

Market Leader, Inc.
Form 10-Q
August 06, 2010
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For The Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 000-51032

Market Leader, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: Market Leader, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Washington
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

11332 NE 122nd Way, Suite 200

Kirkland, WA
(Address of principal executive offices)

91-1982679
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

98034
(Zip Code)

(425) 952-5500
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of July 30, 2010, there were outstanding 24,596,508 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.001 par value, which is the only class of common stock of the registrant.

Table of Contents

Market Leader, Inc.

FORM 10-Q

Index

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 6 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 11 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 17 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 17 |
| <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 17 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 17 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 17 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 18 |

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements**
Market Leader, Inc.**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(In thousands, except per share data)****(unaudited)**

| | Three months ended | | Six months ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues | \$ 5,815 | \$ 5,947 | \$ 11,611 | \$ 12,476 |
| Expenses: | | | | |
| Sales and marketing (1) | 5,219 | 4,676 | 10,141 | 9,418 |
| Technology and product development (1) | 1,303 | 1,278 | 2,668 | 2,685 |
| General and administrative (1) | 1,357 | 1,744 | 3,070 | 3,713 |
| Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment (2) | 619 | 780 | 1,283 | 1,583 |
| Amortization of acquired intangible assets | 479 | 480 | 958 | 962 |
| Total expenses | 8,977 | 8,958 | 18,120 | 18,361 |
| Loss from operations | (3,162) | (3,011) | (6,509) | (5,885) |
| Equity in loss of unconsolidated subsidiary | (55) | (61) | (191) | (155) |
| Interest income and expense, net | 90 | 59 | 127 | 154 |
| Loss before income tax expense | (3,127) | (3,013) | (6,573) | (5,886) |
| Income tax expense | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| Net loss | (3,128) | (3,015) | (6,577) | (5,890) |
| Net loss per share basic and diluted | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.27) | \$ (0.24) |

(1) Stock-based compensation is included in the expense line items above in the following amounts:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Sales and marketing | \$ 111 | \$ 184 | \$ 243 | \$ 388 |
| Technology and product development | 47 | (50) | 101 | 33 |
| General and administrative | 293 | 401 | 603 | 825 |
| | \$ 451 | \$ 535 | \$ 947 | \$ 1,246 |

(2) Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment is allocated as follows:

Edgar Filing: Market Leader, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
|------------------------------------|--------|--------|----------|----------|
| Technology and product development | \$ 566 | \$ 595 | \$ 1,138 | \$ 1,210 |
| General and administrative | 53 | 185 | 145 | 373 |
| | \$ 619 | \$ 780 | \$ 1,283 | \$ 1,583 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Market Leader, Inc.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(In thousands, except share data)****(unaudited)**

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 12,835 | \$ 25,434 |
| Short-term investments | 39,090 | 25,999 |
| Trade accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$11 and \$29, respectively | 17 | 39 |
| Income tax receivable | | 4,920 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 852 | 918 |
| Total current assets | 52,794 | 57,310 |
| Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$15,716 and \$14,433, respectively | 4,194 | 4,472 |
| Acquired intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$7,385 and \$6,427, respectively | 1,306 | 2,265 |
| Investment in unconsolidated subsidiary | 149 | 340 |
| Total assets | \$ 58,443 | \$ 64,387 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 1,026 | \$ 916 |
| Accrued compensation and benefits | 1,590 | 1,494 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 523 | 812 |
| Deferred rent, current portion | 214 | 214 |
| Deferred revenue | 389 | 405 |
| Total current liabilities | 3,742 | 3,841 |
| Deferred rent, less current portion | 665 | 753 |
| Total liabilities | 4,407 | 4,594 |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, par value \$0.001 per share, authorized 30,000,000 shares; none issued and outstanding at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively | | |
| Common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, stated at amounts paid in; authorized 120,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 24,596,508 and 24,409,431 shares at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively | 71,040 | 70,220 |
| Accumulated deficit | (17,004) | (10,427) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 54,036 | 59,793 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 58,443 | \$ 64,387 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Market Leader, Inc.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(In thousands)****(unaudited)**

| | Six months ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (6,577) | \$ (5,890) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment | 1,283 | 1,583 |
| Amortization of acquired intangible assets | 958 | 962 |
| Stock-based compensation | 947 | 1,246 |
| Equity in loss of unconsolidated subsidiary | 191 | 155 |
| Changes in certain assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions: | | |
| Accounts receivable | 22 | 23 |
| Income tax receivable | 4,914 | (4) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 61 | 284 |
| Accounts payable | 100 | (172) |
| Accrued compensation and benefits | 96 | (654) |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | (170) | (57) |
| Deferred rent | (88) | 463 |
| Deferred revenue | (16) | 170 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 1,721 | (1,891) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchases of short-term investments | (27,868) | (19,981) |
| Sales of short-term investments | 14,800 | 10,000 |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (1,078) | (1,425) |
| Payments related to the Realty Generator acquisition | | (155) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (14,146) | (11,561) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Payment of taxes due upon vesting of restricted stock | (174) | (41) |
| Proceeds from exercises of stock options | | 45 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (174) | 4 |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (12,599) | (13,448) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 25,434 | 47,668 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 12,835 | \$ 34,220 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Market Leader, Inc.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands, except share data)

(unaudited)

Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Operations

Market Leader, Inc. provides real estate professionals with innovative marketing and technology solutions that enable them to grow and manage their real estate businesses. We have been an innovator of internet-based marketing services for real estate professionals since the Company's inception in 1999.

Our traditional HouseValues and JustListed lead generation products deliver home seller or buyer leads to customers via an online software tool that is bundled with the offerings. In 2008 we began to shift our business model from our original lead generation model toward offerings that combine lead generation services with a software-as-a-service based lead management and CRM tool to provide a complete marketing and business development system for agents, agent teams, and real estate brokerage companies. This new business model, represented by our Vision product offerings, delivered the majority of our revenue in 2010.

All of our Vision production offerings feature Vision, a personalized website optimized to generate consumer response, a proprietary customer relationship management (CRM) tool for real estate agents that is integrated with the website, and industry-leading advertising buying and lead generation services to help real estate professionals attract new clients and promote themselves throughout their community. Our primary Vision product offerings are RealtyGenerator, a product designed for real estate brokerage companies and Growth Leader for individual real estate agents.

Additionally, Market Leader provides consumers with free access to the information and tools they need throughout the home buying and selling process. Our nationwide consumer web sites include: JustListed.com, a service that notifies home buyers as soon as new homes hit the market; HouseValues.com, a service that provides home sellers with market valuations of their current homes; and HomePages.com, a real estate portal that enables consumers to see all the home listings in their area, view detailed neighborhood and school data, compare recent home sales, find local real estate agents, and find the value of their own homes. Our Vision-based products also provide consumers with free access to similar information through thousands of localized web sites that we operate on behalf of our real estate professional customers.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. All adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for the fair presentation of our results of operations, financial position and cash flows have been included and are of a normal, recurring nature. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the full year.

Consolidation The consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of Market Leader and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Our equity investment in an entity over which we have significant influence but hold less than a controlling interest is not consolidated. We apply the equity method and record our investment at cost plus our equity in the investee's undistributed net income or loss adjusted for the difference between our cost and the underlying equity in the net assets of the investee at the date of the investment. This investment is evaluated for impairment when a decline in the value of the investment to less than its carrying value is determined to be other-than-temporary.

Business segments We operate a single business segment, representing marketing services provided to real estate professionals. The vast majority of our business comes from customers and operations located within the United States and Canada, and we do not have any assets located in foreign countries.

Table of Contents

Market Leader, Inc.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(In thousands, except share data)

(unaudited)

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported and disclosed in the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates.

On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to the fair value of acquired intangible assets, the useful lives and potential impairment of intangible assets and property and equipment, the carrying value of our equity investment in an unconsolidated subsidiary, the value of common stock options for the purpose of determining stock-based compensation, liabilities and valuation allowances, and tax liabilities among others. We base our estimates on historical experience and other factors, including the current economic environment, which we believe to be appropriate under the circumstances. We adjust such estimates and assumptions when facts and circumstances dictate. Economic conditions, including illiquid credit markets, volatile equity, and declines in consumer spending have combined to increase the uncertainty inherent in such estimates and assumptions. As future events and their effects cannot be determined with precision, actual results could differ significantly from these estimates. Changes in those estimates resulting from changes in the economic environment will be reflected in the financial statements in future periods.

Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. We use a fair value hierarchy to prioritize the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

Level 1 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.

Level 2 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.

Level 3 Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

Valuation techniques include use of option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques.

Assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. We had \$8,757 and \$24,640 in Money Market Funds as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 respectively, that were classified within the fair value hierarchy as Level 1 assets and accounted for at fair value.

The carrying amounts of accounts receivable, accounts payable and other current liabilities approximate fair value because of their short-term maturities.

Accounting Pronouncements Issued Not Yet Adopted

Edgar Filing: Market Leader, Inc. - Form 10-Q

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures: Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements* (ASU 2010-06), which requires additional disclosures and clarifies some existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurement. ASU 2010-06 amends Codification Subtopic 820-10 to require a reporting entity to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy and describe the reasons for the transfers. The ASU also requires additional information in the roll-forward of Level 3 assets and liabilities including the presentation of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements on a gross basis. The Company adopted ASU 2010-06 beginning January 1, 2010, with the exception of the additional information in the roll-forward of Level 3 assets and liabilities, which will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. This adoption had no impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows and we do not expect the remaining portion to be material.

In October 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2009-13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements* (ASU 2009-13). ASU 2009-13 establishes the accounting and reporting guidance for arrangements including multiple revenue-generating activities, and provides amendments to the criteria for separating deliverables, and measuring and allocating arrangement consideration to one or more units of accounting. The amendments of ASU 2009-13 also establish a selling price hierarchy for determining the selling price of a deliverable. Significantly enhanced disclosures are also required to provide information about a vendor's multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements, including information about the nature and terms, significant deliverables, and its performance within arrangements. The amendments also require providing information about the significant judgments made and changes to those judgments and about how the application of the relative selling-price method affects the timing or amount of revenue recognition. The amendments in ASU 2009-13 are effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010 (Fiscal Year 2011). We are still evaluating whether ASU 2009-13 will have any impact on our future consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Market Leader, Inc.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(In thousands, except share data)****(unaudited)****Note 2: Loss per Share**

Basic loss per share is computed using the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted loss per share uses the weighted average common shares outstanding plus dilutive stock options and unvested restricted stock units using the treasury method. Because we have reported losses for the periods presented, none of our stock options are included in the diluted per share calculations.

Restricted stock units are considered outstanding common shares and included in the computation of basic earnings per share as of the date that all necessary conditions of vesting are satisfied. Stock options and unvested restricted stock units are excluded from the dilutive earnings per share calculation when their impact is antidilutive. Prior to satisfaction of all conditions of vesting, unvested restricted stock units are considered contingently issuable and are excluded from weighted average common shares outstanding.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted loss per share:

| Shares in thousands | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net loss | \$ (3,128) | \$ (3,015) | \$ (6,577) | \$ (5,890) |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 24,597 | 24,112 | 24,569 | 24,085 |
| Dilutive effect of equity-based awards | | | | |
| Diluted Shares | 24,597 | 24,112 | 24,569 | 24,085 |
| Net loss per share basic and diluted | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.27) | \$ (0.24) |
| Antidilutive equity-based awards | 4,609 | 4,384 | 4,609 | 4,384 |
| Unvested restricted stock units | 880 | 1,184 | 880 | 1,184 |

Note 3: Cash, Cash Equivalents and Short-Term Investments

At June 30, 2010, cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments consisted of the following:

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Estimated Fair Value |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Cash | \$ 4,078 | \$ | \$ 4,078 |
| Money market account | 8,757 | | 8,757 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 12,835 | \$ | \$ 12,835 |

Edgar Filing: Market Leader, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Estimated Fair Value |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| U.S. Treasury bills | \$ 29,965 | \$ | \$ 29,965 |
| Certificate of Deposit | 9,125 | 9 | 9,134 |
| Short-Term investments | \$ 39,090 | \$ 9 | \$ 39,099 |

As of June 30, 2010, the U.S. Treasury bills and certificates of deposit are classified as held-to-maturity and are carried at amortized cost. The estimated fair value of the U.S. Treasury bills is based on quoted market prices for identical investments. The estimated fair value of the certificate of deposit is based on a CD pricing model. All of our investments have a contractual maturity of one year or less.

Table of Contents**Market Leader, Inc.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(In thousands, except share data)****(unaudited)**

At December 31, 2009, cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments consisted of the following:

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Estimated Fair Value |
|---------------------------|-------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Cash | \$ 794 | \$ | \$ 794 |
| Money market account | 24,640 | | 24,640 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 25,434 | \$ | \$ 25,434 |
| | | | |
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains/ (Losses) | Estimated Fair Value |
| U.S. Treasury bills | \$ 19,971 | \$ (4) | \$ 19,967 |
| Certificate of Deposit | 6,028 | 12 | 6,040 |
| Short-Term investments | \$ 25,999 | \$ 8 | \$ 26,007 |

As of December 31, 2009, the U.S. Treasury bills and certificates of deposit are classified as held-to-maturity and are carried at amortized cost. The estimated fair value of the U.S. Treasury bills is based on quoted market prices for identical investments. The estimated fair value of the certificate of deposit is based on a CD pricing model. All of our investments have a contractual maturity of one year or less.

We have not realized any gains or losses on our short-term investments in the periods presented.

Note 4: Stock-based Compensation Plans

Stock-based compensation cost is measured at grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and recognized as expense over the requisite service period.

Determining Fair Value Assumptions

The value of each employee option granted during the three month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

| | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------|------------------------------|------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Expected life (in years) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Weighted average expected volatility | 59% | 61% | 59% | 59% |

Edgar Filing: Market Leader, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Weighted average risk-free interest rate | 1.67% | 1.50% | 1.63% | 1.17% |
| Expected dividend yield | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Weighted average fair value | \$ 0.89 | \$ 0.77 | \$ 0.89 | \$ 0.66 |

Stock Option Activity

Options granted, exercised, forfeited and expired under all of our stock option plans for the six month period ended June 30, 2010 are summarized as follows:

| | Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--|---|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2009 | 3,708,303 | \$ 3.86 | | |
| Options granted | 65,000 | 2.05 | | |
| Options exercised | | | | |
| Options forfeited | (12,355) | 3.50 | | |
| Options expired | (31,227) | 6.56 | | |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2010 | 3,729,721 | \$ 3.81 | 6.5 years | \$ 159 |
| Exercisable at June 30, 2010 | 2,308,452 | \$ 4.76 | 5.2 years | \$ 58 |

Table of Contents**Market Leader, Inc.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(In thousands, except share data)****(unaudited)**

The total intrinsic value of options exercised and the total grant date fair value of options that vested and were forfeited are included in the following table:

| | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Intrinsic value of options exercised | \$ | \$ 10 | \$ | \$ 10 |
| Grant date fair value of options vested | \$ 149 | \$ 264 | \$ 355 | \$ 1,418 |
| Grant date fair value of options forfeited | \$ 2 | \$ 154 | \$ 22 | \$ 193 |

Restricted Stock Units

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the following activity occurred related to our restricted stock units:

| | Stock Awards | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|--|-----------------|---|
| Nonvested stock units balance at December 31, 2009 | 1,195,500 | \$ 2.61 |
| Restricted stock units granted | | |
| Restricted stock units vested | (277,500) | 2.85 |
| Restricted stock units forfeited | (38,500) | 2.35 |
| Nonvested stock units balance at June 30, 2010 | 879,500 | \$ 2.55 |

Stock-based Compensation under FAS 123R

The following table summarizes stock-based compensation expense related to stock-based awards:

| | Six months ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Total cost of share-based payment plans | \$ 994 | \$ 1,341 |
| Amounts capitalized in internally developed software | (47) | (95) |
| Amounts charged against income, before income tax benefit | \$ 947 | \$ 1,246 |
| Amounts recognized for amounts previously capitalized in fixed assets | \$ 66 | \$ 56 |

Edgar Filing: Market Leader, Inc. - Form 10-Q

In 2010 and 2009, we recognized a full valuation allowance against the income tax benefit resulting from our stock-based compensation, reducing the net benefit on the income statement to zero.

As of June 30, 2010, we had \$2,616 of unrecognized gross compensation cost related to non-vested stock-based awards granted under all equity compensation plans. We expect to recognize this cost over a weighted average period of 1.3 years.

Note 5: Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information

| | Six months ended | |
|---|------------------|--------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash (received) paid during the period for income taxes | \$ (4,912) | \$ 8 |
| Non-cash investing and financing activities: | | |
| Increase in payables for property and equipment | \$ | \$ 135 |

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

You should read the following discussion and analysis by our management of our financial condition and results of operations in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. This discussion and other parts of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contain forward looking statements relating to our anticipated plans, products, services, and financial performance. The words believe, expect, anticipate, intend and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements, but their absence does not mean the statement is not forward looking. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could affect our actual results include, but are not limited to, those discussed under the heading Item 1A, Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 and in our other Securities and Exchange Commission filings. Given these risks and uncertainties, you should not place undue reliance on our forward looking statements. The forward-looking statements are made as of the date of this report, and we assume no obligation to update any such statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof.

Overview

Our Business

Market Leader, Inc. provides real estate professionals with innovative marketing and technology solutions that enable them to grow and manage their real estate businesses. We have been an innovator of internet-based marketing services for real estate professionals since the Company's inception in 1999.

Our traditional HouseValues and JustListed lead generation products deliver home seller or buyer leads to customers via an online software tool that is bundled with the offerings. In 2008 we began to shift our business model from our original lead generation model toward offerings that combine lead generation services with a software-as-a-service based lead management and CRM tool to provide a complete marketing and business development system for real estate agents and brokerage companies. This new business model, represented by our Vision product offerings, delivered the majority of our revenue (fifty-eight percent) in the second quarter of 2010.

In November 2008 we introduced Growth Leader, our Vision based product for real estate agents, as well as a related product designed for agent teams. Together with RealtyGenerator, our turnkey lead generation and lead management system for real estate brokerage companies that we acquired in 2007, these offerings constitute our family of new Vision products that support the shift in our business model. These products feature Vision, a personalized website optimized to generate consumer response, a proprietary customer relationship management (CRM) tool for real estate agents that is integrated with the website, and industry-leading advertising buying and lead generation services to help real estate professionals attract new clients and promote themselves throughout their community.

Additionally, Market Leader provides consumers with free access to the information and tools they need throughout the home buying and selling process. Our nationwide consumer web sites include: JustListed.com, a service that notifies home buyers as soon as new homes hit the market; HouseValues.com, a service that provides home sellers with market valuations of their current homes; and HomePages.com, a real estate portal that enables consumers to see all the home listings in their area, view detailed neighborhood and school data, compare recent home sales, find local real estate agents, and find the value of their own homes. Our Vision-based products also provide consumers with free access to similar information through thousands of localized web sites that we operate on behalf of our real estate professional customers.

Review of Second Quarter 2010

Revenue for the second quarter of \$5.8 million was two percent below revenue for the same period in 2009. We were able to largely offset the ten percent decline in our customer base with increased average revenue per customer, as we have successfully increased revenues from our Vision products, of which the RealtyGenerator product has a higher price point.

We believe our revenue trend has reflected broader real estate market conditions, as real estate professionals spent less on marketing while transaction volumes were also declining. While seasonally adjusted annualized existing home sales as reported by the National Association of Realtors were lower in June than in April and May, overall in the second quarter of 2010, transaction volumes and industry-wide real estate commissions according to research from REAL Trends, Inc., both showed improvement over the first quarter of 2010 as well as the second quarter of 2009, suggesting that market conditions may now be stabilizing.

We believe our Vision products offer distinct advantages over our traditional products, and that these advantages, combined with the general migration towards accountable marketing programs, position Market Leader to benefit from a normalization in housing markets. We believe that stability, and not necessarily robust growth in residential real estate transactions, will give real estate professionals confidence to increase their

marketing expenditures, and that this will help us grow revenue.

Table of Contents

Our second quarter revenue of \$5.8 million was flat compared to revenue in the first quarter of 2010. Within this overall result, revenue from our Vision products grew to \$3.4 million from \$3.2 million in the first quarter, offsetting the decline in revenue from our traditional products. We believe the Vision product offerings are also helping us to achieve improved customer retention rates and will drive improved operating results over time.

Our longer term goal is to return the company to profitable growth, and we believe that to do so requires improvement in customer acquisition. Towards that goal, we have made incremental investments in customer acquisition, including increasing the size of our sales force and creating sales and marketing channel partnerships with a number of major franchise networks. These partnerships, with some of the nation's leading real estate franchises, enable us to tap into their influence, credibility, and existing sales and marketing infrastructure to create low risk trial opportunities of our RealtyGenerator product. This leveraged approach led to us signing more than 100 new sales agreements for our RealtyGenerator product with brokerages late in the second quarter. We expect our incremental investments in customer acquisition, including the development of this new sales and marketing channel, to drive revenue growth in the second half of this year.

Results of Operations**Revenues**

| | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues (in thousands) | \$ 5,815 | \$ 5,947 | \$ 11,611 | \$ 12,476 |

Revenues decreased 2% and 7% for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009, due to a decline in our customer base that was partially offset by a 14% increase in average revenue per customer over the past twelve months. We believe the cyclical downturn in the real estate industry has negatively impacted the ability of real estate professionals to pay for marketing services and other lead generation costs, which is reflected in our decreased customer base. Improvement in average revenue per customer is driven by the increased revenue from Vision products, in part due to our RealtyGenerator product that carries a higher price point than our Growth Leader and traditional products, which have lower price points for individual real estate agents.

As indicated in the comparison presented under the heading *Quarterly Consolidated Statements of Income and Operational Data*, revenue in the second quarter of 2010 remained relatively flat compared to the first quarter of 2010. On a sequential quarterly basis, we experienced a 2% increase in average revenue per customer partially offset by a minor decrease in average customer count. More information about the sequential change in revenue and customers is included under the heading *Key Operational Metrics*.

We believe that the incremental investments we are making in sales and marketing resources will yield further revenue improvement in our revenue trend in the second half of 2010, and our confidence was reinforced by the more than 100 new RealtyGenerator agreements executed late in the second quarter in connection with our franchise networks marketing efforts.

Sales and Marketing

| | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Total sales and marketing expense (in thousands) | \$ 5,219 | \$ 4,676 | \$ 10,141 | \$ 9,418 |
| Total sales and marketing expense as a % of revenue | 90% | 79% | 87% | 75% |

Sales and marketing expense increased for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009, primarily due to increased advertising costs, increased marketing expenses, and increased payroll related expenses associated with higher staffing levels. The increase in advertising costs was consistent with expectations based on the continued shift in revenue mix to our Vision-based products since advertising is a higher percentage of revenue for our Vision products. However, we believe the Vision product offerings are helping us to achieve better customer retention rates and will drive improved operating results over time. In addition to advertising expense representing a higher percentage of revenue, increases to our sales and marketing expenses represent investments we are making to improve and accelerate our

customer acquisition efforts.

Table of Contents

Sales and marketing expense increased 6% in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the first quarter of 2010. The increase was primarily due to increased advertising costs for the same reasons noted in the year over year comparisons and increased payroll related expenses associated with higher staffing levels. The increases were partially offset by a reduction to consulting expenses.

For the second half of 2010, we expect higher revenue as well as sales and marketing costs, driven in particular by the addition of new RealtyGenerator customers signed late in the second quarter as well as continued investment in customer acquisition efforts.

Technology and Product Development

| | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Total technology and product development expense (in thousands) | \$ 1,303 | \$ 1,278 | \$ 2,668 | \$ 2,685 |
| Total technology and product development expense as a % of revenue | 22% | 21% | 23% | 22% |

Technology and product development expense remained consistent for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009. Technology and product development expenses also remained consistent as a percentage of revenue.

Technology and product development expense decreased slightly in the second quarter of 2010 when compared to the first quarter of 2010.

For the remainder of 2010, we expect the level of technology and product development expenses to remain fairly consistent as we continue to enhance our Vision-based products, but to decrease as a percentage of revenue as our revenue increases.

General and Administrative

| | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Total general and administrative expense (in thousands) | \$ 1,357 | \$ 1,744 | \$ 3,070 | \$ 3,713 |
| Total general and administrative expense as a % of revenue | 23% | 29% | 26% | 30% |

General and administrative expense for the three and six month periods decreased when compared to the same periods in 2009, primarily due to reduced payroll related expenses associated with lower staffing levels, reduced occupancy expenses, and lower professional fees. These decreases were offset, in part, by an increase in recruiting fees in the first quarter of 2010.

General and administrative expenses decreased 21% in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the first quarter of 2010 primarily due to a reduction in payroll related and hiring expenses and a reduction in professional fees.

We expect quarterly general and administrative expenses to increase modestly for the remainder of 2010.

Depreciation and Amortization of Property and Equipment

Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment decreased for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009 because a number of assets became fully depreciated.

Interest Income and expense, net

Edgar Filing: Market Leader, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Interest income increased for the three month period ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 primarily due to interest income received on the \$4.9 million income tax refund. Interest income decreased for the six month period ended June 30, 2010 compared with the same period in 2009 primarily due to decreased rates of return on investments as well as a lower investment balance.

Table of Contents**Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, costs and expenses and related disclosures. We base our estimates on historical experience and on other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates. We include a discussion of our critical accounting policies and estimates in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Quarterly Consolidated Statements of Income and Operational Data

The following table presents unaudited operational data pertaining to our operations for the six quarters ended June 30, 2010. This quarterly information has been prepared on the same basis as our audited consolidated financial statements and, in the opinion of our management, reflects all adjustments necessary for a fair representation of the information for the periods presented. This data should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. Operating results for any quarter apply to that quarter only and are not necessarily indicative of results for any future period.

| | June 30, 2010 | Mar. 31, 2010 | Dec. 31, 2009 | Sept. 30, 2009 | June 30, 2009 | Mar. 31, 2009 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | (in thousands) | | | | | |
| Operations Data: | | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 5,815 | \$ 5,796 | \$ 5,643 | \$ 5,816 | \$ 5,947 | \$ 6,529 |
| Expenses: | | | | | | |
| Sales and marketing | 5,219 | 4,922 | 5,084 | 4,795 | 4,676 | 4,742 |
| Technology and product development | 1,303 | 1,365 | 1,255 | 1,174 | 1,278 | 1,407 |
| General and administrative | 1,357 | 1,713 | 1,564 | 1,638 | 1,744 | 1,969 |
| Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment | 619 | 664 | 661 | 593 | 780 | 803 |
| Amortization of acquired intangible assets | 479 | 479 | 479 | 481 | 480 | 482 |
| Total expenses | 8,977 | 9,143 | 9,043 | 8,681 | 8,958 | 9,403 |
| Loss from operations | (3,162) | (3,347) | (3,400) | (2,865) | (3,011) | (2,874) |
| Equity in loss of unconsolidated subsidiary | (55) | (136) | 8 | (97) | (61) | (94) |
| Interest income and expense, net | 90 | 37 | 42 | 45 | 59 | 95 |
| Loss before income tax | (3,127) | (3,446) | (3,350) | (2,917) | (3,013) | (2,873) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 1 | 3 | (4,788) | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (3,128) | \$ (3,449) | \$ 1,438 | \$ (2,919) | \$ (3,015) | \$ (2,875) |
| Reconciliation of GAAP Measurement to Adjusted EBITDA: | | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (3,128) | \$ (3,449) | \$ 1,438 | \$ (2,919) | \$ (3,015) | \$ (2,875) |
| Less: Interest income, net | (90) | (37) | (42) | (45) | (59) | (95) |
| Add: | | | | | | |
| Equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated subsidiary | 55 | 136 | (8) | 97 | 61 | 94 |
| Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment | 619 | 664 | 661 | 593 | 780 | 803 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 479 | 479 | 479 | 481 | 480 | 482 |
| Stock-based compensation | 451 | 496 | 479 | 638 | 535 | 711 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 1 | 3 | (4,788) | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$ (1,613) | \$ (1,708) | \$ (1,781) | \$ (1,153) | \$ (1,216) | \$ (878) |

Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure provided as a complement to results in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Adjusted EBITDA is not a substitute for measures determined in accordance with GAAP, and may not be comparable to Adjusted EBITDA as reported by other companies. Our use of the term Adjusted EBITDA refers to a financial

Edgar Filing: Market Leader, Inc. - Form 10-Q

measure defined as earnings or loss before net interest, income taxes, depreciation, amortization, equity in loss of unconsolidated subsidiary, and stock-based compensation. We believe Adjusted EBITDA to be relevant and useful information to our investors as this measure is an integral part of our internal management reporting and planning process and is the primary measure used by our management to evaluate operating performance. See above for a reconciliation of net loss, the most comparable GAAP measure, to Adjusted EBITDA.

Table of Contents**Key Operational Metrics**

The following table presents key operational data and metrics for the six quarters ended June 30, 2010.

| | June 30, 2010 | Mar. 31, 2010 | Dec. 31, 2009 | Sept. 30, 2009 | June 30, 2009 | Mar. 31, 2009 |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Operational Data: | | | | | | |
| Components of revenue (in thousands): | | | | | | |
| Real estate professional revenues (1) | \$ 5,809 | \$ 5,784 | \$ 5,622 | \$ 5,789 | \$ 5,909 | \$ 6,481 |
| Other revenues (2) | 6 | 12 | 21 | 27 | 38 | 48 |
| Total revenues | \$ 5,815 | \$ 5,796 | \$ 5,643 | \$ 5,816 | \$ 5,947 | \$ 6,529 |
| Real estate professional customers, end of period (3) | 5,229 | 5,316 | 5,360 | 5,551 | 5,842 | 6,361 |
| Average monthly retention rate (4) | 94.1% | 94.9% | 94.5% | 93.7% | 94.2% | 92.8% |
| Average real estate professional customers in the quarter (5) | 5,273 | 5,338 | 5,456 | 5,697 | 6,102 | 6,803 |
| Average monthly revenue per customer (6) | \$ 367 | \$ 361 | \$ 344 | \$ 339 | \$ 323 | \$ 318 |

- (1) Real estate professional revenues consist of all revenue generated from our real estate professional customers, primarily for our RealtyGenerator, Growth Leader, HouseValues, and JustListed products.
- (2) Other revenues consist primarily of miscellaneous revenue streams that are not core to our product offerings.
- (3) Real estate professional customers primarily consist of real estate agents subscribing to our HouseValues, JustListed, and Growth Leader products and real estate brokers subscribing to our RealtyGenerator product. Customers are included in our key operating metrics when their service is active and are paying monthly service or advertising fees.
- (4) One minus our average monthly churn rate equates to our average monthly retention rate. Average monthly customer churn is calculated by dividing the number of customers who canceled during the quarter by the average customers in the quarter, divided by the number of months in the quarter. Other companies may calculate churn and retention differently, and their churn and retention data may not be directly comparable to ours.
- (5) Average real estate professional customers in the quarter are calculated as the average of customers at the beginning and at the end of the quarter.
- (6) Average monthly revenue per customer is calculated as real estate professional revenue for the quarter divided by the average number of customers in the quarter, divided by the number of months in the quarter.

At the end of the second quarter of 2010, we had 5,229 customers. On a sequential quarter basis, our customer count decreased by 87 customers during the second quarter of 2010, compared to a decrease of 44 customers in the first quarter of 2010.

Our average monthly customer retention rate was 94.1% for the second quarter of 2010 compared to 94.9% in the first quarter of 2010. While customer retention decreased this quarter, the rate remained among the highest of the past fourteen directly comparable quarters. We believe that the overall improved trend in customer retention can be attributed primarily to our Vision products, which have demonstrated better retention. However, our customer retention rate will fluctuate from quarter to quarter and further volatility in the real estate market could contribute to greater fluctuations in our customer retention rate.

Average monthly revenue per customer for the second quarter of 2010 increased compared to the first quarter of 2010, and was the highest rate in two years, primarily driven by the higher average revenue from Vision products that represent an increasing portion of our revenue and customer base. Average revenue per customer will fluctuate from quarter to quarter based on product mix, pricing adjustments we may make in response to the market conditions, the demand for existing services and the acceptance of new product offerings.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Currently, our principal source of liquidity is our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments as well as the cash flow that we may generate from our operations. At June 30, 2010, our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments totaled \$51.9 million as compared to \$49.0 million at March 31, 2010.

Liquidity and security of principal continue to be core to our investment strategy, which has resulted in significantly lower rates of return. As of June 30, 2010, we have invested in cash equivalents consisting of money market funds that hold U.S. Treasury securities with short-term

Edgar Filing: Market Leader, Inc. - Form 10-Q

weighted average duration. Short-term investments are comprised of U.S. Treasury bills and notes and FDIC-insured certificates of deposit with terms of one year or less.

Table of Contents

The following table presents summary cash flow data:

| | Six months Ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| | (dollars in thousands) | |
| Cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 1,721 | \$ (1,891) |
| Cash used in investing activities | (14,146) | (11,561) |
| Cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (174) | 4 |

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities consists of our net loss adjusted for certain non-cash items, including depreciation, amortization, stock-based compensation, equity in losses of our unconsolidated subsidiary and the effects of changes in working capital. We generated \$1.7 million in cash from operations during the first six months of 2010, an increase of \$3.6 million compared to the same period in 2009. The increase was primarily due to the receipt of an income tax refund for \$4.9 million during the second quarter.

Investing Activities

Cash used in investing activities for the first six months of 2010 was \$14.1 million compared to the cash used in investing activities for the same period in 2009 of \$11.6 million. During the first half of 2010, we made net investment purchases of short-term investments of \$13.1 million compared to investment purchases of \$10.0 million in the same period in 2009.

Financing Activities

Cash used in financing activities during the first half of 2010 consisted solely of cash paid for employment taxes upon vesting of restricted stock. This use of cash increased primarily due to the increased number of restricted shares that vested in the current year to date period.

Purchase and Retirement of Common Stock

In October 2006, our Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program to purchase and retire up to 2 million shares of our common stock. We did not make any purchases pursuant to the share repurchase program in the second quarter of 2010. At June 30, 2010, 0.9 million shares remain available for purchase under the share repurchase program.

Accounting Pronouncements Issued Not Yet Adopted

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures: Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements* (ASU 2010-06), which requires additional disclosures and clarifies some existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurement. ASU 2010-06 amends Codification Subtopic 820-10 to require a reporting entity to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy and describe the reasons for the transfers. The ASU also requires additional information in the roll-forward of Level 3 assets and liabilities including the presentation of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements on a gross basis. The Company adopted ASU 2010-06 beginning January 1, 2010, with the exception of the additional information in the roll-forward of Level 3 assets and liabilities, which will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. This adoption had no impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows and we do not expect the remaining portion to be material.

In October 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2009-13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements* (ASU 2009-13). ASU 2009-13 establishes the accounting and reporting guidance for arrangements including multiple revenue-generating activities, and provides amendments to the criteria for separating deliverables, and measuring and allocating arrangement consideration to one or more units of accounting. The amendments of ASU 2009-13 also establish a selling price hierarchy for determining the selling price of a deliverable. Significantly enhanced disclosures are also required to provide information about a vendor's multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements, including information about the nature and terms, significant deliverables, and its performance within arrangements. The amendments also require providing information about the significant judgments made and changes to those judgments and about how the application of the relative selling-price method affects the timing or amount of revenue recognition. The amendments in ASU 2009-13 are effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010 (Fiscal Year 2011). We are still evaluating whether ASU 2009-13 will have any impact on our future consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal and liquidity without incurring significant risk. Because of ongoing market uncertainties, we continue to evaluate the security of our investments and the institutions where we hold our investments. As of June 30, 2010, we invested in U.S. Treasury securities money market funds with short-term weighted average duration, directly in U.S. Treasury securities and in FDIC-insured certificates of deposit with terms of one year or less.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) *Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.* With the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, our management evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of June 30, 2010. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2010.

(b) *Changes in internal control over financial reporting.* There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the second fiscal quarter of 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. We intend to continue to refine our internal control over financial reporting on an ongoing basis as we deem appropriate with a view towards continuous improvement.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

From time to time, we may become involved in litigation relating to claims arising from the ordinary course of our business, including actions relating to employment issues. We believe that there are no claims or actions pending or threatened against us, the ultimate disposition of which would have a material adverse effect on us.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(b) On December 9, 2004, the Securities and Exchange Commission declared effective our registration statement on Form S-1 (SEC File No. 333-118740) in connection with our initial public offering of common stock, which resulted in net proceeds to the company of \$56.1 million. Through June 30, 2010, we have used approximately \$45.6 million of the net proceeds from our initial public offering to purchase property and equipment, intangible assets, and to complete acquisitions, including related earn-out payments. The remaining proceeds have been invested in U.S. Treasury securities money market funds with short-term weighted average duration, directly in U.S. Treasury securities and in FDIC-insured certificates of deposit with terms of one year or less. Our current and planned use of the proceeds does not represent a material change from the use of proceeds described in the prospectus relating to the Registration Statement.

Item 6. Exhibits**Exhibit**

| Number | Description of Document |
|---------------|--|
| 31.1+ | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer |
| 31.2+ | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer |
| 32.1+ | Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. |

+ Filed herewith.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MARKET LEADER, INC.

By: /s/ JACQUELINE DAVIDSON
Jacqueline Davidson
Chief Financial Officer
Authorized Officer and Principal Financial
Officer and Principal Accounting Officer

Date: August 6, 2010