FOOT LOCKER INC Form DEF 14A April 05, 2012

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

| Securities Exchange Act of 1934 |
|---|
| Filed by the Registrant S |
| Filed by a Party other than the Registrant £ |
| Check the appropriate box: |
| £ Preliminary Proxy Statement |
| £ Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)) |
| S Definitive Proxy Statement |
| £ Definitive Additional Materials |
| £ Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12 |
| FOOT LOCKER, INC. (Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter) |
| (Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant) |
| Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box): |
| S No fee required. |
| £ Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(I)(4) and 0-11. |
| (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies: |
| (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: |
| (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined): |

| (4) | Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction: |
|-------|--|
| (5) | Total fee paid: |
| £ Fee | paid previously with preliminary materials. |
| which | eck box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the or Schedule and the date of its filing. |
| (1) | Amount Previously Paid: |
| (2) | Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: |
| (3) | Filing Party: |
| (4) | Date Filed: |

NOTICE OF 2012 ANNUAL MEETING AND PROXY STATEMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Notice of 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders | i |
| Proxy Statement Summary | ii |
| General Information | 1 |
| Questions and Answers | 1 |
| Proxy Materials | 1 |
| Record Date | 2 |
| Annual Meeting Information | 2 |
| Voting Information | 3 |
| Revoking a Proxy | 4 |
| Beneficial Ownership of the Company s Stock | 5 |
| Directors and Executive Officers | 5 |
| Persons Owning More than Five Percent of the Company s Stock | 6 |
| Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance | 7 |
| Corporate Governance Information | 7 |
| Corporate Governance Guidelines | 7 |
| Policy on Voting for Directors | 7 |
| Director Independence | 8 |
| Committee Rotation | 8 |
| <u>Lead Director</u> | 8 |
| Board Leadership Structure | 8 |
| Executive Sessions of Non-Management Directors | 9 |
| Board Members Attendance at Annual Meetings | 9 |
| Director Orientation and Education | 9 |
| Payment of Directors Fees in Stock | 9 |
| Director Retirement | 9 |
| Change in a Director s Principal Employment | 9 |
| Risk Oversight | 10 |
| Stock Ownership Guidelines | 10 |
| Political Contributions | 11 |
| Communications with the Board of Directors | 11 |
| Retention of Outside Advisors | 11 |
| Code of Business Conduct | 11 |
| Board of Directors | 12 |
| Organization and Powers | 12 |
| Director Qualifications | 12 |
| <u>Directors Independence</u> | 12 |
| Related Person Transactions | 14 |

| Committees of the Board of Directors | 14 | |
|---|----|--|
| Audit Committee | 14 | |
| Compensation and Management Resources Committee | 15 | |
| Finance and Strategic Planning Committee | 16 | |
| Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee | 16 | |
| Retirement Plan Committee | 17 | |
| Executive Committee | 17 | |
| <u>Directors</u> Compensation and Benefits | 17 | |
| Executive Compensation | 21 | |
| Compensation and Risk | 21 | |
| Compensation Discussion and Analysis | 22 | |
| Compensation Committee Report | 37 | |
| Summary Compensation Table | 38 | |
| Grants of Plan-Based Awards | 41 | |
| Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End | 45 | |
| Option Exercises and Stock Vested | 49 | |
| Employment Agreements | 50 | |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| 2011 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation | 54 |
| Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control | 55 |
| Retirement Plans | 70 |
| Pension Benefits | 72 |
| Trust Agreement for Certain Benefit Plans | 73 |
| Equity Compensation Plan Information | 73 |
| <u>Items to be Voted on by Shareholders</u> | 74 |
| Proposal 1: Election of Directors | 74 |
| Nominees for Directors | 75 |
| <u>Directors Continuing in Office</u> | 76 |
| Proposal 2: Ratification of the Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 81 |
| Audit and Non-Audit Fees | 81 |
| Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures | 81 |
| Audit Committee Report | 82 |
| Proposal 3: Reapproval of the Performance Goals under the Annual Incentive | |
| Compensation Plan, as Amended and Restated | 83 |
| Proposal 4: Advisory Approval of Executive Compensation | 85 |
| Deadlines and Procedures for Nominations and Shareholder Proposals | 87 |
| Location of 2012 Annual Shareholders Meeting | 88 |

112 West 34th Street New York, New York 10120

NOTICE OF 2012 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

DATE: May 16, 2012

TIME: 9:00 A.M., local time

PLACE: Foot Locker, Inc., 112 West 34th Street, New York, New York 10120

RECORD DATE:

Shareholders of record on March 19, 2012 can vote at this meeting.

ITEMS OF BUSINESS:

Elect three members to the Board of Directors to serve for three-year terms.

Ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm

for the 2012 fiscal year.

Reapprove the Performance Goals under the Foot Locker Annual Incentive Compensation

Plan, as amended and restated.

Advisory approval of the compensation of our named executive officers.

Transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting and at any

adjournment or postponement.

PROXY VOTING:

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT TO US. Please vote as soon as possible in one of these ways:

Use the toll-free telephone number shown on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Foot Locker, Inc. (your Foot Locker Notice) or on your proxy card;

Visit the web site shown on your Foot Locker Notice or on your proxy card to vote via the Internet:

If you received a printed copy of the proxy card, you may mark, sign and return the enclosed proxy card using the postage-paid envelope provided; or

Follow the instructions on your proxy materials if your shares are held in the name of your bank, broker, or other holder of record.

Even if you plan to attend the annual meeting, we encourage you to vote in advance using one of these methods.

GARY M. BAHLER

Secretary

April 5, 2012

i

PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY

We are providing this summary of our 2012 Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement and the items to be voted on by our shareholders. This is only a summary. For more complete information, please review the complete Proxy Statement and our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

2012 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

Date and Time Wednesday, May 16, 2012, at 9:00 a.m., local time

Place 112 West 34th Street, New York, NY 10120

Record Date March 19, 2012

Proposals to be Voted on by Shareholders and the Board of Directors Voting Recommendations

| Proposal | Board Vote Recommendation | Page Reference For More Detail |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | FOR EACH | |
| Election of three directors | NOMINEE | 75-76 |
| Ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP for 2012 | FOR | 81 |
| Reapproval of the Performance Goals under the Annual Incentive | | |
| Compensation Plan | FOR | 83-84 |
| Advisory Approval of Named Executive Officers Compensation | FOR | 85-86 |
| Election of Directors | | |

The following table provides summary information about each of the three directors standing for election at this meeting:

| Name | Age | Director Since | Occupation | Independent | Other Public Company Boards |
|------------------------|-----|-------------------|--|-------------|---|
| Alan D. Feldman | 60 | 2005 | Chairman, President & CEO of Midas, Inc. | Yes | Midas, Inc. and John Bean Technologies Corporation |
| Jarobin Gilbert Jr. | 66 | 1981 | President & CEO of DBSS Group, Inc. | Yes | Midas, Inc. |
| David Y. Schwartz | 71 | 2000 | Independent Business Adviser | Yes | Walgreen Co. and Stage Stores, Inc. |

Ratification of Appointment of KPMG LLP

We are asking our shareholders to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2012. The following is a summary of KPMG s fees for 2011 and 2010:

Category 2011 2010

Edgar Filing: FOOT LOCKER INC - Form DEF 14A

| Audit Fees | \$ 2,572,000 | \$ 2,665,000 |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Audit-Related Fees | 555,000 | 301,000 |
| Tax Fees | 153,000 | 141,000 |
| All Other Fees | 0 | 0 |
| Total | \$ 3,280,000 | \$ 3,107,000 |

ii

Reapproval of the Performance Goals under the Annual Incentive Compensation Plan

The Foot Locker Annual Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended and restated (the Annual Bonus Plan), is designed to comply with the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. Under this section of the tax code, certain compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to the CEO and the three other most highly paid executive officers (other than the CFO) is not deductible. Compensation paid based on the achievement of pre-established performance goals is excluded from this deduction limit if the performance goals to be used are approved by shareholders. Our shareholders last approved the performance goals for this plan at the 2008 annual meeting of shareholders, and Section 162(m) of the tax code requires that shareholders approve the performance goals under the plan at least every five years. A summary of the material features of the Annual Bonus Plan is provided beginning on Page 83.

Advisory Approval of the Named Executive Officers Compensation

We are asking shareholders to approve, on a nonbinding, advisory basis, the 2011 compensation of our named executive officers, as described in this proxy statement on Pages 22 through 73. Last year, our shareholders overwhelmingly approved our executive compensation program. Given this support, the Company decided to retain the overall program, which ties the executives pay closely with Foot Locker s performance, so that total compensation in any year will vary sometimes significantly based on our performance.

In 2011 we had the financial and operating results shown in the following table. These results represent continued progress toward the goals contained in our long-range plan.

| Financial Metric | 2011 | 2010 | Percent Increase 2011 over 2010 |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| Sales | \$5,623 million | \$5,049 million | 11.4% |
| Comparable Store Sales | 9.8% | 5.8% | |
| Net Income | \$278 million | \$169 million | 64% |
| Earnings per Share | \$1.80 | \$1.07 | 68% |
| Return on Invested Capital | 11.8% | 8.3% | |

End-of-Year Market Capitalization \$4.01 billion \$2.75 billion 46%

ROIC is a non-GAAP financial measure. There is a reconciliation to GAAP on Page 16 of our 2011 Form 10-K.

Based upon the Company s performance, payments were made to the named executive officers under the Annual Bonus Plan for 2011 and the Long-Term Bonus Plan for 2009-2011. Although long-term incentive payouts were earned for the 2010-2011 performance measurement period, they will not be paid out until 2013. As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy statement, fiscal 2011 was a transition year under our long-term incentive program, reflecting the change we made two years ago to move to a two-year performance measurement period with an additional one-year holding period, payable one-half in cash and one-half in equity, rather than having a three-year performance measurement period payable all in cash at the end of the performance period, provided, in both cases, that the performance goals are achieved. As a result of this change, two long-term

performance measurement periods ended in 2011 and were earned by the named executives based on the Company s performance. Only one long-term performance award (2009-2011) was paid out, while the payout for the 2010-2011 performance measurement period will not be paid until 2013. Going forward, only one long-term performance measurement period will end each year.

iii

112 West 34th Street New York, New York 10120

PROXY STATEMENT

GENERAL INFORMATION

We are providing these proxy materials to you for the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Foot Locker, Inc. for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and for any adjournments or postponements of this meeting. We are holding this annual meeting on May 16, 2012 at 9:00 A.M., local time, at our corporate headquarters located at 112 West 34th Street, New York, New York 10120. In this proxy statement we refer to Foot Locker, Inc. as Foot Locker, the Company, we, our, or us.

We are pleased this year once again to take advantage of the Securities and Exchange Commission rule that allows companies to furnish their proxy materials to shareholders over the Internet instead of mailing full sets of the printed materials. We believe that this procedure reduces costs, provides greater flexibility to our shareholders, and lessens the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting. On or about April 5, 2012 we started mailing to most of our shareholders in the United States a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the Foot Locker Notice). The Foot Locker Notice contains instructions on how to access and read our 2012 Proxy Statement and our 2011 Annual Report to Shareholders on the Internet and to vote online. If you received a Foot Locker Notice by mail, you will not receive paper copies of the proxy materials in the mail unless you request them. Instead, the Foot Locker Notice instructs you on how to access and read the Proxy Statement and Annual Report and how you may submit your proxy over the Internet. If you received a Foot Locker Notice by mail and would like to receive a printed copy of the materials, please follow the instructions on the Foot Locker Notice for requesting the materials, and we will promptly mail the materials to you.

We are mailing to shareholders, or making available to shareholders via the Internet, this Proxy Statement, form of proxy card, and our 2011 Annual Report/Form10-K on or about April 5, 2012.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting
To Be Held on May 16, 2012

The Company s Proxy Statement and 2011 Annual Report/Form 10-K are available at http://materials.proxyvote.com/344849
http://www.proxyvoting.com/fl

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THIS ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING

What is included in these proxy materials?

The proxy materials include our 2012 Proxy Statement and 2011 Annual Report and Form 10-K. If you received printed copies of these materials by mail, these materials also include the proxy card for this annual meeting.

May I obtain an additional copy of the Form 10-K?

You may obtain an additional copy of our 2011 Form 10-K without charge by writing to our Investor Relations Department at Foot Locker, Inc., 112 West 34th Street, New York, New York 10120. It is also available free of charge through our corporate web site at http://www.footlocker-inc.com/investors.cfm?page=corporate-governance.

What constitutes a quorum for the Annual Meeting?

We will have a quorum and will be able to conduct the business of the Annual Meeting if the holders of a majority of the shares outstanding are present at the meeting, either in person or by proxy. We will count abstentions and broker non-votes, if any, as present and entitled to vote in determining whether we have a quorum.

What is the record date for this meeting?

The record date for this meeting is March 19, 2012. If you were a Foot Locker shareholder on this date, you are entitled to vote on the items of business described in this proxy statement.

Do I need a ticket to attend the Annual Meeting?

You will need an admission ticket to attend the Annual Meeting. Attendance at the meeting will be limited to shareholders on March 19, 2012 (or their authorized representatives) having an admission ticket or proof of their share ownership, and guests of the Company. If you plan to attend the meeting, please indicate this when you are voting by telephone or Internet or check the box on your proxy card, and we will promptly mail an admission ticket to you.

If your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker, or other holder of record and you plan to attend the meeting, you can obtain an admission ticket in advance by providing proof of your ownership, such as a bank or brokerage account statement, to the Corporate Secretary at Foot Locker, Inc., 112 West 34th Street, New York, New York 10120. If you do not have an admission ticket, you must show proof of your ownership of the Company s Common Stock at the registration table at the door.

What are shareholders voting on at this meeting?

You are being asked to vote on the following four items:

- **Proposal 1:** Election of three directors in Class III;
- **Proposal 2:** Ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2012:
- **Proposal 3:** Reapproval of the performance goals under the Foot Locker Annual Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended and restated; and
- **Proposal 4:** Advisory approval of executive compensation.

How does the Board of Directors recommend that I vote on the proposals?

The Board recommends that you vote **FOR** Proposals 1, 2, 3 and 4.

Could other matters be voted on at the Annual Meeting?

We do not know of any other business that will be presented at the 2012 annual meeting. If any other matters are properly brought before the meeting for consideration, then the persons named as proxies will have the discretion to vote on those matters for you using their best judgment.

Who may vote at the Annual Meeting?

The only voting securities of Foot Locker are our shares of Common Stock. Only shareholders of record on the books of the Company on March 19, 2012 are entitled to vote at the annual meeting and any adjournments or postponements. Each share is entitled to one vote. There were 151,895,099 shares of Common Stock outstanding on March 19, 2012.

What are the voting requirements to elect directors and to approve the other proposals?

Proposal 1

(Election of

Directors).

Directors

must be

elected by a

plurality of

the votes cast

by

shareholders.

(Please see

our policy

described on

Page 7

regarding

resignations

by directors

who do not

receive more

for votes than

withheld

votes.)

2

Proposal 2

(Ratification of the Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm). This proposal requires the favorable vote of a majority of the votes cast by shareholders to be approved.

Proposal 3

(Reapproval of the performance goals under the Annual Incentive Compensation Plan). This proposal requires the favorable vote of a majority of the votes cast by shareholders to be approved.

Proposal 4

(Advisory approval of executive compensation). The favorable vote of a majority of the votes cast by shareholders will constitute shareholder approval of our named executive officers

compensation.

What happens if I do not vote my shares?

This depends on how you hold your shares and the type of proposal. If you hold your shares in street name, such as through a bank or brokerage account, it is important that you cast your vote if you want it to count for Proposals 1, 3, and 4. If you do not instruct your bank or broker how to vote your shares on these proposals, no votes will be cast on your behalf. With regard to Proposal 2, your bank or broker will have discretion to vote any uninstructed shares for this proposal.

If your stock ownership is reflected directly on the books and records of the Company s transfer agent, you are a shareholder of record. If you do not cast your vote, then no votes will be cast on your behalf on any of the proposals.

How will the votes be counted?

Votes will be counted and certified by representatives of our transfer agent, Computershare, as inspectors of election. The inspectors of election are independent and are not employees of Foot Locker.

Votes withheld for the election of one or more of the nominees for director will not be counted as votes cast for them. We do not count abstentions and broker non-votes, if any, in determining the votes cast for any proposal. With respect to Proposals 2, 3, and 4, if you abstain from voting, this will have no effect on the vote since an abstention is not considered a vote cast.

The Company s Certificate of Incorporation and By-laws do not contain any provisions on the effect of abstentions or broker non-votes.

Will my vote be confidential?

We maintain the confidentiality of our shareholders—votes. All proxy cards, electronic voting, voting instructions, ballots and voting tabulations identifying shareholders are kept confidential from the Company, except:

as necessary to meet any applicable legal requirements,

when a shareholder requests disclosure or writes a comment on a proxy card,

in a contested proxy solicitation, and

to allow independent inspectors of election to tabulate and certify the vote.

How do I vote my shares?

You may vote using any of the following methods:

Telephone

If you are located within the United States or Canada, you can vote your shares by telephone by calling the toll-free telephone number printed on your Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (Notice), on your proxy card, or in the instructions that accompany your proxy materials, as applicable, and following the recorded instructions. You will need the control number printed on your Notice, on your proxy card, or in the instructions that accompany your proxy materials, as applicable. Telephone voting is available 24 hours a day and will be accessible until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time on May 15, 2012. The telephone voting system has easy to follow instructions and allows you to confirm that the system has properly recorded your vote. If you vote by telephone, you do NOT need to return

a proxy card or voting instruction form. If you are an owner in street name, please follow the instructions that accompany your proxy materials.

Internet

You can also choose to vote your shares by the Internet. You will need the control number printed on your Notice, on your proxy card, or in the instructions that accompany your proxy materials, as applicable. The web site for Internet voting is listed on your Notice, proxy card, or in the instructions that accompany your proxy materials. Internet voting is available 24 hours a day and will be accessible until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time on May 15, 2012. As with telephone voting, you will be able to confirm that the system has properly recorded your vote. If you vote via the Internet, you do NOT need to return a proxy card or voting instruction form.

Mail

If you are a holder of record and received printed copies of the materials by mail, you may choose to vote by mail. Simply mark your proxy card, date and sign it, and return it in the postage-paid envelope that we included with your materials. If you hold your shares through a bank or brokerage account, please complete and mail the voting instruction form in the envelope provided.

Ballot at the Annual Meeting

You may also vote by ballot at the Annual Meeting if you decide to attend in person. If your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker or other holder of record, you must obtain a proxy, executed in your favor, from the holder of record to be able to vote at the meeting.

All shares that have been properly voted and not revoked will be voted at the Annual Meeting. If you sign and return a proxy card but do not give voting instructions, the shares represented by that proxy card will be voted as recommended by the Board of Directors.

Can I change my mind after voting my shares?

You may revoke your proxy at any time before it is used by (i) sending a written notice to the Company at its corporate headquarters, (ii) delivering a valid proxy card with a later date, (iii) providing a later dated vote by telephone or Internet, or (iv) voting by ballot at the Annual Meeting.

Can I vote shares held in employee plans?

If you hold shares of Foot Locker Common Stock through the Foot Locker 401(k) Plan or the Foot Locker Puerto Rico 1165(e) Plan, your proxy card includes the number of shares allocated to your plan account. Your proxy card will serve as a voting instruction card for these shares for the plan trustee to vote the shares. The trustee will vote only those shares for which voting instructions have been given. To allow sufficient time for voting by the trustees of these plans, your voting instructions must be received by May 12, 2012.

Who pays the cost of this proxy solicitation?

We will pay for the cost of the solicitation of proxies, including the preparation, printing and mailing of the proxy materials.

Proxies may be solicited, without additional compensation, by our directors, officers, or employees by mail, telephone, fax, in person, or otherwise. We will request banks, brokers and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries to deliver proxy materials to the beneficial owners of Foot Locker s Common Stock and obtain their voting instructions, and we will reimburse those firms for their expenses under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and The New York Stock Exchange. In addition, we have retained Innisfree M&A Incorporated to assist us in the solicitation of proxies for a fee of \$12,500 plus out-of-pocket expenses.

4

BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF THE COMPANY S STOCK

Directors and Executive Officers

The following table shows the number of shares of Common Stock reported to us as beneficially owned by each of our directors and named executive officers as of March 19, 2012. The table also shows beneficial ownership by all directors, named executive officers, and executive officers as a group on that date, including shares of Common Stock that they have a right to acquire within 60 days after March 19, 2012 by the exercise of stock options.

No director, named executive officer, or executive officer beneficially owned one percent or more of the total number of outstanding shares as of March 19, 2012. Each person has sole voting and investment power for the number of shares shown unless otherwise noted.

| Amount and Nature of | Beneficial Ownership | |
|----------------------|----------------------|--|
| Common Stock | Stock Ontions | |

| Name | Common Stock Beneficially Owned Excluding Stock Options(a) | Exercisable Within 60 Days After 3/19/2012 | RSUs and Deferred Stock Units(b) | Total |
|---|--|--|---|---------------|
| Gary M. Bahler | 125,529 | 150,332 | | 275,861 |
| Jeffrey L. Berk | 74,471 | 231,999 | | 306,470 |
| Nicholas DiPaolo | 47,150 (c) | 13,185 | 2,223 | 62,558 |
| Alan D. Feldman | 43,439 | 6,314 | 17,740 | 67,493 |
| Jarobin Gilbert Jr. | 37,096 | 13,185 | 2,223 | 52,504 |
| Ronald J. Halls | 81,752 | 140,000 | | 221,752 |
| Ken C. Hicks | 503,050 | 866,666 | | 1,369,716 |
| Robert W. McHugh | 167,582 | 239,999 | | 407,581 |
| Matthew M. McKenna | 68,264 | 4,287 | 2,223 | 74,774 |
| Richard A. Johnson | 194,978 | 254,999 | 25,000 | 474,977 |
| Guillermo G. Marmol | 11,704 | | 2,223 | 13,927 |
| Lauren B. Peters | 93,251 | 231,999 | | 325,250 |
| James E. Preston | 109,574 | 8,336 | 2,223 | 120,133 |
| Allen Questrom | 2,104 | | 2,223 | 4,327 |
| David Y. Schwartz | 38,844 | 13,185 | 31,237 | 83,266 |
| Cheryl Nido Turpin | 27,828 | 13,185 | 36,363 | 77,376 |
| Dona D. Young | 23,207 | 13,185 | 46,361 | 82,753 |
| All 21 directors and executive officers as a group, including the named executive officers Notes to Repeticial Ownership Table | 1,894,374 | 2,639,620 | 170,038 | 4,704,032 (d) |

Notes to Beneficial Ownership Table

(a) This column includes

shares held in the Company s 401(k) Plan, as well as the executives unvested shares of restricted stock listed below over which they have sole voting power but no investment power:

5

Number of Unvested Shares of Restricted

| Name | Shares of Restricte Stock |
|------------|------------------------------|
| K. Hicks | 350,000 |
| R. McHugh | 45,000 |
| L. Peters | 45,000 |
| R. Johnson | 120,000 |
| G. Bahler | 25,000 |
| J. Berk | 25,000 |

- (b) This column includes (i) the number of deferred stock units credited as of March 19, 2012 to the account of the directors who elected to defer all or part of their annual retainer fee and (ii) time vested restricted stock units (RSUs). The deferred stock units and RSUs do not have current voting or investment power.
- (c) Includes 1,050 shares held by his spouse.
- (d) This number

represents approximately 3.1 percent of the shares of Common Stock outstanding at the close of business on March 19, 2012.

Persons Owning More Than Five Percent of the Company s Stock

The following table provides information on shareholders who beneficially own more than five percent of our Common Stock according to reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). To the best of our knowledge, there are no other shareholders who beneficially own more than five percent of a class of the Company s voting securities.

| | Amount and Nature of | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|
| Name and Address of Beneficial Owner | Beneficial Ownership | Percent of Class |
| Ameriprise Financial, Inc. | 7,908,546(a) | 5.23 %(a) |
| 145 Ameriprise Financial Center | | |
| Minneapolis, MN 55474 | | |
| Columbia Management Investment Advisers, LLC | | |
| 225 Franklin Street | | |
| Boston, MA 02110 | | |
| BlackRock, Inc. | 11,921,631(b) | 7.88 %(b) |
| 40 East 52nd Street | | |
| New York, NY 10022 | | |
| The Vanguard Group, Inc. | 8,245,479(c) | 5.45 %(c) |
| 100 Vanguard Blvd. | | |
| Malvern, PA 19355 | | |

Notes to Table on Persons Owning More than Five Percent of the Company s Stock

(a) Reflects
shares
beneficially
owned as of
December
31, 2011
according to
Amendment
No. 1 to

Schedule

13G filed

with the SEC

jointly by

Ameriprise

Financial,

Inc. (AFI)

and

Columbia

Management

Investment

Advisers,

LLC

(CMIA). AFI

is the parent

holding

company of

CMIA, an

investment

adviser. As

reported in

this schedule,

CMIA holds

shared voting

power with

respect to

861,927

shares and

shared

dispositive

power with

respect to

7,908,546

shares. AFI,

as the parent

company of

CMIA, may

be deemed to

beneficially

own the

shares

reported by

CMIA.

(b) Reflects

shares

beneficially

owned as of

December

31, 2011

according to

Amendment

No. 2 to

Schedule

13G filed

with the

SEC. As

reported in

this schedule,

BlackRock,

Inc., a parent

holding

company,

holds sole

voting and

dispositive

power with

respect to

11,921,631

shares.

6

(c) Reflects

shares

beneficially

owned as of

December

31, 2011

according to

Schedule

13G filed

with the

SEC. As

reported in

this

schedule,

The

Vanguard

Group, Inc.

(Vanguard

Group), an

investment

adviser,

holds sole

voting

power with

respect to

108,147

shares,

shared

dispositive

power with

respect to

108,147

shares, and

sole

dispositive

power with

respect to

8,137,332

shares.

Vanguard

Fiduciary

Trust

Company, a

wholly

owned

subsidiary

of Vanguard

Group, is

the

beneficial

owner of 108,147 shares and directs the voting of these shares.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires that our directors and executive officers file with the Securities and Exchange Commission reports of ownership and changes in ownership of Foot Locker s Common Stock. Based on our records and other information, we believe that during the 2011 fiscal year, the directors and executive officers complied with all applicable SEC filing requirements except as follows: Ken Hicks filed one late Form 4 report with regard to an inadvertent intra-plan transfer of 125.801 shares held through the Foot Locker 401(k) Plan. This intra-plan transfer was executed on May 23, 2011 on Mr. Hicks behalf by the plan s record keeper in connection with an automatic quarterly rebalancing of Mr. Hicks 401(k) Plan account. Since Mr. Hicks was not aware of this transaction at the time of its execution, he did not timely report it on Form 4. Promptly upon being informed in February 2012 of the intra-plan transfer, Mr. Hicks reported it on a Form 4.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE INFORMATION

The Board of Directors is committed to good corporate governance and has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines and other policies and practices to guide the Board and senior management in this area. This section of the proxy statement summarizes our key corporate governance policies and practices.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

The Board of Directors has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Board periodically reviews the guidelines and may revise them when appropriate. The Corporate Governance Guidelines are available on the corporate governance section of the Company s corporate web site at

<u>http://www.footlocker-inc.com/investors.cfm?page=corporate-governance</u>. You may also obtain a printed copy of the guidelines by writing to the Corporate Secretary at the Company s headquarters.

Committee Charters

The Board of Directors has adopted charters for the Audit Committee, the Compensation and Management Resources Committee, the Finance and Strategic Planning Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and the Retirement Plan Committee. Copies of the charters for these committees are available on the corporate governance section of the Company s corporate web site at

<u>http://www.footlocker-inc.com/investors.cfm?page=corporate-governance</u>. You may also obtain printed copies of these charters by writing to the Corporate Secretary at the Company s headquarters.

Policy on Voting for Directors

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that if a nominee for director in an uncontested election receives more votes withheld from his or her election than votes for election (a Majority Withheld Vote), then the director must offer his or her resignation for consideration by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (the Nominating Committee). The Nominating Committee will evaluate the resignation, weighing the best interests of the Company and its shareholders, and make a recommendation to the Board of Directors on the action to be taken. For example, the Nominating Committee may recommend (i) accepting the resignation, (ii) maintaining the director but addressing what the Nominating Committee believes to be the underlying cause of the withheld votes, (iii) resolving that the director will not be re-nominated in the future for election, or (iv) rejecting the resignation. When making its

determination, the Nominating Committee will consider all factors that it deems relevant, including (i) any stated reasons why shareholders withheld votes from the

director, (ii) any alternatives for curing the underlying cause of the withheld votes, (iii) the director s tenure, (iv) the director s qualifications, (v) the director s past and expected future contributions to the Board and to the Company, and (vi) the overall composition of the Board, including whether accepting the resignation would cause the Company to fall below the minimum number of directors required under the Company s By-laws or fail to meet any applicable Securities and Exchange Commission or New York Stock Exchange requirements. We will promptly disclose the Board s decision on whether or not to accept the director s resignation, including, if applicable, the reasons for rejecting the offered resignation.

Director Independence

The Board believes that a significant majority of the members of the Board should be independent, as determined by the Board based on the criteria established by The New York Stock Exchange. Each year, the Nominating Committee reviews any relationships between outside directors and the Company that may affect independence. Currently, one of the current eleven members of the Board of Directors serves as an officer of the Company, and the remaining ten directors are independent under the criteria established by The New York Stock Exchange.

Committee Rotation

As a general principle, the Board believes that the periodic rotation of committee assignments on a staggered basis is desired and provides an opportunity to foster diverse perspective and develop breadth of knowledge within the Board.

Lead Director

We have had a lead director since 2004. The lead director s responsibilities include reviewing and approving Board agendas; chairing executive sessions of the Board and meetings of the independent directors, both of which are held in conjunction with each quarterly Board meeting; leading the annual review of the Chief Executive Officer s performance; attending meetings of Board committees; and serving as a liaison between the independent directors and the Chief Executive Officer. The Board of Directors considers the periodic rotation of the lead director from time to time, taking into account experience, continuity of leadership, and the best interests of the Company.

James E. Preston currently serves as the lead director. Mr. Preston s term as a director expires at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2013. In considering rotation of this leadership position, the Board has appointed Nicholas DiPaolo as lead director to succeed Mr. Preston in this position, effective at the end of the 2012 annual shareholders meeting. The Board believes that Mr. DiPaolo is well-suited to serve as lead director, given his business and financial background and ten years of service on our Board. The Board believes that the rotation of the lead director position at this time will provide for an orderly transition period and allow Mr. DiPaolo to benefit from Mr. Preston s expertise.

Board Leadership Structure

The Board of Directors evaluates, from time to time as appropriate, whether the same person should serve as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, or whether the positions should be split, in light of all relevant factors and circumstances, and what it considers to be in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

In recent years, the Board has utilized various leadership structures. For example, from 2001 to 2004, the positions were separated, with a previously independent director serving as Chairman of the Board. From 2004 to August 2009, the positions of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer were held by the same person, with the former Chairman of the Board serving as lead director until his death. Subsequently, James E. Preston, an independent director, was appointed as lead director. From August 2009 to January 2010, the positions were again separated, with the former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer serving as Chairman of the Board and Mr. Preston, as independent lead director, continuing to serve in that capacity. Since January 31, 2010, Mr. Hicks has served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, and Mr. Preston continues to serve as the independent lead director

until the close of the 2012 annual shareholders meeting when Nicholas DiPaolo, an independent director, will succeed him as lead director.

The Board believes that the current leadership structure is appropriate for the Company in light of the Company s and the Board s history of operating effectively when these positions have been combined; the availability of directors such as Mr. Preston and Mr. DiPaolo to serve as a strong, independent lead director; the size of the Board, which allows a free flow of communication among its members and between the independent members and the Chairman; the important role played by our committee chairs; the independence of our directors; and Mr. Hicks background and experience.

Executive Sessions of Non-Management Directors

The Board of Directors holds regularly scheduled executive sessions of non-management directors in conjunction with each quarterly Board meeting. The lead director presides at these executive sessions.

Board Members Attendance at Annual Meetings

Although we do not have a policy on our Board members attendance at annual shareholders meetings, we encourage each director to attend these important meetings. The annual meeting is normally scheduled on the same day as a quarterly Board of Directors meeting. In 2011, all of the directors attended the annual shareholders meeting.

Director Orientation and Education

We have an orientation program for new directors that is intended to educate a new director on the Company and the Board's practices. At the orientation, the newly elected director generally meets with the Company's Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, other senior financial officers of the Company, and the General Counsel and Secretary to review the business operations, financial matters, investor relations, corporate governance policies, and the composition of the Board and its committees. Additionally, he or she has the opportunity to visit our stores at the Company s New York headquarters, or elsewhere, with a senior division officer for an introduction to store operations. We also provide the Board of Directors with educational training from time to time on subjects applicable to the Board and the Company, including with regard to retailing, accounting, financial reporting, and corporate governance, using both internal and external resources.

Payment of Directors Fees in Stock

The non-employee directors receive one-half of their annual retainer fees, including committee chair and lead director retainer fees, in shares of the Company s Common Stock, with the balance payable in cash. Directors may elect to receive up to 100 percent of their fees in stock.

Director Retirement

The Board has established a policy in its Corporate Governance Guidelines that directors retire from the Board at the annual meeting of shareholders following the director s 72nd birthday. As part of the Nominating Committee s regular evaluation of the Company s directors and the overall needs of the Board, the Nominating Committee may ask a director to remain on the Board for an additional period of time beyond age 72, or to stand for re-election after reaching age 72. For any director over age 72, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee evaluates that director each year in light of the retirement policy to determine his or her continued service on the Board. As described on Page 74, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has asked James E. Preston, age 78, to continue to serve on the Board through the end of his term in 2013.

Change in a Director s Principal Employment

The Board has established a policy that any director whose principal employment changes is required to advise the Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of this change.

If requested by the Chair of the Committee, after consultation with the members of the Committee, the director will submit a letter of resignation to the Chair of the Committee, and the Committee would then meet to consider whether to accept or reject the letter of resignation.

Risk Oversight

The Board of Directors has oversight responsibilities regarding risks that could affect the Company. This oversight is conducted primarily through the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee has established procedures for reviewing the Company s risks. These procedures include regular risk monitoring by Foot Locker management to update current risks and identify potential new and emerging risks, quarterly risk reviews by management with the Audit Committee, and an annual risk report to the full Board of Directors. The Audit Committee Chair reports on the committee s meetings, considerations, and actions to the full Board at the next Board meeting following each committee meeting. In addition, the Compensation and Management Resources Committee considers risk in relation to the Company s compensation policies and practices. The committee s independent compensation consultant provides an annual report to the committee on risk relative to the Company s compensation programs.

The Company believes that this process for risk oversight is appropriate in light of the nature of the Company s business, its size, and the active participation of senior members of management, including the Chief Executive Officer, in managing risk and holding regular discussions on risk with the Audit Committee, the Compensation and Management Resources Committee, and the Board.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

The Board of Directors has adopted Stock Ownership Guidelines. The Guidelines were initially adopted in 2006 and were most recently amended as of the start of the 2012 fiscal year. These guidelines cover the Board of Directors, the Chief Executive Officer, and Other Principal Officers. The Guidelines both current and prior to the 2012 amendment are as follows:

| Covered Position | Ownership Guideline As of 2012 | Ownership Guideline Prior to 2012 |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Non-Employee Directors | 4 x Annual Retainer Fee | 3 x Annual Retainer Fee |
| Chief Executive Officer | 6 x Annual Base Salary | 5 x Annual Base Salary |
| Executive Vice Presidents | 3 x Annual Base Salary | 2 x Annual Base Salary |
| Senior Vice Presidents and CEOs of Operating Divisions | 2 x Annual Base Salary | 2 x Annual Base Salary |
| Managing Directors of Operating Divisions and Corporate Vice Presidents | 0.5 x Annual Base Salary | N/A |

Shares of unvested restricted stock, unvested restricted stock units, and deferred stock units are counted towards beneficial ownership. Performance-based restricted stock units are counted once earned. Stock options and shares held through the Foot Locker 401(k) Plan are disregarded in calculating beneficial ownership.

Non-employee directors and executives who were serving in covered positions when the guidelines were originally adopted in February 2006 were required to be in compliance by February 2011. Non-employee directors who are elected to the Board after February 2006, as well as executives who are elected or appointed after this date to positions covered by the ownership guidelines or who serve in positions that are newly-covered by these guidelines, must be in compliance within five years after the effective date of becoming subject to these guidelines. In the event of any later increase in the required ownership level, whether as a result of an increase in the annual retainer fee or base salary or an increase in the required ownership multiple, then the target date for compliance with the increased ownership guideline will be five years after the effective date of such increase.

All non-employee directors and executives who were required to be in compliance as of the end of the 2011 fiscal year are in compliance. The Company measures compliance with the guidelines at the

end of each fiscal year based on the market value of the Company s stock, with the compliance determination at that point in time applying for the next fiscal year, regardless of fluctuations in the Company s stock price.

If a director or covered executive fails to be in compliance by the required compliance date, then he or she must hold the net shares obtained through future stock option exercises and the vesting of restricted stock and restricted stock units, after payment of applicable taxes, until coming into compliance with the guidelines. In order to take into consideration fluctuations in the Company s stock price, any person who has been in compliance with the guidelines as of the end of at least one of the two preceding fiscal years and who has not subsequently sold shares will not be subject to this holding requirement. For non- employee directors, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider a director s failure to comply with the Guidelines when considering that director for re-election to the Board of Directors.

Political Contributions

Our Code of Business Conduct prohibits making contributions on behalf of the Company to political parties, political action committees, political candidates, or holders of public office. The Company is a member of several trade associations which, as part of their overall activities, may engage in advocacy activities with regard to issues important to the retail industry.

Communications with the Board of Directors

The Board has established a procedure for shareholders and other interested parties to send communications to the non-management members of the Board of Directors. Shareholders and other interested parties who wish to communicate directly with the non-management directors of the Company should send a letter to:

Board of Directors c/o Secretary, Foot Locker, Inc. 112 West 34th Street New York, NY 10120

The Secretary will promptly send a copy of the communication to the lead director, who may direct the Secretary to send a copy of the communication to the other non-management directors and may determine whether a meeting of the non-management directors should be called to review the communication.

A copy of the Procedures for Communications with the Board of Directors is available on the corporate governance section of the Company s corporate web site at http://www.footlocker-inc.com/ investors.cfm?page=corporate-governance. You may obtain a printed copy of the procedures by writing to the Corporate Secretary at the Company s headquarters.

Retention of Outside Advisors

The Board of Directors and all of its committees have authority to retain outside advisors and consultants that they consider necessary or appropriate in carrying out their respective responsibilities. The independent accountants are retained by the Audit Committee and report directly to the Audit Committee. In addition, the Committee is responsible for the selection, assessment, and termination of the internal auditors to which the Company has outsourced a portion of its internal audit function, which is ultimately accountable to the Audit Committee. Similarly, the consultant retained by the Compensation and Management Resources Committee to assist it in the evaluation of senior executive compensation reports directly to that committee.

Code of Business Conduct

The Company has adopted a Code of Business Conduct for directors, officers and employees, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and Chief Accounting Officer. A copy of the Code of Business Conduct is available on the corporate governance section of the Company s

corporate web site at http://www.footlocker-inc.com/investors.cfm?page=corporate-governance. You may obtain a printed copy of the Code of Business Conduct by writing to the Corporate Secretary at the Company s headquarters.

Any waivers of the Code of Business Conduct for directors and executive officers must be approved by the Audit Committee. We promptly disclose amendments to the Code of Business Conduct and any waivers of the Code for directors and executive officers on the corporate governance section of the Company s corporate website at http://www.footlocker-inc.com/investors.cfm?page=corporate-governance.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Organization and Powers

The Board of Directors has responsibility for establishing broad corporate policies, reviewing significant developments affecting Foot Locker, and monitoring the general performance of the Company. Our By-laws provide for a Board of Directors consisting of between 7 and 13 directors. The exact number of directors is determined from time to time by the entire Board. Our Board currently has 11 members.

The Board of Directors held five meetings during 2011. All of our directors attended at least 75 percent of the meetings of the Board and committees on which they served in 2011.

Director Qualifications

The Board of Directors, acting through the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, considers its members, including those directors being nominated for reelection to the Board at the 2012 annual meeting, to be qualified for service on the Board due to a variety of factors reflected in each director s experience, education, areas of expertise, and experience serving on the boards of directors of other organizations. Generally, the Board seeks individuals of broad-based experience who have the background, judgment, independence, and integrity to represent the shareholders in overseeing the Company s management in their operation of the business rather than specific, niche areas of expertise. Within this framework, specific items relevant to the Board s determination for each director are listed in each director s biographical information beginning on Page 75.

Directors Independence

A director is considered independent under the rules of the The New York Stock Exchange if he or she has no material or immaterial relationship to the Company that would impair his or her independence. In addition to the independence criteria established by The New York Stock Exchange, the Board of Directors has adopted categorical standards to assist it in making its independence determinations regarding individual members of the Board. These categorical standards are contained in the Corporate Governance Guidelines, which are posted on the Company s corporate web site at http://www.footlocker-inc.com/investors.cfm?page=corporate-governance.

The Board of Directors has determined that the following categories of relationships are immaterial for purposes of determining whether a director is independent under the listing standards adopted by The New York Stock Exchange.

Categorical Relationship

Description

Investment Relationships with the Company A director and any family member may own equities or other securities of the Company.

Relationships with Other Business Entities A director and any family member may be a director, employee (other than an executive officer), or beneficial owner of less than 10 percent of the shares of a business entity with which the Company does business, provided that the aggregate amount involved in a fiscal year does not exceed the greater of \$1,000,000 or 2 percent of either that entity s or the Company s annual consolidated gross revenue.

Relationships with Not-for-Profit Entities A director and any family member may be a director or employee (other than an executive officer or the equivalent) of a not-for-profit organization to which the Company (including the Foot Locker Foundation) makes contributions, provided that the aggregate amount of the Company s contributions in any fiscal year do not exceed the greater of \$1,000,000 or 2 percent of the not-for-profit entity s total annual receipts.

The Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, has determined that the following directors are independent under the rules of The New York Stock Exchange because they have no material or immaterial relationship to the Company that would impair their independence:

Nicholas DiPaolo James E. Preston
Alan D. Feldman Allen Questrom
Jarobin Gilbert Jr. David Y. Schwartz
Guillermo G. Marmol Cheryl Nido Turpin
Matthew M. McKenna Dona D. Young

In making its decisions on independence, the Board of Directors reviewed recommendations from the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and considered the following relationships between the Company and organizations with which the current members of our Board are affiliated:

David Y.
Schwartz and
Cheryl Nido
Turpin are
non-employee
directors of
companies
with which
Foot Locker
does business.
The Board has
determined
that each of

these relationships meets the categorical standard for Relationships with Other Business Entities and are immaterial for determining independence.

Matthew M. McKenna is President and Chief Executive Officer of Keep America Beautiful, Inc., a not-for-profit organization to which the Company s charitable foundation made a contribution of \$15,000 in 2011. The Board has determined that Mr. McKenna s relationship with Keep America Beautiful, Inc.

The Board of Directors has determined that Ken C. Hicks is not independent

is immaterial

determining independence.

for

because Mr. Hicks is an executive officer of the Company.

The Board of Directors has determined that all members of the Audit Committee, the Compensation and Management Resources Committee, and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee are independent as defined under the listing standards of The New York Stock Exchange and the director independence standards adopted by the Board.

13

Related Person Transactions

We individually inquire of each of our directors and executive officers about any transactions in which Foot Locker and any of these related persons or their immediate family members are participants. We also make inquiries within the Company s records for information on any of these kinds of transactions. Once we gather the information, we then review all relationships and transactions in which Foot Locker and any of our directors, executive officers or their immediate family members are participants to determine, based on the facts and circumstances, whether the Company or the related persons have a direct or indirect material interest. The General Counsel s office coordinates the related person review process. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee reviews any reported transactions involving directors and their immediate families in making its recommendation to the Board of Directors on the independence of the directors. The Company s written policies and procedures for related person transactions are included within the Corporate Governance Guidelines and Foot Locker s Code of Business Conduct.

Committees of the Board of Directors

The Board has delegated certain duties to committees, which assist the Board in carrying out its responsibilities. There are six standing committees of the Board. Each director serves on at least two committees. The current committee memberships, the number of meetings held during 2011, and the functions of the committees are described below.

| Audit Committee | Compensation and Management Resources Committee | Finance and Strategic Planning Committee | Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee | Retirement Plan Committee | Executive Committee |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| N. DiPaolo, <i>Chair</i> | A. Feldman, Chair | D. Schwartz, Chair | D. Young, Chair | J. Gilbert Jr., Chair | K. Hicks, Chair |
| J. Gilbert Jr. | J. Preston | N. DiPaolo | J. Gilbert Jr. | N. DiPaolo | N. DiPaolo |
| G. Marmol | A. Questrom | A. Feldman | J. Preston | K. Hicks* | A. Feldman |
| M. McKenna | C. Turpin | G. Marmol | A. Questrom | L. Peters* | J. Gilbert Jr. |
| D. Schwartz | D. Young | M. McKenna | C. Turpin | L. Petrucci* | J. Preston |
| | | | | | D. Schwartz |
| | | | | *Executive officer of the Company | D. Young |

Audit Committee

The committee held eight meetings in 2011. The Audit Committee has a charter, which is available on the corporate governance section of our corporate web site at

<u>http://www.footlocker-inc.com/investors.cfm?page=corporate-governance</u>. The report of the Audit Committee appears on Page 82.

This committee appoints the independent accountants and is responsible for approving the independent accountants compensation. This committee also assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities in the following areas:

accounting

policies and practices,

the integrity of the Company s financial statements,

compliance with legal and regulatory requirements,

risk oversight,

the qualifications, independence, and performance of the independent accountants, and

the qualifications, performance and compensation of the internal auditors.

The Audit Committee has established procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters.

The Board of Directors has determined that the Company has at least one audit committee financial expert, as defined under the rules of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, serving on the Audit Committee. David Y. Schwartz has been designated as the audit committee financial expert. Mr. Schwartz is independent under the rules of The New York Stock Exchange and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Compensation and Management Resources Committee

The Compensation and Management Resources Committee (the Compensation Committee) held four meetings in 2011. The committee has a charter, which is available on the corporate governance section of the Company s corporate web site at http://www.footlocker-inc.com/investors.cfm?page=corporate-governance.

The Compensation Committee determines the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer, reviews and approves all compensation for the Company s executive management group, which consists of the executive officers and corporate officers, and determines significant elements of the compensation of the chief executive officers of our operating divisions. Decisions regarding equity compensation for other employees are also the Compensation Committee s responsibility. Decisions regarding non-equity compensation of the Company s other associates are made by the Company s management. The committee also considers risk in relation to the Company s compensation policies and practices.

The Compensation Committee also administers Foot Locker s various compensation plans, including the incentive plans, the equity-based compensation plans, and the employees stock purchase plan. Other than the 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, Committee members are not eligible to participate in these compensation plans. This committee also reviews and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors concerning executive development and succession, including for the position of Chief Executive Officer.

The Compensation Committee normally holds two meetings each year to review and approve the executive compensation program, the Chief Executive Officer's compensation, annual salaries and bonuses for the executive management group and division CEOs, and to grant equity awards. In addition, at another meeting during the year, the committee jointly reviews directors compensation with the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee concerning the form and amount of directors compensation. Additional meetings of the Compensation Committee may be called during the year as necessary.

The Compensation Committee has directly retained Compensation Advisory Partners (CAP) as its independent consultant on executive compensation matters, and CAP reports directly to the Compensation Committee and meets with the committee privately, without management present, and regularly communicates privately with the Chair of the committee. CAP performs no other work for the Company. CAP provides a pay-for-performance analysis of executive compensation to the committee and a review of CEO compensation. The committee s consultant advises the committee on risk in relation to Foot Locker s compensation policies and practices, and advises the committee on non-employee director compensation matters, including payment levels and trends. The Company utilizes the services of a different compensation consultant to provide advice on the executive compensation program and plan design and provide information on general executive compensation trends and trends in the retail industry.

The Senior Vice President Human Resources, working with the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, prepares compensation recommendations covering all elements of compensation for all corporate officers and heads of the Company's operating divisions, other than the Chief Executive Officer himself, which are forwarded to the Chair of the Compensation Committee for his review. The Chair of the Compensation Committee also discusses these recommendations with the Chief Executive Officer. Based on input from the Chair of the Committee, the Senior Vice President-Human Resources then finalizes the compensation recommendations to review with the full committee. Our Senior Vice President and General Counsel also attends meetings of the Compensation Committee and participates in some of these discussions and preparations. The committee meets privately with its consultant, CAP, to discuss compensation for the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Compensation Committee

meeting agendas are developed by the committee chair in consultation with the Chief Executive Officer and the Corporate Secretary. Committee members may suggest agenda items by communicating with one of these individuals. Agendas and related materials are circulated to Committee members prior to meetings. The committee chair regularly reports on the committee s meetings to the full Board. The Company s CEO, Senior Vice President and General Counsel, Senior Vice President Human Resources, Vice President Human Resources, and Vice President and Associate General Counsel generally attend all meetings of the committee. The committee s consultant generally attends meetings at which the committee reviews the executive compensation program and non-employee director compensation.

The Compensation Committee has the authority to delegate authority and responsibilities as it considers appropriate. The committee has delegated to the Committee Chair the authority to approve stock option grants between meetings of the committee. This authority is limited to option awards of 25,000 shares or less made to employees who are not executive officers of the Company.

The Company s Corporate Human Resources Department and the Corporate Secretary s staff support the Compensation Committee in performing its duties.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Alan D. Feldman, Matthew M. McKenna, James E. Preston, Allen Questrom, Cheryl Nido Turpin, and Dona D. Young served on the Compensation and Management Resources Committee during 2011. None of the committee members was an officer or employee of the Company or any of its subsidiaries, and there were no interlocks with other companies within the meaning of the SEC s proxy rules.

Finance and Strategic Planning Committee

The Finance and Strategic Planning Committee held three meetings in 2011. This committee reviews the overall strategic and financial plans of the Company, including capital expenditure plans, proposed debt or equity issues of the Company, and the Company s capital structure. The committee also considers and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors concerning dividend payments and share repurchases, and reviews acquisition and divestiture proposals.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee held four meetings in 2011. This committee has responsibility for overseeing corporate governance matters affecting the Company, including developing and recommending criteria and policies relating to service and tenure of directors. The committee is responsible for collecting the names of potential nominees to the Board, reviewing the background and qualifications of potential candidates for Board membership, and making recommendations to the Board for the nomination and election of directors. The committee reviews membership on the Board committees and, after consultation with the lead director and the Chief Executive Officer, makes recommendations to the Board regarding committee members and committee chair assignments annually. In addition, the committee meets jointly with the Compensation and Management Resources Committee to review directors compensation and make recommendations to the Board concerning the form and amount of directors compensation.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee does not have a formal policy regarding board diversity. In selecting new directors and considering the re-nomination of existing directors, the Committee considers a variety of factors that it believes contribute to an individual s ability to be an effective director, as well as the overall effectiveness of the Board. These include independence, integrity, high personal and professional ethics, sound business judgment, and the ability and willingness to devote sufficient time to Board responsibilities. The Committee also considers an individual s understanding of business, finance, corporate governance, marketing, and other

disciplines relevant to the oversight of a large publicly traded company; understanding of our industry; educational and professional background; international experience; personal accomplishment; community involvement; and geographic, gender, age, and ethnic diversity. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may establish criteria for candidates for Board membership. These criteria may include area

of expertise, diversity of experience, independence, commitment to representing the long-term interests of the Company s stakeholders, and other relevant factors, taking into consideration the needs of the Board and the Company and the mix of expertise and experience among current directors. From time to time the committee may retain the services of a third party search firm to identify potential director candidates.

The committee will consider nominees to the Board of Directors recommended by shareholders that comply with the provisions of the Company s By-Laws and relevant law, regulation, and stock exchange rules. The procedures for shareholders to follow to propose a potential director candidate are described on Page 87.

After a potential nominee is identified, the Committee Chair will review his or her biographical information and discuss with the other members of the committee whether to request additional information about the individual or to schedule a meeting with the potential candidate. The committee s screening process for director candidates is the same regardless of the source who identified the potential candidate. The committee s determination on whether to proceed with a formal evaluation of a potential candidate is based on the person s experience and qualifications, as well as the current composition of the Board and its anticipated future needs.

Retirement Plan Committee

The Retirement Plan Committee held four meetings in 2011. This committee is responsible for overseeing the investment of the assets of the Company s United States retirement plan and appointing, reviewing the performance of and, if appropriate, replacing the trustee of the Company s pension trust and the investment manager responsible for managing the funds of the U.S. pension trust. The committee also oversees the Company s 401(k) Plan and Puerto Rico 1165(e) Plan and has certain administrative responsibilities for our United States retirement plans.

Executive Committee

The Executive Committee did not meet in 2011. Except for certain matters reserved to the Board, this committee has all of the powers of the Board in the management of the business of the Company during intervals between Board meetings.

DIRECTORS COMPENSATION AND BENEFITS

Non-employee directors are paid an annual retainer fee and meeting fees for attendance at each Board and committee meeting. The lead director and the committee chairs are paid an additional retainer fee for service in these capacities. We do not pay additional compensation to any director who is also an employee of the Company for service on the Board or any committee. Effective January 1, 2012, the Board of Directors approved an increase in the directors annual retainer fee, committee chair retainer fees for the Finance and Strategic Planning Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Retirement Plan Committee, and the meeting attendance fees. The increased fees were recommended jointly to the Board by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Compensation and Management Resources Committee and were based on recommendations contained in a review of Board and committee fees conducted by the Compensation Committee s independent compensation consultant. The directors annual retainer fee and the retainer fees for these committee chairs were last increased in 2007, while the last increase in the meeting attendance fee was in 2005. The table below summarizes the fees paid to the non-employee directors in 2011 and the increases approved for 2012.

Summary of Directors Compensation

Annual Retainer

\$100,000 (increased to \$110,000 beginning January 2012)

The annual retainer is payable 50 percent in cash and 50 percent in shares of our Common Stock. Directors may elect to receive up to 100 percent of their annual retainer, including committee chair retainer, in stock.

We calculate the number of shares paid to the directors for their annual retainer by dividing their retainer fee by the closing price of a share of our stock on the last business day preceding the July 1 payment date.

Committee Chair Retainers

\$25,000: Audit Committee

\$25,000: Compensation and Management Resources Committee

\$10,000: Finance and Strategic Planning Committee (increased to \$15,000 beginning January 2012)

\$10,000: Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (increased to \$15,000 beginning January 2012)

\$10,000: Retirement Plan Committee

(increased to \$15,000 beginning January 2012)

N/A: Executive Committee

The committee chair retainers are paid in the same form as the annual retainer.

Lead Director Retainer

\$50,000 payable in the same form as the annual retainer.

Meeting Fees

\$1,500 for attendance at each Board and committee meeting (increased to \$2,000 beginning

January 2012).

Restricted Stock Units In fiscal 2011, the directors received a grant of 2,223 restricted stock units (RSUs). The number of RSUs granted was calculated by dividing \$50,000 by the closing price of a share of our stock on the date of grant. The RSUs will vest one year following the date of grant – in May 2012. Each RSU represents the right to receive one share of the Company s common stock on the vesting date.

Deferral Election

Non-employee directors may elect to receive all or a portion of the cash component of their annual retainer fee, including committee chair retainers, in the form of deferred stock units or to have these amounts placed in an interest account. Directors may also elect to receive all or part of the stock component of their annual retainer fee in the form of deferred stock units. The interest account is a hypothetical investment account bearing interest at the rate of 120 percent of the applicable federal long-term rate, compounded annually, and set as of the first day of each plan year. A stock unit is an accounting equivalent of one share of the Company s Common Stock.

Miscellaneous

Directors and their immediate families are eligible to receive the same discount on purchases of merchandise from our stores, catalogs and Internet sites that is available to Company employees. The Company reimburses non-employee directors for their reasonable expenses in attending meetings of the Board and committees, including their transportation expenses to and from meetings, hotel accommodations, and meals.

Fiscal 2011 Director Compensation

The amounts paid to each non-employee director for fiscal 2011, including amounts deferred under the Company s stock plan, and the RSUs granted to each director are reported in the tables below.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

| (a) | (b) Fees Earned or Paid in Cash | (c) Stock Awards | (d) Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation | (e) |
|----------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|--|---------|
| Name | (\$) | (\$)(1)(2) | Earnings | (\$) |
| N. DiPaolo | 95,428 | 112,506 | | 207,934 |
| A. Feldman | 17,000 | 184,356 (3)(4) | | 201,356 |
| J. Gilbert Jr. | 89,144 | 104,998 | 3,131 | 197,273 |
| G. Marmol | 74,926 | 100,009 | | 174,935 |
| M. McKenna | 29,018 | 150,000 | | 179,018 |
| J. Preston | 93,430 | 125,004 | | 218,434 |
| A. Questrom | 62,342 | 100,009 | | 162,351 |
| D. Schwartz | 86,125 | 123,458 (4) | | 209,583 |
| C. Turpin | 24,083 | 167,355 (3)(4) | | 191,438 |
| D. Young | 70,542 | 138,730 (4) | | 209,272 |

Notes to Director Compensation Table

(1) Column

(c)

reflects

the

following

three

items:

Retainer

fees paid

in stock or

deferred

by the

director.

The fiscal

2011 grant

date fair

value for

the portion

of the annual retainer fees, including committee chair retainer fees and the lead director retainer fee, paid in shares of the Company s common stock or deferred by the director, as shown in the following table.

- Stock portion of retainer fee: In 2011, we made the annual stock payment to each director on July 1. Under the terms of the 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, the stock payment was valued at the closing price of a share of the Company s common stock on June 30, which was \$23.76. The 2011 grant date fair value is equal to the number of shares received or deferred by the director multiplied by \$23.76, calculated in accordance with stock-based compensation accounting rules (ASC Topic 718). Directors who deferred the stock portion of their annual retainer were credited with deferred stock units on the annual payment date valued at \$23.76 per unit.
- Cash portion of retainer fee: For fiscal 2011, two directors deferred all or part of the cash portion of their annual retainer fees and were credited during the fiscal year with deferred stock units on the quarterly cash retainer payment dates, valued at the fair market value on the payment dates, as follows: January 3, 2011 (\$19.75; pro rated for 2 months of fiscal year), April 1, 2011 (\$19.80), July 1, 2011 (\$24.10), October 3, 2011 (\$19.34), and January 3, 2012 (\$23.99; pro rated for 1 month of fiscal year). The 2011 grant date fair value is equal to the number of deferred stock units received multiplied by the fair market value on the payment dates, calculated in accordance with stock-based compensation accounting rules (ASC Topic 718).

Retainer Fees Paid in Stock or Deferred into Deferred Stock Units

| Name | Number of Shares | Number of Deferred Stock Units | Grant Date Fair Value (\$) |
|----------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| N. DiPaolo | 2,630 | | 62,489 |
| A. Feldman | | 5,637.7629 | 125,417 |
| J. Gilbert Jr. | 2,314 | | 54,981 |
| G. Marmol | 2,104 | | 49,991 |
| M. McKenna | 4,208 | | 99,982 |
| J. Preston | 3,156 | | 74,987 |
| A. Questrom | 2,104 | | 49,991 |
| D. Schwartz | | 2,314.8148 | 55,000 |
| C. Turpin | | 4,322.6322 | 95,833 |
| D. Young | | 2,546.2963 | 60,500 |

Dividend equivalents.

The fiscal

2011 grant

date fair

value for

dividend

equivalents

credited in

the form of

additional

stock units to

four directors

during the

year on the

quarterly

dividend

payment

dates, valued

at the fair

market value

of the

Company s

common

stock on the

dividend

payment

dates, as

shown in the

following

table. The

total number of deferred stock units credited to directors accounts in fiscal 2011, including the dividend equivalents and the units credited representing 2011 retainer fees, and the total number of units held at the end of fiscal 2011 are reported in the following table:

| Name | 04/29/11 FMV: \$21.52 | 07/29/11 FMV: \$21.73 | 10/28/11 FMV: \$22.52 | 01/27/12 FMV: \$26.44 | Total # of Units Credited in 2011 | Total # of Units Held at 01/28/12 |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| A. | | | | | | |
| Feldman | 79.2854 | 104.0178 | 107.0504 | 96.2369 | 6,024.3534 | 15,517.4643 |
| D. | | | | | | |
| Schwartz | 198.5396 | 215.7053 | 209.7188 | 179.9346 | 3,118.7131 | 29,013.0924 |
| C. Turpin | 229.5072 | 248.9493 | 246.7757 | 211.7287 | 5,259.5931 | 34,139.6481 |
| D. Young | 309.4607 | 328.1544 | 319.0471 | 273.7361 | 3,776.6946 | 44,137.8773 |

Restricted
Stock Units
(RSUs). The
fiscal 2011
grant date fair
value for the
RSUs granted
to the
nonemployee
directors in
2011 is shown
in the
following
table. The

number of

RSUs granted

was

calculated by

dividing

\$50,000 by

\$22.50, which

was the

closing price

of a share of

our stock on

the date of

grant. The

RSUs will

vest in May

2012. As

provided

under the

SEC s rules,

the amounts

shown

exclude the

impact of

estimated

forfeitures

related to

service-based

vesting

conditions.

For additional

information

on the

valuation

assumptions,

please refer to

Note 21 to the

Company s

financial

statements in

our 2011

Form 10-K.

The following

table shows

the aggregate

number of

RSUs granted

in 2011 and

the number of

RSUs

outstanding at

the end of the

2011 fiscal year:

Restricted Stock Units

| Name | Number of RSUs Granted in 2011 | Grant Date Fair Value (\$) | Number of RSUs Outstanding on 1/28/2012 |
|----------------|---|----------------------------------|---|
| N. DiPaolo | 2,223 | 50,018 | 2,223 |
| A. Feldman | 2,223 | 50,018 | 2,223 |
| J. Gilbert Jr. | 2,223 | 50,018 | 2,223 |
| G. Marmol | 2,223 | 50,018 | 2,223 |
| M. McKenna | 2,223 | 50,018 | 2,223 |
| J. Preston | 2,223 | 50,018 | 2,223 |
| A. Questrom | 2,223 | 50,018 | 2,223 |
| D. Schwartz | 2,223 | 50,018 | 2,223 |
| C. Turpin | 2,223 | 50,018 | 2,223 |
| D. Young | 2,223 | 50,018 | 2,223 20 |

(2) No stock options were granted to the nonemployee directors in 2011. The table below provides information on the number of stock options outstanding for each of the nonemployee directors at the end of the 2011 fiscal year:

Number of Stock Options Outstanding on Name 1/28/2012 N. DiPaolo 13,185 A. Feldman 6,314 J. Gilbert Jr. 13,185 G. Marmol M. McKenna 4,287 8,336 J. Preston A. Questrom D. Schwartz 13,185 C. Turpin 13,185 13,185 D. Young

(3) Quarterly cash payments for fiscal 2011 deferred in the form of stock units under

Foot Locker s stock

plan.

(4) Stock

payment

deferred

in the

form of

stock

units

under

Foot

Locker s

stock

plan.

Directors Retirement Plan

The Directors Retirement Plan was frozen as of December 31, 1995. Consequently, only Jarobin Gilbert Jr. and James E. Preston are entitled to receive a benefit under this plan when their service as directors ends because they had completed at least five years of service as directors on December 31, 1995. Messrs. Gilbert and Preston will receive an annual retirement benefit of \$24,000 for a period of 10 years after they leave the Board or until their death, if sooner.

Directors and Officers Indemnification and Insurance

We have purchased directors and officers liability and corporation reimbursement insurance from a group of insurers comprising ACE American Insurance Co., Zurich American Insurance Co., Arch Insurance Co., Federal Insurance Co., Navigators Insurance Co., and XL Insurance Bermuda Ltd. These policies insure the Company and all of the Company s wholly owned subsidiaries. They also insure all of the directors and officers of the Company and the covered subsidiaries. The policies were written for a term of 12 months, from October 12, 2011 until October 12, 2012. The total annual premium for these policies, including fees and taxes, is \$976,100. Directors and officers of the Company, as well as all other employees with fiduciary responsibilities under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, are insured under policies issued by a group of insurers comprising Arch Insurance Co., St. Paul Mercury Insurance Co., Federal Insurance Co., and Continental Casualty Co., which have a total premium, including fees and taxes, of \$308,750 for the 12-month period ending October 12, 2012.

The Company has entered into indemnification agreements with its directors and officers, as approved by shareholders at the 1987 annual meeting.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation and Risk

The Company has completed a risk-related review and assessment of our compensation program and considered whether our executive compensation is reasonably likely to result in a material adverse effect on the Company. As part of this review, the independent compensation consultant to the Compensation and Management Resources Committee reviewed risk in relation to the Company s compensation policies and practices with the Company s human resources executives directly involved in compensation matters. The consultant reviewed the compensation policies and practices in effect for

corporate and division employees through the manager level, store managers, and store associates and reviewed the features we have built into the compensation programs to discourage excessive risk taking by employees, including a balance between different elements of compensation, differing time periods for different elements, consistent Company-wide programs, plan performance targets based on the corporate budgeting process, and stock ownership guidelines for senior management.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This section explains our executive compensation program as it relates to the following named executive officers whose compensation information is presented in the tables following this discussion and analysis:

| Ken C. Hicks | Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer |
|-----------------------|---|
| Richard A. Johnson | Executive Vice President and Group President Retail Stores |
| Robert W. McHugh | Executive Vice President Operations Support and former Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |
| Lauren B. Peters | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |
| Gary M. Bahler | Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary |
| Jeffrey L. Berk | Senior Vice President Real Estate |
| Ronald J. Halls | Retired President and Chief Executive Officer Foot Locker International |
| 2011 Summary | |

Our executive compensation program is designed to attract, motivate, and retain talented retail company executives in order to maintain and enhance the Company s performance and its return to shareholders. In order to accomplish this, we have a compensation program for our executives that ties pay closely to performance. A significant portion of the compensation of each of the named executive officers shown in this year s Summary Compensation Table on page 38 is based on the Company s performance and the performance of our share price. The more senior an executive s position, the greater the portion of his or her compensation that is tied to performance. The Compensation and Management Resources Committee (the Compensation Committee), composed of five independent directors, oversees the compensation program.

Our 2011 Results. In 2011 we had the financial and operating results shown in the following table. These results represent continued progress toward the goals contained in our long-range plan.

| Financial Metric | 2011 | 2010 | Percent Increase 2011 over 2010 |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Sales | \$5,623 million | \$5,049 million | 11.4 % |
| Comparable Store Sales | 9.8% | 5.8% | |
| Net Income | \$278 million | \$169 million | 64 % |

| Earnings per Share | \$1.80 | \$1.07 | 68 % |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|------|
| Return on Invested Capital | 11.8% | 8.3% | |

End-of-Year Market Capitalization \$4.01 billion \$2.75 billion 46 %

Our total shareholder return (stock price appreciation plus reinvested dividends) in 2011 was 53 percent. During the year we transferred \$104 million to our shareholders through share repurchases and paid quarterly dividends to shareholders totaling \$101 million. Our market capitalization during the year increased by 46 percent, from \$2.75 billion to \$4.01 billion.

ROIC is a non-GAAP financial measure. There is a reconciliation to GAAP on Page 16 of our 2011 Form 10-K.

Base Salaries. The Chief Executive Officer s base salary was unchanged in 2011 from 2010. As part of the Compensation Committee s normal annual compensation review, the other named executive

officers received base salary increases ranging from 1.3 to 6.4 percent, which were based on the executive s performance and a position-oriented analysis of peer group salaries. In July 2011, Mr. Johnson was promoted to the position of Executive Vice President and Group President Retail Stores, and received an additional base salary increase of 7.4 percent, and Ms. Peters was promoted to the position of Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and received an additional base salary increase of 20 percent.

Mr. Halls retired from the Company in July 2011 in connection with a management reorganization that eliminated his position. The compensation shown for Mr. Halls in the Summary Compensation Table includes his base salary, annual bonus, and long-term incentive compensation for 2011, all prorated as of his retirement date.

Annual Bonus. Both our annual and long-term bonus programs are formula-driven, with targets established by the Compensation Committee based upon financial targets included in the business plan approved each year by our Finance and Strategic Planning Committee and Board of Directors. Our annual and long-term bonus programs for the named executive officers pay out based upon the Company s results, without individual performance adjustments.

At the beginning of 2011, the Compensation Committee established a performance target under the Annual Incentive Compensation Plan (the Annual Bonus Plan) based on the Company achieving pre-tax income of \$308.4 million, a 6 percent increase over 2010 pre-tax income. In 2011, the Company achieved pre-tax income of \$431.3 million, a 68 percent increase over 2010 and 40 percent greater than the target, which resulted in maximum annual cash bonuses of 218.75 percent of base salary for the Chief Executive Officer and 87.5 percent of base salary for the other named executive officers.

Long-Term Incentive Programs. In 2010, we made a change to our long-term incentive program. For years prior to 2010, the long-term bonus is determined based upon performance over a three-year performance measurement period and is paid in cash. Beginning in 2010, the bonus is determined based upon performance over a two-year performance measurement period, with an additional one-year holding period, and the award is denominated one-half in cash and one-half in restricted stock units (RSUs). Consequently, two long-term performance measurement periods ended in 2011 the 2009-2011 three-year performance measurement period under the old program and the 2010-2011 performance measurement period under the new program.

The pay-out for the three-year 2009-2011 performance measurement period was based upon a performance target established by the Compensation Committee under the Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan (the Long-Term Bonus Plan) in 2009. This target was based on the Company achieving annual average return-on-invested-capital (ROIC) of 5.91 percent for the three-year period. For the period, the Company achieved average annual ROIC of 8.22 percent, significantly in excess of the target, which resulted in maximum long-term cash bonuses of 180 percent of initial base salary being paid to the named executive officers.

The amount earned for the two-year 2010-2011 performance measurement period (which will not actually be paid to participants until 2013, following the completion of the additional one-year holding period) was based upon performance targets established by the Compensation Committee in 2010. The targets were based on the Company achieving average annual net income of \$104.8 million (which accounts for 70% of the pay-out) and ROIC of 6.54 percent (which accounts for 30% of the pay-out). For the period, the Company achieved average annual net income of \$225.7 million and ROIC of 10.23 percent, both significantly in excess of target. As a result, the named executive officers earned a maximum pay-out for the performance period for Mr. Hicks, 350 percent of initial base salary and for the other named executive officers, 150 percent of initial base salary. Pay-outs will be calculated and made one-half in cash and one-half in RSUs.

The pay-out to Mr. Hicks for the 2009-2011 performance measurement period was prorated for the portion of the performance period he was employed by the Company, and the pay-out to Mr. Johnson for a portion of the period was based on a lower target applicable to him as a division executive. For both performance measurement periods, the pay-out to Mr. Halls was pro-rated as of his retirement date in 2011. The base salaries used to calculate the pay-outs to

Mr. Johnson and Ms. Peters were adjusted to account for the promotion increases they received in 2011.

In 2011, the Compensation Committee established long-term incentive performance targets for the 2011-2012 performance measurement period based upon net income (70%) and ROIC (30%). The Committee will determine whether pay-outs have been earned for that performance period at the end of 2012. If pay-outs are earned, they will be calculated one-half in cash and one-half in RSUs, and actual payment will be made to participating executives following an additional one-year holding period, that is, in 2014. In addition, the Compensation Committee established a performance gate so that no pay-outs will be made for the 2011-2012 performance measurement period unless average annual after-tax income for the performance period equals or exceeds actual 2010 after-tax income.

As a consequence of the change in performance period, for compensation reporting purposes, two long-term bonus payments are shown in the Summary Compensation Table the 2009-2011 three-year performance measurement period under the old program and the cash portion of the pay-out under the 2010- 2011 two-year performance measurement period under the new program. The long-term incentive compensation shown as having been earned by the named executive officers in 2011 for the 2010-2011 two-year performance measurement period will not actually be paid to them until 2013. Going forward, only one long-term incentive performance measurement period will end each year. A breakdown of the incentive compensation payments for each of the named executive officers is shown in the table below:

| Executive Officer | 2011 Annual Bonus | 2009-2011 Long-Term Bonus | Cash Payout in 2012 for 2011 | Cash Portion of 2010-2011 Long-Term Bonus | Total as Shown in Summary Compensation Table |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| Ken C. Hicks | \$ 2,406,250 | \$ 1,622,802 | \$ 4,029,052 | \$ 1,925,000 | \$ 5,954,052 |
| Lauren B. Peters | 384,179 | 701,726 | 1,085,905 | 307,932 | 1,393,837 |
| Robert W. McHugh | 538,125 | 1,035,000 | 1,573,125 | 450,000 | 2,023,125 |
| Wichiugh | 330,123 | 1,033,000 | 1,373,123 | +50,000 | 2,023,123 |
| Richard A. Johnson | 670,104 | 1,049,272 | 1,719,376 | 546,841 | 2,266,217 |
| Gary M. Bahler | 470,936 | 945,000 | 1,415,936 | 399,638 | 1,815,574 |
| Jeffrey L. Berk | 426,146 | 851,513 | 1,277,659 | 361,893 | 1,639,552 |
| Ronald J. Halls | 342,891 | 1,126,236 | 1,469,127 | 435,327 | 1,904,454 |

Our annual and long-term incentive programs are performance-based. When we meet or exceed our targets, as we did in 2011, payments are made to participants, including the named executive officers. When we do not, as was the case in 2009, no payments are made. Following is a three-year history of bonus payments to our named executive officers:

Annual Bonus Plan Payout Long-Term Bonus Plan Payout

| 2011 | Maximum | 2010-11: Maximum |
|------|---------|------------------------------|
| | | 2009-11: Maximum |
| 2010 | Maximum | Between Threshold and Target |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 |

Stock Options. The Compensation Committee made stock option awards to each of the named executive officers in 2011. As part of its normal annual compensation review, the Committee awarded options to purchase 500,000 shares of common stock to Mr. Hicks; 80,000 shares to Messrs. Halls, Johnson, and McHugh, 50,000 shares to Mr. Bahler, and 40,000 shares to Ms. Peters and Mr. Berk. These stock options have a three-year vesting schedule, with one-third of the award vesting each year, conditioned on continued employment through the applicable vesting dates. The option price is \$18.84 per share, the closing price on the date of grant. Of the 500,000 shares granted to Mr. Hicks, the Compensation Committee viewed 300,000 shares as his normal annual grant and 200,000 shares as a special grant in recognition of his performance in 2010 and for retention purposes. The Compensation Committee also awarded 50,000 shares of time-based restricted stock to Mr. Hicks. This award cliff vests in three years, conditioned on continued employment through the vesting date, and was made in recognition of Mr. Hicks performance in 2010 and for retention purposes.

July 2011 Management Reorganization. In connection with the management reorganization that took place in July 2011, the Compensation Committee took additional action with regard to certain of the named executive officers, as follows:

In connection with Mr. Johnson s promotion to **Executive Vice** President and Group President Retail Stores, his annual base salary was increased from \$745,000 to \$800,000 and he was awarded 20,000 shares of restricted stock, cliff vesting in three years, conditioned on continued employment through the vesting date.

In connection with Mr. McHugh s promotion to Executive Vice President Operations Support, he was awarded 20,000 shares of restricted stock, cliff vesting in three years, conditioned on continued employment through the vesting date.

In connection with Ms. Peters promotion to Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, her annual base salary was increased from \$395,707 to \$475,000; she was awarded 40,000

options at an option price of \$24.75 (the closing price on the date of grant). This grant was in addition to the 40,000 options awarded to her as part of the normal annual compensation review, in order to bring Ms. Peters total option grant to a level consistent with option grants made to the other executive vice presidents. The options vest in three equal annual installments in the three-year period following the grant date, conditioned on continued employment through the vesting date. Additionally, she was awarded 20,000 shares of restricted stock, cliff vesting in three years, conditioned on continued employment through the vesting date.

Key Compensation Policies. In addition to the specific compensation programs outlined above, the Company has adopted a number of other policies related to executive compensation:

With regard to executive compensation matters, our Compensation Committee directly retains, and is advised by, an independent compensation consultant who performs no other work for the Company.

Other than with regard to our executive relocation program applicable to all executives, we do not provide a tax gross-up with regard to any compensation, benefit, or perquisite paid by the Company. This also encompasses any amount paid to an executive upon termination of employment or a change-incontrol.

We have stock ownership guidelines for our senior executives. In 2011, we required the Chief Executive Officer to hold shares having a value equal to or exceeding five times his base salary, and other senior executives, including the other named executive officers, of two

times base salary. All of the named executive officers met these stock ownership requirements as of the end of 2011. As of the beginning of 2012, we increased the stock ownership requirement for the Chief Executive Officer to six times base salary and for the executive vice presidents, to three times base salary.

With regard to the long-term incentive program, the Compensation Committee has established a performance gate so that no amounts can be paid-out under the program unless the Company s average annual after-tax income for the performance period exceeds the Company s after-tax income in the year prior to the commencement of the performance

period.

2011 Say-on-Pay Vote. At our 2011 annual meeting, 99 percent of shareholders voting on the advisory vote on executive compensation supported the executive compensation program. The Compensation Committee considered the results of the 2011 say-on-pay vote and shareholders—strong support of our executive compensation program. In light of this, in reviewing the executive compensation program for 2012, the Compensation Committee decided to retain the general overall program design implemented in 2010, which ties executives—pay closely with Company performance. In the future, the Compensation Committee will continue to consider the executive compensation program in light of changing circumstances and shareholder feedback.

In the balance of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis, we provide greater detail about our compensation program for the named executive officers.

* * *

25

What are the objectives of our compensation program?

The objectives of our compensation program are to attract, motivate, and retain talented retail industry executives in order to maintain and enhance the Company s performance and its return to shareholders.

What is our compensation program designed to reward?

We have designed our compensation program to align the financial interests of our executives, including the named executive officers, with those of our shareholders. For that reason, it is designed to reward the overall effort and contribution of our executives as measured by the Company s performance in relation to targets established by the Compensation Committee, more than individual performance. Key concepts underlying our program are:

Executive compensation should be balanced between annual and long-term compensation and between cash and equity-based compensation (stock options and RSUs).

The compensation program should align the interests of executives with those of the Company s shareholders by rewarding both efforts to increase the Company s share price and the achievement of performance goals that contribute to the Company s long-term health and growth.

A substantial portion of the compensation of our executives, whether paid out currently or on a long-term basis, should be

dependent on the Company s performance.

More-senior executives should have a greater portion of their compensation at risk, whether through performance-based bonus programs or through stock price appreciation.

What are our elements of compensation?

The elements of compensation for the named executive officers are:

base salary

performance-based annual cash bonus

performance-based long-term incentive, payable in a combination of cash and RSUs

long-term equity-based compensation (stock options and, in special situations, restricted stock)

retirement and other benefits

perquisites

Why do we pay each element of compensation and how do we determine the amount for each element of compensation, or the formula that determines the amount?

In 2011, as in prior years, we established benchmarks for base salary and total compensation for each named executive officer based upon a study conducted by ClearBridge Compensation Group, a nationally recognized compensation consultant. These benchmarks are based upon compensation for comparable positions at a peer group consisting of 21 national retail companies with annual sales of \$1 billion to \$10 billion. The Compensation Committee determined that these companies were the appropriate peer group for executive compensation purposes based upon the nature of their

business, their revenues, and the pool from which they recruit their executives. The peer group used in 2011 was unchanged from that used in 2010. The 21 companies included in the peer group were:

Abercrombie & Fitch Aeropostale, Inc.

American Eagle Outfitters Inc. ANN INC.

Borders Group, Inc. Brown Shoe Company, Inc.

Charming Shoppes Collective Brands Inc.

Dick s Sporting Goods Inc.

Family Dollar Stores

Genesco Inc.

Pacific Sunwear California Inc.

Quiksilver Inc.

Dillards Inc.

Finish Line Inc.

Limited Brands Inc.

Radioshack Corp.

Ross Stores Inc.

Talbots Inc.

Timberland Co.

Saks Inc.

Since the date of our 2011 compensation review, two of the companies included in the peer group Borders Group, Inc. and Timberland Co. are no longer publicly traded and will not be included in the peer group in future years.

The goal of the Compensation Committee is to provide competitive total compensation opportunities for the named executive officers that vary with Company performance. The Committee uses the peer group benchmark information as a reference point in evaluating executive compensation, but does not attempt to match the compensation of each executive position in the Company precisely with that of an equivalent position in the peer group. The Committee also takes into consideration factors such as performance, responsibility, experience, and length of time an executive has served in a position.

Base Salaries

We pay base salaries to provide our named executive officers with current, regular compensation that is appropriate to their position, experience, and responsibilities. We pay higher base salaries to those named executive officers with greater overall responsibility. Other than Mr. Hicks (whose rate of base pay did not change in 2011 from 2010), the other named executive officers received base salary increases in 2011 that ranged from 1.3 percent to 6.4 percent. These increases were determined based principally upon the executive s performance and his or her base salary as compared to salaries for comparable positions in the peer group. In addition, in connection with their promotions in July 2011, Mr. Johnson received an additional base salary increase of 7.4 percent and Ms. Peters, of 20 percent.

Performance-Based Annual Cash Bonus

We pay performance-based annual cash bonuses to our named executive officers under the Annual Bonus Plan in order to provide incentive for them to work toward the Company s achievement of annual performance goals established by the Compensation Committee. Payments are calculated as a percentage of actual base salary earned by the executive during the year.

Our Annual Bonus Plan allows the Compensation Committee, in establishing performance targets under the plan, to choose one or more performance measures from a list of ten factors that have been approved by our shareholders. For 2011, for the named executive officers, the Compensation Committee established a performance target under the Annual Bonus Plan based upon the Company s achievement of a prescribed level of pre-tax income. All bonus targets and calculations are based on the results of continuing operations. The performance targets established by the Compensation Committee are based upon the business plan and budget reviewed and approved each year by the Finance and Strategic Planning Committee and the Board of Directors.

The Annual Bonus Plan targets and the actual amount of pre-tax income achieved for 2011 were as follows:

| | Inresnola | Target | Maximum | Actual |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | | | |
| Pre-tax income | \$277.6 million | \$308.4 million | \$370.1 million | \$437.1 million |

Bonus pay-outs are calculated on the basis of straight-line interpolation between the threshold, target, and maximum points.

Target payments under the Annual Bonus Plan for the named executive officers and actual payments for 2011 based upon the Company s performance were as follows:

| | Target | Range | Actual 2011 Pay-out |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Mr. Hicks | 125% of Base Salary | 31.25 % to 218.75% of Base Salary | 218.75% of Base Salary |
| Messrs. McHugh, Johnson, Bahler, Berk, and Halls and Ms. Peters | 50% of Base Salary | 12.5% to 87.5% of Base Salary | 87.5% of Base Salary |

Mr. Halls annual bonus payment for 2011 was prorated as of his retirement date.

If the Company does not achieve threshold performance, as was the case in 2009, then no annual bonus would be paid. Executives who do not receive a meets expectations rating or higher in their annual performance review are normally ineligible to receive an annual bonus payment.

Performance-Based Long-Term Incentive Program

We pay performance-based long-term bonuses to our named executive officers in order to provide incentive for them to work toward the Company's achievement of performance goals established by the Compensation Committee for each performance period. In 2010, the Compensation Committee modified the way in which long-term bonuses are calculated and paid, and moved from a three-year performance measurement period to a two-year performance measurement period with an additional one-year holding period. As a result of this, for one year only 2011 two separate long-term incentive performance measurement periods ended. We separately discuss below the long-term bonus for the 2009-2011 performance measurement period and the long-term bonus for the 2010-2011 performance measurement period.

2009-2011 Performance Measurement Period

In 2009, the Compensation Committee established a target for the 2009-2011 performance measurement period under the Long-Term Bonus Plan based on the achievement of a target level of ROIC. This performance target was based upon the business plan and budget for the three-year period reviewed and approved by the Finance and Strategic Planning Committee and the Board of Directors. The Company must achieve 80 percent of target after-tax income on its planned invested capital base before a threshold-level bonus is paid; the maximum pay-out level is reached if after-tax income reaches 120 percent of target. The actual invested capital base will also fluctuate, and the final pay-out for the performance period will also depend upon the invested capital base achieved during the period. The target, along with the actual average three-year ROIC for the period, are shown in the table below:

Threshold Target Maximum Actual

Three-year average ROIC 5.3% 5.9% 6.5% 8.25%

Target long-term bonus payments for senior corporate officers for the 2009-2011 performance measurement period were established by the Compensation Committee in 2009 at the 90 percent level for all named executive officers, which was consistent with the Committee s practice in prior years. The target payment level, possible range of payments, and actual pay-out, based on the three-year average ROIC, were as follows:

| Target | Range | Actual |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 90% of Initial Base Salary | 22.5% to 180% of Initial Base Salary | 180% of Initial Base Salary |

If the Company does not achieve threshold performance, then no long-term bonus would be paid. Mr. Hicks participated for a pro-rated portion of the 2009-2011 performance period, beginning with the commencement of his employment by the Company in August 2009. Mr. Johnson participated in the Plan for the entire performance period; however, his target pay-out for 2009, when he was a divisional executive, was 50 percent of initial base salary, moving to 90 percent when he assumed a new position in 2010.

Pay-out levels are based on an executive s rate of base salary payable in the first year of the three-year performance measurement period. In addition, we adjust on a pro rata basis as applicable the rate of base salary on which pay-out levels are based and target bonus levels for promotions that occur during a performance measurement period.

2010-2011 Performance Measurement Period

In 2010, the Compensation Committee made certain changes to the compensation program for executives, which were more fully described in our 2011 Compensation Discussion and Analysis. One of the changes was to the long-term incentive program, with regard to which the Committee decided that:

Awards would be denominated 50 percent in cash, payable under the Long-Term Bonus Plan, and 50 percent in RSUs, payable under the Stock Incentive Plan. The same performance target is established for both the cash and RSU portions of the award.

The performance measurement period would be two, rather than three years.

While award pay-outs are calculated following the end of the two-year performance measurement period, payments would not be made to participants for another year that is, until the end of a three-year period.

The performance target would be based on net income (70 percent) and ROIC (30 percent).

The target awards for all of the named executive officers other than Mr. Hicks would be 75 percent of initial base salary, with a range from 18.75 percent at threshold to 150 percent at maximum performance. Given his greater responsibility for developing and implementing the Company s

long-term strategic plan, the Compensation Committee determined that Mr. Hicks target award would be 175 percent of initial base salary, with a range from 43.75 percent at threshold to 350 percent at maximum performance.

In 2010, the Compensation Committee established the net income and ROIC targets for the 2010-2011 performance measurement period. These performance targets were based upon the business plan and budget for the two-year period reviewed and approved by the Finance and Strategic Planning Committee and the Board of Directors. The targets, along with the actual performance for the period, are shown in the table below:

| | Threshold | Target | Maximum | Actual |
|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Average Annual Net Income | \$83.8 million | \$104.8 million | \$125.7 million | \$225.9 million |
| Two-year Average ROIC | 5.9% | 6.5% | 7.2% | 10.3% |

The target payment level, possible range of payments, and actual pay-out, based on the Company s actual performance measured against these performance goals was as follows:

| | Target | Range | Actual |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| | | | |
| Mr. Hicks | 175% of Initial Base Salary | 43.75% to 350% of Initial Base Salary | 350% of Initial Base Salary |
| Other Named Executive Officers | 75% of Initial Base Salary | 18.75% to 150% of Initial Base Salary | 150% of Initial Base Salary |

As noted above, the awards are denominated one-half in cash and one-half in RSUs. There is a one-year holding period, so that the payouts will not actually be made to executives until 2013. The RSUs allocated to each executive were valued at the closing price on the date of grant. The actual cash and RSU awards for each of the named executive officers for the 2010-11 performance period were as follows:

| | | Cash | RSUs |
|-------------|----|-----------|---------|
| Mr. Hicks | \$ | 1,925,000 | 127,484 |
| Ms. Peters | φ | 307,932 | 19,882 |
| Mr. McHugh | | 450,000 | 29,802 |
| Mr. Johnson | | 546,841 | 35,652 |
| Mr. Bahler | | 399,638 | 26,467 |
| Mr. Berk | | 361,893 | 23,967 |
| Mr. Halls | | 435,327 | 28,778 |

The amount shown for Mr. Halls was prorated as of his retirement date in 2011. In addition, the base salaries on which the awards were calculated were adjusted, on a pro rata basis, for the promotional base salary increases received by Mr. Johnson and Ms. Peters in July 2011.

The amounts included in the non-equity incentive plan compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table are composed of three elements: the 2011 annual bonus, the long-term bonus for the 2009-2011 performance measurement period, and the cash portion of the long-term bonus for the 2010-2011 performance measurement period. While the cash portion of the 2010-2011 long-term bonus will not actually be paid to the executives until 2013, under SEC rules, we are showing it in the Summary Compensation Table this year. The table on page 39 summarizes the amounts that are included in the incentive plan compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table.

Provisions Applicable to All Performance Periods

ROIC is a non-GAAP financial measure. For purposes of calculating the long-term bonus, we define ROIC as follows:

ROIC = Operating Profit after Taxes
Average Invested Capital

Operating Profit after Taxes (Numerator)= Average Invested Capital (Denominator)=

Pre-tax income

Average total assets

- average cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments

+ implied interest portion of operating lease payments

- average year-end inventory

+/- Unusual/non-recurring items

- non-interest-bearing current liabilities

+ Long-term bonus expense

+ 13-month average inventory

= Earnings before long-term bonus expense, interest and

+ average estimated asset base of capitalized operating

leases

- Estimated income tax expense

taxes

= Operating Profit after Taxes

= Average Invested Capital

Certain items used in the calculation of ROIC for bonus purposes, such as the implied interest portion of operating lease payments, certain unusual or non-recurring items, average estimated asset base of capitalized operating leases, and 13-month average inventory, while calculated from our financial records, cannot be calculated from our audited financial statements. Prior to the Compensation Committee s determining whether bonus targets have been achieved, the Company s independent registered public accounting firm, at the request, and for the restricted use, of the Compensation Committee, reviews the bonus calculations. There is a calculation of basic ROIC, which is not precisely the same as the calculation used for incentive compensation purposes because of the exclusion of certain extraordinary items (see discussion below of disregarded items), and a reconciliation to GAAP, on Page 16 of our 2011 Form 10-K.

Clawback Policy

We do not have a formal policy with regard to the adjustment or recovery of incentive payments if it is determined, at a future date, that the relevant performance measures upon which the payments are based are restated or adjusted. We have not had this situation arise, and if it were to arise, we would expect to make an evaluation at that time based upon the circumstances and the role of each individual executive in the events that gave rise to the restatement or adjustment. We expect to review this and establish a formal policy once the Securities and Exchange Commission has

issued final clawback rules.

Items Disregarded for Annual Bonus and Long-Term Incentive Calculations

Under normal circumstances, the Compensation Committee has no discretion to increase annual bonus or long-term incentive payments, which are formula-driven based upon Company performance, and our program for the named executive officers does not provide for discretionary adjustments based upon individual performance. The Compensation Committee has not adjusted, either upward or downward, any of the annual bonus or long-term incentive payments to the named executive officers shown in the Summary Compensation Table from pay-outs calculated based upon the applicable formula. When determining bonus and incentive payments, consistent with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, the Committee is required to disregard certain events that it determines to be unusual or non-recurring. When establishing the targets, the Committee normally specifies certain items that it considers to be unusual or non-recurring, and these events, if they occur, are automatically excluded when calculating payments. All of the references in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis to target and actual performance levels refer to amounts after taking into consideration these adjustments.

Long-Term Equity-Based Awards

A. Stock Options

We make stock option awards to our named executive officers in order to more closely align their interests with those of our shareholders. Equity-based awards are the responsibility of the Compensation Committee, which is composed entirely of independent directors. The Committee awards stock options with exercise prices equal to the fair market value of our stock on the date of grant. Therefore, executives who receive stock options will only realize value if there is appreciation in the share price.

Stock option awards of the same size are normally made each year to executives holding comparable positions, with larger awards being made to those with greater responsibility. Under the 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, fair market value is defined as the closing price on the grant date. The Compensation Committee has not granted options with an exercise price of less than the fair market value on the grant date. Options normally vest at the rate of one-third of the total grant per year over the first three years of the ten-year option term, subject to accelerated vesting in certain circumstances. The Compensation Committee does not normally consider an executive s gains from prior stock awards in making new awards.

B. Restricted Stock Units

As noted above in our discussion of the Performance-Based Long-Term Bonus Incentives, beginning with the 2010-2011 performance measurement period, one-half of the long-term bonus award is denominated in RSUs.

C. Restricted Stock

We normally make restricted stock awards only in special circumstances, such as related to promotions, special performance, or retention, rather than as part of an executive s normal compensation package. In 2011, in accordance with this policy, the Compensation Committee made the following restricted stock awards, all of which cliff vest on the third anniversary of the award:

| Executive | No. of Shares | Reason for Award |
|-------------|------------------|--|
| Mr. Hicks | 50,000 | In recognition of the Company s performance in 2010 and for retention |
| Mr. Johnson | 20,000 | In connection with his promotion to Executive Vice President and Group President Retail Stores |
| Mr. McHugh | 20,000 | In connection with his promotion to Executive Vice President Operations Support |
| Ms. Peters | 20,000 | In connection with her promotion to Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |

D. Stock Ownership Guidelines

We have adopted stock ownership guidelines for our directors and senior executives, including the named executive officers, in order to encourage a meaningful financial investment in the Company and thus further align the interests of our senior executives with those of our shareholders. In 2011, the guidelines required that the Chief Executive Officer own shares having a value at least equal to five times his base salary and that the other named executive officers own shares having a value at least equal to two times base salary. In determining whether an executive meets the guidelines, we consider owned shares, restricted stock, and restricted stock units, but we do not consider stock options. As of the end of 2011, all of the named executive officers met these stock ownership guidelines. As of 2012,

we have increased the stock ownership guideline for the Chief Executive Officer to six times base salary and for the executive vice presidents to three times base salary.

We do not permit our executive officers to take short or long positions in our shares or to hedge their economic interest in their shares.

Retirement and Other Benefits

A. Retirement Plan and Excess Cash Balance Plan

All United States-based associates of the Company who meet the eligibility requirements are participants in the Foot Locker Retirement Plan. The Retirement Plan and the method of calculating benefits payable under it are described on page 70. All of the named executive officers are participants in the Retirement Plan. The Internal Revenue Code limits the amount of compensation that may be taken into consideration in determining an individual s retirement benefits. Therefore, those participants in the Retirement Plan, including the named executive officers, whose compensation exceeds the Internal Revenue Code limit are also participants in the Excess Cash Balance Plan, described on page 70, which provides a benefit equal to the difference between the amount a participant receives from the Retirement Plan and the amount the participant would have received were it not for the Internal Revenue Code limits.

B. 401(k) Plan

The Company maintains a 401(k) Plan for its eligible U.S. associates, and all of the named executive officers other than Mr. Berk participate in it. The 401(k) Plan permits participants to contribute the lesser of 40 percent of eligible compensation or the limit prescribed by the Internal Revenue Code to the 401(k) Plan on a before-tax basis. The Company will match 25 percent of the first 4 percent of pay that is contributed to the 401(k) Plan, and the Summary Compensation Table includes, in All Other Compensation, the amount of the Company match for each of the named executive officers. The Company match is made in shares of Company stock, valued on the last trading day of the plan year. Participants in the 401(k) Plan may diversify their matching contributions at any time into any of the other investment options available under the plan at any time.

C. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

The Company maintains a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP), described on page 71, for certain senior officers of the Company and other key employees, including the named executive officers. The SERP is an unfunded plan administered by the Compensation Committee, which sets an annual target incentive award for each participant consisting of a percentage of base salary and annual bonus based on the Company's performance against target. Contributions range from 4 percent to 12 percent of base salary and annual bonus, depending on the Company's performance against the established target, with an 8 percent contribution being made for target performance. The target established by the Compensation Committee under the SERP is normally the same as the target performance under the Annual Bonus Plan. Participant accounts accrue simple interest at the rate of 6 percent annually. The SERP also provides for the continuation of medical and dental insurance benefits to vested participants following their retirement.

Based upon the Company s performance in 2011, a credit of 12 percent of 2011 base salary and annual bonus was made to the SERP for each of the named executive officers. As of the end of 2011, the account balances of the named executive officers ranged from \$450,933 for Mr. McHugh to \$1,095,191 for Mr. Bahler. Under the terms of the SERP, executives are vested in their account balances based upon a combination of age and service. As of the end of 2011, Mr. Johnson, Mr. McHugh, Mr. Berk, and Mr. Bahler were vested in the SERP and Mr. Hicks and Ms. Peters had not met the plan s age plus service vesting requirements. Mr. Halls was vested in the SERP at the time of his retirement in 2011.

The Retirement Plan takes into account only base salary and annual bonus in determining pension benefits. Credits to our SERP are based only on base salary and annual bonus. Therefore, stock awards have no effect on the calculation of benefits or payments under these plans.

Perquisites

We provide the named executive officers with certain perquisites, which the Compensation Committee believes are reasonable and consistent with its overall objective of attracting and retaining talented retail industry executives. The Company provides the named executive officers with an automobile allowance, financial planning, medical expense reimbursement, annual physical, supplemental long-term disability insurance, and life insurance. In addition, the Company reimburses Mr. Hicks for the reasonable expenses of using a car service for transportation in the New York metropolitan area.

We also reimbursed Mr. Halls for a limited amount of travel expenses for his spouse when she accompanied him on business trips in 2011. Given the responsibility that Mr. Halls had for our international businesses, and the amount of time he spent traveling outside the United States on Company business, we considered this to be a reasonable perquisite uniquely applicable to his situation and responsibilities.

We do not provide a gross-up to executives for the income tax liability they incur due to the perquisites they receive. The only exception to this is that, pursuant to our relocation policy applicable to all executives, we provide a tax gross-up to executives for moving and other relocation expenses that we reimburse.

How does each element of compensation fit into our overall compensation objectives? How does each element affect our decisions regarding other elements?

As stated at the beginning of this discussion and analysis, the objectives of our compensation program are to attract, motivate, and retain talented retail industry executives in order to maintain and enhance the Company s performance and its return to shareholders.

Base salaries fit into these compensation objectives by attracting and retaining talented retail company executives by paying them base salaries commensurate with their position, experience and responsibilities.

The performance-based annual and long-term incentive plans are designed to reward executives for enhancing the Company s performance

through the achievement of performance targets.

Long-term equity-based awards are designed to reward executives for increasing our return to our shareholders through increases in our stock price. Restricted stock awards may, in addition, serve to help retain key executives.

Base salaries of named executive officers rarely change materially from year-to-year unless there has been a change in responsibility or other special factors apply. Bonus target pay-outs, both annual and long-term, are established by level of position. Mr. Hicks annual bonus target is specified in his employment agreement. In determining total compensation, stock options are valued by the Committee s outside compensation consultant using the Black-Scholes model. Awards of RSUs and restricted stock awards are valued based upon the share price at the time of grant. The goal of the Compensation Committee is to balance annual, mid-term, and long-term compensation opportunities, as well as balance the mix of cash and equity in the executive compensation program.

Compensation Plans and Risk

We believe that our compensation program encourages our named executive officers to take energetic action to improve the Company s performance without encouraging them to take undue risk. The annual and long-term incentive elements of the program are paid based upon performance as compared to the Company s annual and two-year business plans, which are prepared each year by the Company s management and reviewed and approved by the Finance and Strategic Planning Committee and the Board of Directors. While in some years these business plans have proven to be aggressive as shown in hindsight when the plans are not achieved and bonuses are not paid our history suggests that, on balance, they are reasonably achievable under normal business conditions. This encourages our executives to manage the business well without pressuring them to take undue risks in order to obtain a bonus payment.

Our equity-based compensation for the named executive officers is designed with a similar goal in mind. Equity grants are reasonable in relation to overall compensation. Stock options normally vest ratably over a three-year period and have a 10-year term, reducing the risk that an executive will take short- term action to inflate the price of the Company s stock for a brief period.

Beginning with the 2010-2011 performance measurement period, long-term incentive pay-outs are calculated at the conclusion of the two-year performance measurement period, but not actually paid to the participant until an additional year has passed. In addition to serving as a retention vehicle, this also requires that the executive continue to have the value of the stock portion of his or her award at risk, dependent on fluctuations in stock price, for an additional year. It also allows a year to pass in which any issues concerning the Company s operating or financial performance may surface before payments are made.

In addition, there are certain other factors related to our compensation programs for the named executive officers that we believe help reduce the likelihood that our compensation programs will encourage our executives to take undue risk:

As the bonus targets are based on the business plan, any significant deviation from the plan undertaken by management during the course of the year must be reviewed and approved by the Board of Directors.

As a retail company, we believe that one of the more significant risks we run is to encourage management to achieve profit without taking into account the capital used, particularly working capital

invested in inventory. We have therefore designed our long-term incentive plan for senior management, including the named executive officers, to take into account ROIC as well as net income in determining whether a bonus will be paid.

We have

designed our plans so that executives who receive a Not Meeting Expectations or Unsatisfactory rating under the Company s annual performance appraisal process are not eligible to receive an annual bonus payment. This helps prevent an individual executive from taking any action inconsistent with the business plan or otherwise exposing the

Company to

undue risk.

Cash incentive payments and equity grants are not outsized in relation to base salary. At target, the Chief Executive Officer has the opportunity to earn 125 percent of his base salary in annual bonus and 175 percent of his base salary in long-term bonus. Comparable percentages for the other named executive officers are 50 percent and 75 percent.

Annual cash bonus and the cash portion of the long-term bonus awards to executives are capped and do not include excessive leverage. Bonus pay-outs are calculated on the basis of straight-line interpolation between the threshold, target, and

maximum points.

There is a balance between annual, mid-term, and long-term compensation plans for executives, as well as a balance

between the use of cash and

equity.

Please see Page 21 of the proxy statement for a discussion of compensation and risk in our compensation plans more generally, and the procedures we followed to evaluate this.

Compensation Committee Procedure

The Compensation Committee normally holds two scheduled meetings for the purpose of considering executive compensation. In 2011, the Committee followed this procedure and, in addition, held two additional meetings one in May to consider compensation changes for those executives, including three of the named executive officers, whose responsibilities changed during the course of the year, and one in November to consider certain possible changes to the executive compensation program for 2012.

The Compensation Committee has retained as its advisor an executive compensation consultant Compensation Advisory Partners that is independent and performs no other work for the Company. Compensation Advisory Partners is retained directly by the Compensation Committee, reports to it directly and meets with the Committee privately, without management present, and regularly communicates privately with the Chair of the Committee. Management utilizes the services of a

different compensation consultant to provide advice on the executive compensation program and plan design.

At its first scheduled meeting, held in February, the Committee reviewed a report from the Company s outside compensation consultant on the Company s executive compensation program, general executive compensation trends, trends in the retail industry, and specific background information on each senior management position. The Committee s compensation consultant reviewed a report on risk in relation to the Company s compensation policies and practices. The Committee also reviewed a report from its compensation consultant providing a pay-for-performance analysis of our executive compensation program and a separate report providing a review of the Chief Executive Officer s compensation. The Committee held a private meeting with its consultant, without management present.

Based upon the material reviewed and the discussion of the Committee at this meeting, our Senior Vice President Human Resources and the Human Resources Department, working with our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, prepared compensation recommendations, covering all elements of compensation, for all corporate officers and heads of our operating divisions, other than the Chief Executive Officer himself. These were then forwarded to the Chair of the Compensation Committee for his review. There were also discussions between the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and the Chair of the Compensation Committee with regard to these proposals. Based upon input from the Chair of the Compensation Committee, the Human Resources Department then finalized these recommendations and prepared material for review by the Compensation Committee. Our Senior Vice President and General Counsel also attended meetings of the Compensation Committee and participated in some of these discussions and preparations.

The Compensation Committee held a second regularly scheduled meeting in March to consider these recommendations and set compensation for the Company s executives. At this meeting, the Committee reviewed a spreadsheet that set out all elements of proposed compensation for each of the Company s senior executives, including the named executive officers, in order to assist in its evaluation of the compensation proposals for 2011.

At this meeting the Committee also discussed privately, without management being present, compensation for the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer for 2011, established his target awards under the Annual Bonus Plan and long-term incentive program, and made the stock awards to him shown in the table on Page 41.

Except in the case of promotions or other unusual circumstances, the Compensation Committee considers stock awards only at this meeting, which is normally held within a few weeks following the issuance of the Company s full-year earnings release for the prior year. It is also at this meeting that the Compensation Committee determined whether performance targets under the Annual Bonus Plan for the prior year and under the long-term incentive program for the performance period that ended in the prior year had been achieved, determined the amount of annual and long-term bonus pay-outs, and established annual bonus targets for the upcoming year and long-term bonus targets for the upcoming two-year performance period.

In 2011, in addition to the stock option awards to the named executive officers made at the Compensation Committee s regularly scheduled meeting in March, at a special meeting in May the Committee also made restricted stock and, in the case of Ms. Peters, stock option, awards to the three named executive officers (Mr. Johnson, Mr. McHugh, and Ms. Peters) who moved to new positions on July 1. At this meeting, the Committee also approved increases in base salary for Mr. Johnson and Ms. Peters.

In 2011, the Compensation Committee also met in November to consider certain possible changes to the executive compensation program for 2012.

The Compensation Committee has delegated authority to its Chair to approve stock option awards of up to 25,000 shares to any single individual other than a corporate officer. The Chair generally uses this authority to approve stock option grants made during the course of the year in connection with promotions or new hires. In 2011, the Chair used this authority to approve grants of options to two executives, who were not named executive officers, to purchase a

total of 11,500 shares. Those options are priced at fair market value on the date the Chair signs the approval. Neither the Compensation

Committee nor its Chair has delegated authority to management to make stock option, restricted stock, or RSU awards.

Executive Employment Agreements

As more fully described on pages 50 to 53, we have employment agreements with each of our named executive officers. Other than the agreement with Mr. Hicks, which was separately negotiated when he joined the Company in 2009, the agreements with the named executive officers are in the same form.

Our employment agreements with the named executive officers provide for severance payments to the executive if we terminate the executive s employment without cause or if we give the executive good reason to terminate employment. These payments to the named executive officers, calculated as if termination of employment occurred at the end of our last fiscal year, are set out in the tables on pages 55 to 69.

The named executive officers receive an enhanced severance payment if the executive s employment is terminated without cause or if the executive terminates employment for good reason within two years following a change-in-control. For an executive to receive the enhanced severance payment, two events must occur: first, employment must be terminated for one of the specified reasons, and second, this termination must occur within two years following a change-in-control. We believe that these provisions, which we have had in place for a number of years, provide appropriate protection to our executives, comparable to that available at other publicly traded companies, and, with regard to the enhanced severance following a change-in-control, protect us from losing key executives during a period when a change-in-control may be threatened or pending. None of the named executive officers is entitled to a gross-up payment upon a change-in-control.

All of the named executive officers have agreed in their employment contracts not to compete with the Company for two years following the termination of employment and not to hire Company employees during that same period. This restriction does not apply following a change-in-control.

Accounting and Tax Considerations

While we review both the accounting and tax effects of various components of compensation, these effects are not a significant factor in the Compensation Committee's allocation of compensation among the different components. In general, it is our position that compensation paid to executive officers should be fully deductible for U.S. tax purposes, and we have structured our bonus and option programs so that payments made under them are deductible. In certain instances, however, we believe that it is in the Company's best interests, and that of its shareholders, to have the flexibility to pay compensation that is not deductible under the limitations of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code in order to provide a compensation package consistent with our program and objectives. The portion of base salary paid to Mr. Hicks that exceeds \$1,000,000, the value of time-based restricted stock awards made to him, and potentially a portion of the value of time-based restricted stock awards made to one or more of the other named executive officers, are not expected to be deductible.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation and Management Resources Committee of the Board of Directors has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management and, based on that review and discussion, has recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

Alan D. Feldman, *Chair* James E. Preston Allen Questrom

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

| (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) | (f) | (g) Non-Equity | (h) Change in Pension Value and Nonqualifie |
|---------------------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Name and Principal Position (1) | Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$)(2) | Stock Awards (\$)(3)(4) | Option Awards (\$)(3) | Incentive Plan Compensation(\$) | Deferred Compensati |
| Ken C. Hicks | 2011 | 1,100,000 | 500,000 | 2,867,015 | 2,878,750 | 5,954,052 | 520,474 |
| Chairman, President | 2010 | 1,100,000 | 500,000 | 1,741,431 | 1,339,500 | 2,776,881 | 428,331 |
| and CEO | 2009 | 506,349 | 1,000,000 | 5,050,000 | 1,753,860 | | 19,855 |
| Lauren B. Peters | 2011 | 439,061 | | 827,696 | 549,216 | 1,393,837 | 174,519 |
| Executive VP and | 2010 | 380,414 | | 260,619 | 178,600 | 588,810 | 126,894 |
| CFO | 2009 | 369,334 | | 248,250 | 71,730 | | 95,901 |
| Robert W. McHugh | 2011 | 615,000 | | 960,009 | 460,600 | 2,023,125 | 220,847 |
| Executive VP | 2010 | 593,750 | | 407,095 | 357,200 | 903,634 | 167,909 |
| Operations Support | 2009 | 562,500 | | 248,250 | 71,730 | | 87,952 |
| Richard A. Johnson | 2011 | 765,833 | | 1,078,663 | 460,600 | 2,266,217 | 271,336 |
| Executive VP and | 2010 | 700,000 | | 1,878,945 | 357,200 | 908,686 | 220,127 |
| Group President | 2009 | 525,000 | | 248,250 | 71,730 | 407,822 | 85,301 |
| Retail Stores | | | | | | | |
| Gary M. Bahler | 2011 | 538,213 | | 405,003 | 287,875 | 1,815,574 | 317,599 |
| Senior VP, General | 2010 | 530,881 | | 361,539 | 178,600 | 828,346 | 239,847 |
| Counsel and | 2009 | 524,975 | | 248,250 | 71,730 | | 240,552 |
| Secretary | | | | | | | |
| Jeffrey L. Berk | 2011 | 487,024 | | 366,400 | 230,300 | 1,639,552 | 221,502 |
| Senior VP Real | 2010 | 480,159 | | 327,389 | 178,600 | 747,972 | 182,936 |
| Estate | 2009 | 473,063 | | 248,250 | 71,730 | | 138,282 |

| Ronald J. Halls | 2011 | 391,875 | 148,459 | 450,344 | 1,904,454 | 22,535 |
|----------------------|------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Retired President | 2010 | 766,875 | 524,134 | 357,200 | 1,156,116 | 217,229 |
| and CEO | 2009 | 750,000 | 1,020,000 | 299,735 | | 106,393 |

Foot Locker,

Inc.

International

Notes to Summary Compensation Table

(1) Ken C. Hicks has served as Chairman of the Board since January 31, 2010 and as President and Chief Executive Officer since August 17, 2009.

Lauren B. Peters has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since July 1, 2011. Prior to this, she served as Senior Vice President Strategic Planning.

Robert W. McHugh has served as Executive Vice President Operations Support since July 1, 2011. He previously served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from May 1, 2009 to June 30, 2011 and as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer prior to May 1, 2009.

Richard A. Johnson has served as

Executive Vice President and Group President Retail Stores since July 1, 2011. He served as President and Chief **Executive Officer of** Foot Locker U.S., Lady Foot Locker, Kids Foot Locker, and Footaction from January 8, 2010 to June 30, 2011 and as President and Chief **Executive Officer of** Foot Locker Europe prior to January 8, 2010.

Ronald J. Halls served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Foot Locker, Inc. International through May 26, 2011. He retired from the Company on July 31, 2011.

- This column reflects the sign-on bonus Mr. Hicks received in connection with the commencement of his employment in August 2009, a portion of which was paid on his employment commencement date in 2009, with the balance paid to him over a two-year period on the first and second anniversaries of his employment date.
- (3) The amounts in these columns

reflect the stock and option awards granted in the designated years. The amounts represent the aggregate grant date fair value of the awards granted in each respective year calculated in accordance with stock-based compensation accounting rules (ASC Topic 718). A discussion of the assumptions used in computing the award values may be found in Note 21 to our financial statements in our Form 10-K for 2011. As provided under the SEC s rules, the amounts

shown exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions and include for restricted stock awards expected dividend payments at the same rate as paid on our shares of Common Stock. Please see the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table on Page 41 for additional information on awards granted in 2011. The amounts shown in the table do not necessarily reflect the actual value that may be recognized by the named executives.

(4) The amounts in column (e) include for all of the named executives the grant date fair value of performance-based restricted stock units (RSUs) granted in 2011 for the long-term performance measurement period of 2011-2012 and in 2010 for the long-term performance measurement period of 2010-2011, valued at grant date based upon the probable outcome of meeting the performance

conditions. The amounts shown reflect the achievement of the maximum level performance, are consistent with the estimate of the aggregate compensation cost to be recognized over the service period determined at the grant date under FASB ASC Topic 718, and exclude the effect of estimated forfeitures. The value shown for Mr. Halls for 2011 is pro rated to his retirement date. For 2011, column (e) also includes for Messrs. Hicks, Johnson and McHugh and Ms. Peters, their 2011 restricted stock awards and, for 2010, Mr. Johnson s restricted stock award. Please see the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table on Page 41 for additional information on the awards granted in 2011.

(5) For 2011, this column reflects the sum of the cash incentive payments made in 2012 under the Annual Incentive Compensation Plan (Annual Bonus

Plan) for 2011 and the Long-Term Incentive program (LTI) for the 2009-2011 performance measurement period, and the cash portion of the earned LTI incentive for the 2010-2011 performance measurement period that is payable in 2013 if the executive continues to be employed by us on the payment date, as shown in the following table. For 2009, this column reflects the payment made to Mr. Johnson under the Foot Locker Europe annual bonus plan; none of the other executives received an annual bonus for 2009.

Payouts in 2012

Payout in 2013

| Name | Annual Bonus Plan Cash Payment for 2011 | | Period Bon | | Total Cash Bonus Payments Received in 2012 | | LTI 2010-2011 Performance Period (Cash Payout Earned Payable in 2013) | | Total as Shown in Summary mpensation Table | |
|-----------|--|-----------|------------|-----------|--|-----------|---|-----------|--|-----------|
| K. Hicks | \$ | 2,406,250 | \$ | 1,622,802 | \$ | 4,029,052 | \$ | 1,925,000 | \$ | 5,954,052 |
| L. Peters | | 384,179 | | 701,726 | | 1,085,905 | | 307,932 | | 1,393,837 |
| R. | | 538,125 | | 1,035,000 | | 1,573,125 | | 450,000 | | 2,023,125 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: FOOT LOCKER INC - Form DEF 14A

| McHugh | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| | | | | | |
| R. | | | | | |
| Johnson | 670,104 | 1,049,272 | 1,719,376 | 546,841 | 2,266,217 |
| | | | | | |
| G. Bahler | 470,936 | 945,000 | 1,415,936 | 399,638 | 1,815,574 |
| | | | | | |
| J. Berk | 426,146 | 851,513 | 1,277,659 | 361,893 | 1,639,552 |
| | | | | | |
| R. Halls | 342,891 | 1,126,236 | 1,469,127 | 435,327 | 1,904,454 |

- (6) Amounts shown in column (h) represent the annual change in pension value during each of our last three fiscal years for each of the executives. Please see Page 72 for more information on 2011 pension benefits.
- (7) This column includes perquisites and other compensation, and the amounts attributable to the executives for 2011 are shown in the tables below. We valued these perquisites at the incremental cost to the Company of

providing the personal benefits to the executives, which represents the actual cost attributable to providing these personal benefits. Please note:

The amounts shown for financial planning and medical expense reimbursement reflect amounts reimbursed in 2011, which may also include reimbursement of amounts submitted in 2011 for expenses incurred in 2010.

The amounts shown in the table under the 401(k) Match column represent the dollar value of the Company s matching contribution under the Foot Locker 401(k) Plan made to the named executive s account in shares of Common

Stock. The shares of stock for the 2011 matching contribution were valued at \$24.075 per share.

39

The amounts shown under the column Accrual for Post-Termination Medical reflect the amounts accrued in 2011 for the actuarial present value of the future cost of providing this benefit to these individuals.

For Mr. Halls, the relocation expense reimbursement and related tax gross-up relates to his relocation from the United States to New Zealand following his retirement in July 2011 in accordance with the terms of the Company s agreement with Mr. Halls and the Company s executive relocation policy.

| Name | Auto Allowance Ro | Car Service Expense Reimbursement | Financial t Planning Ro | - | | | Accrual for Post- Termination Medical | Universal Life Insurance Premium | 40 Ma |
|---------------|----------------------|--|----------------------------|-------|-----|--------|--|---|----------|
| K. Hicks | 28,915 | 11,440 | 8,270 | 9,344 | 968 | 12,515 | 158,209 | 6,745 | 2 |
| L. Peters | 8,970 | | | 2,013 | 495 | | 285,190 | 1,878 | 2 |
| R. McHugh | 13,750 | | | 5,000 | 618 | | 180,275 | | 2 |
| R. Johnson | | | 14,215 | 4,642 | 555 | 6,075 | 180,275 | 3,924 | 1 |
| G. Bahler | 14,932 | | 8,270 | 4,056 | | 5,565 | 106,239 | 2,130 | 2 |

J. Berk 963 6,491 140,923

| Name | Auto AllowanceR | Medical Expense Leimbursem R e | Spousal Travel H mbursem d | Relocation Expense Reimbursemen | Tax Gross-Up on atRelocation | 20,0101100 | Auto Lease Cancellation eimbursement | Total |
|-------------|--------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|---|---------|
| R. Halls | 17,614 | 5,000 | 29,452 | 69,637 | 56,577 | 795,000 | 19,740 | 993,020 |
| | | | | 40 | | | | |

The following **Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table** shows the awards made to the named executive officers in 2011 under the Annual Bonus Plan and the Long-Term Bonus Plan, as well as the restricted stock, restricted stock unit, and stock option awards under the Company s Stock Incentive Plan.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

Estimated Future Payouts

Estimated Future Payouts

| | | | Non-Equity In Plan Awards | | Unde | er Equity Inc Plan Award | entive | |
|---------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|
| (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) | (f) | (g) | (h) | |
| Name | Grant Date | Threshold (\$) | Target (\$) | Maximum (\$) | Threshold (#) | Target (#) | Maximum (#) | O So Aw Num Sh of S |
| K. Hicks | 03/23/11(1) | 343,750 | 1,375,000 | 2,406,250 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | () | |
| | 03/23/11(2) | 240,625 | 962,500 | 1,925,000 | | | | |
| | 03/23/11(2) | · | | | 12,773 | 51,089 | 102,177 | |
| | 03/23/11(3) | | | | | | | |
| | 03/23/11(4) | | | | | | | 5 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| L. Peters | 03/23/11(1) | 49,463 | 197,854 | 346,244 | | | | |
| | 03/23/11(2) | 37,098 | 148,390 | 296,780 | | | | |
| | 03/23/11(2) | | | | 1,970 | 7,877 | 15,753 | |
| | 05/26/11(2) | 5,896 | 23,586 | 47,171 | | | | |
| | 05/26/11(2) | | | | 239 | 953 | 1,906 | |
| | 03/23/11(3) | | | | | | | |
| | 05/26/11(3) | | | | | | | |
| | 05/26/11(4) | | | | | | | 2 |
| R. | 00/00/14/4 | | 210.000 | 7.10.7 00 | | | | |
| McHugh | 03/23/11(1) | 77,500 | 310,000 | 542,500 | | | | |
| | 03/23/11(2) | 58,125 | 232,500 | 465,000 | 2.006 | 10.041 | 24.602 | |
| | 03/23/11(2) | | | | 3,086 | 12,341 | 24,682 | |
| | 03/23/11(3) | | | | | | | |
| | 05/26/11(4) | | | | | | | 2 |
| R. Johnson | 03/23/11(1) | 93,125 | 372,500 | 651,875 | | | | |
| | | , | , | , - | | | | |

Edgar Filing: FOOT LOCKER INC - Form DEF 14A

| | 03/23/11(2) | 69,844 | 279,375 | 558,750 | | | | |
|-----------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------|-------|--------|--------|---|
| | 03/23/11(2) | | | | 3,708 | 14,829 | 29,658 | |
| | 05/26/11(2) | 4,090 | 16,360 | 32,720 | | | | |
| | 05/26/11(2) | | | | 166 | 661 | 1,322 | |
| | 03/23/11(3) | | | | | | | |
| | 05/26/11(4) | | | | | | | 2 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| G. Bahler | 03/23/11(1) | 67,500 | 270,000 | 472,500 | | | | |
| | 03/23/11(2) | 50,625 | 202,500 | 405,000 | | | | |
| | 03/23/11(2) | | | | 2,688 | 10,749 | 21,497 | |
| | 03/23/11(3) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| J. Berk | 03/23/11(1) | 61,066 | 244,262 | 427,459 | | | | |
| | 03/23/11(2) | 45,799 | 183,197 | 366,393 | | | | |
| | 03/23/11(2) | | | | 2,431 | 9,724 | 19,448 | |
| | 03/23/11(3) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| R. Halls | 03/23/11(1) | 99,375 | 397,500 | 695,625 | | | | |
| | 03/23/11(2) | 74,531 | 298,125 | 596,250 | | | | |
| | 03/23/11(2) | | | | 3,957 | 15,825 | 31,649 | |
| | 03/23/11(3) | | | | | | | |
| 37 | CDID | 7 4 7 777 7 7 | | | | | | |

Notes to Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

(1) Annual Incentive Awards

Amounts shown reflect the payment levels at threshold, target, and maximum performance for the 2011 fiscal year under the Annual Bonus Plan and reflect the potential amounts that would be paid at the

end of the period if the applicable performance goals were achieved. The estimated bonus payouts are based on a

the

executive s base salary.

percentage of

For Mr.

Hicks, the

threshold,

target, and

maximum

amounts

represent

31.25

percent, 125

percent, and

218.75

percent,

respectively,

of his annual

base salary.

For the other

named

executives,

the

threshold,

target, and

maximum

amounts

represent

12.5 percent,

50 percent,

and 87.5

percent,

respectively,

of each

executive s

annual base

salary. Due

to Mr. Halls

retirement in

2011, he was

41

rata portion of the amounts shown in the table. The annual bonus payments actually made to the named executives for 2011 are shown in Note 5 to the Summary Compensation Table on Page 39.

(2) Long-Term Incentive Awards

Provided the performance goals for the 2011-2012 long-term performance measurement period are achieved, the payout structure of the executives awards is as follows: (a) 50 percent of the award would be payable in cash under the Long-Term Bonus Plan, (b) 50 percent of the award would be payable in restricted stock units under the 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, and (c) both the cash

portion and the stock portion of the payout would be subject to a time-based, one-year holding period following the end of the performance measurement period before payout to the executives. The amounts shown in the table reflect the estimated payment levels in cash and number of restricted stock units at threshold, target, and maximum performance for the 2011-2012 performance measurement period. Columns (c), (d), and (e) show the estimated cash payments and columns (f), (g), and (h) show the number of restricted stock units that would be paid out at threshold, target and maximum performance if

the applicable

performance goals are achieved. The amounts shown for Mr. Halls would be pro rated to his retirement date.

The threshold, target and maximum number of restricted stock units for each executive was calculated on the date of grant on the basis of that day s closing stock price of a share of the Company s Common Stock. The closing prices on the grant dates of March 23, 2011 and May 26, 2011 were \$18.84 and \$24.75, respectively. Similarly, the grant date fair values of the restricted stock unit awards are based on the closing stock prices on the grant dates. The actual number of restricted stock units paid out will be based on the

Company s

performance compared to targets. The value of the restricted stock units received by an executive will depend upon the Company s stock price on the payment date in 2014. No dividends are paid or accrued for the restricted stock units. Mr. Johnson and Ms. Peters each received an additional grant of restricted stock units with regard to the 2011-2012 performance measurement period due to their promotions during 2011 and related salary increases.

For Mr. Hicks, the aggregate payout in cash and stock at threshold, target and maximum performance would be 43.75 percent, 175 percent and 350 percent, respectively,

of his base salary in the first year of the performance period. For the other named executives, the aggregate payout at threshold, target and maximum performance would be 18.75 percent, 75 percent and 150 percent, respectively, of their base salaries in the first year of the performance period. No amounts would be paid to the executives under the long-term incentive awards unless the performance goals for the performance measurement period are achieved.

(3) Stock Option Grants

The amounts in column (j) reflect the number of stock options granted in 2011 under the

2007 Stock Incentive Plan. The exercise price reflected in column (k) is equal to the closing price of a share of the Company s Common Stock on the grant date. In general, no portion of any stock option may be exercised until the first anniversary of its date of grant. Vested options may be exercised for ten years following the date of grant, unless the option is cancelled or exercised sooner than this. If the executive retires, becomes disabled, or dies while employed by the Company or one of its subsidiaries, all unexercised options that are then exercisable, plus those options that would have become exercisable on

the next

anniversary of the grant date, will remain (or become) exercisable as of that date. Moreover, upon the occurrence of a Change in Control, all outstanding options will become immediately exercisable as of that date. In general, options may remain exercisable for up to three years following a participant s retirement or termination due to disability, and for up to one year for any other termination of employment for reasons other than cause.

The vesting schedule for options granted to the executives in 2011 is shown below.

Edgar Filing: FOOT LOCKER INC - Form DEF 14A

| Name | Date of Grant | Number of Shares | Vesting | g Schedule |
|------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------|----------------|
| K. Hicks | 03/23/11 | 500,000 | 03/23/12: | 166,666 shares |
| | | | 03/23/13: | 166,667 shares |
| | | | 03/23/14: | 166,667 shares |
| L. Peters | 03/23/11 | 40,000 | 03/23/12: | 13,333 shares |
| L. I Cicis | 03/23/11 | 40,000 | 03/23/12: | 13,333 shares |
| | | | 03/23/13: | 13,334 shares |
| | 05/26/11 | 40,000 | 05/26/12: | 13,333 shares |
| | 03/20/11 | 40,000 | 05/26/13: | 13,333 shares |
| | | | 05/26/14: | 13,334 shares |
| | | | 03/20/14. | 13,334 shares |
| R. McHugh | 03/23/11 | 80,000 | 03/23/12: | 26,666 shares |
| J | | , | 03/23/13: | 26,667 shares |
| | | | 03/23/14: | 26,667 shares |
| R. Johnson | 03/23/11 | 80,000 | 03/23/12: | 26,666 shares |
| | | | 03/23/13: | 26,667 shares |
| | | | 03/23/14: | 26,667 shares |
| | | | | |
| G. Bahler | 03/23/11 | 50,000 | 03/23/12: | 16,666 shares |
| | | | 03/23/13: | 16,667 shares |
| | | | 03/23/14: | 16,667 shares |
| J. Berk | 03/23/11 | 40,000 | 03/23/12: | 13,333 shares |
| | | | 03/23/13: | 13,333 shares |
| | | | 03/23/14: | 13,334 shares |
| | | | | |
| R. Halls | 03/23/11 | 80,000 | 03/23/12: | 26,666 shares* |
| | | | 03/23/13: | 26,667 shares* |
| | | | 03/23/14: | 26,667 shares* |

^{*} The first tranche of this grant became exercisable on his retirement date July 31, 2011 and the balance of the grant was cancelled.

(4) Restricted Stock Awards

The amount shown in the table under column (i) represents the number of shares of restricted stock granted to the named executives in 2011 under the 2007 Stock Incentive Plan. For Mr. Hicks, the award will fully vest on March 23, 2014, provided he remains employed as Chief Executive Officer of the Company through the vesting date. For Ms. Peters and Messrs. Johnson and McHugh, the awards will fully vest on June 30, 2014, provided they remain employed by the Company through the vesting date. Each of these executives has the right to receive all regular cash dividends payable after the date of grant to all record holders of our Common Stock. The grant date fair value of the restricted stock award shown in column (1) includes expected dividend payments on the shares.

(5) Grant Date Fair Value

The amounts shown in column (1) reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of the restricted stock, restricted stock unit, and stock option awards granted in 2011, calculated in accordance with stock-based compensation accounting rules (FASB ASC Topic 718). A discussion of the assumptions used in computing the award values may be found in Note 21 to our financial statements in our Form 10-K for 2011. As provided under the SEC s rules, the amounts shown exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions and include, where applicable, expected dividend payments at the same rate as paid on our shares of Common Stock. For option awards, the value is calculated by multiplying the **Black-Scholes** value by the number of options granted. For

restricted stock, the fair value is calculated by multiplying the closing price of our Common Stock on The New York Stock Exchange on the award date by the number of shares granted. For performance-based restricted stock units awarded under the 2007 Stock Incentive Plan in connection with the 2011-2012 long-term performance measurement period, the fair value is calculated based upon the probable outcome of meeting the performance conditions at the maximum performance level and multiplying the number of units that would be received at that level by the closing price of a share of our Common Stock on the grant date. This is consistent with the estimate of the aggregate compensation cost to be recognized over the service

period

determined at the grant date under FASB ASC Topic 718. All of these values are shown in the table below.

| Name | Va C G On M | ck-Scholes alue for Stock Options cranted March 23, 2011 | V: | ek-Scholes alue for Stock Option Franted May 26, 2011 | Stoc Gra Ma | stricted k Award inted on irch 23, 2011 | A Gra M | stricted Stock wards inted on lay 26, 2011 | Res S Unit Gra Ma | ance-Base tricted tock Awards nted on rch 23, | Res Unit Gra M | nance-Base stricted Stock Awards anted on ay 26, 2011 |
|---------------|----------------------|--|----|---|-------------------|---|---------------|---|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| K. Hicks | \$ | 5.7575 | | | \$ | 18.84 | | | \$ | 18.84 | | |
| L. Peters | \$ | 5.7575 | \$ | 7.9728 | | | \$ | 24.75 | \$ | 18.84 | \$ | 24.75 |
| R. McHugh | \$ | 5.7575 | | | | | \$ | 24.75 | \$ | 18.84 | | |
| R. Johnson | \$ | 5.7575 | | | | | \$ | 24.75 | \$ | 18.84 | \$ | 24.75 |
| G. Bahler | \$ | 5.7575 | | | | | | | \$ | 18.84 | | |
| J. Berk | \$ | 5.7575 | | | | | | | \$ | 18.84 | | |
| R. Halls | \$ | 5.6293 | | | | | | | \$ | 18.84 | | |

Salary. The annual base salaries and cash bonuses earned by our named executives for 2011 are set forth in the Summary Compensation Table. Including the cash long-term incentive earned for the 2010-2011 performance period that is payable in 2013, these amounts represented the following percentages of the named executives—total compensation for 2011: Mr. Hicks (53.7%), Ms. Peters (49.7%), Mr. McHugh (58.9%), Mr. Johnson (60%), Mr. Bahler (67.1%), Mr. Berk (68.8%), and Mr. Halls (58.7%). Information on the named executives—employment agreements appears beginning on Page 50.

The following table, **Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End** shows the number of outstanding stock options, both vested and unvested, and the number of unvested shares of restricted stock or restricted stock units held by the named executives at the end of the 2011 fiscal year.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END

| | | Or | otion Awards | | | Stock A | Awards |
|-----------|--|--|--|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) (e) | (f) | (g) | (h) | (i) |
| Name | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable(1) | Number of Securities S UnderlyingUn UnexerciseUn Options U | Inderlying nexercised Option Unearned Exercise Options Price | Option Expiration Date | Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)(2) | Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(3) | Equit Incent Plan Award Numbe Unearn Share Units Othe Right That H Not Ves (#)(2 |
| K. Hicks | 500,000 | 100,000 | 10.10 | 08/25/2019 | | | |
| | 100,000 | 200,000 | 15.10 | 03/23/2020 | | | |
| | 0 | 500,000 | 18.84 | 03/23/2021 | | | |
| | | | | | 450,000 | 11,898,000 | |
| | | | | | | | 127,4 12,7 |
| L. Peters | 47,500 | 0 | 16.02 | 04/18/2012 | | | |
| | 40,000 | 0 | 10.245 | 04/16/2013 | | | |
| | 32,000 | 0 | 25.385 | 04/01/2014 | | | |
| | 25,000 | 0 | 28.155 | 03/23/2015 | | | |
| | 25,000 | 0 | 23.92 | 03/22/2016 | | | |
| | 20,000 | 0 | 23.42 | 03/28/2017 | | | |
| | 25,000 | 0 | 11.66 | 03/26/2018 | | | |
| | 16,666 | 8,334 | 9.93 | 03/25/2019 | | | |
| | 13,333 | 26,667 | 15.10 | 03/23/2020 | | | |
| | 0 | 40,000 | 18.84 | 03/23/2021 | | | |
| | 0 | 40,000 | 24.75 | 05/26/2021 | | | |
| | | | | | 45,000 | 1,189,800 | |
| | | | | | | | 19,8 |
| | | | | | | | 2,2 |
| R. | | | | | | | |
| McHugh | 20,000 | 0 | 10.245 | 04/16/2013 | | | |
| | 20,000 | 0 | 25.385 | 04/01/2014 | | | |

Edgar Filing: FOOT LOCKER INC - Form DEF 14A

| | 20,000 | 0 | 28.155 | 03/23/2015 | | | |
|-----------|--------|--------|--------|------------|---------|-----------|-----|
| | 30,000 | 0 | 21.48 | 11/21/2015 | | | |
| | 20,000 | 0 | 23.42 | 03/28/2017 | | | |
| | 25,000 | 0 | 11.66 | 03/26/2018 | | | |
| | 16,666 | 8,334 | 9.93 | 03/25/2019 | | | |
| | 26,666 | 53,334 | 15.10 | 03/23/2020 | | | |
| | 0 | 80,000 | 18.84 | 03/23/2021 | | | |
| | | | | | 45,000 | 1,189,800 | |
| | | | | | | | 29, |
| | | | | | | | 3, |
| R. | | | | | | | |
| Johnson | 30,000 | 0 | 10.245 | 04/16/2013 | | | |
| | 30,000 | 0 | 25.385 | 04/01/2014 | | | |
| | 20,000 | 0 | 28.155 | 03/23/2015 | | | |
| | 20,000 | 0 | 23.92 | 03/22/2016 | | | |
| | 20,000 | 0 | 23.42 | 03/28/2017 | | | |
| | 20,000 | 0 | 18.80 | 07/30/2017 | | | |
| | 10,000 | 0 | 11.66 | 03/26/2018 | | | |
| | 16,666 | 8,334 | 9.93 | 03/25/2019 | | | |
| | 26,666 | 53,334 | 15.10 | 03/23/2020 | | | |
| | 0 | 80,000 | 18.84 | 03/23/2021 | | | |
| | | | | | 25,000 | 661,000 | |
| | | | | | 120,000 | 3,172,800 | |
| | | | | | | | 35, |
| | | | | | | | 3, |
| G. Bahler | 32,000 | 0 | 25.385 | 04/01/2014 | | | |
| | 25,000 | 0 | 28.155 | 03/23/2015 | | | |
| | 25,000 | 0 | 23.92 | 03/22/2016 | | | |
| | 20,000 | 0 | 23.42 | 03/28/2017 | | | |
| | 25,000 | 0 | 11.66 | 03/26/2018 | | | |
| | 16,666 | 8,334 | 9.93 | 03/25/2019 | | | |
| | 13,333 | 26,667 | 15.10 | 03/23/2020 | | | |
| | 0 | 50,000 | 18.84 | 03/23/2021 | | | |
| | | | | | 25,000 | 661,000 | |
| | | | | | | | 26, |
| | | | | | | | 2, |
| | | | | | | | |

Table Continued on Next Page

45

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END (cont.)

| | | Optio | n Awards | | | Stock . | Awards | |
|---------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| (a) | (b) | (c) (d | (e) | (f) | (g) | (h) | (i) | |
| Name | Unexercised Options (#) | Equ Incer Pla Awa Num Number of o Securities Secur Underlyin Under Unexercis denexe Options Unea (#) Opti | ntive an rds: aber f rities rlying rcised Option rned Exercise ions Price | Option Expiration Date | Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)(2) | Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(3) | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)(2) | In In A M. In V. Un S. On In The No. |
| J. Berk | 40,000 | 0 | 10.245 | 04/16/2013 | , , , , | | | |
| | 32,000 | 0 | 25.385 | 04/01/2014 | | | | |
| | 25,000 | 0 | 28.155 | 03/23/2015 | | | | |
| | 25,000 | 0 | 23.92 | 03/22/2016 | | | | |
| | 20,000 | 0 | 23.42 | 03/28/2017 | | | | |
| | 25,000 | 0 | 11.66 | 03/26/2018 | | | | |
| | 16,666 | 8,334 | 9.93 | 03/25/2019 | | | | |
| | 13,333 | 26,667 | 15.10 | 03/23/2020 | | | | |
| | 0 | 40,000 | 18.84 | 03/23/2021 | | | | |
| | | | | | 25,000 | 661,000 | | |
| | | | | | | | 23,967 | |
| | | | | | | | 2,431 | |
| R. | | | | | | | | |
| Halls | 20,000 | 0 | 25.385 | 04/01/2014 | | | | |
| | 30,000 | 0 | 28.155 | 07/31/2014 | | | | |
| | 30,000 | 0 | 23.92 | 07/31/2014 | | | | |
| | 30,000 | 0 | 24.755 | 07/31/2014 | | | | |
| | 30,000 | 0 | 23.42 | 07/31/2014 | | | | |
| | 26,666 | 0 | 18.84 | 07/31/2014 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 28,778 | |
| | | | | | | | 990 | |

Notes to Table on Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The Vesting Schedules for the options shown in columns (b) and (c) are as follows:

Total Number of Securities

| | Securities Underlying Unexercised | | Vesting Date for 1/3 | Vesting Date for 1/3 | Vesting Date for 1/3 |
|-----------|---|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Name | Options | Date of Grant | of Total Grant | of Total Grant | of Total Grant |
| K. Hicks | 300,000 | 08/25/2009 | 08/25/2010 | 08/25/2011 | 08/25/2012 |
| | 300,000 | 08/25/2009 | 02/25/2010 * | 08/25/2010 * | |
| | 300,000 | 03/23/2010 | 03/23/2011 | 03/23/2012 | 03/23/2013 |
| | 500,000 | 03/23/2011 | 03/23/2012 | 03/23/2013 | 03/23/2014 |
| | 1,400,000 | | | | |
| L. Peters | 47,500 | 04/18/2002 | 04/18/2003 | 04/18/2004 | 04/18/2005 |
| | 40,000 | 04/16/2003 | 04/16/2004 | 04/16/2005 | 04/16/2006 |
| | 32,000 | 04/01/2004 | 04/01/2005 | 04/01/2006 | 04/01/2007 |
| | 25,000 | 03/23/2005 | 03/23/2006 | 03/23/2007 | 03/23/2008 |
| | 25,000 | 03/22/2006 | 03/22/2007 | 03/22/2008 | 03/22/2009 |
| | 20,000 | 03/28/2007 | 03/28/2008 | 03/28/2009 | 03/28/2010 |
| | 25,000 | 03/26/2008 | 03/26/2009 | 03/26/2010 | 03/26/2011 |
| | 25,000 | 03/25/2009 | 03/25/2010 | 03/25/2011 | 03/25/2012 |
| | 40,000 | 03/23/2010 | 03/23/2011 | 03/23/2012 | 03/23/2013 |
| | 40,000 | 03/23/2011 | 03/23/2012 | 03/23/2013 | 03/23/2014 |
| | 40,000 | 05/26/2011 | 05/26/2012 | 05/26/2013 | 05/26/2014 |
| | 359,500 | | | | |
| R. McHugh | 20,000 | 04/16/2003 | 04/16/2004 | 04/16/2005 | 04/16/2006 |
| | 20,000 | 04/01/2004 | 04/01/2005 | 04/01/2006 | 04/01/2007 |
| | 20,000 | 03/23/2005 | 03/23/2006 | 03/23/2007 | 03/23/2008 |
| | 30,000 | 11/21/2005 | 11/21/2006 | 11/21/2007 | 11/21/2008 |
| | 20,000 | 03/28/2007 | 03/28/2008 | 03/28/2009 | 03/28/2010 |
| | 25,000 | 03/26/2008 | 03/26/2009 | 03/26/2010 | 03/26/2011 |
| | 25,000 | 03/25/2009 | 03/25/2010 | 03/25/2011 | 03/25/2012 |
| | 80,000 | 03/23/2010 | 03/23/2011 | 03/23/2012 | 03/23/2013 |
| | 80,000 | 03/23/2011 | 03/23/2012 | 03/23/2013 | 03/23/2014 |

* 50 percent of grant vested six months following grant date and 50 percent vested one year following grant date. Table Continued on Next Page

46

Notes to Table on Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End (cont.)

| Total Number of Securities | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Underlying Unexercised | | Vesting Date for 1/3 | Vesting Date for 1/3 | Vesting Date for 1/3 |
| Options | Date of Grant | of Total Grant | of Total Grant | of Total Grant |
| 30,000 | 04/16/2003 | 04/16/2004 | 04/16/2005 | 04/16/2006 |
| 30,000 | 04/01/2004 | 04/01/2005 | 04/01/2006 | 04/01/2007 |
| 20,000 | 03/23/2005 | 03/23/2006 | 03/23/2007 | 03/23/2008 |
| 20,000 | 03/22/2006 | 03/22/2007 | 03/22/2008 | 03/22/2009 |
| 20,000 | 03/28/2007 | 03/28/2008 | 03/28/2009 | 03/28/2010 |
| 20,000 | 07/30/2007 | 07/30/2008 | 07/30/2009 | 07/30/2010 |
| 10,000 | 03/26/2008 | 03/26/2009 | 03/26/2010 | 03/26/2011 |
| 25,000 | 03/25/2009 | 03/25/2010 | 03/25/2011 | 03/25/2012 |
| 80,000 | 03/23/2010 | 03/23/2011 | 03/23/2012 | 03/23/2013 |
| 80,000 | 03/23/2011 | 03/23/2012 | 03/23/2013 | 03/23/2014 |
| 335,000 | | | | |
| 32,000 | 04/01/2004 | 04/01/2005 | 04/01/2006 | 04/01/2007 |
| 25,000 | 03/23/2005 | 03/23/2006 | 03/23/2007 | 03/23/2008 |
| 25,000 | 03/22/2006 | 03/22/2007 | 03/22/2008 | 03/22/2009 |
| 20,000 | 03/28/2007 | 03/28/2008 | 03/28/2009 | 03/28/2010 |
| 25,000 | 03/26/2008 | 03/26/2009 | 03/26/2010 | 03/26/2011 |
| 25,000 | 03/25/2009 | 03/25/2010 | 03/25/2011 | 03/25/2012 |
| 40,000 | 03/23/2010 | 03/23/2011 | 03/23/2012 | 03/23/2013 |
| 50,000 | 03/23/2011 | 03/23/2012 | 03/23/2013 | 03/23/2014 |
| 242.000 | | | | |
| · | 04/16/2003 | 04/16/2004 | 04/16/2005 | 04/16/2006 |
| • | 04/01/2004 | | | 04/01/2007 |
| | | | | 03/23/2008 |
| • | | 03/22/2007 | | 03/22/2009 |
| | | 03/28/2008 | | 03/28/2010 |
| • | 03/26/2008 | 03/26/2009 | 03/26/2010 | 03/26/2011 |
| 25,000 | 03/25/2009 | 03/25/2010 | 03/25/2011 | 03/25/2012 |
| 40,000 | 03/23/2010 | 03/23/2011 | 03/23/2012 | 03/23/2013 |
| 40,000 | 03/23/2011 | 03/23/2012 | 03/23/2013 | 03/23/2014 |
| 272,000 | | | | |
| 20,000 | 04/01/2004 | 04/01/2005 | 04/01/2006 | 04/01/2007 |
| | Securities Underlying Unexercised Options 30,000 30,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 10,000 25,000 80,000 32,000 25,000 25,000 25,000 25,000 40,000 25,000 | Securities Underlying Unexercised Options 30,000 04/16/2003 30,000 04/01/2004 20,000 03/23/2005 20,000 03/22/2006 20,000 03/28/2007 20,000 07/30/2007 10,000 03/26/2008 25,000 03/25/2009 80,000 03/23/2010 80,000 03/23/2011 335,000 32,000 32,000 04/01/2004 25,000 03/23/2005 25,000 03/28/2007 25,000 03/25/2009 40,000 03/23/2010 50,000 03/23/2011 242,000 40,000 04/16/2003 32,000 04/01/2004 25,000 03/23/2010 25,000 03/23/2005 25,000 03/28/2007 25,000 03/28/2007 25,000 03/25/2009 40,000 03/25/2009 40,000 03/25/2009 | Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Date of Grant Options Vesting Date for 1/3 of Total Grant 30,000 04/16/2003 04/16/2004 30,000 04/01/2004 04/01/2005 20,000 03/23/2005 03/23/2006 20,000 03/22/2006 03/22/2007 20,000 03/28/2007 03/28/2008 20,000 07/30/2007 07/30/2008 10,000 03/26/2008 03/26/2009 25,000 03/25/2009 03/25/2010 80,000 03/23/2011 03/23/2011 32,000 04/01/2004 04/01/2005 25,000 03/23/2005 03/23/2006 25,000 03/23/2005 03/23/2006 25,000 03/28/2007 03/28/2007 25,000 03/25/2008 03/26/2009 25,000 03/25/2009 03/25/2010 40,000 03/23/2010 03/23/2011 50,000 03/23/2010 03/23/2011 242,000 04/16/2003 04/16/2004 40,000 03/23/2005 03/23/2006 | Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Date of Grant Date of Grant Vesting Date for 1/3 of Total Grant Vesting Date for 1/3 of Total Grant 30,000 04/16/2003 04/16/2004 04/16/2005 30,000 04/01/2004 04/01/2005 04/01/2006 20,000 03/23/2005 03/23/2006 03/23/2007 20,000 03/22/2006 03/22/2007 03/228/2008 20,000 07/30/2007 07/30/2008 07/30/2009 20,000 07/30/2007 07/30/2008 07/30/2009 10,000 03/26/2008 03/26/2009 03/26/2010 25,000 03/25/2009 03/25/2010 03/25/2011 80,000 03/23/2011 03/23/2012 03/23/2012 335,000 33/23/2015 03/23/2012 03/23/2013 35,000 03/23/2005 03/23/2006 03/23/2007 25,000 03/22/2006 03/22/2007 03/22/2008 25,000 03/22/2006 03/22/2007 03/22/2008 25,000 03/25/2010 03/25/2011 03/23/2012 25,000 |

| 30,000 | 03/23/2005 | 03/23/2006 | 03/23/2007 | 03/23/2008 |
|--------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 30,000 | 03/22/2006 | 03/22/2007 | 03/22/2008 | 03/22/2009 |
| 30,000 | 10/12/2006 | 10/12/2007 | 10/12/2008 | 10/12/2009 |
| 30,000 | 03/28/2007 | 03/28/2008 | 03/28/2009 | 03/28/2010 |
| 26,666 | 03/23/2011 | 07/31/2011 | * | * |

166,666

- * Unvested portion of option grant cancelled upon retirement.
- (2) The vesting dates for the restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards shown in column (g) and (i) are set forth in the following table. The awards shown in column (i) granted in 2010 were earned following the end of the fiscal year when the Compensation and Management Resources Committee certified the achievement of the performance goals at the maximum level for the

2010-2011

long-term performance measurement period and will vest in 2013; the awards granted in 2011 will be earned only if the threshold performance goals for the 2011-2012 performance measurement period are achieved and, if earned, will vest in 2014. The amounts shown for Mr. Halls are pro rated to his retirement date.

47

| Name | Date of Grant | Number of Shares/Units | Vesting Date |
|------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------|
| K. Hicks | 08/25/2009 | 100,000 | 01/31/2013 |
| | 08/25/2009 | 100,000 | 01/31/2012 |
| | 08/25/2009 | 200,000 | 01/31/2013 |
| | 03/23/2011 | 50,000 | 03/23/2014 |
| | 03/23/2010 | 127,484 | 03/23/2013 |
| | 03/23/2011 | 12,773 | 03/23/2014 |
| L. Peters | 03/25/2009 | 25,000 | 03/25/2012 |
| | 05/26/2011 | 20,000 | 06/30/2014 |
| | 03/23/2010 | 19,079 | 03/23/2013 |
| | 05/26/2011 | 803 | 03/23/2013 |
| | 03/23/2011 | 1,970 | 03/23/2014 |
| | 05/26/2011 | 239 | 03/23/2014 |
| R. McHugh | 03/25/2009 | 25,000 | 03/25/2012 |
| | 05/26/2011 | 20,000 | 06/30/2014 |
| | 03/23/2010 | 29,802 | 03/23/2013 |
| | 03/23/2011 | 3,086 | 03/23/2014 |
| R. Johnson | 03/25/2009 | 25,000 | 03/25/2012 |
| | 05/25/2010 | 100,000 | 05/25/2013 |
| | 05/26/2011 | 20,000 | 06/30/2014 |
| | 03/23/2010 | 34,769 | 03/23/2013 |
| | 05/26/2011 | 883 | 03/23/2013 |
| | 03/23/2011 | 3,708 | 03/23/2014 |
| | 05/26/2011 | 166 | 03/23/2014 |
| G. Bahler | 03/25/2009 | 25,000 | 03/25/2012 |
| | 03/23/2010 | 26,467 | 03/23/2013 |
| | 03/23/2011 | 2,688 | 03/23/2014 |
| J. Berk | 03/25/2009 | 25,000 | 03/25/2012 |
| | 03/23/2010 | 23,967 | 03/23/2013 |
| | 03/23/2011 | 2,431 | 03/23/2014 |
| R. Halls | 03/25/2010 | 28,778 | 03/25/2013 |
| | 03/23/2011 | 990 | 03/23/2014 |

(3) Value calculated by multiplying the number of unvested shares or units by the

closing
price of
\$26.44 on
January 27,
2012, which
was the last
business
day of the
2011 fiscal
year. The
values
shown in
column (j)
are based
on:

the number of restricted stock units at maximum performance earned for the 2010-2011 performance period, which will vest in March 2013, and

the number of restricted stock units that would be earned at threshold performance for the 2011-2012 long-term performance period. If target or maximum performance is achieved for this performance period, the respective

number of units earned and their value, based on the \$26.44 closing price, would be:

| | Target | | Maxi | Maximum | | |
|------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Name | Number of Units | \$ Value | Number of Units | \$ Value | | |
| K. Hicks | 51,089 | 1,350,793 | 102,177 | 2,701,560 | | |
| L. Peters | 8,830 | 233,465 | 17,659 | 466,904 | | |
| R. McHugh | 12,341 | 326,296 | 24,682 | 652,592 | | |
| R. Johnson | 15,490 | 409,556 | 30,980 | 819,111 | | |
| G. Bahler | 10,749 | 284,204 | 21,497 | 568,381 | | |
| J. Berk | 9,724 | 257,103 | 19,448 | 514,205 | | |
| R. Halls | 3,957 | 104,623 | 7,913 | 209,220 | | |
| | | | 48 | | | |

The following table, **Option Exercises and Stock Vested**, provides information on the stock options exercised by the named executives during 2011 and restricted stock awards that vested during the year.

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED