

CANADIAN IMPERIAL BANK OF COMMERCE /CAN/  
Form 6-K  
March 02, 2006

---

---

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

---

**FORM 6-K**

**Report of Foreign Issuer  
Pursuant to Rule 13a-16 or 15d-16 of  
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

For the month of March, 2006

Commission File Number: 1-14678

**CANADIAN IMPERIAL BANK OF COMMERCE**

(Translation of registrant's name into English)

Commerce Court  
Toronto, Ontario  
Canada M5L 1A2  
(Address of principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover of Form 20-F or Form 40-F:

Form 20-F

Form 40-F

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1): \_\_\_\_\_

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7): \_\_\_\_\_

Indicate by check mark whether by furnishing the information contained in this form, the registrant is also thereby furnishing the information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g 3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934:

Yes

No

---

---

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereto duly authorized.

CANADIAN IMPERIAL BANK OF COMMERCE

Date: March 2, 2006

By: /s/ Michelle Caturay  
Name: Michelle Caturay  
Title: Vice-President, Corporate Secretary  
and Associate General Counsel

SIGNATURES

By: /s/ Valerie K. Pettipas  
 Name: Valerie K. Pettipas  
 Title: Assistant Corporate Secretary

**Annual Meeting of Shareholders  
 Québec City March 2, 2006  
 OFFICIAL VOTING RESULTS**

Each of the matters set out below is described in greater detail in the 2006 Management Proxy Circular (<http://cibc.com/ca/investor-relations/annual-reports.html>).

***The Board of Directors and management recommended that shareholders vote FOR the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as Auditors of the Bank and FOR the election as director of each of the 18 nominees listed in the 2006 Management Proxy Circular.***

1. **Appointment of Auditors:** FOR 165,184,591 (99.5%) WITHHELD 902,572 (0.5%)
2. **Election of Directors:** FOR 165,913,358 (99.8%) WITHHELD 317,271 (0.2%)

Individual director results are set out below.

Nominee	Votes For		Votes Withheld	
	#	%	#	%
Brent S. Belzberg	165,360,344	99.5	870,285	0.5
Jalynn H. Bennett	165,503,190	99.6	727,439	0.4
Gary F. Colter	164,273,200	98.8	1,957,429	1.2
William L. Duke	164,795,558	99.1	1,435,071	0.9
Ivan E.H. Duvar	165,307,299	99.4	923,330	0.6
William A. Etherington	165,710,348	99.7	520,281	0.3
Margot A. Franssen	164,447,574	98.9	1,783,055	1.1
Gordon D. Giffin	164,097,891	98.7	2,132,738	1.3
James A. Grant	164,399,763	98.9	1,830,866	1.1
Linda S. Hasenfratz	164,789,550	99.1	1,441,079	0.9
Pat M. Hayles	164,792,430	99.1	1,438,199	0.9
John S. Lacey	164,450,548	98.9	1,780,081	1.1
John P. Manley	165,651,833	99.6	578,796	0.4
Gerald T. McCaughey	165,820,814	99.8	409,815	0.2

Edgar Filing: CANADIAN IMPERIAL BANK OF COMMERCE /CAN/ - Form 6-K

<b>Nominee</b>	<b>Votes For</b>		<b>Votes Withheld</b>	
Charles Sirois	164,717,803	99.1	1,512,826	0.9
Stephen G. Snyder	165,773,877	99.7	456,752	0.3
Cynthia M. Trudell	165,681,339	99.7	549,290	0.3
Ronald W. Tysoe	165,665,378	99.7	565,251	0.3

***The Board of Directors and management recommended that shareholders vote against each of the following shareholder proposals:***

- 3. Proposal No. 1** Persons tainted by judicial findings of unethical behavior are not eligible to serve as Directors of CIBC.

FOR: 6,598,040 (4.0%) AGAINST: 158,461,220 (96.0%)
- 4. Proposal No. 2** It is proposed that the Bank include in its annual report the financial statements of its subsidiaries in tax havens.

FOR: 5,643,428 (3.4%) AGAINST: 159,427,784 (96.6%)
- 5. Proposal No. 3** It is proposed that any increase in the compensation of senior executives including stock options, pensions and severance packages be first submitted to shareholders for approval before coming into effect.

FOR: 4,569,204 (2.8%) AGAINST: 160,367,324 (97.2%)