PETROLEUM DEVELOPMENT CORP Form 10-K/A April 29, 2008 Table of Contents

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

## **FORM 10-K/A**

Amendment No. 1

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007

or

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number 000-07246

## PETROLEUM DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada (State of Incorporation)

95-2636730 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

## 120 Genesis Boulevard

## Bridgeport, West Virginia 26330

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (304) 842-3597

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share

NASDAQ Global Select Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark if registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer x Non-accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

The aggregate market value of our common stock held by non-affiliates on June 29, 2007, was \$679,172,437 (based on the then closing price of \$47.48).

As of April 11, 2008, there were 14,849,007 shares of our common stock outstanding.

#### **Table of Contents**

## **Explanatory Note**

The purpose of this Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A (the Amendment ) is to amend and restate Part III, Items 10 through 14 of our previously filed Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, filed with the Securities Exchange Commission, or SEC, on March 20, 2008 (the Original Form 10-K ), to include information previously omitted in reliance on General Instruction G to Form 10-K, which provides that registrants may incorporate by reference certain information from a definitive proxy statement prepared in connection with the election of directors. Petroleum Development Corporation has determined to include such Part III information by amendment of the Original Form 10-K rather than by incorporation by reference to the proxy statement. Accordingly, Part III of the Original Form 10-K is hereby amended and restated as set forth below.

As required by Rule 12b-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which we refer to as the Exchange Act, new certifications by our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer are being filed as exhibits to this Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A under Item 15 of Part IV.

There are no other changes to the Original Form 10-K other than those outlined above. This Amendment does not reflect events occurring after the filing of the Original Form 10-K, nor does it modify or update disclosures therein in any way other than as required to reflect the amendment set forth below.

Unless the context otherwise requires, references to PDC, the Company, we, us, our, ours, or ourselves in this report refer to the regist Petroleum Development Corporation, together with our subsidiaries, proportionate share of our sponsored drilling partnerships and an entity in which we have a controlling interest. The use of these terms is not intended to connote any particular corporate status or relationships.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART III	Page 3
ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	3
ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	5
ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	20
ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	22
ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	23
PART IV	24
ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	24

2

#### PART III

# ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE Board of Directors and Executive Officers

Our executive officers and directors, their principal occupations for the past five years and additional information is set forth below.

Name	Age	Position(s)	Director Since	Directorship Term Expires
Steven R. Williams	57	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Director	1983	2009
Richard W. McCullough	56	Vice Chairman, President, Chief Financial Officer and Director	2007	2008
Darwin L. Stump	53	Chief Accounting Officer		
Eric R. Stearns	50	Executive Vice President		
Daniel W. Amidon	47	General Counsel and Secretary		
Barton R. Brookman, Jr.	45	Senior Vice President Exploration and Production		
Vincent F. D Annunzio	55	Director	1989	2010
Jeffrey C. Swoveland	53	Director	1991	2008
Kimberly Luff Wakim	50	Director	2003	2009
David C. Parke	41	Director	2003	2008
Anthony J. Crisafio	55	Director	2006	2009
Joseph E. Casabona	64	Director	2007	2008
Larry F. Mazza Stoven P. Williams was elected Chairman and Chief Evec	47	Director	2007	2008

Steven R. Williams was elected Chairman and Chief Executive Officer in January 2004. Mr. Williams served as President from March 1983 until December 2004 and has been a Director of PDC since 1983.

Richard W. McCullough was appointed President in March 2008, was elected Vice Chairman of our Board of Directors in December 2007, was appointed Chief Financial Officer in November 2006 and also served as our Treasurer from November 2006 until October 2007. Prior to joining our company, Mr. McCullough served as an energy consultant from July 2005 to November 2006. From January 2004 to July 2005, Mr. McCullough served as president and chief executive officer of Gasource, LLC, Dallas, Texas, a marketer of long-term, natural gas supplies. From 2001 to 2003, Mr. McCullough served as an investment banker with J.P. Morgan Securities, Atlanta, Georgia, and served in the public finance utility group supporting bankers nationally in all natural gas matters. Additionally, Mr. McCullough has held senior positions with Progress Energy, Deloitte and Touche, and the Municipal Gas Authority of Georgia. Mr. McCullough, a Certified Public Accountant, was a practicing certified public accountant for 8 years.

Darwin L. Stump was appointed Chief Accounting Officer in November 2006. Mr. Stump has been an officer of PDC since April 1995 and held the position of Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer from November 2003 until November 2006. Previously, Mr. Stump served as Corporate Controller from 1980 until November 2003. Mr. Stump, a CPA, was a senior accountant with Main Hurdman, Certified Public Accountants prior to joining us.

*Eric R. Stearns* was appointed Executive Vice President in March 2008. Prior to his current position, Mr. Stearns served as Executive Vice President Exploration and Production since December 2004, Executive Vice President Exploration and Development from November 2003 until December 2004, and Vice President Exploration and Development from April 1995 until November 2003. Mr. Stearns joined our company as a geologist in 1985 after working at Hywell, Incorporated and for Petroleum Consultants.

*Daniel W. Amidon* was appointed General Counsel and Secretary in July 2007. Prior to his current position, Mr. Amidon was employed by Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel Corporation beginning in July 2004; he served in several positions including General Counsel and

Secretary. Prior to his employment with Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel, Mr. Amidon worked for J&L Specialty Steel Inc. from 1992 through July 2004 in positions of increasing responsibility, including General Counsel and Secretary. Mr. Amidon practiced with the Pittsburgh law firm of Buchanan Ingersoll PC from 1986 through 1992.

*Barton R. Brookman, Jr.* was appointed Senior Vice President Exploration and Production in March 2008. Previously Mr. Brookman served as Vice President Exploration and Production since joining us in July 2005. Prior to joining our company, Mr. Brookman worked for Patina Oil and Gas and its predecessor Snyder Oil for 17 years in a series of positions of increasing responsibility ending his service as Vice President of Operations of Patina.

Vincent F. D. Annunzio has served as president of Beverage Distributors, Inc. located in Clarksburg, West Virginia since 1985.

Jeffrey C. Swoveland is the Chief Operating Officer of Coventina Healthcare Enterprises, a medical device company specializing in therapeutic warming and multi-modal treatment systems used in the treatment, rehabilitation and management of pain since May 2007. Previously, Mr. Swoveland served as the Chief Financial Officer of Body Media, Inc., a life-science company specializing in the design and development of wearable body monitoring products and services, from September 2000 to May 2007. Prior thereto, Mr. Swoveland held various positions, including Vice President of Finance, Treasurer and interim Chief Financial Officer, with Equitable Resources, Inc., a diversified natural gas company, from 1997 to September 2000. Mr. Swoveland serves as a member of the Board of Directors of Linn Energy, LLC, a public, independent natural gas and oil company.

*Kimberly Luff Wakim*, an Attorney and Certified Public Accountant, is a Partner with the Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania law firm Thorp, Reed & Armstrong LLP, where she serves as a member of the Executive Committee. Ms. Wakim joined Thorp Reed & Armstrong LLP in 1990.

David C. Parke is a Managing Director in the investment banking group of Boenning & Scattergood, Inc., West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania, a full-service investment banking firm. Prior to joining Boenning & Scattergood in November 2006, he was a Director with Mufson Howe Hunter & Company LLC, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, an investment banking firm, from October 2003 to November 2006. From 1992 through 2003, Mr. Parke was Director of Corporate Finance of Investec, Inc., and its predecessor Pennsylvania Merchant Group Ltd., investment banking companies. Prior to joining Pennsylvania Merchant Group, Mr. Parke served in the corporate finance departments of Wheat First Butcher & Singer, now part of Wachovia Securities, and Legg Mason, Inc., now part of Stifel Nicolaus.

Anthony J. Crisafio, a Certified Public Accountant, serves as an independent business consultant, providing financial and operational advice to businesses and has done so since 1995. Additionally, Mr. Crisafio has served as the Chief Operating Officer of Cinema World, Inc. from 1989 until 1993 and was a partner with Ernst & Young from 1986 until 1989.

Joseph E. Casabona served as Executive Vice President and member of the Board of Directors of Denver based Energy Corporation of America, a natural gas exploration and development company, from 1985 to his retirement in May 2007. Mr. Casabona s responsibilities included strategic planning as well as executive oversight of the drilling operations in the continental United States and internationally.

Larry F. Mazza has served as Chief Executive Officer of MVB Bank Harrison, Inc., in Bridgeport, West Virginia since March 2005. Prior to the formation of MVB Bank Harrison, Mr. Mazza served as Senior Vice President Retail Banking Manager for BB&T in West Virginia, where he was employed from June 1986 to March 2005.

## Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the Company s officers and directors, and persons who own more than 10% of the Company s equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Officers, directors and holders of more than 10% of the common stock are required by regulations promulgated by the Commission pursuant to the Exchange Act to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. The Company assists officers and directors, and will assist beneficial owners, if any, of more than 10% of the common stock, in complying with the reporting requirements of Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act.

Based solely on its review of the copies of such forms received by it, the Company believes that since January 1, 2007, all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to its directors, officers and greater than 10% beneficial owners were met with the following exception. On December 13, 2007, restricted stock vested for the following persons: Steven R. Williams, Thomas E. Riley, Richard W. McCullough, Eric R. Stearns and Darwin L. Stump, and such officers elected to receive the restricted stock net of stock sufficient to pay taxes, which was withheld to pay taxes. This stock withholding was reportable, and was not reported until December 21, 2007.

## **Corporate Governance**

Audit Committee. The audit committee, which met nine times in 2007, is comprised entirely of persons whom the Board has determined to be independent under NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 4200(a)(15), Section 301 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Section 10A(m)(3) of the Exchange Act. Mr. Swoveland chairs the committee; other audit committee members are Ms. Wakim, Mr. Parke, Mr. Crisafio and Mr. Casabona. The Board has determined that Mr. Swoveland, Ms. Wakim, Mr. Crisafio and Mr. Casabona qualify as audit committee financial experts as defined by SEC regulations and that all the audit committee members are independent of management. The audit

4

## **Table of Contents**

committee s purpose is to assist the Board in monitoring the integrity of our financial reporting process, systems of internal controls and financial statements and our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements. Additionally, the committee is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation and oversight of our independent auditors for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit report or related work and to assess the need for an internal audit function and recommend its establishment when deemed appropriate.

In performing its responsibilities, the audit committee monitors the integrity of our financial reporting process and systems of internal controls regarding finance, accounting and legal compliance; monitors the independence of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm; and provides an avenue of communications among the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, management and the Board of Directors. The Board has adopted a charter of the audit committee which is posted on our website. The Board continues to assess the adequacy of the charter and will revise it as necessary.

Shareholder Nominations

No material changes have been made to the procedures by which security holders may recommend nominees to our board of directors since we filed with the SEC on July 30, 2007, our definitive proxy statement for our 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

In January 2003, we adopted our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, as amended, applicable to all of our directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives and consultants. Our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer are subject to additional specific provisions under the code of conduct. Our code of conduct is posted on our website at www.petd.com. In the event of an amendment to, or a waiver of, including an implicit waiver, the code of conduct, we will disclose the information on its internet website. On November 17, 2007, the Board approved a waiver of regarding any potential conflict related to the service of Mr. Swoveland on the Board of Directors of Linn Energy LLC. If the Board of Directors becomes aware of a potential conflict in the future, the Board of Directors will consider at that time whether or not to continue this waiver.

## ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION Compensation Discussion And Analysis

The Board has assigned to the compensation committee responsibility for developing and overseeing our compensation programs and executive compensation. The committee consists entirely of independent Board members. The committee has been authorized by the Board to make final determinations for all elements of compensation for the executive officers. Independent board members who are not part of the committee are often consulted as part of the committee s decision process. The committee also negotiates terms and approves all executive employment agreements and administers our long-term incentive plans.

## Summary

The committee s overall goal is to design an executive compensation plan with the following characteristics:

Is fair to both the executive and our company

Is competitive with compensation being paid by other oil and gas companies of similar size and complexity

Is competitive with companies located in the same geographic regions as our operations

Helps retain key executives

Avoids encouraging illegal or unethical activities

Rewards efforts that improve our performance

Is appropriate considering compensation of our other employees

The committee, working with nationally recognized compensation consultant Towers Perrin, has developed and annually reviews and updates a peer group of companies to use to establish total level of compensation and components of compensation at competitive companies. Executive compensation includes salary, short-term incentive (cash bonus) and long-term incentive (stock or stock-based) compensation. In addition executives participate in and benefit from the qualified benefit programs available to all employees as well as to an executive retirement plan and other perquisites.

The peer group median compensation levels are the primary basis for salary, short-term and long-term incentive target levels. Position, contributions to company performance, future potential, skills and other factors are also considered. The committee seeks to tie a large percentage of the short-term incentive to specific performance goals established at the beginning of the year. In 2007, the committee set a target for production growth and intended to set a target for earnings per share but did not do so due to the delay in the filing of the financial statements for 2006 and significant operational changes at our company due primarily to several large acquisitions which we completed at the beginning of 2007. As a result 60% of the short-term incentive in 2007 was determined by the committee following the end of the year, although our financial performance was compared to estimates made by us during the year was considered. In making its decision about the discretionary portion of the awards positive factors the committee considered included the significant increase in the value of our stock, progress made in the accounting area, the installation and start-up of a new enterprise software system, and the very

5

## **Table of Contents**

competitive level of our finding and development costs. Areas of concern included the high levels of G&A and operating costs and the material weaknesses in the internal control over financial reporting.

For long-term incentives the committee first sets dollar targets based on the peer group levels and factors related to the individual executive, and then determines the number of shares using valuation methods based on the average price for the preceding December (the December 2006 average closing price for 2007 awards and the December 2007 average closing price for 2008 awards) and adjusted for the type of award and the timing and likelihood of vesting. The compensation consultant assists us in evaluating the value of awards based on generally accepted valuation methods consistent with the compensation reported for SEC reporting.

The compensation committee also consults with our Chief Executive Officer regarding proposed peer group changes and for his evaluation of performance and suggestions for compensation of the other executive officers. Topics discussed with our Chief Executive Officer include individual executive achievement of key operating targets, participation in and support for development and execution of our strategic plan, management development and succession planning, the Chief Executive Officer s assessment of the executives contributions to our success, and the limitations or shortcomings in the executives performance or potential.

In 2006, using a similar method to establish compensation levels, the compensation of each of our five named executives ranged from the 38<sup>th</sup> percentile to 60<sup>th</sup> percentile of the comparable peer group executive (41<sup>st</sup> percentile for our Chief Executive Officer). While final numbers for peer group compensation for 2007 are not available, the committee anticipates that our compensation for executives in 2007 will be modestly higher than the median of the peer group in total. These final compensation levels in excess of the median of the peer group were justified by the impressive performance of our company in 2007, with production increase of 65%, reserve increase of 112% and a significant increase in our stock price, which performance was remarkable by general market and by industry standards.

The committee also recommended and the Board approved changes to Board compensation for 2007 and 2008. As with the executive compensation, the peer group compensation was a primary factor used to determine competitive levels of cash and equity compensation for Board members.

## Compensation Design

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

The committee s philosophy is to provide compensation packages that will attract, motivate and retain executive talent and deliver rewards for superior performance and consequences for underperformance. The committee considers many factors in establishing the compensation packages for our executive officers. The ultimate goal is to provide compensation that is fair to both our company and the executive officers, that motivates behavior that will enhance the value of our company, that avoids encouraging behavior that does not serve our best interests and that will allow us to attract and retain executive officers.

The committee believes the following characteristics of a compensation program contribute to the implementation of its philosophy:

Offer a total compensation program that is competitive with the compensation practices of those peer companies with which we compete for talent;

Tie a significant portion of executive compensation to our achievement of pre-established financial and operating objectives and to personal objectives established for each executive individually;

Provide a significant portion of overall compensation in the form of equity-based compensation in order to align the interests of our executives with those of our shareholders and to avoid excess focus on short-term results; and

Structure a significant proportion of total compensation in a fashion that promotes executive retention.

Pay-for-Performance

The committee believes that a significant portion of executive compensation should be closely linked to both our and the individual s performance. The committee s pay-for-performance philosophy is reflected in our compensation practices, which tie a significant portion of executive compensation to the achievement of our financial and operating objectives and also to take into account personal objectives and performance. This philosophy is reflected in annual incentive awards, which are directly linked to the achievement of short-term financial and operating objectives set by the committee and have potential payouts ranging from zero to as much as 180% of the target for each of the components. During 2007, the targets were increases in production, and the committee s assessment of other factors related to the individual s performance and development. Factors deemed particularly important in the committee s assessment of the discretionary portion of the short-term incentive, or STI, compensation for 2007 included dramatic increases in reserves and production and our overall growth, management s efforts relating to the impending retirement of our Chief Executive Officer and management s efforts in improving our historical financial and accounting systems and reporting. The following table summarizes the criteria used in determining the 2007 bonus amount. Earnings per share, which the committee had planned to include as a factor, was ultimately not used in determining any formula-based short-term incentive in 2007 due to the delay in filing the 2006 Form 10-K and major operational changes at our company due to several large acquisitions in early 2007. As a result, the committee included financial performance as one of the criteria in its discretionary evaluation for 2007, which was increased from 30% to 60% of the overall bonus calculation. This discretionary portion of the STI program permits the committee to account for individual performance and differentiate among executives. In addition, half of the discretionary annual bonus was based on 2007 earnings performance compared to internal estimates made by management during the year. The committee also assesses individual executive performance with input from the Chief Executive Officer as well as other Board

members and other committees. When determining what portion of the discretionary income to award, the committee discusses each executive individually and considers all the available information. In 2008, the committee established performance targets for 70% of the STI, with the balance determined at the discretion of the committee. In 2007 and 2008, 100% of Mr. Stump s STI is determined by the committee at its discretion.

## **Pay-for Performance Table**

Criteria 2007:	Lower Threshold Amount	Target Bonus	Maximum Bonus	Percent of Total Maximum Bonus
Production (Mmcfe)	24,000	26,000	28,000	40%
Discretionary evaluation 2008:	Compensation	on Committee D	etermination	60%
Production (Mmcfe)	35,000	37,000	39,000	40%
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 2.55	\$ 3.05	\$ 3.55	30%
Discretionary evaluation	Compensation	on Committee D	etermination	30%

The committee also ties compensation to performance through equity-based long term incentive, or LTI, awards that are designed to motivate executives to meet our long-term performance goals and to tie their interests to those of the shareholders. In 2007 and for 2008, the LTI awards are restricted stock which vest over time, and long-term incentive performance, or LTIP, shares. The LTIP shares will vest only if certain minimum thresholds of stock price appreciation are met. One-half of the LTIP shares will vest and be issued based upon an annual stock price increase of approximately 12%, with the starting price based on the average price of the stock in December proceeding the award year. An additional 25% of the awarded LTIP shares will vest and be issued at annualized hurdle rate of 16% and an additional 25% at 20%. The stock price used to determine if the LTIP shares will vest will be the average daily closing price for each of the three monthly periods: December 2009, 2010 and 2011 for the 2007 awards, and 2010, 2011, and 2012 for the 2008 awards. Any shares not vested in 2009 or 2010 (or 2010 and 2011 for the 2008 awards) will remain eligible to be vested in future years; however, any unvested shares at December 31, 2011 for the 2007 awards or December 31, 2012 for the 2008 awards will be forfeited. The committee decided to use three measurement dates to take into account the volatility of energy prices and their impact on our stock price.

As a result of the structure of the STI and LTI compensation, a significant amount of variable compensation under our compensation program is contingent on the achievement of our key financial and operating objectives and on increasing the value of the shares of our stock.

## The Role of Equity-Based Compensation

Our LTI program is an integral part of our overall executive compensation program. The LTI program is intended to serve a number of objectives including aligning the interests of executives with those of our shareholders and focusing senior executives on the achievement of well-defined, long-term performance objectives that are aligned with our corporate strategy, thereby establishing a direct relationship between compensation and shareholder value. The program also furthers the goal of executive retention, since the executive officer will forfeit any unvested awards in the event the officer voluntarily terminates employment with us without good reason.

Historically, the primary form of equity compensation awarded by us was qualified and non-qualified stock options, although such grants were not issued on a regular basis. This form was selected because of the favorable individual and corporate accounting and tax treatments provided by rules at the time, and the widespread use of stock options in executive compensation. In 2004, the committee began utilizing a combination of restricted stock and options for executive compensation, believing that the restricted stock was better appreciated by employees and resulted in less dilution for the shareholders. Beginning in 2006, the accounting treatment for stock options changed as a result of the applicability of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), making the use of stock options less attractive. As a result, the committee assessed the desirability of granting only shares of restricted stock to executives, and concluded that shifting entirely to restricted stock would provide an equally motivating form of incentive compensation, while permitting the issuance of fewer shares, thereby reducing potential dilution to other shareholders. The committee did want to tie the value received by executives to performance for a portion of the equity compensation, thereby providing executives with a greater incentive to focus on the long-term appreciation of the stock. To accomplish this, a portion of the LTI for each executive consists of LTIP shares, which require both the passage of time and specified increases in the stock price to vest.

In making long-term incentive awards, the committee uses a pre-determined market-based value approach. The committee determines the dollar value of awards in the marketplace using a valuation methodology. The committee establishes the desired dollar value

7

for each executive officer relative to the market. The corresponding number of equity instruments to be awarded is then determined using the same valuation methodology, based on prevailing factors in advance of the award date. The valuation for financial statement purposes is subsequently re-calculated based on the prevailing factors at the time of the award.

The value-based approach can cause the number of equity instruments needed to be granted from year to year to vary, even though the awards may have the same dollar value. This can be caused by, among other things, fluctuations in our common stock price at the time of grant. This issue is further addressed in the Long-Term Incentives section.

Mr. Williams has announced his planned retirement in 2008, Mr. McCullough was named as Mr. Williams successor, and Mr. Riley resigned in early 2008. As a result a large part of the executive team will have new and expanded responsibilities in 2008. Largely as a result of relatively short tenure with our company the new executive team does not have a significant ownership position in our stock. As a result of these factors, and the additional and unusual demands of a major management transition, the committee felt that a one time award of stock, vesting over a 5-year time frame, would both compensate the management team for their additional efforts and provide a better link between their interests and those of the shareholders. 32,711 shares of restricted common stock were issued to Messrs. McCullough, Stearns, Brookman and Amidon in connection with this issuance.

Use of Consultants and Benchmarking to Help Establish Target Compensation Levels

The compensation committee utilizes the compensation consulting services of Towers Perrin. Over the past 18 months, Towers Perrin: assisted the committee with a review and revision of the peer group, conducted a competitive benchmarking of our executive and non-employee director compensation programs, helped the committee in its redesign of the LTI program in 2007 as described below, and led an educational session focused on new SEC pay disclosure rules. The committee periodically assesses the effectiveness and competitiveness of our executive compensation structure with the assistance of Towers Perrin, and utilizes the assistance of Towers Perrin in assessing the value and cost of various proposed compensation arrangements. Towers Perrin is engaged by, and reports directly to, the committee.

In developing its compensation objectives, the committee compared our compensation levels with those of a group of 14 companies for 2007, and 17 companies for 2008, or collectively, the peer group. This benchmarking is done with respect to each of the key annual elements of our executive compensation programs discussed above (salary, STI and LTI compensation), as well as the compensation of individual executives based on their position in the overall compensation hierarchy. The committee uses data from the peer group to establish a dollar target level for each key element to deliver compensation to each executive at approximately the 50th percentile of the peer group, with adjustments made based on the executive s individual performance. Targeting the 50th percentile helps ensure that our compensation practices will be competitive in terms of attracting and retaining executive talent, while performance based compensation provides for variations due to superior or sub-par performance. Because compensation for the peer group is for prior periods, the committee attempts to anticipate future movements in compensation levels when it sets compensation targets. For example, when setting compensation for 2007, the most recent compensation information available was from the 2006 proxy statements for compensation paid in 2005. As more up to date information becomes available, it is reviewed by the committee to evaluate whether future compensation plans should be adjusted to take unanticipated changes in actual compensation of the peer group into account.

The 2007 peer group was comprised of the following companies:

Unit Corporation St. Mary Land & Exploration Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation

Penn Virginia Corporation Whiting Petroleum Corporation Range Resources Corporation

Encore Acquisition Company Berry Petroleum Company Bill Barrett Corporation

Quicksilver Resources Clayton Williams Energy Brigham Exploration Company

Forest Oil Corporation Comstock Resources

For determination of 2008 compensation, Forest Oil Corporation, Range Resources and Quicksilver Resources were eliminated from the group because they had grown much larger than our company. Six additions were made to the group, Venoco, Rosetta Resources, Petroquest Energy, Delta Petroleum, Parallel Petroleum and Carrizo Oil & Gas, to help keep the median revenue and market capitalization of the group consistent with our company. The committee believes that the peer group represents companies with similar operations, of similar complexity, and with which we believe we compete for executive talent.

The following chart shows the comparison by category for the median compensation for the five highest paid executives combined of the peer group based on 2006 compensation adjusted for projected inflation increases, the target compensation levels set by the committee for 2007, and the actual compensation paid in 2007. The compensation above the target level reflects the achievement of the maximum target for production growth and the committee s assessment of performance for the discretionary portion of the STI, and the increase in stock price between the average stock price in December 2006 (which is used to determine the number of shares awarded for the LTI compensation) and the stock price on the date the awards were finalized.

8

## **Table of Contents**

## Review of Overall Compensation

The committee reviews for each of the executive officers the total dollar value of the officer s annual compensation, including salary, STI compensation, LTI compensation, perquisites, deferred compensation accruals and other compensation. The committee also reviews shareholdings and accumulated unrealized gains under prior equity-based compensation awards, and amounts payable to the executive officer upon termination of the executive s employment under various different circumstances, including retirement and termination in connection with a change in control. See 2007 Summary Compensation Table below.

## Consideration of Prior Compensation

While the committee considers all compensation previously paid to the executive officers, including amounts realized or realizable under prior equity-based compensation awards, the committee believes that current compensation practices must be competitive to retain the executives in light of prevailing market practices and to motivate the future performance of the executive officers. Accordingly, wealth accumulation through our superior past performance is not punished through reductions in current compensation levels.

#### **Elements Of Executive Compensation**

#### Overview

To achieve the objectives of the executive compensation program, the committee uses four elements of compensation in varying proportions for the different executive officers. These elements are base salary, STI, LTI, and other benefits. The committee uses cash payments (base salary and STI), awards tied to our stock (LTI, which we also refer to as equity-based compensation) and non-cash benefits in its overall compensation packages. The committee balances salary and performance-based compensation, and cash and non-cash compensation, in a manner it believes best serves the objectives of our compensation program. The committee allocates among the different elements of compensation in a manner similar to the median allocation of the peer group, based on the level of the executive s position. Generally, it is the policy of the committee that, as income levels increase, a greater proportion of the executive s income should be in the form of STI and LTI compensation. For example our Chief Executive Officer receives a higher percentage of his compensation in the form of short and long term incentives compared to other executives, as is the case of chief executive officers in the peer group. The following table shows the breakdown of target compensation among the three elements for 2007 and 2008 for each executive officer.

9

**Target Compensation for Elements** as a Percentage of Total Target Compensation 2007 2008 Base **Bonus Equity** Bonus **Equity** Base Name Salary **Target Target** Salary **Target Target** Steven R. Williams 33% 24% 43% 27% 24% 49% Thomas E. Riley(1) 36% 22% 42% Richard W. McCullough(2) 40% 40% 29% 44% 20% 27% Eric R. Stearns 36% 23% 41% 33% 20% 47% Barton R. Brookman, Jr. (3) 40% 20% 40% Daniel W. Amidon<sup>(4)</sup> 40% 20% 40% Darwin L. Stump 44% 22% 34% 40% 20% 40%

- (1) Mr. Riley resigned as our President effective March 9, 2008.
- (2) Mr. McCullough was selected as successor to our Chief Executive Officer upon Mr. Williams retirement, anticipated to be in August 2008.
- (3) Mr. Brookman was appointed to the executive position of Senior Vice President on March 8, 2008.
- (4) Mr. Amidon joined us in July 2007 as General Counsel.

Base Salary

The compensation committee annually reviews the base salaries of our Chief Executive Officer and our other executive officers. Salaries are also reviewed in the case of promotions or other significant changes in responsibilities. In each case, the committee takes into account the results achieved by the executive, his or her future potential, scope of responsibilities and experience, and competitive salary practices of the peer group. Base salary is intended to provide a baseline of compensation that is not contingent upon our performance.

After reviewing the peer group salary levels and considering individual performance, the committee established base salary increases for 2007 of 7.2% for our Chief Executive Officer and between 0% and 8.2% for our other executive officers. The total salary compensation of the executive officers approximated the mean of the peer group, although the spread between the highest and lowest is less than the peer group. For 2008, the committee established base salary increases of 8.1% for our Chief Executive Officer and between 3.2% and 44.7% for other executive officers. Mr. McCullough s base salary was increased by 44.7% to reflect the additional responsibilities he has assumed as President and the anticipated further increase in responsibilities upon his assumption of the Chief Executive Officer position later in the year. Annual base salaries for the executive officers for 2007 and 2008 are shown in the following table:

	Annual Ba	se Salaries
Name	2007	2008
Steven R. Williams	\$ 370,000	\$ 400,000
Thomas E. Riley	292,500	
Richard W. McCullough	235,000	340,000
Eric R. Stearns	271,500	305,000
Barton R. Brookman, Jr.	200,000	250,000
Daniel W. Amidon	210,000	227,500
Darwin L. Stump	220,500	227,500
Short-Term Incentives		

Annual STI are tied to our overall performance for the fiscal year, as measured against objective criteria set by the committee, as well as the committee s assessment of our performance and individual performance of each executive. For 2007, at least 40% of the target STI

10

payments are performance based awards measured against objective criteria established early in the fiscal year for all named executives except Mr. Stump. The remainder was awarded at the discretion of the committee based on its assessment of company and executive performance. For 2007 and 2008, 100% of Mr. Stump s STI is discretionary and for the other executive officers, STI performance based award percentages will be 70% of the total target STI. The compensation committee has decided to maintain discretion over STI bonus amounts for Mr. Stump to emphasize the focus of his role in 2007 and 2008 on the continued development of the accounting functions of our company rather than on production targets and overall financial performance. The committee, comprised entirely of independent directors, believes that some discretion with respect to individual awards is desirable to compensate for unusual and unexpected events, and as a result does not set specific performance targets for 30% of the target STI in 2008.

Target STI payments, expressed as a percentage of base salary, are set for each executive officer prior to the beginning of the fiscal year based on job responsibilities. STI payments for the year may range from zero up to 180% of the executive officer s base salary, based on the achievement of the objective criteria for performance based payments and the assessment by the committee for the balance. For fiscal year 2007 target STI awards for the executive officers ranged from 50% to 75% of salary. In 2008 target STI awards for the executive officers range from 50% to 90% of salary, which is in line with the peer group compensation.

With respect to the executive officers, the committee establishes formulae to determine the percentage of the target annual incentive payment that may be payable for the fiscal year. The committee does not have the discretion to change any objective criteria once they have been established. However, the committee does retain discretion over 60% (100% for Mr. Stump) of the total target STI in 2007 to allow some flexibility to award superior, or reflect the effect of sub-par, personal performance that may not be captured by the financial and operating criteria. In 2008 the committee established objective criteria for 70% of the total STI for all executives except Mr. Stump, where it will continue to maintain discretion over 100% of the STI award. In addition, the committee has the authority to recommend to the Board compensation for unusual circumstances. In July of 2007 we hired Dan Amidon as general counsel under an employment agreement that called for STI of up to 75% of his annual salary, prorated for the term of service. As a result of Mr. Amidon s outstanding performance and contributions the committee awarded Mr. Amidon total STI compensation equal to 100% of his salary (reduced pro rata for the partial year worked). The following table sets forth the STI threshold, target and maximum levels for 2007 and 2008 for the executives expressed as a percentage of base salary.

	Short-Term Incentive Compensation <sup>(1)</sup>					
		2007	2008			
	% of Base Salary			% of		
Name	Threshold	Target	Stretch	Threshold	Target	Stretch
Steven R. Williams	0%	75%	150%	0%	90%	180%
Thomas E. Riley	0%	62.5%	125%			
Richard W. McCullough	0%	50%	100%	0%	90%	180%
Eric R. Stearns	0%	62.5%	125%	0%	62.5%	125%
Barton R. Brookman, Jr.				0%	50%	100%
Daniel W. Amidon	0%	50%	75%	0%	50%	100%
Darwin L. Stump						

(1) Percentages apply to all executive officers with the exception of Mr. Stump, 100% of his STI was and is discretionary. Additionally, Mr. Brookman was not eligible for STI compensation until March 2008.

\*Long-Term Incentives\*\*

The committee s practice has been to determine the dollar amount of target equity compensation and to then grant equity-based compensation that has a fair value equal to that amount. To provide consistency from year-to-year and to avoid questions about timing of awards, the committee uses a consistent period to value the awards when determining the number of shares in the award, the average daily price in December of the year prior to the award year. The 2007 awards were determined using the fair value of the awards based on the average daily closing price of our stock in December 2006, with average December 2007 prices being used to determine the awards for 2008. At the committee s direction Towers Perrin calculated the fair value utilizing methods they have developed for use with these types of equity valuations, including taking into account the probability and/or timing of vesting under the performance criteria for the LTIP shares and the other restricted stock. For the purpose of recording an expense for financial reporting purposes, the awards are valued based on the market price at the time the award is finalized.

In April 2007, we corrected an administrative error in the stock option exercise price of shares awarded the executive officers in March 2006, none of which were exercised at the time. The administrative error related to the use of the closing price of our common stock on the day prior to the award, rather than the closing price on the day of the award in accordance with our 2004 Long-Term Equity

11

Compensation Plan. We identified the need for the correction, and the effect of the correction was not material to the fair value of the awards, either at the time of the award or the time of the correction.

In 2007, a percentage of the equity-based compensation awards are LTIP shares with the percentage increasing for more highly compensated executives, and the balance of the awards are time vesting restricted stock. For example, 50% of the Chief Executive Officer s equity-based compensation in 2007 consisted of LTIP shares, in contrast to 40% for the President and 30% for the Chief Accounting Officer. The following table summarizes LTI awards for 2007 and 2008, and the second table summarizes the target prices for the performance vesting of the LTIP awards.

	Long-Term Incentive Compensation						
N	Percent of	2007 Percent of Value from Time Vesting Restricted	Percent of Value from LTIP	Percent of	2008 Percent of Value from Time Vesting Restricted	Percent of Value from LTIP	
Name Steven R. Williams	Salary 175%	Stock 50%	Stock 50%	Salary 175%	Stock 0%	Stock 100%	
Thomas E. Riley	145%	60%	40%	-,-,-			
Richard W. McCullough				150%	50%	50%	
Eric R. Stearns	140%	60%	40%	145%	50%	50%	
Barton R. Brookman, Jr.				100%	50%	50%	
Daniel W. Amidon				100%	50%	50%	
Darwin L. Stump	90%	70%	30%	75%	50%	50%	

N/	L	TIP Target Prices(1)			
Year					Percent
of	Approximate				Vested if
Award	Growth Target		Target Price		Target Attained <sup>(2)</sup>
2007	Tanget		rangerriee		7 ttumeu
		2009	2010	2011	
	12%	\$ 60.00	\$ 67.50	\$ 75.00	50%
	16%	67.50	77.50	90.00	75%
	20%	75.00	90.00	107.50	100%
2008					
		2010	2011	2012	
	12%	\$ 80.50	\$ 90.00	\$ 101.00	50%
	16%	89.50	103.50	120.00	75%
	20%	99.00	118.50	142.50	100%

- (1) Growth target percentages and target prices are based on the average closing price of our common stock during the preceding December for each of the years.
- (2) Performance shares will vest for a performance period only if the target price is met or exceeded for such period. Performance shares vested for a performance period shall not be subject to divestment in the event the share price subsequently decreases below the threshold in a subsequent period.

Retirement Plans

We have a combined 401(k) and qualified profit sharing plan for all of our employees including the executive officers. The plan provides for discretionary matching contributions. Generally, we match employee 401(k) contributions dollar for dollar up to 10% of the employee s compensation and then match 20% for contributions above 10% of the employees compensation up to the maximum allowable limits under the Internal Revenue Code. Our profit sharing contribution is discretionary and for 2007 was equal to 1% of our consolidated net income. In addition there was a carryover contribution earned in 2006 of \$1.1 million. Total company contributions, to both 401(k) and profit sharing, to the plan for 2007 were \$2.5 million.

12

Under their current employment agreements, each of the named executive officers also earns the right to future payments following his or her retirement or other departure from our company. For each year worked under his current agreement, Mr. Williams earns an annual retirement benefit equal to \$500 times the number of his full years of service times 10 (\$500 per year of service for 10 years). Following the termination of his service to our company, the cumulative total of the calculated annual retirement benefits is disbursed in ten equal annual installments. For 2007, the retirement benefit was \$120,000 (\$12,000 per year for 10 years) and for 2008, the retirement benefit will be \$125,000 (\$12,500 per year for 10 years) if Mr. Williams is employed by us for the full year, but no additional benefit will be earned if he retires before the end of the year as planned. Mr. Williams total cumulative retirement benefit, under this plan, at December 31, 2007, was \$450,000 (\$45,000 per year for 10 years). Mr. Williams also receives a lifetime healthcare benefit under his employment agreement; we have recorded an accumulated postretirement obligation of \$296,819 as of December 31, 2007, related to this benefit. Each of the other executive officers, under their respective employment agreements, annually earns a retirement benefit equal to \$75,000 (\$7,500 per year for 10 years). Following their termination of service to our company, their cumulative total annual retirement benefit will be disbursed in ten equal annual installments. As of December 31, 2007, for Mr. Stearns and Mr. Stump, the total cumulative benefit, including the 2007 increment, was \$300,000 (\$7,500 per year for 10 years). As of December 31, 2007, Mr. McCullough s total cumulative benefit, including the 2007 increment, was \$75,000 (\$7,500 per year for 10 years).

Additionally, under his previous employment agreement, Mr. Williams earned supplemental retirement benefits. The prior agreement requires us to pay Mr. Williams an annual sum of \$40,000 per year for the ten year period following his retirement (an aggregate of \$400,000). This benefit was fully vested on December 31, 2003. The amount of the annual benefit is increased by 10.75% compounded annually for the period after December 31, 2003. Under provisions of his previous employment agreement, Mr. Williams may elect to defer payment up to five years following his retirement. In the event of deferral of payment following retirement the amount of the annual benefit will be increased by 10.75% compounded annually. As of December 31, 2007, the amount of this benefit is \$601,893 (or \$60,189 per year for 10 years). In the event of change in control the benefits due under this agreement will be accelerated and due immediately.

## Other Compensation and Benefits

We also provide certain other benefits to its executive officers that are not tied to any formal individual or Company performance criteria and are intended to be part of a competitive overall compensation program. Each of the executive officers has 1) a company vehicle (or vehicle allowance) that they use for company business, and are allowed to use for personal uses as well, 2) coverage under our medical plan and reimbursement of medical expenses not covered by the plan, 3) the right to be reimbursed for one Board-approved club membership, 4) reimbursement of the cost of a \$1 million life insurance policy, and 5) reimbursement of the cost of disability insurance. Given the importance of the executives and their good health to our success and the achievement of our business goals, the compensation committee believes that the medical insurance and reimbursement encourage the executives to seek appropriate medical assistance. The other benefits are commonly provided to executives and are necessary to create a competitive compensation package.

#### Termination Benefits including Change in Control Payments

The compensation provisions in the event of a change in control serve to lessen the potential negative impact of a change in control on the executive officers and to lessen the potential conflict between the best interest of the shareholders and that of the executives. The committee believes this is desirable, in combination with significant stock ownership, to encourage the executives to consider possible change in control situations that might benefit our shareholders.

The committee also believes that severance benefits for senior management should reflect the fact that it may be difficult for employees to find comparable employment within a short period of time. They also should disentangle us from the former employee as soon as practicable. For instance, while it is possible to provide salary continuation to an employee during the job search process, which in some cases may be less expensive than a lump-sum severance payment, a lump-sum severance payment is preferable in order to most cleanly sever the relationship as soon as practicable. We have entered into employment agreements with each of the executive officers that include change in control provisions. These agreements provide for the continued employment of the executives for a period of two years following a change in control of our company. These agreements are intended to retain the executives and provide continuity of management in the event of an actual or threatened change in the control of our company and ensure that the executive s compensation and benefits expectations would be satisfied in such event.

Where the termination is without cause or the executive officer terminates employment for good reason, the severance plan provides for benefits equal to three times the sum of: a) the executive officer s highest base salary during the previous two years of employment immediately preceding the termination date, plus b) the highest bonus paid to the executive officer during the same two year period. The executive officer is also entitled to 1) vesting of any unvested equity compensation, 2) reimbursement for any unpaid expenses, 3) retirement benefits earned under the current or previous agreements, 4) continued coverage under our medical plan for up to 18 months, and 5) payment of any earned, unpaid bonus amounts. In addition, a terminated executive officer is entitled to receive any benefits that he otherwise would have been entitled to receive under our 401(k) and profit sharing plan, although those benefits are not increased or accelerated. The committee believes that these

termination benefits are comparable to the general practice among similar companies, although it has not conducted a study to confirm this.

Good reason includes 1) assignment to the executive of duties materially and adversely inconsistent with his position, duties, responsibilities and status with our company, 2) an adverse change in the executive s position with our company, 3) a change in control of our company, 4) a decrease of the executive officer s base salary, 5) a material reduction in the benefits provided by us, 6) our requirement

13

for the executive officer to be based anywhere outside of Bridgeport, West Virginia, 7) our failure to obtain a satisfactory agreement from any successor or assignee to assume and agree to our obligations under the employment agreement, or 8) any other material breach of the employment agreement by us.

We may terminate any of the executive officers for just cause, which is defined in the employment agreements to include 1) a failure by the executive to perform his duties, 2) conduct by the executive that results in consequences which are materially adverse to us, monetarily or otherwise, 3) a guilty plea or conviction of a felony, or 4) a material breach of the terms of the employment agreement by the executive officer. If an executive officer is terminated for just cause, we are required to pay the executive officer his base salary through the termination date plus any bonus (only for periods completed and accrued, but not paid), incentive, deferred, retirement or other compensation, and provide any other benefits, which have been earned or become payable as of the termination date but which have not yet been paid or provided.

If an executive officer voluntarily terminates his employment for other than good reason, he is entitled to receive 1) the base salary, bonus and incremental retirement payment prorated for the portion of the year that the executive officer is employed by us, 2) any incentive, deferred or other compensation which has been earned or has become payable, but which has not yet been paid under the schedule originally contemplated in the agreement under which they were granted or in full without discount within 60 days of the termination date at our discretion, 3) any unpaid expense reimbursement upon presentation by the executive officer of an accounting of such expenses in accordance with our normal practices, and 4) any other payments for benefits earned under the employment agreement or company plans.

The table below provides information regarding the amounts each of the executive officers would be eligible to receive if a termination event had occurred as of December 31, 2007:

	Retirement or Voluntary Termination by	Termination For Cause	ion Benefits Change in Control or Termination Without Cause or Good Reason by	Death or
Name	Executive	by Company	Executive	Disability(1)
Steven R. Williams <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 4,117,113	\$ 3,867,363	\$ 8,101,899	\$ 5,449,275
Thomas E. Riley	647,637	461,168	3,252,448(3)	1,705,198
Richard W. McCullough	311,606	205,856	1,493,174	656,674
Eric R. Stearns	625,325	472,606	3,010,848	1,579,098
Darwin L. Stump	502,231	336,856	2,294,578	1,181,053

- (1) In the event of death or disability, the termination benefits would consist of (i) the base salary and bonus for the portion of the year the executive officer is employed by us; (ii) the base salary that would have been earned for six months after termination; (iii) immediate vesting of all equity and option awards; (iv) the payment of deferred retirement compensation based upon the schedule originally contemplated in the deferred retirement compensation agreement or in a lump-sum no later than two and one-half months following the close of the calendar year in which the death or disability occurred; (v) reimbursement for any unpaid expenses; (vi) and benefits earned under the 401(k) and profit sharing plan; and (vii) continued coverage under our medical plan, life time coverage for Mr. Williams and for up to 18 months for all other named executive officers.
- (2) Includes (i) the estimated lifetime value of medical benefits for Mr. Williams and/or his spouse; and (ii) a deferred retirement compensation benefit related to a prior employment agreement.
- (3) This benefit is calculated as of December 31, 2007. The value of Mr. Riley s actual severance benefit upon termination for good reason effective March 9, 2008, was higher than this amount was primarily because the actual severance was based on 2008 salary (\$315,000) and on a higher annual bonus.

Executive and Director Share Retention and Ownership Guidelines

In order to promote equity ownership and further align the interests of management with our shareholders, the committee has adopted share retention and ownership guidelines for senior management and non-employee directors. Under these guidelines, executive officers and

non-employee directors are required to achieve and continue to maintain a significant ownership position, as follows:

Chief Executive Officer 3 times salary
Other Executive Officers 2 times salary
Non-Employee Directors 1 times retainer

14

## **Table of Contents**

The committee periodically reviews share ownership levels of the persons subject to these guidelines. Shares held by the executive officers and shares held indirectly through our 401(k) plan are included in determining an executive officer s share ownership. Shares underlying stock options, including vested options, as well as unvested restricted stock, are not included. Mr. McCullough who was hired in November 2006, Mr. Amidon who was hired in July 2007, and Mr. Brookman, who was named as an Executive officer in March 2008, have not yet met the holding requirement. In addition the two new directors appointed in 2007, have not yet met the requirement. All other executive officers and non-employee directors have achieved shareholdings in excess of the applicable multiple set forth above.

Our insider trading policy expressly prohibits officers, directors, employees and associates from engaging in options, puts, calls or other transactions that are intended to hedge against the economic risk of owning shares of our common stock.

## **Employment Agreements**

We entered into employment agreements with Messrs. Williams, Riley, Stearns and Stump effective January 1, 2004, Mr. McCullough effective November 13, 2006, Mr. Amidon on July 2, 2007, and Mr. Brookman on July 11, 2007. The initial term of the agreements is for two years and they are automatically extended for an additional 12 months beginning on the first anniversary of the effective date and on each successive anniversary unless either party cancels. The employment agreements provide for the base annual salary to be reviewed annually (see Base Salary discussion above).

Each employment agreement provides for an annual performance bonus as determined by the compensation committee and is based in part upon written objective criteria and in part upon the discretion of the committee. The annual performance bonus earned is calculated as a percentage, as determined by the committee, of the executive officers base salary.

Each employment agreement contains a standard non-disclosure covenant and, also, provides that the executive officer is prohibited during the term of his employment and for a period of one year following his termination from engaging in any business that is competitive with our oil and gas drilling business. Additionally, the employment agreements state that the executive officer must devote substantially all of his business time, best efforts and attention to promote and advance our business. The executive officer may not be employed in any other business activity, other than with our company, during the term of the employment agreement, whether or not such activity is pursued for gain, profit or other pecuniary advantage without approval by the compensation committee of the Board. This restriction will not prevent the executive officer from investing his personal assets in a business which does not compete with us or our affiliates, and where such investment will not require services of any significance on the part of the executive officer in the operation of the affairs of the business.

## Other Agreements and Arrangements

Prior to 2007 executive officers could invest in a Board-approved executive drilling program at our cost. Effective with the 2007 partnership the Board eliminated this executive officer investment program, although there were some carryover drilling from the 2006 program paid in 2007. During 2007, Messrs. Williams and Riley invested approximately \$20,000, and \$7,000, respectively. Other investors participating in drilling with us are generally charged a profit or markup above the cost of the wells; for example, the markup on company-sponsored partnerships is approximately 15% of the cost of the wells. As a result, the executive officers realize a benefit not generally available to other investors. The Board believes that having the executive officers invest in wells with us and other investors helps to create a commonality of interests much like share ownership creates a commonality of interests between the shareholders and executive officers.

## Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m)

We are aware of Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m), which generally limits the deductibility of executive pay in excess of one million dollars, and which specifies the requirements for the performance-based exemption from this limit. Elements of the executive compensation program are indeed performance-based, and vehicles such as stock options are believed to qualify as performance-based under Section 162(m). Other aspects of the executive compensation program may not qualify as performance-based, such as time-based restricted stock and our annual incentive plan because the committee prefers the ability to exercise discretion in evaluating a portion of participants performance. The financial implications of a potential lost deduction are not expected to be material. The committee will continue to monitor its position on the impact of Section 162(m) for our executive compensation programs.

## **Executive Compensation**

## 2007 Summary Compensation Table

The following table provides summary compensation information for our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, and our three most highly compensated executive officers, other than our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, whose total compensation exceeded \$100,000 in 2007. We refer to these persons collectively as the named executive officers.

				Stock	Option	Non-E		Nonqualified Deferred	All Other	Total
Name and Principal Position <sup>(1)</sup>	Year	Salary	Bonus <sup>(2)</sup>	Awards <sup>(3)</sup>	- I					Compensation
Steven R. Williams	2007	\$ 370,000	\$ 249,750	\$ 184,470	\$ 34,609	\$ 22	22,000	\$ 140,312	\$ 64,860 <sup>(8)</sup>	\$ 1,266,001
Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Director	2006	345,000	155,250	163,023	54,546	36	52,250	88,438	37,778	1,206,285
Thomas E. Riley	2007	292,500	186,469	255,255	35,146	12	24,312	32,674	24,663	951,019
President and Director	2006	272,000	81,600	107,580	35,977	19	00,400	30,824	9,357	727,738
Richard W. McCullough	2007	235,000	105,750	46,390	17,532	. 9	94,000	30,555	13,625	542,852
Vice Chairman, Chief	2006	32,237	83,000	5,928	2,289			3,848		127,302
Financial Officer and Director										
Eric R. Stearns	2007	271,500	152,719	229,360	31,723	13	35,750	23,033	20,669	864,754
Executive Vice President, Exploration and Development	2006	251,000	175,300	98,318	32,806	17	75,700	21,730	17,773	772,627
Darwin L. Stump	2007	220,500	165,375	144,275	26,843			27,433	11,413	595,839
Chief Accounting Officer	2006	220,500	33,075	85,963	28,484	15	54,350	25,880	17,610	565,862

- (1) The listed positions are those held as of December 31, 2007.
- (2) Represents the discretionary based amounts paid under our annual STI bonus plan. For a discussion of the bonus plan, see the Compensation Discussion and Analysis set forth above.
- (3) Represents compensation expense recorded by us pursuant to FAS 123(R) related to outstanding restricted stock awards. For information regarding the determination of such expense, please refer to Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements included in this report.
- (4) Represents compensation expense recorded by us pursuant to FAS 123(R) related to outstanding stock options. For information regarding the determination of such expense, please refer to Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements included in this report.
- (5) Represents the performance based amounts earned under our annual STI bonus plan. For a discussion of the bonus plan, see the Compensation Discussion and Analysis set forth above.
- (6) Represents the present value of the current year benefit earned related to the deferred compensation retirement plan.
- (7) All other compensation includes insurance and medical reimbursements, social fringe benefits such as club dues and athletic event tickets, the value for the personal use of company automobiles and discounts related to company-sponsored drilling programs.
- (8) Includes, in addition to other compensation items discussed in (7) above, \$37,845 for post retirement medical benefits.

16

## 2007 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

Name	Grant Date Th	Estimated Futu Under Non- Incentive Plan preshold Target	Equity	U Incent	ed Future nder Equi ive Plan A ber of Sha Target	ty wards	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares Awarded <sup>(3)</sup>	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards
Steven R. Williams	2/20/2007 2/20/2007 3/29/2007	\$ \$ 277,500	\$ 555,000	Tiresnoid	7,341	14,683	8,484	\$ 436,332 <sub>(4)</sub> 529,616 <sub>(5)</sub>
Thomas E. Riley	2/20/2007 2/20/2007 3/29/2007	182,812	365,625	8	3,847	7,694	6,669	342,987 <sub>(4)</sub> 277,523 <sub>(5)</sub>