COMMSCOPE INC Form 10-Q July 28, 2010 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-12929

CommScope, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization)

1100 CommScope Place, SE

Hickory, North Carolina

(Address of principal executive offices)

28602

Table of Contents

1

36-4135495 (I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

(Zip Code)

(828) 324-2200

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer "

Accelerated filer "

Smaller reporting company "

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

As of July 21, 2010 there were 94,718,484 shares of Common Stock outstanding.

CommScope, Inc.

Form 10-Q

June 30, 2010

Table of Contents

Part I Financial Information (Unaudited):
Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements:
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss)
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk
Item 4. Controls and Procedures
Part II_Other Information:
Item 1. Legal Proceedings
Item 6. Exhibits
Signatures

CommScope, Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Unaudited In thousands, except per share amounts)

		nths Ended e 30,	Six Mont June	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net sales	\$ 838,134	\$ 783,708	\$ 1,559,740	\$ 1,525,959
Operating costs and expenses:				
Cost of sales	585,937	554,575	1,102,702	1,128,101
Selling, general and administrative	116,454	98,951	229,039	200,156
Research and development	30,046	27,105	59,854	56,067
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	20,764	20,825	41,528	41,649
Restructuring costs	3,572	8,117	51,424	16,820
Total operating costs and expenses	756,773	709,573	1,484,547	1,442,793
Operating income	81,361	74,135	75,193	83,166
Other income (expense), net	(1,179)	(503)	73	(10,533)
Interest expense	(22,500)	(43,183)	(47,030)	(73,810)
Interest income	1,324	1,028	2,801	2,507
Income before income taxes	59,006	31,477	31,037	1,330
Income tax expense	(14,763)	(16,050)	(8,910)	(6,425)
Net income (loss)	\$ 44,243	\$ 15,427	\$ 22,127	\$ (5,095)
Earnings (loss) per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.23	\$ (0.07)
Diluted	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.23	\$ (0.07)
Weighted average shares outstanding:				
Basic	94,551	80,648	94,424	76,249
Diluted	106,504	93,209	95,864	76,249

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

CommScope, Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Unaudited In thousands, except share amounts)

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Assets	2010	2009
15505		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 478,174	\$ 662,440
Short-term investments	99,157	40,465
Total cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments	577,331	702,905
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of		
\$12,724 and \$16,572, respectively	672,115	598,959
Inventories, net	356,906	314,047
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	41,735	61,435
Deferred income taxes	102,650	67,610
Total current assets	1,750,737	1,744,956
Property, plant and equipment, net	364,518	412,388
Goodwill	995,002	995,037
Other intangibles, net	672,612	721,390
Total Assets	\$ 3,851,036	\$ 3,941,316
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity		
Accounts payable	\$ 246,228	\$ 200,869
Other accrued liabilities	313,129	247,447
Current portion of long-term debt	3,393	140,810
Total current liabilities	562,750	589,126
Long-term debt	1,351,247	1,403,668
Deferred income taxes	107,113	143,132
Pension and postretirement benefit liabilities	147,198	134,770
Other noncurrent liabilities	119,910	121,637
Total Liabilities	2,288,218	2,392,333
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders Equity		

Stockholders Equity:

Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; Authorized shares: 20,000,000; Issued and outstanding shares: None at June 30, 2010 or

December 31, 2009		
Common stock, \$.01 par value; Authorized shares: 300,000,000;		
Issued and outstanding shares: 94,679,232 at June 30, 2010		
and 94,217,797 at December 31, 2009	1,050	1,046
Additional paid-in capital	1,382,396	1,361,156
Retained earnings	417,011	394,884
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(87,894)	(58,434)
Treasury stock, at cost: 10,351,056 shares at June 30, 2010		
and 10,348,195 shares at December 31, 2009	(149,745)	(149,669)
Total Stockholders Equity	1.562.818	1.548.983
1.5	, ,	,,
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$ 3,851,036	\$ 3.941.316
	÷ 2,321,030	÷ 2,911,010

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

CommScope, Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited In thousands)

	Six Montl June	
	2010	2009
Operating Activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$ 22,127	\$ (5,095)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided		
by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	94,982	104,623
Equity-based compensation	18,229	10,173
Deferred income taxes	(66,537)	(16,039)
Non-cash restructuring charges	13,973	
Non-cash interest expense on 3.50% convertible debentures		12,004
Loss on conversion of debt securities		8,649
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(89,784)	70,185
Inventories	(49,741)	130,050
Prepaid expenses and other assets	15,797	(5,225)
Accounts payable and other liabilities	132,652	(82,596)
Other	(185)	(2,889)
Net cash provided by operating activities	91,513	223,840
Investing Activities:		
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(18,085)	(24,295)
Proceeds from disposal of property, plant and equipment	6,654	672
Net purchases of short-term investments	(58,692)	
Cash paid for acquisitions		(142)
Net cash used in investing activities	(70,123)	(23,765)
Financing Activities:		
Principal payments on long-term debt	(188,789)	(757,455)
Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt		388,125
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock		220,128
Long-term debt financing costs		(12,588)
Proceeds from the issuance of common shares under		
equity-based compensation plans	2,223	298
Excess tax benefits from equity-based compensation	824	73
Common shares repurchased under equity-based		
compensation plans	(76)	
Net cash used in financing activities	(185,818)	(161,419)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(19,838)	1,745

Change in cash and cash equivalents	(184,266)	40,401
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	662,440	412,111
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 478,174	\$ 452,512

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

CommScope, Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity

and Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(Unaudited In thousands, except share amounts)

	Six Months I June 30	
	2010	2009
Number of common shares outstanding:		
Balance at beginning of period	94,217,797	70,798,864
Issuance of shares under equity-based compensation plans	154,192	112,876
Shares repurchased under equity-based compensation plans	(2,861)	
Issuance of shares to employee benefit plan	310,104	105,261
Issuance of shares for conversion of convertible debentures		12,092,790
Issuance of shares for stock offering		10,465,000
Balance at end of period	94,679,232	93,574,791
Common stock:		
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 1,046	\$ 811
Equity-based compensation	¢ 1,010	1
Issuance of shares to employee benefit plan	3	1
Issuance of shares for conversion of convertible debentures		121
Issuance of shares for stock offering		104
Balance at end of period	\$ 1,050	\$ 1,038
Additional paid-in capital:		
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 1,361,156	\$ 969,976
Equity-based compensation	11,786	8,507
Issuance of shares to employee benefit plan	8,662	2,624
Tax benefit from shares issued under equity-based compensation plans	792	73
Issuance of shares for conversion of convertible debentures Issuance of shares for stock offering		142,584 220,024
Balance at end of period	\$ 1,382,396	\$ 1,343,788
Retained earnings:		
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 394,884	\$ 317,085
Net income (loss)	22,127	(5,095)
Balance at end of period	\$ 417,011	\$ 311,990
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):		
Balance at beginning of period	\$ (58,434)	\$ (132,411)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(29,460)	26,067
Balance at end of period	\$ (87,894)	\$ (106,344)

Treasury stock, at cost:		
Balance at beginning of period	\$ (149,669)	\$ (147,103)
Net shares (repurchased) issued under equity-based compensation plans	(76)	416
Balance at end of period	\$ (149,745)	\$ (146,687)
Total stockholders equity	\$ 1,562,818	\$ 1,403,785

		Three Months Ended June 30,				onths Ended ine 30,					
	2010	2009		2009		2009		2010			2009
Comprehensive income (loss):											
Net income (loss)	\$ 44,243	\$ 15,	427	\$	22,127	\$	(5,095)				
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:											
Foreign currency (loss) gain	(22,747)	37,	741		(32,729)		15,679				
Gain on derivative financial instruments	4,938	6,	545		7,646		10,217				
Pension and postretirement benefit activity	3,129		117		(4,377)		231				
Gain (loss) on available-for-sale investments			502				(60)				
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(14,680)	44,	905		(29,460)		26,067				
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 29,563	\$ 60,	332	\$	(7,333)	\$	20,972				

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

CommScope, Inc.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited In Thousands, Unless Otherwise Noted)

1. BACKGROUND AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION Background

CommScope, Inc., along with its direct and indirect subsidiaries (CommScope or the Company), is a world leader in infrastructure solutions for communication networks. Through its Andrew Solutions brand, the Company is a global leader in radio frequency subsystem solutions for wireless networks. Through its SYSTIMAX[®] and Uniprise[®] brands, CommScope is also a world leader in network infrastructure solutions, including cables and connectivity, enclosures, intelligent software and network design services for business enterprise applications. CommScope is also the premier manufacturer of coaxial cable for broadband cable television networks and one of the leading North American providers of environmentally secure cabinets for digital subscriber line (DSL), fiber-to-the-node and wireless applications.

Basis of Presentation

The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2010, the condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows and stockholders equity for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 are unaudited and reflect all adjustments of a normal recurring nature that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the interim period financial statements. The results of operations for the interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for the full year.

The unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements of CommScope have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Accordingly, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted. The significant accounting policies followed by the Company are set forth in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements within the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 (the 2009 Form 10-K). There were no changes in the Company s significant accounting policies during the three or six months ended June 30, 2010, other than changing the annual impairment test date for goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets (discussed below) and the adoption of new accounting guidance regarding the consolidation of variable interest entities (Accounting Standards Update 2009-17). These changes did not have an impact on the Company s condensed consolidated financial statements. In addition, the Company reaffirms the use of estimates in the preparation of the financial statements as set forth in the 2009 Form 10-K. These interim condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company s audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the 2009 Form 10-K.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Since the Company adopted the specific provisions of ASC 350, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other* (formerly SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*), effective January 1, 2002, the annual goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment tests of the January 1, 2002 goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible asset balances have been performed as of August 31 of each year, while the goodwill related to the Andrew acquisition has been tested as of October 1. As a result of the significance of the Andrew acquisition on December 27, 2007, and the impact of the acquisition to the recorded goodwill balance, management reassessed and, as of March 31, 2010, changed its annual impairment testing date from August 31 to October 1 for the entire goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible asset balances. The Company s management believes this change in testing date is preferable to allow additional time to plan and execute its review of the completeness and accuracy of the impairment testing process given the significant increase in goodwill as a result of the Andrew acquisition. The Company does not believe that this change in annual impairment testing dates will accelerate or delay an impairment charge or otherwise avoid an impairment charge. The Company will apply the new annual impairment testing date prospectively following the August 31, 2010 annual impairment test.

In addition to the annual impairment test, goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives are tested on an interim basis if events have occurred or circumstances exist that indicate the carrying value of these intangible assets may no longer be recoverable. During the three months ended June 30, 2010, as a result of operating performance for two reporting units that was significantly below expectations, the Company

Table of Contents

determined there were indications of potential goodwill impairment. Therefore, step one impairment tests were performed. The estimated fair values of the reporting units, as determined by these tests, were in excess of their carrying values, which indicated that no goodwill impairment existed as of June 30, 2010.

Concentrations of Risk

Net sales to Anixter International Inc. and its affiliates (Anixter) accounted for approximately 13% and 15% of the Company s total net sales during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. Sales to Anixter primarily originate within the Enterprise segment. Other than Anixter, no customer accounted for 10% or more of the Company s total net sales for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. No customer accounted for 10% or more of the Company s total net sales for the three or six months ended June 30, 2010. No

Accounts receivable from Anixter and Alcatel-Lucent each represented approximately 14% of net accounts receivable as of June 30, 2010. No other customer accounted for 10% or more of the Company s net accounts receivable as of June 30, 2010.

Product Warranties

The Company recognizes a liability for the estimated claims that may be paid under its customer warranty agreements to remedy potential deficiencies in quality or performance of the Company s products. These product warranties extend over periods ranging from one to twenty-five years from the date of sale, depending upon the product subject to the warranty. The Company records a provision for estimated future warranty claims as cost of sales based upon the historical relationship of warranty claims to sales and for specifically-identified warranty issues. The Company bases its estimates on assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances and revises its estimates, as appropriate, when events or changes in circumstances indicate that revisions may be necessary.

The following table summarizes the activity in the product warranty accrual, included in other accrued liabilities.

	Three Mor June	 nded	Six Mont June	ded
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Product warranty accrual, beginning of period	\$ 28,632	\$ 30,421	\$ 27,625	\$ 32,866
Provision for warranty claims	2,710	4,534	6,302	9,489
Warranty claims paid	(1,990)	(4,761)	(4,575)	(12,161)
Product warranty accrual, end of period	\$ 29,352	\$ 30,194	\$ 29,352	\$ 30,194

Commitments and Contingencies

As a result of a 2007 jury verdict in favor of TruePosition, Inc. and subsequent post-trial proceedings, Andrew LLC (a wholly owned subsidiary of CommScope) is subject to a civil judgment in the amount of \$48.9 million (including accrued interest) for patent infringement. The Company has recorded a liability for this amount as of June 30, 2010. The trial court also granted a permanent injunction against further infringing sales. CommScope disagrees with these determinations and continues to believe that the products at issue do not infringe TruePosition s patent. CommScope s appeal is currently pending before the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Subject to the outcome of this and possible additional legal actions that may be taken by the Company and/or TruePosition, the ultimate resolution of this litigation may be materially different than the Company s current estimate, which does not include legal fees the Company may incur in further appeals or other proceedings.

On May 12, 2010, a putative class action lawsuit asserting claims under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the 1934 Act), was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina against CommScope and certain current and former members of management. The lawsuit alleges violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the 1934 Act and SEC Rule 10b-5. In particular, the lawsuit alleges that during the putative class period, from April 29, 2008 to October 30, 2008, the Company made false and misleading statements and/or omissions about its financial condition, specifically by allegedly failing to disclose weakness in its current and future sales prospects in the Company s cabinets and apparatus business. The lawsuit was brought on behalf of all those who purchased CommScope common stock during the putative class period, and seeks, among other relief, unspecified damages and interest. CommScope believes that the allegations in this action are without merit and intends to vigorously defend itself and the individual defendants in this action. The Company is unable to make a reasonable estimate of the amount or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome in this matter.

In addition to the litigation described above, CommScope is either a plaintiff or a defendant in pending legal matters in the normal course of business; however, management believes none of these legal matters, other than the litigation described above, will have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial statements upon final disposition. In addition, CommScope is subject to various federal, state, local and foreign

Table of Contents

laws and regulations governing the use, discharge, disposal and remediation of hazardous materials. Compliance with current laws and regulations has not had, and is not expected to have, a materially adverse effect on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had commitments of \$48.3 million to purchase metals that are expected to be consumed in normal production during 2010. In the aggregate, these commitments are at prices approximately 9% above market prices as of June 30, 2010.

Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the applicable period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is based on net income (loss) adjusted for after-tax interest and amortization of debt issuance costs related to convertible debt, if dilutive, divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding adjusted for the dilutive effect of stock options, restricted stock units, performance share units and convertible debt.

Below is a reconciliation of earnings (loss) and weighted average common shares and potential common shares outstanding for calculating diluted earnings (loss) per share:

	Three Months Ended			Six Months Endee			
	June 30,			June 30,			
	2010		2009	2010			2009
Numerator:							
Net income (loss) for basic earnings (loss) per share	\$ 44,243	\$	15,427	\$	22,127	\$	(5,095)
Effect of assumed conversion of convertible debt (a)	1,740		1,202				
Income (loss) applicable to common shareholders for diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$ 45,983	\$	16,629	\$	22,127	\$	(5,095)
Denominator:							
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding for basic earnings (loss) per share Effect of dilutive securities:	94,551		80,648		94,424		76,249
Employee stock options (b)(c)	630		480		633		
Restricted stock units and performance share units (b)	868		810		807		
Convertible debt (a)(b)	10,455		11,271		007		
	10,455		11,271				
Weighted average number of common and potential common shares outstanding for diluted earnings (loss) per share	106,504		93,209		95,864		76,249

- (a) Incremental interest expense and shares associated with convertible debt.
- (b) The calculation of diluted earnings per share for the six months ended June 30, 2010 excludes the dilutive effect of convertible debt (10.5 million shares) because it would have increased the earnings per share. The calculation of diluted earnings (loss) per share for the six months ended June 30, 2009 excludes the dilutive effect of stock options (0.2 million shares), restricted stock units and performance share units (0.5 million shares), and convertible debt (10.1 million shares) because they would have decreased the loss per share.
- (c) Options to purchase approximately 1.5 million and 1.4 million common shares were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, because they would have been anti-dilutive. Options to purchase approximately 1.1 million and 1.6 million common shares were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings (loss) per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, because they would have been anti-dilutive.

Income Taxes

The Company s effective income tax rate was 25.0% and 28.7% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, compared to 51.0% and 483.1% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. Income tax expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 includes a \$2.6 million benefit resulting from adjustments to valuation allowances related to various matters and a \$2.5 million benefit related to adjustments to the estimated tax impact of repatriation of foreign earnings. Partially offsetting these benefits for the six months ended June 30, 2010 is a charge of \$2.3 million related to changes to the tax deductibility of prescription drug benefits to certain retirees (Medicare Part D) made as part of the health care reform legislation enacted in March 2010. Income before income taxes for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 included non-deductible charges related to the conversion of convertible debentures of \$11.3 million and \$19.9 million, respectively. The Company s effective tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 reflects the benefits derived from significant operations outside the U.S., which are generally taxed at rates lower than the U.S. statutory rate of 35%. These benefits are partially offset by a provision of U.S. taxes on a portion of current year non-U.S. earnings in anticipation of repatriation.

Accounting Standards Not Yet Adopted

In September 2009, the FASB ratified the final consensuses reached by the Emerging Issues Task Force regarding revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables and software revenue recognition. The consensus reached on arrangements with multiple deliverables addresses how consideration should be allocated to different units of accounting and removes the previous criterion that entities must use objective and reliable evidence of fair value in separately accounting for deliverables. The consensus reached on software revenue recognition excludes products containing both software and non-software components that function together to deliver the product s essential functionality from the scope of current revenue recognition guidance for software products. Although these consensuses are effective for the Company as of January 1, 2011, early adoption is permitted with expanded disclosures and application of the adjustments to the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. The Company is currently assessing the timing of adoption. The Company expects to adopt these consensuses on a prospective basis which would impact the timing of revenue recognition for all agreements entered into or materially modified after January 1 of the year of adoption.

2. SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL STATEMENT INFORMATION

Short-term Investments

As of June 30, 2010, the Company s short-term investments were composed of \$60.1 million of held-to-maturity securities that mature within one year and \$39.1 million of available for sale securities that consist of corporate debt obligations. See Note 5 for discussion of the fair value of these securities.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company sheld-to-maturity short-term investments were composed of the following:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Federal agency notes	\$30,003	\$ 14	\$	\$30,017
Corporate debt obligations	30,104		(21)	30,083
	\$60,107	\$ 14	\$ (21)	\$60,100

As of December 31, 2009, the Company s held-to-maturity short-term investments were composed of the following:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Federal agency notes	\$30,008	\$	\$ (55)	\$29,953
Corporate debt obligations	10,457		(10)	10,447
	\$40,465	\$	\$ (65)	\$40,400

Inventories

	ne 30, 2010	December 31, 2009	
Raw materials	\$ 95,950	\$ 85,443	
Work in process	83,881	84,488	

Finished goods	177,075	144,116
	\$ 356,906	\$ 314,047

Other Current Accrued Liabilities

	June 30,	Decembe	
	2010		2009
Compensation and employee benefit liabilities	\$ 77,336	\$	48,734
Litigation reserve	48,949		48,558
Deferred revenue	36,575		36,538
Warranty reserve	29,352		27,625
Restructuring reserve	36,995		6,140
Other	83,922		79,852
	\$ 313,129	\$	247,447

Cash Flow Information

	Jur	1e 30),
	2010		2009
Cash paid during the period for:			
Income taxes, net of refunds	\$ 42,015	\$	33,033
Interest	41,991		51,761
Non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Conversion of senior subordinated debentures to common stock	\$	\$	124,029
Issuance of shares in lieu of cash for executive bonuses			1,078

3. FINANCING

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Seven-year senior secured term loan due December 2014	\$ 701,042	\$ 838,295
Six-year senior secured term loan due December 2013	357,536	406,815
3.25% senior subordinated convertible notes due July 2015	287,500	287,500
Other	8,562	11,868
	1,354,640	1,544,478
Less: Current portion	(3,393)	(140,810)
	\$ 1,351,247	\$ 1,403,668

Senior Secured Credit Facilities

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company made the annual excess cash flow payment for 2009 as required under its senior secured credit facilities (see Note 7 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2009 Form 10-K), a voluntary prepayment of \$50.0 million, mandatory prepayments of \$6.5 million reflecting the net proceeds from the sale of assets and scheduled repayments of \$2.4 million on its senior secured term loans. In connection with the prepayments, the Company wrote off \$0.6 million and \$2.1 million in deferred financing fees, which are included in interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively.

No portion of the senior secured term loans was reflected as a current portion of long-term debt as of June 30, 2010 related to the excess cash flow payment that will be due in the first quarter of 2011. The amount that may be payable as an excess cash flow payment in 2011 cannot currently be reliably estimated.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had remaining availability of approximately \$366.1 million under the senior secured revolving credit facility, reflecting \$33.9 million of letters of credit issued under the revolving credit facility.

As of June 30, 2010, the minimum interest coverage ratio and the maximum consolidated leverage ratio permitted under the senior secured credit facilities were both 3.75 to 1.0. The Company s estimated interest coverage ratio and consolidated leverage ratio as of June 30, 2010 were 5.37 to 1.0 and 2.82 to 1.0, respectively. Management believes the Company was in compliance with all of its covenants under the senior secured credit facilities as of June 30, 2010.

Other Matters

Six Months Ended

The weighted average effective interest rate on outstanding borrowings under the above debt instruments, including the effect of the interest rate swap (see Note 4) and amortization of deferred financing fees, was 6.27% and 5.83% at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

See Note 7 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2009 Form 10-K for additional information on the terms and conditions of the senior secured credit facilities and the 3.25% senior subordinated convertible notes.

4. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company is exposed to a variety of risks related to its ongoing business operations. The primary risks that are addressed by using derivative instruments are interest rate risk and foreign currency exchange rate risk. The Company holds an interest rate swap to manage the variability of forecasted interest payments attributable to changes in interest rates on a portion of the term loans issued under the senior secured credit facilities. The interest rate swap agreement was designated as a cash flow hedge at inception and such designation was substantially effective at June 30, 2010 and is expected to continue to be effective for the duration of the swap agreement, resulting in no material hedge ineffectiveness.

Prior to the agreement s expiration in December 2009, the Company used a cross currency swap, which was designated as a fair value hedge, to hedge against fluctuations in the fair value of certain of the Company s euro-denominated assets.

The Company also uses derivative instruments such as forward contracts to reduce the risk of certain foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. These instruments are not held for speculative or trading purposes. These contracts are not designated as hedges for hedge accounting and are marked to market each period through earnings. The balance sheet location and fair value of each of the Company s derivatives are as follows:

		Fair Value o	of Asset (L	iability)
	Balance Sheet Location	June 30, 2010	Decemb	er 31, 2009
Derivative designated as hedging instrument:				
Interest rate swap	Other noncurrent liabilities	\$ (30,811)	\$	(42,909)
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:				
Foreign currency contracts	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	122		133
Foreign currency contracts	Other accrued liabilities	(5)		(248)
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		117		(115)
Total derivatives		\$ (30,694)	\$	(43,024)

The pretax impact of the interest rate swap on the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

			Gain (Loss)			
			Rec	lassified from	G	ain (Loss)
	Gain (Loss)	Location of Gain	Accu	mulated OCI	to R	ecognized
	Recognized	(Loss) Recognized	I	Net Income		in
	in OCI	in Net Income (Loss)		(Loss)	Net I	(ncome (Loss)
	(Effective	(Effective and		(Effective	(]	Ineffective
Interest Rate Swap Designated as Cash Flow Hedge	Portion)	Ineffective Portions)		Portion)		Portion)
Three months ended June 30, 2010	\$ (1,730)	Interest expense	\$	(9,568)	\$	74
Three months ended June 30, 2009	835	Interest expense		(9,554)		175
Six months ended June 30, 2010	(7,077)	Interest expense		(19,213)		(38)
Six months ended June 30, 2009	(2,010)	Interest expense		(18, 228)		332

Any gain (loss) on the cross currency swap was offset by the (loss) gain on the euro-denominated assets hedged by the swap. The following table summarizes the pretax impact of the cross currency swap on the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the three months ended June 30, 2009.

		Gain (Loss) Recognized
		in
		Net Loss
Three months ended June 30, 2009	Other income (expense), net	\$ (619)
Six months ended June 30, 2009	Other income (expense), net	(174)

The pretax impact of the foreign currency forward contracts not designated as hedging instruments on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

Foreign Currency Forward Contracts Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Location of Gain (Loss)	Recognized in Net Loss
Three months ended June 30, 2010	Other income (expense), net	\$ (61)
Three months ended June 30, 2009	Other income (expense), net	(218)
Six months ended June 30, 2010	Other income (expense), net	(140)
Six months ended June 30, 2009	Other income (expense), net	(3,771)
A - 4 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	- 4	·····

Activity in the accumulated net loss on derivative instruments included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) consisted of the following:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended		
	June 30,		June 30, June 3		e 30,
	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Accumulated net loss on derivative instruments, beginning of period	\$ (29,991)	\$ (46,465)	\$ (32,699)	\$ (50,137)	
Gain on interest rate swap designated as a cash flow hedge, net of taxes	4,938	6,545	7,646	10,217	
Accumulated net loss on derivative instruments, end of period	\$ (25,053)	\$ (39,920)	\$ (25,053)	\$ (39,920)	

During the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the income tax expense related to the gain on the derivative financial instruments reported within other comprehensive income (loss) was \$2,900 and \$3,844, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the income tax expense related to the gain on the derivative financial instruments reported within other comprehensive income (loss) was \$4,490 and \$6,000, respectively.

5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value measurements using quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities fall within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy, measurements using significant other observable inputs fall within Level 2, and measurements using significant unobservable inputs fall within Level 3.

The Company s financial instruments consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, trade receivables, trade payables, debt instruments and an interest rate swap (see Note 4). For cash and cash equivalents, trade receivables and trade payables, the carrying amounts of these financial instruments as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 were considered representative of their fair values due to their short terms to maturity. The fair values of the Company s short-term investments and 3.25% senior subordinated convertible notes (see Note 3) were based on quoted market prices. The fair values of the Company s senior secured term loans were based on indicative quotes. The fair value of the Company s interest rate swap agreement was based on the net present value of the difference between the expected future fixed rate interest payments and variable rate interest payments.

The carrying amounts, estimated fair values and valuation input levels of the Company s short-term investments, senior secured term loans, convertible debt and interest rate swap as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, are as follows:

	June 30,	2010	December :		
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Valuation Inputs
Assets:					
Held-to-maturity short-term investments	\$ 60,107	\$ 60,100	\$ 40,465	\$ 40,400	Level 1

Coin (Loss)

Available for sale short-term investments	39,050	39,050			Level 1
Liabilities:					
Seven-year senior secured term loan	701,042	680,887	838,295	820,481	Level 2
Six-year senior secured term loan	357,536	347,704	406,815	394,611	Level 2
3.25% senior subordinated convertible notes	287,500	312,110	287,500	342,125	Level 1
Interest rate swap	30,811	30,811	42,909	42,909	Level 2

As a result of restructuring actions announced during the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company determined that the carrying value of certain property in Omaha, Nebraska was no longer recoverable. The carrying value of this property was reduced to its estimated fair value of \$13.7 million, which was based upon a market approach that considered the selling prices of comparable properties (Level 3 valuation inputs).

The fair value estimates presented above are based on pertinent information available to management as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. Although management is not aware of any factors that would significantly affect these fair value estimates, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of these financial statements since those dates, and current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amounts presented.

6. SEGMENTS

The Company s four reportable segments, which align with the manner in which the business is managed, are as follows: Antenna, Cable and Cabinets Group (ACCG); Enterprise; Broadband; and Wireless Network Solutions (WNS).

The ACCG segment includes product offerings of primarily passive transmission devices for the wireless infrastructure market including base station antennas, coaxial cable and connectors and microwave antennas as well as secure environmental enclosures for electronic devices and equipment used by wireline and wireless providers.

The Enterprise segment consists mainly of structured cabling systems for business enterprise applications and connectivity solutions for wired and wireless networks within organizations. The segment also includes coaxial cable for various video and data applications that are not related to cable television.

The Broadband segment consists mainly of coaxial cable, fiber optic cable and conduit for cable television system operators. These products support multi-channel video, voice and high-speed data services for residential and commercial customers using hybrid fiber coaxial architecture.

The WNS segment consists of base station subsystems and core network products, such as power amplifiers, filters, location-based systems, network optimization analysis systems and products and solutions that extend and enhance the coverage of wireless networks, such as radio frequency (RF) repeaters and distributed antenna systems. Base station subsystems and RF products cover all of the major wireless standards and frequency bands and are sold individually or as part of integrated systems.

The following tables provide summary financial information by segment (in millions):

	June 30, 2010	Dec	ember 31, 2009
Identifiable segment-related assets:			
ACCG	\$ 1,843.5	\$	1,906.0
Enterprise	361.1		312.9
Broadband	351.3		334.2
WNS	615.1		617.7
Total identifiable segment-related assets	3,171.0		3,170.8
Reconciliation to total assets:			
Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments	577.3		702.9
Deferred income tax asset	102.7		67.6
Total assets	\$ 3,851.0	\$	3,941.3

The following table presents the allocation of goodwill to reportable segments (in millions):

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Goodwill:		

ACCG	\$ 706.7	\$ 706.7
Enterprise	20.9	20.9
Broadband	133.6	133.6
WNS	133.8	133.8
Total goodwill	\$ 995.0	\$ 995.0

The following table provides net sales and operating income (loss) by segment (in millions):

		Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 2009		onths Iune 30, 2009
Net sales:				
ACCG	\$ 309.5	\$ 322.2	\$ 568.1	\$ 648.1
Enterprise	220.7	164.3	418.6	308.3
Broadband	128.6	118.2	239.6	232.4
WNS	181.3	179.7	337.1	338.7
Inter-segment eliminations	(2.0)	(0.7)	(3.7)	(1.5)
Consolidated net sales	\$ 838.1	\$ 783.7	\$ 1,559.7	\$ 1,526.0
Operating income (loss):				
ACCG	\$ 17.2	\$ 14.6	\$ (23.1)	\$ 1.2
Enternrise	36.8	27.8	54.0	35 3

ACCG	\$ 17.2	\$ 14.6	\$ (23.1)	\$ 1.2
Enterprise	36.8	27.8	54.9	35.3
Broadband	10.7	27.9	24.0	36.5
WNS	16.7	3.8	19.4	10.2
Consolidated operating income	\$ 81.4	\$ 74.1	\$ 75.2	\$ 83.2

During the three months ended June 30, 2010, the Company received \$8.6 million as a result of an arbitrator s final award regarding claims made by the Company against EMS Technologies, Inc. (EMS) related to warranty claims arising from a business Andrew LLC had acquired from EMS. The award was recorded as a reduction of cost of sales in the ACCG segment.

7. RESTRUCTURING COSTS

In early 2010, the Company initiated new restructuring actions (the 2010 Restructuring Initiatives). The objectives of the 2010 Restructuring Initiatives are to realign and lower the Company s cost structure and improve capacity utilization. To achieve these objectives, the Company announced the closure of manufacturing facilities in Omaha, Nebraska and Newton, North Carolina, among other actions. Much of the production capacity from these facilities will be shifted to other existing facilities or contract manufacturers. These actions primarily affect the ACCG and Enterprise segments. During 2009 and 2008, the Company implemented restructuring actions to complete acquisition-related integration efforts and to lower the combined manufacturing, selling and administrative cost structure of the Company (the 2008 Integration Initiatives). During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company s pretax restructuring charges, by segment, related to both initiatives were as follows:

		Three Months Ended June 30,		hs Ended e 30,
	2010	2009	2010	2009
ACCG	\$ 1,515	\$ 4,892	\$ 33,025	\$ 6,452
Enterprise	(69)	1,255	15,675	2,477
Broadband	565	316	533	4,094
WNS	1,561	1,654	2,191	3,797
Total	\$ 3,572	\$ 8,117	\$ 51,424	\$ 16,820

2010 Restructuring Initiatives

During the three months ended June 30, 2010, the Company incurred \$3.6 million in employee-related costs associated with the 2010 Restructuring Initiatives. During the first half of 2010, the Company incurred \$42.6 million and \$8.9 million in employee-related costs and asset impairments, respectively, associated with the 2010 Restructuring Initiatives. The activity within the liability established for these restructuring actions, which is included in other accrued liabilities, was as follows:

	Employee- Related Costs	Asset Impairments	Equipment Relocation Costs	Total
Balance as of March 31, 2010	\$ 31,059	\$	\$	\$ 31,059
Additional charge recorded	3,563		3	3,566
Cash paid	(2,173)		(3)	(2,176)
Foreign exchange and other non-cash items	1,274			1,274
Balance as of June 30, 2010	\$ 33,723	\$	\$	\$ 33,723
Balance as of December 31, 2009	\$	\$	\$	\$
Additional charge recorded	42,641	8,935	3	51,579
Cash paid	(3,845)		(3)	(3,848)
Foreign exchange and other non-cash items	(5,073)	(8,935)		(14,008)
Balance as of June 30, 2010	\$ 33,723	\$	\$	\$ 33,723

Employee-related costs include the expected severance costs and related benefits as well as one-time severance benefits that are accrued over the remaining period employees are required to work in order to receive such benefits. The costs recognized during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 include a \$1.3 million estimated net curtailment gain and a \$5.0 million estimated net curtailment loss, respectively, related to pension and other postretirement benefits.

Asset impairment charges recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2010 primarily relate to the planned plant closure in Omaha. The carrying value of the Omaha facility has been written down to its estimated fair value and depreciation will continue to be recognized. As a result of restructuring and consolidation actions, there is unutilized real estate at various facilities in the U.S. and internationally, which is recorded in property, plant and equipment on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at the lower of cost or estimated fair value. The Company is attempting to sell or lease this unutilized space. Additional impairment charges may be incurred related to these or other excess assets.

Equipment relocation costs incurred during 2010 relate to the costs to uninstall, pack, ship and reinstall manufacturing equipment as well as the costs to prepare the receiving facility to accommodate the equipment. These costs are expensed as incurred.

Additional pretax costs related to actions announced to date under the 2010 Restructuring Initiatives of \$5 million to \$8 million are expected to be recognized by the end of 2012. Cash payments of \$6 million to \$8 million are expected during the remainder of 2010 with an additional \$32 million to \$35 million expected to be paid in 2011 and beyond. Additional restructuring actions may be identified and resulting charges and cash requirements could be material.

2008 Integration Initiatives

The activity within the liability established for the 2008 Integration Initiatives, which is included in other accrued liabilities, was as follows:

	R	nployee- Related Costs	Ter	Lease mination Costs	Asset hirments	Total
Balance as of March 31, 2010	\$	619	\$	3,765	\$	\$ 4,384
Additional charge recorded				6		6
Cash paid		(422)		(653)		(1,075)
Foreign exchange		(4)		(39)		(43)
Balance as of June 30, 2010	\$	193	\$	3,079	\$	\$ 3,272
Balance as of December 31, 2009	\$	1,343	\$	4,797	\$	\$ 6,140
Additional charge (benefit) recorded		(7)		27	(175)	(155)
Cash (paid) recovered		(1,122)		(1,593)	175	(2,540)
Foreign exchange		(21)		(152)		(173)
Balance as of June 30, 2010	\$	193	\$	3,079	\$	\$ 3,272

Since the inception of the 2008 Integration Initiatives, the Company has recognized restructuring charges of \$58.1 million and established a restructuring liability as part of the Andrew purchase price allocation of \$54.4 million. Cash payments of \$103.5 million, including \$1.1 million and \$2.5 million paid during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, have been made since the inception of the 2008 Integration Initiatives. The Company does not expect to incur any additional charges related to the completion of the 2008 Integration Initiatives and expects to pay substantially all of the remaining liability during 2010.

8. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

	0			stretirement nefits	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Service cost	\$ 589	\$ 681	\$ 727	\$ 867	
Interest cost	3,501	3,282	1,526	1,586	
Recognized actuarial loss (gain)	8	501	(142)	(172)	
Amortization of prior service cost (credits)		(193)	(180)	23	
Amortization of transition obligation	3	4			
Net curtailment loss (gain)	(1,465)		164		
Expected return on plan assets	(3,620)	(2,828)	(150)	(131)	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ (984)	\$ 1,447	\$ 1,945	\$ 2,173	

Six Months Ended						
June 30,						
2010	2009	2010	2009			

Service cost	\$ 1,259	\$ 1,347	\$ 1,476	\$ 1,734
Interest cost	6,978	6,424	2,986	3,173
Recognized actuarial (gain) loss	17	996	(479)	(345)
Amortization of prior service credits	(156)	(386)	(316)	46
Amortization of transition obligation	6	8		
Net curtailment loss (gain)	5,918		(880)	
Expected return on plan assets	(7,344)	(5,543)	(302)	(262)
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 6,678	\$ 2,846	\$ 2,485	\$ 4,346

The net curtailment (gain) loss recorded during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 is included in restructuring costs on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (see Note 7).

The Company contributed \$0.3 million and \$2.2 million to its pension plans during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, and anticipates making additional contributions of at least \$3.6 million to these plans during 2010. The Company contributed \$1.1 million and \$2.2 million to its other postretirement benefit plans during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, and anticipates making additional contributions of approximately \$2.1 million to these plans during 2010.

9. EQUITY-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

As of June 30, 2010, \$29.1 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested awards are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.8 years. There were no significant capitalized equity-based compensation costs at June 30, 2010.

Stock Options

The following table summarizes the stock option activity for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Shares	Aver Exer	eighted rage Option rcise Price r Share	Gra Fai	ed Average ant Date r Value r Share	I	ggregate ntrinsic Value
Outstanding at March 31, 2010	3,561	\$	23.85				
Granted		\$		\$			
Exercised	(126)	\$	15.36				
Expired or forfeited	(7)	\$	66.80	\$	7.61		
Outstanding at June 30, 2010	3,428	\$	24.08			\$	18,397
Exercisable at June 30, 2010	2,368	\$	23.52			\$	14,497
Expected to vest	1,033	\$	25.29			\$	3,841

	Shares	Avera Exer	eighted age Option ccise Price or Share	Gra Fai	ted Average ant Date ir Value r Share	aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	3,020	\$	24.44			
Granted	690	\$	29.51	\$	14.58	
Exercised	(146)	\$	15.22			
Expired or forfeited	(136)	\$	69.20	\$	4.65	
Outstanding at June 30, 2010	3,428	\$	24.08			\$ 18,397

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$2.1 million and \$2.3 million, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during both the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$0.2 million.

The exercise prices of outstanding options at June 30, 2010 were in the following ranges:

	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable		
		Weighted	Weighted		Weighted	
		Average	Average		Average	
Range of Exercise		Remaining				
	Shares	Contractual Life	Exercise Price	Shares	Exercise Price	
Prices	(in thousands)	(in years)	Per Share	(in thousands)	Per Share	
\$7.43 to \$16.00	1,043	5.4	\$ 11.14	764	\$ 11.62	

16.01 to 23.77	917	3.3	\$ 18.08	917	\$ 18.08
23.78 to 30.00	704	9.4	\$ 29.51	14	\$ 29.55
30.01 to 71.81	764	4.9	\$ 43.94	673	\$ 44.30
\$7.43 to \$71.81	3,428	5.6	\$ 24.08	2,368	\$ 23.52

The Company uses the Black-Scholes model to estimate the fair value of stock option awards. Key input assumptions used in the model to estimate the fair value of stock options include the grant price of the award, the expected option term, volatility of the Company s stock, the risk-free interest rate and the Company s projected dividend yield. The Company believes that the valuation technique and the approach utilized to develop the underlying assumptions are appropriate in estimating the fair values of CommScope stock options. Estimates of fair value are not intended to predict actual future events or the value ultimately realized by employees who receive equity awards. Subsequent events are not indicative of the reasonableness of the original estimates of fair value made by the Company. The following table presents the weighted average assumptions used to estimate the fair value of stock option awards granted during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2010		2009	
Expected option term (in years)	5.0		5.0	
Risk-free interest rate	2.5%		1.7%	
Expected volatility	55.0%		55.0%	
Expected dividend yield	0%		0%	
Weighted average exercise price (stock price at grant date)	\$ 29.51	\$	9.80	
Weighted average fair value at grant date	\$ 14.58	\$	4.74	

Performance Share Units

The following table summarizes the performance share unit activity for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

		Weighted	Average Grant	
	Performance Share Units	Date Fair Value Per Share		
Outstanding and non-vested at March 31, 2010	503	\$	34.57	
Granted	1	\$	28.61	
Forfeited	(2)	\$	36.29	
Outstanding and non-vested at June 30, 2010	502	\$	34.78	

		Weighted	Average Grant	
	Performance Share Units	Date Fair Value Per Share		
Outstanding and non-vested at December 31, 2009	219	\$	41.22	
Granted	288	\$	29.51	
Forfeited	(5)	\$	10.33	
Outstanding and non-vested at June 30, 2010	502	\$	34.78	

Restricted Stock Units

The following table summarizes the restricted stock unit activity for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

		Weighted	Average Grant
	Restricted Stock Units		Fair Value r Share
Outstanding and non-vested at March 31, 2010	1,279	\$	24.74
Granted	11	\$	28.61
Vested and shares issued	(1)	\$	28.56
Forfeited	(26)	\$	21.86
Outstanding and non-vested at June 30, 2010	1,263	\$	24.83

		Weighted	Average Grant	
	Restricted Stock Units	Date Fair Value Per Share		
Outstanding and non-vested at December 31, 2009	994	\$	23.31	
Granted	318	\$	29.48	
Vested and shares issued	(8)	\$	36.33	
Forfeited	(41)	\$	21.94	
Outstanding and non-vested at June 30, 2010	1,263	\$	24.83	

Other

During the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company issued 0.3 million shares and 0.1 million shares, respectively, of its common stock valued at \$8.7 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, as an employer contribution to the CommScope, Inc. Retirement Savings Plan. This issuance of shares is included in equity-based compensation as an adjustment to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 is provided to increase the understanding of, and should be read in conjunction with, the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes included in this document as well as the audited Consolidated Financial Statements, related notes thereto and management s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations, including management s discussion and analysis about the application of critical accounting policies, included in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview

CommScope, Inc., along with its direct and indirect subsidiaries (CommScope or the Company), is a world leader in infrastructure solutions for communication networks. Through its Andrew Solutions brand, the Company is a global leader in radio frequency subsystem solutions for wireless networks. Through its SYSTIMAX[®] and Uniprise[®] brands, CommScope is also a world leader in network infrastructure solutions, including cables and connectivity, enclosures, intelligent software and network design services for business enterprise applications. CommScope is also the premier manufacturer of coaxial cable for broadband cable television networks and one of the leading North American providers of environmentally secure cabinets for digital subscriber line (DSL), fiber-to-the-node and wireless applications.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

There have been no changes in our critical accounting policies or significant ac VALIGN="bottom">217 3,105

Accrued severance benefits, net

86,511 1,267 87,778

Other non-current liabilities

6,653 11,462 (9,136) 8,979

Total liabilities

8,545 992,881 1,090,047 1,078,871 (2,707,451) 462,893

Commitments and contingencies

Stockholders equity

Common stock

384 136,229 39,005 51,976 (227,210) 384

Additional paid-in capital

95,585 (734,101) (537,608) (732,266) 2,003,975 95,585

Retained earnings

72,157 35,823 31,799 43,269 (110,891) 72,157

Accumulated other comprehensive loss

Table of Contents

(5,275) (5,275) (8,833) (5,298) 19,406 (5,275)

Total stockholders equity

162,851 (567,324) (475,637) (642,319) 1,685,280 162,851

Total liabilities and stockholders equity

\$171,396 \$425,557 \$614,410 \$436,552 \$(1,022,171) \$625,744

MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - (Continued)

(Unaudited; tabular dollars in thousands, except share data)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations

For the three months ended March 31, 2011

	MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation					
	(Parent)	Co-Issuers	Non-Guarantors	Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net sales	\$	\$	\$ 187,750	\$ 6,616	\$ (6,445)	\$ 187,921
Cost of sales			131,445	74	(72)	131,447
Gross profit			56,305	6,542	(6,373)	56,474
*						
Selling, general and administrative expenses	637	235	15,614	3,103	(4,188)	15,401
Research and development expenses			19,301	1,382	(2,185)	18,498
IPO incentive			11,355	791		12,146
Operating income (loss)	(637)	(235)	10,035	1,266		10,429
Other income (expense)		20,752	6,190	(12,528)		14,414
Income (loss) before income taxes, equity in						
earnings of related equity investment	(637)	20,517	16,225	(11,262)		24,843
Income tax expenses			154	2,221		2,375
Income (loss) before equity in earnings of						
related investment	(637)	20,517	16,071	(13,483)		22,468
Equity in earnings of related investment	23,105	2,544		16,071	(41,720)	
Net Income	\$ 22,468	\$ 23,061	\$ 16,071	\$ 2,588	\$ (41,720)	\$ 22,468



MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - (Continued)

(Unaudited; tabular dollars in thousands, except share data)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations

For the three months ended March 31, 2010

	MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation					
	(Parent)	Co-Issuers	Non-Guarantors	Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net sales	\$	\$	\$ 174,814	\$ 11,682	\$ (7,011)	\$ 179,485
Cost of sales			126,504	5,693	(2,070)	130,127
Gross profit			48,310	5,989	(4,941)	49,358
•						
Selling, general and administrative expenses	563	136	17,264	2,587	(2,642)	17,908
Research and development expenses			21,400	2,170	(3,039)	20,531
Restructuring and impairment charges			336			336
Operating income (loss)	(563)	(136)	9,310	1,232	740	10,583
Other income		1,423	7,377	10,715		19,515
Income before income taxes, equity in						
earnings of related equity investment	(563)	1,287	16,687	11,947	740	30,098
Income tax expenses (benefits)			(1,959)	956		(1,003)
Income before equity in earnings of related						
investment	(563)	1,287	18,646	10,991	740	31,101
Equity in earnings of related investment	31,664	30,507		18,940	(81,111)	
Net income	\$ 31,101	\$ 31,794	\$ 18,646	\$ 29,931	\$ (80,371)	\$ 31,101

MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - (Continued)

(Unaudited; tabular dollars in thousands, except share data)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows

For the three months ended March 31, 2011

	MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation (Parent)	Co-Issuers	Non-Guarantors	Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
Cash flow from operating activities						
Net income	\$ 22,468	\$ 23,061	\$ 16,071	\$ 2,588	\$ (41,720)	\$ 22,468
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net						
cash provided by (used in) operating						
activities						
Depreciation and amortization			13,826	77		13,903
Provision for severance benefits			2,796	58		2,854
Amortization of debt issuance costs and						
original issue discount.		246				246
Loss (gain) on foreign currency translation,						
net		(10,701)	(24,128)	11,145		(23,684)
Loss on disposal of intangible assets, net			4			4
Stock-based compensation	73		590	335	(357)	641
Equity in earnings of related investment	(23,105)	(2,544)		(16,071)	41,720	
Other	(1)		290	232	28	549
Changes in operating assets and liabilities						
Accounts receivable, net			30,499	38,116	(77,865)	(9,250)
Inventories, net			(3,467)			(3,467)
Other receivables	718	718	17,656	2,719	(22,852)	(1,041)
Other current assets	(713)	(16,858)	(531)	(14,432)	31,085	(1,449)
Deferred tax assets				548		548
Accounts payable			(22,873)	(40,744)	77,906	14,289
Other accounts payable	(6,613)		(3,455)	(5,290)	22,852	(1,348)
Accrued expenses	(151)	6,530	14,904	16,996	(31,126)	7,153
Other current liabilities			(415)	(1,103)		(1,518)
Long term other payable				184		184
Payment of severance benefits			(1,610)			(1,610)
Other			972	(1,228)		(256)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating						
activities	(7,324)	(8,390)	41,129	(5,870)	(329)	19,216
Cash flows from investing activities						
Purchases of plant, property and equipment			(6,765)	(14)		(6,779)
Payment for intellectual property registration			(165)			(165)
Collection of guarantee deposits			979			979
Payment of guarantee deposits			(1,004)			(1,004)
Other			(23)	(21)		(44)

Net cash used in investing activities			(6,978)	(35)		(7,013)
Cash flow from financing activities						
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	11,425					11,425
Repayment of obligations under capital lease			(1,515)	(47)		(1,562)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	11,425		(1,515)	(47)		9,863
Effect of exchanges rate on cash and cash equivalents			(159)	(229)	329	(59)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	4,101	(8,390)	32,477	(6,181)		22,007
Cash and cash equivalents						
Beginning of the period	79	46,595	112,370	13,128		172,172
End of the period	\$ 4,180	\$ 38,205	\$ 144,847	\$ 6,947	\$	\$ 194,179

MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - (Continued)

(Unaudited; tabular dollars in thousands, except share data)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows

For the three months ended March 31, 2010

	MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation					
Cash flow from operating activities	(Parent)	Co-Issuers	Non-Guarantors	Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net income	\$ 31,101	\$ 31,794	\$ 18.646	\$ 29,931	\$ (80,371)	\$ 31,101
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net	¢ 01,101	¢ 01,771	¢ 10,010	¢ =>,>01	\$ (00,071)	¢ 01,101
cash provided by (used in) operating activities						
Depreciation and amortization			15,405	72		15,477
Provision for severance benefits			3,081	85		3,166
Amortization of debt issuance costs		25	-)			25
Loss (gain) on foreign currency translation,						
net		11,757	(23,734)	(11,501)		(23,478)
Loss (gain) on disposal of property, plant and						
equipment			(9)			(9)
Loss on disposal of intangible assets, net			2			2
Restructuring and impairment charges			336			336
Stock-based compensation	334		1,040	99		1,473
Cash used for reorganization items			51	1,528		1,579
Equity in earnings of related investment	(31,664)	(30,507)		(18,940)	81,111	
Other		1	480	(88)		393
Changes in operating assets and liabilities						
Accounts receivable, net			(18,065)	15,150	(26,769)	(29,684)
Inventories			3,661	4,312	(767)	7,206
Other receivables			(5,039)	274	3,527	(1,238)
Other current assets	(2,509)	(13,737)	(1,363)	(10,306)	24,256	(3,659)
Deferred tax assets				264		264
Accounts payable			21,040	(29,705)	26,753	18,088
Other accounts payable	2,771	936	(673)	(1,119)	(3,527)	(1,612)
Accrued expenses	(93)	(90)	15,407	12,353	(24,381)	3,196
Other current liabilities			(1,262)	(1,015)	170	(2,107)
Long term other payable				(7)	(2,129)	(2,136)
Payment of severance benefits			(1,092)			(1,092)
Other			(788)			(788)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating						
activities before reorganization items	(60)	179	27,124	(8,613)	(2,127)	16,503
Cash used for reorganization items			(51)	(1,528)		(1,579)
			()	())		()- · · ·)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating						
activities	(60)	179	27.073	(10.141)	(2,127)	14,924
	(00)	,	21,015	(10,111)	(2,127)	- 1,521

Cash flows from investing activities						
Proceeds from disposal of plant, property and						
equipment			13		(9)	4
Purchases of plant, property and equipment			(887)	(4)		(891)
Payment for intellectual property registration			(152)			(152)
Decrease in short-term financial instruments				329		329
Collection of guarantee deposits			219	753		972
Payment of guarantee deposits				(56)		(56)
Other			3	3	27	33
Net cash provided by (used in) investing						
activities			(804)	1,025	18	239
Cash flow from financing activities						
Repayment of long-term borrowings		(154)				(154)
Repayment of long-term borrowings		(154)				(154)
Net cash used in financing activities		(154)				(154)
Effect of exchanges rate on cash and cash						
equivalents			655	(10)	2,109	2,754
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash						
equivalents	(60)	25	26,924	(9,126)		17,763
Cash and cash equivalents						
Beginning of the period	136	24	45,443	19,322		64,925
End of the period	\$ 76	\$ 49	\$ 72,367	\$ 10,196	\$	\$ 82,688

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this report. This discussion and analysis contains, in addition to historical information, forward-looking statements that include risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including those set forth under the heading Risk Factors and elsewhere in this report.

Overview

We are a Korea-based designer and manufacturer of analog and mixed-signal semiconductor products for high-volume consumer applications. We believe we have one of the broadest and deepest analog and mixed-signal semiconductor technology platforms in the industry, supported by our 30-year operating history, large portfolio of approximately 2,735 novel registered patents and 740 pending novel patent applications and extensive engineering and manufacturing process expertise. Our business is comprised of three key segments: Display Solutions, Power Solutions and Semiconductor Manufacturing Services. Our Display Solutions products include display drivers that cover a wide range of flat panel displays and multimedia devices. Our Power Solutions products include discrete and integrated circuit solutions for power management in high-volume consumer applications. Our Semiconductor Manufacturing Services segment provides specialty analog and mixed-signal foundry services for fabless semiconductor companies that serve the consumer, computing and wireless end markets.

Our wide variety of analog and mixed-signal semiconductor products and manufacturing services combined with our deep technology platform allows us to address multiple high-growth end markets and to rapidly develop and introduce new products and services in response to market demands. Our substantial manufacturing operations in Korea and design centers in Korea and Japan place us at the core of the global consumer electronics supply chain. We believe this enables us to quickly and efficiently respond to our customers needs and allows us to better service and capture additional demand from existing and new customers.

To maintain and increase our profitability, we must accurately forecast trends in demand for consumer electronics products that incorporate semiconductor products we produce. We must understand our customers needs as well as the likely end market trends and demand in the markets they serve. We must balance the likely manufacturing utilization demand of our product businesses and foundry business to optimize our facilities utilization. We must also invest in relevant research and development activities and manufacturing capacity and purchase necessary materials on a timely basis to meet our customers demand while maintaining our target margins and cash flow.

The semiconductor markets in which we participate are highly competitive. The prices of our products tend to decrease regularly over their useful lives, and such price decreases can be significant as new generations of products are introduced by us or our competitors. We strive to offset the impact of declining selling prices for existing products through cost reductions and the introduction of new products that command selling prices above the average selling price of our existing products. In addition, we seek to manage our inventories and manufacturing capacity so as to mitigate the risk of losses from product obsolescence.

Demand for our products and services is driven primarily by overall demand for consumer electronics products and can be adversely affected by periods of weak consumer spending or by market share losses by our customers. To mitigate the impact of market volatility on our business, we seek to address market segments and geographies with higher growth rates than the overall consumer electronics industry. For example, in recent years, we have experienced increasing demand from OEMs and consumers in China and Taiwan relative to overall demand for our products and services. We expect to derive a meaningful portion of our growth from growing demand in such markets. We also expect that new competitors will emerge in these markets that may place increased pressure on the pricing for our products and services, but we believe that we will be able to successfully compete based upon our higher quality products and services and that the impact from the increased competition will be more than offset by increased demand arising from such markets. Further, we believe we are well-positioned competitively as a result of our long operating history, existing manufacturing capacity and our Korea-based operations.

Within our Display Solutions and Power Solutions segments, net sales are driven by design wins in which we or another company is selected by an electronics OEM or other potential customer to supply its demand for a particular product. A customer will often have more than one supplier designed in to multi-source components for a particular product line. Once designed in, we often specify the pricing of a particular product for a set period of time, with periodic discussions and renegotiations of pricing with our customers. In any given period, our net sales depend heavily upon the end-market demand for the goods in which our products are used, the inventory levels maintained by our customers and in some cases, allocation of demand for components for a particular product among selected qualified suppliers.

Within the Semiconductor Manufacturing Services business, net sales are driven by customers decisions on which manufacturing services provider to use for a particular product. Most of our semiconductor manufacturing services customers are fabless and depend upon service providers like us to manufacture their products. A customer will often have more than one supplier of manufacturing services; however, they tend to allocate a majority of manufacturing volume to one of their suppliers. We strive to be the primary supplier of manufacturing services to our customers. Once selected as a primary supplier, we often specify the pricing of a particular service on a per wafer basis for a set period of time, with periodic discussions and renegotiations of pricing with our customers. In any given period, our net sales depend heavily upon the end-market demand for the goods in which the products we manufacture for customers are used, the inventory levels maintained by our customers and in some cases, allocation of demand for manufacturing services among selected qualified suppliers.

In contrast to fabless semiconductor companies, our internal manufacturing capacity provides us with greater control over manufacturing costs and the ability to implement process and production improvements which can favorably impact gross profit margins. Our internal manufacturing capacity also allows for better control over delivery schedules, improved consistency over product quality and reliability and improved ability to protect intellectual property from misappropriation. However, having internal manufacturing capacity exposes us to the risk of under-utilization of manufacturing capacity which results in lower gross profit margins, particularly during downturns in the semiconductor industry.

Our products and services require investments in capital equipment. Analog and mixed-signal manufacturing facilities and processes are typically distinguished by the design and process implementation expertise rather than the use of the most advanced equipment. These processes also tend to migrate more slowly to smaller geometries due to technological barriers and increased costs. For example, some of our products use high-voltage technology that requires larger geometries and that may not migrate to smaller geometries for several years, if at all. Additionally, the performance of many of our products is not necessarily dependent on geometry. As a result, our manufacturing base and strategy does not require substantial investment in leading edge process equipment, allowing us to utilize our facilities and equipment over an extended period of time with moderate required capital investments. Generally, incremental capacity expansions in our segment of the market result in more moderate industry capacity expansion as compared to leading edge processes. As a result, this market, and we, specifically, are less likely to experience significant industry overcapacity, which can cause product prices to plunge dramatically. In general, we seek to invest in manufacturing capacity that can be used for multiple high-value applications over an extended period of time. We believe this capital investment strategy enables us to optimize our capital investments and facilitates deeper and more diversified product and service offerings.

Our success going forward will depend upon our ability to adapt to future challenges such as the emergence of new competitors for our products and services or the consolidation of current competitors. Additionally, we must innovate to remain ahead of, or at least rapidly adapt to, technological breakthroughs that may lead to a significant change in the technology necessary to deliver our products and services. We believe that our established relationships and close collaboration with leading customers enhance our visibility into new product opportunities, market and technology trends and improve our ability to meet these challenges successfully. In our Semiconductor Manufacturing Services business, we strive to maintain competitiveness and our position as a primary manufacturing services provider to our customers by offering high value added, unique processes, high flexibility and excellent service.

Recent Changes to Our Business

On April 9, 2010, we completed the sale of \$250 million in aggregate principal amount of 10.500% senior notes due 2018, which we refer to as our senior notes. Of the \$238.4 million of net proceeds, \$130.7 million was used to make a distribution to our equityholders and \$61.6 million was used to repay all outstanding borrowings under our term loan. The remaining proceeds of \$46.1 million were retained to fund working capital and for general corporate purposes.

In March 2011, we completed an initial public offering, our IPO, of 9,500,000 shares of common stock, and we listed on the NYSE. All shares were sold in the form of depositary shares and each depositary share represented an ownership interest in one share of common stock. Of the 9,500,000 shares, 950,000 shares were newly issued by us and 8,550,000 shares were sold by selling stockholders. All outstanding depositary shares were automatically cancelled on April 24, 2011 and the underlying shares of common stock were issued to the holders of such cancelled depositary shares. We received \$12.4 million of net proceeds from the issuance of the new shares of common stock after deducting underwriters discounts and commissions, and we did not receive any proceeds from the sale of shares of common stock offered by the selling stockholders. We incurred \$10.6 million of IPO expenses that were recorded as decrease of additional paid-in capital in the consolidated balance sheets. Of the \$10.6 million, we paid out \$6.9 million and \$1.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 and for the three months ended March 31, 2011, respectively and we estimate payment of \$2.7 million of IPO expenses in the remainder of 2011.

Prior to the IPO, our board of directors and the holders of a majority of our outstanding common units converted MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC from a Delaware limited liability company to MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation, a Delaware corporation. In connection with the corporate conversion, outstanding common units of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC were automatically converted into shares of common stock of MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation, outstanding options to purchase common units of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC were automatically converted into options to purchase shares of common stock of MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation and outstanding warrants

to purchase common units of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC were automatically converted into warrants to purchase shares of common stock of MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation, all at a ratio of one share of common stock for eight common units.

Business Segments

We report in three separate business segments because we derive our revenues from three principal business lines: Display Solutions, Power Solutions, and Semiconductor Manufacturing Services. We have identified these segments based on how we allocate resources and assess our performance.

Display Solutions: Our Display Solutions products include source and gate drivers and timing controllers that cover a wide range of flat panel displays used in LCD televisions and LED televisions and displays, mobile PCs and mobile communications and entertainment devices. Our display solutions support the industry s most advanced display technologies, such as LTPS and AMOLED, as well as high-volume display technologies such as TFT. Our Display Solutions business represented 39.6% and 42.8% of our net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively.

Power Solutions: Our Power Solutions segment produces power management semiconductor products including discrete and integrated circuit solutions for power management in high-volume consumer applications. These products include MOSFETs, LED drivers, DC-DC converters, analog switches and linear regulators, such as low-dropout regulators, or LDOs. Our power solutions products are designed for applications such as mobile phones, LCD televisions, and desktop computers, and allow electronics manufacturers to achieve specific design goals of high efficiency and low standby power consumption. Going forward, we expect to continue to expand our power management product portfolio. Our Power Solutions business represented 10.9% and 5.0% of our net sales for three months ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively.

Semiconductor Manufacturing Services: Our Semiconductor Manufacturing Services segment provides specialty analog and mixed-signal foundry services to fabless semiconductor companies that serve the consumer, computing and wireless end markets. We manufacture wafers based on our customers product designs. We do not market these products directly to end customers but rather supply manufactured wafers and products to our customers to market to their end customers. We offer approximately 240 process flows to our manufacturing services customers. We also often partner with key customers to jointly develop or customize specialized processes that enable our customers to improve their products and allow us to develop unique manufacturing expertise. Our manufacturing services are targeted at customers who require differentiated, specialty analog and mixed-signal process technologies such as high voltage CMOS, embedded memory and power. These customers typically serve high-growth and high-volume applications in the consumer, computing and wireless end markets. Our Semiconductor Manufacturing Services business represented 49.1% and 51.9% of our net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively.

Factors Affecting Our Results of Operations

Net Sales. We derive a majority of our sales (net of sales returns and allowances) from three reportable segments: Display Solutions, Power Solutions and Semiconductor Manufacturing Services. Our product inventory is primarily located in Korea and is available for drop shipment globally. Outside of Korea, we maintain limited product inventory, and our sales representatives generally relay orders to our factories in Korea for fulfillment. We have strategically located our sales and technical support offices near concentrations of major customers. Our sales offices are located in Hong Kong, Japan, Korea, Taiwan, China, the United Kingdom and the United States. Our network of authorized agents and distributors consists of agents in the United States and Europe and distributors and agents in the Asia Pacific region. Our net sales from All other consist principally of rental income.

We recognize revenue when risk and reward of ownership passes to the customer either upon shipment, upon product delivery at the customer s location or upon customer acceptance, depending on the terms of the arrangement. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, we sold products to over 209 and 217 customers, respectively, and our net sales to our ten largest customers represented 61% and 64% of our net sales. We have a combined production capacity of over 132,000 eight-inch equivalent semiconductor wafers per month. We believe our large-scale, cost-effective fabrication facilities enable us to rapidly adjust our production levels to meet shifts in demand by our end customers.

Gross Profit. Our overall gross profit generally fluctuates as a result of changes in overall sales volumes and in the average selling prices of our products and services. Other factors that influence our gross profit include changes in product mix, the introduction of new products and services and subsequent generations of existing products and services, shifts in the utilization of our manufacturing facilities and the yields achieved by our manufacturing operations, changes in material, labor and other manufacturing costs and variation in depreciation expense. Gross profit varies by our operating segments.

Average Selling Prices. Average selling prices for our products tend to be highest at the time of introduction of new products which utilize the latest technology and tend to decrease over time as such products mature in the market and are replaced by next generation products. We strive to offset the impact of declining selling prices for existing products through our product development activities and by introducing new products that command selling prices above the average selling price of our existing products. In addition, we seek to manage our inventories and manufacturing capacity so as to preclude losses from product and productive capacity obsolescence.

Material Costs. Our cost of sales consists of costs of raw materials, such as silicon wafers, chemicals, gases and tape, packaging supplies, equipment maintenance and depreciation expenses. We use processes that require specialized raw materials, such as silicon wafers, that are generally available from a limited number of suppliers. If demand increases or supplies decrease, the costs of our raw materials could significantly increase.

Labor Costs. A significant portion of our employees are located in Korea. Under Korean labor laws, most employees and certain executive officers with one or more years of service are entitled to severance benefits upon the termination of their employment based on their length of service and rate of pay. As of March 31, 2011, approximately 98% of our employees were eligible for severance benefits.

Depreciation Expense. We periodically evaluate the carrying values of long-lived assets, including property, plant and equipment and intangible assets, as well as the related depreciation periods. At March 31, 2011, we depreciated our property, plant and equipment using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of our assets. Depreciation rates vary from 30-40 years on buildings to five to ten years for certain equipment and assets. Our evaluation of carrying values is based on various analyses including cash flow and profitability projections. If our projections indicate that future undiscounted cash flows are not sufficient to recover the carrying values of the related long-lived assets, the carrying value of the assets is impaired and will be reduced, with the reduction charged to expense so that the carrying value is equal to fair value.

Selling Expenses. We sell our products worldwide through a direct sales force as well as a network of sales agents and representatives to OEMs, including major branded customers and contract manufacturers, and indirectly through distributors. Selling expenses consist primarily of the personnel costs for the members of our direct sales force, a network of sales representatives and other costs of distribution. Personnel costs include base salary, benefits and incentive compensation. As incentive compensation is tied to various net sales goals, it will increase or decrease with net sales.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses consist of the costs of various corporate operations, including finance, legal, human resources and other administrative functions. These expenses primarily consist of payroll-related expenses, consulting and other professional fees and office facility-related expenses. Historically, our selling, general and administrative expenses have remained relatively constant as a percentage of net sales, and we expect this trend to continue in the future.

Research and Development. The rapid technological change and product obsolescence that characterize our industry require us to make continuous investments in research and development. Product development time frames vary but, in general, we incur research and development costs one to two years before generating sales from the associated new products. These expenses include personnel costs for members of our engineering workforce, cost of photomasks, silicon wafers and other non-recurring engineering charges related to product design. Additionally, we develop base-line process technology through experimentation and through the design and use of characterization wafers that help achieve commercially feasible yields for new products. The majority of research and development expenses are for process development that serves as a common technology platform for all of our product segments. Consequently, we do not allocate these expenses to individual segments.

Restructuring and Impairment Charges. We evaluate the recoverability of certain long-lived assets and in-process research and development assets on a periodic basis or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. In our efforts to improve our overall profitability in future periods, we have closed or otherwise impaired, and may in the future close or impair, facilities that are underutilized and that are no longer aligned with our long-term business goals.

Interest Expense, Net. Our interest expense was incurred under our outstanding term loan and senior notes. Our term loan bore interest at six-month LIBOR plus 12%, and was minimally offset by interest income on cash balances. In April 2010, we repaid our term loan with a portion of the proceeds from our sale of \$250 million in aggregate principal amount of 10.5% senior notes due 2018.

Impact of Foreign Currency Exchange Rates on Reported Results of Operations. Historically, a portion of our revenues and greater than the majority of our operating expenses and costs of sales have been denominated in non-U.S. currencies, principally the Korean won, and we expect that this will remain true in the future. Because we report our results of operations in U.S. dollars converted our non-U.S. revenues and expenses based on monthly average exchange rates, changes in the exchange rate between the Korean won and the U.S. dollar could materially impact our reported results of operations and distort period to period comparisons. In particular, because of the difference in the amount of our consolidated

revenues and expenses that are in U.S. dollars relative to Korean won, depreciation in the U.S. dollar relative to the Korean won could result in a material increase in reported costs relative to revenues, and therefore could cause our profit margins and operating income (loss) to appear to decline materially, particularly relative to prior periods. The converse is true if the U.S. dollar were to appreciate relative to the Korean won. As a result of such foreign currency fluctuations, it could be more difficult to detect underlying trends in our business and results of operations. In addition, to the extent that fluctuations in currency exchange rates cause our results of operations to differ from our expectations or the expectations of our investors, the trading price of our stock could be adversely affected.

From time to time, we may engage in exchange rate hedging activities in an effort to mitigate the impact of exchange rate fluctuations. Our Korean subsidiary enters into foreign currency option, forward and zero cost collar contracts in order to mitigate a portion of the impact of U.S. dollar-Korean won exchange rate fluctuations on our operating results. These foreign currency option, forward and zero cost collar contracts typically require us to sell specified notional amounts in U.S. dollars and provide us the option to sell specified notional amounts in U.S. dollars during successive months to our counterparty in exchange for Korean won at specified exchange rates. Obligations under these foreign currency option, forward and zero cost collar contracts must be cash collateralized if our exposure exceeds certain specified thresholds. These option, forward and zero cost collar contracts may be terminated by the counterparty in a number of circumstances, including if our long-term debt rating falls below B-/B3 or if our total cash and cash equivalents is less than \$30 million at the end of a fiscal quarter. We cannot assure you that any hedging technique we implement will be effective. If our hedging activities are not effective, changes in currency exchange rates may have a more significant impact on our results of operations.

Foreign Currency Gain or Loss. Foreign currency translation gains or losses on transactions by us or our subsidiaries in a currency other than our or our subsidiaries functional currency are included in our statements of operations as a component of other income (expense). A substantial portion of this net foreign currency gain or loss relates to non-cash translation gain or loss related to the principal balance of intercompany borrowings at our Korean subsidiary that are denominated in U.S. dollars. This gain or loss results from fluctuations in the exchange rate between the Korean won and U.S. dollar.

Income Taxes. We record our income taxes in each of the tax jurisdictions in which we operate. This process involves using an asset and liability approach whereby deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded for differences in the financial reporting bases and tax bases of our assets and liabilities. We exercise significant management judgment in determining our provision for income taxes, deferred tax assets and liabilities. We periodically evaluate our deferred tax assets to ascertain whether it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will be realized. Our income tax expense has been low in absolute dollars and as a percentage of net sales principally due to the availability of tax loss carry-forwards and we expect such rate to remain low for at least the next few years.

Our operations are subject to income and transaction taxes in Korea and in multiple foreign jurisdictions. Significant estimates and judgments are required in determining our worldwide provision for income taxes. Some of these estimates are based on interpretations of existing tax laws or regulations. The ultimate amount of tax liability may be uncertain as a result.

Capital Expenditures. We invest in manufacturing equipment, software design tools and other tangible and intangible assets for capacity expansion and technology improvements. Capacity expansions and technology improvements typically occur in anticipation of seasonal increases in demand. We typically pay for capital expenditures in partial installments with portions due on order, delivery and final acceptance. Our capital expenditures include our payments for the purchase of property, plant and equipment as well as payments for the registration of intellectual property rights.

Inventories. We monitor our inventory levels in light of product development changes and market expectations. We may be required to take additional charges for quantities in excess of demand, cost in excess of market value and product age. Our analysis may take into consideration historical usage, expected demand, anticipated sales price, new product development schedules, the effect new products might have on the sales of existing products, product age, customer design activity, customer concentration and other factors. These forecasts require us to estimate our ability to predict demand for current and future products and compare those estimates with our current inventory levels and inventory purchase commitments. Our forecasts for our inventory may differ from actual inventory use.

Principles of Consolidation. Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of our company and our wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation.

Segments. We operate in three segments: Display Solutions, Power Solutions and Semiconductor Manufacturing Services. Net sales and gross profit for the All other category primarily relate to certain business activities that do not constitute operating or reportable segments.

Results of Operations Comparison of Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

The following table sets forth consolidated results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

		Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 % of		Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 % of	
	Amount	Net Sales	Amount	Net Sales	Amount
Net sales	\$ 187.9	100.0%	nillions) \$ 179.5	100.0%	\$ 8.4
Cost of sales	131.4	69.9	130.1	72.5	1.3
Gross profit	56.5	30.1	49.4	27.5	7.1
Selling, general and administrative expenses	15.4	8.2	17.9	10.0	(2.5)
Research and development expenses	18.5	9.8	20.5	11.4	(2.0)
Restructuring and impairment charges			0.3	0.2	(0.3)
Special charge for IPO incentive	12.1	6.5			12.1
Operating income	10.4	5.5	10.6	5.9	(0.2)
Interest expense, net	(7.1)	(3.8)	(2.0)	(1.1)	(5.1)
Foreign currency gain, net	21.4	11.4	21.6	12.0	(0.3)
Others	0.2	0.1	(0.1)		0.2
	14.4	7.7	19.5	10.9	(5.1)
Income before income taxes	24.8	13.2	30.1	16.8	(5.3)
Income tax expenses (benefits)	2.4	1.3	(1.0)	(0.6)	3.4
Net income	\$ 22.5	12.0%	\$ 31.1	17.3%	\$ (8.6)

Net Sales

		Three Months Ended March 31, 2011		Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	
		% of		% of	Change
	Amount	Net Sales	Amount	Net Sales	Amount
		(In i	nillions)		
Display Solutions	\$ 74.5	39.6%	\$ 76.7	42.8%	\$ (2.3)
Power Solutions	20.4	10.9	9.0	5.0	11.4
Semiconductor Manufacturing Services	92.3	49.1	93.2	51.9	(0.9)
All other	0.8	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3
	\$ 187.9	100.0%	\$ 179.5	100.0%	\$ 8.4

Net sales were \$187.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, a \$8.4 million, or 4.7%, increase, compared to \$179.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. This increase was primarily due to increases in net sales increased for our Power Solutions segment, which was offset in part by a decrease in net sales from our Display Solutions segment and our Semiconductor Manufacturing Services segment.

Display Solutions. Net sales from our Display Solutions segment were \$74.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, a \$2.3 million, or 3.0%, decrease from \$76.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease was primarily due to a 5.1% sales volume decrease related to lower demand for certain consumer electronics products such as digital televisions, PCs and smart phones.

Power Solutions. Net sales from our Power Solutions segment were \$20.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, a \$11.4 million, or 125.9%, increase from \$9.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to a 69.5% increase in sales volume and a 30.5% increase in average selling prices driven by an improved product mix and higher demand for MOSFET products from existing and new customers as we grew this business.

Semiconductor Manufacturing Services. Net sales from our Semiconductor Manufacturing Services segment were \$92.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, a \$0.9 million, or 1.0%, decrease compared to net sales of \$93.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. This decrease was primarily due to a 4.9% decrease in sales volume for certain product groups.

All Other. Net sales from All other were \$0.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, a \$0.3 million, or 49.8%, increase compared to \$0.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. This increase resulted from the disposal of waste materials.

Net Sales by Geographic Region

The following table sets forth our net sales by geographic region and the percentage of total net sales represented by each geographic region for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

		Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 % of		Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 % of		
	Amount	Net Sales	Amount	Net Sales	Amount	
		(In 1	nillions)			
Korea	\$ 87.5	46.6%	\$ 97.7	54.4%	\$ (10.1)	
Asia Pacific	57.3	30.5	48.5	27.0	8.8	
Japan	13.3	7.1	10.2	5.7	3.1	
North America	25.9	13.8	20.4	11.4	5.5	
Europe	3.0	1.6	2.8	1.5	0.2	
Africa	0.9	0.5			0.9	
	\$ 187.9	100.0%	\$ 179.5	100.0%	\$ 8.4	

Net sales in Korea for the three months ended March 31, 2011 decreased from \$97.7 million to \$87.5 million compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, or by \$10.1 million, or 11.7%, primarily due to decreased demand in the market for Display Solution products.

Gross Profit

		Three Months Ended March 31, 2011		Three Months Ended March 31, 2010		
	Amount	% of Net Sales	Amount	% of Net Sales		ange 10unt
			(In millions)			
Display Solutions	\$ 19.8	26.6%	\$ 14.4	18.8%	\$	5.4
Power Solutions	2.6	12.6	1.6	17.3		1.0
Semiconductor Manufacturing Services	33.3	36.1	32.8	35.2		0.4
All other	0.8	100.0	0.5	100.0		0.3
	\$ 56.5	30.1%	\$ 49.4	27.5%	\$	7.1

Total gross profit was \$56.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to \$49.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, a \$7.1 million, or 14.4%, increase. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was 30.1%, an increase of 2.6% from 27.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2010. This increase in gross margin was primarily attributable to an increase in average selling prices in our Power Solutions segment and our Semiconductor Manufacturing Services segment, a significant volume increase in our Power Solutions segment, an increase in other revenue and a decrease in cost of sales, including a \$2.5 million decrease in overhead costs mainly due to a decrease in operating lease expenses which resulted from the expiration of operating lease contracts in September 2010.

Display Solutions. Gross margin for our Display Solutions segment for the three months ended March 31, 2011 increased to 26.6% compared to 18.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2010, primarily due to decreased cost of sales, which decreased by \$7.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. This decrease in cost of sales was primarily due to a \$3.3 million decrease in material costs, a \$1.2 million decrease in subcontractor costs resulting from a change in product mix where the portion of sales of products requiring less subcontract costs increased and a \$3.5 million decrease in other costs, which was mainly due to certain products manufactured at a relatively lower facility utilization at the end of 2009 that were sold during the three months ended March 31, 2010, a \$1.2 million increase in depreciation costs and a \$1.0 million decrease in overhead costs related to maintenance, repair and supplies expense.

Power Solutions. Gross margin for our Power Solutions segment for the three months ended March 31, 2011 decreased to 12.6% compared to 17.3% for the three months ended March 31, 2010. However, gross profit increased by \$1.0 million due to increased sales volume and average selling prices. Cost of sales for the three months ended March 31, 2011 increased by \$10.4 million compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, primarily due to a \$2.7 million increase in material costs, a \$1.5 million increase in labor costs, a \$4.4 million increase in subcontractor costs due to the increased sales volume, and a \$0.9 million increase in overhead costs related to maintenance, repair and supplies expense due to improved facilities utilization resulting from our higher net sales.

Semiconductor Manufacturing Services. Gross margin for our Semiconductor Manufacturing Services segment increased to 36.1% in the three months ended March 31, 2011 from 35.2% in the three months ended March 31, 2010. This increase was primarily due to a decrease in cost of sales to a greater extent than a decrease in net sales. Cost of sales for the three months ended March 31, 2011 decreased by \$1.4 million compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, which was primarily attributable to a \$2.4 million decrease in overhead costs related to maintenance, repair and supplies expense and a \$0.2 million decrease in labor costs, partially offset by a \$1.1 million increase in depreciation costs.

All Other. Gross margin for All other remained the same as there was no cost of sales in either period.

Operating Expenses

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general, and administrative expenses were \$15.4 million, or 8.2% of net sales, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$17.9 million, or 10.0% of net sales, for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease of \$2.5 million, or 14.0%, was primarily attributable to a \$3.0 million decrease in amortization expense and a \$0.4 million decrease in outside service fees, primarily due to a decrease in professional fees and related expenses.

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses were \$18.5 million, or 9.8% of net sales, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$20.5 million, or 11.4% of net sales, for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease of \$2.0 million, or 9.9%, was due to a \$2.6 million decrease in amortization and a \$0.3 million decrease in material costs, partially offset by a \$0.3 million increase in salaries and related expenses resulting from an annual salary increase, and a \$0.2 million increase in outside service fees.

Restructuring and Impairment Charges. Restructuring and impairment charges for the three months ended March 31, 2011 were nil compared to \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. Impairment charges for the three months ended March 31, 2010 were related to abandoned in-process research and development projects.

IPO Incentive. We previously stated our intention to use part of the net proceeds from the IPO to make incentive payments to all employees, excluding management. The payment of such employee incentives was contingent upon the consummation of the IPO. We paid the IPO incentives in March 2011.

Operating Income

As a result of the foregoing, operating income decreased by \$0.2 million, or 1.5%, in the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. As discussed above, the decrease in operating income primarily resulted from a \$12.1 million of IPO incentive, which was partially offset by a \$7.1 million increase in gross profit and a \$2.0 million decrease in research and development expenses.

Other Income (Expense)

Interest Expense, Net. Net interest expense was \$7.1 million during the three months ended March 31, 2011, an increase of \$5.1 million compared to \$2.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. Interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was mainly incurred under our \$250.0 million principal amount of senior notes issued on April 9, 2010 and interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was incurred under our \$61.6 million principal amount of new term loan.

Foreign Currency Gain (Loss), Net. Net foreign currency gain for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was \$21.4 million compared to net foreign currency gain of \$21.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. A substantial portion of our net foreign currency gain or loss is non-cash translation gain or loss recorded for intercompany borrowings at our Korean subsidiary and is affected by changes in the exchange rate between the Korean won and the U.S. dollar. Foreign currency translation gain from the intercompany borrowings was included in determining our consolidated net income since the intercompany borrowings were not considered long-term investments in nature because management intended to repay these intercompany borrowings at their respective maturity dates. The Korean won to U.S. dollar exchange rates were 1,107.2:1 and 1,130.8:1 using the first base rate as of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively, as quoted by the Korea Exchange Bank.

Others. Majority of others for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 were gain on valuation of derivatives which were designated as hedging instruments. Gain on valuation of derivatives represents either hedge ineffectiveness or components of changes in fair value of derivatives excluded from the assessments of hedge effectiveness.

Income Tax Expenses. Income tax expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2011 were \$2.4 million compared to income tax benefits of \$1.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. This increase was primarily attributable to a \$1.6 million reversal of liability for an uncertain tax position recorded for the three months ended March 31, 2010 due to the lapse of the applicable statute of limitations. The majority of income tax expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was comprised of \$1.5 million of withholding taxes mostly accrued on intercompany interest payments, which would be utilized as foreign tax credits, but due to the uncertainty of utilization, full valuation allowance was recognized, and a \$0.5 million income tax effect from the change of deferred tax assets.

Net Income

As a result of the foregoing, net income decreased by \$8.6 million, or 27.8%, in the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. As discussed above, the decrease in net income was primarily a result of IPO incentive paid in March 2011, an increase in interest expense resulting from the issuance of \$250.0 million principal amount of senior notes on April 9, 2010 and a increase in income tax expenses.

Additional Business Metrics Evaluated by Management

Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted Net Income

We define Adjusted EBITDA as net income (loss) adjusted to exclude (i) depreciation and amortization, (ii) interest expense, net, (iii) income tax expenses (benefits), (iv) restructuring and impairment charges, (v) the increase in cost of sales resulting from the fresh-start inventory accounting step-up, (vi) stock-based compensation expense, (vii) foreign currency gain, net, (viii) derivative valuation loss (gain), net and (ix) one-time incentive payments in connection with our IPO. See the footnotes to the table below for further information regarding these items.

Table of Contents

We present Adjusted EBITDA as a supplemental measure of our performance because:

Adjusted EBITDA eliminates the impact of a number of items that may be either one time or recurring items that we do not consider to be indicative of our core ongoing operating performance;

we believe that Adjusted EBITDA is an enterprise level performance measure commonly reported and widely used by analysts and investors in our industry;

our investor and analyst presentations include Adjusted EBITDA; and

we believe that Adjusted EBITDA provides investors with a more consistent measurement of period to period performance of our core operations, as well as a comparison of our operating performance to that of other companies in our industry. We use Adjusted EBITDA in a number of ways, including:

for planning purposes, including the preparation of our annual operating budget;

to evaluate the effectiveness of our enterprise level business strategies;

in communications with our board of directors concerning our consolidated financial performance; and

in certain of our compensation plans as a performance measure for determining incentive compensation payments. We encourage you to evaluate each adjustment and the reasons we consider them appropriate. In evaluating Adjusted EBITDA, you should be aware that in the future we may incur expenses similar to the adjustments in this presentation. Adjusted EBITDA is not a measure defined in accordance with GAAP and should not be construed as an alternative to cash flows from operating activities or net income, as determined in accordance with GAAP. A reconciliation of net income to Adjusted EBITDA is as follows:

	Three Months Ended March	Successor	
	31, 2011		onths Ended 1 31, 2010
Net income	\$ 22.5	\$	31.1
Adjustments:			
Depreciation and amortization	13.9		15.5
Interest expense, net	7.1		2.0
Income tax expenses (benefits)	2.4		(1.0)
Restructuring and impairment charges ^(a)			0.3
Inventory step-up ^(b)			0.9
Stock-based compensation expense ^(c)	0.6		1.5
Foreign currency gain, net ^(d)	(21.4)		(21.6)
Derivative valuation loss (gain), net ^(e)	(0.2)		0.1
One-time IPO incentive ^(f)	12.1		
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 37.1	\$	28.7

(a) This adjustment eliminates the impact of restructuring and impairment charges related to \$0.3 million of abandoned in-process research for the three months ended March 31, 2010. We do not believe these restructuring and impairment charges are indicative of our core ongoing operating performance because we do not anticipate similar market driven events in our ongoing operations, although we cannot guarantee

that similar events will not occur in the future.

- (b) This adjustment eliminates the one-time impact on cost of sales associated with the write-up of our inventory in accordance with the principles of fresh-start accounting upon consummation of the Chapter 11 reorganization.
- (c) This adjustment eliminates the impact of non-cash stock-based compensation expenses. Although we expect to incur non-cash equity-based compensation expenses in the future, we believe that analysts and investors will find it helpful to review our operating performance without the effects of these non-cash expenses, as supplemental information.
- (d) This adjustment eliminates the impact of non-cash foreign currency translation associated with intercompany debt obligations and foreign currency denominated receivables and payables, as well as the cash impact of foreign currency translation gains or losses on collection of such receivables and payment of such payables. Although we expect to incur foreign currency translation gains or losses in the future, we believe that analysts and investors will find it helpful to review our operating performance without the effects of these primarily non-cash gains or losses, as supplemental information.

- (e) This adjustment eliminates the impact of gain or loss recognized in income on derivatives, which represents hedge ineffectiveness or derivatives value changes excluded from the risk being hedged. We enter into derivative transactions to mitigate foreign exchange risks. As our derivative transactions are limited to a certain portion of our expected cash flows denominated in USD, and we do not enter into derivative transactions for trading or speculative purposes, we do not believe that these charges or gains are indicative of our core operating performance.
- (f) This adjustment eliminates the one-time impact of incentive payments to all employees excluding management in connection with our IPO.

Adjusted EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation, or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations are:

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect our cash expenditures, or future requirements, for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments, on our debt;

although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized will often have to be replaced in the future, and Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements;

Adjusted EBITDA does not consider the potentially dilutive impact of issuing stock-based compensation to our management team and employees;

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the costs of holding certain assets and liabilities in foreign currencies; and

other companies in our industry may calculate Adjusted EBITDA differently than we do, limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure.

Because of these limitations, Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered as a measure of discretionary cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business. We compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our GAAP results and using Adjusted EBITDA only supplementally.

We present Adjusted Net Income as a further supplemental measure of our performance. We prepare Adjusted Net Income by adjusting net income (loss) to eliminate the impact of a number of non-cash expenses and other items that may be either one time or recurring that we do not consider to be indicative of our core ongoing operating performance. We believe that Adjusted Net Income is particularly useful because it reflects the impact of our asset base and capital structure on our operating performance.

We present Adjusted Net Income for a number of reasons, including:

we use Adjusted Net Income in communications with our board of directors concerning our consolidated financial performance;

we believe that Adjusted Net Income is an enterprise level performance measure commonly reported and widely used by analysts and investors in our industry; and

our investor and analyst presentations include Adjusted Net Income.

Adjusted Net Income is not a measure defined in accordance with GAAP and should not be construed as an alternative to cash flows from operating activities or net income (loss), as determined in accordance with GAAP. We encourage you to evaluate each adjustment and the reasons we consider them appropriate. Other companies in our industry may calculate Adjusted Net Income differently than we do, limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure. In addition, in evaluating Adjusted Net Income, you should be aware that in the future we may incur expenses similar to the adjustments in this presentation. We define Adjusted Net Income as net income (loss) adjusted to exclude (i) restructuring and impairment charges,(ii) the increase in cost of sales resulting from the fresh-start accounting inventory step-up, (iii) stock-based compensation expense, (iv) amortization of intangibles, (v) foreign currency gain, net, (vi) derivative valuation loss (gain), net and (vii) one-time incentive payments in connection with our IPO.

The following table summarizes the adjustments to net income that we make in order to calculate Adjusted Net Income for the periods indicated:

		Successor		
	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011	Three Mo	onths Ended 31, 2010	
Net income	\$ 22.5	\$	31.1	
Adjustments:				
Restructuring and impairment charges ^(a)			0.3	
Inventory step-up ^(b)			0.9	
Stock-based compensation expense ^(c)	0.6		1.5	
Amortization of intangibles ^(d)	2.0		7.7	
Foreign currency gain, net ^(e)	(21.4)		(21.6)	
Derivative valuation loss (gain), net ^(f)	(0.2)		0.1	
One-time IPO incentive ^(g)	12.1			
Adjusted Net Income	\$ 15.7	\$	19.9	

- (a) This adjustment eliminates the impact of restructuring and impairment charges related to \$0.3 million of abandoned in-process research for the three months ended March 31, 2010. We do not believe these restructuring and impairment charges are indicative of our core ongoing operating performance because we do not anticipate similar market driven events in our ongoing operations, although we cannot guarantee that similar events will not occur in the future.
- (b) This adjustment eliminates the one-time impact on cost of sales associated with the write-up of our inventory in accordance with the principles of fresh-start accounting upon consummation of the Chapter 11 reorganization.
- (c) This adjustment eliminates the impact of non-cash stock-based compensation expenses. Although we expect to incur non-cash stock-based compensation expenses in the future, we believe that analysts and investors will find it helpful to review our operating performance without the effects of these non-cash expenses, as supplemental information.
- (d) This adjustment eliminates the non-cash impact of amortization expense for intangible assets created as a result of the purchase accounting treatment of the acquisition of our business from Hynix Semiconductor, Inc., or Hynix, in October 2004, which we refer to as the Original Acquisition and other subsequent acquisitions, and from the application of fresh-start accounting in connection with the reorganization proceedings. We do not believe these non-cash amortization expenses for intangibles are indicative of our core ongoing operating performance because the assets would not have been capitalized on our balance sheet but for the application of purchase accounting or fresh-start accounting, as applicable.
- (e) This adjustment eliminates the impact of non-cash foreign currency translation associated with intercompany debt obligations and foreign currency denominated receivables and payables, as well as the cash impact of foreign currency translation gains or losses on collection of such receivables and payables. Although we expect to incur foreign currency translation gains or losses in the future, we believe that analysts and investors will find it helpful to review our operating performance without the effects of these primarily non-cash gains or losses, as supplemental information.
- (f) This adjustment eliminates the impact of gain or loss recognized in income on derivatives, which represents hedge ineffectiveness or derivatives value changes excluded from the risk being hedged. We enter into derivative transactions to mitigate foreign exchange risks. As our derivative transactions are limited to a certain portion of our expected cash flows denominated in USD, and we do not enter into derivative transactions for trading or speculative purposes, we do not believe that these charges or gains are indicative of our core operating performance.
- (g) This adjustment eliminates the one-time impact of incentive payments to all employees excluding management in connection with our IPO.

Adjusted Net Income has limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation, or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations are:

Adjusted Net Income does not reflect our cash expenditures, or future requirements, for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;

Adjusted Net Income does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;

Adjusted Net Income does not consider the potentially dilutive impact of issuing stock-based compensation to our management team and employees;

Adjusted Net Income does not reflect the costs of holding certain assets and liabilities in foreign currencies; and

other companies in our industry may calculate Adjusted Net Income differently than we do, limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure.

Because of these limitations, Adjusted Net Income should not be considered as a measure of discretionary cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business. We compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our GAAP results and using Adjusted Net Income only supplementally.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal capital requirements are to invest in research and development and capital equipment, to make debt service payments and to fund working capital needs. We calculate working capital as current assets less current liabilities.

Our principal sources of liquidity are our cash and cash equivalents, our cash flows from operations and our financing activities, including \$11.4 million of net proceeds from the IPO completed in March 2011. Although we currently anticipate that these sources of liquidity will continue to be sufficient to meet our cash needs for the next twelve months and foreseeable future, we may from time to time in the future require or choose to obtain additional financing. If we raise additional funds through the issuance of equity, equity-linked or debt securities, those securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to the rights of our common stock, and our stockholders may experience dilution. If we need to raise additional funds in the future and are unable to do so or obtain additional financing on unfavorable terms in the future, it is possible we would have to limit certain planned activities including sales and marketing and research and development activities. As of March 31, 2011, our cash and cash equivalents balance was \$194.2 million, a \$22.0 million increase, compared to \$172.2 million as of December 31, 2010. The increase resulted from \$19.2 million of cash inflow provided by operating activities and \$9.9 million of cash inflow provided by financing activities.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Cash inflows generated by operating activities totaled \$19.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$14.9 million of cash provided by operating activities in the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase was primarily attributable to increase in gross profit of \$7.1 million resulting from higher net sales. The net operating cash inflow for the three months ended March 31, 2011 reflects our net income of \$22.5 million and non-cash adjustments of \$(5.5) million which mainly consisted of gain on foreign currency translation and depreciation and amortization, and a decrease in net operating assets of \$2.2 million.

Our working capital balance as of March 31, 2011 was \$285.7 million compared to \$273.6 million as of December 31, 2010. The \$12.1 million increase was primarily attributable to a \$22.0 million increase in cash and cash equivalents provided by operating activities and financing activities, a \$12.0 million increase in accounts receivable due to increase in net sales, which was partially offset by a \$15.8 million increase in accounts payable and a \$11.1 million increase in accrued expenses.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Cash outflow in investing activities totaled \$7.0 million in the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$0.2 million of cash inflow generated by investing activities in the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to an increase in capital expenditures of \$5.9 million.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Cash inflow provided by financing activities totaled \$9.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$0.1 million of cash outflow in financing activities in the three months ended March 31, 2010. In March 2011, we completed the IPO in which an aggregate amount of \$133.0 million of our equity securities were sold. Net proceeds from new shares of common stock issued by us from the IPO were \$11.4 million, after deducting \$1.0 million of IPO expenses paid for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and \$0.9 million of underwriters discounts and commissions.

Capital Expenditures

We routinely make capital expenditures to enhance our existing facilities and reinforce our global research and development capability.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, capital expenditures were \$6.9 million, a \$5.9 million, or 565.8%, increase from \$1.0 million in the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase was due to supporting capacity expansion and technology improvements at our fabrication facilities in anticipation of sales growth.

Seasonality

Our net sales and number of distinct products sold are affected by market variations from quarter to quarter due to business cycles, and resulting product demand, of our customers. Our Display Solutions business typically experiences demand increases in the third and fourth calendar quarters due to increased holiday demand for the consumer products that serve as the end markets for our products. During the first quarter, by contrast, consumer products manufacturers generally reduce orders in order to reduce excess inventory remaining from the holiday season. In our Semiconductor Manufacturing Services business, the supply-demand cycle is usually one quarter ahead of the broader semiconductor market due to lead time from wafer input to shipment to our customers, so the demand for these products tends to peak in the third quarter and is slower in the fourth and first quarters.

Contractual Obligations

The following summarizes our contractual obligations as of March 31, 2011:

		Payments Due by Period								
		Remainder of								
	Total	2011		2012 (1	2013 In millions)	2014	2015	Thereafter		
Senior notes ⁽¹⁾	\$ 446.9	\$	26.3	\$ 26.3	\$ 26.3	\$ 26.3	\$ 26.3	\$	315.6	
Capital lease ⁽²⁾	8.0		4.7	3.3						
Operating lease ⁽²⁾	52.9		5.8	4.2	2.1	2.0	2.0		36.9	
Others ⁽³⁾	9.3		4.2	4.8	0.3					

(1) \$250 million aggregate principal amount as well as interest payments of senior notes issued in April 2010, which bear interest at a rate of 10.5% per annum and mature in 2018.

(2) Assumes constant currency exchange rate for Korean won to U.S. dollars of 1,107.2:1.

(3) Includes license agreements and other contractual obligations.

The indenture relating to our \$250 million senior notes contains covenants that limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to: (i) declare or pay any dividend or make any payment or distribution on account of or purchase or redeem our capital stock or equity interests of our restricted subsidiaries; (ii) make any principal payment on, or redeem or repurchase, prior to any scheduled repayment, sinking fund payment or maturity, any subordinated indebtedness; (iii) make certain investments, including capital expenditures; (iv) incur additional indebtedness and issue certain types of capital stock; (v) create or incur any lien (except for permitted liens) that secures obligations under any indebtedness or related guarantee; (vi) merge with or into or sell all or substantially all of our assets to other companies; (vii) enter into certain types of transactions with affiliates; (viii) guarantee the payment of any indebtedness; (ix) enter into sale-leaseback transactions; (x) enter into agreements that would restrict the ability of the restricted subsidiaries to make distributions with respect to their equity, to make loans to us or other restricted subsidiaries or to transfer assets to us or other restricted subsidiaries; and (xi) designate unrestricted subsidiaries.

We lease equipment for manufacturing and research and development purposes. These leases are accounted for as capital leases as the ownership of the equipment will be transferred to us upon expiration of the lease terms or we have bargain purchase options at the end of the lease terms.

We lease land, office space and equipment under various operating lease agreements that expire through 2034.

We follow ASC guidance on uncertain tax positions. Our unrecognized tax benefits totaled \$3.1 million as of March 31, 2011. These unrecognized tax benefits have been excluded from the above table because we cannot estimate the period of cash settlement with the respective taxing authorities.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Preparing financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods and the related disclosures in our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes.

We believe that our significant accounting policies are critical due to the fact that they involve a high degree of judgment and estimates about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain. We base these estimates and judgments on historical experience, knowledge of current conditions and other assumptions and information that we believe to be reasonable. Estimates and assumptions about future events and their effects cannot be determined with certainty. Accordingly, these estimates may change as new events occur, as more experience is acquired, as additional information is obtained and as the business environment in which we operate changes.

Revenue Recognition and Accounts Receivable Valuation

Our revenue is primarily derived from the sale of semiconductor products that we design and the manufacture of semiconductor wafers for third parties. We recognize revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the product has been delivered and title and risk of loss have transferred, the price is fixed and determinable and collection of resulting receivables is reasonably assured.

We recognize revenue upon shipment, upon delivery of the product at the customer s location or upon customer acceptance depending on terms of the arrangements, when the risks and rewards of ownership have passed to the customer. Certain sale arrangements include customer acceptance provisions that require written notification of acceptance within the pre-determined period from the date of delivery of the product. If the pre-determined period has ended without written notification, customer acceptance is deemed to have occurred pursuant to the underlying sales arrangements. In such cases, we recognize revenue the earlier of the written notification or the pre-determined period from date of delivery. Specialty semiconductor manufacturing services are performed pursuant to manufacturing agreements and purchase orders. Standard products are shipped and sold based upon purchase orders from customers. Our revenue recognition policy is consistent across our product lines, marketing venues and all geographic areas. All amounts billed to a customer related to shipping and handling are classified as sales, while all costs incurred by us for shipping and handling are classified as expenses. We currently manufacture a substantial portion of our products internally at our wafer fabrication facilities. In the future, we expect to rely, to some extent, on outside wafer foundries for additional capacity and advanced technologies.

We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make payment. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, additional allowances may be required. The establishment of reserves for sales discounts is based on management judgments that require significant estimates of a variety of factors, including forecasted demand, returns and industry pricing assumptions.

Accrual of Warranty Cost

We record warranty liabilities for the estimated costs that may be incurred under limited warranties. Our warranties generally cover product defects based on compliance with our specifications and are normally applicable for twelve months from the date of product delivery. These liabilities are accrued when revenues are recognized. Warranty costs include the costs to replace the defective products. Factors that affect our warranty liability include historical and anticipated rates of warranty claims on those repairs and the cost per claim to satisfy our warranty obligations. As these factors are impacted by actual experience and future expectations, we periodically assess the adequacy of our recorded warranty liabilities and adjust the amounts as necessary.

Inventory Valuation

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market, using the average method, which approximates the first in, first out method. Because of the cyclical nature of the semiconductor industry, changes in inventory levels, obsolescence of technology and product life cycles, we write down inventories to net realizable value. When there is a difference in the carrying value and the net realizable value the difference is recognized as a loss on valuation of inventories within cost of sales. We estimate the net realizable value for such finished goods and work-in-progress based primarily upon the latest invoice prices and current market conditions.

We employ a variety of methodologies to determine the amount of inventory reserves necessary. While a portion of the reserve is determined based upon the age of inventory and lower of cost or market calculations, an element of the reserve is subject to significant judgments made by us about future demand for our inventory. For example, reserves are established for excess inventory based on inventory levels in excess of six months of projected demand, as judged by management, for each specific product. If actual demand for our products is less than our estimates, additional reserves for existing inventories may need to be recorded in future periods.

In addition, as prescribed in ASC guidance on inventory costs, the cost of inventories is determined based on the normal capacity of each fabrication facility. If the capacity utilization is lower than a level that management believes to be normal, the fixed overhead costs per production unit which exceed those which would be incurred when the fabrication facilities are running under normal capacity are charged to cost of sales rather than capitalized as inventories.

Long-Lived Assets

We assess long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets or the asset group may not be recoverable. Factors that we consider in deciding when to perform an impairment review include significant under-performance of a business or product line in relation to expectations, significant negative industry or economic trends, and significant changes or planned changes in our use of the assets. Recoverability of assets that will continue to be used in our operations is measured by comparing the carrying value of the asset group to our estimate of the related total future undiscounted net cash flows. If an asset group s carrying value is not recoverable through the related undiscounted cash flows, the asset group is considered to be impaired. The impairment is measured by the difference between the asset group s carrying value and its fair value determined by either a quoted market price, if any, or a value determined by utilizing a discounted cash flow technique.

Impairments of long-lived assets are determined for groups of assets related to the lowest level of identifiable independent cash flows. We must make subjective judgments in determining the independent cash flows that can be related to specific asset groupings. Additionally, an evaluation of impairment of long-lived assets requires estimates of future operating results that are used in the preparation of the expected future undiscounted cash flows. Actual future operating results and the remaining economic lives of our long-lived assets could differ from the estimates used in assessing the recoverability of these assets.

Intangible Assets

The fair value of our in-process research and development, or IPR&D, was recorded in connection with fresh-start reporting on October 25, 2009 and was determined based on the present value of each research project s projected cash flows using an income approach. Future cash flows are predominately based on the net income forecast of each project, consistent with historical pricing, margins and expense levels of similar products. Revenues are estimated based on relevant market size and growth factors, expected industry trends and individual project life cycles. The resulting cash flows are then discounted at a rate approximating our weighted average cost of capital.

IPR&D is considered an indefinite-lived intangible asset and is not subject to amortization. IPR&D assets must be tested for impairment annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired. The impairment test consists of a comparison of the fair value of the IPR&D asset with its carrying amount. If the carrying amount of the IPR&D asset exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss must be recognized in an amount equal to that excess. After an impairment loss is recognized, the adjusted carrying amount of the IPR&D asset will be its new accounting basis. Subsequent reversal of a previously recognized impairment loss is prohibited. The initial determination and subsequent evaluation for impairment of the IPR&D asset requires management to make significant judgments and estimates. Once the IPR&D projects have been completed, the useful life of the IPR&D asset is determined and amortized accordingly.

Technology, customer relationships and intellectual property assets are considered definite-lived assets and are amortized on a straight-line basis over their respective useful lives, ranging from 4 to 10 years.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with ASC guidance addressing accounting for income taxes. The guidance requires recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in a company s financial statements or tax returns. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement carrying values and the tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. Income tax expense is the tax payable for the period and the change during the period in deferred tax assets and liabilities.

We regularly review our deferred tax assets for recoverability considering historical profitability, projected future taxable income, the expected timing of the reversals of existing temporary differences and expiration of tax credits and net operating loss carry-forwards. We established valuation allowances for deferred tax assets at most of our subsidiaries since, other than with respect to one particular subsidiary, it is not more likely than not that a majority of the deferred tax assets will be realizable. The valuation allowance at this particular subsidiary was not established since it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets at this subsidiary will be realizable based on the current prospects for its future taxable income.

Changes in our evaluation of our deferred income tax assets from period to period could have a significant effect on our net operating results and financial condition.

In addition, beginning January 1, 2007, we account for uncertainties related to income taxes in compliance with ASC guidance on uncertain tax positions. Under this guidance, we evaluate our tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return for recognition and measurement on our consolidated financial statements. Only those tax positions that meet the more likely than not threshold are recognized on the consolidated financial statements at the largest amount of benefit that has a greater than 50 percent likelihood of ultimately being realized. Assumptions, judgment and the use of estimates are required in determining if the more likely than not standard has been met when developing the provision for income taxes. A change in the assessment of the more likely than not standard could materially impact our consolidated financial statements.

Accounting for Stock-based Compensation

In 2006, we adopted ASC guidance addressing accounting for unit-based compensation based on a fair value method. Under this guidance, unit-based compensation cost is estimated at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period of the award. We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to value unit options. In developing assumptions for fair value calculation under the guidance, we use estimates based on historical data and market information. A small change in the assumptions used in the estimate can cause a relatively significant change in the fair value calculation.

The determination of the fair value of our common units on each grant date was a two-step process. First, management estimated our enterprise value in consultation with such advisers as we deemed appropriate. Second, this business enterprise value was allocated to all sources of capital invested in us based on each type of security s respective rights and claims to our total business enterprise value. This allocation included a calculation of the fair value of our common units on a non-marketable basis. The business enterprise value was determined based on an income approach and a market approach using the revenue multiples of comparable companies, giving appropriate weight to each approach. The income approach was based on the discounted cash flow method and an estimated weighted average cost of capital.

Determination of the fair value of our common units involves complex and subjective judgments regarding projected financial and operating results, our unique business risks, the liquidity of our units and our operating history and prospects at the time of grant. If we make different judgments or adopt different assumptions, material differences could result in the amount of the share-based compensation expenses recorded because the estimated fair value of the underlying units for the options granted would be different.

Cash Flow Hedges

We are exposed to non-functional currency denominated cash flow fluctuations in connection with third party sales. We use foreign currency forward and option contracts to hedge certain of these risks. Throughout the term of the designated cash flow hedge relationship, but at least quarterly, a retrospective evaluation and prospective assessment of hedge effectiveness is performed. Designated components of our derivative instruments gains or losses are included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness. In conjunction with our effectiveness testing, we also evaluate ineffectiveness associated with the hedge relationship. Resulting ineffectiveness, if any, is recognized immediately in our consolidated statements of operations.

We record the fair value of our foreign currency derivative contracts qualifying for cash flow hedge accounting treatment in our consolidated balance sheet with the effective portion of the related gain or loss on those contracts deferred in stockholders equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income. These deferred gains or losses are recognized in our consolidated statements of operations in the same period in which the underlying hedged sales transactions are recognized and on the same line item as the underlying hedged items. However, in the event the relationship is no longer effective, we recognize the change in the fair value of the hedging derivative instrument from the date the hedging derivative instrument becomes no longer effective immediately in the consolidated statements of operations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-06 (ASU 2010-06), which amends the disclosure requirements of ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, (ASC 820) as of January 1, 2010. ASU 2010-06 requires new disclosures for any transfers of fair value into and out of Level 1 and 2 fair value measurements and separate presentation of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements within the reconciliation of Level 3 unobservable inputs. We previously adopted ASC 820 on January 1, 2008 and January 1, 2009 for financial assets and liabilities and for nonfinancial assets and liabilities, respectively. ASU 2010-06 is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the Level 3 reconciliation which is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2010-06 as of January 1, 2010 did not have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations. The adoption of ASU 2010-06 in relation to the Level 3 reconciliation as of January 1, 2011 also did not have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to the market risk that the value of a financial instrument will fluctuate due to changes in market conditions, primarily from changes in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates. In the normal course of our business, we are subject to market risks associated with interest rate movements and currency movements on our assets and liabilities.

Foreign Currency Exposures

We have exposure to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations on net income from our subsidiaries denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars, as our foreign subsidiaries in Korea, Taiwan, China, Japan and Hong Kong use local currency as their functional currency. From time to time these subsidiaries have cash and financial instruments in local currency. The amounts held in Japan, Taiwan, Hong Kong and China are not material in regards to foreign currency movements. However, based on the cash and financial instruments balance at March 31, 2011 for our Korean subsidiary, a 10% devaluation of the Korean won against the U.S. dollar would have resulted in a decrease of \$2.9 million in our U.S. dollar financial instruments and cash balances. Based on the Japanese yen cash balance at March 31, 2011 for our Korean subsidiary, a 10% devaluation of the Japanese yen cash balance at March 31, 2011 are 0.5. dollar cash balance.

Interest Rate Exposures

On April 9, 2010, we completed the sale of \$250 million in aggregate principal amount of 10.5% senior notes due 2018. The \$61.6 million of total outstanding borrowings under our term loan was repaid on the same date. The \$250 million 10.5% senior notes due 2018 are subject to changes in fair value due to interest rate changes. If the market interest rate increases by 10% and all other variables were held constant from their levels at March 31, 2011, we estimate that the fair value of this fixed rate note would decrease by \$12.5 million and we would have additional interest expense costs over the market rate of \$1.2 million (on a 360-day basis). If the market interest rate decreased by 10% and all other variables were held constant from their levels at March 31, 2011, we estimate that the fair value of this fixed rate note would increase by \$13.4 million and we would have a reduction in interest expense costs over the market rate of \$1.4 million (on a 360-day basis).

Item 4. Controls and Procedures Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Management s evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, was performed under the supervision and with the participation of our senior management, including our Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) and our Chief Financial Officer (principal financial and accounting officer). The purpose of disclosure controls and procedures is to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our CEO and CFO, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2011 to ensure that material information was accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

You should carefully consider the risk factors set forth below as well as the other information contained in this report. Any of the following risks could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. As a result, the price of our common stock could decline and you could lose all or part of your investment in our common stock. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or

those currently viewed by us to be immaterial may also materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We have a history of losses and may not achieve or sustain profitability in the future.

Since we began operations as a separate entity in 2004, we have not generated a profit for a full fiscal year and have generated significant net losses. As of October 25, 2009, prior to our emergence from reorganization proceedings, we had an accumulated deficit of \$964.8 million and negative stockholders equity. We may increase spending and we currently expect to incur higher expenses in each of the next several quarters to support increased research and development and sales and marketing efforts. These expenditures may not result in increased revenue or an increase in the number of customers immediately or at all. Because many of our expenses are fixed in the short term, or are incurred in advance of anticipated sales, we may not be able to decrease our expenses in a timely manner to offset any shortfall of sales.

We emerged from Chapter 11 reorganization proceedings; because our consolidated financial statements reflect fresh-start accounting adjustments, our future consolidated financial statements will not be comparable in many respects to our financial information from prior periods.

On June 12, 2009, we filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in order to obtain relief from our debt, which was \$845 million as of December 31, 2008. Our plan of reorganization became effective on November 9, 2009. In connection with our emergence from the reorganization proceedings, we implemented fresh-start accounting in accordance with ASC 852 effective from October 25, 2009, which had a material effect on our consolidated financial statements. Thus, our future consolidated financial statements will not be comparable in many respects to our consolidated financial statements for periods prior to our adoption of fresh-start accounting and prior to accounting for the effects of the reorganization proceedings. Our past financial difficulties and bankruptcy filing may have harmed, and may continue to have a negative effect on, our relationships with investors, customers and suppliers.

We operate in the highly cyclical semiconductor industry, which is subject to significant downturns that may negatively impact our results of operations.

The semiconductor industry is highly cyclical and is characterized by constant and rapid technological change and price erosion, evolving technical standards, short product life cycles (for semiconductors and for the end-user products in which they are used) and wide fluctuations in product supply and demand. From time to time, these and other factors, together with changes in general economic conditions, cause significant upturns and downturns in the industry in general and in our business in particular. Periods of industry downturns, including the recent economic downturn, have been characterized by diminished demand for end-user products, high inventory levels, underutilization of manufacturing capacity, changes in revenue mix and accelerated erosion of average selling prices. We have experienced these conditions in our business in the past and may experience renewed, and possibly more severe and prolonged, downturns in the future as a result of such cyclical changes. This may reduce our results of operations.

We base our planned operating expenses in part on our expectations of future revenue, and a significant portion of our expenses is relatively fixed in the short term. If revenue for a particular quarter is lower than we expect, we likely will be unable to proportionately reduce our operating expenses for that quarter, which would harm our operating results for that quarter.

If we fail to develop new products and process technologies or enhance our existing products and services in order to react to rapid technological change and market demands, our business will suffer.

Our industry is subject to constant and rapid technological change and product obsolescence as customers and competitors create new and innovative products and technologies. Products or technologies developed by other companies may render our products or technologies obsolete or noncompetitive, and we may not be able to access advanced process technologies, including smaller geometries, or to license or otherwise obtain essential intellectual property required by our customers.

We must develop new products and services and enhance our existing products and services to meet rapidly evolving customer requirements. We design products for customers who continually require higher performance and functionality at lower costs. We must, therefore, continue to enhance the performance and functionality of our products. The development process for these advancements is lengthy and requires us to accurately anticipate technological changes and market trends. Developing and enhancing these products is uncertain and can be time-consuming, costly and complex. If we do not continue to develop and maintain process technologies that are in demand by our semiconductor manufacturing services customers, we may be unable to maintain existing customers or attract new customers.

Customer and market requirements can change during the development process. There is a risk that these developments and enhancements will be late, fail to meet customer or market specifications or not be competitive with products or services from our competitors that offer comparable or superior performance and functionality. Any new products, such as our expanding line of power management solutions, or product or service enhancements, may not be accepted in new or existing markets. Our business will suffer if we fail to develop and introduce

new products and services or product and service enhancements on a timely and cost-effective basis.

We manufacture our products based on our estimates of customer demand, and if our estimates are incorrect our financial results could be negatively impacted.

We make significant decisions, including determining the levels of business that we will seek and accept, production schedules, component procurement commitments, personnel needs and other resource requirements based on our estimates of customer demand and expected demand for and success of their products. The short-term nature of commitments by many of our customers and the possibility of rapid changes in demand for their products reduces our ability to estimate accurately future customer demand for our products. On occasion, customers may require rapid increases in supply, which can challenge our production resources and reduce margins. We may not have sufficient capacity at any given time to meet our customers increased demand for our products. Conversely, downturns in the semiconductor industry have caused and may in the future cause our customers to reduce significantly the amount of products they order from us. Because many of our costs and operating expenses are relatively fixed, a reduction in customer demand would decrease our results of operations, including our gross profit.

Our customers may cancel their orders, reduce quantities or delay production, which would adversely affect our margins and results of operations.

We generally do not obtain firm, long-term purchase commitments from our customers. Customers may cancel their orders, reduce quantities or delay production for a number of reasons. Cancellations, reductions or delays by a significant customer or by a group of customers, which we have experienced as a result of periodic downturns in the semiconductor industry or failure to achieve design wins, have affected and may continue to affect our results of operations adversely. These risks are exacerbated because many of our products are customized, which hampers our ability to sell excess inventory to the general market. We may incur charges resulting from the write-off of obsolete inventory. In addition, while we do not obtain long-term purchase commitments, we generally agree to the pricing of a particular product over a set period of time. If we underestimate our costs when determining pricing, our margins and results of operations would be adversely affected.

We depend on high utilization of our manufacturing capacity, a reduction of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and the results of our operations.

An important factor in our success is the extent to which we are able to utilize the available capacity in our fabrication facilities. As many of our costs are fixed, a reduction in capacity utilization, as well as changes in other factors, such as reduced yield or unfavorable product mix, could reduce our profit margins and adversely affect our operating results. A number of factors and circumstances may reduce utilization rates, including periods of industry overcapacity, low levels of customer orders, operating inefficiencies, mechanical failures and disruption of operations due to expansion or relocation of operations, power interruptions and fire, flood or other natural disasters or calamities. The potential delays and costs resulting from these steps could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

A significant portion of our sales comes from a relatively limited number of customers, the loss of which would adversely affect our financial results.

Historically, we have relied on a limited number of customers for a substantial portion of our total revenue. If we were to lose key customers or if customers cease to place orders for our high-volume products or services, our financial results would be adversely affected. Net sales to our ten largest customers represented 61%, 63%, 66% and 69% of our net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2011, the year ended December 31, 2009, the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009, respectively. LG Display represented 14% and 16% of our net sales and a substantial portion of the net sales generated by our top ten customers for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010. Significant reductions in sales to any of these customers, especially our few largest customers, the loss of other major customers or a general curtailment in orders for our high-volume products or services within a short period of time would adversely affect our business

The average selling prices of our semiconductor products have at times declined rapidly and will likely do so in the future, which could harm our revenue and gross profit.

The semiconductor products we develop and sell are subject to rapid declines in average selling prices. From time to time, we have had to reduce our prices significantly to meet customer requirements, and we may be required to reduce our prices in the future. This would cause our gross profit to decrease. Our financial results will suffer if we are unable to offset any reductions in our average selling prices by increasing our sales volumes, reducing our costs or developing new or enhanced products on a timely basis with higher selling prices or gross profit.

Our industry is highly competitive and our ability to compete could be negatively impacted by a variety of factors.

The semiconductor industry is highly competitive and includes hundreds of companies, a number of which have achieved substantial market share both within our product categories and end markets. Current and prospective customers for our products and services evaluate our capabilities against the merits of our competitors. Some of our competitors are well established as independent companies and have substantially greater market share and manufacturing, financial, research and development and marketing resources than we do. We also compete with emerging companies that are attempting to sell their products in certain of our end markets and with the internal semiconductor design and manufacturing capabilities of many of our significant customers. We expect to experience continuing competitive pressures in our markets from existing competitors and new entrants.

Any consolidation among our competitors could enhance their product offerings and financial resources, further enhancing their competitive position. Our ability to compete will depend on a number of factors, including the following:

our ability to offer cost-effective and high quality products and services on a timely basis using our technologies;

our ability to accurately identify and respond to emerging technological trends and demand for product features and performance characteristics;

our ability to continue to rapidly introduce new products that are accepted by the market;

our ability to adopt or adapt to emerging industry standards;

the number and nature of our competitors and competitiveness of their products and services in a given market;

entrance of new competitors into our markets;

our ability to enter the highly competitive power management market; and

our ability to continue to offer in demand semiconductor manufacturing services at competitive prices. Many of these factors are outside of our control. In the future, our competitors may replace us as a supplier to our existing or potential customers, and our customers may satisfy more of their requirements internally. As a result, we may experience declining revenues and results of operations.

Changes in demand for consumer electronics in our end markets can impact our results of operations.

Demand for our products will depend in part on the demand for various consumer electronics products, in particular, mobile phones and multimedia devices, digital televisions, flat panel displays, mobile PCs and digital cameras, which in turn depends on general economic conditions and other factors beyond our control. If our customers fail to introduce new products that employ our products or component parts, demand for our products will suffer. To the extent that we cannot offset periods of reduced demand that may occur in these markets through greater penetration of these markets or reduction in our production and costs, our sales and gross profit may decline, which would negatively impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If we fail to achieve design wins for our semiconductor products, we may lose the opportunity for sales to customers for a significant period of time and be unable to recoup our investments in our products.

We expend considerable resources on winning competitive selection processes, known as design wins, to develop semiconductor products for use in our customers products. These selection processes are typically lengthy and can require us to incur significant design and development expenditures. We may not win the competitive selection process and may never generate any revenue despite incurring significant design and development expenditures. Once a customer designs a semiconductor into a product, that customer is likely to continue to use the same semiconductor or enhanced versions of that semiconductor from the same supplier across a number of similar and successor products for a lengthy period of time due to the significant costs associated with qualifying a new supplier and potentially redesigning the product to incorporate a different semiconductor. If we fail to achieve an initial design win in a customer s qualification process, we may lose the opportunity for significant sales to that customer for a number of products and for a lengthy period of time. This may cause us to be unable to recoup our investments in our semiconductor products, which would harm our business.

We have lengthy and expensive design-to-mass production and manufacturing process development cycles that may cause us to incur significant expenses without realizing meaningful sales, the occurrence of which would harm our business.

The cycle time from the design stage to mass production for some of our products is long and requires the investment of significant resources with many potential customers without any guarantee of sales. Our design-to-mass production cycle typically begins with a three-to-twelve month semiconductor development stage and test period followed by a three-to-twelve month end-product qualification period by our customers. The fairly lengthy front end of our sales cycle creates a risk that we may incur significant expenses but may be unable to realize meaningful sales. Moreover, prior to mass production, customers may decide to cancel their products or change production specifications, resulting in sudden changes in our product specifications, increasing our production time and costs. Failure to meet such specifications may also delay the launch of our products or result in lost sales.

In addition, we collaborate and jointly develop certain process technologies and manufacturing process flows custom to certain of our semiconductor manufacturing services customers. To the extent that our semiconductor manufacturing services customers fail to achieve market acceptance for their products, we may be unable to recoup our engineering resources commitment and our investment in process technology development, which would harm our business.

Research and development investments may not yield profitable and commercially viable product and service offerings and thus will not necessarily result in increases in revenues for us.

We invest significant resources in our research and development. Our research and development efforts, however, may not yield commercially viable products or enhance our semiconductor manufacturing services offerings. During each stage of research and development there is a substantial risk that we will have to abandon a potential product or service offering that is no longer marketable and in which we have invested significant resources. In the event we are able to develop viable new products or service offerings, a significant amount of time will have elapsed between our investment in the necessary research and development effort and the receipt of any related revenues.

We face numerous challenges relating to executing our growth strategy, and if we are unable to execute our growth strategy effectively, our business and financial results could be materially and adversely affected.

Our growth strategy is to leverage our advanced analog and mixed-signal technology platform, continue to innovate and deliver new products and services, increase business with existing customers, broaden our customer base, aggressively grow our power business, drive execution excellence and focus on specialty process technologies. As part of our growth strategy, we began marketing a line of power management semiconductor products in 2008 and expect to introduce other new products and services in the future. If we are unable to execute our growth strategy effectively, we may not be able to take advantage of market opportunities, execute our business plan or respond to competitive pressures. Moreover, if our allocation of resources does not correspond with future demand for particular products, we could miss market opportunities and our business and financial results could be materially and adversely affected.

We are subject to risks associated with currency fluctuations, and changes in the exchange rates of applicable currencies could impact our results of operations.

Historically, a portion of our revenues and greater than the majority of our operating expenses and costs of sales have been denominated in non-U.S. currencies, principally the Korean won, and we expect that this will remain true in the future. Because we report our results of operations in U.S. dollars, changes in the exchange rate between the Korean won and the U.S. dollar could materially impact our reported results of operations and distort period to period comparisons. In particular, because of the difference in the amount of our consolidated revenues and expenses that are in U.S. dollars relative to Korean won, a depreciation in the U.S. dollar relative to the Korean won could result in a material increase in reported costs relative to revenues, and therefore could cause our profit margins and operating income to appear to decline materially, particularly relative to prior periods. The converse is true if the U.S. dollar were to appreciate relative to the Korean won. Foreign currency fluctuations had an unfavorable impact on our reported profit margins and operating income from operations, it could be more difficult to detect underlying trends in our business and results of operations. In addition, to the extent that fluctuations in currency exchange rates cause our results of operations to differ from our expectations or the expectations of our investors, the trading price of our stock or the price of our outstanding senior notes could be adversely affected.

From time to time, we may engage in exchange rate hedging activities in an effort to mitigate the impact of exchange rate fluctuations. Our Korean subsidiary enters into foreign currency option, forward, and zero cost collar contracts in order to mitigate a portion of the impact of U.S. dollar-Korean won exchange rate fluctuations on our operating results. These foreign currency option, forward, and zero cost collar contracts typically require us to sell specified notional amounts in U.S. dollars and provide us the option to sell specified notional amounts in U.S. dollars during successive months to our counterparty in exchange for Korean won at specified exchange rates. Obligations under these foreign currency option, forward and zero cost collar contracts must be cash collateralized if our exposure exceeds certain specified thresholds. These option, forward and zero cost collar contracts may be terminated by the counterparty in a number of circumstances, including if our long-term debt rating falls below B-/B3 or if our total cash and cash equivalents is less than \$30 million at the end of a fiscal quarter. We cannot assure you that any hedging technique we implement will be effective. If our hedging activities are not effective, changes in currency exchange rates may have a more significant impact on our results of operations. See Part I: Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Factors Affecting our Results of Operations for further details.

The global recession and related financial crisis negatively affected our business. Poor economic conditions may negatively affect our future business, results of operations and financial condition.

The global recession and related financial crisis led to slower economic activity, increased unemployment, concerns about inflation and energy costs, decreased business and consumer confidence, reduced corporate profits and capital spending, adverse business conditions and lower levels of liquidity in many financial markets. Consumers and businesses deferred purchases in response to tighter credit and negative financial news, which has in turn negatively affected product demand and other related matters. The global recession led to reduced customer spending in the semiconductor market and in our target markets, made it difficult for our customers, our vendors and us to accurately forecast and plan future business activities, and caused U.S. and foreign businesses to slow spending on our products. Although recently there have been indications of improved economic conditions generally and in the semiconductor industry specifically, we cannot assure you of the extent to which such conditions will continue to improve or whether the improvement will be sustainable. If the global economic recovery is not sustained or the global economy experiences another recession, such adverse economic conditions could lead to the insolvency of key suppliers resulting in product delays, limit the ability of customers to obtain credit to finance purchases of our products, lead to customer insolvencies, and also result in counterparty failures that may negatively impact our treasury operations. As a result, our business, financial condition and result of operations could be materially adversely affected in future periods as a result of economic downturns.

The loss of our key employees would materially adversely affect our business, and we may not be able to attract or retain the technical or management employees necessary to compete in our industry.

Our key executives have substantial experience and have made significant contributions to our business, and our continued success is dependent upon the retention of our key management executives, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chairman, Sang Park. The loss of such key personnel would have a material adverse effect on our business. In addition, our future success depends on our ability to attract and retain skilled technical and managerial personnel. We do not know whether we will be able to retain all of these employees as we continue to pursue our business strategy. The loss of the services of key employees, especially our key design and technical personnel, or our inability to retain, attract and motivate qualified design and technical personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. This could hinder our research and product development programs or otherwise have a material adverse effect on our business.

If we encounter future labor problems, we may fail to deliver our products and services in a timely manner, which could adversely affect our revenues and profitability.

As of March 31, 2011, 2,195 employees, or approximately 64.6% of our employees, were represented by the MagnaChip Semiconductor Labor Union, which is a member of the Federation of Korean Metal Workers Trade Unions. We can offer no assurance that issues with the labor union and other employees will be resolved favorably for us in the future, that we will not experience work stoppages or other labor problems in future years or that we will not incur significant expenses related to such issues.

We may incur costs to engage in future business combinations or strategic investments, and we may not realize the anticipated benefits of those transactions.

As part of our business strategy, we may seek to enter into business combinations, investments, joint ventures and other strategic alliances with other companies in order to maintain and grow revenue and market presence as well as to provide us with access to technology, products and services. Any such transaction would be accompanied by risks that may harm our business, such as difficulties in assimilating the operations, personnel and products of an acquired business or in realizing the projected benefits, disruption of our ongoing business, potential increases in our indebtedness and contingent liabilities and charges if the acquired company or assets are later determined to be worth less than the amount paid for them in an earlier original acquisition. In addition, our indebtedness may restrict us from making acquisitions that we may otherwise wish to pursue.

The failure to achieve acceptable manufacturing yields could adversely affect our business.

The manufacture of semiconductors involves highly complex processes that require precision, a highly regulated and sterile environment and specialized equipment. Defects or other difficulties in the manufacturing process can prevent us from achieving acceptable yields in the manufacture of our products or those of our semiconductor manufacturing services customers, which could lead to higher costs, a loss of customers or delay in market acceptance of our products. Slight impurities or defects in the photomasks used to print circuits on a wafer or other factors can cause significant difficulties, particularly in connection with the production of a new product, the adoption of a new manufacturing process or any expansion of our manufacturing capacity and related transitions. We may also experience manufacturing problems in achieving acceptable yields as a result of, among other things, transferring production to other facilities, upgrading or expanding existing facilities or changing our process technologies. Yields below our target levels can negatively impact our gross profit and may cause us to eliminate underperforming products.

We rely on a number of independent subcontractors and the failure of any of these independent subcontractors to perform as required could adversely affect our operating results.

A substantial portion of our net sales are derived from semiconductor devices assembled in packages or on film. The packaging and testing of semiconductors require technical skill and specialized equipment. For the portion of packaging and testing that we outsource, we use subcontractors located in Korea, China, Taiwan, Malaysia and Thailand. We rely on these subcontractors to package and test our devices with acceptable quality and yield levels. We could be adversely affected by political disorders, labor disruptions, and natural disasters where our subcontractors are located. If our semiconductor packagers and test service providers experience problems in packaging and testing our semiconductor devices, experience prolonged quality or yield problems or decrease the capacity available to us, our operating results could be adversely affected.

We depend on successful parts and materials procurement for our manufacturing processes, and a shortage or increase in the price of these materials could interrupt our operations and result in a decline of revenues and results of operations.

We procure materials and electronic and mechanical components from international sources and original equipment manufacturers. We use a wide range of parts and materials in the production of our semiconductors, including silicon, processing chemicals, processing gases, precious metals and electronic and mechanical components, some of which, such as silicon wafers, are specialized raw materials that are generally only available from a limited number of suppliers. We do not have long-term agreements providing for all of these materials, thus, if demand increases or supply decreases for any reason, the costs of our raw materials could significantly increase. For example, worldwide supplies of silicon wafers, an important raw material for the semiconductors we manufacture, were constrained in recent years due to an increased demand for silicon. Silicon is also a key raw material for solar cells, the demand for which has increased in recent years. Although supplies of silicon have recently improved due to the entrance of additional suppliers and capacity expansion by existing suppliers, we cannot assure you that such supply increases will match demand increases. If we cannot obtain adequate materials in a timely manner or on favorable terms for the manufacture of our products, revenues and results of operations will decline.

We face warranty claims, product return, litigation and liability risks and the risk of negative publicity if our products fail.

Our semiconductors are incorporated into a number of end products, and our business is exposed to product return, warranty and product liability risk and the risk of negative publicity if our products fail. Although we maintain insurance for product liability claims, the amount and scope of our insurance may not be adequate to cover a product liability claim that is asserted against us. In addition, product liability insurance could become more expensive and difficult to maintain and, in the future, may not be available on commercially reasonable terms, or at all.

In addition, we are exposed to the product liability risk and the risk of negative publicity affecting our customers. Our sales may decline if any of our customers are sued on a product liability claim. We also may suffer a decline in sales from the negative publicity associated with such a lawsuit or with adverse public perceptions in general regarding our customers products. Further, if our products are delivered with impurities or defects, we could incur additional development, repair or replacement costs, and our credibility and the market s acceptance of our products could be harmed.

We could suffer adverse tax and other financial consequences as a result of changes in, or differences in the interpretation of, applicable tax laws.

Our company organizational structure was created in part based on certain interpretations and conclusions regarding various tax laws, including withholding tax and other tax laws of applicable jurisdictions. Our Korean subsidiary, MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd., or MagnaChip Korea, was granted a limited tax holiday under Korean law in October 2004. This grant provided for certain tax exemptions for corporate taxes and withholding taxes until December 31, 2008, and for acquisition taxes, property and land use taxes and certain other taxes until December 31, 2013. Our interpretations and conclusions regarding tax laws, however, are not binding on any taxing authority and, if these interpretations and conclusions are incorrect, if our business were to be operated in a way that rendered us ineligible for tax exemptions or caused us to become subject to incremental tax, or if the authorities were to change, modify, or have a different interpretation of the relevant tax laws, we could suffer adverse tax and other financial consequences and the anticipated benefits of our organizational structure could be materially impaired.

Our ability to compete successfully and achieve future growth will depend, in part, on our ability to protect our proprietary technology and know-how, as well as our ability to operate without infringing the proprietary rights of others.

We seek to protect our proprietary technologies and know-how through the use of patents, trade secrets, confidentiality agreements and other security measures. The process of seeking patent protection takes a long time and is expensive. There can be no assurance that patents will issue from pending or future applications or that, if patents issue, they will not be challenged, invalidated or circumvented, or that the rights granted under the patents will provide us with meaningful protection or any commercial advantage. Some of our technologies are not covered by any patent or patent application. The confidentiality agreements on which we rely to protect these technologies may be breached and may not be adequate to protect our proprietary technologies. We cannot assure you that other countries in which we market our services will protect our intellectual property rights to the same extent as the United States. In particular, the validity, enforceability and scope of protection of intellectual property in China, where we derive a significant portion of our net sales, and certain other countries where we derive net sales, are uncertain and still evolving and historically have not protected and may not protect in the future, intellectual property rights to the same extent as do the laws and enforcement procedures in the United States.

Our ability to compete successfully depends on our ability to operate without infringing the proprietary rights of others. We have no means of knowing what patent applications have been filed in the United States until they are published. In addition, the semiconductor industry is characterized by frequent litigation regarding patent and other intellectual property rights. We may need to file lawsuits to enforce our patents or intellectual property rights, and we may need to defend against claimed infringement of the rights of others. Any litigation could result in substantial costs to us and divert our resources. Despite our efforts in bringing or defending lawsuits, we may not be able to prevent third parties from infringing upon or misappropriating our intellectual property. In the event of an adverse outcome in any such litigation, we may be required to:

pay substantial damages or indemnify customers or licensees for damages they may suffer if the products they purchase from us or the technology they license from us violate the intellectual property rights of others;

stop our manufacture, use, sale or importation of infringing products; expend significant resources to develop or acquire non-infringing technologies;

discontinue processes; or

obtain licenses to the intellectual property we are found to have infringed.

There can be no assurance that we would be successful in such development or acquisition or that such licenses would be available under reasonable terms, or at all. The termination of key third party licenses relating to the use of intellectual property in our products and our design processes, such as our agreements with Silicon Works Co., Ltd. and ARM Limited, would materially and adversely affect our business.

Our competitors may develop, patent or gain access to know-how and technology similar to our own. In addition, many of our patents are subject to cross licenses, several of which are with our competitors. The noncompetition arrangement agreed to by Hynix in connection with the Original Acquisition expired on October 1, 2007. Under that arrangement, Hynix retained a perpetual license to use the intellectual property that

we acquired from Hynix in the Original Acquisition. Now that these noncompetition restrictions have expired, Hynix and its subsidiaries are free to develop products that may incorporate or embody intellectual property developed by us prior to October 2004.

Our expenses could increase if Hynix were unwilling or unable to provide certain services related to our shared facilities with Hynix, and if Hynix were to become insolvent, we could lose certain of our leases.

We are party to a land lease and easement agreement with Hynix pursuant to which we lease the land for our facilities in Cheongju, Korea. If this agreement were terminated for any reason, including the insolvency of Hynix, we would have to renegotiate new lease terms with Hynix or the new owner of the land. We cannot assure you that we could negotiate new lease terms on favorable terms or at all. Because we share certain facilities with Hynix, several services that are essential to our business are provided to us by or through Hynix under our general service supply agreement with Hynix. These services include electricity, bulk gases and de-ionized water, campus facilities and housing, wastewater and sewage management, environmental safety and certain utilities and infrastructure support services. If any of our agreements with Hynix were terminated or if Hynix were unwilling or unable to fulfill its obligations to us under the terms of these agreements, we would have to procure these services on our own and as a result may experience an increase in our expenses.

We are subject to many environmental laws and regulations that could affect our operations or result in significant expenses.

We are subject to requirements of environmental, health and safety laws and regulations in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate, governing air emissions, wastewater discharges, the generation, use, handling, storage and disposal of, and exposure to, hazardous substances (including asbestos) and wastes, soil and groundwater contamination and employee health and safety. These laws and regulations are complex, change frequently and have tended to become more stringent over time. There can be no assurance that we have been, or will be, in compliance with all such laws and regulations or that we will not incur material costs or liabilities in connection with these laws and regulations in the future. The adoption of new environmental, health and safety laws, the failure to comply with new or existing laws, or issues relating to hazardous substances could subject us to material liability (including substantial fines or penalties), impose the need for additional capital equipment or other process requirements upon us, curtail our operations or restrict our ability to expand operations.

Our Korean subsidiary has been designated as a regulated business under Korean environmental law, and such designation could have an adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

In April 2010, the Korean government s Enforcement Decree to the Framework Act on Low Carbon Green Growth, or the Enforcement Decree, became effective. Businesses that exceed 25,000 tons of greenhouse gas emissions and 100 terajoules of energy consumption for the prior three years will be subject to regulation and will be required to submit plans to reduce greenhouse emissions and energy consumption as well as performance reports and will be subject to government requirements to take further action. Our Korean subsidiary meets the thresholds under the Enforcement Decree and was designated as a regulated business on September 28, 2010. Our Korean subsidiary will have until September 2011 and December 2011 to cooperate and negotiate with Korean governmental authorities to set reduction targets and draft an implementation plan, respectively. If the ultimate implementation plan agreed upon with Korean governmental authorities requires us to reduce our emissions or energy consumption, we could be subject to additional and potentially costly compliance or remediation expenses, including potentially the installation of equipment and changes in the type of materials we use in manufacturing, that could adversely affect our financial position and results of operations.

We will likely need additional capital in the future, and such capital may not be available on acceptable terms or at all, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We will likely require more capital in the future from equity or debt financings to fund operating expenses, such as research and development costs, finance investments in equipment and infrastructure, acquire complementary businesses and technologies, and respond to competitive pressures and potential strategic opportunities. If we raise additional funds through further issuances of equity or other securities convertible into equity, our existing stockholders could suffer significant dilution, and any new shares we issue could have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of the holders of our common stock, including the shares of common stock sold in our initial public offering. In addition, additional capital may not be available when needed or, if available, may not be available on favorable terms. In addition, our indebtedness limits our ability to incur additional indebtedness under certain circumstances. If we are unable to obtain capital on favorable terms, or if we are unable to obtain capital at all, we may have to reduce our operations or forego opportunities, and this may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our business depends on international customers, suppliers and operations in Asia, and as a result we are subject to regulatory, operational, financial and political risks, which could adversely affect our financial results.

We rely on, and expect to continue to rely on, suppliers, subcontractors and operations located primarily in Asia. As a result, we face risks inherent in international operations, such as unexpected changes in regulatory requirements, tariffs and other market barriers, political, social and economic instability, adverse tax consequences, war, civil disturbances and acts of terrorism, difficulties in accounts receivable collection, extended payment terms and differing labor standards, enforcement of contractual obligations and protection of intellectual property. These risks may lead to increased costs or decreased revenue growth, or both. Although we do not derive any revenue from, nor sell any products in, North Korea, any future increase in tensions between South Korea and North Korea that may occur, such as an outbreak of military hostilities, would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

You may not be able to bring an action or enforce any judgment obtained in United States courts, or bring an action in any other jurisdiction, against us or our subsidiaries or our directors, officers or independent auditors that are organized or residing in jurisdictions other than the United States.

Most of our subsidiaries are organized or incorporated outside of the United States and some of our directors and executive officers as well as our independent auditors are organized or reside outside of the United States. Most of our and our subsidiaries assets are located outside of the United States and in particular, in Korea. Accordingly, any judgment obtained in the United States against us or our subsidiaries may not be collectible in the United States. As a result, it may not be possible for you to effect service of process within the United States upon these persons or to enforce against them or us court judgments obtained in the United States that are predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the federal securities laws of the United States or of the securities laws of any state of the United States. In particular, there is doubt as to the enforceability in Korea or any other jurisdictions outside the United States, either in original actions or in actions for enforcement of judgments of United States.

Our level of indebtedness is substantial, and we may not be able to generate sufficient cash to service all of our indebtedness and may be forced to take other actions to satisfy our obligations under our indebtedness, which may not be successful. A decline in the ratings of our existing or future indebtedness may make the terms of any new indebtedness we choose to incur more costly.

As of March 31, 2011, our total indebtedness was \$247.0 million. Our substantial debt could have important consequences, including:

increasing our vulnerability to general economic and industry conditions;

requiring a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness, therefore reducing our ability to use our cash flow to fund our operations, capital expenditures and future business opportunities;

limiting our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements, acquisitions and general corporate or other purposes; and

limiting our ability to adjust to changing market conditions and placing us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors who have less debt.

Our ability to make scheduled payments on or to refinance our debt obligations depends on our financial condition and operating performance, which is subject to prevailing economic and competitive conditions and to certain financial, business and other factors beyond our control. We cannot assure you that we will generate a level of cash flows from operating activities sufficient to permit us to pay the principal, premium, if any, and interest on our indebtedness.

The credit ratings assigned to our debt reflect each rating agency s opinion of our ability to make payments on the debt obligations when such payments are due. The current rating of our senior notes is B2 by Moody s and B+ by Standard and Poors, both of which are below investment grade. A rating may be subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating agency. We may experience downgrades in our debt

ratings in the future. Any lowering of our debt ratings would adversely impact our ability to raise additional debt financing and increase the cost of any such financing that is obtained. In the event any ratings downgrades are significant, we may choose not to incur new debt or refinance existing debt if we are unable to incur or refinance such debt at favorable interest rates or on favorable terms.

If our cash flows and capital resources are insufficient to fund our debt service obligations or if we are unable to refinance existing indebtedness on favorable terms, we may be forced to reduce or delay capital expenditures, sell assets, seek additional capital or restructure or refinance our indebtedness. These alternative measures may not be successful and may not permit us to meet our scheduled debt service obligations. In the absence of such operating results and resources, we could face substantial liquidity problems and might be required to dispose of material assets or operations to meet our debt service and other obligations. The indentures governing our senior notes restrict our ability to dispose of assets and use the proceeds from the disposition. We may not be able to consummate those dispositions or be able to obtain the proceeds which we could realize from them and these proceeds may not be adequate to meet any debt service obligations then due.

We are a holding company and will depend on the business of our subsidiaries to satisfy our obligations under our outstanding senior notes and other obligations.

Each of MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation, MagnaChip Semiconductor S.A. and MagnaChip Semiconductor B.V. is a holding company with no independent operations of its own. Our subsidiaries, including our principal manufacturing subsidiary, MagnaChip Korea, own all of our operating businesses. Our subsidiaries will conduct substantially all of the operations necessary to fund payments on our outstanding senior notes, other debt and any other obligations. Our ability to make payments on the senior notes and our other obligations will depend on our subsidiaries cash flow and their payment of funds to us. Our subsidiaries ability to make payments to us will depend on:

their earnings;

covenants contained in our debt agreements (including the indenture governing the senior notes) and the debt agreements of our subsidiaries;

covenants contained in other agreements to which we or our subsidiaries are or may become subject;

business and tax considerations; and

applicable law, including any restrictions under Korean law that may be imposed on MagnaChip Korea that would restrict its ability to make payments on intercompany loans from MagnaChip Semiconductor B.V.

We cannot assure you that the operating results of our subsidiaries at any given time will be sufficient to make distributions or other payments to us or that any distributions or payments will be adequate to pay principal and interest, and any other payments, on our outstanding senior notes, other debt or any other obligations when due, and the failure to make such payments could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Restrictions on MagnaChip Korea s ability to make payments on its intercompany loans from MagnaChip Semiconductor B.V., or on its ability to pay dividends in excess of statutory limitations, could hinder our ability to make payments on our 10.500% senior notes due 2018.

We anticipate that payments under our 10.500% senior notes due 2018 will be funded in part by MagnaChip Korea s repayment of its existing loans from MagnaChip Semiconductor B.V., with MagnaChip Semiconductor B.V. using such repayments in turn to repay the loans owed to MagnaChip Semiconductor S.A. Under the Korean Foreign Exchange Transaction Act, the minister of the Ministry of Strategy and Finance is authorized to temporarily suspend payments in foreign currencies in the event of natural calamities, wars, conflicts of arms, grave and sudden changes in domestic or foreign economic conditions, or other similar situations. In addition, under the Korean Commercial Code, a Korean company is permitted to make a dividend payment in accordance with the provisions in its articles of incorporation out of retained earnings (as determined in accordance with the Korean Commercial Code and the generally accepted accounting principles in Korea), but no more than twice a year. If MagnaChip Korea is prevented from making payments under its intercompany loans due to restrictions on payments of foreign currency or if it has an insufficient amount of retained earnings under the Korean Commercial Code to make dividend payments to MagnaChip Semiconductor B.V., we may not have sufficient funds to make payments on the senior notes.

The indenture governing the senior notes contains, and our future debt agreements will likely contain, covenants that significantly restrict our operations.

Table of Contents

The indenture governing our outstanding senior notes contains, and our future debt agreements will likely contain, numerous covenants imposing financial and operating restrictions on our business. These restrictions may affect our ability to operate our business, may limit our ability to take advantage of potential business opportunities as they arise and may adversely affect the conduct of our current business, including by restricting our ability to finance future operations and capital needs and by limiting our ability to engage in other business activities. These covenants will place restrictions on our ability and the ability of our operating subsidiaries to, among other things:

pay dividends, redeem shares or make other distributions with respect to equity interests, make payments with respect to subordinated indebtedness or other restricted payments;

incur debt or issue preferred stock;

create liens;

make certain investments;

consolidate, merge or dispose of all or substantially all of our assets, taken as a whole;

sell or otherwise transfer or dispose of assets, including equity interests of our subsidiaries;

enter into sale-leaseback transactions;

enter into transactions with our affiliates; and

designate our subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries.

In addition, our future debt agreements will likely contain financial ratios and other financial conditions tests. Our ability to meet those financial ratios and tests could be affected by events beyond our control, and we cannot assure you that we will meet those ratios and tests. A breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under such debt agreements. Upon the occurrence of an event of default under such debt agreements, our lenders under such agreements could elect to declare all amounts outstanding under such debt agreements to be immediately due and payable and terminate all commitments to extend further credit.

Investor confidence may be adversely impacted if we fail to achieve and maintain effective internal control over financial reporting or disclosure controls and procedures or are unable to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and as a result, the value of our securities could decline.

Beginning with our fiscal year ending December 31, 2011, we are subject to rules adopted by the Securities Exchange Commission, or SEC, pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or Sarbanes-Oxley Act, which requires us to include in our Annual Report on Form 10-K our management s report on, and assessment of the effectiveness of, our internal control over financial reporting. We are also subject to the requirement that our independent registered public accounting firm attest to and report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

In connection with audits of our consolidated financial statements for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and two-month period ended December 31, 2009, our independent registered public accounting firm reported two control deficiencies which represented a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting. The two control deficiencies which represented a material weakness were that we did not have a sufficient number of financial personnel with the requisite financial accounting experience and that our controls over non-routine transactions were not effective to ensure that accounting considerations are identified and appropriately recorded. Based upon the remediation actions taken by us, our management has concluded that these two control deficiencies no longer exist as of December 31, 2010.

We cannot assure you that we will not discover additional material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting in the future. If we fail to maintain the adequacy of our internal control over financial reporting, there is a risk that we will have additional material weaknesses in the future. Moreover, effective internal controls are necessary for us to produce reliable financial reports and are important to helping prevent financial fraud. Any of these possible outcomes could result in an adverse reaction in the financial marketplace due to a loss of investor confidence in the reliability of our consolidated financial statements and could result in investigations or sanctions by the SEC, the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, or other regulatory authorities or in stockholder litigation. Any of these factors ultimately could harm our business and could negatively impact the market price of our securities. Ineffective control over financial reporting could also cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, which could adversely affect the trading price of our common stock.

We are also required to periodically assess and report on the adequacy of our disclosure controls and procedures. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, with the participation of it Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. However, our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. See Part I: Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

We may need to incur impairment and other restructuring charges, which could materially affect our results of operations and financial conditions.

During industry downturns and for other reasons, we may need to record impairment or restructuring charges. From April 4, 2005 through March 31, 2011, we recognized aggregate restructuring and impairment charges of \$65.9 million, which consisted of \$60.2 million of impairment charges and \$5.6 million of restructuring charges. In the future, we may need to record additional impairment charges or to further restructure our business or incur additional restructuring charges, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

We are subject to litigation risks, which may be costly to defend and the outcome of which is uncertain.

All industries, including the semiconductor industry, are subject to legal claims, with and without merit, that may be particularly costly and which may divert the attention of our management and our resources in general. We are involved in a variety of legal matters, most of which we consider routine matters that arise in the normal course of business. These routine matters typically fall into broad categories such as those involving customers, employment and labor and intellectual property. Even if the final outcome of these legal claims does not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows, defense and settlement costs can be substantial. Due to the inherent uncertainty of the litigation process, the resolution of any particular legal claim or proceeding could have a material effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The price of our common stock may be volatile and you may lose all or a part of your investment.

Prior to our initial public offering, there has not been a public market for our common stock. Even though shares of our common stock are quoted on the NYSE, an active trading market for our common stock may not develop. You may not be able to sell your shares quickly or at the current market price if trading in our common stock is not active.

In addition, the trading price of our shares of common stock might be subject to wide fluctuations. Factors, some of which are beyond our control, that could affect the trading price of our shares of common stock may include:

actual or anticipated variations in our results of operations from quarter to quarter or year to year;

announcements by us or our competitors of significant agreements, technological innovations or strategic alliances;

changes in recommendations or estimates by any securities analysts who follow our securities;

addition or loss of significant customers;

recruitment or departure of key personnel;

changes in economic performance or market valuations of competing companies in our industry;

price and volume fluctuations in the overall stock market;

market conditions in our industry, end markets and the economy as a whole;

subsequent sales of stock and other financings;

litigation, legislation, regulation or technological developments that adversely affect our business; and

the expiration of contractual lock-up agreements with our executive officers, directors and greater than 1% stockholders. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a public company s securities, securities class action litigation often has been instituted against the public company. Regardless of its outcome, this type of litigation could result in substantial costs to us and a likely diversion of our management s attention. You may not receive a positive return on your investment when you sell your shares, and you could lose some or the entire amount of your investment.

Control by principal stockholders could adversely affect our other stockholders.

Based upon the number of shares of common stock outstanding as of March 31, 2011 and with respect to greater than 5% stockholders, publicly available information or information provided to us by them, our executive officers, directors and greater than 5% stockholders collectively beneficially owned approximately 63.6% of our common stock, excluding shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of outstanding options and warrants, and 64.4% of our common stock, including shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of outstanding options and warrants that are exercisable within sixty days of March 31, 2011. In addition, Avenue currently has three designees serving as members of our seven-member board of directors. Therefore, Avenue will continue to have significant influence over our affairs for the foreseeable future, including influence over the election of directors and significant corporate transactions, such as a merger or other sale of our company or our assets. In this quarterly report, we refer to funds affiliated with Avenue Capital Management II, L.P. collectively as Avenue.

Our concentration of ownership will limit the ability of other stockholders to influence corporate matters and, as a result, we may take actions that our non-sponsor stockholders do not view as beneficial. For example, our concentration of ownership could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control or otherwise discouraging a potential acquirer from attempting to obtain control of us, which in turn could cause the market price of our common stock to decline or prevent our stockholders from realizing a premium over the market price for their shares of our common stock.

Under our certificate of incorporation, our non-employee directors and non-employee holders of five percent or more of our outstanding common stock do not have a duty to refrain from engaging in a corporate opportunity in the same or similar activities or lines of business as those engaged in by us, our subsidiaries and other related parties. Also, we have renounced any interest or expectancy in such business opportunities even if the opportunity is one that we might reasonably have pursued or had the ability or desire to pursue if granted an opportunity to do so.

We are controlled by Avenue whose interests in our business may conflict with yours, and we are a controlled company within the meaning of NYSE rules.

As of March 31, 2011, Avenue beneficially owned approximately 20,789,539 shares, or 52.1%, of our outstanding common stock. Accordingly, Avenue will be able to control most matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors and approval of significant corporate transactions, including mergers and sales of substantially all of our assets. Because of the equity ownership of Avenue, we will be considered a controlled company for purposes of the NYSE listing requirements. As such, we will be exempt from the NYSE corporate governance requirements that our board of directors meet the standards of independence established by those corporate governance requirements and exempt from the requirements that we have separate Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees made up entirely of directors who meet such independence standards. Although we do not intend to rely upon the exemption available for controlled companies, we may choose to utilize the exemption at any time that we remain a controlled company. The NYSE independence standards are intended to ensure that directors who meet the independence standards are free of any conflicting interest with management that could influence their actions as directors. It is possible that the interests of Avenue may in some circumstances conflict with our interests and the interests of our other stockholders

The future sale of significant amounts of our common stock may negatively affect our stock price, even if our business is doing well.

Sales of substantial amounts of shares of our common stock in the public market, or the prospect of such sales, could adversely affect the market price of our common stock. As of March 31, 2011, we had 39,356,749 shares of common stock outstanding. All of the shares outstanding prior to our initial public offering are subject to lock-up agreements under which the holders of such shares have agreed not to sell or otherwise dispose of any of their shares for a period of 180 days after the date of the completion of the initial public offering without the prior written consent of Barclays Capital Inc. and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. (or other agreements which impose similar restrictions); provided, that these agreements do not restrict the ability of the stockholders party to the registration rights agreement to cause a resale registration statement to be filed in accordance with their demand registration rights. After the 180-day period, all currently outstanding shares will be eligible for sale from time to time in the future under Rule 144, Rule 701 or Section 4(1) of the Securities Act with respect to shares initially issued under Section 1145 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code and not held by our affiliates.

Barclays Capital Inc. and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. can together waive the restrictions of the lock-up agreements at an earlier time without prior notice or announcement and allow stockholders to sell their shares. As restrictions on resale end, the market price of our common stock could drop significantly if the holders of the restricted shares sell such restricted shares or are perceived by the market as intending to sell such restricted shares.

Provisions in our charter documents and Delaware Law may make it difficult for a third party to acquire us and could depress the price of our common stock.

Provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change of control or changes in our management. Among other things, our certificate of incorporation and bylaws:

authorize our board of directors to issue, without stockholder approval, preferred stock with such terms as the board of directors may determine;

divide our board of directors into three classes so that only approximately one-third of the total number of directors is elected each year;

permit directors to be removed only for cause by a majority vote;

prohibit action by written consent of our stockholders;

prohibit any person other than our board of directors, the chairman of our board of directors, our Chief Executive Officer or holders of at least 25% of the voting power of all then outstanding shares of capital stock of the corporation entitled to vote generally in the election of directors to call a special meeting of our stockholders; and

specify advance notice requirements for stockholder proposals and director nominations. In addition we are subject to the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, or DGCL, regulating corporate takeovers and which has an anti-takeover effect with respect to transactions not approved in advance by our board of directors, including discouraging takeover attempts that might result in a premium over the market price for shares of our common stock. In general, those provisions prohibit a Delaware corporation from engaging in any business combination with any interested stockholder for a period of three years following the date that the stockholder became an interested stockholder, unless:

the transaction is approved by the board of directors before the date the interested stockholder attained that status;

upon consummation of the transaction which resulted in the stockholder becoming an interested stockholder, the interested stockholder owned at least 85% of the voting stock of the corporation outstanding at the time the transaction commenced; or

on or after such date, the business combination is approved by the board of directors and authorized at a meeting of stockholders, and not by written consent, by at least two-thirds of the outstanding voting stock that is not owned by the interested stockholder. In general, Section 203 defines a business combination to include the following:

any merger or consolidation involving the corporation and the interested stockholder;

any sale, transfer, pledge or other disposition of 10% or more of the assets of the corporation involving the interested stockholder;

subject to certain exceptions, any transaction that results in the issuance or transfer by the corporation of any stock of the corporation to the interested stockholder;

any transaction involving the corporation that has the effect of increasing the proportionate share of the stock of any class or series of the corporation beneficially owned by the interested stockholder; or

the receipt by the interested stockholder of the benefit of any loans, advances, guarantees, pledges or other financial benefits provided by or through the corporation.

In general, Section 203 defines an interested stockholder as any entity or person beneficially owning 15% or more of the outstanding voting stock of the corporation and any entity or person affiliated with or controlling or controlled by any such entity or person.

A Delaware corporation may opt out of this provision by express provision in its original certificate of incorporation or by amendment to its certificate of incorporation or bylaws approved by its stockholders. However, we have not opted out of, and do not currently intend to opt out of, this provision.

The U.S. federal income tax consequences of the cancellation of the depositary shares are not specifically addressed by applicable law.

Applicable law does not specifically address, under circumstances comparable to ours, the U.S. federal income tax consequences of cancellation of the depositary shares, and the issuance of a credit for the number of shares of common stock equal to the number of cancelled depositary shares. Further, we have not, and will not, obtain a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, with respect to the U.S. federal income tax consequences of the cancellation of the depositary shares and issuance of a credit for common stock. If the IRS were to conclude that a holder of our depositary shares did not own the underlying shares, the cancellation of the depositary shares might be a taxable transaction to the holder, causing the holder to recognize gain or loss in an amount equal to the difference between the fair market value of the underlying common stock at the time of cancellation of the depositary shares and the holder s tax basis in the depositary shares.

We incur increased costs as a result of being a publicly listed company, and these additional costs could harm our business and results of operations.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act, as well as rules promulgated by the SEC and the NYSE, require us to adopt corporate governance practices applicable to U.S. public companies. These rules and regulations increase our legal and financial compliance costs and make certain compliance and reporting activities more time-consuming. We also expect it to be more difficult and more expensive for us to obtain and maintain director and officer liability insurance, which may cause us to accept reduced policy limits and reduced coverage or to incur substantially higher costs to obtain the same or similar coverage. As a result, it may be more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified persons to serve on our board of directors or as executive officers. We cannot predict or estimate the amount of additional costs we may incur, but these additional costs and demands on management time and attention may harm our business and results of operations.

We do not intend to pay dividends for the foreseeable future, and therefore, investors should rely on sales of their common stock as the only way to realize any future gains on their investments.

We do not intend to pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. The payment of cash dividends on common stock is restricted under the terms of the indenture for our senior notes. We anticipate that we will retain all of our future earnings for use in the development of our business and for general corporate purposes. Any determination to pay dividends in the future will be at the discretion of our board of directors. Accordingly, investors must rely on sales of their common stock after price appreciation, which may never occur, as the only way to realize any future gains on their investments.

Item 6. Exhibits.

Exhibit

Number 31.1	Description Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 of the Chief Executive Officer.
31.2	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 of the Chief Financial Officer.
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, of the Chief Executive Officer.
32.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, of the Chief Financial Officer.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MAGNACHIP SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION

- By: /s/ Sang Park Sang Park Chief Executive Officer and Chairman
- By: /s/ Margaret Sakai Margaret Sakai Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

59

Dated: May 3, 2011

Dated: May 3, 2011

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit

Number 31.1	Description Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 of the Chief Executive Officer.
31.2	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 of the Chief Financial Officer.
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, of the Chief Executive Officer.
32.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, of the Chief Financial Officer.