

interclick, inc.
Form SC 14D9/A
November 22, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14D-9

(Amendment No. 3)

SOLICITATION/RECOMMENDATION STATEMENT

UNDER SECTION 14(d)(4) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

interclick, inc.

(Name of Subject Company)

interclick, inc.

(Name of Person(s) Filing Statement)

Edgar Filing: interclick, inc. - Form SC 14D9/A

Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share

(Title of Class of Securities)

458483203

(CUSIP Number of Class of Securities)

Michael Katz

Chief Executive Officer

interclick, inc.

11 West 19th Street, 10th Floor

New York, New York 10011

(646) 722-6260

(Name, Address and Telephone Number of Person Authorized to Receive

Notices and Communications on Behalf of the Person(s) Filing Statement)

With copies to:

Harvey J. Kesner, Esq.

Sichenzia Ross Friedman Ference LLP

61 Broadway, 32nd Floor

New York, New York 10006

Telephone: (212) 930-9700

David M. Schwartzbaum, Esq.

Michael D. Helsel, Esq.

Greenberg Traurig, LLP

200 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10166

Telephone: (212) 801-9200

.. Check the box if the filing relates solely to preliminary communications made before the commencement of a tender offer.

This Amendment No. 3 (this Amendment) amends and supplements the Solicitation/Recommendation Statement on Schedule 14D-9 of interclick, inc. (interclick) initially filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) on November 15, 2011, as amended by Amendment No. 1 filed with the SEC on November 17, 2011 and Amendment No. 2 filed with the SEC on November 18, 2011 (the Schedule 14D-9). The Schedule 14D-9 relates to the tender offer by Innsbruck Acquisition Corp., a Delaware corporation (the Purchaser) and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Yahoo! Inc., a Delaware corporation (Yahoo!), disclosed in the Tender Offer Statement on Schedule TO (together with the exhibits thereto, as amended from time to time, the Schedule TO), filed by the Purchaser and Yahoo! with the SEC on November 15, 2011, pursuant to which the Purchaser has offered to purchase all of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock of interclick at a price per share of \$9.00, net to the holder thereof in cash, without interest and subject to reduction for any applicable withholding taxes, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Offer to Purchase, dated November 15, 2011 (the Offer to Purchase), and the related Letter of Transmittal (the Letter of Transmittal). The Offer to Purchase and Letter of Transmittal were filed as Exhibits (a)(1) and (a)(2), respectively, to the Schedule 14D-9.

Except as otherwise set forth below, the information set forth in the Schedule 14D-9 remains unchanged and is incorporated by reference as relevant to the items in this Amendment. Capitalized terms used and not defined herein shall have the meanings assigned to such terms in the Schedule 14D-9. This Amendment is being filed to reflect certain updates as reflected below.

Item 8. Additional Information.

*Item 8 of the Schedule 14D-9 is hereby amended and supplemented by replacing the section entitled **Litigation** with the following:*

On November 8, 2011, a putative class action lawsuit captioned Elghanian v. interclick, inc., et al., Index No. 653101/2011, was filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York (as amended, the Elghanian Action). The Elghanian Action names as defendants the members of the Board, as well as interclick. On November 16, 2011, an amended complaint was filed in the Elghanian Action. On November 21, 2011, a putative class action lawsuit captioned Parker v. Katz, et al., Index No. 653225/2011, was also filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York (the Parker Action). The Parker Action names as defendants the members of the Board, interclick, the Purchaser and Yahoo!. The Elghanian and Parker Actions allege that the Board breached its fiduciary duties to interclick's stockholders in connection with the Transaction, that the Transaction involves an unfair price and an inadequate sales process, that certain provisions of the Merger Agreement dissuade competing offers for interclick and coerce interclick's stockholders to support the Transaction, that defendant members of the Board agreed to the transactions to benefit themselves personally, and that the Schedule 14D-9 filed by interclick on November 15, 2011 did not adequately disclose all material information. The Parker Action further alleges that interclick, Yahoo!, and the Purchaser aided and abetted those alleged breaches of fiduciary duty. The Parker and Elghanian Actions seek injunctive relief, including to enjoin the Transaction, and an award of attorneys' and other fees and costs, in addition to other relief. On November 18, 2011, the plaintiff in the Elghanian Action filed a motion by order to show cause for expedited discovery and the scheduling of briefing and a hearing on a motion for a preliminary injunction. A copy of the amended complaint in the Elghanian Action is attached hereto as an exhibit and is hereby incorporated herein by reference. A copy of the complaint in the Parker Action is attached hereto as an exhibit and is also hereby incorporated herein by reference. The foregoing description of the Elghanian and Parker Actions is qualified in its entirety by reference to the copies of the Elghanian Action and Parker Action attached hereto as Exhibits (g)(4) and (g)(6) respectively, which are incorporated herein by reference.

On November 11, 2011, putative class action lawsuits captioned Lieberman v. interclick, inc., et al. Index No. 7039/2011 (the Lieberman Action) and Whaley v. Brauser, et al., Index No. 7038/2011 (as amended, the Whaley Action) were filed in the Delaware Court of Chancery. On November 17, 2011, an amended complaint was filed in the Whaley Action. The Lieberman and Whaley Actions name as defendants the members of the Board, as well as interclick, the Purchaser, and Yahoo!. The Lieberman and Whaley Actions allege that the Board breached its fiduciary duties to interclick's stockholders in connection with the Transaction, that the Transaction involves an unfair price and an inadequate sales process, and that defendant members of the Board agreed to the transactions to benefit themselves personally. The Lieberman Action alleges that certain provisions of the Merger Agreement dissuade competing offers for interclick and coerce interclick's stockholders to support the Transaction.

The Whaley Action alleges that the Board has failed to disclose all information necessary for interclick stockholders to make a decision with respect to the Transaction. The Lieberman and Whaley Actions allege that Yahoo! and the Purchaser aided and abetted those breaches of fiduciary duties. The Lieberman and Whaley Actions seek injunctive relief, including to enjoin the Transaction, and an award of attorneys' and other fees and costs, in addition to other relief. The plaintiff in the Whaley Action has also filed a motion for expedited proceedings and a motion for a preliminary injunction. The foregoing description of the Lieberman and Whaley Actions is qualified in its entirety by reference to the copies of the Lieberman Action and the Whaley Action attached hereto as Exhibits (g)(2) and (g)(5), respectively, which are incorporated herein by reference.

Item 9. Exhibits.

Item 9 of the Schedule 14D-9 is hereby amended and supplemented as follows:

Exhibit

| No. | Description |
|------------|---|
| (g)(6) | Complaint filed by John Parker in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, on November 21, 2011. |

SIGNATURE

After due inquiry and to the best of my knowledge and belief, I certify that the information set forth in this statement is true, complete and correct.

interclick, inc.

By: /s/ Roger Clark

Name: Roger Clark

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Date: November 22, 2011