NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND INC Form N-CSR

October 05, 2007

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-06383

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc.

-----(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Nuveen Investments 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Kevin J. McCarthy Nuveen Investments 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (312) 917-7700

Date of fiscal year end: July 31

Date of reporting period: July 31, 2007

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. ss. 3507.

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

Annual Report July 31, 2007

Nuveen Investments
MUNICIPAL CLOSED-END FUNDS

Photo of: Small child

NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. NUM

NUVEEN MICHIGAN PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. NMP

NUVEEN MICHIGAN DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND NZW

NUVEEN OHIO QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. NUO

NUVEEN OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND NXI

NUVEEN OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND 2 NBJ

NUVEEN OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND 3 NVJ

It's not what you earn, it's what you keep. (R)

Logo: NUVEEN Investments

Photo of: Man working on computer

Life is complex.

Nuveen

makes things
e-simple.

It only takes a minute to sign up for e-Reports. Once enrolled, you'll receive an e-mail as soon as your Nuveen Investments Fund information is ready--no more waiting for delivery by regular mail. Just click on the link within the e-mail to see the report and save it on your computer if you wish.

Free e-Reports right to your e-mail!

www.investordelivery.com

If you receive your Nuveen Fund
dividends and statements from your
financial advisor or brokerage account.

OR

www.nuveen.com/accountaccess
If you receive your Nuveen Fund dividends
and statements directly from Nuveen.

Logo: NUVEEN Investments

Chairman's
LETTER TO SHAREHOLDERS

Photo of: Timothy R. Schwertfeger

Timothy R. Schwertfeger

Chairman of the Board

Once again, I am pleased to report that over the twelve-month period covered by this report your Fund continued to provide you with monthly tax-free income. For more details about the management strategy and performance of your Fund, please read the Portfolio Manager's Comments, the Dividend and Share Price Information, and the Performance Overview sections of this report.

I also wanted to take this opportunity to report some important news about Nuveen Investments. We have accepted a "buyout" offer from Madison Dearborn Partners, LLC. While this will affect the corporate structure of Nuveen Investments, it will have no impact on the investment objectives of the Funds, portfolio management strategies or their dividend policies. We will provide you with additional information about this transaction as more details become available.

With the recent volatility in the stock market, many have begun to wonder which way the market is headed, and whether they need to adjust their holdings of investments. No one knows what the future will bring, which is why we think a well-balanced portfolio that is structured and carefully monitored with the help of an investment professional is an important component in achieving your long-term financial goals. A well-diversified portfolio may actually help to reduce your overall investment risk, and we believe that investments like your Nuveen Investments Fund can be important building blocks in a portfolio crafted to perform well through a variety of market conditions.

We also are pleased to be able to offer you a choice concerning how you receive your shareholder reports and other Fund information. As an alternative to mailed

copies, you can sign up to receive future Fund reports and other Fund information by e-mail and the internet. The inside front cover of this report contains information on how you can sign up.

We are grateful that you have chosen us as a partner as you pursue your financial goals and we look forward to continuing to earn your trust in the months and years ahead. At Nuveen Investments, our mission continues to be to assist you and your financial advisor by offering investment services and products that can help you to secure your financial objectives.

Sincerely,

/s/ Timothy R. Schwertfeger

Timothy R. Schwertfeger Chairman of the Board September 14, 2007

Portfolio Manager's COMMENTS

Nuveen Investments Municipal Closed-End Funds

NUM, NMP, NZW, NUO, NXI, NBJ, NVJ

Portfolio manager Daniel Close reviews national and state economic and municipal market environments, key investment strategies, and the annual performance of the Nuveen Michigan and Ohio Funds. Dan, who joined Nuveen in 2000, assumed portfolio management responsibility for these seven Funds in March 2007.

WHAT FACTORS AFFECTED THE U.S. ECONOMY AND MUNICIPAL MARKET DURING THE ANNUAL REPORTING PERIOD ENDED JULY 31, 2007?

Between August 1, 2006 and July 31, 2007, we saw interest rates at the short end of the municipal bond yield curve hold relatively steady, while longer-term rates declined during much of the period. For the entire 12-month period, the yield on the benchmark 10-year U.S. Treasury note dropped more than 20 basis points to end July 2007 at 4.77%. In the municipal market, the yield on the Bond Buyer 25 Revenue Bond Index, a widely followed measure of longer-term municipal market rates, fell to 4.63% at the end of July 2007, a decline of 50 basis points from the end of July 2006. Over the reporting period as a whole, the municipal bond yield curve continued to flatten as shorter rates remained steady and longer rates fell. In this environment, longer duration1 bonds generally outperformed those with shorter durations.

July 2006 marked the end of the Federal Reserve's unprecedented series of 17 consecutive 0.25% rate hikes that brought the fed funds rate to 5.25% over a two-year span. Although many market observers expected the Fed to act on rates in early 2007, the Fed stayed on the sidelines throughout this reporting period, leaving monetary policy unchanged as it kept close tabs on the pace of economic growth, a slumping housing market, and inflationary pressures, including higher energy prices. Through much of this period, the U.S. gross domestic product (GDP), a closely watched measure of economic growth, operated at below-trend levels, expanding at a rate of 1.1% in the third quarter of 2006, 2.1% in the fourth quarter of 2006, and 0.6% in the first quarter of 2007, the weakest reading since 2002 (all GDP numbers annualized). However, in the second quarter of 2007, increases in government and business spending and exports helped GDP growth rebound sharply to 4.0%, overcoming the 12% decline in residential

investment and a noticeable deceleration in consumer spending. While the Consumer Price Index registered a 2.4% year-over-year gain as of July 2007, the increase in this inflation gauge for the first seven months of 2007 was 4.5%, driven largely by gains in energy and food prices. By comparison, the core CPI (which excludes food and energy prices) rose 2.3% between January and July 2007.

1 Duration is a measure of a bond's price sensitivity as interest rates change, with longer duration bonds displaying more sensitivity to these changes than bonds with shorter durations.

4

Over the 12 months ended July 2007, municipal bond issuance nationwide totaled \$439.6 billion, up more than 22% from the previous 12 months. This total reflected increased supply during the first seven months of 2007, when \$261.3 billion in new securities came to market, up 26% over the same period in 2006. A major factor in 2007 volume was the 59% increase in advance refundings, 2 driven by attractive borrowing rates for issuers during the first half of the year. The strength and diversity of demand for municipal bonds were as important as the increase in supply, as the surge in issuance was easily absorbed by a broad-based universe of buyers, including retail investors, institutional investors such as hedge funds and arbitragers, and overseas investors.

HOW WERE THE ECONOMIC AND MARKET ENVIRONMENTS IN MICHIGAN AND OHIO DURING THIS PERIOD?

Michigan and Ohio represented two of the slowest growing state economies in 2006. With GDP by state growth of 1.1%, Ohio ranked 47th in the nation, while Michigan ranked 50th, falling into the negative column with growth of -0.5%, compared with the national average of +3.4%. Michigan's economy continued to rely heavily on the manufacturing sector, which lost thousands of jobs in recent years, specifically in the struggling auto industry as well as paper and furniture manufacturing. As of July 2007, Michigan's jobless rate was 7.2%, the highest state unemployment rate in the nation, up from 6.9% a year ago. Demographic trends remained poor, with population growth of 1.6% over the past six years, compared with the national average of 6.4%. Rising expenditures and lack of revenue growth have resulted in gaps in the \$9.3 billion state budget for fiscal 2007, leaving Michigan short of funding for important initiatives. In January 2007, Fitch lowered its rating on Michigan general obligation debt to AA- from AA, and in April 2007, Moody's downgraded Michigan GO debt to Aa3 from Aa2. Moody's also revised its outlook for Michigan to negative based on the state's weakening financial position and declining economic growth. Standard & Poor's reconfirmed its AA- rating on Michigan bonds in June 2007. During the 12 months ended July 31, 2007, municipal issuance in Michigan totaled \$9.2 billion, a decrease of 9% from the previous 12 months. For the first seven months of 2007, issuance in the state also showed a decline, with \$5.4 billion in new paper, down 14% compared with the same period in 2006. According to Moody's, Michigan ranked 26th in debt per capita and 28th in debt as a percentage of personal income, representing an increased debt burden from the previous year.

Ohio continued to have a diverse but mature economy reliant largely on the automotive, defense, and transportation industries. Like Michigan, Ohio struggled with a weak manufacturing base, which impacted both economic and job growth over this period. As of July 2007, Ohio's unemployment rate was 5.8%, up from 5.6% in July 2006. The state's population growth also remained sluggish, at just over 1% during the past six years, ranking the state 48th in the nation. The \$26.7 billion state budget for fiscal 2008 represented an increase of 4%

over the 2007 budget and included a projected 4% increase in personal income tax collection. Moody's, S&P, and Fitch continued to rate Ohio general obligation debt at Aa1, AA+, and AA+, respectively. However, Moody's posted a negative outlook for the state in April 2007, reflecting the weak Ohio economy as well as recent

2 Advance refundings, also known as pre-refundings or refinancings, occur when an issuer sells new bonds and uses the proceeds to fund principal and interest payments of older existing bonds. This process often results in lower borrowing costs for bond issuers.

5

amendments to the state's tax code that restrict revenue production. For the 12 months ended July 31, 2007, municipal issuance in Ohio reached \$13.4 billion, an increase of 64% over the previous 12 months. During the first seven months of 2007, issuance in the state was up 18% compared with the same period in 2006, to \$6.1 billion. According to Moody's, measures of Ohio's indebtedness have risen in recent years, although they remained at moderate levels. Ohio ranked 20th in terms of tax-supported debt per capita and 21st in debt as a percentage of personal income.

WHAT KEY STRATEGIES WERE USED TO MANAGE THE MICHIGAN AND OHIO FUNDS DURING THIS REPORTING PERIOD?

In the municipal bond interest rate environment of the past 12 months, where the flattening yield curve remained a dominant market factor, we continued to emphasize a disciplined approach to duration management and yield curve positioning. In all seven of the Michigan and Ohio Funds, our duration management strategies during this period included the use of inverse floating rate trusts, 3 a type of derivative financial instrument. These inverse floaters had the dual benefit of bringing the Funds' durations closer to our preferred strategic target and increasing their distributable income.

As discussed in past shareholder reports, we have also used Treasury futures contracts and forward interest rate swaps (additional types of derivative instruments) as duration management tools when we believed this supported our overall investment performance strategies. The goal of this strategy is to help us manage net asset value (NAV) volatility without having a negative impact on the Funds' income streams or common share dividends over the short term. During this reporting period, we used futures contracts in NVJ as well as swaps in NMP, NZW, NUO, NXI, NBJ, and NVJ. As of July 31, 2007, the swaps in NZW and NVJ had been removed, while the futures contracts in NVJ and the swaps in NMP, NUO, NXI, and NBJ remained in place.

During the reporting period, we also added zero coupon bonds to all of these Funds as part of our efforts to lengthen fund duration and move the Funds closer to our strategic target. Zero coupon bonds also can help to increase distributable income. As we made progress toward our duration goals, our purchases during the latter part of this period focused mainly on attractively priced bonds maturing in 20 to 25 years.

In addition to duration strategies, we also continued to emphasize individual credit selection. As previously mentioned, while Michigan experienced a decline in supply, Ohio saw increased municipal issuance during this period. In both states, new issuance as well as a number of advance refundings and debt restructurings provided us with a variety of bonds and sectors from which to choose. Since Michigan and Ohio are highly-rated states, much of the new supply

was highly rated and/or insured. However, we also found opportunities to diversify our exposure to lower credit quality bonds by incrementally adding credits that we considered attractive based on their strong performance and the support they could provide for the Funds' income streams.

3 An inverse floating rate trust is a financial instrument designed to pay long-term tax-exempt interest at a rate that varies inversely with a short-term tax-exempt interest rate index. For the Nuveen Funds, the index typically used is the Securities Industry and Financial Markets (SIFM) Municipal Swap Index (previously referred to as the Bond Market Association Index or BMA). Inverse floaters, including those inverse floating rate securities in which the Funds invested during the 12-month period, are further defined within the "Notes to Financial Statements" and "Glossary of Terms Used in This Report" sections of this shareholder report.

6

During this period, the Michigan Funds added to their positions in tax-supported bonds (i.e., general obligation, revenue, and appropriation bonds), all of which were insured and/or highly rated; uninsured health care bonds and both insured and lower-rated education bonds. In addition, NZW also added unrated charter school and hospital issues.

In the Ohio Funds, we purchased tax-supported bonds, health care bonds (both hospital and long-term/continuing care facility), and education bonds. NXI, NBJ, and NVJ also added two new positions in industrial development revenue bonds.

To generate cash for purchases and to help maintain the Funds' durations within our preferred strategic range, we selectively sold some of the Funds' holdings with shorter durations, including pre-refunded bonds and short-dated paper.

HOW DID THE FUNDS PERFORM?

Individual results for these Michigan and Ohio Funds, as well as relevant index and peer group information, are presented in the accompanying table.

Total Returns on Net Asset Value* For periods ended 7/31/07

Fund	1-year	5-year	10-year
Michigan Funds NUM NMP NZW	3.77% 3.59% 3.79%	5.62% 5.31% 6.29%	5.74% 5.79% NA
Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index4	4.27%	4.50%	5.23%
Lipper Michigan Municipal Debt Funds Average5	4.09%	5.55%	5.53%
Ohio Funds			
NUO	3.56%	5.39%	5.60%
NXI	4.02%	6.12%	NA
NBJ	3.80%	6.09%	NA
NVJ	4.06%	5.92%	NA

Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index4	4.27%	4.50%	5.23%
Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Average6	3.92%	5.88%	5.75%
Debt Fullus Averageo	J • J Z · o	J.00%	3.73

*Annualized.

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares.

For additional information, see the individual Performance Overview for your Fund in this report.

4 The Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index is an unleveraged, unmanaged national index comprising a broad range of investment-grade municipal bonds. Results for the Lehman index do not reflect any expenses.

5 The Lipper Michigan Municipal Debt Funds category average is calculated using the returns of all closed-end funds in this category for each period as follows: 1 year, 7; 5 years, 6; and 10 years, 4. Fund and Lipper returns assume reinvestment of dividends.

6 The Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds category average is calculated using the returns of all closed-end funds in this category for each period as follows: 1 year, 46; 5 years, 37; and 10 years, 18. Fund and Lipper returns assume reinvestment of dividends. Shareholders of the Ohio Funds should note that the performance of the Lipper Other States category represents the overall average of returns for funds from 10 different states with a wide variety of municipal market conditions, making direct comparisons less meaningful.

7

For the 12 months ended July 31, 2007, the total returns on NAV for all the funds trailed the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index. All three of the Michigan Funds lagged the average return for the Lipper Michigan peer group. Among the Ohio Funds, NXI and NVJ exceeded the Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Fund peer group average, while NBJ slightly trailed this return, and NUO underperformed the peer group average. Shareholders should note that the performance of the Lipper Other States category represents the overall average of returns for funds from 10 different states with a wide variety of municipal market conditions, making direct comparisons less meaningful.

Factors that influenced the Funds' returns during this period included yield curve and duration positioning, individual security selection and sector allocations, exposure to lower-rated credits, and advance refunding activity.

As the municipal bond yield curve continued to flatten over the course of this period, municipal bonds with maturities of 10 years and longer, as measured by the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index, performed best, generally outpacing municipal bonds with shorter maturities. While our strategies during this period included adding longer bonds to our portfolios, the Funds remained slightly short of our strategic portfolio duration target in terms of their holdings of bonds in the longest part of the yield curve, which was negative for performance. However, this was offset by the Funds' heavier exposure to the

intermediate part of the curve, which performed well, and their lower allocations to the shorter part of the curve, which underperformed the general market. Overall, the Funds' yield curve and duration positioning during this period was a positive contributor to their performance.

Also among the holdings making positive contributions to the Michigan Funds' returns for this period were tax-supported and utilities bonds. The Ohio Funds also benefited from their positions in tax-supported credits. Other sectors of the market that performed well during this reporting period included transportation and education bonds.

In general, lower-rated credits, particularly below-investment-grade securities and non-rated bonds, continued to outperform other credit quality sectors during this period, and all of these Funds benefited from their weightings to these lower rated credits. The outperformance of these credit sectors was largely the result of investor demand for the higher yields typically associated with lower-rated bonds. As Dividend Advantage Funds, NZW, NXI, NBJ, and NVJ can invest up to 20% of their assets in below-investment-grade credits (bonds rated BB or below) or in non-rated bonds judged to be in the same credit quality category. The exposure of these four Funds to the subinvestment-grade sector, together with a fee reimbursement agreement, was especially beneficial to their performance.

8

We also continued to see positive contributions from advance refunding activity, which benefited the Funds through price appreciation and enhanced credit quality. At the same time, holdings of older, previously pre-refunded bonds tended to underperform the general municipal market during this period, due primarily to their shorter effective maturities. In addition, the health care sector, which had ranked among the top performing revenue sectors in the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index over the past few years, underperformed the general municipal market for this period, as interest rates rose and credit spreads widened in June and July 2007. Among the Ohio Funds, NUO and NBJ had the heaviest weightings of health care bonds, which hampered their performance.

9

Dividend and Share Price INFORMATION

As previously noted, these seven Michigan and Ohio Funds use leverage to potentially enhance opportunities for additional income for common shareholders. Although the Funds' use of this strategy continued to provide incremental income, the extent of this benefit was reduced during this period due to short-term interest rates that remained relatively high, which—in turn—kept the Funds' borrowing costs high. The Funds' income streams also impacted as the proceeds from older, higher-yielding bonds that matured or called were reinvested into bonds currently available in the market, which generally offered lower yields during this period. The combination of these factors resulted in one monthly dividend reduction in NUM, NMP, and NVJ and two in NZW, NUO, NXI, and NBJ over the 12-month period ended July 31, 2007.

Due to normal portfolio activity, common shareholders of the Funds received capital gains and net ordinary income distributions at the end of December 2006,

as follows:

	Long-Term Capital Gains (per share)	Short-Term Capital Gains and/or Ordinary Income (per share)
NUM	\$0.0734	
NMP	\$0.0926	
NZW	\$0.0078	
NUO	\$0.0414	\$0.0007
NXI	\$0.0311	
NBJ	\$0.0435	\$0.0004
NVJ	\$0.0283	\$0.0073

All of the Funds in this report seek to pay stable dividends at rates that reflect each Fund's past results and projected future performance. During certain periods, each Fund may pay dividends at a rate that may be more or less than the amount of net investment income actually earned by the Fund during the period. If a Fund has cumulatively earned more than it has paid in dividends, it holds the excess in reserve as undistributed net investment income (UNII) as part of the Fund's NAV. Conversely, if a Fund has cumulatively paid dividends in excess of its earnings, the excess constitutes negative UNII that is likewise reflected in the Fund's NAV. Each Fund will, over time, pay all of its net investment income as dividends to shareholders. As of July 31, 2007, all of the Michigan and Ohio Funds in this report had positive UNII balances for tax purposes and negative UNII balances for financial statement purposes.

10

As of July 31, 2007, the Funds' share prices were trading at premiums or discounts to their NAVs as shown in the accompanying chart:

	7/31/07 Premium/Discount	12-Month Average Premium/Discount
NUM	-5.35%	-4.68%
NMP	-5.80%	-3.00%
NZW	+2.51%	+3.07%
NUO	-8.73%	-3.11%
NXI	-3.23%	-0.67%
NBJ	-5.74%	-3.21%
NVJ	-3.82%	-1.74%

11

NUM Performance OVERVIEW

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc.

as of July 31, 2007

Pie Chart:

```
Credit Quality (as a % of total investments)
AAA/U.S.
Guaranteed
                                 85%
AA
                                  7%
Α
                                  4%
BBB
                                  3%
BB or Lower
                                  1%
Bar Chart:
2006-2007 Monthly Tax-Free Dividends Per Share2
Aug
                               0.062
                               0.059
Sep
Oct
                               0.059
                               0.059
Nov
                               0.059
Dec
Jan
                               0.059
Feb
                               0.059
Mar
                               0.059
Apr
                               0.059
Мау
                               0.059
                               0.059
Jun
Jul
                               0.059
Line Chart:
Share Price Performance -- Weekly Closing Price
8/01/06
                             14.47
                              14.49
                              14.65
                              14.79
                              14.74
                              14.95
                              14.97
                              14.67
                              14.77
                              14.76
                              14.8
                              14.57
                              14.61
                              14.54
                              14.53
                              14.73
                              14.68
                              14.69
                              14.87
                              14.81
                              14.67
                              14.5
                              14.53
                              14.54
                              14.58
                              14.58
                              14.75
                              14.67
                              14.7
                              14.66
                              14.58
                              14.66
                              14.68
                              14.59
                              14.61
                              14.67
                              14.64
```

7/31/07 FUND SNAPSHOT	14.65 14.63 14.8 15 14.82 14.8 14.56 14.72 14.5501 14.1 14.18 14.21 14.33 14.19 14.26 14.21 14.16
Common Share Price	 \$14.16
Common Share	
Net Asset Value	\$14.96
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	
Market Yield	5.00%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1	7.23%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$175,244
Average Effective Maturity on Securities (Yea	rs) 14.34
Leverage-Adjusted Duration	9.19
AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN (Inception 10/17/91)	
ON SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year 3.64%	3.77%
5-Year 3.70%	5.62%
10-Year 4.71%	5.74%
INDUSTRIES (as a % of total investment)	s)
Tax Obligation/General	34.2%
U.S. Guaranteed	26.2%
Tax Obligation/Limited	9.3%
Health Care	8.5%

Utilities	7.9%
Water and Sewer	5.7%
Other	8.2%

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 30.8%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 The Fund paid shareholders a capital gains distribution in December 2006 of \$0.0734 per share.

12

NMP Performance OVERVIEW

Nuveen Michigan
Premium Income
Municipal Fund, Inc.

as of July 31, 2007

Pie Chart:

Credit Quality (as a % of total investments)

AAA/U.S.

 Guaranteed
 79%

 AA
 8%

 A
 10%

 BBB
 2%

 BB or Lower
 1%

Bar Chart:

2006-2007 Monthly Tax-Free Dividends Per Share2

Aug 0.0605 0.0605 Sep 0.0605 Oct 0.0605 Nov 0.0605 Dec Jan 0.0605 Feb 0.0605 Mar 0.058 Apr 0.058 May 0.058 Jun 0.058 Jul 0.058

Line Chart:

Share Price Performance -- Weekly Closing Price

8/01/06 14.32 14.48

14.26

7/31/07 FIND SNAPSHOT	14.42 14.7 14.68 14.7 14.67 14.63 14.8 14.95 14.6 14.9 14.85 14.72 14.8 14.97 15.21 14.8 14.97 15.21 14.8 14.66 14.9 14.83 14.66 14.91 14.83 14.66 14.63 14.63 14.52 14.47 14.45 14.47 14.52 14.77 14.52 14.77 14.52 14.77 14.52 14.77 14.52 14.77 14.52 14.77 14.52 14.77 14.52 14.77 14.52 14.77 14.52 14.77 14.52 14.97 14.77 14.52 14.98
FUND SNAPSHOT	
Common Share Price	\$13.80
Common Share Net Asset Value	\$14.65
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	-5.80%
Market Yield	5.04%

Taxable-Equivalent Yield1	7.28%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$113,558
Average Effective Maturity on Securities (Year	s) 15.09
Leverage-Adjusted Duration	8.20
AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN (Inception 12/17/92)	
ON SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year 2.16%	3.59%
5-Year 4.45%	5.31%
10-Year 6.23%	5.79%
INDUSTRIES (as a % of total investments)
Tax Obligation/General	30.9%
U.S. Guaranteed	21.0%
Tax Obligation/Limited	13.9%
Water and Sewer	10.2%
Utilities	9.1%
Health Care	5.8%
Other	9.1%

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 30.8%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 The Fund paid shareholders a capital gains distribution in December 2006 of \$0.0926 per share.

13

NZW Performance OVERVIEW

Nuveen Michigan

```
Dividend Advantage
Municipal Fund
   as of July 31, 2007
Pie Chart:
Credit Quality (as a % of total investments)
AAA/U.S.
Guaranteed
                                 73%
AA
                                  6%
                                  9%
Α
                                  6%
BBB
BB or Lower
                                  2%
N/R
                                  4%
Bar Chart:
2006-2007 Monthly Tax-Free Dividends Per Share2
                              0.0675
Sep
                              0.0645
Oct
                              0.0645
Nov
                              0.0645
Dec
                              0.0645
Jan
                              0.0645
Feb
                              0.0645
Mar
                              0.0645
                              0.0645
Apr
May
                             0.0645
Jun
                             0.0615
Jul
                              0.0615
Line Chart:
Share Price Performance -- Weekly Closing Price
                             15.92
8/01/06
                              15.84
                              15.68
                              15.78
                              15.85
                              15.9999
                              15.88
                              15.9
                              15.92
                              15.84
                              15.55
                              15.79
                              15.75
                              15.44
                              15.39
                              15.78
                              15.3301
                              15.25
                              15.33
                              15.55
                              15.53
                             15.38
                             15.54
                             15.7
                             15.64
                              15.64
                              15.31
                              15.2
```

15.18

7/31/07		15.32 15.255 15.22 15.3 15.45 15.3 15.14 15.6 15.57 15.69 16.05 16.17 16.85 16.16 15.8 15.65 15.15 15.25 15.15 15.25 15.17 14.8 14.65 15.1
		10.1
Common Share Pr		\$15.10
Common Share Net Asset Value		\$14.73
Premium/(Discou		
Market Yield		4.89%
Taxable-Equival		
Net Assets Appl Common Shares (\$30,439
Average Effection Maturity on Sec		17.53
Leverage-Adjust	ed Duration	9.00
AVERAGE ANNUAL (Inception 9/25		
ON S	HARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year	0.46%	3.79%
5-Year	6.41%	6.29%
Since Inception	5.81%	6.27%

INDUSTRIES

(AS A % OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
Tax Obligation/General	28.3%
U.S. Guaranteed	17.5%
Health Care	14.6%
Utilities	10.2%
Water and Sewer	9.8%
Tax Obligation/Limited	7.4%
Other	12.2%

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 30.8%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 The Fund paid shareholders a capital gains distribution in December 2006 of \$0.0078 per share.

14

NUO Performance OVERVIEW

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc.

as of July 31, 2007

Pie Chart:

Credit Quality (as a % of total investments)

AAA/U.S.

 Guaranteed
 79%

 AA
 11%

 A
 5%

 BBB
 4%

 N/R
 1%

Bar Chart:

2006-2007 Monthly Tax-Free Dividends Per Share2

0.0655 Sep 0.0625 Oct 0.0625 Nov 0.0625 0.0595 Dec 0.0595 Jan Feb 0.0595 0.0595 Mar Apr 0.0595 May 0.0595

0.0595 Jun Jul 0.0595 Line Chart: Share Price Performance -- Weekly Closing Price 15.8401 16.13 16.03 15.97 16.04 16.2199 15.99 15.55 15.56 15.59 15.56 15.61 15.65 15.65 15.92 15.97 15.81 15.6 15.8 15.97 15.7 15.68 15.65 15.86 15.89 15.73 15.71 15.66 15.77 15.74 15.6 15.79 15.82 15.89 15.76 15.67 15.76 15.86 15.77 15.85 16.02 15.78 15.75 15.58 15.58 15.1 15.13 14.9 14.96 14.95 14.85 14.51 14.31 7/31/07 14.43 FUND SNAPSHOT

Common Share Price	\$14.43
Common Share Net Asset Value	\$15.81
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	-8.73%
Market Yield	4.95%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1	7.31%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$154 , 052
Average Effective Maturity on Securities (Years	s) 14.70
Leverage-Adjusted Duration	8.67
AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN (Inception 10/17/91)	
ON SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year -4.25%	3.56%
5-Year 0.68%	5.39%
10-Year 3.93%	5.60%
INDUSTRIES (as a % of total investments)	
Tax Obligation/General	23.5%
U.S. Guaranteed	22.7%
Health Care	13.1%
Education and Civic Organizations	11.3%
Tax Obligation/Limited	9.1%
Utilities	4.7%
Housing/Multifamily	4.1%
Other	11.5%

¹ Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 32.3%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

² The Fund paid shareholders capital gains and net ordinary income distributions in December 2006 of \$0.0421 per share.

15

```
NXI
Performance
OVERVIEW
Nuveen Ohio
Dividend Advantage
Municipal Fund
   as of July 31, 2007
Pie Chart:
Credit Quality (as a % of total investments)
AAA/U.S.
Guaranteed
AA
                                  11%
                                  5%
Α
BBB
                                  6%
BB or Lower
                                  1 %
N/R
                                  2%
Bar Chart:
2006-2007 Monthly Tax-Free Dividends Per Share2
                              0.0635
Sep
                              0.0605
Oct
                              0.0605
Nov
                              0.0605
                              0.0605
Dec
                              0.0605
Jan
                              0.0605
Feb
                              0.0605
Mar
Apr
                              0.0605
                              0.0605
May
                               0.057
Jun
Jul
                               0.057
Line Chart:
Share Price Performance -- Weekly Closing Price
8/01/06
                              15.12
                              15.42
                              15.6
                              15.35
                              14.94
                              14.98
                              14.57
                              14.74
                              15.1574
                              15.06
                              15
                              15.01
                              14.8
                              14.93
                              15.19
                              14.97
                              14.7
                              14.78
```

	14.94
	15.03 15
	14.99
	14.81
	14.68
	14.75 14.76
	14.89
	15.16 15.16
	15.16
	15.21
	15.38 15.75
	15.55
	15.25
	15.25 15.39
	15.39
	15.3
	15.42 15.73
	15.69
	15.64 15.68
	15.12
	14.94
	14.88 14.85
	14.64
	14.72
	14.32 14.09
	14.08
7/31/07	14.39
FUND SNAPSHOT	
Common Share Price	\$14.39
Common Share	
Net Asset Value	\$14.87
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	-3.23%
Market Yield	4.75%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield1	7.02%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$63 , 114
Average Effective Maturity on Securities (Year	s) 13.78
Leverage-Adjusted Duration	8.57
AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN (Inception 3/27/01)	

	ON SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year	0.52%	4.02%
5-Year	4.82%	6.12%
Since Inception	5.11%	6.60%
INDUSTRIES	total investments	s)
U.S. Guaran	nteed	32.6%
Tax Obligat	cion/General	16.4%
Tax Obligat	cion/Limited	10.2%
Education a		9.8%
Health Care	9	9.3%
Utilities		5.7%
Housing/Mu	ltifamily	3.7%
Other		12.3%

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 32.3%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 The Fund paid shareholders a capital gains distribution in December 2006 of \$0.0311 per share.

16

NBJ
Performance
OVERVIEW
Nuveen Ohio
Dividend Advantage
Municipal Fund 2

as of July 31, 2007

Pie Chart:

Credit Quality (as a % of total investments)

AAA/U.S.

Guaranteed 71% AA 11% A 8% BBB 7%

```
BB or Lower
                                  1%
N/R
                                  2%
Bar Chart:
2006-2007 Monthly Tax-Free Dividends Per Share2
                               0.062
                               0.059
                               0.059
Oct
Nov
                               0.059
Dec
                              0.0565
Jan
                              0.0565
                              0.0565
Feb
Mar
                              0.0565
Apr
                              0.0565
                              0.0565
May
Jun
                              0.0565
Jul
                              0.0565
Line Chart:
Share Price Performance -- Weekly Closing Price
8/01/06
                              14.73
                              14.98
                              14.7
                              15.25
                              14.99
                              15.1
                              14.69
                              14.78
                              15
                              14.9943
                              14.66
                              14.59
                              14.67
                              14.8
                              14.67
                              14.71
                              14.51
                              14.58
                              14.53
                              14.65
                              14.48
                              14.49
                              14.54
                              14.4801
                              14.3
                              14.29
                              14.23
                              14.219
                              14.31
                              14.45
                              14.2
                              14.34
                              14.5
                              14.56
                              14.44
                              14.33
                              14.44
                              14.73
                              14.29
                              14.31
                              14.52
                              14.61
```

0 0		
		14.6 14.37 14.75 14.35 14.28 14.13 14.1 14.05 13.93 13.5 13.74
7/31/07		13.8
FUND SNAPSHOT		
Common Share E	Price	\$13.80
Common Share Net Asset Valu	1e 	\$14.64
Premium/(Disco	ount) to NAV	-5.74%
Market Yield		4.91%
Taxable-Equiva	alent Yield1	7.25%
Net Assets App Common Shares		\$45 , 694
Average Effect Maturity on Se	cive ecurities (Yea	rs) 15.42
Leverage-Adjus	sted Duration	9.00
AVERAGE ANNUAL	L TOTAL RETURN 25/01)	
ON		ON NAV
1-Year	-1.26%	3.80%
5-Year	4.57%	6.09%
Since Inception	4.23%	6.17%
INDUSTRIES (as a % of tot	tal investment	s)
Tax Obligation	n/General	23.6%
U.S. Guarantee		20.1%
Health Care		17.0%
Tax Obligation	n/Limited	12.0%
Education and Organization		9.1%

Utilities	5.8%
Consumer Staples	5.5%
Other	6.9%

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 32.3%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 The Fund paid shareholders capital gains and net ordinary income distributions in December 2006 of \$0.0439 per share.

17

NVJ Performance OVERVIEW

Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3

as of July 31, 2007

Pie Chart:

Credit Quality (as a % of total investments)

AAA/U.S.
Guaranteed 75%
AA 6%
A 10%
BBB 7%
BB or Lower 1%

Bar Chart:

N/R

2006-2007 Monthly Tax-Free Dividends Per Share2

1%

Aug 0.0615 0.0595 Sep 0.0595 Oct 0.0595 Nov 0.0595 Dec Jan 0.0595 Feb 0.0595 Mar 0.0595 Apr 0.0595 May 0.0595 Jun 0.0595 Jul 0.0595

Line Chart:

SHARE PRICE PERFORMANCE

Weekly Closing Price

Past performance is not predictive of future results.

7/31/07	14.69 14.7 14.78 15.06 14.95 15.36 15.31 14.85 14.77 14.79 14.85 14.77 14.79 14.85 14.91 14.88 14.92 14.9 14.91 14.88 14.65 14.72 14.78 14.98 15.06 15.09 15.08 14.98 15.05 15.1 14.92 14.93 14.91 14.92 14.93 14.91 14.92 14.93 14.91 14.92 14.93 14.91 14.92 14.93 14.91 14.92 14.93 14.81 14.92 15.05 15.44 15.75 15.25 15.48 15.75 15.25 14.93 14.84 14.82
7/31/07	14.3 14.35
FUND SNAPSHOT	17.00
Common Share Price	\$14.35
Common Share Net Asset Value	\$14.92

Market Yield 4.98%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000) \$32,194 Average Effective Maturity on Securities (Years) 12.62 Leverage-Adjusted Duration 8.85 AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN (Inception 3/25/02) ON SHARE PRICE ON NAV 1-Year 2.32% 4.06% 5-Year 4.48% 5.92% Since Inception 4.85% 6.49% INDUSTRIES
Common Shares (\$000) \$32,194 Average Effective Maturity on Securities (Years) 12.62 Leverage-Adjusted Duration 8.85 AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN (Inception 3/25/02) ON SHARE PRICE ON NAV 1-Year 2.32% 4.06% 5-Year 4.48% 5.92% Since Inception 4.85% 6.49% INDUSTRIES
Maturity on Securities (Years) 12.62 Leverage-Adjusted Duration 8.85 AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN (Inception 3/25/02) ON SHARE PRICE ON NAV 1-Year 2.32% 4.06% 5-Year 4.48% 5.92% Since Inception 4.85% 6.49% INDUSTRIES
AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN (Inception 3/25/02) ON SHARE PRICE ON NAV 1-Year 2.32% 4.06% 5-Year 4.48% 5.92% Since Inception 4.85% 6.49% INDUSTRIES
ON SHARE PRICE ON NAV 1-Year 2.32% 4.06% 5-Year 4.48% 5.92% Since Inception 4.85% 6.49% INDUSTRIES
1-Year 2.32% 4.06% 5-Year 4.48% 5.92%
5-Year 4.48% 5.92% Since Inception 4.85% 6.49%
Since Inception 4.85% 6.49%
Inception 4.85% 6.49%INDUSTRIES
U.S. Guaranteed 33.1%
Tax Obligation/Limited 16.3%
Tax Obligation/General 16.3%
Health Care 10.7%
Education and Civic Organizations 6.2%
Consumer Staples 3.9%
Other 13.5%

¹ Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 32.3%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 The Fund paid shareholders capital gains and net ordinary income distributions in December 2006, of \$0.0356 per share.

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

THE BOARDS OF DIRECTORS/TRUSTEES AND SHAREHOLDERS NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. NUVEEN MICHIGAN PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. NUVEEN MICHIGAN DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND NUVEEN OHIO QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. NUVEEN OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND NUVEEN OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND 2 NUVEEN OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND 3

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities, including the portfolios of investments, of Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Michigan Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund, Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 and Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (the "Funds"), as of July 31, 2007, and the related statements of operations for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and financial highlights, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of July 31, 2007, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers or by other appropriate auditing procedures where replies from brokers were not received. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Michigan Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund, Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund, Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 and Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 at July 31, 2007, the results of their operations for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Chicago, Illinois

September 24, 2007

19

NUM

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. Portfolio of INVESTMENTS

July 31, 2007

PRIN	NCIPAL (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTI PROVI		
		EDUCATION AND CIVIC ORGANIZATIONS - 7.2% (4.7% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
\$	700	Chandler Park Academy, Michigan, Public School Academy Charter School Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.125%, 11/01/35	11/15	at	100
	1,380	Ferris State College, Michigan, General Revenue Bonds, Series 1998, 5.000%, 10/01/23 - AMBAC Insured	4/08	at	100
	435	Grand Traverse Academy, Michigan, Public School Academy Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, 4.750%, 11/01/32	11/17	at	100
	1,685	Michigan Higher Education Facilities Authority, Limited Obligation Revenue Refunding Bonds, Kettering University, Series 2001, 5.500%, 9/01/17 - AMBAC Insured	9/11	at	100
	1,500	Michigan Higher Education Student Loan Authority, Revenue Bonds, Series 2000 XII-T, 5.300%, 9/01/10 - AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No	Opt	i. (
	1,000	Michigan Higher Education Student Loan Authority, Revenue Bonds, Series 2002 XVII-G, 5.200%, 9/01/20 - AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/12	at	100
	1,115	Michigan Technological University, General Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 10/01/22 - MBIA Insured	10/13	at	100
		Wayne State University, Michigan, General Revenue Bonds, Series 1999:			
	3,430 1,000	5.250%, 11/15/19 - FGIC Insured 5.125%, 11/15/29 - FGIC Insured	11/09 11/09		
1 1	.2,245	Total Education and Civic Organizations			
		HEALTH CARE - 13.1% (8.5% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
	2,800	Michigan Hospital Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Oakwood Obligated Group, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 7/15/37	7/17	at	10
	2,700	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Detroit Medical Center Obligated Group, Series 1998A, 5.250%, 8/15/28	8/08	at	10

Series 1999, 5.875%, 11/15/21

Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Memorial Healthcare Center Obligated Group,

1,000

 5 , 030	Total Housing/Multifamily	
\$ 1,300	HOUSING/MULTIFAMILY (continued) Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 2006D, 5.125%, 4/01/31 - FSA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/15 at 100
INCIPAL I (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS
	20	
1,055	Bonds, Series 1999A, 5.300%, 10/01/37 - MBIA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	4709 at 101
2,675 1,055	Michigan Housing Development Authority, FNMA Limited Obligation Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Parkview Place Apartments, Series 2002A, 5.550%, 12/01/34 (Alternative Minimum Tax) Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing Revenue	12/20 at 101 4/09 at 101
	HOUSING/MULTIFAMILY - 3.0% (1.9% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
 22 , 995	Total Health Care	
2,195	University of Michigan, Medical Service Plan Revenue Bonds, Series 1991, 0.000%, 12/01/10	No Opt. (
5,500	Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Hospital Revenue Bonds, William Beaumont Hospital, Series 2001M, 5.250%, 11/15/31 - MBIA Insured	11/11 at 100
1,000	Monroe County Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Mercy Memorial Hospital Corporation Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.375%, 6/01/26	6/16 at 100
5,800	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sparrow Obligated Group, Series 2005, 5.000%, 11/15/36 - MBIA Insured (UB)	5/15 at 100
1,500	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Marquette General Hospital, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 5/15/26	5/15 at 100
500	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Chelsea Community Hospital, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/15/37	5/15 at 100

HOUSING/SINGLE FAMILY - 0.2% (0.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)

11/09 at 101

365	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2001, 5.300%, 12/01/16 - MBIA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/11	at	100
	LONG-TERM CARE - 0.7% (0.5% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
1,000	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Presbyterian Villages of Michigan Obligated Group, Series 2005, 5.250%, 11/15/25	5/15	at	100
200	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Refunding Bonds, Porter Hills Presbyterian Village, Series 1998, 5.375%, 7/01/28	7/08	at	101
1,200	Total Long-Term Care			
	MATERIALS - 1.0% (0.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
1,750	Dickinson County Economic Development Corporation, Michigan, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, International Paper Company, Series 2004A, 4.800%, 11/01/18		at	100
	TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL - 52.6% (34.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
1,000	Anchor Bay School District, Macomb and St. Clair Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%, 5/01/25	5/12	at	100
	Anchor Bay School District, Macomb and St. Clair Counties, Michigan, Unlimited Tax General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2001:			
2,500 3,200	5.000%, 5/01/21 5.000%, 5/01/29	5/11 5/11	-	
1,000	Belding School District, Ionia, Kent and Montcalm Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 1998, 5.000%, 5/01/26 - AMBAC Insured	5/08	at	100
1,200	Birmingham, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%, 10/01/20	10/12	at	100
1,320	Bridgeport Spaulding Community School District, Saginaw County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.500%, 5/01/16	5/12	at	100
1,405	Caledonia Community Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, Residuals 1018, 6.447%, 5/01/32 - MBIA Insured (IF)	5/17	at	100
2,110	Caledonia Community Schools, Kent, Allegan and Barry Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.250%, 5/01/20	5/13	at	100
1,000	Caledonia Community Schools, Kent, Allegan and Barry Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/25 - MBIA Insured	5/15	at	100

2,000	Detroit City School District, Wayne County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002A, 6.000%, 5/01/19 - FGIC Insured	No	Opt	t. c
1,195	Detroit, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A-1, 5.250%, 4/01/24 - AMBAC Insured	4/14	at	100
8,900	Grand Rapids and Kent County Joint Building Authority, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Devos Place Project, Series 2001: 0.000%, 12/01/25	No	Ont	t. O
•	0.000%, 12/01/25		-	i. a
•	0.000%, 12/01/29		-	t. 0
1,700	Grand Rapids, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 9/01/27 - MBIA Insured	9/17	at	100
1,400	Howell Public Schools, Livingston County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 5/01/21	11/13	at	100
1,065	Jackson Public Schools, Jackson County, Michigan, General Obligation School Building and Site Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/22 - FSA Insured	5/14	at	100
1,935	Kalamazoo Public Schools, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/25 - FSA Insured	5/16	at	100
1,790	Lansing Building Authority, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 6/01/26 - MBIA Insured	6/13	at	100

21

NUM

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS July 31, (2007)

Program, Series 2003A, 5.250%, 5/01/20

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS
	TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL (continued)	
\$ 2,505	Lincoln Consolidated School District, Washtenaw and Wayne Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/25 - MBIA Insured	5/16 at 100
2,810	Livonia Public Schools, Wayne County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 5/01/21 - MBIA Insured	5/14 at 100
1,500	Marshall Public Schools, Calhoun County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/30 - XLCA Insured	5/17 at 100
2,100	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, General Obligation Bonds, Detroit City School District, Series 2005, 5.000%, 6/01/18 - FSA Insured	6/15 at 100
4,000	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Environmental Protection	5/13 at 100

2,500

Montrose School District, Michigan, School Building and Site Bonds, Series 1997, 6.000%, 5/01/22 - MBIA Insured

1,100	Muskegon County, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Wastewater Management System 2 Revenue Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%, 7/01/26 - FGIC Insured	7/11	at	100
1,000	Oakland County Building Authority, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.125%, 9/01/22	9/11	at	100
2,250	Oakland Intermediate School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/36 - FSA Insured	5/17	at	100
1,595	Oakridge Public Schools, Muskegon County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/22 - MBIA Insured	5/15	at	100
4,330	Ottawa County, Michigan, Water Supply System, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007: 5.000%, 8/01/26 - MBIA Insured	8/17	at	100
1,120	5.000%, 8/01/30 - MBIA Insured	8/17	at	100
1,050	Parchment School District, Kalamazoo County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, Residuals 07-1017, 6.445%, 5/01/36 - FSA Insured (IF)	5/17	at	100
4,340	Plymouth-Canton Community School District, Wayne and Washtenaw Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/26 - FGIC Insured	5/14	at	100
4,200	Puerto Rico, General Obligation and Public Improvement Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.500%, 7/01/20 - MBIA Insured	No	Opt	t. C
3,175	South Redford School District, Wayne County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, School Building and Site, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/30 - MBIA Insured	5/15	at	100
1,655	Southfield Library Building Authority, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/26 - MBIA Insured	5/15	at	100
1,500	Thornapple Kellogg School District, Barry County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/32 - MBIA Insured	5/17	at	100
2,275	Troy City School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/19 - MBIA Insured	5/16	at	100
5,000	Wayne County, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Airport Hotel Revenue Bonds, Detroit Metropolitan Wayne County Airport, Series 2001A, 5.000%, 12/01/21 - MBIA Insured	12/11	at	101
3,350	Wayne Westland Community Schools, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/17 - FSA Insured	11/14	at	100
1,725	Williamston Community School District, Michigan, Unlimited Tax General Obligation QSBLF Bonds, Series 1996, 5.500%, 5/01/25 - MBIA Insured	No	Opt	t. C
 98,105	Total Tax Obligation/General			

No Opt. C

TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED - 14.4% (9.3% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)

1,000	Grand Rapids Building Authority, Kent County, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 1998, 5.000%, 4/01/16	No Opt. C
1,345	Grand Rapids Building Authority, Kent County, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.125%, 10/01/26 - MBIA Insured	10/11 at 100
4,440	Michigan Building Authority, Revenue Bonds, Series 2006IA, 5.000%, 10/15/36 - FGIC Insured	10/16 at 100
50	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Local Government Loan Program Revenue Sharing Bonds, Series 1992D, 6.650%, 5/01/12	11/07 at 100
	22	
PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C
	TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED (continued)	
\$ 2,135	Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Bonds, Facilities Program, Series 2005II, 5.000%, 10/15/33 - AMBAC Insured	10/15 at 100
	Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Facilities Program, Series 2003II:	
5,100	5.000%, 10/15/22 - MBIA Insured	10/13 at 100
5,000	5.000%, 10/15/23 - MBIA Insured	10/13 at 100
3,500	Michigan State Trunk Line, Fund Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.250%, 10/01/21 - FSA Insured	10/12 at 100
5,500	Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 0.000%, 7/01/32 - FGIC Insured	No Opt. C
28,070	Total Tax Obligation/Limited	
	TRANSPORTATION - 0.6% (0.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
1,000	Capital Region Airport Authority, Michigan, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.250%, 7/01/21 - MBIA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/12 at 100
	U.S. GUARANTEED - 40.4% (26.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) (4)	
2,190	Anchor Bay School District, Macomb and St. Clair Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1999I, 6.000%, 5/01/29 (Pre-refunded 5/01/09) - FGIC Insured	5/09 at 100
1,000	Charlotte Public School District, Easton County, Michigan, General	5/09 at 100

Obligation Bonds, Series 1999, 5.250%, 5/01/25 (Pre-refunded 5/01/09) - FGIC Insured

	(Pre-refunded 5/01/09) - FGIC Insured	
935	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 7/01/17 (Pre-refunded 7/01/13) - FSA Insured	7/13 at 100
	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Water Supply System Revenue	
3,400 770 730	Bonds, Series 2001A: 5.750%, 7/01/28 (Pre-refunded 7/01/11) - FGIC Insured 5.250%, 7/01/33 (Pre-refunded 7/01/11) - FGIC Insured 5.250%, 7/01/33 (Pre-refunded 7/01/11) - FGIC Insured	7/11 at 101 7/11 at 100 7/11 at 100
	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A:	
4,025 1,500	5.000%, 7/01/24 (Pre-refunded 7/01/13) - MBIA Insured 5.000%, 7/01/25 (Pre-refunded 7/01/13) - MBIA Insured	7/13 at 100 7/13 at 100
1,000	Detroit, Michigan, Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 1999A, 5.875%, 7/01/27 (Pre-refunded 1/01/10) - FGIC Insured	1/10 at 101
2,000	East Grand Rapids Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan, Unlimited Tax General Obligation School Building and Site Bonds, Series 2000, 6.000%, 5/01/29 (Pre-refunded 5/01/09) - FSA Insured	5/09 at 100
1,085	Freeland Community School District, Saginaw, Midland and Bay Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000, 5.250%, 5/01/19 (Pre-refunded 5/01/10)	5/10 at 100
1,220	Hancock Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, FHA-Insured Mortgage Hospital Revenue Bonds, Portage Health System Inc., Series 1998, 5.450%, 8/01/47 (Pre-refunded 8/01/08) - MBIA Insured	8/08 at 100
2,000	Lake Fenton Community Schools, Genesee County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%, 5/01/24 (Pre-refunded 5/01/12)	5/12 at 100
3,880	Mayville Community Schools, Tuscola County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, School Building and Site Project, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/34 (Pre-refunded 11/01/14) - FGIC Insured	11/14 at 100
250	Michigan South Central Power Agency, Power Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2000, 6.000%, 5/01/12 (ETM)	No Opt. C
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Ascension Health Credit Group, Series 1999A:	
1,000 500	6.125%, 11/15/23 (Pre-refunded 11/15/09) - MBIA Insured 6.125%, 11/15/26 (Pre-refunded 11/15/09)	11/09 at 101 11/09 at 101
5,000	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Henry Ford Health System, Series 1999A, 6.000%, 11/15/24 (Pre-refunded 11/15/09)	11/09 at 101
1,500	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Henry Ford Health System, Series 2003A, 5.625%, 3/01/17 (Pre-refunded 3/01/13)	3/13 at 100
1,700	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue	8/09 at 101

Refunding Bonds, Mercy Health Services Obligated Group, Series 1999X, 5.750%, 8/15/19 (Pre-refunded 8/15/09) -MBIA Insured

23

NUM

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS July 31, (2007)

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)				IONAL ISIONS	
		U.S. GUARANTEED (4) (continued)			
		Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Mercy Mt. Clemens Corporation Obligated Group, Series 1999A:			
	3,385 500	5.750%, 5/15/17 (Pre-refunded 5/15/09) - MBIA Insured 5.750%, 5/15/29 (Pre-refunded 5/15/09) - MBIA Insured		at 10 at 10	
	1,000	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, OSF Healthcare System, Series 1999, 6.125%, 11/15/19 (Pre-refunded 11/15/09)	11/09	at 10	
	3,460	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, St. John's Health System, Series 1998A, 5.000%, 5/15/28 - AMBAC Insured (ETM)	No	Opt.	
	1,000	Michigan State Trunk Line, Fund Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.000%, 11/01/25 (Pre-refunded 11/01/11) - FSA Insured	11/11	at 10	
	1,100	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Refunding Bonds, Porter Hills Presbyterian Village, Series 1998, 5.375%, 7/01/28 (Pre-refunded 7/01/08)	7/08	at 10	
	2,000	Michigan, Certificates of Participation, Series 2000, 5.500%, 6/01/27 (Pre-refunded 6/01/10) - AMBAC Insured	6/10	at 10	
	2,875	Milan Area Schools, Washtenaw and Monroe Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.750%, 5/01/24 (Pre-refunded 5/01/10) - FGIC Insured	5/10	at 10	
	700	Muskegon Heights, Muskegon County, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.625%, 11/01/30 (Pre-refunded 11/01/10) - MBIA Insured	11/10	at 10	
	400	Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, Power Revenue Bonds, Series 2000HH, 5.250%, 7/01/29 (Pre-refunded 7/01/10) - FSA Insured	7/10	at 10	
	1,125	Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, Highway Revenue Bonds, Series 2000B, 6.000%, 7/01/39 (Pre-refunded 7/01/10)	7/10	at 10	
	85	Puerto Rico Public Finance Corporation, Commonwealth Appropriation Bonds, Series 2002E: 6.000%, 8/01/26 (ETM)	No	Opt.	
	915	6.000%, 8/01/26 (EIM) 6.000%, 8/01/26 (ETM)		Opt.	

4,100	Puerto Rico, Highway Revenue Bonds, Highway and Transportation Authority, Series 1996Y, 5.500%, 7/01/36 (Pre-refunded 7/01/16)	7/16	at 10
1,000	Rochester Community School District, Oakland and Macomb Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000I, 5.750%, 5/01/19 (Pre-refunded 5/01/10) - FGIC Insured	5/10	at 10
2,100	Romulus Community Schools, Wayne County, Michigan, Unlimited Tax General Obligation School Building and Site Bonds, Series 1999, 5.750%, 5/01/25 (Pre-refunded 5/01/09) - FGIC Insured	5/09	at 10
1,050	Warren Consolidated School District, Macomb and Oakland Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.375%, 5/01/19 (Pre-refunded 11/01/11) - FSA Insured	11/11	at 10
1,980	Washtenaw County Building Authority, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 1999, 5.400%, 9/01/17 (Pre-refunded 9/01/07) - FGIC Insured	9/07	at 10
2,600	West Bloomfield School District, Oakland County, Michigan, Unlimited Tax General Obligation School Building and Site Bonds, Series 2000, 5.900%, 5/01/18 (Pre-refunded 5/01/10) - FGIC Insured	5/10	at 10
67,060	Total U.S. Guaranteed		
	UTILITIES - 12.2% (7.9% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)		
3,000	Michigan Public Power Agency, Revenue Bonds, Combustion Turbine 1 Project, Series 2001A, 5.250%, 1/01/27 - AMBAC Insured	1/12	at 10
3,225			
·	Michigan South Central Power Agency, Power Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2000, 6.000%, 5/01/12	No	Opt.
1,000			Opt.
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2000, 6.000%, 5/01/12 Michigan Strategic Fund, Collateralized Limited Obligation Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit Edison Company, Series 1999A, 5.550%, 9/01/29 - MBIA Insured	9/09	
1,000	Revenue Bonds, Series 2000, 6.000%, 5/01/12 Michigan Strategic Fund, Collateralized Limited Obligation Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit Edison Company, Series 1999A, 5.550%, 9/01/29 - MBIA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) Michigan Strategic Fund, Collateralized Limited Obligation Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit Edison	9/09	at 10
1,000 4,000	Revenue Bonds, Series 2000, 6.000%, 5/01/12 Michigan Strategic Fund, Collateralized Limited Obligation Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit Edison Company, Series 1999A, 5.550%, 9/01/29 - MBIA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) Michigan Strategic Fund, Collateralized Limited Obligation Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit Edison Company, Series 2001C, 5.450%, 9/01/29 Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit Edison Company, Series 1995CC, 4.850%, 9/01/30 (Mandatory put 9/01/11) -	9/09 9/11 9/30	at 10

AM(PRINCIPAL OUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C
		UTILITIES (continued)	
\$	3,000	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit Edison Company, Series 2002C, 5.450%, 12/15/32 - XLCA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/12 at 100
	19 , 855	Total Utilities	
		WATER AND SEWER - 8.7% (5.7% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
		WATER AND SEWER - 8.78 (3.78 OF TOTAL INVESTIGATION	
	5,500	Detroit Water Supply System, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 7/01/34 - FSA Insured	7/16 at 100
	1,500	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 2001B, 5.500%, 7/01/29 - FGIC Insured	No Opt. C
	565	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 7/01/17 - FSA Insured	7/13 at 100
	1,500	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 7/01/25 - MBIA Insured	7/13 at 100
	4,210	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Clean Water Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 10/01/19	10/14 at 100
	1,150	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Drinking Water Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 10/01/23	10/14 at 100
	14,425	Total Water and Sewer	
\$		Total Long-Term Investments (cost \$260,034,307) - 154.1%	
==		SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS - 0.1% (0.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
	000		
\$	200	Puerto Rico Government Development Bank, Adjustable Refunding Bonds, Variable Rate Demand Obligations, Series 1985, 3.490%, 12/01/15 - MBIA Insured (5)	
===-	-=====	Total Short-Term Investments (cost \$200,000)	
		Total Investments (cost \$260,234,307) - 154.2%	
		Floating Rate Obligations - (2.2)%	
		Other Assets Less Liabilities - 1.6%	
		Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value - (53.6)%	
		Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares - 100%	

The Fund may invest in "zero coupon" securities. A zero coupon security does not pay a regular interest coupon to its holders during the life of the security. Tax-exempt income to the holder of the security comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the security at issuance and the par value of the security at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. Such securities are included in the portfolio with a 0.00% coupon rate in their description. The market prices of zero coupon securities generally are more volatile than the market prices of securities that pay interest periodically.

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the higher of Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's Group or Baa by Moody's Investor Service, Inc. are considered to be below investment grade.
- (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- (5) Investment has a maturity of more than one year, but has variable rate and demand features which qualify it as a short-term investment. The rate disclosed is that in effect at the end of the reporting period. This rate changes periodically based on market conditions or a specified market index.
- N/R Not rated.
- (ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
- (IF) Inverse floating rate investment.
- (UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 140.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

25

NMP

Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. Portfolio of INVESTMENTS

July 31, 2007

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)				
	EDUCATION AND CIVIC ORGANIZATIONS - 5.6% (3.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	,		
\$ 440	Chandler Park Academy, Michigan, Public School Academy Charter School Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.125%, 11/01/35	11/15 at 100		
275	Grand Traverse Academy, Michigan, Public School Academy Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, 4.750%, 11/01/32	11/17 at 100		
2,000	Michigan Higher Education Student Loan Authority, Revenue Bonds, Series 2002 XVII-G, 5.200%, 9/01/20 - AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/12 at 100		
3,500	Wayne State University, Michigan, General Revenue Bonds, Series 1999, 5.125%, 11/15/29 - FGIC Insured	11/09 at 103		
	Total Education and Civic Organizations			
	HEALTH CARE - 9.0% (5.8% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
1,700	Michigan Hospital Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Oakwood Obligated Group, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 7/15/37	7/17 at 10		
425	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Chelsea Community Hospital, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/15/25	5/15 at 10		
1,005	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Marquette General Hospital, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 5/15/26	5/15 at 10		
3,700	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sparrow Obligated Group, Series 2005, 5.000%, 11/15/36 - MBIA Insured (UB)	5/15 at 10		
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit Medical Center Obligated Group, Series 1993A:			
2,000 500	6.250%, 8/15/13 6.500%, 8/15/18	8/07 at 10 8/07 at 10		
800	Monroe County Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Mercy Memorial Hospital Corporation Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.375%, 6/01/26	6/16 at 10		
10,130	Total Health Care			
	HOUSING/MULTIFAMILY - 7.0% (4.5% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
920	Michigan Housing Development Authority, GNMA Collateralized Limited Obligation Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Burkshire Pointe Apartments, Series 2002A, 5.400%, 10/20/32	4/12 at 10		

	(Alternative Minimum Tax)	
1,500	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds, Breton Village Green Project, Series 1993, 5.625%, 10/15/18 - FSA Insured	10/07 at 100
2,400	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds, Walled Lake Villa Project, Series 1993, 6.000%, 4/15/18 - FSA Insured	10/07 at 100
800	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 2006D, 5.125%, 4/01/31 - FSA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/15 at 100
	Mt. Clemens Housing Corporation, Michigan, FHA-Insured Section 8 Assisted Multifamily Housing Revenue Refunding Bonds, Clinton Place Project, Series 1992A:	
680 1,500	6.600%, 6/01/13 6.600%, 6/01/22	12/07 at 100 12/07 at 100
7,800	Total Housing/Multifamily	
	26	
PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS
	LONG-TERM CARE - 0.6% (0.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
\$ 665	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Presbyterian Villages of Michigan Obligated Group, Series 2005, 5.250%, 11/15/25	5/15 at 100
	MATERIALS - 0.9% (0.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
1,050	Dickinson County Economic Development Corporation, Michigan, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, International Paper Company, Series 2004A, 4.800%, 11/01/18	
	TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL - 47.6% (30.8% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
1,475	Anchor Bay School District, Macomb and St. Clair Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 5/01/21	11/13 at 100
		5/11 at 100
2,500	Anchor Bay School District, Macomb and St. Clair Counties, Michigan, Unlimited Tax General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 5/01/21	
2 , 500	Michigan, Unlimited Tax General Obligation Refunding Bonds,	5/17 at

2,250	Caledonia Community Schools, Kent, Allegan and Barry Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/26 - MBIA Insured	5/15	at	100
	Detroit City School District, Wayne County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002A:			
1,815 750	6.000%, 5/01/20 - FGIC Insured 6.000%, 5/01/21 - FGIC Insured		_	. c
2,500	Detroit City School District, Wayne County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003B, 5.000%, 5/01/23 - FGIC Insured	5/13	at	100
2,665	Detroit, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A-1, 5.250%, 4/01/24 - AMBAC Insured	4/14	at	100
7,000	Detroit-Wayne County Stadium Authority, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Building Authority Stadium Bonds, Series 1997, 5.250%, 2/01/27 - FGIC Insured	8/07	at	102
860	Grand Rapids, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 9/01/24 - MBIA Insured	9/17	at	100
1,650	Holly Area School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.125%, 5/01/32 - MBIA Insured	5/16	at	100
2,000	Howell Public Schools, Livingston County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 5/01/22	11/13	at	100
1,250	Kalamazoo Public Schools, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/25 - FSA Insured	5/16	at	100
500	Lansing School District, Ingham County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/22	5/14	at	100
1,000	Livonia Public Schools, Wayne County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 5/01/21 - MBIA Insured	5/14	at	100
	Marshall Public Schools, Calhoun County, Michigan, General			
425	Obligation Bonds, Series 2007: 5.000%, 5/01/30 - XLCA Insured	5/17	at	100
550	4.625%, 5/01/37 - XLCA Insured	5/17		
1,000	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, General Obligation Bonds, Detroit City School District, Series 2005, 5.000%, 6/01/18 - FSA Insured	6/15	at	100
	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Environmental Protection Program, Series 2003A:			
1,000 2,000	5.250%, 5/01/20 5.250%, 5/01/21	5/13 5/13		
1,450	Oakland Intermediate School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/36 - FSA Insured	5/17	at	100
3,500	Ottawa County, Michigan, Water Supply System, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 8/01/30 - MBIA Insured	8/17	at	100
1,100	Oxford Area Community Schools, Oakland and Lapeer Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004,	5/14	at	100

5.000%, 5/01/25 - FSA Insured

Parchment School District, Kalamazoo County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, Residuals 07-1017, 6.445%, 5/01/36 - FSA Insured (IF) 5/17 at 100

27

NMP

Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS July 31, (2007)

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)		DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL (
		TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL (continued)	
\$	1,000	Rockford Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/27 - FSA Insured	5/15 at 100
	1,000	Thornapple Kellogg School District, Barry County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/32 - MBIA Insured	5/17 at 100
	2,830	Warren Consolidated School District, Macomb and Oakland Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2003, 5.250%, 5/01/20	5/13 at 100
		Wayne County, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Airport Hotel Revenue Bonds, Detroit Metropolitan Wayne County Airport, Series 2001A:	
	1,500	5.500%, 12/01/18 - MBIA Insured	12/11 at 101
		5.000%, 12/01/30 - MBIA Insured	12/11 at 101
	 51 , 595	Total Tax Obligation/General	
		TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED - 21.5% (13.9% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
		TIM OBBIONITION/ BINITED 21.30 (13.30 OF TOTAL INVESTIGATION	
	2,880	Michigan Building Authority, Revenue Bonds, Series 2006IA, 5.000%, 10/15/36 - FGIC Insured	10/16 at 100
		Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Bonds, Facilities Program, Series 2001I:	
	2,570	5.500%, 10/15/19	10/11 at 100
	6,500	5.000%, 10/15/24	10/11 at 100
	1,600	Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Bonds, Facilities Program, Series 2005II, 5.000%, 10/15/30 - AMBAC Insured	10/15 at 100
		Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Facilities Program, Series 2003II:	
	5,000	5.000%, 10/15/22 - MBIA Insured (5)	10/13 at 100
	2,480	5.000%, 10/15/23 - MBIA Insured	10/13 at 100
	1,500	Michigan, Comprehensive Transportation Revenue Refunding	11/11 at 100

Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.000%, 11/01/19 - FSA Insured

3,500	Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 0.000%, 7/01/32 - FGIC Insured	No Opt. C
26,030	Total Tax Obligation/Limited	
	U.S. GUARANTEED - 32.5% (21.0% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) (4)	
1,000	Central Montcalm Public Schools, Montcalm and Ionia Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Unlimited Tax School Building and Site Bonds, Series 1999, 5.750%, 5/01/24 (Pre-refunded 5/01/09) - MBIA Insured	5/09 at 100
1,375	Chippewa Valley Schools, Macomb County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 5/01/26 (Pre-refunded 5/01/11)	5/11 at 100
915	Detroit, Michigan, Second Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 7/01/30 (Pre-refunded 7/01/15) - MBIA Insured	7/15 at 100
1,385	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.250%, 7/01/33 (Pre-refunded 7/01/11) - FGIC Insured	7/11 at 100
2,000	Detroit, Michigan, Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 1999A, 5.875%, 7/01/27 (Pre-refunded 1/01/10) - FGIC Insured	1/10 at 101
2,175	Hancock Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, FHA-Insured Mortgage Hospital Revenue Bonds, Portage Health System Inc., Series 1998, 5.450%, 8/01/47 (Pre-refunded 8/01/08) - MBIA Insured	8/08 at 100
500	Lansing School District, Ingham County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/22 (Pre-refunded 5/01/14)	5/14 at 100
75	Michigan South Central Power Agency, Power Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2000, 6.000%, 5/01/12 (ETM)	No Opt. C
1,500	Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Bonds, Facilities Program, Series 2000I, 5.375%, 10/15/20 (Pre-refunded 10/15/10)	10/10 at 100
2,500	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Ascension Health Credit Group, Series 1999A, 6.125%, 11/15/26 (Pre-refunded 11/15/09)	11/09 at 101

28

PRINCIPAI			OPTIONAL C
AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	(1)	PROVISIONS

\$ 3 , 575	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Henry Ford Health System, Series 1999A, 6.000%, 11/15/24 (Pre-refunded 11/15/09)	11/09	at	101
1,500	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Henry Ford Health System, Series 2003A, 5.625%, 3/01/17 (Pre-refunded 3/01/13)	3/13	at	100
4,000	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Mercy Mt. Clemens Corporation Obligated Group, Series 1999A, 5.750%, 5/15/29 (Pre-refunded 5/15/09) - MBIA Insured	5/09	at	101
500	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sparrow Obligated Group, Series 2001, 5.625%, 11/15/31 (Pre-refunded 11/15/11)	11/11	at	101
3,000	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, St. John's Hospital, Series 1993A, 6.000%, 5/15/13 - AMBAC Insured (ETM)	11/07	at	100
1,240	Milan Area Schools, Washtenaw and Monroe Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.625%, 5/01/16 (Pre-refunded 5/01/10) - FGIC Insured	5/10	at	100
1,000	Otsego Public Schools District, Allegan and Kalamazoo Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/25 (Pre-refunded 5/01/14) - FSA Insured	5/14	at	100
2,515	Plainwell Community Schools, Allegan County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%, 5/01/28 (Pre-refunded 11/01/12)	11/12	at	100
1,425	Walled Lake Consolidated School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.250%, 5/01/20 (Pre-refunded 5/01/14) - MBIA Insured	5/14	at	100
2 , 950	West Bloomfield School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/22 (Pre-refunded 5/01/14) - FSA Insured	5/14	at	100
 35 , 130	Total U.S. Guaranteed			
	UTILITIES - 14.1% (9.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
1,000	Michigan Public Power Agency, Revenue Bonds, Combustion Turbine 1 Project, Series 2001A, 5.250%, 1/01/27 - AMBAC Insured	1/12	at	100
925	Michigan South Central Power Agency, Power Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2000, 6.000%, 5/01/12	No	Opt	t. C
1,000	Michigan Strategic Fund, Collateralized Limited Obligation Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit Edison Company, Series 1999A, 5.550%, 9/01/29 - MBIA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/09	at	102
5,000	Michigan Strategic Fund, Collateralized Limited Obligation	9/11	at	100

Edgar Filing: NU	VEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND INC - Form N-CS	iR
	Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit Edison Company, Series 2001C, 5.450%, 9/01/29	
3,000	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit Edison Company, Series 1995CC, 4.850%, 9/01/30 (Mandatory put 9/01/11) - AMBAC Insured	9/30 at 100
3,000	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit Edison Company, Series 2002C, 5.450%, 12/15/32 - XLCA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/12 at 100
1,500	Wyandotte, Michigan, Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.375%, 10/01/17 - MBIA Insured	10/08 at 101
15,425	Total Utilities	
	WATER AND SEWER - 15.7% (10.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
3,500	Detroit Water Supply System, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 7/01/34 - FSA Insured	7/16 at 100
1,085	Detroit, Michigan, Second Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 7/01/30 - MBIA Insured	7/15 at 100
1,500	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 2001B, 5.500%, 7/01/29 - FGIC Insured	No Opt. C
1,120	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 7/01/17 - FSA Insured	7/13 at 100
	29	
NMP		
-	n Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) NVESTMENTS July 31, (2007)	
PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C
	WATER AND SEWER (continued)	
\$ 1,330	Grand Rapids, Michigan, Sanitary Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 1/01/30 - MBIA Insured	7/15 at 100

\$ 171,035 Total Long-Term Investments (cost \$170,819,890) - 154.5% ============

8,460 North Kent Sewer Authority, Michigan, Sewer Revenue Bonds,

16,995 Total Water and Sewer

Series 2006, 5.000%, 11/01/31 - MBIA Insured (UB)

SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS - 0.2% (0.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)

11/16 at 100

200 Ś Puerto Rico Government Development Bank, Adjustable Refunding Bonds, Variable Rate Demand Obligations, Series 1985, 3.490%, 12/01/15 - MBIA Insured (6)

Total Short-Term Investments (cost \$200,000) ______

Total Investments (cost \$171,019,890) - 154.7%

Floating Rate Obligations - (7.1)%

Other Assets Less Liabilities - 1.7%

Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value - (49.3)%

Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares - 100% ______

FORWARD SWAPS OUTSTANDING AT JULY 31, 2007:

FUND NOTIONAL PAY/RECEIVE COUNTERPARTY AMOUNT FLOATING RATE

FLOATING RATE FIXED RATE PAYMENT EFFECTION INDEX (ANNUALIZED) FREQUENCY DATE

FIXED RATE

Goldman Sachs \$3,500,000

Pay 3-Month USD-LIBOR 5.375% Semi-Annually 4/2 ______

USD-LIBOR (United States Dollar-London Inter-Bank Offered Rate)

The Fund may invest in "zero coupon" securities. A zero coupon security does not pay a regular interest coupon to its holders during the life of the security. Tax-exempt income to the holder of the security comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the security at issuance and the par value of the security at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. Such securities are included in the portfolio with a 0.00% coupon rate in their description. The market prices of zero coupon securities generally are more volatile than the market prices of securities that pay interest periodically.

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the higher of Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's Group or Baa by Moody's Investor Service, Inc. are considered to be below investment grade.
- (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensure

the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.

- (5) Portion of the investment, with an aggregate market value of \$311,991, has been pledged to collateralize the net payment obligations under forward swap contracts.
- (6) Investment has a maturity of more than one year, but has variable rate and demand features which qualify it as a short-term investment. The rate disclosed is that in effect at the end of the reporting period. This rate changes periodically based on market conditions or a specified market index.
- (7) Effective date represents the date on which both the Fund and counterparty commence interest payment accruals on each forward swap contract.
- N/R Not rated.
- (ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
- (IF) Inverse floating rate investment.
- (UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 140.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

30

NZW

Nuveen Michigan Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund Portfolio of INVESTMENTS

July 31, 2007

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)		DESCRIPTION (1)			AL C
		EDUCATION AND CIVIC ORGANIZATIONS - 6.5% (4.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
\$	230	Chandler Park Academy, Michigan, Public School Academy Charter School Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.125%, 11/01/35	11/15	at	100
	500	Concord Academy, Boyne City, Michigan, Certificates of Participation, Series 2007, 5.450%, 11/01/22	11/17	at	100
	75	Grand Traverse Academy, Michigan, Public School Academy Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, 4.750%, 11/01/32	11/17	at	100
	1,150	Michigan Higher Education Facilities Authority, Limited Obligation Revenue Refunding Bonds, Kettering University, Series 2001, 5.000%, 9/01/26 - AMBAC Insured	9/11	at	100

1,955	Total Education and Civic Organizations		
	HEALTH CARE - 22.3% (14.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)		
500	Allegan Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Revenue Bonds, Allegan General Hospital, Series 1999, 7.000%, 11/15/21	11/09 a	at 101
500	Garden City Hsopital Finance Authority, Michigan, Revenue Bonds, Garden City Hospital Obligated Group, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 8/15/38	8/17 a	at 100
600	Michigan Hospital Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Oakwood Obligated Group, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 7/15/37	7/17 a	at 100
700	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sinai Hospital, Series 1995, 6.625%, 1/01/16	1/08 a	at 100
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Chelsea Community Hospital, Series 2005:		
425	5.000%, 5/15/30	5/15 a	at 100
335	5.000%, 5/15/37	5/15 a	
400	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Marquette General Hospital, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 5/15/26	5/15 a	at 100
1,075	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sparrow Obligated Group, Series 2005, 5.000%, 11/15/36 - MBIA Insured (UB)	5/15 a	at 100
400	Monroe County Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Mercy Memorial Hospital Corporation Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.375%, 6/01/26	6/16 a	at 100
1,800	Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Hospital Revenue Bonds, William Beaumont Hospital, Series 2001M, 5.250%, 11/15/31 - MBIA Insured	11/11 a	at 100
6,735	Total Health Care		
	··		
	HOUSING/MULTIFAMILY - 6.4% (4.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)		
1,700	Michigan Housing Development Authority, GNMA Collateralized Limited Obligation Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Cranbrook Apartments, Series 2001A, 5.400%, 2/20/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	8/12 a	at 102
200	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 2006D, 5.125%, 4/01/31 - FSA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/15 a	at 100
1,900	Total Housing/Multifamily		

HOUSING/SINGLE FAMILY - 1.5% (1.0% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)

460	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2001, 5.300%, 12/01/16 - MBIA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/11 at 100
	31	
NZW		
_	n Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (continued) NVESTMENTS July 31, (2007)	
PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C
	INDUSTRIALS - 1.6% (1.0% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
\$ 500	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds, Republic Services Inc., Series 2001, 4.250%, 8/01/31 (Mandatory put 4/01/14) (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No Opt. C
	LONG-TERM CARE - 1.1% (0.7% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
335	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Presbyterian Villages of Michigan Obligated Group, Series 2005, 5.250%, 11/15/25	5/15 at 100
500	MATERIALS - 1.6% (1.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Dickinson County Economic Development Corporation, Michigan, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, International Paper Company, Series 2004A, 4.800%, 11/01/18	11/14 at 100
2.65	TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL - 43.4% (28.3% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
265	Caledonia Community Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, Residuals 1018, 6.447%, 5/01/32 - MBIA Insured (IF)	5/17 at 100
300	Grand Rapids, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 9/01/27 - MBIA Insured	9/17 at 100
1,500	Huron Valley School District, Oakland and Livingston Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 5/01/27	11/11 at 100
500	Jackson Public Schools, Jackson County, Michigan, General Obligation School Building and Site Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/22 - FSA Insured	5/14 at 100
300	Kalamazoo Public Schools, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/25 - FSA Insured	5/16 at 100
250	Marshall Public Schools, Calhoun County, Michigan, General	5/17 at 100

Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 4.625%, 5/01/37 - XLCA Insured	
Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, General Obligation Bonds, Detroit City School District, Series 2005, 5.000%, 6/01/18 - FSA Insured	6/15 at 100
Muskegon County, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Wastewater Management System 2 Revenue Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%, 7/01/26 - FGIC Insured	7/11 at 100
New Haven Community Schools, Macomb County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/25 - FSA Insured	5/16 at 100
Oakland Intermediate School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/36 - FSA Insured	5/17 at 100
Ottawa County, Michigan, Water Supply System, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 8/01/30 - MBIA Insured	8/17 at 100
Parchment School District, Kalamazoo County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, Residuals 07-1017, 6.445%, 5/01/36 - FSA Insured (IF)	5/17 at 100
Thornapple Kellogg School District, Barry County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/32 - MBIA Insured	5/17 at 100
Washtenaw County, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation	
	5/09 at 100
5.000%, 5/01/19 - MBIA Insured 5.000%, 5/01/20 - MBIA Insured	5/09 at 100 5/09 at 100
Wayne County, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Airport Hotel Revenue Bonds, Detroit Metropolitan Wayne County Airport, Series 2001A, 5.000%, 12/01/30 - MBIA Insured	12/11 at 101
Wayne Westland Community Schools, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/17 - FSA Insured	11/14 at 100
Willow Run Community Schools, Washtenaw County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 5/01/21	5/11 at 100
Total Tax Obligation/General	
	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, General Obligation Bonds, Detroit City School District, Series 2005, 5.000%, 6/01/18 - FSA Insured Muskegon County, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Wastewater Management System 2 Revenue Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%, 7/01/26 - FGIC Insured New Haven Community Schools, Macomb County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/25 - FSA Insured Oakland Intermediate School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/36 - FSA Insured Ottawa County, Michigan, Water Supply System, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 8/01/30 - MBIA Insured Parchment School District, Kalamazoo County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, Residuals 07-1017, 6.445%, 5/01/36 - FSA Insured (IF) Thornapple Kellogg School District, Barry County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/32 - MBIA Insured Washtenaw County, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Sylvan Township Water and Wastewater System, Series 2001: 5.000%, 5/01/19 - MBIA Insured Wayne County, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Airport Hotel Revenue Bonds, Detroit Metropolitan Wayne County Airport, Series 2001A, 5.000%, 12/01/30 - MBIA Insured Wayne Westland Community Schools, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/17 - FSA Insured Willow Run Community Schools, Washtenaw County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 5/01/21

PRI	NCIPAL (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS
		TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED - 11.3% (7.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
\$	1,100	Grand Rapids Building Authority, Kent County, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.125%, 10/01/26 - MBIA Insured	10/11 at 100

720	Michigan Building Authority, Revenue Bonds, Series 2006IA, 5.000%, 10/15/36 - FGIC Insured	10/16	at 10
1,205	Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Bonds, Facilities Program, Series 2001I, 5.000%, 10/15/24	10/11	at 10
1,000	Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 0.000%, 7/01/32 - FGIC Insured	No	Opt.
4.025	Total Tax Obligation/Limited		
	U.S. GUARANTEED - 26.8% (17.5% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) (4)		
1,000	Detroit City School District, Wayne County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.375%, 5/01/24 (Pre-refunded 5/01/13) - FGIC Insured	5/13	at 10
1,000	Detroit City School District, Wayne County, Michigan, Unlimited Tax School Building and Site Improvement Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.500%, 5/01/21 (Pre-refunded 5/01/12) - FSA Insured	5/12	at 10
720	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 7/01/17 (Pre-refunded 7/01/13) - FSA Insured	7/13	at 10
1,000	Garden City School District, Wayne County, Michigan, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 5/01/26 (Pre-refunded 5/01/11)	5/11	at 10
1,200	Huron School District, Wayne and Monroe Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.375%, 5/01/26 (Pre-refunded 5/01/11) - FSA Insured	5/11	at 10
1,000	Kent Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Revenue Bonds, Spectrum Health, Series 2001A, 5.250%, 1/15/21 (Pre-refunded 7/15/11)	7/11	at 10
500	Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, Special Obligation Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.500%, 10/01/40 (ETM)	10/10	at 10
	Puerto Rico Public Finance Corporation, Commonwealth Appropriation Bonds, Series 2002E:		
85 615	6.000%, 8/01/26 (ETM) 6.000%, 8/01/26 (ETM)		Opt.
500	Warren Building Authority, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.150%, 11/01/22 (Pre-refunded 11/01/10) - FGIC Insured	11/10	at 10
7,620	Total U.S. Guaranteed		
	UTILITIES - 15.6% (10.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)		
1,115	Lansing Board of Water and Light, Michigan, Steam and Electric Utility System Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 7/01/21 -	7/13	at 10

FSA Insured

53

	1,235	Michigan Public Power Agency, Revenue Bonds, Combustion Turbine 1 Project, Series 2001A, 5.250%, 1/01/24 - AMBAC Insured	1/12 at 100
	2,215	Michigan Strategic Fund, Collateralized Limited Obligation Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Fixed Rate Conversion, Detroit Edison Company, Series 1999C, 5.650%, 9/01/29 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/11 at 100
	4 , 565	Total Utilities	
		WATER AND SEWER - 15.0% (9.8% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
	1,000	Detroit Water Supply System, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 7/01/34 - FSA Insured	7/16 at 100
	1,000	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 2001B, 5.500%, 7/01/29 - FGIC Insured	No Opt. C
	280	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 7/01/17 - FSA Insured	7/13 at 100
		33	
NZW			
	_	n Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (continued) NVESTMENTS July 31, (2007)	
	NCIPAL (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C
AMOUNT	C (000)	WATER AND SEWER (continued) Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.000%, 7/01/30 - FGIC Insured Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Clean Water Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 10/01/19	PROVISIONS
AMOUNT	1,000	WATER AND SEWER (continued) Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.000%, 7/01/30 - FGIC Insured Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Clean Water Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 10/01/19 Total Water and Sewer	PROVISIONS 7/11 at 100 10/15 at 100
######################################	1,000 1,000 4,280 45,640	WATER AND SEWER (continued) Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.000%, 7/01/30 - FGIC Insured Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Clean Water Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 10/01/19	PROVISIONS 7/11 at 100 10/15 at 100

The Fund may invest in "zero coupon" securities. A zero

Other Assets Less Liabilities - 1.8%

Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value - (52.6)%

Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares - 100%

coupon security does not pay a regular interest coupon to its holders during the life of the security. Tax-exempt income to the holder of the security comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the security at issuance and the par value of the security at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. Such securities are included in the portfolio with a 0.00% coupon rate in their description. The market prices of zero coupon securities generally are more volatile than the market prices of securities that pay interest periodically.

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the higher of Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's Group or Baa by Moody's Investor Service, Inc. are considered to be below investment grade.
- (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- N/R Not rated.
- (IF) Inverse floating rate investment.
- (UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 140.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

34

NUO

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. Portfolio of INVESTMENTS

July 31, 2007

PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL C

CONSUMER STAPLES - 1.7% (1.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)

3	3				
\$	2,600	Puerto Rico, The Children's Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.375%, 5/15/33	5/12	at	100
		EDUCATION AND CIVIC ORGANIZATIONS - 17.6% (11.3% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS	3)		
	1,650	Ohio Higher Education Facilities Commission, General Revenue Bonds, Kenyon College, Series 2006, 5.000%, 7/01/41	7/16	at	100
	1,750	Ohio Higher Education Facilities Commission, General Revenue Bonds, Oberlin College, Series 2003, 5.125%, 10/01/24	10/13	at	100
	1,000	Ohio Higher Education Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, Wittenberg University, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/29	12/15	at	100
	5,000	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, General Revenue Bonds, University of Dayton, 2006 Project, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/30 - AMBAC Insured	12/16	at	100
	1,415	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, Denison University, Series 2004, 5.000%, 11/01/21	11/14	at	100
	1,320	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, University of Dayton, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/01/25 - AMBAC Insured	12/14	at	100
	1,000	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, Wittenberg University, Series 2001, 5.500%, 12/01/15	12/11	at	100
	1,000	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, Xavier University, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/22 - CIFG Insured	5/16	at	100
	1,500	Ohio State Higher Education Facilities, Revenue Bonds, Case Western Reserve University, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/44 - MBIA Insured	12/16	at	100
	1,200	Ohio State University, General Receipts Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.125%, 12/01/31	12/12	at	100
	3,000	Ohio State University, General Receipts Bonds, Series 2003B, 5.250%, 6/01/22	6/13	at	100
	1,510	University of Akron, Ohio, General Receipts Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 1/01/21 - AMBAC Insured	1/13	at	100
	850	University of Cincinnati, Ohio, General Receipts Bonds, Series 2003C, 5.000%, 6/01/22 - FGIC Insured	6/13	at	100
	1,200 2,605	University of Cincinnati, Ohio, General Receipts Bonds, Series 2004D: 5.000%, 6/01/19 - AMBAC Insured 5.000%, 6/01/25 - AMBAC Insured	6/14 6/14	at	100
	26,000	Total Education and Civic Organizations			
		HEALTH CARE - 20.5% (13.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
	2,000	Akron, Bath and Copley Joint Township Hospital District, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Summa Health System,	11/09	at	101

Series 1998A, 5.375%, 11/15/24

	Butler County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Cincinnati Children's Medical Center Project, Series 2006K:	
5,200	5.000%, 5/15/31 - FGIC Insured (UB)	5/16 at 100
4,140	4.375%, 5/15/32 - FGIC Insured (UB)	5/16 at 100
1,000	Cuyahoga County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, MetroHealth System, Series 1997, 5.625%, 2/15/17 - MBIA Insured	8/07 at 102
2,000	Cuyahoga County, Ohio, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Cleveland Clinic Health System, Series 2003A, 6.000%, 1/01/32	7/13 at 100

35

NUO

1,385

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS July 31, (2007)

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL PROVISIONS
	HEALTH CARE (continued)	
\$ 4,500	Erie County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Firelands Regional Medical Center, Series 2002A, 5.625%, 8/15/32	8/12 at 101
2,455	Hamilton County, Ohio, Revenue Bonds, Children's Hospital Medical Center, Series 2004J, 5.250%, 5/15/16 - FGIC Insured	5/14 at 100
785	Miami County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Upper Valley Medical Center Inc., Series 2006, 5.250%, 5/15/21	5/16 at 100
2,500	Montgomery County, Ohio, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series 2004A: 5.000%, 5/01/30	5/14 at 100
2,500	5.000%, 5/01/32	No Opt.
830	Richland County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Improvement Bonds, MedCentral Health System Obligated Group, Series 2000B, 6.375%, 11/15/30	11/10 at 10
1,200	Richland County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, MidCentral Health System Group, Series 2006, 5.250%, 11/15/36	11/16 at 10
1,705	Tuscarawas County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Union Hospital Project, Series 2001, 5.750%, 10/01/21 - RAAI Insured	10/11 at 10
30,815	Total Health Care	
	HOUSING/MULTIFAMILY - 6.3% (4.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	

Clermont County, Ohio, GNMA Collateralized Mortgage Revenue

Bonds, S.E.M. Villa II Project, Series 1994A, 5.950%, 2/20/30

8/07 at 100

940	Cuyahoga County, Ohio, GNMA Collateralized Multifamily Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Livingston Park Apartments Project, Series 2002A, 5.350%, 9/20/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/12 at 102
	Cuyahoga County, Ohio, GNMA Collateralized Multifamily Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Longwood Phase One Associates LP, Series 2001A:	
2,475 2,250	5.350%, 1/20/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax) 5.450%, 1/20/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/11 at 102 7/11 at 102
985	Franklin County, Ohio, FHA-Insured Multifamily Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Hamilton Creek Apartments Project, Series 1994A, 5.550%, 7/01/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/08 at 100
800 850	Ohio Housing Finance Agency, FHA-Insured Multifamily Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Madonna Homes, Series 2006M: 4.450%, 10/01/09 (Alternative Minimum Tax) 4.900%, 6/20/48 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No Opt. C 6/16 at 102
9,685	Total Housing/Multifamily	
	HOUSING/SINGLE FAMILY - 4.1% (2.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
1,195	Ohio Housing Finance Agency, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Residential Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1996B-3, 5.750%, 9/01/28 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/07 at 102
1,770	Ohio Housing Finance Agency, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Residential Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1997B, 5.400%, 9/01/29 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/08 at 102
1,240	Ohio Housing Finance Agency, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Residential Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1998A-1, 5.300%, 9/01/19 - FSA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	3/08 at 101
2,000	Ohio Housing Finance Agency, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2006H, 5.000%, 9/01/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/15 at 100
6,205	Total Housing/Single Family	
	INDUSTRIALS - 1.4% (0.9% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
530	Cleveland-Cuyahoga County Port Authority, Ohio, Bond Fund Program Development Revenue Bonds, Myers University, Series 2004E, 5.600%, 5/15/25	11/14 at 100
1,500	Dayton, Ohio, Special Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Emery Air Freight Corporation and Emery Worldwide Airlines Inc Guarantors, Series 1998A, 5.625%, 2/01/18	2/08 at 102

INCIPAL T (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL (
	LONG-TERM CARE - 2.2% (1.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
\$ 3,440	Hamilton County, Ohio, Health Care Revenue Refunding Bonds, Life Enriching Communities Project, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 1/01/37	1/17 at 100
	MATERIALS - 1.3% (0.8% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
 2,000	Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority, Ohio, Port Revenue Bonds, Cargill Inc., Series 2004B, 4.500%, 12/01/15	-
	TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL - 36.3% (23.3% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
1,000	Ansonia Local School District, Darke County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000, 5.500%, 12/01/22 - MBIA Insured	12/10 at 102
1,000	Bay Village City School District, Ohio, General Obligation Unlimited Tax School Improvement Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 12/01/25	12/10 at 100
1,345 1,200	Butler County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002: 5.000%, 12/01/21 - MBIA Insured 5.000%, 12/01/22 - MBIA Insured	12/12 at 100 12/12 at 101
1,500	Centerville City School District, Montgomery County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/30 - FSA Insured	6/15 at 100
1,000	Central Ohio Solid Waste Authority, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 12/01/15 - AMBAC Insured	6/14 at 100
2,600	Cincinnati City School District, Hamilton County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.250%, 6/01/21 - FSA Insured	12/12 at 100
1,000	Cleveland Municipal School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/01/22 - FSA Insured	6/14 at 100
1,200	Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/01/21	12/14 at 100
1,000	Dayton, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.250%, 12/01/19 - AMBAC Insured	6/14 at 100
1,000	Dublin City School District, Franklin, Delaware and Union Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 12/01/22 - FSA Insured	12/13 at 100
1,000	Dublin, Ohio, Unlimited Tax Various Purpose Improvement Bonds,	12/10 at 100

Series 2000A, 5.000%, 12/01/20

1,195	Fairview Park City School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/24 - MBIA Insured	6/15	at	100
1,840	Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/28	12/17	at	100
1,300	Franklin County, Ohio, Limited Tax General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 1993, 5.375%, 12/01/20	12/08	at	102
6,650	Hamilton City School District, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/34 - FSA Insured (UB)	6/17	at	100
125	Hamilton City School District, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, Drivers 1766, 7.230%, 12/01/34 - FSA Insured (IF)	6/17	at	100
1,850	Hilliard School District, Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Construction, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/26 - MBIA Insured	12/15	at	100
3,000	Hilliard School District, Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 12/01/25 - MBIA Insured	12/16	at	100
1,160	Kenston Local School District, Geauga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 12/01/22 - MBIA Insured	6/13	at	100
800	Lakewood City School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/25 (WI/DD, Settling 8/09/07) - FGIC Insured	12/17	at	100
2,000	Louisville City School District, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 12/01/29 - FGIC Insured	12/11	at	100
505	Marysville Exempted School District, Union County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/25 - FSA Insured	12/15	at	100
1,515	Massillon City School District, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.250%, 12/01/21 - MBIA Insured	12/12	at	100

37

NUO

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS July 31, (2007)

RINCIPAL NT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS
	TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL (continued)	
\$ 640	New Albany Plain Local School District, Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.500%, 12/01/17 - FGIC Insured	6/12 at 100
1,000	Newark City School District, Licking County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/28 - FGIC Insured	12/15 at 100

3,000	Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Infrastructure Improvements, Series 2003F, 5.000%, 2/01/23	2/13	at	100
1,510	Painesville City School District, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/01/22 - FGIC Insured	12/14	at	100
1,155	Perry Local School District, Allen County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.250%, 12/01/25 - AMBAC Insured	12/11	at	101
280	Plain Local School District, Franklin and Licking Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000, 6.000%, 12/01/20 - FGIC Insured	6/11	at	100
1,445	Portage County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 12/01/27 - FGIC Insured	12/11	at	100
2,000	Strongsville, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 12/01/21 - FGIC Insured	12/11	at	100
70	Strongsville, Ohio, Limited Tax General Obligation Various Purpose Improvement Bonds, Series 1996, 5.950%, 12/01/21	12/07	at	101
	Warren City School District, Trumbull County, Ohio, General			
2,515	Obligation Bonds, Series 2004: 5.000%, 12/01/20 - FGIC Insured	6/14	at	100
1,170	5.000%, 12/01/22 - FGIC Insured	6/14		
1,000	West Chester Township, Butler County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 12/01/28 - MBIA Insured	12/13	at	100
1,000	Westlake, Ohio, Various Purpose General Obligation Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 1997, 5.550%, 12/01/17	12/08	at	101
53,570	Total Tax Obligation/General			
	TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED - 14.2% (9.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
1,380	Columbus, Ohio, Tax Increment Financing Bonds, Easton Project, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 12/01/25 - AMBAC Insured	6/14	at	100
3,000	Franklin County, Ohio, Excise Tax and Lease Revenue Anticipation Bonds, Convention Facilities Authority, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/27 - AMBAC Insured	12/15	at	100
	Hamilton County Convention Facilities Authority, Ohio, First Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2004:			
1,085 2,600	5.000%, 12/01/18 - FGIC Insured 5.000%, 12/01/33 - FGIC Insured	6/14 6/14		
4,600	Hamilton County, Ohio, Sales Tax Bonds, Subordinate Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/32 - AMBAC Insured	12/16	at	100
1,000	Hudson City School District, Ohio, Certificates of Participation, Series 2004, 5.000%, 6/01/26 - MBIA Insured	6/14	at	100
1,000	New Albany Community Authority, Ohio, Community Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2001B: 5.500%, 10/01/15 - AMBAC Insured	4/12	at	100
1,000	5.5555, 10,01,15	1/12	uL	-00

9		-	
1,00	0 5.500%, 10/01/17 - AMBAC Insured	4/12 at	100
80	Ohio State Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds, Administrative Building Fund Projects, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 4/01/25 - FSA Insured	4/15 at	100
2,64	Ohio State Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds, Adult Correctional Building Fund Project, Series 2004A, 5.250%, 4/01/15 - MBIA Insured	4/14 at	100
1,00	Ohio, State Appropriation Lease Bonds, Mental Health Capital Facilities, Series 2003B-II, 5.000%, 6/01/16	6/13 at	100
3,43	Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 0.000%, 7/01/35 - AMBAC Insured	No Opt	. C
23,54	Total Tax Obligation/Limited		
	38		
PRINCIPA AMOUNT (000		OPTIONA PROVISIO	
	TRANSPORTATION - 4.1% (2.7% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)		
\$ 3,00	Dayton, Ohio, Airport Revenue Bonds, James M. Cox International Airport, Series 2003C, 5.250%, 12/01/23 - RAAI Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/13 at	100
1,00	Dayton, Ohio, Airport Revenue Bonds, James M. Cox International Airport, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 12/01/14 - XLCA Insured	No Opt	. C
2,00	Ohio Turnpike Commission, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1998A, 5.500%, 2/15/18 - FGIC Insured	No Opt	. C
6,00	O Total Transportation		
	U.S. GUARANTEED - 35.4% (22.7% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) (4)		
	0.0. GOMENTED 33.16 (ZZ.76 OF TOTAL INVESTIGATION (1)		
2 02	Butler County, Ohio, General Obligation Judgment Bonds, Series 2002:	: 12/12 at	101
2,03 2,14		12/12 at 12/12 at	
	Cincinnati, Ohio, Water System Revenue Bonds, Series 2001:		
3,51		6/11 at	
3,00 1,00		6/11 at 6/11 at	
42	O Cleveland, Ohio, Waterworks Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 1998I, 5.000%, 1/01/28 (Pre-refunded 1/01/08) - FSA Insured	1/08 at	101
1,21	O Columbus, Ohio, Tax Increment Financing Bonds, Easton Project, Series 1999, 4.875%, 12/01/24 (Pre-refunded 6/01/09) -	6/09 at	101

Series 1999, 4.875%, 12/01/24 (Pre-refunded 6/01/09) -

AMBAC Insured

800	Franklin County, Ohio, First Mortgage Revenue, OCLC Inc. Project, Series 1979, 7.500%, 6/01/09 (ETM)	12/07	at	100
2,000	Garfield Heights City School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation School Improvement Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 12/15/26 (Pre-refunded 12/15/11) - MBIA Insured	12/11	at	100
1,000	Hamilton County, Ohio, Healthcare Facilities Improvement Revenue Bonds, Twin Towers, Series 1999A, 5.800%, 10/01/23 (Pre-refunded 10/01/08)	10/08	at	101
2,110	Hamilton County, Ohio, Sewer System Revenue and Improvement Bonds, Metropolitan Sewer District of Greater Cincinnati, Series 2000A, 5.750%, 12/01/25 (Pre-refunded 6/01/10) - MBIA Insured	6/10	at	101
1,000	Hilliard School District, Ohio, General Obligation School Improvement Bonds, Series 2000, 5.750%, 12/01/24 (Pre-refunded 12/01/10) - FGIC Insured	12/10	at	101
2,000	Lakota Local School District, Butler County, Ohio, Unlimited Tax General Obligation School Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 2001, 5.125%, 12/01/26 (Pre-refunded 6/01/11) - FGIC Insured	6/11	at	100
760	Middletown City School District, Butler County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/01/25 (Pre-refunded 12/01/13) - FGIC Insured	12/13	at	100
3,000	Montgomery County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Kettering Medical Center, Series 1999, 6.750%, 4/01/18 (Pre-refunded 4/01/10)	4/10	at	101
1,260	Morgan Local School District, Morgan, Muskingum and Washington Counties, Ohio, Unlimited Tax General Obligation School Improvement Bonds, Series 2000, 5.750%, 12/01/22 (Pre-refunded 12/01/10)	12/10	at	101
460	New Albany Plain Local School District, Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.500%, 12/01/17 (Pre-refunded 6/01/12) - FGIC Insured	6/12	at	100
4,315	Ohio Capital Corporation for Housing, FHA-Insured Section 8 Assisted Mortgage Loan Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1999G, 5.950%, 2/01/24 (Pre-refunded 2/01/09)	2/09	at	102
1,315 3,380	Olentangy Local School District, Delaware and Franklin Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A: 5.250%, 12/01/23 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) - FGIC Insured 5.250%, 12/01/24 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) - FGIC Insured	6/14 6/14		
6,000	Parma Community General Hospital Association, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 1998, 5.375%, 11/01/29 (Pre-refunded 11/01/08)	11/08	at	101
1,000	Princeton City School District, Butler County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 12/01/30 (Pre-refunded 12/01/13) - MBIA Insured	12/13	at	100

39

NUO

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS July 31, (2007)

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL (PROVISIONS
	U.S. GUARANTEED (4) (continued)	
\$ 1,670	Richland County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Improvement Bonds, MedCentral Health System Obligated Group, Series 2000B, 6.375%, 11/15/30 (Pre-refunded 11/15/10)	11/10 at 10
2,830	Springfield Township, Hamilton County, Ohio, Various Purpose Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.250%, 12/01/27 (Pre-refunded 12/01/11)	12/11 at 10
1,500	Steubenville, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Trinity Health System, Series 2000, 6.375%, 10/01/20 (Pre-refunded 10/01/10)	10/10 at 10
2,000	Westerville City School District, Franklin and Delaware Counties, Ohio, Various Purpose General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 12/01/27 (Pre-refunded 6/01/11) - MBIA Insured	6/11 at 100
51,710	Total U.S. Guaranteed	
	UTILITIES - 7.3% (4.7% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
4,000	American Municipal Power Ohio Inc., Wadsworth, Electric System Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%, 2/15/22 - MBIA Insured	2/12 at 10
3,000	Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, JMG Funding Limited Partnership Project, Series 1997, 5.625%, 1/01/23 - AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	10/07 at 10
800	Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest Certificates, Belleville Hydroelectric Project - Joint Venture 5, Series 2001, 0.000%, 2/15/29 - MBIA Insured	No Opt.
2,000	Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest Certificates, Belleville Hydroelectric Project - Joint Venture 5, Series 2004, 5.000%, 2/15/20 - AMBAC Insured	2/14 at 10
1,600	Ohio Water Development Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, Bay Shore Power, Series 1998A, 5.875%, 9/01/20 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/08 at 10:
 11,400	Total Utilities	

_		
	WATER AND SEWER - 3.0% (2.0% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
1,000	Cleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 1993G, 5.500%, 1/01/21 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
40	Cleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 1996H, 5.750%, 1/01/26 - MBIA Insured	1/08 at 100
580	Cleveland, Ohio, Waterworks Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 1998I, 5.000%, 1/01/28 - FSA Insured	1/08 at 101
1,220	Hamilton, Ohio, Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.250%, 10/01/22 - FSA Insured	10/15 at 100
1,500	Ohio Water Development Authority, Water Pollution Control Loan Fund Revenue Bonds, Water Quality Project, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 6/01/25	6/15 at 100
4,340	Total Water and Sewer	
	Total Long-Term Investments (cost \$233,545,636) - 155.4%	
	SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS - 0.5% (0.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
800	Puerto Rico Government Development Bank, Adjustable Refunding Bonds, Variable Rate Demand Obligations, Series 1985, 3.490%, 12/01/15 - MBIA Insured (5)	
:======	Total Short-Term Investments (cost \$800,000)	
	Total Investments (cost \$234,345,636) - 155.9%	
	Floating Rate Obligations - (6.9)%	
	Other Assets Less Liabilities - 1.0%	
	Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value - (50.0)%	
	rreterred Shares, at Elquidation value - (50.0)%	
	40 580 1,220 1,500 4,340 	1,000 Cleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 1993G, 5.500%, 1/01/21 - MBIA Insured 40 Cleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 1996H, 5.750%, 1/01/26 - MBIA Insured 580 Cleveland, Ohio, Waterworks Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 1998I, 5.000%, 1/01/28 - FSA Insured 1,220 Hamilton, Ohio, Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.250%, 10/01/22 - FSA Insured 1,500 Ohio Water Development Authority, Water Pollution Control Loan Fund Revenue Bonds, Water Quality Project, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 6/01/25 4,340 Total Water and Sewer 233,335 Total Long-Term Investments (cost \$233,545,636) - 155.4% SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS - 0.5% (0.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) 800 Puerto Rico Government Development Bank, Adjustable Refunding Bonds, Variable Rate Demand Obligations, Series 1985, 3.490%, 12/01/15 - MBIA Insured (5) Total Short-Term Investments (cost \$800,000) Total Investments (cost \$234,345,636) - 155.9% Floating Rate Obligations - (6.9)%

40

FORWARD SWAPS O	UTSTANDING A	•	1			
		FUND			FIXED RATE	
	NOTIONAL	PAY/RECEIVE	FLOATING RATE	FIXED RATE	PAYMENT	EFFE
COUNTERPARTY	AMOUNT	FLOATING RATE	INDEX	(ANNUALIZED)	FREQUENCY	DA
Morgan Stanley	\$2,500,000	Pay	3-Month USD-LIBOR	5.227%	Semi-Annually	2/

 ${\tt USD-LIBOR} \ \ ({\tt United States Dollar-London Inter-Bank Offered Rate})$

The Fund may invest in "zero coupon" securities. A zero coupon security does not pay a regular interest coupon to its holders during the life of the security. Tax-exempt income to the holder of the security comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the security at issuance and the par value of the security at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. Such securities are included in the portfolio with a 0.00% coupon rate in their description. The market prices of zero coupon securities generally are more volatile than the market prices of securities that pay interest periodically.

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the higher of Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's Group or Baa by Moody's Investor Service, Inc. are considered to be below investment grade.
- (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- (5) Investment has a maturity of more than one year, but has variable rate and demand features which qualify it as a short-term investment. The rate disclosed is that in effect at the end of the reporting period. This rate changes periodically based on market conditions or a specified market index.
- (6) Effective date represents the date on which both the Fund and counterparty commence interest payment accruals on each forward swap contract.
- N/R Not rated.
- WI/DD Purchased on a when-issued or delayed delivery basis.
- (ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
- (IF) Inverse floating rate investment.
- (UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction pursuant to SFAS No. 140.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

NXI

Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund Portfolio of INVESTMENTS

AMBAC Insured

July 31, 2007

PRIN AMOUNT	NCIPAL (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIC PROVIS		
		CONSUMER STAPLES - 1.7% (1.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
			_ ,		
\$	1 , 035	Puerto Rico, The Children's Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.375%, 5/15/33	5/12 8	at 	10
		EDUCATION AND CIVIC ORGANIZATIONS - 15.2% (9.8% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS	5)		
	1,165	Cleveland-Cuyahoga County Port Authority, Ohio, Lease Revenue Bonds, Euclid Avenue Housing Corporation - Fenn Tower Project, Series 2005, 5.000%, 8/01/23 - AMBAC Insured	8/15 8	at	10
	700	Ohio Higher Education Facilities Commission, General Revenue Bonds, Kenyon College, Series 2006, 5.000%, 7/01/41	7/16 8	at	10
	2,650	Ohio Higher Education Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, Ohio Northern University, Series 2002, 5.000%, 5/01/22	5/12 8	at	10
	500	Ohio Higher Education Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, Wittenberg University, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/24	12/15 8	at	10
	2,000	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, General Revenue Bonds, University of Dayton, 2006 Project, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/30 - AMBAC Insured	12/16	at	10
	500	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, Xavier University, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/22 - CIFG Insured	5/16 8	at	10
	1,760	Ohio University at Athens, Subordinate Lien General Receipts Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/01/20 - MBIA Insured	6/14 8	at	10
	9 , 275	Total Education and Civic Organizations			
		HEALTH CARE - 14.6% (9.3% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
		Butler County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Cincinnati Children's Medical Center Project, Series 2006K:			
	2,100	4.375%, 5/15/32 - FGIC Insured (UB)	5/16		
	1,690	5.000%, 5/15/31 - FGIC Insured (UB)	5/16 a	at	10
	1,100	Cuyahoga County, Ohio, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Cleveland Clinic Health System, Series 2003A, 6.000%, 1/01/32	7/13 8	at	1(
	1,950	Lucas County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, ProMedica Healthcare Obligated Group, Series 1999, 5.375%, 11/15/29 -	11/09 8	at	10

Miami County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds,

Upper Valley Medical Center Inc., Series 2006, 5.250%, 5/15/21

330

	opper variey medical center inc., series 2000, 5.2500, 5/15/21	
1,000	Montgomery County, Ohio, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 5/01/30	5/14 at 100
335	Richland County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Improvement Bonds, MedCentral Health System Obligated Group, Series 2000B, 6.375%, 11/15/30	11/10 at 101
500	Richland County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, MidCentral Health System Group, Series 2006, 5.250%, 11/15/36	
9 , 005	Total Health Care	
2,885	HOUSING/MULTIFAMILY - 5.7% (3.7% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Ohio Housing Finance Agency, FHA-Insured Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Asbury Woods Project, Series 2001A, 5.450%, 4/01/26	4/11 at 102
PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS
\$ 310 340	HOUSING/MULTIFAMILY (continued) Ohio Housing Finance Agency, FHA-Insured Multifamily Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Madonna Homes, Series 2006M: 4.450%, 10/01/09 (Alternative Minimum Tax) 4.900%, 6/20/48 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No Opt. C 6/16 at 102
	Total Housing/Multifamily	
380	HOUSING/SINGLE FAMILY - 3.0% (1.9% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Ohio Housing Finance Agency, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Residential Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2000C, 6.050%, 3/01/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	8/10 at 100
915	Ohio Housing Finance Agency, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Residential Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2000D, 5.450%, 9/01/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	8/10 at 100
45	Ohio Housing Finance Agency, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Residential Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2000F, 5.625%, 9/01/16	8/10 at 100
500	Ohio Housing Finance Agency, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2006H, 5.000%, 9/01/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/15 at 100

5/16 at 100

1,840	Total Housing/Single Family			
	INDUSTRIALS - 4.9% (3.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
390	Cleveland-Cuyahoga County Port Authority, Ohio, Development Revenue Bonds, Bond Fund Program - Columbia National Group Project, Series 2005D, 5.000%, 5/15/20 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	11/15	at	100
880	Ohio State Water Development Authority, Solid Waste Revenue Bonds, Allied Waste Industries, Inc., Series 2007A, 5.150%, 7/15/15 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/12	at	100
1,000	Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority, Ohio, Revenue Refunding Bonds, CSX Transportation Inc., Series 1992, 6.450%, 12/15/21	No	0p	t. C
700	Western Reserve Port Authority, Ohio, Solid Waste Facility Revenue Bonds, Central Waste Inc., Series 2007A, 6.350%, 7/01/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/17	at	102
2,970	Total Industrials			
	LONG-TERM CARE - 2.3% (1.5% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
1,495	Hamilton County, Ohio, Health Care Revenue Refunding Bonds, Life Enriching Communities Project, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 1/01/37	1/17	at	100
	TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL - 25.6% (16.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
1,000	Bay Village City School District, Ohio, General Obligation Unlimited Tax School Improvement Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 12/01/25	12/10	at	100
1,500	Centerville City School District, Montgomery County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/30 - FSA Insured	6/15	at	100
500	Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/01/21	12/14	at	100
1,355	Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/27	12/17	at	100
2,550	Hamilton City School District, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/34 - FSA Insured (UB)	6/17	at	100
430	Lakewood City School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/30 (WI/DD, Settling 8/09/07) - FGIC Insured	12/17	at	100
1,005	Marysville Exempted School District, Union County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/25 - FSA Insured	12/15	at	100
2,000	Ohio, General Obligation Higher Education Capital Facilities Bonds,	2/11	at	100

Series 2001A, 5.000%, 2/01/20

1,275	Sycamore Community School District, Hamilton County, Ohio, Unlimited Tax General Obligation School Improvement Bonds, Series 1999, 5.000%, 12/01/23 - MBIA Insured	12/09 at 101
2,415	Troy City School District, Miami County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/28 - FSA Insured	12/14 at 100

43

NXI

Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (continued)
Portfolio of INVESTMENTS July 31. (2007)

PRINCIPAL		OPT.	r (NI z	AL C
UNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	PROVI		
	TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL (continued)			
\$ 1,485	West Chester Township, Butler County, Ohio, Various Purpose Limited Tax General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2001, 5.500%, 12/01/17 - AMBAC Insured	11/11	at	101
 15,515	Total Tax Obligation/General			
	TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED - 15.8% (10.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
2,000	Franklin County, Ohio, Excise Tax and Lease Revenue Anticipation Bonds, Convention Facilities Authority, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/27 - AMBAC Insured	12/15	at	100
	Hamilton County Convention Facilities Authority, Ohio, First Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2004:			
1,415 1,000	5.000%, 12/01/21 - FGIC Insured 5.000%, 12/01/33 - FGIC Insured	6/14 6/14		
2,000	Hamilton County, Ohio, Sales Tax Bonds, Subordinate Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/32 - AMBAC Insured	12/16	at	100
345	Ohio State Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds, Administrative Building Fund Projects, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 4/01/25 - FSA Insured	4/15	at	100
1,000	Ohio State Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds, Adult Correctional Building Fund Project, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 4/01/23 - FSA Insured	4/15	at	100
950	Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 0.000%, 7/01/35 - AMBAC Insured	No	Opt	t. C
400	Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2005C, 0.000%, 7/01/28 - AMBAC Insured	No	Opt	t. C
1,400	Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Gross Receipts Taxes Loan	10/10	at	101

Note, Series 1999A, 6.375%, 10/01/19

10 , 510	Total Tax Obligation/Limited		
	TRANSPORTATION - 3.3% (2.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)		
2,000	Ohio Turnpike Commission, Revenue Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.500%, 2/15/26	2/11 8	at 100
	U.S. GUARANTEED - 50.7% (32.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) (4)		
1,700	Cincinnati, Ohio, Water System Revenue Bonds, Series 2001, 5.125%, 12/01/21 (Pre-refunded 6/01/11)	6/11 a	at 100
1,000	Columbus City School District, Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.500%, 12/01/15 (Pre-refunded 12/01/14) - FSA Insured	12/14 a	at 100
1,000	Franklin County, Ohio, Healthcare Facilities Revenue Bonds, Ohio Presbyterian Retirement Services, Series 2001A, 7.125%, 7/01/29 (Pre-refunded 7/01/11)	7/11 á	at 101
1,965	Franklin County, Worthington, Ohio, Various Purpose Unlimited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.375%, 12/01/21 (Pre-refunded 12/01/11)	12/11 á	at 100
1,470	Hamilton County, Ohio, Healthcare Facilities Improvement Revenue Bonds, Twin Towers, Series 1999A, 5.750%, 10/01/19 (Pre-refunded 10/01/08)	10/08 á	at 101
1,000	Lakewood City School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.250%, 12/01/16 (Pre-refunded 12/01/14) - FSA Insured	12/14 a	at 100
2,000	Lakota Local School District, Butler County, Ohio, Unlimited Tax General Obligation School Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 2001, 5.125%, 12/01/26 (Pre-refunded 6/01/11) - FGIC Insured	6/11 a	at 100
910	Lebanon, Ohio, Electric System Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2001, 5.500%, 12/01/18 (Pre-refunded 12/01/10) - AMBAC Insured	12/10 a	at 101
	4 4		

PRINCIPAL			OPTIONAL C	
AMOUN	T (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	PROVISIONS	
		U.S. GUARANTEED (4) (continued)		
\$	1,000	Medina City School District, Medina County, Ohio, Unlimited Tax General Obligation School Building Construction Bonds,	12/09 at 100	

Series 1999, 5.250%, 12/01/28 (Pre-refunded 12/01/09) -

FGIC Insured

1,000	Middletown City School District, Butler County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/01/25 (Pre-refunded 12/01/13) - FGIC Insured	12/13 at	100
1,000	Nordonia Hills City School District, Ohio, School Improvement Bonds, Series 2000, 5.450%, 12/01/25 (Pre-refunded 12/01/10) - AMBAC Insured	12/10 at	101
2,000	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, Denison University, Series 2001, 5.200%, 11/01/26 (Pre-refunded 11/01/11)	11/11 at	101
1,000	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, University of Dayton, Series 2000, 5.500%, 12/01/25 (Pre-refunded 12/01/10) - AMBAC Insured	12/10 at	101
1,900	Olentangy Local School District, Delaware and Franklin Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.250%, 12/01/23 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) - FGIC Insured	6/14 at	100
	Parma Community General Hospital Association, Ohio, Hospital		
0.050	Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 1998:	11/00	
2,250 2,000	5.250%, 11/01/13 (Pre-refunded 11/01/08) 5.375%, 11/01/29 (Pre-refunded 11/01/08)	11/08 at 11/08 at	
2,000	3.3730, 11701/23 (The Terumada 11701/00)	11/00 ac	101
2,000	Puerto Rico Municipal Finance Agency, Series 1999A, 6.000%, 8/01/16 (Pre-refunded 8/01/09) - FSA Insured	8/09 at	101
665	Richland County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Improvement Bonds, MedCentral Health System Obligated Group, Series 2000B, 6.375%, 11/15/30 (Pre-refunded 11/15/10)	11/10 at	101
1,250	Swanton Local School District, Fulton County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.250%, 12/01/25 (Pre-refunded 12/01/11) - FGIC Insured	12/11 at	101
2,735	University of Cincinnati, Ohio, General Receipts Bonds, Series 2002F, 5.375%, 6/01/19 (Pre-refunded 6/01/12)	6/12 at	100
400	Westerville City School District, Franklin and Delaware Counties, Ohio, Various Purpose General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 12/01/27 (Pre-refunded 6/01/11) - MBIA Insured	6/11 at	100
·	Total U.S. Guaranteed		
	UTILITIES - 8.9% (5.7% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)		
1,440	American Municipal Power Ohio Inc., Wadsworth, Electric System Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 2002, 5.250%, 2/15/17 - MBIA Insured	2/12 at	100
2,000	Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Ohio Power Company Project, Series 1999C, 5.150%, 5/01/26 - AMBAC Insured	5/09 at	101
1,000	Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest Certificates, Belleville Hydroelectric Project - Joint Venture 5,	2/14 at	100

Series 2004, 5.000%, 2/15/21 - AMBAC Insured

1,000 Ohio Water Development Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue 9/08 at 102 Bonds, Bay Shore Power, Series 1998A, 5.875%, 9/01/20 (Alternative Minimum Tax)

5,440 Total Utilities

45

NXI

Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS July 31, (2007)

	PRINCIPAL DUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS
\$	2,375	WATER AND SEWER - 3.9% (2.5% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Ohio Water Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Water Development Community Assistance Program, Series 2003, 5.000%, 12/01/23 - MBIA Insured	12/13 at 100
\$	95,240	Total Investments (cost \$95,591,814) - 155.6%	
====		Floating Rate Obligations - (6.7)%	
		Other Assets Less Liabilities - 0.2%	
		Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value - (49.1)%	
		Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares - 100%	

FORWARD SWAPS OUTSTANDING AT JULY 31, 2007:

		FUND			FIXED RATE	
	NOTIONAL	PAY/RECEIVE	FLOATING RATE	FIXED RATE	PAYMENT	EFFEC
COUNTERPARTY	AMOUNT	FLOATING RATE	INDEX	(ANNUALIZED)	FREQUENCY	DAT
Morgan Stanley	\$2,000,000	Pay	3-Month USD-LIBOR	5.227%	Semi-Annually	2/2
			:=========			

USD-LIBOR (United States Dollar-London Inter-Bank Offered Rate)

The Fund may invest in "zero coupon" securities. A zero coupon security does not pay a regular interest coupon to its holders during the life of the security. Tax-exempt income to the holder of the security comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the security at issuance and the par value of the security at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. Such securities are included in the portfolio with a 0.00% coupon rate in their description. The market prices of zero coupon

securities generally are more volatile than the market prices of securities that pay interest periodically.

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the higher of Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's Group or Baa by Moody's Investor Service, Inc. are considered to be below investment grade.
- (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- (5) Effective date represents the date on which both the Fund and counterparty commence interest payment accruals on each forward swap contract.
- N/R Not rated.
- WI/DD Purchased on a when-issued or delayed delivery basis.
 - (UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction pursuant to SFAS No. 140.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

46

NBJ

Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 Portfolio of INVESTMENTS

July 31, 2007

 NCIPAL (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTI PROVI		
	CONSUMER STAPLES - 8.8% (5.5% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
\$ 3,000	Ohio State Sewage and Solid Waste Disposal Facilities, Revenue Bonds, Anheuser-Busch Project, Series 2001, 5.500%, 11/01/35 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	11/11	at	100
905	Puerto Rico, The Children's Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement	5/12	at	100

Asset-Backed Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.375%, 5/15/33

3 , 905	Total Consumer Staples		
	EDUCATION AND CIVIC ORGANIZATIONS - 14.5% (9.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENT	S)	
1 , 345	Bowling Green State University, Ohio, General Receipts Bonds, Series 2003, 5.250%, 6/01/18 - AMBAC Insured	6/13 at 1	100
450	Ohio Higher Education Facilities Commission, General Revenue Bonds, Kenyon College, Series 2006, 5.000%, 7/01/41	7/16 at 1	L O C
1,000	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, General Revenue Bonds, University of Dayton, 2006 Project, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/30 - AMBAC Insured	12/16 at 1	L O C
1,050	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, Wittenberg University, Series 2001, 5.500%, 12/01/15	12/11 at 1	L O C
250	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, Xavier University, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/22 - CIFG Insured	5/16 at 1	L O C
1,000	University of Cincinnati, Ohio, General Receipts Bonds, Series 2003C, 5.000%, 6/01/22 - FGIC Insured	6/13 at 1	L O C
1,245	University of Cincinnati, Ohio, General Receipts Bonds, Series 2004D, 5.000%, 6/01/19 - AMBAC Insured	6/14 at 1	L O C
	Total Education and Civic Organizations		
	HEALTH CARE - 27.1% (17.0% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)		
725	Akron, Bath and Copley Joint Township Hospital District, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Summa Health System, Series 1998A, 5.000%, 11/15/08	No Opt.	. C
	Butler County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Cincinnati Children's Medical Center Project, Series 2006K:		
1,600	4.375%, 5/15/32 - FGIC Insured (UB)	5/16 at 1	00
1,230	5.000%, 5/15/31 - FGIC Insured (UB)	5/16 at 1	L O C
1,000	Erie County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Firelands Regional Medical Center, Series 2002A, 5.500%, 8/15/22	8/12 at 1	L O 1
1,850	Lorain County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Catholic Healthcare Partners, Series 2001A, 5.400%, 10/01/21	10/11 at 1	L O 1
225	Miami County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Upper Valley Medical Center Inc., Series 2006, 5.250%, 5/15/21	5/16 at 1	L O C
700	Montgomery County, Ohio, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 5/01/30	5/14 at 1	L O C
665	Richland County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Improvement Bonds, MedCentral Health System Obligated Group, Series 2000B,	11/10 at 1	L O 1

6.375%, 11/15/30

Richland County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, MidCentral Health 11/16 at 100 System Group, Series 2006, 5.250%, 11/15/36

47

NBJ

Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS July 31, (2007)

INCIPAL (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C
	HEALTH CARE (continued)	
\$ 3,670	Tuscarawas County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Union Hospital Project, Series 2001, 5.750%, 10/01/26 - RAAI Insured	10/11 at 101
 12,015	Total Health Care	
	HOUSING/MULTIFAMILY - 3.4% (2.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
1,000	Franklin County, Ohio, GNMA Collateralized Multifamily Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Agler Project, Series 2002A, 5.550%, 5/20/22 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/12 at 102
	Ohio Housing Finance Agency, FHA-Insured Multifamily Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Madonna Homes, Series 2006M:	
250	4.450%, 10/01/09 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No Opt. C
250	4.900%, 6/20/48 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	6/16 at 102
 1,500	Total Housing/Multifamily	
	HOUSING/SINGLE FAMILY - 2.2% (1.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
 1,000	Ohio Housing Finance Agency, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2006H, 5.000%, 9/01/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/15 at 100
	INDUSTRIALS - 2.5% (1.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
640	Ohio State Water Development Authority, Solid Waste Revenue Bonds, Allied Waste Industries, Inc., Series 2007A, 5.150%, 7/15/15 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/12 at 100
500	Western Reserve Port Authority, Ohio, Solid Waste Facility Revenue Bonds, Central Waste Inc., Series 2007A, 6.350%, 7/01/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/17 at 102

1,140	Total Industrials	
	LONG-TERM CARE - 2.2% (1.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
1,045	Hamilton County, Ohio, Health Care Revenue Refunding Bonds, Life Enriching Communities Project, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 1/01/37	1/17 at 100
	TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL - 37.6% (23.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
1,700	Butler County, Hamilton, Ohio, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, One Renaissance Center Acquisition, Series 2001, 5.000%, 11/01/26 - AMBAC Insured	11/11 at 101
	Cleveland Municipal School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio,	
1 000	General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004:	C/14 - 1. 10
1,000 1,000	5.000%, 12/01/15 - FSA Insured 5.000%, 12/01/22 - FSA Insured	6/14 at 100 6/14 at 100
400	Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/01/21	12/14 at 100
1,000	Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/27	12/17 at 10
1,905	Hamilton City School District, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/34 - FSA Insured (UB)	6/17 at 10
345	Lakewood City School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/30 (WI/DD, Settling 8/09/07) - FGIC Insured	12/17 at 100
2,420	Lorain County, Ohio, Limited Tax General Obligation Justice Center Bonds, Series 2002, 5.500%, 12/01/22 - FGIC Insured	$12/12$ at 10^{-1}
1,005	Marysville Exempted School District, Union County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/25 - FSA Insured	12/15 at 100
2,665	Newark City School District, Licking County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/28 - FGIC Insured	12/15 at 100
1,960	Portage County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 12/01/25 - FGIC Insured	12/11 at 100
1,000	Powell, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.500%, 12/01/25 - FGIC Insured	12/12 at 10
	Total Tax Obligation/General	

PRINCIPAL		OPTIONAL C
AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	PROVISIONS

	TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED - 19.0% (12.0% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
\$ 800	Hamilton County Convention Facilities Authority, Ohio, First Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/01/33 - FGIC Insured	6/14 at 100
1,400	Hamilton County, Ohio, Sales Tax Bonds, Subordinate Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/32 - AMBAC Insured	12/16 at 100
250	Ohio State Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds, Administrative Building Fund Projects, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 4/01/25 - FSA Insured	4/15 at 100
1,000	Ohio State Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds, Adult Correctional Building Fund Project, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 4/01/23 - FSA Insured	4/15 at 100
1,500	Ohio, State Appropriation Lease Bonds, Higher Education Capital Facilities, Series 2002A-II, 5.500%, 12/01/09 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
1,095	Ohio, State Appropriation Lease Bonds, Parks and Recreation Capital Facilities, Series 2004A-II, 5.000%, 12/01/18	12/13 at 100
1,000	Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, Highway Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002E, 5.750%, 7/01/24	7/12 at 100
620	Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 0.000%, 7/01/35 - AMBAC Insured	No Opt. C
300	Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2005C, 0.000%, 7/01/28 - AMBAC Insured	No Opt. C
1,000	Summit County Port Authority, Ohio, Revenue Bonds, Civic Theatre Project, Series 2001, 5.500%, 12/01/26 - AMBAC Insured	12/11 at 100
 8,965 	Total Tax Obligation/Limited	
	U.S. GUARANTEED - 32.1% (20.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) (4)	
2,345	Cleveland, Ohio, Airport System Revenue Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.250%, 1/01/18 (Pre-refunded 1/01/10) - FSA Insured	1/10 at 101
2,605	Columbus City School District, Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.500%, 12/01/15 (Pre-refunded 12/01/14) - FSA Insured	12/14 at 100
1,000	Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority, Ohio, General Obligation Capital Improvement Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.125%, 12/01/21 (Pre-refunded 12/01/11) - MBIA Insured	12/11 at 100
1,000	Hamilton County, Ohio, Healthcare Facilities Improvement Revenue Bonds, Twin Towers, Series 1999A, 5.800%, 10/01/23 (Pre-refunded 10/01/08)	10/08 at 101
1,065	Lakewood City School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.250%, 12/01/16 (Pre-refunded 12/01/14) - FSA Insured	12/14 at 100
2,250	Lebanon City School District, Warren County, Ohio, General	12/11 at 100

		Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.500%, 12/01/21 (Pre-refunded 12/01/11) - FSA Insured	
	1,710	Marysville Exempted Village School District, Ohio, Certificates of Participation, School Facilities Project, Series 2005, 5.250%, 12/01/21 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) - MBIA Insured	6/15 at 100
	1,050	Olentangy Local School District, Delaware and Franklin Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.500%, 12/01/15 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) - FGIC Insured	6/14 at 100
	635	Richland County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Improvement Bonds, MedCentral Health System Obligated Group, Series 2000B, 6.375%, 11/15/30 (Pre-refunded 11/15/10)	
	 13,660 	Total U.S. Guaranteed	
		UTILITIES - 9.2% (5.8% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
	2,500	Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Ohio Power Company Project, Series 1999C, 5.150%, 5/01/26 - AMBAC Insured	5/09 at 101
	595	Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest Certificates, Belleville Hydroelectric Project - Joint Venture 5, Series 2004, 5.000%, 2/15/20 - AMBAC Insured	2/14 at 100
		49	
NBJ			
		vidend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (continued) NVESTMENTS July 31, (2007)	
PRII AMOUNT	NCIPAL (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C
		UTILITIES (continued)	
\$	1,000	Ohio Water Development Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, Bay Shore Power, Series 1998A, 5.875%, 9/01/20 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/08 at 102
	4,095 	Total Utilities	
		WATER AND SEWER - 0.6% (0.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
	270	Ohio Water Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Fresh Water Development, Series 2001A, 5.000%, 12/01/21 - FSA Insured	12/11 at 100

\$ 70,335 Total Investments (cost \$71,389,017) - 159.2%

FORWARD SWAPS OUTSTANDING AT JULY 31, 2007:

		FUND			FIXED RATE	
	NOTIONAL	PAY/RECEIVE	FLOATING RATE	FIXED RATE	PAYMENT	EFFE
COUNTERPARTY	AMOUNT	FLOATING RATE	INDEX	(ANNUALIZED)	FREQUENCY	DA
Morgan Stanley	\$1,000,000	Pay	3-Month USD-LIBOR	5.227%	Semi-Annually	2/

USD-LIBOR (United States Dollar-London Inter-Bank Offered Rate)

The Fund may invest in "zero coupon" securities. A zero coupon security does not pay a regular interest coupon to its holders during the life of the security. Tax-exempt income to the holder of the security comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the security at issuance and the par value of the security at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. Such securities are included in the portfolio with a 0.00% coupon rate in their description. The market prices of zero coupon securities generally are more volatile than the market prices of securities that pay interest periodically.

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the higher of Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's Group or Baa by Moody's Investor Service, Inc. are considered to be below investment grade.
- (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- (5) Effective date represents the date on which both the Fund and counterparty commence interest payment accruals on each forward swap contract.

N/R Not rated.

WI/DD Purchased on a when-issued or delayed delivery basis.

(UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 140.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

50

NVJ

Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 Portfolio of INVESTMENTS

July 31, 2007

PRIN	CIPAL		OPTIC)NAL (
		DESCRIPTION (1)	PROVIS	
		CONSUMER STAPLES - 6.1% (3.9% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)		
\$	1,945	Puerto Rico, The Children's Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.375%, 5/15/33	5/12 a	at 10
		EDUCATION AND CIVIC ORGANIZATIONS - 9.7% (6.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)		
	350	Ohio Higher Education Facilities Commission, General Revenue Bonds, Kenyon College, Series 2006, 5.000%, 7/01/41	7/16 a	at 10
	1,125	Ohio Higher Education Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, Ohio Northern University, Series 2002, 5.750%, 5/01/16	5/12 a	at 10
	500	Ohio Higher Education Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, Wittenberg University, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/24	12/15 a	at 10
	1,000	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, General Revenue Bonds, University of Dayton, 2006 Project, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/30 - AMBAC Insured	12/16 a	at 10
	2,975	Total Education and Civic Organizations		
		HEALTH CARE - 16.8% (10.7% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)		
	360	Akron, Bath and Copley Joint Township Hospital District, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Summa Health System, Series 1998A, 5.000%, 11/15/08	No C)pt.
		Butler County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Cincinnati Children's Medical Center Project, Series 2006K:		
	1,100 870	4.375%, 5/15/32 - FGIC Insured (UB) 5.000%, 5/15/31 - FGIC Insured (UB)	5/16 a 5/16 a	

1,750	Erie County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Firelands Regional Medical Center, Series 2002A, 5.500%, 8/15/22	8/12 at 101
160	Miami County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Upper Valley Medical Center Inc., Series 2006, 5.250%, 5/15/21	5/16 at 100
500	Montgomery County, Ohio, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 5/01/30	5/14 at 100
335	Richland County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Improvement Bonds, MedCentral Health System Obligated Group, Series 2000B, 6.375%, 11/15/30	11/10 at 101
250	Richland County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, MidCentral Health System Group, Series 2006, 5.250%, 11/15/36	11/16 at 100
5,325	Total Health Care	
150 200	HOUSING/MULTIFAMILY - 1.1% (0.7% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Ohio Housing Finance Agency, FHA-Insured Multifamily Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Madonna Homes, Series 2006M: 4.450%, 10/01/09 (Alternative Minimum Tax) 4.900%, 6/20/48 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No Opt. C 6/16 at 102
350		
180	HOUSING/SINGLE FAMILY - 4.1% (2.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Ohio Housing Finance Agency, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Residential Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2000C, 6.050%, 3/01/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	8/10 at 100

NVJ

Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS July 31, (2007)

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)		DESCRIPTION (1)	
		HOUSING/SINGLE FAMILY (continued)	
\$	565	Ohio Housing Finance Agency, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Residential Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2000D, 5.450%, 9/01/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	8/10 at 100
	45	Ohio Housing Finance Agency, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Residential Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2000F, 5.625%, 9/01/16	8/10 at 100

Ohio Housing Finance Agency, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2006H, 5.000%, 9/01/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax)			at	100
1,290	Total Housing/Single Family			
480	INDUSTRIALS - 2.7% (1.7% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Ohio State Water Development Authority, Solid Waste Revenue Bonds, Allied Waste Industries, Inc., Series 2007A,	7/12	at	100
400	5.150%, 7/15/15 (Alternative Minimum Tax) Western Reserve Port Authority, Ohio, Solid Waste Facility Revenue Bonds, Central Waste Inc., Series 2007A, 6.350%, 7/01/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/17	at	102
880	Total Industrials			
745	LONG-TERM CARE - 2.2% (1.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Hamilton County, Ohio, Health Care Revenue Refunding Bonds, Life Enriching Communities Project, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 1/01/37	1/17	at	100
300	TAX OBLIGATION/GENERAL - 24.8% (15.9% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/01/21	12/14	at	100
1,000	Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/27	12/17	at	100
1,275	Hamilton City School District, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/34 - FSA Insured (UB)	6/17	at	100
1,000	Kenston Local School District, Geauga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 12/01/22 - MBIA Insured	6/13	at	100
200	Lakewood City School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/25 (WI/DD, Settling 8/09/07) - FGIC Insured	12/17	at	100
1,270	Lorain, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.125%, 12/01/26 - AMBAC Insured	12/12	at	100
500	Marysville Exempted School District, Union County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/25 - FSA Insured	12/15	at	100
1,000	Ohio, Common Schools Capital Facilities, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001B, 5.000%, 9/15/20	9/11	at	100
1,130	Solon, Ohio, General Obligation Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%, 12/01/18	12/12	at	100

	Total Tax Obligation/General			
	TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED - 25.5% (16.3% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
600	Hamilton County Convention Facilities Authority, Ohio, First Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/01/33 - FGIC Insured	6/14	at	100
1,000	Hamilton County, Ohio, Sales Tax Bonds, Subordinate Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/32 - AMBAC Insured	12/16	at	100
1,000	Midview Local School District, Lorain County, Ohio, Certificates of Participation, Series 2003, 5.000%, 11/01/30	5/13	at	100
1,250	Ohio State Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds, Administrative Building Fund Projects, Series 2002A, 5.500%, 4/01/18 - FSA Insured	e 4/12	at	100
200	Ohio State Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds, Administrative Building Fund Projects, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 4/01/25 - FSA Insured	e 4/15	at	100
1,000	Ohio, State Appropriation Lease Bonds, Higher Education Capital Facilities, Series 2002A-II, 5.500%, 12/01/09 - MBIA Insured	No	Opt	. C
	52			
PRINCIPAL		OPT	IONZ	AL C
AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION (1)	PROV	ISI(ONS
	TAX OBLIGATION/LIMITED (continued)			
\$ 1,815	Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2005C, 0.000%, 7/01/28 - AMBAC Insured	No	Opt	. C
2,000	Puerto Rico Public Buildings Authority, Guaranteed Government Facilities Revenue Bonds, Series 1993L, 5.500%, 7/01/21 - FSA Insured	No	Opt	t. C
8,865	Total Tax Obligation/Limited			
	TRANSPORTATION - 5.4% (3.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
1,550	Ohio Turnpike Commission, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1998A, 5.500%, 2/15/18 - FGIC Insured		-	=. a
	U.S. GUARANTEED - 51.9% (33.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) (4)			
1,000	Canal Winchester Local School District, Franklin and Fairfield Counties, Ohio, Unlimited Tax General Obligation School	12/08	at	102

Improvement Bonds, Series 1998, 5.300%, 12/01/25 (Pre-refunded 12/01/08) - FGIC Insured

1,500	American Municipal Power Ohio Inc., Wadsworth, Electric System	2/12	at	100
	UTILITIES - 4.9% (3.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)			
15,665	Total U.S. Guaranteed			
665	Richland County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Improvement Bonds, MedCentral Health System Obligated Group, Series 2000B, 6.375%, 11/15/30 (Pre-refunded 11/15/10)	11/10		
1,535	Pickerington Local School District, Fairfield and Franklin Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Facilities Construction and Improvement, Series 2001, 5.250%, 12/01/20 (Pre-refunded 12/01/11) - FGIC Insured	12/11	at	100
1,000	Parma Community General Hospital Association, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 1998, 5.375%, 11/01/29 (Pre-refunded 11/01/08)	11/08	at	101
1,000	Olentangy Local School District, Delaware and Franklin Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.250%, 12/01/21 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) - FGIC Insured	6/14	at	100
1,000	Ohio State University, General Receipts Bonds, Series 1999A, 5.800%, 12/01/29 (Pre-refunded 12/01/09)	12/09	at	10
2,000	Ohio Higher Education Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, Case Western Reserve University, Series 2002B, 5.500%, 10/01/22 (Pre-refunded 10/01/12)	10/12	at	10
1,000	Montgomery County, Ohio, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series 2001, 5.500%, 9/01/12 (Pre-refunded 9/01/11)	9/11	at	10
1,000	Montgomery County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Kettering Medical Center, Series 1999, 6.750%, 4/01/18 (Pre-refunded 4/01/10)	4/10	at	10
1,190	Miami East Local School District, Miami County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.125%, 12/01/29 (Pre-refunded 6/01/12) - FSA Insured	6/12	at	10
1,000	Hilliard, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.375%, 12/01/22 (Pre-refunded 12/01/12)	12/12	at	10
500	Hamilton County, Ohio, Healthcare Facilities Improvement Revenue Bonds, Twin Towers, Series 1999A, 5.750%, 10/01/19 (Pre-refunded 10/01/08)	10/08	at	10
1,300	Granville Exempt Village School District, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.500%, 12/01/28 (Pre-refunded 12/01/11)	12/11	at	10
1,475	Eaton City School District, Preble County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.750%, 12/01/21 (Pre-refunded 12/01/12) - FGIC Insured	12/12	at	10
	(Pre-refunded 12/01/08) - FGIC Insured			

Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 2002, 5.250%, 2/15/17 -

MBIA Insured

85

53

NVJ

Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (continued) Portfolio of INVESTMENTS July 31, (2007)

AMO	, ,	DESCRIPTION (1)	OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS
		WATER AND SEWER - 0.9% (0.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
\$	270	Ohio Water Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Fresh Water Development, Series 2001A, 5.000%, 12/01/21 - FSA Insured	,
\$	49,035	Total Long-Term Investments (cost \$48,701,678) - 156.1%	
=	====	SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS - 0.6% (0.4% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)	
\$	200	Puerto Rico Government Development Bank, Adjustable Refunding Bonds, Variable Rate Demand Obligations, Series 1985, 3.490% 12/01/015 - MBIA Insured	
====	.======	Total Short-Term Investments (cost \$200,000)	
		Total Investments (cost \$48,901,678) - 156.7%	
		Floating Rate Obligations - (6.7)%	
		Other Assets Less Liabilities - 1.3%	
		Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value - (51.3)%	
		Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares - 100%	

FUTURES CONTRACTS OUTSTANDING AT JULY 31, 2007:

COUNTERPARTY	CONTRACT	NUMBER OF	CONTRACT
	POSITION	CONTRACTS	EXPIRATION
U.S. 10-Year Treasury Note	Long	16	9/07

The Fund may invest in "zero coupon" securities. A zero coupon security does not pay a regular interest coupon to its holders during the life of the security. Tax-exempt income to the holder of the security comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the security at issuance and the par value of the security at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. Such securities are included in the portfolio with a 0.00% coupon rate in their description. The market prices of zero coupon securities generally are more volatile than the market

prices of securities that pay interest periodically.

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the higher of Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's Group or Baa by Moody's Investor Service, Inc. are considered to be below investment grade.
- (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- (5) Investment has a maturity of more than one year, but has variable rate and demand features which qualify it as a short-term investment. The rate disclosed is that in effect at the end of the reporting period. This rate changes periodically based on market conditions or a specified market index.
- N/R Not rated.
- ${\tt WI/DD}$ Purchased on a when-issued or delayed delivery basis.
 - (UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 140.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

54

Statement of ASSETS & LIABILITIES

July 31, 2007

MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME (NUM)

SSETS

Investments, at value (cost \$260,234,307, \$171,019,890 and \$45,345,066, respectively)

\$270,215,330 676,851

Receivables:	
Interest	3,046,876
Investments sold	15,000
Variation margin on futures contracts	
Other assets	26,422
Total assets	273,980,479
LIABILITIES	
Cash overdraft	
Payable for investments purchased	2 070 000
Floating rate obligations	3,870,000
Unrealized depreciation on forward swaps	
Accrued expenses: Management fees	142,353
Other	81,531
Common share dividends payable	604,803
Preferred share dividends payable	38,231
Total liabilities	4,736,918
Preferred shares, at liquidation value	94,000,000
Net assets applicable to Common shares	\$175,243,561
Common shares outstanding	11,714,953
Net asset value per Common share outstanding	
(net assets applicable to Common shares,	
divided by Common shares outstanding)	\$ 14.96
NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON SHARES CONSIST OF:	
Common shares, \$.01 par value per share	\$ 117,150
Paid-in surplus	163,945,766
Undistributed (Over-distribution of) net investment income	(85,440)
Accumulated net realized gain (loss) from investments and	
derivative transactions	1,285,062
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments and	
derivative transactions	9,981,023
Net assets applicable to Common shares	\$175,243,561
Authorized shares:	
Common	200,000,000
Preferred	1,000,000

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

55

Statement of ASSETS & LIABILITIES (continued)

July 31, 2007

OHIO OHIO QUALITY DIVIDEND

	INCOME (NUO)	ADVANTAGE (NXI)
ASSETS		
Investments, at value (cost \$234,345,636, \$95,591,814,		ı
\$71,389,017 and \$48,901,678, respectively)	\$240,194,334	\$98,230,410
Cash	530,412	
Receivables:		
Interest	2,601,688	1,090,004
Investments sold		
Variation margin on futures contracts		
Other assets	21,541	7,649
Total assets	243,347,975	99,328,063
LIABILITIES		
Cash overdraft		127,243
Payable for investments purchased	840,072	448,275
Floating rate obligations	10,670,000	4,220,000
Unrealized depreciation on forward swaps	141,307	113,046
Accrued expenses:		
Management fees	122,585	34,471
Other	4,537	24,461
Common share dividends payable	486,612	228,246
Preferred share dividends payable	30,535	18,451
Total liabilities	12,295,648	5,214,193
Preferred shares, at liquidation value	77,000,000	31,000,000
Net assets applicable to Common shares	\$154,052,327	\$63,113,870
Common shares outstanding	9,746,032	4,244,093
Net asset value per Common share outstanding	:====	:===
(net assets applicable to Common shares,		
divided by Common shares outstanding)	\$ 15.81	\$ 14.87
NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON SHARES CONSIST OF:	:========	:=========
Common shares, \$.01 par value per share	\$ 97,460	\$ 42,441
Paid-in surplus		60,269,433
Undistributed (Over-distribution of) net investment income	(293,613)	(98,082)
Accumulated net realized gain (loss) from investments and	(470, 0±0,	(20,002)
derivative transactions	729,348	374,528
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments and	1270	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
derivative transactions	5,707,391	2,525,550
Net assets applicable to Common shares	\$154 , 052 , 327	
Authorized shares:	:==========	
Common	200,000,000	Unlimited
Preferred	1,000,000	Unlimited
=======================================		

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Statement of OPERATIONS

Year Ended July 31, 2007

MICHIGAN

	QUALITY
	INCOME (NUM)
	(1101.)
INVESTMENT INCOME	\$13,245,512
EXPENSES	
Management fees	1,713,465
Preferred shares - auction fees	235,002
Preferred shares - dividend disbursing agent fees	20,000
Shareholders' servicing agent fees and expenses Interest expense on floating rate obligations	20,949 63,023
Custodian's fees and expenses	63,023
Directors'/Trustees' fees and expenses	7,860
Professional fees	21,424
Shareholders' reports - printing and mailing expenses	39,036
Stock exchange listing fees	9,758
Investor relations expense	35,336
Other expenses	28 , 199
Total expenses before custodian fee credit and expense reimbursement	2 , 257 , 328
Custodian fee credit	(35,441)
Expense reimbursement	
Net expenses	2,221,887
Net investment income	11,023,625
REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS)	
Net realized gain (loss) from:	
Investments	2,021,802
Forward swaps	
Futures Change is not usualized appropriation (damagication) of	
Change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of:	(2 145 750)
Investments Forward swaps	(3,145,750)
Futures	!
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	(1,123,948)
DISTRIBUTIONS TO PREFERRED SHAREHOLDERS	
From net investment income	(2,968,560)
From accumulated net realized gains	(232,090)
Decrease in net assets applicable to Common shares	
from distributions to Preferred shareholders	(3,200,650)
Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common shares	
from operations	\$ 6,699,027

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

57

Statement of OPERATIONS (continued)

Year Ended July 31, 2007

	OHIO QUALITY INCOME (NUO)	OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE (NXI)
INVESTMENT INCOME	\$11,363,977	\$ 4,613,200
EXPENSES		
Management fees	1,472,694	604,775
Preferred shares - auction fees	192,501	77,500
Preferred shares - dividend disbursing agent fees	30,849	10,000
Shareholders' servicing agent fees and expenses	23,089	1,000
Interest expense on floating rate obligations	158,803	63,026
Custodian's fees and expenses	36,565	35,045
Directors'/Trustees' fees and expenses	6,276	2,685
Professional fees	19 , 738	13,717
Shareholders' reports - printing and mailing expenses	27 , 627	13,359
Stock exchange listing fees	9 , 816	361
Investor relations expense	29,411	12,267
Other expenses	16,513	14,826
Total expenses before custodian fee credit and		
expense reimbursement	2,023,882	848,561
Custodian fee credit	(21,538)	(9 , 628)
Expense reimbursement		(222,582)
Net expenses	2,002,344	616,351
Net investment income	9,361,633	3 , 996 , 849
REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS)		
Net realized gain (loss) from:		
Investments	1,187,125	458,042
Forward swaps		
Futures		
Change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of:		
Investments	(2,229,443)	(728 , 528)
Forward swaps	(141,307)	(113,046)
Futures		
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	(1,183,625)	(383,532)
DISTRIBUTIONS TO PREFERRED SHAREHOLDERS		
From net investment income	(2,526,574)	(1,023,335)
From accumulated net realized gains	(109 , 526)	(34,050)
Decrease in net assets applicable to Common shares		
from distributions to Preferred shareholders		(1,057,385)
Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common shares		
from operations	\$ 5,541,908	\$ 2,555,932

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

58

Statement of CHANGES in NET ASSETS

			MICHI PREMIUM IN	COME (NMP)
		YEAR ENDED 7/31/06	YEAR ENDED 7/31/07	YEAR ENDED 7/31/06
OPERATIONS				
Net investment income	\$ 11,023,625	\$ 11,188,131	\$ 6,952,299	\$ 7,082,116
Net realized gain (loss) from:				
Investments	2,021,802	879 , 750	1,318,366	522,482
Forward swaps				
Futures				
Change in net unrealized apprecedation) of:				
Investments	(3,145,750)	(6,894,595)		(3,676,369)
Forward swaps			(136, 364)	
Futures				
Distributions to				
Preferred Shareholders:	(2 0C0 EC0)	(2 420 E0E)	(1 756 070)	/1 /OF 001\
From net investment income From accumulated net	(2,968,560)	(2,438,505)	(1, /56, 8/2)	(1,425,891)
realized gains	(232,090)	(204,993)	(174,588)	(177,593)
Net increase (decrease) in net	assets			
applicable to Common shares from operations	6 600 027	2,529,788	1 101 226	2 224 745
DISTRIBUTIONS TO COMMON SHAREHO				
From net investment income	(8,329,332)	(9,516,815)	(5,530,371)	(6,136,157)
From accumulated net	(050, 050)	(1 01 5 000)	(515, 545)	(1 105 100)
realized gains	(859,878)	(1,317,932)	(717,747)	(1,135,132)
Decrease in net assets applicable to Common shares from distributions to				
Common shareholders	(9,189,210)	(10,834,747)	(6,248,118)	(7,271,289)
CAPITAL SHARE TRANSACTIONS Net proceeds from Common shares issued to shareholders due t	0	120.062		02.150
reinvestment of distribution		139,063	 	83 , 158
Net increase (decrease) in net applicable to Common shares				
capital share transactions		139,063		83,158
Net increase (decrease) in net assets				
applicable to Common shares	(2,490,183)	(8,165,896)	(2,053,792)	(4,863,386)

Net assets applicable to Common shares at the beginning of year	177,733,744	185,899,640	115,611,353	120,474,739
Net assets applicable to Comm shares at the end of year		\$177,733,744	\$113,557,561	\$115,611,353
Undistributed (Over-distribut net investment income at t of year	*	\$ 209,079	\$ (133,697)	\$ 220,559

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

59

Statement of CHANGES in NET ASSETS (continued)

		NCOME (NUO)	DIVIDEND ADV	ANTAGE (NXI)
	YEAR ENDED 7/31/07	YEAR ENDED 7/31/06	YEAR ENDED 7/31/07	YEAR ENDED 7/31/06
OPERATIONS	6 0 0C1 C00	^ ^ E70 771	¢ 2 00C 040	^ 4 OCE 000
Net investment income		\$ 9,570,771	\$ 3,996,849	\$ 4,065,899
Net realized gain (loss) from: Investments	1,187,125	61,521	458,042	104,619
Investments Forward swaps	1,101,140	01,J21	400,044 	TO4, OT>
Futures				
rutures Change in net unrealized				
appreciation (depreciation)	of.			
Investments	(2,229,443)	(4,237,619)	(728,528)	(1,806,156
Forward swaps	(141,307)	(1/20//010/	(113,046)	(+,000,+0-
Futures	\±±±,00.,		\±± \ ==,	
Distributions to				
Preferred Shareholders:				
From net investment income	(2.526.574)	(2.099.203)	(1.023,335)	(876,328
From accumulated net	(2) (2) (, ,	(21 ~ ~ ~ 1 = ~ ~)	(+) ===,	(~ , ~ , ~
realized gains	(109,526)	(76,287)	(34,050)	(18,836
	· , , .	· · · ·	· , ·	
Net increase (decrease) in net assets				
applicable to Common				
shares from operations	5,541,908	3,219,183	2,555,932	1,469,198
DISTRIBUTIONS TO COMMON SHAREH	OTUDERS			
		(8,294,392)	(3.063.555)	(3.585,882
From accumulated	(/ 1 0 0 / 0 0 0 1 /	(0/201/002,	(3,000,000,	(0,000,000
net realized gains	(409, 333)	(528,190)	(131,955)	(142,845
Decrease in net assets applicable to Common shares				
from distributions to				
Common shareholders	(7,515,165)	(8,822,582)	(3,195,510)	(3,728,72

CAPITAL SHARE TRANSACTIONS

Net proceeds from Common share issued to shareholders due reinvestment of distribution	to	647,482	17,968	122,421
Net increase (decrease) in net applicable to Common shares capital share transactions		647,482	17,968	122,421
Net increase (decrease) in net applicable to Common shares		(4,955,917)	(621,610)	(2,137,108)
Common shares at the beginning of year	156,025,584	160,981,501	63,735,480	65,872,588
Net assets applicable to Common shares at the end of year		\$156,025,584	\$63,113,870	\$63,735,480
Undistributed (Over-distribution net investment income at the of year	ne end	\$ (13,360)	\$ (98,082)	\$ (7,440)

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

60

Net realized gain (loss) from: Investments Forward swaps Futures Change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of: Investments Forward swaps Futures

Distributions to Preferred Shareholders:

From net investment income

From accumulated net realized gains

Net increase (decrease) in net assets

applicable to Common shares

from operations

OPERATIONS

Net investment income

DISTRIBUTIONS TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS

From net investment income

From accumulated net realized gains _____

Decrease in net assets applicable to

Common shares from distributions to Common shareholders

CAPITAL SHARE TRANSACTIONS Net proceeds from Common shares issued to shareholders due to

reinvestment of distributions

Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common shares from capital share transactions

-----Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common shares Net assets applicable to Common

shares at the beginning of year ______

Net assets applicable to Common shares at the end of year

_______ Undistributed (Over-distribution of) net investment income at the end

of year ______

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

61

Notes to FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. GENERAL INFORMATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The state funds (the "Funds") covered in this report and their corresponding Common share stock exchange symbols are Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUM), Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NMP), Nuveen Michigan Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NZW), Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NUO), Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NXI), Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NBJ) and Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NVJ). Common shares of Michigan Quality Income (NUM), Michigan Premium Income (NMP), and Ohio Quality Income (NUO) are traded on the New York Stock Exchange while Common shares of Michigan Dividend Advantage (NZW), Ohio Dividend Advantage (NXI), Ohio Dividend Advantage 2 (NBJ) and Ohio Dividend Advantage 3 (NVJ) are traded on the American Stock Exchange. The Funds are registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as closed-end management investment companies.

Each Fund seeks to provide current income exempt from both regular federal and designated state income taxes by investing primarily in a diversified portfolio of municipal obligations issued by state and local government authorities within a single state or certain U.S. territories.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies followed by the Funds in the preparation of their financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Investment Valuation

The prices of municipal bonds in each Fund's investment portfolio are provided by a pricing service approved by the Fund's Board of Directors/Trustees. When

market price quotes are not readily available (which is usually the case for municipal securities), the pricing service may establish fair value based on yields or prices of municipal bonds of comparable quality, type of issue, coupon, maturity and rating, indications of value from securities dealers, evaluations of anticipated cash flows or collateral and general market conditions. Prices of forward swap contracts are also provided by an independent pricing service approved by each Fund's Board of Directors/Trustees. Futures contracts are valued using the closing settlement price or, in the absence of such a price, at the mean of the bid and asked prices. If the pricing service is unable to supply a price for a municipal bond, forward swap contract or futures contract, each Fund may use a market price or fair market value quote provided by a major broker/dealer in such investments. If it is determined that the market price or fair market value for an investment or derivative instrument is unavailable or inappropriate, the Board of Directors/Trustees of the Funds, or its designee, may establish a fair value for the investment. Temporary investments in securities that have variable rate and demand features qualifying them as short-term investments are valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value.

Investment Transactions

Investment transactions are recorded on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses from transactions are determined on the specific identification method. Investments purchased on a when-issued/delayed delivery basis may have extended settlement periods. Any investments so purchased are subject to market fluctuation during this period. The Funds have instructed the custodian to segregate assets with a current value at least equal to the amount of the when-issued/delayed delivery purchase commitments. At July 31, 2007, Ohio Quality Income (NUO), Ohio Dividend Advantage (NXI), Ohio Dividend Advantage 2 (NBJ) and Ohio Dividend Advantage 3 (NVJ) had outstanding when-issued/delayed delivery purchase commitments of \$840,072, \$448,275, \$359,663 and \$210,018, respectively. There were no such outstanding purchase commitments in Michigan Quality Income Municipal, (NUM), Michigan Premium Income (NMP) and Michigan Dividend Advantage (NZW).

Investment Income

Interest income, which includes the amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts for financial reporting purposes, is recorded on an accrual basis. Investment income also includes paydown gains and losses, if any.

62

Income Taxes

Each Fund is a separate taxpayer for federal income tax purposes. Each Fund intends to distribute substantially all net investment income and net capital gains to shareholders and to otherwise comply with the requirements of Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies. Therefore, no federal income tax provision is required. Furthermore, each Fund intends to satisfy conditions which will enable interest from municipal securities, which is exempt from regular federal and designated state income taxes, to retain such tax-exempt status when distributed to shareholders of the Funds. Net realized capital gains and ordinary income distributions paid by the Funds are subject to federal taxation.

Dividends and Distributions to Common Shareholders

Dividends from tax-exempt net investment income are declared monthly. Net realized capital gains and/or market discount from investment transactions, if

any, are distributed to shareholders not less frequently than annually. Furthermore, capital gains are distributed only to the extent they exceed available capital loss carryforwards.

Distributions to Common shareholders of tax-exempt net investment income, net realized capital gains and/or market discount, if any, are recorded on the ex-dividend date. The amount and timing of distributions are determined in accordance with federal income tax regulations, which may differ from U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Preferred Shares

The Funds have issued and outstanding Preferred shares, \$25,000 stated value per share, as a means of effecting financial leverage. Each Fund's Preferred shares are issued in one or more Series. The dividend rate paid by the Funds on each Series is determined every seven days, pursuant to a dutch auction process overseen by the auction agent, and is payable at the end of each rate period. The number of Preferred shares outstanding, by Series and in total, for each Fund is as follows:

	MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME (NUM)	MICH PRE IN
Number of shares:		
Series M		!
Series W		,
Series TH	3,200	1
Series F	560	ľ
Total	3,760	2
	OHIO OHIO QUALITY DIVIDEND INCOME ADVANTAGE (NUO) (NXI)	
Number of shares: Series M Series T Series W Series TH Series TH2 Series F	680 1,240 1,400 1,000	
Total	3,080 1,240	:======

Inverse Floating Rate Securities

Each Fund may invest in inverse floating rate securities. An inverse floating rate security is created by depositing a municipal bond, typically with a fixed interest rate, into a special purpose trust created by a broker-dealer. In turn, this trust (a) issues floating rate certificates, in face amounts equal to some fraction of the deposited bond's par amount or market value, that typically pay short-term tax-exempt interest rates to third parties, and (b) issues to a

long-term investor (such as one of the Funds) an inverse floating rate certificate (sometimes referred to as an "inverse floater") that represents all remaining or residual interest in the trust. The income received by the inverse floater holder varies inversely with the short-term rate paid to the floating rate certificates' holders, and in most circumstances the inverse floater holder bears substantially all of the underlying bond's downside investment risk and also benefits disproportionately from any potential appreciation of the underlying bond's value. The price of an inverse floating rate security will be more volatile than that of the underlying bond because the interest rate is dependent on not only the fixed coupon rate of the underlying bond but also on the short-term interest paid on the floating rate certificates, and because the inverse floating rate security essentially bears the risk of loss of the greater face value of the underlying bond.

63

A Fund may purchase an inverse floating rate security in a secondary market transaction without first owning the underlying bond (referred to as an "externally-deposited inverse floater"), or instead by first selling a fixed-rate bond to a broker-dealer for deposit into the special purpose trust and receiving in turn the residual interest in the trust (referred to as a "self-deposited inverse floater"). An investment in an externally-deposited inverse floater is identified in the Portfolio of Investments as an "Inverse floating rate investment". An investment in a self-deposited inverse floater is accounted for as a financing transaction in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 140 "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishment of Liabilities". In such instances, a fixed-rate bond deposited into a special purpose trust is identified in the Portfolio of Investments as an "Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust", with the Fund accounting for the short-term floating rate certificates issued by the trust as "Floating rate obligations" on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. In addition, the Fund reflects in Investment Income the entire earnings of the underlying bond and accounts for the related interest paid to the holders of the short-term floating rate certificates as "Interest expense on floating rate obligations" in the Statement of Operations.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2007, each Fund invested in externally deposited inverse floaters and/or self-deposited inverse floaters.

The average floating rate obligations outstanding and average annual interest rate and fees related to self-deposited inverse floaters during the fiscal year ended July 31, 2007, were as follows:

	MICHIGAN	MICHIGAN	MICHIGAN	OHIO	
	QUALITY	PREMIUM	DIVIDEND	QUALITY	DIVI
	INCOME	INCOME	ADVANTAGE	INCOME	ADVAN
	(NUM)	(NMP)	(NZW)	(NUO)	
Average floating rate obligations	\$1,622,219	\$4,927,192	\$299 , 712	\$4,072,603	\$1,616
Average annual interest rate and fees	3.88%	3.88%	3.89%	3.90%	3

Forward Swap Transactions

The Funds are authorized to invest in certain derivative financial instruments. The Funds' use of forward interest rate swap transactions is intended to help the Fund manage its overall interest rate sensitivity, either shorter or longer,

generally to more closely align the Fund's interest rate sensitivity with that of the broader municipal market. Forward interest rate swap transactions involve each Fund's agreement with a counterparty to pay, in the future, a fixed or variable rate payment in exchange for the counterparty paying the Fund a variable or fixed rate payment, the accruals for which would begin at a specified date in the future (the "effective date"). The amount of the payment obligation is based on the notional amount of the forward swap contract and the termination date of the swap (which is akin to a bond's maturity). The value of the Fund's swap commitment would increase or decrease based primarily on the extent to which long-term interest rates for bonds having a maturity of the swap's termination date increases or decreases. The Funds may terminate a swap contract prior to the effective date, at which point a realized gain or loss is recognized. When a forward swap is terminated, it ordinarily does not involve the delivery of securities or other underlying assets or principal, but rather is settled in cash on a net basis. Each Fund intends, but is not obligated, to terminate its forward swaps before the effective date. Accordingly, the risk of loss with respect to the swap counterparty on such transactions is limited to the credit risk associated with a counterparty failing to honor its commitment to pay any realized gain to the Fund upon termination. To reduce such credit risk, all counterparties are required to pledge collateral daily (based on the daily valuation of each swap) on behalf of each Fund with a value approximately equal to the amount of any unrealized gain above a pre-determined threshold. Reciprocally, when any of the Funds have an unrealized loss on a swap contract, the Funds have instructed the custodian to pledge assets of the Funds as collateral with a value approximately equal to the amount of the unrealized loss above a pre-determined threshold. Collateral pledges are monitored and subsequently adjusted if and when the swap valuations fluctuate, either up or down, by at least the predetermined threshold amount.

Futures Contracts

The Funds are authorized to invest in futures contracts. Upon entering into a futures contract, a Fund is required to deposit with the broker an amount of cash or liquid securities equal to a specified percentage of the contract amount. This is known as the "initial margin." Subsequent payments ("variation margin") are made or received by a Fund each day, depending on the daily fluctuation of the value of the contract.

During the period the futures contract is open, changes in the value of the contract are recognized as an unrealized gain or loss by "marking-to-market" on a daily basis to reflect the changes in market value of the contract. When the contract is closed or expired, a Fund records a realized gain or loss equal to the difference between the value of the contract on the closing date and value of the contract when originally entered into. Cash held by the broker to cover initial margin requirements on open futures contracts, if any, is recognized in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. Additionally, the Statement of Assets and Liabilities reflects a receivable or payable for the variation margin when applicable.

64

Risks of investments in futures contracts include the possible adverse movement of the securities or indices underlying the contracts, the possibility that there may not be a liquid secondary market for the contracts and/or that a change in the value of the contract may not correlate with a change in the value of the underlying securities or indices.

Custodian Fee Credit

Each Fund has an arrangement with the custodian bank whereby certain custodian fees and expenses are reduced by net credits earned on each Fund's cash on deposit with the bank. Such deposit arrangements are an alternative to overnight investments. Credits for cash balances may be offset by charges for any days on which the Fund overdraws its account at the custodian bank.

Indemnifications

Under the Funds' organizational documents, their Officers and Directors/Trustees are indemnified against certain liabilities arising out of the performance of their duties to the Funds. In addition, in the normal course of business, the Funds enter into contracts that provide general indemnifications to other parties. The Funds' maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against the Funds that have not yet occurred. However, the Funds have not had prior claims or losses pursuant to these contracts and expect the risk of loss to be remote.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of increases and decreases in net assets applicable to Common shares from operations during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

2. FUND SHARES

Transactions in Common shares were as follows:

	_		MICHIGAN PREMIUM INCOME (NMP)		
	YEAR ENDED	YEAR ENDED 7/31/06	YEAR ENDED	YEAR ENDED	YEAR EN
Common shares issued to shareholders due to reinvestment of distributions		8,799		5,425	2,
	_	QUALITY C (NUO)	ADVANTA		 C ADV
		YEAR ENDED 7/31/06	YEAR ENDED	YEAR ENDED	
Common shares issued to shareholders due to reinvestment of distributions		39,047	1,177	7,448	
	========		=======	=======	 C ADV

100

YEAR EN

Common shares issued to shareholders due to reinvestment of distributions

65

3. INVESTMENT TRANSACTIONS

Purchases and sales (including maturities but excluding short-term investments and derivative transactions) during the fiscal year ended July 31, 2007, were as follows:

		MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME (NUM)	MICH PRE IN
Purchases Sales and maturities		\$34,535,031 36,677,503	•
	OHIO QUALITY INCOME (NUO)	OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE (NXI)	DIVI ADVANTA
Purchases Sales and maturities		\$19,540,779 13,399,486	\$13,780 10,051

4. INCOME TAX INFORMATION

The following information is presented on an income tax basis. Differences between amounts for financial statement and federal income tax purposes are primarily due to the treatment of paydown gains and losses, timing differences in recognizing taxable market discount, timing differences in recognizing certain gains and losses on investment transactions and the treatment of investments in inverse floating rate transactions subject to SFAS No.140. To the extent that differences arise that are permanent in nature, such amounts are reclassified within the capital accounts on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities presented in the annual report, based on their federal tax basis treatment; temporary differences do not require reclassification. Temporary and permanent differences do not impact the net asset values of the Funds.

At July 31, 2007, the cost of investments was as follows:

MICHIGAN MICH QUALITY INCOME (NUM)

PRE IN

Cost of investments

\$256,478,401 \$162,891

		==========	
	OHIO QUALITY INCOME (NUO)	OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE (NXI)	DIVI ADVANTA
Cost of investments	\$223,612,518	\$91,278,315	
Gross unrealized appreciation and gross unrealized depreciation at July 31, 2007, were as follows:	n of investmen	ts	
		MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME (NUM)	MICH PRE IN
Gross unrealized:]
Appreciation Depreciation		\$10,955,579 (1,088,945)	\$5,312 (691
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments		\$ 9.866,634	\$4 , 621
	OHIO QUALITY INCOME (NUO)	OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE (NXI)	DIVI ADVANTA
Gross unrealized: Appreciation	¢6 061 045	\$3,135,958	\$1 , 662
Appreciation Depreciation		(399, 673)	
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments	\$5,927,420	\$2,736,285	\$1 , 351
	========	=========	

66

The tax components of undistributed net tax-exempt income, net ordinary income and net long-term capital gains at July 31, 2007, the Funds' tax year end, were as follows:

	MICHIGAN	MICH
	QUALITY	PRE
	INCOME	IN
	(NUM)	
Undistributed net tax-exempt income *	\$ 563,630	\$307
Undistributed net ordinary income **	68,426	12

Undistributed net long-term capital gains		1,411,370	748
	OHIO QUALITY INCOME (NUO)	OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE (NXI)	DIVI ADVANTA
Undistributed net tax-exempt income * Undistributed net ordinary income **	\$239,058 8,990	\$ 64,598 1,814	\$ 40 3
Undistributed net long-term capital gains	720,358	372,714	248

- * Undistributed net tax-exempt income (on a tax basis) has not been reduced for the dividend declared on July 2, 2007, paid on August 1, 2007.
- ** Net ordinary income consists of taxable market discount income and net short-term capital gains, if any.

The tax character of distributions paid during the Funds' tax years ended July 31, 2007 and July 31, 2006, was designated for purposes of the dividends paid deduction as follows:

2007		MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME (NUM)	MICH PRE IN
Distributions from net tax-exempt income *** Distributions from net ordinary income **		\$11,324,987	\$7,313
Distributions from net long-term capital gains ****		1,091,968	892
2007	OHIO QUALITY INCOME (NUO)	OHIO DIVIDEND	DIVI ADVANT <i>A</i>
Distributions from net tax-exempt income *** Distributions from net ordinary income **	\$9,691,928 8,612	\$4,111,327 	\$2 , 959
Distributions from net long-term capital gains ****	511,427		174
2006		MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME (NUM)	MICH PRE IN
Distributions from net tax-exempt income Distributions from net ordinary income ** Distributions from net long-term capital gains		\$12,059,759 1,522,925	20
	OHIO QUALITY INCOME	OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE	DIVI ADVANTA

Distributions from net tax-exempt income	\$10,480,603	\$4,505,621	\$3 , 209
Distributions from net ordinary income **	749	8,426	, ,
Distributions from net long-term capital gains	604,477	161,865	172

(NUO)

(NXI)

- Net ordinary income consists of taxable market discount income and net short-term capital gains, if any.
- *** The Funds hereby designate these amounts paid during the fiscal year ended July 31, 2007, as Exempt Interest Dividends.
- **** The Funds hereby designate these amounts paid during the fiscal year ended July 31, 2007, as long-term capital gain dividends pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 852(b)(3).

67

5. MANAGEMENT FEES AND OTHER TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES

2006

Each Fund's management fee is separated into two components — a complex-level component, based on the aggregate amount of all fund assets managed by Nuveen Asset Management (the "Adviser"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Nuveen Investments, Inc. ("Nuveen"), and a specific fund-level component, based only on the amount of assets within each individual Fund. This pricing structure enables Nuveen fund shareholders to benefit from growth in the assets within each individual fund as well as from growth in the amount of complex-wide assets managed by the Adviser.

The annual fund-level fee, payable monthly, for each Fund is based upon the average daily net assets (including net assets attributable to Preferred shares) of each Fund as follows:

AVERAGE DAILY NET ASSETS (INCLUDING NET ASSETS ATTRIBUTABLE TO PREFERRED SHARES)	MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME (NUM) MICHIGAN PREMIUM INCOME (NMP) OHIO QUALITY INCOME (NUO) FUND-LEVEL FEE RATE
For the first \$125 million For the next \$125 million For the next \$250 million For the next \$500 million For the next \$1 billion For the next \$3 billion	.4500% .4375 .4250 .4125 .4000
For net assets over \$5 billion	.3750
AVERAGE DAILY NET ASSETS (INCLUDING NET ASSETS ATTRIBUTABLE TO PREFERRED SHARES)	MICHIGAN DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE (NZW) OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE (NXI) OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE 2 (NBJ) OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE 3 (NVJ) FUND-LEVEL FEE RATE
For the first \$125 million For the next \$125 million For the next \$250 million For the next \$500 million For the next \$1 billion	.4500% .4375 .4250 .4125 .4000

For net assets over \$2 billion .3750

68

The annual complex-level fee, payable monthly, which is additive to the fund-level fee, for all Nuveen sponsored funds in the U.S., is based on the aggregate amount of total fund assets managed as stated in the tables below. As of July 31, 2007, the complex-level fee rate was .1831%.

Effective August 20, 2007, the complex-level fee schedule was as follows:

COMPLEX-LEVEL ASSETS	S BREAKPOINT LEVEL(1)	EFFECTIVE RATE AT	BREAKPOINT LEVEL
\$55 billion			.2000%
\$56 billion			.1996
\$57 billion			.1989
\$60 billion			.1961
\$63 billion			.1931
\$66 billion			.1900
\$71 billion			.1851
\$76 billion			.1806
\$80 billion			.1773
\$91 billion			.1691
\$125 billion			.1599
\$200 billion			.1505
\$250 billion			.1469
\$300 billion			.1445
			,

Prior to August 20, 2007, the complex-level fee schedule was as follows:

COMPLEX-LEVEL ASSET	S BREAKPOINT	LEVEL(1)	EFFECTIVE	RATE 2	AT BREAKPOINT	LEVEL
\$55 billion						.2000%
\$56 billion						.1996
\$57 billion						.1989
\$60 billion						.1961
\$63 billion						.1931
\$66 billion						.1900
\$71 billion						.1851
\$76 billion						.1806
\$80 billion						.1773
\$91 billion						.1698
\$125 billion						.1617
\$200 billion						.1536
\$250 billion						.1509
\$300 billion						.1490

(1) The complex-level fee component of the management fee for the funds is calculated based upon the aggregate Managed Assets ("Managed Assets" means the average daily net assets of each fund including assets attributable to preferred stock issued by or borrowings by the Nuveen funds) of Nuveen sponsored funds in the U.S.

The management fee compensates the Adviser for overall investment advisory and administrative services and general office facilities. The Funds pay no compensation directly to those of its Directors/Trustees who are affiliated with

the Adviser or to its Officers, all of whom receive remuneration for their services to the Funds from the Adviser or its affiliates. The Board of Directors/Trustees has adopted a deferred compensation plan for independent Directors/Trustees that enables Directors/Trustees to elect to defer receipt of all or a portion of the annual compensation they are entitled to receive from certain Nuveen advised funds. Under the plan, deferred amounts are treated as though equal dollar amounts had been invested in shares of select Nuveen advised funds.

69

For the first ten years of Ohio Dividend Advantage's (NXI) operations, the Adviser has agreed to reimburse the Fund, as a percentage of average daily net assets (including net assets attributable to Preferred shares), for fees and expenses in the amounts and for the time periods set forth below:

YEAR ENDING MARCH 31,		YEAR ENDING MARCH 31,		
2001*	.30%	2007	.25%	
2002	.30	2008	.20	
2003	.30	2009	.15	
2004	.30	2010	.10	
2005	.30	2011	.05	
2006	.30			

^{*} From the commencement of operations.

The Adviser has not agreed to reimburse Ohio Dividend Advantage (NXI) for any portion of its fees and expenses beyond March 31, 2011.

For the first ten years of Michigan Dividend Advantage's (NZW) and Ohio Dividend Advantage 2's (NBJ) operations, the Adviser has agreed to reimburse the Funds, as a percentage of average daily net assets (including net assets attributable to Preferred shares), for fees and expenses in the amounts and for the time periods set forth below:

YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30,		YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30,		
2001*	.30%	2007	.25%	
2002	.30	2008	.20	
2003	.30	2009	.15	
2004	.30	2010	.10	
2005	.30	2011	.05	
2006	.30			

^{*} From the commencement of operations.

The Adviser has not agreed to reimburse Michigan Dividend Advantage (NZW) and Ohio Dividend Advantage 2 (NBJ) for any portion of their fees and expenses beyond September 30, 2011.

For the first ten years of Ohio Dividend Advantage 3's (NVJ) operations, the Adviser has agreed to reimburse the Fund, as a percentage of average daily net assets (including net assets attributable to Preferred shares), for fees and expenses in the amounts and for the time periods set forth below:

YEAR ENDING MARCH 31,		YEAR ENDING MARCH 31,	
2002*	.30%	2008	.25%
2003	.30	2009	.20
2004	.30	2010	.15
2005	.30	2011	.10
2006	.30	2012	.05
2007	.30		

^{*} From the commencement of operations.

The Adviser has not agreed to reimburse Ohio Dividend Advantage 3 (NVJ) for any portion of its fees and expenses beyond March 31, 2012.

Agreement and Plan of Merger

On June 20, 2007, Nuveen Investments announced that it had entered into a definitive Agreement and Plan of Merger ("Merger Agreement") with Windy City Investments, Inc. ("Windy City"), a corporation formed by investors led by Madison Dearborn Partners, LLC, pursuant to which Windy City would acquire Nuveen Investments. Madison Dearborn Partners, LLC is a private equity investment firm based in Chicago, Illinois. The investors include an affiliate of Merrill Lynch. It is anticipated that Merrill Lynch and its affiliates will be indirect "affiliated persons" (as that term is defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940) of the Funds upon and after the acquisition. One important implication of this is that the Funds will not be able to buy securities from or sell securities to Merrill Lynch, but the portfolio management teams and Fund management do not expect that this will significantly impact the ability of the Funds to pursue their investment objectives and policies. Under the terms of the merger, each outstanding share of Nuveen Investments' common stock (other than dissenting shares) will be converted into the right to receive a specified amount of cash, without interest. The merger is expected to be completed by the end of the year, subject to customary conditions,

70

including obtaining necessary fund and client consents sufficient to satisfy the terms of the Merger Agreement. The obligations of Windy City to consummate the merger are not conditioned on its obtaining financing.

The consummation of the merger will be deemed to be an "assignment" (as defined in the 1940 Act) of the investment management agreement between each Fund and the Adviser, and will result in the automatic termination of each Fund's agreement. The Board of Directors/Trustees of each Fund has approved a new investment management agreement with the Adviser. The agreement is being presented to the Fund's shareholders for approval, and, if so approved by shareholders, would take effect upon consummation of the merger. There can be no assurance that the merger described above will be consummated as contemplated or that necessary shareholder approvals will be obtained.

6. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48
On July 13, 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) released FASB
Interpretation No. 48 "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" (FIN 48). FIN
48 provides guidance for how uncertain tax positions should be recognized,

measured, presented and disclosed in the financial statements. FIN 48 requires the evaluation of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in the course of preparing the Funds' tax returns to determine whether the tax positions are "more-likely-than-not" of being sustained by the applicable tax authority. Tax positions not deemed to meet the more-likely-than-not threshold would be recorded as a tax benefit or expense in the current year. Adoption of FIN 48 is required for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, and is to be applied to all open tax years as of the effective date. Recent SEC guidance allows funds to delay implementing FIN 48 into NAV calculations until the fund's last NAV calculation in the first required financial statement reporting period. As a result, the Funds must begin to incorporate FIN 48 into their NAV calculations by January 31, 2008. At this time, management is continuing to evaluate the implications of FIN 48 and does not expect the adoption of FIN 48 will have a significant impact on the net assets or results of operations of the Funds.

Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements." This standard establishes a single authoritative definition of fair value, sets out a framework for measuring fair value and requires additional disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies to fair value measurements already required or permitted by existing standards. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The changes to current generally accepted accounting principles from the application of this standard relate to the definition of fair value, the methods used to measure fair value, and the expanded disclosures about fair value measurements. As of July 31, 2007, management does not believe the adoption of SFAS No. 157 will impact the financial statement amounts; however, additional disclosures may be required about the inputs used to develop the measurements and the effect of certain of the measurements included within the Statement of Operations for the period.

7. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Distributions to Common Shareholders

The Funds declared Common share dividend distributions from their tax-exempt net investment income which were paid on September 4, 2007, to shareholders of record on August 15, 2007, as follows:

		MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME (NUM)	MICH PRE IN
Dividend per share		\$.0590	\$.
	OHIO QUALITY INCOME (NUO)	OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE (NXI)	DIVI ADVANTA
Dividend per share	\$.0595	\$.0570	\$.

71

Financial HIGHLIGHTS

Selected data for a Common share outstanding throughout each period:

			Inves	stment Operations	3		
	Net Asset	Investment	Realized/ Unrealized	Investment Income to Preferred Share-	from Capital Gains to Preferred	Total	In
MICHIGAN QUALITY							
Year Ended 7/31: 2007 2006 2005 2004 2003	15.88 15.51	.96 .98 1.01	(.52)	(.21) (.13)	(.01) (.01)	.21 1.41	
INCOME (NMP)							
Year Ended 7/31: 2007 2006 2005 2004 2003	15.55 15.19 15.24	.91 .93	, ,	(.18) (.11)		.31	
			:========			:======	

				lotal	. Keturns
	Offering				Based
	Costs and	Ending			on
	Preferred	Common		Based	Common
	Share	Share	Ending	on	Share Net
	Underwriting	Asset	Market	Market	Asset
	Discounts	Value	Value	Value*	Value*
MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME (NUM)					
Year Ended 7/31:					
2007	\$	\$14.96	\$14.16	3.64%	3.77%
2006		15.17	14.41	(2.28)	1.41

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND INC - Form N-CSR 15.88 15.67 9.94 15.51 15.20 5.17 15.14 15.45 2.40 2005 2004 9.52 2003 MICHIGAN PREMIUM INCOME (NMP) Year Ended 7/31: -- 14.65 13.80 2.16 -- 14.92 14.27 (3.12) -- 15.55 15.68 16.03 -- 15.19 14.37 5.46 -- 15.24 14.85 2.64 2006 2005 2.004 2003 ______ Ratios/Supplemental Data Ratios to Aver Ratios to Average Net Assets Applicable to Applicable to Common Shares After Credit/F Before Credit/Reimbursement Ending Net Assets Applicable Expenses Expenses Net Expenses Expenses to Common Including Excluding Investment Including Excluding Shares (000) Interest++(a) Interest++(a) Income++ Interest++(a) Interest MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME (NUM) Year Ended 7/31: 1.26% 1.23 1.22 1.22 1.22% 1.24% \$175,244 1 6.12% 1.22 1.23 1.22 2006 177,734 6.17 6.13 6.44 6.56 185,900 181,114 176,186 2005 1.21 1.22 1.22 2004 1.24 1.24 1.24 2003 MICHIGAN PREMIUM INCOME (NMP) Year Ended 7/31: . 113,558 1.38 1.22 115,611 1.20 1.20 120,475 1.19 1.19 117,529 1.20 1.20 117,418 1.21 1.21 1.37 2007 5.97 2006 6.03 1.19 2005 1.17 5.97 1.19 2004 6.28 2003 6.49 ______ Floating Rate Obligations Preferred Shares at End of Period at End of Period Aggregate Liquidation Aggregate Amount and Market Asset Amount Asset Outstanding Value Coverage Outstanding Coverage (000) Per Share Per Share (000) Per \$1,000 Outstanding MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME (NUM) _____

Year Ended 7/31:

1

1

1

1

2007	\$94,000	\$25,000	\$71 , 607	\$3 , 870	\$70 , 572
2006	94,000	25,000	72,270		
2005	94,000	25,000	74,441		
2004	94,000	25,000	73,169		
2003	94,000	25,000	71,858		
MICHIGAN PREMI INCOME (NMP)	UM				
Year Ended 7/3	1:				
	1: 56,000	25,000	75 , 695	8,105	21,920
Year Ended 7/3		25,000 25,000	75,695 76,612	8,105 	21,920
Year Ended 7/3 2007	56,000	,	•	8,105 	21,920
Year Ended 7/3 2007 2006	56,000 56,000	25,000	76,612	8,105 	21 , 920
Year Ended 7/3 2007 2006 2005	56,000 56,000 56,000	25,000 25,000	76,612 78,783	8,105 	21,920

* Total Return on Market Value is the combination of changes in the market price per share and the effect of reinvested dividend income and reinvested capital gains distributions, if any, at the average price paid per share at the time of reinvestment. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending market price. The actual reinvestment for the last dividend declared in the period may take place over several days, and in some instances may not be based on the market price, so the actual reinvestment price may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

Total Return on Common Share Net Asset Value is the combination of changes in Common share net asset value, reinvested dividend income at net asset value and reinvested capital gains distributions at net asset value, if any. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending net asset value. The actual reinvest price for the last dividend declared in the period may often be based on the Fund's market price (and not its net asset value), and therefore may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

- ** After custodian fee credit and expense reimbursement, where applicable.
- + The amounts shown are based on Common share equivalents.
- ++ Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to Preferred shareholders; income ratios reflect income earned on assets attributable to Preferred shares.
- (a) Interest expense arises from the application of SFAS No. 140 to certain inverse floating rate transactions entered into by the Fund as more fully described in Footnote 1 - Inverse Floating Rate Securities.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

72-73 spread

Financial HIGHLIGHTS (continued)

Selected data for a Common share outstanding throughout each period:

Investment Operations

	Investment	Unre	I Net alized/ ealized	from Net Investment Income to Preferred Share-	from Capital Gains to Preferred Share-	
\$14.94 15.44 14.82	\$.95 .97 .98 .99		\$(.14)	\$(.24)		*** \$.57 .37 1.50 1.41 .72
				Total	Returns	
Cost Pref	es and En Gerred Co	ommon	Ending Market Value	on		
	1 .01 1 1 .02 1	14.94 15.44 14.82 14.30	15.81 16.79 14.65 15.10	.46% (.47) 21.34 2.99 9.19	2.46 10.41 10.00 5.01	
:====	=====	===		Ratios/Su	upplemental Dat	
	App B	olicabl Before	le to Common S Credit/Reimbu	Assets Shares ursement		Ratios to Applicable After Cre
to Common	Expenses Including		Expenses Excluding	Ne Investmen	et Expenses nt Including	s Exp g Excl
	Common Share Net Asset Value Stage Supplicable to Common Share Net Assets Supplicable Share Net Assets Share Net Asset	Common Share Net Net Asset Investment Value Income \$14.94 \$.95 15.44 .97 14.82 .98 14.30 .99 14.42 .99 Offering Costs and En Preferred Co Share S Underwriting A Discounts V \$ \$1 1 .01 1 1 .02 1 Rati App B Ending Net Assets Applicable Expenses to Common Including	Common Share Net Asset Investment Unre Value Income Gain \$14.94 \$.95 15.44 .97 14.82 .98 14.30 .99 14.42 .99 Offering Costs and Ending Preferred Common Share Share Underwriting Asset Discounts Value \$ \$14.73 14.94 .01 15.44 14.82 .02 14.30 Ratios to Applicable Before Ending Net Assets Applicable Expenses to Common Including	Beginning	Beginning	Seginning

2005	31 , 821	1.27	1.27	5.93	.81
2004	30,538	1.28	1.28	6.13	.81
2003	29,443	1.29	1.29	6.15	.82

	Preferred	Shares at End o	f Period	_	e Obligations of Period
C	Outstanding	and Market	Coverage	Outstanding	Coverage
MICHIGAN DIVIDE ADVANTAGE (NZW)					
Year Ended 7/31					
2007	\$16,000	\$25,000	\$72 , 561	\$715	\$65 , 950
2006	16,000	25,000	73,161		
2005	16,000	25 , 000	74,720		
2004	16,000	25,000	72,716		
2003	16,000	25 , 000	71,005		

* Total Return on Market Value is the combination of changes in the market price per share and the effect of reinvested dividend income and reinvested capital gains distributions, if any, at the average price paid per share at the time of reinvestment. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending market price. The actual reinvestment for the last dividend declared in the period may take place over several days, and in some instances may not be based on the market price, so the actual reinvestment price may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

Total Return on Common Share Net Asset Value is the combination of changes in Common share net asset value, reinvested dividend income at net asset value and reinvested capital gains distributions at net asset value, if any. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending net asset value. The actual reinvest price for the last dividend declared in the period may often be based on the Fund's market price (and not its net asset value), and therefore may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

- ** After custodian fee credit and expense reimbursement, where applicable.
- *** Distributions from Capital Gains to Preferred Shareholders rounds to less than \$0.01 per share.
- + The amounts shown are based on Common share equivalents.
- ++ Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to Preferred shareholders; income ratios reflect income earned on assets attributable to Preferred shares.
- (a) Interest expense arises from the application of SFAS No. 140 to certain inverse floating rate transactions entered into by the Fund as more fully described in Footnote 1 - Inverse Floating Rate Securities.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

74-75 spread

Financial HIGHLIGHTS (continued)

Selected data for a Common share outstanding throughout each period:

	Net Asset Value	Net Investment Income	Realized/ Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Income to Preferred Share- holders+	from Capital Gains to Preferred	
OHIO QUALITY INCOME (NUO)						
Year Ended 7/31:						
2007	·	•			\$(.01)	•
2006			(.42)		, ,	
2005	16.21					1.39
2004					(.01)	
2003 OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE (NXI)	10.30	1.10	(.22)	(.08)		.80
Year Ended 7/31:						
2007	15.02	. 94	(.09)	(.24)	(.01)	. 60
2006				(.21)		.35
2005		1.00		(.11)		1.46
2004	14.66		.40	, ,		1.38
2003	14.83		(.23)	(.07)		.75

				Total	Returns
	Underwriting	Common Share Asset	Market	Based on Market Value*	
OHIO QUALITY INCOME (NUO)					
Year Ended 7/31: 2007 2006 2005 2004 2003	·	\$15.81 16.01 16.58 16.21 16.17	16.96 16.30	(4.25)% (1.36) 10.25 2.59 (3.15)	3.56% 2.10 8.70 7.87 4.84

Year Ended 7/31	:						
2007		14.	87 14.39	.52	4.		
2006				(6.53)			
2005 2004				21.79			
2003			66 14.26	10.70 (.04)	5.		
		==========				===	
				Rat		mental Data	
		Ra: Aj Bi	tios to Avera pplicable to efore Credita	age Net Assets Common Shares Reimbursement		F A	atios to applicabl after Cre
		Expenses	Expens	ses ing Inve:	Net	Expenses	Expe
				est++(a) :			
OHIO QUALITY INCOME (NUO)							
Year Ended 7/31							
2007	\$154,052	1.29% 1.20	1.		5.94%	1.27%	
2006 2005		1.20 1.19			6.05 6.16	1.19 1.18	
2003		1.20			6.46		
2003		1.22		.22	6.59		
OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE (NXI)							
Year Ended 7/31	:						
2007	•	1.32		.22	5.85	.96	
2006			1.		5.85		
2005 2004	65 , 873			.21	6.00	.76	
2003	63,642 61,924	1.23	1.	.20 .23	6.41 6.52	.75 .78	
				Floating Rat	te Obligati		======
_	Preferred Sh						
	Aggregate Amount	Liquidation and Market	Asset	Aggregate Amount	Ass	et	
	(000)	Per Share	Per Share	Outstanding (000)	Per \$1,0	00	
OHIO QUALITY IN	COME (NUO)						
Year Ended 7/31	:						
0007	\$77.000	\$25,000	\$75,017	\$10,670	\$22.6	54	
2007		05 000	75 650	,		-	
2007 2006 2005	77,000 77,000	25,000 25,000	75,658 77,267			 	

2003	77,000	25,000	75 , 458		
OHIO DIVIDEN	D ADVANTAGE (NXI)				
Year Ended 7	/31:				
2007	31,000	25 , 000	75 , 898	4,220	23,302
2006	31,000	25 , 000	76,400		
2005	31,000	25 , 000	78,123		
2004	31,000	25 , 000	76,324		
2003	31,000	25,000	74,938		
=========					

* Total Return on Market Value is the combination of changes in the market price per share and the effect of reinvested dividend income and reinvested capital gains distributions, if any, at the average price paid per share at the time of reinvestment. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending market price. The actual reinvestment for the last dividend declared in the period may take place over several days, and in some instances may not be based on the market price, so the actual reinvestment price may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

Total Return on Common Share Net Asset Value is the combination of changes in Common share net asset value, reinvested dividend income at net asset value and reinvested capital gains distributions at net asset value, if any. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending net asset value. The actual reinvest price for the last dividend declared in the period may often be based on the Fund's market price (and not its net asset value), and therefore may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

- ** After custodian fee credit and expense reimbursement, where applicable.
- + The amounts shown are based on Common share equivalents.
- ++ Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to Preferred shareholders; income ratios reflect income earned on assets attributable to Preferred shares.
- (a) Interest expense arises from the application of SFAS No. 140 to certain inverse floating rate transactions entered into by the Fund as more fully described in Footnote 1 - Inverse Floating Rate Securities.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

76-77 spread

Financial
HIGHLIGHTS (continued)

Selected data for a Common share outstanding throughout each period:

Investment Operations

Distributions Distributions
from Net from
Beginning Investment Capital In

				Preferred	Preferred	
	Value	Income	Gain (Loss)	Share- holders+	holders+	Total
OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE 2 (NBJ)						
Year Ended 7/31:						
2007	\$14.81	\$.92	\$(.10)	\$(.25)	\$(.01)	\$.56
2006	15.37	.93	(.41)	(.22)	(.01)	.29
2005	14.85	.95	.61	(.12)		1.44
2004	14.31	.99	.53	(.06)		1.46
2003	14.48	1.00	(.23)			.69
OHIO DIVIDEND						
ADVANTAGE 3 (NVJ)						
Year Ended 7/31:						
2007	15.06	.96	(.08)	(.25)	(.01)	.62
2006	15.57	.95	(.45)	(.22)		.28
2005	14.93	.95	.69	(.11)		1.53
2004	14.48	.96	.51	(.06)	(.01)	1.40
2003	14.83	.97	(.29)	(.07)	(.01)	.60

				Total	Returns
	Underwriting	Common Share Asset			Share Net Asset
OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE 2 (NBJ)					
Year Ended 7/31: 2007 2006 2005 2004 2003	 	14.81 15.37	14.70		3.80% 1.96 9.90 10.33 4.74
OHIO DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE 3 (NVJ)					
Year Ended 7/31: 2007 2006 2005 2004 2003	 	14.92 15.06 15.57 14.93 14.48	14.75 15.90 14.30	2.32 (2.33) 17.60 5.86 .09	1.87

Ratios/Supplemental Data

Ratios to Average Net Assets
Applicable to Common Shares

Ratios to Applicabl

Ending

Before Credit/Reimbursement

	to Common Shares (000)		Excludi) Intere	.ng Inv est++(a)	estment Income++	Expenses Including Interest++(a)	
OHIO DIVIDE ADVANTAGE 2							
Year Ended							
2007		1.41%		31%	5.76%		
2006	46,242		1.	27	5.71	.78	
2005		1.23				.77	
2004		1.25				.79	
2003	44,578	1.27	1.	27	6.26	.81	
OHIO DIVIDE ADVANTAGE 3	(NVJ)						
Year Ended							
2007	32,194	1.41	1.	31	5.85		
2006		1.28		28	5.76	.81	
2005		1.27					
2004		1.28			5.87	.81	
2003	31,245	1.28	1.	28	5.89	.82	
	Aggregate Amount Outstanding	Liquidation and Market Value Per Share	Asset Coverage Per Share	Aggregate Amoun Outstanding	d of Perio	d .sset .rage	
	ND ADVANTAGE 2 (N	IBJ)					
Year Ended	7/31:						
	\$24,000	\$25,000	\$72 , 598	\$3 , 15	5 \$23	,090	
∠∪∪ /				_	_		
2007	24,000	25 , 000	73 , 169				
		25,000	74,935	_	_		
2006	24,000			-	_ _		
2006 2005	24,000 24,000	25,000	74 , 935	- - -	- - -	 	
2006 2005 2004 2003	24,000 24,000 24,000	25,000 25,000 25,000	74,935 73,196	- - -	- - -	 	
2006 2005 2004 2003 OHIO DIVIDE Year Ended	24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 21,000 24,000	25,000 25,000 25,000	74,935 73,196 71,435	- - -	- - -	 	
2006 2005 2004 2003 OHIO DIVIDE Year Ended 2007	24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 3ND ADVANTAGE 3 (N 7/31:	25,000 25,000 25,000 IVJ) 25,000	74,935 73,196 71,435	2,16	- - - 5 23	 , 491	
2006 2005 2004 2003 OHIO DIVIDE Year Ended 2007 2006	24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300	25,000 25,000 25,000 IVJ) 	74,935 73,196 71,435 73,778 74,252	2,16	- - - 5 23	 , 491	
2006 2005 2004 2003 OHIO DIVIDE Year Ended 2007 2006 2005	24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300	25,000 25,000 25,000 IVJ) 25,000 25,000 25,000	74,935 73,196 71,435 73,778 74,252 75,918	2,16	- - - 5 23 - -	 , 491 	
2006 2005 2004 2003 OHIO DIVIDE Year Ended 2007 2006	24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300	25,000 25,000 25,000 IVJ) 	74,935 73,196 71,435 73,778 74,252	2,16	- - - 5 23 - -	 4,491 	

^{*} Total Return on Market Value is the combination of changes in the market price per share and the effect of reinvested dividend income and reinvested capital gains distributions, if any, at the average price paid per share at

After Cre

the time of reinvestment. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending market price. The actual reinvestment for the last dividend declared in the period may take place over several days, and in some instances may not be based on the market price, so the actual reinvestment price may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

Total Return on Common Share Net Asset Value is the combination of changes in Common share net asset value, reinvested dividend income at net asset value and reinvested capital gains distributions at net asset value, if any. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending net asset value. The actual reinvest price for the last dividend declared in the period may often be based on the Fund's market price (and not its net asset value), and therefore may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

- ** After custodian fee credit and expense reimbursement, where applicable.
- + The amounts shown are based on Common share equivalents.
- ++ Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to Preferred shareholders; income ratios reflect income earned on assets attributable to Preferred shares.
- (a) Interest expense arises from the application of SFAS No. 140 to certain inverse floating rate transactions entered into by the Fund as more fully described in Footnote 1 - Inverse Floating Rate Securities.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

78-79 spread

Board Members & OFFICERS

The management of the Funds, including general supervision of the duties performed for the Funds by the Adviser, is the responsibility of the Board Members of the Funds. The number of board members of the Fund is currently set at nine. None of the board members who are not "interested" persons of the Funds has ever been a director or employee of, or consultant to, Nuveen or its affiliates. The names and business addresses of the board members and officers of the Funds, their principal occupations and other affiliations during the past five years, the number of portfolios each oversees and other directorships they hold are set forth below.

			NUMBER	
NAME,	POSITION(S) HELD	YEAR FIRST	OF PORTFOLIOS	PRINCIPAL
BIRTHDATE	WITH THE FUNDS	ELECTED OR	IN FUND	OCCUPATION(S)
& ADDRESS		APPOINTED	COMPLEX	INCLUDING OTHE
		AND TERM(2)	OVERSEEN BY	DIRECTORSHIPS
			BOARD MEMBER	DURING PAST 5

BOARD MEMBER WHO IS AN INTERESTED PERSON OF THE FUNDS:

[]	TIMOTHY R. SCHWERTFEGER(1)				Director (sinc
	3/28/49	Chairman of	1994		1996) and Non-
	333 W. Wacker Drive	the Board	ANNUAL	176	1, 2007) forme

(Chicago, IL 60606	and Board Member			(1996-June 30, Inc. and Nuvee other subsidia Inc.; formerly Institutional
BOAI	RD MEMBERS WHO ARE NOT INTE	RESTED PERSONS OF THE	FUNDS:		
{	ROBERT P. BREMNER 8/22/40 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Lead Independent Board member	1997 CLASS III	176	Private Invest
- - -	JACK B. EVANS 10/22/48 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Board member	1999 CLASS III	176	President, The private philan 1996); Directo Fire Group, a of the Board o Iowa Universit Companies; Lif Iowa College F Advisory Counc Finance in the University of Alliant Energy Reserve Bank o and Chief Oper Group, Inc., a
(WILLIAM C. HUNTER 3/6/48 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Board member	2004 CLASS II	176	Dean, Tippie C of Iowa (since and Distinguis School of Busi Connecticut (2 Vice President the Federal Re (1995-2003); D Research Cente Director (since Director, SS&C 2005-October 2
		80			
Ι	NAME, BIRTHDATE & ADDRESS	POSITION(S) HELD WITH THE FUNDS	YEAR FIRST ELECTED OR APPOINTED AND TERM(2)	NUMBER OF PORTFOLIOS IN FUND COMPLEX OVERSEEN BY BOARD MEMBER	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION(S) INCLUDING OTHE DIRECTORSHIPS DURING PAST 5
BOAI	RD MEMBERS WHO ARE NOT INTE	RESTED PERSONS OF THE	FUNDS:		
	DAVID J. KUNDERT 10/28/42 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Board member	2005 CLASS II	174	Director, Nort Management Com Chairman, JPMo President and Advisors Corpo Group Mutual F

					Vice President Chairman and C Management Gro College; membe Association; m Friends of Boe of Board of Di Theater.
[]	WILLIAM J. SCHNEIDER 9/24/44 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Board member	1997 ANNUAL	176	Chairman of Mi a real estate Senior Partner (retired, 2004 formerly, Vice Realty; Board Committee and of Premier Hea not-for-profit Hospital; Vice Philharmonic O Member, Region promotes coope issues; Direct Coalition; for Advisory Board Ohio and Busin Cleveland Fede
[]	JUDITH M. STOCKDALE 12/29/47 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Board member	1997 CLASS I	176	Executive Dire Donnelley Foun thereto, Execu Protection Fun
[]	CAROLE E. STONE 6/28/47 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Board member	2007 CLASS I	176	Director, Chic (since 2006); Association Ov Commissioner, Public Authori formerly Directof the Budget Authorities Co Director, Loca Corporation (2
		81			
OF:	NAME, BIRTHDATE AND ADDRESS FICERS OF THE FUND:	POSITION(S) HELD WITH THE FUNDS	YEAR FIRST ELECTED OR APPOINTED(4)	NUMBER OF PORTFOLIOS IN FUND COMPLEX OVERSEEN BY OFFICER	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION(S) DURING PAST 5
	GIFFORD R. ZIMMERMAN 9/9/56 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Chief Administrative Officer	1988	176	Managing Direc Secretary and formerly, Vice General Counse Managing Direc

Secretary and formerly, Vice Nuveen Asset M (since 2004) a 1994) of Nuvee Secretary of N Company, LLC. and Assistant Investments Ad Managing Direc Assistant Secr Management, In LLC (since 200 Investors, LLC Management, LI Managing Direc Counsel (1998formerly, Vice Corp. and Nuve Corp.(3); Char Executive Vice Products of Nu 1999), prior t Structured Inv Managing Direc Vice President Investments, I Analyst. Managing Direc Vice President Investments, I Vice President Investments, I Vice President

[]	WILLIAMS ADAMS IV 6/9/55 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President	2007	119
[]	9/22/63	Vice President	2004	176
[]	CEDRIC H. ANTOSIEWICZ 1/11/62 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President	2007	119
[]	MICHAEL T. ATKINSON 2/3/66 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President and Assistant Secretary	2000	176
[]	PETER H. D'ARRIGO 11/28/67 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President	1999	176

Investments, I Inc. (since 19 Treasurer of N 2002) and of NInc. (since 20 NWQ Investment (since 2002); of Nuveen Ritt (since 2003); Management LLC Barbara Asset Assistant Trea Investors, LLC President and Nuveen Advisor Institutional

[] LORNA C. FERGUSON 10/24/45

Managing Direc

Financial Anal

	333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President	1998	176	Vice President Managing Direct President (199 Corp. and Nuve Corp.(3); Mana Nuveen Asset M
		82			
OF:	NAME, BIRTHDATE AND ADDRESS FICERS OF THE FUND:	POSITION(S) HELD WITH THE FUNDS	YEAR FIRST ELECTED OR APPOINTED(4)	NUMBER OF PORTFOLIOS IN FUND COMPLEX OVERSEEN BY OFFICER	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION(S) DURING PAST 5
[]	WILLIAM M. FITZGERALD 3/2/64 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President	1995	176	Managing Direct Vice President Managing Direct Advisory Corp. Advisory Corp. 2001) of Nuvee President (sin Advisers Inc.;
[]	STEPHEN D. FOY 5/31/54 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President and Controller	1998	176	Vice President Controller (si Investments, I and Funds Cont Investments, I Accountant.
[]	WALTER M. KELLY 2/24/70 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Chief Compliance Officer and Vice President	2003	176	Assistant Vice Secretary of t Vice President Assistant Vice General Counse Investments, I (2001-2003) at Price, Kaufman
[]	DAVID J. LAMB 3/22/63 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President	2000	176	Vice President Investments, I
[]	TINA M. LAZAR 8/27/61 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President	2002	176	Vice President (since 1999).
[]	LARRY W. MARTIN 7/27/51 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President and Assistant Secretary	1988	176	Vice President Assistant Gene Investments, I and Assistant Corp. and Nuve Corp.(3); Vice Assistant Secr

Inc.; Vice Pre
Assistant Secr
Asset Manageme
2000), Assista
General Counse
Asset Manageme
Assistant Secr
Advisers Inc.
Secretary of N
Company, LLC (
Management LLC
Global Investo
Asset Manageme

Vice President Counsel, Nuvee 2007); Vice Pr LLC (since 200 Assistant Secr and Rittenhous (since 2007); Boyd & Lloyd I

176

Managing Direct Vice President Investments, I Analyst.

- [] KEVIN J. MCCARTHY

 3/26/66 Vice President

 333 W. Wacker Drive and Secretary 2007

 Chicago, IL 60606
- [] JOHN V. MILLER 4/10/67 333 W. Wacker Dr

333 W. Wacker Drive Vice President 2007 176 Chicago, IL 60606

- (1) Mr. Schwertfeger is an "interested person" of the Funds, as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940, because he is an officer and board member of the Adviser.
- (2) Board Members serve three year terms, except for two board members who are elected by the holders of Preferred Shares. The Board of Trustees is divided into three classes, Class I, Class II, and Class III, with each being elected to serve until the third succeeding annual shareholders' meeting subsequent to its election or thereafter in each case when its respective successors are duly elected or appointed, except two board members are elected by the holders of Preferred Shares to serve until the next annual shareholders' meeting subsequent to its election or thereafter in each case when its respective successors are duly elected or appointed. The first year elected or appointed represents the year in which the board member was first elected or appointed to any fund in the Nuveen Complex.
- (3) Nuveen Advisory Corp. and Nuveen Institutional Advisory Corp. were reorganized into Nuveen Asset Management, effective January 1, 2005.
- (4) Officers serve one year terms through July of each year. The year first elected or appointed represents the year in which the Officer was first elected or appointed to any fund in the Nuveen Complex.

83

Annual Investment
Management Agreement
Approval PROCESS

The Board Members are responsible for overseeing the performance of the investment adviser to the Funds and determining whether to continue the advisory arrangements. At the annual review meeting held on May 21, 2007 (the "May Meeting"), the Board Members of the Funds, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously approved the continuance of the Investment Management

Agreement between each Fund (a "Fund") and Nuveen Asset Management ("NAM"). The foregoing Investment Management Agreements with NAM are hereafter referred to as "Original Investment Management Agreements."

Subsequent to the May Meeting, Nuveen Investments, Inc. ("Nuveen"), the parent company of NAM, entered into a merger agreement providing for the acquisition of Nuveen by Windy City Investments, Inc., a corporation formed by investors led by Madison Dearborn Partners, LLC ("MDP"), a private equity investment firm (the "Transaction"). Each Original Investment Management Agreement, as required by Section 15 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "1940 Act") provides for its automatic termination in the event of its "assignment" (as defined in the 1940 Act). Any change in control of the adviser is deemed to be an assignment. The consummation of the Transaction will result in a change of control of NAM as well as its affiliated sub-advisers and therefore cause the automatic termination of each Original Investment Management Agreement, as required by the 1940 Act. Accordingly, in anticipation of the Transaction, at a meeting held on July 31, 2007 (the "July Meeting"), the Board Members, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously approved new Investment Management Agreements (the "New Investment Management Agreements") with NAM on behalf of each Fund to take effect immediately after the Transaction or shareholder approval of the new advisory contracts, whichever is later. The 1940 Act also requires that each New Investment Management Agreement be approved by the respective Fund's shareholders in order for it to become effective. Accordingly, to ensure continuity of advisory services, the Board Members, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously approved Interim Investment Management Agreements to take effect upon the closing of the Transaction if shareholders have not yet approved the New Investment Management Agreements.

Because the information provided and considerations made at the annual review continue to be relevant with respect to the evaluation of the New Investment Management Agreements, the Board considered the foregoing as part of its deliberations of the New Investment Management Agreements. Accordingly, as indicated, the discussions immediately below outline the materials and information presented to the Board in connection with the Board's prior annual review and the analysis undertaken and the conclusions reached by Board Members when determining to continue the Original Investment Management Agreements.

I. APPROVAL OF THE ORIGINAL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AGREEMENTS

During the course of the year, the Board received a wide variety of materials relating to the services provided by NAM and the performance of the Funds. At each of its quarterly meetings, the Board reviewed investment performance and various matters relating to the operations of the Funds and other Nuveen funds, including the compliance program, shareholder services, valuation, custody, distribution and other information relating to the nature, extent and quality of services provided by NAM. Between the regularly scheduled quarterly meetings, the Board Members received information on particular matters as the need arose.

In preparation for their considerations at the May Meeting, the Independent Board Members received extensive materials, well in advance of the meeting, which outlined or are related to, among other things:

- [] the nature, extent and quality of services provided by NAM;
- [] the organization and business operations of NAM, including the responsibilities of various departments an key personnel;

- [] each Fund's past performance as well as the Fund's performance compared to funds with similar investment objectives based on data and information provided by an independent third party and to customized benchmarks;
- the profitability of Nuveen and certain industry profitability analyses for unaffiliated advisers;
- [] the expenses of Nuveen in providing the various services;
- [] the advisory fees and total expense ratios of each Fund, including comparisons of such fees and expenses with those of comparable, unaffiliated funds based on information and data provided by an independent third party (the "Peer Universe") as well as compared to a subset of funds within the Peer Universe (the "Peer Group") of the respective Fund (as applicable);
- [] the advisory fees NAM assesses to other types of investment products or clients;
- [] the soft dollar practices of NAM, if any; and
- [] from independent legal counsel, a legal memorandum describing among other things, applicable laws, regulations and duties in reviewing and approving advisory contracts.

At the May Meeting, NAM made a presentation to, and responded to questions from, the Board. Prior to and after the presentations and reviewing the written materials, the Independent Board Members met privately with their legal counsel to review the Boardduties in reviewing advisory contracts and considering the renewal of the advisory contracts. The Independent Board Members, in consultation with independent counsel, reviewed the factors set out in judicial decisions and Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") directives relating to the renewal of advisory contracts. As outlined in more detail below, the Board Members considered all factors they believed relevant with respect to each Fund, including, but not limited to, the following: (a) the nature, extent and quality of the services to be provided by NAM; (b) the investment performance of the Fund and NAM; (c) the costs of the services to be provided and profits to be realized by Nuveen and its affiliates; (d) the extent to which economies of scale would be realized; and (e) whether fee levels reflect those economies of scale for the benefit of the Fund's investors. In addition, as noted, the Board Members met regularly throughout the year to oversee the Funds. In evaluating the Original Investment Management Agreements, the Board Members also relied upon their knowledge of NAM, its services and the Funds resulting from their meetings and other interactions throughout the year. It is with this background that the Board Members considered each Original Investment Management Agreement.

A. NATURE, EXTENT AND QUALITY OF SERVICES

In considering the renewal of the Original Investment Management Agreements, the Board Members considered the nature, extent and quality of NAM's services. The Board Members reviewed materials outlining, among other things, Nuveen's organization and business; the types of services that NAM or its affiliates provide and are expected to provide to the Funds; the performance record of the applicable Fund (as described in further detail below); and, any initiatives Nuveen had taken for the municipal fund product line. As noted, at the annual review, the Board Members were already familiar with the organization, operations and personnel of NAM due to the Board Members' experience in governing the respective Funds and working with NAM on matters relating to the Funds. With respect to personnel, the Board Members recognized NAM's investment in additional qualified personnel throughout the various groups in the organization and recommended to NAM that it continue to review staffing needs as necessary. In addition, the Board Members reviewed materials describing the

current status and, in particular, the developments in 2006 with respect to NAM's investment process, investment strategies (including additional tools used in executing such strategies), personnel (including portfolio management and research teams), trading process, hedging activities, risk management operations (e.g., reviewing credit quality, duration limits, and derivatives use, as applicable), and investment operations (such as enhancements to trading procedures, pricing procedures, and client services). The Board Members recognized NAM's investment of resources and efforts to continue to enhance and refine its investment process.

In addition to advisory services, the Independent Board Members considered the quality of administrative and non-advisory services provided by NAM and noted that NAM and its affiliates provide the Funds with a wide variety of services and officers and other personnel as are necessary for the operations of the Funds, including:

85

ANNUAL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT APPROVAL PROCESS (continued)

- [] product management;
- [] fund administration;
- oversight by shareholder services and other fund service providers;
- [] administration of Board relations;
- [] regulatory and portfolio compliance; and
- [] legal support.

As the Funds operate in a highly regulated industry and given the importance of compliance, the Board Members considered, in particular, Nuveen's compliance activities for the Funds and enhancements thereto. In this regard, the Board Members recognized the quality of Nuveen's compliance team. The Board Members further noted Nuveen's negotiations with other service providers and the corresponding reduction in certain service providers' fees at the May Meeting.

In addition to the foregoing services, the Board Members also noted the additional services that NAM or its affiliates provide to Nuveen's closed-end funds, including, in particular, its secondary market support activities. The Board Members recognized Nuveen's continued commitment to supporting the secondary market for the common shares of its closed-end funds through a variety of programs designed to raise investor and analyst awareness and understanding of closed-end funds. These efforts include:

- [] maintaining shareholder communications;
- [] providing advertising for the Nuveen closed-end funds;
- [] maintaining its closed-end fund website;
- [] maintaining continual contact with financial advisers;
- [] providing educational symposia;

- [] conducting research with investors and financial analysis regarding closed-end funds; and
- [] evaluating secondary market performance.

With respect to the Nuveen closed-end funds that utilize leverage through the issuance of preferred shares ("Preferred Shares"), the Board Members noted Nuveen's continued support for the holders of Preferred Shares by, among other things:

- [] maintaining an in-house trading desk;
- [] maintaining a product manager for the Preferred Shares;
- [] developing distribution for Preferred Shares with new market participants;
- [] maintaining an orderly auction process;
- [] managing leverage and risk management of leverage; and
- [] maintaining systems necessary to test compliance with rating agency criteria.

Based on their review, the Board Members found that, overall, the nature, extent and quality of services provided (and expected to be provided) to the respective Funds under the Original Investment Management Agreements were satisfactory.

B. THE INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE OF THE FUNDS AND NAM

At the May Meeting, the Board considered the investment performance for each Fund, including the Fund's historic performance as well as its performance compared to funds with similar investment objectives (the "Performance Peer Group") based on data provided by an independent third party (as described below). The Board Members also reviewed the respective Fund's portfolio level performance (which does not reflect fund level fees and expenses, and leverage) against customized benchmarks, described in further detail below.

86

In evaluating the performance information during the annual review at the May Meeting, in certain instances, the Board Members noted that the closest Performance Peer Group for a fund may not adequately reflect such fund's investment objectives and strategies, thereby limiting the usefulness of the comparisons of such fund's performance with that of the Performance Peer Group.

With respect to state-specific municipal funds, the Board Members also recognized that certain funds do not have a corresponding state-specific Performance Peer Group in which case their performance is measured against a more general municipal category for various states. With respect to municipal closed-end funds, funds that do not have corresponding state-specific Performance Peer Groups are from states other than New York, California, Florida, New Jersey, Michigan and Pennsylvania. However, with respect to funds based in Florida, New Jersey, Michigan and Pennsylvania, the peer group may be so small or the Nuveen funds may dominate the category to such an extent that performance information for such funds was also compared to the more general category for all states (other than New York and California).

The Board Members reviewed performance information including, among other things, total return information compared with the Fund's Performance Peer Group

for the one-, three- and five-year periods (as applicable) ending December 31, 2006. The Board Members also reviewed the Fund's portfolio level performance (which does not reflect fund level fees and expenses, and leverage) compared to customized portfolio-level benchmarks for the one- and three-year periods ending December 31, 2006 (as applicable). The analysis was used to assess the efficacy of investment decisions against appropriate measures of risk and total return, within specific market segments. This information supplemented the Fund performance information provided to the Board at each of its quarterly meetings. Based on their review, the Board Members determined that each Fund's investment performance over time had been satisfactory, subject to the following. With respect to various municipal closed-end funds, the Board Members noted relative total return underperformance in recent years compared to peers. The Board Members reviewed materials and discussed with NAM the factors contributing to the shift in performance including, among other things, the degree of risk undertaken by peers compared to the municipal closed-end funds (such as through the increased use of leverage or taking concentrated positions in high risk credits). In addition, the Board Members also considered a fund's dividend performance and the extent of any secondary market discounts. The Board Members noted NAM's efforts to evaluate the factors affecting performance and determine whether modification to a fund's investment strategy is necessary or appropriate, and concluded that they were satisfied with the steps being taken.

C. FEES, EXPENSES AND PROFITABILITY

1. FEES AND EXPENSES

During the annual review, in evaluating the management fees and expenses of a Fund, the Board reviewed, among other things, the Fund's advisory fees (net and gross management fees) and total expense ratios (before and after expense reimbursements and/or waivers) in absolute terms as well as comparisons to the gross management fees (before waivers), net management fees (after waivers) and total expense ratios (before and after waivers) of comparable funds in the Peer Universe and the Peer Group. In reviewing the fee schedule for a Fund, the Board Members considered the fund-level and complex-wide breakpoint schedules (described in further detail below) and any fee waivers and reimbursements provided by Nuveen (applicable, in particular, for certain funds launched since 1999). The Board Members further reviewed data regarding the construction of Peer Groups as well as the methods of measurement for the fee and expense analysis and the performance analysis. In certain cases, due to the small number of peers in the Peer Universe, the Peer Universe and Peer Group had significant overlap or even consisted entirely of the same unaffiliated funds. In reviewing the comparisons of fee and expense information, the Board Members recognized that in certain cases, the fund size relative to peers, the small size and odd composition of the Peer Group (including differences in objectives and strategies), expense anomalies, timing of information used or other factors impacting the comparisons thereby limited some of the usefulness of the comparative data. The Board Members also considered the differences in the use of leverage. Based on their review of the fee and expense information provided, the Board Members determined that each Fund's net total expense ratio was within an acceptable range compared to peers.

87

ANNUAL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT APPROVAL PROCESS (continued)

2. COMPARISONS WITH THE FEES OF OTHER CLIENTS

At the annual review, the Board Members further reviewed data comparing the advisory fees of NAM with fees NAM charges to other clients. With respect to municipal funds, such clients include NAM's municipal separately managed accounts. In general, the advisory fees charged for separate accounts are somewhat lower than the advisory fees assessed to the Funds. The Board Members considered the differences in the product types, including, but not limited to, the services provided, the structure and operations, product distribution and costs thereof, portfolio investment policies, investor profiles, account sizes and regulatory requirements. The Board Members noted, in particular, that the range of services provided to the Funds (as discussed above) is much more extensive than that provided to separately managed accounts. As described in further detail above, such additional services include, but are not limited to: product management, fund administration, oversight of third party service providers, administration of Board relations, and legal support. The Board Members noted that the Funds operate in a highly regulated industry requiring extensive compliance functions compared to other investment products. Given the inherent differences in the products, particularly the extensive services provided to the Funds, the Board Members believe such facts justify the different levels of fees.

3. PROFITABILITY OF NUVEEN

In conjunction with its review of fees, the Board Members also considered the profitability of Nuveen for its advisory activities (which incorporated Nuveen's wholly-owned affiliated sub-advisers) and its financial condition. At the annual review, the Board Members reviewed the revenues and expenses of Nuveen's advisory activities for the last three years, the allocation methodology used in preparing the profitability data as well as the 2006 Annual Report for Nuveen. The Board Members noted this information supplemented the profitability information requested and received during the year to help keep them apprised of developments affecting profitability (such as changes in fee waivers and expense reimbursement commitments). In this regard, the Board Members noted the enhanced dialogue and information regarding profitability with NAM during the year, including more frequent meetings and updates from Nuveen's corporate finance group. The Board Members also reviewed data comparing Nuveen's profitability with other fund sponsors prepared by three independent third party service providers as well as comparisons of the revenues, expenses and profit margins of various unaffiliated management firms with similar amounts of assets under management prepared by Nuveen.

In reviewing profitability, the Board Members recognized the subjective nature of determining profitability which may be affected by numerous factors, including the allocation of expenses. Further, the Board Members recognized the difficulties in making comparisons as the profitability of other advisers generally is not publicly available and the profitability information that is available for certain advisers or management firms may not be representative of the industry and may be affected by, among other things, the adviser's particular business mix, capital costs, types of funds managed and expense allocations.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Board Members reviewed Nuveen's methodology and assumptions for allocating expenses across product lines to determine profitability. Last year, the Board Members also designated an Independent Board Member as a point person for the Board to review the methodology determinations during the year and any refinements thereto, which relevant information produced from such process was reported to the full Board. In reviewing profitability, the Board Members recognized Nuveen's increased investment in its fund business. Based on its review, the Board Members concluded that Nuveen's level of profitability for its advisory activities was reasonable in light of the

services provided.

In evaluating the reasonableness of the compensation, the Board Members also considered other amounts paid to NAM by the Funds as well as any indirect benefits (such as soft dollar arrangements, if any) NAM and its affiliates receive, or are expected to receive, that are directly attributable to the management of the Funds, if any. See Section E below for additional information on indirect benefits NAM may receive as a result of its relationship with the Funds. Based on their review of the overall fee arrangements of each Fund, the Board Members determined that the advisory fees and expenses of the Funds were reasonable.

88

D. ECONOMIES OF SCALE AND WHETHER FEE LEVELS REFLECT THESE ECONOMIES OF SCALE

With respect to economies of scale, the Board Members recognized the potential benefits resulting from the costs of a Fund being spread over a larger asset base. To help ensure the shareholders share in these benefits, the Board Members reviewed and considered the breakpoints in the advisory fee schedules that reduce advisory fees. In addition to advisory fee breakpoints, the Board also approved a complex-wide fee arrangement in 2004. Pursuant to the complex-wide fee arrangement, the fees of the funds in the Nuveen complex, including the Funds, are reduced as the assets in the fund complex reach certain levels. In evaluating the complex-wide fee arrangement, the Board Members noted that the last complex-wide asset level breakpoint for the complex-wide fee schedule was at \$91 billion and that the Board Members anticipated further review and/or negotiations prior to the assets of the Nuveen complex reaching such threshold. Based on their review, the Board Members concluded that the breakpoint schedule and complex-wide fee arrangement were acceptable and desirable in providing benefits from economies of scale to shareholders, subject to further evaluation of the complex-wide fee schedule as assets in the complex increase. See Section II, Paragraph D - "Approval of the New Investment Management Agreements -Economies of Scale and Whether Fee Levels Reflect These Economies of Scale" for information regarding subsequent modifications to the complex-wide fee.

E. INDIRECT BENEFITS

In evaluating fees, the Board Members also considered any indirect benefits or profits NAM or its affiliates may receive as a result of its relationship with each Fund. With respect to closed-end funds, the Board Members considered the revenues received by affiliates of NAM for serving as agent at Nuveen's preferred trading desk and for serving as a co-manager in the initial public offering of new closed-end exchange traded funds.

In addition to the above, the Board Members considered whether NAM received any benefits from soft dollar arrangements whereby a portion of the commissions paid by a Fund for brokerage may be used to acquire research that may be useful to NAM in managing the assets of the Funds and other clients. With respect to NAM, the Board Members noted that NAM does not currently have any soft dollar arrangements; however, to the extent certain bona fide agency transactions that occur on markets that traditionally trade on a principal basis and riskless principal transactions are considered as generating "commissions," NAM intends to comply with the applicable safe harbor provisions.

Based on their review, the Board Members concluded that any indirect benefits received by NAM as a result of its relationship with the Funds were reasonable and within acceptable parameters.

F. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

The Board Members did not identify any single factor discussed previously as all-important or controlling in their considerations to continue an advisory contract. The Board Members, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously concluded that the terms of the Original Investment Management Agreements are fair and reasonable, that NAM's fees are reasonable in light of the services provided to each Fund and that the renewal of the Original Investment Management Agreements be approved.

II. APPROVAL OF THE NEW INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AGREEMENTS

Following the May Meeting, the Board Members were advised of the potential Transaction. As noted above, the completion of the Transaction would terminate each of the Original Investment Management Agreements. Accordingly, at the July Meeting, the Board of each Fund, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously approved the New Investment Management Agreements on behalf of the respective Funds. Leading up to the July Meeting, the Board Members had several meetings and deliberations with and without Nuveen management present, and with the advice of legal counsel, regarding the proposed Transaction as outlined below.

On June 8, 2007, the Board Members held a special telephonic meeting to discuss the proposed Transaction. At that meeting, the Board Members established a special ad hoc committee comprised solely of Independent Board Members to focus on the Transaction and to keep the Independent Board Members updated with developments regarding the Transaction. On June 15, 2007, the ad hoc committee discussed with representatives of NAM the Transaction and modifications to the complex-wide fee schedule that

89

ANNUAL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT APPROVAL PROCESS (continued)

would generate additional fee savings at specified levels of complex-wide asset growth. Following the foregoing meetings and several subsequent telephonic conferences among Independent Board Members and independent counsel, and between Independent Board Members and representatives of Nuveen, the Board met on June 18, 2007 to further discuss the proposed Transaction. Immediately prior to and then again during the June 18, 2007 meeting, the Independent Board Members met privately with their independent legal counsel. At that meeting, the Board met with representatives of MDP, of Goldman Sachs, Nuveen's financial adviser in the Transaction, and of the Nuveen Board to discuss, among other things, the history and structure of MDP, the terms of the proposed Transaction (including the financing terms), and MDP's general plans and intentions with respect to Nuveen (including with respect to management, employees, and future growth prospects). On July 9, 2007, the Board also met to be updated on the Transaction as part of a special telephonic Board meeting. The Board Members were further updated at a special in-person Board meeting held on July 19, 2007 (one Independent Board Member participated telephonically). Subsequently, on July 27, 2007, the ad hoc committee held a telephonic conference with representatives of Nuveen and MDP to further discuss, among other things, the Transaction, the financing of the Transaction, retention and incentive plans for key employees, the effect of regulatory restrictions on transactions with affiliates after the Transaction, and current volatile market conditions and their impact on the Transaction.

In connection with their review of the New Investment Management Agreements, the

Independent Board Members, through their independent legal counsel, also requested in writing and received additional information regarding the proposed Transaction and its impact on the provision of services by NAM and its affiliates.

The Independent Board Members received, well in advance of the July Meeting, materials which outlined, among other things:

- [] the structure and terms of the Transaction, including MDP's co-investor entities and their expected ownership interests, and the financing arrangements that will exist for Nuveen following the closing of the Transaction;
- [] the strategic plan for Nuveen following the Transaction;
- [] the governance structure for Nuveen following the Transaction;
- [] any anticipated changes in the operations of the Nuveen funds following the Transaction, including changes to NAM's and Nuveen's day-to-day management, infrastructure and ability to provide advisory, distribution or other applicable services to the Funds;
- [] any changes to senior management or key personnel who work on Fund related matters (including portfolio management, investment oversight, and legal/compliance) and any retention or incentive arrangements for such persons;
- [] any anticipated effect on each Fund's expense ratio (including advisory fees) following the Transaction;
- [] any benefits or undue burdens imposed on the Funds as a result of the Transaction;
- [] any legal issues for the Funds as a result of the Transaction;
- [] the nature, quality and extent of services expected to be provided to the Funds following the Transaction, changes to any existing services and policies affecting the Funds, and cost-cutting efforts, if any, that may impact such services or policies;
- [] any conflicts of interest that may arise for Nuveen or MDP with respect to the Funds;
- [] the costs associated with obtaining necessary shareholder approvals and who would bear those costs; and
- [] from legal counsel, a memorandum describing the applicable laws, regulations and duties in approving advisory contracts, including, in particular, with respect to a change of control.

Immediately preceding the July Meeting, representatives of MDP met with the Board to further respond to questions regarding the Transaction. After the meeting with MDP, the Independent Board Members met with

90

independent legal counsel in executive session. At the July Meeting, Nuveen also made a presentation and responded to questions. Following the presentations and discussions of the materials presented to the Board, the Independent Board

Members met again in executive session with their counsel. As outlined in more detail below, the Independent Board Members considered all factors they believed relevant with respect to each Fund, including the impact that the Transaction could be expected to have on the following: (a) the nature, extent and quality of services to be provided; (b) the investment performance of the Funds; (c) the costs of the services and profits to be realized by Nuveen and its affiliates; (d) the extent to which economies of scale would be realized; and (e) whether fee levels reflect those economies of scale for the benefit of investors. As noted above, the Board Members had completed their annual review of the respective Original Investment Management Agreements at the May Meeting and many of the factors considered at the annual review were applicable to their evaluation of the New Investment Management Agreements. Accordingly, in evaluating the New Investment Management Agreements, the Board Members relied upon their knowledge and experience with NAM and considered the information received and their evaluations and conclusions drawn at the annual review. While the Board reviewed many Nuveen funds at the July Meeting, the Independent Board Members evaluated all information available to them on a fund-by-fund basis, and their determinations were made separately in respect of each Fund.

A. NATURE, EXTENT AND QUALITY OF SERVICES

In evaluating the nature, quality and extent of the services expected to be provided by NAM under the New Investment Management Agreements, the Independent Board Members considered, among other things, the expected impact, if any, of the Transaction on the operations, facilities, organization and personnel of NAM; the potential implications of regulatory restrictions on the Funds following the Transaction; the ability of NAM and its affiliates to perform their duties after the Transaction; and any anticipated changes to the current investment and other practices of the Funds.

The Board noted that the terms of each New Investment Management Agreement, including the fees payable thereunder, are substantially identical to those of the Original Investment Management Agreement relating to the same Fund (with both reflecting reductions to fee levels in the complex-wide fee schedule for complex-wide assets in excess of \$80 billion that have an effective date of August 20, 2007). The Board considered that the services to be provided and the standard of care under the New Investment Management Agreements are the same as the Original Investment Management Agreements. The Board Members further noted that key personnel who have responsibility for the Funds in each area, including portfolio management, investment oversight, fund management, fund operations, product management, legal/compliance and board support functions, are expected to be the same following the Transaction. The Board Members considered and are familiar with the qualifications, skills and experience of such personnel. The Board also considered certain information regarding anticipated retention or incentive plans designed to retain key personnel. Further, the Board Members noted that no changes to Nuveen's infrastructure or operations as a result of the Transaction were anticipated other than potential enhancements as a result of an expected increase in the level of investment in such infrastructure and personnel. The Board noted MDP's representations that it does not plan to have a direct role in the management of Nuveen, appointing new management personnel, or directly impacting individual staffing decisions. The Board Members also noted that there were not any planned "cost cutting" measures that could be expected to reduce the nature, extent or quality of services. After consideration of the foregoing, the Board Members concluded that no diminution in the nature, quality and extent of services provided to the Funds and their shareholders is expected.

In addition to the above, the Board Members considered potential changes in the operations of each Fund. In this regard, the Board Members considered the potential effect of regulatory restrictions on the Funds' transactions with future affiliated persons. During their deliberations, it was noted that, after the Transaction, a subsidiary of Merrill Lynch is expected to have an ownership interest in Nuveen at a level that will make Merrill Lynch an affiliated person

of Nuveen. The Board Members recognized that applicable law would generally prohibit the Funds from engaging in securities transactions with Merrill Lynch as principal, and would also impose restrictions on using Merrill Lynch for agency transactions. They recognized that having MDP and Merrill Lynch as affiliates may restrict the Nuveen funds' ability to invest in securities of issuers controlled by MDP or issued by Merrill Lynch and its affiliates even if not bought directly from MDP or Merrill Lynch as principal. They also recognized that various regulations may require the Nuveen funds to apply

91

ANNUAL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT APPROVAL PROCESS (continued)

investment limitations on a combined basis with affiliates of Merrill Lynch. The Board Members considered information provided by NAM regarding the potential impact on the Nuveen funds' operations as a result of these regulatory restrictions. The Board Members considered, in particular, the Nuveen funds that may be impacted most by the restricted access to Merrill Lynch, including: municipal funds (particularly certain state-specific funds), senior loan funds, taxable fixed income funds, preferred security funds and funds that heavily use derivatives. The Board Members considered such funds' historic use of Merrill Lynch as principal in their transactions and information provided by NAM regarding the expected impact resulting from Merrill Lynch's affiliation with Nuveen and available measures that could be taken to minimize such impact. NAM informed the Board Members that, although difficult to determine with certainty, its management did not believe that MDP's or Merrill Lynch's status as an affiliate of Nuveen would have a material adverse effect on any Nuveen fund's ability to pursue its investment objectives and policies.

In addition to the regulatory restrictions considered by the Board, the Board Members also considered potential conflicts of interest that could arise between the Nuveen funds and various parties to the Transaction and discussed possible ways of addressing such conflicts.

Based on its review along with its considerations regarding services at the annual review, the Board concluded that the Transaction was not expected to adversely affect the nature, quality or extent of services provided by NAM and that the expected nature, quality and extent of such services supported approval of the New Investment Management Agreements.

B. PERFORMANCE OF THE FUNDS

With respect to the performance of the Funds, the Board considered that the portfolio management personnel responsible for the management of the Funds' portfolios were expected to continue to manage the portfolios following the completion of the Transaction.

In addition, the Board Members recently reviewed Fund performance at the May Meeting, as described above, and determined that Fund performance was satisfactory or better, subject to the following. With respect to certain municipal closed-end funds with relative short-term underperformance, the Board Members concluded NAM was taking steps to evaluate the factors affecting performance and those steps would continue following the Transaction. Further, the investment policies and strategies were not expected to change as a result of the Transaction.

In light of the foregoing factors, along with the prior findings regarding performance at the annual review, the Board concluded that its findings with respect to performance supported approval of the New Investment Management Agreements.

C. FEES, EXPENSES AND PROFITABILITY

As described in more detail above, during the annual review, the Board Members considered, among other things, the management fees and expenses of the Funds, the breakpoint schedules, and comparisons of such fees and expenses with peers. At the annual review, the Board Members determined that the respective Fund's advisory fees and expenses were reasonable. In evaluating the costs of services to be provided by NAM under the New Investment Management Agreements and the profitability of Nuveen for its advisory activities, the Board Members considered their prior conclusions at the annual review and whether the management fees or other expenses would change as a result of the Transaction. As described above, the investment management fee is composed of two components -- a fund-level component and complex-wide level component. The fee schedule under the New Investment Management Agreements to be paid to NAM is identical to that under the Original Investment Management Agreements, including the modified complex-wide fee schedule. As noted above, the Board recently approved a modified complex-wide fee schedule that would generate additional fee savings on complex-wide assets above \$80 billion. The modifications have an effective date of August 20, 2007 and are part of the Original Investment Management Agreements. Accordingly, the terms of the complex-wide component under the New Investment Management Agreements are the same as under the Original Investment Management Agreements. The Board Members also noted that Nuveen has committed for a period of two years from the date of closing of the Transaction that it will not increase gross management fees for any Nuveen fund and

92

will not reduce voluntary expense reimbursement levels for any Nuveen fund from their currently scheduled prospective levels. Based on the information provided, the Board Members did not expect that overall Fund expenses would increase as a result of the Transaction.

In addition, the Board Members considered that additional fund launches were anticipated after the Transaction which would result in an increase in total assets under management in the complex and a corresponding decrease in overall management fees under the complex-wide fee schedule. Taking into consideration the Board's prior evaluation of fees and expenses at the annual renewal, and the modification to the complex-wide fee schedule, the Board determined that the management fees and expenses were reasonable.

While it is difficult to predict with any degree of certainty the impact of the Transaction on Nuveen's profitability, at the recent annual review, the Board Members were satisfied that Nuveen's level of profitability for its advisory activities was reasonable. During the year, the Board Members had noted the enhanced dialogue regarding profitability and the appointment of an Independent Board Member as a point person to review methodology determinations and refinements in calculating profitability. Given their considerations at the annual review and the modifications to the complex-wide fee schedule, the Board Members were satisfied that Nuveen's level of profitability for its advisory activities continues to be reasonable.

D. ECONOMIES OF SCALE AND WHETHER FEE LEVELS REFLECT THESE ECONOMIES OF SCALE

The Board Members have been cognizant of economies of scale and the potential benefits resulting from the costs of a Fund being spread over a larger asset base. To help ensure that shareholders share in the benefits derived from economies of scale, the Board adopted the complex-wide fee arrangement in 2004. At the May Meeting, the Board Members reviewed the complex-wide fee arrangements and noted that additional negotiations may be necessary or appropriate as the assets in the complex approached the \$91 billion threshold. In light of this assessment coupled with the upcoming Transaction, at the June 15, 2007 meeting, the ad hoc committee met with representatives of Nuveen to further discuss modifications to the complex-wide fee schedule that would generate additional savings for shareholders as the assets of the complex grow. The proposed terms for the complex-wide fee schedule are expressed in terms of targeted cumulative savings at specified levels of complex-wide assets, rather than in terms of targeted marginal complex-wide fee rates. Under the modified schedule, the schedule would generate additional fee savings beginning at complex-wide assets of \$80 billion in order to achieve targeted cumulative annual savings at \$91 billion of \$28 million on a complex-wide level (approximately \$0.6 million higher than those generated under the then current schedule) and generate additional fee savings for asset growth above complex-wide assets of \$91 billion in order to achieve targeted annual savings at \$125 billion of assets of approximately \$50 million on a complex-wide level (approximately \$2.2 million higher annually than that generated under the then current schedule). At the July Meeting, the Board approved the modified complex-wide fee schedule for the Original Investment Management Agreements and these same terms will apply to the New Investment Management Agreements. Accordingly, the Board Members believe that the breakpoint schedules and revised complex-wide fee schedule are appropriate and desirable in ensuring that shareholders participate in the benefits derived from economies of scale.

E. INDIRECT BENEFITS

During their recent annual review, the Board Members considered any indirect benefits that NAM may receive as a result of its relationship with the Funds, as described above. As the policies and operations of Nuveen are not anticipated to change significantly after the Transaction, such indirect benefits should remain after the Transaction. The Board Members further considered any additional indirect benefits to be received by NAM or its affiliates after the Transaction. The Board Members noted that other than benefits from its ownership interest in Nuveen and indirect benefits from fee revenues paid by the Funds under the management agreements and other Board-approved relationships, it was currently not expected that MDP or its affiliates would derive any benefit from the Funds as a result of the Transaction or transact any business with or on behalf of the Funds (other than perhaps potential Fund acquisitions, in secondary market transactions, of securities issued by MDP portfolio companies); or that Merrill Lynch or its affiliates would derive any benefits from the Funds as a result of the Transaction (noting that, indeed, Merrill Lynch would stand to experience the discontinuation of principal transaction activity with the Nuveen funds and likely would experience a noticeable reduction in the volume of agency transactions with the Nuveen funds).

93

ANNUAL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT APPROVAL PROCESS (continued)

F. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

In addition to the factors above, the Board Members also considered the

following with respect to the Funds:

- Nuveen would rely on the provisions of Section 15(f) of the 1940 Act. Section 15(f) provides, in substance, that when a sale of a controlling interest in an investment adviser occurs, the investment adviser or any of its affiliated persons may receive any amount or benefit in connection with the sale so long as (i) during the three-year period following the consummation of a transaction, at least 75% of the investment company's board of directors must not be "interested persons" (as defined in the 1940 Act) of the investment adviser or predecessor adviser and (ii) an "unfair burden" (as defined in the 1940 Act, including any interpretations or no-action letters of the SEC) must not be imposed on the investment company as a result of the transaction relating to the sale of such interest, or any express or implied terms, conditions or understanding applicable thereto. In this regard, to help ensure that an unfair burden is not imposed on the Nuveen funds, Nuveen has committed for a period of two years from the date of the closing of the Transaction (i) not to increase gross management fees for any Nuveen fund; (ii) not to reduce voluntary expense reimbursement levels for any Nuveen fund from their currently scheduled prospective levels during that period; (iii) that no Nuveen fund whose portfolio is managed by a Nuveen affiliate shall use Merrill Lynch as a broker with respect to portfolio transactions done on an agency basis, except as may be approved in the future by the Compliance Committee of the Board; and (iv) that NAM shall not cause the Funds and other municipal funds that NAM manages, as a whole, to enter into portfolio transactions with or through the other minority owners of Nuveen, on either a principal or an agency basis, to a significantly greater extent than both what one would expect an investment team to use such firm in the normal course of business, and what NAM has historically done, without prior Board or Compliance Committee approval (excluding the impact of proportionally increasing the use of such other "minority owners" to fill the void necessitated by not being able to use Merrill Lynch).
- [] The Funds would not incur any costs in seeking the necessary shareholder approvals for the New Investment Management Agreements (except for any costs attributed to seeking shareholder approvals of Fund specific matters unrelated to the Transaction, such as approval of Board Members, in which case a portion of such costs will be borne by the applicable Funds).
- [] The reputation, financial strength and resources of MDP.
- [] The long-term investment philosophy of MDP and anticipated plans to grow Nuveen's business to the benefit of the Nuveen funds.
- [] The benefits to the Nuveen funds as a result of the Transaction including:
 (i) as a private company, Nuveen may have more flexibility in making additional investments in its business; (ii) as a private company, Nuveen may be better able to structure compensation packages to attract and retain talented personnel; (iii) as certain of Nuveen's distribution partners are expected to be equity or debt investors in Nuveen, Nuveen may be able to take advantage of new or enhanced distribution arrangements with such partners; and (iv) MDP's experience, capabilities and resources that may help Nuveen identify and acquire investment teams or firms and finance such acquisitions.
- [] The historic premium and discount levels at which the shares of the Nuveen funds have traded at specified dates with particular focus on the premiums and discounts after the announcement of the Transaction, taking into consideration recent volatile market conditions and steps or initiatives considered or undertaken by NAM to address discount levels.

94

G. CONCLUSION

The Board Members did not identify any single factor discussed previously as all-important or controlling. The Board Members, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously concluded that the terms of the New Investment Management Agreements are fair and reasonable, that the fees therein are reasonable in light of the services to be provided to each Fund and that the New Investment Management Agreements should be approved and recommended to shareholders.

III. APPROVAL OF INTERIM CONTRACTS

As noted above, at the July Meeting, the Board Members, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously approved the Interim Investment Management Agreements. If necessary to assure continuity of advisory services, the Interim Investment Management Agreements will take effect upon the closing of the Transaction if shareholders have not yet approved the New Investment Management Agreements. The terms of each Interim Investment Management Agreement are substantially identical to those of the corresponding Original Investment Management Agreement and New Investment Management Agreement, respectively, except for certain term and escrow provisions. In light of the foregoing, the Board Members, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously determined that the scope and quality of services to be provided to the Funds under the respective Interim Investment Management Agreement are at least equivalent to the scope and quality of services provided under the applicable Original Investment Management Agreement.

95

Reinvest Automatically EASILY and CONVENIENTLY

NUVEEN MAKES REINVESTING EASY. A PHONE CALL IS ALL IT TAKES TO SET UP YOUR REINVESTMENT ACCOUNT.

NUVEEN CLOSED-END FUNDS DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT PLAN Your Nuveen Closed-End Fund allows you to conveniently reinvest dividends and/or capital gains distributions in additional Fund shares.

By choosing to reinvest, you'll be able to invest money regularly and automatically, and watch your investment grow through the power of tax-free compounding. Just like dividends or distributions in cash, there may be times when income or capital gains taxes may be payable on dividends or distributions that are reinvested.

It is important to note that an automatic reinvestment plan does not ensure a profit, nor does it protect you against loss in a declining market.

EASY AND CONVENIENT

To make recordkeeping easy and convenient, each month you'll receive a statement showing your total dividends and distributions, the date of investment, the shares acquired and the price per share, and the total number of shares you own.

HOW SHARES ARE PURCHASED

The shares you acquire by reinvesting will either be purchased on the open market or newly issued by the Fund. If the shares are trading at or above net asset value at the time of valuation, the Fund will issue new shares at the greater of the net asset value or 95% of the then-current market price. If the shares are trading at less than net asset value, shares for your account will be purchased on the open market. If the Plan Agent begins purchasing Fund shares on the open market while shares are trading below net asset value, but the Fund's shares subsequently trade at or above their net asset value before the Plan Agent is able to complete its purchases, the Plan Agent may cease open-market purchases and may invest the uninvested portion of the distribution in newly-issued Fund shares at a price equal to the greater of the shares' net asset value or 95% of the shares' market value on the last business day immediately prior to the purchase date. Dividends and distributions received to purchase shares in the open market will normally be invested shortly after the dividend payment date. No interest will be paid on dividends and distributions awaiting reinvestment. Because the market price of the shares may increase before purchases are completed, the average purchase price per share may exceed the market price at the time of valuation, resulting in the acquisition of fewer shares than if the dividend or distribution had been paid in shares issued by the Fund. A pro rata portion of any applicable brokerage commissions on open market purchases will be paid by Plan participants. These commissions usually will be lower than those charged on individual transactions.

96

FLEXIBLE

You may change your distribution option or withdraw from the Plan at any time, should your needs or situation change. Should you withdraw, you can receive a certificate for all whole shares credited to your reinvestment account and cash payment for fractional shares, or cash payment for all reinvestment account shares, less brokerage commissions and a \$2.50 service

You can reinvest whether your shares are registered in your name, or in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee. Ask your investment advisor if his or her firm will participate on your behalf. Participants whose shares are registered in the name of one firm may not be able to transfer the shares to another firm and continue to participate in the Plan.

The Fund reserves the right to amend or terminate the Plan at any time. Although the Fund reserves the right to amend the Plan to include a service charge payable by the participants, there is no direct service charge to participants in the Plan at this time.

CALL TODAY TO START REINVESTING DIVIDENDS AND/OR DISTRIBUTIONS

For more information on the Nuveen Automatic Reinvestment Plan or to enroll in or withdraw from the Plan, speak with your financial advisor or call us at $(800)\ 257-8787$.

Glossary of TERMS USED in this REPORT

- [] AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN: This is a commonly used method to express an investment's performance over a particular, usually multi-year time period. It expresses the return that would have been necessary each year to equal the investment's actual cumulative performance (including change in NAV or market price and reinvested dividends and capital gains distributions, if any) over the time period being considered.
- [] AVERAGE EFFECTIVE MATURITY: The average of the number of years to maturity of the bonds in a Fund's portfolio, computed by weighting each bond's time to maturity (the date the security comes due) by the market value of the security. This figure does not account for the likelihood of prepayments or the exercise of call provisions unless an escrow account has been established to redeem the bond before maturity. The market value weighting for an investment in an inverse floating rate security is the value of the portfolio's residual interest in the inverse floating rate trust, and does not include the value of the floating rate securities issued by the trust.
- INVERSE FLOATERS: Inverse floating rate securities are created by depositing a municipal bond, typically with a fixed interest rate, into a special purpose trust created by a broker-dealer. This trust, in turn, (a) issues floating rate certificates typically paying short-term tax-exempt interest rates to third parties in amounts equal to some fraction of the deposited bond's par amount or market value, and (b) issues an inverse floating rate certificate (sometimes referred to as an "inverse floater") to an investor (such as a Fund) interested in gaining investment exposure to a long-term municipal bond. The income received by the holder of the inverse floater varies inversely with the short-term rate paid to the floating rate certificates' holders, and in most circumstances the holder of the inverse floater bears substantially all of the underlying bond's downside investment risk. The holder of the inverse floater typically also benefits disproportionately from any potential appreciation of the underlying bond's value. Hence, an inverse floater essentially represents an investment in the underlying bond on a leveraged basis.
- [] LEVERAGE-ADJUSTED DURATION: Duration is a measure of the expected period over which a bond's principal and interest will be paid, and consequently is a measure of the sensitivity of a bond's or bond Fund's value to changes when market interest rates change. Generally, the longer a bond's or Fund's duration, the more the price of the bond or Fund will change as interest rates change. Leverage-adjusted duration takes into account the leveraging process for a Fund and therefore is longer than the duration of the Fund's portfolio of bonds.
- [] MARKET YIELD (ALSO KNOWN AS DIVIDEND YIELD OR CURRENT YIELD): An investment's current annualized dividend divided by its current market price.
- [] NET ASSET VALUE (NAV): A Fund's common share NAV per share is calculated by subtracting the liabilities of the Fund (including any MuniPreferred shares issued in order to leverage the Fund) from its total assets and then dividing the remainder by the number of shares outstanding. Fund NAVs are calculated at the end of each business day.
- [] TAXABLE-EQUIVALENT YIELD: The yield necessary from a fully taxable investment to equal, on an after-tax basis, the yield of a municipal bond investment.
- [] ZERO COUPON BOND: A zero coupon bond does not pay a regular interest coupon

to its holders during the life of the bond. Tax-exempt income to the holder of the bond comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the bond at issuance and the par value of the bond at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. The market prices of zero coupon bonds generally are more volatile than the market prices of bonds that pay interest periodically.

98

Other Useful INFORMATION

QUARTERLY PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS AND PROXY VOTING INFORMATION

Each Fund's (i) quarterly portfolio of investments, (ii) information regarding how the Funds voted proxies relating to portfolio securities held during the 12-month period ended June 30, 2007, and (iii) a description of the policies and procedures that the Funds used to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities are available without charge, upon request, by calling Nuveen Investments toll-free at (800) 257-8787 or on Nuveen's website at www.nuveen.com.

You may also obtain this and other Fund information directly from the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). The SEC may charge a copying fee for this information. Visit the SEC on-line at http://www.sec.gov or in person at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington, D.C. Call the SEC at 1-202-942-8090 for room hours and operation. You may also request Fund information by sending an e-mail request to publicinfo@sec.gov or by writing to the SEC's Public References Section at 450 Fifth Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20549.

CEO CERTIFICATION DISCLOSURE

For Funds listed on the New York Stock Exchange, each Fund's Chief Executive Officer has submitted to the Exchange the annual CEO certification as required by Section 303A.12(a) of the NYSE Listed Company Manual.

Each Fund has filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission the certification of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

INVESTMENT POLICY CHANGES

In February 2007, the Board of Directors/Trustees voted to remove investment policy restrictions that limited the territorial bond holdings of these Funds to a maximum of 10 percent of net assets. This change will give the Funds' portfolio managers greater flexibility to achieve its investment objectives.

In May 2007, the Funds' Board of Directors/Trustees voted to permit the Funds' to make loans from Fund assets to certain bond issuers. The amounts of these loans are subject to strict limits. This policy is designed to enhance the Funds' ability to meet their funds' investment objectives by providing for increased portfolio management flexibility, greater diversification potential, and opportunities for increased capital appreciation over time.

BOARD OF TRUSTEES Robert P. Bremner Jack B. Evans

William C. Hunter
David J. Kundert
William J. Schneider
Timothy R. Schwertfeger
Judith M. Stockdale
Carol E. Stone

FUND MANAGER Nuveen Asset Management 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

CUSTODIAN
State Street Bank & Trust Company
Boston, MA

TRANSFER AGENT AND
SHAREHOLDER SERVICES
State Street Bank & Trust Company
Nuveen Funds
P.O. Box 43071
Providence, RI 02940-3071
(800) 257-8787

LEGAL COUNSEL
Chapman and Cutler LLP
Chicago, IL

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM Ernst & Young LLP Chicago, IL

Each Fund intends to repurchase shares of its own common or preferred stock in the future at such times and in such amounts as is deemed advisable. No shares were repurchased during the period covered by this report. Any future repurchases will be reported to shareholders in the next annual or semiannual report.

99

Nuveen Investments:

SERVING INVESTORS FOR GENERATIONS

Since 1898, financial advisors and their clients have relied on Nuveen Investments to provide dependable investment solutions. For the past century, Nuveen Investments has adhered to the belief that the best approach to investing is to apply conservative risk-management principles to help minimize volatility. Building on this tradition, we today offer a range of high quality equity and fixed-income solutions that are integral to a well-diversified core portfolio. Our clients have come to appreciate this diversity, as well as our continued adherence to proven, long-term investing principles.

WE OFFER MANY DIFFERENT INVESTING SOLUTIONS FOR OUR CLIENTS' DIFFERENT NEEDS.

Managing approximately \$172 billion in assets as of June 30, 2007, Nuveen

Investments offers access to a number of different asset classes and investing solutions through a variety of products. Nuveen Investments markets its capabilities under four distinct brands: Nuveen, a leader in fixed-income investments; NWQ, a leader in value-style equities; Rittenhouse, a leader in growth-style equities; and Symphony, a leading institutional manager of market-neutral alternative investment portfolios.

FIND OUT HOW WE CAN HELP YOU REACH YOUR FINANCIAL GOALS.

To learn more about the products and services Nuveen Investments offers, talk to your financial advisor, or call us at (800) 257-8787. Please read the information provided carefully before you invest. Be sure to obtain a prospectus, where applicable. Investors should consider the investment objective and policies, risk considerations, charges and expenses of the Fund carefully before investing. The prospectus contains this and other information relevant to an investment in the Fund. For a prospectus, please contact your securities representative or Nuveen Investments, 333 W. Wacker Dr., Chicago, IL 60606. Please read the prospectus carefully before you invest or send money.

Learn more about Nuveen Funds at: www.nuveen.com/etf

Share prices
Fund details
Daily financial news
Investor education
Interactive planning tools

EAN-B-0707D

ITEM 2. CODE OF ETHICS.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the registrant has adopted a code of ethics that applies to the registrant's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. There were no amendments to or waivers from the Code during the period covered by this report. The registrant has posted the code of ethics on its website at www.nuveen.com/etf. (To view the code, click on the Investor Resources drop down menu box, click on Fund Governance and then click on Code of Conduct.)

ITEM 3. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.

The registrant's Board of Directors or Trustees determined that the registrant has at least one "audit committee financial expert" (as defined in Item 3 of Form N-CSR) serving on its Audit Committee. The registrant's audit committee financial expert is Jack B. Evans, Chairman of the Audit Committee, who is "independent" for purposes of Item 3 of Form N-CSR.

Mr. Evans was formerly President and Chief Operating Officer of SCI Financial Group, Inc., a full service registered broker-dealer and registered investment adviser ("SCI"). As part of his role as President and Chief Operating Officer, Mr. Evans actively supervised the Chief Financial Officer (the "CFO") and actively supervised the CFO's preparation of financial statements and other filings with various regulatory authorities. In such capacity, Mr. Evans was actively involved in the preparation of SCI's financial statements and the resolution of issues raised in connection therewith. Mr. Evans has also served on the audit committee of various reporting companies. At such companies, Mr. Evans was involved in the oversight of audits, audit plans, and the preparation

of financial statements. Mr. Evans also formerly chaired the audit committee of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago.

ITEM 4. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc.

The following tables show the amount of fees that Ernst & Young LLP, the Fund's auditor, billed to the Fund during the Fund's last two full fiscal years. For engagements with Ernst & Young LLP the Audit Committee approved in advance all audit services and non-audit services that Ernst & Young LLP provided to the Fund, except for those non-audit services that were subject to the pre-approval exception under Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X (the "pre-approval exception"). The pre-approval exception for services provided directly to the Fund waives the pre-approval requirement for services other than audit, review or attest services if: (A) the aggregate amount of all such services provided constitutes no more than 5% of the total amount of revenues paid by the Fund to its accountant during the fiscal year in which the services are provided; (B) the Fund did not recognize the services as non-audit services at the time of the engagement; and (C) the services are promptly brought to the Audit Committee's attention, and the Committee (or its delegate) approves the services before the audit is completed.

The Audit Committee has delegated certain pre-approval responsibilities to its Chairman (or, in his absence, any other member of the Audit Committee).

SERVICES THAT THE FUND'S AUDITOR BILLED TO THE FUND

FISCAL YEAR ENDED		AUDIT-RELATED FEES BILLED TO FUND (2)	
July 31, 2007	\$ 13,548	\$ 0	\$ 338
Percentage approved pursuant to pre-approval exception	0%	0%	0%
July 31, 2006	\$ 12 , 895	\$ 0	\$ 400
Percentage approved pursuant to pre-approval exception	0%	0%	0%

- (1) "Audit Fees" are the aggregate fees billed for professional services for the audit of the Fund's annual financial statements and services provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.
- (2) "Audit Related Fees" are the aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of financial statements and are not reported under "Audit Fees".
- (3) "Tax Fees" are the aggregate fees billed for professional services for tax advice, tax compliance, and tax planning.
- (4) "All Other Fees" are the aggregate fees billed for products and services other than "Audit Fees", "Audit Related Fees", and "Tax Fees".

SERVICES THAT THE FUND'S AUDITOR BILLED TO THE ADVISER AND AFFILIATED FUND SERVICE PROVIDERS

The following tables show the amount of fees billed by Ernst & Young LLP to Nuveen Asset Management ("NAM" or the "Adviser"), and any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with NAM ("Control Affiliate") that provides ongoing services to the Fund ("Affiliated Fund Service Provider"), for engagements directly related to the Fund's operations and financial reporting, during the Fund's last two full fiscal years.

The tables also show the percentage of fees subject to the pre-approval exception. The pre-approval exception for services provided to the Adviser and any Affiliated Fund Service Provider (other than audit, review or attest services) waives the pre-approval requirement if: (A) the aggregate amount of all such services provided constitutes no more than 5% of the total amount of revenues paid to Ernst & Young LLP by the Fund, the Adviser and Affiliated Fund Service Providers during the fiscal year in which the services are provided that would have to be pre-approved by the Audit Committee; (B) the Fund did not recognize the services as non-audit services at the time of the engagement; and (C) the services are promptly brought to the Audit Committee's attention, and the Committee (or its delegate) approves the services before the Fund's audit is completed.

FISCAL YEAR ENDED	AUDIT-RELATED FEES BILLED TO ADVISER AND	TAX FEES BILLED TO ADVISER AND	ALL BILLED
		AFFILIATED FUND	AND AFF
	SERVICE PROVIDERS	SERVICE PROVIDERS (1)	SERVIC
July 31, 2007	\$ 0	\$ 0	
Percentage approved pursuant to pre-approval exception	0%	0%	
July 31, 2006	\$ 0	\$ 2,400	
Percentage approved pursuant to pre-approval exception	0%	0%	

(1) The amounts reported for the Fund under the column heading "Tax Fees" represents amounts billed to the Adviser exclusively for the preparation for the Fund's tax return, the cost of which is borne by the Adviser. In the aggregate, for all Nuveen funds for which Ernst & Young LLP serves as independent registered public accounting firm, these fees amounted to \$161,400 in 2006. Beginning with fund fiscal years ending August 31, 2006, Ernst & Young LLP will no longer prepare the fund tax returns.

NON-AUDIT SERVICES

The following table shows the amount of fees that Ernst & Young LLP billed during the Fund's last two full fiscal years for non-audit services. The Audit Committee is required to pre-approve non-audit services that Ernst & Young LLP provides to the Adviser and any Affiliated Fund Services Provider, if the engagement related directly to the Fund's operations and financial reporting

(except for those subject to the de minimis exception described above). The Audit Committee requested and received information from Ernst & Young LLP about any non-audit services that Ernst & Young LLP rendered during the Fund's last fiscal year to the Adviser and any Affiliated Fund Service Provider. The Committee considered this information in evaluating Ernst & Young LLP's independence.

		BILLED TO ADVISER AND AFFILIATED FUND SERVICE	TOTAL N
		PROVIDERS (ENGAGEMENTS	BILLED T
		RELATED DIRECTLY TO THE	AFFILIATE
	TOTAL NON-AUDIT FEE	OPERATIONS AND FINANCIAL	PROVIDER
	BILLED TO FUND	REPORTING OF THE FUND)	ENGAG
July 31, 2007	\$ 3,438	\$ 0	
July 31, 2006	\$ 3,300	\$ 2,400	

TOTAL NON-AUDIT FEES

"Non-Audit Fees billed to Adviser" for both fiscal year ends represent "Tax Fees" billed to Adviser in their respective amounts from the previous table.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures. Generally, the Audit Committee must approve (i) all non-audit services to be performed for the Fund by the Fund's independent accountants and (ii) all audit and non-audit services to be performed by the Fund's independent accountants for the Affiliated Fund Service Providers with respect to operations and financial reporting of the Fund. Regarding tax and research projects conducted by the independent accountants for the Fund and Affiliated Fund Service Providers (with respect to operations and financial reports of the Fund) such engagements will be (i) pre-approved by the Audit Committee if they are expected to be for amounts greater than \$10,000; (ii) reported to the Audit Committee chairman for his verbal approval prior to engagement if they are expected to be for amounts under \$10,000 but greater than \$5,000; and (iii) reported to the Audit Committee at the next Audit Committee meeting if they are expected to be for an amount under \$5,000.

ITEM 5. AUDIT COMMITTEE OF LISTED REGISTRANTS.

The registrant's Board of Directors or Trustees has a separately designated Audit Committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(58)(A)). The members of the audit committee are Robert P. Bremner, Jack B. Evans, William J. Schneider and David J. Kundert. Mr. Eugene S. Sunshine, who also served as a member of the Committee during this reporting period, has resigned from the Board of Directors. His resignation became effective at 1 p.m. on July 31, 2007.

ITEM 6. SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS.

FISCAL YEAR ENDED

See Portfolio of Investments in Item 1.

ITEM 7. DISCLOSURE OF PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

The registrant invests its assets primarily in municipal bonds and cash management securities. On rare occasions the registrant may acquire, directly or through a special purpose vehicle, equity securities of a municipal bond issuer whose bonds the registrant already owns when such bonds have deteriorated or are expected shortly to deteriorate significantly in credit quality. The purpose of acquiring equity securities generally will be to acquire control of the

municipal bond issuer and to seek to prevent the credit deterioration or facilitate the liquidation or other workout of the distressed issuer's credit problem. In the course of exercising control of a distressed municipal issuer, NAM may pursue the registrant's interests in a variety of ways, which may entail negotiating and executing consents, agreements and other arrangements, and otherwise influencing the management of the issuer. NAM does not consider such activities proxy voting for purposes of Rule 206(4)-6 under the 1940 Act, but nevertheless provides reports to the registrant's Board of Trustees on its control activities on a quarterly basis.

In the rare event that a municipal issuer were to issue a proxy or that the registrant were to receive a proxy issued by a cash management security, NAM would either engage an independent third party to determine how the proxy should be voted or vote the proxy with the consent, or based on the instructions, of the registrant's Board of Trustees or its representative. A member of NAM's legal department would oversee the administration of the voting, and ensure that records were maintained in accordance with Rule 206(4)-6, reports were filed with the SEC on Form N-PX, and the results provided to the registrant's Board of Trustees and made available to shareholders as required by applicable rules.

ITEM 8. PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OF CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

THE PORTFOLIO MANAGER

The following individual has primary responsibility for the day-to-day implementation of the registrant's investment strategies:

NAME FUND

Daniel J. Close Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund

Other Accounts Managed. In addition to managing the registrant, the portfolio manager is also primarily responsible for the day-to-day portfolio management of the following accounts:

	TYPE OF ACCOUNT	NUMBER OF	
PORTFOLIO MANAGER	MANAGED	ACCOUNTS	ASSETS*
Daniel J. Close	Registered Investment Company	26	\$4.959 billion
	Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$0
	Other Accounts	2	\$.18 million

* Assets are as of July 31, 2007. None of the assets in these accounts are subject to an advisory fee based on performance.

Compensation. Each portfolio manager's compensation consists of three basic elements--base salary, cash bonus and long-term incentive compensation. The compensation strategy is to annually compare overall compensation, including these three elements, to the market in order to create a compensation structure that is competitive and consistent with similar financial services companies. As discussed below, several factors are considered in determining each portfolio manager's total compensation. In any year these factors may include, among others, the effectiveness of the investment strategies recommended by the portfolio manager's investment team, the investment performance of the accounts managed by the portfolio manager, and the overall performance of Nuveen Investments, Inc. (the parent company of NAM). Although investment performance is a factor in determining the portfolio manager's compensation, it is not necessarily a decisive factor. The portfolio manager's performance is evaluated in part by comparing manager's performance against a specified investment benchmark. This fund-specific benchmark is a customized subset (limited to bonds in each Fund's specific state and with certain maturity parameters) of the S&P/Investortools Municipal Bond index, an index comprised of bonds held by managed municipal bond fund customers of Standard & Poor's Securities Pricing,

Inc. that are priced daily and whose fund holdings aggregate at least \$2\$ million. As of August 31, 2007, the S&P/Investortools Municipal Bond index was comprised of 51,454 securities with an aggregate current market value of \$99\$ billion.

Base salary. Each portfolio manager is paid a base salary that is set at a level determined by NAM in accordance with its overall compensation strategy discussed above. NAM is not under any current contractual obligation to increase a portfolio manager's base salary.

Cash bonus. Each portfolio manager is also eligible to receive an annual cash bonus. The level of this bonus is based upon evaluations and determinations made by each portfolio manager's supervisors, along with reviews submitted by his peers. These reviews and evaluations often take into account a number of factors, including the effectiveness of the investment strategies recommended to the NAM's investment team, the performance of the accounts for which he/she serves as portfolio manager relative to any benchmarks established for those accounts, his/her effectiveness in communicating investment performance to stockholders and their representatives, and his/her contribution to the NAM investment process and to the execution of investment strategies. The cash bonus component is also impacted by the overall performance of Nuveen Investments, Inc. in achieving its business objectives.

Long-term incentive compensation. Each portfolio manager is eligible to receive bonus compensation in the form of equity-based awards issued in securities issued by Nuveen Investments, Inc. The amount of such compensation is dependent upon the same factors articulated for cash bonus awards but also factors in his long-term potential with the firm.

Material Conflicts of Interest. Each portfolio manager's simultaneous management of the registrant and the other accounts noted above may present actual or apparent conflicts of interest with respect to the allocation and aggregation of securities orders placed on behalf of the Registrant and the other account. NAM, however, believes that such potential conflicts are mitigated by the fact that the NAM has adopted several policies that address potential conflicts of interest, including best execution and trade allocation policies that are designed to ensure (1) that portfolio management is seeking the best price for portfolio securities under the circumstances, (2) fair and equitable allocation of investment opportunities among accounts over time and (3) compliance with applicable regulatory requirements. All accounts are to be treated in a non-preferential manner, such that allocations are not based upon account performance, fee structure or preference of the portfolio manager, although the allocation procedures may provide allocation preferences to funds with special characteristics (such as favoring state funds versus national funds for allocations of in-state bonds). In addition, NAM has adopted a Code of Conduct that sets forth policies regarding conflicts of interest.

Beneficial Ownership of Securities. As of the July 31, 2007, the portfolio manager beneficially owned the following dollar range of equity securities issued by the Registrant and other Nuveen Funds managed by NAM's municipal investment team.

DOLLAR
RANGE OF
EQUITY
SECURITIES
BENEFICIALLY
OWNED IN
FUND

NAME OF PORTFOLIO MANAGER

FUND

Daniel J. Close Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund

\$ 0

PORTFOLIO MANAGER BIO:

Daniel J. Close, CFA, Assistant Vice President, Nuveen Asset Management. Mr. Close joined Nuveen Investments in 2000 as a member of Nuveen's product management and development team, where he was responsible for the oversight and development of Nuveen's mutual fund product line. He then served as a research analyst for Nuveen's municipal investing team, covering corporate-backed, energy, transportation and utility credits. Currently, he manages investments for 27 Nuveen-sponsored investment companies. He received his BS in Business from Miami University, and his MBA from Northwestern University's Kellogg School of Management.

ITEM 9. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS.

Not applicable.

ITEM 10. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees to the registrants Board implemented after the registrant last provided disclosure in response to this item.

ITEM 11. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

- (a) The registrant's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, have concluded that the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act") (17 CFR 270.30a-3(c))) are effective, as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of this report that includes the disclosure required by this paragraph, based on their evaluation of the controls and procedures required by Rule 30a-3(b) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-3(b)) and Rules 13a-15(b) or 15d-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") (17 CFR 240.13a-15(b) or 240.15d-15(b)).
- (b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-3(d)) that occurred during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 12. EXHIBITS.

File the exhibits listed below as part of this Form. Letter or number the exhibits in the sequence indicated.

(a) (1) Any code of ethics, or amendment thereto, that is the subject of the disclosure required by Item 2, to the extent that the registrant intends to satisfy the Item 2 requirements through filing of an exhibit: Not applicable because the code is posted on registrant's website at www.nuveen.com/etf and there were no amendments during the period covered by this report. (To view the code, click on the Investor Resources drop down menu box, click on Fund Governance and then Code of Conduct.)

- (a) (2) A separate certification for each principal executive officer and principal financial officer of the registrant as required by Rule 30a-2(a) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2(a)) in the exact form set forth below: Ex-99.CERT Attached hereto.
- (a) (3) Any written solicitation to purchase securities under Rule 23c-1 under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.23c-1) sent or given during the period covered by the report by or on behalf of the registrant to 10 or more persons. Not applicable.
- (b) If the report is filed under Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, provide the certifications required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2(b)); Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13a-14(b) or 240.15d-14(b)), and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350) as an exhibit. A certification furnished pursuant to this paragraph will not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78r), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference. Ex-99.906 CERT attached hereto.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

(Registrant) Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc.

By (Signature and Title) * /s/ Kevin J. McCarthy

Kevin J. McCarthy
Vice President and Secretary

Date: October 5, 2007

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By (Signature and Title) * /s/ Gifford R. Zimmerman

Gifford R. Zimmerman Chief Administrative Officer (principal executive officer)

Date: October 5, 2007

By (Signature and Title) * /s/ Stephen D. Foy

Stephen D. Foy Vice President and Controller (principal financial officer)

Date: October 5, 2007

^{*} Print the name and title of each signing officer under his or her signature.