

Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP  
Form 10-Q  
November 04, 2011

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from                      to

Commission file number: 001-33225

**Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

<b>Delaware</b> (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	<b>20-5336063</b> (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
<b>2122 York Road, Oak Brook, IL</b> (Address of principal executive offices)	<b>60523</b> (Zip Code)
<b>(630) 574-3000</b> (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of November 4, 2011, 58,960,444 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock, par value \$.0001 per share, were outstanding.

**Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation and Subsidiaries**

**Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the**

**Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

**For the Quarterly Period ended September 30, 2011**

**INDEX**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Part I Financial Information (Unaudited)</b>	
Item 1	
Financial Statements	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months and Nine Months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Nine Months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	6
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
Item 2	21
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	
Item 3	29
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	
Item 4	30
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	
<b>Part II Other Information</b>	
Item 1	31
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	
Item 1A	31
<u>Risk Factors</u>	
Item 2	31
<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	
Item 3	31
<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	
Item 4	31
<u>Reserved</u>	
Item 5	31
<u>Other Information</u>	
Item 6	31
<u>Exhibits</u>	
<b><u>Signature</u></b>	32
<b><u>Exhibit Index</u></b>	33

**GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets****(Unaudited)****(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 107,050	\$ 48,478
Accounts receivable net	110,044	95,548
Contract revenues in excess of billings	25,735	24,842
Inventories	32,398	31,734
Prepaid expenses	2,703	3,448
Other current assets	28,747	18,919
Total current assets	306,677	222,969
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT Net	311,588	323,231
GOODWILL	98,049	98,049
OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS Net	1,340	3,280
INVENTORIES Noncurrent	30,988	27,128
INVESTMENTS IN JOINT VENTURES	7,221	7,329
OTHER	11,955	11,839
TOTAL	\$ 767,818	\$ 693,825
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>		
Accounts payable	\$ 71,928	\$ 82,721
Accrued expenses	27,688	32,809
Billings in excess of contract revenues	15,212	14,484
Current portion of note payable	2,500	2,500
Current portion of equipment debt	1,493	303
Total current liabilities	118,821	132,817
LONG TERM NOTE PAYABLE	5,000	5,000
7 3/8% SENIOR NOTES	250,000	
7 3/4% SENIOR SUBORDINATED NOTES		175,000
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	99,451	92,466
OTHER	9,200	11,717
Total liabilities	482,472	417,000
<b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 10)</b>		
<b>EQUITY:</b>		
Common stock \$.0001 par value; 90,000,000 authorized, 58,960,444 and 58,770,369 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.	6	6
Additional paid-in capital	267,297	266,329
Retained earnings	18,468	12,261
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(795)	357
Total Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation Equity	284,976	278,953

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS	370	(2,128)
Total equity	285,346	276,825
TOTAL	\$ 767,818	\$ 693,825

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

## Great Lakes Dredge &amp; Dock Corporation and Subsidiaries

## Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Unaudited)

(in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Contract revenues	\$ 158,468	\$ 173,333	\$ 468,765	\$ 514,868
Costs of contract revenues	131,077	140,638	394,166	417,100
Gross profit	27,391	32,695	74,599	97,768
General and administrative expenses	12,736	16,640	38,447	42,084
Gain on sale of assets, net	(131)		(2,902)	
Operating income	14,786	16,055	39,054	55,684
Interest expense, net	(5,571)	(3,302)	(16,432)	(9,517)
Equity in earnings (loss) of joint ventures	606	81	(108)	(772)
Loss on foreign currency transactions, net	(544)		(544)	
Loss on extinguishment of debt			(5,145)	
Income before income taxes	9,277	12,834	16,825	45,395
Income tax provision	(3,618)	(5,113)	(6,600)	(18,107)
Net income	5,659	7,721	10,225	27,288
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(57)	(36)	(525)	531
Net income attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 5,602	\$ 7,685	\$ 9,700	\$ 27,819
Basic earnings per share attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.47
Basic weighted average shares	58,930	58,698	58,863	58,616
Diluted earnings per share attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.47
Diluted weighted average shares	59,161	58,901	59,533	58,818
Dividends declared per share	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.05

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

## Great Lakes Dredge &amp; Dock Corporation and Subsidiaries

## Condensed Consolidated Statements of Equity

(Unaudited)

(in thousands, except share amounts)

	Shares of Common Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Noncontrolling Interests	Total
BALANCE January 1, 2011	58,770,369	\$ 6	\$ 266,329	\$ 12,261	\$ 357	\$ (2,128)	\$ 276,825
Share-based compensation	77,369		1,224				1,224
Vesting of restricted stock units, including impact of shares withheld for taxes	106,428		(291)				(291)
Exercise of stock options	6,278		27				27
Excess income tax benefit from share based compensation			48				48
Acquisition of noncontrolling interest in NASDI, LLC			(40)			1,973	1,933
Dividends declared and paid				(3,473)			(3,473)
Dividend equivalents paid on restricted stock units				(20)			(20)
Comprehensive income (loss):							
Net income				9,700		525	10,225
Currency translation adjustment, net					(374)		(374)
Reclassification of derivative gains to earnings (net of tax of \$830)					(1,250)		(1,250)
Change in fair value of derivatives (net of tax of \$313)					472		472
Total comprehensive income						525	9,073
BALANCE September 30, 2011	58,960,444	\$ 6	\$ 267,297	\$ 18,468	\$ (795)	\$ 370	\$ 285,346

	Shares of Common Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Noncontrolling Interests	Total
BALANCE January 1, 2010	58,542,038	\$ 6	\$ 263,579	\$ (18,336)	\$ 539	\$ (1,239)	244,549
Share-based compensation	68,467		1,734				1,734
Vesting of restricted stock units, including impact of shares withheld for taxes	13,202						
Exercise of stock options	97,917		470				470
Dividends declared and paid				(2,990)			(2,990)
Dividend equivalents paid on restricted stock units				(17)			(17)
Comprehensive income (loss):							
Net income (loss)				27,819		(531)	27,288
					(96)		(96)

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

Reclassification of derivative gains to earnings (net of tax of \$64)									
Change in fair value of derivatives (net of tax of \$153)									
								(231)	(231)
Total comprehensive income (loss)									26,961
BALANCE	September 30, 2010	58,721,624	\$	6	\$ 265,783	\$	6,476	\$	212
								\$	(1,770)
									\$ 270,707

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.



## Great Lakes Dredge &amp; Dock Corporation and Subsidiaries

## Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

(in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net income	\$ 10,225	\$ 27,288
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	29,999	26,020
Equity in loss of joint ventures	108	772
Loss on extinguishment of 7 3/4% senior subordinated notes	5,145	
Deferred income taxes	8,793	(683)
Gain on dispositions of property and equipment	(2,902)	(415)
Amortization of deferred financing fees	1,181	1,205
Unrealized foreign currency loss	525	
Share-based compensation expense	1,224	1,734
Excess income tax benefit from share based compensation	(48)	
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(13,279)	47,649
Contract revenues in excess of billings	(893)	10,342
Inventories	(4,524)	846
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(11,641)	2,263
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(9,707)	(3,447)
Billings in excess of contract revenues	728	(5,679)
Other noncurrent assets and liabilities	(1,308)	419
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	13,626	108,314
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Purchases of property and equipment	(24,901)	(18,283)
Proceeds from dispositions of property and equipment	7,452	361
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(17,449)	(17,922)
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Proceeds from issuance of 7 3/8% senior notes	250,000	
Redemption of 7 3/4% senior subordinated notes	(175,000)	
Senior subordinated notes redemption premium	(2,264)	
Deferred financing fees	(5,962)	
Dividends paid	(3,473)	(2,990)
Dividend equivalents paid on restricted stock units	(20)	(17)
Taxes paid on settlement of vested share awards	(291)	
Repayments of equipment debt	(274)	(1,074)
Exercise of stock options	27	470
Excess income tax benefit from share-based compensation	48	
Borrowings under revolving loans		14,968
Repayments of revolving loans		(25,968)
Net cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	62,791	(14,611)
Change in cash and equivalents due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates		(396)

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

Net change in cash and cash equivalents	58,572	75,781
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	48,478	3,250
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 107,050	\$ 79,031
<b>Supplemental Cash Flow Information</b>		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 12,714	\$ 6,848
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 5,282	\$ 15,521
<b>Non-cash Investing Activity</b>		
Property and equipment purchased but not yet paid	\$ 3,366	\$ 2,565
Property and equipment purchased on capital leases and equipment notes	\$ 2,085	\$ 33
Acquisition of noncontrolling interest in NASDI, LLC	\$ 40	\$

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

---

**GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Unaudited)**

**(dollar amounts in thousands, except per share amounts or as otherwise noted)**

**1. Basis of presentation**

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes herein should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements of Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation and Subsidiaries (the Company or Great Lakes) and the notes thereto, included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by the Company without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the SEC's rules and regulations, although management believes that the disclosures are adequate and make the information presented not misleading. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, which are of a normal and recurring nature (except as otherwise noted), that are necessary to present fairly the Company's financial position as of September 30, 2011, its results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 and its cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 have been included.

The components of costs of contract revenues include labor, equipment (including depreciation, maintenance, insurance and long-term rentals), subcontracts, fuel and project overhead. Hourly labor is generally hired on a project-by-project basis. Costs of contract revenues vary significantly depending on the type and location of work performed and assets utilized. Generally, capital projects have the highest margins due to the complexity of the projects, while beach nourishment projects have the most volatile margins because they are most often exposed to variability in weather conditions. In the current year condensed consolidated statements of operations, the Company has presented gains, net of losses, on the sale of assets as a separate line item in operating income. In the prior year gains, net of losses, on the sale of assets included in costs of contract revenues were \$151 and \$387 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

The Company's cost structure includes significant annual equipment-related costs, including depreciation, maintenance, insurance and long-term rentals. These costs have averaged approximately 21% to 22% of total costs of contract revenues over the last three years. During the year, both equipment utilization and the timing of fixed cost expenditures fluctuate significantly. Accordingly, the Company allocates these fixed equipment costs to interim periods in proportion to revenues recognized over the year to better match revenues and expenses. Specifically, at each interim reporting date the Company compares actual revenues earned to date on its dredging contracts to expected annual revenues and recognizes equipment costs on the same proportionate basis. In the fourth quarter, any over and under allocated equipment costs are recognized such that the expense for the year equals actual equipment costs incurred during the year.

The Company operates in two reportable segments: dredging and demolition. These reportable segments are the Company's operating segments and the reporting units at which the Company tests goodwill for impairment. In the current quarter, the Company early adopted new accounting guidance that allows for the option to perform a qualitative assessment prior to calculating the fair value of a reporting unit in the first step of the annual goodwill impairment testing. The Company performed its most recent annual test of impairment as of July 1, 2011 for the goodwill in both the dredging and demolition segments with no indication of goodwill impairment as of the test date. As of the test date, the fair value of both the dredging segment and the demolition segment were in excess of their carrying values by approximately 35% and 8%, respectively. Given the small margin the demolition segment's fair value is in excess of its carrying value, a more than insignificant decline in the demolition segment's future operating results or cash flow forecasts versus the segment's current forecasts could potentially cause a goodwill impairment charge to be recognized in a future period.

The condensed consolidated results of operations for the interim periods presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

***Future adoption of accounting standards***

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an amendment to their accounting guidance to clarify existing standards and to improve comparability of fair value measurements disclosed in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). The amendment clarifies the FASB's intent as it relates to existing measurement guidance and revises some requirements for measuring or disclosing information about fair value measurements. The amendment will be effective for Great Lakes on January 1, 2012 and is not expected to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.



In June 2011, the FASB issued an amendment to their accounting guidance that requires presentation of net income and total comprehensive income, together with their components, either in a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. The amendment does not alter any current recognition or measurement requirements in respect of items of other comprehensive income. When adopted, Great Lakes will cease to present the components of other comprehensive income within the statements of equity. The amendment will be effective for Great Lakes on January 1, 2012, with early adoption permitted.

## 2. Earnings per share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted earnings per share is computed similar to basic earnings per share except that it reflects the potential dilution that could occur if dilutive securities or other obligations to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. The computations for basic and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations are as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2011		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	
<b>Numerator:</b>				
Net income attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share	\$ 5,602	\$ 7,685	\$ 9,700	\$ 27,819
<b>Denominator:</b>				
Denominator for basic earnings per share weighted average shares outstanding	58,930	58,698	58,863	58,616
Dilutive impact of outstanding restricted stock units issued	178	173	250	165
Dilutive impact of outstanding stock options issued*	53	30	420	37
Denominator for diluted earnings per share adjusted weighted average shares	59,161	58,901	59,533	58,818
Basic earnings per share attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.47
Diluted earnings per share attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.47

\* For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, 990,794 and zero options to purchase shares of common stock, respectively, were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, 588,384 and 304,695 options to purchase shares of common stock, respectively, were excluded. The options were excluded based on the application of the treasury stock method, as such options were determined to be anti-dilutive.

## 3. Fair value measurements

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. A fair value hierarchy has been established by GAAP that requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The accounting guidance describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

The Company utilizes the market approach to measure fair value for its financial assets and liabilities. The market approach uses prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities. At September 30, 2011, the Company held certain derivative contracts that it uses to manage commodity price risk and interest rate risk. The Company does not hold or issue derivatives for speculative or trading purposes. At September 30, 2011 the Company held a position in a money market fund where quoted

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

prices are not available in an active market. The fair values of these financial instruments are summarized as follows:

Description	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using			
	At September 30, 2011	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical		
		Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Cash equivalents	\$ 20,022	\$	\$ 20,022	\$
Fuel hedge contracts	(701)		(701)	
Interest rate swap contracts	1,198			1,198
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 20,519	\$	\$ 19,321	\$ 1,198

Description	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using			
	At December 31, 2010	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical		
		Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Fuel hedge contracts	\$ 595	\$	\$ 595	\$
Interest rate swap contracts	1,264			1,264
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 1,859	\$	\$ 595	\$ 1,264

#### Interest rate swaps

In May 2009, the Company entered into two interest rate swap arrangements, which are effective through December 15, 2012, to swap a notional amount of \$50 million from a fixed rate of 7.75% to a floating LIBOR-based rate in order to manage the interest rate paid with respect to the Company's 7.75% senior subordinated notes. Although the senior subordinated notes were redeemed in January 2011, the swaps remain in place. The swap is not accounted for as a hedge; therefore, the changes in fair value are recorded as adjustments to interest expense in each reporting period.

The Company verifies the fair value of the interest rate swaps using a quantitative model that contains both observable and unobservable inputs. The unobservable inputs relate primarily to the LIBOR rate and long-term nature of the contracts. The Company believes that these unobservable inputs are significant and accordingly the Company determines the fair value of these interest rate swap contracts using Level 3 inputs.

	Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) Interest Rate Swaps 2011		Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) Interest Rate Swaps 2010	
	\$		\$	
Balance at January 1,	\$	1,264	\$	(20)
Total unrealized gains (losses): included in earnings		(511)		1,365
Settlements		445		440
Balance at September 30,	\$	1,198	\$	1,785

Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) Interest Rate		Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) Interest Rate	
--	--	--	--

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

		<b>Swaps 2011</b>		<b>Swaps 2010</b>
Balance at July 1,	\$	1,141	\$	1,193
Total unrealized losses: included in earnings Settlements		57		592
Balance at September 30,	\$	1,198	\$	1,785



**Fuel hedge contracts**

The Company is exposed to certain market risks, primarily commodity price risk as it relates to the diesel fuel purchase requirements, which occur in the normal course of business. The Company enters into heating oil commodity swap contracts to hedge the risk that fluctuations in diesel fuel prices will have an adverse impact on cash flows associated with its domestic dredging contracts. The Company's goal is to hedge approximately 80% of the fuel requirements for work in backlog.

As of September 30, 2011, the Company was party to various swap arrangements to hedge the price of a portion of its diesel fuel purchase requirements for work in its backlog to be performed through May 2012. As of September 30, 2011, there were 3.2 million gallons remaining on these contracts which represent approximately 45% of the Company's forecasted fuel purchases through May 2012. Under these swap agreements, the Company will pay fixed prices ranging from \$2.35 to \$3.33 per gallon.

At each balance sheet date, unrealized gains and losses on fuel hedge contracts are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. Gains and losses realized upon settlement of fuel hedge contracts are reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as the fuel is utilized, as a reduction of fuel expense, which is a component of costs of contract revenues in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the fair value asset of the fuel hedge contracts was estimated to be \$19 and \$595, respectively, and is recorded in other current assets. At September 30, 2011 the fair value liability of the fuel hedge contracts was estimated to be \$720, and is recorded in accrued expenses. The gain reclassified to earnings from changes in fair value of derivatives, net of cash settlements and taxes, for the period ended September 30, 2011 was \$1,250. The remaining gains included in accumulated other comprehensive income at September 30, 2011 will be reclassified into earnings over the next eight months, corresponding to the period during which the hedged fuel is expected to be utilized. The fair values of fuel hedges are corroborated using inputs that are readily observable in public markets; therefore, the Company determines fair value of these fuel hedges using Level 2 inputs.

The fair value of the money market fund, interest rate and fuel hedge contracts outstanding as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is as follows:

		<b>Fair Value</b>	
		<b>At September 30, 2011</b>	
<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	<b>Fair Value Asset</b>	<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	<b>Fair Value Liability</b>
Cash equivalents	Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 20,022	
Fuel hedge contracts	Other current assets	19	Accrued expenses \$ (720)
Interest rate swaps	Other current assets	819	Accrued expenses
Interest rate swaps	Other assets	379	Other liabilities
Total		\$ 21,239	\$ (720)

		<b>Fair Value</b>	
		<b>At September 31, 2011</b>	
<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	<b>Fair Value Asset</b>	<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	<b>Fair Value Liability</b>
Interest rate swaps	Other current assets	\$ 816	Other liabilities \$
Interest rate swaps	Other assets	448	Other liabilities
Fuel hedge contracts	Other current assets	595	Accrued expenses
Total		\$ 1,859	\$

***Other financial instruments***

The carrying value of financial instruments included in current assets and current liabilities approximates fair value due to the short-term maturities of these instruments. In January 2011, the Company issued \$250,000 of 7.375% senior notes due February 1, 2019, which were outstanding at September 30, 2011. The senior notes are senior unsecured obligations of the Company and its subsidiaries that guarantee the senior notes. The fair value of the senior notes was \$231,250 at September 30, 2011, based on recent transactions.

#### 4. Share based compensation

The Company's 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan permits the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and restricted stock units to its employees and directors for up to 5.8 million shares of common stock. The Company believes that such awards better align the interests of its employees with those of its shareholders and help to attract and retain the best possible talent.

In June 2011, the Company granted 444,178 options to purchase shares of common stock and 263,428 restricted stock units to certain employees pursuant to the plan. In addition all non-employee directors on the Company's board of directors are paid a portion of their compensation in stock grants. Compensation cost charged to income related to all share-based compensation arrangements was \$381 and \$1,224, respectively, for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and \$440 and \$1,734, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

#### 5. Accounts receivable

Accounts receivable at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are as follows:

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Completed contracts	\$ 38,581	\$ 20,093
Contracts in progress	57,009	64,399
Retainage	16,293	12,711
	111,883	97,203
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(1,839)	(1,655)
Total accounts receivable	\$ 110,044	\$ 95,548

At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, \$4,181 and \$5,923, respectively, of retainage from long-term projects was not expected to be collected within the next twelve months and is classified as other non-current assets.

#### 6. Contracts in progress

The components of contracts in progress at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are as follows:

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
<b>Costs and earnings in excess of billings:</b>		
Costs and earnings for contracts in progress	\$ 179,826	\$ 287,291
Amounts billed	(158,153)	(263,665)
Costs and earnings in excess of billings for contracts in progress	21,673	23,626
Costs and earnings in excess of billings for completed contracts	4,062	1,216
Total contract revenues in excess of billings	\$ 25,735	\$ 24,842
<b>Billings in excess of costs and earnings:</b>		
Amounts billed	\$ (425,977)	\$ (429,688)
Costs and earnings for contracts in progress	410,765	415,204
Total billings in excess of contract revenues	\$ (15,212)	\$ (14,484)



**7. Accrued expenses**

Accrued expenses at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are as follows:

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Payroll and employee benefits	\$ 9,231	\$ 13,573
Insurance	8,674	11,039
Interest	3,073	604
Percentage of completion adjustment	2,849	3,232
Income and other taxes	1,433	2,977
Other	2,428	1,384
<b>Total accrued expenses</b>	<b>\$ 27,688</b>	<b>\$ 32,809</b>

**8. Foreign currency translation**

The financial statements of the Company's foreign subsidiaries where the operations are primarily denominated in the foreign currency are translated into U.S. dollars for reporting. Balance sheet accounts are translated at the current foreign exchange rate at the end of each period and income statement accounts are translated at the average foreign exchange rate for each period. Gains and losses on foreign currency translations are reflected as a currency translation adjustment in accumulated other comprehensive income. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses are included in loss on foreign currency transactions, net.

**9. Segment information**

The Company and its subsidiaries currently operate in two reportable segments: dredging and demolition. The Company's financial reporting systems present various data for management to run the business, including profit and loss statements prepared according to the segments presented. Management uses operating income to evaluate performance between the two segments. Segment information for the periods presented is provided as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>Dredging</b>				
Contract revenues	\$ 134,591	\$ 149,107	\$ 396,273	\$ 463,747
Operating income	13,593	15,796	45,008	56,827
<b>Demolition</b>				
Contract revenues	\$ 23,877	\$ 24,226	\$ 72,492	\$ 51,121
Operating income (loss)	1,193	259	(5,954)	(1,143)
<b>Total</b>				
Contract revenues	\$ 158,468	\$ 173,333	\$ 468,765	\$ 514,868
Operating income	14,786	16,055	39,054	55,684

In addition, foreign dredging revenue of \$21,843 and \$59,779 for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and \$20,916 and \$60,129 for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, was primarily attributable to work done in the Middle East and Brazil.

The majority of the Company's long-lived assets are marine vessels and related equipment. At any point in time, the Company may employ certain assets outside of the U.S., as needed, to perform work on the Company's foreign projects.

**10. Commitments and contingencies**

*Commercial commitments*

## Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

In June 2007, the Company entered into a credit agreement (the Credit Agreement ) with Bank of America N.A. as administrative agent and issuing lender, various other financial institutions as lenders and certain subsidiaries of the Company as loan parties. The Credit Agreement provides for a revolving credit facility of up to \$145,000 in borrowings and includes sublimits for the issuance of letters of credit and swingline loans. The revolving credit facility matures on June 12, 2012. The revolving credit facility bears interest at rates selected at the option of Great Lakes, currently equal to either LIBOR plus an applicable margin or the Base Rate (as defined

in the Credit Agreement), plus an applicable margin. The applicable margins for LIBOR loans and Base Rate loans, as well as any non-use fee, are subject to adjustment based upon the Company's ratio of Total Funded Debt to Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA (each as defined in the Credit Agreement). As of September 30, 2011, the Company had no borrowings and \$22,641 of letters of credit outstanding, resulting in \$122,359 of availability under the Credit Agreement.

The Company obtains its performance, bid and payment bonds through bonding agreements (the Bonding Agreements). The bonds issued under the Bonding Agreements are customarily required for dredging and marine construction projects, as well as demolition projects. As of September 30, 2011, Great Lakes had outstanding bonds valued at \$265,481; however, the revenue value remaining in backlog related to these projects totaled \$176,757.

The Company has a \$24,000 international letter of credit facility that it uses for the performance and advance payment guarantees on the Company's foreign contracts. As of September 30, 2011, Great Lakes had \$11,725 of letters of credit outstanding under this facility.

The Company also has \$250,000 of 7.375% senior notes outstanding, which mature in February 2019.

The Company's obligations under the Credit Agreement and Bonding Agreements are secured by liens on a substantial portion of Great Lakes assets. As of December 31, 2010, the net book value of the Company's operating equipment securing the Company's obligations under the Credit Agreement and Bonding Agreements was \$95,658 and \$70,662, respectively. Great Lakes' obligations under its international letter of credit facility are secured by the Company's foreign accounts receivable.

The Credit Agreement, the Bonding Agreements and the indenture relating to the senior notes contain various restrictive covenants, including a limitation on dividends, limitations on redemption and repurchases of capital stock, limitations on the incurrence of additional indebtedness and requirements to maintain certain financial covenants.

Certain foreign projects performed by the Company have warranty periods, typically spanning no more than one to three years beyond project completion, whereby the Company retains responsibility to maintain the project site to certain specifications during the warranty period. Generally, any potential liability of the Company is mitigated by insurance, shared responsibilities with consortium partners, and/or recourse to owner-provided specifications.

#### ***Legal proceedings and other contingencies***

Various legal actions, claims, assessments and other contingencies arising in the ordinary course of business are pending against the Company and certain of its subsidiaries. These matters are subject to many uncertainties, and it is possible that some of these matters could ultimately be decided, resolved or settled adversely to the Company. Although the Company is subject to various claims and legal actions that arise in the ordinary course of business, except as described below, the Company is not currently a party to any material legal proceedings or environmental claims.

The Company or its former subsidiary, NATCO Limited Partnership, is named as a defendant in approximately 251 asbestos-related personal injury lawsuits, the majority of which were filed between 1989 and 2000. All of the cases filed against the Company prior to 1996 were administratively dismissed in May 1996 and any cases filed since that time have similarly been administratively transferred to the inactive docket. Over the last year, hundreds of lawsuits have been reactivated in an effort to clean out the administrative docket. Prior to the commencement of discovery in any of the reactivated cases, counsel for plaintiffs agreed to name a group of cases that they intended to pursue and to dismiss the remaining cases without prejudice. Plaintiffs have currently named 40 cases against the Company that they intend to pursue, each of which involves one plaintiff. The remaining cases against the Company have been dismissed. Plaintiffs in the dismissed cases could file a new lawsuit if they develop a new disease allegedly caused by exposure to asbestos on board our vessels. The Company is presently unable to quantify the amounts of damages being sought in these lawsuits because none of the complaints specify a damage amount. The Company does not believe that it is probable that losses from these claims could be material, and an estimate of a range of losses relating to these claims cannot reasonably be made. Based on the foregoing, management does not believe that the 40 lawsuits, individually and in the aggregate, will have a material impact on our business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On August 26, 2009, the Company's subsidiary NASDI, LLC (NASDI) received a letter stating that the Attorney General for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts is investigating alleged violations of the Massachusetts Solid Waste Act. The Company believes that the Massachusetts Attorney General is investigating illegal dumping activities at a dump site NASDI contracted with to have waste materials disposed of between September 2007 and July 2008. Per the Massachusetts Attorney General's request, NASDI executed a tolling agreement regarding the matter in 2009 and engaged in further discussions with the Massachusetts Attorney General's office in the second quarter of 2011, but has had no further contact with the Massachusetts Attorney General's office since then. The matter remains open, and, to the Company's knowledge, no proceedings have currently been initiated against NASDI. Should a claim be brought, NASDI intends to defend itself vigorously. Based on consideration of all of the facts and circumstances now known, the Company does not believe this claim will have a material impact on its business, financial

position, results of operations or cash flows.



On March 27, 2011, NASDI received a subpoena from a federal grand jury in the District of Massachusetts directing NASDI to furnish certain documents relating to certain projects performed by NASDI since January 2005. The Company continues to fully cooperate with the federal grand jury subpoena and internally investigate as appropriate. Based on the early stage of the U.S. Department of Justice's investigation and the limited information known to the Company, the Company cannot predict the outcome of the investigation, the U.S. Attorney's views of the issues being investigated, any action the U.S. Attorney may take, or the impact, if any, that this matter may have on the Company's business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On April 6, 2011, NASDI received a subpoena from the District Attorney for Richmond County, New York in connection with a grand jury investigation. The subpoena directs NASDI to furnish certain documents relating to one project performed by NASDI and one of its subcontractors. The subpoena appears to be related to the activities of NASDI's subcontractor for this project. The Company fully complied with the production of requested documents and will continue to cooperate if additional requests are made. Based on the Company's internal investigation to date, the Company does not believe that it will have any liability with respect to this matter. In addition, the Company intends to continue to fully cooperate with the New York grand jury subpoena.

The Company has not accrued any amounts with respect to these NASDI matters as the Company does not believe, based on information currently known to it, that a loss relating to these matters is probable, and an estimate of a range of potential losses relating to these matters cannot reasonably be made.

#### **11. Acquisition of noncontrolling interest**

Effective January 1, 2011 the Company reacquired Mr. Christopher Berardi's membership interest in NASDI for no cost per terms of NASDI's limited liability company agreement. This resulted in the elimination of noncontrolling interest of \$1,973 during the first quarter ended March 31, 2011. The Company now owns 100% of NASDI.

In March 2011, Mr. Berardi resigned his employment with the Company's demolition segment effective April 29, 2011. Mr. Berardi's resignation and the repurchase of his NASDI membership interest also resulted in the reversal of a \$1,933 accrual established in conjunction with a prior restructuring of ownership interest in NASDI. This reversal was recorded directly to equity as part of the reacquisition of the noncontrolling interest.

#### **12. Subsequent events**

In October 2011, the Company entered into a transaction to sell a parcel of land in Channelview, Texas. The Company received proceeds of \$6,490 for land with a recorded value of \$106, resulting in a gain of \$6,384. There were no other items occurring subsequent to September 30, 2011 that would have a material impact on the financial statements.

#### **13. Subsidiary guarantors**

The Company's long-term debt at September 30, 2011 includes \$250,000 of 7.375% senior notes due February 1, 2019. The Company's obligations under these senior unsecured notes are guaranteed by the Company's wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries. Such guarantees are full, unconditional and joint and several.

The following supplemental financial information sets forth for the Company's subsidiary guarantors (on a combined basis), the Company's non-guarantor subsidiaries (on a combined basis) and Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation, exclusive of its subsidiaries (GLDD Corporation):

- (i) balance sheets as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010;
- (ii) statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010; and
- (iii) statements of cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

**GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET**  
**AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2011**  
(In thousands)

	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	GLDD Corporation	Eliminations	Consolidated Totals
<b>ASSETS</b>					
<b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 102,269	\$ 4,781	\$	\$	\$ 107,050
Accounts receivable net	107,875	2,169			110,044
Receivables from affiliates	38,563	6,481	49,639	(94,683)	
Contract revenues in excess of billings	25,734	275		(274)	25,735
Inventories	32,398				32,398
Prepaid expenses	2,487		216		2,703
Other current assets	14,061	130	14,556		28,747
Total current assets	323,387	13,836	64,411	(94,957)	306,677
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT Net	311,472	116			311,588
GOODWILL	97,799	250			98,049
OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS Net	1,170	170			1,340
INVENTORIES Noncurrent	30,988				30,988
INVESTMENTS IN JOINT VENTURES	7,221				7,221
INVESTMENTS IN SUBSIDIARIES	3,732		572,946	(576,678)	
OTHER ASSETS	6,817	3	6,254	(1,119)	11,955
TOTAL	\$ 782,586	\$ 14,375	\$ 643,611	\$ (672,754)	\$ 767,818
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>					
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>					
Accounts payable	\$ 70,688	\$ 1,240	\$	\$	71,928
Payables to affiliates	84,495	6,673	3,625	(94,793)	
Accrued expenses	23,764	608	3,316		27,688
Billings in excess of contract revenues	15,076	300		(164)	15,212
Current portion of note payable	2,500				2,500
Current portion of equipment debt	1,493				1,493
Total current liabilities	198,016	8,821	6,941	(94,957)	118,821
LONG TERM NOTE PAYABLE	5,000				5,000
7 3/8% SENIOR NOTES			250,000		250,000
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES			100,570	(1,119)	99,451
OTHER	8,446		754		9,200
Total liabilities	211,462	8,821	358,265	(96,076)	482,472
Total Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation Equity	571,124	5,554	284,976	(576,678)	284,976
NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS			370		370
TOTAL EQUITY	571,124	5,554	285,346	(576,678)	285,346
TOTAL	\$ 782,586	\$ 14,375	\$ 643,611	\$ (672,754)	\$ 767,818

**GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET**  
**AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010**  
(In thousands)

	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	GLDD Corporation	Eliminations	Consolidated Totals
<b>ASSETS</b>					
<b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 48,416	\$ 62	\$	\$	\$ 48,478
Accounts receivable net	93,983	1,565			95,548
Receivables from affiliates	5,338	5,798	6,745	(17,881)	
Contract revenues in excess of billings	24,777	94		(29)	24,842
Inventories	31,734				31,734
Prepaid expenses	3,246		202		3,448
Other current assets	9,853	8	9,058		18,919
Total current assets	217,347	7,527	16,005	(17,910)	222,969
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT Net	322,958	273			323,231
GOODWILL	97,799	250			98,049
OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS Net	3,017	263			3,280
INVENTORIES Noncurrent	27,128				27,128
INVESTMENTS IN JOINT VENTURES	7,329				7,329
INVESTMENTS IN SUBSIDIARIES	2,311		528,425	(530,736)	
OTHER ASSETS	7,704		4,350	(215)	11,839
TOTAL	\$ 685,593	\$ 8,313	\$ 548,780	\$ (548,861)	\$ 693,825
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>					
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>					
Accounts payable	\$ 81,534	\$ 1,187	\$	\$	\$ 82,721
Payables to affiliates	14,151	3,655		(17,806)	
Accrued expenses	30,511	693	1,605		32,809
Billings in excess of contract revenues	14,121	467		(104)	14,484
Current portion of note payable	2,500				2,500
Current portion of equipment debt	303				303
Total current liabilities	143,120	6,002	1,605	(17,910)	132,817
LONG TERM NOTE PAYABLE	5,000				5,000
7 3/4% SENIOR SUBORDINATED NOTES			175,000		175,000
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES			92,681	(215)	92,466
OTHER	9,048		2,669		11,717
Total liabilities	157,168	6,002	271,955	(18,125)	417,000
Total Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation Equity	528,425	2,311	278,953	(530,736)	278,953
NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS			(2,128)		(2,128)
TOTAL EQUITY	528,425	2,311	276,825	(530,736)	276,825
TOTAL	\$ 685,593	\$ 8,313	\$ 548,780	\$ (548,861)	\$ 693,825

**GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS****FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2011****(In thousands)**

	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	GLDD Corporation	Eliminations	Consolidated Totals
Contract revenues	\$ 155,426	\$ 6,796	\$	\$ (3,754)	\$ 158,468
Costs of contract revenues	(127,306)	(7,525)		3,754	(131,077)
Gross profit	28,120	(729)			27,391
OPERATING EXPENSES:					
General and administrative expenses	11,630	214	892		12,736
Gain on sale of assets, net	(131)				(131)
Operating income (loss)	16,621	(943)	(892)		14,786
Interest expense, net	(450)	(61)	(5,060)		(5,571)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	208		14,864	(15,072)	
Equity in earnings of joint ventures	606				606
Loss on foreign currency transactions, net	(526)	(18)			(544)
Income before income taxes	16,459	(1,022)	8,912	(15,072)	9,277
Income tax provision	(365)		(3,253)		(3,618)
Net income (loss)	16,094	(1,022)	5,659	(15,072)	5,659
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests			(57)		(57)
Net income (loss) attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 16,094	\$ (1,022)	\$ 5,602	\$ (15,072)	\$ 5,602

**GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS****FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010****(In thousands)**

	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	GLDD Corporation	Eliminations	Consolidated Totals
Contract revenues	\$ 172,655	\$ 2,229	\$	\$ (1,551)	\$ 173,333
Costs of contract revenues	(139,825)	(2,364)		1,551	(140,638)
Gross profit	32,830	(135)			32,695
General and administrative expenses	15,396	179	1,065		16,640
Operating income (loss)	17,434	(314)	(1,065)		16,055
Interest expense, net	7	(22)	(3,287)		(3,302)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(336)		17,278	(16,942)	

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

Equity in earnings of joint ventures	81				81
Income before income taxes	17,186	(336)	12,926	(16,942)	12,834
Income tax provision	92		(5,205)		(5,113)
Net income (loss)	17,278	(336)	7,721	(16,942)	7,721
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests			(36)		(36)
Net income (loss) attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 17,278	\$ (336)	\$ 7,685	\$ (16,942)	\$ 7,685

**GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS****FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2011****(In thousands)**

	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	GLDD Corporation	Eliminations	Consolidated Totals
Contract revenues	\$ 460,688	\$ 16,382	\$	\$ (8,305)	\$ 468,765
Costs of contract revenues	(387,094)	(15,377)		8,305	(394,166)
Gross profit	73,594	1,005			74,599
OPERATING EXPENSES:					
General and administrative expenses	35,356	635	2,456		38,447
Gain on sale of assets, net	(2,902)				(2,902)
Operating income (loss)	41,140	370	(2,456)		39,054
Interest expense, net	(579)	(160)	(15,693)		(16,432)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	1,422		42,982	(44,404)	
Equity in loss of joint ventures	(108)				(108)
Loss on foreign currency transactions, net	(526)	(18)			(544)
Loss on extinguishment of debt			(5,145)		(5,145)
Income before income taxes	41,349	192	19,688	(44,404)	16,825
Income tax provision	2,863		(9,463)		(6,600)
Net income (loss)	44,212	192	10,225	(44,404)	10,225
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests			(525)		(525)
Net income (loss) attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 44,212	\$ 192	\$ 9,700	\$ (44,404)	\$ 9,700

**GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS****FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010****(In thousands)**

	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	GLDD Corporation	Eliminations	Consolidated Totals
Contract revenues	\$ 512,702	\$ 5,758	\$	\$ (3,592)	\$ 514,868
Costs of contract revenues	(414,793)	(5,899)		3,592	(417,100)
Gross profit	97,909	(141)			97,768
General and administrative expenses	38,876	526	2,682		42,084
Operating income (loss)	59,033	(667)	(2,682)		55,684
Interest income (expense), net	3	(59)	(9,461)		(9,517)

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

Equity in earnings (loss) of subsidiaries	(726)		57,980	(57,254)	
Equity in loss of joint ventures	(772)				(772)
Income before income taxes	57,538	(726)	45,837	(57,254)	45,395
Income tax benefit (provision)	442		(18,549)		(18,107)
Net income (loss)	57,980	(726)	27,288	(57,254)	27,288
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests			531		531
Net income (loss) attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 57,980	\$ (726)	\$ 27,819	\$ (57,254)	\$ 27,819

**GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS****FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2011****(In thousands)**

	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	GLDD Corporation	Eliminations	Consolidated Totals
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>					
Net cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 41,250	\$ (640)	\$ (26,984)	\$	\$ 13,626
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>					
Purchases of property and equipment	(24,894)	(7)			(24,901)
Proceeds from dispositions of property and equipment	7,452				7,452
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(17,442)	(7)			(17,449)
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>					
Proceeds from issuance of 7 3/8% senior notes			250,000		250,000
Redemption of 7 3/4% senior subordinated notes			(175,000)		(175,000)
Senior subordinated notes redemption premium			(2,264)		(2,264)
Deferred financing fees			(5,962)		(5,962)
Dividends paid			(3,473)		(3,473)
Dividend equivalents paid on restricted stock units			(20)		(20)
Taxes paid on settlement of vested share awards			(291)		(291)
Net change in accounts with affiliates	33,524	2,557	(36,081)		
Capital contributions	(3,205)	3,205			
Repayments of equipment debt	(274)				(274)
Exercise of stock options			27		27
Excess income tax benefit from share-based compensation			48		48
Net cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	30,045	5,762	26,984		62,791
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents		(396)			(396)
Net change in cash and equivalents	53,853	4,719			58,572
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	48,416	62			48,478
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 102,269	\$ 4,781	\$	\$	\$ 107,050



**GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS****FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010****(In thousands)**

	<b>Subsidiary Guarantors</b>	<b>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</b>	<b>GLDD Corporation</b>	<b>Eliminations</b>	<b>Consolidated Totals</b>
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>					
Net cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 140,462	\$ 345	\$ (32,493)	\$	\$ 108,314
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>					
Purchases of property and equipment	(18,283)				(18,283)
Proceeds from dispositions of property and equipment	361				361
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(17,922)				(17,922)
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>					
Dividends paid			(2,990)		(2,990)
Dividend equivalents paid on restricted stock units			(17)		(17)
Net change in accounts with affiliates	(45,963)	(67)	46,030		
Repayments of equipment debt	(1,074)				(1,074)
Exercise of stock options			470		470
Borrowings under revolving loans			14,968		14,968
Repayments of revolving loans			(25,968)		(25,968)
Net cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	(47,037)	(67)	32,493		(14,611)
Net change in cash and equivalents	75,503	278			75,781
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	3,028	222			3,250
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 78,531	\$ 500	\$	\$	\$ 79,031

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**  
**Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

Certain statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q may constitute forward-looking statements as defined in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 (the Securities Act), Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the PSLRA) or in releases made by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), all as may be amended from time to time. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation and its subsidiaries (Great Lakes or the Company), or industry results, to differ materially from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Statements that are not historical fact are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements can be identified by, among other things, the use of forward-looking language, such as the words plan, believe, expect, anticipate, intend, estimate, project, may, will, should, seeks, or scheduled to, or other similar words, or the negative of these terms or other variations of these terms or comparable language, or by discussion of strategy or intentions. These cautionary statements are being made pursuant to the Securities Act, the Exchange Act and the PSLRA with the intention of obtaining the benefits of the safe harbor provisions of such laws. Great Lakes cautions investors that any forward-looking statements made by Great Lakes are not guarantees or indicative of future performance. Important assumptions and other important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those forward-looking statements with respect to Great Lakes, include, but are not limited to, risks associated with Great Lakes' leverage, fixed price contracts, dependence on government contracts and funding, bonding requirements and obligations, international operations, government regulation, restrictive debt covenants and fluctuations in quarterly operations, as well as those risks and uncertainties that are described in Item 1A Risk Factors of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 and in other securities filings by Great Lakes with the SEC.

Although the Company believes that its plans, intentions and expectations reflected in or suggested by such forward-looking statements are reasonable, actual results could differ materially from a projection or assumption in any forward-looking statements. The Company's future financial condition, results of operations and cash flows, as well as any forward-looking statements, are subject to change and inherent risks and uncertainties. The forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are made only as of the date hereof and the Company does not have or undertake any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information, subsequent events or otherwise, unless otherwise required by law.

*General*

The Company is the largest provider of dredging services in the United States. In addition, the Company is the only U.S. dredging service provider with significant international operations, which represented 15% of its dredging revenues for the first nine months of 2011, compared with the Company's three year average of 23%. The mobility of the Company's fleet enables the Company to move equipment in response to changes in demand for dredging services.

Dredging generally involves the enhancement or preservation of the navigability of waterways or the protection of shorelines through the removal or replenishment of soil, sand or rock. The U.S. dredging market consists of three primary types of work: capital, beach nourishment and maintenance. The Company experienced an average combined bid market share in the U.S. of 39% over the last three years, including 44%, 32% and 52% of the capital, beach nourishment and maintenance sectors, respectively. The Company's bid market is defined as the aggregate dollar value of domestic projects on which the Company bid or could have bid if not for capacity constraints (bid market). With the acquisition of L.W. Matteson, Inc. (Matteson), on January 1, 2011 the Company began to provide the following additional dredging and other services: inland lake and river dredging, inland levee and construction dredging, environmental restoration and habitat improvement and other marine construction. The foregoing bid market shares do not reflect Matteson's activities prior to December 31, 2010.

The Company's largest domestic dredging customer is the Army Corps of Engineers (the Corps), which has responsibility for federally funded projects related to navigation and flood control of U.S. waterways. In the first nine months of 2011, the Company's dredging revenues earned from contracts with federal government agencies, including the Corps as well as other federal entities such as the U.S. Coast Guard and the U.S. Navy, were approximately 53% of dredging revenues, down slightly from the Company's three year average of 60%.

The Company's demolition subsidiaries are headquartered in the Boston, Massachusetts area. In the first nine months of 2011, demolition revenues accounted for 15% of total revenues, above the prior three year average of 12%. The demolition segment's principal services consist of interior and exterior demolition of commercial and industrial buildings, salvage and recycling of related materials and removal of hazardous substances and materials. The majority of the work has historically been performed in New England; however, the primary demolition subsidiary, NASDI, LLC (NASDI) continues to expand its footprint into the New York area and the marine demolition market, and specifically into bridge demolition projects. Effective as of January 1, 2011, NASDI became a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. See Note 11 to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.



## Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

The Company also owns 50% of Amboy Aggregates ( Amboy ) and 50% of TerraSea Environmental Solutions ( TerraSea ) as joint ventures. Amboy's primary business is mining sand from the entrance channel to the New York harbor in order to provide sand and aggregate for use in road and building construction and for clean land fill. Amboy also imports stone from Nova Scotia and distributes it throughout the New York area. TerraSea is focused on environmental services through its ability to remediate contaminated soil and dredged sediment treatment. The Company's investments in Amboy and TerraSea are accounted for using the equity method.

The Company operates in two reportable segments: dredging and demolition.

### **Results of Operations**

The following tables set forth the components of net income (loss) attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation and Adjusted EBITDA, as defined below, as a percentage of contract revenues for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Contract revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costs of contract revenues	(82.7)	(81.1)	(84.1)	(81.0)
Gross profit	17.3	18.9	15.9	19.0
General and administrative expenses	8.0	9.6	8.2	8.2
Gain on sale of assets, net	(0.1)		(0.6)	
Operating income	9.4	9.3	8.3	10.8
Interest expense, net	(3.5)	(1.9)	(3.5)	(1.8)
Equity in earnings (loss) of joint ventures	0.4			(0.1)
Loss on foreign currency transactions, net	(0.3)		(0.1)	
Loss on extinguishment of debt			(1.1)	
Income before income taxes	6.0	7.4	3.6	8.9
Income tax provision	(2.3)	(2.9)	(1.4)	(3.5)
Net income	3.7	4.5	2.2	5.4
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests			(0.1)	0.1
Net income attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	3.7%	4.5%	2.1%	5.5%
Adjusted EBITDA	16.4%	13.9%	14.5%	15.8%

Adjusted EBITDA, as provided herein, represents net income (loss) attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation, adjusted for net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization expense and debt extinguishment. The Company presents Adjusted EBITDA as an additional measure by which to evaluate the Company's operating trends. The Company believes that Adjusted EBITDA is a measure frequently used to evaluate performance of companies with substantial leverage and that the Company's primary stakeholders (i.e., its stockholders, bondholders and banks) use Adjusted EBITDA to evaluate the Company's period to period performance. Additionally, management believes that Adjusted EBITDA provides a transparent measure of the Company's recurring operating performance and allows management to readily view operating trends, perform analytical comparisons and identify strategies to improve operating performance. For this reason, the Company uses a measure based upon Adjusted EBITDA to assess performance for purposes of determining compensation under the Company's incentive plan. Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered an alternative to, or more meaningful than, amounts determined in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ( GAAP ) including: (a) operating income as an indicator of operating performance; or (b) cash flows from operations as a measure of liquidity. As such, the Company's use of Adjusted EBITDA, instead of a GAAP measure, has limitations as an analytical tool, including the inability to determine profitability or liquidity due to the exclusion of interest and income tax expense and the associated significant cash requirements and the exclusion of depreciation and amortization, which represent significant and unavoidable operating costs given the level of indebtedness and capital expenditures needed to maintain the Company's business. For these reasons, the Company uses operating income to measure the Company's operating performance and uses Adjusted EBITDA only as a supplement. The following is a reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to net income (loss) attributable to Great Lakes Dredge and Dock Corporation:



Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net income attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 5,602	\$ 7,685	\$ 9,700	\$ 27,819
Adjusted for:				
Loss on extinguishment of debt			5,145	
Interest expense, net	5,571	3,302	16,432	9,517
Income tax expense	3,618	5,113	6,600	18,107
Depreciation and amortization	11,195	8,027	29,999	26,020
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 25,986	\$ 24,127	\$ 67,876	\$ 81,463

The following table sets forth, by segment and dredging type of work, the Company's contract revenues for each of the periods indicated:

Revenues (in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	Change	2011	2010	Change
Dredging:						
Capital U.S.	\$ 39,778	\$ 104,092	(62)%	\$ 130,287	\$ 220,343	(41)%
Capital foreign	21,843	20,917	4%	59,779	60,129	(1)%
Beach	41,714	4,180	898%	87,947	85,884	2%
Maintenance	16,583	19,918	(17)%	92,525	97,391	(5)%
Rivers & Lakes*	14,673		%	25,735		%
Dredging Revenue	134,591	149,107	(10)%	396,273	463,747	(15)%
Demolition	23,877	24,226	(1)%	72,492	51,121	42%
Total Revenue	\$ 158,468	\$ 173,333	(9)%	\$ 468,765	\$ 514,868	(9)%

\* Rivers & lakes was established by the Company on December 31, 2010 in connection with the Matteson acquisition, and did not operate as part of the Company prior to January 1, 2011.

Total revenue for the 2011 third quarter was \$158.5 million, down 9% from \$173.3 million during the 2010 third quarter. Most of this decline was attributable to a decrease in domestic capital revenue, offset, in part, by increases in beach nourishment and the addition of rivers & lakes revenue. Demolition revenue for the quarter was \$23.9 million, down slightly from \$24.2 million a year ago. Total revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was down \$46.1 million or 9% compared to the prior year due to decreases in domestic capital dredging revenue, offset, in part, by contributions from rivers & lakes and a significant increase in demolition revenues.

Capital projects include large port deepenings and other infrastructure projects such as land reclamations. Domestic capital revenues in the third quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were primarily generated by work in the Ports of New York and Norfolk, as well as projects in Florida. Domestic capital dredging revenue decreased \$64.3 million, or 62%, in the 2011 third quarter compared to the 2010 third quarter. Domestic capital dredging revenue in the third quarter of 2010 included \$58.7 million from sand berm construction off the coast of Louisiana, which did not repeat in 2011. Domestic capital dredging revenue decreased \$90.1 million, or 41%, in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. In the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company earned \$65.0 million from the sand berm construction mentioned above and approximately \$20 million of additional deepening work that was not repeated by the Company in the current year.

Foreign revenue increased \$0.9 million, or 4%, for the third quarter of 2011 to \$21.8 million as compared to \$20.9 million in the 2010 third quarter. In the nine months ended September 30, 2011, foreign revenue decreased \$0.4 million, or 1%, to \$59.8 million from \$60.1 million the same period of 2010. The Company has diversified its foreign revenue with projects in Bahrain, Brazil and Kuwait. Foreign revenues year to date also benefited from the resolution of outstanding project claims of approximately \$3.8 million in the 2011 first quarter, offset by fewer projects in the Middle East.

Beach nourishment projects include rebuilding of shoreline areas that have been damaged by storm activity or ongoing erosion. Beach nourishment revenue in the 2011 third quarter increased \$37.5 million, or nearly nine times that of the same 2010 quarter. Year to date 2011

## Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

beach revenue increased \$2.1 million, or 2%, compared to the first nine months of 2010. Beach projects during the quarter were positively impacted as the Company was able to work on certain projects which were not subjected to environmental window restrictions typically incurred in the third quarter. In the 2011 third quarter, the Company worked on several beach projects, including projects in Delaware, Florida, New Jersey and North Carolina.

Maintenance projects include routine dredging of ports, non-inland rivers and channels to remove the regular build up of sediment. Maintenance revenue in the 2011 third quarter decreased by \$3.3 million, or 17%, compared to the 2010 third quarter. Maintenance revenue in the first nine months of 2011 decreased by \$4.9 million, or 5%, compared to the same period in 2010. Maintenance revenue decreased compared to the prior year as \$3.2 million of work typically included in maintenance revenue was shifted to the rivers & lakes division in 2011.

Rivers and lakes projects include inland lake and river dredging, environmental restoration and habitat improvement and other marine construction. Rivers and lakes revenue was \$14.7 million and \$25.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. The Company purchased its rivers and lakes operations on December 31, 2010. Rivers and lakes revenue increased in the 2011 third quarter in comparison to the first half of the year due to the work performed on the backlog established in previous quarters as first quarter revenue is generally limited by freezing conditions in the northern United States that are typical during that time of year.

Consolidated gross profit for the 2011 third quarter decreased by 16% to \$27.4 million, from \$32.7 million in the same 2010 quarter. Gross profit margin (gross profit divided by revenue) for the 2011 third quarter decreased to 17.3% from 18.9% in the 2010 third quarter. The decrease in gross profit and gross profit margin was primarily due to greater than anticipated weather delays caused by Hurricane Irene off the East Coast and other subsequent storms. Consolidated gross profit for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 decreased by \$23.2 million to \$74.6 million from \$97.8 million in the same 2010 period, resulting in a decline in gross profit margin to 15.9% from 19.0%. The 2011 third quarter and year to date gross profit margin was impacted by a lower coverage of fixed costs resulting from a comparison to the atypical vessel utilization in the prior third quarter and year to date periods. In addition, gross profit margin benefited in the prior year from a favorable project mix and increased vessel utilization on a number of domestic capital dredging and beach nourishment projects. The negative gross profit margin from the demolition segment the first half of 2011 also contributed to the lower profit margin for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The demolition segment continued to work on several projects, primarily in the New York market, that experienced cost overruns primarily recognized in previous quarters of 2011. These projects were commenced in 2010 as part of the demolition segment's expansion into new markets.

The Company's general and administrative expenses totaled \$12.7 million and \$38.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. General and administrative expenses totaled \$16.6 million and \$42.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. The decrease in 2011 is due largely to costs of \$4.4 million and \$7.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, for severance, legal and consulting charges that were recorded in conjunction with the senior management reorganization. The decrease is also attributable to a \$1.1 million reduction in the third quarter of 2011 of the future liability related to the earnout due to previous owners of the Company's rivers and lakes dredging operations. These factors were partially offset by additional amortization expense related to the rivers and lakes acquisition of \$0.6 million and \$1.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. Additionally, during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the demolition segment recorded \$1.6 million of investigation-related expenses resulting from two subpoenas received in April 2011 (see Note 10 to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements).

Operating income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 decreased 7.9% and 29.9% to \$14.8 million and \$39.1 million, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2010 as a result of decreased dredging revenue and the resulting decline in gross profit.

Interest expense totaled \$5.6 million and \$16.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, an increase from \$3.3 million and \$9.5 million from the same periods of 2010, primarily due to the Company's issuance of \$250 million of 7.375% senior notes and the related redemption of the Company's \$175 million of 7.75% senior subordinated notes in the 2011 first quarter. Due to timing requirements, both of these note issuances were outstanding and accruing interest for approximately 30 days in the 2011 first quarter, resulting in duplicative interest expense of approximately \$1.1 million. In addition, although the senior notes accrue interest at a lower interest rate, the increase in principal outstanding results in an increased amount of interest expense. Additionally, the Company recorded gains on interest rate swaps of \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to gains of \$0.6 million and \$2.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, as interest rates generally declined steeply in 2010. While rates have continued to decline during 2011, the magnitude of the decline has lessened, resulting in smaller gains in the current year.

Income tax expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$3.6 million and \$6.6 million, respectively, compared to \$5.1 million and \$18.1 million for the same 2010 periods. This decrease was primarily attributable to lower earnings generated in 2011. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was 39.2%, which is substantially consistent with the effective tax rate of 39.9% for the same period of 2010. The Company expects the tax rate for the full year to remain at 39.2%.

Net income attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation was \$5.6 million and earnings per diluted share were \$0.09 for the 2011 third quarter as compared to \$7.7 million and \$0.13 for the same 2010 period. Net income attributable to Great Lakes



Dredge & Dock Corporation and earnings per diluted share for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were \$9.7 million and \$0.16, respectively, compared to \$27.8 million and \$0.47 for the same 2010 period. The decrease is due to the lower operating income and increased interest expense for the period, as well as approximately \$6.2 million of expense associated with the redemption of the \$175 million senior subordinated notes (consisting of a \$2.9 million non-cash write off of deferred financing fees, a \$2.2 million redemption premium, and \$1.1 million interest expense on the \$175 million notes that were outstanding for 30 days after the debt was called).

Adjusted EBITDA (as defined on page 22) was \$26.0 million and \$67.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared with \$24.1 million and \$81.5 million in the same 2010 periods, primarily for the reasons discussed above. Although operating income for the 2011 third quarter was lower than the same period in the prior year, the Company recorded \$11.2 million of non-cash depreciation and amortization expense for the three months ended September 30, 2011 that is included as a component of operating income, but excluded for the purposes of calculating Adjusted EBITDA. The depreciation and amortization expense recorded in the three months ended September 30, 2010 was only \$8.0 million.

### **Results by segment**

#### *Dredging*

Dredging revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were \$134.6 million and \$396.3 million, respectively, compared to \$149.1 million and \$463.7 million for the same periods of 2010. Dredging revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were driven by continued domestic capital work with significant contributions from strong third quarter beach revenue offset by a small decline year over year in maintenance revenue. The decline in dredging revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 from the same period in the previous year was due to a decline in domestic capital, which was partially offset by the revenue contribution of the rivers and lakes projects. Domestic capital revenue in the prior year was atypically high due to \$65.0 million from sand berm construction off the coast of Louisiana. Gross profit margin in the dredging segment was 17.5% and 18.4% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to gross profit margin in the dredging segment of 20.5% and 20.2% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, as lower dredging revenues from increased vessel downtime resulted in lower coverage of fixed costs. In addition, gross profit margin benefited in the prior year from a favorable project mix and increased vessel utilization on a number of domestic capital dredging and beach nourishment projects. Dredging segment operating income was \$13.6 million and \$45.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to operating income of \$15.8 million and \$56.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The decrease reflects the decreased revenues and gross profit margin in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

#### *Demolition*

Demolition revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 totaled \$23.9 million and \$72.5 million, respectively, compared to \$24.2 million and \$51.1 million for the same 2010 periods. The demolition segment experienced higher revenue levels in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 than from the same period in the prior year as the segment continued several large jobs that were included in backlog at year end 2010. The demolition segment generated operating income of \$1.2 million and an operating loss of \$5.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to operating income of \$0.3 million and an operating loss of \$1.1 million for the same periods of 2010. Gross profit margin in the demolition segment was 15.8% and 2.2% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to gross profit margin of 8.0% and 7.4% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the demolition segment's greater operating loss and lower gross profit margin is primarily the result of losses recognized to date on projects in backlog at December 31, 2010. In the third quarter of 2011, the demolition segment stabilized the cost overruns on projects in the New York market and believes that the future losses already have been recognized in the results of the segment. The demolition segment also recognized \$1.6 million of additional legal and consulting expenses in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 relating to the subpoenas received in April 2011 which impacted the segment's operating income.

#### *Bidding Activity and Backlog*

The following table sets forth, by reporting segment and type of dredging work, the Company's backlog as of the dates indicated:

Backlog (in thousands)	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2010
Dredging:			
Capital U.S.	\$ 109,568	\$ 117,866	\$ 143,207
Capital foreign	40,675	65,334	29,285
Beach	117,543	18,080	17,702
Maintenance	16,187	56,140	31,190
Rivers & Lakes*	13,391	25,116	
Dredging Backlog	297,364	282,536	221,384
Demolition	68,029	80,984	54,878
Total Backlog	\$ 365,393	\$ 363,520	\$ 276,262

\* Rivers & lakes was established by the Company on December 31, 2010 in connection with the Matteson acquisition, and was not part of the Company for the period ended September 30, 2010.

The Company's contract backlog represents its estimate of the revenues that will be realized under the portion of the contracts remaining to be performed. For dredging contracts these estimates are based primarily upon the time and costs required to mobilize the necessary assets to and from the project site, the amount and type of material to be dredged, and the expected production capabilities of the equipment performing the work. For demolition contracts, these estimates are based on the time and remaining costs required to complete the project, relative to total estimated project costs and project revenues agreed to with the customer. However, these estimates are necessarily subject to variances based upon actual circumstances. Because of these factors, as well as factors affecting the time required to complete each job, backlog is not always indicative of future revenues or profitability. In addition, a significant amount of the Company's dredging backlog relates to federal government contracts, which can be canceled at any time without penalty to the government, subject to the Company's contractual right to recover the Company's actual committed costs and profit on work performed up to the date of cancellation. In addition, the Company's backlog may fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter based upon the type and size of the projects the Company is awarded from the bid market. A quarterly increase or decrease of the Company's backlog does not necessarily result in an improvement or a deterioration of the Company's business. The Company's backlog includes only those projects for which the Company has obtained a signed contract with the customer.

The domestic dredging bid market for the 2011 third quarter totaled \$513.9 million, an increase of \$158.2 million from the same period in the prior year, resulting in a total amount of contracts awarded for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 of \$847.3 million, compared to \$658.3 million in the same period of 2010. The Company won 64%, or \$188.1 million, of the beach nourishment projects awarded through September 30, 2011, as well as 31%, or \$69.2 million, of the maintenance projects and 31%, or \$92.8 million, of capital projects awarded through September 30, 2011. The Company won 42% of the overall domestic bid market through September 30, 2011, slightly above its three year average of 39%. The third quarter bid market benefited from many projects let to bid before the fiscal year end of many governmental entities. Given that the size of the year to date bid market is similar to the full year bid market in 2010, the Company expects the full year 2011 bid market to be larger than the full year 2010 bid market. Variability in contract wins from quarter to quarter is not unusual and one quarter's win rate is generally not indicative of the win rate the Company is likely to achieve for a full year.

The Company's contracted dredging backlog was \$297.4 million at September 30, 2011 compared to \$282.5 million as of December 31, 2010. These amounts do not reflect approximately \$78.2 million of domestic low bids pending formal award and additional phases ( options ) pending on projects currently in backlog. At December 31, 2010 the amount of domestic low bids pending award was \$39.9 million.

Domestic capital dredging backlog at September 30, 2011 was \$8.2 million less than at December 31, 2010 as the Company worked off its prior backlog related to deepening projects in the ports of New York and New Jersey and won new capital projects including \$41 million for channel deepening in New York's Ambrose Channel and \$43 million for Louisiana coastal restoration. The Company believes that the new focus in Washington D.C. on marine infrastructure is evidenced by the bipartisan interest in addressing deferred and long overdue navigational improvement needs. Federal capital projects are being prioritized by the Corps as it begins its appropriation of annual funding for projects. The Company believes the Corps will continue to let work to bid during the remainder of this year and into 2012. However, as in prior years, the Company has limited visibility as to future Corps projects. In addition, the Company continues to be optimistic about the passage of a Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund ( HMTF ) bill that allocates existing funding to maintenance dredging as intended. The industry has seen strong support from both Democrats and Republicans for this bill which politicians argue both creates domestic jobs and does not require new taxation.

Beach dredging backlog at September 30, 2011 was \$99.5 million higher than at December 31, 2010 primarily due to six coastal protection/beach re-nourishment projects along the East Coast that were awarded near the end of the third quarter totaling \$95.1 million and remain in backlog. The beach nourishment market has continued to be robust and the Company expects to commence the recently awarded projects in the fourth quarter of 2011, as well as work through the resulting backlog through mid-2012.



Maintenance dredging backlog is \$40.0 million lower at September 31, 2011 than at December 31, 2010. The Company continued to work through backlog in the third quarter from the projects won in the fourth quarter of 2010. The Company was awarded \$11.5 million for maintenance work in Baltimore that will be worked on in the fourth quarter of 2011.

The \$43 million Louisiana coastal restoration project won by Great Lakes in July, along with another coastal project that was let to bid, demonstrates that resources are being devoted to help restore the barrier islands and wetlands that provide natural protection from storms in the Gulf Coast area. The Company believes that numerous projects are being planned in the Gulf States resulting from a fund established following the Deepwater Horizon oil spill, which has not yet released projects. These projects have been long overdue to restore the eroding coastline. In addition, the Company expects a deepening project for the Port of Miami, Florida to be released for proposal in the first half of 2012 as domestic ports contemplate the need to facilitate larger draft vessels from international trade that will be coming through the deeper Panama Canal.

Although several Middle Eastern countries have experienced civil unrest and resulting governmental instability in the current year, recent international response and focus has contributed to increased stability within many of these nations, including Bahrain. The Company has maintained normal operations for its international projects and has a positive outlook for many of its foreign markets.

The Company continues to observe an increase in international dredging and has pursued new opportunities in strategic foreign markets. The Company's development of opportunities in Brazil translated into new projects, some of which were completed in the third quarter of 2011. In the Middle East there are several new capital projects being let to bid that are well suited for the fleet of vessels that we currently maintain in the region. These foreign opportunities and those in other markets include several projects which fit well with Great Lakes' expertise and equipment.

Demolition services backlog was \$68.0 million and \$81.0 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, a decrease of \$13.0 million. The Company continued to work through backlog related to the larger projects that existed at year end 2010. The integration of our demolition and dredging businesses has been a pivotal step to strengthen and grow the demolition segment. Bridge demolition continues to be a focus of future growth as the Company's expertise on maritime projects provides additional support to these opportunities.

#### ***Liquidity and Capital Resources***

The Company's principal sources of liquidity are cash flow generated from operations and borrowings under its senior credit facility. The Company's principal uses of cash are to meet debt service requirements, finance its capital expenditures, provide working capital and meet other general corporate purposes.

The Company's net cash provided by operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 totaled \$13.6 million, and \$108.3 million, respectively. Normal increases or decreases in the level of working capital relative to the level of operational activity impact cash flow from operating activities. In the first nine months of 2011, net cash provided by operating activities was primarily the result of lower net income and changes in accounts receivable and other operating assets between periods. In the first nine months of 2010, lower activity in foreign dredging operations (which usually experience longer collection periods) coupled with payments being made on a foreign accounts receivable that had been outstanding throughout 2009, drove the increase in cash generated.

The Company's net cash flows used in investing activities for the first nine months of 2011 and 2010 totaled \$17.4 million and \$17.9 million, respectively. Investing activities in both periods primarily relate to normal course upgrades and capital maintenance of the Company's dredging fleet. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company sold the dredge Victoria Island for \$6.6 million.

The Company's net cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 totaled \$62.8 million and \$(14.6) million, respectively. The Company issued \$250 million of 7.375% senior notes in the first nine months of 2011, resulting in \$244.2 million of net proceeds. The Company used a portion of these net proceeds to redeem its \$175 million of 7.75% senior subordinated notes in the first nine months of 2011 for \$180.0 million, which included a redemption premium and unpaid interest. Cash flows used in financing activities in the first nine months of 2010 was primarily due to the net repayment of \$11.0 million of revolving credit borrowings.

The Company paid \$3.5 million in dividends in the first nine months of 2011. The declaration and payment of any future cash dividends will be at the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors and will depend on many factors, including general economic and business conditions, the Company's strategic plans, the Company's financial results and condition, legal requirements, including restrictions and limitations contained in the Company's Credit Agreement, bonding agreements through which it obtains performance, bid and payment bonds (the Bonding Agreements) and the Indenture relating to its senior notes, and other factors the Board of Directors deems relevant. Accordingly, the Company cannot make any assurances as to the amount of any such dividend or that it will pay any future dividend in future periods.

In January 2011, the Company issued \$250 million aggregate principal amount of 7.375% senior notes due February 1, 2019 in a private placement. Approximately \$180 million of the net proceeds from the issuance of the senior notes was used to redeem all of the Company's 7.75% senior subordinated notes due December 2013, including a redemption premium and accrued and unpaid interest. The remaining net proceeds from the issuance of the senior notes were used to augment working capital and could be used in the future for acquisitions. The Indenture governing the senior notes, among other things, limits the ability of the Company and its restricted subsidiaries to (i) pay dividends, or make certain other restricted payments or investments; (ii) incur additional indebtedness and issue disqualified stock; (iii) create liens on its assets; (iv) transfer and sell assets; (v) merge, consolidate or sell all or substantially all of its assets; (vi) enter into certain transactions with affiliates; (vii) create restrictions on dividends or other payments by its restricted subsidiaries; and (viii) create guarantees of indebtedness by restricted subsidiaries. These covenants are subject to a number of important limitations and exceptions that are described in the Indenture governing the senior notes.

The Company's obligations under the Credit Agreement and the Bonding Agreements are secured by liens on a substantial portion of the Company's operating equipment. The Company's obligations under its international letter of credit facility are secured by the Company's foreign accounts receivable. The Company's obligations under its senior notes are unsecured. The Company's Credit Agreement, Bonding Agreements and the Indenture relating to the senior notes contain various restrictive covenants, including limitations on dividends, redemption and repurchases of capital stock, and the incurrence of indebtedness and requirements to maintain certain financial covenants.

During the third quarter of 2011, the Company's cash balance decreased by \$0.4 million due to the impact of changes in functional currency exchange rates against the U.S. dollar on non-U.S. dollar cash balances, primarily the Brazilian Real. The unrealized loss on the Company's cash balance resulting from this exchange rate movement is reflected in the cumulative translation adjustment, net within accumulated other comprehensive income. Cash held in non-U.S. dollar currencies primarily is used for project-related and other operating costs in those currencies reducing the Company's exposure to future realized exchange gains and losses.

The Company believes its cash and cash equivalents, anticipated cash flows from operations and availability under its Credit Agreement will be sufficient to fund the Company's operations, capital expenditures and debt service requirements and pay any declared dividends for the next twelve months. Beyond the next twelve months, the Company's ability to fund its working capital needs, planned capital expenditures, scheduled debt payments and dividends, if any, and to comply with all the financial covenants under the Credit Agreement and the Bonding Agreements, depends on its future operating performance and cash flow, which in turn, are subject to prevailing economic conditions and to financial, business and other factors, some of which are beyond the Company's control.

#### *Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates*

In preparing its consolidated financial statements, the Company follows accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The application of these principles requires significant judgments or an estimation process that can affect the results of operations, financial position and cash flows of the Company, as well as the related footnote disclosures. The Company continually reviews its accounting policies and financial information disclosures. There have been no material changes in the Company's critical accounting policies or estimates since December 31, 2010.

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

The market risk of the Company's financial instruments as of September 30, 2011 has not materially changed since December 31, 2010. The market risk profile of the Company on December 31, 2010 is disclosed in Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

***a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures***

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as required by Rule 13a-15(b) and 15d-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) as of September 30, 2011. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to reasonably assure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure and is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in providing such reasonable assurance.

***b) Changes in internal control over financial reporting.***

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II Other Information**

**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

See Note 10 Commitments and Contingencies in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

There have been no material changes during the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011 to the risk factors previously disclosed in Item 1A. Risk Factors in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

(a) None.

(b) None.

(c) None.

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

None.

**Item 4. Reserved**

**Item 5. Other Information**

(a) None.

(b) Not applicable.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

- 10.1 Amendment No. 4 to Credit Agreement dated as of September 7, 2011 among Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation, the other Loan Parties from time to time party to the Credit Agreement, the Lenders signatory thereto and Bank of America, N.A. (successor by merger to LaSalle Bank National Association) as Swing Line Lender, Issuing Lender and Administrative Agent. (1)
- 31.1 Certification Pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification Pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document



Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema  
101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase  
101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase  
101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase  
101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

- (1) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 13, 2011 (Commission file no. 001-33225).

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation

(registrant)

By:

**/S/ BRUCE J. BIEMECK**  
**Bruce J. Biemeck**

**President and Chief Financial Officer**

**(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer and Duly Authorized Officer)**

Date: November 4, 2011

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

<b>Number</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
10.1	Amendment No. 4 to Credit Agreement dated as of September 7, 2011 among Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation, the other Loan Parties from time to time party to the Credit Agreement, the Lenders signatory thereto and Bank of America, N.A. (successor by merger to LaSalle Bank National Association) as Swing Line Lender, Issuing Lender and Administrative Agent. (1)
31.1	Certification Pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

(1) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 13, 2011 (Commission file no. 001-33225).