

NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC
Form 424B3
August 13, 2009

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3)
Registration No. 333-143710

PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT NO. 4
(To Prospectus dated May 4, 2009)

This is a prospectus supplement to our prospectus dated May 4, 2009 (the "Prospectus") relating to the resale from time to time by selling stockholders of up to 9,655,949 shares of our common stock, including shares issuable upon exercise of outstanding warrants and options. On August 13, 2009, we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The text of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is attached to and a part of this supplement.

This prospectus supplement should be read in conjunction with the Prospectus and may not be delivered or utilized without the Prospectus. This prospectus supplement is qualified by reference to the Prospectus, except to the extent that the information provided by this prospectus supplement supersedes the information contained in the Prospectus.

The securities offered by the Prospectus involve a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the "Risk Factors" referenced on page 5 of the Prospectus in determining whether to purchase the common stock.

The date of this prospectus supplement is August 13, 2009.

=====

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM _____ TO _____

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 1-14896

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

DELAWARE

11-3027591

(State or other jurisdiction of

(IRS Employer

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

445 PARK AVENUE, SUITE 1018, NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022

(Address of principal executive offices)

212-829-5770

(Registrant's Telephone Number)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T(ss.223.405) of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes [] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "Large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer [] Accelerated filer []
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)
Non-accelerated filer [] Smaller reporting company [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [] No [X]

The number of shares of Common Stock, \$.01 par value per share, outstanding as of August 12, 2009 was 24,135,557.

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.
CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS
(UNAUDITED)

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

INDEX

Page No.

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
Condensed Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2009 (unaudited) and

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

December 31, 2008.....	3
Condensed Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (unaudited).....	4
Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (unaudited).....	5
Notes to Interim Unaudited Condensed Financial Statements.....	6
Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION.....	14
Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.....	19
Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.....	20
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings.....	20
Item 1A. Risk Factors.....	22
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.....	22
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.....	22
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.....	22
Item 5. Other Information.....	23
Item 6. Exhibits.....	23
SIGNATURES	24

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.
CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS
UNAUDITED

	June 30, 2009	DECEMBER 31, 2008
	----- (UNAUDITED)	-----
ASSETS:		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,869,000	\$ 4,484,000
Royalty and Interest Receivable	71,000	78,000

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

Other current assets	34,000	71,000
	-----	-----
Total current assets	3,974,000	4,633,000
Security Deposits	6,000	6,000
Patents	95,000	100,000
	-----	-----
	\$ 4,075,000	\$ 4,739,000
	=====	=====
LIABILITIES:		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 79,000	\$ 86,000
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	111,000	251,000
	-----	-----
Total current liabilities	190,000	337,000
	-----	-----
Commitments and contingencies		
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		

Common stock - \$0.01 par value; authorized 50,000,000 shares; 24,135,557 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008	241,000	241,000
Additional paid-in capital	55,802,000	55,056,000
Accumulated deficit	(52,158,000)	(50,895,000)
	-----	-----
	3,885,000	4,402,000
	-----	-----
	\$ 4,075,000	\$ 4,739,000
	=====	=====

See notes to condensed financial statements

3

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.
CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
UNAUDITED

	THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,		SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,
	2009	2008	2009
	-----	-----	-----
Royalty Revenue	\$ 487,000	\$ 65,000	\$ 514,000
Cost of Revenue	64,000	4,000	65,000
	-----	-----	-----

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

Gross Profit	423,000	61,000	449,000
	-----	-----	-----
Operating expenses:			
General and administrative	529,000	418,000	966,000
Non Cash Compensation	191,000	73,000	746,000
	-----	-----	-----
Total Operating Expense	\$ 720,000	\$ 491,000	1,709,000
	-----	-----	-----
LOSS BEFORE INTEREST INCOME	(297,000)	(430,000)	(1,263,000)
Interest income - net	--	28,000	1,000
	-----	-----	-----
Net Loss	\$ (297,000)	\$ (402,000)	\$ (1,262,000)
	=====	=====	=====
LOSS PER COMMON SHARE: BASIC AND DILUTED	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.05)
	=====	=====	=====
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES: BASIC AND DILUTED	24,135,557	24,135,557	24,135,557
	=====	=====	=====

See notes to condensed financial statements

4

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.
CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW
UNAUDITED

	SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,	
	2009	2008
	-----	-----
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (1,262,000)	\$ (721,000)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	4,000	3,000
Non Cash Compensation	746,000	146,000
Changes in:		
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	44,000	26,000
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities	(147,000)	(242,000)
	-----	-----
Net cash used in operating activities	(615,000)	(788,000)
	-----	-----
Cash Flows from Investing Activities	--	--
	-----	-----
Cash Flows from Financing Activities	--	--

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

	-----	-----
NET INCREASES (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(615,000)	(788,000)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	4,484,000	5,928,000
	-----	-----
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$ 3,869,000	\$ 5,140,000
	=====	=====

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

Cash paid during the periods for:

Interest	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000
Taxes	\$ --	\$ --

See notes to condensed financial statements

5

NOTE A - NATURE OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

[1] BASIS OF PRESENTATION:

The accompanying condensed financial statements as of June 30, 2009 and for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008 are unaudited, but in the opinion of the management of Network-1 Security Solutions, Inc. (the "Company"), contain all adjustments consisting only of normal recurring items which the Company considers necessary for the fair presentation of the Company's financial position as of June 30, 2009, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008. The condensed financial statements included herein have been prepared in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-Q. Accordingly, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in the financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations, although management believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2008 included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for the full year.

[2] BUSINESS:

(a) The principal business of the Company is the acquisition, development, licensing and protection of its intellectual property. The Company presently owns six patents (the "Patent Portfolio") covering various telecommunications and data networking technologies including, among others, patents covering the delivery of power over Ethernet cable for the purpose of remotely powering network devices, and the transmission of audio, video and data over computer and telephony networks. The Company's strategy is to pursue licensing and strategic business alliances with companies in the industries that manufacture and sell products that make use of the technologies underlying its patents as well as with other users of the technology who benefit directly from the technology including corporate, educational and governmental entities. To date, the Company's efforts with respect to its Patent Portfolio have focused on licensing its Remote Power Patent. At least for the next twelve months, the Company does not currently anticipate licensing efforts for its other patents besides its

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

patent (U.S. Patent No. 6,218,930) covering the control of power delivery of Ethernet cables (the "Remote Power Patent"). As of June 30, 2009, the Company had entered into 6 license agreements with respect to its Remote Power Patent which, among others, included license agreements with D-Link (See Note D[2]), Microsemi Corporation (See Note D[3]) and Netgear, Inc. (See Note D[1]). The Company may seek to acquire additional patents in the future.

6

[2] BUSINESS: (CONTINUED)

(b) As reflected in the accompanying financial statements, the Company has incurred substantial losses and has experienced net cash outflows from operations for the year ended December 31, 2008 and the three and six month period ended June 30, 2009. For the year ended December 31, 2008 and the three and six month period ended June 30, 2009, the Company had revenue \$349,000, \$487,000 and \$514,000, respectively. The Company will continue to have operating losses for the foreseeable future until it is successful in licensing its patented technologies. The Company has been dependent upon equity financing to fund its operations. The Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$3,869,000 as of June 30, 2009. The Company believes its current cash position will more likely than not be sufficient to satisfy the Company's operations and capital requirements until at least December 31, 2010, although there can be no assurance that such funds will not be expended prior thereto.

[3] STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION:

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), SHARE BASED PAYMENT, or SFAS 123(R), which is a revision of Statement No. 123 ("SFAS 123") ACCOUNTING FOR STOCK BASED COMPENSATION. SFAS 123(R) supersedes Accounting Principles Board ("APB") No. 25, ACCOUNTING FOR STOCK ISSUED TO EMPLOYEES ("APB 25"), and amends Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Statement No. 95, STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS. SFAS 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values.

On January 2, 2008, the Company granted the following options: (i) 5 year options to purchase an aggregate of 150,000 shares of common stock, at an exercise price of \$1.45 per share, to its 3 outside directors, 75,000 shares of which vested on grant and 75,000 shares vest over one year in equal monthly installments, and (ii) a 5 year option to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock, at an exercise price of \$1.45 per share, granted to a consultant, which vests over a 5 year period in equal monthly installments. During the six month period ended June 30, 2008 the Company recorded non-cash compensation expense of \$24,000 for these options based on the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

On February 15, 2008, the Company also granted to another consultant a 5 year option to pursue 50,000 shares of common stock, at an exercise price of \$1.42 per share, and also granted to a new advisory board member an option to purchase 17,500 shares of common stock, at an exercise price of \$1.32 per share, which option vests on a quarterly basis. The Company recorded non-cash compensation expense of \$18,000 for these options based on the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

7

[3] STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION: (CONTINUED)

On February 28, 2008 the Company granted an additional 5 year option to its Chairman and CEO to purchase 375,000 shares of common stock, at an exercise price of \$1.32 per share, pursuant to his employment agreement. These options

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

vested in equal quarterly amounts of 93,750 shares beginning March 31, 2008 through December 31, 2008. The Company recognized non-cash compensation expense of \$96,000 for these options during the six months ended June, 2008. In addition, during the six month period ended June 30, 2009 the Company recorded non-cash compensation expense of \$8,000 for the vested portion of options granted to a consultant prior to January 1, 2008.

On March 11, 2009, the Board of Directors of the Company approved adjustments to the exercise prices and terms of certain of its outstanding options and warrants as described below and as a result, the Company recorded non-cash compensation expense of \$541,000 for the three month period ended March 31, 2009:

- (i) the exercise prices of certain outstanding compensatory options and warrants issued to officers, directors, consultants and others to purchase an aggregate of 5,029,945 shares of common stock were adjusted to an exercise price of \$0.68 per share (closing price of the Company's common stock on March 11, 2009) including options and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 4,031,195 shares held by the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and an affiliated entity, options to purchase an aggregate of 150,000 shares held by the Company's Chief Financial Officer, and options and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 300,000 shares held by two directors of the Company;
- (ii) the exercise price of outstanding warrants to purchase an aggregate of 473,750 shares of common stock (including warrants to purchase 187,500 shares owned by a principal stockholder of the Company), issued as part of the Company's private placement completed in December 2004/January 2005, which exercise price was scheduled to increase to \$2.00 per share on March 31, 2009 (from \$1.75 per share) adjusted to an exercise price of \$1.75 for the remaining exercise period of such warrants (May 21, 2010), subject to the adjustment set forth in item (iv) below;
- (iii) the exercise price of warrants to purchase an aggregate of 1,666,667 shares of common stock, (including warrants to purchase an aggregate of 1,150,001 shares owned by three principal stockholders of the Company), at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share, which warrants were issued as part of the Company's private placement completed in April 2007, were adjusted to an exercise price of \$1.75 per share for the remaining exercise period of such warrants (April 16, 2012), subject to the adjustments set forth in item (iv) below; and

8

[3] STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION: (CONTINUED)

- (iv) in the event that any holders of the above referenced outstanding warrants, issued as part of the Company's December 2004/January 2005 or the April 2007 private placements, exercise such warrants at anytime up to and including December 31, 2009, the exercise price of all such warrants shall adjust to \$1.25 per share.

On June 8, 2009, as part of the terms of the Company's new employment agreement with its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, the Board of Directors of the Company issued to the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer ten-year options to purchase 750,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$0.83 per share. The options vest in equal quarterly amounts of 62,500 shares beginning June 30, 2009 through March 31, 2012, subject to accelerated vesting upon a change of control (See Note C). During the six months ended June 30, 2009 the Company recognized non-cash compensation expense of \$37,000 for

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

these options based on the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

In addition, on June 8, 2009, as part of the terms of the Company's new employment agreement with its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, the Board of Directors extended the expiration dates for 5 years of options to purchase an aggregate of 417,500 shares (which were to expire in 2009) issued to the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive. The Company recorded non-cash compensation of \$132,000 relating to the aforementioned extension of such option expiration dates.

The fair value of each Company option grant on the date of grant is estimated using the Black Scholes option-pricing model utilizing the following weighted average assumptions:

	SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,	
	2009	2008
Risk-free interest rates	2.54 - 2.95	2.73% - 3.28%
Expected option life in years	5 - 10 yrs.	5 yrs.
Expected stock price volatility	62.04%	37.32 - 39.35%
Expected dividend yield	-0-	-0-

[4] REVENUE RECOGNITION:

The Company recognizes revenue received from the licensing of its intellectual property portfolio in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, "Revenue Recognition" ("SAB No. 104") and related authoritative pronouncements. Revenue is recognized when (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (ii) all obligations have been performed pursuant to the terms of the license agreement, (iii) amounts are fixed or determinable and (iv) collectibility of amounts is reasonably assured.

9

[5] LOSS PER SHARE:

Basic net loss per share is calculated by dividing the net loss by the weighted average number of outstanding common shares during the period. Diluted per share data includes the dilutive effects of options, warrants and convertible securities. Potential shares of 12,898,082 and 12,070,856 at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, are anti-dilutive, and are not included in the calculation of diluted loss per share. Such potential common shares reflect outstanding options and warrants.

[6] CASH EQUIVALENTS:

The Company places cash investments in high quality financial institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"). At June 30, 2009, the Company maintained cash balance of \$ -0- in excess of FDIC limits.

NOTE B - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

[1] Services Agreement:

On November 30, 2004, the Company entered into a master services agreement (the "Agreement") with ThinkFire Services USA, Ltd. ("ThinkFire") pursuant to which ThinkFire has been granted the exclusive worldwide rights (except for direct efforts by the Company and related companies) to negotiate license agreements

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

for the Remote Power Patent with respect to certain designated licensees agreed to between the parties. Either the Company or ThinkFire can terminate the Agreement upon 60 days' notice for any reason or upon 30 days' notice in the event of a material breach. The Company has agreed to pay ThinkFire a fee ranging from 5% to 20% of the royalty payments received from license agreements consummated by ThinkFire on its behalf after the Company recovers its expenses related to the litigation.

[2] AMENDED PATENT PURCHASE AGREEMENT:

On January 18, 2005, the Company and Merlot Communications, Inc. ("Merlot") amended the Patent Purchase Agreement originally entered into in November 2003 (the "Amendment") pursuant to which the Company paid an additional purchase price of \$500,000 to Merlot in consideration for the restructuring of future contingent payments to Merlot from the licensing or sale of the Patents. The Amendment provides for future contingent payments by the Company to Merlot of \$1.0 million upon achievement of \$25 million of Net Royalties (as defined), an additional \$1.0 million upon achievement of \$50 million of Net Royalties and an additional \$500,000 upon achievement of \$62.5 million of Net Royalties from licensing or sale of the patents acquired from Merlot. Certain then principal stockholders of the Company and related parties were also principal stockholders and directors of Merlot at the time of the original agreement in November 2003 and the Amendment.

10

[3] LEGAL FEES:

Dovel & Luner, LLP provides legal services to the Company with respect to the litigation commenced in February 2008 against several major data networking equipment manufacturers (See Note D[1]). The terms of the Company's agreement with Dovel & Luner, LLP provide for legal fees of a maximum aggregate cash payment of \$1.5 million plus a contingency fee of up to 24% depending upon when an outcome is achieved. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company paid Dovel & Luner, LLP cash legal fees of \$240,000 and contingency fees of approximately \$39,000.

With respect to the Company's litigation against D-Link, which was settled in May 2007 (See Note D[2]), the Company utilized the services of Blank Rome, LLP on a full contingency basis. In accordance with the Company's contingency fee agreement with Blank Rome LLP, the Company will pay legal fees to Blank Rome LLP equal to 25% of the royalty revenue received by the Company from its license agreement with D-Link after the Company recovers its expenses related to the litigation.

NOTE C - EMPLOYMENT ARRANGEMENTS AND OTHER AGREEMENTS

On June 8, 2009, the Company entered into a new Employment Agreement with Corey M. Horowitz pursuant to which he continues to serve as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer for a three year term at an annual base salary of \$375,000 (retroactive to April 1, 2009) for the first year, increasing by 5% on each of April 1, 2010 and April 1, 2011. He also receives a cash bonus of no less than \$150,000 on an annual calendar year basis (beginning with the year ended December 31, 2009) no later than January 15 of the following year, for the three year term of the agreement. In connection with the Agreement, Mr. Horowitz was issued a ten (10) year option to purchase 750,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of 0.83 per share, which vests in equal quarterly amounts of 62,500 shares beginning June 30, 2009 through March 31, 2012, subject to acceleration upon a change of control. Mr. Horowitz shall forfeit the balance

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

of unvested shares if his employment has been terminated "For Cause" (as defined) by the Company or without Good Reason (as defined) by Mr. Horowitz. In addition to the aforementioned option grant, the Company extended for an additional five (5) years the expiration dates of all options (an aggregate of 417,500 shares) expiring in the calendar year 2009 owned by Mr. Horowitz.

Under the terms of the Agreement, Mr. Horowitz is also to receive additional bonus compensation in an amount equal to 5% of the Company's royalties or other payments (exclusive of proceeds from the sale of the Company's patents which is covered below) with respect to the Company's Remote Power Patent and 12.5% of the Company's royalties and other payments with respect to the Company's other patents besides the Remote Power Patent (the "Additional Patents") (all before deduction of payments to third parties including, but not limited to, legal fees and expenses and third party license fees) actually received from licensing its patented technologies (including patents owned as of the date of the Agreement and acquired or licensed on an exclusive basis during the period in which Mr. Horowitz continues to serve as an executive officer of the Company) (the "Royalty Bonus Compensation"). In addition, during the term of his employment, Mr. Horowitz shall also be entitled to additional bonus compensation equal to (i) 5% of the gross proceeds from the sale of the Company's Remote Power Patent and 12.5% of the gross proceeds from the sale of

11

NOTE C - EMPLOYMENT

ARRANGEMENTS AND OTHER AGREEMENTS: (CONTINUED)

the Additional Patents, and (ii) 5% of the gross proceeds from the merger of the Company with or into another entity. The Royalty Bonus Compensation shall continue to be paid to Mr. Horowitz for the life of each of the Company's patents with respect to licenses entered into with third parties during Mr. Horowitz's term of employment or at anytime thereafter, whether Mr. Horowitz is employed by the Company or not; provided, that, Mr. Horowitz's employment has not been terminated by the Company "For Cause" (as defined) or terminated by Mr. Horowitz without "Good Reason" (as defined). In the event that Mr. Horowitz's employment is terminated by the Company "Other Than For Cause" (as defined) or by Mr. Horowitz for "Good Reason" (as defined), Mr. Horowitz shall also be entitled to (i) a lump sum severance payment of 12 months base salary, (ii) the minimum annual bonus of \$150,000 and (iii) accelerated vesting of all unvested options and warrants. In connection with the Agreement, Mr. Horowitz has agreed not to compete with the Company as follows: (i) during the term of the agreement and for a period of 12 months thereafter if his employment is terminated "Other Than For Cause" (as defined) provided he is paid his 12 month base salary severance amount and (ii) for a period of two years from the termination date, if terminated "For Cause" by the Company or "Without Good Reason" by Mr. Horowitz.

NOTE D - LITIGATION

[1] In February 2008, the Company commenced litigation against several major data networking equipment manufacturers in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division, for infringement of the Company's Remote Power Patent. The complaint named as defendants Cisco Systems, Inc., Cisco Linksys, LLC, Enterasys Networks, Inc., 3COM Corporation, Inc., Extreme Networks, Inc., Foundry Networks, Inc., Netgear, Inc. and Adtran, Inc. The Company seeks injunctive relief and monetary damages for infringement based upon reasonable royalties as well as treble damages for the defendants continued willful infringement of the Remote Power Patent. The Defendants, in their answers to the complaint, asserted that they do not infringe any valid claim of the Remote Power Patent, and further asserted that, based on several different theories, the patent claims are invalid or unenforceable. In addition to these

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

defenses, the defendants also asserted counterclaims for, among other things, non-infringement, invalidity, and unenforceability of the Remote Power Patent. A Markman hearing, a hearing on claim construction of our Remote Power Patent, is currently scheduled for December, 2009 and a trial date has been set for July, 2010. In the event that the court determines that the Remote Power Patent is not valid or enforceable, and/or that the defendants do not infringe, any such determination would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

In May 2009 the Company settled the above referenced litigation with respect to Netgear, Inc. ("Netgear"). As part of the settlement and under the Company's Special Licensing Program, Netgear entered into a license agreement with the Company for the Remote Power Patent, effective April 1, 2009. Under the terms of the license, Netgear will license the Remote Power Patent for its full term which expires in March 2020, and pay quarterly royalties (beginning as of April 1, 2009) based on its sales of Power over Ethernet products, including those PoE products which comply with the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers 802.3af and 802.3at Standards. Licensed products include Netgear's Power over Ethernet enabled switches and wireless access points. The royalty rates included in the license are 1.7% of the sales price of Power Sourcing Equipment, which includes Ethernet switches, and 2% of the sales price of Powered Devices, which includes wireless

12

NOTE D - LITIGATION: (CONTINUED)

access points. The royalty rates are subject to adjustment, under certain circumstances, if Network-1 grants a license to other licensees with lower royalty rates and Netgear is able to and agrees to assume all material terms and conditions of such other license. In addition, Netgear paid the Company \$350,000 upon the signing of the license agreement.

[2] In August 2005, the Company commenced patent litigation against D-Link Corporation and D-Link Systems, Incorporated (collectively "D-Link") in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Tyler division (Civil Action No. 6:05W291), for infringement of the Company's Remote Power Patent (the "D-Link Litigation"). The complaint sought, among other things, a judgment that the Company's Remote Power Patent is enforceable and has been infringed by the defendants. The Company also sought a permanent injunction restraining the defendants from continued infringement, or active inducement of infringement by others, of the Remote Power Patent.

In August 2007, the Company finalized the settlement of the D-Link Litigation (see below). Under the terms of the settlement, D-Link entered into a license agreement for the Company's Remote Power Patent the terms of which include monthly royalty payments of 3.25% (subject to adjustment as noted below) of the net sales of D-Link Power over Ethernet products, including those products which comply with the IEEE 802.3af and 802.3at Standards, for the full term of the Remote Power Patent, which expires in March 2020. In addition, D-Link paid the Company \$100,000 upon signing of the Settlement Agreement. The royalty rate is subject to adjustment to a rate consistent with other similarly situated licensees of the Remote Power Patent based on units of shipments of licensed products. In June 2009, based upon several licenses issued to third parties under the Company's Special Licensing Program, the Company agreed in principle with D-Link to adjust the royalty rate to 1.7% of the sales price for Power Servicing Equipment (which includes Ethernet switches) and 2.0% of the sales price for Powered Devices (which includes wireless access points).

[3] On November 16, 2005 the Company entered into a Settlement Agreement with PowerDsine, Inc and PowerDsine Ltd. which dismissed, with prejudice, a civil action brought by PowerDsine in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York that sought a declaratory judgment that U.S.

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

Patent No. 6,218,930 (the "Remote Power Patent") owned by the Company was invalid and not infringed by PowerDsine and/or its customers. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Company agreed that it will not initiate litigation against PowerDsine for its sale of Power over Ethernet (PoE) integrated circuits. In addition, the Company agreed that it will not seek damages for infringement from customers that incorporate PowerDsine integrated circuit products in PoE capable Ethernet switches manufactured on or before April 30, 2006. PowerDsine agreed that it will not initiate, assist or cooperate in any legal action relating to the Remote Power Patent.

In June 2008, the Company entered into a new agreement with Microsemi Corp-Analog Mixed Signal Group Ltd ("Microsemi Analog"), previously PowerDsine Ltd, a subsidiary of Microsemi Corporation ("Microsemi"), a leading manufacturer of high performance analog mixed-signal integrated circuits and high reliability semiconductors, which, among other things, amended the prior Settlement Agreement entered into between the parties in November 2005. As part of the Company's Special Licensing Program and its agreement with Microsemi Analog entered into in June 2008, Microsemi, entered into a license agreement, dated August 13, 2008, with the Company with respect to the Remote Power Patent. The license agreement provides that Microsemi is obligated to pay the Company quarterly royalty payments of 2% of the sales price for certain of Microsemi's Midspan PoE products for the full term of the Remote Power Patent (March 2020).

13

ITEM 2: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

THIS QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q CONTAINS FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS WHICH ARE STATEMENTS THAT INCLUDE INFORMATION BASED UPON BELIEF OF OUR MANAGEMENT, AS WELL AS ASSUMPTIONS MADE BY AND INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO MANAGEMENT. STATEMENTS CONTAINING TERMS SUCH AS "BELIEVES", "EXPECTS", "ANTICIPATES", "INTENDS" OR SIMILAR WORDS ARE INTENDED TO IDENTIFY FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS. ACTUAL RESULTS, EVENTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES (INCLUDING FUTURE PERFORMANCE, RESULTS AND TRENDS) COULD DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THOSE SET FORTH IN SUCH STATEMENTS DUE TO VARIOUS RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THOSE DISCUSSED BEGINNING ON PAGES 8-14 OF OUR ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR 2008 AND AS DISCUSSED IN THIS QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q.

OVERVIEW

Our principal business is the acquisition, development, licensing and protection of our intellectual property. We presently own six patents covering various telecommunications and data networking technologies (the "Patent Portfolio") including, among others, patents covering the delivery of power over Ethernet for the purpose of remotely powering network devices, and the transmission of audio, video and data over computer and telephony networks. Our strategy is to pursue licensing and strategic business alliances with companies in the industries that manufacture and sell products that make use of the technologies underlying our patents as well as with other users of the technology who benefit directly from the technology including corporate, educational and governmental entities.

To date, our efforts with respect to our Patent Portfolio have focused on licensing our patent (U.S. Patent No. 6,218,930) covering the control of power delivery over Ethernet cables (the "Remote Power Patent"). As of June 30, 2009, we had entered into 6 license agreements with respect to our Remote Power Patent which, among others, included license agreements with D-Link, Microsemi Corporation and Netgear, Inc. (See Note [D] to our financial statements included as part of this quarterly report). During the next 12 months we do not presently anticipate licensing efforts for our other patents besides our Remote Power Patent. We may seek to acquire additional patents in the future.

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

To date we have incurred significant losses and at June 30, 2009 had an accumulated deficit of \$(52,158,000). For the year ended December 31, 2008 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we incurred net losses of \$(1,618,000), \$(297,000) and \$(1,262,000), respectively. We anticipate that we will continue to incur losses until we enter into additional license agreements with respect to our patented technologies or achieve material additional revenue from our existing license agreements. We achieved revenue of \$349,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008 and \$514,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009

14

with respect to royalties pertaining to our Remote Power Patent. Our inability to consummate additional material license agreements or achieve material additional revenue from our existing license agreements would have a material adverse effect on our operations.

Our success and ability to generate revenue is largely dependent on our ability to consummate licensing arrangements with third parties. In November 2004, we entered into an agreement with ThinkFire Services USA, Ltd. ("ThinkFire") pursuant to which ThinkFire has been granted the exclusive worldwide rights to negotiate license agreements for our Remote Power Patent with certain agreed-upon potential licensees. We agreed to pay ThinkFire a fee ranging from 5% to 20% of the royalty payments received from license agreements consummated by ThinkFire on our behalf after we recover our expenses.

In February 2008, we commenced litigation against eight major data networking equipment manufacturers in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division, for infringement of our Remote Power Patent. The complaint named as defendants Cisco Systems, Inc., Cisco Linksys, LLC, Enterasys Networks, Inc., 3COM Corporation, Inc., Extreme Networks, Inc., Foundry Networks, Inc., Netgear, Inc. and Adtran, Inc. We seek injunctive relief and monetary damages for infringement based upon reasonable royalties as well as treble damages for the defendant's continued willful infringement of our Remote Power Patent. All of the defendants answered the complaint and asserted that they do not infringe any valid claim of our Remote Power Patent, and further asserted that, based on several different theories, the patent claims are invalid or unenforceable. In addition to these defenses, the defendants also asserted counterclaims for, among other things, non-infringement, invalidity, and unenforceability of our Remote Power Patent. A Markman hearing, a hearing on claim construction of our Remote Power Patent, is currently scheduled for December, 2009 and a trial date has been set for July, 2010. In the event that the Court determines that our Remote Power Patent is not valid or enforceable, and/or that the defendants do not infringe, any such determination would have a material adverse effect on us.

On May 29, 2009 we announced that we had agreed to settle this litigation with respect to Netgear, Inc. ("Netgear"). As part of the settlement and under our Special Licensing Program, Netgear entered into a license agreement with us for our Remote Power Patent. Under the terms of the license, Netgear will license the Remote Power Patent for its full term (which expires in March 2020), and pay quarterly royalties (beginning as of April 1, 2009) based on its sales of Power over Ethernet products, including those Power over Ethernet products which comply with the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers 802.3af and 802.3at Standards. Licensed products include Netgear's Power over Ethernet enabled switches and wireless access points. The royalty rates included in the license are 1.7% of the sales price of Power Sourcing Equipment, which includes Ethernet switches, and 2% of the sales price of Powered Devices, which includes wireless access points. The royalty rates are subject to adjustment, under certain circumstances, if we grant a license to other licensees with lower royalty rates and Netgear is able to and agrees to assume all material terms and conditions of the other license. In addition,

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

Netgear made a payment to us of \$350,000 with respect to the settlement.

In August 2007 we finalized the settlement of our patent litigation against D-Link in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division, for infringement of our Remote Power Patent (U.S. Patent No. 6,218,930). Under the terms of the settlement, D-Link licenses our Remote

15

Power Patent the terms of which include monthly royalty payments of 3.25% (as adjusted as noted below) of the net sales of D-Link branded Power over Ethernet products, including those products which comply with the IEEE 802.3af and 802.3at Standards, for the full life of our Remote Power Patent, which expires in March 2020. The royalty rate is subject to adjustment to a rate consistent with other similarly situated licensees of our Remote Power Patent based on units of shipments of licensed products. In addition, D-Link paid us \$100,000 upon signing the settlement agreement. In June 2009, based upon several licenses issued to third parties under our Special Licensing Program, we agreed in principle with D-Link to adjust the royalty rate to 1.7% of the sales price for Power Servicing Equipment (which includes Ethernet switches) and 2.0% of the sales price for Powered Devices (which includes wireless access points).

As part of our Special Licensing Program and our agreement with Microsemi Corp-Analog Mixed Signal Group Ltd. ("Microsemi-Analog") entered into in June 2008, Microsemi Corporation ("Microsemi"), the parent company of Microsemi-Analog, entered into a license agreement, dated August 13, 2008, with us with respect to the Remote Power Patent. The license agreement provides that Microsemi is obligated to pay us quarterly royalty payments of 2% of the sales price for certain of Microsemi's Midspan PoE products for the full term of the Remote Power Patent (through March 2020).

Notwithstanding our license agreements, including those with D-Link, Microsemi and Netgear described above, there is no assurance that we will achieve significant royalty revenue from such licenses, that we will be able to achieve additional material license agreements with third parties relating to our Remote Power Patent or our other patents, or that such license arrangements will result in material revenue to us.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

We had revenue of \$487,000 and \$65,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, which were related to the receipt of royalties from licensees of our Remote Power Patent. The increased revenue of \$422,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the three month period ended June 30, 2008 was due primarily to the \$350,000 upfront payment from the settlement of our litigation with Netgear, Inc. and additional licensees of our Remote Power Patent.

We had a cost of revenue of \$64,000 and \$4,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, which increase of \$62,000 was related to the payment of additional bonus compensation to our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to his employment agreement, and contingent legal fees paid to Dovel & Luner, LLP, our patent litigation counsel.

The gross profit increased \$362,000 from \$61,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2008 to \$423,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Such increased gross profit was the result of increased revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2009 due to the \$350,000 payment from settlement of our litigation with Netgear, Inc. and additional licensees of our Remote Power

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

Patent.

16

General and administrative expenses include overhead expenses, and finance, accounting, legal and other professional services incurred by us. General and administrative expenses increased by \$111,000, from \$418,000 the three months ended June 30, 2008 to \$529,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 due primarily to increased fees and expenses with respect to litigation involving our Remote Power Patent.

We incurred an operating loss of (\$297,000) for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared with an operating loss of (\$430,000) for the three months ended June 30, 2008. Included in the operating loss for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was \$191,000 in charges relating to non-cash compensation expenses, primarily related to the issuance of options to our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and the extension of expiration dates of options held by our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer in accordance with his new employment agreement, as compared to \$73,000 for such non-cash compensation expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2008.

No provision for or benefit from federal, state or local income taxes was recorded for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008 because we incurred net operating losses and fully reserved our deferred tax assets as their future realization could not be determined.

As a result of the foregoing, we incurred a net loss of \$(297,000) for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared with a net loss of \$(402,000) for the three months ended June 30, 2008.

SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009 COMPARED TO SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

We had revenue of \$514,000 and \$134,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, which were related to the receipt of royalties from licensees of our Remote Power Patent. The revenue increase of \$380,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the six month period ended June 30, 2008 was due primarily to the \$350,000 payment from the settlement of our litigation with Netgear, Inc.

We had a cost of royalties of \$65,000 and \$7,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, which increase of \$58,000 was related to additional bonus compensation payable to our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to his employment agreement, and contingent legal fees paid to Dovel & Luner, our patent litigation counsel.

The gross profit for the six months ended June 30, 2009 increased \$322,000 from \$127,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$449,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Such increased gross profit was the result of increased revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2009 due primarily to the \$350,000 upfront payment from settlement of our litigation with Netgear, Inc.

General and administrative expenses include overhead expenses, and finance, accounting, legal and other professional services incurred by us. General and administrative expenses increased by \$196,000 from \$770,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$966,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 due primarily to increased fees and expenses from our patent litigation.

17

We incurred an operating loss of (\$1,263,000) for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared with an operating loss of (\$789,000) for the six months ended June 30, 2008. Included in the operating loss for the six months ended June 30, 2008 was \$746,000 in charges relating to non-cash compensation expenses

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

primarily related to the adjustment of exercise prices for outstanding options and warrants (see Note A[3] to our financial statements included in this quarterly report) as compared to \$146,000 for such non-cash compensation expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2008. These losses were offset by interest earned of only \$1,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared with \$68,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008.

No provision for or benefit from federal, state or local income taxes was recorded for six months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008 because we incurred net operating losses and fully reserved our deferred tax assets as their future realization could not be determined.

As a result of the foregoing, we incurred a net loss of \$(1,262,000) for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared with a net loss of \$(721,000) for the six months ended June 30, 2008.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We have financed our operations primarily from the sale of equity securities and royalty revenue from licensing our Remote Power Patent. We anticipate, based on currently proposed plans and assumptions, relating to our operations, that our cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$3,869,000 as of June 30, 2009 will more likely than not be sufficient to satisfy our operations and capital requirements until at least December 31, 2010. There can be no assurance, however, that such funds will not be expended prior thereto. In the event our plans change, or our assumptions change, or prove to be inaccurate (due to unanticipated expenses, difficulties, delays or otherwise), we may have insufficient funds to support our operations prior to December 31, 2010. Our inability to consummate additional material licensing arrangements with respect to our Remote Power Patent and generate revenues therefrom, achieve a material increase in revenue from our existing licenses or obtain additional financing when needed, would have a material adverse effect on our company, requiring us to curtail or cease operations. In addition, any equity financing may involve substantial dilution to our current stockholders.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

We do not have any long-term debt, capital lease obligations, operating lease obligations, purchase obligations or other long-term liabilities.

18

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

Patents:

The Company owns a patent portfolio that relates to various telecommunications and data networking technologies. The Company capitalizes the costs associated with acquisition, registration and maintenance of the patents and amortizes these assets over their remaining useful lives on a straight-line basis. Any further payments made to maintain or develop the patents would be capitalized and amortized over the balance of the useful life for the patents.

Impairment of long-lived assets:

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets", we record impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations or expected to be

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

disposed of when indicators of impairment exist and the cash flows expected to be derived from those assets are less than carrying amounts of those assets.

Use of estimates:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

ITEM 3: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Not Applicable.

19

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have reviewed our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based upon this review, these officers concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) Changes in Internal Controls

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1: LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Pending Litigation Against Major Data Networking Equipment Manufacturers

In February 2008, we commenced litigation against eight major data networking equipment manufacturers in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division, for infringement of our Remote Power Patent. The complaint named as defendants Cisco Systems, Inc., Cisco Linksys, LLC, Enterasys Networks, Inc., 3COM Corporation, Inc., Extreme Networks, Inc., Foundry Networks, Inc., Netgear, Inc. and Adtran, Inc. We seek injunctive relief

and monetary damages for infringement based upon reasonable royalties as well as treble damages for the defendants' continued willful infringement of our Remote Power Patent. The defendants, in their answers to our complaint, asserted that they do not infringe any valid claim of our Remote Power Patent, and further asserted that, based on several different theories, the patent claims are invalid or unenforceable. In addition to these defenses, the defendants also asserted counterclaims for, among other things, non-infringement, invalidity, and unenforceability of our Remote Power Patent. A Markman hearing, a hearing on claim construction of our Remote Power Patent, is scheduled for December, 2009 and a trial date has been set for July, 2010. In the event that the Court determines that our Remote Power Patent is not valid or enforceable, and/or that the defendants do not infringe, any such determination would have a material adverse effect on our company.

On May 29, 2009 we announced that we had agreed to settle this litigation with respect to Netgear, Inc. ("Netgear"). As part of the settlement and under our Special Licensing Program, Netgear entered into a license agreement with us for our Remote Power Patent and we agreed that all claims and counterclaims involving Netgear in the litigation would be dismissed with prejudice. Under the terms of the license, Netgear will license the Remote Power Patent for its full term (which expires in March 2020), and pay quarterly royalties (beginning as of April 1, 2009) based on its sales of Power over Ethernet products, including those Power over Ethernet products which comply with the Institute of Electrical

20

and Electronic Engineers 802.3af and 802.3at Standards. Licensed products include Netgear's Power over Ethernet enabled switches and wireless access points. The royalty rates included in the license are 1.7% of the sales price of Power Sourcing Equipment, which includes Ethernet switches, and 2% of the sales price of Powered Devices, which includes wireless access points. The royalty rates are subject to adjustment, under certain circumstances, if we grant a license to other licensees with lower royalty rates and Netgear is able to and agrees to assume all material terms and conditions of such other license. In addition, Netgear paid us \$350,000 with respect to the settlement.

D-Link Settlement

In August 2005, we commenced patent litigation against D-Link Corporation and D-Link Systems, Incorporated (collectively "D-Link") in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Tyler division, for infringement of our Remote Power Patent. Our complaint sought, among other things, a judgment that our Remote Power Patent is enforceable and has been infringed by the defendants. We also sought a permanent injunction restraining the defendants from continued infringement, or active inducement of infringement by others, of our Remote Power Patent.

In August 2007, we finalized the settlement of our patent infringement litigation against D-Link. Under the terms of the settlement, D-Link entered into a license agreement for our Remote Power Patent the terms of which include monthly royalty payments of 3.25% (subject to adjustment as noted below) of the net sales of D-Link Power over Ethernet products, including those products which comply with the IEEE 802.3af and 802.3at Standards, for the full term of our Remote Power Patent, which expires in March 2020. In addition, D-Link paid us \$100,000 upon signing of the Settlement Agreement. The royalty rate is subject to adjustment to a rate consistent with other similarly situated licensees of our Remote Power Patent based on units of shipments of licensed products. In June 2009, based upon several licenses issued to third parties under our Special Licensing Program, we agreed in principle with D-Link to adjust the royalty rate to 1.7% of the sales price for Power Servicing Equipment (which includes Ethernet switches) and 2.0% of the sales price for Powered Devices (which includes wireless access points).

PowerDsine Settlement

On November 16, 2005, we entered into a Settlement Agreement with PowerDsine, Inc. (NASDAQ: PDSN) and PowerDsine Ltd. (collectively, "PowerDsine") which dismissed, with prejudice, patent litigation brought by PowerDsine against us in March 2004 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York that sought a declaratory judgment that our Remote Power Patent (U.S. Patent No. 6,218,930) was invalid and not infringed by PowerDsine and/or its customers. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, we agreed that, under certain circumstances, we will not initiate litigation against PowerDsine for its sale of Power over Ethernet (PoE) integrated circuits. In addition, we agreed that we will not seek damages for infringement from customers that incorporate PowerDsine integrated circuit products in PoE capable Ethernet switches manufactured on or before April 30, 2006. PowerDsine has agreed that it will not initiate, assist or cooperate in any legal action relating to the Remote Power Patent. No licenses to use the technologies covered by our Remote Power Patent were granted to PowerDsine or its customers under the terms of the settlement. The Settlement Agreement further provides that PowerDsine is obligated to provide each of its customers with written notice of the settlement which notice shall disclose that no license for our Remote Power Patent has been provided to PowerDsine's customers and that in order to combine, modify or integrate any PowerDsine product with or into any other device or software, PowerDsine's customers may need to receive patent license(s) for our Remote Power Patent which is the customer's responsibility.

21

In June 2008 we entered into a new agreement with Microsemi Corp-Analog Mixed Signal Group Ltd ("Microsemi Analog"), previously PowerDsine Ltd, a subsidiary of Microsemi Corporation ("Microsemi"), a leading manufacturer of high performance analog mixed-signal integrated circuits and high reliability semiconductors, which, among other things, amended the prior Settlement Agreement entered into between the parties in November 2005. Under the new agreement, on June 25, 2008 we announced the commencement of an industry-wide Special Licensing Program for our Remote Power Patent to vendors of PoE equipment. The Special Licensing Program was of limited duration (through December 31, 2008) and was implemented on an industry-wide basis to offer discounted running royalty rates and exceptions to our standard licensing terms and conditions for the Remote Power Patent to PoE vendors who were "early adopters" and entered into license agreements without delay to avoid litigation and higher royalties. We entered into 3 license agreements as part of our Special Licensing Program. The new agreement enabled Microsemi Analog to assist in its customers' evaluation of our Remote Power Patent and the terms being made available to vendors of PoE equipment pursuant to our Special Licensing Program, an activity that was previously prohibited by the 2005 Settlement Agreement with PowerDsine. As part of our Special Licensing Program and our agreement with Microsemi Analog entered into in June 2008, Microsemi entered into a license agreement, on August 13, 2008, with us with respect to our Remote Power Patent. The license agreement provides that Microsemi is obligated to pay us quarterly royalty payments of 2% of the sales price for certain of Microsemi's Midspan PoE products for the full term of our Remote Power Patent (March 2020).

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors.

Our operations and financial results are subject to various risks and uncertainties that could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and trading price of our common stock.

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 includes a detailed discussion of our risk factors and should be carefully considered by

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

investors. There were no material changes to the risk factors set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 except as otherwise disclosed in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None, except as previously reported in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 12, 2009.

ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

None.

22

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

(a) Exhibits

- 31.1 Controls and Procedure Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Controls and Procedure Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

23

SIGNATURES

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

BY: /S/ COREY M. HOROWITZ

COREY M. HOROWITZ
CHAIRMAN AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

BY: /S/ DAVID C. KAHN

DAVID C. KAHN
CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

DATE: AUGUST 13, 2009

24

EXHIBIT 31.1

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 (18 U.S.C.SS.1350)

I, Corey M. Horowitz, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Network-1 Security Solutions, Inc. (the "Registrant"), certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of the Registrant;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

Registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

I Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

DATE: AUGUST 13, 2009

/S/ COREY M. HOROWITZ

COREY M. HOROWITZ
CHAIRMAN AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

EXHIBIT 31.2

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 (18 U.S.C.SS.1350)

I, David C. Kahn, Chief Financial Officer of Network-1 Security Solutions, Inc. (the "Registrant"), certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of the Registrant;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

I evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

DATE: AUGUST 13, 2009

/s/ DAVID C. KAHN

DAVID C. KAHN
CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

EXHIBIT 32.1

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 (18 U.S.C. SS. 1350)

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 424B3

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. ss. 1350), the undersigned, Corey M. Horowitz, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of Network-1 Security Solutions, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), does hereby certify to his knowledge, that:

The Quarterly Report of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 of the Company (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Act of 1934, and the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: August 13, 2009

/S/ COREY M. HOROWITZ

COREY M. HOROWITZ
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHAIRMAN

EXHIBIT 32.2

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 (18 U.S.C. SS. 1350)

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. ss. 1350), the undersigned, David C. Kahn, Chief Financial Officer of Network-1 Security Solutions, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), does hereby certify to his knowledge, that:

The Quarterly Report of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 of the Company (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Act of 1934, and the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: August 13, 2009

/S/ DAVID C. KAHN

DAVID C. KAHN
CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER