

Ardea Biosciences, Inc./DE
 Form 4
 February 08, 2012

FORM 4

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
 Washington, D.C. 20549**

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0287
 Expires: January 31, 2005
 Estimated average burden hours per response... 0.5

Check this box if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5 obligations may continue. See Instruction 1(b).

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

(Print or Type Responses)

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person *
DAVIS STEPHEN

(Last) (First) (Middle)

4939 DIRECTORS PLACE

(Street)

SAN DIEGO, CA 92121

(City) (State) (Zip)

2. Issuer Name and Ticker or Trading Symbol
 Ardea Biosciences, Inc./DE [RDEA]

3. Date of Earliest Transaction
 (Month/Day/Year)
 02/06/2012

4. If Amendment, Date Original Filed(Month/Day/Year)

5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer

(Check all applicable)

____ Director _____ 10% Owner
 Officer (give title below) _____ Other (specify below)
 EVP & COO

6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check Applicable Line)
 Form filed by One Reporting Person
 Form filed by More than One Reporting Person

Table I - Non-Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned

1. Title of Security (Instr. 3)	2. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	2A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	3. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	4. Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4 and 5)	5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned Following Reported Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4)	6. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)	7. Nature of Ownership (Instr. 4)
				(A) or (D) Code V Amount (D) Price			
Common Stock	02/06/2012		F(1)	218 D \$ 20.2	16,004	D	

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SEC 1474 (9-02)

Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned (e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

Edgar Filing: Ardea Biosciences, Inc./DE - Form 4

1. Title of Derivative Security (Instr. 3)	2. Conversion or Exercise Price of Derivative Security	3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	3A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	4. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	5. Number of Derivative Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4, and 5)	6. Date Exercisable and Expiration Date (Month/Day/Year)	7. Title and Amount of Underlying Securities (Instr. 3 and 4)	8. Price of Derivative Security (Instr. 5)	9. Number of Derivative Securities Owned Following Transaction (Instr. 6)
--	--	--------------------------------------	--	--------------------------------	---	--	---	--	---

Reporting Owners

Reporting Owner Name / Address	Relationships			
	Director	10% Owner	Officer	Other
DAVIS STEPHEN 4939 DIRECTORS PLACE SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			EVP & COO	

Signatures

/s/ Stephen Davis 02/08/2012

**Signature of Reporting Person Date

Explanation of Responses:

- * If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, *see* Instruction 4(b)(v).
 - ** Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. *See* 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).
 - (1) Represents vested shares of restricted stock that were withheld by the Issuer to satisfy the tax liability associated with the 521 shares that vested on February 6, 2012.
- Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, *see* Instruction 6 for procedure. Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. New Roman" style="font-size:1.0pt;">

(5)

Total fee paid:

Edgar Filing: Ardea Biosciences, Inc./DE - Form 4

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

o

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1)

Amount Previously Paid:

(2)

Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3)

Filing Party:

(4)

Date Filed:

Explanation of Responses:

Table of Contents

HORMEL FOODS CORPORATION

AUSTIN, MINNESOTA

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To the Stockholders:

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Hormel Foods Corporation, a Delaware corporation, will be held in the Richard L. Knowlton Auditorium of the Austin High School, 300 NW 4th Street, Austin, Minnesota, on Tuesday, January 26, 2016, at 8:00 p.m. Central Standard Time. The items of business are:

1. Elect a board of 15 directors for the ensuing year;
2. Amend the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the authorized Common Stock from 800 million to 1.6 billion shares and to reduce the par value from \$.0293 to \$.01465 per share, in order to effect a two-for-one split of the Company's Common Stock;
3. Ratify the appointment by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Ernst & Young LLP as independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending October 30, 2016;
4. Vote on a stockholder proposal, if presented at the meeting; and
5. Such other matters as may properly come before the meeting.

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: Ardea Biosciences, Inc./DE - Form 4

The Board of Directors has fixed November 27, 2015, at the close of business, as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors

BRIAN D. JOHNSON
Vice President and
Corporate Secretary

December 16, 2015

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials
for the Stockholder Meeting to be Held on January 26, 2016**

**The Proxy Statement and Annual Report to Stockholders
are available at www.proxyvote.com**

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u>	1
<u>MEETING ADMISSION</u>	2
<u>CONDUCT OF MEETING</u>	2
<u>ITEM 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	2
<u>DIRECTOR NOMINEES</u>	4
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	7
<u>Corporate Governance Guidelines</u>	7
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	7
<u>Code of Ethical Business Conduct</u>	8
<u>Stock Ownership Guidelines</u>	8
<u>Board Independence</u>	8
<u>Board of Director and Committee Meetings</u>	9
<u>Board Role in Risk Oversight</u>	10
<u>Policy Regarding Attendance at Annual Meetings</u>	11
<u>Board Communication</u>	11
<u>COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS</u>	11
<u>ITEM 2 AMENDMENT OF THE COMPANY S RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION TO EFFECT A STOCK SPLIT</u>	13
<u>AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT AND INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FEES</u>	14
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	14
<u>Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Fees</u>	14
<u>Audit Committee Preapproval Policies and Procedures</u>	15
<u>ITEM 3 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	15
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS</u>	15
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT</u>	16
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	16
<u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	16
<u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u>	17
<u>Compensation Overview</u>	17

Table of Contents

<u>Say-on-Pay</u>	17
<u>Executive Compensation Programs</u>	18
<u>Base Salary</u>	18
<u>Operators' Share Incentive Compensation Plan</u>	18
<u>Annual Incentive Plan</u>	18
<u>Long-Term Incentives</u>	21
<u>Stock Incentives</u>	21
<u>Clawback Policy</u>	22
<u>Pension Plan</u>	22
<u>Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan</u>	23
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan</u>	23
<u>Survivor Income Protection Plan</u>	23
<u>Perquisites</u>	24
<u>How Annual Compensation Decisions are Made</u>	24
<u>Tax Deductibility</u>	25
<u>ANALYSIS OF RISK ASSOCIATED WITH OUR COMPENSATION PLANS</u>	25
<u>COMPENSATION OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS (NEOs)</u>	26
<u>SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE</u>	26
<u>ALL OTHER COMPENSATION</u>	27
<u>GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS FOR FISCAL 2015</u>	28
<u>OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL 2015 YEAR END</u>	29
<u>VESTING SCHEDULE FOR UNEXERCISABLE OPTIONS</u>	30
<u>OPTION EXERCISES FOR FISCAL 2015</u>	30
<u>PENSION BENEFITS</u>	31
<u>NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION</u>	31
<u>POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION</u>	31
<u>POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION AT FISCAL 2015 YEAR END</u>	32
<u>RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS</u>	33
<u>SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE</u>	33
<u>ITEM 4 STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL: INDEPENDENT BOARD CHAIR</u>	34
<u>VIEWING AND DELIVERY OF PROXY MATERIALS</u>	35
<u>STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS</u>	36
<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	36
<u>Exhibit A CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT OF RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION</u>	

Table of Contents

PROXY STATEMENT

HORMEL FOODS CORPORATION
(CUSIP No. 440452100)
1 HORMEL PLACE
AUSTIN, MINNESOTA 55912

The enclosed proxy is solicited by the Board of Directors of Hormel Foods Corporation (**Company**) for use at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on January 26, 2016. This proxy statement and form of proxy, or a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, are first being mailed to stockholders on or about December 16, 2015.

GENERAL INFORMATION

Voting Securities - Only stockholders of record at the close of business as of November 27, 2015 are entitled to vote at the meeting. The Company had 264,228,740 shares of common stock outstanding as of November 27, 2015. Each share of stock is entitled to one vote. There is no cumulative voting. The Company has no other class of shares outstanding.

Voting Your Proxy - Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, we encourage you to grant a proxy to vote your shares. Follow the instructions on your proxy card or electronic delivery notice to cast your vote via the Internet or telephone. If you received a proxy card, you may vote your shares by completing the card with your vote, signature and date, and returning it by mail in the envelope provided.

If you submit a proxy without giving specific voting instructions, your shares will be voted in accordance with the Board of Directors recommendations as follows:

FOR :

- Election to the Board of the 15 director nominees named in this proxy statement;
- Amendment of the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the authorized Common Stock from 800 million to 1.6 billion shares and to reduce the par value from \$.0293 to \$.01465 per share, in order to effect a two-for-one split of the Company's Common Stock; and

Explanation of Responses:

- Ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending October 30, 2016;

and AGAINST :

- The stockholder proposal set forth in this proxy statement, if presented at the meeting.

The persons appointed as proxies will vote in their discretion on other matters as may properly come before the meeting.

Revoking Your Proxy and Changing Your Vote - You may revoke your proxy or change your vote at any time before it is exercised by submitting a later-dated proxy, voting in person at the meeting or sending a written notice of revocation to the Corporate Secretary.

Expenses - The expenses of soliciting proxies will be paid by the Company. Proxies may be solicited at Company expense personally, or by mail, telephone or electronic communication, by directors, officers and other employees. Such persons will not receive additional compensation. The Company will reimburse banks, brokerage firms and other nominees for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in sending proxy materials to beneficial owners. Your cooperation in promptly granting a proxy to vote your shares will help to avoid additional expense.

Quorum - A majority of the outstanding shares will constitute a quorum at the meeting.

Impact of Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes - If a stockholder holds shares in street name and does not provide voting instructions to the holder of the account regarding non-discretionary matters, such shares are considered broker nonvotes. Street name means the shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank, trust or other institution. Broker nonvotes and abstentions are counted for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum for the transaction of business. Shares represented by abstentions are counted as shares represented at the meeting and therefore will have no effect on the election of directors (Item #1), but will have the effect of a vote against the amendment of the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation (Item #2), ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as independent registered public accounting firm (Item #3), and approval of the stockholder proposal (Item #4). Shares represented by broker nonvotes are not considered

Table of Contents

entitled to vote and thus are not counted for purposes of determining whether a proposal has been approved. Under current New York Stock Exchange (**NYSE**) rules, uninstructed brokers would have discretionary voting power for approval of the amendment of the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation (Item #2) and ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as independent registered public accounting firm (Item #3). Uninstructed brokers would not have discretionary voting power for the election of directors (Item #1) and the vote on the stockholder proposal (Items #4).

MEETING ADMISSION

The following persons will be admitted to the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on January 26, 2016:

- Stockholders of record at the close of business on November 27, 2015, and their immediate family members;
- Individuals holding written proxies executed by stockholders of record at the close of business on November 27, 2015;
- Stockholders who provide a letter or account statement from their broker, bank or other nominee showing that they owned stock held in the name of the broker, bank or other nominee at the close of business on November 27, 2015, and their immediate family members;
- Stockholders by virtue of stock held in the Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan;
- Other individuals with the approval of the Corporate Secretary; and
- One authorized representative of stockholders that are corporations or other entities. Additional authorized representatives may be admitted with the approval of the Corporate Secretary.

If you are not able to attend, we will have video of the meeting available on the Internet after January 27, 2016. To view this video, follow these instructions:

1. Go to the Newsroom section of <http://www.hormelfoods.com/>;
2. Click on the Annual Meeting featured story; and
3. Locate the video within the Annual Meeting story content and click on the video.

CONDUCT OF MEETING

The Chairman will preside over the Annual Meeting of Stockholders pursuant to the Bylaws and by action of the Board of Directors. The Chairman has broad authority to ensure the orderly conduct of the meeting. This includes discretion to recognize stockholders or proxies who wish to speak and to determine the extent of discussion on each item of business. Rules governing the conduct of the meeting will be distributed at the meeting along with the agenda. The Chairman may also rely on applicable law regarding disorderly conduct to ensure that the meeting is conducted in a manner that is fair to all stockholders.

ITEM 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Identifying and Evaluating Nominees for Director - The Governance Committee is responsible for establishing procedures to identify and review the qualifications of all nominees for Board membership. The Committee considers recommendations of director candidates made by directors, senior management, and the Company's stockholders. The Committee applies the same criteria for consideration of stockholder nominees as it does to nominees proposed by other sources. The Committee may engage an independent search firm to assist the Committee in identifying and evaluating potential director nominees to fill vacancies on the Board.

Stockholders wishing to make a recommendation may do so by contacting the Governance Committee, c/o Brian D. Johnson, Vice President and Corporate Secretary, 1 Hormel Place, Austin, Minnesota 55912. Stockholders should send:

1. Name of the candidate and the candidate's business and residence addresses;
2. A resume or biographical sketch of the candidate, which includes the candidate's principal occupation or employment;
3. A document(s) evidencing the number of shares of Company stock currently held by the candidate and the candidate's willingness to serve as a director if elected; and
4. A signed statement as to the submitting stockholder's current status as a stockholder, which includes the stockholder's address and the number of shares of Company stock currently held.

The Committee's procedures include making a preliminary assessment of each proposed nominee. Such assessment is based upon the resume and biographical information, an indication of the individual's willingness to serve, and business experience and leadership skills. This information is evaluated against the criteria set forth below and the Company's specific needs at that time. Based upon a preliminary assessment of the candidates, those who appear best suited to meet the Company's needs may be invited to participate in a series of interviews, which are used to further evaluate candidates.

Table of Contents

On the basis of information learned during this process, the Committee determines which nominees to recommend to the Board.

Director Qualifications The Governance Committee determines the selection criteria of director nominees based upon the Company's needs at the time nominees are considered. In evaluating director candidates, the Committee will consider a candidate's:

- Intellect;
- Integrity;
- Broad-based experience at the policy-making level in business, government, education or the public interest;
- Analytical ability;
- Ability to qualify as an independent director;
- Ability and willingness to devote time and energy to effectively carry out all Board responsibilities; and
- Unique qualifications, skills and experience.

The Committee reviews past performance on the Board for directors seeking reelection. The Board's annual self-evaluation process assists the Committee in this review.

The Committee considers the diversity of director candidates and seeks to enhance the overall diversity of the Board. Each candidate's diversity in terms of race, gender, national origin and other personal characteristics is considered. The Committee also assesses each candidate's contribution to the diversity of the Board in a broader sense, including age, education, experience, skills and other qualifications. While the Committee carefully considers diversity when evaluating director candidates, it has not adopted a formal diversity policy.

The Committee recommends director nominees to the Board to submit for election at the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Board selects director nominees based on its assessment and consideration of various factors. These factors include the current Board profile, the long-term interests of stockholders, the needs of the Company, and the goal of creating an appropriate balance of knowledge, experience and diversity on the Board.

Our Nominees for Director Each of our director nominees is well qualified under the criteria described above. As employees of the Company, Mr. Ettinger, Mr. Snee and Ms. Feragen do not qualify as independent directors. Each director nominee brings a variety of qualifications, skills, attributes and experience to the Board of Directors.

Edgar Filing: Ardea Biosciences, Inc./DE - Form 4

A common trait among our director nominees is executive leadership experience with a large company or organization. Such experience brings a variety of benefits, including an understanding of business management, various business functions and strategic planning. Other advantages of an executive leadership background include experience with policy making, risk management and corporate governance matters.

Another common characteristic of our director nominees is each has prior service on our Board, although that service is limited for Mr. Snee, the director nominee who joined the Board in October 2015. Each director nominee has a demonstrated record of regular attendance, advance preparation and active participation in Board and Board committee meetings. Through prior service on the Board committees, our director nominees have demonstrated and further developed expertise relating to the duties assigned to the Board committees.

The biographical information below identifies and highlights additional qualifications, skills, attributes and experience each director nominee brings to the Board.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR each of the 15 director nominees listed below. The persons named as proxies will vote FOR the election of these 15 nominees to hold office as directors until the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their successors are elected and qualify, unless stockholders specify otherwise. If any of such nominees become unavailable for any reason, it is intended that the proxies will vote for the election of such substitute persons as may be designated by the Board of Directors. Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast. The 15 candidates receiving the highest number of votes will be elected.

Table of Contents

DIRECTOR NOMINEES

GARY C. BHOJWANI, age 47, director since 2014.

Mr. Bhojwani is founder and serves as Chief Executive Officer of GCB, LLC, an insurance and financial services consulting company, a position he has held since April 2015. He was Chairman of Allianz Life Insurance Company of North America, a provider of retirement solutions, and a member of the Board of Management of Allianz SE from 2012 to January 1, 2015 and Chief Executive Officer of Allianz Life Insurance Company of North America from 2007 to 2011. Mr. Bhojwani was President of Commercial Business, Fireman's Fund Insurance Company from 2004 to 2007, Chief Executive Officer of Lincoln General Insurance Company from 2002 to 2004, founder and Chief Executive Officer of Avalon Risk Management from 1998 to 2002, and President, Trade Insurance Services from 1995 to 1997. He is a past member of the Board of Directors of the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, Irving, Texas, American Council of Life Insurers, Washington, D.C., and Financial Services Roundtable, Washington, D.C., and is a member of the Board of Directors of Teach for America Twin Cities, Minneapolis, Minnesota, and the Minneapolis Institute of Arts, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Mr. Bhojwani brings extensive expertise in risk management, finance and consumer product marketing to the Board, as well as experience as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of a large insurance company.

TERRELL K. CREWS, age 60, director since 2007.

Mr. Crews retired from Monsanto Company, an agricultural company, in 2009. He served as Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Vegetable Business CEO for Monsanto Company from 2007 to 2009, and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from 2000 to 2007. Mr. Crews is a member of the Board of Directors of Archer-Daniels-Midland Company, Chicago, Illinois, WestRock Company, Richmond, Virginia, and Junior Achievement of Greater St. Louis, Chesterfield, Missouri, and the Board of Trustees of Freed-Hardeman University, Henderson, Tennessee. Mr. Crews brings extensive expertise in finance and related functions to the Board, as well as significant knowledge of corporate development, agri-business and international operations.

JEFFREY M. ETTINGER, age 57, director since 2004.

Mr. Ettinger is Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, serving in that capacity since October 26, 2015. He was Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer from November 2006 to October 2015, President and Chief Executive Officer from January to November 2006, and President and Chief Operating Officer from 2004 to 2006. Mr. Ettinger is a member of the Board of Directors of The Toro Company, Bloomington, Minnesota, Ecolab Inc., Saint Paul, Minnesota, Grocery Manufacturers of America, Washington, D.C., North American Meat Institute, Washington, D.C., Minnesota Business Partnership, Minneapolis, Minnesota, and The Hormel Foundation, Austin, Minnesota. In addition to his exemplary executive leadership of the Company, Mr. Ettinger brings practical finance, marketing and legal expertise to the Board, as well as a deep knowledge of the Company and food industry developed during his 26-year tenure with the Company.

JODY H. FERAGEN, age 59, director since 2007.

Ms. Feragen is Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company. She was elected to that position in 2010, and was Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from 2007 to 2010, and Vice President of Finance and Treasurer from 2005 to 2007. Ms. Feragen is a member of the Board of Directors of Patterson Companies, Inc., Saint Paul, Minnesota, Graco Inc., Minneapolis, Minnesota, and the University of North Dakota Alumni Association and Foundation, Grand Forks, North Dakota. Ms. Feragen brings to the Board in-depth expertise in finance and related functions developed during her over 29-year finance career, as well as knowledge of the Company and food industry.

Edgar Filing: Ardea Biosciences, Inc./DE - Form 4

GLENN S. FORBES, M.D., age 68, director since 2011.

Dr. Forbes is retired Executive Board Chair, past CEO Mayo Clinic-Rochester, and Emeritus Physician, Mayo Clinic, having retired in 2012. He was Medical Director for Diversified Business Activities for Medical Imaging Services at Mayo Clinic from 2010 to 2012, Medical Director for State Government Affairs and Public Relations at Mayo Clinic from 2009 to 2010, and Chief Executive Officer, Mayo Clinic-Rochester from 2006 to 2009. Dr. Forbes was Professor of Radiology, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine from 1990 to 2012, and Consultant in the Department of Diagnostic Radiology at Mayo Clinic from 1977 to 2012. He was a member of the Board of Trustees, Mayo Clinic from 2006 to 2009, and the Board of Governors, Mayo Clinic from 2003 to 2009, and Chair of the Executive Board, Mayo Clinic-Rochester from 2006 to 2009. He is Chair of the Board of Directors of the American Board of Radiology Foundation, Tucson,

Table of Contents

Arizona. Dr. Forbes brings executive leadership experience with a large Minnesota-based health care institution and extensive public policy and corporate governance expertise to the Board.

STEPHEN M. LACY, age 61, director since 2011.

Mr. Lacy is Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of Meredith Corporation, a media and marketing company, a position he has held since 2010. He served Meredith Corporation as President and Chief Executive Officer starting in 2006, President and Chief Operating Officer starting in 2004, President, Publishing Group, and President, Interactive and Integrated Marketing Group, starting in 2000, and Chief Financial Officer starting in 1998. Mr. Lacy was President, from 1995 to 1997, and Chief Financial Officer, from 1992 to 1995, of Johnson & Higgins, an insurance brokerage firm, and General Manager, from 1990 to 1992, and Chief Financial Officer, from 1988 to 1990, of Commtron Corporation, a distributor of video cassettes and consumer electronics equipment. He is a member of the Board of Directors of Meredith Corporation, Des Moines, Iowa, and Great Western Bancorp, Inc., Sioux Falls, South Dakota. Mr. Lacy brings extensive expertise in finance and consumer product marketing to the Board, as well as ongoing experience as the active Chief Executive Officer of a publicly held company whose stock is traded on the NYSE.

JOHN L. MORRISON, age 70, director since 2003.

Mr. Morrison has served as Managing Director, Goldner Hawn Johnson & Morrison Incorporated, a private equity investment firm, since 1989 and Chairman, Callanish Capital Partners, a private hedge fund, since 2001. He was Executive Vice President of Pillsbury and Chairman of the U.S. Consumer Foods Group from 1987 to 1989, and President of Pillsbury's International Group from 1981 to 1987. Mr. Morrison is a member of the Board of Directors of Andersen Corporation, St. Paul, Minnesota. Mr. Morrison brings extensive expertise in finance, corporate development, and international business, as well as deep food industry knowledge, to the Board.

ELSA A. MURANO, Ph.D., age 56, director since 2006.

Dr. Murano has served Texas A&M University as Director of the Norman Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture since October 2014, Professor, Department of Animal Science, since 2001, and President Emerita since 2009. She was Interim Director of the Norman Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture from 2012 to 2014, President of Texas A&M University from 2008 to 2009, and Vice Chancellor and Dean of Agriculture, Director of the Texas Agricultural Experiment Station, from 2005 to 2007. Dr. Murano was Undersecretary for Food Safety, U.S. Department of Agriculture from 2001 to 2004. Dr. Murano brings preeminent food safety expertise and significant experience in agri-business and regulatory affairs to the Board.

ROBERT C. NAKASONE, age 67, director since 2006.

Mr. Nakasone is Chief Executive Officer of NAK Enterprises, a family-owned investment and consulting business he has led since 2000. Mr. Nakasone was Chief Executive Officer, Toys 'R Us, Inc. from 1998 to 1999, President and Chief Operating Officer from 1994 to 1997, Vice Chairman from 1989 to 1993, and President U.S. Toy Stores from 1985 to 1988. Prior to 1985, he served in multiple senior executive capacities with the Jewel Companies, Inc., including Group Vice President and General Manager of the Jewel Food Stores Midwest Region. Mr. Nakasone is a member of the Board of Trustees of Claremont McKenna College, Claremont, California, Cottage Health System, Santa Barbara, California, and the V Foundation For Cancer Research, Cary, North Carolina. He was a founding member of the Board of Directors of Staples, Inc., Framingham, Massachusetts and retired from that Board in 2015. Mr. Nakasone brings extensive expertise in retail food product marketing and international business development to the Board, as well as experience as the Chief Executive Officer of a large publicly held company.

SUSAN K. NESTEGARD, age 55, director since 2009.

Ms. Nestegard is former President, Global Healthcare Sector, of Ecolab Inc., a provider of cleaning and sanitizing products and services. She held that position from 2010 to 2012, and was Executive Vice President, Global Healthcare Sector, from 2008 to 2010, Senior Vice President, Research, Development and Engineering, and Chief Technical Officer, from 2003 to 2008. Ms. Nestegard served as interim Chief Executive Officer of Cambridge Major Laboratories, Inc., a pharmaceutical company, from March 2014 to August 2014. She also has over 20 years of experience with 3M Company in product

Edgar Filing: Ardea Biosciences, Inc./DE - Form 4

development, research and development, and business unit management. Ms. Nestegard is a member of the Board of Directors of American Capital, Ltd., Bethesda, Maryland. Ms. Nestegard brings significant expertise in food safety, research and development, foodservice, and international business to the Board.

Table of Contents

DAKOTA A. PIPPINS, age 67, director since 2001.

Mr. Pippins has been President and Chief Executive Officer, Pippins Strategies, LLC, a marketing consulting company, since 2003. He served as Director of Urban Think Tank and Director of Planning for the Vigilante Division of Leo Burnett, USA, an advertising agency, from 1998 to 2003, Director of Management Institute at New York University from 1990 to 1995, and has been an Adjunct Associate Professor at New York University since 1990. Prior experience includes various management positions at Citicorp, a banking company, General Foods Corporation, a food company, and Burrell Communications Group, a marketing company. Mr. Pippins brings to the Board in-depth expertise in consumer product marketing and corporate sustainability, developed both through professional work experience and academia.

CHRISTOPHER J. POLICINSKI, age 57, director since 2012.

Mr. Policinski is President and Chief Executive Officer of Land O Lakes, Inc., a member-owned cooperative which produces and markets dairy-based food products and agricultural supplies, a position he has held since 2005. He served Land O Lakes, Inc. as Chief Operating Officer of the Dairy Foods business unit starting in 1999, and Vice President of Strategy and Business Development starting in 1997. Prior experience includes various management positions at Kraft General Foods Corporation, a food company, Bristol Myers Squibb, a biopharmaceutical and consumer goods company, and Pillsbury Company, a food company. Mr. Policinski is a member of the Board of Directors of Xcel Energy, Inc., Minneapolis, Minnesota, Grocery Manufacturers of America, Washington, D.C., National Council of Farmer Cooperatives, Washington, D.C., U. S. Global Leadership Campaign, Washington, D.C., and Catholic Relief Services, Baltimore, Maryland, the Board of Overseers of Carlson School of Management, Minneapolis, Minnesota, and the Board of Trustees of the University of Minnesota Foundation, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Mr. Policinski brings extensive expertise in agri-business, consumer product marketing and corporate development to the Board, as well as ongoing experience as the active Chief Executive Officer of a large Minnesota-based company operating globally in the food industry.

SALLY J. SMITH, age 57, director since 2014.

Ms. Smith is President and Chief Executive Officer of Buffalo Wild Wings, Inc., a restaurant company, a position she has held since 1996. She served Buffalo Wild Wings, Inc. as Chief Financial Officer from 1994 to 1996. Ms. Smith was Controller, from 1984 to 1987, and Chief Financial Officer, from 1987 to 1994, of Dahlberg, Inc., a manufacturer of hearing aids. She began her career with KPMG LLP, an international accounting and consulting firm. Ms. Smith is a member of the Board of Directors of Buffalo Wild Wings Inc., Minneapolis, Minnesota, Alerus Financial Corporation, Grand Forks, North Dakota, Allina Health System, Minneapolis, Minnesota, and the National Restaurant Association, Washington, D.C. Ms. Smith brings extensive expertise in finance, corporate development and the foodservice industry to the Board, as well as ongoing experience as the active Chief Executive Officer of a Minnesota-based publicly held company.

JAMES P. SNEE, age 48, director since 2015.

Mr. Snee is President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company, serving in that capacity since October 26, 2015. He was Group Vice President and President, Hormel Foods International Corporation from October 2012 to October 2015, Vice President and Senior Vice President, Hormel Foods International Corporation from October 2011 to October 2012, Vice President, Affiliated Business Units from October 2008 to October 2011, and Director of Purchasing from February 2006 to October 2008. In addition to his executive leadership experience, Mr. Snee brings broad sales, marketing, supply chain and international business expertise to the Board, as well as in-depth knowledge of the Company and food industry developed during his 26-year career with the Company.

STEVEN A. WHITE, age 55, director since 2014.

Mr. White is President, ComCast West Division, of ComCast Corporation, an entertainment and communications company, a position he has held since 2009. He served ComCast as Regional Senior Vice President, ComCast California from 2007 to 2009 and as Regional Senior Vice President, ComCast Mid-South Region from 2002 to 2007. Mr. White was Regional Vice President of AT&T Broadband, LLC from 2000 to 2002 and Regional Vice President of Telecommunications, Inc. from 1997 to 2000. Prior experience includes various marketing positions with Colgate-Palmolive Company from 1991 to 1997. He is a member of the Board of Directors of Comcast Foundation,

Edgar Filing: Ardea Biosciences, Inc./DE - Form 4

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Children's Hospital Colorado, Aurora, Colorado, and Denver Scholarship Foundation, Denver, Colorado. Mr. White brings

Table of Contents

significant expertise in digital commerce and consumer product marketing to the Board, as well as ongoing experience as the active President of a large business.

No family relationship exists between any of the director nominees or executive officers of the Company.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Corporate Governance Guidelines

The Board of Directors has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines which include the following:

- At all times a substantial majority of the Board will be independent, as that term is defined in relevant law and the NYSE listing standards;
- Directors who (1) retire from or change their principal employment, (2) reach retirement age of 72, (3) resign or are removed from, or fail to be re-elected to, the board of directors of any other public company, or (4) take action that creates a conflict of interest with the Company, must submit a letter of resignation from the Board. The Board may accept or reject a letter of resignation. It is the Board's general policy that directors will not stand for reelection after reaching age 72;
- The Board and Board committees will conduct annual self-evaluations. This self-evaluation process currently includes the completion and anonymous submission of Board and Board committee assessment surveys by all Board members and personal interviews conducted by the Lead Director with all Board members;
- Directors participate in an annual strategic planning retreat, which provides directors a detailed overview of the Company's strategic business plans and an opportunity to access senior management of the Company;
- All independent directors will typically meet in executive session at the end of every regular Board meeting but in all circumstances at least quarterly;
- The Compensation Committee will evaluate the Chief Executive Officer's performance annually. This evaluation is based in part on a self-evaluation by the Chief Executive Officer (**CEO**) which is reviewed by all the nonemployee directors. The annual evaluation will take into account the CEO's performance measured against established goals. After the process has been completed, the Compensation Committee will set the CEO's compensation and obtain the Board's ratification of such compensation;
- Directors will have full access to officers and employees of the Company; and

- The Board and each committee have the power to hire independent legal, financial or other advisers, without consulting or obtaining the approval of any officer of the Company.

The Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines may be found on the Company's Web site at www.hormelfoods.com under Investors - Corporate Governance.

Board Leadership Structure

The Board takes a flexible approach to the issue of whether the offices of Chairman and CEO should be separate or combined. This approach allows the Board to regularly evaluate whether it is in the best interests of the Company for the CEO or another director to hold the position of Chairman.

Mr. Ettinger has served as both Chairman and CEO of the Company since November 2006. The Board continues to believe there are important advantages to Mr. Ettinger serving in both roles at this time. Mr. Ettinger is the director most familiar with our Company's business and industry and best situated to propose the Board's agendas and lead Board discussions on important matters. Mr. Ettinger provides a strong link between management and the Board, which promotes clear communication and enhances strategic planning and implementation of corporate strategies. Another advantage is the clarity of leadership provided by one person representing the Company to employees, stockholders and other stakeholders.

When the Chairman is not an independent director, the Board will appoint a Lead Director. The Lead Director position is held by an independent director elected by the Board of Directors. The Board's policy is that a director's term as Lead Director should generally be limited to five consecutive years.

John L. Morrison has served as the Lead Director since November 2011. The duties of the Lead Director include the following:

- Serve as a liaison between the Chairman and the nonemployee directors;

Table of Contents

- Serve as a liaison among the nonemployee directors;
- Provide input to the Chairman on the preparation of Board meeting agendas, including content, sequence, and time allocations;
- Have the authority to call meetings of the nonemployee directors, with advance notice of such meetings to be given to the Chairman;
- Preside at meetings of the Board in the absence of the Chairman;
- Preside at executive sessions of the nonemployee or independent directors;
- In conjunction with the Governance Committee, take an active role in the Board's annual self-evaluation; and
- In conjunction with the Compensation Committee, take an active role in the annual evaluation of the CEO.

The independent directors who chair the Company's Audit, Compensation and Governance Committees also provide leadership to the Board in their assigned areas of responsibility. The Board believes the substantial majority of independent directors on the Board, use of a Lead Director, independent Committee chairs and executive sessions of the independent directors safeguard the independent governance of the Board.

Code of Ethical Business Conduct

The Company has adopted a Code of Ethical Business Conduct that covers its directors, officers and employees. This Code of Ethical Business Conduct may be found on the Company's Web site at www.hormelfoods.com under Investors - Corporate Governance.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

The Company's officers and directors are subject to stock ownership guidelines. Officers need to hold shares of Company stock with a value equal to their five-year average base salary times a multiple of 1.5 to 5, depending on position. Directors need to hold shares of Company stock with a value equal to their five-year average annual retainer times a multiple of 5. This multiple was changed from 4 to 5 effective November 24, 2014. For both officers and directors, the required stock ownership value is divided by the five-year average Company stock price, based on fiscal year end prices, to calculate the number of shares to be held.

The value of shares individually owned, held in Company benefit plans, and deferred in the Company's deferred compensation plans are counted toward the guidelines. Individual ownership of shares is determined under Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (**Exchange Act**). Stock options and restricted shares are not counted toward the guidelines.

Officers and directors have approximately five years from their initial election to comply with the guidelines. Officers promoted to a level requiring higher stock ownership under the guidelines have five years to achieve compliance. All officers and directors who are subject to the guidelines are in compliance with the guidelines.

The Company has adopted a pledging policy which prohibits officers and directors from holding Company stock in a margin account or pledging Company stock as collateral for a loan.

The Company has also adopted a hedging policy which prohibits employees, officers and directors from purchasing any financial instruments (including without limitation prepaid variable forward contracts, equity swaps, collars, and exchange funds) that are designed to hedge or offset any decrease in the market value of Company securities held directly or indirectly by the employee, officer or director.

Board Independence

The Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines require that a substantial majority of the Company's directors be independent. The NYSE listing standards require that a majority of the Company's directors be independent and that the Audit, Compensation and Governance Committees be comprised entirely of independent directors. The Board of Directors has adopted standards to assist it in making the annual determination of each director's independence status. These Director Independence Standards are consistent with the NYSE listing standards. The Director Independence Standards are posted on the Company's Web site at www.hormelfoods.com under Investors - Corporate Governance. A director will be considered independent if he or she meets the requirements of the Director Independence Standards and the independence criteria in the NYSE listing standards.

The Board of Directors has affirmatively determined that the following directors have no direct or indirect material relationship with the Company and satisfy the requirements to be considered independent:

Table of Contents

Gary C. Bhojwani	John L. Morrison	Dakota A. Pippins
Terrell K. Crews	Elsa A. Murano	Christopher J. Policinski
Glenn S. Forbes	Robert C. Nakasone	Sally J. Smith
Stephen M. Lacy	Susan K. Nestegard	Steven A. White

The Board of Directors also has determined that each of the Company's Audit, Compensation and Governance Committees is composed solely of independent directors. In making the independence determinations, the Board reviewed all of the directors' relationships with the Company. This review is based primarily on a review of the responses of the directors to questions regarding employment, business, family, compensation and other relationships with the Company and its management. In making the independence determination for Mr. Lacy, Chairman of the Board, President & CEO of Meredith Corporation, the Board considered the relationship arising out of the transactions in the ordinary course of business between the Company, including transactions through its advertising agencies, and Meredith Corporation, a supplier of the Company. The Board determined that this relationship was not material and did not impair Mr. Lacy's independence. In making the independence determination for Mr. Policinski, President & CEO of Land O' Lakes, Inc., the Board considered the relationship arising out of the transactions in the ordinary course of business between the Company and Land O' Lakes, Inc., a supplier of the Company. The Board determined that this relationship was not material and did not impair Mr. Policinski's independence. In making the independence determination for Mr. White, President, West Division of ComCast Corporation, the Board considered the relationship arising out of the transactions in the ordinary course of business between the Company and ComCast Corporation, a service provider to the Company. The Board determined that this relationship was not material and did not impair Mr. White's independence. The dollar amount of the Company's transactions with Meredith Corporation, Land O' Lakes, Inc. and ComCast Corporation are below the thresholds for commercial transactions under the independence criteria in the NYSE listing standards.

Board of Director and Committee Meetings

Board of Directors and Committees - The Board of Directors conducts its business through meetings of the Board and its committees. The Lead Director presides at executive sessions of the nonemployee or independent directors. The Board held eight meetings during fiscal 2015. Each director attended at least 75% of the total meetings during the fiscal year of the Board and Board committees on which he or she served.

The Board of Directors has established the following Board committees: Audit, Compensation and Governance. The following table shows membership and meeting information for each committee for fiscal 2015.

Name	Audit Committee(1)	Compensation Committee	Governance Committee(1)(2)
Gary C. Bhojwani		X	X
Terrell K. Crews	X*	X	
Glenn S. Forbes			X
Stephen M. Lacy	X	X*	
John L. Morrison		X	X
Elsa A. Murano	X		
Robert C. Nakasone		X	X*
Susan K. Nestegard	X		
Dakota A. Pippins			X
Christopher J. Policinski	X	X	
Sally J. Smith	X		

Edgar Filing: Ardea Biosciences, Inc./DE - Form 4

Steven A. White			X
Total Meetings in Fiscal 2015	11	6	6

* Committee Chair

(1) Elsa A. Murano moved from the Audit Committee to the Governance Committee and Dakota A. Pippins moved from the Governance Committee to the Audit Committee effective at the end of the November 23, 2015 Board meeting.

(2) Robert C. Nakasone replaced Dakota A. Pippins as Governance Committee Chair effective at the end of the November 24, 2014 Board meeting.

Each of the Audit, Compensation and Governance Committees has adopted and operates under a written charter. These charters may be found on the Company's Web site at www.hormelfoods.com under Investors - Corporate Governance.

Table of Contents

Audit Committee - Each member of the Audit Committee is financially literate as determined by the Board of Directors. The Board also determined that Terrell K. Crews, Stephen M. Lacy and Sally J. Smith each is an audit committee financial expert, as defined by the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (**SEC**). The duties of the Audit Committee include the following:

- Select and evaluate the performance of the independent registered public accounting firm;
- Discuss with the internal auditors and independent registered public accounting firm the overall scope and plans for their respective audits;
- Ensure that the independent registered public accounting firm is accountable to the Committee and that the firm has no relationship with management or the Company that would impair its independence;
- Review and discuss with management and the external auditors the quarterly and annual financial statements of the Company;
- Establish procedures for the handling of complaints received by the Company regarding accounting, internal controls or auditing matters, including the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters;
- Provide an open avenue of communication between the internal auditors, the external auditors, Company management and the Board;
- Understand the Company's key areas of risk and assess the steps management takes to manage such risk; and
- Oversee the Company's Code of Ethical Business Conduct, including assessment of the steps management takes to assure the Company's compliance with all applicable laws and regulations and corporate policies.

Compensation Committee - The duties of the Compensation Committee include the following:

Explanation of Responses:

- Establish compensation arrangements for all officers of the Company;
- Engage a compensation consultant to review the Company's compensation programs;
- Make recommendations to the Board regarding incentive compensation and equity-based compensation plans, and administer such plans;
- Make recommendations to the Board regarding compensation to be paid to the Company's directors; and
- Establish investment policies for the Company's defined benefit pension plans, and periodically review investments for consistency with those policies.

Governance Committee - The duties of the Governance Committee include the following:

- Establish criteria for new directors and evaluate potential candidates;
- Make recommendations to the Board regarding the composition of Board committees;
- Make recommendations to the Board of a member of the Board for election as Lead Director;
- Review the Company's executive succession plans;
- Periodically assess the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, as well as the Company's adherence to them;
- Evaluate objectives and policies regarding the Company's management of its human resources; and

- Oversee the annual evaluation of the Board.

Board Role in Risk Oversight

The Board of Directors takes an active role in risk oversight. The Board administers its risk oversight function through the full Board and each of its committees. Management of the Company, which is responsible for day-to-day risk management, maintains an enterprise risk management (**ERM**) process. The ERM process is designed to identify and assess the Company's risks globally, and develop steps to mitigate and manage risks. The Board receives regular reports on the ERM process.

The Board's oversight of risk includes engaging in an annual strategic planning retreat with senior management, approving annual operating plans and strategic plans, and approving significant transactions. In addition, the Board receives regular reports on the Company's overall business, specific segments and financial results, as well as specific presentations on topics relating to risks and risk management.

Table of Contents

The Audit Committee assists the Board with its risk oversight in a variety of areas, including financial reporting, internal controls and legal and regulatory compliance. The Audit Committee has oversight of the Company's internal audit function and the Company's Code of Ethical Business Conduct. The Audit Committee also appoints the independent registered public accounting firm and approves the services it provides to the Company. The Compensation Committee oversees risk in connection with compensation programs, including incentive compensation plans and equity-based plans. The Governance Committee oversees risk in connection with corporate governance practices. All of these committees make regular reports of their activities to the full Board.

Policy Regarding Attendance at Annual Meetings

The Company encourages, but does not require, its Board members to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Last year thirteen directors of the Company attended the Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Board Communication

Interested parties may communicate with the Board of Directors by sending a letter directed to the Board of Directors, nonemployee directors or specified individual directors, addressed to: Brian D. Johnson, Vice President and Corporate Secretary, 1 Hormel Place, Austin, Minnesota 55912. All communications, whether signed or anonymous, will be directed to the Lead Director or the Chair of one of the committees based on the subject matter of the communication, or to the nonemployee directors or the specified directors, if so directed.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

In fiscal 2015, the Company provided the following elements of compensation to nonemployee directors:

- Annual retainer of \$70,000;
- Additional retainer of \$25,000 per year for Lead Director;
- Additional retainer of \$15,000 per year for chair of the Audit and Compensation Committees;
- Additional retainer of \$10,000 per year for chair of the Governance Committee;
- Meeting fee for each committee meeting of \$1,000 for attendance in person or \$500 for attendance by telephone (no meeting fees are paid for attendance at Board meetings); and
- An award of restricted shares of Company common stock having a fixed value of \$160,000 on February 1 based on the NYSE closing price for the stock at the end of that day (rounded to the nearest whole share number),

Explanation of Responses:

subject to a restricted period which expires upon the earlier of the day before the date of the Company's next annual stockholders meeting or the first anniversary of the award.

The retainers are paid half on February 1 and half on August 1. These payments and the equity award are made on the first business day after February 1 and August 1 if those dates fall on a non-business day.

Newly elected nonemployee directors receive a prorated annual retainer and award of restricted shares based on the number of regular Board meetings scheduled from the time the director joins the Board to the next annual stockholders meeting out of the total number of regular Board meetings between annual stockholders meetings. The restricted period for restricted shares awarded to newly elected nonemployee directors will expire upon the earlier of the day before the date of the Company's next annual stockholders meeting or the following February 1.

The NYSE closing price of the Company's stock was \$51.74 on February 2, 2015. This price resulted in an award of 3,092 restricted shares of Company common stock to each nonemployee director on that date.

The awards of restricted shares on February 2, 2015 were made pursuant to the terms of the stockholder-approved 2009 Long-Term Incentive Plan. Each nonemployee director and the Company entered into a Restricted Stock Award Agreement consistent with the 2009 Long-Term Incentive Plan. Directors receive declared dividends on, and are entitled to vote, the restricted shares prior to vesting.

Nonemployee directors may defer all or a portion of retainer and meeting fees under the Company's Nonemployee Director Deferred Stock Plan. Deferred fees times 105% are credited as stock units under the plan. The stock units have the same value as Company common stock and receive dividend equivalents. Stock units become payable in shares of Company common stock following termination of service as a director.

Directors who are employees of the Company receive no additional compensation for service on the Board pursuant to Compensation Committee policy.

The Compensation Committee reviews the compensation to be paid to the Company's nonemployee directors. The Committee uses a compensation consultant, Pearl Meyer, to provide advice regarding nonemployee director compensation. The consultant analyzes each element of director compensation and total director compensation for the same peer group of

Table of Contents

companies which is used to evaluate executive compensation. See How Annual Compensation Decisions are Made on page 24 for a list of these peer companies. The Committee reviews the consultant's report of competitive director compensation and determines whether to recommend to the Board a change in the Company's nonemployee director compensation. If such a change is recommended by the Committee, the full Board would then determine whether to ratify the change.

The Compensation Committee's current policy is to review nonemployee director compensation every other year. After this process was completed in late 2014, no changes were made to the Company's nonemployee director compensation policy.

The fiscal 2015 compensation of our nonemployee directors is shown in the following table.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION FOR FISCAL 2015

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash \$(1)	Stock Awards \$(2) (3)	Option Awards \$(3)	All Other Compensation \$(4)	Total (\$)
Gary C. Bhojwani	81,500	159,980			241,480
Terrell K. Crews	98,500	159,980		1,245	259,725
Glenn S. Forbes	76,000	159,980		1,140	237,120
Stephen M. Lacy	98,500	159,980			258,480
John L. Morrison	106,500	159,980		22,599	289,079
Elsa A. Murano	78,000	159,980			237,980
Robert C. Nakasone	91,500	159,980		23,864	275,344
Susan K. Nestegard	78,000	159,980		5,780	243,760
Dakota A. Pippins	76,000	159,980		10,335	246,315
Christopher J. Policinski	82,500	159,980		3,679	246,159
Sally J. Smith	78,000	159,980		179	238,159
Steven A. White	76,000	159,980		347	236,327

(1) Consists of annual retainer, additional retainer for Lead Director and committee chairs, and meeting fees. Includes amounts voluntarily deferred under the Company's Nonemployee Director Deferred Stock Plan.

(2) Consists of the aggregate grant date fair value of restricted stock awarded to each nonemployee director in fiscal 2015, calculated in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 (Compensation - Stock Compensation) (**FASB ASC Topic 718**). Each nonemployee director on February 2, 2015 received a grant of 3,092 shares of restricted stock. The grant date fair value is based on the NYSE closing price of our common stock on the grant date, which was \$51.74 on February 2, 2015.

(3) As of October 25, 2015, nonemployee directors held the following number of unexercised stock options and unvested shares of restricted stock (rounded to the nearest full share):

Explanation of Responses:

Name	Unexercised Options (#)	Unvested Shares of Restricted Stock (#)
Gary C. Bhojwani		3,092
Terrell K. Crews	39,970	3,092
Glenn S. Forbes	9,900	3,092
Stephen M. Lacy	9,900	3,092
John L. Morrison	53,200	3,092
Elsa A. Murano	48,600	3,092
Robert C. Nakasone	48,600	3,092
Susan K. Nestegard	23,816	3,092
Dakota A. Pippins	6,600	3,092
Christopher J. Policinski	3,300	3,092
Sally J. Smith		3,092
Steven A. White		3,092

(4) Consists primarily of dividend equivalents paid on stock units under the Company's Nonemployee Director Deferred Stock Plan. Also includes matching gifts to educational institutions made by the Company on behalf of directors as follows: Mr. Nakasone - \$10,000. This matching gift program is available to all full-time and retired employees and directors of the Company.

Table of Contents

**ITEM 2 AMENDMENT OF THE COMPANY S RESTATED CERTIFICATE
OF INCORPORATION TO EFFECT A STOCK SPLIT**

At present, the Company s Restated Certificate of Incorporation authorizes the issuance of up to 800,000,000 shares of Common Stock, par value \$.0293 per share, 400,000,000 shares of Nonvoting Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share, and 160,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock, par value \$.01 per share. As of November 27, 2015, 264,228,740 shares of Common Stock were issued (there were no shares of treasury stock) and 535,771,260 shares of Common Stock were authorized but unissued. Of these unissued shares, approximately 30,711,707 shares were reserved for issuance under the Company s stock award and other stock plans. Accordingly, at November 27, 2015, there were approximately 505,059,553 shares of Common Stock available for general corporate purposes. No shares of Nonvoting Common Stock or Preferred Stock have been issued.

On November 23, 2015, the Board of Directors of the Company declared it advisable to reclassify the Company s Common Stock by reducing the par value from \$.0293 per share to \$.01465 per share and to increase the number of authorized shares of Common Stock from 800,000,000 to 1,600,000,000 shares in order to effect a two-for-one stock split, as described below. The additional shares of Common Stock would have rights identical to the currently outstanding Common Stock. The proposed increase in authorized Common Stock will not affect the ratio of authorized but unissued Common Stock to issued Common Stock.

The Board of Directors believes the stock split will result in a share price which is attractive to a greater number of investors. The Board recommends the stockholders of the Company approve an amendment to the first paragraph of Article Fourth of the Company s Restated Certificate of Incorporation to approve the reclassification of the shares of Common Stock and the increase in the authorized shares of Common Stock. A copy of the proposed amendment is included in the Certificate of Amendment of Restated Certificate of Incorporation attached as Exhibit A to this proxy statement, which Certificate of Amendment also sets forth the procedure for the two-for-one stock split.

If the stockholders approve the amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation, and upon the amendment becoming effective (which is intended to occur on January 27, 2016), each stockholder of record on January 26, 2016 will be entitled to receive one additional share of Common Stock, \$.01465 par value, for each share of Common Stock already held on that date. The Company will distribute the additional shares of \$.01465 par value Common Stock in uncertificated (book-entry) form on or about February 9, 2016. Following the reclassification of the Common Stock, the Company will have approximately 528,457,480 shares of Common Stock outstanding. The Company also will have reserved for issuance the maximum number of shares of Common Stock subject to options and other awards which have been granted or may be granted under the Company s stock award and other stock plans, which provide that the number of shares of Common Stock reserved for issuance shall be appropriately adjusted in the event of a stock split.

The Board of Directors believes it is in the best interests of the Company to increase the number of authorized shares of Common Stock so as to accommodate the reclassification of the Common Stock and so as to have additional authorized but unissued shares available for issuance by the Board of Directors in connection with any future stock dividends or splits, grants under employee benefit and stock incentive plans, financings, mergers or acquisitions and for other general corporate purposes without the delay and expense associated with convening a special stockholders meeting or soliciting stockholders written consents. Aside from the shares currently reserved or to be reserved for issuance under the Company s stock award and other stock plans, the Board has not authorized the issuance of any additional shares of Common Stock, and there are no current agreements or commitments for the issuance of additional shares.

Edgar Filing: Ardea Biosciences, Inc./DE - Form 4

Stockholders' current ownership of Common Stock will not give them automatic rights to purchase any of the additional authorized shares. If the proposed amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation is adopted, the additional authorized shares of Common Stock will be available for issuance from time to time at the discretion of the Board of Directors without further action by the stockholders, except where stockholder approval is required by stock exchange requirements or to obtain favorable tax treatment for certain employee benefit plans. Any future issuance of additional authorized shares of Common Stock may, among other things, dilute the earnings per share of the Common Stock and the equity and voting rights of those holding Common Stock at the time the additional shares are issued. The Restated Certificate of Incorporation does not authorize cumulative voting for directors. Issuance of shares of Nonvoting Common Stock or Preferred Stock would dilute the earnings per share and book value per share of existing shares of Common Stock.

Although an increase in the authorized shares of Common Stock could, under certain circumstances, be construed as having an anti-takeover effect (for example, by diluting the stock ownership of a person seeking to effect a change in the composition of the Board of Directors or contemplating a tender offer or other transaction for the combination of the Company with another company), the Board of Directors is not proposing the amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation in response to any effort to accumulate the Company's stock or obtain control of the Company by means of a merger, tender offer, or solicitation in opposition of management. Also, while the Company has no present intention to issue shares of Preferred Stock in a manner which would have an anti-takeover effect or otherwise, the issuance of

Table of Contents

Preferred Stock could have certain other anti-takeover effects under certain circumstances. Since the voting rights to be accorded to any series of Preferred Stock remain to be fixed by the Board of Directors, the holders of Preferred Stock may be authorized by the Board to vote separately as a class in connection with approval of certain extraordinary corporate transactions or be given a large number of votes per share. Such Preferred Stock could also be convertible into a large number of shares of Common Stock under certain circumstances or have other terms which might render the acquisition of a controlling interest in the Company more difficult or more costly. Shares of Preferred Stock could be privately placed with purchasers who might side with the management of the Company in opposing a hostile tender offer or other attempt to obtain control. The issuance of Preferred Stock as an anti-takeover device might preclude stockholders from taking advantage of a situation which might be favorable to their interests.

Article Ninth of the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation requires a 75% stockholder vote for certain transactions with any entity which beneficially owns 5% of the Company's voting stock and is intended by the Company to have an anti-takeover effect. The provisions of Article Fourth and Article Ninth of the Restated Certificate of Incorporation could be used in a manner calculated to prevent the removal of management and make it more difficult to obtain or discourage a change in control of the Company. The Company is not aware of any efforts to accumulate the Company's securities or to obtain control of the Company and has no present arrangements, understandings or plans concerning the issuance or use of additional authorized shares, other than pursuant to the Company's stock award or employee benefit plans.

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock is required for approval of the amendment to the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation set forth in the Certificate of Amendment of Restated Certificate of Incorporation attached as Exhibit A to this proxy statement. **The Board of Directors of the Company recommends a vote FOR the approval of the amendment as being in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.**

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT AND

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FEES

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee oversees the Company's financial reporting process on behalf of the Board of Directors. Management has the primary responsibility for the financial statements and the reporting process, including the systems of internal controls. The Committee has the sole authority to appoint or replace the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The independent registered public accounting firm reports directly to the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the Company's fiscal year 2015 audited financial statements with management and with Ernst & Young LLP (**Ernst & Young**), the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee also has discussed with Ernst & Young the matters required to be discussed by the applicable Public Company Accounting Oversight Board standards.

The Audit Committee has received from Ernst & Young the written disclosures and the letter required by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Ethics and Independence Rule 3526, Communication with Audit Committees Concerning Independence, regarding Ernst & Young's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with Ernst & Young its independence from the

Company.

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the fiscal year 2015 audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 25, 2015, for filing with the SEC.

THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

Terrell K. Crews, *Chair*
 Stephen M. Lacy
 Elsa A. Murano

Susan K. Nestegard
 Christopher J. Policinski
 Sally J. Smith

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Fees

The following table shows aggregate fees billed to the Company for fiscal years ended October 25, 2015 and October 26, 2014 by Ernst & Young, our independent registered public accounting firm.

	Fiscal 2015		Fiscal 2014
Audit fees	\$ 1,848,450	\$	1,800,549
Audit-related fees	\$ 174,500	\$	144,500
Tax fees	\$ 0	\$	0
All other fees	\$ 0	\$	0

Table of Contents

Audit Fees - Audit fees are for audit of the Company's financial statements and the audit of internal control over financial reporting for fiscal years 2015 and 2014. Audit fees also include reviews of the financial statements included in the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and statutory audits required internationally.

Audit-Related Fees - Audit-related fees are for services related to the performance of the audit. These services consist of benefit plan audits.

Audit Committee Preapproval Policies and Procedures

The Audit Committee has adopted policies and procedures requiring preapproval of audit and nonaudit services provided to the Company by the independent registered public accounting firm. The Committee preapproved all of the services performed by Ernst & Young during fiscal years 2015 and 2014. The Audit Committee approves all audit and nonaudit fees in advance at each quarterly meeting.

ITEM 3 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors appointed Ernst & Young as the independent registered public accounting firm to audit the consolidated financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries for the fiscal year ending October 30, 2016. Ernst & Young has served as the Company's public auditors since 1931.

At the annual meeting, stockholders will be asked to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending October 30, 2016. Stockholder approval of this appointment is not required. The Board is requesting ratification in order to obtain the views of the Company's stockholders. If the appointment is not ratified, the Audit Committee will reconsider its selection. Representatives of Ernst & Young are expected to be present at the meeting, will be afforded an opportunity to make a statement, and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Ratification of this appointment will require the affirmative vote of the majority of the shares of common stock represented in person or by proxy at the meeting. **The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP. Properly dated and signed proxies will be so voted unless stockholders specify otherwise.**

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

Edgar Filing: Ardea Biosciences, Inc./DE - Form 4

Information as to the persons or groups known by the Company to be beneficial owners of more than five percent of the Company's common stock, as of November 27, 2015, is shown below:

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
The Hormel Foundation 329 North Main Street, Suite 102L, Austin, Minnesota 55912	128,216,558(1)	48.52%

(1) The Hormel Foundation (**Foundation**) holds 13,772,086 of such shares as individual owner and 114,444,472 of such shares as trustee of various trusts. The Foundation, as trustee, votes the shares held in trust. The Foundation has a remainder interest in all of the shares held in trust. The remainder interest consists of principal and accumulated income in various trusts. These interests are to be distributed when the trusts terminate upon the death of designated beneficiaries, or upon the expiration of twenty-one years after the death of such designated beneficiaries.

The Foundation was converted from a private foundation to a public foundation on December 1, 1980. The Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws of the Foundation provide for a Board of Directors, a majority of whom represent nonprofit agencies to be given support by the Foundation. Each member of the Board of Directors of the Foundation has equal voting rights. Members of the Board of Directors of the Foundation are: Chair, Gary J. Ray, retired President Protein Business Units of Hormel Foods; Vice Chair, Bonnie B. Rietz, former Mayor of the City of Austin; Secretary, Steven T. Rizzi, Jr., Attorney, Austin; Treasurer, Jerry A. Anfinson, retired Certified Public Accountant, Austin; Lt. David D. Amick, Commanding Officer, The Salvation Army of Austin; Diane B. Baker, Executive Director, United Way of Mower County, Inc.; Dr. Mark R. Ciota, President and Chief Executive Officer of Mayo Clinic Health System-Albert Lea and Austin; Thomas J. Dankert, Finance Director of the City of Austin, representing the City of Austin; Dr. Zigang Dong, Executive Director, The Hormel Institute, Austin, representing the University of Minnesota, Hormel Institute; Jeffrey M. Ettinger, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Hormel Foods; Craig W. Johnson, Attorney, Austin; Joel W. Johnson, retired Chairman of the Board of Hormel Foods; Randall J. Kramer, Certified Financial Planner, Austin; David M. Krenz, Superintendent of Austin Public Schools; Tedd M. Maxfield, Executive Director, YMCA of Austin; Richard R. Pavek, Executive Director, Cedar Valley Services, Inc., Austin; Larry J. Pfeil, retired Vice President of Hormel Foods; Michael C. Ruzek,

Table of Contents

representing the Austin Area Foundation; and Robert J. Thatcher, retired Vice President and Treasurer of Hormel Foods, representing the Austin Community Scholarship Committee.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT

Information as to beneficial ownership of the Company's common stock by directors, nominees, executive officers of the Company named in the Summary Compensation Table on page 26, and all directors and executive officers of the Company as a group as of November 27, 2015, is shown below:

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership		Percent of Class
	Shares(1)	Exercisable Options(2)	
Gary C. Bhojwani	5,372		*
Steven G. Binder(3)(4)	162,615	607,375	*
Terrell K. Crews	37,857	39,970	*
Thomas R. Day(4)	68,040	237,075	*
Jeffrey M. Ettinger(3)(4)(5)	387,355	4,608,175	1.83%
Jody H. Feragen(3)(4)	157,516	662,375	*
Glenn S. Forbes	19,258	9,900	*
Stephen M. Lacy	18,041	9,900	*
Glenn R. Leitch(4)	13,852	167,125	*
John L. Morrison(3)	101,545	53,200	*
Elsa A. Murano	31,433	48,600	*
Robert C. Nakasone	57,718	48,600	*
Susan K. Nestegard	32,975	23,816	*
Dakota A. Pippins	48,721	6,600	*
Christopher J. Policinski	18,477	3,300	*
Sally J. Smith	6,039		*
James P. Snee	26,045	168,300	*
Steven A. White	6,698		*
All Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (30 persons)(4)	1,552,029	8,081,336	3.54%

* One percent or less.

(1) Except as otherwise indicated and subject to applicable community property and similar statutes, the persons listed as beneficial owners of the shares of the Company's common stock have sole voting and investment powers with respect to the shares. None of the shares are pledged as security. Holdings are rounded to the nearest full share.

(2) Consists of shares subject to options exercisable on or within 60 days of November 27, 2015.

(3) Includes the following number of shares of the Company's common stock beneficially owned by members of their respective households: Mr. Binder 156,867; Mr. Ettinger 1,035; Ms. Feragen 33,900; and Mr. Morrison 7,000.

(4) Shares listed as beneficially owned include, where applicable, shares allocated to participants accounts under the Hormel Tax Deferred Investment Plan A 401(k), and a pro-rata share of unallocated shares held in the Company's Joint Earnings Profit Sharing Trust for the benefit of participants.

(5) Does not include any shares owned by The Hormel Foundation. Mr. Ettinger is a member of the Board of Directors of the Foundation. Mr. Ettinger disclaims beneficial ownership of all shares owned by the Foundation.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis that follows this report. Based on this review and discussion, the Compensation Committee has recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and incorporated by reference into the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 25, 2015.

Table of Contents

THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Stephen M. Lacy, *Chair*
Gary C. Bhojwani
Terrell K. Crews

John L. Morrison
Robert C. Nakasone
Christopher J. Policinski

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Compensation Overview

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors establishes and administers the compensation and benefit programs for executive officers. The Compensation Committee consists exclusively of nonemployee, independent directors. The Committee uses a compensation consultant, Pearl Meyer, to provide compensation advice independent of Company executives. The Committee determined the consultant's work did not raise any conflict of interest. Pearl Meyer does not provide any additional consulting services to the Company. The Committee and its consultant work with senior management to implement and monitor the programs the Committee approves.

The Company's executive compensation programs are designed to achieve two primary goals:

- Attract and retain highly qualified executive officers; and
- Incent the behavior of executive officers to create stockholder value.

These two goals are achieved by providing a competitive total compensation program that offers competitive fixed pay (i.e., base salary and benefits) along with variable, performance-based pay designed to reward performance.

Total compensation for executive officers is leveraged toward incentive compensation rather than base salary. Incentive compensation is comprised of both short-term and long-term incentives. An appropriate balance of short-term and long-term incentives assures executive officers are properly balancing the need for consistent annual performance with the need for improved performance over a multi-year timeline. This compensation balance provides both downside risk and upside opportunity for reward based on Company performance.

The Company's target pay positioning reflects the strong pay-for-performance philosophy. The Compensation Committee considers several factors in its review and approval of overall target compensation, including individual experience and performance, internal parity, competitive pay levels, and competitive performance. In addition to reviewing target pay levels, the Committee also considers the range of potential payouts under the various plans as well as the performance/payout time horizon. As indicated in the table below, target pay levels and incentive plan leverage are designed to create alignment between actual relative pay and relative performance. The Committee believes this strategy has

Edgar Filing: Ardea Biosciences, Inc./DE - Form 4

allowed the Company to attract and retain a skilled, experienced management team, including the named executive officers (**NEOs**) listed in the Summary Compensation Table on page 26, that has delivered strong, consistent financial performance and returns to stockholders.

Pay Component	Performance Factors	Performance Time Horizon	Performance Leverage	% of Target Total Direct Compensation for NEOs	
Base Salary	Individual performance	Annual	Low	10	25%
Operators Shares	Company EPS	Annual	Low/Moderate	5 - 10%	
Annual Incentive Plan	Company EBIT, segment profit and asset management	Annual	Moderate/High	15	25%
Long-Term Incentive	Relative total shareholder return performance	3-year performance period	Moderate/High	15	30%
Stock Options	Stock price growth	4-year vesting; 10-year term	High	25	40%

Say-on-Pay

At the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the Company provided stockholders an advisory vote on executive compensation. The stockholders approved, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's NEOs, as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion set forth in the Company's 2015 annual meeting proxy statement. The vote was 208,692,598 shares For (95.52% of the shares voted), 3,004,891 shares Against (1.38% of the shares voted), and 6,775,118 shares Abstain (3.10% of the shares voted).

The Committee took into account the result of the stockholder vote in determining executive compensation policies and decisions since that vote. The Committee viewed the vote as an expression of the stockholders' general satisfaction with

Table of Contents

the Company's current executive compensation programs. While the Committee considered this stockholder satisfaction in determining to continue the Company's executive compensation programs for fiscal 2016, decisions regarding incremental changes in individual compensation were made in consideration of the factors described below.

Consistent with the stockholders' preference expressed in voting at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the Company's Board of Directors determined that an advisory vote on the compensation of the Company's NEOs will be conducted every two years. The next such stockholder advisory vote will thus take place at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Executive Compensation Programs

Executive officer compensation consists of six parts:

- Base Salary;
- Operators' Share Incentive Compensation Plan;
- Annual Incentive Plan;
- Long-Term Incentives;
- Stock Incentives; and
- Benefits and Perquisites.

Base Salary

Base salary levels are the fixed portion of the executive compensation package. Base salary levels typically represent less than 40% of an executive officer's total direct compensation. Salary levels are based on a combination of factors. These factors include competitive pay levels, the executive's experience and tenure, the executive's responsibilities, the executive's performance and the Company's overall annual budget for merit increases. In keeping with the Company's desire for a performance-oriented pay program, base salaries are generally below competitive median levels.

Operators' Share Incentive Compensation Plan

Why Operators Shares?

The Hormel Foods Corporation Operators Share Incentive Compensation Plan (**Operators Share Plan**) is a short-term incentive. The basic concept of the Operators Share Plan structure has been in place since 1932.

This annual cash incentive plan rewards employee participants for Company financial performance, as measured by earnings per share (**EPS**). The concept behind the Operators Share Plan is that as the EPS of the Company rises over time, so too the executive s compensation rises. Improved EPS, over time, results in an increase in the stock price, which improves stockholder value.

How the Plan Works

Upon initial eligibility for plan participation, an employee receives a grant of Operators Shares. Operators Shares are phantom units, not actual shares of stock or the right to receive the value of stock. Operators Shares represent the right to receive cash compensation under the Operators Share Plan.

Grants of Operators Shares to executive officers are determined by the Compensation Committee. Operators Shares are awarded at a level that results in competitive total annual cash compensation relative to market pay levels, taking into consideration length of service and performance.

During the year, participants receive dividend equivalents. These are cash payments equal to declared dividends multiplied by the number of Operators Shares held.

Following the end of each fiscal year, the Company calculates each participant s Operators Share Plan award. This is done by multiplying the Company s annual EPS by the number of Operators Shares identified for that participant. This award is decreased by the total amount of dividend equivalents paid during the year to determine the final Operators Shares payment.

Annual Incentive Plan

Why AIP?

The Hormel Foods Corporation Annual Incentive Plan (**AIP**) is a short-term incentive. The AIP is an annual cash incentive program that rewards participants for the Company s financial performance. The AIP rewards achievement of

Table of Contents

profit objectives and the wise use of assets. The Committee believes the AIP further aligns performance pay to key drivers of the Company's financial success.

How the Program Works

Payout under the AIP is based on the achievement of financial goals in relation to the Company's annual operating plan approved by the Board of Directors. The Chief Executive Officer's goal is based on earnings before interest and taxes (**EBIT**) for the consolidated Company. Participants who are heads of one of the Company's segments (Grocery Products, Refrigerated Foods, Jennie-O Turkey Store, Specialty Foods, and International & Other) will have their goal weighted, with one-half based on segment profit for their particular segment and one-half based on EBIT for the consolidated Company. All other NEOs have their goal based on EBIT for the consolidated Company.

Performance goals for EBIT and segment profit are based on the annual operating plan approved by the Board of Directors. The Committee has authority to modify the performance goal at the beginning of the year to provide for adjustments for certain non-recurring items, and to exercise negative discretion when measuring performance after year-end. For fiscal 2015, the Committee defined the EBIT goal at the beginning of the year to exclude unusual events that negatively affected the Company's EBIT and retained its negative discretion to adjust the payout downward. As a result, the calculation of fiscal 2015 Total Company EBIT and segment profit for Grocery Products and Refrigerated Foods excluded certain non-recurring items in order to ensure the equitable comparability of the performance to the goal. Such items consisted of charges related to plant closures and the exit from international joint venture businesses, the results attributed to the Applegate Farms, LLC (**Applegate**) business acquired during the year, an adjustment to contingent acquisition consideration, and the write-down to fair market value of assets reclassified as held for sale.

Target award amounts under the AIP will vary based on the participant's position within the Company, and are determined by the Compensation Committee. Performance levels at threshold, target, and maximum, and their associated payout levels are established at the beginning of the fiscal year. Payouts are a percentage of target as follows:

	EBIT/Segment Profit as a % of Plan	Payout as a % of Target
	> 120%	200%
Maximum	120%	200%
Target	100%	100%
Threshold	80%	50%
	< 80%	0%

Awards are interpolated for EBIT and segment profit between the discrete percentages.

The AIP modifier is a secondary measure applied to the AIP award.

- For most participants, including all of the NEOs, the modifier is based on asset management. Asset management is calculated as the average measured assets employed (including accounts receivable, inventories, prepaid expenses, intangible assets, property, plant & equipment, investments, and other assets) as a percentage of the annual operating plan. The asset management modifier may increase or decrease the payout based on EBIT/segment profit, but cannot zero it out. Asset management within 95% to 105% of the plan will have no impact on the payout. Asset management below 95% of the plan will increase the payout by 20%. Asset management above 105% of the plan will decrease the payout by 20%.
- The Committee has authority to modify the performance goal at the beginning of the year to provide for adjustments for certain non-recurring items, and to exercise negative discretion when measuring performance after year-end. As a result, the measurement of asset management excluded the assets attributed to the Applegate business acquired during the year. In addition, certain assets were reclassified between segments in order to allocate those assets to the appropriate segment.

The maximum payout under the AIP is 200% of the target incentive. The Compensation Committee retains discretion to reduce the amount of any award payout.

Upon initial eligibility for AIP participation, an employee receives a target annual incentive. Following the end of each fiscal year, the Company calculates each participant's AIP award. The calculation is as follows:

1. The EBIT/segment profit payout as a percentage of target is calculated first. This is done by utilizing the payout table described above.
2. The AIP modifier portion of the award is then calculated. This is done utilizing the AIP modifier procedure described above.

Table of Contents

3. The EBIT/segment profit payout as a percentage of target is multiplied by the AIP modifier resulting in the AIP payout percentage.
4. The target incentive is multiplied by the AIP payout percentage resulting in the AIP award.

For example - CEO AIP award calculation for fiscal 2015:

- Mr. Ettinger's target incentive is \$1,400,000
- Total Company EBIT payout based on performance

x Total Company asset management modifier performance

= AIP payout percentage of 130.0%

- Mr. Ettinger's AIP award is:

\$1,400,000 target incentive x 130.0% = \$1,820,000

The fiscal 2015 AIP payout percentage varied for the NEOs, based upon the Total Company results or their segment results, as follows:

	Target Incentive	Basis for AIP Incentive Payment	AIP Payout % Including Asset Management Modifier
Jeffrey M. Ettinger	\$ 1,400,000	Total Company	130.0%
Steven G. Binder	\$ 575,000	1/4 Refrigerated Foods	200.0%
		1/4 Grocery Products	115.0%
		1/2 Total Company	130.0%
		Weighted Total	143.8%
Jody H. Feragen	\$ 475,000	Total Company	130.0%
Thomas R. Day	\$ 320,000	1/2 Refrigerated	200.0%
		1/2 Total Company	130.0%
		Weighted Total	165.0%
Glenn R. Leitch	\$ 350,000	1/2 Jennie-O Turkey Store	85.0%
		1/2 Total Company	130.0%
		Weighted Total	107.5%

Total Company and the Refrigerated Foods and Grocery Products (after the adjustment for non-recurring items described above) segments surpassed their EBIT/segment profit goal for fiscal 2015. The Jennie-O Turkey Store segment did not achieve its segment profit goal for fiscal 2015. Total Company, Refrigerated Foods, Grocery Products and Jennie-O Turkey Store met their asset management goals. The resulting payout percentage for these four parts of the business represent this performance. While the actual results for Refrigerated Foods would lead to a payout greater than 200%, the AIP has a payout cap of 200%.

Explanation of Responses:

The Total Company EBIT goal for fiscal 2015 was \$1,032,538,000. The Total Company's actual EBIT performance was \$1,066,144,000, which was adjusted to \$1,097,302,000 for the non-recurring items described above, resulting in 106% achievement of the EBIT goal. The Total Company asset goal for fiscal year 2015 was \$4,570,172,000. The Total Company's actual average measured assets employed, excluding measured assets attributed to Applegate, were \$4,439,911,000, resulting in 97% achievement of the goal. Since the actual achievement fell within the 95% to 105% range, no payout modifier was applied.

SEC rules provide that the Company does not have to disclose confidential financial information if doing so would result in competitive harm to the Company. The quantitative factors identified below are all maintained by the Company as confidential and proprietary information. The Compensation Committee believes disclosure of such information would result in competitive harm to the Company. Such harm would be caused by factors including the following:

- Segment profit targets and results are competitively sensitive information that the Company does not publicly disclose; and
- Segment asset management targets and results are competitively sensitive information that the Company does not publicly disclose.

The target-level goals can be characterized as strong performance, meaning that based on historical performance, although attainment of this performance level is uncertain, it can be reasonably anticipated that target performance may be achieved, while the threshold goals are more likely to be achieved and the maximum goals represent more aggressive levels of performance.

Table of Contents

Long-Term Incentives

Why Long-Term Incentives?

The Hormel Foods Corporation 2009 Long-Term Incentive Plan (**LTIP**) is administered by the Compensation Committee and is utilized for the Company's long-term compensation programs. The LTIP allows the Compensation Committee to grant Company executive officers different types of performance awards conditioned on achievement of objective performance goals. LTIP performance awards are designed to provide a small group of key employees selected by the Committee with an incentive to maximize stockholder value. LTIP performance awards granted in fiscal 2015 provide an additional incentive opportunity based on the Company's long-term Total Shareholder Return performance compared to its peers. The Committee feels that the relative performance nature of these LTIP awards balances the absolute performance of the stock options, and recognizes the cyclical nature of the business. In other words, if the Company underperforms versus peers in a very strong market, the options may be valuable, but the LTIP awards will be worthless. Conversely, if the Company outperforms its peers in a very weak market, the options may be worthless, but the LTIP awards would generate a reward.

How the LTIP Awards Work

Total Shareholder Return measures the increase in stock price, assuming reinvested dividends. Each participant, including the NEOs, is given a target dollar award opportunity for the three-year performance period. In selecting participants, and the amount of cash incentive which can be earned by each participant, the Compensation Committee considers various factors. These factors include the nature of the services rendered by the employee, his or her present and potential contributions to the success of the Company, and the LTIP award as a component of competitive total compensation based on market data.

LTIP award opportunities are typically granted annually. This was the case in July 2015, when LTIP performance awards were granted. Since the performance cycle for each award is three years, participants can have up to three annual overlapping three-year LTIPs active at any time. If, during any three year performance cycle, a subsequent target award is increased or decreased due to a promotion or other job change, that increase or decrease will be applied to any existing target awards as of the subsequent award's effective date.

If the Company's actual Total Shareholder Return for the three-year period is at the 50th percentile of the peer group, then participants earn the target award. If the Company's actual Total Shareholder Return ranks highest among the peers, then the award payout equals three times the target opportunity. No award is paid unless actual Total Shareholder Return is above the 25th percentile of the peers. Awards will be interpolated for Company performance between the discrete points. The Compensation Committee retains discretion to reduce the amount of any award payout. The peer group consists of 25 publicly traded companies in the food industry, listed below.

LTIP Peer Companies

B&G Foods, Inc.
Campbell Soup Company

J&J Snack Foods Corp.
The J.M. Smucker Company Kellogg

Pinnacle Foods Inc.
Post Holdings, Inc.

Edgar Filing: Ardea Biosciences, Inc./DE - Form 4

ConAgra Foods, Inc.	Company	Sanderson Farms, Inc.
Dean Foods Company	Keurig Green Mountain, Inc.	Seneca Foods Corporation
Flowers Foods, Inc.	McCormick & Company, Inc.	Snyders-Lance Inc.
Fresh Del Monte Produce Inc.	Mondelez International Inc.	Treehouse Foods Inc.
General Mills, Inc.	PepsiCo Inc.	Tyson Foods Inc.
The Hain Celestial Group, Inc.	Pilgrim's Pride Corp.	The WhiteWave Foods Company
The Hershey Company		

See footnote 4 to the Summary Compensation Table on page 26 for LTIP performance and the payout made in fiscal 2015.

Stock Incentives

Why Stock?

The LTIP also allows the Committee to grant different types of equity awards, including stock options, restricted stock and other stock-based awards. In general, the Committee uses stock options as the primary form of annual equity award. The Committee favors stock options because the option structure focuses executives on continued stock price improvement. Stock option grants typically vest equally over a four year period and have a term of ten years. This extended vesting period and term encourage executives to weigh how business decisions made in the near-term affect the Company's long-term stock price performance.

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee also has built a safeguard into administration of the plan. Stock options are granted annually, effective as of the first Tuesday of December, except for stock option grants to the CEO. This practice ensures that option grant dates cannot be manipulated for a more favorable strike price. The Committee determined to make the CEO's stock option grants effective the same date as the nonemployee directors' option grants, February 1. This date was chosen as it is a fixed date which falls shortly after conclusion of the annual CEO evaluation process. Options are always granted at the market price of the Company's stock at the date of grant. Options thus provide compensation to the optionee only to the extent the market price of the stock increases between the date of grant and the date the option is exercised. Options are intended to provide long-term compensation tied specifically to increases in the price of the Company's stock, thereby aligning the financial interests of executives and stockholders.

The Company's officers are expected to hold Company stock with a value equivalent to 1.5 to 5 times their five-year average annual base salary, depending on position. See Stock Ownership Guidelines on page 8 for more information on the Company's stock ownership guidelines, as well as the Company's pledging and hedging policies. Once officers achieve their stock ownership guidelines, there are no other stock holding requirements.

How Awards are Determined

The Compensation Committee determines, with the assistance of its outside consultant, the amount of options to be granted to executive officers, including the CEO. The CEO adds his input and recommendations regarding grants to executives (other than himself) and other eligible employees. The Committee reviews such recommendations and determines all final option grants to all eligible employees.

Option awards generally reflect the Compensation Committee's assessment of the influence an employee's position has on stockholder value. The number of options awarded may vary up or down from prior year awards based on the level of an individual executive officer's contribution to the Company in a particular year, determined in part on the recommendation of the CEO. The Committee's determination of option grants in fiscal 2015 and in past years took into consideration a number of factors. These factors include past grants to the individual, total compensation level (relative to other executives and relative to market data), contributions to the Company during the last completed fiscal year, potential for contributions in the future, and as a component of competitive total compensation based on market data.

Clawback Policy

The Committee has adopted a clawback policy which provides for recoupment of incentive compensation in certain circumstances. If the Company restates its reported financial results for reasons other than a restatement required by a change in applicable accounting standards, the Board will review the bonus and other awards made to the executive officers based on financial results during the period subject to the restatement and, to the extent practicable under applicable law, the Company will seek to recover or cancel any such awards which were awarded as a result of achieving performance targets that would not have been met under the restated financial results.

Pension Plan

Edgar Filing: Ardea Biosciences, Inc./DE - Form 4

The Company maintains noncontributory defined benefit pension plans covering substantially all salaried employees. Pension benefits for salaried employees are based upon the employee's highest five years of compensation (as described below) of the last ten calendar years of service and the employee's length of service.

The Salaried Employees Pension Plan (**Pension Plan**) provides an annual pension benefit based on the base benefit and supplemental benefit. The base benefit is 0.95% of the average annual compensation multiplied by the years of benefit service, limited to 40 years, at retirement. The supplemental benefit is 0.65% of average annual compensation less covered compensation multiplied by the years of benefit service, limited to 35 years. Average annual compensation is the average of the highest five years of compensation of the last ten completed calendar years at retirement. For this purpose, annual compensation consists of base salary, Operators' Share Plan payments and Annual Incentive Plan payments. Covered compensation is derived from a published table based on year of birth that averages the maximum social security wage bases during the participant's working life.

The earliest eligible retirement age is 55 years, after completion of 15 years of service. The base benefit is discounted 0.5% for every month retirement occurs before age 62. However, an employee may retire with 30 years of service after attaining age 60 and avoid the discount on the base benefit. The supplemental benefit is multiplied by an adjustment factor which increases from 0.48 at age 55 to 1.00 at age 65.

The Pension Plan was amended in fiscal 2011 to change the benefit formula effective January 1, 2017. Pension benefits will continue to be based on average annual compensation and utilize covered compensation as a supplemental benefit. The base benefit will be an 8% or 10% credit for each year of service after January 1, 2017. If the sum of the employee age and years of service as of the beginning of the plan year is 75 or less, the employee receives an 8% base pay credit. If it is

Table of Contents

greater than 75, the employee receives a 10% base pay credit. An annual supplemental credit of 4% for each year is included if average annual compensation is greater than covered compensation at termination of employment.

At termination of employment, the sum of the base pay annual credits is multiplied by the average annual compensation with the result being the base portion of the pension benefit. The sum of supplemental credits is multiplied by the result of the average annual compensation minus covered compensation with the result being the supplemental portion of the pension benefit. The pension benefit is payable in a lump sum or an annuity at the choice of the participant. The earliest retirement age and discount factors were not changed for current participants.

The match in the Company's Tax Deferred Investment Plan A - 401(k) (**401(k) Plan**) covering these employees will increase effective October 31, 2016 in conjunction with this modification.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

Why have a SERP?

The Hormel Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (**SERP**) provides an annual pension benefit to a select group of management, including all NEOs, based on the same pension formula as the Pension Plan. The SERP bases the benefit on compensation that is not allowable in the Pension Plan. Such compensation includes amounts over the qualified plan compensation limit, currently \$265,000, restricted stock awards, and deferrals to nonqualified deferred income plans. Rather than adding a different measure of value, the SERP merely restores the value executives lose under the Pension Plan (described above) due to government limitations.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan

Why have a NQDCP?

In the same way that the SERP eliminates the government-imposed limitations on the Pension Plan, the nonqualified deferred compensation plan, the Executive Deferred Income Plan (**NQDCP**), eliminates the government-imposed limitations on the 401(k) Plan. The Company's NQDCP permits eligible employees, including all NEOs, to annually defer certain compensation. This compensation includes base salary, Operators' Shares dividend equivalents and year-end payments, AIP payments, and long-term incentive payments. Effective October 31, 2016, the Company will make contributions on behalf of participants for 401(k) match amounts which could not be contributed to the 401(k) Plan because of government-imposed limitations. The Company also may make discretionary contributions to the participant's deferral accounts.

Deferrals of cash compensation are credited with deemed investment gains and losses. Similar to a 401(k) plan, the participant may choose from a number of investments, none of which provide above-market interest rates. Payments under the NQDCP are made on the date(s) selected by

each participant in accordance with the terms of the plan or on such other date(s) as specified in the plan. Payments relating to deferrals of cash compensation are paid in cash.

In connection with the NQDCP, the Company has created a grantor trust, commonly known as a rabbi trust. The Company is under no obligation to further fund this trust and would do so only at its discretion. The assets of the trust are intended to be used to pay benefits under the plan, but the assets of the trust are subject to the claims of general creditors of the Company.

The Compensation Committee believes that the SERP and the NQDCP together provide a competitive retirement package for executives that is consistent with the retirement benefits provided to all Company employees.

Survivor Income Protection Plan

Why have a SIPE?

The Hormel Survivor Income Plan for Executives (**SIPE**) is provided in addition to the life insurance plan which is available to all salaried employees. As with the qualified pension plans, there are limits on the levels of insurance provided under the broad-based plan. The Company offers the SIPE to provide a death benefit commensurate with the income levels of the participants. The SIPE is available to a designated group of management employees, including all NEOs.

The SIPE pays a benefit to the employee's spouse or dependent child of 60% of average salary (based on a five-year average) for up to 20 years if the eligible employee died while actively employed. If the payment is made to a beneficiary instead of a spouse or dependent child, the maximum duration is five years (for participants joining the SIPE in 2000 or after) or 20 years (for participants joining the SIPE prior to 2000). If the eligible employee died after retirement, payment to the spouse or dependent child is 1% per year of service up to 40% of average salary for 15 years. If the payment is made to a beneficiary, not to a spouse or dependent child, the maximum duration is five years (for participants joining the SIPE in 2000 or after) or ten years (for participants joining the SIPE prior to 2000). The SIPE was amended in fiscal 2009 to discontinue the post-retirement benefit for new officers effective on or after October 26, 2009.

Table of Contents

Perquisites

The Company provides limited perquisites to its executive officers. The Company maintains two corporate aircraft, but executive use of the aircraft is strictly limited to business purposes.

The Company maintains a condominium in Vail, Colorado. The condominium is made available to members of senior management as a vacation destination. The taxable value of the use of this property is charged as taxable income to the employee, in accordance with IRS regulations.

The Company provides cars to executive officers. Due to business travel needs, the Company has chosen to provide a Company car in lieu of paying mileage for the use of a personal vehicle. The annual taxable value of the vehicle is charged as taxable income to the employee, in accordance with IRS regulations.

The Company provides a designated group of managers, including executive officers, an annual medical physical. Assuring these key managers are in good health minimizes the chance business operations will be interrupted due to an unexpected health condition.

How Annual Compensation Decisions are Made

The Compensation Committee reviews and approves recommendations for pay changes for the CEO, each of his 6 direct reports and a group of 28 additional officers who hold key positions within the Company. Each year, the Committee asks its outside consultant to update the competitive analysis for each of these positions.

For the NEOs, the consultant develops market consensus data using both a peer group of companies similar to the Company in size and industry (listed below) and a combination of several compensation surveys. The use of peer group data (1) provides the Compensation Committee with more specific information regarding market practices than is available from surveys and (2) allows the Committee to compare the Company's relative pay positioning in relation to the Company's relative performance positioning to ensure a proper pay-for-performance alignment. The use of survey data (1) provides information based on specific position responsibilities rather than pay level and (2) provides pay information for positions that fall below the NEOs. The consultant works with the Company's Senior Vice President - Human Resources to ensure a proper understanding of the roles, responsibilities and revenue scope of each position reviewed.

Hormel Foods Pay and Performance Peer Group	
Campbell Soup Company	Kraft Foods Group Inc.
ConAgra Foods, Inc.	McCormick & Company, Inc.
Dean Foods Company	Mondelez International Inc.
Flowers Foods, Inc.	Pilgrim's Pride Corporation
General Mills, Inc.	Pinnacle Foods Inc.
The Hain Celestial Group, Inc.	Post Holdings, Inc.

Edgar Filing: Ardea Biosciences, Inc./DE - Form 4

The Hershey Company	Sanderson Farms, Inc.
The J.M. Smucker Company	Seaboard Corporation
Kellogg Company	Tyson Foods Inc.
Keurig Green Mountain, Inc.	The WhiteWave Foods Company

2014/2015 Fiscal Year Data (\$ in millions)	Hormel Foods (Fiscal 2014)	25th Percentile	Median	75th Percentile
Revenues	\$ 9,316	\$ 3,671	\$ 6,947	\$ 14,893
Market Capitalization	\$ 13,842	\$ 4,545	\$ 9,574	\$ 18,853

The companies in this Pay and Performance Peer Group are different than the LTIP Peer Companies because the purpose of each list is different. The Pay and Performance Peer Group consists of food companies which are more similar in size to the Company. This makes them a better match to use for compensation comparison purposes. The LTIP Peer Companies are a broader group of food companies which are publicly traded, allowing for determination of relative total shareholder return performance. Since total shareholder return is not dependent on company size, a broader group of companies can be included. This broader group assures there will be a sufficient number of comparison companies at the end of the three-year LTIP performance cycle if some of the companies are eliminated by acquisition, bankruptcy, or similar events. Each year the Committee reviews the Pay and Performance Peer Group and the LTIP Peer Companies with input from the consultant and approves any changes.

Upon completing the competitive analysis, the consultant provides the Compensation Committee with a report of the relative pay and performance findings. Based on the results of this analysis, the Committee discusses strategic goals for the program and establishes broad parameters for annual pay decisions, including desired changes in overall pay mix. The consultant then works with the CEO and the Senior Vice President of Human Resources to develop an initial set of recommendations for annual pay decisions, consistent with the guidelines established by the Committee. The consultant presents preliminary recommendations to the CEO and Senior Vice President of Human Resources based on each

Table of Contents

executive's market positioning and relative internal positioning. The CEO and Senior Vice President of Human Resources then modify those recommendations based on their assessment of each individual's performance and contribution. The initial results are then submitted to the Committee for review and discussion. Based on the Committee discussion, modifications are made to the initial recommendations and the Committee approves the final recommendations at a subsequent meeting. The CEO does not participate in the Committee's process for establishing the CEO's compensation.

For fiscal year 2015, the Compensation Committee approved salary increases and changes to Operators' Shares grants, AIP award target amounts, LTIP award target amounts and stock option grants for the NEOs and other key executives. The resulting fiscal 2015 compensation levels for the NEOs are detailed in the Summary Compensation Table on page 26 and the supporting tables that follow. At target performance, each NEO's total direct compensation (total cash compensation plus long term compensation) will be between the 50th and 75th percentile of market consensus data.

The Compensation Committee considers the positioning of NEO compensation appropriate in light of the experience, expertise, responsibilities and performance of these five individuals.

Tax Deductibility

Compensation decisions for our executive officers are made with full consideration of the tax implications, including deductibility under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. Section 162(m) limits the deductibility of compensation paid to certain executive officers in excess of \$1 million annually, but excludes performance-based compensation from this limit.

Our stockholders have approved the Company's Operators' Share Plan and LTIP for the purpose of permitting awards under those plans to qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m). The Compensation Committee generally intends for compensation awarded under those plans to be deductible, except for dividend equivalents paid under the Operators' Share Plan. Such dividends may not be deductible in full for any NEO in a given year. The Compensation Committee reserves the right to make other compensation payments that do not qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) when the Compensation Committee determines it advisable to do so to properly incentivize our executive officers.

ANALYSIS OF RISK ASSOCIATED WITH OUR COMPENSATION PLANS

In making decisions regarding compensation program design and pay levels, our Compensation Committee and senior management consider many factors, including any potential risks to the Company and its stockholders. Although a significant portion of our executives' compensation is performance-based and at-risk, we believe the Company's compensation plans are appropriately structured and are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Edgar Filing: Ardea Biosciences, Inc./DE - Form 4

Senior management, with the oversight of the Committee, implements and administers the compensation program for all employees of the Company other than the executive group.

The Committee, with the assistance of its independent outside consultant, oversees all aspects of the executive compensation program including:

- Approval of the companies included in the peer group for comparison purposes;
- Review and approval of threshold, target and performance goals for short- and long-term incentives;
- Approval of all equity grants; and
- Approval of all pay actions for senior executives (currently 35 incumbents).

Specifically, the Committee notes the following design features that mitigate potential risk:

1. Our short-term variable pay consists of two programs that provide a strong balance of performance measures:
 - The Operators Share Plan rewards absolute company-wide EPS performance. The plan ties all participants to the results of the total company and the award levels are not subject to budget negotiations ;
 - The AIP rewards the achievement of operating income and asset management relative to Committee-approved goals;
 - The inclusion of asset management discourages decisions designed to boost short-term results;
 - Including both company-wide and division measures creates a balance between focus on overall results and a tangible pay-for-performance relationship for division executives; and
 - The cap on annual payouts mitigates the risk of excessive rewards for temporary, unsustainable results.
2. Our long-term incentive structure consists of two programs that balance absolute and relative shareholder value creation over a multi-year period:

Table of Contents

- The LTIP performance awards program rewards relative total shareholder return over a three-year performance period;
- The relative nature of the measurement mitigates the risk of overpayment for absolute performance that lags industry expectations;
- The Stock Option grants vest over a four-year period and provide reward for the achievement of absolute stock price performance;
- Multi-year vesting of options mitigates the risk that executives can reap excessive rewards from temporary stock price increases;
- In addition, executives (and directors) are subject to stock ownership guidelines, which require minimum stock holdings for the duration of the executives' employment; and
- Further, the multi-year nature of both plans also serves as a retention tool, mitigating the risk of unwanted executive turnover.

COMPENSATION OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS (NEOs)

The following tables and narrative disclosure should be read in conjunction with the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, which presents the objectives of our executive compensation and benefit programs. The table below presents compensation for individuals who served as Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer and for the other three most highly compensated executive officers who were serving as executive officers at the end of fiscal 2015.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary \$(1)	Bonus \$(2)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards \$(3)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation \$(4)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred	All Other Compensation \$(6)	Total (\$)
							Compensation Earnings \$(5)		
Jeffrey M. Ettinger	2015	1,000,220	300		2,999,700	4,629,350	763,669	61,866	9,455,105
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (effective October 26, 2015)	2014	1,000,220	300		3,000,376	3,615,950	1,987,891	57,876	9,662,613
Steven G. Binder	2015	481,650	300		775,152	1,669,463	620,420	45,886	3,592,871
Executive Vice President and President, Hormel Business Units	2014	466,380	300		775,376	1,292,601	982,626	47,695	3,564,978
Jody H. Feragen	2013	432,780	200		637,500	1,243,528		48,360	2,362,368
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2015	481,650	300		775,152	1,460,400	352,835	38,909	3,109,246
	2014	466,380	300		775,376	1,161,850	618,528	41,794	3,064,228
	2013	444,930	200		637,500	1,310,000	140,867	42,655	2,576,152

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: Ardea Biosciences, Inc./DE - Form 4

Thomas R. Day	2015	337,900	300	410,256	1,029,588	463,997	30,395	2,272,436
Group Vice President	2014	315,120	300	379,776	790,552	519,049	45,036	2,049,833
Glenn R. Leitch	2015	353,170	300	430,416	848,500	255,202	32,236	1,919,824
Group Vice President	2014	317,580	300	430,215	685,464	287,418	33,380	1,754,357
	2013	261,220	200	357,000	687,932	70,088	30,288	1,406,728

- (1) Includes amounts voluntarily deferred under the Company's Tax Deferred Investment Plan A - 401(k) and the Executive Deferred Income Plan.
- (2) Consists of a discretionary bonus that was paid, in the same amount, to all other eligible employees.
- (3) Consists of the aggregate grant date fair value of stock options granted during the fiscal year, calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The grant date fair value is based on the Black-Scholes valuation model. Assumptions used to calculate these amounts are included in Note A, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Employee Stock Options, and Note L, Stock-Based Compensation, of the audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 25, 2015.
- (4) Consists of Operators' Share Incentive Compensation Plan and Annual Incentive Plan payments earned during the fiscal year, the majority of which were paid subsequent to fiscal year end, and payouts under the LTIP performance awards, as shown in the table below. For the LTIP performance period June 8, 2012 through June 18, 2015, the Company's Total Shareholder Return was at the 88.9 percentile, resulting in a payout at 196.3% of the target awards. Includes amounts voluntarily deferred under the Executive Deferred Income Plan.

Table of Contents

Name	Year	Operators Share Plan Payment (\$)	Annual Incentive Plan Payment (\$)	LTIP Payout (\$)	Total Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)
Jeffrey M. Ettinger	2015	355,600	1,820,000	2,453,750	4,629,350
	2014	312,200	1,470,000	1,833,750	3,615,950
	2013	292,500	1,225,000	2,730,495	4,247,995
Steven G. Binder	2015	254,000	826,563	588,900	1,669,463
	2014	223,000	639,375	430,226	1,292,601
	2013	195,000	392,000	656,528	1,243,528
Jody H. Feragen	2015	254,000	617,500	588,900	1,460,400
	2014	223,000	498,750	440,100	1,161,850
	2013	195,000	402,500	712,500	1,310,000
Thomas R. Day	2015	152,400	528,000	349,188	1,029,588
	2014	133,800	442,250	214,502	790,552
Glenn R. Leitch	2015	177,800	376,250	294,450	848,500
	2014	156,100	329,063	200,301	685,464
	2013	136,500	307,125	244,307	687,932

(5) Consists of the annual increase in the actuarial present value of accumulated benefits under the Pension Plan and the SERP. In fiscal 2013, the annual change in the actuarial present value of accumulated benefits under the Pension Plan and the SERP was a negative amount for Mr. Ettinger (-\$444,999) and Mr. Binder (-\$152,212). In accordance with SEC rules, the present value was determined using the same assumptions applicable for valuing pension benefits for purposes of our financial statements. See Pension Benefits on page 31. The NEOs had no above-market or preferential earnings on deferred compensation.

(6) All other compensation, including perquisites and other personal benefits, consists of the following:

ALL OTHER COMPENSATION

Name	Year	Joint Earnings Profit Sharing (\$)(a)	Company 401k Match (\$)(b)	Use of Company Car (\$)(c)	Use of Company Properties (\$)(d)	Physical Exams (\$)(e)	Total (\$)
Jeffrey M. Ettinger	2015	41,163	900	12,025	7,778		61,866
	2014	41,163	900	13,643		2,170	57,876
	2013	41,548	900	15,897	4,704	2,739	65,788
Steven G. Binder	2015	19,966	900	14,231	4,851	5,938	45,886
	2014	19,549	900	15,444	4,933	6,869	47,695
	2013	18,695	900	15,868	4,704	8,193	48,360
Jody H. Feragen	2015	19,966	900	13,447		4,596	38,909
	2014	19,549	900	14,475		6,870	41,794
	2013	18,695	900	14,705		8,355	42,655
Thomas R. Day	2015	14,402	900	12,239		2,854	30,395
	2014	12,968	900	23,583	4,933	2,652	45,036
Glenn R. Leitch	2015	14,820	900	14,585		1,931	32,236
	2014	13,996	900	15,970		2,514	33,380
	2013	11,426	900	15,448		2,514	30,288

- (a) Consists of Joint Earnings Profit Sharing distributions for each fiscal year that were authorized and paid subsequent to fiscal year end. Company Joint Earnings Profit Sharing distributions may be authorized by the Board of Directors in its discretion based on Company profits. The total amount of Company distributions declared available to all participants by the Board is allocated in the same proportion as each person's base weekly wage bears to the total base wage for all eligible persons. Distributions to the NEOs are calculated using the same formula as is used for all eligible employees. Distributions to the NEOs include both a contribution to the Joint Earnings Profit Sharing Trust and a Joint Earnings profit sharing cash payment.
- (b) Consists of Company matching payments under the Hormel Tax Deferred Investment Plan A - 401(k). This matching payment, in the same amount, is available to all other eligible employees.
- (c) Consists of the aggregate incremental cost to the Company of a vehicle provided to the NEO for business and personal use. This cost includes the depreciation expense of the vehicle and insurance, license, fuel and maintenance costs.
- (d) Consists of the aggregate incremental cost to the Company of use of a Company-owned condominium in Vail, Colorado. This cost is the total costs of the property allocated between the two units in the condominium and then

Table of Contents

divided by the number of weeks the units are available for use. Costs of the property include property management, insurance, utilities, remodeling, repairs and property taxes.

(e) Consists of costs of physical medical examinations paid for by the Company.

The following table describes each stock option and non-equity incentive plan award made to each NEO in fiscal 2015.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS FOR FISCAL 2015

Name	Grant Date	Award Approval Date	Operators Shares(1) (#)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh.)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)
				Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)			
Jeffrey M. Ettinger		12/19/2014(1)	140,000		312,200				
		12/19/2014(2)		700,000	1,400,000	2,800,000			
	2/2/2015(3)	12/19/2014					333,300	51.74	2,999,700
		7/27/2015(4)		1,200,000	2,400,000	7,200,000			
Steven G. Binder		11/24/2014(1)	100,000		223,000				
		11/24/2014(2)		287,500	575,000	1,150,000			
	12/2/2014(3)	11/24/2014					76,900	52.76	775,152
		7/27/2015(4)		237,500	475,000	1,425,000			
Jody H. Feragen		11/24/2014(1)	100,000		223,000				
		11/24/2014(2)		237,500	475,000	950,000			
	12/2/2014(3)	11/24/2014					76,900	52.76	775,152
		7/27/2015(4)		225,000	450,000	1,350,000			
Thomas R. Day		11/24/2014(1)	60,000		133,800				
		11/24/2014(2)		160,000	320,000	640,000			
	12/2/2014(3)	11/24/2014					40,700	52.76	410,256
		7/27/2015(4)		120,000	240,000	720,000			
Glenn R. Leitch		11/24/2014(1)	70,000		156,100				
		11/24/2014(2)		175,000	350,000	700,000			
	12/2/2014(3)	11/24/2014					42,700	52.76	430,416
		7/27/2015(4)		130,000	260,000	780,000			

(1) The Operators Shares column discloses the number of Operators Shares granted to each NEO for fiscal 2015. The target column shows the estimated possible Operators Share payment for fiscal 2015 based on fiscal 2014 EPS of \$2.23. In accordance with SEC rules, this estimated possible payment is based on the previous fiscal year's performance since the fiscal 2015 EPS results are not determinable when the award is made at the beginning of fiscal 2015. The actual Operators Share payment earned in fiscal 2015 for each NEO based on fiscal 2015 EPS of \$2.54 was paid subsequent to fiscal year end and is included under Non-Equity Plan Incentive Compensation in the Summary Compensation Table on page 26. See Operators Share Incentive Compensation Plan on page 18 for a description of Operators Shares.

(2) Consists of AIP performance awards granted in fiscal 2015. These awards include target amounts and are subject to threshold and maximum payouts under the AIP. The actual AIP payment earned in fiscal 2015 for each NEO was paid subsequent to fiscal year end and is included under Non-Equity Plan Incentive Compensation in the Summary Compensation Table on page 26. See Annual Incentive Plan on page 18 for a description of the AIP

Edgar Filing: Ardea Biosciences, Inc./DE - Form 4

and AIP payouts for fiscal 2015.

(3) Consists of stock options granted under the Company's 2009 Long-Term Incentive Plan. These options vest at 25% per year on the anniversary of the grant date. The grant date fair value is included under "Option Awards" in the Summary Compensation Table on page 26. See "Potential Payments Upon Termination" on page 32 for a discussion of how equity awards are treated under various termination scenarios.

(4) Consists of LTIP performance awards made in fiscal 2015. The performance period is June 5, 2015 through the 20th trading day after the Company's second fiscal quarter 2018 earnings release, ending June 30, 2018 at the latest. The actual cash amounts payable at the end of the performance period under these LTIP performance awards, if any, cannot be determined because the amount earned will be based on the Company's future performance and the future performance of the peer group. See "Long-Term Incentives" on page 21 for a description of the LTIP awards and potential payouts for LTIP awards.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the total outstanding equity awards as of October 25, 2015 for each of the NEOs.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL 2015 YEAR END

Name	OPTION AWARDS		Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable(1)(2)		
Jeffrey M. Ettinger	500,000		16.37	12/6/2015
	500,000		19.36	12/5/2016
	200		18.71	1/8/2017
	600,000		20.07	12/4/2017
	700,000		15.20	2/2/2019
	700,000		19.56	2/1/2020
	700,000		24.84	2/1/2021
	525,000	175,000	28.97	2/1/2022
	300,000	300,000	35.42	2/1/2023
	82,975	248,925	43.46	2/3/2024
	333,300	51.74	2/2/2025	
Steven G. Binder	200		18.71	1/8/2017
	60,000		20.07	12/4/2017
	25,000		12.63	12/2/2018
	110,000		19.13	12/1/2019
	110,000		24.96	12/7/2020
	112,500	37,500	29.60	12/6/2021
	62,500	62,500	30.98	12/4/2022
	19,600	58,800	45.98	12/3/2023
	76,900	52.76	12/2/2024	
Jody H. Feragen	200		18.71	1/8/2017
	60,000		20.07	12/4/2017
	150,000		19.13	12/1/2019
	150,000		24.96	12/7/2020
	112,500	37,500	29.60	12/6/2021
	62,500	62,500	30.98	12/4/2022
	19,600	58,800	45.98	12/3/2023
		76,900	52.76	12/2/2024
Thomas R. Day	200		18.71	1/8/2017
	10,000		20.07	12/4/2017
	40,000		12.63	12/2/2018
	40,000		19.13	12/1/2019
	40,000		24.96	12/7/2020
	30,000	10,000	29.60	12/6/2021
	25,000	25,000	30.98	12/4/2022
	9,600	28,800	45.98	12/3/2023
	40,700	52.76	12/2/2024	
Glenn R. Leitch	8,000		19.36	12/5/2016
	200		18.71	1/8/2017
	8,000		20.07	12/4/2017
	8,000		12.63	12/2/2018
	10,000		19.13	12/1/2019
	8,000		24.96	12/7/2020

Edgar Filing: Ardea Biosciences, Inc./DE - Form 4

30,000	10,000	29.60	12/6/2021
35,000	35,000	30.98	12/4/2022
10,875	32,625	45.98	12/3/2023
	42,700	52.76	12/2/2024

Table of Contents

(1) Stock option grants generally vest in four equal annual installments, starting with one-fourth of the grant vesting on the first anniversary of the grant date. The stock options have a term of ten years. The grant date is thus ten years prior to the option expiration date shown in this table. Specific vesting dates are listed in footnote 2 below.

See Potential Payments Upon Termination on page 32 for a discussion of how equity awards are treated under various termination scenarios.

(2) The table below shows the vesting schedule for all unexercisable options. These options vest on the anniversary of the grant date in the year indicated. For example, the December 2, 2014 option grant for Mr. Binder vested as to 19,225 shares on December 2, 2015 and will vest as to 19,225 shares on each of December 2, 2016, December 2, 2017 and December 2, 2018.

VESTING SCHEDULE FOR UNEXERCISABLE OPTIONS

Name	Option Grant Date	Vested in December 2015	Will Vest in 2016	Will Vest in 2017	Will Vest in 2018	Will Vest in 2019
Jeffrey M. Ettinger	2/1/2012		175,000			
	2/1/2013		150,000	150,000		
	2/3/2014		82,975	82,975	82,975	
	2/2/2015		83,325	83,325	83,325	83,325
Steven G. Binder	12/6/2011	37,500				
	12/4/2012	31,250	31,250			
	12/3/2013	19,600	19,600	19,600		
	12/2/2014	19,225	19,225	19,225	19,225	
Jody H. Feragen	12/6/2011	37,500				
	12/4/2012	31,250	31,250			
	12/3/2013	19,600	19,600	19,600		
	12/2/2014	19,225	19,225	19,225	19,225	
Thomas R. Day	12/6/2011	10,000				
	12/4/2012	12,500	12,500			
	12/3/2013	9,600	9,600	9,600		
	12/2/2014	10,175	10,175	10,175	10,175	
Glenn R. Leitch	12/6/2011	10,000				
	12/4/2012	17,500	17,500			
	12/3/2013	10,875	10,875	10,875		
	12/2/2014	10,675	10,675	10,675	10,675	

The following table summarizes the option awards exercised during fiscal 2015 by each of the NEOs.

OPTION EXERCISES FOR FISCAL 2015

Explanation of Responses:

Name	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized Upon Exercise \$(1)
Jeffrey M. Ettinger		
Steven G. Binder	120,000	4,876,650
Jody H. Feragen	70,000	2,208,500
Thomas R. Day	30,000	1,239,300
Glenn R. Leitch	8,000	374,720

(1) Amount is the difference between the market price (NYSE prior day closing price) of the Company stock at the time of exercise and the exercise price of the options.

The following table shows present value of accumulated benefits that NEOs are entitled to under the Pension Plan and SERP.

Table of Contents**PENSION BENEFITS**

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
Jeffrey M. Ettinger(1)	Pension Plan	25-11/12	765,353	
	SERP	25-11/12	11,250,126	
Steven G. Binder(1)	Pension Plan	36-4/12	1,109,126	
	SERP	36-4/12	4,419,567	
Jody H. Feragen(1)	Pension Plan	15-1/12	542,973	
	SERP	15-1/12	2,228,901	
Thomas R. Day(1)	Pension Plan	34-11/12	1,042,645	
	SERP	34-11/12	2,023,504	
Glenn R. Leitch	Pension Plan	14-9/12	394,389	
	SERP	14-9/12	606,142	

(1) Mr. Ettinger, Mr. Binder, Ms. Feragen and Mr. Day are eligible for early retirement under both the Pension Plan and the SERP. Early retirement provisions of these plans are described under Pension Plan on page 22 and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan on page 23.

In accordance with SEC rules, the present value of accumulated benefits that NEOs are entitled to under these plans was determined using the same assumptions applicable for valuing pension benefits for purposes of our financial statements. See Note G, Pension and Other Post-retirement Benefits, of the audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 25, 2015. The material terms of these plans are described under Pension Plan on page 22 and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan on page 23.

The following table shows information about each NEO's participation in the Company's Executive Deferred Income Plan.

NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION

Name	Executive Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$)(1)	Company Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last Fiscal Year (\$)(1)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at October 25, 2015 (\$)(1)
Jeffrey M. Ettinger	1,476,875		333,766		11,782,075
Steven G. Binder	311,588		204,120		2,841,656
Jody H. Feragen	588,900		110,429		4,405,174
Thomas R. Day			125,281		2,055,364
Glenn R. Leitch	214,581		37,148		1,016,442

(1) The following table identifies amounts that have already been reported as compensation in our Summary Compensation Table for the current and prior years:

Name	Amount of Fiscal 2015 Contributions and Earnings Reported as Compensation in Fiscal 2015 Summary Compensation Table (\$)	Amounts in Aggregate Balance at October 25, 2015 Column Reported as Compensation in Summary Compensation Tables for Prior Years (\$)
Jeffrey M. Ettinger	1,476,875	7,840,417
Steven G. Binder	311,588	1,515,196
Jody H. Feragen	588,900	3,168,720
Thomas R. Day		2,550
Glenn R. Leitch	214,581	266,639

The material terms of the Company's Executive Deferred Income Plan are described under Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan on page 23.

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION

Our executive officers do not have employment or severance agreements with the Company. Consequently, no executive officer has any right to cash severance of any kind.

Table of Contents

Our stock option awards include standard provisions that result in the vesting or forfeiture of awards upon termination of employment, depending on the reason for termination. These provisions are summarized as follows:

- All options vest immediately upon death or disability of the executive;
- Retirement results in the continued vesting of options per the original vesting schedule;
- Voluntary termination of employment results in the continued vesting of options per the original vesting schedule, but all options expire three months after such termination;
- Upon a change in capital structure of the Company, including a change in control of the Company via a merger, a sale of assets, or a tender or exchange offer, the Compensation Committee may in its discretion take action which the Committee deems appropriate, including accelerating vesting of options or permitting the exchange of options for a cash payment or substitute options; and
- Options are forfeited immediately upon termination for cause or breach of a confidentiality or noncompete agreement, both as determined by the Compensation Committee. All NEOs have signed a confidentiality agreement. Of the NEOs, Ms. Feragen and Mr. Leitch have signed a noncompete agreement which prohibits them from working on competing products for a competitor of the Company for one year following termination of employment.

Our LTIP performance award agreements include standard provisions that result in the vesting or forfeiture of awards upon termination of employment, depending on the reason for termination. These provisions are summarized as follows:

- Death results in calculation of an award as if the performance period ended on the date of death and payment to the employee's beneficiary of a prorated amount based on the employee's actual period of employment during the performance period;
- Change in control of the Company results in calculation of an award as if the performance period ended on the date change in control occurred and payment to the employee of that award without proration;
- Retirement or disability results in a payment after the end of the performance period equal to the amount that would have been earned over the entire performance period prorated based on the employee's actual period of employment; and
- Termination of employment for any reason other than retirement, disability or death results in forfeiture of all award rights.

The following table shows the potential payment of LTIP performance awards and the potential value of unexercisable stock option awards for the NEOs upon death, retirement, disability, or change in control of the Company as of October 25, 2015.

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION AT FISCAL 2015 YEAR END

Name	Death	Threshold	Retirement or Disability		Change in Control
	Potential		Potential Value or Payment (\$)(1)(3)		Potential
	Value or Payment		Target	Maximum	Value or Payment
	\$(1)(2)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	\$(1)(2)(4)
Jeffrey M. Ettinger					
Stock Options	28,481,212	28,481,212	28,481,212	28,481,212	28,481,212
LTIP award (6/13-6/16)	2,986,200	592,500	1,185,000	3,555,000	3,780,000
LTIP award (6/14-6/17)	2,837,280	552,000	1,104,000	3,312,000	6,168,000
LTIP award (6/15-6/18)	524,160	144,000	288,000	864,000	4,368,000
Total	34,828,852	29,769,712	31,058,212	36,212,212	42,797,212
Steven G. Binder					
Stock Options	6,298,263	6,298,263	6,298,263	6,298,263	6,298,263
LTIP award (6/13-6/16)	875,952	173,800	347,600	1,042,800	1,108,800
LTIP award (6/14-6/17)	561,545	109,250	218,500	655,500	1,220,750
LTIP award (6/15-6/18)	103,740	28,500	57,000	171,000	864,500
Total	7,839,500	6,609,813	6,921,363	8,167,563	9,492,313
Jody H. Feragen					
Stock Options	6,298,263	6,298,263	6,298,263	6,298,263	6,298,263
LTIP award (6/13-6/16)	856,044	169,850	339,700	1,019,100	1,083,600
LTIP award (6/14-6/17)	531,990	103,500	207,000	621,000	1,156,500
LTIP award (6/15-6/18)	98,280	27,000	54,000	162,000	819,000
Total	7,784,577	6,598,613	6,898,963	8,100,363	9,357,363

Table of Contents

Thomas R. Day					
Stock Options	2,598,429	2,598,429	2,598,429	2,598,429	2,598,429
LTIP award (6/13-6/16)	419,217	83,178	166,356	499,067	530,654
LTIP award (6/14-6/17)	260,084	50,600	101,200	303,600	565,400
LTIP award (6/15-6/18)	52,416	14,400	28,800	86,400	436,800
Total	3,330,146	2,746,607	2,894,785	3,487,496	4,131,283
Glenn R. Leitch					
Stock Options	3,088,558	3,088,558	3,088,558	3,088,558	3,088,558
LTIP award (6/13-6/16)	398,160	79,000	158,000	474,000	504,000
LTIP award (6/14-6/17)	283,728	55,200	110,400	331,200	616,800
LTIP award (6/15-6/18)	56,784	15,600	31,200	93,600	473,200
Total	3,827,230	3,238,358	3,388,158	3,987,358	4,682,558

(1) Stock options are valued based on the difference between the \$68.33 closing price of the Company's stock on October 23, 2015, the last trading day of the fiscal year, and the applicable exercise price of the stock options. Amounts shown for stock options represent the value of all unexercisable options. Exercisable options would not be affected by this termination event.

(2) Payments for LTIP performance awards upon death or change in control of the Company are based on actual Company performance through October 25, 2015. Payments for such awards upon death are prorated based on employment from the beginning of the performance period through October 25, 2015.

(3) Retirement or disability results in a payment for LTIP performance awards after the end of the performance period equal to the amount that would have been earned over the entire performance period prorated based on the employee's actual period of employment. These columns thus show the potential threshold, target and maximum payments for such awards, each prorated based on employment from the beginning of the performance period through October 25, 2015. The actual payment would not be determined until after the performance period end date for each award.

(4) For this table, it is assumed that the Compensation Committee exercised its discretion to accelerate vesting of all options upon a change in control of the Company. Alternative assumptions which provide the same result are that the Committee exercised its discretion to permit the exchange of options for a cash payment or substitute options, in either case with a value equal to the difference between the closing price of the Company's stock on October 23, 2015 (the last trading day of the fiscal year) and the applicable exercise price of the stock options.

Following termination of employment for any reason, our executive officers receive payment of retirement benefits and nonqualified deferred compensation benefits under the plans in which they participate. The value of those benefits are set forth in the sections above entitled "Pension Benefits" and "Nonqualified Deferred Compensation."

Upon termination of employment caused by the death of an executive officer, the SIPE would provide a death benefit to the executive's survivors. The value of those benefits is described under "Survivor Income Protection Plan" on page 23.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Board of Directors has adopted a written related party transaction policy. This policy applies to all transactions that qualify for disclosure under Item 404(a) of Regulation S-K of the Exchange Act. Information about transactions involving related persons is reviewed by the Audit Committee. Related persons include Company directors and executive officers, as well as their immediate family members. If a related person has a direct or indirect material interest in any Company transaction, then the Audit Committee would decide whether or not to approve or ratify the transaction. The Audit Committee will use any process and review any information that it determines is appropriate. All related party transactions will be disclosed in accordance with SEC rules. During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2015, the Company purchased 400,000 shares of common stock from The Hormel Foundation under its approved share repurchase program. The shares were purchased by the Company at \$62.32 per share, which represented the average NYSE closing price of the Company's stock for the three days of September 15, 16 and 17, 2015. Settlement took place on September 18, 2015.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

The Company's directors, executive officers, and any persons holding more than ten percent of the Company's common stock are required to report their initial ownership of the Company's common stock and any subsequent changes in that ownership to the SEC and the NYSE. This requirement is contained in Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act. Specific due dates for these reports have been established. The Company is required to disclose in this proxy statement any failure to file by those dates during fiscal 2015.

Table of Contents

In making this disclosure, the Company has relied on the representations of its directors and officers and copies of the reports that they have filed with the SEC. Based on those representations and reports, the Company believes that all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to the Company's directors, officers and greater than ten percent stockholders were met.

ITEM 4 STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL: INDEPENDENT BOARD CHAIR

Stockholder Proposal

The Humane Society of the United States, 2100 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20037, beneficial owner of at least \$2,000 in market value of the Company's common stock, as the sponsor, has notified the Company that it intends to present the following resolution at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. As required by the rules of the SEC, the resolution and supporting statement are reprinted here as they were submitted to the Company:

RESOLVED, that shareholders ask that Hormel Foods Corporation adopt a policy, and amend other governing documents as necessary, to require that the Board's Chair be an independent director. This independence requirement shall apply prospectively, so as not to violate any contractual obligation at the time this resolution is adopted. Compliance with this policy is waived if no independent director is available and willing to serve as Chair. The policy should also specify how to select a new independent Chair if a current Chair ceases to be independent between annual shareholder meetings.

SUPPORTING STATEMENT:

This proposal is based on the following logic:

1. The role of management, including the CEO, is to run the company; and
2. the Board's role is to provide independent oversight of management, including of the CEO; therefore
3. there is a potential conflict of interest and lack of checks and balances when a CEO is his or her own overseer while simultaneously managing the business.

As Intel's former chair Andrew Grove asks, "Is a company a sandbox for the CEO, or is the CEO an employee? If he's an employee, he needs a boss, and that boss is the Board. The Chairman runs the Board. How can the CEO be his own boss?"

Explanation of Responses:

In the case of Hormel Foods, this “sandbox” scenario is especially relevant: nearly half of Hormel’s stock is owned by The Hormel Foundation, of which the company’s Chairman/CEO is a director.

Increasingly, board members seem to agree with Board Chair independence. According to a Sullivan & Cromwell survey of 400 Board members, approximately 70% of respondents believe the head of management should not concurrently Chair the Board.

Indeed, this is a growing issue: in 2012, 44% of all S&P 500 companies had Boards not chaired by their CEO.

An independent Board Chair has also been found to improve financial performance. A 2012 GMI Ratings report, titled The Costs of a Combined Chair/CEO, found that companies with a separate CEO and Chair provide investors with five-year shareholder returns nearly 28% higher than those of companies helmed by a party of one.

It makes sense, then, that numerous institutions support separation, including CalPERS (America’s largest public pension fund) and Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS). Additionally, The Council of Institutional Investors, whose members invest over \$3 trillion, states that a board should be chaired by an independent director.

We believe that ensuring the Board Chair position is held by an independent director rather than a company executive would benefit Hormel and its shareholders, and encourage shareholders to vote FOR this proposal.

Board of Directors Statement in Opposition to the Stockholder Proposal

The Board of Directors believes that adoption of this proposal is not in the best interests of the Company or our stockholders. The Board prefers to maintain the flexibility to determine which leadership structure best serves the interests of the Company based on the specific circumstances at the time, which include the independence and capabilities of the Board members and the leadership provided by the CEO.

The Board feels that separation of the roles of Chairman and CEO should not be mandated and that such a separation would not, by itself, deliver benefit for stockholders. For comparison, the Spencer Stuart Board Index 2015 (<https://www.spencerstuart.com/research-and-insight/spencer-stuart-us-board-index-2015>) indicates only 21 boards (4%) of the S&P 500 companies have formal policies requiring the separation of the Chairman and CEO roles.

Table of Contents

The Board believes our current leadership structure and governance practices allow it to provide effective, independent oversight of the Company. Highlights of our current approach include:

- Our Corporate Governance Guidelines require that a substantial majority of our Board members be independent. In fact, 12 of our 15 directors (80% of the directors) are independent.
- When the Chairman is not an independent director, the Board appoints a Lead Director who is an independent director nominated by the Governance Committee (comprised solely of independent directors) and elected by the Board. Under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Lead Director's term is generally limited to five consecutive years and the Lead Director shall perform certain enumerated duties. These duties are described on page 7. The Board has utilized a Lead Director since November 2008 and Mr. Morrison has served as the Lead Director since November 2011.
- Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that all independent directors will typically meet in executive session at the end of every regular Board meeting and in all circumstances at least quarterly. Independent directors use these executive sessions to discuss matters of concern as well as any matter they deem appropriate, including evaluation of the CEO and senior management, management succession planning, matters to be included on Board agendas, Board informational needs and Board effectiveness.
- All of our key Board committees – Audit, Compensation and Governance – are chaired by independent directors who provide leadership to the Board in their assigned areas of responsibility. The committee chairs shape the agenda and information presented to their committees. As a result, oversight of critical issues within the purview of these committees is entrusted to independent directors.
- Our Corporate Governance Guidelines give the Board and each committee of the Board the power to hire independent legal, financial or other advisers, without consulting or obtaining the approval of any officer of the Company, as well as full access to officers and employees of the Company.

The Board believes Mr. Ettinger is the director most familiar with our Company's business and industry and best situated to propose the Board's agendas and lead Board discussions on important matters. Mr. Ettinger provides a strong link between management and the Board, which promotes clear communication and enhances strategic planning and implementation of corporate strategies. Another advantage is the clarity of leadership provided by one person representing the Company to customers, employees, stockholders and other stakeholders.

The Board believes this leadership structure has served our stockholders well. In his combined role as Chairman and CEO, Mr. Ettinger has provided decisive leadership and driven strong performance and long-term value creation for our stockholders.

In sum, the Board of Directors believes it remains in our stockholders' best interest for the Board to have flexibility in determining which leadership structure best serves the Company and that our current leadership structure has served our stockholders well.

Vote Required; Board Recommendation

Adoption of the proposal will require the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares of common stock entitled to vote and represented in person or by proxy at the meeting. **The Board of Directors recommends that you vote AGAINST this proposal. Properly dated and signed proxies will be so voted unless stockholders specify otherwise.**

VIEWING AND DELIVERY OF PROXY MATERIALS

Viewing of Proxy Materials Via the Internet - We are able to distribute our annual report and this proxy statement to our stockholders in a fast and efficient manner via the Internet. This reduces the amount of paper delivered to a stockholder's address and eliminates the cost of sending these documents by mail. Stockholders may elect to view all future annual reports and proxy statements on the Internet instead of receiving them by mail. You may make this election when voting your proxy this year. Simply follow the instructions to vote via the Internet or go directly to www.proxyvote.com/hrl to register your consent. You will continue to have the option to vote your shares by mail, telephone or the Internet.

Delivery of Proxy Materials - Only one Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or only one copy of our annual report and proxy statement are being delivered to multiple stockholders sharing an address, unless the Company received contrary instructions from one of the stockholders. If you wish to receive a separate copy of the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or the annual report and proxy statement, as applicable, this year or in future years, please call 507-437-5944 or mail a request to Brian D. Johnson, Vice President and Corporate Secretary, 1 Hormel Place, Austin, Minnesota 55912.

Table of Contents

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Any stockholder intending to present a proposal at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must deliver the proposal to the Company by August 18, 2016, in order to have the proposal considered for inclusion in the Company's proxy statement and the form of proxy for that meeting.

The Company's Bylaws provide certain requirements which must be met in order for a stockholder to bring any proposals or nominations for election as directors for consideration at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. These requirements apply whether or not the proposal or nomination is requested to be included in the proxy statement and proxy. The requirements include a written notice to the Corporate Secretary to be received at the Company's principal executive offices at least 90 days before the date that is one year after the prior year's annual meeting. For business or nominations intended to be brought to the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the notice deadline is October 28, 2016. Stockholder proposals or director nominations submitted after this date may not be presented at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

OTHER MATTERS

The management of the Company does not know of any matters to be presented at the meeting other than those identified above. If other matters properly come before the meeting, the holders of the proxies will vote on such matters in their discretion under the authority granted in the proxy.

By Order of the Board of Directors

BRIAN D. JOHNSON
Vice President and
Corporate Secretary

December 16, 2015

Table of Contents

Exhibit A

**CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT OF
RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION**

Hormel Foods Corporation, a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware (the Company), DOES HEREBY CERTIFY:

FIRST: That at a meeting of the Board of Directors of the Company held November 23, 2015, a resolution was duly adopted setting forth a proposed amendment to the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation (the Amendment) declaring the Amendment to be advisable and directing that the Amendment be submitted for consideration at the Company's next annual meeting of stockholders, pursuant to Section 242 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware.

The portion of the resolution setting forth the Amendment is as follows:

RESOLVED, that the first paragraph of Article FOURTH of the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of this Corporation, as heretofore amended, be amended to read in its entirety as follows:

FOURTH: The total number of shares of all classes of stock which the Corporation shall have authority to issue is 2,160,000,000 shares, divided into three classes consisting of 1,600,000,000 shares of Common Stock, par value \$.01465 per share (Common Stock), 400,000,000 shares of Nonvoting Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share (Nonvoting Common Stock) and 160,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock, par value \$.01 per share (Preferred Stock).

SECOND: That in addition to setting forth said amendment, the Board's November 23, 2015 resolution further provided:

FURTHER RESOLVED, that, for the purpose of reclassifying the shares of Common Stock of the par value of \$.0293 each of this Corporation into shares of Common Stock of the par value of \$.01465 each authorized by the foregoing amendment, the Certificate of Amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of this Corporation embodying said amendment shall contain the following additional provisions:

Two shares of \$.01465 par value Common Stock shall be deemed to be issued and outstanding or in treasury immediately upon said amendment becoming effective by filing a Certificate of Amendment with the Delaware Secretary of State, which is intended to occur on January 27, 2016 (the Effective Date) for each share of \$.0293 par value Common Stock issued and outstanding or in treasury at the close of business on January 26, 2016 (the Record Date). Such reclassification shall be effected as follows:

Explanation of Responses:

1) Each certificate representing shares of \$.0293 par value Common Stock issued and outstanding or in treasury at the close of business on the Record Date shall on and after the Effective Date, until surrendered for transfer or for a new certificate or certificates, represent the same number of shares stated in said certificate of \$.01465 par value Common Stock. Upon surrender of such certificate or certificates, this Corporation shall issue a new certificate or certificates representing the same number of shares of \$.01465 par value Common Stock.

2) Promptly after the Effective Date, each holder of \$.0293 par value Common Stock at the close of business on the Record Date shall have the right to receive that number of shares of \$.01465 par value Common Stock which is equal to the number of shares of \$.0293 par value Common Stock which said holder held at the close of business on the Record Date, and this Corporation shall issue such shares in uncertificated (book-entry) form on or about February 9, 2016 (the Distribution Date).

THIRD: That said amendment was duly adopted in accordance with the provisions of Section 242 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Company has caused this certificate to be signed by Jeffrey M. Ettinger, its Chairman of the Board of Directors, and attested by Brian D. Johnson, its Corporate Secretary, this 27th day of January, 2016.

HORMEL FOODS CORPORATION

By:

Chairman of the Board of Directors

Attest:

Corporate Secretary

VOTE BY
INTERNET -
www.proxyvote.com
Use the Internet to
transmit your voting
instructions and for
electronic delivery of
proxy materials up
until 12:00 p.m.
Central Standard
Time on Monday,
January 25, 2016.
Have your proxy card
in hand when you
access the web site
and follow the
instructions to obtain
your records and to
create an electronic
voting instruction
form. HORMEL
FOODS
CORPORATION
ATTN: BRIAN D.
JOHNSON 1
HORMEL PLACE
AUSTIN, MN
55912-3680
ELECTRONIC
DELIVERY OF
FUTURE PROXY
MATERIALS If you
would like to reduce
the costs incurred by
our company in
mailing proxy
materials, you can
consent to receiving
all future proxy
statements, proxy
cards and annual
reports electronically
via e-mail or the
Internet. To sign up
for electronic
delivery, please
follow the
instructions above to
vote using the
Internet and, when
prompted, indicate
that you agree to
receive or access
proxy materials
electronically in
future years. VOTE
BY PHONE -
1-800-690-6903 Use
any touch-tone
telephone to transmit
your voting
instructions up until
12:00 p.m. Central
Standard Time
Monday, January 25,
2016. Have your

proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions. VOTE BY MAIL Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717. Doors open at 6:30 p.m. Enter at South end of building

ADMISSION TICKET Annual Meeting of Stockholders Tuesday, January 26, 2016 8:00 p.m. CST Richard L. Knowlton Auditorium Austin High School 300 NW 4th Street Austin, MN 55912 TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS: M97721-P70169 KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED. DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY For All Withhold All For All Except HORMEL FOODS CORPORATION The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR ALL director nominees under Item 1. 1.Elect a board of 15 directors: To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark For All Except and write the number(s) of the nominee(s) on the line below. Nominees: 09) 10) 11) 12) 13) 14) 15) Robert C. Nakasone Susan K. Nestegard Dakota A. Pippins

Christopher J. Policinski Sally J. Smith James P. Snee Steven A. White (01) (02) (03) (04) (05) (06) (07) (08) Gary C. Bhojwani Terrell K. Crews Jeffrey M. Ettinger Jody H. Feragen Glenn S. Forbes, M.D. Stephen M. Lacy John L. Morrison Elsa A. Murano, Ph.D. The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR Items 2 and 3. For Against Abstain 2. Amend the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the authorized Common Stock from 800 million to 1.6 billion shares and to reduce the par value from \$.0293 to \$.01465 per share, in order to effect a two-for-one split of the Company's Common Stock. 3. Ratify the appointment by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Ernst & Young LLP as independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending October 30, 2016. The Board of Directors recommends you vote AGAINST Item 4. 4. Stockholder proposal to require an independent Board Chair. Note: In their discretion, the Proxies are authorized to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof. For address changes and/or comments, please check this box and write them on the back where indicated. THIS PROXY EXECUTED WILL BE VOTED IN THE MANNER

DIRECTED
HEREIN BY THE
UNDERSIGNED
STOCKHOLDER. IF
NO DIRECTION IS
MADE, THE
PROXY WILL BE
VOTED FOR ALL
DIRECTOR
NOMINEES AND
FOR ITEMS 2 AND
3, AND AGAINST
ITEM 4. Please sign
exactly as name(s)
appear(s) above.
When shares are held
by joint tenants, both
should sign. When
signing as attorney,
executor,
administrator, trustee
or guardian, please
give full title as such.
If a corporation,
please sign in full
corporate name by
President or other
authorized officer. If
a partnership, please
sign in partnership
name by authorized
person. Signature
[PLEASE SIGN
WITHIN BOX] Date
Signature (Joint
Owners) Date

Your signature on this proxy card will appoint a proxy for shares held in your record account(s). If you would like to access the proxy materials electronically next year, go to the following Internet address: www.proxyvote.com. Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting: The Notice and Proxy Statement and Annual Report are available at www.proxyvote.com. M97722-P70169

HORMEL FOODS CORPORATION
1 Hormel Place Austin, MN 55912 proxy and voting direction

HORMEL FOODS CORPORATION
Proxy and Voting Direction

This proxy is solicited on behalf of the Board of Directors. By signing on the other side of this card you appoint Jeffrey M. Ettinger, James P. Snee and Jody H. Feragen, and each of them, as proxies, with full power of substitution, and hereby authorize them to represent and to vote as designated on the other side of this card all the shares of Common Stock of Hormel Foods Corporation held of record by you on November 27, 2015, at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on January 26, 2016, or any adjournment thereof. (If you noted any Address Changes/Comments above, please mark corresponding box on the reverse side.)

Continued and to be signed on reverse side

Address
Address
Changes/Comments:

*** Exercise Your
Right to Vote ***
Important Notice
Regarding the
Availability of Proxy
Materials for the
Stockholder Meeting
to Be Held on
January 26, 2016.
HORMEL FOODS
CORPORATION
1-507-437-5944. You
are receiving this
communication
because you hold
shares in the
company named
above. This is not a
ballot. You cannot
use this notice to vote
these shares. This
communication
presents only an
overview of the more
complete proxy
materials that are
available to you on
the Internet. You may
view the proxy
materials online at
www.proxyvote.com
or easily request a
paper copy (see
reverse side). We
encourage you to
access and review all
of the important
information
contained in the
proxy materials
before voting.
HORMEL FOODS
CORPORATION
ATTN: BRIAN D.
JOHNSON 1
HORMEL PLACE
AUSTIN, MN
55912-3680 proxy
materials and voting
instructions.
M97723-P70169 See
the reverse side of
this notice to obtain
Meeting Information
Meeting
Type:Annual
Meeting For holders
as of:November 27,
2015 Date:January
26, 2016Time:8:00
p.m. CST Location:
Richard L. Knowlton
Auditorium Austin
High School 300 NW
4th Street Austin,
MN 55912 For

directions to attend
the Annual Meeting,
please call

Before You Vote How to Access the Proxy Materials If you want to receive a paper or e-mail copy of these documents, you must request one. There is NO charge for 3) 1) E-MAIL*: sendmaterial@proxyvote.com advisor. Please make the request as instructed above on or before January 12, 2016 to facilitate timely delivery. How To Vote Please Choose One of the Following Voting Methods Vote By Mail: You can vote by mail requesting a paper copy of the materials, which will include a proxy card. M97724-P70169 Vote In Person: Many stockholder meetings have attendance requirements including, but not limited to, the possession of an attendance ticket issued by the entity holding the meeting. Please check the meeting materials for any special requirements for meeting attendance. At the meeting, you will need to request a ballot to vote these shares. Vote By Internet: To vote now by Internet, go to www.proxyvote.com. Have the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow XXXX XXXX XXXX (located on the following page) available and follow the instructions. Please vote by 12:00 p.m. Central Standard Time, on either (i) Thursday, January 21, 2016 for shares in employee plans or (ii) Monday, January 25, 2016 for shares held in record accounts. ProoxxyyMMAatetreirailas lAs vAavilablalebtloe VtoIEVWIEoWr REoCrERIVEEC: EIVE: NOTICE AND PROXY STATEMENTANNUAL REPORT How to View Online: Have the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow XXXX XXXX XXXX XXXX (located on the following page) and visit: www.proxyvote.com. How to Request and Receive a PAPER E-MAIL Copy: requesting a copy Please choose one of the following methods to make your request: BY INTERNET:www.proxyvote.com 2) BY TELEPHONE: 1-800-579-1639 * If requesting materials by e-mail, please send blank e-mail with the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow XXXX XXXX XXXX XXXX (located on the following page) in the subject li

Requests, instructions and other inquiries sent to this e-mail address will NOT be forwarded to your investment

Voting Items The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR ALL director nominees under Item 1. Elect a board of 15 directors:
Nominees: 1) 01) 02) 03) 04) 05) 06) 07) 08) Gary C. Bhojwani Terrell K. Crews Jeffrey M. Ettinger Jody H. Feragen Glenn S. Forbes, M.D. Stephen M. Lacy John L. Morrison Elsa A. Murano, Ph.D. 09) 10) 11) 12) 13) 14) 15) Robert C. Nakasone Susan K. Nestegard Dakota A. Pippins Christopher J. Policinski Sally J. Smith James P. Snee Steven A. White The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR Items 2 and 3. 2. Amend the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the authorized Common Stock from 800 million to 1.6 billion shares and to reduce the par value from \$.0293 to \$.01465 per share, in order to effect a two-for-one split of the Company's Common Stock. 3. Ratify the appointment by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Ernst & Young LLP as independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year

ending October
30, 2016. The
Board of
Directors
recommends you
vote AGAINST
Item 4.
4. Stockholder
proposal to
require an
independent
Board Chair.
Note: In their
discretion, the
Proxies are
authorized to
vote upon such
other business as
may properly
come before the
meeting or any
adjournment
thereof.
M97725-P70169
*** Please use
this notice card
as your
ADMISSION
TICKET. ***