One Mississippi Plaza, 201 South Spring Street	
Tupelo, Mississippi (Address of principal executive offices)	38804 (Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (662) 68	30-2000
NOT APPLICABLE	
(Former name, former address, and former fiscal year, if chan	nged since last report)
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed a the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such	months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was
Yes [X] No []	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted eany, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or to submit and post such files). [X] Yes [] No	posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large acceler or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One): [] Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting)	accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting Large accelerated filer $[X]$ Accelerated filer
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell comp $[\]$ No $[X]$	any (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes
As of November 1, 2013, the registrant had outstanding 95,2 share.	13,534 shares of common stock, par value \$2.50 per

BANCORPSOUTH, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I.	Financial Information	Page
	I'Himminial Statements	
	1	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets September 30, 2013 and 2012	
	(Unaudited) and December 31, 2012	3
	Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)	
	Three Months and Nine Months Ended	4
	September 30, 2013 and 2012	
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)	
	Three Months and Nine Months Ended	5
	September 30, 2013 and 2012	
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)	
	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012	6
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	7
	I'Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial	
	2	
	Condition and Results of Operations	41
	ITEM ntitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	79
	3	
	ITEM trols and Procedures	79
	4	
PART II.	Other Information	
	ITEMAI Proceedings	79
	1	
	ITEM Factors	80
	1A.	
	I'NEME Safety Disclosures	80
	4.	
	I' HEXM ibits	80
	6.	

PART I.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

BANCORPSOUTH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Balance Sheets

	September 30, 2013 (Unaudited) (Dollars in thous	December 31, 2012 (1) sands, except per s	September 30, 2012 (Unaudited) hare amounts)	
ASSETS	(= 0			
Cash and due from banks	\$ 199,464	\$ 223,814	\$ 176,529	
Interest bearing deposits with other banks	361,401	979,800	757,207	
Available-for-sale securities, at fair value	2,554,156	2,434,032	2,483,606	
Loans and leases	8,806,392	8,672,752	8,716,715	
Less: Unearned income	33,277	35,763	36,746	
Allowance for credit losses	153,974	164,466	169,019	
Net loans and leases	8,619,141	8,472,523	8,510,950	
Loans held for sale	77,114	129,138	129,408	
Premises and equipment, net	314,441	319,456	321,068	
Accrued interest receivable	43,034	44,356	48,314	
Goodwill	275,173	275,173	275,173	
Bank-owned life insurance	236,969	231,120	203,798	
Other real estate owned	76,853	103,248	128,211	
Other assets	158,407	184,538	201,473	
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 12,916,153	\$ 13,397,198	\$ 13,235,737	
LIABILITIES				
Deposits:				
Demand: Noninterest bearing	\$ 2,597,762	\$ 2,545,169	\$ 2,492,508	
Interest bearing	4,493,359	4,799,496	4,697,260	
Savings	1,220,227	1,145,785	1,103,490	
Other time	2,406,598	2,597,696	2,681,382	
Total deposits	10,717,946	11,088,146	10,974,640	
Federal funds purchased and securities				
sold under agreement to repurchase	418,623	414,611	377,676	
Accrued interest payable	5,156	6,140	6,759	
Junior subordinated debt securities	31,446	160,312	160,312	
Long-term debt	83,500	33,500	33,500	
Other liabilities	178,871	245,437	236,147	
TOTAL LIABILITIES	11,435,542	11,948,146	11,789,034	

SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Common stock, \$2.50 par value per share

Authorized - 500,000,000 shares; Issued - 95,211,602,					
94,549,867 and 94,440,710 shares, respectively	238,029	236,375	236,102		
Capital surplus	312,798	311,909	311,271		
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(39,389)	(8,646)	5,952		
Retained earnings	969,173	909,414	893,378		
TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	1,480,611	1,449,052	1,446,703		
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 12,916,153	\$ 13,397,198	\$ 13,235,737		
(1) Derived from audited financial statements.					

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

BANCORPSOUTH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)

	Three months September 30,		Nine months en September 30,		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
INTEREST DEVENTE.	(In thousands,	except for per sha	are amounts)		
INTEREST REVENUE: Loans and leases	\$ 98,836	\$ 105,937	\$ 296,452	\$ 322,686	
Deposits with other banks	310	399	1,395	1,182	
Federal funds sold and securities purchased	010		1,000	1,102	
under agreement to resell	_	2	-	3	
Available-for-sale securities:					
Taxable	8,218	9,329	25,323	30,679	
Tax-exempt	3,866	4,109	11,737	12,575	
Loans held for sale	731	974	2,090	2,204	
Total interest revenue	111,961	120,750	336,997	369,329	
INTEREST EXPENSE:					
Deposits:					
Interest bearing demand	2,061	3,889	7,609	12,523	
Savings	383	686	1,318	2,091	
Other time	7,271	9,482	22,983	31,048	
Federal funds purchased and securities sold					
under agreement to repurchase	80	73	213	202	
Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings	350	364	1,047	1,097	
Junior subordinated debt	1,424	2,875	7,141	8,633	
Other	151	2	154	5	
Total interest expense	11,720	17,371	40,465	55,599	
Net interest revenue	100,241	103,379	296,532	313,730	
Provision for credit losses	500	6,000	7,500	22,000	
Net interest revenue, after provision for credit losses	99,741	97,379	289,032	291,730	
credit iosses	<i>))</i> ,/+1	71,317	207,032	271,730	
NONINTEREST REVENUE:					
Mortgage lending	5,134	13,549	35,372	39,731	
Credit card, debit card and merchant fees	8,834	8,270	24,681	23,580	
Deposit service charges	13,679	14,189	39,335	43,002	
Trust income	3,332	3,101	9,734	8,522	
Security (losses) gains, net	(5)	39	17	290	
Insurance commissions	23,800	23,519	76,303	69,636	
Other	7,740	7,753	24,499	24,487	
Total noninterest revenue	62,514	70,420	209,941	209,248	
NONINTEREST EXPENSE:					
Salaries and employee benefits	73,532	74,829	231,230	227,421	
Occupancy, net of rental income	10,360	10,944	31,174	31,497	

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-Q

Equipment	4,555		5,083		14,088		15,540	
Deposit insurance assessments	3,325		3,998	3	9,06	58	13,3	75
Voluntary early retirement expense	-		-		10,8	350	-	
Write-off and amortization of bond								
issue cost	2,907		38		2,98	33	114	
Other	34,71	8	38,89	96	107	,626	118,	027
Total noninterest expense	129,3	97	133,	788	407	,019	405,	974
Income before income taxes	32,85	8	34,0	11	91,9	54	95,0	04
Income tax expense	8,001		10,18	36	25,5	37	27,6	89
Net income	\$	24,857	\$	23,825	\$	66,417	\$	67,315
Earnings per share: Basic	\$	0.26	\$	0.25	\$	0.70	\$	0.72
Diluted	\$	0.26	\$	0.25	\$	0.70	\$	0.72
Dividends declared per common share	\$	0.05	\$	0.01	\$	0.07	\$	0.03

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

BANCORPSOUTH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)

	Three months ended September 30,			Nine months ended September 30,			[
	2013 2012		2013		2012	2		
	(In t	housands)					
Net income	\$	24,857	\$	23,825	\$	66,417	\$	67,315
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax								
Unrealized (losses) gains on securities	(881)	4,183		(33,220)		6,306	
Pension and other postretirement benefits	825		435		2,47	7	1,90	7
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax	(56)		4,61	8	(30,	743)	8,21	3
Comprehensive income	\$	24,801	\$	28,443	\$	35,674	\$	75,528

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Nine months ended September 30,

BANCORPSOUTH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

Issuance of common stock

Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options

	September 3				
	201	3	2012		
	(In t	thousands	`		
Operating Activities:	(111)	inousanus	,		
Net income	\$	66,417	\$	67,315	
Adjustment to reconcile net income to net	Ψ	00,117	Ψ	07,515	
cash provided by operating activities:					
Provision for credit losses	7,50)()	22,0	000	
Depreciation and amortization	19,8		20,3		
Deferred taxes	(3,0)		-	.50	
Amortization of intangibles	2,15	•	2,36	66	
Amortization of debt securities premium and discount, net	11,5		9,79		
Share-based compensation expense	2,01		2,36		
Security gains, net	(17)		(290		
Net deferred loan origination expense	(5,8)		(6,0)	•	
Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options	68	13)	-	00)	
Decrease in interest receivable	1,32	2	2,952		
Decrease in interest payable	(984		(1,8)		
Realized gain on mortgages sold	•	343)	(49,030)		
Proceeds from mortgages sold)1,266		8,252	
Origination of mortgages held for sale		02,701)	(1,447,087)		
Loss on other real estate owned, net	5,325		20,447		
Increase in bank-owned life insurance	(5,8		(3,7)		
Decrease in prepaid pension asset	15,1	•	3,40	•	
Decrease in prepaid deposit insurance assessments	-	.,.	11,0		
Other, net	(11.	985)	7,377		
Net cash provided by operating activities		,903		,596	
Investing activities:		,		,= ,	
Proceeds from calls and maturities of available-for-sale securities	408	,824	386	,658	
Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities	_	, -	2,81		
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	(617	7,203)		3,905)	
Net (increase) decrease in loans and leases		9,671)		,393	
Purchases of premises and equipment	-	077)		815)	
Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment	3,04		1,06		
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned	41,6		50,0		
Other, net	108		(23)		
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities		1,355)		,212	
Financing activities:	(, ,		,	
Net (decrease) increase in deposits	(370),200)	19,4	152	
Net increase in short-term debt and other liabilities	4,00		2,23		
Advances of long-term debt	50,0		-		
Redemption of Junior Subordinated Debt		3,866)	_		
	450	,)	100	700	

108,733

459

(68)

Payment of cash dividends	(6,622)	(2,833)		
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(451,297)	127,584		
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(642,749)	434,392		
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	1,203,614	499,344		
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 560,865	\$ 933,736		

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements, specifically Note 18.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

NOTE 1 – BASIS OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION AND PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of BancorpSouth, Inc. (the "Company") have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") and follow general practices within the industries in which the Company operates. For further information, refer to the audited consolidated financial statements and notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. In the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements have been included and all such adjustments were of a normal, recurring nature. The results of operations for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. Certain 2012 amounts have been reclassified to conform with the 2013 presentation.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its wholly-owned subsidiaries, BancorpSouth Bank (the "Bank") and Gumtree Wholesale Insurance Brokers, Inc., and the Bank's wholly-owned subsidiaries, Personal Finance Corporation of Tennessee, BancorpSouth Insurance Services, Inc., BancorpSouth Investment Services, Inc., BancorpSouth Municipal Development Corporation and BancorpSouth Bank Securities Corporation.

NOTE 2 - LOANS AND LEASES

The Company's loan and lease portfolio is disaggregated into the following segments: commercial and industrial; real estate; credit card; and all other loans and leases. The real estate segment is further disaggregated into the following classes: consumer mortgage; home equity; agricultural; commercial and industrial-owner occupied; construction, acquisition and development; and commercial real estate. A summary of gross loans and leases by segment and class as of the dates indicated follows:

September 30, 2013 2012

December 31, 2012

(In thousands)

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-Q

Commercial and industrial	\$ 1,510,035	\$ 1,471,563	\$ 1,484,788
Real estate			
Consumer mortgages	1,931,171	1,888,783	1,873,875
Home equity	490,361	492,833	486,074
Agricultural	234,547	257,733	256,196
Commercial and industrial-owner occupied	1,422,077	1,309,631	1,333,103
Construction, acquisition and development	723,609	823,692	735,808
Commercial real estate	1,795,352	1,738,516	1,748,881
Credit cards	105,112	101,405	104,884
All other	594,128	632,559	649,143
Total	\$ 8,806,392	\$ 8,716,715	\$ 8,672,752

The following table shows the Company's loans and leases, net of unearned income, as of September 30, 2013 by segment, class and geographical location:

	Panha	ama Florida andle nousands)	Arka	kansas* Mississippi* Missouri		Greater Memphis Area Tennessee*				Texas Louisia					
Commercial and industrial	\$	92 159	\$	167 670	\$	290.061	¢	20.090	\$	22 250	Ф	01 510	\$	25	
Real estate	Þ	82,158	Э	167,672	Э	280,961	\$	30,080	Э	22,350	\$	81,512	Э	23	
Consumer															
mortgages	119,5	583	254,	133	696,	396	61,6	522	97,7	48	156	,788	484	1,890	
Home equity	64,71		39,3			164,095		21,443		67,668		68,951		61,879	
Agricultural	8,570		74,3		55,5		3,91		15,2		11,8			186	
Commercial and industrial-owner	-,-		- ,		,		- 7-		- ,		,		,		
occupied	165,1	153	174,	315	471,	711	60,4	02	96,1	.02	86,5	582	277	7,303	
Construction, acquisition and															
development	91,97	74	67,2	.71	185,	,174	26,8	334	79,6	515	104	,400	135	5,489	
Commercial real															
estate	264,6	523	303,	769	276,	,522	195,	,627	101	,268	96,9	88	408	3,417	
Credit cards	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		
All other	35,09) 4	60,0	41	154,	,391	2,66	51	48,8	339	40,9	76	88,	651	
Total	\$	831,867	\$	1,140,907	\$	2,284,753	\$	402,587	\$	528,802	\$	648,066	\$	1,77	

^{*} Excludes the Greater Memphis Area.

The Company's loan concentrations which exceed 10% of total loans are reflected in the preceding tables. A substantial portion of construction, acquisition and development loans are secured by real estate in markets in which the Company is located. The Company's loan policy generally prohibits the use of interest reserves on loans originated after March 2010. Certain of the construction, acquisition and development loans were structured with interest-only terms. A portion of the consumer mortgage and commercial real estate portfolios originated through the permanent financing of construction, acquisition and development loans. The prolonged economic downturn has negatively impacted many borrowers' and guarantors' ability to make payments under the terms of the loans as their liquidity has been depleted. Accordingly, the ultimate collectability of a substantial portion of these loans and the recovery of a substantial portion of the carrying amount of other real estate owned ("OREO") are susceptible to changes in real estate values in the corresponding market areas. Continued economic distress could negatively impact additional borrowers' and guarantors' ability to repay their debt which would make more of the Company's loans collateral dependent.

The following tables provide details regarding the aging of the Company's loan and lease portfolio, net of unearned income, by segment and class at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

September 30, 2013

	30-59 Days 60-89 Days Past Due Past Due		•		Days Due	Total Past Due		Cu	rrent	To Ou	tal itstanding	Past Di Accrui	ue still	
	(In th	nousands)											
Commercial and industrial Real estate Consumer	\$	1,553	\$	580	\$	1,595	\$	3,728	\$	1,500,081	\$	1,503,809	\$	15
mortgages Home equity Agricultural Commercial and industrial-owner	11,48 1,095 740		2,928 280 22		13,0 569 3,14		27,4 1,94 3,90	4	488	03,751 3,417 0,640	49	931,171 0,361 4,547	1,178 - -	
occupied Construction, acquisition and	1,355	5	3,619		1,44	10	6,41	4	1,4	15,663	1,4	22,077	-	
development Commercial real	4,525	5	247		9,21	10	13,9	982	709	9,627	72	3,609	-	
estate Credit cards All other Total	7,912 462 1,600 \$		752 290 223 \$	8,941	8,73 286 455 \$		17,3 1,03 2,27 \$	88	104	77,955 4,074 4,799 8,695,007	10.	795,352 5,112 7,077 8,773,115	263 23 \$	1,479
	30-59 Past		60-89 Past D	•		Days Due	Tota Past	ıl Due	Cu	rrent	To Ou	tal itstanding	90+ Da Past Da Accrui	ue still
Commercial and		nousands		1	4	4.440	4	0.405	Φ.	1 160 106	.		Φ.	
industrial Real estate	\$ 11,30	3,531	\$ 3,643	476	\$ 13,8	4,118 321	\$ 28,7	8,125 772	\$ 1.8	1,468,486 45,103	\$ 1.8	1,476,611 373,875	\$ 512	414
	11,50		2,013		10,0		20,7		1,0	.5,105	1,0	,	2.2	

90+ Days

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-Q

Consumer							
mortgages							
Home equity	1,337	371	350	2,058	484,016	486,074	-
Agricultural	400	287	3,946	4,633	251,563	256,196	10
Commercial and							
industrial-owner							
occupied	2,629	3,587	2,933	9,149	1,323,954	1,333,103	19
Construction,							
acquisition and							
development	2,547	2,472	14,790	19,809	715,999	735,808	-
Commercial real							
estate	4,673	56	10,469	15,198	1,733,683	1,748,881	-
Credit cards	536	379	473	1,388	103,496	104,884	228
All other	2,354	253	445	3,052	618,505	621,557	27
Total	\$ 29,315	\$ 11,524	\$ 51,345	\$ 92,184	\$ 8,544,805	\$ 8,636,989	\$ 1,210

The Company utilizes an internal loan classification system to grade loans according to certain credit quality indicators. These credit quality indicators include, but are not limited to, recent credit performance, delinquency, liquidity, cash flows, debt coverage ratios, collateral type and loan-to-value ratio. The Company's internal loan classification system is compatible with classifications used by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as well as other regulatory agencies. Loans may be classified as follows:

Pass: Loans which are performing as agreed with few or no signs of weakness. These loans show sufficient cash flow, capital and collateral to repay the loan as agreed.

Special Mention: Loans where potential weaknesses have developed which could cause a more serious problem if not corrected.

Substandard: Loans where well-defined weaknesses exist that require corrective action to prevent further deterioration.

Doubtful: Loans having all the characteristics of Substandard and which have deteriorated to a point where collection and liquidation in full is highly questionable.

Loss: Loans that are considered uncollectible or with limited possible recovery.

Impaired: Loans for which it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement and for which a specific impairment reserve has been considered.

The following tables provide details of the Company's loan and lease portfolio, net of unearned income, by segment, class and internally assigned grade at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	September 30, 2	2013 Special					
	Pass	Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Impaired	Total
	(In thousands)						
Commercial and	ф. 1.461. 55 0	.	ф. 21.50 <i>с</i>	ф	Φ.	A 2 0.61	ф. 1.702 .000
industrial	\$ 1,461,578	\$ 7,774	\$ 31,596	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,861	\$ 1,503,809
Real estate							
Consumer							
mortgages	1,794,492	22,114	103,987	918	-	9,660	1,931,171
Home equity	471,418	2,569	15,069	-	-	1,305	490,361
Agricultural	210,065	3,044	17,816	-	-	3,622	234,547
Commercial and							
industrial-owner							
occupied	1,343,131	12,632	52,992	475	105	12,742	1,422,077
Construction,							
acquisition and							
development	637,448	8,450	53,498	1,027	_	23,186	723,609
Commercial real		-,	,	,		- ,	,
estate	1,668,562	17,266	83,226	412	_	25,886	1,795,352
Court	1,000,502	17,200	02,220			25,000	1,75,552

Credit cards	105,112	-	-	-	-	-	105,112
All other	548,402	4,497	13,695	-	-	483	567,077
Total	\$ 8,240,208	\$ 78,346	\$ 371,879	\$ 2,832	\$ 105	\$ 79,745	\$ 8,773,115

	December 31, 2	2012					
	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Impaired	Total
	(In thousands)						
Commercial and							
industrial	\$ 1,426,498	\$ 14,663	\$ 29,876	\$ 729	\$ -	\$ 4,845	\$ 1,476,611
Real estate							
Consumer							
mortgages	1,691,682	32,840	131,141	2,907	198	15,107	1,873,875
Home equity	461,151	4,791	17,619	1,057	76	1,380	486,074
Agricultural	227,138	5,729	17,947	-	-	5,382	256,196
Commercial and							
industrial-owner							
occupied	1,202,111	31,087	82,816	369	-	16,720	1,333,103
Construction,							
acquisition and							
development	567,881	30,846	75,031	715	-	61,335	735,808
Commercial real							
estate	1,524,262	53,455	120,591	160	-	50,413	1,748,881
Credit cards	104,884	-	-	-	-	-	104,884
All other	600,807	8,397	10,196	601	10	1,546	621,557
Total	\$ 7,806,414	\$ 181,808	\$ 485,217	\$ 6,538	\$ 284	\$ 156,728	\$ 8,636,989

The following tables provide details regarding impaired loans and leases, net of unearned income, by segment and class as of and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012:

	Sept	ember 30		3 paid			Avor	age Record	lad Inva	etmant	Interes	t Incoma	Recogniz	ad
	Inve	orded estment npaired ns	Prin Bal	ncipal ance of paired		owance Credit	Three ended	months	Nine rended	nonths	Three a	months aber 30,	Nine mo ended Septemb 2013	onth
	(In t	housands)											
With no related allowance:	(111)	nousunus	,											
Commercial and industrial Real estate:	\$	2,861	\$	3,244	\$	-	\$	2,868	\$	2,792	\$	6	\$	
Consumer mortgages	7,91	7	10,0	698	_		9,779)	10,041	1	20		48	
Home equity	873		911		_		694		1,007		1		4	
Agricultural Commercial and	3,62	.2	4,2	19	-		3,630)	3,809		-		4	
industrial-owner occupied Construction,	9,71	4	12,	173	-		7,514		8,306		23		60	
acquisition and development Commercial real	16,6	666	25,0	026	-		19,70	8	30,212	2	17		89	
estate	18,6	13	25,		-		18,90	00	27,513	3	33		140	
All other Total	483 \$	60,749	776 \$	82,771	\$	_	489 \$	63,582	786 \$	84,466	2 \$	102	6 \$	3
With an allowance: Commercial and industrial	\$	· -	\$	_	\$	283	\$	123	\$	788	\$	_	\$	
Real estate: Consumer														
mortgages	1,74	.3	1,74	43	489		3,059)	4,037		11		24	
Home equity	432		432		23		337		593		-		2	
Agricultural Commercial and industrial-owner	-		-		-		39		439		-		2	
occupied Construction, acquisition and	3,02	8	3,09	90	1,11	9	4,417	,	5,434		18		52	
development	6,52 7,27		6,60 12,2	66 253	1,63 292	7	5,087 12,00		8,692 13,033		9 4		63 51	

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-Q

Commercial real estate All other Total	- \$	18,996	\$	24,184	- \$	3,843	- \$	25,070	<u>-</u> \$	33,016	\$	42	- \$	1
Total:														
Commercial and														
industrial	\$	2,861	\$	3,244	\$	283	\$	2,991	\$	3,580	\$	6	\$	
Real estate:														
Consumer														
mortgages	9,6			,441	489	9	12,83	8	14,07		31		72	
Home equity	1,30			343	23		1,031		1,600		1		6	
Agricultural	3,6	22	4,2	219	-		3,669		4,248	3	-		6	
Commercial and														
industrial-owner														
occupied	12,	742	15,	,263	1,1	19	11,93	1	13,74	40	41		112	
Construction,														
acquisition and														
development	23,	186	31,	,692	1,6	537	24,79	5	38,90)4	26		152	
Commercial real														
estate		886		,977	292	2	30,90	8	40,54	16	37		191	
All other	483		770		-		489		786		2		6	
Total	\$	79,745	\$	106,955	\$	3,843	\$	88,652	\$	117,482	\$	144	\$	-

	Decemb	per 31, 201								
	Recorde Investm in Impa Loans	ent	Unpaid Principa Balance Impaire Loans	al e of	Related Allowa for Cre Losses	ance edit	Avera Recor Inves	•	Interes Income Recogn	e
	(In thou	ısands)								
With no related allowance: Commercial and	`	ŕ								
industrial Real estate:	\$	2,557	\$	4,169	\$	-	\$	2,779	\$	12
Consumer mortgages Home equity	11,307 934		15,464 1,078		-		11,76 858	52	77 6	
Agricultural Commercial and	4,435		6,292		-		3,527	,	8	
industrial-owner occupied Construction, acquisition and	13,018		16,551		-		12,67	/4	123	
development	47,982		69,331		-		54,08	5	324	
Commercial real estate	33,952		45,722		-		19,82		199	
All other	1,544		2,165		-		848		9	
Total	\$	115,729	\$	160,772	\$	-	\$	106,357	\$	758
With an allowance:										
Commercial and industrial Real estate:	\$	2,288	\$	2,288	\$	1,241	\$	5,368	\$	38
Consumer mortgages	3,800		3,914		1,103		10,32	23	88	
Home equity	446		446		111		569		5	
Agricultural Commercial and industrial-owner	947		947		92		1,468	}	12	
occupied Construction,	3,702		4,737		864		9,977	,	65	
acquisition and	12 252		16 057		4.250		15 50	2	277	
development Commercial real estate	13,353 16,461		16,257 16,709		4,350 2,720		45,58 16,95		377 204	
All other	2		2		60		324	3	3	
Total	\$	40,999	\$	45,300	\$	10,541	\$	90,564	\$	792
Total:										
	\$	4,845	\$	6,457	\$	1,241	\$	8,147	\$	50

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-Q

Commercial and										
industrial										
Real estate:										
Consumer mortgages	15,107		19,378		1,103		22,08	35	165	
Home equity	1,380		1,524		111		1,427	'	11	
Agricultural	5,382		7,239		92		4,995	;	20	
Commercial and										
industrial-owner										
occupied	16,720		21,288		864		22,65	51	188	
Construction,										
acquisition and										
development	61,335		85,588		4,350		99,66	57	701	
Commercial real estate	50,413		62,431		2,720		36,77	'7	403	
All other	1,546		2,167		60		1,172	2	12	
Total	\$	156,728	\$	206,072	\$	10,541	\$	196,921	\$	1,550

The following tables provide details regarding impaired real estate construction, acquisition and development loans and leases, net of unearned income, by collateral type as of and for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012:

September 30, 2						
Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance of	Related Allowance	Average Recor Three months ended	rded Investment Nine months ended	Interest Income Three months ended	e Recognized Nine montl ended September
in Impaired Loans	Impaired Loans	for Credit Losses	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2013	_
(In thousands)						
\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
2,638	4,147	-	3,916	5,675	6	25
744	790	-	750	872	-	1
126	464	-	126	2,060	-	1
7,579	8,664	-	8,572	10,164	5	35
5,579 \$ 16,666	10,961	-	6,344 \$ 10.708	11,441	6 \$ 17	27 \$ 89
\$	\$ 23,020 \$ -	\$ - -	\$ - 86	\$ 30,212 \$ - 442	\$ - -	\$ -3
	Recorded Investment in Impaired Loans (In thousands) \$ - 2,638 744 126 7,579 \$ 16,666	Recorded Investment Principal Balance of in Impaired Loans Impaired Loans (In thousands) \$ - \$ - \$ - 2,638 4,147 744 790 126 464 7,579 8,664 \$ - \$ 25,026 \$ - \$ -	Recorded Investment Unpaid Principal Balance of Balance of Balance of Balance of Shallowance Related Allowance for Credit Losses in Impaired Loans Impaired Loans for Credit Losses (In thousands) ** - \$ - \$ - 2,638 4,147 - - 744 790 - - 126 464 - - 7,579 8,664 - - \$ 25,026 \$ - \$ - - - \$ - - -	Unpaid Related Investment Balance of Related Investment Balance of Related Investment Impaired Loans Loans Losses September 30, 2013	Unpaid Principal Balance of Allowance Related Investment Three months ended Nine months ended September 30, 2013	Name

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-Q

construction Recreation														
and all other														
loans	-		-		-		-		-		-		-	
Commercial construction Commercial acquisition	1,461		1,461		629		476		672		3		11	
and														
development Residential acquisition and	2,539		2,620		244		1,636		1,607		2		10	
development	2,520		2,585		764		2,889	,	5,971		4		39	
Total	\$	6,520	\$	6,666	\$	1,637	\$	5,087	\$	8,692	\$	9	\$	63
Total:														
Multi-family	*		Φ.		Φ.		A		Φ.		Φ.		Φ.	
construction	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1
One-to-four family														
construction	2,638		4,147		_		4,002	,	6,117		6		28	
Recreation	2,030		7,177		-		7,002		0,117		U		20	
and all other														
loans	744		790		-		750		872		-		1	
Commercial														
construction	1,587		1,925		629		602		2,732		3		12	
Commercial														
acquisition														
and	10 110	.	11.00	4	244		10.00	10	11 771		7		45	
development Residential	10,118	•	11,284	+	244		10,20	8	11,771	Ĺ	7		45	
acquisition														
and														
development	8,099		13,546	5	764		9,233	j	17,412	2	10		66	
Total	\$	23,186	\$	31,692	\$	1,637	\$	24,795	\$	38,904	\$	26	\$	152

	Decem	ber 31, 20								
	Record	ed	Unpaid Princip		Relate	ed				
	Investr		Balanc		Allow		Aver	age	Interest	
	in Impa		Impaire		for Cr		Reco	•	Income	
	Loans		Loans		Losses	S	Inves	stment	Recogn	ized
	(In tho	usands)								
With no related allowance:										
Multi-family construction	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
One-to-four family construction	8,475		13,586		-		8,070)	53	
Recreation and all other loans	1,117		1,335		-		623		5	
Commercial construction	5,714		6,646		-		3,585	5	51	
Commercial acquisition and										
development	13,753		15,786		-		12,14	45	63	
Residential acquisition and										
development	18,923		31,978		-		29,66	52	152	
Total	\$	47,982	\$	69,331	\$	-	\$	54,085	\$	324
With an allowance:										
Multi-family construction	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
One-to-four family construction	1,130		1,475		290		4,094	1	29	
Recreation and all other loans	-		-		-		69		-	
Commercial construction	-		-		-		1,255	5	15	
Commercial acquisition and										
development	1,711		1,960		563		9,206	6	74	
Residential acquisition and										
development	10,512		12,822		3,497		30,95	58	259	
Total	\$	13,353	\$	16,257	\$	4,350	\$	45,582	\$	377
Total:										
Multi-family construction	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
One-to-four family construction	9,605		15,061		290		12,16	54	82	
Recreation and all other loans	1,117		1,335		-		692		5	
Commercial construction	5,714		6,646		-		4,840)	66	
Commercial acquisition and										
development	15,464		17,746		563		21,35	51	137	
Residential acquisition and										
development	29,435 4		44,800		3,497		60,62	20	411	
Total	\$	61,335	\$	85,588	\$	4,350	\$	99,667	\$	701

Loans considered impaired under Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 310, Receivables ("FASB ASC 310"), are loans for which, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan

agreement. The Company's recorded investment in loans considered impaired at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$79.7 million and \$156.7 million, respectively. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$19.0 million and \$41.0 million, respectively, of those impaired loans had a valuation allowance of \$3.8 million and \$10.5 million, respectively. The remaining balance of impaired loans of \$60.7 million and \$115.7 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, were charged down to fair value, less estimated selling costs which approximated net realizable value. Therefore, such loans did not have an associated valuation allowance. Impaired loans that were characterized as troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") totaled \$25.0 million and \$47.3 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The average

recorded investment in impaired loans was \$88.7 million and \$117.5 million for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, and \$196.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Non-performing loans and leases ("NPLs") consist of non-accrual loans and leases, loans and leases 90 days or more past due and still accruing, and loans and leases that have been restructured (primarily in the form of reduced interest rates and modified payment terms) because of the borrower's weakened financial condition or bankruptcy proceedings. The following table presents information concerning NPLs as of the dates indicated:

	September 30, 2013 201			12	Dec 2012	ember 31,
	(In th	nousands)				
Non-accrual loans and leases	\$	121,353	\$	219,738	\$	207,241
Loans and leases 90 days or more past due, still accruing	1,479		1,4	42	1,210	
Restructured loans and leases still accruing	21,502		26,147		25,099	
Total non-performing loans and leases	\$	144,334	\$	247,327	\$	233,550

The Bank's policy for all loan classifications provides that loans and leases are generally placed in non-accrual status if, in management's opinion, payment in full of principal or interest is not expected or payment of principal or interest is more than 90 days past due, unless such loan or lease is both well-secured and in the process of collection. At September 30, 2013, the Company's geographic NPL distribution was concentrated primarily in its Alabama, Mississippi and Tennessee markets, including the greater Memphis, Tennessee area, a portion of which is in northwest Mississippi and Arkansas. The following table presents the Company's nonaccrual loans and leases by segment and class as of the dates indicated:

	Sept 2013	tember 30	0, 201	2	Decen 2012	nber 31,
	(In thousands)					
Commercial and industrial	\$	5,498	\$	8,674	\$	9,311
Real estate						
Consumer mortgages	30,5	69	35,	599	36,133	3
Home equity	3,28	7	3,4	71	3,497	
Agricultural	4,08	6	7,19	90	7,587	
Commercial and industrial-owner occupied	18,1	38	27,	059	20,910)
Construction, acquisition and development	26,1	27	92,	351	66,635	5
Commercial real estate	31,4	68	40,	514	57,656	6
Credit cards	196		465	i	415	
All other	1,98	4	4,4	15	5,097	

In the normal course of business, management will sometimes grant concessions, which would not otherwise be considered, to borrowers that are experiencing financial difficulty. Loans identified as meeting the criteria set out in FASB ASC 310 are identified as TDRs. The concessions granted most frequently for TDRs involve reductions or delays in required payments of principal and interest for a specified period, the rescheduling of payments in accordance with a bankruptcy plan or the charge-off of a portion of the loan. In most cases, the conditions of the credit also warrant nonaccrual status, even after the restructure occurs. Other conditions that warrant a loan being considered a TDR include reductions in interest rates to below market rates due to bankruptcy plans or by the bank in an attempt to assist the borrower in working through liquidity problems. As part of the credit approval process, the restructured loans are evaluated for adequate collateral protection in determining the appropriate accrual status at the time of restructure. TDRs recorded as nonaccrual loans may generally be returned to accrual status in periods after the restructure if there has been at least a six-month period of sustained repayment performance by the borrower in accordance with the terms of the restructured loan and the interest rate at the time of restructure was at or above market for a comparable loan. During the third quarter of 2013, the most common

concessions that were granted involved rescheduling payments of principal and interest over a longer amortization period, granting a period of reduced principal payment or interest only payment for a limited time period, or the rescheduling of payments in accordance with a bankruptcy plan.

The following tables summarize the financial effect of TDRs for the periods indicated:

	Three months ended September 30, 2013								
		Pre-Modifie	Post-Modification						
	Number	Outstanding	g	Outstanding					
	of	Recorded		Recorded					
	Contracts	Investment		Investment					
	(Dollars in								
Commercial and industrial	1	\$	835	\$	835				
Real estate									
Consumer mortgages	10	452		451					
Commercial and industrial-owner occupied	2	2,234		2,231					
Commercial real estate	3	1,406		1,403					
All other	3	1,112		1,112					
Total	19	\$	6,039	\$	6,032				

	Nine mont Number of Contracts	hs ended Se Pre-Modif Outstandin Recorded Investmen	rication ng	Post-Modif Outstanding Recorded Investment	g				
	(Dollars in thousands)								
Commercial and industrial	3	\$	919	\$	919				
Real estate									
Consumer mortgages	16	1,233		1,231					
Home equity	1	15		-					
Commercial and industrial-owner occupied	7	3,361		3,358					
Construction, acquisition and development	12	2,568		2,546					
Commercial real estate	4	1,574		1,570					
All other	3	1,112		1,112					
Total	46	\$	10,782	\$	10,736				

	Year ended December 31, 2012							
		Pre-Modi	fication	Post-Modification				
	Number	Outstandi	ng	Outstanding				
	of	Recorded		Recorded				
	Contracts	Investmen	nt	Investment				
	(Dollars in	thousands))					
Commercial and industrial	8	\$	1,686	\$	1,348			
Real estate								
Consumer mortgages	38	9,875		9,109				
Agricultural	2	853		861				
Commercial and industrial-owner occupied	30	14,367		13,741				
Construction, acquisition and development	37	21,583		21,159				
Commercial real estate	12	8,159		8,132				
All other	9	1,855		1,692				
Total	136	\$	58,378	\$	56,042			

The tables below summarize TDRs within the previous 12 months for which there was a payment default during the period indicated (i.e., 30 days or more past due at any given time during the period indicated).

	Three month 30, 2013 Number of Contracts	ns ended Septe Recorded Investment	mber		
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Real estate					
Consumer mortgages	2	\$	129		
Commercial and industrial-owner occupied	2	464			
Construction, acquisition and development	1	351			
Total	5	\$	944		

	Nine months ended September 2013				
	Number of	Recorded			
	Contracts	Investment			
	(Dollars in t				
Commercial and industrial	3	\$	129		
Real estate					
Consumer mortgages	7	580			
Commercial and industrial-owner occupied	5	730			
Construction, acquisition and development	3	1,874			
Commercial real estate	3	3,534			
All other	1	1			
Total	22	\$	6,848		

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-Q

	Number of	Recorded			
	Contracts	Investment			
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Commercial and industrial	2	\$	179		
Real estate					
Consumer mortgages	18	2,096			
Agricultural	1	170			
Commercial and industrial-owner occupied	11	2,659			
Construction, acquisition and development	21	5,503			
Commercial real estate	4	2,525			
All other	1	7			
Total	58	\$	13,139		

NOTE 3 – ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES

The following tables summarize the changes in the allowance for credit losses by segment and class for the periods indicated:

	Nine months ended September 30, 2013 Balance, Beginning of Period Charge-offs Re					overies	Pro	vision	En	lance, d of riod
	(In	thousands)								
Commercial and industrial	\$	23,286	\$	(3,835)	\$	2,156	\$	(2,275)	\$	19,332
Real estate										
Consumer mortgages	35,9		(7,72	*	3,33		4,79			,367
Home equity	6,00		(1,18	,	510		327			660
Agricultural	3,30)1	(498))	181		(182)	2)	2,8	302
Commercial and industrial-owner	• • •									
occupied	20,1	178	(2,81	4)	1,99	90	(1,6)	40)	17.	,714
Construction, acquisition and					2.10			10)		00.5
development	21,9		(4,96	*	2,19		(6,2		12,925	
Commercial real estate	40,0		(9,302)		4,194		9,81		44,788 3,582	
Credit cards	3,61		(1,75	•	496		1,232			
All other	10,1		(1,79	*	814		1,64			,804
Total	\$	164,466	\$	(33,864)	\$	15,872	\$	7,500	3	153,974
	Year ended December 31, 2012 Balance, Beginning of Period Charge-offs		Recoveries Provision					Balance, End of Period		
	-	thousands)	Φ.	(10.060)	Φ.	7 006	Φ.	7 .020	ф	22.206
Commercial and industrial	\$	20,724	\$	(12,362)	\$	7,096	\$	7,828	\$	23,286
Real estate	26.5	30	(12.1	22)	1.00	06	10.5	722	25	066
Consumer mortgages	36,5 8,63		(13,1) $(2,72)$		1,83 496		10,7		55, 6,0	,966
Home equity Agricultural	3,92		(2,72) $(1,24)$	*	126		494	*	3,3	
Commercial and industrial-owner	3,92	21	(1,24	.0)	120		494		3,3	001
occupied	21,9	20	(9,01	5)	2,69)6	4,56	58	20	,178
Construction, acquisition and	21,,	,2)	(),01	3)	2,07	, O	7,50	50	20	,170
development	45,5	562	(33,0	(85)	8,40)7	1,02	21	21.	,905
Commercial real estate	39,4		(12,7)	•	8,53		4,82			,081
Credit cards	4,02		(2,22)	•	527		1,284		3,611	
All other	14,3		(2,90	•	1,02		(2,3)			,133
Total	\$	195,118	\$	(89,398)	\$	30,746	\$	28,000	\$	164,466

Nine months ended September 30, 2012

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-Q

	Bala	Balance,									
	Beg	inning of							End of		
	Peri	od	Chai	rge-offs	Rec	coveries	Provision		Period		
	(In t	housands)									
Commercial and industrial	\$	20,724	\$	(10,188)	\$	3,589	\$	7,580	\$	21,705	
Real estate											
Consumer mortgages	36,529		(9,333)		1,017		3		28,216		
Home equity	8,630		(1,65)	(1,657) 430)	(1,482)		5,921		
Agricultural	3,921 (784)		.)	116)	(599)		2,654			
Commercial and industrial-owner											
occupied	21,9	29	(7,594)		2,135		2,849		19,	319	
Construction, acquisition and											
development	45,5	662	(27,7)	799)	6,78	86	7,99	93	32,	542	
Commercial real estate	39,4	44	(8,70)	02)	6,33	30	5,09	99	42,	171	
Credit cards	4,02	21	(1,690)		383		455		3,169		
All other	14,3	358	(1,927)		789		102		13,322		
Total	\$	195,118	\$	(69,674)	\$	21,575	\$	22,000	\$	169,019	

The following tables provide the allowance for credit losses by segment, class and impairment status as of the dates indicated::

	September 30, 2013									
	Recorde	ed	Allowance for Impaired Loans		Allowa	nce for				
	Balance	of			All Oth	er Loans	Total			
	Impaired Loans		and Leases		and Leases		Allowance			
	(In thousands)									
Commercial and industrial	\$	2,861	\$	283	\$	19,049	\$	19,332		
Real estate										
Consumer mortgages	9,660	660 489		35,878			36,367			
Home equity	1,305		23		5,637		5,660			
Agricultural	3,622		-		2,802		2,802			
Commercial and industrial-owner occupied	12,742		1,119		16,595		17,	714		
Construction, acquisition and development	23,186		1,637		11,288		12,	925		
Commercial real estate	25,886		292		44,496		44,	788		
Credit cards	-		-		3,582		3,5	82		
All other	483		-		10,804		10,	804		
Total	\$	79,745	\$	3,843	\$	150,131	\$	153,974		

	December 31, 2012									
	Recorded		Allowa	Allowance for		nce for				
	Balanc	e of	Impaired Loans		All Oth	er Loans	Total			
	Impaired Loans		and Leases		and Leases		Allowance			
	(In thousands)									
Commercial and industrial	\$	4,845	\$	1,241	\$	22,045	\$	23,286		
Real estate										
Consumer mortgages	15,107		1,103		34,863		35,966			
Home equity	1,380		111		5,894		6,005			
Agricultural	5,382		92		3,209		3,301			
Commercial and industrial-owner occupied	16,720		864		19,314		20,178			
Construction, acquisition and development	61,335		4,350		17,555		21,	905		
Commercial real estate	50,413		2,720		37,361		40,	081		
Credit cards	-		-		3,611		3,6	11		
All other	1,546		60		10,073		10,	133		
Total	\$	156,728	\$	10,541	\$	153,925	\$	164,466		

Management evaluates impaired loans individually in determining the adequacy of the allowance for impaired loans. As a result of the Company individually evaluating loans of \$500,000 or more that are 60 or more days past due for impairment, further review of remaining loans collectively, as well as the corresponding potential allowance, would be immaterial in the opinion of management.

NOTE 4 – OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED

The following table presents the activity in OREO for the periods indicated:

	- 1	e months e tember 30,	nde		Year e Decem 2012	nded lber 31,
	(In t	thousands)				
Balance at beginning of period	\$	103,248	\$	173,805	\$	173,805
Additions to foreclosed properties						
New foreclosed properties	21,3	397	23,938		32,389)
Reductions in foreclosed properties						
Sales	(42,	785)	(53)	3,328)	(81,22	0)
Writedowns	(5,0)	07)	(16	5,204)	(21,72)	6)
Balance at end of period	\$	76,853	\$ 128,211		\$	103,248

The following tables present the OREO by geographical location, segment and class as of the dates indicated:

	Septem Alabam and Flo Panhan	ia rida	2013 Arkansas*	Mississippi*	Missouri	Greater Memphis Area	Tennessee*	Texas and Louisiana	Other
	(In thou	ısands)							
Commercial and									
industrial	\$	251	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
Real estate									
Consumer									
mortgages	1,886		634	1,876	-	543	186	60	108
Home equity	442		58	-	-	-	175	-	-
Agricultural	907		-	216	-	1,153	1,835	-	-
Commercial and									
industrial-owner									
occupied	246		112	1,118	-	348	-	105	-
Construction,									
acquisition and									
development	15,820		741	11,749	400	24,941	7,680	57	242
~	352		316	569	-	980	150	140	-

Commercial real

estate

All other - 58 132 98 28 14 94 33 Total \$ 19,904 \$ 1,919 \$ 15,660 \$ 498 \$ 27,993 \$ 10,040 \$ 456 \$ 383

* Excludes the Greater Memphis Area.

	December 31 Alabama and Florida Panhandle (In thousands	Arkansas*	Mississippi*	Missouri	Greater Memphis Area	Tennessee*	Texas and Louisiana	Other
Commercial and	•	•	. 100					
industrial	\$ 395	5 \$ -	\$ 106	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Real estate Consumer								1
mortgages	1,714	173	2,220	-	961	624	760	3,665
Home equity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agricultural	856	-	99	-	1,089	2,169	212	
Commercial and								!
industrial-owner								ŗ
occupied	155	146	1,602	-	2,630	66	146	!
Construction,								I
acquisition and	12.610	1 420	15 (50	704	25.717	0.505	1 0 4 4	4.40
development Commercial	13,610	1,430	15,659	734	35,717	9,535	1,844	448
real estate	478	1,420	3	263	819	76	176	-
All other	46	16	227	92	734	12	89	32
Total	\$ 17,254		\$ 19,916	\$ 1,089	\$ 41,950	\$ 12,482	\$ 3,227	\$ 4,14
* Excludes the Gr	reater Memph	is Area.						

	Sept	ember 30,	2012										
	Alab and l	ama Florida							Grea Mem			Texas and	
		andle nousands)	Ark	ansas*	Mis	sissippi*	Missouri	İ	Area		Tennessee*	Louisiana	Other
Commercial and													
industrial	\$	411	\$	94	\$	105	\$	-	\$	797	\$ -	\$ -	\$
Real estate													
Consumer													
mortgages	2,62	1	251		2,64	15	71		1,350)	634	290	4,084
Home equity	-		-	-			-		-		-	-	-
Agricultural	876		-		-		-		1,130)	2,303	-	-
Commercial and													
industrial-owner													
occupied	533		69		1,51	10	-		375		50	146	-
Construction,													
acquisition and													
development	16,9	53	1,64	1 7	15,8	300	880		41,73	32	13,929	2,163	523
Commercial													
real estate	826		1,54	18	2,21	19	362		7,014	1	245	226	-
All other	46		16		224		113		1,152	2	-	-	32
Total	\$	22,266	\$	3,625	\$	22,719	\$ 1,426	6	\$ 5	3,550	\$ 17,161	\$ 2,825	\$ 4,6

* Excludes the Greater Memphis Area.

The Company incurred total foreclosed property expenses of \$3.3 million and \$8.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Realized net losses on dispositions and holding losses on valuations of these properties, a component of total foreclosed property expenses, were \$2.1 million and \$7.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company incurred total foreclosed property expenses of \$8.9 million and \$27.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Realized net losses on dispositions and holding losses on valuations of these properties, a component of total foreclosed property expenses, were \$5.3 million and \$20.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

NOTE 5 – SECURITIES

A comparison of amortized cost and estimated fair values of available-for-sale securities as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 follows:

September 30, 2013

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
	(In thousands)			
U.S. Government agencies	\$ 1,512,554	\$ 11,921	\$ 5,016	\$ 1,519,459
Government agency issued residential				
mortgage-backed securities	265,163	4,673	1,469	268,367
Government agency issued commercial				
mortgage-backed securities	238,489	2,026	11,103	229,412
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	516,784	14,749	2,644	528,889
Other	6,935	1,094	-	8,029
Total	\$ 2,539,925	\$ 34,463	\$ 20,232	\$ 2,554,156

	December 31, 2012 Gross Gross Estimated									
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair						
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value						
	(In thousands)									
U.S. Government agencies	\$ 1,380,979	\$ 21,081	\$ 64	\$ 1,401,996						
Government agency issued residential										
mortgage-backed securities	358,677	8,457	259	366,875						
Government agency issued commercial										
mortgage-backed securities	87,314	4,266	135	91,445						
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	531,940	34,049	116	565,873						
Other	7,052	791	-	7,843						

Total \$ 2,365,962 \$ 68,644 \$ 574 \$ 2,434,032

Gross gains of approximately \$43,000 and gross losses of approximately \$26,000 were recognized on available-for-sale securities during the first nine months of 2013, while gross gains of approximately \$329,000 and gross losses of approximately \$39,000 were recognized during the first nine months of 2012.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of available-for-sale securities at September 30, 2013 by contractual maturity are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Equity securities are considered as maturing after ten years.

	September 30	, 2013	
		Estimated	Weighted
	Amortized	Fair	Average
	Cost	Value	Yield
	(Dollars in the	ousands)	
Maturing in one year or less	\$ 518,661	\$ 521,825	1.62 %
Maturing after one year through five years	1,132,003	1,138,516	1.33
Maturing after five years through ten years	161,230	165,642	5.74
Maturing after ten years	224,379	230,394	5.92
Mortgage-backed securities	503,652	497,779	2.04
Total	\$ 2,539,925	\$ 2,554,156	

The following tables summarize information pertaining to temporarily impaired available-for-sale securities with continuous unrealized loss positions at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	Co	eptember 30 ontinuous U ess Than 12	Jnrea	lized Los		ition Months or	· Longer		To	otal			
	Fa	ir	Unr	ealized	Fair		Unreal	ized	Fair		Unrealized		
	Va	alue	Los	ses	Val	ue	Losses		Value		Loss	ses	
	(Ir	n thousands	s)										
U.S. Government agencies Government agency issued residential	\$	396,667	\$	5,016	\$	-	\$	-	\$	396,667	\$	5,016	
mortgage-backed securities Government agency issued commercial	74,939		1,319		4,749		150		79,688		1,46	9	
mortgage-backed securities Obligations of states and	19	0,975	10,9	000	10,6	506	203		20	1,581	11,1	03	
political subdivisions	10	2,323	2,59	7	773		47		10	3,096	2,64	.4	
Other	_		_		_		_		_		_		
Total	\$	764,904	\$	19,832	\$	16,128	\$	400	\$	781,032	\$	20,232	

	Continuous	December 31, 2012 Continuous Unrealized Loss Position Less Than 12 Months 12 Months or Longer Total											
	Fair	_	Unrealized		Fair		d	Fair		Unrealized			
	Value	Losses	8	Value		Losses		Value		Losses			
	(In thousand	ds)											
U.S. Government agencies	\$ 47,395	\$	64	\$	-	\$	-	\$	47,395	\$	64		
Government agency issued													
residential mortgage-backed securities	55,939	145		2,839		114		58,	778	259			

Government agency issued										
commercial										
mortgage-backed securities	26,239	135		-		-		26,239	135	
Obligations of states and										
political subdivisions	9,247	73		313		43		9,560	116	
Other	-	-		-		-		-	-	
Total	\$ 138.820	\$	417	\$	3.152	\$	157	\$ 141.972	\$	574

Based upon a review of the credit quality of these securities, and considering that the issuers were in compliance with the terms of the securities, management has no intent to sell these securities, and it is more likely than not that the Company would not be required to sell the securities prior to recovery of costs. Therefore, the impairments related to these securities were determined to be temporary. No other-than-temporary impairment was recorded during the first nine months of 2013.

NOTE 6 – PER SHARE DATA

Basic earnings per share ("EPS") are calculated using the two-class method. The two-class method provides that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of basic

EPS. Diluted EPS is computed using the weighted-average number of shares determined for the basic EPS computation plus the shares resulting from the assumed exercise of all outstanding share-based awards using the treasury stock method. Weighted-average antidilutive stock options to purchase 1.6 million and 1.8 million shares of Company common stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$23.42 and \$23.40 per share for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, were excluded from diluted shares. There were no antidilutive other equity awards for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012. Weighted-average antidilutive stock options to purchase 2.9 million shares of Company common stock for both the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012, with a weighted average exercise price of \$20.59 and \$20.67 per share for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, were excluded from diluted shares. Antidilutive other equity awards of approximately 50,000 and 17,000 shares of Company common stock for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, were also excluded from diluted shares. The following tables provide a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted earnings per share computations for the periods shown:

	Thre 2013	ee months								
	Income (Numerator)		Shares (Denominator)	Per Share Amount		Income (Numerator)		Shares (Denominator)	Per Share Amount	
Basic EPS Income available to common	(In th	ousands, e	xcept per share a	nount	es)					
shareholders Effect of dilutive share-	\$	24,857	95,201	\$	0.26	\$	23,825	94,438	\$	0.25
based awards		-	318				-	91		
Diluted EPS Income available to common shareholders plus assumed exercise of all outstanding share-based awards	\$	24,857	95,519	\$	0.26	\$	23,825	94,529	\$	0.25
			ended September	30,	2010	,				
	2013 Income (Numerator)		Shares (Denominator)	Per Share Amount		2012 Income (Numerator)		Shares (Denominator)	Per S	Share ount

Basic EPS	(In th	In thousands, except per share amounts)											
Income available to													
common													
shareholders	\$	66,417	94,991		\$	0.70	\$	67,315	93,534		\$	0.72	
Effect of dilutive share-													
based awards		-	236					-	79				
Diluted EPS													
Income available to													
common													
shareholders plus													
assumed													
exercise of all													
outstanding													
share-based awards	\$	66,417	95,227		\$	0.70	\$	67,315	93,613		\$	0.72	

NOTE 7 – COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The following tables present the components of other comprehensive (loss) income and the related tax effects allocated to each component for the periods indicated:

	Thre 201:	ee month	s ended	l Septe	mber	30,	201	2				
	Befo				Net		201 Bef	Before			Net	t
	tax		Tax effect		of ta		tax	ount	Ta:	x ect	of t	
Net unrealized (losses) gains on available-for- sale securities: Unrealized (losses) gains arising	(In t	housands	s)									
during holding period	\$	(1,439)	\$	554	\$	(885)	\$	6,820	\$	(2,613)	\$	4,207
Reclassification adjustment for net losses (gains) realized in net	Ψ	(1,137)	Ψ	331	Ψ	(005)	Ψ	0,020	Ψ	(2,013)	Ψ	1,207
income (1)	5		(1)		4		(39)	15		(24	.)
Recognized employee benefit plan												
net periodic benefit cost (2)	1,33	57	(512)		825		704	-	(26	59)	435	5
Other comprehensive (loss)												
income	\$	(97)	\$	41	\$	(56)	\$	7,485	\$	(2,867)	\$	4,618
Net income					24,8	57					23,	825
Comprehensive income					\$	24,801					\$	28,443

Nine months ended September 30, 2013

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-Q

	Before tax amount	Tax effect	Net of tax amount	Before tax amount	Tax effect	Net of tax amount
Net unrealized (losses) gains on available-for- sale securities:	(In thousands	s)				
Unrealized (losses) gains arising	¢ (52.920)	¢ 20.610	¢ (22.210)	¢ 10.510	¢ (4.024)	¢ 6.405
during holding period Reclassification adjustment for	\$ (53,820)	\$ 20,610	\$ (33,210)	\$ 10,519	\$ (4,034)	\$ 6,485
net gains realized in net income						
(1)	(17)	7	(10)	(290)	111	(179)
Recognized employee benefit						
plan						
net periodic benefit cost (2)	4,011	(1,534)	2,477	3,088	(1,181)	1,907
Other comprehensive (loss)						
income	\$ (49,826)	\$ 19,083	\$ (30,743)	\$ 13,317	\$ (5,104)	\$ 8,213
Net income			66,417			67,315
Comprehensive income			\$ 35,674			\$ 75,528

⁽¹⁾ Reclassification adjustments for net gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities are reported as net security gains (losses) on the consolidated statements of income.

⁽²⁾ Recognized employee benefit plan net periodic benefit cost include amortization of unrecognized transition amount, recognized prior service cost and recognized net loss. For more information, see Note 9 - Pension Benefits.

NOTE 8 – GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The carrying amounts of goodwill by operating segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 were as follows:

		mmunity nking		eurance encies	То	tal
	(In	thousands)				
Balance as of December 31, 2012	\$	217,618	\$	57,555	\$	275,173
Goodwill recorded during the period	-		-		-	
Purchase accounting adjustments	-		-		-	
Balance as of September 30, 2013	\$	217,618	\$	57,555	\$	275,173

The Company's policy is to assess goodwill for impairment at the reporting segment level on an annual basis or sooner if an event occurs or circumstances change which indicate that the fair value of a reporting segment is below its carrying amount. Impairment is the condition that exists when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. Accounting standards require management to estimate the fair value of each reporting segment in assessing impairment at least annually. The Company's annual assessment date is during the Company's fourth quarter. No events occurred during the first nine months of 2013 that indicated the necessity of an earlier goodwill impairment assessment.

In the current economic environment, forecasting cash flows, credit losses and growth in addition to valuing the Company's assets with any degree of assurance is very difficult and subject to significant changes over very short periods of time. Management will continue to update its analysis as circumstances change. As market conditions continue to be volatile and unpredictable, impairment of goodwill related to the Company's reporting segments may be necessary in future periods.

The following tables present information regarding the components of the Company's identifiable intangible assets for the dates and periods indicated:

As of As of

	Septen	nber 30, 20)13		December 31, 2012					
	Gross	Carrying	Accun	nulated	Gross	Carrying	Accumulated			
	Amou	Amount		ization	Amou	nt	Amortization			
Amortized intangible assets:	(In tho	usands)								
Core deposit intangibles	\$	27,801	\$	22,115	\$	27,801	\$	21,674		
Customer relationship intangibles	36,239)	27,695		36,239)	26,098			
Non-solicitation intangibles	525		264		525		151			
Total	\$	64,565	\$	50,074	\$	64,565	\$	47,923		
Unamortized intangible assets:										
Trade names	\$	688	\$	-	\$	688	\$	-		

	Three n		ended			nonths enother 30,	nded	
	2013		2012		2013		2012	
Aggregate amortization expense for:	(In thou	isands)						
Core deposit intangibles	\$	141	\$	235	\$	441	\$	712
Customer relationship intangibles	507		579		1,597		1,588	
Non-solicitation intangibles	38		47		113		66	
Total	\$	686	\$	861	\$	2,151	\$	2,366

The following table presents information regarding estimated amortization expense on the Company's amortizable identifiable intangible assets for the year ending December 31, 2013 and the succeeding four years:

	Core De Intangit		Relationship		Non- Solicitat Intangib		Total	
Estimated Amortization Expense:	(In thou	sands)						
For year ending December 31, 2013	\$	582	\$	2,101	\$	150	\$	2,833
For year ending December 31, 2014	526		1,820		150		2,496	
For year ending December 31, 2015	487		1,497		75		2,059	
For year ending December 31, 2016	451		1,161		-		1,612	
For year ending December 31, 2017	419		992		-		1,411	

NOTE 9 – PENSION BENEFITS

The following table presents the components of net periodic benefit costs for the periods indicated:

	Three mon September		Nine mont September	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(In thousa	nds)		
Service cost	\$ 2,684	\$ 2,068	\$ 8,052	\$ 7,252
Interest cost	2,053	1,934	6,159	6,078
Expected return on assets	(2,743)	(2,765)	(8,229)	(8,447)
Amortization of unrecognized transition amount	5	3	15	13
Recognized prior service cost	(192)	(192)	(576)	(576)
Recognized net loss	1,524	893	4,572	3,651
Net periodic benefit costs	\$ 3,331	\$ 1,941	\$ 9,993	\$ 7,971

NOTE 10 - RECENT PRONOUNCEMENTS

In April 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standards update ("ASU") regarding reconsideration of effective control for repurchase agreements. This ASU removes from the assessment of effective control (1) the criterion requiring the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee, and (2) the collateral maintenance implementation guidance related to that criterion. Other criteria applicable to the assessment of effective control are not changed by this ASU. The ASU is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011 and should be applied prospectively to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. The adoption of this ASU did not have a material impact on the financial position and results of operations of the Company.

In May 2011, the FASB issued an ASU regarding amendments to achieve common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). This ASU provides amendments to ensure that fair value has the same meaning in U.S. GAAP and IFRS and that their respective fair value measurements and disclosure requirements are the same. The ASU is effective during interim

and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and should be applied prospectively. The adoption of this ASU did not have a material impact on the financial position and results of operations of the Company.

In June 2011, the FASB issued an ASU regarding the presentation of comprehensive income. This ASU amends existing guidance and eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in shareholders' equity. This ASU requires that comprehensive income be presented in either a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. This ASU is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this ASU changed the manner in which the Company's other comprehensive income is disclosed and did not have an impact on the financial position and results of operations of the Company.

In September 2011, the FASB issued an ASU regarding goodwill impairment. This ASU gives companies the option to perform a qualitative assessment of whether it is more likely than not that a reporting unit's fair value is less than its carrying value as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test. This ASU is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this ASU did not have an impact on the financial position and results of operations of the Company.

In July 2012, the FASB issued an ASU regarding indefinite-lived intangible assets impairment. This ASU permits companies to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the quantitative impairment test on that asset. This ASU is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after September 15, 2012. This ASU did not have an impact on the financial position and results of operations of the Company.

In January 2013, the FASB issued an ASU regarding clarification of the scope of disclosures about offsetting assets and liabilities. This ASU limits the scope of the new balance sheet offsetting disclosures in the original ASU issued in 2011 to derivatives, repurchase agreements, and securities lending transactions to the extent that they are (1) offset in the financial statements or (2) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. This ASU is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The adoption of this ASU affected disclosures only and did not have an impact on the financial position and results of operations of the Company.

In February 2013, the FASB issued an ASU regarding the reporting of amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. This ASU requires entities to present information about reclassification adjustments from accumulated other comprehensive income in their interim and annual financial statements in a single note or on the face of the financial statements. This ASU is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of this ASU affected disclosures only and did not have an impact on the financial position and results of operations of the Company.

NOTE 11 - SEGMENT REPORTING

The Company is a financial holding company with subsidiaries engaged in the business of banking and activities closely related to banking. The Company determines reportable segments based upon the services offered, the significance of those services to the Company's financial condition and operating results and management's regular review of the operating results of those services. The Company's primary segment is Community Banking, which includes providing a full range of deposit products, commercial loans and consumer loans. The Company has also designated two additional reportable segments -- Insurance Agencies and General Corporate and Other. The

Company's insurance agencies serve as agents in the sale of commercial lines of insurance and full lines of property and casualty, life, health and employee benefits products and services. The General Corporate and Other operating segment includes mortgage lending, trust services, credit card activities, investment services and other activities not allocated to the Community Banking or Insurance Agencies operating segments.

Results of operations and selected financial information by operating segment for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	Community Banking (In thousands)			Insui Ager		Co	neral rporate l Other	Total		
Three months ended September 30, 2013:										
Results of Operations										
Net interest revenue	\$		93,404	\$	41	\$	6,796	\$	100,241	
Provision for credit losses	95			-		405	5	500		
Net interest revenue after provision for										
credit losses	93,	309		41		6,3	91	99,7	41	
Noninterest revenue	27,	443		24,8	78	10,	193	62,5	14	
Noninterest expense	80,	733		21,5	15	27,	149	129,	397	
Income (loss) before income taxes	40,0	019		3,404	4	(10	,565)	32,858		
Income tax expense (benefit)	10,180			1,542	2	(3,	721)	8,001		
Net income (loss)	\$ 29,839		\$	1,862	\$	(6,844)	\$	24,857		
Selected Financial Information										
Total assets at end of period	\$	9,	773,134	\$	186,003	\$	2,957,016	\$	12,916,153	
Depreciation and amortization	5,63	58		858		\$	728	7,24	4	
Three months ended September 30, 2012:										
Results of Operations										
Net interest revenue	\$		96,981	\$	62	\$	6,336	\$	103,379	
Provision for credit losses	5,72	26		-		274	1	6,00		
Net interest revenue after provision for										
credit losses	91,2	255		62		6,0	62	97,3	79	
Noninterest revenue	28,	826		23,40	51	18,	133	70,4	20	
Noninterest expense	84,0	672		20,72	21		395	133,	788	
Income (loss) before income taxes	35,4			2,802			200)	34,0		
Income tax expense (benefit)	11,150		1,14			111)	10,1			
Net income (loss)	\$		24,259	\$	1,655	\$	(2,089)	\$	23,825	
Selected Financial Information			,		, -	•	() -)		, -	
Total assets at end of period	\$	10.	085,948	\$	176,027	\$ 2,973,762		2 \$ 13,235,737		
Depreciation and amortization	5,9			953	, -	732		7,65		

	Community Banking	Insurance Agencies		General Corporate and Other	Total
	(In thousands)			
Nine months ended September 30,					
2013					
Results of Operations	Φ 270.25	72 A	101	ф. 10.020	Φ 206.522
Net interest revenue	\$ 278,37	73 \$	131	\$ 18,028	\$ 296,532
Provision for credit losses	6,334	-		1,166	7,500
Net interest revenue after provision for credit losses	272 020	121		16.060	200 022
Noninterest revenue	272,039 80,670	131 77,202		16,862 52,069	289,032 209,941
Noninterest revenue Noninterest expense	248,494	64,913		93,612	407,019
Income (loss) before income taxes	104,215	12,420		(24,681)	91,954
Income tax expense (benefit)	33,830	3,619		(11,912)	25,537
Net income (loss)	\$ 70,38	· ·	8,801	\$ (12,769)	\$ 66,417
Selected Financial Information	Ψ 70,30	<i>γ</i>	0,001	ψ (12,70))	Ψ 00,117
Total assets at end of period	\$ 9,773,13	34 \$ 1	186,003	\$ 2,957,016	\$ 12,916,153
Depreciation and amortization	17,174	2,637	,	2,214	22,025
Nine months ended September 30, 2012					
Results of Operations					
Net interest revenue	\$ 294,72	20 \$	211	\$ 18,799	\$ 313,730
Provision for credit losses	20,242	-		1,758	22,000
Net interest revenue after provision for					
credit losses	274,478	211		17,041	291,730
Noninterest revenue	85,536	69,516		54,196	209,248
Noninterest expense	263,236	58,882		83,856	405,974
Income (loss) before income taxes	96,778	10,845		(12,619)	95,004
Income tax expense (benefit)	30,054	4,370		(6,735)	27,689
Net income (loss)	\$ 66,72	24 \$	6,475	\$ (5,884)	\$ 67,315
Selected Financial Information	φ 10.00 .	10 0	156625	A A C T C T C C	h 12 22 7 7 5 7
Total assets at end of period	\$ 10,085,94		176,027	\$ 2,973,762	\$ 13,235,737
Depreciation and amortization	17,835	2,669		2,198	22,702

NOTE 12 - MORTGAGE SERVICING RIGHTS

Mortgage servicing rights ("MSRs"), which are recognized as a separate asset on the date the corresponding mortgage loan is sold, are recorded at fair value as determined at each accounting period end. An estimate of the fair value of the Company's MSRs is determined utilizing assumptions about factors such as mortgage interest rates, discount rates,

mortgage loan prepayment speeds, market trends and industry demand. Data and assumptions used in the fair value calculation related to MSRs as of the dates indicated were as follows:

	September 3	30,	December 31,
	2013	2012	2012
	(Dollars in t	chousands)	
	\$	\$	\$
Unpaid principal balance	5,543,619	4,841,075	5,058,912
Weighted-average prepayment speed (CPR)	11.4	18.4	17.1
Discount rate (annual percentage)	10.3	10.8	10.8
Weighted-average coupon interest rate (percentage)	4.2	4.5	4.4
Weighted-average remaining maturity (months)	308.0	307.0	307.0
Weighted-average servicing fee (basis points)	26.7	27.4	27.1

Because the valuation is determined by using discounted cash flow models, the primary risk inherent in valuing the MSRs is the impact of fluctuating interest rates on the estimated life of the servicing revenue stream. The use of different estimates or assumptions could also produce different fair values. The Company does not hedge the change in fair value of MSRs and, therefore, the Company is susceptible to significant fluctuations in the fair value of its MSRs in changing interest rate environments.

The Company has only one class of mortgage servicing asset comprised of closed end loans for one-to-four family residences, secured by first liens. The following table presents the activity in this class for the periods indicated:

	2013		2012	
Fair value as of January 1	(In th	ousands) 37,882	\$	30,174
Additions:				
Origination of servicing assets	12,10)6	13,38	5
Changes in fair value:				
Due to payoffs/paydowns	(5,00)	4)	(5,644	!)
Due to change in valuation inputs or assumptions				
used in the valuation model	6,049)	(3,346)	5)
Other changes in fair value	(8)		(7)	
Fair value as of September 30	\$	51,025	\$	34,562

All of the changes to the fair value of the MSRs are recorded as part of mortgage lending noninterest revenue on the income statement. As part of mortgage lending noninterest revenue, the Company recorded contractual servicing fees of \$3.7 million and \$3.2 million and late and other ancillary fees of approximately \$335,000 and \$361,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company recorded contractual servicing fees of \$10.8 million and \$9.5 million and late and other ancillary fees of \$1.0 million for both the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

NOTE 13 – DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND OFFSETTING ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The derivatives held by the Company include commitments to fund fixed-rate mortgage loans to customers and forward commitments to sell individual fixed-rate mortgage loans. The Company's objective in obtaining the forward commitments is to mitigate the interest rate risk associated with the commitments to fund the fixed-rate mortgage loans. Both the commitments to fund fixed-rate mortgage loans and the forward commitments to sell individual fixed-rate mortgage loans are reported at fair value, with adjustments being recorded in current period earnings, and are not accounted for as hedges. At September 30, 2013, the notional amount of forward commitments to sell individual fixed-rate mortgage loans was \$120.7 million with a carrying value and fair value reflecting a loss of \$2.2 million. At September 30, 2012, the notional amount of forward commitments to sell individual fixed-rate mortgage loans was \$220.9 million with a carrying value and fair value reflecting a loss of \$4.4 million. At September 30, 2013, the notional amount of commitments to fund individual fixed-rate mortgage loans was \$88.5 million with a carrying value and fair value reflecting a gain of approximately \$2.3 million. At September 30, 2012, the notional

amount of commitments to fund individual fixed-rate mortgage loans was \$251.5 million with a carrying value and fair value reflecting a gain of \$7.1 million.

The Company also enters into derivative financial instruments in the form of interest rate swaps to meet the financing, interest rate and equity risk management needs of its customers. Upon entering into these interest rate swaps to meet customer needs, the Company enters into offsetting positions to minimize interest rate and equity risk to the Company. These derivative financial instruments are reported at fair value with any resulting gain or loss recorded in current period earnings. These instruments and their offsetting positions are recorded in other assets and other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets. As of September 30, 2013, the notional amount of customer related derivative financial instruments was \$438.0 million with an average maturity of 55 months, an average interest receive rate of 2.5% and an average interest pay rate of 5.6%. As of September 30, 2012, the notional amount of customer related derivative financial instruments was \$483.0 million with an average maturity of 60 months, an average interest receive rate of 2.5% and an average interest pay rate of 5.8%.

Certain financial instruments such as derivatives, may be eligible for offset in the consolidated balance sheet and/or subject to master netting arrangements or similar agreements. The Bank's derivative transactions with

upstream financial institution counterparties are generally executed under International Swaps and Derivative Association master agreements which include "right of set-off" provisions. In such cases there is generally a legally enforceable right to offset recognized amounts and there may be an intention to settle such amounts on a net basis. Nonetheless, the Bank does not generally offset such financial instruments for financial reporting purposes.

The following table presents components of financial instruments eligible for offsetting for the periods indicated:

	September 30, 2013 Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheet Financial											
	Gross . Recogn	Amount nized			Net An Recogn				Collateral Pledged		Net Am	ount
Financial assets: Derivatives: Forward	(In tho	usands)										
commitments Loan/lease interest rate	\$	2,283	\$	-	\$	2,283	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,283
swaps Total financial	33,130)	-		33,130		-		-		33,1	30
assets	\$	35,413	\$ -	-	\$	35,413	\$	-	\$	-	\$	35,413
Financial liabilities: Derivatives: Forward												
commitments Loan/lease interest rate	\$	2,257	\$ -	-	\$	2,257	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,257
swaps Repurchase	33,130)	-		33,130		-		(33,	,130)	-	
arrangements Total financial	418,62	23	-	,	418,623	3	(4)	18,623)	-		-	
liabilities	\$	454,010	\$ -	-	\$	454,010	\$	(418,623)	\$	(33,130)	\$	2,257

December 31, 2012

	Decem	10C1 31, 2012	_				in	oss Amounts the Consolid lance Sheet				
	Gross . Recogn	Amount nized	Gross Amour Offset	nt	Net Ar Recogn			nancial truments		ateral	Net Am	ount
Financial assets: Derivatives: Forward	(In tho	usands)										
commitments Loan/lease interest rate	\$	4,168	\$	-	\$	4,168	\$	-	\$	-	\$	4,168
swaps Total financial	52,154		-		52,154		-		-		52,1	154
assets	\$	56,322	\$	-	\$	56,322	\$	-	\$	-	\$	56,322
Financial liabilities: Derivatives: Forward commitments	\$	622	\$	-	\$	622	\$	-	\$	-	\$	622
Loan/lease interest rate swaps	52,154		-		52,154		_		(52,1	154)	_	
Repurchase arrangements Total financial	414,61		-		414,61		(41	4,611)	-	•	-	
liabilities	\$	467,387	\$	-	\$	467,387	\$	(414,611)	\$	(52,154)	\$	622

September 30, 2012

	Septen	illoci 50, 201	2				in t	oss Amounts he Consolid ance Sheet	ated			
	Gross Recog	Amount nized	Gross Amou Offset	ınt	Net A			ancial truments	Col	ancial lateral dged	Net Am	ount
Financial assets: Derivatives: Forward	(In the	usands)										
commitments Loan/lease interest rate	\$	7,065	\$	-	\$	7,065	\$	-	\$	-	\$	7,065
swaps Total financial	55,706)	-		55,706	Ó	-		-		55,7	706
assets	\$	62,771	\$	-	\$	62,771	\$	-	\$	-	\$	62,771
Financial liabilities: Derivatives: Forward commitments Loan/lease	\$	4,371	\$	-	\$	4,371	\$	-	\$	-	\$	4,371
interest rate swaps	55,706	•	-		55,706	5	-		(55	,706)	-	
Repurchase arrangements Total financial	377,67	76	-		377,67	76	(37	7,676)	-		-	
liabilities	\$	437,753	\$	_	\$	437,753	\$	(377,676)	\$	(55,706)	\$	4,371

NOTE 14 – FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES

[&]quot;Fair value" is defined by FASB ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure ("FASB ASC 820"), as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. FASB ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that

market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the reporting entity's assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available under the circumstances. The hierarchy is broken down into the following three levels, based on the reliability of inputs:

Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that are accessible at the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs for the asset or liability that reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

Determination of Fair Value

The Company uses the valuation methodologies listed below to measure different financial instruments at fair value. An indication of the level in the fair value hierarchy in which each instrument is generally classified is included. Where appropriate, the description includes details of the valuation models, the key inputs to those models as well as any significant assumptions.

Available-for-sale securities. Available-for-sale securities are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value measurement is based upon quoted prices, if available. If quoted prices are not available, fair values are determined by matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities. The Company's available-for-sale securities that are traded on an active exchange, such as the New York Stock Exchange, are classified as Level 1. Available-for-sale securities valued using matrix pricing are classified as Level 2. Available-for-sale securities valued using matrix pricing that has been adjusted to compensate for the present value of expected cash flows, market liquidity, credit quality and volatility are classified as Level 3.

Mortgage servicing rights. The Company records MSRs at fair value on a recurring basis with subsequent remeasurement of MSRs based on change in fair value. An estimate of the fair value of the Company's MSRs is determined by utilizing assumptions about factors such as mortgage interest rates, discount rates, mortgage loan prepayment speeds, market trends and industry demand. All of the Company's MSRs are classified as Level 3. For additional information about the Company's valuation of MSRs, see Note 12, Mortgage Servicing Rights.

Derivative instruments. The Company's derivative instruments consist of commitments to fund fixed-rate mortgage loans to customers and forward commitments to sell individual fixed-rate mortgage loans. Fair value of these derivative instruments is measured on a recurring basis using recent observable market prices. The Company also enters into interest rate swaps to meet the financing, interest rate and equity risk management needs of its customers. The fair value of these instruments is either an observable market price or a discounted cash flow valuation using the terms of swap agreements but substituting original interest rates with prevailing interest rates ranging from 1.53% to 3.7%. The Company also considers the associated counterparty credit risk when determining the fair value of these instruments. The Company's interest rate swaps, commitments to fund fixed-rate mortgage loans to customers and forward commitments to sell individual fixed-rate mortgage loans are classified as Level 3.

Loans held for sale. Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value and are subject to nonrecurring fair value adjustments. Estimated fair value is determined on the basis of existing commitments or the current market value of similar loans. All of the Company's loans held for sale are classified as Level 2.

Impaired loans. Loans considered impaired under FASB ASC 310 are loans for which, based on current information and events, it is probable that the creditor will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are subject to nonrecurring fair value adjustments to reflect (1) partial write-downs that are based on the observable market price or current appraised value of the collateral, or (2) the full charge-off of the loan carrying value. All of the Company's impaired loans are classified as Level 3.

Other real estate owned. OREO is carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value, less estimated selling costs and is subject to nonrecurring fair value adjustments. Estimated fair value is determined on the basis of independent appraisals and other relevant factors less an average of 7% for estimated selling costs. All of the Company's OREO is

classified as Level 3.

Off-Balance sheet financial instruments. The fair value of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreement and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. The Company has reviewed the unfunded portion of commitments to extend credit as well as standby and other letters of credit, and has determined that the fair value of such financial instruments is not material. The Company classifies the estimated fair value of credit-related financial instruments as Level 3.

Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following tables present the balances of the assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Septem	ber 30, 2	2013	}				
	Level 1		Le	vel 2	Le	evel 3	To	tal
Assets:	(In thou	isands)						
Available-for-sale securities:								
U.S. Government agencies	\$	-	\$	1,519,459	\$	-	\$	1,519,459
Government agency issued residential								
mortgage-backed securities	-		26	8,367	-		26	8,367
Government agency issued commercial								
mortgage-backed securities	-		22	9,412	-		22	9,412
Obligations of states and								
political subdivisions	-		52	8,889	-		52	8,889
Other	1,048		6,9	981	-		8,0)29
Mortgage servicing rights	-		-		51	,025	51	,025
Derivative instruments	-		-		35	,004	35	,004
Total	\$	1,048	\$	2,553,108	\$	86,029	\$	2,640,185
Liabilities:								
Derivative instruments	\$	-	\$	-	\$	35,386	\$	35,386

	September Level 1	r 30, 2		vel 2	Le	evel 3	То	tal
Assets:	(In thousa	nds)						
Available-for-sale securities:								
U.S. Government agencies	\$	-	\$	1,472,747	\$	-	\$	1,472,747
Government agency issued residential								
mortgage-backed securities	-		33	8,230	-		33	8,230
Government agency issued commercial								
mortgage-backed securities	-		90	,306	-		90	,306
Obligations of states and								
political subdivisions	-		57	4,559	-		57	4,559
Other	672		7,0)92	-		7,7	764
Mortgage servicing rights	-		_		34	,562	34	,562
Derivative instruments	-		_		62	,088	62	,088
Total	\$	672	\$	2,482,934	\$	96,650	\$	2,580,256
Liabilities:								
Derivative instruments	\$	-	\$	-	\$	60,077	\$	60,077

The following tables present the changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Mortgage Servicing Rights		Derivative Instruments		Availa for-sal Securi	e
	(In t	thousands)				
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$	37,882	\$	2,911	\$	-
Year to date net gains (losses) included in:						
Net income (loss)	1,03	37	(3,29)	93)	-	
Other comprehensive income	-		-		-	
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net	12,1	06	-		-	
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	-		-		-	
Balance at September 30, 2013	\$	51,025	\$	(382)	\$	-
Net unrealized losses included in net income for the						
quarter relating to assets and liabilities held at September 30, 2013	\$	(240)	\$	(7,245)	\$	-

	Mortgage Servicing Rights			Derivative Instruments		able- le ities
	(In	thousands)				
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$	30,174	\$	342	\$	-
Year to date net gains (losses) included in:						
Net (loss) income	(8,9	97)	1,669		-	
Other comprehensive income	-		-		-	
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net	13,3	385	-		-	
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	-		-		-	
Balance at September 30, 2012	\$	34,562	\$	2,011	\$	-
Net unrealized losses included in net income for the						
quarter relating to assets and liabilities held at September 30, 2012	\$	(3,206)	\$	(811)	\$	-

Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

The following tables present the balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of September 30, 2013 and 2012:

Septembe	r 30, 2013
----------	------------

	Level 1	Lev	vel 2	Level 3		Total	Total Losses	
Assets:	(In thousands)							
Loans held for sale	\$ -	\$	77,114	\$	-	\$ 77,114	\$	-
Impaired loans	-	-		79,745		79,745	(3,843)	
Other real estate owned	-	-		76,853		76,853	(20,332)	

September 30, 2012

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Total Losses
Assets:	(In thousands)				
Loans held for sale	\$ -	\$ 129,408	\$ -	\$ 129,408	\$ -
Impaired loans	-	-	176,139	176,139	(18,205)
Other real estate owned	-	-	128,211	128,211	(26,216)

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

FASB ASC 825, Financial Instruments ("FASB ASC 825"), requires that the Company disclose estimated fair values for its financial instruments. Fair value estimates, methods and assumptions are set forth below for the Company's financial instruments.

Loans and Leases. Fair values are estimated for portfolios of loans and leases with similar financial characteristics. The fair value of loans and leases is calculated by discounting scheduled cash flows through the estimated maturity using rates the Company would currently offer customers based on the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the loan or lease. Assumptions regarding credit risk, cash flows and discount rates are judgmentally determined using available market and borrower information. Estimated maturity represents the expected average cash flow period, which in some instances is different than the stated maturity. This entrance price approach results in a calculated fair value that would be different than an exit or estimated actual sales price approach and such differences could be significant. All of the Company's loans and leases are classified as Level 3.

Deposit Liabilities. Under FASB ASC 825, the fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as noninterest bearing demand deposits, interest bearing demand deposits and savings, is equal to the amount payable on demand as of the reporting date. The fair value of certificates of deposit is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using the prevailing rates offered for deposits of similar maturities. The Company's noninterest bearing demand deposits, interest bearing demand deposits and savings are classified as Level 1. Certificates of deposit are classified as Level 2.

Debt. The carrying amounts for federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements approximate fair value because of their short-term maturity. The fair value of the Company's fixed-term Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") advances is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using the prevailing rates available for advances of similar maturities. The fair value of the Company's junior subordinated debt is based on market prices or dealer quotes. The Company's federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements and junior

subordinated debt are classified as Level 1. FHLB advances are classified as Level 2.

Lending Commitments. The Company's lending commitments are negotiated at prevailing market rates and are relatively short-term in nature. As a matter of policy, the Company generally makes commitments for fixed-rate loans for relatively short periods of time. Therefore, the estimated value of the Company's lending commitments approximates the carrying amount and is immaterial to the financial statements. The Company's lending commitments are classified as Level 1.

The Company's off-balance sheet commitments including letters of credit, which totaled \$105.4 million at September 30, 2013, are funded at current market rates at the date they are drawn upon. It is management's opinion that the fair value of these commitments would approximate their carrying value, if drawn upon.

The following table presents carrying and fair value information of financial instruments at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	September 30,	2013	December 31, 2012		
	Carrying	Fair	Carrying	Fair	
	Value	Value	Value	Value	
Assets:	(In thousands)				
Cash and due from banks	\$ 199,464	\$ 199,464	\$ 223,814	\$ 223,814	
Interest bearing deposits with other banks	361,401	361,401	979,800	979,800	
Available-for-sale securities	2,554,156	2,554,156	2,434,032	2,434,032	
Net loans and leases	8,619,141	8,851,004	8,472,523	8,546,810	
Loans held for sale	77,114	78,143	129,138	129,230	
Liabilities:					
Noninterest bearing deposits	2,597,762	2,597,762	2,545,169	2,545,169	
Savings and interest bearing deposits	5,713,586	5,713,586	5,945,281	5,945,281	
Other time deposits	2,406,598	2,430,422	2,597,696	2,634,099	
Federal funds purchased and securities					
sold under agreement to repurchase					
and other short-term borrowings	418,623	412,724	414,611	414,399	
Long-term debt and other borrowings	114,990	116,433	193,867	205,072	
Derivative instruments:					
Forward commitments to sell fixed rate	(2.250)	(2.250)	(526)	(526)	
mortgage loans	(2,250)	(2,250)	(536)	(536)	
Commitments to fund fixed rate	2.276	2.276	4.001	4.001	
mortgage loans	2,276	2,276	4,081	4,081	
Interest rate swap position to receive	32,720	32,720	51,517	51,517	
Interest rate swap position to pay	(33,130)	(33,130)	(52,154)	(52,154)	

NOTE 15 – OTHER NONINTEREST REVENUE AND EXPENSE

The following table details other noninterest revenue for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Three months ended September 30,			Nine months ended September 30,			ed	
	2013		201	2012 2013		3	2012	
	(In t	thousan	ds)					
Annuity fees	\$	719	\$	548	\$	1,745	\$	1,825
Brokerage commissions and fees	2,00)5	1,782		6,166		4,9	99
Bank-owned life insurance	1,95	54	1,75	50	5,849		6,1	75
Other miscellaneous income	3,06	52	3,673		10,739		11.	488
Total other noninterest income	\$	7,740	\$	7,753	\$	24,499	\$	24,487

The following table details other noninterest expense for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Three months ended September 30,			Nine months ende September 30,			led	
	20	13	20	12	2013		20	12
	(In	thousand	ls)					
Advertising	\$	1,210	\$	1,081	\$	3,122	\$	2,824
Foreclosed property expense	3,298		8,794		8,897		27,415	
Telecommunications	2,227		2,118		6,510		6,3	347
Public relations	1,1	.05	1,309		3,285		4,	130
Data processing	2,7	772	2,312		8,023		7,5	520
Computer software	2,1	90	1,8	356	6,299		5,4	445
Amortization of intangibles	68	6	86	1	2,1	51	2,3	366
Legal fees	4,6	526	3,0	004	17,	888	6,2	201
Postage and shipping	1,0)27	1,0	060	3,2	36	3,	348
Other miscellaneous expense	15	,577	16,501		48,215		52	,431
Total other noninterest expense	\$	34,718	\$	38,896	\$	107,626	\$	118,027

NOTE 16 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

The nature of the Company's business ordinarily results in a certain amount of claims, litigation, investigations and legal and administrative cases and proceedings. Although the Company and its subsidiaries have developed policies and procedures to minimize the impact of legal noncompliance and other disputes, and endeavored to provide reasonable insurance coverage, litigation and regulatory actions present an ongoing risk.

The Company and its subsidiaries are engaged in lines of business that are heavily regulated and involve a large volume of financial transactions and potential transactions with numerous customers or applicants. From time to time, borrowers, customers, former employees and other third parties have brought actions against the Company or its subsidiaries, in some cases claiming substantial damages. Financial services companies are subject to the risk of class action litigation and, from time to time, the Company and its subsidiaries are subject to such actions brought against it. Additionally, the Bank is, and management expects it to be, engaged in a number of foreclosure proceedings and other collection actions as part of its lending and leasing collections activities, which, from time to time, have resulted in counterclaims against the Bank. Various legal proceedings have arisen and may arise in the future out of claims against entities to which the Company is a successor as a result of business combinations. The Company's insurance has deductibles, and will likely not cover all such litigation or other proceedings or the costs of defense. The Company and its subsidiaries may also be subject to enforcement actions by federal or state regulators, including the Securities

and Exchange Commission, the Federal Reserve, the FDIC, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, the Department of Justice, state attorneys general and the Mississippi Department of Banking and Consumer Finance.

When and as the Company determines it has meritorious defenses to the claims asserted, it vigorously defends against such claims. The Company will consider settlement of claims when, in management's judgment and in consultation with counsel, it is in the best interests of the Company to do so.

The Company cannot predict with certainty the cost of defense, the cost of prosecution or the ultimate outcome of litigation and other proceedings filed by or against it, its directors, management or employees, including remedies or damage awards. On at least a quarterly basis, the Company assesses its liabilities and contingencies in connection with outstanding legal proceedings utilizing the latest and most reliable information available. For matters where a loss is not probable or the amount of the loss cannot be estimated, no accrual is established. For matters where it is probable the Company will incur a loss and the amount can be reasonably estimated, the Company establishes an accrual for the loss. Once established, the accrual is adjusted periodically to reflect any relevant developments. The actual cost of any outstanding legal proceedings or threatened claims, however, may

turn out to be substantially higher than the amount accrued. Further, the Company's insurance will not cover all such litigation, other proceedings or claims, or the costs of defense.

While the final outcome of any legal proceedings, including those disclosed below, is inherently uncertain, based on the information available, advice of counsel and available insurance coverage, management believes that the litigation-related expense of \$11.4 million accrued as of September 30, 2013 is adequate and that any incremental liability arising from the Company's legal proceedings and threatened claims, including the matters described herein and those otherwise arising in the ordinary course of business, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business or consolidated financial condition. It is possible, however, that future developments could result in an unfavorable outcome for or resolution of any one or more of the lawsuits in which the Company or its subsidiaries are defendants, which may be material to the Company's results of operations for a given fiscal period.

On August 16, 2011, a shareholder filed a putative derivative action purportedly on behalf of the Company in the Circuit Court of Lee County, Mississippi, against certain current and past executive officers and the members of the Board of Directors of the Company. The plaintiff in this shareholder derivative lawsuit asserts that the individual defendants violated their fiduciary duties based upon allegations that the defendants issued materially false and misleading statements regarding the Company's business and financial results. In particular, the allegations relate to the Company's recording and reporting of its unaudited financial statements, including the allowance and provision for credit losses, and its internal control over financial reporting leading up to the filing of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. The plaintiff is seeking to recover alleged damages to the Company in an unspecified amount, equitable and/or injunctive relief, and attorney's fees. Although it is not possible to predict the ultimate resolution or financial liability with respect to this litigation, management is currently of the opinion that the outcome of this lawsuit will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In November 2010, the Company was informed that the Atlanta Regional Office of the SEC had issued an Order of Investigation concerning the Company. In August 2013, the Company was informed that this investigation had been completed as to the Company and that the Atlanta Regional Office does not intend to recommend any enforcement action by the SEC.

On May 18, 2010, the Bank was named as a defendant in a class action lawsuit filed by an Arkansas customer of the Bank in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida. The suit challenges the manner in which overdraft fees were charged and the policies related to posting order of debit card and ATM transactions. The suit also makes a claim under Arkansas' consumer protection statute. The plaintiff is seeking to recover damages in an unspecified amount and equitable relief. The case was transferred to pending multi-district litigation in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida wherein an order was entered certifying a class in this case. The consolidated pretrial proceedings in the multi-district litigation court are close to completion and the case will then be remanded to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida for further proceedings. There are significant uncertainties involved in any purported class action litigation. Although it is not possible to predict the ultimate resolution or financial liability with respect to this litigation, management is currently of the opinion that the outcome of this lawsuit will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, consolidated financial position or results of operations. However, there can be no assurance that an adverse outcome or settlement would not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated results of operations for a given fiscal period.

NOTE 17 – LONG-TERM DEBT

In 2002, the Company issued \$128.9 million in 8.15% Junior Subordinated Debt Securities to BancorpSouth Capital Trust I (the "Trust"), a business trust. The Trust used the proceeds from the issuance of five million shares of 8.15% trust preferred securities, \$25 face value per share, to acquire the 8.15% Junior Subordinated Debt Securities. Both the Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the trust preferred securities mature on January 28, 2032, and are callable at the option of the Company. The Company redeemed the Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the related trust preferred securities at par on August 12, 2013. As a result of the redemption, a pre-tax charge of \$2.9 million was recorded during the third quarter of 2013 to write-off unamortized issuance costs.

On August 8, 2013, the Company entered into a Credit Agreement with U.S. Bank National Association ("U.S. Bank") as a lender and administrative agent, and First Tennessee Bank, National Association, as a lender. The Credit Agreement includes an unsecured revolving loan of up to \$25.0 million that terminates and the

outstanding balance of which is payable in full on August 8, 2015, and an unsecured multi-draw term loan of up to \$60.0 million, which commitment terminates on February 28, 2014 and the outstanding balance of which is payable in full on August 8, 2018. The proceeds from the term loan may be used to repurchase trust preferred securities, and the proceeds from the revolving loan may be used for working capital, capital expenditures and other lawful corporate purposes. Borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest at a Eurocurrency or base rate plus, in each case, an applicable interest rate margin. On August 8, 2013, the Company borrowed \$50.0 million under the term loan for which the principal balance is payable in full on August 8, 2018.

NOTE 18 - CORRECTION OF IMMATERIAL ERROR

During the quarter ended March 31, 2013, the Company identified an immaterial error in its cash flow statements for prior periods. The Company improperly reported losses on the sale and writedowns of OREO as Investing Activities instead of as a reconciling item within Operating Activities, as well as reported unsettled trade liabilities for investment purchases as Operating Activities instead of Investing Activities. These changes had no impact to the overall total of cash inflows and outflows within the cash flow statements for prior periods. The Company has deemed these changes immaterial to its consolidated financial statement taken as a whole. Please see BancorpSouth's Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2013 for changes made in cash flow statements for the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, as well as the period ended March 31, 2012. Also, the changes to the cash flow statement for the six months ended June 30, 2012 can be found in BancorpSouth's Form 10Q for the period ended June 30, 2013. The following table reflects the changes in the cash flow statements for the nine months ended September 30, 2012:

A - O - 1 - 1 - 11-

	As C	riginally				
	Repo	orted	Adjustment		As Adjusted	
Nine months ended September 30, 2012	(In the	ousands)				
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	100,290	\$	9,306	109,	596
Net cash provided by investing activities	206,518		(9,306)		197,212	
Net cash provided by financing activities	127,	584	-		127,	584
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	434,392		-		434,392	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	499,344		-		499,	344
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	933,736	\$	-	\$	933,736

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements contained in this report may not be based on historical facts and are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These forward-looking statements may be identified by reference to a future period(s) or by the use of forward-looking terminology, such as "anticipate," "assume," "believe," "estimate," "expect," "may "might," "will," "intend," "indicated," "could," or "would," or future or conditional verb tenses, and variations or negatives of terms. These forward-looking statements include, without limitation, those relating to amortization expense for intangible assets, goodwill impairments, loan impairment, utilization of appraisals and inspections for real estate loans, maturity, renewal or extension of construction, acquisition and development loans, net interest revenue, fair value determinations, the amount of the Company's non-performing loans and leases, credit quality, credit losses, liquidity, off-balance sheet commitments and arrangements, valuation

of mortgage servicing rights, allowance and provision for credit losses, continued weakness in the economic environment, early identification and resolution of credit issues, utilization of non-GAAP financial measures, the ability of the Company to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of loan agreements, the Company's reserve for losses from representation and warranty obligations, the Company's foreclosure process related to mortgage loans, the resolution of non-performing loans that are collaterally dependent, real estate values, fully-indexed interest rates, interest rate risk, interest rate sensitivity, calculation of economic value of equity, impaired loan charge-offs, troubled debt restructurings, diversification of the Company's revenue stream, liquidity needs and strategies, sources of funding, net interest margin, declaration and payment of dividends, future acquisitions and consideration to be used therefore, the use of proceeds from the Company's underwritten public offering, the impact of litigation regarding debit card fees and the impact of certain claims, and pending litigation. We caution you not to place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements contained in this report, in that actual results could differ materially from those indicated in such forward-looking statements as a result of a variety of factors. These factors may include, but are not limited to, conditions in the financial markets and economic conditions generally, the adequacy of the Company's provision and allowance for credit losses to cover actual credit losses, the credit risk associated with real estate construction, acquisition and development loans, losses resulting from the significant amount of the Company's other real estate owned, limitations on the Company's ability to declare and pay dividends, the impact of legal proceedings, the availability of capital on favorable terms if and when needed, liquidity risk, governmental regulation, including the Dodd Frank Act, and supervision of the Company's operations, the short-term and long-term impact of changes to banking capital standards on the Company's regulatory capital and liquidity, the impact of regulations on service charges on the Company's core deposit accounts, the susceptibility of the Company's business to local economic and environmental conditions, the soundness of other financial institutions, changes in interest rates, the impact of monetary policies and economic factors on the Company's ability to attract deposits or make loans, volatility in capital and credit markets, reputational risk, the impact of hurricanes or other adverse weather events, any requirement that the Company write down goodwill or other intangible assets, diversification in the types of financial services the Company offers, the Company's ability to adapt its products and services to evolving industry standards and consumer preferences, competition with other financial services companies, risks in connection with completed or potential acquisitions, the Company's growth strategy, interruptions or breaches in the Company's information system security, the failure of certain third party vendors to perform, unfavorable ratings by ratings agencies, dilution caused by the Company's issuance of any additional shares of its common stock to raise capital or acquire other banks, bank holding companies, financial holding companies and insurance agencies, other factors generally understood to affect the financial results of financial services companies and other factors detailed from time to time in the Company's press releases and filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We undertake no obligation to update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that occur after the date of this report.

OVERVIEW

BancorpSouth, Inc. (the "Company") is a regional financial holding company headquartered in Tupelo, Mississippi with \$12.9 billion in assets at September 30, 2013. BancorpSouth Bank (the "Bank"), the Company's wholly-owned banking subsidiary, has commercial banking operations in Mississippi, Tennessee, Alabama, Arkansas, Texas, Louisiana, Florida and Missouri. The Bank's insurance agency subsidiary also operates an office in Illinois. The Bank and its insurance agency and brokerage subsidiaries provide commercial banking, leasing, mortgage origination and servicing, insurance, brokerage and trust services to corporate customers, local governments, individuals and other financial institutions through an extensive network of branches and offices.

Management's discussion and analysis provides a narrative discussion of the Company's financial condition and results of operations. For a complete understanding of the following discussion, please refer to the unaudited consolidated

financial statements for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 and the notes to such financial statements found under "Part I, Item 1. Financial Statements" of this report. This discussion and analysis is based on reported financial information. The information that follows is provided to enhance comparability of financial information between years and to provide a better understanding of the Company's operations.

As a financial holding company, the financial condition and operating results of the Company are heavily influenced by economic trends nationally and in the specific markets in which the Company's subsidiaries provide financial services. Generally, during the past several years, the pressures of the national and regional economic cycle have created a difficult operating environment for the financial services industry. The Company is not immune to such pressures and the continuing economic downturn has had a negative impact on the Company and its

customers in all of the markets that it serves. While this impact was reflected in the credit quality measures during 2010 and 2011, the Company's financial condition improved during 2012 as reflected by decreases in the allowance for credit losses, net charge-offs, total NPLs and total non-performing assets ("NPAs"), when compared to 2011 and 2010. The Company's financial condition continued to improve during the first nine months of 2013, as the allowance for credit losses, net charge-offs, total NPLs and total NPAs decreased at September 30, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012. Management believes that the Company is better positioned with respect to overall credit quality as evidenced by this improvement in credit quality metrics at September 30, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012. Management believes, however, that future weakness in the economic environment could adversely affect the strength of the credit quality of the Company's assets overall. Therefore, management will continue to focus on early identification and resolution of any credit issues.

The largest source of the Company's revenue is derived from the operation of its principal operating subsidiary, the Bank. The financial condition and operating results of the Bank are affected by the level and volatility of interest rates on loans, investment securities, deposits and other borrowed funds, and the impact of economic downturns on loan demand, collateral value and creditworthiness of existing borrowers. The financial services industry is highly competitive and heavily regulated. The Company's success depends on its ability to compete aggressively within its markets while maintaining sufficient asset quality and cost controls to generate net income.

The Company's debit card revenue remains relatively stable for the comparable three-month and nine-month periods. During 2012, the Company's debit card revenue decreased as a result of the Federal Reserve's final rule implementing the Durbin Debt Interchange Amendment to the Dodd-Frank Act (the "Durbin Amendment"). The Federal Reserve's final rule implementing the Durbin Amendment has been challenged in court, including a lower court ruling adverse to the Federal Reserve's implementation of the final rule. The effect of this litigation, appeals therefrom, or any subsequent rule changes by the Federal Reserve are uncertain, but may impact debit card revenue in future reporting periods.

The information that follows is provided to enhance comparability of financial information between periods and to provide a better understanding of the Company's operations:

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

	Three months endo September 30, 2013	ed 2012	Nine months ender September 30, 2013	d 2012
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(Dollars in thousan	nds, except per share d	lata)	
Earnings Summary:				
Total interest revenue	\$ 111,961	\$ 120,750	\$ 336,997	\$ 369,329
Total interest expense	11,720	17,371	40,465	55,599
Net interest income	100,241	103,379	296,532	313,730
Provision for credit losses	500	6,000	7,500	22,000
Noninterest income	62,514	70,420	209,941	209,248
Noninterest expense	129,397	133,788	407,019	405,974
Income before income taxes	32,858	34,011	91,954	95,004
Income tax expense	8,001	10,186	25,537	27,689
Net income	\$ 24,857	\$ 23,825	\$ 66,417	\$ 67,315
Balance Sheet - Period-end balances:				
Total assets	\$ 12,916,153	\$ 13,235,737	\$ 12,916,153	\$ 13,235,737
Total securities	2,554,156	2,483,606	2,554,156	2,483,606
Loans and leases, net of unearned	2,55 1,150	2,103,000	2,55 1,150	2,103,000
income	8,773,115	8,679,969	8,773,115	8,679,969
Total deposits	10,717,946	10,974,640	10,717,946	10,974,640
Long-term debt	83,500	33,500	83,500	33,500
Total shareholders' equity	1,480,611	1,446,703	1,480,611	1,446,703
Dalanaa Chaat Ayaraga Dalanaasi				
Balance Sheet-Average Balances: Total assets	\$ 12,928,505	\$ 13,019,016	\$ 13,106,798	\$ 13,041,785
Total assets Total securities	2,598,786	2,481,201	2,578,778	2,503,278
Loans and leases, net of unearned	2,390,700	2,401,201	2,376,776	2,303,276
income	8,682,966	8,716,646	8,617,699	8,747,690
Total deposits	10,745,945	10,856,524	10,923,877	10,936,173
Long-term debt	62,848	33,500	43,390	33,500
Total shareholders' equity	1,474,047	1,432,157	1,470,510	1,399,984
1	, , , , , ,	, - , - :	,,	, ,
Common Share Data:				
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.72
Diluted earnings per share	0.26	0.25	0.70	0.72
Cash dividends per share	0.05	0.01	0.07	0.03
Book value per share	15.55	15.32	15.55	15.32
Tangible book value per share	12.50	12.22	12.50	12.22
Dividend payout ratio	19.15 %	3.96 %	10.03 %	4.17 %

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-Q

Financial Ratios (Annualized):								
Return on average assets	0.76	%	0.73	%	0.68	%	0.69	%
Return on average shareholders'								
equity	6.69		6.62		6.04		6.42	
Total shareholders' equity to total								
assets	11.46		10.93		11.46		10.93	
Tangible shareholders' equity to								
tangible assets	9.43		8.91		9.43		8.91	
Net interest margin-fully taxable								
equivalent	3.45		3.55		3.40		3.62	
Credit Quality Ratios (Annualized):								
Net charge-offs to average loans								
and leases	0.35	%	0.59	%	0.28	%	0.73	%
Provision for credit losses to								
average loans and leases	0.02		0.28		0.12		0.34	
Allowance for credit losses to net								
loans and leases	1.76		1.95		1.76		1.95	
Allowance for credit losses to NPLs	106.68		68.34		106.68		68.34	
Allowance for credit losses to NPAs			45.01		69.61		45.01	
NPLs to net loans and leases	1.65		2.85		1.65		2.85	
NPAs to net loans and leases	2.52		4.33		2.52		4.33	
Captial Adequacy:								
Tier 1 capital	13.25	%	13.55	%	13.25	%	13.55	%
Total capital	14.50		14.81		14.50		14.81	
Tier 1 leverage capital	9.93		10.21		9.93		10.21	

In addition to financial ratios based on measures defined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("U.S. GAAP"), the Company utilizes tangible shareholders' equity, tangible asset and tangible book value per share measures when evaluating the performance of the Company. Tangible shareholders' equity is defined by the Company as total shareholders' equity less goodwill and identifiable intangible assets. Tangible assets are defined by the Company as total assets less goodwill and identifiable intangible assets. Management believes the ratio of tangible shareholders' equity to tangible assets to be important to investors who are interested in

evaluating the adequacy of the Company's capital levels. Tangible book value per share is defined by the Company as tangible shareholders' equity divided by total common shares outstanding. Management believes that tangible book value per share is important to investors who are interested in changes from period to period in book value per share exclusive of changes in intangible assets. The following table reconciles tangible shareholders' equity, tangible assets and tangible book value per share as presented above to U.S. GAAP financial measures as reflected in the Company's unaudited consolidated financial statements:

	September 30, 2013	2012		
	(Dollars in thousand	s, except per share		
Tongible Accets	data)			
Tangible Assets: Total assets	\$ 12,916,153	\$ 13,235,737		
Less: Goodwill	275,173	275,173		
Other identifiable intangible assets	15,179	17,932		
Total tangible assets	\$ 12,625,801	\$ 12,942,632		
Tangible Shareholders' Equity:				
Total shareholders' equity	\$ 1,480,611	\$ 1,446,703		
Less: Goodwill	275,173	275,173		
Other identifiable intangible assets	15,179	17,932		
Total tangible shareholders' equity	\$ 1,190,259	\$ 1,153,598		
Total shares outstanding	95,211,602	94,440,710		
Tangible shareholders' equity to tangible assets	9.43 %	8.91 %		
Tangible book value per share	\$ 12.50	\$ 12.22		

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The Company reported net income of \$24.9 million for the third quarter of 2013, compared to net income of \$23.8 million for the same quarter of 2012. For the first nine months of 2013, the Company reported net income of \$66.4 million compared to net income of \$67.3 million for the first nine months of 2012. A factor contributing to the decrease in net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was the decrease in net interest income, as net interest revenue was \$100.2 million for the third quarter of 2013, compared to \$103.4 million for the third quarter of 2012 and was \$296.5 million for the first nine months of 2013 compared to \$313.7 million for the first nine months of 2012. The decrease in net interest revenue was offset by the decrease in the provision for credit losses, as the provision in the third quarter of 2013 was \$0.5 million, compared to a provision of \$6.0 million for the third quarter of 2012. The provision was \$7.5 million for the first nine months of 2013 compared to \$22.0 million for the first nine months of 2012. The decrease in the provision for credit losses reflected the impact of a decrease in NPL formation

during the first nine months of 2013, as NPLs decreased from \$233.6 million at December 31, 2012 to \$144.3 million at September 30, 2013. Net charge-offs decreased to \$7.6 million, or 0.35% of average loans and leases, during the third quarter of 2013, compared to \$12.8 million, or 0.59% of average loans and leases, during the third quarter of 2012 and decreased to \$18.0 million, or 0.28% of average loans and leases, for the first nine months of 2013 compared to \$48.1 million, or 0.73% of average loans and leases, for the first nine months of 2012.

The impact of the economic environment continues to be evident on real estate construction, acquisition and development loans and more particularly on residential construction, acquisition and development loans. Prior to 2012, many of these loans had become collateral-dependent, requiring recognition of an impairment loss to reflect the decline in real estate values. During 2012 and the first nine months of 2013, the Company continued its focus on improving credit quality and reducing NPLs, especially in the real estate construction, acquisition and development loan portfolio as evidenced by the decrease in that portfolio's nonaccrual loans by \$40.5 million to \$26.1 million at September 30, 2013 from \$66.6 million at December 31, 2012 and a decrease of \$66.2 million from \$92.4 million at September 30, 2012.

The primary source of revenue for the Company is the net interest revenue earned by the Bank. Net interest revenue is the difference between interest earned on loans, investments and other earning assets and interest paid on deposits and other obligations. Net interest revenue was \$100.2 million for the third quarter of 2013, a decrease of \$3.1 million, or 3.0%, from \$103.4 million for the third quarter of 2012. Net interest revenue was \$296.5 million for the first nine months of 2013, a decrease of \$17.2 million, or 5.5%, from \$313.7 million for the first nine months of 2012. Net interest revenue is affected by the general level of interest rates, changes in interest rates and changes in the amount and composition of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities. The Company's objective is to manage those assets and liabilities to maximize net interest revenue, while balancing interest rate, credit, liquidity and capital risks. The decrease in net interest revenue for the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 compared to the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 was primarily a result of the larger decrease in interest revenue than the decrease in interest expense as the yield on earning assets declined by a greater amount than that of interest-bearing liabilities. The decline in earning asset yields was primarily a result of the balance in short-term investments which is the lowest yielding earning asset. Yields on interest-bearing liabilities decreased as a result of the redemption of the 8.15% trust preferred securities.

Interest revenue decreased \$8.8 million, or 7.3%, in the third quarter of 2013 compared to the third quarter of 2012 and decreased \$32.3 million, or 8.8%, for the first nine months of 2013 compared to the first nine months of 2012. The Company has managed to replace some loan runoff with new loan production, primarily in its Alabama, Greater Memphis Area, Texas and Louisiana markets. The decrease in interest revenue was somewhat offset by the decrease in interest expense, as the Company experienced an increase in lower rate savings deposits and noninterest demand deposits and a decrease in higher rate other time deposits, which resulted in a decrease in interest expense of \$5.7 million, or 32.5%, in the third quarter of 2013 compared to the third quarter of 2012 and a decrease of \$15.1 million, or 27.2%, for the first nine months of 2013 compared to the first nine months of 2012. The Company also redeemed the 8.15% trust preferred securities during the third quarter of 2013, which contributed to the reduction in interest expense for the third quarter and first nine months of 2013.

The Company attempts to diversify its revenue stream by increasing the amount of revenue received from mortgage lending operations, insurance agency activities, brokerage and securities activities and other activities that generate fee income. Management believes this diversification is important to reduce the impact of fluctuations in net interest revenue on the overall operating results of the Company. Noninterest revenue decreased \$7.9 million, or 11.2%, for the third quarter of 2013 compared to the third quarter of 2012 and remained relatively stable for the first nine months of 2013 compared to the first nine months of 2012. One of the primary contributors to the decrease in noninterest revenue for the third quarter of 2013 compared to the third quarter of 2012 was the decrease in mortgage lending revenue to \$5.1 million for the third quarter of 2013 compared to \$13.5 million for the third quarter of 2012. Mortgage lending revenue also decreased to \$35.4 million for the first nine months of 2013 compared to \$39.7 million for the first nine months of 2012. The decrease in mortgage lending revenue for these periods was primarily related to the decrease in mortgage origination revenue. Mortgage origination volume decreased 43.8% to \$341.9 million for the third quarter of 2013 compared to \$607.9 million for the third quarter of 2012 and decreased 16.9% to \$1.2 billion for the first nine months of 2013 compared to \$1.4 billion for the first nine months of 2012. The decrease in mortgage lending revenue was somewhat offset by the change in fair value of MSRs. The fair value of MSRs decreased approximately \$240,000 during the third quarter of 2013 compared to a decrease of \$3.2 million during the third quarter of 2012 and increased \$6.0 million for the first nine months of 2013 compared to a decrease of \$3.3 million for the first nine months of 2012. The decrease in mortgage lending revenue for the first nine months of 2013 compared to the first nine months of 2012 was more than offset by the increase in insurance commissions, which increased 9.6% to \$76.3 million for the first nine months of 2013 compared to \$69.6 million for the first nine months of 2012. The increase in insurance commissions was primarily a result of policies written for and growth from existing customers. There were no significant non-recurring noninterest revenue items during the first nine months of 2013 or 2012.

Total noninterest expense decreased 3.3% to \$129.4 million for the third quarter of 2013 compared to \$133.8 million for the third quarter of 2012 and increased 0.3% to \$407.0 million for the first nine months of 2013 compared to

\$406.0 million for the first nine months of 2012. Salaries and employee benefits expense decreased to \$73.5 million for the third quarter of 2013 compared to \$74.8 million for the third quarter of 2012 and increased to \$231.2 million for the first nine months of 2013 compared to \$227.4 million for the first nine months of 2012. The decrease in salaries and employee benefits for the third quarter of 2013 compared to the third quarter of 2012 was primarily related to decreases in employee salaries resulting from a reduction in workforce after the voluntary early retirement program was accepted by certain employees that met job classification, age and years-of-service criteria in the second quarter of 2013. The increase in salaries and employee benefits for the first nine months of 2013 compared to the first nine months of 2012 was primarily related to increases in employee benefits and commissions

during the first and second quarter of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. A pre-tax charge of \$10.9 million was recorded during the second quarter of 2013 related to additional benefits offered under the voluntary early retirement program previously mentioned, and a pre-tax charge of \$2.9 million was recorded during the third quarter of 2013 to write-off unamortized issuance costs related to the redemption of the 8.15% trust preferred securities. No such voluntary early retirement program or redemption and resulting write-off of unamortized issuance costs were recorded in 2012.

Legal expense increased to \$4.6 million in the third quarter of 2013 from \$3.0 million in the third quarter of 2012 and increased to \$17.9 million for the first nine months of 2013 compared to \$6.2 million for the first nine months of 2012. The increase in legal expense was primarily a result of a charge of \$10.7 million to legal expense during the first nine months of 2013 that was recorded to increase the litigation accrual related to various legal matters.

Noninterest expense was also impacted by the decrease in foreclosed property expense. Foreclosed property expense decreased 62.5% to \$3.3 million for the third quarter of 2013 compared to \$8.8 million for the third quarter of 2012 and decreased 67.5% to \$8.9 million for the first nine months of 2013 compared to \$27.4 million for the first nine months of 2012. Foreclosed property expense decreased primarily as a result of the Company experiencing lower losses on the sale and smaller writedowns of OREO. The Company continues to focus attention on controlling noninterest expense. The major components of net income are discussed in more detail below.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Interest Revenue

Net interest revenue is the difference between interest revenue earned on assets, such as loans, leases and securities, and interest expense paid on liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings, and continues to provide the Company with its principal source of revenue. Net interest revenue is affected by the general level of interest rates, changes in interest rates and changes in the amount and composition of interest-earning assets and interest bearing liabilities. The Company's long-term objective is to manage interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities to maximize net interest revenue, while balancing interest rate, credit and liquidity risk. Net interest margin is determined by dividing fully taxable equivalent net interest revenue by average earning assets. For purposes of the following discussion, revenue from tax-exempt loans and investment securities has been adjusted to a fully taxable equivalent ("FTE") basis, using an effective tax rate of 35%. The following table presents average interest earning assets, average interest bearing liabilities, net interest revenue-FTE, net interest margin-FTE and net interest rate spread for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Three mont	hs ended	l Septem	ıber 30,			
	2013				2012		
	Average			Yield/	Average		Yield/
	Balance	Int	erest	Rate	Balance	Interest	Rate
ASSETS	(Dollars in	millions,	, yields o	on taxable	e equivalent basis	s)	
Loans and leases (net of unearned							
income) (1)(2)	\$ 8,683			4.55%	\$ 8,716.7		4.87%
Loans held for sale	78.0	0.7		3.72%	118.9	1.0	3.26%
Available-for-sale securities:							
Taxable (3)	2,178.2	8.2		1.50%	2,022.3	9.4	1.86%
Non-taxable (4)	420.6	6.0)	5.61%	458.9	6.3	5.48%
Federal funds sold, securities							
purchased under agreement to resell							
and short-term investments	487.0	0.3		0.25%	608.0	0.4	0.26%
Total interest earning							
assets and revenue	11,846.8	114	4.9	3.85%	11,924.8	123.9	4.13%
Other assets	1,242.3				1,273.5		
Less: Allowance for credit losses	(160.6)				(179.3)		
Total	\$ 12,928	.5			\$ 13,019.0		
LIABILITIES AND							
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY							
Deposits:							
Demand - interest bearing	\$ 4,530	.2 \$	2.0	0.18%	\$ 4,704.9	\$ 3.9	0.33%
Savings	1,216.6	0.4		0.12%	1,092.8	0.7	0.25%
Other time	2,447.3	7.3		1.18%	2,729.9	9.5	1.38%
Federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreement to repurchase, short-term FHLB borrowings	2,117.5	7.5		1.10%	2,127.7	<i>7.3</i>	1.50%
and other short term borrowings	441.9	0.1		0.07%	390.1	0.1	0.09%
Junior subordinated debt securities	86.1	1.4		6.57%	160.3	2.9	7.13%
Long-term FHLB borrowings	62.8	0.5		3.19%	33.5	0.3	4.14%
Total interest bearing							
liabilities and expense	8,784.9	11.	7	0.53%	9,111.5	17.4	0.76%
Demand deposits -							
noninterest bearing	2,551.8				2,328.9		
Other liabilities	117.7				146.4		
Total liabilities	11,454.4				11,586.8		
Shareholders' equity	1,474.1				1,432.2		
Total	\$ 12,928	.5			\$ 13,019.0		
Net interest revenue-FTE		\$	103.2			\$ 106.5	í
Net interest margin-FTE				3.45%			3.55%
Net interest rate spread				3.32%			3.37%
Interest bearing liabilities to							

interest earning assets 74.15% 76.41%

- (1) Includes taxable equivalent adjustment to interest of \$0.8 million and \$0.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, using an effective tax rate of 35%.
- (2) Includes non-accrual loans.
- (3) Includes taxable equivalent adjustment to interest of \$0.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, using an effective tax rate of 35%.
- (4) Includes taxable equivalent adjustment to interest of \$2.1 million and \$2.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, using an effective tax rate of 35%.

	Nine months en 2013	ded Septemb	per 30,	2012		
	Average		Yield/	Average		Yield/
	Balance	Interest	Rate	Balance	Interest	Rate
ASSETS				equivalent basis)		Rute
Loans and leases (net of unearned	(Donars in mini	ions, yields c	m taxaore	equivalent basis)		
income) (1)(2)	\$ 8,617.7	\$ 298.9	4.64%	\$ 8,747.7	\$ 325.2	4.97%
Loans held for sale	85.9	2.1	3.25%	86.1	2.2	3.42%
Available-for-sale securities:	03.7	2.1	3.23 70	00.1	2.2	3.4270
Taxable (3)	2,142.9	25.3	1.58%	2,049.9	31.0	2.02%
Non-taxable (4)	435.9	18.1	5.54%	453.4	19.4	5.70%
Federal funds sold, securities	133.7	10.1	3.3470	155.1	17.4	3.7070
purchased under agreement to resell						
and short-term investments	737.0	1.4	0.25%	595.5	1.2	0.27%
Total interest earning	737.0	1.4	0.23 /0	373.3	1.2	0.2770
assets and revenue	12,019.4	345.8	3.85%	11,932.6	379.0	4.24%
Other assets	1,250.7	3-3.0	3.03 /0	1,298.0	377.0	7.27/0
Less: allowance for credit losses	(163.3)			(188.8)		
Total	\$ 13,106.8			\$ 13,041.8		
Total	Ψ 13,100.6			Ψ 13,041.6		
LIABILITIES AND						
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY						
Deposits:						
Demand - interest bearing	\$ 4,708.3	\$ 7.6	0.22%	\$ 4,811.1	\$ 12.5	0.35%
Savings	1,199.7	1.3	0.15%	1,065.2	2.1	0.26%
Other time	2,502.9	23.0	1.23%	2,820.5	31.0	1.47%
Federal funds purchased, securities	2,502.5	23.0	1.25 /0	2,020.5	21.0	1.17 /0
sold under agreement to repurchase,						
short-term FHLB borrowings						
and other short term borrowings	401.0	0.2	0.07%	375.5	0.3	0.09%
Junior subordinated debt securities	135.3	7.2	7.06%	160.3	8.6	7.19%
Long-term FHLB borrowings	43.4	1.2	3.71%	33.5	1.1	4.18%
Total interest bearing						
liabilities and expense	8,990.6	40.5	0.60%	9,266.1	55.6	0.80%
Demand deposits -	0,270.0		0.0070	>,=====	22.0	0.0070
noninterest bearing	2,512.9			2,239.4		
Other liabilities	132.8			136.3		
Total liabilities	11,636.3			11,641.8		
Shareholders' equity	1,470.5			1,400.0		
Total	\$ 13,106.8			\$ 13,041.8		
Net interest revenue-FTE	+,	\$ 305.3		,,,	\$ 323.4	
Net interest margin-FTE		,	3.40%			3.62%
Net interest rate spread			3.24%			3.44%
Interest bearing liabilities to						. ,-
interest earning assets			74.80%			77.65%

- (1) Includes taxable equivalent adjustment to interest of \$2.5 million for both the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 using an effective tax rate of 35%.
- (2) Includes non-accrual loans.
- (3) Includes taxable equivalent adjustments to interest of \$0.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, using an effective tax rate of 35%
- (4) Includes taxable equivalent adjustment to interest of \$6.3 million and \$6.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, using an effective tax rate of 35%.

Net interest revenue-FTE for the three-month period ended September 30, 2013 decreased \$3.3 million, or 3.1%, compared to the same period in 2012. Net interest revenue-FTE for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2013 decreased \$18.1 million, or 5.6%, compared to the same period in 2012. The decrease in net interest revenue-FTE was primarily a result of the larger decrease in interest revenue-FTE than the decrease in interest

expense as the yield on earning assets declined by a greater amount than that of interest-bearing liabilities. The decline in earning asset yields was primarily a result of the balance in short-term investments which is the lowest yielding earning asset, although yields on time deposits and interest-bearing liabilities decreased. Yields on interest-bearing liabilities decreased as a result of the redemption of the 8.15% trust preferred securities.

Interest revenue-FTE for the three-month period ended September 30, 2013 decreased \$9.1 million, or 7.3%, compared to the same period in 2012. Interest revenue-FTE for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2013 decreased \$33.2 million, or 8.8%, compared to the same period in 2012. The decrease in interest revenue-FTE for these periods was a result of the increase in lower rate securities combined with the declining loan yields, as interest rates continued to be at historically low levels resulting in a decrease in the yield on average interest-earning assets of 28 basis points for the third quarter of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 and 39 basis points for the first nine months of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. Average interest-earning assets decreased \$78.0 million, or 0.7%, for the three-month period ended September 30, 2013, compared to the same period in 2012. Average interest-earning assets increased \$86.8 million, or 0.7%, for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2013, compared to the same period in 2012. While average interest-earning assets increased for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2013, compared to the same period in 2012 as a result of the larger increase in short-term investments resulting from excess liquidity than the decrease in net loans and leases, average interest-earning assets decreased for the third quarter of 2013 compared to the third quarter of 2013 resulting in a decrease in the quarterly average balance for short-term investments.

Interest expense for the three-month period ended September 30, 2013 decreased \$5.7 million, or 32.5%, compared to the same period in 2012. Interest expense for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2013 decreased \$15.1 million, or 27.2%, compared to the same period in 2012. The decrease in interest expense for these periods was a result of the increase in average lower cost savings deposits combined with the decrease in interest bearing and other time deposit and their corresponding rates. Also, 8.15% trust preferred securities were redeemed during the third quarter of 2013 resulting in a decrease in interest expense related to junior subordinated debt securities, as well as in the rates paid on those securities. This combined activity resulted in an overall decrease in the average rate paid of 23 basis points for the third quarter of 2013 compared to the third quarter of 2012 and 20 basis points for the first nine months of 2013 compared to the first nine months of 2012. Average interest bearing liabilities decreased \$326.6 million, or 3.6%, for the three-month period ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. Average interest bearing liabilities decreased \$275.5 million, or 3.0%, for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The decrease in average interest bearing liabilities for these periods was a result of increases in average lower cost savings deposits being more than offset by decreases in average interest bearing demand deposits and other time deposits, as well as decreases in average junior subordinated debt resulting from the redemption of the 8.15% trust preferred securities during the third quarter of 2013.

Net interest margin was 3.45% for the three months ended September 30, 2013, a decrease of 10 basis points from 3.55% for the three months ended September 30, 2012. Net interest margin was 3.40% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, a decrease of 22 basis points from 3.62% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in the net interest margin for these periods was due to the yield on earning assets declining by a greater amount than that of interest-bearing liabilities with this decline in earning asset yield primarily a result of the increase in the balance in short-term investments, the lowest yielding earning asset. The decrease in net interest margin is somewhat offset by the redemption of the trust preferred securities.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

The interest rate sensitivity gap is the difference between the maturity or re-pricing opportunities of interest sensitive assets and interest sensitive liabilities for a given period of time. A prime objective of the Company's asset/liability management is to maximize net interest margin while maintaining a reasonable mix of interest sensitive assets and liabilities. The following table presents the Company's interest rate sensitivity at September 30, 2013:

	Interest Rate Sensitivity - Maturing or Repricing Opportunitie								
			91	Days	Over One				
	0 to	90	to		Year to	Over			
	Day	/S	On	e Year	Five Years	Five Years			
	(In	thousands)							
Interest earning assets:		,							
Interest bearing deposits with banks	\$	361,401	\$	_	\$ -	\$ -			
Available-for-sale and trading securities	221	,871	525	5,507	1,374,564	432,214			
Loans and leases, net of unearned income	3,35	56,464	1,8	39,031	3,265,246	312,374			
Loans held for sale	50,2	293	572	2	3,296	22,953			
Total interest earning assets	3,99	90,029	2,3	65,110	4,643,106	767,541			
Interest bearing liabilities:									
Interest bearing demand deposits and savings	5,7	13,586	-		-	-			
Other time deposits	484	,658	1,0	70,033	851,702	205			
Federal funds purchased and securities									
sold under agreement to repurchase,									
short-term FHLB borrowings and other									
short-term borrowings	418	,623	-		-	-			
Long-term debt and junior									
subordinated debt securities	-		-		53,500	61,446			
Other	-		-		45	-			
Total interest bearing liabilities	6,6	16,867	1,0	70,033	905,247	61,651			
Interest rate sensitivity gap	\$	(2,626,838)	\$	1,295,077	\$ 3,737,859	\$ 705,890			
Cumulative interest sensitivity gap	\$	(2,626,838)	\$	(1,331,761)	\$ 2,406,098	\$ 3,111,988			

In the event interest rates increase after September 30, 2013, based on this interest rate sensitivity gap, the Company could experience decreased net interest revenue in the following one-year period, as the cost of funds could increase at a more rapid rate than interest revenue on interest-earning assets. However, the Company's historical repricing sensitivity on interest-bearing demand deposits and savings suggests that these deposits, while having the ability to reprice in conjunction with rising market rates, often exhibit less repricing sensitivity to a change in market rates, thereby somewhat reducing the exposure to rising interest rates. In the event interest rates decline after September 30, 2013, based on this interest rate sensitivity gap, it is possible that the Company could experience slightly increased net interest revenue in the following one-year period. However, any potential benefit to net interest revenue in a falling rate environment is mitigated by implied rate floors on interest-bearing demand deposits and savings resulting from the historically low interest rate environment. It should be noted that the balances shown in the table above are at September 30, 2013 and may not be reflective of positions at other times during the year or in subsequent periods. Allocations to specific interest rate sensitivity periods are based on the earlier of maturity or repricing dates. The elevated liability sensitivity in the 0 to 90 day category as compared to other categories was primarily a result of the Company's utilization of shorter term, lower cost deposits to fund earning assets.

As of September 30, 2013, the Bank had \$1.7 billion in variable rate loans with interest rates determined by a floor, or minimum rate. This portion of the loan portfolio had an average interest rate earned of 4.32%, an average maturity of 47 months and a fully-indexed interest rate of 3.77% at September 30, 2013. The fully-indexed interest rate is the

interest rate that these loans would be earning without the effect of interest rate floors. While the Bank benefits from interest rate floors in the current interest rate environment, loans currently earning their floored interest rate may not experience an immediate impact on the interest rate earned should key indices rise. Key indices include, but are not limited to, the Bank's prime rate, the Wall Street Journal prime rate and the London Interbank Offering Rate. At September 30, 2013, the Company had \$666.7 million, \$1.2 billion and \$658.5 million in variable rate loans with interest rates tied to the Bank's prime rate, the Wall Street Journal prime rate and the London Interbank Offering Rate, respectively. The Bank's net interest margin may be negatively impacted by the timing and magnitude of a rise in key indices.

Interest Rate Risk Management

Interest rate risk refers to the potential changes in net interest income and Economic Value of Equity ("EVE") resulting from adverse movements in interest rates. EVE is defined as the net present value of the balance sheet's cash flow. EVE is calculated by discounting projected principal and interest cash flows under the current interest rate environment. The present value of asset cash flows less the present value of liability cash flows derives the net present value of the Company's balance sheet. The Company's Asset / Liability Committee utilizes financial simulation models to measure interest rate exposure. These models are designed to simulate the cash flow and accrual characteristics of the Company's balance sheet. In addition, the models incorporate assumptions about the direction and volatility of interest rates, the slope of the yield curve, and the changing composition of the Company's balance sheet arising from both strategic plans and customer behavior. Finally, management makes assumptions regarding loan and deposit growth, pricing, and prepayment speeds.

The sensitivity analysis included in the tables below delineates the percentage change in net interest income and EVE derived from instantaneous parallel rate shifts of plus and minus 400, 300, 200 and 100 basis points. The impact of minus 400, 300, 200 and 100 basis point rate shocks as of September 30, 2013 and 2012 was not considered meaningful because of the historically low interest rate environment. However, the risk exposure should be mitigated by any downward rate shifts. Variances were calculated from the base case scenario, which reflected prevailing market rates, and the net interest income forecasts used in the calculations spanned 12 months for each scenario.

For the tables below, average life assumptions and beta values for non-maturity deposits were estimated based on the historical behavior rather than assuming an average life of one day and a beta value of 1, or 100%. Historical behavior suggests that non-maturity deposits have longer average lives for which to discount expected cash flows and lower beta values for which to re-price expected cash flows. The former results in a higher premium derived from the present value calculation, while the latter results in a slower rate of change and lower change in interest rate paid given a change in market rates. Both have a positive impact on the EVE calculation for rising rate shocks. Calculations using these assumptions are designed to delineate more precise risk exposure under the various shock scenarios. While the falling rate shocks are not considered meaningful in the historically low interest rate environment, the risk profile would be negatively impacted by downward rate shifts under these assumptions.

	Net Interest Income							
	% Variance from Base Case Scenario							
Rate Shock	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012						
+400 basis points	7.4%	21.1%						
+300 basis points	9.1%	18.9%						
+200 basis points	10.3%	14.9%						
+100 basis points	4.9%	6.9%						
-100 basis points	NM	NM						
-200 basis points	NM	NM						
-300 basis points	NM	NM						
-400 basis points	NM	NM						
NM=not meaningful								

Economic	Va	lue	of	Equity	y
----------	----	-----	----	--------	---

%	Variance	from	Base	Case	Scenario	

Rate Shock	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012		
+400 basis points	23.9%	24.5%		
+300 basis points	19.3%	22.7%		
+200 basis points	13.4%	18.7%		
+100 basis points	7.1%	9.7%		
-100 basis points	NM	NM		
-200 basis points	NM	NM		
-300 basis points	NM	NM		
-400 basis points	NM	NM		
NM=not meaningful				

Net Interest Income

% Variance from Base Case Scenario

Rate Ramp September 30, 2013 September 30, 2012

+200 basis points 4.1% 7.1% -200 basis points NM NM

NM=not meaningful

Provision for Credit Losses and Allowance for Credit Losses

In the normal course of business, the Bank assumes risks in extending credit. The Bank manages these risks through underwriting in accordance with its lending policies, loan review procedures and the diversification of its loan and lease portfolio. Although it is not possible to predict credit losses with certainty, management regularly reviews the characteristics of the loan and lease portfolio to determine its overall risk profile and quality.

The provision for credit losses is the periodic cost of providing an allowance or reserve for estimated probable losses on loans and leases. The Board of Directors has appointed a Credit Committee, composed of senior management and loan administration staff which meets on a quarterly basis to review the recommendations of several internal working groups developed for specific purposes including the allowance for loans and lease losses, impairments and charge-offs. The allowance for loan and lease losses group ("ALLL group") bases its estimates of credit losses on three primary components: (1) estimates of inherent losses that may exist in various segments of performing loans and leases; (2) specifically identified losses in individually analyzed credits; and (3) qualitative factors that may impact the performance of the loan and lease portfolio. Factors such as financial condition of the borrower and guarantor, recent credit performance, delinquency, liquidity, cash flows, collateral type and value are used to assess credit risk. Expected loss estimates are influenced by the historical losses experienced by the Bank for loans and leases of comparable creditworthiness and structure. Specific loss assessments are performed for loans and leases of significant size and delinquency based upon the collateral protection and expected future cash flows to determine the amount of impairment under FASB ASC 310, Receivables ("FASB ASC 310"). In addition, qualitative factors such as changes in economic and business conditions, concentrations of risk, loan and lease growth, acquisitions and changes in portfolio risk resulting from regulatory changes are considered in determining the adequacy of the level of the allowance for credit losses.

Attention is paid to the quality of the loan and lease portfolio through a formal loan review process. An independent loan review department of the Bank is responsible for reviewing the credit rating and classification of individual credits and assessing trends in the portfolio, adherence to internal credit policies and procedures and other factors that may affect the overall adequacy of the allowance for credit losses. The ALLL group is responsible for ensuring that the allowance for credit losses provides coverage of both known and inherent losses. The ALLL group meets at least quarterly to determine the amount of adjustments to the allowance for credit losses. The ALLL group is composed of senior management from the Bank's loan administration and finance departments. In 2010, the Bank established a real estate risk management group and an impairment group. The real estate risk management group oversees compliance with regulations and U.S. GAAP related to lending activities where real estate is the primary collateral. The impairment group is responsible for evaluating loans that have been specifically identified through various channels, including examination of the Bank's watch list, past due listings, findings of the internal loan review department, loan officer assessments and loans to borrowers or industries known to be experiencing problems. For all loans identified, the responsible loan officer in conjunction with his or her credit administrator is required to prepare an impairment analysis to be reviewed by the impairment group. The impairment group deems that a loan is impaired if it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all the contractual principal and interest on the loan. The

impairment group also evaluates the circumstances surrounding the loan in order to determine if the loan officer used the most appropriate method for assessing the impairment of the loan (i.e., present value of expected future cash flows, observable market price or fair value of the underlying collateral). The impairment group meets on a monthly basis.

If concessions are granted to a borrower as a result of its financial difficulties, the loan is classified as a TDR and analyzed for possible impairment as part of the credit approval process. TDRs are reserved in accordance with FASB ASC 310 in the same manner as impaired loans that are not TDRs. Should the borrower's financial condition, collateral protection or performance deteriorate, warranting reassessment of the loan rating or impairment, additional reserves may be required.

Loans of \$500,000 or more that become 60 or more days past due are identified for review by the impairment group, which decides whether an impairment exists and to what extent a specific allowance for credit

loss should be made. Loans that do not meet these requirements may also be identified by management for impairment review, particularly if the loan is a small loan that is part of a larger relationship. Loans subject to such review are evaluated as to collateral dependency, current collateral value, guarantor or other financial support and likely disposition. Each such loan is individually evaluated for impairment. The impairment evaluation of real estate loans generally focuses on the fair value of underlying collateral obtained from appraisals, as the repayment of these loans may be dependent on the liquidation of the collateral. In certain circumstances, other information such as comparable sales data is deemed to be a more reliable indicator of fair value of the underlying collateral than the most recent appraisal. In these instances, such information is used in determining the impairment recorded for the loan. As the repayment of commercial and industrial loans is generally dependent upon the cash flow of the borrower or guarantor support, the impairment evaluation generally focuses on the discounted future cash flows of the borrower or guarantor support, as well as the projected liquidation of any pledged collateral. The impairment group reviews the results of each evaluation and approves the final impairment amounts, which are then included in the analysis of the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses in accordance with FASB ASC 310. Loans identified for impairment are placed in non-accrual status.

The Company's policy is to obtain an appraisal at the time of loan origination for real estate collateral securing a loan of \$250,000 or more, consistent with regulatory guidelines. The Company's policy is to obtain an updated appraisal when certain events occur, such as the refinancing of the debt, the renewal of the debt or events that indicate potential impairment. A new appraisal is generally ordered for loans greater than \$500,000 that have characteristics of potential impairment such as delinquency or other loan-specific factors identified by management, when a current appraisal (dated within the prior 12 months) is not available or when a current appraisal uses assumptions that are not consistent with the expected disposition of the loan collateral. In order to measure impairment properly at the time that a loan is deemed to be impaired, a staff appraiser may estimate the collateral fair value based upon earlier appraisals, sales contracts, approved foreclosure bids, comparable sales, officer estimates or current market conditions until a new appraisal is received. This estimate can be used to determine the extent of the impairment on the loan. After a loan is deemed to be impaired, it is management's policy to obtain an updated appraisal on at least an annual basis. Management performs a review of the pertinent facts and circumstances of each impaired loan, such as changes in outstanding balances, information received from loan officers and receipt of re-appraisals, on a monthly basis. As of each review date, management considers whether additional impairment should be recorded based on recent activity related to the loan-specific collateral as well as other relevant comparable assets. Any adjustment to reflect further impairments, either as a result of management's periodic review or as a result of an updated appraisal, are made through recording additional loan loss provisions or charge-offs.

At September 30, 2013, impaired loans totaled \$79.7 million, which was net of cumulative charge-offs of \$27.2 million. Additionally, the Company had specific reserves for impaired loans of \$3.8 million included in the allowance for credit losses. Impaired loans at September 30, 2013 were primarily from the Company's commercial real estate and construction, acquisition and development portfolios. Impaired loan charge-offs are determined necessary when management does not anticipate any future recovery of collateral values. The loans were evaluated for impairment based on the fair value of the underlying collateral securing the loan. As part of the impairment review process, appraisals are used to determine the property values. The appraised values that are used are generally based on the disposition value of the property, which assumes Bank ownership of the property "as-is" and a 180-360 day marketing period. If a current appraisal or one with an inspection date within the past 12 months using the necessary assumptions is not available, a new third-party appraisal is ordered. In cases where an impairment exists and a current appraisal is not available at the time of review, a staff appraiser may determine an estimated value based upon earlier appraisals, the sales contract, approved foreclosure bids, comparable sales, comparable appraisals, officer estimates or current market conditions until a new appraisal is received. After a new appraisal is received, the value used in the review will be updated and any adjustments to reflect further impairments are made. Appraisals are obtained from state-certified appraisers based on certain assumptions which may include foreclosure status, bank ownership, OREO marketing period of 180 days, costs to sell, construction or development status and the highest and best use of the property. A staff appraiser may make adjustments to appraisals based on sales contracts, comparable sales and other pertinent information if an appraisal does not incorporate the effect of these assumptions.

When a guarantor is relied upon as a source of repayment, it is the Company's policy to analyze the strength of the guaranty. This analysis varies based on circumstances, but may include a review of the guarantor's personal and business financial statements and credit history, a review of the guarantor's tax returns and the preparation of a cash flow analysis of the guarantor. Management will continue to update its analysis on individual

guarantors as circumstances change. Because of the continued weakness in the economy, subsequent analyses may result in the identification of the inability of some guarantors to perform under the agreed upon terms.

Any loan or portion thereof which is classified as "loss" by regulatory examiners or which is determined by management to be uncollectible, because of factors such as the borrower's failure to pay interest or principal, the borrower's financial condition, economic conditions in the borrower's industry or the inadequacy of underlying collateral, is charged off.

The following table provides an analysis of the allowance for credit losses for the periods indicated:

Three months ended

Nine months

ended

September

September 30, 30,

2013 2012 2013 2012

(Dollars in thousands)

Balance, beginning of period \$ 161,047