

MICROMET, INC.  
Form 10-K  
March 05, 2010

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, DC 20549**

**FORM 10-K**

**FOR ANNUAL AND TRANSITION REPORTS  
PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

(Mark One)

- ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2009**

**OR**

- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the Transition Period from to**

**Commission File Number: 0-50440**

# MICROMET, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation or Organization)

52-2243564  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

6707 Democracy Boulevard, Suite 505  
Bethesda, MD  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

20817  
(Zip Code)

**(240) 752-1420**

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, par value \$0.00004 per share, including associated Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock Purchase Rights	Nasdaq Global Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **None**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Note checking the box above will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act from their obligations under those Sections.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of June 30, 2009, the aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$220.0 million, based on the closing price of the registrant's common stock on that date as reported by the NASDAQ Global Market.

The number of outstanding shares of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.00004 per share, as of March 2, 2010 was 69,212,460 shares.

## DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after registrant's fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report.

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**MICROMET, INC.**

**ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009**

**Table of Contents**

	Page
PART I	
<u>Item 1</u>	1
<u>Business</u>	
<u>Item 1A</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Risk Factors</u>	
<u>Item 1B</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	
<u>Item 2</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Properties</u>	
<u>Item 3</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	
<u>Item 4</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Reserved</u>	
PART II	
<u>Item 5</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	
<u>Item 6</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	
<u>Item 7</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	
<u>Item 7A</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	

<u>Item 8</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	
<u>Item 9</u>	
<u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>Item 9A</u>	
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>Item 9B</u>	
<u>Other Information</u>	<u>59</u>
PART III	
<u>Item 10</u>	
<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>60</u>
<u>Item 11</u>	
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>60</u>
<u>Item 12</u>	
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>60</u>
<u>Item 13</u>	
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>	<u>60</u>
<u>Item 14</u>	
<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	<u>60</u>
PART IV	
<u>Item 15</u>	
<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>66</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **PART I**

### **Item 1. Business**

References in this report to Micromet, we, us, our or the Company refer to Micromet, Inc. and its subsidiaries taken as a whole, unless a statement specifically refers to Micromet, Inc.

#### **Company Overview**

We are a biopharmaceutical company focused on the discovery, development and commercialization of innovative antibody-based therapies for the treatment of cancer. Our product development pipeline includes novel antibodies generated with our proprietary BiTE® antibody platform, as well as conventional monoclonal antibodies. BiTE antibodies represent a new class of antibodies that activate the T cells of a patient's immune system to eliminate cancer cells. T cells are considered the most powerful killer cells of the human immune system. Five of our antibodies are currently in clinical trials, while the remainder of our product pipeline is in preclinical development.

Our lead product candidate is the BiTE antibody blinatumomab, also known as MT103. Blinatumomab targets the human protein molecule CD19, which is expressed on the surface of tumor cells of certain cancers. Blinatumomab has achieved the primary endpoint in a phase 2 clinical trial in patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia, or ALL. Based on the results of this trial, we intend to initiate a European pivotal clinical trial of blinatumomab in ALL patients in the third quarter of 2010. We are also evaluating blinatumomab in an ongoing phase 1 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, or NHL. Our second clinical-stage BiTE antibody MT110, is in a phase 1 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with solid tumors. MT110 targets the epithelial cell adhesion molecule, or EpCAM, which is overexpressed in many solid tumors. Several additional BiTE antibodies are at different stages of lead candidate selection and preclinical development. For three of these BiTE antibodies, we have entered into strategic collaborations with pharmaceutical companies. We are developing a BiTE antibody targeting carcinoembryonic antigen, or CEA, for the treatment of solid tumors in collaboration with MedImmune. We have also entered into collaboration agreements with Bayer Schering Pharma and sanofi-aventis for the development of BiTE antibodies targeting other solid tumor targets.

Our most advanced conventional monoclonal antibody is adecatumumab, also known as MT201, which binds to EpCAM and is being developed under a collaboration with Merck Serono. We are currently evaluating this antibody in a randomized phase 2 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with colorectal carcinoma after complete resection of liver metastases. MT203, a human antibody neutralizing the activity of granulocyte/macrophage colony stimulating factor, or GM-CSF, which has potential applications in the treatment of various inflammatory and autoimmune diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, or multiple sclerosis, is under development in a phase 1 clinical trial being conducted by our collaboration partner Nycomed. Our monoclonal antibody MT293, also known as TRC093, is licensed to TRACON Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and is being developed in a phase 1 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with cancer.

To date, we have incurred significant research and development expenses and have not achieved any revenues from sales of our product candidates. Each of our programs will require a number of years and significant costs to advance through development. Typically, it takes many years from the initial identification of a lead antibody target to the completion of preclinical and clinical trials, before applying for marketing approval from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, or the European Medicines Agency, or EMEA, or equivalent regulatory agencies in other countries and regions. The risk that a program has to be terminated, in part or in full, for safety reasons or lack of

adequate efficacy is very high. In particular, we cannot predict which, if any, product candidates can be successfully developed and for which marketing approval may be obtained, or the time and cost to complete development and receive marketing approvals.

## **Immunotherapy for the Treatment of Cancer**

### **Background**

The body's immune system is a natural defense mechanism that recognizes and combats cancer cells, viruses, bacteria and other disease-causing factors. B cells and T cells, which are the white blood cells of the immune system, are primarily responsible for carrying out this defense.

1

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Cancer cells produce molecules known as tumor-associated antigens, which can also be present in normal cells but are frequently over-produced or modified in cancer cells, or are not accessible on normal cells but become newly exposed on cancer cells. T cells and B cells have receptors on their surfaces that enable them to recognize the tumor-associated antigens on a cancer cell and then attack the cancer cell with antibodies, in the case of B cells, or destroy the cancer cell directly through cell-to-cell contact, as is the case for T cells.

The human body also uses immune suppression mechanisms to prevent the immune system from destroying the body's normal cells and tissues. Cancer cells, however, can use the same mechanisms to suppress the body's natural immune response against cancer cells. Thus, the body's immune system may not respond at all to the presence of tumor-associated antigens, or cancer cells, or the response may not be sufficient to eradicate or control the cancer cells. Even with an activated immune system, the number and size of tumors can overwhelm the body's immune response and allow the cancer cells to grow and spread throughout the body.

## **BiTE Antibody Technology**

BiTE antibodies represent a novel class of therapeutic antibodies designed to direct T cells of the patient's own immune system against tumor cells. BiTE antibodies enable T cells to recognize and attack tumor cells in the same manner as can be observed during naturally-occurring response of the body's immune system. T cells act by delivering cell-destroying proteins into tumor cells, which induce self-destruction of the tumor cells.

We believe that BiTE antibodies have the potential to be more efficacious than currently available cancer therapies based on their differentiated mechanism of action, which enables T cells to recognize and eliminate cancer cells. This mechanism of action is further supported by the potency that BiTE antibodies have demonstrated at low doses in preclinical and clinical studies. Because BiTE antibodies have demonstrated the ability to eliminate cancer cells in bone marrow, we believe that BiTE antibodies have the potential to be more effective than currently available therapies in the treatment of slow-growing tumors or in the treatment of cancer patients after they have undergone an initial course of treatment with radiotherapy, chemotherapy or surgery. We also believe that BiTE antibodies may improve the tolerability of the treatment of patients in these disease settings compared to currently available therapies, which typically rely on a combination of chemotherapeutics and conventional antibodies and can have severe associated side effects.

We have generated BiTE antibodies against a wide range of tumor-associated antigens that we believe have the potential to treat many cancer indications. All of the BiTE antibodies in our pipeline have been generated with our proprietary BiTE platform technology. In addition to blinatumomab and MT110, which are in clinical development, we have generated BiTE antibodies targeting antigens known as CEA, CD33, and epidermal growth factor receptor, or EGFR, as well as other antigens, which are in various stages of preclinical development.

## **Market Overview**

Cancer is among the leading causes of death worldwide. The American Cancer Society, or ACS, estimated that 12 million people would be diagnosed with cancer worldwide in 2007 and that this number will increase to 27 million by 2050. In addition, the ACS estimated that 7.6 million people would die from cancer in 2007, representing 13% of all deaths worldwide. The ACS has estimated that in the United States each year, over 1.4 million people are newly diagnosed and over 560,000 people die from the disease. The ACS also estimates that one in every four deaths in the United States is due to cancer, and as a result it has become the second leading cause of death, exceeded only by heart disease, and is the leading cause of death for persons over 85.



The increasing number of cancer diagnoses and the approval of new cancer treatments are expected to continue to fuel the growth of the worldwide market for cancer drugs. The subset of the market for pharmaceutical products targeting specific cancer-related molecules is driving much of the cancer market growth and, according to a number of third-party industry market analyses, represents the fastest-growing segment within the pharmaceutical industry. In 2008, it was worth approximately \$18 billion worldwide, and Datamonitor forecasts a compound annual growth rate of up to 9.8% between 2008 to 2018, leading to estimated worldwide sales of approximately \$45 billion in 2018.

2

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Despite recent advances, current cancer therapies still do not sufficiently address patients' needs. In particular, patients need therapies that more effectively prolong time to disease progression and survival, improve convenience and quality of life, and decrease toxicity and disease-related symptoms. In addition, some patients simply do not respond to currently available therapies because their tumor cells do not express the proteins targeted by the therapy. For example, the breast cancer drug Herceptin® is a monoclonal antibody that binds selectively to the HER2 protein.

However, if the tumor cells of a breast cancer patient do not have HER2 receptors, the drug will not work.

## Our Product Pipeline

Our product pipeline consists of BiTE antibodies and conventional monoclonal antibodies that use different approaches to treating cancer, inflammation and autoimmune diseases. The following table summarizes the current status of our product candidates in clinical and earlier stages of development:

Product Candidate	Target	Indication	Status	Collaboration
<b>BiTE Antibodies</b>				
Blinatumomab (MT103)	CD19	Acute lymphoblastic leukemia	Phase 2	
Blinatumomab (MT103)	CD19	Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	Phase 1	
MT110	EpCAM	Solid tumors	Phase 1	
MT111	CEA	Solid tumors	Preclinical	MedImmune (AstraZeneca)
BiTE antibody	CD33	Acute myelogenic lymphoma	Preclinical	
BiTE antibody	EGFR	Solid tumors	Preclinical	
BiTE antibody	Not disclosed	Solid tumors	Preclinical	Bayer Schering Pharma
BiTE antibody	Not disclosed	Solid tumors	Preclinical	Sanofi-aventis
<b>Conventional Antibodies</b>				
Adecatumumab (MT201)	EpCAM	Solid Tumors	Phase 2	Merck Serono
MT203	GM-CSF	Inflammatory Diseases	Phase 1	Nycomed
MT293	dn-col	Solid Tumors	Phase 1	TRACON Pharmaceuticals
MT228	Glycolipid	Melanoma	Preclinical	Morphotek (Eisai)
MT204	IL-2	Inflammatory Diseases	Preclinical	

### Blinatumomab (MT103)

Our BiTE antibody blinatumomab, also known as MT103, binds to CD19, a cell surface antigen expressed on all B cells and most B tumor cells, but not on other types of blood cells or healthy tissues, and to CD3, a cell surface antigen present on all T cells.

## Clinical Trials

### Phase 2 Clinical Trial in Adult Patients With Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL)

ALL is a very aggressive form of B cell malignancy. Patients with ALL are typically treated with complex and highly toxic chemotherapy regimens, which may be followed by bone marrow stem cell transplantation for eligible patients.

After chemotherapy, some ALL patients have low numbers of residual tumor cells left in their bone marrow, a condition referred to as minimal residual disease, or MRD. These patients, whom we refer to as MRD-positive, have been shown to have a very high risk of early relapse. Improved treatments and the reduction of relapse rates in MRD-positive patients represent a high medical need, especially when bone marrow stem cell transplantation is not an option.

In June 2008, following encouraging data from the ongoing phase 1 clinical trial described below showing potent single-agent activity of blinatumomab in patients with late-stage NHL, we started a phase 2 clinical trial in Europe to evaluate blinatumomab for the treatment of patients with ALL. Although CD19 is widely

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

expressed on cancer cells of ALL patients, no treatments targeting CD19 are currently commercially available. The phase 2 clinical trial was designed as an open-label, single-arm study to treat adult ALL patients who were MRD-positive after having undergone extensive chemotherapy treatment. The primary endpoint of the clinical trial was the elimination of the residual cancer cells to an undetectable level, a condition which we refer to as MRD-negative, in at least 22% of patients in the trial.

At the annual meeting of the American Society of Hematology, or ASH, in December 2009, we presented final data from the 20 evaluable patients treated in this clinical trial. 16 of the 20 evaluable patients (or 80%) achieved the primary endpoint, all of them during the first treatment cycle. The responses appear to be durable, with one patient free of relapse for 15 months as of the time of the ASH annual meeting. As reported at the ASH annual meeting, 9 of 13 evaluable non-transplanted patients, and 7 of 7 evaluable transplanted patients, continued in remission. We continue to observe the patients for up to five years after end of blinatumomab treatment or until hematological relapse. Blinatumomab was well tolerated with the most common adverse events being abnormally low levels of white blood cells (lymphopenia and leucopenia), fever (pyrexia), and low levels of immunoglobulins/antibodies in serum (hypogammaglobulinemia). One patient enrolled in this phase 2 clinical trial was not evaluable because of an adverse event that resulted in the discontinuation of treatment and is not included in the results described above.

### **Phase 1 Clinical Trial in Patients With Relapsed/Refractory Non-Hodgkin s Lymphoma (NHL)**

NHL is a cancer that starts in cells of the lymph system, which is part of the body's immune system. Depending on individual risk factors and status of disease, NHL is currently treated with chemotherapy alone or together with monoclonal antibodies, such as rituximab (Rituxan®). Patients often cycle between remission and relapse, and may survive for one to ten years following their initial diagnosis, depending on the specific subform of NHL. Upon relapse, patients may receive chemotherapy, monoclonal antibody therapy, or a combination of chemotherapy and monoclonal antibody therapy or newer agents, sometimes as part of experimental treatment regimens. Over time, an increasing proportion of patients become refractory, or resistant, to treatments with chemotherapy or monoclonal antibodies. Despite recent advances in treatment choices, the overall prognosis for survival of non-responding or relapsed patients with NHL remains poor, and new therapeutic options are urgently needed.

We are conducting a phase 1 dose-finding clinical trial designed to evaluate the safety and tolerability of blinatumomab in patients with relapsed or refractory NHL. The phase 1 clinical trial protocol is an open-label, multi-center, dose escalation study, which is being conducted in Germany. Patients are being enrolled sequentially into cohorts with increasing doses of blinatumomab.

At the annual meeting of ASH in December 2009, we presented new data from this clinical trial showing that 12 of 12 evaluable patients (or 100%) with relapsed/refractory NHL, who were treated with blinatumomab at a dose level of 60 microgram/squaremeter per day, had an objective partial or complete response after their first four to eight weeks of treatment. The responses were measured based on Cheson/IWG criteria and were confirmed by independent review.

One patient at the 60-microgram dose level was not evaluable because of an adverse event that resulted in the discontinuation of treatment after two days. The data presented at ASH showed that the longest duration of a response without re-treatment was 20 months, while the response in 7 of the 12 evaluable patients was ongoing. We have selected the 60-microgram dose level for further clinical studies in patients with B-cell lymphoma.

At the 60-microgram dose level, the most common adverse events of any grade and irrespective of drug relationship were fever (pyrexia), abnormally low levels of white blood cells (lymphopenia and leucopenia), C-reactive protein increase, and headache. Most adverse events occurred early during treatment and improved or resolved during treatment. The most common grade 3 and 4 adverse event was lymphopenia.

At active dose levels tested in this phase 1 clinical trial, permanent treatment discontinuation due to adverse events resulted mainly from neurological events during the first few days of treatment, which we believe are fully reversible and transient. We have identified a low ratio of B cells to T cells in the NHL patients' peripheral blood as a potential biomarker for these neurological events. We also believe that these neurological events may be minimized by inducing the adaptation of T cells by gradually increasing doses of blinatumomab. Based on these findings, we have developed, and are currently testing, a biomarker-guided

4

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

dosing schedule designed to decrease the incidence of early neurological events and to provide all patients with the opportunity to reach the dose of 60 micrograms/squaremeter per day.

### **Regulatory Status and Planned Clinical Trials**

#### **Orphan Drug Designations**

We have received orphan drug designation from the EMEA for the use of blinatumomab as a treatment for ALL, as well as for chronic lymphocytic leukemia, or CLL, and mantle cell lymphoma, or MCL. Orphan drug designation from the EMEA is designed to encourage manufacturers to develop drugs intended for rare diseases or conditions affecting fewer than five out of 10,000 individuals in the European Union. Orphan drug designation also qualifies us for tax credits and may qualify us for marketing exclusivity for ten years following the date of marketing approval of blinatumomab by the EMEA. In addition, blinatumomab has received orphan drug designation from the FDA in the treatment of indolent B-cell lymphomas, ALL, CLL, hairy cell leukemia, and promyelocytic leukemia.

#### **Pivotal Clinical Trial in Adult Patients With MRD-Positive ALL**

In December 2009, we met with the EMEA regarding the study design of a planned pivotal clinical trial with blinatumomab for the treatment of adult patients with ALL. In the first half of 2010, we intend to discuss the development path for blinatumomab in ALL in the United States with the FDA. Based on the feedback received from the EMEA, we are finalizing the clinical trial design and expect to initiate a pivotal clinical trial in Europe in mid 2010. We anticipate that the clinical trial will be a pivotal, single-arm study in which blinatumomab will be tested in approximately 130 adult patients with MRD-positive ALL. Patients will receive up to four 4-week treatment cycles of blinatumomab at a dose of 15 µg/m<sup>2</sup>/day. We anticipate key endpoints of the study to include molecular complete response, also known as MRD negativity, and relapse-free survival rate one year after treatment. We currently anticipate enrolling patients in this clinical trial in both Europe and the United States. Depending on the results of this trial, we intend to seek marketing approval of blinatumomab in Europe for the treatment of ALL.

#### **Additional Clinical Trials**

Based on experience from compassionate use of blinatumomab in pediatric patients with relapsed/refractory ALL, the efficacy results in the ongoing phase 2 clinical trial in adult ALL patients, and preliminary discussions with the EMEA, we are evaluating clinical trial designs for testing blinatumomab for the treatment of children with ALL. We also plan to initiate a phase 2 clinical trial in adult patients with relapsed/refractory ALL in 2010, and we are evaluating the design of a phase 2 clinical trial in adult patients with relapsed/refractory CLL.

### **MT110**

Our BiTE antibody MT110 binds to EpCAM, a cell surface antigen that is over-expressed by many types of solid tumors, and to CD3, a binding site present on all T cells.

#### **EpCAM as a Drug Target**

A series of recent studies has shown that EpCAM is highly and frequently expressed on tumor cells of many common human carcinomas, including colon, lung, breast, prostate, gastric, ovarian and pancreatic cancers. For example, in a study including 1,116 patients with colorectal cancer, the patients' primary tumors showed a high level of EpCAM expression in more than 98% of cases. EpCAM has also been reported to be expressed on so-called cancer stem cells

for colon, breast, pancreatic, prostate and liver cancers. Cancer stem cells are believed to continuously repopulate bulky tumors with new cancer cells, a feature most other cancer cells do not exhibit. Cancer stem cells have also been shown to be more resistant to chemotherapy than other cancer cells.

Recently published data in a peer-reviewed scientific journal indicate that only cancer cells have an active signaling form of EpCAM, while normal cells have an inactive form of EpCAM. When normal cells received the activated form of EpCAM, as is found in tumor cells, and were then injected into mice, they behaved like cancer cells in that they formed tumors. These findings may explain why some cancer patients with a high level of EpCAM expression on their tumor cells have a reduced overall survival prognosis, compared to

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

patients with low levels of EpCAM on their tumor cells. EpCAM expression has been associated with decreased survival rates in a number of cancer indications, including breast, gall bladder, bile duct, ovarian and ampullary pancreatic cancers. In addition, EpCAM has been shown to promote the proliferation, migration and invasiveness of breast cancer cells. Since activated EpCAM is expressed on the surface of cancer cells and their stem cells, we believe that it is a promising target for our antibody-based drug candidates. Based on the mechanism of action of BiTE antibodies, a BiTE antibody binding to EpCAM, such as MT110, may be able to eradicate cancer stem cells and thereby slow or stop tumor growth, and may also eliminate the root cause for chemoresistance and metastasis of cancer.

## **Overview of Current Therapies for Solid Tumors**

For most solid tumors, the current standard of care consists of surgery, radiotherapy and treatment with chemotherapy, hormonal therapy, and targeted therapy, including monoclonal antibodies or anti-angiogenic agents, such as bevacizumab (Avastin®), either as a single treatment or as a combination of the aforementioned therapy options. Despite advances in treating these malignancies over the last two decades, we believe that a tremendous need for further improvement of cancer therapy exists. Depending on the disease type and stage, major medical needs include improved survival, increased cure rates, prolonged disease-free survival, and improved control of symptoms.

## **Clinical Trials**

We are currently conducting a phase 1 dose-finding clinical trial in Europe designed to evaluate the safety and tolerability of MT110 at escalating doses. The phase 1 clinical trial protocol is an open-label, multi-center, dose escalation study in patients with locally advanced, recurrent, or metastatic solid tumors known to regularly express EpCAM, including colorectal cancer, gastric cancer, adenocarcinoma of the lung and small cell lung cancer. Secondary objectives include pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic measurements and clinical activity. A maximum tolerated dose has not yet been determined.

In September 2009, we presented interim data from this clinical trial at the Multidisciplinary Congress of the European Cancer Organisation and 34th meeting of the European Society for Medical Oncology in Berlin, Germany. The interim data reported on the results of 20 patients with late-stage lung or gastrointestinal cancers who had been treated with MT110. The starting dose in the dose-escalation trial was 1 microgram per patient per day. Results from doses up to 12 micrograms per patient per day were reported, but no maximum tolerated dose had been reached. MT110 was well-tolerated, with no observed grade 3 or 4 clinical events related to therapy. The most frequently observed adverse events were mild fever (pyrexia) and fatigue. Laboratory analysis of all patients also revealed an early clinically asymptomatic increase of liver enzymes that normalized after several days under continued treatment. Other laboratory abnormalities included transient reduction of white blood cells to abnormally low levels (lymphopenia). We did not observe any cytokine release syndrome, pancreatitis or immune response. At the dose levels tested to date, we observed disease stabilization in 7 of the 18 evaluable patients after the first cycle of treatment, and dose escalation continues in this trial.

## **MT111**

Our BiTE antibody MT111 binds to CEA, which is expressed in a number of solid tumors that originate in the epithelium, a tissue composed of cells that line the cavities and surfaces of structures throughout the body, and to CD3, a binding site present on all T cells. CEA is expressed in tumors such as colorectal carcinoma, gastric carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma, mucinous ovarian carcinoma and endometrial adenocarcinoma. In the progression of cancer, members of the CEA family may play a role as contact-mediating adhesion molecules when tumor cells are



moving to new sites. CEA has been shown to increase tumor cell adhesion, which enhances the spread of cancer. Therefore, we believe that a BiTE antibody may hold promise for the treatment of cancer types that overexpress CEA.

MT111 is being developed in collaboration with MedImmune, as discussed under License Agreements and Collaborations below. Under the terms of the collaboration agreement with MedImmune, we have retained the commercialization rights to MT111 in Europe.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **BiTE Antibodies in Early Development**

A number of new BiTE antibodies have been generated that target antigens validated by conventional antibody therapies. Several BiTE antibody candidates are in early stages of development, including BiTE antibodies binding to CD33, EGFR, non-disclosed solid tumor target antigens that are the subject of collaborations with Bayer Schering Pharma and sanofi-aventis, as well as other non-disclosed antigens.

### **Adecatumumab (MT201)**

Our product candidate adecatumumab, also known as MT201, is a recombinant human monoclonal antibody of the IgG1 subclass that targets the EpCAM molecule. As discussed further under License Agreements and Collaborations below, adecatumumab is the subject of an exclusive worldwide collaboration with Merck Serono.

### **Clinical Trials**

We are currently conducting an open-label, multi-center, randomized phase 2 study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of adecatumumab after complete resection of colorectal liver metastases. This is a three arm trial that compares adecatumumab alone; FOLFOX alone (a combination chemotherapy regimen that is used to treat colorectal cancer); and a combination treatment consisting of adecatumumab followed by FOLFOX. We intend to enroll approximately 90 patients in this trial, with the primary endpoint being disease-free survival rate at one year.

### **MT203**

#### **Overview**

MT203 is a human antibody that we believe has the potential to treat a wide variety of acute and chronic inflammatory diseases, including rheumatoid arthritis, asthma, psoriasis and multiple sclerosis. MT203 neutralizes granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor, or GM-CSF, a pro-inflammatory cytokine controlling the innate arm of the immune system. Using an antibody to neutralize GM-CSF has been shown to have the potential to prevent or even cure symptoms in animal models.

#### **Mechanism of Action and Preclinical Activities**

Like marketed antibody drugs Humira®, Avastin®, and Remicade®, MT203 acts by neutralizing a soluble protein ligand, thereby preventing it from binding to its high-affinity cell surface receptor. We believe that this therapeutic principle is well-validated. MT203 is one of the first human antibodies neutralizing the biologic activity of human and non-human primate GM-CSF. The binding characteristics of MT203 to GM-CSF have been characterized in a number of studies, and MT203 has shown biologic activity in cell-based assays. We have used a surrogate antibody neutralizing mouse GM-CSF to demonstrate that inhibition of GM-CSF is highly potent in preventing rheumatoid arthritis in a mouse model in which tumor necrosis factor, or TNF, neutralization is largely ineffective and in preventing other inflammatory and autoimmune diseases, such as asthma and multiple sclerosis. This surrogate antibody has comparable binding characteristics to MT203, and therefore we believe that MT203 could have similar positive effects.

## **Collaboration and Clinical Trials**

In 2007, we entered into a collaboration agreement with Nycomed, as discussed under License and Collaboration Agreements below, under which we granted Nycomed a license to develop and commercialize MT203 on a worldwide basis. Nycomed has initiated a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phase 1 clinical trial with MT203 in 2009 that investigates the safety and pharmacokinetics of MT203.

### **MT293**

#### **Overview**

MT293, also known as TRC093, is a humanized, anti-metastatic and anti-angiogenic monoclonal antibody for the treatment of patients with solid tumors. MT293 binds specifically to hidden, or cryptic, binding sites on extracellular matrix proteins that become exposed as a result of the denaturation of collagen that typically occurs during tumor formation. The extracellular matrix is a molecular network that provides

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

mechanical support to cells and tissues but also contains biochemical information important to cellular processes such as cell proliferation, adhesion and migration. Binding of MT293 to these denatured extracellular matrix proteins has the potential to inhibit angiogenesis, or the formation of blood vessels in solid tumors, and the growth, proliferation and metastasis of tumor cells.

### **Mechanism of Action**

We believe that our approach to inhibiting angiogenesis and metastasis with MT293 may have several therapeutic advantages. Because MT293 binds preferentially to extracellular matrix proteins that have been denatured during angiogenesis and tumor growth rather than to the native, undenatured forms of collagen, we believe that it may have greater specificity for the tumor site than other therapies. Additionally, denatured proteins in the extracellular matrix may provide a better therapeutic target for long-term treatment than binding sites found directly on tumor cells, since the proteins in the extracellular matrix represent a stable structure and are less likely to undergo mutations that are typical for cancer cells. Due to the specific mechanism through which MT293 inhibits angiogenesis and metastasis, we believe that it may have the potential to be used in combination with other anti-angiogenic agents or with treatments such as chemotherapy and radiation. We believe that MT293 may also be useful in other pathological conditions associated with angiogenesis, such as choroidal neovascularization, an ophthalmologic condition caused by excess growth of blood vessels within the eye, which is the major cause of severe visual loss in patients with age-related macular degeneration.

### **Collaboration and Clinical Trials**

In 2007, we entered into an agreement with TRACON Pharmaceuticals, Inc. under which we granted TRACON an exclusive, worldwide license to develop and commercialize MT293, as discussed under License and Collaboration Agreements below. MT293 is currently being developed by TRACON in a phase 1 clinical trial designed to assess the safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics, as well as preliminary anti-tumor activity, of MT293 in patients with cancer.

### **MT228**

MT228 is a human IgM monoclonal antibody binding to a cell-surface antigen present on human melanomas and tumors of neuroectodermal origin. We have licensed the right to develop and commercialize MT228 to Morphotek, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Eisai Co., Ltd.

As discussed under License Agreements and Collaboration Agreements below, our agreement with Morphotek entitles us to certain milestone payments, royalties and the right to reacquire development and commercialization rights to MT228 in North America. MT228 is in preclinical development.

### **MT204**

#### **Overview**

MT204 is a humanized antibody that we believe has the potential to treat a wide variety of acute and chronic inflammatory diseases, including rheumatoid arthritis, asthma, acute transplant rejection, uveitis, psoriasis and multiple sclerosis. We designed MT204 to neutralize interleukin-2, or IL-2, an inflammation-causing cytokine which controls activation of T cells and natural killer cells. Interference with IL-2 signaling is a well-validated anti-inflammatory therapeutic approach as exemplified by small molecule drugs, such as cyclosporine or tacrolimus,

and by antibodies blocking the high-affinity IL-2 receptor such as Simulect® and Zenapax®. MT204 is the first humanized antibody targeting soluble human and non-human primate IL-2 by a unique mode of action, and has been shown in preclinical models to have inhibitory properties superior to those of Zenapax.

## **Mechanism of Action and Preclinical Activities**

Like marketed antibody drugs Humira®, Avastin®, and Remicade®, MT204 acts by neutralizing a soluble protein ligand. MT204 prevents binding of IL-2 to its intermediate-affinity receptor on natural killer cells, and also inactivates the high-affinity receptor with bound IL-2. This is a novel mode of antibody action, which we believe could cause MT204 to have potent anti-inflammatory activity. The binding characteristics of MT204 to IL-2 and IL-2 receptors have been characterized in studies using various assay systems. MT204 is in preclinical development.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **Our Business Strategy**

Our objective is to establish a position as a leader in the research, development and commercialization of highly active, antibody-based drugs for the treatment of patients with cancer, inflammation and autoimmune diseases. Key aspects of our corporate strategy include the following:

*Advance the Clinical Development of Our BiTE Antibodies With a Focus on Early Market Approval.* Treatment of ALL with blinatumomab has received orphan drug designation by the FDA and the EMEA. In mid 2010, we plan to initiate a pivotal clinical trial of blinatumomab for the treatment of adult patients with MRD-positive ALL, which, if successfully completed, may lead to EMEA regulatory approval in this indication. We also intend to discuss our plans with the FDA in the first half of 2010 for conducting clinical trials of blinatumomab in the United States for the treatment of ALL and other cancer indications.

*Finance the Development of Our Product Candidates Through Collaborations With Pharmaceutical and Biopharmaceutical Companies.* We have established product development collaborations with Bayer Schering Pharma and sanofi-aventis for BiTE antibodies for the treatment of solid tumors, MedImmune for the BiTE antibody MT111 binding to CEA, Merck Serono for adecatumumab, and Nycomed for MT203. In addition, we continue to seek licensing partners for some of our therapeutic antibodies.

*Retain Value in Our Product Development Pipeline.* We hold full development and commercialization rights for blinatumomab and MT110. We hold the commercialization rights for MT111 in Europe and we have retained an option to co-promote adecatumumab in Europe and the United States together with Merck Serono. As part of our partnering strategy, we intend to retain commercialization rights to the partnered product candidates. In addition, with the revenue generated in product development collaborations and funds received in financing transactions, we are funding the development of additional BiTE antibodies that are not partnered with other companies.

## **Intellectual Property**

We actively seek patent protection for our proprietary technologies by filing patent applications in the United States, Europe and selected other countries that we consider key markets for our product candidates. These international markets generally include Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, the countries that are members of the European Patent Convention, Hong Kong, Israel, India, Japan, South Korea, Mexico, New Zealand, Russia, Singapore and South Africa. Our approach is to seek patent protection for the inventions that we consider important to the development of our business. For our BiTE antibody platform, our patent strategy aims to generate protection on different aspects of the technology. Our key goals are to expand the patent portfolio, generate patent protection for new product candidates, protect further developments of BiTE antibody-related technologies and harmonize our filing and prosecution strategy with respect to the portfolio.

Our success will depend in large part on our ability to obtain, maintain, defend and enforce patents and other intellectual property for our BiTE antibody platform and our product candidates, to extend the life of patents covering our product candidates that reach the commercialization stage, to preserve trade secrets and proprietary know-how, and to operate without infringing the patents and proprietary rights of third parties.

### **Patents relating to the BiTE Antibody Platform**

As of December 31, 2009, we owned three U.S. and 22 foreign and international patents and seven U.S. and 65 foreign and international patent applications, and held licenses to 34 U.S. and 30 foreign and international patents and one U.S. and seven foreign and international patent applications related to our BiTE antibody platform. The issued patents, and the patents that may issue based on these patent applications, are expected to expire between 2013 and

## **Patents relating to BiTE Antibodies**

Our BiTE antibodies in clinical development are blinatumomab and MT110. Additional BiTE antibodies are at different stages of research and preclinical development.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

As of December 31, 2009, we owned approximately four U.S. and 71 foreign and international patents and four U.S. and 38 foreign and international patent applications, and held licenses to 30 U.S. and ten foreign and international patents and one U.S. and seven foreign and international patent applications covering our BiTE antibodies. The issued patents, and the patents that may issue based on these patent applications, are expected to expire between 2013 and 2029, with the possibility of obtaining Supplemental Protection Certificates that may extend patent protection for up to five years beyond the original expiration dates. The patents that are relevant for the commercialization of blinatumomab and MT110, and the patents that may issue based on our patent applications, are expected to expire between 2013 and 2029, with the possibility of obtaining Supplemental Protection Certificates that may extend patent protection for up to five years beyond the original expiration dates.

### **Patents Relating to Conventional Antibodies**

Our conventional antibodies in clinical development are adecatumumab (MT201), MT203 and MT293. Additional conventional antibodies are at different stages of preclinical development.

As of December 31, 2009, we owned approximately eight U.S. and 76 foreign and international patents and 11 U.S. and 69 foreign and international patent applications, and held licenses to 36 U.S. and 30 foreign and international patents and one U.S. and seven foreign and international patent applications covering our conventional antibodies. The issued patents, and the patents that may issue based on these patent applications, are expected to expire between 2013 and 2029, with the possibility of obtaining Supplemental Protection Certificates that may extend patent protection for up to five years beyond the original expiration dates.

We intend to continue using our scientific expertise to pursue and file patent applications on new developments with respect to products, uses, methods and compositions of matter in order to enhance our intellectual property position in the field of antibody therapeutics for the treatment of human diseases.

### **License Agreements and Collaborations**

We have entered into several significant license and collaboration agreements for our research and development programs, as further outlined below. These agreements typically provide for the payment by us or to us of license fees, milestone payments, and royalties on net sales of product candidates developed and commercialized under these agreements.

#### **Agreements Relevant for the BiTE Antibody *Technology Platform***

##### **Research and License Agreement With Merck KGaA/Biovation**

We have entered into a research and license agreement with Biovation Limited, a wholly owned subsidiary of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany, under which Biovation used their proprietary technology and generated certain variants of the anti-CD3 single-chain antibody used in our BiTE antibodies with the aim of reducing the likelihood of potential immune responses upon administration of such molecules to human beings. We received and tested such de-immunized anti-CD3 domains in connection with our BiTE antibodies. We paid license and research fees to Biovation of approximately \$970,000 in the aggregate and will pay a low single-digit royalty on net sales of any BiTE antibody products that include such de-immunized anti-CD3. In addition, the agreement provides for us to make up to \$6.4 million in milestone payments upon the achievement of specified milestone events, of which we have paid \$150,000 to date. Either party may terminate the agreement as a result of the bankruptcy or liquidation of the other or if the other party fails to perform any of its obligations under the agreement.



**License Agreement With Enzon**

We have entered into a cross-license agreement with Enzon Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or Enzon, relating to each party's portfolio of patents relating to single-chain antibodies and their use in the treatment of disease. Under the cross-license agreement, we received a non-exclusive, royalty-bearing license under Enzon's single-chain antibody patent portfolio to exploit licensed products other than BiTE antibodies, as well as an exclusive, royalty-free license under such portfolio to exploit BiTE antibodies. We also granted to Enzon a non-exclusive, royalty-bearing license under our single-chain antibody patent portfolio to exploit licensed products. Each party's license is subject to certain narrow exclusions for exclusive rights previously granted to third parties.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Each party is obligated to make milestone payments and to pay low single-digit royalties on net sales to the other party with respect to products that are covered by any patents within the consolidated patent portfolio, irrespective of which party owns the relevant patent(s). The maximum amount of milestone payments payable by us to Enzon and by Enzon to us under the agreement is approximately \$1.4 million per product in the aggregate. As noted above, we do not owe milestone or royalty payments to Enzon with respect to BiTE antibodies.

The term of the cross-license agreement continues until expiration of the last valid claim in the consolidated patent portfolio. Either party may terminate the agreement upon determination by a court of competent jurisdiction that the other party has committed a material breach of the agreement. Neither party has the right to unilaterally terminate the agreement without cause.

### **Agreements Relevant for Blinatumomab (MT103)**

We have entered into license and transfer agreements with certain individuals and research institutions to obtain certain intellectual property related to blinatumomab. Under these agreements, we paid certain fees and will make milestone payments and pay royalties based on net sales of blinatumomab. We have also entered into a manufacturing agreement with Lonza for the process development and manufacture of blinatumomab, as described under Manufacturing and Supply below.

#### **Agreements With MedImmune**

We entered into a collaboration and license agreement with MedImmune in 2003 to jointly develop blinatumomab, which we refer to in this report as the 2003 Agreement. Under the terms of the 2003 Agreement, MedImmune had the right and the obligation to develop and commercialize blinatumomab in North America, while we retained all rights to blinatumomab outside of North America. Under the 2003 Agreement, MedImmune reimbursed a total of \$16.9 million of our clinical development costs in our European clinical trials, which we recognized as revenue. In addition, MedImmune paid us an aggregate of \$1.7 million to date in milestone payments under this agreement. We recognized revenues of approximately 1%, 15% and 16% of our total revenues associated with this agreement in the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

In March 2009, MedImmune elected to return its license rights to blinatumomab to Micromet. In November 2009, we entered into a termination and license agreement, which we refer to as the 2009 Agreement, under which we acquired MedImmune's remaining option right to commercialize blinatumomab in North America. The 2009 Agreement terminates the 2003 Agreement, and as a result, we now control the rights to develop and commercialize blinatumomab in all territories, as well as any other BiTE antibodies binding to antigens relevant for hematological cancers that had been licensed to MedImmune under the 2003 Agreement. We will not receive any further payments under the 2003 Agreement.

Under the terms of the 2009 Agreement, MedImmune has sold to us the remaining stock of blinatumomab clinical trial material and will transfer the manufacturing process for this product candidate to us or our contract manufacturer. In return, we will make an upfront payment of \$6.5 million in installments through December 2010, of which we have paid \$4.0 million to date. In addition, MedImmune is eligible to receive up to an aggregate of \$19 million from us based upon the achievement of specified strategic and regulatory milestone events relating to blinatumomab in North America and a low single-digit royalty based on net sales of blinatumomab in North America. Either party may terminate the 2009 Agreement for material breach by the other party.

## **Agreements Relevant for MT111**

### **BiTE Research Collaboration Agreement With MedImmune**

We have entered into a BiTE research collaboration agreement with MedImmune pursuant to which we have generated MT111. MedImmune is obligated to make milestone payments of up to approximately \$17 million in the aggregate upon the achievement of specified milestone events related to this BiTE antibody, of which \$250,000 has been paid to date. In addition, MedImmune is obligated to pay to us up to high-single digit royalties on net sales of MT111, with the royalty rate dependent on achieving certain net sales levels in each year. Furthermore, we have exclusive rights to commercialize MT111 in Europe. Subject to an agreed

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

upon budget, MedImmune is obligated to reimburse any development costs incurred by us for MT111 up to the completion of phase 1 clinical trials. Unless earlier terminated, the license and collaboration agreement has a term of 50 years or, if earlier, until the expiration of all royalty and payment obligations due under the agreement for all product candidates covered by the collaboration. Either party may terminate the agreement for breach of a material obligation by the other. MedImmune also has the right to terminate the licenses granted by Micromet to MedImmune under the agreement in the entirety or in one or more countries by providing specified prior notice to Micromet.

During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, this collaboration generated revenues to us of approximately 9%, 9% and 16% of our total revenues, respectively. To date, we have recognized approximately \$11 million in R&D expense reimbursements from MedImmune under this agreement.

## **Agreements Relevant for Other BiTE Antibodies Under Development**

### **Collaboration Agreement With Bayer Schering Pharma AG**

In January 2009, we entered into an option, collaboration and license agreement with Bayer Schering Pharma under which we granted Bayer Schering Pharma an exclusive option to obtain a license to one of our preclinical BiTE antibodies against an undisclosed oncology target. Under the terms of the agreement, Bayer Schering Pharma paid us an option fee of €4.5, or \$6.1 million using the exchange rate as of the date of the agreement, during 2009. In December 2009, Bayer Schering Pharma exercised its option and paid us an option exercise fee of €5.0 million, or \$6.7 million using the exchange rate as of the date of the agreement, in January 2010. We have now initiated a collaboration on the development of the BiTE antibody through the completion of phase 1 clinical trials, at which point Bayer Schering Pharma will assume full control of the further development and commercialization of the BiTE antibody. In addition to the payment of the initial option fee and the option exercise fee, we will be eligible to receive development and sales milestone payments of up to approximately €285 million, or \$384 million using the exchange rate as of the date of the agreement, in total and up to double-digit royalties based on tiered net sales of the product to be developed under the agreement. In addition, Bayer Schering Pharma will reimburse us for our R&D expenses incurred in connection with the development program.

Either party may terminate the agreement for material breach by the other party. In addition, Bayer Schering Pharma can terminate the agreement for any reason by 120 days prior written notice.

The revenues from this collaboration agreement, comprised primarily of the option fee, represented approximately 30% of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2009. To date, we have not recognized any expense reimbursements from Bayer Schering Pharma under this agreement.

### **Collaboration Agreement With sanofi-aventis**

In October 2009, we entered into a collaboration and license agreement under which we and sanofi-aventis will collaborate on the development of a new BiTE antibody targeting solid tumors.

Under the terms of the agreement, we will be responsible for generating and developing the BiTE antibody through the completion of phase 1 clinical trials, at which point sanofi-aventis will assume full control of the development and commercialization of the product candidate on a worldwide basis. We have received an upfront payment of €8.0 million, or approximately \$11.9 million using the exchange rate as of the date of the agreement, and are eligible to receive payments upon the achievement of development milestones of up to €162 million, or approximately \$241 million using the exchange rate as of the date of the agreement, and sales milestones of up to €150 million, or

approximately \$223 million as of the date of the agreement, and up to a low double-digit royalty on worldwide net sales of the product. In addition, sanofi-aventis will bear the cost of development activities and will reimburse us for our expenses incurred in connection with the development program. A portion of the upfront payment in the amount of €2.75 million, or \$4.1 million using the exchange rate as of the date of the agreement, will be credited towards the reimbursement of FTEs allocated by us to the performance of the development program.

After the second anniversary of the execution of the agreement and at certain other specified time points, sanofi-aventis may terminate the agreement at will upon ninety days prior notice. In addition, sanofi-aventis may terminate the agreement at any time after the completion of the first phase 2 clinical trial upon 180 days prior notice.

In addition, the agreement may be terminated by either party for material breach.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

The revenues from this collaboration agreement represented approximately 2% of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2009. To date, we have recognized approximately \$0.2 million in expense reimbursements from sanofi-aventis under this agreement.

### **Agreements Relevant for Adecatumumab (MT201)**

#### **Collaboration Agreement With Merck Serono**

We have entered into a collaboration agreement with a subsidiary of Merck Serono International S.A., or Merck Serono. Pursuant to the agreement, we granted Merck Serono a worldwide license under our relevant patents and know-how to develop, manufacture, commercialize and use adecatumumab for the prevention and treatment of any human disease. Merck Serono paid an initial license fee of \$10.0 million and has made three milestone payments in the total amount of \$12.0 million to date. Overall, the agreement provides for Merck Serono to pay up to \$138.0 million in milestone payments in the aggregate (of which the \$12.0 million above has been paid to date) if adecatumumab is successfully developed and registered in the United States, Europe and Japan in at least three different indications.

Under the terms of the agreement, we are responsible for conducting the phase 2 clinical trial that we initiated in the first half of 2009. Merck Serono will bear the development expenses associated with the collaboration in accordance with the agreed-upon budget and a specified maximum. Upon completion of this clinical trial, we can exercise an option to co-develop adecatumumab in the United States or Europe. If we exercise our option, we will then share up to 50% of the development costs, as well as certain other expenses, depending on the territory for which we exercise our co-development option. If we exercise the option, we and Merck Serono would co-promote and share the profits from sales of adecatumumab in the territories for which we shared the development costs. In the other territories, Merck Serono would pay royalties from high single-digits to mid-teens on tiered net sales of adecatumumab.

Merck Serono may terminate the agreement following receipt by Merck Serono of the final study report for the phase 2 clinical trial, and thereafter for convenience upon specified prior notice. Either party may terminate the agreement as a result of the material breach of the other. In the event of a termination of the agreement, all product rights will revert to us.

The revenues from this collaboration agreement represented approximately 14%, 11% and 22% of our total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. To date, we have recognized approximately \$27.8 million in R&D expense reimbursements under this agreement.

### **Agreements Relevant for MT203**

#### **Collaboration and License Agreement With Nycomed**

We have entered into a collaboration and license agreement with Nycomed A/S under which we and Nycomed will collaborate exclusively with each other on the development of MT203 and other antibodies that neutralize GM-CSF and that may be useful for the treatment of inflammatory and autoimmune diseases. Under the terms of the agreement, we received an upfront license fee of €5.0 million, or \$6.7 million using the exchange rate as of the date of the agreement, and are eligible to receive research and development reimbursements, and payments upon the achievement of development milestones of more than €120.0 million, or approximately \$162 million using the exchange rate in effect as of date of the agreement, in the aggregate. During 2009, we received a milestone payment of €1.5 million, or approximately \$2 million as of the date of the agreement, upon Nycomed's filing of the first clinical trial application in

Europe for MT203. We are also eligible to receive tiered royalties in the high single digit to mid-teen range on worldwide sales of MT203 and other products that may be developed under the agreement.

We were responsible for performing preclinical and process development relating to MT203, and Nycomed is responsible for clinical development and commercialization of the product candidate on a worldwide basis. Nycomed will bear the cost of development activities and reimburse us for our expenses incurred in connection with the development program. The term of the agreement expires upon the satisfaction of all payment obligations of each party under the agreement. After completion of certain preclinical development steps, Nycomed may terminate the agreement at any time upon a specified prior notice period, and either party may terminate the agreement for material breach by the other party. In the event of termination, all product rights would revert back to us under the agreement.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 the Nycomed collaboration generated approximately 36%, 57% and 26% of our total revenues, respectively. To date, we have recognized approximately \$27.9 million in R&D expense reimbursements and milestone payments under this agreement.

### **Agreements Relevant for MT293**

#### **License Agreement With TRACON Pharmaceuticals**

We have entered into an agreement with TRACON Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or TRACON, under which we granted TRACON an exclusive, worldwide license to develop and commercialize MT293. Under the agreement, TRACON also has an option to expand the license to include one specific additional antibody, and upon the exercise of the option, the financial and other terms applicable to MT293 would become applicable to such other antibody. Under the terms of the agreement, TRACON will be responsible for the development and commercialization of MT293 on a worldwide basis, as well as the costs and expenses associated with such activities. We have transferred to TRACON certain materials, including the stock of MT293 clinical trial materials, stored at our contract manufacturer. TRACON paid us an upfront license fee of approximately \$1.5 million and an additional \$2.0 million for the delivery of the materials.

If MT293 is successfully developed and commercialized in three indications in three major markets, we would be entitled to receive total milestone payments, exclusive of royalties on net sales, of more than \$100 million. In addition, TRACON is obligated to pay a mid-single digit royalty on worldwide net sales of MT293. TRACON also has an obligation to pay us a portion of sublicensing revenues, which portion decreases based on the time point in the development of MT293 when TRACON enters into the sublicense agreement. TRACON may terminate the agreement at any time upon a specified prior notice period, and either party may terminate the agreement for material breach by the other party. In the event of termination, all product rights would revert back to us under the agreement.

During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, this collaboration generated approximately 0%, 1% and 12% of our total revenues, respectively.

### **Agreements Relevant for MT228**

#### **Sublicense Agreement With Morphotek**

We have entered into an exclusive sublicense agreement with Morphotek under which we granted Morphotek the right to evaluate certain antibodies, including MT228, and an option to obtain an exclusive worldwide sublicense.

Morphotek has exercised the option. Under the sublicense agreement, Morphotek has the obligation to achieve development milestones within specified timeframes. If Morphotek fails to achieve the milestones, we have the right to terminate the agreement, in which case Morphotek would be required to pay a termination fee. Morphotek paid us a license fee of approximately \$150,000 upon the execution of the option and is obligated to pay annual license maintenance fees of approximately \$15,000. In addition, Morphotek is required to make milestone payments to us of up to \$3.35 million in the aggregate upon the achievement of specified development milestones and mid-single digit royalties on the net sales of resulting products.

Following commencement of phase 1 clinical trials and phase 2 clinical trials, we have the right to terminate and re-acquire Morphotek's rights for North America at pre-defined terms. If Morphotek intends to sublicense the rights for countries outside of North America to third parties, we have a right of first refusal to license back these rights. Either party may terminate the agreement upon default for failure of the other party to pay any amounts owing or to



otherwise perform its obligations under the agreement, which failure is not cured within specified time periods, or upon the bankruptcy or insolvency of the other party.

## **Agreements Relevant for MT204**

### **License Agreement With Enzon**

We have entered into a license agreement with Enzon for an antibody program targeting IL-2, which had been developed by us and Enzon pursuant to a prior collaboration that has since been terminated. The agreement grants to us the rights to certain patents of Enzon and patents and know-how created under the collaboration. We are obligated to pay low single-digit royalties to Enzon upon the sale of products targeting IL-2 using such patents or know-how. Either party may terminate the agreement for material breach by the other party that remains uncured after specified periods.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **Other Agreements**

We are a party to license and patent acquisition agreements with various universities, research organizations and other third parties under which we have received licenses to or have acquired certain intellectual property, scientific know-how and technology. In consideration for the licenses received or the assignment of intellectual property rights made under these agreements, we are required to pay license and research support fees, milestone payments upon the achievement of specified success-based objectives or royalties on future sales of commercialized products, if any. We may also be required to pay minimum annual royalties and the costs associated with the prosecution and maintenance of the patents covering the licensed technology.

## **Manufacturing and Supply**

We currently rely on third parties and our collaboration partners for the manufacture of our product candidates for preclinical studies and clinical trials and intend to do so in the future. We do not own or operate manufacturing facilities for the production of clinical or commercial quantities of our product candidates. We currently have no plans to build our own clinical or commercial scale manufacturing capabilities. To meet our projected needs for commercial manufacturing, third parties with whom we currently work will need to increase their scale of production or we will need to secure alternate suppliers.

In November 2009, we entered into an agreement for the process development and manufacture of blinatumomab with Lonza AG, or Lonza, a custom manufacturer of antibodies and other biologics. Under the terms of the agreement, Lonza will establish the current manufacturing process for blinatumomab and develop the process to a scale sufficient for the manufacture of blinatumomab for commercial sale. In addition, Lonza will manufacture blinatumomab for our clinical trials. We have the option to engage Lonza for the manufacture of blinatumomab for commercial sale based on financial terms established in the agreement. The manufacturing process to be developed by Lonza can be transferred, under financial terms agreed in the agreement, to another contract manufacturer in order to either establish a second source for supply or in the event that we desire to transfer manufacturing to a third party. The work plan anticipates payments by us in the amount of approximately £4 million for the activities to be performed by Lonza during the first twelve months of the agreement. We do not expect Lonza to manufacture supplies of blinatumomab that can be used in clinical trials until the end of 2010 at the earliest. Until then we will utilize supplies of blinatumomab produced by MedImmune prior to the termination of our agreement with them. We believe that the existing supply of blinatumomab will be sufficient to supply our ongoing and planned clinical trials of blinatumomab until Lonza-supplied blinatumomab becomes available.

## **Government Regulation and Product Approval**

### **General**

Governmental authorities in Europe, the United States and other countries extensively regulate the preclinical and clinical testing, manufacturing, labeling, storage, record keeping, advertising, promotion, export, marketing and distribution of biologic products. Parties that fail to comply with applicable requirements may be fined, may have their marketing applications rejected, or may be criminally prosecuted. These governmental authorities also have the authority to revoke previously granted marketing authorizations upon failure to comply with regulatory standards or in the event of serious adverse events following initial marketing.

## **FDA Approval Process**

In the United States, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, the Public Health Service Act and other federal statutes and regulations, the FDA subjects products to rigorous review. The process required by the FDA before a new drug or biologic may be marketed in the United States generally involves the following: completion of preclinical laboratory and animal testing; submission of an Investigational New Drug Application (IND), which must become effective before human clinical trials may begin; performance of adequate and well-controlled human clinical trials to establish the safety and efficacy of the proposed drug or biologic for its intended use; and submission and approval of a New Drug Application (NDA), for a drug, or a Biologics License Application (BLA), for a biologic. The sponsor typically conducts human clinical trials in three sequential phases, but the phases may overlap. In phase 1 clinical trials, the product is tested in a small number of patients or healthy volunteers, primarily for safety at one or more doses. In phase 2 clinical trials,

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

in addition to safety, the sponsor evaluates the efficacy of the product in targeted indications and identifies possible adverse effects and safety risks in a patient population that is usually larger than in phase 1 clinical trials. Phase 3 clinical trials typically involve additional testing for safety and clinical efficacy in an expanded patient population at geographically-dispersed clinical trial sites. Clinical trials must be conducted in accordance with the FDA's Good Clinical Practices requirements. Prior to commencement of each clinical trial, the sponsor must submit to the FDA a clinical plan, or protocol, accompanied by the approval of the ethics committee responsible for overseeing the clinical trial sites. The FDA may order the temporary or permanent discontinuation of a clinical trial at any time if it believes that the clinical trial is not being conducted in accordance with FDA requirements or presents an unacceptable risk to the clinical trial patients. The ethics committee at each clinical site may also require the clinical trial at that site to be halted, either temporarily or permanently, for the same reasons.

The sponsor must submit to the FDA the results of the preclinical and clinical trials, together with, among other things, detailed information on the manufacture and composition of the product, in the form of an NDA, or, in the case of a biologic, a BLA. In a process that may take from several months to several years, the FDA reviews these applications and, when and if it decides that adequate data are available to show that the new product is both safe and effective and that other applicable requirements have been met, approves the drug or biologic for sale. The amount of time taken for this approval process is a function of a number of variables, including whether the product has received a fast track designation, the quality of the submission and studies presented, the potential contribution that the product will make in improving the treatment of the disease in question, and the workload at the FDA. It is possible that our product candidates will not successfully proceed through this approval process or that the FDA will not approve them in any specific period of time, or at all.

The FDA may, during its review of an NDA or BLA, ask for additional test data. If the FDA does ultimately approve the product, it may require additional testing, including potentially expensive phase 4 studies, to monitor the safety and effectiveness of the product. In addition, the FDA may in some circumstances impose restrictions on the use of the product, which may be difficult and expensive to administer and may require prior approval of promotional materials.

Before approving an NDA or BLA, the FDA will inspect the facilities at which the product is manufactured and will not approve the product unless the manufacturing facilities are in compliance with FDA's GMP regulations, which govern the manufacture, storage and distribution of a pharmaceutical product. Manufacturers of biologics also must comply with FDA's general biological product standards. Following approval, the FDA periodically inspects drug and biologic manufacturing facilities to ensure continued compliance with the GMP regulations. Manufacturers must continue to expend time, money and effort in the areas of production, quality control, record keeping and reporting to ensure full compliance with those requirements. Failure to comply with GMP regulations subjects the manufacturer to possible legal or regulatory action, such as suspension of manufacturing or recall or seizure of product. Adverse experiences with the product must be reported to the FDA and could result in the imposition of marketing restrictions through labeling changes or market removal. Product approvals may be withdrawn if compliance with regulatory requirements is not maintained or if problems concerning safety or efficacy of the product occur following approval.

The labeling, advertising, promotion, marketing and distribution of a drug or biologic product also must be in compliance with FDA and Federal Trade Commission (FTC) requirements which include, among others, standards and regulations for off-label promotion, industry sponsored scientific and educational activities, promotional activities involving the internet, and direct-to-consumer advertising. The FDA and FTC have very broad enforcement authority, and failure to abide by these regulations can result in penalties, including the issuance of a warning letter directing the company to correct deviations from regulatory standards and enforcement actions that can include seizures, injunctions and criminal prosecution.

Manufacturers are also subject to various laws and regulations governing laboratory practices, the experimental use of animals, and the use and disposal of hazardous or potentially hazardous substances in connection with their research. In each of these areas, as above, the FDA has broad regulatory and enforcement powers, including the ability to levy fines and civil penalties, suspend or delay issuance of product approvals, seize or recall products, and deny or withdraw approvals.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **Regulatory Requirements in Europe and Other Countries**

We are developing our product candidates in Europe, and are also subject to a variety of regulations governing clinical trials and manufacture and sales of our product candidates in Europe and other countries. Regardless of FDA approval in the United States, approval of a product candidate by the comparable regulatory authorities of foreign countries and regions must be obtained prior to the commencement of selling the product candidates in those countries. The approval process varies from one regulatory authority to another and the time may be longer or shorter than that required for FDA approval. In the European Union, Canada, and Australia, regulatory requirements and approval processes are similar, in principle, to those in the United States. In order to gain marketing approval, we must submit to the relevant regulatory authority for review information on the quality (chemistry, manufacturing and pharmaceutical) aspects of the product as well as the non-clinical and clinical data. In the European Union, the review of any marketing approval application for our product candidates is undertaken by the members of the EMEA's Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use as part of a centralized procedure.

Approval can take from several months to several years, or be denied. The approval process can be affected by a number of factors. For example, additional studies or clinical trials may be requested during the review and may delay marketing approval and involve unbudgeted costs. As a condition of approval, the regulatory agency will require post-marketing surveillance to monitor for adverse effects, and may require other additional studies as deemed appropriate. After approval for the initial indication, further clinical studies are usually necessary to gain approval for any additional indications. The terms of any approval, including labeling content, may be more restrictive than expected and could affect the marketability of a product.

As a condition of approval, the regulatory agency will require that the product continue to meet regulatory requirements as to safety, efficacy and quality and will require strict procedures to monitor and report any adverse effects. Where adverse effects occur or may occur, the regulatory agency may require additional studies or changes to prescribing advice or to product licences. Additional data may result in a product authorization being withdrawn at any stage.

## **Competition**

We face competition from a number of companies that are marketing products or developing various product candidates, technologies and approaches for the treatment of diseases that we are also targeting with our product candidates. Specifically, we face competition from a number of companies working in the fields of antibody-derived therapies for the treatment of solid tumors and B cell lymphomas. We expect that competition among products approved for sale will be based on various factors, including product efficacy, safety, reliability, convenience, availability, pricing and patent position. Some of these products use therapeutic approaches that may compete directly with our product candidates, and the companies developing these competing technologies may have significantly more resources than we do, and may succeed in obtaining approvals from the FDA and foreign regulatory authorities for their products sooner than we do for ours.

## **Employees**

As of December 31, 2009, we had 140 employees of which 118 were full-time employees. As of that date, 95 full-time employees were engaged in research and development and 23 were engaged in general and administrative activities. We believe that we have good relations with our employees. None of our employees is covered by a collective bargaining agreement.



TABLE OF CONTENTS**Executive Officers**

The following table lists the names, ages and positions of the individuals currently serving as our executive officers. The ages of the individuals are provided as of March 1, 2010.

Name	Age	Position
Christian Itin, Ph.D.	45	President and Chief Executive Officer
Barclay Phillips	47	Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
Patrick Baeuerle, Ph.D.	52	Senior Vice President, Chief Scientific Officer
Jan Fagerberg, M.D.	47	Senior Vice President, Chief Medical Officer
Mark Reisenauer	44	Senior Vice President, Chief Commercial Officer
Jens Hennecke, Ph.D.	42	Senior Vice President Business Development
Matthias Alder, lic. iur., LL.M.	45	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

**Dr. Christian Itin** has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer and a director since May 2006. He also served in the following capacities with our subsidiary Micromet AG: Chief Executive Officer from March 2004 to May 2006, Chief Business Officer from April 2002 to March 2004, Vice President of Business and Corporate Development from September 2001 to April 2002, Vice President of Corporate Development from September 2000 to September 2001 and Head of IP and Licensing from September 1999 to September 2000. Before joining Micromet, Dr. Itin was a co-founder of Zyomyx, Inc., a protein chip company in Hayward, California. Dr. Itin received a Diploma in biology and a Ph.D. in cell biology from the University of Basel, Switzerland. In addition, he also performed post-doctoral research at the Biocenter of Basel University and at the Stanford University School of Medicine.

**Mr. Barclay Phillips** has served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since August 2008. Previously, he served as a member of our board of directors from 2000 until his appointment as our Chief Financial Officer in August 2008. From 1999 to August 2008, Mr. Phillips was a Managing Director of Vector Fund Management, a venture capital firm. From 1991 to 1999, Mr. Phillips served in various roles at INVESCO Funds Group, including Director of Private Placements and Biotechnology Analyst. From 1985 to 1990, Mr. Phillips held positions in sales and trading with Paine Webber and Shearson Lehman Hutton. Mr. Phillips received a B.A. in economics from the University of Colorado in Boulder.

**Dr. Patrick Baeuerle** has served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Scientific Officer since May 2006, and in the same capacity with Micromet AG since October 1998. From February 1996 to September 1998, Dr. Baeuerle was Director of Drug Discovery at Tularik Inc., a biotechnology company in South San Francisco, California that is now part of Amgen Inc. From October 1994 to February 1996, Dr. Baeuerle was Professor and Chairman of Biochemistry at the Medical Faculty of Freiburg University, Germany. He has published more than 190 scientific papers. In addition, Dr. Baeuerle is an elected member of the European Molecular Biology Organization and was appointed Honorary Professor of Immunology at the University of Munich in 2000. Dr. Baeuerle performed his Ph.D. work at the Max Planck Institute for Psychiatry in Martinsried, Germany and at the European Molecular Biology Laboratory in Heidelberg, Germany. He received a Ph.D. degree in biology from the University of Munich and performed post-doctoral research at the Whitehead Institute of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

**Mr. Mark Reisenauer** has served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer since September 2007. Before joining Micromet, he was the Divisional Vice President and General Manager of the Neuroscience franchise for Abbott Laboratories Inc., a pharmaceutical company, from August 2006 to September 2007 and the General Manager of its Oncology franchise from 2002 to July 2006. From 1999 to 2002, Mr. Reisenauer was the Director of



Breast Cancer Products at Pharmacia Corporation, now Pfizer. From 1997 to 1999 he was the Associate Director of Oncology Global Marketing at Bristol-Myers Squibb, a pharmaceutical company, and from 1988 to 1997 he held several positions in sales and oncology marketing at Zeneca, a global pharmaceutical company. Mr. Reisenauer received a B.A. degree in Political Science from the University of Wisconsin.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

**Dr. Jan Fagerberg** has served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Medical Officer since November 2009. Dr. Fagerberg is a board-certified clinical oncologist and has more than 20 years of experience in clinical research and development of oncology drugs. From 2006 to 2009, he was Medical Director at TopoTarget in Copenhagen, Denmark. Prior to TopoTarget, from 1999 to 2006 he was with F. Hoffmann-La Roche in positions of increasing responsibility both in the United States and in Switzerland, ultimately serving as the Oncology Therapeutic Area Expert in Global Drug Development. During his tenure at Roche, he was responsible for the global clinical development of Xeloda and for the clinical development programs of Avastin outside the United States. Dr. Fagerberg received his M.D. degree at the Karolinska Institute in Stockholm, Sweden in 1988. He then received his Ph.D. for work in clinically applied passive and active immunotherapy targeting EpCAM in colorectal carcinomas in 1995. From 1995 to 1999, Dr. Fagerberg held various clinical positions, including Associate Head Section of Radiotherapy and Chief Physician, at the Karolinska Hospital in Stockholm.

**Dr. Jens Hennecke** has served as our Senior Vice President Business Development since March 2009. Previously, he served as our Vice President Business Development from May 2006 to March 2009, and in the same capacity at our subsidiary Micromet AG from 2004 to May 2006. He joined Micromet AG in 2001 and performed various business development functions until his promotion to Vice President in 2004. Dr. Hennecke studied biology at the University of Göttingen, Germany, and performed his Ph.D. thesis at the Institute of Molecular Biology and Biophysics at the ETH in Zürich, Switzerland. He performed post-doctoral research in x-ray crystallography in the Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology of Harvard University.

**Mr. Matthias Alder** has served as our Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary since July 2006. Previously, he was a partner with Cooley Godward LLP, a U.S. law firm, from 1997 to 2006 and established and co-chaired the firm's East Coast Life Sciences Practice. Prior to joining Cooley, Mr. Alder was in-house counsel for the pharmaceutical business of Novartis in Basel, Switzerland from 1994 to 1997. From 1988 to 1994, Mr. Alder worked in law firms in Switzerland and in Miami, Florida. Mr. Alder received an LL.M. degree in International and Comparative Law from the University of Miami in 1990. He earned the equivalent of a J.D. degree (lic. iur.) from the University of Basel, Switzerland, graduating *magna cum laude* in 1988.

## Corporate History

We were incorporated in Delaware in 1998 under the name CancerVax Corporation and completed our initial public offering in 2003. In 2006, we completed a merger with Micromet AG, a privately-held German company, and changed our corporate name to Micromet, Inc.

## Available Investor Information

We file electronically with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We make available on or through our website, free of charge, copies of these reports as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file or furnish them to the SEC. Our website is located at <http://www.micromet-inc.com>. You can also request copies of such documents by contacting our Investor Relations Department at (240) 235-0250 or sending an email to [investors@micromet-inc.com](mailto:investors@micromet-inc.com). The reference to our website is not intended to incorporate information on our website into this document by reference.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

*Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. The following information sets forth factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those contained in statements we have made in this report and those we may make from time to time. If any of the following risks actually occur, the market price of our common stock could decline, and you could lose all or part of your investment. Additional risks not presently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial may also significantly impair our business operations and could result in a partial or complete loss of your investment. You should carefully consider the following risk factors, in addition to other information included in this annual report, in evaluating our business. If any of the following risks occur, our business, financial condition and operating results could be materially adversely affected.*

### **Risks Relating to Our Financial Results, Financial Reporting and Need for Financing**

**We have a history of losses, we expect to incur substantial losses and have negative operating cash flows for the foreseeable future and we may never achieve or maintain profitability or positive cash flow.**

We have incurred losses from our inception through December 31, 2009, and we expect to incur substantial losses for the foreseeable future. We have no current sources of material ongoing revenue, other than the reimbursement of development expenses and potential future milestone payments from our current collaborators or licensees, including Bayer Schering Pharma, sanofi-aventis, Nycomed, Merck Serono, MedImmune and TRACON. We have not commercialized any products to date, either alone or with a third party collaborator. If we are not able to commercialize any products, whether alone or with a collaborator, we may not achieve profitability. Even if our collaboration agreements provide funding for a portion of our research and development expenses for some of our programs, we expect to spend significant capital to fund our internal research and development programs for the foreseeable future. As a result, we will need to generate significant revenues in order to achieve profitability. We cannot be certain whether or when this will occur because of the significant uncertainties that affect our business. Even if we do achieve profitability, we may not be able to sustain or increase profitability on a quarterly or annual basis. Our failure to become and remain profitable may depress the market value of our common stock and could impair our ability to raise capital, expand our business, diversify our product offerings or continue our operations and, as a result, you could lose part or all of your investment.

**We will require additional financing, which may be difficult to obtain and may dilute your ownership interest in us. If we fail to obtain the capital necessary to fund our operations, we will be unable to develop or commercialize our product candidates and our ability to operate as a going concern may be adversely affected.**

We will require substantial funds to continue our research and development programs and our future capital requirements may vary from what we expect. Specifically, we will require additional financing in order to complete our planned clinical trials for our lead product candidate blinatumomab for the treatment of ALL. In addition, as our product candidates progress into later-stage clinical development, such as our planned pivotal trial for blinatumomab,

we will be required to initiate larger, more costly trials. There are factors, many of which are outside our control, that may affect our future capital requirements and accelerate our need for additional financing. Among the factors that may affect our future capital requirements and accelerate our need for additional financing are:

continued progress in our research and development programs, as well as the scope of these programs;  
our ability to establish and maintain collaborative arrangements for the discovery, research or development of our product candidates;  
the timing, receipt and amount of research funding and milestone, license, royalty and other payments, if any, from collaborators;  
the timing, receipt and amount of sales revenues and associated royalties to us, if any, from our product candidates in the market;

20

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

our ability to sell shares of our common stock under our committed equity financing facility, or CEFF, with Kingsbridge Capital Limited, or Kingsbridge;  
the costs of preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining, defending and enforcing patent claims and other patent-related costs, including litigation costs and technology license fees; and  
competing technological and market developments.

We expect to seek funding through public or private financings or from existing or new collaborators with whom we enter into research or development collaborations with respect to programs that are not currently licensed. However, the market for stock of companies in the biotechnology sector in general, and the market for our common stock in particular, is highly volatile. Due to market conditions and the status of our product development pipeline, additional funding may not be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all. Having insufficient funds may require us to delay, scale back or eliminate some or all of our research or development programs or to relinquish greater or all rights to product candidates at an earlier stage of development or on less favorable terms than we would otherwise choose.

Failure to obtain adequate financing also may adversely affect our ability to operate as a going concern.

If we raise additional funds through the issuance of equity securities, our stockholders may experience substantial dilution, including as a result of the issuance of warrants in connection with the financing, or the equity securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of existing stockholders. If we raise additional funds through debt financings, these financings may involve significant cash payment obligations and covenants that restrict our ability to operate our business and make distributions to our stockholders. We also could elect to seek funds through arrangements with collaborators or others that may require us to relinquish rights to certain technologies, product candidates or products.

**Our committed equity financing facility with Kingsbridge may not be available to us if we elect to make a draw down, may require us to make additional blackout or other payments to Kingsbridge and may result in dilution to our stockholders.**

In December 2008, we entered into a CEFF with Kingsbridge, which entitles us to sell and obligates Kingsbridge to purchase, from time to time over a period of three years, up to 10,104,919 shares of our common stock for cash consideration of up to \$75.0 million, subject to certain conditions and restrictions. To date, we have sold 1,420,568 shares of common stock for gross proceeds of \$5.3 million under this agreement. Kingsbridge will not be obligated to purchase additional shares under the CEFF unless certain conditions are met, which include:

a minimum price for our common stock that is not less than 85% of the closing price of the day immediately preceding the applicable eight-day pricing period, but in no event less than \$2.00 per share;

the accuracy of representations and warranties made to Kingsbridge;

our compliance with all applicable laws which, if we failed to so comply, would have a Material Adverse Effect (as that term is defined in the purchase agreement with Kingsbridge); and

the effectiveness of a registration statement registering for resale the shares of common stock to be issued in connection with the CEFF.

Kingsbridge is permitted to terminate the CEFF by providing written notice to us upon the occurrence of certain events. For example, we are only eligible to draw down funds under the CEFF at such times as our stock price is above \$2.00 per share. Kingsbridge is also able to terminate the CEFF at any time that we have not drawn down at least \$1.25 million in funds over a consecutive 12-month period. If we are unable to access funds through the CEFF, or if Kingsbridge terminates the CEFF or it otherwise expires, we may be unable to access capital from other sources on favorable terms, or at all.

We are entitled, in certain circumstances, to deliver a blackout notice to Kingsbridge to suspend the use of the resale registration statement and prohibit Kingsbridge from selling shares under the resale registration statement for a certain period of time. If we deliver a blackout notice during the fifteen trading days following our delivery of shares to Kingsbridge in connection with any draw down, then we may be required to make a

TABLE OF CONTENTS

payment to Kingsbridge, or issue to Kingsbridge additional shares in lieu of this payment, calculated on the basis of the number of shares purchased by Kingsbridge in the most recent draw down and held by Kingsbridge immediately prior to the blackout period and the decline in the market price, if any, of our common stock during the blackout period. If the trading price of our common stock declines during a blackout period, this blackout payment could be significant.

In addition, if we fail to maintain the effectiveness of the resale registration statement or related prospectus in circumstances not permitted by our agreement with Kingsbridge, we may be required to make a payment to Kingsbridge, calculated on the basis of the number of shares held by Kingsbridge during the period that the registration statement or prospectus is not effective, multiplied by the decline in market price, if any, of our common stock during the ineffective period. If the trading price of our common stock declines during a period in which the resale registration statement or related prospectus is not effective, this payment could be significant.

Should we sell shares to Kingsbridge under the CEFF or issue shares in lieu of a blackout payment, it will have a dilutive effect on the holdings of our current stockholders and may result in downward pressure on the price of our common stock. If we draw down under the CEFF, we will issue shares to Kingsbridge at a discount of 6% to 14% from the volume weighted average price of our common stock. If we draw down amounts under the CEFF when our share price is decreasing, we will need to issue more shares to raise the same amount than if our stock price was higher. Issuances in the face of a declining share price will have an even greater dilutive effect than if our share price were stable or increasing and may further decrease our share price. Moreover, the number of shares that we will be able to issue to Kingsbridge in a particular draw down may be materially reduced if our stock price declines significantly during the applicable eight-day pricing period.

**Our quarterly operating results and stock price may fluctuate significantly.**

We expect our results of operations to be subject to quarterly fluctuations. The level of our revenues, if any, and results of operations for any given period, will be based primarily on the following factors:

- the status of development of our product candidates;
- the time at which we enter into research and license agreements with strategic collaborators that provide for payments to us, the timing and accounting treatment of payments to us, if any, under those agreements, and the progress made by our strategic collaborators in moving forward the development of our product candidates, which is largely out of our control;
- whether or not we achieve specified research, development or commercialization milestones under any agreement that we enter into with strategic collaborators and the timely payment by these collaborators of any amounts payable to us;
  - the addition or termination of research programs or funding support under collaboration agreements;
  - the timing of milestone payments under license agreements, repayments of outstanding amounts under loan agreements, and other payments that we may be required to make to others;
  - variations in the level of research and development expenses related to our clinical or preclinical product candidates during any given period;
- the change in fair value of the common stock warrants issued to investors in connection with our 2007 private placement financing, remeasured at each balance sheet date using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model, with the change in value recorded as other income or expense; and
  - general market conditions affecting companies with our risk profile and market capitalization.

These factors may cause the price of our stock to fluctuate substantially. We believe that quarterly comparisons of our financial results are not necessarily meaningful and should not be relied upon as an indication of our future performance.





TABLE OF CONTENTS

**If the estimates we make and the assumptions on which we rely in preparing our financial statements prove inaccurate, our actual results may vary significantly.**

Our financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of our assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, the amounts of charges taken by us and related disclosure. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. We cannot assure you that our estimates, or the assumptions underlying them, will be correct. Accordingly, our actual financial results may vary significantly from the estimates contained in our financial statements.

**Changes in, or interpretations of, accounting rules and regulations could result in unfavorable accounting charges or require us to change our compensation policies.**

Accounting methods and policies for biopharmaceutical companies, including policies governing revenue recognition, research and development and related expenses, accounting for stock-based compensation and in-process research and development costs are subject periodically to further review, interpretation and guidance from relevant accounting authorities, including the SEC. Changes to, or interpretations of, accounting methods or policies in the future may require us to reclassify, restate or otherwise change or revise our financial statements, including those contained in this filing.

## **Risks Relating to Our Common Stock**

**Substantial sales of shares may adversely impact the market price of our common stock and our ability to issue and sell shares in the future.**

Substantially all of the outstanding shares of our common stock are eligible for resale in the public market. We have also registered shares of our common stock that we may issue under our equity incentive compensation plans and our employee stock purchase plan. In addition, any shares issued under our CEFF with Kingsbridge will be eligible for resale in the public market. These shares generally can be freely sold in the public market upon issuance. If our stockholders sell substantial amounts of our common stock, the market price of our common stock may decline, which might make it more difficult for us to sell equity or equity-related securities in the future at a time and price that we deem appropriate. We are unable to predict the effect that sales of our common stock may have on the prevailing market price of our common stock.

**Our stock price may be volatile, and you may lose all or a substantial part of your investment.**

The market price for our common stock is volatile and may fluctuate significantly in response to a number of factors, many of which we cannot control. For example, since January 1, 2008, our common stock has traded between a low of \$1.30 per share and a high of \$8.48 per share. Among the factors that could cause material fluctuations in the market price for our common stock are:

our ability to successfully raise capital to fund our continued operations;  
our ability to successfully develop our product candidates within acceptable timeframes;  
changes in the regulatory status of our product candidates, including announcements of the results of our interactions with the FDA, EMEA and other regulatory authorities regarding the acceptance or rejection of proposed endpoints of our clinical trials or the acceptance or rejection of data from a clinical trial as a basis for granting marketing approval for our product candidates or the design of our trials more generally;  
changes in significant contracts, strategic collaborations, new technologies, acquisitions, commercial relationships, joint ventures or capital commitments;  
the execution of new collaboration agreements or termination of existing collaborations related to our clinical or preclinical product candidates or our BiTE antibody technology platform;  
announcements of the invalidity of, or litigation relating to, our key intellectual property;  
announcements of the achievement of milestones in our agreements with collaborators or the receipt of payments under those agreements;

23

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

announcements of the results of clinical trials by us or by companies with commercial products or product candidates in the same therapeutic category as our product candidates;

events affecting our collaborators;

fluctuations in stock market prices and trading volumes of similar companies;

announcements of new products or technologies, clinical trial results, commercial relationships or other events by us, our collaborators or our competitors;

our ability to successfully complete strategic collaboration arrangements with respect to our product candidates, BiTE antibodies or our BiTE antibody platform;

variations in our quarterly operating results;

changes in securities analysts' estimates of our financial performance or product development timelines;

changes in accounting principles;

sales of large blocks of our common stock, including sales by our executive officers, directors and significant stockholders;

additions or departures of key personnel; and

discussions of Micromet or our stock price by the financial and scientific press and online investor communities such as chat rooms.

**Our stockholder rights plan, anti-takeover provisions in our organizational documents and Delaware law may discourage or prevent a change in control, even if an acquisition would be beneficial to our stockholders, which could affect our stock price adversely and prevent attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management.**

Our stockholder rights plan and provisions contained in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws may delay or prevent a change in control, discourage bids at a premium over the market price of our common stock and adversely affect the market price of our common stock and the voting and other rights of the holders of our common stock. The provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws include:

dividing our board of directors into three classes serving staggered three-year terms;

prohibiting our stockholders from calling a special meeting of stockholders;

permitting the issuance of additional shares of our common stock or preferred stock without stockholder approval;

prohibiting our stockholders from making certain changes to our amended and restated certificate of incorporation or amended and restated bylaws except with 66 2/3% stockholder approval; and

requiring advance notice for raising matters of business or making nominations at stockholders' meetings.

We are also subject to provisions of the Delaware corporation law that, in general, prohibit any business combination with a beneficial owner of 15% or more of our common stock for five years unless the holder's acquisition of our stock was approved in advance by our board of directors.

**We may become involved in securities class action litigation that could divert management's attention and harm our business and our insurance coverage may not be sufficient to cover all costs and damages.**

The stock market has from time to time experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market prices for the common stock of pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies. These broad market fluctuations may cause the market price of our common stock to decline. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a particular company's securities, securities class action litigation has often



TABLE OF CONTENTS

been brought against that company. We may become involved in this type of litigation in the future. Litigation often is expensive and diverts management's attention and resources, which could adversely affect our business.

## **Risks Relating to Our Collaborations and Clinical Development Programs**

**We are dependent on collaborators for the development and commercialization of many of our product candidates. If we lose any of these collaborators, or if they fail or incur delays in the development or commercialization of our current and future product candidates, our operating results would suffer.**

The success of our strategy for development and commercialization of our product candidates depends upon our ability to form and maintain productive strategic collaborations and license arrangements. We currently have strategic collaborations or license arrangements with Bayer Schering Pharma, sanofi-aventis, Nycomed, Merck Serono, MedImmune and TRACON. We expect to enter into additional collaborations and license arrangements in the future.

Our existing and any future collaborations and licensed programs may not be scientifically or commercially successful. The risks that we face in connection with these collaborations and licensed programs include the following:

Each of our collaborators has significant discretion in determining the efforts and resources that it will apply to the collaboration. The timing and amount of any future royalty and milestone revenue that we may receive under collaborative and licensing arrangements will depend on, among other things, each collaborator's efforts and allocation of resources.

All of our strategic collaboration and license agreements are for fixed terms and are subject to termination under various circumstances, including, in some cases, on short notice without cause. If any of our collaborative partners were to terminate its agreement with us, we may attempt to identify and enter into an agreement with a new collaborator with respect to the product candidate covered by the terminated agreement. If we are not able to do so, we may not have the funds or capability to undertake the development, manufacturing and commercialization of that product candidate, which could result in a discontinuation or delay of the development of that product candidate. Our collaborators may develop and commercialize, either alone or with others, products and services that are similar to or competitive with the product candidates and services that are the subject of their collaborations with us or programs licensed from us.

Our collaborators may discontinue the development of our product candidates in specific indications, for example as a result of their assessment of the results obtained in clinical trials, or fail to initiate the development in indications that have a significant commercial potential.

Pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies from time to time re-evaluate their research and development priorities, including in connection with mergers and consolidations, which have been common in recent years in our industry. The ability of our product candidates involved in strategic collaborations to reach their potential could be limited if, as a result of changes in priorities, our collaborators decrease or fail to increase spending related to our product candidates, or decide to discontinue the development of our product candidates and terminate their collaboration or license agreement with us. In the event of such a termination, we may not be able to identify and enter into a collaboration agreement for our product candidates with another pharmaceutical or biotechnology company on terms favorable to us or at all, and we may not have sufficient financial resources to continue the development program for these product candidates on our own. As a result, we may incur delays in the development for these product

candidates following any termination of the collaboration agreement, or we may need to reallocate financial resources that could cause delays in other development programs for our other product candidates.

**We may not be successful in establishing additional strategic collaborations, which could adversely affect our ability to develop and commercialize product candidates.**

As an integral part of our ongoing research and development efforts, we periodically review opportunities to establish new collaborations for development and commercialization of new BiTE antibodies or existing

TABLE OF CONTENTS

product candidates in our development pipeline. We face significant competition in seeking appropriate collaborators and the negotiation process is time-consuming and complex. We may not be successful in our efforts to establish additional collaborations or other alternative arrangements. Even if we are successful in our efforts to establish a collaboration, the terms of the agreement may not be favorable to us. Finally, such collaborations or other arrangements may not result in successful products and associated revenue from milestone payments, royalties or profit share payments.

**If we cannot successfully establish clinical and regulatory operations in the United States, or if we do not obtain the necessary regulatory approvals from the FDA, the development and commercialization of blinatumomab in the United States may be delayed or may not occur at all.**

In November 2009, we and MedImmune entered into a termination and license agreement pursuant to which we terminated our collaboration and license agreement with MedImmune relating to blinatumomab in North America. As a result of this agreement, we now control the rights to develop and commercialize blinatumomab in the United States. However, we will need to hire personnel in order to prepare and execute the clinical development plan and obtain the necessary regulatory approvals from the FDA or other regulatory authorities for the development and marketing of blinatumomab. Although MedImmune planned to initiate clinical trials of blinatumomab in the United States prior to termination of the agreement, no patients were enrolled in blinatumomab trials in the United States. We intend to discuss with the FDA our plans for conducting clinical trials of blinatumomab in the United States. If we are not able to hire the appropriate personnel, or if the FDA does not grant the necessary approvals, the development of blinatumomab in the United States could be delayed or may never occur. There can be no assurances that we will be able to successfully develop blinatumomab following the termination of our collaboration and license agreement with MedImmune, or that such development will not be delayed as a result of financial constraints or if the FDA does not agree with our clinical development plans. There can also be no assurance that we will be able to enter into a new collaboration agreement with respect to blinatumomab with another industry partner for the development of blinatumomab in North America or in any other territories if we desire to do so or that we will ever be successful, alone or with a collaborator, in commercializing blinatumomab in the United States or in any other territories.

**Our planned pivotal clinical trial of blinatumomab may not be sufficient to obtain marketing approval in the United States or Europe for the treatment of ALL.**

We currently intend to conduct a single-arm, non-blinded pivotal clinical trial of blinatumomab in MRD-positive adult ALL patients. Depending on the results of this trial, we intend to seek marketing approval of blinatumomab in Europe for the treatment of ALL. The FDA, EMEA and other regulatory authorities generally require two randomized, blinded clinical trials in order to grant marketing approval for pharmaceutical products. Based on our discussions with the EMEA, we believe we will be required to demonstrate more robust efficacy results from our single-arm, non-blinded pivotal trial than if we conducted multiple well-controlled trials. Furthermore, our planned pivotal trial will have both primary and secondary endpoints, each of which will likely be required to be achieved with robust results in order to sufficiently demonstrate efficacy. In addition, we have not yet discussed our trial design with the FDA as it relates to approval of blinatumomab for marketing in the United States. Consequently, the EMEA and FDA could conclude that our trial design or the data from our planned pivotal clinical trial are not sufficient to approve blinatumomab for marketing in Europe or the United States, as applicable, and may require us to conduct expanded or additional clinical trials. This could significantly increase the cost required to develop blinatumomab and would substantially delay, or could prevent, marketing approval for blinatumomab.

We may not be successful in establishing additional strategic collaborations, which could adversely affect our ability



**Our clinical stage product candidates adecatumumab and blinatumomab have not yet been proven to be safe or to be effective in confirmatory studies. If we discontinue the development of any of our clinical stage product candidates due to adverse events or lack of efficacy, our business could suffer and the value of our company may be adversely affected.**

We previously reported that two phase 2 clinical trials of adecatumumab did not reach their respective primary endpoint in patients with metastatic breast cancer and in patients with prostate cancer. We have also reported that we terminated clinical trials and permanently discontinued the treatment of individual patients

TABLE OF CONTENTS

with blinatumomab due to adverse events that included infections, neurological events, and liver enzyme increases. We are continuing the clinical development of these product candidates, but there can be no assurance that we will not encounter unacceptable adverse events or that any preliminary suggestion of anti-tumor activity of these product candidates will be confirmed in the ongoing or future clinical trials.

**A recommended dose has not yet been defined for our product candidate MT110. If we discontinue the development of MT110 due to adverse events or lack of efficacy, our business could suffer and the value of our company may be adversely affected.**

MT110 is in a phase 1 dose-escalation clinical trial, and we may reach the maximum tolerated dose without reaching a dose level at which MT110 shows a clinically meaningful anti-tumor effect. We are continuing the clinical development of this product candidate in phase 1, but there can be no assurance that we will not encounter unacceptable adverse events before any anti-tumor activity has been noted in the ongoing or any future clinical trials.

**Many of the product candidates in our pipeline are in early stages of development and our efforts to develop and commercialize these product candidates are subject to a high risk of delay and failure. If we fail to successfully develop our product candidates, our ability to generate revenues will be substantially impaired.**

Many of our product candidates are in early stages of clinical and preclinical development, so we will require substantial additional financial resources, as well as research, product development and clinical development capabilities, to pursue the development of these product candidates, and we may never develop an approvable or commercially viable product. The process of successfully developing product candidates for the treatment of human diseases is very time-consuming, expensive and unpredictable and there is a high rate of failure for product candidates in preclinical development and in clinical trials. The preclinical studies and clinical trials may produce negative, inconsistent or inconclusive results, and the results from early clinical trials may not be statistically significant or predictive of results that will be obtained from expanded, advanced clinical trials. Further, we or our collaborators may decide, or the FDA, EMEA or other regulatory authorities may require us, to conduct preclinical studies or clinical trials or other development activities in addition to those performed or planned by us or our collaborators, which may be expensive or could delay the time to market for our product candidates. In addition, we do not know whether the clinical trials will result in marketable products.

We do not know whether our planned preclinical development or clinical trials for our product candidates will begin on time or be completed on schedule, if at all. The timing and completion of clinical trials of our product candidates depend on, among other factors, the number of patients that will be required to enroll in the clinical trials, the inclusion and exclusion criteria used for selecting patients for a particular clinical trial, and the rate at which those patients are enrolled. Any increase in the required number of patients, tightening of selection criteria, or decrease in recruitment rates or difficulties retaining study participants may result in increased costs, delays in the development of the product candidate, or both.

Our product candidates may not be effective in treating any of our targeted diseases or may prove to have undesirable or unintended side effects, toxicities or other characteristics that may prevent or limit their commercial use. Institutional review boards or regulators, including the FDA and EMEA, may hold, suspend or terminate our clinical

research or the clinical trials of our product candidates for various reasons, including non-compliance with regulatory requirements or if, in their opinion, the participating subjects are being exposed to unacceptable health risks, or if additional information may be required for the regulatory authority to assess the proposed development activities. Further, regulators may not approve study protocols at all or in a timeframe anticipated by us if they believe that the study design or the mechanism of action of our product candidates poses an unacceptable health risk to study participants.

We have limited financial and managerial resources. These limitations require us to focus on a select group of product candidates in specific therapeutic areas and to forego the exploration of other product opportunities. While our technologies may permit us to work in multiple areas, resource commitments may require trade-offs resulting in delays in the development of certain programs or research areas, which may place us at a competitive disadvantage. Our decisions as to resource allocation may not lead to the development of viable

TABLE OF CONTENTS

commercial products and may divert resources away from other market opportunities, which would otherwise have ultimately proved to be more profitable.

In addition, our product candidates may have different efficacy profiles in certain clinical indications, sub-indications or patient profiles, and an election by us or our collaborators to focus on a particular indication, sub-indication or patient profile may result in a failure to capitalize on other potentially profitable applications of our product candidates.

**We rely heavily on third parties for the conduct of preclinical studies and clinical trials of our product candidates, and we may not be able to control the proper performance of the studies or trials.**

In order to obtain regulatory approval for the commercial sale of our product candidates, we and our collaborators are required to complete extensive preclinical studies as well as clinical trials in humans to demonstrate to the FDA, EMEA and other regulatory authorities that our product candidates are safe and effective. We have limited experience and internal resources for conducting certain preclinical studies and clinical trials and rely primarily on collaborators and contract research organizations for the performance and management of preclinical studies and clinical trials of our product candidates.

We are responsible for confirming that our preclinical studies are conducted in accordance with applicable regulations and that each of our clinical trials is conducted in accordance with its general investigational plan and protocol. Our reliance on third parties does not relieve us of responsibility for ensuring compliance with appropriate regulations and standards for conducting, monitoring, recording and reporting of preclinical and clinical trials. If our collaborators or contractors fail to properly perform their contractual or regulatory obligations with respect to conducting or overseeing the performance of our preclinical studies or clinical trials, do not meet expected deadlines, fail to comply with the good laboratory practice guidelines or good clinical practice regulations, do not adhere to our preclinical and clinical trial protocols, suffer an unforeseen business interruption unrelated to our agreement with them that delays the clinical trial, or otherwise fail to generate reliable clinical data, then the completion of these studies or trials may be delayed, the results may not be useable and the studies or trials may have to be repeated, and we may need to enter into new arrangements with alternative third parties. Any of these events could cause our clinical trials to be extended, delayed, or terminated or create the need for them to be repeated, or otherwise create additional costs in the development of our product candidates and could adversely affect our and our collaborators' ability to market a product after marketing approvals have been obtained.

**Even if we complete the lengthy, complex and expensive development process, there is no assurance that we or our collaborators will obtain the regulatory approvals necessary for the launch and commercialization of our product candidates.**

To the extent that we or our collaborators are able to successfully complete the clinical development of a product candidate, we or our collaborators will be required to obtain approval by the FDA, EMEA or other regulatory authorities prior to marketing and selling such product candidate in the United States, the European Union or other countries. The process of preparing and filing applications for regulatory approvals with the FDA, EMEA and other regulatory authorities, and of obtaining the required regulatory approvals from these regulatory authorities, is lengthy and expensive, and may require two years or more. This process is further complicated because some of our product candidates use non-traditional or novel materials in non-traditional or novel ways, and the regulatory officials have

We rely heavily on third parties for the conduct of preclinical studies and clinical trials of our product candidates, and

little precedent to follow.

Any marketing approval by the FDA, EMEA or other regulatory authorities may be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which we or our collaborators may market the product candidate. These limitations could restrict the size of the market for the product and affect reimbursement levels by third-party payers.

As a result of these factors, we or our collaborators may not successfully begin or complete clinical trials and launch and commercialize any product candidates in the time periods estimated, if at all. Moreover, if we or our collaborators incur costs and delays in development programs or fail to successfully develop and commercialize products based upon our technologies, we may not become profitable and our stock price could decline.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **Risks Relating to Our Operations, Business Strategy, and the Life Sciences Industry**

**We face substantial competition, which may result in our competitors discovering, developing or commercializing products before or more successfully than we do.**

Our product candidates face competition with existing and new products being developed by biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies, as well as universities and other research institutions. For example, research in the fields of antibody-based therapeutics for the treatment of cancer, and autoimmune and inflammatory diseases, is highly competitive. A number of entities are seeking to identify and patent antibodies, potentially active proteins and other potentially active compounds without specific knowledge of their therapeutic functions. Our competitors may discover, characterize and develop important inducing molecules or genes in advance of us.

Many of our competitors have substantially greater capital resources, research and development staffs and facilities than we have. Efforts by other biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies could render our programs or product candidates uneconomical or result in therapies that are superior to those that we are developing alone or with a collaborator. We and our collaborators face competition from companies that may be more experienced in product development and commercialization, obtaining regulatory approvals and product manufacturing. As a result, they may develop competing products more rapidly that are safer, more effective, or have fewer side effects, or are less expensive, or they may discover, develop and commercialize products, which render our product candidates non-competitive or obsolete. We expect competition to intensify in antibody research as technical advances in the field are made and become more widely known.

**We may not be successful in our efforts to expand our portfolio of product candidates.**

A key element of our strategy is to discover, develop and commercialize a portfolio of new BiTE antibody therapeutics. We are seeking to do so through our internal research programs, which could place a strain on our human and capital resources. A significant portion of the research that we are conducting involves new and unproven technologies. Research programs to identify new disease targets and product candidates require substantial technical, financial and human resources regardless of whether or not any suitable candidates are ultimately identified. Our research programs may initially show promise in identifying potential product candidates, yet fail to yield product candidates suitable for clinical development. If we are unable to discover suitable potential product candidates, develop additional delivery technologies through internal research programs or in-license suitable product candidates or delivery technologies on acceptable business terms, our business prospects will suffer.

**We and our collaborators are subject to governmental regulations in addition to those imposed by the FDA and EMEA, and we or our collaborators may not be able to comply with these regulations. Any non-compliance could subject us or our collaborators to penalties and otherwise result in the limitation of our operations.**

In addition to regulations imposed by the FDA, EMEA and other health regulatory authorities, we and our collaborators are subject to regulation under the Occupational Safety and Health Act, the Environmental Protection Act, the Toxic Substances Control Act, the Research Conservation and Recovery Act, as well as regulations administered by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, national restrictions on technology transfer, import, export and customs regulations and certain other local, state or federal regulations, or their counterparts in Europe and other countries. From time to time, other governmental agencies and legislative or international governmental bodies have indicated an interest in implementing further regulation of biotechnology applications. We are not able to predict whether any such regulations will be adopted or whether, if adopted, such regulations will apply to our or our collaborators' business, or whether we or our collaborators would be able to comply, without incurring unreasonable expense, or at all, with any applicable regulations.

**Our growth could be limited if we are unable to attract and retain key personnel and consultants.**

We have limited experience in filing and prosecuting regulatory applications to obtain marketing approval from the FDA, EMEA or other regulatory authorities. Our success depends on the ability to attract, train and retain qualified scientific and technical personnel, including consultants, to further our research and development efforts. The loss of services of one or more of our key employees or consultants could have a negative

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

impact on our business and operating results. Competition for skilled personnel is intense and the turnover rate can be high. Competition for experienced management and clinical, scientific and engineering personnel from numerous companies and academic and other research institutions may limit our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel on acceptable terms. As a result, locating candidates with the appropriate qualifications can be difficult, and we may not be able to attract and retain sufficient numbers of highly skilled employees.

Any growth and expansion into areas and activities that may require additional personnel or expertise, such as in regulatory affairs, quality assurance, and control and compliance, would require us to either hire new key personnel or obtain such services from a third party. The pool of personnel with the skills that we require is limited, and we may not be able to hire or contract such additional personnel. Failure to attract and retain personnel would prevent us from developing and commercializing our product candidates.

**Even if regulatory authorities approve our product candidates, we may fail to comply with ongoing regulatory requirements or experience unanticipated problems with our product candidates, and these product candidates could be subject to restrictions or withdrawal from the market following approval.**

Any product candidates for which we obtain marketing approval, along with the manufacturing processes, post-approval clinical trials and promotional activities for such product candidates, if any, will be subject to continual review and periodic inspections by the FDA, EMEA and other regulatory authorities. Even if regulatory approval of a product candidate is granted, the approval may be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which the product may be marketed or contain requirements for costly post-marketing testing and surveillance to monitor the safety or efficacy of the product. Post-approval discovery of previously unknown problems with any approved products, including unanticipated adverse events or adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, difficulties with a manufacturer or manufacturing processes, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements, may result in restrictions on such approved products or manufacturing processes, limitations in the scope of our approved labeling, withdrawal of the approved products from the market, voluntary or mandatory recall and associated publicity requirements, fines, suspension or withdrawal of regulatory approvals, product seizures, injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

**The procedures and requirements for granting marketing approvals vary among countries, which may cause us to incur additional costs or delays or may prevent us from obtaining marketing approvals in different countries and regulatory jurisdictions.**

We intend to market our product candidates in many countries and regulatory jurisdictions. In order to market our product candidates in the United States, the European Union and many other jurisdictions, we must obtain separate regulatory approvals in each of these countries and territories. The procedures and requirements for obtaining marketing approval vary among countries and regulatory jurisdictions, and can involve additional clinical trials or other tests. Also, the time required to obtain approval may differ from that required to obtain FDA and EMEA approval. The various regulatory approval processes may include all of the risks associated with obtaining FDA and EMEA approval. We may not obtain all of the desirable or necessary regulatory approvals on a timely basis, if at all.

Approval by a regulatory authority in a particular country or regulatory jurisdiction, such as the FDA in the United States and the EMEA in the European Union, generally does not ensure approval by a regulatory authority in another country. We may not be able to file for regulatory approvals and may not receive necessary approvals to commercialize our product candidates in any or all of the countries or regulatory jurisdictions in which we desire to



market our product candidates.

**If we fail to obtain an adequate level of reimbursement for any approved products by third-party payers, there may be no commercially viable markets for these products or the markets may be much smaller than expected. The continuing efforts of the government, insurance companies, managed care organizations and other payers of health care costs to contain or reduce costs of healthcare may adversely affect our ability to generate revenues and achieve profitability, the future revenues and profitability of our potential customers, suppliers and collaborators, and the availability of capital.**

Our ability to commercialize our product candidates successfully will depend in part on the extent to which governmental authorities, private health insurers and other organizations establish appropriate reimbursement levels for the price charged for our product candidates and related treatments. The efficacy, safety

TABLE OF CONTENTS

and cost-effectiveness of our product candidates as well as the efficacy, safety and cost-effectiveness of any competing products will determine in part the availability and level of reimbursement. These third-party payers continually attempt to contain or reduce the costs of healthcare by challenging the prices charged for healthcare products and services. Given recent federal and state government initiatives directed at lowering the total cost of healthcare in the United States, the U.S. Congress and state legislatures will likely continue to focus on healthcare reform, the cost of prescription pharmaceuticals and on the reform of the Medicare and Medicaid systems. In certain countries, particularly the countries of the European Union, the pricing of prescription pharmaceuticals is subject to governmental control. In these countries, pricing negotiations with governmental authorities can take six to twelve months or longer after the receipt of regulatory marketing approval for a product. To obtain reimbursement or pricing approval in some countries, we may be required to conduct clinical trials that compare the cost-effectiveness of our product candidates to other available therapies. If reimbursement for our product candidates were unavailable or limited in scope or amount or if reimbursement levels or prices are set at unsatisfactory levels, our projected and actual revenues and our prospects for profitability would be negatively affected.

Another development that may affect the pricing of drugs in the United States is regulatory action regarding drug reimportation into the United States. The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act requires the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services to promulgate regulations allowing drug reimportation from Canada into the United States under certain circumstances. These provisions will become effective only if the Secretary certifies that such imports will pose no additional risk to the public's health and safety and result in significant cost savings to consumers. Proponents of drug reimportation may also attempt to pass legislation that would remove the requirement for the Secretary's certification or allow reimportation under circumstances beyond those anticipated under current law. If legislation is enacted, or regulations issued, allowing the reimportation of drugs, it could decrease the reimbursement we would receive for any product candidates that we may commercialize, or require us to lower the price of our product candidates then on the market that face competition from lower-priced supplies of that product from other countries. These factors would negatively affect our projected and actual revenues and our prospects for profitability.

We are unable to predict what additional legislation or regulation, if any, relating to the healthcare industry or third-party coverage and reimbursement may be enacted in the future or what effect such legislation or regulation would have on our business. Any cost containment measures or other healthcare system reforms that are adopted could have a material adverse effect on our ability to commercialize successfully any future products or could limit or eliminate our spending on development projects and affect our ultimate profitability.

**If physicians and patients do not accept the product candidates that we may develop, our ability to generate product revenue in the future will be adversely affected.**

Our product candidates, if successfully developed and approved by the regulatory authorities, may not gain market acceptance among physicians, healthcare payers, patients and the medical community. Market acceptance of and demand for any product candidate that we may develop will depend on many factors, including:

- our ability to provide acceptable evidence of safety and efficacy;
- convenience and ease of administration;
- prevalence and severity of adverse side effects;
- the timing of market entry relative to competitive treatments;
- cost effectiveness;
- effectiveness of our marketing and pricing strategy for any product candidates that we may develop;

If we fail to obtain an adequate level of reimbursement for any approved products by third-party payers, the

publicity concerning our product candidates or competitive products;  
the strength of marketing and sales support; and  
our ability to obtain third-party coverage or reimbursement.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

If any product candidates for which we may receive marketing approval fail to gain market acceptance, our ability to generate product revenue in the future will be adversely affected.

### **We face the risk of product liability claims and may not be able to obtain insurance.**

Our business exposes us to the risk of product liability claims that is inherent in the testing, manufacturing, and marketing of drugs and related devices. Although we have product liability and clinical trial liability insurance that we believe is appropriate, this insurance is subject to deductibles and coverage limitations. We may not be able to obtain or maintain adequate protection against potential liabilities. If any of our product candidates is approved for marketing, we may seek additional insurance coverage. If we are unable to obtain insurance at acceptable cost or on acceptable terms with adequate coverage or otherwise protect ourselves against potential product liability claims, we will be exposed to significant liabilities, which may cause a loss of revenue or otherwise harm our business. These liabilities could prevent or interfere with our product commercialization efforts. Defending a suit, regardless of merit, could be costly, could divert management attention and might result in adverse publicity, injury to our reputation, or reduced acceptance of our product candidates in the market. If we are sued for any injury caused by any future products, our liability could exceed our total assets.

### **Our operations involve hazardous materials and we must comply with environmental laws and regulations, which can be expensive.**

Our research and development activities involve the controlled use of hazardous materials, including chemicals and radioactive and biological materials. Our operations also produce hazardous waste products. We are subject in the United States to a variety of federal, state and local regulations, and in Europe to European, national, state and local regulations, relating to the use, handling, storage and disposal of these materials. We generally contract with third parties for the disposal of such substances and store certain low-level radioactive waste at our facility until the materials are no longer considered radioactive. We cannot eliminate the risk of accidental contamination or injury from these materials. We may be required to incur substantial costs to comply with current or future environmental and safety regulations which could impose greater compliance costs and increased risks and penalties associated with violations. If an accident or contamination occurred, we would likely incur significant costs associated with civil penalties or criminal fines, substantial investigation and remediation costs, and costs associated with complying with environmental laws and regulations. There can be no assurance that violations of environmental laws or regulations will not occur in the future as a result of the inability to obtain permits, human error, accident, equipment failure or other causes. We do not have any insurance for liabilities arising from hazardous materials. Compliance with environmental and safety laws and regulations is expensive, and current or future environmental regulation may impair our research, development or production efforts.

## **Risks Relating to Our Intellectual Property and Litigation**

**We may not be able to obtain or maintain adequate patents and other intellectual property rights to protect our business and product candidates against competitors.**

Our value may be significantly enhanced if we are able to obtain adequate patents and other intellectual property rights to protect our business and product candidates against competitors. For that reason, we allocate significant financial and personnel resources to the filing, prosecution, maintenance and defense of patent applications, patents and trademarks claiming or covering our product candidates and key technology relating to these product candidates.

To date, we have sought to protect our proprietary positions related to our important technology, inventions and improvements by filing patent applications in the U.S., Europe and other jurisdictions. Because the patent position of pharmaceutical and biopharmaceutical companies involves complex legal and factual questions, the issuance, scope and enforceability of patents cannot be predicted with certainty, and we cannot be certain that patents will be issued on pending or future patent applications that cover our product candidates and technologies. Claims could be restricted in prosecution such that the a scope of protection could be of little value for a particular product candidate. Patents, if issued, may be challenged and sought to be invalidated by third parties in litigation. In addition, U.S. patents and patent applications may also be subject to

TABLE OF CONTENTS

interference proceedings, and U.S. patents may be subject to reexamination proceedings in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. European patents may be subject to opposition proceedings in the European Patent Office. Patents might be invalidated in national jurisdictions. Similar proceedings may be available in countries outside of Europe or the U.S. These proceedings could result in either a loss of the patent or a denial of the patent application or loss or reduction in the scope of one or more of the claims of the patent or patent application. Thus, any patents that we own or license from others may not provide any protection against competitors. Furthermore, an adverse decision in an interference proceeding could result in a third party receiving the patent rights sought by us, which in turn could affect our ability to market a potential product or product candidate to which that patent filing was directed. Our pending patent applications, those that we may file in the future, or those that we may license from third parties may not result in patents being issued. If issued, they may not provide us with proprietary protection or competitive advantages against competitors with similar technology. Furthermore, others may independently develop similar technologies or duplicate any technology that we have developed, which fall outside the scope of our patents. Products or technology could also be copied by competitors after expiration of the patent life. Furthermore, claims of employees or former employees of Micromet related to their inventorship or compensation pursuant to the German Act on Employees Inventions may lead to legal disputes. Moreover, if non-Micromet employees are contributors to a Micromet invention, such non-Micromet employees or their employers may assert claims related to inventorship, ownership, or compensation pursuant to the German Act on Employees Inventions that may lead to legal disputes.

We rely on third-party payment services and external law firms for the payment of foreign patent annuities and other fees. Non-payment or delay in payment of such fees, whether intentional or unintentional, may result in loss of patents or patent rights important to our business.

**We may incur substantial costs enforcing our patents against third parties. If we are unable to protect our intellectual property rights, our competitors may develop and market products with similar features that may reduce demand for our potential products.**

We own or control a substantial portfolio of issued patents. From time to time, we may become aware of third parties that undertake activities that infringe on our patents. We may decide to grant those third parties a license under our patents, or to enforce the patents against those third parties by pursuing an infringement claim in litigation. If we initiate patent infringement litigation, it could consume significant financial and management resources, regardless of the merit of the claims or the outcome of the litigation. The outcome of patent litigation is subject to uncertainties that cannot be adequately quantified in advance, including the demeanor and credibility of witnesses and the identity of the adverse party, especially in biotechnology-related patent cases that may turn on the testimony of experts as to technical facts upon which experts may reasonably disagree. Some of our competitors may be able to sustain the costs of such litigation or proceedings more effectively than we can because of their substantially greater financial resources. Uncertainties resulting from the initiation and continuation of patent litigation or other proceedings could harm our ability to compete in the marketplace.

Our ability to enforce our patents may be restricted under applicable law. Many countries, including certain countries in Europe, have compulsory licensing laws under which a patent owner may be compelled to grant licenses to third parties. For example, compulsory licenses may be required in cases where the patent owner has failed to work the invention in that country, or the third-party has patented improvements. In addition, many countries limit the enforceability of patents against government agencies or government contractors. In these countries, the patent owner may have limited remedies, which could materially diminish the value of the patent. Moreover, the legal systems of certain countries, particularly certain developing countries, do not favor the aggressive enforcement of patent and other intellectual property rights, which makes it difficult to stop infringement. In addition, our ability to enforce our

We may incur substantial costs enforcing our patents against third parties. If we are unable to protect our intellectual

patent rights depends on our ability to detect infringement. It is difficult to detect infringers who do not advertise the compounds that are used in their products or the methods they use in the research and development of their products. If we are unable to enforce our patents against infringers, it could have a material adverse effect on our competitive position, results of operations and financial condition.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**If we are not able to protect and control our unpatented trade secrets, know-how and other technological innovation, we may suffer competitive harm.**

We rely on proprietary trade secrets and unpatented know-how to protect our research, development and manufacturing activities and maintain our competitive position, particularly when we do not believe that patent protection is appropriate or available. However, trade secrets are difficult to protect. We attempt to protect our trade secrets and unpatented know-how by requiring our employees, consultants and advisors to execute confidentiality and non-use agreements. We cannot guarantee that these agreements will provide meaningful protection, that these agreements will not be breached, that we will have an adequate remedy for any such breach, or that our trade secrets or proprietary know-how will not otherwise become known or independently developed by a third party. Our trade secrets, and those of our present or future collaborators that we utilize by agreement, may become known or may be independently discovered by others, which could adversely affect the competitive position of our product candidates.

If any trade secret, know-how or other technology not protected by a patent or intellectual property right were disclosed to, or independently developed by a competitor, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

**If third parties claim that our product candidates or technologies infringe their intellectual property rights, we may become involved in expensive patent litigation, which could result in liability for damages or require us to stop our development or the commercialization of our product candidates after they have been approved and launched in the market, or we could be forced to obtain a license and pay royalties under unfavorable terms.**

Our commercial success will depend in part on not infringing the patents or violating the proprietary rights of third parties. Competitors or third parties may obtain patents that may claim the composition, manufacture or use of our product candidates, or the technology required to perform research and development activities relating to our product candidates.

From time to time we receive correspondence inviting us to license patents from third parties. While we believe that our pre-commercialization activities fall within the scope of an available exemption against patent infringement provided in the United States by 35 U.S.C. § 271(e) and by similar research exemptions in Europe, claims may be brought against us in the future based on patents held by others. Also, we are aware of patents and other intellectual property rights of third parties relating to our areas of practice, and we know that others have filed patent applications in various countries that relate to several areas in which we are developing product candidates. Some of these patent applications have already resulted in patents and some are still pending. The pending patent applications may also result in patents being issued. In addition, the publication of patent applications occurs with a certain delay after the date of filing, so we may not be aware of all relevant patent applications of third parties at a given point in time. Further, publication of discoveries in the scientific or patent literature often lags behind actual discoveries, so we may not be able to determine whether inventions claimed in patent applications of third parties have been made before or after the date on which inventions claimed in our patent applications and patents have been made. All issued patents are entitled to a presumption of validity in many countries, including the United States and many European countries. Issued patents held by others may therefore limit our freedom to operate unless and until these patents expire or are declared invalid or unenforceable in a court of applicable jurisdiction.



For example, we are aware that GlaxoSmithKline holds United States and European patents claiming the administration of anti-EpCAM antibodies with certain chemotherapeutic agents. We conducted a phase 1b clinical trial evaluating adecatumumab in combination with docetaxel under our collaboration agreement with Merck Serono. While we have no current plans to continue the development of this combination, if we and Merck Serono were to pursue such development and obtain marketing approval for this combination at a time when this patent remains in effect, GlaxoSmithKline could seek to enjoin our collaboration partner Merck Serono from commercializing the combination of adecatumumab and docetaxel or require Merck Serono to take a license under its patent, which Merck Serono may not be able to obtain on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. If Merck Serono is required to make royalty payments to GlaxoSmithKline or other third parties that hold patents that would be infringed by the manufacture, use or sale of adecatumumab, and if

TABLE OF CONTENTS

these royalty payments to third parties were to exceed a threshold percentage specified in our collaboration agreement, Merck Serono would have the right to credit a portion of these royalty payments against royalty payments due to us.

We and our collaborators may not have rights under some patents that may cover the composition of matter, manufacture or use of product candidates that we seek to develop and commercialize, drug targets to which our product candidates bind, or technologies that we use in our research and development activities. As a result, our ability to develop and commercialize our product candidates may depend on our ability to obtain licenses or other rights under these patents. The third parties who own or control such patents may be unwilling to grant those licenses or other rights to us or our collaborators under terms that are commercially viable or at all. Third parties who own or control these patents could bring claims based on patent infringement against us or our collaborators and seek monetary damages and to enjoin further clinical testing, manufacturing and marketing of the affected product candidates or products. There has been, and we believe that there will continue to be, significant litigation in the pharmaceutical industry regarding patent and other intellectual property rights. If a third party sues us for patent infringement, it could consume significant financial and management resources, regardless of the merit of the claims or the outcome of the litigation.

If a third party brings a patent infringement suit against us and we do not settle the patent infringement suit and are not successful in defending against the patent infringement claims, we could be required to pay substantial damages or we or our collaborators could be forced to stop or delay research, development, manufacturing or sales of the product or product candidate that is claimed by the third party's patent. We or our collaborators may choose to seek, or be required to seek, a license from the third party and would most likely be required to pay license fees or royalties or both. However, there can be no assurance that any such license would be available on acceptable terms or at all. Even if we or our collaborators were able to obtain a license, the rights may be nonexclusive, which would give our competitors access to the same intellectual property. Ultimately, we could be prevented from commercializing a product candidate, or forced to cease some aspect of our business operations as a result of patent infringement claims, which could harm our business.

**Our success depends on our ability to maintain and enforce our licensing arrangements with various third party licensors.**

We are party to intellectual property licenses and agreements that are important to our business, and we expect to enter into similar licenses and agreements in the future. These licenses and agreements impose various research, development, commercialization, sublicensing, milestone payments, indemnification, insurance and other obligations on us. Moreover, certain of our license agreements contain an obligation for us to make payments to our licensors based upon revenues received in connection with such licenses. If we or our collaborators fail to perform under these agreements or otherwise breach obligations thereunder, our licensors may terminate these agreements, we could lose licenses to intellectual property rights that are important to our business and we could be required to pay damages to our licensors. Any such termination could materially harm our ability to develop and commercialize the product candidate that is the subject of the agreement, which could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations.

**If licensees or assignees of our intellectual property rights breach any of the agreements under which we have licensed or assigned our intellectual property to them, we could be deprived of important intellectual property rights and future revenue.**

We are a party to intellectual property out-licenses, collaborations and agreements that are important to our business, and we expect to enter into similar agreements with third parties in the future. Under these agreements, we license or transfer intellectual property to third parties and impose various research, development, commercialization, sublicensing, royalty, indemnification, insurance, and other obligations on them. If a third party fails to comply with these requirements, we generally retain the right to terminate the agreement and to bring a legal action in court or in arbitration. In the event of breach, we may need to enforce our rights under these agreements by resorting to arbitration or litigation. During the period of arbitration or litigation, we may be unable to effectively use, assign or license the relevant intellectual property rights and may be deprived of current or future revenues that are associated with such intellectual property, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**We may be subject to damages resulting from claims that we or our employees have wrongfully used or disclosed alleged trade secrets of their former employers.**

Many of our employees were previously employed at other biotechnology or pharmaceutical companies, including our competitors or potential competitors. Although no claims against us are currently pending, we may be subject to claims that these employees or we have inadvertently or otherwise used or disclosed trade secrets or other proprietary information of their former employers. Litigation may be necessary to defend against these claims. Even if we are successful in defending against these claims, litigation could result in substantial costs and be a distraction to management. If we fail in defending such claims, in addition to paying money claims, we may lose valuable intellectual property rights or personnel. A loss of key personnel or their work product could hamper or prevent our ability to commercialize certain product candidates.

## **Risks Relating to Manufacturing and Sales of Products**

**We depend on our collaborators and third-party manufacturers to produce most, if not all, of our product candidates and if these third parties do not successfully manufacture these product candidates, or do not follow current good manufacturing practices or do not maintain their facilities in accordance with these practices, our product development and commercialization efforts may be harmed.**

We have no manufacturing experience or manufacturing capabilities for the production of our product candidates for clinical trials or commercial sale. In order to continue to develop product candidates, apply for regulatory approvals, and commercialize our product candidates following approval, we or our collaborators must be able to manufacture or contract with third parties to manufacture our product candidates in clinical and commercial quantities, in compliance with regulatory requirements, at acceptable costs and in a timely manner. For example, we recently engaged Lonza as our contract manufacturer for blinatumomab. The manufacture of our product candidates may be complex, difficult to accomplish and difficult to scale-up when large-scale production is required. Manufacture may be subject to delays, inefficiencies and poor or low yields of quality products. The cost of manufacturing our product candidates may make them prohibitively expensive. If supplies of any of our product candidates or related materials become unavailable on a timely basis or at all or are contaminated or otherwise lost, clinical trials by us and our collaborators could be seriously delayed. This is due to the fact that such materials are time-consuming to manufacture and cannot be readily obtained from third-party sources.

Product candidates used in clinical trials or sold after marketing approval has been obtained must be manufactured in accordance with current good manufacturing practices regulations. There are a limited number of manufacturers that operate under these regulations, including the FDA's and EMEA's good manufacturing practices regulations, and that are capable of manufacturing our product candidates. Third-party manufacturers may encounter difficulties in achieving quality control and quality assurance and may experience shortages of qualified personnel. Also, manufacturing facilities are subject to ongoing periodic, unannounced inspection by the FDA, the EMEA, and other regulatory agencies or authorities, to ensure strict compliance with current good manufacturing practices and other governmental regulations and standards. A failure of third-party manufacturers to follow current good manufacturing practices or other regulatory requirements and to document their adherence to such practices may lead to significant

delays in the availability of product candidates for use in a clinical trial or for commercial sale, the termination of, or hold on, a clinical trial, or may delay or prevent filing or approval of marketing applications for our product candidates. In addition, as a result of such a failure, we could be subject to sanctions, including fines, injunctions and civil penalties, refusal or delays by regulatory authorities to grant marketing approval of our product candidates, suspension or withdrawal of marketing approvals, seizures or recalls of product candidates, operating restrictions and criminal prosecutions, any of which could significantly and adversely affect our business.

To the extent that we or our collaborators seek to enter into manufacturing arrangements with third parties such as our agreement with Lonza, we and such collaborators will depend upon these third parties to perform their obligations in a timely and effective manner and in accordance with government regulations. Lonza or other contract manufacturers may breach their manufacturing agreements because of factors beyond our control or may terminate or fail to renew a manufacturing agreement based on their own business priorities at a time that is costly or inconvenient for us. If Lonza or any other third-party manufacturer were to fail

TABLE OF CONTENTS

to perform their obligations, our competitive position and ability to generate revenue may be adversely affected in a number of ways, including:

we and our collaborators may not be able to initiate or continue clinical trials of product candidates that are under development;

we and our collaborators may be delayed in submitting applications for regulatory approvals for our product candidates; and

we and our collaborators may not be able to meet commercial demands for any approved products.

If we were required to change manufacturers, it may require additional clinical trials and the revalidation of the manufacturing process and procedures in accordance with applicable current good manufacturing practices and may require FDA or EMEA approval. This revalidation may be costly and time-consuming. If we are unable to arrange for third-party manufacturing of our product candidates, or to do so on commercially reasonable terms, we may not be able to complete development or marketing of our product candidates.

**The transfer of the manufacturing process for blinatumomab from MedImmune to us or our contract manufacturer may not be successful, which may result in a shortage of clinical trial materials and a delay in the development of blinatumomab.**

As a result of the termination of the collaboration and license agreement with MedImmune relating to blinatumomab, we have assumed the responsibility for the manufacture of blinatumomab for clinical trials and have recently engaged Lonza AG as our contract manufacturer. We do not expect Lonza to manufacture supplies of blinatumomab that can be used in clinical trials until the end of 2010 at the earliest. Until then we will utilize the supplies of blinatumomab produced by MedImmune prior to the termination of our agreement with them. We believe that the existing supply of blinatumomab will be sufficient to supply our ongoing and planned clinical trials of blinatumomab until Lonza-supplied blinatumomab becomes available. If there is a delay in Lonza providing us with blinatumomab, we may have to delay clinical trials which could have a material adverse effect on our business. As part of the termination, MedImmune is responsible for the continued performance of the studies intended to establish that the stock of blinatumomab supplied by MedImmune to us is stable and within the required specifications of our IMPD and IND under which we are performing clinical trials with blinatumomab. If MedImmune ceases to perform the stability studies or to deliver the data from the stability studies as required under the agreement, or if the data provided by MedImmune indicate that the stock of blinatumomab has degraded to an extent that it no longer meets the required specifications, we may not have sufficient quantities of the product candidate required to perform the planned clinical trials with blinatumomab. There can be no assurance that the transferred materials will be sufficient for use in our clinical trials, or that we or Lonza will be able to implement the manufacturing process transferred from MedImmune in a manner that results in clinical trial materials with specifications comparable to the clinical trial materials produced by MedImmune. Any of these or similar or other events could cause delays in the development and potential regulatory approval of blinatumomab, which would have an adverse effect on its commercial potential.

**If our third-party manufacturers do not follow current good manufacturing practices or do not maintain their facilities in accordance with these practices, our product development and commercialization efforts may be harmed.**

We have no manufacturing experience or manufacturing capabilities for the production of our product candidates for clinical trials or commercial sale and must rely on third parties to provide manufacturing services for us. Product candidates used in clinical trials or sold after marketing approval has been obtained must be manufactured in

accordance with current good manufacturing practices regulations. There are a limited number of manufacturers that operate under these regulations, including the FDA's and EMEA's good manufacturing practices regulations, and that are capable of manufacturing our product candidates. Third-party manufacturers may encounter difficulties in achieving quality control and quality assurance and may experience shortages of qualified personnel. Also, manufacturing facilities are subject to ongoing periodic, unannounced inspection by the FDA, the EMEA, and other regulatory agencies or authorities, to ensure strict compliance with current good manufacturing practices and other governmental regulations and standards. A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

failure of third-party manufacturers to follow current good manufacturing practices or other regulatory requirements or to document their adherence to such practices may lead to significant delays in the availability of product candidates for use in a clinical trial or for commercial sale, the termination of, or hold on a clinical trial, or may delay or prevent filing or approval of marketing applications for our product candidates. In addition, as a result of such a failure, we could be subject to sanctions, including fines, injunctions and civil penalties, refusal or delays by regulatory authorities to grant marketing approval of our product candidates, suspension or withdrawal of marketing approvals, seizures or recalls of product candidates, operating restrictions and criminal prosecutions, any of which could significantly and adversely affect our business. If we were required to change manufacturers, it may require additional clinical trials and the revalidation of the manufacturing process and procedures in accordance with applicable current good manufacturing practices and may require FDA or EMEA approval. This revalidation may be costly and time-consuming. If we are unable to arrange for third-party manufacturing of our product candidates, or to do so on commercially reasonable terms, we may not be able to complete development or marketing of our product candidates.

**We have no sales, marketing or distribution experience and will depend significantly on third parties who may not successfully sell our product candidates following approval.**

We have no sales, marketing or product distribution experience. If we receive required regulatory approvals to market any of our product candidates, we plan to rely primarily on sales, marketing and distribution arrangements with third parties, including our collaborators. For example, as part of our agreements with Bayer Schering Pharma, sanofi-aventis, Nycomed, Merck Serono, MedImmune and TRACON, we have granted these companies the right to market and distribute products resulting from such collaborations, if any are ever successfully developed. We may have to enter into additional marketing arrangements in the future and we may not be able to enter into these additional arrangements on terms that are favorable to us, if at all. In addition, we may have limited or no control over the sales, marketing and distribution activities of these third parties, and sales through these third parties could be less profitable to us than direct sales. These third parties could sell competing products and may devote insufficient sales efforts to our product candidates following approval. As a result, our future revenues from sales of our product candidates, if any, will be materially dependent upon the success of the efforts of these third parties.

We may seek to co-promote products with our collaborators, or to independently market products that are not already subject to marketing agreements with other parties. If we determine to perform sales, marketing and distribution functions ourselves, then we could face a number of additional risks, including:

we may not be able to attract and build an experienced marketing staff or sales force; the cost of establishing a marketing staff or sales force may not be justifiable in light of the revenues generated by any particular product;

our direct sales and marketing efforts may not be successful; and we may face competition from other products or sales forces with greater resources than our own sales force.

**Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments**

None.



## Item 2. Properties

We lease approximately 4,000 square feet of office space at our corporate headquarters in Bethesda, Maryland, with a current lease term through 2012. We also fully sublease our former headquarters located in Carlsbad, California.

We also maintain a research and development facility in Munich, Germany, which consists of approximately 81,200 square feet leased until 2012, with options to renew for additional periods of five years. We sublease a portion of this facility through April 2011. In February 2010, we signed a lease for approximately 9,000 square feet of office space in a building adjacent to our research and development facility in Munich. This lease has a term through 2015, with an option to renew for an additional five years.

38

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

We believe that our facilities are generally suitable to meet our needs for the foreseeable future; however, we will continue to seek additional space as needed to support our growth in personnel.

### **Item 3. Legal Proceedings**

In February 2010, we entered into a Settlement, Mutual Release and Termination Agreement, or Settlement Agreement, with Curis, Inc. to resolve a claim filed by Curis with the American Arbitration Association, relating to a June 2001 Agreement for the Purchase and Sale of Single-Chain Polypeptide Business, or SCA Agreement, between Curis and our wholly owned subsidiary Micromet AG under which Micromet AG acquired from Curis certain intellectual property assets relating to single chain antibodies, including patents and license agreements. Under the SCA Agreement, Micromet AG made an upfront payment in cash and issued equity and a debt instrument to Curis. In addition, under the terms of the SCA Agreement, Micromet AG had agreed to pay royalties on net sales of products covered by the assigned patents and on revenues received from licensing the assigned patents. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, we have made a final payment of \$4.0 million to Curis in order to settle the dispute and discharge and terminate all future payment obligations that could have arisen under the SCA Agreement.

### **Item 4. Reserved**

TABLE OF CONTENTS**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities****Market Information**

Our common stock is quoted on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol `MITI`. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sales prices per share of our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Market.

	High	Low
Year Ended December 31, 2008		
First Quarter	\$ 2.42	\$ 1.30
Second Quarter	\$ 2.90	\$ 1.80
Third Quarter	\$ 7.74	\$ 2.57
Fourth Quarter	\$ 5.50	\$ 3.29
Year Ended December 31, 2009		
First Quarter	\$ 4.69	\$ 2.25
Second Quarter	\$ 6.40	\$ 1.81
Third Quarter	\$ 8.48	\$ 4.56
Fourth Quarter	\$ 7.60	\$ 4.82

As of March 2, 2010, there were approximately 179 holders of record of our common stock.

**Dividend Policy**

We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our capital stock. We currently intend to retain all available funds and any future earnings for use in the operation and expansion of our business and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

**Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data.**

The selected financial data set forth below with respect to the Company's consolidated statements of operations for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 and with respect to the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are derived from the audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. The statement of operations data for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2006 and the balance sheet data at December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 are derived from audited financial statements not included in this Form 10-K.

In May 2006, CancerVax Corporation merged with Micromet AG. In connection with the merger, CancerVax was renamed Micromet, Inc. For accounting purposes, the business combination was considered a reverse merger under which Micromet AG was considered the acquirer of CancerVax. Accordingly, all financial information prior to the merger date reflects the historical financial results of Micromet AG alone. For 2006, the results of operations of the

combined company reflect those of Micromet AG for the full year and, from May 5, 2006 on, the combined financial results of Micromet AG and CancerVax.

The following selected financial data should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the consolidated financial statements and notes contained in this Form 10-K.

40

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
	(In Thousands, Except Share and per Share Amounts)				
Statement of Operations Data:					
Revenues:					
Collaboration agreements	\$19,584	\$25,870	\$17,366	\$25,449	\$23,130
License fees and other	1,457	1,416	1,018	2,134	2,593
Total revenues	21,041	27,286	18,384	27,583	25,723
Operating expenses:					
Research and development <sup>(1)</sup>	53,423	37,846	28,407	27,291	27,597
In-process research and development				20,890	
General and administrative <sup>(1)</sup>	17,010	15,506	15,214	12,973	7,843
Total operating expenses	70,433	53,352	43,621	61,154	35,440
Loss from operations	(49,392 )	(26,066 )	(25,237 )	(33,571 )	(9,717 )
Other income (expense):					
Interest expense	(281 )	(222 )	(509 )	(1,725 )	(5,176 )
Interest income	419	740	938	743	335
Change in fair value of common stock warrants liability	(7,950 )	(8,064 )	1,750		
Other income (expense), net	(478 )	377	2,932	561	288
Net loss	\$(57,682 )	\$(33,235 )	\$(20,126 )	\$(33,992 )	\$(14,270 )
Beneficial conversion charge on issuance of preferred shares					(4,780 )
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$(57,682 )	\$(33,235 )	\$(20,126 )	\$(33,992 )	\$(19,050 )
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$(0.98 )	\$(0.77 )	\$(0.55 )	\$(1.29 )	\$(3.70 )
Weighted average shares used to compute basic and diluted net loss per share	58,582	43,309	36,362	26,366	5,147

The following amounts for patent-related legal expenses have been reclassified from research and development (1) expenses to general and administrative expenses as described in Note 3 to the financial statements contained in this Form 10-K:

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
	(In Thousands)				
Research and development	\$(1,379 )	\$(1,343 )	\$(784 )	(961 )	(982 )
General and administrative	1,379	1,343	784	961	(982 )
Total operating expenses					

	2009	December 31, 2008	2007	2006	2005
--	------	-------------------	------	------	------

Edgar Filing: MICROMET, INC. - Form 10-K

(In  
Thousands)

Balance Sheet Data:

Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments	\$ 117,603	\$ 46,168	\$27,066	\$24,301	\$11,414
Working capital	67,728	27,992	15,735	11,578	(10,407)
Total assets	134,813	70,675	56,252	51,172	28,877
Deferred revenue, less current portion	13,281	7,555	8,366	195	52
Long-term debt, less current portion		2,157	2,254	7,408	5,531
Total stockholders equity/(deficit)	66,841	35,388	24,978	24,518	(14,533)

---

41

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

*The following discussion contains forward-looking statements, which involve risks, uncertainties, and assumptions.*

*Our actual results and the timing of events could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including those set forth in Part I Item 1A above under the caption Risk Factors. See Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.*

### **Ongoing Business Activities**

We are a biopharmaceutical company focused on the discovery, development and commercialization of innovative antibody-based therapies for the treatment of cancer. Our product development pipeline includes novel antibodies generated with our proprietary BiTE® antibody platform, as well as conventional monoclonal antibodies. BiTE antibodies represent a new class of antibodies that activate the T cells of a patient's immune system to eliminate cancer cells. T cells are considered the most powerful killer cells of the human immune system. Five of our antibodies are currently in clinical trials, while the remainder of our product pipeline is in preclinical development.

Our lead product candidate is the BiTE antibody blinatumomab, also known as MT103. Blinatumomab targets the human protein molecule CD19, which is expressed on the surface of tumor cells of certain cancers. Blinatumomab has achieved the primary endpoint in a phase 2 clinical trial evaluating blinatumomab as a treatment for patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia, or ALL. Based on the results of this trial, we intend to initiate a European pivotal clinical trial of blinatumomab in ALL patients in mid 2010. We are also evaluating blinatumomab in an ongoing phase 1 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, or NHL.

We are evaluating a second BiTE antibody, MT110, in a phase 1 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with solid tumors. MT110 targets the epithelial cell adhesion molecule, or EpCAM, which is overexpressed in many solid tumors. Additional BiTE antibodies are at different stages of lead candidate selection and preclinical development. We have entered into several strategic collaborations for the development of additional BiTE antibodies. We are developing a BiTE antibody targeting carcinoembryonic antigen, or CEA, for the treatment of solid tumors in collaboration with MedImmune. We have also entered into collaboration agreements with Bayer Schering Pharma and sanofi-aventis for the development of BiTE antibodies targeting other solid tumor targets.

Our most advanced conventional monoclonal antibody is adecatumumab, also known as MT201, which binds to EpCAM and is being developed under a collaboration with Merck Serono. We are currently evaluating this antibody in a randomized phase 2 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with colorectal carcinoma after complete resection of liver metastases. MT203, a human antibody neutralizing the activity of granulocyte/macrophage colony stimulating factor, or GM-CSF, which has potential applications in the treatment of various inflammatory and autoimmune diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, or multiple sclerosis, is under development in a phase 1 clinical trial being conducted by our collaboration partner Nycomed. Our monoclonal antibody MT293, also known as TRC093, is licensed to TRACON Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and is being developed in a phase 1 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with cancer.

To date, we have incurred significant research and development expenses and have not achieved any revenues from sales of our product candidates. Each of our programs will require a number of years and significant costs to advance through development. Typically, it takes many years from the initial identification of a lead antibody target to the completion of preclinical and clinical trials, before applying for marketing approval from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, or the European Medicines Agency, or EMEA, or equivalent regulatory agencies in other countries and regions. The risk that a program has to be terminated, in part or in full, for safety reasons or lack of adequate efficacy is very high. In particular, we cannot predict which, if any, product candidates can be successfully developed and for which marketing approval may be obtained, or the time and cost to complete development and receive marketing approvals.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

As we obtain results from preclinical studies or clinical trials, we may elect to discontinue the development of one or more product candidates for safety, efficacy or commercial reasons. We may also elect to discontinue or delay development of one or more product candidates in order to focus our resources on more promising product candidates.

Our business strategy includes entering into collaborative agreements with third parties for the development and commercialization of certain of our product candidates. Depending on the structure of such collaborative agreements, a third party may be granted control over the clinical trial process, manufacturing process or other key development process, for one of our product candidates. In such a situation, the third party, rather than us, may in fact control development and commercialization decisions for the respective product candidate. Consistent with our business model, we may enter into additional collaboration agreements in the future. We cannot predict the terms of such agreements or their potential impact on our capital requirements. Our inability to complete our research and development projects in a timely manner, or our failure to enter into new collaborative agreements, when appropriate, could significantly increase our capital requirements and affect our liquidity.

## **Research and Development**

Through December 31, 2009, our research and development expenses consisted of costs associated with the clinical development of blinatumomab, adecatumumab and MT110, as well as development costs incurred for MT111 and MT203, and research conducted with respect to our preclinical BiTE antibodies and the BiTE antibody platform generally. This includes costs associated with clinical trials and manufacturing processes, quality systems and analytical development, compensation and other personnel expenses, supplies and materials, consultant fees and related contract research, facility costs, license fees and depreciation. We charge all research and development expenses to operations as incurred.

We expect to incur substantial additional research and development expenses that may increase from historical levels as we further develop our product candidates into more advanced stages of clinical development and increase our preclinical development for certain of our human antibodies and BiTE antibodies in cancer, anti-inflammatory and autoimmune diseases.

Our strategic collaborations and license agreements generally provide for our research, development and commercialization programs to be partly or wholly funded by our collaborators and provide us with the opportunity to receive additional payments if specified development or commercialization milestones are achieved, as well as royalty payments upon the successful commercialization of any products based upon our collaborations. We also may retain co-promotion rights in certain of our agreements.

Through March 2009, we developed blinatumomab in collaboration with MedImmune under an agreement signed in 2003, which we refer to in this report as the 2003 Agreement. Under the 2003 Agreement, MedImmune reimbursed a portion of our clinical development costs in our European clinical trials. In November 2009, we entered into a termination agreement, which we refer to as the 2009 Agreement, under which we acquired MedImmune's remaining option right to commercialize blinatumomab in North America. The 2009 Agreement terminates the 2003 Agreement, under which MedImmune had been granted the right to develop and commercialize blinatumomab and other BiTE antibodies binding to antigens relevant for hematological cancers in North America. As a result of the 2009 Agreement, we now control the rights to develop and commercialize blinatumomab in all territories, as well as any other BiTE antibodies binding to antigens relevant for hematological cancers that had been licensed to MedImmune under the 2003 Agreement. Under the terms of the 2009 Agreement, MedImmune has sold to us the remaining stock of blinatumomab clinical trial material and will transfer the manufacturing process for this product candidate to us or our contract manufacturer. In return, we will make an upfront payment of \$6.5 million in installments through December 2010, of which we have paid \$4.0 million to date. In addition, MedImmune is eligible to receive up to an

aggregate of \$19 million from us based upon the achievement of specified strategic and regulatory milestone events relating to blinatumomab in North America and a low single-digit royalty based on net sales of blinatumomab in North America.

A second agreement with MedImmune under which we are collaborating with MedImmune on the development of MT111 provides for potential future milestone payments and royalty payments based on future sales of MT111. The potential milestone payments are subject to the successful completion of clinical development and obtaining marketing approval in one or more national markets.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

In October 2009, we entered into a collaboration and license agreement with sanofi-aventis under which the two companies will collaborate on the development of a new BiTE antibody targeting solid tumors. Under the terms of the agreement, we will be responsible for generating and developing the BiTE antibody through the completion of phase 1 clinical trials, at which point sanofi-aventis will assume full control of the development and commercialization of the product candidate on a worldwide basis. We received an upfront payment of €8 million, or \$11.9 million as of the date of the agreement, and are eligible to receive payments upon the achievement of development milestones of up to €162 million, or \$241 million using the exchange rate as of the date of the agreement, and sales milestones of up to €150 million, or \$223 million using the exchange rate as of the date of the agreement, and up to a low double-digit royalty on worldwide net sales of the product. In addition, sanofi-aventis will bear the cost of development activities and will reimburse us for our expenses incurred in connection with the development program. A portion of the upfront payment in the amount of €2.75 million, or \$4.1 million as of the date of the agreement, is related to the reimbursement of FTEs allocated by us to the performance of the development program.

In January 2009, we entered into an option, collaboration and license agreement with Bayer Schering Pharma AG under which we granted Bayer Schering Pharma an exclusive option to obtain a license to one of our preclinical BiTE antibodies against an undisclosed oncology target for an upfront fee of €4.5 million, or approximately \$6.1 million as of the date of the agreement. In December 2009, Bayer Schering Pharma exercised the option and paid us the exercise fee of €5.0 million, or approximately \$7.4 million as of the date of the agreement, in January 2010. We have now initiated a collaboration on the development of the BiTE antibody through the completion of phase 1 clinical trials, at which point Bayer Schering Pharma will assume full control of the further development and commercialization of the BiTE antibody. In addition to the payment of the initial option fee and the option exercise fee, we will be eligible to receive total development and sales milestone payments of €285 million, or approximately \$418 million as of the date of the agreement, and up to double-digit royalties based on tiered net sales of the product to be developed under the agreement. In addition, Bayer Schering Pharma will reimburse us for our research and development expenses incurred in connection with the development program.

Under our collaboration agreement with Merck Serono, we have received \$22.0 million in up front and milestone payments from Merck Serono to date, not including reimbursements for costs and expenses incurred in connection with the development of adecatumumab. The agreement provides for potential future clinical development milestone payments of up to an additional \$126.0 million. We have all decision-making authority and operational responsibility for the clinical trials of adecatumumab that we conduct, including the phase 2 clinical trial that we initiated in the first half of 2009. Merck Serono will bear the development expenses associated with the collaboration in accordance with the agreed-upon budget and a specified maximum.

We intend to pursue additional collaborations to provide resources for further development of our product candidates and may grant technology access licenses. However, we cannot forecast with any degree of certainty whether we will be able to enter into collaborative agreements, and if we do, on what terms we might do so.

We are unable to estimate with any certainty the costs we will incur in the continued development of our product candidates. However, we expect our research and development costs associated with these product candidates to increase as we continue to develop new indications and advance these product candidates through preclinical and clinical trials.

Clinical development timelines, the likelihood of success and total costs vary widely. We anticipate that we will make determinations as to which research and development projects to pursue and how much funding to direct to each project on an ongoing basis in response to the scientific and clinical success of each product candidate as well as relevant commercial factors.

The costs and timing for developing and obtaining regulatory approvals of our product candidates vary significantly for each product candidate and are difficult to estimate. The expenditure of substantial resources will be required for the lengthy process of clinical development and obtaining regulatory approvals as well as to comply with applicable regulations. Any failure by us to obtain, or any delay in obtaining, regulatory approvals could cause our research and development expenditures to increase and, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **Critical Accounting Policies and the Use of Estimates**

Our financial statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Such statements require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates. While our significant accounting policies are more fully described in Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we believe the critical accounting policies used in the preparation of our financial statements which require significant estimates and judgments are as follows:

### **Revenue Recognition**

Our revenues generally consist of licensing fees, milestone payments, royalties and fees for research services earned from license agreements or from research and development collaboration agreements. We recognize revenue in accordance with the SEC's Staff Accounting Bulletin, or SAB, No. 104, *Revenue Recognition*, upon the satisfaction of the following four criteria: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured.

We recognize revenue on upfront payments over the expected life of the development period and collaboration agreement on a straight-line basis. Milestone payments are derived from the achievement of predetermined goals under the collaboration agreements. For milestones that are subject to contingencies, the related contingent revenue is not recognized until the milestone has been reached and customer acceptance has been obtained as necessary. Fees for research and development services performed under the agreements are generally stated at a yearly fixed fee per research scientist. We recognize revenue as the services are performed. Amounts received in advance of services performed are recorded as deferred revenue until earned.

We have received initial license fees and annual renewal fees upfront each year under license agreements. Revenue is recognized when the above noted criteria are satisfied unless we have further obligations associated with the license granted.

We are entitled to receive royalty payments on the sale of products under license and collaboration agreements. Royalties are based upon the volume of products sold and are recognized as revenue upon notification of sales from the customer. Through December 31, 2009, we have not received or recognized any royalty payments.

For arrangements that include multiple deliverables, we identify separate units of accounting based on the consensus reached on FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 605-25, *Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*. ASC Topic 605-25 provides that revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables should be divided into separate units of accounting if certain criteria are met. The consideration for the arrangement is allocated to the separated units of accounting based on their relative fair values. Applicable revenue recognition criteria are considered separately for each unit of accounting. We recognize revenue on development and collaboration agreements, including upfront payments, where they are considered combined units of accounting, over the expected life of the development period and collaboration agreement on a straight-line basis.

### **Goodwill**

We review goodwill for impairment at least annually and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate a reduction in the fair value of the reporting unit to which the goodwill has been assigned. A reporting unit is an operating segment for which discrete financial information is available and segment management regularly reviews

the operating results of that component. We have determined that we have only one reporting unit, the development of biopharmaceutical products. Conditions that would necessitate a goodwill impairment assessment include a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate, an adverse action or assessment by a regulatory authority, unanticipated competition, a loss of key personnel, or the presence of other indicators that would indicate a reduction in the fair value of the reporting unit to which the goodwill has been assigned. ASC Topic 350, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, prescribes a two-step process for impairment testing of goodwill. The first step of the impairment test is used to identify potential impairment by comparing the fair value of the reporting unit to which the goodwill has been assigned to its carrying amount, including the goodwill. Since we have determined that we have only one reporting unit, we

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

calculate fair value as our total market capitalization adjusted for a control premium. If the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds the fair value, the second step of the impairment test is performed in order to measure the impairment loss. As a result of the merger between Micromet AG and CancerVax in 2006, we recorded \$6.5 million of goodwill on our consolidated balance sheet. On October 1, 2009, we performed our annual goodwill impairment assessment in accordance with ASC Topic 350 and determined that the carrying amount of this goodwill was recoverable. We cannot assure you that our future reviews of goodwill impairment will not result in a material charge.

### **Patents**

Our patent portfolio consists primarily of internally developed patents covering our BiTE antibody platform and the composition of our BiTE antibody product candidates and conventional antibodies. The costs of generating our internally developed patent portfolio has been expensed as incurred.

We also acquired patents in 2001 covering single-chain antibody technology. These purchased patents are being amortized over their estimated useful lives through 2011 using the straight-line method. These patents are utilized in revenue-producing activities through license agreements. Evidence from recent licensing transactions indicated that our future licensing fees derived from these purchased patents will be lower than previously expected. We deemed these events in connection with lower expectations of future licensing fees to be an indication of potential impairment.

We periodically assessed whether the carrying value of the purchased patents was recoverable. We evaluated whether the carrying value of the patents would be recoverable by comparing their carrying value to the undiscounted cash flows generated from these patents. The carrying value was in excess of the undiscounted cash flows; therefore, we estimated the fair value of the patents to determine the amount of impairment. We estimated the fair value of the patents using the income approach (discounted cash flows). Based on the fair value, we recognized a non-cash patent impairment charge of approximately \$2.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2009. The impairment charge was recorded within research and development expenses on the statement of operations. Key inputs utilized in the determination of this non-recurring fair value measurement related to our estimates of cash flows for the remaining patent life and the discount rate factor. The determination of the discount rate was based upon the risk-free rate, adjusted by a risk premium.

### **Impairment of Long-Lived and Identifiable Intangible Assets**

The evaluation for impairment of long-lived and intangible assets requires significant estimates and judgment by management. Subsequent to the initial recording of long-lived and intangible assets, we must test such assets for impairment when indicators of impairment are present. When we conduct our impairment tests, factors that are important in determining whether impairment might exist include assumptions regarding our underlying business and product candidates and other factors specific to each asset being evaluated. Any changes in key assumptions about our business and our prospects, or changes in market conditions or other external factors, could result in impairment. Such impairment charge, if any, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

### **Stock-Based Compensation**

We estimate the fair value of share-based compensation awards on the grant date in accordance with ASC Topic 718, *Share-Based Payment*, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions, and changes in the assumptions used can materially affect the grant date fair value of an award. These assumptions include the risk-free rate of interest, expected dividend yield, expected volatility, and the expected life of the award. The risk-free rate of interest is based on the U.S. Treasury rates appropriate for the

expected term of the award. Expected dividend yield is projected at 0%, as we have not paid any dividends on our common stock since our inception and we do not anticipate paying dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Expected volatility is based on our historical volatility and the historical volatilities of the common stock of comparable publicly traded companies. The expected term of at-the-money options granted is derived from the average midpoint between vesting and the contractual term. ASC Topic 718 also requires that forfeitures be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. The pre-vesting forfeiture rate for the year ended December 31, 2009 was based on historical forfeiture experience for similar levels of employees to whom the options were granted.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Performance-based stock options vest upon the attainment of specific performance targets. The measurement date of stock options containing performance-based vesting is the date the stock option grant is authorized and the specific performance goals are communicated. Compensation expense is recognized based on the probability that the performance criteria will be met. The recognition of compensation expense associated with performance-based vesting requires judgment in assessing the probability of meeting the performance goals, as well as defined criteria for assessing achievement of the performance-related goals. The continued assessment of probability may result in additional expense recognition or expense reversal depending on the level of achievement of the performance goals.

**Common Stock Warrants Liability**

In accordance with ASC Topic 815, *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed To, and Potentially Settled In, a Company's Own Stock*, we classify warrants as liabilities when the potential for a net cash settlement to the holders of the warrants exists, even if remote. ASC Topic 815 also requires that the warrants be revalued at the end of each reporting period as our warrants are considered to be derivative instruments. We adjust the instruments to their current fair value using the Black-Scholes option pricing model formula at each reporting period end, with any resulting change in value recorded in the statement of operations.

**Results of Operations****Comparison of the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007**

*Revenues.* Collaborative research and development revenue consists of reimbursements for full-time equivalents and pass-through expenses we incur under each collaborative agreement as described in detail below. License and other revenue consists primarily of revenues from licenses of patents relating to single-chain antibody technology, for which we serve as the exclusive marketing partner under a marketing agreement with Enzon Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

The following table summarizes our revenue for the periods presented (in millions):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Research and development revenue by collaborator:			
Nycomed	\$ 7.6	\$ 15.5	\$ 4.8
Bayer Schering	6.3		
Merck Serono	2.9	3.0	4.1
MedImmune	2.2	6.9	6.0
Sanofi-aventis	0.4		
TRACON	0.2	0.3	2.2
Other		0.2	0.3
Total collaborative research and development revenue	19.6	25.9	17.4
License and other revenue	1.4	1.4	1.0
Total revenues	\$ 21.0	\$ 27.3	\$ 18.4

*Nycomed.* Collaborative research and development revenue from Nycomed reflects Nycomed's full cost responsibility for the MT203 product development program. The Nycomed revenue represents the reimbursement of our preclinical development activities, including reimbursement for full-time equivalents, as well as \$0.3 million in revenue representing the amortized portion of the \$6.7 million up-front payment that we received from Nycomed in 2007. This up-front payment is being recognized on a straight-line basis over a 20-year period ending in 2027. The decrease in

overall Nycomed revenue of \$7.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the same period in 2008, was due primarily to our lower level of activity during 2009, as Nycomed assumed primary responsibility for the development of MT203 and initiated a phase 1 clinical trial of this product candidate during the year, partially offset by a \$2.0 million milestone payment received during 2009. The increase of \$10.7 million for 2008 over 2007 reflects the fact that full early-stage

TABLE OF CONTENTS

clinical activities, for which we had primary responsibility, did not begin until the fourth quarter of 2007. We expect our Nycomed revenue to decline further in 2010 as Nycomed continues to perform later-stage development work.

*Bayer Schering Pharma.* Collaborative revenue from Bayer Schering Pharma represents the receipt of approximately \$6.3 million in January 2009 upon the grant of an option to collaborate with us regarding the development of a new BiTE antibody. This option fee was fully recognized into revenue during the year ended December 31, 2009. Bayer Schering Pharma exercised the option and paid us approximately \$7.4 million in January 2010. We will recognize this \$7.4 million payment into revenue on a straight-line basis over 54 months, the period during which we expect to participate on the joint steering committee under our collaboration agreement with Bayer Schering Pharma. In addition, we will recognize revenue related to our development efforts under this agreement, including reimbursement of development expenses. Therefore, we expect an increase in revenues under this agreement for 2010 as compared to 2009.

*Sanofi-aventis.* We entered into a collaboration and license agreement with sanofi-aventis in the fourth quarter of 2009. Upon execution of the agreement, we received an upfront payment of approximately \$7.8 million. The upfront fee is being recognized into revenue on a straight-line basis over 74 months, the period during which we expect to participate on the joint steering committee under the collaboration agreement. We also receive reimbursement of our development expenses under the program, which accounted for \$0.2 million of revenue in 2009. As this collaboration was in place for only the last two months of 2009, we expect an increase in revenues under this agreement for 2010 as compared to 2009.

*MedImmune.* Collaborative research and development revenue from MedImmune represents reimbursements for our costs incurred in the development of blinatumomab and MT111. As described elsewhere in this report, MedImmune ended its participation in the development of blinatumomab in March 2009, and we terminated our collaboration with MedImmune for the development of blinatumomab in the fourth quarter of 2009. As a result, the revenues in 2009 decreased to \$0.3 million from \$4.0 million in 2008, and there will be no further collaborative research and development revenue for blinatumomab in the future. For 2008 as compared to 2007, collaborative revenue under the blinatumomab increased by \$0.9 million due to increases in the levels of activity performed.

Our other collaboration with MedImmune for our MT111 product candidate continues in full effect, although there was a \$0.6 million decrease in revenues under this program for 2009, as compared to 2008, due to the transition of the later-stage work to MedImmune. Revenues under this development program were consistent for 2008 as compared to 2007. During 2008 we also recognized \$0.4 million in revenue from MedImmune under a development program targeting the EphA2 antigen, but we discontinued this collaboration in 2008. We expect 2010 collaborative revenue from MedImmune for MT111 to remain at approximately the same level as in 2009.

*Merck Serono.* Collaborative research and development revenue from Merck Serono reflect Merck Serono's full responsibility for the costs for the development of the MT201 program. Revenues during 2009 were consistent with those recognized during 2008, as the product candidate continues to be evaluated in two separate clinical trials. In 2007, we amended our collaboration with Merck Serono, which extended the period over which revenue is to be recognized for the phase 1 study of MT201 in combination with docetaxel for the treatment of metastatic breast cancer. The period was extended from June 2007 to June 2011, which had the effect of reducing the amount of revenue for 2008 as compared to 2007. We expect 2010 collaborative revenues under this program to be generally consistent with those of 2009.

*TRACON.* Collaborative research and development revenue from TRACON reflects TRACON's full responsibility for the costs of the MT293 product development program. Revenue under this agreement consists of expense reimbursements and revenue from an upfront payment of \$1.5 million received from TRACON in 2007 that is being

recognized on a straight-line basis over a 15-year period ending in 2022. During 2007, we also recognized revenue of approximately \$2.0 million from TRACON upon delivery of our stock of clinical trial materials.

*Research and Development Expenses.* Research and development expense consists of costs incurred to discover and develop product candidates. These expenses consist primarily of salaries and related expenses for

48

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

personnel, outside service costs including production of clinical material, fees for services in the context of clinical trials, medicinal chemistry, consulting and sponsored research collaborations, and occupancy and depreciation charges. We incur process development expenses mainly for production of GMP-grade clinical trial material, as well as fermentation, purification and formulation development. Preclinical development expenses cover pharmacological *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments as well as development of analytical testing procedures. Except for payments made in advance of services rendered, we expense research and development costs as incurred.

Research and development expense was \$53.4 million, \$37.8 million and \$28.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increase of \$15.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 over 2008 was partially the result of \$10.7 million in expenses incurred in connection with the termination of our blinatumomab collaboration, consisting of our \$6.5 million initial payment to MedImmune, our purchase of the clinical trial inventory of blinatumomab for \$2.8 million, a cost of \$0.9 million to transfer the blinatumomab manufacturing process to our contract manufacturer and regulatory related expenses of \$0.5 million. For 2009, we also accrued \$4.0 million of expense for the settlement of our arbitration with Curis, Inc., which occurred in February 2010, as well as a patent impairment charge of \$2.6 million relating to the single-chain antibody patents that had been purchased from Curis in 2001. We also incurred a \$1.6 million increase in stock-based compensation for 2009 over 2008, which was primarily the result of accelerated vesting of stock options from the separation of our chief medical officer, as well as the vesting of performance-based stock options during 2009. Partially offsetting these 2009 increases over 2008 was a reduction in MT203 expenses of \$5.5 million during 2009, due to the shift in program responsibilities to Nycomed for the later-stage development work, which also had the effect of reducing collaborative revenue from this program during 2009.

The increase of \$9.4 million in research and development expense for the year ended December 31, 2008 over 2007 resulted from increases in manufacturing expenses of \$5.5 million and preclinical services of \$1.8 million, in each case primarily under our MT203 program. There were also increases in clinical expenses of \$0.6 million under our blinatumomab program and \$0.5 million under our MT110 program and an overall increase in research and development personnel expenses of \$1.3 million, primarily due to headcount increases.

Since 2007, we have tracked our external research and development expenses by major project candidate development program, such as for blinatumomab, MT203, adecatumumab and MT110, or we allocate the expenses to our BiTE antibody platform generally. We do not allocate salary and overhead costs or stock-based compensation expense to specific research and development projects or product candidates. Our research and development expenses for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007 and cumulatively since 2007 are summarized in the table below (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,			Cumulative
	2009	2008	2007	
Blinatumomab	\$ 14,291	\$ 2,817	\$ 2,061	\$ 19,169
MT203	2,191	8,931	2,040	13,162
Adecatumumab	2,275	1,484	1,965	5,724
MT110	1,573	1,576	1,607	4,756
BiTE antibody platform and other	3,058	2,476	1,521	7,055
Unallocated salary and overhead	27,052	19,169	17,651	63,872
Share-based compensation	2,983	1,393	1,562	5,938
Total	\$ 53,423	\$ 37,846	\$ 28,407	\$ 119,676

We expect significant increases in research and development expenses going forward as we initiate later-stage trials of blinatumomab.

*General and Administrative Expenses.* General and administrative expense consists primarily of salaries and related costs for personnel in executive, finance, accounting, legal, information technology, corporate communications and human resource functions. Other costs include allocated facility costs not otherwise included in research and development expense, insurance, and professional fees for legal and audit services.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

General and administrative expense was \$17.0 million, \$15.5 million and \$15.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increase of \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 over 2008 resulted from increased stock-based compensation charges of \$0.8 million for vesting of performance-based stock option grants, overall increases of \$0.2 million in salaries, \$0.2 million for investor relations expenses and \$0.2 million for professional fees. The increase of \$0.3 million for 2008 as compared 2007 was the result of increases of \$0.7 million for professional fees and \$0.5 million for depreciation charges related to leasehold improvements made for the sublease of our Munich facility, offset by a one-time adjustment of \$1.0 million in 2007 to increase our lease exit liability for our former corporate headquarters.

*Change in Fair Value of Common Stock Warrants Liability.* On June 22, 2007, we issued warrants to purchase our common stock that provide that if we are merged or consolidated with or into another company, we sell all or substantially all of our assets in one or a series of related transactions, any tender offer or exchange offer is completed pursuant to which holders of our common stock are permitted to tender or exchange their shares for other securities, cash or property, or we effect any reclassification of our common stock or any compulsory share exchange pursuant to which the common stock is effectively converted into or exchanged for other securities, cash or property, then we or any successor entity are obligated to purchase each unexercised warrant for a cash amount equal to its fair value computed using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with prescribed guidelines. As a consequence of these provisions, the warrants are classified as a liability on our balance sheet, and changes in our stock price cause the fair value of the warrants to change each reporting period, with these changes being reflected in the statement of operations. Increases in our stock price cause the warrant liability to increase, and this increase is charged to expense, while decreases in our stock price cause the liability to decrease, which is recorded as a reduction to other income.

Our stock price decreased from \$2.42 per share on June 22, 2007, the date of issuance, to \$2.06 per share on December 31, 2007, resulting in other income of \$1.8 million for the year. Our stock price then increased to \$4.36 per share on December 31, 2008 and \$6.66 per share on December 31, 2009, resulting in incremental expense of \$8.0 million and \$8.1 million for 2009 and 2008, respectively.

*Interest Income and Expense.* Interest income decreased from \$0.9 million in 2007 to \$0.7 million in 2008 and then to \$0.4 million in 2009. The decreases in each case were the result of lower average interest rates on invested cash balances. Interest expense decreased from \$0.5 million in 2007 to \$0.2 million in 2008 but then increased slightly to \$0.3 million in 2009. In July 2008 we repaid in full our silent partnership debt, which accounted for the decrease from 2007 to 2008. In 2009, we repaid in full our obligations under a note to MedImmune that was scheduled to mature in 2010. The increase in 2009 as compared to 2008 was also due to the amortization of premiums on our investments.

*Other Income (Expense), Net.* Other income (expense), net includes foreign currency transaction gains (losses) and miscellaneous other items. The increase in expense of \$0.9 million for the period ending December 31, 2009 over 2008 resulted from foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. The decrease in other income for the year ending December 31, 2008 from 2007 was due to a release of \$1.5 million in connection with the return to a third party of technology rights and a \$1.1 million refund of withholding taxes from German tax authorities.

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

### **Summary of Cash Flows**

We had cash and cash equivalents and available-for-sale investments of \$117.6 million and \$46.2 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. We closed a public offering of our common stock in the third quarter of 2009 that yielded net proceeds to us of \$74.9 million, and we also received net proceeds of \$5.1 million from the sale

of common stock under our committed equity financing facility, or CEFF, with Kingsbridge Capital in the second quarter of 2009.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$8.9 million for 2009, \$15.7 million for 2008, and \$14.3 million for 2007. In each case the majority of the cash used was to fund our ongoing research and development efforts that resulted in net losses of \$57.6 million, \$33.2 million and \$20.1 million, respectively, during these years. Our net losses for these years were adjusted by \$19.8 million, \$15.5 million and \$5.4 million, respectively, of net non-cash expenses, including the changes in fair value of warrant liability described above.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Working capital changes resulted in net cash inflows of \$29.0 million, \$2.0 million and \$0.4 million during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. As described elsewhere in this report, we received an upfront cash payment of \$11.9 million from sanofi-aventis during 2009 and upfront cash payments of \$8.2 million from Nycomed and \$1.5 million from TRACON during 2007, each of which resulted in deferred revenues that are being recognized as revenue over an extended period. For 2009, other significant working capital changes included a net decrease of \$3.1 million in accounts receivable from collections and a net increase of \$14.6 million in accounts payable and accrued expenses. At the end of 2009, we had accrued approximately \$6.5 million to be paid to MedImmune in connection with the termination of our blinatumomab collaboration, of which the first installment of \$4.0 was paid in January 2010. We had also accrued \$4.0 million in connection with our settlement with Curis, Inc., which was resolved and paid in February 2010. For 2008, significant working capital changes included net cash inflows from collections of accounts receivable of \$1.3 million and net outflows from a decrease in prepaid expenses of \$0.7 million. For 2007, other significant working capital changes included net cash outflows from decreases in accounts payable of \$4.9 million and increases in accounts receivable of \$2.1 million.

Net cash used in investing activities of \$3.1 million for 2009 was the result of the net purchase of short-term investments of \$1.9 million and equipment purchases of \$1.2 million for computer servers and research equipment. Net cash used in investing activities was \$0.5 million in 2008 and \$1.3 million in 2007. The decrease from 2007 to 2008 was due to lower investments in property and equipment during 2008. The 2007 capital expenditures related primarily to leasehold improvements undertaken in conjunction with the sublease of our Munich facility.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$79.5 million for 2009. As described above, we received \$80.0 million in net proceeds from our public offering and the CEFF with Kingsbridge. We also received \$1.5 million from the exercise of stock options and used \$2.2 million to repay in full our debt under a promissory note to MedImmune. During 2008, the net cash provided by financing activities of \$36.0 million included a private placement of common stock and warrants that resulted in net proceeds of approximately \$37.2 million and stock option and warrant exercises of \$1.4 million, offset by payments of \$2.5 million for the repayment of our silent partnership debt. During 2007, the net cash provided by financing activities of \$17.8 million included a private placement of common stock and warrants that resulted in net proceeds of \$23.5 million, offset by \$5.6 million in debt obligations.

## **Sources and Uses of Cash**

We have funded our recent operations through proceeds from public offerings and private placements of preferred stock, common stock and associated warrants and equity draws under the CEFF with Kingsbridge, research-contribution revenues from our collaborations with pharmaceutical companies and licensing and milestone payments related to our product candidate partnering activities. We expect that operating losses and negative cash flows from operations will continue for at least the next several years. If appropriate, we may raise substantial funds through the sale of our common stock or debt securities or through establishing additional strategic collaboration agreements. We do not know whether additional financing will be available when needed, or whether it will be available on favorable terms, or at all. Based on our capital resources as of the date of this report, we believe that we have adequate resources to fund our operations into late 2011, without considering any potential future milestone payments that we may receive under our current or any new collaborations we may enter into in the future, any future capital raising transactions or any additional draw downs from our CEFF with Kingsbridge.

If we are unable to raise additional funds when needed, we may not be able to continue development of our product candidates or we could be required to delay, scale back or eliminate some or all of our development programs and other operations. If we were to raise additional funds through the issuance of common stock, it could result in substantial dilution to our existing stockholders. If we were to raise additional funds through additional debt financing,

the terms of the debt may involve significant cash payment obligations, as well as covenants and financial ratios that could restrict our ability to operate our business. Having insufficient funds could require us to delay, scale back or eliminate some or all of our research or development programs or to relinquish some or all of our rights to our product candidates at an earlier stage of development or on less favorable terms than we would otherwise choose. If we raise funds through corporate collaborations or licensing arrangements, we may be required to relinquish, on terms that are not favorable to us, rights to some

TABLE OF CONTENTS

of our technologies or product candidates that we would otherwise seek to develop or commercialize ourselves. Failure to obtain adequate financing may also adversely affect our operating results or our ability to operate as a going concern.

Our future capital uses and requirements depend on numerous forward-looking factors that involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results could vary as a result of a number of factors, including the factors discussed in Risk Factors in this report. In light of the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with the development and commercialization of our product candidates, we are unable to estimate the amount and timing of our capital outlays and operating expenditures associated with our current and anticipated clinical trials. Our future funding requirements will depend on many factors, including:

the number, scope, rate of progress, results and costs of our preclinical studies, clinical trials and other research and development activities;

the terms and timing of any corporate collaborations that we may establish, and the success of these collaborations;  
the cost, timing and outcomes of regulatory approvals;

the number and characteristics of product candidates that we pursue;

the cost and timing of establishing manufacturing, marketing and sales, and distribution capabilities;

the cost of establishing clinical and commercial supplies of our product candidates;

the cost of preparing, filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights;

the cost of preparing for, defending against and the ultimate resolution of litigation or other claims brought against us; and

the extent to which we acquire or invest in businesses, products or technologies, although we currently have no commitments or agreements relating to any of these types of transactions.

*Committed Equity Financing Facility.* On December 1, 2008, we entered into the CEFF with Kingsbridge pursuant to which Kingsbridge committed to purchase, subject to certain conditions, up to \$75.0 million of our common stock through December 2011. The facility is subject to early termination in specified circumstances. In connection with this CEFF, we issued a warrant to Kingsbridge to purchase up to 135,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$4.44 per share. The warrant is exercisable until June 2014. Under the CEFF, the maximum number of shares that we may sell to Kingsbridge is 10,104,109 shares, exclusive of the shares underlying the warrant issued to Kingsbridge. Subject to specified conditions and limitations, from time to time under the CEFF, we may require Kingsbridge to purchase shares of our common stock at a price that is between 86% and 94% of the volume weighted average price on each trading day during an eight-day pricing period, provided that if the average market price on any day during the pricing period is less than the greater of \$2.00 or 85% of the closing price of the day preceding the first day of the pricing period, then that day would not be used in determining the number of shares that would be issued in the draw down and the aggregate amount of the draw down would be decreased by one-eighth.

The maximum dollar amount of shares that we may require Kingsbridge to purchase in any pricing period is equal to the greater of (a) a percentage of our market capitalization as determined at the time of the draw down, which percentage ranges from 1.0% to 1.5% depending upon our market capitalization at the time of the draw down, or (b) four times the average trading volume of our common stock for a specified period prior to the draw down notice, multiplied by the closing price of the common stock on the trading day prior to the draw down notice, in each case subject to specified conditions. If either of the foregoing calculations yields a draw down amount in excess of \$10 million, then the individual draw down amount is limited to \$10 million.

We filed a registration statement which became effective in December 2008 with respect to the resale of shares issuable under the CEFF and underlying the warrant issued to Kingsbridge, and the registration rights agreement requires us to maintain the effectiveness of the registration statement. If we fail to maintain the



TABLE OF CONTENTS

effectiveness of the registration statement, or if we suspend the use of the registration statement, then under certain circumstances we may be required to pay certain amounts to Kingsbridge, or issue to Kingsbridge additional shares of common stock in lieu of cash payment, in each case as liquidated damages. We are not obligated to sell any of the \$75.0 million of common stock available under the CEFF and there are no minimum commitments or minimum use penalties. The CEFF does not contain any restrictions on our operating activities, automatic pricing resets or minimum market volume restrictions.

During the second quarter of 2009 we made our only draw downs to date under the CEFF. We issued a total of 1,420,568 shares of common stock to Kingsbridge for aggregate gross proceeds of \$5.3 million. The remaining amount available under the CEFF has decreased to the lesser of \$69.7 million or 8,684,351 shares of common stock.

*Public Offering of Common Stock.* On August 4, 2009, we completed an underwritten public offering of 16,100,000 shares of common stock at a public offering price of \$5.00 per share for net proceeds of \$74.9 million, after deducting the underwriters' discount and offering expenses paid by us.

## Contractual Obligations

We have contractual obligations related to our facility leases, research and development agreements and equipment financing agreements. The following table sets forth our significant contractual obligations as of December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

Contractual Obligations	Total	Payment Due by Period				More Than 5 Years
		Less Than 1 Year	1 3 Years	3 5 Years		
Operating leases	\$ 13,985	\$ 5,387	\$ 8,242	\$ 342	\$ 14	
Contractual payments under licensing and research and development agreements	391	78	158	105	50	
Capital leases	994	325	546	123		
	\$ 15,370	\$ 5,790	\$ 8,946	\$ 570	\$ 64	

We are a party to technology transfer, licensing and research and development agreements with various universities, research organizations and other third parties under which we have received licenses to certain intellectual property, scientific know-how and technology. In consideration for the licenses received, we are required to pay license and research support fees, milestone payments upon the achievement of certain success-based objectives and, in some cases, royalties on future sales of commercialized products, if any. We may also be required to pay minimum annual royalties and the costs associated with the prosecution and maintenance of the patents covering the licensed technology. Due to the uncertainty as to when, how much or if these payments will be made, they are not included in the table above. Also not shown in the above table are amounts owed under the MedImmune termination and Curis settlement as they are already recorded in our accounts payable or accrued expenses.

## Recent Accounting Standards and Pronouncements

In April 2009, the FASB issued several pronouncements related to fair value measurement, recording and disclosure in financial reporting.

FASB ASC Topic 825-10 *Financial Instruments* and ASC Topic 270-10, *Interim Reporting*, were issued to outline the required financial statement disclosures relating to fair value of financial instruments during interim reporting periods.

FASB ASC Topic 820-10, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, was issued to provide additional guidance in evaluating the fair value of a financial instrument when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability has significantly decreased. FASB ASC Topic 320-10, *Recognition Investments Debt & Equity Securities*, was issued to provide additional guidance on presenting impairment losses on securities.

All of the fair value measurement pronouncements were effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of these new pronouncements did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

In May 2009, the FASB issued ASC Topic 855-10, *Subsequent Events*. ASC 855-10 is intended to establish general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. It requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for selecting that date, that is, whether that date represents the date the financial statements were issued or were available to be issued. ASC Topic 855-10 is effective for interim or annual financial periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of ASC Topic 855-10 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, FASB issued SFAS No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, a replacement of SFAS No. 162. The FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) will become the source of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. On the effective date of this Statement, the ASC superseded all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other nongrandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the ASC will become nonauthoritative. SFAS 168 is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The adoption of SFAS 168 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB approved ASC Topic 605-25, *Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*. This statement provides principles for allocation of consideration among multiple elements, allowing more flexibility in identifying and accounting for separate deliverables under an arrangement. ASC Topic 605-25 introduces an estimated selling price method for valuing the elements of a bundled arrangement if vendor-specific objective evidence or third-party evidence of selling price is not available, and significantly expands related disclosure requirements. ASC Topic 605-25 is effective on a prospective basis for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Alternatively, adoption may be on a retrospective basis, and early application is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting this pronouncement.

We adopted the provisions of ASC Topic 820-10, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* (formerly SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*), with respect to non-financial assets and liabilities effective January 1, 2009. This pronouncement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The adoption of ASC Topic 820-10 did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

## **Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

Any statements in this report about our expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, assumptions or future events or performance are not historical facts and are forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements include statements regarding our available cash resources, our expectations regarding future revenue and expense levels, the efficacy, safety and intended utilization of our product candidates, the development of our clinical stage product candidates and our BiTE antibody technology, the future development of blinatumomab by us, the conduct, timing and results of future clinical trials, plans regarding regulatory filings, our ability to draw down under the CEFF and the availability of financing, and our plans regarding partnering activities. You can identify these forward-looking statements by the use of words or phrases such as believe, may, could, will, possible, can, estimate, consider, intend, seek, plan, project, expect, should, would, or assume or the negative or other comparable terminology, although not all forward-looking statements contain these words. Among the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated in the forward-looking statements are risks and uncertainties inherent in our business including, without limitation, the progress, timing or success of our clinical

trials; difficulties or delays in development, testing, obtaining regulatory approval for producing and marketing our product candidates; regulatory developments in the United States or in foreign countries; the risks associated with our reliance on collaborations for the development and commercialization of our product candidates; unexpected adverse side effects or inadequate therapeutic efficacy of our product candidates that could delay or prevent product development or commercialization, or that could result in recalls or product liability claims; our ability to attract and retain key scientific, management or commercial personnel; the loss of key scientific, management or commercial personnel; the



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

size and growth potential of the potential markets for our product candidates and our ability to serve those markets; the scope and validity of patent protection for our product candidates; competition from other pharmaceutical or biotechnology companies; our ability to establish and maintain strategic collaborations or to otherwise obtain additional financing to support our operations on commercially reasonable terms; successful administration of our business and financial reporting capabilities; and other risks detailed in this report, including those above in Item 1A, Risk Factors.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in our forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, events, levels of activity, performance or achievement. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, unless required by law.

## **Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

### **Interest Rates**

Our financial instruments consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents. These financial instruments, principally comprised of corporate obligations and U.S. and foreign government obligations, are subject to interest rate risk and will decline in value if interest rates increase. Because of the relatively short maturities of our investments, we do not expect interest rate fluctuations to materially affect the aggregate value of our financial instruments. We do not have derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio.

### **Exchange Rates**

A majority of our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments are denominated in U.S. dollars; however, a significant percentage is denominated in Euros. Because the U.S. dollar is our reporting currency, these Euro balances are translated into dollars at the exchange rate in effect at the end of each financial reporting period.

A majority of our operating expenses, including our research and development expenses, are incurred in Europe pursuant to arrangements that are generally denominated in Euros. For financial reporting purposes, expenses incurred in Euros are translated into U.S. dollars at the average exchange rate in effect during the period.

As a result, our financial results and capital resources are affected by changes in the U.S. dollar/Euro exchange rate.

As of December 31, 2009, we had U.S. dollar-denominated cash and cash equivalents of \$68.9 million and Euro-denominated cash and investments of €31.1 million, or approximately \$44.6 million using the exchange rate as of that date. As of December 31, 2009, we had Euro-denominated liabilities of approximately €30.3 million, or approximately \$43.4 million, using the exchange rate as of that date. A decrease in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to the Euro would result in an increase in our reported operating expenses due to the translation of the Euro-denominated expenses into U.S. dollars, and such changes would negatively impact the length of time that our existing capital resources would be sufficient to finance our operations.

We partially hedge Euro-denominated expenses budgeted over the next twelve months by maintaining an equivalent portfolio of Euro-denominated cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments. In addition, several of our current collaboration agreements provide for our collaborators to reimburse us in Euros for our development expenses incurred under those collaborations. These collaboration agreements also provide for milestone payments to be paid in

Euros, which also hedges against currency fluctuations associated with our future Euro-denominated operating expenses and obligations.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The following table shows the hypothetical impact of the strengthening of the Euro relative to the U.S. dollar:

Change in Euro/\$ U.S. Exchange Rate	10%	15%	20%
Increase in reported net operating loss for the year ended December 31, 2009 (in thousands)	\$3,362	\$5,043	\$6,725

**Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data**

See the list of financial statements filed with this report under Item 15 below.

**Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures**

None.

**Item 9A. Controls and Procedures**

**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our

Chief Executive Officer (our principal executive officer) and our Chief Financial Officer (our principal financial officer), as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, we recognize that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and we apply judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. In addition, the design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as such term is defined under Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act, as of December 31, 2009, the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2009, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

**Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining an adequate system of internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of controls, a system of internal control over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance and may not prevent or detect all misstatements. Therefore, even those systems determined to be

TABLE OF CONTENTS

effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Further, because of changes in conditions, effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting may vary over time.

A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those responsible for oversight of the company's financial reporting. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, we have completed our evaluation and testing of our internal control over financial reporting as required by Section 404 of Sarbanes-Oxley and Item 308(a) of Regulation S-K (Internal Control Report). We assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting for the year ended December 31, 2009. In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on the foregoing, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2009 to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Ernst & Young LLP has audited and reported on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009. The report of our independent registered public accounting firm is contained in this annual report.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Christian Itin Christian Itin	Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	March 4, 2010
/s/ Barclay A. Phillips Barclay A. Phillips	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	March 4, 2010

**Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

Our chief executive officer and chief financial officer also evaluated whether any change in our internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined under Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Exchange Act, occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter covered by this report that has materially affected, or is likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2009 that materially affected, or were reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

# REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
Micromet Inc.

We have audited Micromet Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Micromet Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying *Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting*. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion Micromet Inc. maintained in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the COSO criteria. We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Oversight Board (United States), the 2009 consolidated financial statements of Micromet, Inc. and our report dated March 4, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

McLean, Virginia  
March 4, 2010



TABLE OF CONTENTS

**Item 9B. Other Information**

None.

59

---



TABLE OF CONTENTS

**PART III**

**Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance**

The information required by this item regarding our executive officers is set forth under Item 1 of this report. The remainder of the information required by this item will be contained under the headings Election of Directors, Information Regarding the Board of Directors and Corporate Governance and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance in our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the Annual Meeting of our Stockholders (the Proxy Statement), which is expected to be filed not later than 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, and is incorporated in this report by reference.

**Item 11. Executive Compensation**

The information required by this item will be set forth in the Proxy Statement under the heading Executive Compensation and is incorporated in this report by reference.

**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters**

The information required by this item will be set forth in the Proxy Statement under the headings Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Equity Compensation Plan Information and is incorporated in this report by reference.

**Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence**

The information required by this item will be set forth in the Proxy Statement under the headings Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Information Regarding the Board of Directors and Corporate Governance Independence of the Board of Directors and is incorporated in this report by reference.

**Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

The information required by this item will be set forth in the Proxy Statement under the heading Ratification of Selection of Independent Auditors and is incorporated in this report by reference.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**PART IV****Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules**

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1 <sup>(5)</sup>	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant
3.2 <sup>(13)</sup>	Certificate of Amendment of the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant
3.3 <sup>(7)</sup>	Certificate of Designations of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock of the Registrant
3.4 <sup>(22)</sup>	Amended and Restated Bylaws effective October 3, 2007
4.1 <sup>(28)</sup>	Form of Specimen Common Stock Certificate
4.2 <sup>(7)</sup>	Rights Agreement, by and between the Registrant and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, which includes the form of Certificate of Designations of the Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock of the Registrant as Exhibit A, the form of Right Certificate as Exhibit B and the Summary of Rights to Purchase Preferred Shares as Exhibit C, dated as of November 3, 2004
4.3 <sup>(10)</sup>	First Amendment to Rights Agreement, by and between the Registrant and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, dated as of March 17, 2006
4.4 <sup>(18)</sup>	Form of Warrant to Purchase Common Stock, dated May 5, 2006
4.5 <sup>(14)</sup>	Form of Warrants to purchase an aggregate of 555,556 shares of Common Stock, in favor of funds affiliated with NGN Capital, LLC, dated July 24, 2006
4.6 <sup>(20)</sup>	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock, dated June 19, 2007
4.7 <sup>(20)</sup>	Alternate Warrant to Purchase Common Stock, dated June 19, 2007
4.8 <sup>(25)</sup>	Form of Warrant to Purchase Common Stock dated October 2, 2008
4.9 <sup>(25)</sup>	Alternate Form of Warrant to Purchase Common Stock dated October 2, 2008
4.10 <sup>(26)</sup>	Common Stock Purchase Agreement dated December 1, 2008 between the Registrant and Kingsbridge Capital Limited
4.11 <sup>(26)</sup>	Registration Rights Agreement dated December 1, 2008 between the Registrant and Kingsbridge Capital Limited
4.12 <sup>(16)</sup>	Warrant to purchase 285,000 shares of Common Stock, issued to Kingsbridge Capital Limited, dated August 30, 2006
4.13 <sup>(26)</sup>	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock dated December 1, 2008 and issued to Kingsbridge Capital Limited
10.1 <sup>(17)(#)</sup>	Executive Employment Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Christian Itin, dated June 2, 2006
10.2 <sup>(24)(#)</sup>	Executive Employment Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Barclay Phillips, dated August 30, 2008
10.3 <sup>(27)(#)</sup>	Amendment No. 1 to Executive Employment Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Barclay Phillips, dated November 18, 2008
10.4 <sup>(27)(#)</sup>	Amendment No. 2 to Executive Employment Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Barclay Phillips, dated December 23, 2008
10.5 <sup>(27)(#)</sup>	Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Matthias Alder, dated December 23, 2008
10.6 <sup>(27)(#)</sup>	Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement, by and between the Registrant

Edgar Filing: MICROMET, INC. - Form 10-K

- and Mark Reisenauer, dated December 23, 2008
- 10.7(#) Executive Employment Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Jan Fagerberg, dated September 17, 2009
- 10.8(18)(#) Executive Employment Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Jens Hennecke, dated June 2, 2006
- 10.9(18)(#) Executive Employment Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Patrick Baeuerle, dated June 2, 2006
- 10.10(30)(#) Separation Agreement by and between the Registrant and Carsten Reinhardt, dated July 6, 2009

61

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Exhibit Number	Description
10.11 <sup>(28)</sup> (#)	2009 Management Incentive Compensation Plan
10.12 <sup>(29)</sup> (#)	Non-Employee Director Compensation Policy
10.13 <sup>(2)</sup> (#)	Third Amended and Restated 2000 Stock Incentive Plan
10.14 <sup>(4)</sup> (#)	Employee Stock Purchase Plan
10.15 <sup>(31)</sup> (#)	Amended and Restated 2003 Equity Incentive Award Plan
10.16 <sup>(18)</sup> (#)	2006 Equity Incentive Award Plan
10.17 <sup>(2)</sup> (#)	Form of Indemnification Agreement entered into by the Registrant with its directors and executive officers
10.18 <sup>(21)</sup>	Office Building Lease Agreement dated April 1, 2007 between Micromet, Inc. and Second Rock Spring Park Limited Partnership
10.19 <sup>(18)</sup> (@)	Lease Agreement by and between Micromet AG and GEK Grundstücksverwaltungsgesellschaft mbH & Co. Objekt Eins KG, dated December 10, 2002, as amended
10.20 <sup>(21)</sup> (&)	Sublease Agreement, dated June 15, 2007, by and between Micromet AG and Roche Diagnostics GmbH
10.21 <sup>(@)</sup>	Lease Agreement by and between Micromet AG and KFV Immobilienverwaltungs GmbH, dated November 4, 2009
10.22 <sup>(1)</sup>	Standard Industrial/Commercial Single-Tenant Lease-Net, by and between the Registrant and Blackmore Airport Centre, dated August 31, 2001
10.23 <sup>(12)</sup>	Sublease Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Genoptix, Inc., dated as of April 26, 2006
10.24 <sup>(19)</sup>	Amendment No. 1 to Sublease dated April 2, 2007 by and between Micromet, Inc. and Genoptix, Inc.
10.25 <sup>(1)</sup>	Lease, by and between Spieker Properties, L.P. and John Wayne Cancer Institute, made as of July 22, 1999
10.26 <sup>(1)</sup>	Agreement of Lease Assignment, by and between the Registrant and John Wayne Cancer Institute, dated as of August 4, 2000
10.27 <sup>(1)</sup>	First Amendment to Lease, by and between the Registrant (as successor in interest to John Wayne Cancer Institute) and EOP Marina Business Center, L.L.C. (as successor in interest to Spieker Properties, L.P.), entered into as of October 1, 2001
10.28 <sup>(1)</sup>	Second Amendment to Lease, by and between the Registrant and EOP Marina Business Center, L.L.C., entered into as of September 4, 2002
10.29 <sup>(8)</sup>	Third Amendment to Lease, by and between the Registrant and CA-Marina Business Center Limited Partnership, entered into as of November 14, 2003
10.30 <sup>(9)</sup>	Fourth Amendment to Lease, by and between the Registrant and Marina Business Center, LLC, entered into as of January 18, 2005
10.31 <sup>(13)</sup>	Fifth Amendment to Lease, by and among the Registrant, Marina Business Center, LLC, and American Bioscience, Inc., dated as of April 18, 2006
10.32 <sup>(11)</sup>	Assignment and Assumption of Lease, by and between the Registrant and American Bioscience, Inc., effective as of May 1, 2006
10.33 <sup>(+)</sup>	Termination and License Agreement, by and between MedImmune, LLC and Micromet AG, dated as of November 4, 2009
10.34 <sup>(+)</sup>	Development and Supply Agreement, by and between Lonza Sales AG and Micromet AG, dated as of November 23, 2009
10.35 <sup>(18)</sup> (%)	

Edgar Filing: MICROMET, INC. - Form 10-K

- BiTE Research Collaboration Agreement, by and between Micromet AG and MedImmune, Inc., dated June 6, 2003
- 10.36<sup>(28)(%)</sup> Option, Collaboration and License Agreement, by and between Micromet AG and Bayer Schering Pharma AG, dated January 12, 2009
- 10.37<sup>(+)</sup> Amendment No. 1 to Option, Collaboration and License Agreement, by and between Micromet AG and Bayer Schering Pharma AG, dated as of November 25, 2009
- 10.38<sup>(+)</sup> Collaboration and License Agreement, by and between Micromet AG and sanofi-aventis, dated October 28, 2009
- 

62

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Exhibit Number	Description
10.39 <sup>(21)</sup> (%)	Collaboration and License Agreement, dated May 24, 2007, by and between Micromet AG and Altana Pharma AG, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nycomed A/S
10.40 <sup>(19)</sup> (%)	License Agreement dated March 14, 2007 by and between Cell-Matrix, Inc. and TRACON Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
10.41 <sup>(18)</sup> (%)	Collaboration and License Agreement, by and between Micromet AG and Ares Trading S.A., dated as of December 3, 2004, as amended on November 30, 2006
10.42 <sup>(23)</sup> (%)	Second Amendment to Collaboration and License Agreement dated October 19, 2007 by and between Micromet AG and Merck Serono International SA
10.43 <sup>(18)</sup> (%)	Research and License Agreement, by and between Micromet AG and Biovation Limited, dated August 14, 2001, as amended on September 26, 2002 and June 16, 2004
10.44 <sup>(18)</sup> (%)	Non-Exclusive Product License Agreement for MT201, by and between Micromet AG and Cambridge Antibody Technology Limited, dated September 3, 2003, as amended on March 17, 2005
10.45 <sup>(18)</sup> (%)	Non-Exclusive Product License Agreement for MT203, by and between Micromet AG and Cambridge Antibody Technology Limited, dated November 3, 2003, as amended on March 17, 2005
10.46 <sup>(18)</sup> (%)	Amended and Restated Cross-License Agreement, by and between Micromet AG and Enzon Pharmaceuticals, Inc., dated June 28, 2004, as amended on March 17, 2005
10.47 <sup>(18)</sup> (%)	GM-CSF License Agreement, by and between Micromet AG and Enzon Pharmaceuticals, Inc., dated November 21, 2005
10.48 <sup>(6)</sup> (%)	Amended and Restated Collaboration Agreement, by and between Cell-Matrix, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Registrant, and Applied Molecular Evolution, dated as of October 15, 2004
10.49 <sup>(15)</sup> (%)	First Amendment to Amended and Restated Collaboration Agreement, by and between Cell-Matrix, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Registrant, and Applied Molecular Evolution, dated as of June 10, 2006
10.50 <sup>(3)</sup> (%)	License Agreement, by and between the University of Southern California and Cell-Matrix, Inc. f/k/a Bio-Management, Inc., dated September 19, 1999
10.51 <sup>(18)</sup> (%)	First Amendment to License Agreement, by and between the University of Southern California and Cell-Matrix, Inc., dated as of February 23, 2007
11.1	Computation of Per Share Earnings (included in the notes to the audited financial statements contained in this report)
21.1	List of Subsidiaries
23.1	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP
23.2	Consent of Ernst & Young GmbH WPG, formerly known as Ernst & Young AG and Ernst & Young Deutsche Allgemeine Treuhand AG WPG
24.1	Powers of Attorney (included on signature page)
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
32 <sup>(*)</sup>	Certifications of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

(1)

Edgar Filing: MICROMET, INC. - Form 10-K

Previously filed on August 14, 2003 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-107993) and incorporated by reference herein.

(2) Previously filed on September 16, 2003 as an exhibit to Amendment No. 2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-107993) and incorporated by reference herein.

(3) Previously filed on October 24, 2003 as an exhibit to Amendment No. 4 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-107993) and incorporated by reference herein.

63

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- (4) Previously filed on October 30, 2003 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-110085) and incorporated by reference herein.
- (5) Previously filed on December 11, 2003 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated by reference herein.
- (6) Previously filed on October 21, 2004 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated by reference herein.
- (7) Previously filed on November 8, 2004 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated by reference herein.
- (8) Previously filed on December 29, 2004 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated by reference herein.
- (9) Previously filed on January 20, 2005 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated by reference herein.
- (10) Previously filed on March 20, 2006 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated by reference herein.
- (11) Previously filed on April 20, 2006 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated by reference herein.
- (12) Previously filed on May 1, 2006 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated by reference herein.
- (13) Previously filed on May 10, 2006 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated by reference herein.
- (14) Previously filed on July 26, 2006 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated by reference herein.
- (15) Previously filed on August 8, 2006 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated by reference herein.
- (16) Previously filed on August 31, 2006 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated by reference herein.
- (17) Previously filed on November 9, 2006 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated by reference herein.
- (18) Previously filed on March 16, 2007 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K and incorporated by reference herein.
- (19) Previously filed on May 10, 2007 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated by reference herein.
- (20) Previously filed on June 21, 2007 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated by reference herein.
- (21) Previously filed on August 9, 2007 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated by reference herein.
- (22) Previously filed on October 9, 2007 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated by reference herein.
- (23) Previously filed on March 14, 2008 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K and incorporated by reference herein.
- (24) Previously filed on September 2, 2008 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated by reference herein.
- (25) Previously filed on October 6, 2008 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated by reference herein.
- (26) Previously filed on December 2, 2008 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated by reference herein.
- (27) Previously filed on March 16, 2009 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K and incorporated by reference herein.



(28) Previously filed on May 11, 2009 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated by reference herein.

(29) Previously filed on August 6, 2009 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated by reference herein.

64

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- (30) Previously filed on November 6, 2009 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated by reference herein.
- (31) Previously filed on December 18, 2009 as an exhibit to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 and incorporated by reference herein.

& Indicates that the exhibit is an English translation of a foreign language document.

@ Indicates that the exhibit is an English summary of a foreign language document.

# Indicates management contract or compensatory plan.

% The Registrant has been granted confidential treatment with respect to certain portions of this exhibit (indicated by asterisks), which have been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

+ Portions of this exhibit (indicated by asterisks) have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment and have been separately filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

\* These certifications are being furnished solely to accompany this annual report pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, and are not being filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and are not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of the Registrant, whether made before or after the date hereof, regardless of any general incorporation language in such filing.

65

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**MICROMET, INC.**

By:

/s/ Christian Itin  
Christian Itin  
President and Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

By:

/s/ Barclay A. Phillips  
Barclay A. Phillips  
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

Dated: March 4, 2010

## POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below hereby constitutes and appoints Matthias Alder, as his attorney-in-fact, with full power of substitution, for him in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting to said attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in connection therewith, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that the said attorney-in-fact, or his substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Name	Title	Date
/s/ Christian Itin Christian Itin	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	March 4, 2010
/s/ Barclay A. Phillips Barclay A. Phillips	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	March 4, 2010
/s/ David F. Hale David F. Hale	Chairman of the Board of Directors	March 4, 2010
/s/ Jerry C. Benjamin Jerry C. Benjami	Director	March 4, 2010
/s/ John E. Berriman John E. Berriman	Director	March 4, 2010

Edgar Filing: MICROMET, INC. - Form 10-K

/s/ Michael G. Carter Michael G. Carter	Director	March 4, 2010
/s/ Peter Johann Peter Johann	Director	March 4, 2010
Joseph P. Slattery	Director	
/s/ Otello Stampacchia Otello Stampacchia	Director	March 4, 2010
/s/ Kapil Dhingra Kapil Dhingra	Director	March 4, 2010

66

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**MICROMET, INC.**

**INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

	Page
<u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms</u>	<u>F-2</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	<u>F-4</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007</u>	<u>F-5</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007</u>	<u>F-6</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007</u>	<u>F-7</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>F-8</u>

F-1

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

# **REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
Micromet, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Micromet, Inc. as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Micromet, Inc. at December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Micromet, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 4, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

McLean, Virginia  
March 4, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

# **REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
Micromet, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Micromet, Inc. as of December 31, 2007, and the related consolidated statement of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Micromet, Inc. at December 31, 2007, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ Ernst & Young AG WPG

Munich, Germany  
March 13, 2008

F-3

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS**MICROMET, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	December 31,	
	2009	2008
	(In Thousands, Except Par Value)	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 113,434	\$ 46,168
Short-term investments	4,169	
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$121 for 2009	464	3,424
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,156	1,950
Total current assets	120,223	51,542
Property and equipment, net	3,959	3,322
Goodwill	6,462	6,462
Patents, net	1,016	5,250
Other long-term assets		959
Restricted cash	3,153	3,140
Total assets	\$ 134,813	\$ 70,675
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 6,053	\$ 710
Accrued expenses	16,360	6,492
Common stock warrants liability	20,244	12,294
Current portion of deferred revenue	9,838	4,054
Total current liabilities	52,495	23,550
Deferred revenue, net of current portion	13,281	7,555
Other non-current liabilities	2,196	2,025
Long-term debt obligations, net of current portion		2,157
Commitments		
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.00004 par value; 10,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding		
Common stock, \$0.00004 par value; 150,000 shares authorized; 69,178 and 50,913 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively	3	2
Additional paid-in capital	314,627	227,806
Accumulated other comprehensive income	8,062	5,749
Accumulated deficit	(255,851)	(198,169)
Total stockholders equity	66,841	35,388
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 134,813	\$ 70,675



*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*

F-4

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS**MICROMET, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

Years Ended December 31,

	2009	2008	2007
	(In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)		
Revenues:			
Collaboration agreements	\$19,584	\$25,870	\$17,366
License fees and other	1,457	1,416	1,018
Total revenues	21,041	27,286	18,384
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	53,423	37,846	28,407
General and administrative	17,010	15,506	15,214
Total operating expenses	70,433	53,352	43,621
Loss from operations	(49,392 )	(26,066 )	(25,237 )
Other income (expense):			
Interest expense	(281 )	(222 )	(509 )
Interest income	419	740	938
Change in fair value of common stock warrants liability	(7,950 )	(8,064 )	1,750
Other income (expense), net	(478 )	377	2,932
Net loss	\$(57,682 )	\$(33,235 )	\$(20,126 )
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$(0.98 )	\$(0.77 )	\$(0.55 )
Weighted average shares used to compute basic and diluted net loss per share	58,582	43,309	36,362

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*

F-5

TABLE OF CONTENTS**MICROMET, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS  
EQUITY**

	Common Stock Shares	Amount	Additional Paid-In Capital	Stock Subscription Receivables	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholders Equity
Balance at December 31, 2006	31,419	\$ 1	\$ 163,482	\$(27 )	\$ 5,869	\$(144,807 )	\$ 24,518
Payments received for stock subscription receivable				27			27
Issuance of shares in connection with private placement, net of offering costs of \$1,895	9,217	1	16,504				16,505
Exercise of stock options	54		90				90
Issuance of shares in connection with employee severance payment	83		250				250
Issuance of shares in connection with compensation for board of director fees	5		14				14
Stock-based compensation expense			3,674				3,674
Comprehensive loss:							
Net loss						(20,126 )	(20,126 )
Foreign currency translation adjustment					26		26
Total comprehensive loss							(20,100 )
Balance at December 31, 2007	40,778	\$ 2	\$ 184,014	\$	\$ 5,895	\$(164,933 )	\$ 24,978
Issuance of shares in connection with private placement, net of offering costs of \$2,790	9,412		37,210				37,210
Exercise of stock options	543		987				987
Exercise of stock warrants	180		1,409				1,409
Stock-based compensation expense			3,367				3,367

Edgar Filing: MICROMET, INC. - Form 10-K

Issuance of warrants in connection with Committed Equity Financing Facility, net of offering costs of \$818			818				818
Comprehensive loss:							
Net loss					(33,235 )		(33,235 )
Foreign currency translation adjustment					(146 )		(146 )
Total comprehensive loss							(33,381 )
Balance at December 31, 2008	50,913	\$ 2	\$ 227,805	\$	\$ 5,749	\$(198,168 )	\$ 35,388
Issuance of shares in connection with a public offering, net of offering costs of \$5,587	16,100	1	74,914				74,915
Issuance of shares in connection with Committed Equity Financing Facility	1,420		4,294				4,294
Exercise of stock options	664		1,493				1,493
Exercise of stock warrants	81		337				337
Stock-based compensation expense			5,783				5,783
Comprehensive loss:							
Net loss					(57,682 )		(57,682 )
Foreign currency translation adjustment					2,320		2,320
Unrealized gain on short term investments					(7 )		(7 )
Total comprehensive loss							(55,369 )
Balance at December 31, 2009	69,178	\$ 3	\$ 314,626	\$	\$ 8,062	\$(255,850 )	\$ 66,841

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS**MICROMET, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
	(In Thousands)		
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$(57,682 )	\$(33,235 )	\$(20,126 )
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	3,058	3,732	3,193
Non-cash interest on long-term debt obligations	329	352	564
Amortization of premium/discount on short-term investments	158		
Net gain on debt restructuring			(270 )
Non-cash change in fair value of common stock warrants liability	7,950	8,064	(1,750 )
Stock-based compensation expense	5,783	3,367	3,674
Impairment of patent	2,585		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	3,085	1,324	(2,136 )
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(77 )	683	(149 )
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	14,590	(416 )	(4,924 )
Deferred revenue	11,363	454	7,651
Net cash used in operating activities	(8,858 )	(15,675 )	(14,273 )
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchases of investments	(27,975 )		
Proceeds from the maturity of investments	26,042		
Proceeds from repayment of loans to employees			67
Purchases of property and equipment	(1,175 )	(468 )	(1,265 )
Restricted cash used as collateral		15	(48 )
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,108 )	(453 )	(1,246 )
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of common stock and common stock warrants, net	80,026	37,210	23,474
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	1,493	987	90
Proceeds from exercise of warrants	337	421	
Proceeds from stock subscription receivable			27
Principal payments on debt obligations	(2,187 )	(2,466 )	(5,590 )
Principal payments on capital lease obligations	(142 )	(186 )	(156 )
Net cash provided by financing activities	79,527	35,966	17,845
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(295 )	(736 )	439
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	67,266	19,102	2,765
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	46,168	27,066	24,301
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$113,434	\$46,168	\$27,066

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Cash paid for interest	295	1,137	2,160
Supplemental disclosure of noncash investing and financing activities:			
Acquisitions of equipment purchased through capital leases	\$621	\$219	\$294
Issuance of warrants in connection with equity transactions and Committed Equity Financing Facility	\$	\$818	\$6,969
Issuance of shares in lieu of cash compensation	\$	\$	\$264
Cashless exercise of warrants	\$	\$988	\$

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*

F-7

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **MICROMET, INC.**

# **NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

### **Note 1. Business Overview**

We are a biopharmaceutical company focused on the discovery, development and commercialization of innovative antibody-based therapies for the treatment of cancer. Our product development pipeline includes novel antibodies generated with our proprietary BiTE® antibody platform, as well as conventional monoclonal antibodies. Five of our antibodies are currently in clinical trials, while the remainder of our product pipeline is in earlier stages of preclinical development. To date, we have incurred significant research and development expenses and have not achieved any revenues from product sales.

### **Note 2. Basis of Presentation**

Unless otherwise noted, all financial information is that of Micromet, Inc. and our wholly owned subsidiaries: Micromet AG; Micromet Holdings, Inc.; and Cell-Matrix, Inc. Our former subsidiaries Tarcanta, Inc. and Tarcanta, Ltd. were dissolved and liquidated during 2009. Substantially all of our operating activities are conducted through Micromet AG, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Micromet Holdings, Inc. and an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Micromet, Inc. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of our wholly owned subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Unless specifically noted otherwise, as used throughout these notes to the consolidated financial statements, Micromet, we, us, and our refers to the business of Micromet, Inc. and its subsidiaries as a whole.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, the valuation of goodwill, intangibles and other long-lived assets, lease exit liabilities, asset retirement obligations and assumptions in the valuation of stock-based compensation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming we will continue as a going concern. This basis of accounting contemplates the recovery of our assets and the satisfaction of our liabilities in the normal course of business. As of December 31, 2009, we had an accumulated deficit of \$255.9 million. We expect that operating losses and negative cash flows from operations will continue for at least the next several years and we will need to generate additional funds to achieve our strategic goals. If necessary, we may seek to raise substantial funds through the sale of our common stock and common stock warrants, or through debt financing or through establishing additional strategic collaboration agreements. We do not know whether additional financing will be available when needed, or whether it will be available on favorable terms, or at all. Based on our capital resources as of the date of this report, we believe that we have adequate resources to fund our operations into late 2011, without considering any potential future milestone payments that we may receive under our current or any new collaborations we may enter into in the future, any future capital raising transactions or any draw downs from our committed equity financing facility, or CEFF, with Kingsbridge Capital Limited (see Note 12).

## **Note 3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

### **Cash and Cash Equivalents**

Cash and cash equivalents on the balance sheets are comprised of cash at banks, money market funds and short-term deposits with an original maturity from date of purchase of three months or less.

### **Restricted Cash**

We have issued irrevocable standby letters of credit in connection with property that we currently sublease, as well as our current property leases in Munich, Germany and Bethesda, Maryland. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, we had a total of \$3.2 million and \$3.1 million, respectively, of certificates of deposit relating to these letters of credit that is classified as non-current restricted cash.

F-8

---



TABLE OF CONTENTS**MICROMET, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Note 3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies  
(continued)****Short-Term Investments**

Short-term investments are classified as available-for-sale. Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value, with the unrealized gains and losses reported in other comprehensive income (loss). The amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity is included in investment income. Realized gains and losses and declines in value judged to be other-than-temporary that are credit related, if any, on available-for-sale securities are included in other income or expense. In determining whether a decline in the value of an investment is other-than-temporary, we evaluate available quantitative and qualitative factors. These factors include, among others, general market conditions, the duration and extent to which fair value has been less than the carrying value, the investment issuer's financial condition and business outlook and our assessment as to whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell a security prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method. Interest and dividends on securities classified as available-for-sale are included in investment income.

We monitor our investment portfolio for impairment quarterly or more frequently if circumstances warrant. In the event that the carrying value of an investment exceeds its fair value and the decline in value is determined to be other-than-temporary, we record an impairment charge within earnings attributable to the estimated credit loss for held-to-maturity debt securities. In determining whether a decline in the value of an investment is other-than-temporary, we evaluate available quantitative and qualitative factors. These factors include, among others, general market conditions, the duration and extent to which fair value has been less than the carrying value, the investment issuer's financial condition and business outlook and our assessment as to whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell a security prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis.

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gain or loss and estimated fair value of short-term investments by security type were as follows at December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gain	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value
Foreign government bonds	4,174	117	(122 )	4,169

There were no short-term investments as of December 31, 2008.

**Fair Value Measurements**

The fair value of an asset or liability should represent the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. Such transactions to sell an asset or transfer a liability are assumed to occur in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability. Accordingly, fair

value is determined based on a hypothetical transaction at the measurement date, considered from the perspective of a market participant rather than from a reporting entity's perspective. New fair value measurements are not required if existing accounting guidance in the Financial Accounting Standard Board (FASB) codification require or permit fair value measurements.

Disclosure of assets and liabilities subject to fair value disclosures are to be classified according to a three level fair value hierarchy with respect to the inputs (or assumptions) used in fair value measurements. Observable inputs such as unadjusted quoted market prices for identical assets or liabilities are given the highest priority within the hierarchy (Level 1). When observable inputs are unavailable, the use of unobservable inputs is permitted i.e., inputs that a reporting entity believes market participants would use in pricing that are developed based on the best information available. Unobservable inputs are given the lowest priority within the hierarchy (Level 3). The level within the hierarchy at which a fair value measurement lies is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Refer to related disclosures at Note 15 of these consolidated financial statements.

F-9

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**MICROMET, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Note 3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies  
(continued)**

**Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Major replacements and improvements that extend the useful life of assets are capitalized, while general repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred. Property and equipment are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, ranging from three to ten years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the estimated useful lives of the assets or the related lease term, whichever is shorter.

**Goodwill**

We review goodwill for impairment at least annually and more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate a reduction in the fair value of the reporting unit to which the goodwill has been assigned. A reporting unit is an operating segment for which discrete financial information is available and segment management regularly reviews the operating results of that component. We have determined that we have only one reporting unit, the development of biopharmaceutical products. Goodwill is determined to be impaired if the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. We have selected October 1 as our annual goodwill impairment testing date. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 we completed our annual impairment analysis and found no indication of impairment.

**Patents**

Our patent portfolio consists primarily of internally developed patents covering our BiTE antibody platform and the composition of our BiTE antibody product candidates and conventional antibodies. The costs of generating our internally developed patent portfolio has been expensed as incurred.

We also acquired patents in 2001 covering single-chain antibody technology. These purchased patents are being amortized over their estimated useful lives through 2011 using the straight-line method. These patents are utilized in revenue-producing activities through license agreements. Evidence from recent licensing transactions indicated that our future licensing fees derived from these purchased patents will be lower than previously expected. We deemed these events in connection with lower expectations of future licensing fees to be an indication of potential impairment.

As a result of indicators of impairment described above, we assessed whether the carrying value of the purchased patents was recoverable. We evaluated whether the carrying value of the patents would be recoverable by comparing their carrying value to the undiscounted cash flows generated from these patents. The carrying value was in excess of the undiscounted cash flows; therefore, we estimated the fair value of the patents to determine the amount of impairment. We estimated the fair value of the patents using the income approach (discounted cash flows). Based on

the fair value, we recognized a non-cash patent impairment charge of approximately \$2.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2009. The impairment charge was recorded within research and development expenses on the statement of operations. Key inputs utilized in the determination of this non-recurring fair value measurement related to our estimates of cash flows for the remaining patent life and the discount rate factor. The determination of the discount rate was based upon the risk-free rate, adjusted by a risk premium. Because these inputs are unobservable, the fair value determination is a Level 3 measurement.

## **Impairment of Long-Lived and Identifiable Intangible Assets**

We evaluate the carrying value of long-lived assets and identifiable intangible assets for potential impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. Recoverability is determined by comparing projected undiscounted cash flows associated with such assets to the related carrying value. An impairment loss would be recognized when the estimated undiscounted future cash flow is less than the carrying amount of the asset. An impairment loss would be measured as the amount by which the carrying value of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset.

F-10

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**MICROMET, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Note 3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies  
(continued)**

**Common Stock Warrants Liability**

In June 2007, we completed a private placement of 9,216,709 shares of common stock and issued warrants to purchase an additional 4,608,356 shares of common stock. Due to certain provisions in the common stock warrant agreement, these warrants are required to be classified as a liability. Management believes that the circumstances requiring cash settlement of the award are remote. The common stock warrants liability is recorded at fair value, which is adjusted at the end of each reporting period using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, with changes in value included in the consolidated statements of operations.

**Foreign Currency Transactions and Translation**

Transactions in foreign currencies are initially recorded at the functional currency rate ruling at the date of the transaction. Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are re-measured into the functional currency at the exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet date. Transaction gains (losses) are recorded in the consolidated statements of operations in other income (expense) and amounted to \$(334,000), \$(49,000) and \$96,000 for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements are presented in U.S. dollars. The translation of assets and liabilities to U.S. dollars is made at the exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet date, while equity accounts are translated at historical rates. The translation of statement of operations data is made at the average exchange rate in effect for the period. The translation of operating cash flow data is made at the average exchange rate in effect for the period, and investing and financing cash flow data is translated at the exchange rate in effect at the date of the underlying transaction. Translation gains and losses are recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

**Revenue Recognition**

Our revenues consist of licensing fees, milestone payments, and fees for research services earned from license agreements or from research and development collaboration agreements. We recognize revenue in accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 104, *Revenue Recognition*, upon the satisfaction of the following four criteria: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured.

Revenues under collaborative research agreements are recognized as the services specified in the related agreement are performed, or as expenses that are passed through to the collaborator are incurred. Milestone payments are derived from the achievement of predetermined goals under the collaboration agreements. For milestones that are deemed

substantive, the related contingent revenue is not recognized until the milestone has been reached and customer acceptance has been obtained, as necessary. Milestones are considered substantive if all the following criteria are met: 1) milestone payment is non-refundable and relates solely to past performance; 2) achievement of the milestone was not reasonably assured at the inception of the arrangements; 3) substantive effort is involved to achieve the milestone; and 4) the amount of the milestone payment appears reasonable in relation to the effort expended, other milestones in the arrangement and the related risk of achieving the milestone. Fees for research and development services performed under the agreements are generally stated at a yearly fixed fee per research scientist. We recognize revenue as the research and development services are performed. Amounts received in advance of services performed are recorded as deferred revenue and recognized when earned. We have received upfront initial license fees and annual renewal fees under certain license agreements. Revenue is recognized when the above noted criteria are satisfied, unless we have further obligations associated with the license granted. We recognize revenue from up front payments on a straight-line basis over the term of our obligations as specified in the respective agreement.

F-11

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS**MICROMET, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Note 3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies  
(continued)**

We are entitled to receive royalty payments on the sale of products under license and collaboration agreements. Royalties are based upon the volume of products sold and are recognized as revenue upon notification of sales from the collaborator or licensee that is commercializing the product. Through December 31, 2009, we have not received or recognized any royalty payments.

For arrangements that include multiple deliverables, we identify separate units of accounting based on the consensus reached on ASC Topic 605, *Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*. ASC Topic 605 provides that revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables should be divided into separate units of accounting if certain criteria are met.

The consideration for the arrangement is allocated to the separated units of accounting based on their relative fair values. Applicable revenue recognition criteria are considered separately for each unit of accounting. We recognize revenue on development and collaboration agreements, including upfront payments, where they are considered combined units of accounting, over the period specified in the related agreement or as the services are performed.

**Research and Development**

Except for payments made in advance of services rendered, research and development expenditures, including direct and allocated expenses, are charged to operations as incurred.

**Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

Comprehensive loss is comprised of net loss and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income (loss) is the result of foreign currency exchange translation adjustments. The following table sets forth the components of comprehensive income (loss) (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Net loss	\$ (57,682 )	\$ (33,235 )	\$ (20,126 )
Foreign currency translation adjustments	2,320	(146 )	26
Unrealized gain on available for sale investments	(7 )		
Comprehensive loss	\$ (55,369 )	\$ (33,381 )	\$ (20,100 )

**Stock-Based Compensation**

We account for stock-based payments to employees by estimating the fair value of the grant and recognizing the resulting value ratably over the requisite service period. The estimated fair value is determined by utilizing the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The determination of the estimated fair value of our stock-based payment awards

on the date of grant using an option-pricing model is affected by our stock price as well as assumptions regarding expected volatility, risk-free interest rate, and expected term.

We recognize stock-based compensation expense for options granted with graded vesting over the requisite service period of the individual stock option grants, which typically equals the vesting period, using the straight-line attribution method. For stock-based awards that contain a performance condition, expense is recognized using the accelerated attribution method. Compensation expense related to stock-based compensation is allocated to research and development or general and administrative based upon the department to which the associated employee reports.

Options or stock awards issued to non-employees are measured at their estimated fair value. Expense is recognized when service is rendered; however, the expense may fluctuate with changes in the fair value of the underlying common stock, until the award is vested.

## **Income Taxes**

We account for income taxes using the liability method. Deferred income taxes are recognized at the enacted tax rates for temporary differences between the financial statement and income tax bases of assets and

F-12

---



TABLE OF CONTENTS**MICROMET, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Note 3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies  
(continued)**

liabilities. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance if, based upon the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the related tax asset will not be recovered.

We account for uncertain tax positions pursuant to ASC Topic 740 (formerly FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*). ASC Topic 740 was adopted on January 1, 2007 with no material impact on our consolidated financial statements. Financial statement recognition of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return is determined based on a more-likely-than-not threshold of that position being sustained. If the tax position meets this threshold, the benefit to be recognized is measured at the largest amount that is more than 50 percent likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement. In making such determination, we consider all available positive and negative evidence, including future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies and recent financial operations. It is our policy to record interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as a component of income tax expense.

**Net Loss per Share**

Basic net loss per share is calculated by dividing the net loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period, without consideration for common stock equivalents. Diluted net loss per share is computed by dividing the net loss by the weighted average number of common stock equivalents outstanding for the period determined using the treasury-stock method. For purposes of this calculation, convertible preferred stock, stock options, and warrants are considered to be common stock equivalents and are only included in the calculation of diluted net loss per share when their effect is dilutive. The following options and warrants to purchase additional shares were excluded from the net loss calculation for each of the three years ended December 31, 2009 as their effect would be anti-dilutive:

	2009	2008	2007
Options outstanding	9,052,000	7,709,000	6,049,000
Warrants outstanding	8,141,000	8,222,000	5,527,000
Total shares excluded from calculation	17,193,000	15,931,000	11,576,000

**Reclassifications**

During the fourth quarter of 2009, we determined that legal expenses related to the application and maintenance of our patent portfolio were incorrectly classified as research and development expense instead of general and administrative expense. Total operating expenses, loss from operations, net loss and net loss per share in all years presented were not impacted by these reclassifications. The reclassification adjustment for 2008 and 2007 was as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended			December 31, 2007		
	December 31, 2008			December 31, 2007		
	As Reported	Adjustment	As Reclassified	As Reported	Adjustment	As Reclassified
Research and development expense	\$39,189	\$ (1,343 )	\$ 37,846	\$29,191	\$ (784 )	\$ 28,407
General and administrative expense	14,163	1,343	15,506	14,430	784	15,214
Total operating expenses	\$53,352	\$	\$ 53,352	\$43,621	\$	\$ 43,621

### Recent Accounting Standards and Pronouncements

In April 2009, the FASB issued several pronouncements related to fair value measurement, recording and disclosure in financial reporting.

F-13

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**MICROMET, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Note 3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies  
(continued)**

FASB ASC Topic 825-10, *Financial Instruments*, and ASC Topic 270-10, *Interim Reporting*, were issued to outline the required financial statement disclosures relating to fair value of financial instruments during interim reporting periods. FASB ASC 820-10, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, was issued to provide additional guidance in evaluating the fair value of a financial instrument when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability has significantly decreased. FASB ASC 320-10, *Recognition Investments Debt & Equity Securities*, was issued to provide additional guidance on presenting impairment losses on securities.

All of the fair value measurement pronouncements were effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of these new pronouncements did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued ASC Topic 855-10, *Subsequent Events*. ASC Topic 855-10 is intended to establish general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. It requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for selecting that date, that is, whether that date represents the date the financial statements were issued or were available to be issued. ASC Topic 855-10 is effective for interim or annual financial periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of ASC Topic 855-10 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, a replacement of SFAS No. 162. The FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) will become the source of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. On the effective date of this Statement, the ASC will supersede all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the ASC will become nonauthoritative. SFAS 168 is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The adoption of SFAS 168 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB approved ASC Topic 605-25, *Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*. This statement provides principles for allocation of consideration among multiple elements, allowing more flexibility in identifying and accounting for separate deliverables under an arrangement. ASC Topic 605-25 introduces an estimated selling price method for valuing the elements of a bundled arrangement if vendor-specific objective evidence or third-party evidence of selling price is not available, and significantly expands related disclosure requirements. ASC Topic 605-25 is effective on a prospective basis for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Alternatively, adoption may be on a retrospective basis, and early application is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting this pronouncement.

We adopted the provisions of ASC Topic 820-10, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* (formerly SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*), with respect to non-financial assets and liabilities effective January 1, 2009. This pronouncement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The adoption of ASC Topic 820-10 did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

F-14

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS**MICROMET, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Note 4. Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment consist of the following (in thousands):

	Estimated Useful Life	December 31,	
		2009	2008
Laboratory equipment	5 years	\$ 6,058	\$ 7,419
Computer equipment and software	3 years	1,632	2,013
Furniture	10 years	850	946
Leasehold improvements	10 years	5,258	4,636
		13,798	15,014
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization		(9,839 )	(11,692 )
Property and equipment, net		\$ 3,959	\$ 3,322

Included above are laboratory and computer equipment acquired under capital lease arrangements with a cost of \$1,158,000 and \$963,000 at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The accumulated depreciation related to assets under capital lease arrangements was approximately \$392,000 and \$718,000 as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The capital lease equipment is amortized over the useful life of the equipment or the lease term, whichever is less, and such amortization expenses are included within depreciation expense.

**Note 5. Patents**

Patents consist of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2009	2008
Patents	\$ 21,350	\$ 20,999
Less: accumulated amortization	(17,750 )	(15,749 )
Impairment	(2,584 )	
Patents, net	\$ 1,016	\$ 5,250

Amortization expense on patents for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 amounted to \$2.0 million, \$2.2 million and \$2.0 million, respectively and is included in research and development expenses. Included in the 2009 research and development expenses was a non-cash impairment charge of \$2.6 million recorded during the third quarter of 2009.

Future amortization for the patents is projected to be as follows as of December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

2010

\$ 508

2011

508  
\$1,016**Note 6. Accrued Expenses**

Accrued expenses consists of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2009	2008
Accrued employee benefits	\$ 2,039	\$ 2,339
Accrued research and development expenses	1,877	2,407
Other accrued liabilities and expenses	3,153	1,746
Accrued expenses related to MedImmune termination (see Note 17)	5,291	
Accrued settlement charges related to Curis (see Note 19)	4,000	
	\$ 16,360	\$ 6,492

F-15

TABLE OF CONTENTS**MICROMET, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Note 7. Income Taxes**

As a result of the net operating losses we have incurred since inception, no provision for income taxes has been recorded. As of December 31, 2009 we had accumulated tax net operating loss carryforwards in Germany of approximately \$198 million. Losses before income taxes are as follows (in millions):

	U.S.	Germany	Total
Losses before income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2009	\$ 19.9	\$ 37.8	\$ 57.7
Losses before income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2008	\$ 18.7	\$ 14.5	\$ 33.2
Losses before income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2007	\$ 7.0	\$ 13.1	\$ 20.1

Prior to 2006, losses before income taxes were generated in Germany. Under prior German tax laws, the German loss carryforwards have an indefinite life and may be used to offset our future taxable income. Effective January 2004, the

German tax authorities changed the rules concerning deduction of loss carryforwards. This loss carryforward deduction is now limited to €1 million per year, and the deduction of the exceeding amount is limited to 60% of the net taxable income. Net operating loss carryforwards are subject to review and possible adjustment by the German tax authorities. Furthermore, under current German tax laws, certain substantial changes in our ownership may limit the amount of net operating loss carryforwards which could be utilized annually to offset future taxable income.

As of December 31, 2009, we have accumulated federal and state gross net operating losses of \$174.5 million and \$210.5 million, respectively. We also have federal and state income tax credit carryforwards of \$40.4 million and \$3.2 million, respectively. Under U.S. federal and state tax laws, Micromet's net operating losses and income tax credits accumulated prior to the merger between Micromet AG and CancerVax Corporation in 2006 are substantially limited under Internal Revenue Code Sections 382 and 383. The federal and state net operating loss carryforwards expire beginning in 2025 and 2015, respectively, unless previously utilized. Additionally, Section 382 limits the availability to accelerate the utilization of the entire amount of net operating losses. State income tax credits of \$3.2 million do not expire.

The following table displays the difference between our effective tax rates and the statutory tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Federal tax at statutory rate	\$ (20,189 )	\$ (11,632 )	\$ (7,044 )
State taxes	(1,069 )	(1,004 )	(390 )
Stock options	2,133	1,359	1,297
Change in warrant valuation	3,209	3,255	(713 )
Change in valuation allowance	14,285	7,079	(5,779 )
Foreign tax rate differential	1,619	443	12,762
Other	12	500	(133 )





TABLE OF CONTENTS**MICROMET, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Note 7. Income Taxes (continued)**

blended income tax rate was calculated at 40.4% of taxable income. The rate consists of 35% federal income tax and 5.4% state income tax. The state income tax rate is net of the federal benefit for state income tax expense.

The difference between taxes computed at the U.S. federal and German statutory rates and the actual income tax provision in 2009, 2008 and 2007 is due primarily to the increase in the valuation allowance, certain non-deductible expenses and other permanent items.

The tax effects of temporary differences and tax loss carryforwards that give rise to significant portions of deferred tax assets and liabilities are comprised of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2009	2008
Deferred tax assets		
Net operating loss carry forwards Germany	\$ 63,170	\$ 53,160
Net operating loss carryforwards United States federal and state	36,522	33,688
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	361	201
Patents and other intangibles	521	827
Stock-based compensation	2,104	2,007
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	917	995
Other non-current liabilities	53	62
Other	9,739	8,407
State tax credits	3,152	3,152
Deferred tax liabilities		
Property and equipment, net	(59 )	(75 )
Deferred revenue	(4,040 )	(5,154 )
	112,441	97,270
Valuation allowance	(112,441 )	(97,270 )
Net deferred tax assets	\$	\$

At December 31, 2009 and 2008 we had approximately \$69 million and \$56 million, respectively, of net deferred tax assets, before valuation allowance, located in Germany.

Due to the degree of uncertainty related to the ultimate utilization and recoverability of the loss carryforwards and other deferred tax assets, no income tax benefit has been recorded in the statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, as any losses available for carryforward are fully reserved through increases in the valuation allowance recorded. The increase in the valuation allowance for 2009 is due to the increase in net operating loss carryforwards from operations during the year and other temporary differences. No income taxes were paid in the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.



TABLE OF CONTENTS**MICROMET, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Note 8. Deferred Revenue**

Deferred revenues were derived from research and development agreements with Nycomed, Bayer Schering, sanofi-aventis, TRACON Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Merck Serono as follows (thousands):

	December 31,	
	2009	2008
Nycomed	\$ 6,493	\$ 7,260
Sanofi-aventis	11,042	
Bayer Schering Pharma	608	
TRACON	1,221	1,321
Merck Serono	3,331	2,523
Other	424	505
Subtotal	23,119	11,609
Current portion	(9,838 )	(4,054 )
Long term portion	\$ 13,281	\$ 7,555

The deferred revenue for Nycomed, sanofi-aventis, Bayer Schering and TRACON consists mainly of the upfront license fees that are being recognized over the period that we are required to participate on joint steering committees of 20 years, 6 years, 4.5 years and 15 years, respectively.

The upfront license fees and research and development service reimbursements in the collaboration agreement with Merck Serono are considered to be a combined unit of accounting and, accordingly, the related amounts are recognized ratably over the expected period of the research and development program, which continues through 2011.

**Note 9. Other Non-Current Liabilities**

Other non-current liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2009	2008
Facility lease exit liability, net of current portion	\$ 984	\$ 1,215
Deferred rent, net of current portion	82	135
Asset retirement obligation	567	471
Capital lease obligations, net of current portion (see Note 11)	545	187
Other	18	17
	\$ 2,196	\$ 2,025

## Facility Lease Exit Liability and Restructuring Provision

We acquired a facility lease exit liability as of May 2006, the date of our merger with CancerVax Corporation. As of April 2007, we fully subleased our former corporate headquarters in Carlsbad, California. We review the adequacy of our estimated exit accruals on an ongoing basis.

The following table summarizes the facility lease activity for these obligations for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands):

	2009	2008
Balance January 1,	\$ 1,432	\$ 1,537
Amounts paid in period	(402 )	(374 )
Accretion expense	246	269
Balance December 31,	\$ 1,276	\$ 1,432

F-18

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS**MICROMET, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Note 9. Other Non-Current Liabilities (continued)**

Of the \$1,276,000 lease exit liability as of December 31, 2009, \$292,000 is current and \$984,000 is non-current.

**Note 10. Long-Term Debt**

Our only long-term debt obligation at December 31, 2008 was an unsecured promissory note in favor of an affiliate of MedImmune, Inc. with a principal balance of \$2.2 million and an original maturity date of June 6, 2010. Interest on this note was payable monthly at an interest rate of 4.5% per annum. On August 20, 2009, the remaining principal balance and accrued but unpaid interest was repaid in full and at December 31, 2009 we had no long-term debt obligations.

**Note 11. Commitments and Contingencies****Lease Obligations**

During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we entered into equipment financing agreements in the amount of \$621,000, \$219,000 and \$294,000, respectively, for the purpose of buying information technology equipment. The amounts are repayable in monthly installments, the last of which is due in December 2014. The agreements provide for interest ranging from 0.9% to 17.0% per annum. Future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable operating and capital leases as of December 31, 2009, offset by estimated sublease income under operating leases, are as follows (in thousands):

	Capital Leases	Operating Leases	Estimated Sublease Income	Net Operating Leases
2010	\$ 325	\$ 5,387	\$ (2,643 )	\$ 2,744
2011	325	5,447	(1,838 )	3,609
2012	221	2,796	(717 )	2,079
2013	71	171		171
2014	52	171		171
Thereafter		14		14
Total minimum lease payments	994	\$ 13,986	\$ (5,198 )	\$ 8,788
Less: amount representing imputed interest	237			
Present value of minimum lease payments	757			
Less: current portion	212			
Capital lease obligation, less current portion	\$ 545			

The sublease income is from sublease agreements related to our former corporate headquarters in Carlsbad, California

and our Munich, Germany facility.

Operating lease expenses net of sublease income amounted to approximately \$2.6 million, \$2.7 million and \$3.3 million, for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

## **License and Research and Development Agreements**

We license certain of our technology from third parties. In exchange for the right to use technology in our research and development efforts, we have entered into various license agreements. These agreements generally require that we pay license fees and royalties on future product sales. In addition, many of the agreements obligate us to make contractually defined payments upon the achievement of certain development and commercial milestones.

License expenses and milestone payments amounted to approximately \$1.0 million, \$1.0 million and \$0.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

F-19

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS**MICROMET, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Note 11. Commitments and Contingencies (continued)**

Our fixed commitments under license and research and development agreements are as follows (in thousands):

2010	\$ 78
2011	78
2012	80
2013	80
2014	25
Thereafter	50
Total minimum payments	\$ 391

**Note 12. Stockholders Equity****Public Offering of Common Stock**

On July 30, 2009, we entered into a definitive agreement with various underwriters pursuant to which we issued an aggregate of 16,100,000 shares of common stock in a public offering, including the exercise in full of an over-allotment option for 2,100,000 shares, for aggregate gross proceeds, before underwriting discount and expenses, of \$80.5 million. After underwriting discount and expenses payable by us of approximately \$0.3 million, net proceeds from the public offering were \$74.9 million

**Committed Equity Financing Facility**

In December 2008, we entered into a Committed Equity Financing Facility ( CEFF ) with Kingsbridge Capital Limited ( Kingsbridge ) which entitles us to sell and obligates Kingsbridge to purchase, from time to time over a period of three years, up to 10,104,919 shares of our common stock for cash consideration of up to \$75.0 million, subject to certain conditions and restrictions. We are not eligible to draw down any funds under the CEFF at any time when our stock price is below \$2.00 per share. In connection with the December 2008 CEFF, we terminated a prior CEFF with Kingsbridge that had been in place since August 2006. The December 2008 CEFF expanded the amount available to draw from \$25.0 million under the August 2006 CEFF to \$75.0 million. We did not draw down on the August 2006 CEFF.

In connection with the December 2008 CEFF, we entered into a common stock purchase agreement and registration rights agreement and issued a warrant to Kingsbridge to purchase 135,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$4.44 per share. The warrant is exercisable beginning on the six-month anniversary of the date of grant, and for a period of five years thereafter. In connection with the August 2006 CEFF, we issued to Kingsbridge a warrant to purchase up to 285,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$3.2145 per share, which warrant was not affected by the new CEFF or the issuance of the new warrant to Kingsbridge. The fair value of the warrants issued approximates \$0.8 million and was categorized as deferred financing costs included in other long-term assets as of

December 31, 2008.

During the second quarter of 2009, we completed two draw downs under the CEFF and issued a total of 1,420,568 shares for aggregate gross proceeds of \$5.3 million. In May 2009, we issued 764,700 shares to Kingsbridge for gross proceeds of \$2.5 million (average price per share of \$3.27), and in June 2009, we issued 655,868 shares to Kingsbridge in exchange for gross proceeds of \$2.8 million (average price per share of \$4.19). Accordingly, the remaining commitment of Kingsbridge under the CEFF for the potential purchase of our common stock is equal to the lesser of \$69.7 million in cash consideration or 8,684,351 shares (which shares would be priced at a discount ranging from 6% to 14% of the average market price during any future draw down), subject to certain conditions and restrictions. In connection with the CEFF, we have incurred legal fees and other financing costs of approximately \$138,000. These costs along with the \$0.8 million fair value of the warrants were recorded as a reduction to the proceeds received under the CEFF.

F-20

---



TABLE OF CONTENTS

**MICROMET, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Note 12. Stockholders Equity (continued)**

**Private Placements of Common Stock**

On October 2, 2008, we completed a private placement with various institutional and individual accredited investors to which we issued an aggregate of 9,411,948 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase an additional 2,823,584 shares of common stock in return for aggregate gross proceeds, before expenses, of \$40.0 million (excluding any proceeds that might be received upon exercise of the warrants). We incurred investment banking fees, legal fees, and other financing costs of approximately \$2.8 million, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$37.2 million. The purchase price of each share of common stock sold in the financing was \$4.21, the closing price of our common stock on the Nasdaq Global Market on September 29, 2008, the date we entered into the securities purchase agreement with the investors, and the purchase price for the warrants was approximately \$0.125 for each share of common stock underlying the warrants. The warrants are exercisable for five years from the date of issuance and have an exercise price of \$4.63 per share.

On June 22, 2007, we completed a private placement with various institutional and individual accredited investors to which we issued an aggregate of 9,216,709 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase an additional 4,608,356 shares of common stock in return for aggregate gross proceeds, before expenses, of \$25.4 million (excluding any proceeds that might be received upon exercise of the warrants). We incurred investment banking fees, legal fees, and other financing costs of approximately \$1.9 million resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$23.5 million. The purchase price of each share of common stock sold in the financing was \$2.69, the closing price of our common stock on the Nasdaq Global Market on June 19, 2007, the date we entered into the securities purchase agreement with the investors, and the purchase price for the warrants was \$0.125 for each share of common stock underlying the warrants. The warrants are exercisable beginning 180 days after issuance through December 19, 2012 and have an exercise price of \$3.09 per share.

Under the terms of the warrants issued in the 2007 private placement, if a Fundamental Transaction (as defined in the warrant) occurs, we (or the successor entity) are required to purchase any unexercised warrants from the holder thereof for cash in an amount equal to its value computed using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with prescribed guidelines.

Since the Fundamental Transaction terms provide the warrant holders with a benefit in the form of a cash payment equal to the fair value of the unexercised warrants calculated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model formula in certain qualifying events described above, the warrants have been classified as a liability until the earlier of the date the warrants are exercised in full or expire. The warrants were valued on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and using the following assumptions: a risk-free rate of 4.78%, a volatility factor of 75.2%, a life of 5.5 years, and a dividend rate of zero. The estimated fair value of the warrants on the date of grant was approximately \$7.0 million. The fair value as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 was approximately \$20.2 million and \$12.3 million respectively. The warrants are required to be revalued as derivative instruments at each reporting period end. We adjust the instruments to their fair values at the balance sheet date using the Black-Scholes option pricing

model, with the change in value recorded as other income/expense on the statement of operations. Fluctuations in the market price of our common stock between measurement periods will have an impact on the revaluations, the results of which are highly unpredictable and may have a significant impact on our results of operations.

In connection with the October 2, 2008 and the June 22, 2007 private placements, we also agreed to file registration statements under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, registering for resale the shares of common stock sold in the private placements, including the shares of common stock underlying the warrants. We may be liable for liquidated damages to holders of the common shares if we do not maintain the effectiveness of the registration statements. The amount of the liquidated damages is, in aggregate, up to 1.5% of the purchase price of the common stock per month, subject to an aggregate maximum of up to 12% of the aggregate purchase price of the shares. We are not liable for liquidated damages with respect to the warrants or the common shares issuable upon exercise of the warrants.

F-21

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS**MICROMET, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Note 12. Stockholders Equity (continued)**

We account for the registration payment arrangement under the provisions of ASC 815, *Accounting for Registration Payment Arrangements*. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, management determined that it is not probable that we will be obligated to pay any liquidated damages in connection with the private placements. Accordingly, no accrual for contingent obligation is required or recorded as of December 31, 2009 and 2008.

**Additional Issuances of Warrants to Purchase Common Stock**

We have additional outstanding, fully-exercisable warrants that would, upon a cash payment exercise, result in the issuance of approximately 23,000 shares of our common stock. The exercise prices of the warrants range from \$32.34 to \$35.24 per share, and the warrants expire between February 2010 and June 2013. The warrant holders have the option to exercise the warrants in one of the following ways: (i) cash payment; (ii) cancellation of our indebtedness, if any, to the holder; or (iii) net issuance exercise in the event the fair market value of our common stock exceeds the exercise price on the date of exercise.

During 2002 and 2003, in connection with equipment financings we issued warrants to purchase an aggregate of 55,316 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$12.07 per share. The warrants expire between 2012 and 2013.

The following table summarizes our warrant activity for the periods presented:

	Number of Warrants Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Balance January 1, 2007	918,726	\$ 5.59
Issuance of warrants in connection with private placement of common stock	4,608,356	3.09
Balance December 31, 2007	5,527,082	3.51
Issuance of warrants in connection with private placement of common stock	2,823,585	4.63
Issuance of warrants in connection with CEFF	135,000	4.44
Exercises of warrants	(263,397 )	3.09
Balance December 31, 2008	8,222,270	\$ 3.92
Exercises of warrants	(81,441 )	4.13
Balance December 31, 2009	8,140,829	\$ 3.92

## **Note 13. Stock Option and Employee Stock Purchase Plans**

### **2000 Stock Option Plan**

In December 2000, Micromet AG adopted the 2000 Stock Option Plan ( 2000 Plan ). The 2000 Plan provides for the granting of incentive stock options to selected employees, executives of Micromet AG and its affiliates. The 2000 Plan authorized the grant of options to purchase up to 612,237 shares of our common stock. Options granted under the 2000 Plan were exercisable after two years and in general vested ratably over a three-year period commencing with the grant date and expired no later than eight years from the date of grant. During the second quarter of 2006, all outstanding options under the 2000 Plan were cancelled and were partially replaced with options granted under the 2006 Equity Incentive Award Plan described below. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, we were not authorized to issue any additional options under the 2000 Plan. There has been no activity under this plan in the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, and as of December 31, 2009, no options are outstanding under this plan.

F-22

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**MICROMET, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Note 13. Stock Option and Employee Stock Purchase Plans  
(continued)**

**2000 Stock Incentive Plan and 2003 Equity Incentive Award Plan**

In connection with the merger with CancerVax Corporation, we assumed CancerVax's Third Amended and Restated 2000 Stock Incentive Plan ( "2000 Stock Incentive Plan" ) and CancerVax's 2003 Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Award Plan ( "2003 Plan" ). The 2000 Stock Incentive Plan was effectively terminated on June 10, 2004 by the approval of the 2003 Plan. Prior to its termination, the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan allowed for the grant of options and restricted stock to employees, outside directors and consultants. Options granted under the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan generally expire no later than ten years from date of grant and vest over a period of four years.

Under the 2003 Plan, stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted or deferred stock awards and other awards may be granted to employees, outside directors and consultants. Incentive stock options issued under the 2003 Plan may be issued to purchase a fixed number of shares of our common stock at prices not less than 100% of the fair market value at the date of grant, as defined in the 2003 Plan. Options granted to new employees generally become exercisable one-fourth annually beginning one year after the grant date with monthly vesting thereafter and expire ten years from the grant date. Options granted to existing employees generally vest on a monthly basis over a three-year period from the date of grant. The initial options granted to our non-employee directors under the 2003 Plan have a three-year vesting period. Subsequent grants of options to our non-employee directors have a one-year vesting period.

Options granted to non-employee consultants generally have a one-year vesting period. At December 31, 2009, options to purchase approximately 9,052,000 shares of our common stock were outstanding, and there were approximately 1,093,000 additional shares remaining available for future grants under these plans.

**2006 Stock Option Plan**

In April 2006, Micromet Holdings, Inc. adopted a 2006 Equity Incentive Award Plan ( "2006 Plan" ) that provides for the granting of stock options to certain officers, directors, founders, employees and consultants to acquire up to approximately 1,923,000 shares of common stock. The 2006 Plan was assumed by us in connection with the closing of the merger between Micromet AG and CancerVax Corporation. Approximately 1,762,000 options were granted under the 2006 Plan in anticipation of the merger, in part, to replace the options issued under the 2000 Plan described above. One-half of these options vested in May 2006, with the remainder vesting ratably on a monthly basis through May 2008. The effective exercise price for the options granted prior to the merger was approximately 25% of the closing price of a share of CancerVax common stock on the date immediately preceding the date of grant of the option (as adjusted for the exchange ratio in the merger). At December 31, 2009, options to purchase approximately 1,065,000 shares of our common stock were outstanding under this plan, and there were approximately 285,000 shares remaining available for future option grants under this plan.

## Stock Option Plan Activity Under 2003 and 2006 Plans

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we granted options to purchase 2,440,000 shares of our common stock. Approximately 222,000 shares under these stock options vested upon the attainment of specific performance targets.

The measurement date of stock options containing performance-based vesting is the date the stock option grant is authorized and the specific performance goals are communicated. Compensation expense is recognized based on the probability that the performance criteria will be met. The recognition of compensation expense associated with performance-based vesting requires judgment in assessing the probability of meeting the performance goals, as well as defined criteria for assessing achievement of the performance-related goals. The continued assessment of probability may result in additional expense recognition or expense reversal depending on the level of achievement of the performance goals. We recognized approximately \$769,000 during the year ended December 31, 2009 related to these performance-based options. No expense was recognized during 2008 and 2007 related to performance-based option grants. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted during the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$2.48.

F-23

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS**MICROMET, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Note 13. Stock Option and Employee Stock Purchase Plans  
(continued)**

The following is a summary of stock option activity under the 2003 and 2006 Plans for the year ended December 31, 2009 (options and intrinsic value in thousands):

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2009	7,709	\$ 3.28		
Granted	2,439	3.72		
Exercised	(664 )	2.23		
Forfeited	(109 )	2.46		
Expired	(323 )	7.27		
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	9,052	3.48	7.60	\$ 31,994
Exercisable at December 31, 2009	5,684	\$ 3.58	6.73	\$ 20,725
Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2009	8,811	\$ 3.48	7.36	\$ 31,994

The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and options exercisable at December 31, 2009 is calculated as the difference between the exercise price of the underlying options and the market price of our common stock for the shares that had exercise prices that were lower than the \$6.66 closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2009. The total intrinsic value of options exercised in the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was approximately \$2,380,059, \$1,124,090 and \$16,300 respectively, determined as of the date of exercise. We received approximately \$1,493,000, \$986,900 and \$90,100 in cash from options exercised in the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

**Stock-Based Compensation**

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, stock-based compensation expense related to stock options granted to employees was \$5.8 million, \$3.4 million and \$3.7 million, respectively. Included in the 2009 expense was \$0.9 million due to the accelerated vesting of stock options from the separation of our chief medical officer. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the fair value of unamortized compensation cost related to unvested stock option awards was \$7.1 million and \$4.6 million, respectively. Unamortized compensation cost as of December 31, 2009 is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted-average vesting period of 2.0 years.

Edgar Filing: MICROMET, INC. - Form 10-K

Reported stock-based compensation is classified, in the consolidated statements of operations, as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Research and development	\$ 2,983	\$ 1,393	\$ 1,562
General and administrative	2,800	1,974	2,112
	\$ 5,783	\$ 3,367	\$ 3,674

F-24

---



TABLE OF CONTENTS**MICROMET, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Note 13. Stock Option and Employee Stock Purchase Plans  
(continued)**

The weighted-average estimated fair value of employee stock options granted during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$2.48, \$1.38 and \$1.76 per share, respectively, using the Black-Scholes model with the following assumptions:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Expected volatility	76.1% to 78.7 %	74.2% to 76.7 %	74.1% to 76.7 %
Risk-free interest rate	2.0% to 2.6 %	2.4% to 3.3 %	3.9% to 4.8 %
Dividend yield	0 %	0 %	0 %
Expected term	5.3 to 6.1 years	5.3 to 6.1 years	5.3 to 6.1 years

Expected volatility is based on our historical volatility and the historical volatilities of the common stock of comparable publicly traded companies. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury rates in effect at the time of grant for periods within the expected term of the award. Expected dividend yield is projected at zero, as we have not paid any dividends on our common stock since our inception and we do not anticipate paying dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. The expected term of at-the-money options granted is derived from the average midpoint between vesting and the contractual term, as described in ASC Topic 718, *Share-Based Payment*.

As stock-based compensation expense is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, it has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. ASC Topic 718 requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. The pre-vesting forfeiture rates for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 were based on historical forfeiture experience for similar levels of employees to whom the options were granted.

**Employee Stock Purchase Plan**

We also have an Employee Stock Purchase Plan ( ESPP ), which initially allowed for the issuance of up to 100,000 shares of our common stock, increasing annually on December 31 by the lesser of (i) 30,000 shares, (ii) 1% of the outstanding shares of our common stock on such date, or (iii) a lesser amount determined by our board of directors. We do not currently offer participation in the ESPP to any of our employees. Under the terms of the ESPP, employees can elect to have up to 20% of their annual compensation withheld to purchase shares of our common stock. The purchase price of the common stock would be equal to 85% of the lower of the fair market value per share of our common stock on the commencement date of the applicable offering period or the purchase date. There were no shares purchased under the ESPP during 2009, 2008 or 2007, and at December 31, 2009, approximately 205,000 shares were available for future purchase under this plan.

## **Note 14. Financial Risk Management Objectives and Policies**

Our principal financial instruments are comprised of short-term and long-term debt, capital leases and cash. We have various other financial instruments such as accounts receivable and accounts payable.

### **Foreign Currency Risk**

We have transactional currency exposure. Such exposure arises from revenues generated in currencies other than our measurement currency. Approximately 6%, 5% and 17% of our revenue was denominated in U.S. dollars in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Although we have significant customers with the U.S. dollar as their functional currency, the majority of our transactions are contracted in, and a majority of our operations and expenses are denominated in, Euros (€). Rendered services contracted in U.S. dollars are exposed to movements in the U.S. \$ to € exchange rates.

Certain license fees and milestone payments are denominated in U.S. dollars. We have not engaged in foreign currency hedging transactions to manage this exchange rate exposure.

F-25

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS**MICROMET, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Note 14. Financial Risk Management Objectives and Policies  
(continued)****Concentration of Credit Risk**

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to credit and liquidity risk consist primarily of cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments and accounts receivable.

It is our policy to place all of our cash equivalents and deposits with high-credit quality issuers. In the event of a default by the institution holding the cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash, we are exposed to credit risk to the extent of the amounts recorded on the balance sheets. We continually monitor the credit quality of the financial institutions which are counterparts to our financial instruments. Our accounts receivable are subject to credit risk as a result of customer concentrations. Customers comprising greater than 10% of total revenues presented as a percentage of total revenues are as follows:

	Year-Ended December 31,			
	2009	2008	2007	
Bayer Schering Pharma	30 %			
Merck Serono	14 %	11 %	22 %	
MedImmune	10 %	25 %	32 %	
Nycomed	36 %	57 %	26 %	

We had unbilled accounts receivable of approximately \$2,430,000 and \$1,927,000 as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The amounts are included in accounts receivable.

**Note 15. Fair Value Measurements**

We include disclosures about fair value measurements pursuant to ASC Topic 820. ASC Topic 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. Such transactions to sell an asset or transfer a liability are assumed to occur in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability. Accordingly, fair value as described by ASC Topic 820 is determined based on a hypothetical transaction at the measurement date, considered from the perspective of a market participant.

ASC Topic 820 establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions. The following table presents information about our assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value

Edgar Filing: MICROMET, INC. - Form 10-K

on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

Description	December 31, 2009	Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 113,435	\$ 113,435	\$	\$
Restricted cash	3,153	3,153		
Short-term investments	4,169		4,169	
Total assets	\$ 120,757	\$ 116,588	\$ 4,169	\$
Liabilities:				
Common stock warrant liability	\$ (20,244 )	\$	\$	\$ (20,244 )

F-26

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS**MICROMET, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Note 15. Fair Value Measurements (continued)**

The following table presents information about our common stock warrant liability, which was our only financial instrument measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) as defined in ASC Topic 820 at December 31:

	2009	2008
Balance beginning of year	\$ (12,294 )	\$ (5,218 )
Transfers to (from) Level 3		
Total gains/(losses) realized/unrealized included in earnings	(7,950 )	(8,064 )
Purchases/issuances/settlements, net		988
Balance end of year	\$ (20,244 )	\$ (12,294 )

The carrying value of the common stock warrant liability is calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, which requires the input of highly subjective assumptions. These assumptions include the risk-free rate of interest, expected dividend yield, expected volatility, and the expected life of the award. The risk-free rate of interest is based on the U.S. Treasury rates appropriate for the expected term of the award. Expected dividend yield is projected at 0%, as we have not paid any dividends on our common stock since our inception and we do not anticipate paying dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Expected volatility is based on our historical volatility and the historical volatilities of the common stock of comparable publicly traded companies.

**Note 16. Exclusive IP Marketing Agreement With Enzon**

In 2002, we entered into an Exclusive IP Marketing Agreement with Enzon, under which we serve as the exclusive marketing partner for both parties' consolidated portfolio of patents relating to single-chain antibody technology. Licensing revenues are shared equally with Enzon, as are associated marketing and legal costs.

The term of the Exclusive IP Marketing Agreement continues until expiration of the last valid claim in the consolidated patent portfolio. Either party may terminate the agreement upon determination by a court of competent jurisdiction that the other party has committed a material breach of the agreement. In addition, the Exclusive IP Marketing Agreement terminates automatically upon termination of a cross-license agreement between us and Enzon. Either party also has the right to terminate the agreement unilaterally.

We have entered into several license agreements with third parties under the Enzon IP Marketing Agreement, and we have received license fees and milestone payments under several of these agreements. We recognized \$1.3 million, \$1.3 million and \$0.9 million in revenues related to these license agreements for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

## Note 17. Research and Development Agreements

We have been party to the following significant research and development agreements related to our research and development strategy:

### **Bayer Schering Pharma**

In January 2009, we entered into an option, collaboration and license agreement with Bayer Schering Pharma AG, or Bayer Schering Pharma, under which we granted Bayer Schering Pharma an exclusive option to obtain a license to one of our preclinical BiTE antibodies against an undisclosed oncology target. Under the terms of the agreement, Bayer Schering Pharma paid us an option fee of €4.5 million, or \$6.1 million using the exchange rate as of the date of the agreement. In December 2009, Bayer Schering Pharma exercised its option and paid us an option exercise fee of €5 million, or \$6.7 million using the exchange rate as of the date of the agreement, in January 2010. We have now initiated a collaboration on the development of the BiTE antibody through the completion of phase 1 clinical trials, at which point Bayer Schering Pharma will assume

F-27

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**MICROMET, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Note 17. Research and Development Agreements (continued)**

full control of the further development and commercialization of the BiTE antibody. In addition to the payment of the initial option fee and the option exercise fee, we will be eligible to receive development and sales milestone payments of €285 million, or \$384 million using the exchange rate as of the date of the agreement, in total and up to double-digit royalties based on tiered net sales of the product to be developed under the agreement. In addition, Bayer Schering Pharma will reimburse us for our research and development expenses incurred in connection with the development program.

Either party may terminate the agreement for material breach by the other party. In addition, Bayer Schering Pharma can terminate the Agreement for any reason by 120 days prior written notice to us.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized revenues of approximately \$6.3 million under this agreement, comprised primarily of the option fee.

**Sanofi-aventis**

In October 2009, we entered into a collaboration and license agreement under which we and sanofi-aventis will collaborate on the development of a new BiTE antibody targeting solid tumors. Under the terms of the agreement, we will be responsible for generating and developing the BiTE antibody through the completion of phase 1 clinical trials, at which point sanofi-aventis will assume full control of the development and commercialization of the product candidate on a worldwide basis. We received an upfront payment of €8 million, or \$11.9 million using the exchange rate as of the date of the agreement, and are eligible to receive payments upon the achievement of development milestones of up to €162 million, or \$241 million using the exchange rate as of the date of the agreement, and sales milestones of up to €150 million, or \$223 million using the exchange rate as of the date of the agreement, and up to a low double-digit royalty on worldwide net sales of the product. In addition, sanofi-aventis will bear the cost of development activities and will reimburse us for our expenses incurred in connection with the development program. A portion of the upfront payment in the amount of €2.75 million, or \$4.1 million using the exchange rate as of the date of the agreement, will be credited towards the reimbursement of FTEs allocated by us to the performance of the development program.

After the second anniversary of the execution of the agreement and at certain other specified time points, sanofi-aventis may terminate the agreement at will upon 90 days prior notice. In addition, sanofi-aventis may terminate the agreement at any time after the completion of the first phase 2 clinical trial upon 180 days prior notice. In addition, the agreement may be terminated by either party for material breach.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized revenues of approximately \$0.4 million under this agreement.

## Merck Serono

In 2004, we entered into a collaboration agreement with Ares Trading S.A., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Merck Serono International S.A., or Merck Serono. Pursuant to the agreement, we granted Merck Serono a worldwide license under our relevant patents and know-how to develop, manufacture, commercialize and use adecatumumab for the prevention and treatment of any human disease. Merck Serono paid an initial license fee of \$10.0 million and has made three milestone payments in the total amount of \$12.0 million to date. Overall, the agreement provides for Merck Serono to pay up to \$138.0 million in milestone payments (of which the \$12.0 million above has been paid to date) if adecatumumab is successfully developed and registered worldwide in at least three indications.

Under the current terms of the agreement, we are responsible for conducting the phase 2 clinical trial that we initiated in the first half of 2009. Merck Serono will bear the development expenses associated with the collaboration in accordance with the agreed upon budget and a specified maximum. Upon completion of this clinical trial, we can exercise an option to co-develop adecatumumab in the United States or Europe. If we exercise our option, we will then share up to 50% of the development costs, as well as certain other expenses,

F-28

---



TABLE OF CONTENTS

**MICROMET, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Note 17. Research and Development Agreements (continued)**

depending on the territory for which we exercise our co-development option. The parties would co-promote and share the profits from sales of adecatumumab in the territories for which the parties shared the development costs. In the other territories, Merck Serono would pay royalties from high single digits to mid-teens on tiered net sales of adecatumumab.

Merck Serono may terminate the agreement following receipt by Merck Serono of the study reports for ongoing phase 2 clinical trial, and thereafter for convenience upon specified prior notice. Either party may terminate the agreement as a result of the material breach of the other. In the event of a termination of the agreement, all product rights will revert to us.

We recognized revenues of approximately \$2.9 million, \$3.0 million and \$4.1 million associated with this license and collaboration agreement in the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

**Nycomed**

In May 2007, we entered into a Collaboration and License Agreement with Nycomed A/S under which we and Nycomed will collaborate exclusively with each other on the development of MT203 and other antibodies that neutralize granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and that may be useful for the treatment of inflammatory and autoimmune diseases. Under the terms of the agreement, we received an upfront license fee of €5.0 million, or \$6.7 million using the exchange rate as of the payment date, and we are eligible to receive research and development reimbursements and payments upon the achievement of development milestones of more than €120 million in the aggregate. During 2009, we received a milestone payment of €1.5 million, or \$2.0 million as of the date of the agreement, upon Nycomed's filing of the first clinical trial application in Europe for MT203. We are also eligible to receive tiered royalties in the high single digit to mid-teen range on worldwide sales of MT203 and other products that may be developed under the agreement.

We were responsible for performing preclinical development and process development relating to MT203, and Nycomed is responsible for clinical development and commercialization of the product candidate on a worldwide basis. Nycomed will bear the cost of development activities and reimburse us for our expenses incurred in connection with the development program. The term of the agreement expires upon the satisfaction of all payment obligations of each party under the agreement. After completion of certain preclinical development steps, Nycomed may terminate the agreement at any time upon a specified prior notice period, and either party may terminate the agreement for material breach by the other party. In the event of termination, all product rights would revert back to us under the agreement.

We recognized revenues of approximately \$7.6 million, \$15.5 million and \$4.8 million associated with this agreement in the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

## MedImmune

### Termination and License Agreement With MedImmune

We entered into a collaboration and license agreement with MedImmune in 2003 (the 2003 Agreement ) to jointly develop blinatumomab. Under the terms of the 2003 Agreement, MedImmune had the right and the obligation to develop and commercialize blinatumomab in North America, while we retained all rights to blinatumomab outside of North America.

In March 2009, MedImmune elected to return its license rights to blinatumomab to Micromet. In November 2009, we entered into a termination and license agreement (the 2009 Agreement ), under which we acquired MedImmune's remaining option right to commercialize blinatumomab in North America. The 2009 Agreement terminates the 2003 Agreement, and as a result, we now control the rights to develop and commercialize blinatumomab in all territories, as well as any other BiTE antibodies binding to antigens relevant for hematological cancers that had been licensed to MedImmune under the 2003 Agreement. We will not receive any further material payment under the 2003 Agreement.

F-29

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**MICROMET, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Note 17. Research and Development Agreements (continued)**

Under the terms of the 2009 agreement, MedImmune has sold to us the remaining inventory of blinatumomab clinical trial material and will transfer the manufacturing process for this product candidate to us or our contract manufacturer. In return, we will make an upfront payment of \$6.5 million in installments through December 2010, of which we paid \$4.0 million as of December 31, 2009. In addition, MedImmune is eligible to receive an aggregate of \$19 million from us based upon the achievement of specified strategic and regulatory milestone events relating to blinatumomab in North America. In addition, we will pay to MedImmune a low mid-single-digit royalty based on net sales of blinatumomab in North America. Either party may terminate the 2009 Agreement for material breach by the other party.

We recognized revenues of approximately \$0.3 million, \$4.0 million and \$3.0 million associated with the 2003 Agreement in the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

**BiTE Research Collaboration Agreement**

In 2003, we entered in a BiTE Research Collaboration Agreement with MedImmune pursuant to which we have generated MT111, a BiTE antibody binding to carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA). MedImmune is obligated to make milestone payments of up to approximately \$17 million in the aggregate upon the achievement of specified milestone events related to this BiTE antibody. In addition, MedImmune is obligated to pay to us up to high-single digit royalties to us on net sales of MT111, with the royalty rate dependent on achieving certain net sales levels in each year.

Furthermore, we have the exclusive right to commercialize MT111 in Europe. Subject to an agreed upon budget, MedImmune is obligated to reimburse any development costs incurred by us for MT111 up to the completion of phase 1 clinical trials. Unless earlier terminated, the license and collaboration agreement has a term of 50 years or, if earlier, until the expiration of all royalty and payment obligations due under the agreement for all product candidates covered by the collaboration. Either party may terminate the agreement for breach of a material obligation by the other. MedImmune also has the right to terminate the licenses granted by Micromet to MedImmune under the agreement in the entirety or in one or more countries by providing specified prior notice to Micromet.

We recognized revenues of approximately \$1.9 million, \$2.9 million and \$3.0 million associated with this agreement in the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

**TRACON**

In 2007, we entered into an agreement with TRACON Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or TRACON, under which we granted TRACON an exclusive, worldwide license to develop and commercialize MT293. Under the agreement, TRACON also has an option to expand the license to include one specific additional antibody, and upon the exercise of the option, the financial and other terms applicable to MT293 would become applicable to such other antibody. Under the terms of the agreement, TRACON will be responsible for the development and commercialization of MT293 on a worldwide basis, as well as the costs and expenses associated with such activities. We transferred to TRACON certain

materials, including the stock of MT293 clinical trial materials, stored at our contract manufacturer. TRACON paid us an upfront license fee of approximately \$1.5 million and an additional \$2.0 million for the delivery of the materials.

If MT293 is successfully developed and commercialized in three indications in three major markets, we would be entitled to receive total milestone payments, exclusive of royalties on net sales, of more than \$100 million. In addition, TRACON is obligated to pay a mid-single digit royalty on worldwide net sales of MT293. TRACON also has an obligation to pay us a portion of sublicensing revenues, which portion decreases based on the time point in the development of MT293 when TRACON enters into the sublicense agreement. TRACON may terminate the agreement at any time upon a specified prior notice period, and either party may terminate the agreement for material breach by the other party. In the event of termination, all product rights would revert back to us under the agreement.

We recognized revenues of approximately \$0.2 million, \$0.3 million and \$2.2 million associated with this agreement in the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

F-30

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**MICROMET, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Note 17. Research and Development Agreements (continued)**

**Lonza**

In November 2009, we entered into an agreement for the process development and manufacture of blinatumomab with Lonza AG, or Lonza, a custom manufacturer of antibodies and other biologics. Under the terms of the agreement, Lonza will establish the current manufacturing process for blinatumomab and develop the process to a scale sufficient for the manufacture of blinatumomab for commercial sale. In addition, Lonza will manufacture blinatumomab for our clinical trials. We have the option to engage Lonza for the manufacture of blinatumomab for commercial sale based on financial terms established in the agreement. The manufacturing process to be developed by Lonza can be transferred, under financial terms agreed in the agreement, to another contract manufacturer in order to either establish a second source for supply or in the event that we desire to transfer manufacturing to a third party. The work plan anticipates payments by us in the amount of approximately £4 million for the activities to be performed by Lonza during the first twelve months of the agreement. We do not expect Lonza to manufacture supplies of blinatumomab that can be used in clinical trials until the end of 2010 at the earliest. Until then we will utilize supplies of blinatumomab produced by MedImmune prior to the termination of our agreement with them. We believe that the existing supply of blinatumomab will be sufficient to supply our ongoing and planned clinical trials of blinatumomab until Lonza-supplied blinatumomab becomes available.

**Other Licensing and Research and Development Agreements**

We also have licensing and research and development agreements with various universities, research organizations and other third parties under which we have received licenses to certain intellectual property, scientific know-how and technology. In consideration for the licenses received, we are required to pay license and research support fees, milestone payments upon the achievement of certain success-based objectives or royalties on future sales of commercialized products, if any. We may also be required to pay minimum annual royalties and the costs associated with the prosecution and maintenance of the patents covering the licensed technology.

**Note 18. Segment Disclosures**

We operate in only one segment, which primarily focuses on the discovery and development of antibody-based drug candidates using proprietary technologies.

**Revenues:**

The geographic composition of revenues for each of the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was as follows (in thousands):

	2009	2008	2007
United States	\$ 2,703	\$ 8,042	\$ 8,678
Germany	13,992	15,529	4,936
Switzerland	2,861	3,212	4,282
All others	1,485	503	488
	\$ 21,041	\$ 27,286	\$ 18,384

### **Long-Lived Assets:**

All long-lived assets are located in Germany, except for \$105,000, \$146,000 and \$133,000 located in the U.S. as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

## **Note 19. Legal Proceedings**

In February 2010, we entered into a Settlement, Mutual Release and Termination Agreement, or Settlement Agreement, with Curis, Inc. to resolve a claim filed by Curis with the American Arbitration Association,

F-31

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS**MICROMET, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Note 19. Legal Proceedings (continued)**

relating to a June 2001 Agreement for the Purchase and Sale of Single-Chain Polypeptide Business, or SCA Agreement, between Curis and our wholly owned subsidiary Micromet AG under which Micromet AG acquired from Curis certain intellectual property assets relating to single chain antibodies, including patents and license agreements. Under the SCA Agreement, Micromet AG made an upfront payment in cash and issued equity and a debt instrument to Curis. In addition, under the terms of the SCA Agreement, Micromet AG had agreed to pay royalties on net sales of products covered by the assigned patents and on revenues received from licensing the assigned patents. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, we have made a final payment of \$4.0 million to Curis in order to settle the dispute and discharge and terminate all future payment obligations that could have arisen under the SCA Agreement.

**Note 20. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)**

The following quarterly financial data, in the opinion of management, reflects all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of results for the periods presented (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Year Ended December 31, 2009			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Total revenues	\$7,463	\$4,930	\$4,021	\$4,627
Total operating expenses <sup>(1)</sup>	12,376	12,579	17,132	28,346
Loss from operations	(4,913 )	(7,649 )	(13,111 )	(23,719 )
Net loss	(332 )	(13,945 )	(19,892 )	(23,513 )
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	(0.01 )	(0.27 )	(0.32 )	(0.34 )

	Year Ended December 31, 2008			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Total revenues	\$5,924	\$8,452	\$7,038	\$5,872
Total operating expenses <sup>(2)</sup>	13,254	14,375	13,372	12,351
Loss from operations	(7,330 )	(5,923 )	(6,334 )	(6,479 )
Net loss	(5,866 )	(8,627 )	(12,891 )	(5,851 )
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	(0.14 )	(0.21 )	(0.31 )	(0.12 )

(1) As described in Note 3, we made reclassification adjustments for patent-related legal expenses which were incorrectly classified as research and development expenses instead of general and administrative expenses. There was no change to total operating expense, loss from operations or net loss. The amounts (in thousands) reclassified

in each quarter of 2009 were \$212, \$147, \$453 and \$567, respectively.

(2) As described in Note 3, we made reclassification adjustments for patent-related legal expenses which were incorrectly classified as research and development expenses instead of general and administrative expenses. There was no change to total operating expense, loss from operations or net loss. The amounts (in thousands) reclassified in each quarter of 2008 were \$281, \$501, \$373 and \$188, respectively.

F-32

---