

AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc.
Form 10-K
February 28, 2014

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the annual period ended December 31, 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-35151

AG MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

27-5254382
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

245 Park Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

10167
(Zip Code)

(212) 692-2000

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934:

Title of each class:
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share
8.25% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock
8.00% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock

Name of exchange on which registered:
New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)
New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)
New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 and Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-Accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates was \$533,316,826 based on the closing sales price on the New York Stock Exchange on June 28, 2013.

As of February 7, 2014, there were 28,380,629 outstanding shares of common stock of AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement with respect to its 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed not later than 120 days after the end of the registrant's fiscal year are incorporated by reference into Part II, Item 5 and Part III, Items 10,11,12,13 and 14 hereof as noted therein.

**AG MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST, INC.
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		Page
<u>PART I.</u>		
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	4
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	16
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	46
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	46
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	46
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	46
<u>PART II.</u>		
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	47
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	50
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	52
<u>Item 7a.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	74
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	78
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	119
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	119
<u>Item 9B.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	119
<u>PART III.</u>		
<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	121
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	121
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	121
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	121
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	121
<u>PART IV.</u>		
<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	122
<u>Signatures</u>		124

Forward-Looking Statements

We make forward-looking statements in this report that are subject to risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements include information about possible or assumed future results of our business, financial condition, liquidity, results of operations, plans, objectives, the composition of our portfolio, actions by governmental entities, including the Federal Reserve, and the potential effects of proposed legislation on us. When we use the words “believe,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “plan,” “continue,” “intend,” “should,” “may” or similar expressions, we intend to identify forward-looking statements.

These forward-looking statements are based upon information presently available to our management and are inherently subjective, uncertain and subject to change. There can be no assurance that actual results will not differ materially from our expectations. Some of these factors are described under the caption “Risk Factors” and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and any subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. If a change occurs, our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations may vary materially from those expressed in our forward-looking statements. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made. New risks and uncertainties arise from time to time, and it is impossible for us to predict those events or how they may affect us. Except as required by law, we are not obligated to, and do not intend to, update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

All written or oral forward-looking statements that we make, or that are attributable to us, are expressly qualified by this cautionary notice. We expressly disclaim any obligation to update the information in any public disclosure if any forward-looking statement later turns out to be inaccurate, except as may otherwise be required by law.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, or this “report,” we refer to AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. as “we,” “us,” “the Company,” or “our,” unless we specifically state otherwise or the context indicates otherwise. We refer to our external manager, AG REIT Management, LLC as our “Manager,” and we refer to the indirect parent company of our Manager, Angelo, Gordon & Co., L.P. as “Angelo, Gordon.”

Our company

We are a Maryland real estate investment trust focused on investing in, acquiring and managing a diversified portfolio of residential mortgage assets, other real estate-related securities and financial assets, which we refer to as our target assets. We are currently invested substantially in residential mortgage-backed securities, or RMBS, for which a U.S. government agency such as the Government National Mortgage Association, or Ginnie Mae, or a federally-chartered corporation such as the Federal National Mortgage Association, or Fannie Mae, or the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, or Freddie Mac, guarantees payments of principal and interest on the securities. We refer to these securities as Agency RMBS. Our Agency RMBS investments include mortgage pass-through securities and collateralized mortgage obligations, or CMOs. Our portfolio currently includes, and we expect our portfolio over time, will include a more significant portion of credit assets, including non-Agency RMBS (i.e. RMBS not issued or guaranteed by a U.S. government agency or a U.S. government-sponsored entity), as well as commercial and residential whole loans. Our Non-Agency RMBS investments may include fixed-and floating-rate securities, including investment grade and non-investment grade. We have invested in other target assets, including asset backed securities, or ABS, and commercial mortgage-backed securities, or CMBS, which, together with Agency RMBS and Non-Agency RMBS, we collectively refer to as real estate securities. We have also invested in commercial mortgage loans and indirect interests in real estate. We have the discretion to invest in other target assets such as residential mortgage loans, other real estate structured finance products, other real estate-related loans and securities and direct or indirect interests in real estate. Non-Agency RMBS, ABS, CMBS and residential and commercial loans are referred to as our credit portfolio, and residential and commercial mortgage loans are collectively referred to as loans.

We were incorporated in Maryland on March 1, 2011, and commenced operations in July 2011. In July 2011, we successfully completed our initial public offering, or IPO, pursuant to which we sold 6,300,000 shares of our common stock to the public at a price of \$20.00 per share for gross proceeds of \$126.0 million. Concurrently with the consummation of our IPO, we completed a private placement in which we sold 3,205,000 units, with each unit consisting of one share of our common stock and one warrant to purchase 0.5 shares of our common stock, at a price of \$20.00 per unit. Each warrant has an exercise price of \$20.50 per share. In addition, we sold an aggregate of 500,000 private placement shares of our common stock to AG Funds, an affiliate of Angelo, Gordon, and two of our officers, at a price of \$20.00 per share. The gross proceeds to us from the private placement were \$74.1 million. Collectively, we received net proceeds from our IPO, the private placement and the exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option of approximately \$198.1 million after subtracting expenses incurred in connection with formation of \$2.0 million.

In 2012 we raised approximately \$353.5 million in net proceeds from sales of common stock and approximately \$161.2 million in net proceeds from two preferred stock offerings. In 2013 we raised approximately \$33.2 million in net proceeds from sales of common stock through our equity distribution program and upon the exercise of warrants. We did not issue any additional classes of preferred stock during 2013.

As of December 31, 2013 and per our GAAP consolidated balance sheet, we have a \$3.4 billion investment portfolio, which consists of \$2.4 billion, or 70.6%, of Agency RMBS and \$1.0 billion, or 29.4%, of assets in our credit portfolio. Our investment portfolio gross of linked transactions is \$3.7 billion, which consists of \$2.4 billion, or 65.4%, of

Agency RMBS and \$1.3 billion, or 34.6%, of assets in our credit portfolio. Refer to Item 7 for a discussion on linked transactions. We have also entered into \$2.1 billion notional amount of interest rate swaps and \$115.0 million notional of interest rate swaptions as of December 31, 2013. This compares with a \$4.5 billion investment portfolio, comprised of \$3.8 billion, or 83.4%, of Agency RMBS and \$753.7 million, or 16.6%, of assets in our credit portfolio as of December 31, 2012. Our investment portfolio gross of linked transactions was \$4.9 billion, which consisted of \$3.8 billion, or 77.8% of Agency RMBS and \$1.1 billion, or 22.2%, of assets in our credit portfolio as of December 31, 2012. We had entered into \$2.2 billion net notional amount of interest rate swaps as of December 31, 2012.

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange, or the NYSE, under the symbol MITT. Our 8.25% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock and our 8.00% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock trade on the NYSE under the symbols MITT PrA and MITT PrB, respectively.

We conduct our operations to qualify and be taxed as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Accordingly, we generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income taxes on our taxable income that we distribute currently to our stockholders as long as we maintain our intended qualification as a REIT. We operate our business in a manner that permits us to maintain our exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, or the Investment Company Act.

Our manager

We are externally managed and advised by AG REIT Management, LLC, a subsidiary of Angelo, Gordon. Angelo, Gordon is an SEC-registered investment adviser with approximately \$25 billion under management as of December 31, 2013. Angelo, Gordon focuses on alternative investing across three core strategies, credit, real estate and private equity. The platform's strategies currently include, for example, commercial real estate, distressed credit and investments by the residential and consumer debt team in RMBS and ABS securities.

Pursuant to the terms of our management agreement with AG REIT Management LLC, our Manager provides us with our management team, including our officers, along with appropriate support personnel. All of our officers are employees of our Angelo, Gordon or its affiliates. We do not have any employees. Our Manager is at all times subject to the supervision and oversight of our board of directors and has only such functions and authority as our board of directors delegates to it. Our Manager, pursuant to a delegation agreement dated as of June 29, 2011, has delegated to Angelo, Gordon the overall responsibility with respect to our Manager's day-to-day duties and obligations arising under our management agreement.

Market and interest rate trends

Residential market opportunities

Inclusive of distressed sales, home prices nationwide increased by 11 percent on a year-over-year basis in December 2013 as compared with December 2012. This change represents the 22nd consecutive monthly increase in home prices nationally. The housing market continues to show further signs of stabilization and recovery and the ongoing growth of single-family real estate owned, or REO, to rental programs continues to provide a floor to housing prices. U.S. government and central bank actions, such as government sponsored enterprise mortgage-backed securities purchases, the Home Affordable Refinance Program, and Home Affordable Modification Program, were designed to lower mortgage rates and have helped stabilize home values and restore credit flows in the financial sector and to the broader economy, which has also provided support for the housing recovery.

Over the course of 2013 many borrowers were able to emerge from positions of negative equity in their homes. While we anticipate mortgage loan delinquencies and resulting credit losses will remain relevant, we believe that current prices for certain Non-Agency RMBS offer attractive risk-adjusted returns. We believe Angelo, Gordon's granular credit-centric approach and deep understanding of government public policy initiatives will provide our Manager strong insight into both non-Agency and Agency RMBS performance drivers.

In September 2012, the Federal Reserve announced a third round of quantitative easing, known as QE3, pursuant to which it would purchase additional Agency RMBS at a pace of \$40 billion per month until further notice. The Federal Reserve also announced that it would maintain its policy of reinvesting principal payments from its existing holdings of Agency RMBS into new such purchases until the employment rate, among other economic indicators, showed signs of improvement. The Federal Reserve further stated that it would maintain the target range for the Federal Funds Rate between zero and 0.25% through at least mid-2015, which was six months longer than previously announced.

The Federal Reserve Open Market Committee, or the FOMC, meeting minutes released on April 10, 2013 revealed that the FOMC had begun considering when the Federal Reserve should begin tapering the pace of Agency RMBS

purchases set in September 2012. The FOMC meeting minutes released on May 22, 2013 announced that the Federal Reserve was considering beginning to taper such purchases as early as June 2013. In minutes released on June 25, 2013, the FOMC stated that the Federal Reserve would begin to scale back Agency RMBS purchases later in 2013 and that such purchases would cease entirely when the unemployment rate reached 7%.

The market reaction to the announcement that tapering of QE3 could occur earlier than expected was extremely negative. The rate on ten-year Treasury notes moved sharply higher during the second quarter of 2013. After hitting an intra-quarter low of 1.63% in early May, the market sold off significantly, reaching a high above 2.60% before closing the quarter at 2.49%. During the course of these events, Agency RMBS underperformed dramatically. The fear of the largest buyer in the market curtailing its participation and support triggered many market participants to sell Agency RMBS. REIT managers also sold assets as durations extended and the mortgage basis widened. The 30-year mortgage rate mirrored the move in the markets, rising from 3.57% at the end of the first quarter to 4.46% as of the end of June. Liquidity in the Agency RMBS market suffered as sellers were plentiful but few market participants elected to add risk during this period. Fixed income benchmark indices also suffered during the months of May 2013 and June 2013, triggering redemptions out of many indexed mutual funds. Treasury yields and mortgage rates continued their steady rise higher in July, August and the first half of September as the market attempted to price in the full impact of tapering, with ten-year notes closing just below 3% on September 5, 2013. When the September 18, 2013 FOMC statement failed to deliver the widely anticipated taper, yields immediately dropped and ten-year notes closed the day at 2.69% versus 2.85% the prior day. The Agency RMBS basis also tightened on this news, as the Federal Reserve would maintain the pace of its monthly purchases despite overall declining origination volumes in the market. On October 30, 2013, the FOMC announced that it would continue reinvesting principal payments from its holdings of agency debt and Agency RMBS into Agency RMBS and U.S. Treasury securities at the current pace indefinitely and provided no additional guidance as to when tapering might begin.

The significant move in benchmark rates during the second quarter had triggered an overall weakening of the fixed income credit markets. Liquidity evaporated across many segments of the broader mortgage-backed securities market. Over the course of the third quarter many sectors that had experienced second quarter sell-offs were able to retrace a portion of their price declines, especially after the FOMC statement in September 2013. On December 18, 2013 Federal Reserve officials announced the beginning of asset purchase tapering, with a \$10 billion reduction in their monthly purchases, split evenly between Agency RMBS and Treasury bonds to take effect in January 2014. Benchmark interest rates drifted higher over the balance of the month, with 10-year Notes closing the year at 3.029%. The FOMC statement also indicated that its target rate is likely to stay low over the near and medium term. Most market participants do not expect the FOMC to begin hiking interest rates during 2014. On January 29, 2014, the Federal Reserve announced additional \$5 billion reductions to its monthly purchase of both Agency RMBS and Treasury bonds to take effect in February 2014.

Toward the end of 2013 bond prices recovered from the mark-to-market losses experienced during the second and early part of the third quarter and some sectors of the market reached recent highs. As a testament to the strong technical backdrop in the market, in early December the Dutch State Treasury Agency successfully auctioned off \$5.1 billion current face of non-Agency RMBS across 316 CUSIPs. Market participants expect the balance of the portfolio to be auctioned off early in 2014. The ABX 06-2 AAA index appreciated several points during the quarter, moving from \$72 as of September 30, 2013 to close the year at \$74.88. Likewise the CMBX Series 3 AJ index increased over 5 points in price, moving from \$71.875 at the end of the third quarter to \$77.00 as of December 31, 2013.

We continue to believe that 2012 marked a bottoming in the U.S. commercial and residential real estate markets. Despite the recent increase in mortgage rates, we remain optimistic about the prospects for home price appreciation through 2014 and beyond. Currently, the U.S. housing market benefits from favorable supply/demand dynamics, historically low mortgage rates, an increase in household formation and an influx of capital into the REO rental strategy. However, we expect that, without an increase in median income, the pace of home price appreciation is likely to moderate over the coming years. Furthermore, we believe the deep dislocations that occurred in these markets may result in an "over-correction" in pricing, creating a potential opportunity for us to capitalize on these market dislocations. As we look ahead, we believe further opportunities will arise from the evolution of the housing finance market.

The market movements outlined above have had a meaningful impact on our existing portfolio and may also have a significant impact on our operating results going forward. We believe current market dynamics may impact the availability and cost of financing. Furthermore, we may elect to apply a more dynamic hedging policy than we historically have employed, the cost of which may impact our earnings going forward. We expect that overall market conditions will continue to impact our operating results and will cause us to adjust our investment, financing and hedging strategies over time as new opportunities emerge and risk profiles of our business change.

Recent government activity

The reform of Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae remained a prominent issue throughout 2013, and we expect debate and discussion on the topic to continue throughout 2014. The Federal Housing Finance Authority, or FHFA, and both houses of Congress are each working on separate measures aimed at dramatically restructuring the operations of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. It is unclear which, if any, of these measures will be enacted, and, if any are enacted, what the effects of would be.

On October 4, 2012, the FHFA released a white paper entitled “Building a New Infrastructure for the Secondary Mortgage Market,” or the “FHFA White Paper.” This release follows up on the FHFA's February 21, 2012 Strategic Plan for Enterprise Conservatorships, which set forth three goals for the next phase of the Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac conservatorships. These three goals are to (i) build a new common securitization platform for the secondary mortgage market, (ii) gradually contract Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac's presence in the marketplace while simplifying and shrinking their operations, and (iii) maintain foreclosure prevention activities and credit availability for new and refinanced mortgages.

The FHFA White Paper proposes a new infrastructure for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac that has two basic goals. The first goal is to replace the current, outdated infrastructures of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac with a common, more efficient infrastructure that aligns the standards and practices of the two entities, beginning with core functions performed by both entities such as issuance, master servicing, bond administration, collateral management and data integration. The second goal is to establish an operating framework for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac that is consistent with the progress of housing finance reform and encourages and accommodates the increased participation of private capital in assuming credit risk associated with the secondary mortgage market.

On November 25, 2013, the FHFA issued a progress report with regards to the goals set forth in the FHFA White Paper and the Strategic Plan for Enterprise Conservatorships. The report stated that significant progress had been made on the development and testing of a common securitization platform for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and that both entities had contracted the less liquid portions of their portfolios. Despite this progress, the report conceded that significant impediments to the full realization of the FHFA's stated goals remain. If such goals are achieved, it is unclear what the effects might be.

On June 25, 2013, Senators Bob Corker (R-TN) and Mark Warner (D-VA), with Senators Mike Johanns (R-NE), Jon Tester (D-MT), Dean Heller (R-NV), Heidi Heitkamp (D-ND), Jerry Moran (R-KS) and Kay Hagan (D-NC), formally introduced the Housing Finance Reform and Taxpayer Protection Act of 2013, or the "Corker Warner Bill," into the U.S. Senate. While the current draft of the Corker-Warner Bill will likely undergo significant changes as it is debated, it is expected to serve as a basis of discussion for congressional efforts to reform Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

As currently drafted, the Corker-Warner Bill has three key provisions: (i) the establishment of the Federal Mortgage Insurance Corporation, or the "FMIC;" (ii) the creation of a Mortgage Insurance Fund, or the "Fund;" and (iii) the wind-down of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

The FMIC would be a government guarantor modeled after the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or FDIC, in that it would collect insurance premiums and maintain a deposit fund on all outstanding obligations. Every mortgage-backed security issued through the FMIC would have a private investor bearing the first risk of loss and holding at least \$0.10 in equity capital for every dollar of risk. This private capital buffer would serve to protect taxpayers from the risk of default on the mortgages underlying securities issued by the FMIC. Thus, the ultimate purpose of the FMIC would be to bring in credit investors to bear the risk of default while providing liquidity, transparency and access to mortgage credit for the housing finance system.

The FHFA would be abolished after the establishment of the FMIC, and all current responsibilities of the FHFA, as well as its resources, would be transferred to the FMIC. The Corker-Warner Bill specifies that the FMIC would maintain a database of uniform loan-level information on eligible mortgages, develop standard uniform securitization agreements and oversee the common securitization platform currently being developed by the FHFA.

In the event losses due to default on underlying mortgages exceed the first position losses of private credit investors in securities issued by the FMIC, the FMIC would cover such losses out of the Fund. The Corker-Warner Bill specifies that the FMIC would endeavor to attain a reserve balance of 1.25% of the aggregate outstanding principal balance of covered securities within five years of the establishment of the FMIC and 2.50% of such amount within ten years of the establishment of the FMIC. The Fund would be paid with insurance premiums, akin to user fees, paid by private investors with various reporting and transparency requirements. As currently proposed, the Corker-Warner Bill would revoke the charters of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac upon the establishment of the FMIC. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac would wind down as expeditiously as possible while maximizing returns to taxpayers as their assets are sold off.

On July 11, 2013, members of the U.S. House of Representatives introduced the Protecting American Taxpayers and Homeowners Act, or "PATH," a broad financing reform bill that serves as a counterpart to the Corker-Warner Bill. PATH would also revoke the charters of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and remove barriers to private investment.

However, PATH would maintain the FHFA and give it oversight over a new non-government, not-for-profit National Mortgage Market Utility whose mission would be to develop best practices standards for the private origination, servicing, pooling and securitizing of mortgages and operate a publicly accessible securitization outlet to match loan originators with investors. Additional provisions of PATH include the reduction in size and scope of the Federal Housing Administration, or FHA, narrowing its mission to first-time borrowers and low- and moderate- income borrowers except in periods of significant credit contraction.

Our strategies

Our investment strategy

We invest in a diversified pool of mortgage assets that generate attractive risk-adjusted returns to our investors over the long-term through a combination of dividends and capital appreciation. Our target assets include Agency RMBS, Non-Agency RMBS, ABS, CMBS, commercial and residential loans and other real estate-related assets. Following our IPO, the risk-reward profile of investment opportunities supported the deployment of a majority of our capital in Agency RMBS. We believe that yields and net interest spreads on securities that are currently available for investment are generally lower than what we have historically realized in our portfolio. Our goal for much of 2013, and looking forward to 2014, centers around deploying an increasing portion of our capital into attractive credit investments, including residential and commercial real estate whole loans.

As of December 31, 2013, the fair value of our investment portfolio on a GAAP basis consisted of 70.6% Agency RMBS, 24.6% Non-Agency RMBS, 2.1% ABS and 2.7% CMBS. This compares with 2012, when the fair value of our investment portfolio on a GAAP basis consisted of 83.4% Agency RMBS, 12.5% Non-Agency RMBS, 0.7% ABS, 3.3% CMBS, and 0.1% commercial mortgage loans.

Our financing and hedging strategy

We generate income principally from the yields earned on our investments and, to the extent that leverage is deployed, on the difference between the yields earned on our investments and our cost of borrowing and any hedging activities. Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes and our Investment Company Act exemption, to the extent leverage is deployed, we may use a number of sources to finance our investments.

We use leverage to increase potential returns to our stockholders and to fund the acquisition of our assets. As of December 31, 2013 our non-GAAP and GAAP debt-to-equity leverage ratios were 4.42 to 1 and 4.10 to 1, respectively, which reflect our current mix of Agency RMBS, Non-Agency RMBS, and other real estate related assets. As of December 31, 2012 our non-GAAP and GAAP debt-to-equity leverage ratios were 5.26 to 1 and 4.91 to 1, respectively.

We finance our investments in real estate securities primarily through short-term borrowings structured as repurchase agreements. As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had entered into master repurchase agreements, or MRAs, with 30 counterparties, under which we had borrowed an aggregate \$2.9 billion and \$3.9 billion from 24 and 29 counterparties, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, the borrowings under repurchase agreements had maturities between January 2, 2014 and May 29, 2014, and as of December 31, 2012, the borrowings under repurchase agreements had maturities between January 2, 2013 and June 17, 2013.

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT and our Investment Company Act exemption, to the extent leverage is deployed, we utilize derivative financial instruments (or hedging instruments), including interest rate swap agreements and interest rate swaptions in an effort to hedge the interest rate risk associated with the financing of our portfolio. Specifically, we may seek to hedge our exposure to potential interest rate mismatches between the interest we earn on our investments and our borrowing costs caused by fluctuations in short-term interest rates. In utilizing leverage and interest rate hedges, our objectives are to improve risk-adjusted returns and, where possible, to lock in, on a long-term basis, a spread between the yield on our assets and the cost of our financing. As of December 31, 2013, we had entered into \$2.1 billion notional of interest rate swaps that have variable maturities between January 23, 2016 and December 20, 2028 and \$115.0 net notional of interest rate swaptions with maturities of June 12, 2014. As of December 31, 2012 we had entered into \$2.2 billion net notional of interest rate swaps that have variable maturities between July 14, 2014 and September 6, 2022. We did not have interest rate swaptions as of December 31, 2012.

We also sell short U.S. Treasury securities contracts to help mitigate the potential impact of changes in interest rates. As of December 31, 2013, we had obligations to return U.S. Treasury securities borrowed under reverse repurchase agreements, which are accounted for as securities borrowing transactions, with fair values of \$27.5 million. As of December 31, 2013, the U.S. Treasury securities had a weighted average maturity of 6.6 years. The borrowed securities were collateralized by cash loaned under reverse repurchase agreements of \$27.5 million. As of December 31, 2013, the reverse repurchase agreements had a weighted average maturity of January 3, 2014. We had no short positions in U.S. Treasury securities as of December 31, 2012.

Risk management strategy

Our overall portfolio strategy is designed to generate attractive returns through various phases of the economic cycle. We believe that our broad approach within the real estate market, which considers all major categories of real estate assets, allows us to invest in a variety of attractive investment opportunities and help insulate our portfolio from some of the risks that arise from investing in a single collateral type. We believe that Angelo, Gordon has a strong reputation for risk management and compliance. Angelo, Gordon's investment philosophy combines in-depth research, a conservative valuation approach and diversification to achieve investment returns.

The components of our risk management strategy are:

- *Disciplined adherence to risk-adjusted return.* Our Manager deploys capital only when it believes that risk-adjusted returns are attractive. In this analysis, our Manager considers the initial net interest spread of the investment, the cost of hedging and our ability to optimize returns over time through rebalancing activities. Our Manager's management team has extensive experience implementing this approach.
- *Focus on multiple sectors.* Our Manager looks for attractive investment opportunities in all major sectors of the U.S. mortgage market. Our management team evaluates investment opportunities in residential mortgage loans and securities (prime conforming, jumbo, Alt-A, senior short duration and subprime) and across a wide spectrum of commercial property types. We believe this approach enables our Manager to identify attractive investments when it believes certain portions of the market are attractively priced or when investment opportunities in one or more sectors are scarce. By pursuing a broad investment strategy within the mortgage market, we believe our mortgage portfolio is less exposed to dislocations in specific sectors of the market. We believe a diversified mortgage portfolio outperforms the traditional single strategy portfolios in the REIT market, with returns more resistant to changes in the interest rate and consumer credit environment.
- *Concurrent evaluation of interest rate and credit risk.* Our Manager seeks to balance our portfolio with both credit risk-intensive assets and interest rate risk-intensive assets. Both of these primary risk types are evaluated against a common risk-adjusted return framework.
- *Active hedging and rebalancing of portfolio.* Our Manager evaluates periodically the risk portion of our portfolio against preestablished risk tolerances and will take corrective action through asset sales, asset acquisitions, and dynamic hedging activities to bring the portfolio back within these risk tolerances. We believe this approach generates more attractive long-term returns than an approach that either attempts to hedge away a majority of the interest rate and credit risk in the portfolio at the time of acquisition, on the one end of the risk spectrum, or a highly speculative approach that does not attempt to hedge any of the interest rate or credit risk in the portfolio (so-called carry trade), on the other end of the risk spectrum.
- *Limiting exposure to single event.* Our Manager attempts to reduce our exposure to a single adverse occurrence. These types of idiosyncratic risks, if too large and unmanaged, can result in large swings in profitability and can have a significant negative impact on the creditworthiness of the issuer.
- *Opportunistic approach to increased risk.* Our Manager's investment strategy is to extend risk taking capacity during periods of changing market fundamentals.

Our investments

Our target asset classes

As noted above, as of December 31, 2013, the fair value of our investment portfolio on a GAAP basis comprised 70.6% of Agency RMBS, 24.6% of Non-Agency RMBS, 2.1% of ABS and 2.7% in CMBS. We expect our portfolio, over time, will include a more significant portion of credit assets, including non-Agency RMBS as well as residential and commercial whole loans. We have the discretion to invest in these and other target assets (as described below).

Our target asset classes and the principal investments in which we invest are as follows:

Asset Class	Principal Investments
Agency RMBS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · RMBS for which a U.S. government agency such as the Government National Mortgage Association, or Ginnie Mae, or a federally-chartered corporation such as the Federal National Mortgage Association, or Fannie Mae, or the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, or Freddie Mac, guarantees payments of principal and interest on the securities.
Non-Agency RMBS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Fixed- and floating-rate residential Non-Agency RMBS, including investment grade and non-investment grade classes. The mortgage loan collateral for residential Non-Agency RMBS consists of residential mortgage loans that do not generally conform to underwriting guidelines issued by U.S. government agencies or U.S. government-sponsored entities.
Other real estate-related assets and financial assets	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Fixed- and floating-rate CMBS, including investment grade and non-investment grade classes. CMBS will be secured by, or evidence ownership interest in, a single commercial mortgage loan or a pool of commercial mortgage loans. · Residential mortgage loans secured by residential real property, including prime, Alt-A, and subprime mortgage loans. · Commercial mortgage loans secured by commercial real property, including mezzanine loans and preferred equity. · First or second lien loans, subordinate interests in first mortgages, bridge loans to be used in the acquisition, construction or redevelopment of a property and mezzanine financing secured by interests in commercial real estate. · Other real estate structured finance products, mortgage servicing rights, other real estate-related loans and securities and other financial assets. · Investment grade and non-investment grade debt and equity tranches of securitizations backed by various asset classes including, but not limited to, small balance commercial mortgages, aircraft, automobiles, credit cards, equipment, manufactured housing, franchises, recreational vehicles and student loans. Investments in ABS generally are not qualifying income for purposes of the 75% asset test applicable to REITs and generally do not generate qualifying income for purposes of the 75% income test

applicable to REITs. As a result we may be limited in our ability to invest in such assets.

Our board of directors has adopted a set of investment guidelines that outline our target assets and other criteria which are used by our Manager to evaluate specific investment opportunities as well as our overall portfolio composition. Our Manager makes day-to-day determinations as to the timing and percentage of our assets that will be invested in each of the approved asset classes. Our decisions depend upon prevailing market conditions and may change over time in response to opportunities available in different interest rate, economic and credit environments. As a result, we cannot predict the percentage of our assets that will be invested in any one of our approved asset classes at any given time. We may change our strategy and policies without a vote of our stockholders. We believe that the diversification of our portfolio of assets and the flexibility of our strategy combined with our Manager's and its affiliates' experience will enable us to achieve attractive risk-adjusted returns under a variety of market conditions and economic cycles.

Investment policies

Investment guidelines

We comply with investment policies and procedures and investment guidelines that are approved by our board of directors and implemented by our Manager. Our Chief Investment Officer reports on our investment portfolio at each regularly scheduled meeting of our board of directors. Our independent directors do not review or approve individual investment, leverage or hedging decisions made by our Manager.

Our board of directors has adopted the following guidelines, among others, for our investments and borrowings:

- no investment shall be made that would cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes;
- no investment shall be made that would cause us to be regulated as an investment company under the Investment Company Act; and
- our investments will be in our target assets.

These investment guidelines may be changed by our board of directors without the approval of our stockholders.

Distribution policy

We have paid the following common dividends:

2013

Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Dividend Per Share
3/5/2013	3/18/2013	4/26/2013	\$ 0.80
6/6/2013	6/18/2013	7/26/2013	0.80
9/9/2013	9/19/2013	10/28/2013	0.60
12/5/2013	12/18/2013	1/27/2014	0.60

2012

Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Dividend Per Share
3/14/2012	3/30/2012	4/27/2012	\$ 0.70
6/7/2012	6/29/2012	7/27/2012	0.70
9/6/2012	9/18/2012	10/26/2012	0.77
12/6/2012	12/18/2012	1/28/2013	0.80

We intend to continue to make regular quarterly distributions to holders of our common stock. We generally need to distribute at least 90% of our ordinary taxable income each year (subject to certain adjustments) to our stockholders in order to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code. Our ability to make distributions to our stockholders depends, in part, upon the performance of our investment portfolio. Distributions to our stockholders are generally taxable to our stockholders as ordinary income, although a portion of our distributions may be designated by us as capital gain or qualified dividend income or may constitute a return of capital. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we elected to satisfy the REIT distribution requirements in part with a dividend to be paid in 2014 and 2013, respectively. In conjunction with this, we accrued an excise tax of \$1.5 million and \$1.7 million in 2013 and 2012, respectively, which is included in the excise tax line item on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations in Item 8.

We have paid the following preferred dividends:

2013

Dividend	Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Dividend Per Share
8.25% Series A	2/14/2013	2/28/2013	3/18/2013	\$ 0.51563
8.25% Series A	5/14/2013	5/31/2013	6/17/2013	0.51563
8.25% Series A	8/15/2013	8/30/2013	9/17/2013	0.51563
8.25% Series A	11/14/2013	11/29/2013	12/17/2013	0.51563

Dividend	Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Dividend Per Share
8.00% Series B	2/14/2013	2/28/2013	3/18/2013	\$ 0.50
8.00% Series B	5/14/2013	5/31/2013	6/17/2013	0.50
8.00% Series B	8/15/2013	8/30/2013	9/17/2013	0.50
8.00% Series B	11/14/2013	11/29/2013	12/17/2013	0.50

2012

Dividend	Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Dividend Per Share
8.25% Series A	8/16/2012	8/31/2012	9/17/2012	\$ 0.2521
8.25% Series A	11/16/2012	11/30/2012	12/17/2012	0.51563

Dividend	Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Dividend Per Share
8.00% Series B	11/16/2012	11/30/2012	12/17/2012	\$ 0.44

Our competitive advantages

We believe that our competitive advantages include the following:

Investment team with extensive RMBS experience

The experience of Angelo, Gordon investment professionals provides competitive advantages to us. Angelo, Gordon has over 110 investment professionals across its lines of investment disciplines. Of those, over 60 are involved in one of Angelo, Gordon's real estate investment disciplines RMBS, CMBS, commercial real estate and net lease real estate. The insights, experience, and contacts of these professionals are available to us as a resource. Our Manager's dedicated RMBS investment team is led by Jonathan Lieberman and has fourteen investment professionals, including portfolio managers, traders, analysts, and statisticians. The senior investment professionals have broad experience in managing residential mortgage-related assets through a variety of market cycles and credit and interest rate environments. The RMBS team has oversight from Michael Gordon, John Angelo and David Roberts who have an average of over 39 years of investment experience. Angelo, Gordon is an established leader in the alternative investment field and its overall investment philosophy is credit and value-centric in that its investment process is based on a highly analytical framework and, with respect to RMBS, takes into account factors such as loan-level cash flows, historical and current borrower performance and collateral valuation.

Breadth of Angelo, Gordon's experience

Although our core investment strategy is focused on RMBS, Angelo, Gordon's expertise in related investment disciplines such as residential and consumer debt, commercial real estate debt, commercial real estate, net lease real estate, distressed credit, leveraged loans and private equity provides our Manager with both (i) valuable investment insights to our RMBS investment selection and strategy and (ii) flexibility to invest in target assets other than RMBS opportunistically as market conditions warrant. As market conditions change and new opportunities are created that are consistent with our strategy and are structurally appropriate for us, we believe Angelo, Gordon's extensive

experience can assist our Manager in moving quickly to take advantage of those opportunities on our behalf.

Access to our Manager's relationships

Angelo, Gordon has created a broad network of deal sources, including relationships with major issuers of residential debt securities and the broker-dealers that trade these securities, augmented by ongoing dialogue with a substantial number of smaller, regional firms that tend to find investment opportunities that are often priced and sold on an off-market basis. Our Manager's investment team has extensive industry contacts and client relationships which have generated proprietary deal flow.

Disciplined investment approach and granular credit analysis

We seek to maximize our risk-adjusted returns through our Manager's disciplined investment approach, which relies on rigorous quantitative and qualitative analysis. Our investment thesis is predicated upon in-depth loan-level analysis and our proprietary analytics, which allow us to underwrite loans individually based on updated borrower credit information and property attributes. Our focus on fundamental granular analysis remains the cornerstone of our investment philosophy, and we believe that through this approach we can identify attractive investment opportunities.

Access to Angelo, Gordon's well developed infrastructure and asset management systems

Angelo, Gordon has invested and continues to invest in the technology, analytics and systems that we believe are required to effectively and comprehensively evaluate potential RMBS investments. Our Manager's investment team and Angelo, Gordon's technology group have developed proprietary databases, portfolio systems and quantitative models to enhance valuation analytics (pipeline modeling, roll rates and severity of loss). Our Manager's RMBS investment team has developed proprietary prepayment, default, delinquency roll rate and loss severity models to analyze current mark-to-market home values on a loan-by-loan basis using borrower monthly performance statistics, credit characteristics and home price appreciation (or depreciation) by metropolitan statistical area for most of the RMBS market.

Access to Angelo, Gordon's accounting, tax and internal risk control management systems

Our Manager utilizes Angelo, Gordon's well developed accounting, tax and internal control departments, comprising over 40 certified public accountants. Additionally, our Manager has access to Angelo, Gordon's technology, client service, disaster recovery and operational infrastructure to support our operations. We believe that Angelo, Gordon has a strong reputation for risk management and compliance.

Operating and regulatory structure

REIT qualification

We have elected to be treated as a REIT under Sections 856 through 859 of the Code. Our qualification as a REIT depends upon our ability to meet on a continuing basis, through actual investment and operating results, various complex requirements under the Code relating to, among other things, the sources of our gross income, the composition and values of our assets, our distribution levels and the diversity of ownership of our shares. We believe that we are organized in conformity with the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT under the Code, and that our manner of operation enables us to meet the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT.

As a REIT, we generally are not subject to U.S. federal income tax on our REIT taxable income we distribute currently to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year and do not qualify for certain statutory relief provisions, we will be subject to U.S. federal income tax at regular corporate rates and may be precluded from qualifying as a REIT for the subsequent four taxable years following the year during which we lost our REIT qualification. Accordingly, our failure to qualify as a REIT could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and amounts available for distribution to our stockholders. Even if we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to some U.S. federal, state and local taxes on our income or property. In addition, any income earned by a domestic taxable REIT subsidiary, or TRS, such as AG MIT II, LLC, AG MITT RMAT 2013, LLC, and AG MITT RMAT 2013 II, LLC, will be subject to corporate income taxation.

Investment Company Act exemption

We conduct our operations so that we and each of our subsidiaries maintain an exemption from the Investment Company Act. We conduct our operations such that we meet the 40% test set forth in Section 3(a)(1)(C) of the Investment Company Act. This test requires us to ensure that no more than 40% of our assets are “investment securities.” “Investment securities” do not include, among other things, U.S. government securities, and securities issued by majority-owned subsidiaries that (i) are not investment companies and (ii) are not relying on the exceptions from the definition of investment company provided by Section 3(c)(1) or 3(c)(7) of the Investment Company Act (the so called “private investment company” exemptions).

We generally conduct our wholly owned or majority-owned subsidiaries’ (including AG MIT, LLC’s) operations so that they are exempted from investment company status in reliance upon Section 3(c)(5)(C) of the Investment Company Act (and thus our investment in these subsidiaries is a “good asset” for our 40% test). Section 3(c)(5)(C) exempts from the definition of “investment company” entities primarily engaged in the business of purchasing or otherwise acquiring mortgages and other liens on and interests in real estate.

Restrictions on ownership and transfer of shares

Our charter, subject to certain exceptions, prohibits any person from directly or indirectly owning (i) more than 9.8% in value or in number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of our outstanding common stock, or (ii) more than 9.8% in value or in number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of our outstanding capital stock. We refer to those limitations in this report collectively as the share ownership limits. Our charter also prohibits any person from directly or indirectly owning shares of any class of our stock if such ownership would result in our being “closely held” under Section 856(h) of the Code or otherwise cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT.

Our charter generally provides that any capital stock owned or transferred in violation of the foregoing restrictions will be deemed to be transferred to a charitable trust for the benefit of a charitable beneficiary, and the purported owner or transferee will acquire no rights in such shares. If the foregoing is ineffective for any reason to prevent a violation of these restrictions, then the transfer of such shares will be void *ab initio*.

Competition

Our net income depends, in large part, on our ability to acquire assets at favorable spreads over our borrowing costs. In acquiring our investments, we compete with other REITs, specialty finance companies, mortgage bankers, insurance companies, mutual funds, institutional investors, investment banking firms, financial institutions, governmental bodies and other entities. See “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Market conditions.” In addition, there are numerous REITs with similar asset acquisition objectives. These other REITs increase competition for the available supply of mortgage assets suitable for purchase. Many of our competitors are significantly larger than we are, have access to greater capital and other resources and may have other advantages over us. In addition, some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of investments and establish more relationships than we can. Current market conditions may attract more competitors, which may increase the competition for sources of financing. An increase in the competition for sources of financing could adversely affect the availability and cost of financing.

We have access to our Manager’s professionals and their industry expertise, which we believe provides us with a competitive advantage. These professionals help us assess investment risks and determine appropriate pricing for certain potential investments. These relationships enable us to compete more effectively for attractive investment opportunities. Despite certain competitive advantages, we may not be able to achieve our business goals or expectations due to the competitive risks that we face.

Staffing

We are managed by our Manager pursuant to a management agreement. Our Manager, pursuant to a delegation agreement dated as of June 29, 2011, has delegated to Angelo, Gordon the overall responsibility with respect to our Manager’s day-to-day duties and obligations arising under our management agreement. In addition, all of our officers are employees of Angelo, Gordon or its affiliates. We have no employees. Angelo, Gordon has over 300 employees.

Available Information

Our principal executive offices are located at 245 Park Avenue, 26th Floor, New York, New York 10167. Our telephone number is (212) 692-2000. Our website can be found at www.agmit.com. We make available free of charge on, or through the SEC filings section of our website, access to our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports, as are filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, as well as our proxy statements with respect to our annual meetings of stockholders, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. Our Exchange Act reports filed with, or furnished to, the SEC are also available at the SEC’s website at www.sec.gov. The content of any website referred to in this Form 10-K is not incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K unless expressly noted.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

If any of the following risks occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. In that case, the trading price of our common stock could decline, and stockholders may lose some or all of their investment.

Risks related to our business

Increases in interest rates could adversely affect the value of our investments and cause our interest expense to increase, which could result in reduced earnings or losses and negatively affect our profitability as well as the cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

We invest primarily in RMBS, as well as other assets such as ABS, CMBS and mortgage loans. In a normal yield curve environment, an investment in such assets will generally decline in value if long-term interest rates increase. Declines in market value may ultimately reduce earnings or result in losses to us, which may negatively affect cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

A significant risk associated with our target assets is the risk that both long-term and short-term interest rates will increase significantly. If long-term rates increase significantly, the market value of these investments will decline, and the duration and weighted average life of the investments will increase. We could realize losses if these investments were sold. At the same time, an increase in short-term interest rates will increase the amount of interest owed on the repurchase agreements we enter into to finance the purchase of our investments.

Market values of our investments may decline without any general increase in interest rates for a number of reasons, such as increases or expected increases in defaults, or increases or expected increases in voluntary prepayments for those investments that are subject to prepayment risk or widening of credit spreads.

In addition, in a period of rising interest rates, our operating results will depend in large part on the difference between the income from our assets and financing costs. We anticipate that, in most cases, the income from such assets will respond more slowly to interest rate fluctuations than the cost of our borrowings. Consequently, changes in interest rates, particularly short-term interest rates, may significantly influence our net income. Increases in these rates will tend to decrease our net income and market value of our assets.

Competition may limit the availability of desirable investments and result in reduced risk-adjusted returns.

Our profitability depends, in part, on our ability to acquire our targeted investments at favorable prices. We compete with other mortgage REITs, specialty finance companies, private funds, savings and loan associations, banks, mortgage bankers, insurance companies, mutual funds, institutional investors, investment banking firms, depository institutions, governmental bodies, governmental sponsored entities and other entities. These other entities increase competition for the available supply of mortgage assets suitable for purchase. Many of our anticipated competitors are significantly larger than we are and have stronger balance sheets and access to greater capital and other resources than we have and may have other advantages over us. In addition, some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of investments and establish more relationships than we can. Competition may result in fewer available investments, higher prices, lower yields and a narrower spread of yields over our financing costs. In addition, competition for desirable investments could delay the investment of our capital, which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows. As a result, there can be no assurance that we will be able to identify and finance investments that are consistent with our investment objectives, including our objective to achieve positive financial results including specified levels of distributions to our stockholders. Failure to accomplish any of the foregoing would materially and adversely affect us.

We may change our investment and operational policies without stockholder consent, which may adversely affect the market value of our common stock and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Our board of directors determines our operational policies and may amend or revise such policies, including our policies with respect to our REIT qualification, acquisitions, dispositions, operations, indebtedness and distributions, or approve transactions that deviate from these policies, without a vote of, or notice to, our stockholders. Operational policy changes could adversely affect the market value of our common stock and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

We may also change our investment strategies and policies and target asset classes at any time without the consent of our stockholders, which could result in our making investments that are different in type from, and possibly riskier than, its current assets or the investments contemplated in this report. A change in our investment strategies and policies and target asset classes may increase our exposure to interest rate risk, default risk and real estate market fluctuations, which could adversely affect the market value of our common stock and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

We are highly dependent on information systems and systems failures could significantly disrupt our business, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and cash flows.

Our business is highly dependent on the communications and information systems of our Manager. Any failure or interruption of these systems could cause delays or other problems in our securities trading activities, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and cash flows and negatively affect the market price of our common stock and ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Risks related to U.S. government programs

The Federal Reserve's recent announcement that it would reduce its monthly purchases pursuant to QE3 could impact the market for and value of the Agency RMBS in which we invest as well as our net asset value and net interest margin.

On September 13, 2012, the Federal Reserve announced a third round of quantitative easing, or QE3, which is an open-ended program designed to expand the Federal Reserve's holdings of long-term securities by purchasing an additional \$40 billion of Agency RMBS per month until key economic indicators, such as the unemployment rate, show signs of improvement. In December 2012, the Federal Reserve announced that it would begin buying \$45 billion of long-term Treasury bonds each month. On December 18, 2013, the Federal Reserve announced that it would reduce its purchases of Agency RMBS by \$5 billion per month and reduce its purchases of Treasury bonds by \$5 billion per month. On January 29, 2014, the Federal Reserve announced additional \$5 billion reductions to its monthly purchase of both Agency RMBS and Treasury bonds effective in February 2014.

On February 11, 2014 the new Federal Reserve Chair Janet Yellen delivered her first Humphrey-Hawkins testimony. Her comments were largely perceived to be in-line with the consensus view that has dictated the Federal Reserve's recent policy. Though she acknowledged the weak nature of several pieces of recent economic data, noting that the particularly harsh winter has negatively impacted growth, all indications are for tapering to remain on track.

The immediate effect of the announcement of QE3 was an increase in Agency RMBS prices. Since the initial price spike, prices for all securities have receded below the price levels that existed before the announcement of QE3. It is unclear what effect, if any, the incremental reduction in the rate of the Federal Reserve's monthly purchases will have on the value of the Agency RMBS in which we invest. However, it is possible that the market for such securities, the price of such securities and, as a result, our net asset value and net interest margin could be negatively affected.

Adoption of the Basel III standards could negatively affect our access to future financings.

In response to various financial crises and the volatility of financial markets, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, an international body comprised of senior representatives of bank supervisory authorities and central banks from 27 countries, including the United States, adopted the Basel III standards several years ago. The final package of Basel III reforms was approved by the G20 leaders in November 2010. U.S. regulators have elected to implement substantially all of the Basel III standards. These new standards, including the Supplementary Leverage Ratio imposed by the Federal Reserve Board, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, which will be fully phased in by 2019, will require banks to hold more capital, predominantly in the form of common equity, than under the current capital framework. These increased bank capital requirements may constrain our ability to obtain attractive future financings and increase the cost of such financings if they are obtained.

The federal conservatorship of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and related efforts, along with any changes in laws and regulations affecting the relationship between these agencies and the U.S. government, may adversely affect our business.

The payments we receive on the Agency RMBS in which we invest depend upon a steady stream of payments on the mortgages underlying the securities and are guaranteed by Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac. Ginnie Mae is part of a U.S. Government agency and its guarantees are backed by the full faith and credit of the United States. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are U.S. Government-sponsored entities, or GSEs, but their guarantees are not backed by the full faith and credit of the United States.

In 2008 in response to the deteriorating financial condition of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and the recent credit market disruption, Congress and the U.S. Treasury undertook a series of actions to stabilize these GSEs and the financial markets, generally. The Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 was signed into law on July 30, 2008, and established the Federal Housing Finance Agency, or the FHFA, with enhanced regulatory authority over, among other things, the business activities of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and the size of their portfolio holdings. On September 7, 2008, in response to the deterioration in the financial condition of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, the FHFA placed Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac into conservatorship, which is a statutory process pursuant to which the FHFA will operate Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac as conservator in an effort to stabilize the entities. The appointment of the FHFA as conservator of both Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac allows the FHFA to control the actions of the two GSEs without forcing them to liquidate, which would be the case under receivership. In addition, the U.S. Treasury has taken steps to capitalize and provide financing to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and agreed to purchase direct obligations and Agency RMBS issued or guaranteed by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac.

Shortly after Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac were placed in federal conservatorship, the Secretary of the U.S. Treasury, in announcing the actions, noted that the guarantee structure of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac required examination and that changes in the structures of the entities were necessary to reduce risk to the financial system. The future roles of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac could be significantly reduced and the nature of their guarantees could be eliminated or considerably limited relative to historical measurements. Any changes to the nature of the guarantees provided by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac could redefine what constitutes Agency RMBS and could have broad adverse market implications as well as negatively impact us.

The problems faced by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac resulting in their being placed into federal conservatorship have stirred debate among some federal policy makers regarding the continued role of the U.S. Government in providing liquidity for the residential mortgage market. If federal policy makers decide that the U.S. Government's role in providing liquidity for the residential mortgage market should be reduced or eliminated, each of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac could be dissolved and the U.S. Government could decide to stop providing liquidity support of any kind to the mortgage market. If Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac were eliminated, or their structures were to change radically, we would not be able to acquire Agency RMBS from these companies, which would drastically reduce the amount and type of Agency RMBS available for investment.

Our income could be negatively affected in a number of ways depending on the manner in which related events unfold. For example, the current credit support provided by the U.S. Treasury to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and any additional credit support it may provide in the future, could have the effect of lowering the interest rate we receive from Agency RMBS, thereby tightening the spread between the interest we earn on our portfolio of targeted investments and our cost of financing that portfolio. A reduction in the supply of Agency RMBS could also increase the prices of Agency RMBS we seek to acquire thereby reducing the spread between the interest we earn on our portfolio of targeted assets and our cost of financing that portfolio.

As indicated above, recent legislation has changed the relationship between Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and the U.S. Government and requires Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to reduce the amount of mortgage loans they own or for which they provide guarantees. The effect of the actions taken by the U.S. Government remains uncertain. Furthermore, the scope and nature of the actions that the U.S. Government will ultimately undertake are unknown and will continue to evolve. Future legislation could further change the relationship between Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and the U.S. Government, and could also nationalize or eliminate these GSEs entirely. Any law affecting these GSEs may create market uncertainty and have the effect of reducing the actual or perceived credit quality of securities issued or guaranteed by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac. As a result, such laws could increase the risk of loss on investments in Fannie Mae and/or Freddie Mac Agency RMBS. It is also possible that such laws could adversely impact the market for such securities and the spreads at which they trade. All of the foregoing could materially adversely affect the pricing, supply, liquidity and value of our target assets and otherwise materially adversely affect our business, operations and financial condition.

Separate legislation has been introduced in both houses of the U.S. congress, which would, among other things, revoke the charters of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and we could be materially adversely affected if these proposed laws were enacted.

On June 25, 2013, a bipartisan group of senators introduced the Housing Finance Reform and Taxpayer Protection Act of 2013, which may serve as a catalyst for congressional discussion on the reform of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, to the U.S. Senate. On July 11, 2013, members of the House Committee on Financial Services introduced the Protecting American Taxpayers and Homeowners Act to the U.S. House of Representatives.

While the two bills are distinguishable in many respects, they have some notable commonalities. Both bills call for the revocation of the charters of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and seek to increase the opportunities for private capital to participate in, and consequently bear the risk of loss in connection with, government-guaranteed mortgage backed securities. Both bills also have considerable support in their respective houses of Congress, which suggests that efforts to reform and possibly eliminate Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac may be gaining momentum.

The passage of any new legislation affecting Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac may create market uncertainty and reduce the actual or perceived credit quality of securities issued or guaranteed by the U.S. government through a new or existing successor entity to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. If the charters of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac were revoked, it is unclear what effect, if any, this would have on the value of the existing Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Agency RMBS. It is also possible that the above-referenced proposed legislation, if made law, could adversely impact the market for securities issued or guaranteed by the U.S. government and the spreads at which they trade. The foregoing could materially adversely affect the pricing, supply, liquidity and value of our target assets and otherwise materially adversely affect our business, operations and financial condition.

Mortgage loan modification and refinancing programs and future legislative action may adversely affect the value of, and our returns on, mortgage-backed securities.

The U.S. government, through the Federal Reserve, the FHA and the FDIC, has implemented a number of federal programs designed to assist homeowners, including the Home Affordable Modification Program, or HAMP, which provides homeowners with assistance in avoiding residential mortgage loan foreclosures, the Hope for Homeowners Program, or the H4H Program, which allows certain distressed borrowers to refinance their mortgages into FHA-insured loans in order to avoid residential mortgage loan foreclosures, and the Home Affordable Refinance Program, which allows borrowers who are current on their mortgage payments to refinance and reduce their monthly mortgage payments at loan-to-value ratios up to 125% without new mortgage insurance.

In the State of the Union Address in January 2013, President Obama urged Congress to adopt additional legislation to further assist homeowners, including those whose mortgages exceed the value of their homes, to refinance their mortgages and reduce the principal and interest payments on their mortgages. HAMP, the H4H Program and other loss mitigation programs may involve, among other things, the modification of mortgage loans to reduce the principal amount of the loans (through forbearance and/or forgiveness) and/or the rate of interest payable on the loans, or to extend the payment terms of the loans. Non-Agency RMBS yields and cash flows could particularly be negatively impacted by a significant number of loan modifications with respect to a given security, including, but not limited to, those related to principal forgiveness and coupon reduction. These loan modification programs, future legislative or regulatory actions, including possible amendments to the bankruptcy laws, which result in the modification of outstanding residential mortgage loans, as well as changes in the requirements necessary to qualify for refinancing mortgage loans with Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae, may adversely affect the value of, and the returns on, mortgage-backed securities that we may purchase.

On December 10, 2013, Mel Watt was confirmed as the new Director of FHFA, which may result in new mortgage loan or refinancing programs that could adversely impact market pricing and liquidity for our securities or the

securities we target for future purchase.

We are subject to the risk that agencies of and entities sponsored by the U.S. government may not be able to fully satisfy their guarantees of Agency RMBS or that these guarantee obligations may be repudiated, which may adversely affect the value of our investment portfolio and our ability to sell or finance these securities.

The interest and principal payments we receive on the Agency RMBS in which we invest are guaranteed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae. Unlike the Ginnie Mae certificates in which we may invest, the principal and interest on securities issued by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are not guaranteed by the U.S. government. All the Agency RMBS in which we invest depend on a steady stream of payments on the mortgages underlying the securities.

As conservator of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, the FHFA may disaffirm or repudiate (subject to certain limitations for qualified financial contracts) contracts that Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae entered into prior to FHFA's appointment as conservator if it determines, in its sole discretion, that performance of the contract is burdensome and that disaffirmation or repudiation of the contract promotes the orderly administration of its affairs. The Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008, or HERA, requires FHFA to exercise its right to disaffirm or repudiate most contracts within a reasonable period of time after its appointment as conservator. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have disclosed that the FHFA has disaffirmed certain consulting and other contracts that these entities entered into prior to FHFA's appointment as conservator. Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae have also disclosed that the FHFA has advised that it does not intend to repudiate any guarantee obligation relating to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac's mortgage-related securities, because FHFA views repudiation as incompatible with the goals of the conservatorship. In addition, the HERA provides that mortgage loans and mortgage-related assets that have been transferred to a Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae securitization trust must be held for the beneficial owners of the related mortgage-related securities, and cannot be used to satisfy the general creditors of Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae.

If the guarantee obligations of Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae were repudiated by the FHFA, payments of principal and/or interest to holders of Agency RMBS issued by Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae would be reduced in the event of any borrowers' late payments or failure to pay or a servicer's failure to remit borrower payments to the trust. In that case, trust administration and servicing fees could be paid from mortgage payments prior to distributions to holders of Agency RMBS. Any actual direct compensatory damages owed due to the repudiation of Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae's guarantee obligations may not be sufficient to offset any shortfalls experienced by holders of Agency RMBS. FHFA also has the right to transfer or sell any asset or liability of Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae, including its guarantee obligation, without any approval, assignment or consent. If FHFA were to transfer Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae's guarantee obligations to another party, holders of Agency RMBS would have to rely on that party for satisfaction of the guarantee obligation and would be exposed to the credit risk of that party.

We cannot predict the impact, if any, on our earnings or cash available for distribution to our stockholders of the FHFA's proposed revisions to Fannie Mae's, Freddie Mac's and Ginnie Mae's existing infrastructures to align the standards and practices of the three entities.

On February 21, 2012, the FHFA released its *Strategic Plan for Enterprise Conservatorships*, which set forth three goals for the next phase of the Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac conservatorships. These three goals are to (i) build a new infrastructure for the secondary mortgage market, (ii) gradually contract Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac's presence in the marketplace while simplifying and shrinking their operations, and (iii) maintain foreclosure prevention activities and credit availability for new and refinanced mortgages. On October 4, 2012, the FHFA released its white paper entitled *Building a New Infrastructure for the Secondary Mortgage Market*, which proposes a new infrastructure for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac that has two basic goals.

The first such goal is to replace the current, outdated infrastructures of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac with a common, more efficient infrastructure that aligns the standards and practices of the two entities, beginning with core functions performed by both entities such as issuance, master servicing, bond administration, collateral management and data integration. The second goal is to establish an operating framework for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac that is consistent

with the progress of housing finance reform and encourages and accommodates the increased participation of private capital in assuming credit risk associated with the secondary mortgage market.

The FHFA recognizes that there are a number of impediments to their goals which may or may not be surmountable, such as the absence of any significant secondary mortgage market mechanisms beyond Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae, and that their proposals are in the formative stages. As a result, it is unclear if the proposals will be enacted. If such proposals are enacted, it is unclear how closely what is enacted will resemble the proposals from the FHFA White Paper or what the effects of the enactment will be in terms of our net asset value, earnings or cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Risks related to financing and hedging

We may incur significant debt in the future, which will subject us to increased risk of loss and may reduce cash available for distributions to our stockholders.

Subject to market conditions and availability, we may further increase our debt in the future. We use leverage to finance our assets through borrowings from repurchase agreements and other secured and unsecured forms of borrowing. Although we are not required to maintain any particular leverage ratio, the amount of leverage we deploy for particular assets depends upon our Manager's assessment of the credit and other risks of those assets. Our board of directors may establish and change our leverage policy at any time without stockholder approval. In addition, we may leverage individual assets at substantially higher levels. Incurring debt could subject us to many risks that, if realized, would materially and adversely affect us, including the risk that:

- our cash flow from operations may be insufficient to make required payments of principal of and interest on the debt or we may fail to comply with all of the other covenants contained in the debt, which is likely to result in (i) acceleration of such debt (and any other debt containing a cross-default or cross-acceleration provision) that we may be unable to repay from internal funds or to refinance on favorable terms, or at all, (ii) our inability to borrow unused amounts under our financing agreements, even if we are current in payments on borrowings under those agreements and/or (iii) the loss of some or all of our assets to foreclosure or sale;
- our debt may increase our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions with no assurance that investment yields will increase with higher financing costs;
- we may be required to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our debt, thereby reducing funds available for operations, investments, stockholder distributions or other purposes; and
- we may not be able to refinance debt that matures prior to the investment it was used to finance on favorable terms, or at all.

Interest rate fluctuations could significantly decrease our results of operations and cash flows and the market value of our investments.

Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors beyond our control. Interest rate fluctuations present a variety of risks to our operations. Our primary interest rate exposure relates to the yield on our investments and the financing cost of our debt, as well as any interest rate derivatives that we utilize for hedging purposes. Changes in interest rates will affect our net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income we earn on our interest-earning investments and the interest expense we incur in financing these investments. Interest rate fluctuations resulting in our interest expense exceeding interest income will result in operating losses for us. Changes in the level of interest rates also may affect our ability to invest in investments, the value of our investments and our ability to realize gains from the disposition of assets. Changes in interest rates may also affect borrower default rates and may impact the ability of borrowers to refinance or modify loans and/or to sell real estate assets owned. Such change could negatively impact the value of our investments.

Most of our financing costs are determined by reference to floating rates, such as LIBOR or a Treasury index, plus a margin, the amount of which will depend on a number of factors, including, without limitation, (i) for collateralized debt, the value and liquidity of the collateral, and for non-collateralized debt, our credit, (ii) the level and movement of interest rates and (iii) general market conditions and liquidity. In a period of rising interest rates, our interest expense

on floating-rate debt would increase, while any additional interest income we earn on our floating-rate investments may not compensate for such increase in interest expense, the interest income we earn on our fixed-rate investments would not change, the duration and weighted average life of our fixed-rate investments would increase and the market value of our fixed-rate investments would decrease. Similarly, in a period of declining interest rates, our interest income on floating-rate investments would decrease, while any decrease in the interest we are charged on our floating-rate debt may not compensate for such decrease in interest income and interest we are charged on our fixed-rate debt would not change. Any such scenario could materially and adversely affect us.

Our operating results depend, in large part, on differences between the income earned on our investments, net of credit losses, and our financing costs. We anticipate that, in most cases, for any period during which our investments are not match-funded, the income earned on such investments will respond more slowly to interest rate fluctuations than the cost of our borrowings. Consequently, changes in interest rates, particularly short-term interest rates, may immediately and significantly decrease our results of operations and cash flows and the market value of our investments.

We depend, and may in the future depend, on repurchase agreement financing to acquire RMBS, ABS and CMBS, and our inability to access this funding could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and business.

We use repurchase agreement financing as a strategy to increase the return on our assets. However, we may not be able to achieve our desired leverage ratio for a number of reasons, including if the following events occur:

- our lenders do not make repurchase agreement financing available to us at acceptable rates;
- certain of our lenders exit the repurchase market;
- our lenders require that we pledge additional collateral to cover our borrowings, which we may be unable to do; or
- we determine that the leverage would expose us to excessive risk.

Our ability to fund our purchases of RMBS, ABS and CMBS may be impacted by our ability to secure repurchase agreement financing on acceptable terms. We can provide no assurance that lenders will be willing or able to provide us with sufficient financing. In addition, because repurchase agreements represent commitments of capital, lenders may respond to market conditions by making it more difficult for us to secure continued financing. During certain periods of the credit cycle, lenders may curtail their willingness to provide dual financing.

If major market participants exit the repurchase agreement financing business, the value of our RMBS, ABS and CMBS could be negatively impacted, thus reducing net stockholders' equity, or book value. Furthermore, if many of our lenders or potential lenders are unwilling or unable to provide us with repurchase agreement financing, we could be forced to sell our RMBS, ABS and CMBS assets at an inopportune time when prices are depressed.

In addition, if the regulatory capital requirements imposed on our lenders change, our lenders may be required to significantly increase the cost of the financing that they provide to us. Our lenders also may revise their eligibility requirements for the types of assets they are willing to finance or the terms of such financings, based on, among other factors, the regulatory environment and their management of perceived risk, particularly with respect to assignee liability.

Moreover, the amount of financing we receive, or may in the future receive, under our repurchase agreements is directly related to the lenders' valuations of the RMBS, ABS and CMBS that secure the outstanding borrowings. If we are unable to access or maintain financing for our RMBS and other assets, it could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition, business and liquidity.

Our current lenders require, and future lenders may require, us to enter into restrictive covenants relating to our operations.

As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had entered into MRAs, with 30 counterparties, under which we had borrowed an aggregate \$2.9 billion and \$3.9 billion, respectively, from 24 and 29 counterparties, respectively, on a GAAP basis. As of December 31, 2013, the borrowings under repurchase agreements had maturities between January 2, 2014 and May 29, 2014, and as of December 31, 2012, the borrowings under repurchase agreements had maturities between January 2, 2013 and June 17, 2013. These agreements generally include customary representations, warranties and covenants, but may also contain more restrictive supplemental terms and conditions. Although specific to each master repurchase agreement, typical supplemental terms include requirements of minimum equity, leverage ratios, performance triggers or other financial ratios. If we fail to meet or satisfy any covenants, supplemental terms or representations and warranties, we would be in default under these agreements and our lenders could elect to declare all amounts outstanding under the agreements to be immediately due and payable, enforce their respective interests against collateral pledged under such agreements and restrict our ability to make additional borrowings. Certain financing agreements may contain cross-default provisions, so that if a default occurs under any

one agreement, the lenders under our other agreements could also declare a default. Further, under our repurchase agreements, we may be required to pledge additional assets to our lenders in the event the estimated fair value of the existing pledged collateral under such agreements declines and such lenders demand additional collateral, which may take the form of additional securities or cash.

Future lenders may impose similar restrictions on us that would affect our ability to incur additional debt, make certain investments or acquisitions, reduce liquidity below certain levels, make distributions to our stockholders, redeem debt or equity securities and impact our flexibility to determine our operating policies and investment strategies. For example, our loan documents may contain negative covenants that limit, among other things, our ability to repurchase our common stock, distribute more than a certain amount of our net income or funds from operations to our stockholders, employ leverage beyond certain amounts, sell assets, engage in mergers or consolidations, grant liens and enter into transactions with affiliates. If we fail to meet or satisfy any of these covenants, we would be in default under these agreements, and our lenders could elect to declare outstanding amounts due and payable, terminate their commitments, require the posting of additional collateral and enforce their interests against existing collateral. We may also be subject to cross-default and acceleration rights and, with respect to collateralized debt, the posting of additional collateral and foreclosure rights upon default. Further, this could also make it difficult for us to satisfy the qualification requirements necessary to maintain our status as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

In the event non-recourse long-term financing structures become available to us in the future, our inability to enter into such structures may expose us to risks which could result in losses to us.

Under current market conditions, non-recourse long-term financing for our investments is not widely available, but we may utilize these financing structures if and when they become available. In such structures, our lenders typically would not have a general claim against us as an entity, as opposed to the assets themselves. We also may finance our investments on a long-term basis through issuances of equity and non-collateralized debt in the capital markets or otherwise, to the extent such financing is available. Prior to any such financing, we may seek to finance our investments with relatively short-term facilities until a sufficient portfolio is accumulated. As a result, we would be subject to the risk that we would not be able to acquire, during the period that any short-term facilities are available, sufficient eligible assets or securities to maximize the efficiency of a securitization. We also would bear the risk that we would not be able to obtain new short-term facilities or would not be able to renew any short-term facilities after they expire should we need more time to seek and acquire sufficient eligible assets or securities for a securitization. In addition, conditions in the capital markets, including the current volatility in the capital and credit markets, may not permit a non-recourse securitization at any particular time or may make the issuance of any such securitization less attractive to us even when we do have sufficient eligible assets or securities. While we would intend to retain the unrated equity component of securitizations and, therefore, still have exposure to any investments included in such securitizations, our inability to enter into such securitizations would increase our overall exposure to risks associated with direct ownership of such investments, including the risk of default. Our inability to refinance any short-term facilities would also increase our risk because borrowings thereunder would likely be recourse to us as an entity. If we are unable to obtain and renew short-term facilities or to consummate securitizations to finance our investments on a long-term basis, we may be required to seek other forms of potentially less attractive financing or to liquidate assets at an inopportune time or price.

If a counterparty to our repurchase transactions defaults on its obligation to resell the underlying security back to us at the end of the transaction term, or if the value of the underlying security has declined as of the end of that term, or if we default on our obligations under the repurchase agreement, we will lose money on our repurchase transactions.

When we engage in repurchase transactions, we generally sell securities to lenders (*i.e.*, repurchase agreement counterparties) and receive cash from the lenders. The lenders are obligated to resell the same securities back to us at the end of the term of the transaction. Because the cash we receive from lenders when we initially sell the securities to the lender is less than the value of those securities (this difference is the haircut), if the lender defaults on its obligation to resell the same securities back to us we may incur a loss on the transaction equal to the amount of the haircut (assuming there was no change in the value of the securities). At December 31, 2013, we had greater than 5% stockholders' equity at risk with each of three repurchase agreement counterparties: Credit Suisse, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.

We will also lose money on a repurchase transaction if the value of the underlying securities has declined as of the end of the transaction term, as we will have to repurchase the securities for their initial value but will receive securities worth less than that amount. Further, if we default on one of our obligations under a repurchase transaction, the lender will be able to terminate the transaction and cease entering into any other repurchase transactions with us. If a default occurs under any of our repurchase agreements and the lenders terminate one or more of our repurchase agreements, we may need to enter into replacement repurchase agreements with different lenders. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in entering into such replacement repurchase agreements on the same terms as the repurchase agreements that were terminated or at all. Any losses we incur on our repurchase transactions could adversely affect our earnings and thus our cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Our use or future use of repurchase agreements to finance our RMBS, ABS and CMBS may give our lenders greater rights in the event that either we or a lender files for bankruptcy.

Our borrowings or future borrowings under repurchase agreements for our RMBS, ABS and CMBS may qualify for special treatment under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, giving our lenders the ability to avoid the automatic stay provisions of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code and to take possession of and liquidate the assets that we have pledged under their repurchase agreements without delay in the event that we file for bankruptcy. Furthermore, the special treatment of repurchase agreements under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code may make it difficult for us to recover our pledged assets in the event that a lender party to such agreement files for bankruptcy. Therefore, our use of repurchase agreements to finance our investments exposes our pledged assets to risk in the event of a bankruptcy filing by either a lender or us.

Our rights under our repurchase agreements may be subject to the effects of the bankruptcy laws in the event of the bankruptcy or insolvency of us or our lenders under the repurchase agreements, which may allow our lenders to repudiate our repurchase agreements.

In the event of our insolvency or bankruptcy, certain repurchase agreements may qualify for special treatment under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, the effect of which, among other things, would be to allow the lender under the applicable repurchase agreement to avoid the automatic stay provisions of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code and to foreclose on the pledged collateral without delay. In the event of the insolvency or bankruptcy of a lender during the term of a repurchase agreement, the lender may be permitted, under applicable insolvency laws, to repudiate the contract, and our claim against the lender for damages may be treated simply as that of an unsecured creditor. In addition, if the lender is a broker or dealer subject to the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970, or an insured depository institution subject to the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, our ability to exercise our rights to recover our securities under a repurchase agreement or to be compensated for any damages resulting from the lender's insolvency may be further limited by those statutes. These claims would be subject to significant delay and, if and when received, may be substantially less than the damages we actually incur.

The repurchase agreements that we use to finance our investments may require us to provide additional collateral and may restrict us from leveraging our assets as fully as desired.

We use repurchase agreements to finance our acquisition of RMBS, ABS and CMBS. If the market value of the RMBS, ABS and CMBS pledged or sold by us to a counterparty declines, we may be required by the counterparty to provide additional collateral or pay down a portion of the funds advanced, but we may not have the funds or unpledged liquid investments available to do so, which could result in defaults. Posting additional collateral to support our credit will reduce our liquidity and limit our ability to leverage our assets, which could adversely affect our business. In the event we do not have sufficient liquidity to meet such requirements, counterparties can accelerate repayment of our indebtedness, increase interest rates, liquidate our collateral or terminate our ability to borrow. Additionally, in order to obtain cash to satisfy a margin call, we may be required to liquidate assets at a disadvantageous time, which could cause us to incur further losses and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. Such a situation would likely result in a rapid deterioration of our financial condition and possibly necessitate a filing for bankruptcy protection.

Further, counterparties may require us to maintain a certain amount of cash uninvested or to set aside non-levered assets sufficient to maintain a specified liquidity position which would allow us to satisfy our collateral obligations. As a result, we may not be able to leverage our assets as fully as we would choose, which could reduce our return on equity. If we are unable to meet these collateral obligations, our financial condition could deteriorate rapidly.

Hedging against interest rate exposure may materially and adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows.

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT and our exemption under the Investment Company Act, we pursue hedging strategies to reduce our exposure to adverse changes in interest rates. Our hedging activity will vary in scope based on the level of interest rates, the type of investments held, and other changing market conditions. Interest rate hedging may fail to protect or could adversely affect us because, among other things:

- interest rate hedging can be expensive, particularly during periods of rising and volatile interest rates;
- available interest rate hedging may not correspond directly with the interest rate risk for which protection is sought;
- the duration of the hedge may not match the duration of the related liability or asset;
- the amount of income that a REIT may earn from hedging transactions to offset interest rate losses is limited by U.S. federal tax provisions governing REITs;
- the credit quality of the hedging counterparty owing money on the hedge may be downgraded to such an extent that it impairs our ability to sell or assign our side of the hedging transaction; and
- the hedging counterparty owing the money in the hedging transaction may default on its obligation to pay.

In addition, we may fail to recalculate, re-adjust and execute hedges in an efficient manner.

Our hedging activities, which are intended to limit losses, may materially and adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows. Therefore, while we may enter into such transactions seeking to reduce interest rate risks, unanticipated changes in interest rates may result in poorer overall investment performance than if we had not engaged in any such hedging transactions. In addition, the degree of correlation between price movements of the instruments used in hedging strategies and price movements in the portfolio positions or liabilities being hedged may vary materially. Moreover, it may be impossible to establish a perfect correlation between such hedging instruments and the portfolio positions or liabilities being hedged. Any such imperfect correlation may prevent us from achieving the intended hedge and expose us to increased risk of loss.

We may enter into hedging transactions that could expose us to contingent liabilities in the future.

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT, part of our investment strategy involves entering into hedging transactions that could require us to fund cash payments in certain circumstances (such as the early termination of the hedging instrument caused by an event of default or other early termination event, or the decision by a counterparty to request margin securities it is contractually owed under the terms of the hedging instrument). The amount due would be equal to the unrealized loss of the open hedging positions with the respective counterparty and could also include other fees and charges. These economic losses will be reflected in our results of operations, and our ability to fund these obligations will depend on the liquidity of our assets and access to capital at the time, and the need to fund these obligations could adversely impact our financial condition.

Hedging instruments may not be traded on regulated exchanges, guaranteed by an exchange or its clearing house, or regulated by any U.S. or foreign governmental authorities and involve risks and costs that could result in material losses.

The cost of using hedging instruments increases as the period covered by the instrument lengthens during periods of rising and volatile interest rates. We may increase our hedging activity and thus increase our hedging costs during periods when interest rates are volatile or rising and hedging costs have increased. In addition, hedging instruments involve risk since they may not be traded on regulated exchanges, guaranteed by an exchange or its clearing house, or regulated by any U.S. or foreign governmental authorities. Consequently, there may be few or no requirements with respect to record keeping, financial responsibility or segregation of customer funds and positions. Furthermore, the enforceability of agreements underlying derivative transactions may depend on compliance with applicable statutory, commodity and other regulatory requirements and, depending on the identity of the counterparty, applicable international requirements. The business failure of a hedging counterparty with whom we enter into a hedging transaction will most likely result in a default. Default by a party with whom we enter into a hedging transaction may result in the loss of unrealized profits and force us to replace the affected hedging instruments, at the then current market price. Although generally we seek to reserve the right to terminate our hedging positions, it may not always be possible to dispose of or close out a hedging position without the consent of the hedging counterparty, and we may not be able to enter into an offsetting contract in order to cover our risk. No assurance can be given that a liquid secondary market will exist for derivative instruments purchased or sold, and we may be required to maintain a position until exercise or expiration, which could result in significant losses.

Clearing facilities or exchanges upon which some of our hedging instruments are traded may increase margin requirements on our hedging instruments in the event of adverse economic developments.

In response to events having or expected to have adverse economic consequences or which create market uncertainty, clearing facilities or exchanges upon which some of our hedging instruments, such as interest rate caps and swaps, are traded may require us to post additional collateral against our hedging instruments. In response to the U.S. approaching its debt ceiling without resolution and the government shutdown, the Chicago Mercantile Exchange announced on October 15, 2013 that it would increase margin requirements by 12% for all over-the-counter interest rate swap portfolios that its clearinghouse guaranteed. This increase was subsequently rolled back on October 17,

2013 upon the news that Congress passed legislation to temporarily suspend the debt ceiling and reopen the government, which allowed time for broader negotiations concerning budgetary issues. In the event that future adverse economic developments or market uncertainty result in increased margin requirements for our hedging instruments, it could materially adversely affect our liquidity position, business, financial condition and results of operations.

Risks related to our investments

Difficult conditions in the residential real estate market may cause us to experience market losses related to our holdings.

Our results of operations are materially affected by conditions in the mortgage market, the residential and commercial real estate markets, the financial markets and the economy generally. Continuing concerns over inflation, energy costs, geopolitical issues, the availability and cost of credit, the mortgage market and the strength of the recovery in the real estate market have contributed to increased volatility and diminished expectations for the economy and markets. The residential and commercial mortgage markets have been severely affected by changes in the lending landscape and there is no assurance that these conditions have permanently stabilized or that they will not worsen in the future. The severity of limited liquidity was largely unanticipated by the markets, and access to mortgages was and in many cases continues to be substantially limited. This has impacted new demand for homes, which has compressed the home ownership rate and may weigh heavily on future home price performance. Furthermore, there is a strong correlation between home price appreciation and mortgage loan delinquencies. Delinquency rates may impact the performance of the credit securities we hold in our portfolio.

Declines in the market values of our investments may adversely affect our results of operations and credit availability, which may reduce earnings and, in turn, cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

A substantial portion of our assets are reported for accounting purposes at fair value. Changes in the market values of those assets are directly charged or credited to earnings for the period. As a result, a decline in values will reduce the book value of our Company.

A decline in the market value of our assets may adversely affect us, particularly in instances where we have borrowed money based on the market value of those assets. If the market value of those assets declines, the lender may require us to post additional collateral to support the loan. If we were unable to post the additional collateral, we would have to sell the assets at a time when we might not otherwise choose to do so.

The mortgage loans that we acquire, the mortgages underlying the RMBS that we acquire, the commercial mortgage loans underlying the CMBS that we acquire and the assets underlying the ABS are all subject to defaults, foreclosure timeline extension, fraud, price depreciation and unfavorable modification of loan principal amount, interest rate and premium, any of which could result in losses to us.

In the event of any default under a mortgage loan held directly by us, we bear a risk of loss of principal to the extent of any deficiency between the value of the collateral and the principal and accrued interest of the mortgage loan, which could have a material adverse effect on our cash flow from operations. In the event of the bankruptcy of a mortgage loan borrower, the mortgage loan to such borrower will be deemed to be secured only to the extent of the value of the underlying collateral at the time of bankruptcy (as determined by the bankruptcy court), and the lien securing the mortgage loan will be subject to the avoidance powers of the bankruptcy trustee or debtor in possession to the extent the lien is unenforceable under state law. Foreclosure of a mortgage loan can be an expensive and lengthy process which could have a substantial negative effect on our anticipated return on the foreclosed mortgage loan.

Our investments in RMBS are subject to the risks of defaults, foreclosure timeline extension, fraud and home price depreciation and unfavorable modification of loan principal amount, interest rate and amortization of principal, accompanying the underlying residential mortgage loans. The ability of a borrower to repay a mortgage loan secured by a residential property is dependent upon the income or assets of the borrower. A number of factors may impair borrowers' abilities to repay their loans, including:

- acts of God, including earthquakes, floods and other natural disasters, which may result in uninsured losses;

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

- acts of war or terrorism, including the consequences of terrorist attacks, such as those that occurred on September 11, 2001;
- adverse changes in national and local economic and market conditions;
- changes in governmental laws and regulations, fiscal policies and zoning ordinances and the related costs of compliance with laws and regulations, fiscal policies and ordinances;
- costs of remediation and liabilities associated with environmental conditions such as indoor mold;
- the potential for uninsured or under-insured property losses; and
- the availability of refinancing.

In the event of defaults on the residential mortgage loans that underlie our investments in RMBS and the exhaustion of any underlying or any additional credit support, we may not realize our anticipated return on our investments and we may incur a loss on these investments.

CMBS are secured by a single commercial mortgage loan or a pool of commercial mortgage loans. Accordingly, the CMBS we invest in are subject to all of the risks of the respective underlying commercial mortgage loans. Commercial mortgage loans are secured by multifamily or commercial property and are subject to risks of delinquency and foreclosure, and risks of loss that are greater than similar risks associated with loans made on the security of single-family residential property. The ability of a borrower to repay a loan secured by an income-producing property typically is dependent primarily upon the successful operation of such property rather than upon the existence of independent income or assets of the borrower. If the net operating income of the property is reduced, the borrower's ability to repay the loan may be impaired. Net operating income of an income-producing property can be affected by, among other things,

- tenant mix;
- success of tenant businesses;
- property management decisions;
- property location and condition;
- competition from comparable types of properties;
- changes in laws that increase operating expenses or limit rents that may be charged;
- any need to address environmental contamination at the property or the occurrence of any uninsured casualty at the property;
- changes in national, regional or local economic conditions and/or specific industry segments;
 - declines in regional or local real estate values;
 - declines in regional or local rental or occupancy rates;
 - increases in interest rates;
 - real estate tax rates and other operating expenses;
- changes in governmental rules, regulations and fiscal policies, including environmental legislation; and
 - acts of God, terrorist attacks, social unrest and civil disturbances.

ABS are securities backed by various asset classes including, but not limited to, small balance commercial mortgages, aircraft, automobiles, credit cards, equipment, manufactured housing, franchises, recreational vehicles and student loans. ABS remain subject to the credit exposure of the underlying receivables. In the event of increased rates of delinquency with respect to any receivables underlying our ABS, we may not realize our anticipated return on these investments.

The failure of servicers to effectively service the mortgage loans underlying the RMBS in our portfolio or any mortgage loans we own may materially and adversely affect us.

Most residential mortgage loans and securitizations of residential mortgage loans require a servicer to manage collections on each of the underlying loans. Both default frequency and default severity of loans may depend upon the quality of the servicer. If servicers are not vigilant in encouraging borrowers to make their monthly payments, the borrowers may be far less likely to make these payments, which could result in a higher frequency of default. If servicers take longer to liquidate non-performing assets, loss severities may tend to be higher than originally anticipated. Higher loss severity may also be caused by less competent dispositions of REO properties. The failure of servicers to effectively service the mortgage loans underlying the RMBS in our portfolio or any mortgage loans we own could negatively impact the value of our investments and our performance. Servicer quality is of prime importance in the default performance of residential mortgage loans and RMBS. Many servicers have gone out of business in recent years, requiring a transfer of servicing to another servicer. This transfer takes time and loans may become delinquent because of confusion or lack of attention. When servicing is transferred, servicing fees may increase which may have an adverse effect on the credit support of RMBS held by us. In the case of pools of securitized loans, servicers may be required to advance interest on delinquent loans to the extent the servicer deems those advances recoverable. In the event the servicer does not advance, interest may be interrupted even on more senior securities. Servicers may also advance more than is in fact recoverable once a defaulted loan is disposed, and the loss to the trust may be greater than the outstanding principal balance of that loan (greater than 100% loss severity).

We may not control the special servicing of the mortgage loans included in the CMBS in which we invest and, in such cases, the special servicer may take actions that could adversely affect our interests.

With respect to each series of CMBS in which we invest, overall control over the special servicing of the related underlying mortgage loans is held by a “directing certificateholder” or a “controlling class representative,” which is appointed by the holders of the most subordinate class of CMBS in such series. Depending on the class of CMBS we have acquired or may acquire, we may not have the right to appoint the directing certificateholder. In connection with the servicing of the specially serviced mortgage loans, the related special servicer may, at the direction of the directing certificateholder, take actions with respect to the specially serviced mortgage loans that could adversely affect our interests.

If our Manager overestimates the loss-adjusted yields of our CMBS investments, we may experience losses.

Our Manager values our potential CMBS investments based on loss-adjusted yields, taking into account estimated future losses on the mortgage loans included in the securitization’s pool of loans, and the estimated impact of these losses on expected future cash flows. Our Manager’s loss estimates may not prove accurate, as actual results may vary from estimates. In the event that our Manager underestimates the pool level losses relative to the price we pay for a particular CMBS investment, we may experience losses with respect to such investment.

Mezzanine loan assets involve greater risks of loss than senior loans secured by income-producing properties.

We may acquire mezzanine loans, which take the form of subordinated loans secured by second mortgages on the underlying property or loans secured by a pledge of the ownership interests of either the entity owning the property or a pledge of the ownership interests of the entity that owns the interest in the entity owning the property. These types of assets involve a higher degree of risk than long-term senior mortgage lending secured by income-producing real property, because the loan may become unsecured as a result of foreclosure by the senior lender. In the event of a bankruptcy of the entity providing the pledge of its ownership interests as security, we may not have full recourse to the assets of such entity, or the assets of the entity may not be sufficient to satisfy our mezzanine loan. If a borrower defaults on our mezzanine loan or debt senior to our loan, or in the event of a borrower bankruptcy, our mezzanine loan will be satisfied only after the senior debt. As a result, we may not recover some or all of our initial expenditure. In addition, mezzanine loans may have higher loan-to-value ratios than conventional mortgage loans, resulting in less equity in the property and increasing the risk of loss of principal. Significant losses related to our mezzanine loans would result in operating losses for us and may limit our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Bridge loans involve a greater risk of loss than traditional investment grade mortgage loans with fully insured borrowers.

We may acquire bridge loans secured by first lien mortgages on a property to borrowers who are typically seeking short-term capital to be used in an acquisition, construction or redevelopment of a property. The borrower has usually identified an undervalued asset that has been under-managed and/or is located in a recovering market. If the market in which the asset is located fails to recover according to the borrower’s projections, or if the borrower fails to improve the quality of the asset’s management and/or the value of the asset, the borrower may not receive a sufficient return on the asset to satisfy the bridge loan, and we bear the risk that we may not recover some or all of our initial expenditure.

In addition, borrowers usually use the proceeds of a conventional mortgage to repay a bridge loan. Bridge loans therefore are subject to risks of a borrower’s inability to obtain permanent financing to repay the bridge loan. Bridge loans are also subject to risks of borrower defaults, bankruptcies, fraud, losses and special hazard losses that are not covered by standard hazard insurance. In the event of any default under bridge loans held by us, we bear the risk of loss of principal and non-payment of interest and fees to the extent of any deficiency between the value of the mortgage collateral and the principal amount of the bridge loan. To the extent we suffer such losses with respect to our

bridge loans, the value of our Company and the price of our shares of common stock may be adversely affected.

An increase in interest rates may cause a decrease in the volume of certain of our target assets which could adversely affect our ability to acquire target assets that satisfy our investment objectives and to generate income and pay dividends.

Rising interest rates generally reduce the demand for mortgage loans due to the higher cost of borrowing. A reduction in the volume of mortgage loans originated may affect the volume of our target assets available to us, which could adversely affect our ability to acquire assets that satisfy our investment objectives. Rising interest rates may also cause our target assets that were issued prior to an interest rate increase to provide yields that are below prevailing market interest rates. If rising interest rates cause us to be unable to acquire a sufficient volume of our target assets with a yield that is above our borrowing cost, our ability to satisfy our investment objectives and to generate income and pay dividends may be materially and adversely affected.

The relationship between short-term and longer-term interest rates is often referred to as the “yield curve.” Ordinarily, short-term interest rates are lower than longer-term interest rates. If short-term interest rates rise disproportionately relative to longer-term interest rates (a flattening of the yield curve), our borrowing costs may increase more rapidly than the interest income earned on our assets. Because we expect our investments, on average, generally will bear interest based on longer-term rates than our borrowings, a flattening of the yield curve would tend to decrease our net income and the market value of our net assets. Additionally, to the extent cash flows from investments that return scheduled and unscheduled principal are reinvested, the spread between the yields on the new investments and available borrowing rates may decline, which would likely decrease our net income. It is also possible that short-term interest rates may exceed longer-term interest rates (a yield curve inversion), in which event our borrowing costs may exceed our interest income and we could incur operating losses.

Interest rate mismatches between our RMBS backed by ARMs or hybrid ARMs and our borrowings used to fund our purchases of these assets may reduce our net interest income and cause us to suffer a loss during periods of rising interest rates.

We fund most of our investments in long term RMBS with short term borrowings that have interest rates that adjust more frequently than the interest rate indices and repricing terms of RMBS backed by adjustable-rate mortgages, or ARMs or hybrid ARMs. Accordingly, if short-term interest rates increase, our borrowing costs may increase faster than the interest rates on RMBS backed by ARMs or hybrid ARMs adjust. As a result, in a period of rising interest rates, we could experience a decrease in net income or a net loss.

In most cases, the interest rate indices and repricing terms of RMBS backed by ARMs or hybrid ARMs and our borrowings are not identical, thereby potentially creating an interest rate mismatch between our investments and our borrowings. While the historical spread between relevant short-term interest rate indices has been relatively stable, there have been periods when the spread between these indices was volatile. During periods of changing interest rates, these interest rate index mismatches could reduce our net income or produce a net loss, and adversely affect the level of our dividends and the market price of our common stock.

In addition, RMBS backed by ARMs or hybrid ARMs are typically subject to lifetime interest rate caps which limit the amount an interest rate can increase through the maturity of the RMBS. However, our borrowings under repurchase agreements typically are not subject to similar restrictions. Accordingly, in a period of rapidly increasing interest rates, the interest rates paid on our borrowings could increase without limitation while caps could limit the interest rates on these types of RMBS. This problem is magnified for RMBS backed by ARMs or hybrid ARMs that are not fully indexed. Further, some RMBS backed by ARMs or hybrid ARMs may be subject to periodic payment caps that result in a portion of the interest being deferred and added to the principal outstanding. As a result, we may receive less cash income on these types of RMBS than we need to pay interest on our related borrowings. These factors could reduce our net interest income and cause us to suffer a loss during periods of rising interest rates.

Because we acquire fixed-rate securities, an increase in interest rates on our borrowings may adversely affect our book value.

Increases in interest rates may negatively affect the market value of our assets. Any fixed-rate securities we invest in generally will be more negatively affected by these increases than adjustable-rate securities. In accordance with GAAP, we are required to reduce the book value of our investments by the amount of any decrease in their fair value. We are thereby required to evaluate our assets on at least a quarterly basis to determine their fair value, by primarily using third-party pricing services and broker-dealer quotes. If the fair value of a security is not available from a dealer or third-party pricing service, we estimate the fair value of the security using a variety of methods including, but not limited to, discounted cash flow analysis, matrix pricing, option-adjusted spread models and fundamental analysis. Aggregate characteristics taken into consideration include, but are not limited to, type of collateral, index, margin, periodic cap, lifetime cap, underwriting standards, age and delinquency experience. However, the fair value reflects

estimates and may not be indicative of the amounts we would receive in a current market exchange. If we determine that a security on which we have not made a mark to market election is other-than-temporarily impaired, and we do not intend to sell and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security, we would reduce the value of such security on our balance sheet by recording an impairment charge in our statement of operations.

Rapid changes in the values of our residential mortgage loans and other real estate-related assets may make it more difficult for us to maintain our qualification as a REIT or exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act.

If the market value or income potential of our residential mortgage loans and other real estate-related assets declines as a result of increased interest rates, prepayment rates or other factors, we may need to increase certain real estate investments and income and/or liquidate our non-qualifying assets in order to maintain our REIT qualification or exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act. If the decline in real estate asset values and/or income occurs quickly, this may be especially difficult to accomplish. This difficulty may be exacerbated by the illiquid nature of our investments. We may have to make investment decisions that we otherwise would not make absent our REIT and Investment Company Act considerations.

Changes in prepayment rates could negatively affect the value of our investment portfolio, which could result in reduced earnings or losses and negatively affect the cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

The value of our investment portfolio may be affected by prepayment rates on mortgage loans. Many loans do not contain any restrictions on borrowers' abilities to prepay their residential mortgage loans and therefore the expected weighted average life of Agency RMBS is generally much shorter than would be implied by their stated contractual maturities. Interest only Agency RMBS only entitle the holder to interest payments. Therefore, the yield to maturity of interest only Agency RMBS is extremely sensitive to the rate of principal payments (particularly prepayments) on the underlying pool of mortgages.

Prepayment rates on loans are influenced by changes in market interest rates and a variety of economic, geographic and other factors beyond our control. Consequently, we cannot predict with certainty such prepayment rates, and no strategy can completely insulate us from prepayment or other such risks. Homeowners tend to prepay mortgage loans faster when interest rates decline. Consequently, owners of the loans have to reinvest the money received from the prepayments at the lower prevailing interest rates. Conversely, homeowners tend not to prepay mortgage loans when interest rates increase. Consequently, owners of the loans are unable to reinvest money that would have otherwise been received from prepayments at the higher prevailing interest rates. This volatility in prepayment rates may affect our ability to maintain targeted amounts of leverage on our portfolio and may result in reduced earnings or losses for us and negatively affect the cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Many of our investments may be illiquid and we may not be able to vary our portfolio in response to changes in economic and other conditions.

We expect generally that any securities we purchase will be in connection with privately-negotiated transactions that will not be registered under the relevant securities laws, resulting in a prohibition against their transfer, sale, pledge or other disposition except in a transaction that is exempt from the registration requirements of, or is otherwise in accordance with, those laws. Generally, we will not be able to sell these securities publicly without the expense and time required to register the securities under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, if the obligors agree to do so, or will be able to sell the securities only under Rule 144 or other rules under the Securities Act which permit only limited sales under specified conditions. Moreover, turbulent market conditions, such as those experienced over the last several years and as recently as the spring and summer of 2013, could significantly and negatively impact the liquidity of our assets. It may be difficult or impossible to obtain or validate third-party pricing on the investments we purchase. Illiquid investments typically exhibit more volatility in price behavior, as a ready market does not exist, and can be more difficult to value. The illiquidity of our investments may make it difficult for us to sell such investments if the need or desire arises. In addition, if we are required to liquidate all or a portion of our portfolio quickly, we may realize significantly less than the value maintained for it in our records. Furthermore, we may face other restrictions on our ability to liquidate an investment in an entity to the extent that we have or could be attributed with material non-public information regarding such entity.

Investments in subordinated loans and subordinated mortgage-backed securities could subject us to increased risk of losses.

We invest in subordinated loans and subordinated Non-Agency RMBS. In the event a borrower defaults on a loan and lacks sufficient assets to satisfy such loan, we may lose all or a significant part of our investment. In the event a borrower becomes subject to bankruptcy proceedings, we will not have any recourse to the assets, if any, of the borrower that are not pledged to secure our loan, and the unpledged assets of the borrower may not be sufficient to satisfy our loan. If a borrower defaults on our loan or on its senior debt (*i.e.*, a first-lien loan, in the case of a residential mortgage loan, or a contractually or structurally senior loan, in the case of a commercial mortgage loan), or in the event of a borrower bankruptcy, our loan will be satisfied only after all senior debt is paid in full. In the case of commercial mortgage loans, where senior debt exists, the presence of intercreditor arrangements may limit our ability

to amend our loan documents, assign our loan, accept prepayments, exercise our remedies and control decisions made in bankruptcy proceedings relating to borrowers.

In general, losses on mortgage loans included in a securitization will be borne first by holders of the “first loss” subordinated security and then, in turn, by the holders of more senior securities, beginning with the most subordinated. In addition, losses on the property securing a commercial mortgage loan included in a securitization will be borne first by the equity holder of the property, then by a cash reserve fund or letter of credit provided by the borrower, if any, and then by subordinated security holders, as described in the preceding sentence. In the event of default of a mortgage loan we own (and, if the loan is a commercial mortgage loan, the exhaustion of any equity support, reserve fund or letter of credit) and, in the case of Non-Agency RMBS and CMBS in which we invest, the exhaustion of any credit support provided by any classes of securities junior to such Non-Agency RMBS and CMBS, we may not recover all or even a significant part of our investment, which could result in repayment losses. In addition, if the underlying mortgage portfolio has been overvalued by the originator, or if the values subsequently decline and, as a result, less collateral is available to satisfy interest and principal payments due on the related mortgage-backed securities, the securities in which we invest may suffer significant losses.

The prices of lower credit quality investments are generally more sensitive to adverse actual or perceived economic downturns or individual issuer developments than more highly rated investments. An economic downturn or a projection of an economic downturn, for example, could cause a decline in the price of lower credit quality investments because the ability of obligors to make principal and interest payments or to refinance may be impaired. In such event, existing credit support in the securitization structure may be insufficient to protect us against loss.

Any credit ratings assigned to our investments will be subject to ongoing evaluations and revisions and we cannot assure you that those ratings will not be downgraded.

Some of our investments are rated by Moody’s Investors Service, Fitch Ratings or Standard & Poor’s. Any credit ratings on our investments are subject to ongoing evaluation by credit rating agencies, and we cannot assure you that any such ratings will not be changed or withdrawn by a rating agency in the future if, in its judgment, circumstances warrant. If rating agencies assign a lower-than-expected rating or reduce or withdraw, or indicate that they may reduce or withdraw, their ratings of our investments in the future, the value of these investments could significantly decline, which will adversely affect the value of our investment portfolio and could result in losses upon disposition or the failure of borrowers to satisfy their debt service obligations to us.

Insurance on real estate securities collateral may not cover all losses.

There are certain types of losses, generally of a catastrophic nature, such as earthquakes, floods, hurricanes, terrorism or acts of war that may be uninsurable or not economically insurable. Inflation, changes in building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors, including terrorism or acts of war, also might make the insurance proceeds insufficient to repair or replace a property if it is damaged or destroyed. Under these circumstances, the insurance proceeds received might not be adequate to restore our economic position with respect to the affected real property. Any uninsured loss could result in the loss of cash flow from, and the asset value of, the affected property.

Our Manager’s due diligence of potential investments may not reveal all of the liabilities associated with such investments and may not reveal other weaknesses in such investments, which could lead to investment losses.

Before making an investment, our Manager assesses the strengths and weaknesses of the originators, borrowers, and the underlying property values, as well as other factors and characteristics that are material to the performance of the investment. In making the assessment and otherwise conducting customary due diligence, our Manager relies on resources available to it and, in some cases, an investigation by third parties. There can be no assurance that our Manager’s due diligence process will uncover all relevant facts or that any investment will be successful.

We may be adversely affected by risks affecting borrowers or the asset or property types in which our investments may be concentrated at any given time, as well as from unfavorable changes in the related geographic regions.

Our assets are not subject to any geographic, diversification or concentration limitations except that we concentrate in residential mortgage-related investments. Accordingly, our investment portfolio may be concentrated by geography, asset, property type and/or borrower, increasing the risk of loss to us if the particular concentration in our portfolio is subject to greater risks or undergoing adverse developments. In addition, adverse conditions in the areas where the properties securing or otherwise underlying our investments are located (including business layoffs or downsizing, industry slowdowns, changing demographics and other factors) and local real estate conditions (such as oversupply or reduced demand) may have an adverse effect on the value of our investments. A material decline in the demand for real estate in these areas may materially and adversely affect us. Lack of diversification can increase the correlation of non-performance and foreclosure risks among our investments.

A prolonged economic slowdown, recession or declining real estate values could materially and adversely affect us.

We believe the risks associated with our investments will be more acute during periods of economic slowdown or recession, especially if these periods are accompanied by declining real estate values. A weakening economy and declining real estate values significantly increase the likelihood that borrowers will default on their debt service obligations to us and that we will incur losses on our investments with them in the event of a default on a particular investment because the value of any collateral may be insufficient to cover the full amount of such investment or may require a significant amount of time to realize. In addition, under such conditions, our access to capital will generally be more limited, if available at all, and more expensive. Any period of increased payment delinquencies, foreclosures or losses could adversely affect the net interest income generated from our portfolio and our ability to make and finance future investments, which would materially and adversely affect our revenues, results of operations, financial condition, business prospects and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Our investments are generally recorded at fair value, and quoted prices or observable inputs may not be available to determine such value, resulting in the use of significant unobservable inputs to determine value.

The values of some of our investments may not be readily determinable. We measure the fair value of these investments quarterly, in accordance with guidance set forth in Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC 820-10, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures." The fair value at which our assets are recorded may not be an indication of their realizable value. Ultimate realization of the value of an asset depends to a great extent on economic and other conditions that are beyond the control of our Manager, our Company or our board of directors. Further, fair value is only an estimate based on good faith judgment of the price at which an investment can be sold since market prices of investments can only be determined by negotiation between a willing buyer and seller. If we were to liquidate a particular asset, the realized value may be more than or less than the amount at which such asset is valued.

To a large extent, our Manager's determination of the fair value of our investments depends on inputs provided by third-party dealers and pricing services. Valuations of certain securities in which we invest are often difficult to obtain or are unreliable. In general, dealers and pricing services heavily disclaim their valuations. Dealers may claim to furnish valuations only as an accommodation and without special compensation, and so they may disclaim any and all liability for any direct, incidental, or consequential damages arising out of any inaccuracy or incompleteness in valuations, including any act of negligence or breach of any warranty. Depending on the complexity and illiquidity of a security, valuations of the same security can vary substantially from one dealer or pricing service to another. Therefore, our results of operations for a given period could be adversely affected if our determinations regarding the fair market value of these investments are materially higher than the values that we ultimately realize upon their disposal.

Our investments in residential and commercial whole loans are difficult to value and are dependent upon the ability to finance, refinance and securitize such investments. The inability to do so could materially and adversely affect our liquidity and earnings and limit the cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Our investments may include investments in re-performing loans, or RPLs, or non-performing loans, or NPLs. RPLs are loans on which a borrower was previously delinquent but has resumed repaying. Our ability to sell RPLs for a profit depends on the borrower continuing to make payments. An RPL could become a NPL, which could reduce our earnings. Investments in NPLs and sub-performing loans may involve workout negotiations, restructuring and the possibility of foreclosure. These processes may be lengthy and expensive. If loans become real estate owned, or REO, servicing companies will have to manage these properties and may not be able to sell them. See "Our ability to sell REO on terms acceptable to us or at all may be limited."

We may seek to refinance an NPL or RPL to realize greater value from such loan. However, there may be impediments to executing a refinancing strategy for NPLs and RPLs. For example, many mortgage lenders have adjusted their loan programs and underwriting standards to be more conservative, which has reduced the availability of mortgage credit to prospective borrowers. This has resulted in reduced availability of financing alternatives for borrowers seeking to refinance their mortgage loans. The decline in housing prices may also result in higher loan-to-value ratios and leave borrowers with insufficient equity in their homes to permit them to refinance. To the extent prevailing mortgage interest rates rise from their current low levels, these risks would be exacerbated. The effect of the above would likely serve to make refinancing of NPLs and RPLs potentially more difficult and less profitable for us.

Our ability to sell REO on terms acceptable to us or at all may be limited.

REO assets are illiquid relative to other assets we may own. Furthermore, the real estate market is affected by many factors that are beyond our control, such as general economic conditions, availability of financing, interest rates and supply and demand. We cannot predict whether we will be able to sell any REO assets for the price or on the terms set by us or whether any price or other terms offered by a prospective purchaser would be acceptable to us. We also cannot predict the length of time needed to find a willing purchaser and to close the sale of an REO asset. In certain circumstances, we may be required to expend cash to correct defects or to make improvements before a property can be sold, and we cannot assure that we will have cash available to correct defects or make improvements. As a result, our ownership of REOs could materially and adversely affect our liquidity, earnings and cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Risks related to accounting

Accounting rules for certain of our transactions are highly complex and involve significant judgment and assumptions. Changes in accounting interpretations or assumptions could impact our financial statements.

Accounting rules for mortgage loan sales and securitizations, valuations of financial instruments, investment consolidations and other aspects of our anticipated operations are highly complex and involve significant judgment and assumptions. These complexities could lead to a delay in preparation of financial information and the delivery of this information to our stockholders and also increase the risk of errors and restatements, as well as the cost of compliance. Changes in accounting interpretations or assumptions could impact our financial statements and our ability to timely prepare our financial statements. Our inability to timely prepare our financial statements in the future would likely adversely affect our share price significantly.

Our Manager utilizes analytical models and data in connection with the valuation of our investments, and any incorrect, misleading or incomplete information used in connection therewith will subject us to potential risks.

Given the complexity of certain of our investments and strategies, our Manager must rely heavily on analytical models (both proprietary models developed by our Manager and those supplied by third parties) and information and data supplied by third parties, or Models and Data. Models and Data are used to value investments or potential investments and also in connection with hedging our investments. When Models and Data prove to be incorrect, misleading or incomplete, any decisions made in reliance thereon expose us to potential risks. For example, by relying on Models and Data, especially valuation models, our Manager may be induced to buy certain investments at prices that are too high, to sell certain other investments at prices that are too low or to miss favorable opportunities altogether. Similarly, any hedging based on faulty Models and Data may prove to be unsuccessful. Furthermore, any valuations of our investments that are based on valuation models may prove to be incorrect.

Some of the risks of relying on analytical models and third-party data are particular to analyzing tranches from securitizations, such as mortgage-backed securities. These risks include, but are not limited to, the following: (i) collateral cash flows and/or liability structures may be incorrectly modeled in all or only certain scenarios, or may be modeled based on simplifying assumptions that lead to errors; (ii) information about collateral may be incorrect, incomplete, or misleading; (iii) collateral or bond historical performance (such as historical prepayments, defaults, cash flows, etc.) may be incorrectly reported, or subject to interpretation (*e.g.*, different issuers may report delinquency statistics based on different definitions of what constitutes a delinquent loan); or (iv) collateral or bond information may be outdated, in which case the models may contain incorrect assumptions as to what has occurred since the date information was last updated.

Some of the analytical models used by our Manager, such as mortgage prepayment models, mortgage default models, and models providing risk sensitivities and duration output, are predictive in nature. The use of predictive models has inherent risks. For example, such models may incorrectly forecast future behavior, leading to potential losses on a cash flow and/or a mark-to-market basis. Incorrect sensitivities and duration output may lead to an unsound hedging strategy. In addition, the predictive models used by our Manager may differ substantially from those models used by other market participants, with the result that valuations based on these predictive models may be substantially higher or lower for certain investments than actual market prices. Furthermore, since predictive models are usually constructed based on historical data supplied by third parties, the success of relying on such models may depend heavily on the accuracy and reliability of the supplied historical data and the ability of these historical models to accurately reflect future periods.

All valuation models rely on correct market data inputs. If incorrect market data is entered into even a well-founded valuation model, the resulting valuations will be incorrect. However, even if the input of market data is correct, “model prices” often differ substantially from market prices, especially for securities with complex characteristics, such as

derivative securities.

Risks associated with our management and relationship with our Manager and its affiliates

We are dependent upon our Manager, its affiliates and their key personnel and may not find a suitable replacement if the management agreement with our Manager is terminated or such key personnel are no longer available to us, which would materially and adversely affect us.

In accordance with our management agreement, we are externally managed and advised by our Manager, and all of our officers are employees of Angelo, Gordon or its affiliates. We have no separate facilities and we have no employees. Pursuant to our management agreement, our Manager is obligated to supply us with our senior management team, and the members of that team may have conflicts in allocating their time and services between us and other entities or accounts managed by our Manager, now or in the future, including other Angelo, Gordon funds. Substantially all of our investment, financing and risk management decisions are made by our Manager and not by us, and our Manager also has significant discretion as to the implementation of our operating policies and strategies. Furthermore, our Manager has the sole discretion to hire and fire employees, and our board of directors and stockholders have no authority over the individual employees of our Manager, although our board of directors does have authority over our officers who are supplied by our Manager. Accordingly, we are completely reliant upon, and our success depends exclusively on, our Manager's personnel, services, resources, facilities, relationships and contacts. No assurance can be given that our Manager will act in our best interests with respect to the allocation of personnel, services and resources to our business. In addition, the management agreement does not require our Manager to dedicate specific personnel to us or to require personnel servicing our business to allocate a specific amount of time to us. The failure of any of our Manager's key personnel to service our business with the requisite time and dedication, or the departure of such personnel from our Manager, or the failure of our Manager to attract and retain key personnel, would materially and adversely affect our ability to execute our business plan. Further, when there are turbulent conditions in the real estate industry, distress in the credit markets or other times when we will need focused support and assistance from our Manager, the attention of our Manager's personnel and executive officers and the resources of Angelo, Gordon will also be required by the other funds and accounts managed by our Manager and its affiliates, placing our Manager's resources in high demand. In such situations, we may not receive the level of support and assistance that we may receive if we were internally managed or if our Manager did not act as a manager for other entities. If the management agreement is terminated and a suitable replacement for our Manager is not secured in a timely manner or at all, we would likely be unable to execute our business plan, which would materially and adversely affect us.

The management agreement was not negotiated on an arm's length basis and the terms, including the fees payable to our Manager, may not be as favorable to us as if the agreement was negotiated with unaffiliated third parties.

All of our officers and our non-independent directors are employees of Angelo, Gordon or its affiliates. The management agreement was negotiated between related parties, and we did not have the benefit of arm's length negotiations of the type normally conducted with an unaffiliated third party and the terms, including the fees payable to our Manager, may not be as favorable to us. We may choose not to enforce, or to enforce less vigorously, our rights under the management agreement because of our desire to maintain our ongoing relationship with our Manager.

We expect that our Manager will source all of our investments, and existing or future entities or accounts managed by our Manager may compete with us for, or may participate in, some of those investments, which could result in conflicts of interest.

Although we are subject to Angelo, Gordon's allocation policy which specifically addresses some of the conflicts relating to our investment opportunities, there is no assurance that this policy will be adequate to address all of the conflicts that may arise or will address such conflicts in a manner that results in the allocation of a particular investment opportunity to us or is otherwise favorable to us. Our Manager may be precluded from transacting in

particular investments in certain situations, including but not limited to situations where Angelo, Gordon or its affiliates may have a prior contractual commitment with other accounts or clients or as to which Angelo, Gordon or any of its affiliates possess material, non-public information. Consistent with Angelo, Gordon's fiduciary duty to all of its clients, it may give priority in the allocation of investment opportunities to certain clients to the extent necessary to apply regulatory requirements, client guidelines and/or contractual obligations. Angelo, Gordon or our Manager may determine that an investment opportunity is appropriate for a particular account, but not for another. In addition, Angelo, Gordon or its employees may invest in opportunities declined by our Manager for us. The investment allocation policy may be amended by Angelo, Gordon at any time without our consent. As the investment programs of the various entities and accounts managed by Angelo, Gordon change and develop over time, additional issues and considerations may affect Angelo, Gordon's allocation policy and its expectations with respect to the allocation of investment opportunities.

Our Manager and Angelo, Gordon and their employees also may have ongoing relationships with the obligors of investments or the clients' counterparties and they or their clients may own equity or other securities or obligations issued by such parties. In addition, Angelo, Gordon, either for its own accounts or for the accounts of other clients, may hold securities or obligations that are senior to, or have interests different from or adverse to, the securities or obligations that are acquired for us. Employees may also invest in other entities managed by other managers which are eligible to purchase target assets. Angelo, Gordon or our Manager and their respective employees may make investment decisions for us that may be different from those undertaken for their personal accounts or on behalf of other clients (including the timing and nature of the action taken). Angelo, Gordon and its affiliates may at certain times simultaneously seek to purchase or sell the same or similar investments for clients or for themselves. Likewise, our Manager may on our behalf purchase or sell an investment in which another Angelo, Gordon client or affiliate is already invested or has co-invested. We have not adopted any policy which would allow us to, or prohibit us from, buying or otherwise obtaining assets from any Angelo, Gordon client or selling or transferring any assets to such clients.

Our board of directors has approved very broad investment policies for our Manager and does not review or approve each investment decision made by our Manager.

Our Manager is authorized to follow very broad investment policies and, therefore, has great latitude in determining the types of assets that are proper investments for us, allocations among asset classes and individual investment decisions. In the future, our Manager may make investments with lower rates of return than those anticipated under current market conditions and/or may make investments with greater risks to achieve those anticipated returns. Our board of directors periodically reviews our investment policies and our investment portfolio but does not review or approve each proposed investment by our Manager. In addition, in conducting periodic reviews, our board of directors relies primarily on information provided to it by our Manager. Furthermore, our Manager may use complex strategies, and transactions entered into by our Manager may be costly, difficult or impossible to unwind by the time they are reviewed by our board of directors.

The management fee may not provide sufficient incentive to our Manager to maximize risk-adjusted returns on our investment portfolio because it is based on our Stockholders' Equity, adjusted for certain non-cash and other items, and not on our performance.

Our Manager is entitled to receive a management fee that is based on our Stockholders' Equity, adjusted for certain non-cash and other items as further discussed in Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations", at the end of each quarter. Accordingly, the possibility exists that significant management fees could be payable to our Manager for a given quarter despite the fact that we could experience a net loss during that quarter. Our Manager's entitlement to such significant non-performance-based compensation may not provide sufficient incentive to our Manager to devote its time and effort to source and maximize risk-adjusted returns on our investment portfolio, which could, in turn, adversely affect our ability to make distributions to our stockholders and the market price of our common stock. The compensation payable to our Manager will increase as a result of any future issuances of our equity securities, even if the issuances are dilutive to existing stockholders.

Termination of our management agreement would be costly and, in certain cases, not permitted.

It is difficult and costly to terminate the management agreement we have entered into with our Manager without cause. Our independent directors review our Manager's performance and the management fees annually, and following the initial term ending June 30, 2014, the management agreement provides that it may be terminated annually by us without cause upon the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of our independent directors or by a vote of the holders of at least two-thirds of our outstanding common stock, in each case based upon (i) our Manager's unsatisfactory performance that is materially detrimental to us or (ii) our determination that the management fees payable to our

Manager are not fair, subject to our Manager's right to prevent termination based on unfair fees by accepting a reduction of management fees agreed to by at least two-thirds of our independent directors. Our Manager must be provided 180-days' prior notice of any such termination. We may not terminate or elect not to renew the management agreement, even in the event of our Manager's poor performance, without having to pay substantial termination fees. Upon any such termination without cause, the management agreement provides that we will pay our Manager a termination fee equal to three times the average annual management fee earned by our Manager during the 24-month period prior to termination, calculated as of the end of the most recently completed fiscal quarter. While under certain circumstances the obligation to make such a payment might not be enforceable, this provision may increase the cost to us of terminating the management agreement and adversely affect our ability to terminate the management agreement without cause.

Our Manager may terminate the management agreement if we become required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act with termination deemed to occur immediately before such event, in which case we would not be required to pay a termination fee to our Manager. Furthermore, our Manager may decline to renew the management agreement by providing us with 180 days' written notice, in which case we would not be required to pay a termination fee to our Manager. Our Manager may also terminate the management agreement upon at least 60 days' prior written notice if we default in the performance of any material term of the management agreement and the default continues for a period of 30 days after written notice to us, whereupon we would be required to pay to our Manager the termination fee described above. If the management agreement is terminated and no suitable replacement is found to manage us, we may not be able to execute our business plan.

Depository institutions that finance our investments may require that AG REIT Management, LLC remain as our Manager under the management agreement and that certain key personnel of our Manager continue to service our business. If AG REIT Management, LLC ceases to be our Manager or one or more of our Manager's key personnel are no longer servicing our business, it may constitute an event of default and the depository institution providing the arrangement may have acceleration rights with respect to outstanding borrowings and termination rights with respect to our ability to finance our future investments with that institution. If we are unable to obtain financing for our accelerated borrowings and for our future investments under such circumstances, we may be required to curtail our asset acquisitions and/or dispose of assets at an inopportune time.

In prior years our Manager has waived its right to certain reimbursements pursuant to the management agreement. If our Manager decides in the future not to grant such waiver, our operating expenses would increase.

We are required to reimburse our Manager for operating expenses related to the Company that are incurred by our Manager, including expenses relating to legal, accounting, due diligence and other services. For the years ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and the period ended December 31, 2011, the Manager had incurred approximately \$6.5 million, \$4.4 million, and \$1.9 million respectively, of reimbursable expenses. The Company has expensed into Other operating expenses \$6.5 million and \$2.2 million during the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and \$0.0 million for the period ended December 31, 2011. The Manager did not waive any expense reimbursements for the year ended December 31, 2013. The Manager waived its right to receive expense reimbursements of \$2.2 million and \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and the period ended December 31, 2011, respectively. As such, our Other operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2012 and the period ended December 31, 2011 were lower than they otherwise would have been, had our Manager not waived its right to certain reimbursements. If our Manager decides in the future not to grant such waiver, our operating expenses would increase and such increase may be substantial.

Risks related to our organization and structure

Loss of our exemption from regulation under the Investment Company Act would negatively affect the value of shares of our common stock and our ability to distribute cash to our stockholders.

We conduct our operations so that we and each of our subsidiaries maintain an exemption from the Investment Company Act. We conduct our operations such that we meet the 40% test set forth in Section 3(a)(1)(C) of the Investment Company Act. This test requires us to ensure that no more than 40% of our assets are “investment securities.” “Investment securities” do not include, among other things, U.S. government securities, and securities issued by majority-owned subsidiaries that (i) are not investment companies and (ii) are not relying on the exceptions from the definition of investment company provided by Section 3(c)(1) or 3(c)(7) of the Investment Company Act (the so called “private investment company” exemptions).

We generally conduct our wholly owned or majority-owned subsidiaries’ (including AG MIT, LLC’s) operations so that they are exempted from investment company status in reliance upon Section 3(c)(5)(C) of the Investment Company Act (and thus our investment in these subsidiaries is a “good asset” for our 40% test). Section 3(c)(5)(C) exempts from the definition of “investment company” entities primarily engaged in the business of purchasing or otherwise acquiring mortgages and other liens on and interests in real estate. The staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, generally requires an entity relying on Section 3(c)(5)(C) to invest at least 55% of its portfolio in “qualifying assets” and at least another 25% in additional qualifying assets or in “real estate-related” assets (with no more than 20% comprised of miscellaneous assets).

The method we use to classify our and our subsidiaries’ assets for purposes of the Investment Company Act is based in large measure upon no-action positions taken by the SEC staff. These no-action positions were issued in accordance with factual situations that may be substantially different from the factual situations we may face, and a number of these no-action positions were issued decades ago. No assurance can be given that the SEC or its staff will concur with our classification of our or our subsidiaries’ assets or that the SEC or its staff will not, in the future, issue further guidance that may require us to reclassify those assets for purposes of qualifying for an exclusion from regulation under the Investment Company Act. For example, in August 2011 the SEC issued a concept release seeking, among other things, comments on the SEC’s staff’s historical interpretive positions concerning the application of Section 3(c)(5)(C). In that release the SEC requested comments about how Section 3(c)(5)(C) is interpreted by, and affects investors in, REITs, whether the SEC should take action to provide greater clarity, consistency or regulatory certainty regarding the application of Section 3(c)(5)(C) and whether it would be advisable for the SEC to engage in rulemaking with regard to Section 3(c)(5)(C) (such as by defining terms or establishing a safe harbor), to issue an interpretive release concerning the application of Section 3(c)(5)(C) to REITs, to provide exemptive relief or to engage in no further action at this time. To the extent that the SEC or its staff provides more specific guidance regarding Section 3(c)(5)(C) or any of the other matters bearing upon the definition of investment company and the exceptions to that definition, we may be required to adjust our investment strategy accordingly. Additional guidance from the SEC or its staff could inhibit our ability to pursue the investment strategy we have chosen.

Qualification for exemption from the definition of investment company under the Investment Company Act limits our ability to make certain investments. For example, these restrictions limit our and our subsidiaries’ ability to invest directly in mortgage-related securities that represent less than the entire ownership in a pool of mortgage loans, debt and equity tranches of securitizations, certain real estate companies or assets not related to real estate. Although we monitor our and our subsidiaries’ portfolios, there can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain the exemptions from registration for us and each of our subsidiaries.

If we fail to qualify for this exemption, or the SEC determines that companies that invest in RMBS are no longer able to rely on this exemption, we could be required to restructure our activities in a manner that, or at a time when, we would not otherwise choose to do so, or we may be required to register as an investment company under the

Investment Company Act, either of which could negatively affect the value of shares of our common stock and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Failure to maintain an exemption from being regulated as a commodity pool operator could subject us to additional regulation and compliance requirements and may result in fines and other penalties which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, or the Dodd-Frank Act, established a comprehensive new regulatory framework for derivative contracts commonly referred to as “swaps.” As a result, any investment fund that trades in swaps may be considered a “commodity pool,” which would cause its operators (and in some cases the fund's directors) to be regulated as “commodity pool operators,” or CPOs. Under new rules adopted by the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission, or the CFTC, those funds that become commodity pools solely because of their use of swaps must register with the National Futures Association, or NFA. Registration requires compliance with the CFTC's regulations and the NFA's rules with respect to capital raising, disclosure, reporting, recordkeeping and other business conduct. However, the CFTC's Division of Swap Dealer and Intermediary Oversight recently issued a no-action letter saying, although it believes that mortgage REITs are properly considered commodity pools, it would not recommend that the CFTC take enforcement action against the operator of a mortgage REIT who does not register as a CPO if, among other things, the mortgage REIT limits the initial margin and premiums required to establish its swaps, futures and other commodity interest positions to not more than five percent of its total assets, the mortgage REIT limits the net income derived annually from those commodity interest positions that are not qualifying hedging transactions to less than five percent of its gross income and interests in the mortgage REIT are not marketed to the public as or in a commodity pool or otherwise as or in a vehicle for trading in the commodity futures, commodity options or swaps markets.

We use hedging instruments in conjunction with our investment portfolio and related borrowings to reduce or mitigate risks associated with changes in interest rates, mortgage spreads, yield curve shapes and market volatility. These hedging instruments include interest rate swaps, and may include interest rate futures and options on interest rate futures. We do not currently engage in any speculative derivatives activities or other non-hedging transactions using swaps, futures or options on futures. We do not use these instruments for the purpose of trading in commodity interests, and we do not consider our company or our operations to be a commodity pool as to which CPO registration or compliance is required. We have submitted the required filing to claim the no-action relief afforded by the above-described no-action letter. Consequently, we will be restricted to operating within the parameters discussed in the no-action letter and will not enter into hedging transactions covered by the no-action letter if they would cause us to exceed the limits set forth in the no-action letter.

The CFTC has substantial enforcement power with respect to violations of the laws over which it has jurisdiction, including its anti-fraud and anti-manipulation provisions. For example, the CFTC may suspend or revoke the registration of or the no-action relief afforded to a person who fails to comply with commodities laws and regulations, prohibit such a person from trading or doing business with registered entities, impose civil money penalties, require restitution and seek fines or imprisonment for criminal violations. Additionally, a private right of action exists against those who violate the laws over which the CFTC has jurisdiction or who willfully aid, abet, counsel, induce or procure a violation of those laws. In the event that we fail to comply with statutory requirements relating to derivatives or with the CFTC's rules thereunder, including the conditions in the no-action letter described above, we may be subject to significant fines, penalties and other civil or governmental actions or proceedings, any of which could have a materially adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Certain provisions of Maryland law could inhibit a change in our control.

Certain provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law, or the MGCL, may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making a proposal to acquire us or of impeding a change in our control under circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of our common stock with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then prevailing market price of such shares. We are subject to the “business combination” provisions of the MGCL that, subject to limitations, prohibit certain business combinations between us and an “interested stockholder” (defined generally as any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of our then outstanding voting shares or an affiliate or associate of ours who, at any time within the two-year period prior to the date in question, was the beneficial owner of 10% or more of the voting power of our then outstanding voting shares) or an affiliate thereof for five years after the most recent date on which the stockholder becomes an interested stockholder and, thereafter, imposes special stockholder voting requirements to approve these combination unless the consideration being received by common stockholders satisfies certain conditions. These provisions of the MGCL do not apply, however, to business combinations that are approved or exempted by the board of directors prior to the time that the interested stockholder becomes an interested stockholder. Pursuant to the statute, our board of directors has by resolution exempted business combinations between us and any other person, provided that the business combination is first approved by our board of directors. This resolution, however, may be altered or repealed in whole or in part at any time. If this resolution is repealed, or our board of directors does not otherwise approve a business combination, this statute may discourage others from trying to acquire control of us and increase the difficulty of consummating any offer.

The “control share” provisions of the MGCL provide that “control shares” of a Maryland corporation (defined as shares which, when aggregated with all other shares controlled by the stockholder, entitle the stockholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in the election of directors) acquired in a “control share acquisition” (defined as the acquisition of “control shares,” subject to certain exceptions) have no voting rights except to the extent approved by our stockholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding votes entitled to be cast by the acquirer of control shares, our officers and our directors who are also our employees. Our bylaws contain a provision exempting from the control share acquisition statute any and all acquisitions by any person of our shares. There can be no assurance that this provision will not be amended or eliminated at any time in the future.

The “unsolicited takeover” provisions of the MGCL permit our board of directors, without stockholder approval and regardless of what is currently provided in our charter or bylaws, to implement certain provisions since we have a class of equity securities registered under the Exchange Act, and at least three directors who are not officers or employees of the corporation and are not affiliated with any acquiring person. These provisions may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making an acquisition proposal for us or of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in our control under circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of our common stock with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then current market price. Our charter contains a provision whereby we elect to be subject to the provisions of Title 3, Subtitle 8 of the MGCL relating to the filling of vacancies on our board of directors as soon as we become eligible to do so.

Our authorized but unissued common and preferred shares may prevent a change in our control.

Our charter authorizes us to issue additional authorized but unissued common stock and preferred shares. In addition, our board of directors may, without stockholder approval, increase the aggregate number of our authorized shares or the number of shares of any class or series that we have authority to issue and classify or reclassify any unissued common stock or preferred shares and may set the preferences, rights and other terms of the classified or reclassified shares. As a result, among other things, our board may establish a class or series of common stock or preferred shares that could delay or prevent a transaction or a change in our control that might involve a premium price for our common stock or otherwise be in the best interests of our stockholders.

Our rights and the rights of our stockholders to take action against our directors and officers are limited, which could limit your recourse in the event of actions not in your best interest.

Our charter limits the liability of our present and former directors and officers to us and our stockholders for money damages to the maximum extent permitted under Maryland law. Under current Maryland law, our present and former directors and officers will not have any liability to us or our stockholders for money damages other than liability resulting from:

- actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services; or
- active and deliberate dishonesty by the director or officer that was established by a final judgment as being material to the cause of action.

Our charter authorizes us to indemnify our present and former directors and officers for actions taken by them in those capacities to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. Our bylaws require us to indemnify each present and former director or officer, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law, in the defense of any proceeding to which he or she is made, or threatened to be made, a party by reason of his or her service to us. In addition, we may be obligated to pay or reimburse the expenses incurred by our present and former directors and officers without requiring a preliminary determination of their ultimate entitlement to indemnification. As a result, we and our stockholders may have more limited rights against our present and former directors and officers than might otherwise exist absent the current provisions in our charter and bylaws or that might exist with other companies, which could limit your recourse in the event of actions not in your best interest.

Our charter contains provisions that make removal of our directors difficult, which could make it difficult for our stockholders to effect changes to our management.

Our charter and bylaws provide that, subject to the rights of any series of preferred shares, a director may be removed only for “cause” (as defined in our charter), and then only by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast generally in the election of directors. Vacancies generally may be filled only by a majority of the remaining directors in office, even if less than a quorum, for the full term of the director who vacated. These requirements make it more difficult to change our management by removing and replacing directors and may prevent a change in our control that is in the best interests of our stockholders.

Our charter generally does not permit ownership in excess of 9.8% of our common stock and attempts to acquire our shares in excess of the share ownership limits will be ineffective unless an exemption is granted by our board of directors.

Our charter generally prohibits beneficial or constructive ownership by any person of more than 9.8% by vote or value, whichever is more restrictive, of our outstanding common stock. Our board of directors, in its sole discretion, may grant an exemption to these prohibitions, subject to certain conditions and receipt by our board of certain representations and undertakings. Our board of directors may from time to time increase certain of these limits for one or more persons and may increase or decrease such limits for all other persons. Any decrease in the share ownership limits generally applicable to all stockholders will not be effective for any person whose percentage ownership of our shares is in excess of such decreased limits until such time as such person’s percentage ownership of our shares equals or falls below such decreased limits, but any further acquisition of our shares in excess of such person’s percentage ownership of our shares will be in violation of the applicable limits. Our board of directors may not increase these limits (whether for one person or all stockholders) if such increase would allow five or fewer persons to beneficially own more than 49.9% in value of our outstanding shares or otherwise cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT.

The constructive ownership rules contained in our charter are complex and may cause the outstanding shares owned by a group of related individuals or entities to be deemed to be constructively owned by one individual or entity. As a result, the acquisition of less than these percentages of the outstanding shares by an individual or entity could cause that individual or entity to own constructively in excess of these percentages of the outstanding shares and thus violate the share ownership limits. Any attempt to own or transfer our common stock or preferred shares (if and when issued) in excess of the share ownership limits without the consent of our board of directors or in a manner that would cause us to be “closely held” under Section 856(h) of the Code (without regard to whether the shares are held during the last half of a taxable year) will result in the shares being deemed to be transferred to a director for a charitable trust or, if the transfer to the charitable trust is not automatically effective to prevent a violation of the share ownership limits or the restrictions on ownership and transfer of our shares, any such transfer of our shares will be void *ab initio*. Further, any transfer of our shares that would result in our shares being held by fewer than 100 persons will be void *ab initio*.

Risks related to taxation

Our failure to qualify as a REIT would result in higher taxes and reduced cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

We operate in a manner that is intended to cause us to qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes. However, the U.S. federal income tax laws governing REITs are complex, and interpretations of the U.S. federal income tax laws governing qualification as a REIT are limited. Qualifying as a REIT requires us to meet various tests regarding the nature of our assets and our income, the ownership of our outstanding stock, and the amount of our distributions on an ongoing basis.

Our ability to satisfy the asset tests depends upon the characterization and fair market values of our assets, some of which are not susceptible to a precise determination, and for which we will not obtain independent appraisals. Our compliance with the REIT income and quarterly asset requirements also depends upon our ability to successfully manage the composition of our income and assets on an ongoing basis. Although we intend to operate so that we will qualify as a REIT, given the highly complex nature of the rules governing REITs, the ongoing importance of factual determinations, and the possibility of future changes in our circumstances, no assurance can be given that we will so qualify for any particular year.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any calendar year, we would be required to pay U.S. federal income tax, including any applicable alternative minimum tax, on our taxable income at regular corporate rates, and dividends paid to our stockholders would not be deductible by us in computing our taxable income. Further, if we fail to qualify as a REIT, we might need to borrow money or sell assets in order to pay any resulting tax. Our payment of income tax would decrease the amount of our income available for distribution to our stockholders. Furthermore, if we fail to maintain our qualification as a REIT, we no longer would be required to distribute substantially all of our REIT taxable income to our stockholders. Unless our failure to qualify as a REIT was subject to relief under U.S. federal tax laws, we could not re-elect to qualify as a REIT for four taxable years following the year in which we failed to qualify.

Complying with the REIT requirements can be difficult and may cause us to forego otherwise attractive opportunities.

To qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we must continually satisfy tests concerning, among other things, the sources of our income, the nature and diversification of our assets, the amounts we distribute to our stockholders and the ownership of our shares. We may be required to make distributions to our stockholders at disadvantageous times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution, and may be unable to pursue otherwise attractive investments in order to satisfy the source-of-income or asset-diversification requirements for qualifying as a REIT. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our ability to operate solely on the basis of maximizing profits.

The REIT distribution requirements could adversely affect our ability to execute our business strategies.

We generally must distribute annually at least 90% of our net taxable income, excluding any net capital gain, in order for corporate income tax not to apply to earnings that we distribute. To the extent that we satisfy this distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our taxable income, we will be subject to U.S. federal corporate, and may be subject to state and local income tax on our undistributed taxable income. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax if the actual amount that we pay out to our stockholders in a calendar year is less than a minimum amount specified under U.S. federal income tax laws. We intend to make distributions to our stockholders to comply with the requirements of the Code and to avoid paying corporate income tax on undistributed income. However, differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash could require us to sell assets or borrow funds on a short-term or long-term basis to meet the distribution requirements of the Code.

We may find it difficult or impossible to meet distribution requirements in certain circumstances. Due to the nature of the assets in which we will invest, we may be required to recognize taxable income from those assets in advance of our receipt of cash flow on or proceeds from disposition of such assets. For example, we may be required to accrue interest and discount income on mortgage loans, mortgage-backed securities, and other types of debt securities or interests in debt securities before we receive any payments of interest or principal on such assets. We may also acquire distressed debt investments that are subsequently modified by agreement with the borrower. If the amendments to the outstanding debt are “significant modifications” under the applicable Treasury regulations, the modified debt may be considered to have been reissued to us at a gain in a debt-for-debt exchange with the borrower, with gain recognized by us to the extent that the principal amount of the modified debt exceeds our cost of purchasing it prior to modification. Finally, we may be required under the terms of indebtedness that we incur to use cash received from interest payments to make principal payments on that indebtedness, with the effect of recognizing income but not having a corresponding amount of cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

As a result, to the extent such income is not recognized within a domestic TRS, the requirement to distribute a substantial portion of our net taxable income could cause us to: (i) sell assets in adverse market conditions, (ii) borrow on unfavorable terms, (iii) distribute amounts that would otherwise be invested in future acquisitions, capital expenditures or repayment of debt or (iv) make a taxable distribution of our shares as part of a distribution in which stockholders may elect to receive shares or (subject to a limit measured as a percentage of the total distribution) cash, in order to comply with REIT requirements. Moreover, if our only feasible alternative were to make a taxable distribution of our shares to comply with the REIT distribution requirements for any taxable year and the value of our shares was not sufficient at such time to make a distribution to our stockholders in an amount at least equal to the minimum amount required to comply with such REIT distribution requirements, we would generally fail to qualify as a REIT for such taxable year and would be precluded from being taxed as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which we ceased to qualify as a REIT.

Even if we qualify as a REIT, we may face tax liabilities that reduce our cash flow.

Even if we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to certain U.S. federal, state and local taxes on our income and assets, including taxes on any undistributed income, tax on income from certain activities conducted as a result of a foreclosure, and state or local income, property and transfer taxes, such as mortgage recording taxes. In addition, in order to meet the REIT qualification requirements, or to avert the imposition of a 100% tax that applies to certain gains derived by a REIT from dealer property or inventory, we may hold certain assets through, and derive a significant portion of our taxable income and gains in, TRSs. Such subsidiaries are subject to corporate level income tax at regular rates. Any of these taxes would decrease cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Liquidation of assets may jeopardize our REIT qualification.

To qualify as a REIT, we must comply with requirements regarding our assets and our sources of income. If we are compelled to liquidate our investments to repay obligations to our lenders, we may be unable to comply with these requirements, ultimately jeopardizing our qualification as a REIT, or we may be subject to a 100% tax on any resultant gain if we sell assets that are treated as dealer property or inventory.

The failure of assets subject to repurchase agreements to be treated as owned by us for U.S. federal income tax purposes could adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT.

We have entered and may in the future enter into financing arrangements that are structured as sale and repurchase agreements pursuant to which we nominally sell certain of our assets to a counterparty and simultaneously enter into an agreement to repurchase these assets at a later date in exchange for a purchase price. Economically, these agreements are financings which are secured by the assets sold pursuant thereto. We believe that we are treated for REIT asset and income test purposes as the owner of the assets that are the subject of any such sale and repurchase agreement notwithstanding that such agreements may transfer record ownership of the assets to the counterparty during the term of the agreement. It is possible, however, that the IRS could assert that we did not own the assets during the term of the sale and repurchase agreement, in which case we could fail to qualify as a REIT.

Complying with the REIT requirements may limit our ability to hedge effectively.

The REIT provisions of the Code may limit our ability to hedge our assets and operations. Under current law, any income that we generate from transactions intended to hedge our interest rate, inflation and/or currency risks will be excluded from gross income for purposes of the REIT 75% and 95% gross income tests if the instrument hedges (i) risk of interest rate or currency fluctuations on indebtedness incurred or to be incurred to carry or acquire real estate assets or (ii) risk of currency fluctuations with respect to any item of income or gain that would be qualifying income under the REIT 75% or 95% gross income tests, and such instrument is properly identified under applicable Treasury Regulations. Income from hedging transactions that do not meet these requirements will generally constitute

nonqualifying income for purposes of both the REIT 75% and 95% gross income tests. As a result of these rules, we may have to limit our use of hedging techniques that might otherwise be advantageous, which could result in greater risks associated with interest rate or other changes than we would otherwise be subject to.

Our ability to invest in distressed debt may be limited by our intention to maintain our qualification as a REIT, and our investments in distressed residential mortgage loans may affect our ability to qualify as a REIT.

We have acquired distressed debt and may acquire distressed debt in the future. In general, under the applicable Treasury Regulations, if a loan is secured by real property and other property and the highest principal amount of the loan outstanding during a taxable year exceeds the fair market value of the real property securing the loan as of (i) the date we agreed to acquire the loan or (ii) in the event of a significant modification, the date we modified the loan, then a portion of the interest income from such a loan will not be qualifying income for purposes of the 75% gross income test, but will be qualifying income for purposes of the 95% gross income test.

Although the law is not entirely clear, a portion of the loan will also likely be a non-qualifying asset for purposes of the 75% asset test. The non-qualifying portion of such a loan would be subject to, among other requirements, the 10% value test. Revenue Procedure 2011-16 provides a safe harbor under which the IRS has stated that it will not challenge a REIT's treatment of a loan as being, in part, a qualifying real estate asset in an amount equal to the lesser of (i) the fair market value of the real property securing the loan determined as of the date the REIT committed to acquire the loan or (ii) the fair market value of the loan on the date of the relevant quarterly REIT asset testing date. This safe harbor will help us comply with the REIT asset tests immediately following the acquisition of distressed debt. It will be less helpful if the value of the distressed debt increases over time. Under the safe harbor, when the current value of a distressed debt exceeds the fair market value of the real property that secures the debt, determined as of the date we committed to acquire the debt, the excess will be treated as a non-qualifying asset. Accordingly, an increasing portion of a distressed debt will be treated as a non-qualifying asset as the value of the distressed debt increases.

Additionally, Revenue Procedure 2011-16 states that the IRS will treat distressed debt secured by real property and other property as producing in part non-qualifying income for the 75% gross income test. Specifically, Revenue Procedure 2011-16 indicates that interest income on distressed debt will be treated as qualifying income based on the ratio of (i) fair market value of the real property securing the debt determined as of the date we committed to acquire the debt and (ii) the face amount of the debt (and not the purchase price or current value of the debt). The face amount of a distressed debt will typically exceed the fair market value of the real property securing the debt on the date we commit to acquire the debt. Because distressed debt that is secured by real property and other property may produce a significant amount of non-qualifying income for purposes of the 75% gross income test and a significant portion of such distressed debt may be treated as a non-qualifying asset for the REIT asset tests once the debt increases in value.

As noted above, the applicable Treasury Regulations require the apportionment of interest for purposes of the 75% gross income test only if the mortgage loan in question is secured by both real property and other property. We expect that all or most of the distressed residential mortgage loans that we acquire will be secured only by real property and no other property value will be taken into account in our underwriting process. Accordingly, we do not anticipate regularly investing in residential mortgage loans to which the interest apportionment rules described above would apply, but we may acquire commercial mortgage loans to which the interest apportionment rules may apply. If the IRS were to assert successfully that our distressed residential mortgage loans were secured by property other than real property, then a significant portion of our interest income from any distressed residential mortgage loans we own would be treated as nonqualifying income for the 75% gross income test, which could cause us to fail to satisfy that test. If we did not satisfy the 75% gross income test, we could lose our REIT qualification or be required to pay a penalty to the IRS.

Accordingly, we may be limited in our ability to invest in distressed debt and maintain our qualification as a REIT, and our investments in distressed residential mortgage loans could affect our ability to qualify as a REIT.

Our ability to invest in and dispose of “to-be-announced” securities could be limited by our REIT status, and we could lose our REIT status as a result of these investments.

We purchase Agency RMBS through “to-be-announced” forward contracts, or TBAs. The law is unclear regarding whether TBAs will be qualifying assets for the 75% asset test and whether income and gains from dispositions of TBAs will be qualifying income for the 75% gross income test.

Until such time as we seek and receive a favorable private letter ruling from the IRS or we are advised by counsel that TBAs should be treated as qualifying assets for purposes of the 75% asset test, we will limit our net investment in TBAs and any non-qualifying assets to no more than 25% of our assets at the end of any calendar quarter and will limit our investments in TBAs with a single counterparty to no more than 5% of our total assets at the end of any calendar quarter. Further, until such time as we seek and receive a favorable private letter ruling from the IRS or we are advised by counsel that income and gains from the disposition of TBAs should be treated as qualifying income for purposes of the 75% gross income test, we will limit our gains from dispositions of TBAs and any non-qualifying income to no more than 25% of our gross income for each calendar year. Accordingly, our ability to purchase Agency RMBS through TBAs and to dispose of contracts for forward settling transactions, through dollar roll transactions or otherwise, could be limited.

Moreover, even if we are advised by counsel that TBAs should be treated as qualifying assets or that income and gains from dispositions of TBAs should be treated as qualifying income, it is possible that the IRS could successfully take the position that such assets are not qualifying assets and such income is not qualifying income. In that event, we could be subject to a penalty tax or we could fail to qualify as a REIT if (i) the value of our TBAs, together with our non-qualifying assets for the 75% asset test, exceeded 25% of our gross assets at the end of any calendar quarter or if the value of our investments in TBAs with a single counterparty exceeded 5% of our total assets at the end of any calendar quarter or (ii) our income and gains from the disposition of TBAs, together with our non-qualifying income for the 75% gross income test, exceeded 25% of our gross income for any taxable year.

The tax on prohibited transactions will limit our ability to engage in transactions, including certain methods of securitizing mortgage loans that would be treated as sales for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

A REIT's net income from prohibited transactions is subject to a 100% tax with no offset for losses. In general, prohibited transactions are sales or other dispositions of property, other than foreclosure property, but including mortgage loans, held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. We might be subject to this tax if we dispose of or securitize loans in a manner that was treated as a sale of the loans, if we frequently buy and sell securities or open and close TBA contracts that is treated as dealer activity with respect to such securities or contracts for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Therefore, in order to avoid the prohibited transactions tax, we may choose to engage in certain sales of loans through a TRS and not at the REIT level, and may limit the structures we utilize for our securitization transactions, even though the sales or structures might otherwise be beneficial to us.

The share ownership limits applicable to us that are imposed by the Code for REITs and our charter may restrict our business combination opportunities.

In order for us to maintain our qualification as a REIT under the Code, not more than 50% in value of our outstanding shares may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code to include certain entities) at any time during the last half of each taxable year after our first taxable year. Our charter, with certain exceptions, authorizes our board of directors to take the actions that are necessary or appropriate to preserve our qualification as a REIT. Under our charter, no person may own, directly or indirectly, (i) more than 9.8% in value or in number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of our outstanding common stock, or (ii) more than 9.8% in value or in number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of our outstanding capital stock. However, our board of directors may, in its sole discretion, grant an exemption to the share ownership limits (prospectively or retrospectively), subject to certain conditions and the receipt by our board of certain representations and undertakings. In addition, our board of directors may change the share ownership limits as described under "Business Restrictions on ownership and transfer of our shares." The share ownership limits in is based upon direct or indirect ownership by "persons," which is defined to include entities and certain groups of stockholders. Our share ownership limits might delay or prevent a transaction or a change in our control that might involve a premium price for our common stock or otherwise be in the best interests of our stockholders.

We may lose our REIT status if the IRS successfully challenges our characterization of our income from our foreign TRS.

We have elected to treat AG MITT International, LLC, which is domiciled in Anguilla, as a TRS, and we may acquire or form other foreign TRSs in the future. We will likely be required to include in our income, even without the receipt of actual distributions, earnings from our investment in any foreign TRS. Income inclusions from equity investments in foreign corporations are technically neither actual dividends nor any of the other enumerated categories of qualifying income for the 95% gross income test. However, the IRS has issued private letter rulings to other REITs holding that income inclusions from equity investments in foreign corporations would be treated as qualifying income for purposes of the 95% gross income test. Private letter rulings may be relied upon only by the taxpayers to whom they are issued and the IRS may revoke a private letter ruling. Based on those private letter rulings and advice of counsel, we intend to treat such income inclusions as qualifying income for purposes of the 95% gross income test. Nevertheless, no assurance can be provided that the IRS would not successfully challenge our treatment of such income as qualifying income. In the event that such income was determined not to qualify for the 95% gross income test, we could be subject to a penalty tax with respect to such income to the extent it exceeds 5% of our gross income or we could fail to continue to qualify as a REIT.

If our foreign TRS is subject to U.S. federal income tax at the entity level, it would greatly reduce the amounts that entity would have available to distribute to us and pay its creditors.

There is a specific exemption from federal income tax for non-U.S. corporations that restrict their activities in the United States to trading stock and securities (or any activity closely related thereto) for their own account whether such trading (or such other activity) is conducted by the corporation or its employees through a resident broker, commission agent, custodian or other agent. We intend that AG MITT International, LLC and any other foreign TRS we form or acquire in the future will rely on that exemption or otherwise operate in a manner so that they will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax on their net income at the entity level. If the IRS succeeded in challenging that tax treatment, it would greatly reduce the amount that those entities would have available to distribute to us and to pay to their creditors.

New legislation or administrative or judicial action, in each instance potentially with retroactive effect, could make it more difficult or impossible for us to qualify as a REIT.

The present U.S. federal income tax treatment of REITs may be modified, possibly with retroactive effect, by legislative, judicial or administrative action at any time, which could affect the U.S. federal income tax treatment of an investment in our common stock. The U.S. federal tax rules that affect REITs are under review constantly by persons involved in the legislative process, the IRS and the U.S. Treasury Department, which results in statutory changes as well as frequent revisions to Treasury regulations and interpretations. Revisions in U.S. federal tax laws and interpretations thereof could cause us to change our investments and commitments, which could also affect the tax considerations of an investment in our stock.

Plans should consider Employee Retirement Income Security Act, or ERISA, risks of investing in our common stock.

Investment in our common stock may not be appropriate for a pension, profit-sharing, employee benefit, or retirement plan, considering the plan's particular circumstances, under the fiduciary standards of ERISA, or other applicable similar laws including standards with respect to prudence, diversification and delegation of control and the prohibited transaction provisions of ERISA, the Code and any applicable similar laws.

ERISA and Section 4975 of the Code prohibit certain transactions that involve (i) certain pension, profit-sharing, employee benefit, or retirement plans or individual retirement accounts and (ii) any person who is a "party in interest" or "disqualified person" with respect to such plan. Consequently, the fiduciary of a plan contemplating an investment in our common stock should consider whether its company, any other person associated with the issuance of its common stock or any affiliate of the foregoing is or may become a "party in interest" or "disqualified person" with respect to the plan and, if so, whether an exemption from such prohibited transaction rules is applicable.

ERISA may limit our ability to attract capital from Benefit Plan Investors.

It is unlikely that we will qualify as an operating company for purposes of ERISA. Consequently, in order to avoid our assets being deemed to include so-called "plan assets" under ERISA, we will initially limit equity ownership in us by Benefit Plan Investors to less than 25% of the value of each class or series of capital stock issued by us and to prohibit transfers of our common stock to Benefit Plan Investors. Our charter prohibits Benefit Plan Investors from holding any interest in any shares of our capital stock that are not publicly traded. These restrictions on investments in us by Benefit Plan Investors (and certain similar investors) may adversely affect the ability of our stockholders to transfer their shares of our common stock and our ability to attract private equity capital in the future.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

We do not own any material property. Our principal executive offices are located at 245 Park Avenue, 26th Floor, New York, New York 10167. Our telephone number is (212)-692-2000.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are not party to any litigation or legal proceedings, or to the best of our knowledge, any threatened litigation or legal proceedings, which, in our opinion, individually or in the aggregate, would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Item 4. Mine safety disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market and dividend information

Our common stock is traded on the NYSE under the symbol “MITT.” As of February 7, 2014, there were 28,380,629 shares of common stock outstanding and approximately 57 registered holders of our common stock. The 57 holders of record include Cede & Co., which holds shares as nominee for The Depository Trust Company, which itself holds shares on behalf of the beneficial owners of the Company’s common stock. Such information was obtained through the Company’s registrar and transfer agent, based on the results of a broker search.

The following tables set forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sale price of our common stock as reported on the NYSE and the dividends declared per share of our common stock.

2013	Sales Prices	
	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 26.72	\$ 23.85
Second Quarter	\$ 25.87	\$ 17.97
Third Quarter	\$ 18.77	\$ 15.72
Fourth Quarter	\$ 17.59	\$ 15.15

2012	High	Low
	First Quarter	\$ 20.27
Second Quarter	\$ 21.49	\$ 19.21
Third Quarter	\$ 24.31	\$ 21.17
Fourth Quarter	\$ 25.04	\$ 21.70

Record Date	Common Dividends Declared per Share	
	Amount	Date of Payment
March 18, 2013	\$ 0.80	April 26, 2013
June 18, 2013	\$ 0.80	July 26, 2013
September 19, 2013	\$ 0.60	October 28, 2013
December 18, 2013	\$ 0.60	January 27, 2014
March 30, 2012	\$ 0.70	April 27, 2012
June 29, 2012	\$ 0.70	July 27, 2012
September 18, 2012	\$ 0.77	October 26, 2012
December 18, 2012	\$ 0.80	January 28, 2013

We intend to pay quarterly dividends and to distribute to our stockholders all of our annual taxable income in a timely manner. This will enable us to maintain our qualification as a REIT under the Code. We have not established a minimum dividend payment level and our ability to pay dividends may be adversely affected for the reasons described under the caption “Risk Factors.” All distributions will be made at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on our earnings, our financial condition, maintenance of our REIT status and such other factors as our Board of Directors may deem relevant from time to time.

Recent sales of unregistered securities

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company issued 216,351 shares of common stock upon the exercise of 634,500 outstanding warrants and 411,452 shares of common stock upon the exercise of 1,563,000 outstanding warrants, respectively, to purchase such common stock in private offerings exempt from the registration requirements pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. The warrants had been received by the holders in connection with the Company's initial public offering that closed on July 6, 2011. The Company did not issue any shares of common stock upon the exercise of warrants for the period ended December 31, 2011.

Equity incentive plan information

We have adopted equity incentive plans to provide incentive compensation to attract and retain qualified directors, officers, advisors, consultants and other personnel, including our Manager and affiliates and personnel of our Manager and its affiliates. The total number of shares that may be made subject to awards under our Manager Equity Incentive Plan and our Equity Incentive Plan is 277,500 shares. Awards under our equity incentive plans are forfeitable until they become vested.

The following table presents certain information about our equity incentive plans as of December 31, 2013:

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants, and Rights	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in the First Column of this Table)
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders	-	\$ -	216,938
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders	-	\$ -	-
Total	-	\$ -	216,938

The following table presents certain information about our equity incentive plans as of December 31, 2012:

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants, and Rights	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in the First Column of this Table)
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders	-	\$ -	224,002
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders	-	\$ -	-
Total	-	\$ -	224,002

Performance graph

The following graph provides a comparison of the cumulative total return on our common stock from January 1, 2013 to the NYSE closing price per share on December 31, 2013 with the cumulative total return on the Standard & Poor's 500 Composite Stock Price Index (the "S&P 500") and an index of selected issuers of FTSE NAREIT Mortgage REITs. Total return values were calculated assuming \$100 invested with the reinvestment of all dividends.

Source: Bloomberg.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

The selected financial data set forth below at December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the period from March 7, 2011 (date of inception) to December 31, 2011 has been derived from the Company's audited consolidated financial statements.

The information presented below is only a summary and does not provide all of the information contained in our historical financial statements, including the related notes. You should read the information below in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our historical financial statements, including the related notes, included elsewhere in this report.

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Balance Sheet Data:			
Real estate securities, at fair value:			
Agency - \$2,242,322,869, \$3,536,876,135, and \$1,186,149,842 pledged as collateral, respectively	\$ 2,423,002,768	\$ 3,785,867,151	\$ 1,263,214,099
Non-Agency - \$844,217,568, \$529,455,020 and \$47,227,005 pledged as collateral, respectively	844,217,568	568,858,645	58,787,051
ABS - \$71,344,784, \$33,937,097 and \$4,526,620 pledged as collateral, respectively	71,344,784	33,937,097	4,526,620
CMBS - \$93,251,470, \$148,307,262 and \$2,747,080 pledged as collateral, respectively	93,251,470	148,365,887	13,537,851
Commercial loans receivable, at fair value	-	2,500,000	-
Investment in affiliates	16,411,314	-	-
Cash and cash equivalents	86,190,011	149,594,782	35,851,249
Receivable on unsettled trades - \$0, \$99,664,974 and \$0 pledged as collateral, respectively	-	96,310,999	-
Derivative assets, at fair value	55,060,075	-	1,428,595
Total assets	3,684,706,374	4,855,268,512	1,394,205,951
Repurchase agreements	2,891,634,416	3,911,419,818	1,150,149,407
Payable on unsettled trades	-	84,658,035	18,759,200
Derivative liabilities, at fair value	2,206,289	36,375,947	9,569,643
Dividend payable	17,020,893	18,540,667	7,011,171
Stockholders' equity	704,430,734	794,621,781	206,283,920

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

	Year Ended December 31, 2013	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Period from March 7, 2011 to December 31, 2011
Statement of Operations Data:			
Net Interest Income			
Interest income	\$ 151,000,673	\$ 96,376,692	18,748,669
Interest expense	25,553,273	15,010,444	1,696,344
	125,447,400	81,366,248	17,052,325
Other Income			
Net realized gain/(loss)	(123,861,859)	29,537,240	3,701,392
Income/(loss) from linked transactions, net	13,877,620	20,014,654	(808,564)
Realized loss on periodic interest settlements of interest rate swaps, net	(27,912,227)	(9,962,125)	(2,162,290)
Unrealized gain/(loss) on real estate securities and loans, net	(84,195,306)	52,071,455	11,040,692
Unrealized gain/(loss) on derivative and other instruments, net	89,112,320	(24,086,526)	(6,491,430)
	(132,979,452)	67,574,698	5,279,800
Expenses			
Management fee to affiliate	10,688,725	6,413,443	1,512,898
Other operating expenses	10,844,988	5,443,059	1,566,642
Equity based compensation to affiliate	251,447	400,200	176,165
Excise tax	1,483,630	1,748,327	105,724
	23,268,790	14,005,029	3,361,429
Income/(loss) before income taxes and equity in earnings from affiliate	(30,800,842)	134,935,917	18,970,696
Income taxes	(3,041,616)	-	-
Equity in earnings from affiliate	2,263,822	-	-
Net Income/(Loss)	(31,578,636)	134,935,917	18,970,696
Dividends on preferred stock	13,469,416	4,137,010	-
Net Income/(Loss) Available to Common Stockholders	\$ (45,048,052)	\$ 130,798,907	\$ 18,970,696
Share Data:			
Earnings/(Loss) Per Share of Common Stock			
Basic	\$ (1.61)	\$ 7.20	\$ 3.20
Diluted	\$ (1.61)	\$ 7.18	\$ 3.20

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes to our consolidated financial statements, which are included in this report.

Overview

We are a Maryland corporation focused on investing in, acquiring and managing a diversified portfolio of residential mortgage assets, other real estate-related securities and financial assets, which we refer to our target assets. We are externally managed by our Manager, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Angelo, Gordon. Our Manager, pursuant to the delegation agreement dated as of June 29, 2011, has delegated to Angelo, Gordon the overall responsibility for its day-to-day duties and obligations arising under our management agreement.

We are currently primarily invested in RMBS, for which a U.S. government agency such as Ginnie Mae, or a federally-chartered corporation such as Fannie Mae, or Freddie Mac, guarantees payments of principal and interest on the securities. We refer to these securities as Agency RMBS. Our Agency RMBS investments include mortgage pass-through securities and may include CMOs. We also are currently invested in Non-Agency RMBS, ABS, CMBS and other real estate-related assets. We expect our portfolio will continue to, over time, include a more significant portion of credit assets, including non-Agency RMBS (i.e. RMBS not issued or guaranteed by a U.S. government agency or a U.S. government-sponsored entity), as well as commercial and residential whole loans. Our Non-Agency RMBS investments may include fixed- and floating- rate securities, including investment grade and non-investment grade. We have invested in other target assets, ABS and CMBS, which, together with Agency RMBS and Non-Agency RMBS, we collectively refer to as real estate securities. We have also invested in commercial mortgage loans. We have the discretion to invest in other target assets such as other real estate structured finance products, other real estate-related loans and securities and direct or indirect interests in real estate. Non-Agency RMBS, ABS, CMBS and residential and commercial loans are referred to as our credit portfolio, and residential and commercial mortgage loans are collectively referred to as loans.

We conduct our operations to qualify and be taxed as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Accordingly, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income that we distribute currently to our stockholders as long as we maintain our intended qualification as a REIT. We operate our business in a manner that permits us to maintain our exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act.

Recent developments

In January 2014, the Company closed on a \$10 million commercial real estate investment secured by a hotel property. The investment bears current interest at LIBOR+12.25% as well as additional fees associated with closing and pay off.

On February 18, 2014, AG MIT WFB1 2014 LLC, or AG MIT WFB1, a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into a Master Repurchase Agreement and Securities Contract, dated as of February 11, 2014 and effective as of February 18, 2014, (the "WFB1 Repurchase Agreement") with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, ("Wells Fargo") to finance AG MIT WFB1's acquisition of certain beneficial interests in trusts owning participation interests in one or more pools of residential mortgage loans. Each transaction under the WFB1 Repurchase Agreement will have its own specific terms, such as identification of the assets subject to the transaction, sale price, repurchase price and rate. The WFB1 Repurchase Agreement provides for a funding period ending February 10, 2015 and a facility termination date of February 9, 2016. The maximum aggregate borrowing capacity available under the WFB1 Repurchase Agreement is \$100 million. At the request of AG MIT WFB1, Wells Fargo may grant a one year extension of the facility termination date.

The WFB1 Repurchase Agreement contains representations, warranties, covenants, events of default and indemnities that are customary for agreements of this type. The WFB1 Repurchase Agreement also contains financial covenants that require, as of the last business day of each quarter and on any funding date, the Company and AG MIT WFB1 to maintain (i) their Total Indebtedness to their Adjusted Tangible Net Worth at a ratio less than the Leverage Ratio; (ii) an Adjusted Tangible Net Worth of not less than \$430 million; and (iii) at all times, Liquidity of not less than \$30 million and unrestricted cash of not less than \$5 million.

Factors impacting our operating results

Our operating results can be affected by a number of factors and primarily depend on, among other things, the level of our net interest income, the market value of our assets and the supply of, and demand for, our target assets in the marketplace. Our net interest income, which reflects the amortization of purchase premiums and accretion of purchase discounts, varies primarily as a result of changes in market interest rates and prepayment speeds, as measured by the Constant Prepayment Rate, or CPR, on our RMBS. Interest rates vary according to the type of investment, conditions in the financial markets, competition and other factors, none of which can be predicted with any certainty. Our operating results can be impacted by unanticipated credit events experienced by borrowers whose mortgage loans are included in our RMBS.

Market conditions

We believe that 2012 marked a bottoming in the U.S. commercial and residential real estate markets. The actions taken by the U.S. government, the Federal Reserve and other governmental and regulatory bodies to address the financial crisis, specifically QE3, exacerbated the shortage of high quality assets in the market place, leading to stronger interest in credit assets over the last several years. As we look ahead to 2014 we believe further opportunities will arise from the evolution of the housing finance market. We expect that market conditions will continue to impact our operating results and will cause us to adjust our investment and financing strategies over time as new opportunities emerge and risk profiles of our business change.

Investment activities

We are currently invested in Agency RMBS, Non-Agency RMBS, ABS, CMBS, other real estate-related assets. For the period from our IPO to December 31, 2011, the risk-reward profile of investment opportunities supported the deployment of a majority of our capital in Agency RMBS. Labor, housing and economic fundamentals, together with U.S. monetary policy designed to keep interest rates low, supported our Agency RMBS investments in this period. Overweighting of these investments was also favored by the relative ease of funding and superior liquidity. We also acquired a limited amount of Non-Agency RMBS, ABS, CMBS and mortgage loan assets for our investment portfolio.

In 2012, we accomplished our goal of increasing our exposure to credit securities and leveraging the broader Angelo, Gordon platform. In particular, subsequent to the announcement of QE3 by the Federal Reserve in September 2012, we elected to minimize additional investments in Agency RMBS. Throughout the first part of 2013, we remained positioned in Agency RMBS assets that we believed would perform well in an ongoing elevated prepayment environment. During the second quarter of 2013 however, we concurrently elected to increase our hedging activity, perceiving the potential for an increase in interest rate volatility and benchmark interest rates. We have since then reduced our hedging activity and rotated into shorter duration Agency RMBS. We will continue to base our investment decisions on a variety of factors, including liquidity, duration, interest rate expectations and hedging, and the mix of assets in our portfolio may accordingly shift over time.

We finance our investments in real estate securities primarily through short-term borrowings structured as repurchase agreements. Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT and our Investment Company Act exemption, to the extent leverage is deployed, we utilize derivative financial instruments (or hedging instruments), including interest rate swap agreements and interest rate swaptions in an effort to hedge the interest rate risk associated with the financing of our portfolio. Specifically, we may seek to hedge our exposure to potential interest rate mismatches between the interest we earn on our investments and our borrowing costs caused by fluctuations in short-term interest rates. In utilizing leverage and interest rate hedges, our objectives are to improve risk-adjusted returns and, where possible, to lock in, on a long-term basis, a spread between the yield on our assets and the cost of our financing.

As discussed further in the Critical Accounting Policies section below, if we purchase a security and finance it with a repurchase agreement, and the transaction is considered linked under ASC 860-10, we will record the initial transfer and repurchase financing on a net basis and record a forward commitment to purchase assets as a derivative instrument with changes in market value being recorded on the statement of operations. Throughout Item 7 where we disclose our unlinked investment portfolio and the related repurchase agreements that finance it, we have shown the repurchase agreements inclusive of those treated as linked transactions for GAAP along with reconciliation to GAAP. The presentation inclusive of linked transactions is consistent with how the Company's management evaluates the business, and the Company believes this presentation provides the most accurate depiction its investment portfolio and financial condition.

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

The following table presents a reconciliation of certain information related to securities inclusive of unlinked securities to securities on a GAAP basis as of December 31, 2013:

Instrument	Current Face	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Mark-to-Market	Fair Value	Weighted Average Coupon	Weighted Average Life
Agency RMBS:						
15 Year Fixed Rate	\$ 435,843,408	\$ 448,753,294	\$ (1,153,462)	\$ 447,599,832	3.13	% 5.23
20 Year Fixed Rate	142,296,219	149,612,863	(2,555,617)	147,057,246	3.73	% 7.15
30 Year Fixed Rate	1,191,781,474	1,260,313,424	(30,808,677)	1,229,504,747	4.03	% 9.87
ARM Interest Only	466,047,819	464,464,391	(2,676,996)	461,787,395	2.43	% 5.99
Credit Investments:	736,263,003	134,737,439	2,316,109	137,053,548	4.92	% 4.21
Non-Agency RMBS						
Prime	346,425,724	292,304,133	8,054,140	300,358,273	5.29	% 7.29
Alt A	430,704,396	363,930,844	1,523,499	365,454,343	4.18	% 7.77
Subprime	259,658,751	225,028,604	11,799,226	236,827,830	2.21	% 5.76
Senior Short Duration	183,927,750	181,924,138	182,106	182,106,244	3.85	% 1.96
ABS	71,326,847	71,011,190	333,594	71,344,784	3.82	% 1.56
CMBS	122,698,774	118,175,243	483,720	118,658,963	4.21	% 3.61
Interest Only	52,357,700	6,562,876	(238,141)	6,324,735	1.85	% 4.29
Total: Non-GAAP Basis - Including Linked Transactions						
	\$ 4,439,331,865	\$ 3,716,818,439	\$ (12,740,499)	\$ 3,704,077,940	3.89	% 6.64
Linked Transactions	\$ 291,734,071	\$ 264,235,067	\$ 8,026,283	\$ 272,261,350	3.20	% 5.14
Total: GAAP Basis	\$ 4,147,597,794	\$ 3,452,583,372	\$ (20,766,782)	\$ 3,431,816,590	3.94	% 6.74

The following table presents a reconciliation of certain information related to securities inclusive of unlinked securities to securities on a GAAP basis as of December 31, 2012:

Instrument	Current Face	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Mark-to-Market	Fair Value	Weighted Average Coupon (1)	Weighted Average Life
Agency RMBS:						
	\$ 1,177,320,487	\$ 1,224,242,576	\$ 23,967,620	\$ 1,248,210,196	2.97	% 4.90

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

15 Year Fixed Rate							
20 Year Fixed Rate	137,858,353	144,555,156	3,569,538	148,124,694	3.68	%	6.29
30 Year Fixed Rate	1,998,807,425	2,114,981,215	28,756,880	2,143,738,095	3.63	%	8.38
ARM	36,228,319	37,813,033	362,721	38,175,754	2.96	%	5.84
Interest Only Credit Investments: Non-Agency RMBS	972,543,812	209,201,756	(1,583,344)	207,618,412	6.00	%	4.30
Prime	343,667,265	308,778,515	8,310,955	317,089,470	5.92	%	6.94
Alt A	266,682,236	253,992,069	1,593,077	255,585,146	5.26	%	7.23
Subprime	337,690,250	267,584,534	3,381,693	270,966,227	2.69	%	7.30
Senior Short Duration	22,143,399	22,143,398	233,399	22,376,797	5.92	%	2.32
ABS	33,620,881	33,584,592	352,505	33,937,097	5.34	%	1.37
CMBS	110,406,946	107,256,568	2,803,346	110,059,914	5.27	%	5.14
Interest Only Total: Non-GAAP Basis - Including Linked Transactions	640,867,674	68,181,748	(445,147)	67,736,601	2.13	%	4.06
Linked Transactions	\$ 6,077,837,047	\$ 4,792,315,160	\$ 71,303,243	\$ 4,863,618,403	3.97	%	6.22
Linked Transactions	\$ 349,775,342	\$ 318,449,020	\$ 8,140,603	\$ 326,589,623	4.79	%	6.54
Total: GAAP Basis	\$ 5,728,061,705	\$ 4,473,866,140	\$ 63,162,640	\$ 4,537,028,780	3.92	%	6.20

(1) Equity residual investments with a zero coupon rate are excluded from this calculation.

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

The following table presents certain information grouped by vintage as it relates to our credit portfolio inclusive of unlinked securities as of December 31, 2013. We have also presented a reconciliation to GAAP.

Credit Investments:	Current Face	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Mark-to-Market	Mark-to-Fair Value	Weighted Average Coupon	Weighted Average Life
Pre 2005	127,089,489	113,846,134	7,001,892	120,848,026	2.49	% 6.06
2005	270,386,878	235,394,334	(2,225,096)	233,169,238	3.94	% 8.06
2006	250,703,776	203,169,355	7,877,484	211,046,839	3.79	% 6.29
2007	262,198,572	207,814,481	10,292,385	218,106,866	4.06	% 4.57
2008	16,424,000	13,326,547	424,513	13,751,060	7.00	% 12.63
2011	31,551,000	31,885,165	(356,756)	31,528,409	6.00	% 10.07
2012	163,287,032	115,552,138	(20,393)	115,531,745	4.00	% 5.64
2013	345,459,195	337,948,874	(855,885)	337,092,989	4.20	% 3.86
Total: Non-GAAP Basis - Including Linked Transactions	\$ 1,467,099,942	\$ 1,258,937,028	\$ 22,138,144	\$ 1,281,075,172	3.95	% 5.80
Linked Transactions	\$ 291,734,071	\$ 264,235,067	\$ 8,026,283	\$ 272,261,350	3.20	% 5.14
Total: GAAP Basis	\$ 1,175,365,871	\$ 994,701,961	\$ 14,111,861	\$ 1,008,813,822	4.14	% 5.96

The following table presents certain information grouped by vintage as it relates to our credit portfolio inclusive of unlinked securities as of December 31, 2012. We have also presented a reconciliation to GAAP.

Credit Investments:	Current Face	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Mark-to-Market	Mark-to-Fair Value	Weighted Average Coupon (1)	Weighted Average Life
Pre 2005	164,801,947	148,316,903	1,409,447	149,726,350	2.85	% 6.48
2005	48,349,455	44,916,366	329,651	45,246,017	5.27	% 7.81
2006	255,833,241	224,981,511	4,309,805	229,291,316	5.17	% 6.30
2007	480,054,457	237,153,595	6,117,605	243,271,200	3.89	% 4.85
2008	16,424,000	12,853,155	339,666	13,192,821	7.00	% 10.51
2010	11,000,000	11,504,715	(36,492)	11,468,223	5.65	% 4.19
2011	39,183,107	39,547,064	7,095	39,554,159	6.24	% 8.13
2012	629,926,684	268,815,915	3,753,051	272,568,966	3.06	% 4.53
2013	109,505,760	73,432,200	-	73,432,200	3.47	% 11.57
Total: Non-GAAP Basis - Including Linked Transactions	\$ 1,755,078,651	\$ 1,061,521,424	\$ 16,229,828	\$ 1,077,751,252	3.79	% 5.72
Linked Transactions	\$ 349,775,342	\$ 318,449,020	\$ 8,140,603	\$ 326,589,623	4.79	% 6.54
Total: GAAP Basis	\$ 1,405,303,309	\$ 743,072,404	\$ 8,089,225	\$ 751,161,629	3.54	% 5.52

(1) Equity residual investments with a zero coupon rate are excluded from this calculation.

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

The following table presents the fair value of our credit portfolio by credit rating as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

Credit Rating - Credit Investments	December 31, 2013 (1)	December 31, 2012 (1)
AAA	\$ 17,905,614	\$ 20,001,842
AA	-	93,989,997
A	234,045,856	144,052,466
BBB	64,922,390	102,423,332
BB	21,640,518	35,729,622
B	115,566,820	81,017,153
Below B	549,745,784	501,038,443
Not Rated	277,248,190	99,498,397
Total: Non-GAAP Basis - Including Linked Transactions	\$ 1,281,075,172	\$ 1,077,751,252
Linked Transactions	\$ 272,261,350	\$ 326,589,623
Total: GAAP Basis	\$ 1,008,813,822	\$ 751,161,629

(1) Represents the minimum rating for rated assets of S&P, Moody and Fitch credit ratings, stated in terms of the S&P equivalent.

Our Non-Agency RMBS, ABS, CMBS and mortgage loans are subject to risk of loss with regard to principal and interest payments. We evaluate each investment based on the characteristics of the underlying collateral and securitization structure. We maintain a comprehensive portfolio management process that generally includes day-to-day oversight by the portfolio management team and a quarterly credit review process for each investment that examines the need for a potential reduction in accretible yield, missed or late contractual payments, significant declines in collateral performance, prepayments, projected defaults, loss severities and other data which may indicate a potential issue in our ability to recover our capital from the investment. These processes are designed to enable our Manager to evaluate and proactively manage asset-specific credit issues and identify credit trends on a portfolio-wide basis. Nevertheless, we cannot be certain that our review will identify all issues within our portfolio due to, among other things, adverse economic conditions or events adversely affecting specific assets; therefore, potential future losses may also stem from investments that are not identified by our credit reviews.

As mentioned above, our investments have been focused in Agency RMBS given the relative ease of funding and superior liquidity. We evaluate investments in Agency RMBS using factors including expected future prepayment trends, supply and demand, costs of financing, costs of hedging, expected future interest rate volatility and the overall shape of the U.S. Treasury and interest rate swap yield curves. Prepayment speeds, as reflected by the CPR, and interest rates vary according to the type of investment, conditions in financial markets, competition and other factors, none of which can be predicted with any certainty. In general, as prepayment speeds on our Agency RMBS portfolio increase, the related purchase premium amortization increases, thereby reducing the net yield on such assets.

The following table presents the CPR experienced on our Agency RMBS portfolio, on an annualized basis, for the quarterly periods presented.

Agency RMBS	Three Months Ended (1) (2)			
	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013	June 30, 2013	March 31, 2013
15 Year Fixed Rate	8 %	11 %	13 %	11 %
20 Year Fixed Rate	5 %	10 %	8 %	8 %
30 Year Fixed Rate	6 %	12 %	7 %	7 %

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

ARM	5	%	8	%	9	%	22	%
Interest Only	7	%	9	%	10	%	10	%
	6	%	10	%	8	%	9	%

(1) Represents the weighted average monthly CPRs published during the quarter for our in-place portfolio during the same period.

(2) Source: Bloomberg

Securities in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2013 have been determined to be temporary. Any decline in fair value of these real estate securities is solely due to market conditions and not the quality of the assets. These securities in unrealized loss positions are not considered other than temporarily impaired because we currently have the ability and intent to hold the investments to maturity or for a period of time sufficient for a forecasted market price recovery up to or beyond the cost of the investments and we are not required to sell for regulatory or other reasons. Further, all of the principal and interest payments on the Agency RMBS have an explicit guarantee by either an agency of the U.S. government or a U.S. government-sponsored enterprise.

The Company has used leverage to complete the purchase of securities in its investment portfolio. Through December 31, 2013 the leverage has been in the form of repurchase agreements. Repurchase agreements involve the sale and a simultaneous agreement to repurchase the transferred assets or similar assets at a future date. The amount borrowed generally is equal to the fair value of the assets pledged less an agreed-upon discount, referred to as a “haircut.” Repurchase agreements entered into by the Company are accounted for as financings and require the repurchase of the transferred securities at the end of each agreement’s term, typically 30 to 90 days. The Company maintains the beneficial interest in the specific securities pledged during the term of the repurchase agreement and receives the related principal and interest payments. Interest rates on these borrowings are fixed based on prevailing rates corresponding to the terms of the borrowings, and interest is paid at the termination of the repurchase agreement at which time the Company may enter into a new repurchase agreement at prevailing market rates with the same counterparty or repay that counterparty and negotiate financing with a different counterparty. In response to declines in fair value of pledged securities due to changes in market conditions or the publishing of monthly security paydown factors, lenders typically require the Company to post additional securities as collateral, pay down borrowings or establish cash margin accounts with the counterparties in order to re-establish the agreed-upon collateral requirements, referred to as margin calls. The Company finances certain of its Agency RMBS, Non-Agency RMBS, ABS and CMBS through the use of repurchase agreements.

On April 9, 2012, AG MIT, a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into a Master Repurchase and Securities Contract, or the Repurchase Agreement, with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association to finance the Company’s acquisition of certain residential, non-Agency RMBS. Effective April 12, 2013, AG MIT entered into an Amended and Restated Master Repurchase and Securities Contract, or the Renewal Agreement, to the Repurchase Agreement. The Renewal Agreement was entered into for multiple purposes, including the amendment of the Repurchase Agreement to finance AG MIT’s acquisition of not only residential, non-Agency Securities, but also certain consumer asset-backed securities and commercial mortgage-backed securities. Each transaction under the Renewal Agreement will also have its own specific terms, such as identification of the assets subject to the transaction, sale price, repurchase price and rate. The Renewal Agreement increases the aggregate maximum borrowing capacity of the Repurchase Agreement from \$75 million to \$125 million and extends the maturity date from April 8, 2013 to April 11, 2014. The Renewal Agreement also includes the same provisions in the Repurchase Agreement permitting the maturity date to be extended for an additional 90 days.

The Renewal Agreement contains representations, warranties, covenants, events of default and indemnities that are substantially identical to those in the Repurchase Agreement and are customary for agreements of this type. The Renewal Agreement also contains amended financial covenants that require, as of the last business day of each quarter and on any funding date, the Company and AG MIT to maintain (i) their Total Indebtedness to their Adjusted Tangible Net Worth (as such terms are defined in the Renewal Agreement) at a ratio less than the Leverage Ratio; (ii) an Adjusted Tangible Net Worth of not less than \$430 million; and (iii) at all times, Liquidity of not less than \$30 million and unrestricted cash of not less than \$5 million.

On February 18, 2014, AG MIT WFB1, a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into the WFB1 Repurchase Agreement, with Wells Fargo to finance AG MIT WFB1's acquisition of certain beneficial interests in trusts owning participation interests in one or more pools of residential mortgage loans. Each transaction under the WFB1 Repurchase Agreement will have its own specific terms, such as identification of the assets subject to the transaction, sale price, repurchase price and rate. The WFB1 Repurchase Agreement provides for a funding period ending February 10, 2015 and a facility termination date of February 9, 2016. The maximum aggregate borrowing capacity available under the WFB1 Repurchase Agreement is \$100 million. At the request of AG MIT WFB1, Wells Fargo may grant a one year extension of the facility termination date.

The WFB1 Repurchase Agreement contains representations, warranties, covenants, events of default and indemnities that are customary for agreements of this type. The WFB1 Repurchase Agreement also contains financial covenants that require, as of the last business day of each quarter and on any funding date, the Company and AG MIT WFB1 to maintain (i) their Total Indebtedness to their Adjusted Tangible Net Worth at a ratio less than the Leverage Ratio; (ii) an Adjusted Tangible Net Worth of not less than \$430 million; and (iii) at all times, Liquidity of not less than \$30 million and unrestricted cash of not less than \$5 million.

The following table presents a reconciliation of certain information related to repurchase agreements inclusive of unlinked repurchase agreements on a GAAP basis as of December 31, 2013:

Repurchase Agreements Maturing Within:	Balance	Weighted Average Rate	Weighted Average Days to Maturity	Weighted Average Haircut
30 days or less	\$ 1,507,772,817	0.95	% 15.8	9.0 %
31-60 days	926,730,000	0.57	% 43.6	4.8 %
61-90 days	260,958,000	0.55	% 70.9	5.9 %
Greater than 90 days	419,019,914	1.57	% 294.6	16.4 %
Total: Non-GAAP Basis - Including Linked Transactions	\$ 3,114,480,731	0.89	% 66.2	8.5 %
Linked Transactions	\$ 222,846,315	1.85	% 49.9	17.7 %
Total: GAAP Basis	\$ 2,891,634,416	0.81	% 67.5	7.8 %

As mentioned above, the amount borrowed represents the fair value of the assets pledged less an agreed-upon discount, referred to as a "haircut." The size of the haircut reflects the perceived risk associated with the pledged asset. Haircuts may change as our repurchase agreements mature or roll and are sensitive to governmental regulations. We have not experienced fluctuations in our haircuts that altered our business and financing strategies for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, but we continue to monitor the regulatory environment, which may influence the timing and amount of repurchase agreement activity.

The following table presents a reconciliation of certain information related to repurchase agreements inclusive of unlinked repurchase agreements on a GAAP basis as of December 31, 2012:

Repurchase Agreements Maturing Within:	Balance	Weighted Average Rate	Weighted Average Days to Maturity	Weighted Average Haircut
30 days or less	\$ 2,525,200,001	0.83	% 15.3	7.9 %
31-60 days	783,969,000	0.52	% 44.5	4.0 %
61-90 days	547,416,000	0.57	% 70.7	3.5 %
Greater than 90 days	337,178,271	1.30	% 125.7	11.9 %

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

Total: Non-GAAP Basis - Including Linked Transactions	\$ 4,193,763,272	0.78	% 36.9	6.9	%
Linked Transactions	\$ 282,343,454	1.85	% 14.8	12.7	%
Total: GAAP Basis	\$ 3,911,419,818	0.70	% 38.5	6.5	%

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

The following table presents the quarter-end balance, average quarterly balance and maximum balance at any month-end for the Company's repurchase agreements inclusive of unlinked repurchase agreements with a reconciliation of all quarterly figures to GAAP.

Quarter Ended	Quarter-End Balance	Average Quarterly Balance	Maximum Balance at Any Month-End
December 31, 2013			
Non-GAAP Basis - Including Linked Transactions	\$ 3,114,480,731	\$ 3,119,928,016	\$ 3,145,191,941
Less: Linked Transactions	222,846,315	237,576,633	249,165,657
GAAP Basis	\$ 2,891,634,416	\$ 2,882,351,383	\$ 2,896,026,284
September 30, 2013			
Non-GAAP Basis - Including Linked Transactions	\$ 3,194,360,409	\$ 3,294,030,740	\$ 3,495,343,985
Less: Linked Transactions	229,265,000	246,331,778	259,343,915
GAAP Basis	\$ 2,965,095,409	\$ 3,047,698,962	\$ 3,236,000,070
June 30, 2013			
Non-GAAP Basis - Including Linked Transactions	\$ 4,226,403,356	\$ 4,380,568,623	\$ 4,613,620,097
Less: Linked Transactions	404,759,166	418,500,534	431,172,099
GAAP Basis	\$ 3,821,644,190	\$ 3,962,068,089	\$ 4,182,447,998
March 31, 2013			
Non-GAAP Basis - Including Linked Transactions	\$ 4,357,022,229	\$ 4,292,089,859	\$ 4,357,022,229
Less: Linked Transactions	375,195,253	318,334,369	375,195,253
GAAP Basis	\$ 3,981,826,976	\$ 3,973,755,490	\$ 3,981,826,976
December 31, 2012			
Non-GAAP Basis - Including Linked Transactions	\$ 4,193,763,272	\$ 4,240,961,996	\$ 4,379,812,386
Less: Linked Transactions	282,343,454	294,460,114	317,918,136
GAAP Basis	\$ 3,911,419,818	\$ 3,946,501,882	\$ 4,061,894,250
September 30, 2012			
Non-GAAP Basis - Including Linked Transactions	\$ 4,117,521,386	\$ 3,435,530,588	\$ 4,117,521,386
Less: Linked Transactions	274,292,769	277,119,408	339,153,384
GAAP Basis	\$ 3,843,228,617	\$ 3,158,411,180	\$ 3,778,368,002
June 30, 2012			
Non-GAAP Basis - Including Linked Transactions	\$ 2,355,239,040	\$ 2,300,322,006	\$ 2,355,239,040
Less: Linked Transactions	221,508,574	191,035,175	221,508,574
GAAP Basis	\$ 2,133,730,466	\$ 2,109,286,831	\$ 2,133,730,466
March 31, 2012			
Non-GAAP Basis - Including Linked Transactions	\$ 2,234,819,456	\$ 1,914,637,263	\$ 2,234,819,456
Less: Linked Transactions	148,129,142	119,001,381	148,129,142
GAAP Basis	\$ 2,086,690,314	\$ 1,795,635,882	\$ 2,086,690,314
December 31, 2011			
Non-GAAP Basis - Including Linked Transactions	\$ 1,189,303,407	\$ 1,216,828,855	\$ 1,279,008,000

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

Less: Linked Transactions	39,154,000		38,736,000		39,419,000
GAAP Basis	\$ 1,150,149,407	\$	1,178,092,855	\$	1,239,589,000
September 30, 2011					
Non-GAAP Basis - Including					
Linked Transactions	\$ 1,126,859,885	\$	1,088,293,085	\$	1,126,859,885
Less: Linked Transactions	38,124,000		32,161,000		38,124,000
GAAP Basis	\$ 1,088,735,885	\$	1,056,132,085	\$	1,088,735,885

We commenced operations in 2011; therefore 2011 represents a partial year. We finance the purchase of our investments with repurchase agreements and it can be reasonably expected that our repurchase agreement balance will increase when equity raises occur and decrease upon reduction of the portfolio size through security sales. We raised \$514.7 million through common and preferred stock offerings during 2012, of which, \$103.9 million, \$317.0 million and \$93.8 was raised in Q1, Q3 and Q4, respectively. Our respective repurchase agreement balances increased significantly during these periods as shown above. In response to a sharp increase in interest rates resulting from the market's reaction to the announcement that tapering of QE3 could occur earlier than expected, we sold a significant amount of our fixed rate Agency RMBS and subsequently terminated the related repurchase agreements, accounting for the reduction in repurchase agreement balance from the maximum balance at any month-end within both Q2 and Q3 2013.

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

The following table presents a reconciliation of our leverage ratio at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, inclusive of linked transactions to our leverage on a GAAP basis. Leverage numbers presented are inclusive of net payables/receivables on unsettled trades on our GAAP balance sheet, and the calculations divide leverage by our GAAP stockholders' equity.

2013	Leverage (1)	Equity	Leverage Ratio	
Non-GAAP Leverage	\$ 3,114,480,731	\$ 704,430,734	4.42	x
Non-GAAP Adjustments	222,846,315	-		
GAAP Leverage	2,891,634,416	704,430,734	4.10	x
2012	Leverage (1)	Equity	Leverage Ratio	
Non-GAAP Leverage	\$ 4,182,110,308	\$ 794,621,781	5.26	x
Non-GAAP Adjustments	282,343,454	-		
GAAP Leverage	3,899,766,854	794,621,781	4.91	x

(1) Includes repurchase agreements and net payable/receivable on unsettled trades as of period end.

The Company seeks to transact with several different counterparties in order to reduce the exposure to any single counterparty. The Company has entered into MRAs with 30 counterparties, under which we had outstanding debt from 25 and 29 counterparties at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, inclusive of repurchase agreements accounted for as linked transactions.

At December 31, 2013, the following table reflects amounts at risk under its repurchase agreements greater than 5% of the Company's equity with any counterparty, inclusive of unlinked repurchase agreements with reconciliation to GAAP.

Counterparty	Amount at Risk	Weighted Average Maturity (days)	Percentage of Stockholders' Equity	
Credit Suisse - Non-GAAP	\$ 72,113,181	30	10.2	%
Non-GAAP Adjustments	9,364,112	5	-1.3	%
Credit Suisse - GAAP	\$ 62,749,069	35	8.9	%
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith - Non-GAAP	\$ 51,047,394	34	7.2	%
Non-GAAP Adjustments	-	-	0.0	%
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith - GAAP	\$ 51,047,394	34	7.2	%
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A - Non-GAAP	\$ 39,399,377	101	5.6	%
Non-GAAP Adjustments	-	-	0.0	%
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A - GAAP	\$ 39,399,377	101	5.6	%

At December 31, 2012, the following table reflects amounts at risk under its repurchase agreements greater than 5% of the Company's equity with any counterparty, inclusive of unlinked repurchase agreements with reconciliation to GAAP.

Counterparty	Amount at Risk
--------------	----------------

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

			Weighted Average Maturity (days)	Percentage of Stockholders' Equity	
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith - Non-GAAP	\$	54,743,450	16	6.9	%
Non-GAAP Adjustments		-	-	0.0	%
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith - GAAP	\$	54,743,450	16	6.9	%

To help mitigate exposure to higher short-term interest rates, the Company uses currently-paying and may use forward-starting, one-and three-month LIBOR-indexed, pay-fixed, receive-variable, interest rate swap agreements. This arrangement establishes a relatively stable fixed rate on related borrowings because the variable-rate payments received on the swap agreements largely offset interest accruing on the related borrowings, leaving the fixed-rate payments to be paid on the swap agreements as the Company's effective borrowing rate, subject to certain adjustments including changes in spreads between variable rates on the swap agreements and actual borrowing rates.

The following table presents information about the Company's interest rate swaps as of December 31, 2013:

Maturity	Notional Amount	Weighted Average Pay Rate	Weighted Average Receive Rate	Weighted Average Years to Maturity
2016	* \$ 260,000,000	0.62	% 0.71	% 2.63
2017	275,000,000	1.02	% 0.24	% 3.83
2018	490,000,000	1.15	% 0.24	% 4.43
2019	260,000,000	1.27	% 0.25	% 5.64
2020	450,000,000	1.62	% 0.24	% 6.25
2022	50,000,000	1.69	% 0.24	% 8.68
2023	340,000,000	2.49	% 0.24	% 9.56
2028	20,000,000	3.47	% 0.25	% 14.97
Total/Wtd Avg	\$ 2,145,000,000	1.43	% 0.30	% 5.67

* This figure includes a forward starting swap with a total notional of \$100.0 million and a start date of December 23, 2015. Weighted average rates shown are inclusive of rates corresponding to the terms of the swap as if the swap were effective as of December 31, 2013.

The following table presents information about the Company's interest rate swaps as of December 31, 2012:

Maturity	Notional Amount	Weighted Average Pay Rate	Weighted Average Receive Rate	Weighted Average Years to Maturity
2014	\$ 204,500,000	1.000	% 0.332	% 1.54
2015	364,025,000	1.078	% 0.299	% 2.42
2016	367,500,000	1.077	% 0.297	% 3.36
2017	410,000,000	1.018	% 0.312	% 4.70
2018	* 320,000,000	1.308	% 0.309	% 5.56
2019	* 450,000,000	1.390	% 0.315	% 6.56
2022	50,000,000	1.685	% 0.311	% 9.68
Total/Wtd Avg	\$ 2,166,025,000	1.172	% 0.309	% 4.42

* These figures include forward starting swaps with a total notional of \$100.0 million and a weighted average start date of April 2, 2013. Weighted average rates shown are inclusive of rates corresponding to the terms of the swap as if the swap were effective as of December 31, 2012.

The Company has entered into to-be-announced, or TBA, security positions to facilitate the future purchase or sale of specified Agency RMBS. Pursuant to these TBAs, the Company agrees to purchase or sell, for future delivery or receipt, Agency RMBS with certain principal and interest terms and certain types of underlying collateral, but the particular Agency RMBS to be delivered or received would not be identified until shortly, generally two days, before the TBA settlement date. The Company records TBA purchases and sales on trade date and presents the purchase or sale net of the corresponding payable or receivable until the settlement date of the transaction. Contracts for the purchase or sale of specified Agency RMBS are accounted for as derivatives if the delivery of the specified Agency security and settlement extends beyond the shortest period possible for that type of security.

The following table presents information about the Company's TBAs for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

For the Year Ended December 31, 2013

	Beginning Notional Amount	Additions	Sale or Settlement	Ending Net Notional Amount	Net Fair Value as of Period End	Net Payable to Broker	Derivative Asset	Derivative Liability
TBA's	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 2,117,000,000	\$ (2,157,000,000)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

	Beginning Notional Amount	Additions	Sale or Settlement	Ending Net Notional Amount	Net Fair Value as of Period End	Net Payable to Broker	Derivative Asset	Derivative Liability
TBA's	\$ 100,000,000	\$ 660,000,000	\$ (720,000,000)	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 41,723,436	\$ (41,861,133)	\$ -	\$ (137,697)

Short positions in U.S. Treasury securities through reverse repurchase agreements

The Company has also sold short U.S. Treasury securities contracts to help mitigate the potential impact of changes in interest rates. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had obligations to return U.S. Treasury securities borrowed under reverse repurchase agreements, which are accounted for as securities borrowing transactions, with fair values of \$27.5 million. As of December 31, 2013, the U.S. Treasury securities had a weighted average maturity of 6.6 years. The borrowed securities were collateralized by cash loaned under reverse repurchase agreements of \$27.5 million. As of December 31, 2013, the reverse repurchase agreements had a weighted average maturity of January 3, 2014. The Company had no short positions in U.S. Treasury securities as of December 31, 2012.

Results of operations

The table below presents certain information from our Consolidated Statement of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the period ended December 31, 2011:

	Year Ended December 31, 2013	Year Ended December 31, 2012	March 7, 2011 to December 31, 2011
Statement of Operations Data:			
Net Interest Income			
Interest income	\$ 151,000,673	\$ 96,376,692	\$ 18,748,669
Interest expense	25,553,273	15,010,444	1,696,344
	125,447,400	81,366,248	17,052,325
Other Income			
Net realized gain/(loss)	(123,861,859)	29,537,240	3,701,392
Income/(loss) from linked transactions, net	13,877,620	20,014,654	(808,564)
Realized loss on periodic interest settlements of interest rate swaps, net	(27,912,227)	(9,962,125)	(2,162,290)
Unrealized gain/(loss) on real estate securities and loans, net	(84,195,306)	52,071,455	11,040,692
Unrealized gain/(loss) on derivative and other instruments, net	89,112,320	(24,086,526)	(6,491,430)
	(132,979,452)	67,574,698	5,279,800
Expenses			
Management fee to affiliate	10,688,725	6,413,443	1,512,898
Other operating expenses	10,844,988	5,443,059	1,566,642
Equity based compensation to affiliate	251,447	400,200	176,165
Excise tax	1,483,630	1,748,327	105,724
	23,268,790	14,005,029	3,361,429
Income/(loss) before income taxes and equity in earnings from affiliate	(30,800,842)	134,935,917	18,970,696
Income taxes	(3,041,616)	-	-
Equity in earnings from affiliate	2,263,822	-	-
Net Income/(Loss)	(31,578,636)	134,935,917	18,970,696
Dividends on preferred stock	13,469,416	4,137,010	-
Net Income/(Loss) Available to Common Stockholders	\$ (45,048,052)	\$ 130,798,907	\$ 18,970,696
Share Data:			
Earnings/(Loss) Per Share of Common Stock			
Basic	\$ (1.61)	\$ 7.20	\$ 3.20
Diluted	\$ (1.61)	\$ 7.18	\$ 3.20

Changes in our results of operations are primarily caused by changes in equity and changes to the size and composition of our portfolio and changes in the amount of our borrowings and hedges. During the period ended December 31, 2011 we commenced our investing operations and completed our initial deployment of capital. We

experienced significant growth during the year ended December 31, 2012, raising \$514.7 million of capital through common and preferred stock offerings. We allocated the proceeds of our capital raises to our target assets, which earned a partial year of yield during these periods.

While interest rates remained low during 2012 assisted by the announcement of the QE3 program, 2013 represented a more challenging market environment due to the market reaction to the announcement that tapering of QE3 could occur earlier than expected. As discussed in Item 1, the rate on ten-year Treasury notes moved sharply higher during the second quarter of 2013 in response to this news. After hitting an intra-quarter low of 1.63% in early May, the market sold off significantly, reaching a high above 2.60% before closing the quarter at 2.49%. During the course of these events, Agency RMBS underperformed dramatically. With QE3, the Federal Reserve was the largest buyer of Agency RMBS, and fear of the Federal Reserve curtailing its participation and support triggered many market participants to sell Agency RMBS. Other managers began selling assets as durations extended and the mortgage basis widened. The significant move in benchmark rates during the second quarter of 2013 had triggered an overall weakening of the fixed income credit markets. Liquidity evaporated across many segments of the broader mortgage-backed securities market.

Towards the end of the second quarter and into the third quarter of 2013 we sold a material portion of our fixed rate Agency RMBS portfolio, and rotated out of extended Agency RMBS duration assets into shorter duration Agency RMBS. Shorter amortizing securities enable us to redeploy this capital as rates rise in conjunction with tapering. Our reinvestment of proceeds from the security sales was allocated primarily to our credit portfolio. To further help protect book value and manage the additional risk, we materially increased our hedge ratio going from a 63% hedge ratio at the end of the first quarter to a 95% hedge ratio as a percentage of total repo at the end of the second quarter. We utilize interest rate derivatives to mitigate exposure to increases in interest rates. Our hedge ratio is how we measure our exposure to increases in interest rates and is measured as a percentage of derivative notional to total repurchase agreements. This ratio was subsequently reduced over the remainder of the year, ending at 67% as of December 31, 2013.

Investment income, financing and hedging costs

Our primary source of income is the net interest earned on our investment portfolio. Our current portfolio is primarily comprised of fixed rate Agency RMBS. The portfolio has been financed with repurchase agreements. The difference between the interest earned on our assets and the interest accrued on our repurchase agreements and hedges is our net interest margin.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we had a weighted average cost of securities and repurchase agreements of \$4.4 billion and \$3.8 billion, respectively. The average yield earned on the assets was 3.85%, and the average rate paid on repurchase agreements was 0.83%. The annualized cost associated with swaps as a percentage of the average repurchase agreement balance outstanding during the year ended December 31, 2013 was 0.73%. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we had a weighted average cost of securities and repurchase agreements of \$3.3 billion and \$2.9 billion, respectively. The average yield earned on the assets was 3.36%, and the average rate paid on repurchase agreements was 0.65%. The annualized cost associated with swaps as a percentage of the average repurchase agreement balance outstanding during the year ended December 31, 2012 was 0.35%. During the period ended December 31, 2011, we had a weighted average cost of securities and repurchase agreements of \$1.2 billion and \$1.0 billion, respectively. On an annualized basis, the average yield earned on the assets was 3.33%, and the average rate paid on the debt was 0.38%. The annualized cost associated with swaps as a percentage of the average repurchase agreement balance outstanding during the period ended December 31, 2011 was 0.50%.

Our investment portfolio has primarily been Agency RMBS since our inception. We have gradually supported the deployment of capital to our credit portfolio, increasing our allocation as a percentage of assets from 9.0% to 22.2% to 34.6% as of December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively. The improved general economic outlook and the increase in interest rates have supported the increase in credit investment allocation. These factors generally result in improved forecasted cash flows on the credit portfolio, increasing the yield and discount accretion for such bonds. An increased interest rate environment tends to yield less refinancing and prepayments for premium bonds, which generally increases the yield on these investments as amortization decreases.

Our increased allocation to credit investments resulted in a higher cost of financing as the underlying securities are inherently riskier than Agency RMBS. We are also actively seeking to extend the maturities on our repurchase agreements, resulting in an increase in interest rate paid on our debt.

Realized and unrealized gains (losses) on investments and derivatives

For the year ended December 31, 2013, we sold certain real estate securities realizing a net loss of \$55.0 million inclusive of related tax positions, settled certain derivatives realizing a net loss of \$15.1 million, and a realized net loss of \$4.0 million from the unlinking of securities previously accounted for as derivatives through linked transactions.

Additionally, we recognized \$52.7 million of realized losses due to other-than-temporary impairment, or OTTI, charges on certain securities. For the year ended December 31, 2012, we sold certain real estate securities realizing a net gain of \$23.7 million, settled certain derivatives realizing a net gain of \$2.4 million and realized net gains of \$3.4 million from the unlinking of securities previously accounted for as derivatives through linked transactions. During the period ended December 31, 2011, we sold certain real estate securities realizing a net gain of \$4.9 million and settled certain derivatives realizing a net loss of \$1.2 million. We may opportunistically reposition the portfolio from time to time for numerous reasons including rotating into investments with what we believe to be better relative value. The timing and amount of future realized gains and losses will be impacted by these portfolio management decisions.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, we recognized an OTTI charge of \$52.7 million on certain securities. No OTTI was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2012 or for the period ended December 31, 2011. The decline in value of the remaining real estate securities is solely due to market conditions and not the quality of the assets. The remaining investments in our portfolio are not considered other than temporarily impaired because we currently have

the ability and intent to hold the investments to maturity or for a period of time sufficient for a forecasted market price recovery up to or beyond the cost of the investments and we are not required to sell for regulatory or other reasons.

We have not designated any of our derivative instruments as hedges for GAAP. Therefore the change in market value on such derivatives is included as a component of our net income. Our derivative instruments may include interest rate derivatives, and certain TBA securities.

We have elected the fair value option on our real estate securities and loan portfolios. As a result, the change in market value of our securities and loans are included as a component of net income.

The change in unrealized gains/(losses) on real estate securities and loans is directly attributable to the changes in market pricing on the underlying instruments during the period. Our real estate securities and loan portfolios had unrealized gains for the period ended December 31, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2012 and an unrealized loss for the year ended December 31, 2013. The unrealized gains/(losses) are primarily correlated to the price of Agency products as Agency RMBS comprise the majority of our portfolio. Unrealized gain and losses are caused when prices of the product increase or decrease, respectively. Fannie 3.50% 30 years increased from \$101-26+ at 6/30/11 to \$104-18+ at 12/31/11 to \$106-19+ at 12/31/12 then decreased to \$99-11+ at 12/31/2013. This price movement is consistent among comparable Agency RMBS products.

Our interest rate derivatives are comprised mostly of pay-fixed interest rate swaps. The unrealized gain/(loss) on our derivatives portfolio will generally move in the opposite direction of those of our real estate securities and loan portfolios. The unrealized gains/(losses) result from a change in interest rates. In periods of increased interest rates, our derivative portfolio will generally experience unrealized gains, conversely, in periods of decreased interest rates, our derivative portfolio will generally experience unrealized losses. The 10 year Treasury decreased from 3.161% at 6/30/11 to 1.877% at 12/31/11 to 1.75% at 12/31/12 and then increased to 3.029% at 12/31/13.

Management fees and other expenses

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and for the period ended December 31, 2011, our management fees were \$10.7 million, \$6.4 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. Management fees are based upon a percentage of our stockholders' equity after certain adjustments, including the exclusion of unrealized gains or losses and other non-cash items. See the *Contractual obligations* section for further detail on the calculation of management fee.

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and the period ended December 31, 2011, Other operating expenses were \$10.8 million, \$5.4 million, and \$1.6 million, respectively. These amounts were primarily comprised of professional fees, insurance and director's fees. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, certain expenses reimbursable to the Manager were also included in Other operating expense.

We are required to reimburse our Manager for operating expenses related to us incurred by our Manager, including certain salary expenses and other expenses relating to legal, accounting, due diligence and other services. Of the \$10.8 million, \$5.4 million and \$1.6 million of Other operating expenses for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the period ended December 31, 2011, respectively, the Company has expensed \$6.5 million, \$2.2 million and \$0.0 million, respectively. To facilitate the ramp-up and establishment of the Company, our Manager waived its right to receive expense reimbursement of \$2.2 million and \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and for the period ended December 31, 2011, respectively. The Manager did not waive any expense reimbursements for the year ended December 31, 2013.

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and for the period ended December 31, 2011, we elected to satisfy the REIT distribution requirements in part with a dividend to be paid in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. In conjunction with this, we accrued an excise tax of \$1.5 million, \$1.7 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, which is included in the excise tax line item on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Book value per share

As of December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, our book value per common share was \$19.14, \$23.47 and \$20.52, respectively.

Critical accounting policies

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP, which requires the use of estimates that involve the exercise of judgment and use of assumptions as to future uncertainties. Our most critical accounting policies involve decisions and assessments that could affect our reported assets and liabilities, as well as our reported revenues and expenses. We believe that all of the decisions and assessments upon which our consolidated financial statements are based are reasonable at the time made and based upon information available to us at that time. We rely upon independent pricing of our assets at each quarter end to arrive at what we believe to be reasonable estimates of fair market value, whenever available.

Investments in real estate securities

Our real estate securities portfolio consists primarily of Agency RMBS, Non-Agency RMBS, ABS, CMBS and other real estate-related assets, on which we have chosen to make a fair value election pursuant to ASC 825. Real estate securities are recorded at fair market value on our balance sheet and the period change in fair market value is recorded in current period earnings on our consolidated statement of operations as a component of “Unrealized gain/(loss) on real estate securities and loans, net.” Electing the fair value option allows us to record changes in fair value in the Statement of Operations, which, in management’s view, more appropriately reflects the results of our operations for a particular reporting period as all securities activities will be recorded in a similar manner.

Valuation of our real estate securities portfolio is determined by our Manager using third-party pricing services. The evaluation methodology of third-party pricing services used incorporates commonly used market pricing methods, including a spread measurement to various indices such as the one-year constant maturity treasury and LIBOR, which are observable inputs. The evaluation also considers the underlying characteristics of each security, which are also observable inputs, including: coupon; maturity date, loan age, reset date, collateral type, periodic and life cap, geography, and prepayment speeds. We collect and consider current market intelligence on all major markets, including benchmark security evaluations and bid-lists from various sources, when available. Changes in the market environment and other events that may occur over the life of our investments may cause the gains or losses ultimately realized on these investments to be different than the valuations currently estimated.

Investments in mortgage loans

We have chosen to make a fair value election pursuant to ASC 825 for our mortgage loans. Loans are recorded at fair market value on the balance sheet and any periodic change in fair market value is recorded in current period earnings on the consolidated statement of operations as a component of “Unrealized gain on real estate securities and loans, net.” Electing the fair value option allows us to record changes in fair value in the Statement of Operations, which, in management’s view, more appropriately reflects the results of our operations for a particular reporting period as all loan activities will be recorded in a similar manner.

Valuation of our mortgage loan portfolio is determined by our Manager using third-party pricing services where available, and our Manager may also engage specialized third party valuation service providers to assess and corroborate the valuation of a selection of investments in the Company’s loan portfolio on a periodic basis. These specialized third party valuation service providers conduct independent valuation analyses based on a review of source documents, available market data, and comparable investments. The overall valuation considers the underlying characteristics of each loan, which are observable inputs, including: coupon; maturity date, loan age, reset date, collateral type, periodic and life cap, geography, and prepayment speeds. These valuations also require significant judgments, which include assumptions regarding capitalization rates, leasing, creditworthiness of major tenants, occupancy rates, availability of financing, exit plan, loan sponsorship, actions of other lenders and other factors deemed necessary by management. Changes in the market environment and other events that may occur over the life of our investments may cause the gains or losses ultimately realized on these investments to be different than the

valuations currently estimated. Analyses provided by valuation service providers are reviewed and considered by the Manager.

Investment in affiliates

Our unconsolidated ownership interests in affiliates are generally accounted for using the equity method. The underlying entities have chosen to make a fair value election pursuant to ASC 825. As a result, we will treat our investment in affiliates consistently with this election. Periodic changes in fair market value will be recorded in current period earnings on the consolidated statement of operation as a component of “Equity in earnings from affiliate.” Capital contributions, distributions and profits and losses of such entities are allocated in accordance with the terms of the applicable agreements.

Interest income

Interest income on our real estate securities and loan portfolios is accrued based on the actual coupon rate and the outstanding principal balance of such securities and loans. We have elected to record interest in accordance with ASC 835-30-35-2 using the effective interest method for all securities and loans accounted for under the fair value option (ASC 825). As such, premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted into interest income over the lives of the respective investments. We estimate future expected cash flows at the time of purchase and determine the effective interest rate based on these estimated cash flows and our purchase price. At least quarterly, these estimated cash flows are assessed and a revised yield is computed based on the current amortized cost of the investment, as needed. As further explained below, there are uncertainties and contingencies involved in estimating cash flows, which are difficult to predict and are subject to future events that may impact our estimates and, as a result, our interest income.

On at least a quarterly basis for securities accounted for under ASC 320-10 and ASC 310-20 (generally, Agency RMBS), prepayments of the underlying collateral must be estimated, which directly affect the speed at which we amortize such securities. If actual and anticipated cash flows differ from previous estimates; we recognize a “catch-up” adjustment in the current period to the amortization of premiums for the impact of the cumulative change in the effective yield through the reporting date.

Similarly, we also reassess the cash flows on at least a quarterly basis for securities accounted for under ASC 325-40 (generally, Non-Agency RMBS, ABS, CMBS and interest only securities). In estimating these cash flows, there are a number of assumptions that will be subject to uncertainties and contingencies. These include the rate and timing of principal and interest receipt, (including assumptions of prepayments, repurchases, defaults and liquidations), the pass-through or coupon rate and interest rate fluctuations. In addition, interest payment shortfalls due to delinquencies on the underlying mortgage loans have to be judgmentally estimated. Differences between previously estimated cash flows and current actual and anticipated cash flows are recognized prospectively through an adjustment of the yield over the remaining life of the security based on the current amortized cost of the investment as adjusted for credit impairment, if any.

Other-than-temporary-impairment

We evaluate securities for OTTI on at least a quarterly basis. The determination of whether a security is other-than-temporarily impaired involves judgments and assumptions based on subjective and objective factors. When the fair value of an investment security is less than its amortized cost at the balance sheet date, the security is considered impaired, and the impairment is designated as either “temporary” or “other-than-temporary.”

When an investment security is impaired, an OTTI is considered to have occurred if (i) we intend to sell the security (i.e. a decision has been made as of the reporting date) or (ii) it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. If we intend to sell the security or if it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the investment security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the entire amount of the impairment loss, if any, is recognized in earnings as a realized loss and the cost basis of the security is adjusted to its fair value. For securities accounted for under ASC 325-40, “Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets,” an OTTI is deemed to have occurred when there is an adverse change in the expected cash flows to be received and the fair value of the security is less than its carrying amount. In determining whether an adverse change in cash flows occurred, the present value of the remaining cash flows, as estimated at the initial transaction date (or the last date previously revised), is compared to the present value of the expected cash flows at the current reporting date. The estimated cash flows reflect those a “market participant” would use and are discounted at a rate equal to the current yield used to accrete interest income. Any resulting OTTI adjustments are reflected in the net realized gain/(loss) line item on the consolidated statement of operations.

Increases in interest income may be recognized on a security that an OTTI charge was taken, if the performance of such security subsequently improves. The determination as to whether an OTTI exists is subjective, given that such determination is based on information available at the time of assessment as well as our estimate of the future performance and cash flow projections for the individual security. As a result, the timing and amount of an OTTI constitutes an accounting estimate that may change materially over time.

Securities in an unrealized loss position as of the balance sheet date, such securities are not considered other than temporarily impaired as we have the ability and intent to hold the securities to maturity or for a period of time sufficient for a forecasted market price recovery up to or above the cost of the investment and we are not required to sell the security for regulatory or other reasons.

Linked transactions

In instances where we acquire assets through repurchase agreements with the same counterparty from whom the assets were purchased, we will evaluate such transactions in accordance with ASC 860-10. This standard requires the initial transfer of a financial asset and repurchase financing that are entered into contemporaneously with, or in contemplation of, one another to be considered linked unless all of the criteria found in ASC 860-10 are met at the inception of the transaction. If the transaction meets all of the conditions, the initial transfer shall be accounted for separately from the repurchase financing, and we will record the assets and the related financing on a gross basis on our balance sheet with the corresponding interest income and interest expense in our statements of operations. If the transaction is determined to be linked, we will record the initial transfer and repurchase financing on a net basis and record a forward commitment to purchase assets as a derivative instrument with changes in market value being recorded on the statement of operations. Such forward commitments are recorded at fair value with subsequent changes in fair value recognized in income. The analysis of transactions under these rules requires assumptions based on management's judgment and experience.

The securities underlying our linked transactions are valued using similar techniques to those used for our securities portfolio.

Derivatives

We enter into various types of derivative instruments to hedge our exposure to market risks. We may use derivative instruments such as interest rate swaps, TBA security positions, interest rate swaptions and credit derivatives as instruments to reduce such exposure, and non-derivative instruments including Agency interest-only securities and short positions in U.S. Treasury securities to manage interest rate risk. As discussed above, our derivative instruments also include linked transactions. We recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet, measured at fair value. As we have not designated any derivatives as hedging instruments, all changes in fair value are reported in earnings during the period in which they occur.

In valuing our derivatives, we consider the creditworthiness of both the Company and its counterparties, along with collateral provisions contained in each derivative agreement, from the perspective of both the Company and its counterparties. All of our derivatives are either subject to bilateral collateral arrangements or clearing in accordance with the Dodd-Frank Act. For swaps cleared under the Dodd Frank Act, a CCP now stands between us and the over-the-counter derivative counterparties. In order to access clearing, we have entered into clearing agreements with FCMs. The Company presents derivative assets and liabilities on a gross basis.

Recent accounting pronouncements

In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Updated 2011-11, "Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities," or ASU 2011-11. ASU 2011-11 amends Topic 210 to require additional disclosure information about offsetting and related arrangements. Entities will be required to disclose both gross information and net information about both instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. This scope would include derivatives, sale and repurchase agreements and reverse sale and repurchase agreements. The objective of this disclosure is to facilitate comparison between those entities that prepare their financial statements on the basis of US GAAP and those entities that prepare their financial statements on the basis of IFRS. The guidance is effective for periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. This disclosure was adopted and the adoption of this update did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-01, "Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities," or ASU 2013 -1. ASU 2013-1 addresses implementation issues about ASU 2011-11 and applies to

derivatives accounted for in accordance with ASC 815-10, including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset in accordance with ASC 210-20 "Balance Sheet Offsetting" or ASC 815 or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The guidance was effective January 1, 2013 and was applied retrospectively. As a result, the guidance does not have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, "Income Taxes," or ASU 2013-11. ASU 2013-11 states an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward, except as follows. To the extent a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. The assessment of whether a deferred tax asset is available is based on the unrecognized tax benefit and deferred tax asset that exist at the reporting date and should be made presuming disallowance of the tax position at the reporting date. For example, an entity should not evaluate whether the deferred tax asset expires before the statute of limitations on the tax position or whether the deferred tax asset may be used prior to the unrecognized tax benefit being settled. The guidance does not have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

Liquidity and capital resources

Liquidity is a measurement of our ability to meet potential cash requirements, including commitments to make distributions to our stockholders, finance our investments and expenses and satisfy other general business needs. Our principal sources of cash consist of borrowings under repurchase agreements, payments of principal and interest we receive on our real estate securities and loan portfolios, cash generated from our operating results, and proceeds from capital market transactions. We typically use cash to repay principal and interest on our repurchase agreements, to purchase real estate securities, loans and other real estate related assets, to make dividend payments on our capital stock, and to fund our operations.

At December 31, 2013 we had \$266.9 million available to support our liquidity needs, comprised of \$86.2 million of cash and \$180.7 million of Agency RMBS that had not been pledged as collateral under any of our financing agreements. We use leverage on certain of our assets to increase potential returns to our stockholders. The amount of leverage we may deploy for particular assets depends upon our Manager's assessment of the credit and other risks of those assets, and also depends on any limitations placed upon us through covenants contained in our master repurchase agreements as discussed below. We generate income principally from the yields earned on our investments and, to the extent that leverage is deployed, on the difference between the yields earned on our investments and our cost of borrowing and any hedging activities. Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes and our Investment Company Act exemption, to the extent leverage is deployed, we may use a number of sources to finance our investments.

We have entered into MRAs with 30 counterparties, allowing us to utilize leverage in our operations. As of December 31, 2013, we had debt outstanding of \$3.1 billion from 25 counterparties, inclusive of repurchase agreements accounted for as linked transactions. The current borrowings under repurchase agreements have maturities between January 2, 2014 and May 29, 2014. As of December 31, 2013 our non-GAAP and GAAP debt-to-equity leverage ratios were 4.42 to 1 and 4.10 to 1, respectively. These agreements generally include customary representations, warranties, and covenants, but may also contain more restrictive supplemental terms and conditions. Although specific to each MRA, typical supplemental terms include requirements of minimum equity, leverage ratios, performance triggers or other financial ratios. If we fail to meet or satisfy any covenants, supplemental terms or representations and warranties, we would be in default under these agreements and our lenders could elect to declare all amounts outstanding under the agreements to be immediately due and payable, enforce their respective interests against collateral pledged under such agreements and restrict our ability to make additional borrowings. Certain financing agreements may contain cross-default provisions, so that if a default occurs under any one agreement, the lenders under our other agreements could also declare a default.

Further, under our repurchase agreements, we may be required to pledge additional assets to our lenders in the event the estimated fair value of the existing pledged collateral under such agreements declines and such lenders demand additional collateral, which may take the form of additional securities or cash. Certain securities that are pledged as collateral under our repurchase agreements are in an unrealized loss positions. We have the ability and intent to hold the investments to maturity or for a period of time sufficient for a forecasted market price recovery up to or beyond the cost of the investments, and we are not required to sell for regulatory or other reasons.

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

The following table presents contractual maturity information about our repurchase agreements, including those accounted for within linked transactions, at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Overnight	\$ -	\$ -
Within 30 days	1,507,772,817	2,525,200,001
30 to 59 days	926,730,000	783,969,000
60 to 89 days	260,958,000	547,416,000
90 to 119 days	153,303,914	200,687,271
Greater than or equal to 120 days	265,716,000	136,491,000
Total: Non-GAAP Basis - Including Linked Transactions	\$ 3,114,480,731	\$ 4,193,763,272
Linked Transactions	\$ 222,846,315	\$ 282,343,454
Total: GAAP Basis	\$ 2,891,634,416	\$ 3,911,419,818

We enter into a linked transaction when the initial transfer of a financial asset and repurchase financing are entered into contemporaneously with, or in contemplation of, one another, and all of the criteria found in ASC 860-10 are met at the inception of the transaction. In this situation, we then record the initial transfer and repurchase financing on a net basis. The fair value of linked transactions reflects the value of the underlying real estate securities, related repurchase agreement borrowings and net accrued interest, resulting in an embedded repurchase agreement. As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had 23 and 16 linked transactions, respectively, resulting in \$222.8 million and \$282.3 million of embedded repurchase agreements with a weighted average rate of 1.85% and 1.85%, respectively. The weighted average contractual maturity of the repurchase agreements was January 20, 2014 as of December 31, 2013.

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT and our Investment Company Act exemption, to the extent leverage is deployed, we may utilize derivative financial instruments (or hedging instruments); including interest rate swap agreements, TBAs, interest rate swaptions, credit derivatives and non-derivative financial instruments including Agency interest-only securities and short positions in U.S. Treasury securities in an effort to hedge the interest rate risk associated with the financing of our portfolio. Specifically, we may seek to hedge our exposure to potential interest rate mismatches between the interest we earn on our investments and our borrowing costs caused by fluctuations in short-term interest rates. In utilizing leverage and interest rate hedges, our objectives will be to improve risk-adjusted returns and, where possible, to lock in, on a long-term basis, a spread between the yield on our assets and the cost of our financing. As of December 31, 2013, we have entered into \$2.1 billion notional of interest rate swaps that have variable maturities between January 23, 2016 and December 20, 2028, \$115.0 million net notional of interest rate swaptions with a maturity of June 12, 2014 and \$28.0 million notional of short positions in U.S. Treasury securities with a maturity of July 31, 2020.

Effects of margin requirements, leverage and credit spreads

Our securities have values that fluctuate according to market conditions and, as discussed above, the market value of our securities will decrease as prevailing interest rates or credit spreads increase. When the value of the securities pledged to secure a repurchase agreement decreases to the point where the positive difference between the collateral value and the repurchase agreement amount is less than the haircut, our lenders may issue a “margin call,” which reflects a demand for additional collateral that may take the form of additional securities or cash. Under our repurchase facilities, our lenders have full discretion to determine the value of the securities we pledge to them. Most of our lenders will value securities based on recent trades in the market. Lenders also issue margin calls as the published current principal balance factors change on the pool of mortgages underlying the securities pledged as collateral when

scheduled and unscheduled paydowns are announced monthly. We experience margin calls in the ordinary course of our business. In seeking to manage effectively the margin requirements established by our lenders, we maintain a position of cash and unpledged Agency RMBS. We refer to this position as our “liquidity.” The level of liquidity we have available to meet margin calls is directly affected by our leverage levels, our haircuts and the price changes on our securities. If interest rates increase as a result of a yield curve shift or any other reason, or if credit spreads widen, then the prices of our collateral (and our unpledged assets that constitute our liquidity) will decline, we will experience margin calls, and we will use our liquidity to meet the margin calls. There can be no assurance that we will maintain sufficient levels of liquidity to meet any margin calls. If our haircuts increase, our liquidity will proportionately decrease. In addition, if we increase our borrowings, our liquidity will decrease by the amount of additional haircut on the increased level of indebtedness. We intend to maintain a level of liquidity in relation to our assets that enables us to meet reasonably anticipated margin calls but that also allows us to be substantially invested in securities. We may misjudge the appropriate amount of our liquidity by maintaining excessive liquidity, which would lower our investment returns, or by maintaining insufficient liquidity, which would force us to liquidate assets into potentially unfavorable market conditions and harm our results of operations and financial condition.

Forward-looking statements regarding liquidity

Based upon our current portfolio, leverage rate and available borrowing arrangements, we believe that the net proceeds of our common equity offerings, preferred equity offerings, and private placements, combined with cash flow from operations and available borrowing capacity, will be sufficient to enable us to meet anticipated liquidity requirements such as to fund our investment activities, pay fees under our management agreement, fund our distributions to stockholders, and pay general corporate expenses.

Contractual obligations

As of December 31, 2013, we had the following contractual obligations. On June 29, 2011, we entered into an agreement with our Manager pursuant to which our Manager is entitled to receive a management fee and the reimbursement of certain expenses. The management fee will be calculated and payable quarterly in arrears in an amount equal to 1.50% of our Stockholder's Equity, per annum.

For purposes of calculating the management fee, "Stockholders' Equity" means the sum of the net proceeds from any issuances of equity securities (including preferred securities) since inception (allocated on a pro rata daily basis for such issuances during the fiscal quarter of any such issuance, and excluding any future equity issuance to the Manager), plus the Company's retained earnings at the end of such quarter (without taking into account any non-cash equity compensation expense or other non-cash items described below incurred in current or prior periods), less any amount that the Company pays for repurchases of its common stock, excluding any unrealized gains, losses or other non-cash items that have impacted stockholders' equity as reported in the Company's financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP, regardless of whether such items are included in other comprehensive income or loss, or in net income, and excluding one-time events pursuant to changes in GAAP, and certain other non-cash charges after discussions between the Manager and the Company's independent directors and after approval by a majority of the Company's independent directors. Stockholders' Equity, for purposes of calculating the management fee, could be greater or less than the amount of stockholders' equity shown on the Company's financial statements.

Our Manager uses the proceeds from its management fee in part to pay compensation to its officers and personnel, who, notwithstanding that certain of them also are our officers, will receive no cash compensation directly from us. We are required to reimburse our Manager for operating expenses related to us incurred by our Manager, including certain salary expenses and other expenses relating to legal, accounting, due diligence and other services. Of the \$10.8 million and \$5.4 million of Other operating expenses for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and \$1.6 million for the period ended December 31, 2011, the Company has expensed \$6.5 million, \$2.2 million and \$0.0 million, respectively, which will be paid to the Manager as a reimbursement of expenses. The Manager did not waive any expense reimbursements for the year ended December 31, 2013. The Manager waived its right to receive expense reimbursement of \$2.2 million and \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and the period ended December 31, 2011, respectively.

On July 6, 2011 we entered into (i) warrant agreements with the purchasers of units in the private placement, (ii) a restricted stock award agreement with our Manager under the Manager Equity Incentive Plan, pursuant to which the Manager received 40,250 shares of our common stock, and (iii) restricted stock award agreements with our independent directors under the Equity Incentive Plan, pursuant to which each of the independent directors received 1,500 shares of our common stock.

We have presented a table that details the contractual maturity of our repurchase agreements at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. See the "Liquidity and Capital Resources" section for this table. As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we are obligated to pay accrued interest on our repurchase agreements in the amount of \$4.0 million and \$3.5 million, respectively inclusive of accrued interest accounted for as a component of linked transactions.

Off-balance sheet arrangements

Our linked transactions are comprised of real estate securities, associated repurchase agreements and interest receivable/payable on such accounts. The extent to which these transactions become unlinked in the future, the underlying real estate securities, the related borrowings under repurchase agreements, accrued interest and associated interest income and expense will be presented on a gross basis on our consolidated balance sheet and statement of operations, prospectively. As of December 31, 2013, our maximum exposure to loss on linked transactions was \$272.3 million.

We may also utilize credit derivatives, such as credit default swaps, to provide credit event protection based on a financial index or specific security in exchange for receiving a fixed-rate fee or premium over the term of the contract. These instruments enable us to synthetically assume the credit risk of a reference security, portfolio of securities or index of securities. The counterparty pays a premium to us and we agree to make a payment to compensate the counterparty for losses upon the occurrence of a specified credit event. As of December 31, 2013, we did not employ any credit derivatives.

We have entered into TBA positions to facilitate the future purchase or sale of specified Agency RMBS. Pursuant to these TBAs, we agree to purchase or sell, for future delivery, Agency RMBS with certain principal and interest terms and certain types of underlying collateral, but the particular Agency RMBS to be delivered or received would not be identified until shortly, generally two days, before the TBA settlement date. We record TBA purchases and sales on the trade date and present the purchase or receipt net of the corresponding payable or receivable until the settlement date of the transaction. Our maximum exposure to loss represents the payable amount until the settlement date. As of December 31, 2013, we did not have any outstanding TBA positions.

Certain related person transactions

Our board of directors has adopted a policy regarding the approval of any “related person transaction,” which is any transaction or series of transactions in which we or any of our subsidiaries is or are to be a participant, the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, and a “related person” (as defined under SEC rules) has a direct or indirect material interest. Under the policy, a related person would need to promptly disclose to our Secretary or Assistant Secretary and related person transaction and all material facts about the transaction. Our Secretary or Assistant Secretary would then assess and promptly communicate that information to the audit committee of our board of directors. Based on its consideration of all of the relevant facts and circumstances, this committee will decide whether or not to approve such transaction and will generally approve only those transactions that do not create a conflict of interest. If we become aware of an existing related person transaction that has not been pre-approved under this policy, the transaction will be referred to this committee which will evaluate all options available, including ratification, revision or termination of such transaction. Our policy requires any director who may be interested in a related person transaction to recuse himself or herself from any consideration of such related person transaction. We are not aware of any related person transactions as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Management agreement

On June 29, 2011 we entered into a management agreement with our Manager, which governs the relationship between us and our Manager and describes the services to be provided by our Manager and its compensation for those services. The terms of our management agreement, including the fees payable by us to Angelo, Gordon, were not negotiated at arm’s length, and its terms may not be as favorable to us as if they had been negotiated with an unaffiliated party. Our Manager, pursuant to the delegation agreement dated as of June 29, 2011, has delegated to Angelo, Gordon the overall responsibility with respect to our Manager’s day-to-day duties and obligations arising under our management agreement.

Grants of restricted common stock

As of December 31, 2013, we have granted an aggregate of 20,312 shares of restricted common stock to our independent directors and 40,250 shares of restricted common stock to our Manager under our equity incentive plans. As of December 31, 2013, 47,998 shares of restricted common stock granted to our Manager and independent directors have vested.

See Note 10 to the financial statements included in Item 8 of this report for further detail on restricted stock grants.

Dividends

We intend to continue to make regular quarterly distributions to holders of our common stock if and to the extent authorized by our board of directors. Federal income tax law generally requires that a REIT distribute annually at least 90% of its REIT ordinary taxable income, without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding net capital gains, and that it pay tax at regular corporate rates to the extent that it annually distributes less than 100% of its net taxable income. Before we pay any dividend, whether for U.S. federal income tax purposes or otherwise, we must first meet both our operating requirements and debt service on our repurchase agreements and other debt payable. If our cash available for distribution is less than our net taxable income, we could be required to sell assets or borrow funds to make cash distributions or we may make a portion of the required distribution in the form of a taxable stock distribution or distribution of debt securities. In addition, prior to the time we have fully deployed the net proceeds of our follow-on offerings to acquire assets in our target asset classes, we may fund our quarterly distributions out of such net proceeds. As mentioned above, our distribution requirements are based on taxable income rather than GAAP net income. The primary differences between taxable income and GAAP net income include (i) unrealized gains and losses associated with investment and derivative portfolios which are marked-to-market in current income for GAAP purposes, but excluded from taxable income until realized or settled, (ii) temporary differences related to amortization of premiums and discounts paid on investments, (iii) the timing and amount of deductions related to stock-based compensation, (iv) temporary differences related to the recognition of certain terminated derivatives and (v) taxes. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had undistributed taxable income of approximately \$1.61 per share, that we will need to declare in common and preferred dividends by September 15, 2014.

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

The following table details the Company's common stock dividends during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

2013

Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Dividend Per Share
3/5/2013	3/18/2013	4/26/2013	\$ 0.80
6/6/2013	6/18/2013	7/26/2013	0.80
9/9/2013	9/19/2013	10/28/2013	0.60
12/5/2013	12/18/2013	1/27/2014	0.60

2012

Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Dividend Per Share
3/14/2012	3/30/2012	4/27/2012	\$ 0.70
6/7/2012	6/29/2012	7/27/2012	0.70
9/6/2012	9/18/2012	10/26/2012	0.77
12/6/2012	12/18/2012	1/28/2013	0.80

The following table details the Company's preferred stock dividends:

2013

Dividend	Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Dividend Per Share
8.25% Series A	2/14/2013	2/28/2013	3/18/2013	\$ 0.51563
8.25% Series A	5/14/2013	5/31/2013	6/17/2013	0.51563
8.25% Series A	8/15/2013	8/30/2013	9/17/2013	0.51563
8.25% Series A	11/14/2013	11/29/2013	12/17/2013	0.51563

Dividend	Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Dividend Per Share
8.00% Series B	2/14/2013	2/28/2013	3/18/2013	\$ 0.50
8.00% Series B	5/14/2013	5/31/2013	6/17/2013	0.50
8.00% Series B	8/15/2013	8/30/2013	9/17/2013	0.50
8.00% Series B	11/14/2013	11/29/2013	12/17/2013	0.50

2012

Dividend	Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Dividend Per Share
8.25% Series A	8/16/2012	8/31/2012	9/17/2012	\$ 0.2521
8.25% Series A	11/16/2012	11/30/2012	12/17/2012	0.51563

Dividend	Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Dividend Per Share
8.00% Series B	11/16/2012	11/30/2012	12/17/2012	\$ 0.44

Inflation

Virtually all of our assets and liabilities are interest rate sensitive in nature. As a result, interest rates and other factors influence our performance far more than inflation. Changes in interest rates do not necessarily correlate with inflation rates or changes in inflation rates.

Other matters

We intend to conduct our business so as to maintain our exempt status under, and not to become regulated as an investment company for purposes of the Investment Company Act. If we failed to maintain our exempt status under the Investment Company Act and became regulated as an investment company, our ability to, among other things, use leverage would be substantially reduced and, as a result, we would be unable to conduct our business as described in the “Business” section of this report. Accordingly, we monitor our compliance with both the 55% Test and the 80% Test of the Investment Company Act in order to maintain our exempt status. As of December 31, 2013, we determined that we maintained compliance with both the 55% Test and the 80% Test requirements.

We calculate that at least 75% of our assets were real estate assets, cash and cash items and government securities for the year ended December 31, 2013. We also calculate that our revenue qualifies for the 75% gross income test and for the 95% gross income test rules for the year ended December 31, 2013. Overall, we believe that we met the REIT income and asset tests. We also met all other REIT requirements, including the ownership of our common stock and the distribution of our net income. Therefore, for the year ended December 31, 2013, we believe that we qualified as a REIT under the Code.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The primary components of our market risk relate to interest rates, liquidity, prepayment rates and credit risk. While we do not seek to avoid risk completely, we seek to assume risk that can be quantified from historical experience and to actively manage that risk, to earn sufficient returns to justify taking those risks and to maintain capital levels consistent with the risks we undertake.

Interest rate risk

Interest rate risk is highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors beyond our control. We are subject to interest rate risk in connection with both our investments and the financing under our repurchase agreements. We seek to reduce interest rate risks on any outstanding debt and minimize exposure to interest rate fluctuations thereon through the use of interest rate derivatives or other financial instruments, or through a combination of these strategies.

Interest rate effect on net interest income

Our operating results depend in large part upon differences between the yields earned on our investments and our cost of borrowing and upon the effectiveness of our interest rate hedging activities. The majority of our repurchase agreements are short term in nature with an initial term of between 30 and 90 days. The financing rate on these agreements will generally be fixed at the outset of each repurchase transaction by reference to prevailing short-term repurchase rates plus a spread. As a result, our borrowing costs will tend to increase during periods of rising short-term interest rates as we renew, or “roll”, maturing transactions at the higher prevailing rates. When combined with the fact that the income we earn on our fixed interest rate investments will remain substantially unchanged, this will result in a narrowing of the net interest spread between the related assets and borrowings and may even result in losses. We are actively looking to obtain term financing for our credit portfolio. The financing on term facilities generally are fixed at the outset of each transaction by reference to a pre-determined interest rate plus a spread.

In an attempt to offset the increase in funding costs related to rising short term interest rates, our Manager enters into hedging transactions structured to provide us with positive cash flow in the event short term interest rates rise. Our Manager accomplishes this through the use of interest rate derivatives. Some hedging strategies involving the use of derivatives are highly complex, may produce volatile returns and may expose us to increased risks relating to counterparty defaults.

Interest rate effects on fair value

Another component of interest rate risk is the effect that changes in interest rates will have on the market value of the assets that we acquire.

Generally, in a rising interest rate environment, the fair value of our real estate securities and loan portfolios would be expected to decrease, all other factors being held constant. In particular, the portion of our real estate securities portfolio with fixed-rate coupons would be expected to decrease more severely than that portion with a floating-rate coupon. This is because fixed-rate coupon real estate securities tend to have significantly more duration, or price

sensitivity to changes in interest rates, than floating-rate coupon real estate securities. We anticipate that fixed-rate coupon real estate securities will comprise a substantial majority of our portfolio for the foreseeable future.

The following table quantifies the estimated changes in net interest income and GAAP equity should interest rates go up or down by 50 and 100 basis points, assuming (i) the yield curves of the rate shocks will be parallel to each other and the current yield curve and (ii) all other market risk factors remain constant. These estimates were compiled using a combination of third-party services and models, market data and internal models. All changes in income and equity are measured as percentage changes from the projected net interest income and GAAP equity from our base interest rate scenario. The base interest rate scenario assumes interest rates as of December 31, 2013.

Actual results could differ materially from estimates. The accuracy of the projected Agency RMBS prices relies on assumptions that define specific Agency RMBS spreads and varying prepayment activity at projected interest rate levels. To the extent that these estimates or other assumptions do not hold true, actual results will likely differ materially from projections and could be larger or smaller than the estimates in the table below. Moreover, if different models were employed in the analysis, materially different projections could result. In addition, while the tables below reflect the estimated impact of interest rate increases and decreases on a static portfolio as of December 31, 2013, our Manager may from time to time sell any of our investments as a part of the overall management of our investment portfolio.

Change in Interest Rates (basis points)	Percentage Change in GAAP Equity (1)(2)(4)	Percentage Change in Projected Net Interest Income (3)
+100	-5.5	% -6.8 %
+50	-2.6	% -3.3 %
-50	1.6	% 0.2 %
-100	1.2	% -0.5 %

(1) Includes linked real estate securities that are reported as a component of linked transactions on our consolidated balance sheet. Such real estate securities may not be linked in future periods.

(2) Does not include cash investments, which typically have overnight maturities and are not expected to change in value as interest rates change.

(3) Interest income includes trades settled as of December 31, 2013.

(4) The duration on the real estate investments other than Agency securities was assumed at 0.0 years.

Liquidity risk

Our primary liquidity risk arises from financing long-maturity assets with shorter-term borrowing primarily in the form of repurchase agreements.

We pledge real estate securities and cash as collateral to secure our repurchase transactions. Should the fair value of our real estate securities pledged as collateral decrease (as a result of rising interest rates, changes in prepayment speeds, widening of credit spreads or otherwise), we will likely be subject to margin calls for additional collateral from our financing counterparties. Should the fair value of our real estate securities decrease materially and suddenly, margin calls will likely increase causing an adverse change to our liquidity position which could result in substantial losses. In addition, we cannot be assured that we will always be able to roll our repurchase transactions at their scheduled maturities which could cause material additional harm to our liquidity position and result in substantial losses. Further, should general market liquidity tighten as it did in 2007, 2008 and 2009, our repurchase agreement counterparties may increase our margin requirements on new financings, including repurchase transactions that we roll at maturity with the same counterparty, which would require us to post additional collateral and would reduce our ability to use leverage and could potentially cause us to incur substantial losses.

The terms of our interest rate swaps require us to post collateral in the form of cash or Agency RMBS to our counterparties to satisfy two types of margin requirements: variation margin and initial margin.

We and our swap counterparties are both required to post variation margin to each other depending upon the daily moves in prevailing benchmark interest rates. The amount of this variation margin is derived from the mark to market valuation of our swaps. Hence, as our swaps lose value in a falling interest rate environment, we are required to post additional variation margin to our counterparties on a daily basis; conversely, as our swaps gain value in a rising interest rate environment, we are able to recall variation margin from our counterparties. By recalling variation margin from our swap counterparties, we are able to partially mitigate the liquidity risk created by margin calls on our repurchase transaction during periods of rising interest rates.

Initial margin works differently. Collateral posted to meet initial margin requirements is intended to create a safety buffer to benefit our counterparties if we were to default on our payment obligations under the terms of the swap and our counterparties were forced to unwind the swap. For our non-centrally cleared swaps, the initial margin is set at the outset of each trade as a fixed percentage of the notional amount of the swap. This means that once we post initial margin at the outset of a non-centrally cleared swap, we will have no further posting obligations as it pertains to initial margin. However, the initial margin on our centrally cleared swaps varies from day to day depending upon various

factors, including the absolute level of interest rates and the implied volatility of interest rates. There is a distinctly positive correlation between initial margin, on the one hand, and the absolute level of interest rates and implied volatility of interest rates, on the other hand. As a result, in times of rising interest rates and/or increasing rate volatility, we anticipate that the initial margin required on our centrally-cleared swaps will likewise increase, potentially by a substantial amount. These margin increases will have a negative impact on our liquidity position and will likely impair the intended liquidity risk mitigation effect of our interest rate swaps discussed above.

Our Manager seeks to mitigate our liquidity risks by maintaining a prudent level of leverage, monitoring our liquidity position on a daily basis and maintaining a substantial cushion of cash and unpledged real estate securities and loans in our portfolio in order to meet future margin calls. In addition, our Manager seeks to further mitigate our liquidity risk by (i) diversifying our exposure across a broad number of financing counterparties, (ii) limiting our exposure to any single financing counterparty and (iii) monitoring the ongoing financial stability of our financing counterparties.

Prepayment risk

Premiums arise when we acquire real estate securities at a price in excess of the principal balance of the mortgages securing such real estate securities (i.e., par value). Conversely, discounts arise when we acquire real estate securities at a price below the principal balance of the mortgages securing such real estate securities. Premiums paid on our real estate securities are amortized against interest income and accretable purchase discounts on our real estate securities are accreted to interest income. Purchase premiums on our real estate securities, which are primarily carried on our Agency RMBS, are amortized against interest income over the life of each respective security using the effective yield method, adjusted for actual prepayment activity. An increase in the prepayment rate, as measured by the CPR, will typically accelerate the amortization of purchase premiums, thereby reducing the yield/interest income earned on such assets. Generally, if prepayments on our Non-Agency RMBS are less than anticipated, we expect that the income recognized on such assets would be reduced due to the slower accretion of purchase discounts, and impairments could result.

As further discussed in the “Critical Accounting Policies” section above, differences between previously estimated cash flows and current actual and anticipated cash flows caused by changes to prepayment or other assumptions are adjusted retrospectively through a “catch up” adjustment for the impact of the cumulative change in the effective yield through the reporting date, or adjusted prospectively through an adjustment of the yield over the remaining life of the security for securities accounted for under ASC 320-10 (generally, Agency RMBS) and ASC 325-40 (generally, Non-Agency RMBS, ABS, CMBS and interest only securities) respectively.

In addition, our interest rate hedges are structured in part based upon assumed levels of future prepayments within our real estate securities portfolio. If prepayments are slower or faster than assumed, the life of the real estate securities will be longer or shorter than assumed, which could reduce the effectiveness of our Manager’s hedging strategies and may cause losses on such transactions.

Our Manager seeks to mitigate our prepayment risk by investing in real estate securities with a variety of prepayment characteristics as well as by attempting to maintain in our portfolio a mix of assets purchased at a premium with assets purchased at a discount.

Real estate value risk

Residential and commercial property values are subject to volatility and may be affected adversely by a number of factors outside of our control, including, but not limited to, national, regional and local economic conditions (which may be adversely affected by industry slowdowns and other factors); local real estate conditions (such as an oversupply of housing or commercial real estate); construction quality, age and design; demographic factors; and retroactive changes to building or similar codes. Decreases in property values reduce the value of the collateral underlying our RMBS and CMBS portfolios as well as the potential sale proceeds available to repay our loans in the event of a default. In addition, substantial decreases in property values can increase the rate of strategic defaults by residential mortgage borrowers which can impact and create significant uncertainty in the recovery of principal and interest on our investments.

Credit risk

Although we expect to encounter only de minimis credit risk in our Agency RMBS portfolio, we are exposed to the risk of potential credit losses from an unanticipated increase in borrower defaults as well as general credit spread widening on any Non-Agency assets in our portfolio, including residential and commercial mortgage whole loans as well as Non-Agency RMBS, ABS and CMBS. We seek to manage this risk through our Manager’s pre-acquisition due diligence process and, if available, through the use of non-recourse financing, which limits our exposure to credit losses to the specific pool of mortgages that are the subject of the non-recourse financing. Our Manager’s

pre-acquisition due diligence process includes the evaluation of, among other things, relative valuation, supply and demand trends, the shape of various yield curves, prepayment rates, delinquency and default rates, recovery of various sectors and vintage of collateral.

Basis Risk

Basis risk refers to the possible book value decline triggered by the risk of incurring losses on the fair value of our Agency RMBS as a result of widening market spreads between the yields on our Agency RMBS and the yields on comparable duration Treasury securities. The basis risk associated with fluctuations in fair value of our Agency RMBS may relate to factors impacting the mortgage and fixed income markets other than changes in benchmark interest rates, such as actual or anticipated monetary policy actions by the Federal Reserve, market liquidity, or changes in required rates of return on different assets. Consequently, while we use interest rate swaps and other hedges to protect against moves in interest rates, such instruments will generally not protect our net book value against basis risk.

Risk management

To the extent consistent with maintaining our REIT qualification, we seek to manage risk exposure to protect our investment portfolio against the effects of major interest rate changes. We generally seek to manage this risk by:

- monitoring and adjusting, if necessary, the reset index and interest rate related to our target assets and our financings;
- structuring our financing agreements to have a range of maturity terms, amortizations and interest rate adjustment periods; and
- using hedging instruments to adjust the interest rate sensitivity of our target assets and our borrowings.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Index to Financial Statements

	Page
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	79
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012</u>	80
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and for the period from March 7, 2011 to December 31, 2011</u>	81
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2013 December 31, 2012, and for the period from March 7, 2011 to December 31, 2011</u>	82
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and for the period from March 7, 2011 to December 31, 2011</u>	83
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	84

All financial statement schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is included in the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders
of AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc.

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the two years in the period ended December 31, 2013, and for the period from March 7, 2011 to December 31, 2011 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* 1992 issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits (which were integrated audits in 2013 and 2012). We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
New York, New York
February 28, 2014

AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Assets		
Real estate securities, at fair value:		
Agency - \$2,242,322,869 and \$3,536,876,135 pledged as collateral, respectively	\$ 2,423,002,768	\$ 3,785,867,151
Non-Agency - \$844,217,568 and \$529,455,020 pledged as collateral, respectively	844,217,568	568,858,645
ABS - \$71,344,784 and \$33,937,097 pledged as collateral, respectively	71,344,784	33,937,097
CMBS - \$93,251,470 and \$148,307,262 pledged as collateral, respectively	93,251,470	148,365,887
Commercial loans receivable, at fair value	-	2,500,000
Investment in affiliates	16,411,314	-
Linked transactions, net, at fair value	49,501,897	45,122,824
Cash and cash equivalents	86,190,011	149,594,782
Restricted cash	3,575,006	9,130,000
Interest receivable	12,018,919	14,242,453
Receivable on unsettled trades - \$0 pledged as collateral	-	96,310,999
Receivable under reverse repurchase agreements	27,475,000	-
Derivative assets, at fair value	55,060,075	-
Other assets	1,246,842	454,069
Due from broker	1,410,720	884,605
Total Assets	\$ 3,684,706,374	\$ 4,855,268,512
Liabilities		
Repurchase agreements	\$ 2,891,634,416	\$ 3,911,419,818
Obligation to return securities borrowed under reverse repurchase agreements, at fair value	27,477,188	-
Payable on unsettled trades	-	84,658,035
Interest payable	3,839,045	3,204,205
Derivative liabilities, at fair value	2,206,289	36,375,947
Dividend payable	17,020,893	18,540,667
Due to affiliates	4,645,297	3,910,065
Accrued expenses	1,395,183	806,853
Taxes payable	1,490,329	1,731,141
Due to broker	30,567,000	-
Total Liabilities	2,980,275,640	4,060,646,731
Stockholders' Equity		
Preferred stock - \$0.01 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized:		
8.25% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 2,070,000 shares issued and outstanding (\$51,750,000 aggregate liquidation preference) at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012	49,920,772	49,920,772
8.00% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 4,600,000 shares issued and outstanding (\$115,000,000 aggregate liquidation preference) at December 31, 2013 and December 31,	111,293,233	111,293,233

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

2012

Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 450,000,000 shares of common stock authorized and 28,365,655 and 26,961,936 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively	283,657	269,620
Additional paid-in capital	585,619,488	552,067,681
Retained earnings (deficit)	(42,686,416)	81,070,475
	704,430,734	794,621,781
Total Liabilities & Equity	\$ 3,684,706,374	\$ 4,855,268,512

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

80

AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Operations

	Year Ended December 31, 2013	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Period from March 7, 2011 to December 31, 2011
Net Interest Income			
Interest income	\$ 151,000,673	\$ 96,376,692	\$ 18,748,669
Interest expense	25,553,273	15,010,444	1,696,344
	125,447,400	81,366,248	17,052,325
Other Income			
Net realized gain/(loss)	(123,861,859)	29,537,240	3,701,392
Income/(loss) from linked transactions, net	13,877,620	20,014,654	(808,564)
Realized loss on periodic interest settlements of interest rate swaps, net	(27,912,227)	(9,962,125)	(2,162,290)
Unrealized gain/(loss) on real estate securities and loans, net	(84,195,306)	52,071,455	11,040,692
Unrealized gain/(loss) on derivative and other instruments, net	89,112,320	(24,086,526)	(6,491,430)
	(132,979,452)	67,574,698	5,279,800
Expenses			
Management fee to affiliate	10,688,725	6,413,443	1,512,898
Other operating expenses	10,844,988	5,443,059	1,566,642
Equity based compensation to affiliate	251,447	400,200	176,165
Excise tax	1,483,630	1,748,327	105,724
	23,268,790	14,005,029	3,361,429
Income/(loss) before income taxes and equity in earnings from affiliate	(30,800,842)	134,935,917	18,970,696
Income taxes	(3,041,616)	-	-
Equity in earnings from affiliate	2,263,822	-	-
Net Income/(Loss)	(31,578,636)	134,935,917	18,970,696
Dividends on preferred stock	13,469,416	4,137,010	-
Net Income/(Loss) Available to Common Stockholders	\$ (45,048,052)	\$ 130,798,907	\$ 18,970,696
Earnings/(Loss) Per Share of Common Stock			
Basic	\$ (1.61)	\$ 7.20	\$ 3.20
Diluted	\$ (1.61)	\$ 7.18	\$ 3.20
Weighted Average Number of Shares of Common Stock Outstanding			
Basic	28,022,565	18,167,227	5,933,839
Diluted	28,022,565	18,227,060	5,933,930

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

	Common Stock		8.25 % Series Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock	8.00 % Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Total
	Shares	Amount					
Balance at March 7, 2011	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock	10,005,100	100,051	-	-	198,015,555	-	198,115,606
Net proceeds from issuance of preferred stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Repurchase of shares at issue price	(100)	(1)	-	-	(999)	-	(1,000)
Grant of restricted stock and amortization of equity based compensation	4,958	50	-	-	214,138	-	214,188
Common dividends declared	-	-	-	-	-	(11,015,570)	(11,015,570)
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	18,970,696	18,970,696
Balance at December 31, 2011	10,009,958	\$ 100,100	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 198,228,694	\$ 7,955,126	\$ 206,283,920
Balance at January 1, 2012	10,009,958	\$ 100,100	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 198,228,694	\$ 7,955,126	\$ 206,283,920
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock	16,930,918	169,309	-	-	353,361,349	-	353,530,658
Net proceeds from issuance of preferred stock	-	-	49,920,772	111,293,233	-	-	161,214,005
Grant of restricted stock and	21,060	211	-	-	477,638	-	477,849

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

amortization of equity based compensation								
Common dividends declared	-	-	-	-	-	(58,207,367)	(58,207,367)	
Preferred Series A dividends declared	-	-	-	-	-	(1,589,201)	(1,589,201)	
Preferred Series B dividends declared	-	-	-	-	-	(2,024,000)	(2,024,000)	
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	134,935,917	134,935,917	
Balance at December 31, 2012	26,961,936	\$ 269,620	\$ 49,920,772	\$ 111,293,233	\$ 552,067,681	\$ 81,070,475	\$ 794,621,781	
Balance at January 1, 2013	26,961,936	\$ 269,620	\$ 49,920,772	\$ 111,293,233	\$ 552,067,681	\$ 81,070,475	\$ 794,621,781	
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock	1,381,739	13,817	-	-	33,162,471	-	33,176,288	
Net proceeds from issuance of preferred stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Grant of restricted stock and amortization of equity based compensation	21,980	220	-	-	389,336	-	389,556	
Common dividends declared	-	-	-	-	-	(78,708,839)	(78,708,839)	
Preferred Series A dividends declared	-	-	-	-	-	(4,269,416)	(4,269,416)	
Preferred Series B dividends declared	-	-	-	-	-	(9,200,000)	(9,200,000)	
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	(31,578,636)	(31,578,636)	
	28,365,655	\$ 283,657	\$ 49,920,772	\$ 111,293,233	\$ 585,619,488	\$ (42,686,416)	\$ 704,430,734	

Balance at
December 31,
2013

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

82

AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	Year Ended December 31, 2013	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Period from March 7, 2011 to December 31, 2011
Cash Flows from Operating Activities			
Net income	\$ (31,578,636)	\$ 134,935,917	\$ 18,970,696
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Net realized (gain)/loss	123,861,859	(29,537,240)	(3,701,392)
Net amortization of premium related to real estate securities	41,176,196	46,753,636	3,712,237
Unrealized (gains) from equity method investments	(1,238,153)	-	-
Unrealized (gains)/losses on linked transactions, net	(44,573)	(9,849,805)	1,709,203
Unrealized (gains)/losses on derivative and other instruments, net	(89,112,320)	24,086,526	6,491,430
Unrealized (gains)/losses on real estate securities and loans, net	84,195,306	(52,071,455)	(11,040,692)
Equity based compensation to affiliate	251,447	400,200	176,165
Equity based compensation expense	181,717	161,706	38,023
Change in operating assets/liabilities:			
Interest receivable	2,901,780	(11,198,237)	(4,219,640)
Other assets	(792,773)	(83,943)	(711,617)
Due from affiliates	-	104,994	(104,994)
Due from broker	(526,115)	(543,114)	-
Interest payable	448,071	7,025,826	2,275,138
Due to affiliates	735,232	3,139,724	770,341
Accrued expenses	588,330	244,025	562,828
Due to broker	-	(379,914)	379,914
Taxes payable	(240,812)	1,625,417	105,724
Net cash provided by operating activities	130,806,556	114,814,263	15,413,364
Cash Flows from Investing Activities			
Purchase of real estate securities	(3,118,517,499)	(4,370,299,655)	(2,204,357,540)
Purchase of securities underlying linked transactions	(317,436,622)	(570,209,016)	(60,394,201)
Investment in affiliates	(14,357,976)	-	-
Proceeds from sale of real estate securities	3,648,604,962	1,050,511,764	824,824,233
Proceeds from sale of securities underlying linked transactions	126,082,338	41,385,353	5,031,250
Principal repayments on real estate securities	504,738,013	324,443,650	71,645,278
Principal repayments on securities underlying linked transactions	88,829,701	61,191,782	5,671,227
Purchase of commercial loans	(30,017,825)	(2,500,000)	-
	32,635,156	-	-

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

Proceeds from settlement of commercial loans			
Receipt of premium for interest rate swaptions	495,000	-	-
Payment of premium for interest rate swaptions	(495,000)	-	-
Net proceeds from (payment made) on reverse repurchase agreements	(27,483,814)	-	-
Net proceeds from sales of securities borrowed under reverse repurchase agreements	27,322,644	-	-
Purchase of credit derivatives	-	-	204,133
Net settlement of credit derivatives	-	-	(2,494,693)
Net settlement of interest rate swaps	(10,617,785)	(332,127)	-
Net settlement of TBAs	(310,329)	1,774,492	(68,360)
Restricted cash provided by (used in) investment activities	(653,785)	928,001	(2,308,001)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	908,817,179	(3,463,105,756)	(1,362,246,674)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities			
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock	33,176,288	353,673,174	198,115,606
Net proceeds from issuance of preferred stock	-	161,214,005	-
Repurchase of shares	-	-	(1,000)
Borrowings under repurchase agreements	24,482,544,919	22,617,690,216	4,613,266,954
Borrowings under repurchase agreements underlying linked transactions	3,561,632,718	2,669,256,464	191,000,000
Repayments of repurchase agreements	(25,502,330,321)	(19,856,419,805)	(3,463,117,547)
Repayments of repurchase agreements underlying linked transactions	(3,621,129,860)	(2,426,067,010)	(151,846,000)
Collateral received from (held by) derivative counterparty	36,237,826	(5,710,000)	(540,000)
Collateral received from (held by) repurchase counterparty	537,953	(1,310,946)	(189,054)
Dividends paid on common stock	(80,228,613)	(46,677,871)	(4,004,400)
Dividends paid on preferred stock	(13,469,416)	(3,613,201)	-
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(1,103,028,506)	3,462,035,026	1,382,684,559
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(63,404,771)	113,743,533	35,851,249
Cash and cash equivalents, Beginning of Period	149,594,782	35,851,249	-
Cash and cash equivalents, End of Period	\$ 86,190,011	\$ 149,594,782	\$ 35,851,249
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Cash paid for interest on repurchase agreements	\$ 24,759,417	\$ 11,887,615	\$ 1,082,541
Cash paid for income tax	\$ 5,452,265	\$ 140,191	\$ -

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

Real estate securities recorded upon unlinking of Linked Transactions	\$ 141,614,987	\$ 206,945,371	\$ -
Repurchase agreements recorded upon unlinking of Linked Transactions	\$ 118,803,382	\$ 168,905,010	\$ -
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash financing activities:			
Common stock dividends declared but not paid	\$ 17,020,893	\$ 18,540,667	\$ 7,011,171

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Organization

AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. (the “Company”) was incorporated in the state of Maryland on March 1, 2011. The Company is focused on investing in, acquiring and managing a diversified portfolio of residential mortgage-backed securities, or RMBS, issued or guaranteed by a government-sponsored enterprise such as Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac, or any agency of the U.S. Government such as Ginnie Mae (collectively, “Agency RMBS”), and other real estate-related securities and financial assets, including Non-Agency RMBS, ABS, CMBS and loans (as defined below).

Non-Agency RMBS represent fixed-and floating-rate residential RMBS, issued by entities or organizations other than a U.S. government-sponsored enterprise or agency of the U.S. government, including investment grade (AAA through BBB) and non investment grade classes (BB and below). The mortgage loan collateral for residential Non-Agency RMBS consists of residential mortgage loans that do not generally conform to underwriting guidelines issued by U.S. government agencies or U.S. government-sponsored entities.

Asset Backed Securities (“ABS”) are securitized investments similar to the aforementioned investments except the underlying assets are diverse, not only representing real estate related assets.

Commercial Mortgage Backed Securities (“CMBS”) represent investments of fixed- and floating-rate CMBS, including investment grade (AAA through BBB) and non investment grade classes (BB and below). CMBS will be secured by, or evidence an ownership interest in, a single commercial mortgage loan or a pool of commercial mortgage loans.

Collectively, the Company refers to Agency RMBS, Non-Agency RMBS, ABS and CMBS assets types as real estate securities.

Commercial Loans Receivable (“loans”) are secured by an interest in commercial real estate and represent a contractual right to receive money on demand or on fixed or determinable dates.

On March 7, 2011, AG Funds, L.P. (“AG Funds”), a Delaware limited partnership, entered into a subscription agreement with the Company and agreed to purchase 100 shares of common stock for \$1,000. The subscription amount was received by the Company on April 1, 2011 making AG Funds the sole stockholder of the Company. The Company subsequently completed an initial public offering on July 6, 2011 and concurrently repurchased the 100 shares from AG Funds at their issue price.

The Company is externally managed by AG REIT Management, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the “Manager”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Angelo, Gordon & Co., L.P. (“Angelo, Gordon”), a privately-held, SEC-registered investment adviser. The Manager, pursuant to a delegation agreement dated as of June 29, 2011, has delegated to Angelo, Gordon the overall responsibility with respect to the Manager’s day-to-day duties and obligations arising under the management agreement.

The Company conducts its operations to qualify and be taxed as a REIT under Code.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation for the annual period of the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows have been included and are of a normal and recurring nature.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash is comprised of cash on deposit with financial institutions. We classify highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of purchase as cash equivalents. We place our cash and cash equivalents with high credit quality institutions to minimize credit risk exposure. Any cash held by the Company as collateral would be included in a due to broker line item on the consolidated balance sheet and in cash flows from financing activities on the consolidated statement of cash flows.

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Restricted cash

Restricted cash includes cash pledged as collateral for clearing and executing trades, interest rate swaps and repurchase agreements. Restricted cash is carried at cost, which approximates fair value.

Offering and organization costs

The Company incurred offering and organization costs in connection with arranging the Company's initial public offering ("IPO"), subsequent follow-on offerings of its common stock and issuances of preferred stock. The offering and other organization costs were paid out of the proceeds of the respective offerings. Offering costs in connection with the common stock offerings have been accounted for as a reduction of additional paid-in-capital. Offering costs in connection with preferred stock offerings have been accounted for as a reduction of their respective gross proceeds. Costs incurred to organize the Company have been expensed as incurred. The Company's obligation to pay for organization and offering expenses incurred in connection with its IPO was capped at 1% of the total gross proceeds from the IPO and the concurrent private placement, and the Manager paid for such expenses incurred above the cap. See Note 9 for further details.

Use of estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

Earnings/(Loss) per share

In accordance with the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 260, "Earnings per Share," the Company calculates basic income/(loss) per share by dividing net income/(loss) available to common stockholders for the period by weighted-average shares of the Company's common stock outstanding for that period. Diluted income/(loss) per share takes into account the effect of dilutive instruments, such as stock options, warrants and unvested restricted stock, but uses the average share price for the period in determining the number of incremental shares that are to be added to the weighted-average number of shares outstanding.

Valuation of financial instruments

The fair value of the financial instruments that the Company records at fair value will be determined by the Manager, subject to oversight of the Company's board of directors, and in accordance with ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures." When possible, the Company determines fair value using independent data sources. ASC 820 establishes a hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques giving the highest priority to readily available unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements) when market prices are not readily available or reliable. The three levels of the hierarchy under ASC 820 are described below:

- Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 Prices determined using other significant observable inputs. These may include quoted prices for similar securities, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk and others.

- Level 3 Prices determined using significant unobservable inputs. In situations where quoted prices or observable inputs are unavailable (for example, when there is little or no market activity for an investment at the end of the period), unobservable inputs may be used. Unobservable inputs reflect the Company's assumptions about the factors that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability, and would be based on the best information available.

Transfers between levels are assumed to occur at the beginning of the reporting period.

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Accounting for real estate securities

Investments in real estate securities are recorded in accordance with ASC 320. The Company has chosen to make a fair value election pursuant to ASC 825 for its real estate securities portfolio. The real estate securities are recorded at fair market value on the consolidated balance sheet and the periodic change in fair market value is recorded in current period earnings on the consolidated statement of operations as a component of “Unrealized gain/(loss) on real estate securities and loans, net.”

These investments generally meet the requirements to be classified as available for sale under ASC 320-10-25, “Debt and Equity Securities”, which requires the securities to be carried at fair value on the consolidated balance sheet with changes in fair value charged to other comprehensive income, a component of stockholders’ equity. Electing the fair value option allows the Company to record changes in fair value in the statement of operations, which, in management’s view, more appropriately reflects the results of our operations for a particular reporting period as all securities activities will be recorded in a similar manner.

The Company evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) on at least a quarterly basis. The determination of whether a security is other-than-temporarily impaired involves judgments and assumptions based on subjective and objective factors. When the fair value of an investment security is less than its amortized cost at the balance sheet date, the security is considered impaired, and the impairment is designated as either “temporary” or “other-than-temporary.”

When an investment security is impaired, an OTTI is considered to have occurred if (i) the Company intends to sell the security (i.e. a decision has been made as of the reporting date) or (ii) it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. If the Company intends to sell the security or if it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investment security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the entire amount of the impairment loss, if any, is recognized in earnings as a realized loss and the cost basis of the security is adjusted to its fair value. For securities accounted for under ASC 325-40, “Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets,” an OTTI is deemed to have occurred when there is an adverse change in the expected cash flows to be received and the fair value of the security is less than its carrying amount. In determining whether an adverse change in cash flows occurred, the present value of the remaining cash flows, as estimated at the initial transaction date (or the last date previously revised), is compared to the present value of the expected cash flows at the current reporting date. The estimated cash flows reflect those a “market participant” would use and are discounted at a rate equal to the current yield used to accrete interest income. Any resulting OTTI adjustments are reflected in the net realized gain/(loss) line item on the consolidated statement of operations.

Increases in interest income may be recognized on a security that an OTTI charge was taken, if the performance of such security subsequently improves. The determination as to whether an OTTI exists is subjective, given that such determination is based on information available at the time of assessment as well as the Company’s estimate of the future performance and cash flow projections for the individual security. As a result, the timing and amount of an OTTI constitutes an accounting estimate that may change materially over time.

Securities in an unrealized loss position at December 31, 2013 are not considered other than temporarily impaired as the Company has the ability and intent to hold the securities to maturity or for a period of time sufficient for a forecasted market price recovery up to or above the cost of the investment, and the Company is not required to sell the security for regulatory or other reasons. See Note 3 for a summary of OTTI charges recorded.

Sales of securities

Sales of securities are driven by the Manager's portfolio management process. The Manager seeks to mitigate risks including those associated with prepayments and will opportunistically rotate the portfolio into securities with more favorable attributes. Strategies may also be employed to manage net capital gains, which need to be distributed for tax purposes.

Realized gains or losses on sales of securities and derivatives, inclusive of securities accounted for as a component of linked transactions are included in the net realized gain/(loss) line item on the consolidated statement of operations. The cost of positions sold is calculated using a first in, first out, or FIFO, basis. Realized gains and losses are recorded in earnings at the time of disposition.

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Accounting for loans

Investments in mortgage loans are recorded in accordance with ASC 310. The Company has chosen to make a fair value election pursuant to ASC 825 for its loan portfolio. Loans are recorded at fair market value on the consolidated balance sheet and any periodic change in fair market value will be recorded in current period earnings on the consolidated statement of operations as a component of “Unrealized gain/(loss) on real estate securities and loans, net.”

The Company amortizes or accretes any premium or discount over the life of the related loan utilizing the effective interest method. On at least a quarterly basis, the Company evaluates the collectability of both interest and principal of each loan, if circumstances warrant, to determine whether they are impaired. A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the existing contractual terms. When a loan is impaired, the amount of the loss accrual is calculated and recorded accordingly. Income recognition is suspended for loans at the earlier of the date at which payments become 90-days past due or when, in the opinion of management, a full recovery of income and principal becomes doubtful. When the ultimate collectability of the principal of an impaired loan is in doubt, all payments are applied to principal under the cost recovery method. When the ultimate collectability of the principal of an impaired loan is not in doubt, contractual interest is recorded as interest income when received, under the cash basis method until an accrual is resumed when the loan becomes contractually current and performance is demonstrated to be resumed. A loan is written off when it is no longer realizable and/or legally discharged.

Investment in affiliates

The Company’s unconsolidated ownership interests in affiliates are generally accounted for using the equity method. The underlying entities have chosen to make a fair value election pursuant to ASC 825; as such the Company will treat its investment in affiliates consistently with this election. The investment in affiliates is recorded at fair market value on the consolidated balance sheet and periodic changes in fair market value will be recorded in current period earnings on the consolidated statement of operation as a component of “Equity in earnings from affiliate.” Capital contributions, distributions and profits and losses of such entities are allocated in accordance with the terms of the applicable agreements.

Investment consolidation

For each investment made, the Company evaluates the underlying entity that issued the securities acquired or to which the Company makes a loan to determine the appropriate accounting. A similar analysis will be performed for each entity with which the Company enters into an agreement for management, servicing or related services. In performing the analysis, the Company will refer to guidance in ASC 810-10, “Consolidation.” In situations where we are the transferor of financial assets, the Company will refer to the guidance in ASC 860-10 “Transfers and Servicing.”

In variable interest entities, (“VIEs”), an entity is subject to consolidation under ASC 810-10 if the equity investors either do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support, are unable to direct the entity’s activities or are not exposed to the entity’s losses or entitled to its residual returns. VIEs within the scope of ASC 810-10 are required to be consolidated by their primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary of a VIE is determined to be the party that has both the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the VIE’s economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. This determination can sometimes involve complex and subjective analyses. Further, ASC 810-10 also requires ongoing assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE. In accordance

with ASC 810-10, all transferees, including variable interest entities, must be evaluated for consolidation. If the Company were to treat securitizations as sales in the future, the Company will analyze the transactions under the guidelines of ASC 810-10 for consolidation.

The Company may periodically enter into transactions in which it sells assets. Upon a transfer of financial assets, the Company will sometimes retain or acquire senior or subordinated interests in the related assets. Pursuant to ASC 860-10, a determination must be made as to whether a transferor has surrendered control over transferred financial assets. That determination must consider the transferor's continuing involvement in the transferred financial asset, including all arrangements or agreements made contemporaneously with, or in contemplation of, the transfer, even if they were not entered into at the time of the transfer. The financial components approach under ASC 860-10 limits the circumstances in which a financial asset, or portion of a financial asset, should be derecognized when the transferor has not transferred the entire original financial asset to an entity that is not consolidated with the transferor in the financial statements being presented and/or when the transferor has continuing involvement with the transferred financial asset. It defines the term "participating interest" to establish specific conditions for reporting a transfer of a portion of a financial asset as a sale.

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Under ASC 860-10, after a transfer of financial assets that meets the criteria for treatment as a sale (legal isolation, ability of transferee to pledge or exchange the transferred assets without constraint and transferred control) an entity recognizes the financial and servicing assets it acquired or retained and the liabilities it has incurred, derecognizes financial assets it has sold and derecognizes liabilities when extinguished. The transferor would then determine the gain or loss on sale of financial assets by allocating the carrying value of the underlying mortgage between securities or loans sold and the interests retained based on their fair values. The gain or loss on sale is the difference between the cash proceeds from the sale and the amount allocated to the securities or loans sold. When a transfer of financial assets does not qualify for sale accounting, ASC 860-10 requires the transfer to be accounted for as a secured borrowing with a pledge of collateral.

From time to time, the Company may securitize mortgage loans it holds if such financing is available. These transactions will be recorded in accordance with ASC 860-10 and will be accounted for as either a “sale” and the loans will be removed from the balance sheet or as a “financing” and will be classified as “real estate securities” on the consolidated balance sheet, depending upon the structure of the securitization transaction. ASC 860-10 is a complex standard that may require the Company to exercise significant judgment in determining whether a transaction should be recorded as a “sale” or a “financing.”

Interest income recognition

Interest income on the Company’s real estate securities portfolio is accrued based on the actual coupon rate and the outstanding principal balance of such securities. The Company has elected to record interest in accordance with ASC 835-30-35-2 using the effective interest method for all securities accounted for under the fair value option (ASC 825). As such, premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted into interest income over the lives of the securities in accordance with ASC 310-20, “Nonrefundable Fees and Other Costs,” ASC 320-10, “Investments Debt and Equity Securities” or ASC 325-40, “Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets,” as applicable. Total interest income will flow through the interest income line item on the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

On at least a quarterly basis for securities accounted for under ASC 320-10 and ASC 310-20 (generally Agency RMBS), prepayments of the underlying collateral must be estimated, which directly affect the speed at which we amortize such securities. If actual and anticipated cash flows differ from previous estimates, we recognize a “catch-up” adjustment in the current period to the amortization of premiums for the impact of the cumulative change in the effective yield through the reporting date.

Similarly, we also reassess the cash flows on at least a quarterly basis for securities accounted for under ASC 325-40 (generally Non-Agency RMBS, ABS, CMBS and interest only securities). In estimating these cash flows, there are a number of assumptions that will be subject to uncertainties and contingencies. These include the rate and timing of principal and interest receipts, (including assumptions of prepayments, repurchases, defaults and liquidations), the pass-through or coupon rate and interest rate fluctuations. In addition, interest payment shortfalls due to delinquencies on the underlying mortgage loans have to be judgmentally estimated. Differences between previously estimated cash flows and current actual and anticipated cash flows are recognized prospectively through an adjustment of the yield over the remaining life of the security based on the current amortized cost of the investment as adjusted for credit impairment, if any.

Interest income on the Company’s loan portfolio is accrued based on the actual coupon rate and the outstanding principal balance of such loans. The Company has elected to record interest in accordance with ASC 835-30-35-2 using the effective interest method for all loans accounted for under the fair value option (ASC 825). Any amortization will be reflected as an adjustment to interest income in the consolidated statements of operations.

For investments purchased with evidence of deterioration of credit quality for which it is probable, at acquisition, that the Company will be unable to collect all contractually required payments receivable, the Company will apply the provisions of ASC 310-30, "Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality." ASC 310-30 addresses accounting for differences between contractual cash flows and cash flows expected to be collected from an investor's initial investment in loans or debt securities (loans) acquired in a transfer if those differences are attributable, at least in part, to credit quality. ASC 310-30 limits the yield that may be accreted (accretable yield) to the excess of the investor's estimate of undiscounted expected principal, interest and other cash flows (cash flows expected at acquisition to be collected) over the investor's initial investment in the loan. ASC 310-30 requires that the excess of contractual cash flows over cash flows expected to be collected (nonaccretable difference) not be recognized as an adjustment of yield, loss accrual or valuation allowance. Subsequent increases in cash flows expected to be collected generally should be recognized prospectively through adjustment of the loan's yield over its remaining life. Decreases in cash flows expected to be collected should be recognized as impairment.

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The Company's accrual of interest, discount and premium for U.S. federal and other tax purposes differs from the financial accounting treatment of these items as described above.

Repurchase agreements

The Company finances the acquisition of certain assets within its portfolio through the use of repurchase agreements. Repurchase agreements are treated as collateralized financing transactions and are carried at primarily their contractual amounts, including accrued interest, as specified in the respective agreements. The carrying amount of the Company's repurchase agreements approximates fair value as the debt is short-term in nature.

The Company pledges certain securities as collateral under repurchase agreements with financial institutions, the terms and conditions of which are negotiated on a transaction-by-transaction basis. The amounts available to be borrowed are dependent upon the fair value of the securities pledged as collateral, which fluctuates with changes in interest rates, type of security and liquidity conditions within the banking, mortgage finance and real estate industries. In response to declines in fair value of pledged securities, lenders may require the Company to post additional collateral or pay down borrowings to re-establish agreed upon collateral requirements, referred to as margin calls. As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company met all margin call requirements.

In instances where the Company acquires assets through repurchase agreements with the same counterparty from whom the assets were purchased, the Company evaluates such transactions in accordance with ASC 860-10. This standard requires the initial transfer of a financial asset and repurchase financing that are entered into contemporaneously with, or in contemplation of, one another to be considered linked unless all of the criteria found in ASC 860-10 are met at the inception of the transaction. If the transaction meets all of the conditions, the initial transfer shall be accounted for separately from the repurchase financing, and the Company will record the assets and the related financing on a gross basis on its balance sheet with the corresponding interest income and interest expense in the statements of operations. If the transaction is determined to be linked, the Company will record the initial transfer and repurchase financing on a net basis and record a forward commitment to purchase assets as a derivative instrument with changes in market value being recorded on the consolidated statement of operations. Such forward commitments are recorded at fair value with subsequent changes in fair value recognized in income. The Company refers to these transactions as Linked Transactions. When or if a transaction is no longer considered to be linked, the real estate security and related repurchase financing will be reported on a gross basis. The unlinking of a transaction causes a realized event in which the fair value of the real estate security as of the date of unlinking will become the cost basis of the real estate security. The difference between the fair value on the unlinking date and the existing cost basis of the security will be the realized gain or loss. Recognition of effective yield for such security will be calculated prospectively using the new cost basis.

Accounting for derivative financial instruments

The Company may enter into derivative contracts, including interest rate swaps and interest rate caps, as a means of mitigating its interest rate risk. The Company uses interest rate derivative instruments to mitigate interest rate risk rather than to enhance returns. The Company accounts for derivative financial instruments in accordance with ASC 815-10, "Derivatives and Hedging." ASC 815-10 requires an entity to recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet and to measure those instruments at fair value. Additionally, the fair value adjustments will affect either other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity until the hedged item is recognized in earnings or net income depending on whether the derivative instrument is designated and qualifies as a hedge for accounting purposes and, if so, the nature of the hedging activity. As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company did not have any interest rate derivatives designated as hedges. All derivatives have been recorded at fair

value in accordance with ASC 820-10, with corresponding changes in value recognized in the consolidated statement of operations. The Company records derivative asset and liability positions on a gross basis.

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

To-be-announced securities

A to-be-announced security (“TBA”) is a futures contract for the purchase or sale of Agency RMBS at a predetermined price, face amount, issuer, coupon and stated maturity on an agreed-upon future date. The specific Agency RMBS delivered into or received from the contract upon the settlement date, published each month by the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association, are not known at the time of the transaction. TBAs are exempt from ASC 815 and are accounted for under ASC 320 if there is no other way to purchase or sell that security, if delivery or receipt of that security and settlement will occur within the shortest period possible for that type of security and if it is probable at inception and throughout the term of the individual contract that physical delivery or receipt of the security will occur (referred to as the “regular-way” exception). Unrealized gains and losses associated with TBA contracts not subject to the regular-way exception or not designated as hedging instruments are recognized in the consolidated statement of operations in the line item “unrealized gain/(loss) on derivative and other instruments, net.”

Short positions in U.S. Treasury securities through reverse repurchase agreements

The Company may sell short U.S. Treasury securities contracts to help mitigate the potential impact of changes in interest rates. The Company may borrow securities to cover short sales of U.S. Treasury securities under reverse repurchase agreements, which are accounted for as borrowing transactions, and the Company recognizes an obligation to return the borrowed securities at fair value on its consolidated balance sheet based on the value of the underlying borrowed securities as of the reporting date. The Company establishes haircuts to ensure the market value of the underlying assets remains sufficient to protect the Company in the event of default by the counterparty. Realized and unrealized gains and losses associated with purchases and short sales of U.S. Treasury securities are recognized in “net realized gain/(loss)”, and “unrealized gain/(loss) on derivative and other instruments, net”, respectively, on our consolidated statements of operations.

Manager compensation

The management agreement provides for payment to the Manager of a management fee. The management fee is accrued and expensed during the period for which it is calculated and earned. For a more detailed discussion on the fees payable under the management agreement, see Note 9.

Income taxes

The Company conducts its operations to qualify and be taxed as a REIT. Accordingly, the Company will generally not be subject to federal or state corporate income tax to the extent that the Company makes qualifying distributions to its stockholders, and provided that it satisfies on a continuing basis, through actual investment and operating results, the REIT requirements including certain asset, income, distribution and stock ownership tests. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT, and does not qualify for certain statutory relief provisions, it will be subject to U.S. federal, state and local income taxes and may be precluded from qualifying as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year in which the Company fails to qualify as a REIT.

The dividends paid deduction of a REIT for qualifying dividends to its stockholders is computed using the Company’s taxable income as opposed to net income reported under GAAP in the financial statements. Taxable income, generally, will differ from net income reported on the financial statements because the determination of taxable income is based on tax provisions and not financial accounting principles.

The Company has elected to treat certain entities including AG MIT II, LLC, AG MITT RMAT 2013, LLC, AG MITT RMAT 2013 II, LLC and AG MIT International LLC as taxable REIT subsidiaries (“TRSs”) and may elect to treat other subsidiaries as TRSs. In general, a TRS may hold assets and engage in activities that the Company cannot hold or engage in directly and generally may engage in any real estate or non-real estate-related business.

While a domestic TRS will generate net income, a domestic TRS can declare dividends to the Company which will be included in the Company’s taxable income and necessitate a distribution to stockholders. Conversely, if the Company retains earnings at the domestic TRS level, no distribution is required and the Company can increase book equity of the consolidated entity. A domestic TRS is subject to U.S. federal, state and local corporate income taxes.

AG MIT International LLC is domiciled in Anguilla and, accordingly, taxable income generated by this foreign TRS may not be subject to local income taxation, but generally will be included in the Company’s income on a current basis as Subpart F income, whether or not distributed.

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The Company's financial results are generally not expected to reflect provisions for current or deferred income taxes, except for any activities conducted through one or more TRSs that are subject to corporate income taxation. The Company believes that it will operate in a manner that will allow it to qualify for taxation as a REIT. As a result of the Company's expected REIT qualification, it does not generally expect to pay federal or state corporate income tax. Many of the REIT requirements, however, are highly technical and complex. If the Company were to fail to meet the REIT requirements, it would be subject to federal income taxes and applicable state and local taxes. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company recognized income tax expense of \$3.0 million related to the income and sale of investments held within AG MITT RMAT 2013, LLC and AG MITT RMAT 2013 II, LLC. The Company did not record an income tax provision or expense as of December 31, 2012.

As a REIT, if the Company fails to distribute in any calendar year at least the sum of (i) 85% of its ordinary income for such year, (ii) 95% of its capital gain net income for such year, and (iii) any undistributed taxable income from the prior year, the Company would be subject to a non-deductible 4% excise tax on the excess of such required distribution over the sum of (i) the amounts actually distributed and (ii) the amounts of income retained and on which the Company has paid corporate income tax.

The Company evaluates uncertain income tax positions, if any, in accordance with ASC Topic 740, "Income Taxes". The Company classifies interest and penalties, if any, related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of provision for income taxes. See Note 9 for further details.

Stock-based compensation

The Company applies the provisions of ASC 718, "Compensation Stock Compensation" with regard to its equity incentive plans. ASC 718 covers a wide range of share-based compensation arrangements including stock options, restricted stock plans, performance-based awards, stock appreciation rights and employee stock purchase plans. ASC 718 requires that compensation cost relating to stock-based payment transactions be recognized in financial statements. The cost is measured based on the fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued.

Compensation cost related to restricted common shares issued to the Company's directors is measured at its estimated fair value at the grant date, and is amortized and expensed over the vesting period on a straight-line basis. Compensation cost related to restricted common shares issued to the Manager is initially measured at estimated fair value at the grant date, and is remeasured on subsequent dates to the extent the awards are unvested. The Company has elected to use the straight-line method to amortize compensation expense for the restricted common shares granted to the Manager.

Recent accounting pronouncements

In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Updated 2011-11, "Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities" (ASU 2011-11). ASU 2011-11 amends Topic 210 to require additional disclosure information about offsetting and related arrangements. Entities will be required to disclose both gross information and net information about both instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. This scope would include derivatives, sale and repurchase agreements and reverse sale and repurchase agreements. The objective of this disclosure is to facilitate comparison between those entities that prepare their financial statements on the basis of US GAAP and those entities that prepare their financial statements on the basis of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). The guidance is effective for periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. This disclosure was adopted and the adoption of this update did not have a material effect on the Company's

consolidated financial statements.

In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-01, “Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities” (ASU 2013-1). ASU 2013-1 addresses implementation issues about ASU 2011-11 and applies to derivatives accounted for in accordance with ASC 815-10, including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset in accordance with ASC 210-20 “Balance Sheet – Offsetting” or ASC 815 or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The guidance was effective January 1, 2013 and was applied retrospectively. As a result, the guidance does not have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, "Income Taxes" ("ASU 2013-11"). ASU 2013-11 states an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward, except as follows. To the extent a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. The assessment of whether a deferred tax asset is available is based on the unrecognized tax benefit and deferred tax asset that exist at the reporting date and should be made presuming disallowance of the tax position at the reporting date. For example, an entity should not evaluate whether the deferred tax asset expires before the statute of limitations on the tax position or whether the deferred tax asset may be used prior to the unrecognized tax benefit being settled. As a result, the guidance does not have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

3. Real Estate Securities

The following tables present the current principal balance, premium or discount, amortized cost, gross unrealized gain, gross unrealized loss, fair market value, weighted average coupon rate and effective yield of the Company's real estate securities portfolio at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The Company's Agency RMBS are mortgage pass-through certificates or collateralized mortgage obligations representing interests in or obligations backed by pools of residential mortgage loans issued or guaranteed by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac. The Non-Agency RMBS, ABS and CMBS portfolios are primarily not issued or guaranteed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or any agency of the U.S. Government and are therefore subject to credit risk. The principal and interest payments on Agency RMBS securities have an explicit guarantee by either an agency of the U.S. government or a U.S. government-sponsored enterprise. Real estate securities that are accounted for as a component of linked transactions are not reflected in the tables set forth in this note. See Note 7 for further details.

The following table details the real estate securities portfolio as of December 31, 2013:

	Current Face	Premium / (Discount)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized (1)		Fair Value	Wei Cou
				Gains	Losses		
Agency RMBS:							
15 Year Fixed Rate	\$ 435,843,408	\$ 12,909,886	\$ 448,753,294	\$ 1,509,418	\$ (2,662,880)	\$ 447,599,832	3.13
20 Year Fixed Rate	142,296,219	7,316,644	149,612,863	610,806	(3,166,423)	147,057,246	3.73
30 Year Fixed Rate	1,191,781,474	68,531,950	1,260,313,424	60,020	(30,868,697)	1,229,504,747	4.03
ARM	466,047,819	(1,583,428)	464,464,391	187,111	(2,864,107)	461,787,395	2.43
Interest Only Credit	736,263,003	(601,525,564)	134,737,439	5,083,736	(2,767,627)	137,053,548	4.92
Investments:							
Non-Agency RMBS	962,852,550	(132,283,547)	830,569,003	20,615,586	(6,967,021)	844,217,568	4.19
ABS	71,326,847	(315,657)	71,011,190	333,594	-	71,344,784	3.82
CMBS	88,828,774	(2,269,882)	86,558,892	1,270,629	(902,786)	86,926,735	5.16

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

Interest Only	52,357,700	(45,794,824)	6,562,876	-	(238,141)	6,324,735	1.85
Total	\$ 4,147,597,794	\$ (695,014,422)	\$ 3,452,583,372	\$ 29,670,900	\$ (50,437,682)	\$ 3,431,816,590	3.94

(1) We have chosen to make a fair value election pursuant to ASC 825 for our real estate securities portfolio. Unrealized gains and losses are recognized in current period earnings in the unrealized gain/(loss) on real estate securities and loans, net line item. The gross unrealized stated above represents inception to date unrealized gains/(losses).

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The following table details the real estate securities portfolio as of December 31, 2012:

	Current Face	Premium / (Discount)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized (1)		Fair Value	W C (2)
				Gains	Losses		
Agency RMBS:							
15 Year Fixed Rate	\$ 1,177,320,487	\$ 46,922,089	\$ 1,224,242,576	\$ 24,223,576	\$ (255,956)	\$ 1,248,210,196	2.
20 Year Fixed Rate	137,858,353	6,696,803	144,555,156	3,569,538	-	148,124,694	3.
30 Year Fixed Rate	1,998,807,425	116,173,790	2,114,981,215	32,180,328	(3,423,448)	2,143,738,095	3.
ARM	36,228,319	1,584,714	37,813,033	362,721	-	38,175,754	2.
Interest Only Credit Investments:	972,543,812	(763,342,056)	209,201,756	5,162,683	(6,746,027)	207,618,412	6.
Non-Agency RMBS	634,277,808	(87,414,086)	546,863,722	6,704,413	(1,396,738)	552,171,397	4.
ABS	33,620,881	(36,289)	33,584,592	352,505	-	33,937,097	5.
CMBS	96,536,946	(2,094,604)	94,442,342	2,956,780	(82,588)	97,316,534	5.
Interest Only	640,867,674	(572,685,926)	68,181,748	1,338,054	(1,783,201)	67,736,601	2.
Total	\$ 5,728,061,705	\$ (1,254,195,565)	\$ 4,473,866,140	\$ 76,850,598	\$ (13,687,958)	\$ 4,537,028,780	3.

(1) We have chosen to make a fair value election pursuant to ASC 825 for our real estate securities portfolio. Unrealized gains and losses are recognized in current period earnings in the unrealized gain/(loss) on real estate securities and loans, net line item. The gross unrealized stated above represents inception to date unrealized gains/(losses).

(2) Equity residual investments with a zero coupon rate are excluded from this calculation.

As described in Note 2, the Company evaluates securities for OTTI on at least a quarterly basis. The determination of whether a security is other-than-temporarily impaired involves judgments and assumptions based on subjective and objective factors. When the fair value of an investment security is less than its amortized cost at the balance sheet date, the security is considered impaired, and the impairment is designated as either “temporary” or “other-than-temporary.”

When an investment security is impaired, an OTTI is considered to have occurred if (i) the Company intends to sell the security (i.e. a decision has been made as of the reporting date) or (ii) it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. If the Company intends to sell the security or if it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investment security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the entire amount of the impairment loss, if any, is recognized in earnings as a realized loss and the cost basis of the security is adjusted to its fair value. For securities accounted for under ASC 325-40, “Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets,” an OTTI is deemed to have occurred when there is an adverse change in the expected cash flows to be received and the fair value of the security is less than its carrying amount. In determining whether an adverse change in cash flows occurred, the present value of the remaining cash flows, as estimated at the initial transaction date (or the last date previously revised), is compared to the present value of the expected cash flows at the current reporting date. The estimated cash flows reflect those a “market participant”

would use and are discounted at a rate equal to the current yield used to accrete interest income. Any resulting OTTI adjustments are reflected in the net realized gain/(loss) line item on the consolidated statement of operations.

The following table presents the gross unrealized losses, and estimated fair value of the Company's real estate securities by length of time that such securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

As of	Less than 12 months		Greater than 12 months	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
December 31, 2013	\$ 2,330,415,740	\$ (43,557,831)	\$ 112,253,956	\$ (6,879,851)
December 31, 2012	777,773,600	(11,267,980)	4,872,469	(2,419,978)

For the year ended December 31, 2013 the Company recognized an OTTI charge of \$52.7 million, which is included in net realized gain/(loss) line item on the consolidated statement of operations. Of this amount, \$48.4 million was recognized on certain securities in an unrealized loss position which the Company demonstrated intent to sell, and the charge represents a write-down to fair value as of the reporting date. Additionally, the Company recorded \$4.3 million of OTTI due to an adverse change in cash flows on certain securities, where the fair values of the securities were less than their carrying amounts. No OTTI was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2012 or the period from March 7, 2011 to December 31, 2011.

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The decline in value of the remaining real estate securities is solely due to market conditions and not the quality of the assets. The investments in unrealized loss positions are not considered other than temporarily impaired because the Company currently has the ability and intent to hold the investments to maturity or for a period of time sufficient for a forecasted market price recovery up to or beyond the cost of the investments and the Company is not required to sell for regulatory or other reasons.

All of the principal and interest payments on the Agency RMBS have an explicit guarantee by either an agency of the U.S. government or a U.S. government-sponsored enterprise.

The following table details weighted average life by Agency RMBS, Agency Interest-Only ("IO") and Other Securities as of December 31, 2013:

Weighted Average Life (2)	Agency RMBS			Agency IO		
	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Weighted Average Coupon	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Weighted Average Coupon
Less than or equal to 1 year	\$ -	\$ -	-	\$ 5,406,120	\$ 4,739,053	3.00
Greater than one year and less than or equal to five years	292,921,980	292,010,291	3.12 %	109,110,653	107,278,916	5.11
Greater than five years and less than or equal to ten years	1,514,649,739	1,534,246,672	3.50 %	22,536,775	22,719,470	4.48
Greater than ten years	478,377,501	496,887,009	3.73 %	-	-	-
Total	\$ 2,285,949,220	\$ 2,323,143,972	3.50 %	\$ 137,053,548	\$ 134,737,439	4.92

(1) For purposes of this table, Other Securities represents the following Credit Investments held as of December 31, 2013, Non-Agency RMBS, ABS, CMBS and Interest Only.

(2) Actual maturities of mortgage-backed securities are generally shorter than stated contractual maturities. Maturities are affected by the contractual lives of the underlying mortgages, periodic payments of principal and prepayments of principal.

The following table details weighted average life by Agency RMBS, Agency IO and Other Securities as of December 31, 2012:

Weighted Average Life (2)	Agency RMBS			Agency IO		
	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Weighted Average Coupon	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Weighted Average Coupon
Less than or equal to 1 year	\$ -	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	-
Greater than one year and less than or equal to five years	868,542,200	846,760,882	2.97 %	166,406,425	165,968,688	6.03
Greater than five years and less than or equal to ten years	2,458,784,128	2,424,996,350	3.58 %	41,211,988	43,233,067	5.91

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

Greater than ten years	250,922,410	249,834,748	3.13	%	-	-	-
Total	\$ 3,578,248,738	\$ 3,521,591,980	3.40	%	\$ 207,618,413	\$ 209,201,755	6.00

(1) For purposes of this table, Other Securities represents the following Credit Investments held as of December 31, 2012, Non-Agency RMBS, ABS, CMBS and Interest Only.

(2) Actual maturities of mortgage-backed securities are generally shorter than stated contractual maturities. Maturities are affected by the contractual lives of the underlying mortgages, periodic payments of principal and prepayments of principal.

(3) Equity residual investments with a zero coupon rate are excluded from this calculation.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company sold 166 securities for total proceeds of \$3.6 billion, recording realized gains of \$17.5 million and realized losses of \$72.5 million, inclusive of related tax expenses. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company sold forty-eight securities for total proceeds of \$1.1 billion, inclusive of three unsettled securities as of December 31, 2012, recording realized gains of \$25.5 million and realized losses of \$1.8 million.

For the period ended December 31, 2011, the Company sold nineteen securities for total proceeds of \$824.8 million, recording realized gains of \$7.4 million and realized losses of \$2.5 million. See Note 6 for amounts realized on settlement of certain derivatives. See Note 7 for amounts realized on settlement of certain derivatives.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company invested in credit sensitive commercial real estate assets through affiliated entities, and applies the equity method of accounting for such investments. As of December 31, 2013, the investments have a fair market value of \$16.4 million and a weighted average yield of 12.54%. The Company has presented this investment separately on the consolidated balance sheet in the "Investment in affiliates" line item, and statement of operations as a component of "Equity in earnings from affiliate."

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

4. Loans

The following table presents the current principal balance, premium or discount, amortized cost, gross unrealized gain, gross unrealized loss, fair market value, coupon rate and effective yield of the Company's loan portfolio at December 31, 2012. The Company did not hold any loans as of December 31, 2013.

The following table details the loan portfolio as of December 31, 2012:

	Current Face	Premium	Gross Unrealized (1)		Weighted Average				
		(Discount)	Amortized Cost	Gains	Losses	Fair Value	Coupon	Yield	Life
Commercial Loans	\$ 2,500,000	\$ -	\$ 2,500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,500,000	9.63 %	9.63 %	3.51

(1) We have chosen to make a fair value election pursuant to ASC 825 for our loan portfolio. Unrealized gains and losses are recognized in current period earnings in the unrealized gain/(loss) on real estate securities and loans, net line item. The gross unrealized stated above represents inception to date unrealized gains (losses).

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company received \$37.0 million of proceeds from sale and pay-off of certain loans, recording realized gains of \$0.1 million and realized losses of \$0.2 million. The Company did not have any loan sales during the year ended December 31, 2012.

5. Fair Value Measurements

As described in Note 2, the fair value of financial instruments that are recorded at fair value will be determined by the Manager, subject to oversight of the Company's board of directors, and in accordance with ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures." When possible, the Company determines fair value using independent data sources. ASC 820 establishes a hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques giving the highest priority to readily available unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements) when market prices are not readily available or reliable. The three levels of the hierarchy under ASC 820 are described below:

- Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 Prices determined using other significant observable inputs. These may include quoted prices for similar securities, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk and others.
- Level 3 Prices determined using significant unobservable inputs. In situations where quoted prices or observable inputs are unavailable (for example, when there is little or no market activity for an investment at the end of the period), unobservable inputs may be used. Unobservable inputs reflect the Company's assumptions about the factors that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability, and would be based on the best information available.

Values for the Company's securities, derivatives and loan portfolios are based upon prices obtained from third party pricing services, which are indicative of market activity. The evaluation methodology of the Company's third-party pricing services incorporates commonly used market pricing

methods, including a spread measurement to various indices such as the one-year constant maturity treasury and LIBOR, which are observable inputs. The evaluation also considers the underlying characteristics of each investment, which are also observable inputs, including: coupon; maturity date; loan age; reset date; collateral type; periodic and life cap; geography; and prepayment speeds. The Company collects and considers current market intelligence on all major markets, including benchmark security evaluations and bid-lists from various sources, when available. As part of the Company's risk management process, the Company reviews and analyzes all prices obtained by comparing prices to recently completed transactions involving the same or similar investments on or near the reporting date. If, in the opinion of the Manager, one or more prices reported to the Company are not reliable or unavailable, the Manager reviews the fair value based on characteristics of the investment it receives from the issuer and available market information.

In valuing its derivatives, the Company considers the creditworthiness of both the Company and its counterparties, along with collateral provisions contained in each derivative agreement, from the perspective of both the Company and its counterparties. All of the Company's derivatives are either subject to bilateral collateral arrangements or clearing in accordance with the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the "Dodd Frank Act"). For swaps cleared under the Dodd Frank Act, a CCP now stands between the Company and its over-the-counter derivative counterparties. In order to access clearing, the Company has entered into clearing agreements with FCMs. The Company records its derivative asset and liability positions on a gross basis.

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The Manager may also engage specialized third party valuation service providers to assess and corroborate the valuation of a selection of investments in the Company's loan portfolio on a periodic basis. These specialized third party valuation service providers conduct independent valuation analyses based on a review of source documents, available market data, and comparable investments. The analyses provided by valuation service providers are reviewed and considered by the Manager.

The securities underlying the Company's linked transactions are valued using similar techniques to those used for the Company's securities portfolio. The value of the underlying security is then netted against the carrying amount (which approximates fair value) of the repurchase agreement at the valuation date. Additionally, TBA instruments are similar in form to the Company's Agency RMBS portfolio, and the Company therefore estimates fair value based on similar methods.

The following table presents the Company's financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2013:

	Fair Value at December 31, 2013			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Agency RMBS:				
15 Year Fixed Rate	\$ -	\$ 447,599,832	\$ -	\$ 447,599,832
20 Year Fixed Rate	-	147,057,246	-	147,057,246
30 Year Fixed Rate	-	1,229,504,747	-	1,229,504,747
ARM	-	461,787,395	-	461,787,395
Interest Only	-	137,053,548	-	137,053,548
Credit Investments:				
Non-Agency RMBS	-	534,377,006	309,840,562	844,217,568
ABS	-	-	71,344,784	71,344,784
CMBS	-	62,954,692	23,972,043	86,926,735
Interest Only	-	-	6,324,735	6,324,735
Commercial loans	-	-	-	-
Linked transactions	-	34,778,728	14,723,169	49,501,897
Derivative assets	-	53,060,528	-	53,060,528
Total Assets Carried at Fair Value	\$ -	\$ 3,108,173,722	\$ 426,205,293	\$ 3,534,379,015
Liabilities:				
Obligation to return securities borrowed under reverse repurchase agreements	\$ (27,477,188)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (27,477,188)
Derivative liabilities	-	(206,743)	-	(206,743)
Total Liabilities Carried at Fair Value	\$ (27,477,188)	\$ (206,743)	\$ -	\$ (27,683,931)

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The following table presents the Company's financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2012:

	Fair Value at December 31, 2012			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Assets:				
Agency RMBS:				
15 Year Fixed Rate	\$ -	\$ 1,248,210,196	\$ -	\$ 1,248,210,196
20 Year Fixed Rate	-	148,124,694	-	148,124,694
30 Year Fixed Rate	-	2,143,738,095	-	2,143,738,095
ARM	-	38,175,754	-	38,175,754
Interest Only	-	207,618,412	-	207,618,412
Credit Investments:				
Non-Agency RMBS	-	297,127,840	255,043,557	552,171,397
ABS	-	-	33,937,097	33,937,097
CMBS	-	63,249,824	34,066,710	97,316,534
Interest Only	-	67,736,601	-	67,736,601
Commercial loans	-	2,500,000	-	2,500,000
Linked transactions	-	37,820,486	6,425,683	44,246,169
Total Assets Carried at Fair Value	\$ -	\$ 4,254,301,902	\$ 329,473,047	\$ 4,583,774,949
Liabilities:				
Derivative liabilities	\$ -	\$ (36,375,947)	\$ -	\$ (36,375,947)
Total Liabilities Carried at Fair Value	\$ -	\$ (36,375,947)	\$ -	\$ (36,375,947)

The Company did not have any transfers of assets or liabilities between Levels 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy during the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The following tables present additional information about the Company's investments which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis for which the Company has utilized Level 3 inputs to determine fair value:

Year Ended

December 31, 2013

	Non-Agency RMBS	ABS	CMBS	Interest Only	Commercial Loans	Linked Transactions
Beginning balance	\$ 255,043,557	\$ 33,937,097	\$ 34,066,710	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,425,683
Transfers (1):						
Transfers into level 3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Transfers out of level 3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Purchases	254,337,307	139,603,404	6,085,156	7,048,720	30,017,825	13,963,549
Reclassification of security type (2)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Proceeds from sales	(187,061,610)	(60,839,523)	(15,317,706)	-	(30,017,825)	-
Proceeds from settlement	(17,383,788)	(41,587,807)	(63,172)	-	-	(5,484,875)
Total net gains/(losses) (3)						
Included in net income	4,905,096	231,613	(798,945)	(723,985)	-	(181,188)
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ending Balance	\$ 309,840,562	\$ 71,344,784	\$ 23,972,043	\$ 6,324,735	\$ -	\$ 14,723,169
Change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation for level 3 assets still held as of December 31, 2013 (4)	\$ 378,382	\$ 242,808	\$ (449,810)	\$ (723,988)	\$ -	\$ (177)

(1) Transfers are assumed to occur at the beginning of the period.

(2) Represents an accounting reclassification from a linked transaction to a real estate security due to event occurring which breaks the link.

(3) Gains/(losses) are recorded in the following line items in the consolidated statement of operations:

Income/(loss) from linked transactions, net	\$ (159,573)
Unrealized gain/(loss) on real estate securities and loans, net	(1,457,255)
Net realized gain/(loss)	5,049,419
Total	\$ 3,432,591

(4) Unrealized gains/(losses) are recorded in the following line items in the consolidated statement of operations:

Income/(loss) from linked transactions, net	\$ (177)
--	----------

Unrealized gain/(loss) on real estate securities and loans, net	(552,608)
Total	\$ (552,785)

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Year Ended
December 31, 2012

	Non-Agency RMBS	ABS	CMBS	Linked Transactions
Beginning balance	\$ 28,407,005	\$ 4,526,620	\$ -	\$ 5,277,317
Transfers (1):				
Transfers into level 3	-	-	-	-
Transfers out of level 3	-	-	-	-
Purchases	176,256,015	45,628,345	47,300,600	38,429,641
Reclassification of security type (2)	71,069,657	-	45,265,490	(22,279,146)
Proceeds from sales	-	(14,341,655)	(50,586,281)	(9,618,438)
Proceeds from settlement	(22,445,875)	(2,804,996)	(9,787,975)	(8,554,534)
Total net gains/(losses) (3)	-	-	-	-
Included in net income	1,756,755	928,783	1,874,876	3,170,843
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	-	-	-	-
Ending Balance	\$ 255,043,557	\$ 33,937,097	\$ 34,066,710	\$ 6,425,683
Change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation for level 3 assets still held as of December 31, 2012 (4)	\$ 1,756,755	\$ 143,876	\$ 207,785	\$ 51,083

(1) Transfers are assumed to occur at the beginning of the period.

(2) Represents an accounting reclassification from a linked transaction to a real estate security due to event occurring which breaks the link.

(3) Gains/(losses) are recorded in the following line items in the consolidated statement of operations:

Income/(loss) from linked transactions, net	\$ 426,201
Unrealized gain/(loss) on real estate securities and loans, net	2,488,891
Net realized gain/(loss)	4,816,165
Total	\$ 7,731,257

(4) Unrealized gains/(losses) are recorded in the following line items in the consolidated statement of operations:

Income/(loss) from linked transactions, net	\$ 51,083
Unrealized gain/(loss) on real estate securities and loans, net	2,108,416
Total	\$ 2,159,499

The Company did not have any transfers of assets or liabilities in or out of Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy during the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The following tables presents a summary of quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of investments for which the Company has utilized Level 3 inputs to determine fair value as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

Asset Class	Fair Value at December 31, 2013	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted Average)
Non Agency RMBS	\$ 309,840,562	Discounted Cash Flow	Yield	3.35% - 13.99% (5.13%)
			Projected Collateral Prepayments	0.00% - 12.00% (3.51%)
			Projected Collateral Losses	0.00% - 30.00% (7.93%)
			Projected Collateral Severities	0.00% - 80.00% (60.40%)
			ABS	\$ 71,344,784
CMBS	\$ 23,972,043	Discounted Cash Flow	Yield	4.88% - 5.75% (5.51%)
			Projected Collateral Prepayments	0.00% - 0.00% (0.00%)
			Projected Collateral Losses	0.00% - 0.00% (0.00%)
			Projected Collateral Severities	0.00% - 0.00% (0.00%)
			Interest Only	\$ 6,324,735
Projected Collateral Prepayments	100.00% - 100.00% (100.00%)			
Projected Collateral Losses	0.00% - 0.00% (0.00%)			
Projected Collateral Severities	0.00% - 0.00% (0.00%)			
Linked Transactions*	\$ 14,723,169	Discounted Cash Flow		
			Projected Collateral Prepayments	0.00% - 12.00% (2.43%)
			Projected Collateral Losses	0.00% - 30.00% (12.83%)
			Projected Collateral Severities	0.00% - 80.00% (41.37%)

*Linked Transactions are comprised of unobservable inputs from Non-Agency RMBS and CMBS investments.

Asset Class	Fair Value at December 31, 2012	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted Average)
-------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------	--------------------	-----------------------------

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

Non-Agency RMBS	\$ 255,043,557	Discounted Cash Flow	Yield	4.43% - 9.60% (5.90%)
			Projected Collateral Prepayments	1.00% - 9.00% (4.41%)
			Projected Collateral Losses	0.20% - 16.00% (2.03%)
			Projected Collateral Severities	40.00% - 75.00% (55.27%)
ABS	\$ 33,937,097	Discounted Cash Flow	Yield	4.66% - 7.05% (5.77%)
			Projected Collateral Prepayments	20.00% - 100.00% (59.72%)
			Projected Collateral Losses	0.00% - 0.00% (0.00%)
			Projected Collateral Severities	0.00% - 0.00% (0.00%)
CMBS	\$ 34,066,710	Discounted Cash Flow	Yield	2.23% - 5.76% (5.05%)
			Projected Collateral Prepayments	0.00% - 0.00% (0.00%)
			Projected Collateral Losses	0.00% - 0.00% (0.00%)
			Projected Collateral Severities	0.00% - 0.00% (0.00%)
Linked Transactions*	\$ 6,425,683	Discounted Cash Flow	Yield	4.14% - 10.93% (5.59%)
			Projected Collateral Prepayments	0.00% - 25.00% (0.94%)
			Projected Collateral Losses	0.00% - 35.00% (16.25%)
			Projected Collateral Severities	0.00% - 65.00% (34.32%)

*Linked Transactions are comprised of unobservable inputs from Non-Agency RMBS and CMBS investments.

As further described above, values for the Company's securities portfolio are based upon prices obtained from third party pricing services. Broker quotations may also be used. The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company's Non-Agency RMBS and CMBS investments classified as a component of Linked Transactions are prepayment rates, probability of default, and loss severity in the event of default. Significant increases (decreases) in any of those inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Generally, a change in the assumption used for the probability of default is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the assumption used for the loss severity and a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates.

Also as described above, valuation of the Company's loan portfolio is determined by the Manager using third-party pricing services where available, and specialized third party valuation service providers. The evaluation considers the underlying characteristics of each loan, which are observable inputs, including: coupon; maturity date, loan age, reset date, collateral type, periodic and life cap, geography, and prepayment speeds. These valuations also require significant judgments, which include assumptions regarding capitalization rates, leasing, creditworthiness of major tenants, occupancy rates, availability of financing, exit plan, loan sponsorship, actions of other lenders and other factors deemed necessary by management. Changes in the market environment and other events that may occur over

the life of our investments may cause the gains or losses ultimately realized on these investments to be different than the valuations currently estimated. Analyses provided by valuation service providers are reviewed and considered by the Manager.

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

6. Repurchase Agreements

The Company pledges certain real estate securities as collateral under repurchase agreements with financial institutions, the terms and conditions of which are negotiated on a transaction-by-transaction basis. Repurchase agreements involve the sale and a simultaneous agreement to repurchase the transferred assets or similar assets at a future date. The amount borrowed generally is equal to the fair value of the assets pledged less an agreed-upon discount, referred to as a "haircut." Repurchase agreements entered into by the Company are accounted for as financings and require the repurchase of the transferred securities at the end of each agreement's term, typically 30 to 90 days. The carrying amount of the Company's repurchase agreements approximates fair value as the debt is short-term in nature. The Company maintains the beneficial interest in the specific securities pledged during the term of the repurchase agreement and receives the related principal and interest payments. Interest rates on these borrowings are fixed based on prevailing rates corresponding to the terms of the borrowings, and interest is paid at the termination of the repurchase agreement at which time the Company may enter into a new repurchase agreement at prevailing market rates with the same counterparty or repay that counterparty and negotiate financing with a different counterparty. In response to declines in fair value of pledged securities due to changes in market conditions or the publishing of monthly security paydown factors, lenders typically require the Company to post additional securities as collateral, pay down borrowings or establish cash margin accounts with the counterparties in order to re-establish the agreed-upon collateral requirements, referred to as margin calls. Under the terms of the Company's master repurchase agreements, the counterparties may, in certain cases, sell or re-hypothecate the pledged collateral.

The following table presents certain information regarding the Company's repurchase agreements as of December 31, 2013:

Repurchase Agreements Maturing Within:	Balance	Weighted Average Rate	Weighted Average Haircut		
30 days or less	\$ 1,357,768,314	0.85	% 7.97		%
31-60 days	903,866,190	0.54	% 4.46		%
61-90 days	250,387,000	0.49	% 5.65		%
Greater than 90 days	379,612,912	1.53	% 16.37		%
Total / Weighted Average	\$ 2,891,634,416	0.81	% 7.77		%

The following table presents certain information regarding the Company's repurchase agreements as of December 31, 2012:

Repurchase Agreements Maturing Within:	Balance	Weighted Average Rate	Weighted Average Haircut		
30 days or less	\$ 2,242,856,547	0.71	% 7.28		%
31-60 days	783,969,000	0.52	% 4.04		%
61-90 days	547,416,000	0.57	% 3.49		%
Greater than 90 days	337,178,271	1.30	% 11.95		%
Total / Weighted Average	\$ 3,911,419,818	0.70	% 6.50		%

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Although repurchase agreements are committed borrowings until maturity, the lender retains the right to mark the underlying collateral to fair value. A reduction in the value of pledged assets resulting from changes in market conditions or factor changes would require the Company to provide additional collateral or cash to fund margin calls. The following table presents information with respect to the Company's posting of collateral at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Repurchase agreements secured by Agency RMBS	\$ 2,104,691,819	\$ 3,346,676,000
Fair Value of Agency RMBS pledged as collateral under repurchase agreements	2,235,331,133	3,489,393,062
Repurchase agreements secured by Non-Agency RMBS, ABS and CMBS	786,942,597	564,743,818
Fair Value of Non-Agency RMBS, ABS and CMBS pledged as collateral under repurchase agreements	1,008,813,822	711,699,379
Cash pledged (i.e., restricted cash) under repurchase agreements	962,047	1,500,000

The following table presents both gross information and net information about repurchase agreements eligible for offset in the statement of financial position as of December 31, 2013:

Description	Gross Amounts of Recognized Liabilities	Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Statement of Financial Position	Gross Amounts of Liabilities Presented in the Statement of Financial Position	Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Statement of Financial Position		
				Financial Instruments Posted	Cash Collateral Posted	Net Amount
Repurchase Agreements	\$ 2,891,634,416	\$ -	\$ 2,891,634,416	\$ 2,891,634,416	\$ -	\$ -

The following table presents both gross information and net information about repurchase agreements eligible for offset in the statement of financial position as of December 31, 2012:

Description	Gross Amounts of Recognized Liabilities	Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Statement of Financial Position	Gross Amounts of Liabilities Presented in the Statement of Financial Position	Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Statement of Financial Position		
				Financial Instruments Posted	Cash Collateral Posted	Net Amount
Repurchase Agreements	\$ 3,911,419,818	\$ -	\$ 3,911,419,818	\$ 3,911,419,818	\$ -	\$ -

The Company seeks to transact with several different counterparties in order to reduce the exposure to any single counterparty. The Company has entered into master repurchase agreements ("MRAs") with 30 counterparties, under which the Company had outstanding debt with 24 and 29 counterparties at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. At December 31, 2013, the following table reflects amounts at risk under the Company's repurchase agreements greater than 5% of its equity with any counterparty, excluding linked transactions.

Counterparty	Amount at Risk
--------------	----------------

Edgar Filing: AG Mortgage Investment Trust, Inc. - Form 10-K

		Weighted Average Maturity (days)	Percentage of Stockholders' Equity	
Credit Suisse	\$ 62,749,069	35	8.9	%
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith	51,047,394	34	7.2	%
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A	39,399,377	101	5.6	%

In addition to the amount at risk in the table above, at December 31, 2013, the Company had repurchase agreements with Credit Suisse determined to be linked. The amount at risk including linked transactions to Credit Suisse is \$72.1 million, with a weighted average maturity of 30 days, representing approximately 10.2% of stockholders' equity.

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

At December 31, 2012, the following table reflects amounts at risk under its repurchase agreements greater than 5% of the Company's equity with any counterparty, excluding linked transactions.

Counterparty	Amount at Risk	Weighted Average Maturity (days)	Percentage of Stockholders' Equity
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith	\$ 54,743,450	16	6.9 %

On April 9, 2012, AG MIT, LLC ("AG MIT"), a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into a Master Repurchase and Securities Contract (the "Repurchase Agreement") with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association to finance the Company's acquisition of certain residential, Non-Agency RMBSs. Effective April 12, 2013, AG MIT entered into an Amended and Restated Master Repurchase and Securities Contract (the "Renewal Agreement") to the Repurchase Agreement dated as of April 9, 2012. The Renewal Agreement was entered into for multiple purposes, including the amendment of the Repurchase Agreement to finance AG MIT's acquisition of not only residential, non-Agency Securities, but also certain consumer asset-backed securities and commercial mortgage-backed securities. Each transaction under the Renewal Agreement will also have its own specific terms, such as identification of the assets subject to the transaction, sale price, repurchase price and rate. The Renewal Agreement increases the aggregate maximum borrowing capacity of the Repurchase Agreement from \$75 million to \$125 million and extends the maturity date from April 8, 2013 to April 11, 2014. The Renewal Agreement also includes the same provisions in the Repurchase Agreement permitting the maturity date to be extended for an additional 90 days. As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had \$106.5 million and \$75.0 million, respectively, of debt outstanding under the Repurchase Agreement.

The Renewal Agreement contains representations, warranties, covenants, events of default and indemnities that are substantially identical to those in the Repurchase Agreement and are customary for agreements of this type. The Renewal Agreement also contains amended financial covenants that require, as of the last business day of each quarter and on any funding date, the Company and AG MIT to maintain (i) their Total Indebtedness to their Adjusted Tangible Net Worth at a ratio less than the Leverage Ratio; (ii) an Adjusted Tangible Net Worth of not less than \$430 million; and (iii) at all times, Liquidity of not less than \$30 million and unrestricted cash of not less than \$5 million.

As discussed in Note 2, for any transactions determined to be linked, the initial transfer and repurchase financing will be recorded as a forward commitment to purchase assets. At December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had repurchase agreements of \$222.8 million and \$282.3 million, respectively, that were accounted for as linked. These linked repurchase agreements are not included in the above tables. See Note 7 for details.

7. Derivatives

The Company's derivatives currently include interest rate swaps ("swaps"), to-be-announced forward contracts on specified Agency pools ("TBAs"), and linked transactions. Derivatives have not been designated as hedging instruments. The Company has also entered into non-derivative instruments to manage interest rate risk, including Agency IO securities and short positions in U.S. Treasury securities.

AG Mortgage Investment Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The following table presents the fair value of the Company's derivative instruments and their balance sheet location at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Derivative Instrument	Designation	Balance Sheet Location	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Interest rate swaps, at fair value	Non-Hedge	Derivative liabilities, at fair value	\$ (1,439,688)	\$ (36,238,250)
Interest rate swaps, at fair value	Non-Hedge	Derivative assets, at fair value	54,418,115	-
Swaptions, at fair value	Non-Hedge	Derivative liabilities, at fair value	(559,858)	-
Swaptions, at fair value	Non-Hedge	Derivative assets, at fair value	641,960	-
TBAs	Non-Hedge	Derivative liabilities, at fair value	-	(137,697)
MBS Options, at fair value	Non-Hedge	Derivative liabilities, at fair value	(206,743)	-
Linked transactions, at fair value	Non-Hedge	Linked transactions, net, at fair value	49,501,897	45,122,824

The following table summarizes information related to derivatives:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Non-hedge derivatives:		
Notional amount of Interest Rate Swap Agreements (1)	\$ 2,145,000,000	\$ 2,166,025,000
Net notional amount of Swaptions	115,000,000	-
Net notional amount of TBAs	-	40,000,000
Notional amount of Linked Transactions (2)	291,734,071	349,775,342

(1) Includes forward starting swaps with a notional of \$100.0 million as of December 31, 2013 and \$100.0 million as of December 31, 2012.

(2) This represents the current face of the securities comprising linked transactions.

The following table summarizes gains (losses) related to derivatives:

	Statement of Operations Location	Year Ended December 31, 2013	Year Ended December 31, 2012	For the Period Ended December 31, 2011
Non-hedge derivatives gain (loss):				
Interest rate swaps, at fair value	Unrealized loss on derivative and other instruments, net	\$ 88,918,362	\$ (23,043,671)	\$