Lazard Ltd Form 10-K March 22, 2006 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
	Washington, D.C. 20549
	FORM 10-K
(Ma	rk One)
X	ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For	the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005
	OR
•	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For	the transition period from to
	001-32492
	(Commission File Number)

LAZARD LTD

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Bermuda (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)	98-0437848 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
Clarendon H	Iouse
2 Church So	reet
Hamilton HM11,	Bermuda
(Address of principal e	xecutive offices)
Registrant s telephone nur	mber: (441) 295-1422
	
Securities Registered Pursuant to	Section 12(b) of the Act:
Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Class A Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share 6.625% Equity Security Units	New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange
Securities Registered Pursuant to Se	ction 12(g) of the Act: None
Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as	defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes "No x
Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursua	nt to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes " No x
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports require of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the reto such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "	
Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 40:	5 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be

Table of Contents 2

contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form

10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No x

The aggregate market value of the common stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant as of June 30, 2005 was approximately \$838,551,263.

As of February 28, 2006, there were 37,503,059 shares of the registrant s Class A common stock and one share of the registrant s Class B common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant s proxy statement for its annual general meeting of shareholders to be held on May 9, 2006, are incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K in response to Part III Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14.

LAZARD LTD

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED

DECEMBER 31, 2005

INDEX

Form 10-K Iter	n Number:	Page No.
PART I		
Item 1.	<u>Business</u>	1
	Executive Officers of the Registrant	14
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	15
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	36
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	36
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	36
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	37
PART II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	38
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	39
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	41
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	70
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	71
Item 8A.	Pro Forma Financial Information	127
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	133
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	133
Item 9B.	Other Information	133
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant	134
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	134
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	134
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	135
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	135
PART IV		

Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	136
	Index to Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedule Items 15(a)(1) and 15(a)(2)	F-1
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		II-1

i

Part I

When we use the terms Lazard, we, us, our, and the Company, we mean Lazard Ltd, a company incorporated under the laws of Bermuda, and its subsidiaries, including Lazard Group LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (Lazard Group), that is the current holding company for our businesses. Lazard Ltd has no material assets other than indirect ownership of approximately 37.6% of the common membership interests in Lazard Group and its controlling interest in Lazard Group.

Item 1. Business

We are a preeminent international financial advisory and asset management firm that has long specialized in crafting solutions to the complex financial and strategic challenges of our clients. We serve a diverse set of clients around the world, including corporations, partnerships, institutions, governments and high-net worth individuals. The first Lazard partnership was established in 1848. Over time we have extended our activities beyond our roots in New York, Paris and London. We operate today from 29 cities in key business and financial centers across 16 countries throughout Europe, North America, Asia and Australia. We focus primarily on two businesses, Financial Advisory and Asset Management. We believe that the mix of our activities across business segments, geographic regions, industries and investment strategies helps to diversify and stabilize our revenue stream.

On May 10, 2005, we completed the initial public offering of Class A common stock of Lazard Ltd, the public offering of equity security units (ESUs) of Lazard Ltd, the private placements under an investment agreement with IXIS Corporate & Investment Bank (IXIS), and the private offering of the 7.12% senior notes due 2015 of Lazard Group, primarily to recapitalize Lazard Group. We refer to these financing transactions and the recapitalization, collectively, as the recapitalization. As part of the recapitalization, Lazard Group used the net proceeds from the financing transactions primarily to redeem the outstanding Lazard Group membership interests of its historical partners.

On May 10, 2005, Lazard Group transferred its capital markets business, which consisted of equity, fixed income and convertibles sales and trading, broking, research and underwriting services, its merchant banking fund management activities other than its existing merchant banking business in France and specified non-operating assets and liabilities, to LFCM Holdings LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (LFCM Holdings). We refer to these businesses, assets and liabilities as the separated businesses and these transfers collectively as the separation. Except as otherwise expressly noted, this annual report describes Lazard s business as if the separation was completed for all purposes and for all periods described.

As described in Pro Forma Financial Information in Item 8A of this annual report, because Lazard Group had, prior to the separation and recapitalization, operated as a limited liability company that was treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes with its managing directors also being members of Lazard Group, payments for services rendered by Lazard Group s managing directors were accounted for as distributions from members capital, or in some cases as minority interest in net income. Because Lazard Group historically has operated as an entity treated as a partnership in the U.S., Lazard Group has paid little or no taxes on profits in the U.S., other than New York City Unincorporated Business Tax (UBT). As a result, Lazard Group s operating income prior to the separation and recapitalization did not reflect most payments for services rendered by its managing directors and its provision for income taxes has not reflected U.S. corporate federal income taxes. Accordingly, results of operations for periods prior to May 10, 2005 are not comparable to results of operations for subsequent periods.

Principal Business Lines

Our business is organized around two segments: Financial Advisory and Asset Management.

1

Financial Advisory

We offer corporate, partnership, institutional, government and individual clients across the globe a wide array of financial advisory services regarding mergers and acquisitions, restructurings and various other corporate finance matters. We focus on solving our clients most complex problems, providing advice to senior management, boards of directors and business owners of prominent companies and institutions in transactions that typically are of significant strategic and financial importance to them.

Our goal is to continue to grow our Financial Advisory business by fostering long-term, senior level relationships with existing and new clients as their independent advisor on strategic transactions. We seek to build and sustain long-term relationships with our clients rather than focusing on individual transactions, a practice that we believe enhances our access to senior management of major corporations and institutions around the world. We emphasize providing clients with senior level attention during all phases of transaction execution.

While we strive to earn repeat business from our clients, we operate in a highly competitive environment in which there are no long-term contracted sources of revenue. Each revenue-generating engagement is separately negotiated and awarded. To develop new client relationships, and to develop new engagements from historical client relationships, we maintain an active dialogue with a large number of clients and potential clients, as well as with their financial and legal advisors, on an ongoing basis. We have gained a significant number of new clients each year through our business development initiatives, through recruiting additional senior investment banking professionals who bring with them client relationships and through referrals from directors, attorneys and other third parties with whom we have relationships. At the same time, we lose clients each year as a result of the sale or merger of a client, a change in a client senior management, competition from other investment banks and other causes.

For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, Financial Advisory net revenue totaled \$865 million, \$655 million and \$691 million, respectively, accounting for 66%, 60% and 66%, respectively, of our net revenue from continuing operations for the periods. We earned advisory revenue from 484 clients, 435 clients and 370 clients for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. We earned \$1 million or more from 184 clients, 136 clients and 137 clients for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 the ten largest fee paying clients constituted 20%, 25% and 30% of our segment net revenue, respectively, with no client individually having constituted more than 10% of segment net revenue during any of these years. For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, Financial Advisory reported operating income of \$276 million, \$212 million and \$311 million, respectively, although as noted previously results of operations for periods prior to May 10, 2005 are not comparable to results of operations for subsequent periods. At December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, Financial Advisory had total assets of \$337 million, \$380 million, and \$339 million, respectively.

We believe that we have been pioneers in offering financial advisory services on an international basis, with the establishment of our New York, Paris and London offices dating back to the nineteenth century. We maintain major local presences in the U.S., the U.K., France and Italy, including a network of regional branch offices in the U.S. and France, as well as presences in Australia, Canada, Germany, Hong Kong, India, Japan, the Netherlands, Sweden, Singapore, South Korea, Spain and mainland China. Our Italian office is operated as a strategic alliance with Banca Intesa S.p.A. Pursuant to the strategic alliance, Intesa holds 40% of the equity of, and a \$50 million subordinated promissory note from, the entity that operates our Italian business and has representation on its board of directors, and a \$150 million note issued by a financing subsidiary of Lazard Group, the latter of which is guaranteed by Lazard Group. During 2004, we also entered into a joint venture with Signatura Advisory called Signatura Lazard, which provides local and cross-border financial services in Brazil, and into a strategic alliance with MBA Banco de Inversiones regarding the provision of cross-border advisory services to institutions investing in companies in Argentina and to Argentine companies investing abroad.

In addition to seeking business centered in these locations, we historically have focused in particular on advising clients with respect to cross-border transactions. We believe that we are particularly well known for our

2

legacy of offering broad teams of professionals who are indigenous to their respective regions and who have long-term client relationships, capabilities and know-how in their respective regions. We also believe that this positioning affords us insight around the globe into key industry, economic, government and regulatory issues and developments, which we can bring to bear on behalf of our clients.

Services Offered

We advise clients on a wide range of strategic and financial issues. When we advise companies in the potential acquisition of another company or certain assets, our services include evaluating potential acquisition targets, providing valuation analyses, evaluating and proposing financial and strategic alternatives and rendering, if appropriate, fairness opinions. We also may advise as to the timing, structure, financing and pricing of a proposed acquisition and assist in negotiating and closing the acquisition. In addition, we may assist in implementing an acquisition by acting as a dealer-manager if the acquisition is structured as a tender or exchange offer.

When we advise clients that are contemplating the sale of certain businesses, assets or their entire company, our services include advising on the appropriate sales process for the situation, valuation issues, assisting in preparing an offering memorandum or other appropriate sales materials and rendering, if appropriate, fairness opinions. We also identify and contact selected qualified acquirors and assist in negotiating and closing the proposed sale. As appropriate, we also advise our clients regarding financial and strategic alternatives to a sale including recapitalizations, spin-offs, carve-outs, split-offs and tracking stocks. Our advice includes recommendations with respect to the structure, timing and pricing of these alternatives.

For companies in financial distress, our services may include reviewing and analyzing the business, operations, properties, financial condition and prospects of the company, evaluating debt capacity, assisting in the determination of an appropriate capital structure and evaluating and recommending financial and strategic alternatives. If appropriate, we may provide financial advice and assistance in developing and seeking approval of a restructuring or reorganization plan, which may include a plan of reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code or other similar court administered process in non-U.S. jurisdictions. In such cases, we may assist in all aspects of the implementation of such a plan, including advising and assisting in structuring and effecting the financial aspects of a sale or recapitalization, structuring any new securities, exchange offers, other considerations or other inducements to be offered or issued and assisting and participating in negotiations with affected entities or groups.

When we assist clients in raising private or public market financing, our services include originating and executing private placements of equity, debt and related securities, assisting clients in connection with securing, refinancing or restructuring bank loans, originating public underwritings of equity, debt and convertible securities and originating and executing private placements of partnership and similar interests in alternative investment funds such as leveraged buyout, mezzanine or real estate focused funds. In addition, we may advise on capital structure and assist in long-range capital planning and rating agency relationships.

Pursuant to the Business Alliance Agreement we entered into with LFCM Holdings in connection with the separation, LFCM Holdings underwrites and distributes U.S. securities offerings originated by our Financial Advisory business in a manner intended to be similar to our practice prior to the separation, with revenue from such offerings generally continuing to be divided evenly between Lazard Group and LFCM Holdings.

Staffing

We staff our assignments with a team of quality professionals with appropriate product and industry expertise. We pride ourselves on, and we believe we are differentiated from our competitors by, being able to

3

offer a relatively high level of attention from senior personnel to our clients and organizing ourselves in such a way that managing directors who are responsible for securing and maintaining client relationships also actively participate in providing related transaction execution services. Our managing directors have significant experience, and many of them are able to use this experience to advise on both mergers and acquisitions and restructuring transactions, depending on our clients—needs. Many of our managing directors and senior advisors come from diverse backgrounds, such as senior executive positions at corporations, government, law and strategic consulting, which we believe enhances our ability to offer sophisticated advice and custom solutions to our clients.

Industries Served

We seek to offer our services across most major industry groups, including, in many cases, sub-industry specialties. Our Mergers and Acquisitions managing directors and professionals are organized to provide advice in the following major industry practice areas:

consumer,

financial institutions,

financial sponsors,

healthcare and life sciences,

industrial,

power and energy,

real estate, and

technology, media and telecommunications.

These groups are managed locally in each relevant geographic region and are coordinated on a global basis, which allows us to bring local industry-specific knowledge to bear on behalf of our clients on a global basis. We believe that this enhances the quality of advice that we can offer, which improves our ability to market our capabilities to clients.

In addition to our Mergers and Acquisitions and Financial Restructuring practices, we also maintain specialties in the following distinct practice areas:

government advisory,

fund raising for a	ernative investment funds, and
corporate finance	
	ne activities of the professionals in these areas with our mergers and acquisitions industry specialists in order to as of cross-functional expertise spanning both industry and practice area know-how.
Strategy	
Since January 2002, when i	ew senior management joined our firm, our focus in our Financial Advisory business has been on:
client relationship	nt investment in our intellectual capital with the addition of many senior professionals who we believe have strong and industry expertise. We have recruited or promoted 79 new managing directors from January 2002 through 5, contributing to a 40% increase, net of departures, in Financial Advisory managing director headcount over that

4

period,

increasing our contacts with existing clients to further enhance our long-term relationships and our efforts in developing new client relationships,

expanding the breadth and depth of our industry expertise in areas such as media and general industrials and adding new practice areas such as power and energy and fund-raising for alternative investment funds,

coordinating our industry specialty activities on a global basis and increasing the integration of our industry experts with our Financial Restructuring professionals, and

broadening our geographic presence by adding new offices in the Netherlands (Amsterdam), Canada (Toronto), Australia (Sydney) and mainland China (Beijing), as well as three new regional offices in the U.S. (Atlanta, Houston and Los Angeles) and entering into new strategic alliances in two new geographies (Argentina and Brazil).

We made these investments during a period of financial market weakness, when many of our competitors were reducing senior staffing, to position ourselves to capitalize more fully on any financial services industry recovery.

In addition to the expansion of our Financial Advisory team, we believe that the following external market factors may enable our Financial Advisory practice to benefit from future growth in the global mergers and acquisitions advisory business:

increasing demand for independent, unbiased financial advice, and

a potential increase in cross-border mergers and acquisitions and large capitalization mergers and acquisitions, two of our areas of historical specialization, which experienced greater than average declines in recent years.

Going forward, our strategic emphasis in our Financial Advisory business is to leverage the investments we have made in recent years to grow our business and drive our productivity. While we will continue opportunistically to attract outstanding individuals to this practice, we anticipate that our recent managing director expansion program is now substantially complete.

Relationship with IXIS

In April 2004, Lazard Group and IXIS entered into a cooperation arrangement to place and underwrite securities on the French equity primary capital markets under a common brand, Lazard-Ixis, and cooperate in their respective origination, syndication and placement activities. This cooperation covers French listed companies exceeding a market capitalization of 500 million. On March 15, 2005, Lazard Group and IXIS expanded this arrangement into an exclusive arrangement within France. The cooperation arrangement also provides for an alliance in real estate advisory work with the objective of establishing a common brand for advisory and financing operations within France. It also adds an exclusive mutual referral cooperation arrangement, subject to the fiduciary duties of each firm, with the goal of referring clients from Lazard Group to IXIS for services relating to corporate banking, lending, securitizations and derivatives within France and from IXIS to Lazard Group for mergers and acquisitions advisory services within France. This expanded cooperation arrangement has an initial term of three years through May 10, 2008.

In connection with the cooperation arrangement, Lazard Group and IXIS have developed a business plan to promote mutual revenue production and sharing relating to the cooperation activities. As part of that plan, revenue from the various activities subject to the cooperation arrangement is credited towards a target weighted revenue number (the Notional Reserve) of 20 million (which the parties may agree to reduce if aspects of the cooperation do not take place), calculated by applying varying percentages depending on the source of the revenue plus the underwriting commissions received by IXIS for the ESUs. If at the end of the initial term of the cooperation arrangement (a) the sum of that calculation is less than the Notional Reserve, (b) the cooperation

arrangement is not renewed and (c) Lazard Ltd s common stock price fails to exceed \$25 per share for a specified period, Lazard Group or its affiliate will pay IXIS or one of its affiliates the difference between the Notional Reserve and the sum of (1) the weighted revenue credits and (2) any gain IXIS has realized on a sale of its investment in our securities prior to the end of the initial term of the arrangement. The level of this potential payment would depend, among other things, on the level of revenue generated by the cooperation activities. The potential payment is limited, as of December 31, 2005, to a maximum of approximately 14 million (subject to further reduction in certain circumstances) which would only occur if the cooperation activities generate no revenue over the course of the remaining initial period of such activities and the other conditions noted above have not been met.

Asset Management

Our Asset Management business provides investment management and advisory services to institutional clients, financial intermediaries, private clients and investment vehicles around the world. Our goal in our Asset Management business is to produce superior risk-adjusted investment returns and provide investment solutions customized for our clients. Many of our equity investment strategies share an investment philosophy that centers on fundamental security selection with a focus on the trade-off between a company s valuation and its financial productivity.

As of December 31, 2005, total assets under management (AUM) were \$88.2 billion, approximately 80% of which was invested in equities, 13% in fixed income, 4% in alternative investments, 2% in cash and 1% in merchant banking funds. As of the same date, approximately 58% of our AUM was invested in international (*i.e.*, non-U.S. and regional non-U.S.) investment strategies, 21% was invested in global investment strategies and 21% was invested in U.S. investment strategies, and our top ten clients and third-party relationships accounted for 27% of total AUM. Approximately 80% of our AUM as of that date was managed on behalf of institutional clients, including corporations, labor unions, public pension funds, insurance companies and banks, and through sub-advisory relationships, mutual fund sponsors, broker-dealers and registered advisors. Approximately 20% of AUM as of December 31, 2005 was managed on behalf of individual client relationships, which are principally with family offices and high-net worth individuals.

The charts below illustrates the mix of our AUM as of December 31, 2005, measured by broad product strategy and by office location.

AUM BY PRODUCT

AUM BY OFFICE LOCATION

For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, Asset Management net revenue totaled \$466 million, \$417 million and \$350 million, respectively, accounting for 36%, 38% and 33%, respectively, of our net revenue from continuing operations for the periods. For the same period, Asset Management reported operating income of \$116 million, \$135 million and \$110 million, respectively, although as noted previously results of operations for periods prior to May 10, 2005 are not comparable to results of operations for subsequent periods. At December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, Asset Management had \$308 million, \$245 million, and \$199 million in total assets, respectively.

6

I	A	M	1	aı	nd	1	4	G

Our largest Asset Management subsidiaries are Lazard Asset Management LLC (LAM) with offices in New York, San Francisco, Minneapolis, London, Milan, Frankfurt, Hamburg, Tokyo, Sydney and Seoul (aggregating \$77.5 billion in total AUM as of December 31, 2005), and Lazard Frères Gestion (LFG), headquartered in Paris (aggregating \$9.9 billion in total AUM as of December 31, 2005). These operations, with 633 employees as of December 31, 2005, provide our business with a global presence and local identity.

Primary distinguishing features of these operations include:

a global footprint with global research, global mandates and global clients,

a broad-based team of approximately 190 investment professionals at December 31, 2005: LAM has approximately 165 investment professionals, which includes approximately 60 focused, in-house, investment analysts across all products and platforms, approximately 25 of whom are on our global research platform, many of whom have substantial industry or sector specific expertise, and LFG has approximately 25 investment professionals, including seven investment analysts,

a security selection-based investment philosophy applied across products analysis,

worldwide brand recognition and multi-channel distribution capabilities, and

a substantial equity participation in LAM held by a broad group of key employees.

Our Investment Philosophy, Process and Research. Our investment philosophy is generally based upon a fundamental security selection approach to investing. Across many of our products, we apply three key principles to investment portfolios:

select securities, not markets,

find relative value, and

manage risk.

In searching for equity investment opportunities, our investment professionals generally follow an investment process that incorporates several interconnected components that may include:

analytical framework analysis and screening,

accounting validation,
fundamental analysis,
security selection and portfolio construction, and
risk management.
At LAM, we conduct investment research on a global basis, to develop market, industry and company specific insight. Approximately envestment analysts, located in our worldwide offices, conduct research and evaluate investment opportunities around the world across products and platforms. The LAM global research platform is organized around six global industry sectors:
consumer goods,
financial services,
health care,
industrials,

7

power, and

technology, media and telecommunications.

Our analysts recommend companies to portfolio managers and work with them on an ongoing basis to make buy and sell decisions.

At LFG, seven investment analysts conduct research and evaluate investment opportunities, primarily focused on large capitalization European companies.

Investment Strategies. Our Asset Management business provides equity, fixed income and cash management and alternative investment strategies to clients, paying close attention to clients—varying and expanding investment needs. We offer the following product platform of investment strategies:

	Global	Regional	Domestic
Equities	Global	Pan-European	U.S.
	Large Capitalization	Large Capitalization	Large Capitalization**
	Small Capitalization	Small Capitalization	Mid Capitalization
	Emerging Markets		Small Capitalization
	Thematic	Eurozone	Multi-Capitalization
	Convertibles*	Large Capitalization**	
	Infrastructure	Small Capitalization**	Other
			U.K. (Large Capitalization)
	EAFE (Non-U.S.)	Continental European	U.K. (Small Capitalization)
	Large Capitalization	Small Cap	Australia
	Small Capitalization	Multi Cap	France (Large Capitalization)*
	Multi-Capitalization	Eurozone (i.e., Euro Bloc)	France (Small Capitalization)*
		Euro-Trend (Thematic)	Japan**
	Global Ex		
	Global Ex-U.K.		

Global Ex-Japan

Global Ex-Australia

Fixed Income and Cash Management	Global	Pan-European	U.S.	
J	Core Fixed Income	Core Fixed Income	Core Fixed Income	
	High Yield	High Yield	High Yield	
	Short Duration	Cash Management*	Short Duration	
			Municipals	
		Eurozone	Cash Management*	
		Fixed Income**		
		Cash Management*	Non-U.S.	
Alternative	Global	Corporate Bonds** Regional	U.K. Fixed Income	
	Global Opportunities (Long/Short)	European Explorer (Long/Short)		
	Fund of Hedge Funds	Emerging Income		
	Fund of Closed-End	Japan (Long/Short)		
	Funds			

All of the above strategies are offered by LAM, except for those denoted by *, which are offered exclusively by LFG. Investment strategies offered by both LAM and LFG are denoted by **.

In addition to the primary investment strategies listed above, we also provide locally customized investment solutions to our clients. In many cases, we also offer both diversified and more concentrated versions of our products. These products are generally offered on a separate account basis, as well as through pooled vehicles.

Distribution. We distribute our products through a broad array of marketing channels on a global basis. LAM s marketing, sales and client service efforts are organized through a global market delivery and service

network, with distribution professionals located in New York, San Francisco, London, Milan, Frankfurt, Hamburg, Tokyo, Sydney and Seoul. We have developed a well-established presence in the institutional asset management arena, managing money for corporations, labor unions and public pension funds around the world. In addition, we manage assets for insurance companies, savings and trust banks, endowments, foundations and charities.

We also have become a leading firm in third-party distribution, managing mutual funds and separately managed accounts for many of the world s largest broker-dealers, insurance companies, registered advisors and other financial intermediaries. In the area of wealth management, we cater to family offices and private clients.

LFG markets and distributes its products through 14 sales professionals based in France who target directly both individual and institutional investors.

The managing directors of LAM and other key LAM employees hold LAM equity units, which entitle their holders to payments in connection with selected fundamental transactions affecting Lazard Group or LAM. For more information regarding these rights see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Merchant Banking

Lazard Group has a long history of making merchant banking investments with its own capital, usually alongside capital of qualified institutional and individual investors. These activities typically are organized in funds that make substantial or controlling investments in private or public companies, generally through privately negotiated transactions and with a view to divestment within two to seven years. While potentially risky and frequently illiquid, such investments when successful can yield investors substantial returns on capital and generate attractive management and performance fees for the sponsor of such funds.

As a part of the separation, we transferred to LFCM Holdings all of our merchant banking fund management activities, except for our merchant banking business in France, which is regulated as part of our Paris-based banking affiliate, Lazard Frères Banque SA (LFB). We also transferred to LFCM Holdings principal investments by Lazard Group in the funds managed as part of the separated businesses, while we retained our investment in our French merchant banking funds.

LFCM Holdings operates the merchant banking business transferred to it in the separation. Consistent with Lazard Group s intent to support the development of the merchant banking business, including investing capital in future funds to be managed or formed by the merchant banking subsidiary of LFCM Holdings, and in order to benefit from what we believe to be the potential of this business, Lazard Group will be entitled to receive from LFCM Holdings all or a portion of the payments from the incentive fees attributable to these funds (net of compensation payable to investment professionals who manage these funds) pursuant to the business alliance agreement between us and LFCM Holdings. In addition, pursuant to the business alliance agreement, we have an option to acquire the merchant banking business owned by LFCM Holdings and have the right to participate in the oversight of LFCM Holdings funds and consent to certain actions. We will continue to abide by our obligations with respect to transferred funds and agreed not to compete with LFCM Holdings merchant banking business during the duration of our option to acquire this business.

As of December 31, 2005, Lazard Group s merchant banking business in France, with 10 employees, consisted of a group of private equity funds and an affiliated management company with approximately \$800 million of AUM. Lazard Group s investments in these funds totaled approximately \$5 million as of December 31, 2005. On February 25, 2005, Lazard Group formed Corporate Partners II, Limited, a new private equity fund with \$1 billion of institutional capital commitments and a \$100 million capital commitment from us, the principal portion of which may require funding, at any time through 2010. As of December 31, 2005, Lazard Group has contributed \$89 thousand of its capital commitment, which is recorded as a long-term investment.

9

Pursuant to the master separation and business alliance agreements entered into between Lazard Group and LFCM Holdings, this fund is managed by a subsidiary of LFCM Holdings, and Lazard Group retained a capital commitment to the fund and is entitled to receive the carried interest distributions made by the fund (other than the carried interest distributions made to investment professionals who manage the fund).

In July 2005, LFCM Holdings formed a new private equity fund, Lazard Senior Housing Partners LP. The first closing of the fund has the ability to raise up to a maximum of \$550 million of capital commitments, including a minimum and maximum capital commitment from Lazard Group of \$10 million and \$27 million, respectively, the principal portion of which will require funding at any time through 2008. In connection with such capital commitment, Lazard funded \$622 thousand as of December 31, 2005. In addition, pursuant to a January 2006 capital call, the Company funded an additional \$1.6 million in January 2006.

Pursuant to the business alliance agreement, Lazard Group has certain other limited partner capital commitments to planned investment funds to be managed and controlled by LFCM Holdings, all of which commitments are contingent upon the formation of such investment funds. As of December 31, 2005 these capital commitments are as follows Lazard Technology Partners III 10% of the total fund capital commitments, with a minimum and maximum commitment from Lazard Group of \$15 million and \$20 million, respectively; Lazard European Mid-Market Buyout Fund 10% of the total fund capital commitments, with a maximum capital commitment by Lazard Group of 50 million Euros; Lazard Structured Finance Investors LLC \$10 million. As of December 31, 2005, none of these investment funds has been formed and Lazard Group has not made any payment toward such contingent capital commitments.

Strategy

Our strategic plan in our Asset Management business is to focus on delivering superior investment performance and client service and broadening our product offerings and distribution in selected areas in order to continue to drive improved business results. In March 2004, we undertook a senior management transition at LAM to put in place the next generation of leadership and to better position the business to execute our strategic plan. Over the past two years, in an effort to improve LAM s operations and expand our business, we have:

focused on enhancing our investment performance,

improved our investment management platform by adding a number of senior investment professionals (including portfolio managers and analysts),

strengthened our marketing capabilities by establishing a global consultant relations effort aimed at improving our relations with the independent consultants who advise many of our clients on the selection of investment managers,

expanded our product platform by lifting-out experienced portfolio managers to establish new products, and

launched new products such as a European long/short strategy, several Japan long/short strategies, two closed end funds (Lazard World Dividend and Income Fund, Inc. , and Lazard Global Total Return and Income Fund, Inc.), a global listed infrastructure strategy, and the new Lazard UK Omega strategy.

We believe that our asset management business has long maintained an outstanding team of portfolio managers and global research analysts. We intend to maintain and supplement our intellectual capital to achieve

10

our goals. We also believe that our specific investment strategies, global reach, unique brand identity and access to multiple distribution channels will allow it to leverage into new investment products, strategies and geographic locations. In addition, we plan to expand our participation in merchant banking activities through investments in new and successor funds.

Employees

We believe that our people are our most important asset, and it is their reputation, talent, integrity and dedication that underpin our success. As of December 31, 2005, we employed 2,191 people, which includes 123 managing directors and 492 other professionals in our Financial Advisory segment and 38 managing directors and 278 other professionals in our Asset Management segment. We strive to maintain a work environment that fosters professionalism, excellence, diversity and cooperation among our employees worldwide. We utilize an evaluation process at the end of each year to measure performance, determine compensation and provide guidance on opportunities for improved performance. Generally, our employees are not subject to any collective bargaining agreements, except that our employees in certain of our European offices, including France and Italy, are covered by national, industry-wide collective bargaining agreements. We believe that we have good relations with our employees.

Competition

The financial services industry, and all of the businesses in which we compete, are intensely competitive, and we expect them to remain so. Our competitors are other investment banking and financial advisory firms, broker-dealers, commercial and universal banks, insurance companies, investment management firms, hedge fund management firms, merchant banking firms and other financial institutions. We compete with some of our competitors globally and with others on a regional, product or niche basis. We compete on the basis of a number of factors, including quality of people, transaction execution skills, investment track record, quality of client service, individual and institutional client relationships, absence of conflicts, range of products and services, innovation, brand recognition and business reputation.

While our competitors vary by country in our Mergers and Acquisitions practice, we believe our primary competitors in securing mergers and acquisitions advisory engagements are Bear Stearns, Citigroup, Credit Suisse First Boston, Goldman, Sachs & Co., JPMorgan Chase, Lehman Brothers, Mediobanca, Merrill Lynch, Morgan Stanley, Rothschild and UBS. In our Financial Restructuring practice our primary competitors are The Blackstone Group, Greenhill & Co. and Rothschild.

We believe that our primary competitors in our Asset Management business include, in the case of LAM, Alliance Bernstein, AMVESCAP, Brandes Investment Partners, Capital Management & Research, Fidelity, Lord Abbett and Schroders and, in the case of LFG, Swiss private banks with offices in France as well as large institutional banks and fund managers. We face competition in merchant banking both in the pursuit of outside investors for our merchant banking funds and to acquire investments in attractive portfolio companies. We compete with hundreds of other funds, many of which are subsidiaries of or otherwise affiliated with large financial service providers.

Competition is also intense in each of our businesses for the attraction and retention of qualified employees, and we compete on the level and nature of compensation and equity-based incentives for key employees. Our ability to continue to compete effectively in our businesses will depend upon our ability to attract new employees and retain and motivate our existing employees.

In recent years there has been substantial consolidation and convergence among companies in the financial services industry. In particular, a number of large commercial banks, insurance companies and other broad-based financial services firms have established or acquired broker-dealers or have merged with other financial institutions. Many of these firms have the ability to offer a wider range of products than we offer, including

11

loans, deposit taking, insurance and brokerage services. Many of these firms also have more extensive asset management and investment banking services, which may enhance their competitive position. They also have the ability to support investment banking and securities products with commercial banking, insurance and other financial services revenue in an effort to gain market share, which could result in pricing pressure in our businesses. This trend toward consolidation and convergence has significantly increased the capital base and geographic reach of our competitors.

Regulation

Our businesses, as well as the financial services industry generally, are subject to extensive regulation throughout the world. As a matter of public policy, regulatory bodies are charged with safeguarding the integrity of the securities and other financial markets and with protecting the interests of customers participating in those markets, not with protecting the interests of our stockholders or creditors. Many of our affiliates that participate in securities markets are subject to comprehensive regulations that include some form of capital structure regulations and other customer protection rules. In the U.S., certain of our subsidiaries are subject to such regulations promulgated by the SEC and/or the NASD. Standards, requirements and rules implemented throughout the European Union are broadly comparable in scope and purpose to the regulatory capital and customer protection requirements imposed under the SEC and NASD rules. European Union directives also permit local regulation in each jurisdiction, including those in which we operate, to be more restrictive than the requirements of such directives, and these sometimes burdensome local requirements can result in certain competitive disadvantages to us.

In the U.S., the SEC is the federal agency responsible for the administration of the federal securities laws. The exchanges, the NASD and the National Futures Association are voluntary, self-regulatory bodies composed of members, such as our broker-dealer subsidiaries, that have agreed to abide by the respective bodies—rules and regulations. Each of these, and non-U.S. regulatory organizations, may examine the activities of, and may expel, fine and otherwise discipline, member firms and their employees. The laws, rules and regulations comprising this framework of regulation and the interpretation and enforcement of existing laws, rules and regulations are constantly changing. The effect of any such changes cannot be predicted and may impact the manner of operation and profitability of our company.

Our U.S. broker-dealer subsidiary, Lazard Frères & Co. LLC, through which we conduct our U.S. Financial Advisory business, is currently registered as a broker-dealer with the SEC, the NASD, and as a broker-dealer in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. As such, Lazard Frères & Co. LLC is subject to regulations governing effectively every aspect of the securities business, including the effecting of securities transactions, minimum capital requirements, record-keeping and reporting procedures, relationships with customers, experience and training requirements for certain employees and business procedures with firms that are not members of certain regulatory bodies. Lazard Asset Management Securities LLC, a subsidiary of LAM, also is registered as a broker-dealer with the SEC, the NASD and in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. Certain U.K. subsidiaries of Lazard Group, including Lazard & Co., Limited, Lazard Fund Managers Limited and Lazard Asset Management Limited, which we refer to in this annual report as, the U.K. subsidiaries, are regulated by the Financial Services Authority or FSA.

Lazard Frères SAS, our French subsidiary, is subject to regulation by the Comité de la Réglementation Bancaire et Financière for its banking activities, conducted though its affiliate LFB. In addition, the investment services activities of the Paris group, exercised through LFB and other subsidiaries of Lazard Frères SAS, primarily LFG (asset management) and Fonds Partenaires Gestion (merchant banking), are subject to regulation and supervision by the Autorité des Marchés Financiers (AMF). Our business is also subject to regulation by non-U.S. governmental and regulatory bodies and self-regulatory authorities in other countries where we operate.

Our U.S. broker-dealer subsidiaries, including Lazard Frères & Co. LLC, are subject to the SEC s uniform net capital rule, Rule 15c3-1, and the net capital rules of the NASD, which may limit our ability to make withdrawals of capital from our broker-dealer subsidiaries. The uniform net capital rule sets the minimum level

of net capital a broker-dealer must maintain and also requires that a portion of its assets be relatively liquid. The NASD may prohibit a member firm from expanding its business or paying cash dividends if resulting net capital falls below its requirements. In addition, our broker-dealer subsidiaries are subject to certain notification requirements related to withdrawals of excess net capital. Our broker-dealer subsidiaries are also subject to regulations including the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001, which impose obligations regarding the prevention and detection of money-laundering activities, including the establishment of customer due diligence and other compliance policies and procedures. Failure to comply with these requirements may result in monetary, regulatory and, in certain cases, criminal penalties.

Certain of our Asset Management subsidiaries are registered as investment advisers with the SEC. As registered investment advisers, each is subject to the requirements of the Investment Advisers Act and the SEC s regulations thereunder. Such requirements relate to, among other things, the relationship between an adviser and its advisory clients, as well as general anti-fraud prohibitions. LAM serves as an adviser to several mutual funds which are registered under the Investment Company Act. The Investment Company Act regulates, among other things, the relationship between a mutual fund and its investment adviser (and other service providers) and prohibits or severely restricts principal transactions between an adviser and its advisory clients, imposes record- keeping and reporting requirements, disclosure requirements, limitations on trades where a single broker acts as the agent for both the buyer and seller (known as agency cross), and limitations on affiliated transactions and joint transactions. Lazard Asset Management Securities LLC, a subsidiary of LAM, serves as the underwriter or distributor for mutual funds and hedge funds managed by LAM, and as an introducing broker to Lazard Capital Markets LLC for unmanaged accounts of LAM s private clients.

In addition, the Japanese Ministry of Finance and the Financial Supervisory Agency in Japan as well as Australian and German banking authorities, among others, regulate various of our operating entities and also have capital standards and other requirements comparable to the rules of the SEC.

Regulators are empowered to conduct administrative proceedings that can result in censure, fine, the issuance of cease-and-desist orders or the suspension or expulsion or other disciplining of a broker-dealer or its directors, officers or employees.

Over the past several years, European Union financial services regulators have taken steps to institute consolidated supervision over a wide range of financial services companies that conduct business in the European Union, even if their head offices are located outside of the European Union. Under the Financial Conglomerates Directive (2002/87/EC), we, along with a number of our competitors, were required to submit to consolidated supervision by a European Union financial services regulator commencing on January 1, 2005, unless we were already subject to equivalent supervision by another regulator. During 2004, the SEC issued final regulations establishing a consolidated supervision framework for investment banks. Under these regulations, we can voluntarily submit to a stringent framework of rules relating to group-wide capital levels, internal risk management control systems and regulatory reporting requirements. We have elected to become subject to consolidated supervision by the SEC and formally notified the SEC of our intention in December 2004.

We are working with the SEC to fully understand the consequences of submitting to its consolidated supervision framework. We are unable at this time to accurately predict the impact that these regulations will have on our businesses and financial results. It is possible that these regulations may ultimately require that we increase our regulatory capital, which may adversely affect our profitability and result in other increased costs.

13

Executive Officers of the Registrant

Set forth below are the name, age, present title, principal occupation and certain biographical information for our executive officers as of March 1, 2006, all of whom have been appointed by, and serve at the pleasure of, our board of directors.

Bruce Wasserstein, 58

Mr. Wasserstein has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Lazard Group and Lazard Ltd since May 2005. Mr. Wasserstein has served as a director of Lazard Group since January 2002 and as a director of Lazard Ltd since April 2005. Mr. Wasserstein served as the Head of Lazard and Chairman of the Executive Committee of Lazard Group from January 2002 until May 2005. Prior to joining Lazard, Mr. Wasserstein was Executive Chairman at Dresdner Kleinwort Wasserstein from January 2001 to November 2001. Prior to joining Dresdner Kleinwort Wasserstein, he served as CEO of Wasserstein Perella Group (an investment banking firm he co-founded) from February 1988 to January 2001, when Wasserstein Perella Group was sold to Dresdner Bank. Prior to founding Wasserstein Perella Group, Mr. Wasserstein was the Co-Head of Investment Banking at The First Boston Corporation. Prior to joining First Boston, Mr. Wasserstein was an attorney at Cravath, Swaine & Moore. Mr. Wasserstein also currently serves as Chairman of Wasserstein & Co., LP, a private merchant bank.

Michael J. Castellano, 59

Mr. Castellano has served as Chief Financial Officer of Lazard Ltd since May 2005. Mr. Castellano has served as a Managing Director and Chief Financial Officer of Lazard Group since August 2001. Prior to joining Lazard, Mr. Castellano held various senior management positions at Merrill Lynch & Co. from August 1991 to August 2001, including Senior Vice President Chief Control Officer for Merrill Lynch s capital markets businesses, Chairman of Merrill Lynch International Bank and Senior Vice President Corporate Controller. Prior to joining Merrill Lynch & Co., Mr. Castellano was a partner with Deloitte & Touche where he served a number of investment banking clients over the course of his 24 years with the firm.

Steven J. Golub, 60

Mr. Golub has served as Vice Chairman and Chairman of the Financial Advisory Group of Lazard Ltd since May 2005. Mr. Golub has served as Vice Chairman of Lazard Group since October 2004 and as a Managing Director of Lazard Group since January 1986. Mr. Golub previously served as Chief Financial Officer from July 1997 to August 2001. Mr. Golub also served as a Senior Vice President of Lazard from May 1984 to January 1986. Prior to joining Lazard, Mr. Golub was a Partner at Deloitte Haskins & Sells from July 1980 to May 1984. Prior to joining Deloitte Haskins & Sells, he served as the Deputy Chief Accountant in the Chief Accountant s Office of the Securities and Exchange Commission from January 1979 to June 1980. Mr. Golub currently serves on the board of directors of Minerals Technologies Inc.

Scott D. Hoffman, 43

Mr. Hoffman has served as General Counsel of Lazard Ltd since May 2005. Mr. Hoffman has served as a Managing Director of Lazard Group since January 1999 and General Counsel of Lazard Group since January 2001. Mr. Hoffman previously served as Vice President and Assistant General Counsel from February 1994 to December 1997 and as a Director from January 1998 to December 1998. Prior to joining Lazard, Mr. Hoffman was an attorney at Cravath, Swaine & Moore.

Charles G. Ward, III, 53

Mr. Ward has served as President and Chairman of the Asset Management Group of Lazard Ltd since May 2005. Mr. Ward has served as President and a Managing Director of Lazard Group since February 2002. Prior to joining Lazard, he was variously the Head or Co-Head of Global Investment Banking and Private Equity of Credit Suisse First Boston, or CSFB, from February 1994 to February 2002. Mr. Ward also served as a member of the Executive Board of CSFB from February 1994 to February 2002 and as President of CSFB from April 2000 to November 2000. Prior to joining CSFB, Mr. Ward co-founded Wasserstein Perella Group in February 1988 and served as President of Wasserstein Perella & Co., from January 1990 to February 1994. Prior to serving at Wasserstein Perella & Co., Mr. Ward was Co-Head of Mergers and Acquisitions and the Media Group at The First Boston Corporation where he worked from July 1979 to February 1988.

14

Where You Can Find Additional Information

Lazard Ltd files current, annual and quarterly reports, proxy statements and other information required by the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) with the SEC. You may read and copy any document the company files at the SEC s public reference room located at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549, U.S.A. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the public reference room. The Company s SEC filings are also available to the public from the SEC s internet site at http://www.sec.gov. Copies of these reports, proxy statements and other information can also be inspected at the offices of the New York Stock Exchange, Inc., 20 Broad Street, New York, New York 10005, U.S.A.

Our public internet site is http://www.lazard.com. and the investor relations section of our public internet site is located at http://www.lazard.com/investorrelations/SEC-filings.html. We will make available free of charge, on or through the investor relations section of our internet site, our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements and Forms 3, 4 and 5 filed on behalf of directors and executive officers and any amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. Also posted on our website, and available in print upon request of any shareholder to the Investor Relations Department, are charters for the Company s Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating & Governance Committee. Copies of these charters and our Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Business Conduct and Ethics governing our directors, officers and employees are also posted on our website in the Corporate Governance section.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

You should carefully consider the following risks and all of the other information set forth in this annual report, including our consolidated financial statements and related notes. The risk factors set forth below primarily relate to the business of Lazard Group. These risks also affect Lazard Ltd because, Lazard Ltd has no material assets other than indirect ownership of approximately 37.6% of the common membership interests in Lazard Group and its controlling interest in Lazard Group. The following risks comprise material risks of which we are aware. If any of the events or developments described below actually occurred, our business, financial condition or results of operations would likely suffer.

Risks Related to Our Business

Our ability to retain our managing directors and other key professional employees is critical to the success of our business, including maintaining compensation levels at an appropriate level of costs, and failure to do so may materially adversely affect our results of operations and financial position.

Our people are our most important resource. We must retain the services of our managing directors and other key professional employees, and strategically recruit and hire new talented employees, to obtain and successfully execute the advisory and asset management engagements that generate substantially all our revenue.

Lazard Group has experienced several significant events in recent years, including our unification under one global firm, the transition to new senior management and our recent transformation from a private to a public company, and our industry in general continues to experience change and competitive pressures for retaining top talent, each of which makes it more difficult for us to retain professionals. If any of our managing directors and other key professional employees were to join an existing competitor or form a competing company or otherwise leave

us, some of our clients could choose to use the services of that competitor or some other competitor instead of our services. The employment arrangements, non-competition agreements and retention agreements we have entered into with our managing directors and other key professional employees may not prevent our managing directors and other key professional employees from resigning from practice or competing against us. In addition, these arrangements and agreements have a limited duration and will expire after a certain period of time. Since our transformation to a public company, we continue to be subject to the intense competition in the

15

financial services industry regarding the recruitment and retention of key professionals, and have experienced a few departures from and added to our professional ranks as a result. In connection with our recent transformation to a public company we adopted a compensation policy that provides that our employee compensation and benefits expense, including amounts payable to our managing directors, will not exceed 57.5% of operating revenue (although we retain the ability to change this policy in the future). For the year ended December 31, 2005, such employee compensation and benefits expenses were 57.0% of operating revenue.

During periods of favorable market conditions we would expect to be able to achieve this target without negatively impacting the financial packages for our managing directors and other key employees. However during periods of adverse market conditions we may face additional retention pressures as a result of potential reductions in payments for services rendered by our managing directors and other key employees. As a result of the intense competition for financial services professionals, we, like our competitors, may not be able to retain each of the key employees and managing directors that we desire to keep and, even if we can, we may not be able to retain them at compensation levels that will allow us to achieve our target ratio of compensation expense-to-operating revenue. We do not believe that our target ratio of compensation expense-to-operating revenue has had a materially adverse impact on the retention of managing directors to date, nor do we believe that their retention, has had a material adverse impact on our ability to achieve this target ratio.

Difficult market conditions can adversely affect our business in many ways, including by reducing the volume of the transactions involving our Financial Advisory business and reducing the value or performance of the assets we manage in our Asset Management business, which, in each case, could materially reduce our revenue or income and adversely affect our financial position.

As a financial services firm, our businesses are materially affected by conditions in the global financial markets and economic conditions throughout the world. For example, revenue generated by our Financial Advisory business is directly related to the volume and value of the transactions in which we are involved. During periods of unfavorable market or economic conditions, the volume and value of mergers and acquisitions transactions may decrease, thereby reducing the demand for our Financial Advisory services and increasing price competition among financial services companies seeking such engagements. Our results of operations would be adversely affected by any such reduction in the volume or value of mergers and acquisitions transactions. In addition, our profitability would be adversely affected by our fixed costs and the possibility that we would be unable to scale back other costs within a time frame sufficient to match any decreases in revenue relating to changes in market and economic conditions. The future market and economic climate may deteriorate because of many factors, including rising interest rates or inflation, terrorism or political uncertainty.

Within our Financial Advisory business, we have typically seen that, during periods of economic strength and growth, our Mergers and Acquisitions practice historically has been more active and our Financial Restructuring practice has been less active. Conversely, during periods of economic weakness and slowdown, we typically have seen that our Financial Restructuring practice has been more active and our Mergers and Acquisitions practice has been less active. As a result, our revenue from our Financial Restructuring practice has tended to correlate negatively to our revenue from our Mergers and Acquisitions practice over the course of business cycles. These trends are cyclical in nature and subject to periodic reversal. However, these trends do not cancel out the impact of economic conditions in our Financial Advisory business, which may be adversely affected by a downturn in economic conditions leading to decreased Mergers and Acquisitions practice activity, notwithstanding improvements in our Financial Restructuring practice. Moreover, revenue improvements in our Mergers and Acquisitions practice in strong economic conditions could be offset in whole or in part by any related revenue declines in our Financial Restructuring practice. While we generally have experienced a counter-cyclical relationship between our Mergers and Acquisitions practice and our Financial Restructuring practice, this relationship may not continue in the future.

Our Asset Management business also would be expected to generate lower revenue in a market or general economic downturn. Under our Asset Management business arrangements, investment advisory fees we receive

16

typically are based on the market value of AUM. Accordingly, a decline in the prices of securities would be expected to cause our revenue and income to decline by:

causing the value of our AUM to decrease, which would result in lower investment advisory fees,

causing negative absolute performance returns for some accounts which have performance-based incentive fees, resulting in a reduction of revenue from such fees, and/or

causing some of our clients to withdraw funds from our Asset Management business in favor of investments they perceive as offering greater opportunity or lower risk, which also would result in lower investment advisory fees

If our Asset Management revenue declines without a commensurate reduction in our expenses, our net income will be reduced. In addition, in the event of a market downturn, our merchant banking practice also may be impacted by reduced exit opportunities in which to realize the value of its investments

A majority of our revenue is derived from Financial Advisory fees, which are not long-term contracted sources of revenue and are subject to intense competition, and declines in our Financial Advisory engagements could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We historically have earned a substantial portion of our revenue from advisory fees paid to us by our Financial Advisory clients, which fees usually are payable upon the successful completion of a particular transaction or restructuring. For example, for the year ended December 31, 2005, Financial Advisory services accounted for approximately 66% of our net revenue from continuing operations. We expect that we will continue to rely on Financial Advisory fees for a substantial portion of our revenue for the foreseeable future, and a decline in our advisory engagements or the market for advisory services would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, we operate in a highly competitive environment where typically there are no long-term contracted sources of revenue. Each revenue-generating engagement typically is separately awarded and negotiated. In addition, many businesses do not routinely engage in transactions requiring our services, and, as a consequence, our fee paying engagements with many clients are not likely to be predictable. We also lose clients each year as a result of the sale or merger of a client, a change in a client senior management, competition from other financial advisors and financial institutions and other causes. As a result, our engagements with clients are constantly changing, and our Financial Advisory fees could decline quickly due to the factors discussed above.

There will not be a consistent pattern in our financial results from period to period, which may make it difficult for us to achieve steady earnings growth on a quarterly basis.

We experience significant fluctuations in revenue and profits. These fluctuations generally can be attributed to the fact that we earn a significant portion of our Financial Advisory revenue upon the successful completion of a merger or acquisition transaction or a restructuring, the timing of which is uncertain and is not subject to our control. In addition, our Asset Management revenue is particularly sensitive to fluctuations in our AUM. Asset Management fees are often based on AUM as of the end of a quarter or month. As a result, a reduction in assets at the end of a quarter or month (as a result of market depreciation, withdrawals or otherwise) will result in a decrease in management fees. As a result of quarterly fluctuations, it may be difficult for us to achieve steady earnings growth on a quarterly basis.

In many cases, we are paid for advisory engagements only upon the successful consummation of the underlying merger or acquisition transaction or restructuring. As a result, our Financial Advisory business is highly dependent on market conditions and the decisions and actions of our clients, interested third parties and governmental authorities. For example, a client could delay or terminate an acquisition transaction because of a failure to agree upon final terms with the counterparty, failure to obtain necessary regulatory consents or board of directors or stockholder approvals, failure to secure necessary financing, adverse market conditions or because

17

the target s business is experiencing unexpected operating or financial problems. Anticipated bidders for assets of a client during a restructuring transaction may not materialize or our client may not be able to restructure its operations or indebtedness due to a failure to reach agreement with its principal creditors. In these circumstances, we often do not receive any advisory fees other than the reimbursement of certain expenses despite the fact that we devote resources to these transactions. Accordingly, the failure of one or more transactions to close either as anticipated or at all could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. For more information, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

If the number of debt defaults, bankruptcies or other factors affecting demand for our Financial Restructuring services declines, or we lose business to certain new entrants to the financial restructuring advisory practice who are no longer precluded from offering such services due to changes to the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, our Financial Restructuring practice s revenue could suffer.

We provide various financial restructuring and restructuring-related advice to companies in financial distress or to their creditors or other stakeholders. The fees from financial restructuring related services have been a significant part of our Financial Advisory revenue. A number of factors affect demand for these advisory services, including general economic conditions, the availability and cost of debt and equity financing and changes to laws, rules and regulations, including deregulation or privatization of particular industries and those that protect creditors.

The requirement of Section 327 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code requiring that one be a disinterested person to be employed in a restructuring has recently been modified. While the disinterested person definition of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, as previously in effect, disqualified certain of our competitors, it historically had not often disqualified us from obtaining a role in a restructuring because we have not been a significant underwriter of securities. The change to the disinterested person definition causing a person not to be disqualified by means of its status as an underwriter of securities could allow for more financial services firms to compete for restructuring engagements as well as with respect to the recruitment and retention of professionals. If our competitors succeed in being retained in new restructuring engagements, our Financial Restructuring practice, and thereby our results of operations, could be materially adversely affected.

We could lose clients and suffer a decline in our Asset Management revenue and earnings if the investments we choose in our Asset Management business perform poorly or if we lose key employees, regardless of overall trends in the prices of securities.

Investment performance affects our AUM relating to existing clients and is one of the most important factors in retaining clients and competing for new Asset Management business. Poor investment performance could impair our revenue and growth because:

existing clients might withdraw funds from our Asset Management business in favor of better performing products, which would result in lower investment advisory fees,

our incentive fees, which provide us with a set percentage of returns on some alternative investment and merchant banking funds and other accounts, would decline,

third-party financial intermediaries, advisors or consultants may rate our products poorly, which may result in client withdrawals and reduced asset flows from these third parties or their clients, or

firms with which we have strategic alliances may terminate such relationships with us, and future strategic alliances may be unavailable.

If key employees were to leave our Asset Management business, whether to join a competitor or otherwise, we may suffer a decline in revenue or earnings and suffer an adverse effect on our financial position. Loss of key employees may occur due to perceived opportunity for promotion, increased compensation, work environment or other individual reasons, some of which may be beyond our control.

18

Our investment style in our Asset Management business may underperform other investment approaches, which may result in significant client or asset departures or a reduction in AUM.

Even when securities prices are rising generally, performance can be affected by investment style. Many of the equity investment strategies in our Asset Management business share a common investment orientation towards fundamental security selection. We believe this style tends to outperform the market in some market environments and underperform it in others. In particular, a prolonged growth environment may cause this investment strategy to go out of favor with some clients, consultants or third-party intermediaries. In combination with poor performance relative to peers, changes in personnel, extensive periods in particular market environments or other difficulties, this may result in significant client or asset departures or a reduction in AUM.

Because our clients can remove the assets we manage on short notice, we may experience unexpected declines in revenue and profitability.

Our investment advisory contracts are generally terminable upon very short notice. Institutional and individual clients, and firms with which we have strategic alliances, can terminate their relationship with us, reduce the aggregate amount of AUM or shift their funds to other types of accounts with different rate structures for a number of reasons, including investment performance, changes in prevailing interest rates and financial market performance. Poor performance relative to other investment management firms tends to result in decreased investments in our investment products, increased redemptions of our investment products, and the loss of institutional or individual accounts or strategic alliances. In addition, the ability to terminate relationships may allow clients to renegotiate for lower fees paid for asset management services.

In addition, in the U.S., as required by the Investment Company Act, each of our investment advisory contracts with the mutual funds we advise or subadvise automatically terminates upon its assignment. Each of our other investment advisory contracts subject to the provisions of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended, as required by this act, provides that the contract may not be assigned without the consent of the customer. A sale of a sufficiently large block of shares of our voting securities or other transactions could be deemed an assignment in certain circumstances. An assignment, actual or constructive, would trigger these termination provisions and could adversely affect our ability to continue managing client accounts.

Access to clients through intermediaries is important to our Asset Management business, and reductions in referrals from such intermediaries or poor reviews of our products or our organization by such intermediaries could materially reduce our revenue and impair our ability to attract new clients.

Our ability to market our Asset Management services relies in part on receiving mandates from the client base of national and regional securities firms, banks, insurance companies, defined contribution plan administrators, investment consultants and other intermediaries. To an increasing extent, our Asset Management business uses referrals from accountants, lawyers, financial planners and other professional advisors. The inability to have this access could materially adversely affect our Asset Management business. In addition, many of these intermediaries review and evaluate our products and our organization. Poor reviews or evaluations of either the particular product or of us may result in client withdrawals or an inability to attract new assets through such intermediaries.

Our historical merchant banking activities involve increased levels of investments in relatively high-risk, illiquid assets, and we may lose some or all of the principal amount that we invest in these activities or fail to realize any profits from these activities for a considerable period of time.

We intend to expand our participation in merchant banking activities through investments in new and successor funds, and we may exercise our option under the business alliance agreement between Lazard Group and LFCM Holdings to acquire the merchant banking business and related principal investments from LFCM Holdings.

19

The revenue from this business is derived primarily from management fees calculated as a percentage of AUM and incentive fees, which are earned if investments are profitable over a specified threshold. Our ability to form new merchant banking funds is subject to a number of uncertainties, including past performance of our funds, market or economic conditions, competition from other fund managers and the ability to negotiate terms with major investors. In addition, the payments we are entitled to receive from LFCM Holdings under the terms of the business alliance agreement in respect of our continued involvement with LFCM Holdings are based on the carried interests received in connection with LFCM Holdings-managed funds.

In addition, we expect to make principal investments in new merchant banking funds that may be established by us or by LFCM Holdings, and to continue to hold principal investments in several merchant banking funds managed by LFCM Holdings. The kinds of investments made by these funds are generally in relatively high-risk, illiquid assets. Contributing capital to these funds is risky, and we may lose some or all of the principal amount of our investments. Because it may take several years before attractive investment opportunities are identified, some or all of the capital committed by us to these funds is likely to be invested in government securities, other short-term, highly rated debt securities and money market funds that traditionally have offered investors relatively lower returns. In addition, the investments in these funds are adjusted for accounting purposes to fair market value at the end of each quarter, and our allocable share of these gains or losses will affect our revenue, even though such market fluctuations may have no cash impact, which could increase the volatility of our earnings. It takes a substantial period of time to identify attractive merchant banking opportunities, to raise all the funds needed to make an investment and then to realize the cash value of an investment through resale. Even if a merchant banking investment proves to be profitable, it may be several years or longer before any profits can be realized in cash or other proceeds.

We face strong competition from financial services firms, many of whom have the ability to offer clients a wider range of products and services than we can offer, which could lead to pricing pressures that could materially adversely affect our revenue and profitability.

The financial services industry is intensely competitive, and we expect it to remain so. We compete on the basis of a number of factors, including the quality of our employees, transaction execution, our products and services, innovation, reputation and price. We have experienced intense fee competition in some of our businesses in recent years, and we believe that we will experience pricing pressures in these and other areas in the future as some of our competitors seek to obtain increased market share by reducing fees.

We face increased competition due to a trend toward consolidation. In recent years, there has been substantial consolidation and convergence among companies in the financial services industry. In particular, a number of large commercial banks, insurance companies and other broad-based financial services firms have established or acquired broker-dealers or have merged with other financial institutions. Many of these firms have the ability to offer a wide range of products, from loans, deposit-taking and insurance to brokerage, asset management and investment banking services, which may enhance their competitive position. They also have the ability to support investment banking, including financial advisory services, with commercial banking, insurance and other financial services in an effort to gain market share, which could result in pricing pressure in our businesses.

An inability to access the debt and equity capital markets as a result of our debt and equity security obligations, credit ratings or other factors could impair our liquidity, increase our borrowing costs or otherwise adversely affect our competitive position or results of operations.

As of December 31, 2005, Lazard Group and its subsidiaries had approximately \$1.25 billion in debt outstanding. This debt has certain mandated payment obligations, which may constrain our ability to operate our business. In addition, in the future we may need to incur debt or issue equity in order to fund our working capital requirements or refinance existing indebtedness, as well as to make acquisitions and other investments. The amount of our debt obligations may impair our ability to raise debt or issue equity for financing purposes. Our access to funds also may be impaired if regulatory authorities take significant action against us, or if we discover

that any of our employees had engaged in serious unauthorized or illegal activity. In addition, our borrowing costs and our access to the debt capital markets depend significantly on our credit ratings. These ratings are assigned by rating agencies, which may reduce or withdraw their ratings or place us on credit watch with negative implications at any time. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

We may pursue acquisitions or joint ventures that could present unforeseen integration obstacles or costs.

We have in the past pursued joint ventures and other transactions aimed at expanding the geography and scope of our operations. In 2002 we entered into a business alliance in Italy with Banca Intesa S.p.A., or Intesa, and in 2004 we established a joint venture in Brazil with Signatura Advisors Ltda. We also have entered into a cooperation arrangement with IXIS to promote mutually beneficial revenue production and sharing relating to cooperation activities. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources and Business Principal Business Lines Financial Advisory Relationship with IXIS. We expect to continue to explore partnership opportunities that we believe to be attractive. In addition, with publicly traded securities to potentially use to finance acquisitions, we believe that we will have greater opportunities and flexibility to pursue acquisitions and other similar transactions. While we are not currently in negotiations with respect to new material acquisitions or material joint ventures, we routinely assess our strategic position and may in the future seek acquisitions or other transactions to further enhance our competitive position.

Acquisitions and joint ventures involve a number of risks and present financial, managerial and operational challenges, including potential disruption of our ongoing business and distraction of management, difficulty with integrating personnel and financial and other systems, hiring additional management and other critical personnel and increasing the scope, geographic diversity and complexity of our operations. Our clients may react unfavorably to our acquisition and joint venture strategy, we may not realize any anticipated benefits from acquisitions, and we may be exposed to additional liabilities of any acquired business or joint venture, any of which could materially adversely affect our revenue and results of operations.

Employee misconduct could harm us by impairing our ability to attract and retain clients and subjecting us to significant legal liability and reputational harm, and this type of misconduct is difficult to detect and deter.

Recently, there have been a number of highly publicized cases involving fraud or other misconduct by employees in the financial services industry generally, and we run the risk that employee misconduct could occur in our business as well. For example, misconduct by employees could involve the improper use or disclosure of confidential information, which could result in regulatory sanctions and serious reputational or financial harm. Our Financial Advisory business often requires that we deal with client confidences of great significance to our clients, improper use of which may harm our clients or our relationships with our clients. Any breach of our clients confidences as a result of employee misconduct may impair our ability to attract and retain Financial Advisory clients and may subject us to liability. Similarly, in our Asset Management business, we have authority over client assets, and we may, from time to time, have custody of such assets. In addition, we often have discretion to trade client assets on the client s behalf and must do so acting in the best interests of the client. As a result, we are subject to a number of obligations and standards, and the violation of those obligations or standards may adversely affect our clients and us. It is not always possible to deter employee misconduct, and the precautions we take to detect and prevent this activity may not be effective in all cases.

The financial services industry faces substantial litigation and regulatory risks, and we may face damage to our professional reputation and legal liability if our services are not regarded as satisfactory or for other reasons.

As a financial services firm, we depend to a large extent on our relationships with our clients and our reputation for integrity and high-caliber professional services to attract and retain clients. As a result, if a client is

21

not satisfied with our services, such dissatisfaction may be more damaging to our business than to other types of businesses. Moreover, our role as advisor to our clients on important mergers and acquisitions or restructuring transactions involves complex analysis and the exercise of professional judgment, including, if appropriate, rendering fairness opinions in connection with mergers and other transactions.

In recent years, the volume of claims and amount of damages claimed in litigation and regulatory proceedings against financial advisors has been increasing. Our Financial Advisory activities may subject us to the risk of significant legal liabilities to our clients and third parties, including our clients—stockholders, under securities or other laws for materially false or misleading statements made in connection with securities and other transactions and potential liability for the fairness opinions and other advice provided to participants in corporate transactions. In our Asset Management business, we make investment decisions on behalf of our clients which could result in substantial losses. This also may subject us to the risk of legal liabilities or actions alleging negligence, misconduct, breach of fiduciary duty or breach of contract. These risks often may be difficult to assess or quantify and their existence and magnitude often remain unknown for substantial periods of time. Our engagements typically include broad indemnities from our clients and provisions designed to limit our exposure to legal claims relating to our services, but these provisions may not protect us or may not be adhered to in all cases. We also are subject to claims arising from disputes with employees for alleged discrimination or harassment, among other things. These risks often may be difficult to assess or quantify, and their existence and magnitude often remain unknown for substantial periods of time. As a result, we may incur significant legal expenses in defending against litigation. Substantial legal liability or significant regulatory action against us could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations or cause significant reputational harm to us, which could seriously harm our business.

Other operational risks may disrupt our businesses, result in regulatory action against us or limit our growth.

Our business is dependent on communications and information systems, including those of our vendors. Any failure or interruption of these systems, whether caused by fire, other natural disaster, power or telecommunications failure, act of terrorism or war or otherwise, could materially adversely affect our operating results. Although we have back-up systems in place, our back-up procedures and capabilities in the event of a failure or interruption may not be adequate.

Particularly in our Asset Management business, we rely heavily on our financial, accounting, trading, compliance and other data processing systems. If any of these systems do not operate properly or are disabled, we could suffer financial loss, a disruption of our businesses, liability to clients, regulatory intervention or reputational damage. The inability of our systems to accommodate an increasing volume of transactions also could constrain our ability to expand our businesses. In recent years, we have substantially upgraded and expanded the capabilities of our data processing systems and other operating technology, and we expect that we will need to continue to upgrade and expand these capabilities in the future to avoid disruption of, or constraints on, our operations.

Extensive regulation of our businesses limits our activities and results in ongoing exposure to the potential for significant penalties, including fines or limitations on our ability to conduct our businesses.

The financial services industry is subject to extensive regulation. We are subject to regulation by governmental and self-regulatory organizations in the jurisdictions in which we operate around the world. Many of these regulators, including U.S. and non-U.S. government agencies and self-regulatory organizations, as well as state securities commissions in the U.S., are empowered to conduct administrative proceedings that can result in censure, fine, the issuance of cease-and-desist orders or the suspension or expulsion of a broker-dealer from registration or memberships. The requirements imposed by our regulators are designed to ensure the integrity of the financial markets and to protect customers and other third parties who deal with us and are not designed to protect our stockholders. Consequently, these regulations often serve to limit our activities, including through net capital, customer protection and market conduct requirements.

22

We face the risk of significant intervention by regulatory authorities, including extended investigation and surveillance activity, adoption of costly or restrictive new regulations and judicial or administrative proceedings that may result in substantial penalties. Among other things, we could be fined or be prohibited from engaging in some of our business activities. In addition, the regulatory environment in which we operate is subject to modifications and further regulation. New laws or regulations or changes in the enforcement of existing laws or regulations applicable to us and our clients also may adversely affect our business, and our ability to function in this environment will depend on our ability to constantly monitor and react to these changes. For example, the European Union Financial Conglomerates Directive requires that we, along with a number of our competitors, be subject to consolidated supervision by a primary regulatory authority. As a result, we have elected to become subject to consolidated supervision by the SEC and formally notified the SEC of our intention in December 2004, and we may be required to increase our regulatory capital. This requirement may adversely affect our profitability and result in other increased costs. See Business Regulation.

In addition, the regulatory environment in which our clients operate may impact our business. For example, changes in antitrust laws or the enforcement of antitrust laws could affect the level of mergers and acquisitions activity and changes in state laws may limit investment activities of state pension plans. See Business Regulation for a further discussion of the regulatory environment in which we conduct our businesses.

In particular, for asset management businesses in general, there have been a number of highly publicized industry-wide regulatory inquiries. These inquiries have resulted in increased scrutiny in the industry and new rules and regulations for mutual funds, hedge funds and their investment managers. This regulatory scrutiny and rulemaking initiatives may result in an increase in operational and compliance costs or the assessment of significant fines or penalties against our Asset Management business, and may otherwise limit our ability to engage in certain activities.

In addition, financial services firms are subject to numerous conflicts of interests or perceived conflicts. We have adopted various policies, controls and procedures to address or limit actual or perceived conflicts and regularly seek to review and update our policies, controls and procedures. However, these policies and procedures may result in increased costs, additional operational personnel and increased regulatory risk. Failure to adhere to these policies and procedures may result in regulatory sanctions or client litigation.

Specific regulatory changes also may have a direct impact on the revenue of our Asset Management business. In addition to regulatory scrutiny and potential fines and sanctions, regulators continue to examine different aspects of the asset management industry. For example, the use of soft dollars, where a portion of commissions paid to broker-dealers in connection with the execution of trades also pays for research and other services provided to advisors, may in the future be limited or prohibited. Although a substantial portion of the research relied on by our Asset Management business in the investment decision-making process is generated internally by our investment analysts, external research, including external research paid for with soft dollars, is important to the process. This external research generally is used for information gathering or verification purposes, and includes broker-provided research, as well as third-party provided databases and research services. For the year ended December 31, 2005, our Asset Management business obtained research and other services through soft dollar arrangements, the total cost of which we estimate to be approximately \$9.1 million. If the use of soft dollars is limited or prohibited, we may have to bear these costs. In addition, new regulation regarding the annual approval process for mutual fund advisory agreements may result in the reduction of fees or possible terminations of these agreements. These regulatory changes and other proposed or potential changes, including changes in compensation for mutual fund sales, may result in a reduction of revenue associated with the Asset Management business.

Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates could lower our net income or negatively impact the portfolios of our Asset Management clients and may affect the levels of our AUM.

Because our financial statements are denominated in U.S. dollars and, for the year ended December 31, 2005, we received approximately 46% of our net revenue from continuing operations in other currencies,

predominantly in euros and British pounds, we are exposed to fluctuations in foreign currencies. In addition, we pay a significant amount of our expenses in such currencies. The exchange rates of these currencies versus the U.S. dollar may affect our net income. We do not generally hedge such non-dollar foreign exchange rate exposure arising in our subsidiaries outside of the U.S. Fluctuations in foreign currencies may also make period to period comparisons of our results of operations difficult.

Foreign currency fluctuations also can impact the portfolios of our Asset Management clients. Client portfolios are invested in securities across the globe, although most portfolios are in a single base currency. Foreign currency fluctuations can adversely impact investment performance for a client s portfolio. In addition, foreign currency fluctuations may affect the levels of our AUM. As our AUM include significant assets that are denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars, an increase in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to non-U.S. currencies may result in a decrease in the dollar value of our AUM, which, in turn, would result in lower U.S. dollar denominated revenue in our Asset Management business. While this risk may be limited by foreign currency hedging, some risks cannot be hedged and there is no guarantee that our hedging activity will be successful. Poor performance may result in decreased AUM, including as a result of withdrawal of client assets or a decrease in new assets being raised in the relevant product.

Our only material asset is our indirect interests in Lazard Group, and we are accordingly dependent upon distributions from Lazard Group to pay dividends and taxes and other expenses.

Lazard Ltd is a holding company and has no material assets other than the indirect ownership of approximately 37.6% of the common membership interests in Lazard Group as of December 31, 2005 and Lazard Ltd sholding of a controlling interest in Lazard Group through two indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries of Lazard Ltd who are co-managing members of Lazard Group. We have no independent means of generating revenue. Our wholly-owned subsidiaries incur income taxes on their proportionate share of any net taxable income of Lazard Group in their respective tax jurisdictions. We intend to cause Lazard Group to make distributions to its members, including our wholly-owned subsidiaries, in an amount sufficient to cover all applicable taxes payable and dividends, if any, declared by us. To the extent that our subsidiaries need funds to pay taxes on their share of Lazard Group s net taxable income, or if Lazard Ltd needs funds for any other purpose, and Lazard Group is restricted from making such distributions under applicable law or regulation, or is otherwise unable to provide such funds, it could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Earnings of Lazard Group allocable to LAZ-MD Holdings may be taxed at higher tax rates than earnings allocable to Lazard Ltd, which may result in less cash being available to Lazard Group than would otherwise be available to it.

We estimate that the managing directors of Lazard Group and other owners of LAZ-MD Holdings are likely to pay tax at a higher rate on their allocable share of Lazard Group s earnings than will Lazard Ltd. Lazard Group will make tax-related distributions based on the higher of the effective income and franchise tax rate applicable to Lazard Ltd s subsidiaries that hold the Lazard Group common membership interests and the weighted average income tax rate (based on income allocated) applicable to LAZ-MD Holdings members, determined in accordance with Lazard Group s operating agreement. Therefore, because distributions by Lazard Group to its members will be made on a pro rata basis, tax-related distributions to Lazard Ltd s subsidiaries are expected to exceed the taxes Lazard Ltd s subsidiaries actually pay or expect to pay. This may result in less cash being available to Lazard Group than would otherwise be available to it, and in cash being held by Lazard Ltd s subsidiaries in excess of what they actually pay for taxes or hold for expected future payments. Prior to the third anniversary of the consummation of the separation and recapitalization and thereafter, Lazard Ltd expects to issue a dividend to its stockholders of any such excess cash. In the event that tax rates applicable to members of LAZ-MD Holdings increase, the pro rata distributions from Lazard Group to its members, including Lazard Ltd s subsidiaries, may increase correspondingly.

We may become subject to taxes in Bermuda after March 28, 2016, which may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and your Investment.

The Bermuda Minister of Finance, under the Exempted Undertakings Tax Protection Act 1966 of Bermuda, as amended, has given us an assurance that if any legislation is enacted in Bermuda that would impose tax computed on profits or income, or computed on any capital asset, gain or appreciation, or any tax in the nature of estate duty or inheritance tax, then the imposition of any such tax will not be applicable to us or any of our operations, shares, debentures or other obligations until March 28, 2016, except insofar as such tax applies to persons ordinarily resident in Bermuda or to any taxes payable by us in respect of real property owned or leased by us in Bermuda. Given the limited duration of the Bermuda Minister of Finance s assurance, we may be subject to Bermuda tax after March 28, 2016.

In the event of a change or adverse interpretation of relevant income tax law, regulation or treaty, or a failure to qualify for treaty benefits, our overall tax rate may be substantially higher than the rate used for purposes of our consolidated financial statements.

Our effective tax rate for 2005 is based upon the application of currently applicable income tax laws, regulations and treaties and current judicial and administrative authorities interpreting those income tax laws, regulations and treaties and upon our non-U.S. subsidiaries ability to qualify for benefits under those treaties. Moreover, those income tax laws, regulations and treaties, and the administrative and judicial authorities interpreting them, are subject to change at any time, and any such change may be retroactive.

In 2004, the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 was enacted, adding Section 7874 to the Internal Revenue Code. Under Section 7874, non-U.S. corporations meeting certain ownership, operational and other tests are treated as U.S. corporations for U.S. federal income tax purposes. In December 2005, the Internal Revenue Service, or the IRS, and the Treasury Department indicated that they are considering additional regulations broadening the scope of Section 7874, utilizing their authority under Section 7874(g). They indicated that regulations may be retroactive and asked for public comments. Although it is uncertain whether, when or in what form any such regulations will be issued, whether they would apply to the Lazard structure or whether any such regulations will be retroactive, the issuance of such regulations could result in us or our non-U.S. subsidiaries being treated as U.S. corporations for U.S. federal income tax purposes, which would result in an overall tax rate substantially higher than the rate reflected in our financial statements and in our pro forma financial information. Based on advice of our counsel, we do not believe that Section 7874 or any regulation promulgated within the scope of its regulatory authority should apply to us or our non-U.S. subsidiaries,

As discussed above, our effective tax rate for 2005 is also based upon our non-U.S. subsidiaries qualifying for treaty benefits. The eligibility of our non-U.S. subsidiaries for treaty benefits generally depends upon, among other things, at least 50% of the principal class of shares in such subsidiaries being ultimately owned by U.S. citizens and persons that are qualified residents for purposes of the treaty. It is possible that this requirement may not be met and even if it is met, we may not be able to document that fact to the satisfaction of the IRS. If our non-U.S. subsidiaries are not treated as eligible for treaty benefits, such subsidiaries will be subject to additional U.S. taxes, including branch profits tax on their effectively connected earnings and profits (as determined for U.S. federal income tax purposes) at a rate of 30% rather than a treaty rate of 5%.

The inability, for any reason, to achieve and maintain an overall income tax rate approximately equal to the rate used in preparing our pro forma financial statements could materially adversely affect our business and our results of operations and would materially adversely alter our financial statements and our pro forma financial information.

A number of our managing directors and other professional employees own rights to participate in the equity value, but not the earnings, in one of the principal operating subsidiaries of our Asset Management business, which could result in those persons receiving additional payments due to future actions with respect to that business.

The managing directors of LAM and other LAM employees hold LAM equity units. These LAM equity units entitle their holders to payments in connection with selected fundamental transactions affecting Lazard

25

Group or LAM, including a dissolution or a sale of all or substantially all of the assets of Lazard Group or LAM, a merger of, or sale of all of the interests in, LAM whereby Lazard Group ceases to own a majority of or have the right to appoint a majority of the board of directors of LAM, or a non-ordinary course sale of assets by LAM that exceeds \$50 million in value. These persons did not receive LAZ-MD Holdings exchangeable interests in connection with the separation and recapitalization transactions, but retained their existing LAM equity units.

As a general matter, in connection with a fundamental transaction that triggers the LAM equity units, the holders of the LAM equity units would be entitled in the aggregate, as of December 31, 2005, to approximately 22.8% of the net proceeds or imputed valuation of LAM in such transaction after deductions for payment of creditors of LAM and the return of capital in LAM. As of December 31, 2005, LAM s capital for these purposes totaled approximately \$67 million, of which approximately \$15 million was owned by the managing directors and employee members of LAM, with the remainder owned by Lazard Group. Holders of LAM equity units may not necessarily be employed by us at the time of such event, and, to the extent that their units were vested, they would remain entitled to any such payment. We have no current intention to cause or otherwise trigger a fundamental transaction that would give rise to payment obligations to holders of interests of LAM. On and after January 1, 2006, the board of directors of LAM, a majority of which is appointed by us, may, in its discretion, grant, subject to specified vesting conditions, LAM equity interests that include profit rights to managing directors of, and other persons providing services to, LAM, as a portion of their ongoing compensation. No such LAM equity interests have been granted subsequent to January 1, 2006, nor is there any present intention to do so. However the provisions of the LAM limited liability company agreement that govern the LAM equity units may impair our ability to sell assets or securities of LAM in the future or otherwise limit our operational flexibility and could result in a substantial amount of consideration being payable to key employees of our Asset Management business, impairing our ability to retain these persons and adversely affecting our business, results of operations or financial condition.

The reorganization of our business from a privately held firm to a publicly traded company may adversely affect our ability to recruit, retain and motivate key employees.

In connection with the separation and recapitalization, the then working members received LAZ-MD Holdings exchangeable interests that will in the future be effectively exchangeable for shares of Lazard Ltd s common stock. Our managing directors who were working members at the time of the separation received these LAZ-MD Holdings exchangeable interests, other than the managing directors of LAM, who continued to hold their LAM equity units. The ownership of, and the ability to realize equity value from, these LAZ-MD Holdings exchangeable interests and underlying shares of Lazard Ltd s common stock is not dependent upon a managing director s continued employment with our company, and our managing directors are not restricted from leaving Lazard by the potential loss of the value of these membership interests. These shares of common stock, upon full exchange, will ultimately be a more liquid security than their former membership interests in Lazard Group.

The LAZ-MD Holdings exchangeable interests are subject to restrictions on transfer and the timing of exchange. Most of these restrictions on the timing of exchange will survive for only a limited period and will permit our managing directors to leave Lazard without losing any of their LAZ-MD Holdings exchangeable interests or underlying shares of Lazard Ltd s common stock. In addition, we agreed that working members, including our non-LAM managing directors, who had capital interests and rights at Lazard Group that were exchanged in the separation for capital interests and rights in LAZ-MD Holdings will have those LAZ-MD Holdings capital interests and rights redeemed or otherwise paid out in four equal installments on each of the first four anniversaries of the closing of the separation and recapitalization. After the separation, our managing directors held approximately \$110 million of the LAZ-MD Holdings redeemable capital interests. Consequently, the steps we have taken to encourage the continued service of these individuals may not be effective.

While we believe the equity public offering should promote retention and recruitment, some managing directors and other employees may be more attracted to the benefits of working at a private, controlled partnership and the prospects of becoming a partner. The impact of the separation on our managing directors and other employee retention and recruitment is uncertain.

26

Our financial performance depends on our ability to achieve our target compensation expense level, and the failure to achieve this target level may materially adversely affect our results of operations and financial position.

A key driver of our profitability is our ability to generate revenue while achieving our compensation expense levels. During 2003 and 2004, following the hiring of new senior management, we invested significant amounts in the recruitment and retention of senior professionals in an effort to reinvest in the intellectual capital of our business. We made distributions to our managing directors that exceeded our net income allocable to members in respect of 2003 and 2004.

We intend to operate at or below our target level of compensation and benefits expense. Our policy is that our compensation and benefits expense will not exceed 57.5% of operating revenue each year. Compensation and benefits expense (calculated excluding amounts related to the separated businesses but including payments for minority interest for services rendered by LAM managing directors and employee members of LAM and services rendered by other managing directors) was 57.0% of operating revenue for the year ended December 31, 2005. Increased competition for senior professionals, changes in the financial markets generally or other factors could prevent us from continuing to reach this objective. Failure to achieve this target ratio may materially adversely affect our results of operations and financial position.

Lazard Ltd is controlled by LAZ-MD Holdings and, through the LAZ-MD Holdings stockholders agreement, by the working members, whose interests may differ from those of other stockholders and our note holders.

LAZ-MD Holdings holds Lazard Ltd s Class B common stock. Pursuant to the LAZ-MD Holdings stockholders agreement, the members of LAZ-MD Holdings party to that agreement are individually entitled to direct LAZ-MD Holdings how to vote their proportionate interest in Lazard Ltd s Class B common stock on an as-if-exchanged basis. The voting power associated with the Class B common stock is intended to mirror the working members indirect economic interest in Lazard Group. Through the LAZ-MD Holdings stockholders agreement, the working members will initially be effectively able to exercise control over all matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of all directors and approval of significant corporate transactions, and other matters affecting the working members. This voting power may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of Lazard Ltd.

The historical financial information of Lazard Group contained in this annual report may not be representative of our results as a separate, independent public company.

Because Lazard Group had, prior to the separation and recapitalization, operated as a limited liability company that was treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes with its managing directors also being members of Lazard Group, payments for services rendered by Lazard Group s managing directors were accounted for as distributions from members—capital, or in some cases as minority interest in net income. Because Lazard Group historically has operated as an entity treated as a partnership in the U.S., Lazard Group has paid little or no taxes on profits in the U.S., other than New York City UBT. As a result, Lazard Group—s operating income prior to the separation and recapitalization did not reflect most payments for services rendered by its managing directors and its provision for income taxes has not reflected U.S. corporate federal income taxes. Accordingly, results of operations for periods prior to May 10, 2005 are not comparable to results of operations for subsequent periods.

Reorganizing our business from a privately held firm to a publicly traded company has resulted in ongoing increased administrative and regulatory costs and burdens that are not reflected in the historical financial statements of Lazard Group prior to May 10, 2005, the date of our equity public offering, which could adversely affect our results of operations. Before 2000, our business was operated under separate and independent firms or private limited companies organized on a country-by-country basis. Starting with the unification of our various Houses

under Lazard Group in 2000 and continuing with our transition to a publicly traded company through

27

Lazard Ltd, we have sought and are continuing to implement improvements to our administrative functions, including our compliance and control systems. In addition, as Lazard Ltd is a publicly traded company, we have implemented and are implementing additional regulatory and administrative procedures and processes for the purpose of addressing the standards and requirements applicable to public companies, including under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, and related regulatory initiatives. The costs of implementing these steps may be significant.

Prior to the separation, Lazard Group s businesses, which then included the separated businesses, also were able to rely, to some degree, on the earnings, assets and cash flow of each other for capital and cash flow requirements. Accordingly, Lazard Group s historical results of operations and financial position are not necessarily indicative of the consolidated results of operations and financial position of Lazard Group after completion of the separation.

The pro forma financial information in this annual report may not permit you to predict our costs of operations, and the estimates and assumptions used in preparing our pro forma financial information may be materially different from our actual experience as a separate, independent company.

In preparing the pro forma financial information in this annual report, we have made adjustments to the historical financial information of Lazard Group for periods prior to May 10, 2005 based upon currently available information and upon assumptions that our management believes are reasonable in order to reflect, on a pro forma basis, the impact of the separation and recapitalization. Some of these adjustments include, among other items, a deduction and charge to earnings of estimated income taxes based on an estimated tax rate, estimated salaries, payroll taxes and benefits for our managing directors. These and other estimates and assumptions used in the calculation of the pro forma financial information in this annual report for periods prior to May 10, 2005 may be materially different from our actual experience as a separate, independent company in the future. The pro forma financial information in this annual report does not purport to represent what Lazard Group s results of operations would actually have been had Lazard Group operated as a separate, independent company during the periods presented, nor do the pro forma data give effect to any events other than those discussed in the unaudited pro forma financial information and related notes. See Item 8A, Pro Forma Financial Information.

Lazard Group and its predecessors have undergone significant transformations in recent years, and we will continue our efforts to transform our business and operations going forward, which may disrupt the regular operations of our business.

Since the unification of the Houses of Lazard in 2000, Lazard Group has experienced a succession of transformative events, including the hiring of Mr. Bruce Wasserstein, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, the retention of new senior management and the hiring or promotion of a large number of new managing directors, as well as the separation and recapitalization transactions. Lazard Group s efforts to transform our businesses are expected to continue, including by implementing and maintaining standards and procedures required of public companies such as compliance with the internal controls requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. The continued evolution of Lazard Group may have resulted, and in the future may result, in disruption to the regular operations of our business, including our ability to attract and complete current and future engagement opportunities with clients, and increased difficulty in retaining senior professionals and managing and growing our businesses, the occurrence of any of which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Failure to achieve and maintain effective internal controls in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We are in the process of documenting our internal control procedures in order to satisfy the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, which requires annual management assessments of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting and a report by our independent auditors addressing these

28

assessments. We are required to be in compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act by December 31, 2006. During the course of our control assessment and testing, we may identify deficiencies which we may not be able to remediate in time to meet the deadline imposed by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act for compliance with the requirements of Section 404. In addition, if we fail to maintain the adequacy of our internal controls, as such standards are modified, supplemented or amended from time to time, we may not be able to ensure that we can conclude on an ongoing basis that we have effective internal controls over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Failure to achieve and maintain an effective internal control environment could have a material adverse effect on our business.

LAZ-MD Holdings, Lazard Group, LFCM Holdings and Lazard Ltd entered into various arrangements, including the master separation agreement, which contain cross-indemnification obligations of LAZ-MD Holdings, Lazard Group, LFCM Holdings and Lazard Ltd, that any party may be unable to satisfy.

The master separation agreement that Lazard Ltd entered into with Lazard Group, LAZ-MD Holdings and LFCM Holdings provides, among other things, that LFCM Holdings generally will indemnify Lazard Ltd, Lazard Group and LAZ-MD Holdings for losses that we incur arising out of, or relating to, the separated businesses and the businesses conducted by LFCM Holdings and losses that Lazard Ltd, Lazard Group or LAZ-MD Holdings incur arising out of, or relating to, LFCM Holdings breach of the master separation agreement. In addition, LAZ-MD Holdings generally will indemnify Lazard Ltd, Lazard Group and LFCM Holdings for losses that they incur arising out of, or relating to, LAZ-MD Holdings breach of the master separation agreement. Our ability to collect under the indemnities from LAZ-MD Holdings or LFCM Holdings depends on their financial position. For example, persons may seek to hold us responsible for liabilities assumed by LAZ-MD Holdings or LFCM Holdings. If these liabilities are significant and we are held liable for them, we may not be able to recover any or all of the amount of those losses from LAZ-MD Holdings or LFCM Holdings should either be financially unable to perform under their indemnification obligations.

We currently have a number of ongoing obligations in respect of which, pursuant to the master separation agreement and other ancillary agreements, LFCM Holdings is providing certain indemnities. For example, we entered into an arrangement with LFCM Holdings relating to the costs of excess space in the U.K. LFCM Holdings will pay to Lazard Group \$25 million in the aggregate, of which \$6.2 million was due and paid in 2005. In addition, as reflected in the notes to our consolidated financial statements, as of December 31, 2005, our principal U.K. pension plans had a combined deficit of approximately \$46.8 million (approximately 27.2 million British pounds). This deficit would ordinarily be funded over time. In the third quarter of 2005, agreements were executed between Lazard Group and the trustees of the pension plans dealing with a plan for future funding of the deficit as well as with asset allocation. Irrespective of the terms of these agreements, in considering their duties to beneficiaries, the trustees also have the power to change the asset allocation. Any changes in the asset allocation could increase or decrease the unfunded liability that would be funded over time, depending on asset mix, any increase in liabilities and investment returns. In addition, the pensions regulator in the U.K. may have the power to require contributions to be made to plans, and to impose support in respect of the funding of plans by related companies other than the direct obligors. As part of the separation, Lazard Group made a contribution to LFCM Holdings of \$55 million in connection with the provision by LFCM Holdings of support relating to U.K. pension liabilities and other indemnities.

Contributions of approximately \$29.8 million (or 16.4 million British pounds) were made to Lazard Group s defined benefit pension plans in the U.K. during the first half of 2005, of which 15.0 million British pounds were indemnified by LFCM Holdings. Lazard Group will make further payments amounting to 16.4 million British pounds, which approximates \$28 million using exchange rates as of December 31, 2005, on June 1, 2006, 8.2 million British pounds on June 1, 2007 and 8.2 million British pounds on June 1, 2008. LFCM Holdings is obligated to indemnify us 15.0 million British pounds on June 1, 2006. In the event that LFCM Holdings is unable to perform under such arrangements for any reason, we would remain fully liable.

In addition, Lazard Group generally will indemnify LFCM Holdings and LAZ-MD Holdings for liabilities related to Lazard Group s businesses and Lazard Group will indemnify LFCM Holdings and LAZ-MD Holdings

29

for losses that they incur to the extent arising out of, or relating to, Lazard Group s or Lazard Ltd s breach of the master separation agreement. Several of the ancillary agreements that Lazard Group entered into together with the master separation agreement also provide for separate indemnification arrangements. For example, under the administrative services agreement, Lazard Group provides a range of services to LFCM Holdings, including information technology, general office and building services and financing and accounting services, and LFCM Holdings will generally indemnify Lazard Group for liabilities that Lazard Group incurs arising from the provision of these services absent Lazard Group s intentional misconduct. Lazard Group may face claims for indemnification from LFCM Holdings and LAZ-MD Holdings under these provisions regarding matters for which Lazard Group has agreed to indemnify them. If these liabilities are significant, Lazard Group may be required to make substantial payments, which could materially adversely affect our results of operations.

We have potential conflicts of interest with LAZ-MD Holdings and LFCM Holdings, and LAZ-MD Holdings and LFCM Holdings could each act in a way that favors its interests to our detriment.

As of December 31, 2005, LAZ-MD Holdings holds approximately 62.4% of Lazard Ltd s voting power through Lazard Ltd s single share of Class B common stock and 62.4% of the outstanding Lazard Group common membership interests. In addition, LAZ-MD Holdings board of directors is composed of five individuals, all of whom are managing directors or officers of Lazard Ltd or its affiliates, including its Vice Chairman and its President. Lazard Group s board of directors and executive officers are the same as those of Lazard Ltd. The voting and equity ownership of LAZ-MD Holdings and its members, and the service of officers and managing directors of our company as directors of LAZ-MD Holdings, could create conflicts of interest when LAZ-MD Holdings and those directors and officers are faced with decisions that could have different implications for LAZ-MD Holdings and us, including potential acquisitions of businesses, the issuance or disposition of securities by us, the election of new or additional directors of Lazard Ltd, the payment of dividends by Lazard Ltd and Lazard Group, our relationship with LFCM Holdings and other matters. We also expect that LAZ-MD Holdings will manage its ownership of us so that it will not be deemed to be an investment company under the Investment Company Act, including by maintaining its voting power in Lazard Ltd above a majority absent an applicable exemption from the Act. This may result in conflicts with us, including those relating to acquisitions or offerings by us involving issuances of Lazard Ltd s common stock or securities convertible or exchangeable into shares of Lazard Ltd s common stock that would dilute LAZ-MD Holdings voting power in Lazard Ltd.

Since the members of LAZ-MD Holdings who are parties to the LAZ-MD Holdings stockholders—agreement are entitled to individually direct their proportionate share of the vote of Lazard Ltd—s Class B common stock on an as-if-exchanged basis and also own and control LFCM Holdings, their control of LAZ-MD Holdings and the vote of the share of Lazard Ltd—s Class B common stock gives rise to potential conflicts between LFCM Holdings and LAZ-MD Holdings, on the one hand, and our company, on the other hand, as discussed below.

In addition, Mr. Wasserstein, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, serves as the Chairman and is the majority owner of Wasserstein Holdings, LLC, the ultimate general partner of Wasserstein & Co., LP, a separate merchant banking firm that may compete with LFCM Holdings or our merchant banking fund management activities.

We may have potential business conflicts of interest with LAZ-MD Holdings and LFCM Holdings with respect to our past and ongoing relationships that could harm our business operations.

Pursuant to the LAZ-MD Holdings stockholders agreement, LAZ-MD Holdings will vote the single share of Class B common stock, which, as of December 31, 2005, currently represents approximately 62.4% of Lazard Ltd s voting power, as directed by its individual members who are party to that agreement, all of whom are working members, including managing directors of our business. These same persons own and control LFCM Holdings, which holds the separated businesses. In addition, our President is the Chairman of LFCM Holdings, and several employees of Lazard provide services to LFCM Holdings. Conflicts of interest may arise between LFCM Holdings and us in a number of areas relating to our past and ongoing relationships, including:

labor, tax, employee benefits, indemnification and other matters arising from the separation,

30

intellectual property matters,

business combinations involving us,

business operations or business opportunities of LFCM Holdings or us that would compete with the other party s business opportunities, including investment banking by us and the management of merchant banking funds by LFCM Holdings, particularly as some of the managing directors provide services to LFCM Holdings,

the terms of the master separation agreement and related ancillary agreements, including the operation of the merchant banking fund management business and Lazard Group s option to purchase the business,

the nature, quality and pricing of administrative services to be provided by us, and

the provision of services by two of our managing directors to LFCM Holdings.

In addition, the administrative services agreement commits us to provide a range of services to LFCM Holdings and LAZ-MD Holdings, which could require the expenditure of significant amounts of time by our management. Our agreements with LAZ-MD Holdings and LFCM Holdings may be amended upon agreement of the parties to those agreements. During the time that we are controlled by LAZ-MD Holdings, LAZ-MD Holdings may be able to require us to agree to amendments to these agreements. We may not be able to resolve any potential conflicts and, even if we do, the resolution may be less favorable to us than if we were dealing with an unaffiliated party.

The use of the Lazard brand name by subsidiaries of LFCM Holdings may expose us to reputational harm that could affect our operations and adversely affect our financial position should these subsidiaries take actions that damage the brand name.

The Lazard brand name has over 150 years of heritage, connoting, we believe, world-class professional advice, independence and global capabilities with deeply rooted, local know-how. LFCM Holdings operates as a separate legal entity, and Lazard Group licensed to subsidiaries of LFCM Holdings that operate the separated businesses the use of the Lazard brand name for certain specified purposes, including in connection with merchant banking fund management and capital markets activities. As these subsidiaries of LFCM Holdings historically have and will continue to use the Lazard brand name, and because we no longer control these entities, there is a risk of reputational harm to us if these subsidiaries have, or in the future were to, among other things, engage in poor business practices, experience adverse results or otherwise damage the reputational value of the Lazard brand name. These risks could expose us to liability and also may adversely affect our revenue and our business prospects.

Our subsidiaries will be required to pay LFCM Holdings for most of the benefit relating to any additional tax depreciation or amortization deductions our subsidiaries may claim as a result of the tax basis step-up our subsidiaries receive in connection with the equity public offering and related transactions.

Prior to the equity public offering, historical partner interests and preferred interests generally were redeemed for cash. In addition, LAZ-MD Holdings exchangeable interests may, in effect, be exchanged in the future for shares of our common stock. The redemption has resulted, and the exchanges may result, in increases in the tax basis of the tangible and intangible assets of Lazard Group attributable to our subsidiaries interest in Lazard Group that otherwise would not have been available. These increases in tax basis may reduce the amount of tax that our subsidiaries would otherwise be required to pay in the future, although the IRS may challenge all or part of that tax basis increase, and a court could sustain

such a challenge.

Our subsidiaries entered into a tax receivable agreement with LFCM Holdings that provides for the payment by our subsidiaries to LFCM Holdings of 85% of the amount of cash savings, if any, in U.S. federal, state and

31

local income tax or franchise tax that we actually realize as a result of these increases in tax basis and of certain other tax benefits related to entering into the tax receivable agreement, including tax benefits attributable to payments under the tax receivable agreement. We expect to benefit from the remaining 15% of cash savings realized. Our subsidiaries have the right to terminate the tax receivable agreement at any time for an amount based on an agreed value of certain payments remaining to be made under the tax receivable agreement at such time. While the actual amount and timing of any payments under this agreement will vary depending upon a number of factors, including the timing of exchanges, the extent to which such exchanges are taxable and the amount and timing of our income, we expect that, as a result of the size of the increases in the tax basis of the tangible and intangible assets of Lazard Group attributable to our subsidiaries interest in Lazard Group, during the 24-year term of the tax receivable agreement, the payments that our subsidiaries may make to LFCM Holdings could be substantial. If the LAZ-MD Holdings exchangeable interests had been effectively exchanged in a taxable transaction for common stock at the time of the closing of the equity public offering, the increase in tax basis attributable to our subsidiaries interest in Lazard Group would have been approximately \$1.6 billion, including the increase in tax basis associated with the redemption and recapitalization. The cash savings that our subsidiaries would actually realize as a result of this increase in tax basis likely would be significantly less than this amount multiplied by our effective tax rate due to a number of factors, including the allocation of the increase in tax basis to foreign assets, the impact of the increase in the tax basis on our ability to use foreign tax credits and the rules relating to the amortization of intangible assets. The actual increase in tax basis will depend, among other factors, upon the price of shares of our common stock at the time of the exchange and the extent to which such exchanges are taxable and, as a result, could differ materially from this amount. Our ability to achieve benefits from any such increase, and the payments to be made under this agreement, will depend upon a number of factors, as discussed above, including the timing and amount of our future income.

In addition, if the IRS successfully challenges the tax basis increase, under certain circumstances, our subsidiaries could make payments to LFCM Holdings under the tax receivable agreement in excess of our subsidiaries cash tax savings.

The separation and recapitalization transactions may be challenged by creditors as a fraudulent transfer or conveyance, and, should a court agree with such a challenge, equityholders and creditors of the entity held liable could be adversely affected.

While we do not believe that any of the separation and recapitalization transactions resulted in a fraudulent conveyance or transfer, if a court in a suit by an unpaid creditor or representative of creditors of Lazard Group, Lazard Ltd, LAZ-MD Holdings or LFCM Holdings, such as a trustee in bankruptcy, or Lazard Group, Lazard Ltd, LAZ-MD Holdings or LFCM Holdings itself, as debtor-in-possession in a reorganization case under Title 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, were to find that:

any of the separation and recapitalization transactions (or any related transactions) were undertaken for the purpose of hindering, delaying or defrauding creditors of Lazard Group, Lazard Ltd, LAZ-MD Holdings or LFCM Holdings (as applicable), or

Lazard Group, Lazard Ltd, LAZ-MD Holdings or LFCM Holdings (as applicable) received less than reasonably equivalent value or fair consideration in connection with any of the separation and recapitalization transactions and (i) Lazard Group, Lazard Ltd, LAZ-MD Holdings or LFCM Holdings (as applicable) was insolvent immediately prior to, or was rendered insolvent by, the separation or recapitalization transactions, (ii) Lazard Group, Lazard Ltd, LAZ-MD Holdings or LFCM Holdings (as applicable) immediately prior to, or as of the effective time of, the completion of any of the separation and recapitalization transactions, and after giving effect thereto, intended or believed that it would be unable to pay its debts as they became due, or (iii) the capital of Lazard Group, Lazard Ltd, LAZ-MD Holdings or LFCM Holdings (as applicable) immediately prior to or, at the effective time of, the completion of any of the separation and recapitalization transactions, and after giving effect thereto, was inadequate to conduct its business,

then that court could determine that any of the separation and recapitalization transactions violated applicable provisions of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code or applicable state fraudulent transfer or conveyance laws. This

32

determination would permit the bankruptcy trustee, debtor-in-possession or unpaid creditors to rescind the separation or recapitalization transactions, to subordinate or render unenforceable the debt incurred in furtherance thereof, or to require Lazard Group, Lazard Ltd, LAZ-MD Holdings or LFCM Holdings or the historical partners, as the case may be, to fund liabilities for the benefit of creditors. Equityholders and creditors of the entity held liable as a result of such determination would be adversely affected to the extent such entity is required to surrender value to satisfy its liability.

The measure of insolvency for purposes of the foregoing considerations will vary depending upon the law of the jurisdiction that is being applied. Generally, however, an entity would be considered insolvent if:

the sum of its liabilities, including contingent liabilities, is greater than its assets, at a fair valuation,

the present fair saleable value of its assets is less than the amount required to pay the probable liability on its total existing debts and liabilities, including contingent liabilities, as they become absolute and matured, or

it is generally not paying its debts as they become due.

Similar provisions would also apply in any other jurisdiction in which the separation and recapitalization transactions take effect.

If Lazard Ltd were deemed an investment company under the Investment Company Act as a result of its ownership of Lazard Group, applicable restrictions could make it impractical for us to continue our business as contemplated and could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operation.

We do not believe that Lazard Ltd is an investment company under the Investment Company Act, because Lazard Ltd has the power to appoint and remove the Lazard Group managing members. If Lazard Ltd were to cease participation in the management of Lazard Group or not be deemed to have a majority of the voting power of Lazard Group, its interest in Lazard Group could be deemed an investment security for purposes of the Investment Company Act. Similarly, we do not believe that LAZ-MD Holdings is an investment company under the Investment Company Act, because LAZ-MD Holdings currently holds a majority of Lazard Ltd s voting power through Lazard Ltd s Class B common stock, and Lazard Ltd owns a majority of the voting power of Lazard Group. If LAZ-MD Holdings ceases to hold a majority of the voting power of Lazard Ltd, or Lazard Ltd ceases to hold a majority of the voting power of Lazard Group, LAZ-MD Holdings interests in Lazard Group could be deemed an investment security for purposes of the Investment Company Act. Generally, a person is an investment company if it owns investment securities having a value exceeding 40% of the value of its total assets (exclusive of U.S. government securities and cash items), absent an applicable exemption. Lazard Ltd has no material assets other than direct and indirect ownership of Lazard Group common membership interests and its controlling interest in Lazard Group. A determination that this investment was an investment security could result in Lazard Ltd being an investment company under the Investment Company Act and becoming subject to the registration and other requirements of the Investment Company Act. Similarly, LAZ-MD Holdings has no material assets other than its ownership of Lazard Group common membership interests, Lazard Ltd s Class B common stock and cash. A reduction of LAZ-MD Holdings voting power in Lazard Ltd to less than a majority or a determination that the Lazard Group common membership interests is an investment security could result in LAZ-MD Holdings being an investment company under the Investment Company Act, unless an exemption is available, and becoming subject to the registration and other requirements of the Investment Company Act.

The Investment Company Act and the rules thereunder contain detailed prescriptions for the organization and operations of investment companies. Among other things, the Investment Company Act and the rules thereunder limit or prohibit transactions with affiliates, impose limitations on the issuance of debt and equity securities, prohibit the issuance of stock options, and impose certain governance requirements.

Lazard Ltd intends to conduct its operations, and expects that LAZ-MD Holdings will conduct its operations, so that neither

33

Lazard Ltd nor LAZ-MD Holdings, respectively, will be deemed to be an investment company under the Investment Company Act. However, if anything were to happen which would cause Lazard Ltd or LAZ-MD Holdings to be deemed to be an investment company under the Investment Company Act, requirements imposed by the Investment Company Act, including limitations on its or our capital structure, ability to transact business with affiliates (including LAZ-MD Holdings or us, as the case may be) and ability to compensate key employees, could make it impractical for us to continue our business as currently conducted, impair the agreements and arrangements, including the master separation agreement and related agreements and the transactions contemplated by those agreements, between and among Lazard Ltd, LAZ-MD Holdings, Lazard Group and LFCM Holdings or any combination thereof and materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We have made statements under the captions Business, Risk Factors, and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and in other sections of this annual report on Form 10-K that are forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as may, might, will, should, expect, plan, anticipate, estimate, or continue, and the negative of these terms and other comparable terminology. These forward-looking statements, which are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and assumptions about us, may include projections of our future financial performance based on our growth strategies and anticipated trends in our business. These statements are only predictions based on our current expectations and projections about future events. There are important factors that could cause our actual results, level of activity, performance or achievements to differ materially from the results, level of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. In particular, you should consider the numerous risks and uncertainties outlined in Risk Factors.

These risks and uncertainties are not exhaustive. Other sections of this annual report may include additional factors, which could adversely impact our business and financial performance. Moreover, we operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risks and uncertainties emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for our management to predict all risks and uncertainties, nor can we assess the impact of all factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements.

Although we believe the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, level of activity, performance or achievements. Moreover, neither we nor any other person assumes responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of any of these forward-looking statements. You should not rely upon forward-looking statements as predictions of future events. We are under no duty to update any of these forward-looking statements after the date of this annual report to conform our prior statements to actual results or revised expectations and we do not intend to do so.

Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements about:

our business possible or assumed future results of operations and operating cash flows,

our business strategies and investment policies,

our business competitive position,

potential growth opportunities available to our business,

the recruitment and retention of our managing directors and employees,

our expected levels of compensation,

our business potential operating performance, achievements, productivity improvements, efficiency and cost reduction efforts,

the likelihood of success and impact of litigation,
our expected tax rate,
changes in interest and tax rates,
our expectation with respect to the economy, securities markets, the market for mergers and acquisitions activity, the market for asset management activity and other industry trends,
the benefits to our business resulting from the effects of the separation and recapitalization transactions, including the financing transactions,
the effects of competition on our business, and
the impact of future legislation and regulation on our business.
35

The Company is committed to providing timely and accurate information to the investing public, consistent with our legal and regulatory obligations. To that end, the Company uses its websites to convey information about our businesses, including the anticipated release of quarterly financial results and the posting of updates of assets under management in various mutual funds, hedge funds and other investment products managed by Lazard Asset Management LLC and its subsidiaries. Monthly updates of these funds are posted to the Lazard Asset Management website (www.lazardnet.com) on the 3rd to 5th business days following the end of each month. Investors can link to Lazard Ltd and its operating company websites through www.lazard.com. Our websites and the information contained therein or connected thereto shall not be deemed to be incorporated into this annual report.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

The following table lists the properties used for the entire Lazard organization, including properties used by the separated businesses. As a general matter, one or both of our Financial Advisory and Asset Management segments uses the following properties. We license and sublease to LFCM Holdings certain office space, including office space that is used by the separated businesses. This includes subleasing or licensing approximately 39,011 square feet in New York, New York located at 30 Rockefeller Plaza and in Washington D.C. and 1,800 square feet of space under the lease in London located at 50 Stratton Street to LFCM Holdings. Additionally, New York and London sublease 31,910 and 55,676 square feet, respectively, to third parties. We remain fully liable for the subleased space to the extent LFCM Holdings, or the third parties, fail to perform their obligations under the subleases for any reason. In addition, LFCM Holdings entered into indemnity arrangements in relation to excess space and abandoned former premises in London.

Location	Square feet	Comments
New York	265,000 square feet of	
	leased space	Key office located at 30 Rockefeller Plaza, New York, New York 10020.
Other North	56,476 square feet of	Includes offices in Atlanta, Chicago, Houston, Los Angeles, Montreal, San Francisco,
America	leased space	Toronto and Washington, D.C.
Paris	170,749 square feet of	Key office located at 121 Boulevard Haussmann, 75008 Paris.
	owned and leased space	
London	86,695 square feet of	Key office located at 50 Stratton Street, London W1J 8LL.
	leased space	
Milan	27,000 square feet of	Key office located at via Dell Orso 2, 20121 Milan.
	leased space	
Other Europe	67,151 square feet of	Includes offices in Amsterdam, Berlin, Bordeaux, Frankfurt, Hamburg, Lyon, Madrid, Rome
	leased space	and Stockholm.
Asia and Australia	48,602 square feet of	Includes offices in Mumbai, Hong Kong, New Delhi, Seoul, Singapore, Sydney, Tokyo and
	leased space	Beijing.

We believe that we currently maintain sufficient space to meet our anticipated needs.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Our businesses, as well as the financial services industry generally, are subject to extensive regulation throughout the world. We are involved in a number of judicial, regulatory and arbitration proceedings and inquiries concerning matters arising in connection with the conduct of our businesses. We believe, based on currently available information, that the results of such proceedings, in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition but might be material to our operating results or cash flows for any particular period, depending upon the operating results for such period.

We have received a request for information from the NASD as part of what we understand to be an industry investigation relating to gifts and gratuities, which is focused primarily on Lazard's former Capital Markets business, which business was transferred to LFCM Holdings as a part of the separation. In addition, we have received requests for information from the NASD, SEC and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Massachusetts seeking information concerning gifts and entertainment involving an unaffiliated mutual fund company, which are also focused on that same business. We believe that other broker-dealers have also received requests for information. In the course of an internal review of these matters, there have been resignations or discipline of certain individuals associated with Lazard's former Capital Markets business. These investigations are continuing and we cannot predict their potential outcomes, which outcomes, if any, could include the consequences discussed above under Regulation.

Lazard Ltd and Goldman Sachs & Co., the lead underwriter of Lazard Ltd s equity public offering of its common stock, as well as several members of Lazard Ltd s management and board of directors, have been named as defendants in several putative class action lawsuits and a putative stockholder derivative lawsuit filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, and in a putative class action lawsuit and a putative stockholder derivative lawsuit filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York. The defendants removed the putative class action lawsuit filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York, and the plaintiffs moved for remand. The motion for remand was referred to a Magistrate Judge, who has issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that the plaintiffs motion be granted. The defendants removed the putative derivative lawsuit filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, and the plaintiff moved for remand. By Decision and Order dated February 17, 2006, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York granted the plaintiff s motion for remand. The defendants have filed a Notice of Appeal. The plaintiffs in the putative class action lawsuits filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York have filed a consolidated amended complaint. The putative class action lawsuits purport to have been filed on behalf of persons who purchased securities of Lazard Ltd in connection with the equity public offering or in the open market. The putative class actions allege various violations of the federal securities laws and seek, inter alia, compensatory damages, rescission or rescissory damages and other unspecified equitable, injunctive or other relief. The putative derivative actions purport to be brought on behalf of Lazard Ltd against its directors and Goldman Sachs & Co. and allege, among other things, that the directors breached their fiduciary duties to Lazard Ltd in connection with matters related to the equity public offering and seek compensatory damages, punitive damages and other unspecified equitable or other relief. We believe that the suits are without merit and intend to defend them vigorously.

For a description of recent developments involving Lazard Group's relationship with Intesa see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources and Note 6 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

37

Part II

Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The principal market on which our Class A common stock (ticker: LAZ) is traded is the New York Stock Exchange. There is no public trading market for the Class B common stock which is held by LAZ-MD Holdings. The following table sets forth, for the fiscal quarters indicated, the high and low sales prices per share of our Class A common stock, as reported in the consolidated transaction reporting system, and the quarterly dividends declared since our initial public offering in May 2005.

		Fiscal 2005		15	
	- -	Sales	Price	per	vidends share of mmon
	_	High	Low		stock
Second quarter (since May 4, 2005)	- \$	3 25.24	\$ 20.40	\$	
Third quarter	\$	6 26.03	\$ 22.51	\$.052
Fourth quarter	\$	32.70	\$ 23.82	\$.090

As of March 1, 2006, there were approximately 15 holders of record of the Company s Class A common stock. This does not include the number of shareholders that hold shares in street-name through banks or broker-dealers.

On March 1, 2006, the last reported sales price for the Company s Class A common stock on the New York Stock Exchange was \$38.56 per share.

Share Repurchases in the Fourth Quarter of 2005

There were no purchases made by or on behalf of Lazard of its common stock in the fourth quarter of the year ended December 31, 2005.

				Approximate
			Total	Dollar
			Number of	Value of
			Shares	Shares that
			Purchased as	May
			Part	Yet Be
		Average	of Publicly	Purchased
	Total Number	Price	Announced	under
	of Shares	Paid Per	Plans or	the Plans or
Period	Purchased	Share	Programs	Programs
October 1 October 31	None			

November 1	November 30	None
December 1	December 31	None

Equity Compensation Plan Information

See Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters Equity Compensation Plan Information.

38

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth the historical selected consolidated financial data for the Company for all periods presented. The results of operations for certain businesses that the Company no longer owns are reported as discontinued operations.

The historical consolidated financial statements prior to May 10, 2005, the date of our equity public offering, do not reflect what our results of operations and financial position would have been had we been a stand-alone, public company for the periods presented. In addition, the results of operations for periods prior to May 10, 2005 are not comparable to results of operations for subsequent periods. Specifically, for periods prior to May 10, 2005, the historical results of operations do not give effect to the following matters:

Payment for services rendered by the Company s managing directors, which, as a result of Lazard Group operating as a limited liability company, historically had been accounted for as distributions from members capital, or in some cases as minority interest, rather than as compensation and benefits expense. As a result, Lazard Group s operating income, prior to May 10, 2005, did not reflect payments for services rendered by its managing directors. Subsequent to the consummation of the equity public offering, as described in Note 1 of the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company now includes all payments for services rendered by its managing directors and distributions to holders of profit participation interests (profit participation members) in compensation and benefits expense.

U.S. corporate federal income taxes, since Lazard Group had operated in the U.S. as a limited liability company that was treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes. As a result, Lazard Group s income had not been subject to U.S. federal income taxes. Taxes related to income earned by partnerships represent obligations of the individual partners. Outside the U.S., Lazard Group historically had operated principally through subsidiary corporations and had been subject to local income taxes. Accordingly, prior to May 10, 2005 income taxes reflected within the Company s results of operations included within the accompanying consolidated financial statements are attributable to taxes incurred in non-U.S. entities and to UBT attributable to the Company s operations apportioned to New York City. Subsequent to the equity public offering, the consolidated financial statements of Lazard Ltd include U.S. corporate federal income taxes on its allocable share of the results of operations of Lazard Group, giving effect to the post equity public offering structure.

Minority interest in net income relating to LAZ-MD Holdings ownership interest of Lazard Group s common membership interests since May 10, 2005. Prior to May 10, 2005, Lazard Ltd had no ownership interest in Lazard Group and all net income was allocable to the then members of Lazard Group. Commencing May 10, 2005, minority interest in net income includes LAZ-MD Holdings ownership interest of Lazard Group s common membership interests.

The use of proceeds from the financing transactions.

The net incremental interest expense related to the financing transactions.

The historical consolidated statements of financial position and income data as of and for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, 2003, 2002 and 2001 have been derived, as applicable, from Lazard Ltd s and Lazard Group s consolidated financial statements. The audited consolidated statements of financial condition as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 and consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 are included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. The audited consolidated statements of financial condition as of December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 and consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 are not included in this Form 10-K. Historical results are not necessarily indicative of results for any future period.

The selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information and the Company s historical consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Selected Consolidated Financial Data

A	P 4				T	21
As of or	tor i	ine '	y ear	r.naea	December	31.

	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
	(i	n thousands of do	llars, except per	share amounts	
Consolidated Statement of Income Data	· ·		´ • •		
Net Revenue:					
Financial Advisory (a)	\$ 864,812	\$ 655,200	\$ 690,967	\$ 532,896	\$ 551,356
Asset Management (b)	466,188	417,166	350,348	454,683	410,237
Corporate (c)	(29,558)	22,464	11,627	4,515	(17,987)
Net Revenue (d)	1,301,442	1,094,830	1,052,942	992,094	943,606
Compensation and Benefits (e)	698,683	466,064	388,266	391,010	410,005
Other Operating Expenses	260,397	260,942	225,940	243,223	228,721
Total Operating Expenses	959,080	727,006	614,206	634,233	638,726
Operating Income from Continuing Operations	\$ 342,362	\$ 367,824	\$ 438,736	\$ 357,861	\$ 304,880
Income from Continuing Operations	\$ 161,062	\$ 251,999	\$ 307,218	\$ 277,151	\$ 258,991
Net Income (Net Income Allocable to Members of Lazard Group prior to					
May 10, 2005) (e)	\$ 143,486	\$ 246,974(f)	\$ 250,383	\$ 297,447	\$ 305,777
Net Income Per Share of Class A Common Stock from Continuing					
Operations (g):					
Basic	\$1.45				
Diluted	\$1.45				
Dividends Paid Per Share of Class A Common Stock (g)	\$0.142				
Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition Data					
Total Assets	\$ 1,910,897	\$ 3,499,224	\$ 3,257,229	\$ 2,460,725	\$ 3,569,362
Total Debt (h)	\$ 1,245,926	\$ 319,043	\$ 316,185	\$ 117,239	\$ 125,863
Mandatorily Redeemable Preferred Stock	\$	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
Stockholders (Deficiency) (2005); Members Equity (2001-2004)	\$ (870,671)	\$ 384,798	\$ 535,725	\$ 648,911	\$ 704,697

Notes (in thousands of dollars):

(a) Financial Advisory net revenue consists of the following:

For the Year Ended December 31,

	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
M&A	\$674,543	\$481,726	\$419,967	\$393,082	\$492,083
Financial Restructuring	103,404	96,100	244,600	124,800	55,200
Other Financial Advisory	86,865	77,374	26,400	15,014	4,073
Financial Advisory Net Revenue	\$864,812	\$655,200	\$690,967	\$532,896	\$551,356

(b) Asset Management net revenue consists of the following:

For the Year Ended December 31,

	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
Management and Other Fees	\$389,414	\$357,229	\$284,565	\$344,044	\$353,507
Incentive Fees	44,627	27,354	38,225	73,427	24,000
Other Income	32,147	32,583	27,558	37,212	32,730
Asset Management Net Revenue	\$466,188	\$417,166	\$350,348	\$454,683	\$410,237

- (c) Corporate includes interest income (net of interest expense), investment income from certain long-term investments and net money market revenue earned by LFB, including, for periods subsequent to May 10, 2005, the net incremental interest expense related to the financing transactions.
- (d) Net revenue is presented after reductions for dividends relating to the Company s mandatory redeemable preferred stock issued in March 2001. Preferred dividends are reflected in corporate net revenue and amounted to (\$8,000), \$8,000, \$8,000 and \$6,312 in the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The year ended December 31, 2005 includes a credit of \$8,000, which represents accrued dividends on the Company s mandatory redeemable preferred stock which was redeemed and cancelled pursuant to the redemption of membership interests of historical partners.
- (e) Excludes, as applicable, with respect to periods ended prior to May 10, 2005, (i) payments for services rendered by Lazard Group s managing directors, which, as a result of Lazard Group operating as a limited liability company, historically had been accounted for as distributions from members capital, or in some cases as minority interest, rather than as compensation and benefits expense, and (ii) U.S. corporate federal income taxes, since Lazard Group has operated in the U.S. as a limited liability company that was treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes.
- (f) Net income allocable to members for the year ended December 31, 2004 is shown after an extraordinary gain of \$5,507 related to the January 2004 acquisition of the assets of Panmure Gordon.
- (g) Data presented is for the period subsequent to May 10, 2005, the date of the Company s equity public offering.
- (h) Total debt amounts relate to the Company s continuing operations and represents the aggregate amount reflected in the Company s historical consolidated statements of financial condition relating to senior borrowings, capital lease obligations and subordinated borrowings.

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with Lazard Ltd s historical consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that are subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties. Actual results and the timing of events may differ significantly from those expressed or implied in such forward-looking statements due to a number of factors, including those set forth in the section entitled Risk Factors and elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

The separation and recapitalization transactions were completed as of May 10, 2005, at which time the separated business became part of LFCM Holdings. Except as otherwise expressly noted, this annual report on Form 10-K, including this Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (the MD&A), the historical consolidated financial data of Lazard Group and Lazard Ltd, reflect the historical results of operations and financial position of Lazard Group and Lazard Ltd, and includes the separated businesses in discontinued operations. In addition to other adjustments, the unaudited pro forma financial data included in this Form 10-K reflect financial data of Lazard Group and Lazard Ltd giving effect to the separation, as well as other adjustments made as a result of the equity public offering, the financing transactions and the recapitalization.

Historical results of operations are reported as a historical partnership until the equity public offering on May 10, 2005 and do not include, among other things, payments for services rendered by managing directors as compensation expense and a provision for U.S. federal income taxes. Such payments and tax provisions are included in subsequent periods. Therefore, historical results for periods prior to the equity public offering on May 10, 2005 and subsequent thereto are not comparable.

Business Summary

The Company s principal sources of revenue are derived from activities in the following business segments:

Financial Advisory, which includes providing advice on mergers and acquisitions (M&A), restructurings and other financial matters, and

Asset Management which includes the management of equity and fixed income securities and merchant banking funds.

In addition, the Company records selected other activities in Corporate, including cash and marketable investments, certain long-term investments and the commercial banking activities of Lazard Group s Paris-based Lazard Frères Banque SA (LFB). LFB is a registered bank regulated by the Banque de France. LFB s primary commercial banking operations include the management of the treasury positions of the Company s Paris House through its money market desk and, to a lesser extent, credit activities relating to securing loans granted to clients of Lazard Frères Gestion SAS (LFG) and custodial oversight over assets of various clients. In addition, LFB also operates many support functions of the Paris House. The Company also allocates outstanding indebtedness to Corporate. Accordingly, following the equity public offering, the indebtedness and interest expense related to the financing transactions is accounted for as part of Corporate.

Prior to May 10, 2005, the Company also had a business segment called Capital Markets and Other, which consisted of equity, fixed income and convertibles sales and trading, broking, research and underwriting services and merchant banking fund management activities outside of France as well as other specified non-operating assets and liabilities. The Company transferred its Capital Markets and Other segment to LFCM Holdings on May 10, 2005 and it is no longer a segment of the Company. The assets, liabilities and operating results of the former segment are

reflected as discontinued operations.

For the year ended December 31, 2005, Financial Advisory, Asset Management and Corporate contributed approximately 66%, 36% and (2)% of consolidated net revenue, respectively.

41

Business Environment

Economic and market conditions, particularly global M&A activity, can significantly affect our financial performance. Lazard operates in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risks and uncertainties emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for Lazard s management to predict all risks and uncertainties, nor can Lazard assess the impact of all factors on its business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. See the section entitled Risk Factors in this Form 10-K. Net income and revenues in any period may not be indicative of full-year results or the results of any other period and may vary significantly from year to year and quarter to quarter.

The respective source for the data contained herein relating to (i) the volume of global and trans-Atlantic completed and announced merger and acquisition transactions is Thompson Financial as of January 11, 2006, and (ii) the amount of corporate debt defaults is Moody s Investors Service, Inc., all rights reserved.

Financial Advisory

For the year ended December 31, 2005, the volume of global completed M&A transactions increased 35% versus the corresponding period ended December 31, 2004, increasing to \$2,175 billion from \$1,614 billion, respectively, with the volume of trans-Atlantic completed M&A transactions experiencing an 38% increase, increasing to \$135 billion from \$98 billion . Over the same period, the volume of global announced M&A transactions increased by 47% in 2005, to \$2,850 billion, from \$1,937 billion, and the volume of trans-Atlantic announced M&A transactions increased by 68% to \$185 billion from \$110 billion reflecting growing industry-wide activity. Over the same time frame, financial restructuring activity increased, with the amount of corporate debt defaults increasing to \$28 billion from \$16 billion. Lazard believes that its Financial Advisory business would continue to benefit from any sustained increase in M&A volume and corporate debt defaults.

Asset Management

During 2005, global stock markets increased, as evidenced by the MSCI World Index increasing by 8%. European markets were strong, with double-digit gains with the DAX, CAC 40, and FTSE 100 indices gaining 27%, 23% and 17%, respectively. Gains in the U.S. were more modest however, with the Dow Jones Industrial Average ending the year at approximately the same level as compared to December 31, 2004, and the NASDAQ and S&P 500 indices increasing 1% and 3%, respectively, during 2005. The changes in global market indices generally correspond with Lazard Group s market-related changes in its AUM.

Key Financial Measures and Indicators

Net Revenue

The majority of Lazard s Financial Advisory net revenue is earned from the successful completion of mergers, acquisitions, restructurings or similar transactions. The main driver of Financial Advisory net revenue is overall M&A and restructuring volume, particularly in the industries

and geographic markets in which Lazard focuses. In some client engagements, often those involving financially distressed companies, revenue is earned in the form of retainers and similar fees that are contractually agreed upon with each client for each assignment and are not necessarily linked to the completion of a transaction. In addition, Lazard also earns fees from providing strategic advice to clients, with such fees not being dependent on a specific transaction. Lazard s Financial Advisory segment also earns revenue from public and private securities offerings in conjunction with activities of its former Capital Markets and Other segment. In general, such fees are shared equally between Lazard s Financial Advisory and its former Capital Markets and Other segments. As a result of the consummation of the equity public offering, Lazard now has an arrangement with LFCM Holdings under which the separated Capital Markets business will continue to distribute securities in public offerings originated by Lazard s Financial Advisory business in a manner similar to its practice prior to the equity public offering.

Lazard s Asset Management segment includes LAM, LFG and merchant banking operations. Asset Management net revenue is derived from fees for investment management and advisory services provided to institutional and private clients. The main driver of Asset Management net revenue is the level of AUM, which is influenced in large part by Lazard s investment performance and by Lazard s ability to successfully attract and retain assets, as well as the broader performance of the global equity markets and, to a lesser extent, fixed income markets. As a result, fluctuations in financial markets and client asset inflows and outflows have a direct effect on Asset Management net revenue and operating income. In addition, as Lazard s AUM include significant assets that are denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars, changes in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to non-U.S. currencies will impact the value of Lazard s AUM. Fees vary with the type of assets managed, with higher fees earned on actively managed equity assets, alternative investments (such as hedge funds) and merchant banking products, and lower fees earned on fixed income and cash management products. Lazard also earns performance-based incentive fees on some investment products, such as hedge funds, merchant banking funds and other investment products. Incentive fees on hedge funds are typically calculated based on a specified percentage of a fund s net appreciation during a fiscal period and can be subject to loss carry-forward provisions in which losses incurred in the current period are applied against future period net appreciation. Incentive fees on merchant banking funds also may be earned in the form of a carried interest when profits from merchant banking investments exceed a specified threshold. Lazard s Asset Management net revenue during the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 demonstrate the volatility that incentive fees have on total net revenue.

Corporate net revenue consists primarily of investment income generated from long-term investments, including principal investments that Lazard has made in merchant banking and alternative investment funds managed by the Asset Management segment, net interest income generated by LFB, interest income related to cash and marketable investments and interest expense related to outstanding borrowings. As a result of the consummation of the equity public offering, interest expense related to the financing transactions is now included in Corporate net revenue. Corporate net revenue can fluctuate due to mark-to-market adjustments on long-term and marketable investments, changes in interest rates and in interest rate spreads earned by LFB and changes in the levels of Lazard s cash, marketable investments, long-term investments and indebtedness. Although Corporate net revenue during 2005 represented (2)% of Lazard s net revenue, total assets in this segment represented 66% of Lazard s consolidated total assets as of December 31, 2005, principally attributable to the relatively significant amounts of assets associated with LFB, and, to a lesser extent, cash, marketable investments and long-term investment balances.

Lazard expects to experience significant fluctuations in net revenue and operating income during the course of any given year. These fluctuations arise because a significant portion of Financial Advisory net revenue is earned upon the successful completion of a transaction or financial restructuring, the timing of which is uncertain and is not subject to Lazard s control. Asset Management net revenue is also subject to periodic fluctuations. Asset Management fees are generally based on AUM measured as of the end of a quarter or month, and an increase or reduction in AUM at such dates, due to market price fluctuations, currency fluctuations, net client asset flows or otherwise, will result in a corresponding increase or decrease in management fees. In addition, incentive fees earned on AUM are generally not recorded until potential uncertainties regarding the ultimate realizable amounts have been determined. For most of our funds such date is year-end, and therefore such incentive fees would be recorded in the fourth quarter of Lazard s fiscal year.

Operating Expenses

The majority of Lazard s operating expenses relate to compensation and benefits. As a limited liability company, prior to the consummation of the equity public offering on May 10, 2005 payments for services rendered by the majority of Lazard s managing directors were accounted for as distributions of members capital. In addition, subsequent to January 1, 2003, payments for services rendered by managing directors of LAM (and employee members of LAM) were accounted for as minority interest in net income. See Minority Interest. Subsequent to the consummation of the equity public offering, Lazard now includes all payments for services rendered by its managing directors, including the managing directors of LAM and distributions to profit

Table of Contents 85

43

participation members, in compensation and benefits expense. As a result, Lazard s compensation and benefits expense and operating income for the year ended December 31, 2005 have reflected only those payments for services rendered by Lazard s managing directors for the period May 10, 2005 through December 31, 2005.

The balance of Lazard s operating expenses is referred to below as non-compensation expense, which includes costs for premises and occupancy, professional fees, travel and entertainment, communications and information services, equipment, depreciation and amortization and other expenses.

The operating expenses set forth in Consolidated Results of Operations includes the added costs Lazard incurred as a result of the equity public offering after May 10, 2005. Lazard has incurred additional expenses for, among other things, directors fees, SEC reporting and compliance, insurance, investor relations, legal, accounting and other costs associated with being a public company.

Provision for Income Taxes

Lazard has historically operated in the U.S. as a limited liability company that was treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes. As a result, Lazard has not been subject to U.S. federal income taxes. Taxes related to income earned by partnerships represent obligations of the individual partners. Outside the U.S., Lazard historically has operated principally through corporations and has been subject to local income taxes. Income taxes shown on Lazard s historical consolidated statements of income for periods prior to May 10, 2005 are attributable to taxes incurred in non-U.S. entities and to UBT attributable to Lazard s operations apportioned to New York City.

Following the equity public offering, Lazard Group is continuing to operate in the U.S. as a limited liability company treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes and remains subject to local income taxes outside the U.S. and to UBT. In addition, Lazard Ltd s corporate subsidiaries are subject to additional income taxes, which taxes are reflected in its pro forma consolidated statement of income as presented in Item 8A of this annual report on Form 10-K.

Minority Interest

Minority interest consists of a number of components. As described below, amounts recorded as minority interest for periods prior to May 10, 2005 are not comparable to amounts recorded as minority interest for periods commencing May 10, 2005.

On January 1, 2003, Lazard Group contributed net assets relating to the majority of its asset management business to form LAM, a subsidiary of Lazard Group. Upon formation of LAM, certain members of Lazard Group (including all the managing directors of LAM) who provide services to LAM contributed capital to LAM and ceased being members of Lazard Group. Following the formation of LAM, these capital interests have been included in minority interest on Lazard Group s consolidated statements of financial condition. In connection with this contribution, the LAM managing directors and other key LAM employees were granted equity units in LAM. Commencing in 2003, payments for services rendered by these individuals were accounted for as minority interest in net income in Lazard Group s consolidated statements of income. The substantial majority of such payments related to services rendered by LAM managing directors, which, in prior years, had been accounted for as distributions to members, and therefore, was not reported in consolidated statements of income for periods prior to May 10, 2005. The remainder of such payments, which related to compensation of employee members of LAM, was recorded as compensation and benefits expense in prior years consolidated statements of income. As a result of the consummation of the equity public offering, we now include all payments for

services rendered by our managing directors, including our LAM managing directors, as well as employee members of LAM, in compensation and benefits expense.

The LAM equity units entitle holders to payments in connection with selected fundamental transactions affecting Lazard Group or LAM, including a dissolution or sale of all or substantially all of the assets of Lazard

44

Group or LAM, a merger of or sale of all of the interests in LAM whereby Lazard Group ceases to own a majority of LAM or have the right to appoint a majority of the board of directors of LAM, or a non-ordinary course sale of assets by LAM that exceeds \$50 million in value. As a general matter, in connection with a fundamental transaction that triggers the LAM equity units, following the completion of such transactions the holders of the LAM equity units would be entitled in the aggregate, as of December 31, 2005, to approximately 22.8% of the net proceeds or imputed valuation of LAM in such transaction after deductions for payment of creditors of LAM and the return of LAM capital. As of December 31, 2005, LAM s capital for these purposes totaled approximately \$67 million, of which approximately \$15 million was owned by LAM managing directors and employee members, with the remainder owned by Lazard Group. These LAM equity units are not entitled to share in the operating results of LAM. A separate class of interests in LAM, which we refer to as LAM profit units, is entitled to the ordinary profit and losses of LAM, all of which are owned by Lazard Group. Accordingly, in the absence of a fundamental transaction that triggers the LAM equity units, all of LAM s net income is allocable to Lazard Group. We have no current intention to cause or otherwise trigger a fundamental transaction that would give rise to payment obligations to the holders of interests in LAM.

On and after January 1, 2006, the board of directors of LAM, a majority of which is appointed by Lazard Group, may, in its discretion, grant LAM equity interests that include profit rights to managing directors of, and other persons providing services to, LAM, as a portion of their ongoing compensation. If granted, these equity interests would be subject to specified vesting conditions. No such LAM equity interests have been granted subsequent to January 1, 2006 nor is there any present intention to do so.

Also included in minority interest in our consolidated financial statements are minority interests in various LAM-related general partnerships.

In September 2002, Lazard Group and Banca Intesa S.p.A (Intesa) announced their agreement to form a strategic alliance (the Strategic Alliance). Under the terms of this Strategic Alliance, Intesa became a 40% partner in Lazard Group s business interests in Italy in January 2003. As a result, commencing in 2003, Lazard Group has recorded minority interest to reflect Intesa s economic interest in the Italian alliance.

As of December 31, 2004, in accordance with the adoption of Financial Interpretation No. 46R for Consolidation of Certain Variable Interest Entities (FIN 46R), referred to as VIEs, Lazard Group consolidated certain VIEs in which it holds a variable interest and where Lazard Group is the primary beneficiary. Those VIEs include Lazard Group sponsored venture capital investment vehicles established in connection with our compensation plans. Accordingly, Lazard Group s consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2004 reflect minority interests associated with these VIEs. These VIEs were included with the separated businesses and, as such, are not reflected in our consolidated financial statements following the financing transactions. To the extent that we expand our merchant banking activities in the future, we expect that we may be required to consolidate additional VIEs related to such activities. The managing directors of our French business hold nominal equity interests in several of our French subsidiaries, totaling less than 0.1% of the equity interests in each such subsidiary. Accordingly, as currently constituted, these managing directors may have a role in the procedures at these subsidiaries, including the right to vote on the appointment or removal of managing directors, mergers and alterations to key provisions of their by-laws.

As described in Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, commencing May 10, 2005, the Company no longer recognizes payments for services rendered by the managing directors of LAM (and employee members of LAM) as charges to minority interest. Effective May 10, 2005, those charges are now included in compensation and benefits expense and distributions to profit participation members. In addition, commencing May 10, 2005, the Company records a charge to minority interest in net income relating to LAZ-MD Holdings ownership interest in Lazard Group (which approximated 62.4% at December 31, 2005), with such expense amounting to \$103.6 million for the period May 10, 2005 through December 31, 2005.

See Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for information regarding the components of the Company s minority interest.

45

Discontinued Operations

As described above, in connection with the separation Lazard Group transferred the Capital Markets and Other segment to LFCM Holdings as of May 10, 2005. Capital Markets and Other net revenue largely consisted of primary revenue earned from underwriting fees from securities offerings and secondary revenue earned in the form of commissions and trading profits from principal transactions in Lazard Group sequity, fixed income and convertibles businesses and underwriting and other fee revenue from corporate broking in the U.K. Lazard Group also earned fund management fees and, if applicable, carried interest incentive fees related to merchant banking funds managed as part of this former segment. Such carried interest incentive fees were earned when profits from merchant banking investments exceeded a specified threshold. In addition, this former segment generated investment income and net interest income principally from long-term investments, cash balances and securities financing transactions.

Net Income (Net Income Allocable to Members of Lazard Group Prior to May 10, 2005)

Prior to the equity public offering, payments for services rendered by Lazard Group s managing directors were accounted for as distributions from members capital, or as minority interest in net income in the case of payments to LAM managing directors and certain key LAM employee members, rather than as compensation and benefits expense. As a result, prior to May 10, 2005 Lazard Group s compensation and benefits expense and net income allocable to members, did not reflect most payments for services rendered by its managing directors. Following the consummation of the equity public offering and financing transactions, the Company now includes all payments for services rendered by its managing directors, including the managing directors of LAM and distributions to profit participation members, in compensation and benefits expense.

The table below illustrates what our compensation and benefits expense would have been on an adjusted basis for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 had the portion of distributions to members which represent payments for services rendered and our minority interest in net income related to LAM been accounted for as compensation and benefits expense. The table further illustrates the relationship between our adjusted compensation and benefits expense and our operating revenue. We define operating revenue to equal consolidated total gross revenue less (i) revenue related to the consolidation of LAM general partnerships and (ii) interest expense related to LFB, our Paris-based banking affiliate. We deduct general partnership revenue because we do not deem such revenue to be operating in nature as it is directly offset by a charge to minority interest in net income for the same amount. The interest expense incurred by LFB is also excluded from our definition of operating revenue because LFB is a commercial bank and we consider its interest expense to be a cost directly related to the conduct of its business. The remaining interest expense, however, relates to our decisions regarding the capital structure of Lazard as a whole.

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2005	2004
	(\$ in tho	usands)
Adjusted compensation and benefits		
Historical	\$698,683	\$466,064
Add (deduct):		
Portion of distributions representing payments for services rendered by managing directors (excluding		
LAM managing directors)	66,395	280,317
Portion of distributions representing payments included in minority interest for services rendered by LAM		
managing directors and employee members of LAM	9,081	73,965
Adjusted compensation and benefits	\$774,159	\$820,346

Operating revenue		
Historical total revenue	\$1,379,807	\$1,134,381
Add (deduct):		
LFB Interest expense	(19,388)	(17,843)
Revenue related to consolidation of LAM general partnerships	(2,784)	
Operating revenue	\$1,357,635	\$1,116,538
Adjusted compensation expense-to-operating revenue ratio	57.0%	73.5%

Our policy is that our compensation and benefits expense, including that payable to our managing directors, will not exceed 57.5% of operating revenue each year (although we retain the ability to change this policy in the future). In 2005, the new compensation arrangements were in effect, and our compensation expense-to-operating revenue ratio was 57.0%. We have achieved this target ratio in 2005, while continuing to maintain financial packages for our managing directors that we believe are competitive in the market place. While we have implemented such steps that we believe will maintain our on-going compensation expense-to-operating revenue ratio to the target level of 57.5%, there can be no guarantee that this will be achieved or that our policy will not change in the future. Increased competition for senior professionals, changes in the financial markets generally or other factors could prevent us from reaching this objective.

Consolidated Results of Operations

Lazard s consolidated financial statements are presented in U.S. dollars. Many of our non-U.S. subsidiaries have a functional currency (*i.e.*, the currency in which operational activities are primarily conducted) that is other than the U.S. dollar, generally the currency of the country in which the subsidiaries are domiciled. Such subsidiaries assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars using exchange rates as of the respective balance sheet date while revenue and expenses are translated at average exchange rates during the respective periods. Adjustments that result from translating amounts from a subsidiary s functional currency are reported as a component of members and stockholders equity. Foreign currency remeasurement gains and losses on transactions in non-functional currencies are included in the consolidated statements of income.

Historical results of operations are reported as an historical partnership until the equity public offering on May 10, 2005 and do not include payments for services rendered by managing directors as compensation expense and a provision for U.S. federal income taxes. Such payments and tax provisions are included in subsequent periods. Therefore, historical results for periods prior to the equity public offering on May 10, 2005 and subsequent thereto are not comparable.

47

A discussion of the Company s consolidated results of operations is set forth below, followed by a more detailed discussion of business segment results.

The consolidated results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 are set forth below:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005	2004	2003	
		(\$ in thousands)		
Net Revenue:				
Financial Advisory	\$ 864,812	\$ 655,200	\$ 690,967	
Asset Management	466,188	417,166	350,348	
Corporate	(29,558)	22,464	11,627	
Net revenue	1,301,442	1,094,830	1,052,942	
Operating Expenses:				
Compensation and benefits (and, commencing May 10, 2005, distributions to profit				
participation members)(*)	698,683	466,064	388,266	
Non-compensation expense (including, in 2005, provision under the tax receivable	090,003	400,004	366,200	
agreement of \$2,685)	260,397	260,942	225,940	
agreement of \$2,063)	200,397	200,942	223,940	
Total operating expenses	959,080	727,006	614,206	
Operating Income from Continuing Operations(*)	342,362	367,824	438,736	
Provision for income taxes(*)	58,985	28,272	36,953	
Income from Continuing Operations Before Minority Interest in Net Income(*)	283,377	339,552	401,783	
Minority interest in net income	122,315	87,553	94,565	
Income from Continuing Operations(*)	161,062	251,999	307,218	
Loss from Discontinued Operations(*)	(17,576)	(10,532)	(56,835)	
Extraordinary Gain	(17,370)	. , ,	(30,633)	
Extraoranary Gain		5,507		
Net Income (Net Income Allocable to Members of Lazard Group Prior to May 10,				
2005)(*)	\$ 143,486	\$ 246,974	\$ 250,383	

^(*) Excludes, as applicable, with respect to periods ended prior to May 10, 2005 (a) payments for services rendered by Lazard Group s managing directors, which, as a result of Lazard Group operating as a limited liability company, historically had been accounted for as distributions from members capital, or in some cases as minority interest, rather than as compensation and benefits expense, and (b) U.S. corporate federal income taxes, since Lazard Group has operated in the U.S. as a limited liability company that was treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Certain key ratios, statistics and headcount information for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 are set forth below:

Year	Year Ended December 31,		
2005	2004	2003	
66%	60%	66%	
36	38	33	
(2)	2	1	
100%	100%	100%	
2607-	2.407-	42%	
2070	3170	1270	
A	s of December 31	,	
2005	s of December 31	2003*	
		<u> </u>	
2005	2004*	2003*	
2005	2004*	2003*	
2005 123 38	2004* 127 33	2003* 	
2005 123 38 8	2004* 127 33 6	2003* 111 22 5	
2005 123 38 8	2004* 127 33 6	2003* 111 22 5	
2005 123 38 8 5	2004* 127 33 6 19	2003* 111 22 5 22	
2005 123 38 8 5	2004* 127 33 6 19 786	2003* 111 22 5 22 773	
	2005 66% 36	2005 2004 66% 60% 36 38 (2) 2 100% 100%	

^{*} After giving effect to the separation

Year Ended December 31, 2005 versus December 31, 2004

Net revenue was \$1,301 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, up \$207 million, or 19%, versus net revenue of \$1,095 million for 2004. During 2005, Financial Advisory net revenue was \$865 million, an increase of \$210 million or 32% versus net revenue of \$655 million in 2004, with M&A net revenue having increased by \$193 million or 40% and Financial Restructuring net revenue having increased by \$7 million or 8%. Asset Management net revenue was \$466 million, an increase of \$49 million or 12%, versus net revenue of \$417 million in 2004, with management fees having increased by \$32 million or 9% and incentive fees having increased by \$17 million or 63%. Corporate net revenue decreased by \$52 million.

The increase in M&A net revenues is a result of both strong M&A performance, consistent with increased industry-wide completed mergers and acquisitions activity and our increased productivity. Lazard s M&A Group advised on a number of 2005 s major completed transactions, including Nextel s \$43 billion merger with Sprint, Mitsubishi Tokyo Financial s \$41 billion acquisition of UFJ Holdings and Telecom Italia s \$29 billion acquisition of Telecom Italia Mobile, which represented three of the five largest completed transactions in 2005. Financial Restructuring net revenue increased as a result of our involvement in 2005 in six of the seven largest Chapter 11 bankruptcies. In addition, we advised Charter Communications on one of the largest debt for debt exchange offers consummated in the high yield markets, assisted the UAW in its negotiations with General Motors in restructuring General Motors post retirement health care obligations and Parmalat on the largest Italian corporate restructuring to-date.

The increase in Asset Management net revenue is principally attributed to a \$5.8 billion, or 7%, increase in average AUM during 2005. AUM growth was largely due to market appreciation of \$7.4 billion, which more than offset net outflows of \$4.2 billion and the negative impact of foreign currency adjustments of \$1.4 billion.

49

During 2005, our management fees increased by 9%, along with a 63% increase in incentive fees earned in 2005 as compared to 2004. Although there were net outflows in AUM during 2005, several products experienced significant new inflows, particularly in Emerging Market, Global Thematic Equity, U.S. Midcap Equity and European Fixed Income products.

The decrease in Corporate net revenue is principally due to (i) interest expense on financings associated with the issuance of debt and equity security units that occurred on May 10, 2005 in connection with the equity public offering and recapitalization, with such incremental interest expense related thereto for the period May 10, 2005 through December 31, 2005 approximating \$38 million, and (ii) the net change in investment gains and losses in 2005 versus 2004.

Compensation and benefits expense was \$699 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, an increase of \$233 million, or 50%, versus expense of \$466 million for 2004. The expense increase was primarily due to the Company's inclusion, for the period subsequent to the consummation of the equity public offering, of all payments for services rendered by our managing directors in compensation and benefits expense, including distributions to profit participation members and payments for services rendered by managing directors of LAM (and employee members of LAM) the latter of which previously had been accounted for as minority interest in net income, partially offset by reductions in pension and post-retirement health benefit costs of approximately \$35 million. Headcount (including managing directors and all other employees) as of December 31, 2005 was 2,191 down 148 versus headcount as of December 31, 2004, representing reductions principally in support personnel and, to a lesser extent, Financial Advisory. Asset Management headcount increased as a result of hirings in selected growth areas.

Non-compensation expense was \$260 million in the year ended December 31, 2005, compared with \$261 million in 2004. For the year ended December 31, 2005, non-compensation expense included a \$2.7 million provision related to a tax receivable agreement with LFCM Holdings, with no comparable provision recorded in 2004 (see Note 16 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). Other operating expenses were \$258 million or 19% of operating revenue of \$1,358 million in the year ended December 31, 2005, compared with \$261 million or 23% of operating revenue or \$1,117 million in 2004. The decrease in the year-to-date ratio is due to the operating leverage from higher operating revenues along with decreases in non-compensation expense. Premises and occupancy expenses in 2005 were \$69 million, a decrease of approximately \$6 million, or 7%, due primarily to less occupied space in London and lower refurbishment costs in the U.S. Professional fees in 2005 were \$51 million, up approximately \$3 million, or 5%, versus the corresponding 2004 period principally due to increased costs associated with being a public company. Travel and entertainment expenses in 2005 were \$44 million, a decrease of \$1 million, or 2%, versus 2004. Communication and information services and equipment costs in 2005, in the aggregate, were \$50 million, a decrease of approximately of \$1 million, or 1%, versus 2004. Other expenses were \$43 million, an increase of approximately \$1 million, or 3%, versus \$42 million for 2004 primarily due to increased costs incurred as a result of being a public company.

Operating income was \$342 million for 2005, a decrease of \$25 million, or 7% lower than operating income of \$368 million for 2004. Operating income as a percentage of net revenue was 26% for 2005 versus 34% for 2004, with the decrease in operating income and the relative margin primarily resulting from the increase in compensation and benefits expense described above partially offset by the increase in revenues.

Provision for income taxes was \$59 million for 2005, an increase of \$31 million versus \$28 million for 2004, due to increased profitability in locations that are subject to corporate income taxes and additional entity level income taxes incurred in Lazard Ltd.

Minority interest in net income was \$122 million for 2005, an increase of \$34 million versus \$88 million for 2004, principally due to the minority interest in net income related to LAZ-MD Holdings ownership interest (62.4% as of December 31, 2005) of Lazard Group, commencing May 10, 2005. This increase was partially offset by the compensation for LAM members now being recorded in compensation and benefits expense

commencing with the consummation of the equity public offering on May 10, 2005, while, prior thereto, such amounts were recorded in minority interest in net income. See Minority Interest. As described above, amounts recorded as minority interest in net income for periods prior to May 10, 2005 are not comparable to amounts recorded as minority interest in net income for periods commencing May 10, 2005.

Net losses from discontinued operations were \$18 million for 2005, versus net losses of \$11 million for 2004.

Year ended December 31, 2004 versus December 31, 2003

For the year ended December 31, 2004, net revenue was \$1,095 million, up \$42 million, or 4%, versus net revenue of \$1,053 million for 2003. During 2004, M&A net revenue increased by 15%, Financial Restructuring net revenue decreased by 61%, while Asset Management net revenue increased by 19%.

The increase in M&A net revenue in 2004 was a result of strong M&A performance, consistent with increased industry-wide completed mergers and acquisition activity and our increased productivity. Lazard s M&A Group generated significant fees from the following clients: Air Liquide, Bank One, Fisher Scientific, Intesa, Interbrew, MG Technologies, Pfizer, Pirelli, Resolution Life, UCB and Veolia Environment. The decrease in Financial Restructuring net revenue in 2004 was principally due to the 53% decline in the amount of corporate debt defaults.

The increase in Asset Management s net revenue was principally attributed to a \$13.9 billion, or 21% increase in average AUM during 2004. AUM growth was largely due to market appreciation. During 2004, our management fees increased by \$73 million and other income increased by \$5 million, partially offset by a decrease in incentive fees of \$11 million.

Compensation and benefits expense was \$466 million for 2004, an increase of \$78 million, or 20%, versus expense of \$388 million in 2003. The expense increase was primarily due to increases in performance-based bonus accruals, new service groups operating for the full year in 2004, and increased pension costs in the U.S. and Europe. Headcount (including managing directors and all other employees) as of December 31, 2004 was 2,339, down 27 versus headcount as of December 31, 2003, however, the composition changed with decreases in headcount in Financial Advisory and Corporate being offset by increased headcount in Asset Management.

Non-compensation expense was \$261 million for 2004, an increase of \$35 million, or 15%, versus expense of \$226 million for 2003. Premises and occupancy expenses were \$74 million, an increase of \$13 million, or 20%, due to higher occupancy costs in the U.S. and Europe for offices that were not operating for the full year in 2003. Professional fees were \$49 million, an increase of \$1 million, or 2%, versus \$48 million for 2003 primarily due to costs incurred in connection with the equity public offering, partially offset by lower consulting fees. Travel and entertainment expenses were \$45 million, an increase of \$7 million, or 17%, versus \$38 million for 2003, due to increased business development efforts. Communication and information services and equipment costs, in the aggregate, were \$51 million, an increase of \$8 million, or 18%, versus \$43 million for 2003 due to increased software maintenance expense and additional technology related spending in certain offices in the U.S. and Europe. Other expenses were \$42 million, an increase of \$7 million versus 2003, with no one business activity accounting for a significant portion of the increase.

Operating income from continuing operations was \$368 million for 2004, a decrease of \$71 million, or 16%, versus operating income from continuing operations of \$439 million for 2003. Operating income as a percentage of net revenue was 34% for 2004 versus 42% for 2003.

Provision for income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2004 was \$28 million, a decrease of \$9 million versus \$37 million for 2003 due to decreased profitability in locations that are subject to corporate income taxes.

Minority interest in net income for the year ended December 31, 2004, was \$88 million, a decrease of \$7 million versus \$95 million for 2003, principally due to a decrease in minority interest associated with LAM related general partnerships and with the Italian strategic alliance, partially offset by an increase in the performance-based compensation for LAM members. See Minority Interest.

51

Net losses from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2004, were \$11 million versus net losses of \$57 million in 2003.

Business Segments

The following data discusses net revenue and operating income for the Company s continuing operations by business segment. The operating results exclude a discussion of Corporate, due to its relatively minor contribution to operating results. Each segment s operating expenses include (i) compensation and benefits expenses that are incurred directly in support of the businesses and (ii) other operating expenses, which include directly incurred expenses for premises and occupancy, professional fees, travel and entertainment, communications and information services, equipment, and indirect support costs (including compensation and benefits expense and other operating expenses related thereto) for administrative services. Such administrative services include, but are not limited to, accounting, tax, legal, facilities management and senior management activities. Such support costs are allocated to the relevant segments based on various statistical drivers such as, among other items, headcount, square footage and transactional volume.

Financial Advisory

The following table summarizes the operating results of the Financial Advisory segment.

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005	2003		
M&A	\$ 674,543	(\$ in thousands) \$ 481,726	\$ 419,967	
Financial Restructuring	103,404	96,100	244,600	
Corporate Finance and Other	86,865	77,374	26,400	
Net Revenue	864,812	655,200	690,967	
Direct Compensation and Benefits and, commencing May 10, 2005, distributions to				
profit participation members	394,368	230,340	189,823	
Other Operating Expenses(a)	194,656	213,342	190,427	
Total Operating Expenses	589,024	443,682	380,250	
Operating Income	\$ 275,788	\$ 211,518	\$ 310,717	
	,	,		
Operating Income as a Percentage of Net Revenue	32%	32%	45%	
Operating medice as a recentage of Net Revenue	3270	3270	73 /	
	·			
	1	As of December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003	

Edgar Filing: Lazard Ltd - Form 10-K

Headcount (b):			
Managing Directors	123	127	111
Limited Managing Directors	2	4	7
Other Employees:			
Business segment professionals	490	512	508
All other professionals and support staff	304	320	340
Total	919	963	966

⁽a) Includes indirect support costs (including compensation and benefits expense and other operating expenses related thereto).

⁽b) Excludes headcount related to indirect support functions. Such headcount is included in the Corporate headcount.

Net revenue trends in Financial Advisory for M&A and Financial Restructuring generally are correlated to the volume of completed industry-wide mergers and acquisitions activity and restructurings occurring subsequent to corporate debt defaults, respectively. However, deviations from this relationship can occur in any given year for a number of reasons. For instance, material variances in the level of mergers and acquisitions activity in a particular geography where Lazard has significant market share or the number of its advisory engagements with respect to larger-sized transactions can cause its results to diverge from industry-wide activity. Certain Lazard client statistics and global industry statistics are set forth below:

Year Ended December 31,		
2005	2004	2003
484	435	370
184	136	137
20%	25%	30%
39	31	29
\$ 2,175	\$ 1,614	\$ 1,203
135	98	94
28	16	34
	2005 484 184 20% 39 \$ 2,175 135	2005 2004 484 435 184 136 20% 25% 39 31 \$ 2,175 \$ 1,614 135 98

- (a) There were no individual clients that constituted more than 10% of our Financial Advisory segment net revenue in the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 or 2003.
- (b) Source: Thomson Financial as of January 11, 2006
- (c) Source: Moody s Investor Service, Inc.

The geographical distribution of Financial Advisory net revenue is set forth below in percentage terms. The offices that generate Financial Advisory net revenue are located in North America, Europe (principally in the U.K., France, Italy and Germany) and the rest of the world (principally in Asia).

	Year	Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003	
North America	48%	45%	49%	
Europe	50	54	50	
Rest of World	2	1	1	
Total	100%	100%	100%	

The Company s managing directors and many of its professionals have significant experience, and many of them are able to use this experience to advise on both mergers and acquisitions and financial restructuring transactions, depending on clients needs. This flexibility allows Lazard to better match its professional staff with the counter-cyclical business cycles of mergers and acquisitions and financial restructurings. While Lazard measures revenue by practice area, Lazard does not separately measure the separate costs or profitability of mergers and acquisitions services as compared to financial restructuring services. Accordingly, Lazard measures performance in its Financial Advisory segment based on

overall segment net revenue and operating income margins.

Financial Advisory Results of Operations

Year ended December 31, 2005 versus December 31, 2004

During 2005, Financial Advisory net revenue was \$865 million, an increase of \$210 million or 32% versus net revenue of \$655 million in 2004. In 2005, M&A net revenue of \$675 million increased \$193 million, or 40% versus 2004, driven by the improved productivity of our managing directors and the improved environment for

53

mergers and acquisitions activity. The increase in M&A net revenue was also accompanied by an approximate \$7 million, or 8%, increase in Financial Restructuring net revenue versus 2004. Corporate Finance and Other net revenue increased by \$9 million or 12% principally as a result of a higher level of private equity fund raising.

Lazard s M&A Group advised on a number of 2005 s major completed transactions, including Nextel s \$43 billion merger with Sprint, Mitsubishi Tokyo Financial s \$41 billion acquisition of UFJ Holdings and Telecom Italia s \$29 billion acquisition of Telecom Italia Mobile, which represented three of the five largest completed transactions in 2005. Financial Restructuring net revenue increased as a result of our involvement in 2005 in six of the seven largest Chapter 11 bankruptcies. In addition, we advised Charter Communications on one of the largest debt for debt exchange offers consummated in the high yield markets, assisted the UAW in its negotiations with General Motors in restructuring General Motors post retirement health care obligations and Parmalat on the largest Italian corporate restructuring to-date. Other clients with whom Lazard Group transacted significant business during 2005 included Air Transportation Stabilization Board, Alcan, Danone, National Australia Bank, Pirelli, Telesystem International Wireless and Wellchoice.

Operating expenses were \$589 million in 2005 period, an increase of \$145 million, or 33%, versus operating expenses of \$444 million in 2004. Compensation and benefits expense increased by \$164 million or 71% as compared to 2004. The increase was principally due to the inclusion, for the period subsequent to the consummation of the equity public offering, of all payments for services rendered by our managing directors, including distributions to profit participation members, in compensation and benefits expense. In addition, bonuses to employees increased due to increased revenue and profitability in 2005. Partially offsetting these increases were decreases relating to lower headcount, lower pension and health costs, including an additional \$5 million relating to the termination in 2004 of a post-retirement medical plan in Europe. Other operating expenses decreased by \$19 million or 9% due to lower premises and occupancy expense of \$6 million, lower travel and entertainment of \$2 million, lower support group allocations of \$8 million, and all other cost categories of \$3 million. Premises and occupancy expense decreased due to less occupied space in London, lower refurbishment costs in the U.S. and lower lease costs in Paris. Travel and entertainment expense decreased partially due to increased amounts billable to clients. Support group allocations to Financial Advisory were also lower principally due to various cost containment programs implemented in 2005.

Financial Advisory operating income was \$276 million in 2005, an increase of \$64 million or 30%, versus operating income of \$212 million for 2004. Operating income as a percentage of segment net revenue was 32% for 2005 versus 32% in 2004, with the increase in recorded compensation expense in the 2005 period being offset by the leverage resulting from higher revenues.

Year ended December 31, 2004 versus December 31, 2003

In 2004, M&A net revenue increased by \$62 million, or 15%, driven by the improved environment for mergers and acquisitions activity. The increase in M&A net revenue was offset by a decrease of \$149 million, or 61%, in Financial Restructuring net revenue versus 2003, consistent with the decline in global corporate debt defaults that began in 2003. Other Financial Advisory net revenue increased by \$51 million primarily due to net revenue generated from a new service that raises capital for private equity funds that commenced operations in 2003, as well as increased underwriting net revenue in corporate finance activities.

Clients with whom Lazard generated significant fees in 2004 included Air Liquide, Bank One, Fisher Scientific, Intesa, Interbrew, MG Technologies, National Energy & Gas, Pfizer, Pirelli, Resolution Life, UCB and Veolia Environment.

Operating expenses were \$444 million for 2004, an increase of \$64 million, or 17%, versus operating expenses of \$380 million in 2003. Direct compensation and benefits expense increased by \$41 million, or 21%. While changes in compensation are generally correlated to changes in

employee headcount, the timing and composition of such headcount changes may have a direct impact on the level of any given year s compensation

54

and benefit expense. More specifically, in 2004, while total employee headcount in the Financial Advisory segment remained relatively flat, compensation and benefits expense increased primarily due to an increase in headcount in certain of our offices and in new offices or new service groups that were partially or not operational in 2003, and increased pension costs in the U.S. and Europe, partially offset by a \$4 million decrease related to the termination of a post-retirement medical plan in Europe. Other operating expenses increased by \$23 million, or 12%, due to increases in premises and occupancy expense of \$7 million, travel and entertainment expense of \$4 million, communications, information services and equipment of \$2 million and all other expenses, which in the aggregate increased by \$10 million. Premises and occupancy expense increased due to higher occupancy costs in Europe as well as in the U.S. for offices that were not operating for the full year in 2003. Travel and entertainment expense increased due to business development efforts. Communications, information services and equipment expense increased due to additional technology and equipment expense in certain offices in the U.S. and Europe and technology upgrades in the U.S.

Financial Advisory operating income was \$212 million for 2004, a decrease of \$99 million, or 32%, versus operating income of \$311 million for 2003. Operating income as a percentage of segment net revenue was 32% for 2004 versus 45% in 2003.

Asset Management

The following table shows the composition of AUM for the Asset Management segment:

	A	As of December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003	
		(\$ in millions)		
AUM:				
International Equities	\$ 42,104	\$ 39,267	\$ 34,389	
Global Equities	15,872	17,762	15,922	
U.S. Equities	12,920	12,716	12,236	
Total Equities	70,896	69,745	62,547	
•		<u> </u>		
International Fixed Income	6,604	6,226	5,174	
Global Fixed Income	2,135	2,008	1,932	
U.S. Fixed Income	2,374	2,970	4,393	
Total Fixed Income	11,113	11,204	11,499	
Alternative Investments	3,394	2,800	1,370	
Merchant Banking	826	551	411	
Cash Management	2,005	2,135	2,544	
Total AUM	\$ 88,234	\$ 86,435	\$ 78,371	

Average AUM for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 is set forth below. Average AUM is based on an average of quarterly ending balances for the respective periods.

Year Ended December 31,	Year
2004 2003	2005
(\$ in millions)	
6,106 \$ 80,261 \$ 66,321	\$ 86,106

The following is a summary of changes in AUM for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003.

	Year	Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003	
		(\$ in millions)		
AUM Beginning of Period	\$ 86,435	\$ 78,371	\$ 63,685	
Net Flows	(4,198)	(3,489)	(1,111)	
Market Appreciation	7,355	10,793	14,457	
Foreign Currency Adjustments	(1,358)	760	1,340	
AUM End of Period	\$ 88,234	\$ 86,435	\$ 78,371	

AUM as of December 31, 2005 was \$88.2 billion, up \$1.8 billion from AUM of \$86.4 billion as of December 31, 2004. During the year ended December 31, 2005, market appreciation of \$7.4 billion was partially offset by net outflows of \$4.2 billion and the negative impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates of \$1.4 billion. Net outflows were experienced primarily in International and Global Equities, and were partially offset by new inflows, particularly in Emerging Market, Global Thematic Equity, U.S. Midcap Equity and European Fixed Income products. While net outflows occurred during 2005, the fourth quarter experienced modest net inflows of approximately \$150 million.

During 2005, average AUM was \$86.1 billion, an increase of approximately \$5.8 billion, or 7%, versus \$80.3 billion in 2004.

The following table summarizes the operating results of the Asset Management segment.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
		(\$ in thousands)	
Management Fees	\$ 389,414	\$ 357,229	\$ 284,565
Incentive Fees	44,627	27,354	38,225
Other Income	32,147	32,583	27,558
Net Revenue	466,188	417,166	350,348
Direct Compensation and Benefits, and, commencing May 10, 2005, distributions to			
profit participant members	199,600	134,097	108,701
Other Operating Expenses(a)	150,201	147,932	131,187
Total Operating Expenses	349,801	282,029	239,888
Operating Income	\$ 116,387	\$ 135,137	\$ 110,460

25%	
-----	--

32%

32%

		As of December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003	
Headcount(b):				
Managing Directors	38	33	22	
Limited Managing Directors	2	2	2	
Other Employees:				
Business segment professionals	276	261	253	
All other professionals and support staff functions	327	320	318	
Total	643	616	595	

⁽a) Includes indirect support costs (including compensation and benefits expense and other operating expenses related thereto).

⁽b) Excludes headcount related to indirect support functions. Such headcount is included in the Corporate headcount.

There were no individual clients that constituted more than 10% of our Asset Management segment net revenue for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 or 2003.

The geographical distribution of Asset Management net revenue is set forth below in percentage terms:

		Year Ended December 31,		
	20	05	2004	2003
North America		59%	59%	63%
Europe		33	33	30
Rest of World		8	8	7
	-	_		
Total	10	00%	100%	100%

Asset Management Results of Operations

Year Ended December 31, 2005 versus December 31, 2004

Asset Management net revenue was \$466 million in 2005, an increase of \$49 million, or 12%, versus net revenue of \$417 million in 2004. Management fees for 2005 were \$389 million, up \$32 million, or 9%, versus 2004, generally consistent with the 7% increase in average AUM in 2005. Incentive fees earned in 2005 were \$45 million, an increase of \$18 million versus \$27 million recorded in 2004 due to better performance in certain funds that provide for such incentive fees. Other income was \$32 million, in 2005, flat versus 2004.

Operating expenses were \$350 million for 2005, an increase of \$68 million, or 24%, versus operating expenses of \$282 million in 2004. Compensation and benefits expense increased by \$66 million or 49% as compared to 2004. The increase was principally due to the inclusion, for periods subsequent to the consummation of the equity public offering, of all payments for services rendered by managing directors of LAM (and employee members of LAM) including distributions to profit participation members, in compensation and benefits expense which had previously been accounted for as minority interest in net income. Partially offsetting these increases were decreases relating to lower pension costs. Other operating expenses increased in 2005 by \$2 million or 2% due to higher professional fees of \$4 million, higher travel and entertainment of \$1 million, and higher other expenses of \$2 million, offset by lower premises and occupancy of \$1 million and support group allocations of \$4 million. Professional fees increased primarily due to marketing consulting fees and travel and entertainment increased due to increased business development activities. Premises and occupancy expense decreased as a result of lower lease costs in Paris. Support group allocations to Asset Management in 2005 were lower principally due to various cost containment programs that were implemented in 2005.

Asset Management operating income was \$116 million in 2005, a decrease of \$19 million, or 14%, versus operating income of \$135 million in 2004. Operating income as a percentage of segment net revenue was 25% for 2005 versus 32% in 2004, with the decline in 2005 attributable to the increase in recorded compensation expense in 2005 as described above, partially offset by higher revenues in 2005.

Year Ended December 31, 2004 versus December 31, 2003

Asset Management net revenue was \$417 million in 2004, an increase of \$67 million, or 19%, versus net revenue of \$350 million in 2003. Management fees in 2004 were \$357 million, up \$73 million, or 26%, versus 2003. For 2004, average AUM increased by approximately \$13.9 billion, or 21%, versus 2003. Management Fees grew at a faster rate than average AUM primarily due to a greater percentage of AUM concentrated in equity and alternative investments versus fixed income products (84% of total AUM in 2004 as compared to 82% in 2003), which generally earn higher management fees. Incentive fees earned in 2004 were \$27 million, a decrease of \$11 million versus \$38 million in 2003, due to lower performance in certain investment funds. Other income in 2004 was \$33 million, up \$5 million versus 2003.

57

AUM as of December 31, 2004 was \$86.4 billion, an increase of \$8.1 billion, or 10%, versus AUM of \$78.4 billion as of December 31, 2003. During 2004, the increase in AUM was primarily due to market appreciation of \$10.8 billion that more than offset net outflows of \$3.5 billion. Net outflows were principally related to performance related withdrawals, asset allocation decisions and corporate restructurings.

Operating expenses were \$282 million in 2004, an increase of \$42 million, or 18%, versus operating expenses of \$240 million in 2003. Direct compensation and benefits expense increased by \$25 million, or 23%, versus 2003, primarily due to increases in performance-based bonuses relating to the increased operating results and to a lesser extent, increases in headcount to support global growth. Other operating expenses increased by \$17 million, or 13%, versus 2003 principally due to increases in premises and occupancy expense of \$3 million, travel and entertainment expense of \$2 million, equipment expense of \$2 million, and all other expenses which, in the aggregate, increased \$10 million.

Asset Management operating income was \$135 million in 2004, an increase of \$25 million, or 22%, versus operating income of \$110 million for 2003. Operating income as a percentage of segment net revenue was 32% in both 2004 and 2003.

Cash Flows

The Company s cash flows are influenced primarily by the timing of receipt of Financial Advisory and Asset Management fees, the timing of distributions to shareholders and payment of bonuses to employees. The accounts receivables collection period generally approximates 60 days. However, the collection time for restructuring transactions may extend beyond 60 days, particularly those that involve bankruptcies due to issues such as court-ordered holdbacks. In addition, fee receivables from our private fund advisory activities are generally collected over a four year period.

Cash and cash equivalents were \$492 million at December 31, 2005, an increase of \$187 million versus cash and cash equivalents of \$306 million at December 31, 2004. During the year ended December 31, 2005, cash of \$894 million was provided by operating activities, including (i) \$143 million from net income, (ii) \$132 million of noncash charges, principally consisting of depreciation and amortization of \$15 million relating to property, \$2 million relating to the amortization of deferred expenses and restricted stock units, minority interest in net income of approximately \$122 million, partially offset by \$8 million of deferred tax benefits, and (iii) \$619 million being provided by net changes in other operating assets and operating liabilities. Cash of \$4 million was provided from investing activities, principally from net disposals of property. Financing activities and exchange rate changes during the year used cash of \$698 million and \$13 million, respectively, primarily for the redemption of historical partner interests and other distributions to historical partners, members, separated businesses and minority interest holders, with these items partially offset by proceeds from the financing transactions. The Company traditionally makes payments for employee bonuses and distributions to members and minority interest holders primarily in the first four months of the year with respect to the prior year s results.

Cash and cash equivalents were \$306 million at December 31, 2004, an increase of \$64 million versus cash and cash equivalents of \$242 million at December 31, 2003. During 2004, cash of \$534 million was provided by operating activities, including (i) \$247 million from net income allocable to members, (ii) \$105 million of noncash charges, principally consisting of depreciation and amortization of \$17 million, \$1 million of deferred tax provision and minority interest in net income of \$87 million, and (iii) \$182 million being provided by net changes in other operating assets and operating liabilities. Cash of \$10 million was used for investing activities principally related to net additions to property. Financing activities during the year used \$470 million of cash, primarily for distributions to members and minority interest holders of \$469 million. Exchange rate changes provided approximately \$10 million of cash in 2004.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically, the Company s source of liquidity has been cash provided by operations, with a traditional seasonal pattern of cash flow. While employee salaries are paid throughout the year, annual discretionary bonuses have historically been paid to employees in January following year-end. The Company s managing directors are paid a salary during the year, but a majority of their annual cash distributions with respect to the prior year have historically been paid to them in three monthly installments in February, March and April following year-end. In addition, and to a lesser extent, during the year we pay certain tax advances on behalf of our managing directors, and these advances serve to reduce the amounts due to the managing directors in the three installments described above. As a consequence, our level of cash on hand generally decreases significantly during the first four months of the year and gradually builds up over the remainder of the year. We expect this seasonal pattern of cash flow to continue.

Lazard s consolidated financial statements are presented in U.S. dollars. Many of Lazard s non-U.S. subsidiaries have a functional currency, *i.e.*, the currency in which operational activities are primarily conducted, that is other than the U.S. dollar, generally the currency of the country in which such subsidiaries are domiciled. Such subsidiaries assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars at the respective balance sheet date exchange rates, while revenue and expenses are translated at average exchange rates during the year. Adjustments that result from translating amounts from a subsidiary s functional currency are reported as a component of members equity/stockholders deficiency. Such currency translation adjustments served to increase (decrease) members equity/stockholders deficiency by approximately \$(47) million, \$30 million and \$51 million in the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Foreign currency remeasurement gains and losses on transactions in non-functional currencies are included on the consolidated statements of income.

During 2004 and 2003, following the hiring of new senior management, Lazard invested significant amounts in the recruitment and retention of senior professionals in an effort to reinvest in the intellectual capital of Lazard s business. As a result, in 2004 and 2003, distributions to its managing directors exceeded Lazard s net income allocable to members. The amounts of the distributions that exceeded net income allocable to members were the primary cause for a decrease in members equity during these periods. As of December 31, 2004, Lazard s members equity was \$385 million. As of December 31, 2005, Lazard s stockholders deficiency was \$871 million. The reduction in 2005 of approximately \$1.26 billion was primarily the result of the redemption of historical partner interests (\$1.52 billion), the distribution of the net assets of the separated businesses (\$243 million) and a distribution to LAZ-MD Holdings and LFCM Holdings (\$150 million). These items more than offset the increase in stockholders equity associated with the equity public offering (\$872 million) and all other stockholders equity activity.

We regularly monitor our liquidity position, including cash levels, credit lines, principal investment commitments, interest and principal payments on debt, capital expenditures and matters relating to liquidity and to compliance with regulatory net capital requirements. We maintain lines of credit in excess of anticipated liquidity requirements. As of December 31, 2005, Lazard had \$179 million in unused lines of credit available to it, including \$48 million of unused lines of credit available to LFB. This amount excludes a \$25 million credit line to Lazard Frères & Co. LLC that is currently covered by a commitment letter described below.

Lazard s annual cash flow generated from operations historically has been sufficient to enable it to meet its annual obligations. We believe that our cash flows from operating activities, including use of our credit lines as needed, should be sufficient for us to fund our current obligations for the next 12 months and beyond. As noted above, we intend to maintain lines of credit that can be utilized should the need arise. Concurrently with the equity public offering, Lazard Group entered into a five year, \$125 million senior revolving credit facility with a group of lenders. As of December 31, 2005, \$30 million was outstanding under this credit facility. In addition, Lazard entered into a commitment letter dated April 14, 2005 which provides that, subject to customary conditions precedent for transactions of this nature, including obtaining regulatory approval, a group of lenders

will provide a separate \$25 million subordinated credit facility for Lazard Frères & Co. LLC, our U.S. broker-dealer subsidiary. The Lazard Frères & Co. LLC facility is expected to be a four-year revolving credit facility, and then converts to a term loan facility for an additional year. This commitment letter expires, as extended, March 31, 2006. The senior revolving credit facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants and events of default for facilities of this type, and we expect that the Lazard Frères & Co. LLC facility will as well. The senior revolving credit facility, among other things, limits the ability of the borrower to incur debt, grant liens, pay dividends, enter into mergers or to sell all or substantially all of its assets and contains financial covenants that must be maintained. We expect that the Lazard Frères & Co. LLC facility will contain similar restrictions and covenants for a facility of its type. Upon approval by the NASD, the Lazard Frères & Co. LLC facility is intended to qualify as a satisfactory subordination agreement in accordance with the applicable NASD rules and regulations. We may, to the extent required and subject to restrictions contained in our financing arrangements, use other financing sources in addition to any new credit facilities.

Over the past several years, Lazard has entered into several financing agreements designed to strengthen both its capital base and liquidity, the most significant of which are described below. Each of these agreements is discussed in more detail in our historical consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

In 2001, the Company issued mandatorily redeemable preferred stock (Class C Preferred Interests) for an aggregate amount of \$100 million. The Class C Preferred Interests were subject to mandatory redemption by the Company in March 2011 and, prior to such date, were redeemable in whole or in part, at the Company s option. The Class C Preferred Interests were entitled to receive distributions out of the profits of the Company at a rate of 8% per annum, which distributions were required to be paid prior to any distributions of profits to holders of any other existing class of interests in the Company. The Class C Preferred Interests were redeemed in May 2005 as part of the recapitalization transactions. Interest on mandatorily redeemable preferred stock for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 of \$(8) million, \$8 million and \$8 million, respectively, is included in interest expense in the consolidated statements of income. The credit in 2005 represents accrued dividends which were cancelled in connection with the redemption of membership interests of historical partners.

In May 2001, a wholly owned subsidiary of Lazard issued \$50 million of Senior Notes due March 2011. These notes, which were unsecured obligations and guaranteed by Lazard, were prepaid in May 2005 in connection with the separation and recapitalization transactions.

In September 2002, the Company and Intesa announced their agreement to form a Strategic Alliance. Pursuant to the terms of the Strategic Alliance, effective January 2003, Intesa became a 40% partner in the Company s business in Italy (Lazard Italy), and the Company and Intesa agreed to work to grow the investment banking business in Italy. Lazard Italy is consolidated in the consolidated financial statements, with Intesa s 40% share recorded as minority interest.

The initial term of the Strategic Alliance ends December 31, 2007, and, unless terminated by either of the parties in connection with the end of any term, will automatically extend for additional five-year terms. Both the Company and Intesa have the right to terminate the Strategic Alliance arrangement at the end of each five-year term or at any other time should certain defined events occur, such as changes in control involving either party, transfers of either party s interest in Lazard Italy or the removal of the chairman of that business under certain circumstances.

In connection with the Strategic Alliance, Intesa became an economic partner of the Company through an aggregate financial investment of \$300 million. The investments made by Intesa consist of (i) a March 2003 purchase from a subsidiary of the Company of a \$150 million Subordinated Convertible Promissory Note (the Subordinated Convertible Note), convertible into a contractual right that entitles the holder to receive payments that would be equivalent to those that a holder of a three percent equity goodwill interest in Lazard Group which represents the right to share (after payments or reserve for existing preferences of creditors, and the capital or capital equivalents of the members) in the net proceeds of fundamental corporate events, such as a sale of all or

60

substantially all of the assets of Lazard Group or a disposition of a line of business and (ii) \$150 million invested in Lazard Italy in June 2003, comprised of an investment of euro then equal to \$100 million for 40% of the capital stock in Lazard Italy and the purchase of a \$50 million Subordinated Promissory Note issued by Lazard Italy (the Subordinated Promissory Note). The Subordinated Promissory Note has a scheduled maturity date in the year 2078 (subject to extension), with interest payable annually at the rate of 3.0% per annum. The Subordinated Convertible Note, which is guaranteed by Lazard Group, has a scheduled maturity date in the year 2018 and has interest payable annually at a variable interest rate of not less than 3%, and not more than 3.25%, per annum (with such annual interest rate for the twelve month periods ending March 2006, March 2005 and March 2004 being 3.25%, 3.0% and 3.0%, respectively). Under certain circumstances, including a termination of the Strategic Alliance, the Subordinated Convertible Note and the Subordinated Promissory Note could be redeemed earlier than its stated maturity, and in connection with a termination of the Strategic Alliance, Lazard Group has the obligation to repurchase Intesa s capital stock of Lazard Italy and the Subordinated Promissory Note and may be obligated to redeem the Subordinated Convertible Note at face value. The proceeds from the sale of capital stock in Lazard Italy exceeded the underlying book value of the net assets purchased by Intesa by approximately \$56 million. This amount has been deferred and included in other liabilities on the consolidated statements of financial condition as Lazard Italy could be required to repurchase such amount of capital stock held by Intesa in the event of a termination of the Strategic Alliance.

The Company has provided financial advisory services to Intesa.

From time to time, Lazard Group has considered appropriate modifications to its joint venture relationship with Intesa. Lazard Group has held various discussions with Intesa in connection with the separation and recapitalization transactions, including the Company's equity public offering, and prior to consummation of the equity public offering, Intesa notified Lazard of its intention not to extend the term of the joint venture relationship beyond the expiration date of December 31, 2007. As a result, under the terms of the Strategic Alliance, unless Lazard Group and Intesa otherwise agree, Lazard will repurchase Intesa's 40% interest in our business in Italy and repurchase the related \$50 million subordinated promissory note for an aggregate amount of approximately \$150 million on or prior to February 4, 2008. Based on the current performance of the joint venture, we do not currently expect an expiration of the joint venture in these circumstances to have a material adverse effect on our operating results or cash flows.

As part of these negotiations and discussions, Lazard Group received a letter from Intesa in July, 2005 informing Lazard Group of its intention to commence arbitration proceedings in order to obtain an order declaring Intesa s right to early termination of the joint venture arrangements and the ineffectiveness of those arrangements on equitable grounds, as a result of the separation and recapitalization transactions and management changes at our Italian business. In the letter, Intesa stated that pending the determination of any arbitration, it intended to continue to perform in accordance with the joint venture arrangements until the initial expiration date of December 31, 2007. If at the end of an arbitration proceeding, it were to be determined that Intesa was entitled to early termination on the grounds alleged, Lazard would be obligated to repurchase their interest and note at that time if any such determination were finally made before Lazard repurchases such interest and note in connection with the end of the term of the joint venture on December 31, 2007. As of March 20, 2006, no arbitration proceedings have commenced.

If in an appropriate forum it were to be determined that Intesa was entitled to early termination on the grounds alleged, the \$150 million Subordinated Convertible Note could become immediately due and payable. Intesa has also asserted its intention to seek damages relating to such termination or ineffectiveness.

Lazard believes that the allegations are without merit and, in the event an action is actually brought, intends to defend and protect its rights and interests with respect to these matters and the joint venture arrangements vigorously. Lazard also expects to pursue any and all related claims that it may have, including with respect to the nonperformance by Intesa of certain of its obligations under the arrangements, in connection with any such action, and to seek damages with respect to those claims.

61

Substantially all of the net proceeds received from the equity public offering and the financing transactions were used in connection with the recapitalization, and, to a lesser extent, to capitalize LFCM Holdings and LAZ-MD Holdings. See Notes 1 and 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. We expect that the net incremental interest cost related to the financing transactions will be approximately \$56 million per year. We expect to service the resultant incremental debt with operating cash flow and the utilization of credit facilities and, to the extent required, other financing sources.

On October 31, 2005, Lazard Group closed the exchange offer described in Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, whereupon it exchanged \$546 million in aggregate principal amount of its old notes (approximately 99.3% of the aggregate principal amount outstanding) for \$546 million in aggregate principal amount of its exchange notes. The exchange notes are substantially identical to the old notes, except that the exchange notes have been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended; and, as a result, the transfer restrictions applicable to the old notes do not apply to the exchange notes.

As of December 31, 2005, Lazard was in compliance with all of its obligations under its various borrowing arrangements.

We actively monitor our regulatory capital base. Our principal subsidiaries are subject to regulatory requirements in their respective jurisdictions to ensure their general financial soundness and liquidity, which require, among other things, that we comply with certain minimum capital requirements, record-keeping, reporting procedures, relationships with customers, experience and training requirements for employees and certain other requirements and procedures. These regulatory requirements may restrict the flow of funds to affiliates. Regulatory approval is generally required for paying dividends in excess of certain established levels. See Note 18 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information. These regulations differ in the U.S., the U.K., France, and other countries in which we operate. Our capital structure is designed to provide each of our subsidiaries with capital and liquidity consistent with its business and regulatory requirements. For a discussion of regulations relating to us, see Item 1-Business Regulation included in this Form 10-K.

Net revenue and operating income historically have fluctuated significantly between quarters. This variability arises from the fact that transaction completion fees comprise the majority of our net revenue, with the billing and recognition of such fees being dependent upon the successful completion of client transactions, the occurrence and timing of which is irregular and not subject to Lazard s control. In addition, incentive fees earned on AUM and compensation related thereto are generally not recorded until the end of the applicable measurement period, which is generally the fourth quarter of Lazard s fiscal year, when potential uncertainties regarding the ultimate realizable amounts have been determined.

62

Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth information relating to Lazard s contractual obligations as of December 31, 2005:

Contractual Obligations Payment Due by Period

				-	
		Less than			More than 5
	Total	1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	Years
			(\$ in thousands)		
Operating Leases (exclusive of \$70,546 of sublease					
income)	\$ 491,977	\$ 57,815	\$ 107,637	\$ 84,334	\$ 242,191
Capital Leases (including interest)	34,584	2,491	4,982	4,982	22,129
Senior Debt (including interest)	1,458,307	72,754	555,730(a)	108,376	721,447
Subordinated Loans (including interest)	258,192	6,000	60,644(b)	9,000	182,548(b)
Repurchase of Equity Interest in Italy	100,000		100,000(b)		
Merchant Banking Commitments LAI managed funds					
(c)	126,289	44,118	82,171		
Merchant Banking Commitments company sponsored					
funds	4,622	3,873		716	33
Contractual Commitments to Managing Directors,					
Senior Advisors, Employees and Other (d)	83,395	50,939	29,995	1,016	1,445
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Total (e)	\$ 2,557,366	\$ 237,990	\$ 941,159	\$ 208,424	\$ 1,169,793

- (a) Includes \$437.5 million relating to Lazard Group Notes issued in connection with the issuance of the ESUs, for which the maturity date of the debt component can vary based on a remarketing of the Lazard Group Notes, and will mature (1) in the event of a successful remarketing, on any date no earlier than May 15, 2010 and no later than May 15, 2035, as we may elect, (2) in the event of a failed remarketing, on May 15, 2008 and (3) otherwise on May 15, 2035. While the Company currently expects a successful remarketing of the Lazard Group Notes, for purposes of the table above, a maturity in 2008, the earliest possible date, was assumed to be the maturity date of the Lazard Group Notes. See Notes 2 and 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (b) Prior to consummation of the equity public offering, Intesa notified Lazard of its intention not to extend the term of the joint venture relationship beyond the expiration date of December 31, 2007. As a result, under the terms of the strategic alliance, unless Lazard Group and Intesa otherwise agree, Lazard Group will repurchase Intesa s 40% interest in our business in Italy and its related \$50 million subordinated promissory note for approximately \$150 million on or prior to February 4, 2008. The table includes interest expense on the \$50 million subordinated promissory note at its fixed rate of interest of 3.0% per annum through February 4, 2008. In addition, the table includes interest relating to the \$150 million subordinated convertible note through its scheduled maturity date of March 26, 2018, at its minimum annual interest rate of 3% per annum. The \$150 million subordinated convertible note has a maximum annual interest rate of 3.25%. See Notes 6 and 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (c) Pursuant to the business alliance agreement, Lazard Group has commitments to fund certain investment funds managed by Lazard Alternative Investments Holdings LLC (LAI). Amounts in the table above relate to (1) obligations related to Corporate Partners II Limited, a private equity fund formed on February 25, 2005, with \$1 billion of institutional capital commitments and a \$100 million capital commitment from us, the principal portion of which may require funding at any time through 2010 (as of December 31, 2005, Lazard Group contributed \$89 thousand of its capital commitment). For purposes of the table above, Lazard s remaining commitment of approximately \$99.9 million as of December 31, 2005 is estimated to be funded in the amounts of \$37.5 million, \$37.5 million, and \$24.9 million in the years ending December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively; (2) obligations related to the first closing of a private equity fund formed in July 2005, with the ability to raise up to a maximum of \$550 million of capital

- commitments, including a minimum and maximum capital commitment from us of \$10 million and \$27 million, respectively, the principal portion of which will require funding at any time through 2008 (as of December 31, 2005, Lazard Group contributed its initial capital commitment which amounted to \$622 thousand and, in addition, pursuant to a January 2006 capital call, the Company funded an additional \$1.6 million in January 2006). For purposes of this table, included is the maximum remaining commitment of \$27 million and Lazard s remaining maximum commitment of approximately \$26.4 million as of December 31, 2005 is estimated to be funded in the amounts of \$6.6 million, \$18.7 million, and \$1.1 million in the years ending December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively.
- (d) The Company has agreements relating to future minimum distributions to certain managing directors and compensation to certain employees incurred for the purpose of recruiting and retaining these senior professionals. Also included are guaranteed compensation arrangements with advisors and a commitment to a former managing director.
- (e) The table above does not include (1) any contingent obligations relating to the LAM equity rights; (2) any potential payment related to the IXIS cooperation arrangement (the level of this contingent payment to IXIS would depend, among other things, on the level of revenue generated by the cooperation activities, and the potential payment is limited, as of December 31, 2005, to a maximum of approximately million (subject to further reduction in certain circumstances) which would only occur if the cooperation activities generate no revenue over the course of the remaining initial period of such activities, the cooperation agreement is not renewed and Lazard Ltd s stock price fails to sustain certain price levels); (3) any contingent limited partner capital commitments as described in Note 7 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements; (4) interest relating to Lazard Group s revolving credit agreement, which is a variable rate obligation; and (5) the lending commitments and indemnifications provided by LFB to third parties as described in Note 12 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. In addition the table above does not include any recognition of the May, 2008 settlement of the purchase contracts component of the ESUs which require the holders to purchase an aggregate of \$437.5 million of the Company s Class A common stock for cash or exchange of outstanding debt, depending on the success of the remarketing of such debt see (a) above. This obligation is collateralized by the entire \$437.5 million principal amount of Lazard Group Notes outstanding. See Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Exchange/Clearinghouse Member Guarantees

Lazard is a member of various non-U.S. exchanges and clearinghouses that trade and clear securities or futures contracts. Associated with its membership, Lazard may be required to pay a proportionate share of the financial obligations of another member who may default on its obligations to the exchange or the clearinghouse. To mitigate these performance risks, the exchanges and clearinghouses often require members to post collateral as well as meet minimum financial standards. While the rules governing different exchange or clearinghouse memberships vary, Lazard s guarantee obligations generally would arise only if the exchange or clearinghouse had previously exhausted its resources. In addition, any such guarantee obligation would be apportioned among the other non-defaulting members of the exchange or clearinghouse. Any potential contingent liability under these membership agreements cannot be estimated. Lazard has not recorded any contingent liability in the consolidated financial statements for these agreements and believes that any potential requirement to make payments under these agreements is remote.

Effect of Inflation

We do not believe inflation will significantly affect our compensation costs as they are substantially variable in nature. However, the rate of inflation may affect Lazard expenses such as information technology and occupancy costs. To the extent inflation results in rising interest rates and has other effects upon the securities markets, it may adversely affect our financial position and results of operations by reducing AUM, net revenue or otherwise. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business Difficult market conditions can adversely affect our business in many ways, including by reducing the volume and value of the transactions involving our Financial Advisory business and reducing the value or performance of the assets we manage in our Asset Management business which, in each case, could materially reduce our revenue or income and adversely affect our financial position.

64

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management's discussion and analysis of our consolidated financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in conformity with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of Lazard's consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, Lazard evaluates its estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, compensation liabilities, income taxes, investing activities and goodwill. Lazard bases these estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

Lazard believes that the critical accounting policies set forth below comprise the most significant estimates and judgments used in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

Lazard generates substantially all of its net revenue from providing financial advisory and asset management services to clients. Lazard recognizes revenue when the following criteria are met:

there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement with a client,

the agreed-upon services have been provided,

fees are fixed or determinable, and

collection is probable.

Lazard s clients generally enter into agreements with Lazard that vary in duration depending on the nature of the service provided. Lazard typically bills clients for the full amounts due under the applicable agreements on or after the dates on which the specified service has been provided. Generally, payments are collected within 60 days of billing (or over longer periods of time with respect to billings related to restructurings and our private fund advisory activities). The Company also earns performance-based incentive fees on some investment products, such as hedge funds and merchant banking funds. Incentive fees on hedge funds generally are recorded at the end of the year, when potential uncertainties regarding the ultimate realizable amounts have been determined, and typically are calculated based on a specified percentage of a fund s net appreciation during the year. Incentive fees on hedge funds generally are subject to loss carry-forward provisions in which losses incurred by the funds in any year are applied against future period net appreciation before any incentive fees can be earned.

Lazard assesses whether collection is probable based on a number of factors, including past transaction history with the client and an assessment of the client s current creditworthiness. If, in Lazard s judgment, collection of a fee is not probable, Lazard will not recognize revenue until the uncertainty is removed. In rare cases, an allowance for doubtful collection may be established, for example, if a fee is in dispute or litigation has commenced.

Income Taxes

As part of the process of preparing its consolidated financial statements, Lazard is required to estimate its income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which it operates. This process requires Lazard to estimate its actual current tax liability and to assess temporary differences resulting from differing book versus tax treatment of items, such as deferred revenue, compensation and benefits expense, unrealized gains on long-term investments and depreciation. These temporary differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included within Lazard s consolidated statements of financial condition. Lazard must then assess the likelihood that its

65

deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income, and, to the extent it believes that recovery is not more likely than not, Lazard must establish a valuation allowance. Significant management judgment is required in determining Lazard s provision for income taxes, its deferred tax assets and liabilities and any valuation allowance recorded against its net deferred tax assets. At December 31, 2005, the Company recorded deferred tax assets of approximately \$345 million, with such amount offset by a valuation allowance of approximately \$334 million due to the uncertainty of realizing the benefits of the book versus tax basis differences and certain foreign net operating loss carry-forwards. In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized and, when necessary, valuation allowances are established. The ultimate realization of the deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the level of historical taxable income, scheduled reversals of deferred taxes, projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies that can be implemented by the Company in making this assessment. If actual results differ from these estimates or Lazard adjusts these estimates in future periods, Lazard may need to adjust its valuation allowance, which could materially impact Lazard s consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In addition, in order to determine the quarterly tax rate, Lazard is required to estimate full year pre-tax income and the related annual income tax expense in each jurisdiction. Tax exposures can involve complex issues and may require an extended period of time to resolve. Changes in the geographic mix or estimated level of annual pre-tax income can affect Lazard s overall effective tax rate. Significant management judgment is required in determining Lazard s provision for income taxes, its deferred tax assets and liabilities and any valuation allowance recorded against its net deferred tax assets. Furthermore, Lazard s interpretation of complex tax laws may impact its measurement of current and deferred income taxes.

Valuation of Investments

Marketable investments consist principally of investments in exchange traded funds. Long-term investments consist principally of investments in merchant banking and alternative investment funds, and other privately managed investments. These investments are carried at fair value on the consolidated statements of financial condition, with unrealized gains and losses reflected net on the consolidated statements of income. Gains and losses on marketable investments and long-term investments, which arise from changes in the fair value of the investments, are not predictable and can cause periodic fluctuations in net income (net income allocable to members of Lazard Group prior to May 10, 2005).

Where applicable, the fair value of a publicly traded investment is determined by quoted market prices. Most of the Company s investments included in long-term investments, however, are not publicly traded and, as a result, are valued based upon management s best estimate. The fair value of such investments is based upon an analysis of the investee s financial results, condition, cash flows and prospects. The carrying value of such investments is adjusted when changes in the underlying fair values are readily ascertainable, generally as evidenced by third party transactions or transactions that directly affect the value of such investments. Adjustments also are made, in the absence of third-party transactions, if Lazard determines that the expected realizable value of the investment differs from its carrying value. In reaching that determination, Lazard considers many factors, including, but not limited to, the operating cash flows and financial performance of the investee, expected exit timing and strategy, and any specific rights or terms associated with the investment, such as conversion features and liquidation preferences. The Company s investments in partnership interests, including general partnership and limited partnership interests in real estate funds, are recorded at fair value based on changes in the fair value of the partnerships underlying net assets.

Because of the inherent uncertainty in the valuation of investments that are not readily marketable, estimated values may differ significantly from the values that would have been reported had a ready market for such investments existed. Lazard seeks to maintain the necessary resources, with the appropriate experience and training, to ensure that control and independent price verification functions are adequately performed.

66

Goodwill

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, goodwill is tested for impairment annually or more frequently if circumstances indicate impairment may have occurred. In this process, Lazard makes estimates and assumptions in order to determine the fair value of its assets and liabilities and to project future earnings using valuation techniques, including a discounted cash flow model. Lazard uses its best judgment and information available to it at the time to perform this review. Because Lazard s assumptions and estimates are used in projecting future earnings as part of the valuation, actual results could differ.

Consolidation of VIEs

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Lazard Group and all other entities in which we are the primary beneficiary or control. Lazard determines whether it has a controlling financial interest in an entity by first evaluating whether the entity is a voting interest entity or a variable interest entity (VIE) under U.S. GAAP.

Voting Interest Entities. Voting interest entities are entities in which (i) the total equity investment at risk is sufficient to enable the entity to finance itself independently and (ii) the equity holders have the obligation to absorb losses, the right to receive residual returns and the right to make decisions about the entity s activities. Voting interest entities are consolidated in accordance with Accounting Research Bulletin (ARB) No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, as amended by SFAS No. 94, Consolidated Financial Statements. ARB No. 51 states that the usual condition for a controlling financial interest in an entity is ownership of a majority voting interest. SFAS No. 94 amends ARB No. 51 to require consolidation of all majority-owned subsidiaries unless control is temporary or does not rest with the majority owner. SFAS No. 94 also requires consolidation of a majority-owned subsidiary even if it has non-homogeneous operations, a large minority interest, or a foreign location. Accordingly, Lazard consolidates voting interest entities in which it has the majority of the voting interest in accordance with ARB No. 51 and SFAS No. 94.

Variable Interest Entities. VIEs are entities that lack one or more of the characteristics of a voting interest entity. A controlling financial interest in a VIE is present when an enterprise has a variable interest, or a combination of variable interests, that will absorb a majority of the VIE s expected losses, receive a majority of the VIE s expected residual returns, or both. The enterprise with a controlling financial interest, known as the primary beneficiary, consolidates the VIE.

Lazard determines whether it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE by first performing a qualitative analysis of the VIE that includes, among other factors, its capital structure, contractual terms, and related party relationships. Where qualitative analysis is not conclusive, Lazard performs a quantitative analysis. For purposes of allocating a VIE s expected losses and expected residual returns to the VIE s variable interest holders, Lazard calculates its share of the VIE s expected losses and expected residual returns using a cash flows model that allocates those expected losses and residual returns to it, based on contractual arrangements and/or Lazard s position in the capital structure of the VIE under various scenarios. Lazard would reconsider its assessment of whether it is the primary beneficiary if there are changes to any of the variables used in determining the primary beneficiary. Those variables may include changes to financial arrangements, contractual terms, capital structure and related party relationships.

In accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 46R the assets, liabilities and results of operations of the VIE are included in the consolidated financial statements of Lazard if it is determined that we are the primary beneficiary. Any third party interest in these consolidated entities is reflected as minority interest in our consolidated financial statements.

Lazard is involved with various entities in the normal course of business that are VIEs and hold variable interests in such VIEs. Transactions associated with these entities primarily include investment management, real

67

estate and private equity investments. Those VIEs for which Lazard was the primary beneficiary were consolidated at December 31, 2004 in accordance with FIN 46R. Those VIEs included company sponsored venture capital investment vehicles established in connection with Lazard s compensation plans. In connection with the separation, Lazard Group transferred its general partnership interests in those VIEs to a subsidiary of LFCM Holdings. Lazard Group has determined that it is no longer the primary beneficiary with respect to those VIEs and, as a result, the Company no longer consolidates such VIEs. At December 31, 2004, amounts related to consolidation of such VIEs are included in assets and liabilities of discontinued operations.

Risk Management

We encounter risk as part of the normal course of our business and we design risk management processes to help manage such risks considering both the nature of our business and our operating model. The Company is subject to varying degrees of credit, market, operational and liquidity risks (see Liquidity and Capital Resources) and monitors these risks on a consolidated basis. Management within each of Lazard s operating locations are principally responsible for managing the risks within its respective businesses on a day-to day basis.

Market and Credit Risks

Lazard, in general, is not a capital-intensive organization and as such, is not subject to significant credit or market risks. Nevertheless, Lazard has established procedures to assess both the credit and market risk, as well as specific interest rate, currency and credit limits related to various positions.

With respect to LFB s operations, LFB engages in banking activities that primarily include investing in securities, deposit taking and lending. In addition, LFB may take open foreign exchange positions with a view to profit, but does not sell foreign exchange options in this context, and enters into forward foreign exchange contracts, interest rate swaps, cross-currency interest rate swaps and other derivative contracts to hedge exposures to interest rate and currency fluctuations.

At December 31, 2005, substantially all of the \$272 million of securities owned, at fair value, were fixed-income securities within LFB s portfolio, 92% of which were rated investment grade credit quality. At December 31, 2004, substantially all of the \$387 million of securities owned, at fair value, were fixed-income securities within the LFB portfolio, 96% of which were rated investment grade credit quality.

At December 31, 2005 and 2004, derivative contracts, all of which related to LFB s operations and which are recorded at fair value, were as follows:

	Decemb	per 31,
	2005	2004
	(\$ in tho	usands)
Assets:		
Interest rate swap contracts	\$186	\$377

Edgar Filing: Lazard Ltd - Form 10-K

Exchange rate contracts		289
Total	\$186	\$666
Liabilities:		
Interest rate swap contracts	\$3,028	\$4,328
Exchange rate contracts		291
Total	\$3,028	\$4,619

The primary market risks associated with LFB s securities inventory, foreign exchange, hedging and securities lending activities is sensitivity to changes in the general level of credit spreads and, with respect to foreign currency risk, specific exchange rate spreads. The risk management strategies that we employ use various

risk sensitivity metrics to measure such risks and to examine behavior under significant adverse market conditions.

The Company s interest rate risk as measured by a 1% +/ change in interest rates totaled \$93 thousand as of December 31, 2005 and was approximately \$700 thousand as of December 31, 2004.

Foreign currency risk associated with our open positions, in aggregate, as measured by a 2% +/- change against the U.S. dollar, totaled \$2 thousand as of December 31, 2005 and \$23 thousand as of December 31, 2004.

LFB fully collateralizes its repurchase transactions with fixed income securities.

Risks Related to Receivables

We maintain an allowance for bad debts to provide coverage for probable losses from our customer receivables, including our lending portfolio in LFB. We determine the adequacy of the allowance by estimating the probability of loss based on management s analysis of the client s creditworthiness and specifically reserve against exposures where, in our judgment, the receivables are impaired. At December 31, 2005 total receivables amounted to \$748 million, net of an allowance for bad debts of \$13 million. As of that date, inter-bank lending, financial advisory and asset management fee, customer and related party receivables comprised 46%, 38%, 9% and 7% of total receivables, respectively. At December 31, 2004 total receivables amounted to \$727 million, net of allowance for bad debts of \$16 million. As of that date, inter-bank lending, financial advisory and asset management fee, and customer receivables comprised 48%, 39% and 13% of total receivables, respectively. Historically, the vast majority of financial advisory and asset management fee receivables are collected with 60 days of invoice. The Company recorded bad debt expense of \$6 million and \$4 million in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Credit Concentration

To reduce the exposure to concentrations of credit from banking activities within LFB, the Company has established limits for corporate counterparties and monitors the exposure against such limits. At December 31, 2005 the Company had no exposure to an individual counterparty that exceeded \$35 million, in the aggregate, excluding inter-bank counterparties.

Risks Related to Short-Term Investments and Corporate Indebtedness

A significant portion of the Company s liabilities has fixed interest rates or maximum interest rates, while its cash and short-term investments generally have floating interest rates. Lazard estimates that operating income relating to cash and short-term investments and corporate indebtedness would change by approximately \$3 million, on an annual basis, in the event interest rates were to increase or decrease by 1%.

Operational Risks

Operational risk is inherent in all our business and may, for example, manifest itself in the form of errors, breaches in the system of internal controls, business interruptions, fraud or legal actions due to operating deficiencies or noncompliance. The Company maintains a framework including policies and a system of internal controls designed to monitor and manage operational risk and provide management with timely and accurate information. Management within each of the operating companies is primarily responsible for its operational risk programs. The Company has in place a business continuity and disaster recovery programs that manages its capabilities to provide services in the case of a disruption. We purchase insurance programs designed to protect the Company against accidental loss and losses, which may significantly affect our financial objectives, personnel, property, or our ability to continue to meet our responsibilities to our various stakeholder groups.

69

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (R), *Share-Based Payments*. SFAS No. 123 (R) is a revision of SFAS No. 123 and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25 and amends SFAS No. 95, *Statement of Cash Flows*. SFAS No. 123 (R) eliminates the ability to account for share-based compensation transactions using APB Opinion No. 25 and requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the financial statements using a fair value-based method. On January 1, 2006 the Company adopted SFAS No. 123 (R), and the impact thereof was not material.

In June 2005, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF), reached a consensus on EITF Issue No. 04-5, *Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights*. The EITF consensus requires a general partner in a limited partnership to consolidate the limited partnership unless the presumption of control is overcome. The general partner may overcome this presumption of control and not consolidate the entity if the limited partners have: (a) the substantive ability to dissolve or liquidate the limited partnership or otherwise remove the general partner without having to show cause; or (b) substantive participating rights in managing the partnership. This guidance became effective upon ratification by the FASB on June 29, 2005 for all newly formed limited partnerships and for existing limited partnerships for which the partnership agreements have been modified. For all other limited partnerships, the guidance is effective no later than the beginning of the first reporting period in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. EITF issue No. 04-5 did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Risk Management

Quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk are included under the caption Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Risk Management. Because the Capital Markets and Other segment was separated from the operations of the Company in connection with the separation on May 10, 2005, the market risks specific to the Capital Markets and Other segment no longer apply to the Company.

70

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

	Page
Index to Consolidated Financial Statements*	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	73
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of December 31, 2005 and 2004	74
Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003	76
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003	77
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Members Equity and Stockholders Deficiency for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and	
2003	78
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	79
Supplemental Financial Information	
Quarterly Results	125
Financial Statement Schedule	
Schedule I Condensed Financial Information of Registrant (Parent Company Only)	
Condensed Statements of Financial Condition, as of December 31, 2005 and 2004	F-2
Condensed Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003	F-3
Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003	F-4
Condensed Statements of Changes in Members Equity and Stockholders Deficiency for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and	
2003	F-5
Notes to Condensed Financial Statements	F-6

Payment for services rendered by Lazard Group s managing directors, which, as a result of Lazard Group operating as a limited liability company, historically has been accounted for as distributions from members—capital, or in some cases as minority interest, rather than as compensation and benefits expense. Therefore, prior to May 10, 2005, Lazard Group—s operating income included within the accompanying consolidated financial statements historically has not reflected payments for services rendered by its managing directors. Subsequent to the consummation of the equity public offering and financing transactions, as described in Note 2 of the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Lazard Ltd now includes all payments for services rendered by its managing directors and distributions to holders of profit participation interests in Lazard Group (profit participation members) in compensation and benefits expense.

U.S. corporate federal income taxes, since Lazard Group had operated in the U.S. as a limited liability company that was treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes. As a result, Lazard Group s income had not been subject to U.S. federal income taxes. Taxes related to income earned by partnerships represent obligations of the individual partners. Outside the U.S., Lazard Group historically had operated principally through subsidiary corporations and had been subject to local income taxes. Prior to May 10, 2005, income taxes reflected within Lazard Group s results of operations included within the accompanying consolidated financial statements are attributable to taxes incurred in non-U.S. entities and to New York City Unincorporated Business Tax (UBT) attributable to Lazard Group s

^{*} These consolidated financial statements reflect the historical results of operations and financial position of Lazard Ltd, including consolidation of its investment in Lazard Group LLC, formerly known as Lazard LLC and referred to herein as Lazard Group, for all periods presented. Prior to May 10, 2005, the date of Lazard Ltd sequity public offering, as defined in Note 1 of the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, the consolidated financial statements included herein represent the financial statements of Lazard Group. The results of operations and financial condition for certain businesses that Lazard Group no longer owns are reported as discontinued operations. The historical consolidated financial statements do not reflect what the results of operations and financial position of Lazard Ltd or Lazard Group would have been had these companies been stand-alone, public companies for the years presented. In addition, the results of operations for periods prior to May 10, 2005 are not comparable to results of operations for subsequent periods. Specifically, prior to May 10, 2005, the historical results of operations do not give effect to the following matters:

operations apportioned to New York City. Subsequent to the equity public offering, the consolidated financial statements of Lazard Ltd include U.S. corporate federal income taxes on its allocable share of the results of operations of Lazard Group, giving effect to the post equity public offering structure.

Minority interest in net income relating to LAZ-MD Holdings ownership interest of Lazard Group s common membership interests since May 10, 2005. Prior to May 10, 2005, Lazard Ltd had no ownership interest in Lazard Group and all net income was allocable to the then members of Lazard Group. Commencing May 10, 2005, minority interest in net income includes LAZ-MD Holdings ownership interest of Lazard Group s common membership interests.

The use of proceeds from the financing transactions.

The net incremental interest expense related to the financing transactions.

72

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Lazard Ltd:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of Lazard Ltd and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of income, cash flows, and changes in members equity and stockholders deficiency, for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 8. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Lazard Ltd and subsidiaries at December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

New York, New York

March 20, 2006

LAZARD LTD

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

(dollars in thousands, except for per share data)

	December 31,	
	2005	2004
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 492,309	\$ 305,753
Cash and securities segregated for regulatory purposes	20,596	11,260
Marketable investments		112,467
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	23,358	2,029
Securities owned at fair value:		
Bonds Corporate	228,927	329,695
Non-U.S. Government and agency securities	40,285	53,528
Equities	2,964	3,726
	272,176	386,949
Swaps and other contractual agreements	186	666
Receivables net:		
Banks	347,912	346,719
Fees	280,923	282,642
Customers	65,253	98,006
Related parties	53,932	
	748,020	727,367
Long-term investments	80,843	145,718
Other investments	4,473	13,019
Property (net of accumulated amortization and depreciation of \$156,935 and \$151,309 for 2005 and 2004,		
respectively)	156,630	199,453
Goodwill	15,996	17,205
Other assets	96,310	88,663
Assets of discontinued operations		1,488,675
Total assets	\$ 1,910,897	\$ 3,499,224

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

LAZARD LTD

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION (Continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

(dollars in thousands, except for per share data)

	Decem	ber 31,
	2005	2004
LIABILITIES, MINORITY INTEREST AND MEMBERS EQUITY AND STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIENCY		
Liabilities:		
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	\$ 31,853	\$ 52,037
Swaps and other contractual agreements	3,028	4,619
Payables:		
Banks	367,565	407,157
Customers	153,868	130,949
Related parties	3,919	
	525,352	538,106
A compad companyation and hanofits	<u> </u>	
Accrued compensation and benefits	346,090	152,651
Senior borrowings Conital lease obligations	1,022,082 23,844	67,497 51,546
Capital lease obligations Other liabilities	517,590	567,640
Subordinated borrowings	200,000	200,000
Mandatorily redeemable preferred stock	200,000	100,000
Liabilities of discontinued operations		1,222,420
Elabilities of discontinued operations		1,222,720
Total liabilities	2,669,839	2,956,516
Commitments and contingencies		
Minority interest	111,729	157,910
MEMBERS EQUITY AND STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIENCY		
Members equity		366,740
Common stock:		
Class A, par value \$.01 per share (500,000,000 shares authorized, 37,500,000 shares issued and outstanding) Class B, par value \$.01 per share (1 share authorized, 1 share issued and outstanding)	375	
Additional paid-in-capital	(885,690)	
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(34,342)	18,058
Retained earnings	48,986	10,030
Retained carnings		
Total members equity		384,798
Total stockholders deficiency	(870,671)	
Total liabilities, minority interest and members equity and stockholders deficiency	\$ 1,910,897	\$ 3,499,224

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

75

LAZARD LTD

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005, 2004 AND 2003

(dollars in thousands, except for per share data)

	Year	Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003	
REVENUE				
Investment banking and other advisory fees	\$ 846,390	\$ 632,214	\$ 674,433	
Money management fees	435,015	385,776	323,683	
Commissions	14,832	13,655	9,818	
Underwriting	16,083	20,307	10,325	
Investment gains and losses net	9,580	31,919	16,221	
Interest income	34,618	27,668	25,037	
Other	23,289	22,842	28,392	
Total revenue	1,379,807	1,134,381	1,087,909	
Interest expense	78,365	39,551	34,967	
Net revenue	1,301,442	1,094,830	1,052,942	
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Compensation and benefits (and, commencing May 10, 2005, distributions to profit	(00 (02	166.061	200.266	
participation members)(*)	698,683	466,064	388,266	
Premises and occupancy costs	68,566	74,102	61,528	
Professional fees	51,332	48,706	47,708	
Travel and entertainment	44,196	45,157	38,496	
Communications and information services	29,550	28,011	25,492	
Equipment costs	20,638	22,713	17,602	
Provision pursuant to tax receivable agreement Other	2,685 43,430	42,253	35,114	
Total operating expenses	959,080	727,006	614,206	
OPERATING INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS(*)	342,362	367,824	438,736	
Provision for income taxes(*)	58,985	28,272	36,953	
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE MINORITY INTEREST				
IN NET INCOME(*)	283,377	339,552	401,783	
Minority interest in net income	122,315	87,553	94,565	
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS(*)	161,062	251,999	307,218	
LOSS FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS(*) (net of income tax provision of \$3,330, \$103 and \$7,468 for 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively)	(17,576)	(10,532)	(56,835)	
EXTRAORDINARY GAIN	(11,570)	5,507	(30,033)	
EATRAUNDHIART UAIN		3,307		

VERT NACOTE AND NACOTE AND ACCOUNT TO A SECOND PROPERTY OF A 17 A DEC.		
NET INCOME (NET INCOME ALLOCABLE TO MEMBERS OF LAZARD		
GROUP PRIOR TO MAY 10, 2005)(*)	\$ 143,486 \$ 246,97	4 \$ 250,383
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OF CLASS A COMMON STOCK		
OUTSTANDING:		
Basic	37,500,000	
Diluted	37,561,138	
NET INCOME PER SHARE OF CLASS A COMMON STOCK BASIC:		
Income from continuing operations	\$1.45 (**)	
NET INCOME PER SHARE OF CLASS A COMMON STOCK DILUTED:		
Income from continuing operations	\$1.45 (**)	
DIVIDENDO DATO DED CITADE OF CLACO A COMMON CTOCK	¢0.142(**)	
DIVIDENDS PAID PER SHARE OF CLASS A COMMON STOCK	\$0.142(**)	

^(*) Excludes, as applicable, with respect to periods ended prior to May 10, 2005 (a) payments for services rendered by Lazard Group s managing directors, which, as a result of Lazard Group operating as a limited liability company, historically had been accounted for as distributions from members—capital, or in some cases as minority interest, rather than as compensation and benefits expense, and (b) U.S. corporate federal income taxes, since Lazard Group has operated in the U.S. as a limited liability company that was treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

^(**) Applicable with respect to periods subsequent to May 10, 2005, the date of our equity public offering.

LAZARD LTD

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005, 2004 AND 2003

(dollars in thousands)

Amortization of deferred expenses and restricted stock units 1,936 Deferred tax provision (benefit) (7,558) 452 3 Minority interest in net income 122,315 87,553 94,5 (Increase) decrease in operating assets: 3 3,765 94,5 Cash and securities segregated for regulatory purposes (10,433) (3,765) 9,5 Securities purchased under agreements to resell (22,746) 122,184 (101,9 Securities owned, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements 67,309 2,902 17,5 Receivables (85,872) (221,233) 127,2 Marketable and long-term investments 191,681 107,280 (206,60) Other assets 1,993 (34,863) 17,2 Assets of discontinued operations 1,485,363 (56,357) (369,60) Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities: (13,916) 10,788 (9,60) Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements (1,430) 1,397 (0,780) Payables 52,554 23,874 (108,60)		Year Ended December 3		r 31,
Net income (net income allocable to members of Lazard Group prior to May 10, 2005) \$ 143,486 \$ 246,974 \$ 250,00000000000000000000000000000000000		2005	2004	2003
Net income (net income allocable to members of Lazard Group prior to May 10, 2005) \$ 143,486 \$ 246,974 \$ 250,00000000000000000000000000000000000	CASH ELOWS EDOM ODEDATING ACTIVITIES.			
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: Noncash charges included in net income: 15,348 16,938 13,98 Depreciation and amortization of property 15,348 16,938 13,98 Amortization of deferred expenses and restricted stock units 1,936 1,936 Deferred tax provision (benefit) (7,558) 452 3 Minority interest in net income 122,315 87,553 94,50 (Increase) decrease in operating assets: (10,433) (3,765) (5 Securities purchased under agreements to resell (22,746) 122,184 (101,50) Securities owned, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements 67,309 2,902 17,50 Receivables (85,872) (221,233) 127,20 Marketable and long-term investments 191,681 107,280 (206,40) Other assets 1,993 (34,863) 17,40 Assets of discontinued operations 1,485,363 (56,357) (369,60) Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (1,430) 1,397 (60,40) Securities so		\$ 1/3/186	\$ 246,974	\$ 250.383
Noncash charges included in net income: 15,348 16,938 13,538 Depreciation and amortization of property 15,348 16,938 13,538 Amortization of deferred expenses and restricted stock units 1,936 15,348 452 33,533 Deferred tax provision (benefit) (7,558) 452 33,533 34,533 34,533 34,533 34,533 34,533 34,533 34,533 34,533 34,533 34,533 34,533 34,533 34,533 34,533 34,653 36,533 34,533 34,653 36,533 34,533 34,653 37,533 34,533 34,653 37,533 34,533 34,653 37,533 34,533 34,653 37,533 34,543 34,653 37,533 34,543 34,653 37,533 34,543 34,653 37,533 34,543 34,653 37,533 34,543 34,543 34,543 34,543 34,543 34,543 34,543 34,543 34,543 34,543 34,543 34,543 34,543 34,543 34,543 34,543		φ 175,700	\$ 240,774	φ 230,363
Depreciation and amortization of property				
Amortization of deferred expenses and restricted stock units 1,936 Deferred tax provision (benefit) (7,558) 452 3 Minority interest in net income 122,315 87,553 94,5 (Increase) decrease in operating assets: 3 3,765) (9 Cash and securities segregated for regulatory purposes (10,433) (3,765) (9 Securities purchased under agreements to resell (22,746) 122,184 (101,5 Securities owned, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements 67,309 2,902 17,5 Receivables (85,872) (221,233) 127,2 Marketable and long-term investments 191,681 107,280 (206,6 Other assets 1,993 (34,863) 17,2 Assets of discontinued operations 1,485,363 (56,357) (369,6 Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities: (13,916) 10,788 (9 Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements (1,430) 1,397 (0 Payables 52,554 23,874 (108,6		15 3/18	16 038	13,994
Deferred tax provision (benefit) (7,558) 452 3 Minority interest in net income 122,315 87,553 94,5 (Increase) decrease in operating assets: Cash and securities segregated for regulatory purposes (10,433) (3,765) (9 Securities purchased under agreements to resell (22,746) 122,184 (101,9 Securities owned, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements 67,309 2,902 17,5 Receivables (85,872) (221,233) 127,2 Marketable and long-term investments 191,681 107,280 (206,400) Other assets 1,993 (34,863) 17,2 Assets of discontinued operations 1,485,363 (56,357) (369,600) Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (13,916) 10,788 (9 Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements (1,430) 1,397 (0 Payables 52,554 23,874 (108,60)			10,750	13,774
Minority interest in net income 122,315 87,553 94,50 (Increase) decrease in operating assets: 3,765 6,765 6,765 6,765 6,765 6,765 6,765 6,765 6,765 6,765 6,765 6,765 6,765 6,765 6,765 6,765 6,765 6,765 6,765 6,765 6,765 6,765 6,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765 7,765			452	375
(Increase) decrease in operating assets: Cash and securities segregated for regulatory purposes (10,433) (3,765) (9,765) Securities purchased under agreements to resell (22,746) 122,184 (101,566) Securities owned, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements 67,309 2,902 17,566 Receivables (85,872) (221,233) 127,267 Marketable and long-term investments 191,681 107,280 (206,406) Other assets 1,993 (34,863) 17,767 Assets of discontinued operations 1,485,363 (56,357) (369,607) Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities: (13,916) 10,788 (9,772) Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements (1,430) 1,397 (6,772) Payables 52,554 23,874 (108,607)	1 , , ,			94,565
Cash and securities segregated for regulatory purposes (10,433) (3,765) (5) Securities purchased under agreements to resell (22,746) 122,184 (101,5 Securities owned, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements 67,309 2,902 17,5 Receivables (85,872) (221,233) 127,2 Marketable and long-term investments 191,681 107,280 (206,400) Other assets 1,993 (34,863) 17,2 Assets of discontinued operations 1,485,363 (56,357) (369,600) Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities: (13,916) 10,788 (90,000) Securities sold under agreements to repurchased (13,916) 10,788 (90,000) Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements (1,430) 1,397 (10,000) Payables 52,554 23,874 (108,600) (10,000) (10,000) (10,000) (10,000) (10,000) (10,000) (10,000) (10,000) (10,000) (10,000) (10,000) (10,000) (10,000) (10,000) (10,000) (10,000) (10,000) (10,000) (10		122,313	67,333	94,303
Securities purchased under agreements to resell (22,746) 122,184 (101,50) Securities owned, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements 67,309 2,902 17,50 Receivables (85,872) (221,233) 127,50 Marketable and long-term investments 191,681 107,280 (206,400) Other assets 1,993 (34,863) 17,70 Assets of discontinued operations 1,485,363 (56,357) (369,400) Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities: 52,514 1,397 (60,400) Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements (1,430) 1,397 (60,400) Payables 52,554 23,874 (108,600)		(10.422)	(2.765)	(920)
Securities owned, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements 67,309 2,902 17,7 Receivables (85,872) (221,233) 127,2 Marketable and long-term investments 191,681 107,280 (206,4 Other assets 1,993 (34,863) 17,2 Assets of discontinued operations 1,485,363 (56,357) (369,67) Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities: 5 10,788 (9 Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (13,916) 10,788 (9 Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements (1,430) 1,397 (6 Payables 52,554 23,874 (108,6				. ,
Receivables (85,872) (221,233) 127,2 Marketable and long-term investments 191,681 107,280 (206,4 Other assets 1,993 (34,863) 17,2 Assets of discontinued operations 1,485,363 (56,357) (369,600) Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities: 200,000 10,788 (90,000) Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (13,916) 10,788 (90,000) Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements (1,430) 1,397 (10,000) Payables 52,554 23,874 (108,600)		\		17,592
Marketable and long-term investments 191,681 107,280 (206,400,600,600,600,600,600,600,600,600,6				
Other assets 1,993 (34,863) 17,7 Assets of discontinued operations 1,485,363 (56,357) (369,000) Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (13,916) 10,788 (9,700) Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements (1,430) 1,397 (6,700) Payables 52,554 23,874 (108,600)				
Assets of discontinued operations 1,485,363 (56,357) (369,67) Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (13,916) 10,788 (97) Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements (1,430) 1,397 (108,67) Payables 52,554 23,874 (108,67)		- ,	,	17,251
Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,917) (10,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916) (13,916				,
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (13,916) 10,788 (9 Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements (1,430) 1,397 (6 Payables 52,554 23,874 (108,6	•	1,485,303	(30,337)	(309,019)
Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value and swaps and other contractual agreements (1,430) 1,397 (198,400) (1,430) 1,397 (198,400) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (1,430) (, i e	(12.016)	10.700	(017)
Payables 52,554 23,874 (108,6	e i			(917)
				(676)
A 1 (' 1 (1 1'1')'' 1 (1 70 00 A 70 A				
				78,557
Liabilities of discontinued operations (1,223,257) 99,835 480,4	Liabilities of discontinued operations	(1,223,257)	99,835	480,426
Net cash provided by operating activities 893,603 534,053 291,2	Net cash provided by operating activities	893,603	534,053	291,266
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:	CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Additions to property (7,815) (19,012) (56,2	Additions to property	(7,815)	(19,012)	(56,230)
Disposals and retirements of property 11,694 8,606 10,2	Disposals and retirements of property	11,694	8,606	10,208
				100,000
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities 3,879 (10,406) 53,5	Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	3,879	(10,406)	53,978
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:	CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Proceeds from issuance of Class A common stock, net of expenses of \$65,844 871,656		871,656		
Proceeds from issuance of Class B common stock				
Proceeds from issuance of equity security units, net of expenses of \$15,941 421,559		_		
		,		200,000
Distributions to members and capital withdrawals, net of issuance of interests to LAM members in 2003 of				200,000
		(418,412)	(366.182)	(381,141)
Distributions to LAZ-MD Holdings (20,148)			(= = 0,102)	(= = 1,1 .1)
Purchase contracts relating to equity security units (6,013)		. , ,		
Settlement of interest rate hedge (10,297)				
Redemption of historical partner interests (including mandatorily redeemable preferred stock of \$100,000) (1,617,032)				

Distribution of separated business	(243,178)		
Distributions to LAZ-MD Holdings and LFCM Holdings	(150,000)		
Indemnity from LFCM Holdings relating to U.K. pension	53,600		
Proceeds from issuance of Lazard Group senior notes, net of original issue discount and other expenses of			
\$5,276	544,724		
Proceeds from other senior borrowings	33,700	15,046	1,636
Repayment of senior borrowings, including make-whole payment of \$7,650 in 2005	(74,265)	(1,567)	(2,279)
Repayment of capital lease obligations	(21,902)	(14,242)	(11,647)
Capital contributions and distributions relating to minority interest stockholders, net	(52,115)	(102,330)	(70,862)
Common stock dividends	(5,325)	,	,
Repurchase of common membership interest from LAZ-MD Holdings	(4,507)		
Other - net	253		
Repayment of senior borrowings of discontinued operations		(612)	(20,635)
Repayment of subordinated loans of discontinued operations		, ,	(2,367)
1.7			
Net cash used in financing activities	(697,701)	(469,887)	(287,295)
EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH	(13,225)	9,961	1,914
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	186,556	63,721	59,863
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS January 1	305,753	242,032	182,169
•			
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS December 31	\$ 492,309	\$ 305,753	\$ 242,032
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION			
Cash paid during the year for:			
Interest	\$ 81,970	\$ 41,639	\$ 39,722
Income taxes	\$ 24,776	\$ 61,877	\$ 19,458
meonic taxes	Ψ 24,770	Ψ 01,077	Ψ 17,430

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

LAZARD LTD

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN MEMBERS EQUITY AND

STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIENCY

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005, 2004 AND 2003

(dollars in thousands)

	Members Equity	Common Stock			Accumulated Other			Total	
		Shares	\$	Additional Paid-in- Capital	Comprehensive Income (Loss), Net of Tax		Retained Earnings	Members Equity and Stockholders Deficiency	
Balance January 1, 2003	\$ 644,189				\$	4,722		\$	648,911
Comprehensive income (loss):									
Net income allocable to members	250,383								250,383
Other comprehensive income net of tax:									
Currency translation adjustments						51,042			51,042
Minimum pension liability adjustments						(5,987)			(5,987)
Comprehensive income (loss)									295,438
Distributions and withdrawals to members	(408,624)								(408,624)
Balance December 31, 2003	485,948					49,777			535,725
Communicating in come (loss).									
Comprehensive income (loss):	246.074								246 074
Net income allocable to members	246,974								246,974
Other comprehensive income net of tax:						29,890			29,890
Currency translation adjustments									
Minimum pension liability adjustments						(61,609)			(61,609)
Comprehensive income (loss)									215,255
Distributions and withdrawals to members	(366,182)								(366,182)
Balance December 31, 2004	366,740					18,058			384,798
	2 0 0). 10							_	
Comprehensive income (loss):									
Net income allocable to members for the period January 1,									
2005 through May 9, 2005	89,175								89,175
Net income available for									
Class A common stockholders for the period May 10, 2005 through December 31, 2005							\$ 54,311		54,311
								_	
Net income for the period January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005									143,486
Other comprehensive income (loss) net of tax:									

Edgar Filing: Lazard Ltd - Form 10-K

Balance December 31, 2005	\$	37,500,001	\$	375	\$ (885,690)	\$ (34,342)	\$ 48,986	\$ (870,671)
			_					
through December 31, 2005 to additional paid-in-capital					83,464			83,464
undistributed net income for the period May 10, 2005								
Adjustment to reclassify minority interest share of								
Amortization of stock units					1,277			1,277
from LAZ-MD Holdings					(4,507)			(4,507)
Lazard Group repurchase of common membership interest								
Class A common stock dividends							(5,325)	(5,325)
Reclassification to additional paid-in capital	1,819,107				(1,819,107)			
Distributions to LAZ-MD Holdings and LFCM Holdings	(150,000)				, , ,			(150,000)
Purchase contracts associated with equity security units					(6,013)			(6,013)
with equity security units					(12,086)			(12,086)
Costs related to issuance of purchase contracts associated								, , , , ,
Redemption of historical partner interests	(1,517,032)							(1,517,032)
Distributions and withdrawals to members	(418,412)							(418,412)
Issuance of Class B common stock		1			1			1
cashless exchange		37,500,000	\$	375	\$871,281			871,656
equity public offering, including \$32,921 issued in								
Net proceeds from issuance of Class A common stock in	33,000							33,000
Indemnity from LFCM Holdings relating to U.K. pension	53,600							53,600
Distribution of net assets of separated businesses	(243,178)							(243,178)
Comprehensive income (loss)								91,086
Interest rate hedge						(10,297)		(10,297)
Minimum pension liability adjustment						4,449		4,449
Currency translation adjustments						(46,552)		(46,552)

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

LAZARD LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except for per share data, unless otherwise noted)

1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Organization

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of Lazard Ltd and subsidiaries (collectively referred to as Lazard Ltd or the Company) include, subsequent to May 10, 2005, Lazard Ltd s investment in Lazard Group LLC (a Delaware limited liability company, formerly known as Lazard LLC and collectively referred to, with its subsidiaries, as Lazard Group).

Lazard Ltd is a Bermuda holding company that was incorporated in October 2004. Pursuant to a Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-121407) declared effective by the SEC on May 4, 2005 (the Registration Statement) for the initial public offering of shares of Lazard Ltd s Class A common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (Class A common stock), Lazard Ltd issued on May 10, 2005, at \$25 per share, 34,183,162 shares of its Class A common stock in a registered initial public offering (the equity public offering). In addition, on May 10, 2005, pursuant to the IXIS Placements (see Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements) and the cashless exchange of certain of our chief executive officer s interests in Lazard Group with Lazard Ltd, the Company issued 2,000,000 shares of its Class A common stock and 1,316,838 shares of its Class A common stock, respectively. These issuances, together with the 34,183,162 shares of Class A common stock issued pursuant to the equity public offering, resulted in the Company having 37,500,000 shares of its Class A common stock outstanding. The Company, through a number of newly-formed, wholly-owned subsidiaries, contributed the net proceeds from the equity public offering, along with the net proceeds it received from the financing transactions (as described in Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements), to Lazard Group in exchange for 37,500,000 Lazard Group common membership interests, representing 37.5% of Lazard Group s total common membership interests, and, after giving effect to the repurchase of a portion of the Lazard Group common membership interests held by LAZ-MD Holdings LLC (LAZ-MD Holdings) subsequent to May 10, 2005, approximately 37.6% of all outstanding Lazard Group common membership interests as of May 10, 2005 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The Company, through its control of the managing members of Lazard Group, controls Lazard Group.

Lazard Group is governed by an Operating Agreement (the Operating Agreement), dated as of May 10, 2005.

The Company s sole operating asset is its ownership of the common membership interest of Lazard Group and its managing member interest of Lazard Group, whose current principal activities are divided into two business segments:

Financial Advisory, which includes providing advice on mergers, acquisitions, restructurings and other financial matters, and

Asset Management, which includes the management of equity and fixed income securities and merchant banking funds.

In addition, Lazard Group records selected other activities in Corporate, including cash and marketable investments, certain long-term investments, and the commercial banking activities of Lazard Group s Paris-based Lazard Frères Banque SA (LFB). LFB is a registered bank regulated by the Banque de France. LFB s primary commercial banking operations include the management of the treasury positions of Lazard Group s Paris House through its money market desk and, to a lesser extent, credit activities relating to securing loans granted to clients of Lazard Frères Gestion SAS (LFG) and custodial oversight over assets of various clients. In addition, LFB operates many support functions of the Paris House. Lazard Group also allocates outstanding indebtedness to Corporate.

Prior to May 10, 2005, Lazard Group also had a business segment called Capital Markets and Other, which consisted of equity, fixed income and convertibles sales and trading, broking, research and underwriting services

79

LAZARD LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(dollars in thousands, except for per share data, unless otherwise noted)

and merchant banking fund management activities outside of France as well as other specified non-operating assets and liabilities. As described below, this business segment s assets and liabilities (referred to below as the separated businesses) were separated from Lazard Group on May 10, 2005, and the assets, liabilities and operating results of this former segment are reflected as discontinued operations. We refer to the transfer of the separated business as the separation.

The consolidated financial statements include Lazard Ltd, Lazard Group and Lazard Group's principal operating subsidiaries: Lazard Frères & Co. LLC (LFNY), a New York limited liability company, along with its subsidiaries, including Lazard Asset Management LLC and its subsidiaries (collectively referred to as LAM); Lazard Frères SAS and Maison Lazard SAS, French limited liability companies, along with their respective subsidiaries, including LFB and LFG (collectively referred to as LFP); and Lazard & Co., Limited (LCL), through Lazard & Co., Holdings Limited, an English private limited company (LCH); together with their jointly-owned affiliates and subsidiaries.

The Separation and Recapitalization Transactions

On May 10, 2005, Lazard completed the separation and recapitalization transactions, including the financing transactions described in Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Separation

In the separation, Lazard Group transferred the separated businesses to LFCM Holdings LLC (LFCM Holdings) through several steps. First, LAZ-MD Holdings was formed as the new holding company for Lazard Group. Pursuant to this formation, all of the persons who were members of Lazard Group prior to the formation became members of LAZ-MD Holdings and ceased to hold any membership interests in Lazard Group. Lazard Group then contributed the separated businesses to LFCM Holdings, which was then a subsidiary of Lazard Group, and distributed all of the LFCM Holdings interests to LAZ-MD Holdings. After the redemption of the historical partners described below, LAZ-MD Holdings distributed all of the LFCM Holdings interests to its members. Accordingly, after the separation, LFCM Holdings was wholly owned by the members of LAZ-MD Holdings, including Lazard Group s managing directors at the time of the separation.

In the separation, Lazard Group retained all of the Company s Financial Advisory and Asset Management businesses. In addition, under the business alliance agreement, dated as of May 10, 2005, between Lazard Group and LFCM Holdings (the business alliance agreement), Lazard Group was granted the option to acquire the North American and European merchant banking businesses of LFCM Holdings (see Note 7 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).

The Recapitalization

On the same day as the separation, LAZ-MD Holdings and Lazard Group effected a recapitalization of their companies. The recapitalization had three principal parts the financing transactions, the redemption of the historical partners interests and mandatorily redeemable preferred interests of Lazard Group and the issuance of LAZ-MD Holdings exchangeable interests to working members. Historical partners refers to certain former members of Lazard Group that existed prior to the recapitalization, which consisted of Eurazeo S.A., descendants and relations of Lazard Group s founders, several historical partners of Lazard Group s predecessor entities, several current and former managing directors and the other members of these classes. Working members refers to members of Lazard Group that existed prior to the recapitalization, which consisted of current and former managing directors of Lazard Group and the separated businesses.

80

LAZARD LTD

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(dollars in thousands, except for per share data, unless otherwise noted)

The Financing Transactions

On May 10, 2005, the Company completed the financing transactions, which consisted of:

the equity public offering,

the initial offering of equity security units (the ESU offering),

the private offering of Lazard Group senior notes, and

the private placement of securities to IXIS Corporate & Investment Bank (IXIS).

For a further description of the financing transactions, see Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company used the net proceeds from the financing transactions primarily to:

redeem Lazard Group membership interests, including Lazard Group s mandatorily redeemable preferred stock, held by the historical partners for \$1,617,032 (including the value of our chief executive officer s historical interests (\$32,921), which were exchanged for shares of Lazard Ltd Class A common stock in lieu of cash, and the exchange of certain of these membership interests for specific Lazard Group long-term investments valued at \$39,774),

capitalize LFCM Holdings and LAZ-MD Holdings in the amount of \$67,000 and \$83,000, respectively,

repay the 7.53% senior notes due 2011 in aggregate principal amount of \$50,000 as well as a related make-whole payment of \$7,650, and

pay transaction fees and expenses.

The Redemption of the Historical Partners Interests

As noted above, a primary purpose of the financing transactions was the redemption of the historical partners interests. Prior to the separation and recapitalization, Lazard Group had three general classes of membership interests:

the working member interests, which were owned by working members and consisted of capital and the right to participate in profit and the goodwill of Lazard Group if a fundamental transaction occurred,

the historical partner interests, which were owned by the historical partners and consisted of capital and the right to participate in profit and the goodwill of Lazard Group if a fundamental transaction occurred, and

the mandatorily redeemable preferred interests, which were owned by certain of the historical partners and consisted of the right to a preferred dividend of 8% per annum and a fixed liquidation amount.

As par