

ST. BERNARD SOFTWARE, INC.
Form 10QSB
August 20, 2007
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-QSB

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2007.

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM _____ TO _____.

Commission File Number 000-50813

St. Bernard Software, Inc.

(Exact Name of Small Business Issuer as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware
(State or other Jurisdiction of incorporation)

20-0996152
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

15015 Avenue of Science

San Diego, California

(Address of Principal Executive Office)

(858) 676-2277

(Issuer's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Edgar Filing: ST. BERNARD SOFTWARE, INC. - Form 10QSB

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of August 14, 2007 there were 14,742,534 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

ST. BERNARD SOFTWARE, INC.

For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2007

Form 10-QSB

INDEX

| | | |
|-----------------------|--|----|
| <u>PART I</u> | <u>Financial Information</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 20 |
| | <u>Item 3. Controls and Procedures</u> | 41 |
| <u>PART II</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | 41 |
| | <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 41 |
| | <u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 42 |
| | <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 44 |
| | <u>Signatures</u> | 46 |

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements**

St. Bernard Software, Inc.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

| | June 30, 2007 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2006 |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 336,686 | \$ 4,841,871 |
| Accounts receivable - net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$646,000 and \$678,000 at June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006, respectively | 4,087,960 | 3,964,403 |
| Inventories | 725,911 | 729,739 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 492,000 | 483,840 |
| Total current assets | 5,642,557 | 10,019,853 |
| Fixed Assets - Net | 1,857,772 | 1,726,050 |
| Other Assets | 3,540,610 | 3,937,848 |
| Goodwill | 7,542,664 | 7,709,212 |
| | \$ 18,583,603 | \$ 23,392,963 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Deficit | | |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Line of credit | \$ 2,338,408 | \$ 296,410 |
| Accounts payable | 3,995,139 | 4,559,323 |
| Accrued compensation expenses | 1,413,962 | 1,525,821 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 386,267 | 291,718 |
| Current portion of capitalized lease obligations | 144,846 | 75,087 |
| Deferred revenue | 10,878,632 | 11,873,376 |
| Total current liabilities | 19,157,254 | 18,621,735 |
| Capitalized Lease Obligations, Less Current Portion | 249,526 | 141,617 |
| Deferred Revenue | 5,604,670 | 5,842,809 |
| Total liabilities | 25,011,450 | 24,606,161 |
| Commitments and Contingencies | | |
| Stockholders Deficit | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized and 0 shares issued and outstanding | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized and 14,742,534 and 14,764,251 shares issued and outstanding in 2007 and 2006, respectively | 147,425 | 147,643 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 38,757,731 | 38,304,771 |
| Accumulated deficit | (45,333,003) | (39,665,612) |
| Total stockholders deficit | (6,427,847) | (1,213,198) |
| | \$ 18,583,603 | \$ 23,392,963 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

St. Bernard Software, Inc.

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations

| | <i>Three months ended June 30,</i> | | <i>Six months ended June 30,</i> | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Sales | | | | |
| License | \$ 559,478 | \$ 975,987 | \$ 1,415,123 | \$ 1,860,382 |
| Appliance | 881,969 | 804,729 | 1,627,239 | 1,427,929 |
| Subscription | 3,600,039 | 3,831,228 | 7,372,356 | 7,592,236 |
| Total Sales | 5,041,486 | 5,611,944 | 10,414,718 | 10,880,547 |
| Cost of Sales | | | | |
| License | 17,936 | 20,505 | 50,253 | 29,891 |
| Appliance | 778,583 | 600,950 | 1,336,592 | 979,717 |
| Subscription | 1,007,742 | 957,438 | 2,065,381 | 1,921,327 |
| Total Cost of Sales | 1,804,261 | 1,578,893 | 3,452,226 | 2,930,935 |
| Gross Profit | 3,237,225 | 4,033,051 | 6,962,492 | 7,949,612 |
| Sales and marketing expenses | 3,414,415 | 2,712,357 | 7,474,019 | 5,276,687 |
| Research and development expenses | 1,805,270 | 1,432,447 | 3,682,872 | 3,027,811 |
| General and administrative expenses | 2,440,123 | 984,429 | 4,860,114 | 1,912,204 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 7,659,808 | 5,129,233 | 16,017,005 | 10,216,702 |
| Loss from Operations | (4,422,583) | (1,096,182) | (9,054,513) | (2,267,090) |
| Other Expense (Income) | | | | |
| Interest expense - net | 59,162 | 87,837 | 72,061 | 168,301 |
| Loss (Gain) on sale of UpdateExpert | 251,007 | | (3,463,418) | |
| Total Other Expense (Income) | 310,169 | 87,837 | (3,391,357) | 168,301 |
| Loss Before Income Taxes | (4,732,752) | (1,184,019) | (5,663,156) | (2,435,391) |
| Income tax expense | | | (4,235) | |
| Net Loss | \$ (4,732,752) | \$ (1,184,019) | \$ (5,667,391) | \$ (2,435,391) |
| Basic and Diluted Loss Per Common Share | \$ (0.32) | \$ (0.12) | \$ (0.38) | \$ (0.25) |
| Weighted Average Shares Outstanding | 14,764,512 | 9,756,433 | 14,779,434 | 9,752,043 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

St. Bernard Software, Inc.

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Deficit

| | Common Stock | | Additional | Accumulated | Total |
|--|--------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Paid-in Capital | Deficit | |
| Balance at December 31, 2006 | 14,764,251 | \$ 147,643 | \$ 38,304,771 | \$ (39,665,612) | \$ (1,213,198) |
| Common stock issued for exercise of employee options | 44,950 | 449 | 29,595 | | 30,044 |
| Compensation expense on stock options | | | 580,959 | | 580,959 |
| Common stock cancelled as a result of an indemnification claim | (66,667) | (667) | (249,335) | | (250,002) |
| Value of warrants issued | | | 91,741 | | 91,741 |
| Net loss | | | | (5,667,391) | (5,667,391) |
| Balance at June 30, 2007 | 14,742,534 | \$ 147,425 | \$ 38,757,731 | \$ (45,333,003) | \$ (6,427,847) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

St. Bernard Software, Inc.

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| | <i>Six months ended June 30,</i> | |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities | | |
| Net loss | \$ (5,667,391) | \$ (2,435,391) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 740,156 | 294,526 |
| Provision for bad debts | (31,654) | 20,071 |
| Gain on sale of UpdateExpert | (3,463,418) | |
| Compensation expense | 580,959 | |
| Noncash interest expense | 2,318 | |
| Increase (decrease) in cash resulting from changes in: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (91,903) | 486,045 |
| Inventories | 3,828 | 96,077 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | (24,169) | 16,869 |
| Accounts payable | (564,184) | 1,296,606 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 72,113 | 34,480 |
| Deferred revenue | 1,030,535 | 211,107 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | (7,412,810) | 20,390 |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities | | |
| Additional costs related to purchase of business | (83,453) | |
| Purchases of fixed assets | (230,573) | (30,932) |
| Proceeds from the sale of UpdateExpert | 1,200,000 | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 885,974 | (30,932) |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities | | |
| Merger costs | | (464,941) |
| Proceeds from stock option and warrant exercises | 30,044 | 14,066 |
| Principal payments on capitalized lease obligations | (50,391) | (23,488) |
| Proceeds from note payable | | 395,833 |
| Net increase in line of credit | 2,041,998 | 540,890 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 2,021,651 | 462,360 |
| Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents | (4,505,185) | 451,818 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period | 4,841,871 | 9,211 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period | \$ 336,686 | \$ 461,029 |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Interest | \$ 125,386 | \$ 150,608 |
| Income taxes | \$ 1,677 | \$ |

During the six months ended June 30, 2007, the Company entered into capitalized lease obligations for the purchase of \$218,542 in fixed assets.

In April 2007, the shares issued in conjunction with the purchase AgaveOne were reduced by 66,667 shares or \$250,000 as a result of indemnification claims.

In May 2007, the Company issued 100,000 warrants in conjunction with a loan agreement with a bank. See Note 4.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

St. Bernard Software, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

St. Bernard Software, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the Company or St. Bernard), formerly known as Old St. Bernard Software, Inc. is a software development firm specializing in the design and production of innovative network systems management security software. The Company sells its products through distributors, dealers and original equipment manufacturers (OEM), and directly to network managers and administrators worldwide.

Merger and accounting treatment

On October 26, 2005 the Company entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (Merger Agreement), as amended, with Sand Hill IT Security Acquisition Corp. (Sand Hill or Parent), a publicly held Delaware corporation. On July 27, 2006 stockholders of Sand Hill voted to approve the Merger Agreement and the transactions set forth therein (the Merger) in which St. Bernard Software, Inc. became the Parent 's wholly-owned subsidiary. Sand Hill then changed its name to St. Bernard Software, Inc.

The shares of common stock held by the former stockholders of Old St. Bernard, Inc. were converted into a total of 9,733,771 shares of Sand Hill common stock, or approximately 69.2% of the subsequently outstanding common stock of the combined company.

Upon consummation of the Merger, approximately \$22.3 million was released from trust to be used by the combined company. With respect to the business combination, any public stockholder who voted against the Merger could demand that the Company redeem their shares. The per share redemption price was equal to \$5.40 per share. After payments of redeemed shares of approximately \$4.2 million and costs related to the Merger of approximately \$1.3 million, the net proceeds received by the Company was approximately \$16.8 million. The costs incurred in connection with the Merger were reflected as a reduction to the proceeds as of the effective date of the Merger.

For accounting purposes the Merger was accounted for as a reverse acquisition. Under this method of accounting, Sand Hill was treated as the acquired company. Accordingly, for accounting purposes, the Merger was treated as the equivalent of St. Bernard issuing stock for the net monetary assets of Sand Hill. The historical financial statements prior to July 27, 2006, are those of St. Bernard Software. All historical share and per share amounts have been retroactively adjusted, using a conversion factor of 0.419612277 to give effect to the reverse acquisition of Sand Hill.

These consolidated financial statements are issued under the name of the Parent, but are a continuation of the financial statements of the Company and the comparative information presented is that of the Company. The assets and liabilities of the Company are recognized and measured in these consolidated financial statements at their pre-combination carrying amounts. The retained earnings and other equity balances recognized are the retained earnings and other equity balances of the Company immediately before the business combination. The amount recognized as issued equity instruments in these consolidated financial statements was determined by adding to the issued equity of the Company immediately before the business combination. However, the equity structure appearing in these consolidated financial statements reflects the equity structure of the Parent, including the equity instruments issued by the legal Parent to affect the combination

Effective October 17, 2006, we acquired AgaveOne, Inc., a Nevada corporation doing business as Singlefin. Singlefin provided on-demand security and business services to small and medium sized companies, including email filtering, web filtering and instant messaging management as a hosted or on demand service. In connection with the Singlefin acquisition, we paid Singlefin stockholders and option holders \$0.47 million in cash, issued 471,288 shares of common stock and assumed certain stock options granted by Singlefin and converted them into options to acquire 47,423 shares of our common stock.

Table of Contents**St. Bernard Software, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)****(Unaudited)**

During the quarter ended June 30, 2007, the shares issued in conjunction with the purchase were reduced by 66,667 as a result of indemnification claims. We also satisfied \$5.5 million in Singlefin indebtedness and certain Singlefin employees received bonuses totaling \$0.25 million. The aggregate value of the transaction was approximately \$8.0 million.

The following pro forma consolidated information is presented as if the October 2006 acquisition of AgaveOne, Inc. occurred on January 1, 2006. The unaudited pro forma consolidated results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and do not purport to be indicative of the results of operations that would have actually resulted had the acquisition been in effect in the periods indicated above, or of the future results of operations. The unaudited pro forma consolidated results for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, are as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2006 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 |
|---|---|---|
| Net sales | \$ 5,706 | \$ 11,069 |
| Net loss | \$ (1,599) | \$ (3,264) |
| Basic and Diluted Loss per Common Share | (0.16) | (0.32) |
| Weighted Average Shares Outstanding | 10,228 | 10,223 |

Basis of presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared by us without audit and reflect all adjustments (consisting of normal and recurring adjustments and accruals) which are, in our opinion, necessary to present a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented. The consolidated financial statements include our accounts and those of our subsidiaries. All inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The statements have been prepared in accordance with the regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, but omit certain information and footnote disclosures necessary to present the statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The results of operations for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full fiscal year. The information included in this form 10-QSB should be read in conjunction with the Company's financial statements and footnotes that are included in the most recent 10-KSB.

Use of estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates used in preparing the consolidated financial statements include those assumed in computing the allowance for uncollectible accounts receivable, the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets, in testing goodwill for impairment, and assumptions used to determine the fair value of stock options under SFAS 123R.

Liquidity

As of June 30, 2007, we had \$0.3 million of cash and a working capital deficit of \$13.6 million. As of June 30, 2007, we did not expect sufficient cash flows from operations during the next twelve months, along with our available line of credit financing and cash on hand, to cover our anticipated operating expenses plus continued development of our on-demand software as a service solution package. Billings from our on demand service have been lower than expected due to a several month delay in developing the new product line to effectively compete in the

Table of Contents

St. Bernard Software, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

(Unaudited)

medium and large customer market space. In addition, we continue to invest in our on-demand service which includes the staffing of technical support personnel, as well as advertising expenses. Lastly, the increased costs associated with being a public company has caused an increase in the use of cash, which includes accounting, legal, and insurance fees; and a combination of SOX 404 , Board of Director, and IT consulting fees. These circumstances raised substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern as of June 30, 2007. Consequently, we were actively seeking additional debt, and/or equity financing, and management was actively marketing for sale certain non-core assets.

On August 14, 2007 the assets of Open File Manager were sold and the cash proceeds from the transaction were \$6.4 million. There will be additional cash received by the company for transition services provided to the acquirer and the release of \$0.5 million from an indemnification escrow.

If the on-demand business continues to require cash investment and does not begin to meet sales expectations, we may need to raise additional funds on acceptable terms. If we cannot do so, we will not be able to develop or enhance our products, take advantage of future opportunities or respond to competitive pressures or unanticipated requirements. To the extent we raise additional capital by issuing equity securities, our stockholders may experience substantial dilution. A material shortage of capital will require us to take drastic steps such as reducing our level of operations, disposing of selected assets or seeking an acquisition partner.

At June 30, 2007, our current liabilities exceeded our current assets by approximately \$13.6 million and we had a stockholders deficit of approximately \$6.4 million. Our expenses consist primarily of variable costs such as payroll and related expenses that can be modified to meet our operating needs. In addition, approximately \$10.9 million of the current liability balance at June 30, 2007 consists of deferred revenues, which represents amounts that will be amortized into revenue over time, as they are earned. While there are costs that will be incurred as these revenues are earned, management believes these costs are significantly less than the approximately \$10.9 million recorded as a current liability or the approximately \$16.5 million recorded as a liability in total.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern.

Table of Contents

St. Bernard Software, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

(Unaudited)

Cash and cash equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of 90 days or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents.

Research and development and capitalized software costs

The Company's research and development expenses include payroll, employee benefits, stock-based compensation, offshore development and other head-count related costs associated with product development and are expensed as incurred. In accordance with *Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 86, Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to be Sold, Leased, or Otherwise Marketed*, capitalization of costs begins when technological feasibility has been established and ends when the product is available for general release to customers. The Company has determined that technological feasibility for its products is reached after beta testing which is shortly before the products are released to manufacturing/operations. Costs incurred after technological feasibility is established are not material, and accordingly, the Company expenses all research and development costs when incurred. The technological feasibility of significant intellectual property that is purchased has been established prior to the acquisition and therefore the cost is capitalized.

Goodwill

The Company accounts for goodwill in accordance with the provisions of *Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142*. The Company subjects the goodwill to an annual impairment test or when events indicate that an impairment has occurred. The impairment test consists of a comparison of the estimated fair value of the reporting unit to which the goodwill has been assigned to the sum of the carrying value of the assets and liabilities of that reporting unit. The fair value used in this evaluation is based upon discounted future cash flow projections for the reporting unit. As a result of our significant underperformance relative to the expected operating results, we tested our goodwill for impairment as of June 30, 2007. Based upon the results of the impairment test, management of the Company has concluded there was no impairment of goodwill at June 30, 2007. The Company is one reporting unit for purposes of testing goodwill.

Goodwill totaled \$7.5 million and \$7.7 million at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively that arose through business acquisitions made in 2000 and 2006. During the quarter ended June 30, 2007, goodwill was reduced by \$0.2 million, or the fair value of 66,667 shares withheld from a stockholder as a result of indemnification claims in relation to the acquisition of AgaveOne.

Table of Contents

St. Bernard Software, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

(Unaudited)

Intangible assets

The Company accounts for intangible assets in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets* , management reviews our long-lived asset groups, including property and equipment and other intangibles, for impairment and whenever events indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable. When management determines that one or more impairment indicators are present for an asset group, we compare the carrying amount of the asset group to net future undiscounted cash flows that the asset group is expected to generate. If the carrying amount of the asset group is greater than the net future undiscounted cash flows that the asset group is expected to generate, we compare the fair value to the book value of the asset group. If the fair value is less than the book value, we would recognize an impairment loss. The impairment loss would be the excess of the carrying amount of the asset group over its fair value. As a result of our significant underperformance relative to the expected operating results, we tested our intangible assets for impairment as of June 30, 2007. Based upon the results of the impairment test, management of the Company has concluded that there was no impairment of intangible assets at June 30, 2007. It is possible that the Company will have an impairment of intangible assets in the future if the on-demand service billings do not meet our expectations.

Stock options

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of *Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123R (revised 2004)*, *Share-based Payment* , using the modified prospective method and therefore has not restated results for prior periods. Under this transition method, stock-based compensation expense for fiscal year 2006 includes compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based upon the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with *SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. Stock-based compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted after January 1, 2006 is based upon the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with SFAS 123R. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R on January 1, 2006, the Company recognized stock-based compensation expense in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (APB) *Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* , and provided pro forma disclosure amounts in accordance with SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure* , as if the fair value method defined by SFAS 123 had been applied to its stock-based compensation.

The Company has non-qualified and incentive stock option plans (together, the Plans) providing for the issuance of options to employees, directors, and consultants as deemed appropriate by the Board of Directors. Terms of options issued under the Plans include an exercise price equal to the fair market value at the date of grant, vesting periods generally between three to five years, and expiration dates not to exceed ten years from date of grant. The determination of the fair market value of the Company s stock is derived using the closing sale price on the grant date.

The Company granted options during the six months ended June 30, 2007, therefore all fair value calculations were done using the Black-Sholes model under the guidance of SFAS 123R. The weighted average fair value of options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2007, was calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following valuation assumptions: expected volatility 74%; dividend yield 0%; risk free interest rates ranging from 4.54% to 5.14%; expected life 6.5 years. There were no options granted in the six months ended June 30, 2006.

Total stock-based compensation expense was approximately \$321,000 and \$0 for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$581,000 and \$0 for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The stock-based compensation expenses were charged to general and administrative expenses. The earnings per share effect as a result of the stock based compensation expense was approximately \$0.02 and \$0.04 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively. The tax effect was immaterial.

Table of Contents**St. Bernard Software, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)****(Unaudited)**

The following is a summary of stock option activity under the Plans as of June 30, 2007, and changes during the six months ended June 30, 2007:

| | Number of Shares Outstanding | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|--|---|--|
| Options outstanding at December 31, 2006 | 2,260,643 | \$ 3.12 |
| Granted | 1,149,364 | \$ 1.95 |
| Exercised | (44,950) | \$ 0.67 |
| Forfeited/Expired | (1,311,767) | \$ 3.79 |
| Options outstanding at June 30, 2007 | 2,053,290 | \$ 2.08 |

Additional information regarding options outstanding as of June 30, 2007, is as follows:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Number of Shares Outstanding | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life in Years | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number Exercisable | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|---|---|---|--|-------------------------------|--|
| \$0.59 to \$1.15 | 149,552 | 7.03 | \$ 0.74 | 134,552 | \$ 0.69 |
| \$1.19 to \$1.19 | 166,988 | 0.90 | \$ 1.19 | 166,988 | \$ 1.19 |
| \$1.79 to \$1.79 | 3,000 | 9.71 | \$ 1.79 | | \$ 0.00 |
| \$1.80 to \$1.80 | 70,000 | 8.15 | \$ 1.80 | | \$ 0.00 |
| \$1.90 to \$1.90 | 400,000 | 9.47 | \$ 1.90 | | \$ 0.00 |
| \$1.95 to \$1.95 | 934,865 | 8.89 | \$ 1.95 | 77,447 | \$ 1.95 |
| \$2.30 to \$2.30 | 50,000 | 9.51 | \$ 2.30 | | \$ 0.00 |
| \$3.71 to \$3.71 | 200,000 | 9.19 | \$ 3.71 | | \$ 0.00 |
| \$4.75 to \$4.75 | 60,000 | 2.54 | \$ 4.75 | 60,000 | \$ 4.75 |
| \$5.20 to \$5.20 | 18,885 | 8.04 | \$ 5.20 | 18,885 | \$ 5.20 |
| \$0.59 to \$5.20 | 2,053,290 | 8.04 | \$ 2.08 | 457,872 | \$ 1.80 |

Table of Contents**St. Bernard Software, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)****(Unaudited)**

Consistent with the adoption of the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123R and based upon the Company's historical experience, the Company has estimated that 200,000 outstanding options under the Plans that are currently unvested will be forfeited.

The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and exercisable at June 30, 2007 was approximately \$39,000. The aggregate intrinsic value represents the total intrinsic value, based upon the stock price of \$0.98 at June 29, 2007. The intrinsic value of option exercises for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 was approximately \$0 and \$69,000, respectively.

As of June 30, 2007, there was approximately \$4.4 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Plans. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.28 years.

Amendment to recent stock option grants

On January 3, 2007, the Board of Directors of St. Bernard approved an amendment to certain stock option grants made by St. Bernard to certain St. Bernard employees and directors between July 14, 2006 and December 4, 2006, reducing the exercise price of the amended option grants to the closing fair market price of St. Bernard common stock on January 11, 2007. The intention of St. Bernard's Board of Directors in approving the amendment is to reestablish the incentive and retentive value of the amended stock options for the affected employees and directors, as the relevant options had been left significantly out-of-the-money due to recent declines in the price of St. Bernard common stock. A substantial majority of the options that were amended were granted to new executives and employees that joined St. Bernard after the merger with Sand Hill IT Security Acquisition Corp. in July 2006. The reason for delaying the determination of the new grant date for the amended option grants until January 11, 2007 was to enable the market to absorb the information before setting the new exercise price. The amendment affects options to purchase a total of up to 1,055,064 shares of the Company's common stock, including options granted to the executive officers and directors of the Company. The Company expects incremental compensation expenses in relation to the amended stock option grants to total approximately \$283,000.

The following table represents the St. Bernard Software employees and directors whose option grants were amended:

| Name | Position | Original Option Grant Date | Shares Underlying the Option |
|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Vincent Rossi | Chief Executive Officer | July 28, 2006 | 480,000 |
| Al Riedler | Chief Financial Officer | July 14, 2006 | 20,980 |
| Bradford Weller | Chief Legal Officer | July 28, 2006 | 50,000 |
| Louis Ryan | Director | September 7, 2006 | 50,000 |
| Richard Arnold | Director | September 7, 2006 | 50,000 |
| Troy Sexton-Getty | General Manager | November 15, 2006 | 150,000 |
| | | | 800,980 |

Stock purchase plan

The Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or ESPP, was adopted by our board of directors in December 2006, and approved by our shareholders in June 2007. The ESPP purchase period commenced on March 1, 2007, subject to the approval by the stockholders at the 2007 annual meeting and ended on the last business day in the period ending on June 30, 2007. The ESPP provides a means by which employees of the Company (and any parent or subsidiary of the Company designated by the Board of Directors to participate in the Purchase Plan) may be given an opportunity to purchase Common Stock of the Company

Table of Contents

St. Bernard Software, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

(Unaudited)

at semi-annual intervals through payroll deductions, to assist the Company in retaining the services of its employees, to secure and retain the services of new employees, and to provide incentives for such persons to exert maximum efforts for the success of the Company. 400,000 shares have been initially reserved for issuance pursuant to purchase rights under the ESPP. A participant may contribute up to 15% of his or her compensation through payroll deductions, and the accumulated deductions will be applied to the purchase of shares on the purchase date, which is the last trading day of the offering period. The purchase price per share will be equal to 85% of the fair market value per share on the start date of the offering period in which the participant is enrolled or, if lower, 85% of the fair market value per share on the purchase date. In addition, the number of shares available for issuance under the Purchase Plan may be increased annually on the first day of each Company fiscal year, beginning in 2008 and ending in (and including) 2016, by an amount equal to the least of: (i) the difference between four hundred thousand (400,000) and the number of shares remaining authorized for issuance after the last purchase of shares, (ii) four hundred thousand (400,000) shares of Common Stock, or (iii) an amount determined by the Board of Directors or a committee of the Board of Directors appointed to administer the Purchase Plan. If rights granted under the Purchase Plan expire, lapse or otherwise terminate without being exercised, the shares of Common Stock not purchased under such rights again become available for issuance under the Purchase Plan. At June 30, 2007, \$14,540 has been withheld from employee earnings for stock purchases under the ESPP.

Loss per share

Basic loss per share is calculated by dividing net loss by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Diluted loss per share includes the components of basic loss per share and also gives effect to dilutive common stock equivalents. Potentially dilutive common stock equivalents include stock options and warrants. No dilutive effect was calculated for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and June 30, 2006, respectively, as the Company reported a net loss in each period.

Income taxes

Deferred income taxes are recognized for the tax consequences in future years of differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts at each year end based on enacted tax laws and statutory tax rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. Income tax expense is the combination of the tax payable for the period and the change during the period in deferred tax assets and liabilities.

New accounting standards

On February 15, 2007 the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* . SFAS No. 159 permits all entities to choose, at specified election dates, to measure eligible items at fair value (the fair value option). A business entity shall report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings (or another performance indicator if the business entity does not report earnings) at each subsequent reporting date. Upfront costs and fees related to items for which the fair value option is elected shall be recognized in earnings as incurred and not deferred. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently evaluating whether SFAS No. 159 will have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued *Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements* . This Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This statement applies in those instances where other accounting pronouncements require or permit fair value measurements, the board of directors

Table of Contents**St. Bernard Software, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)****(Unaudited)**

having previously concluded in those accounting pronouncements that fair value is the relevant measurement attribute. Accordingly, this statement does not require any new fair value measurements. However, for some entities, the application of this Statement will change current practice. The Company is required to adopt SFAS 157 no later than the fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, this pronouncement will have on its financial statements.

On July 13, 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued *FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*. Interpretation 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an entity's financial statements in accordance with Statement 109 and prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for financial statement disclosure of tax positions taken or expected to be taken on a tax return. Additionally, Interpretation 48 provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. Interpretation 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, with early adoption permitted. The Company adopted Interpretation 48 during fiscal year 2007. The Company did not record, and does not anticipate any adjustments resulting from the adoption of Interpretation 48.

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) ratified *Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) No. 06-2, Accounting for Sabbatical Leave and Other Similar Benefits Pursuant to FASB Statement No. 43, Accounting for Compensated Absences (SFAS No. 43)*. EITF 06-2 provides guidelines under which sabbatical leave or other similar benefits provided to an employee are considered to accumulate, as defined in SFAS 43. If such benefits are deemed to accumulate, then the compensation cost associated with a sabbatical or other similar benefit arrangement should be accrued over the requisite service period. The provisions of the EITF are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006 and allow for either retrospective application or a cumulative effect adjustment approach upon adoption. The Company adopted EITF 06-2 during fiscal year 2007. The Company did not record, and does not anticipate any adjustments resulting from the adoption of EITF 06-2.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the 2006 financial statements have been reclassified to conform with the 2007 classifications. These reclassifications have no effect on reported net income.

2. Assets Held for Sale

In July 2007, our Board of Directors approved a plan to actively pursue potential purchasers of the Open File Manager product line. The Company has determined that the active pursuit of the sale of the product line meets the criteria that cause the related assets and liabilities to be classified as a disposal group, pursuant to SFAS No. 144. On August 14, 2007, the product line was sold. The sale is expected to result in a gain due to cash received and the immediate recognition of previously unrecognized deferred revenue.

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, the Company has classified the identifiable assets and liabilities of the disposal group as held for sale subsequent to June 30, 2007.

The carrying amounts of the major classes of assets and liabilities included as part of the disposal group are summarized below as follows:

| | June 30, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|---|----------------|-------------------|
| Assets | \$ | \$ |
| Current portion of deferred revenue | (1,627,819) | (1,915,611) |
| Long term portion of deferred revenue | (274,681) | (347,947) |
| Total carrying amount of the disposal group | \$ (1,902,500) | \$ (2,263,558) |

Table of Contents**St. Bernard Software, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)****(Unaudited)****3. Other Assets**

Other assets consisted of the following:

| | June 30, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| Capitalized software costs, net of amortization | \$ 2,217,248 | \$ 2,500,496 |
| Customer-related intangible, net of amortization | 1,115,833 | 1,245,833 |
| Security deposits | 207,529 | 191,519 |
| | \$ 3,540,610 | \$ 3,937,848 |

Amortization for the customer related intangible is computed using the straight-line method over a useful life of five years. Amortization expense for the customer related intangible was approximately \$65,000 and \$0 for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$130,000 and \$0 for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Amortization for the capitalized software costs are computed on an individual-product basis using the straight-line method over a useful life ranging from three to six years. Amortization expense related to capitalized software was approximately \$142,000 and \$22,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$283,000 and \$53,000 for six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. See Note 1 Intangible assets.

4. Debt*Line of Credit*

The Company had a \$1,250,000 line of credit with a finance company that automatically renewed every six months. The line of credit provided for advances of up to 80% of eligible accounts receivable. Interest was payable monthly at 1.5% per month (18% per annum). The agreement included a provision for a 1% annual renewal fee and a 1% per annum charge for the average daily unused portion of the line. The agreement allowed for termination without penalty but required thirty days notice. The line of credit was secured by all of the assets of the Company and all assets acquired by the Company during the term of the agreement. The Company was required to deliver all accounts receivable proceeds to the finance company upon receipt by the Company. In May 2007, the Company terminated the above detailed agreement. At June 30, 2007, the balance was zero on the line of credit with this finance company.

On May 15, 2007, St. Bernard Software, Inc., a Delaware corporation (*St. Bernard*), entered into a Loan and Security Agreement (the *Loan Agreement*) with Silicon Valley Bank, a California corporation (*SVB*). Pursuant to the terms of the Loan Agreement, SVB has agreed to provide St. Bernard with a two year revolving line of credit equal to the lesser of (i) \$4,000,000 or (ii) the amount of the Borrowing Base , or eligible accounts receivable, as described in the Loan Agreement. The Loan Agreement also provides for term loans up to \$2,000,000 pursuant to which St. Bernard may request up to a maximum of six term loan advances, the first of which was made available after May 15, 2007, in the amount of \$1,000,000. The Loan Agreement further provides for letters of credit, advances in connection with SVB cash management services and a special reserve for foreign currency exchange contracts with SVB which in the aggregate may not exceed \$250,000. The borrowing availability on the revolving line of credit is reduced by the amount of outstanding principal of any term loans, any outstanding letters of credit, any

Table of Contents

St. Bernard Software, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

(Unaudited)

advances in connection with SVB cash management services and the amount of the reserve for foreign currency exchange contracts with SVB and is subject to the other terms and conditions described in the Loan Agreement. Advances under the revolving line of credit and the term loans shall accrue interest at a per annum rate equal to 2% above the greater of (i) SVB's announced prime rate or (ii) 7.50%.

The obligations under the Loan Agreement are secured by substantially all of St. Bernard's assets other than certain stock of St. Bernard's foreign subsidiary and certain intellectual property rights.

The Loan Agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants and other restrictions. The affirmative covenants include, among others, the delivery of financial statements and accounts receivables schedules to SVB, the maintenance of insurance and the maintenance of a minimum level of tangible net worth. St. Bernard has also agreed that without the consent of SVB, it shall refrain from activities such as engaging in a merger or acquisition, incurring indebtedness, paying dividends or making distributions to its stockholders, repurchasing capital stock or making payments on subordinated debt. At June 30, 2007 we were in compliance with the above stated covenants and restrictions.

The Loan Agreement states that the Company will pay interest only for the first six months on Term Loan Advances outstanding. Thereafter, each Term Loan Advance will be payable in equal monthly installments of principal, plus interest, based on a 36 month amortization schedule, commencing in November 2007 and continuing on the same date of each month thereafter until the Term Loan Maturity Date of May 2009, on which date all remaining principal and accrued interest shall be paid in full.

Additionally, the Loan Agreement contains customary events of default including the following: nonpayment of principle or interest; the violation of a Loan Agreement covenant; the occurrence of a material adverse change; the attachment of a material portion of St. Bernard's assets; insolvency; default by St. Bernard of any third party agreement pursuant to which the acceleration of \$50,000 in principal may result; entry of a judgment equal or greater than \$50,000 against St. Bernard; material misrepresentations by St. Bernard; and the default by St. Bernard under any subordinated debt. Upon the occurrence of an event of default by St. Bernard, the applicable interest rate shall become 5% above the rate that would otherwise be applicable.

In connection with the execution of the Loan Agreement, St. Bernard issued warrants to SVB on May 16, 2007, which allows SVB to purchase up to 100,000 shares of St. Bernard common stock at an exercise price of \$1.60 per share. The warrants expire on the fifth anniversary of the warrant's issue date. Accordingly, the Company recorded prepaid interest expense in the amount of \$91,000, based on the estimated fair value allocated to the warrants using the following assumptions; 73.56% volatility, risk free interest rate of 4.71%, an expected life of five years and no dividends. Amortization of the interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was immaterial. Furthermore, St. Bernard has agreed to grant SVB certain piggyback registration rights with respect to the shares of common stock underlying the warrants. As of June 30, 2007, the balance on the line of credit with SVB was approximately \$2.3 million.

5. Stockholders' Deficit

Warrants

As of June 30, 2007 and 2006, a total of 8,750,104 and 8,719,341 shares of common stock, respectively, were reserved for issuance for the exercise of warrants at an exercise price of \$1.60, \$1.85, \$2.98 and \$5.00 per share.

Table of Contents

St. Bernard Software, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

(Unaudited)

6. Related Party Transactions

A stockholder and former member of the Board of Directors provides legal services to the Company in the ordinary course of business. Therefore, amounts due to this related party's firm exist throughout the year. Billings from the firm totaled \$14,000 and \$430,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$636,000 and \$568,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. Amounts due at June 30, 2007 and 2006 were \$424,000 and \$642,000, respectively.

The Company presently occupies office space provided by an affiliate of certain officers and directors of the Company. Such affiliate has agreed that it will make such office space, as well as certain office and secretarial services, available to the Company, as may be required by the Company from time to time. The Company has agreed to pay such affiliate \$7,500 per month for such services.

7. Commitment and Contingencies

Litigation

In the normal course of business, the Company is occasionally named as a defendant in various lawsuits. On April 13, 2006, eSoft, Inc. filed Civil Action No. 00697-EWN-MJW in the U.S. District Court in Denver, Colorado alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,961,773 by St. Bernard Software, Inc. In connection with this proceeding, the Company filed a counter claim against the plaintiff alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,557,747. In February 2007, the Company paid a settlement for an undisclosed amount in the lawsuit with eSoft, Inc. In addition, the Company has agreed to a cross license of patent rights.

In March 2007, Arthur Budman, a stockholder of the Company, filed a lawsuit against the Company and the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) in the Superior Court of the State of California, San Diego County, seeking monetary damages arising from the alleged negligence of the CFO in connection with communications regarding the terms of the merger of Old St. Bernard Software, Inc. and Sand Hill. It is the opinion of management that the outcome of this pending lawsuit will not materially affect the operations, the financial position, or cash flows of the Company.

Miscellaneous contingency

In January of 2006, an enterprise wide review of job descriptions and employee classifications was conducted by the Company. Based upon current responsibilities, certain exempt /non exempt classifications were updated. Any changes in classifications will be implemented going forward.

As a result of the update in employee classifications, there could be potential assertions from current and former employees that they were entitled to certain benefits under a non exempt classification pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act and state law.

In accordance with SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies", the Company has not recorded a provision since there are no pending claims and it is not probable that a claim will be asserted. The amount of any potential loss cannot be reasonably estimated.

8. Concentrations

Sales and revenue

The Company considers itself to operate within one business segment, Secure Content Management (SCM). For the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, approximately 92% and 95% of the Company's revenue was in North America, the remaining 8% and 5%, respectively, were disbursed over the rest of the world.

Table of Contents

St. Bernard Software, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements-(Continued)

(Unaudited)

9. Asset Sale and License Agreement

On January 29, 2007, pursuant to the terms of an Asset Sale and License Agreement signed and effective as of January 4, 2007 (the **Agreement**), by and between the Company and Shavlik Technologies, LLC (**Shavlik**), St. Bernard assigned and sold to Shavlik St. Bernard's UpdateEXPERT and UpdateEXPERT Premium software applications and related customer and end user license agreements, software, programming interfaces and other intellectual property rights and contracts for an aggregate purchase price of \$1.2 million plus 45% of any maintenance renewal fees collected by Shavlik in excess of \$1.2 million for renewals invoiced by Shavlik between February 1, 2007 and January 31, 2008 (the **Asset Sale**). As a result of the sale, the Company realized a gain of \$3.7 million primarily due to the immediate recognition of deferred revenue offset by a loss of \$0.3 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007 due to uncollectible accounts receivable amounts.

10. Subsequent Events

Asset Purchase Agreement

On August 14, 2007, pursuant to the terms of a Purchase Agreement signed and effective as of August 13, 2007 (the **Agreement**), by and between the Company and EVault, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Seagate Technology, Inc., (**EVault**), St. Bernard assigned and sold to EVault St. Bernard's Open File Manager (the **Product**) software applications, which include all of the rights, title, and interest worldwide of St. Bernard in and to (i) the Product, (ii) the Assumed Contracts, (iii) the St. Bernard Materials, (iv) all St. Bernard Intellectual Property Rights, (v) all claims of St. Bernard against third parties relating to the Purchased Assets, whether choate or inchoate, known or unknown, contingent or noncontingent, (vi) all data and information that is collected from, or on behalf of, customers of St. Bernard who are party to the Assumed Contracts (the **Customer Base**), the OEM Partners and any Lead, including to the extent that receipt of such information would not violate any applicable Law, (vii) all routing and billing information and components used in connection with the Assumed Contracts, and (viii) all other tangible or intangible asset of St. Bernard used in the Business and necessary for the operation or use of the Product for an aggregate purchase price of \$6.9 million. As a result of the sale, the Company realized a gain of approximately \$8.6 million in August 2007, primarily due to cash received and the immediate recognition of previously unrecognized deferred revenue. See Note 2.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This Form 10-QSB contains forward-looking statements concerning our anticipated future revenues and earnings, adequacy of future cash flow, and related matters. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements or phrases such as believe, will, expect, anticipate, estimate, intend, plan, and would and similar expressions, and the negative thereof. Forward-looking statements are not guaranteed performance. We assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements, and these statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. For a summary of such risks and uncertainties, please see Risk Factors located in our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 2, 2007.

OVERVIEW

Our Business

We design, develop, and market secure content management (SCM) solutions that enable our customers to efficiently filter email, instant messaging (IM) and web usage. Our solutions block spam and viruses, and enforce acceptable use policies with respect to web access and email and IM usage. We provide additional capabilities in business continuity and compliance via hosted archiving of email and IM messages. We also provide customers with a hosted, on-demand email system that includes calendaring, a Web 2.0 interface and mobile user support. Our solutions are delivered as appliances and as on-demand software as a service (SaaS).

Our customers include more than 5,000 business, education, and government institutions. Our customers purchase our solutions directly from us, through our 1-tier and 2-tier reseller network, and through, original equipment manufacturers, (OEMs). Appliance purchases consist of an initial hardware purchase and software subscription, with recurring fees for data and maintenance. SaaS purchases consist of a single or multi-year subscription to the hosted services. Our primary customers are IT managers, directors, and administrators.

We invested significantly in research and development activities and for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 we spent \$3.7 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, on research and development. Our research and development efforts have been focused on network based secure content management solutions and expanding our product portfolio into new delivery models, such as SaaS, and additional secure content management markets, such as messaging security, business continuity and email systems, and consolidating these products under a common console.

Table of Contents

Our Strategy

During 2005, we undertook an evaluation of the appropriate long-term strategy for our business. As a result of that process, we determined that we should continue to build on our existing small and medium enterprise security business by increasing our penetration of the secure content management market, and also seek to expand into broader security segments, such as messaging security. We also believed that the software industry was undergoing a trend towards consolidation, and that the areas of security, content management and compliance were beginning to converge. Commencing in the first half of 2005 and continuing through the third quarter of 2006, we investigated business combinations and other strategic transactions that would allow us to expand our security products and service offerings into one or more other key areas of the small and medium size enterprise secure content management market. We focused on acquiring anti-phishing, instant messaging management, anti-spyware and email filtering technologies in our initial pursuit of the expansion of our security business with our adjacent secure content management software products.

Our Business Growth

We have historically grown internally and through acquisitions. On October 26, 2005 we entered into a merger agreement with Sand Hill IT Security Acquisition Corp., Sand Hill or Parent, a publicly held Delaware corporation. On July 27, 2006 stockholders of Sand Hill voted to approve the merger agreement and the transactions set forth therein in which we became the Parent's wholly-owned subsidiary. Sand Hill then changed its name to St. Bernard Software, Inc.

The shares of common stock held by the former stockholders of the private company in the merger were converted into a total of 9,733,771 shares of our stock, or approximately 69.2% of our outstanding common stock following the merger.

Upon consummation of the merger, approximately \$22.3 million was released from trust to be used by the combined company. With respect to the business combination, any public stockholder who voted against the merger could demand that we redeem their shares. The per share redemption price was equal to \$5.40 per share. After payments of redeemed shares of approximately \$4.2 million and costs related to the merger of approximately \$1.3 million the net proceeds received by us were approximately \$16.8 million. The costs incurred in connection with the merger were reflected as a reduction to the proceeds as of the effective date of the merger.

For accounting purposes the merger was accounted for as a reverse acquisition. Under this method of accounting, Sand Hill was treated as the acquired company. Accordingly, for accounting purposes, the merger was treated as the equivalent of the private company in the merger issuing stock for the net monetary assets of Sand Hill. Our historical financial statements prior to July 27, 2006, are those of the private company in the merger. All historical share and per share amounts have been retroactively adjusted, using a conversion factor of 0.419612277 to give effect to the reverse acquisition of Sand Hill.

Effective October 17, 2006, we acquired AgaveOne, Inc., a Nevada corporation doing business as Singlefin. Singlefin provided on-demand security and business services to small and medium sized companies, including email filtering, web filtering and instant messaging management as a hosted or on demand service. In connection with the Singlefin acquisition, we paid Singlefin stockholders and option holders \$0.47 million in cash, issued 471,288 shares of common stock and assumed certain stock options

Table of Contents

granted by Singlefin and converted them into options to acquire 47,423 shares of our common stock. During the quarter ended June 30, 2007, the shares issued in conjunction with the purchase were reduced by 66,667 as a result of indemnification claims. We also satisfied \$5.5 million in Singlefin indebtedness and certain Singlefin employees received bonuses totaling \$0.25 million. The aggregate value of the transaction was approximately \$8.0 million.

Our Financial Results

For the quarter ended June 30, 2007, net revenue was \$5.0 million, a decrease of 10.7% over the same period in 2006. The net loss for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 was \$4.7 million, an increase from the second quarter of 2006 of 291.7%. Basic and diluted net loss per share was \$0.32 for the three months ended June 30, 2007, an increase from a net loss per share of \$0.12 reported in the same period in 2006, primarily the result of an increase in our operating expenses. The increase in operating expenses was mainly attributable to an increase of \$0.7 million, or 25.9%, for sales and marketing expenses due to additional channel sales staff, which lead to an increase in base wages and commissions, and an increase in advertising expenses related to additional marketing for LivePrism on-demand service. In addition, an increase of \$1.4 million or 140.0% for general and administrative expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2007 over the same period in 2006 was largely due to the costs associated with being a public company, which included an increase in compensation expenses and bonuses, an increase in legal costs, an increase in accounting costs, an increase in contract labor and consulting services, and an increase in insurance expenses.

We reported revenues of \$10.4 million for the first six months of 2007 compared to \$10.9 million in the same period last year, a decrease of 4.6%; a net loss for the first six months of 2007 of \$5.7 million, compared to a net loss of \$2.4 million in the same period last year; net basic loss per share for the first six months of 2007 was \$0.38, compared to \$0.25 reported in the same period last year.

Cash used by operations for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$7.4 million compared to cash provided during the six months ended June 30, 2006 of \$0.02 million. The increased use of cash was due primarily to an increased investment in general and administrative expenses due to being a public company of \$3.0 million, an increased investment in sales and marketing of \$2.2 million, a reduction in gross profit of \$1.0 million, an increased investment in research and development of \$0.7 million, a reduction of accounts payable of \$0.6 million, and an increase in accrued expenses and other current liabilities of \$0.1 million. Research, development, software license, and technical support costs for the on-demand service product group totaled \$1.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. These costs did not exist during the six months ended June 30, 2006.

We utilize cash in ways that management believes provide an optimal return on investment. Principal uses of our cash for investing and financing activities include new product development, acquisition of technologies, and purchases of property and equipment.

On May 15, 2007, we established a line of credit with Silicon Valley Bank, terminating our line of credit with Camel Financial. See section titled, *Credit Facility* for the terms of the agreement with Silicon Valley Bank. The balance on the line of credit with SVB was approximately \$2.3 million as of June 30, 2007.

During the six months ended June 30, 2007, we continued to invest in product development. Two product extension efforts were underway during the quarter to enhance the features of iPrism version 4.0 and LivePrism.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

There are several accounting policies that are critical to understanding our historical and future performance, because these policies affect the reported amounts of revenue and other significant areas in our reported financial statements and involve management's judgments and estimates. These critical accounting policies and estimates include:

revenue recognition;

allowance for doubtful accounts;

impairment of goodwill and long-lived assets;

accounting for income taxes; and

accounting for stock options.

These policies and estimates and our procedures related to these policies and estimates are described in detail below and under specific areas within the discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations. Please refer to Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of St. Bernard for the six months ended June 30, 2007 included herein for further discussion of our accounting policies and estimates. There have been no material changes to these accounting policies during the six months ended June 30, 2007.

Revenue Recognition

We make significant judgments related to revenue recognition. For each arrangement, we make significant judgments regarding the fair value of multiple elements contained in our arrangements, judgments regarding whether our fees are fixed or determinable and judgments regarding whether collection is probable. We also make significant judgments when accounting for potential product returns. These judgments, and their effect on revenue recognition, are discussed below.

Multiple Element Arrangements

We typically enter into arrangements with customers that include perpetual software licenses, database subscriptions, hardware appliances, maintenance and technical support. Software licenses are on a per copy basis. Per copy licenses give customers the right to use a single copy of licensed software. We make judgments regarding the fair value of each element in the arrangement and generally account for each element separately.

Assuming all other revenue recognition criteria are met, license and appliance and product revenue is recognized upon delivery in accordance with Statement of Position, or SOP, 97-2 *Software Revenue Recognition*. Under 97-2, we have established vendor specific objective evidence, or VSOE, on each element of multiple element arrangements using the price charged when the same element is sold separately. Undelivered elements typically include subscription, maintenance and technical support and are recognized ratably over the term.

If we cannot establish fair value for any undelivered element, we would be required to recognize revenue for the whole arrangement at the time revenue recognition criteria for the undelivered element is met using *Statement of Position (SOP) No. 98-9, Modification of SOP No. 97-2 Software Revenue Recognition*, with respect to Certain Transactions.

The Fee is Fixed or Determinable

Management makes judgments, at the outset of an arrangement, regarding whether the fees are fixed or determinable. Our customary payment terms generally require payment within 30 days after the invoice date. Arrangements with payment terms extending beyond 120 days after the

Edgar Filing: ST. BERNARD SOFTWARE, INC. - Form 10QSB

effective date of the license agreement are not considered to be fixed or determinable, in which case revenue is recognized as the fees become due and payable.

Table of Contents

Collection is Probable

Management also makes judgments at the outset of an arrangement regarding whether collection is probable. Probability of collection is assessed on a customer-by-customer basis. We typically sell to customers with whom we have a history of successful collections. New customers can be subjected to a credit review process to evaluate the customer's financial position and ability to pay. If it is determined at the outset of an arrangement that collection is not probable, then revenue is recognized upon receipt of payment.

Indirect Channel Sales

We generally recognize revenue from licensing of software products through our indirect sales channel upon sell-through or when evidence of an end-user exists. For certain types of customers, such as distributors, we recognize revenue upon receipt of a point of sales report, which is our evidence that the products have been sold through to an end-user. For resellers, we recognize revenue when we obtain evidence that an end-user exists, which is usually when the software is delivered. For licensing of our software to original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, royalty revenue is recognized when the OEM reports the sale of software to an end-user customer, in some instances, on a quarterly basis.

Delivery of Software Products

Our software may be physically delivered to our customers with title transferred upon shipment to the customer. We primarily deliver our software electronically, by making it available for download by our customers or by installation at the customer site. Delivery is considered complete when the software products have been shipped and the customer has access to license keys. If an arrangement includes an acceptance provision, we generally defer the revenue and recognize it upon the earlier of receipt of written customer acceptance or expiration of the acceptance period.

Product Returns and Exchanges

Our license arrangements do not typically provide customers a contractual right of return. Some of our sales programs allow customers limited product exchange rights. Management estimates potential future product returns and exchanges and reduces current period product revenue in accordance with *Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 48, Revenue Recognition When Right of Return Exists*. The estimate is based on an analysis of historical returns and exchanges. Actual returns may vary from estimates if we experience a change in actual sales, returns or exchange patterns due to unanticipated changes in products, competitive or economic conditions.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Management estimates potential future uncollectible accounts and recognizes expense as appropriate. The estimate is based on an analysis of historical uncollectible accounts and on a review of all significant outstanding invoices. Actual bad debts may vary from estimates if we experience a change in actual sales, returns or exchange patterns due to unanticipated changes in products, competitive or economic conditions.

Impairment of Goodwill and Long-Lived Assets

In accordance with the *Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, management tests our goodwill for impairment annually and whenever events or changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable.

We compare the implied fair value of our reporting unit's goodwill to its carrying amount. If the carrying amount of our reporting unit's goodwill exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss will be recognized in an amount equal to that excess.

Table of Contents

Goodwill arose through business acquisitions made in 2000 and 2006. We completed the test for the goodwill that arose in 2000 during the fourth quarter of 2006, and we were not required to record an impairment loss on that goodwill. As a result of our significant underperformance relative to the expected operating results, we tested our goodwill that arose in 2006 for impairment as of June 30, 2007 in accordance with SFAS No. 142. We were not required to record an impairment loss on that goodwill. The Company is one reporting unit for purposes of testing goodwill.

In accordance with *Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, management reviews our long-lived asset groups, including property and equipment and other intangibles, for impairment and whenever events indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable. When management determines that one or more impairment indicators are present for an asset group, we compare the carrying amount of the asset group to net future undiscounted cash flows that the asset group is expected to generate. If the carrying amount of the asset group is greater than the net future undiscounted cash flows that the asset group is expected to generate, we compare the fair value to the book value of the asset group. If the fair value is less than the book value, we would recognize an impairment loss. The impairment loss would be the excess of the carrying amount of the asset group over its fair value. As a result of our significant underperformance relative to the expected operating results, we tested our intangible assets for impairment as of June 30, 2007. Based upon the results of the impairment test, management of the Company has concluded that there was no impairment of intangible assets at June 30, 2007. It is possible that we will have an impairment of intangible assets in the future if our on-demand service billings do not meet our expectations.

Some of the events that we consider as impairment indicators for our long-lived assets, including goodwill, are:

our significant underperformance relative to expected operating results;

significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate;

an adverse action or assessment by a regulator;

unanticipated competition;

a loss of key personnel;

significant decrease in the market value of a long-lived asset; and

significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which a long-lived asset is being used or its physical condition.

Significant assumptions and estimates are made when determining if our goodwill or other long-lived assets have been impaired or if there are indicators of impairment. Management bases its estimates on assumptions that it believes to be reasonable, but actual future results may differ from those estimates as our assumptions are inherently unpredictable and uncertain. Management's estimates include estimates of future market growth and trends, forecasted revenue and costs, expected periods of asset utilization, appropriate discount rates and other variables.

In July 2007, our Board of Directors approved a plan to actively pursue potential purchasers of our Open File Manager product line. The Company has determined that the active pursuit of the sale of the product line meets the criteria that cause the related assets and liabilities to be classified as a disposal group, pursuant to SFAS No. 144. On August 14, 2007, the product line was sold. The sale is expected to result in a gain due to cash received and the immediate recognition of previously unrecognized deferred revenue.

Table of Contents

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, the Company has classified the identifiable assets and liabilities of the Open File Manager product group as held for sale subsequent to June 30, 2007. See Note 2 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Accounting for Income Taxes

We are required to estimate our income taxes in each federal, state and international jurisdiction in which we operate. This process requires that management estimate the current tax exposure as well as assess temporary differences between the accounting and tax treatment of assets and liabilities, including items such as accruals and allowances not currently deductible for tax purposes. The income tax effects of the differences identified are classified as current or long-term deferred tax assets and liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets. Management's judgments, assumptions and estimates relative to the current provision for income tax take into account current tax laws, our interpretation of current tax laws and possible outcomes of current and future audits conducted by foreign and domestic tax authorities. Changes in tax laws or management's interpretation of tax laws, including the provisions of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, and the resolution of current and future tax audits could significantly impact the amounts provided for income taxes in our balance sheet and results of operations. We must also assess the likelihood that deferred tax assets will be realized from future taxable income and, based on this assessment, establish a valuation allowance if required. As of June 30, 2007, the deferred tax assets were fully reserved. The deferred tax assets include net operating losses and may be subject to significant annual limitation under certain provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Management's determination of valuation allowance is based upon a number of assumptions, judgments and estimates, including forecasted earnings, future taxable income and the relative proportions of revenue and income before taxes in the various domestic and international jurisdictions in which we operate.

Commitments and Contingencies

Effective October 1, 2006, we adopted the provisions of FASB Staff Position (FSP) *Emerging Issue Task Force (EITF) 00-19-2, Accounting for Registration Payment Arrangements*. As a result we changed the manner in which we account for the warrants that were issued subject to a registration payment arrangement by Sand Hill during 2004. Pursuant to the FSP which was posted December 21, 2006, we now account for the registration rights contained in the warrants separately and measures the liability under *FASB SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies* and *FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 14, Reasonable Estimation of the Amount of a Loss*. As a result of the application of transition guidance in the FSP, we reported a change in accounting principle through a cumulative-effect adjustment. The warrant liability originally recorded of approximately \$8.1 million was transferred to additional paid in capital and retained earnings was adjusted for approximately \$2.6 million, which is the difference in the carrying amount of the instrument recorded as a liability immediately prior to the adoption of the FSP and the amount reclassified to equity. We have chosen early adoption of this FSP. Pursuant to *FASB SFAS No. 5*, a loss contingency is to be accrued only if it is probable and can be reasonably estimated. We have determined that a loss contingency related to the registration requirements in the warrants is not probable.

In January 2006, we conducted an enterprise wide review of job descriptions and employee classifications. Based upon current responsibilities, certain exempt/nonexempt classifications were updated. Changes in classifications were implemented going forward.

As a result of the update in employee classifications, there could be potential assertions from current and former employees that they were entitled to certain benefits under a non exempt classification pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act and state law.

In accordance with *SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies*, we have not recorded a provision since there are no pending claims and it is not probable that a claim will be asserted. The amount of any potential loss cannot be reasonably estimated.

In the normal course of business, we are occasionally named as a defendant in various lawsuits. On April 13, 2006, eSoft, Inc. filed Civil Action No. 00697-EWN-MJW in the U.S. District Court in Denver,

Table of Contents

Colorado alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,961,773 by our Company. In connection with this proceeding, we filed a counter claim against the plaintiff alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,557,747. In February 2007, we paid a settlement for an undisclosed amount in the lawsuit with eSoft, Inc. In addition, we agreed to a cross license of patent rights.

In March 2007, Arthur Budman, a stockholder of the Company, filed a lawsuit against the Company and the Chief Financial Officer, CFO, in the Superior Court of the State of California, San Diego County, seeking monetary damages arising from the alleged negligence of the CFO in connection with communications regarding the terms of the merger of Old St. Bernard Software, Inc. and Sand Hill. It is the opinion of management that the outcome of this litigation will not materially affect the operations, the financial position, or cash flows of the Company.

Results of Operations of St. Bernard Software, Inc.***Comparison of the Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006****Net Revenues*

| | For the | | |
|-------------------|--|-------------|-----------------|
| | Three Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | | % Change |
| | 2007 | 2006 | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Total net revenue | \$ 5.0 | \$ 5.6 | (10.7)% |

Net revenues decreased \$0.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in the prior year due primarily to a decrease in license revenue of \$0.4 million and a decrease in subscription revenue of \$0.2 million. See discussion of changes in net license revenue and net subscription revenue below.

Net License Revenues

| | For the | | |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------|-----------------|
| | Three Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | | % Change |
| | 2007 | 2006 | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Net license revenue | \$ 0.6 | \$ 1.0 | (40.0)% |
| As a percentage of net revenue | 12.0% | 17.9% | |

For the three months ended June 30, 2007, our net license revenue decreased \$0.4 million as compared to the same period in 2006 due primarily to a decrease in UpdateEXPERT license revenue. We sold the UpdateEXPERT product line to Shavlik Technologies in January 2007.

Table of Contents*Net Appliance Revenues*

| | For the | | |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------|-----------------|
| | Three Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | | |
| | 2007 | 2006 | % Change |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Net appliance revenue | \$ 0.9 | \$ 0.8 | 12.5% |
| As a percentage of net revenue | 18.0% | 14.3% | |

For the three months ended June 30, 2007, appliance hardware sales increased by \$0.1 million due primarily to a reduction in discounts applied to sales of the model 500 iPrism appliance and two sales which included a large number of appliances. Total units sold for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 were 525 and 514, respectively.

Net Subscription Revenues

| | For the | | |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------|-----------------|
| | Three Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | | |
| | 2007 | 2006 | % Change |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Net subscription revenue | \$ 3.6 | \$ 3.8 | (5.3)% |
| As a percentage of net revenue | 72.0% | 67.9% | |

For the three months ended June 30, 2007, our subscription revenue decreased \$0.2 million over the same period in 2006 due primarily to the sale of UpdateEXPERT to Shavlik Technologies in January 2007. In addition, as of January 2007, we no longer marketed our Open File Manager product line, causing the subscription revenue for that product to decline in the three months ended June 30, 2007, as compared to the same period on 2006. More marketing efforts were concentrated towards the iPrism product line and the LivePrism product line. Renewal rates for our products range from 75% to 95%.

Cost of Revenue

| | For the | | |
|-----------------------|--|-------------|-----------------|
| | Three Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | | |
| | 2007 | 2006 | % Change |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Total cost of revenue | \$ 1.8 | \$ 1.6 | 12.5% |
| Gross margin percent | 64.0% | 71.4% | |

Cost of revenue consists primarily of the cost of contract manufactured hardware, royalties paid to third parties under technology licensing agreements, packaging costs, fee-based technical support costs and freight. Cost of revenue increased \$0.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same period in 2006. Gross margin decreased 7.4% for the three months ended June 30, 2007, as compared to the same period in 2006 primarily due to an increase in appliance hardware costs and the addition of LivePrism costs, which include direct subscription costs and payroll related costs for our LivePrism technical support staff. The LivePrism product line has not gained adequate

revenue traction to support its costs of revenue.

Table of Contents*Cost of Appliance Revenue*

| | For the | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Three Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | | % Change |
| | 2007 | 2006 | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Total cost of appliance revenue | \$ 0.8 | \$ 0.6 | 33.3% |
| Gross margin percent | 11.1% | 25.0% | |

The cost of appliance revenue includes contract manufactured equipment, packaging and freight. The cost of hardware for the three months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$0.2 million from the same period in 2006. The increase was due primarily to an increase of the amount of units shipped from the same period in 2006. The 13.9% decrease in gross margin percentage for the three months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006 can be attributed to the 12.5% increase in appliance revenue compared to a 33.3% increase in the costs of appliance revenue. The increase in costs of appliance revenue was primarily due to increased costs of freight, as well as an increase in the net number of outstanding customer-service replacement units at June 30, 2007 compared to June 30, 2006

Cost of Subscription Revenue

| | For the | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Three Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | | % Change |
| | 2007 | 2006 | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Total cost of subscription revenue | \$ 1.0 | \$ 1.0 | 0.0% |
| Gross margin percent | 72.2% | 73.7% | |

The cost of subscription revenue includes the technical operation group that maintains the various databases and the technical support group. The cost of subscription revenue remained unchanged for the three months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006.

Sales and Marketing

| | For the | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Three Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | | % Change |
| | 2007 | 2006 | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Total sales and marketing | \$ 3.4 | \$ 2.7 | 25.9% |
| As a percentage of net revenue | 68.0% | 48.2% | |

Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of salaries, related benefits, commissions, consultant fees, advertising, lead generation and other costs associated with our sales and marketing efforts. For the three months ended June 30, 2007, the sales and marketing expenses increased 25.9%, or \$0.7 million, over the same period in 2006. The increase was primarily due to the hiring of additional channel sales staff as part of the

Edgar Filing: ST. BERNARD SOFTWARE, INC. - Form 10QSB

expansion of the channel sales program in order to reach a broader customer base. This decision resulted in increased compensation expenses and commissions of \$0.5 million, attributed to higher base salaries. In addition, there was an increase in advertising expenses related to the on-demand product line of \$0.2 million in the three months ended June 30, 2007. Sales and marketing expenses are incurred to generate billings, which are deferred and recognized according to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP).

Table of Contents*Research and Development*

| | For the | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Three Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | 2006 | % Change |
| | 2007 | | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Total research and development | \$ 1.8 | \$ 1.4 | 28.6%% |
| As a percentage of net revenue | 36.0% | 25.0% | |

Research and development expense consists primarily of salaries, related benefits, third-party consultant fees and other engineering related costs. The increase of \$0.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006 was primarily the result of an increase of \$0.3 million in compensation costs for additional specialized staff related to the expanded data center development, combined with an increase of \$0.1 million in other research and development expenses. Management believes that a significant level of research and development investment is required to remain competitive and we expect to continue to invest in research and development activities.

We believe that the present level of research and development costs in the second quarter of 2007 will be sufficient in the future to keep the existing products competitive, however, additional development staff and other development resources will be required if a new product development effort is undertaken.

General and Administrative

| | For the | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Three Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | 2006 | % Change |
| | 2007 | | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Total general and administrative | \$ 2.4 | \$ 1.0 | 140.0% |
| As a percentage of net revenue | 48.0% | 17.9% | |

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries, related benefits, and fees for professional services, such as legal and accounting services. General and administrative expenses increased \$1.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006, largely due to an increase in compensation expenses and bonuses of approximately \$0.4 million, \$0.3 million in FAS 123R expenses, an increase in contract labor and consulting services of \$0.2 million due to a combination of SOX 404 consulting fees, Board of Director fees, and IT consulting fees, and the amortization of the capitalized intangible assets of AgaveOne of approximately \$0.2 million. In addition, there was an increase in accounting costs of \$0.1 million, an increase in legal fees of \$0.1 million, and an increase in insurance expenses of \$0.1 million. The increased expenses for accounting, legal, and insurance costs are associated with being a public company.

Table of Contents*Interest and Other Income, Net*

| | For the | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Three Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | 2006 | % Change |
| | 2007 | | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Total interest and other income, net | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.1 | 0.0% |
| As a percentage of net revenue | 2.0% | 1.8% | |

Interest and other expenses, net, includes interest expenses, interest income, and other expenses. There was no change in interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2007 over the same period in 2006.

Gain on sale of asset

| | For the | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Three Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | 2006 | % Change |
| | 2007 | | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Gain (loss) on sale of asset | \$ (0.3) | \$ 0.0 | (100.0)% |
| As a percentage of net revenue | (0.6)% | 0.0% | |

The loss on the sale of asset of approximately \$0.3 million was due to the sale of our UpdateEXPERT product line. As a result of the sale, the Company realized a loss of \$0.3 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007 due to uncollectible accounts receivable amounts.

*Comparison of the Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006**Net Revenues*

| | For the | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|----------|
| | Six Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | 2006 | % Change |
| | 2007 | | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Total net revenue | \$ 10.4 | \$ 10.9 | (4.6)% |

Net revenues decreased \$0.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in the prior year due primarily to a decrease in license revenue of \$0.5 million. See discussion of changes in net license revenue below.

Net License Revenues

For the

Six Months Ended

| | June 30, | | % Change |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Net license revenue | \$ 1.4 | \$ 1.9 | (26.3)% |
| As a percentage of net revenue | 13.5% | 17.4% | |

For the six months ended June 30, 2007, our net license revenue decreased \$0.5 million as compared to the same period in 2006. The license revenue decrease was primarily due to a decrease in the UpdateEXPERT license revenue. We sold the UpdateEXPERT product line to Shavlik Technologies in January 2007.

Table of Contents*Net Appliance Revenues*

| | For the | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Six Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | | % Change |
| | 2007 | 2006 | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Net appliance revenue | \$ 1.6 | \$ 1.4 | 14.3% |
| As a percentage of net revenue | 15.4% | 12.8% | |

For the six months ended June 30, 2007, appliance hardware sales increased by \$0.2 million due primarily to more appliance units sold as compared to the same period in 2006. In June 2007, we completed two sales which included a large number of appliances. Total units sold for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 were 923 and 883, respectively.

Net Subscription Revenues

| | For the | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Six Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | | % Change |
| | 2007 | 2006 | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Net subscription revenue | \$ 7.4 | \$ 7.6 | (2.6)% |
| As a percentage of net revenue | 71.2% | 69.7% | |

For the six months ended June 30, 2007, our subscription revenue decreased \$0.2 million over the same period in 2006 due primarily to the sale of the UpdateEXPERT product line to Shavlik Technologies in January 2007. In addition, as of January 2007, we no longer marketed our Open File Manager product line, causing the subscription revenue for that product to decline in the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to the same period on 2006. More marketing efforts were concentrated towards the iPrism product line and the launch of our LivePrism product line. Renewal rates for our products range from 75% to 95%.

Cost of Revenue

| | For the | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Six Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | | % Change |
| | 2007 | 2006 | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Total cost of revenue | \$ 3.5 | \$ 2.9 | 20.7% |
| Gross margin percent | 66.3% | 73.4% | |

Cost of revenue consists primarily of the cost of contract manufactured hardware, royalties paid to third parties under technology licensing agreements, packaging costs, fee-based technical support costs and freight. Cost of revenue increased \$0.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006. Gross margin decreased 7.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006, primarily due to an increase in appliance hardware costs, and the addition of LivePrism costs, which include direct subscription costs and payroll related costs for our LivePrism technical support staff. The LivePrism product line has not gained adequate revenue traction to

support its costs of revenue.

Table of Contents*Cost of Appliance Revenue*

| | For the | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Six Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | | % Change |
| | 2007 | 2006 | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Total cost of appliance revenue | \$ 1.3 | \$ 1.0 | 30.0% |
| Gross margin percent | 18.8% | 28.6% | |

The cost of appliance revenue includes contract manufactured equipment, packaging and freight. The cost of hardware for the six months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$0.3 million from the same period in 2006. The increase was due primarily to an increase in the amount of units shipped from the same period in 2006. The 9.8% decrease in gross margin percentage for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006 can be attributed to the 14.3% increase in appliance revenue compared to a 30.0% increase in the costs of appliance revenue. The increase in costs of appliance revenue was primarily due to increased costs of freight, as well as an increase in the net number of outstanding customer-service replacement units at June 30, 2007 compared to June 30, 2006

Cost of Subscription Revenue

| | For the | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Six Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | | % Change |
| | 2007 | 2006 | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Total cost of subscription revenue | \$ 2.1 | \$ 1.9 | 10.5% |
| Gross margin percent | 71.6% | 75.0% | |

The cost of subscription revenue includes the technical operation group that maintains the various databases and the technical support group. The cost of subscription revenue increased \$0.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006. The increase in cost of subscription revenue in 2007 was primarily due to payroll related expenses and other direct expenses related to LivePrism, a subscription only product, which was launched in the first quarter of 2007. Gross margin decreased 3.4% for six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006 primarily due to the increased costs to support our subscription services, mostly attributable to our LivePrism product line.

Sales and Marketing

| | For the | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Six Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | | % Change |
| | 2007 | 2006 | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Total sales and marketing | \$ 7.5 | \$ 5.3 | 41.5% |

Edgar Filing: ST. BERNARD SOFTWARE, INC. - Form 10QSB

As a percentage of net revenue

72.1%

48.6%

Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of salaries, related benefits, commissions, consultant fees, advertising, lead generation and other costs associated with our sales and marketing efforts. For the six months ended June 30, 2007, the sales and marketing expenses increased 41.5%, or \$2.2 million, over the same period in 2006. The increase was primarily due to the hiring of additional channel sales staff as a result of a decision to expand the channel sales program in order to reach a broader customer base. This decision resulted in an increase in compensation expenses and commissions of \$1.1 million, which was primarily attributed to higher base salaries for the additional staff. In addition, there was an increase in advertising expenses related to the on-demand product line of \$0.7 million, an increase in consulting expenses of \$0.1 million due to the reorganization of our EMEA office, an increase

Table of Contents

in travel expenses of \$0.1 million due to additional travel for the increased sales staff, and an increase of \$0.2 million in other sales and marketing related expenses in the six months ended June 30, 2007. Sales and marketing expenses are incurred to generate billings, which are deferred and recognized according to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP).

Research and Development

| | For the | | |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------|-----------------|
| | Six Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | | |
| | 2007 | 2006 | % Change |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Total research and development | \$ 3.7 | \$ 3.0 | 23.3% |
| As a percentage of net revenue | 35.6% | 27.5% | |

Research and development expense consists primarily of salaries, related benefits, third-party consultant fees and other engineering related costs. The increase of \$0.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006 was primarily the result of an increase of \$0.6 million in compensation costs for additional specialized staff related to the expanded data center development, combined with an increase of \$0.1 million in other research and development expenses. Management believes that a significant level of research and development investment is required to remain competitive and we expect to continue to invest in research and development activities.

We believe that the present level of research and development costs in the second quarter of 2007 will be sufficient in the future to keep the existing products competitive, however, additional development staff and other development resources will be required if a new product development effort is undertaken.

General and Administrative

| | For the | | |
|----------------------------------|--|-------------|-----------------|
| | Six Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, | | |
| | 2007 | 2006 | % Change |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Total general and administrative | \$ 4.9 | \$ 1.9 | 157.9% |
| As a percentage of net revenue | 47.1% | 17.4% | |

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries, related benefits, and fees for professional services, such as legal and accounting services. General and administrative expenses increased \$3.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006, largely due to an increase in compensation expense and bonuses of \$1.5 million, which includes FAS 123R expenses of \$0.6 million, an increase in amortization expenses of approximately \$0.4 million due to the amortization of capitalized intangible assets related to Agaveone, an increase in legal costs of \$0.3 million, an increase in accounting costs of \$0.3 million, an increase in contract labor and consulting services of \$0.3 million due to a combination of SOX 404 consulting fees, Board of Director fees, and IT consulting fees, and an increase in insurance expenses of \$0.2 million. The increase in accounting, legal, and insurance costs was due to the costs associated with being a public company.

Table of Contents*Interest and Other Income, Net*

| | For the | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Six Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, 2007 | 2006 | % Change |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Total interest and other income, net | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.2 | (50.0)% |
| As a percentage of net revenue | 1.0% | 1.8% | |

Interest and other expenses, net, includes interest expenses, interest income, and other expenses. There was a decrease in interest expense of approximately \$0.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 over the same period in 2006 because we paid off the note we had with our former CEO during 2006, and therefore, the interest associated with the note did not exist in 2007.

Gain on sale of asset

| | For the | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Six Months Ended | | |
| | June 30, 2007 | 2006 | % Change |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | |
| Gain on sale of asset | \$ 3.5 | \$ 0.0 | 100.0% |
| As a percentage of net revenue | 33.7% | 0.0% | |

The gain on the sale of asset of approximately \$3.5 million was due to the sale of our UpdateEXPERT product line. As a result of the sale, the Company realized a gain of \$3.7 million primarily due to the immediate recognition of deferred revenue offset by a loss during the three months ended June 30, 2007 due to uncollectible accounts receivable amounts, resulting in a \$3.5 million gain for the six months ended June 30, 2007.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

On February 15, 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued *Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* . SFAS No. 159 permits all entities to choose, at specified election dates, to measure eligible items at fair value (the fair value option). A business entity shall report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings, or another performance indicator if the business entity does not report earnings, at each subsequent reporting date. Upfront costs and fees related to items for which the fair value option is elected shall be recognized in earnings as incurred and not deferred. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted. We are currently evaluating whether SFAS No. 159 will have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued *Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements* . This Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This Statement applies in those instances where other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, the board of directors having previously concluded in those accounting pronouncements that fair value is the relevant measurement attribute. Accordingly, this statement does not require any new fair value measurements. However, for some entities, the application of this Statement will change current practice. We are required to adopt SFAS 157 no later than the fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2007. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, this pronouncement will have on the financial statements.

Table of Contents

On July 13, 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued *FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. Interpretation 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an entity's financial statements in accordance with Statement 109 and prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for financial statement disclosure of tax positions taken or expected to be taken on a tax return. Additionally, Interpretation 48 provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. Interpretation 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, with early adoption permitted. We adopted Interpretation 48 during fiscal year 2007. We did not record, and do not anticipate any adjustments resulting from the adoption of Interpretation 48.

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) ratified *Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) No. 06-2, Accounting for Sabbatical Leave and Other Similar Benefits Pursuant to FASB Statement No. 43, Accounting for Compensated Absences (SFAS No. 43)*. EITF 06-2 provides guidelines under which sabbatical leave or other similar benefits provided to an employee are considered to accumulate, as defined in SFAS 43. If such benefits are deemed to accumulate, then the compensation cost associated with a sabbatical or other similar benefit arrangement should be accrued over the requisite service period. The provisions of the EITF are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006 and allow for either retrospective application or a cumulative effect adjustment approach upon adoption. We adopted EITF 06-2 during fiscal year 2007. We did not record, and do not anticipate any adjustments resulting from the adoption of EITF 06-2.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flows

Our largest source of operating cash flows is cash collections from our customers for purchases of products and subscription, maintenance and technical support. Our standard payment terms for both license and support invoices are net 30 days from the date of invoice. The recurring revenue subscription portion of our business is a mainstay of the cash flow we generate. Our primary uses of cash for operating activities include personnel and facilities related expenditures and technology costs, as well as costs associated with outside support and services.

Cash used by operations for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$7.4 million compared to cash provided during the six months ended June 30, 2006 of \$0.02 million. The increased use of cash was due primarily to an increased investment in general and administrative expenses due to being a public company of \$3.0 million, an increased investment in sales and marketing of \$2.2 million, a reduction in gross profit of \$1.0 million, an increased investment in research and development of \$0.7 million, a reduction of accounts payable of \$0.6 million, and an increase in accrued expenses and other current liabilities of \$0.1 million. Research, development, software license, and technical support costs for the on-demand service product group totaled \$1.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. These costs did not exist during the six months ended June 30, 2006.

Cash flows provided by investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$0.9 million and cash used for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$31,000, respectively. The cash provided by investing activities was primarily due to the proceeds from the sale of UpdateEXPERT for \$1.2 million offset by additional costs related to the purchase of Singlefin for \$0.1 million, and purchase of fixed assets for \$0.2 million.

Cash flows provided by financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 was \$2.0 and \$0.5 million, respectively. The increased cash provided by financing activities was due to the additional borrowing of cash from our line of credit.

For the six months ended June 30, 2007, the net decrease in cash was \$4.5 million compared to a net increase in cash of approximately \$0.5 million for the comparable period in 2006.

Table of Contents*Credit Facility*

During 2001, we entered into a short-term credit facility with Camel Financial, Inc. in the amount of \$0.5 million. During 2003, the short-term credit facility was renewed and increased to \$1.3 million. The credit facility automatically renewed every six months. The line of credit provided for advances of up to 80% of eligible accounts receivable. Eligible accounts receivable were determined solely by the finance company. Interest was payable monthly at 1.5% per month (18% per annum). The agreement included a provision for a 1% annual renewal fee and a 1% per annum charge for the daily average unused portion of the line. The agreement allowed for termination without penalty but required thirty days prior notice for cancellation. The line of credit was secured by all of our assets and all of the assets acquired during the term of the agreement. We were required to deliver all accounts receivable proceeds against the outstanding line of credit balance upon receipt. In May 2007, we terminated the above detailed agreement. At June 30, 2007, the balance was zero on the line of credit with this finance company.

On May 15, 2007, the Company entered into a Loan and Security Agreement (the *Loan Agreement*) with Silicon Valley Bank, a California corporation (*SVB*). Pursuant to the terms of the Loan Agreement, SVB has agreed to provide St. Bernard with a two year revolving line of credit equal to the lesser of (i) \$4,000,000 or (ii) the amount of the Borrowing Base, or eligible accounts receivable, as described in the Loan Agreement. The Loan Agreement also provides for term loans up to \$2,000,000 pursuant to which St. Bernard may request up to a maximum of six term loan advances, the first of which was made available after May 15, 2007, in the amount of \$1,000,000. The Loan Agreement further provides for letters of credit, advances in connection with SVB cash management services and a special reserve for foreign currency exchange contracts with SVB which in the aggregate may not exceed \$250,000. The borrowing availability on the revolving line of credit is reduced by the amount of outstanding principal of any term loans, any outstanding letters of credit, any advances in connection with SVB cash management services and the amount of the reserve for foreign currency exchange contracts with SVB and is subject to the other terms and conditions described in the Loan Agreement. Advances under the revolving line of credit and the term loans shall accrue interest at a per annum rate equal to 2% above the greater of (i) SVB's announced prime rate or (ii) 7.50%.

The obligations under the Loan Agreement are secured by substantially all of St. Bernard's assets other than certain stock of St. Bernard's foreign subsidiary and certain intellectual property rights.

The Loan Agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants and other restrictions. The affirmative covenants include, among others, the delivery of financial statements and accounts receivables schedules to SVB, the maintenance of insurance and the maintenance of a minimum level of tangible net worth. St. Bernard has also agreed that without the consent of SVB, it shall refrain from activities such as engaging in a merger or acquisition, incurring indebtedness, paying dividends or making distributions to its stockholders, repurchasing capital stock or making payments on subordinated debt. At June 30, 2007 we were in compliance with the above stated covenants and restrictions.

The Loan Agreement states that the Company will pay interest only for the first six months on Term Loan Advances outstanding. Thereafter, each Term Loan Advance will be payable in equal monthly installments of principal, plus interest, based on a 36 month amortization schedule, commencing in November 2007 and continuing on the same date of each month thereafter until the Term Loan Maturity Date of May 2009, on which date all remaining principal and accrued interest shall be paid in full.

Additionally, the Loan Agreement contains customary events of default including the following: nonpayment of principle or interest; the violation of a Loan Agreement covenant; the occurrence of a material adverse change; the attachment of a material portion of St. Bernard's assets; insolvency; default by St. Bernard of any third party agreement pursuant to which the acceleration of \$50,000 in principal may result; entry of a judgment equal or greater than \$50,000 against St. Bernard; material misrepresentations by St. Bernard; and the default by St. Bernard under any subordinated debt. Upon the occurrence of an event of default by St. Bernard, the applicable interest rate shall become 5% above the rate that would otherwise be applicable.

Table of Contents

In connection with the execution of the Loan Agreement, St. Bernard issued warrants to SVB on May 16, 2007, which allows SVB to purchase up to 100,000 shares of St. Bernard common stock at an exercise price of \$1.60 per share. The warrants expire on the fifth anniversary of the warrant's issue date. Accordingly, the Company recorded prepaid interest expense in the amount of \$91,000, based on the estimated fair value allocated to the warrants using the following assumptions; 73.56% volatility, risk free interest rate of 4.71%, an expected life of five years and no dividends. Amortization of the interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was immaterial. Furthermore, St. Bernard has agreed to grant SVB certain piggyback registration rights with respect to the shares of common stock underlying the warrants. As of June 30, 2007, the balance on the line of credit with SVB was approximately \$2.3 million.

Liquidity

As of June 30, 2007, we had \$0.3 million of cash and a working capital deficit of \$13.6 million. As of June 30, 2007, we did not expect sufficient cash flows from operations during the next twelve months, along with our available line of credit financing and cash on hand, to cover our anticipated operating expenses plus continued development of our on-demand software as a service solution package. Billings from our on demand service have been lower than expected due to a several month delay in developing the new product line to effectively compete in the medium and large customer market space. In addition, we continue to invest in our on-demand service which includes the staffing of technical support personnel, as well as advertising expenses. Lastly, the increased costs associated with being a public company has caused an increase in the use of cash, which includes accounting, legal, and insurance fees; and a combination of SOX 404, Board of Director, and IT consulting fees. These circumstances raised substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern as of June 30, 2007. Consequently, we were actively seeking additional debt, and/or equity financing, and management was actively marketing for sale certain non-core assets. On August 14, 2007 the assets of Open File Manager were sold and the cash proceeds from the transaction were \$6.4 million. There will be additional cash received by the company for transition services provided to the acquirer and the release of \$0.5 million from an indemnification escrow.

If the on demand business continues to require cash investment and does not begin to meet sales expectations, we may need to raise additional funds on acceptable terms. If we cannot do so, we will not be able to develop or enhance our products, take advantage of future opportunities or respond to competitive pressures or unanticipated requirements. To the extent we raise additional capital by issuing equity securities, our stockholders may experience substantial dilution. A material shortage of capital will require us to take drastic steps such as reducing our level of operations, disposing of selected assets or seeking an

Table of Contents

acquisition partner. If the Company is not able to develop new and enhanced products that achieve widespread market acceptance, it may be unable to recover product development costs, and its earnings and revenue may decline.

On January 1, 2007, we had cash on hand of approximately \$4.8 million. We used that cash plus incurred additional debt during the subsequent two quarters. We are developing our on-demand services, which may require a larger cash investment than we currently anticipate. The market for St. Bernard's products is intensely competitive and is likely to become even more so in the future. St. Bernard also faces increasing competition from security solutions providers who may add security modules or features to their product offerings. In addition, pricing pressures and increased competition generally could result in reduced sales, reduced margins or the failure of St. Bernard's products to achieve or maintain more widespread market acceptance, any of which could have a material adverse effect on its business, results of operations and financial condition.

We have a history of losses and have not been able to achieve profitability. Our cumulative net loss was approximately \$45.3 million and \$39.7 million as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. There can be no assurances that we will become or remain profitable or that losses and negative cash flows will not continue to occur.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Except for the commitments arising from our operating lease arrangements, we have no other off-balance sheet arrangements that are reasonably likely to have a material effect on our financial statements.

Adoption of Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or ESPP, was adopted by our board of directors in December 2006 and approved by our shareholders in June 2007. The ESPP purchase period commenced on March 1, 2007, subject to the approval by the stockholders at the 2007 annual meeting and ended on the last business day in the period ending on June 30, 2007. The ESPP provides a means by which employees of the Company (and any parent or subsidiary of the Company designated by the Board of Directors to participate in the Purchase Plan) may be given an opportunity to purchase Common Stock of the Company at semi-annual intervals through payroll deductions, to assist the Company in retaining the services of its employees, to secure and retain the services of new employees, and to provide incentives for such persons to exert maximum efforts for the success of the Company. 400,000 shares have been initially reserved for issuance pursuant to purchase rights under the ESPP. A participant may contribute up to 15% of his or her compensation through payroll deductions, and the accumulated deductions will be applied to the purchase of shares on the purchase date, which is the last trading day of the offering period. The purchase price per share will be equal to 85% of the fair market value per share on the start date of the offering period in which the participant is enrolled or, if lower, 85% of the fair market value per share on the purchase date. In addition, the number of shares available for issuance under the Purchase Plan may be increased annually on the first day of each Company fiscal year, beginning in 2008 and ending in (and including) 2016, by an amount equal to the least of: (i) the difference between four hundred thousand (400,000) and the number of shares remaining authorized for issuance after the last purchase of shares, (ii) four hundred thousand (400,000) shares of Common Stock, or (iii) an amount determined by the Board of Directors or a committee of the Board of Directors appointed to administer the Purchase Plan. If rights granted under the Purchase Plan expire, lapse or otherwise terminate without being exercised, the shares of Common Stock not purchased under such rights again become available for issuance under the Purchase Plan. At June 30, 2007, \$14,540 has been withheld from employee earnings for stock purchases under the ESPP.

Table of Contents

Asset Sale and License Agreement

On January 29, 2007, pursuant to the terms of an Asset Sale and License Agreement signed and effective as of January 4, 2007 (the **Agreement**), by and between the Company and Shavlik Technologies, LLC (**Shavlik**), St. Bernard assigned and sold to Shavlik St. Bernard's UpdateEXPERT and UpdateEXPERT Premium software applications and related customer and end user license agreements, software, programming interfaces and other intellectual property rights and contracts for an aggregate purchase price of \$1.2 million plus 45% of any maintenance renewal fees collected by Shavlik in excess of \$1.2 million for renewals invoiced by Shavlik between February 1, 2007 and January 31, 2008 (the **Asset Sale**). As a result of the sale, we realized a gain of \$3.7 million primarily due to the immediate recognition of deferred revenue offset by a loss of \$0.3 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007 due to uncollectible accounts receivable amounts.

Subsequent Events

On August 14, 2007, pursuant to the terms of an Asset Purchase Agreement signed and effective as of August 13, 2007 (the **Agreement**), by and between the Company and EVault, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Seagate Technology, Inc., (**EVault**), St. Bernard assigned and sold to EVault St. Bernard's Open File Manager (the **Product**) software applications, which include all of the rights, title, and interest worldwide of St. Bernard in and to (i) the Product, (ii) the Assumed Contracts, (iii) the St. Bernard Materials, (iv) all St. Bernard Intellectual Property Rights, (v) all claims of St. Bernard against third parties relating to the Purchased Assets, whether choate or inchoate, known or unknown, contingent or noncontingent, (vi) all data and information that is collected from, or on behalf of, customers of St. Bernard who are party to the Assumed Contracts (the **Customer Base**), the OEM Partners and any Lead, including to the extent that receipt of such information would not violate any applicable Law, (vii) all routing and billing information and components used in connection with the Assumed Contracts, and (viii) all other tangible or intangible asset of St. Bernard used in the Business and necessary for the operation or use of the Product for an aggregate purchase price of \$6.9 million. As a result of the sale, the Company realized a gain of approximately \$8.6 million in August 2007, primarily due to cash received and the immediate recognition of previously unrecognized deferred revenue.

Forward-Looking Statements

We believe it is important to communicate our expectations to our stockholders. However, there may be events in the future that we are not able to predict accurately or over which we have no control.

Certain statements contained in this report that are not historical facts, including, but not limited to, statements that can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as may, expect, anticipate, predict, believe, plan, estimate or continue or the negative thereof or variations thereon or comparable terminology, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and involve a number of risks and uncertainties. The actual results of the future events described in the forward-looking statements in this interim report could differ materially from those stated in the forward-looking statements due to various factors, including but not limited to, the fact that we derive a majority of our license revenue from sales of a few product lines, our ability to manage our direct sales and OEM distribution channels effectively, risks associated with our international sales and operations, our ability to successfully promote awareness of the need for our products and of our brand, risks associated with the IT security industry and those other risks and uncertainties detailed in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, including our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed on April 2, 2007. In addition, there is the risk that we may not be successful in our efforts to integrate our acquisitions. Our failure to manage risks associated with our acquisitions could harm our business. A component of our business strategy is to enter new markets and to expand our presence in existing markets by acquiring complementary technologies that allow us to increase our product offerings, augment our distribution channels, expand our market opportunities or broaden our customer base. For example, we acquired Singlefin in October 2006. Acquisitions involve a number of risks, including:

diversion of management's attention;

Table of Contents

difficulty in integrating and absorbing the acquired business and its employees, corporate culture, managerial systems and processes, technology, products and services;

failure to retain key personnel and employee turnover;

challenges in retaining customers of the acquired business, and customer dissatisfaction or performance problems with the acquired firm;

inability to develop products or services that meet our customers' needs;

assumption of unknown liabilities;

dilutive issuances of securities or use of debt or limited cash;

incremental amortization expenses related to acquired intangible assets, as well as potential future impairment charges to goodwill or intangible assets; and

other unanticipated events or circumstances.

The foregoing discussion should be read in conjunction with our Financial Statements and related Notes thereto included elsewhere in this report.

You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Report.

All forward-looking statements included herein attributable to any of us, or any person acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this section. Except to the extent required by applicable laws and regulations, we undertake no obligations to update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this document or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Item 3. Controls and Procedures **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls**

As of June 30, 2007, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, the Company carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). These disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in its periodic reports with the SEC is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC's rules and forms, and that the information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures also is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

Based upon their evaluation, the chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective at the reasonable assurance level as of the end of the six months ended June 30, 2007.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Edgar Filing: ST. BERNARD SOFTWARE, INC. - Form 10QSB

During the period covered by this report, there have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

In the normal course of business, the Company is occasionally named as a defendant in various lawsuits. On April 13, 2006, eSoft, Inc. filed Civil Action No. 00697-EWN-MJW in the U.S. District Court

Table of Contents

in Denver, Colorado alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,961,773 by St. Bernard Software, Inc. In connection with this proceeding, the Company filed a counter claim against the plaintiff alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,557,747. In February 2007, the Company paid a settlement for an undisclosed amount in the lawsuit with eSoft, Inc. In addition, the Company has agreed to a cross license of patent rights.

In March 2007, Arthur Budman a stockholder in the Company, filed a lawsuit against the Company and the chief financial officer, CFO, in the Superior Court of the State of California, San Diego County, seeking monetary damages arising from the alleged negligence of the CFO in connection with communications regarding the terms of the merger of Old St. Bernard Software, Inc. and Sand Hill IT. It is the opinion of management that the outcome of this pending lawsuit will not materially affect the operations, the financial position or cash flows of the Company.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders was held on Thursday, June 14, 2007. The following matters were voted upon at the meeting and were adopted by the margins indicated:

1. The stockholders elected three Directors to hold office for a term expiring upon the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

| Name of Director Elected | Number of Shares | |
|--------------------------|------------------|----------|
| | For | Withheld |
| Richard Arnold | 11,118,147 | 739,499 |
| Scott Broomfield | 11,118,247 | 739,399 |
| Humphrey Polanen | 11,118,147 | 739,499 |

The following individuals are continuing directors with terms expiring upon the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (Class A): Bart A. M. van Hedel and Louis Ryan.

The following individuals are continuing directors with terms expiring upon the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (Class B): Vince Rossi and Mel S. Lavitt.

2. The stockholders approved an amendment of the Company's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to eliminate the requirement that the Company's Board of Directors be a classified, staggered board and to provide that after the amendment becomes effective directors of the Company shall serve one year terms and stand for re-election annually.

| | |
|------------------|-----------|
| For | 9,752,116 |
| Against | 69,574 |
| Abstain | 10,472 |
| Broker Non-Votes | 2,024,484 |

3. The stockholders approved the adoption of the Company's 2006 Employee Stock Purchase Plan authorizing issuance of up to 400,000 shares of common stock under the 2006 Employee Stock Purchase Plan in 2007, and each year thereafter during the term of such plan.

| | |
|------------------|-----------|
| For | 9,462,858 |
| Against | 351,531 |
| Abstain | 17,773 |
| Broker Non-Votes | 2,024,484 |

Table of Contents

4. The stockholders approved an amendment to the Company's 2005 Stock Option Plan to authorize the issuance of an additional 1,000,000 shares of common stock under such plan.

| | |
|------------------|-----------|
| For | 8,787,062 |
| Against | 954,002 |
| Abstain | 18,598 |
| Broker Non-Votes | 2,096,984 |

5. The stockholders ratified the selection by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Mayer Hoffmann McCann, P.C. as independent auditors of the Company for its fiscal year ending December 31, 2007.

| | |
|------------------|------------|
| For | 11,820,557 |
| Against | 2,600 |
| Abstain | 33,489 |
| Broker Non-Votes | |

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

- 2.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger dated October 26, 2005, by and among Sand Hill IT Security Acquisition Corp., Sand Hill Merger Corp. and St. Bernard Software, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 initially filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 16, 2005).
- 2.2 Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among Sand Hill IT Security Acquisition Corp., Sand Hill Merger Corp. and St. Bernard Software, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 initially filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 16, 2005).
- 2.3 Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among Sand Hill IT Security Acquisition Corp., Sand Hill Merger Corp. and St. Bernard Software, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 initially filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 16, 2005).
- 2.4 Agreement and Plan of Merger and Reorganization dated as of October 3, 2006, by and among St. Bernard Software, Inc., AgaveOne Acquisition Corp., Singlefin Acquisition, LLC, AgaveOne, Inc. (d/b/a Singelfin) and Jake Jacoby (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 6, 2006).
- 2.5 Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger and Reorganization dated as of October 16, 2006, by and among St. Bernard Software, Inc., AgaveOne Acquisition Corp., Singlefin Acquisition, LLC, AgaveOne, Inc. (d/b/a Singlefin) and Jake Jacoby (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 19, 2006).
- 2.6 Asset Sale and License Agreement dated as of January 4, 2007, by and between St. Bernard Software, Inc. and Shavlik Technologies, LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 9, 2007).
- 2.7 Asset Purchase Agreement dated as of August 13, 2007, by and between St. Bernard Software, Inc. and EVault, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 17, 2007).
- 3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of St. Bernard Software, Inc. (formerly known as Sand Hill IT Security Acquisition Corp.) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 initially filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 16, 2005).
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of St. Bernard Software, Inc. (formerly known as Sand Hill IT Security Acquisition Corp.) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 2, 2007).
- 4.1 Specimen Unit Certificate of St. Bernard Software, Inc. (formerly known as Sand Hill IT Security Acquisition Corp.) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-114861) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 23, 2004).
- 4.2 Specimen Common Stock Certificate of St. Bernard Software, Inc. (formerly known as Sand Hill IT Security Acquisition Corp.) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 2, 2007).

Table of Contents

- 4.3 Specimen Warrant Certificate of St. Bernard Software, Inc. (formerly known as Sand Hill IT Security Acquisition Corp.) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-114861) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 23, 2004).
- 4.4 Unit Purchase Option No. UPO-2 dated July 30, 2004, granted to Newbridge Securities Corporation (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 31, 2005).
- 4.5 Unit Purchase Option No. UPO-3 dated July 30, 2004, granted to James E. Hosch (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4.2 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 31, 2005).
- 4.6 Unit Purchase Option No. UPO-4 dated July 30, 2004, granted to Maxim Group, LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4.3 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 31, 2005).
- 4.7 Unit Purchase Option No. UPO-5 dated July 30, 2004, granted to Broadband Capital Management, LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4.4 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 31, 2005).
- 4.8 Unit Purchase Option No. UPO-6 dated July 30, 2004, granted to I-Bankers Securities Incorporated (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4.5 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 31, 2005).
- 4.9 Warrant Agreement dated July 27, 2004 between American Stock Transfer and Trust Company and St. Bernard Software, Inc. (formerly known as Sand Hill IT Security Acquisition Corp.) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 31, 2005).
- 4.10 Warrant Agreement dated May 16, 2007 between Silicon Valley Bank and St. Bernard Software, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 23, 2007).
- 10.1 Employee Separation Agreement with Release of Claims dated May 11, 2007 between St. Bernard Software, Inc. and Robert Crowe (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 15, 2007).
- 10.2 Loan and Security Agreement dated May 15, 2007 by and between St. Bernard Software, Inc. and Silicon Valley Bank (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 23, 2007).
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ST. BERNARD SOFTWARE, INC.

Date: August 17, 2007

By: /s/ Vincent Rossi
Vincent Rossi
Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 17, 2007

By: /s/ Alfred F. Riedler
Alfred F. Riedler
Chief Financial Officer