

First California Financial Group, Inc.

Form 10-Q

November 14, 2008

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008

OR

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 000-52498

**FIRST CALIFORNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

**Delaware**  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation or Organization)

**38-3737811**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification Number)

**3027 Townsgate Road, Suite 300**

**Westlake Village, California**  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

**91361**  
(Zip Code)

**Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (805) 322-9655**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Check one:

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

11,456,464 shares of Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, as of November 12, 2008

**Table of Contents**

**FIRST CALIFORNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.**

**QUARTERLY REPORT ON**

**FORM 10-Q**

**For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2008**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<b><u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1 <u>Financial Statements</u>	1
Item 2 <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	13
Item 3 <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	33
Item 4 <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	33
<b><u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1 <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	34
Item 1A <u>Risk Factors</u>	34
Item 2 <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	34
Item 3 <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	34
Item 4 <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	34
Item 5 <u>Other Information</u>	34
Item 6 <u>Exhibits</u>	34
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	35

**Table of Contents****PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements**

## FIRST CALIFORNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated balance sheets (unaudited)

	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
<i>(in thousands, except share data)</i>		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 19,981	\$ 17,413
Federal funds sold	980	255
Securities available-for-sale	209,736	231,095
Loans held-for-sale		11,454
Loans, net	775,496	738,351
Premises and equipment, net	21,086	18,626
Goodwill	50,099	50,216
Core deposits and other intangibles	8,750	9,642
Cash surrender value of life insurance	11,248	10,931
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	27,918	20,859
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,125,294</b>	<b>\$ 1,108,842</b>
Non-interest checking	\$ 194,521	\$ 197,262
Interest checking	47,145	53,312
Money market and savings	187,872	229,236
Certificates of deposit, under \$100,000	121,972	76,513
Certificates of deposit, \$100,000 and over	206,255	204,757
<b>Total deposits</b>	<b>757,765</b>	<b>761,080</b>
Borrowings	194,520	168,901
Junior subordinated debentures	26,688	26,648
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	9,641	15,346
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>988,614</b>	<b>971,975</b>
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value 2,500,000 shares authorized: Series A convertible preferred; 1,000 shares issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007	1,000	1,000
Common stock, \$0.01 par value 25,000,000 shares authorized: 11,801,124 shares issued as of September 30, 2008 and 11,762,499 shares issued as of December 31, 2007	118	118
Additional paid-in capital	132,996	132,543
Treasury stock, at cost 344,660 shares at September 30, 2008 and 261,979 shares at December 31, 2007	(3,040)	(2,374)
Retained earnings	10,428	5,350
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(4,822)	230
<b>Total shareholders equity</b>	<b>136,680</b>	<b>136,867</b>
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders equity</b>	<b>\$ 1,125,294</b>	<b>\$ 1,108,842</b>

See accompanying notes.



**Table of Contents**

## FIRST CALIFORNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated statements of operations (unaudited)

	Three months ended September 30, 2008		Nine months ended September 30, 2007	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
<i>(in thousands, except per share data)</i>				
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 12,674	\$ 15,275	\$ 39,391	\$ 41,137
Interest on securities	2,870	2,531	8,827	6,450
Interest on federal funds sold and interest bearing deposits	4	68	18	114
<b>Total interest income</b>	15,548	17,874	48,236	47,701
Interest on deposits	2,960	5,265	10,375	13,169
Interest on borrowings	1,801	1,216	5,599	3,709
Interest on junior subordinated debentures	439	439	1,316	1,237
<b>Total interest expense</b>	5,200	6,920	17,290	18,115
Net interest income	10,348	10,954	30,946	29,586
Provision for loan losses	300		950	
<b>Net interest income after provision for loan losses</b>	10,048	10,954	29,996	29,586
Service charges on deposit accounts	729	426	1,885	1,197
Loan sales and commissions	143	540	382	1,613
Gain on sale of bank charters				2,375
Gain (loss) on derivatives	(1)		857	
Other income	146	432	865	1,164
<b>Total noninterest income</b>	1,017	1,398	3,989	6,349
Salaries and employee benefits	4,076	4,242	13,423	13,125
Premises and equipment	1,117	1,018	3,322	2,835
Loss on early termination of debt				1,564
Integration and conversion expenses		540		5,443
Other expenses	2,991	2,801	8,664	5,858
<b>Total noninterest expense</b>	8,184	8,601	25,409	28,825
Income before provision for income taxes	2,881	3,751	8,576	7,110
Provision for income taxes	1,120	1,340	3,342	2,684
<b>Net income</b>	\$ 1,761	\$ 2,411	\$ 5,234	\$ 4,426
Earnings per share				
Basic	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.44
Diluted	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.41

See accompanying notes.

**Table of Contents**

## FIRST CALIFORNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated statements of cash flows (unaudited)

<i>(in thousands)</i>	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Net income	\$ 5,234	\$ 4,426
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment	1,087	585
Provision for loan losses	950	
Stock-based compensation costs	415	620
Realized net gains on sale of securities and loans	(162)	
Gain on derivatives	(857)	
Accretion of net discounts on securities available-for-sale	(254)	(580)
FHLB stock dividends	(324)	(203)
Amortization of core deposit and other intangibles	893	668
Amortization of premium on loans purchased		30
Loss on sale of other real estate owned		59
Gain on sale of bank charters		(2,375)
Origination of loans held-for-sale	(13,277)	(47,585)
Proceeds from sale and payments received from loans held-for-sale	24,908	66,393
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance	(317)	(217)
Increase in accrued interest receivable and other assets	(3,983)	(3,743)
Increase (decrease) in accrued interest payable and other liabilities	(5,913)	5,250
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<b>8,400</b>	<b>23,328</b>
Purchases of securities available-for-sale	(25,748)	(38,367)
Proceeds from sales, repayment and maturities of securities available-for-sale	33,318	20,108
Proceeds from redemption of Federal Home Loan Bank stock	1,581	5,138
Purchases of Federal Home Loan Bank stock	(4,257)	(2,593)
Net change in federal funds sold	(725)	61,595
Loan originations and principal collections, net	(28,262)	(43,602)
Proceeds received for sale of bank charters		2,375
Purchases of premises and equipment	(3,547)	(350)
Proceeds from sale of repossessed personal property	42	
Net cash and cash equivalents received in acquisition		6,760
<b>Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities</b>	<b>(27,598)</b>	<b>11,064</b>
Net increase (decrease) in demand deposits, money market and savings accounts	(50,271)	9,744
Net increase (decrease) in time certificates of deposit	46,958	(17,921)
Net increase (decrease) in other borrowings	25,659	(13,236)
Issuance of junior subordinated debentures		16,495
Redemption of junior subordinated debentures		(15,464)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	86	1,054
Purchases of treasury stock	(666)	
<b>Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities</b>	<b>21,766</b>	<b>(19,328)</b>
Change in cash and due from banks	2,568	15,064
Cash and due from banks, beginning of period	17,413	13,438
<b>Cash and due from banks, end of period</b>	<b>\$ 19,981</b>	<b>\$ 28,502</b>

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

*Supplemental cash flow information:*

Cash paid for interest	\$	15,813	\$	18,119
Cash paid for income taxes	\$	6,188	\$	272
<i>Supplemental disclosure of noncash investing activities:</i>				
Issuance of common stock for purchase accounting merger	\$		\$	82,982
Unrealized loss on securities available-for-sale, net of tax effect	\$	(4,957)	\$	(314)
Unrealized loss on cash flow hedges, net of tax effect	\$	(95)	\$	(154)

See accompanying notes.



---

**Table of Contents**

**NOTE 1 NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

**Organization and nature of operations** First California Financial Group, Inc. ( First California or the Company ), was incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware on June 7, 2006. The Company was formed as a wholly-owned subsidiary of National Mercantile Bancorp ( National Mercantile ), a California corporation, for the purposes of effecting the merger and capital stock exchange with National Mercantile and acquisition of FCB Bancorp ( FCB ), a California corporation.

On June 15, 2006, First California, FCB and National Mercantile entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement ), providing for the merger of National Mercantile with and into the newly formed holding company, First California, and the conversion of each share of National Mercantile common stock into the right to receive one share of First California common stock and the conversion of each share of FCB common stock into the right to receive 1.7904 shares of First California common stock. In addition, the Merger Agreement provided for the conversion of each share of National Mercantile series B convertible perpetual preferred stock into the right to receive one share of series A convertible perpetual preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share, or First California Preferred Stock, of First California. The merger and acquisition were approved by both National Mercantile and FCB shareholders and regulators.

On March 12, 2007, First California completed the merger and capital stock exchange with National Mercantile and acquisition of FCB pursuant to the Merger Agreement as described above. Concurrent with the merger and acquisition, the number of common shares authorized of First California was increased to 25,000,000 shares and First California authorized the issuance of 2,500,000 shares of preferred stock of which 1,000 shares were designated as series A convertible perpetual preferred stock. In addition, each share of National Mercantile series B convertible perpetual preferred stock was exchanged for one share of series A convertible perpetual preferred stock of First California. As a result of these transactions, First California issued an aggregate of approximately 11.5 million shares of First California common stock to former National Mercantile and FCB shareholders and 1,000 shares of First California preferred stock to former shareholders of National Mercantile series B convertible preferred stock. First California paid cash in lieu of fractional shares of First California common stock issued in connection with the acquisition of FCB.

Upon completion of the merger of National Mercantile into its wholly-owned subsidiary First California and the acquisition of FCB by First California, the separate corporate existence of National Mercantile and FCB ceased, and First California succeeded and assumed all the rights and obligations of National Mercantile and FCB. First California assumed all rights and obligations of National Mercantile, whose principal assets were the capital stock of two bank subsidiaries: Mercantile National Bank ( Mercantile ) and South Bay Bank, N.A. ( South Bay ). As a result of the acquisition of FCB, First California acquired all the rights and obligations of FCB, whose principal assets consisted of the capital stock of First California Bank (the Bank ).

On June 19, 2007, First California completed the integration of Mercantile, South Bay and First California, as contemplated by the Merger Agreement. The Bank purchased substantially all the assets and assumed substantially all the liabilities of Mercantile and South Bay and sold the bank charters of Mercantile and South Bay to United Central Bank and The Independent BankersBank, respectively. As a result of these transactions, the three former bank subsidiaries of National Mercantile and FCB now operate under the First California Bank brand.

The Company is a bank holding company which serves the comprehensive banking needs of businesses and consumers in Los Angeles, Orange and Ventura counties through our wholly-owned subsidiary, First California Bank. The Bank is a state chartered banking association which provides traditional business and consumer banking products ranging from construction finance, SBA lending, entertainment finance and commercial real estate lending via 12 full-service branch locations and 1 loan production office. First California also has two unconsolidated statutory business trust subsidiaries, First California Capital Trust I and FCB Statutory Trust I which were created to raise capital through the issuance of trust preferred securities.

**Consolidation** The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ( GAAP ), the accounts of the Company, its bank subsidiary and FCB Mortgage House, LP but exclude the accounts of FCB Statutory Trust I and First California Capital Trust I. Results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 includes operations of FCB from the date of acquisition. The Company s historical balance sheet and results of operations before the merger and acquisition are the same as the historical information of National Mercantile. All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

**Basis of presentation** The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10-01 of Regulation S-X as promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ). Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnote disclosures normally required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In our opinion, all normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation are reflected in the unaudited condensed



---

## **Table of Contents**

consolidated financial statements. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 are not necessarily indicative of the results for the full year. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 (2007 Form 10-K).

**Reclassifications** Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2007 consolidated financial statements to conform with the current year presentation. The Company reclassified FHLB Stock Dividends in the amount of \$0.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2007 as a use of cash provided by operating activities. This was previously reported as a use of cash provided by investing activities. The reclassification resulted in a decrease of \$0.2 million in net cash provided by operating activities to \$23.3 million from \$23.5 million and an increase of \$0.2 million in net cash provided by investing activities to \$11.1 million from \$10.9 million as previously reported for the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

**Management's estimates and assumptions** The preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the reported revenues and expenses for the reporting periods. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates. Significant estimations made by us primarily involve the calculation of the allowance for loan losses, the carrying amount of goodwill and deferred tax assets or liabilities, the effectiveness of derivative instruments in offsetting changes in fair value or cash flows of hedged items and the determination of whether investment securities are other-than-temporarily impaired.

**Allowance for loan losses** The allowance for loan losses is increased through a provision charged to expense and reduced by charge-offs, net of recoveries. Loans are charged off against the allowance when management believes that the collectability of principal is unlikely. The allowance is management's best estimate of the amount considered adequate to absorb probable losses on existing loans that may become uncollectible, based on evaluations of the collectability of loans and prior loan loss experience. The evaluations take into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, overall portfolio quality, review of specific problem loans, credit concentrations and current economic conditions that may affect the borrower's ability to pay. Various regulatory agencies, as a regular part of their examination process, periodically review the Bank's allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require the Bank to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examinations.

**Goodwill and other intangible assets** The Company has goodwill, which represents the excess of purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired in business combinations. In accordance with GAAP, goodwill is not amortized but instead is reviewed for impairment on an annual basis or on an interim basis if an event occurs or circumstances change that would reduce the fair value of the acquired business below its carrying value. Other intangible assets consist of trade name and core deposit intangibles. Trade name, which represents the fair value of the First California Bank name, is amortized using the straight-line method over a period of ten years. Core deposit intangibles, which represent the fair value of depositor relationships resulting from deposit liabilities assumed in acquisitions, are amortized using the straight-line method over the projected useful lives of the deposits. Core deposit and trade name intangibles are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Impairment of goodwill and other intangibles is permanently recognized by writing down the asset to the extent that the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value.

**Deferred income taxes** Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the tax effects of the differences between the book and tax basis of the various balance sheet assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are reflected at currently enacted income tax rates applicable to the period in which the deferred tax assets or liabilities are expected to be realized or settled. As changes in tax laws or rates are enacted, deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted through the provision for income taxes. An estimate of probable income tax benefits that will not be realized in future years is required in determining the necessity for a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets. There were net deferred tax assets of \$687,000 at September 30, 2008 and net deferred tax liabilities of \$2,535,000 at December 31, 2007. There was no valuation allowance at September 30, 2008 or December 31, 2007.

**Derivative instruments and hedging** For derivative instruments designated in cash flow hedging relationships, we assess the effectiveness of the instruments in offsetting changes in the overall cash flows of designated hedged transactions on a quarterly basis. During the third quarter of 2008, no effectiveness assessment was performed as the Company no longer had any cash flow hedging relationships as of September 30, 2008. During the third quarter of 2007, the effectiveness assessments indicated that the instruments were highly effective and therefore, the unrealized gains or losses on the instruments were deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income. The Company also owns an interest rate floor, which is not designated in a hedging relationship. Accordingly, all changes in the fair value of the floor are recognized directly to current period earnings.

---

## **Table of Contents**

**Fair value** Effective January 1, 2008, we adopted SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, which among other things, requires enhanced disclosures about financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value. SFAS No. 157 establishes a hierarchical disclosure framework associated with the level of pricing observability utilized in measuring financial assets and liabilities at fair value. See Note 9 Fair Value Measurement, for additional information about the level of pricing transparency associated with financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value.

The degree of judgment utilized in measuring the fair value of financial assets and liabilities generally correlates to the level of pricing observability. Financial assets and liabilities with readily available active quoted prices or for which fair value can be measured from actively quoted prices generally will have a higher degree of pricing observability and a lesser degree of judgment utilized in measuring fair value. Conversely, financial assets and liabilities rarely traded or not quoted will generally have little or no pricing observability and a higher degree of judgment utilized in measuring fair value. Pricing observability is impacted by a number of factors, including the type of financial asset or liability, whether the financial asset or liability is new to the market and not yet established and the characteristics specific to the transaction

**Assessments of impairment** Our policy is to assess goodwill for impairment on an annual basis or at interim periods if an event occurs or circumstances change which may indicate a change in the fair value of the goodwill below its carrying amount. Impairment is the condition that exists when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. Accounting standards require management to estimate the fair value of the Company at least annually in making the assessment of impairment. Management believes the accounting estimates associated with determining fair value as part of the goodwill impairment test is a critical accounting estimate because estimates and assumptions are made about First California's future performance and cash flows, as well as other prevailing market factors (interest rates, economic trends, etc.). It is First California's practice to use independent data to assist us in determining the fair value of the Company and in determining appropriate market factors to be used in the fair value calculations.

We perform regular impairment analyses on the investment securities available-for-sale portfolio in accordance with SFAS 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*, FSP FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1 *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments*, EITF 99-20 *Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased and Retained Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets* and FSP 157-3 *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for that Asset is not Active*. If it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the debt security not impaired at acquisition, an other-than-temporary impairment is considered to have occurred. When an other-than-temporary impairment occurs, the cost basis of the security is written down to its fair value (as the new cost basis) and the write down is accounted for as a realized loss. Other-than-temporary declines in fair value are assessed based on the duration the security has been in a continuous unrealized loss position, the severity of the decline in value, the rating of the security, the long-term financial outlook of the issuer, the expected future cash flows and our ability and intent on holding the securities until the fair values recover. Management believes the accounting estimates associated with determining whether an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred is a critical accounting estimate because estimates and assumptions are made about the future performance and cash flows of individual securities, as well as prevailing market factors (interest rates, economic trends, prepayment rates, etc.).

## **NOTE 2 RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP and expands required disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company adopted SFAS No. 157 as of January 1, 2008 and the adoption did not have a material impact on our financial condition or operating results. See Note 9 Fair Value Measurement for fair value disclosures and further discussion.

In September 2006, Emerging Issues Task Force ( EITF ) Issue No. 06-04, *Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements*, was issued. The EITF reached a consensus that for an endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangement within the scope of this Issue, an employer should recognize a liability for future benefits under FASB Statement No. 106, *Employer's Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions* or Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 12, *Omnibus Opinion 1967* based on the substantive agreement with the employee. The consensus is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. The Company adopted EITF Issue No. 06-04 as of January 1, 2008. The cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle to recognize postretirement liabilities totaled \$157,000, net of tax, and was recorded as a reduction of retained earnings.

## Table of Contents

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities – Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*, which provides companies with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. The objective of SFAS No. 159 is to reduce both complexity in accounting for financial instruments and the volatility in earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently. SFAS No. 159 established presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between companies that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities and to more easily understand the effect of the company's choice to use fair value on its earnings. SFAS No. 159 also requires entities to display the fair value of the selected assets and liabilities on the face of the balance sheet. SFAS No. 159 does not eliminate disclosure requirements of other accounting standards, including fair value measurement disclosures in SFAS No. 157. We adopted this statement as of our fiscal year beginning January 1, 2008 and we chose not to elect the fair value option on any of our existing qualified financial assets or liabilities on the date of adoption nor any new qualified assets originated or liabilities generated subsequent to the adoption date. Therefore, the adoption of SFAS No. 159 did not have a material impact on our financial condition or operating results.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations*, which is a revision to SFAS No. 141. The provisions of this statement establish principles in which the acquirer in a business combination is required to recognize and measure in its financial statements all identifiable assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date, measured at their fair values as of that date. As such, contingent consideration will need to be recognized based on estimated fair value at the date of acquisition. In addition, the costs related to the acquisition are to be recognized separately from the acquisition rather than allocated to the individual assets and liabilities. Also, if applicable, where the fair value of the assets acquired exceeded the acquisition cost, the excess asset value will be recognized as income. This statement makes significant amendments to other statements and other authoritative guidance relating to business combinations. The provisions of this statement apply prospectively to business combinations with acquisition dates on or after January 1, 2009.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ( FSP ) 157-1 to exclude SFAS No. 13, *Accounting for Leases*, and its related interpretive accounting pronouncements that address leasing transactions, from the scope of SFAS No. 157. In February 2008, the FASB issued FSP 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157*, that would permit a one-year deferral period in applying the measurement provisions of SFAS No. 157 to non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities (non-financial items) that are not recognized or disclosed at fair value in an entity's financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). Therefore, if the change in fair value of a non-financial item is not required to be recognized or disclosed in the financial statements on an annual basis or more frequently, the effective date of application of SFAS No. 157 to that item is deferred until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company does not believe the adoption of this statement will have a material impact on the Company's financial statements or results of operations.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities – An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133*, which enhances required disclosures regarding derivatives and hedging activities. Required disclosures will include how an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under FASB No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company does not believe the adoption of this statement will have a material impact on the Company's financial statements or results of operations.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FSP 157-3, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for that Asset is not Active*, which applies to financial assets subject to the fair value accounting requirements of FASB SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. Recent market conditions created the need to clarify the guidance in SFAS No. 157 for inactive markets. FSP 157-3 provides guidance in determining the fair value of a financial asset when the market for that financial asset is not active. FSP 157-3 was effective immediately and the Company adopted this statement effective for the interim period ended September 30, 2008.

**Table of Contents****NOTE 3 MERGER**

On March 12, 2007, First California completed the acquisition of 100% of the outstanding stock of FCB pursuant to the Merger Agreement as described in Note 1 above. FCB was the parent company of First California Bank. As of the date of the acquisition, First California Bank became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company.

The following information presents the pro forma results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, as though the acquisition had occurred on January 1, 2007. The pro forma data was derived by combining the historical consolidated financial information of FCB and National Mercantile using the purchase method of accounting for business combinations. The pro forma results do not necessarily indicate results that would have been obtained had the acquisition actually occurred on January 1, 2007 or the results that may be achieved in the future.

<i>(in thousands, except per share data)</i>	<b>Nine months ended September 30, 2007</b>
Net interest income	\$ 32,871
Noninterest income	6,765
Noninterest expense	31,858
Provision for loan losses	
Income before tax	7,778
Income taxes	3,063
Net income	\$ 4,715
Pro forma earnings per share	
Basic	\$ 0.41
Diluted	\$ 0.40
Pro forma weighted average shares	
Basic	11,605
Diluted	11,715

**NOTE 4 SECURITIES**

The amortized cost, unrealized gains, unrealized losses and estimated fair values of securities available-for-sale at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 are summarized as follows:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	<b>September 30, 2008</b>			
	<b>Amortized Cost</b>	<b>Gross Unrealized Gains</b>	<b>Gross Unrealized Losses</b>	<b>Estimated Fair Value</b>
U.S. government agency notes	\$ 10,930	\$ 265	\$ (27)	\$ 11,168
U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities	128,480	1,133	(154)	129,459
Collateralized mortgage obligations	55,637		(7,675)	47,962
Municipal securities	17,334	76	(180)	17,230
Other domestic debt securities	4,954		(1,037)	3,917
Securities available-for-sale	\$ 217,335	\$ 1,474	\$ (9,073)	\$ 209,736

<i>(in thousands)</i>	<b>December 31, 2007</b>			
	<b>Amortized Cost</b>	<b>Gross Unrealized Gains</b>	<b>Gross Unrealized Losses</b>	<b>Estimated Fair Value</b>

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

U.S. treasury notes	\$ 526	\$ 3	\$	\$ 529
U.S. government agency notes	14,499	137	(1)	14,635
U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities	121,155	626	(258)	121,523
Collateralized mortgage obligations	70,910	377	(518)	70,769
Municipal securities	18,598	105	(14)	18,689
Other domestic debt securities	4,963		(13)	4,950
Securities available-for-sale	\$ 230,651	\$ 1,248	\$ (804)	\$ 231,095

**Table of Contents**

The Company performs regular impairment analyses on the investment securities available-for-sale portfolio in accordance with SFAS 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*, FSP FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1 *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments*, with EITF 99-20 *Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased and Retained Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets* and with FSP 157-3 *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for that Asset is not Active*. If it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the debt security not impaired at acquisition, an other-than-temporary impairment is considered to have occurred. When an other-than-temporary impairment occurs, the cost basis of the security is written down to its fair value (as the new cost basis) and the write down is accounted for as a realized loss. Other-than-temporary declines in fair value are assessed based on the duration the security has been in a continuous unrealized loss position, the severity of the decline in value, the rating of the security, the long-term financial outlook of the issuer and our ability and intent on holding the securities until the fair values recover.

Based upon the results of our other-than-temporary impairment analysis as of September 30, 2008, management did not identify any securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired. Please see the Securities section of Management's Discussion and Analysis in this document for a detailed explanation of our impairment analysis process. The Company will continue to evaluate our securities portfolio for other-than-temporary impairment at each reporting date and we can provide no assurance that there will not be an other-than-temporary impairment in future periods.

**NOTE 5 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES**

Loans by type consist of the following:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	At September 30, 2008	At December 31, 2007
Commercial mortgage	\$ 274,901	\$ 295,496
Commercial loans and lines of credit	229,933	189,638
Construction and land development	137,368	148,101
Home mortgage	45,295	46,193
Multifamily mortgage	67,088	34,198
Home equity loans and lines of credit	22,588	22,519
Installment & credit card	6,322	10,034
 Total loans	 783,495	 746,179
Allowance for loan losses	(7,999)	(7,828)
 Loans, net	 \$ 775,496	 \$ 738,351
 Loans held-for-sale	 \$	 \$ 11,454

At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, loans held-for-sale were \$0 and \$11.5 million, respectively. Loans held-for-sale are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or market value. Loan sale gains or losses are recorded in noninterest income based on the difference between loan sale proceeds and carrying value.



**Table of Contents**

Most of the Company's lending activity is with customers located in Los Angeles, Orange and Ventura Counties. The Company has no significant credit exposure to any individual customer; however, the economic condition in Southern California could adversely affect customers. A significant portion of our loans are collateralized by real estate. Changes in the economic condition in Southern California could adversely affect the value of real estate.

Changes in the allowance for loan losses were as follows:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Beginning balance	\$ 7,893	\$ 8,296	\$ 7,828	\$ 4,740
Provision for loan losses	300		950	
Additions from the merger				3,531
Loans charged-off	(206)	(283)	(917)	(289)
Transfers to undisbursed commitment allowance				18
Recoveries on loans charged-off	12	72	138	85
<b>Ending balance</b>	<b>\$ 7,999</b>	<b>\$ 8,085</b>	<b>\$ 7,999</b>	<b>\$ 8,085</b>
Allowance to loans	1.02%	1.07%	1.02%	1.07%
Accruing loans past due 30 - 89 days	\$ 6,560	\$ 3,668	\$ 6,560	\$ 3,668
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	\$ 947	\$ 890	\$ 947	\$ 890
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 8,636	\$ 5,720	\$ 8,636	\$ 5,720
Foreclosed property	\$ 274	\$ 244	\$ 274	\$ 244

The reserve for loan losses on undisbursed commitments was \$102,000 and \$99,000 at September 30, 2008, and December 31, 2007, respectively. The reserve for losses on undisbursed commitments is included among other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets.

There were \$8.6 million and \$5.7 million of nonaccrual loans at September 30, 2008 and September 30, 2007, respectively. Had these loans performed according to their original terms, additional interest income of approximately \$162,000 and \$102,000 would have been recognized in the three month periods ended September 30, 2008 and September 30, 2007, respectively, and \$400,000 and \$136,000 would have been recognized in the nine month periods ended September 30, 2008 and September 30, 2007, respectively.

Due to the size and nature of the loan portfolio, impaired loans are determined by periodic evaluation on an individual loan basis. The average investment in impaired loans was \$10.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and \$4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Impaired loans were \$13.4 million at September 30, 2008 and \$8.2 million at December 31, 2007. Reserves for individually impaired loans are computed in accordance with SFAS No. 114 Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, and are based on either the estimated collateral value less estimated selling costs (if the loan is a collateral-dependent loan), or the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008 we recorded no specific valuation allowance relating to our impaired loans. Impaired loans without a specific valuation allowance had collateral that we believed had a fair value, less estimated costs to sell, in excess of the carrying amount of impaired loans.

**NOTE 6 GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

Goodwill is \$50.1 million at September 30, 2008 and includes \$46.9 million which represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the acquisition of FCB. At December 31, 2007, goodwill was \$50.2 million. The decrease in goodwill of \$117,000 was the result of final purchase price allocations recorded in the first quarter of 2008. No impairment loss was recognized for the periods ended September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007.

Core deposit intangibles, net of accumulated amortization, were \$5.4 million at September 30, 2008 and \$6.0 million at December 31, 2007. Amortization expense for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2008 was \$198,000 and \$593,000, respectively. Amortization expense for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$142,000 and \$435,000, respectively.



**Table of Contents**

Trade name intangible, net of accumulated amortization, was \$3.4 million at September 30, 2008 and \$3.6 million at December 31, 2007. Amortization expense for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2008 was \$100,000 and \$300,000, respectively. Amortization expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$100,000 and \$233,000, respectively.

**NOTE 7 EARNINGS PER SHARE**

Basic earnings per share ( EPS ) excludes dilution and is computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock that then shared in the earnings of the entity. The following table illustrates the computations of basic and diluted EPS for the periods indicated:

<i>(in thousands, except per share data)</i>	Three months ended September 30,				Nine months ended September 30,			
	2008		2007		2008		2007	
	<i>Diluted</i>	<i>Basic</i>	<i>Diluted</i>	<i>Basic</i>	<i>Diluted</i>	<i>Basic</i>	<i>Diluted</i>	<i>Basic</i>
Income available to common shareholders	\$ 1,761	\$ 1,761	\$ 2,411	\$ 2,411	\$ 5,234	\$ 5,234	\$ 4,426	\$ 4,426
Weighted average common shares outstanding-Basic	11,466	11,466	11,715	11,715	11,478	11,478	10,079	10,079
Options			268				340	
Convertible preferred stock	278		263		276		262	
Net effect of dilutive securities	278		531		276		602	
Weighted average common shares outstanding-Diluted	11,744	11,466	12,246	11,715	11,754	11,478	10,681	10,079
Earnings per share	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.44

Note: 665, 270 stock options with a weighted average exercise price of \$8.84 as of September 30, 2008 were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 because such shares would have had an anti-dilutive effect on earnings per common share.

**NOTE 8 COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

Comprehensive income is net income for the period and the change in equity during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources. Total comprehensive income was as follows:

<i>(dollars in thousands)</i>	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30, 2008	September 30, 2007	September 30, 2008	September 30, 2007
Unrealized gain (loss) on interest rate swaps used in cash flow hedges	\$ 711	\$ (226)	\$ 725	\$ (226)
Unrealized gain on interest rate floors used in cash flow hedges	60		14	
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities available-for-sale	(1,999)	2,940	(7,849)	134
Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax	(1,999)	3,711	(8,075)	873
Income tax benefit (expense) related to items of other comprehensive income (loss)	678	(1,540)	3,023	(362)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(1,321)	2,171	(5,052)	511
Net income	1,761	2,411	5,234	4,426
Total comprehensive income	\$ 440	\$ 4,582	\$ 182	\$ 4,937



**Table of Contents****NOTE 9 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT**

The Company adopted SFAS No. 157 effective January 1, 2008. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurement. This standard applies to all financial assets and liabilities that are being measured and reported at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis. Upon adoption of SFAS No. 157, there was no cumulative effect adjustment to beginning retained earnings and no impact on the financial statements in the first quarter of 2008.

As defined in SFAS No. 157, fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The following table presents information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis as of September 30, 2008 and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value. In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical instruments that are highly liquid, observable and actively traded in over-the-counter markets. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable and can be corroborated by market data. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Financial Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis at September 30, 2008, Using			
	Fair value at September 30, 2008	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Available-for-sale securities	\$ 209,736	\$	\$ 209,736	\$
Interest rate floor	117		117	
<b>Total assets measured at fair value</b>	<b>\$ 209,853</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 209,853</b>	<b>\$</b>

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Financial Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis at September 30, 2008, Using			
	Fair value at September 30, 2008	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Impaired loans	\$ 13,386	\$	\$	\$ 13,386
<b>Total assets measured at fair value</b>	<b>\$ 13,386</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 13,386</b>

The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument above:

**Securities** Fair values for investment securities are obtained from a third-party pricing service for identical or comparable assets.

## **Table of Contents**

**Interest rate floor** The fair value of the interest rate floor is computed using a model which utilizes observable inputs.

**Collateral-dependent impaired loans** Fair value of the Company's collateral-dependent impaired loans are generally measured using the fair value of the underlying collateral, which is determined based on the most recent appraisal information received, less estimated costs to sell. Appraised values may be adjusted based on factors such as the Company's historical knowledge and changes in market conditions from the time of valuation. There was no specific allowance related to collateral-dependent impaired loans at September 30, 2008.

## **Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Cautionary Statement**

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q may contain certain forward-looking statements about the Company and its subsidiaries, which statements are intended to be covered by the safe harbor for forward-looking statements provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. Such statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties, many of which are difficult to predict and are generally beyond the control of the Company. Readers are cautioned that a number of important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in, implied or projected by, such forward-looking statements. Risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:

revenues are lower than expected;

credit quality deterioration which could cause an increase in the provision for credit losses;

competitive pressure among depository institutions increases significantly;

technological changes;

our ability to successfully integrate acquired entities or to achieve expected synergies and operating efficiencies within expected time-frames or at all;

the integration of acquired businesses costs more, takes longer or is less successful than expected;

changes in consumer spending, borrowing and saving habits;

the cost of additional capital is more than expected;

a change in the interest rate environment reduces interest margins;

asset/liability repricing risks and liquidity risks;

## Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

general economic conditions, particularly those affecting real estate values, either nationally or in the market areas in which the Company does or anticipates doing business, are less favorable than expected and including the likelihood of a U.S. recession, which may be prolonged;

our participation or lack of participation in government programs implemented under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act, including without limitation the Troubled Asset Relief Program and the Capital Purchase Program, and the impact of such programs and related regulations on the Company and on international, national, and local economic and financial markets and conditions;

the economic and regulatory effects of the continuing war on terrorism and other events of war, including the war in Iraq and other conflicts;

legislative or regulatory requirements or changes adversely affect the Company's business;

inflation, interest rate, securities market and monetary fluctuations;

the effects of and changes in monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including the interest rate policies of the Federal Reserve Board;

the effect of changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the regulatory agencies, as well as the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the Financial Accounting Standards Board and other accounting standard setters;

recent disruptions and volatility in the credit and equity markets may be prolonged for an extended period and this may result in future other-than-temporary impairment write-downs of our investment securities, and continued downward pressure on our stock price may result in a future goodwill impairment write-down as the Company's stock price is one factor we consider when performing our goodwill impairment analysis;

recent volatility in the credit and equity markets and its effect on the general economy;

---

## **Table of Contents**

the costs and effects of legal and regulatory developments including the resolution of legal proceedings or regulatory or other governmental inquiries and the results of regulatory examinations or reviews;

a slowdown in construction activity;

we may be required to pay significantly higher Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ) premiums because market developments have significantly depleted the insurance fund of the FDIC and reduced the ratio of reserves to insured deposits;

regulatory approvals for announced or future acquisitions cannot be obtained on the terms expected or on the anticipated schedule; and

our success at managing the risks involved in the foregoing items.

If one or more of the factors affecting our forward-looking information and statements proves incorrect, then our actual results, performance or achievements could differ materially from those expressed in, or implied or projected by, the forward-looking information and statements contained in this document. Therefore, we caution you not to place undue reliance on our forward-looking information and statements. The forward-looking statements are made as of the date of this document and we do not intend, and assume no obligation, to update the forward-looking statements or to update the reasons why actual results could differ from those expressed in, or implied or projected by, the forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements contained in this document, and all subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or any person acting on our behalf, are expressly qualified by these cautionary statements. The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our quarterly unaudited condensed interim consolidated financial statements, and notes thereto, contained in this report, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP, and with our 2007 Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.

## **Overview**

First California Financial Group (the Company ) is a bank holding company which serves the comprehensive banking needs of businesses and consumers in Los Angeles, Orange and Ventura counties through our wholly-owned subsidiary, First California Bank (the Bank ). The Bank is a state chartered commercial bank which provides traditional business and consumer banking products ranging from construction finance, SBA lending, entertainment finance and commercial real estate lending via 12 full-service branch locations and 1 loan production office. First California also has two unconsolidated statutory business trust subsidiaries, First California Capital Trust I and FCB Statutory Trust I which were created to raise capital through the issuance of trust preferred securities.

At September 30, 2008, we had consolidated total assets of \$1.1 billion, total loans of \$775.5 million, total deposits of \$757.8 million and consolidated shareholders' equity of \$136.7 million. At December 31, 2007, we had consolidated total assets of \$1.1 billion, total loans of \$738.4 million, total deposits of \$761.1 million and consolidated shareholders' equity of \$136.9 million. For the third quarter of 2008, we had net income of \$1.8 million compared to net income of \$2.4 million for the third quarter of 2007. The 2007 third quarter included \$0.5 million of integration and conversion expenses related to the National Mercantile and FCB merger. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, we had net income of \$5.2 million compared to net income of \$4.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The nine months ended September 30, 2007, included a gain on the sale of two bank charters of \$2.4 million, \$5.4 million of integration and conversion expenses related to the merger with National Mercantile and acquisition of FCB and a \$1.6 million loss on early termination of debt.

On a per share basis, we had net earnings of \$0.15 per diluted common share for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and net earnings of \$0.20 per diluted common share for the same period in 2007. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008 we had net earnings of \$0.45 per diluted common share and net earnings of \$0.41 per diluted common share for the same period in 2007.

On March 12, 2007, First California completed the merger and capital stock exchange with National Mercantile and acquisition of FCB pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger dated June 15, 2006. Thus, the prior year results only include FCB's results of operations subsequent to March 12, 2007.

## **Critical accounting policies and estimates**



## Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The discussion and analysis of our consolidated results of operations and financial condition are based upon our unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements and our audited consolidated financial statements which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, income and expense, and the related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of these consolidated financial statements. We believe these estimates and assumptions to be reasonably accurate; however, actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or circumstances. The following are our critical accounting policies and estimates.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Allowance for loan losses***

An estimate of probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio is necessary in determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses which is presented as a reduction of our loan balances. The allowance for loan losses is increased by a provision for loan losses, which is charged to expense and reduced by charge-offs, net of recoveries. The information used by us to make this estimate is described later in this section and in the notes to the consolidated financial statements. The allowance for loan losses was \$7,999,000 at September 30, 2008 and \$7,828,000 at December 31, 2007.

### ***Income taxes***

We account for income taxes by deferring income taxes based on estimated future tax effects of temporary differences between the tax and book basis of assets and liabilities considering the provisions of enacted tax laws. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included in our consolidated statements of financial condition. Our judgment is required in determining the amount and timing of recognition of the resulting deferred tax assets and liabilities, including projections of future taxable income. An estimate of probable income tax benefits that will not be realized in future years is required in determining the necessity for a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets. The information used by us to make this estimate is described later in this section and in the notes to the consolidated financial statements. There were net deferred tax assets of \$687,000 at September 30, 2008 and net deferred tax liabilities of \$2,535,000 at December 31, 2007. There was no valuation allowance at either period end. Federal and state income tax expense was \$1.1 million and \$1.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and September 30, 2007, respectively, and \$3.3 million and \$2.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and September 30, 2007, respectively. The provision for income taxes represents effective rates of 39.0% and 38.0%, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

### ***Goodwill and other intangible assets***

A review for possible impairment loss is required in determining the carrying value of goodwill. An impairment loss is the condition that exists when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. The information used by us to make this estimate is described later in this section and in the notes to the consolidated financial statements. Goodwill was \$50.1 million at September 30, 2008 and was \$50.2 million at December 31, 2007; there was no impairment loss as of our most recent annual or interim impairment test. The decrease in goodwill of \$117,000 was the result of final purchase price allocations recorded in the first quarter of 2008.

Other intangible assets consist of trade name and core deposit intangibles. Core deposit and trade name intangibles are reviewed periodically for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Impairment of goodwill and other intangibles is permanently recognized by writing down the asset to the extent the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value. Other intangible assets were \$8.8 million at September 30, 2008 and \$9.6 million at December 31, 2007; there was no impairment loss at either period end.

### ***Derivative instruments and hedging***

For derivative instruments designated in cash flow hedging relationships, we assess the effectiveness of the instruments in offsetting changes in the overall cash flows of designated hedged transactions on a quarterly basis. During the third quarter of 2008 we did not perform an effectiveness assessment as we no longer had any derivative instruments designated in cash flow hedging relationships on our consolidated statements of financial condition at September 30, 2008. During the third quarter of 2007, the effectiveness assessments indicated that the instruments were effective and therefore, the unrealized gains/losses on the instrument were deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). The Company also owns an interest rate floor, which is not designated in a hedging relationship. Accordingly, all changes in fair value of the floor are recognized directly in current period earnings.

### ***Fair value***

Effective January 1, 2008, we adopted SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, which among other things, requires enhanced disclosures about financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value. SFAS No. 157 establishes a hierarchical disclosure framework associated with the level of pricing observability utilized in measuring financial assets and liabilities at fair value. See Note 9 – Fair Value Measurement, for additional information about the level of pricing transparency associated with financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value.

---

## **Table of Contents**

The degree of judgment utilized in measuring the fair value of financial assets and liabilities generally correlates to the level of pricing observability. Financial assets and liabilities with readily available active quoted prices or for which fair value can be measured from actively quoted prices generally will have a higher degree of pricing observability and a lesser degree of judgment utilized in measuring fair value. Conversely, financial assets and liabilities rarely traded or not quoted will generally have little or no pricing observability and a higher degree of judgment utilized in measuring fair value. Pricing observability is impacted by a number of factors, including the type of financial asset or liability, whether the financial asset or liability is new to the market and not yet established and the characteristics specific to the transaction

### ***Assessments of impairment***

Our policy is to assess goodwill for impairment on an annual basis or at interim periods if an event occurs or circumstances change which may indicate a change in the fair value of the goodwill below its carrying amount. Impairment is the condition that exists when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. Accounting standards require management to estimate the fair value of the Company at least annually in making the assessment of impairment. Management believes the accounting estimates associated with determining fair value as part of the goodwill impairment test is a critical accounting estimate because estimates and assumptions are made about First California's future performance and cash flows, as well as other prevailing market factors (interest rates, economic trends, etc.). It is First California's practice to use independent data to assist us in determining the fair value of the Company and in determining appropriate market factors to be used in the fair value calculations.

We perform regular impairment analyses on the investment securities available-for-sale portfolio in accordance with SFAS 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*, FSP FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1 *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments*, EITF 99-20 *Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased and Retained Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets* and FSP 157-3 *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for that Asset is not Active*. If it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the debt security not impaired at acquisition, an other-than-temporary impairment is considered to have occurred. When an other-than-temporary impairment occurs, the cost basis of the security is written down to its fair value (as the new cost basis) and the write down is accounted for as a realized loss. Other-than-temporary declines in fair value are assessed based on the duration the security has been in a continuous unrealized loss position, the severity of the decline in value, the rating of the security, the long-term financial outlook of the issuer, the expected future cash flows and our ability and intent on holding the securities until the fair values recover. Management believes the accounting estimates associated with determining whether an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred is a critical accounting estimate because estimates and assumptions are made about the future performance and cash flows of individual securities, as well as prevailing market factors (interest rates, economic trends, prepayment rates, etc.).

### **Recent market developments**

The global and U.S. economies are experiencing significantly reduced business activity as a result of, among other factors, disruptions in the financial system during the past year. Dramatic declines in the housing market during the past year, with falling home prices and increasing foreclosures and unemployment, have resulted in significant write-downs of asset values by financial institutions, including government-sponsored entities and major commercial and investment banks. These write-downs, initially of mortgage-backed securities but spreading to credit default swaps and other derivative securities have caused many financial institutions to seek additional capital, to merge with larger and stronger institutions and, in some cases, to fail.

In response to the financial crisis affecting the banking system and financial markets and going concern threats to investment banks and other financial institutions, on October 3, 2008, the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (the EESA) was signed into law. Pursuant to the EESA, the U.S. Treasury will have the authority to, among other things, purchase up to \$700 billion of mortgages, mortgage-backed securities and certain other financial instruments from financial institutions for the purpose of stabilizing and providing liquidity to the U.S. financial markets.

On October 14, 2008, the Department of the Treasury announced that it will purchase equity stakes in a wide variety of banks and thrifts. Under this program, known as the Troubled Asset Relief Program Capital Purchase Program (the TARP Capital Purchase Program), from the \$700 billion authorized by the EESA, the Treasury will make \$250 billion of capital available to U.S. financial institutions in the form of preferred stock. In conjunction with the purchase of preferred stock, the Treasury will receive warrants to purchase common stock with an aggregate market price equal to 15% of the preferred investment. Participating financial institutions will be required to adopt the Treasury's standards for executive compensation and corporate governance for the period during which the Treasury holds equity issued under the TARP Capital Purchase Program. The Company is still evaluating whether it will participate in the TARP Capital Purchase Program.

**Table of Contents**

Also on October 14, 2008, Secretary Paulson signed the systemic risk exception to the FDIC Act, enabling the FDIC to temporarily provide a 100% guarantee of the senior debt of all FDIC-insured institutions and their holding companies, as well as deposits in non-interest bearing transaction deposit accounts under a Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program. Coverage under the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program is available for 30 days without charge and thereafter at a cost of 75 basis points per annum for senior unsecured debt and 10 basis points per annum for non-interest bearing transaction deposits. The Company does not have any senior debt and this aspect of the program would not apply to us, however, the Company does intend to participate in the program to guarantee deposits in non-interest bearing transaction accounts.

It is not clear at this time what impact the EESA, the TARP Capital Purchase Program, the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program, other liquidity and funding initiatives of the Federal Reserve and other agencies that have been previously announced, and any additional programs that may be initiated in the future will have on the financial markets and the other difficulties described above, including the extreme levels of volatility currently being experienced, or on the U.S. banking and financial industries and the broader U.S. and global economies. Further adverse effects could have an adverse effect on the Company and its business.

**Results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007**

Our earnings are derived predominantly from net interest income, which is the difference between interest and fees earned on loans, securities and federal funds sold (these asset classes are commonly referred to as interest-earning assets) and the interest paid on deposits, borrowings and debentures (these liability classes are commonly referred to as interest-bearing funds). The net interest margin is net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

Our net interest income for the third quarter of 2008 was \$10.3 million, down from \$11.0 million for the same quarter a year ago. Our 2008 third quarter net interest margin (tax equivalent) was 4.18%, compared to 4.77% for the same quarter last year. Net interest income for the first nine months of 2008 was \$30.9 million, up from \$29.6 million for the same period a year ago. Our 2008 year-to-date net interest margin (tax equivalent) was 4.17%, compared to 4.89% for the same period a year ago. The increase in our year-to-date net interest income reflects the increase in our interest-earning assets from the merger with FCB and from the growth in our lending activities. The decrease in the yield on our earning assets outpaced the decrease in the rates paid on our interest-bearing funds which together caused the decrease in our 2008 year-to-date net interest margin. The 2008 year-to-date lower net interest margin reflects the 325 basis point reduction in the Federal Funds Rate in the 12-month period ended September 30, 2008.

The following tables present the distribution of our average assets, liabilities and shareholders' equity in combination with the total dollar amounts of interest income from average interest earning assets and the resultant yields, and the dollar amounts of interest expense and average interest bearing liabilities, expressed both in dollars and rates for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007. Loans include loans held-for-sale and non-accrual loans where non-accrual interest is excluded.

	Three months ended September 30,					
	2008			2007		
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/Cost	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/Cost
Loans	\$ 778,104	\$ 12,674	6.48%	\$ 742,821	\$ 15,275	8.16%
Securities	213,699	2,870	5.54%	183,276	2,531	6.04%
Federal funds sold	761	4	2.09%	5,048	62	4.87%
Deposits with banks	89	0	0.0%	791	6	3.01%
<b>Total earning assets</b>	<b>992,653</b>	<b>\$ 15,548</b>	<b>6.26%</b>	<b>931,936</b>	<b>\$ 17,874</b>	<b>7.72%</b>
Non-earning assets	129,391			108,898		
<b>Total average assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,122,044</b>			<b>\$ 1,040,834</b>		
Interest-bearing checking	\$ 58,911	\$ 105	0.71%	\$ 45,948	\$ 97	0.84%
Savings and money market	183,262	723	1.57%	255,648	2,216	3.44%
Certificates of deposit	316,341	2,132	2.68%	266,249	2,952	4.40%
<b>Total interest-bearing deposits</b>	<b>558,514</b>	<b>2,960</b>	<b>2.11%</b>	<b>567,845</b>	<b>5,265</b>	<b>3.68%</b>

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Borrowings	195,771	1,801	3.66%	92,932	1,216	5.19%
Junior subordinated debentures	26,683	439	6.58%	26,321	439	6.62%
Total borrowed funds	222,454	2,240	4.01%	119,253	1,655	5.51%
Total interest-bearing funds	780,968	5,200	2.65%	687,098	6,920	4.00%
Noninterest checking	192,289			210,776		
Other liabilities	12,266			8,755		
Shareholders' equity	136,521			134,205		
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 1,122,044			\$ 1,040,834		
Net interest income		\$ 10,348			\$ 10,954	
<b>Net interest margin (tax equivalent) <sup>1</sup></b>			<b>4.18%</b>			<b>4.77%</b>

**Table of Contents**

	Nine months ended September 30,					
	2008			2007		
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/Cost	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/Cost
Loans	\$ 778,337	\$ 39,391	6.76%	\$ 658,193	\$ 41,137	8.36%
Securities	220,837	8,827	5.50%	164,711	6,450	5.74%
Federal funds sold	839	18	2.86%	2,544	92	4.84%
Deposits with banks	70	0	0.0%	912	22	3.23%
<b>Total earning assets</b>	<b>1,000,083</b>	<b>\$ 48,236</b>	<b>6.48%</b>	<b>826,360</b>	<b>\$ 47,701</b>	<b>7.82%</b>
Non-earning assets	126,071			73,019		
<b>Total average assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,126,154</b>			<b>\$ 899,379</b>		
Interest-bearing checking	\$ 57,667	\$ 342	0.79%	\$ 38,533	\$ 221	0.77%
Savings and money market	200,533	2,928	1.95%	216,207	5,280	3.27%
Certificates of deposit	296,235	7,105	3.20%	237,974	7,668	4.31%
<b>Total interest-bearing deposits</b>	<b>554,435</b>	<b>10,375</b>	<b>2.49%</b>	<b>492,714</b>	<b>13,169</b>	<b>3.57%</b>
Borrowings	201,612	5,599	3.71%	93,541	3,709	5.30%
Junior subordinated debentures	26,670	1,316	6.58%	24,278	1,237	6.81%
<b>Total borrowed funds</b>	<b>228,282</b>	<b>6,915</b>	<b>4.05%</b>	<b>117,819</b>	<b>4,946</b>	<b>5.61%</b>
<b>Total interest-bearing funds</b>	<b>782,717</b>	<b>17,290</b>	<b>2.95%</b>	<b>610,533</b>	<b>18,115</b>	<b>3.97%</b>
Noninterest checking	192,704			192,608		
Other liabilities	13,528			6,724		
Shareholders equity	137,205			89,514		
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders equity</b>	<b>\$ 1,126,154</b>			<b>\$ 899,379</b>		
Net interest income		\$ 30,946			\$ 29,586	
<b>Net interest margin (tax equivalent) <sup>1</sup></b>			<b>4.17%</b>			<b>4.89%</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes tax equivalent adjustments primarily related to tax-exempt income on securities.

**Table of Contents**

Our net interest income changes with the level and mix of average interest-earning assets and average interest-bearing funds. We call the changes between periods in interest-earning assets and interest-bearing funds balance changes. We measure the effect on our net interest income from balance changes by multiplying the change in the average balance between the current period and the prior period by the prior period average rate.

Our net interest income also changes with the average rate earned or paid on interest-earning assets and interest-bearing funds. We call the changes between periods in average rates earned and paid rate changes. We measure the effect on our net interest income from rate changes by multiplying the change in average rates earned or paid between the current period and the prior period by the prior period average balance.

We allocate the change in our net interest income attributable to both balance and rate on a pro rata basis to the change in average balance and the change in average rate.

<i>(in thousands)</i>	<b>Three months ended September 30, 2008 to 2007 due to:</b>		
	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Volume</i>	<i>Total</i>
Interest income:			
Loans	\$ (3,176)	\$ 575	\$ (2,601)
Securities	(70)	409	339
Federal funds sold	(37)	(21)	(58)
Deposits with banks	(3)	(3)	(6)
Total interest income	(3,286)	960	(2,326)
Interest expense:			
Deposits	2,256	49	2,305
Borrowings	361	(946)	(585)
Junior subordinated debentures	6	(6)	
Total interest expense	2,623	(903)	1,720
Net interest income	\$ (663)	\$ 57	\$ (606)

<i>(in thousands)</i>	<b>Nine months ended September 30, 2008 to 2007 due to:</b>		
	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Volume</i>	<i>Total</i>
Interest income:			
Loans	\$ (7,826)	\$ 6,080	\$ (1,746)
Securities	133	2,244	2,377
Federal funds sold	(38)	(36)	(74)
Deposits with banks	(14)	(8)	(22)
Total interest income	(7,745)	8,280	535
Interest expense:			
Deposits	3,990	(1,196)	2,794
Borrowings	1,090	(2,980)	(1,890)
Junior subordinated debentures	39	(118)	(79)
Total interest expense	5,119	(4,294)	825
Net interest income	\$ (2,626)	\$ 3,986	\$ 1,360





---

**Table of Contents**

We have historically experienced positive asset quality measures – low level of delinquencies, low levels of nonaccrual loans, and low levels of net loan charge-offs – for an extended period of time. As a result, there was no provision charged to operations in the three or nine months ended September 30, 2007; however, as a result of the current economic climate, increased charge-offs and our ongoing evaluation of credit quality in our loan portfolio, we recorded a provision for loan losses of \$300,000 in the third quarter of 2008 and \$950,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

Our service charges, fees and other income for the third quarter of 2008 increased 2% to \$875,000 from \$858,000 for the same quarter of 2007. The increase is primarily due to the growth of our loan and deposit balances.

We estimate the effectiveness of derivative instruments (interest rate swaps) in off-setting changes in cash flow of hedged items and determined that a portion of these instruments were ineffective in the nine months ended September 30, 2008. We recognize the gains and losses related to the ineffective portion of the contracts in noninterest income. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recognized losses of \$1,000 and gains of \$857,000, respectively, compared to zero for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007. The instruments were fully effective in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, therefore the unrealized gains/losses were deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as a separate component of shareholders' equity. We also have interest rate swap contracts which were terminated in the second quarter 2008; the remaining interest rate floor contract expires in December 2008 and is not expected to have a significant effect on earnings for the remainder of the year.

In the first nine months of 2008, \$24.7 million of loans were sold for a gain of \$175,000. In addition, we brokered loans to other institutions for commissions of \$207,000. For the first nine months of 2007, \$65.8 million of loans were sold for a gain of \$1,473,000. In addition, we brokered loans to other institutions for commissions of \$140,000. Due to decreased demand in the secondary markets, the staffing in the Commercial Mortgage Division was reduced in the first quarter of 2008 and loan sale activity has declined in 2008 versus 2007. No more commercial mortgage loan sales are expected in the near future with the exception of sales of the guaranteed portion of SBA loans.

Our noninterest expense for the first nine months of 2008 was \$25.4 million compared with \$28.8 million for the same period last year. The prior year period reflects integration-related expenses and the expense of the early termination of debt and other operating expenses due to the merger of approximately \$7.0 million. Thus, recurring operating expenses have increased from the prior year primarily due to the growth in our operations, increase in number of employees and opening of new offices.

We launched an integration program shortly after the 2007 merger that combined our three banks under a single brand – First California Bank. We recognized integration program pre-tax charges of \$0.5 million and \$5.4 million for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. These charges include \$2.3 million severance for the former chief executive officer, chief financial officer and chief credit officer of National Mercantile and \$1.8 million to exit National Mercantile technology. We installed the existing First California Bank technology in all Mercantile National Bank and South Bay Bank offices and incurred selective staff reductions. We believe the integration program created operating efficiencies and eliminated redundancies. During this integration, the three banks combined under a single charter which freed two national bank charters. On June 18, 2007, we sold these national bank charters and selected assets to United Central Bank and The Independent Bankers Bank, both of Texas. The sale generated a pre-tax gain of approximately \$2.4 million in the second quarter of 2007. We also incurred a \$1.6 million expense in the first quarter of 2007 related to the refinancing of junior subordinated debentures.

Our efficiency ratio was 69% for the third quarter of 2008 compared with 68% for the same quarter in the prior year. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, the efficiency ratio was 70% and 78%, respectively. The efficiency ratio is the percentage relationship of noninterest expense, excluding amortization of intangibles, to the sum of net interest income and noninterest income. The efficiency ratios for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 include the impact of our integration/conversion and debt prepayment expenses.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Financial position September 30, 2008 compared with December 31, 2007**

#### ***Lending and credit risk***

We provide a variety of loan and credit-related products and services to meet the needs of borrowers primarily located in the California counties of Los Angeles, Orange and Ventura. Business loans, represented by commercial real estate loans, commercial loans and construction loans comprise the largest portion of the loan portfolio. Consumer or personal loans, represented by home mortgage, home equity and installment loans, comprise a smaller portion of the loan portfolio.

Credit risk is the risk to earnings or capital arising from an obligor's failure to meet the terms of any contract with us or otherwise to perform as agreed. Credit risk is found in all activities in which success depends on counterparty, issuer, or borrower performance. Credit risk is present any time funds are extended, committed, invested, or otherwise exposed through actual or implied contractual agreements, whether reflected on or off the balance sheet.

All categories of loans present credit risk. Major risk factors applicable to all loan categories include changes in international, national and local economic conditions such as interest rates, inflation, unemployment levels, consumer and business confidence and the supply and demand for goods and services.

Commercial real estate loans rely upon the cash flow originating from the underlying real property. Commercial real estate is a cyclical industry that is affected not only by general economic conditions but also by local supply and demand. In the office sector, the demand for office space is highly dependent on employment levels. In the retail sector, the demand for retail space and the levels of retail rents are affected by consumer spending and confidence. The industrial sector has exposure to the level of exports, defense spending and inventory levels. Vacancy rates, location and other factors affect the amount of rental income for commercial property. Tenants may relocate, fail to honor their lease or go out of business. In the multifamily residential sector, the demand for apartments is heavily influenced by the affordability of ownership housing, employment conditions and the vacancy of existing inventory. Population growth or decline and changing demographics, such as increases in the level of immigrants or retirees, are also factors influencing the multifamily residential sector.

Construction loans provide developers or owners with funds to build or improve properties that will ultimately be sold or leased. Construction loans are generally considered to involve a higher degree of risk than other loan categories because they rely upon the developer's or owner's ability to complete the project within specified cost and time limits. Cost overruns can cause the project cost to exceed the project sales price or exceed the amount of the committed permanent financing. Construction projects also can be delayed for a number of reasons such as poor weather, material or labor shortages, labor difficulties, or substandard work that must be redone to pass inspection. Furthermore, changes in market conditions or credit markets may affect a project's viability once completed.

Commercial loans rely upon the cash flow produced by the underlying business activity of the enterprise. The manufacture, distribution or sale of goods or sale of services are not only affected by general economic conditions but also by the ability of the enterprise's management to adjust to local supply and demand conditions, maintain good labor, vendor and customer relationships, as well as market, price and sell their goods or services for a profit. Customer demand for goods and services of the enterprise may change because of competition or obsolescence.

Home mortgages and home equity loans and lines of credit are secured by first or second trust deeds on a borrower's real estate property, typically their principal residence. These loans are dependant on a person's ability to regularly pay the principal and interest due on the loan and, secondarily, on the value of real estate property that serves as collateral for the loan. Home mortgages are generally considered to involve a lower degree of risk than other loan categories because of the relationship of the loan amount to the value of the residential real estate and a person's reluctance to forego their principal place of residence. Home real estate values however are not only affected by general economic conditions but also on local supply and demand. Installment loans and credit card lines are also dependent on a person's ability to regularly pay principal and interest on a loan; however, these loans generally are not secured by collateral or, if they are secured, the collateral value can rapidly decline as is the case for automobiles. A person's ability to service debt is highly dependent upon their continued employment or financial stability. Job loss, divorce, illness and bankruptcy are just a few of the risks that may affect a person's ability to service their debt.

Since the risks in each loan category change based on a number of factors, it is not possible to state whether a particular type of lending carries with it a greater or lesser degree of risk at any specific time in the economic cycle. In a stabilized economic environment, it is generally considered that home mortgage loans have the least risk, followed by home equity loans, multifamily property loans, commercial property loans, commercial loans and lines and finally construction loans. However, this ordering may vary from time to time and the degree of risk from the credits with the least risk to those with the highest risk profile may expand or contract with the general economy.



**Table of Contents**

We manage credit risk through Board approved policies and procedures. These policies are reviewed and approved at least annually by the Directors. Lending policies provide us with a framework for consistent loan underwriting and a basis for sound credit decisions. Lending policies specify, among other things, the parameters for the type or purpose of the loan, the required debt service coverage and the required collateral requirements. Credit limits are also established and certain loans require approval by the Directors Loan Committee. The Director s Audit Committee also engages a third party to perform a credit review of the loan portfolio to ensure compliance with policies and assist in the evaluation of the credit risk inherent in the loan portfolio.

**Loans**

Gross loans, excluding loans held for sale, increased 5% to \$783.5 million at September 30, 2008 from \$746.2 million at December 31, 2007. Loan growth was primarily the result of growth from our business lending activities.

<i>(in thousands)</i>	At September 30, 2008	At December 31, 2007
Commercial mortgage	\$ 274,901	\$ 295,496
Commercial loans and lines of credit	229,933	189,638
Construction and land development	137,368	148,101
Home mortgage	45,295	46,193
Multifamily mortgage	67,088	34,198
Home equity loans and lines of credit	22,588	22,519
Installment and credit card	6,322	10,034
 Total loans	 783,495	 746,179
Allowance for loan losses	(7,999)	(7,828)
 Loans, net	 \$ 775,496	 \$ 738,351
 Loans held for sale	 \$	 \$ 11,454

The loan categories above are derived from bank regulatory reporting standards for loans secured by real estate; however, a portion of the commercial mortgage loans above are loans that we consider to be a commercial loan for which we have taken real estate collateral as additional support or from an abundance of caution. In these instances, we are not looking to the real property as its primary source of repayment, but rather as a secondary or tertiary source of repayment.

Commercial mortgage loans, the largest segment of our portfolio, were 35 percent of total loans at September 30, 2008 compared with 40 percent at December 31, 2007. We had approximately 317 commercial mortgage loans with an average balance of \$869,000 at September 30, 2008 compared to approximately 336 commercial mortgage loans with an average balance of \$882,000 at December 31, 2007. Commercial mortgage loans are collateralized by many different commercial property types. Our top three categories have been office, industrial, and retail, representing approximately 80 percent of commercial mortgage loans. In addition, most of our commercial property lending is in Los Angeles, Orange and Ventura Counties. The following is a table of our commercial mortgage balances by county.

<b>Region/county</b>	At September 30, 2008	At December 31, 2007
<i>(in thousands)</i>		
<b>Southern California</b>		
Los Angeles	\$ 140,194	\$ 151,075
Orange	26,399	27,589
Ventura	85,604	95,397
Riverside	7,375	7,165
San Bernardino	5,631	5,858
Santa Barbara	237	240
San Diego	3,246	3,692

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Total Southern California	268,686	291,016
<b>Northern California</b>		
Alameda	342	342
Contra Costa	439	1,262
Fresno	2,515	849
Kern	1,132	1,177
Madera	563	562
Placer	636	
Solano	287	288
Tulare	301	
Total Northern California	6,215	4,480
Total commercial mortgage	\$ 274,901	\$ 295,496

**Table of Contents**

Commercial mortgage loans are generally underwritten with a maximum loan-to-value of 70 percent and a minimum debt service coverage ratio of 1.25. At September 30, 2008, the average LTV of the commercial mortgage portfolio was approximately 60 percent and the average debt service coverage ratio was approximately 1.55. These criteria may become more conservative depending on the type of property. We focus on cash flow; consequently, regardless the value of the collateral, the commercial real estate project must provide sufficient cash flow, or alternatively the principals must supplement the project with other cash flow, to service the debt. We generally require the principals to guarantee the loan. We also stress-test commercial mortgage loans to determine the potential effect changes in interest rates, vacancy rates, and lease or rent rates would have on the cash flow of the project. Additionally, at least on an annual basis, we require updates on the cash flow of the project and, where practicable, we visit the properties.

Commercial loans represent the next largest category of loans and were 29 percent of total loans at September 30, 2008, up from 25 percent at December 31, 2007. We had approximately 817 commercial loans with an average balance of \$281,000 at September 30, 2008 compared to approximately 732 commercial loans with an average balance \$254,000 at December 31, 2007. Commercial loans are made for the purpose of providing for working capital, equipment purchases and business expansion. Commercial loans may be unsecured or secured by assets such as equipment, inventory, accounts receivable, and real property. Personal guarantees of the business owner may also be present. Additionally, these loans may also have partial guarantees from the U.S. Small Business Administration ( SBA ) or other federal or state agencies. The commercial loan portfolio is made up of broadly diversified business sectors with the largest sectors in real estate/construction, finance and insurance, healthcare, manufacturing and professional services. Below is a table of our commercial loans by business sector.

<b>Industry/Sector</b>	<b>At September 30, 2008</b>	<b>At December 31, 2007</b>
<i>(in thousands)</i>		
Services	\$ 57,678	\$ 38,748
Information	56,749	43,440
Real Estate	49,852	46,450
Trade	25,330	22,295
Healthcare	13,134	13,087
Manufacturing	11,845	16,644
Transportation and Warehouse	8,950	8,974
Other	6,395	
<b>Total commercial loans</b>	<b>\$ 229,933</b>	<b>\$ 189,638</b>

**Table of Contents**

Commercial loans are underwritten with maturities not to exceed seven years and we generally require the loan to be fully amortized within the term of the loan. Traditional working capital lines are underwritten for a 12-month period and have a 30-day out-of-debt requirement. Accounts receivable and inventory financing revolving lines of credit have an annual maturity date, a maximum advance rate, and an annual field audit for lines of \$200,000 or more. Field audits are performed by third-party vendors. The maximum advance rate for accounts receivable is 80 percent and the maximum advance rate for eligible inventory is 50 percent.

Construction and land loans represent 18 percent of total loans at September 30, 2008 compared with 20 percent at December 31, 2007. At September 30, 2008, we had approximately 51 projects with an average commitment of \$3,275,000 compared to approximately 62 projects with an average commitment of \$3,368,000 at December 31, 2007. Construction loans represent single-family and multifamily residential building projects, commercial building projects and land development loans. Construction loans by type at September 30, 2008, were as follows: Residential \$84.1 million, Commercial \$43.0 million and Land \$10.3 million. Construction loans by type at December 31, 2007, were as follows: Residential \$106.3 million, Commercial \$31.9 million and Land \$9.9 million. Construction loans are typically short term, with maturities ranging from 12 to 18 months. For commercial projects, we have a maximum loan-to-value requirement of 75 percent of the Federal Institutions Reform Recovery and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) conforming appraised value. For residential projects, the maximum loan-to-value is 80 percent. In practice, we generally do not exceed 70 percent loan-to-value. We require the borrower to provide in cash at least 20 percent of the cost of the project. At the borrower's expense, we use a third party vendor for funds control, lien releases and inspections. In addition, we regularly monitor the marketplace and the economy for evidence of deterioration in real estate values. Below is a table of our construction loans by county.

<b>County</b> <i>(in thousands)</i>	<b>At September 30, 2008</b>	
	<i>Commitment</i>	<i>Outstanding</i>
Los Angeles	\$ 97,100	\$ 70,912
Orange	4,900	3,561
Ventura	45,406	43,279
Riverside	5,984	5,984
San Bernardino	4,290	4,281
San Diego	737	739
Santa Barbara	8,610	8,612
Total construction	\$ 167,027	\$ 137,368

<b>County</b> <i>(in thousands)</i>	<b>At December 31, 2007</b>	
	<i>Commitment</i>	<i>Outstanding</i>
Los Angeles	\$ 109,780	\$ 78,142
Orange	8,042	3,103
Ventura	53,055	37,152
Monterey	4,690	4,191
Riverside	6,873	5,227
San Bernardino	4,624	567
San Diego	752	736
Santa Barbara	21,055	18,983
Total construction	\$ 208,871	\$ 148,101

We are mindful of the recent developments in our marketplace and have supplemented our regular monitoring practices by updating project appraisals, re-evaluating estimated project marketing time and re-evaluating the sufficiency of the original loan commitment to absorb interest charges (i.e., interest reserves). We are also re-evaluating the project sponsor, where applicable, to successfully complete other projects funded by other institutions. In circumstances where the interest reserve was not sufficient the project sponsor has made payments to us from their general resources or the project sponsor placed with us the proceeds from a portion of the project sales. While we believe that our monitoring practices are adequate we cannot assure you that there will not be further delinquencies, lengthened project marketing time or declines in real estate values.

## Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Multifamily residential mortgage loans were 9 percent of total loans at September 30, 2008 compared with 6 percent at December 31, 2007. We had approximately 85 multifamily loans with an average balance of \$788,000 at September 30, 2008 compared to approximately 60 multifamily loans with an average balance of \$568,000 at December 31, 2007. Multifamily mortgage loans are collateralized by apartments mostly located in our tri-county market area. Multifamily mortgage loans are underwritten in a fashion similar to commercial mortgage loans described above. Below is a table of our multifamily mortgage loans by county.



**Table of Contents**

<b>Region/county</b>	<b>At September 30, 2008</b>	<b>At December 31, 2007</b>
<i>(in thousands)</i>		
<b>Southern California</b>		
Los Angeles	\$ 32,657	\$ 12,028
Orange	17,771	11,806
Ventura	3,829	3,295
Riverside	850	880
San Bernardino	3,916	2,861
San Diego	2,395	565
<b>Total</b>	<b>61,418</b>	<b>31,435</b>
<b>Northern California</b>		
Alameda	809	
Calaveras	1,392	1,409
Fresno	257	261
Mendocino		403
Merced	683	690
Monterey	390	
Mono	236	
San Francisco	758	
Santa Cruz	1,145	
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,670</b>	<b>2,763</b>
<b>Total multifamily mortgage</b>	<b>\$ 67,088</b>	<b>\$ 34,198</b>

**Allowance for loan losses**

The allowance for loan losses is management's best estimate of the inherent losses in the loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses is increased by a provision for loan losses, which is charged to expense and reduced by charge-offs, net of recoveries. All loans which are judged to be uncollectible are charged against the allowance while any recoveries are credited to the allowance. It is our policy to charge-off any known losses at the time of determination. Any unsecured loan more than 90 days delinquent in payment of principal or interest and not in the process of collection is charged off in total. Secured loans are evaluated on a case-by-case basis to determine the ultimate loss potential to us subsequent to the liquidation of collateral. In those cases where we are inadequately protected, a charge-off will be made to reduce the loan balance to a level equal to the liquidation value of the collateral.

Our loan policy provides procedures designed to evaluate and assess the risk factors associated with our loan portfolio, to enable us to assess such risk factors prior to granting new loans and to evaluate the sufficiency of the allowance for loan losses. We conduct an assessment of the allowance on a monthly basis and undertake a more critical evaluation quarterly. At the time of the monthly review, the Board of Directors will examine and formally approve the adequacy of the allowance. The quarterly evaluation includes an assessment of the following factors: any external loan review and any regulatory examination, estimated probable loss exposure on each pool of loans, concentrations of credit, value of collateral, the level of delinquency and non-accruals, trends in the portfolio volume, effects of any changes in the lending policies and procedures, changes in lending personnel, present economic conditions at the local, state and national level, the amount of undisbursed off-balance sheet commitments, and a migration analysis of historical losses and recoveries for the prior eight quarters.

Our evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses includes a review of individual loans to identify specific probable losses and also assigns estimated loss factors to specific groups or types of loans to calculate possible losses. These are referred to as quantitative considerations. Our evaluation also considers subjective factors such as changes in local and regional economic and business conditions, financial improvement or deterioration in business sectors and industries, changes in lending practices, changes in personnel, changes in the volume and level of past due and nonaccrual loans and concentrations of credit. These are referred to as qualitative considerations.



**Table of Contents**

We have experienced historically positive asset quality measures – low level of delinquencies, low levels of non-accrual loans and low levels of net charge-offs – for an extended period of time. As a result, there was no provision for loan losses in the first nine months of 2007; however, as a result of the current economic climate and increased charge-offs, we recorded a loan loss provision of \$300,000 and \$950,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, respectively.

The ratio of the allowance for loan losses to loans was 1.02 percent at September 30, 2008 compared with 1.05 percent at December 31, 2007. While we believe that our allowance for loan losses was adequate at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the determination of the allowance is a highly judgmental process and we cannot assure you that we will not further increase or decrease the allowance or that bank regulators will not require us to increase or decrease the allowance in the future.

The following table presents activity in the allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2008	September 30, 2007	September 30, 2008	September 30, 2007
<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>				
Beginning balance	\$ 7,893	\$ 8,296	\$ 7,828	\$ 4,740
Provision for loan losses	300		950	
Additions from the merger				3,531
Loans charged-off	(206)	(283)	(917)	(289)
Transfers to undisbursed commitment allowance				18
Recoveries on loans charged-off	12	72	138	85
<b>Ending balance</b>	<b>\$ 7,999</b>	<b>\$ 8,085</b>	<b>\$ 7,999</b>	<b>\$ 8,085</b>
Allowance to loans	1.02%	1.07%	1.02%	1.07%
Accruing loans past due 30 – 89 days	\$ 6,560	\$ 3,668	\$ 6,560	\$ 3,668
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	\$ 947	\$ 890	\$ 947	\$ 890
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 8,636	\$ 5,720	\$ 8,636	\$ 5,720
Foreclosed property	\$ 274	\$ 244	\$ 274	\$ 244

The reserve for loan losses on undisbursed commitments was \$102,000 and \$99,000 at September 30, 2008, and December 31, 2007, respectively. The reserve for losses on undisbursed commitments is included in accrued interest payable and other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets.

The following table presents the allocation of the allowance to each loan category and the percentage relationship of loans in each category to total loans:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	September 30, 2008		December 31, 2007	
	Amount	Percent of Loans in Category to Total Loans	Amount	Percent of Loans in Category to Total Loans
Commercial mortgage	\$ 2,155	35%	\$ 2,788	40%
Multifamily mortgage	643	9%	157	5%
Commercial loans and lines	2,312	29%	1,903	25%
Construction and land development	1,792	17%	1,766	20%
Home equity loans and lines	176	3%	65	3%
Home mortgage	425	6%	450	6%
Installment and credit card	50	1%	349	1%
Subtotal	\$ 7,553	100%	\$ 7,478	100%
Unallocated	446		350	

Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Total	\$ 7,999	\$ 7,828
-------	----------	----------

## **Table of Contents**

Unallocated amounts represent qualitative considerations attributed to economic conditions and geographic concentrations. The allocation presented above should not be interpreted as an indication that charges to the allowance will be incurred in these amounts or proportions. The amounts attributed to each loan category are based on the analysis described above.

There were \$8.6 million and \$5.7 million of nonaccrual loans at September 30, 2008 and September 30, 2007, respectively. Had these loans performed according to their original terms, additional interest income of approximately \$162,000 and \$102,000 would have been recognized in the three month periods ended September 30, 2008 and September 30, 2007, respectively, and \$400,000 and \$136,000 would have been recognized in the nine month periods ended September 30, 2008 and September 30, 2007, respectively.

We consider a loan to be impaired when, based on current information and events, we do not expect to be able to collect all amounts due according to the loan contract, including scheduled interest payments. Due to the size and nature of the loan portfolio, impaired loans are determined by periodic evaluation on an individual loan basis. The average investment in impaired loans was \$10.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and \$4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Impaired loans were \$13.4 million at September 30, 2008 and \$8.2 million at December 31, 2007. Reserves for individually impaired loans are computed in accordance with SFAS No. 114 Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, and are based on either the estimated collateral value less estimated selling costs (if the loan is a collateral-dependent loan), or the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008 we recorded no specific valuation allowance relating to our impaired loans. Impaired loans without a specific valuation allowance had collateral with a fair value, less estimated costs to sell, in excess of the carrying amount of impaired loans.

### ***Investing, funding and liquidity risk***

Liquidity risk is the risk to earnings or capital arising from the inability to meet obligations when they come due without incurring unacceptable losses. Liquidity risk includes the inability to manage unplanned decreases or changes in funding sources as well as the failure to recognize or address changes in market conditions that affect the ability to liquidate assets quickly and with minimal loss in value.

We manage liquidity risk through policies and procedures approved by the Board of Directors. These policies are reviewed and approved at least annually by the Directors. Liquidity risk policies provide us with a framework for consistent evaluation of risk and establish risk tolerance parameters. Management's Asset and Liability Committee meets regularly to evaluate liquidity risk, review and establish deposit interest rates, review loan and deposit in-flows and out-flows and reports quarterly to the Directors' Balance Sheet Management Committee on compliance with policies. The Directors' Audit Committee also engages a third party to perform a review of management's asset and liability practices to ensure compliance with policies.

The Company's primary source of funds continues to be core deposits (representing checking, savings and small balance certificates of deposit). At September 30, 2008, core deposits totaled \$551.5 million. At December 31, 2007 core deposits totaled \$556.3 million. The decrease is a result of a decrease in non-interest bearing checking and money market accounts. Core deposits represent a significant low-cost source of funds that support our lending activities and represent a key part of our funding strategy. We seek and stress the importance of both loan and deposit relationships with customers in our business plans.

Alternative funding sources include certificates of deposits of \$100,000 or more, brokered deposits, federal funds purchased from other institutions, and borrowings. Total alternative funds used at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 were \$400.8 million and \$373.7 million, respectively. The increase is a result of the Company taking advantage of its access to wholesale funding sources to manage liquidity, interest rate risk and net interest margin. At September 30, 2008, there was \$12.5 million and \$43.1 million of unused and available borrowing capacity on the Bank's secured borrowing facilities at the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco and FHLB, respectively.

In addition, we have lines of credit with other financial institutions providing for federal funds facilities up to a maximum of \$31.0 million at September 30, 2008, increased from a maximum of \$19.0 million at December 31, 2007. The lines of credit support short-term liquidity needs and cannot be used for more than 30 consecutive days. These lines are unsecured, have no formal maturity date and can be revoked at any time by the granting institutions. There were no borrowings under these lines of credit at September 30, 2008 or December 31, 2007.

**Table of Contents**

The primary sources of liquidity for the Company, on a stand-alone basis, include the dividends from our bank subsidiary and, historically, our ability to issue trust preferred securities and secure outside borrowings. The ability of the Company to obtain funds for its cash requirements, including payments on the junior subordinated debentures underlying our outstanding trust preferred securities, is largely dependent upon the Bank's earnings. The Bank is subject to restrictions under certain federal and state laws and regulations which limit its ability to transfer funds to the Company through intercompany loans, advances or cash dividends. Dividends paid by state banks, such as First California Bank, are regulated by the California Department of Financial Institutions, or the DFI, under its general supervisory authority as it relates to a bank's capital requirements. A state bank may declare a dividend without the approval of the DFI as long as the total dividends declared in a calendar year do not exceed either the retained earnings or the total of net profits for three previous fiscal years less any dividends paid during such period. During the first nine months of 2008 and 2007, we received \$0 and \$3.0 million in dividends from our bank subsidiary, respectively. The amount of dividends available for payment by the Bank to the holding company at September 30, 2008 without prior approval from bank regulators was \$7.5 million. The Company has \$8.6 million in cash on deposit with its bank subsidiary at September 30, 2008.

As of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we had \$26.8 million in junior subordinated debentures outstanding from two issuances of trust preferred securities. Junior subordinated debentures as of September 30, 2008 consisted of the following:

	Interest Rate	Maturity Date	As of September 30, 2008	
			Effective Interest Rate	Balance
FCB Statutory Trust I	Fixed until Dec. 2010	December 15, 2035	6.15%	\$ 10,310
First California Capital Trust I	Fixed until Jan. 2012	March 15, 2037	6.80%	16,495
			6.55%	\$ 26,805

The book balance of FCB Statutory Trust I, net of purchase accounting adjustment, is \$10,193,000 at September 30, 2008, and the total junior subordinated debt on the consolidated balance sheet is \$26,688,000 at September 30, 2008.

**Securities**

Securities are classified as available-for-sale for accounting purposes and, as such, are recorded at their fair values in the consolidated balance sheets. Fair values are based on quoted market prices. Changes in the fair value of securities (that is, unrealized holding gains or losses) are reported as other comprehensive income or loss, net of tax, and carried as accumulated comprehensive income or loss within shareholders' equity until realized.

Securities, at amortized cost, decreased by \$13.4 million, or 5.8 percent, from \$230.7 million at December 31, 2007 to \$217.3 million at September 30, 2008 primarily through principal paydowns, maturities and called securities.

Net unrealized holding gains or (losses) at September 30, 2008 and at December 31, 2007 were (\$7,599,000) and \$444,000, respectively. Securities are comprised largely of U.S. Government Agency obligations, mortgage-backed securities and California municipal general obligation bonds and do not relate to any specific industry segment. We perform regular impairment analyses on the investment securities available-for-sale portfolio in accordance with SFAS 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*, FSP FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1 *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments*, EITF 99-20 *Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased and Retained Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets* and FSP 157-3 *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for that Asset is not Active*. If it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the debt security not impaired at acquisition, an other-than-temporary impairment is considered to have occurred. When an other-than-temporary impairment occurs, the cost basis of the security is written down to its fair value (as the new cost basis) and the write down is accounted for as a realized loss. Other-than-temporary declines in fair value are assessed based on the duration the security has been in a continuous unrealized loss position, the severity of the decline in value, the rating of the security, the long-term financial outlook of the issuer and our ability and intent on holding the securities until the fair values recover.

The majority of unrealized losses in the available-for-sale securities portfolio at September 30, 2008 is related to triple-A-rated private label mortgage-backed securities also known as collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs). As of September 30, 2008, the fair value of these securities totaled \$48.0 million, representing 23% of our securities portfolio. Gross unrealized losses



**Table of Contents**

related to these securities amounted to \$7.7 million, or 14% of the aggregate amortized cost basis of these securities as of September 30, 2008. These unrealized losses are caused by a severe disruption in the market for these securities and historically wide market spreads resulting from instability in the residential real estate and credit markets. All of these securities had credit rating agency grades of triple-A upon purchase and various rating agencies have reaffirmed these securities' investment grade status at September 30, 2008. With the exception of one security, described below, the issuers of these securities have not, to our knowledge, established any cause for default on these securities and the performance of the underlying loan collateral is within expected parameters. One CMO with an amortized cost basis of \$8.7 million has an unrealized loss of \$2.6 million as of September 30, 2008. This security is rated double-B by one rating agency as of September 30, 2008. The current delinquency and default rates of the collateral for this security are above original expectations at the time of purchase. We performed a discounted cash flow analysis using the historical prepayment speed of this security, the cumulative default rate over the last 12 months and the loss severity rate over the last 12 months to determine if there was other-than-temporary impairment of this security as of September 30, 2008. This discounted cash flow analysis resulted in no shortfall of contractual cash flows to the tranche of this security owned by the Bank. As we have the ability and intention to hold this security for a sufficient amount of time, during which the fair value may recover to cost or the security matures, management does not deem this security to be other-than-temporarily impaired at September 30, 2008.

We also have one pooled trust preferred security with a fair value of \$3.9 million, representing 1.9% of our securities portfolio. The gross unrealized loss related to this security was \$1.0 million, or 21% of the amortized cost basis of this security as of September 30, 2008. This unrealized loss is primarily caused by a severe disruption in the market for these securities and no active market for this type of security. The security has an investment rating of triple-A by various rating agencies and is supported by a significant collateral margin at September 30, 2008. There is very little default experience within this security and there is no evidence of a shortage of contractual cash flows to the tranche of this security owned by the Bank. As we have the ability and intention to hold this security for a sufficient amount of time, during which the fair value may recover to cost or the security matures, management does not deem this security to be other-than-temporarily impaired at September 30, 2008.

The remainder of our securities portfolio consists mainly of agency mortgage-backed securities and various municipal securities. Some of these securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for twelve months or longer as of September 30, 2008. All of these securities had credit rating agency grades of triple-A upon purchase and various rating agencies have reaffirmed these securities' long-term investment grade status at September 30, 2008, except for three municipal securities with an aggregate amortized cost basis of \$1.0 million and unrealized losses of \$12,000 at September 30, 2008. The unrealized losses on these securities are primarily attributable to changes in interest rates and a disruption in the market for these types of securities. The issuers of these securities have not, to our knowledge, established any cause for default on these securities. These securities have fluctuated in value since their purchase date as market interest rates have fluctuated. However, we have the ability and the intention to hold these securities for a sufficient amount of time, during which their fair values may recover to cost or the securities may mature. As such, management does not deem these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at September 30, 2008.

We will continue to evaluate our securities portfolio for other-than-temporary impairment at each reporting date and we can provide no assurance that there will not be an other-than-temporary impairment in future periods.

**Deposits**

Deposits represent our primary source of funds for funding our lending activities. The following table presents the average balance and the average rate paid on each deposit category for the periods indicated:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Nine months ended September 30,			
	2008		2007	
	Average Balance	Rate	Average Balance	Rate
Average core deposits				
Noninterest bearing checking	\$ 192,704		\$ 192,608	
Interest checking	57,667	0.79%	38,533	0.77%
Savings accounts	200,533	1.95%	216,207	3.27%
Time deposits less than \$100,000	97,806	3.29%	157,302	4.28%
Total core deposits	548,710	1.38%	604,650	2.33%
Average noncore deposits				



## Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Time deposits of \$100,000 or more	198,429	3.16%	80,672	4.44%
Total average core and noncore deposits	\$ 747,139	1.86%	\$ 685,322	2.58%

**Table of Contents**

**Borrowings**

Borrowings are comprised of FHLB advances and securities sold under agreements to repurchase. At September 30, 2008, we had \$194.5 million of borrowings outstanding, of which \$45.0 million were securities sold under agreements to repurchase and \$149.5 million were FHLB advances. For our overnight FHLB advances, the following table presents the amounts and weighted average interest rates outstanding.

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008		Year Ended December 31, 2007	
	Federal Home Loan Bank Advances	Weighted average interest rate	Federal Home Loan Bank Advances	Weighted average interest rate
Amount outstanding at end of period	\$ 20,520	1.33%	\$ 24,901	3.30%
Maximum amount outstanding at any month-end during the period	\$ 74,463	2.54%	\$ 24,901	3.30%
Average amount outstanding during the period	\$ 34,493	2.62%	\$ 17,601	3.87%

The following table presents the maturities of FHLB term advances at September 30, 2008:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Maturity		Weighted Average
	Amount	Year	Interest Rate
\$7,000	2008	4.07%	
28,500	2009	3.72%	
40,000	2010	3.82%	
11,000	2011	3.42%	
17,500	2012	4.12%	
17,500	2014	4.24%	
7,500	2017	4.07%	

\$129,000

The following table presents the maturities of FHLB term advances at December 31, 2007:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Maturity		Weighted Average
	Amount	Year	Interest Rate
\$18,000	2008	4.90%	
13,500	2009	4.81%	
25,000	2010	4.27%	
17,500	2012	4.12%	
17,500	2014	4.24%	
7,500	2017	4.07%	

\$99,000

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents maturities of securities sold under agreements to repurchase at September 30, 2008:

Amount (in thousands)	Maturity	Weighted Average
	Year	Interest Rate
\$15,000	2011	3.64%
20,000	2013	3.60%
10,000	2014	3.72%
\$45,000		

The following table presents maturities of securities sold under agreements to repurchase at December 31, 2007:

Amount (in thousands)	Maturity	Weighted Average
	Year	Interest Rate
\$15,000	2008	5.42%
30,000	2009	5.46%
\$45,000		

**Capital resources**

The following table presents, at the dates indicated, our actual regulatory capital amounts and ratios and the required minimum amounts of regulatory capital.

(in thousands)	Actual Regulatory		For Capital		To be Well	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
September 30, 2008						
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)						
First California Financial Group, Inc.	\$ 121,014	14.01%	\$ 69,098	≥ 8.00%		
First California Bank	110,197	12.89%	68,381	≥ 8.00%	85,476	≥ 10.00%
Tier I capital (to risk weighted assets)						
First California Financial Group, Inc.	112,913	13.07%	34,549	≥ 4.00%		
First California Bank	102,096	11.94%	34,190	≥ 4.00%	51,286	≥ 6.00%
Tier I capital (to average assets)						
First California Financial Group, Inc.	112,913	10.60%	42,618	≥ 4.00%		
First California Bank	102,096	9.64%	42,371	≥ 4.00%	52,963	≥ 5.00%
December 31, 2007						
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)						
First California Financial Group, Inc.	\$ 115,387	13.35%	\$ 69,167	≥ 8.00%		
First California Bank	103,414	12.04%	68,687	≥ 8.00%	85,858	≥ 10.00%
Tier I capital (to risk weighted assets)						
First California Financial Group, Inc.	107,460	12.43%	34,583	≥ 4.00%		
First California Bank	95,487	11.12%	34,343	≥ 4.00%	51,515	≥ 6.00%

## Edgar Filing: First California Financial Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Tier I capital (to average assets)

First California Financial Group, Inc.	107,460	10.42%	41,248	≥ 4.00%		
First California Bank	95,487	9.30%	41,065	≥ 4.00%	51,332	≥ 5.00%

**Table of Contents**

We recognize that a strong capital position is vital to growth, continued profitability, and depositor and investor confidence. Our policy is to maintain sufficient capital at not less than the well-capitalized thresholds established by banking regulators.

We announced on November 7, 2007 that our board of directors authorized a repurchase of up to \$5 million of the Company's common stock until November 2008. As of September 30, 2008, the Company had repurchased 344,660 shares for \$3,040,000. Effective August 31, 2008, the board of directors terminated the stock repurchase program due to current market conditions.

***Financial Instruments With Off-Balance Sheet Risk***

In the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers, the Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and the issuance of letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amounts recognized in our consolidated financial statements. The contract amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of involvement the Company has in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Company's exposure to credit loss, in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and letters of credit written, is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. The Company may or may not require collateral or other security to support financial instruments with credit risk, depending on its loan underwriting guidelines.

The following summarizes the Company's outstanding commitments at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007:

*(in thousands)*

	Sept. 30, 2008	Dec. 31, 2007
Financial instruments whose contract amounts contain credit risk:		
Commitments to extend credit	\$ 150,936	\$ 198,657
Commercial and standby letters of credit	344	2,501
	\$ 151,280	\$ 201,158

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Company upon an extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property and equipment, and income-producing properties.

Letters of credit written are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including commercial paper, bond financing, and similar transactions. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The Company holds cash, marketable securities, or real estate as collateral supporting those commitments for which collateral is deemed necessary.

---

## **Table of Contents**

As of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the Company maintained a reserve for undisbursed commitments of \$102,000 and \$99,000, respectively. The reserve is included in accrued interest payable and other liabilities on the condensed balance sheets.

**Guarantees** As successor to all the rights and obligations of National Mercantile and FCB, the Company has unconditionally guaranteed, on a subordinated basis, all distributions and payments under the First California Capital Trust I's and FCB Statutory Trust I's capital securities upon liquidation, redemption, or otherwise, but only to the extent either the First California Capital Trust I or the FCB Statutory Trust I, as the case may be, fails to pay such distributions under the fixed/floating rate deferrable interest debentures such trust holds from the Company. See the discussion regarding junior subordinated securities and trust preferred securities in the section titled "Investing, funding and liquidity risk" above.

### **Interest Rate Risk**

Interest rate risk is the risk to earnings or capital arising from movements in interest rates. Interest rate risk arises from differences between the timing of rate changes and the timing of cash flows (re-pricing risk), from changing the rate relationships among different yield curves affecting bank activities (basis risk), from changing rate relationships across the spectrum of maturities (yield curve risk), and from interest-related options embedded in loans and products (options risk).

We manage bank interest risk through Board approved policies and procedures. These policies are reviewed and approved at least annually by the Directors. Interest rate risk policies provide management with a framework for consistent evaluation of risk and establish risk tolerance parameters. Management's Asset and Liability Committee meets regularly to evaluate interest rate risk, engages a third party to assist in the measurement and evaluation of risk and reports quarterly to the Directors' Balance Sheet Management Committee on compliance with policies. The Directors' Audit Committee also engages a third party to perform a review of management's asset and liability practices to ensure compliance with policies.

We use simulation modeling techniques that apply alternative interest rate scenarios to periodic forecasts of future business activity and assess the potential changes to net interest income. In our most recent simulation, we estimated that net interest income would decrease approximately 1.4% within a 12-month time horizon for an assumed 100 basis point decrease in prevailing interest rates or increase approximately 1.2% for an assumed 200 basis point increase in prevailing interest rates. These estimated changes were within the policy limits established by the Board.

Our simulation model includes assumptions about anticipated prepayments on mortgage-related instruments, the estimated cash flow on loans and deposits, and our future business activity. These assumptions are inherently uncertain and, as a result, our modeling techniques cannot precisely estimate the effect of changes in net interest income. Actual results will differ from simulated results due to the timing, magnitude and frequency of interest rate changes, cash flow and business activity.

### **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

Please see the section above titled "Interest Rate Risk" in Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations which provides an update to our quantitative and qualitative disclosure about market risk. Our analysis of market risk and market-sensitive financial information contains forward-looking statements and is subject to the disclosure after the table of contents regarding such forward-looking information.

### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

As of the end of the period covered by this report, an evaluation was carried out by First California's management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of First California's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There have not been any changes in First California's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) during our most recent fiscal quarter ending September 30, 2008 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, First California's internal control over financial reporting.



**Table of Contents****PART II OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

The nature of our business causes us to be involved in routine legal proceedings from time to time. We are not aware of any pending or threatened legal proceedings expected to have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows that arose during the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2008 or any material developments in our legal proceedings previously reported in Item 3 to Part I of our 2007 Form 10-K.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

There have been no material changes to the risk factors as previously disclosed in the Risk Factors section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2007, filed with the SEC on March 31, 2008.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds****Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities**

None

**Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

*Stock Repurchase Program* In November 2007, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program to buy back up to \$5.0 million of the Company's common stock until November 2008. The stock repurchase program was cancelled by the board of directors on August 31, 2008 due to current market conditions. The table below sets forth information with respect to shares repurchased under the repurchase program during the third quarter of 2008.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of the Program	Maximum Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program
July 1 - July 31, 2008	17,771	\$ 7.94	329,059	\$ 2,080,980
August 1 - August 31, 2008	14,440	\$ 8.41	343,499	\$ 1,959,543
September 1 - September 30, 2008	0	\$ 0.00	343,499	\$ 0
Total	32,211	\$ 8.15		

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

None.

**Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

None.



**Item 5. Other Information**

None.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

The following Exhibits are filed as a part of this report:

- 3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of First California Financial Group, Inc. (Exhibit 3.1 to Form 10-Q filed on August 13, 2007 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 3.2 Amended and Restated By-Laws of First California Financial Group, Inc. (Exhibit 3.2 to Form 10-Q filed on August 13, 2007 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 31.1 Certification of CEO Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of CFO Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification of CEO and CFO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

First California Financial Group, Inc.

Date: November 14, 2008

By: */s/ Romolo Santarosa*  
**Romolo Santarosa**

**(Principal Financial Officer and Duly Authorized Officer)**