COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS LTD Form 10-Q August 15, 2011

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

**WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549** 

# **FORM 10-Q**

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

to

Commission file number 1-4668

# COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS, LTD.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

# Edgar Filing: COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS LTD - Form 10-Q

BERMUDA	
(State or other jurisdiction	of

NONE (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

**Identification No.)** 

Clarendon House, Church Street, Hamilton, Bermuda (Address of principal executive offices) HM 11 (Zip Code)

(850) 556-5924

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. x Yes "No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). x Yes "No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). "Yes x No

The number of shares outstanding of the issuer s single class of common stock as of August 12, 2011 was 71,518,746.

# FORM 10-Q

# June 30, 2011

# **Table of Contents**

	PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page
ITEM 1	Financial Statements	
	Consolidated balance sheets at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010	3
	Consolidated statements of operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 and for the period from January 31, 1953 (inception) to June 30, 2011	4
	Consolidated statements of cash flows for the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 and for the period from January 31, 1953 (inception) to June 30, 2011	5
	Notes to consolidated financial statements	6
ITEM 2	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	12
ITEM 3	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk	17
ITEM 4	Controls and Procedures	17
	PART II - OTHER INFORMATION	
ITEM 1	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	19
ITEM 5	Other Information	19
ITEM 6	<u>Exhibits</u>	20
	<u>Signatures</u>	

# FORM 10-Q

# PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# <u>ITEM 1</u> - <u>Financial Statements</u>

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

(A Bermuda Corporation)

A Development Stage Company

Assets	June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2010 (Note)
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$ 17,043
	·	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Total current assets		17,043
Certificates of deposit Restricted	86,194	86,024
Petroleum leases	2,809 299	2,775,066
Equipment, net	114	1,374
Total assets	2,895,607	\$ 2,879,507
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 289,086	\$ 273,813
Notes payable	25,000	25,000
Amounts due to related parties	1,056,448	967,292
Total current liabilities	1,370,534	1,266,105
Shareholders equity		
Common stock, par value \$.12 per share: Authorized - 250,000,000 shares Outstanding 71,518,746 and		
70,661,604 shares, respectively	8,582,249	8,479,392
Discount on common stock	(1,885,482)	(1,842,625)
Capital in excess of par value	32,139,311	32,139,311
Stock subscription receivable	(8,000)	
	38,828,078	38,776,078
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(37,303,005)	(37,162,676)
Total shareholders equity	1,525,073	1,613,402
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 2,895,607	\$ 2,879,507

# Edgar Filing: COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS LTD - Form 10-Q

Note: The balance sheet at December 31, 2010 has been derived from

the audited consolidated financial statements at that date.

See accompanying notes.

3

# FORM 10-Q

# PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# <u>ITEM 1</u> - <u>Financial Statements</u>

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

(A Bermuda Corporation)

A Development Stage Company

(Unaudited)

							j	period from
								Jan. 31,
								1953
								(inception)
								to
					Six months e	nded June 30, 2010		June 30, 2011
\$	88	\$	830	\$	169	\$ 1.494	\$	3,985,398
Ψ		Ψ	000	Ψ	10)	Ψ 1,171	Ψ	8,124,016
	88		830		169	1,494		12,109,414
			2,646			2,646		17,682,753
	28,772		26,269		77,448	78,847		11,267,138
	31,250		31,250		62,500	64,359		4,583,931
	250		1,889		550	3,218		4,173,121
								801,823
								6,690,752
								188,218
								1,941,916
								(632,974)
								364,865
								2,350,876
	60,272		62,054		140,498	149,070		49,412,419
		2011 \$ 88 88 28,772 31,250 250	2011 2 \$ 88 \$ 88 28,772 31,250 250	\$ 88 \$ 830 88 830 2,646 28,772 26,269 31,250 31,250 250 1,889	2011 2010  \$ 88 \$ 830 \$  88 830  2,646 28,772 26,269 31,250 31,250 250 1,889	2011     2010     2011       \$ 88     \$ 830     \$ 169       28,772     26,269     77,448       31,250     31,250     62,500       250     1,889     550	2011     2010     2011     2010       \$ 88     \$ 830     \$ 169     \$ 1,494       88     \$ 830     \$ 169     \$ 1,494       2,646     \$ 2,646     \$ 2,646       28,772     \$ 26,269     \$ 77,448     \$ 78,847       31,250     \$ 31,250     \$ 62,500     \$ 64,359       250     \$ 1,889     \$ 550     \$ 3,218	Three months ended June 30, 2011 2010  \$ 88    \$ 830    \$ 169    \$ 1,494    \$  88    \$ 830    \$ 169    \$ 1,494   2,646    28,772    26,269    77,448    78,847  31,250    31,250    62,500    64,359 250    1,889    550    3,218

For the

# Edgar Filing: COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS LTD - Form 10-Q

Net loss	(60,184)	\$	(61,224)	(140,329)	\$	(147,576)
----------	----------	----	----------	-----------	----	-----------

Deficit accumulated during the development stage									\$ (37,303,005)
Weighted average number of Shares outstanding (basic & diluted)	70,9	947,318	62,4	144,397	70,8	304,461	61,	190,771	
Net loss per share (basic & diluted)	\$	(.00.)	\$	(.00)	\$	(.00)	\$	(.00.)	

See accompanying notes.

# FORM 10-Q

# PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# <u>ITEM 1</u> - <u>Financial Statements</u>

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Expressed in U.S. Dollars)

(A Bermuda Corporation)

A Development Stage Company

(Unaudited)

			Jan. 31, 1953
	Six mont		(inception) To
	2011	2010	June 30, 2011
Operating activities:			
Net loss	\$ (140,329)	\$ (147,576)	\$ (37,303,005)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Gain on settlement			(8,124,016)
Goodwill impairment			801,823
Minority interest			(602,949)
Depreciation	1,260	1,260	12,859
Write off of unproved properties			6,690,752
Common stock issued for services			119,500
Compensation recognized for stock option grant			75,000
Recoveries from previously written off properties			252,173
Net change in:			
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	104,429	(125,202)	1,351,570
Net cash used in operating activities	(34,640)	(271,518)	(36,726,293)
Investing activities:			
Additions to oil, gas, and mineral properties net of assets acquired for common stock and			
reimbursements	(30,898)	(46,083)	(6,631,945)
Well drilling costs	(3,335)		(1,289,327)
Sale of unproved nonoperating interests			512,595
Net proceeds from settlement			8,124,016
Proceeds from relinquishment of surface rights			246,733
Purchase of certificate of deposit	(170)	(1,495)	(140,849)
Redemption of certification of deposit			54,655
Purchase of minority interest in CPC			(801,823)
Purchase of fixed assets			(74,623)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(34,403)	(47,578)	(568)
	, , ,		( /

For the period from

# Edgar Filing: COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS LTD - Form 10-Q

Fin:	ancing	activities:	

1 maneing activities.			
Notes payable proceeds			184,988
Repayment of loans		(48,198)	(159,988)
Sale of common stock net of expenses	52,000	360,000	31,270,112
Shares issued upon exercise of options			891,749
Sale of shares by subsidiary			820,000
Sale of subsidiary shares			3,720,000
Net cash provided by financing activities	52,000	311,802	36,726,861
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(17,043)	(7,294)	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	17,043	9,207	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	\$ 1,913	\$

See accompanying notes.

### **FORM 10-Q**

#### PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

June 30, 2011

# **ITEM 1** Financial Statements

#### Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd. (the Company) and its wholly owned subsidiary, Coastal Petroleum Company (Coastal Petroleum) and have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. Operating results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2011. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

#### Note 2. Going Concern

As of June 30, 2011, the Company had no revenues, had recurring losses from operations and has had an accumulated deficit during the development stage. The Company s current cash position is not adequate to fund existing operations or exploration and development of its oil and gas properties. Currently, management is actively pursuing funding to allow the Company to undertake exploration efforts on its own. The Company has an agreement in place with a director which provides the director with options to further fund the Company to continue operations and exploration of its leases. The Company continues to be in contact with several parties interested in investing in the Company so that the Company could explore its leases on its own. In addition, the Company has been in contact with other parties interested in working with the Company, in buying some of the Company s leases or in buying or earning an interest in those leases. There is no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain any funding, that sufficient funding can be obtained, or that the Company will be able to raise necessary funds through the sale of some of its leases or interests in those leases.

These situations raise substantial doubt about the Company sability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or amounts and classification of liabilities, which may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

#### Note 3. <u>Net loss per share</u>

Net loss per share is based upon the weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding during the period. The Company s basic and diluted calculations of EPS are the same because the exercise of options is not assumed in calculating diluted EPS, as the result would be anti-dilutive.

#### **FORM 10-O**

#### PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

June 30, 2011

# <u>ITEM 1</u> <u>Financial Statements</u> (Continued)

# Note 4. <u>Unproved Oil, Gas and Mineral Properties</u> Farm-out Agreements and Drilling Activity

In May 2008, we entered an agreement with Cobra. Under the agreement, Cobra paid Coastal \$180,000 for the option to acquire a half interest in approximately 87,000 acres of Coastal s Valley County Leases. The agreement allowed the Company to pay its Lease rentals that were due on June 1, 2008, and brought in a new party to explore on the Leases. Cobra had until May 2010 to exercise the option by spending \$1,000,000 on behalf of the Company, drilling wells on the leases covered by the agreement. No drilling was performed under this agreement and it expired by its terms.

# Montana Leases

The Company s primary presence in Montana is in Valley County, where it holds leases covering approximately 32,313 net acres, which are the remaining unexpired leases from those leases the Company acquired in three separate acquisitions between July 2005 and February 2006. The leases acquired in those acquisitions are contiguous to each other and are referred to collectively as the Valley County Leases.

The first acquisition of the Valley County Leases was in July 2005, when the Company acquired the rights to drill two 6,500 foot wells to test Mississippian Lodgepole reefs in Valley County, in northeast Montana for a one time fee of \$50,000 from an entity controlled by one of the Company s Directors. That acquisition included a small amount of acreage and the option to drill fifty additional prospects in the Valley County area.

The second acquisition of the Valley County Leases was in November 2005, when the Company acquired a group of oil and gas lease rights to approximately 109,423 net acres in eastern Montana for \$1,568,000 from EOG Resources, Inc. and Great Northern Gas Company. These leases are subject to various overriding royalty interests to others ranging up to 19.5%. These leases expire in years from 2011 to 2014.

The final acquisition of acreage within the Valley County Leases was in February 2006, when the Company acquired additional oil and gas leases in eastern Montana covering 27,740 net acres contiguous to its existing Montana leases. These leases were acquired from the Bureau of Land Management and United States Department of the Interior.

#### **FORM 10-O**

#### PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

June 30, 2011

# **ITEM 1 Financial Statements** (Continued)

Note 4. <u>Unproved Oil, Gas and Mineral Properties</u> (Continued)

#### North Dakota Leases

The Company currently holds approximately 400 acres of leases covering its Red River prospect in North Dakota. In July 2005, the Company originally acquired leases to the deeper rights in approximately 21,688 net acres in and near Slope County, North Dakota for a one time fee of \$50,000 from an entity controlled by one of the Company s Directors and the Company has invested some additional funds to geochemically test and high-grade these and other prospects on the leases. The majority of the leases in North Dakota previously expired including approximately 880 net acres that expired in the first quarter of 2011.

#### Note 5. <u>Income Taxes</u>

For the three and six month periods ending June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company reported a loss for both financial statement reporting and income tax purposes. The Company has provided a 100% valuation allowance on its deferred tax asset as a result of its net operating loss carryforwards. The Company had approximately \$10,000,000 in net operating loss carryforwards at December 31, 2010.

# Note 6. <u>Related Party Transactions</u> Oil and Gas Exploration Activities

Pursuant to a written agreement with respect to the Valley County Leases, the Company has used an entity controlled by an individual who is a shareholder, officer and director of the Company to perform geotechnical analysis of potential drilling sites at a cost of \$1,000 per site. The Company paid no amounts to this entity for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

# Services

Through May 2009, the Company paid a monthly retainer to the law firm of Angerer & Angerer which had been litigation counsel to the Company for more than twenty-five years and also served the Company in that capacity as well as others including general counsel services, management services, public relations, shareholder relations and representing the Company before state and federal agencies for permitting. The principals of the law firm included two individuals who are collectively shareholders, officers and a director of the Company. The Company accrued and expensed \$60,000 in legal fees to Angerer & Angerer in 2009. No amounts were paid or accrued in 2011 or 2010. The Company owes \$150,000 to Angerer & Angerer at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

#### **FORM 10-O**

#### PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

June 30, 2011

# <u>ITEM 1</u> <u>Financial Statements</u> (Continued)

Note 6. <u>Related Party Transactions</u> (Continued)

From June 2009 until his resignation on January 14, 2010, the Company retained Robert J. Angerer, Sr. as legal counsel. Mr. Angerer had been litigation counsel to the Company for more than twenty-five years before his resignation. As counsel for the Company he served the Company as litigation counsel, but also provided the Company with general counsel services and management services and represented the Company before state and federal agencies for permitting. Mr. Angerer, Sr. is also a shareholder and a director of the Company. The Company accrued and expensed no legal fees to Mr. Angerer, Sr. for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, and 2010.

Also since June 2009, the Company has retained Robert J. Angerer, Jr. who serves as the Company s corporate secretary and handles management services, public relations, shareholder relations and management of the Company s website. No amounts were paid or accrued in 2011 or 2010, related to these services.

The Company incurred and expensed \$6,094 and \$7,795 in legal fees to the law firm of Igler & Dougherty, PA, during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The Company owed Igler & Dougherty, PA \$7,559 at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Mr. Herbert D. Haughton, a shareholder of the firm, was elected a director of Coastal Caribbean and of Coastal Petroleum in December 2005.

# Note 7. <u>Certificates of Deposit Restricted</u>

The Company has pledged certificates of deposit for pollution bond requirements under three previous well permits.

#### Note 8. Notes Payable

During the first six months of 2009, the Company borrowed \$48,198 from two individuals, which was used to make annual rental payments on specific leases. The loans are non interest bearing and have no set repayment terms. The individuals were granted a 0.5% royalty interest in the leases for which the borrowed money was used to pay rentals, which are located primarily in the Starbuck prospect area in Montana. These loans were repaid in January 2010.

During August 2009, the Company borrowed \$25,000 from a consultant and also agreed to pay the consultant a fee of \$25,000 to identify investors to consummate an agreement and fund the Drilling Plan. The funds from the loan were used to pay the Company s annual corporate fee to Bermuda as well as certain other operational expenses. The loan is non interest bearing and has no set repayment terms. No investor agreements have been consummated by the consultant at June 30, 2011, therefore the \$25,000 loan is not currently due and the \$25,000 fee has not been earned.

#### **FORM 10-O**

### PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

June 30, 2011

# <u>ITEM 1</u> <u>Financial Statements</u> (Continued)

### Note 9. <u>Stock Transactions</u>

On January 14, 2010, the Company and Coastal Petroleum entered into a letter agreement with Robert J. Angerer, Sr. for the funding of immediate cash needs and granted Mr. Angerer an option to fund the Company's and Coastal Petroleum's future obligations. Under the agreement Mr. Angerer provided compensation to the Company including \$300,000 cash and the forgiveness of \$150,000 of legal fees owed to his law firm, Angerer & Angerer, forgiveness of \$21,500 in director fees owed to him and credit of \$240,000 for the completion of the Company's purchase of leases on which there is a Red River oil and gas development prospect. In return, Mr. Angerer was issued 14,400,000 Rule 144 restricted shares of the Company's common stock and was provided an option to further fund the Company. Under the agreement, Mr. Angerer could exercise up to four options by paying \$3 million for each option beginning three months after the date of the agreement and thereafter in three month intervals. In return for the funding, Mr. Angerer would earn up to a total of 36% of the Company's operations in North Dakota and Montana in increments per exercised option and a 20% interest in Coastal Petroleum. There was also one extension available to extend the time to exercise the first option for three months in exchange for the payment of \$50,000. Simultaneous with this transaction, Mr. Angerer resigned as the Vice President of both the Company and Coastal, but will remain as a Director and the Chairman of the Board of Directors for both the Company and Coastal Petroleum.

On April 29, 2010, Mr. Angerer paid \$50,000 and elected to extend the option to fund the Company s operations. However, Mr. Angerer did not exercise his option within the required time and in July 2010, the agreement expired by its terms.

On May 28, 2010, the Company entered agreements with two individuals who paid \$10,000 each in return for each individual receiving 162,500 shares of the Company s common stock and a 1/4% overriding royalty in Coastal Petroleum s Starbuck East Montana shallow gas leases. An entity that brought the investors to the Company also received a similar overriding royalty and 67,000 shares of the Company s common stock. The Company used this money to pay the lease rentals that were due on September 1, 2010.

On August 26, 2010, the Company entered into a letter agreement with Robert J. Angerer, Sr. a Director and the Chairman of the Board of Directors for both the Company and Coastal, for the sale of 8,000,000 restricted shares of the Company s common stock for \$400,000, to be used for exploration, prospect acquisition and operating costs. Approximately \$250,000 of the proceeds from the sale of the stock was used to drill a test well on Coastal s Starbuck East shallow gas prospect in Valley County, Montana and another \$40,000 was used to acquire additional Lodgepole prospects in North Dakota.

# **FORM 10-Q**

# **PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

June 30, 2011

**ITEM 1 Financial Statements** (Continued)

Note 9. <u>Stock Transactions</u> (Continued)

On May 20, 2011, the Company entered into an agreement with Robert J. Angerer, Sr., a Director and the Chairman of the Board of Directors for both the Company and Coastal, for the sale of 857,142 restricted shares of the Company s common stock for \$60,000, payable in three tranches from the date of the agreement, with the last \$8,000 payment made in July 2011. In addition, under the Agreement Mr. Angerer may infuse \$240,000 in additional capital through the purchase of additional shares, at the market price at the time of the capital infusion, in order to complete the Federal 1-19A well and cover other company expenses.

# FORM 10-Q

## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

June 30, 2011

# ITEM 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Forward Looking Statements

Statements included in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which are not historical in nature are intended to be forward looking statements. The Company cautions readers that forward looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated in the forward looking statements. Among the risks and uncertainties are: the uncertainty of securing additional funding through the sale of shares of Coastal Petroleum and/or Coastal Caribbean; changes in the income tax laws relating to tax loss carry forwards; the failure of the Company s test wells to locate oil or gas reserves or the failure to locate oil or gas reserves which are economically feasible to recover; reductions in world wide oil or gas prices; adverse weather conditions; or mechanical failures of equipment used to explore the Company s leases.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies**

The Company follows the full cost method of accounting for its oil and gas properties. All costs associated with property acquisition, exploration and development activities whether successful or unsuccessful are capitalized

The capitalized costs are subject to a ceiling test which basically limits such costs to the aggregate of the estimated present value discounted at a 10% rate of future net revenues from proved reserves, based on current economic and operating conditions, plus the lower of cost or fair market value of unproved properties.

The Company assesses whether its unproved properties are impaired on a periodic basis. This assessment is based upon work completed on the properties to date, the expiration date of its leases and technical data from the properties and adjacent areas.

#### General

We are an active independent oil and gas exploration company and through our subsidiary, Coastal Petroleum, we hold mineral rights in Montana and North Dakota in the oil producing region known as the Williston Basin. Our objective formations on those leases include the Lodgepole and the Eagle among others. The Company s future growth will be driven primarily by exploration and development activities. Our business strategy is to increase shareholder value by acquiring and drilling reasonably priced prospects that have good potential, whether in the Williston Basin or in other parts of the United States, with the goal of shaping the Company into a producing independent oil and gas firm. We will continue to seek high quality exploration projects with potential for providing long-term drilling inventories that generate high returns.

In Montana, we have obtained the rights to explore for oil and gas in one area which will be our primary area of focus. This primary area is a large assembly of leases covering approximately 32,313 net acres in Valley County, located in northeastern Montana close to known production from a Lodgepole reef. This area of Montana has a number of other

#### **FORM 10-O**

### PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

June 30, 2011

# ITEM 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (Continued)

producing formations in addition to the Lodgepole, including the Eagle sands. These leases cover our Starbuck East shallow natural gas prospect that includes the Eagle sands. We also hold leases in southwestern North Dakota covering a Red River oil prospect.

During 2010 and the first half of 2011, we were primarily focused on and engaged in raising capital to fund the Company so that we could recommence the exploration of our leases. Due to the recession and the fragile state of the country s financial market, exploration funds were a scarce commodity to obtain. We contacted, met with and negotiated with various individuals and groups during the year. To date, no outside parties have provided the funds necessary to begin exploration. While we were close to consummating an agreement with one entity and amended the articles of our subsidiary to be prepared to complete the transaction, the other party could not deliver the capital as promised. The combination of the broken deal and the state of the economy, left the Company with no other viable alternative other than to sell shares of its common stock to raise capital necessary to remain a viable public company and to retain its most valuable leases.

We continue to be actively engaged in pursuing funding for our Drilling Program. The Drilling Program is an aggressive exploration operation which would allow us to explore the potential of each of the areas we hold under lease. The Drilling Program covers exploration in two areas: a development Red River Formation prospect in Slope County, North Dakota, on approximately 400 acres we acquired; and twelve step out wells from the Federal 1-19 well on the Starbuck East prospect in Montana. The Company is proceeding with the relatively inexpensive process of permitting wells in its main block of leases in Valley County, Montana, in order to accommodate the drilling of the expected wells.

On January 14, 2010, Robert J. Angerer, Sr., the chairman of the board of directors and vice president of the Company at the time, entered into a letter agreement for the funding of immediate cash needs and granting Mr. Angerer an option to fund the Company s and Coastal s future obligations. The agreement was similar to the deal that was not consummated by a third party during 2009. Under the agreement Mr. Angerer provided compensation to the Company including \$300,000 cash and the forgiveness of \$150,000 of legal fees owed to his law firm, Angerer & Angerer, forgiveness of \$21,500 in director fees owed to him and credit of \$240,000 to him for the completion of the Company s purchase of leases on which there is a Red River oil and gas development prospect. In return, Mr. Angerer was issued 14,400,000 Rule 144 restricted shares of the Company s common stock and provided an option to further fund the Company. Simultaneous with this transaction, Mr. Angerer resigned as the Vice President of both the Company and Coastal, but has remained as a Director and the Chairman of the Board of Directors for both the Company and Coastal. Mr. Angerer exercised the one extension granted him to extend the time to exercise the first option for three months in exchange for the payment of \$50,000, however, the remaining options expired unexercised.

On August 26, 2010, after the January agreement expired, the Company entered into a separate letter agreement with Mr. Angerer for the sale of 8,000,000 restricted shares of the Company s common stock for \$400,000, to be used for exploration, prospect acquisition and operating costs. Using some of the funds from this sale of stock, we drilled a test well on

#### **FORM 10-O**

#### PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

June 30, 2011

# ITEM 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (Continued)

Coastal s Starbuck East shallow gas prospect in Valley County, Montana for approximately \$365,000. We also acquired four additional high-graded Lodgepole Reef prospects in North Dakota for \$20,000 which subsequently expired in 2011 before funding could be secured to drill them. We continue to speak to and seek entities interested in funding our Drilling Program.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company drilled an exploratory gas well on its Valley County, Montana Leases to test multiple zones potentially containing natural gas. The well has been logged and cased and the results and information gathered from the well continue to be evaluated in order to provide an assessment of the potential for natural gas production from the several target horizons drilled through at this location. To date, we do not have a definitive determination as to whether economic quantities of oil and gas exist within the target zones at this location.

On May 20, 2011, the Company entered into an agreement with Mr. Angerer for the sale of 857,142 restricted shares of the Company s common stock for \$60,000 payable in three tranches from the date of the agreement with the last \$8,000 payment made in July 2011. In addition, under the Agreement Mr. Angerer may infuse \$240,000 in additional capital through the purchase of additional shares in order to complete the Federal 1-19A well and cover other company expenses.

Under a past agreement with F-Cross, the Federal 1-19 well, was drilled on our Valley County, Montana Leases to test a shallow gas prospect during October 2007. The well reached a total depth of 1,126 feet and confirmed the structural high that was targeted and had gas shows in two zones. Casing was run into the hole and the Company engaged in three stages of completion operations, including stimulation of the well, a common procedure in completing oil and gas wells.

The Company was unable to determine by the Federal 1-19 well whether the target formations contain economic quantities of gas because drilling damage in the well prevented the testing of either of the prospective formations at this location. Completion efforts found that the Eagle formation was damaged by the initial drilling and the formation was not able to be tested from this well. Further drilling into the Eagle formation during completion did not yield gas like the gas show seen from the upper part of the formation that was damaged. The Judith River formation, a secondary target, was damaged by drilling fluids lost into the formation while drilling through it to get to the Eagle formation, the primary target. Future wells to test this structure will incorporate the information obtained from these wells to prevent that damage from occurring again in other locations on the structure.

In North Dakota, we control the working interest on approximately 400 net acres in Slope County, under which lies our Red River prospect. Other leases that we previously held in North Dakota expired during the first quarter of 2011, because we were not able to raise the funds needed to cover the cost of drilling exploratory wells to test the Lodgepole Reef prospects on the leases.

#### **FORM 10-O**

### PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

June 30, 2011

# ITEM 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (Continued)

If our funding efforts are successful, we plan to drill or participate in as many as sixteen exploratory wells under our Drilling Plan. However, the number of wells that we drill in 2011 and their cost will be subject to various factors, including whether or not we can obtain sufficient funding to carry out the Drilling Program, the availability of drilling rigs that we can hire and whether we drill alone or with other participants. In addition, we could reduce the number of wells that we drill if oil and natural gas prices were to decline significantly. We expect the cost of drilling the sixteen wells to depend upon many factors, including those above, which may affect the cost of operations and whether and to what extent others participate with the Company.

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

## Liquidity

As more fully described in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, we have no recurring revenues, have experienced recurring losses and have a deficit accumulated during the development stage. We, along with various other related parties, settled several lawsuits in 2005, which were filed by the Company, our subsidiary Coastal Petroleum Company and other related parties against the State of Florida. All of these lawsuits were related to the State s actions limiting our ability to commence development activities through our subsidiary. The cost of that litigation was substantial. Management believes its current cash position, and its active efforts to obtain funding will allow the Company to move forward to explore and develop profitable oil and gas operations, although there is no assurance these efforts will be successful. These situations raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or amounts and classification of liabilities, which may result from the outcome of these uncertainties.

The Company had no available cash, excluding certificate of deposits pledged for drilling permits, at June 30, 2011, and had \$17,000 at December 31, 2010. Our current liabilities exceed our current assets by approximately \$1,371,000 at June 30, 2011. We have suspended payments to our directors, general legal counsel, and employee since the second quarter of 2007 and have accrued approximately \$1,345,000 in expenses as of June 30, 2011. We expect to continue to suspend payments to these parties until sufficient funding can be secured to resume exploration operations and cover normal operating expenses. In May 2011, we received \$52,000 in cash from Mr. Angerer and we received \$8,000 in July 2011 in exchange for the issuance of 857,142 shares of common stock and an option to further fund the Company in the amount of \$240,000 to complete the Federal 1-19A well. We paid \$27,398 in annual lease payments that were due in June 2011. Our next lease rental payments are due on October 1, 2011, in the amount of \$20,550.

#### **FORM 10-O**

#### PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

June 30, 2011

# ITEM 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (Continued)

### **Capital Resources**

During 2010, the Company sold 22,725,000 shares of common stock for \$770,000 in cash and settlement of \$217,850 in liabilities. We drilled a test well on Coastal s Starbuck East shallow gas prospect in Valley County, Montana and hired a consultant to obtain additional drilling permits for approximately \$492,000. We also acquired four additional high-graded Lodgepole Reef prospects in North Dakota for \$20,000 which leases subsequently expired in the first quarter of 2011.

In North Dakota, we control the working interest on approximately 400 net acres in Slope County covering a Red River oil prospect. We had originally intended to bring in others to share the risk and investment in wells it drilled in North Dakota until the Company is in a stronger financial position, but are now actively seeking funding to allow us to begin such exploration on our own.

If our funding efforts are successful, we plan to drill or participate in as many as sixteen exploratory wells under our Drilling Plan. However, the number of wells that we drill in 2011 and their cost will be subject to various factors, including whether or not we can obtain sufficient funding to carry out the Drilling Program, the availability of drilling rigs that we can hire and whether we drill alone or with other participants. In addition, we could reduce the number of wells that we drill if oil and natural gas prices were to decline significantly. We expect the cost of drilling the sixteen wells to depend upon many factors, including those above, which may affect the cost of operations and whether and to what extent others participate with the Company.

We expect to continue to participate with others or to obtain sufficient funding to allow the Company to drill additional wells both in Montana and North Dakota.

### **Results of Operations**

# Three months ended June 30, 2011 vs. June 30, 2010

We conducted limited operational activities in the second quarter of 2011 and did not conduct drilling activities in the second quarter of 2010. Our efforts have primarily been focused on soliciting funding or potential partners for our Drilling Program. Our expenses are primarily administrative and our 2011 expenses remained consistent with 2010 amounts.

# Six months ended June 30, 2011 vs. June 30, 2010

We conducted limited operational activities in 2011 and capitalized \$3,335 on such activities, but did not conduct drilling activities in 2011. Our efforts have primarily been focused on soliciting funding or potential partners for our Drilling Program. Our expenses are primarily administrative and our 2011 expenses remained consistent with 2010 amounts.

#### **FORM 10-O**

### PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

June 30, 2011

# ITEM 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (Continued)

# ITEM 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

The Company does not have any significant exposure to market risk as there were no investments in marketable securities at June 30, 2011.

# ITEM 4 Controls and Procedures

# a. Management s annual report on internal control over financial reporting.

We maintain controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Our Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ) Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, has concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective based on their evaluation of these controls and procedures required by paragraph (b) of Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 or 15d-15.

- **Changes in internal controls.** The Company made no changes in its internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company s second fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or which is reasonably likely to materially affect the Company s internal control over financial reporting.
- c. <u>Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls</u> Our management, including our Chief Executive and Principal Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and internal controls will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no

The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time,

# FORM 10-Q

# PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

June 30, 2011

controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

#### **FORM 10-O**

### PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

June 30, 2011

# ITEM 1 Legal Proceedings

During the third quarter of 2009, the Company was involved as a defendant in a case entitled *American Pipe & Supply Co. v. Coastal Petroleum Company, et.al, Cause* No. DV 08-63, in the Montana Seventeenth Judicial District Court in Valley County, Montana. This claim is in relation to the failure of our farmee, F-Cross, who has filed for bankruptcy, to pay for pipe purchased from the Plaintiff to drill the State 7-16 well. The claim seeks relief against Coastal Petroleum: to foreclose an oil and gas lien on the single part of one lease involved which is held in Coastal Petroleum s name; and damages for breach of contract and quantum meruit in the amount of about \$80,000. Because the farmee has filed bankruptcy the proceeding is in abeyance. Coastal Petroleum has filed an answer and its counsel advises it is likely that only the claim to foreclose the lien should withstand a motion for summary judgment by Coastal Petroleum. While it is likely that the Plaintiff will obtain the lien, this lien would only apply to the small area under that specific lease that was drilled. During the second quarter of 2011, the Company became aware of an additional claim of lien that has been filed by another party relating to the State 7-16 well seeking similar relief in the amount \$295,000.

In addition, during the second quarter of 2011, the Company became aware of three other claims of liens that have been filed relating to the Evaline (for approximately \$10,400), Federal 1-19 (for approximately \$113,800) and Federal 1-19A (for approximately \$33,200) wells all of which seek similar relief as to the respective wells.

Also in the second quarter, the drilling contractor on the Company s Federal 1-19A well in Montana, filed suit against Coastal Petroleum in Houston, Texas, for breach of contract seeking damages of approximately \$98,000. Coastal Petroleum has retained counsel to have the claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction and improper venue.

Except as described in the preceding paragraph, to the best knowledge of our management, there are no material litigation matters pending or threatened against us.

#### ITEM 5 Other Information

The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, provides special rules for distributions received by U.S. holders on stock of a passive foreign investment company (PFIC), as well as amounts received from the sale or other disposition of PFIC stock. Under the PFIC rules, a non-U.S. corporation will be classified as a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes in any taxable year in which, after applying certain look-through rules, either (1) at least 75 percent of its gross income is passive income or (2) at least 50 percent of the gross value of its assets is attributable to assets that produce passive income or are held for the production of passive income.

The Company believes that it may be classified as a PFIC for the year 2010, because it derived the majority of its gross income in 2010 from the relatively small amount of interest the Company received. The determination of whether the Company will be considered a PFIC for United States federal income tax purposes is an annual determination that cannot be made until the close of the fiscal year. Also, how the Company was classified in prior years does not affect how it will be classified in the current year.

## **FORM 10-Q**

### **PART II - OTHER INFORMATION**

June 30, 2011

If, for any taxable year, the Company s passive income or assets that produce passive income exceed levels provided by U.S. law, the Company would be a passive foreign investment company, or PFIC, for U.S. federal income tax purposes. For the years 1987 through 2004 and in 2006, Coastal Caribbean s passive income and assets that produce passive income exceeded those levels and for those years Coastal Caribbean constituted a PFIC. If Coastal Caribbean is a PFIC for any taxable year, then the Company s U.S. shareholders potentially would be subject to adverse U.S. tax consequences of holding and disposing of shares of our common stock for that year and for future tax years. Any gain from the sale of, and certain distributions with respect to, shares of the Company s common stock, would cause a U.S. holder to become liable for U.S. federal income tax under section 1291 of the Internal Revenue Code (the interest charge regime). The tax is computed by allocating the amount of the gain on the sale or the amount of the distribution, as the case may be, to each day in the U.S. shareholder s holding period. To the extent that the amount is allocated to a year, other than the year of the disposition or distribution, in which the corporation was treated as a PFIC with respect to the U.S. holder, the income will be taxed as ordinary income at the highest rate in effect for that year, plus an interest charge.

For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

ITEM 6	Exhibits
31.1	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14 by Phillip W. Ware
32.1	Certification pursuant to Section 906 by Phillip W. Ware
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document. (1)
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema. (1)
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase. (1)
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase. (1)
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase. (1)

Pursuant to Rule 406T of U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-T, the interactive data files on Exhibit 101 of this report are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

FORM 10-Q

June 30, 2011

# **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

# COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS, LTD.

Registrant

Date: August 15, 2011

By /s/ Phillip W. Ware
Phillip W. Ware
Chief Executive Officer,
President and Treasurer