

Raptor Pharmaceutical Corp  
Form 10-Q  
August 04, 2016

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2016

OR

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number: 000-25571

RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 86-0883978  
(State of incorporation) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)  
7 Hamilton Landing, Suite 100, Novato, CA 94949

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(415) 408-6200

(Registrant's telephone number)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was

Edgar Filing: Raptor Pharmaceutical Corp - Form 10-Q

required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.:

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

At August 2, 2016, there were 85,306,787 shares of the registrant’s common stock outstanding.

RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>Part 1 - Financial Information</u>	
Item 1 <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
Item 2 <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	24
Item 3 <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	30
Item 4 <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	31
<u>Part II - Other Information</u>	
Item 1 <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	32
Item 1A <u>Risk Factors</u>	32
Item 2 <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	63
Item 3 <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	63
Item 4 <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	63
Item 5 <u>Other Information</u>	63
Item 6 <u>Exhibits</u>	63
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	64

## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

## RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except shares and per share data)

	June 30, 2016 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2015
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 124,239	\$ 157,352
Restricted cash	1,367	1,055
Accounts receivable, net	15,727	13,267
Inventories	12,031	6,424
Prepaid expenses and other assets	3,422	3,301
Total current assets	156,786	181,399
Property and equipment, net	7,471	7,644
Goodwill	12,223	12,223
Intangible assets, net	176,598	216,463
Other assets	1,861	1,761
Total Assets	\$ 354,939	\$ 419,490
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 2,914	\$ 5,423
Accrued liabilities	29,450	22,630
Note payable, current portion	11,559	11,402
Total current liabilities	43,923	39,455
Contingent consideration liability	152,070	166,800
Deferred tax liability	1,086	303
Note payable, net of current portion	32,212	38,054
Convertible notes	57,958	57,686
Total liabilities	287,249	302,298
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value per share, 15,000,000 shares authorized, zero		
shares issued and outstanding	—	—
Common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, 150,000,000 shares authorized,	86	85

Edgar Filing: Raptor Pharmaceutical Corp - Form 10-Q

85,531,006 and 85,235,591 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2016 and

December 31, 2015, respectively

Additional paid-in capital	447,666	441,601
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,341 )	(1,377 )
Accumulated deficit	(378,721 )	(323,117)
Total stockholders' equity	67,690	117,192
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 354,939	\$ 419,490

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

## RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except shares and per share data)

	For the Three months Ended June 30		For the Six months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Product revenue	\$32,045	\$23,332	\$59,515	\$43,785
Cost of sales	4,948	2,640	9,279	6,362
Gross profit	27,097	20,692	50,236	37,423
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	15,685	11,877	29,704	28,429
Selling, general and administrative	20,864	17,770	41,252	32,608
Impairment of IPR&D	—	—	39,600	—
Change in fair value of contingent consideration related to QUINSAIR acquisition	—	—	(14,730 )	—
Total operating expenses	36,549	29,647	95,826	61,037
Loss from operations	(9,452 )	(8,955 )	(45,590 )	(23,614 )
Interest income	126	68	258	95
Interest expense	(4,322 )	(4,784 )	(9,340 )	(9,282 )
Foreign currency transaction gain (loss)	179	232	(34 )	(243 )
Adjustment to fair value of common stock warrants	—	(440 )	—	(495 )
Loss before provision for income taxes	(13,469 )	(13,879 )	(54,706 )	(33,539 )
Provision for income taxes	550	70	898	92
Net Loss	\$(14,019 )	\$(13,949 )	\$(55,604 )	\$(33,631 )
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Foreign currency translation gain (loss), net of tax	(6 )	129	36	(418 )
Comprehensive Loss	\$(14,025 )	\$(13,820 )	\$(55,568 )	\$(34,049 )
Net loss per share:				
Basic and diluted	\$(0.16 )	\$(0.17 )	\$(0.65 )	\$(0.45 )
Weighted-average shares outstanding:				
Basic and diluted	85,416,542	79,771,454	85,335,876	74,485,415

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

## RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net loss	\$(55,604 )	\$(33,631 )
<b>Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:</b>		
Stock-based compensation expense	5,348	7,165
Fair value adjustment of common stock warrants	—	495
Amortization of intangible asset	265	119
Depreciation of property and equipment	759	630
Deferred income taxes	783	—
Amortization of debt issuance cost	586	611
Impairment of IPR&D	39,600	—
Change in fair value of contingent consideration related to QUINSAIR acquisition	(14,730 )	—
<b>Changes in assets and liabilities:</b>		
Accounts receivable	(2,452 )	(5,712 )
Inventories	(5,615 )	3,814
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(207 )	1,515
Deposits	8	(23 )
Accounts payable	(2,549 )	867
Accrued liabilities	6,757	2,958
Net cash used in operating activities	(27,051 )	(21,192 )
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Net purchase of property and equipment	(581 )	(2,318 )
Purchase of short-term investments	—	(27,496 )
Change in restricted cash	(312 )	47
Net cash used in investing activities	(893 )	(29,767 )
<b>Cash used in financing activities:</b>		
Proceeds from sale of common stock, net	—	98,325
Proceeds from the exercise of common stock warrants	—	301
Proceeds from the exercise of common stock options and ESPP	717	5,409
Offering costs	—	(6,277 )
Principal payments on debt	(6,000 )	(3,000 )
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(5,283 )	94,758
Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	114	(418 )
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(33,113 )	43,381
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	157,352	149,613

Edgar Filing: Raptor Pharmaceutical Corp - Form 10-Q

Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$124,239	\$192,994
Supplemental cash flow information:		
Interest paid	\$4,380	\$5,492
Income taxes paid	224	243
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Fair value of warrant liability reclassified to equity upon exercise	—	1,206

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.



RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2016

(Unaudited)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements reflect the financial position and results of operations of Raptor Pharmaceutical Corp. (the "Company" or "Raptor") and have been prepared in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). Certain information and footnote disclosures have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the annual financial statements and in the opinion of management reflect all adjustments, which include only normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the periods presented. The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2015 has been derived from the Company's audited financial statements as of such date but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP. This Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and accompanying notes in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Raptor is a biopharmaceutical company focused on developing and commercializing transformative treatments for people affected by rare and debilitating diseases.

The Company's first commercial product, PROCYSBI® (cysteamine bitartrate) delayed-release capsules ("PROCYSBI"), received marketing approval from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") on April 30, 2013 for the management of nephropathic cystinosis in adults and children six years and older. On August 14, 2015, we received FDA approval for the expanded use of PROCYSBI to treat children two to six years of age with nephropathic cystinosis. In Europe, PROCYSBI® gastro-resistant hard capsules of cysteamine (as mercaptamine bitartrate), received marketing authorization on September 6, 2013 from the European Commission ("EC"), for marketing in the European Union ("EU") as an orphan medicinal product for the management of proven nephropathic cystinosis. The EU marketing authorization allows the Company to commercialize PROCYSBI in the 28 Member States of the EU plus Norway, Liechtenstein and Iceland (which are not EU Member States but are part of the European Economic Area or EEA). PROCYSBI received seven years of market exclusivity, through 2020 for patients six years and older as an orphan drug in the United States and ten years of market exclusivity, through 2023, as an orphan drug in Europe. More recently, PROCYSBI received orphan drug designation for the treatment of patients ages two years to six years, through 2022. The Company commenced commercial sales of PROCYSBI in the United States in June 2013 and in Europe in April 2014. To date, the Company's ability to generate revenue has been primarily dependent upon sales of PROCYSBI in the United States for the management of nephropathic cystinosis in adults and children two years and older and in the EU for the management of proven nephropathic cystinosis.

In October 2015, the Company acquired various assets and rights related to levofloxacin solution for inhalation, a pharmaceutical product also known as "MP-376" and commercially as "QUINSAIR," from Tripex Pharmaceuticals, LLC ("Tripex"). QUINSAIR received marketing authorization by the EC for treating chronic lung infection caused by the bacteria *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in adults who have cystic fibrosis in March 2015 and Health Canada in June 2015 for the management of cystic fibrosis in patients aged 18 years or older with chronic pulmonary *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa infections. QUINSAIR is the first inhaled fluoroquinolone approved for the management of chronic pulmonary infections due to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in patients with cystic fibrosis 18 years old and older. QUINSAIR is not approved in the United States, and the Company may not market or commercialize QUINSAIR in the United States for any indication unless it receives FDA approval, which it may not be able to obtain. The Company commenced sales of QUINSAIR in Germany and Denmark in the second quarter of 2016.

The Company is subject to a number of risks, including: the level of commercial sales of PROCYSBI in the United States and Europe; the ability to successfully launch PROCYSBI in other international markets; the ability to successfully commercialize QUINSAIR in Europe and to launch an commercialize QUINSAIR in Canada; uncertainty whether the Company's research and development efforts will result in expanded labeling for PROCYSBI and additional commercialization for RP103 or MP-376 in various indications or additional commercial products; competition from other organizations; reliance on other entities for manufacturing; reliance on licensing the proprietary technology of others; uncertain patent protection; and the need to raise capital through equity and/or debt financings. Funding may not be available when needed, if at all, or on terms acceptable to the Company. If the Company exhausts its cash reserves and is unable to obtain adequate financing, it may be required to curtail planned operating expenditures, including its development programs.

RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2016

(Unaudited)

Basis of Presentation

The Company's consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company's direct and indirect wholly owned subsidiaries, Raptor Pharmaceuticals Inc., formerly known as Raptor Therapeutics Inc. which merged with Raptor Discoveries Inc. in December 2012 prior to changing its name, and Raptor European Products, LLC, such subsidiaries incorporated in Delaware on August 1, 2007, and February 14, 2012, respectively, and Raptor Pharmaceuticals Europe B.V. ("BV"), Raptor Pharmaceuticals France SAS ("SAS"), Raptor Pharmaceuticals Germany GmbH ("GMBH") and RPTP European Holdings C.V. ("CV"), domiciled in the Netherlands on December 15, 2009, in France on October 30, 2012, in Germany on October 16, 2013 and in the Cayman Islands on February 16, 2012, respectively. All inter-company accounts have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the dates of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Functional Currency

The Company's consolidated functional currency is the U.S. dollar. BV, SAS, and GMBH, the Company's Dutch subsidiary, French subsidiary, and German Subsidiary, respectively, use the European Euro as their functional currency. The CV subsidiary, a Cayman-based subsidiary, uses the dollar as its functional currency. At each quarter end, each foreign subsidiary's balance sheets are translated into U.S. dollars based upon the quarter-end exchange rate, while their statements of operations and comprehensive loss are translated into U.S. dollars based upon an average exchange rate during the period.

Segment Information

The Company has determined that it operates in only one segment, as it only reports profit and loss information on an aggregate basis to its chief operating decision maker. The Company's long-lived assets maintained outside the U.S. are not material.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments and Contingent Consideration Liability

The carrying amounts of certain of the Company's financial instruments including cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts payable, accrued liabilities and contingent consideration liability approximate fair value due either to length of maturity or interest rates that approximate prevailing market rates. Changes in fair value of the contingent consideration liabilities can result from updates to assumptions such as the expected timing or probability of achieving the specified milestones, changes in projected revenues or changes in discount rates. Significant judgment is employed in determining these assumptions as of the acquisition or consolidation date and for each subsequent period. Updates

to assumptions could have a significant impact on our results of operations in any given period. Actual results may differ from estimates.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less, when purchased, to be cash equivalents. The Company maintains cash and cash equivalents, which consist principally of money market funds, with high credit quality financial institutions. Such amounts exceed Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance limits. As of June 30, 2016, and December 31, 2015, the Company had \$124.2 million and \$157.4 million in cash and cash equivalents, of which \$6.5 million and \$6.9 million was held by its foreign subsidiaries, respectively.

#### Restricted Cash

Restricted cash represents certificates of deposit and compensating balances required by the Company's U.S. and European banks as collateral for credit cards and for access to a value-added tax deferral program.

RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2016

(Unaudited)

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable are recorded and carried at the original invoiced amount less an allowance for any potential uncollectible amounts. The Company estimates its allowance for doubtful accounts based upon an assessment of various factors, including historical experience, the age of the accounts receivable balances, credit quality of customers, current economic conditions, and other factors that may affect customers' ability to pay. To date, the Company has not experienced significant losses with respect to the collection of accounts receivable.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 605, Revenue Recognition, when the following criteria have been met: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; delivery has occurred and risk of loss has passed; the seller's price to the buyer is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured. The Company determines that persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists based on written contracts that define the terms of the arrangements. Pursuant to the contract terms, the Company determines when title to products and associated risk of loss has passed on to the customer. The Company assesses whether the fee is fixed or determinable based on the payment terms associated with the transaction and whether the sales price is subject to refund or adjustment. The Company assesses collectability based primarily on the customer's payment history and creditworthiness.

PROCYSBI is currently available for U.S. distribution from the Company's U.S. specialty pharmacy partner, the Accredo Health Group, Inc. ("Accredo") which is currently the Company's only U.S. customer and ships directly to patients. PROCYSBI is not available in U.S. retail pharmacies. Authorization of coverage by patients' commercial insurance plans, Raptor's patient assistance program ("PAP") or government payors is a prerequisite to the shipment of PROCYSBI to U.S. patients. The Company is able to reasonably estimate and determine sales allowances; therefore the Company recognizes PROCYSBI revenue in the United States at the point of sale to the specialty pharmacy. The Company's distributor of PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR in the EU is the Almac Group, Ltd. Revenue is currently recognized in the EU once confirmed orders from the pharmacies have been shipped and invoiced for payment by the distributor on the Company's behalf.

The Company records revenue net of expected discounts, distributor fees, and rebates, including government rebates such as Medicare and Medicaid in the United States. Allowances are recorded as a reduction of revenue at the time product sales are recognized. Allowances for government rebates and discounts are established based on the actual payor and payor mix information, which is estimated based on historical claim levels in the United States and in Europe and the government-mandated discount rates applicable to government-funded programs. The allowances are adjusted to reflect known changes in the factors that may impact such allowances in the quarter the changes are known.

Inventories and Cost of Sales

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market price, with cost determined on a first-in, first-out basis. Inventories are reviewed periodically to identify slow-moving inventory based on sales activity, both projected and historical, as well as product shelf-life.

Products that have been approved by the FDA or other regulatory authorities are also used in clinical programs, to assess the safety and efficacy of the products for usage in diseases or patients that have not been approved by the FDA or other regulatory authorities. The forms of PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR that are utilized for both commercial and clinical programs are identical and, as a result, the inventory has an “alternative future use” as defined in authoritative accounting guidance. Raw materials and purchased drug product associated with clinical development programs are included in inventory and charged to research and development expense when the product enters the research and development process and/or no longer can be used for commercial purposes and, therefore, does not have an “alternative future use.”

Cost of sales for both PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR includes the cost of inventory sold or reserved; manufacturing, manufacturing overhead and supply chain costs; inventory variance amortization; product shipping and handling costs; and amortization of licensing approval milestone payments and licensing royalties payable to the University of California, San Diego (“UCSD”) for PROCYSBI, and MPEX, TriPEX, and PARI for QUINSAIR. QUINSAIR is approved in the EU and Canada and marketing commenced in Germany and Denmark in April 2016.

RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2016

(Unaudited)

### Property and Equipment

Property and equipment, which mainly consist of leasehold improvements, office furniture, lab equipment and computer hardware and software, are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the related estimated useful lives, except for leasehold improvements, which are depreciated over the shorter of the useful life of the asset or the lease term. Significant additions and improvements that have useful lives estimated at greater than one year are capitalized, while repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred.

### Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of the consideration transferred over the estimated fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination. Intangible assets with indefinite useful lives are related to purchased in-process research and development (IPR&D) projects and are measured at their respective fair values as of the acquisition date. The Company does not amortize goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives. Intangible assets related to IPR&D projects are considered to be indefinite-lived until the completion or abandonment of the associated R&D efforts. If and when development is complete, which generally occurs if and when marketing approval is obtained, the associated assets are deemed finite-lived and are amortized based on their respective estimated useful lives at that point in time. The Company tests goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment on an annual basis and in between annual tests if any events or changes occur that would indicate the fair values of the assets are below their carrying amounts.

Intangible assets with finite useful lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives on a straight-line basis, and are reviewed for impairment when facts or circumstances suggest that the carrying value of these assets may not be recoverable.

### Common Stock Warrant Liabilities

The Company previously issued common stock warrants that contained conditional obligations that may have required the Company to transfer cash to settle the warrants upon the occurrence of certain fundamental transactions. Therefore, the Company classified such warrants as liabilities. At each reporting period, the Company re-measured the common stock warrant liability at the end of every reporting period with the change in value reported in the Company's consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss. At the exercise date, the fair values of these warrants were re-measured and reclassified to equity. As of December 31, 2015, all common stock warrants had been exercised or expired.

### Debt Issuance Costs

Debt issuance costs are expenses associated with the loan agreements with HealthCare Royalty Partners ("HC Royalty") and the convertible notes. Debt issuance costs are being amortized over the life of the respective debt to interest expense using the interest method. Debt issuance costs are presented as a reduction in the carrying amount of note payable and convertible debt on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets.

## Net Loss per Share

Net loss per share is calculated by dividing net loss by the weighted-average shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted net loss per share is calculated by dividing net loss by the weighted-average shares of common stock outstanding and potential shares of common stock during the period. For all periods presented, potentially dilutive securities are excluded from the computation of fully diluted net loss per share as their effect is anti-dilutive. Potentially dilutive securities include:

	Six Months Ended June	
	30,	
	2016	2015
Warrants to purchase common stock	—	236,812
Options to purchase common stock	9,282,701	9,231,283
Restricted stock unit awards outstanding	819,054	287,363
Convertible debt	3,428,571	3,428,571
Total Potentially Dilutive Securities	13,530,326	13,184,029



RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2016

(Unaudited)

Stock-Based Compensation

Compensation costs related to the Company's stock incentive plans are measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the equity instruments awarded and are recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award, or the requisite service period, which is usually the vesting period.

Research and Development Costs

Research and development costs are charged to expense as incurred. Research and development expenses primarily include salaries and benefits for medical, clinical, regulatory, quality, pharmacovigilance and research personnel, preclinical studies, clinical trials, and certain commercial drug manufacturing expenses prior to obtaining marketing approval.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are recorded under the liability method, under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. Based on the weight of available evidence, including cumulative losses since inception and expected future losses, the Company has determined that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset amount will not be realized and therefore a full valuation allowance has been provided on the Company's net deferred tax assets.

The Company identifies uncertain tax positions and discloses any potential tax liability on its financial statements. The Company recognizes interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters as a component of income tax expense. As of June 30, 2016, there were no accrued uncertain tax positions or interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions.

The Company files U.S. Federal, California, various other state and other income tax returns and various foreign country income tax returns. The Company is currently not subject to any income tax examinations. Due to the Company's net operating losses ("NOLs"), generally all tax years remain open.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts previously reported under specific financial statement captions have been reclassified to be consistent with the current period presentation.

Disclosure of Change in Accounting Policy and Retroactive Restatement Disclosure

As of January 1, 2016, the Company adopted the provisions of Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2015-03, Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs. This update requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct reduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability. Adoption of this accounting standard update requires retroactive application by restating the financial statements of all prior periods presented.

The Company has adopted this standard as management believes this presentation more accurately reflects the costs of borrowing for arrangements in which debt issuance costs are incurred. The implementation resulted in the decrease of assets and debt liabilities of \$3.9 million as of December 31, 2015. Adoption of this standard only impacted the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2015. See Notes 8 and 9 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" for more information on the unamortized debt issuance costs related to the Company's debt.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update No. 2014-09 (ASU 2014-09) "Revenue from Contracts with Customers." The standard's core principle is that a reporting entity will recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or

RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2016

(Unaudited)

services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The standard is effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2018. Early adoption is permitted in 2017. Entities have the option of using either a full retrospective or a modified retrospective approach to adopt this new guidance. In March, April and May 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-08 "Revenue From Contracts With Customers: Principal vs. Agent Considerations," ASU 2016-10 "Revenue From Contracts with Customers: Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing," and ASU 2016-12 "Revenue From Contracts With Customers: Narrow-Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients" to provide supplemental adoption guidance and clarification to ASU 2014-09. The Company is evaluating the impact of the adoption of these standards on its consolidated financial statements and footnote disclosures.

In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-11, Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory, which requires entities to measure most inventory "at the lower of cost and net realizable value," thereby simplifying the current guidance under which an entity must measure inventory at the lower of cost or market. ASU 2015-11 is effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2017 and is to be applied prospectively. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of this amendment to have a material effect on its financial condition and results of operations.

In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-17, Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes. This is part of FASB's simplification initiative. The amendments in this ASU require that deferred tax liabilities and assets be classified as noncurrent in a classified statement of financial position. This ASU is effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2017. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of this amendment to have a material effect on its financial condition and results of operations.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-01, Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities. The purpose is to enhance the reporting model for financial instruments to provide users of financial statements with more decision-useful information. This ASU is effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2018. Early adoption is not permitted except for limited provisions. The Company does not expect the adoption of this amendment to have a material effect on its financial condition and results of operations.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases, which for operating leases, requires a lessee to recognize a right-of-use asset and a lease liability, initially measured at the present value of the lease payments, in its balance sheet. The standard also requires a lessee to recognize a single lease cost, calculated so that the cost of the lease is allocated over the lease term, on a generally straight-line basis. ASU 2016-02 will be effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2019, and early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently assessing the impact that adopting this new accounting standard will have on its consolidated financial statements and footnote disclosures.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting, which simplifies several aspects of the accounting for employee share-based payment transactions for both public and nonpublic entities, including the accounting for income taxes, forfeitures, and statutory tax withholding requirements, as well as classification in the statement of cash flows. The ASU will be effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2017, and early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently assessing the impact that adopting this new accounting standard will have on its consolidated financial statements and footnote disclosures.

## 2. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT

The Company uses a fair value approach to value certain assets and liabilities. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. The Company uses a fair value hierarchy, which distinguishes between assumptions based on market data (observable inputs) and an entity's own assumptions (unobservable inputs). The hierarchy consists of three levels:

- Level 1 – Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;
- Level 2 – Inputs other than level one inputs that are either directly or indirectly observable; and

11

---

## RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2016

(Unaudited)

·Level 3 – Unobservable inputs developed using estimates and assumptions, which are developed by the reporting entity and reflect those assumptions that a market participant would use.

Determining which category an asset or liability falls within the hierarchy requires significant judgment. The Company evaluates its hierarchy disclosures each reporting period. There were no transfers between Level 1, 2 or 3 of the fair value hierarchy during the quarter ended June 30, 2016. The following table presents the assets and liabilities recorded that are reported at fair value on our consolidated balance sheets on a recurring basis.

## Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

(In thousands)

June 30, 2016	Level			Total
	Level 1	2	Level 3	
<b>Assets</b>				
Cash equivalents <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 110,314	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 110,314
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 110,314</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ 110,314</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>				
Contingent consideration liability	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 152,070	\$ 152,070
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ 152,070</b>	<b>\$ 152,070</b>

December 31, 2015	Level			Total
	Level 1	2	Level 3	
<b>Assets</b>				
Cash equivalents <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 147,007	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 147,007
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 147,007</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ 147,007</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>				
Contingent consideration liability	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 166,800	\$ 166,800
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ 166,800</b>	<b>\$ 166,800</b>

(1)Cash equivalents represent the fair value of the Company's investments in money market funds at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

The following tables present a reconciliation of the Company's recurring fair value measurements categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. See Note 10 for additional information regarding the fair value of the contingent consideration liability.

## Changes in Level 3 Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis – Common Stock Warrants

	Six Months Ended June 30, 201 <del>2</del> 015
(In thousands)	
Beginning fair value	—\$711
Change in fair value recognized in earnings	— 495
Exercises	\$—(1,206)
Ending Fair Value	\$—\$—

## RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2016

(Unaudited)

## Changes in Level 3 Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis – Contingent Consideration Liability

(In thousands)	
Balance as of December 31, 2015	\$ 166,800
Fair value adjustment	(14,730 )
Balance as of June 30, 2016	\$ 152,070

## Effect of Raptor's Stock Price and Volatility Assumptions on the Calculation of Fair Value of Warrant Liabilities

As discussed above, the Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model as its method of valuation for warrants that are subject to warrant liability accounting. The determination of fair value as of the reporting date is affected by Raptor's stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of subjective variables. These variables include, but are not limited to, expected stock price volatility over the term of the security and risk-free interest rate. The primary factors affecting the fair value of the warrant liability are the Company's stock price and volatility.

## 3. INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of raw materials, work-in-process and finished goods related to the manufacture of PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR. Raw materials include the active pharmaceutical ingredients ("API"), cysteamine bitartrate and Levofloxacin, for PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR, respectively. Work-in-process includes third party manufacturing and associated labor costs relating to the Company's personnel directly involved in the production process of both products. Also included in inventories are raw materials that may be used for clinical trials, which are charged to research and development ("R&D") expense when consumed.

The following table summarizes the components of inventories.

		December
	June 30,	31,
(In thousands)	2016	2015
Raw materials	\$ 3,817	\$ 2,681

Edgar Filing: Raptor Pharmaceutical Corp - Form 10-Q

Work-in-process	3,726	1,824
Finished goods	4,488	1,919
Total Inventories	\$12,031	\$ 6,424

4. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

The following table presents the components of property and equipment and their estimated useful lives.

(In thousands)	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015	Estimated useful lives
Manufacturing equipment	\$4,355	\$ 4,262	10 years
Office furniture	2,359	2,344	7 years
Laboratory equipment	1,755	1,721	5 years
Computer hardware and software	1,750	1,364	3 years
Leasehold improvements	641	583	Lease term
Total at cost	10,860	10,274	
Less: accumulated depreciation	(3,389 )	(2,630 )	
Total Property and Equipment, Net	\$7,471	\$ 7,644	



## RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2016

(Unaudited)

Depreciation expense for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was approximately \$386 thousand and \$327 thousand, respectively.

Depreciation expense for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was approximately \$759 thousand and \$630 thousand, respectively.

## 5. NET PRODUCT REVENUES BY GEOGRAPHIC REGION AND BY SIGNIFICANT CUSTOMERS

Net product revenues by Geographic Region

(In millions)	For Three Months Ended June 30,		For Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
United States	\$ 28.1	\$ 21.6	\$ 53.4	\$ 40.9
International	3.9	1.7	6.1	2.9
Total Net Product Revenues	\$ 32.0	\$ 23.3	\$ 59.5	\$ 43.8

Net Product Revenues by Significant Customer

Sales to our significant customer, Accredo Health Services, totaled \$53.4 million, or 89.8% of net product revenue, for the six month period ended June 30, 2016 and \$40.9 million, or 93.4% of net product revenue, for the six month period ended June 30, 2015. As of June 30, 2016 receivable from Accredo totaled \$14.0 million, which is 89.2% of the total accounts receivable amount.

## 6. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

On December 14, 2007, the Company acquired the intellectual property and other rights to develop RP103 to treat various clinical indications from UCSD by way of a merger with Encode Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a privately held development stage company ("Encode"), which held the intellectual property license with UCSD. The fair value of the intangible assets at the time of acquisition was approximately \$2.6 million.

Pursuant to the license agreement with UCSD, the Company is obligated to pay an annual maintenance fee until the commencement of commercial sales of any licensed products developed. The Company is also obligated to pay milestone payments upon the occurrence of certain events, royalties on net sales from products developed pursuant to the license agreement and a percentage of sublicense fees or royalties, if any. The Company is obligated to fulfill predetermined milestones within a specified number of years from the effective date of the license agreement, depending on the indication. To the extent that the Company fails to perform any of its obligations under the agreement, UCSD may terminate the license or otherwise cause the license to become non-exclusive.

In April 2013, the Company announced that the FDA has approved PROCYSBI (cysteamine bitartrate) delayed release capsules for the management of nephropathic cystinosis in adults and children 6 years and older. Subsequently, the Company announced that the EC has approved PROCYSBI® gastro-resistant hard capsules of cysteamine (as mercaptamine bitartrate) as an orphan medicinal product for the management of proven nephropathic cystinosis for marketing in the EU. In conjunction with these approvals, the Company paid milestone payments to UCSD during the second and third quarters of 2013 of \$0.8 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, pursuant to this license, which were capitalized as intangible assets.

In October 2015, the Company acquired the intellectual property and other rights to develop QUINSAIR for the management of chronic pulmonary infections due to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in adults with cystic fibrosis that has received marketing approval in Europe and Canada. The fair value of the intangible assets at the time of acquisition was approximately \$213.8 million. In addition, the purchase agreement provides for contingent payments of up to \$350.0 million associated with development, regulatory and

## RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2016

(Unaudited)

commercial milestones, a portion of which is also payable in Raptor common stock at the Company's election, and a single digit royalty to Tripex on future global net sales. The Company has single-digit royalty and contingent obligations to each of two additional parties involved in QUINSAIR's development.

A summary of intangible assets acquired is as follows:

(In thousands)	Useful Life (Years)	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
IPR&D QUINSAIR	Indefinite	\$ 171,000	\$ 210,600
Developed technology - QUINSAIR	11.0	3,200	3,200
IP license for RP103 related to the Encode merger	20.0	2,620	2,620
UCSD license - FDA and EC approval milestones	14.0	1,250	1,250
Other intangible assets	16.0	240	240
Total intangible assets		178,310	217,910
Less accumulated amortization		(1,712 )	(1,447 )
Intangible Assets, Net		\$ 176,598	\$ 216,463

The intangible assets related to the QUINSAIR developed technology are being amortized over an estimated useful life of 11 years, which is the life of the intellectual property patents. The intangible assets related to RP103 are being amortized over an estimated useful life of 20 years, which is the life of the intellectual property patents. The 14 year estimated useful life for the FDA and EMA approval milestones is based upon the typical development, approval, marketing and life cycle management timelines of pharmaceutical drug products. Other intangible assets are being amortized using the straight-line method over an estimated useful life of 16 years, which is the life of the intellectual property patents. The above definite-lived intangibles do not have any residual value beyond the assets' useful lives.

The QUINSAIR IPR&D will continue to be evaluated on a quarterly basis. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, the Company concluded that there was no impairment to the QUINSAIR IPR&D as compared to the prior quarter ended March 31, 2016, when the Company recognized an impairment in the QUINSAIR IPR&D of \$39.6 million related to revisions of its clinical plans (See Note 10). During the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company amortized approximately \$132 thousand and \$60 thousand of intangible assets, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company amortized approximately \$265 thousand and \$119 thousand of intangible assets, respectively.

Amortization expense for intangible assets for each of the next five years is expected to be as follows:

(In thousands)	Amortization Expense
2016 (remaining 6 months)	\$ 265
2017	529
2018	529
2019	529
2020	529

The Company tested the carrying value of goodwill for impairment as of December 31, 2015 and determined that there was no impairment.

## RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2016

(Unaudited)

## 7. ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Accrued liabilities consisted of:

(In thousands)	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Personnel-related costs	\$5,789	\$ 7,601
Rebates and other sales deductions	4,977	2,833
Clinical trials and research and development costs	3,177	2,076
License royalty payable	1,758	2,788
Royalty-based interest payable	1,951	573
Manufacturing costs	5,513	1,577
Deferred rent	1,074	1,086
Travel	577	213
Business development & legal costs	1,567	1,030
Other	3,067	2,853
<b>Total Accrued Liabilities</b>	<b>\$29,450</b>	<b>\$ 22,630</b>

The roll forward of significant estimated accrued rebates, reserve for cash discounts and product returns for the period ended June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 were as follows:

	Beginning Balance	Provision for Current Period Sales	Provision for Prior Period Sales	Actual Returns/ Credits Related to Current Period Sales	Actual Returns/ Credits Related to Prior Period Sales	Outstanding Balance
<b>June 30, 2016</b>						
Accrued rebates	\$ 2,538	\$ 8,751	\$ —	\$ (3,847 )	\$ (2,219 )	\$ 4,681
Reserve for cash discounts	258	1,277	—	(224 )	(959 )	352
Product returns	296	—	—	—	—	296

Edgar Filing: Raptor Pharmaceutical Corp - Form 10-Q

December 31, 2015						
Accrued rebates	\$ 2,935	\$ 6,250	\$ 239	\$ (3,712	) \$ (3,174	) \$ 2,538
Reserve for cash discounts	215	1,821	41	(1,563	) (256	) 258
Product returns	296	—	—	—	—	296

The Company accrued \$4.7 million and \$2.5 million for estimated rebate payments at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. The Company evaluates its historical rebate payments by product as a percentage of historical sales in order to estimate its accrued rebates in proportion to revenue. Management has determined that a one-year look back represents a reasonable approach for assessing its rebate liabilities, and as of June 30, 2016 believes that it has adequately reserved for known and potentially unknown incurred rebates.

The Company accrued \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million for the estimated cost of prompt-payment discounts at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. These amounts are estimated based upon payment terms with each of the Company's customers.

The Company considered the need for a reserve for possible product returns sold during the periods ended June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. The Company determined an allowance of \$0.3 million at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, was necessary for possible product returns from its distributor. These amounts are estimated based upon the timing and history of similar product sales in the pharmaceutical industry. As of June 30, 2016, no products had been returned.

RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2016

(Unaudited)

8. NOTE PAYABLE

On December 20, 2012, the Company entered into a loan agreement with HC Royalty, as lender, under which it agreed to borrow \$50.0 million in two \$25.0 million tranches. The Company received \$23.4 million in net proceeds from the first tranche of the loan at closing in December 2012 and an additional \$23.7 million in net proceeds in May 2013 from the second tranche upon FDA approval of PROCYSBI.

In July 2014, the Company entered into an amended and restated loan agreement with HC Royalty which revised the terms of the 2012 loan agreement between the Company and HC Royalty, and also provided for an additional \$10.0 million in term loan funding. The interest rate was revised to an annual fixed rate of 8.0%, compared to the original interest rate of 10.75%. The loan also contains a synthetic royalty component based on net product revenues in a calendar year, and such royalty is payable quarterly. The variable royalty rate under the amended and restated loan agreement has been revised to 8.0% on the first \$50.0 million of revenue and 2.0% on revenue in excess of \$50.0 million. The first quarterly principal payment of \$3.0 million was due in June 2015. All term loans under the amended and restated loan agreement mature on March 31, 2020. The loan and the Company's obligation to make payments thereunder shall terminate immediately when all payments received by HC Royalty equal \$120.0 million.

The Company's amended and restated loan agreement with HC Royalty includes affirmative and negative covenants, including the use of commercially reasonable efforts to exploit RP103 in specific markets and compliance with laws, as well as restrictions on mergers and sales of assets, incurrence of liens, incurrence of indebtedness and transactions with affiliates and other requirements. To secure the performance of the Company's obligations under the loan, the Company granted a security interest to HC Royalty in substantially all of its assets, the assets of its domestic subsidiaries and a pledge of stock of certain of its domestic subsidiaries. The Company's failure to comply with the terms of the loan and related documents, the occurrence of a change of control of the Company or the occurrence of an uncured material adverse effect on the Company or the occurrence of certain other specified events, will result in an event of default under the loan that, if not cured or waived, could result in the acceleration of the payment of all of its indebtedness, as well as prepayment penalties, to HC Royalty and interest thereon. Under the terms of the security agreement, in an event of default, the lender can potentially take possession of, foreclose on, sell, assign or grant a license to use, the Company's pledged collateral and assign and transfer the pledged stock of certain of its subsidiaries.

The Company received marketing approval of PROCYSBI from the FDA on April 30, 2013 and commenced shipment of PROCYSBI during June 2013, and as a result, royalties became payable to HC Royalty based upon net revenues of PROCYSBI. Interest expense on the loan, excluding amortization of debt issuance costs, for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was approximately \$2.8 million and \$3.3 million, respectively. Interest expense on the loan, excluding amortization of debt issuance costs, for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was approximately \$6.3 million and \$6.3 million, respectively.

The following table presents contractual principal payments of the note payable at June 30, 2016.

(In thousands)	Note Principal Payments
2016 (remaining 6 months)	\$ 6,000
2017	12,000
2018	12,000
2019	12,000
2020	3,000
Total	\$ 45,000

Unamortized debt issuance costs on the loan agreement totaled \$1.2 million and \$1.5 million at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. Amortization expense was \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Amortization expense was \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.



RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2016

(Unaudited)

9. CONVERTIBLE NOTES

In July 2014, the Company sold \$60.0 million aggregate principal amount of 8.0% convertible senior notes due 2019 to HC Royalty and other purchasers. These convertible notes require quarterly interest distributions at a fixed coupon rate equal to 8.0% until maturity or conversion, which will be no later than August 1, 2019. The convertible senior notes are convertible at the option of the holder at a conversion rate of 57.14 common shares per \$1,000 principal amount of convertible senior notes at issuance (equivalent to a conversion price of \$17.50 per common share), subject to adjustment in certain events. Upon conversion of these convertible senior notes by a holder, the holder will receive shares of the Company's common stock.

In addition, the Company may elect to exercise the optional redemption, as defined in the note purchase agreement, in which case the convertible senior notes will convert into shares of common stock if the price of the common stock is at or above 175% of the applicable conversion price over a 30 consecutive day period. Upon the occurrence of a "change of control", as defined in the note purchase agreement, the holders may require the Company to repurchase all or a portion of the notes for cash at 100% of the principal amount of the notes being purchased, plus a repayment premium and any accrued and unpaid interest. To secure the performance of the Company's obligations under the convertible notes agreement, the Company has assigned certain of its assets as collateral.

Interest expense on convertible notes, excluding amortization of debt issuance costs, was \$1.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, and \$2.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Unamortized debt issuance costs on these convertible notes totaled \$2.0 million and \$2.3 million at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. Amortization expense was \$0.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, and \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

10. BUSINESS COMBINATION

Acquisition of QUINSAIR

Acquisition Overview

On October 5, 2015, the Company completed the acquisition of QUINSAIR from Tripex. The Company acquired exclusive global rights and assets to develop, manufacture and commercialize QUINSAIR, a levofloxacin solution for inhalation. At closing, the Company paid Tripex approximately \$35.4 million in cash consideration, subject to a deduction for payment of costs for representations and warranties insurance, and an amount to be held in escrow, and issued to Tripex 3,448,001 shares of Raptor common stock. In addition, the purchase agreement provides for contingent payments of up to \$350.0 million associated with development, regulatory and commercial milestones, a

portion of which is also payable in Raptor common stock at the Company's election, and a single digit royalty on future global net sales. The Company has single-digit royalty and contingent obligations to each of two additional parties involved in QUINSAIR's development.

Consideration transferred

The acquisition-date fair value of the consideration transferred consisted of the following items:

(In thousands)	
Cash consideration	\$35,370
Stock consideration	20,860
Contingent consideration	166,800
Total purchase consideration	\$223,030

## RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2016

(Unaudited)

## Fair Value Estimate of Assets Acquired and Liability Assumed at Acquisition Date

Property and equipment	\$282
Developed technology	3,200
In-Process research and development	210,600
Goodwill	8,948
Total	\$223,030

	Value of	
	Intangible	
	Assets	Amortization
	Acquired	Period*
Developed technology	\$3,200	132 months
IPR&D	210,600	(1 )
Total identifiable intangible assets	\$213,800	

\*Recognized on a straight-line basis.

(1) IPR&D is an intangible asset classified as indefinite-lived until the completion or abandonment of the associated research and development effort, and will be amortized over an estimated useful life to be determined at the date the project is completed. IPR&D is not amortized during this period, but is periodically tested for impairment. The fair value of the acquired developed technology and IPR&D assets were estimated using the income approach. The income approach uses valuation techniques to convert future amounts to a single present amount (discounted). The measurement is based on the value indicated by current market expectations about those future amounts. Direct costs of the QUINSAIR acquisition included consulting, legal, and accounting fees which aggregated to \$3.9 million.

The Company estimated the acquisition date fair value of the contingent consideration payable of \$166.8 million on October 5, 2015. It was calculated on a discounted and probability adjusted basis. The gross amount is payable upon the achievement of specified development, regulatory approval, sales-based milestone events or financial results. The model used in valuing this contingent consideration liability requires the use of significant estimates and assumptions including but not limited to:

- estimates of revenues and operating profits related to the products or product candidates;
- the probability of success for unapproved product candidates considering their stages of development;

- the time and resources needed to complete the development and approval of product candidates;
- the life of the potential commercialized products and associated risks, including the inherent difficulties and uncertainties in developing a product candidate such as obtaining FDA and other regulatory approvals; and
- risks related to the viability of and potential alternative treatments in any future target markets.

#### Goodwill

Goodwill presented above of \$8.9 million represents the difference of the QUINSAIR total purchase consideration of \$223.0 million minus the net assets acquired of \$214.1 million. This goodwill includes benefits that the Company believes will result from the know-how associated with the QUINSAIR compound and future product development. In accordance with applicable GAAP, the Company will not amortize goodwill though it will be subjected to annual impairment testing. This goodwill is not deductible for income tax purposes.

#### QUINSAIR IPR&D and Contingent Consideration Liability Fair Value as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015

The QUINSAIR IPR&D and Contingent Consideration Liability will continue to be evaluated on a quarterly basis. The Company concluded that during the quarter ended June 30, 2016 there was no impairment to the QUINSAIR IPR&D or change in the fair value of the Contingent Consideration Liability. In March 2016, the Company revised its clinical development plans for MP-376

RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2016

(Unaudited)

for the Bronchiectasis (BE) and Nontuberculous Mycobacteria (NTM) indications, the programs which drive the majority of the fair value of the IPR&D intangible assets balance related to the QUINSAIR acquisition. The revisions to the clinical development plans were a result of the following:

- increased and further assessment of possible development plan options from external clinical advisory boards, external consultants, and internal clinical teams; and
- strategic consideration and related reduction of the Company's near-term operating cash expenditures and a prioritization of those programs or strategies that could have more near-term data readouts.

As a result of these revisions the tables below represent the change in fair values for IPR&D and Contingent Consideration Liability:

In-Process Research and Development

(In thousands)	
Balance as of December 31, 2015	\$210,600
Impairment	(39,600 )
Balance as of June 30, 2016	\$171,000

Contingent Consideration Liability

(In thousands)	
Balance as of December 31, 2015	\$166,800
Fair value adjustment	(14,730 )
Balance as of June 30, 2016	\$152,070

## 11. CAPITAL STRUCTURE

### Common Stock Issuance under At-The-Market ("ATM") Agreement

On September 4, 2015, the Company entered into an "At the Market" ("ATM") sales agreement, with Cowen and Company, LLC, under which the Company would, at its discretion, sell its common stock with a sales value of up to a maximum of \$75.0 million through ATM offerings on the NASDAQ Stock Market (the "2015 Sales Agreement"). Cowen was the sole sales agent for any sales made under the 2015 Sales Agreement, and the Company was to pay Cowen a commission, or allow a discount, for its services in acting as agent in the sale of the Company's common stock of up to 3.0% of the gross sales price per share of all shares sold through it as agent under the 2015 Sales Agreement. The common stock would have been sold at prevailing market prices at the time of the sale of common stock, and, as a result, prices would have varied. On July 5, 2016, the Company terminated the ATM sales agreement with Cowen. No shares were sold under the 2015 Sales Agreement.

### 2015 Follow-on Public Offering

On April 8, 2015, the Company closed an underwritten public offering of shares of the Company's common stock at a price to the public of \$9.00 per share. The shares sold in the offering included 9.5 million shares of common stock plus an additional 1.43 million shares of common stock pursuant to the exercise by the underwriters of the over-allotment option the Company granted to them. Total gross proceeds to the Company in the offering (including in connection with the sale of the shares of common stock pursuant to the exercise of the over-allotment option) totaled \$98.3 million, before underwriting discounts and commissions. The offering resulted in net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$92.0 million after deduction of underwriting discounts of 6.0% and other offering expenses paid by the Company.

## RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2016

(Unaudited)

## 12. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

## 2010 Stock Incentive Plan

The Company's 2010 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, provides for stock options, restricted shares or restricted share units to be granted to its employees, independent contractors, consultants or directors. On November 25, 2014, as a key requirement of the Company's strategy of strengthening its leadership team and employee base, continuing the expansion of its commercial activities into new territories, and increasing the expansion of its product development programs, the Company's Board of Directors approved the Raptor Pharmaceutical Corp. 2014 Employment Commencement Stock Incentive Plan. The plan was approved pursuant to Rule 5635(c)(4) of the Nasdaq Global Select Market for equity grants to induce new employees to enter into employment with the Company. Up to 2,400,000 shares were authorized for issuance under this plan.

On May 19, 2015, at the Company's Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the stockholders approved amendments to the Company's 2010 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2015 Plan Amendment"). These amendments were previously approved by the Company's Board of Directors in February 2015. Among other things, the 2015 Plan Amendment increased the share reserve available for issuance under the 2010 Stock Incentive Plan by 3,456,620 shares to an aggregate of approximately 15.4 million shares plus any shares which are subject to awards under the 2014 Commencement Plan which are forfeited or lapse unexercised and which are not issued under the 2014 Commencement Plan, all of which may be used for any form of award under the 2010 Stock Incentive Plan. Following the approval of the 2015 Plan Amendment by the Company's stockholders, no new equity grants will be made under the 2014 Commencement Plan.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, the Company received approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, from the exercise of stock options. At June 30, 2016, there were 2,747,585 shares remaining available for issuance under the 2010 Stock Incentive Plan.

The Company recorded employee stock-based compensation expense as follows:

(In thousands)	Three Months		Six Months	
	Ended June 30,		Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Cost of goods sold	\$135	\$44	\$255	\$76
Research and development	611	631	1,201	1,215
General and administrative	1,943	3,636	3,892	5,874
Total Stock-Based Compensation Expense	\$2,689	\$4,311	\$5,348	\$7,165

A summary of the activity in the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan, the 2006 Equity Compensation Plan, as amended and the Company's other stock option plans, is as follows:

	For Three Months Ended June 30,2016		For Six Months Ended June 30,2016	
	Option	Weighted- average Exercise	Option	Weighted- average Exercise
	Shares	Price	Shares	Price
Beginning balance	9,573,631	\$ 7.69	8,790,474	\$ 8.49
Granted	232,392	4.90	1,669,023	3.98
Exercised	(37,664 )	2.72	(53,437 )	2.67
Canceled	(485,658 )	8.29	(1,123,359)	9.35
Outstanding Balance	9,282,701	7.61	9,282,701	7.61

Restricted Stock Units



## RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2016

(Unaudited)

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2016	
	Option Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Unvested balance - December 31, 2015	448,777	\$ 9.28
Granted	544,498	4.08
Vested	(83,583 )	10.12
Forfeited	(90,638 )	7.91
Unvested balance - June 30, 2016	819,054	5.89

As of June 30, 2016 there was \$4.4 million of unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to RSUs to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.0 years. Unvested RSUs at June 30, 2016 vest through 2020.

## Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The Employee Stock Purchase Plan (“ESPP”) allows a maximum of 1,000,000 shares of common stock to be purchased in aggregate for all employees. During the six months ended June 30, 2016, 158,971 shares had been purchased, and there remained a negligible amount of uninvested employee contributions in the ESPP. As of June 30, 2016, there were approximately 678,531 shares reserved for future issuance under the ESPP.

## 13. INCOME TAXES

We apply an estimated annual effective tax rate (“ETR”) approach for calculating a tax provision for interim periods, as required under GAAP. We recorded a provision for income taxes of \$550 thousand and \$70 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 and \$898 thousand and \$92 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Our ETR differs from the U.S. federal statutory tax rate of 34.0% primarily as a result of nondeductible expenses, state income taxes, foreign income taxes, and the impact of a valuation allowance on our deferred tax assets.

We recognize excess tax benefits associated with share-based compensation to stockholders' equity only when realized. When assessing whether excess tax benefits relating to share-based compensation have been realized, we follow the with-and-without approach, excluding any indirect effects of the excess tax deductions. Under this approach, excess tax benefits related to share-based compensation are not deemed to be realized until after the utilization of all other tax benefits available to us. We recognize the impact of a tax position in our financial statements only if that position is more likely than not of being sustained upon examination by taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. Any interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions will be reflected in income tax expense.

#### 14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

##### Contractual Obligations with UCSD Relating to the Acquisition of the DR Cysteamine (RP103) License

Pursuant to the license agreement with UCSD, the Company is obligated to pay milestone payments upon the occurrence of certain events, royalties on net sales from products developed pursuant to the license agreement and a percentage of sublicense fees or sublicense royalties, if any. The Company is obligated to fulfill predetermined milestones within a specified number of years from the effective date of the license agreement, depending on the indication. Cumulatively, the Company has expensed \$2.2 million in milestone payments to UCSD based upon the initiation of clinical trials in cystinosis, Huntington's disease and NASH and on regulatory filings in cystinosis. To the extent that the Company fails to perform any of its obligations under the license agreement, then, with certain exceptions, UCSD may terminate the license or otherwise cause the license to become non-exclusive.

RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2016

(Unaudited)

QUINSAIR Contingent Consideration Liability

The QUINSAIR purchase agreement provides for contingent payments of up to \$350.0 million associated with development, regulatory and commercial milestones, a portion of which is also payable in Raptor common stock at the Company's election, and a single digit royalty on future global net sales. The Company has single-digit royalty and contingent obligations to each of two additional parties involved in QUINSAIR development.

Leases

In January 2016, the Company entered into a four-year lease for additional office space in Brisbane. The Company took occupancy of such facilities in February 2016. The Company will record such rent on a straight-line basis.

In April 2013, the Company executed a seven-year lease for its corporate office facilities in Novato, California. The Company took occupancy of such facilities at the end of June 2013. On June 10, 2013, the Company amended the lease to add space to accommodate its research laboratory and relocated to this space in July 2014. The Company records such rent on a straight-line basis.

In October 2014, the Company executed a three-year lease for its European sales, marketing and administrative headquarters in Utrecht, Netherlands. The Company records such rent on a straight-line basis.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

You should read the following discussion in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2016, and the notes to such unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. All references to the "Company," "we," "our" and "us" include the activities of Raptor Pharmaceutical Corp., Raptor Pharmaceuticals Inc., Raptor European Products, LLC, RPTP European Holdings C.V., Raptor Pharmaceuticals Europe B.V., Raptor Pharmaceuticals France SAS and Raptor Pharmaceuticals Germany GmbH.

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including this "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" section, contains "forward-looking statements," within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. In some cases, these statements can be identified by the use of terminology such as "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "plans," "may," "might," "will," "could," "should," "would," "projects," "predicts," "intends," "continues," "estimates," "potential," "opportunity" or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. All such statements, other than statements of historical facts, including our financial condition, future results of operations, projected revenues and expenses, business strategies, operating efficiencies or synergies, competitive positions, growth opportunities for existing intellectual properties, technologies, products, plans, and objectives of management, markets for our securities, and other matters, involve substantial risks and uncertainties and constitute forward-looking statements for the purpose of the safe harbor provided by Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. Such forward-looking statements, wherever they occur, are necessarily estimates reflecting the best judgment of our senior management on the date on which they were made, or if no date is stated, as of the date of the filing made with the SEC in which such statements were made. You should not place undue reliance on these statements, which only reflect information available as of the date that they were made. We cannot give you any assurance that such forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate and such forward-looking events may not occur. Our business's actual operations, performance, development and results might differ materially from any forward-looking statement due to known and unknown risks, uncertainties, assumptions and contingencies, including those described in the section titled "Risk Factors" in Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Unless required by U.S. federal securities laws and the rules and regulations of the SEC, we do not undertake any obligation and disclaim any intention to update or release publicly any revisions to these forward-looking statements after the filing of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to reflect later events or circumstances or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events or for any other reason.

### Plan of Operation and Overview

We are a biopharmaceutical company focused on developing and commercializing transformative treatments for people affected by rare and debilitating diseases.

Our first commercial product, PROCYSBI, received marketing approval from the FDA in April 2013 for the management of nephropathic cystinosis in adults and children six years and older with seven years of market exclusivity, through 2020. More recently, PROCYSBI received orphan drug designation from the FDA for the treatment of patients ages two years to six years, through 2022. In Europe, PROCYSBI gastro-resistant hard capsules of cysteamine (as mercaptamine bitartrate), received a marketing authorization in September 2013 from the EC as an orphan medicinal product for the management of proven nephropathic cystinosis in the EU. The EU marketing authorization allows us to commercialize PROCYSBI in the 28 Member States of the EU plus Norway, Liechtenstein and Iceland (which are not EU Member States but are part of the European Economic Area, or EEA). PROCYSBI received seven and ten years of market exclusivity due to its designation as an orphan drug in the United States and the EU, respectively. We achieved first commercial sales of PROCYSBI in the United States in June 2013 and in the

EU, specifically in Germany, in April 2014.

In October 2015, we acquired various assets and rights related to levofloxacin solution for inhalation, a pharmaceutical product also known as “MP-376” and commercially as “QUINSAIR,” from Tripex Pharmaceuticals, LLC (“Tripex”). QUINSAIR received marketing authorization by the EC for treating chronic lung infection caused by the bacteria *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in adults who have cystic fibrosis in March 2015 and Health Canada in June 2015 for the management of cystic fibrosis in patients aged 18 years or older with chronic pulmonary *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections. QUINSAIR is the first inhaled fluoroquinolone approved for the management of chronic pulmonary infections due to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in patients with cystic fibrosis 18 years old and older. In April 2016, we launched QUINSAIR in Germany and Denmark, and plan to continue our European launch through 2017, and anticipate launching in Canada in the second half of 2016. We also plan to initiate a clinical study for MP-376 in bronchiectasis in 2016. QUINSAIR is not approved in the United States, and we may not market or commercialize QUINSAIR in the United States for any indication unless we receive FDA approval, which we may not be able to obtain.

### Clinical Development Programs

Our two active clinical development programs utilize RP103, which contains the same active pharmaceutical ingredient as PROCYSBI, cysteamine bitartrate. RP103 and PROCYSBI both utilize our proprietary capsule formulation containing delayed-release enteric coated microbeads of cysteamine bitartrate. Cysteamine bitartrate was approved in the United States in 1994 and the EU in 1997 as an orally available immediate-release powder in a capsule for the management of cystinosis. We have an exclusive worldwide license from the University of California, San Diego (“UCSD”), to delayed-release cysteamine bitartrate, which is the basis for our proprietary formulation of cysteamine. We currently have product candidates in clinical development designed to potentially treat Huntington’s disease (“HD”) and mitochondrial disorders, including Leigh syndrome. We announced in September 2015, based on information then available, that we would not advance our program for the treatment of pediatric non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) with RP103 after topline results from a Phase 2b trial which failed to show efficacy as measured by the trial’s primary endpoints. Unless the full data set, which we expect to receive later this year, provides a compelling rationale for us to continue the NASH program, our decision will remain unchanged.

### Preclinical Product Candidates

Our preclinical programs, for which we may seek development partners in the future, include RP105 and RP106 being developed for a variety of rare diseases.

### Future Activities

Over the remainder of the fiscal year, our efforts will be focused on increasing sales of PROCYSBI in the United States and Europe and continuing to provide comprehensive reimbursement and adherence support to commercial cystinosis patients in the United States; launching or providing access to PROCYSBI in other countries in the EEA and other select countries around the world; conducting a clinical trial to evaluate PROCYSBI in cysteamine-naïve cystinosis patients, as well as other supporting trials in underdeveloped markets; screening for undiagnosed and unidentified adult nephropathic cystinosis patients; regulatory pathways for the potential treatment of HD while exploring potential non-dilutive funding and partnership opportunities; working towards an NDA submission with FDA for QUINSAIR for cystic fibrosis; continuing our launch of QUINSAIR in additional countries in Europe in 2016 and potentially launching in Canada in the second half of 2016; preparing to pursue clinical programs in bronchiectasis; preparing for potential clinical studies of RP103 in new therapeutic indications; supporting our novel preclinical programs; seeking additional business development partners for one or more of our product candidates; and developing new preclinical, clinical and or commercial opportunities, including novel proprietary product candidates, technologies or products identified and acquired through business development activities.

### Results of Operations – Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

#### Revenue

Sales of PROCYSBI in the US commenced in June 2013 with sales in Europe commencing in April 2014. Sales of QUINSAIR commenced in April 2016. For the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, we recognized \$32.0 million and \$23.3 million, respectively, in global net product sales. For the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, we recognized global net sales of \$59.5 million and \$43.8 million, respectively. The increase in product revenue of \$15.7 million or 36% for the six months ended June 2016 compared to the same period in 2015, was primarily attributable to continued market penetration of PROCYSBI worldwide.

#### Cost of Sales

Cost of sales primarily includes: raw materials and manufacturing costs for our commercial products PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR, amortization of licensing milestone payments, royalty fees on our net product sales, other indirect costs such as distribution, labeling, shipping and supplies, and provision for inventory expiration. Costs capitalized as inventory are expensed as cost of sales as product is sold.

Cost of sales for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 were \$4.9 million and \$2.6 million, respectively. Cost of sales for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 were \$9.3 million and \$6.4 million, respectively. The increase in cost of sales was primarily attributable to an increase in direct costs and royalties on increased sales of PROCYSBI, and the first sales of QUINSAIR.

#### Research and Development

Research and development expenses include medical, clinical, regulatory, quality (excluding manufacturing quality control expenses), pharmacovigilance and research salaries and benefits; expenses associated with the manufacturing and testing of PROCYSBI inventory for our commercial launch in the United States and in Europe which were expensed prior to drug approvals;

preclinical studies; clinical trials; regulatory and clinical consultants; research supplies and materials; amortization of intangible assets and allocated human resources and facilities expenses.

Research and development expenses increased approximately 32.0% to \$15.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016 from \$11.9 million during the three months ended June 30, 2015 and approximately 4.5% to \$29.7 million from \$28.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2015. The increased expense for both the three and six month period was primarily due to increased activities associated with our product portfolio, supporting the launch of QUINSAIR in the EU and related to preparation for a potential NDA filing for QUINSAIR in a cystic fibrosis indication in the US. Detail of Research and Development Expenses

(In millions)	For Three Months Ended June 30,			For Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2016	Change from 2015, %	2015	2016	Change from 2015, %	2015
<b>RP103:</b>						
Cystinosis (pre-commercial and extension)	\$5.1	-6	% \$5.4	\$9.9	-34	% \$15.1
HD (clinical)	0.6	-25	% 0.8	1.7	-19	% 2.1
NASH (clinical)	0.1	-91	% 1.1	(0.2)	-108	% 2.6
Mitochondrial	1.2	71	% 0.7	2.2	5	% 2.1
Cystic fibrosis	3.9		—	7.3		—
Discovery	0.6	-50	% 1.2	1.2	-45	% 2.2
Other programs	0.5	67	% 0.3	0.8	100	% 0.4
R&D personnel and other costs not allocated to programs	3.7	54	% 2.4	6.8	74	% 3.9
<b>Total Research and Development Expenses</b>	<b>\$15.7</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>% \$11.9</b>	<b>\$29.7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>% \$28.4</b>
<b>Selling, General and Administrative Expenses</b>						

Selling, general and administrative expenses primarily include commercial expenses related to marketing and sales operations in the United States and EU, including marketing and pricing studies, advertising, sales force commissions and other expenses, and market access support activities; commercial launch expenses for QUINSAIR, including patient support activities such as reimbursement assistance and establishing a customer relationship management system for our PROCYSBI sales team, and salaries and benefits for our commercial operations; intellectual property, legal and audit fees, finance, executive expenses; and other administrative and facilities costs.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased approximately 17.4% to \$20.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016 from \$17.8 million in the three months ended June 30, 2015. The \$3.1 million increase was primarily attributable to an increase in employee compensation and related expenses, marketing and commercial launch expenses to support a new product launch of \$2.9 million, partly offset by a decrease in board of directors compensation expense of \$1.7 million.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased approximately 26.5% to \$41.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 from \$32.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2015. The \$8.7 million increase was primarily attributable to an increase in employee compensation related expense of \$4.1 million, an increase in consulting and professional fees of \$1.6 million, an increase in marketing and commercial launch expenses to support a new product of \$4.6 million, offset by a decrease in board of directors compensation expense of \$2.1 million.



### Interest Expense

Interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$4.3 million and \$4.8 million, respectively. Interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$9.3 million and \$9.3 million, respectively. Interest expense consisted primarily of interest on the debt principal outstanding of \$60.0 million of convertible notes and interest payable pursuant to our note with HC Royalty.

### Application of Critical Accounting Policies

Our condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles used in the U.S. Preparing financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses. These estimates and assumptions are affected by management's application of accounting policies. We believe that understanding the basis and nature of the estimates and assumptions involved with

the following aspects of our condensed consolidated financial statements is critical to an understanding of our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Many of the following critical accounting policies require us to make significant judgments and estimates in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

#### Revenue Recognition and Accounts Receivable

We recognize revenue in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC 605, Revenue Recognition, when the following criteria have been met: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; delivery has occurred and risk of loss has passed; the seller's price to the buyer is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured. We determine that persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists based on written contracts that define the terms of the arrangements. Pursuant to the contract terms, we determine when title to products and associated risk of loss has passed onto the customer. We assess whether the fee is fixed or determinable based on the payment terms associated with the transaction and whether the sales price is subject to refund or adjustment. We assess collectability based primarily on the customer's payment history and creditworthiness.

PROCYSBI is currently available for U.S. distribution from our U.S. specialty pharmacy partner, the Accredo Health Group, Inc. ("Accredo") which is currently our only U.S. customer and ships directly to patients. PROCYSBI is not available in U.S. retail pharmacies. Revenue was recognized in the United States at the point of sale to the specialty pharmacy. Our commercial launch in the EU commenced in April 2014, with the Almac Group, Ltd. ("Almac") as our distributor. Revenue is currently recognized in the EU once confirmed orders from the pharmacies have been shipped and invoiced for payment by our distributor on our behalf.

QUINSAIR is currently available for EU distribution from Almac. Revenue is currently recognized in the EU once confirmed orders from the pharmacies have been shipped and invoiced for payment by our distributor on our behalf.

The Company records revenue net of expected discounts, distributor fees, and rebates, including government rebates such as Medicare and Medicaid in the United States. Allowances are recorded as a reduction of revenue at the time product sales are recognized. Allowances for government rebates and discounts are established based on the actual payor and payor mix information, which is estimated based on historical claim levels in the United States and in Europe and the government-mandated discount rates applicable to government-funded programs. The allowances are adjusted to reflect known changes in the factors that may impact such allowances in the quarter the changes are known.

Trade accounts receivable are recorded net of product sales allowances for prompt-payment discounts and chargebacks. Estimates for chargebacks and prompt-payment discounts are based on contractual terms and our expectations regarding the utilization rates.

#### Inventories and Cost of Sales

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market price, with cost determined on a first-in, first-out basis. Inventories for both PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR are reviewed periodically to identify slow-moving inventory based on sales activity, both projected and historical, as well as product shelf-life. Prior to the approval of PROCYSBI by the FDA on April 30, 2013 and in Europe, prior to EC approval on September 6, 2013, we recorded the purchase of raw materials and the manufacturing costs relating to PROCYSBI as research and development expense. Subsequent to FDA and EC approval, we began capitalizing these costs and manufacturing overhead as commercial inventory.

Upon launching PROCYSBI in mid-June 2013 in the United States and April 2014 in the EU, we began recognizing cost of sales. Cost of sales includes the cost of inventory sold or reserved; manufacturing, manufacturing overhead and supply chain costs; product shipping and handling costs; and amortization of licensing approval milestone payments and licensing royalties payable to UCSD. QUINSAIR was approved in the EU and Canada in 2015. We began marketing QUINSAIR in Germany and Denmark in April 2016 and are continuing to launch QUINSAIR in additional countries in the EU in 2016, and anticipate its potential launch in Canada in the second half of 2016.

#### Impairment of Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of tangible and identified intangible net assets of businesses acquired. Goodwill is not amortized, but is evaluated for impairment on an annual basis or more often when impairment indicators are present. We have one reporting unit. Therefore, our consolidated net assets, including existing goodwill and other intangible assets, are considered to be the carrying value of the reporting unit. If the carrying value of the reporting unit is in excess of

its fair value, an impairment may exist, and we must perform the second step of the analysis, in which the implied fair value of the goodwill is compared to its carrying value to determine the impairment charge, if any. If the estimated fair value of the reporting unit exceeds the carrying value of the reporting unit, goodwill is not impaired and no further analysis is required. We performed our goodwill impairment test as of December 31, 2015 and noted no impairment.

QUINSAIR IPR&D and Contingent Consideration Liability are evaluated on a quarterly basis. During March 2016, we revised our clinical plan for MP-376 in BE and NTM which resulted in an impairment in IPR&D and a change in the fair value of the Contingent Consideration Liability (See Note 10).

We make judgments about the recoverability of purchased intangible assets with finite lives whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that impairment may exist. Recoverability of purchased intangible assets with finite lives is measured by comparing the carrying amount of the asset to the future undiscounted cash flows the asset is expected to generate. Impairment, if any, is measured as the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the impaired asset.

Assumptions and estimates about future values and remaining useful lives of our purchased intangible assets are complex and subjective. They can be affected by a variety of factors, including external factors such as industry and economic trends and internal factors such as changes in our business strategy and our internal forecasts.

#### Stock-Based Compensation

Determining the fair value of share-based awards at the grant date requires judgment, including estimating future stock price volatility and employee stock option exercise behavior.

We based our Black-Scholes inputs on the following factors: the expected life of six years was based upon our assessment of the ten-year term of the stock options issued, along with the fact that we have been a commercial company since June 2013 and as a result, more option holders have been exercising stock options; the risk-free interest rate was based on current constant maturity treasury bill rates for six years; the volatility was based on a combination of the actual annualized volatility of our common stock price as quoted on The NASDAQ Global Select Market since the closing of our merger with Raptor Pharmaceuticals Corp. on September 30, 2009 and of annualized volatility of peer companies; the forfeiture rate was based on our assessment of our historical employee turnover; and the dividend rate was based on our current decision to not pay dividends on our stock at our current corporate stage of development. If actual results differ significantly from these estimates, stock-based compensation expense and results of operations could be materially impacted.

#### Income Taxes

Income taxes are recorded under the liability method, under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. Based on the weight of available evidence, including cumulative losses since inception and expected future losses, we have determined that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset amount will not be realized and therefore a full valuation allowance has been provided on our net deferred tax assets. We intend to maintain the valuation allowance until sufficient positive evidence exists to support the reversal of the valuation allowance. Any decision to reverse part or all of the valuation allowance would be based on our estimate of future profitability.

We identify uncertain tax positions and record or disclose any resulting potential tax liability based upon whether the position is more likely than not sustainable upon examination. We consider proposed assessments by tax authorities,

changes in facts and circumstances, issuance of new regulations or new case law and negotiations between tax authorities of different countries concerning our transfer prices or intellectual property transfers. As of June 30, 2016, we had identified no uncertain tax positions.

We file U.S. Federal, California, various other state and other income tax returns and various foreign country income tax returns. We are currently not subject to any income tax examinations. Due to our net operating losses, all tax years generally remain open in each jurisdiction.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

##### Capital Resource

As of June 30, 2016, we had \$124.2 million in cash and cash equivalents, of which \$6.5 million is held by our foreign subsidiaries, \$43.9 million in current liabilities and \$112.9 million of net working capital. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we completed a public offering of 10.925 million shares of our common stock for net proceeds of \$92.0 million, raised \$0.3 million

net proceeds from warrant exercises and \$7.5 million net proceeds from stock option exercises and our employee stock purchase plan. We believe that our cash balance as of June 30, 2016 will be sufficient to meet our projected operational requirements and obligations into 2018.

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
	(in thousands, except financial metrics data)	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$124,239	\$ 157,352
Restricted cash	\$1,367	\$ 1,055
Accounts receivable, net	\$15,727	\$ 13,267
Total current assets	\$156,786	\$ 181,399
Total current liabilities	\$43,923	\$ 39,455
Working capital surplus (a)	\$112,863	\$ 141,944
Days sales outstanding ("DSO") (b)	45	60
Current ratio (c)	3.6	4.7

(a) Total current assets at period end minus total current liabilities at period end.

(b) Net accounts receivable at period end divided by revenue, net for the second quarter multiplied by 91 days.

(c) Total current assets at period end divided by total current liabilities at period end.

#### Net Cash Used In Operating Activities

Cash used in operating activities was \$27.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 as compared to \$21.2 million for the same period in 2015. The activity for 2016 was primarily attributable to a net loss of \$55.6 million adjusted for non-cash items consisting of impairment of intangible assets of \$39.6 million, stock-based compensation expense of \$5.3 million and depreciation and amortization of \$1.6 million offset by a decrease in the fair value of contingent consideration of \$14.7 million and \$4.1 million of net cash outflow related to changes in operating assets and liabilities.

#### Net Cash Used In Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$0.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 consisting of \$0.6 million for the purchase of property and equipment and an increase in restricted cash of \$ 0.3 million.

#### Net Cash Used in Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities was \$5.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 which consisted of \$6.0 million of principal payments on debt offset by \$0.7 million of proceeds from the issuance of stock options and shares under the our employee stock purchase plan. This is compared to \$94.8 million in cash provided by financing activities for the same period in 2015, primarily due to a \$98.3 million financing in 2015 and \$5.4 million of proceeds from the exercise of stock options and issuance of shares under our employee stock purchase plan.

#### Future Funding Requirements

We will need to raise additional capital either through the sale of equity or debt to fund our operations and to, among other activities, continue to commercialize PROCYSBI, to commercialize QUINSAIR and to develop RP103 and

MP-376 for the potential treatment of other indications. Our future capital requirements may be substantial, and will depend on many factors, including:

- The continuing sales of PROCYSBI in the United States, Europe and other international markets;
- The success of the launch of QUINSAIR in additional countries in Europe and potentially in Canada;
- The ongoing costs of establishing and maintaining sales and marketing capabilities in the United States, Europe and other international markets for PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR;
- Our ability to negotiate reimbursement and pricing of PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR in various countries outside of the United States;
- The cost of our manufacturing-related activities in support of PROCYSBI, QUINSAIR, MP-376 and RP103;
- The cost of activities and outcomes related to the regulatory submission of cysteamine bitartrate delayed-release capsules in Canada;

29

---

- The cost of additional clinical trials in order to obtain regulatory approvals for PROCYSBI in Canada and for MP-376 in the United States, and for additional indications outside the United States;
- The timing and cost of our ongoing clinical programs for RP103, including: evaluating PROCYSBI in treatment-naïve cystinosis patients, and other supportive studies; evaluating RP103 as a potential treatment for Leigh syndrome and other mitochondrial disorders;
- The cost of regulatory submissions, as well as the preparation, initiation and execution of clinical trials of MP-376 in BE;
- The cost of filing, continuing surveillance, prosecuting, defending and enforcing existing or new patent claims; and
- The outcome of our efforts to strategically partner RP103 for HD and the future efforts to strategically partner or out-license one or more of our other candidates.

There can be no assurance that we will be successful in raising sufficient funds when needed. Additional financing may not be available in amounts or on terms satisfactory to us or at all.

### Commitments and Contingencies

We maintain several contracts with drug labelers and distributors, research organizations, contract manufacturers, clinical organizations and clinical sites, primarily to assist with clinical research, clinical and commercial manufacturing of PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR and clinical manufacturing for our HD clinical collaborations and our clinical study of RP103 in Leigh syndrome and other mitochondrial disorders. Our contractual obligations have not materially changed during the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to those discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, filed with the SEC on February 26, 2016 as amended by Amendment No. 1 to our Annual Report on form 10-K, filed with the SEC on April 29, 2016.

### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any outstanding derivative financial instruments, off-balance sheet guarantees, interest rate swap transactions or foreign currency contracts. We do not engage in trading activities involving non-exchange traded contracts.

## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our market risks during the six months ended June 30, 2016 have not materially changed from those discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, filed with the SEC on February 26, 2016, as amended by Amendment No. 1 to our Annual Report on form 10-K, filed with the SEC on April 29, 2016, and our quarterly report of Form 10-Q filed on May 5, 2016.

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal



## Edgar Filing: Raptor Pharmaceutical Corp - Form 10-Q

executive officer and our principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, evaluated, as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on their evaluation at the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level .

### Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

### Limitations of the Effectiveness of Internal Controls

30

---

A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the internal control system are met. Because of inherent limitations in any control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues, if any, within a company have been detected. We are continuously seeking to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of our operations and of our internal controls.

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

We are not subject to any material legal proceedings.

### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. Before deciding whether to invest in our common stock, you should consider carefully the risk factors described below, together with the other information contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and other documents we file with the SEC and any public announcements we make from time to time. If any of these risks actually occur, it may materially harm our business, financial condition, operating results or cash flow. As a result, the market price of our common stock could decline, and you could lose all or part of your investment. Additional risks and uncertainties that are not yet identified or that we think are immaterial may also materially harm our business, operating results and financial condition and could result in a complete loss of your investment.

#### Risks Associated with Commercialization and Product Development

Our revenues currently depend on the success of our first commercial drug product, PROCYSBI, for the management of nephropathic cystinosis and our recently launched product, QUINSAIR, for the treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis.

To date, our net revenue and operating results have substantially depended on PROCYSBI's commercial success. We have marketing authorization to commercialize PROCYSBI for the management of nephropathic cystinosis in adults and children two years and older in the United States and for the treatment of proven nephropathic cystinosis in the European Economic Area ("EEA"). We have marketing authorization to commercialize QUINSAIR for the treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in adult cystic fibrosis patients in Canada and Europe and commenced sales of QUINSAIR in Germany and Denmark in April 2016. We are currently marketing QUINSAIR in several European states. We have no assurance of securing reimbursement or subsequently launching PROCYSBI or QUINSAIR in additional countries in the EEA, nor do we have any assurance of securing reimbursement for QUINSAIR in Canada at levels adequate to ensure successful commercialization in that market. QUINSAIR is not approved for marketing for any indication in the United States. We believe that our results of operations and, in particular, our net product sales will affect the trading price of our common stock substantially. If our product sales do not meet market expectations, our stock price may significantly decrease.

Our ability to successfully commercialize our current and any other future drug products will depend on multiple factors, including:

- our ability to provide acceptable evidence of the safety and efficacy of our products;
- compliance with regulatory requirements, including fulfilling post-approval commitments;
- our ability to obtain approval by regulatory agencies in other countries, including appropriate product labeling;
- the effect of current and future healthcare laws;
- the manufacture and supply of adequate quantities of our products in compliance with current good manufacturing practices as needed to meet commercial demand;
- the ongoing availability of a sufficient supply of base units, nebulizers and hand-held devices used for the administration of QUINSAIR and which are obtained from a single source supplier;
- adequate coverage and reimbursement for our products from commercial health plans and government health programs, which we refer to collectively as "third-party payors";

- our ability to obtain acceptable prices in EEA countries and other select territories, including acceptable reimbursement at the territory-specific price;
- limitations or warnings currently contained in or as may later be required in approved labeling and the breadth of product labeling or product insert requirements;
- our ability to identify previously undiagnosed nephropathic cystinosis patients;

32

---

- our ability to enter into agreements with wholesalers, distributors and pharmacies on commercially reasonable terms; and
- the protection, development and maintenance of intellectual property and other commercial product protection for our products.

If we fail to grow sales of PROCYSBI, to successfully continue to launch and increase sales of QUINSAIR or to successfully develop and commercialize future products within a reasonable time period, we will have significantly reduced financial resources and will be unable to fully execute our business plans, and our results of operations and financial condition will be materially adversely affected.

Our ability to generate significant product sales from our products is dependent upon market acceptance among physicians, patients, patient families, third-party payors and the healthcare community.

Our current and any future drug products may not attain or maintain market acceptance among physicians, patients, patient families, third-party payors or the healthcare community. We believe that the degree of market acceptance and our ability to generate significant product sales of our current and any future drug products will depend on a number of factors, including:

- the efficacy, safety, availability and ease of administration of our products relative to alternative treatments and to current and evolving standards of care in the healthcare community;
- the price of our products, both in absolute terms and relative to the quality of therapeutic benefits and price of alternative treatments;
- the timing of market introductions of our products and product lines relative to competitive treatments;
- the effectiveness of the response of competitors to our marketing strategies and programs;
- the nature of publicity related to our products relative to the publicity related to our competitors' products;
- the prevalence and severity of adverse side effects of our current and any future products relative to competitive products;
- good patient compliance to therapy;
- availability of coverage and adequate reimbursement from third-party payors;
- provision of affordable out-of-pocket costs to patients and/or other programs to ensure patient access to our products; and
- our identification of currently diagnosed and undiagnosed patients and the continued growth of the cystinosis and cystic fibrosis markets and the markets for any other future products.

Our efforts to educate patients, physicians, parents, the medical community and third-party payors on the benefits of our products may require significant resources and may not be successful at the levels planned. If our products do not achieve and maintain significant market acceptance among physicians, patients, patient families, third-party payors and the healthcare community, our business, results of operations and financial condition will be materially adversely affected.

The amount of our product sales in the EEA is dependent in part upon the pricing and reimbursement decisions adopted in each of the EEA countries and with respect to QUINSAIR sales in Canada, upon pricing and reimbursement decisions of the responsible provincial authority and pan-Canadian Pharmaceutical Alliance, which may not be at acceptable levels to us.

One or more EEA countries may not support pricing within our target pricing and reimbursement range for our products due to budgetary decisions made by regional, national and local health authorities and third-party payors in the EEA, which would negatively affect our revenues. The pricing and reimbursement process in EEA countries can be lengthy, involved and difficult to predict. Failure to timely complete the pricing and reimbursement process in the EEA countries will delay our ability to market PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR and to derive revenues from those countries. Similarly, our ability to successfully launch and commercialize QUINSAIR in Canada will be dependent in

part upon our ability to timely complete the pricing and reimbursement process with provincial authorities. Pricing and reimbursement determinations in territories with national or regionally publicly funded health care systems, including EEA countries and Canada, may be downwardly affected by austerity measures implemented by governmental authorities in response to ongoing global economic disruption. See also the risk factor titled "Macroeconomic conditions could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition".

We may be subject to penalties and litigation and large incremental expenses if we fail to comply with regulatory requirements or experience problems with our products.

Even after we achieve regulatory approvals, we are subject to ongoing obligations and continued regulatory review with respect to many aspects of our operations, including our manufacturing processes, labeling, packaging, distribution, storage, adverse event monitoring and reporting, dispensation, advertising, promotion and recordkeeping. These obligations include submissions of safety and other post-marketing information and reports, ongoing maintenance of product registration and continued compliance with good manufacturing practices, or GMPs, good clinical practices, or GCPs, good pharmacovigilance practice, or GVPs, good distribution practices, or GDPs, and good laboratory practices, or GLPs. For example, in the first quarter of 2016, we completed the implementation of corrective and preventive actions related to our pharmacovigilance system to address findings issued in August 2015 following a routine inspection from a European regulatory authority in June 2015 and our own internal reviews of our internal processes.

If we, our products or product candidates, or the third-party manufacturing facilities for our products or product candidates fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements, a regulatory agency may:

- impose injunctions or restrictions on the marketing, manufacturing or distribution of a product, suspend or withdraw product approvals, revoke necessary licenses or suspend product reimbursement;
- issue warning letters, show cause notices or untitled letters describing alleged violations, which may be publicly available;
- suspend any ongoing clinical trials or delay or prevent the initiation of clinical trials;
- delay or refuse to approve pending applications or supplements to approved applications we have filed;
- refuse to permit drugs or precursor or intermediary chemicals to be imported or exported to or from the United States;
- suspend or impose restrictions or additional requirements on operations, including costly new manufacturing quality or pharmacovigilance requirements;
- seize or detain products or require us to initiate a product recall; and/or
- commence criminal investigations and prosecutions.

Moreover, existing regulatory approvals and any future regulatory approvals that we obtain will be subject to limitations on the approved indicated uses and patient populations for which our products may be marketed, the conditions of approval, requirements for potentially costly, post-market testing and requirements for surveillance to monitor the safety and efficacy of the products. In the EEA, the advertising and promotion of pharmaceuticals is strictly regulated. The direct-to-consumer promotion of prescription pharmaceuticals is not permitted, and some countries in the EEA require the notification and/or prior authorization of promotional or advertising materials directed at healthcare professionals. The FDA, European Medicines Agency (“EMA”), EC and other authorities in the EEA countries strictly regulate the promotional claims that may be made about prescription products, and our product labeling, advertising and promotion are subject to continuing regulatory review. Physicians nevertheless may prescribe our products to their patients in a manner that is inconsistent with the approved label or that is off-label. In Canada, the labeling, advertising and promotion of prescription drugs is also strictly regulated and is subject to continuing review. Direct-to-consumer advertising of prescription drugs is permitted, but no representation can be made other than the brand name, the proper name, the common name and the price and quantity of the drug. Health Canada strongly recommends that advertisers seek approval by specified advertising preclearance agencies. Positive clinical trial results in any of our product development programs increase the risk that approved pharmaceutical forms of the same active pharmaceutical ingredients may be used off-label in those indications. Our investigational product candidate RP103 is comprised of the same active pharmaceutical ingredient (“API”) as PROCYSBI, and MP-376 is comprised of the same API as QUINSAIR. If we are found to have improperly promoted off-label uses of approved products, we may be subject to significant sanctions, civil and criminal fines and injunctions prohibiting us from engaging in specified promotional conduct.

In addition, engaging in improper promotion of our products for off-label uses in the United States can subject us to false claims litigation under federal and state statutes. These false claims statutes in the United States include the federal False Claims Act, which allows any individual to bring a lawsuit against a pharmaceutical company on behalf of the federal government alleging submission of false or fraudulent claims or causing to present such false or fraudulent claims for payment by a federal program such as Medicare or Medicaid. Growth in false claims litigation has increased the risk that a pharmaceutical company will have to defend a false claim action, pay civil money penalties, settlement fines or restitution, agree to comply with burdensome reporting and compliance obligations and be excluded from Medicare, Medicaid and other federal and state healthcare programs.

The regulations, policies or guidance of regulatory agencies may change and new or additional statutes or government regulations may be enacted that could prevent or delay regulatory approval of our product candidates or further restrict or regulate



post-approval activities. For example, the Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act (“FDASIA”), requires the FDA to issue new guidance describing its policy regarding internet and social media promotion of regulated medical products, and the FDA may soon specify new restrictions on this type of promotion. In January 2014, the FDA released draft guidance on how drug companies can fulfill their regulatory requirements for post-marketing submission of interactive promotional media, and though the guidance provided insight into how the FDA views a company’s responsibility for certain types of social media promotion, there remains a substantial amount of uncertainty. We cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of adverse government regulation that may arise from pending or future legislation or administrative action, either in the United States or abroad. If we are unable to achieve and maintain regulatory compliance, we will not be permitted to market our drugs, which would materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If we are unable to obtain regulatory approvals necessary to expand the use of RP103 or MP-376 for additional therapeutic indications or geographic territories, or are unable to obtain regulatory approval for other product candidates, we may delay or terminate some of our product development activities. This would adversely affect the long-term value of RP103, MP-376 or other product candidates as well as our growth prospects.

The research, testing, manufacturing, clinical development, labeling, approval, sale, marketing and distribution of drug products are subject to extensive regulation by the FDA and other regulatory authorities in the United States and by similar foreign governmental regulatory entities. We are not permitted to market any of our drug product candidates unless we obtain and maintain appropriate marketing approvals from regulatory agencies in each of the markets in which we intend to market our products. Once approved, we may only market our products for the specific uses that are reflected in the product’s approved labeling. A product’s approved labeling may contain limitations or warnings or may be for different patient populations or for fewer or more limited indications than we request in our pre-market approval application, which could result in limiting reimbursement, access for intended use or the commercial profile of a drug. In the United States, we are permitted to market the API of RP103 in the formulation of a final drug product and in the doses approved under the brand name PROCYSBI only for the management of nephropathic cystinosis in adults and children two years and older. We are permitted to market PROCYSBI in the EEA as an orphan medicinal product for the treatment of proven nephropathic cystinosis. MP-376 has been approved for marketing in Canada and the European Union (“EU”) under the specific indication as a medicinal product for the management of chronic pulmonary infections due to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in adults 18 years and older with cystic fibrosis. Neither RP103 nor MP-376 has been approved in any other market or for any other disease indication. There can be no assurance that we will obtain regulatory approval for any other uses for our product candidates or that, even if we obtained additional approvals, we would be able to commercialize the product candidates successfully.

A new drug application (“NDA”), submitted to the FDA, a marketing authorization application (“MAA”), submitted to the EMA, or a New Drug Submission (“NDS”), submitted to Health Canada, must be supported by extensive clinical and preclinical data, as well as extensive information regarding chemistry, manufacturing and controls. This information must demonstrate the safety and efficacy of the applicable product candidate for the management of each individual indication to the satisfaction of the applicable regulatory authority. Obtaining approval of an NDA, MAA, NDS or any other filing for marketing authorization in a foreign country for a drug product candidate is an extensive, expensive and uncertain process. The time required to obtain approval by the FDA and comparable foreign authorities is unpredictable but typically takes many years following the commencement of clinical trials and depends upon numerous factors, including the substantial discretion of the regulatory authorities. The FDA, EC, EMA, Health Canada or other regulatory authorities may delay, limit or deny approval of RP103, MP-376 or any future drug product candidates for many reasons, including:

- the results of clinical trials may not meet the level of statistical or clinical significance required by regulatory authorities for approval;
-

regulatory authorities may disagree with the number, design, size, conduct or implementation of our clinical trials, and they may change the requirements for approval even after having reviewed and commented on the design for our clinical trials;

- regulatory authorities may not find the data from preclinical studies and clinical trials sufficient to demonstrate that product candidates have adequate clinical and other benefits or adequate safety profiles, even if they achieve their specified endpoints in clinical trials; or they may disagree with our interpretation of data from preclinical studies or clinical trials and/or require that we conduct additional trials;
- regulatory authorities may not accept data generated at our clinical trial sites;
- if requested by us, regulatory authorities may not hold an advisory committee meeting in a timely manner or at all, or, if an advisory committee is convened it may recommend against approval of our application or may recommend that the regulatory agency require, as a condition of approval, additional preclinical studies or clinical trials; approval may also be contingent on a Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy, which limits the labeling, distribution or promotion of a drug product;

35

---

- regulatory authorities may require additional preclinical or clinical studies or other data prior to granting approval, and we may not be able to generate the required data on a timely basis, if at all;
- regulatory authorities may identify deficiencies in the manufacturing processes or in the facilities of our third-party suppliers and/or contract manufacturers or may require us to manufacture additional validation batches or change our submitted regulatory documents, process, specifications or third-party suppliers or contract manufacturers; and
- we may not be able to validate manufacturing processes to the satisfaction of the regulatory authorities.

On July 12, 2016 we received a notice of deficiency ("NOD") from Health Canada dated July 11, 2016 relating to the NDS we submitted for PROCYSBI in January 2016. The NOD states that information provided in the NDS is insufficient for Health Canada to complete its review. Health Canada's review of our NDS will re-commence upon its acceptance of our response to its notice. If we do not respond within 90 days or do not provide a satisfactory response to Health Canada, PROCYSBI's priority review status would be revoked and the NDS would be reviewed on a standard timeline. If Health Canada accepts our response to the NOD as complete, its decision as to whether to grant marketing approval for PROCYSBI for the treatment of nephropathic cystinosis will be delayed from the date we initially expected to receive it when Health Canada accepted our NDS with priority review.

With respect to QUINSAIR, the FDA has indicated in previous written communications from the 2013 pre-NDA meeting with the drug's previous sponsor that it believes the data submitted in connection with EMA's subsequent approval of MP-376 for the management of chronic pulmonary infections due to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in adults with cystic fibrosis does not provide substantial evidence of efficacy and safety to support FDA approval of MP-376 for treatment of patients with cystic fibrosis. The FDA identified a number of limitations with the design of the Phase 3 trial (MPEX-209) upon which approval of QUINSAIR in the EU and Canada was based that, in the FDA's view, impacts its ability to be used as a pivotal efficacy study. The FDA also previously indicated that the pivotal Phase 3 study (MPEX-207) missed its primary endpoint and questioned whether patients in the study achieved any overall benefit. It is possible that additional studies or analyses may be required prior to our submission of an NDA for approval of MP-376 for treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in adults with cystic fibrosis. In the second quarter of 2016, we met with FDA to discuss our planned NDA. The FDA had several questions related to the MPEX-209 study, and they asked us to submit information prior to our submission of an NDA. We submitted data in response to the FDA's request, and we have requested a follow-up teleconference to discuss the FDA's assessment of that information. Until we have that teleconference, and potentially additional discussions, we cannot be certain whether additional studies or analyses may be required prior to our submission of an NDA for approval of MP-376 for the treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in adults with cystic fibrosis.

If the FDA recommends that we provide further additional data or conduct additional studies in support of an NDA, our submission may be delayed, and we may incur higher costs associated with the filing than we had originally anticipated. Further, even if we are able to provide all data that may be requested by the FDA, there can be no assurance that our NDA will be approved.

If we fail to gain regulatory approval for RP103 or MP-376 for other indications, in additional geographic jurisdictions, or for our other future drug product candidates, we will have to delay or terminate some or all of our research product development programs, and our business, results of operations and financial condition will be materially adversely affected.

We do not have internal manufacturing capabilities. In the near term, we expect to continue to rely on a single source supplier for our API for PROCYSBI and a single third-party manufacturer for the conversion to finished commercial drug product. Similarly, we utilize single source suppliers for the QUINSAIR API, drug product and delivery device.

We also rely on single-source third parties for the distribution and pharmaceutical services of PROCYSBI in the United States and for QUINSAIR and PROCYSBI in Europe. If we are unable to rely on these third parties, our revenue will be delayed or diminished and our business, results of operations and financial condition will be materially adversely affected.

We do not own or operate manufacturing facilities and currently lack the in-house capability to manufacture our current products or product candidates. As a result, we currently contract with external contract manufacturing organizations (“CMOs”) for commercial and clinical quantities of our products for the indications under development. We rely on a single source supplier for our cysteamine API. While we have additional manufacturing support with a second provider for supply of PROCYSBI, if needed, we plan to continue to rely on a single third-party manufacturer. We also rely on single source suppliers for the MP-376 API and drug product and the base units, nebulizers and hand-held devices used for the administration of QUINSAIR. Our ability to obtain sufficient quantities of PROCYSBI and RP103 is constrained by limited supplies of raw materials and the limited capacity and output of these third parties. Furthermore, any reduction, delay or interruption in our supply of APIs from the single source supplier or of our

supply of finished goods from our CMOs could result in significant additional operating costs, interruptions in product supply, delays in sales of PROCYSBI, delays in the staged commercial launch of QUINSAIR, and delays in developing RP103 and MP-376 for additional indications. In addition, supply arrangements from alternative sources not currently under contract may not be available on acceptable economic terms, if at all.

The manufacture of pharmaceutical products requires significant expertise and capital investment, including the development of advanced manufacturing techniques and process controls. Pharmaceutical products have stringent specifications for product quality including stability that must be maintained within product specifications. Manufacturers of pharmaceutical products often encounter difficulties in production, particularly in scaling up initial production volume to commercial requirements as more batches are produced and usually at greatly increased scale per batch. Assessing process capability takes time after launch of a pharmaceutical product as process experience grows with manufacturing experience and products are periodically evaluated for improvements or specification revisions. Moreover, cysteamine bitartrate is difficult to manufacture because the molecule is labile and can be sensitive to process and stability conditions. Difficulties may arise related to internal processes, production costs and yields, quality control, including stability of the product and quality control testing, sourcing scarcities, resource constraints, equipment problems, shortages of qualified personnel, labor disputes, severe weather events, unstable political environments or financial difficulties at foreign facilities, as well as compliance with strictly enforced federal, state and foreign regulations. Manufacturers may breach their agreements with us or may commence litigation against us or may terminate or decline to renew their agreements with us, whether due to our breach of the relevant agreements or based on their own business priorities. In addition, due to our small patient population, the manufacture of our drug may be given lower priority on the production line if manufacturing priority is decided by scale. As a result of these issues, contract manufacturers may decide that the business risk associated with products such as ours is not justified.

Manufacturers and suppliers are subject to regulatory requirements covering, among other things, manufacturing, process controls, testing, quality control and record keeping and are subject to ongoing inspections by regulatory agencies. We have no direct control over the ability of our contract manufacturing parties to maintain adequate quality control, quality assurance and qualified personnel, and while final outputs are reviewed by our own internal quality control, we depend on our third-party suppliers and manufacturers for compliance with the FDA's current cGMP requirements and other FDA requirements, the Drug Enforcement Administration's regulations and other rules and regulations prescribed by applicable non-U.S. regulatory authorities. If our contract manufacturing partners cannot successfully manufacture material that conforms to our specifications and the strict regulatory requirements of the FDA or other regulatory authorities, they will not be able to supply manufactured product to us and they will not be able to secure and/or maintain regulatory approval for their manufacturing facilities, and we may experience long delays and interruptions to our manufacturing supply and increased costs.

Pursuant to ongoing obligations from the NDA for PROCYSBI, we are required to collect and submit data to the FDA regularly regarding our currently observed clinical and commercial product profile and overall product safety assessment. Similarly, pursuant to obligations in the MAA for QUINSAIR, we are required to conduct post-marketing clinical studies in cystic fibrosis patients and submit data to the EMA regularly regarding observed clinical product profile and safety assessment. In addition, we intend to continue to evaluate our product specification limits, and any changes to our product specifications may require additional review and approval by regulators in the United States and Europe. If there are material delays in any such review and approval process, or if regulators reject any proposals for changes in product specifications or require additional data to support the updated specifications, we may experience an inventory shortfall, which would have a material adverse effect on sales of our products.

If we or our third-party suppliers and manufacturers fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements, we could experience significant delays or interruptions to our manufacturing supply that may result in the delay or suspension of our preclinical or clinical trials. In addition, a regulatory agency could issue warning letters or untitled letters, seek

an injunction, impose civil or criminal penalties or monetary fines, suspend or withdraw regulatory approval, require specification changes, suspend any ongoing clinical trials, refuse to approve pending applications or supplements to applications, suspend or impose restrictions on operations, including costly new manufacturing requirements, seize or detain products or request or require that we initiate a product recall.

We also rely on a third-party logistics provider, wholesaler/distributor and specialty pharmacy to distribute PROCYSBI to patients in the United States and to pharmacies in the EEA and to collect from insurance companies and government agencies in the United States and from pharmacies in the EEA. In the United States, all of these services have now been consolidated to be provided by subsidiaries of a single parent company. We are reliant on a similar network of third-party services providers to distribute and dispense QUINSAIR in Europe and plan to distribute QUINSAIR using the same third-party service provider model in Canada. Our ability to collect from a particular logistics provider is not only subject to such provider's credit worthiness but is also dependent, in part, on its ability to arrange for full reimbursement from third-party payors. The outsourcing of our distribution function is complex, and we may experience difficulties that could reduce, delay or stop shipments of our products. If we encounter such distribution problems, and we are unable to quickly enter into a similar agreement with another specialty distributor on substantially similar terms, if at all, the distribution of our products could become disrupted, resulting in reduced revenues, healthcare provider dissatisfaction and/or patient dissatisfaction, which may materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If any of these events were to occur, our reputation would be harmed, revenues from sales of our products would be delayed or diminished and our business, results of operations and financial condition would be materially adversely affected.

If serious adverse side effects become associated with our current or future products, our business, results of operations and financial condition will be materially adversely affected.

The prescribing information for both PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR include several warnings relating to observed adverse reactions of the active pharmaceutical ingredient usage. The FDA may require products approved under Section 505(b)(2) of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (the “FDCA”) to bear the same or similar warning statements as the reference product used in the approval. We expect to update adverse reactions listed in the prescribing information for our products based on continued commercial use and additional clinical trials. If additional adverse reactions emerge, or if there is a pattern of severe or persistent previously observed side effects in the relevant patient populations, the FDA, the EMA, Health Canada or other regulatory agencies could modify or revoke our marketing approvals, require us to modify our labels or require us to suspend production or require a product recall, or we may choose to withdraw a product from the market.

Regulatory authorities could also require us to change the way our products are administered or modify a product in some other way, or they could require us to conduct additional clinical trials or costly post-marketing testing and surveillance to monitor the safety or efficacy of our products. If this were to occur, we may be unable to maintain marketing approvals in our approved indications and/or obtain marketing approval in other indications. In addition, patients or their representatives may bring claims against us alleging serious adverse side effects or harm suffered as a result of use of our products. Any such side effects or related claims could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. See also the risk factor titled “We may be subject to product liability claims.”

If we experience long delays or fail to demonstrate safety or efficacy in our preclinical studies or clinical trials or fail to keep to the terms of a product development program, our future business prospects for our drug product candidates will be materially adversely affected.

Clinical trials are very expensive, time consuming and difficult to design and implement. The outcome of clinical trials is uncertain, and results of earlier studies and trials may not be predictive of future trial results. Delays in the commencement or completion of clinical or preclinical testing for RP103 or MP-376 or any of our other product candidates could significantly affect our product development costs and business plan.

Preclinical studies involve testing in multiple non-human disease models to demonstrate efficacy and safety. Regulatory agencies evaluate these data carefully as part of their determination whether to authorize clinical testing in humans. If certain preclinical data reveal potential safety issues or if the results are inconsistent with an expectation of the drug product candidate’s efficacy in humans, the regulatory agencies may require additional testing before allowing human clinical trials. This additional testing will increase program expenses and extend timelines. There are many potential preclinical models to test for different disease states, and we could fail to choose the best or a predictive preclinical model to determine proof of concept, safety and efficacy of our drug product candidates. We may decide to suspend further testing on our drug product candidates or technologies if, in the judgment of our management and advisors, the preclinical test results do not support further development. Following successful preclinical testing, drug product candidates must be tested in a clinical development program to provide data on safety and efficacy in humans prior to becoming eligible for product approval and licensure by regulatory agencies. Success in preclinical testing and early clinical trials does not ensure that later clinical trials will be successful. Product candidates in later stages of clinical trials may fail to show the desired safety and efficacy traits despite having progressed through preclinical studies and initial clinical trials.

Clinical trials may also be delayed or repeated as a result of ambiguous or negative interim results or unforeseen complications in testing. A number of companies in the biopharmaceutical industry have suffered significant setbacks in advanced clinical trials due to lack of efficacy or adverse safety profiles, notwithstanding promising results in earlier trials. The clinical trial process may fail to demonstrate with statistical significance that our drug product candidates are safe for humans and effective for indicated uses. This failure may cause us to abandon a drug product candidate and may delay development of other drug product candidates. For example, we announced in September 2015, based on information then available, that we would not advance our program for the treatment of pediatric non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) with RP103 after topline results from a Phase 2b trial which failed to show efficacy as measured by the trial's primary endpoints. Unless the full data set, which we expect to receive later this year, provides a compelling rationale for us to continue the NASH program, our decision will remain unchanged. Any delay in, or termination of, our preclinical testing or clinical trials will delay the filing of relevant marketing applications with regulatory agencies and, ultimately, our ability to commercialize our drug product candidates and generate revenues from related products.

The rate of completion of any clinical trials will be dependent upon, among other factors, the rate of patient enrollment. Patient enrollment is a function of many factors, including the size of the patient population, the nature of the trial, the nature of the disease or



medical condition being studied, the availability of alternative therapies, drugs and competing clinical trials of potential alternative therapeutics, the proximity of patients to clinical sites and the eligibility criteria for the study. Delays in planned patient enrollment may result in increased costs and delays. In addition, because many of our clinical trials involve small patient populations, the results of these early clinical trials may not be indicative of future results. Further, the timing of regulatory approval of clinical trial applications by local regulatory agencies or ethics committees may also affect the initiation of trial sites and therefore the rate of patient enrollment.

Under the Prescription Drug User Fee Act, the FDA seeks to respond to NDAs within 10 months of the filing date, but this timeframe may be shortened or extended. For example, a sponsor may seek FDA designation of a drug candidate as a “fast track product.” Fast track products are those products intended for the treatment of a serious or life-threatening disease or condition and which demonstrate the potential to address unmet medical needs for such disease or condition. If fast track designation is obtained, the FDA may initiate review of sections of an NDA before the application is complete. This “rolling review” is available if the applicant provides and the FDA approves a schedule for the remaining information. In addition, FDASIA established a new category of drugs referred to as “breakthrough therapies,” which are defined as drugs intended, alone or in combination with one or more other drugs, to treat a serious or life-threatening disease or condition where preliminary clinical evidence indicates that the drug may demonstrate substantial improvement over existing therapies on one or more clinically significant endpoints, such as substantial treatment effects observed early in clinical development. Similarly, the FDA may designate a drug candidate eligible for priority review, in which case the agency seeks to respond to the NDA within six months. However, in each of these cases in which the FDA endeavors to provide an expedited review process for potential products that may address unmet needs, the timeline may be extended if the FDA determines during the course of its review that it requires additional data to be submitted by the sponsor. In the future, we may request breakthrough designation, fast track designation or priority review from the FDA for our other drug product candidates, but there can be no assurance that we will obtain such designations. Moreover, even if we obtain breakthrough designation, priority review or fast track designation from the FDA, the designations do not guarantee that the FDA will approve our NDA, that the development program or review timeline will ultimately be shorter than if we had not obtained the designations or that the FDA will not request additional information, including additional clinical studies, during its review.

We do not know whether our investigational new drug, or IND, applications for future products or the protocols for any future clinical trials will be accepted by the FDA. We do not know if our clinical trials will begin or be completed on schedule or at all. Even if completed, we do not know if these trials will produce clinically meaningful results sufficient to support an application for marketing approval. The commencement and completion of our planned clinical trials could be substantially delayed or prevented by several factors, including:

- a limited number of, and competition for, suitable patients with particular types of disease for enrollment in clinical trials;
- delays or failures in obtaining regulatory clearance to commence a clinical trial;
- delays or failures in obtaining sufficient clinical materials;
- inability to design appropriate clinical trial protocols;
- delays or failures in reaching agreement on acceptable clinical trial agreement terms or clinical trial protocols with the Institutional Review Boards at prospective sites;
- inability of our clinical research organizations (“CROs”), or other third-party contractors to comply with all contractual requirements or to perform their services in a timely or acceptable manner;
- inability by us, our CROs or their employees to conduct the clinical trial in accordance with all applicable FDA, Drug Enforcement Administration or other regulatory requirements or our clinical protocols;
- lack of efficacy during, or other unfavorable results from, clinical trials or preclinical studies;
- discovery of serious or unexpected toxicities or side effects experienced by study participants or other unforeseen safety issues;
-

failure of patients to complete the clinical trial, or inability or unwillingness of patients or medical investigators to follow our clinical trial protocols;

- inability to monitor patients adequately during or after treatment;
- the need to conduct trials in geographies in which enrollment of trial participants will not require moving patients from our commercial product to trial drug;
- regulatory action by the FDA or other regulatory authorities; and/or
- lack of adequate funding to continue the clinical trial, including the incurrence of any unforeseen costs.

39

---

In addition, changes in applicable regulatory requirements and guidance may occur, and we may need to amend clinical trial protocols to reflect these changes. Amendments may require us to resubmit our clinical trial protocols to Institutional Review Boards for reexamination, which may affect the costs, timing or successful completion of a clinical trial.

Sales of our products outside of the United States are also subject to foreign regulatory requirements governing clinical trials, manufacturing and marketing approvals. Even if the FDA grants marketing approval for a product candidate, comparable regulatory authorities of foreign countries must also approve the manufacturing and marketing of our product candidates in those countries. Approval procedures vary among jurisdictions and can involve requirements and administrative review periods different from, and greater than, those in the United States, including additional preclinical studies or clinical trials or manufacturing and control requirements. In many countries outside the United States, a product candidate must be approved for reimbursement before it can be approved for sale in that country. In many cases, the price that we propose to charge for our products is also subject to approval by individual countries before we can launch our product candidates in those countries. Obtaining foreign regulatory approvals, complying with foreign regulatory requirements and gaining approved pricing and reimbursement could result in significant delays, difficulties and costs for us and could delay or prevent the introduction of our products in certain countries. For example, we recently received an NOD with respect to the NDS for PROCYSBI that we submitted to Health Canada in January 2016 which we expect will delay a ruling by Health Canada on the NDS. See the risk factor titled "If we are unable to obtain regulatory approvals necessary to expand the use of RP103 or MP-376 for additional therapeutic indications or geographic territories, or are unable to obtain regulatory approval for other product candidates, we may delay or terminate some of our product development activities. This would adversely affect the long term value of RP103, MP-376 or other product candidates as well as our growth prospects." Further, clinical trials conducted in one country may not be accepted by regulatory authorities in other countries. Regulatory approval in one country does not ensure approval in any other country, while a failure or delay in obtaining regulatory approval in one country may have a negative effect on the regulatory approval process in others.

Any delay in our preclinical or clinical programs or the failure to demonstrate safety or efficacy in our clinical trials would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If we fail to obtain or maintain orphan drug or other regulatory exclusivity for our products or to obtain and maintain exclusivity for our orphan drug product candidates relative to competitive products, our competitors may sell products to treat the same conditions, possibly at lower prices, and our revenues will be significantly reduced.

PROCYSBI received marketing approval from the FDA for the management of nephropathic cystinosis in adults and children two years and older and seven years of market exclusivity as an orphan drug in the United States through the year 2020 for the treatment of patients six years and older and separately received orphan designation with market exclusivity through the year 2022 for patients ages two to six years. PROCYSBI has also received approval as an orphan medicinal product for the management of proven nephropathic cystinosis and 10 years of market exclusivity in the EEA. QUINSAIR received marketing approval from the EMA in 2015 and has also received 10 years of market exclusivity for management of chronic pulmonary infections due to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in adults with cystic fibrosis. In the United States, although we do not have marketing approval for MP-376, the FDA has designated QUINSAIR as an orphan drug for treatment of pulmonary infections due to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and other bacteria in patients with cystic fibrosis. As part of our business strategy, we intend to develop RP103 and MP-376, and potentially other drugs, for additional therapeutic indications that may be eligible for FDA and EMA orphan drug designation and for data exclusivity in Canada.

Under the Orphan Drug Act, the FDA may designate a product as an orphan drug if it is a drug intended to treat a rare disease or condition, defined as a patient population of less than 200,000 in the United States, or a patient population greater than 200,000 in the United States where there is no reasonable expectation that the cost of developing the drug

will be recovered from sales in the United States. In the United States, orphan drug designation entitles a party to financial incentives such as opportunities for grant funding towards clinical trial costs, tax advantages and user-fee waivers. The company that first obtains FDA approval for a designated orphan drug for a given rare disease receives marketing exclusivity for use of that drug for the stated condition for a period of seven years, plus an additional six months if designated for a pediatric indication.

In the EU, the EMA's Committee for Orphan Medicinal Products grants orphan drug designation to promote the development of products that are intended for the diagnosis, prevention or treatment of life-threatening or chronically debilitating conditions affecting not more than five in 10,000 persons in the EU and for which no satisfactory method of diagnosis, prevention or treatment has been authorized (or if the product would be a significant benefit to those affected). In addition, designation is granted for products intended for the diagnosis, prevention or treatment of a life-threatening, seriously debilitating or serious and chronic condition as well as when it is unlikely that sales of the drug in the EU would be sufficient to justify the necessary investment in developing the medicinal product without incentives. An EU orphan drug designation entitles a party to financial incentives such as reduced fees or fee waivers, and 10 years of market exclusivity is granted following medicinal product approval. This period may be reduced to six years if the orphan drug designation criteria are no longer met after five years, including where it is shown that the product is sufficiently

profitable not to justify maintenance of market exclusivity. An applicant must request orphan drug designation before submitting an application for marketing approval. Orphan drug designation does not convey any advantage in, or shorten the duration of, the regulatory review and approval process. Even though we have been granted orphan drug designation in the United States and in the EU prior to the approval of RP103 for the treatment of Huntington's Disease ("HD"), and even if we obtain orphan drug designation for our future drug product candidates, we may not fulfill the criteria for exclusivity, or we may not be the first to obtain marketing approval for any orphan indication. Further, even if we obtain orphan drug exclusivity for a particular product, that exclusivity may not effectively protect the product from competition because different drugs can be approved for the same condition. Even after an orphan drug is approved, the FDA can subsequently approve a drug for the same condition if the FDA concludes that the later drug is safer, more effective or makes a major contribution to patient care. Orphan drug exclusive marketing rights may also be lost if the FDA later determines that the request for designation was materially defective, if a subsequent product is deemed clinically superior or if the manufacturer is unable to deliver sufficient quantities of the drug. Canada does not award exclusivity on the basis of orphan drug status. However, in Canada, innovative drugs approved for an indication that contain a medicinal ingredient not previously approved by Health Canada that are not variations of a previously approved drug are eligible for eight years of data and marketing exclusivity. An additional six-month extension is available if the NDS, or a supplement filed within the first five years of the beginning of the data exclusivity term, contains results that would provide a benefit to pediatric populations. We applied for data exclusivity for PROCYSBI when we submitted our NDS to Health Canada and will be notified as to whether such exclusivity has been granted when Health Canada determines whether to approve PROCYSBI. There can be no assurance that Health Canada will grant data and marketing exclusivity for PROCYSBI, even if it approves PROCYSBI for marketing.

Because the extent and scope of patent protection for some of our drug products may be particularly limited, orphan drug designation is especially important for those products and we plan to rely on the orphan or other regulatory exclusivity period to maintain a competitive position. However, if we do not obtain and/or maintain orphan drug exclusivity for our drug products, or if our drug products do not have strong patent protection, our competitors may sell the same drug to treat the same condition, possibly at lower prices, and our revenues will be reduced. Also, without strong patent protection, competitors may sell a generic version of our products upon the expiration of orphan exclusivity if our patent position is not upheld or physicians may prescribe a generic version of our product off-label when our orphan status or marketing exclusivity has expired with respect to one indication, but not others.

If our competitors succeed in developing products and technologies that are more effective, safer or more favorable for patient use than our own, or if scientific developments change our understanding of the potential scope and utility of our drug product candidates, then our technologies and future drug product candidates may be rendered less competitive.

We face significant competition from industry participants that are pursuing technologies similar to those that we are pursuing and are developing pharmaceutical products that are competitive with our products or our product candidates. Many of the pharmaceutical companies in areas competitive with us have greater capital resources, larger overall research and development staff and facilities and a longer history in drug discovery, development, regulatory approval, manufacturing and marketing than we do. With these additional resources and experience, our competitors may be able to respond to rapid and significant technological changes in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries faster than we can.

We expect that the technologies associated with biotechnology research and development will continue to develop rapidly. Our future success will depend in large part on our ability to maintain a competitive position with respect to these technologies. Rapid technological development, as well as new scientific developments, may result in our compounds, drug products, drug product candidates or processes becoming obsolete before we can recover any or all of the expenses incurred to develop them. For example, changes in our understanding of the appropriate population of patients who should be treated with a targeted therapy like those we are developing may limit the drug's market

potential if it is subsequently demonstrated that only certain subsets of patients should be treated with the targeted therapy.

No clinical data have been generated for the use of MP-376 to treat non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis (“BE”) or non-tuberculous mycobacteria infection (“NTM”).

We plan to pursue a Phase 2 clinical trial of MP-376 for use in the indication of BE not associated with cystic fibrosis in 2016 and also plan to prepare to support its further clinical development in the treatment of pulmonary NTM, based on third-party data generated pertaining to the susceptibility of certain pathogens to treatment with levofloxacin and other fluoroquinolone molecules. No clinical data have been generated with MP-376 in patients with BE or with NTM infections, either by us or by other parties. This creates substantial uncertainty as the efficacy of MP-376 in these indications. Successful completion of well-controlled clinical trials of adequate size and design is a prerequisite to submitting an NDA to the FDA and, consequently, the ultimate approval and commercial marketing of MP-376 or any other potential product candidate in these indications. A failure of one or more clinical trials can occur at any stage of testing. The outcome of preclinical testing and early clinical trials may not be predictive of the success of later preclinical testing or clinical trials, and interim results of a clinical trial do not necessarily predict final results. Moreover, preclinical and clinical data are often susceptible to varying interpretations and analyses, and many companies that have believed their

product candidates performed satisfactorily in preclinical studies and clinical trials have nonetheless failed to obtain marketing approval for their products from regulatory authorities.

The approval of any product or product candidate in any given market does not ensure approval in any other market.

In order to market any product candidate for a specific indication, we must establish and comply with numerous regulatory requirements on a country-by-country basis regarding safety and efficacy. Clinical trials conducted in one country may not be accepted by regulatory authorities in other countries, and regulatory approval in one country does not guarantee regulatory approval in any other country. Approval processes vary among countries and can involve additional product testing and validation, and additional administrative review periods. As a result, international regulatory requirements could delay or prevent the introduction of our products and product candidates across different countries. For example, approval of QUINSAIR for use in cystic fibrosis patients with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in the EU and Canada does not ensure approval by the FDA or regulatory authorities in other countries or jurisdictions, nor does it ensure approval for the same conditions of use; nor does approval of PROCYSBI for use in nephropathic cystinosis patients in the United States and the EEA ensure its approval in Canada. Further, seeking U.S. regulatory approval for QUINSAIR for a specific indication could result in difficulties and costs for us and require additional preclinical studies or clinical trials which could be costly and time consuming. If we fail to comply with regulatory requirements in international markets or to obtain and maintain required approvals, or if regulatory approvals in international markets are delayed, our target market will be reduced and our ability to realize the full market potential of our products and product candidates will be unrealized. See also the risk factor titled "If we are unable to obtain regulatory approvals necessary to expand the use of RP103 or MP-376 for additional clinical indications or geographic territories, or are unable to obtain regulatory approval for other product candidates, we may delay or terminate some of our product development activities. This would adversely affect the long-term value of RP103, MP-376 or other product candidates as well as our growth prospects."

We have contractual obligations to Tripex to conduct certain regulatory and development activities with respect to QUINSAIR. Delays or other factors that prevent us from completing these regulatory and development activities may put us in breach of our obligations to Tripex.

The terms of our asset purchase agreement for the acquisition of QUINSAIR require us to pursue commercially reasonable efforts to initiate, and subsequently to complete, an additional clinical trial in a non-cystic-fibrosis patient population within a specified period of time. These terms also require us to progress toward filing an NDA for approval of QUINSAIR in the United States in all or part of the cystic fibrosis patient population within a specified period of time. These obligations are subject to certain exceptions due to, for example, manufacturing delays not under our control, or delays caused by the FDA. If we fail to properly exercise such efforts to initiate and complete an appropriate clinical trial, or fail to file an NDA for U.S. approval in the cystic fibrosis patient population, during the time periods specified in the asset purchase agreement, we may be subject to various claims by Tripex and parties affiliated with Tripex.

Because the target patient populations for our products and some of our drug product candidates are relatively small, we must achieve significant market share and obtain sufficient per-patient prices for our products to achieve meaningful gross and operating profits.

PROCYSBI, QUINSAIR and clinical development of RP103 and MP-376 target rare diseases with small patient populations, including cystinosis, cystic fibrosis, mitochondrial disorders including Leigh Syndrome, NTM, BE and HD. To successfully commercialize a drug product for these indications, we must identify patients and a targeted prescriber base for each drug product. Due to small patient populations, we believe that we need to have significant market penetration to achieve meaningful revenues. In addition, the per-patient prices at which we sell our current products for these indications will need to be relatively high in order for us to generate meaningful gross and net

operating profits because we must recoup our investment in our product development programs, which programs often require ongoing investment after a product's approval. Patients who discontinue therapy or do not fill prescriptions are not easily replaced by new patients because of the limited patient populations. There can be no assurance that we will successfully obtain or maintain sufficient market share or per-patient prices. Because our current potential target populations are very small, even if we obtain significant market share for our current or future products and product candidates, we may never achieve profitability despite obtaining such significant market share.

If we fail to comply with our reporting and payment obligations under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program or other governmental pricing programs in the United States, we could be subject to additional reimbursement requirements, penalties, sanctions and fines, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We participate in the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program, as administered by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services ("CMS"), and other federal and state government pricing programs in the United States, and we may participate in additional government pricing programs in the future. These programs generally require us to pay rebates or otherwise provide discounts to



government payors in connection with drugs, including PROCYSBI, that are dispensed to beneficiaries of these programs. In some cases, such as with the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program, the rebates are based on pricing that we report on a monthly and quarterly basis to the government agencies that administer the programs. Pricing and rebate calculations are complex, vary among products and programs, and are often subject to interpretation by governmental or regulatory agencies and the courts. For example, on February 1, 2016, CMS published a final rule that revised certain requirements involved in the calculation of prices we report in connection with our participation in government reimbursement programs. The extent to which this rule may alter our reported prices and estimated rebates and chargebacks under government programs remains unclear. The terms, scope and complexity of these government pricing programs change frequently. Responding to current and future changes may increase our costs and the complexity of compliance will be time consuming. Invoicing for rebates is provided in arrears, is reliant on states submitting invoices based on utilization, and we must utilize estimates to accurately accrue for such rebates. There is frequently a time lag of up to several months between the sales to which rebate notices relate and our receipt of those notices, which complicates our ability to accurately estimate and accrue for rebates related to the Medicaid program as implemented by individual states. States may also be able to submit invoices for 100% of the allowable rebate amount based on units dispensed, regardless of their financial contribution to reimbursing each claim. A state may thus invoice us for the full rebate amount in situations where that state is the secondary, or back-up, reimbursing entity. It is therefore difficult, and not always possible, to correlate individual past sales to Medicaid rebate invoices. As a result of these factors, actual results may differ from our estimated allowances for rebates. Thus, there can be no assurance that we will be able to identify all factors that may cause our discount and rebate payment obligations to vary from period to period, and our actual results may differ significantly from our estimated allowances for discounts and rebates. We will adjust our estimates and accruals as necessary to reflect our historical claim levels by state, in accordance with management's judgment. Changes in estimates and assumptions may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

In addition, the Office of Inspector General has recently increased its focus on the methodologies used by manufacturers to calculate average manufacturer price (“AMP”), and best price (“BP”), to assess manufacturer compliance with reporting requirements under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program. We are liable for errors associated with our submission of pricing data and for any overcharging of government payors. For example, failure to submit monthly/quarterly AMP and BP data on a timely basis could result in a civil monetary penalty of \$10,000 per day for each day the submission is late beyond the due date. Failure to make necessary disclosures and/or to identify overpayments could result in allegations against us under the Federal False Claims Act and other laws and regulations.

Any required refunds to the U.S. government or responding to a government investigation or enforcement action would be expensive and time consuming and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. In addition, in the event that the CMS were to terminate our rebate agreement, no federal payments would be available under Medicaid or Medicare for our covered outpatient drugs.

Pricing and reimbursement policy changes from third-party payor coverage may impair our customers' ability to be reimbursed for our products and product candidates at adequate prices or on adequate terms, which may in turn materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Market acceptance and sales of our products will depend in large part on third-party payor coverage and reimbursement policies and may be affected by future healthcare reform measures in the United States, the EEA and other key international markets. The continuing efforts of governmental and other third-party payors to contain, reduce or shift the costs of healthcare through various means, including expansion of compulsory drug rebate programs, an increased emphasis on managed care and attempts to limit or regulate the price of medicinal products and services, particularly for new and innovative products and therapies, is likely to result in downward pressure on pricing, reimbursement and utilization, which would adversely affect our product sales and results of operations. Moreover, because private health insurers and other third-party payors often follow the coverage and reimbursement

policies of government payors, including the Medicare and Medicaid programs, cost-containment measures or pricing or reimbursement policy changes under these programs play a particularly significant role in the reimbursement landscape. The government programs relevant to our products include, without limitation, the following:

- the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program, under which manufacturers must report pricing information and pay rebates in order for their drug products to be covered under state Medicaid programs;
- the Public Health Service's 340B Drug Pricing Program, under which manufacturers must offer discounts to certain healthcare organizations that care for underserved populations;
- the Department of Veterans Affairs' Federal Supply Schedule pricing program, under which manufacturers agree to offer drugs to certain governmental providers at reduced rates;

43

---

- the TRICARE Retail Pharmacy Program, under which manufacturers must agree to honor certain discounted prices, specifically Federal Ceiling Prices under the Veterans Health Care Act, as a condition for placement in the Department of Defense uniform formulary; and
- the Medicare Part D program, under which manufacturers contract with plan sponsors to offer certain outpatient drugs to Medicare beneficiaries.

In addition, in the United States, third-party payors often develop cost containment measures using policies that specifically target specialty products and high-cost drugs. For example, formulary placements may be less favorable for brand and higher-costing drugs, which may result in, among other things, greater out-of-pocket costs to patients. PROCYSBI often is subject to such measures, and similar future policies addressing such cost-containment measures may also affect PROCYSBI.

Further, third-party payors also are increasingly considering new metrics as the basis for reimbursement rates, such as average sales price, AMP or actual acquisition cost, and for cost-benefit analyses with comparable drugs. Although the changes to reimbursement methodologies are generally intended to limit payment increases, it is difficult to project the impact of these and other alternative reimbursement methodologies on the willingness of payors to reimburse for our products and any product candidates that we may develop. To date, PROCYSBI generally has been covered and reimbursed commercially in the United States and the select countries in which we have sold PROCYSBI worldwide, but we do not know whether third-party payors will continue to cover and reimburse PROCYSBI in these markets or at the level PROCYSBI is currently covered, will reimburse PROCYSBI in other EEA countries or will reimburse our future products until we enter into payor negotiations. Further, we have recently filed for marketing approval for PROCYSBI in Canada. Even if such approval is obtained, we must negotiate pricing and reimbursement and cannot be assured of obtaining levels that are acceptable to us. If coverage and reimbursement are not available or limited, or reimbursement is available only at limited levels, our business, results of operations and financial condition will be materially adversely affected. In addition, we have only recently launched sales of QUINSAIR in certain European states and anticipate launching QUINSAIR in Canada and are in ongoing negotiations with respect to pricing and reimbursement in Canada and additional countries in Europe. There can be no guarantee that we will achieve our target pricing for QUINSAIR. See also the risk factor titled “The amount of our product sales in the EEA is dependent in part upon the pricing and reimbursement decisions adopted in each of the EEA countries, and with respect to QUINSAIR sales in Canada, upon pricing and reimbursement decisions of the responsible provincial authority and pan-Canadian Pharmaceutical Alliance, which may not be at acceptable levels to us.”

Legislative changes may increase the difficulty and cost for us to commercialize our products or any other product candidate that we develop and affect the prices we may obtain.

In the United States, there have been a number of legislative and regulatory changes and proposed changes regarding the healthcare system that restrict or regulate post-approval activities. These changes, and subsequently enacted changes may affect our ability to sell PROCYSBI or any other product candidate for which we obtain marketing approval at adequate prices.

In 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, which we refer to together as the “Affordable Care Act,” was adopted. This law intends to broaden access to health insurance, reduce or constrain the growth of healthcare spending, enhance remedies against fraud and abuse, add new transparency requirements for healthcare and health insurance industries, impose new taxes and fees on the health industry and impose additional health policy reforms. The Affordable Care Act, among other things:

- increased the minimum Medicaid rebates owed by manufacturers under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program;
- revised the definition of AMP for reporting purposes, which could further increase the amount of rebates paid by manufacturers under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program;
- extended the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program to beneficiaries enrolled in Medicaid managed care organizations;

- addressed a new methodology by which rebates owed by manufacturers under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program are calculated for drugs that are inhaled, infused, instilled, implanted or injected and for oral solid line extensions and reformulated drugs, which, depending on how the provision is interpreted and implemented, could increase our Medicaid rebate rate substantially;
- imposed a significant annual fee on companies that manufacture or import branded prescription drug products and established an annual non-deductible fee on entities that sell branded prescription drugs or biologics to specified government programs in the United States;

- expanded the 340B drug discount program (excluding orphan drugs), including the creation of new penalties for non-compliance; and
- included a 50% point-of-sale discount off negotiated prices on applicable brand-name drugs for Medicare Part D participants in the coverage gap, or “donut hole,” as a condition for the manufacturer’s outpatient drugs to be covered under Medicare Part D.

Other legislative and regulatory changes have also been proposed and adopted in the United States since the enactment of the Affordable Care Act. On August 2, 2011, the Budget Control Act of 2011 created measures for spending reductions by Congress. A Joint Select Committee on Deficit Reduction, tasked with recommending a targeted deficit reduction of at least \$1.2 trillion for the years 2013 through 2021, was unable to reach required goals, thereby triggering the legislation’s automatic reduction to several government programs. These automatic reductions included aggregate reductions of Medicare payments to providers of 2%. These reductions went into effect on April 1, 2013 and, due to subsequent legislative amendments to the statute, will remain in effect through 2025 unless additional Congressional action is taken.

In addition, legislative and regulatory proposals have been made to expand post-approval requirements and restrict sales and promotional activities for pharmaceutical products. Increased scrutiny by the U.S. Congress of the FDA’s approval process may subject us to more stringent product labeling and post-marketing testing and other requirements, and delays in feedback from the FDA may affect our ability to update or adjust our label in a timely manner in the interest of patient adherence and tolerability. We cannot predict whether other legislative changes will be adopted or how such changes would affect the pharmaceutical industry generally and the commercialization of PROCYSBI, QUINSAIR or any future product candidate specifically. Further, we cannot predict how the outcome of the upcoming change in Federal executive administration will affect FDA policy and process, or specifically affect our product development and commercialization efforts.

We are subject, directly and indirectly, to federal and state healthcare fraud and abuse laws, false claims laws, physician payment transparency laws, corrupt practices and bribery laws and health information privacy and security laws. Failure to comply with these laws may subject us to substantial penalties.

We do not and will not control referrals of healthcare services or bill directly to Medicare, Medicaid or other third-party payors. However, federal and state healthcare laws and regulations pertaining to fraud and abuse, physician payment transparency, privacy and security laws and regulations may apply to us depending on programs we operate and have been asserted by the government and others to apply to companies like us, and our arrangements with healthcare providers, customers and other entities, including our marketing practices, educational programs and pricing policies. These laws include:

- the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which prohibits, among other things, persons or entities from knowingly and willfully soliciting, receiving, offering or paying remuneration, directly or indirectly, in exchange for or to induce either the referral of an individual for, or the purchase, order or recommendation of, any good or service for which payment may be made under federal healthcare programs, such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs. The Affordable Care Act amended the federal Anti-Kickback Statute to provide that a person or entity does not need to have actual knowledge of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute or specific intent to violate it to have committed a violation. In addition, the government may assert that a claim including items or services resulting from a violation of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the False Claims Act;
- federal false claims laws, including, without limitation, the False Claims Act, which prohibit, among other things, individuals or entities from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, claims for payment from Medicare, Medicaid or other federal third-party payors that are false or fraudulent, such as engaging in improper promotion of products or submitting inaccurate price reports to the Medicaid Drug Rebate program;
- the federal Civil Monetary Penalties law, which prohibits, among other things, offering or transferring remuneration to a federal healthcare beneficiary that a person knows or should know is likely to influence the beneficiary’s

decision to order or receive items or services reimbursable by the government from a particular provider or supplier;

- federal criminal laws that prohibit executing a scheme to defraud any federal healthcare benefit program or making false statements relating to healthcare matters; Similar to the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, a person or entity does not need to have actual knowledge of the statute or specific intent to violate it to have committed a violation;
- the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act, which governs the conduct of certain electronic healthcare transactions and protects the security and privacy of protected health information held by certain covered entities and their business associates;

45

---

- the federal Physician Payment Sunshine Act, which requires manufacturers of drugs, devices, biologics and medical supplies for which payment is available under Medicare, Medicaid or the Children’s Health Insurance Program (with certain exceptions) to report annually to CMS information related to payments or other “transfers of value” made to physicians (defined to include doctors, dentists, optometrists, podiatrists and chiropractors) and teaching hospitals, and requires applicable manufacturers and group purchasing organizations to report annually to CMS ownership and investment interests held by the physicians described above and their immediate family members and payments or other “transfers of value” to such physician owners (manufacturers are required to submit reports to CMS by the 90th day of each calendar year);
- in the EEA, in various member states including France, the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, Italy and Spain, rules adopted by the legislature or self-regulatory industry bodies requiring the notification and/or publication of certain transfers of value from pharmaceutical companies to healthcare professionals (for example, France has recently adopted legislation (Law No. 2011-2012, or the “French Sunshine Act,” and Decree no. 2013-414 which implements it) requiring pharmaceutical companies to disclose and publish agreements with or transfers of value to healthcare professionals);
- anti-bribery and anti-corruption laws, such as the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (the “FCPA”), which prohibits corporations and individuals from corruptly paying, offering or promising to pay, or authorizing the payment of anything of value, directly or indirectly, to any foreign government official, political party or party official, or political candidate in an attempt to improperly influence a person working in an official capacity or secure an improper advantage, and which also requires companies to keep accurate books and records and maintain an adequate system of internal accounting controls; the UK Bribery Act, which prohibits both domestic and international bribery, as well as bribery across both public and private sectors; bribery provisions contained in the German Criminal Code, which, pursuant to draft legislation being prepared by the German government, may make the corruption and corruptibility of physicians in private practice and other healthcare professionals a criminal offense; and
- analogous state and foreign law equivalents of each of the above federal laws, such as anti-kickback and false claims laws which may apply to items or services reimbursed by any third-party payor, including commercial insurers; state laws that require pharmaceutical companies to comply with the industry’s voluntary compliance guidelines and the applicable compliance guidance promulgated by the federal government or otherwise restrict payments that may be made to healthcare providers and other potential referral sources; state laws that require drug manufacturers to report information related to payments and other transfers of value to physicians and other healthcare providers or marketing expenditures; and state laws governing the privacy and security of health information in certain circumstances, many of which differ from each other in significant ways and may not have the same effect, thus complicating compliance efforts.

The risk of our being found in violation of these laws is increased by the fact that many of them have not been fully interpreted by the regulatory authorities or the courts, and their provisions are open to a variety of interpretations. In addition, as we expand our development and commercialization activities outside of the United States, we will need to establish and expand business relationships with various third parties, such as independent contractors, distributors, vendors, consultant, advocacy groups and physicians, and we will interact more frequently with foreign officials, including regulatory authorities and physicians employed by state-run healthcare institutions who may be deemed to be foreign officials under the FCPA, the UK Bribery Act or similar laws of other countries that may govern our activities. Any interactions with any such parties or individuals where compensation or anything of value is provided that are found to be in violation of such laws could result in significant civil and criminal penalties.

Because of the breadth of these laws and the narrowness of the statutory exceptions and safe harbors available under such laws, it is possible that some of our business activities, including our relationships with physicians and other healthcare providers, some of whom recommend, purchase and/or prescribe our products, and the manner in which we promote our products, could be subject to challenge under one or more of such laws. We are also exposed to the risk that our employees, independent contractors, principal investigators, consultants, vendors, distributors and CROs may engage in fraudulent or other illegal activity. While we have policies and procedures in place prohibiting such activity,

misconduct by these parties could include, among other infractions or violations, intentional, reckless and/or negligent conduct or unauthorized activity that violates FDA requirements, including those laws that require the reporting of true, complete and accurate information to the FDA, manufacturing standards, federal and state healthcare fraud and abuse laws and regulations, laws that require the true, complete and accurate reporting of financial information or data or other commercial or regulatory laws or requirements. It is not always possible to identify and deter misconduct by our employees and other third parties, and the precautions we take to detect and prevent this activity may not be effective in controlling unknown or unmanaged risks or losses or in protecting us from governmental investigations or other actions or lawsuits stemming from a failure to be in compliance with such laws or regulations. If our operations are found to violate any of the laws described above or any other laws and regulations that apply to us, we may be subject to penalties, including civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines, disgorgement, the curtailment or restructuring of our operations, the exclusion from participation in federal and state healthcare programs and imprisonment of officers involved, any of which could adversely affect our ability to market our current and any future products, once approved, and materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. Any action against



us for violation of these laws, even if we successfully defend against it, could cause us to incur significant legal expenses and divert our management's attention from the operation of our business. See also the risk factor titled "If we fail to comply with our reporting and payment obligations under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program or other governmental pricing programs in the United States, we could be subject to additional reimbursement requirements, penalties, sanctions and fines, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition."

Our reliance on third parties may result in delays in completing, or a failure to complete, preclinical testing, clinical trials or regulatory marketing submissions.

In the course of product development, we engage and collaborate with a variety of external organizations to perform services essential to drug product development. The organizations which perform services may include, but are not limited to: governmental agencies and university laboratories; other biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies; CMOs; CROs; distribution and supply (logistics) service organizations; contract testing organizations; consultants or consulting organizations with specialized knowledge based expertise; and intellectual property law firms.

As a result of our engagement of these types of organizations to help us with our product development programs, many important aspects of our business are and will be out of our direct control. Nevertheless, we are responsible for ensuring that each of our product development programs complies with applicable regulatory requirements, and our reliance on these organizations does not relieve us of our regulatory responsibilities. If any such organizations we engage in the future fail to perform their obligations under our agreements with them or fail to perform in a satisfactory manner in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements, we may face delays in completing our development and commercialization processes for any of our drug product candidates and could be required to repeat testing or clinical trials, which would delay the regulatory approval process. Furthermore, any loss or delay in obtaining contracts with such entities may also delay the completion of our clinical trials, regulatory filings and the potential market approval of our drug product candidates.

Specifically, we have and will continue to rely on third parties, such as CROs and/or co-operative groups, to assist us in overseeing and monitoring clinical trials as well as to process the clinical results. Any failure of such third parties to perform or to meet the applicable standards will result in delays in or failures to complete trials. A failure by such third parties to observe the terms of a product development program for any particular product candidate or to complete the clinical trials for a product candidate in the anticipated time frame could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

In addition, our dependence on collaborative arrangements with third parties subjects us to a number of risks that could harm our ability to develop and commercialize products:

- collaborative arrangements might not be available on terms which are reasonably favorable to us, or at all;
- disagreements with partners may result in delays in the development and marketing of products, termination of collaboration agreements or time consuming and expensive legal action;
- agreement terms may be difficult or costly to enforce;
- partners may not allocate sufficient funds or resources to the development, promotion or marketing of our product candidates, or may not perform their obligations as expected;
- partners may choose to develop, independently or with other companies, alternative products or treatments, including products or treatments which compete with ours;
- agreements with partners may expire or be terminated without renewal, or partners may breach agreements with us;
  - business combinations or significant changes in a partner's business strategy or financial resources might adversely affect that partner's willingness or ability to fulfill its obligations to us; and

· the terms and conditions of the relevant agreements may no longer be suitable.

We cannot guarantee that we will be able to negotiate acceptable future collaboration agreements or that those currently in existence will make it possible for us to fulfill our objectives.

We depend on the support of key scientific and medical collaborators.

We must establish and maintain relationships with key opinion leaders, leading scientists and research institutions. We believe that such relationships are critical to research and development and developing products that may become standard of care for their approved indications. Although we have various medical and scientific advisors and research collaborations, there is no assurance that

our advisors and our research collaborators will continue to work with us or that we will be able to attract additional advisors or collaborators. If we are not able to maintain existing or establish new clinical and scientific relationships to assist in our research and development and commercialization efforts, we may not be able to establish our products as the standard of care or successfully develop our drug product candidates.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal controls, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results and prevent fraud.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the “Sarbanes-Oxley Act”) requires, among other things, that we maintain effective internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures. In particular, we must perform system and process evaluation and testing of our internal control over financial reporting to allow management to report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. During its evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, management identified a material weakness related to our inventory costing and overhead allocations for our commercial product PROCYSBI and determined that our review of our inventory costing and overhead allocations were not performed at a sufficiently detailed level to detect errors in our inventory and related accounts. With the oversight of management and our Audit Committee, we addressed the root causes of the material weakness identified in 2014. Based on the results of management’s internal controls assessment during 2015, it considers the material weakness to have been remediated (in the fourth quarter of 2015). There can be no assurance that additional material weaknesses or other control or significant deficiencies will not be identified in the future. If we fail to maintain improved controls, such circumstances could cause us to fail to meet our periodic reporting obligations or result in material misstatements in our financial statements or adversely affect the results of periodic management evaluations and annual auditor attestation reports. We could be required to restate our financial results. Each of the foregoing results could cause stockholders to lose confidence in our reported financial information and lead to a decline in our stock price and to stockholder litigation.

We may be subject to product liability claims.

The nature of our business exposes us to potential liability risks inherent in the testing (including through human trials), manufacturing and marketing of drugs. Our products and our drug product candidates could potentially harm people, and we may be subject to costly and damaging product liability claims regardless of actual harm. Many of the participants in our clinical trials, cystinosis patients and cystic fibrosis patients are already critically ill or suffering from chronic debilitating diseases. The waivers we obtain from participants in clinical trials may not be enforceable and may not protect us from liability or the costs of product liability litigation.

The active ingredient in QUINSAIR, levofloxacin, is currently subject to several pending product liability claims. We may have to defend against liability claims related to QUINSAIR or any other of our products in the future. Although we currently carry product liability insurance, it may not be sufficient to cover all or a significant amount of any claims. We may be unable to maintain product liability insurance in the future at satisfactory rates or in adequate amounts. Regardless of the merits or eventual outcome, product liability claims may result in decreased demand for our product candidates, injury to our reputation, withdrawal of clinical trial participants and inability to continue clinical trials, costs to defend the related litigation, diversion of management’s time, substantial monetary awards to trial participants, or patients, regulatory investigations, product recalls or withdrawals, labeling, marketing or promotional restrictions and loss of revenue, any of which may materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our success depends on our ability to manage our projected growth.

Our business strategy, including continued commercial sales of PROCYSBI in the United States and certain countries in Europe, the launch of QUINSAIR in Canada and Europe, expansion of our commercial operations into other markets, the continuation of our clinical-stage programs and the in-license and acquisition of additional clinical-stage product candidates, will require us to retain existing and add required new qualified and experienced personnel in multiple functional areas over the next several years.

Our management may need to divert a substantial disproportionate amount of its attention away from our critical other activities to devote the necessary amount of time to managing these growth activities. We may not be able to manage the expansion of our operations effectively, which may result in weaknesses in our infrastructure, operational mistakes, loss of business opportunities, loss of employees and reduced productivity among remaining employees. Our expected growth could require significant capital expenditures, which we may not be able to fund or otherwise finance on reasonable terms or at all and may divert financial resources from other projects, including additional product candidates.

In connection with the commercial launch of PROCYSBI in new territories in Europe and the recent launch of QUINSAIR in Europe, we expect to continue to expand our operations and add personnel in Europe. In addition, we anticipate launching QUINSAIR

in Canada and anticipate that PROCYSBI may be approved for marketing in Canada in 2017; thus, we expect to expand operations in that country as well. We may encounter difficulties successfully managing remotely a substantially larger and internationally diverse organization and may encounter delays in commercialization if we are not successful in integrating our international operations. Challenges related to managing international operations may arise from staffing and managing foreign operations, reduced or varied protection for intellectual property rights in some countries, potential strain on our financial and managerial controls and reporting systems and procedures, diverse individual country regulatory and statutory laws, the costs of maintaining an international presence, in-country legal entities and related tax structures, fluctuations in currency exchanges and political and economic instability, including wars, terrorism and political unrest, boycotts, curtailment of trade and other business restrictions.

If our management is unable to effectively manage our growth, our expenses may increase more than expected, our ability to generate revenue could be reduced and we will not be able to fully implement our business strategy.

Our dependence on key executives and scientists could impact the development and management of our business.

We are highly dependent upon our ability to attract and retain qualified scientific, technical and managerial personnel. There is intense competition for qualified personnel in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries, and we cannot be sure that we will be able to continue to attract and retain the qualified personnel necessary, particularly as business prospects change, for the development and management of our business. Although we do not believe the loss of one individual would materially harm our business, our business might be harmed by the loss of the services of multiple existing personnel, as well as the failure to recruit additional key scientific, technical and managerial personnel in a timely manner. Much of the know-how we have developed resides in our scientific and technical personnel and is not readily transferable to other personnel.

Credit risks from customers outside the United States may materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Sales of our products to government supported customers outside of the United States are likely to be subject to significant payment delays due to government funding and reimbursement practices, which will result in an increase in the length of time that we may have accounts receivable outstanding. In addition, many governments in Europe are facing significant liquidity shortages or crises which may be exacerbated by the recent vote by the United Kingdom to withdraw from the EU. If government reimbursement for sales of our products in EEA countries is delayed or becomes unavailable, we may not be able to collect on amounts payable to us in reasonable time frames from such customers, which would cause our capital requirements to increase and would materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Macroeconomic conditions could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Various macroeconomic factors, such as changes in inflation, interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, government deficits and debt levels and overall business and economic conditions and uncertainties, including those resulting from conditions in the global financial markets or changes in political and/or public policy climate, could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. For example, if inflation or other factors were to significantly increase our business costs, it may not be feasible to increase the price of our current or any future products due to reimbursement procedures and other pricing controls and pressures. In addition, our sales of PROCYSBI on a “named patient basis” (sales in territories in which we have not received marketing authorization and may not promote, but may support product requests directly or through a distributor) are often in territories such as the Middle East or Latin America with national or regional funding that can be affected by changing processes, austerity measures and volatile geopolitical conditions.

In the recent past, the global financial crisis caused financing to be unavailable in many cases or caused the cost of financing to significantly increase. More recent disruptions in the financial markets related to the vote in the United Kingdom to withdraw from the EU, low levels of inflation, concerns of slowing economic growth in and reduced commodity trade with China, slow GDP growth in the United States and even lower growth rates in Europe, drops in commodity prices, especially the drop in crude oil prices, among other factors, and any similar future disruptions may increase uncertainty and decrease risk tolerance in the debt and equity markets, which may adversely affect our ability to access financing on favorable terms in the future, if at all. In addition, our suppliers, manufacturers and other third parties important to our business also may be negatively affected by such potential market dislocations and disruptions, and their businesses may be disrupted, which could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The results of the United Kingdom's referendum on withdrawal from the EU may have a negative effect on global economic conditions, financial markets and our business, which could reduce the price of our common stock.

We are a multinational company headquartered in Novato, California with worldwide operations, including significant business operations in Europe. In June 2016, a majority of voters in the United Kingdom elected to withdraw from the EU in a national referendum. The referendum was advisory, and the terms of any withdrawal are subject to a negotiation period that could last at least two years after the government of the United Kingdom formally initiates a withdrawal process. Nevertheless, the referendum has created significant uncertainty about the future relationship between the United Kingdom and the EU, and has given rise to calls for the governments of other EU member states to consider withdrawal.

These developments, or the perception that any of them could occur, have had and may continue to have a material adverse effect on global economic conditions and the stability of global financial markets, and could significantly reduce global market liquidity and restrict the ability of key market participants to operate in certain financial markets. Asset valuations, currency exchange rates and credit ratings may be especially subject to increased market volatility. Lack of clarity about future United Kingdom laws and regulations as the United Kingdom determines which EU laws to replace or replicate in the event of a withdrawal could depress economic activity and restrict our access to capital. If the United Kingdom and the EU are unable to negotiate acceptable withdrawal terms or if other EU member states pursue withdrawal, barrier-free access between the United Kingdom and other EU member states or among the EEA overall could be diminished or eliminated. Any of these factors could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and reduce the price of our common stock.

Our product sales in the United States could be reduced by imports from countries where our products are available at lower prices.

Our recognized product sales in the United States may be reduced if PROCYSBI or QUINSAIR is imported into the United States from lower-priced markets, whether legally or illegally. In the United States, prices for pharmaceuticals are generally higher than in the bordering nations of Canada and Mexico, and it is our expectation that prices for QUINSAIR, and PROCYSBI, if approved for marketing in Canada, are likely to be lower than retail prices in the United States. There have been proposals to legalize the import of pharmaceuticals from outside the United States. If such legislation were enacted, our revenues could be reduced, and our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

Our international sales and operating expenses are subject to fluctuations in currency exchange rates.

A portion of our business is conducted in currencies other than our reporting currency, the U.S. dollar. We recognize foreign currency gains or losses arising from our operations in the period in which we incur those gains or losses. As a result, currency fluctuations between the U.S. dollar and the currencies in which we do business cause foreign currency translation gains and losses. Because of the number of currencies that may be involved as we enter new markets, the variability of currency exposures and the potential volatility of currency exchange rates, we may suffer significant foreign currency translation and transaction losses due to the effect of exchange rate fluctuations. We have not entered into derivative instruments to offset the impact of foreign exchange fluctuations. Given the volatility of exchange rates, there is no assurance that we will be able to effectively manage currency transaction and/or conversion risks.

We may engage in strategic transactions, in addition to the QUINSAIR acquisition, that could affect our liquidity, increase our expenses and present significant challenges in focus and energy to our management or prove not to be successful.

From time to time, we may consider additional strategic transactions, such as acquisitions of companies, other asset purchases and out-licensing or in-licensing of intellectual property, products or technologies. Pursuant to the asset purchase agreement for the QUINSAIR acquisition, we paid \$35,370,000 in cash consideration upon closing of the

transaction (subject to certain deductions), and issued 3,448,001 in shares of our common stock at our election. The transaction consideration also includes contingent payments of up to \$350.0 million associated with development, regulatory and commercial milestones, up to \$50.0 million of which is payable in our common stock at our election, and a single digit royalty on future global net sales. In the event of a change of control of our company under certain circumstances, a portion of these contingent payments must be prepaid by the acquirer in cash. In addition, we will have single-digit contingent royalty obligations to two additional parties involved in QUINSAIR's development. Additional potential transactions that we may consider include a variety of business arrangements, including spin-offs, strategic partnerships, joint ventures, restructurings, divestitures, business combinations and investments. The QUINSAIR acquisition and any future transactions could result in dilutive issuances of our equity securities, including our common stock, or the incurrence of debt, contingent liabilities, amortization expenses or acquired in-process research and development expenses, any of which could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. Future acquisitions may also require us to obtain additional financing, which may not be available on favorable terms or at all. Our ability as an organization to integrate acquisitions is relatively unproven. The QUINSAIR acquisition did not involve the acquisition of a workforce, as no employees were involved in the transaction. The QUINSAIR acquisition and any future transactions may entail numerous operational and financial risks, including exposure to unknown liabilities; disruption of our business and diversion of our management's time and attention in order to develop acquired products or technologies or to conduct business in new markets; use of existing cash reserves, dilutive issuances of equity securities to replenish cash requirements or to



directly pay for transactions, or incurrence of substantial debt to pay for acquisitions; higher-than-expected acquisition and integration costs; increases in near- and long-term expenditures; unexpected difficulties or shortcomings in the development or commercialization of QUINSAIR and any other acquired assets, products or businesses; write-downs of assets or goodwill or impairment charges; increased amortization expenses; difficulty and cost in combining the operations and personnel of any future acquired businesses with our operations and personnel; impairment of relationships with key suppliers or customers of any acquired businesses due to changes in management and ownership; and inability to retain key employees of any acquired businesses. We may not realize the anticipated benefits of the QUINSAIR acquisition or any future transactions.

Accordingly, although we cannot assure you that we will undertake or successfully complete any transactions of the nature described above, the QUINSAIR acquisition and any other future transactions that we do complete may be subject to the foregoing or other risks and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our business involves the use of hazardous materials, and we and our third-party manufacturers and suppliers must comply with environmental laws and regulations, which can be expensive and restrict how we do business.

Our research and development activities and those of our third-party manufacturers and suppliers involve the controlled storage, use and disposal of hazardous materials, including components of our product and product candidates and other hazardous compounds. We and our manufacturers and suppliers are subject to laws and regulations governing the use, manufacture, storage, handling and disposal of these hazardous materials. In some cases, these hazardous materials and various wastes resulting from their use are stored at our and our manufacturers' facilities pending their use and disposal. We cannot eliminate the risk of contamination, which could cause an interruption of our commercialization efforts, research and development efforts and business operations, environmental damage resulting in costly clean-up and liabilities under applicable laws and regulations governing the use, storage, handling and disposal of these materials and specified waste products. Although we believe that the safety procedures utilized by our third-party manufacturers for handling and disposing of these materials generally comply with the standards prescribed by these laws and regulations, we cannot guarantee that this is the case or eliminate the risk of accidental contamination or injury from these materials. In such an event, we may be held liable for any resulting damages and such liability could exceed our resources and state or federal or other applicable authorities may curtail our use of certain materials and/or interrupt our business operations. We do not currently carry biological or hazardous waste insurance coverage. Furthermore, environmental laws and regulations are complex, change frequently and have tended to become more stringent. We cannot predict the impact of such changes and cannot be certain of our future compliance.

Significant disruptions of information technology systems or breaches of data security could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We are increasingly dependent on information technology systems and infrastructure to operate our business. In the ordinary course of our business, we collect, store and transmit large amounts of confidential information, including intellectual property, proprietary business information and personal information. It is critical that we do so in a secure manner to maintain the confidentiality and integrity of such confidential information. We have also outsourced elements of our information technology infrastructure, and as a result a number of third-party vendors may or could have access to our confidential information. The size and complexity of our information technology systems, and those of third-party vendors with whom we contract, make such systems potentially vulnerable to breakdown, malicious intrusion, security breaches and other cyber-attacks. In addition, the prevalent use of mobile devices that access confidential information increases the risk of data security breaches, which could lead to the loss of confidential information, trade secrets or other intellectual property. The costs to us to eliminate or alleviate network security problems, bugs, viruses, worms, malicious software programs and security vulnerabilities could be

significant, and while we have implemented security measures to protect our data security and information technology systems, our efforts to address these problems may not be successful, and these problems could result in unexpected interruptions, delays, cessation of service and other harm to our business. Despite the extensive measures we may take to secure data and our information technology systems, a determined hacker or other bad actor may still breach these security measures and our information technology systems, and we have experienced such breaches in the past. Moreover, if a computer security breach affects our systems or results in the unauthorized release of personally identifiable information, our reputation could be materially damaged. In addition, such a breach may require notification to governmental agencies, the media or individuals pursuant to various federal and state privacy and security laws, if applicable, including the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Clinical Health Act of 2009, and its implementing rules and regulations, as well as regulations promulgated by the Federal Trade Commission and state breach notification laws. We would also be exposed to a risk of loss or litigation and potential liability, which could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Business disruptions from the occurrence of a catastrophic disaster could cause damage to our facilities and equipment or that of our third-party manufacturers or suppliers.

Our executive offices and laboratory facility and related staff are located in the San Francisco Bay Area near known earthquake fault zones and are vulnerable to significant damage and disruption from earthquakes. We and our contract manufacturers and source suppliers of raw materials and critical services are also vulnerable to damage from other types of disasters, including fires, storms and other extreme weather conditions, floods, water shortages, power losses, telecommunications failures, outbreaks of disease and similar events. If such a disaster were to occur, our ability to continue our operations, including commercial sales and product development programs, could be seriously, or potentially completely, impaired. The insurance we maintain may not be adequate to cover our losses resulting from disasters or other business interruptions, and we may not be able to maintain insurance in the future at satisfactory rates or in adequate amounts.

### Risks Related to Intellectual Property and Competition

If we are unable to protect adequately our proprietary technology, we may not be able to compete as effectively, and our business, results of operations and financial condition will be materially adversely affected.

Our success depends significantly on our ability to protect our proprietary technology from unauthorized use by third parties. We will be able to obtain such protection only to the extent our products are covered by valid and enforceable patents or trade secrets. Any non-confidential disclosure to or misappropriation by third parties of our confidential or proprietary information could enable competitors to erode our competitive position in the market. Where we elect to pursue patent protection on our proprietary technology, we file, prosecute and maintain patent applications covering certain aspects of our technology. Patent protection may not be available, however, for some of the drug product candidates we are developing. We are required to spend significant time and money obtaining, maintaining and enforcing our patent rights, designing around patents held by others and obtaining licenses to third-party patents or other proprietary rights that cover aspects of our product candidates. The patent application process, also known as patent prosecution, is expensive and time consuming. It is possible that we or our current licensors, or any future licensors or licensees, may not be able to prepare, file and prosecute all necessary or desirable patent applications at a reasonable cost or in a timely manner or identify patentable aspects of inventions made in the course of development and commercialization activities before it is too late to obtain patent protection on them. If our current licensors, or any future licensors or licensees, are not fully cooperative or disagree with us regarding any aspect of the prosecution, maintenance or enforcement of the patent rights covering our product candidates where they have decision-making rights on such matters, our preferred approach may not be followed and the scope, strength, duration or other aspects of such patent rights could be compromised.

In addition, our patents and applications or those of our licensors may not be prosecuted and enforced in a manner consistent with the best interests of our business. Defects in form in the preparation or filing of our patents or patent applications or those of our licensors may adversely affect proper priority claims, inventorship, claim scope or patent term adjustments. As a result, the patent rights we depend upon to protect our technology may be held invalid or unenforceable or may be limited in scope. Moreover, we cannot assure you that all of the patent applications that we own or license will issue as patents or that, if issued, the claims of such patents will be held valid or enforceable or will have a scope that will be advantageous to us.

The rights granted to us under the issued patents, as well as those that may be granted on pending patent applications that we own or license, may not be of sufficient scope or strength to provide us with any meaningful protection or commercial advantage. In such case, competitors may be able to design around our patents or develop products that provide outcomes comparable to ours without infringing on our intellectual property rights. In addition, we may in the future be subject to claims by our former employees or consultants asserting an ownership right in our patents or

patent applications as a result of the work they performed on our behalf. Although we generally require all of our employees, consultants, advisors and any third parties who have access to our proprietary know-how, information or technology to assign or grant similar rights to us under their inventions arising while working for us, we cannot be certain that we have executed such agreements with all who may have contributed to our inventions and intellectual property, nor can we be certain that our agreements with such parties will not be breached.

If any of our patents or those of our licensors are challenged, invalidated or legally circumvented by third parties, and if we do not own other enforceable patents or otherwise have regulatory exclusivity protecting our products, competitors could market products and use processes that are substantially similar to, or superior to, ours, and our business will suffer. Any of these outcomes could impair our ability to succeed against competition from third parties and materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our patents, even if issued, may not afford us the degree of protection we require to maintain a competitive advantage.

We own or license issued U.S. and foreign patents and pending U.S. and foreign patent applications related to certain of our drug product candidates and our other technologies. Evaluating the strength of patents covering our products candidates and other technologies in the biopharmaceutical field involves complex legal and scientific questions and can be highly uncertain. While we also rely on orphan drug exclusivity for PROCYSBI for commercial protection, the degree of patent protection we require may be unavailable or severely limited in some cases and may not adequately protect our products or permit us to gain or keep any competitive advantage. For example, the patent applications that we own or license may fail to result in issued patents in the United States or in other countries. Even if patents do issue on such patent applications, third parties may challenge the validity, enforceability or scope thereof, which may result in such patents being narrowed, invalidated or held unenforceable. For example, U.S. patents can be challenged by any person before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“PTAB”). Patents granted by the European Patent Office may be similarly opposed by any person within nine months from the publication of the grant. Similar proceedings are available in other jurisdictions, and in the United States, Europe and other jurisdictions, third parties can raise questions of validity with a patent office even before a patent has granted. See also the risk factor titled “Changes to the patent law in the United States and other jurisdictions could diminish the value of patents in general, thereby impairing our ability to protect our products.” While PROCYSBI has received exclusive marketing rights as an orphan drug for the treatment of nephropathic cystinosis in the United States into 2020 for adults and into 2022 for pediatric patients two to six years of age and therefore has commercial protection on that basis, the FDA can subsequently approve a drug for the same conditions as PROCYSBI under certain circumstances See also the risk factor titled “If we fail to maintain orphan drug or other regulatory exclusivity for our products or to obtain and maintain exclusivity for our orphan drug product candidates, our competitors may sell products to treat the same conditions, possibly at lower prices, and our revenues will be significantly reduced.”

Even if they are unchallenged, our patents and patent applications, if granted, may not adequately protect our product candidates or technology or prevent others from designing around our patent claims. For example, a third party may develop a competitive product that provides therapeutic benefits similar to one or more of our product candidates but that falls outside the scope of our patent protection. If the breadth or strength of protection provided by the patents and patent applications we own or license covering our product candidates is successfully challenged, then our ability to commercialize such product candidates could be adversely affected, and we may face unexpected competition that may materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

In addition, competitors may interfere with our success in obtaining and maintaining patent protection for our product candidates and technologies in a variety of ways. Competitors may claim that they invented the claimed invention prior to us or our licensors or may file patent applications before we or our licensors do. For example, because patent applications in the United States and most other countries are confidential for a period of time after filing, and some remain so until issued, we cannot be certain that we or our licensors were the first to file any patent application related to a product candidate. Furthermore, if third parties have filed such patent applications, for patent applications filed before March 2013 in the United States an interference proceeding in the United States can be initiated by a third party to determine who was the first to invent any of the subject matter covered by the patent claims of our applications.

Competitors may also claim that we are infringing on their patents and that we therefore cannot develop or commercialize our product candidates or practice our technology. Competitors may also challenge our patents, if issued, by showing the USPTO or a court that the invention claimed was not novel, was obvious or is not valid for a number of other reasons. If the USPTO or a court agrees, we could lose some or all of our rights to the challenged patents. Competitors may also initiate validity challenges to our patents at the USPTO PTAB. See also the risk factor titled “Changes to the patent law in the United States and other jurisdictions could diminish the value of patents in general, thereby impairing our ability to protect our products.”

Furthermore, patents have a limited lifespan. In the United States, the natural expiration of a patent is generally 20 years after it is filed. Various extensions may be available; however, the life of a patent, and the protection it affords, is limited. Thus, if we encounter delays in regulatory approvals, the period of time during which we could market a product candidate under patent protection could be reduced. Without adequate and continuing patent protection for our product candidates and technologies, we may be open to competition from generic versions of such products and competitive versions of our technologies.

If we do not obtain, or if we lose, adequate patent protection for our product candidates, and if we do not have other regulatory exclusivity for such product candidates, others may develop and commercialize products that are the same as, or similar to, our product candidates, which would adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We may in the future become involved in lawsuits to defend against third-party allegations of infringement or to protect or enforce our intellectual property, which could be expensive, time consuming and unsuccessful and have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The drug product and biopharmaceutical industry has been characterized by frequent and extensive intellectual property litigation. Our competitors or other third-party patent holders may assert that our products are covered by their patents. Although we believe we have adequate defenses available if faced with any allegations that we infringe third-party patents, we cannot be certain that we do not infringe on those patents or that we will not infringe on patents granted in the future. Furthermore, because patent applications can take many years to issue and may be confidential for 18 months or more after filing, and because pending patent claims can be revised before issuance, there may be applications now pending which may later result in issued patents that may be infringed by the manufacture, use or sale of our products. If a patent holder believes our drug product infringes on its patent, the patent holder may sue us even if we have received patent protection for our technology. Moreover, we may face patent infringement claims from non-practicing entities that have no relevant product revenue and against whom our own patent portfolio may thus have no deterrent effect. If our products are found to infringe, we could be prevented from manufacturing or marketing those products.

In addition, competitors may infringe our patents or misappropriate or otherwise violate our intellectual property rights. To stop any such infringement or unauthorized use, litigation may be necessary. If we or one of our licensors were to initiate legal proceedings against a third party to enforce a patent, the defendant could seek to have the patent's validity reviewed through PTAB proceedings or counterclaim that our patent is invalid and/or unenforceable. In patent litigation in the United States, defendant counterclaims alleging invalidity and/or unenforceability are commonplace. Grounds for a validity challenge could be an alleged failure to meet any of several statutory requirements for patent issuance, including lack of novelty, obviousness or enablement. Patents may be unenforceable if someone connected with prosecution of the patent withheld relevant information from the USPTO or made a misleading statement during prosecution. The outcomes of proceedings involving assertions of invalidity and unenforceability are unpredictable. If a defendant were to prevail on a legal assertion of invalidity and/or unenforceability of our patents covering one of our product candidates or technologies, we would lose at least part, and perhaps all, of the patent protection on such product candidates or technologies. Such a loss of patent protection would materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition, particularly if we do not have other regulatory protection. Moreover, our competitors could counterclaim in any suit to enforce our patents that we infringe their intellectual property.

Third parties may initiate legal proceedings against us to challenge the validity or scope of our intellectual property rights or may allege an ownership right in our patents resulting from their past employment or consultancy with us. Many of our current and potential competitors have the ability to dedicate substantially greater resources to defend their intellectual property rights than we can. Accordingly, despite our efforts, we may not be able to prevent third parties from claiming an ownership interest in or infringing upon or misappropriating our intellectual property. Competing products may also be sold in other countries in which our patent coverage might not exist or be as strong. If we lose a foreign patent lawsuit alleging our infringement of a competitor's patents, we could be prevented from marketing our product candidates in one or more foreign countries.

Litigation related to infringement or misappropriation of a third parties' intellectual property rights, with or without merit, is unpredictable, is generally expensive and time consuming and can divert management's attention from our core business. If we do not prevail in any litigation in which we are alleged to have infringed or misappropriated intellectual property rights, a court could require us to pay substantial damages, treble damages and attorneys' fees and could prohibit us from using technologies essential to our product candidates, any of which would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. If patents asserted against us are upheld as valid and enforceable and we are found to infringe them, we could be prevented from selling our product candidates or technologies unless we can obtain licenses to use the technology or ideas covered by such patents. We do not know

whether any necessary licenses would be available to us on satisfactory terms, if at all. If we cannot obtain such licenses, we could be forced to design around the infringed patents at additional cost or to abandon the infringing product candidate or technology altogether. Furthermore, because of the substantial amount of discovery required in connection with intellectual property litigation, there is a risk that some of our confidential information could be compromised by disclosure during this type of litigation. There could also be public announcements of the results of hearings, motions or other interim proceedings or developments. If securities analysts or investors perceive these results to be negative, it could have a material adverse effect on the market price of our common stock. As a result, our ability to grow our business and compete in the market may be harmed.

We may also support and collaborate in research conducted by government organizations, hospitals, universities or other educational institutions. These research partners may be unwilling to grant us any exclusive rights to technology or product candidates derived from these collaborations. If we do not obtain required licenses or rights, we could encounter delays in our product development efforts while we attempt to design around other patents or even be prohibited from developing, manufacturing or selling drug product candidates requiring these licenses. There is also a risk that disputes may arise as to the rights to technology or drug product candidates developed in collaboration with other parties. Any such disputes may cause our competitive position to be adversely affected and may materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.



Obtaining and maintaining patent protection depends on compliance with various procedural, document submission, fee payment and other requirements imposed by governmental patent agencies, and our patent protection could be reduced or eliminated for non-compliance with these requirements.

The USPTO and various foreign governmental patent agencies require compliance with a number of procedural, documentary, fee payment and other similar provisions during the patent application process. In addition, periodic maintenance fees on issued patents often must be paid to the USPTO and foreign patent agencies over the lifetime of the patent. While an unintentional lapse can in many cases be cured by payment of a late fee or by other means in accordance with the applicable rules, there are situations in which noncompliance can result in abandonment or lapse of the patent or patent application, resulting in partial or complete loss of patent rights in the relevant jurisdiction. Non-compliance events that could result in abandonment or lapse of a patent or patent application include, but are not limited to, failure to respond to official actions within prescribed time limits, non-payment of fees and failure to properly legalize and submit formal documents. If we or our licensors fail to maintain the patents and patent applications covering our product candidates or technologies, we may not be able to prevent a competitor from marketing products that are the same as or similar to our products, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, particularly if we do not have other regulatory protection for our products.

We may not be able to effectively enforce our intellectual property rights throughout the world.

Filing, prosecuting and defending patents on our product candidates and technologies in all countries throughout the world would be prohibitively expensive. The requirements for patentability may differ in certain countries, particularly in developing countries. Moreover, our ability to protect and enforce our intellectual property rights may be adversely affected by unforeseen changes in foreign intellectual property laws. In addition, laws of some countries outside of the United States do not afford intellectual property protection to the same extent as the laws of the United States. Many companies have encountered significant problems in protecting and defending intellectual property rights in certain foreign jurisdictions. The legal systems of some countries, particularly developing countries, do not favor the enforcement of patents and other intellectual property rights. This could make it difficult for us to stop the infringement of our patents or the misappropriation of our other intellectual property rights. For example, many foreign countries have compulsory licensing laws under which a patent owner must grant licenses to third parties. Consequently, we may not be able to prevent third parties from practicing our inventions in all countries outside the United States. In addition, competitors may use our technologies in jurisdictions where we have not obtained patent protection to develop their own products and, further, may export otherwise infringing products to territories where we have patent protection, if our ability to enforce our patents to stop infringing activities is inadequate. These products may compete with our products, and our patents or other intellectual property rights may not be effective or sufficient to prevent them from competing. See also the risk factor titled “Our success depends on our ability to manage our projected growth.”

Proceedings to enforce our patent rights in foreign jurisdictions, whether or not successful, could result in substantial costs and divert our efforts and resources from other aspects of our business. Furthermore, while we intend to protect our intellectual property rights in major markets for our products, there can be no assurance that we will be able to initiate or maintain similar efforts in all jurisdictions in which we may wish to market our products. In addition, our efforts to protect our intellectual property rights in such countries may be inadequate.

Changes to the patent law in the United States and other jurisdictions could diminish the value of patents in general, thereby impairing our ability to protect our products.

As is the case with other biopharmaceutical companies, our success is heavily dependent on our having valid and enforceable intellectual property rights, particularly patents. Obtaining and enforcing patents in the biopharmaceutical

industry involve both technological and legal complexity and is therefore costly, time consuming and inherently uncertain. Recent patent reform legislation in the United States and other countries, including the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act (the “America Invents Act”), which became effective on September 16, 2012, could increase those uncertainties and costs. The America Invents Act includes a number of significant changes to U.S. patent law. These include provisions that affect the way patent applications are prosecuted, redefine prior art and provide more efficient and cost-effective avenues for competitors to challenge the validity of patents. For example, the America Invents Act enacted proceedings involving post-issuance patent review procedures conducted before the PTAB, including inter parties review (“IPR”). The IPR process permits third parties to challenge the validity of a patent on the grounds that it was anticipated or made obvious by prior art, and generic drug manufactures and entities associated with hedge funds have recently begun challenging biopharmaceutical patents with increased frequency based on prior art through the IPR process. Prior art could render our patents or those of our licensors invalid, and the availability of the IPR process as a lower-cost alternative to litigation and faster method for challenging patents could therefore increase the likelihood that our patents or those of our licensors will be challenged and potentially rendered invalid. Moreover, if such challenges occur with respect to our University of California, San Diego (“UCSD”) licensed patents, UCSD has the right to control the defense of such proceedings.

In addition, the America Invents Act has transformed the U.S. patent system into a “first to file” system. The first-to-file provisions, however, only became effective on March 16, 2013. Accordingly, it is not yet clear what, if any, impact the America Invents Act will have on the operation of our business. However, the America Invents Act and its implementation could make it more difficult to obtain patent protection for our inventions and increase the uncertainties and costs surrounding the prosecution of our patent applications and the enforcement or defense of our issued patents, all of which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled on several patent cases in recent years, narrowing the scope of patent protection available in certain circumstances and weakening the rights of patent owners in certain situations. In addition, there have been recent proposals for additional changes to the patent laws of the United States and other countries that, if adopted, could affect our ability to obtain patent protection for our proprietary technology or our ability to enforce our proprietary technology. Depending on future actions by the U.S. Congress, the U.S. courts, the USPTO and the relevant law-making bodies in other countries, the laws and regulations governing patents could change in unpredictable ways that would weaken our ability to obtain new patents or to enforce our existing patents and patents that we might obtain in the future.

If we are limited in our ability to utilize acquired or licensed technologies, or if we lose our rights to critical in-licensed technology, we may be unable to successfully develop, out-license, market and sell our products, which could prevent or delay new product introductions.

We have acquired and licensed certain proprietary technologies and plan to further license and acquire various patents and proprietary technologies owned by other parties. The agreements in place are critical to our product development programs. For example, most of our patent portfolio pertaining to PROCYSBI and RP103 for cystinosis and other therapeutic indications has been licensed from academic institutions. Our license agreements with these institutions include termination clauses that permit the licensor to terminate our license under certain circumstances, including if we materially breach our obligations under the applicable agreement. We are currently behind in our developmental diligence obligations under our license agreement with UCSD with respect to the development of RP103 for the treatment of NASH and HD. If one or more of our licenses is terminated, we would have no further right to use or exploit the patents, know-how and other intellectual property rights licensed to us under the agreement, which could adversely affect our ability to market PROCYSBI or continue our development programs of RP103 in other clinical indications.

In connection with the QUINSAIR acquisition, we entered into a license to certain patent rights held by PARI Pharma GmbH pertaining to customized PARI nebulizer devices for the administration of QUINSAIR. We will be dependent on PARI to maintain these patents and to prosecute any third-party infringement of them. PARI may limit or terminate our rights under this license in the event that we do not fulfill certain diligence obligations. Our business strategy depends on the successful development of licensed and acquired technologies into commercial products. Therefore, any limitations on our ability to utilize these technologies may impair our ability to develop, out-license or market and sell our product candidates, could delay new product introductions and could adversely affect our reputation, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If we are unable to protect the confidentiality of our trade secrets, our competitive position may be harmed, and our business, results of operations and financial condition will be materially adversely affected.

In addition to patent protection, we also rely on trade secrets, including unpatented know-how, technology and other proprietary information, to maintain our competitive position. Our trade secrets may not be adequately protected, however. We have taken steps to protect our trade secrets and proprietary information, including entering into confidentiality agreements and intellectual property assignment agreements with our employees, consultants, advisors

and corporate and educational institution partners. Nevertheless, such agreements may not be enforceable or may not provide meaningful protection for our trade secrets or other proprietary information in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure, whether willful or unintentional, or other breaches of the agreements, and we may not be able to prevent such unauthorized disclosure. Monitoring unauthorized disclosure is difficult, and we do not know whether the steps we have taken to prevent such disclosure are, or will be, adequate. In addition, we may not be able to obtain adequate remedies for any such breaches. Enforcing a claim that someone illegally obtained and is using our trade secrets, like patent litigation, is expensive and time consuming, and the outcome is unpredictable. In addition, courts outside the United States may be less willing to protect trade secrets. Our competitors may independently develop equivalent knowledge, methods and know-how. Competitors could purchase our products and attempt to replicate some or all of the competitive advantages we derive from our development efforts, willfully infringe or misappropriate our intellectual property rights, design around our protected technology or develop their own competitive technologies that fall outside of our intellectual property rights. If any of our trade secrets were to be lawfully obtained or independently developed by a competitor, we would have no right to prevent them, or those to whom they communicate it, from using that technology or information to compete with us.

We may be subject to damages resulting from claims that we or our employees have wrongfully used or disclosed alleged trade secrets of our competitors or are in breach of non-competition or non-solicitation agreements with our competitors.

We could in the future be subject to claims that we or our employees have inadvertently or otherwise used or disclosed alleged trade secrets or other proprietary information of former employers or competitors. Although we try to ensure that our employees and consultants do not use the intellectual property, proprietary information, know-how or trade secrets of others in their work for us, we may in the future be subject to claims that we caused an employee to breach the terms of his or her non-competition or non-solicitation agreement or that we or these individuals have, inadvertently or otherwise, used or disclosed the alleged trade secrets or other proprietary information of a former employer or competitor in breach of their obligations to that employer or competitor. Litigation may be necessary to defend against these claims. Even if we are successful in defending against these claims, litigation could result in substantial costs and could be a distraction to management. If our defenses to these claims fail, in addition to requiring us to pay monetary damages, a court could prohibit us from using technologies or features that are essential to our products, if such technologies or features are found to incorporate or be derived from the trade secrets or other proprietary information of the former employers. An inability to incorporate such technologies or features would have a material adverse effect on our business, and may prevent us from successfully commercializing our products. In addition, we may lose the right to practice valuable intellectual property rights or personnel as a result of such claims. Moreover, any such litigation or the threat thereof may adversely affect our ability to hire employees or contract with independent sales representatives. A loss of key personnel or their work product could hamper or prevent our ability to commercialize our products. Any of these events, or a combination thereof, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

#### Risks Related to Our Financial Position and Capital Requirements

Our commercial operations and clinical development programs will require substantial future funding which will affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our commercial sales programs for PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR and any future approved products and our product development programs will require substantial additional capital, arising from costs incurred to:

- conduct research, preclinical testing and human studies and clinical trials;
- develop and submit regulatory submissions for marketing approvals;
- establish or contract for pilot scale and commercial scale manufacturing processes and facilities;
- obtain adequate reimbursement for our products;
- market and distribute our products; and
- establish, develop and maintain quality control, manufacturing, regulatory, medical, distribution, marketing, sales, finance and administrative capabilities to support these programs.

We base our outlook regarding the need for funds on many uncertain variables. Such uncertainties include the success of our commercial sales of PROCYSBI in the United States, the EEA and any additional markets; the success of our efforts to commercialize QUINSAIR in Europe and Canada and any additional markets and our ability to successfully commercialize any future approved products; the scope and results of our research initiatives, preclinical testing and human clinical trials; regulatory approvals; the timing of events outside our direct control, such as competing technological and market developments, negotiations with third-party payors and potential strategic partners; and other factors. In addition, certain product programs may require collaborative agreements with corporate partners with greater financial and organizational resources than we have. For example, we plan to explore opportunities to strategically partner our RP103 clinical program in HD. Such agreements may require substantial time to complete and may not be available in the time frame desired or with acceptable financial terms, if at all. Any of these factors may significantly change the timing and amount of our cash requirements as they determine such one-time events as

the receipt or payment of milestone-based and other payments.

If we fail to raise additional financing when needed, we may have to delay or terminate some or all of our research and development programs, scale back our operations and/or reduce our commercial, finance and administrative expenses to sustainable levels, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

While we believe that, based on current operating plan assumptions, our cash and cash equivalents will be sufficient to fund operations into 2018, we may need to sell equity or debt securities to raise additional funds to support, among other things, our development and commercialization programs. The sale of additional equity securities or convertible debt securities will result in additional dilution to our stockholders, and newly issued securities may have rights, preferences and privileges senior to those of

holders of our common stock. Additional financing may not be available on a timely basis, in amounts or on terms satisfactory to us, or at all. We may be unable to raise additional capital due to a variety of factors, including our financial condition, the status of our research and development programs, the status of regulatory reviews for marketing approvals, the success of the launch of QUINSAIR in Europe and Canada and the status of our ongoing commercialization activities for QUINSAIR and any future approved products, sales of PROCYSBI in existing and additional markets and the general condition of the financial markets and other factors beyond our control, including, but not limited to macro-economic conditions and investors' tolerance for risks related to investments in biotechnology and biopharmaceutical companies that have not achieved cash self-sufficiency or profitability. If we fail to raise additional financing when needed, we may have to delay, partner, or terminate some or all of our research and development programs, scale back our operations and/or reduce our commercial and administrative expenses. If such actions are required, our business, results of operations and financial condition will be adversely affected, and the market value of our common stock will likely significantly decline.

Our loan agreement with HC Royalty and outstanding convertible senior notes contain a number of restrictive covenants and other provisions, which, if violated, could result in the acceleration of the payment terms of our outstanding indebtedness, which in turn could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

In December 2012, we entered into the HC Royalty Loan Agreement. Under the HC Royalty Loan Agreement, we agreed to borrow \$50.0 million in two \$25.0 million tranches. We drew down the first tranche in the amount of \$25.0 million in December 2012 and the second tranche of \$25.0 million in May 2013 when we achieved the milestone of U.S. approval of PROCYSBI. In July 2014, we entered into an amendment and restatement of the original HC Royalty Loan Agreement and borrowed from HC Royalty a third, \$10.0 million tranche under the loan facility. Also in July 2014, we issued \$60.0 million aggregate principal amount of 8.0% convertible senior notes due 2019 to HC Royalty and other purchasers.

The HC Royalty Loan Agreement includes a number of affirmative and negative covenants, including requirements to use commercially reasonable efforts to exploit PROCYSBI and RP103 in specific markets and to comply with applicable laws, and additional restrictions on mergers, sales of assets, the incurrence of liens, the incurrence of additional indebtedness and transactions with our affiliates, among other requirements. The convertible senior notes also include a number of affirmative and negative covenants, including our obligation to offer to repurchase the notes upon a change of control of our company, limitations on the incurrence of additional indebtedness, registration rights for the holders of the notes and other requirements.

The performance of our obligations under the HC Royalty Loan Agreement is secured by our grant of a security interest to HC Royalty in substantially all of our assets and the assets of our domestic subsidiaries and a pledge of stock of certain of our domestic subsidiaries. Our failure to comply with the terms of the HC Royalty Loan Agreement, the convertible senior notes and related documents could result in an event of default. A change of control of our company, an uncured material adverse effect on our company and certain other specified events could also constitute an event of default under the agreements. In the event of an event of default that is not cured or waived, the payment of all of our indebtedness to HC Royalty and interest thereon and the repayment of the convertible senior notes could accelerate. Under the terms of the security agreement, an event of default could also enable the lender to take possession of, foreclose on, sell, assign or grant a license to use our pledged collateral and to assign and transfer the pledged stock of certain of our subsidiaries. An event of default, a material adverse effect or a change of control would also trigger a prepayment penalty under the HC Royalty Loan Agreement, which would require us to pay a substantially higher amount due than the current balance of our loan.

Any of the events described above, or a combination thereof, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our cash flows and capital resources may be insufficient to make required payments on our indebtedness.

The required payments of principal and interest on our indebtedness under the HC Royalty Loan Agreement and convertible senior notes may require a substantial portion, or all, of our available cash to be dedicated to the service of these debt obligations. Both the HC Royalty Loan Agreement and the convertible senior notes bear interest at an annual fixed rate of 8.0%. The HC Royalty Loan Agreement also bears a synthetic royalty based on our net revenues from PROCYSBI and other future-approved products in each calendar year. This royalty and the interest under the HC Royalty Loan Agreement and the convertible senior notes are payable quarterly. Principal payments under the HC Royalty Loan Agreement became due beginning in June 2015, and we have made aggregate principal payments of \$15.0 million to HC Royalty through June 2016. The convertible senior notes will mature on August 1, 2019, unless earlier converted, redeemed or repurchased.

There can be no assurance that our business will generate sufficient cash flow or that we will have capital resources in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay our indebtedness to HC Royalty or the holders of the convertible senior notes. Our debt obligations may also limit our flexibility to plan for or react to changes in our business and industry and place us at a competitive



disadvantage compared to competitors with superior financial resources including less debt. If our cash flows and capital resources are insufficient to fund these debt service obligations, we may be forced to reduce or delay product development, sales and marketing and capital and other expenditures. We may also be forced to restructure our indebtedness or raise additional capital through the issuance of equity or debt instruments, and there can be no assurance that we will be able to refinance any of our indebtedness or raise additional capital on a timely basis, in sufficient amounts, on satisfactory terms or at all. The terms of the HC Royalty Loan Agreement and convertible senior notes may also limit our ability to pursue any of these financing alternatives, and these alternatives nonetheless may not enable us to meet our scheduled debt service obligations.

Failure to meet our debt service obligations may result in an event of default under the HC Royalty Loan Agreement, which would permit the lender to accelerate the payment of all of our indebtedness to HC Royalty and interest thereon. An event of default could also enable the lender to take possession of, foreclose on, sell, assign or grant a license to use our pledged collateral and to assign and transfer the pledged stock of certain of our subsidiaries. An event of default, a material adverse effect or a change of control would also trigger a prepayment penalty under the HC Royalty Loan Agreement, which would require us to pay a substantially higher amount than the current balance of our loan.

Failure to meet our debt service obligations may also result in an event of default under the convertible senior notes, which would permit the holders to accelerate the payment of the outstanding principal amount of the notes and interest thereon and require us to pay a repayment premium and higher interest. A change of control would also trigger an obligation to repurchase the convertible senior notes.

Any of the events described above, or a combination thereof, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our ability to use our net operating losses to offset future taxable income may be subject to certain limitations.

In general, under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, a corporation that undergoes an “ownership change” is subject to limitations on its ability to utilize its pre-change net operating loss carryforwards to offset future taxable income. Our existing net operating loss carryforwards may be subject to limitations arising from previous ownership changes, and if we undergo an ownership change in the future, our ability to utilize our net operating loss carryforwards could be further limited by Section 382. Future changes in our stock ownership, some of which are outside of our control, could result in an ownership change. Furthermore, we may be unable to use a substantial part of our net operating loss carryforwards if we do not attain profitability in an amount sufficient to utilize such losses.

#### Risks Related to Our Common Stock

Our stock price has been volatile and may continue to be volatile in the future, and our stockholders may not be able to resell shares of our common stock at or above the prices that they paid. The trading volume in our common stock may be relatively low.

Our common stock is quoted on The NASDAQ Global Select Market. The trading price of our common stock has been and may continue to be volatile. During the 52-week period ended June 30, 2016, our average daily trading volume was approximately 1,202,336 shares and the closing sales price per share of our common stock on The NASDAQ Global Select Market ranged from \$15.79 to \$3.22. Our operating performance, both financial and in the development and commercialization of approved products, significantly affects the market price of our common stock. A number of factors may affect the market price of our common stock, including:

- the outcome of our early development work and clinical trials compared to those of others with products similar or related to our products;
- announcements regarding regulatory approvals or approved label indications and patient populations or changes or delays in the regulatory review process;
- unexpected difficulties in commercialization or lower than expected sales;
- lower than expected pricing and reimbursement levels, or no reimbursement at all, for our current and any future products in various markets;
- actions taken by regulatory agencies with respect to our clinical trials, manufacturing supply chain, quality system or sales and marketing activities;
- changes in our relationships with manufacturers, suppliers or collaborators, or our inability to supply enough product to meet demand;

59

---

- announcements of new products or innovations by us or our competitors and announcements concerning our competitors or relevant developments in our sector of the industry in general;
- our ability to obtain additional funding and the terms on which that funding is available;
- changes or developments in applicable laws or regulations;
- any intellectual property infringement actions or challenges to the validity of our patents in which we may become involved;
- sales and levels of operating loss or profitability;
- announcements of significant acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures, or capital commitments by us, our strategic collaboration partners or our competitors;
- our ability to manage our projected growth;
- large fluctuations in our results of operations;
- changes in financial estimates or recommendations by securities analysts or their ceasing to publish research or reports about our business;
- the trading volume of our common stock;
- general economic and market conditions and overall price levels in the U.S. equity markets;
- the appeal and current level of investor interest in the biotechnology/biopharmaceutical capital market sector and in companies in general with business, research strategies and product development pipelines which are similar to us; and
- the loss of any of our key scientific or management personnel.

For planning purposes, we estimate the timing of the accomplishment of various scientific, clinical, regulatory, market launch and commercialization goals, which we sometimes refer to as milestones. These milestones include the completion of reimbursement and pricing negotiations and commercial launches in additional territories, commencement or completion of scientific studies and clinical trials and the submission of regulatory filings. We also prepare estimates of future financial results for planning and budget purposes. From time to time, we may publicly announce the estimated timing of some of these milestones and provide guidance regarding financial results and other metrics. All of these projections will be based on a variety of assumptions. The actual timing of these milestones and actual financial results can vary dramatically compared to our estimates for a number of reasons, including those set forth above, in many cases for reasons beyond our control. If we do not meet the milestones, financial guidance or other expectations as publicly announced or as projected by various security analysts who follow our company, our stockholders or potential stockholders may lose confidence in our ability to meet overall objectives and our financial planning capabilities, and as a result, the market price of our common stock may decline.

In addition, The NASDAQ Global Select Market has, from time to time, experienced extreme price and trading volume fluctuations. The market prices of securities of pharmaceutical, biotechnology and other life sciences companies in a comparable stage to ours historically have been particularly volatile, and trading volume in such securities and our common stock has often been relatively low. Moreover, the stock markets in general have experienced substantial volatility that has often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of individual companies. During certain periods, the favor of certain industry segments, such as the biotechnology segment, may also be volatile. These changes may affect in particular the market price of our common stock and the stock prices of companies such as ours that do not have conventional measures of financial and business health such as sales, earnings, profitability and related measures. These broad market fluctuations, during which our industry and companies at our stage may experience a stronger degree of market sensitivity, will adversely affect the market price of our common stock. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market resulting in substantial declines in the market price of a company's securities, stockholders have often instituted class action securities litigation against those companies. Such litigation can result in substantial costs and diversion of management attention and resources, which could significantly harm our and reputation and materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

A substantial number of shares of our common stock are eligible for future sale in the public market, and the issuance or sale of equity, convertible or exchangeable securities in the market, including shares issuable upon conversion of our convertible senior notes and shares issuable at our election in satisfaction of payments related to the QUINSAIR acquisition, or the perception of such future sales or issuances, could lead to a decline in the trading price of our common stock.

Any issuance of shares of our common stock or other securities, including for the purposes of raising capital to fund our operations, financing acquisitions and the expansion of our business, will have a dilutive effect on our existing stockholders. In

addition, the perceived market risk associated with the possible issuance of a large number of shares of our common stock, including pursuant to the exercise of our currently outstanding stock options, or issuances of securities convertible or exchangeable into a large number of shares of our common stock could cause some of our stockholders to sell their common stock, thus causing the trading price of our common stock to decline. Subsequent sales of our common stock in the open market, exercises of our currently outstanding stock options and the subsequent sale of the shares acquired thereunder or the sale by us of shares of our common stock or securities convertible or exchangeable into our common stock for capital raising purposes could also have an adverse effect on the trading price of our common stock. If our common stock price declines, it will be more difficult for us to raise additional capital or we may be unable to raise additional capital at all.

In July 2014, we issued \$60.0 million aggregate principal amount of 8.0% convertible senior notes due 2019. The convertible senior notes are convertible at the option of the holder at an initial conversion rate of approximately 57.14 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of convertible senior notes, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of \$17.50, and is subject to adjustment upon certain events and conditions. In addition, we may redeem for cash or require holders to convert the convertible senior notes into shares of common stock if the price of the common stock is at or above 175% of the applicable conversion price over a period of 30 consecutive trading days. The note purchase agreement governing the convertible senior notes provides the holders with registration rights for the shares issued upon conversion of their convertible senior notes subject to certain conditions, and we filed a registration statement to register the resale of the shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the convertible senior notes. We may be required to pay increased interest on the convertible senior notes if we do not comply with the registration rights provisions of the note purchase agreement. A substantial number of shares of our common stock are reserved for issuance upon conversion of the convertible senior notes. The issuance of shares of our common stock upon conversion of the convertible senior notes would dilute the ownership interest of our common stockholders and may materially adversely affect the market price of our common stock and impair our ability to raise capital through the sale of additional equity securities.

We issued 3,448,001 shares of our common stock as partial consideration at the closing of the QUINSAIR acquisition. The transaction consideration also includes contingent payments associated with development, regulatory and commercial milestones, up to \$350.0 million of which up to \$50.0 million is payable in our common stock at our election. In connection with the QUINSAIR acquisition, we entered into a registration rights agreement with respect to the shares of common stock issued at the closing of the acquisition and the additional shares that may be issued as contingent consideration pursuant to the QUINSAIR asset purchase agreement. In October 2015, we filed a registration statement to register the resale of the shares of our common stock issued at the closing of the QUINSAIR acquisition.

In connection with other collaborations, joint ventures, license agreements or future financings that we may enter into in the future, we may issue additional shares of common stock or other equity securities, and the value of the securities issued may be substantial and create additional dilution to our existing and future common stockholders.

Because we do not intend to pay any cash dividends on our common stock, investors will benefit from an investment in our common stock only if it appreciates in value. Investors seeking dividend income should not purchase shares of our common stock.

We have not declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock since our inception. We anticipate that we will retain our earnings to support our operations and to finance the growth and development of our business and do not expect to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future. As a result, the success of an investment in our common stock will depend upon any future appreciation in the value of our common stock. There is no guarantee that our common stock will appreciate in value or even maintain its current price. Investors seeking dividend income should not invest in our common stock.

Anti-takeover provisions under Delaware law and in our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws, as amended, may prevent or complicate attempts by stockholders to change the Board of Directors or current management and could make a third-party acquisition of us difficult.

Our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws, as amended, contain provisions that could significantly reduce the value of our shares to a potential acquiror or delay or prevent changes in control or changes in our management without the consent of our Board of Directors. The provisions in our charter documents include the following:

- the right of our Board of Directors to elect a director to fill a vacancy created by the expansion of the Board of Directors or the resignation, death or removal of a director, which prevents stockholders from being able to fill vacancies on our Board of Directors;
- the required approval of at least 66 2/3% of the shares entitled to vote to remove a director without cause;
- the ability of our Board of Directors to authorize the issuance of shares of preferred stock and to determine the price and other terms of those shares, including preferences, dividend rights and voting rights, which may be superior to those of the

common stock, without stockholder approval, which could be used to significantly dilute the ownership of a hostile acquiror;

- the ability of our Board of Directors to alter our bylaws without obtaining stockholder approval;
- the required approval of at least 66 2/3% of the shares entitled to vote at an election of directors to adopt, amend or repeal our bylaws;
- a prohibition on stockholder action by written consent, which forces stockholder action to be taken at an annual or special meeting of our stockholders;
- the requirement that a special meeting of stockholders may be called only by the Chairman of the Board of Directors, the chief executive officer or the Board of Directors, which may delay the ability of our stockholders to force consideration of a proposal or to take action, including the removal of directors; and
- advance notice procedures that stockholders must comply with in order to nominate candidates to our Board of Directors or to propose matters to be acted upon at a stockholders' meeting, which may discourage or deter a potential acquiror from conducting a solicitation of proxies to elect the acquiror's own slate of directors or otherwise attempting to obtain control of us.

We are also subject to the anti-takeover provisions contained in Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. Under Section 203, a corporation may not, in general, engage in a business combination with any holder of 15% or more of its capital stock unless the holder has held the stock for three years or, among other exceptions, the Board of Directors has approved the transaction.

Our Board of Directors may use the provisions described above to prevent changes in the management and control of our company. These provisions could limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock. These provisions would apply even if we were to receive an offer that some stockholders may consider beneficial. Also, under applicable Delaware law, our Board of Directors may adopt additional anti-takeover measures in the future.

Provisions of the note purchase agreement governing our convertible senior notes and the asset purchase agreement for the acquisition of QUINSAIR may discourage a takeover, which could cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

The repurchase rights and related repurchase premium provided in our convertible senior notes and the accelerated contingent payment provisions of the asset purchase agreement for the acquisition of QUINSAIR triggered by the occurrence of a change of control may discourage, delay or prevent a third party from acquiring us, even if doing so would benefit our stockholders. In turn, this could cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

None.

## ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

None.

## ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The exhibits filed as part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are set forth on the Exhibit Index, which are incorporated herein by reference.

62

---



SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

RAPTOR PHARMACEUTICAL CORP.

Date: August 4, 2016 By: /s/ Julie A. Smith  
Julie A. Smith  
Chief Executive Officer and Director  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: August 4, 2016 By: /s/ Michael P. Smith  
Michael P. Smith  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

## Exhibit Index

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference		Filed Number	Herewith
		Form	Date		
31.1	Certification of Julie Anne Smith, Chief Executive Officer and Director				X
31.2	Certification of Michael P. Smith, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer				X
32.1*	Certification of Julie Anne Smith, Chief Executive Officer and Director, and Michael P. Smith, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer				X
101	The following materials from the Raptor Pharmaceutical Corp. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2016, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets; (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations; (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss; (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (v) related notes, tagged as blocks of text.				X

\* In accordance with Item 601(b)(32)(ii) of Regulation S-K, this exhibit shall not be deemed “filed” for the purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, nor shall it be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act.