

AMERICAN GREETINGS CORP
Form DEFA14A
July 18, 2013

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

American Greetings Corporation

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN GREETINGS CORP - Form DEFA14A

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount previously paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

The following presentation was delivered to Institutional Shareholder Services today.

American Greetings
Investor Presentation
July 18, 2013

1.
Transaction Summary
 2.
Strategic Rationale
 3.
Process
 4.
Valuation
Topics
- 1

- 1.
Transaction Summary
- 2.
Strategic Rationale
- 3.
Process
- 4.
Valuation
- 2
- X
- X
- X
- X
- X
- X

Transaction Summary

Merger Price:

3

Offer Premium:

Shareholder Meeting:

Required Vote:

On April 1, 2013, American Greetings Corporation announced that it had signed a definitive agreement

under

which

the

Weiss

Family

would

acquire

the

Company

for

\$18.20

in

cash.

On

July

3,

2013,

AG

announced

that

it

had

signed

an

amendment

to

the

merger

agreement

providing

for

an

increased per share price of \$19.00 in cash

Funding:

\$19.00 per share in cash for all shares not owned by the Family and related entities

In addition, a regular quarterly dividend of \$0.15 paid in July 2013

32.5%

to

the

9/25/12

stock

price

(unaffected

closing

price

prior

to

the

Family s

initial offer); **33.1%** to the average stock price for 45-days prior to initial offer

18.0%

to

the

3/28/13

stock

price

(last

trading

day

prior

to

the

public

announcement

of a definitive merger agreement)

26.5%

to

the

LTM

EBITDA

multiple

over

average

LTM

EBITDA

at

9/25/12

4.4%

to the \$18.20 price per share under the original merger agreement

Transaction financed through:

Contributions of American Greetings shares owned by the Family

~\$240 million non-voting preferred stock investment from Koch AG Investment

\$600 million in committed debt financing (\$350 million funded term loan and \$250 million partially funded revolving credit facility)

August 7, 2013

Affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the common stock not held by the Family and related entities or any director or executive officer (Majority of the Minority)

1.
Transaction Summary

2.
Strategic Rationale

3.
Process

4.
Valuation

4

*

*

*

*

*

Strategic Rationale
Executive Summary

American Greetings is at an inflection point

Paper greeting cards and gift packaging constitute ~88% of our business, and the market is shrinking we are experiencing persistent year-over-year declines in sales of the core greeting card business, due to combination of a number of factors, including:

A shrinking base of card and gift shops

Increasing distribution through the value channel

The effects of the explosion of social media

Opportunities in the electronic social expressions channel of distribution exist, but require substantial investment and entail significant execution risk

Substantial
required
deferred
maintenance
spending
on
IT
systems
and
new
or
substantially rehabilitated headquarters

Company requires capital investment at roughly 3x normal levels over next three years

Transaction transfers all business risk to the Family; gives public shareholders certainty of cash at a significant premium

Strategic Rationale
Executive Summary (cont d)

The Company's stock has consistently underperformed in trading markets

Strategy of returning cash to shareholders (over \$1 billion to Class A public shareholders through dividends and repurchases since FY2005) has not been successful in driving share price performance

The following are likely contributing to weak stock performance: core market shrinking, revenue growth challenges, margin pressures, complex changes in portfolio of businesses, lack of comparable companies, virtually no analyst coverage and necessary investments

Many investors are under pressure to produce short-term and intermediate returns, especially in today's low-yield environment, making it difficult to hold shares through business transformations,

and
creating
further
downward
pressure
on
share
price

During this period, share prices will likely be put under pressure

Transformational expenses will detract from AG's results of operations

The
Family
has
controlled
AG
for
over
100
years,
and
wants

to
do
what
is
best
for
AG

while being fair to public shareholders the Family does not think the required transformation can realistically be achieved as a public company

6

If the transaction is voted down, the capital that must be redeployed into the business for the foreseeable future will not be available to shareholders

American Greetings engages in the design, manufacture and sale of greeting cards and other social expression products in the United States and internationally

Segments include:

North American Social Expression Products (includes Cardstore.com)

International Social Expression Products

Interactive or internet brands (e.g., AmericanGreetings.com, BlueMountain.com, egreetings.com)

UK Retail (Clintons)

Other, including in-store display fixture business and licensing of intellectual properties (e.g., Care Bears and Strawberry Shortcake)

The Company distributes its greeting card products through mass merchandisers, dollar stores, chain drug stores, supermarkets, card and gift shops

Business Overview

7

FY2013 Revenue Breakout by Segment / Product Category

74% of FY2013

Revenue came from

Core Paper Greeting

Card sales

2.6
2.5
2.5
2.4
2.3
2.3
2.0
2.2
2.4
2.6
2.8
3.0
CY07
CY08
CY09
CY10
CY11
CY12
6.2
6.1
6.0
6.0
5.9
5.9
5.7
5.8
5.9
6.0
6.1
6.2

6.3

CY07

CY08

CY09

CY10

CY11

CY12

Greeting Cards Industry Faces Persistent,
Accelerating Decline

Mature greeting cards industry experiencing long-term and accelerating unit decline (2%-4% decline / year since CY 2007)

Pace of decline meaningfully accelerated in 2011 and 2012, despite improved economy

Most lucrative heavy user
customer base saw declining volume in 2012 (-1.5%)

Social media, including Facebook and other e-greeting technologies, have exerted competitive pressure on American Greetings and the industry overall

While price increases have softened the revenue impact, sustainability is uncertain given absolute prices, emergence of mass retailers/dollar stores and increasing electronic pressures

8

Source:

IRI

scan

data,

AG

proprietary

consumer

surveys,

management

estimates.

Units

Dollars

U.S. Greeting Card Industry Units and Dollar Sales Trends

Billions

CY07-CY12 CAGR: (2.6%)

Billions

CY07-CY12 CAGR: (0.8%)

Declining Industry Trends

Consumer
purchases
driven
more
by
selection
and
convenience
than
by
brand

Adult participation in social networking and media has grown to ~52% in 2012 from ~11% in 2006

Retailer consolidation increases retailer purchasing power

Channel shift

Mass retailers and dollar stores growing share as card and gift shops
(specialty retail) decline

More volume sold at lower price points and lower margins

Electronic channels of social expression have emerged as potentially major disruptors to our
core industry

9

Source: IRI scan data, AG proprietary consumer surveys, management estimates.

(a) Does not include e-cards

Card Category History and Projections by Channel

Units

Dollars

Stock Price Performance

10

Source: Capital IQ as of 7/2/13.

(a) Represents closing price as of 9/25/12, unaffected for announcement of Initial Offer, which was made after the market close

In the two years prior to the initial Family offer, AG's stock price was **down 30%** despite having returned over \$180 million of capital to shareholders in that period

In
the
same
period,
the
S&P
400
Consumer
Discretionary
Index
was

up
40%

Correlation
between
AG's
stock
price
and
the
broader
market
has
been
very
low
over
both
short-
and long-term periods

Trading Ranges Nearly Always Below Final Offer

11

30-Days

Between 9/25 Initial Proposal and 7/2 (191 Days)

180-Days

1-Year

Source: Capital IQ as of 7/2/13.

99% of shares traded over the one-year period prior to the Family's initial proposal traded below \$19.00

100% of shares traded below \$16.50 in the six months prior to the initial proposal
(Period ending September 25, 2012, except where noted)

American Greetings 15-Year Stock Price Chart
Marked Decline in Equity Value
American Greetings 15-Year Total Shareholders' Equity Value History
Source: Capital IQ.
12

Lackluster Stock Price Performance Despite
Major Return of Capital to Shareholders

Since FY2005, AG has returned over \$1 billion of capital to Class A public shareholders through share repurchases and dividends

Despite major share repurchases and dividends, AG's unaffected stock price has declined 38% since 2005 and 82% since 1997; the Company's strategy of returning significant capital to shareholders has not been successful

Deployment of Capital to Class A Shareholders (\$ in millions)

13

Source: Company's public filings. Class A dividends calculated by applying ratio of average Class A shares outstanding to av

Limited Benefit to Being Public

Source: Bloomberg, Capital IQ, ThomsonOne

(a) ADTV calculated as averaged trading volume over 30 consecutive trading days

Lack
of
comparable
public
companies
discourages investment in equity research and
investor education

Largest competitor (Hallmark Cards) is privately owned

Social expressions is an adjacent market to consumer goods, limiting interest from institutions focused on the consumer goods markets

Many of the standard benefits enjoyed by public companies do not exist for American Greetings

Limited institutional support
only one equity coverage
analyst

Industry fundamentals do not support future equity research coverage or institutional investment

Average daily trading volume and declining public share float further hinder liquidity and appetite for public investment

On average over the six months prior to the Family's initial offer, short interest accounted for 40% of AG's share float
investors have recognized that our core market is shrinking

14
Shares Outstanding and Average Daily Trading Volume ("ADTV")

(a)
Industry Fundamentals
Limited
Institutional
Support

AG
Equity
Coverage
Analysts

American Greetings is at a Significant Competitive Disadvantage as Long as it is Publicly Traded

American Greetings

largest competitor is privately held and able to invest and re-invest in its business without the pressure to produce period-over-period revenue, earnings and cash flow growth

Expanding business with retail customers can require significant upfront expenses before revenue and profit are generated, thereby putting substantial pressure on short-term results and causing earnings volatility

American

Greetings

recently

developed

a

significant

new

customer

relationship

with a national retailer; however, assuming a contract is signed, the relationship would require substantial investment in the early years and has a negative NPV over the first five years

After American Greetings publicly reports strong operating results, commercial negotiations become more difficult as retail customers push contract terms

As

a

private

company,

American

Greetings

will

be

subject

to

less

commercial

negotiating stress, and be better able to optimize its retail partner negotiations much like its largest competitor

15

Industry Challenges and Necessary Redirection of
Capital Increase Downside Risks for Public Holders

Persistent decline in the core paper greeting cards industry of 2%-4% unit volume annually (including 3.7% decline in most recent year)

Intensifying risks to the core paper greeting cards industry posed by transition to the use of social media, decrease in number of specialty retailers and growing proportion of value channel sales

Material costs associated with investments in online/digital social expression products with uncertain return

Increasing concentration of retailers exacerbates pressure on commercial terms

The Company will continue to support investment in the value channel as a source of potential unit growth, despite negative implications on margins

Alternatives evaluated, such as a substantial special dividend or leveraged recapitalization transaction, would reduce the Company's flexibility and jeopardize the equity value of the Company

Substantial investments required over the next several years (restructuring Clinton Cards, modernization of IT systems, new or significant rehabilitation of headquarters) will adversely affect earnings and cash flow

These factors, taken collectively, evidence significant challenges facing the Company were it to remain public, and support the recommendation to deliver certain value to shareholders

1.
Transaction Summary

2.
Strategic Rationale

3.
Process

4.
Valuation

17

*

*

*

*

*

Process
Executive Summary

Fully independent Special Committee advised by nationally recognized independent advisors

Financial advisor's fees not conditioned on rendering a favorable opinion as to the transaction

Special Committee empowered to consider/pursue alternatives and to reject any proposed transaction

The Family did not participate in any board deliberations concerning the proposal

Transaction
conditioned
on
majority
of
the
minority
vote

Standstill after public announcement of Family proposal to ensure negotiating leverage and adequate time for a third party to express interest (no such interest was expressed)

Special Committee negotiated a substantial increase in Family's offer price

Special Committee continued to evaluate the Company after the March 28, 2013 agreement was reached, and extracted additional value for shareholders

Experienced / Independent Special Committee
and Independent Advisors

19

The Special Committee:

Dr.
Scott
S.
Cowen:
President
of
Tulane
University,
former
Dean
of
Case
Western
Reserve
University,
former
Chairman
of
Special
Committee
for
Jo-Ann
Stores
going-private
LBO

Jeffrey D. Dunn:
Former President and Chief Executive Officer and director of
HIT Entertainment Limited

William
E.
MacDonald,
III:
Former
Vice
Chairman
of
National
City
Corporation

Michael
J.
Merriman,
Jr.:
Former
CEO
of
Lamson
&
Sessions
Corporation
Advisors to the Special Committee:

Peter J. Solomon Company, financial advisor

Sullivan & Cromwell, legal advisor

Key Process and Proposal Highlights

American Greetings was founded by Jacob Sapirstein in 1906, and has remained controlled by descendants of Jacob Sapirstein (now the Weiss Family) throughout the Company's existence

A/B voting structure in existence since 1955; public shareholders invested knowingly into Family-controlled company with an A/B voting structure

During the six-month public process, prospective parties interested in contacting the Special Committee or PJSC were able to do so

On
10/19/12,
the
Company
issued
a
press
release
stating
that
the
Special
Committee was authorized to review and evaluate other options, signaling openness to third-party approaches

No third party expressed interest in acquiring or entering into any other transaction with the Company during that six-month period (or to date)

A
standstill
provision
prohibited
the
Family
from
pursuing
a
bid
(or
taking
certain
other related actions) without the consent of the Special Committee

Record reflects extensive negotiation by the Special Committee

The
Family
did
not
participate
in
any
deliberations
of
American
Greetings
Board
of
Directors regarding the proposal

Special Committee actively controlled Family access to company management

20

Robust Special Committee Process

Board of Directors delegated to the Special Committee the exclusive power and authority to recommend what action, if any, should be taken with respect to the Family's proposal

The Committee could have just said no, or approved a financial alternative

Counsel for Special Committee and Family negotiated confidentiality agreement with standstill

Contained 22-week standstill provision that limited the ability of the Family to make a proposal directly to the Company's shareholders or to purchase shares

Special Committee also obtained a 12-month standstill from the Family's potential financing sources, effectively limiting the ability of the Family to acquire the Company without Special Committee approval

Special Committee of four independent directors also empowered to consider and recommend that the Board take action with respect to strategic alternatives, including the ability to reject the Family's proposal and remain a public company or pursue a financial alternative

The Special Committee met 27 times between its formation and original announcement of the transaction and evaluated alternatives, including:

Continuing as a stand-alone public company

Undertaking a recapitalization (including share repurchases and/or dividends)

Sale of Company to the Family

The Special Committee met an additional seven times between the original announcement of the transaction and the announcement of the amendment to the merger agreement

21

Robust Special Committee Process (cont d)

The Board fully considered and adopted the Special Committee's recommendation in making its decision to unanimously recommend the transaction to the shareholders

The
Special
Committee
demanded
and
secured

Majority
of
the
Minority
shareholder

approval requirement; shares beneficially owned by directors, officers and the Family not counted in Majority of Minority vote

Negates voting interest of Family and other officers and directors

Remaining Class B shares receive only one vote

Enables public shareholders to determine whether transaction will occur

The Special Committee continued to evaluate the Company after the original merger agreement

was
signed,
and
demanded
and
secured
an
enhancement

in
the
per
share
merger consideration

The Family Shareholders believe that the financial developments during the Company's first fiscal quarter of 2014 were neither material nor reflective of any

fundamental
improvement
in
the

trajectory
of
American
Greetings
business;

nevertheless, the Special Committee was able to extract an additional 4.4% premium
(\$0.80 per share) for the public shareholders

22

Arm s-Length Legal Negotiations

On behalf of the Family, Jones Day delivered the first draft of the Merger Agreement on January 19, 2013, and the agreement was negotiated by Jones Day and Sullivan & Cromwell until March 29, 2013. As compared to Jones Day s initial draft of the agreement, the final version reflects several key concessions secured by the Special Committee, including:

The Majority of the Minority shareholder vote

A \$7.3 million joint and several guaranty from the Family with respect to the obligations of the purchasing entities

The
Special
Committee's
ability
to
change
its
recommendation
due
to
an
intervening
event
affecting AG s prospects as a publicly traded company

The obligation of the Family Shareholders to deliver a fully executed preferred stock purchase agreement for the preferred equity concurrently with the execution of the Merger Agreement, as opposed to simply providing a commitment letter

More favorable language on customarily negotiated items such as representations, warranties, covenants and other provisions affecting closing certainty

The cancellation, without consideration, of the various equity awards held by the Family Shareholders with an aggregate value of over \$9.3 million

1.
Transaction Summary

2.
Strategic Rationale

3.
Process

4.
Valuation

24

*

*

*

*

*

Valuation

Valuation Overview

Special Committee believes that the \$19.00 per share consideration (reached after prolonged negotiations) represents the highest per share consideration it could obtain from the Family

\$19.00 proposal represents a 32.5% premium to the 9/25/12 unaffected closing price prior to the Family's initial proposal

Company's financial results in recent years have been significantly affected by non-recurring, one-time and other special items

~40% of FY2013E Adjusted EBIT was related to one-time adjustments

Makes it difficult to identify clear trends in the Company's business and the Company's likely future financial performance

Results in inconsistent cash flows

Company's EBIT has consistently underperformed relative to strategic plans, raising concerns about certainty of future EBIT forecasts

25

\$12.50
\$15.25
\$13.00
\$16.00
\$16.75
\$17.00
\$19.50
\$25.00
\$22.50
\$23.25
\$25.50
\$23.00
\$10.00

\$12.50

\$15.00

\$17.50

\$20.00

\$22.50

\$25.00

\$27.50

52-Week Trading Range Prior
to 9/25/13 Offer

Comparable Companies

Precedent Transactions

Present Value of Future Share

Price (a)

Sum of the Parts

DCF

26

(a)

Includes

present

value

of

future

quarterly

dividend

payments

of

\$0.15

per

share.

Valuation Analysis Summary

1/17/13

Offer

Unaffected Share

9/25/12

\$14.34

\$17.18

9/25/12

Initial Offer

3/28/13

Offer

\$18.20

7/1/13

Offer

\$19.00

Compelling Offer to Shareholders

Attractive Premium

32.5% premium to unaffected share price prior to Family's initial proposal (9/25/12)

33.1% premium to 45 trading day average prior to Family's initial proposal

18.0% premium to closing stock price prior to announcement of original definitive merger agreement (3/28/13)

4.4% premium to the price per share contained in the original March 29, 2013 merger agreement

Premium Multiple

4.0x FY13E EBITDA based on Management Treasury Model dated 11/30/12 with certain adjustments based on Management guidance

Represents a 29.3% premium to the average LTM EBITDA multiple over American Greetings prior year average LTM EBITDA multiple

More favorable to the Company's shareholders than the status quo or other strategic and financial alternatives given the operational and/or financial risks associated with the status quo or other alternatives

\$19.00 per share compared to valuation methodologies

Discounted cash flow: \$17.00 to \$23.00

Selected public company analysis: \$15.25 to \$25.00

Precedent transactions: \$13.00 to \$22.50

Present value of future share price: \$16.00 to \$23.25

Sum of the parts: \$16.75 to \$25.50

Company shares have not historically correlated with broader equity markets and it cannot be assumed that recent positive trends in overall market conditions would similarly affect the Company's shares in absence of an offer

27

Note:

American

Greetings

has

no

substantially

similar

publicly

traded

comparable

companies

Premium Valuation Achieved

28

\$14.34

\$17.18

\$17.50

\$18.20

\$19.00

10.00

12.00

14.00

16.00

18.00

\$20.00

\$14.34

\$17.18

\$17.50

\$18.20

\$19.00

10.00

12.00

14.00

16.00

18.00

\$20.00

8.6% premium to

Revised

Proposal on 1/17

(a)

Revised Proposal on 1/17/13 would eliminate regular quarterly dividends of \$0.15 per share.

(b)

Revised Proposal on 3/28/13 also includes one regular quarterly dividend of \$0.15 per share payable July 2013 (not included a

Unaffected

Share Price

Initial

Proposal

On 9/25/12

Revised

Proposal on

1/17/13 (a)

Revised

Proposal on

3/28/13 (b)

10.6%

premium to

Initial Proposal

32.5%

premium to

unaffected

share price

Premium

to

Unaffected

Trading

Price

and

Earlier

Proposals

by

the

Family

Final Offer

4.4% premium to

Revised Proposal

on 3/28

Premium Valuation Achieved

29

\$12.50

\$14.25

\$14.34

\$16.10

\$18.20

\$19.00

10.00

12.00

14.00

16.00

18.00

\$20.00

Unaffected

Share Price

18%

premium to

prior day

closing

stock price

(a) Revised Proposal on 3/28/13 also includes one regular quarterly dividend of \$0.15 per share payable July 2013 (not include

52-Week

Low Prior to

Initial

Proposal

Closing

Stock Price

on 3/28/13

32.5%

premium to

unaffected

share price

33.3%

premium to 30

calendar days

prior to Initial

Proposal

30 Calendar

Days Prior

to Initial

Proposal

52.0%

premium to

52-week low

prior to Initial

Proposal

Revised

Proposal on

3/28/13 (a)

Premium to Various Historical Stock Prices

Final Offer

4.4% premium to

Revised Proposal

on 3/28

Offer Represents Significant Premium

Source:

Capital

IQ.

Capital

IQ

calculation

of

enterprise

value

does

not

include

restricted
stock
units
or
performance
shares
and
may
have
different
adjustments
for
EBITDA
than
those
used
in
other
analyses
in this
presentation. Data as of 7/2/13.

(a) CapIQ calculates LTM EV/EBITDA multiple using EBITDA of \$150.1mm for period ended 2/28/13 compared to adjusted

(b) Current multiple assumes LTM EBITDA of \$212.3mm and net debt of \$200.3mm for the period ended 2/28/13 based on Co

guidance. Current multiple calculated using diluted share count including restricted stock units and performance shares and tre

30

EV / LTM EBITDA

2 years prior to Initial Proposal

50%
75%
100%
125%
150%
175%
200%
Sep-09
Mar-10
Sep-10
Mar-11
Sep-11
Mar-12
Sep-12
American Greetings
S&P 400 Consumer Discretionary
0%
25%
50%

75%
100%
125%
150%
Sep-07
Sep-08
Sep-09
Sep-10
Sep-11
Sep-12
American Greetings
S&P 400 Consumer Discretionary
0%
50%
100%
150%
200%
250%
300%
Sep-97
Sep-00
Sep-03
Sep-06
Sep-09
Sep-12
American Greetings
S&P 400 Consumer Discretionary
0%
50%
100%
150%
200%
250%
Sep-02
Sep-04
Sep-06
Sep-08
Sep-10
Sep-12
American Greetings
S&P 400 Consumer Discretionary
10-Year Relative Stock Chart
15-Year Relative Stock Chart
5-Year Relative Stock Chart
Comparative Stock Price Performance
3-Year Relative Stock Chart
Source: Capital IQ.
31

While the broader market has provided significant returns on equity investments over time, American

Greetings
has
significantly
underperformed
and,
in
most
periods,
has
not
provided
positive
return

Furthermore, in all observed time periods, American Greetings has demonstrated a lack of correlation with the broader consumer discretionary market

41.9%

253.9%

87.4%

223.6%

55.0%

134.0%

75.6%

168.1%

(Period ending September 25, 2012)

R

: 0.213

R

: 0.292

R

: 0.322

R

: 0.068

2

2

2

2

0.172
0.026
0.029
0.021
0.106
0.016
0.126
0.012
0.022
0.051
0.033
0.084
0.097
0.076
0.288
0.304
0.155
0.178
0.202
0.217

0.144
0.098
0.212
0.088
0.138
0.076
0.176
0.070

--

0.100
0.200
0.300
0.400
0.500
0.600
0.700
0.800
0.900
1.000

BTH

CSS

SCHL

MDP

ICON

CHKE

FLWS

SFLY

RRD

QUAD

HGG

GME

BBY

BKS

Low Trading Price Correlation to Comparables

Source: Bloomberg. R-squared is calculated as the weekly correlation between week over week change of the stock and American

32

In addition to the lack of correlation between AG's stock price and the S&P 400 Consumer Discretionary Index, movement in AG's stock price has a very low correlation with the selected comparable public companies

While we believe the selected group of comparable companies is as similar as possible to AG, there simply are not substantially similar comparable companies in the public market

1-Year (9/26/11 - 9/25/12)

5-Year (9/25/07 - 9/25/12)

Short Interest

Prior to the announcement of the Family's initial proposal, approximately 44% of AG's float was short

Similarly high short interest likely to return if transaction not consummated

AG Short Interest as Percent of Float

May 2012 to June 2013

33

Source: Short interest data from NYSE; float number from FactSet

A Leveraged Recap is Not a Viable Alternative

The Special Committee, after careful consideration, concluded that a leveraged recapitalization was not likely to lead to superior value.

Substantially greater debt levels would significantly increase AG's business risks by reducing (1) the Company's flexibility, (2) its ability to respond to adverse business developments, and (3) its ability to fund necessary deferred capital expenditures—all of which is likely to jeopardize the equity value of the Company.

The common equity of a recapitalized, more indebted Company might trade at lower multiples of EBITDA than it has traded in the recent past.

The Company's resulting small equity capitalization could impair the liquidity and trading value of the Company's publicly held Class

A common shares

The potential economic benefits of such a dividend/recapitalization transaction are not likely to provide a value superior to the \$19.00 per share merger consideration and the risks to realizing any superior value are considerable.

AG's track record of substantial capital return to shareholders has not been correlated with creation of value in the stock price (over \$1bn returned since FY2005; stock price down 35%); there is no reason to believe that markets would react differently to further capital return.

It is likely that the very high level of short interest in AG (44% of share float prior to the Family's offer) would return.

A leveraged recapitalization would not address the basic challenges of reshaping our business for the future as a public company.

34

35

Future Stock Price Analysis Based on Illustrative
Levered Recap

Source:

Share

count

for

LTM

based

on

diluted

shares

outstanding

as

of

5/31/13

per

Management;

FY14-FY18

based
on
diluted
shares
outstanding
from
Updated
Management
Treasury
Model
dated
6/23/13.

(Amounts in Millions, Except Per Share Data)

Fiscal Year

LTM

2014E

2015E

2016E

2017E

2018E

Adjusted EBITDA (c)

\$216.9

\$218.8

\$230.9

\$238.7

\$245.5

% Growth

4.4%

(1.2%)

5.5%

3.4%

2.8%

Net Debt

461.6

475.5

423.6

391.1

268.4

116.0

Total Debt / EBITDA

2.4 x

2.1 x

1.9 x

1.7 x

1.1 x

0.5 x

Adj. EBITDA

Stock Price at End of Fiscal Year

Multiple

LTM

2014E
2015E
2016E
2017E
2018E

Projected Stock Price at Illustrative EV/Adjusted EBITDA Multiples:

3.4
x
\$8.32
\$8.42
\$9.58
\$11.60
\$15.76
\$20.56
3.6
9.63
9.77
10.88
12.96
17.15
21.96
3.8
10.94
11.11
12.19
14.32
18.53
23.37
4.0
12.24
12.46
13.50
15.68
19.92
24.77
4.2
13.55
13.80
14.81
17.04
21.30
26.18
4.4
14.86
15.14
16.12
18.40
22.69
27.58
4.6

16.17
16.49
17.42
19.76
24.07
28.98
4.8
17.48
17.83
18.73
21.11
25.46
30.39

Present Value at May 31, 2013

Present Value of Stock Price Assuming 13.5% Cost of Equity:

3.4
x
\$8.32
\$7.66
\$7.67
\$8.19
\$9.81
\$11.27
3.6
9.63
8.88
8.72
9.15
10.67
12.04
3.8
10.94
10.11
9.77
10.11
11.53
12.81
4.0
12.24
11.33
10.82
11.07
12.39
13.58
4.2
13.55
12.55
11.87
12.03
13.25

14.35
4.4
14.86
13.77
12.92
12.99
14.12
15.12
4.6
16.17
15.00
13.96
13.95
14.98
15.89
4.8
17.48
16.22
15.01
14.91
15.84
16.66
Present Value at May 31, 2013
Total Shareholder Value Including Present Value of Stock Price and
3.4
x
\$16.32
\$15.66
\$15.68
\$16.19
\$17.81
\$19.27
\$8.00 Dividend, Assuming 13.5% Cost of Equity:
3.6
17.63
16.89
16.72
17.15
18.67
20.04
Assuming 100.0% Cost of Equity:
3.8
18.94
18.11
17.77
18.11
19.53
20.81
4.0
20.25

19.33
18.82
19.07
20.39
21.58
\$221.5(d)
4.2
21.55
20.55
19.87
20.03
21.25
22.35
4.4
22.86
21.78
20.92
20.99
22.12
23.12
4.6
24.17
23.00
21.96
21.95
22.98
23.89
4.8
25.48
24.22
23.01
22.91
23.84
24.66

Assumes
an
\$8.00
dividend
is
paid
on
5/31/13,
(a)
using
incremental
borrowing
of
\$265
million,

resulting
in
2.4x
Debt / LTM EBITDA

Assumes
no
make-whole
payment
on
existing
7.375%
Senior
Notes
(b)

Assumes suspension of regular \$0.15 / quarter dividend

- (a) Assumes \$265mm of incremental leverage using Term Loan B at L + 450bps with 125bps floor (2.4x Total Debt / LTM EBITDA)
- (b) Does not factor in potential consent fees or changes to interest rate.
- (c) Source: LTM EBITDA as of 5/31/13 per Management on 6/25/13, with certain adjustments, including normalizing for 365-day model dated 6/26/13, with certain adjustments to FY2014E EBITDA based on Management guidance.
- (d) FY2014E Adjusted EBITDA includes \$8.0 million of additional adjustments made in connection with the analyses performed.

36

Pro Forma Effect of Special Dividend

Mkt Cap Post Dividend

Stock Price Post Dividend

Proforma 5/31/13 Stock

Price Plus Dividend

(Amounts in Millions, Except Per Share Data)

Special

Dividend

Total \$

Pro Forma

EV / Adj. LTM EBITDA Multiple (a)

Per Share

Distributed

Debt / EBITDA

3.4 x

3.6 x
3.8 x
4.0 x
4.2 x
4.4 x
4.6 x
4.8 x
\$16.32
\$17.63
\$18.94
\$20.25
\$21.55
\$22.86
\$24.17
\$25.48
\$2.00
\$66.3
1.5 x
\$474.9
\$518.2
\$561.6
\$605.0
\$648.4
\$691.7
\$735.1
\$778.5
\$14.32
\$15.63
\$16.94
\$18.25
\$19.55
\$20.86
\$22.17
\$23.48
\$4.00
132.6
1.8 x
\$408.5
\$451.9
\$495.3
\$538.7
\$582.1
\$625.4
\$668.8
\$712.2
\$12.32
\$13.63
\$14.94
\$16.25
\$17.55

\$18.86
\$20.17
\$21.48
\$6.00
199.0
2.1 x
\$342.2
\$385.6
\$429.0
\$472.4
\$515.7
\$559.1
\$602.5
\$645.9
\$10.32
\$11.63
\$12.94
\$14.25
\$15.55
\$16.86
\$18.17
\$19.48
\$8.00
265.3
2.4 x
\$275.9
\$319.3
\$362.6
\$406.0
\$449.4
\$492.8
\$536.2
\$579.5
\$8.32
\$9.63
\$10.94
\$12.24
\$13.55
\$14.86
\$16.17
\$17.48
\$10.00
331.6
2.7 x
\$209.6
\$253.0
\$296.3
\$339.7
\$383.1
\$426.5

\$469.9
\$513.2
\$6.32
\$7.63
\$8.94
\$10.25
\$11.55
\$12.86
\$14.17
\$15.48

Source: Share count based on diluted shares outstanding as of 5/31/13 per Management guidance.

Calendar Days

Prior to Initial Offer

Mean

Median

30 Days

3.2 x

3.2 x

60 Days

3.1 x

3.1 x

90 Days

3.1 x

3.1 x

6 Months

3.0 x

3.0 x

12 Months

3.1 x

3.1 x

18 Months

3.4 x

3.3 x

24 Months

3.5 x

3.5 x

In the 24 months preceding the Family's initial offer, the average EV/Adjusted LTM

EBITDA multiple was 3.5x

EV / LTM EBITDA

2 years prior to Initial Proposal

Note: Market capitalization calculated using the enterprise value based on LTM EBITDA of \$216.9mm multiplied by the adjusted multiple per Management on 6/25/13, less cash used to issue dividends.

(a) Source: LTM EBITDA as of 5/31/13 from Management, with certain adjustments, including normalizing for 365-day period.

Conclusion

Growth in the paper greeting card space has been negative and this trend is likely to continue

Growth in electronic channels may increase rapidly

American Greetings is at an inflection point; capital will be dramatically redirected

Capital must be redirected from a focus on returning capital to shareholders to investments in the core business including information technology and the electronic channel of distribution

AG's normal capital expenditures are approximately \$50 million per year. In light of the conditions faced by the Company, up to \$446 million (over 1.75x historical patterns) is estimated to be required over the next five years for:

Deferred expenditures in information systems technology;

Substantial rehabilitation of the current headquarters or investment in a new headquarters (current facility ~60 years old);

Investment in emerging channels of distribution for social expressions products (through Cardstore or other emerging channels of distribution)

A substantial portion of this required capex is not growth-focused, but rather is deferred maintenance necessary to support the Company's core business in the declining paper greeting card industry

The proposed transaction transfers all business risk to the Family, giving public shareholders certainty of cash at a significant premium

37

Conclusion (cont d)

A premium cash offer at a time when industry trends show declining sales

Significant premium above stock's trading levels over the months leading up to announcement and premium multiple

More favorable to the Company's shareholders than the status quo or other strategic and financial alternatives available

No third party has provided any indication of interest in pursuing a transaction involving the Company

The proposal is the culmination of a process that ultimately leaves shareholders with the decision:

The
merger
agreement
must
be
approved
by
a
Majority
of
the
Minority
vote
38
\$19.00
per
share
in
cash
is
fair
to
and
in
the
best
interests
of
the
Company
and

its
unaffiliated shareholders based on:

Appendix

Chronology of Negotiation Process

Special Committee Qualifications

Forward-Looking Statements

Additional Information and Where to Find It

Participants in the Solicitation

39

12/7/12

Special Committee told the Family that it would only negotiate if and when the Family presented a firmer and more detailed financing structure

Special Committee communicated to the Family the possibility of pursuing alternative transactions (e.g., recapitalization) to enhance value

Special
Committee
also
communicated
to
the
Family
that
it
sought
a

bid in
the \$20 s
Chronology of Special Committee s Efforts to
Maximize Shareholder Value

Family submitted Initial Proposal of \$17.18 to acquire all Class
A and Class
B common shares not owned by the Family
40
9/25/12
9/30/12

Special Committee formed by the Company s Board of Directors
10/7/12 -
10/14/12

Special Committee appointed Sullivan & Cromwell as its legal advisor and
Peter J. Solomon Company as its financial advisor

Chronology of Special Committee's Efforts to
Maximize Shareholder Value (cont'd)

Special Committee, upon review of 1/17/13 Revised Proposal, informed the Family that the updated proposal remained insufficient

Special Committee also emphasized that any proposal must include quarterly dividends, reverse break-fees and majority of minority shareholder approval condition

41

1/30/13

1/17/13

Family sent a letter to the Special Committee increasing its merger price to \$17.50

Draft merger agreement included suspension of \$0.15 quarterly dividends

Dr. Cowen and Zev Weiss met to discuss price; Mr. Weiss indicated that the

Family may be willing to consider \$18.00 per share plus regular quarterly dividend, but no such proposal was made

Dr. Cowen stated that he did not believe that price would be acceptable to the Special Committee

2/27/13

12/19/12

Zev Weiss stated that the Family had significant concerns about obtaining financing on terms acceptable to them that would support the proposed \$17.18 per share price

Chronology of Special Committee's Efforts to
Maximize Shareholder Value (cont'd)

Special
Committee
and
representatives
of
Sullivan
&
Cromwell
and
PJSC
negotiated
price
and
transaction
agreements
with
the
Family
and its
advisors

Zev Weiss indicated that the Family had no ability and no intention to pay more than \$18.00 per share, plus regular quarterly dividends

Dr. Cowen, on behalf of the Special Committee, indicated that the Special Committee desired that shareholders receive \$18.75 per share plus ordinary course dividends

Zev Weiss indicated the Family could pay \$18.25 per share with no dividend

Dr. Cowen communicated a proposal of \$18.20 plus one quarterly

dividend, which was accepted by the Family

42

3/2/13 -

3/28/13

Parties executed the merger agreement and related agreements

3/29/13

Chronology of Special Committee's Efforts to
Maximize Shareholder Value (cont'd)

Zev Weiss indicated that the Family would be willing to consider raising the price to \$19.00 per share, with an increase in the cap on expenses payable to the Family if the merger were not approved by shareholders

43

6/23/13

Dr. Cowen, on behalf of the Special Committee, communicated to Zev Weiss that the Special Committee had received new financial information pertaining to AG's operating results, and was therefore revisiting its recommendation of the deal at \$18.20 per share

The Special Committee did not make any decision to modify or withdraw its recommendation

Mr. Weiss communicates to Dr. Cowen that the financial improvements cited by the Special Committee were neither material nor reflective of any fundamental improvement in the trajectory of AG's business

6/26/13

Chronology of Special Committee's Efforts to
Maximize Shareholder Value (cont'd)

The
Family
obtained
all
required
consents
from
financing
sources
to
raise
offer
price

The Family delivered a letter to the Special Committee offer to \$19.00 per
share, with an increase in the cap on payable expenses

44
6/27/13

Dr. Cowen, on behalf of the Special Committee, reiterated the Special
Committee's position of \$19.50 per share

Zev Weiss reiterated that the Family would not pay additional consideration above \$19.00 per share, but indicated that it would be willing to keep the payable expenses cap unchanged from the original maximum amount, if the Special Committee agreed to consider in its sole discretion expense reimbursements in excess of that amount for documented expenses

7/1/13

Dr.
Cowen,
on
behalf
of
the
Special
Committee,
communicated
to
Zev
Weiss
that
the
Special
Committee
would
be
willing
to
recommend
a
price
increase
to
\$19.50
per
share
and
a
reciprocal,
proportionate
increase
in
the
amount
of
the
expense reimbursement cap

Zev Weiss indicated that the Family would not be supportive of an increase to \$19.50

7/2/13

Chronology of Special Committee's Efforts to
Maximize Shareholder Value (cont'd)

45

7/3/13

PJSC gave the Special Committee an oral fairness opinion (subsequently confirmed in writing) as to the price of \$19.00 per share, and the Special Committee accepted the Family's proposal (including the unchanged expense reimbursement cap with excess amounts reimbursed at the Special Committee's sole discretion)

Parties executed the amendment to the merger agreement

Special Committee Qualifications

Dr. Scott S. Cowen

Dr. Cowen has been a director since 1989. Dr. Cowen is President and Seymour S Goodman Professor of Management and Professor of Economics, Tulane University, a position he has held since 1998. Prior to that, Dr. Cowen served as Dean and Albert J. Weatherhead, III Professor of Management, Weatherhead School of Management at Case Western Reserve University. Dr. Cowen has served as a director of Forest City Enterprises, Inc. since 1989 and Newell Rubbermaid Inc. (a publicly-held consumer home products company) since 1999.

He was a director of Jo-Ann Stores, Inc. (a publicly-held specialty store retailer) from 1987 until its sale in 2011.

Dr. Cowen has been a member of the Board of Overseers of TIAA-CREF (a private financial services company) since 2010. Dr. Cowen participates as a board member of a number of civic organizations, including as Chair of the Southeast Louisiana Regional Airport Authority, and as a member of

the
New
Orleans
Business
Council,
New
Orleans
Public
Belt
Railroad and Greater New Orleans Inc.
46

Special Committee Qualifications (cont d)

William E. MacDonald, III

Mr. MacDonald has been a director since 2007. Mr.

MacDonald was Vice Chairman and member of the Office of the Chairman of National City Corporation from 2001 until his retirement in 2006. Prior to that, Mr. MacDonald held various

management

positions

within

National

City

over

more

than

30

years

including

Senior

Executive

Vice

President

of

National

City

Corporation

and

President

and

Chief

Executive Officer of National City's Ohio Bank. Mr. MacDonald has served as a director of Lincoln Electric Holdings, Inc. (a publicly-held manufacturer and reseller of welding and cutting products) since 2007. He was a director of The Lamson & Sessions Co. (a publicly-held manufacturer of thermoplastic conduit, fittings and electrical switch and outlet boxes) from 2006 to 2007 and MTC Technologies, Inc. (a publicly-held provider of technical and professional services and equipment integration for the U.S. military and intelligence agencies) from 2002 to 2007, when in each case the boards were dismantled as a result of divestiture. Mr. MacDonald has served as a director of Segmint Inc. (a privately held technology-based company helping financial institutions and their marketing partners build digital customer relationships) since 2008. Mr. MacDonald participates

as

a

board

member

of

a

number

of

civic,

health

care

and

other

non-profit

organizations including The Cleveland Clinic Foundation and is Trustee Emeritus of The Diversity Center and WVIZ/PBS and 90.3 Ideastream.

47

Special Committee Qualifications (cont d)

Jeffrey D. Dunn

Mr. Dunn has been a director since 2007. Mr. Dunn served as president and chief executive officer and was a director of HIT Entertainment Limited (a children's entertainment company), from 2008 until the company was sold in February 2012. Before that, Mr. Dunn was a private investor, and was employed by MTV Networks (an entertainment company and a division of Viacom, Inc., a publicly-held company) as Chief Operating Officer of the Nickelodeon Networks Group and the President of Nickelodeon Film Enterprises from 1993 to 2006. Prior to that time, Mr. Dunn was employed as Director of Marketing, Arthur D. Little (a publicly-held management consulting firm), from 1991 to 1993, Director of Marketing, Bank of Boston (a Boston, Massachusetts banking institution) from 1986 to 1991, and Associate International Director, Time Magazine (a weekly news magazine) and General Manager, Discover Magazine (monthly general science magazine) from 1977 to 1986.

48

Special Committee Qualifications (cont d)

Michael J. Merriman, Jr.

Mr. Merriman has been a director since 2006. Mr. Merriman has been an operating advisor with Resilience Capital Partners, LLC (a private equity firm) since 2008. From 2006 until its sale in 2007, Mr. Merriman served as Chief Executive Officer of The Lamson & Sessions Co. Prior to joining Lamson & Sessions, Mr. Merriman served as the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of American Greetings from 2005 until 2006. He served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Royal Appliance Mfg. Co. (a publicly-held manufacturer and marketer of Dirt Devil vacuum cleaners) from 1995 until 2004, was its Chief Financial Officer from 1992 to 1995, and served on the board of directors from 1993 to 2004. Mr. Merriman served as a director of RC2 Corporation (a publicly-held manufacturer of pre-school toys and infant products) from 2004 until its sale in 2011. Mr. Merriman has served as a director of Nordson Corporation (a publicly-held manufacturer of equipment used for precision dispensing, testing and inspection, surface preparation and curing) since 2008; OMNOVA Solutions Inc. (a publicly-held innovator of emulsion polymers, specialty chemicals, and decorative and functional surfaces) since 2008; and Regis Corporation (a publicly-held operator of beauty salons in North America and Europe, including Supercuts, Smart Style Salons in Wal-Mart stores and Regis Salons in malls) since 2011. Mr. Merriman is also a director of Boys Hope Girls Hope of Northeast Ohio, True Hero,

Inc. and John Carroll University.

49

Forward-Looking Statements

Statements about the expected timing, completion and effects of the proposed transaction and all other statements in this communication, other than historical facts, constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements and any such forward-looking statements are qualified in their entirety by reference to the following cautionary statements. All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date hereof and are based on current expectations and involve a number of assumptions, risks and uncertainties that could cause the actual results to differ materially from such forward-looking statements. The Company may not be able to complete the proposed transaction on the terms described above or other acceptable terms or at all because of a number of factors, including the failure to obtain shareholder approval or the failure to satisfy other closing conditions. Factors that may affect the business or financial results of the Company are described in the risk factors included in the Company's filings with the SEC, including the Company's 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Company expressly disclaims a duty to provide updates to forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or other occurrences.

50

Additional Information and Where to Find It

In connection with the proposed merger transaction, the Company filed with the SEC a definitive proxy statement and other relevant documents, including a form of proxy card, on July 10, 2013. The definitive proxy statement and a form of proxy card have been mailed to the Company's shareholders. This investor presentation does not constitute a solicitation of any vote or approval. Shareholders are urged to read the proxy statement and any other documents filed with the SEC in connection with the proposed merger or incorporated by reference in the proxy statement because they contain important information about the proposed merger.

Investors will be able to obtain a free copy of documents filed with the SEC at the SEC's website

at
<http://www.sec.gov>.

In
addition,
investors
may
obtain

a
free
copy
of
the
Company's filings with the SEC from the Company's website at
<http://investors.americangreetings.com>

or
by
directing
a
request

to
the
Company's
Corporate Secretary at our World Headquarters at One American Road, Cleveland, Ohio
44144-2398, or via email to investor.relations@amgreetings.com.

51

Participants in the Solicitation

The Company and its directors, executive officers and certain other members of management and employees of the Company may be deemed participants in the solicitation of proxies from shareholders of the Company in favor of the proposed merger. Information regarding the persons who may, under the rules of the SEC, be considered participants in the solicitation of the shareholders of the Company in connection with the proposed merger is set forth in the definitive proxy statement and the other relevant documents filed with the SEC. You can find information about certain of the Company's executive officers and its directors in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February

28, 2013.

52