

DRIL-QUIP INC
Form DEF 14A
March 31, 2016

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934
(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-11(c) or §240.14a-12

DRIL-QUIP, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

b No fee required.

.. Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Price per unit or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(6) Amount previously paid:

(7) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(8) Filing party:

(9) Date filed:

Notes:

Reg. § 240.14a-101.

SEC 1913 (3-99)

Dril-Quip, Inc.

6401 N. Eldridge Parkway

Houston, Texas 77041

March 31, 2016

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the annual meeting of stockholders to be held at the Company's worldwide headquarters, 6401 N. Eldridge Parkway, Houston, Texas, on May 13, 2016 at 10:00 a.m. For those of you who cannot be present at this annual meeting, we urge that you participate by indicating your choices on the enclosed proxy card and completing and returning it at your earliest convenience.

This booklet includes the notice of the meeting and the proxy statement, which contains information about the Board of Directors and its committees and personal information about the nominees for the Board. Other matters on which action is expected to be taken during the meeting are also described.

It is important that your shares are represented at the meeting, whether or not you are able to attend personally. Accordingly, please sign, date and mail promptly the enclosed proxy in the envelope provided.

On behalf of the Board of Directors, thank you for your continued support.

Blake T. DeBerry

President and Chief Executive Officer

DRIL-QUIP, INC.

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held May 13, 2016

To the Stockholders of

Dril-Quip, Inc.:

The annual meeting of stockholders of Dril-Quip, Inc. will be held at the Company's worldwide headquarters, 6401 N. Eldridge Parkway, Houston, Texas, on May 13, 2016 at 10:00 a.m., Houston time, for the following purposes:

1. To elect the nominees named in the Proxy Statement as directors to serve for a three-year term (Proposal 1).
2. To approve the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as independent registered public accounting firm for 2016 (Proposal 2).
3. To conduct a non-binding advisory vote to approve the Company's compensation of its named executive officers (Proposal 3).
4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any reconvened meeting after an adjournment thereof.

The Board of Directors has fixed March 21, 2016 as the record date for determining stockholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the meeting or any reconvened meeting after an adjournment thereof, and only holders of common stock of record at the close of business on that date will be entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the meeting or any reconvened meeting after an adjournment.

You are cordially invited to attend the meeting in person. Even if you plan to attend the meeting, however, you are requested to mark, sign, date and return the accompanying proxy as soon as possible.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Blake T. DeBerry

President and Chief Executive Officer

March 31, 2016

6401 N. Eldridge Parkway

Houston, Texas 77041

**IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS
FOR THE STOCKHOLDER MEETING TO BE HELD ON MAY 13, 2016.**

The Proxy Statement, our annual report to stockholders and other proxy materials are available at <http://www.edocumentview.com/DRQ>.

Dril-Quip, Inc.

6401 N. Eldridge Parkway

Houston, Texas 77041

PROXY STATEMENT

INTRODUCTION

This proxy statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of Dril-Quip, Inc., a Delaware corporation, of proxies from the holders of our common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, for use at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held at the time and place and for the purposes set forth in the accompanying notice. We are furnishing proxy materials to our stockholders primarily via the Internet, instead of mailing printed copies of those materials to each stockholder. We expect to provide notice and electronic delivery of this proxy statement and the accompanying proxy to stockholders on or about March 31, 2016. If you would prefer to receive a paper copy of our proxy materials, please follow the instructions included in the notice.

In addition to the solicitation of proxies by mail, proxies may also be solicited by telephone or personal interview by our regular employees. We will pay all costs of soliciting proxies. We will also reimburse brokers or other persons holding stock in their names or in the names of their nominees for their reasonable expenses in forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners of such stock.

RECORD DATE AND VOTING SECURITIES

As of the close of business on March 21, 2016, the record date for determining stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the annual meeting, we had outstanding and entitled to vote 37,953,302 shares of common stock. Each share entitles the holder to one vote on each matter submitted to a vote of stockholders.

The requirement for a quorum at the annual meeting is the presence in person or by proxy of holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock. Proxies indicating stockholder abstentions and shares represented by broker non-votes (*i.e.*, shares held by brokers or nominees for which instructions have not been received from the beneficial owners or persons entitled to vote and for which the broker or nominee does not have discretionary power to vote on a particular matter) will be counted for purposes of determining whether there is a quorum at the annual meeting. Votes cast by proxy or in person at the annual meeting will be counted by the persons appointed as election inspectors for the annual meeting.

Brokers holding shares must vote according to specific instructions they receive from the beneficial owners of those shares. If brokers do not receive specific instructions, brokers may in some cases vote the shares in their discretion. However, the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, precludes brokers from exercising voting discretion on certain proposals without specific instructions from the beneficial owner. Importantly, NYSE rules expressly prohibit brokers holding shares in street name for their beneficial holder clients from voting on behalf of those clients in uncontested

director elections or on matters that relate to executive compensation without receiving specific voting instructions from those clients. Under NYSE rules, brokers will have discretion to vote only on Proposal 2 (approval of the appointment of independent registered public accounting firm). Brokers cannot vote on Proposal 1 (election of directors) or Proposal 3 (advisory vote to approve executive compensation) without instructions from the beneficial owners. If you do not instruct your broker how to vote on these matters, your broker will not vote for you.

All duly executed proxies received prior to the annual meeting will be voted in accordance with the choices specified thereon and, in connection with any other business that may properly come before the meeting, in the discretion of the persons named in the proxy. **As to any matter for which no choice has been specified in a duly executed proxy, the shares represented thereby will be voted FOR the election as director of the**

nominees listed herein, FOR approval of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, FOR approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our named executive officers and in the discretion of the persons named in the proxy in connection with any other business that may properly come before the annual meeting.

A stockholder giving a proxy may revoke it at any time before it is voted at the annual meeting by filing with the Secretary at our executive offices a written instrument revoking it, by delivering a duly executed proxy bearing a later date or by appearing at the annual meeting and voting in person. Our executive offices are located at 6401 N. Eldridge Parkway, Houston, Texas 77041. For a period of ten days prior to the annual meeting, a complete list of stockholders entitled to vote at the annual meeting will be available for inspection by stockholders of record during ordinary business hours for proper purposes at our executive offices.

**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF
CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

The following table sets forth the number of shares of our common stock beneficially owned directly or indirectly as of March 21, 2016 or as otherwise indicated below by (i) each person who is known to us to own beneficially more than 5% of our common stock, (ii) each of our directors, director nominees and executive officers and (iii) all executive officers, director nominees and directors as a group.

Name of Beneficial Owner (1)	Amount of Beneficial Ownership	
	Number of Shares	Percent of Stock
Blake T. DeBerry (2)	112,310	*
James A. Gariepy (3)	79,055	*
Jerry M. Brooks (4)	74,169	*
James C. Webster (5)	31,286	*
Alexander P. Shukis (6)	6,263	*
John V. Lovoi (6)	11,633	*
Terence B. Jupp (6)	7,918	*
Steven L. Newman (6)	2,184	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (8 persons)	324,818	*
FMR LLC (7)	5,174,199	13.6%
245 Summer Street Boston, MA 02210		
BlackRock, Inc. (8)	3,285,243	8.7%
55 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10022		
The Vanguard Group (9)	2,670,741	7.0%
100 Vanguard Boulevard Malvern, PA 19355		

* Less than 1%.

- (1) Except as indicated in the footnotes to this table and pursuant to applicable community property laws, the persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock. The address of each such person, unless otherwise provided, is 6401 N. Eldridge Parkway, Houston, Texas 77041.
- (2) Includes (a) 32,511 shares of restricted stock held directly by Mr. DeBerry and (b) 55,000 shares of common stock that may be acquired pursuant to options that are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days of March 21, 2016.
- (3) Includes (a) 29,694 shares of restricted stock held directly by Mr. Gariepy and (b) 25,000 shares of common stock that may be acquired pursuant to options that are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days of March 21, 2016.
- (4) Includes (a) 10,861 shares of restricted stock held directly by Mr. Brooks and (b) 50,000 shares of common stock that may be acquired pursuant to options that are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days of March 21, 2016.
- (5)

Edgar Filing: DRIL-QUIP INC - Form DEF 14A

Includes (a) 10,607 shares of restricted stock held directly by Mr. Webster and (b) 8,000 shares of common stock that may be acquired pursuant to options that are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days of March 21, 2016.

- (6) Includes restricted stock held directly in the amount of 3,432 shares by Mr. Shukis, 6,832 shares by Mr. Lovoi, 5,112 shares by Mr. Jupp and 2,184 shares by Mr. Newman.

- (7) Number of shares based on a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 12, 2016. Such filing indicates that FMR LLC has sole voting power with respect to 150,342 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 5,174,199 shares.
- (8) Number of shares based on a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 10, 2016. Such filing indicates that BlackRock, Inc. has sole voting power with respect to 3,106,671 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 3,285,243 shares.
- (9) Number of shares based on a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 11, 2016. Such filing indicates that The Vanguard Group has sole voting power with respect to 27,789 shares, shared voting power with respect to 2,100 shares, sole dispositive power with respect to 2,643,152 shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 27,589 shares.

PROPOSAL 1

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our Board of Directors is divided into three classes, Class I, Class II and Class III, with staggered terms of office ending in 2016, 2017 and 2018, respectively. The term for each class expires on the date of the third annual stockholders meeting for the election of directors following the most recent election of directors for such class. Each director holds office until the next annual meeting of stockholders for the election of directors of his class and until his successor has been duly elected and qualified.

Our Board of Directors has nominated each of Alexander P. Shukis and Terence B. Jupp for election as a director to serve a three-year term expiring on the date of the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2019 (or until his successor is duly elected and qualified). Messrs. Shukis and Jupp currently serve as members of our Board of Directors. In accordance with our bylaws, directors are elected by a majority of the votes cast at the meeting. This means that the number of shares voted for a director must exceed the number of votes cast against that director. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not affect the outcome of the vote. For additional information on the election of directors, see Corporate Governance Matters Majority Voting in Director Elections.

Our Board of Directors has no reason to believe that Messrs. Shukis and Jupp will not be candidates for director at the time of the annual meeting or will be unable to serve as directors. If Mr. Shukis or Mr. Jupp becomes unavailable for election, our Board of Directors can name a substitute nominee, and proxies will be voted for the substitute nominee pursuant to discretionary authority, unless withheld.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR the election of the nominees listed below. Properly dated and signed proxies will be so voted unless authority to vote in the election of directors is withheld.

Nominees for Class I Director for Three-Year Term to Expire in 2019

The following sets forth information concerning the nominees for election as a director at the annual meeting, including each nominee's age as of March 21, 2016, position with us, business experience during the past five years and the experiences, qualifications, attributes or skills that caused our Nominating and Governance Committee and the Board to determine that the nominees should serve as directors of the Company.

Alexander P. Shukis, age 71, has been a Class I director since February 2003. He is chairman of the Audit Committee and a member of the Nominating and Governance Committee and the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. From July 2001 until his retirement in December 2007, Mr. Shukis was the Controller of Corporate Strategies, Inc., a merchant bank. From 1997 to July 2001, Mr. Shukis was self-employed, working as a business consultant. From 1995 to 1997, he was Chief Financial Officer and Director of Great Western Resources, Inc., an exploration and production company. He served as Vice President and Controller of Great Western Resources, Inc. from 1986 to 1995. Mr. Shukis holds a BBA in accounting from the University of Houston. Mr. Shukis was selected to serve as a director due to his extensive financial and accounting background and his knowledge of the energy industry.

Terence B. Jupp, 56, has been a Class I director since November 2012. He is chairman of the Compensation Committee and a member of the Audit Committee and the Nominating and Governance Committee of the Board of Directors. Since April 2015, Mr. Jupp has been Chief Operating Officer of Harbour Energy, Ltd., a company that owns and operates upstream and midstream energy assets globally, and Senior Vice President of EIG Global Energy Partners, a private energy investment company that is an owner of Harbour Energy. From January 2012 to April 2015, Mr. Jupp served as Chief Operating Officer of CASA Exploration, an oil and gas exploration company. From September 2009 until January 2012, Mr. Jupp was President and Chief Executive Officer of Trans-Global Oil and Gas

Corp., and from April 2008 until August 2009 was Chief Operating Officer of Turkana Energy. From May 2007 until March 2008, Mr. Jupp was a private investor. From August 2006 until April 2007,

Mr. Jupp was employed by Anadarko Petroleum as Vice President International Operations Americas/Far East following its acquisition of Kerr-McGee Oil and Gas. Prior to that, he worked for Kerr-McGee in various management positions domestically and internationally for over 20 years, including as Vice President International Exploration and Production. Mr. Jupp holds a Bachelor of Science degree in petroleum engineering from Texas A&M University. Mr. Jupp was selected to serve as a director due to his executive experience with oil and gas exploration companies, including his international experience in the energy industry.

Information Concerning Class II and Class III Directors

The following sets forth information concerning the Class II and Class III directors whose present terms of office will expire at the 2017 and 2018 annual meetings of stockholders, respectively, including each director's age as of March 21, 2016, position with us, if any, business experience during the past five years and the experiences, qualifications, attributes or skills that caused our Nominating and Governance Committee and the Board to determine that the nominees should serve as directors of the Company.

Class II

Blake T. DeBerry, age 56, has served as a Class II director and the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since October 2011. Prior to that time, he served as our Senior Vice President Sales and Engineering. Mr. DeBerry has filled various engineering and management positions since his employment began with us in 1988, including as Vice President of Dril-Quip Asia Pacific PTE. Ltd. based in Singapore. Mr. DeBerry holds a bachelor of science degree in mechanical engineering from Texas Tech University. Mr. DeBerry was selected to serve as a director because he is our Chief Executive Officer, he has extensive knowledge of the Company and its operations and people gained over 25 years and he has demonstrated engineering knowledge and technical expertise.

John V. Lovoi, age 55, has been a Class II director since May 2005 and Chairman of the Board since October 2011. He is also chairman of the Nominating and Governance Committee and a member of the Compensation Committee and the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. He is the Managing Partner of JVL Advisors LLC, a private energy investment company established in 2002. From January 2000 to August 2002, Mr. Lovoi was a Managing Director at Morgan Stanley Incorporated, and during this period served as head of the firm's Global Oil and Gas Research practice and then as head of the firm's Global Oil and Gas Investment Banking practice. From 1995 to 2000, he was a leading oilfield services and equipment research analyst for Morgan Stanley. Prior to joining Morgan Stanley, he spent two years as a senior financial executive at Baker Hughes and four years as an energy investment banker with Credit Suisse First Boston. Mr. Lovoi is a director of Helix Energy Solutions Group, an energy services company, chairman of the board of directors of Epsilon Energy LTD., an oil and gas company based in Canada, and is a former director of Evergreen Energy, Inc., a provider of energy technology. Mr. Lovoi holds a bachelor of science degree in chemical engineering from Texas A&M University and an MBA degree from the University of Texas at Austin. Mr. Lovoi was selected to serve as a director due to his financial expertise and industry insight, as well as his experience as a director of other public companies.

Class III

Steven L. Newman, age 51, has been a Class III director since August 2015 and is a member of the Audit Committee, the Nominating and Governance Committee and the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. Previously, he was President and Chief Executive Officer and a member of the Board of Directors of Transocean Ltd., a global offshore drilling company, from March 2010 until February 2015. From 1994 to 2010, Mr. Newman was employed by Transocean in various management and operational positions. Mr. Newman is a director of Bumi Armada Bhd, a Malaysian-based company that provides offshore production and support vessels to the oil and gas industry worldwide, and a director of SNC-Lavalin Group Inc., a worldwide engineering and construction company based in Montreal that also provides operations and maintenance services

and invests in infrastructure projects. Mr. Newman holds a Bachelor of Science degree in petroleum engineering from the Colorado School of Mines and an MBA from the Harvard University Graduate School of Business. Mr. Newman was selected to serve as a director due to his executive experience within the oil and gas industry, his international experience and his public company experience.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MATTERS

Board Leadership Structure

The offices of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer are currently separate and have been separate since October 2011. At that time, the Board of Directors appointed Mr. Lovoi as Chairman of the Board and Blake T. DeBerry as President and Chief Executive Officer.

The Board does not have a policy requiring either that the positions of the Chairman of the Board and the Chief Executive Officer should be separate or that they should be occupied by the same individual. The Board believes that this issue is properly addressed as part of the succession planning process and that it is in the best interests of the Company for the Board to make a determination on the matter when it elects a new chief executive officer or at other times consideration is warranted by circumstances. The Board believes that this structure enables it to fulfill its oversight role in determining the manner in which its leadership is configured with a view toward flexibility and maintaining a structure that best serves our Company and its stockholders.

The Board believes that the current separation of these two important roles is in the best interest of the Company and its stockholders at this time. This structure permits the Chairman to direct board operations and lead the board in its oversight of management and the Chief Executive Officer to develop and implement the Company's board-approved strategic vision and manage its day-to-day business. The separation of duties also allows Mr. Lovoi and Mr. DeBerry to focus on their responsibilities as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, respectively. The Board believes that the independent board chairman helps provide an opportunity for Board members to provide more direct input to management in shaping our organization and strategy and strengthens the Board's independent oversight of management. To that end, at each regularly scheduled Board meeting, our non-management directors hold executive sessions at which our management is not in attendance. Mr. Lovoi, as Chairman, presides at these sessions.

Board's Role in the Oversight of Risk Management

The Board of Directors has ultimate oversight responsibility for our system of enterprise risk management. Management is responsible for developing and implementing our program of enterprise risk management. Pursuant to the Audit Committee charter, the Audit Committee has been designated to take the lead in overseeing our risk management process and overall risk management system at the Board level. Accordingly, the Audit Committee meets periodically with management to review our major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control those exposures. The Audit Committee also monitors our risk management policies and guidelines concerning risk assessment and risk management. In this role, the Audit Committee receives reports from management and other advisors and analyzes our risk management process and system, the nature of the material risks we face and the adequacy of our policies and procedures designed to respond to and mitigate these risks.

In addition to the formal compliance program, the Board and the Audit Committee encourage management to promote a corporate culture that understands risk management and incorporates it into our overall corporate strategy and day-to-day business operations. Our risk management structure also includes an ongoing effort to assess and analyze the most likely areas of future risk for the Company. As a result, the Board and Audit Committee periodically ask our executives to discuss the most likely sources of material future risks and how the Company is addressing any significant potential vulnerability.

The Board believes that the administration of its risk oversight function has not affected its leadership structure. In reviewing our compensation program, the Compensation Committee has made an assessment of whether compensation policies and practices create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us and has concluded that they do not create such risks as presently constituted.

Determinations of Director Independence

Under rules adopted by the NYSE, no board member qualifies as independent unless the Board of Directors affirmatively determines that the director has no material relationship with us. In evaluating each director's independence, the Board considers all relevant facts and circumstances in making a determination of independence. In particular, when assessing the materiality of a director's relationship with us, the Board considers the issue not merely from the standpoint of the director, but also from the standpoint of persons or organizations with which the director has an affiliation.

As contemplated by the rules of the NYSE then in effect, the Board adopted categorical standards in 2004 to assist the Board of Directors in making independence determinations. Under the NYSE rules then in effect, immaterial relationships that fall within the guidelines were not required to be disclosed separately in proxy statements. As set forth in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, a relationship falls within the categorical standard if it:

is not a type of relationship that would preclude a determination of independence under Section 303A.02(b) of the New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual;

consists of charitable contributions by us to an organization where a director is an executive officer and does not exceed the greater of \$1 million or 2% of the organization's gross revenue in any of the last three years; or

is not a type of relationship that would require disclosure in the proxy statement under Item 404 of Regulation S-K of the SEC.

In its determination of independence, the Board of Directors reviewed and considered all relationships and transactions between each director, his family members or any business, charity or other entity in which the director has an interest, on the one hand, and we, our affiliates, or our senior management has an interest, on the other. The Board considered the relationships and transactions in the context of the NYSE's objective listing standards, the categorical standards noted above and the additional standards established for members of audit, compensation and governance committees.

In connection with its determination as to the independence of directors, the Board considered ordinary course transactions between the Company and Transocean Ltd. (Transocean). In particular, the Board considered that Mr. Newman was President and Chief Executive Officer and a member of the Board of Directors of Transocean from March 2010 until February 2015 and that Transocean made payments for products purchased from the Company of approximately \$342,000 in 2015. These payments represent approximately 0.04% of the Company's consolidated gross revenues in 2015, and approximately 0.05% of Transocean's consolidated gross revenues in 2015. The Board also considered that Transocean may order additional products from the Company in the future. The Board has concluded that these transactions and relationships do not adversely affect Mr. Newman's ability or willingness to act in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders or otherwise compromise his independence, nor are similar transactions in the future expected to adversely affect Mr. Newman's independence. The Board took note of the fact that these transactions were on standard terms and conditions and that neither company was afforded any special benefits. The Board further noted that Mr. Newman had no involvement in negotiating the terms of the purchases or

interest in the transactions.

As a result of this review, the Board of Directors affirmatively determined that Messrs. Jupp, Lovoi, Shukis and Newman are independent from us and our management. In addition, the Board of Directors affirmatively

determined that Messrs. Jupp, Lovoi, Shukis and Newman are independent under the additional standards for audit committee membership under rules of the SEC. The remaining director, Mr. DeBerry, is not independent because of his current service as a member of our senior management.

L.H. Dick Robertson was a member of the Board of Directors from 2006 until he passed away in November 2015. Mr. Robertson was a member of the Audit Committee, the Nominating and Governance Committee and the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors and had been affirmatively determined by the Board of Directors to be independent from us and our management.

You can access our Independence Guidelines in our Corporate Governance Guidelines on the Investors section of our website at www.dril-quip.com.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

Pursuant to NYSE rules, we have adopted the Dril-Quip, Inc. Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for our directors, officers and employees. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which also meets the requirements of a code of ethics under Item 406 of Regulation S-K, is posted on our website at www.dril-quip.com. Changes in and waivers to the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for our directors and executive officers will also be posted on our website.

Majority Voting in Director Elections

Our amended and restated bylaws include a majority voting standard in uncontested director elections. This standard applies to the election of directors at this meeting. To be elected, a nominee must receive more votes cast for that nominee's election than votes cast against that nominee's election. In contested elections, the voting standard will be a plurality of votes cast. Under our bylaws, a contested election is one at which the number of candidates for election as directors exceeds the number of directors to be elected, as determined by our Corporate Secretary as of the tenth day preceding the date we mail or deliver a notice of meeting to stockholders.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines include director resignation procedures. In brief, these procedures provide that:

An incumbent director nominee must submit an irrevocable letter of resignation that becomes effective upon and only in the event that (1) the nominee fails to receive the required vote for election to the Board at the next meeting of stockholders at which such nominee faces re-election and (2) the Board accepts such resignation;

Each director candidate who is not an incumbent director must agree to submit such an irrevocable resignation upon election as a director;

Upon the failure of any nominee to receive the required vote, the Nominating and Governance Committee makes a recommendation to the Board on whether to accept or reject the resignation;

The Board takes action with respect to the resignation and publicly discloses its decision and the reasons therefor within 90 days from the date of the certification of the election results; and

Edgar Filing: DRIL-QUIP INC - Form DEF 14A

The resignation, if accepted, will be effective at the time specified by the Board when it determines to accept the resignation, which effective time may be deferred until a replacement director is identified and appointed to the Board.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines can be found on the Investors section of our website at www.dril-quip.com.

Committees of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors has appointed three committees: the Audit Committee, the Nominating and Governance Committee and the Compensation Committee.

Audit Committee

The current members of the Audit Committee are Mr. Shukis, who serves as Chairman, and Messrs. Jupp, Lovoi and Newman. The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Shukis is an audit committee financial expert as such term is defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC.

The Board of Directors has approved the Audit Committee Charter, which contains a detailed description of the Audit Committee's duties and responsibilities. Under the charter, the Audit Committee has been appointed by the Board of Directors to assist the Board in overseeing (i) the integrity of our financial statements, (ii) our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, (iii) the independent auditor's independence, qualifications and performance, and (iv) the performance of our internal audit function. The Audit Committee also has direct responsibility for the appointment, compensation and retention of our independent auditors.

Compensation Committee

The current members of the Compensation Committee are Mr. Jupp, who serves as Chairman, and Messrs. Lovoi, Newman and Shukis.

The Board of Directors has approved the Compensation Committee Charter, which contains a detailed description of the Compensation Committee's responsibilities. Under the charter, the Compensation Committee assists the Board in establishing the compensation of our directors and executive officers in a manner consistent with our stated compensation strategy, internal equity considerations, competitive practice and the requirements of applicable law and regulations and rules of applicable regulatory bodies.

Compensation Matters

In fulfilling its compensation role, the Compensation Committee is authorized to:

review and approve corporate goals and objectives relevant to the Chief Executive Officer's compensation; evaluate the Chief Executive Officer's performance in light of those goals and objectives; and either as a committee or together with other independent directors (as directed by the Board), determine and approve the Chief Executive Officer's compensation based on that evaluation, including administering, negotiating any changes to and determining amounts due under the Chief Executive Officer's employment agreement;

review and approve, or make recommendations to the Board with respect to, the compensation of other executive officers, and oversee the periodic assessment of the performance of such officers;

from time to time consider and take action on the establishment of and changes to incentive compensation plans, equity-based compensation plans and other benefit plans, including making recommendations to the Board on plans, goals or amendments to be submitted for action by our stockholders;

administer our compensation plans, including authorizing the issuance of our common stock and taking other action on grants and awards, determinations with respect to achievement of performance goals, and other matters provided in the respective plans; and

review from time to time when and as the Compensation Committee deems appropriate the compensation and benefits of non-employee directors, including compensation pursuant to equity-based plans, and approve, or make recommendations to the Board with respect to, any changes in such compensation and benefits.

In October 2015, the Compensation Committee awarded restricted stock and performance units to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer and General Counsel and a specified amount of restricted stock and performance units to be distributed among key employees at the discretion of Mr. DeBerry. Subject to certain limitations, our long term incentive plan permits the Compensation Committee to delegate its duties under the plan to the Chairman of the Board and our senior officers. The Compensation Committee froze the salaries of these officers during 2015 due to deteriorating industry conditions.

The Compensation Committee also met in February 2016 to determine the annual cash incentive compensation earned during 2015 by our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer and General Counsel. Annual cash incentive compensation for 2015 was based on the Company's actual performance compared to target performance and adjusted for each officer for the achievement of personal goals. Please see Executive Compensation Discussion and Analysis for information about the Company's 2015 executive officer compensation.

Nominating and Governance Committee

The current members of the Nominating and Governance Committee are Mr. Lovoi, who serves as Chairman, and Messrs. Jupp, Newman and Shukis.

The Board of Directors has approved the Nominating and Governance Committee Charter, which contains a detailed description of the Nominating and Governance Committee's responsibilities. Under the charter, the Nominating and Governance Committee identifies and recommends individuals qualified to become Board members, consistent with criteria approved by the Board, and assists the Board in determining the composition of the Board and its committees, in monitoring a process to assess Board and committee effectiveness and in developing and implementing our corporate governance guidelines, practices and procedures. The Nominating and Governance Committee has the authority to engage a third-party consultant at any time.

Selection of Nominees for the Board of Directors

Identifying Candidates

The Nominating and Governance Committee solicits ideas for potential Board candidates from a number of sources, including members of the Board of Directors, our executive officers, individuals personally known to the members of the Nominating and Governance Committee and research. In addition, the Nominating and Governance Committee will consider candidates submitted by stockholders. Any such submissions should include the candidate's name and qualifications for Board membership and should be directed to our Corporate Secretary at the address indicated on the first page of this proxy statement. Although the Board does not require the stockholder to submit any particular information regarding the qualifications of the stockholder's candidate, the level of consideration that the Nominating and Governance Committee will give to the stockholder's candidate will be commensurate with the quality and quantity of information about the candidate that the nominating stockholder makes available to the Nominating and Governance Committee. The Nominating and Governance Committee did not receive any candidate submissions from stockholders during 2015. The Nominating and Governance Committee will consider all candidates identified through the processes described above and will evaluate each of them on the same basis.

In addition, our bylaws permit stockholders to nominate directors for election at an annual stockholders meeting whether or not such nominee is submitted to and evaluated by the Nominating and Governance Committee. To nominate a director using this process, the stockholder must follow certain procedures required by the Bylaws which are described under Additional Information Advance Notice Required for Stockholder Nominations and Proposals below.

Evaluating Candidates

The members of the Nominating and Governance Committee are responsible for assessing the skills and characteristics that candidates for election to the Board should possess, as well as the composition of the Board as a whole. This assessment will include the qualifications under applicable independence standards and other standards applicable to the Board and its committees, as well as consideration of skills and experience in the context of the needs of the Board. The charter of the Nominating and Governance Committee requires the committee to evaluate each candidate for election to the Board in the context of the Board as a whole, with the objective of recommending individuals that can best perpetuate the success of our business and represent stockholder interests through the exercise of sound business judgment using their diversity of experience in a number of areas. Each candidate must meet certain minimum qualifications, including:

independence of thought and judgment;

the ability to dedicate sufficient time, energy and attention to the performance of her or his duties, taking into consideration the nominee's service on other public company boards; and

skills and expertise complementary to the existing Board members' skills; in this regard, the Nominating and Governance Committee will consider the Board's need for operational, sales, management, financial or other relevant expertise.

The Nominating and Governance Committee may also consider the ability of the prospective candidate to work with the then-existing interpersonal dynamics of the Board and her or his ability to contribute to the collaborative culture among Board members.

Based on this initial evaluation, the Nominating and Governance Committee will determine whether to interview the candidate, and, if warranted, will recommend that one or more of its members and senior management, as appropriate, interview the candidate in person or by telephone. After completing this evaluation and interview process, the Nominating and Governance Committee recommends to the Board a slate of director nominees for election at the next annual meeting of stockholders or for appointment to fill vacancies on the Board.

Information Regarding Meetings

During 2015, the Board of Directors held five meetings. The Audit Committee met four times, the Nominating and Governance Committee met twice and the Compensation Committee met twice. During 2015, all current directors attended at least 75% of the aggregate of the total number of meetings of the Board of Directors and the total number of meetings of the committees of the Board of Directors held during the period of such director's service.

We expect, but do not require, Board members to attend the annual meeting. Last year, all of our then-current Board members attended the annual meeting.

Stockholder Communications

Stockholders and other interested parties may communicate directly with our independent directors by sending a written communication in an envelope addressed to Board of Directors (Independent Members) in care of the Corporate Secretary at the address indicated on the first page of this proxy statement.

Stockholders and other interested parties may communicate directly with the Board of Directors by sending a written communication in an envelope addressed to Board of Directors in care of the Corporate Secretary at the address indicated on the first page of this proxy statement.

Website Availability of Governance Documents

You can access our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethical Practices, Audit Committee Charter, Nominating and Governance Committee Charter and Compensation Committee Charter on the Investors section of our website at www.dril-quip.com. Information contained on our website or any other website is not incorporated into this proxy statement and does not constitute a part of this proxy statement. Additionally, any stockholder who so requests may obtain a printed copy of the governance documents from the Corporate Secretary at the address indicated on the first page of this proxy statement.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The Compensation Committee is comprised entirely of Messrs. Jupp, Lovoi, Shukis and Newman, each of whom is an independent director. None of the members of the Compensation Committee during fiscal year 2015 or as of the date of this proxy statement is or has been an officer or employee of Dril-Quip and no executive officer of Dril-Quip served on the compensation committee or board of any company that employed any member of Dril-Quip's Compensation Committee or Board.

Policies and Procedures for Approval of Related Person Transactions

The Board has adopted a written policy implementing procedures for the review, approval or ratification of related person transactions. Under the policy, a related person is any director, executive officer or more than 5% stockholder. The policy applies to any transaction in which (1) we are a participant, (2) any related person has a direct or indirect material interest and (3) the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, but excludes any transaction that does not require disclosure under Item 404(a) of Regulation S-K. The Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for reviewing, approving and ratifying any related person transaction. The Nominating and Governance Committee intends to approve only those related person transactions that are in, or are not inconsistent with, the best interests of us and our stockholders.

RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

Employment Agreements with Executive Officers

On December 8, 2011, we entered into employment agreements with Blake T. DeBerry, President and Chief Executive Officer, James A. Garipey, Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Jerry M. Brooks, Vice President Finance and Chief Financial Officer, and James C. Webster, Vice President General Counsel and Secretary. The following summary of the employment agreements does not purport to be complete and is qualified by reference to the form of the agreements, which have been filed with the SEC and may be obtained from the Corporate Secretary at the address indicated on the first page of this proxy statement.

Each employment agreement has an initial three-year term but automatically extends for one additional year on the third anniversary of the effective date and on each subsequent anniversary of the effective date. Pursuant to the employment agreements, each executive will receive an annual base salary at least equal to the annual base salary earned by such executive immediately prior to the effective date. In addition to an annual salary, each executive will be eligible to receive an annual bonus to be determined each year in accordance with our normal bonus practices or under any annual bonus plan adopted by us after the effective date. Each executive will also be entitled to paid vacation in accordance with the Company's policies, to receive benefits consistent with our other senior executives, including medical, life and disability insurance, and to participate in our incentive, savings and retirement plans. Each employment agreement is subject to the right of the Company and each respective executive to terminate his employment at any time. The employment agreements provide certain benefits upon termination or change-in-control. For more information, see Executive Compensation Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control.

In addition, each executive is subject to a perpetual covenant not to use or disclose our trade secrets or confidential information and, if the executive is terminated after the first anniversary of the effective date, non-competition and non-solicitation covenants during the term of his employment and for 12 months following his termination.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Overview

The non-employee Chairman of the Board receives an annual fee of \$150,000 and the Company's other non-employee directors receive an annual fee of \$75,000. In addition, the non-employee chairmen of the Nominating and Governance Committee and the Compensation Committee of the Board each receive a supplemental annual fee of \$10,000, and the non-employee chairman of the Audit Committee of the Board receives a supplemental annual fee of \$15,000. Further, the non-employee Chairman of the Board and the other non-employee directors receive a fee of \$1,000 for attendance at each Board of Directors meeting and \$1,000 for each committee meeting. No changes were made to the Board's compensation for 2015 from its 2014 compensation. All directors are reimbursed for their out-of-pocket expenses and other expenses incurred in attending meetings of the Board or its committees and for other expenses incurred in their capacity as directors.

Under the Company's 2004 Incentive Plan, as amended, non-employee directors may be granted awards in the form of stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock awards, cash awards or performance awards.

Stock ownership guidelines for non-employee directors were adopted in May 2012. Under these guidelines, each non-employee director is expected to own Dril-Quip common stock valued at five times the then current annual cash retainer paid to such non-employee director. If, however, at any time the Chairman of the Board is a non-employee and is receiving a retainer greater than that paid to the non-employee directors who are not Chairman of the Board, the Chairman of the Board is generally expected to own common stock valued at five times the then current annual cash retainer paid to non-employee directors who are not Chairman of the Board. New directors are expected to attain the specified level of ownership within five years of becoming a director.

The following table sets forth a summary of the compensation paid to our non-employee directors for 2015:

Name	Fees		Option Award	Change in Pension Value and Non-Equity Nonqualified Incentive Plan Compensation			Total
	Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards (1)		Deferred Compensation	All Other Compensation	Total	
John V. Lovoi	\$ 0	\$ 326,843				\$ 326,843	
Alexander P. Shukis	\$ 77,250	\$ 144,013				\$ 221,263	
Terence B. Jupp	\$ 0	\$ 234,346				\$ 234,346	
Steven L. Newman	\$ 20,750	\$ 140,417				\$ 161,167	
L.H. Dick Robertson (2)	\$ 16,233	\$ 193,385				\$ 209,618	

(1)

Edgar Filing: DRIL-QUIP INC - Form DEF 14A

Amounts reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of restricted stock awarded to each of the directors annually pursuant to the 2004 Incentive Plan and restricted stock issued in lieu of cash fees pursuant to the director stock compensation program, computed in accordance with FASB ASC 718, Share-Based Payment (ASC 718), in the following total amounts:

Name	Restricted Stock Awards/Units
John V. Lovoi	5,034
Alexander P. Shukis	2,201
Terence B. Jupp	3,603
Steven L. Newman	2,184
L.H. Dick Robertson	2,929

Assumptions used in the calculation of this amount are included in footnote 12 to our audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 25, 2016.

(2) Mr. Robertson passed away on November 18, 2015.

Upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, the Board granted 1,704 shares of restricted stock to each of Messrs. Lovoi, Shukis, Jupp, Newman and Robertson in October 2015 under the 2004 Incentive Plan, as amended.

Director Stock Compensation Program

In June 2014, the Board of Directors authorized a stock compensation program for non-employee directors pursuant to the 2004 Incentive Plan. Under this program, non-employee directors have the option to receive all or a portion of their board and committee fees (but not expenses) in the form of restricted stock awards in an amount equal to 125% of such fees in lieu of cash. Each director may elect to take fees in the form of restricted stock prior to the beginning of the subject calendar year. Each director taking fees in the form of restricted stock receives his award attributable to a calendar quarter on or about the first business day of the next calendar quarter in an amount equal to 125% of the cash equivalent of his fees, with the number of shares determined by the stock price on the last trading day of the calendar quarter for which the fees are being determined. These awards fully vest on the first day of the second calendar year following issuance. The director stock compensation program is intended to encourage non-employee directors to acquire and hold common stock of the Company to align the interests of directors and the Company's other stockholders. Messrs. Lovoi, Shukis, Jupp and Newman each elected to take all or a portion of their Board fees in the form of restricted stock during 2015, and each has elected to do so again in 2016.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview

The primary objectives of our compensation programs are to attract and retain talented executive officers and to deliver rewards for superior corporate performance. We had four named executive officers in 2015: our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer and General Counsel. The compensation of our named executive officers was at the discretion of the Compensation Committee (the "Committee") and was governed in part by employment agreements entered into with those executives on December 8, 2011. The employment agreements are described under "Related Person Transactions" "Employment Agreements with Executive Officers" and "Executive Compensation" "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control."

Purpose of the Executive Compensation Program

Our executive compensation program has been designed to accomplish the following objectives:

align executive compensation with performance and appropriate peer group comparisons;

produce long-term, positive results for our stockholders;

create a proper balance between building stockholder wealth and executive wealth while maintaining good corporate governance; and

provide market-competitive compensation and benefits that will enable us to attract, motivate and retain a talented workforce.

Administration of Executive Compensation Program

Our executive compensation program is administered by the Committee. The specific duties and responsibilities of the Committee are described in this proxy statement under Corporate Governance Matters Committees of the Board of Directors Compensation Committee. The Committee normally meets each February to set cash bonuses earned during the prior year and to establish performance targets for the annual incentive compensation plan. The Committee also normally meets in October to determine base salaries for our executive officers and award equity-based compensation. The Committee also meets at other times during the year and acts by written consent when necessary or appropriate.

Our Chief Executive Officer provides recommendations on the base salaries of our other executive officers. Our Chief Executive Officer also periodically reviews and recommends specific performance metrics to be used for determining our annual cash incentive payments. These recommendations are then presented to the Committee for its consideration and approval. Our Chief Executive Officer bases his recommendations on a variety of factors such as his appraisal of the executive's job performance and contribution to the Company, improvement in organizational and employee development and accomplishment of strategic priorities. Our Chief Executive Officer does not make any recommendations regarding his own compensation.

2015 Compensation Highlights

Compensation determinations made for 2015 reflect our pay-for-performance philosophy and the Company's intent to align compensation paid to our named executive officers with the interests of our stockholders. The key compensation determinations made with respect to our named executive officers for 2015 are summarized as follows:

No increases to base salaries or target incentive compensation in 2015. In October 2015, our Committee decided to leave the base salaries of our named executive officers unchanged from the increases made in October 2014. In addition, the Committee decided not to increase target annual cash incentives or long-term incentive grants.

Annual Cash Incentive Compensation paid at target. The Company's EBIT performance slightly exceeded our target for 2015, which would have resulted in a payout of 102% of target for our named executive officers' annual cash incentive compensation. However, our Committee elected to use its discretion to reduce the target multiplier from 102% to 100%.

2012 Performance Units paid at 108.25% of target. 108.25% of the three-year performance units granted on October 1, 2012 vested based on the Company's total stockholder return compared to the total stockholder return of the 15 component companies of the Philadelphia Oil Service Index over the period from October 1, 2012 to September 30, 2015.

The ultimate value realized by our long-term compensation grants is heavily influenced by the performance of our stock, both on an absolute basis and relative to the Philadelphia Oil Service Index. The following chart compares the realizable value (as defined below) of long-term compensation grants made during the three-year period beginning on October 1, 2012 and ending on September 30, 2015 to the original grant date fair market value of such grants for each named executive officer.

Realizable value is defined as the pre-tax value as of September 30, 2015 of all restricted stock units and performance units granted between October 1, 2012 and ending on September 30, 2015 with certain assumptions regarding performance units as discussed below.

For the 2012 performance unit grant, the 108.25% vesting level, discussed above, is reflected in the chart.

For performance unit awards for which the performance period is not yet complete (2013 grants and 2014 grants), the value is based on our period-to-date results through September 2015.

2016 Compensation Highlights

The Committee made significant adjustments to the annual cash incentives program for 2016 to account for the impact of the continued decline in industry conditions on the Company. The changes are as follows:

The EBIT Bonus Multiplier under the EBIT Element was reduced.

The threshold under the EBIT Element was raised from 70% to 85%.

A Book to Bill Ratio was added as a second performance criteria in 2016.

These changes are discussed in more detail below under Elements of Compensation Annual Cash Incentive Compensation.

Role of Consultants

The Committee has the authority to engage a third-party consultant at any time. Since October 2011, the Committee has engaged a third-party consultant, Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC (Meridian), to provide independent advice on executive compensation and evaluate and recommend appropriate modifications to our compensation program consistent with our program's objectives. Meridian reports directly to the Committee, which pre-approves the scope of work and the fees charged. The Committee reviewed and assessed Meridian's independence and performance in order to confirm that it was independent and met all applicable regulatory requirements. No other services were provided to us by Meridian in 2015.

In October 2015, at the direction of the Committee, Meridian evaluated the base salary and short term and long term incentive compensation of our named executive officers. As it had done in prior years for the Committee, Meridian used publicly available data from a peer group of oilfield service companies to assist in that analysis.

The peer group data used in October 2015 in relation to compensation for the Company's named executive officers for 2015 was the same peer group used by Meridian in its October 2014 report to the Committee and consisted of the following 12 publicly traded oilfield services and equipment companies: Atwood Oceanics, Inc.,

Forum Energy Technologies, Inc., Gulfmark Offshore, Inc., Helix Energy Solutions Group, Inc., Hercules Offshore, Inc., Hornbeck Offshore Services, Inc., Newpark Resources, Inc., Oceaneering International, Inc., Oil States International, Inc., Parker Drilling Company, Superior Energy Services, Inc. and Tesco Corporation.

This peer group of companies represents a group of companies in the oilfield services industry of comparable size to Dril-Quip based on measures such as enterprise value, revenues, market capitalization and assets. We believe that the use of this group as a reference for evaluating our compensation policies helps align us with our peers and competitors and ensure our compensation program remains competitive when compared to our competition for executive talent. We also believe this group of companies provides a sufficiently large data set that is generally not subject to wide changes in compensation data.

Pursuant to Meridian's recommendations and its own analysis, the Committee has implemented the compensation program for our named executive officers as further described below.

Elements of Compensation

General

Our executive compensation program generally consists of the following elements:

base salary;

annual incentive compensation in the form of cash bonuses;

long-term stock-based incentive compensation consisting of restricted stock and performance unit awards;

contributions to our 401(k) retirement plan; and

benefits such as medical and dental insurance.

We primarily seek to reward achievement of our short-term goals with base salary and annual cash incentive compensation, while long-term interests are rewarded through long-term equity awards. We believe that base salaries should be at levels competitive with peer companies that compete with us for business opportunities and executive talent, and annual cash bonuses and long-term stock-based incentive awards should be at levels which reflect progress toward our corporate goals and individual performance. In general, salary level and annual and long term incentive compensation for each named executive officer are based on market data for the officer's position. Compensation levels can vary compared to the market due to a variety of factors such as experience, scope of responsibilities, tenure and individual performance.

Relative Size of Major Compensation Elements

The relative sizes of the components of an executive's compensation are determined in the sole discretion of the Committee, often with reference to recommendations by third party consultants. Pursuant to their employment agreements, however, the Committee may not reduce the salary of our named executive officers.

Factors taken into account in determining compensation for all executive officers are our performance and the executive's responsibilities, experience, leadership, potential future contributions and demonstrated individual performance. The Committee seeks to achieve the appropriate balance between immediate cash rewards for the achievement of company-wide and personal objectives and long-term incentives that align the interests of our executive officers with those of our stockholders. In setting executive compensation, the Committee considers the aggregate compensation payable to an executive officer and the form of the compensation. The Committee also considered the results of the 2015 advisory vote on executive compensation and, based upon the strong stockholder support, believes that its approach to executive compensation is appropriate.

The following charts summarize the relative size of base salary and incentive compensation for 2015 for each of our named executive officers:

- (1) Calculated using the aggregate grant date fair value of the restricted stock awards and performance unit awards at target award level.

Base Salary

We evaluate base salaries for our named executive officers annually. The base salaries for our Chief Executive Officer and our other executive officers are reviewed and approved by the Committee. Our Chief Executive Officer provides recommendations on adjustments to the base salary of our other executive officers. Base salary recognizes the job being performed and the value of that job in the competitive market. Base salary must be sufficient to attract and retain the executive talent necessary for our continued success and provide an element of compensation that is not at risk in order to avoid fluctuations in compensation that could distract our executives from the performance of their responsibilities.

Base salaries for our named executive officers are based on a review of numerous factors, including our financial and operating performance during the relevant period and the executive officer's experience level and contribution to our success. Salary determinations are subjective and are not based on any formula. The Committee and the Chief Executive Officer, as applicable, make an assessment of our actual financial results compared to our overall annual budget based on the financial statements as a whole, taking into account market and economic conditions unknown during the preparation of the relevant annual budgets. Among other items, the Committee and the Chief Executive Officer compare our actual revenues and expenses, operating income, net income and earnings per share to the budgeted amounts for these items. In addition, annual adjustments to base salary also reflect changes or responses to changes in market data.

In determining the current base salaries, the relevant budgetary data available to the Committee included information from the last six months of 2014 and the first six months of 2015. The 2014 budget was prepared by Mr. DeBerry and approved by the Committee at its February 2014 meeting. The 2015 budget was prepared by Mr. DeBerry and approved by the Committee at its February 2015 meeting. For the twelve months ended June 30, 2015, the relevant portions of the Company's 2014 and 2015 annual budgets forecasted revenues of \$940 million, expenses of \$678 million, operating income of \$262 million, net income of \$197 million and earnings per share of \$4.89. Actual results for the same periods were revenues of \$938 million, expenses of \$662 million, operating income of \$276 million, net income of \$208 million and earnings per share of \$5.28. In addition, the Committee recognized, and took into account, economic and market factors affecting the Company during the period, but unknown during the preparation of the 2014 or 2015 budgets.

In October 2014, the Committee met to review the overall compensation of the named executive officers based on their positions and performance, and in relation to the compensation provided to executives at the Company's peers. In connection with that review, the Committee approved increases in the salaries of Messrs. DeBerry, Gariepy, Brooks and Webster to \$680,000, \$625,000, \$395,000 and \$355,000, respectively, effective as of October 18, 2014. These salaries were in effect until October 2015, at which time the Committee met again to

review the overall compensation of the named executive officers. In connection with that review, the Committee decided to leave the salaries of Messrs. DeBerry, Gariepy, Brooks and Webster unchanged at 2015 levels to reflect the softening industry environment and the Company's business outlook.

Annual Cash Incentive Compensation

Our annual incentive compensation award provides an annual cash award that is designed to link each employee's annual compensation to the achievement of annual performance objectives for the Company, as well as to recognize the employee's performance during the year.

2015 Awards. In February 2015, the Committee approved cash bonus criteria under the Dril-Quip, Inc. Short Term Incentive Plan (STIP) for executive officers for their performance in 2015 (the 2015 Bonus Criteria). Under the terms of the 2015 Bonus Criteria, each executive's bonus award for 2015 performance was calculated based on (i) our performance, measured against budgeted EBIT, in the 12-month period ended December 31, 2015, (ii) the executive officer's achievement of personal objectives and (iii) the bonus target amounts for each executive officer which are set by the Committee. The annual cash incentive target for each of our executive officers for 2015 was set by the Committee and were unchanged from 2014 at the following percentages of their salaries: 100% for each of Mr. DeBerry and Mr. Gariepy, and 75% for each of Mr. Brooks and Mr. Webster.

At the beginning of 2015, our Chief Executive Officer proposed, and the Committee approved, our budget for 2015, including EBIT. Also, certain personal objectives were established by the Committee for our Chief Executive Officer and by our Chief Executive Officer for each other executive. EBIT (which is the same as operating income) was chosen by the Committee as the relevant Company performance metric for the 2015 annual cash incentive awards. Our EBIT for 2015 was calculated by our Chief Financial Officer, based upon our audited financial statements, and presented to the Committee. The Committee reviewed the calculations as prepared by our Chief Financial Officer and determined the applicable performance percentages for purposes of determining the amount of the bonus in accordance with the following pre-determined threshold, target and maximum amounts for our named executive officers:

	EBIT Element (70% of Bonus Determination)	
	EBIT Performance as % of Budget	EBIT Bonus Target Multiplier
Maximum	130%	200%
	125	183
	120	167
	115	150
	110	133
	105	117
Target	100%	100%
	95	92
	90	83
	85	75
	80	67

Edgar Filing: DRIL-QUIP INC - Form DEF 14A

	75	58
Threshold	70%	50%
	less than 70%	0%

The bonus amounts were determined by multiplying the dollar amount of each executive officer's incentive target by the appropriate EBIT Bonus Target Multiplier (using interpolation as needed) and then subtracting up to 30% of that product for any personal objectives that are not satisfied. The satisfaction of personal objectives was determined at the discretion of our Chief Executive Officer and the Committee for our Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer and General Counsel, and at the discretion of the Committee for our Chief Executive Officer.

Based on our performance in 2015, we achieved EBIT performance as a percentage of our 2015 budget of approximately 101%, which corresponds to an EBIT Bonus Target Multiplier of approximately 102%. The Committee, however, used its discretion to reduce the EBIT Bonus Target Multiplier to 100% based on the continued decline in industry conditions. After any adjustment for the performance of our executive officers based on the achievement of their personal objectives in 2015, in February 2016, the Committee awarded bonuses to Messrs. DeBerry, Gariepy, Brooks and Webster in the amounts of \$680,000, \$625,000, \$287,300 and \$266,200, respectively, for their performance in 2015.

2016 Awards. In February 2016, the Committee approved cash bonus criteria under our STIP for executive officers for their performance in 2016 (the *2016 Bonus Criteria*). Under the terms of the 2016 Bonus Criteria, each executive's bonus award for 2016 performance will be calculated based on (i)(A) our performance, measured against budgeted EBIT and (B) our ratio of annual bookings to annual revenues (the *Book to Bill Ratio*), in each case in the 12-month period ending December 31, 2016 against target performance for each measure, (ii) the executive officer's achievement of personal objectives and (iii) the bonus target amounts for each executive officer which are set by the Committee. The Committee made no changes to the executive officers' annual cash incentive targets for 2016.

In response to the continued decline in industry conditions, the Committee reduced the EBIT Bonus Multiplier under the EBIT Element of the 2016 Bonus Criteria. For example, EBIT performances of 100% and 130% of budget that had EBIT Bonus Target Multipliers of 200% and 100%, respectively, under the 2015 Bonus Criteria, have EBIT Bonus Target Multipliers of 150% and 75%, respectively, under the 2016 Bonus Criteria. As a result, the maximum payout under the 2016 Bonus Criteria is 165% of target for all of our named executive officers.

Also as a result of the difficult industry conditions, the Committee added the Book to Bill Ratio as a second performance criteria in 2016 and set an aggressive target by providing that the 2016 budgeted Book to Bill Ratio earn only the threshold amount (50% multiplier). The Book to Bill Ratio is intended to focus management's attention on bookings, which the Committee views as a key Company performance objective in the current industry environment. Annual bookings is the amount of bookings added to the Company's backlog during the year less any bookings removed from the Company's backlog during the year for cancellations or contract revisions. Annual bookings can be calculated as backlog at year end less backlog at the beginning of the year plus annual revenue. At the beginning of 2016, our Chief Executive Officer proposed, and the Committee approved, our budget for 2016, including EBIT and Book to Bill Ratio. In addition, certain personal objectives have been established for our executive officers. EBIT and Book to Bill Ratio were chosen by the Committee as the relevant Company performance metrics for the 2016 annual cash incentive awards. Our EBIT and Book to Bill Ratio for 2016 will be calculated by our Chief Financial Officer and presented to the Committee in early 2017. The Committee will review the calculations as prepared by our Chief Financial Officer and determine the applicable performance percentages for purposes of determining the amount of the bonus in accordance with the following threshold, target and maximum amounts:

	EBIT Element (70% of Bonus Determination)		Book to Bill Ratio Element (30% of Bonus Determination)	
	EBIT Performance as % of Budget	EBIT Bonus Target Multiplier	Annual Book to Bill Ratio	Book to Bill Multiplier
Maximum	130%	150%	31.4	200%
	125			
		137.5	31.3	175%
	120	125	31.2	150%
	115	112.5	31.1	125%
	110	100		
	105	88		
Target	100%	75%	31.0	100%
	95	66.7	30.9	75%
	90	58.3		
Threshold	85%	50%	30.8	50%
	less than 85%	0%	<0.8	0%

The bonus amounts will be determined by multiplying the dollar amount of each executive officer's incentive target by (i) the appropriate EBIT Bonus Target Multiplier, and then multiplying that product by 70% (EBIT Payout), and (ii) the appropriate Book to Bill Target Multiplier, and then multiplying that product by 30% (Book to Bill Payout). Interpolation will be used for determining the EBIT Multiplier but not for determining the Book to Bill Multiplier. The sum of the EBIT Payout and the Book to Bill Payout is then subject to reduction by up to 30% for personal objectives that are not satisfied. Our Committee may use its discretion to reduce the EBIT Payout and/or the Book to Bill Payout prior to the determination of the extent to which any personal objectives have been met. The determination of whether personal objectives are satisfied will be at the discretion of our Chief Executive Officer and the Committee for our Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer and General Counsel, and at the discretion of the Committee for our Chief Executive Officer.

Long-Term Stock-Based Incentive Compensation

In 2015, the division of awards under our 2004 Incentive Plan between restricted stock and performance units at target was as follows:

Restricted Stock Grants. The Committee is responsible for restricted stock grants under our incentive compensation plan. The Committee approves the grant of restricted stock at meetings of the Committee, and generally does not grant restricted stock by written consent. The Committee establishes an award for our named executive officers and a total number of awards for non-executive employees and delegates to the Chief Executive Officer the distribution of such awards to our non-executive employees. Historically, our grants of equity compensation have been made on October 28, the anniversary of the closing of our initial public offering.

In determining the amount, if any, of restricted stock granted to our named executive officers and our other key employees, the Committee considers numerous factors, including, among others, the following:

our financial and operating performance during the relevant period;

the executive's contribution to our success;

the level of competition for executives with comparable skills and experience; and

the total number of shares of restricted stock granted to an executive over the course of his or her career, together with the retentive effect of additional restricted stock grants.

Based on these considerations, the Committee awarded Mr. DeBerry with 19,780 shares of restricted stock, Mr. Gariepy with 17,878 shares of restricted stock, Mr. Brooks with 6,542 shares of restricted stock and Mr. Webster with 6,390 shares of restricted stock in October 2015.

Performance Units. The Committee also elected to grant to our current named executive officers shares of performance units in October 2015 under the 2004 Incentive Plan. The Committee believes that the performance unit awards better align executive performance with stockholder interests and focus the officers on long-term performance as the performance units vest over three-year intervals. Under the plan, participants may earn from 0% to 200% of their target number of shares based upon the Company's relative total shareholder return (TSR) to the 15 component companies of the Philadelphia Oil Service Index (OSX index). The TSR is calculated over a 3-year period from October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2018.

The Committee considered the same factors enumerated above for its grant of performance units. Based on these considerations, the Committee awarded Mr. DeBerry with 19,780 performance units, Mr. Gariepy with 17,878 performance units, Mr. Brooks with 6,542 performance units and Mr. Webster with 6,390 performance units. The Committee determined that it was appropriate to grant each executive officer awards in an equal number of performance units and restricted stock shares to balance the objectives of retention and performance.

Stock Options. The Committee last awarded stock options to named executive officers in October 2011. At that time, the Committee engaged Meridian to evaluate and recommend appropriate modifications to our compensation program consistent with our program's objectives. Meridian completed its report in November 2011 and, pursuant to Meridian's recommendations and after internal deliberation, the Committee elected to discontinue the use of stock options as an incentive tool in favor of restricted stock and performance unit awards, which more closely aligns the Company with its market peers.

Other Benefits

We provide our executive officers with other personal benefits that we believe are reasonable and consistent with our overall compensation program.

The employment agreements of our named executive officers provide certain benefits upon termination which are described under Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control. We believe these benefits are consistent with those awarded by our peer group and are beneficial in retaining executives. These benefits are also intended to help ensure each named executive officer's continued full attention to our business needs in the event we were to become the subject of the types of change in control transactions described in the agreements.

We seek to provide benefit plans, such as medical, life and disability insurance, in line with market conditions. Executive officers are eligible for the same benefit plans provided to other employees, including insurance plans and supplemental plans chosen and paid for by employees who wish additional coverage. We do not have any special insurance plans for executive officers.

Post-Employment Benefits

We make contributions to the Dril-Quip, Inc. 401(k) Plan, which is a voluntary and contributory plan for eligible employees. Our contributions, which are based on a percentage of matching employee contributions, totaled approximately \$3.6 million in 2015, which includes an aggregate of \$41,215 for our named executive officers' benefit.

Executive Stock Ownership Guidelines

To align the interests of our executive officers and stockholders, executive officers should have a significant financial stake in the Company. To further that goal, the Board adopted, effective as of May 10, 2012, the stock ownership guidelines described below for our executive officers. These guidelines were implemented following evaluation of peer group proxy disclosure data and review by the Compensation Committee and its compensation consultant. Under the guidelines, our Chief Executive Officer should own Dril-Quip common stock having a market value of five times base salary, our senior vice presidents should own Dril-Quip common stock having a market value of four times base salary and our other executive officers should own Dril-Quip common stock having a market value of three times their respective base salaries.

For purposes of the guidelines, the ownership requirement is determined based on the executive's current base salary. The base salary multiple amount is converted to a fixed number of shares using the average closing price of our common stock for the prior calendar year.

In addition to shares owned outright, equivalent shares held in our retirement plan, unvested restricted stock awards, the target number of unvested performance stock units, and shares held by immediate family members of the executive officer residing in the same household are counted towards the guidelines. Newly hired or recently promoted officers are given a period of five years from such hiring or promotion to comply with these guidelines. The Compensation Committee reviews our executive officers' stock holdings annually to monitor compliance with these guidelines. Each of our executive officers is in compliance with our stock ownership guidelines.

Hedging and Pledging Policy

We have also adopted a policy, effective as of February 19, 2015, prohibiting directors and executive officers from entering into speculative transactions in our common stock. The hedging policy prohibits the purchase or sale of puts, calls, options or other derivative securities based on our common stock by directors or executive officers. We also prohibit hedging or monetization transactions, such as forward sale contracts, in which the director or executive officer continues to own the underlying common stock without all the risks or rewards of ownership, and the pledging by directors or executive officers of our common stock as collateral for a loan or for any other purpose.

Impact of Accounting and Tax Treatments

Accounting Treatment

Under ASC 718, we recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments based on the grant date fair value of those awards. Restricted stock awards are measured based on the fair value of the stock at the date of grant. We valued the performance unit awards using a Monte Carlo simulation model based on probable achievement level of the underlying performance conditions as of the grant date.

Tax Treatment

Section 162(m) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), generally disallows a tax deduction to public companies for compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to the Chief Executive Officer or any of the three other most highly compensated officers other than the Chief Financial Officer. Performance-based compensation arrangements may qualify for an exemption from the deduction limit if they satisfy various requirements under Section 162(m). Although we consider the impact of this rule when developing and implementing our executive compensation program, we believe it is important to preserve flexibility in designing compensation programs. Accordingly, we have not adopted a policy that all compensation must qualify as deductible under Section 162(m).

Stockholder Advisory Say-on-Pay Vote

At our 2016 annual meeting, we are providing our stockholders with the opportunity to cast an advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers, commonly known as a say-on-pay vote. This vote provides our stockholders the opportunity to express their views regarding the compensation program for our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement. As an advisory vote, the say-on-pay vote at our 2016 annual meeting will not be binding upon the Company or the Board of Directors. However, the Board of Directors values the opinions expressed by our stockholders, and the Committee will consider the outcome of the vote when making future compensation decisions for our named executive officers. For additional information, please refer to Proposal 3: Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation beginning on page 38.

The advisory vote at our 2016 annual meeting will be our sixth say-on-pay vote. We conducted a say-on-pay vote at our 2015 annual meeting at which an advisory resolution approving the compensation of our named executive officers, as disclosed in our proxy statement for such annual meeting, was approved by approximately 97% of the shares that were voted either for or against the resolution (excluding abstentions and broker non-votes). We have considered the favorable results of this vote, and the Committee has not made any changes to our overall executive compensation program as a direct result of the vote.

At our 2011 annual meeting, we also conducted an advisory vote on the frequency of future stockholder advisory votes on executive compensation, at which the Board of Directors recommended that our stockholders vote in favor of holding annual say-on-pay votes instead of the other options presented. At our 2011 annual meeting, approximately

87% of the shares that were voted in favor of one of the three available frequency

recommendations (excluding abstentions and broker non-votes) voted in favor of an annual frequency, approximately five percent voted in favor of holding future votes once every two years, and approximately eight percent voted in favor of holding future votes once every three years. In May 2011, we disclosed that, in accordance with the results of the advisory vote, we intend to hold future say-on-pay votes annually until we next hold an advisory vote on the frequency of say-on-pay votes as required under SEC rules.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee of the Board has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management. Based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

The Compensation Committee

Terence B. Jupp
John V. Lovoi
Steven L. Newman
Alexander P. Shukis

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth information regarding the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer and General Counsel (collectively, the named executive officers) for services rendered in all capacities during 2013, 2014 and 2015:

	Year	Salary	Bonus	Stock Awards (1)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (2)	All Other Compensation (3)	Total
Blake T. DeBerry	2015	\$ 680,000		\$ 2,862,562	\$ 680,000	\$ 10,126	\$ 4,232,688
President and Chief Executive Officer	2014	659,519		3,111,477	655,000	9,764	4,435,759
	2013	634,423		2,456,743	1,220,100	35,245(4)	4,346,511
James A. Gariepy	2015	625,000		2,587,304	625,000	14,019	3,851,323
Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	2014	604,519		2,812,355	600,000	172,685(5)	4,189,559
	2013	579,423		2,456,743	1,113,600	87,428(5)	4,237,194
Jerry M. Brooks	2015	395,000		946,758	287,300	19,289(6)	1,648,347
Vice President Finance and Chief Financial Officer	2014	382,712		1,029,171	282,900	13,825(6)	1,708,608
	2013	367,654		895,286	492,000	10,916	1,765,856
James C. Webster	2015	355,000		924,761	266,200	11,325	1,557,285
Vice President General Counsel and Secretary	2014	342,711		1,005,206	255,000	10,896	1,613,813
	2013	327,654		873,344	314,700	10,935	1,526,633

- (1) Amounts reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of restricted stock awards and performance unit awards computed in accordance with ASC 718. With respect to performance unit awards, amounts are based on probable achievement level of the underlying performance conditions as of the grant date. Assumptions used in the calculation of this amount are included in footnote 12 to our audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 25, 2016. The maximum value at the grant date of performance unit awards assuming the highest level of performance is achieved is as follows:

Name	Year	Maximum Value of Performance Unit Awards (\$)
Blake T. DeBerry	2015	2,599,883
James A. Gariepy	2015	2,349,884
Jerry M. Brooks	2015	859,880
James C. Webster	2015	839,902

- (2) Amounts reflect short term incentive awards earned with respect to performance in the designated year and paid in the following year.
- (3)

The amounts shown in 2013 include our matching contributions to Mr. DeBerry's 401(k) account in the amount of \$9,692 and to each other named executive officer's 401(k) account in the amount of \$10,200. The amounts shown in 2014 include our matching contributions to Mr. DeBerry's 401(k) account in the amount of \$9,069 and to each other named executive officer's 401(k) account in the amount of \$10,200. The amounts shown in 2015 include our matching contributions to Mr. DeBerry's 401(k) account in the amount of \$9,415 and to each other named executive officer's 401(k) account in the amount of \$10,600. Each named executive officer is responsible for paying income tax on the amounts listed above.

- (4) The amount shown for Mr. DeBerry for 2013 includes a payment of foreign taxes of \$24,873 associated with his prior overseas assignment.
- (5) The amounts shown for Mr. Gariepy for 2013 and 2014 include payments of foreign taxes of \$76,517 and \$161,775, respectively, associated with his prior overseas assignment.
- (6) The amount shown for Mr. Brooks for 2014 and 2015 include payments of \$2,912 and \$7,976, respectively, for unused vacation in connection with a change in the Company's policies with respect to the accrual of vacation days.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table contains information with respect to the grant of plan-based awards.

Name	Grant Date	Date of Nominating, Governance and Compensation Committee Approval	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards (2)			All Other Awards	All Other Awards Exercise Price of Base Option Awards	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (3)
			Threshold	Target	Maximum	Threshold	(#)	Maximum			
Blake T. Berry	10/28/2015	10/16/2015	\$ 340,000	\$ 680,000	\$ 1,360,000					\$ 1,299,94	
	10/28/2015	10/16/2015				9,935	19,780	39,740		1,562,62	
James A. Briepy	10/28/2015	10/16/2015	312,500	625,000	1,250,000					1,174,94	
	10/28/2015	10/16/2015				8,939	17,878	35,756		1,412,36	
Bry M. Brooks	10/28/2015	10/16/2015	148,125	296,250	592,500					429,94	
	10/28/2015	10/16/2015				3,271	6,542	13,084		516,81	
James C. Webster	10/28/2015	10/16/2015	133,125	266,250	532,500					419,95	
	10/28/2015	10/16/2015				3,195	6,390	12,780		504,81	

- (1) The estimated payouts under non-equity incentive plan awards were based on the terms of the 2015 Bonus Criteria. The maximum payout amount (as shown in the Maximum column) was 200% of target for all of our named executive officers. The threshold payout amount (as shown in the Threshold column) was 50% of target for all of our named executive officers. Actual amounts paid in 2016 for 2015 performance are shown in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table.
- (2) The grants of equity incentive plan awards consist of two types of awards for each named executive officer: a restricted stock unit award covering a number of shares listed in the Target # column in the second line for each officer, and a performance unit award, for which threshold, target and maximum numbers of shares are shown in the columns under Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards in the third line for each officer. The 2015 restricted shares vest in 33 1/3% increments annually beginning on October 28, 2016. The performance unit awards vest based on the Company's total stockholder return compared to the total stockholder return of the 15 component companies of the Philadelphia Oil Service Index over the period from October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2018. All awards were granted under our 2004 Incentive Plan.
- (3) Represents the full grant date fair value of the awards calculated in accordance with ASC 718. Assumptions used in the calculation of this amount are included in footnote 12 to our audited consolidated financial statements for

the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 25, 2016.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table sets forth information concerning the outstanding stock option, restricted stock and performance unit awards made to each named executive officer as of December 31, 2015:

	Option Awards				Stock Awards		Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares That Have Not Vested
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Number of Securities Underlying Exercised Options	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares of Stock That Have Not Vested	
Blake T. DeBerry	10,000			54.13	10/26/2017		
	10,000			20.98	10/28/2018		
	10,000			48.77	10/28/2019		
	10,000			66.93	10/28/2020		
	15,000			68.43	10/28/2021		
						3,210(a)	\$ 190,128
						9,521(b)	\$ 563,929
						19,780(c)	\$ 1,171,569
							9,629(d) \$ 570,326
							14,282(e) \$ 845,923
							9,890(f) \$ 585,785
James A. Gariepy	10,000			66.93	10/28/2020		
	15,000			68.43	10/28/2021		
						3,210(a)	\$ 190,218
						8,606(b)	\$ 509,733
						17,878(c)	\$ 1,058,914
							9,628(d) \$ 570,326
							12,909(e) \$ 764,600
							8,939(f) \$ 529,457
Jerry M. Brooks	10,000			54.13	10/26/2017		
	10,000			20.98	10/28/2018		
	10,000			48.77	10/28/2019		
	10,000			66.93	10/28/2020		
	10,000			68.43	10/28/2021		
						1,170(a)	\$ 69,299
						3,149(b)	\$ 186,515

				6,542(c)	\$ 387,483		
						3,509(d)	\$ 207,838
						4,724(e)	\$ 279,803
						3,271(f)	\$ 193,741
James C.							
Webster	8,000	68.43	10/28/2021				
				1,141(a)	\$ 67,581		
				3,076(b)	\$ 182,192		
				6,390(c)	\$ 378,480		
						3,423(d)	\$ 202,744
						4,614(e)	\$ 273,287
						3,195(f)	\$ 189,240

- (a) The restricted stock awards vest on October 28, 2016.
- (b) The restricted stock awards vest in two annual installments beginning on October 28, 2016.
- (c) The restricted stock awards vest in one-third increments over a three-year period beginning on October 28, 2016, the first anniversary of the date of grant.
- (d) The performance unit awards are shown at target amounts and vest based on the Company's total stockholder return compared to the total stockholder return of the 15 component companies of the Philadelphia Oil Service Index over the period from October 1, 2013 to September 30, 2016. Based on the

Company's total stockholder return compared to the total stockholder return of the 15 component companies of the Philadelphia Oil Service Index over the period from October 1, 2013 to December 31, 2015, the provided amounts reflect target payout of the awards.

- (e) The performance unit awards are shown at target amounts and vest based on the Company's total stockholder return compared to the total stockholder return of the 15 component companies of the Philadelphia Oil Service Index over the period from October 1, 2014 to September 30, 2017. Based on the Company's total stockholder return compared to the total stockholder return of the 15 component companies of the Philadelphia Oil Service Index over the period from October 1, 2014 to December 31, 2015, the provided amounts reflect target payout of the awards.
- (f) The performance unit awards are shown at threshold amounts and vest based on the Company's total stockholder return compared to the total stockholder return of the 15 component companies of the Philadelphia Oil Service Index over the period from October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2018.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table indicates the number and value of stock awards vested during 2015:

	Option Awards		Stock Awards (1)	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercises (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting #(a)	Value Realized on Vesting \$(b)
Blake T. DeBerry		\$	26,871	\$ 1,718,143
James A. Gariepy			26,413	1,688,043
Jerry M. Brooks			10,814	690,279
James C. Webster			10,112	645,755

- (1) For each of the named executive officers, the Stock Awards consist of the following:

	Performance Share Awards for the 2013-2015 Performance Cycle (a)		Stock Awards Granted October 26, 2015		Stock Awards Granted October 28, 2013 that Vested October 28, 2015		Stock Awards Granted October 28, 2014 that Vested October 28, 2015	
	Number of Shares (#)(b)	Value Realized on Vesting \$(c)	Number of Shares (#)	Value Realized on Vesting \$(d)	Number of Shares (#)	Value Realized on Vesting \$(e)	Number of Shares (#)	Value Realized on Vesting \$(f)
Blake T. DeBerry	14,451	913,159	4,450	281,196	3,209	210,895	4,761	312,893
James A. Gariepy	14,451	913,159	4,450	281,196	3,209	210,895	4,303	282,793
Jerry M. Brooks	6,170	389,882	1,900	120,061	1,169	76,827	1,575	103,509
James C. Webster	5,683	359,109	1,750	110,583	1,141	74,987	1,538	101,077

- (a) The performance unit awards vest based on the Company's total stockholder return compared to the total stockholder return of the 15 component companies of the Philadelphia Oil Service Index over the period from October 1, 2012 to September 30, 2015.

- (b) The performance unit awards vested at 108.25% of target.
- (c) The Value Realized on Vesting for the performance unit awards was determined using the closing market price of Dril-Quip, Inc. common stock on the vesting date (\$63.19).
- (d) The Value Realized on Vesting for the restricted stock awards was determined using the closing market price of Dril-Quip, Inc. common stock on the vesting date (\$63.19).
- (e) The Value Realized on Vesting for the restricted stock awards was determined using the closing market price of Dril-Quip, Inc. common stock on the vesting date (\$65.72).
- (f) The Value Realized on Vesting for the restricted stock awards was determined using the closing market price of Dril-Quip, Inc. common stock on the vesting date (\$65.72).

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The table below sets forth the following information about our common stock that may be issued under our existing equity compensation plan as of December 31, 2015. Our existing equity compensation plan has been approved by our stockholders.

Plan Category	(a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (1)	(b) Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans excluding securities reflected in column (a)
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders (1)	894,016 (2)	\$ 55.64 (3)	302,346
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders		N/A	
Total	894,016	\$ 55.64	302,346

(1) Consists solely of the 2004 Incentive Plan of Dril-Quip, Inc.

(2) Includes, in addition to 415,122 shares underlying options, an aggregate of 279,136 shares issuable upon settlement of outstanding grants of 139,568 performance units (which assumes maximum performance is achieved) and 199,758 shares of restricted stock awards that have not yet vested.

(3) This weighted-average exercise price does not reflect the shares issuable upon settlement of outstanding grants of performance shares or stock awards.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control

Current Executive Officers

Effective December 8, 2011, we entered into employment agreements with Blake T. DeBerry, President and Chief Executive Officer, James A. Gariepy, Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Jerry M. Brooks, Vice President Finance and Chief Financial Officer, and James C. Webster, Vice President General Counsel and Secretary.

If an executive's employment is terminated by us without cause (as defined in the employment agreement) and prior to a change of control period (as defined in the employment agreement), the executive will be entitled to (i) a lump sum cash payment equal to the executive's base salary through the termination date together with compensation for accrued vacation time (the Accrued Amount), (ii) a lump sum cash payment equal to two times the executive's annual base salary, in the case of Messrs. DeBerry and Gariepy, and one times the annual base salary, in the case of Messrs. Brooks and Webster, and (iii) continued medical, dental and life insurance coverage until the earlier of the executive's receipt of equivalent coverage and benefits under other plans of a subsequent employer or two years, in the case of

Messrs. DeBerry and Gariepy, or one year, in the case of Messrs. Brooks and Webster.

If an executive's employment is terminated by us without cause or by the executive for good reason (as defined in the employment agreement) and during a change of control period, the executive will be entitled to (i) a lump sum cash payment equal to the Accrued Amount, (ii) a lump sum cash payment equal to three times the executive's annual base salary, in the case of Messrs. DeBerry and Gariepy, and two times the annual base salary, in the case of Messrs. Brooks and Webster, (iii) a lump sum cash payment equal to a pro rata portion of the greater of the annual bonus target for the year of termination or the average annual bonus amount paid for the three most recent performance periods (as defined in the employment agreement), (iv) a lump sum cash payment

in an amount equal to three times, in the case of Messrs. DeBerry and Gariepy, and two times, in the case of Messrs. Brooks and Webster, the greater of the annual bonus target for the year of termination or the average annual bonus amount paid for the three most recent performance periods (as defined in the employment agreement), (v) immediate vesting of any stock options, restricted stock and performance units previously granted to the executive and outstanding as of the time immediately prior to the date of his termination, and (vi) continued medical, dental and life insurance coverage until the earlier of the executive's receipt of equivalent coverage and benefits under other plans of a subsequent employer or three years, in the case of Messrs. DeBerry and Gariepy, or two years, in the case of Messrs. Brooks and Webster.

If an executive's termination is with cause at any time, other than for good reason during a change of control period (as defined in the employment agreement) or due to death or disability, the executive will be entitled to (i) a lump sum cash payment equal to the Accrued Amount and (ii) the provision of deferred compensation and other employee benefits otherwise due.

In the event the change-of-control severance benefits payable to the executive under the employment agreement subject the executive to the parachute payment excise tax under Section 4999 of the Code (Excise Tax), the executive will be liable for payment of the Excise Tax. No Excise Tax gross-up payment will be made to or on behalf of the executive by us.

The following tables show potential payments to our executive officers under their existing employment agreements upon termination of employment, assuming a December 31, 2015 termination date:

Blake T. DeBerry

Payments Upon Termination	Without Cause or By the Executive for Good Reason and During the Change of Control Period		
	Without Cause and Prior to Change of Control Period	Without Cause or By the Executive for Good Reason and During the Change of Control Period	With Cause; Other than for Good Reason; Due to Death or Disability
Unpaid Salary and Accrued Vacation Through Date of Termination (1)	\$ 16,500	\$ 16,500	\$ 16,500
Base Salary Severance Payment (2)	1,360,000	2,040,000	
Pro Rated Bonus Amount (3)		896,701	
Additional Bonus Amount (4)		2,690,103	
Stock Options, Restricted Stock and Performance Unit Vesting (5)		4,513,444	
Continued Insurance Coverage (6)	24,387	37,243	
Total	\$ 1,400,887	\$ 10,193,991	\$ 16,500

(1) Includes 50 hours of accrued vacation time.

- (2) Calculated using base salary in effect at December 31, 2015.
- (3) Calculated using the average annual bonus amount paid for the three most recent performance periods prior to December 31, 2015, which is greater than the target bonus amount as of December 31, 2015, as provided under the employment agreement.
- (4) Calculated using the average annual bonus amount paid for the three most recent performance periods prior to December 31, 2015, which is greater than the target bonus amount as of December 31, 2015, as provided under the employment agreement.
- (5) Calculated based on (i) the difference between the closing price of Dril-Quip common stock on December 31, 2015 (\$59.23) and the exercise price of unvested stock options as of such date, in the case of

stock options, (ii) the closing price of Dril-Quip common stock on December 31, 2015 (\$59.23), in the case of restricted stock and performance units and (iii) performance unit payout at target amount.

- (6) Calculated based on assumptions used for financial reporting purposes under FASB ASC 715-60 (ASC 715-60), *Employer's Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other than Pensions*.

James A. Gariepy

Payments Upon Termination	Without Cause and Prior to Change of Control Period	Without Cause or By the Executive for Good Reason and During the Change of Control Period	
		Without Cause and Prior to Change of Control Period	With Cause; Other than for Good Reason; Due to Death or Disability
Unpaid Salary and Accrued Vacation Through Date of Termination (1)	\$ 9,537	\$ 9,537	\$ 9,537
Base Salary Severance Payment (2)	1,250,000	1,875,000	
Pro Rated Bonus Amount (3)		820,100	
Additional Bonus Amount (4)		2,460,300	
Stock Options, Restricted Stock and Performance Unit Vesting (5)		4,152,615	
Continued Insurance Coverage (6)	26,306	40,175	
Total	\$ 1,285,843	\$ 9,357,727	\$ 9,537

- (1) Includes 32 hours of accrued vacation time.
(2) Calculated using base salary in effect at December 31, 2015.
(3) Calculated using the average annual bonus amount paid for the three most recent performance periods prior to December 31, 2015, which is greater than the target bonus amount as of December 31, 2015, as provided under the employment agreement.
(4) Calculated using the average annual bonus amount paid for the three most recent performance periods prior to December 31, 2015, which is greater than the target bonus amount as of December 31, 2015, as provided under the employment agreement.
(5) Calculated based on (i) the difference between the closing price of Dril-Quip common stock on December 31, 2015 (\$59.23) and the exercise price of unvested stock options as of such date, in the case of stock options and (ii) the closing price of Dril-Quip common stock on December 31, 2015 (\$59.23), in the case of restricted stock and performance units and (iii) performance unit payout at target amount.
(6) Calculated based on assumptions used for financial reporting purposes under ASC 715-60.

Jerry M. Brooks

Payments Upon Termination	Without Cause and Prior to Change of Control Period	Without Cause or By the Executive for Good Reason and During the Change of Control Period	With Cause; Other than for Good Reason; Due to Death or Disability
---------------------------	---	---	--

		Change of Control Period	
Unpaid Salary and Accrued Vacation Through Date of Termination (1)	\$ 40,829	\$ 40,829	\$ 40,829
Base Salary Severance Payment (2)	395,000	790,000	
Pro Rated Bonus Amount (3)		373,533	
Additional Bonus Amount (4)		747,066	
Stock Options, Restricted Stock and Performance Unit Vesting (5)		1,518,420	
Continued Insurance Coverage (6)	12,920	26,306	
Total	\$ 448,749	\$ 3,496,154	\$ 40,829

(1) Includes 215 hours of accrued vacation time.

- (2) Calculated using base salary in effect at December 31, 2015.
- (3) Calculated using the average annual bonus amount paid for the three most recent performance periods prior to December 31, 2015, which is greater than the target bonus amount as of December 31, 2015, as provided under the employment agreement.
- (4) Calculated using the average annual bonus amount paid for the three most recent performance periods prior to December 31, 2015, which is greater than the target bonus amount as of December 31, 2015, as provided under the employment agreement.
- (5) Calculated based on (i) the difference between the closing price of Dril-Quip common stock on December 31, 2015 (\$59.23) and the exercise price of unvested stock options as of such date, in the case of stock options and (ii) the closing price of Dril-Quip common stock on December 31, 2015 (\$59.23), in the case of restricted stock and performance units and (iii) performance unit payout at target amount.
- (6) Calculated based on assumptions used for financial reporting purposes under ASC 715-60.

James C. Webster

Payments Upon Termination	Without Cause or By the Executive for Good		
	Without Cause and Prior to Change of Control Period	Reason and During the Change of Control Period	With Cause; Other than for Good Reason; Due to Death or Disability
Unpaid Salary and Accrued Vacation Through Date of Termination (1)	\$ 16,685	\$ 16,685	\$ 16,685
Base Salary Severance Payment (2)	355,000	710,000	
Pro Rated Bonus Amount (3)		266,250	
Additional Bonus Amount (4)		532,500	
Stock Options, Restricted Stock and Performance Unit Vesting (5)		1,482,764	
Continued Insurance Coverage (6)	11,978	24,387	
Total	\$ 383,663	\$ 3,032,586	\$ 16,685

- (1) Includes 98 hours of accrued vacation time.
- (2) Calculated using base salary in effect at December 31, 2015.
- (3) Calculated using a target bonus amount of \$266,250, which, as of December 31, 2015, is the greater of the target bonus amount and the average bonus amount paid for the three most recent performance periods, as provided under the employment agreement.
- (4) Calculated using a target bonus amount of \$266,250, which, as of December 31, 2015, is the greater of the target bonus amount and the average bonus amount paid for the three most recent performance periods, as provided under the employment agreement.
- (5) Calculated based on (i) the difference between the closing price of Dril-Quip common stock on December 31, 2015 (\$59.23) and the exercise price of unvested stock options as of such date, in the case of stock options and (ii) the closing price of Dril-Quip common stock on December 31, 2015 (\$59.23), in the case of restricted stock and performance units and (iii) performance unit payout at target amount.
- (6) Calculated based on assumptions used for financial reporting purposes under ASC 715-60.

Report of the Audit Committee

The Audit Committee has been appointed by the Board of Directors to assist the Board in overseeing (i) the integrity of our financial statements, (ii) our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, (iii) the independent auditor's independence, qualifications and performance, and (iv) the performance of our internal audit function. The Audit Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board and reviewed annually by the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed our audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 with management and has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, our independent registered public accountants, the matters required to be discussed by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Auditing Standard No. 16, *Communications with Audit Committees*, with respect to those audited financial statements.

The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has reviewed, evaluated and discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP its independence.

Based on its review of the audited financial statements and the various discussions noted above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Audit Committee

Alexander P. Shukis

Terence B. Jupp

John V. Lovoi

Steven L. Newman

PROPOSAL 2

APPROVAL OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee has approved the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC) as independent registered public accounting firm to conduct an audit of our consolidated financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2016. PwC has acted as independent registered public accounting firm for us since May 2014. The Board of Directors recommends the approval of PwC as independent registered public accounting firm to conduct an audit of our consolidated financial statements for the year 2016.

Fees Paid to PwC

Aggregate fees for professional services rendered for us by PwC as of or for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 were as follows:

	2015	2014
1. Audit	\$ 1,372,795	\$ 1,298,826
2. Audit Related	1,500	
3. Tax		
4. All Other	1,800	1,800
Total:	\$ 1,376,095	\$ 1,300,626

Audit fees for 2015 were for professional services rendered for the audits of our consolidated financial statements (including consents), the review of those financial statements included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, June 30 and September 30, 2015, opinions related to the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and services in connection with statutory and regulatory filings.

Audit fees for 2014 were for professional services rendered for the audits of our consolidated financial statements (including consents), the review of those financial statements included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended June 30 and September 30, 2014, opinions related to the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and services in connection with statutory and regulatory filings.

Representatives of PwC are expected to attend the annual meeting and will be available to respond to questions which may be asked by stockholders. Such representatives will also have an opportunity to make a statement at the meeting if they desire to do so.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy for Audit and Non-Audit Services

The Audit Committee has established a policy that requires pre-approval of the audit and non-audit services performed by the independent registered public accounting firm. Unless a service proposed to be provided by the independent registered public accounting firm has been pre-approved by the Audit Committee under its pre-approval policies and procedures, it will require specific pre-approval of the engagement terms by the Audit Committee. Under the policy, pre-approved service categories are generally provided for up to 12 months and must be detailed as to the particular services provided and sufficiently specific and objective so that no judgments by management are required to determine whether a specific service falls within the scope of what has been pre-approved. In connection with any

pre-approval of services, the independent registered public accounting firm is required to provide detailed back-up documentation concerning the specific services to be provided.

The Audit Committee may delegate pre-approval authority to one or more of its members, including to a subcommittee of the Audit Committee. The member or members to whom such authority is delegated shall report

any pre-approval actions taken by them to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. The Audit Committee does not delegate to management any of its responsibilities to pre-approve services performed by the independent registered public accounting firm.

Change of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

On May 28, 2014, the Audit Committee dismissed BDO USA, LLP (BDO) as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The reports of BDO on the Company's consolidated financial statements as of and for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor were they qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles. During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the subsequent interim period through May 28, 2014, there were no disagreements with BDO on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreements, if not resolved to the satisfaction of BDO, would have caused BDO to make reference thereto in their reports on the financial statements for such years. In addition, none of the reportable events described in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K occurred with respect to the Company during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the subsequent interim period through May 28, 2014.

The Company provided BDO with a copy of the foregoing disclosure and requested BDO to furnish the Company with a letter addressed to the Securities and Exchange Commission stating whether it agrees with the statements by the Company in the foregoing disclosure and, if not, stating the respects in which it does not agree. BDO's letter was filed as Exhibit 16.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 2, 2014.

On May 28, 2014, the Audit Committee appointed PwC as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the subsequent interim period through May 28, 2014, neither the Company nor anyone acting on its behalf consulted PwC regarding (1) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed, or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on Dril-Quip's financial statements; or (2) any matter that was either the subject of a disagreement as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K or a reportable event as described in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K.

Required Vote and Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR the approval of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm. In accordance with our bylaws, approval of this proposal will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast for or against the proposal. Accordingly, abstentions and broker non-votes applicable to shares present at the meeting will not be included in the tabulation of votes cast on this matter.

PROPOSAL 3

ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

In accordance with Section 14A of the Exchange Act and the related rules of the SEC, we are providing our stockholders with the opportunity to cast a non-binding advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. This item, commonly referred to as a say on pay vote, provides you, as a Dril-Quip, Inc. stockholder, the opportunity to express your views regarding the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement.

The primary objective of our compensation programs are to attract and retain talented executive officers and to deliver rewards for superior corporate performance. We have structured our compensation program in order to:

align executive compensation with performance and appropriate peer group comparisons;

produce long-term, positive results for our stockholders;

create a proper balance between building stockholder wealth and executive wealth while maintaining good corporate governance; and

provide market-competitive compensation and benefits that will enable us to attract, motivate and retain a talented workforce.

The discussion under Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 15 describes our executive compensation program and the related decisions made by the Compensation Committee in 2015 and the beginning of 2016 in more detail. We urge you to read this discussion, as well as the summary compensation table and other related compensation tables and narrative discussion beginning on page 27, which provides detailed information regarding the compensation of our named executive officers.

We are seeking your advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including under Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis, and in the compensation tables and the related narrative discussion. As an advisory vote, this proposal is not binding upon the Company or the Board of Directors. The final decision on the compensation and benefits of our named executive officers and on whether and how to address the results of the vote remains with the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee. However, the Board of Directors values the opinions expressed by our stockholders, and, as it did in 2015, the Compensation Committee will consider the outcome of the vote when making future compensation decisions for our named executive officers.

Required Vote and Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of the Company's named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement. In accordance with our bylaws, approval of this proposal will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast for or against the proposal. Accordingly, abstentions and broker non-votes applicable to shares present at the meeting will not be included in the tabulation of votes cast on this matter.

OTHER BUSINESS

Management does not intend to bring any business before the meeting other than the matters referred to in the accompanying notice. If, however, any other matters properly come before the meeting, it is intended that the persons named in the accompanying proxy will vote pursuant to discretionary authority granted in the proxy in accordance with their best judgment on such matters. The discretionary authority includes matters that the Board of Directors does not know are to be presented at the meeting by others.

SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our directors and executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities to file with the SEC and the NYSE initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of common stock. Officers, directors and greater than 10% stockholders are required by SEC regulation to furnish us with copies of all such forms they file.

Based solely on a review of the copies of such reports furnished to us and written representations that no other reports were required, we believe that during the year ended December 31, 2015 all our directors and executive officers during 2015 complied on a timely basis with all applicable filing requirements under Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Stockholder Proposals for 2017 Meeting

In order to be included in our proxy material for our annual meeting of stockholders in 2017, eligible proposals of stockholders intended to be presented at the annual meeting must be received by us on or before December 1, 2016 (directed to our Corporate Secretary at the address indicated on the first page of this proxy statement).

Advance Notice Required for Stockholder Nominations and Proposals

Our bylaws require timely advance written notice of stockholder nominations of director candidates and of any other proposals to be presented at an annual meeting of stockholders. Notice will be considered timely for the annual meeting to be held in 2017 if it is received by February 12, 2017. In the case of director nominations by stockholders, our bylaws require that 90 days advance written notice be delivered to our Corporate Secretary at our executive offices and set forth (X) for each person whom the stockholder proposes to nominate for election or re-election as a director, among other things, (a) the name, age, business address and residence address of such person, (b) the principal occupation or employment of such person, (c) any disclosable interests (as defined in our bylaws) of such person, (d) the written consent of such person to having such person's name placed in nomination at the meeting and to serve as director if elected, (e) any other information relating to such person that would be required to be disclosed in a proxy statement or other filings in connection with solicitations of proxies for the election of directors, (f) a description of all direct and indirect compensation and certain other relationships between the stockholder and the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the nomination is being made, on the one hand, and the proposed nominee, on the other hand, and (g) any agreements and information with respect to such proposed nominee that are required pursuant to the procedures established by the Board of Directors and (Y) for the stockholder giving the notice, (a) the name and address of such stockholder, as they appear on our books, of the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the nomination is made and of any other stockholders known by such stockholder to be supporting the nomination, (b) any disclosable interests (as defined in our bylaws) of such stockholder and such beneficial owner, if any, (c) a representation that such stockholder intends to appear in person at the meeting to nominate the person named in the notice, (d) a description of all agreements, arrangements and understandings between such stockholder and beneficial owner, if any, and any other person or persons (including their names) pursuant to which such nomination is to be made by such stockholder and (e) any other information relating to such stockholder and beneficial owner, if any, that would be required to be disclosed in a proxy statement or other filing in connection with solicitations of proxies for the election of directors. A stockholder's notice should also include, with respect to each nominee for election or re-election to the Board of Directors, a completed and signed questionnaire, representation and agreement as to certain matters described in our bylaws. A stockholder providing notice of a nomination proposed to be made at a meeting shall update such notice, if necessary, such that the information provided in such notice shall be true and correct as of the record date for the meeting and as of the date that is ten business days prior to the meeting, and such update shall be delivered to us no later than five business days after the record date for the meeting and no later than eight business

days prior to the date of the meeting, as applicable.

In the case of other proposals by stockholders at an annual meeting, our bylaws require that 90 days advance written notice be delivered to our Corporate Secretary at our executive offices and set forth (a) a description of each proposal desired to be brought before the annual meeting and the reasons for conducting such business at the annual meeting, together with the text of the proposals (including the text of each resolution proposed for consideration) (b) the name and address of the stockholder proposing such business, as they appear on our books, of the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the proposal is made, and of any other stockholders known by such stockholder to be supporting such proposal, (c) any disclosable interests (as defined in our bylaws) of such stockholder and such beneficial owner, if any, on the date of such notice, (d) any financial interest or other material interest of the stockholder and beneficial owner, if any, in such proposal, (e) a representation that the stockholder intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to bring the proposed business before the annual meeting and (f) a description of all agreements, arrangements and understandings between such stockholder and beneficial owner, if any, and any other person or persons (including their names) in connection with such proposal by such stockholder. A stockholder providing notice of business proposed to be brought before an annual meeting shall update such notice, if necessary, such that the information provided in such notice shall be true and correct as of the record date for the meeting and as of the date that is ten business days prior to the meeting, and such update shall be delivered to us no later than five business days after the record date for the meeting and no later than eight business days prior to the date of the meeting, as applicable. A copy of our bylaws setting forth the requirements for the nomination of director candidates by stockholders and the requirements for proposals by stockholders may be obtained from our Corporate Secretary at the address indicated on the first page of this proxy statement.

In order for director nominations and stockholder proposals to have been properly submitted for presentation at this annual meeting, notice must have been received by our Corporate Secretary on or before February 15, 2016. We received no such notice and no stockholder director nominations or proposals will be presented at the annual meeting.

Householding of Annual Meeting Materials

The SEC has adopted rules that permit companies and intermediaries, such as brokers and banks, to provide notice to an address shared by two or more stockholders by delivering a single notice to those stockholders. This procedure is referred to as householding. We do not household our notice with respect to our stockholders of record. However, if you hold your shares in street name, your intermediary, such as a broker or bank, may rely on householding and you may receive a single notice if you share an address with another stockholder.

Once you have received notice from your broker that they will be householding materials to your address, householding will continue until you are notified otherwise or until you revoke your consent. If, at any time, you no longer wish to participate in householding and would prefer to receive a separate copy of the notice, or if you are receiving multiple copies of the notice and wish to receive only one, please notify your broker. Stockholders who currently receive multiple notices at their address and would like to request householding of their communications should contact their broker.

Annual Report

The Annual Report to Stockholders, which includes our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015, has been furnished to all stockholders, primarily via the Internet and alternatively by mail if requested. The Annual Report is not a part of the proxy solicitation material.

You may also obtain a copy of Dril-Quip's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 without charge from our Corporate Secretary by sending a written request to the address indicated on the first page of this proxy statement or you may access it from our website at www.dril-quip.com.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Blake T. DeBerry
President and Chief Executive Officer

March 31, 2016

Electronic Voting Instructions

Available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week!

Instead of mailing your proxy, you may choose one of the voting methods outlined below to vote your proxy.

VALIDATION DETAILS ARE LOCATED
BELOW IN THE TITLE BAR.

Proxies submitted by the Internet or telephone must be received by 1:00 a.m., Central Time, on May 13, 2016.

Vote by Internet

Go to www.envisionreports.com/DRQ

Or scan the QR code with your
smartphone

Follow the steps outlined on the secure
website

Vote by telephone

Call toll free 1-800-652-VOTE (8683) within the
USA, US territories & Canada on a touch tone
telephone

Follow the instructions provided by the recorded
message

Using a **black ink** pen, mark your votes with an **X** as shown in this example. Please do not write outside the designated areas.

q IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. q

A Proposals The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR each of the nominees listed and FOR Proposals 2 and 3.

1. Election of Directors +

Nominees:	For	Against	Abstain
01 - Alexander P. Shukis
02 - Terence B. Jupp
2. Approval of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016.
3. Advisory vote to approve compensation of the Company's named executive officers.

B Non-Voting Items

Change of Address Please print new address below. **Comments** Please print your comments below.

C Authorized Signatures This section must be completed for your vote to be counted. **Date and Sign Below**

NOTE: Please sign exactly as name appears hereon. Joint owners should each sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such.

Edgar Filing: DRIL-QUIP INC - Form DEF 14A

Date (mm/dd/yyyy) Please
print date below.
/ /

Signature 1 Please keep
signature within the box.

Signature 2 Please keep signature within the box.

02APYA

**IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS
FOR THE STOCKHOLDER MEETING TO BE HELD ON MAY 13, 2016.**

The Proxy Statement, our annual report to shareholders and other proxy materials are available at:

www.envisionreports.com/DRQ

q **IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.** q

Proxy **DRIL-QUIP, INC.**

PROXY SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD

May 13, 2016

The undersigned hereby appoints Jerry M. Brooks and James C. Webster, jointly and severally, as proxy holders, with full power of substitution and with discretionary authority, to vote all shares of Common Stock which the undersigned is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Dril-Quip, Inc. (the Company) to be held on May 13, 2016, at the Company s worldwide headquarters, 6401 N. Eldridge Parkway, Houston, Texas, at 10:00 a.m., or at any adjournment thereof, hereby revoking any proxy heretofore given.

THIS PROXY, WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED, WILL BE VOTED IN THE MANNER DIRECTED HEREIN AND IN THE DISCRETION OF THE PROXY HOLDERS ON ANY OTHER MATTERS THAT COME BEFORE THE MEETING. IN THE ABSENCE OF SPECIFIC DIRECTIONS TO THE CONTRARY, THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED FOR THE ELECTION OF THE DIRECTORS NAMED HEREIN, FOR APPROVAL OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP AS THE COMPANY S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2016, AND FOR APPROVAL, ON AN ADVISORY BASIS, OF THE COMPENSATION OF THE COMPANY S NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS.

(TO BE MARKED, DATED AND SIGNED ON THE OTHER SIDE)