio segment result primarily from widening spreads on senior tranches and increased discount rates on subordinate tranches. Given the generally limited duration and severity of impairment of these securities and the expectation of timely recovery of outstanding principal, the impairments are considered to be temporary.

Non-mortgage asset-backed securities:

At December 31, 2011, eighteen non-mortgage asset-backed securities were in unrealized loss positions. One of these securities has been in an unrealized loss position for sixteen months. The remaining securities had been in continuous unrealized loss positions for nine months or less at December 31, 2011. These securities were assessed for OTTI using a third-party developed credit and prepayment behavioral model and CUSIP level constant default rate, voluntary prepayment rate, loss severity and delinquency assumptions. The results of this evaluation were not indicative of credit losses related to these securities as of December 31, 2011. Unrealized losses in this portfolio sector are largely driven by the impact of recent events on spreads for student loan backed securities, which management believes to be temporary. Given the generally limited duration of impairment and the absence of projected credit losses, the impairments are considered to be temporary.

Mutual funds:

At December 31, 2011, one mutual fund investment was in an unrealized loss position and had been in a continuous unrealized loss position for sixteen months. The majority of the underlying holdings of the mutual fund are either explicitly or implicitly guaranteed by the U.S. Government. Impairment has been driven primarily by intermediate term interest rates and lack of liquidity in the market for the security. The unrealized loss related to this security is approximately 3% of its cost basis. Given the limited severity, the impairment is considered to be temporary.

Preferred stocks:

At December 31, 2011, eight positions in financial institution preferred stocks and two positions in FNMA and FHLMC preferred stocks were in unrealized loss positions. The financial institution preferred stocks have been in continuous unrealized loss positions for eight months or less at December 31, 2011. All of these preferred stock holdings are investment grade; the issuing institutions are well capitalized and reporting positive earnings. Given the limited duration of impairment, management's evaluation of the financial condition of the preferred stock issuers and the rating of these investments, these impairments are considered to be temporary. The FNMA and FHLMC preferred stocks have been in unrealized loss positions for two months or less at December 31, 2011 and unrealized losses aggregated

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 4 Investment Securities Available for Sale (Continued)**

\$95 thousand. Given the limited duration and immaterial amount of the aggregate unrealized loss, the impairment is considered to be temporary.

The fair values of the Company's investment securities could decline in the future if the underlying performance of the collateral deteriorates and the Company's credit enhancement levels do not provide sufficient protection for the Company's contractual principal and interest payments.

Note 5 Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses*Loans*

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, loans consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

| | December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| | Covered Loans | | Non-Covered Loans | | Total | Percent of Total |
| | ACI | Non-ACI | ACI | New Loans | | |
| Residential: | | | | | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | \$ 1,681,866 | \$ 117,992 | \$ | \$ 461,431 | \$ 2,261,289 | 53.8% |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 71,565 | 182,745 | | 2,037 | 256,347 | 6.1% |
| | 1,753,431 | 300,737 | | 463,468 | 2,517,636 | 59.9% |
| Commercial: | | | | | | |
| Multi-family | 61,710 | 791 | | 108,178 | 170,679 | 4.1% |
| Commercial real estate | 219,136 | 32,678 | 4,220 | 311,434 | 567,468 | 13.5% |
| Construction | 4,102 | | | 23,252 | 27,354 | 0.7% |
| Land | 33,018 | 163 | | 7,469 | 40,650 | 1.0% |
| Commercial loans and leases | 24,007 | 20,382 | | 817,274 | 861,663 | 20.6% |
| | 341,973 | 54,014 | 4,220 | 1,267,607 | 1,667,814 | 39.9% |
| Consumer: | 2,937 | | | 3,372 | 6,309 | 0.2% |
| Total loans | 2,098,341 | 354,751 | 4,220 | 1,734,447 | 4,191,759 | 100.0% |
| Unearned discount and deferred fees and costs, net | | (30,281) | | (24,420) | (54,701) | |
| Loans net of discount and deferred fees and costs | 2,098,341 | 324,470 | 4,220 | 1,710,027 | 4,137,058 | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | (16,332) | (7,742) | | (24,328) | (48,402) | |
| Loans, net | \$ 2,082,009 | \$ 316,728 | \$ 4,220 | \$ 1,685,699 | \$ 4,088,656 | |

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 5 Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (Continued)**

| | Covered Loans | | New Loans (Non-covered) | Total | Percent of Total |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | ACI | Non-ACI | | | |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
| Residential: | | | | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | \$ 2,421,016 | \$ 151,945 | \$ 113,439 | \$ 2,686,400 | 67.5% |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 98,599 | 206,797 | 2,255 | 307,651 | 7.7% |
| | 2,519,615 | 358,742 | 115,694 | 2,994,051 | 75.2% |
| Commercial: | | | | | |
| Multi-family | 73,015 | 5,548 | 34,271 | 112,834 | 2.8% |
| Commercial real estate | 299,068 | 33,938 | 118,857 | 451,863 | 11.4% |
| Construction | 8,267 | | 8,582 | 16,849 | 0.4% |
| Land | 48,251 | 170 | 1,873 | 50,294 | 1.3% |
| Commercial loans and leases | 49,731 | 30,139 | 266,586 | 346,456 | 8.7% |
| | 478,332 | 69,795 | 430,169 | 978,296 | 24.6% |
| Consumer: | 4,403 | | 3,056 | 7,459 | 0.2% |
| Total loans | 3,002,350 | 428,537 | 548,919 | 3,979,806 | 100.0% |
| Unearned discount and deferred fees and costs, net | | (34,840) | (10,749) | (45,589) | |
| Loans net of discount and deferred fees and costs | 3,002,350 | 393,697 | 538,170 | 3,934,217 | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | (39,925) | (12,284) | (6,151) | (58,360) | |
| Loans, net | \$ 2,962,425 | \$ 381,413 | \$ 532,019 | \$ 3,875,857 | |

At December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the UPB of ACI loans was \$5.3 billion and \$7.2 billion, respectively.

During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company purchased 1-4 single family residential loans with UPB's totaling \$376.2 million and \$75.0 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had pledged real estate loans with UPB's of approximately \$4.8 billion and \$5.2 billion, respectively, and carrying amounts of approximately \$2.3 billion and \$2.9 billion, respectively, as security for FHLB advances.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 5 Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (Continued)**

The following tables present total 1-4 single family residential loans categorized between fixed rate mortgages and adjustable rate mortgages ("ARMs") as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

December 31, 2011

| | Covered Loans | | | Total | Percent of Total |
|---|---------------|------------|------------|--------------|------------------|
| | ACI | Non-ACI | New Loans | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential loans:(1) | | | | | |
| Fixed rate loans | \$ 487,898 | \$ 46,654 | \$ 311,131 | \$ 845,683 | 37.4% |
| ARM Loans | 1,193,968 | 71,338 | 150,300 | 1,415,606 | 62.6% |
| | \$ 1,681,866 | \$ 117,992 | \$ 461,431 | \$ 2,261,289 | 100.0% |

December 31, 2010

| | Covered Loans | | | Total | Percent of Total |
|---|---------------|------------|------------|--------------|------------------|
| | ACI | Non-ACI | New Loans | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential loans:(1) | | | | | |
| Fixed rate loans | \$ 592,352 | \$ 61,462 | \$ 72,067 | \$ 725,881 | 27.0% |
| ARM Loans | 1,828,664 | 90,483 | 41,372 | 1,960,519 | 73.0% |
| | \$ 2,421,016 | \$ 151,945 | \$ 113,439 | \$ 2,686,400 | 100.0% |

(1)

Before deferred fees and costs, unearned discounts, premiums and the ALLL.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, based on UPB, the majority of outstanding loans were to customers domiciled in the following states (dollars in thousands):

| | December 31, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| Florida | \$ 4,720,217 | 63.6% | \$ 5,342,748 | 65.4% |
| California | 554,637 | 7.5% | 462,249 | 5.7% |
| Illinois | 304,730 | 4.1% | 378,906 | 4.6% |
| New Jersey | 244,736 | 3.3% | 382,277 | 4.7% |
| All others | 1,595,721 | 21.5% | 1,607,032 | 19.6% |
| | \$ 7,420,041 | 100.0% | \$ 8,173,212 | 100.0% |

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

No other state represented borrowers with more than 3% of loans outstanding at December 31, 2011 or December 31, 2010.

During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 the Company sold covered 1-4 single family residential loans to third parties on a non-recourse basis. The losses incurred on sale of these loans were partly mitigated by increases in the FDIC

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 5 Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (Continued)**

indemnification asset, reflected in the consolidated statement of income line item "Net gain (loss) on indemnification asset". The following table summarizes the impact of these transactions (in thousands):

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Unpaid principal balance of loans sold | \$ 268,588 | \$ 272,178 | \$ 274,989 |
| Gross cash proceeds | \$ 76,422 | \$ 68,099 | \$ 84,562 |
| Carrying value of loans sold | 146,148 | 143,526 | 129,813 |
| Transaction costs incurred | (640) | (933) | (1,827) |
| Loss on sale of covered loans | \$ (70,366) | \$ (76,360) | \$ (47,078) |
| Related gain on indemnification asset | \$ 56,053 | \$ 57,747 | \$ 37,600 |

F-43

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 5 Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (Continued)***Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses*

The following tables present information about the ending balance of the ALLL and related loans as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and summarize the activity in the ALLL for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

| | As of and For the Year Ended December 31, 2011 | | | |
|--|--|--------------|----------|--------------|
| | Residential | Commercial | Consumer | Total |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses: | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 28,649 | \$ 29,656 | \$ 55 | \$ 58,360 |
| Provision for loan losses: | | | | |
| ACI loans | (18,488) | 7,210 | | (11,278) |
| Non-ACI loans | (1,491) | 5,077 | | 3,586 |
| New loans | 3,862 | 17,662 | (4) | 21,520 |
| Total provision | (16,117) | 29,949 | (4) | 13,828 |
| Charge-offs: | | | | |
| ACI loans | | (13,527) | | (13,527) |
| Non-ACI loans | (2,377) | (6,112) | | (8,489) |
| New loans | | (3,367) | | (3,367) |
| Total charge-offs | (2,377) | (23,006) | | (25,383) |
| Recoveries: | | | | |
| ACI loans | | 1,212 | | 1,212 |
| Non-ACI loans | 20 | 341 | | 361 |
| New loans | | 24 | | 24 |
| Total recoveries | 20 | 1,577 | | 1,597 |
| Ending balance | \$ 10,175 | \$ 38,176 | \$ 51 | \$ 48,402 |
| Ending balance: non-ACI and new loans individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 593 | \$ | \$ | \$ 593 |
| Ending balance: non-ACI and new loans collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 9,582 | \$ 21,844 | \$ 51 | \$ 31,477 |
| Ending balance: ACI | \$ | \$ 16,332 | \$ | \$ 16,332 |
| Ending balance: Non-ACI | \$ 6,142 | \$ 1,600 | \$ | \$ 7,742 |
| Ending balance: New loans | \$ 4,033 | \$ 20,244 | \$ 51 | \$ 24,328 |
| Loans: | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 2,517,636 | \$ 1,667,814 | \$ 6,309 | \$ 4,191,759 |
| Ending balance: non-ACI and new loans individually evaluated for impairment(1) | \$ 1,937 | \$ 6,728 | \$ | \$ 8,665 |

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-------|----|-----------|
| Ending balance: non-ACI and new loans collectively evaluated for impairment(1) | \$ | 762,268 | \$ | 1,314,893 | \$ | 3,372 | \$ | 2,080,533 |
| Ending balance: ACI loans | \$ | 1,753,431 | \$ | 346,193 | \$ | 2,937 | \$ | 2,102,561 |

(1) Ending balance of loans is before unearned discount and deferred fees and costs.

F-44

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 5 Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (Continued)**

| | As of and For the Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|
| | Residential | Commercial | Consumer | Total |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses: | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 20,220 | \$ 2,355 | \$ 46 | \$ 22,621 |
| Provision for loan losses: | | | | |
| ACI loans | (1,533) | 35,461 | | 33,928 |
| Non-ACI loans | 10,985 | 1,353 | 215 | 12,553 |
| New loans | 102 | 4,815 | 9 | 4,926 |
| Total Provision | 9,554 | 41,629 | 224 | 51,407 |
| Charge-offs: | | | | |
| ACI loans | | (14,024) | | (14,024) |
| Non-ACI loans | (1,125) | (195) | (215) | (1,535) |
| New loans | | (109) | | (109) |
| Total charge-offs | (1,125) | (14,328) | (215) | (15,668) |
| Recoveries | | | | |
| Ending Balance | \$ 28,649 | \$ 29,656 | \$ 55 | \$ 58,360 |
| Ending balance: non-ACI and new loans individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Ending balance: non-ACI and new loans collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 10,161 | \$ 8,219 | \$ 55 | \$ 18,435 |
| Ending balance: ACI | \$ 18,488 | \$ 21,437 | \$ | \$ 39,925 |
| Ending balance: Non-ACI | \$ 9,990 | \$ 2,294 | \$ | \$ 12,284 |
| Ending balance: New loans | \$ 171 | \$ 5,925 | \$ 55 | \$ 6,151 |
| Loans: | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 2,994,051 | \$ 978,296 | \$ 7,459 | \$ 3,979,806 |
| Ending balance: non-ACI and new loans individually evaluated for impairment(1) | \$ | \$ 2,989 | \$ | \$ 2,989 |
| Ending balance: non-ACI and new loans collectively evaluated for impairment(1) | \$ 474,436 | \$ 496,975 | \$ 3,056 | \$ 974,467 |
| Ending balance: ACI loans | \$ 2,519,615 | \$ 478,332 | \$ 4,403 | \$ 3,002,350 |

(1)

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Ending balance of loans is before unearned discount and deferred fees and costs.

F-45

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 5 Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (Continued)**

The following table summarizes the activity in the ALLL for the period ended December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

| | |
|------------------------------|-----------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ |
| Provision for loan losses: | |
| ACI loans | 20,021 |
| Non-ACI loans | 1,266 |
| New Loans | 1,334 |
| Total | 22,621 |
| Charge-offs | |
| Recoveries | |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 22,621 |

Increases (decreases) in the FDIC indemnification asset of \$(6.3) million, \$29.3 million and \$14.4 million were reflected in non-interest income for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, respectively, related to the recovery of or provision for loan losses on covered loans, including both ACI and non-ACI loans.

Credit Quality Information***Non-ACI and New Loans***

The tables below present information about non-ACI and new loans identified as impaired as of December 31, 2011 and 2010. Commercial and commercial real estate relationships on non-accrual status with internal risk ratings of substandard or doubtful and with committed balances greater than or equal to \$500,000 as well as loans that have been modified in troubled debt restructurings are individually evaluated for impairment. If determined to be impaired, they are reflected as impaired loans in the tables below. Also included in total impaired loans are loans that have been placed on non-accrual status and loans that are 90 days or more delinquent and still accruing for which

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 5 Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (Continued)**

impairment is measured collectively. These include 1-4 single family residential, home equity, smaller balance commercial, and consumer loans (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2011 | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| | Recorded Investment in Impaired Loans | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Specific Allowance | Non-Accrual Loans Included in Impaired Loans |
| New Loans | | | | |
| With no specific allowance recorded: | | | | |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | \$ 27 | \$ 27 | \$ | \$ 27 |
| Construction | 3 | 3 | | 3 |
| Land | 332 | 332 | | 332 |
| Commercial loans and leases | 2,469 | 2,469 | | 2,469 |
| With a specific allowance recorded: | | | | |
| Total: | | | | |
| Residential | \$ 27 | \$ 27 | \$ | \$ 27 |
| Commercial | 2,804 | 2,804 | | 2,804 |
| | \$ 2,831 | \$ 2,831 | \$ | \$ 2,831 |

| | December 31, 2010 | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| | Recorded Investment in Impaired Loans | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Specific Allowance | Non-Accrual Loans Included in Impaired Loans |
| New Loans | | | | |
| With no specific allowance recorded: | | | | |
| Commercial loans and leases | \$ 3,211 | \$ 3,220 | \$ | \$ 3,211 |
| With a specific allowance recorded: | | | | |
| | \$ 3,211 | \$ 3,220 | \$ | \$ 3,211 |

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

December 31, 2011

Note 5 Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (Continued)

| | December 31, 2011 | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | Recorded Investment in Impaired Loans | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Specific Allowance | Non-Accrual Loans Included in Impaired Loans |
| Non-ACI | | | | |
| With no specific allowance recorded: | | | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | \$ 7,671 | \$ 9,766 | \$ | \$ 7,296 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 10,451 | 10,670 | | 10,451 |
| Commercial real estate | 295 | 295 | | 295 |
| Commercial loans and leases | 6,695 | 6,887 | | 6,695 |
| With a specific allowance recorded: | | | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | 1,521 | 1,937 | 593 | 114 |
| Total: | | | | |
| Residential | \$ 19,643 | \$ 22,373 | \$ 593 | \$ 17,861 |
| Commercial | 6,990 | 7,182 | | 6,990 |
| | \$ 26,633 | \$ 29,555 | \$ 593 | \$ 24,851 |

| | December 31, 2010 | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | Recorded Investment in Impaired Loans or Pools | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Specific Allowance | Non-Accrual Loans Included in Impaired Loans |
| Non-ACI | | | | |
| With no specific allowance recorded: | | | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | \$ 9,585 | \$ 11,812 | \$ | \$ 9,585 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 10,817 | 11,056 | | 10,817 |
| Multi-family | 200 | 200 | | 200 |
| Commercial real estate | 75 | 75 | | 75 |
| Commercial loans and leases | 1,886 | 2,061 | | 1,886 |
| With a specific allowance recorded: | | | | |
| Total: | | | | |
| Residential | \$ 20,402 | \$ 22,868 | \$ | \$ 20,402 |
| Commercial | 2,161 | 2,336 | | 2,161 |
| | \$ 22,563 | \$ 25,204 | \$ | \$ 22,563 |

At December 31, 2011, impaired loans include non-ACI and new loans contractually delinquent by 90 days or more and still accruing totaling \$0.4 million. There were no non-ACI or new loans contractually delinquent by 90 days or more and still accruing at December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 5 Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (Continued)**

The following table summarizes non-ACI and new loans that were modified in TDRs during the year ended December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

| | TDRs | | TDRs With a Payment Default During the Period | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|
| | Number of TDRs | Recorded Investment | Number of TDRs | Recorded Investment |
| Non-ACI loans: | | | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | 11 | \$ 1,521 | 5 | \$ 938 |
| Commercial real estate | 2 | 295 | 2 | 295 |
| Commercial loans and leases | 3 | 71 | 3 | 71 |
| | 16 | \$ 1,887 | 10 | \$ 1,304 |
| New Loans: | | | | |
| Commercial loans and leases | 1 | \$ 231 | 1 | \$ 231 |
| | 1 | \$ 231 | 1 | \$ 231 |

No non-ACI or new loans were modified in troubled debt restructurings during the year ended December 31, 2010 or the period ended December 31, 2009. All of the 1-4 single family residential loan modifications were made under the U.S. Treasury Department's Home Affordable Modification Program ("HAMP"). Modifications of commercial and commercial real estate loans included extensions of maturity, restructuring of the amount and timing of required periodic payments and reductions in accrued interest and late fees. Because of the immateriality of the amount of loans modified, the modifications did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements or on the determination of the amount of the ALLL for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 5 Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (Continued)**

The following tables present the average recorded investment in impaired non-ACI and new loans for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

| | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Non-ACI | New Loans | Non-ACI | New Loans | Non-ACI | New Loans |
| Residential: | | | | | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | \$ 9,157 | \$ 400 | \$ 13,450 | \$ | \$ 11,109 | \$ |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 10,773 | 5 | 7,150 | | 1,256 | |
| | 19,930 | 405 | 20,600 | | 12,365 | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | |
| Multi-family | 154 | | 614 | | | |
| Commercial real estate | 345 | | 561 | | | |
| Construction | | 2 | | | | |
| Land | | 266 | | | | |
| Commercial loans and leases | 8,163 | 2,805 | 1,022 | 830 | 29 | |
| | 8,662 | 3,073 | 2,197 | 830 | 29 | |
| | \$ 28,592 | \$ 3,478 | \$ 22,797 | \$ 830 | \$ 12,394 | \$ |

Interest income recognized on impaired loans after impairment was not material for any of the periods presented.

Management considers delinquency status to be the most meaningful indicator of the credit quality of 1 - 4 single family residential, home equity and consumer loans and this is the primary metric used by management to monitor these portfolio segments. Delinquency statistics are updated at least monthly. Internal risk ratings assigned by management are considered the most meaningful indicator of credit quality for commercial and commercial real estate loans. Internal risk ratings are a key factor in identifying loans that are individually evaluated for impairment and impact management's estimates of loss factors used in determining the amount of the ALLL. Internal risk ratings are updated on a continuous basis. Relationships with balances in excess of \$250,000 are re-evaluated at least annually and more frequently if circumstances indicate that a change in risk rating may be warranted. Loans exhibiting potential credit weaknesses that deserve management's close attention and that if left uncorrected may result in deterioration of the repayment capacity of the borrower are categorized as special mention. These borrowers may exhibit negative financial trends or erratic financial performance, strained liquidity, marginal collateral coverage, declining industry trends or weak management. Loans with well defined credit weaknesses that may result in a loss if the deficiencies are not corrected are assigned an internal risk rating of substandard. These borrowers may exhibit payment defaults, insufficient cash flows, operating losses, negative financial trends, or declining collateral values. A loan with a weakness so severe that collection in full is highly questionable or improbable, but because of certain reasonably specific pending factors charge-off is not yet appropriate, are assigned an internal risk rating of doubtful.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 5 Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (Continued)**

The following tables summarize key indicators of credit quality for the Company's non-ACI and new loans as of December 31, 2011 and 2010. Amounts are net of unearned discounts and deferred fees and costs (in thousands):

Residential credit exposure, based on delinquency status:

| | December 31, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| | 1 - 4 Single Family Residential | Home Equity Loans and Lines of Credit | 1 - 4 Single Family Residential | Home Equity Loans and Lines of Credit |
| New loans: | | | | |
| Current | \$ 450,661 | \$ 1,996 | \$ 113,665 | \$ 2,255 |
| Past due less than 90 days | 15,932 | 14 | | |
| Past due 90 days or more | | 27 | | |
| | 466,593 | 2,037 | 113,665 | 2,255 |
| Non-ACI loans: | | | | |
| Current | 83,075 | 164,367 | 108,225 | 188,059 |
| Past due less than 90 days | 2,972 | 6,807 | 4,894 | 4,756 |
| Past due 90 days or more | 6,624 | 7,825 | 10,174 | 9,496 |
| | 92,671 | 178,999 | 123,293 | 202,311 |
| | \$ 559,264 | \$ 181,036 | \$ 236,958 | \$ 204,566 |

Consumer credit exposure, based on delinquency status:

| | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| New loans: | | |
| Current | \$ 3,387 | \$ 3,128 |
| Past due less than 90 days | 10 | 3 |
| | \$ 3,397 | \$ 3,131 |

F-51

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

December 31, 2011

Note 5 Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (Continued)**Commercial credit exposure, based on internal risk rating:**

| | December 31, 2011 | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------------|
| | Multi-Family | Commercial Real Estate | Construction | Land | Commercial Loans and Leases |
| New loans: | | | | | |
| Pass | \$ 106,010 | \$ 302,278 | \$ 23,086 | \$ 7,115 | \$ 778,069 |
| Special mention | 1,000 | 5,300 | | | 1,440 |
| Substandard | 913 | 2,430 | 3 | 332 | 9,106 |
| Doubtful | | | | | 918 |
| | 107,923 | 310,008 | 23,089 | 7,447 | 789,533 |
| Non-ACI loans: | | | | | |
| Pass | 757 | 32,096 | | | 10,550 |
| Special mention | | 287 | | | 1,752 |
| Substandard | 17 | 295 | | 164 | 6,662 |
| Doubtful | | | | | 220 |
| | 774 | 32,678 | | 164 | 19,184 |
| | \$ 108,697 | \$ 342,686 | \$ 23,089 | \$ 7,611 | \$ 808,717 |

| | December 31, 2010 | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------------|
| | Multi-Family | Commercial Real Estate | Construction | Land | Commercial Loans and Leases |
| New loans: | | | | | |
| Pass | \$ 32,730 | \$ 117,663 | \$ 8,582 | \$ 1,537 | \$ 243,692 |
| Special mention | | 408 | | 336 | 8,288 |
| Substandard | 1,541 | | | | 4,336 |
| Doubtful | | | | | 6 |
| | 34,271 | 118,071 | 8,582 | 1,873 | 256,322 |
| Non-ACI loans: | | | | | |
| Pass | 789 | 33,305 | | | 12,590 |
| Special mention | 559 | | | | 12,139 |
| Substandard | 4,166 | 563 | | 170 | 3,812 |
| Doubtful | | | | | |
| | 5,514 | 33,868 | | 170 | 28,541 |
| | \$ 39,785 | \$ 151,939 | \$ 8,582 | \$ 2,043 | \$ 284,863 |

F-52

[Table of Contents](#)**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

December 31, 2011

Note 5 Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (Continued)

The following table presents an aging of loans in the non-ACI and new loan portfolios as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2011 | | | | | December 31, 2010 | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|----------------|
| | Current | 30 - 59 Days Past Due | 60 - 89 Days Past Due | More Than 90 Days Past Due or in Foreclosure | Total Loans | Current | 30 - 59 Days Past Due | 60 - 89 Days Past Due | More Than 90 Days Past Due or in Foreclosure | Total Loans |
| New loans: | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | \$ 450,661 | \$ 15,790 | \$ 142 | \$ | \$ 466,593 | \$ 113,665 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 113,665 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 1,996 | 14 | | 27 | 2,037 | 2,255 | | | | 2,255 |
| Multi-family | 107,010 | 913 | | | 107,923 | 34,271 | | | | 34,271 |
| Commercial real estate | 310,008 | | | | 310,008 | 118,071 | | | | 118,071 |
| Construction | 23,086 | | | 3 | 23,089 | 8,582 | | | | 8,582 |
| Land | 7,115 | | | 332 | 7,447 | 1,873 | | | | 1,873 |
| Commercial loans and leases | 787,611 | 349 | 307 | 1,266 | 789,533 | 255,622 | 605 | | 95 | 256,322 |
| Consumer | 3,387 | 10 | | | 3,397 | 3,128 | | 3 | | 3,131 |
| | 1,690,874 | 17,076 | 449 | 1,628 | 1,710,027 | 537,467 | 605 | 3 | 95 | 538,170 |
| Non-ACI loans: | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | 83,075 | 1,812 | 1,160 | 6,624 | 92,671 | 108,225 | 4,587 | 307 | 10,174 | 123,293 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 164,367 | 4,181 | 2,626 | 7,825 | 178,999 | 188,059 | 2,677 | 2,079 | 9,496 | 202,311 |
| Multi-family | 774 | | | | 774 | 5,314 | | | 200 | 5,514 |
| Commercial real estate | 32,383 | | | 295 | 32,678 | 33,793 | | | 75 | 33,868 |
| Land | 164 | | | | 164 | 170 | | | | 170 |
| Commercial loans and leases | 13,318 | 109 | | 5,757 | 19,184 | 26,421 | 538 | 1,004 | 578 | 28,541 |
| | 294,081 | 6,102 | 3,786 | 20,501 | 324,470 | 361,982 | 7,802 | 3,390 | 20,523 | 393,697 |
| | \$ 1,984,955 | \$ 23,178 | \$ 4,235 | \$ 22,129 | \$ 2,034,497 | \$ 899,449 | \$ 8,407 | \$ 3,393 | \$ 20,618 | \$ 931,867 |

ACI Loans

The accretable yield on ACI loans represents the amount by which undiscounted expected future cash flows exceeds carrying value. Changes in the accretable yield on ACI loans for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 were as follows (in thousands):

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 1,833,974 | \$ 1,734,233 | \$ 2,004,337 |
| Reclassifications from non-accretable difference | 135,933 | 487,718 | |
| Accretion | (446,292) | (387,977) | (270,104) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 1,523,615 | \$ 1,833,974 | \$ 1,734,233 |

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

Accretable yield at December 31, 2011 includes expected cash flows of \$206.8 million from a pool of 1 - 4 single family residential loans whose carrying value has been reduced to zero. The unpaid principal balance of loans remaining in this pool is \$432.5 million at December 31, 2011.

ACI loans or loan pools are considered to be impaired when there has been deterioration in the cash flows expected at acquisition plus any additional cash flows expected to be collected arising from changes in estimates after acquisition, other than due to decreases in interest rate indices and changes in prepayment assumptions. Discount continues to be accreted on ACI loans or pools as long as there

F-53

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 5 Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (Continued)**

are expected future cash flows in excess of the current carrying amount; therefore, these loans are not classified as non-accrual even though they may be contractually delinquent. ACI 1-4 single family residential and home equity loans accounted for in pools are evaluated for impairment on a pool basis and the amount of any impairment is measured based on the expected aggregate cash flows of the pools. ACI commercial and commercial real estate loans are evaluated individually for impairment.

The tables below set forth at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 the carrying amount of ACI loans or pools for which the Company has determined it is probable that it will be unable to collect all the cash flows expected at acquisition plus additional cash flows expected to be collected arising from changes in estimates after acquisition, if any, as well as ACI loans not accounted for in pools that have been modified in troubled debt restructurings, and the related allowance amounts (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2011 | | |
|---|---|---|------------------------------|
| | Recorded Investment in Impaired Loans or Pools | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance |
| With no specific allowance recorded: | | | |
| Land | \$ 435 | \$ 751 | \$ |
| With a specific allowance recorded: | | | |
| Multi-family | 11,144 | 13,497 | 1,063 |
| Commercial real estate | 49,876 | 67,698 | 10,672 |
| Construction | 3,467 | 11,678 | 991 |
| Land | 12,700 | 13,838 | 1,319 |
| Commercial loans and leases | 16,914 | 18,444 | 2,287 |
| Total: | | | |
| Residential | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Commercial | 94,536 | 125,906 | 16,332 |
| | \$ 94,536 | \$ 125,906 | \$ 16,332 |

F-54

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

December 31, 2011

Note 5 Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (Continued)

| | December 31, 2010 | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Recorded Investment in Impaired Loans or Pools | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance |
| With no specific allowance recorded: | | | |
| Construction | \$ 35 | \$ 230 | \$ |
| Land | 346 | 400 | |
| Commercial loans and leases | 846 | 1,582 | |
| With a specific allowance recorded: | | | |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 80,091 | 165,563 | 18,488 |
| Multi-family | 51,932 | 77,536 | 5,701 |
| Commercial real estate | 57,116 | 77,798 | 5,795 |
| Construction | 4,204 | 3,833 | 1,017 |
| Land | 35,554 | 46,536 | 3,874 |
| Commercial loans and leases | 32,006 | 33,460 | 5,050 |
| Total: | | | |
| Residential | \$ 80,091 | \$ 165,563 | \$ 18,488 |
| Commercial | 182,039 | 241,375 | 21,437 |
| | \$ 262,130 | \$ 406,938 | \$ 39,925 |

The following table summarizes ACI loans that were modified in TDRs during the year ended December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

| | TDRs | | TDRs With a Payment Default During the Period | |
|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--|------------------------|
| | Number of TDRs | Recorded Investment | Number of TDRs | Recorded Investment |
| Commercial real estate | 3 | \$ 917 | 1 | \$ 197 |
| Land | 1 | 435 | 2 | 435 |
| | 4 | \$ 1,352 | 3 | \$ 632 |

During the year ended December 31, 2010, three ACI commercial and commercial real estate credit relationships were the subject of troubled debt restructurings. These loans had an aggregate carrying amount of \$2.4 million at December 31, 2010. No ACI loans were modified in TDRs during the period ended December 31, 2009. Modified ACI loans accounted for in pools are not considered TDRs, are not separated from the pools and are not classified as impaired loans.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 5 Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (Continued)**

The following table presents the average recorded investment in impaired ACI loans for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|
| Residential: | | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | \$ | \$ 139,871 | \$ 2,532 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 45,947 | 47,888 | |
| | 45,947 | 187,759 | 2,532 |
| Commercial: | | | |
| Multi-family | 29,606 | 24,997 | |
| Commercial real estate | 61,291 | 55,459 | |
| Construction | 4,319 | 1,514 | |
| Land | 21,410 | 15,034 | |
| Commercial loans and leases | 23,877 | 14,430 | |
| | 140,503 | 111,434 | |
| | \$ 186,450 | \$ 299,193 | \$ 2,532 |

The following tables summarize key indicators of credit quality for the Company's ACI loans as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

Residential credit exposure, based on delinquency status:

| | December 31, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | 1 - 4 Single Family Residential | Home Equity Loans and Lines of Credit | 1 - 4 Single Family Residential | Home Equity Loans and Lines of Credit |
| Current | \$ 1,278,887 | \$ 57,290 | \$ 1,647,238 | \$ 76,842 |
| Past due less than 90 days | 92,215 | 3,327 | 127,155 | 4,919 |
| Past due 90 days or more | 310,764 | 10,948 | 646,623 | 16,838 |
| | \$ 1,681,866 | \$ 71,565 | \$ 2,421,016 | \$ 98,599 |

Consumer credit exposure, based on delinquency status:

| | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Current | \$ 2,866 | \$ 4,320 |
| Past due less than 90 days | 33 | 44 |
| Past due 90 days or more | 38 | 39 |
| | \$ 2,937 | \$ 4,403 |

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 5 Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (Continued)****Commercial credit exposure, based on internal risk rating:**

| | December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | Multi-Family | Commercial Real Estate | Construction | Land | Commercial Loans and Leases | |
| Pass | \$ 34,593 | \$ 128,762 | \$ 635 | \$ 14,977 | \$ 12,657 | \$ 12,657 |
| Special mention | 2,074 | 10,857 | | | | 171 |
| Substandard | 24,524 | 83,681 | 3,467 | 18,041 | 10,374 | 10,374 |
| Doubtful | 519 | 56 | | | | 805 |
| | \$ 61,710 | \$ 223,356 | \$ 4,102 | \$ 33,018 | \$ 24,007 | \$ 24,007 |

| | December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | Multi-Family | Commercial Real Estate | Construction | Land | Commercial Loans and Leases | |
| Pass | \$ 42,749 | \$ 190,875 | \$ 586 | \$ 14,862 | \$ 27,573 | \$ 27,573 |
| Special mention | 1,207 | 22,566 | 183 | 6,092 | 5,423 | 5,423 |
| Substandard | 29,059 | 85,623 | 7,498 | 27,250 | 16,719 | 16,719 |
| Doubtful | | 4 | | 47 | | 16 |
| | \$ 73,015 | \$ 299,068 | \$ 8,267 | \$ 48,251 | \$ 49,731 | \$ 49,731 |

The following table presents an aging of loans in the ACI portfolio as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2011 | | | | | December 31, 2010 | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| | Current | 30 - 59 Days Past Due | 60 - 89 Days Past Due | More Than 90 Days Past Due or in Foreclosure | Total Loans | Current | 30 - 59 Days Past Due | 60 - 89 Days Past Due | More Than 90 Days Past Due or in Foreclosure | Total Loans |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | \$ 1,278,887 | \$ 66,767 | \$ 25,448 | \$ 310,764 | \$ 1,681,866 | \$ 1,647,238 | \$ 91,470 | \$ 35,685 | \$ 646,623 | \$ 2,421,016 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 57,290 | 2,500 | 827 | 10,948 | 71,565 | 76,842 | 3,060 | 1,859 | 16,838 | 98,599 |
| Multi-family | 49,116 | | 674 | 11,920 | 61,710 | 57,592 | 2,218 | 2,197 | 11,008 | 73,015 |
| Commercial real estate | 212,253 | 1,292 | 459 | 9,352 | 223,356 | 276,658 | 5,981 | 2,705 | 13,724 | 299,068 |
| Construction | 635 | | | 3,467 | 4,102 | 1,838 | | | 6,429 | 8,267 |
| Land | 24,396 | | | 8,622 | 33,018 | 31,507 | 366 | | 16,378 | 48,251 |
| Commercial loans and leases | 17,678 | 62 | 223 | 6,044 | 24,007 | 42,925 | 181 | | 6,625 | 49,731 |
| Consumer | 2,866 | 25 | 8 | 38 | 2,937 | 4,320 | 29 | 15 | 39 | 4,403 |
| | \$ 1,643,121 | \$ 70,646 | \$ 27,639 | \$ 361,155 | \$ 2,102,561 | \$ 2,138,920 | \$ 103,305 | \$ 42,461 | \$ 717,664 | \$ 3,002,350 |

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

1-4 single family residential and home equity ACI loans that are contractually delinquent by more than 90 days and accounted for in pools that are on accrual status because discount continues to be accreted totaled \$321.7 million and \$663.5 million at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The carrying amount of commercial and commercial real estate ACI loans that are contractually delinquent in excess of ninety days but still classified as accruing loans due to discount accretion totaled \$39.4 million and \$54.2 million at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

F-57

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 6 FDIC Indemnification Asset**

The FDIC indemnification asset represents the present value of estimated future payments to be received from the FDIC under the terms of BankUnited's Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC.

When the Company recognizes gains or losses related to covered assets in its consolidated financial statements, changes in the estimated amount recoverable from the FDIC under the Loss Sharing Agreements with respect to those gains or losses are also reflected in the consolidated financial statements. Covered loans may be resolved through prepayment, short sale of the underlying collateral, foreclosure, sale of the loans or, for the non-residential portfolio, charge-off. For loans resolved through prepayment, short sale or foreclosure, the difference between consideration received in satisfaction of the loans and the carrying value of the loans is recognized in the statement of operations line item "Income from resolution of covered assets, net". Losses from the resolution of covered loans increase the amount recoverable from the FDIC under the Loss Sharing Agreements. Gains from the resolution of covered loans reduce the amount recoverable from the FDIC under the Loss Sharing Agreements. Similarly, differences in proceeds received on the sale of OREO and covered loans and their carrying amounts result in gains or losses and reduce or increase the amount recoverable from the FDIC under the Loss Sharing Agreements. Increases in valuation allowances or impairment charges related to covered assets also increase the amount estimated to be recoverable from the FDIC. These additions to or reductions in estimated amounts recoverable from the FDIC related to the resolution of covered assets are recorded in the income statement line item "Net gain (loss) on indemnification asset" and reflected as corresponding increases or decreases in the FDIC indemnification asset.

The following tables summarize the components of the gains and losses associated with covered assets, along with the related additions to or reductions in the amounts recoverable from the FDIC under the Loss Sharing Agreements, as reflected in the consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

| | Transaction Income (Loss) | 2011 Net Gain (Loss) on Indemnification Asset | Net Impact on Pre-tax Earnings |
|---|------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| Recovery of losses on covered loans | \$ 7,692 | \$ (6,327) | \$ 1,365 |
| Income from resolution of covered assets, net | 18,776 | (6,871) | 11,905 |
| Net loss on sale of covered loans | (70,366) | 56,053 | (14,313) |
| | (51,590) | 49,182 | (2,408) |
| Loss on sale of OREO | (23,576) | 17,272 | (6,304) |
| Impairment of OREO | (24,569) | 19,685 | (4,884) |
| Net OREO gain (loss) | (48,145) | 36,957 | (11,188) |
| | \$ (92,043) | \$ 79,812 | \$ (12,231) |

F-58

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 6 FDIC Indemnification Asset (Continued)**

| | Transaction Income (Loss) | 2010 Net Gain (Loss) on Indemnification Asset | Net Impact on Pre-tax Earnings |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Provision for losses on covered loans | \$ (46,481) | \$ 29,291 | \$ (17,190) |
| Income from resolution of covered assets, net | 121,462 | (84,138) | 37,324 |
| Net loss on sale of covered loans | (76,360) | 57,747 | (18,613) |
| | 45,102 | (26,391) | 18,711 |
| Loss on sale of OREO | (2,174) | 1,932 | (242) |
| Impairment of OREO | (16,131) | 12,904 | (3,227) |
| Net OREO gain (loss) | (18,305) | 14,836 | (3,469) |
| | \$ (19,684) | \$ 17,736 | \$ (1,948) |

| | Transaction Income (Loss) | 2009 Net Gain (Loss) on Indemnification Asset | Net Impact on Pre-tax Earnings |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Provision for losses on covered loans | \$ (21,287) | \$ 14,433 | \$ (6,854) |
| Income from resolution of covered assets, net | 120,954 | (88,801) | 32,153 |
| Net loss on sale of covered loans | (47,078) | 37,600 | (9,478) |
| | 73,876 | (51,201) | 22,675 |
| Loss on sale of OREO | (807) | | |
| Impairment of OREO | (21,055) | | |
| Net OREO gain (loss) | (21,862) | 15,007 | (6,855) |
| | \$ 30,727 | \$ (21,761) | \$ 8,966 |

In addition to the loss on sale of covered loans reflected in the tables above, the income statement line item "Loss on sale of loans, net" includes approximately \$651.7 thousand and \$50 thousand of gains on the sale of loans held for sale for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. These transactions are not subject to the Loss Sharing Agreements.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 6 FDIC Indemnification Asset (Continued)**

Changes in the FDIC indemnification asset for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 were as follows (in thousands):

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 2,667,401 | \$ 3,279,165 | \$ 3,442,890 |
| Accretion | 55,901 | 134,703 | 149,544 |
| Reduction for claims filed | (753,963) | (764,203) | (291,508) |
| Net gain (loss) on indemnification asset | 79,812 | 17,736 | (21,761) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 2,049,151 | \$ 2,667,401 | \$ 3,279,165 |

Under the terms of the Loss Sharing Agreements, the Company is also entitled to reimbursement from the FDIC for certain expenses related to covered assets upon final resolution of those assets. For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 non-interest expense includes approximately \$32.0 million, \$49.7 million and \$26.1 million, respectively, of expenses subject to reimbursement at the 80% level under the Loss Sharing Agreements. For those same periods, claims of \$31.5 million, \$29.8 million and \$8.1 million, respectively, were submitted to the FDIC for reimbursement. As of December 31, 2011, \$21.1 million of expenses remain to be submitted for reimbursement from the FDIC in future periods as the related covered assets are resolved.

Note 7 Other Real Estate Owned

At December 31, 2011 all of the Company's OREO was covered under BankUnited's Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC. An analysis of OREO activity for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 follows (in thousands):

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 206,680 | \$ 120,110 | \$ 177,679 |
| Transfers from loan portfolio | 338,256 | 401,763 | 115,192 |
| (Decrease) increase from resolution of covered loans | (25,298) | (9,530) | 25,702 |
| Sales | (371,332) | (289,532) | (177,408) |
| Impairment | (24,569) | (16,131) | (21,055) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 123,737 | \$ 206,680 | \$ 120,110 |

Decreases in OREO from resolution of covered loans result when the fair value of OREO less estimated cost to sell at the date of foreclosure is less than the carrying amount of related ACI loans that are resolved via foreclosure. Alternatively, increases in OREO from the resolution of covered loans results when the fair value of OREO less estimated cost to sell at the date of foreclosure exceeds the carrying amount of related ACI loans resolved via foreclosure. These amounts are reflected in the line item "Income from resolution of covered assets, net" in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 8 Premises and Equipment and Lease Commitments**

Premises and equipment are included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Premises and equipment as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Branch buildings and improvements | \$ 11,588 | \$ 3,540 |
| Leasehold improvements | 13,090 | 5,913 |
| Construction in process | 11,528 | 4,849 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 18,031 | 6,607 |
| Computer equipment | 7,173 | 5,302 |
| Software and software licensing rights | 20,824 | 13,554 |
| Total | 82,234 | 39,765 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (11,634) | (4,117) |
| Premises and equipment, net | \$ 70,600 | \$ 35,648 |

Depreciation and amortization expense related to premises and equipment was \$7.6 million, \$3.1 million and \$1.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, respectively.

The Company leases branch and office facilities under operating leases, most of which contain renewal options under various terms. Total rent expense under operating leases for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, was \$14.9 million, \$12.8 million and \$9.3 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2011, future minimum rentals under non-cancelable operating leases with initial or remaining terms in excess of one year were as follows (in thousands):

| Years Ending December 31: | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| 2012 | \$ 14,512 |
| 2013 | 14,962 |
| 2014 | 12,937 |
| 2015 | 10,752 |
| 2016 | 10,270 |
| Thereafter through 2033 | 68,911 |
| | \$ 132,344 |

F-61

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 9 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets**

Goodwill and other intangible assets consisted of the following at December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|---|--------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Indefinite lived intangible assets: | | |
| Goodwill | \$ 67,231 | \$ 67,231 |
| Intangible assets with determinable useful lives: | | |
| Core deposit intangible | 1,799 | 1,799 |
| Customer relationship intangible | 442 | 442 |
| | 2,241 | 2,241 |
| Accumulated amortization | (805) | (461) |
| | 1,436 | 1,780 |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets, net | \$ 68,667 | \$ 69,011 |

The core deposit intangible is being amortized over a period of approximately 6 years and the customer relationship intangible is being amortized over a period of approximately 10 years. Amortization expense was \$344 thousand, \$292 thousand and \$170 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, respectively.

The following table presents the future expected amortization of intangible assets with determinable useful lives:

| Years Ending December 31: | |
|---------------------------|----------|
| 2012 | \$ 336 |
| 2013 | 336 |
| 2014 | 336 |
| 2015 | 214 |
| 2016 | 44 |
| Thereafter | 170 |
| | \$ 1,436 |

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 10 Deposits**

The following table presents average balances and weighted average rates paid on deposits for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 (dollars in thousands):

| | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Average Balance | Average Rate | Average Balance | Average Rate | Average Balance | Average Rate |
| Demand deposits: | | | | | | |
| Non-interest bearing | \$ 622,377 | | \$ 440,673 | | \$ 303,810 | |
| Interest bearing | 382,329 | 0.65% | 273,897 | 0.72% | 183,416 | 0.79% |
| Money market | 2,165,230 | 0.88% | 1,667,277 | 1.20% | 1,205,446 | 1.93% |
| Savings | 1,201,236 | 0.83% | 1,203,491 | 1.18% | 948,000 | 1.94% |
| Time | 2,585,201 | 1.71% | 3,889,961 | 1.85% | 5,506,320 | 0.93% |
| | \$ 6,956,373 | 1.09% | \$ 7,475,299 | 1.45% | \$ 8,146,992 | 1.16% |

Time deposit accounts with balances of \$100,000 or more totaled approximately \$1.3 billion at both December 31, 2011 and 2010. Time deposit accounts with balances of \$250,000 or more totaled \$428.4 million and \$297.3 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The following table presents maturities of time deposits with balances equal to or greater than \$100,000 as of December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

| | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Three months or less | \$ 126,699 |
| Over three through six months | 68,141 |
| Over six through twelve months | 438,052 |
| Over twelve months | 695,615 |
| | \$ 1,328,507 |

Included in deposits at December 31, 2011 are \$200.0 million of time deposits issued to the State of Florida and other public funds deposits totaling \$78.6 million. Investment securities available for sale with a carrying value of \$317.9 million were pledged as security for these deposits at December 31, 2011.

Interest expense on deposits for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 was as follows (in thousands):

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|-------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Interest bearing demand | \$ 2,499 | \$ 1,981 | \$ 890 |
| Money market | 19,020 | 19,999 | 14,283 |
| Savings | 10,006 | 14,243 | 11,295 |
| Time | 44,248 | 72,121 | 31,361 |
| | \$ 75,773 | \$ 108,344 | \$ 57,829 |

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 10 Deposits (Continued)**

Interest expense on time deposits has been reduced by amortization of fair value adjustments recorded in connection with the Acquisition of \$7.0 million, \$21.4 million and \$79.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, respectively.

Note 11 Short-Term Borrowings

The following table sets forth information about short-term borrowings, consisting of securities sold under agreements to repurchase and federal funds purchased, for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 (dollars in thousands):

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|---------------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Maximum outstanding at any month-end | \$ 2,165 | \$ 17,459 | \$ 2,972 |
| Balance outstanding at end of year | \$ 206 | \$ 492 | \$ 2,972 |
| Average outstanding during the year | \$ 1,333 | \$ 7,812 | \$ 2,091 |
| Average interest rate during the year | 0.48% | 0.92% | 0.02% |
| Average interest rate at end of year | 0.50% | 0.43% | 0.01% |

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010 the Company had pledged securities with a carrying value of approximately \$25.0 million and \$25.3 million, respectively, as collateral for securities sold under agreements to repurchase.

As of December 31, 2011, BankUnited had unused borrowing capacity at the Federal Reserve Bank of approximately \$113.5 million and unused Federal Funds lines of credit with other financial institutions totaling \$85 million.

Note 12 Federal Home Loan Bank Advances

Information about outstanding FHLB advances as of December 31, 2011 follows (dollars in thousands):

| | Amount | Range of Interest Rates | | Weighted Average Rate |
|--|--------------|-------------------------|---------|-----------------------|
| | | Minimum | Maximum | |
| Maturing in: | | | | |
| 2012 | \$ 1,145,000 | 0.4% | 4.8% | 2.4% |
| 2013 | 565,000 | 2.4% | 4.8% | 3.3% |
| 2014 | 505,000 | 3.9% | 4.5% | 4.2% |
| 2015 | 350 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Total contractual balance outstanding | 2,215,350 | | | |
| Acquisition accounting fair value adjustment | 20,781 | | | |
| Carrying value | \$ 2,236,131 | | | |

The fair value adjustment recorded in conjunction with the Acquisition is being amortized as a reduction to interest expense over the remaining term of the related advances using the effective yield method. Amortization of the fair value adjustment totaled \$19.1 million, \$23.9 million and \$25.1 million

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 12 Federal Home Loan Bank Advances (Continued)**

during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, respectively.

The terms of the Company's security agreement with the FHLB require a specific assignment of collateral consisting of qualifying first mortgage loans, commercial real estate loans, home equity lines of credit and mortgage-backed securities with unpaid principal amounts discounted at various stipulated percentages at least equal to 100% of outstanding FHLB advances. As of December 31, 2011 the Company had pledged investment securities and real estate loans with an aggregate carrying amount of approximately \$3.0 billion as collateral for advances from the FHLB.

During the period ended December 31, 2009, the Company elected to prepay \$2.71 billion of FHLB advances with a carrying value of \$2.83 billion for an aggregate cash payment of \$2.80 billion. The Company recognized a gain of \$31.3 million on this extinguishment of debt. Also during the period ended December 31, 2009, the Company restructured \$505.0 million in principal amount of FHLB advances. The original advances had a weighted average interest rate and maturity of 3.69% and 1.8 years at the date of restructuring, respectively, and the new advances have a weighted average interest rate and maturity of 4.22% and 4.8 years, respectively. No gain or loss was recognized on the restructuring transactions.

At December 31, 2011 the Bank's available borrowing capacity at the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta was approximately \$564.8 million.

Note 13 Income Taxes

The components of the provision for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 are as follows (in thousands):

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|------------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Current: | | | |
| Federal | \$ 115,127 | \$ 96,722 | \$ 70,910 |
| State | 29,558 | 6,995 | 11,790 |
| | 144,685 | 103,717 | 82,700 |
| Deferred: | | | |
| Federal | (9,322) | 20,987 | (1,994) |
| State | (5,787) | 3,101 | (331) |
| | (15,109) | 24,088 | (2,325) |
| | \$ 129,576 | \$ 127,805 | \$ 80,375 |

F-65

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 13 Income Taxes (Continued)**

A reconciliation of expected income tax expense at the statutory federal income tax rate of 35% to the Company's actual income tax expense and effective tax rate for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 is as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|---|------------|---------|------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| Tax expense calculated at the statutory federal income tax rate | \$ 67,460 | 35.00% | \$ 109,389 | 35.00% | \$ 69,797 | 35.00% |
| Increases (decreases) resulting from: | | | | | | |
| State income taxes, net of federal tax benefit | 7,007 | 3.64% | 7,464 | 2.39% | 7,448 | 3.73% |
| Non-deductible equity based compensation | 47,023 | 24.40% | 12,660 | 4.05% | 3,078 | 1.54% |
| Uncertain state tax positions | 12,757 | 6.62% | 1,601 | 0.51% | | |
| Other, net | (4,671) | (2.43)% | (3,309) | (1.06)% | 52 | 0.03% |
| | \$ 129,576 | 67.23% | \$ 127,805 | 40.89% | \$ 80,375 | 40.30% |

The components of deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2011 and 2010 were as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Excess of tax basis over carrying value of acquired loans | \$ 301,518 | \$ 414,776 |
| Excess of carrying value over tax basis of FHLB advances and time deposits assumed | 8,024 | 18,196 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | 18,371 | 14,151 |
| Acquisition costs | 12,471 | 12,460 |
| Warrant issued to the FDIC | 7,126 | 9,644 |
| OREO | 6,805 | 2,869 |
| Unrealized losses on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges | 23,331 | 15,028 |
| Other | 11,552 | 6,377 |
| Gross deferred tax assets | 389,198 | 493,501 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Deferred tax gain resulting from the Acquisition | 265,330 | 359,090 |
| Excess of carrying value over tax basis of investment securities acquired | 54,822 | 100,764 |
| Net unrealized gains on investment securities available for sale | 34,588 | 35,099 |
| Premises and equipment, due to differences in depreciation | 13,840 | 2,636 |
| Other | 1,133 | 530 |
| Gross deferred tax liabilities | 369,713 | 498,119 |
| Net deferred tax asset (liability) | \$ 19,485 | \$ (4,618) |

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 13 Income Taxes (Continued)**

The Company's determination of the realization of deferred tax assets is based on its assessment of all available positive and negative evidence. Sources of taxable income that may allow for the realization of deferred tax assets include: (1) taxable income for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 that would be available through carry-back in future years, (2) future taxable income that will result from reversal of existing taxable temporary differences, which are expected to have a reversal pattern generally consistent with deferred tax assets, (3) potential tax planning strategies and (4) future projected taxable income. Based on this evaluation, management has concluded that it is more likely than not that the existing deferred tax assets will be realized.

The Company has a liability for unrecognized tax benefits relating to uncertain tax positions primarily for state tax contingencies in several jurisdictions. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 follows (in thousands):

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 2,845 | \$ |
| Additions for tax positions related to the current year | 6,501 | 2,176 |
| Additions for tax positions related to prior periods | 7,982 | 343 |
| Reductions due to settlements with taxing authorities | (185) | |
| Reductions due to lapse of the statute of limitations | | |
| | 17,143 | 2,519 |
| Interest and penalties | 3,818 | 326 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 20,961 | \$ 2,845 |

The Company did not have any unrecognized tax benefits for the period ended December 31, 2009.

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010 the Company had \$10.9 million and \$1.6 million of unrecognized federal and state tax benefits that if recognized would have impacted the effective tax rate. The Company does not expect its unrecognized tax benefits to change significantly over the next year.

Income tax returns for the tax years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 remain subject to examination in the U.S. Federal and various state tax jurisdictions.

The Company and its subsidiaries, other than BU REIT, Inc. file a consolidated federal income tax return as well as combined state income tax returns where combined filings are required. BU REIT, Inc. was liquidated in 2011.

Note 14 Derivatives and Hedging Activities

The Company uses interest rate swaps to manage interest rate risk related to variable rate FHLB advances and certificates of deposit with maturities of one year, which expose the Company to variability in cash flows due to changes in interest rates. The Company enters into LIBOR-based

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 14 Derivatives and Hedging Activities (Continued)

interest rate swaps that are designated as cash flow hedges with the objective of limiting the variability of interest payment cash flows resulting from changes in the benchmark interest rate LIBOR. The effective portion of changes in the fair value of interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedging instruments is reported in accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI") and subsequently reclassified into interest expense in the same period in which the related interest on the floating-rate debt obligations affects earnings.

The Company also enters into interest rate swaps with certain of its borrowers to enable those borrowers to manage their exposure to interest rate fluctuations. To mitigate interest rate risk associated with these derivative contracts, the Company enters into offsetting derivative contract positions with financial institution counterparties. These interest rate swap contracts are not designated as hedging instruments; therefore, changes in the fair value of these derivatives are recognized immediately in earnings. The impact on earnings related to changes in fair value of these derivatives for the years ended December 31, 2011 or 2010 was not significant. None of these instruments were outstanding during the period ended December 31, 2009.

The Company may be exposed to credit risk in the event of nonperformance by the counterparties to its interest rate swap agreements. The Company assesses the credit risk of its financial institution counterparties by monitoring publicly available credit rating and financial information. The Company manages dealer credit risk by entering into interest rate swaps only with primary and highly rated counterparties, the use of ISDA master agreements and counterparty limits. The agreements may require counterparties to post collateral in defined circumstances. The Company is currently in a liability position with respect to these interest rate swap agreements and is therefore not holding any collateral. The Company manages the risk of default by its borrower counterparties through its normal loan underwriting and credit monitoring policies and procedures. The Company does not currently anticipate any losses from failure of interest rate swap counterparties to honor their obligations.

Some of the Company's ISDA master agreements with financial institution counterparties contain provisions that permit either counterparty to terminate the agreements and require settlement in the event that regulatory capital ratios fall below certain designated thresholds or upon the initiation of other defined regulatory actions. Currently, there are no circumstances that would trigger these provisions of the agreements. The fair value of derivative instruments containing these provisions that are in a liability position at December 31, 2011 is \$63.4 million.

F-68

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 14 Derivatives and Hedging Activities (Continued)**

The following tables set forth certain information concerning the Company's interest rate contract derivative financial instruments and related hedged items at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

| | | December 30, 2011 | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | | Weighted | Weighted | Weighted | | Balance | Fair value | |
| Hedged Item | | Average | Average | Remaining | Notional | Sheet | Asset | Liability |
| | | Pay Rate | Receive | Life | Amount | Location | | |
| | | | Rate | in | | | | |
| | | | | Years | | | | |
| Derivatives designated as cash flow hedges: | | | | | | | | |
| Pay-fixed interest rate swaps | Variability of interest cash flows on certificates of deposit | 3.11% | 12-Month Libor | 3.9 | \$ 225,000 | Other liabilities | \$ | \$(15,854) |
| Purchased interest rate forward-starting swaps | Variability of interest cash flows on variable rate borrowings | 3.65% | 3-Month Libor | 4.4 | 405,000 | Other liabilities | | (47,593) |
| Derivatives not designated as hedges: | | | | | | | | |
| Pay-fixed interest rate swaps | | 5.15% | Indexed to 1-month Libor | 5.6 | 53,018 | Other liabilities | | (3,731) |
| Pay-variable interest rate swaps | | Indexed to 1-month Libor | 5.15% | 5.6 | 53,018 | Other assets | 3,731 | |
| | | | | | \$ 736,036 | | \$ 3,731 | \$(67,178) |

| | | December 31, 2010 | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | | Weighted | Weighted | Weighted | | Balance | Fair value | |
| Hedged Item | | Average | Average | Remaining | Notional | Sheet | Asset | Liability |
| | | Pay Rate | Receive | Life | Amount | Location | | |
| | | | Rate | in | | | | |
| | | | | Years | | | | |
| Derivatives designated as cash flow hedges: | | | | | | | | |
| Pay-fixed interest rate swaps | Variability of interest cash flows on certificates of deposit | 3.11% | 12-Month Libor | 4.9 | \$ 225,000 | Other liabilities | \$ | \$(10,872) |
| Purchased interest rate forward-starting swaps | Variability of interest cash flows on variable rate borrowings | 3.65% | 3-Month Libor | 5.4 | 405,000 | Other liabilities | | (31,625) |
| Derivatives not designated as hedges: | | | | | | | | |
| Pay-fixed interest rate swaps | | 4.51% | Indexed to 1-month | 4.8 | 17,304 | Other liabilities | | (132) |

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | Libor | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|-----|------------|--------------|------------|
| Pay-variable interest rate swaps | Indexed to 1-month Libor | 4.51% | 4.8 | 17,304 | Other assets | 132 |
| | | | | \$ 664,608 | \$ 132 | \$(42,629) |

The following table provides information about gains and losses recognized and included in interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of income related to interest rate

F-69

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 14 Derivatives and Hedging Activities (Continued)**

contract derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Amount of loss included in AOCI at end of period, net of tax | \$ (37,153) | \$ (23,931) | \$ (1,292) |
| Amount of loss reclassified from AOCI into income during the period (effective portion) | \$ (18,982) | \$ (13,519) | \$ (678) |
| Amount of gain (loss) recognized in income during the period (ineffective portion) | \$ 426 | \$ (706) | \$ 280 |

Following is a summary of the changes in the component of accumulated other comprehensive income related to these derivatives for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ (23,931) | \$ (1,292) | \$ |
| Unrealized loss on cash flow hedges | (21,525) | (36,857) | (2,101) |
| Tax effect | 8,303 | 14,218 | 809 |
| Net of tax | (13,222) | (22,639) | (1,292) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ (37,153) | \$ (23,931) | \$ (1,292) |

During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, no derivative positions designated as cash flow hedges were discontinued and none of the gains and losses reported in AOCI were reclassified into earnings as a result of the discontinuance of cash flow hedges or because of the early extinguishment of debt. As of December 31, 2011, the amount expected to be reclassified from AOCI into income during the next twelve months is \$17.1 million.

At December 31, 2011, investment securities available for sale with a carrying amount of \$80.4 million and cash on deposit of \$12.4 million were pledged as collateral for interest rate swap agreements instruments. The amount of collateral required to be posted by the Company varies based on the settlement value of outstanding swaps, which approximates their carrying amount at December 31, 2011.

The Company enters into commitments to fund residential mortgage loans with the intention that these loans will subsequently be sold into the secondary market. A mortgage loan commitment binds the Company to lend funds to a potential borrower at a specified interest rate within a specified period of time, generally 30 to 90 days. These commitments are considered derivative instruments. The notional amount of outstanding mortgage loan commitment derivatives was \$8.4 million and \$6.4 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Outstanding derivative loan commitments expose the Company to the risk that the price of the loans arising from exercise of the commitments might decline from inception of the commitment to funding of the loan. To protect against the price risk inherent in derivative loan commitments, the Company utilizes "best efforts" forward loan sale

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 14 Derivatives and Hedging Activities (Continued)

commitments. Under a "best efforts" contract, the Company commits to deliver an individual mortgage loan to an investor if the loan to the underlying borrower closes. Generally, the price the investor will pay the Company for a loan is specified prior to the loan being funded. These commitments are considered derivative instruments once the underlying loans are funded. All of the Company's loans held for sale at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were subject to forward sale commitments. The notional amount of forward loan sale commitment derivatives was \$4.0 million and \$2.7 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The fair value of derivative loan commitments and forward sale commitments was nominal at December 31, 2011 and 2010.

Note 15 Stockholders' Equity

On February 2, 2011, the Company closed the IPO of 33,350,000 shares of its common stock at \$27.00 per share. In the offering, the Company sold 4,000,000 shares and selling stockholders sold 29,350,000 shares. Proceeds received by the Company on the sale of the 4,000,000 shares amounted to \$102.6 million, net of underwriting discounts. The Company incurred direct costs of the stock issuance of \$4.0 million, which were charged to paid-in capital.

Effective January 10, 2011, the Board of Directors authorized a 10-for-1 split of the Company's outstanding common shares. Stockholders' equity has been retroactively adjusted to give effect to this stock split for all periods presented by reclassifying from paid-in capital to common stock the par value of the additional shares issued. All share and per share data have been retroactively restated for all periods presented to reflect this stock split.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company has 100,000,000 authorized shares of \$0.01 par value preferred stock. The Board of Directors has the authority to issue preferred stock at its discretion, and for any class or series of preferred stock, to fix voting powers and to provide for redemption, dividend, dissolution, or conversion rights. There are no shares of preferred stock issued or outstanding.

Note 16 Equity Based Compensation and Other Benefit Plans

Profits Interest Units

Prior to the consummation of the IPO, BUFH had a class of authorized membership interests identified as Profits Interest Units ("PIUs"). PIUs were awarded to management members of the Company who owned common units of BUFH and entitled the holders to share in distributions from BUFH after investors in BUFH received specified returns on their investment. The PIUs were divided equally into time-based and IRR-based PIUs. Time-based PIUs generally vested in equal annual installments over a period of three years from the grant date. Based on their settlement provisions, the PIUs, while outstanding, were classified as liabilities. Compensation expense related to the time-based PIUs was measured based on their estimated fair values and recognized in earnings over the vesting period. Based on the terms of the PIUs, the value of an option on the Company's common stock with an exercise price of \$10 was determined to be a reasonable estimate of the value of a PIU. The Company used the same assumptions to estimate the fair value of stock options, described below, and PIUs. The IRR-based PIUs vested immediately prior to consummation of the IPO.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 16 Equity Based Compensation and Other Benefit Plans (Continued)

In conjunction with the IPO, the time-based and IRR-based PIUs outstanding were exchanged for 1,931,745 unvested shares of common stock, 3,863,491 vested shares of common stock, 3,023,314 vested stock options and 1,511,656 unvested stock options. The vested and unvested shares and vested stock options participate in dividends declared on the Company's common stock on a one-for-one basis. The unvested stock options issued in exchange for PIUs participate on a one-for-one basis in dividends declared on common stock until they vest. In conjunction with the IPO, the Company recorded approximately \$110.4 million in compensation expense related to the exchange and the vesting of the IRR-based PIUs. This expense, which was not deductible for tax purposes, resulted in an offsetting increase in paid-in capital.

Stock Options

On July 9, 2009, the Company adopted the BankUnited, Inc. 2009 Stock Option Plan (the "2009 Plan") pursuant to which the Company's Board of Directors may grant up to 2,312,500 non-qualified stock options to key employees of the Company and its affiliates. Stock options may be granted with an exercise price equal to or greater than the stock's fair value at the date of grant. The terms and conditions applicable to options granted under the 2009 Plan are determined by the Company's Board of Directors or a committee thereof, provided however, that each stock option shall expire on the tenth anniversary of the date of the grant, unless it is earlier exercised or forfeited. Options granted to date under the 2009 Plan vest over a period of three years. Shares of common stock delivered under the 2009 Plan may be authorized but unsold common stock, or previously issued common stock reacquired by the Company. Vesting of stock options may be accelerated in the event of a change in control, as defined. The Company does not intend to issue any new awards under the 2009 Plan.

In connection with the IPO, the Company adopted the BankUnited 2010 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (the "2010 Plan"). The 2010 Plan is administered by the Board of Directors or a committee thereof and provides for the grant of non-qualified stock options, share appreciation rights ("SARs"), restricted shares, deferred shares, performance shares, unrestricted shares and other share-based awards to selected employees, directors or independent contractors of the Company and its affiliates. The number of shares of common stock authorized for award under the 2010 Plan is 7,500,000, of which 1,967,080 shares remain available for issuance as of December 31, 2011. Shares of common stock delivered under the plan may consist of authorized but unissued shares or previously issued shares reacquired by the Company. The term of a share option or SAR issued under the plan may not exceed ten years from the date of grant and the exercise price may not be less than the fair market value of the Company's common stock at the date of grant. Unvested awards generally become fully vested in the event of a change in control, as defined.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 16 Equity Based Compensation and Other Benefit Plans (Continued)**

The grant-date fair value of option awards granted during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 was determined using a Black-Scholes option pricing model incorporating the following weighted average assumptions:

| | 2011 | | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|---------|
| | Options Granted | Exchanged for PIUs | | |
| Expected volatility | 42.85% | 45.00% | 35.92% | 27.30% |
| Expected dividend yield | 2.51% | 2.07% | 3.06% | 3.50% |
| Expected term (years) | 6 | 5.1 | 8.4 | 10 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.07% | 1.98% | 2.78% | 3.85% |
| Weighted average grant date fair value | \$ 7.19 | \$ 9.42 | \$ 6.49 | \$ 6.47 |

Prior to the IPO, the Company's common stock was not traded on an exchange. Expected volatility for options granted prior to the IPO was based on the volatility of comparable peer banks. Since trading history of the Company's common stock is limited, expected volatility for options granted subsequent to the IPO is estimated using both the volatility of the Company's common stock since it began trading and the volatility of peer companies. The Company has limited exercise history related to stock option awards. For options granted prior to November, 2010 the expected life was assumed to be equal to the contractual term of the options. For options granted after November, 2010, the simplified method provided for in Staff Accounting Bulletin 14 was used to estimate the expected term. The change in the expected life assumption was based primarily on the increased probability of completion of the IPO.

A summary of activity related to stock options for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 follows:

| | Number of Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|--|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Options outstanding, April 28, 2009 | | \$ |
| Granted | 384,680 | 11.32 |
| Options outstanding, December 31, 2009 | 384,680 | 11.32 |
| Granted | 647,020 | 20.01 |
| Canceled or forfeited | (49,990) | 11.58 |
| Options outstanding, December 31, 2010 | 981,710 | 17.04 |
| Granted | 300,000 | 22.31 |
| Options issued in exchange for PIUs | 4,534,970 | 27.00 |
| Exercised | (31,029) | 10.48 |
| Canceled or forfeited | (47,529) | 19.55 |
| Options outstanding, December 31, 2011 | 5,738,122 | \$ 25.20 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2011 | 4,169,865 | \$ 25.96 |

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 16 Equity Based Compensation and Other Benefit Plans (Continued)**

The intrinsic value of options exercised during the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$369.7 thousand.

Additional information about options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2011 is presented in the following table:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Number of Options | Outstanding Options | | Number of Options | Exercisable Options | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|---|--|-------------------|---|--|
| | | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) | | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) |
| \$10.00 - \$11.14 | 264,369 | 7.73 | \$ 2,953 | 166,906 | 7.73 | \$ 1,858 |
| \$16.29 - \$19.97 | 400,173 | 8.30 | 1,497 | 144,272 | 8.27 | 561 |
| \$22.23 - \$22.31 | 538,610 | 9.48 | | 79,545 | 8.88 | |
| \$27 | 4,534,970 | 7.40 | | 3,779,142 | 7.40 | |
| | 5,738,122 | 7.67 | \$ 4,450 | 4,169,865 | 7.47 | \$ 2,419 |

Unvested Shares

A summary of activity related to unvested shares granted under the 2010 Plan, including unvested shares issued in exchange for PIUs, for the year ended December 31, 2011 follows:

| | December 31, 2011 | |
|--|---------------------------|--|
| | Number of Unvested Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
| Unvested shares outstanding, beginning of period | | \$ |
| Granted | 706,230 | 24.58 |
| Shares in exchange for Profits Interest Units | 1,931,745 | 27.00 |
| Vested | (965,873) | 27.00 |
| Canceled or forfeited | (8,280) | 28.05 |
| Unvested shares outstanding, end of period | 1,663,822 | \$ 25.97 |

No unvested shares were granted during the year ended December 31, 2010 or the period ended December 31, 2009. Unvested share awards, other than those issued in exchange for PIUs, were valued at the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant, ranging from \$21.74 to \$28.05. The aggregate fair value of shares vested during 2011 was \$26.0 million. The shares vest in equal annual installments over a period of three years from the date of grant. Unvested shares issued in exchange for PIUs were valued at the IPO price of \$27. These shares retained the vesting provisions of the time-based PIUs for which they were exchanged, and will fully vest in 2012. Unvested shares participate in dividends declared on the Company's common stock on a one-for-one basis.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 16 Equity Based Compensation and Other Benefit Plans (Continued)*****Compensation Expense Related to Equity Based Awards***

The following table summarizes compensation cost related to equity based awards for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|------------|-----------|----------|
| Compensation cost of equity based awards: | | | |
| PIUs | \$ 110,398 | \$ 36,170 | \$ 8,793 |
| Instruments issued in exchange for PIUs | 30,614 | | |
| Stock options | 1,707 | 1,301 | 210 |
| Unvested shares | 2,069 | | |
| Total compensation cost of equity based awards: | 144,788 | 37,471 | 9,003 |
| Related tax benefits | (3,767) | (502) | (81) |
| Compensation cost of equity based awards, net of tax | \$ 141,021 | \$ 36,969 | \$ 8,922 |

Compensation expense related to PIUs and to certain instruments issued in exchange for PIUs is not deductible for income tax purposes.

At December 31, 2011, there was \$4.5 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock option awards expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.7 years, \$13.7 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested share awards expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.7 years and \$13.2 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested awards granted in exchange for PIUs expected to be recognized in 2012. The total unrecognized compensation cost related to equity based awards as of December 31, 2011 was \$31.4 million.

Deferred Compensation Plan

The Company has a non-qualified deferred compensation plan (the "Deferred Compensation Plan") for a select group of highly compensated employees whereby a participant, upon election, may defer a portion of eligible compensation. The Deferred Compensation Plan provided for Company contributions equal to 4.5% of eligible compensation for the period ended December 31, 2009. For subsequent years, Company contributions are equal to 100% of the first 1% plus 70% of the next 5% of eligible compensation deferred. The Company credits each participant's account at an annual interest rate determined by the Company's Compensation Committee. The Company accrued interest on the deferred obligation at an annual rate of 6% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009. A participant's elective deferrals and interest thereon are at all times 100% vested. Company contributions and interest thereon will become 100% vested upon the earlier of a change in control, as defined, or the participant's death, disability, attainment of normal retirement age or the completion of two years of service. Participant deferrals and any associated earnings will be paid upon separation from service or the specified distribution year elected. The specified distribution year can be no earlier than the third calendar year after the calendar year in which the participant deferrals and or Company contributions are made. A participant may elect to be paid in a lump sum or in five, ten or fifteen annual installments. Deferred compensation expense for

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 16 Equity Based Compensation and Other Benefit Plans (Continued)

this plan was \$216.7 thousand, \$191.6 thousand and \$102.9 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, respectively.

BankUnited 401(k) Plan

The Company sponsors the BankUnited 401(k) Plan, a tax-qualified, deferred compensation plan (the "401(k) Plan"). Under the terms of the 401(k) Plan, eligible employees may contribute a portion of compensation not exceeding the limits set by law. Employees are eligible to participate in the plan after one month of service. The 401(k) Plan allows a matching employer contribution equal to 100% of elective deferrals that do not exceed 1% of compensation, plus 70% of elective deferrals that exceed 1% but are less than 6% of compensation. Matching contributions are fully vested after two years of service. For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, BankUnited made matching contributions to the 401(k) Plan of approximately \$3.0 million, \$2.1 million and \$788 thousand, respectively.

Note 17 Warrant Issued to the FDIC

In connection with the Acquisition, BUFH issued a warrant to the FDIC. The warrant had an initial contractual term of ten years and was exercisable for a sixty day period beginning on the tenth day after the consummation of a qualifying IPO or exit event as defined in the warrant agreement. The warrant entitled the FDIC to acquire a number of common shares in the Company, or the entity acquiring BUFH or the Company, determined by applying a formula defined in the warrant agreement. After becoming exercisable, the warrant was redeemable for cash by the Company or BUFH at a redemption price equal to the warrant value, as defined.

In October 2010, the Company and the FDIC agreed to amend the warrant to guarantee a minimum value to the FDIC of \$25.0 million. Included in other liabilities at December 31, 2010 and 2009 is \$25.0 million and \$3.2 million, respectively, representing the greater of fair value or the minimum guaranteed value of the warrant. The Company recognized expense of \$21.8 million and \$1.7 million related to the increase in value of this instrument for the year ended December 31, 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009, respectively.

The Company settled the warrant for \$25.0 million in cash in February, 2011.

Note 18 Regulatory Requirements and Restrictions

BankUnited and the Company are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by Federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, BankUnited and the Company must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items calculated pursuant to regulation. The capital amounts and classification also are subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors. Banking regulations identify five capital categories for insured depository institutions: well-capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized. As

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 18 Regulatory Requirements and Restrictions (Continued)**

of December 31, 2011 and 2010, all capital ratios of BankUnited and the Company exceeded the "well capitalized" levels under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require BankUnited and the Company to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier 1 capital to average tangible assets (leverage ratio).

The following tables provide information regarding regulatory capital for BankUnited and the Company as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

| | December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|---|--------------|---|--------------|
| | Actual | | Required to be Considered Well Capitalized | | Required to be Considered Adequately Capitalized | |
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| BankUnited: | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 leverage capital(1) | \$ 1,182,647 | 10.77% | \$ 878,687 | 8.00% | \$ 878,687 | 8.00% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital | \$ 1,182,647 | 34.59% | \$ 205,166 | 6.00% | \$ 136,778 | 4.00% |
| Total risk-based capital | \$ 1,226,299 | 35.86% | \$ 341,944 | 10.00% | \$ 273,555 | 8.00% |
| BankUnited, Inc.: | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 leverage capital(1) | \$ 1,448,592 | 13.06% | \$ 887,514 | 8.00% | \$ 887,514 | 8.00% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital | \$ 1,448,592 | 41.62% | \$ 208,837 | 6.00% | \$ 139,225 | 4.00% |
| Total risk-based capital | \$ 1,492,939 | 42.89% | \$ 348,062 | 10.00% | \$ 278,450 | 8.00% |

| | December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|---|--------------|---|--------------|
| | Actual | | Required to be Considered Well Capitalized | | Required to be Considered Adequately Capitalized | |
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| BankUnited: | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 leverage capital(1) | \$ 1,107,820 | 10.34% | \$ 857,107 | 8.00% | \$ 857,107 | 8.00% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital | \$ 1,107,820 | 41.30% | \$ 160,942 | 6.00% | \$ 107,295 | 4.00% |
| Total risk-based capital | \$ 1,127,661 | 42.04% | \$ 268,235 | 10.00% | \$ 214,588 | 8.00% |
| BankUnited, Inc.: | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 leverage capital(1) | \$ 1,152,531 | 10.76% | \$ 857,014 | 8.00% | \$ 857,014 | 8.00% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital | \$ 1,152,531 | 42.97% | \$ 160,913 | 6.00% | \$ 107,276 | 4.00% |
| Total risk-based capital | \$ 1,172,372 | 43.71% | \$ 268,189 | 10.00% | \$ 214,551 | 8.00% |

- (1) A condition for approval of the application for Federal Deposit Insurance requires the Bank to maintain a Tier 1 leverage ratio of no less than eight percent throughout the first three years of operation.

For purposes of risk based capital computations, the FDIC Indemnification asset and the covered assets are risk-weighted at 20% due to the conditional guarantee represented by the Loss Sharing Agreements.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 18 Regulatory Requirements and Restrictions (Continued)

BankUnited is required by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System to maintain reserve balances in the form of vault cash or deposits with the Federal Reserve Bank. At December 31, 2011, the net reserve requirement was \$20.6 million.

BankUnited is subject to various regulatory restrictions relating to the payment of dividends, including requirements to maintain capital at or above certain minimums, and to remain "well-capitalized" under the prompt corrective action regulations. The Company does not expect that any of these laws, regulations or policies will materially affect the ability of BankUnited to pay dividends.

Note 19 Fair Value Measurements

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis

Following is a description of the methodologies used to estimate the fair values of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, and the level within the fair value hierarchy in which those measurements are typically classified.

Investment securities available for sale Fair value measurements are based on quoted prices in active markets when available; these measurements are classified within level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. These securities typically include U.S. treasury securities, certain preferred stocks, and mutual funds. If quoted market prices in active markets are not available, fair values are estimated using quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, quoted prices of identical securities in inactive markets, discounted cash flow techniques, or matrix pricing models. Investment securities available for sale that are generally classified within level 2 of the fair value hierarchy include U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities, preferred stock investments for which level 1 valuations are not available, certain non-mortgage asset-backed securities, certain Re-remics, commercial mortgage-backed securities, state and municipal obligations, U.S. Small Business Administration securities and corporate notes. Pricing of these securities is generally spread driven. Observable inputs that may impact the valuation of these securities include benchmark yield curves, credit spreads, reported trades, dealer quotes, bids, issuer spreads, current rating, historical constant prepayment rates, historical voluntary prepayment rates, structural and waterfall features of individual securities, published collateral data, and for certain securities, historical constant default rates and default severities. Investment securities available for sale generally classified within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy include private label mortgage-backed securities, certain Re-Remics, certain non-mortgage asset-backed securities and trust preferred securities. The Company typically values these securities using internally developed or third party proprietary pricing models, primarily discounted cash flow valuation techniques, which incorporate both observable and unobservable inputs. Unobservable inputs that may impact the valuation of these securities include risk adjusted discount rates, projected prepayment rates, projected default rates and projected loss severity.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 19 Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

Derivative financial instruments Interest rate swaps are predominantly traded in over-the-counter markets and, as such, values are determined using widely accepted discounted cash flow modeling techniques. These discounted cash flow models use projections of future cash payments and receipts that are discounted at mid-market rates. Observable inputs that may impact the valuation of these instruments include LIBOR swap rates, LIBOR forward yield curves and counterparty credit risk spreads. These fair value measurements are generally classified within level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Loan commitment derivatives are priced based on a bid pricing convention adjusted based on the Company's historical fallout rates. Fallout rates are a significant unobservable input; therefore, these fair value measurements are classified within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of loan commitment derivatives is nominal.

Profits interest units The fair value of PIUs outstanding prior to the IPO was estimated using a Black-Scholes option pricing model. Since the Company's common stock historically was not traded on an exchange, significant inputs to the model including estimated volatility, equity value per share, estimated dividend yield and expected life were unobservable; therefore this fair value measurement was classified within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. None of these instruments remain outstanding at December 31, 2011.

FDIC warrant The fair value of the FDIC warrant was historically estimated using binomial and Monte Carlo simulation models that incorporated significant unobservable inputs as to equity value per share, estimated volatility, expected life, and dividend yield. This fair value estimate was classified within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. At December 31, 2010 the fair value of the warrant was adjusted to the settlement price negotiated with the FDIC. The warrant was redeemed at that price in February, 2011.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 19 Fair Value Measurements (Continued)**

The following table presents assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2011 | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Investment Securities Available for Sale: | | | | |
| U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities | \$ | \$ 1,985,713 | \$ | \$ 1,985,713 |
| Re-Remics | | 546,310 | | 546,310 |
| Private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMO's | | | 387,687 | 387,687 |
| Private label commercial mortgage-backed securities | | 262,562 | | 262,562 |
| Non-mortgage asset-backed securities | | 331,015 | 79,870 | 410,885 |
| Mutual funds and preferred stocks | 253,778 | 39 | | 253,817 |
| State and municipal obligations | | 25,270 | | 25,270 |
| Small Business Administration securities | | 303,677 | | 303,677 |
| Other debt securities | | 2,897 | 3,159 | 6,056 |
| Derivative assets | | 3,731 | | 3,731 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$ 253,778 | \$ 3,461,214 | \$ 470,716 | \$ 4,185,708 |
| Derivative liabilities | | 67,178 | | 67,178 |
| Total liabilities at fair value | \$ | \$ 67,178 | \$ | \$ 67,178 |
| | | | | |
| | December 31, 2010 | | | |
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Investment Securities Available for Sale: | | | | |
| U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities | \$ | \$ 1,290,910 | \$ | \$ 1,290,910 |
| Re-Remics | | | 612,631 | 612,631 |
| Private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMO's | | | 382,920 | 382,920 |
| Non-mortgage asset-backed securities | | 278,384 | 130,610 | 408,994 |
| Mutual funds and preferred stocks | 40,269 | 98,266 | | 138,535 |
| State and municipal obligations | | 22,960 | | 22,960 |
| Small Business Administration securities | | 62,891 | | 62,891 |
| Other debt securities | | 2,818 | 3,943 | 6,761 |
| Derivative assets | | 132 | | 132 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$ 40,269 | \$ 1,756,361 | \$ 1,130,104 | \$ 2,926,734 |
| FDIC warrant | \$ | \$ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |
| PIU liability | | | 44,964 | 44,964 |
| Derivative liabilities | | 42,629 | 78 | 42,707 |
| Total liabilities at fair value | \$ | \$ 42,629 | \$ 70,042 | \$ 112,671 |

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 19 Fair Value Measurements (Continued)**

During the year ended December 31, 2011, financial institution preferred stocks with a fair value of \$200.1 million were transferred from level 2 to level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Activity in the market for these securities has increased, enabling management to obtain quoted prices in a market considered to be active for identical securities on the measurement date.

The following tables reconcile changes in the fair value of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and classified in level 3 of the fair value hierarchy for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

| | 2011 | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|--|---|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| | Re-Remics | Private Label Residential Mortgage- Backed Securities | Private Label Commercial Mortgage- Backed Securities | Non-Mortgage Asset-Backed Securities | Other Debt Securities | FDIC Warrant | PIU Liability | Derivative Liabilities |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 612,631 | \$ 382,920 | \$ | \$ 130,610 | \$ 3,943 | \$ (25,000) | \$ (44,964) | \$ (78) |
| Gains (losses) for the period included in: | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | | | | | 78 |
| Other comprehensive income | (9,949) | (18,135) | 6,033 | (3,256) | (771) | | | |
| Purchases or issuances | | 93,594 | 178,370 | 140,922 | | | | |
| Sales | | | | (14,978) | | | | |
| Settlements | (144,270) | (70,692) | (20,685) | (80,160) | (13) | 25,000 | 44,964 | |
| Transfers into Level 3 | | | | 64,533 | | | | |
| Transfers out of Level 3 | (458,412) | | (163,718) | (157,801) | | | | |
| Balance, end of period | \$ | \$ 387,687 | \$ | \$ 79,870 | \$ 3,159 | \$ | \$ | \$ |

F-81

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

December 31, 2011

Note 19 Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

| | 2010 | | | | | | |
|---|------------|--|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| | Re-Remics | Private Label Residential Mortgage- Backed Securities | Non-Mortgage Asset-Backed Securities | Other Debt Securities | FDIC Warrant | PIU Liability | Derivative Liabilities |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 475,003 | \$ 366,508 | \$ 30,000 | \$ 3,528 | \$ (3,168) | \$ (8,793) | \$ |
| Gains (losses) for the period included in: | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | | (21,832) | (36,171) | (78) |
| Other comprehensive income | 16,677 | 16,081 | 375 | 634 | | | |
| Purchases or issuances | 325,543 | 80,566 | 106,946 | | | | |
| Sales | (50,591) | | | | | | |
| Settlements | (154,001) | (80,235) | (6,711) | (219) | | | |
| Transfers into (out of) Level 3 | | | | | | | |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 612,631 | \$ 382,920 | \$ 130,610 | \$ 3,943 | \$ (25,000) | \$ (44,964) | \$ (78) |

| | 2009 | | | | | |
|---|------------|--|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| | Re-Remics | Private Label Residential Mortgage- Backed Securities | Non-Mortgage Asset-Backed Securities | Other Debt Securities | FDIC Warrant | Liability for PIUs |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | \$ 231,877 | \$ | \$ 1,926 | \$ (1,464) | \$ |
| Gains (losses) for the period included in: | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | | (1,704) | (8,793) |
| Other comprehensive income | (3,728) | 46,743 | | 1,400 | | |
| Purchases or issuances | 503,112 | 126,767 | 30,000 | 275 | | |
| Sales | | | | | | |
| Settlements | (24,381) | (38,879) | | (73) | | |
| Transfers into (out of) Level 3 | | | | | | |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 475,003 | \$ 366,508 | \$ 30,000 | \$ 3,528 | \$ (3,168) | \$ (8,793) |

Changes in the fair value of the FDIC warrant and derivative liabilities are included in the consolidated statement of income line item "Other non-interest expense". Changes in the fair value of the liability for PIUs are included in the consolidated statement of income line item "Employee compensation and benefits".

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 19 Fair Value Measurements (Continued)**

During the year ended December 31, 2011, non-mortgage asset-backed securities with a fair value of \$64.5 million were transferred from level 2 to level 3 of the fair value hierarchy due to an increase in the significance of unobservable inputs related to default assumptions to the valuation of the securities transferred. Re-remics, private label commercial mortgage-backed securities, and non-mortgage asset-backed securities with a fair value of \$780 million were transferred from level 3 to level 2 of the fair value hierarchy due to an increase in the level of market activity for these securities such that unobservable inputs were no longer considered significant to the valuation process.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis

Following is a description of the methodologies used to estimate the fair values of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, and the level within the fair value hierarchy in which those measurements are typically classified.

Impaired loans and OREO The carrying amount of collateral dependent impaired loans is typically based on the fair value of the underlying collateral, which may be real estate or other business assets, less estimated costs to sell. The carrying value of OREO is initially measured based on the fair value of the real estate acquired in foreclosure and subsequently adjusted to the lower of cost or estimated fair value, less estimated cost to sell. Fair values of real estate collateral are typically based on real estate appraisals which utilize market and income approaches to valuation incorporating both observable and unobservable inputs. When current appraisals are not available, the Company may use brokers' price opinions, home price indices, or other available information about changes in real estate market conditions to adjust the latest appraised value available. These adjustments to appraised values may be subjective and involve significant management judgment. The fair value of collateral consisting of other business assets is generally based on appraisals that use market approaches to valuation, incorporating primarily unobservable inputs. Fair value measurements related to collateral dependent impaired loans and OREO are classified within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

The following table presents assets for which nonrecurring changes in fair value have been recorded for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the period ended December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2011 | | | | Gains (Losses) From Fair Value Changes |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | |
| Impaired Loans | \$ | \$ | \$ 5,028 | \$ 5,028 | \$ (4,254) |
| Other real estate owned | \$ | \$ | \$ 123,737 | \$ 123,737 | \$ (24,569) |

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 19 Fair Value Measurements (Continued)****December 31, 2010**

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | Gains (Losses) from Fair Value Changes |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---|
| Other real estate owned | \$ | \$ | \$ 206,680 | \$ 206,680 | \$ (16,131) |

December 31, 2009

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | Gains (Losses) from Fair Value Changes |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---|
| Other real estate owned | \$ | \$ | \$ 120,110 | \$ 120,110 | \$ (21,055) |

The Company did not have any impaired loans whose carrying amounts were measured based on the fair value of underlying collateral during the year ended December 31, 2010 or the period ended December 31, 2009.

F-84

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 19 Fair Value Measurements (Continued)**

The following table presents the carrying value and fair value of financial instruments as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 303,742 | \$ 303,742 | \$ 564,774 | \$ 564,774 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 4,181,977 | 4,181,977 | 2,926,602 | 2,926,602 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 147,055 | 147,055 | 217,408 | 217,408 |
| Loans held for sale | 3,952 | 3,994 | 2,659 | 2,674 |
| Loans, net: | | | | |
| Covered | 2,398,737 | 2,856,268 | 3,343,838 | 3,521,204 |
| Non-covered | 1,689,919 | 1,725,313 | 532,019 | 537,840 |
| FDIC Indemnification asset | 2,049,151 | 1,950,446 | 2,667,401 | 2,632,992 |
| Income tax receivable | | | 10,862 | 10,862 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 19,133 | 19,133 | 12,013 | 12,013 |
| Derivative assets | 3,731 | 3,731 | 132 | 132 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Deposits | \$ 7,364,714 | \$ 7,399,404 | \$ 7,163,728 | \$ 7,202,975 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 206 | 206 | 492 | 492 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 2,236,131 | 2,294,265 | 2,255,200 | 2,344,263 |
| Income taxes payable | 53,171 | 53,171 | | |
| Accrued interest payable | 8,519 | 8,519 | 8,425 | 8,425 |
| Advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance | 21,838 | 21,838 | 22,563 | 22,563 |
| FDIC warrant | | | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| PIU liability | | | 44,964 | 44,964 |
| Derivative liabilities | 67,178 | 67,178 | 42,707 | 42,707 |

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments, other than those described above:

The carrying amounts of certain financial instruments approximate fair value due to their short-term nature and generally negligible credit risk. These financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, income tax receivable, accrued interest receivable, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, income taxes payable, accrued interest payable and advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance.

Federal Home Loan Bank stock:

There is no market for this stock, which can be liquidated only by redemption by the FHLB. The stock is carried at par, which has historically represented the redemption price and is therefore considered to approximate fair value. FHLB stock is evaluated quarterly for potential impairment.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

Note 19 Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

Loans held for sale:

The fair value of loans held for sale is based on pricing currently available to the Company in the secondary market.

ACI and non-ACI loans:

Fair values are estimated based on a discounted cash flow analysis. Estimates of future cash flows incorporate various factors that may include the type of loan and related collateral, collateral values, estimated default probability and loss severity given default, internal risk rating, whether the interest rate is fixed or variable, term of loan, whether or not the loan is amortizing and loan specific net realizable value analyses for certain commercial and commercial real estate loans. The fair values of loans accounted for in pools are estimated on a pool basis. Other loans may be grouped based on risk characteristics and fair value estimated in the aggregate when applying discounted cash flow valuation techniques. Discount rates are based on current market rates for new originations of comparable loans adjusted for liquidity and credit risk premiums that the Company believes would be required by market participants.

New loans:

Fair values are estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis with a discount rate based on interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. The ALLL is considered a reasonable estimate of the required adjustment to fair value to reflect the impact of credit risk. This estimate may not represent an exit value as defined in ASC 820.

FDIC indemnification asset:

The fair value of the FDIC indemnification asset has been estimated using a discounted cash flow technique incorporating assumptions about the timing and amount of future projected cash payments from the FDIC related to the resolution of covered assets. The factors that impact estimates of future cash flows are similar to those impacting estimated cash flows from covered loans described above. The discount rate is determined by adjusting the risk free rate to incorporate uncertainty in the estimate of the timing and amount of future cash flows and illiquidity.

Deposits:

The fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts and money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of time deposits is estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis based on rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Federal Home Loan Bank advances:

Fair value is estimated by discounting contractual future cash flows using the current rate at which borrowings with similar terms and remaining maturities could be obtained by the Company.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 20 Commitments and Contingencies**

The Company issues off-balance sheet financial instruments to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to fund loans, unfunded commitments under existing lines of credit, and commercial and standby letters of credit. These commitments expose the Company to varying degrees of credit and market risk which are essentially the same as those involved in extending loans to customers, and are subject to the same credit policies used in underwriting loans. Collateral may be obtained based on the Company's credit evaluation of the counterparty. The Company's maximum exposure to credit loss is represented by the contractual amount of these commitments. Amounts funded under non-cancelable commitments in effect at the date of the Acquisition are covered under the Loss Sharing Agreements if certain conditions are met.

Commitments to fund loans:

These are agreements to lend funds to customers as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments to fund loans generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Many of these commitments are expected to expire without being funded and, therefore, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future liquidity requirements.

Unfunded commitments under lines of credit:

Unfunded commitments under lines of credit include consumer, home equity, commercial and commercial real estate lines of credit to existing customers. Some of these commitments may mature without being fully funded.

Commercial and standby letters of credit:

Letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These letters of credit are primarily issued to support trade transactions or guarantee arrangements. Fees collected on standby letters of credit represent the fair value of those commitments and are deferred and amortized over their term, which is typically one year or less. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers.

Total lending related commitments outstanding at December 31, 2011 were as follows (in thousands).

| | Covered | Non-Covered | Total |
|--|----------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Commitments to fund loans | \$ | \$ 112,019 | \$ 112,019 |
| Commitments to purchase loans | | 44,359 | 44,359 |
| Unfunded commitments under lines of credit | 87,256 | 380,494 | 467,750 |
| Commercial and standby letters of credit | | 27,859 | 27,859 |
| | \$ 87,256 | \$ 564,731 | \$ 651,987 |

F-87

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 20 Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)*****Other Commitments******Employment Agreements:***

The Company has employment agreements with certain members of senior management. The employment agreements had initial terms ranging from one year to three years, with provisions for extensions, and include specific provisions for salary, bonus, other benefits and termination payments in certain circumstances.

Legal Proceedings

The Company is involved as plaintiff or defendant in various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, based upon advice of legal counsel, the likelihood is remote that the impact of these proceedings, either individually or in the aggregate, would be material to the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Note 21 Condensed Financial Statements of BankUnited, Inc.

Condensed financial statements of BankUnited, Inc. are presented below (in thousands):

Condensed Balance Sheets

| | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 128,126 | \$ 83,236 |
| Investment securities available for sale, at fair value | 105,707 | |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 1,270,682 | 1,209,661 |
| Due from BankUnited | 26,550 | 32,574 |
| Deferred tax asset, net | 14,837 | 12,574 |
| Other assets | 7,341 | 3,329 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,553,243 | \$ 1,341,374 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity: | | |
| PIU liability | \$ | \$ 44,964 |
| FDIC warrant | | 25,000 |
| Other liabilities | 17,963 | 17,902 |
| Total liabilities | 17,963 | 87,866 |
| Stockholders' equity | 1,535,280 | 1,253,508 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 1,553,243 | \$ 1,341,374 |

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 21 Condensed Financial Statements of BankUnited, Inc. (Continued)****Condensed Statements of Income**

| | Years Ended December 31, | | Period Ended December 31, |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Income: | | | |
| Interest and dividends on investment securities available for sale | \$ 2,033 | \$ | \$ |
| Service fees from subsidiaries | 25,659 | 25,797 | 3,183 |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries | 190,134 | 209,753 | 152,943 |
| Total | 217,826 | 235,550 | 156,126 |
| Expense: | | | |
| Employee compensation and benefits | 145,279 | 41,817 | 12,124 |
| Acquisition related costs | | | 39,800 |
| Other | 7,858 | 3,425 | 1,111 |
| Total | 153,137 | 45,242 | 53,035 |
| Income before income taxes | 64,689 | 190,308 | 103,091 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 1,521 | 5,573 | (15,955) |
| Net income | \$ 63,168 | \$ 184,735 | \$ 119,046 |

F-89

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 21 Condensed Financial Statements of BankUnited, Inc. (Continued)****Condensed Statements of Cash Flows**

| | Years Ended December 31, | | Period Ended December 31, |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 63,168 | \$ 184,735 | \$ 119,046 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | | |
| Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | (75,134) | (149,753) | (152,943) |
| Equity based compensation | 144,769 | 1,301 | 210 |
| Change in fair value of equity instruments classified as liabilities | | 35,062 | 10,497 |
| Other | (883) | 2,397 | (21,843) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 131,920 | 73,742 | (45,033) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Capital contributions to subsidiary | | | (875,000) |
| Purchase of investment securities available for sale | (123,367) | | |
| Proceeds from repayments of investment securities available for sale | 17,812 | | |
| Other | (223) | (723) | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (105,778) | (723) | (875,000) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Settlement of FDIC warrant | (25,000) | | |
| Issuance of common stock | 98,620 | 2,500 | 947,750 |
| Dividends paid | (55,803) | (20,000) | |
| Other | 931 | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 18,748 | (17,500) | 947,750 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 44,890 | 55,519 | 27,717 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 83,236 | 27,717 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 128,126 | \$ 83,236 | \$ 27,717 |
| Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities: | | | |
| Dividends declared, not paid | \$ 14,930 | \$ 14,000 | \$ |
| Reclassification of PIU liability to equity | \$ 44,964 | \$ | \$ |

BankUnited, Inc.'s investment in the Bank totaled \$1.3 billion and \$1.2 billion at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Dividends received by BankUnited, Inc. from the Bank totaled \$115.0 million and \$60.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. No dividends were paid by the Bank to BankUnited, Inc. during the period ended December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 22 Subsequent Events**

In February, 2012 the Company received notice that BankUnited, Inc.'s applications to acquire Herald have been approved by the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta and the OCC. Additionally, BankUnited has received OCC approval to convert its charter to a national bank. Upon completion of the acquisition of Herald and the conversion of BankUnited to a national bank, BankUnited, Inc. will become a bank holding company. Subject to the terms and conditions of the Merger Agreement by and between BankUnited, Inc. and Herald, as amended, management expects both the Herald acquisition and the charter conversions to be completed on February 29, 2012.

On February 28, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors approved the payment of a cash dividend of \$0.17 per share to holders of record of its common stock.

Note 23 Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)

Financial information by quarter for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 follows:

| | December 31, 2011 | | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------|
| | Fourth Quarter | Third Quarter | Second Quarter | First Quarter | Total |
| Interest income | \$ 174,639 | \$ 163,155 | \$ 152,097 | \$ 148,206 | \$ 638,097 |
| Interest expense | 33,926 | 34,357 | 34,775 | 35,879 | 138,937 |
| Net interest income before provision for loan losses | 140,713 | 128,798 | 117,322 | 112,327 | 499,160 |
| Provision for (recovery of) loan losses | 4,012 | 1,252 | (2,892) | 11,456 | 13,828 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 136,701 | 127,546 | 120,214 | 100,871 | 485,332 |
| Non-interest income(1) | 13,342 | 32,755 | 52,858 | 64,262 | 163,217 |
| Non-interest expense(2) | 75,825 | 79,752 | 95,889 | 204,339 | 455,805 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 74,218 | 80,549 | 77,183 | (39,206) | 192,744 |
| Provision for income taxes(2) | 32,938 | 34,996 | 33,188 | 28,454 | 129,576 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 41,280 | \$ 45,553 | \$ 43,995 | \$ (67,660) | \$ 63,168 |
| Earnings (loss) per common share, basic | \$ 0.41 | \$ 0.45 | \$ 0.44 | \$ (0.72) | \$ 0.63 |
| Earnings (loss) per common share, diluted | \$ 0.41 | \$ 0.45 | \$ 0.44 | \$ (0.72) | \$ 0.62 |

F-91

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****December 31, 2011****Note 23 Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited) (Continued)**

| | December 31, 2010 | | | | | Total |
|--|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------|-------|
| | Fourth Quarter | Third Quarter | Second Quarter | First Quarter | | |
| Interest income | \$ 142,729 | \$ 141,374 | \$ 139,648 | \$ 133,937 | \$ 557,688 | |
| Interest expense | 40,705 | 42,586 | 43,465 | 41,444 | 168,200 | |
| Net interest income before provision for loan losses | 102,024 | 98,788 | 96,183 | 92,493 | 389,488 | |
| Provision for loan losses | 6,250 | 19,066 | 17,908 | 8,183 | 51,407 | |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 95,774 | 79,722 | 78,275 | 84,310 | 338,081 | |
| Non-interest income(1)(3) | 60,259 | 71,315 | 83,749 | 82,456 | 297,779 | |
| Non-interest expense(4) | 103,272 | 79,913 | 74,433 | 65,702 | 323,320 | |
| Income before income taxes | 52,761 | 71,124 | 87,591 | 101,064 | 312,540 | |
| Provision for income taxes | 24,948 | 26,085 | 36,427 | 40,345 | 127,805 | |
| Net income | \$ 27,813 | \$ 45,039 | \$ 51,164 | \$ 60,719 | \$ 184,735 | |
| Earnings per common share, basic | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.48 | \$ 0.55 | \$ 0.65 | \$ 1.99 | |
| Earnings per common share, diluted | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.48 | \$ 0.55 | \$ 0.65 | \$ 1.99 | |

-
- (1) Non-interest income for the fourth quarters of 2011 and 2010 includes losses from the sale of covered residential loans of \$14.3 million and \$18.6 million, respectively, net of the impact of indemnification from the FDIC under the Loss Sharing Agreements. See Note 5.
- (2) Non-interest expense for the first quarter of 2011 includes \$110.4 million in equity based compensation recorded at the time of the Company's IPO. This expense was not deductible for income tax purposes and therefore impacted the Company's effective tax rate for the quarter. See Note 16.
- (3) Non-interest income for the fourth quarter of 2010 includes \$24.1 million related to the settlement of a dispute with the FDIC related to the valuation of certain investment securities acquired in the Acquisition. See Note 2.
- (4) Non-interest expense for the fourth quarter of 2010 includes \$21.8 million related to an increase in the fair value of a warrant issued to the FDIC in conjunction with the Acquisition. See Note 17.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and
Stockholders of BankUnited, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of BankUnited FSB and its subsidiaries (the "Bank") as of May 21, 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, of comprehensive (loss) income, of stockholder's equity (deficit), and of cash flows for the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009 and the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Bank's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Bank and its subsidiaries at May 21, 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009 and the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Office of Thrift Supervision seized the Bank on May 21, 2009, and named the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") as receiver. Immediately thereafter, substantially all assets and liabilities were acquired by BankUnited, a wholly-owned subsidiary of BankUnited, Inc.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Fort Lauderdale, Florida
October 27, 2010

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET**

As of May 21, 2009

(In thousands)

| | May 21, 2009 |
|--|---------------|
| ASSETS | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 215,941 |
| Due from Federal Reserve Bank | 919,755 |
| Federal funds sold | 7,584 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 1,143,280 |
| Investment securities available for sale, at fair value | 538,944 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 243,334 |
| Loans held for sale | 788 |
| Loans held in portfolio, net of discounts, premiums and deferred costs | 11,014,215 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (1,227,173) |
| Loans held in portfolio, net | 9,787,042 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 129,111 |
| Other real estate owned | 177,679 |
| Deferred tax asset, net | |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets | 28,353 |
| Other assets | 212,331 |
| Total assets | \$ 12,260,862 |

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY (DEFICIT)**Liabilities:**

| | |
|---|------------|
| Demand deposits: | |
| Non-interest bearing | \$ 247,646 |
| Interest bearing | 155,906 |
| Savings and money market | 1,682,937 |
| Certificates of deposits | 6,469,418 |
| Total deposits | 8,555,907 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 1,310 |
| Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank | 4,429,350 |
| Deferred tax liability | |
| Income taxes payable | |
| Advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance | 52,362 |
| Other liabilities | 110,906 |
| Total liabilities | 13,149,835 |

Commitments and contingencies**Stockholder's Equity (Deficit)**

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, 100 shares authorized, issued and outstanding | |
| Paid-in capital | 793,928 |
| Retained earnings (deficit) | (1,589,662) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax | (93,239) |

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Total stockholder's equity (deficit) | (888,973) |
| Total liabilities and stockholder's equity (deficit) | \$ 12,260,862 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-94

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

**For the Period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009, and the Year Ended
September 30, 2008**

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009 | Year Ended September 30, 2008 |
|---|---|--|
| Interest income: | | |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ 312,994 | \$ 762,170 |
| Interest and dividends on investment securities available for sale | 22,407 | 50,434 |
| Interest and dividends on other interest-earning assets | 3,667 | 21,856 |
| Total interest income | 339,068 | 834,460 |
| Interest expense: | | |
| Interest on deposits | 199,570 | 292,855 |
| Interest on borrowings | 133,822 | 262,739 |
| Total interest expense | 333,392 | 555,594 |
| Net interest income before provision for loan losses | 5,676 | 278,866 |
| Provision for loan losses | 919,139 | 856,374 |
| Net interest income (loss) after provision for loan losses | (913,463) | (577,508) |
| Non-interest income (loss): | | |
| Other than temporary impairment on investment securities available for sale | (68,609) | (142,035) |
| Amortization and impairment of mortgage servicing rights | (26,595) | (8,434) |
| Gain (loss) on sale of loans, net | 196 | (9,784) |
| Service charges | 11,796 | 25,136 |
| Gain (loss) on sale of investments, net | 39 | (1,465) |
| Other non-interest income | 1,742 | 7,723 |
| Total non-interest income (loss) | (81,431) | (128,859) |
| Non-interest expense: | | |
| Employee compensation and benefits | 51,695 | 88,893 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 25,247 | 46,743 |
| Impairment and other real estate owned related expense | 73,439 | 40,650 |
| Professional fees | 10,062 | 8,910 |
| Foreclosure expense | 4,907 | 6,007 |
| Deposit insurance expense | 38,299 | 6,147 |
| Telecommunications and data processing | 9,573 | 13,536 |
| Other non-interest expense | 25,181 | 35,594 |
| Total non-interest expense | 238,403 | 246,480 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (1,233,297) | (952,847) |

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|----|--------------|----------------|
| Income tax expense (benefit) | | (94,462) | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | (1,233,297) | \$ (858,385) |
| Earnings (Loss) Per Share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ | (12,332,970) | \$ (8,583,850) |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | | 100 | 100 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-95

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

**For the Period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009, and the Year Ended
September 30, 2008**

(In thousands)

| | Period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009 | Year Ended September 30, 2008 |
|---|---|----------------------------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (1,233,297) | \$ (858,385) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash used for operating activities: | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 919,139 | 856,374 |
| Provision for recourse liability on loans sold | | 12,400 |
| Negative amortization of option adjustable rate mortgage payment loans | (28,198) | (161,664) |
| Other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities | 68,609 | 142,035 |
| Impairment of other real estate owned | 38,742 | 22,749 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 7,791 | 15,330 |
| Amortization of fees, discounts and premiums, net | 10,886 | 53,930 |
| Amortization of mortgage servicing rights | 1,596 | 5,391 |
| Impairment of mortgage servicing rights | 24,999 | 3,043 |
| Increase in bank owned life insurance cash surrender value | (2,155) | (4,856) |
| Net loss on sale of other real estate owned and other assets | 22,211 | 8,784 |
| Net (gain) loss on sale of loans | (113) | 3,857 |
| Net gain on sale of loans held for sale | (83) | (6,473) |
| Net (gain) loss on sale of investment securities available for sale | (39) | 414 |
| Deferred tax expense (benefit) | 50,306 | (78,486) |
| Other: | | |
| Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale, including those sold as mortgage-backed securities | 45,140 | 1,160,121 |
| Loans originated for sale, net of repayments | (35,795) | (999,505) |
| Increase (decrease) in other assets | 510 | (117,503) |
| Increase in other liabilities | 25,405 | 19,505 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (84,346) | 77,061 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchase of investment securities available for sale | (10,427) | (213,414) |
| Proceeds from repayments of investment securities available for sale | 96,428 | 270,345 |
| Proceeds from sale of investment securities available for sale | 9,847 | 124,357 |
| Proceeds from sale of loans held in portfolio | 7,563 | |
| Net decrease (increase) in loans held in portfolio | 340,767 | 369,153 |
| Purchase of Federal Home Loan Bank stock | (113) | (43,045) |
| Proceed from repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 19,350 | 85,859 |
| Purchase of office properties and equipment | (828) | (7,221) |
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned and other assets | 107,089 | 63,723 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 569,676 | 649,757 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)**

**For the Period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009, and the Year Ended
September 30, 2008**

(In thousands)

| | Period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009 | Year Ended September 30, 2008 |
|--|---|----------------------------------|
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Net increase in deposits | 379,090 | 871,029 |
| Additions to Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 50,000 | 3,045,000 |
| Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank advances | (900,000) | (4,000,010) |
| Capital contribution from parent | | 160,000 |
| Net decrease in securities sold under repurchase agreements | (55,620) | (86,142) |
| (Decrease) increase in advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance | (38,861) | (6,232) |
| Dividends paid on stock | (5) | (2) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (565,396) | (16,357) |
| (Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (80,066) | 710,461 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 1,223,346 | 512,885 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 1,143,280 | \$ 1,223,346 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow activity: | | |
| Interest paid on deposits and borrowings | \$ 317,614 | \$ 556,783 |
| Income taxes (received) paid | \$ (45,712) | \$ |
| Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities: | | |
| Transfers from loans to real estate owned | \$ 209,694 | \$ 202,520 |
| Transfers of loans held for sale to portfolio | \$ | \$ 19,919 |
| Transfer of loans from portfolio to loans held for sale | \$ 7,459 | \$ 242 |
| Capital contribution receivable from parent | \$ | \$ |
| Exchange loans for mortgages backed securities | \$ | \$ 776,796 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY (DEFICIT)**

**For the Period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009, and the Year Ended
September 30, 2008**

(In thousands)

| | Common Stock | Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings (Deficit) | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| Balance at September 30, 2007 | \$ | \$ 713,928 | \$ 502,027 | \$ (13,153) | \$ 1,202,802 |
| Capital contribution | | 80,000 | | | 80,000 |
| Comprehensive loss: | | | | | |
| Net loss | | | (858,385) | | (858,385) |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | (25,645) | (25,645) |
| Total comprehensive loss | | | | | (884,030) |
| Payment of cash dividends | | | (2) | | (2) |
| Balance at September 30, 2008 | | 793,928 | (356,360) | (38,798) | 398,770 |
| Comprehensive loss: | | | | | |
| Net loss | | | (1,233,297) | | (1,233,297) |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | (54,441) | (54,441) |
| Total comprehensive loss | | | | | (1,287,738) |
| Payment of cash dividends | | | (5) | | (5) |
| Balance at May 21, 2009 | \$ | \$ 793,928 | \$ (1,589,662) | \$ (93,239) | \$ (888,973) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**

**For the Period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009, and the Year Ended
September 30, 2008**

(In thousands)

| | Period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009 | Year Ended September 30, 2008 |
|---|---|--|
| Net income (loss) | \$ (1,233,297) | \$ (858,385) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period on securities, net of tax expense (benefit)(1) | (65,914) | (37,303) |
| Unrealized losses on cash flow hedges, net of tax benefit(1) | | |
| Less reclassification adjustment for: | | |
| Realized losses on securities sold included in net income, net of tax benefit(1) | (22) | (414) |
| Other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities included in net income (loss), net of tax benefit(1) | (11,451) | (11,258) |
| Realized gains on cash flow hedges, net of tax expense(1) | | 14 |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | (54,441) | (25,645) |
| Total comprehensive income (loss) | \$ (1,287,738) | \$ (884,030) |

(1)

Tax benefit related to 2009 and 2008 unrealized net losses on securities was completely reserved for by a valuation allowance and therefore these years do not show any tax benefit related to investment securities. The following table summarizes the related tax expense (benefit) for the period ended May 21, 2009, and September 30, 2008 (in thousands):

| | Period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009 | | Year Ended September 30, 2008 | |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| | Deferred Tax Expense (Benefit) | Deferred Tax Asset Valuation Allowance | Deferred Tax Expense (Benefit) | Deferred Tax Asset Valuation Allowance |
| Unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period on securities | \$ (35,492) | \$ 35,492 | \$ (20,086) | \$ 20,086 |
| Unrealized losses on cash flow hedges | | | | |
| Realized losses on securities sold included in net income | (12) | 12 | (223) | 223 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities included in net income (loss) | (6,166) | 6,166 | (6,062) | 6,062 |
| Realized gains on cash flow hedges | | | | (7) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

BankUnited, FSB ("BankUnited" or the "Bank") was founded in 1984 and offers a full range of consumer and commercial banking products and services to individual and corporate customers through its branch network in Florida. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Bank and its wholly-owned subsidiaries Bay Holdings, Inc., CRE Properties Inc., T&D Properties of South Florida, Inc. and BU Delaware, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiary BU REIT, Inc. BankUnited Financial Corporation ("BKUNA"), the parent company, is a Florida corporation organized in 1993 as the holding company for the Bank.

At the close of business on May 21, 2009, the Bank was seized by the Office of Thrift Supervision and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") was appointed as Receiver. Immediately thereafter, a de novo institution ("New BankUnited") acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of the former BankUnited. The change in control of the Bank may affect the accounting policies followed by the Bank under its new ownership.

Regulatory Matters

On September 19, 2008, the Bank reached an agreement with the Office of Thrift Supervision (the "OTS") on regulatory consent orders (the "Orders"). The Orders, among other things, required that BankUnited continue its capital augmentation plan to raise additional capital and to provide an alternative capital strategy to be implemented in the event the capital raising efforts in the capital augmentation plan are unsuccessful (together, the "Capital Plan"). The Capital Plan was approved by the OTS, and on November 1, 2008, the Bank's Board of Directors ("Board") approved and adopted the Capital Plan and began its implementation. Additionally, the Orders required that the Bank's Board prepare and submit to the OTS a comprehensive business plan covering the last three months of calendar year 2008, all of calendar years 2009 and 2010, and the first three quarters of calendar 2011 ("Business Plan"). The Business Plan includes a detailed description of the Bank's plans to improve earnings, preserve and enhance capital and franchise value, and strengthen liquidity.

The Orders required the Bank to meet and maintain a minimum Tier One Core Capital Ratio of 7% and a minimum total Risk-Based Capital Ratio of 14% on and after December 31, 2008. As of December 31, 2008, due primarily to establishing reserves for loan losses and its inability to raise additional equity, the Bank was not in compliance with the capital ratios as required by the Orders. As a result, the Bank was subject to enforcement action by federal regulators, including placing the Bank into receivership.

The Orders prohibit the Bank from paying dividends or capital distributions without receiving the prior written approval of the OTS. The Orders also require, among other things, that BankUnited notify the OTS prior to adding directors or senior executive officers; limit certain kinds of severance and indemnification payments; and obtain OTS approval before entering into, renewing, extending, or revising any compensatory or benefits arrangements with any director or officer.

Additionally, the Orders required the Bank to restrict or prohibit the origination of payment option adjustable rate mortgages ("option ARM loans"), prepare a plan to ensure the Bank maintains and adheres to its allowance for loan losses policies, procedures, time frames and calculation inputs; restricts assets growth; and appoint a regulatory compliance committee.

Effective April 14, 2009, the Board entered into a Stipulation and Consent to Prompt Corrective Action Directive ("PCA Directive") with the OTS. The PCA Directive addresses the Bank's failure to

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

operate under an accepted capital restoration plan and imposes various corrective measures and operational limitations mandated by statute. As of January 30, 2009, the Bank was critically undercapitalized for purposes of the Prompt Corrective Action provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act. The PCA Directive was issued when the OTS notified the Bank that its previously filed capital restoration plan was unacceptable and directs the Bank to be recapitalized by a merger with or an acquisition by another financial institution or another entity, or through the sale of all or substantially all of the Bank's assets and liabilities to another financial institution or another entity within twenty days pursuant to a written definitive agreement, which the Bank is required to execute within fifteen days of the effective date of the PCA Directive, unless such timeframes are extended in writing by the OTS.

Accounting Estimates and Assumptions

The accounting and reporting policies of the Bank and the methods of applying those policies that materially affect the accompanying consolidated financial statements conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") and where applicable to general practices in the banking industry or guidelines prescribed by regulatory agencies. The consolidated financial statements of the Bank include the accounts of BankUnited, FSB and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses and valuation and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. Management has made significant estimates in certain areas, including the determination of the allowance for loan losses, reserve for recourse liability for loans sold, valuing certain financial instruments and other assets, the valuation of mortgage servicing rights, the determination of other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale investment securities, determination of the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets and goodwill impairment. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The current economic environment has increased the degree of uncertainty inherent in those estimates and assumptions.

Financial Statement Reclassifications

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the May 21, 2009 consolidated financial statements presentation.

Fair Value Measurements

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued a new accounting standard on fair value measurements. The standard defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. The standard changed key concepts in fair value measures including the establishment of a fair value hierarchy and the concept of the most advantageous or principal market. This standard did not require any new fair value measurement. The Bank adopted this statement for its financial assets and liabilities effective October 1, 2008. The adoption of this statement did not have a material effect on the Bank's consolidated financial statements.

The Bank uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. Fair value is defined as the price that would be

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Securities available for sale and derivative instruments are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Additionally, from time to time, the Bank may be required to record other financial assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, such as impaired loans. These nonrecurring fair value adjustments typically involve application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or impairment write-downs of individual assets.

In February 2007, the FASB issued a new accounting standard on the fair value option for financial assets and financial liabilities. This standard allows the Bank an irrevocable option for measurement of eligible financial assets or financial liabilities at fair value on an instrument by instrument basis (the fair value option). Subsequent to the initial adoption of the standard, which the Bank adopted effective October 1, 2008, the Bank may elect to account for eligible financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. Such an election may be made at the time an eligible financial asset, financial liability or firm commitment is recognized or when certain specified reconsideration events occur. The Bank has not elected the fair value option for any eligible financial instrument during the period ended May 21, 2009.

A fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell the asset or transfer the liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability. The fair value hierarchy distinguishes between assumptions developed based on market data obtained from independent sources (observable inputs) and assumptions made by the Bank about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs). It is the Bank's policy to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when developing fair value measurements. Because no active market exists for a portion of the Bank's financial assets, fair value estimates are subjective in nature. Additionally, the fair value estimates do not necessarily reflect the price that the Bank might receive if it were to sell at one time its entire holding of a particular financial instrument.

Fair value is based on quoted prices in an active market when available. In certain cases where a quoted price for an asset or liability is not available, the Bank uses quoted market prices for comparable or similar securities, and when not available, uses internal valuation models to estimate its fair value. These models incorporate inputs such as forward yield curves, loan prepayment assumptions, expected loss assumptions, market volatilities and pricing spreads utilizing market-based inputs where readily available. The Bank's estimates of fair value reflect inputs and assumptions which management believes are comparable to those that would be used by other market participants. As an estimate, the fair value cannot be determined with precision and may not be realized in an actual sale or transfer of the asset or liability in a current market exchange.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash, Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") overnight deposits, federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell with original maturities of three months or less. The collateral held by the Bank for securities purchased under agreements to resell consists of the securities underlying those agreements.

The Bank must comply with Federal Reserve Board regulations requiring the maintenance of reserves against its net transaction accounts. As of May 21, 2009, cash reserves maintained by the Bank at the Federal Reserve Bank for this purpose exceeded this requirement.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Investment Securities Available for Sale

Investment securities available for sale are carried at fair value, net of unrealized gains and losses, and net of discount accretion and premium amortization computed using the level yield method. Net unrealized gains and losses are included in other comprehensive income (loss) net of applicable income taxes (benefit). Gains or losses on sales of investment and mortgage-backed securities available for sale are recognized on the specific identification basis.

The Bank reviews available for sale securities for impairment on a quarterly basis or more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that a potential loss may have occurred. An investment security is impaired if its fair value is lower than its amortized cost basis. The Bank considers many factors in determining whether the decline in fair value below amortized cost is an other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI"), including, but not limited to, adverse changes in expected cash flows, the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost, the Bank's intent and ability to hold the security for a period of time sufficient for a recovery in value and issuer-specific factors such as the issuer's financial condition, external credit ratings and general market conditions.

The Bank uses third party sources to assist in the determination of the fair value of its investment securities, which are subject to validation procedures performed by management. The third-party pricing sources use proprietary models to determine the fair value of the Bank's collateralized mortgage obligations and mortgage pass-through certificates. Management reviews and documents all assumptions used by both internal and third party sources to ensure they are market based and reflective of the structural and collateral characteristics of the respective securities.

Loans Receivable

Loans held in portfolio

The Bank's loans held in portfolio consists primarily of real estate loans collateralized by first mortgages and also includes commercial real estate, commercial land, consumer and home equity loans and lines of credit. Loans held in portfolio are loans which management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future, are considered held for investment, and, accordingly, are carried at amortized cost. The length of the foreseeable future is a management judgment which is determined based on the type of loan, asset/liability strategies, including available investment opportunities and funding sources, expected liquidity demands, long-term business strategies and current economic and market conditions. Evaluation of these factors requires a significant degree of judgment. Management's view of the foreseeable future may change based on changes in these conditions.

Mortgage loans held for sale

BankUnited originates loans that are held for sale in the secondary market to government-sponsored entities and other investors. Loans held for sale are recorded at the lower of cost or fair value, determined in the aggregate, or at fair value when they are designated as the hedged item in a hedging relationship. Origination fees and costs for loans held for sale are capitalized as part of the cost of the loan. Fair value is derived from observable current market prices, when available, and includes loan servicing value. When market data is not available, the Bank estimates fair value based on third party indications of fair value, which may also include adjustments made for specific loan

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

characteristics. Management reviews and documents all assumptions used by both internal and third party sources to ensure they are market based and reflective of the structural and collateral characteristics of the respective assets. Adjustments to reflect unrealized gains and losses resulting from changes in fair value and realized gains and losses upon ultimate sale of the loans are classified as noninterest income in the consolidated statements of operations.

BankUnited transfers certain residential mortgage loans to the held for sale classification at the lower of cost or fair value. At the time of transfer, any losses are recorded as a component of noninterest income, with subsequent losses also recorded as a component of noninterest income in the consolidated statements of operations. BankUnited may also transfer loans from held for sale to held in portfolio. At the time of transfer, any difference between the carrying amount of the loan and its outstanding principal balance is recorded as a component of noninterest income. Subsequently the discount on the loan is recognized as an adjustment to yield using the interest method. Triggers for transfer of loans to the held for sale category would include loans for which the Bank no longer had the intent or ability to hold the loans for the foreseeable future, or to maturity. Triggers for transfers to held in portfolio would include those loans that are no longer saleable due to credit, performance, or market conditions.

Non-Accrual Loans

The Bank typically classifies loans as nonaccrual when one of the following events occurs: (i) interest or principal has been in default, unless the loan is well-secured and in the process of collection; (ii) collection of recorded interest or principal is not anticipated; or (iii) income for the loan is recognized on a cash basis due to the deterioration in the financial condition of the debtor. Consumer and residential mortgage loans are typically placed on nonaccrual when payments have been in default more than 150 days. All other loans are typically placed on nonaccrual when the loans become 90 days past due, or the collection of principal or interest is deemed doubtful.

When a loan is placed on nonaccrual, unpaid interest is reversed against interest income. Interest income on nonaccrual loans, if recognized, is either recorded using the cash basis method of accounting or recognized at the end of the loan term after the principal has been reduced to zero, depending on the type of loan. If and when borrowers demonstrate the ability to repay a loan in accordance with the contractual terms of a loan classified as nonaccrual, the loan may be returned to accrual status. If a nonaccrual loan is returned to accruing status, the accrued interest at the date the loan is placed on nonaccrual status, and foregone interest during the nonaccrual period, are recorded as interest income only after all principal has been collected for commercial real estate and commercial loans. For residential mortgage loans and consumer loans, the accrued interest at the date the loan is placed on nonaccrual status, and foregone interest during the nonaccrual period, are recorded as interest income as of the date the loan no longer meets the applicable criteria.

Loans whose terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings are placed on nonaccrual status, until the Bank determines that future collection of principal and interest is reasonably assured. Generally, a nonaccrual loan that is restructured remains on nonaccrual for a period of six months to demonstrate the borrower can meet the restructured terms. Payment performance immediately prior to the restructuring may be considered when making this determination. Where the borrower of a restructured residential mortgage loan has no history of missed payments for at least six months prior to the restructuring, the loans remain on accrual status at the time of the modification.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Impaired Loans

Loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due, according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. When a loan is deemed impaired, the amount of specific allowance required is measured by a complete analysis of the most probable source of repayment, including the present value of the loan's expected future cash flows, the fair value of the underlying collateral less costs of disposition, or the loan's estimated fair value. In these measurements, the Bank uses assumptions and methodologies that are relevant to estimating the level of impairment and unrealized losses in the loan portfolio. To the extent that the data supporting such assumptions has limitations, management's judgment and experience play a key role in recording the specific allowance estimates. BankUnited generally applies cash receipts on impaired loans not performing according to contractual terms to reduce the carrying value of the loan, unless the Bank believes it will recover the remaining principal balance of the loan, in which case the Bank may recognize interest income. The Bank includes impairment losses in the allowance for loan losses through a charge to provision for loan losses.

The Bank accounts for loans as troubled debt restructurings, when due to a deterioration in a borrower's financial position, the Bank grants concessions that would not otherwise be considered. Troubled debt restructured loans are tested for impairment and where the borrower has no history of missed payments for six months prior to the restructuring, the loan remains on accrual status at the time of the modification. Other troubled debt restructured loans are placed in nonaccrual status at the time of the modifications. If borrowers perform pursuant to the modified loan terms for at least six months and the remaining loan balances are considered collectible, the loans are returned to accrual status.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The Bank's allowance for loan losses is established for both performing loans and non-performing loans. BankUnited's allowance for loan losses is established and maintained at a level management deems prudent and adequate to cover probable losses on loans based upon a periodic evaluation of current information relating to the risks inherent in BankUnited's loan portfolio. In evaluating the allowance for loan losses, management evaluates both quantitative and qualitative elements which may require the exercise of judgment. When evaluating loan loss allowances, management reviews performing and non-performing loans separately.

Additions to the allowance are made by provisions charged to current operations. The allowance is decreased by charge-offs due to losses and increased by recoveries.

For commercial loans and commercial loans secured by real estate, losses are recognized at the time they are identified. For the period ended May 21, 2009 and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, losses on one-to-four family residential loans were charged-off at the time they become 270 days past due. The amount of the loss equals the excess of the recorded investment in the loan over estimated the fair value of the collateral, less costs to sell. Previously, the Bank's policy was to recognize charge-offs as the losses on one-to-four family residential loans were identified at the

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

completion of the foreclosure process and repossession of the collateral, which could be an undetermined length of time, generally in excess of 270 days.

The Bank's policy is to fully reserve the entire balance of home equity lines when they reached 91 days delinquent, and recognize charge-offs as the losses were identified. Subsequent to September 30, 2007, the policy was revised to continue to fully reserve for loans at 91 days past due and require that loans that reach 270 days delinquent be charged-off.

Recoveries are reported at the time received, except for balances recoverable under mortgage insurance policies. Recoveries under mortgage insurance policies are recorded at the time collection of the claim from the mortgage insurance company is deemed probable. Claims are deemed probable of collection at approximately the time of repossession of the property and the filing of the claim. Recoveries under mortgage insurance policies are reported at the lesser of the amount of the loss for the related loan or the amount recoverable under the mortgage insurance policy, net of a valuation allowance for potential rejections of mortgage insurance claims.

Reserve for Recourse Liability for Loans Sold

The Bank has established a reserve for recourse liability for loans sold. The reserve is established and maintained at a level management deems prudent and adequate to cover probable losses under representations and warranties on loans securitized or sold. The reserve is based upon periodic evaluation of current information relating to the inherent risks, and takes into account historical experiences and trends, and current and projected market, industry, and economic conditions.

Unearned Discounts, Premiums, and Deferred Costs

Loan origination fees and certain direct loan origination costs are included in the carrying value of loans, and amortized over the contractual maturities of the loans as an adjustment to interest income. Prepayments of loans result in acceleration of the amortization of these items. Commitment fees and costs relating to commitments are recognized over the commitment period. If the commitment is subsequently exercised during the commitment period, the remaining unamortized commitment fee at the time of exercise is recognized over the life of the loan as an adjustment of yield.

FHLB Stock and Other Earning Assets

The Bank's investment in the stock of the FHLB Atlanta is carried at cost since these are restricted securities. Periodically and as conditions warrant, the Bank reviews its investment in FHLB stock for impairment and adjusts the carrying value of the investment if it is determined to be impaired.

Office Properties and Equipment, net

Office properties and equipment are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. Building and leasehold improvements are carried at amortized cost. The estimated useful life of newly constructed branch office buildings is 30 years. The lives of improvements to existing buildings are based on the lesser of the remaining life of the original building or the useful life of the improvement. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the expected term of the lease at inception, considering options to extend that are reasonably assured, or their useful lives, whichever is shorter.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

The estimated useful life for furniture, fixtures and equipment is 7 - 10 years, and for computer equipment and software is 3 - 5 years. Depreciation is calculated based on the straight line method using the estimated service lives of the assets. Repair and maintenance costs are charged to operations as incurred, and improvements are capitalized.

Other Real Estate Owned

Property acquired through foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure is initially recorded at estimated fair value, based on independent appraisal by third parties, less estimated costs to sell the property. Any excess of the loan balance over the fair value less estimated costs to sell the property is charged to the allowance for loan losses at the time of foreclosure. The carrying value is reviewed periodically and, when necessary, any decline in the value of the real estate less estimated cost to sell is charged to operations. Significant property improvements, which enhance the salability of the property, are capitalized to the extent that the carrying values do not exceed their estimated realizable values. Legal fees, maintenance and other direct costs of foreclosed properties are expensed as incurred. The amount the Bank ultimately recovers from foreclosed properties may differ substantially from the net carrying value of these assets because of future market factors that are beyond its control or because of changes in the Bank's strategy for sale of the properties.

Mortgage Servicing Rights and Transfers

Mortgage Servicing Rights

BankUnited recognizes mortgage servicing rights ("MSR") as an asset when it sells loans and retains the right to service those loans. The value of servicing assets is derived from estimated future revenues from contractually specified servicing fees, late charges, prepayment fees and other ancillary revenues that are expected to be more than adequate compensation to cover the costs associated with performing the service, and is generally expressed as a percent of the unpaid principal balance of the loans being serviced. Estimated future revenues are determined using the estimated future balance of the underlying mortgage loan portfolio, which, absent new purchases, declines over time from prepayments and cash flows. MSR assets are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or market and amortized in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing income. BankUnited charges impairment as a direct write-down of its MSR assets. BankUnited does not currently utilize a valuation allowance for recognizing impairment of its MSR assets. BankUnited assesses the MSR assets for impairment on a disaggregated basis by strata based on the fair value of those assets.

The estimated fair value of mortgage servicing rights is estimated using various assumptions including future cash flows, market discount rates, as well as expected prepayment rates, servicing costs and other factors. Changes in these factors could result in impairment of the servicing asset and a charge against earnings. For purposes of evaluating impairment, the Bank stratifies its mortgage servicing portfolio on the basis of certain risk characteristics, including loan type. Impairment related to mortgage servicing rights is recorded in other non-interest income. Contractually specified servicing fees, late fees and other ancillary income related to the servicing of mortgage loans are recorded in other non-interest income.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Transfers

When BankUnited sells (transfers) mortgage loans for securitization it may acquire beneficial interests in the securities created as well as the rights to service the loans underlying the securities. Gains or losses on these transactions are recognized only for the portion of securities that are not acquired by BankUnited. Expenses related to the transaction are not deferred but are included in the gain or loss calculation. The book values of securities retained by BankUnited are based on their relative fair values at the date of transfer. BankUnited classifies retained securities as available for sale in its consolidated balance sheets, which are carried at fair value. BankUnited obtains fair values of its retained securities, at both the date of securitization and at each reporting date, from independent third parties.

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired. The excess purchase price, which is related to banking acquisitions, is tested for impairment on an annual basis, or more often if events or circumstances indicate that there may be impairment. Adverse changes in the economic environment, declining operations, or other factors could result in a decline in the implied fair value.

The goodwill impairment test is performed in two phases during the fourth quarter of each fiscal year (performed as of May 21, 2009 for the period then ended). The first phase is used to identify potential impairment by comparing the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. The fair value of the reporting unit is determined based upon the present value of estimated future cash flows, using a discount rate that approximates the cost of capital in the industry in which the Bank operates. If the fair value is less than the carrying value, then the second phase is required to identify the amount of impairment by comparing the carrying amount of goodwill to its implied fair value. If the implied fair value is less than the carrying amount, a loss would be recognized in other non-interest expense to reduce the carrying amount to the implied fair value.

Performing an impairment test involves estimating the fair value of a reporting unit, which requires the Bank to make assumptions about future market conditions and its ability to perform as planned. When available, the Bank uses external data in its assumptions.

Bank Owned Life Insurance

Bank owned life insurance is carried at an amount that could be realized under the insurance contract as of the date of the consolidated balance sheets. The change in contract value is recorded as an adjustment to the premiums paid in determining the expense or income to be recognized under the contract.

Income Taxes

BankUnited and its subsidiaries, other than BU REIT, Inc., are part of the consolidated federal income tax return of BKUNA. BKUNA, BankUnited and its subsidiaries filed separate income tax returns in various state jurisdictions through fiscal year 2006. Beginning with the taxable year ended September 30, 2007, BKUNA, BankUnited and its subsidiaries filed combined state income tax returns where combined filings are required for companies that are considered to be unitary with related

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

entities. The Bank and its subsidiaries have a Tax Sharing Agreement with BKUNA, whereby the Bank pays to or receives cash from BKUNA as if the Bank filed separate tax returns. Any amount of current tax due to or receivable from BKUNA is included in their intercompany balance. Income taxes are accounted for on a separate return basis.

The Bank accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method, recording deferred tax assets and liabilities by applying federal and state statutory tax rates currently in effect to its cumulative temporary differences. Temporary differences are differences between financial statement carrying amounts and the corresponding tax bases of assets and liabilities. Under the asset and liability method, income tax expense or benefit is comprised of the current and deferred tax provisions (benefit) for the year. The current tax provision (benefit) represents amounts that are payable to or receivable from taxing authorities based on current year taxable income or loss. The deferred tax provision (benefit) reflects changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities during the year as a result of current year operations.

Generally accepted accounting principles require that when determining the need for a valuation allowance against a deferred tax asset, management must assess both positive and negative evidence with regard to the realization of the deferred tax asset. To the extent available sources of taxable income are insufficient to absorb tax losses, a valuation allowance is necessary. Sources of taxable income for this analysis include prior years' carry-backs, the expected reversals of taxable temporary differences between book and tax income, prudent and feasible tax-planning strategies, and future taxable income. A valuation allowance is recognized for a deferred tax asset if, based on the available evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. Subsequent changes in the tax laws require adjustment to these assets and liabilities with the cumulative effect included in income from continuing operations for the period in which the change was enacted. In computing the income tax provision, the Bank evaluates the technical merits of its income tax positions based on current legislative, judicial, and regulatory guidance.

The Bank recognizes a liability for uncertain tax positions. An uncertain tax position is defined as a position in a previously filed tax return or a position expected to be taken in a future tax return that is not based on clear and unambiguous tax law and which is reflected in measuring current or deferred income tax assets and liabilities for interim or annual periods. The Bank must recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more-likely-than-not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The Bank measures the tax benefits recognized based on the largest benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate resolution. The Bank recognizes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax benefits in its provision for income taxes. At May 21, 2009 and September 30, 2008 there were no significant uncertain tax positions.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Earnings (Loss) per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per share are computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings per share are based on the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period, plus the dilutive effect of securities or other contracts to issue common stock ("common share equivalents"). Common share equivalents are excluded from the computation of earnings (loss) per share in periods in which they have an anti-dilutive effect. The Bank does not have securities which qualify as common share equivalents that could potentially dilute earnings per share; therefore the weighted average number of shares used to compute basic and diluted income (loss) per share is the same.

Segment Reporting

Public companies are required to report certain financial information about significant revenue-producing segments of the business for which such information is available and utilized by the chief operating decision maker. Specific information to be reported for individual operating segments includes a measure of profit and loss, certain revenue and expense items, and total assets. As a community-oriented financial institution, substantially all of BankUnited's operations involve the delivery of loan and deposit products to customers. Management makes operating decisions and assesses performance based on an ongoing review of these banking operations, which constitute BankUnited's only operating segment.

Derivative Instruments Held for Purposes Other than Trading

BankUnited enters into derivative contracts as a means of reducing its interest rate exposures. No derivatives are held for trading purposes. At inception these contracts are evaluated in order to determine if they qualify for hedge accounting. The hedging instrument must be highly effective in achieving offsetting changes in the hedge instrument and hedged item attributable to the risk being hedged. Any ineffectiveness, which arises during the hedging relationship is recognized in non-interest expense in the period in which it arises. All derivatives are valued at fair value and included in other assets or other liabilities. For cash flow hedges, the unrealized changes in fair value to the extent effective are recognized in other comprehensive income. The fair value of cash flow hedges related to forecasted transactions is recognized in non-interest expense in the period when the forecasted transaction occurs. Any ineffectiveness related to cash flow-hedges is recorded in interest expense.

Residential mortgage loan commitments related to loans to be sold and forward sales contracts for loans to be sold are accounted for as derivatives at fair value. The commitments and forward sales contracts are recorded as either assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets with the changes in fair value recorded in non-interest expense.

Accounting Policies Recently Adopted and Pending Adoption

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, BankUnited was closed by the OTS on May 21, 2009. The impact of accounting policies pending adoption is dependent upon the method of application of those policies by New BankUnited management.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

In April 2009, the FASB issued new guidance regarding the recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairments. This guidance amends the other-than-temporary impairment guidance for debt securities to make the guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of OTTI on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. This guidance does not amend existing recognition and measurement guidance related to OTTI of equity securities.

In May 2009, the FASB issued new guidance regarding subsequent events. The new guidance establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosures of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued.

In June 2009, the FASB issued new guidance impacting transfers and servicing of financial assets. The objective of this guidance is to improve the relevance, representational faithfulness, and comparability of the information that a reporting entity provides in its financial reports about a transfer of financial assets; the effects of a transfer on its financial position, financial performance, and cash flows; and a transferor's continuing involvement in transferred financial assets. This guidance is effective for financial asset transfers occurring after December 31, 2009.

In June 2009, the FASB issued new guidance impacting consolidation of variable interest entities. The objective of this guidance is to improve financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities and to provide more relevant and reliable information to users of financial statements. This guidance was effective as of January 1, 2010.

Effective July 1, 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") established the Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC" or "Codification") as the source of authoritative GAAP for companies to use in the preparation of financial statements. The guidance contained in the Codification supersedes all existing accounting and reporting standards for public and non-public companies.

In August 2009, the FASB amended the measurement of liabilities at fair value and related disclosures. The amendment provides additional guidance on how to measure the fair value of a liability. The amendment clarifies that when estimating the fair value of a liability the entity is not required to include a separate adjustment to other inputs relating to the existence of a restriction that prevents the transfer of a liability. The amendment also clarifies that the quoted price in an active market at the measurement date of a liability when traded as an asset represents a Level 1 fair value measurements.

In September 2009, the FASB issued new guidance that creates a practical expedient to measure the fair value of an alternative investment that does not have a readily determinable fair value. This guidance also requires certain additional disclosures. This guidance was effective for interim and annual periods ending after December 15, 2009.

In February 2010, the FASB issued new guidance impacting fair value measurements and disclosures. The new guidance requires a gross presentation of purchases and sales of Level 3 activities and adds a new requirement to disclose transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 measurements. The guidance related to the transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 measurements is effective for the Bank on January 1, 2010. The guidance that requires increased disaggregation of the Level 3 activities is effective for the Bank on January 1, 2011.

In March 2010, the FASB issued new guidance impacting purchased receivables. The new guidance clarifies that a modification to a loan that is part of a pool of loans that was acquired with deteriorated

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

credit quality should not result in the removal of the loan from the pool. This guidance is effective for any modifications of loans accounted for within a pool in the first interim or annual reporting period ending after July 15, 2010.

In July 2010, the FASB issued new guidance impacting the disclosure of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses. The new guidance requires additional disclosures that will allow users to understand the nature of credit risk inherent in a company's loan portfolios, how that risk is analyzed and assessed in arriving at the allowance for credit losses, and changes and reasons for those changes in the allowance for credit losses. The new disclosures that relate to information as of the end of the reporting period is effective as of December 31, 2010, whereas the disclosures related to activity that occurred during the reporting periods is effective January 1, 2011.

Note 2 Investment Securities Available for Sale

Investment securities available for sale at May 21, 2009 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

| | May 21, 2009 | | | |
|---|----------------|------------------|-------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized | | Fair Value |
| | | Gains | Losses | |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ 35,167 | \$ 261 | \$ (5) | \$ 35,423 |
| U.S. Government agencies and sponsored enterprises mortgage-backed securities | 224,587 | 4,294 | (1,002) | 227,879 |
| Other collateralized mortgage obligations | 3,371 | | (1,586) | 1,785 |
| Mortgage pass-through certificates | 323,829 | | (93,738) | 230,091 |
| Mutual funds and preferred stocks | 18,241 | 230 | (377) | 18,094 |
| State and Municipal obligations | 22,671 | 33 | (8) | 22,696 |
| Other debt securities | 4,317 | | (1,341) | 2,976 |
| Total | \$ 632,183 | \$ 4,818 | \$ (98,057) | \$ 538,944 |

Investment securities available for sale at May 21, 2009 by contractual maturity, and adjusted for anticipated prepayments, are shown below (in thousands):

| | May 21, 2009 | |
|--|----------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 159,964 | \$ 139,782 |
| Due after one year through five years | 272,567 | 229,362 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 92,254 | 77,346 |
| Due after ten years | 89,157 | 74,360 |
| Mutual funds and preferred stock | 18,241 | 18,094 |
| Total | \$ 632,183 | \$ 538,944 |

Based on BankUnited's proprietary model and assumptions, the weighted average life of the mortgage-backed securities portfolio as of May 21, 2009 was 4.87 years. The model results are based on assumptions that may differ from the eventual outcome.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 2 Investment Securities Available for Sale (Continued)**

The Bank monitors its investment securities available for sale for OTTI. Impairment is evaluated on an individual security basis considering numerous factors, and their relative significance varies depending on the situation. The following table shows aggregate fair value and the aggregate amount by which cost exceeds fair value of investments that are in a loss position at May 21, 2009 (in thousands):

| | May 21, 2009 | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Greater | | Total | |
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Available for sale securities: | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ 5,005 | \$ (5) | \$ | \$ | \$ 5,005 | \$ (5) |
| U.S. Government agencies and sponsored enterprises mortgage-backed securities | 26,417 | (946) | 3,199 | (56) | 29,616 | (1,002) |
| Other collateralized mortgage obligations | 1,340 | (1,464) | 445 | (122) | 1,785 | (1,586) |
| Mortgage pass-through certificates | 10,123 | (8,481) | 176,440 | (85,257) | 186,563 | (93,738) |
| Mutual funds and preferred stocks | 17,307 | (377) | | | 17,307 | (377) |
| State and municipal obligations | 3,841 | (8) | | | 3,841 | (8) |
| Other debt securities | 1,676 | (1,341) | | | 1,676 | (1,341) |
| Total | \$ 65,709 | \$ (12,622) | \$ 180,084 | \$ (85,435) | \$ 245,793 | \$ (98,057) |

Management has completed an assessment of each security with unrealized losses for impairment. The following describes the basis under which the Bank has evaluated OTTI.

U.S. Government Agencies and Sponsored Enterprises Mortgage-backed Securities ("MBS"):

The unrealized losses associated with U.S. Government agencies and Sponsored Enterprises MBS are primarily driven by changes in interest rates and not due to credit losses. These securities do not have any OTTI given the explicit or implicit government guarantee. There was no OTTI as of May 21, 2009, and September 30, 2008, respectively.

Other Collateralized Mortgage Obligations ("CMO") and Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates:

These securities are assessed for impairment using a third party developed model, and proprietary behavioral assumptions using default and loss severity levels, and Voluntary Annual Prepayment Rates ("VPRs"). Based upon its assessment of the unrealized losses associated with these securities, management concluded that OTTI of \$55.6 million and \$95.1 million existed during the period ended May 21, 2009 and the year ended September 30, 2008, respectively. The Bank considers the remaining unrealized losses in this portfolio as of May 21, 2009 to be temporary.

Mutual Funds and Preferred Stock:

The Bank evaluates its investment in mutual funds for OTTI based on the quoted market value per share. The preferred stock in the investment portfolio was issued by U.S. Government sponsored

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 2 Investment Securities Available for Sale (Continued)

enterprises. Based upon its assessment of the securities, management concluded that OTTI of \$6.6 million and \$45.9 million existed during the period ended May 21, 2009, and the year ended September 30, 2008, respectively. The Bank considers the remaining decline in the value of investment securities classified as available for sale as of May 21, 2009, and September 30, 2008 to be temporary.

State and Municipal Obligations:

The unrealized losses associated with securities of State and municipal obligations are primarily driven by changes in interest rates and are not due to the credit quality of the securities. These investments are primarily investment grade. The securities were generally underwritten in accordance with the Bank's own investment standards prior to the decision to purchase, without relying on a bond issuer's guarantee in making the investment decision. These investments will continue to be monitored as part of the Bank's ongoing impairment analysis, but are expected to perform in accordance with terms, even if the rating agencies reduce the credit rating of the bond issuers. As a result, the Bank expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis of these securities.

Other Debt Securities:

These securities are assessed for impairment using a third party developed model, and proprietary behavioral assumptions using default and loss severity levels, and Voluntary Annual Prepayment Rates ("VPRs"). Based upon its assessment of the securities, management concluded that OTTI of \$6.4 million and \$1.0 million existed during the period ended May 21, 2009 and the year ended September 30, 2008, respectively.

For the remaining unrealized losses, the Bank believes that these securities will recover their losses in the foreseeable future and management has the intent and ability to hold the securities until the price recovers.

The fair values of the Bank's investment securities could decline in the future if the underlying performance of the collateral for the residential MBS or other securities deteriorate and the Bank's credit enhancement levels do not provide sufficient protection to the Bank's contractual principal and interest. As a result, there is a risk that OTTI may occur in the future.

Proceeds from sales of investment securities were \$9.8 million and \$124.4 million for the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009, and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, respectively. Realized gains from these sales were \$371.9 thousand for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008. There were no gains recognized during the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009. Realized losses from these sales were \$38.9 thousand and \$1.8 million for the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009, and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, respectively.

As part of the Bank's liquidity management strategy, the Bank pledges securities to secure borrowings from the FHLB. The Bank also pledges securities to collateralize public deposits and securities sold under agreements to repurchase and due to the Federal Reserve. The carrying value of pledged securities totaled \$474.8 million at May 21, 2009.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 3 FHLB Stock**

BankUnited, as a member institution of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta, is required to own capital stock in the FHLB. The required stock ownership is based generally on (i) membership requirement and (ii) activity based requirement related to the levels that BankUnited borrows from the FHLB. In connection therewith, the Bank held stock with the aggregate carrying value of \$243.3 million as of May 21, 2009. The stock is restricted and can only be repurchased by the FHLB. No market exists for this stock and there is no quoted market price. Redemption of FHLB stock has historically been at par value, which is BankUnited's carrying value. The redemption of any excess stock BankUnited holds is at the discretion of the FHLB.

In evaluating OTTI of the FHLB stock, the Bank considered the most recent financial results, the resumption of dividends on common stock in the second quarter of 2009 and information from credit rating agencies. Management believes that there is no OTTI in its investment in FHLB stock as of May 21, 2009.

Note 4 Loans Receivable

At May 21, 2009 loans receivable consisted of the following (amounts in thousands):

| | May 21, 2009 | |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Total | Percent of Total |
| Real Estate Loans: | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | \$ 8,993,077 | 91.9% |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 505,642 | 5.2% |
| Multi-family | 129,481 | 1.3% |
| Commercial real estate | 594,877 | 6.1% |
| Construction | 187,333 | 1.9% |
| Land | 219,736 | 2.2% |
| Total real estate loans | 10,630,146 | 108.6% |
| Other Loans: | | |
| Commercial | 181,484 | 1.9% |
| Consumer | 12,179 | 0.1% |
| Total commercial and consumer loans | 193,663 | 2.0% |
| Total loans held in portfolio | 10,823,809 | 110.6% |
| Unearned discounts, premiums and deferred costs, net | 190,406 | 1.9% |
| Allowance for loan losses | (1,227,173) | (12.5)% |
| Total loans held in portfolio, net | \$ 9,787,042 | 100.0% |

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 4 Loans Receivable (Continued)**

The following table provides a detail of loans to customers for states with balances of 4.4% of the portfolio and higher (dollars in millions):

| | May 21, 2009 | |
|------------|--------------|---------------------|
| | Amount | Percent of Total |
| Florida | \$ 6,928 | 63.7% |
| California | 723 | 6.6% |
| Arizona | 515 | 4.7% |
| Illinois | 505 | 4.6% |
| New Jersey | 480 | 4.4% |

As part of the Bank's liquidity management strategy, the Bank pledges loans to secure FHLB borrowings. Pledged loans must meet specific requirements of eligibility and the unpaid principal balance is discounted based on criteria established by the FHLB. As of May 21, 2009, the Bank had pledged real estate loans with an unpaid principal balance of approximately \$7.6 billion (\$4.6 billion in lendable collateral value) for advances from the FHLB.

The following table presents total 1-4 single family residential loans categorized between fixed rate mortgages and adjustable rate mortgages ("ARMs") as of May 21, 2009 (dollars in thousands):

| | May 21, 2009 | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Amount | Percent of Total |
| 1 - 4 single family residential loans: | | |
| Fixed rate loans | \$ 1,774,598 | 19.7% |
| Adjustable rate loans (ARM): | | |
| Monthly payment option(1) | 3,876,584 | 43.1% |
| Select-My-Payment(1) | 808,506 | 9.0% |
| Non option ARM | 2,533,389 | 28.2% |
| Total(2) | \$ 8,993,077 | 100.0% |

(1) As of May 21, 2009, payment option loans with a balance of \$3.8 billion, representing 78.9% of the payment option portfolio, were negatively amortizing and approximately \$265.3 million, or 5.6%, of the total payment option portfolio resulted from negative amortization. These loans are subject to interest rate caps.

(2) Excluding deferred costs, unearned discounts and premiums and allowance for loan losses.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 4 Loans Receivable (Continued)**

The following table summarizes changes in the allowance for loan losses for the period from October 1, 2007 through May 21, 2009 (in thousands):

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Balance as of September 30, 2007 | \$ 58,623 |
| Provision | 856,374 |
| Charge-offs | (230,309) |
| Recoveries | 31,229 |
| Balance as of September 30, 2008 | 715,917 |
| Provision | 919,139 |
| Charge-offs | (449,010) |
| Recoveries | 41,127 |
| Balance as of May 21, 2009 | \$ 1,227,173 |

The total allowance reflects management's estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio at the balance sheet date. The computation of the allowance for loan losses includes elements of judgment and high level of subjectivity. The Bank considers the allowance for loan losses to be adequate to cover credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio at May 21, 2009.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 4 Loans Receivable (Continued)**

Certain loans have been classified as impaired based on the Bank's inability to collect all amounts due under the contractual terms of the loan. The following table shows the Bank's investment in impaired and non-accrual loans as of and for the period ended May 21, 2009 (in thousands):

| | May 21, 2009 |
|---|-----------------|
| Impaired loans on non-accrual: | |
| Real Estate Loans: | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential: | |
| Payment option | \$ 1,674,325 |
| Non-payment option | 453,743 |
| Total one-to-four family(1) | 2,128,068 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 27,263 |
| Multi-family | 21,544 |
| Commercial real estate | 2,888 |
| Construction | 78,403 |
| Land | 94,493 |
| Total real estate loans | 2,352,659 |
| Other Loans: | |
| Commercial | 763 |
| Consumer | 23 |
| Total commercial and consumer loans | 786 |
| Total non-accrual loans | 2,353,445 |
| Impaired Loans and still accruing: | |
| Real Estate Loans: | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential(2) | 804,218 |
| Commercial real estate | 162,937 |
| Construction | 1,379 |
| Land | 22,780 |
| Total real estate loans | 991,314 |
| Other Loans: | |
| Commercial | 13,271 |
| Consumer | 554 |
| Total commercial and consumer loans | 13,825 |
| Other loans past due 90 days and still accruing | |
| Total non-accrual and impaired loans | \$ 3,358,584 |

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

- (1) Included in non-accrual loans at May 21, 2009 were \$154.9 million, of troubled debt restructured loans.
- (2) The amount of impaired 1-4 single family residential loans at May 21, 2009 represents troubled debt restructured loans.

F-118

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 4 Loans Receivable (Continued)**

Had loans in non-accrual status been in accrual, the Bank would have recognized additional interest income of approximately \$88.9 million and \$85.9 million for the period ended May 21, 2009 and September 30, 2008, respectively.

Interest income recognized on non-accrual loans amounted to \$6.0 million and \$32.0 million for the period ended May 21, 2009, September 30, 2008, respectively.

The following table presents information related to the Bank's impaired loans and allocated reserves as of May 21, 2009 (in thousands):

| | May 21, 2009 | |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------|
| | Outstanding Principal | Specific Reserves |
| Impaired loans with specific reserves: | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | \$ 1,464,788 | \$ 381,014 |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 12,944 | 12,944 |
| Commercial real estate | 188,373 | 133,683 |
| Commercial | 1,755 | 1,272 |
| Total | 1,667,860 | 528,913 |
| Impaired loans without specific reserves: | | |
| 1 - 4 single family residential | 1,467,498 | |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | 14,319 | |
| Commercial real estate | 196,051 | |
| Commercial | 12,279 | |
| Consumer | 577 | |
| Loans past due 90 days and still accruing | | |
| Total | 1,690,724 | |
| Total impaired loans | \$ 3,358,584 | \$ 528,913 |

Specific reserves related to troubled debt restructured loans amounted to \$56.5 million at May 21, 2009.

Loans Held For Sale

Loans held for sale are accounted for under the lower of cost or fair value method. Lower of cost or fair value adjustments are recorded in earnings under non-interest income. During the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009, the Bank transferred \$7.5 million of loans from loans held in portfolio to loans held for sale and recorded a loss of \$6 thousand, which is included in other non-interest income. During the year ended September 30, 2008 the Bank transferred \$20.0 million of loans from loans held for sale to loans held in portfolio and recorded a loss of \$2.3 million which is included in other non-interest income.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 5 Servicing and Transfers of Mortgage Loans***Servicing*

As of May 21, 2009 the Bank had mortgage servicing rights ("MSR") with a carrying amount of \$1.1 million. MSRs are included with Other Assets on the consolidated balance sheet. The Bank accounted for MSRs using the amortization method (i.e., lower of cost or fair value) with impairment recognized as a reduction to non-operating income.

On November 17, 2008, Freddie Mac notified the Bank that they were terminating the Seller/Servicer Eligibility Contract with the Bank effective as of November 17, 2008. The Bank had the right to market the servicing rights until April 2009. Since the Bank was unable to sell the servicing rights, the termination of this agreement required the Bank to write-off the recorded Freddie Mac servicing asset, which totaled \$2.3 million, at February 28, 2009. On March 17, 2009, the Bank provided to Fannie Mae a notification whereby it voluntarily terminated the Mortgage Selling and Servicing Contract between the Bank and Fannie Mae, effective as of April 1, 2009. The voluntary termination required the Bank to write-off the recorded Fannie Mae servicing asset, which totaled \$15.8 million, at February 28, 2009. The termination of these contracts is consistent with the Bank's strategy of no longer being active in the wholesale residential lending business. At May 21, 2009, the remaining carrying value of the MSR of \$1.1 million, which approximates fair value, relates primarily to the servicing of remaining private label mortgage loans.

The following table provides activity related to the Bank's MSR assets from October 1, 2007 through May 21, 2009:

| | MSR From Loan Sales | MSR Securitization | Total MSR |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Balance October 1, 2007 | \$ 17,700 | \$ 2,931 | \$ 20,631 |
| New MSR assets from loan sales | 14,885 | | 14,885 |
| MSR servicing sales | (14) | | (14) |
| Amortization of MSR assets | (4,026) | (1,365) | (5,391) |
| Impairment of MSR assets | (3,043) | | (3,043) |
| Balance September 30, 2008 | \$ 25,502 | \$ 1,566 | \$ 27,068 |
| Fair Value at September 30, 2008 | \$ 26,646 | \$ 1,973 | \$ 28,619 |
| Balance October 1, 2008 | \$ 25,502 | \$ 1,566 | \$ 27,068 |
| New MSR assets from loan sales | 668 | | 668 |
| MSR servicing sales | | | |
| Amortization of MSR assets | (1,435) | (161) | (1,596) |
| Impairment of MSR assets | (24,449) | (550) | (24,999) |
| Balance May 21, 2009 | \$ 286 | \$ 855 | \$ 1,141 |

Transfers

On September 26, 2005, the Bank sold mortgage loans for securitization to a trust ("BUMT 2005-1") in a sale transaction. The BUMT 2005-1 securities are held in a trust established by a third party for the purpose of issuing securities arising from the securitization of one-to-four family residential mortgage loans originated by the Bank. The Bank's Trust 2005-1 is not controlled by, or affiliated with the Bank or any of

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 5 Servicing and Transfers of Mortgage Loans (Continued)**

its subsidiaries. The investors and the securitization trust have no recourse to the Bank's assets for failure of debtors to pay when due.

While the Bank does not retain credit risk on the loans it has securitized, it has potential liability, under representations and warranties it made to the trust purchasing the loans. Upon securitization of the mortgage loans, the Bank acquired subordinated securities, including an interest only strip (collectively retained securities), and recognized the value of the rights to servicing the underlying loans (MSRs). The Bank has classified the retained securities as available for sale.

Considerable judgment is required to determine the fair values of the Bank's retained securities. Unlike government securities and other highly liquid investments, the precise market value of retained securities cannot be readily determined because these assets are not actively traded in stand-alone markets. Accordingly, the Bank utilizes independent third parties specializing in secondary market transactions to assist in the determination of the fair values of its retained securities through the use of discounted cash flow models. BankUnited values these securities using third party proprietary pricing models that incorporate observable and unobservable inputs. Unobservable inputs include BankUnited's expectation of projected prepayment speeds, discount rates and projected loss severity and default rates. The estimated fair value of the Bank's retained securities amounted to \$27.2 million as of May 21, 2009.

At May 21, 2009, BankUnited was servicing loans for others of approximately \$43.7 million.

Note 6 Office Properties and Equipment, net

Included in other assets are office properties and equipment, net. At May 21, 2009 office properties and equipment, net are summarized as follows (in thousands):

| | May 21, 2009 (Dollars in thousands) |
|---|---|
| Branch buildings | \$ 3,738 |
| Leasehold Improvements | 47,481 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 31,679 |
| Computer equipment and software | 38,037 |
| Total | 120,935 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (70,344) |
| Office properties and equipment, net | \$ 50,591 |

Depreciation expense was \$7.8 million and \$15.3 million, for the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009, and the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, respectively.

Total rental expense on operating leases for the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009, and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, was \$10.9 million and \$16.2 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 6 Office Properties and Equipment, net (Continued)**

The Bank and its subsidiaries lease premises and equipment under cancelable and non-cancelable leases, some of which contain renewal options under various terms. The leased properties are used primarily for banking purposes.

As of May 21, 2009, the Bank had entered into non-cancelable operating leases with approximate minimum future rentals as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| Periods Ending May 21, | |
| 2010 | \$ 12,442 |
| 2011 | 11,262 |
| 2012 | 10,039 |
| 2013 | 8,457 |
| 2014 | 6,159 |
| Thereafter through 2026 | 9,052 |
| Total | \$ 57,411 |

Note 7 Other Real Estate Owned

An analysis of other real estate owned for the period from October 1, 2007 through May 21, 2009, as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|------------------------------------|------------|
| Balance as of September 30, 2007 | \$ 27,732 |
| Transfers from loan portfolio, net | 202,520 |
| Transfers to other assets | (50) |
| Sales | (72,129) |
| Impairment | (22,749) |
| Balance as of September 30, 2008 | 135,324 |
| Transfers from loan portfolio, net | 209,694 |
| Sales | (128,597) |
| Impairment | (38,742) |
| Balance as of May 21, 2009 | \$ 177,679 |

Note 8 Deposits

At May 21, 2009, the Bank had outstanding non-interest bearing deposits of \$247.6 million and interest bearing deposits of \$8.3 billion. Deposits as of May 21, 2009 include brokered time deposits amounting to \$348.4 million.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 8 Deposits (Continued)**

The following table sets forth average amounts and weighted average rates paid on each of the Bank's deposit categories for the period ended May 21, 2009 (amounts in thousands):

| | May 21, 2009 | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | Amount | Rate |
| Transaction accounts, demand: | | |
| Non-interest bearing | \$ 282,215 | 0.00% |
| Interest bearing | 164,669 | 0.85% |
| Money market accounts | 784,043 | 3.11% |
| Savings accounts | 701,412 | 2.78% |
| Certificates of deposit | 6,611,919 | 4.04% |
| Total average deposits | \$ 8,544,258 | 3.66% |

Time deposit accounts with balances of \$100,000 or more totaled approximately \$2.8 billion at May 21, 2009, including \$865.1 million with balances of \$250,000 or more.

The following table sets forth maturities of time deposits equal to or greater than \$100,000 as of May 21, 2009 (in thousands):

| | May 21, 2009 |
|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Three months or less | \$ 826,504 |
| Over 3 through 6 months | 593,413 |
| Over 6 through 12 months | 1,070,345 |
| Over 12 through 24 months | 195,730 |
| Over 24 through 36 months | 109,398 |
| Over 36 through 48 months | 3,427 |
| Over 48 through 60 months | 526 |
| Over 60 months | |
| Total | \$ 2,799,343 |

Included in the table above are \$211.9 million of time deposits issued to the State of Florida which are collateralized by a letter of credit of \$325 million at May 21, 2009.

Interest expense on deposits for the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009, and the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, is as follows (in thousands):

| | May 21, 2009 | September 30, 2008 |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Transaction accounts | \$ 895 | \$ 2,146 |
| Money market | 15,576 | 20,017 |
| Savings accounts | 12,433 | 47,583 |
| Certificates of deposit | 170,666 | 223,109 |
| Total | \$ 199,570 | \$ 292,855 |

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 8 Deposits (Continued)**

On October 3, 2008, the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act ("EESA") of 2008 became effective. This legislation was passed in response to the financial crisis affecting the banking system and financial markets and threats to investment banks and other financial institutions. The EESA temporarily raises the basic limit on federal deposit insurance coverage from \$100,000 to \$250,000 per depositor until December 31, 2009. The legislation did not increase coverage for retirement accounts and it continues to be \$250,000.

On October 14, 2008, the FDIC announced the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program ("TLGP") to strengthen confidence and encourage liquidity in the banking system. The new program provides full deposit insurance coverage for non-interest bearing deposit transaction accounts in FDIC-insured institutions, regardless of the dollar amount. These are mainly payment- processing accounts, such as payroll accounts used by businesses, which frequently exceed the maximum limit of \$250,000.

Note 9 Securities Sold under Agreements to Repurchase

The following sets forth information concerning repurchase agreements for the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009, and the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 (amounts in thousands):

| | May 21, 2009 | September 30, 2008 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Maximum amount outstanding at any month end during the period | \$ 48,114 | \$ 177,218 |
| Average amount outstanding during the period | \$ 22,732 | \$ 114,368 |
| Weighted average interest rate for the period | 0.40% | 3.00% |

Interest expense on securities sold under agreements to repurchase aggregated \$58 thousand, \$3.4 million for the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009, and the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, respectively.

As of May 21, 2009, the Bank had pledged mortgage-backed securities with a fair value of approximately \$30.4 million for securities sold under agreements to repurchase. The agreements are overnight agreements with an average interest rate of 0.00% at May 21, 2009.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 10 FHLB Advances**

Advances from the FHLB outstanding as of May 21, 2009 incur interest and have contractual repayments as follows (amounts in thousands):

| Repayable During Period Ending May 21, | Amount | Range of Interest Rates | |
|--|---------------------|----------------------------|-------|
| 2010 | \$ 2,300,000 | 2.52% | 5.24% |
| 2011 | 605,000 | 2.47% | 4.97% |
| 2012 | 235,000 | 2.81% | 4.36% |
| 2013 | 750,000 | 3.09% | 4.83% |
| 2014 | | | |
| 2015 | 100 | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| 2016 | 364,250 | 0.00% | 4.79% |
| 2017 | | | |
| 2018 | 175,000 | 2.76% | 2.95% |
| Total Carrying Value | \$ 4,429,350 | | |

The terms of a security agreement with the FHLB include a specific assignment of collateral that requires the maintenance of qualifying first mortgage, commercial real estate loans, home equity lines of credit and mortgage-backed securities as pledged collateral with unpaid principal amounts at least equal to 100% of the FHLB advances, when discounted at various percentages of their unpaid principal balance. As of May 21, 2009 the Bank had pledged investment securities and mortgage loans with an aggregate carrying amount of approximately \$7.9 billion for advances from the FHLB.

Interest expense for FHLB Advances was \$133.8 million and \$259.0 million, for the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009, and the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, respectively.

Note 11 Derivatives and Hedging Activities

The Bank uses derivative instruments as part of its interest rate risk management activities to reduce risks associated with its loan origination and borrowing activities. Derivatives used for interest rate risk management include loan commitments and forward contracts that relate to the pricing of specific on-balance sheet instruments and forecasted transactions. The Bank recognizes all derivatives as either assets or liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets and reports them at fair value with realized and unrealized gains and losses included in either earnings or in other comprehensive income, depending on the purpose for which the derivative is held and whether the derivative qualifies for hedge accounting.

Loan Commitments

The Bank commits to originate one-to-four family residential mortgage loans with potential borrowers at specified interest rates for short periods of time, usually thirty days. If potential borrowers meet underwriting standards, these loan commitments obligate the Bank to fund the loans, but do not obligate the potential borrowers to accept the loans. If the borrowers do not allow the commitments to expire, the loans are funded, and either placed into the Bank's loan portfolio or held for sale. Based on historical experience, the interest rate environment, and the underlying loan characteristics, the Bank

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 11 Derivatives and Hedging Activities (Continued)**

estimates the amount of commitments that will ultimately become loans held for sale and accounts for those as derivatives during the commitment period. As derivatives, the changes in the fair value of the commitments are recorded in current earnings under other non-interest expense with an offset to the consolidated balance sheets in other liabilities. Fair values are based solely on the relationship of observable market interest rates and are calculated with the assistance of third parties.

Forward Sales Contracts

The Bank enters into forward sales contracts in order to economically hedge fair value exposure of loan commitments and fair value exposure to a change in interest rates of loans held for sale. Fair value changes of forward sales contracts, not eligible for hedge accounting, are recorded in earnings under non-interest expense with an offset in other liabilities. Hedge accounting was not applied to these contracts in the period from October 1, 2007 through May 21, 2009. Loans held for sale do not include any payment option loans.

Interest Rate Swaps

As of May 21, 2009 the Bank had no interest rate swap agreements outstanding.

The following table summarizes certain information with respect to the use of derivatives and their impact on the Bank's consolidated statements of operations during the period ended May 21, 2009 and the year ended September 30, 2008:

| | May 21, 2009 | September 30, 2008 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------------|
| | (in thousands) | |
| Interest Rate Swaps | | |
| Net gain (loss) recorded in non-interest income related to swaps | \$ | \$ 14 |
| Other Derivatives(1) | | |
| Gain (loss) recorded in non-interest expense related to loan commitments | \$ 183 | \$ 97 |
| Loss recorded in non-interest expense related to forward sales contracts | \$ (435) | \$ (627) |
| Total net loss recorded in earnings due to derivatives | \$ (252) | \$ (516) |

(1)

BankUnited uses other derivatives to economically hedge interest rate risk, but they do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment. As of September 30, 2008, \$16 thousand were reclassified out of other comprehensive income as a charge to expense from cash flow hedges. There were no such reclassifications for the period ended May 21, 2009.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 12 Regulatory Capital**

See Note 1 for a discussion of regulatory matters affecting regulatory capital.

On September 5, 2008, BankUnited received notification that the OTS reclassified the Bank's regulatory capital status from well-capitalized to adequately capitalized due to the deterioration in the Bank's non-traditional mortgage loan portfolio, the concentration of risk associated with that portfolio, and a resultant need for significant additional capital. As of May 21, 2009, the Bank had negative regulatory capital which created significant capital deficiencies in Tier 1 leverage, Tier 1 risk-based and total risk-based capital ratios.

No capital distributions were made by the Bank during the period ended May 21, 2009, and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008.

In the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, BKUNA, the Bank's sole shareholder at that time, contributed \$80 million, in additional capital to the Bank.

Note 13 Benefit Plans*BankUnited 401(k) Plan*

The Bank sponsors a 401(k) profit sharing plan (the "401(k) Plan") for eligible employees. Under the terms of the 401(k) Plan, eligible employees may contribute up to the limits set by law. Employees are eligible to participate in the plan after one month of service and the Bank's matching contributions begin vesting after two years of service at the rate of 25% per year up to 100% by the fifth year of service. The Bank makes matching contributions to the 401(k) Plan equal to 75% of the eligible employee pre-tax contribution up to 6% of salary. The matching contributions are made in the form of cash and allocated to the 401(k) Plan participants' investments. For the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009 and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, the Bank made matching contributions of approximately \$1.4 million and \$2.4 million, respectively.

Note 14 Income Taxes

The components of the provision (benefit) for income taxes for the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009 and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, is as follows (in thousands):

| | May 21, 2009 | September 30, 2008 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Current income tax expense (benefit): | | |
| Federal | \$ (50,306) | \$ (15,976) |
| State | | |
| Total current income tax expense (benefit): | (50,306) | (15,976) |
| Deferred income tax expense (benefit): | | |
| Federal | (382,587) | (320,645) |
| State | (19,787) | (30,890) |
| Valuation allowance | 452,680 | 273,049 |
| Total deferred income tax expense (benefit) | 50,306 | (78,486) |
| Total income tax expense (benefit) | \$ | \$ (94,462) |

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 14 Income Taxes (Continued)**

A reconciliation of the expected income tax expense (benefit) at the statutory federal income tax rate of 35% to the Bank's actual income tax expense and effective tax rate for the period from October 1, 2008 through May 21, 2009 and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, is as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | May 21, 2009 | | September 30, 2008 | |
|--|--------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| Tax expense (benefit) at federal income tax rate | \$ (431,808) | 35.0% | \$ (334,260) | 35.0% |
| Increases (decreases) resulting from: | | | | |
| State tax, net of federal benefit | (19,787) | 1.6% | (30,890) | 3.2% |
| Tax exempt income | (1,184) | 0.2% | (2,017) | 0.2% |
| Other | 99 | 0.0% | (344) | 0.1% |
| Valuation allowance | 452,680 | (36.7)% | 273,049 | (28.6)% |
| Total | \$ | 0.1% | \$ | 9.9% |

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities result from temporary differences between assets and liabilities measured for financial reporting purposes and for income tax return purposes. These assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that are currently in effect and are

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 14 Income Taxes (Continued)**

reported net in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. The significant components of the net deferred tax assets and liabilities at May 21, 2009 were as follows (in thousands):

| | May 21, 2009 |
|--|-----------------|
| Deferred tax assets: | |
| Allowance for loan losses and other reserves | \$ 521,487 |
| Impairment losses on available for sale securities | 84,822 |
| Unrealized losses in other comprehensive income | 4,043 |
| Non-accrual interest | 15,518 |
| AMT credit carryover | 3,250 |
| Impairment on other real estate owned and other expenses | |
| Reserve for recourse liability | 4,748 |
| NOL carryforward | 151,220 |
| Other | 2,182 |
| | |
| Gross deferred tax assets | 787,270 |
| Valuation allowance | (730,041) |
| | |
| Net deferred tax asset | \$ 57,229 |
| | |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | |
| Deferrals and amortization | 186 |
| Sale of mortgage servicing rights | |
| Other real estate owned expenses | 5,945 |
| Deferred REIT income | 50,783 |
| Other | 315 |
| | |
| Gross deferred liabilities | \$ 57,229 |
| | |
| Net deferred tax asset (liability) | \$ |

Realization of tax benefits for deductible temporary differences depends on having sufficient taxable income of an appropriate character within the carryforward periods. Sources of taxable income that may allow for the realization of these tax benefits include: (1) taxable income that would be available through carryback in future years, (2) future taxable income that will result from reversal of existing taxable temporary differences, (3) taxable income generated from future operations, and (4) prudent and feasible tax planning strategies.

At May 21, 2009, the Bank had deferred tax assets net of deferred tax liabilities, before valuation allowances, of \$730.0 million. The Bank's net deferred tax asset before valuation allowances resulted primarily from an increase in its allowance for loan losses and the recognition of other-than-temporary impairment losses on certain securities available for sale. At May 21, 2009, after considering all available evidence the Bank determined that it was more likely than not that only a portion of its deferred tax asset in the fiscal period will not be realized. The determination that a valuation allowance was needed was primarily based on the current level of losses the Bank is experiencing, in addition to the uncertainty with respect to its future forecasted results. As a result of this determination, the Bank recorded a valuation allowance of \$730.0 million against its net deferred tax asset at May 21, 2009.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 14 Income Taxes (Continued)

The Bank determined that it is more likely than not that it will realize \$50.3 million of its net deferred tax assets as a result of the future carryback of losses generated by the deferred tax assets that will reverse during fiscal year 2009 and will be carried back to fiscal year 2007. This carryback is expected to result in a refund of \$50.3 million of income taxes paid by the Bank in 2007.

As of May 21, 2009, the Bank had a net operating loss carryforward for Federal tax purposes of \$432.1 million which will expire in 2029. The Bank's state income tax net operating loss carryforward is approximately \$951 million which will begin to expire in 2027. The Federal and State net operating loss deferred tax asset is completely offset by a valuation allowance.

The Bank adopted the provisions of FIN 48 effective October 1, 2007. The adoption of FIN 48 did not have a material effect on the Bank's financial condition, as the Bank recognized no increase in its liability for unrecognized income tax benefits. In addition, the Bank had no liabilities recorded for unrecognized income tax benefits for fiscal year 2008. For the period ended May 21, 2009, the Bank did not have any material unrecognized income tax benefits and, accordingly, the company continued to have a zero liability balance relating to FIN 48. The Company has elected to account for any applicable interest and penalties on uncertain tax positions as a component of income tax expense.

BKUNA federal returns through 2005 have been examined by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"), and therefore, it remains subject to examination for its fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008. Generally, the state jurisdictions in which the Bank files income tax returns are subject to examination for a period of up to four years after the returns are filed.

Note 15 Commitments and Contingencies

Reserve for Recourse Liability for Loans Sold

BankUnited has sold and securitized loans (hereinafter referred to as loan sales or loans sold) without recourse to government sponsored entities and private investors. When a loan sold to an investor without recourse contains fraudulent representations, errors, omissions or negligence on the part of the seller or any party involved in the origination, including the borrower or appraiser, or a breach of other representations and warranties, the Bank may be required to repurchase the loan or indemnify the investor for losses sustained.

The estimated losses related to forecasted loan repurchase activity and make whole indemnity claims meet the criteria for accrual of a loss contingency as of September 30, 2008. Management estimated the amount of potential losses related to the Bank's recourse obligations as of September 30, 2008 based on various sensitivity analyses taking into account historical experience and trends and current and projected market, industry and economic conditions. These factors are used to develop forecasted repurchase activity and estimated severity of losses. This analysis resulted in the Bank recording a provision for recourse liability amounting to \$12.4 million during the year ended September 30, 2008, which is included in gain (loss) on sale of loans in the consolidated statement of operations. The reserve for recourse liability on loans sold is included in other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets as of May 21, 2009. The Bank accounts for loans repurchased under recourse provisions at fair value on the date of repurchase, and recognizes an adjustment to the reserve for recourse liability for any difference between the fair value of the loan and the amount due to the investor.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 15 Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)**

A summary of the activity in the reserve for the period ended May 21, 2009 and the year ended September 30, 2008 is as follows:

| | For The Period Ended May 21, 2009 | For The Year Ended September 30, 2008 |
|---|--|--|
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 8,663 | \$ |
| Provision for recourse liability | | 12,400 |
| Mark-to-market adjustment for loans repurchased | (1,635) | (3,689) |
| Make whole indemnifications | (2,786) | (48) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 4,242 | \$ 8,663 |

Financial Instruments Commitments

The Bank issues off-balance sheet financial instruments in connection with BankUnited's lending activities and to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to fund loans, lines of credit, and commercial and standby letters of credit. These commitments expose the Bank to varying degrees of credit and market risk which are essentially the same as those involved in extending loans to customers, and are subject to the Bank's credit policies. The Bank follows the same credit policies in making commitments as it does for instruments recorded on the Bank's consolidated balance sheet. Collateral is obtained based on management's assessment of the customer's credit risk. The Bank's exposure to credit loss is represented by the contractual amount of these commitments.

Total commitments at May 21, 2009 were as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|---|------------|
| Commitments to fund loans | |
| Commercial and commercial real estate | \$ 18,438 |
| Construction | 25,148 |
| Unfunded commitments under line of credit | 294,748 |
| Commercial and standby letters of credit | 27,149 |
| Total | \$ 365,483 |

Commitments to fund loans:

These are agreements to lend funds to customers as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments to fund loans generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Many of these commitments are expected to expire without being funded, and therefore the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future liquidity requirements. The Bank evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral required in connection with an extension of credit is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 15 Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

Unfunded commitments under lines of credit:

To accommodate the financial needs of customers, the Bank makes commitments under various terms to lend funds to consumers and businesses. Unfunded commitments under lines of credit include consumer, commercial and commercial real estate lines of credit to existing customers. Many of these commitments have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of these commitments are expected to expire without being funded, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future liquidity requirements. The amount of collateral obtained, if it is deemed necessary, is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer.

Commercial and standby letters of credit:

Letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those letters of credit are primarily issued to support trade transactions or guarantee arrangements. Fees collected on standby letters of credit represent the fair value of those commitments and are deferred and amortized over their term, which is typically one year or less. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. BankUnited generally holds collateral supporting those commitments if deemed necessary.

Other Commitments

Employment Agreements. The Bank has employment and change in control agreements with certain members of senior management. The employment agreements, which establish the duties and compensation of the executives, have terms ranging from one year to five years, and include specific provisions for salary, bonus, other benefits and termination payments in certain circumstances. In addition to other provisions, the change in control agreements provide for severance payments in the event of a change in control.

Operating leases. BankUnited leases premises and equipment under cancelable and non-cancelable operating leases, some of which contain renewal options under various terms.

Legal Proceedings.

BankUnited and its subsidiaries, from time to time, are involved as plaintiff or defendant in other various legal actions arising in the normal course of their businesses. While the ultimate outcome of any such proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, it is the opinion of management, based on advice of legal counsel, that no proceedings exist, either individually or in the aggregate, which, if determined adversely to BankUnited and its subsidiaries, would have a material effect on BankUnited's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

As discussed in note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the OTS seized the Bank on May 21, 2009 and appointed the FDIC as receiver. Pursuant to the terms of the Purchase and Assumption Agreement under which the New BankUnited purchased certain assets and assumed certain deposits and other liabilities of the Bank, all defensive litigation liabilities of the Bank were retained by the FDIC, as receiver, except those defensive litigation liabilities that relate to an asset

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 15 Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

purchased by New BankUnited and that are subject to a loss sharing agreement, which such liabilities were assumed by New BankUnited.

Note 16 Related Party Transactions

The Bank has a Management Agreement with BKUNA dated October 1, 2006. The Management Agreement requires that BKUNA reimburse the Bank for management and other services provided to BKUNA on a monthly basis. BKUNA paid management fees to the Bank in conjunction with the Management Agreement amounting to \$0.6 million and \$1.1 million for the period ended May 21, 2009 and for the year ended September 30, 2008, respectively. The management fees paid by BKUNA are included in non-interest income service charges in the consolidated statements of operations.

The Bank and BU Financial Services (BUFS), a wholly-owned subsidiary of BKUNA, entered into a Fee Agreement dated October 1, 2007. The Fee Agreement requires that BUFS reimburse the Bank for management and other services provided to BUFS on a monthly basis. BUFS paid management fees to the Bank in conjunction with the Fee Agreement amounting to \$1.2 million and \$4.1 million for the period ended May 21, 2009 and for the year ended September 30, 2008, respectively. The fees received are included in non-interest income service charges in the consolidated statements of operations.

The Bank has entered into a Tax Sharing Agreement with BKUNA, whereby the Bank pays to or receives cash from BKUNA as if the Bank filed separate tax returns. Any amount of current tax due to or receivable from BKUNA is included in the intercompany balance.

The consolidated balance sheet includes \$10.6 million in other assets as of May 21, 2009, related to amounts receivable from BKUNA and BUFS related to the intercompany agreements discussed above and other intercompany transaction in the ordinary course of business, including amounts related to intercompany settlement of current taxes due or payable. In addition, included in interest bearing demand deposits in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of May 21, 2009 is \$18.1 million of deposits from BKUNA and BUFS.

From time to time, the Bank makes loans in the ordinary course of business as a financial institution to directors, officers and employees of the Bank, as well as to members of their immediate families and affiliates, to the extent consistent with applicable laws and regulations. As of May 21, 2009 these loans totaled \$1.7 million.

For the period ended May 21, 2009 and the year ended September 30, 2008, the Bank retained the law firm of Camner, Lipsitz and Poller, P.A ("CLP"), as general counsel. The Bank's and BKUNA's former Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors, until October 20, 2008 is the senior managing director of CLP and one of two of the shareholders of the law firm. For the period ended May 21, 2009 and the year ended September 30, 2008, the Bank paid CLP approximately \$3.2 million and \$7.1 million, respectively, in legal fees and reimbursable expenses, related to loan closings, foreclosures, litigation, corporate and other matters.

CLP subleases approximately 2,223 square feet of office space in Coral Gables, Florida from the Bank. The sublease extends through January 31, 2014 and may be renewed for up to four additional five-year terms, subject to the Bank exercising its right to renew under the master lease. Under the terms of the sublease the minimum annual rental payments for the property is \$65.7 thousand. Payments from CLP to the Bank during the period from October 1, 2008 to May 21, 2009 and the

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 16 Related Party Transactions (Continued)

fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, totaled \$55 thousand and \$81 thousand, respectively, which included payments for tenant improvements of \$8 thousand and \$13 thousand, respectively. The Bank believes that the terms of the sublease reflect market rates comparable to those prevailing in the area for similar rental properties involving non-affiliated parties at the time the sublease was made.

For the period ended May 21, 2009 and the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, BankUnited obtained policies for directors' and officers' liability insurance, banker's blanket bond insurance, commercial multi-peril insurance, workers' compensation insurance and BankUnited's health and dental insurance through HBA Insurance Group, of which a director of the Bank, is a member of the Board of Directors and shareholder. For the period ended May 21, 2009 and the year ended September 30, 2008, the Bank paid HBA Insurance Group \$490 thousand and \$350 thousand, respectively, in commissions on premiums paid for these policies.

The Bank paid the firm of Rachlin, LLP \$10 thousand and \$75 thousand for consulting services for the period ended May 21, 2009 and the year ended September 30, 2008, respectively. The managing partner of Rachlin, LLP is a member of the Bank's Board of Directors.

Note 17 Fair Value

The Bank groups its assets and liabilities measured at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value. These levels are as follows:

Level 1 Assets or liabilities for which the identical item is traded on an active exchange, such as publicly-traded instruments or futures contracts.

Level 2 Assets and liabilities valued based on observable market data for similar instruments.

Level 3 Assets or liabilities for which significant valuation assumptions are not readily observable in the market; instruments valued based on the best available data, some of which is internally-developed, and considers risk premiums that a market participant would require. Valuation techniques include use of option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques.

In instances where there is limited or no observable market data, fair value measurements for assets and liabilities are based primarily upon the Bank's own estimates or combination of such estimates and independent vendor or broker pricing. When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities and the related fair value hierarchy, the Bank considers the principal or most advantageous market in which it would transact and considers assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability (observable inputs). When possible, the Bank looks to active and observable markets to price identical assets or liabilities and when identical assets and liabilities are not traded in active markets, the Bank looks to market observable data for similar assets and liabilities. It is the Bank's policy to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. Unobservable inputs are only used to measure fair value to the extent that observable inputs are not available. The need to use unobservable inputs generally results from the lack of market liquidity, resulting in diminished observability of both actual trades and assumptions that would otherwise be available to value these instruments, or the value of the underlying collateral is not market observable. Although third party price indications may be available for a security, limited trading activity would make it difficult to support the observability of these quotations.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 17 Fair Value (Continued)**

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, as well as the general classification of each instrument under the valuation hierarchy.

Assets and Liabilities Carried at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Investment securities available for sale Investment securities available-for-sale are carried at fair value on a recurring basis. When available, fair value is based on quoted prices in an active market and as such would be classified as Level 1 (e.g., U.S. Government agencies and sponsored enterprises securities, preferred stock of U.S. Government agencies and mutual funds). If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are estimated using quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, discounted cash flows or other pricing models. Investment securities available for sale that the Bank classifies as Level 2 include U.S. Government agencies mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations, preferred stock of other issuers and State and municipal obligations. All other investment securities available for sale are classified as Level 3 and include private label mortgage pass-through certificates, collateralized debt obligations and other debt securities, for which fair value estimation requires the use of unobservable inputs. The Bank values these securities using third party proprietary pricing models that incorporate observable and unobservable inputs.

The following table presents the financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of May 21, 2009 on the consolidated balance sheet utilizing the hierarchy discussed above (in thousands):

| | May 21, 2009 | | | |
|---|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Investment Securities Available for Sale: | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ 35,423 | \$ | \$ | \$ 35,423 |
| U.S. Government agencies and sponsored enterprises mortgage-backed securities | | 227,879 | | 227,879 |
| Other collateralized mortgage obligations | | | 1,785 | 1,785 |
| Mortgage pass-through certificates | | | 230,091 | 230,091 |
| Mutual funds and preferred stocks | 17,981 | 113 | | 18,094 |
| State and municipal obligations | | 22,446 | 250 | 22,696 |
| Other debt securities | | 1,300 | 1,676 | 2,976 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$ 53,404 | \$ 251,738 | \$ 233,802 | \$ 538,944 |

The following table identifies changes in Level 3 financial instruments that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of May 21, 2009. Level 3 financial instruments typically include unobservable components, but may also include some observable components that may be validated to

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 17 Fair Value (Continued)**

external sources. The gains or losses in the following table may include changes to fair value due in part to unobservable factors that may be part of the valuation methodology (in thousands):

| | Other Collateralized Mortgages Obligations | Mortgages Pass-through Certificates | State and Municipal obligations | Other Debt Securities |
|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| Balance September 30, 2008 | \$ 3,463 | \$ 394,321 | \$ 250 | \$ 6,490 |
| Total net gains (losses) for the year included in: | | | | |
| Other comprehensive income | (1,554) | (57,543) | (0) | (80) |
| Purchases, sales or settlements, net | (124) | (106,687) | (0) | (4,734) |
| Balance May 21, 2009 | \$ 1,785 | \$ 230,091 | \$ 250 | \$ 1,676 |

Financial Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis

Loans are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral. Fair value of the loan collateral is primarily determined using estimates which generally use the market and income approach valuation technique and use observable market data to formulate an opinion of the estimated fair value. When current appraisals are not available, the Bank uses its judgment regarding changes in market conditions, based on observable market inputs, to adjust the latest appraised value available. As a result, the estimated fair value is considered Level 3.

Table of Contents**BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 17 Fair Value (Continued)***Fair Value of Financial Instruments*

The following table presents the carrying value and fair value of financial instruments as of May 21, 2009:

| | May 21, 2009 | |
|--|-------------------|---------------|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| Financial Instruments: | | |
| Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 1,143,280 | \$ 1,143,280 |
| Investment securities available for sale, at fair value | 538,944 | 538,944 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 243,334 | 243,334 |
| Loans held for sale | 788 | 788 |
| Loans held in portfolio, net | 9,787,042 | 5,010,328 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 129,111 | 129,111 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 43,310 | 43,310 |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Demand deposits, savings, money market and certificates of deposit | 8,555,907 | 8,664,473 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 1,310 | 1,310 |
| Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank | 4,429,350 | 4,630,614 |
| Accrued interest payable | 52,283 | 52,283 |
| Income taxes payable | | |
| Advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance | 52,362 | 52,362 |
| Other liabilities | 58,623 | 58,623 |

Derivative instruments

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments not carried at fair value on recurring basis:

Certain financial instruments are carried at amounts that approximate fair value, due to their short-term nature or their generally negligible credit risk. The Bank's financial instruments for which fair value approximates the carrying amount at May 21, 2009 include cash and cash equivalents, FHLB stock, accrued interest receivable, Bank owned life insurance, demand deposits, savings and money market accounts, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, income taxes payable, advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance and other liabilities.

Loans receivable

Fair values for all performing loans are estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis, utilizing interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality.

Bank Owned Life Insurance The estimated fair value of Bank Owned Life Insurance is based on the cash surrender value.

Table of Contents

BANKUNITED, FSB AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 17 Fair Value (Continued)

Deposits:

The fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts and money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed- maturity certificates of deposit are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

FHLB advances:

The fair value of the borrowings is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rate at which similar borrowings with similar remaining maturities could be made.

F-138

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

None.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management's report set forth on page F-2 is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Information regarding the directors and executive officers of BankUnited, Inc. and information regarding Section 16(a) compliance, the Audit Committee, the Company's code of ethics, background of the directors and director nominations appearing under the captions "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance," "Committees of the Board of Directors," "Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Conduct and Code of Ethics," "Director Nominating Process and Diversity" and "Election of Directors" in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders is hereby incorporated by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Executive Compensation

For purposes of Item 402 of Regulation S-K, the "named executive officers" of BankUnited, Inc. for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 are John A. Kanas, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer; John Bohlsen, Vice Chairman and Chief Lending Officer; Douglas J. Pauls, Chief Financial Officer; Rajinder P. Singh, Chief Operating Officer; and Randy R. Melby, Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer at BankUnited.

Information appearing under the captions "Director Compensation" and "Executive Compensation" in the 2012 Proxy Statement (other than the "Compensation Committee Report," which is deemed furnished herein by reference) is hereby incorporated by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Information setting forth the security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management appearing under the caption "Beneficial Ownership of the Company's Common Stock" and information in the "Equity Compensation Plans" table appearing under the caption "Equity Compensation Plans" in the 2012 Proxy Statement is hereby incorporated by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Information regarding certain related transactions appearing under the captions "Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions" and information regarding director independence appearing under the caption "Director Independence" in the 2012 Proxy Statement is hereby incorporated by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Information appearing under the captions "Auditor Fees and Services" and "Policy for Approval of Audit and Permitted Non-Audit Services" in the 2012 Proxy Statement is hereby incorporated by reference.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a)

List of documents filed as part of this report:

1)

Consolidated Financial Statements and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

See Index on page F-1.

2)

Financial Statement Schedules:

Financial statement schedules are omitted as not required or not applicable or because the information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or notes thereto.

3)

List of Exhibits:

The exhibit list in the Exhibit Index is incorporated herein by reference as the list of exhibits required as part of this report.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused the report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 28, 2012

BANKUNITED, INC.

By: /s/ JOHN A. KANAS

Name: John A. Kanas
 Title: *Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer*

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|---|--|-------------------|
| <u>/s/ JOHN A. KANAS</u> John A. Kanas | Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) | February 28, 2012 |
| <u>/s/ DOUGLAS J. PAULS</u> Douglas J. Pauls | Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) | February 28, 2012 |
| <u>/s/ JOHN BOHLSSEN</u> John Bohlsen | Vice Chairman, Chief Lending Officer and Director | February 28, 2012 |
| <u>/s/ CHINH E. CHU</u> Chinh E. Chu | Director | February 28, 2012 |
| <u>/s/ SUE M. COBB</u> Ambassador Sue M. Cobb | Director | February 28, 2012 |
| <u>/s/ EUGENE F. DEMARK</u> Eugene F. DeMark | Director | February 28, 2012 |
| <u>/s/ RICHARD S. LEFRAK</u> Richard S. LeFrak | Director | February 28, 2012 |
| <u>/s/ WILBUR L. ROSS, JR.</u> Wilbur L. Ross, Jr. | Director | February 28, 2012 |
| <u>/s/ PIERRE OLIVIER SARKOZY</u> Pierre Olivier Sarkozy | Director | February 28, 2012 |

/s/ LANCE N. WEST

Director

February 28, 2012

Lance N. West

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit Number | Description | Location |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| 2.1a | Purchase and Assumption Agreement, dated as of May 21, 2009, among the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Receiver of BankUnited, FSB, Coral Gables, Florida, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and BankUnited (Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement and Commercial and Other Shared-Loss Agreement included as Exhibits 4.15A and 4.15B thereto, respectively) | Exhibit 2.1a to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed January 18, 2011 |
| 2.1b | Addendum to Purchase and Assumption Agreement, dated as of May 21, 2009, by and among the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Receiver of BankUnited, FSB, Coral Gables, Florida, BankUnited, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation | Exhibit 2.1b to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed January 10, 2011 |
| 2.1c | Amendment No. 1 to the BankUnited Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement with the FDIC, dated as of November 2, 2010 | Exhibit 2.1c to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed January 18, 2011 |
| 2.1d | Amendment No. 2 the BankUnited Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement with the FDIC, dated as of December 22, 2010 | Exhibit 2.1d to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed January 18, 2011 |
| 2.2a | Merger Agreement, dated as of June 2, 2011, by and between BankUnited, Inc. and Herald National Bank | Exhibit 2.1a to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of BankUnited, Inc. filed November 28, 2011 |
| 2.2b | Amendment No. 1 to the Merger Agreement, dated as of October 28, 2011, by and between BankUnited, Inc. and Herald National Bank | Exhibit 2.1b to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of BankUnited, Inc. filed November 28, 2011 |
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation | Exhibit 3.1 of BankUnited, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed March 31, 2011 |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated By-Laws | Exhibit 3.2 of BankUnited, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed March 31, 2011 |
| 4.1 | Specimen common stock certificate | Exhibit 4.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed January 18, 2011 |

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

| Exhibit Number | Description | Location |
|----------------|--|--|
| 10.1a | Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of BU Financial Holdings LLC, dated as of May 21, 2009, by and among John A. Kanas, Rajinder P. Singh, John N. DiGiacomo, John Bohlsen and the other parties listed on Schedule A thereto (Schedule A as of January 15, 2011) | Exhibit 10.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company dated January 18, 2011 |
| 10.1b | Joinders to the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement | Exhibit 10.1b to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed January 24, 2011 |
| 10.2a | Employment Agreement, dated August 18, 2010, among BU Financial Holdings LLC, BankUnited, Inc. (formerly known as BU Financial Corporation) and John A. Kanas | Exhibit 10.2a to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed December 16, 2010 |
| 10.2b | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated August 18, 2010, between BankUnited, a federally chartered thrift institution and John A. Kanas | Exhibit 10.2b to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed December 16, 2010 |
| 10.3a | Employment Agreement, dated August 18, 2010, among BU Financial Holdings LLC, BankUnited, Inc. (formerly known as BU Financial Corporation) and John Bohlsen | Exhibit 10.3a to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed December 16, 2010 |
| 10.3b | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated August 18, 2010, between BankUnited, a federally chartered thrift institution and John Bohlsen | Exhibit 10.3b to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed December 16, 2010 |
| 10.4a.1 | Employment Agreement, dated August 18, 2010, among BU Financial Holdings LLC, BankUnited, Inc. (formerly known as BU Financial Corporation) and Douglas J. Pauls | Exhibit 10.4a to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed December 16, 2010 |
| 10.4a.2 | Amendment, dated May 18, 2011, between BankUnited, Inc. and Douglas J. Pauls, to the Employment Agreement, dated August 18, 2010 | Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company filed May 20, 2011 |
| 10.4b.1 | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated August 18, 2010, between BankUnited, a federally chartered thrift institution and Douglas J. Pauls | Exhibit 10.4b to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed December 16, 2010 |
| 10.4b.2 | Amendment, dated May 18, 2011, to the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated August 18, 2010, between BankUnited, a federally chartered thrift institution, and Douglas J. Pauls | Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company filed May 20, 2011 |

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

| Exhibit Number | Description | Location |
|----------------|---|--|
| 10.5a | Employment Agreement, dated August 18, 2010, among BU Financial Holdings LLC, BankUnited, Inc. (formerly known as BU Financial Corporation) and Rajinder P. Singh | Exhibit 10.5a to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed December 16, 2010 |
| 10.5b | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated August 18, 2010, between BankUnited, a federally chartered thrift institution and Rajinder P. Singh | Exhibit 10.5b to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed December 16, 2010 |
| 10.6 | BankUnited Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan | Exhibit 10.6 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed December 16, 2010 |
| 10.7 | BankUnited, Inc. (formerly known as BU Financial Corporation) 2009 Stock Option Plan | Exhibit 10.7 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed October 29, 2010 |
| 10.8 | BankUnited, Inc. 2010 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan | Exhibit 10.8 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed January 18, 2011 |
| 10.9 | Registration Rights Agreement by and among BankUnited, Inc., John A. Kanas, Rajinder P. Singh, Douglas J. Pauls and John Bohlsen, and each of the other parties thereto | Exhibit 10.9 to Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company filed March 31, 2011 |
| 10.10 | Director Nomination Agreement by and among BankUnited, Inc., John A. Kanas and the other parties thereto | Exhibit 10.10 to Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company filed March 31, 2011 |
| 10.11 | Transaction Fee Agreement, dated May 21, 2009, among BU Financial Holdings LLC, Blackstone Management Partners L.L.C., Carlyle Investment Management L.L.C., Centerbridge Advisors, LLC and WL Ross & Co. LLC | Exhibit 10.11 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed October 29, 2010 |
| 10.12 | BU Financial Holdings LLC Warrant to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation dated May 21, 2009 | Exhibit 10.12 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed December 16, 2010 |
| 10.12a | Amendment, dated February 2, 2011, to the Warrant issued by BU Financial Holdings LLC to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation on May 21, 2009 | Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company filed February 8, 2011 |
| 10.13 | Form of indemnification agreement between BankUnited, Inc. and each of its directors and executive officers | Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company filed February 16, 2011 |

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

| Exhibit Number | Description | Location |
|----------------|---|---|
| 10.14 | BankUnited, Inc. Policy on Incentive Compensation Arrangements | Exhibit 10.14 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed January 24, 2011 |
| 10.15 | Offer Letter to Randy R. Melby dated September 28, 2009 | Exhibit 10.15 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of the Company filed January 27, 2011 |
| 10.16 | Voting Agreement by and between BankUnited, Inc. and Scott Arnold dated as of June 2, 2011 | Exhibit 10.16 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of BankUnited, Inc. filed November 28, 2011 |
| 10.17 | Voting Agreement by and between BankUnited, Inc. and Charles Aswad dated as of June 2, 2011 | Exhibit 10.17 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of BankUnited, Inc. filed November 28, 2011 |
| 10.18 | Voting Agreement by and between BankUnited, Inc. and Michael S. Carleton dated as of June 2, 2011 | Exhibit 10.18 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of BankUnited, Inc. filed November 28, 2011 |
| 10.19 | Voting Agreement by and between BankUnited, Inc. and Justin Green dated as of June 2, 2011 | Exhibit 10.19 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of BankUnited, Inc. filed November 28, 2011 |
| 10.20 | Voting Agreement by and between BankUnited, Inc. and Barry Leistner dated as of June 2, 2011 | Exhibit 10.20 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of BankUnited, Inc. filed November 28, 2011 |
| 10.21 | Voting Agreement by and between BankUnited, Inc. and Mitchel Maidman and Maidman Ventures I, LLC dated as of June 2, 2011 | Exhibit 10.21 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of BankUnited, Inc. filed November 28, 2011 |
| 10.22 | Voting Agreement by and between BankUnited, Inc. and Raymond A. Nielsen dated as of June 2, 2011 | Exhibit 10.22 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of BankUnited, Inc. filed November 28, 2011 |
| 10.23 | Voting Agreement by and between BankUnited, Inc. and Gerard A. Perri dated as of June 2, 2011 | Exhibit 10.23 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of BankUnited, Inc. filed November 28, 2011 |
| 10.24 | Voting Agreement by and between BankUnited, Inc. and Norman Schulman dated as of June 2, 2011 | Exhibit 10.24 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of BankUnited, Inc. filed November 28, 2011 |

Edgar Filing: BankUnited, Inc. - Form 10-K

| Exhibit Number | Description | Location |
|----------------|---|---|
| 10.25 | Voting Agreement by and between BankUnited, Inc. and Matthew Seiden dated as of June 2, 2011 | Exhibit 10.25 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of BankUnited, Inc. filed November 28, 2011 |
| 10.26 | Voting Agreement by and between BankUnited, Inc. and Palladium Equity Partners III, LP dated as of June 2, 2011 | Exhibit 10.26 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of BankUnited, Inc. filed November 28, 2011 |
| 10.27 | Voting Agreement by and between BankUnited, Inc., SBAV LP and SBAV GP LLC dated as of June 2, 2011 | Exhibit 10.27 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of BankUnited, Inc. filed November 28, 2011 |
| 21.1 | Subsidiaries of BankUnited, Inc. | Filed herewith |
| 23.1 | Consent of KPMG LLP | Filed herewith |
| 23.2 | Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP | Filed herewith |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer of the Company in accordance with Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer of the Company in accordance with Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer of the Company in accordance with Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith |
| 32.2 | Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer of the Company in accordance with Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith |
| 101.INS* | XBRL Instance Document | Filed herewith |
| 101.SCH* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema | Filed herewith |
| 101.CAL* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase | Filed herewith |
| 101.DEF* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase | Filed herewith |
| 101.LAB* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase | Filed herewith |
| 101.PRE* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase | Filed herewith |

Schedules and similar attachments to the Purchase and Assumption Agreement have been omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K. The registrant will furnish supplementally a copy of any omitted schedules or similar attachment to the SEC upon request.

*

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

