AMEDISYS INC Form 10-Q August 03, 2016 Table of Contents

## **UNITED STATES**

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

#### FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

# x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2016

or

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 0-24260

#### AMEDISYS, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of 11-3131700 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization) Identification No.) 3854 American Way, Suite A, Baton Rouge, LA 70816

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(225) 292-2031 or (800) 467-2662

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (\$232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer "	Accelerated filer	х
Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12 Act). Yes " No x	Smaller reporting company b-2 of the Exchange	

The number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date, is as follows: Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 33,547,460 shares outstanding as of July 29, 2016.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

SPECIAL CA	AUTION CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	1
PART I. FINA	ANCIAL INFORMATION	
ITEM 1.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
	CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AS OF JUNE 30, 2016	
	(UNAUDITED) AND DECEMBER 31, 2015	2
	CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE	
	AND SIX-MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 AND 2015 (UNAUDITED)	3
	CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE	
	SIX-MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 AND 2015 (UNAUDITED)	4
ITEM 2.	MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND	
	RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	18
ITEM 3	<b>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</b>	28
ITEM 4.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	28
PART II. OT	HER INFORMATION	
ITEM 1.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	29
ITEM 1A.	<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	29
ITEM 2.	UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS	29
ITEM 3.	DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES	29
ITEM 4.	MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES	29
ITEM 5.	OTHER INFORMATION	29
ITEM 6.	EXHIBITS	30
<u>SIGNATURE</u>	<u>es</u>	31
INDEX TO E	XHIBITS	32

#### SPECIAL CAUTION CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

When included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, or in other documents that we file with the Securities and *Exchange Commission (SEC) or in statements made by or on behalf of the Company, words like believes,* belief, expects, plans, anticipates, intends, projects, estimates, may, might, would. should and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements as defined by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements involve a variety of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described therein. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to the following: changes in Medicare and other medical payment levels, our ability to open care centers, acquire additional care centers and integrate and operate these care centers effectively, changes in or our failure to comply with existing federal and state laws or regulations or the inability to comply with new government regulations on a timely basis, competition in the home health industry, changes in the case mix of patients and payment methodologies, changes in estimates and judgments associated with critical accounting policies, our ability to maintain or establish new patient referral sources, our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel, changes in payments and covered services due to the economic downturn and deficit spending by federal and state governments, future cost containment initiatives undertaken by third-party payors, our access to financing due to the volatility and disruption of the capital and credit markets, our ability to meet debt service requirements and comply with covenants in debt agreements, business disruptions due to natural disasters or acts of terrorism, our ability to integrate and manage our information systems, our ability to comply with requirements stipulated in our corporate integrity agreement and changes in law or developments with respect to any litigation relating to the Company, including various other matters, many of which are beyond our control.

Because forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, some of which cannot be predicted or quantified, you should not rely on any forward-looking statement as a prediction of future events. We expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking and we do not intend to release publicly any updates or changes in our expectations concerning the forward-looking statements or any changes in events, conditions or circumstances upon which any forward-looking statement may be based, except as required by law. For a discussion of some of the factors discussed above as well as additional factors, see our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, filed with the SEC on March 10, 2016, particularly, Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors therein, which are incorporated herein by reference and Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors of subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. Additional risk factors may also be described in reports that we file from time to time with the SEC.

#### **Available Information**

Our company website address is www.amedisys.com. We use our website as a channel of distribution for important company information. Important information, including press releases, analyst presentations and financial information regarding our company, is routinely posted on and accessible on the Investor Relations subpage of our website, which is accessible by clicking on the tab labeled Investors on our website home page. We also use our website to expedite public access to time-critical information regarding our company in advance of or in lieu of distributing a press release or a filing with the SEC disclosing the same information. Therefore, investors should look to the Investor Relations subpage of our website for important and time-critical information. Visitors to our website can also register to receive automatic e-mail and other notifications alerting them when new information is made available on the Investor Relations subpage of our website. In addition, we make available on the Investor Relations subpage of our website (under the link SEC filings) free of charge our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, ownership reports on Forms 3, 4 and 5 and any amendments to those reports as soon as practicable after we electronically file such reports with the SEC. Further, copies of our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws, our Code of Ethical Business Conduct, our Corporate Governance Guidelines and the charters for the Audit, Compensation, Quality of Care, Compliance and Ethics and Nominating

and Corporate Governance Committees of our Board are also available on the Investor Relations subpage of our website (under the link Corporate Governance ).

Additionally, the public may read and copy any of the materials we file with the SEC at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at (800) SEC-0330. Our electronically filed reports can also be obtained on the SEC s internet site at http://www.sec.gov.

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

### **ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

## AMEDISYS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

## (Amounts in thousands, except share data)

	June 30, 2016 (Unaudited)		Decen	nber 31, 2015
ASSETS				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	9,968	\$	27,502
Patient accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of				
\$15,950 and \$16,526		152,213		125,010
Prepaid expenses		7,931		8,110
Other current assets		9,407		14,641
Total current assets		179,519		175,263
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$139,433 and				
\$141,793		44,617		42,695
Goodwill		280,349		261,663
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$26,525 and				
\$25,386		47,728		44,047
Deferred income taxes		121,014		125,245
Other assets, net		37,875		32,802
Total assets	\$	711,102	\$	681,715
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$	35,070	\$	25,682
Payroll and employee benefits		86,075		72,546
Accrued expenses		63,641		71,965
Current portion of long-term obligations		5,000		5,000
Total current liabilities		189,786		175,193
Long-term obligations, less current portion		89,500		91,630
Other long-term obligations		3,991		4,456
Total liabilities		283,277		271,279
Commitments and Contingencies - Note 5				
Equity:				
Lyuny.				

Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized; none issued or outstanding		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 60,000,000 shares authorized;		
35,136,248 and 34,786,966 shares issued; and 33,499,944 and 33,607,282		
shares outstanding	35	35
Additional paid-in capital	523,583	504,290
Treasury stock at cost, 1,636,304 and 1,179,684 shares of common stock	(45,829)	(26,966)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	15	15
Retained earnings	(50,897)	(67,806)
Total Amedisys, Inc. stockholders equity	426,907	409,568
Noncontrolling interests	918	868
Total equity	427,825	410,436
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 711,102	\$ 681,715

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

## AMEDISYS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

## (Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

## (Unaudited)

	For the Three-Month Periods For the Six-MoEnded June 30,Ended Ju201620152016							
Net service revenue	\$	360,746	\$	314,152	\$	709,563	\$	615,724
Cost of service, excluding depreciation and amortization		206,505		175,699		408,342		346,660
General and administrative expenses:								
Salaries and benefits		77,343		71,249		154,060		139,804
Non-cash compensation		3,736		2,193		7,806		4,577
Other		45,576		42,113		92,293		75,183
Provision for doubtful accounts		4,253		2,756		8,193		5,732
Depreciation and amortization		4,975		4,615		9,448		11,152
Asset impairment charge								75,193
Operating expenses		342,388		298,625		680,142		658,301
Operating income (loss)		18,358		15,527		29,421		(42,577)
Other (expense) income:								
Interest income		9		4		31		26
Interest expense		(1,303)		(2,416)		(2,415)		(4,842)
Equity in earnings from equity method investments		363		4,826		358		6,777
Miscellaneous, net		658		498		1,393		2,632
Total other (expense) income, net		(273)		2,912		(633)		4,593
Income (loss) before income taxes		18,085		18,439		28,788		(37,984)
Income tax (expense) benefit		(7,242)		(7,566)		(11,630)		14,025
Net income (loss)		10,843		10,873		17,158		(23,959)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests		(147)		(236)		(249)		(413)
Net income (loss) attributable to Amedisys, Inc.	\$	10,696	\$	10,637	\$	16,909	\$	(24,372)
Basic earnings per common share:								
Net income (loss) attributable to Amedisys, Inc.								
common stockholders	\$	0.32	\$	0.32	\$	0.51	\$	(0.74)
Weighted average shares outstanding		33,197		33,004		33,059		32,871
Diluted earnings per common share:								
	\$	0.32	\$	0.32	\$	0.50	\$	(0.74)

Net income (loss) attributable to Amedisys, Inc.							
common stockholders							
Weighted average shares outstanding	33,708	33,459	33,641	32,871			
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.							

## AMEDISYS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## (Amounts in thousands)

## (Unaudited)

	For the	Six-Month Pe 2016	riods E	Ended June 30, 2015
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		2010		2010
Net income (loss)	\$	17,158	\$	(23,959)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by		,		
operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization		9,448		11,152
Provision for doubtful accounts		8,193		5,732
Non-cash compensation		7,806		4,577
401(k) employer match		3,440		3,454
Loss on disposal of property and equipment		522		530
Deferred income taxes		11,362		(14,788)
Equity in earnings from equity method investments		(358)		(6,777)
Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs/debt discount		370		553
Return on equity investment		362		4,638
Asset impairment charge				75,193
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of impact of acquisitions:				
Patient accounts receivable		(30,349)		(16,861)
Other current assets		5,551		3,849
Other assets		(11,943)		(1,160)
Accounts payable		8,608		6,163
Accrued expenses		(2,811)		4,189
Other long-term obligations		(464)		552
Net cash provided by operating activities		26,895		57,037
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:				
Proceeds from sale of deferred compensation plan assets		230		1,026
Purchases of deferred compensation plan assets				(19)
Purchases of property and equipment		(9,915)		(16,668)
Purchase of investment		(432)		(1,161)
Proceeds from sale of investment				5,000
Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash acquired		(27,634)		
Net cash used in investing activities		(37,751)		(11,822)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:				
				195

Proceeds from issuance of stock upon exercise of stock options and		
warrants		
Proceeds from issuance of stock to employee stock purchase plan	1,207	1,059
Tax benefit from stock options exercised and restricted stock vesting	7,130	
Non-controlling interest distribution	(200)	(300)
Proceeds from revolving line of credit	84,000	63,400
Repayments of revolving line of credit	(84,000)	(78,400)
Principal payments of long-term obligations	(2,500)	(6,000)
Purchase of company stock	(12,315)	
Net cash used in financing activities	(6,678)	(20,046)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(17,534)	25,169
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	27,502	8,032
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 9,968	\$ 33,201
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 1,359	\$ 3,598
Cash paid for income taxes, net of refunds received	\$ 825	\$ (2,496)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

#### AMEDISYS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (Unaudited)

# 1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS, CONSOLIDATION AND PRESENTATION OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Amedisys, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its consolidated subsidiaries (Amedisys, we, us, or our) are a multi-staprovider of home health and hospice services with approximately 78% of our revenue derived from Medicare for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2016 and approximately 80% of our revenue derived from Medicare for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2015. As of June 30, 2016, we owned and operated 328 Medicare-certified home health care centers, 79 Medicare-certified hospice care centers and nine personal-care care centers in 34 states within the United States and the District of Columbia.

#### **Basis of Presentation**

In our opinion, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly our financial position, our results of operations and our cash flows in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (U.S. GAAP). Our results of operations for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of results of our operations for the entire year and have not been audited by our independent auditors.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP have been condensed or omitted from the interim financial information presented. This report should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on March 10, 2016 (the Form 10-K), which includes information and disclosures not included herein.

#### Use of Estimates

Our accounting and reporting policies conform with U.S. GAAP. In preparing the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, we are required to make estimates and assumptions that impact the amounts reported in the condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could materially differ from those estimates.

#### **Reclassifications and Comparability**

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior periods financial statements in order to conform to the current period s presentation. In compliance with Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2015-03, *Interest Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs,* we have reclassified 2015 amounts related to unamortized debt issuance costs from other assets, net to long-term obligations, less current portion.

#### **Principles of Consolidation**

These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Amedisys, Inc., and our wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in our

#### Table of Contents

accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, and business combinations accounted for as purchases have been included in our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements from their respective dates of acquisition. In addition to our wholly owned subsidiaries, we also have certain equity investments that are accounted for as set forth below.

#### **Equity Investments**

We consolidate investments when the entity is a variable interest entity and we are the primary beneficiary or if we have controlling interests in the entity, which is generally ownership in excess of 50%. Third party equity interests in our consolidated joint ventures are reflected as noncontrolling interests in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

We account for investments in entities in which we have the ability to exercise significant influence under the equity method if we hold 50% or less of the voting stock and the entity is not a variable interest entity in which we are the primary beneficiary. The book value of investments that we accounted for under the equity method of accounting was \$26.2 million as of June 30, 2016, and \$25.7 million as of December 31, 2015. We account for investments in entities in which we have less than a 20% ownership interest under the cost method of accounting if we do not have the ability to exercise significant influence over the investee.

## 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### **Revenue Recognition**

We earn net service revenue through our home health and hospice care centers by providing a variety of services almost exclusively in the homes of our patients. This net service revenue is earned and billed either on an episode of care basis, on a per visit basis or on a daily basis depending upon the payment terms and conditions established with each payor for services provided. We refer to home health revenue earned and billed on a 60-day episode of care as episodic-based revenue.

#### AMEDISYS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (Unaudited)

When we record our service revenue, we record it net of estimated revenue adjustments and contractual adjustments to reflect amounts we estimate to be realizable for services provided, as discussed below. We believe, based on information currently available to us and based on our judgment, that changes to one or more factors that impact the accounting estimates (such as our estimates related to revenue adjustments, contractual adjustments and episodes in progress) we make in determining net service revenue, which changes are likely to occur from period to period, will not materially impact our reported consolidated financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or our future financial results.

#### Home Health Revenue Recognition

#### Medicare Revenue

Net service revenue is recorded under the Medicare prospective payment system (PPS) based on a 60-day episode payment rate that is subject to adjustment based on certain variables including, but not limited to: (a) an outlier payment if our patient s care was unusually costly (capped at 10% of total reimbursement per provider number); (b) a low utilization payment adjustment (LUPA) if the number of visits was fewer than five; (c) a partial payment if our patient transferred to another provider or we received a patient from another provider before completing the episode; (d) a payment adjustment based upon the level of therapy services required (with various incremental adjustments made for additional visits, with larger payment increases associated with the sixth, fourteenth and twentieth visit thresholds); (e) adjustments to payments if we are unable to perform periodic therapy assessments; (f) the number of episodes of care provided to a patient, regardless of whether the same home health provider provided care for the entire series of episodes; (g) changes in the base episode payments established by the Medicare Program; (h) adjustments to the base episode payments for case mix and geographic wages; and (i) recoveries of overpayments. In addition, we make adjustments to Medicare revenue if we find that we are unable to produce appropriate documentation of a face to face encounter between the patient and physician.

We make adjustments to Medicare revenue to reflect differences between estimated and actual payment amounts, our discovered inability to obtain appropriate billing documentation or authorizations and other reasons unrelated to credit risk. We estimate the impact of such adjustments based on our historical experience, which primarily includes a historical collection rate of over 99% on Medicare claims, and record this estimate during the period in which services are rendered as an estimated revenue adjustment and a corresponding reduction to patient accounts receivable. Therefore, we believe that our reported net service revenue and patient accounts receivable will be the net amounts to be realized from Medicare for services rendered.

In addition to revenue recognized on completed episodes, we also recognize a portion of revenue associated with episodes in progress. Episodes in progress are 60-day episodes of care that begin during the reporting period, but were not completed as of the end of the period. We estimate this revenue on a monthly basis based upon historical trends. The primary factors underlying this estimate are the number of episodes in progress at the end of the reporting period, expected Medicare revenue per episode and our estimate of the average percentage complete based on visits performed. As of June 30, 2016 and 2015, the difference between the cash received from Medicare for a request for

anticipated payment ( RAP ) on episodes in progress and the associated estimated revenue was immaterial and, therefore, the resulting credits were recorded as a reduction to our outstanding patient accounts receivable in our condensed consolidated balance sheets for such periods.

#### Non-Medicare Revenue

*Episodic-based Revenue*. We recognize revenue in a similar manner as we recognize Medicare revenue for episodic-based rates that are paid by other insurance carriers, including Medicare Advantage programs; however, these rates can vary based upon the negotiated terms.

*Non-episodic based Revenue.* Gross revenue is recorded on an accrual basis based upon the date of service at amounts equal to our established or estimated per-visit rates, as applicable. Contractual adjustments are recorded for the difference between our standard rates and the contracted rates to be realized from patients, third parties and others for services provided and are deducted from gross revenue to determine net service revenue and are also recorded as a reduction to our outstanding patient accounts receivable. In addition, we receive a minimal amount of our net service revenue from patients who are either self-insured or are obligated for an insurance co-payment.

#### AMEDISYS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### Hospice Revenue Recognition

#### Hospice Medicare Revenue

Gross revenue is recorded on an accrual basis based upon the date of service at amounts equal to the estimated payment rates. The estimated payment rates are daily or hourly rates for each of the four levels of care we deliver. The four levels of care are routine care, general inpatient care, continuous home care and respite care. Routine care accounts for 99% and 98% of our total net Medicare hospice service revenue for each of the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2016, and 98% of our total net Medicare hospice service revenue for each of the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2015. We make adjustments to Medicare revenue for an inability to obtain appropriate billing documentation or acceptable authorizations and other reasons unrelated to credit risk. We estimate the impact of these adjustments based on our historical experience, which primarily includes our historical collection rate on Medicare claims, and record it during the period services are rendered as an estimated revenue adjustment and as a reduction to our outstanding patient accounts receivable.

Additionally, as Medicare hospice revenue is subject to an inpatient cap limit and an overall payment cap for each provider number, we monitor these caps and estimate amounts due back to Medicare if we estimate a cap has been exceeded. We record these adjustments as a reduction to revenue and an increase in other accrued liabilities. Beginning for the cap year ending October 31, 2014, providers are required to self-report and pay their estimated cap liability by March 31<sup>st</sup> of the following year. As of June 30, 2016, we have settled our Medicare hospice reimbursements for all fiscal years through October 31, 2012. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we have recorded \$1.4 million, for estimated amounts due back to Medicare in other accrued liabilities for the Federal cap years ended October 31, 2013 through October 31, 2016.

#### Hospice Non-Medicare Revenue

We record gross revenue on an accrual basis based upon the date of service at amounts equal to our established rates or estimated per day rates, as applicable. Contractual adjustments are recorded for the difference between our established rates and the amounts estimated to be realizable from patients, third parties and others for services provided and are deducted from gross revenue to determine our net service revenue and patient accounts receivable.

#### Personal Care Revenue Recognition

#### Personal Care Non-Medicare Revenue

We generate net service revenues by providing our services directly to patients primarily on a per hour, visit or unit basis. We receive payment for providing such services from our payor clients, including state and local governmental agencies, managed care organizations, commercial insurers and private consumers. Net service revenues are principally provided based on authorized hours, visits or units determined by the relevant agency, at a rate that is either contractual or fixed by legislation or contract which are recognized as net service revenue at the time services

#### Table of Contents

are rendered.

## Patient Accounts Receivable

Our patient accounts receivable are uncollateralized and consist of amounts due from Medicare, Medicaid, other third-party payors and patients. As of June 30, 2016 there is one single payor, other than Medicare, that accounts for more than 10% of our total outstanding patient receivables (approximately 10.9%). Thus, we believe there are no other significant concentrations of receivables that would subject us to any significant credit risk in the collection of our patient accounts receivable. We fully reserve for accounts which are aged at 365 days or greater. We write off accounts on a monthly basis once we have exhausted our collection efforts and deem an account to be uncollectible.

We believe the credit risk associated with our Medicare accounts, which represent 59% and 64% of our net patient accounts receivable at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, is limited due to our historical collection rate of over 99% from Medicare and the fact that Medicare is a U.S. government payor. Accordingly, we do not record an allowance for doubtful accounts for our Medicare patient accounts receivable, which are recorded at their net realizable value after recording estimated revenue adjustments as discussed above. During the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2016, we recorded \$2.6 million and \$4.3 million, respectively, in estimated revenue adjustments to Medicare revenue as compared to \$1.1 million and \$2.6 million during the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2015, respectively.

We believe there is a certain level of credit risk associated with non-Medicare payors. To provide for our non-Medicare patient accounts receivable that could become uncollectible in the future, we establish an allowance for doubtful accounts to reduce the carrying amount to its estimated net realizable value.

## Medicare Home Health

For our home health patients, our pre-billing process includes verifying that we are eligible for payment from Medicare for the services that we provide to our patients. Our Medicare billing begins with a process to ensure that our billings are accurate through

### AMEDISYS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (Unaudited)

the utilization of an electronic Medicare claim review. We submit a RAP for 60% of our estimated payment for the initial episode at the start of care or 50% of the estimated payment for any subsequent episodes of care contiguous with the first episode for a particular patient. The full amount of the episode is billed after the episode has been completed (final billed). The RAP received for that particular episode is then deducted from our final payment. If a final bill is not submitted within the greater of 120 days from the start of the episode, or 60 days from the date the RAP was paid, any RAPs received for that episode will be recouped by Medicare from any other claims in process for that particular provider number. The RAP and final claim must then be re-submitted.

#### Medicare Hospice

For our hospice patients, our pre-billing process includes verifying that we are eligible for payment from Medicare for the services that we provide to our patients. Our Medicare billing begins with a process to ensure that our billings are accurate through the utilization of an electronic Medicare claim review. Once each patient has been confirmed for eligibility, we will bill Medicare on a monthly basis for the services provided to the patient.

#### Non-Medicare Home Health, Hospice and Personal Care

For our non-Medicare patients, our pre-billing process primarily begins with verifying a patient s eligibility for services with the applicable payor. Once the patient has been confirmed for eligibility, we will provide services to the patient and bill the applicable payor. Our review and evaluation of non-Medicare accounts receivable includes a detailed review of outstanding balances and special consideration to concentrations of receivables from particular payors or groups of payors with similar characteristics that would subject us to any significant credit risk. We estimate an allowance for doubtful accounts based upon our assessment of historical and expected net collections, business and economic conditions, trends in payment and an evaluation of collectability based upon the date that the service was provided. Based upon our best judgment, we believe the allowance for doubtful accounts adequately provides for accounts that will not be collected due to credit risk.

#### **Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment is stated at cost and we depreciate it on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Additionally, we have internally developed computer software for our own use. Additions and improvements (including interest costs for construction of qualifying long-lived assets) are capitalized. Maintenance and repair expenses are charged to expense as incurred. The cost of property and equipment sold or disposed of and the related accumulated depreciation are eliminated from the property and related accumulated depreciation accounts, and any gain or loss is credited or charged to other general and administrative expenses.

As of December 31, 2014, we had \$75.8 million of internally developed software costs related to the development of AMS3 Home Health and Hospice. Expanded beta testing to additional sites in February of 2015 demonstrated that AMS3 was disruptive to operations. Additional analysis of the system determined that the system was not ready to be fully implemented and would require significant time and investment to redesign. Therefore, during the three-month

#### Table of Contents

period ended March 31, 2015, we made the decision to discontinue AMS3 and recorded a non-cash asset impairment charge of \$75.2 to write off the software costs incurred related to the development of AMS3 Home Health and Hospice.

The following table summarizes the balances related to our property and equipment for the periods indicated (amounts in millions):

	June	30, 2016	Deceml	ber 31, 2015
Building and leasehold improvements	\$	6.4	\$	2.3
Equipment and furniture		80.6		89.6
Computer software		97.0		92.6
		184.0		184.5
Less: accumulated depreciation		(139.4)		(141.8)
	\$	44.6	\$	42.7

#### Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair value hierarchy is based on three levels of inputs, of which the first two are considered observable and the last unobservable, that may be used to measure fair value. The three levels of inputs are as follows:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.

Level 2 Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

#### AMEDISYS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (Unaudited)

Our deferred compensation plan assets are recorded at fair value and are considered a level 2 measurement. The carrying amount of our other financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, patient accounts receivable, accounts payable, payroll and employee benefits and accrued expenses approximate fair value due to the short maturities of these instruments. As of June 30, 2016, the carrying value of our long-term debt is subject to a variable rate of interest based on current market rates, and as such, the carrying value approximates fair value.

#### Weighted-Average Shares Outstanding

Net income (loss) per share attributable to Amedisys, Inc. common stockholders, calculated on the treasury stock method, is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, shares used in our computation of the weighted-average shares outstanding, which are used to calculate our basic and diluted net income (loss) attributable to Amedisys, Inc. common stockholders (amounts in thousands):

	For the Three-Month Period For the Six-Month Peri Ended June 30, Ended June 30,						
	2016	2015	2016	2015			
Weighted average number of shares outstanding -							
basic	33,197	33,004	33,059	32,871			
Effect of dilutive securities:							
Stock options	181	1	134				
Non-vested stock and stock units	330	454	448				
Weighted average number of shares outstanding -							
diluted	33,708	33,459	33,641	32,871			
Anti-dilutive securities	199	514	240	957			

#### **Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements**

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)*, which requires an entity to recognize the amount of revenue for which it expects to be entitled for the transfer of promised goods or services to customers. The ASU will replace most existing revenue recognition guidance in U.S. GAAP. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date*, to defer the effective date of the standard from January 1, 2017, to January 1, 2018, with an option that permits companies to adopt the standard as early as the original effective date. The new ASU reflects the decisions reached by the FASB at its meeting in July 2015. Early application prior to the original effective date is not permitted. The standard permits the use of either the

retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. The Company is evaluating the effect that ASU 2014-09 and ASU 2015-14 will have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures, its transition method and the effect of the standard on its ongoing financial reporting.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, *Interest - Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs.* The amendments in this ASU require that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. The recognition and measurement guidance for debt issuance costs are not affected by the amendments in this ASU. ASU 2015-03 is effective for annual and interim periods beginning on or after December 15, 2015. We adopted this ASU during the three-month period ended March 31, 2016, and applied the change retrospectively for prior period balances of unamortized debt issuance costs, resulting in a \$3.4 million reduction in other assets, net and long-term obligations, less current portion, on our condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2015.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)*, which will require lessees to recognize a lease liability and right-of-use asset for all leases (with the exception of short-term leases) at the commencement date. The ASU is effective for annual and interim periods beginning on or after December 15, 2018. Early adoption is permitted. The standard requires a modified retrospective transition method which requires application of the new guidance for all periods presented. The Company is evaluating the effect that ASU 2016-02 will have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures and the effect of the standard on its ongoing financial reporting.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, *Compensation* Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvement to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting, which will simplify the accounting for share-based payment award transactions, including income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liability, and classification on the statement of cash flows. The ASU is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is evaluating the effect that ASU 2016-09 will have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures and the effect of the standard on its ongoing financial reporting.

#### AMEDISYS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (Unaudited)

#### **3. ACQUISITIONS**

We complete acquisitions from time to time in order to pursue our strategy of increasing our market presence by expanding our service base and enhancing our position in certain geographic areas as a leading provider of home health and hospice services. The purchase price paid for acquisitions is negotiated through arm s length transactions, with consideration based on our analysis of, among other things, comparable acquisitions and expected cash flows. Acquisitions are accounted for as purchases and are included in our consolidated financial statements from their respective acquisition dates. Goodwill generated from acquisitions is recognized for the excess of the purchase price over tangible and identifiable intangible assets because of the expected contributions of the acquisitions to our overall corporate strategy. We typically engage outside appraisal firms to assist in the fair value determination of identifiable intangible assets. Preliminary purchase price allocation is adjusted, as necessary, up to one year after the acquisition closing date if management obtains more information regarding asset valuation and liabilities assumed.

On March 1, 2016, we acquired Associated Home Care which owns and operates 9 personal-care care centers servicing the state of Massachusetts for a total purchase price of \$27.7 million, net of cash acquired (subject to certain adjustments), of which \$0.5 million was placed in escrow for indemnification purposes and working capital price adjustments. The purchase price was paid with cash on hand on the date of the transaction. Based on our preliminary purchase price allocation, in connection with the acquisition, we recorded goodwill (\$23.5 million) and other assets and liabilities, net (\$4.2 million) during the three-month period ended March 31, 2016. During the three-month period ended June 30, 2016, we received the final report from our outside appraisal firm. As a result, we reduced our preliminary goodwill by \$5.0 million and recorded corresponding increases in the fair value of assets acquired (\$0.2 million), other intangibles - acquired names of business (\$3.5 million) and other intangibles - non-compete agreements will be amortized over a weighted-average period of 2.1 years.

#### 4. LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS

Long-term debt consisted of the following for the periods indicated (amounts in millions):

	June	30, 2016	Decemb	ber 31, 2015
\$100.0 million Term Loan; principal payments plus accrued interest				
payable quarterly; interest rate at ABR Rate plus applicable percentage				
or Eurodollar Rate plus the applicable percentage (2.46% at June 30,				
2016); due August 28, 2020	\$	97.5	\$	100.0
\$200.0 million Revolving Credit Facility; interest only payments;				
interest rate at ABR Rate plus applicable percentage or Eurodollar				
Rate plus the applicable percentage; due August 28, 2020				
Deferred debt issuance costs		(3.0)		(3.4)

	94.5	96.6
Current portion of long-term obligations	(5.0)	(5.0)
Total	\$ 89.5	\$ 91.6

Our weighted average interest rate for our \$100.0 million Term Loan, under our Credit Agreement, was 2.4% for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2016, respectively. Our weighted average interest rate for our \$200.0 million Revolving Credit Facility was 3.3% and 3.2% for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2016, respectively.

As of June 30, 2016, our consolidated leverage ratio was 1.0, our consolidated fixed charge coverage ratio was 4.1 and we are in compliance with our Credit Agreement. In the event we are not in compliance with our debt covenants in the future, we would pursue various alternatives in an attempt to successfully resolve the non-compliance, which might include, among other things, seeking debt covenant waivers or amendments.

As of June 30, 2016, our availability under our \$200.0 million Revolving Credit Facility was \$176.1 million as we had \$23.9 million outstanding in letters of credit.

## 5. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### Legal Proceedings - Ongoing

We are involved in the following legal actions:

#### AMEDISYS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (Unaudited)

#### Securities Class Action Lawsuits

On June 10, 2010, a putative securities class action complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana (the District Court ) against the Company and certain of our current and former senior executives. Additional putative securities class actions were filed in the District Court on July 14, July 16, and July 28, 2010.

On January 18, 2011, the Co-Lead Plaintiffs filed an amended, consolidated class action complaint (the Securities Complaint ) which supersedes the earlier-filed securities class action complaints. The Securities Complaint alleges that the defendants made false and/or misleading statements and failed to disclose material facts about our business, financial condition, operations and prospects, particularly relating to our policies and practices regarding home therapy visits under the Medicare home health prospective payment system and the related alleged impact on our business, financial condition, operations and prospects. The Securities Complaint seeks a determination that the action may be maintained as a class action on behalf of all persons who purchased the Company s securities between August 2, 2005 and September 28, 2010 and an unspecified amount of damages.

All defendants moved to dismiss the Securities Complaint. On June 28, 2012, the District Court granted the defendants motion to dismiss the Securities Complaint. On July 26, 2012, the Co-Lead Plaintiffs filed a motion for reconsideration, which the District Court denied on April 9, 2013.

On May 3, 2013, the Co-Lead Plaintiffs appealed the dismissal of the Securities Complaint to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit (the Fifth Circuit ). On October 2, 2014, a three-judge panel of the Fifth Circuit issued a decision reversing the District Court s dismissal of the Securities Complaint. On October 16, 2014, all defendants filed a petition with the Fifth Circuit denied the defendants motion for *en banc*, or as a whole court. On December 29, 2014, the Fifth Circuit denied the defendants motion for *en banc* review of the Fifth Circuit panel s decision reversing the District Court s dismissal of the Securities Complaint. The case then returned to the District Court for further proceedings. On March 30, 2015, the defendants filed a Petition for Writ of Certiorari (the Petition ) with the United States Supreme Court asking the Supreme Court to consider whether the Fifth Circuit erred in reversing the District Court s dismissal of the Securities Complaint. The Supreme Court denied the Petition on June 29, 2015, which did not affect the ongoing proceedings before the District Court, including the District Court s consideration of a motion filed on April 3, 2015, by the Co-Lead Plaintiffs for leave to amend the Securities Complaint, which motion was granted by the District Court. On December 15, 2015, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss the Co-Lead Plaintiffs First Amended Consolidated Complaint. All discovery in the case is currently stayed pursuant to federal law. The parties agreed to explore the possibility of a mediated settlement of this matter, and a mediation was held on June 21, 2016. The parties were unable to resolve this matter during the mediation.

We are unable to assess the probable outcome or reasonably estimate the potential liability, if any, arising from the securities litigation described above. The Company intends to continue to vigorously defend itself in the securities litigation matter but, if decided adverse to the Company, its impact could be material. No assurances can be given as to the timing or outcome of the securities matter described above or the impact of any of the inquiry or litigation

matters on the Company, its consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows, which could be material, individually or in the aggregate.

#### Wage and Hour Litigation

On September 13, 2012, a putative collective and class action complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois against us in which a former employee alleges wage and hour law violations. The former employee claims she was paid on both a per-visit and an hourly basis, and that such a pay scheme resulted in her misclassification as an exempt employee, thereby denying her overtime. The plaintiff alleges violations of federal and state law and seeks damages under the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) and the Illinois Minimum Wage Law. Plaintiff seeks class certification of similar employees who were or are employed in Illinois and seeks attorneys fees, back wages and liquidated damages going back three years under the FLSA and three years under the Illinois statute. On May 28, 2013, the Court granted the Company's motion to stay the case pending resolution of class certification issues and dispositive motions in the earlier-filed Connecticut case. On December 23, 2015, the parties agreed to explore the possibility of a mediated settlement of the Illinois case, and a mediation occurred on April 18, 2016. The parties agreed to settle the case for \$0.8 million, subject to court approval, which the Company has accrued as of June 30, 2016.

#### Frontier Litigation

On April 2, 2015, Frontier Home Health and Hospice, L.L.C. (Frontier) filed a complaint against the Company in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut alleging breach of contract, negligent misrepresentation and unfair and deceptive trade practices under Conn. Gen. Stat. §42-110b. Frontier acquired our interest in five home health and four hospice care centers in Wyoming and Idaho in April 2014. The complaint alleges that certain of the hospice patients on service at the time of the acquisition did not meet Medicare eligibility requirements and that we breached certain of the representations and warranties under the purchase agreement and therefore, the businesses were worth less than the purchase price. Under the complaint, Frontier seeks declaratory judgment from the District Court that, under the terms of the purchase agreement with Frontier, we are obligated to determine the amount of the alleged Medicare overpayments and reimburse the government for the same in a timely manner, as well as unspecified compensatory and punitive damages, attorneys fees and pre- and post-judgment interest.

#### AMEDISYS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (Unaudited)

We are unable to assess the probable outcome arising from the Frontier litigation described above. The Company has engaged an independent auditing firm to perform a clinical audit of the hospice locations in question and intends to defend itself in the Frontier litigation matter. No assurances can be given as to the timing or outcome of the audit, the Frontier litigation matter described above or the impact of any of the audit or litigation matters on the Company, its consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows, which could be material, individually or in the aggregate. In accordance with our corporate integrity agreement (CIA) with the Office of Inspector General-HHS (OIG) as discussed below under Other Investigative Matters Corporate Integrity Agreement, we have notified the OIG of this matter.

#### Subpoena Duces Tecum Issued by the U.S. Department of Justice

On May 21, 2015, we received a Subpoena Duces Tecum (Subpoena) issued by the U.S. Department of Justice. The Subpoena requests the delivery of information regarding 53 identified hospice patients to the United States Attorney s Office for the District of Massachusetts. It also requests the delivery of documents relating to our hospice clinical and business operations and related compliance activities. The Subpoena generally covers the period from January 1, 2011, through the present. We are fully cooperating with the U.S. Department of Justice with respect to this investigation. Based on the information currently available to us, we cannot predict the timing or outcome of this investigation or reasonably estimate the amount or range of potential losses, if any, which may arise from this matter.

#### Civil Investigative Demands Issued by the U.S. Department of Justice

On November 3, 2015, we received a civil investigative demand (CID) issued by the U.S. Department of Justice pursuant to the federal False Claims Act relating to claims submitted to Medicare and/or Medicaid for hospice services provided through designated facilities in the Morgantown, West Virginia area. The CID requests the delivery of information to the United States Attorney s Office for the Northern District of West Virginia regarding 66 identified hospice patients, as well as documents relating to our hospice clinical and business operations in the Morgantown area. The CID generally covers the period from January 1, 2009 through August 31, 2015. We are fully cooperating with the U.S. Department of Justice with respect to this investigation. Based on the information currently available to us, we cannot predict the timing or outcome of this investigation or reasonably estimate the amount or range of potential losses, if any, which may arise from this matter.

On June 27, 2016, we received a CID issued by the U.S. Department of Justice pursuant to the federal False Claims Act relating to claims submitted to Medicare and/or Medicaid for hospice services provided through designated facilities in the Parkersburg, West Virginia area. The CID requests the delivery of information to the United States Attorney s Office for the Southern District of West Virginia regarding 68 identified hospice patients, as well as documents relating to our hospice clinical and business operations in the Parkersburg area. The CID generally covers the period from January 1, 2011 through June 20, 2016. We are fully cooperating with the U.S. Department of Justice with respect to this investigation. Based on the information currently available to us, we cannot predict the timing or outcome of this investigation or reasonably estimate the amount or range of potential losses, if any, which may arise from this matter.

In addition to the matters referenced in this note, we are involved in legal actions in the normal course of business, some of which seek monetary damages, including claims for punitive damages. We do not believe that these normal course actions, when finally concluded and determined, will have a material impact on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

#### Legal Proceedings Settled

#### Wage and Hour Litigation

On July 25, 2012, a putative collective and class action complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut against us in which three former employees allege wage and hour law violations. The former employees claim that they were not paid overtime for all hours worked over 40 hours in violation of the FLSA, as well as the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act. More specifically, they allege they were paid on both a per-visit and an hourly basis, and that such a pay scheme resulted in their misclassification as exempt employees, thereby denying them overtime pay. Moreover, in response to a Company motion arguing that plaintiffs complaint was deficient in that it was ambiguous and failed to provide fair notice of the claims asserted and plaintiffs opposition thereto, the Court, on April 8, 2013, held that the complaint adequately raises general allegations that the plaintiffs were not paid overtime for all hours worked in a week over 40, which may include claims for unpaid overtime under other theories of liability, such as alleged off-the-clock work, in addition to plaintiffs more clearly stated allegations based on misclassification. On behalf of themselves and a class of current and former employees they allege are similarly situated, plaintiffs seek attorneys fees, back wages and liquidated damages going back three years under the FLSA and three years under the Pennsylvania statute. On October 8, 2013, the Court granted plaintiffs motion for equitable tolling requesting that the statute of limitations for claims under the FLSA for plaintiffs who opt-in to the lawsuit be tolled from September 24, 2012, the date upon which plaintiffs filed their original motion for

#### AMEDISYS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (Unaudited)

conditional certification, until 90 days after any notice of this lawsuit is issued following conditional certification. Following a motion for reconsideration filed by the Company, on December 3, 2013, the Court modified this order, holding that putative class members FLSA claims are tolled from October 29, 2012 through the date of the Court s order on plaintiffs motion for conditional certification. On January 13, 2014, the Court granted plaintiffs July 10, 2013 motion for conditional certification of their FLSA claims and authorized issuance of notice to putative class members to provide them an opportunity to opt in to the action. On April 17, 2014, that notice was mailed to putative class members. The period within which putative class members were permitted to opt into the action expired on July 16, 2014.

On September 10, 2014, the plaintiffs in the Connecticut case filed a motion for leave to amend their complaint to add a new claim under the Kentucky Wage and Hour Act (KWHA) alleging that the Company did not pay certain home health clinicians working in the Commonwealth of Kentucky all of the overtime wages they were owed, either because the Company misclassified them as exempt from overtime or, while treating them as overtime eligible, did not properly pay them overtime for all hours worked over 40 in a week. On behalf of themselves and a class of current and former employees they allege are similarly situated, plaintiffs seek attorneys fees, back wages and liquidated damages going back five years before the filing of their original complaint under the KWHA. On October 1, 2014, the Company filed an opposition to the plaintiffs motion to amend. On October 15, 2014, plaintiffs filed a reply brief in support of their motion. On December 12, 2014, the Court granted the plaintiffs motion to amend the complaint to add the claims under the KWHA. The Company and the plaintiffs agreed to explore the possibility of a mediated settlement of the Connecticut case, and on February 23, 2015 filed a joint motion to stay proceedings for six months to pursue that process, which was granted by the Court on February 24, 2015.

On June 10, 2015, the Company and plaintiffs participated in a mediation whereby they agreed to fully resolve all of plaintiffs claims in the lawsuit for \$8.0 million, subject to approval by the Court. The settlement agreement was submitted to the Court for preliminary approval and plaintiffs requested certification of Pennsylvania and Kentucky classes for the sole purpose of this proposed settlement. The Court granted preliminary approval, notice was issued to members of the settlement classes to provide them with an opportunity to object to the settlement and, in the case of members of the Pennsylvania and Kentucky classes, opt out of the settlement. Following this notice period, the Court held a final fairness hearing for the purpose of considering objections and deciding whether to grant final approval of the settlement. As of September 30, 2015, we had an accrual of \$8.0 million for this matter. On January 29, 2016, the Court approved the final settlement of this case. The settlement became effective on February 26, 2016. As a result of the final amount calculated by the settlement administrator based on claims timely submitted, we reduced our accrual to \$5.3 million as of December 31, 2015; this amount was paid during the three-month period ended March 31, 2016.

#### **Other Investigative Matters**

#### Corporate Integrity Agreement

On April 23, 2014, with no admissions of liability on our part, we entered into a settlement agreement with the U.S. Department of Justice relating to certain of our clinical and business operations. Concurrently with our entry into this

## Table of Contents

agreement, we entered into a corporate integrity agreement (CIA) with the Office of Inspector General-HHS (OIG). The CIA formalizes various aspects of our already existing ethics and compliance programs and contains other requirements designed to help ensure our ongoing compliance with federal health care program requirements. Among other things, the CIA requires us to maintain our existing compliance program, executive compliance committee and compliance committee of the Board of Directors; provide certain compliance training; continue screening new and current employees to ensure they are eligible to participate in federal health care programs; engage an independent review organization to perform certain auditing and reviews and prepare certain reports regarding our compliance with federal health care programs; and provide certain reports and management certifications to the OIG. Additionally, the CIA specifically requires that we report substantial overpayments that we discover we have received from federal health care programs, as well as probable violations of federal health care laws. Upon breach of the CIA, we could become liable for payment of certain stipulated penalties, or could be excluded from participation in federal health care programs. The corporate integrity agreement has a term of five years.

During the course of our compliance with the CIA we identified several such reportable events and notified the OIG as required. As of December 31, 2015, we had an accrual of \$4.7 million for these matters. On May 5, 2016, the company entered into a settlement agreement with the OIG and the matters were fully resolved for \$4.7 million; this amount was paid during the three-month period ended June 30, 2016.

#### Computer Inventory and Data Security Reporting

On March 1 and March 2, 2015, we provided official notice under federal and state data privacy laws concerning the outcome of an extensive risk management process to locate and verify our large computer inventory. The process identified approximately 142 encrypted computers and laptops for which reports were required under federal and state data privacy laws. The devices at issue were originally assigned to Company clinicians and other team members who left the Company between 2011 and 2014. We reported these devices to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, state agencies, and individuals whose information may be involved, as

### AMEDISYS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (Unaudited)

required under applicable law because we could not rule out unauthorized access to patient data on the devices. The Office of Civil Rights, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OCR) is reviewing our compliance with applicable laws, as is typical for any data breach involving more than 500 individuals. We are cooperating with OCR in its review and if any other regulatory reviews are formally commenced, will cooperate with applicable regulatory authorities. In accordance with our CIA, we have notified the OIG of this matter.

#### Third Party Audits - Ongoing

From time to time, in the ordinary course of business, we are subject to audits under various governmental programs in which third party firms engaged by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) conduct extensive review of claims data to identify potential improper payments under the Medicare program.

In July 2010, our subsidiary that provides hospice services in Florence, South Carolina received from a Zone Program Integrity Contractor ( ZPIC ) a request for records regarding a sample of 30 beneficiaries who received services from the subsidiary during the period of January 1, 2008 through March 31, 2010 (the Review Period ) to determine whether the underlying services met pertinent Medicare payment requirements. We acquired the hospice operations subject to this review on August 1, 2009; the Review Period covers time periods both before and after our ownership of these hospice operations. Based on the ZPIC s findings for 16 beneficiaries, which were extrapolated to all claims for hospice services provided by the Florence subsidiary billed during the Review Period, on June 6, 2011, the MAC for the subsidiary issued a notice of overpayment seeking recovery from our subsidiary of an alleged overpayment. We dispute these findings, and our Florence subsidiary has filed appeals through the Original Medicare Standard Appeals Process, in which we are seeking to have those findings overturned. An ALJ hearing was held in early January 2015. On January 18, 2016 we received a letter dated January 6, 2016 referencing the ALJ hearing decision for the overpayment issued on June 6, 2011. The decision was partially favorable with a new overpayment amount of \$3.7 million with a balance owed of \$5.6 million including interest based on 9 disputed claims (originally 16). We filed an appeal to the Medicare Appeals Council on the remaining 9 disputed claims and also argued that the statistical method used to select the sample was not valid. No assurances can be given as to the timing or outcome of the Medicare Appeals Council decision. As of June 30, 2016, Medicare has withheld payments of \$5.7 million (including additional interest) as part of their standard procedures once this level of the appeal process has been reached. In the event we are not able to recoup this alleged overpayment, we are indemnified by the prior owners of the hospice operations for amounts relating to the period prior to August 1, 2009. As of June 30, 2016, we have an indemnity receivable for the amount withheld related to the period prior to August 1, 2009.

## Third Party Audits Settled

In January 2010, our subsidiary that provides home health services in Dayton, Ohio received from a Medicare Program Safeguard Contractor (PSC) a request for records regarding 137 claims submitted by the subsidiary paid from January 2, 2008 through November 10, 2009 (the Claim Period) to determine whether the underlying services met pertinent Medicare payment requirements. Based on the PSC s findings for 114 of the claims, which were extrapolated to all claims for home health services provided by the Dayton subsidiary paid during the Claim Period,

on March 9, 2011, the Medicare Administrative Contractor (MAC) for the subsidiary issued a notice of overpayment seeking recovery from our subsidiary of an alleged overpayment of approximately \$5.6 million. We dispute these findings, and our Dayton subsidiary has filed appeals through the Original Medicare Standard Appeals Process, in which we are seeking to have those findings overturned. A consolidated administrative law judge (ALJ) hearing was held in late March 2013. In January 2014, the ALJ found fully in favor of our Dayton subsidiary on 74 appeals and partially in favor of our Dayton subsidiary on eight appeals. Taking into account the ALJ s decision, certain determinations that our Dayton subsidiary decided not to appeal as well as certain determinations made by the MAC, of the 114 claims that were originally extrapolated by the MAC, 76 claims have now been decided in favor of our Dayton subsidiary in full, 10 claims have been decided in favor of our Dayton subsidiary in part, and 28 claims have been decided against or not appealed by our Dayton subsidiary. The ALJ has ordered the MAC to recalculate the extrapolation amount based on the ALJ s decision. The Medicare Appeals Council can decide on its own motion to review the ALJ s decisions. As of July 13, 2016, we were notified that the PSC elected not to re-extrapolate the overpayment and instead issued a new calculated overpayment in the amount of \$0.2 million. The overpayment has been paid in full and the matter is fully resolved.

#### AMEDISYS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (Unaudited)

#### Insurance

We are obligated for certain costs associated with our insurance programs, including employee health, workers compensation and professional liability. While we maintain various insurance programs to cover these risks, we are self-insured for a substantial portion of our potential claims. We recognize our obligations associated with these costs, up to specified deductible limits in the period in which a claim is incurred, including with respect to both reported claims and claims incurred but not reported. These costs have generally been estimated based on historical data of our claims experience. Such estimates, and the resulting reserves, are reviewed and updated by us on a quarterly basis.

Our health insurance has a retention limit of \$0.9 million, our workers compensation insurance has a retention limit of \$0.5 million and our professional liability insurance has a retention limit of \$0.3 million.

#### 6. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Our operations involve servicing patients through our three reportable business segments: home health, hospice and personal care. Our home health segment delivers a wide range of services in the homes of individuals who may be recovering from surgery, have a chronic disability or terminal illness or need assistance with completing important personal tasks. Our hospice segment provides palliative care and comfort to terminally ill patients and their families. Our personal care segment, which was established with the acquisition of Associated Home Care during the three-month period ended March 31, 2016, provides patients with assistance with the essential activities of daily living. The other column in the following tables consists of costs relating to executive management and administrative support functions, primarily information services, accounting, finance, billing and collections, legal, compliance, risk management, procurement, marketing, clinical administration, training, human resources and administration.

Management evaluates performance and allocates resources based on the operating income of the reportable segments, which includes an allocation of corporate expenses directly attributable to the specific segment and includes revenues and all other costs directly attributable to the specific segment. Segment assets are not reviewed by the company s chief operating decision maker and therefore are not disclosed below (amounts in millions).

## AMEDISYS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## (Unaudited)

	For the Three-Month Period Ended June 30, 2016 Personal						
]	Home Heal	thHo	ospice	C	are	Other	Total
Net service revenue	\$275.5	\$	75.8	\$	9.4	\$	\$ 360.7
Cost of service, excluding depreciation and amortization	160.3		39.4		6.8		206.5
General and administrative expenses	72.3		17.4		2.3	34.7	126.7
Provision for doubtful accounts	3.5		0.7				4.2
Depreciation and amortization	1.6		0.3			3.1	5.0
Operating expenses	237.7		57.8		9.1	37.8	342.4
Operating income (loss)	\$ 37.8	\$	18.0	\$	0.3	\$(37.8)	\$ 18.3

## For the Three-Month Period Ended June 30, 2015

			Pe	rsonal	
	Home Heal	th Hosp	ice (	Care Other	Total
Net service revenue	\$247.8	\$ 6	6.3 \$	\$	\$314.1
Cost of service, excluding depreciation and amortization	142.3	3	3.4		175.7
General and administrative expenses	63.5	1:	5.3	36.8	115.6
Provision for doubtful accounts	2.3	(	0.4		2.7
Depreciation and amortization	1.3	(	0.3	3.0	4.6
Operating expenses	209.4	4	9.4	39.8	298.6
Operating income (loss)	\$ 38.4	\$ 1	6.9 \$	\$ (39.8)	\$ 15.5

## For the Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2016

	Personal						
	Home Healt	th Hospice	Care	Other	Total		
Net service revenue	\$ 548.2	\$ 148.8	\$ 12.5	\$	\$ 709.5		
Cost of service, excluding depreciation and amortization	n 321.1	78.2	9.0		408.3		
General and administrative expenses	143.5	34.3	2.7	73.7	254.2		
Provision for doubtful accounts	6.8	1.4			8.2		
Depreciation and amortization	2.8	0.6		6.0	9.4		
Operating expenses	474.2	114.5	11.7	79.7	680.1		
				&r	nbs		