

LANDMARK BANCORP INC  
Form 10-Q  
August 10, 2011

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

OR

.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 0-33203

LANDMARK BANCORP, INC.  
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or  
organization)

43-1930755  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

701 Poyntz Avenue, Manhattan, Kansas 66502  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(785) 565-2000  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No ..

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No ..

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one):

Large accelerated filer .. Accelerated filer .. Non-accelerated filer .. Smaller reporting company x  
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  
" No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the Issuer's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date: as of August 9, 2011, the Issuer had outstanding 2,648,050 shares of its common stock, \$.01 par value per share.

---

LANDMARK BANCORP, INC.  
Form 10-Q Quarterly Report

Table of Contents

	Page Number
<b>PART I</b>	
Item 1.	2 - 22
Item 2.	23 - 33
Item 3.	33 - 34
Item 4.	35
<b>PART II</b>	
Item 1.	36
Item 1A.	36
Item 2.	36
Item 3.	36
Item 4.	36
Item 5.	36
Item 6.	36
Form 10-Q Signature Page	37

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2010 (Audited)
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 10,642	\$ 9,735
<b>Investment securities:</b>		
Available-for-sale, at fair value	185,754	167,689
Other securities	8,214	8,183
Loans, net	307,544	306,668
Loans held for sale	8,266	12,576
Premises and equipment, net	14,854	15,225
Real estate owned	2,747	3,194
Bank owned life insurance	15,866	13,080
Goodwill	12,894	12,894
Other intangible assets, net	1,982	2,233
Accrued interest and other assets	8,682	10,029
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 577,445</b>	<b>\$ 561,506</b>
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>		
<b>Liabilities:</b>		
<b>Deposits:</b>		
Non-interest-bearing demand	\$ 57,450	\$ 52,683
Money market and NOW	176,900	167,815
Savings	36,357	32,369
Time, \$100,000 and greater	55,882	49,390
Time, other	121,848	129,057
<b>Total deposits</b>	<b>448,437</b>	<b>431,314</b>
Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings	39,581	44,300
Other borrowings	25,217	26,001
Accrued interest, taxes, and other liabilities	7,918	6,074
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>521,153</b>	<b>507,689</b>
<b>Commitments and contingencies</b>		
<b>Stockholders' equity:</b>		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par, 200,000 shares authorized; none issued	-	-
Common stock, \$0.01 par, 7,500,000 shares authorized; 2,648,050 and 2,636,891 shares issued at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	26	26
Additional paid-in capital	27,174	27,102
Retained earnings	26,462	25,767
Accumulated other comprehensive income	2,630	922
<b>Total stockholders' equity</b>	<b>56,292</b>	<b>53,817</b>

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$577,445	\$ 561,506
--	-----------	------------

2

---

LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(Unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>Interest income:</b>				
<b>Loans:</b>				
Taxable	\$4,304	\$4,842	\$8,575	\$9,634
Tax-exempt	86	68	172	146
<b>Investment securities:</b>				
Taxable	719	687	1,323	1,481
Tax-exempt	597	621	1,195	1,248
Other	1	1	3	2
<b>Total interest income</b>	<b>5,707</b>	<b>6,219</b>	<b>11,268</b>	<b>12,511</b>
<b>Interest expense:</b>				
Deposits	703	968	1,463	2,007
Borrowings	469	679	956	1,364
<b>Total interest expense</b>	<b>1,172</b>	<b>1,647</b>	<b>2,419</b>	<b>3,371</b>
<b>Net interest income</b>	<b>4,535</b>	<b>4,572</b>	<b>8,849</b>	<b>9,140</b>
<b>Provision for loan losses</b>	<b>700</b>	<b>4,000</b>	<b>1,100</b>	<b>4,700</b>
<b>Net interest income after provision for loan losses</b>	<b>3,835</b>	<b>572</b>	<b>7,749</b>	<b>4,440</b>
<b>Non-interest income:</b>				
Fees and service charges	1,217	1,135	2,354	2,140
Gains on sales of loans, net	463	893	1,082	1,404
Bank owned life insurance	150	124	294	248
Other	278	124	415	249
<b>Total non-interest income</b>	<b>2,108</b>	<b>2,276</b>	<b>4,145</b>	<b>4,041</b>
<b>Investment securities (losses) gains:</b>				
Net impairment losses		(140 )	-	(140 )
Gains on sales of investment securities	-	-	-	563
<b>Investment securities (losses) gains, net</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(140 )</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>423</b>
<b>Non-interest expense:</b>				
Compensation and benefits	2,297	2,315	4,671	4,639
Occupancy and equipment	720	673	1,428	1,392
Professional fees	746	186	1,031	320
Data processing	179	224	377	432
Amortization of intangibles	182	182	361	361
Federal deposit insurance premiums	117	183	292	362
Advertising	138	119	297	237
Foreclosure and real estate owned expense	39	29	64	211
Other	809	861	1,537	1,626
<b>Total non-interest expense</b>	<b>5,227</b>	<b>4,772</b>	<b>10,058</b>	<b>9,580</b>
<b>Earnings (loss) before income taxes</b>	<b>716</b>	<b>(2,064 )</b>	<b>1,836</b>	<b>(676 )</b>
<b>Income tax (benefit) expense</b>	<b>(6 )</b>	<b>(1,017 )</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>(772 )</b>
<b>Net earnings (loss)</b>	<b>\$722</b>	<b>\$(1,047 )</b>	<b>\$1,700</b>	<b>\$96</b>
<b>Earnings (loss) per share:</b>				
Basic	\$0.27	\$(0.40 )	\$0.64	\$0.04

Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Diluted	\$0.27	\$(0.40	)	\$0.64	\$0.04
Dividends per share	\$0.19	\$0.18		\$0.38	\$0.36

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

3

---

LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)  
(Unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 722	\$ (1,047 )	\$ 1,700	\$ 96
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities for which a portion of an other-than-temporary impairment has been recorded in earnings (loss)	193	(47 )	242	10
Net unrealized holding gains on all other available-for-sale securities	2,150	1,084	2,468	1,090
Less reclassification adjustment for losses (gains) included in earnings (loss)	-	140	-	(423 )
Net unrealized gains	2,343	1,177	2,710	677
Income tax expense	868	437	1,002	249
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 2,197	\$ (307 )	\$ 3,408	\$ 524

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.



LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(Unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands)	Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net earnings	\$ 1,700	\$ 96
<b>Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:</b>		
Provision for loan losses	1,100	4,700
Provision for valuation allowance on real estate owned	27	-
Amortization of intangibles	361	361
Depreciation	442	487
Stock-based compensation	39	64
Deferred income taxes	(200 )	(350 )
Net gains on investment securities	-	(423 )
Net gains on sales of premises and equipment and real estate owned	(168 )	(27 )
Net gains on sales of loans	(1,082 )	(1,404 )
Proceeds from sales of loans	54,390	63,498
Origination of loans held for sale	(48,998 )	(66,935 )
<b>Changes in assets and liabilities:</b>		
Accrued interest and other assets	562	(99 )
Accrued expenses, taxes, and other liabilities	1,791	(3,643 )
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	9,964	(3,675 )
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Net (increase) decrease in loans	(3,117 )	395
Maturities and prepayments of investment securities	26,692	18,169
Purchases of investment securities	(42,495 )	(23,372 )
Purchase of bank owned life insurance	(2,500 )	-
Proceeds from sales of investment securities	-	10,097
Proceeds from sales of real estate owned	1,786	645
Purchases of premises and equipment, net	(71 )	(63 )
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(19,705 )	5,871
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Net increase (decrease) in deposits	17,123	(5,845 )
Federal Home Loan Bank advance repayments	(19 )	(5,018 )
Change in Federal Home Loan Bank line of credit, net	(4,700 )	6,400
Proceeds from other borrowings	660	423
Repayments on other borrowings	(1,444 )	(103 )
Proceeds from issuance of common stock under stock option plans	28	143
Excess tax benefit related to stock option plans	5	31
Payment of dividends	(1,005 )	(951 )
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	10,648	(4,920 )
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	907	(2,724 )
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	9,735	12,379
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 10,642	\$ 9,655

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Cash (refunds) payments for income taxes	\$ (445	) \$ 950
Cash paid for interest	2,512	3,512
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities:		
Transfer of loans to real estate owned	1,198	2,860

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY  
(Unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)	Common stock	Additional paid-in capital	Retained earnings	Accumulated other comprehensive income	Total
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$26	\$27,102	\$25,767	\$ 922	\$53,817
Net earnings	-	-	1,700	-	1,700
Change in fair value of investment securities available-for-sale, net of tax	-	-	-	1,708	1,708
Dividends paid (\$0.38 per share)	-	-	(1,005 )	-	(1,005 )
Stock-based compensation	-	39	-	-	39
Exercise of stock options, 2,559 shares, including excess tax benefit of \$5	-	33	-	-	33
Balance at June 30, 2011	\$26	\$27,174	\$26,462	\$ 2,630	\$56,292
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$25	\$24,844	\$27,523	\$ 1,503	\$53,895
Net earnings	-	-	96	-	96
Change in fair value of investment securities available-for-sale, net of tax	-	-	-	428	428
Dividends paid (\$0.36 per share)	-	-	(951 )	-	(951 )
Stock-based compensation	-	64	-	-	64
Exercise of stock options, 14,486 shares, including excess tax benefit of \$31	-	174	-	-	174
Balance at June 30, 2010	\$25	\$25,082	\$26,668	\$ 1,931	\$53,706

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Interim Financial Statements

The consolidated financial statements of Landmark Bancorp, Inc. (the "Company") and subsidiary have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q. To the extent that information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") for complete financial statements are contained in or consistent with the consolidated audited financial statements incorporated by reference in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, such information and footnotes have not been duplicated herein. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, considered necessary for a fair presentation of financial statements have been reflected herein. The results of the interim period ended June 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the year ending December 31, 2011. The Company evaluates subsequent events and transactions that occur after the balance sheet date up to the date that financial statements are filed for potential recognition or disclosure.

2. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The Company tests goodwill for impairment annually or more frequently if circumstances warrant. The Company's annual impairment test as of December 31, 2010 concluded that its goodwill was not impaired, however the Company can make no assurances that future impairment tests will not result in goodwill impairments. The Company concluded there were no triggering events during the first six months of 2011 that required an interim goodwill impairment test.

On May 8, 2009, the Company's subsidiary, Landmark National Bank, assumed approximately \$6.4 million in deposits in connection with a branch acquisition. As part of the transaction, Landmark National Bank agreed to pay a deposit premium of 1.75 percent on the core deposit balance as of 270 days after the close of the transaction. The core deposit premium, based on the acquired core deposit balances, was \$86,000. The final core deposit premium, measured on February 2, 2010, was \$49,000. The following is an analysis of changes in the Company's core deposit intangible assets:

(Dollars in thousands)	Three months ended June 30,			
	2011		2010	
	Fair value at acquisition	Accumulated amortization	Fair value at acquisition	Accumulated amortization
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 5,445	\$ (4,380 )	\$ 5,445	\$ (3,896 )
Adjustments to prior estimates	-	-	-	-
Amortization	-	(105 )	-	(129 )
Balance at end of period	\$ 5,445	\$ (4,485 )	\$ 5,445	\$ (4,025 )

(Dollars in thousands)	Six months ended June 30,			
	2011		2010	
	Fair value at acquisition	Accumulated amortization	Fair value at acquisition	Accumulated amortization
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 5,445	\$ (4,272 )	\$ 5,482	\$ (3,767 )
Adjustments to prior estimates	-	-	(37 )	-
Amortization	-	(213 )	-	(258 )
Balance at end of period	\$ 5,445	\$ (4,485 )	\$ 5,445	\$ (4,025 )



Mortgage servicing rights are related to loans serviced by the Company for unrelated third parties. The following is an analysis of changes in the mortgage servicing rights:

(Dollars in thousands)	Three months ended June 30,			
	2011		2010	
	Cost	Accumulated amortization	Cost	Accumulated amortization
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 1,930	\$ (872 )	\$ 1,496	\$ (717 )
Additions	41	-	90	-
Prepayments	(28 )	28	(14 )	14
Amortization	-	(77 )	-	(53 )
Balance at end of period	\$ 1,943	\$ (921 )	\$ 1,572	\$ (756 )

(Dollars in thousands)	Six months ended June 30,			
	2011		2010	
	Cost	Accumulated amortization	Cost	Accumulated amortization
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 1,880	\$ (820 )	\$ 1,447	\$ (681 )
Additions	110	-	153	-
Prepayments	(47 )	47	(28 )	28
Amortization	-	(148 )	-	(103 )
Balance at end of period	\$ 1,943	\$ (921 )	\$ 1,572	\$ (756 )

Aggregate core deposit and mortgage servicing rights amortization expense was \$182,000 for both the second quarter of 2011 and 2010. Aggregate core deposit and mortgage servicing rights amortization expense was \$361,000 for both the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. The following sets forth estimated amortization expense for all intangible assets for the remainder of 2011 and in successive years ending December 31:

(Dollars in thousands)	Amortization expense
Remainder of 2011	\$ 346
2012	609
2013	525
2014	428
2015	68
Thereafter	6
Total	\$ 1,982

## 3. Investments

A summary of investment securities available-for-sale is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Amortized cost	As of June 30, 2011		Estimated fair value
		Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	
U. S. federal agency obligations	\$ 18,291	\$ 92	\$ (1 )	\$ 18,382
Municipal obligations, tax exempt	63,725	2,869	(28 )	66,566
Municipal obligations, taxable	7,010	42	(27 )	7,025
Mortgage-backed securities	84,001	1,722	(18 )	85,705
Common stocks	693	194	(31 )	856
Pooled trust preferred securities	1,117	-	(647 )	470
Certificates of deposit	6,750	-	-	6,750
Total	\$ 181,587	\$ 4,919	\$ (752 )	\$ 185,754

(Dollars in thousands)	Amortized cost	As of December 31, 2010		Estimated fair value
		Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	
U. S. federal agency obligations	\$ 22,060	\$ 147	\$ (20 )	\$ 22,187
Municipal obligations, tax exempt	63,725	1,907	(345 )	65,287
Municipal obligations, taxable	4,232	12	(56 )	4,188
Mortgage-backed securities	60,238	847	(281 )	60,804
Common stocks	693	190	(55 )	828
Pooled trust preferred securities	1,125	-	(889 )	236
Certificates of deposit	14,159	-	-	14,159
Total	\$ 166,232	\$ 3,103	\$ (1,646 )	\$ 167,689

Certain of the Company's investment securities had unrealized losses, or were temporarily impaired, as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. This temporary impairment represents the estimated amount of loss that would be realized if the securities were sold on the valuation date. Securities which were temporarily impaired are shown below, along with the length of the impairment period.

(Dollars in thousands)	No. of securities	Less than 12 months		As of June 30, 2011 12 months or longer		Total	
		Fair value	Unrealized losses	Fair value	Unrealized losses	Fair value	Unrealized losses
U. S. federal agency obligations	1	\$ 1,065	\$ (1 )	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,065	\$ (1 )
Municipal obligations, tax exempt	5	2,274	(28 )	-	-	2,274	(28 )
Municipal obligations, taxable	8	2,690	(27 )	-	-	2,690	(27 )
Mortgage-backed securities	8	3,007	(18 )	-	-	3,007	(18 )
Common stocks	4	439	(21 )	29	(10 )	468	(31 )
Pooled trust preferred securities	2	-	-	470	(647 )	470	(647 )
<b>Total</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>\$ 9,475</b>	<b>\$ (95 )</b>	<b>\$ 499</b>	<b>\$ (657 )</b>	<b>\$ 9,974</b>	<b>\$ (752 )</b>

(Dollars in thousands)	No. of securities	Less than 12 months		As of December 31, 2010 12 months or longer		Total	
		Fair value	Unrealized losses	Fair value	Unrealized losses	Fair value	Unrealized losses
U. S. federal agency obligations	4	\$ 3,104	\$ (20 )	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,104	\$ (20 )
Municipal obligations, tax exempt	28	8,645	(278 )	439	(67 )	9,084	(345 )
Municipal obligations, taxable	10	2,922	(56 )	-	-	2,922	(56 )
Mortgage-backed securities	11	15,331	(281 )	-	-	15,331	(281 )
Common stocks	4	445	(55 )	-	-	445	(55 )
Pooled trust preferred securities	2	-	-	236	(889 )	236	(889 )
<b>Total</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>\$ 30,447</b>	<b>\$ (690 )</b>	<b>\$ 675</b>	<b>\$ (956 )</b>	<b>\$ 31,122</b>	<b>\$ (1,646 )</b>

The Company performs quarterly reviews of the investment portfolio to determine if investment securities have any declines in fair value which might be considered other-than-temporary. The initial review begins with all securities in an unrealized loss position. The Company's assessment of other-than-temporary impairment is based on the specific facts and circumstances impacting each individual security. The Company reviews and considers all available information, including expected cash flows, the structure of the security, the credit quality of the underlying assets and the current and anticipated market conditions. Any credit-related impairment on debt securities is realized through a charge to operations. If an equity security is determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired, the entire impairment is realized through a charge to operations.



The receipt of principal and interest on U.S. federal agency obligations is guaranteed by the respective government-sponsored agency guarantor, such that the Company believes that its U.S. federal agency obligations do not expose the Company to credit-related losses. Based on these factors, along with the Company's intent to not sell the securities and that it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell the securities before recovery of their cost basis, the Company believes that the U.S. federal agency obligations identified in the tables above were temporarily impaired as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The Company's U.S. federal agency portfolio consists of securities issued by the government-sponsored agencies of Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("FHLMC"), Federal National Mortgage Association ("FNMA") and Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB").

As of June 30, 2011, the Company does not intend to sell and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell its municipal obligations in an unrealized loss position until the recovery of its cost. Due to the issuers' continued satisfaction of the securities' obligations in accordance with their contractual terms and the expectation that they will continue to do so, the evaluation of the fundamentals of the issuers' financial condition and other objective evidence, the Company believes that the municipal obligations identified in the tables above were temporarily impaired as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

The receipt of principal, at par, and interest on mortgage-backed securities is guaranteed by the respective government-sponsored agency guarantor, such that the Company believes that its mortgage-backed securities do not expose the Company to credit-related losses. Based on these factors, along with the Company's intent to not sell the securities and the Company's belief that it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell the securities before recovery of their cost basis, the Company believes that the mortgage-backed securities identified in the tables above were temporarily impaired as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The Company's mortgage-backed securities portfolio consists of securities underwritten to the standards of and guaranteed by the government-sponsored agencies of FHLMC, FNMA and Government National Mortgage Association ("GNMA").

Based on the analysis of its common stock investments in unrealized loss positions identified in the tables above, the Company determined that the securities were temporarily impaired as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

As of June 30, 2011, the Company owned three pooled trust preferred securities with an original cost basis of \$2.5 million, which represent investments in pools of collateralized debt obligations issued by financial institutions and insurance companies. The market for these securities is considered to be inactive. Two of the Company's three investments in pooled trust preferred securities, Preferred Term Security ("PreTSL") VIII and PreTSL IX, have a remaining aggregate cost basis of \$1.1 million and non-credit related, unrealized losses of \$647,000. The Company uses discounted cash flow models on these two securities to assess if the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost, which would result in an other-than-temporary impairment associated with the credit of the underlying collateral. The assumptions used in preparing the discounted cash flow models include the following: estimated discount rates, estimated deferral and default rates on collateral, assumed recoveries, and estimated cash flows including all information available through the date of issuance of these financial statements. The discounted cash flow analysis includes a review of all issuers within the collateral pool and incorporates higher deferral and default rates, as compared to historical rates, in the cash flow projections through maturity. The Company also reviews a stress test of these securities to determine the additional estimated deferrals or defaults in the collateral pool in excess of what the Company believes is likely, before the payments on the individual securities are negatively impacted.

As of June 30, 2011, the analysis of the Company's PreTSL VIII and PreTSL IX investments indicated that the unrealized losses are not credit-related. The Company performs a discounted cash flow analysis, using the factors noted above to determine the amount of the other-than-temporary impairment that is applicable to either credit losses or other factors. During 2010, the Company's analysis indicated that its investment in a third pooled trust preferred security, PreTSL XVII, had no value and a credit-related, other-than-temporary impairment charge was recorded for the remaining cost basis of that security. The Company has recorded credit losses on all three PreTSL securities totaling \$1.3 million through charges to operations during 2010 and 2009.

The following tables provide additional information related to the Company's portfolio of investments in pooled trust preferred securities as of June 30, 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)		Cumulative						
Investment	Class	Moody's rating	Original par	Principal payments	credit losses	Cost basis	Unrealized loss	Fair value
PreTSL VIII	B	C	\$ 1,000	\$ -	\$ (619 )	\$ 381	\$ (252 )	\$ 129
PreTSL IX	B	Ca	1,000	(29 )	(235 )	736	(395 )	341
PreTSL XVII	C	Ca	500	(11 )	(489 )	-	-	-
Total			\$ 2,500	\$ (40 )	\$ (1,343 )	\$ 1,117	\$ (647 )	\$ 470

It is reasonably possible that the fair values of the Company's investment securities could decline in the future if the overall economy and/or the financial condition of some of the issuers of these securities deteriorate and/or if the

liquidity in markets for these securities declines. As a result, there is a risk that additional other-than-temporary impairments may occur in the future and any such amounts could be material to the Company's consolidated financial statements. The fair value of the Company's investment securities may also decline from an increase in market interest rates, as the market prices of these investments move inversely to their market yields.

Maturities of investment securities at June 30, 2011 are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Amortized cost	Estimated fair value
Due in less than one year	\$ 25,980	\$ 26,156
Due after one year but within five years	108,874	111,465
Due after five years but within ten years	31,048	32,481
Due after ten years	14,992	14,796
Common stocks	693	856
Total	\$ 181,587	\$ 185,754

The table above includes scheduled principal payments and estimated prepayments for mortgage-backed securities, where actual maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers have the right to prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

Gross realized gains and losses on sales of available-for-sale securities are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Realized gains	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 563
Realized losses	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 563

Other investment securities primarily consist of restricted investments in FHLB and Federal Reserve Bank (“FRB”) stock. The carrying value of the FHLB stock was \$6.4 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The carrying value of the FRB stock was \$1.8 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. These securities are not readily marketable and are required for regulatory purposes and borrowing availability. Since there is no available market value, these securities are carried at cost. Redemption of these investments at par value is at the option of the FHLB or FRB. Also included in other investment securities are \$60,000 of other miscellaneous investments in the common stock of various correspondent banks which are held for borrowing purposes. The Company assessed the ultimate recoverability of these investments and believes that no impairment has occurred.

#### 4. Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses

Loans consisted of the following as of:

(Dollars in thousands)	June 30 2011	December 31, 2010
One-to-four family residential real estate	\$ 79,456	\$ 79,631
Construction and land	21,969	23,652
Commercial real estate	94,759	92,124
Commercial loans	55,614	57,286
Agriculture loans	37,907	38,836
Municipal loans	7,515	5,393
Consumer loans	13,975	14,385
Total gross loans	311,195	311,307
Net deferred loan costs and loans in process	354	328

Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Allowance for loan losses	(4,005 )	(4,967 )
Loans, net	\$ 307,544	\$ 306,668

The following tables provide information on the Company's allowance for loan losses by loan class and allowance methodology:

## Three and six months ended June 30, 2011

(Dollars in thousands)	One-to-four family residential real estate	Construction and land	Commercial real estate	Commercial loans	Agriculture loans	Municipal loans	Consumer loans	Total
<b>Allowance for loan losses:</b>								
Balance at March 31, 2011	\$ 361	\$ 1,442	\$ 1,311	\$ 710	\$ 359	\$ 115	\$ 84	\$ 4,382
Charge-offs	(1 )	(965 )	-	(132 )	-	-	(24 )	(1,122 )
Recoveries	2	-	-	4	-	-	39	45
Net charge-offs	1	(965 )	-	(128 )	-	-	15	(1,077 )
Provision for loan losses	(23 )	545	171	30	17	(13 )	(27 )	700
Balance at June 30, 2011	339	1,022	1,482	612	376	102	72	4,005
<b>Balance at December 31, 2010</b>								
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 395	\$ 1,186	\$ 1,576	\$ 1,173	\$ 399	\$ 99	\$ 139	\$ 4,967
Charge-offs	(104 )	(965 )	(434 )	(590 )	(1 )	-	(52 )	(2,146 )
Recoveries	24	-	-	8	1	-	51	84
Net charge-offs	(80 )	(965 )	(434 )	(582 )	-	-	(1 )	(2,062 )
Provision for loan losses	24	801	340	21	(23 )	3	(66 )	1,100
Balance at June 30, 2011	339	1,022	1,482	612	376	102	72	4,005
<b>Allowance for loan losses:</b>								
Individually evaluated for loss	13	70	-	-	-	66	-	149
Collectively evaluated for loss	326	952	1,482	612	376	36	72	3,856
Total	339	1,022	1,482	612	376	102	72	4,005
<b>Loan balances:</b>								
Individually evaluated for loss	739	249	21	-	71	775	20	1,875
Collectively evaluated for loss	78,717	21,720	94,738	55,614	37,836	6,740	13,955	309,320
Total	\$ 79,456	\$ 21,969	\$ 94,759	\$ 55,614	\$ 37,907	\$ 7,515	\$ 13,975	\$ 311,195

## Three and six months ended June 30, 2010

(Dollars in thousands)	One-to-four family	Construction and land	Commercial real estate	Commercial loans	Agriculture loans	Municipal loans	Consumer loans	Total
------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------	----------------------	--------------------	-------------------	-------

residential  
real estate

Allowance for loan losses:								
Balance at March 31, 2010	\$ 558	\$ 1,614	\$ 689	\$ 619	\$ 2,445	\$ 26	\$ 86	\$ 6,037
Charge-offs	(14 )	(3,313 )	-	-	(2,328 )	-	(22 )	(5,677 )
Recoveries	3	-	-	5	-	-	5	13
Net charge-offs	(11 )	(3,313 )	-	5	(2,328 )	-	(17 )	(5,664 )
Provision for loan losses	(148 )	2,866	304	422	454	69	33	4,000
Balance at June 30, 2010	399	1,167	993	1,046	571	95	102	4,373

Balance at December 31, 2009								
	\$ 625	\$ 1,326	\$ 705	\$ 623	\$ 2,103	\$ -	\$ 86	\$ 5,468
Charge-offs	(87 )	(3,332 )	-	(8 )	(2,328 )	-	(68 )	(5,823 )
Recoveries	4	-	-	7	-	-	17	28
Net charge-offs	(83 )	(3,332 )	-	(1 )	(2,328 )	-	(51 )	(5,795 )
Provision for loan losses	(143 )	3,173	288	424	796	95	67	4,700
Balance at June 30, 2010	399	1,167	993	1,046	571	95	102	4,373

Allowance for loan losses:								
Individually evaluated for loss	79	506	87	212	-	66	3	953
Collectively evaluated for loss	320	661	906	834	571	29	99	3,420
Total	399	1,167	993	1,046	571	95	102	4,373

Loan balances:								
Individually evaluated for loss	968	1,915	2,346	556	54	871	16	6,726
Collectively evaluated for loss	84,634	27,463	96,491	59,878	42,700	5,111	15,833	332,110
Total	\$ 85,602	\$ 29,378	\$ 98,837	\$ 60,434	\$ 42,754	\$ 5,982	\$ 15,849	\$ 338,836

The Company's key credit quality indicator is a loan's performance status, defined as accruing or non-accruing. Performing loans are considered to have a lower risk of loss. Non-accrual loans are those which the Company believes have a higher risk of loss. The accrual of interest on non-performing loans is discontinued at the time the loan is ninety days delinquent, unless the credit is well secured and in process of collection. Loans are placed on non-accrual or are charged off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful. There were no loans 90 days delinquent and accruing interest at June 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010. The following tables present information on the Company's past due and non-accrual loans by loan class:

(Dollars in thousands)	As of June 30, 2011					
	30-59 days delinquent and accruing	60-89 days delinquent and accruing	90 days or more delinquent and accruing	Total past due loans accruing	Non-accrual loans	Total
One-to-four family residential real estate	\$ 164	\$ 625	\$ -	\$ 789	\$ 214	\$ 1,003
Construction and land	356	-	-	356	249	605
Commercial real estate	77	-	-	77	21	98
Agriculture loans	-	-	-	-	71	71
Municipal loans	-	-	-	-	232	232
Consumer loans	156	6	-	162	20	182
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 753</b>	<b>\$ 631</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 1,384</b>	<b>\$ 807</b>	<b>\$ 2,191</b>
Percent of gross loans	0.24 %	0.20 %	0.00 %	0.44 %	0.26 %	0.70 %
(Dollars in thousands)	As of December 31, 2010					
	30-59 days delinquent and accruing	60-89 days delinquent and accruing	90 days or more delinquent and accruing	Total past due loans accruing	Non-accrual loans	Total
One-to-four family residential real estate	\$ 80	\$ 962	\$ -	\$ 1,042	\$ 523	\$ 1,565
Construction and land	-	56	-	56	1,229	1,285
Commercial real estate	116	-	-	116	1,390	1,506
Commercial loans	-	-	-	-	733	733
Agriculture loans	-	1	-	1	65	66
Municipal loans	-	-	-	-	759	759
Consumer loans	125	34	-	159	118	277
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 321</b>	<b>\$ 1,053</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 1,374</b>	<b>\$ 4,817</b>	<b>\$ 6,191</b>
Percent of gross loans	0.10 %	0.34 %	0.00 %	0.44 %	1.55 %	1.99 %



The Company's impaired loans decreased from \$5.3 million at December 31, 2010 to \$1.9 million at June 30, 2011. The difference in the Company's non-accrual loan balance and impaired loan balance at June 30, 2011 was related to a \$525,000 one-to-four family residential real estate loan and a \$543,000 municipal loan that were classified as troubled debt restructurings during 2010. Both loans were current and accruing interest at June 30, 2011, but still classified as impaired. The following tables present information on impaired loans:

(Dollars in thousands)

	As of June 30, 2011						Year-to-date interest income recognized
	Unpaid contractual principal	Impaired loan balance	Impaired loans without an allowance	Impaired loans with an allowance	Related allowance recorded	Year-to-date average loan balance	
One-to-four family residential real estate	\$ 1,030	\$ 739	\$ 726	\$ 13	\$ 13	\$ 762	\$ 17
Construction and land	390	249	126	123	70	256	-
Commercial real estate	21	21	21	-	-	22	-
Agriculture loans	71	71	71	-	-	76	-
Municipal loans	775	775	709	131	66	768	27
Consumer loans	20	20	20	-	-	26	-
<b>Total impaired loans</b>	<b>\$ 2,307</b>	<b>\$ 1,875</b>	<b>\$ 1,673</b>	<b>\$ 267</b>	<b>\$ 149</b>	<b>\$ 1,910</b>	<b>\$ 44</b>

(Dollars in thousands)

	As of December 31, 2010						Year-to-date average loan balance	Year-to-date interest income recognized
	Unpaid contractual principal	Impaired loan balance	Impaired loans without an allowance	Impaired loans with an allowance	Related allowance recorded			
One-to-four family residential real estate	\$ 1,352	\$ 1,054	\$ 879	\$ 175	\$ 99	\$ 1,366	\$ 9	
Construction and land	4,684	1,229	-	1,229	382	3,008	-	
Commercial real estate	1,390	1,390	-	1,390	397	1,400	-	
Commercial loans	733	733	-	733	503	733	-	
Agriculture loans	65	65	65	-	-	70	-	
Municipal loans	759	759	628	131	65	759	-	
Consumer loans	118	118	118	-	-	74	-	
<b>Total impaired loans</b>	<b>\$ 9,101</b>	<b>\$ 5,348</b>	<b>\$ 1,690</b>	<b>\$ 3,658</b>	<b>\$ 1,446</b>	<b>\$ 7,410</b>	<b>\$ 9</b>	

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had two loan relationships totaling \$1.4 million that were classified as troubled debt restructurings. One of the relationships was an \$853,000 real estate loan which was secured by real estate the value of which was deficient based on a recent appraisal. The relationship was restructured into two 1-4 family residential real estate loans to a borrower who was experiencing financial difficulty and to whom the Company granted concessions at renewal. The value of the real estate supports \$531,000 of the loan relationship. The \$531,000 loan was returned to accrual status during 2010 after a payment history was established, while the remainder of the relationship was charged-off. As of June 30, 2011 the outstanding balance of the loan was \$525,000. A second loan relationship totaling \$527,000 to a municipal sanitary and improvement district was restructured in 2010 to extend the maturity and lower the interest rate to allow the district more time to develop. As of June 30, 2011 the outstanding balance of the loan was \$543,000. Both of these loans were current and accruing interest at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, but still classified as impaired.

The Company provides servicing on loans for others with outstanding principal balances of \$168.3 million and \$168.8 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Gross service fee income related to such loans was \$107,000 and \$89,000 for the quarters ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and is included in fees and service charges in the consolidated statements of operations. Gross service fee income for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$214,000 and \$176,000, respectively.

## 5. Earnings (Loss) per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per share has been computed based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share includes the effect of all potential common shares outstanding during each period. The shares used in the calculation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share are shown below:

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 722	\$ (1,047 )	\$ 1,700	\$ 96
Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic (1)	2,646,160	2,629,478	2,642,484	2,624,159
Assumed exercise of stock options (1)	620	-	638	2,533
Weighted average common shares outstanding - diluted (1)	2,646,780	2,629,478	2,643,122	2,626,692
Net earnings (loss) per share (1):				
Basic	\$ 0.27	\$ (0.40 )	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.04
Diluted	\$ 0.27	\$ (0.40 )	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.04

(1) Share and per share values for the periods ended June 30, 2010 have been adjusted to give effect to the 5% stock dividend paid during December 2010.

The diluted earnings (loss) per share computation for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 exclude unexercised stock options of 85,583 and 87,785, respectively, because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive to earnings (loss) per share. The diluted earnings (loss) per share computation for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 exclude unexercised stock options of 78,969 and 106,953, respectively.

## 6. Stock Compensation Plan

On April 20, 2011, the Company's Compensation Committee awarded 8,600 shares of restricted common stock and options to acquire 59,131 shares of common stock. These awards vest ratably over four years. As a result, all awards available under the Company's 2001 Stock Incentive Plan have been made.

The fair value of options granted were estimated utilizing the following weighted average assumptions:

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Dividend rate	6.33 %	N/A
Volatility	23.58 %	N/A
Risk-free interest rate	2.15 %	N/A
Expected lives	5 years	N/A
Fair value per option at grant date	\$ 1.59	N/A

A summary of option activity during the first six months of 2011 is presented below:

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)	Weighted	Weighted	Aggregate
	average exercise	average remaining	

Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

	Shares	price per share	contractual term	intrinsic value
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	411,714	\$ 20.48	5.4 years	\$ 29
Granted	59,131	\$ 16.25	—	N/A
Exercised	(2,559 )	\$ 11.14	—	N/A
Outstanding at June 30, 2011	468,286	\$ 20.00	5.5 years	\$ 10
Exercisable at June 30, 2011	369,533	\$ 20.61	4.7 years	\$ 10
Vested and expected to vest at June 30, 2011	446,237	\$ 20.00	5.5 years	\$ 10

A summary of nonvested option activity during the first six months of 2011 is presented below:

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)	Shares	Weighted average exercise price per share
Nonvested options at December 31, 2010	77,679	\$ 19.89
Granted	59,131	\$ 16.25
Vested	(38,057)	\$ 19.95
Nonvested options at June 30, 2011	98,753	\$ 17.69

Additional information about stock options exercised is presented below:

(Dollars in thousands)	Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Intrinsic value of options exercised (on exercise date)	\$ 13	\$ 85
Cash received from options exercised	28	143
Excess tax benefit realized from options exercised	\$ 5	\$ 31

The options that vested during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 had no fair value at the vest date. As of June 30, 2011, there was \$150,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to outstanding unvested options that will be recognized over the following periods:

(Dollars in thousands)	
Year	Amount
Remainder of 2011	\$ 45
2012	49
2013	25
2014	23
2015	8
Total	\$ 150

The value of the 8,600 shares of restricted common stock awarded was based on a stock price of \$16.25. These awards vest ratably over four years. As of June 30, 2011, there was \$134,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to outstanding unvested restricted shares that will be recognized over the following periods:

(Dollars in thousands)	
Year	Amount
Remainder of 2011	\$ 17
2012	35
2013	35
2014	35
2015	12
Total	\$ 134

## 7. Fair Value of Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measurements

The Company follows the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 820 “Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures,” which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands the disclosures about fair value measurements. ASC Topic 820-10-55 requires the use of a hierarchy of fair value techniques based upon whether the inputs to those fair values reflect assumptions other market participants would use based upon market data obtained from independent sources or reflect the Company’s own assumptions of market participant valuation. The Company applies FASB ASC 820 to certain nonfinancial assets and liabilities, which include foreclosed real estate, long-lived assets, goodwill, and core deposit premium, which are recorded at fair value only upon impairment. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

- Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.
- Level 2: Quoted prices for similar assets in active markets, quoted prices in markets that are not active or quoted prices that contain observable inputs such as yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds and other inputs derived from market data.
- Level 3: Quoted prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable.

Fair value estimates of the Company’s financial instruments as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, including methods and assumptions utilized, are set forth below:

(Dollars in thousands)

	June 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying amount	Estimated fair value	Carrying amount	Estimated fair value
<b>Financial assets:</b>				
<b>Investment securities:</b>				
Available-for-sale	\$ 185,754	\$ 185,754	\$ 167,689	\$ 167,689
Other securities	8,214	8,214	8,183	8,183
Loans, net	307,544	308,312	306,668	308,014
Loans held for sale	8,266	8,464	12,576	12,576
Mortgage servicing rights	1,022	2,248	1,060	2,787
Accrued interest receivable	2,628	2,628	2,649	2,649
<b>Financial liabilities:</b>				
Non-maturity deposits	\$ 270,707	\$ 270,707	\$ 252,867	\$ 252,867
Time deposits	177,730	179,244	178,447	180,084
FHLB borrowings	39,581	42,513	44,300	46,600
Other borrowings	25,217	22,809	26,001	22,590
Derivative financial instruments	43	43	68	68
Accrued interest payable	582	582	675	675

## Methods and Assumptions Utilized

The Company’s investment securities classified as available-for-sale include U.S. federal agency securities, municipal obligations, mortgage-backed securities, pooled trust preferred securities, certificates of deposits and common stocks. Quoted exchange prices are available for the Company’s common stock investments, which are classified as Level 1. U.S. federal agency securities and mortgage-backed obligations are priced utilizing industry-standard models

that consider various assumptions, including time value, yield curves, volatility factors, prepayment speeds, default rates, loss severity, current market and contractual prices for the underlying financial instruments, as well as other relevant economic measures. Substantially all of these assumptions are observable in the marketplace, can be derived from observable data, or are supported by observable levels at which transactions are executed in the marketplace and are classified as Level 2. Municipal securities are valued using a type of matrix, or grid, pricing in which securities are benchmarked against the treasury rate based on credit rating. These model and matrix measurements are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. The Company's investments in FDIC-insured, fixed-rate certificates of deposits are valued using a net present value model that discounts the future cash flows at the current market rates and are classified as Level 2.

The Company classifies the fair value of its pooled trust preferred securities as Level 3. The portfolio consists of three investments in pooled trust preferred securities issued by various financial companies, one of which had no value at June 30, 2011. These securities are valued based on a matrix pricing in which the securities are benchmarked against single issuer trust preferred securities based on credit rating. The pooled trust preferred market is inactive; therefore single issuer trading is used as the benchmark, with additional adjustments made for credit and liquidity risk.

The Company's other investment securities primarily include investments in FHLB and FRB stock, which are held for regulatory purposes. These investments generally have restrictions on the sale and/or liquidation of stock and the carrying value is approximately equal to fair value. Fair value measurements for these securities are classified as Level 3 based on the restrictions on sale and/or liquidation and related credit risk.

The estimated fair value of the Company's loan portfolio is based on the segregation of loans by collateral type, interest terms, and maturities. The fair value is estimated based on discounting scheduled and estimated cash flows through maturity using an appropriate risk-adjusted yield curve to approximate current interest rates for each category. No adjustment was made to the interest rates for changes in credit risk of performing loans where there were no known credit concerns. Management segregates loans in appropriate risk categories. Management believes that the risk factor embedded in the interest rates along with the allowance for loan losses applicable to the performing loan portfolio results in a fair valuation of such loans. The fair values of impaired loans are generally based on market prices for similar assets determined through independent appraisals or discounted values of independent appraisals and brokers' opinions of value. This method of estimating fair value does not incorporate the exit-price concept of fair value prescribed by ASC Topic 820.

Mortgage loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value, determined on an aggregate basis. The mortgage loan valuations are based on quoted secondary market prices for similar loans and are classified as Level 2.

The Company measures its mortgage servicing rights at the lower of amortized cost or fair value. Periodic impairment assessments are performed based on fair value estimates at the reporting date. The fair value of mortgage servicing rights is estimated based on a valuation model which calculates the present value of estimated future cash flows associated with servicing the underlying loans. The model incorporates assumptions that market participants use in estimating future net servicing income, including estimated prepayment speeds, market discount rates, cost to service, and other servicing income, including late fees. The fair value measurements are classified as Level 3.

The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable and payable is considered to approximate fair value.

The estimated fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as non-interest-bearing demand deposits, savings, money market accounts, and NOW accounts, is equal to the amount payable on demand. The fair value of interest-bearing time deposits is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows of such deposits. The discount rate is tied to the FHLB yield curve plus an appropriate servicing spread. Fair value measurements based on discounted cash flows are classified as Level 3. These fair values do not incorporate the value of core deposit intangibles which may be associated with the deposit base.

The fair value of advances from the FHLB and other borrowings is estimated using current yield curves for similar borrowings adjusted for the Company's current credit spread, if applicable, and classified as Level 2.

The Company's derivative financial instruments consist solely of interest rate lock commitments and corresponding forward sales contracts on mortgage loans held for sale and are not designated as hedging instruments. The fair values of these derivatives are based on quoted prices for similar loans in the secondary market. The market prices are adjusted by a factor, based on the Company's historical data and its judgment about future economic trends, which



considers the likelihood that a commitment will ultimately result in a closed loan. These instruments are classified as Level 3 based on the unobservable nature of these assumptions. The amounts are included in other assets or other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets and gains on sale of loans in the consolidated statements of operations.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments

The fair value of letters of credit and commitments to extend credit is based on the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements. The aggregate of these fees is not material. These instruments are also discussed in Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition.

## Limitations

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time based on relevant market information and information about the financial instruments. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Company's financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment, and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates. Fair value estimates are based on existing balance sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments.

Valuation methods for instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis

The following table represents the Company's financial instruments that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, allocated to the appropriate fair value hierarchy:

(Dollars in thousands)	As of June 30, 2011			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
<b>Assets:</b>				
Available-for-sale securities				
U. S. federal agency obligations	\$ 18,382	\$ -	\$ 18,382	\$ -
Municipal obligations, tax exempt	66,566	-	66,566	-
Municipal obligations, taxable	7,025	-	7,025	-
Mortgage-backed securities	85,705	-	85,705	-
Common stocks	856	856	-	-
Pooled trust preferred securities	470	-	-	470
Certificates of deposit	6,750	-	6,750	-
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Derivative financial instruments	\$ 43	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 43

(Dollars in thousands)	As of December 31, 2010			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
<b>Assets:</b>				
Available-for-sale securities				
U. S. federal agency obligations	\$ 22,187	\$ -	\$ 22,187	\$ -
Municipal obligations, tax exempt	65,287	-	65,287	-
Municipal obligations, taxable	4,188	-	4,188	-
Mortgage-backed securities	60,804	-	60,804	-
Common stocks	828	828	-	-
Pooled trust preferred securities	236	-	-	236
Certificates of deposit	14,159	-	14,159	-
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Derivative financial instruments	\$ 68	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 68



The following table reconciles the changes in the Company's Level 3 financial instruments during the first six months of 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)	Available-for sale-securities	Derivative financial instruments
Level 3 asset (liability) fair value at December 31, 2010	\$ 236	\$ (68 )
Payments applied to reduce carrying value	(8 )	-
<b>Total gains:</b>		
Included in earnings	-	25
<b>Included in other comprehensive income</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>-</b>
Level 3 asset (liability) fair value at June 30, 2011	\$ 470	\$ (43 )

Changes in the fair value of available-for-sale securities are included in other comprehensive income to the extent the changes are not considered other-than-temporary impairments. Other-than-temporary impairment tests are performed on a quarterly basis and any decline in the fair value of an individual security below its cost that is deemed to be other-than-temporary results in a write-down of that security's cost basis.

Valuation methods for instruments measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis

The Company does not value its loan portfolio at fair value, however adjustments are recorded on certain loans to reflect the impaired value on the underlying collateral. Collateral values are reviewed on a loan-by-loan basis through independent appraisals. Appraised values may be discounted based on management's historical knowledge, changes in market conditions and/or management's expertise and knowledge of the client and the client's business. Because many of these inputs are unobservable, the valuations are classified as Level 3. The carrying value of the Company's impaired loans was \$1.9 million at June 30, 2011 and \$5.3 million at December 31, 2010, with allocated allowances of \$149,000 and \$1.4 million, respectively.

The Company's measure of its goodwill is based on market-based valuation techniques, including reviewing the Company's market capitalization with appropriate control premiums and valuation multiples as compared to recent similar financial industry acquisition multiples to estimate the fair value of the Company's single reporting unit. The fair value measurements are classified as Level 3. Core deposit intangibles are recognized at the time core deposits are acquired, using valuation techniques which calculate the present value of the estimated net cost savings relative to the Company's alternative costs of funds over the expected remaining economic life of the deposits. Subsequent evaluations are made when facts or circumstances indicate potential impairment may have occurred. The models incorporate market discount rates, estimated average core deposit lives and alternative funding rates. The fair value measurements are classified as Level 3.

Real estate owned, which includes assets acquired through, or in lieu of, foreclosure, is initially recorded at the date of foreclosure at the fair value of the collateral less estimated selling costs. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are updated periodically and are based upon independent appraisals, third party price opinions or internal pricing models and are classified as Level 3.

The following table represents the Company's financial instruments that are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 allocated to the appropriate fair value hierarchy:

(Dollars in thousands)	As of June 30, 2011				Total losses
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
<b>Assets:</b>					
Impaired loans	\$ 1,726	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,726	\$ (10 )
Loans held for sale	8,464	-	8,464	-	-
Mortgage servicing rights	2,248	-	-	2,248	-
Real estate owned	\$ 2,747	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,747	\$ -

(Dollars in thousands)	As of December 31, 2010				Total losses
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
<b>Assets:</b>					
Impaired loans	\$ 3,902	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,092	\$ (1,146 )
Loans held for sale	12,576	-	12,576	-	(49 )
Mortgage servicing rights	2,787	-	-	2,787	-
Real estate owned	\$ 3,194	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,194	\$ (367 )

#### 8. Impact of Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-02, Receivables (Topic 310): A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring. ASU 2011-02 clarifies which loan modifications constitute troubled debt restructurings and is intended to assist creditors in determining whether a modification of the terms of a receivable meets the criteria to be considered a troubled debt restructuring, both for purposes of recording an impairment loss and for disclosure of troubled debt restructurings. In evaluating whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring, a creditor must separately conclude, under the guidance clarified by ASU 2011-02, that the restructuring constitutes a concession and the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The new guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after July 1, 2011, and applies retrospectively to restructurings occurring on or after June 15, 2011. Adoption of ASU 2011-02 is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). The amendments in ASU No. 2011-04 result in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS. Consequently, the amendments change the wording used to describe many of the requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The amendments in ASU No. 2011-04 are effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Adoption of ASU 2011-04 is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. ASU 2011-05 requires that all nonowner changes in stockholders' equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In the two-statement approach, the first statement should present total net income and its components followed consecutively by a second statement that should present total other comprehensive income, the components of other comprehensive income, and the total of comprehensive income. The new guidance is effective for interim and annual periods

beginning after December 15, 2011 with early adoption permitted. As of June 30, 2011, the Company has presented its financial statements in compliance ASU No. 2011-05.

## ITEM MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF 2. OPERATIONS

**Overview.** Landmark Bancorp, Inc. is a one-bank holding company incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware and is engaged in the banking business through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Landmark National Bank. Landmark Bancorp is listed on the Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol "LARK". Landmark National Bank is dedicated to providing quality financial and banking services to its local communities.

Landmark National Bank is principally engaged in the business of attracting deposits from the general public and using such deposits, together with FHLB borrowings and funds from operations, to originate commercial real estate and non-real estate loans, as well as one-to-four family residential mortgage loans. Landmark National Bank also originates small business, multi-family residential mortgage, home equity and consumer loans. Our strategy is focused on generating quality loan and deposit relationships and we are committed to providing total banking services to all of our customers. Although not our primary business function, we also invest in certain investment and mortgage-backed securities using deposits and other borrowings as funding sources.

Our results of operations depend generally on net interest income, which is the difference between interest income from interest-earning assets and interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities. While net interest income has remained relatively flat for the past three years, our results have been affected by certain non-interest related items, including heightened provisions for loan losses. Net interest income is affected by regulatory, economic and competitive factors that influence interest rates, loan demand and deposit flows. In addition, we are subject to interest rate risk to the degree that our interest-earning assets mature or reprice at different times, or at different speeds, than our interest-bearing liabilities. Our results of operations are also affected by non-interest income, such as service charges, loan fees and gains from the sale of newly originated loans and gains or losses on investments. Our principal operating expenses, aside from interest expense, consist of compensation and employee benefits, occupancy costs, professional fees, advertising, federal deposit insurance costs, data processing expenses and provision for loan losses.

We are significantly impacted by prevailing economic conditions, including federal monetary and fiscal policies and federal regulations of financial institutions. Deposit balances are influenced by numerous factors such as competing investments, the level of income and the personal rate of savings within our market areas. Factors influencing lending activities include economic conditions, the demand for housing and the interest rate pricing competition from other lending institutions.

Currently, our business consists of ownership of Landmark National Bank, with its main office in Manhattan, Kansas and twenty branch offices in eastern, central and southwestern Kansas.

**Regulatory Developments.** On July 21, 2010, President Obama signed into law the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Act"), which is perhaps the most significant financial reform since the Great Depression. While the provisions of the Act receiving the most public attention have generally been those more likely to affect larger institutions, the Act also contains many provisions which will affect smaller institutions such as the Company in substantial and unpredictable ways. Consequently, compliance with the Act's provisions may curtail the Company's revenue opportunities, increase its operating costs, require it to hold higher levels of regulatory capital and/or liquidity or otherwise adversely affect the Company's business or financial results in the future. The Company's management is actively reviewing the provisions of the Act and assessing its probable impact on the Company's business, financial condition, and results of operations. However, because many aspects of the Act are subject to future rulemaking, it is difficult to precisely anticipate its overall financial impact on the Company and Landmark National Bank at this time.

**Critical Accounting Policies.** Critical accounting policies are those which are both most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations, and require our management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. Our critical accounting policies relate to the allowance for loan losses, valuation of real estate owned, valuation of investment securities, accounting for income taxes and accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets, all of which involve significant judgment by our management. Information about our critical accounting policies is included under Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.



Summary of Results. During the second quarter of 2011, we recorded net earnings of \$722,000 as compared to a net loss of \$1.0 million in the same period of 2010. The increase in net earnings was primarily the result of a \$3.3 million decline in our provision for loan losses. Our provision for loan losses declined from \$4.0 million during the second quarter of 2010 to \$700,000 during the second quarter of 2011.

During the first six months of 2011, we recorded net earnings of \$1.7 million as compared to net earnings of \$96,000 in the same period of 2010. The increase in net earnings was primarily the result of a \$3.6 million decline in our provision for loan losses. Our provision for loan losses declined from \$4.7 million during the first six months of 2010 to \$1.1 million during the first six months of 2011.

The following table summarizes earnings and key performance measures for the periods presented.

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>Net earnings (loss):</b>				
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 722	\$ (1,047 )	\$ 1,700	\$ 96
Basic earnings (loss) per share (1)	\$ 0.27	\$ (0.40 )	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.04
Diluted earnings (loss) per share (1)	\$ 0.27	\$ (0.40 )	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.04
<b>Earnings ratios:</b>				
Return on average assets (2)	0.50 %	(0.72 )%	0.60 %	0.03 %
Return on average equity (2)	5.22 %	(7.63 )%	6.25 %	0.35 %
Equity to total assets	9.75 %	9.32 %	9.75 %	9.32 %
Net interest margin (2) (3)	3.81 %	3.79 %	3.81 %	3.80 %
Dividend payout ratio	70.37 %	NM	59.38 %	NM

(1) Per share values for the periods ending June 30, 2010 have been adjusted to give effect to the 5% stock dividend paid during December 2010.

(2) Ratios have been annualized and are not necessarily indicative of the results for the entire year.

(3) Net interest margin is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis, using a 34% federal tax rate.

Interest Income. Interest income for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, decreased \$512,000 to \$5.7 million, a decline of 8.2% as compared to the same period of 2010. Interest income on loans decreased \$520,000, or 10.6%, to \$4.4 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, due primarily to lower average outstanding loan balances and to a lesser extent lower tax equivalent yields earned on loans. Average loan balances for the second quarter of 2011 decreased to \$316.3 million from \$349.4 million for the second quarter of 2010 while the average tax equivalent yield decreased to 5.62% from 5.67% in the same periods, respectively. Interest income on investment securities increased \$8,000, or 0.6%, to \$1.3 million for the second quarter of 2011, as compared to the same period of 2010. The increase in interest income on investment securities was due to higher average balances of investment securities, which increased from \$170.0 million during the second quarter of 2010 to \$196.1 million during the second quarter of 2011. Partially offsetting the increase in average balances was a decline in the yield on our investment portfolio, as our tax equivalent yield on investment securities declined from 3.80% during the second quarter of 2010 to 3.29% during the second quarter of 2011. The yields on our investments securities declined as we purchased new investments securities, and reinvested the maturities and prepayments into investment securities, with lower average yields.

Interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2011, decreased \$1.2 million to \$11.3 million, a decline of 9.9% as compared to the same period of 2010. Interest income on loans decreased \$1.0 million, or 10.6%, to \$8.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, due primarily to lower average outstanding loan balances and to a lesser extent lower tax equivalent yields earned on loans. Average loan balances for the first six months of 2011 decreased to \$313.4 million from \$348.5 million for the same period of 2010 while the average tax equivalent yield decreased to

5.68% from 5.70% in the same periods, respectively. Interest income on investment securities decreased \$210,000, or 7.7%, to \$2.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to the same period of 2010. The decrease in interest income on investment securities was due to a decline in the yield on our investment portfolio, as our tax equivalent yield on investment securities declined from 3.90% during the first six months of 2010 to 3.28% during the first six months of 2011. Partially offsetting the lower yields were higher average balances of investment securities, which increased from \$172.5 million during the first six months of 2010 to \$191.1 million during the first six months of 2011.

**Interest Expense.** Interest expense during the quarter ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$475,000, or 28.8%, to \$1.2 million as compared to the same period of 2010. For the second quarter of 2011, interest expense on interest-bearing deposits decreased \$265,000, or 27.4%, to \$703,000 as a result of lower rates on deposit balances, consisting of lower rates for our maturing certificates of deposit and lower rates on money market and NOW accounts. Our total cost of deposits declined from 1.02% during the second quarter of 2010 to 0.74% during the same period of 2011. Our average deposit balances increased slightly from \$381.0 million for the second quarter of 2010 to \$381.4 million for the second quarter of 2011. For the second quarter of 2011, interest expense on borrowings decreased \$210,000, or 30.9%, to \$469,000 due to lower outstanding balances on our borrowings and also to lower average costs of borrowings. Our average outstanding borrowings declined from \$87.1 million in the second quarter of 2010 to \$75.8 million in the same period of 2011, while our cost of borrowings decreased from 3.13% to 2.48% in the respective periods.

Interest expense during the six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$952,000, or 28.2%, to \$2.4 million as compared to the same period of 2010. For the first six months of 2011, interest expense on interest-bearing deposits decreased \$544,000, or 27.1%, to \$1.5 million as a result of lower rates on deposit balances. Our total cost of deposits declined from 1.05% during the first six months of 2010 to 0.77% during the same period of 2011. Our average deposit balances decreased slightly from \$384.1 million for the first six months of 2010 to \$383.6 million for the same period of 2011. For the first six months of 2011, interest expense on borrowings decreased \$408,000, or 29.9%, to \$956,000 due to lower outstanding balances on our borrowings and also to lower average costs of borrowings. Our average outstanding borrowings declined from \$84.7 million in the first six months of 2010 to \$69.4 million in the same period of 2011, while our cost of borrowings decreased from 3.25% to 2.78% in the respective periods.

**Net Interest Income.** Net interest income declined \$37,000, or 0.8%, for the second quarter of 2011 to \$4.5 million compared to the same period of 2010. Our net interest margin, on a tax equivalent basis, increased to 3.81% during the second quarter of 2011 compared to 3.79% during the same period of 2010. During the first six months of 2011, net interest income decreased \$291,000, or 3.2%, to \$8.8 million compared to the same period of 2010. Our net interest margin, on a tax equivalent basis, increased slightly to 3.81% during the first six months of 2011 compared to 3.80% during the same period of 2010.

See the Average Assets/Liabilities and Rate/Volume tables at the end of Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition for additional details on asset yields, liability rates and net interest margin.

**Provision for Loan Losses.** We maintain, and our Board of Directors monitors, an allowance for losses on loans. The allowance is established based upon management's periodic evaluation of known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio, review of significant individual loans and collateral, review of delinquent loans, past loss experience, adverse situations that may affect the borrowers' ability to repay, current and expected market conditions, and other factors management deems important. Determining the appropriate level of reserves involves a high degree of management judgment and is based upon historical and projected losses in the loan portfolio and the collateral value of specifically identified problem loans. Additionally, allowance policies are subject to periodic review and revision in response to a number of factors, including current market conditions, actual loss experience and management's expectations.

Our provision for loan losses for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 was \$700,000, compared to a provision of \$4.0 million during the same period of 2010. During the six months ended June 30, 2011 our provision for loan losses totaled \$1.1 million compared to \$4.7 million for the same period of 2010. The provision for loan losses declined during 2011, as compared to 2010, due to improvements in our asset quality, as evidenced by our lower levels of non-performing loans and decreased loan charge-offs. During the second quarter of 2011 we had net loan charge-offs of \$1.1 million compared to \$5.7 million during the same period of 2010. During the first six months of 2011 we had net loan charge-offs of \$2.1 million compared to \$5.8 million during the same period of 2010. The net loan charge-offs in 2010

were primarily related to a previously identified and impaired construction loan totaling \$4.3 million, which experienced a significant decline in the appraised value of the collateral securing the loan. During the second quarter of 2010 we charged the loan down \$3.3 million due to the decline in value of the collateral. Although legal efforts to collect payment from the guarantor continue, we charged-off the remaining \$1.0 million balance on this loan during the second quarter of 2011 due to additional delays associated with the litigation. Also during the second quarter of 2010, we charged-off the remaining \$2.3 million balance on a commercial agriculture loan after exhausting our collection attempts. The remaining loan charge-offs during 2011 were principally associated with a previously identified and impaired commercial relationship consisting of \$2.0 million in real estate and operating loans, which was charged down to estimated fair value after we acquired ownership of the property securing the loans during the first quarter of 2011. The commercial real estate property was sold during the first quarter of 2011 without incurring any further losses. For further discussion of the allowance for loan losses, refer to the “Asset Quality and Distribution” section.

**Non-interest Income.** Total non-interest income was \$2.1 million for the second quarter of 2011, a decrease of \$168,000, or 7.4%, from the same period in 2010. The decrease in non-interest income was primarily attributable to a \$430,000 decline in gains on sales of loans as the volume of residential real estate loans that were sold in the secondary market was lower in the second quarter of 2011, as compared to the same period of 2010. Partially offsetting the decline in gains on sales of loans were increases of \$154,000 in other non-interest income, \$82,000 in fees and service charges and \$26,000 in bank owned life insurance income. Other non-interest income increased primarily as a result of gains on sales of other real estate. Our fees and service charges increased as a result of a higher volume of fees and service charges received on our deposit accounts and increased servicing fee income related to the residential real loans that were sold with servicing retained. During 2010, we introduced a rewards program for our deposit customers that promoted debit card usage and other customer activity which generated additional non-interest income. We anticipate our rewards program will offset some of the reductions in future non-interest income projected as a result of recent changes in debit card and overdraft regulations. Our bank owned life insurance income increased year over year as a result of purchasing \$2.5 million in additional life insurance policies in January 2011.

Total non-interest income was \$4.1 million for the first six months of 2011, an increase of \$104,000, or 2.6%, from the same period in 2010. The increase in non-interest income resulted from increases of \$214,000 in fees and service charges, \$166,000 in other non-interest income and \$46,000 in bank owned life insurance income. Our fees and service charges increased as a result of a higher volume of fees and service charges received on our deposit accounts and increased servicing fee income. Other non-interest income increased primarily as a result of gains on sales of other real estate. Our bank owned life insurance income increased as a result of purchasing \$2.5 million in additional life insurance policies in January 2011. Partially offsetting those increases was a decline of \$322,000 in gains on sales of loans as our volume of residential real estate loans that were sold in the secondary market was lower in the first six months of 2011, as compared to the same period of 2010.

**Investment Securities Gains (Losses).** We did not record any investment securities gains or losses during the second quarter or the first six months of 2011. During the second quarter of 2010, we recognized a credit-related other-than-temporary impairment loss of \$140,000 on a \$500,000 par investment in a pooled trust preferred security. The investment experienced increased levels of deferrals and defaults during the second quarter of 2010 which exceeded our expectations. During the first quarter of 2010, we realized \$563,000 of gains on sales of investment securities resulting from the sale of \$10.1 million of high-quality mortgage-backed investment securities, as we capitalized on what we believed to be premium pricing that existed in the markets for these types of securities at the time.

**Non-interest Expense.** Non-interest expense increased \$455,000, or 9.5%, to \$5.2 million for the second quarter of 2011 as compared to the same period of 2010. The increase in non-interest expense was the result of a \$560,000 increase in professional fees, primarily related to engaging consultants to help us review our internal processes and procedures to identify additional opportunities to improve financial performance.

For the first six months of 2011, non-interest expense increased \$478,000, or 5.0%, to \$10.1 million as compared to the same period of 2010. The increase in non-interest expense was the result of a \$711,000 increase in professional fees, primarily related to engaging consultants as described above. Offsetting the higher professional fees was a reduction of \$147,000 in foreclosure and real estate owned expense, which was elevated in the first six months of 2010 compared to historical levels.

**Income Tax Expense.** During the second quarter of 2011, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$6,000 as compared to an income tax benefit of \$1.0 million during the same period of 2010. The increase in our effective tax rate was primarily from an increase in taxable income as a percentage of earnings before income taxes.

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, we recorded income tax expense of \$136,000, or an effective tax rate of 7.4%, as compared to an income tax benefit of \$772,000 in the same period of 2010. The increase in our effective tax rate was primarily from an increase in taxable income as a percentage of earnings before income taxes.

Financial Condition. Our asset quality and performance have been generally affected by the historically depressed economy, difficult credit markets, depressed residential and commercial real estate values, depressed consumer confidence, heightened unemployment and decreased consumer spending. Even though the geographic markets in which the Company operates have been impacted by the economic slowdown in recent years, the effect has not been as severe as those experienced in some areas of the U.S. In addition, our loan portfolio is diversified across various types of loans and collateral throughout the markets in which we operate. Outside of the identified problem assets, management believes that it continues to have a high quality asset base and solid core earnings, and anticipates that its efforts to run a high quality financial institution with a sound asset base will continue to create a strong foundation for continued growth and profitability in the future.

Asset Quality and Distribution. Our primary investing activities are the origination of commercial real estate, commercial and consumer loans and the purchase of investment and mortgage-backed securities. Total assets increased to \$577.4 million at June 30, 2011, compared to \$561.5 million at December 31, 2010. Net loans, excluding loans held for sale, increased to \$307.5 million at June 30, 2011 from \$306.7 million at December 31, 2010. The \$876,000 increase in net loans was primarily the result of higher outstanding loans balances of commercial real estate and municipal loans. Partially offsetting those increases were lower balances in our other loan portfolio classes. The decline in these loan balances is the result of multiple factors, including reduced loan demand from our customers. The decline in our one-to-four family residential real estate loan portfolio is primarily due to normal runoff related to principal payments and prepayments. Generally, we originate fixed-rate, residential mortgage loans with maturities in excess of ten years for sale in the secondary market. These loans are typically sold soon after the loan closing. During 2011, we began retaining some of our newly originated one-to-four family residential real estate loans. While we do not intend to increase our one-to-four family residential real estate loan portfolio, we are slowing the runoff of the portfolio by retaining some of the new loan originations to offset weak commercial loan demand; however, most of the new loan originations will still be sold. We do not originate and warehouse these fixed-rate residential loans for resale in order to speculate on interest rates.

The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses based on our evaluation of the risk inherent in the loan portfolio and changes in the nature and volume of our loan activity. This evaluation, which includes a review of all loans with respect to which full collectability may not be reasonably assured, considers the fair value of the underlying collateral, economic conditions, historical loan loss experience, level of classified loans and other factors that warrant recognition in providing for an appropriate allowance for loan losses. At June 30, 2011, our allowance for loan losses totaled \$4.0 million, or 1.29% of gross loans outstanding, as compared to \$5.0 million, or 1.60% of gross loans outstanding, at December 31, 2010. Our provision for loan losses during the second quarter of 2011, was \$700,000, compared to a provision of \$4.0 million during the second quarter of 2010. During the first six months of 2011 our provision for loan losses was \$1.1 million compared to \$4.7 million during the same period of 2010. The provision for loan losses declined during the second quarter and first half of 2011, as compared to the same periods of 2010, due to improvements in our asset quality as demonstrated through lower levels of non-performing loans and reduced charge-offs.

Loans past due 30-89 days and still accruing interest totaled \$1.4 million, or 0.44% of gross loans, at both June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010. At June 30, 2011, \$807,000 in loans were on non-accrual status, or 0.26% of gross loans, compared to a balance of \$4.8 million, or 1.55% of gross loans, at December 31, 2010. Non-accrual loans consist of loans 90 or more days past due and impaired loans that are not past due. There were no loans 90 days delinquent and still accruing interest at June 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010. Our impaired loans totaled \$1.9 million at June 30, 2011 compared to \$5.3 million at December 31, 2010. The difference in the Company's non-accrual loan balances and impaired loan balances at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was related to a one-to-four family residential real estate loan and a municipal loan that were classified as troubled debt restructurings during 2010. Both loans were current and accruing interest at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, but still classified as impaired. During the second quarter of 2011, we had net loan charge-offs of \$1.1 million compared to \$5.7 million during the same period of 2010. During the first six months of 2011, we had net loan charge-offs of \$2.1 million compared \$5.8 million during the same period of 2010.

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had two loan relationships totaling \$1.4 million that were classified as troubled debt restructurings. One of the relationships was an \$853,000 real estate loan secured by real estate the value of which was deficient based on a recent appraisal. The relationship was restructured into two 1-4 family residential real estate loans to a borrower who was experiencing financial difficulty and to whom we granted concessions at renewal. The value of the real estate supports \$531,000 of the loan relationship. The \$531,000 loan was returned to accrual status during 2010 after a payment history was established, while the remainder of the relationship was charged-off. As of June 30, 2011, the outstanding balance of the loan was \$525,000. A second loan

relationship totaling \$527,000 to a municipal sanitary and improvement district was restructured in 2010 to extend the maturity and lower the interest rate to allow the district more time to develop. As of June 30, 2011, the outstanding balance of the loan was \$543,000. Both of these loans were current and accruing interest at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, but still classified as impaired.

As part of our credit risk management, we continue to aggressively manage the loan portfolio to identify problem loans and have placed additional emphasis on commercial real estate and construction relationships. We are aggressively working to resolve the remaining problem credits or move the non-performing credits out of the loan portfolio. At June 30, 2011, we had \$2.7 million of real estate owned as compared to \$3.2 million at December 31, 2010. Real estate owned primarily consists of a residential subdivision development we took possession of after the development slowed and the borrower was unable to comply with the contractual terms of the loan, a commercial real estate building resulting from a loan settlement and a few residential real estate properties. The Company is currently marketing all of the properties in real estate owned.



Many financial institutions, including us, experienced an increase in non-performing assets during recent years, as even well-established business borrowers developed cash flow, profitability and other business-related problems as a result of the economic slowdown. We believe that our allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2011, was appropriate; however, there can be no assurances that losses will not exceed the estimated amounts. While we believe that we use the best information available to determine the allowance for loan losses, unforeseen market conditions could result in adjustment to the allowance for loan losses. In addition, net earnings could be significantly affected if circumstances differ substantially from the assumptions used in establishing the allowance for loan losses. Further deterioration in the local economy or real estate values may create additional problem loans for us and require further adjustment to our allowance for loan losses.

**Liability Distribution.** Our primary ongoing sources of funds are deposits, FHLB borrowings, proceeds from principal and interest payments on loans and investment securities and proceeds from the sale of mortgage loans and investment securities. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans are a predictable source of funds, deposit flows and mortgage prepayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates and economic conditions. Total deposits increased \$17.1 million to \$448.4 million at June 30, 2011, from \$431.3 million at December 31, 2010. The increase in deposits was primarily related to seasonal fluctuations, as well as an increase in our public fund certificate of deposit balances. The public fund customers in our markets generally use competitive bidding to award certificates of deposits to local financial institutions. Total borrowings decreased \$5.5 million to \$64.8 million at June 30, 2011, from \$70.3 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in borrowings resulted primarily from reducing our FHLB line of credit borrowings with the funds from the increased deposit balances.

Non-interest-bearing deposits at June 30, 2011 were \$57.5 million, or 12.8% of deposits, compared to \$52.7 million, or 12.2%, at December 31, 2010. Money market and NOW deposit accounts were 39.5% of our deposit portfolio and totaled \$176.9 million at June 30, 2011, compared to \$167.8 million, or 38.9%, at December 31, 2010. Savings accounts increased to \$36.4 million, or 8.1% of deposits, at June 30, 2011, from \$32.4 million, or 7.5%, at December 31, 2010. Certificates of deposit decreased to \$177.7 million, or 39.6% of deposits, at June 30, 2011, from \$178.4 million, or 41.4%, at December 31, 2010.

Certificates of deposit at June 30, 2011, which are scheduled to mature in one year or less, totaled \$116.6 million. Historically, maturing deposits have generally remained with our bank and we believe that a significant portion of the deposits maturing in one year or less will remain with us upon maturity.

**Cash Flows.** During the six months ended June 30, 2011, our cash and cash equivalents increased by \$907,000. Our operating activities provided net cash of \$10.0 million during the first six months of 2011. Our investing activities used net cash of \$19.7 million during the first six months of 2011 as we purchased investment securities with our excess liquidity. Our financing activities provided net cash of \$10.6 million during the first six months of 2011, primarily from the increased deposits which were used to purchase investment securities and reduce our borrowings on our FHLB line of credit.

**Liquidity.** Our most liquid assets are cash and cash equivalents and investment securities available for sale. The levels of these assets are dependent on the operating, financing, lending and investing activities during any given period. These liquid assets totaled \$196.4 million at June 30, 2011 and \$177.4 million at December 31, 2010. During periods in which we are not able to originate a sufficient amount of loans and/or periods of high principal prepayments, we increase our liquid assets by investing in short-term, high-grade investments.

Liquidity management is both a daily and long-term function of our strategy. Excess funds are generally invested in short-term investments. In the event we require funds beyond our ability to generate them internally, additional funds are generally available through the use of FHLB advances, a line of credit with the FHLB, other borrowings or through sales of investment securities. At June 30, 2011, we had outstanding FHLB advances of \$35.8 million and

\$3.8 million of borrowings against our line of credit with the FHLB. At June 30, 2011, we had collateral pledged to the FHLB that would allow us to borrow an additional \$60.7 million subject to FHLB credit requirements and policies. At June 30, 2011, we had no borrowings through the Federal Reserve discount window, while our borrowing capacity was \$13.2 million. We also have various other fed funds agreements, both secured and unsecured, with correspondent banks totaling approximately \$59.7 million at June 30, 2011, against which we had no outstanding borrowings at that time. We had other borrowings of \$25.2 million at June 30, 2011, which included \$16.5 million of subordinated debentures and \$4.2 million in repurchase agreements. The Company has a \$7.5 million line of credit from an unrelated financial institution maturing on November 4, 2011, with an interest rate that adjusts daily based on the prime rate plus 0.25%, but not less than 4.25%. This line of credit has covenants specific to capital and other financial ratios, which the Company was in compliance with at June 30, 2011. The outstanding balance on the line of credit at June 30, 2011 was \$4.5 million, which was included in other borrowings.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements. As a provider of financial services, we routinely issue financial guarantees in the form of financial and performance standby letters of credit. Standby letters of credit are contingent commitments issued by us generally to guarantee the payment or performance obligation of a customer to a third party. While these standby letters of credit represent a potential outlay by us, a significant amount of the commitments may expire without being drawn upon. We have recourse against the customer for any amount the customer is required to pay to a third party under a standby letter of credit. The letters of credit are subject to the same credit policies, underwriting standards and approval process as loans made by us. Most of the standby letters of credit are secured, and in the event of nonperformance by the customers, we have the right to the underlying collateral, which could include commercial real estate, physical plant and property, inventory, receivables, cash and marketable securities. The contract amount of these standby letters of credit, which represents the maximum potential future payments guaranteed by us, was \$2.0 million at June 30, 2011.

At June 30, 2011, we had outstanding loan commitments, excluding standby letters of credit, of \$53.5 million. We anticipate that sufficient funds will be available to meet current loan commitments. These commitments consist of unfunded lines of credit and commitments to finance real estate loans.

Capital. Current regulatory capital regulations require financial institutions (including banks and bank holding companies) to meet certain regulatory capital requirements. Institutions are required to have minimum leverage capital equal to 4% of total average assets and total qualifying capital equal to 8% of total risk weighted assets in order to be considered “adequately capitalized.” As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, both the Company and the Landmark National Bank were rated “well capitalized,” which is the highest rating available under the regulatory capital regulations framework for prompt corrective action. Management believes that as of June 30, 2011, the Company and the Landmark National Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which we are subject. The following is a comparison of the Company’s regulatory capital to minimum capital requirements at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

(Dollars in thousands)	Actual		To be well-capitalized under prompt corrective action provisions			
	Amount	Ratio	For capital adequacy purposes			
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
As of June 30, 2011						
Leverage	\$ 53,558	9.45 %	\$ 22,664	4.00 %	\$ 28,330	5.00 %
Tier 1 Capital	\$ 53,558	14.46 %	\$ 14,816	4.00 %	\$ 22,224	6.00 %
Total Risk Based Capital	\$ 60,505	16.33 %	\$ 29,633	8.00 %	\$ 37,041	10.00 %
As of December 31, 2010						
Leverage	\$ 55,258	10.00 %	\$ 22,094	4.00 %	\$ 27,617	5.00 %
Tier 1 Capital	\$ 55,258	15.01 %	\$ 14,722	4.00 %	\$ 22,083	6.00 %
Total Risk Based Capital	\$ 59,925	16.28 %	\$ 29,445	8.00 %	\$ 36,806	10.00 %

The following is a comparison of the Landmark National Bank's regulatory capital to minimum capital requirements at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

(Dollars in thousands)	Actual		For capital adequacy purposes		To be well-capitalized under prompt corrective action provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
<b>As of June 30, 2011</b>						
Leverage	\$ 59,454	10.53 %	\$ 22,588	4.00 %	\$ 28,234	5.00 %
Tier 1 Capital	\$ 59,454	16.12 %	\$ 14,755	4.00 %	\$ 22,132	6.00 %
Total Risk Based Capital	\$ 63,597	17.24 %	\$ 29,510	8.00 %	\$ 36,887	10.00 %
<b>As of December 31, 2010</b>						
Leverage	\$ 57,798	10.50 %	\$ 22,024	4.00 %	\$ 27,530	5.00 %
Tier 1 Capital	\$ 57,798	15.77 %	\$ 14,660	4.00 %	\$ 21,990	6.00 %
Total Risk Based Capital	\$ 62,384	17.02 %	\$ 29,320	8.00 %	\$ 36,650	10.00 %

Dividends. During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, we paid a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.19 per share to our stockholders.

The payment of dividends by any financial institution or its holding company is affected by the requirement to maintain adequate capital pursuant to applicable capital adequacy guidelines and regulations. As described above, Landmark National Bank exceeded its minimum capital requirements under applicable guidelines as of June 30, 2011. The National Bank Act imposes limitations on the amount of dividends that a national bank may pay without prior regulatory approval. Generally, the amount is limited to the bank's current year's net earnings plus the adjusted retained earnings for the two preceding years. As of June 30, 2011, approximately \$2.3 million was available to be paid as dividends to the Company by Landmark National Bank without prior regulatory approval.

Additionally, our ability to pay dividends is limited by the subordinated debentures that are held by two business trusts that we control. Interest payments on the debentures must be paid before we pay dividends on our capital stock, including our common stock. We have the right to defer interest payments on the debentures for up to 20 consecutive quarters. However, if we elect to defer interest payments, all deferred interest must be paid before we may pay dividends on our capital stock.

Average Assets/Liabilities. The following tables set forth information relating to average balances of interest-earning assets and liabilities for the periods indicated. The following table reflects the average tax equivalent yields on assets and average costs of liabilities for the periods indicated (derived by dividing income or expense by the monthly average balance of assets or liabilities, respectively) as well as “net interest margin” (which reflects the effect of the net earnings balance) for the periods shown:

	Three months ended June 30, 2011			Three months ended June 30, 2010		
	Average balance	Interest	Average yield/rate	Average balance	Interest	Average yield/rate
(Dollars in thousands)						
<b>Assets</b>						
Interest-earning assets:						
Investment securities						
(1)	\$ 196,096	\$ 1,611	3.29 %	\$ 170,043	\$ 1,609	3.80 %
Loans receivable, net						
(2)	316,325	4,432	5.62 %	349,442	4,943	5.67 %
Total interest-earning assets	512,421	6,043	4.73 %	519,485	6,552	5.06 %
Non-interest-earning assets						
	67,550			66,447		
Total	\$ 579,971			\$ 585,932		
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>						
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Certificates of deposit	\$ 173,609	\$ 595	1.37 %	\$ 188,636	\$ 835	1.78 %
Money market and NOW accounts						
	171,684	95	0.22 %	160,575	117	0.29 %
Savings accounts	36,089	13	0.14 %	31,754	16	0.20 %
Total deposits	381,382	703	0.74 %	380,965	968	1.02 %
FHLB advances and other borrowings						
	75,845	469	2.48 %	87,066	679	3.13 %
Total interest-bearing liabilities	457,227	1,172	1.03 %	468,031	1,647	1.41 %
Non-interest-bearing liabilities						
	67,291			62,895		
Stockholders' equity	55,453			55,006		
Total	\$ 579,971			\$ 585,932		
Interest rate spread (3)						
			3.70 %			3.65 %
Net interest margin (4)						
		\$ 4,871	3.81 %		\$ 4,905	3.79 %
Tax equivalent interest - imputed						
		336			333	
Net interest income						
		\$ 4,535			\$ 4,572	
Ratio of average interest-earning assets						
			112.1 %			111.0 %

to average  
interest-bearing  
liabilities

- (1) Income on investment securities includes all securities, including interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions. Income on tax exempt securities is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis, using a 34% federal tax rate.
- (2) Includes loans classified as non-accrual. Income on tax exempt loans is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis, using a 34% federal tax rate.
- (3) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.
- (4) Net interest margin represents annualized net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

	Six months ended June 30, 2011			Six months ended June 30, 2010		
	Average balance	Interest	Average yield/rate	Average balance	Interest	Average yield/rate
(Dollars in thousands)						
<b>Assets</b>						
Interest-earning assets:						
Investment securities						
(1)	\$ 191,124	\$ 3,108	3.28 %	\$ 172,508	\$ 3,333	3.90 %
Loans receivable, net						
(2)	313,435	8,832	5.68 %	348,476	9,852	5.70 %
Total interest-earning assets	504,559	11,940	4.77 %	520,984	13,185	5.10 %
Non-interest-earning assets						
	68,397			65,740		
Total	\$ 572,956			\$ 586,724		
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>						
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Certificates of deposit	\$ 174,926	\$ 1,240	1.43 %	\$ 190,252	\$ 1,726	1.83 %
Money market and NOW accounts	173,629	197	0.23 %	162,674	246	0.30 %
Savings accounts	35,024	26	0.15 %	31,130	35	0.23 %
Total deposits	383,579	1,463	0.77 %	384,056	2,007	1.05 %
FHLB advances and other borrowings	69,401	956	2.78 %	84,707	1,364	3.25 %
Total interest-bearing liabilities	452,980	2,419	1.08 %	468,763	3,371	1.45 %
Non-interest-bearing liabilities						
	65,148			63,192		
Stockholders' equity	54,828			54,769		
Total	\$ 572,956			\$ 586,724		
Interest rate spread (3)						
			3.69 %			3.65 %
Net interest margin (4)						
		\$ 9,521	3.81 %		\$ 9,814	3.80 %
Tax equivalent interest - imputed						
		672			674	
Net interest income						
		\$ 8,849			\$ 9,140	
Ratio of average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities						
			111.4 %			111.1 %

(1) Income on investment securities includes all securities, including interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions. Income on tax exempt securities is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis, using a 34% federal tax

rate.

- (2) Includes loans classified as non-accrual. Income on tax exempt loans is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis, using a 34% federal tax rate.
- (3) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.
- (4) Net interest margin represents annualized net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.



Rate/Volume Table. The following table describes the extent to which changes in tax equivalent interest income and interest expense for major components of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities affected the Company's interest income and expense for periods indicated. The table distinguishes between (i) changes attributable to rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume), (ii) changes attributable to volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate), and (iii) net change (the sum of the previous columns). The net changes attributable to the combined effect of volume and rate, which cannot be segregated, have been allocated proportionately to the change due to volume and the change due to rate.

	Three months ended June 30, 2011 vs 2010			Six months ended June 30, 2011 vs 2010		
	Increase/(decrease) attributable to			Increase/(decrease) attributable to		
	Volume	Rate	Net	Volume	Rate	Net
	(Dollars in thousands)			(Dollars in thousands)		
<b>Interest income:</b>						
Investment securities	\$ 16	\$ (14 )	\$ 2	\$ 476	\$ (701 )	\$ (225 )
Loans	(467 )	(44 )	(511 )	(986 )	(34 )	(1,020 )
Total	(451 )	(58 )	(509 )	(510 )	(735 )	(1,245 )
<b>Interest expense:</b>						
Deposits	1	(266 )	(265 )	(3 )	(541 )	(544 )
Other borrowings	(80 )	(130 )	(210 )	(227 )	(181 )	(408 )
Total	(79 )	(396 )	(475 )	(230 )	(722 )	(952 )
Net interest income	\$ (372 )	\$ 338	\$ (34 )	\$ (280 )	\$ (13 )	\$ (293 )

### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our assets and liabilities are principally financial in nature and the resulting net interest income thereon is subject to changes in market interest rates and the mix of various assets and liabilities. Interest rates in the financial markets affect our decision on pricing our assets and liabilities, which impacts net interest income, a significant cash flow source for us. As a result, a substantial portion of our risk management activities relates to managing interest rate risk.

Our Asset/Liability Management Committee monitors the interest rate sensitivity of our balance sheet using earnings simulation models and interest sensitivity gap analysis. We have set policy limits of interest rate risk to be assumed in the normal course of business and monitor such limits through our simulation process.

We have been successful in meeting the interest rate sensitivity objectives set forth in our policy. Simulation models are prepared to determine the impact on net interest income for the coming twelve months, including one using rates at June 30, 2011, and forecasting volumes for the twelve-month projection. This position is then subjected to a shift in interest rates of 100 and 200 basis points rising and 100 basis points falling with an impact to our net interest income on a one year horizon as follows:

Scenario	Dollar change in net interest income (\$000s)	Percent change in net interest income
200 basis point rising	\$ 561	3.0 %
100 basis point rising	\$ 328	1.8 %
100 basis point falling	\$ ( 876 )	(4.7 )%



SAFE HARBOR STATEMENT UNDER THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

Forward-Looking Statements

This document (including information incorporated by reference) contains, and future oral and written statements by us and our management may contain, forward-looking statements, within the meaning of such term in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, with respect to our financial condition, results of operations, plans, objectives, future performance and business. Forward-looking statements, which may be based upon beliefs, expectations and assumptions of our management and on information currently available to management, are generally identifiable by the use of words such as “believe,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “plan,” “intend,” “estimate,” “may,” “will,” “could,” “should” or other similar expressions. Additionally, all statements in this document, including forward-looking statements, speak only as of the date they are made, and we undertake no obligation to update any statement in light of new information or future events.

Our ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse effect on operations and future prospects by us and our subsidiaries include, but are not limited to, the following:

- The strength of the United States economy in general and the strength of the local economies in which we conduct our operations which may be less favorable than expected and may result in, among other things, a deterioration in the credit quality and value of our assets.
- The effects of, and changes in, federal, state and local laws, regulations and policies affecting banking, securities, insurance and monetary and financial matters, including the Dodd-Frank Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, and the effects of increases in FDIC premiums.
- The effects of changes in interest rates (including the effects of changes in the rate of prepayments of our assets) and the policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
- Our ability to compete with other financial institutions as effectively as we currently intend due to increases in competitive pressures in the financial services sector.
  - Our inability to obtain new customers and to retain existing customers.
- The timely development and acceptance of products and services, including products and services offered through alternative delivery channels such as the Internet.
- Technological changes implemented by us and by other parties, including third party vendors, which may be more difficult or more expensive than anticipated or which may have unforeseen consequences to us and our customers.
  - Our ability to develop and maintain secure and reliable electronic systems.
- Our ability to retain key executives and employees and the difficulty that we may experience in replacing key executives and employees in an effective manner.
  - Consumer spending and saving habits which may change in a manner that affects our business adversely.
    - Our ability to successfully integrate acquired businesses and future growth.
    - The costs, effects and outcomes of existing or future litigation.
- Changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by state and federal regulatory agencies and the Financial Accounting Standards Board.
- The economic impact of past and any future terrorist attacks, acts of war or threats thereof, and the response of the United States to any such threats and attacks.
  - Our ability to effectively manage our credit risk.
  - Our ability to forecast probable loan losses and maintain an adequate allowance for loan losses.
    - The effects of declines in the value of our investment portfolio.
    - Our ability to raise additional capital if needed.
    - The effects of declines in real estate markets.

These risks and uncertainties should be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. Additional information concerning us and our business, including other factors that could materially affect our financial results, is included in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the “Risk Factors” section in our Form 10-K.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of June 30, 2011. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2011.

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2011 that materially affected or were likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There are no material pending legal proceedings to which the Company or its subsidiaries is a party other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to their respective businesses.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes in the risk factors applicable to the Company from those disclosed in Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors," in the Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

ITEM 4. [REMOVED AND RESERVED]

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

- Exhibit 31.1 Certificate of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
- Exhibit 31.2 Certificate of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
- Exhibit 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- Exhibit 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- Exhibit 101 Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010; (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010; (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010; (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010; (v) Consolidated Statements of Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010; and (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text\*

\* As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or otherwise subject to liability under those sections.



SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

LANDMARK BANCORP, INC.

Date: August 10, 2011

/s/ Patrick L. Alexander  
Patrick L. Alexander  
President and Chief Executive  
Officer

Date: August 10, 2011

/s/ Mark A. Herpich  
Mark A. Herpich  
Vice President, Secretary,  
Treasurer  
and Chief Financial Officer