

MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST

Form 497

February 17, 2015

MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST

STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Dated May 1, 2014, as revised on February 17, 2015

This Statement of Additional Information (“SAI”) is not a prospectus. It should be read in conjunction with the Prospectuses dated May 1, 2014 (each a “Prospectus” and, together, the “Prospectuses”) for the Market Vectors ETF Trust (the “Trust”), relating to the series of the Trust listed below, as they may be revised from time to time.

Fund	Principal U.S. Listing Exchange	Ticker
Market Vectors Africa Index ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	AFK [®]
Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	MOO [®]
Market Vectors Brazil Small-Cap ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	BRF [®]
Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	PEK [®]
Market Vectors Coal ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	KOL [®]
Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	EGPT [®]
Market Vectors Global Alternative Energy ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	GEX [®]
Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	GDX [®]
Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	MES [®]
Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	SCIF [®]
Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	IDX [®]
Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	IDJX [®]
Market Vectors Israel ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	ISRA [™]
Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	GDXJ [®]
Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	HAP [®]
Market Vectors Oil Services ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	OIH [®]
Market Vectors Poland ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	PLND [®]
Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	REMX [®]
Market Vectors Russia ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	RSX [®]
Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	RSXJ [®]
Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	KWT [®]
Market Vectors Steel ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	SLX [®]
Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	FRAK [®]
Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	NLR [®]
Market Vectors Vietnam ETF	NYSE Arca, Inc.	VNM [®]

A copy of each Prospectus may be obtained without charge by writing to the Trust or the Distributor. The Trust’s address is 335 Madison Avenue, 19th Floor, New York, New York 10017. Capitalized terms used herein that are not

defined have the same meaning as in the Prospectuses, unless otherwise noted.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE TRUST</u>	1
<u>INVESTMENT POLICIES AND RESTRICTIONS</u>	2
<u>General</u>	2
<u>Repurchase Agreements</u>	2
<u>Futures Contracts and Options</u>	2
<u>Swaps</u>	4
<u>Warrants and Subscription Rights</u>	6
<u>Currency Forwards</u>	6
<u>Convertible Securities</u>	6
<u>Structured Notes</u>	6
<u>Participation Notes</u>	7
<u>SEBI Takeover Regulations (Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF Only)</u>	8
<u>Future Developments</u>	8
<u>Investment Restrictions</u>	8
<u>SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS AND RISKS</u>	13
<u>General</u>	13
<u>U.S. Federal Tax Treatment of Futures Contracts</u>	14
<u>Risks Relating to Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF</u>	15
<u>RQFII Program Risk (Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF Only)</u>	16
<u>Cyber Security</u>	17
<u>EXCHANGE LISTING AND TRADING</u>	18
<u>BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE TRUST</u>	19
<u>Trustees and Officers of the Trust</u>	19

<u>Independent Trustees</u>	20
<u>Interested Trustee</u>	22
<u>Officer Information</u>	22
<u>Remuneration of Trustees</u>	28
<u>PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS DISCLOSURE</u>	29
<u>QUARTERLY PORTFOLIO SCHEDULE</u>	29
<u>CODE OF ETHICS</u>	29
<u>PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES</u>	29
<u>MANAGEMENT</u>	31
<u>Investment Adviser and Sub-Adviser (Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF Only)</u>	31
<u>The Administrator</u>	34

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

	Page
<u>Mauritius Administrator</u>	34
<u>Custodian and Transfer Agent</u>	34
<u>The Distributor</u>	35
<u>Affiliated Index Provider</u>	35
<u>Other Accounts Managed by the Portfolio Managers</u>	36
<u>Portfolio Manager Compensation</u>	37
<u>Portfolio Manager Share Ownership</u>	38
<u>BROKERAGE TRANSACTIONS</u>	42
<u>BOOK ENTRY ONLY SYSTEM</u>	44
<u>CREATION AND REDEMPTION OF CREATION UNITS</u>	46
<u>General</u>	46
<u>Fund Deposit</u>	46
<u>Procedures for Creation of Creation Units</u>	47
<u>Placement of Creation Orders Using Clearing Process</u>	49
<u>Placement of Creation Orders Outside Clearing Process—Domestic Funds</u>	49
<u>Placement of Creation Orders Outside Clearing Process—Foreign Funds</u>	50
<u>Acceptance of Creation Orders</u>	50
<u>Creation Transaction Fee</u>	51
<u>Redemption of Creation Units</u>	51
<u>Redemption Transaction Fee</u>	52
<u>Placement of Redemption Orders Using Clearing Process</u>	52
<u>Placement of Redemption Orders Outside Clearing Process—Domestic Funds</u>	53

<u>Placement of Redemption Orders Outside Clearing Process—Foreign Funds</u>	53
<u>DETERMINATION OF NET ASSET VALUE</u>	67
<u>DIVIDENDS AND DISTRIBUTIONS</u>	68
<u>General Policies</u>	68
<u>DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT SERVICE</u>	68
<u>CONTROL PERSONS and principal shareholders</u>	68
<u>TAXES</u>	77
<u>Reportable Transactions</u>	81
<u>Mauritius and India Tax Matters (Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF Only)</u>	81
<u>PRC Taxation (Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF Only)</u>	85
<u>Egyptian Taxation</u>	85
<u>CAPITAL STOCK AND SHAREHOLDER REPORTS</u>	86

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

	Page
<u>COUNSEL AND INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	86
<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	88
<u>LICENSE AGREEMENTS AND DISCLAIMERS</u>	89
<u>APPENDIX A VAN ECK GLOBAL PROXY VOTING POLICIES</u>	99
iii	

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE TRUST

The Trust is an open-end management investment company. The Trust currently consists of 55 investment portfolios. This SAI relates to 25 investment portfolios, Market Vectors Africa Index ETF, Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF, Market Vectors Brazil Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF¹, Market Vectors Coal ETF, Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF, Market Vectors Global Alternative Energy ETF, Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF, Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Israel ETF, Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF, Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF², Market Vectors Oil Services ETF, Market Vectors Poland ETF, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF, Market Vectors Russia ETF, Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF, Market Vectors Steel ETF, Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF, Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF and Market Vectors Vietnam ETF (each, a “Fund” and, together, the “Funds”). Each Fund is classified as a non-diversified management investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (“1940 Act”), and, as a result, is not required to meet certain diversification requirements under the 1940 Act. The Trust was organized as a Delaware statutory trust on March 15, 2001. The shares of each Fund are referred to herein as “Shares.”

The Funds offer and issue Shares at their net asset value (“NAV”) only in aggregations of a specified number of Shares (each, a “Creation Unit”). Similarly, Shares are redeemable by the Funds only in Creation Units, and generally (except for Market Vectors Africa Index ETF, Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF, Market Vectors Brazil Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF, Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF, Market Vectors Global Alternative Energy ETF, Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF, Market Vectors Russia ETF, Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF and Market Vectors Vietnam ETF) in exchange for specified securities held by each Fund and a specified cash payment. Creation Units of Market Vectors Africa Index ETF, Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF, Market Vectors Brazil Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF, Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF, Market Vectors Global Alternative Energy ETF, Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF, Market Vectors Russia ETF, Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF and Market Vectors Vietnam ETF are issued and redeemed partially or principally for cash. The Shares of the Funds are listed on NYSE Arca, Inc. (“NYSE Arca” or the “Exchange”), and trade in the secondary market at market prices that may differ from the Shares’ NAV. A Creation Unit consists of 25,000 shares (with respect to Market Vectors Oil Services ETF) and 50,000 Shares (with respect to all Funds except Market Vectors Oil Services ETF). The Trust reserves the right to permit or require a “cash” option for creations and redemptions of Shares (subject to applicable legal requirements).

¹ Prior to January 7, 2014, the Fund’s name was Market Vectors China ETF.

² Prior to May 1, 2014, the Fund’s name was Market Vectors RVE Hard Assets Producers ETF.

INVESTMENT POLICIES AND RESTRICTIONS

General

The Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing substantially all of its assets in a wholly-owned subsidiary in Mauritius, SCIF Mauritius, a private company limited by shares incorporated in Mauritius (the “Subsidiary”), that has the same investment objective as the Fund. Because the investment characteristics of Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF will correspond directly to those of the Subsidiary (which is managed by and its decisions are taken by its independent Board of Directors), the following applies to both Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF and the Subsidiary, as applicable, and except where otherwise indicated, this SAI uses the term “Fund” when referring to Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF to mean Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF and/or the Subsidiary, as applicable.

Repurchase Agreements

The Funds may invest in repurchase agreements with commercial banks, brokers or dealers to generate income from their excess cash balances and to invest securities lending cash collateral. A repurchase agreement is an agreement under which a Fund acquires a money market instrument (generally a security issued by the U.S. Government or an agency thereof, a banker’s acceptance or a certificate of deposit) from a seller, subject to resale to the seller at an agreed upon price and date (normally, the next business day). A repurchase agreement may be considered a loan collateralized by securities. The resale price reflects an agreed upon interest rate effective for the period the instrument is held by a Fund and is unrelated to the interest rate on the underlying instrument.

In these repurchase agreement transactions, the securities acquired by a Fund (including accrued interest earned thereon) must have a total value at least equal to the value of the repurchase agreement and are held by the Trust’s custodian bank until repurchased. In addition, the Trust’s Board of Trustees (“Board” or “Trustees”) has established guidelines and standards for review of the creditworthiness of any bank, broker or dealer counterparty to a repurchase agreement with each Fund. No more than an aggregate of 15% of each Fund’s net assets will be invested in repurchase agreements having maturities longer than seven days.

The use of repurchase agreements involves certain risks. For example, if the other party to the agreement defaults on its obligation to repurchase the underlying security at a time when the value of the security has declined, the Funds may incur a loss upon disposition of the security. If the other party to the agreement becomes insolvent and subject to liquidation or reorganization under the Bankruptcy Code or other laws, a court may determine that the underlying security is collateral not within the control of a Fund and, therefore, the Fund may incur delays in disposing of the security and/or may not be able to substantiate its interest in the underlying security and may be deemed an unsecured creditor of the other party to the agreement.

Futures Contracts and Options

Futures contracts generally provide for the future sale by one party and purchase by another party of a specified instrument, index or commodity at a specified future time and at a specified price. Stock index futures contracts are settled daily with a payment by one party to the other of a cash amount based on the difference between the level of the stock index specified in the contract from one day to the next. Futures contracts are standardized as to maturity date and underlying instrument and are traded on futures exchanges. The Funds may use futures contracts and options on futures contracts based on other indexes

or combinations of indexes that Van Eck Associates Corporation (the “Adviser”) believes to be representative of each Fund’s respective benchmark index (each, an “Index”).

An option is a contract that provides the holder the right to buy or sell shares at a fixed price, within a specified period of time. An American call option gives the option holder the right to buy the underlying security from the option writer at the option exercise price at any time prior to the expiration of the option. A European call option gives the option holder the right to buy the underlying security from the option writer only on the option expiration date. An American put option gives the option holder the right to sell the underlying security to the option writer at the option exercise price at any time prior to the expiration of the option. A European put option gives the option holder the right to sell the underlying security to the option writer at the option exercise price only on the option expiration date.

Although futures contracts (other than cash settled futures contracts including most stock index futures contracts) by their terms call for actual delivery or acceptance of the underlying instrument or commodity, in most cases the contracts are closed out before the maturity date without the making or taking of delivery. Closing out an open futures position is done by taking an opposite position (“buying” a contract which has previously been “sold” or “selling” a contract previously “purchased”) in an identical contract to terminate the position. Brokerage commissions are incurred when a futures contract position is opened or closed.

Futures traders are required to make a good faith margin deposit in cash or government securities with a broker or custodian to initiate and maintain open positions in futures contracts. A margin deposit is intended to assure completion of the contract (delivery or acceptance of the underlying instrument or commodity or payment of the cash settlement amount) if it is not terminated prior to the specified delivery date. Brokers may establish deposit requirements which are higher than the exchange minimums. Futures contracts are customarily purchased and sold on margin deposits which may range upward from less than 5% of the value of the contract being traded.

After a futures contract position is opened, the value of the contract is marked-to-market daily. If the futures contract price changes to the extent that the margin on deposit does not satisfy margin requirements, payment of additional “variation” margin will be required.

Conversely, a change in the contract value may reduce the required margin, resulting in a repayment of excess margin to the contract holder. Variation margin payments are made to and from the futures broker for as long as the contract remains open. The Funds expect to earn interest income on their margin deposits.

The Funds may use futures contracts and options thereon, together with positions in cash and money market instruments, to simulate full investment in each Fund’s respective Index. Under such circumstances, the Adviser may seek to utilize other instruments that it believes to be correlated to each Fund’s respective Index components or a subset of the components. Liquid futures contracts may not be currently available for the Index of each Fund.

Positions in futures contracts and options may be closed out only on an exchange that provides a secondary market therefor. However, there can be no assurance that a liquid secondary market will exist for any particular futures contract or option at any specific time. Thus, it may not be possible to close a futures or options position. In the event of adverse price movements, the Funds would continue to be required to make daily cash payments to maintain its required margin. In such situations, if a Fund has insufficient cash, it may have to sell portfolio securities to meet daily margin requirements at a time when it may be disadvantageous to do so. In addition, the Funds may be required to make delivery of the instruments underlying futures contracts they have sold.

The Funds will seek to minimize the risk that they will be unable to close out a futures or options contract by only entering into futures and options for which there appears to be a liquid secondary market.

The risk of loss in trading futures contracts or uncovered call options in some strategies (*e.g.*, selling uncovered stock index futures contracts) is potentially unlimited. The Funds do not plan to use futures and options contracts in this way. The risk of a futures position may still be large as traditionally measured due to the low margin deposits required. In many cases, a relatively small price movement in a futures contract may result in immediate and substantial loss or gain to the investor relative to the size of a required margin deposit.

Utilization of futures transactions by the Funds involves the risk of imperfect or even negative correlation to each Fund's respective Index if the index underlying the futures contracts differs from the Index. There is also the risk of loss by the Funds of margin deposits in the event of bankruptcy of a broker with whom a Fund has an open position in the futures contract or option.

Certain financial futures exchanges limit the amount of fluctuation permitted in futures contract prices during a single trading day. The daily limit establishes the maximum amount that the price of a futures contract may vary either up or down from the previous day's settlement price at the end of a trading session. Once the daily limit has been reached in a particular type of contract, no trades may be made on that day at a price beyond that limit. The daily limit governs only price movement during a particular trading day and therefore does not limit potential losses, because the limit may prevent the liquidation of unfavorable positions. Futures contract prices have occasionally moved to the daily limit for several consecutive trading days with little or no trading, thereby preventing prompt liquidation of future positions and subjecting some futures traders to substantial losses.

Except as otherwise specified in the Funds' Prospectus or this SAI, there are no limitations on the extent to which the Funds may engage in transactions involving futures and options thereon. With respect to Market Vectors India Small-Cap ETF, under applicable Indian securities regulations, there are position limits on foreign institutional investor ("FII") investments in index futures and index futures contracts on a particular underlying index. The Funds will take steps to prevent their futures positions from "leveraging" its securities holdings. When a Fund has a long futures position, it will maintain with its custodian bank, cash or liquid securities having a value equal to the notional value of the contract (less any margin deposited in connection with the position). When a Fund has a short futures position, as part of a complex stock replication strategy the Fund will maintain with its custodian bank assets substantially identical to those underlying the contract or cash and liquid securities (or a combination of the foregoing) having a value equal to the net obligation of the Fund under the contract (less the value of any margin deposits in connection with the position).

Swaps

Over-the-counter ("OTC") swap agreements are contracts between parties in which one party agrees to make payments to the other party based on the change in market value or level of a specified index or asset. In return, the other party

agrees to make payments to the first party based on the return of a different specified index or asset. Although over-the-counter swap agreements entail the risk that a party will default on its payment obligations thereunder, each Fund seeks to reduce this risk by entering into agreements that involve payments no less frequently than quarterly. The net amount of the excess, if any, of a Fund's obligations over its entitlements with respect to each swap is accrued on a daily basis and an amount of cash or highly liquid securities having an aggregate value at least equal to the accrued excess is maintained in an account at the Trust's custodian bank.

The use of such swap agreements involves certain risks. For example, if the counterparty, under a swap agreement, defaults on its obligation to make payments due from it as a result of its bankruptcy or otherwise, the Funds may lose such payments altogether or collect only a portion thereof, which collection could involve costs or delays.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”) and related regulatory developments require the eventual clearing and exchange-trading of many standardized OTC derivative instruments that the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“CFTC”) and Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) recently defined as “swaps” and “security-based swaps,” respectively. Mandatory exchange-trading and clearing is occurring on a phased-in basis based on the type of market participant and CFTC approval of contracts for central clearing and exchange trading. In a cleared swap, a Fund’s ultimate counterparty is a central clearinghouse rather than a brokerage firm, bank or other financial institution. A Fund initially will enter into cleared swaps through an executing broker. Such transactions will then be submitted for clearing and, if cleared, will be held at regulated futures commission merchants (“FCMs”) that are members of the clearinghouse that serves as the central counterparty. When a Fund enters into a cleared swap, it must deliver to the central counterparty (via an FCM) an amount referred to as “initial margin.” Initial margin requirements are determined by the central counterparty, but an FCM may require additional initial margin above the amount required by the central counterparty. During the term of the swap agreement, a “variation margin” amount may also be required to be paid by a Fund or may be received by the Fund in accordance with margin controls set for such accounts, depending upon changes in the price of the underlying reference asset subject to the swap agreement. At the conclusion of the term of the swap agreement, if a Fund has a loss equal to or greater than the margin amount, the margin amount is paid to the FCM along with any loss in excess of the margin amount. If a Fund has a loss of less than the margin amount, the excess margin is returned to the Fund. If a Fund has a gain, the full margin amount and the amount of the gain is paid to the Fund.

Central clearing is designed to reduce counterparty credit risk compared to uncleared swaps because central clearing interposes the central clearinghouse as the counterparty to each participant’s swap, but it does not eliminate those risks completely. There is also a risk of loss by a Fund of the initial and variation margin deposits in the event of bankruptcy of the FCM with which the Fund has an open position in a swap contract. The assets of a Fund may not be fully protected in the event of the bankruptcy of the FCM or central counterparty because the Fund might be limited to recovering only a pro rata share of all available funds and margin segregated on behalf of an FCM’s customers. If the FCM does not provide accurate reporting, a Fund is also subject to the risk that the FCM could use the Fund’s assets, which are held in an omnibus account with assets belonging to the FCM’s other customers, to satisfy its own financial obligations or the payment obligations of another customer to the central counterparty. Exchange-trading is expected to increase liquidity of swaps trading.

In addition, with respect to cleared swaps, a Fund may not be able to obtain as favorable terms as it would be able to negotiate for an uncleared swap. In addition, an FCM may unilaterally impose position limits or additional margin requirements for certain types of swaps in which a Fund may invest. Central counterparties and FCMs generally can require termination of existing cleared swap transactions at any time, and can also require increases in margin above the margin that is required at the initiation of the swap agreement. Margin requirements for cleared swaps vary on a number of factors, and the margin required under the rules of the clearinghouse and FCM may be in excess of the collateral required to be posted by a Fund to support its obligations under a similar uncleared swap. However, regulators are expected to adopt rules imposing certain margin requirements, including minimums, on uncleared swaps in the near future, which could change this comparison.

The Funds are also subject to the risk that, after entering into a cleared swap with an executing broker, no FCM or central counterparty is willing or able to clear the transaction. In such an event, the central counterparty would void the trade. Before a Fund can enter into a new trade, market conditions may become less favorable to the Fund.

The Adviser will continue to monitor developments regarding trading and execution of cleared swaps on exchanges, particularly to the extent regulatory changes affect a Fund's ability to enter into swap agreements and the costs and risks associated with such investments.

Warrants and Subscription Rights

Warrants are equity securities in the form of options issued by a corporation which give the holder the right, but not the obligation, to purchase stock, usually at a price that is higher than the market price at the time the warrant is issued. A purchaser takes the risk that the warrant may expire worthless because the market price of the common stock fails to rise above the price set by the warrant.

Currency Forwards

A currency forward transaction is a contract to buy or sell a specified quantity of currency at a specified date in the future at a specified price which may be any fixed number of days from the date of the contract agreed upon by the parties, at a price set at the time of the contract. Currency forward contracts may be used to increase or reduce exposure to currency price movements.

The use of currency forward transactions involves certain risks. For example, if the counterparty under the contract defaults on its obligation to make payments due from it as a result of its bankruptcy or otherwise, a Fund may lose such payments altogether or collect only a portion thereof, which collection could involve costs or delays.

Convertible Securities

A convertible security is a bond, debenture, note, preferred stock, right, warrant or other security that may be converted into or exchanged for a prescribed amount of common stock or other security of the same or a different issuer or into cash within a particular period of time at a specified price or formula. A convertible security generally entitles the holder to receive interest paid or accrued on debt securities or the dividend paid on preferred stock until the convertible security matures or is redeemed, converted or exchanged. Before conversion, convertible securities generally have characteristics similar to both debt and equity securities. The value of convertible securities tends to decline as interest rates rise and, because of the conversion feature, tends to vary with fluctuations in the market value

of the underlying securities. Convertible securities ordinarily provide a stream of income with generally higher yields than those of common stock of the same or similar issuers. Convertible securities generally rank senior to common stock in a corporation's capital structure but are usually subordinated to comparable nonconvertible securities. Convertible securities generally do not participate directly in any dividend increases or decreases of the underlying securities although the market prices of convertible securities may be affected by any dividend changes or other changes in the underlying securities.

Structured Notes

A structured note is a derivative security for which the amount of principal repayment and/or interest payments is based on the movement of one or more "factors." These factors include, but are not limited to, currency exchange rates, interest rates (such as the prime lending rate or LIBOR), referenced bonds and stock indices. Some of these factors may or may not correlate to the total rate of return on one

or more underlying instruments referenced in such notes. Investments in structured notes involve risks including interest rate risk, credit risk and market risk. Depending on the factor(s) used and the use of multipliers or deflators, changes in interest rates and movement of such factor(s) may cause significant price fluctuations. Structured notes may be less liquid than other types of securities and more volatile than the reference factor underlying the note.

Participation Notes

All Funds. Participation notes (“P-Notes”) are issued by banks or broker-dealers and are designed to offer a return linked to the performance of a particular underlying equity security or market. P-Notes can have the characteristics or take the form of various instruments, including, but not limited to, certificates or warrants. The holder of a P-Note that is linked to a particular underlying security is entitled to receive any dividends paid in connection with the underlying security. However, the holder of a P-Note generally does not receive voting rights as it would if it directly owned the underlying security. P-Notes constitute direct, general and unsecured contractual obligations of the banks or broker-dealers that issue them, which therefore subject a Fund to counterparty risk, as discussed below. Investments in P-Notes involve certain risks in addition to those associated with a direct investment in the underlying foreign securities or foreign securities markets whose return they seek to replicate. For instance, there can be no assurance that the trading price of a P-Note will equal the value of the underlying foreign security or foreign securities market that it seeks to replicate. As the purchaser of a P-Note, a Fund is relying on the creditworthiness of the counterparty issuing the P-Note and has no rights under a P-Note against the issuer of the underlying security. Therefore, if such counterparty were to become insolvent, a Fund would lose its investment. The risk that a Fund may lose its investments due to the insolvency of a single counterparty may be amplified to the extent the Fund purchases P-Notes issued by one issuer or a small number of issuers. P-Notes also include transaction costs in addition to those applicable to a direct investment in securities. In addition, a Fund’s use of P-Notes may cause the Fund’s performance to deviate from the performance of the portion of the Fund’s Index to which the Fund is gaining exposure through the use of P-Notes.

Due to liquidity and transfer restrictions, the secondary markets on which P-Notes are traded may be less liquid than the markets for other securities, which may lead to the absence of readily available market quotations for securities in a Fund’s portfolio and may cause the value of the P-Notes to decline. The ability of a Fund to value its securities becomes more difficult and the Adviser’s judgment in the application of fair value procedures may play a greater role in the valuation of a Fund’s securities due to reduced availability of reliable objective pricing data. Consequently, while such determinations will be made in good faith, it may nevertheless be more difficult for a Fund to accurately assign a daily value to such securities.

Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF only. P-Notes eligible for investment by the Fund must be issued by banks or broker-dealers that are registered with the Securities and Exchange Board of India (“SEBI”) as a FII. As per the SEBI disclosure norms governing issuance of offshore derivative instruments (including P-Notes or such other derivative instruments whose value is directly linked to underlying Indian securities) by any FII, a FII is required to disclose to SEBI, on a monthly basis in a prescribed format details of such instruments which include the names and the locations of persons to whom the offshore derivative instruments are issued; the nature and type of investors; the quantity and value of the offshore derivative instruments; and the underlying Indian securities. Information for each month has to be submitted within seven days following the end of the calendar month. In light of the above, if any FII or its clients issue any offshore derivative instrument, the details of such investors will have to be disclosed by the FII

and accordingly will be required to file such disclosure with SEBI. FIIs that do not have any outstanding offshore derivatives are not required to make such filing. FIIs are allowed to issue participatory notes and offshore derivative instruments to those entities that are regulated

7

by an appropriate regulatory authority in the countries of their incorporation or establishment. SEBI has prohibited the issuance of participatory notes by sub-accounts of FIIs. FIIs are also not permitted to issue, subscribe for or purchase any offshore derivative instruments, directly or indirectly, to or from, Indian residents or non-resident Indians.

SEBI Takeover Regulations (Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF Only)

Under the provisions of the SEBI (Substantial Acquisition of Shares and Takeovers) Regulations, 2011 (“Takeover Code”), any acquirer who holds, together with persons acting in concert with him, 5% or more of the shares or voting rights of a listed public Indian company, is required to notify the company and the stock exchanges on which the shares of such company are listed about its holding within the prescribed time period. Furthermore, any acquirer who holds, together with persons acting in concert with him, 5% or more of shares or voting rights is required to inform the company and the stock exchange about any change in its holding by 2% or more of the shares or voting rights in the target company.

Upon the acquisition of 25% or more of shares or voting rights or an acquisition of control of the company, whether directly or indirectly, the acquirer is required to make an open offer to the other shareholders offering to purchase at least 26% of all the outstanding shares of the company at an offer price as determined pursuant to the provisions of the Takeover Code (“Open Offer”). Further, under the provisions of the Takeover Code, any existing shareholder of a listed public Indian company, holding 25% or more but less than 75% of the shares of the company, is entitled to acquire up to 5% voting rights of the company, in any financial year ending March 31 without making a public offer for such an acquisition.

There are certain exemptions under the Takeover Code from the public offer provisions in certain specific instances such as an *inter se* transfer of shares amongst the persons named as promoters in the shareholding pattern filed by the target company in terms of the listing agreement or the Takeover Code for not less than three years prior to the proposed acquisition and transfer of shares pursuant to arrangement involving the target company as a transferor company or as a transferee company, or reconstruction of the target company, including amalgamation, merger or demerger, pursuant to an order of a court or a competent authority under any law or regulation, Indian or foreign. The Subsidiary may invest through subscription of shares under the preferential route or purchase of shares from existing promoters or shareholders in which case, it would be required to comply with the public offer provisions of the Takeover Code if the post-acquisition holding of the Subsidiary is in excess of the prescribed thresholds.

Future Developments

The Funds may take advantage of opportunities in the area of options, futures contracts, options on futures contracts, options on the Funds, warrants, swaps and any other investments which are not presently contemplated for use or which are not currently available, but which may be developed, to the extent such investments are considered suitable for a Fund by the Adviser.

Investment Restrictions

The Board and the Board of Directors of the Subsidiary (to the extent that such restrictions are applicable to the Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF) have adopted the following investment restrictions as fundamental policies with respect to each Fund and the Subsidiary, respectively. These restrictions cannot be changed without the approval of the holders of a majority of each Fund's outstanding voting securities. For purposes of the 1940 Act, a majority of the outstanding voting securities of a Fund means the vote, at an annual or a special meeting of the security holders of the Trust,

of the lesser of (1) 67% or more of the voting securities of the Fund present at such meeting, if the holders of more than 50% of the outstanding voting securities of the Fund are present or represented by proxy, or (2) more than 50% of the outstanding voting securities of the Fund. Similar voting requirements apply with respect to a change in the fundamental investment policies of the Subsidiary. If Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF, as an investor in the Subsidiary, is requested to vote on a change in the fundamental investment policies of the Subsidiary, the Fund will either call a meeting of its shareholders and will vote its shares in the Subsidiary in accordance with instructions it receives from its shareholders or otherwise vote as required under the 1940 Act. Under these restrictions:

Each Fund may not make loans, except that the Fund may (i) lend portfolio securities, (ii) enter into repurchase agreements, (iii) purchase all or a portion of an issue of debt securities, bank loan or participation interests, bank certificates of deposit, bankers' acceptances, debentures or other securities, whether or not the purchase is made upon the original issuance of the securities and (iv) participate in an interfund lending program with other registered investment companies;

2. Each Fund may not borrow money, except as permitted under the 1940 Act, and as interpreted or modified by regulation from time to time;

3. Each Fund may not issue senior securities, except as permitted under the 1940 Act, and as interpreted or modified by regulation from time to time;

Each Fund (except Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Israel ETF, Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF, Market Vectors Oil Services ETF, Market Vectors Poland ETF, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF and Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF and Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF) may not purchase a security (other than obligations of the U.S. Government, its agencies or instrumentalities) if, as a result, 25% or more of its total assets would be invested in a single issuer;

5. Each Fund may not purchase or sell real estate, except that the Fund may (i) invest in securities of issuers that invest in real estate or interests therein; (ii) invest in mortgage-related securities and other securities that are secured by real estate or interests therein; and (iii) hold and sell real estate acquired by the Fund as a result of the ownership of securities;

6. Each Fund may not engage in the business of underwriting securities issued by others, except to the extent that the Fund may be considered an underwriter within the meaning of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), in the disposition of restricted securities or in connection with its investments in other investment companies;

7. Each Fund may not purchase or sell commodities, unless acquired as a result of owning securities or other instruments, but it may purchase, sell or enter into financial options and futures, forward and spot currency contracts, swap transactions and other financial contracts or derivative instruments and may invest in securities or other instruments backed by commodities. In addition, Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF may invest up to 25% of its total assets in gold and silver coins, which are legal tender in the country of issue and gold and silver bullion, and palladium and platinum group metals bullion; or

9

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

Each Fund, except Market Vectors Oil Services ETF and Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF, may not purchase any security if, as a result of that purchase, 25% or more of its total assets would be invested in securities of issuers having their principal business activities in the same industry except that the Fund may invest 25% or more of the value of its total assets in securities of issuers in any one industry or group of industries if the index that the Fund replicates concentrates in an industry or group of industries. With respect to each of Market Vectors 8. Oil Services ETF and Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF, the Fund may not purchase any security if, as a result of that purchase, 25% or more of its total assets would be invested in securities of issuers having their principal business activities in the same industry except that the Fund will invest 25% or more of the value of its total assets in securities of issuers in any one industry or group of industries if the index that the Fund replicates concentrates in an industry or group of industries. This limit does not apply to securities issued or guaranteed by the U.S. Government, its agencies or instrumentalities.

In addition to the investment restrictions adopted as fundamental policies as set forth above, each Fund observes the following restrictions, which may be changed by the Board without a shareholder vote. Each Fund will not:

Invest in securities which are “illiquid” securities, including repurchase agreements maturing in more than seven days 1. and options traded over-the-counter, if the result is that more than 15% of a Fund’s net assets would be invested in such securities.

2. Make short sales of securities.

Purchase any security on margin, except for such short-term loans as are necessary for clearance of securities 3. transactions. The deposit or payment by a Fund or initial or variation margin in connection with futures contracts or related options thereon is not considered the purchase of a security on margin.

Participate in a joint or joint-and-several basis in any trading account in securities, although transactions for the 4. Funds and any other account under common or affiliated management may be combined or allocated between the Fund and such account.

Purchase securities of open-end or closed-end investment companies except in compliance with the 1940 Act, 5. although the Fund may not acquire any securities of registered open-end investment companies or registered unit investment trusts in reliance on Sections 12(d)(1)(F) or 12(d)(1)(G) of the 1940 Act.

In addition to the fundamental and non-fundamental investment restrictions set forth above, each of Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF, Market Vectors Brazil Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Coal ETF, Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF, Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF, Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF, Market Vectors Oil Services ETF, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF, Market Vectors Russia ETF and Market Vectors Steel ETF observes the following additional restrictions, which may be changed by the Board without a shareholder vote: under normal market conditions (i) any borrowings by the Fund will be on a temporary basis and will not exceed 10% of the Fund’s net assets; and (ii) the Fund’s investments in the securities of other pooled investment vehicles will not exceed 10% of the Fund’s net assets.

If a percentage limitation is adhered to at the time of investment or contract, a later increase or decrease in percentage resulting from any change in value or total or net assets will not result in a

violation of such restriction, except that the percentage limitations with respect to the borrowing of money and illiquid securities above in fundamental restriction 2 and non-fundamental restriction 1, respectively, will be continuously complied with.

Each Fund may invest in securities not included in its respective Index, money market instruments or funds which reinvest exclusively in money market instruments, in stocks that are in the relevant market but not the Fund's respective Index, and/or in combinations of certain stock index futures contracts, options on such futures contracts, stock options, stock index options, options on the Shares, and stock index swaps and swaptions, each with a view towards providing each Fund with exposure to the securities in its respective Index. These investments may be made to invest uncommitted cash balances or, in limited circumstances, to assist in meeting shareholder redemptions of Creation Units. Each Fund will not invest in money market instruments as part of a temporary defensive strategy to protect against potential stock market declines.

The Adviser is registered as an FII with the SEBI, and the Subsidiary is registered as a sub-account with the SEBI in order to obtain certain benefits relating to the Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF's ability to make and dispose of investments. Investments under SEBI (Foreign Institutional Investors) Regulations, 1995 ("FII Regulations") and Foreign Exchange Management (transfer or issue of security by a person resident outside India) Regulations, 2000 are permitted only in the following:

- securities in the primary and secondary markets including shares, debentures and warrants (as per the applicable Consolidated Foreign Direct Investment Policy) of companies unlisted, listed or to be listed on a recognized stock exchange in India;
- units of schemes floated by domestic mutual funds including Unit Trust of India, whether listed on a recognized stock exchange in India or not or units of a scheme floated by a Collective Investment Scheme;
- dated government securities;
- derivatives traded on a recognized stock exchange;
- commercial paper;
- security receipts of asset reconstruction companies; and
- Indian Depository Receipts.

In certain instances FIIs may invest in primary issuances of non-convertible debentures by an Indian company if the listing of such securities is committed to be done within 15 days of such investment.

Further, FIIs are allowed to engage in delivery based trading and short selling including execution of trades involving derivatives on a recognized stock exchange. FIIs are allowed to tender their shares in case of an open offer following the takeover bid by an acquirer. FIIs are also permitted to take forward cover on their equity and debt exposure to

mitigate against currency fluctuations.

FII's which have issued derivative instruments based on underlying Indian securities such as P-Notes and any other equivalent instrument are required to make a monthly disclosure to the SEBI as regards the details of the instrument as well as the ultimate investor in such instruments.

The extent to which percentage positions may be taken in index options and index futures by the Subsidiary would be restricted to the limits prescribed by applicable regulators from time to time.

11

Separately, following are the regulatory positions that the Adviser (as an FII) and the Subsidiary (as a sub-account) would have to observe under the applicable provisions of the securities laws of India:

The aggregate FII holding in any Indian company cannot exceed 24% of the entire paid-up equity capital of that company which limit can be further extended to the applicable foreign investment limit in a specific sector if the shareholders of a company pass a special resolution to that effect. Further, no single FII or its sub-accounts (provided such sub-account is broad based) can hold more than 10% of the total paid-up equity capital of an Indian company.

In addition, currently the overall limit for FIIs and sub-accounts registered with SEBI for investing in corporate debt market has recently been enhanced from \$20 billion to \$25 billion but the enhanced limit of \$5 billion shall not be available for investment in Certificate of Deposits (CD) and Commercial Papers (CP), with an additional \$25 billion available for investing in corporate bonds issued by companies in the infrastructure sector (as defined under the “External Commercial Borrowings” guidelines issued by the RBI). At present, FIIs and their sub-accounts can only invest in listed or to-be-listed debt instruments; however, they can invest in unlisted bonds issued by companies in the infrastructure sectors, which are organized in the form of special purpose vehicles.

The aggregate debt investments by FIIs in government securities and treasury bills are capped at \$25 billion. Investment by FIIs/ sub-account in debt oriented mutual fund scheme shall be considered as investment in corporate debt.

SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS AND RISKS

A discussion of the risks associated with an investment in each Fund is contained in each Fund's Prospectus under the headings "Summary Information—Principal Risks of Investing in the Fund" with respect to the applicable Fund, and "Additional Information About the Funds' Investment Strategies and Risks—Risks of Investing in the Funds." The discussion below supplements, and should be read in conjunction with, such sections of each Fund's Prospectus.

General

Investment in each Fund should be made with an understanding that the value of the Fund's portfolio securities may fluctuate in accordance with changes in the financial condition of the issuers of the portfolio securities, the value of securities generally and other factors.

An investment in each Fund should also be made with an understanding of the risks inherent in an investment in equity securities, including the risk that the financial condition of issuers may become impaired or that the general condition of the stock market may deteriorate (either of which may cause a decrease in the value of the portfolio securities and thus in the value of Shares). Common stocks are susceptible to general stock market fluctuations and to volatile increases and decreases in value as market confidence in and perceptions of their issuers change. These investor perceptions are based on various and unpredictable factors, including expectations regarding government, economic, monetary and fiscal policies, inflation and interest rates, economic expansion or contraction, and global or regional political, economic and banking crises.

Holders of common stocks incur more risk than holders of preferred stocks and debt obligations because common stockholders, as owners of the issuer, have generally inferior rights to receive payments from the issuer in comparison with the rights of creditors of, or holders of debt obligations or preferred stocks issued by, the issuer. Further, unlike debt securities which typically have a stated principal amount payable at maturity (whose value, however, will be subject to market fluctuations prior thereto), or preferred stocks which typically have a liquidation preference and which may have stated optional or mandatory redemption provisions, common stocks have neither a fixed principal amount nor a maturity. Common stock values are subject to market fluctuations as long as the common stock remains outstanding.

In the event that the securities in a Fund's Index are not listed on a national securities exchange, the principal trading market for some may be in the over-the-counter market. The existence of a liquid trading market for certain securities may depend on whether dealers will make a market in such securities. There can be no assurance that a market will be made or maintained or that any such market will be or remain liquid. The price at which securities may be sold and the value of a Fund's Shares will be adversely affected if trading markets for the Fund's portfolio securities are limited or absent or if bid/ask spreads are wide.

The Funds are not actively managed by traditional methods, and therefore the adverse financial condition of any one issuer will not result in the elimination of its securities from the securities held by a Fund unless the securities of such issuer are removed from its respective Index.

An investment in each Fund should also be made with an understanding that the Fund will not be able to replicate exactly the performance of its respective Index because the total return generated by the securities will be reduced by transaction costs incurred in adjusting the actual balance of the securities and other Fund expenses, whereas such transaction costs and expenses are not included in the calculation of its respective Index. It is also possible that for periods of time, a Fund may not fully replicate the

performance of its respective Index due to the temporary unavailability of certain Index securities in the secondary market or due to other extraordinary circumstances. Such events are unlikely to continue for an extended period of time because a Fund is required to correct such imbalances by means of adjusting the composition of the securities. It is also possible that the composition of a Fund may not exactly replicate the composition of its respective Index if the Fund has to adjust its portfolio holdings in order to continue to qualify as a “regulated investment company” under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Internal Revenue Code”).

Regulatory developments affecting the exchange-traded and OTC derivatives markets may impair a Fund’s ability to manage or hedge its investment portfolio through the use of derivatives. The Dodd-Frank Act and the rules promulgated thereunder may limit the ability of the Funds to enter into one or more exchange-traded or OTC derivatives transactions.

The Trust, on behalf of the Funds, has filed a notice of eligibility with the National Futures Association claiming an exclusion from the definition of the term “commodity pool operator” (“CPO”) pursuant to CFTC Regulation 4.5, as promulgated under the Commodity Exchange Act (“CEA”), with respect to the Funds’ operations. Therefore, neither the Funds nor the Adviser (with respect to the Funds) is subject to registration or regulation as a commodity pool or CPO under the CEA. If a Fund becomes subject to these requirements, a Fund may incur additional compliance and other expenses.

Each Fund’s use of derivatives may also be limited by the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code for qualification as a regulated investment company for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

With respect to investments in swap transactions, commodity futures, commodity options or certain other derivatives used for purposes other than bona fide hedging purposes, an investment company must meet one of the following tests under the amended regulations in order to claim an exemption from being considered a “commodity pool” or CPO. First, the aggregate initial margin and premiums required to establish an investment company’s positions in such investments may not exceed five percent (5%) of the liquidation value of the investment company’s portfolio (after accounting for unrealized profits and unrealized losses on any such investments). Alternatively, the aggregate net notional value of such instruments, determined at the time of the most recent position established, may not exceed one hundred percent (100%) of the liquidation value of the investment company’s portfolio (after accounting for unrealized profits and unrealized losses on any such positions). In addition to meeting one of the foregoing trading limitations, the investment company may not market itself as a commodity pool or otherwise as a vehicle for trading in the commodity futures, commodity options or swaps and derivatives markets. In the event that the Adviser is required to register as a CPO, the disclosure and operations of the Funds would need to comply with all applicable CFTC regulations. Compliance with these additional registration and regulatory requirements would increase operational expenses. Other potentially adverse regulatory initiatives could also develop.

Shares are subject to the risks of an investment in a portfolio of equity securities in an economic sector or industry in which each Fund’s Index is highly concentrated. In addition, because it is the policy of each Fund to generally invest in the securities that comprise its respective Index, the portfolio of securities held by such Fund (“Fund Securities”) also will be concentrated in that economic sector or industry.

U.S. Federal Tax Treatment of Futures Contracts

Each Fund may be required for federal income tax purposes to mark-to-market and recognize as income for each taxable year their net unrealized gains and losses on certain futures contracts as of the end of the year as well as those actually realized during the year. Gain or loss from futures contracts

required to be marked-to-market will be 60% long-term and 40% short-term capital gain or loss. Application of this rule may alter the timing and character of distributions to shareholders. Each Fund may be required to defer the recognition of losses on futures contracts to the extent of any unrecognized gains on related positions held by the Fund.

In order for a Fund to continue to qualify for U.S. federal income tax treatment as a regulated investment company, at least 90% of its gross income for a taxable year must be derived from qualifying income, i.e., dividends, interest, income derived from loans of securities, gains from the sale of securities or of foreign currencies or other income derived with respect to the Fund's business of investing in securities. It is anticipated that any net gain realized from the closing out of futures contracts will be considered gain from the sale of securities and therefore will be qualifying income for purposes of the 90% requirement.

Each Fund distributes to shareholders annually any net capital gains which have been recognized for U.S. federal income tax purposes (including unrealized gains at the end of the Fund's fiscal year) on futures transactions. Such distributions are combined with distributions of capital gains realized on a Fund's other investments and shareholders are advised on the nature of the distributions.

Risks Relating to Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF

Tax Risks. The taxation of income and capital gains of the Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF is subject to the fiscal laws and practices of different jurisdictions. Any of those jurisdictions may change their fiscal laws and practices (or interpretation thereof) and enforcement policies, possibly with retroactive effect. The Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF's investment in the Subsidiary involves certain tax risks. Changes to the Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement between Mauritius and India (or its interpretation) may adversely affect the ability of the Subsidiary to realize efficiently income or capital gains. Consequently, it is possible that Subsidiary may face unfavorable tax treatment, which may materially adversely affect the value of its investments or the feasibility of making investments in India.

Proposed budget legislation in India (the "2012 Finance Bill") proposes to implement a general anti-avoidance provision ("GAAR") expected to become effective in 2015. GAAR would be applicable where the main purpose of an arrangement is tax avoidance. GAAR provisions empower the tax authorities to declare any arrangement as an "impermissible avoidance arrangement," provided the same has been entered into with the main objective of obtaining tax benefit under specified circumstances. If the Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF's use of the Subsidiary were considered to be such an impermissible avoidance arrangement, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF would become subject directly to taxation in India. The burden of proof in enforcing the rule will reside with the Indian government, not the taxpayer, and India's current double tax treaty arrangements will remain in force. If the Indian tax authorities were to apply the GAAR to the Subsidiary, this could result in the benefits under the tax treaty being denied to the Subsidiary, and consequently have an adverse impact on the taxability of the Subsidiary and the returns to the investors. The 2012 Finance Bill introduced provisions that provide where shares of a non-Indian company derive their value substantially from assets in India, the transfer of such shares may, for the purposes of Indian tax rules, be deemed to amount to the transfer of capital assets situated in India. The amendments to the Income

Tax Act, 1961 (“ITA”), set out in the 2012 Finance Bill, further provide that the term “transfer” includes a direct or an indirect disposal of an asset whether or not such transfer is dependent upon, or flows from, the transfer or redemption of shares of a non-Indian company. As a result, it is possible that Indian tax authorities may find a tax liability arising from the transfer of shares of the Subsidiary by the Fund on the basis that such shares derive their value substantially from assets in India. However, there are currently no rules or guidance relating to possible Indian tax liability and the circumstances in which the shares of a non-Indian company can be

said to derive their value substantially from assets in India, although an expert committee set up by the Government of India recommended that the foregoing tax treatment of indirect transfers be mitigated in certain respects.

In a recent case of a cross border acquisition transaction involving the transfer of shares of a non-resident company holding underlying shares in an Indian company to another non-resident company, the Indian Supreme Court held that the transfer of offshore assets ordinarily would not attract Indian tax liability. However, the 2012 Finance Bill in its current form includes a proposal to retrospectively overrule this decision and tax indirect transfers of Indian entities by non-residents, which would subject Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF to tax on any gains it realizes on transactions in the shares of the Subsidiary between it and the Subsidiary and could have other adverse effects on Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF.

Further, the Government of India has recently issued a Direct Tax Code Bill for discussion purposes, which if enacted will replace the existing ITA. The provisions of the new Direct Tax Code, if enacted, could change the manner in which the Subsidiary or the portfolio companies are currently taxed in India, and could adversely impact the returns to the Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF and its shareholders. Hence, no assurance can be given that the interpretations described in this discussion will remain in effect. Any changes could also be applied retroactively. Prospective investors are urged to consult their own tax advisors with respect to their own tax situations and the tax consequences of an investment in the Fund. The Mauritius legal framework under which the Subsidiary will invest in India may undergo changes in the future, which could impose additional costs or burdens on its operations. Future changes to Mauritius or Indian Law, or the India-Mauritius Tax Treaty or the interpretations given to them by regulatory or tax authorities may impose additional costs or obligations on the Subsidiary's activities in Mauritius. Significant adverse tax consequences may result if the Subsidiary does not qualify for the benefits under the India-Mauritius Tax Treaty. There can be no assurance that the Subsidiary will continue to qualify for or receive the benefits of the India-Mauritius Tax Treaty or that the terms of the India-Mauritius Tax Treaty will not be changed.

Limitations on the Subsidiary's Ability to Make Distributions or Pay Redemption Proceeds to the Fund. The Subsidiary is regulated by the Mauritius Financial Services Commission ("FSC") which has issued a Category 1 Global Business License to the Subsidiary to conduct the business of "investment holding" under the Financial Services Act 2007 (the "Financial Services Act"). Pursuant to the Mauritius Companies Act 2001 (the "Companies Act"), the Subsidiary can only make a distribution or pay the redemption proceeds upon a redemption of shares if it satisfies the solvency test prescribed under the Companies Act immediately after such distribution or redemption. Consequently, the stated capital of the Subsidiary must be taken into account and a positive net balance is required. In addition, the Subsidiary may only pay dividends out of retained earnings after having made good any accumulated losses at the beginning of the accounting period. The above limitations may adversely affect the ability of the Subsidiary and the Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF to make distributions or pay the redemption proceeds to the investors. If Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF's ability to make distributions is adversely affected, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF may be unable to satisfy distribution requirements applicable to regulated investment companies under the Internal Revenue Code, and be subject to income and/or excise tax at the Fund level. See "Taxes".

RQFII Program Risk (Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF Only)

The Adviser allocates a portion of Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF's assets to an unaffiliated sub-adviser with an RQFII license (a "sub-adviser") for purposes of investing in A-shares. China Asset Management (Hong Kong) Limited currently acts as Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF's sub-adviser for this purpose. The RQFII regulations provide that the size of a RQFII's quota may

be reduced or cancelled by SAFE if the RQFII is unable to use its RQFII quota effectively within one year after the quota is granted. Pursuant to PRC and RQFII regulations, SAFE is vested with the power to impose regulatory sanctions if the Sub-Adviser, in its capacity as RQFII, or the PRC sub-custodian violates any provision of the RQFII regulations. Any such violations could result in the revocation of the Sub-Adviser's quota or other regulatory sanctions and may adversely impact the portion of the Sub-Adviser's quota granted with respect to Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF.

If SAFE reduces the Sub-Adviser's quota, it may affect Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF's ability to effectively pursue its investment strategy. In addition, the Sub-Adviser's RQFII status could be suspended or revoked. There can be no assurance that the Sub-Adviser will continue to maintain its RQFII status or be able to acquire additional RQFII quota. In the event the Sub-Adviser is unable to maintain its RQFII status or its RQFII quota becomes inadequate, and the Adviser is not able to retain an additional sub-adviser with an RQFII quota, Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF may be unable to gain exposure to A-shares through other means described in the Prospectus. In such event it is possible that the trading price of Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF's Shares on the Exchange will be at a significant premium to the NAV (which may also increase tracking error of the Fund).

The current RQFII regulations include rules on investment restrictions applicable to Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF. Transaction sizes for RQFIIs are relatively large (with the corresponding heightened risk of exposure to decreased market liquidity and significant price volatility leading to possible adverse effects on the timing and pricing of acquisition or disposal of securities).

The regulations which regulate investments by RQFIIs in the PRC and the repatriation of capital from RQFII investments are relatively new. The application and interpretation of such investment regulations are therefore relatively untested and there is no certainty as to how they will be applied as the PRC authorities and regulators have been given wide discretion in such investment regulations and there is no precedent or certainty as to how such discretion may be exercised now or in the future. The future application and/or interpretation of such regulations may create difficulties with respect to the manner in which Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF seeks to invest in A-shares in furtherance of its investment objective.

Cyber Security

The Funds, their service providers, the NYSE Arca and Authorized Participants (defined below) are susceptible to cyber security risks that include, among other things, theft, unauthorized monitoring, release, misuse, loss, destruction or corruption of confidential and highly restricted data; denial of service attacks; unauthorized access to relevant systems, compromises to networks or devices that the Funds and their service providers use to service the Funds' operations; or operational disruption or failures in the physical infrastructure or operating systems that support the Funds and their service providers. Cyber attacks against or security breakdowns of the Funds, their service providers, the NYSE Arca or Authorized Participants may adversely impact the Funds and their shareholders, potentially resulting in, among other things, financial losses; the inability of Fund shareholders to transact business and the Funds to process transactions; inability to calculate the Funds' NAVs; violations of applicable privacy and other laws; regulatory fines, penalties, reputational damage, reimbursement or other compensation costs; and/or additional

compliance costs. The Funds may incur additional costs for cyber security risk management and remediation purposes. In addition, cyber security risks may also impact issuers of securities in which the Funds invest, which may cause the Funds' investment in such issuers to lose value. There can be no assurance that the Funds, their service providers, the NYSE Arca or Authorized Participants will not suffer losses relating to cyber attacks or other information security breaches in the future.

EXCHANGE LISTING AND TRADING

A discussion of exchange listing and trading matters associated with an investment in each Fund is contained in each Fund's Prospectus under the headings "Summary Information—Principal Risks of Investing in the Fund" with respect to the applicable Fund, "Additional Information About the Funds' Investment Strategies and Risks—Risks of Investing in the Funds," "Shareholder Information—Determination of NAV" and "Shareholder Information—Buying and Selling Exchange-Traded Shares." The discussion below supplements, and should be read in conjunction with, such sections of the Funds' Prospectus.

The Shares of each Fund are traded in the secondary market at prices that may differ to some degree from their NAV. The Exchange may but is not required to remove the Shares of the Funds from listing if: (1) following the initial twelve-month period beginning upon the commencement of trading of the Funds, there are fewer than 50 beneficial holders of the Shares for 30 or more consecutive trading days, (2) the value of a Fund's respective Index or portfolio of securities on which the Fund is based is no longer calculated or available or (3) such other event shall occur or condition exists that, in the opinion of the Exchange, makes further dealings on the Exchange inadvisable. In addition, the Exchange will remove the Shares from listing and trading upon termination of the Trust. There can be no assurance that the requirements of the Exchange necessary to maintain the listing of Shares of the Funds will continue to be met.

As in the case of other securities traded on the Exchange, brokers' commissions on transactions will be based on negotiated commission rates at customary levels.

In order to provide investors with a basis to gauge whether the market price of the Shares on the Exchange is approximately consistent with the current value of the assets of the Funds on a per Share basis, an updated Indicative Per Share Portfolio Value is disseminated intra-day through the facilities of the Consolidated Tape Association's Network B. Indicative Per Share Portfolio Values are disseminated every 15 seconds during regular Exchange trading hours based on the most recently reported prices of Fund Securities. As the respective international local markets close, the Indicative Per Share Portfolio Value will continue to be updated for foreign exchange rates for the remainder of the U.S. trading day at the prescribed 15 second interval. The Funds are not involved in or responsible for the calculation or dissemination of the Indicative Per Share Portfolio Value and make no warranty as to the accuracy of the Indicative Per Share Portfolio Value.

BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE TRUST

Trustees and Officers of the Trust

The Board of the Trust consists of five Trustees, four of whom are not “interested persons” (as defined in the 1940 Act), of the Trust (the “Independent Trustees”). Mr. David H. Chow, an Independent Trustee, serves as Chairman of the Board. The Board is responsible for overseeing the management and operations of the Trust, including general supervision of the duties performed by the Adviser and other service providers to the Trust. The Adviser is responsible for the day-to-day administration and business affairs of the Trust.

The Board believes that each Trustee’s experience, qualifications, attributes or skills on an individual basis and in combination with those of the other Trustees lead to the conclusion that the Board possesses the requisite skills and attributes to carry out its oversight responsibilities with respect to the Trust. The Board believes that the Trustees’ ability to review, critically evaluate, question and discuss information provided to them, to interact effectively with the Adviser, other service providers, counsel and independent auditors, and to exercise effective business judgment in the performance of their duties, support this conclusion. The Board also has considered the following experience, qualifications, attributes and/or skills, among others, of its members in reaching its conclusion: such person’s character and integrity; length of service as a board member of the Trust; such person’s willingness to serve and willingness and ability to commit the time necessary to perform the duties of a Trustee; and as to each Trustee other than Mr. van Eck, his status as not being an “interested person” (as defined in the 1940 Act) of the Trust. In addition, the following specific experience, qualifications, attributes and/or skills apply as to each Trustee: Mr. Chow, significant business and financial experience, particularly in the investment management industry, experience with trading and markets through his involvement with the Pacific Stock Exchange, and service as a chief executive officer, board member, partner or executive officer of various businesses and non-profit organizations; Mr. Short, business and financial experience, particularly in the investment management industry, and service as a president, board member or executive officer of various businesses; Mr. Sidebottom, business and financial experience, particularly in the investment management industry, and service as partner and/or executive officer of various businesses; Mr. Stamberger, business and financial experience and service as the president and chief executive officer of SmartBrief Inc., a media company; and Mr. van Eck, business and financial experience, particularly in the investment management industry, and service as a president, executive officer and/or board member of various businesses, including the Adviser, Van Eck Securities Corporation, and Van Eck Absolute Return Advisers Corporation. References to the experience, qualifications, attributes and skills of Trustees are pursuant to requirements of the SEC, do not constitute holding out of the Board or any Trustee as having any special expertise or experience, and shall not impose any greater responsibility or liability on any such person or on the Board by reason thereof.

The Trustees of the Trust, their addresses, positions with the Trust, year of birth, term of office and length of time served, principal occupations during the past five years, the number of portfolios in the Fund Complex overseen by each Trustee and other directorships, if any, held by the Trustees, are set forth below.

Independent Trustees

Name, Address¹ and Year of Birth	Position(s) Held with the Trust	Term of Office² and Length of Time Served	Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex³ Overseen	Other Directorships Held By Trustee During Past Five Years
David H. Chow, 1957*†	Chairman Trustee	Since 2008 Since 2006	Founder and CEO, DanCourt Management LLC (financial/strategy consulting firm and Registered Investment Adviser), March 1999 to present.	55	Director, Forward Management LLC and Audit Committee Chairman, January 2008 to present; Trustee, Berea College of Kentucky and Vice-Chairman of the Investment Committee, May 2009 to present; Member of the Governing Council of the Independent Directors Council, October 2012 to present; President, July 2013 to present, and Board Member of the CFA Society of Stamford, July 2009 to present.
R. Alastair Short, 1953*†	Trustee	Since 2006	President, Apex Capital Corporation (personal investment vehicle), January 1988 to present; Vice Chairman, W.P. Stewart & Co., Inc.	68	Chairman and Independent Director, EULAV Asset Management, January 2011 to present;

Name, Address ¹ and Year of Birth	Position(s) Held with the Trust	Term of Office ² and Length of Time Served	Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex ³ Overseen	Other Directorships Held By Trustee During Past Five Years
			(asset management firm), September 2007 to September 2008; and Managing Director, The GlenRock Group, LLC (private equity investment firm), May 2004 to September 2007.		Independent Director, Tremont offshore funds, June 2009 to present; Director, Kenyon Review.
Peter J. Sidebottom, 1962*†	Trustee	Since 2012	CEO, AspenWoods LLC, 2013 to present; Independent business adviser, January 2014 to present; Partner, Bain & Company (management consulting firm), April 2012 to December 2013; Executive Vice President and Senior Operating Committee Member, TD Ameritrade (on-line brokerage firm), February 2009 to January 2012.	55	Board Member, Special Olympics, New Jersey, November 2011 to September 2013; Director, The Charlotte Research Institute, December 2000 to present; Board Member, Social Capital Institute, University of North Carolina Charlotte, November 2004 to January 2012; Board Member, NJ-CAN, July 2014 to present.
Richard D. Stamberger, 1959*† 21	Trustee	Since 2006	Director, President and CEO, SmartBrief, Inc. (media company).	68	Director, Food and Friends, Inc., 2013 to present.

1 The address for each Trustee and officer is 335 Madison Avenue, 19th Floor, New York, New York 10017.

2 Each Trustee serves until resignation, death, retirement or removal. Officers are elected yearly by the Trustees.

3 The Fund Complex consists of the Van Eck Funds, Van Eck VIP Trust and the Trust.

* Member of the Audit Committee.

† Member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Interested Trustee

Name, Address¹ and Year of Birth	Position(s) Held with the Trust	Term of Office² and Length of Time Served	Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex³ Overseen	Other Directorships Held By Trustee During Past Five Years
Jan F. van Eck, 1963 ⁴	Trustee, President and Chief Executive Officer	Trustee (Since 2006); President and Chief Executive Officer (Since 2009)	Director, President and Owner of the Adviser, Van Eck Associates Corporation; Director and President, Van Eck Securities Corporation (“VESC”); Director and President, Van Eck Absolute Return Advisers Corp. (“VEARA”).	55	Director, National Committee on US-China Relations.

1 The address for each Trustee and officer is 335 Madison Avenue, 19th Floor, New York, New York 10017.

2 Each Trustee serves until resignation, death, retirement or removal. Officers are elected yearly by the Trustees.

3 The Fund Complex consists of the Van Eck Funds, Van Eck VIP Trust and the Trust.

4 “Interested person” of the Trust within the meaning of the 1940 Act. Mr. van Eck is an officer of the Adviser.

Officer Information

The Officers of the Trust, their addresses, positions with the Trust, year of birth and principal occupations during the past five years are set forth below.

Officer’s Name, Address¹ and Year of Birth	Position(s) Held with the Trust	Term of Office² and Length of Time Served	Principal Occupation(s) During The Past Five Years
--	--	---	---

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

Russell G. Brennan, 1964	Assistant Vice President and Assistant Treasurer	Since 2008	Assistant Vice President and Assistant Treasurer of the Adviser (since 2008); Manager (Portfolio Administration) of the Adviser, September 2005 to October 2008; Officer of other investment companies advised by the Adviser.
Charles T. Cameron, 1960 22	Vice President	Since 2006	Director of Trading (since 1995) and Portfolio Manager (since 1997) for the Adviser; Officer of other investment companies advised by the Adviser.

Officer's Name, Address¹ and Year of Birth	Position(s) Held with the Trust	Term of Office² and Length of Time Served	Principal Occupation(s) During The Past Five Years
Simon Chen, 1971	Assistant Vice President	Since 2012	Greater China Director of the Adviser (Since January 2012); General Manager, SinoMarkets Ltd. (June 2007 to December 2011).
John J. Crimmins, 1957	Vice President, Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer (Since 2012); Treasurer (Since 2009)	Vice President of Portfolio Administration of the Adviser, June 2009 to present; Vice President of VESC and VEARA, June 2009 to present; Chief Financial, Operating and Compliance Officer, Kern Capital Management LLC, September 1997 to February 2009; Officer of other investment companies advised by the Adviser.
Eduardo Escario, 1975	Vice President	Since 2012	Regional Director, Business Development/Sales for Southern Europe and South America of the Adviser (since July 2008); Regional Director (Spain, Portugal, South America and Africa) of Dow Jones Indexes and STOXX Ltd. (May 2001 – July 2008).
Lars Hamich, 1968	Vice President	Since 2012	Managing Director and Chief Executive Officer of Van Eck Global (Europe) GmbH (since 2009); Chief Executive Officer of Market Vectors Index Solutions GmbH ("MVIS") (since June 2011); Managing Director of STOXX Limited (until 2008).
Wu-Kwan Kit, 1981	Assistant Vice President and Assistant Secretary	Since 2011	Assistant Vice President, Associate General Counsel and Assistant Secretary of the Adviser, VESC and VEARA (since 2011); Associate, Schulte Roth & Zabel (September 2007 – 2011); University of Pennsylvania Law School (August 2004 – May 2007).
Susan C. Lashley, 1955	Vice President	Since 2006	Vice President of the Adviser and VESC; Officer of other investment companies advised by the Adviser.
Laura I. Martínez, 1980	Assistant Vice President and Assistant Secretary	Since 2008	Assistant Vice President, Associate General Counsel and Assistant Secretary of the Adviser, VESC and VEARA (since 2008); Associate, Davis Polk & Wardwell (October 2005 – June 2008); Officer of other investment companies advised by the Adviser.

Officer's Name, Address¹ and Year of Birth	Position(s) Held with the Trust	Term of Office² and Length of Time Served	Principal Occupation(s) During The Past Five Years
Ferat Oeztuerk, 1983	Assistant Vice President	Since 2012	Sales Associate, Van Eck Global (Europe) GmbH (since November 2011); Account Manager, Vodafone Global Enterprise Limited (January 2011 to October 2011).
James Parker, 1969	Assistant Treasurer	Since June 2014	Manager (Portfolio Administration) of the Adviser (Since June 2010); Vice President of JPMorgan Chase & Co. (April 1999 to January 2010).
Jonathan R. Simon, 1974	Vice President, Secretary and Chief Legal Officer	Vice President (Since 2006) and Secretary and Chief Legal Officer (Since 2014)	Vice President (since 2006), General Counsel and Secretary (since 2014) of the Adviser, VESC and VEARA; Officer of other investment companies advised by the Adviser.
Bruce J. Smith, 1955	Senior Vice President	Since 2006	Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Controller of the Adviser, VESC and VEARA (since 1997); Director of the Adviser, VESC and VEARA (since October 2010); Officer of other investment companies advised by the Adviser.
Janet Squitieri, 1961	Chief Compliance Officer	Since September 2013	Vice President, Global Head of Compliance of the Adviser, VESC and VEARA (since September 2013); Chief Compliance Officer and Senior Vice President North America of HSBC Global Asset Management NA (August 2010 – September 2013); Chief Compliance Officer North America of Babcock & Brown LP (July 2008 - June 2010).

¹The address for each Officer is 335 Madison Avenue, 19th Floor, New York, New York 10017.

²Officers are elected yearly by the Trustees.

The Board of the Trust met seven times during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

The Board has an Audit Committee consisting of four Trustees who are Independent Trustees. Messrs. Chow, Short, Sidebottom and Stamberger currently serve as members of the Audit Committee and each of Messrs. Chow, Short and Stamberger have been designated as an “audit committee financial expert” as defined under Item 407 of Regulation S-K of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). Mr. Short is the Chairman of the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee has the responsibility, among other things, to: (i) oversee the accounting and financial reporting processes of the Trust and its internal control over financial reporting; (ii) oversee the quality and integrity of the Trust’s financial statements and the independent audit thereof; (iii) oversee or, as appropriate, assist the Board’s

oversight of the Trust's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements that relate to the Trust's accounting and financial reporting, internal control over financial reporting and independent audit; (iv) approve prior to appointment the engagement of the Trust's independent registered public accounting firm and, in connection therewith, to review and evaluate the qualifications, independence and performance of the Trust's independent registered public accounting firm; and (v) act as a liaison between the Trust's independent registered public accounting firm and the full Board. The Audit Committee met four times during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

The Board also has a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee consisting of four Independent Trustees. Messrs. Chow, Short, Sidebottom and Stamberger currently serve as members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Mr. Stamberger is the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has the responsibility, among other things, to: (i) evaluate, as necessary, the composition of the Board, its committees and sub-committees and make such recommendations to the Board as deemed appropriate by the Committee; (ii) review and define Independent Trustee qualifications; (iii) review the qualifications of individuals serving as Trustees on the Board and its committees; (iv) evaluate, recommend and nominate qualified individuals for election or appointment as members of the Board and recommend the appointment of members and chairs of each Board committee and subcommittee; and (v) review and assess, from time to time, the performance of the committees and subcommittees of the Board and report the results to the Board. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee did not meet during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

The Board has determined that its leadership structure is appropriate given the business and nature of the Trust. In connection with its determination, the Board considered that the Chairman of the Board is an Independent Trustee. The Chairman of the Board can play an important role in setting the agenda of the Board and also serves as a key point person for dealings between management and the other Independent Trustees. The Independent Trustees believe that the Chairman's independence facilitates meaningful dialogue between the Adviser and the Independent Trustees. The Board also considered that the Chairman of each Board committee is an Independent Trustee, which yields similar benefits with respect to the functions and activities of the various Board committees. The Independent Trustees also regularly meet outside the presence of management and are advised by independent legal counsel. The Board has determined that its committees help ensure that the Trust has effective and independent governance and oversight. The Board also believes that its leadership structure facilitates the orderly and efficient flow of information to the Independent Trustees from management of the Trust, including the Adviser. The Board reviews its structure on an annual basis.

As an integral part of its responsibility for oversight of the Trust in the interests of shareholders, the Board, as a general matter, oversees risk management of the Trust's investment programs and business affairs. The function of the Board with respect to risk management is one of oversight and not active involvement in, or coordination of, day-to-day risk management activities for the Trust. The Board recognizes that not all risks that may affect the Trust can be identified, that it may not be practical or cost-effective to eliminate or mitigate certain risks, that it may be necessary to bear certain risks (such as investment-related risks) to achieve the Trust's goals, and that the processes, procedures and controls employed to address certain risks may be limited in their effectiveness. Moreover, reports received by the Trustees that may relate to risk management matters are typically summaries of the relevant information.

The Board exercises oversight of the risk management process primarily through the Audit Committee, and through oversight by the Board itself. The Trust faces a number of risks, such as investment-related and compliance risks. The Adviser's personnel seek to identify and address risks, i.e., events or circumstances that could have material adverse effects on the business, operations, shareholder services, investment performance or reputation of the Trust. Under the overall supervision of the Board

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

or the applicable Committee of the Board, the Trust, the Adviser, and the affiliates of the Adviser employ a variety of processes, procedures and controls to identify such possible events or circumstances, to lessen the probability of their occurrence and/or to mitigate the effects of such events or circumstances if they do occur. Different processes, procedures and controls are employed with respect to different types of risks. Various personnel, including the Trust's Chief Compliance Officer, as well as various personnel of the Adviser and other service providers such as the Trust's independent accountants, may report to the Audit Committee and/or to the Board with respect to various aspects of risk management, as well as events and circumstances that have arisen and responses thereto.

The officers and Trustees of the Trust, in the aggregate, own less than 1% of the Shares of each Fund as of March 31, 2014.

The general management of the Subsidiary is the responsibility of its Board of Directors, a majority of which are also Trustees of the Trust.

For each Trustee, the dollar range of equity securities beneficially owned (including ownership through the Trust's Deferred Compensation Plan) by the Trustee in the Trust and in all registered investment companies advised by the Adviser ("Family of Investment Companies") that are overseen by the Trustee is shown below.

Name of Trustee	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Africa Index ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Brazil Small-Cap ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF (As of December 31, 2013)
David H. Chow	None	None	None	None
R. Alastair Short	None	None	None	None
Peter J. Sidebottom	None	None	None	None
Richard D. Stamberger	None	None	None	None
Jan F. van Eck	\$1-\$10,000	None	None	\$1-\$10,000

Name of Trustee	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Coal ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Global Alternative Energy ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF (As of December 31, 2013)
David H. Chow	None	None	None	None
R. Alastair Short	None	None	None	None
Peter J. Sidebottom	None	None	None	None
Richard D. Stamberger	None	None	None	None

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

Jan F. van Eck None \$1-\$10,000 None None

Name of Trustee	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF (As of December 31, 2013)
David H. Chow	None	None	None	None
R. Alastair Short	None	None	None	None
Peter J. Sidebottom	None	None	None	None
Richard D. Stamberger	None	None	None	None

26

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

Name of Trustee	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF (As of December 31, 2013)
Jan F. van Eck	None	None	\$10,001-\$50,000	None

Name of Trustee	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Israel ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Oil Services ETF (As of December 31, 2013)
David H. Chow	None	None	None	None
R. Alastair Short	None	None	None	None
Peter J. Sidebottom	None	None	None	None
Richard D. Stamberger	None	None	None	None
Jan F. van Eck	None	None	None	None

Name of Trustee	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Poland ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Russia ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF (As of December 31, 2013)
David H. Chow	None	None	None	None
R. Alastair Short	None	None	None	None
Peter J. Sidebottom	None	None	None	None
Richard D. Stamberger	None	None	None	None
Jan F. van Eck	None	None	None	None

Name of Trustee	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Steel ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF (As of December 31, 2013)
David H. Chow	None	None	None	None
R. Alastair Short	None	None	None	None

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

Peter J. Sidebottom	None	None	None	None
Richard D. Stamberger	None	None	None	None
Jan F. van Eck	None	None	None	None

Name of Trustee	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Vietnam ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Aggregate Dollar Range of Equity Securities in all Registered Investment Companies Overseen By Trustee In Family of Investment Companies (As of December 31, 2013)
David H. Chow	None	Over \$100,000

Name of Trustee	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Market Vectors Vietnam ETF (As of December 31, 2013)	Aggregate Dollar Range of Equity Securities in all Registered Investment Companies Overseen By Trustee In Family of Investment Companies (As of December 31, 2013)
R. Alastair Short	None	Over \$100,000
Peter J. Sidebottom	None	None
Richard D. Stamberger	None	Over \$100,000
Jan F. van Eck	None	Over \$100,000

As to each Independent Trustee and his immediate family members, no person owned beneficially or of record securities in an investment manager or principal underwriter of the Funds, or a person (other than a registered investment company) directly or indirectly controlling, controlled by or under common control with the investment manager or principal underwriter of the Funds.

Remuneration of Trustees

The Trust pays each Independent Trustee an annual retainer of \$80,000, a per meeting fee of \$15,000 for scheduled quarterly meetings of the Board and each special meeting of the Board and a per meeting fee of \$7,500 for telephonic meetings. The Trust pays the Chairman of the Board an annual retainer of \$45,500, the Chairman of the Audit Committee an annual retainer of \$19,500 and the Chairman of the Governance Committee an annual retainer of \$13,000. The Trust also reimburses each Trustee for travel and other out-of-pocket expenses incurred in attending such meetings. No pension or retirement benefits are accrued as part of Trustee compensation.

The table below shows the compensation paid to the Trustees by the Trust for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. Annual Trustee fees may be reviewed periodically and changed by the Trust's Board.

Name of Trustee	Aggregate Compensation From the Trust	Deferred Compensation From the Trust	Pension or Retirement Benefits Accrued as Part of the Trust's Expenses ⁽²⁾	Estimated Annual Benefits Upon Retirement	Total Compensation From the Trust and the Fund Complex ⁽¹⁾ Paid to Trustee ⁽²⁾
-----------------	--	---	--	--	---

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

David H. Chow	\$ 215,500	\$ 215,500	N/A	N/A	\$ 215,500
R. Alastair Short	\$ 189,500	\$ 0	N/A	N/A	\$ 319,500
Peter J. Sidebottom	\$ 170,000	\$ 0	N/A	N/A	\$ 170,000
Richard D. Stamberger	\$ 183,000	\$ 91,500	N/A	N/A	\$ 323,000
Jan F. van Eck ⁽³⁾	\$ 0	\$ 0	N/A	N/A	\$ 0

(1) The “Fund Complex” consists of Van Eck Funds, Van Eck VIP Trust and the Trust.

(2) Because the funds of the Fund Complex have different fiscal year ends, the amounts shown are presented on a calendar year basis.

(3) “Interested person” under the 1940 Act.

28

PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS DISCLOSURE

Each Fund's portfolio holdings are publicly disseminated each day the Fund is open for business through financial reporting and news services, including publicly accessible Internet web sites. In addition, a basket composition file, which includes the security names and share quantities to deliver in exchange for Creation Units, together with estimates and actual cash components is publicly disseminated daily prior to the opening of the Exchange via the National Securities Clearing Corporation (the "NSCC"), a clearing agency that is registered with the SEC. The basket represents one Creation Unit of each Fund. The Trust, Adviser, Custodian and Distributor will not disseminate non-public information concerning the Trust.

QUARTERLY PORTFOLIO SCHEDULE

The Trust is required to disclose, after its first and third fiscal quarters, the complete schedule of the Funds' portfolio holdings with the SEC on Form N-Q. Form N-Q for the Funds is available on the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>. The Funds' Form N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington, D.C. and information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling 202.551.8090. The Funds' Form N-Q is available through the Funds' website, at www.vaneck.com or by writing to 335 Madison Avenue, 19th Floor, New York, New York 10017.

CODE OF ETHICS

The Funds, the Adviser, the Sub-Adviser (with respect to Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF) and the Distributor have each adopted a Code of Ethics pursuant to Rule 17j-1 under the 1940 Act, designed to monitor personal securities transactions by their personnel (the "Personnel"). The Code of Ethics requires that all trading in securities that are being purchased or sold, or are being considered for purchase or sale, by the Funds must be approved in advance by the Head of Trading, the Director of Research, the Chief Compliance Officer of the Adviser and, with respect to Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF, persons performing similar functions at the Sub-Adviser. Approval will be granted if the security has not been purchased or sold or recommended for purchase or sale for a Fund on the day that the Personnel of the Adviser or, with respect to Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF, the Sub-Adviser requests pre-clearance, or otherwise if it is determined that the personal trading activity will not have a negative or appreciable impact on the price or market of the security, or is of such a nature that it does not present the dangers or potential for abuses that are likely to result in harm or detriment to the Funds. At the end of each calendar quarter, all Personnel must file a report of all transactions entered into during the quarter. These reports are reviewed by a senior officer of the Adviser or, with respect to Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF, the Sub-Adviser, as applicable.

Generally, all Personnel must obtain approval prior to conducting any transaction in securities. Independent Trustees, however, are not required to obtain prior approval of personal securities transactions. Personnel may purchase securities in an initial public offering or private placement, *provided* that he or she obtains preclearance of the

purchase and makes certain representations.

PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

The Funds' proxy voting record is available upon request and on the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>. Proxies for each Fund's portfolio securities are voted in accordance with the Adviser's proxy voting policies and procedures, which are set forth in Appendix A to this SAI.

29

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

The Trust is required to disclose annually each Fund's complete proxy voting record on Form N-PX covering the period July 1 through June 30 and file it with the SEC no later than August 31. Form N-PX for the Funds is available through the Funds' website, at www.vaneck.com, or by writing to 335 Madison Avenue, 19th Floor, New York, New York 10017. The Funds' Form N-PX is also available on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

MANAGEMENT

The following information supplements and should be read in conjunction with the section in the Prospectus entitled “Management of the Funds.”

Investment Adviser and Sub-Adviser (Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF Only)

All Funds except Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF. Van Eck Associates Corporation acts as investment adviser to the Trust and, subject to the general supervision of the Board, is responsible for the day-to-day investment management of the Funds. The Adviser is a private company with headquarters in New York and manages other mutual funds and separate accounts.

The Adviser serves as investment adviser to Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF pursuant to the Investment Management Agreement between Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF and the Adviser (the “Gold Miners Investment Management Agreement”) and also serves as investment adviser to each of the other Funds pursuant to an investment management agreement between the Trust and the Adviser (the “Trust Investment Management Agreement” and, together with the Gold Miners Investment Management Agreement, the “Investment Management Agreement”). Under the Investment Management Agreement, the Adviser, subject to the supervision of the Board and in conformity with the stated investment policies of each Fund, manages the investment of the Funds’ assets. The Adviser is responsible for placing purchase and sale orders and providing continuous supervision of the investment portfolio of the Funds. All investment decisions relating to the Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF will be made outside of India.

Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF. Van Eck Associates Corporation acts as investment adviser to the Trust and, subject to the general supervision of the Board, is responsible for overseeing the activities of the Sub-Adviser and for the day-to-day investment management of Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF’s assets allocated to it. China Asset Management (Hong Kong) Limited acts as investment sub-adviser to the Trust and, subject to the oversight of the Adviser, is responsible for the day-to-day investment management of Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF’s assets allocated to it.

The Adviser serves as investment adviser to Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF pursuant to an Investment Management Agreement. Under the Investment Management Agreement, the Adviser, subject to the supervision of the Board and in conformity with the stated investment policies of Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF, manages and administers the Trust and oversees the Sub-Adviser with respect to the duties it has delegated to the Sub-Adviser regarding the investment and reinvestment of the Fund’s assets. The Sub-Adviser serves as investment subadviser to the Fund pursuant to an investment subadvisory agreement between the Adviser and the Sub-Adviser (the “Investment Subadvisory Agreement”). The Sub-Adviser is responsible for placing purchase and sale orders and providing continuous supervision of Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF’s assets allocated to it.

Indemnification. With respect to Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF, pursuant to the Investment Management Agreement, the Trust has agreed to indemnify the Adviser for certain liabilities, including certain liabilities arising under the federal securities laws, unless such loss or liability results from willful misfeasance, bad faith or gross negligence in the performance of its duties or the reckless disregard of its obligations and duties. Pursuant to the Investment Subadvisory Agreement, the Adviser has agreed to indemnify the Sub-Adviser for certain liabilities, including certain liabilities arising under the federal securities laws, unless such loss or liability results from willful misfeasance or gross negligence in the performance of its duties or the reckless disregard of its obligations and duties.

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

Compensation. As compensation for its services under each Investment Management Agreement, the Adviser is paid a monthly fee based on a percentage of each applicable Fund's average daily net assets at the annual rate of 0.35% for Market Vectors Oil Services ETF and 0.50% for each other Fund. From time to time, the Adviser may waive all or a portion of its fees. Until at least May 1, 2015, the Adviser has agreed to waive fees and/or pay Fund expenses to the extent necessary to prevent the operating expenses of each Fund (excluding acquired fund fees and expenses, interest expense, offering costs, trading expenses, taxes and extraordinary expenses) from exceeding 0.35% (with respect to Market Vectors Oil Services ETF), 0.49% (with respect to Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF), 0.53% (with respect to Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF), 0.54% (with respect to Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF), 0.55% (with respect to Market Vectors Steel ETF), 0.56% (with respect to Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF and Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF), 0.57% (with respect to Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF and Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF), 0.59% (with respect to Market Vectors Brazil Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Coal ETF and Market Vectors Israel ETF), 0.60% (with respect to Market Vectors Poland ETF and Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF), 0.61% (with respect to Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF), 0.62% (with respect to Market Vectors Global Alternative Energy ETF and Market Vectors Russia ETF), 0.65% (with respect to Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF), 0.67% (with respect to Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF), 0.72% (with respect to Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF), 0.76% (with respect to Market Vectors Vietnam ETF), 0.78% (with respect to Market Vectors Africa Index ETF), 0.85% (with respect to Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF), 0.94% (with respect to Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF) and 0.98% (with respect to Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF) of its average daily net assets per year. From time to time, the Adviser may waive all or a portion of its fees. Offering costs excluded from the expense caps are: (a) legal fees pertaining to a Fund's Shares offered for sale; (b) SEC and state registration fees; and (c) initial fees paid for Shares of a Fund to be listed on an exchange.

With respect to Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF, for the services provided and the expenses assumed by the Sub-Adviser pursuant to the Sub-Advisory Agreement, the Adviser (not Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF) will pay a monthly fee to the Sub-Adviser based on a percentage of Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF's average daily net assets managed by the Sub-Adviser.

The management fees paid by each Fund and the expenses waived or assumed by the Adviser during the Funds' fiscal years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, as applicable, or, if the Fund has not been in existence for a full fiscal year, since the commencement of operations of that Fund are set forth in the chart below.

Fund	Management Fees Paid During the Fiscal Year Ended December 31,			Expenses Waived or Assumed by the Adviser During the Fiscal Year Ended December 31,			Date of Commencement of Operations of the Fund
	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013	
Market Vectors Africa Index ETF	\$489,449	\$383,394	\$491,651	\$255,310	\$87,311	\$118,145	07/10/08
Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF	\$23,868,561	\$28,241,579	\$25,595,097	\$0	\$0	\$0	08/31/07
Market Vectors Brazil Small-Cap ETF	\$4,210,428	\$2,840,920	\$1,787,218	\$0	\$191,482	\$123,868	05/12/09

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF	\$95,931	\$78,771	\$180,134	\$189,606	\$234,771	\$149,977	10/13/10
Market Vectors Coal ETF	\$2,821,866	\$1,129,938	\$966,189	\$0	\$55,372	\$83,185	01/10/08
Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF	\$242,894	\$233,200	\$201,723	\$126,449	\$56,481	\$81,258	02/16/10
Market Vectors Global Alternative Energy ETF	\$578,652	\$254,856	\$359,412	\$64,005	\$93,828	\$72,290	05/03/07

32

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

	Management Fees Paid During the Fiscal Year Ended December 31,			Expenses Waived or Assumed by the Adviser During the Fiscal Year Ended December 31,			Date of Commencement of Operations of the Fund
Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF	\$39,091,618	\$43,723,570	\$34,129,207	\$0	\$0	\$1,447	05/16/06
Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF	\$106,866	\$58,260	\$67,124	\$203,462	\$255,225	\$215,648	07/22/08
Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF	\$256,724	\$249,700	\$516,007	\$443,121	\$381,834	\$479,411	08/24/10
Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF	\$2,673,772	\$2,247,943	\$1,714,426	\$157,648	\$296,026	\$337,833	01/15/09
Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF	N/A	\$13,078	\$31,605	N/A	\$54,848	\$131,670	03/20/12
Market Vectors Israel ETF	N/A	N/A	\$62,609	N/A	N/A	\$43,702	06/25/13
Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF	\$11,145,027	\$12,121,313	\$8,411,625	\$0	\$0	\$118,064	11/10/09
Market Vectors Natural Resources Producers ETF	\$1,118,294	\$755,254	\$542,500	\$55,038	\$248,834	\$264,240	08/29/08
Market Vectors Oil Services ETF	\$116,075	\$3,959,623	\$5,472,857	\$32,993	\$341,895	\$551,686	12/20/11
Market Vectors Poland ETF	\$301,586	\$161,340	\$144,054	\$138,891	\$136,585	\$135,291	11/24/09
Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF	\$1,826,910	\$923,301	\$657,340	\$73,811	\$123,122	\$160,871	10/27/10
Market Vectors Russia ETF	\$13,728,118	9,055,171	\$6,508,532	\$8,462	\$146,878	\$1,054,022	04/24/07
Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF	\$12,632	\$39,357	\$69,763	\$160,450	\$118,910	\$167,429	04/13/11
Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF	\$125,692	\$55,751	\$82,963	\$102,783	\$134,067	\$146,189	04/21/08
Market Vectors Steel ETF	\$1,098,009	\$714,251	\$643,282	\$51,587	\$65,303	\$90,324	10/10/06
Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF	N/A	\$72,257	\$123,490	N/A	\$55,373	\$123,598	02/14/12
Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF	\$864,118	\$423,176	\$380,123	\$21,851	\$57,753	\$148,365	08/13/07
Market Vectors Vietnam ETF	\$1,294,279	\$1,398,758	\$1,954,803	\$241,413	\$0	\$0	08/11/09

Term. Each Investment Management Agreement is subject to annual approval by (1) the Board or (2) a vote of a majority of the outstanding voting securities (as defined in the 1940 Act) of each Fund, *provided* that in either event such continuance also is approved by a majority of the Board who are not interested persons (as defined in the 1940 Act) of the Trust by a vote cast in person at a meeting called for the purpose of voting on such approval. Each Investment Management Agreement is terminable without penalty, on 60 days notice, by the Board or by a vote of the holders of a majority (as defined in the 1940 Act) of a Fund's outstanding voting securities. Each Investment Management Agreement is also terminable upon 60 days' notice by the Adviser and will terminate automatically in the event of its assignment (as defined in the 1940 Act). The Investment Subadvisory Agreement terminates automatically upon assignment and is terminable at any time without penalty as to Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF by the Board, or by vote of the holders of a majority of the Fund's outstanding voting securities on 60 days' written notice to the Sub-Adviser, by the Adviser on 60 days' written notice to the Sub-Adviser or by the Sub-Adviser on 60 days' written notice to the Adviser and the Trust.

Subsidiary Investment Management Agreement. The Adviser provides an investment program for the Subsidiary and manages the investment of the Subsidiary's assets under the overall supervision of the

Board of Directors of the Subsidiary. Pursuant to a management agreement between the Adviser and the Subsidiary (the “Subsidiary Investment Management Agreement”), the Adviser does not receive any fees from the Subsidiary. The Subsidiary Investment Management Agreement continues in effect only if approved annually by the Board of Directors of the Subsidiary.

The Subsidiary Investment Management Agreement terminates automatically upon assignment and is terminable at any time without penalty as to the Subsidiary by the Board of Directors of the Subsidiary, the Trust’s Independent Trustees or by vote of the holders of a majority of the Subsidiary’s outstanding voting securities on 60 days’ written notice to the Adviser, or by the Adviser on 60 days’ written notice to the Subsidiary. Pursuant to the Subsidiary Investment Management Agreement, the Adviser will not be liable for any error of judgment or mistake of law or for any loss suffered by the Subsidiary in connection with the performance of the Subsidiary Investment Agreement, except a loss resulting from willful misfeasance, bad faith, fraud or gross negligence on the part of the Adviser in the performance of its duties or from reckless disregard of its duties and obligations thereunder.

The Administrator

Van Eck Associates Corporation also serves as administrator for the Trust pursuant to each Investment Management Agreement. Under each Investment Management Agreement, the Adviser is obligated on a continuous basis to provide such administrative services as the Board of the Trust reasonably deems necessary for the proper administration of the Trust and the Funds. The Adviser will generally assist in all aspects of the Trust’s and the Funds’ operations; supply and maintain office facilities, statistical and research data, data processing services, clerical, accounting (only with respect to Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF), bookkeeping and record keeping services (including without limitation the maintenance of such books and records as are required under the 1940 Act and the rules thereunder, except as maintained by other agents), internal auditing, executive and administrative services, and stationery and office supplies; prepare reports to shareholders or investors; prepare and file tax returns; supply financial information and supporting data for reports to and filings with the SEC and various state Blue Sky authorities; supply supporting documentation for meetings of the Board; provide monitoring reports and assistance regarding compliance with the Declaration of Trust, by-laws, investment objectives and policies and with federal and state securities laws; arrange for appropriate insurance coverage; calculate NAVs, net income and realized capital gains or losses; and negotiate arrangements with, and supervise and coordinate the activities of, agents and others to supply services.

Mauritius Administrator

Multiconsult Limited, located at Rogers House, 5 President John Kennedy St., Port-Louis, Mauritius, serves as the Subsidiary’s Mauritius administrator. The Subsidiary pays Multiconsult Limited a fee for its services and for preparing management accounts; acting as registrar in relation to the shares of the Subsidiary; organizing board and shareholder meetings and keeping minutes and the statutory books and records of the Subsidiary in order to comply with requirements of the Mauritian Company Law and the Financial Services Commission of Mauritius; preparing and filing certain regulatory filings; and providing taxation and regulatory advisory services. The Subsidiary also reimburses Multiconsult Limited for all reasonable out-of-pocket expenses reasonably incurred by it in the

performance of its duties.

Custodian and Transfer Agent

The Bank of New York Mellon (“The Bank of New York”), located at 101 Barclay Street, New York, New York 10286, serves as custodian for the Funds and the Subsidiary pursuant to a Custodian Agreement. As Custodian, The Bank of New York holds the Funds’ and the Subsidiary’s assets. The Bank of New York serves as the Funds’ transfer agent pursuant to a Transfer Agency Agreement. The

34

Bank of New York may be reimbursed by the Funds for its out-of-pocket expenses. In addition, The Bank of New York provides various accounting services to each of the Funds, except for Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF, pursuant to a fund accounting agreement. The Adviser pays a portion of the fee that it receives from Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF to The Bank of New York for providing fund accounting services to Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF.

The Distributor

Van Eck Securities Corporation (the “Distributor”) is the principal underwriter and distributor of Shares. Its principal address is 335 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10017 and investor information can be obtained by calling 1-888-MKT-VCTR. The Distributor has entered into an agreement with the Trust which will continue from its effective date unless terminated by either party upon 60 days’ prior written notice to the other party by the Trust and the Adviser, or by the Distributor, or until termination of the Trust or each Fund offering its Shares, and which is renewable annually thereafter (the “Distribution Agreement”), pursuant to which it distributes Shares. Shares will be continuously offered for sale by the Trust through the Distributor only in Creation Units, as described below under “Creation and Redemption of Creation Units—Procedures for Creation of Creation Units.” Shares in less than Creation Units are not distributed by the Distributor. The Distributor will deliver a prospectus to persons purchasing Shares in Creation Units and will maintain records of both orders placed with it and confirmations of acceptance furnished by it. The Distributor is a broker-dealer registered under the Exchange Act and a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”). The Distributor has no role in determining the investment policies of the Trust or which securities are to be purchased or sold by the Trust.

The Distributor may also enter into sales and investor services agreements with broker-dealers or other persons that are Participating Parties and DTC Participants (as defined below) to provide distribution assistance, including broker-dealer and shareholder support and educational and promotional services but must pay such broker-dealers or other persons, out of its own assets.

The Distribution Agreement provides that it may be terminated at any time, without the payment of any penalty: (i) by vote of a majority of the Independent Trustees or (ii) by vote of a majority (as defined in the 1940 Act) of the outstanding voting securities of the Funds, on at least 60 days written notice to the Distributor. The Distribution Agreement is also terminable upon 60 days notice by the Distributor and will terminate automatically in the event of its assignment (as defined in the 1940 Act).

Affiliated Index Provider

The Market Vectors® Africa Index (the “Africa Index”), Market Vectors® Global Agribusiness Index (the “Agribusiness Index”), Market Vectors® Brazil Small-Cap Index (the “Brazil Small-Cap Index”), Market Vectors® Global Coal Index (the “Coal Index”), Market Vectors® Egypt Index (the “Egypt Index”), Market Vectors® Gulf States Index (the “Gulf States Index”), Market Vectors® India Small-Cap Index (the “India Small-Cap Index”), Market Vectors® Indonesia Index (the

“Indonesia Index”), Market Vectors® Indonesia Small-Cap Index (the “Indonesia Small-Cap Index”), Market Vectors® Global Junior Gold Miners Index (the “Junior Gold Miners Index”), Market Vectors® Global Rare Earth/Strategic Metals Index (the “Rare Earth/Strategic Metals Index”), Market Vectors® US Listed Oil Services 25 Index (the “Oil Services Index”), Market Vectors® Poland Index (the “Poland Index”), Market Vectors® Global Solar Energy Index (the “Solar Energy Index”), Market Vectors® Global Unconventional Oil & Gas Index (the “Oil & Gas Index”), Market Vectors® Russia Index (the “Russia Index”), Market Vectors® Russia Small-Cap Index (the “Russia Small-Cap Index”), Market Vectors® Global Uranium & Nuclear Energy Index (the “Nuclear Energy Index”) and Market Vectors® Vietnam Index (the “Vietnam Index”) are published by MVIS which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Adviser.

In order to minimize any potential for conflicts caused by the fact that the Adviser or its affiliates act as the index provider to a Fund that tracks an MVIS Index, MVIS has retained an unaffiliated third party to calculate the MVIS Indices, Solactive AG (formerly Structured Solutions AG) (the “Calculation Agent”). The Calculation Agent, using the rules-based methodology, will calculate, maintain and disseminate each of the MVIS Indices on a daily basis. MVIS will monitor the results produced by the Calculation Agent to help ensure that the MVIS Indices are being calculated in accordance with the rules-based methodology. In addition, the Adviser and MVIS have established policies and procedures designed to prevent non-public information about pending changes to an MVIS Index from being used or disseminated in an improper manner. Furthermore, the Adviser and MVIS have established policies and procedures designed to prevent improper use and dissemination of non-public information about the applicable Funds’ portfolio strategies and to prevent the applicable Funds’ portfolio managers from having any influence on the construction of the applicable MVIS Index’s methodology.

Other Accounts Managed by the Portfolio Managers

Van Eck Associates Corporation

As of the date indicated below, Messrs. Liao and Cao managed the following other accounts:

Name of Portfolio Manager	Other Accounts Managed (As of December 31, 2013)	Number of Accounts in Category	Total Assets in Accounts in Category	Accounts with respect to which the advisory fee is based on the performance of the account	
				Number of Accounts in Category	Total Assets in Accounts in Category
Hao-Hung (Peter) Liao	Registered investment companies	39	\$18.92 billion	0	\$0
	Other pooled investment vehicles	0	\$0	0	\$0
	Other accounts	0	\$0	0	\$0
George Cao	Registered investment companies	39	\$18.92 billion	0	\$0
	Other pooled investment vehicles	0	\$0	0	\$0
	Other accounts	0	\$0	0	\$0

Although the funds in the Trust that are managed by Messrs. Liao and Cao may have different investment strategies, each has an investment objective of seeking to replicate, before fees and expenses, its respective underlying index. The Adviser does not believe that management of the various accounts presents a material conflict of interest for Messrs. Liao and Cao or the Adviser.

China Asset Management (Hong Kong) Limited (relating to Market Vectors ChinaAMC-A-Share ETF only)

As of the date indicated below, Messrs. Wu and Lai managed the following other accounts:

36

Other Accounts Managed (As of December 31, 2013)		Accounts with respect to which the advisory fee is based on the performance of the account			
Name of Portfolio Manager	Category of Account	Number of Accounts in Category	Total Assets in Accounts in Category	Number of Accounts in Category	Total Assets in Accounts in Category
Jeff Wu	Registered investment companies	0	\$0	0	\$0
	Other pooled investment vehicles	1	\$13.86 million	0	\$0
	Other accounts	1	\$17.05 million	0	\$0
David Lai	Registered investment companies	0	\$0	0	\$0
	Other pooled investment vehicles	1	\$1.51 billion	0	\$0
	Other accounts	2	\$143.01 million	0	\$0

Messrs. Wu and Lai manage other funds and mandates, including certain ETFs that have similar investment strategies to Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF, which may create conflicts of interest with respect to portfolio management decisions and execution. Messrs. Wu and Lai expect to manage Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF and the ETFs that have similar investment strategies to the Fund in accordance with their duties with respect to portfolio management decisions and execution.

Portfolio Manager Compensation

Van Eck Associates Corporation

The portfolio managers are paid a fixed base salary and a bonus. The bonus is based upon the quality of investment analysis and the management of the funds. The quality of management of the funds includes issues of replication, rebalancing, portfolio monitoring and efficient operation, among other factors. Portfolio managers who oversee accounts with significantly different fee structures are generally compensated by discretionary bonus rather than a set formula to help reduce potential conflicts of interest. At times, the Adviser and its affiliates manage accounts with incentive fees.

China Asset Management (Hong Kong) Limited (relating to Market Vectors ChinaAMC-A-Share ETF only)

The portfolio managers employed by the Sub-Adviser are paid a base salary and performance-based compensation. Each portfolio manager is evaluated from an investment performance perspective, typically over a three year period, and from a peer evaluation that is completed by the Sub-Adviser's whole investment team (including other fund managers and analysts).

Portfolio Manager Share Ownership

The portfolio holdings of Messrs. Liao and Cao as of December 31, 2013 are shown below.

Fund	None	\$1 to \$10,000	\$10,001 to \$50,000	\$50,001 to \$100,000	\$100,001 to \$500,000	\$500,001 to \$1,000,000	Over \$1,000,000
Peter Liao							
Market Vectors Africa Index ETF	X						
Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF		X					
Market Vectors Brazil Small-Cap ETF	X						
Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF	X						
Market Vectors Coal ETF		X					
Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF	X						
Market Vectors Global Alternative Energy ETF		X					
Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF					X		
Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF	X						
Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF	X						
Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF			X				
Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF	X						
Market Vectors Israel ETF	X						
Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF	X						
Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF		X					
Market Vectors Oil Services ETF		X					

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

Fund	None	\$1 to \$10,000	\$10,001 to \$50,000	\$50,001 to \$100,000	\$100,001 to \$500,000	\$500,001 to \$1,000,000	Over \$1,000,000
Market Vectors Poland ETF		X					
Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF	X						
Market Vectors Russia ETF			X				
Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF	X						
Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF	X						
Market Vectors Steel ETF	X						
Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF	X						
Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF		X					
Market Vectors Vietnam ETF	X						
George Cao							
Market Vectors Africa Index ETF	X						
Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF	X						
Market Vectors Brazil Small-Cap ETF	X						
Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF	X						
Market Vectors Coal ETF			X				
Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF	X						
Market Vectors Global Alternative Energy ETF	X						
Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF	X						
Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF	X						

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

Fund	None	\$1 to \$10,000	\$10,001 to \$50,000	\$50,001 to \$100,000	\$100,001 to \$500,000	\$500,001 to \$1,000,000	Over \$1,000,000
Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF	X						
Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF	X						
Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF	X						
Market Vectors Israel ETF	X						
Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF		X					
Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF	X						
Market Vectors Oil Services ETF	X						
Market Vectors Poland ETF	X						
Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF		X					
Market Vectors Russia ETF	X						
Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF	X						
Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF	X						
Market Vectors Steel ETF	X						
Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF	X						
Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF		X					
Market Vectors Vietnam ETF	X						

The portfolio holdings Messrs. Wu and Lai, the portfolio managers employed by the Sub-Adviser, as of December 31, 2013 are shown below.

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

Fund	None	\$1 to \$10,000	\$10,001 to \$50,000	\$50,001 to \$100,000	\$100,001 to \$500,000	\$500,001 to \$1,000,000	Over \$1,000,000
Jeff Wu							
Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF			X				
David Lai							
Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF			X				

41

BROKERAGE TRANSACTIONS

When selecting brokers and dealers to handle the purchase and sale of portfolio securities, the Adviser and the Sub-Adviser (with respect to Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF) look for prompt execution of the order at a favorable price. Generally, the Adviser and the Sub-Adviser (with respect to Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF) work with recognized dealers in these securities, except when a better price and execution of the order can be obtained elsewhere. The Funds will not deal with affiliates in principal transactions unless permitted by exemptive order or applicable rule or regulation. The Adviser and the Sub-Adviser (with respect to Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF) owe a duty to its clients to seek best execution on trades effected. Since the investment objective of each Fund is investment performance that corresponds to that of an Index, the Adviser and the Sub-Adviser (with respect to Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF) do not intend to select brokers and dealers for the purpose of receiving research services in addition to a favorable price and prompt execution either from that broker or an unaffiliated third party.

The Adviser assumes general supervision over placing orders on behalf of each Fund's assets for the purchase or sale of portfolio securities. If purchases or sales of portfolio securities of a Fund's assets allocated to itself and one or more other investment companies or clients supervised by the Adviser are considered at or about the same time, transactions in such securities are allocated among the several investment companies and clients in a manner deemed equitable to all by the Adviser. In some cases, this procedure could have a detrimental effect on the price or volume of the security so far as a Fund is concerned. However, in other cases, it is possible that the ability to participate in volume transactions and to negotiate lower brokerage commissions will be beneficial to a Fund. The primary consideration is best execution.

The Sub-Adviser oversees placing orders on behalf of Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF's assets allocated to it for the purchase or sale of portfolio securities. If purchases or sales of portfolio securities of Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF's assets allocated to it and one or more other investment companies or clients supervised by the Sub-Adviser are considered at or about the same time, transactions in such securities will be made among the several investment companies and clients in a manner deemed appropriate by the Sub-Adviser consistent with its duty to seek best execution.

Portfolio turnover may vary from year to year, as well as within a year. High turnover rates are likely to result in comparatively greater brokerage expenses and taxable distributions. The overall reasonableness of brokerage commissions is evaluated by the Adviser based upon its knowledge of available information as to the general level of commissions paid by other institutional investors for comparable services.

The aggregate brokerage commissions paid by each Fund during the Fund's fiscal years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, as applicable, or, if the Fund has not been in existence for a full fiscal year, since the commencement of operations of that Fund are set forth in the chart below.

Fund	Brokerage Commissions Paid During the Fiscal Year Ended December 31,			Date of Commencement of Operations of the Fund
	2011	2012	2013	
Market Vectors Africa Index ETF	\$255,908	\$139,614	\$407,415	07/10/08
Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF	\$1,595,163	\$1,551,644	\$1,738,258	08/31/07
Market Vectors Brazil Small-Cap ETF	\$1,231,940	\$734,861	\$394,054	05/12/09
Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF	\$0	\$0	\$0	10/31/10
Market Vectors Coal ETF	\$383,122	\$191,010	\$56,733	01/10/08
Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF	\$327,736	\$180,728	\$189,067	02/16/10
Market Vectors Global Alternative Energy ETF	\$42,527	\$24,112	\$17,853	05/03/07

42

Fund	Brokerage Commissions Paid During the Fiscal Year Ended December 31,			Date of Commencement of Operations of the Fund
	2011	2012	2013	
Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF	\$1,037,859	\$666,432	\$1,542,954	05/16/06
Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF	\$66,520	\$29,139	\$40,082	07/22/08
Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF	\$77,239	\$102,912	\$183,008	08/24/10
Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF	\$178,448	\$153,912	\$130,052	01/15/09
Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF	N/A	\$3,147	\$8,253	03/20/12
Market Vectors Israel ETF	N/A	N/A	\$3,454	06/25/13
Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF	\$1,936,926	\$794,103	\$88,717	11/10/09
Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF	\$47,554	\$21,826	\$22,907	08/29/08
Market Vectors Oil Services ETF	\$0	\$88,649	\$110,394	12/20/11
Market Vectors Poland ETF	\$31,714	\$10,945	\$11,950	11/24/09
Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF	\$198,464	\$114,534	\$61,349	10/27/10
Market Vectors Russia ETF	\$1,316,326	\$1,239,880	\$440,896	04/24/07
Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF	\$3,326	\$8,127	\$14,772	04/13/11
Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF	\$14,059	\$10,007	\$18,375	04/21/08
Market Vectors Steel ETF	\$8,852	\$26,537	\$14,863	10/10/06
Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF	N/A	\$8,010	\$5,491	02/14/12
Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF	\$126,781	\$62,924	\$56,157	08/13/07
Market Vectors Vietnam ETF	\$512,581	\$460,107	\$570,851	08/11/09

43

BOOK ENTRY ONLY SYSTEM

The following information supplements and should be read in conjunction with the section in each Fund's Prospectus entitled "Shareholder Information—Buying and Selling Exchange-Traded Shares."

The Depository Trust Company ("DTC") acts as securities depository for the Shares. Shares of the Funds are represented by securities registered in the name of DTC or its nominee and deposited with, or on behalf of, DTC. Certificates will not be issued for Shares.

DTC, a limited-purpose trust company, was created to hold securities of its participants (the "DTC Participants") and to facilitate the clearance and settlement of securities transactions among the DTC Participants in such securities through electronic book-entry changes in accounts of the DTC Participants, thereby eliminating the need for physical movement of securities certificates. DTC Participants include securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations and certain other organizations, some of whom (and/or their representatives) own DTC. More specifically, DTC is owned by a number of its DTC Participants and by the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") and FINRA. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as banks, brokers, dealers and trust companies that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a DTC Participant, either directly or indirectly (the "Indirect Participants").

Beneficial ownership of Shares is limited to DTC Participants, Indirect Participants and persons holding interests through DTC Participants and Indirect Participants. Ownership of beneficial interests in Shares (owners of such beneficial interests are referred to herein as "Beneficial Owners") is shown on, and the transfer of ownership is effected only through, records maintained by DTC (with respect to DTC Participants) and on the records of DTC Participants (with respect to Indirect Participants and Beneficial Owners that are not DTC Participants). Beneficial Owners will receive from or through the DTC Participant a written confirmation relating to their purchase of Shares.

Conveyance of all notices, statements and other communications to Beneficial Owners is effected as follows. Pursuant to the Depository Agreement between the Trust and DTC, DTC is required to make available to the Trust upon request and for a fee to be charged to the Trust a listing of the Shares holdings of each DTC Participant. The Trust shall inquire of each such DTC Participant as to the number of Beneficial Owners holding Shares, directly or indirectly, through such DTC Participant. The Trust shall provide each such DTC Participant with copies of such notice, statement or other communication, in such form, number and at such place as such DTC Participant may reasonably request, in order that such notice, statement or communication may be transmitted by such DTC Participant, directly or indirectly, to such Beneficial Owners. In addition, the Trust shall pay to each such DTC Participant a fair and reasonable amount as reimbursement for the expenses attendant to such transmittal, all subject to applicable statutory and regulatory requirements.

Share distributions shall be made to DTC or its nominee, Cede & Co., as the registered holder of all Shares. DTC or its nominee, upon receipt of any such distributions, shall credit immediately DTC Participants' accounts with payments

in amounts proportionate to their respective beneficial interests in Shares as shown on the records of DTC or its nominee. Payments by DTC Participants to Indirect Participants and Beneficial Owners of Shares held through such DTC Participants will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is now the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in a “street name,” and will be the responsibility of such DTC Participants.

The Trust has no responsibility or liability for any aspects of the records relating to or notices to Beneficial Owners, or payments made on account of beneficial ownership interests in such Shares, or for maintaining, supervising or reviewing any records relating to such beneficial ownership interests or for any other aspect of the relationship between DTC and the DTC Participants or the relationship between such DTC Participants and the Indirect Participants and Beneficial Owners owning through such DTC Participants.

DTC may determine to discontinue providing its service with respect to the Shares at any time by giving reasonable notice to the Trust and discharging its responsibilities with respect thereto under applicable law. Under such circumstances, the Trust shall take action either to find a replacement for DTC to perform its functions at a comparable cost or, if such a replacement is unavailable, to issue and deliver printed certificates representing ownership of Shares, unless the Trust makes other arrangements with respect thereto satisfactory to the Exchange.

CREATION AND REDEMPTION OF CREATION UNITS

General

The Funds issue and sell Shares only in Creation Units on a continuous basis through the Distributor, without an initial sales load, at their NAV next determined after receipt, on any Business Day (as defined herein), of an order in proper form. An Authorized Participant (defined below) that is not a “qualified institutional buyer,” as such term is defined under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933, will not be able to receive, as part of a redemption, restricted securities eligible for resale under Rule 144A.

A “Business Day” with respect to the Funds is any day on which the NYSE is open for business. As of the date of the Prospectus, the NYSE observes the following holidays: New Year’s Day, Martin Luther King, Jr. Day, President’s Day (Washington’s Birthday), Good Friday, Memorial Day (observed), Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day.

Fund Deposit

The consideration for a purchase of Creation Units of a Fund (except Market Vectors Africa Index ETF, Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF, Market Vectors Brazil Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF, Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF, Market Vectors Global Alternative Energy ETF, Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF, Market Vectors Russia ETF, Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF and Market Vectors Vietnam ETF) generally consists of the in-kind deposit of a designated portfolio of equity securities (the “Deposit Securities”) that comprise a Fund’s Index and an amount of cash computed as described below (the “Cash Component”) or, as permitted or required by the Fund, of cash. The Cash Component together with the Deposit Securities, as applicable, are referred to as the “Fund Deposit,” which represents the minimum initial and subsequent investment amount for Shares. Due to various legal and operational constraints in certain countries in which Market Vectors Africa Index ETF, Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF, Market Vectors Brazil Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF, Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF, Market Vectors Global Alternative Energy ETF, Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF, Market Vectors Russia ETF, Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF and Market Vectors Vietnam ETF invest, Creation Units of these Funds are issued partially or principally for cash. The Cash Component represents the difference between the NAV of a Creation Unit and the market value of Deposit Securities and may include a Dividend Equivalent Payment. The “Dividend Equivalent Payment” enables each Fund to make a complete distribution of dividends on the next dividend payment date, and is an amount equal, on a per Creation Unit basis, to the dividends on all the securities held by the Fund (“Fund Securities”) with ex-dividend dates within the accumulation period for such distribution (the “Accumulation Period”), net of expenses and liabilities for such period, as if all of the Fund Securities had been held by the Trust for the entire Accumulation Period. The Accumulation Period begins on the ex-dividend date for each Fund and ends on the next ex-dividend date.

The Administrator, through the NSCC, makes available on each Business Day, immediately prior to the opening of business on the Exchange (currently 9:30 a.m. Eastern time), the list of the names and the required number of shares of each Deposit Security to be included in the current Fund Deposit (based on information at the end of the previous Business Day) as well as the Cash Component for each Fund. Such Fund Deposit is applicable, subject to any adjustments as described below, in order to effect creations of Creation Units of each Fund until such time as the next-announced Fund Deposit composition is made available.

The identity and number of shares of the Deposit Securities required for the Fund Deposit for each Fund changes as rebalancing adjustments and corporate action events are reflected from time to time by the Adviser with a view to the investment objective of the applicable Fund. The composition of the Deposit Securities may also change in response to adjustments to the weighting or composition of the securities constituting each Fund's respective Index. In addition, the Trust reserves the right to accept a basket of securities or cash that differs from Deposit Securities or to permit or require the substitution of an amount of cash (*i.e.*, a "cash in lieu" amount) to be added to the Cash Component to replace any Deposit Security which may, among other reasons, not be available in sufficient quantity for delivery, not be permitted to be re-registered in the name of the Trust as a result of an in-kind creation order pursuant to local law or market convention or which may not be eligible for transfer through the Clearing Process (described below), or which may not be eligible for trading by a Participating Party (defined below). In light of the foregoing, in order to seek to replicate the in-kind creation order process, the Trust expects to purchase the Deposit Securities represented by the cash in lieu amount in the secondary market ("Market Purchases"). In such cases where the Trust makes Market Purchases because a Deposit Security may not be permitted to be re-registered in the name of the Trust as a result of an in-kind creation order pursuant to local law or market convention, or for other reasons, the Authorized Participant will reimburse the Trust for, among other things, any difference between the market value at which the securities were purchased by the Trust and the cash in lieu amount (which amount, at the Adviser's discretion, may be capped), applicable registration fees and taxes. Brokerage commissions incurred in connection with the Trust's acquisition of Deposit Securities will be at the expense of each Fund and will affect the value of all Shares of the Fund but the Adviser may adjust the transaction fee to the extent the composition of the Deposit Securities changes or cash in lieu is added to the Cash Component to protect ongoing shareholders. The adjustments described above will reflect changes, known to the Adviser on the date of announcement to be in effect by the time of delivery of the Fund Deposit, in the composition of the relevant Index or resulting from stock splits and other corporate actions.

In addition to the list of names and numbers of securities constituting the current Deposit Securities of a Fund Deposit, the Administrator, through the NSCC, also makes available (i) on each Business Day, the Dividend Equivalent Payment, if any, and the estimated Cash Component effective through and including the previous Business Day, per outstanding Shares of the Fund, and (ii) on a continuous basis throughout the day, the Indicative Per Share Portfolio Value.

Procedures for Creation of Creation Units

To be eligible to place orders with the Distributor to create Creation Units of the Funds, an entity or person either must be (1) a "Participating Party," *i.e.*, a broker-dealer or other participant in the Clearing Process through the Continuous Net Settlement System of the NSCC; or (2) a DTC Participant (see "Book Entry Only System"); and, in either case, must have executed an agreement with the Distributor and the Transfer Agent (as it may be amended from time to time in accordance with its terms) ("Participant Agreement") (discussed below). A Participating Party and DTC Participant are collectively referred to as an "Authorized Participant." All Creation Units of the Funds, however created, will be entered on the records of the Depository in the name of Cede & Co. for the account of a DTC Participant.

All orders to create Creation Units must be placed in multiples of 25,000 Shares (with respect to Market Vectors Oil Services ETF) or 50,000 Shares of each other Fund (*i.e.*, a Creation Unit). All orders to create Creation Units, whether through the Clearing Process or outside the Clearing Process, must be received by the Distributor no later than the closing time of the regular trading session on NYSE Arca ("Closing Time") (ordinarily 4:00 p.m. Eastern time) on the

date such order is placed in order for creation of Creation Units to be effected based on the NAV of a Fund as determined on such date. A “Custom Order” may be placed by an Authorized Participant in the event that the Trust permits or requires the substitution of an amount of cash to be added to the Cash Component to replace any Deposit Security

which may not be available in sufficient quantity for delivery or which may not be eligible for trading by such Authorized Participant or the investor for which it is acting, or other relevant reason. The Business Day on which a creation order (or order to redeem as discussed below) is placed is herein referred to as the “Transmittal Date.” Orders must be transmitted by telephone or other transmission method acceptable to the Distributor pursuant to procedures set forth in the Participant Agreement, as described below (see “—Placement of Creation Orders Using Clearing Process”). Severe economic or market disruptions or changes, or telephone or other communication failure, may impede the ability to reach the Distributor, a Participating Party or a DTC Participant.

In connection with all orders to create Creation Units for Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF only, the Authorized Participant will be required to post collateral with the Trust consisting of cash in an amount up to 15% of the net asset value of Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF’s shares included in the order. The cash collateral will be used to cover creation transaction fees and as collateral for securities which were not available for purchase. The Trust will return any unused portion of the collateral to the Authorized Participant.

Creation Units may be created in advance of the receipt by the Trust of all or a portion of the Fund Deposit. In such cases, the Authorized Participant will remain liable for the full deposit of the missing portion(s) of the Fund Deposit and will be required to post collateral with the Trust consisting of cash at least equal to a percentage of the marked-to-market value of such missing portion(s) that is specified in the Participant Agreement. The Trust may use such collateral to buy the missing portion(s) of the Fund Deposit at any time and will subject such Authorized Participant to liability for any shortfall between the cost to the Trust of purchasing such securities and the value of such collateral. The Trust will have no liability for any such shortfall. The Trust will return any unused portion of the collateral to the Authorized Participant once the entire Fund Deposit has been properly received by the Distributor and deposited into the Trust.

Orders to create Creation Units of the Funds shall be placed with a Participating Party or DTC Participant, as applicable, in the form required by such Participating Party or DTC Participant. Investors should be aware that their particular broker may not have executed a Participant Agreement, and that, therefore, orders to create Creation Units of the Funds may have to be placed by the investor’s broker through a Participating Party or a DTC Participant who has executed a Participant Agreement. At any given time there may be only a limited number of broker-dealers that have executed a Participant Agreement. Those placing orders to create Creation Units of the Funds through the Clearing Process should afford sufficient time to permit proper submission of the order to the Distributor prior to the Closing Time on the Transmittal Date.

Orders for creation that are effected outside the Clearing Process are likely to require transmittal by the DTC Participant earlier on the Transmittal Date than orders effected using the Clearing Process. Those persons placing orders outside the Clearing Process should ascertain the deadlines applicable to DTC and the Federal Reserve Bank wire system by contacting the operations department of the broker or depository institution effectuating such transfer of Deposit Securities and Cash Component.

Orders to create Creation Units of a Fund may be placed through the Clearing Process utilizing procedures applicable to domestic funds for domestic securities (“Domestic Funds”) (see “—Placement of Creation Orders Using Clearing

Process”) or outside the Clearing Process utilizing the procedures applicable to either Domestic Funds or foreign funds for foreign securities (“Foreign Funds”) (see “—Placement of Creation Orders Outside Clearing Process—Domestic Funds” and “—Placement of Creation Orders Outside Clearing Process—Foreign Funds”). In the event that a Fund includes both domestic and foreign securities, the time for submitting orders is as stated in the “Placement of Creation

Orders Outside Clearing Process—Foreign Funds” and “Placement of Redemption Orders Outside Clearing Process—Foreign Funds” sections below shall operate.

Placement of Creation Orders Using Clearing Process

Fund Deposits created through the Clearing Process, if available, must be delivered through a Participating Party that has executed a Participant Agreement.

The Participant Agreement authorizes the Distributor to transmit to NSCC on behalf of the Participating Party such trade instructions as are necessary to effect the Participating Party’s creation order. Pursuant to such trade instructions from the Distributor to NSCC, the Participating Party agrees to transfer the requisite Deposit Securities (or contracts to purchase such Deposit Securities that are expected to be delivered in a “regular way” manner by the third (3rd) Business Day) and the Cash Component to the Trust, together with such additional information as may be required by the Distributor. An order to create Creation Units of the Funds through the Clearing Process is deemed received by the Distributor on the Transmittal Date if (i) such order is received by the Distributor not later than the Closing Time on such Transmittal Date and (ii) all other procedures set forth in the Participant Agreement are properly followed.

Placement of Creation Orders Outside Clearing Process—Domestic Funds

Fund Deposits created outside the Clearing Process must be delivered through a DTC Participant that has executed a Participant Agreement. A DTC Participant who wishes to place an order creating Creation Units of the Funds to be effected outside the Clearing Process need not be a Participating Party, but such orders must state that the DTC Participant is not using the Clearing Process and that the creation of Creation Units will instead be effected through a transfer of securities and cash. The Fund Deposit transfer must be ordered by the DTC Participant in a timely fashion so as to ensure the delivery of the requisite number of Deposit Securities through DTC to the account of the Trust by no later than 11:00 a.m. Eastern time, of the next Business Day immediately following the Transmittal Date. All questions as to the number of Deposit Securities to be delivered, and the validity, form and eligibility (including time of receipt) for the deposit of any tendered securities, will be determined by the Trust, whose determination shall be final and binding. The cash equal to the Cash Component must be transferred directly to the Distributor through the Federal Reserve wire system in a timely manner so as to be received by the Distributor no later than 2:00 p.m. Eastern time, on the next Business Day immediately following the Transmittal Date. An order to create Creation Units of a Fund outside the Clearing Process is deemed received by the Distributor on the Transmittal Date if (i) such order is received by the Distributor not later than the Closing Time on such Transmittal Date; and (ii) all other procedures set forth in the Participant Agreement are properly followed. However, if the Distributor does not receive both the requisite Deposit Securities and the Cash Component in a timely fashion on the next Business Day immediately following the Transmittal Date, such order will be cancelled. Upon written notice to the Distributor, such cancelled order may be resubmitted the following Business Day using the Fund Deposit as newly constituted to reflect the current NAV of the applicable Fund. The delivery of Creation Units so created will occur no later than the third (3rd) Business Day following the day on which the creation order is deemed received by the Distributor.

Additional transaction fees may be imposed with respect to transactions effected outside the Clearing Process (through a DTC participant) and in circumstances in which any cash can be used in lieu of Deposit Securities to create Creation Units. (See “Creation Transaction Fee” section below.)

Placement of Creation Orders Outside Clearing Process—Foreign Funds

The Distributor will inform the Transfer Agent, the Adviser and the Custodian upon receipt of a Creation Order. The Custodian will then provide such information to the appropriate subcustodian. For each Fund, the Custodian will cause the subcustodian of such Fund to maintain an account into which the Deposit Securities (or the cash value of all or part of such securities, in the case of a permitted or required cash purchase or “cash in lieu” amount) will be delivered. Deposit Securities must be delivered to an account maintained at the applicable local custodian. The Trust must also receive, on or before the contractual settlement date, immediately available or same day funds estimated by the Custodian to be sufficient to pay the Cash Component next determined after receipt in proper form of the purchase order, together with the creation transaction fee described below.

Once the Transfer Agent has accepted a creation order, the Transfer Agent will confirm the issuance of a Creation Unit of a Fund against receipt of payment, at such NAV as will have been calculated after receipt in proper form of such order. The Transfer Agent will then transmit a confirmation of acceptance of such order.

Creation Units will not be issued until the transfer of good title to the Trust of the Deposit Securities and the payment of the Cash Component have been completed. When the subcustodian has confirmed to the Custodian that the required Deposit Securities (or the cash value thereof) have been delivered to the account of the relevant subcustodian, the Distributor and the Adviser will be notified of such delivery and the Transfer Agent will issue and cause the delivery of the Creation Units.

Acceptance of Creation Orders

The Trust reserves the absolute right to reject a creation order transmitted to it by the Distributor if, for any reason, (a) the order is not in proper form; (b) the creator or creators, upon obtaining the Shares, would own 80% or more of the currently outstanding Shares of a Fund; (c) the Deposit Securities delivered are not as specified by the Administrator, as described above; (d) the acceptance of the Deposit Securities would have certain adverse tax consequences to a Fund; (e) the acceptance of the Fund Deposit would, in the opinion of counsel, be unlawful; (f) the acceptance of the Fund Deposit would otherwise, in the discretion of the Trust or the Adviser, have an adverse effect on the Trust or the rights of beneficial owners; or (g) in the event that circumstances outside the control of the Trust, the Distributor and the Adviser make it for all practical purposes impossible to process creation orders. Examples of such circumstances include, without limitation, acts of God or public service or utility problems such as earthquakes, fires, floods, extreme weather conditions and power outages resulting in telephone, telecopy and computer failures; wars; civil or military disturbances, including acts of civil or military authority or governmental actions; terrorism; sabotage; epidemics; riots; labor disputes; market conditions or activities causing trading halts; systems failures involving computer or other information systems affecting the Trust, the Adviser, the Distributor, DTC, the NSCC or any other participant in the creation process, and similar extraordinary events. The Transfer Agent will notify a prospective creator of its rejection of the order of such person. The Trust, the Custodian, any subcustodian and the Distributor are under no duty, however, to give notification of any defects or irregularities in the delivery of Fund Deposits to Authorized Participants nor shall either of them incur any liability to Authorized Participants for the failure to give any such notification.

All questions as to the number of shares of each security in the Deposit Securities and the validity, form, eligibility and acceptance for deposit of any securities to be delivered shall be determined by the Trust, and the Trust's determination shall be final and binding.

50

Creation Transaction Fee

A fixed creation transaction fee of \$1,000 (\$500 with respect to Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF and Market Vectors Oil Services ETF and \$4,200 with respect to Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF) payable to the Custodian is imposed on each creation transaction regardless of the number of Creation Units purchased in the transaction. In addition, a variable charge for cash creations or for creations outside the Clearing Process currently of up to four times the basic creation transaction fee will be imposed. In the case of cash creations or where the Trust permits or requires a creator to substitute cash in lieu of depositing a portion of the Deposit Securities, the creator may be assessed an additional variable charge to compensate the Funds for the costs associated with purchasing the applicable securities. (See “Fund Deposit” section above.) As a result, in order to seek to replicate the in-kind creation order process, the Trust expects to purchase, in the secondary market or otherwise gain exposure to, the portfolio securities that could have been delivered as a result of an in-kind creation order pursuant to local law or market convention, or for other reasons (“Market Purchases”). In such cases where the Trust makes Market Purchases, the Authorized Participant will reimburse the Trust for, among other things, any difference between the market value at which the securities and/or financial instruments were purchased by the Trust and the cash in lieu amount (which amount, at the Adviser’s discretion, may be capped), the costs associated with Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF’s swap transactions, applicable registration fees, brokerage commissions and certain taxes. The Adviser may adjust the transaction fee to the extent the composition of the creation securities changes or cash in lieu is added to the Cash Component to protect ongoing shareholders. Creators of Creation Units are responsible for the costs of transferring the securities constituting the Deposit Securities to the account of the Trust.

Redemption of Creation Units

Shares may be redeemed only in Creation Units at their NAV next determined after receipt of a redemption request in proper form by the Distributor, only on a Business Day and only through a Participating Party or DTC Participant who has executed a Participant Agreement. **The Trust will not redeem Shares in amounts less than Creation Units.** Beneficial Owners also may sell Shares in the secondary market, but must accumulate enough Shares to constitute a Creation Unit in order to have such Shares redeemed by the Trust. There can be no assurance, however, that there will be sufficient liquidity in the public trading market at any time to permit assembly of a Creation Unit. Investors should expect to incur brokerage and other costs in connection with assembling a sufficient number of Shares to constitute a redeemable Creation Unit. See, with respect to each Fund, the section entitled “Summary Information—Principal Risks of Investing in the Funds” and “Additional Information About the Funds’ Investment Strategies and Risks—Risks of Investing in the Funds” in the Prospectus.

The Administrator, through NSCC, makes available immediately prior to the opening of business on the Exchange (currently 9:30 a.m. Eastern time) on each day that the Exchange is open for business, the Fund Securities that will be applicable (subject to possible amendment or correction) to redemption requests received in proper form (as defined below) on that day. If the Trust determines, based on information available to the Trust when a redemption request is submitted by an Authorized Participant, that (i) the short interest of a Fund in the marketplace is greater than or equal to 100% and (ii) the orders in the aggregate from all Authorized Participants redeeming Fund Shares on a Business Day represent 25% or more of the outstanding Shares of a Fund, such Authorized Participant will be required to verify to the Trust the accuracy of its representations that are deemed to have been made by submitting a request for redemption. If, after receiving notice of the verification requirement, the Authorized Participant does not verify the

accuracy of its representations that are deemed to have been made by submitting a request for redemption in accordance with this requirement, its redemption request will be considered not to have been received in proper form. Unless cash redemptions are permitted or required for a Fund, the redemption proceeds for a Creation Unit generally consist of Fund Securities as announced by the

Administrator on the Business Day of the request for redemption, plus cash in an amount equal to the difference between the NAV of the Shares being redeemed, as next determined after a receipt of a request in proper form, and the value of the Fund Securities, less the redemption transaction fee and variable fees described below. Should the Fund Securities have a value greater than the NAV of the Shares being redeemed, a compensating cash payment to the Trust equal to the differential plus the applicable redemption transaction fee will be required to be arranged for by or on behalf of the redeeming shareholder. Each Fund reserves the right to honor a redemption request by delivering a basket of securities or cash that differs from the Fund Securities.

Redemption Transaction Fee

The basic redemption transaction fee of \$1,000 (\$500 with respect to Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF and Market Vectors Oil Services ETF and \$4,200 with respect to Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF) is the same no matter how many Creation Units are being redeemed pursuant to any one redemption request. An additional charge up to four times the redemption transaction fee will be charged with respect to cash redemptions or redemptions outside of the Clearing Process. An additional variable charge for cash redemptions or partial cash redemptions (when cash redemptions are permitted or required for a Fund) may also be imposed to compensate each applicable Fund for the costs associated with selling the applicable securities. As a result, in order to seek to replicate the in-kind redemption order process, the Trust expects to sell, in the secondary market, the portfolio securities or settle any financial instruments that may not be permitted to be re-registered in the name of the Participating Party as a result of an in-kind redemption order pursuant to local law or market convention, or for other reasons (“Market Sales”). In such cases where the Trust makes Market Sales, the Authorized Participant will reimburse the Trust for, among other things, any difference between the market value at which the securities and/or financial instruments were sold or settled by the Trust and the cash in lieu amount (which amount, at the Adviser’s discretion, may be capped), the costs associated with Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF’s swap transactions, applicable registration fees, brokerage commissions and certain taxes (“Transaction Costs”). The Adviser may adjust the transaction fee to the extent the composition of the redemption securities changes or cash in lieu is added to the Cash Component to protect ongoing shareholders. In no event will fees charged by a Fund in connection with a redemption exceed 2% of the value of each Creation Unit. Investors who use the services of a broker or other such intermediary may be charged a fee for such services. To the extent a Fund cannot recoup the amount of Transaction Costs incurred in connection with a redemption from the redeeming shareholder because of the 2% cap or otherwise, those Transaction Costs will be borne by the Fund’s remaining shareholders and negatively affect the Fund’s performance.

Placement of Redemption Orders Using Clearing Process

Orders to redeem Creation Units of a Fund through the Clearing Process, if available, must be delivered through a Participating Party that has executed the Participant Agreement. An order to redeem Creation Units of a Fund using the Clearing Process is deemed received on the Transmittal Date if (i) such order is received by the Distributor not later than 4:00 p.m. Eastern time on such Transmittal Date; and (ii) all other procedures set forth in the Participant Agreement are properly followed; such order will be effected based on the NAV of the applicable Fund as next determined. An order to redeem Creation Units of a Fund using the Clearing Process made in proper form but received by the Fund after 4:00 p.m. Eastern time, will be deemed received on the next Business Day immediately following the Transmittal Date. The requisite Fund Securities (or contracts to purchase such Fund Securities which are expected to be delivered in a “regular way” manner) and the applicable cash payment will be transferred by the third

(3rd) Business Day following the date on which such request for redemption is deemed received.

52

Placement of Redemption Orders Outside Clearing Process—Domestic Funds

Orders to redeem Creation Units of a Fund outside the Clearing Process must be delivered through a DTC Participant that has executed the Participant Agreement. A DTC Participant who wishes to place an order for redemption of Creation Units of a Fund to be effected outside the Clearing Process need not be a Participating Party, but such orders must state that the DTC Participant is not using the Clearing Process and that redemption of Creation Units of the Fund will instead be effected through transfer of Creation Units of the Fund directly through DTC. An order to redeem Creation Units of a Fund outside the Clearing Process is deemed received by the Administrator on the Transmittal Date if (i) such order is received by the Administrator not later than 4:00 p.m. Eastern time on such Transmittal Date; (ii) such order is preceded or accompanied by the requisite number of Shares of Creation Units specified in such order, which delivery must be made through DTC to the Administrator no later than 11:00 a.m. Eastern time, on such Transmittal Date (the “DTC Cut-Off-Time”); and (iii) all other procedures set forth in the Participant Agreement are properly followed.

After the Administrator has deemed an order for redemption outside the Clearing Process received, the Administrator will initiate procedures to transfer the requisite Fund Securities (or contracts to purchase such Fund Securities) which are expected to be delivered within three Business Days and the cash redemption payment to the redeeming Beneficial Owner by the third Business Day following the Transmittal Date on which such redemption order is deemed received by the Administrator. An additional variable redemption transaction fee of up to four times the basic transaction fee is applicable to redemptions outside the Clearing Process.

Placement of Redemption Orders Outside Clearing Process—Foreign Funds

Arrangements satisfactory to the Trust must be in place for the Participating Party to transfer the Creation Units through DTC on or before the settlement date. Redemptions of Shares for Fund Securities will be subject to compliance with applicable U.S. federal and state securities laws and a Fund (whether or not it otherwise permits or requires cash redemptions) reserves the right to redeem Creation Units for cash to the extent that the Fund could not lawfully deliver specific Fund Securities upon redemptions or could not do so without first registering the Deposit Securities under such laws.

In connection with taking delivery of Shares for Fund Securities upon redemption of Creation Units, a redeeming shareholder or entity acting on behalf of a redeeming shareholder must maintain appropriate custody arrangements with a qualified broker-dealer, bank or other custody providers in each jurisdiction in which any of the Fund Securities are customarily traded, to which account such Fund Securities will be delivered. If neither the redeeming shareholder nor the entity acting on behalf of a redeeming shareholder has appropriate arrangements to take delivery of the Fund Securities in the applicable foreign jurisdiction and it is not possible to make other such arrangements, or if it is not possible to effect deliveries of the Fund Securities in such jurisdictions, the Trust may, in its discretion, exercise its option to redeem such Shares in cash, and the redeeming shareholder will be required to receive its redemption proceeds in cash.

Deliveries of redemption proceeds generally will be made within three business days. Due to the schedule of holidays in certain countries or for other reasons, however, the delivery of redemption proceeds may take longer than three business days after the day on which the redemption request is received in proper form. In such cases, the local market settlement procedures will not commence until the end of the local holiday periods.

The Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF generally intends to effect creation transactions of Creation Units on the Business Day after the trade date (“T+1”) and settle redemption transactions of

cash on the fourth Business Day following the trade date (“T+4”). The Fund may effect deliveries of Creation Units and redemption cash on a basis other than T+1 or T+4, as the case may be, in order to accommodate local holiday schedules, to account for different treatment among foreign and U.S. markets of dividend record dates and ex-dividend dates or under certain other circumstances. If in-kind creations are permitted or required by the Fund, the ability of the Trust to effect in-kind creations and redemptions within T+1 and T+4, respectively, of receipt of an order in good form is subject to, among other things, the condition that, within the time period from the date of the order to the date of delivery of the securities, there are no days that are holidays in the applicable foreign market.

For every occurrence of one or more intervening holidays in the applicable non-U.S. market that are not holidays observed in the U.S. equity market, the redemption settlement cycle may be extended by the number of such intervening holidays. In addition to holidays, other unforeseeable closings in a non-U.S. market due to emergencies may also prevent the Foreign Funds from delivering securities within the normal settlement period.

The securities delivery cycles currently practicable for transferring portfolio securities to redeeming investors, coupled with non-U.S. market holiday schedules, will require a delivery process longer than seven calendar days, in certain circumstances. The holidays applicable to the Foreign Funds during such periods are listed below, as are instances where more than seven days will be needed to deliver redemption proceeds. Although certain holidays may occur on different dates in subsequent years, the number of days required to deliver redemption proceeds in any given year is not expected to exceed the maximum number of days listed below for the Foreign Fund. The proclamation of new holidays, the treatment by market participants of certain days as “informal holidays” (e.g., days on which no or limited securities transactions occur, as a result of substantially shortened trading hours), the elimination of existing holidays, or changes in local securities delivery practices, could affect the information set forth herein at some time in the future.

In calendar years 2014 and 2015, the dates of regular holidays affecting the relevant securities markets in which the Foreign Funds invest are as follows (please note these holiday schedules are subject to potential changes in the relevant securities markets):

2014

AUSTRALIA

January 1	April 18	August 4	December 26
January 27	April 21	October 6	
March 3	April 25	November 4	
March 10	June 9	December 25	

AUSTRIA

January 1	May 1	August 15	December 26
January 6	May 29	December 8	December 31
April 18	June 9	December 24	
April 21	June 19	December 25	

BRAZIL

January 1	April 18	July 9	December 31
-----------	----------	--------	-------------

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

March 3	April 21	October 20
March 4	May 1	December 24
March 5	June 19	December 25

CANADA

January 1	July 1	December 25
February 17	August 4	December 26
April 18	September 1	
May 19	October 13	

CHILE

January 1	June 16	October 31
April 18	August 15	December 8
May 1	September 18	December 25
May 21	September 19	December 31

54

CHINA

January 1	February 6	May 7	October 6
January 20	February 7	May 26	October 7
January 30	February 17	July 4	October 13
January 31	May 1	September 1	November 11
February 3	May 2	October 1	November 27
February 4	May 5	October 2	December 25
February 5	May 6	October 3	

COLOMBIA

January 1	April 18	June 30	November 3
January 6	May 1	August 7	November 17
March 24	June 2	August 18	December 8
April 17	June 23	October 13	December 25

CZECH REPUBLIC

January 1	May 8	December 25
April 18	October 28	December 26
April 21	November 17	December 31
May 1	December 24	

DENMARK

January 1	May 16	June 9	December 26
April 17	May 29	June 30	December 31
April 18	May 30	December 24	
April 21	June 5	December 25	

EGYPT

January 1	April 21	July 28	October 6
January 7	May 1	July 29	
January 13	July 1	July 30	
April 20	July 23	October 5	

*The Egyptian market is closed every Friday.

FINLAND

January 1	April 21	December 26
January 6	May 1	December 31
April 17	December 24	
April 18	December 25	

FRANCE

January 1	May 8	November 11
April 18	May 29	December 25
April 21	July 14	December 26
May 1	August 15	

GERMANY

January 1	December 24
April 18	December 25
April 21	December 26
May 1	December 31

GREECE

January 1	April 18	August 15
January 6	April 21	October 28
March 3	May 1	December 25
March 25	June 9	December 26

HONG KONG

January 1	April 21	July 1	December 24
January 30	May 1	September 9	December 25
January 31	May 6	October 1	December 26
April 18	June 2	October 2	December 31

HUNGARY

January 1	June 9	December 24
April 21	August 20	December 25
May 1	October 23	December 26
May 2	October 24	

55

INDIA

January 14	April 1	May 14	October 2	November 4
February 19	April 8	July 29	October 3	November 6
February 27	April 14	August 15	October 6	December 25
March 17	April 18	August 18	October 23	
March 31	May 1	August 29	October 24	

INDONESIA

January 1	April 18	May 29	July 31	December 31
January 14	May 1	July 28	August 1	
January 31	May 15	July 29	December 25	
March 31	May 27	July 30	December 26	

ISRAEL

March 16	April 21	June 4	October 8
April 14	May 5	August 5	October 9
April 15	May 6	September 24	October 15
April 20	June 3	September 25	October 16

*The Israeli market is closed every Friday.

ITALY

January 1	May 1	December 24
January 6	June 2	December 25
April 18	August 15	December 26
April 25	December 8	December 31

JAPAN

January 1	February 11	May 6	October 13	December 31
January 2	March 21	July 21	November 3	
January 3	April 29	September 15	November 24	
January 13	May 5	September 23	December 23	

KENYA

January 1	June 1	December 12
April 18	July 28	December 25
April 21	October 4	December 26
May 1	October 27	

KUWAIT

January 2	February 27	July 30	October 7
January 16	May 29	July 31	October 23
February 25	July 28	October 5	
February 26	July 29	October 6	

*The Kuwaiti market is closed every Friday.

MALAYSIA

January 1	February 3	June 7	October 6
January 14	May 1	July 28	October 22
January 30	May 13	July 29	October 23
January 31	May 15	July 30	October 25
February 1	May 30	September 1	December 25

MEXICO

January 1	March 21	September 16	December 25
February 3	April 17	November 17	
February 5	April 18	November 20	
March 17	May 1	December 12	

MOROCCO

January 1	July 30	October 6	
January 13	August 14	November 6	
May 1	August 20	November 18	
July 29	August 21		

NETHERLANDS

January 1	April 30	June 9	
April 18	May 1	December 25	
April 21	May 29	December 26	

56

NIGERIA

January 1	May 1	October 1	December 26
January 13	May 29	October 6	
April 18	July 28	October 7	
April 21	July 29	December 25	

NORWAY

January 1	April 21	December 24
April 16	May 1	December 25
April 17	May 29	December 26
April 18	June 9	December 31

OMAN

January 1	July 28	November 22
January 13	October 4	
May 27	October 25	
July 23	November 5	

*The Omani market is closed every Friday.

PERU

January 1	July 28	December 25
April 17	July 29	
April 18	October 8	
May 1	December 8	

PHILIPPINES

January 1	April 18	August 25	December 30
January 31	May 1	December 24	December 31
April 9	June 12	December 25	
April 17	August 21	December 26	

POLAND

January 1	May 1	December 24
January 6	June 19	December 25
April 18	August 15	December 26
April 21	November 11	December 31

PORTUGAL

January 1	April 25	June 19	December 24
March 4	May 1	August 15	December 25
April 18	June 10	December 1	December 26
April 21	June 13	December 8	

QATAR

July 28	July 31	October 6
July 29	September 3	October 7
July 30	October 5	

*The Qatari market is closed every Friday.

RUSSIA

January 1	January 6	April 30	June 11	December 31
-----------	-----------	----------	---------	-------------

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

January 2	January 7	May 1	June 12
January 3	January 8	May 2	June 13
January 4	March 7	May 8	November 3
January 5	March 10	May 9	November 4

SOUTH AFRICA

January 1	April 28	December 16
March 21	May 1	December 25
April 18	June 16	December 26
April 21	September 24	

SOUTH KOREA

January 1	March 1	August 15	October 3
January 30	May 5	September 7	December 24
January 31	May 6	September 8	
February 1	June 6	September 9	

57

SPAIN

January 1	April 21	July 25	December 25
January 6	May 1	August 15	December 26
April 17	May 2	September 9	
April 18	May 15	December 8	

SWEDEN

January 1	May 1	December 24
January 6	May 29	December 25
April 18	June 6	December 26
April 21	June 20	December 31

SWITZERLAND

January 1	May 1	December 25
January 2	May 29	December 26
January 6	June 9	December 31
April 18	August 1	
April 21	December 24	

TAIWAN

January 1	February 28	September 8
January 30	April 5	October 10
January 31	May 1	
February 3	June 2	

TURKEY

January 1	July 28	October 6
April 23	July 29	October 7
May 1	July 30	October 28
May 19	October 3	October 29

UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

January 1	July 29	October 6
January 13	August 6	October 25
May 26	October 4	December 2
July 28	October 5	December 3

*The United Arab Emirates is closed every Friday.

UNITED KINGDOM

January 1	May 5	December 25
April 18	May 26	December 26
April 21	August 25	

VIETNAM

January 1	February 4	May 1
January 30	February 5	September 2
January 31	April 9	
February 3	April 30	

2015

AUSTRALIA

January 1	April 18	May 19	August 13	December 25
January 27	April 21	June 2	September 29	December 26
March 3	April 25	June 9	October 6	
March 10	May 5	August 4	November 4	

AUSTRIA

January 1	May 1	August 15	December 24
January 6	May 14	October 26	December 25
April 3	May 25	November 1	December 26
April 6	June 4	December 8	December 31

BRAZIL

January 1	April 3	June 4	November 15
February 16	April 5	September 7	December 24
February 17	April 21	October 12	December 25
February 18	May 1	November 2	December 31

58

CANADA

January 1	March 16	June 21	August 3	December 26
January 2	April 3	June 22	September 7	
February 9	April 6	June 24	October 12	
February 16	April 20	July 1	November 11	
February 27	May 18	July 9	December 25	

CHILE

January 1	June 29	December 8	
April 3	July 16	December 25	
May 1	September 18	December 31	
May 21	October 12		

CHINA

January 1	February 23	September 27	October 7
January 2	February 24	October 1	December 25
February 18	April 6	October 2	
February 19	May 1	October 5	
February 20	June 20	October 6	

COLOMBIA

January 1	April 3	June 15	August 17	December 8
January 12	May 1	June 29	October 12	December 25
March 23	May 18	July 20	November 2	December 31
April 2	June 8	August 7	November 16	

CZECH REPUBLIC

January 1	July 6	December 24
April 6	September 28	December 25
May 1	October 28	
May 8	November 17	

DENMARK

January 1	May 1	December 24	December 26
April 2	May 14	December 25	
April 3	May 25	December 31	
April 6	June 5		

EGYPT

January 1	July 1	September 25
January 7	July 20	October 6
April 13	July 23	October 15
May 1	September 24	December 24

*The Egyptian market is closed every Friday.

FINLAND

January 1	May 1	December 25
January 6	May 14	
April 3	June 19	
April 6	December 24	

FRANCE

January 1	May 8	November 11
April 3	May 14	December 25
April 6	May 25	
May 1	July 14	

GERMANY

January 1	May 1	December 25
January 6	May 14	
April 3	May 25	
April 6	June 4	

GREECE

January 1	April 3	June 1
January 6	April 6	October 28
February 23	April 13	December 25
March 25	May 1	

HONG KONG

January 1	April 6	September 28	December 26
February 19	May 1	October 1	
February 20	May 25	October 21	
April 3	July 1	December 25	

59

HUNGARY

January 1	May 25	December 25
April 6	August 20	
May 1	October 23	

INDIA

January 1	April 2	July 17	October 15	December 25
January 26	April 3	August 15	October 22	
February 17	May 1	September 17	November 11	
March 6	May 25	October 2	November 25	

INDONESIA

January 1	May 14	October 15
February 19	May 15	December 24
April 3	August 17	December 25
May 1	September 24	

ISRAEL

March 5	April 23	September 23
April 10	May 7	September 28
April 15	September 14	October 5
April 22	September 15	December 7

*The Israeli market is closed every Friday.

ITALY

January 1	May 1	December 25
January 6	June 2	December 31
April 3	June 29	
April 6	December 8	

JAPAN

January 1	April 29	July 20	October 12	December 31
January 2	May 4	September 21	November 3	
January 12	May 5	September 22	November 23	
February 11	May 6	September 23	December 23	

KENYA

January 1	June 1	December 12
April 3	July 18	December 25
April 6	September 23	December 26
May 1	October 20	

KUWAIT

January 1	July 17	December 24
February 25	September 22	
February 26	October 13	

*The Kuwaiti market is closed every Friday.

MALAYSIA

January 1	June 1	October 13
-----------	--------	------------

January 3	June 6	November 11
February 1	July 18	December 25
February 19	August 31	
May 1	September 23	

MEXICO

January 1	March 16	May 5	November 20
January 6	April 2	September 16	December 25
February 2	April 3	November 2	
February 5	May 1	November 16	

MOROCCO

January 1	August 21	November 18
May 1	October 13	December 24
August 14	August 21	
August 20	November 6	

60

NETHERLANDS

January 1	April 27	May 14
April 3	April 30	May 25
April 6	May 5	December 25

NIGERIA

January 1	May 1	October 1	December 31
March 20	May 29	November 23	
April 3	June 12	December 24	
April 6	September 24	December 25	

NORWAY

January 1	May 1	December 25
April 2	May 14	December 31
April 3	May 25	
April 6	December 24	

OMAN

January 1	July 23
January 3	September 23
May 16	October 13
July 18	November 18

*The Omani market is closed every Friday.

PERU

January 1	June 29	December 25
April 2	July 28	December 31
April 3	October 8	
May 1	December 8	

PHILIPPINES

January 1	April 3	August 21	November 30	December 31
February 19	April 9	August 31	December 24	
February 25	May 1	September 24	December 25	
April 2	June 12	November 2	December 30	

POLAND

January 1	May 1
January 6	June 4
April 3	November 11
April 6	December 25

PORTUGAL

January 1	June 1	December 1
February 17	June 4	December 8
April 3	June 10	December 24
May 1	October 5	December 25

QATAR

February 10	September 21	December 18
July 20	September 22	December 24

July 21 September 23

July 22 October 15

*The Qatari market is closed every Friday.

RUSSIA

January 1 January 7 March 9 November 4

January 2 January 8 May 1 December 30

January 5 January 9 May 11 December 31

January 6 February 23 June 12

SOUTH AFRICA

January 1 May 1 December 16

April 3 June 16 December 25

April 6 August 10

April 27 September 24

SOUTH KOREA

January 1 May 5 October 3

February 19 May 25 December 25

March 1 August 15

May 1 September 27

61

SPAIN

January 1	April 3	May 25	December 25
January 6	April 6	June 4	
March 19	May 1	October 12	
April 2	May 14	December 8	

SWEDEN

January 1	April 6	June 19	December 31
January 5	April 30	October 30	
January 6	May 1	December 24	
April 3	May 14	December 25	

SWITZERLAND

January 1	May 25
January 2	August 1
April 3	December 24
April 6	December 25
May 14	December 26

TAIWAN

January 1	February 28	September 27
February 19	April 5	October 10
February 20	May 1	
February 23	June 20	

TURKEY

January 1	July 20	September 25
April 23	September 23	October 29
May 1	October 3	October 29
May 19	September 24	

UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

January 1	September 24	December 2
May 15	September 25	December 3
July 20	October 15	December 24

*The United Arab Emirates is closed every Friday.

UNITED KINGDOM

January 1	April 3	May 25	December 25
January 2	April 6	August 3	December 28
January 6	May 4	August 31	

VIETNAM

January 1	February 23	May 1
February 18	February 24	September 2
February 19	April 28	December 25
February 20	April 30	

The longest redemption cycle for Foreign Funds is a function of the longest redemption cycle among the countries whose securities comprise the Funds. In the calendar years 2014 and 2015, the dates of regular holidays affecting the

following securities markets present the worst-case (longest) redemption cycle* for Foreign Funds as follows:

**SETTLEMENT PERIODS GREATER
THAN
SEVEN DAYS FOR YEAR 2014**

	Beginning of Settlement Period	End of Settlement Period	Number of Days in Settlement Period
Austria	12/19/2014	12/29/2014	10
	12/22/2014	12/30/2014	8
	12/23/2014	1/2/2015	10
China	01/27/14	02/10/14	14
	01/28/14	02/11/14	14

62

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

	01/29/14	02/12/14	14
	04/28/14	05/08/14	10
	04/29/14	05/09/14	10
	04/30/14	05/12/14	12
	09/26/14	10/08/14	12
	09/29/14	10/09/14	10
	09/30/14	10/10/14	10
Czech Republic	12/19/2014	12/29/2014	10
	12/22/2014	12/30/2014	8
	12/23/2014	1/2/2015	10
Denmark	4/14/2014	4/23/2014	8
	4/15/2014	4/24/2014	8
	4/16/2014	4/25/2014	8
	12/19/2014	12/29/2014	10
	12/22/2014	12/30/2014	8
	12/23/2014	1/2/2015	10
Egypt	12/31/2013	1/8/2014	8
	1/6/2014	1/14/2014	8
	4/14/2014	4/22/2014	8
	4/15/2014	4/23/2014	8
	4/16/2014	4/24/2014	8
	4/17/2014	4/27/2014	10
	7/21/2014	7/31/2014	10
	7/22/2014	8/3/2014	12
	7/24/2014	8/4/2014	11
	9/29/2014	10/7/2014	8
	9/30/2014	10/8/2014	8
	10/1/2014	10/9/2014	8
	10/2/2014	10/12/2014	10
Finland	12/19/2014	12/29/2014	10
	12/22/2014	12/30/2014	8
	12/23/2014	1/2/2015	10
Hungary	12/19/2014	12/29/2014	10
	12/22/2014	12/30/2014	8
	12/23/2014	12/31/2014	8
Indonesia	7/23/2014	8/4/2014	12
	7/24/2014	8/5/2014	12
	7/25/2014	8/6/2014	12
	12/19/2014	12/29/2014	10
	12/22/2014	12/30/2014	8
	12/23/2014	1/2/2015	11
Italy	12/19/14	12/29/14	10
	12/22/14	12/30/14	8
	12/23/14	01/02/15	10
Japan	12/26/14	01/05/15	10
	12/29/14	01/06/15	8
	12/30/14	01/07/15	8
Malaysia	01/27/14	02/04/14	8
	01/28/14	02/05/14	8
	01/29/14	02/06/14	8
	07/23/14	07/31/14	8

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

	07/24/14	08/01/14	8
	07/25/14	08/04/14	10
Norway	4/14/2014	4/22/2014	8
	4/15/2014	4/23/2014	8
	4/16/2014	4/24/2014	8
	12/19/2014	12/29/2014	10
	12/22/2014	12/30/2014	8
	12/23/2014	1/2/2015	10
Philippines	12/19/2014	12/29/2014	10
	12/22/2014	12/30/2014	8
	12/23/2014	1/2/2015	10
Qatar	7/23/2014	8/3/2014	11
	7/24/2014	8/4/2014	11
	7/27/2014	8/5/2014	11
	9/30/2014	10/8/2014	8
	10/1/2014	10/9/2014	8
	10/2/2014	10/12/2014	10
Russia	12/27/2014	1/9/2014	13
	12/30/2014	1/10/2014	11

63

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

	12/31/2014	1/13/2014	13
South Africa	12/23/13	01/02/14	10
	12/24/13	01/03/14	10
	12/27/13	01/06/14	10
	12/30/13	01/07/14	8
	12/31/13	01/08/14	8
	03/14/14	03/24/14	10
	03/17/14	03/25/14	8
	03/18/14	03/26/14	8
	03/19/14	03/27/14	8
	03/20/14	03/28/14	8
	04/11/14	04/22/14	9
	04/14/14	04/23/14	9
	04/15/14	04/24/14	9
	04/16/14	04/25/14	9
	04/17/14	04/29/14	12
	04/22/14	04/30/14	8
	04/23/14	05/02/14	9
	04/24/14	05/05/14	11
	04/25/14	05/06/14	11
	04/29/14	05/07/14	8
	04/30/14	05/08/14	8
	06/09/14	06/17/14	8
	06/10/14	06/18/14	8
	06/11/14	06/19/14	8
	06/12/14	06/20/14	8
	06/13/14	06/23/14	10
	09/17/14	09/25/14	8
	09/18/14	09/26/14	8
	09/19/14	09/29/14	10
	09/22/14	09/30/14	8
	09/23/14	10/01/14	8
	12/09/14	12/17/14	8
	12/10/14	12/18/14	8
	12/11/14	12/19/14	8
	12/12/14	12/22/14	10
	12/15/14	12/23/14	8
	12/18/14	12/29/14	11
	12/19/14	12/30/14	11
	12/22/14	12/31/14	9
	12/23/14	01/02/15	10
	12/14/14	01/05/15	12
	12/29/14	01/06/15	8
	12/30/14	01/07/15	8
	12/31/14	01/08/15	8
Spain	4/14/2014	4/22/2014	8
	4/15/2014	4/23/2014	8
	4/16/2014	4/24/2014	8
Sweden	12/23/13	01/02/14	10
	12/19/14	12/29/14	10
	12/22/14	12/30/14	8

	12/23/14	01/02/15	10
United Arab Emirates	7/22/2014	7/30/2014	8
	7/23/2014	7/31/2014	8
	7/24/2014	8/1/2014	8
	11/26/2014	12/4/2014	8
	11/27/2014	12/8/2014	11
	4/29/2014	5/7/2014	8
Vietnam	7/22/2014	7/30/2014	8

**SETTLEMENT PERIODS GREATER
THAN
SEVEN DAYS FOR YEAR 2015**

	Beginning of Settlement Period	End of Settlement Period	Number of Days in Settlement Period
China	02/13/15	02/25/15	12
	02/16/15	02/26/15	10
	02/17/15	02/27/15	10
	09/28/15	10/08/15	10
	09/29/15	10/09/15	10

64

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

	09/30/15	10/12/15	12
Denmark	3/30/2015	4/7/2015	8
	3/31/2015	4/8/2015	8
	4/1/2015	4/9/2015	8
Japan	12/26/14	01/05/15	10
	12/29/14	01/06/15	8
	12/30/14	01/07/15	8
	04/28/15	05/07/15	9
	04/30/15	05/08/15	8
	05/01/15	05/11/15	10
	09/16/15	09/24/15	8
	09/17/15	09/25/15	8
	09/18/15	09/28/15	11
Norway	3/30/2015	4/7/2015	8
	3/31/2015	4/8/2015	8
	4/1/2015	4/9/2015	8
Philippines	12/22/2014	1/2/2015	11
	12/23/2014	1/4/2015	12
	12/23/2014	1/5/2015	13
	12/28/2014	1/5/2015	8
	12/29/2014	1/6/2015	8
Qatar	7/15/2015	7/23/2015	8
	7/16/2015	7/26/2015	10
	7/19/2015	7/27/2015	8
	9/16/2015	9/24/2015	8
	9/17/2015	9/27/2015	10
	9/20/2015	9/28/2015	8
South Africa	12/23/2014	1/2/2015	10
	12/24/2014	1/5/2015	12
	12/29/2014	1/6/2015	8
	12/30/2014	1/7/2015	8
	12/31/2014	1/8/2015	8
	3/27/2015	4/7/2015	11
	3/30/2015	4/8/2015	9
	3/31/2015	4/9/2015	9
	4/1/2015	4/10/2015	9
	4/2/2015	4/13/2015	11
	4/20/2015	4/28/2015	8
	4/21/2015	4/29/2015	8
	4/22/2015	4/30/2015	8
	4/23/2015	5/1/2015	8
	4/23/2015	5/4/2015	11
	4/24/2015	5/5/2015	11
	4/28/2015	5/6/2015	8
	4/29/2015	5/7/2015	8
	4/30/2015	5/8/2015	8
	6/9/2015	6/17/2015	8
	6/10/2015	6/18/2015	8
	6/11/2015	6/19/2015	8
	6/12/2015	6/22/2015	10
	6/15/2015	6/23/2015	8

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

8/3/2015	8/11/2015	8
8/4/2015	8/12/2015	8
8/5/2015	8/13/2015	8
8/6/2015	8/14/2015	8
8/7/2015	8/17/2015	10
9/17/2015	9/25/2015	8
9/18/2015	9/28/2015	10
9/21/2015	9/29/2015	8
9/22/2015	9/30/2015	8
9/23/2015	10/1/2015	8
12/9/2015	12/17/2015	8
12/10/2015	12/18/2015	8
12/11/2015	12/21/2015	10
12/14/2015	12/22/2015	8
12/15/2015	12/23/2015	8
12/18/2015	12/28/2015	10
12/21/2015	12/29/2015	8
12/22/2015	12/30/2015	8
12/23/2015	12/31/2015	8

	12/24/2015	1/4/2016	11
	12/28/2015	1/5/2016	8
	12/29/2015	1/6/2016	8
	12/30/2015	1/7/2016	8
	12/31/2015	1/8/2016	8
Spain	3/30/2015	4/7/2015	8
	3/31/2015	4/8/2015	8
	4/1/2015	4/9/2015	8
Switzerland	12/23/2014	1/5/2015	13
	12/29/2014	1/7/2015	9
	12/30/2014	1/8/2015	9
	12/30/2015	1/7/2016	8
Vietnam	2/13/2015	2/25/2015	12
	2/16/2015	2/26/2015	10
	2/17/2015	2/27/2015	10
	4/24/2015	5/4/2015	10
	4/27/2015	5/5/2015	8

* These worst-case redemption cycles are based on information regarding regular holidays, which may be out of date. Based on changes in holidays, longer (worse) redemption cycles are possible.

The right of redemption may be suspended or the date of payment postponed (1) for any period during which the NYSE is closed (other than customary weekend and holiday closings); (2) for any period during which trading on the NYSE is suspended or restricted; (3) for any period during which an emergency exists as a result of which disposal of the Shares of a Fund or determination of its NAV is not reasonably practicable; or (4) in such other circumstance as is permitted by the SEC.

DETERMINATION OF NET ASSET VALUE

The following information supplements and should be read in conjunction with the section in each Fund's Prospectus entitled "Shareholder Information—Determination of NAV."

The NAV per Share for each Fund is computed by dividing the value of the net assets of the Fund (i.e., the value of its total assets less total liabilities) by the total number of Shares outstanding. Expenses and fees, including the management fee, are accrued daily and taken into account for purposes of determining NAV. The NAV of each Fund is determined each business day as of the close of trading (ordinarily 4:00 p.m., Eastern time) on the NYSE. Any assets or liabilities denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar are converted into U.S. dollars at the current market rates on the date of valuation as quoted by one or more sources.

The values of each Fund's portfolio securities are based on the securities' closing prices on their local principal markets, where available. Due to the time differences between the United States and certain countries in which a Fund invests, securities on these exchanges may not trade at times when Shares of the Fund will trade. In the absence of a last reported sales price, or if no sales were reported, and for other assets for which market quotes are not readily available, values may be based on quotes obtained from a quotation reporting system, established market makers or by an outside independent pricing service. Prices obtained by an outside independent pricing service may use information provided by market makers or estimates of market values obtained from yield data related to investments or securities with similar characteristics and may use a computerized grid matrix of securities and its evaluations in determining what it believes is the fair value of the portfolio securities. If a market quotation for a security is not readily available or the Adviser believes it does not otherwise accurately reflect the market value of the security at the time a Fund calculates its NAV, the security will be fair valued by the Adviser in accordance with the Trust's valuation policies and procedures approved by the Board of Trustees. Each Fund may also use fair value pricing in a variety of circumstances, including but not limited to, situations where the value of a security in a Fund's portfolio has been materially affected by events occurring after the close of the market on which the security is principally traded (such as a corporate action or other news that may materially affect the price of a security) or trading in a security has been suspended or halted. In addition, each Fund that holds foreign equity securities currently expects that it will fair value certain of the foreign equity securities held by the Fund each day the Fund calculates its NAV, except those securities principally traded on exchanges that close at the same time the Fund calculates its NAV. Accordingly, a Fund's NAV may reflect certain portfolio securities' fair values rather than their market prices at the time the exchanges on which they principally trade close. Fair value pricing involves subjective judgments and it is possible that a fair value determination for a security is materially different than the value that could be realized upon the sale of the security. In addition, fair value pricing could result in a difference between the prices used to calculate a Fund's NAV and the prices used by the Fund's respective Index. This may adversely affect a Fund's ability to track its respective Index. With respect to securities traded in foreign markets, the value of a Fund's portfolio securities may change on days when you will not be able to purchase or sell your Shares.

DIVIDENDS AND DISTRIBUTIONS

The following information supplements and should be read in conjunction with the section in each Fund's Prospectus entitled "Shareholder Information—Distributions."

General Policies

Dividends from net investment income, if any, are declared and paid at least annually by each Fund. Distributions of net realized capital gains, if any, generally are declared and paid once a year, but the Trust may make distributions on a more frequent basis for each Fund to improve its Index tracking or to comply with the distribution requirements of the Internal Revenue Code, in all events in a manner consistent with the provisions of the 1940 Act. In addition, the Trust may distribute at least annually amounts representing the full dividend yield on the underlying portfolio securities of the Funds, net of expenses of the Funds, as if each Fund owned such underlying portfolio securities for the entire dividend period in which case some portion of each distribution may result in a return of capital for tax purposes for certain shareholders.

Dividends and other distributions on Shares are distributed, as described below, on a pro rata basis to Beneficial Owners of such Shares. Dividend payments are made through DTC Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners then of record with proceeds received from the Trust. The Trust makes additional distributions to the minimum extent necessary (i) to distribute the entire annual taxable income of the Trust, plus any net capital gains and (ii) to avoid imposition of the excise tax imposed by Section 4982 of the Internal Revenue Code. Management of the Trust reserves the right to declare special dividends if, in its reasonable discretion, such action is necessary or advisable to preserve the status of each Fund as a regulated investment company ("RIC") or to avoid imposition of income or excise taxes on undistributed income.

DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT SERVICE

No reinvestment service is provided by the Trust. Broker-dealers may make available the DTC book-entry Dividend Reinvestment Service for use by Beneficial Owners of the Funds through DTC Participants for reinvestment of their dividend distributions. If this service is used, dividend distributions of both income and realized gains will be automatically reinvested in additional whole Shares of the Funds. Beneficial Owners should contact their broker to determine the availability and costs of the service and the details of participation therein. Brokers may require Beneficial Owners to adhere to specific procedures and timetables.

CONTROL PERSONS and principal shareholders

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

The following table sets forth the name, address and percentage of ownership of each shareholder who is known by the Trust to own, of record or beneficially, 5% or more of the outstanding equity securities of each Fund as of March 31, 2014:

Market Vectors Africa Index ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	12.75%
Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. 50 Milk Street, Boston, MA 02109	12.10%
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	10.07%
Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC 1 Harborside Financial Center, Plaza II, Jersey City, NJ 07311	8.78%
Pershing LLC One Pershing Plaza, Jersey City, NJ 07399	8.01%

68

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
State Street Bank and Trust Company 225 Franklin Street, Boston, MA 02110	47.50%
The Bank of New York Mellon One Wall Street, 5th Floor, New York, NY 10286-0001	7.67%
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	5.90%
The Northern Trust Company/United Nation 801 S Canal Street, Chicago, IL 60607	5.29%

Market Vectors Brazil Small-Cap ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. 50 Milk Street, Boston, MA 02109	12.88%
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	12.55%
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	9.08%
Pershing LLC One Pershing Plaza, Jersey City, NJ 07399	7.91%

Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
Goldman Sachs International Proxy Department, 30 Hudson Street, Jersey City, NJ 07302	20.83%
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	15.09%
BNP Paribas Prime Brokerage, Inc. 525 Washington Blvd, 9th Floor, Jersey City, NJ 07310	8.36%
Van Eck Associates Corporation 335 Madison Avenue, 19th Floor, New York, NY 10017	8.33%
J.P. Morgan Clearing Corp. 3 Chase Metrotech Center, Proxy Dept./NY1-H034, Brooklyn NY 11245-0001	7.36%
Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. 50 Milk Street, Boston, MA 02109	7.14%
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	6.78%

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

Market Vectors Coal ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	12.33%
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	12.32%
Pershing LLC One Pershing Plaza, Jersey City, NJ 07399	6.30%
Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. 50 Milk Street, Boston, MA 02109	6.18%
TD Ameritrade Clearing, Inc 4211 South 102nd Street, Omaha, NE 68127-1031	6.00%
Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC 1 Harborside Financial Center, Plaza II, Jersey City, NJ 07311	5.17%

Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. 50 Milk Street, Boston, MA 02109	22.37%
First Clearing LLC Riverfront Plaza 901 East Byrd Street, Richmond, VA 23219	11.73%
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	9.58%
E*Trade Clearing LLC 34 Exchange Place Plaza II Jersey City, NJ 07311	5.85%
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	5.63%
Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC 1 Harborside Financial Center, Plaza II, Jersey City, NJ 07311	5.26%

Market Vectors Global Alternative Energy ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	13.34%
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	11.82%
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc. World Financial Center, North Tower, New York, NY 10080	9.76%
Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC 1 Harborside Financial Center, Plaza II, Jersey City, NJ 07311	7.27%
Pershing LLC One Pershing Plaza, Jersey City, NJ 07399	6.48%
Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. 50 Milk Street, Boston, MA 02109	6.33%
	5.02%

Citibank

3801 Citibank Center B/3RD Floor/Zone 12, Tampa, FL 33610

70

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. 50 Milk Street, Boston, MA 02109	10.80%
The Bank of New York Mellon One Wall Street, 5th Floor, New York, NY 10286-0001	9.51%
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	6.90%
Merrill Lynch Professional Clearing Corp. 101 Hudson Street, Jersey City, NJ 07302	5.94%
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	5.50%

Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. 50 Milk Street, Boston, MA 02109	17.63%
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	10.17%
Citibank 3801 Citibank Center B/3RD Floor/Zone 12, Tampa, FL 33610	8.32%
Goldman Sachs Execution & Clearing, Proxy Department 30 Hudson Street, Jersey City, NJ 07302	7.39%
Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC 1 Harborside Financial Center, Plaza II, Jersey City, NJ 07311	7.09%
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	6.48%
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc. World Financial Center, North Tower, New York, NY 10080	5.70%

Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. 50 Milk Street, Boston, MA 02109	21.39%
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	10.69%
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	10.18%
Pershing LLC One Pershing Plaza, Jersey City, NJ 07399	7.49%
TD Ameritrade Clearing, Inc 4211 South 102nd Street, Omaha, NE 68127-1031	6.00%
BNP Paribas, New York Branch 919 3rd Ave, 4th Floor, New York, NY 10022	5.45%

Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. 50 Milk Street, Boston, MA 02109	15.22%
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	11.69%
Northern Trust Company/United Nation 801 S Canal C-IN, Chicago IL 60607	8.97%
Citibank 3801 Citibank Center B/3RD Floor/Zone 12, Tampa, FL 33610	8.29%
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	7.90%
The Bank of New York Mellon One Wall Street, 5th Floor, New York, NY 10286-0001	5.27%

Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	23.26%
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	9.08%
TD Ameritrade Clearing, Inc 4211 South 102nd Street, Omaha, NE 68127-1031	8.36%
Pershing LLC One Pershing Plaza, Jersey City, NJ 07399	8.06%
Interactive Brokers Retail Equity CL 8 Greenwich Office Part, Greenwich CT 06831	5.56%
E*Trade Clearing LLC 34 Exchange Place Plaza II Jersey City, NJ 07311	5.10%

Market Vectors Israel ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
JP Morgan Chase Bank, National Associate 14201 Dallas PKWY Floor 12, Dallas, TX 75254	33.52%
Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC 1 Harborside Financial Center, Plaza II, Jersey City, NJ 07311	18.33%
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	8.13%
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	7.55%
Merrill Lynch Professional Clearing Corp. 101 Hudson Street, Jersey City, NJ 07302	5.11%

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	8.83%
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	8.51%
Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. 50 Milk Street, Boston, MA 02109	7.83%
TD Ameritrade Clearing, Inc 4211 South 102nd Street, Omaha, NE 68127-1031	5.31%
Interactive Brokers Retail Equity CL 8 Greenwich Office Part, Greenwich CT 06831	5.14%

Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	22.43%
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	15.87%
Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC 1 Harborside Financial Center, Plaza II, Jersey City, NJ 07311	7.54%
TD Ameritrade Clearing, Inc 4211 South 102nd Street, Omaha, NE 68127-1031	5.75%
The Bank of New York Mellon One Wall Street, 5th Floor, New York, NY 10286-0001	5.09%
First Clearing LLC Riverfront Plaza 901 East Byrd Street, Richmond, VA 23219	5.04%

Market Vectors Oil Services ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. 50 Milk Street, Boston, MA 02109	38.68%
The Bank of New York Mellon One Wall Street, 5th Floor, New York, NY 10286-0001	7.82%
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	5.04%

Market Vectors Poland ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. 50 Milk Street, Boston, MA 02109	25.45%
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	11.56%

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	8.08%
TD Ameritrade Clearing, Inc 4211 South 102nd Street, Omaha, NE 68127-1031	6.83%
Pershing LLC One Pershing Plaza, Jersey City, NJ 07399	5.12%

73

Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. 50 Milk Street, Boston, MA 02109	23.75%
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	9.21%
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	7.09%

Market Vectors Russia ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. 50 Milk Street, Boston, MA 02109	13.47%
Citibank 3801 Citibank Center B/3RD Floor/Zone 12, Tampa, FL 33610	6.99%
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	6.81%
JP Morgan Chase Bank, National Associate 14201 Dallas PKWY Floor 12, Dallas, TX 75254	6.09%
Pershing LLC One Pershing Plaza, Jersey City, NJ 07399	5.72%

Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
TD Ameritrade Clearing, Inc 4211 South 102nd Street, Omaha, NE 68127-1031	14.31%
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	11.74%
Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. 50 Milk Street, Boston, MA 02109	11.68%
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	9.34%
Vanguard Marketing Corp. 100 Vanguard Blvd Malvern PA 19355	5.39%

Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	18.66%
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc. World Financial Center, North Tower, New York, NY 10080	12.78%

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	12.26%
Pershing LLC One Pershing Plaza, Jersey City, NJ 07399	6.68%
TD Ameritrade Clearing, Inc 4211 South 102nd Street, Omaha, NE 68127-1031	6.29%
Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. 50 Milk Street, Boston, MA 02109	5.24%

74

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

Market Vectors Steel ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	9.87%
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	9.54%
Citibank 3801 Citibank Center B/3RD Floor/Zone 12, Tampa, FL 33610	8.56%
UBS Financial Service Inc. 1200 Harbor Blvd, Weehawken NJ 07086	7.78%
TD Ameritrade Clearing, Inc 4211 South 102nd Street, Omaha, NE 68127-1031	6.28%
Goldman, Sachs & Co. 30 Hudson Street, Jersey City, NJ 07302	5.50%
Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC 1 Harborside Financial Center, Plaza II, Jersey City, NJ 07311	5.34%

Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	12.21%
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	12.00%
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc. World Financial Center, North Tower, New York, NY 10080	11.39%
Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC 1 Harborside Financial Center, Plaza II, Jersey City, NJ 07311	10.93%
Pershing LLC One Pershing Plaza, Jersey City, NJ 07399	8.03%
Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. 50 Milk Street, Boston, MA 02109	7.25%
TD Ameritrade Clearing, Inc 4211 South 102nd Street, Omaha, NE 68127-1031	7.04%

Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	14.19%
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	10.55%
Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. 50 Milk Street, Boston, MA 02109	10.44%
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc. World Financial Center, North Tower, New York, NY 10080	6.32%
	6.08%

Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC

1 Harborside Financial Center, Plaza II, Jersey City, NJ 07311

TD Ameritrade Clearing, Inc – 4211 South 102nd Street, Omaha, NE 68127-1031 5.45%

75

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

Market Vectors Vietnam ETF

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Percentage of Class of Fund Owned
Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. 50 Milk Street, Boston, MA 02109	14.72%
The Bank of New York Mellon/Mellon T 525 William Penn Place Suite 153-0400, Pittsburgh Patty Halsey 15259	8.40%
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104	7.71%
National Financial Services LLC 200 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281	7.53%
Citibank 3801 Citibank Center B/3RD Floor/Zone 12, Tampa, FL 33610	6.27%
State Street Bank and Trust Company 225 Franklin Street, Boston, MA 02110	5.18%
76	

TAXES

The following information also supplements and should be read in conjunction with the section in the each Fund's Prospectus entitled "Shareholder Information—Tax Information" and the section in this Statement of Additional Information entitled "Special Considerations and Risks." The following summary of certain relevant tax provisions is subject to change, and does not constitute legal or tax advice.

Each Fund intends to qualify for and to elect treatment as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code. As a RIC, each Fund will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax on the portion of its taxable investment income and capital gains that it distributes to its shareholders. To qualify for treatment as a RIC, a company must annually distribute at least 90% of its net investment company taxable income (which includes dividends, interest and net short-term capital gains) and meet several other requirements relating to the nature of its income and the diversification of its assets, among others. If a Fund fails to qualify for any taxable year as a RIC, all of its taxable income will be subject to tax at regular corporate income tax rates without any deduction for distributions to shareholders, and such distributions generally will be taxable to shareholders as ordinary dividends to the extent of the Fund's current and accumulated earnings and profits. The Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF has made an election to cause the Subsidiary to be treated as a disregarded entity or otherwise as a "pass-through" entity for U.S. federal tax purposes.

To the extent Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF invests directly in the A-share market, if the Fund does not receive approval from SAFE to repatriate funds associated with such direct investment on a timely basis, it may be unable to meet the distribution requirements required to qualify for the favorable tax treatment otherwise generally afforded to regulated investment companies under the Internal Revenue Code. Additionally, Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF will create a reserve account with respect to earnings on certain investments in China that are not currently subject to withholding tax.

Each Fund will be subject to a 4% excise tax on certain undistributed income if it does not distribute to its shareholders in each calendar year an amount at least equal to the sum of 98% of its ordinary income (taking into account certain deferrals and elections) for the calendar year, 98.2% of its capital gain net income for the twelve months ended October 31 of such year, and 100% of any undistributed amounts from the prior years. Each Fund generally intends to declare and distribute dividends and distributions in the amounts and at the times necessary to avoid the application of this 4% excise tax.

As a result of U.S. federal income tax requirements, the Trust on behalf of the Funds, has the right to reject an order for a creation of Shares if the creator (or group of creators) would, upon obtaining the Shares so ordered, own 80% or more of the outstanding Shares of a Fund and if, pursuant to Section 351 of the Internal Revenue Code, the Funds would have a basis in the Deposit Securities different from the market value of such securities on the date of deposit. The Trust also has the right to require information necessary to determine beneficial share ownership for purposes of the 80% determination. See "Creation and Redemption of Creation Units—Procedures for Creation of Creation Units."

Dividends, interest and gains received by a Fund from a non-U.S. investment may give rise to withholding and other taxes imposed by foreign countries. Tax conventions between certain countries and the United States may reduce or eliminate such taxes. If more than 50% of a Fund's total assets at the end of its taxable year consist of foreign stock or securities, the Fund may elect to "pass through" to its investors certain foreign income taxes paid by the Fund, with the result that each investor will (i) include in gross income, as an additional dividend, even though not actually received, the investor's pro rata share of the Fund's foreign income taxes, and (ii) either deduct (in calculating U.S. taxable income) or credit (in

calculating U.S. federal income), subject to certain holding period and other limitations, the investor's pro rata share of the Fund's foreign income taxes. Even if Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF is qualified to make that election and does so, however, this treatment will not apply with respect to amounts the Fund reserves in anticipation of the imposition of withholding taxes not currently in effect (as discussed above). If these amounts are used to pay any tax liability of Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF in a later year, they will be treated as paid by the stockholders in such later year, even if they are imposed with respect to income of an earlier year. It is expected that more than 50% of each Fund's assets except Market Vectors Global Alternative Energy ETF, Market Vectors Oil Services ETF and Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF will consist of foreign securities that are foreign-listed companies and/or foreign-domiciled companies.

Each Fund will report to shareholders annually the amounts of dividends received from ordinary income, the amount of distributions received from capital gains and the portion of dividends, if any, which may qualify for the dividends received deduction. Certain ordinary dividends paid to non-corporate shareholders may qualify for taxation at a lower tax rate applicable to long-term capital gains provided holding period and other requirements are met at both the shareholder and Fund levels.

In general, a sale of Shares results in capital gain or loss, and for individual shareholders, is taxable at a federal rate dependent upon the length of time the Shares were held. A redemption of a shareholder's Fund Shares is normally treated as a sale for tax purposes. Fund Shares held for a period of one year or less at the time of such sale or redemption will, for tax purposes, generally result in short-term capital gains or losses, and those held for more than one year will generally result in long-term capital gains or losses. The maximum tax rate on long-term capital gains available to a non-corporate shareholder generally is 15% or 20%, depending on whether the shareholder's income exceeds certain threshold amounts.

An additional 3.8% Medicare tax is imposed on certain net investment income (including ordinary dividends and capital gain distributions received from the Fund and net gains from redemptions or other taxable dispositions of Fund Shares) of U.S. individuals, estates and trusts to the extent that such person's "modified adjusted gross income" (in the case of an individual) or "adjusted gross income" (in the case of an estate or trust) exceeds certain threshold amounts.

Special tax rules may change the normal treatment of gains and losses recognized by a Fund if the Fund makes certain investments such as investments in structured notes, swaps, options, futures transactions and non-U.S. corporations classified as passive foreign investment companies ("PFICs"). Those special tax rules can, among other things, affect the treatment of capital gain or loss as long-term or short-term and may result in ordinary income or loss rather than capital gain or loss and may accelerate when the Fund has to take these items into account for tax purposes. Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF's investments in swaps and other derivative instruments may generally be less tax-efficient than a direct investment in A-shares. Furthermore, Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF may be required to periodically adjust its positions in these swaps or derivatives to comply with certain regulatory requirements which may further cause these investments to be less efficient than a direct investment in A-shares.

Certain Funds may make investments, both directly and/or through swaps or other derivative positions, in PFICs. Investments in PFICs are subject to special tax rules which may result in adverse tax consequences to a Fund and its

shareholders. To the extent a Fund invests in PFICs, it generally intends to elect to “mark to market” these investments at the end of each taxable year. By making this election, the Fund will recognize as ordinary income any increase in the value of such shares as of the close of the taxable year over their adjusted basis and as ordinary loss any decrease in such investment (but only to the extent of prior income from such investment under the mark to market rules).

Gains realized with respect

to a disposition of a PFIC that a Fund has elected to mark to market will be ordinary income. By making the mark to market election, a Fund may recognize income in excess of the distributions that it receives from its investments. Accordingly, a Fund may need to borrow money or dispose of some of its investments in order to meet its distribution requirements. If a Fund does not make the mark to market election with respect to an investment in a PFIC, the Fund could become subject to U.S. federal income tax with respect to certain distributions from, and gain on the dispositions of, the PFIC which cannot be avoided by distributing such amounts to the Fund's shareholders.

Gain or loss on the sale or redemption of Fund Shares is measured by the difference between the amount of cash received (or the fair market value of any property received) and the adjusted tax basis of the Shares. Shareholders should keep records of investments made (including Shares acquired through reinvestment of dividends and distributions) so they can compute the tax basis of their Fund Shares. Legislation passed by Congress requires reporting of adjusted cost basis information for covered securities, which generally include shares of a regulated investment company acquired after January 1, 2012, to the Internal Revenue Service and to taxpayers. Shareholders should contact their financial intermediaries with respect to reporting of cost basis and available elections for their accounts.

A loss realized on a sale or exchange of Shares of a Fund may be disallowed if other Fund Shares or substantially identical shares are acquired (whether through the automatic reinvestment of dividends or otherwise) within a sixty-one (61) day period beginning thirty (30) days before and ending thirty (30) days after the date that the Shares are disposed of. In such a case, the basis of the Shares acquired will be adjusted to reflect the disallowed loss. Any loss upon the sale or exchange of Shares held for six (6) months or less will be treated as long-term capital loss to the extent of any capital gain dividends received by the shareholders. Distribution of ordinary income and capital gains may also be subject to foreign, state and local taxes.

Each Fund may make investments in which it recognizes income or gain prior to receiving cash with respect to such investment. For example, under certain tax rules, a Fund may be required to accrue a portion of any discount at which certain securities are purchased as income each year even though the Fund receives no payments in cash on the security during the year. To the extent that a Fund makes such investments, it generally would be required to pay out such income or gain as a distribution in each year to avoid taxation at the Fund level.

Distributions reinvested in additional Fund Shares through the means of a dividend reinvestment service (see "Dividend Reinvestment Service") will nevertheless be taxable dividends to Beneficial Owners acquiring such additional Shares to the same extent as if such dividends had been received in cash.

Some shareholders may be subject to a withholding tax on distributions of ordinary income, capital gains and any cash received on redemption of Creation Units ("backup withholding"). The backup withholding rate for individuals is currently 28%. Generally, shareholders subject to backup withholding will be those for whom no certified taxpayer identification number is on file with a Fund or who, to the Fund's knowledge, have furnished an incorrect number. When establishing an account, an investor must certify under penalty of perjury that such number is correct and that such investor is not otherwise subject to backup withholding. Backup withholding is not an additional tax. Any amounts withheld will be allowed as a credit against shareholders' U.S. federal income tax liabilities, and may entitle

them to a refund, *provided* that the required information is timely furnished to the Internal Revenue Service.

Distributions of ordinary income paid to shareholders who are nonresident aliens or foreign entities will generally be subject to a 30% U.S. withholding tax unless a reduced rate of withholding or a

withholding exemption is provided under applicable treaty law. Prospective investors are urged to consult their tax advisors regarding such withholding.

For taxable years beginning before January 1, 2014 (unless further extended by Congress), properly designated dividends received by a nonresident alien or foreign entity are generally exempt from U.S. federal withholding tax when they (i) are paid in respect of the Fund's "qualified net interest income" (generally, the Fund's U.S. source interest income, reduced by expenses that are allocable to such income), or (ii) are paid in connection with the Fund's "qualified short-term capital gains" (generally, the excess of the Fund's net short-term capital gain over the Fund's long-term capital loss for such taxable year). However, depending on the circumstances, the Fund may designate all, some or none of the Fund's potentially eligible dividends as such qualified net interest income or as qualified short-term capital gains, and a portion of the Fund's distributions (*e.g.* interest from non-U.S. sources or any foreign currency gains) would be ineligible for this potential exemption from withholding. There can be no assurance as to whether or not legislation will be enacted to extend this exemption.

As part of the Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act, ("FATCA"), the Funds may be required to impose a 30% withholding tax on certain types of U.S. sourced income (*e.g.*, dividends, interest) paid effective July 1, 2014, and proceeds from the sale of property producing U.S. sourced income paid effective January 1, 2017 to (i) foreign financial institutions ("FFI's"), including non-U.S. investment funds, unless they agree to collect and disclose to the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") information regarding their direct and indirect U.S. account holders and (ii) certain nonfinancial foreign entities ("NFFE's"), unless they certify certain information regarding their direct and indirect U.S. owners. To avoid possible withholding, FFI's will need to enter into agreements with the IRS which state that they will provide the IRS information, including the names, account numbers and balances, addresses and taxpayer identification numbers of direct and indirect U.S. account holders and comply with due diligence procedures with respect to the identification of U.S. accounts as well as agree to withhold tax on certain types of withholdable payments made to non-compliant foreign financial institutions or to applicable foreign account holders who fail to provide the required information to the IRS, or similar account information and required documentation to a local revenue authority, should an applicable intergovernmental agreement be implemented. NFFE's will need to provide the name, address, account numbers and balances and taxpayer identification number of each substantial U.S. owner or certifications of no substantial U.S. ownership, unless certain exceptions apply, or agree to provide certain information to the IRS.

While final FATCA rules have not been finalized, the Funds may be subject to the FATCA withholding obligation, and also will be required to perform extensive due diligence reviews to classify foreign entity investors for FATCA purposes. If the Funds are required to withhold amounts from payments pursuant to FATCA, investors will receive distributions that are reduced by such withholding amounts.

Non-U.S. shareholders are advised to consult their tax advisors with respect to the particular tax consequences to them of an investment in the Fund, including the possible applicability of the U.S. estate tax.

The foregoing discussion is a summary only and is not intended as a substitute for careful tax planning. Purchasers of Shares of the Trust should consult their own tax advisers as to the tax consequences of investing in such Shares,

including under state, local and other tax laws. Finally, the foregoing discussion is based on applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, regulations, judicial authority and administrative interpretations in effect on the date hereof. Changes in applicable authority could materially affect the conclusions discussed above, and such changes often occur.

Reportable Transactions

Under promulgated Treasury regulations, if a shareholder recognizes a loss on disposition of a Fund's Shares of \$2 million or more in any one taxable year (or \$4 million or more over a period of six taxable years) for an individual shareholder or \$10 million or more in any taxable year (or \$20 million or more over a period of six taxable years) for a corporate shareholder, the shareholder must file with the IRS a disclosure statement on Form 8886. Direct shareholders of portfolio securities are in many cases excepted from this reporting requirement, but under current guidance, shareholders of a RIC that engaged in a reportable transaction are not excepted. Future guidance may extend the current exception from this reporting requirement to shareholders of most or all RICs. In addition, significant penalties may be imposed for the failure to comply with the reporting requirements. The fact that a loss is reportable under these regulations does not affect the legal determination of whether the taxpayer's treatment of the loss is proper. Shareholders should consult their tax advisors to determine the applicability of these regulations in light of their individual circumstances.

Mauritius and India Tax Matters (Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF Only)

Please note that the tax implications in this section are based on the current provisions of the tax laws, and the regulations thereunder, and the judicial and administrative interpretations thereof, which are subject to change or modification by subsequent legislative, regulatory, administrative or judicial decisions. Any such changes could have different tax implications. The Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF and the Subsidiary, as the case may be, and the Adviser accept no responsibility for any loss suffered by a holder of Shares as a result of current, or changes in, taxation law and practice.

Mauritius. The Subsidiary is regulated by the Financial Services Commission in Mauritius ("FSC") which has issued a Category 1 Global Business License ("GBL 1 License") to the Subsidiary to conduct the business of "investment holding" under the Financial Services Act 2007. The Subsidiary will annually apply for a tax residence certificate ("TRC"), which is issued on an annual basis, to the Mauritius Revenue Authority (the "MRA") through the FSC. The MRA will issue a TRC to the Subsidiary if the Subsidiary provides an undertaking to the MRA that it is and will be centrally managed and controlled in Mauritius. In order to satisfy the MRA that it is centrally managed and controlled in Mauritius, the Subsidiary must:

- (a) have at all times at least two (2) directors of appropriate caliber and able to exercise independence of mind and judgment, who are ordinarily resident in Mauritius;
- (b) maintain, at all times, its principal bank account in Mauritius;
- (c) keep and maintain, at all times, its accounting records in Mauritius;
- (d) prepare its statutory financial statements and cause its financial statements to be audited in Mauritius; and
- (e) have at least two (2) directors from Mauritius present in meetings of directors.

In addition to the above, the FSC has devised additional requirements when determining whether a company holding a GBL 1 License is “managed and controlled” in Mauritius (this by way of amendments brought to section 3 of chapter 4 of the Guide to Global Business (the ‘Guide’)). Holders of GBL 1 Licenses are expected to comply with the new “economic substance” requirement by January 1, 2015, and the FSC shall consider whether a corporation meets at least one of the following criteria:

81

- (a) the corporation has or shall have office premises in Mauritius; or
- (b) the corporation employs or shall employ on a full time basis at the administrative/technical level at least one person who shall be resident in Mauritius; or
- (c) the corporation's constitution contains a clause whereby all disputes arising out of the constitution shall be resolved by way of arbitration in Mauritius; or
- (d) the corporation holds or is expected to hold within the next twelve months, assets (excluding cash held in bank account or shares/interests in another corporation holding a GBL 1 License) which are worth at least United States Dollars 100,000 in Mauritius; or
- (e) the corporation's shares are listed on a securities exchange licensed by the FSC; or
- (f) the corporation has or is expected to have a yearly expenditure in Mauritius which can be reasonably expected from any similar corporation which is controlled and managed from Mauritius.

The Guide further provides that a corporation shall be deemed to have satisfied the additional "economic substance" requirements where a related corporation, that is a subsidiary, fellow subsidiary, a parent corporation or any other corporation within the same group structure, holding a GBL 1 License satisfies one of the "economic substance" criteria.

Under the current provisions of the Income Tax Act 1995 ("ITA 95"), a Mauritian company is taxed at the rate of 15% on its chargeable income. A company holding a GBL 1 License is entitled to claim a tax credit on foreign source income at a rate which is the higher of:

- (a) the actual foreign tax paid (including if the Mauritius company holds more than 5% of the issued capital of a company effecting a dividend distribution, a proportionate share of the foreign tax paid by such company) on such income; or
- (b) a deemed foreign tax representing 80% of the Mauritius tax on such income.

Section 2 of ITA 95 defines the term "foreign source income" as income which is not derived from Mauritius. This includes, in the case of a corporation holding a GBL 1 License, income derived from transactions with "non-residents." The ITA 95 has an extensive definition of "non-resident." The Fund expects to derive foreign source income only. Therefore, it will pay tax in Mauritius at an effective maximum rate of 3% on its taxable profits.

Under ITA 95, dividends paid to shareholders that do not otherwise derive income from Mauritius are not subject to Mauritius income tax. Moreover, there are no withholding taxes on dividends paid by a Mauritian resident company to its non-resident and resident shareholders. Distributions paid to shareholders following a redemption of shares are not subject to Mauritius income tax provided that the shareholder does not hold its shares in the course of trading activities. There is no Mauritius capital gains tax on the disposal of shares. Profits made from the disposal of securities in the course of trading activities may be liable to income tax at the applicable rate. Under ITA 95, interests paid by a corporation holding a GBL 1 License out of its foreign source income to non-residents that do not carry on any

business in Mauritius are not subject to Mauritius income tax.

India. The basis of charge of Indian income tax depends upon the residential status of the taxpayer during a tax year, as well as the nature of the income earned. The Indian tax year runs from April

82

1 until March 31. A person who is an Indian tax resident is subject to taxation in India on worldwide income and subject to certain tax exemptions, which are afforded under the provisions of the India Income Tax Act, 1961 (“ITA”). A person who is treated as a non-resident for Indian income tax purposes is generally subject to tax in India only on such person’s Indian-sourced income. A company will be subject to taxation in India only if it is a resident of India or being a non-resident, has an Indian source of income or has income received (whether accrued or otherwise) in India.

The taxation of the Subsidiary in India is governed by the provisions of the ITA, read with the provisions of the Treaty. As per Section 90(2) of the ITA, the provisions of the ITA would apply to the extent they are more beneficial than the provisions of the Treaty. In order to claim the beneficial provisions of the Treaty, the Subsidiary must be a tax resident of Mauritius. In light of Circular No. 789 dated April 13, 2000, issued by the Central Board of Direct Taxes, the Subsidiary would be eligible for the benefits under the Treaty if it is incorporated in Mauritius and has been issued a TRC by the MRA. Thus, the Subsidiary will seek a Mauritius TRC. The Supreme Court of India has upheld the validity of Circular 789 and accordingly, the Subsidiary should be eligible for the benefits under the Treaty. However, the Supreme Court of India held that the existence of a tax residency certificate does not prevent the tax authorities from examining special contracts, agreements or arrangements effected by Indian residents or overseas companies if it is established that the Mauritius-based entity has been interposed as the owner of the shares in India solely with a view to avoid tax without any commercial substance at the time of disposal of the shares to a third party. Proposed legislation (“2013 Finance Bill”) proposes to amend the domestic India tax laws to provide that a valid tax residency certificate “shall be a necessary but not a sufficient condition” to claim tax treaty benefits. While no criterion has been prescribed in the 2013 Finance Bill to determine what constitutes a “sufficient condition,” statements have been made by the Finance Minister that only persons having “beneficial ownership” of assets would be eligible to claim tax treaty benefits.

The Subsidiary is expected to have income in the form of gains on sale of capital assets, income from dividends and income from interest. The tax consequences for the Subsidiary on account of the application of the Treaty, read with the provisions of the ITA, and provided the Subsidiary does not have a permanent establishment in India would be as follows (all rates are exclusive of applicable surcharges and excess, if any):

- (i) Capital gains resulting from the sale of Indian securities (including Foreign Currency Convertible Bonds (“FCCBs”)) or Global Depository Receipts (“GDRs”) or American Depository Receipts (“ADRs”) issued by Indian companies will not be subject to tax in India;
- (ii) Dividends on shares received from an Indian company on which dividend distribution tax has been paid is exempt from tax in the hands of the shareholders. However, the Indian company distributing dividends is subject to a distribution tax at the rate of 15% in the hands of the Subsidiary;
- (iii) Interest income from loans made in Indian Rupees will be taxed at a rate of 42% on a net basis. Interest income from loans made or debt securities held in India will be taxed at the rate of 20%. However if such interest arises out of FCCBs held by the Subsidiary then such interest shall be taxed at the rate of 10%;

In light of some recent judicial precedents in India, the gains arising on disposal of shares or securities could be characterized by the tax authorities as business income and not as capital gains. As per the provisions of the Treaty, if the gains arising on sale of shares or securities are characterized as

business income, the same would be taxable in India only if the Fund has a permanent establishment in India.

In the event that the benefits of the Treaty are not available to the Subsidiary, or the Subsidiary is held to have a permanent establishment in India, taxation of interest and dividend income of the Subsidiary would be the same as described above. The taxation of capital gains would be as follows:

(i) Capital gains from the sale of listed Indian securities held for twelve months or less will be taxed as short-term capital gains at the rate of 15%, provided the Securities Transaction Tax (“STT”) (as discussed below) has been paid;

(ii) Capital gains from the sale of listed Indian securities held for more than twelve months will be exempt from tax in India provided the STT has been paid;

(iii) Capital gains from the sale of listed Indian securities not executed on the stock exchange or unlisted securities held for twelve months or less will be taxed at the rate of 30% and those held for more than twelve months shall be taxed at the rate of 10%;

(iv) Capital gains arising from the transfer of FCCBs, GDRs or ADRs outside India between nonresident investors, will not be subject to tax in India;

(v) Gains from the disposal of shares acquired on redemption of GDRs or ADRs are treated as short-term if such shares are held for less than or equal to 12 months prior to disposal and long term if such shares are held for more than 12 months prior to disposal. Short term gains will be taxed at the rate of 15% provided STT (as discussed below) has been paid. Long term gains will be exempt from tax if STT has been paid.

Minimum Alternative Tax

In the event the benefits of the Treaty are not available to the Subsidiary and the Subsidiary is held to have a permanent establishment in India, then the Subsidiary may be subject to minimum alternative tax (“MAT”). As per the ITA, if the tax payable by a company (including a foreign fund) is less than 18.5% of its book profits, it will be required to pay MAT which will be deemed to be 18.5% of such book profits. Long-term capital gains on the sale of listed securities are included in the definition of “book profits” for the purposes of calculating MAT.

Securities Transaction Tax

The exemption for long term capital gains and the reduction of the rate on short term capital gains are applicable only if the sale or transfer of the equity shares takes place on a recognized stock exchange in India and the Securities Transaction Tax (“STT”) is collected by the respective stock exchanges at the applicable rates on the transaction value.

The Subsidiary will also be liable to pay STT in respect of dealings in Indian securities purchased or sold on the Indian stock exchanges. The applicable rates of STT are as follows:

0.125% on the purchase of equity shares in a company or units of equity oriented funds in a recognized stock exchange in India.

0.125% on the sale of equity shares in a company or units of equity oriented funds in a recognized stock exchange in India.

·0.025% on the sale of equity shares in a company or units of equity oriented funds in a recognized stock exchange in India where the contract for sale is settled otherwise than by the actual delivery or transfer of shares or units.

·0.017% on the sale of derivatives in a recognized stock exchange in India.

·0.25% on the sale of units of an equity oriented fund to the Fund.

PRC Taxation (Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF Only)

The Fund's investments in A-shares will be subject to a number of PRC tax rules and the application of many of those rules is at present uncertain. PRC taxes that may apply to the Fund's investments include withholding taxes on dividends and interest earned by the Fund, withholding taxes on capital gains, business tax and stamp tax. Specific rules governing taxes on capital gains derived by RQFIIs and QFIIs from the trading of PRC securities have yet to be announced. In the absence of specific rules, the tax treatment of the Fund's investments in A-shares through the Sub-Adviser's RQFII quota should be governed by the general PRC tax provisions and provisions applicable to RQFIIs. Under these provisions, the Fund is generally subject to a tax of 10% on any dividends, distributions and interest it receives from its investment in PRC securities. A nonresident enterprise is subject to withholding tax at a rate of 10% on its capital gains. Withholding taxes on dividends, interest and capital gains may be taxed at a reduced rate under an applicable tax treaty, but the application of such treaties for an RQFII acting on behalf of a foreign investor (i.e., the Sub-Adviser acting on behalf of the Fund) is also uncertain. It is also unclear how China's business tax may apply to activities of an RQFII such as the Sub-Adviser and how such application may be affected by tax treaty provisions. While it is unclear whether this tax will be applied to investments by an RQFII or what the methodology for calculating or collecting the tax will be, the PRC's Ministry of Finance announced that, effective November 17, 2014, the corporate income tax for QFIIs and RQFIIs, with respect to capital gains, will be temporarily lifted for a period of three years. The current PRC tax laws and regulations and interpretations thereof may be revised or amended in the future, including with respect to the possible liability of the Fund for obligations of the Sub-Adviser. Any revision or amendment in tax laws and regulations may adversely affect the Fund. The Fund, prior to December 22, 2014, reserved 10% of its realized and unrealized gains from its A-share investments to apply towards withholding tax liability with respect to realized and unrealized gains from the Fund's investments in A-shares of "land-rich" enterprises, which are companies that have greater than 50% of their assets in land or real properties in the PRC. If the PRC begins applying tax rules regarding the taxation of capital gains from A-shares investment to RQFIIs, such as the Sub-Adviser, and/or begins collecting capital gains taxes on such investments, the Fund could be subject to withholding tax liability. The impact of any such tax liability on the Fund's return could be substantial. The Fund may also be liable to the Sub-Adviser for any tax that is imposed on the Sub-Adviser by the PRC with respect to the Fund's investments. If the Fund's direct investments in A-shares through the Sub-Adviser's RQFII quota become subject to repatriation restrictions, the Fund may be unable to satisfy distribution requirements applicable to RICs under the Internal Revenue Code, and be subject to tax at the Fund level.

Egyptian Tax

Egypt has imposed a 10% tax on dividends and capital gains derived from investments in an issuer resident of Egypt. Effective July 18, 2014, each of Market Vectors Africa Index ETF and Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF will begin reserving 10% of its unrealized gains from its Egyptian investments to meet this tax liability. The tax reserve is reflected in each of Market Vectors Africa Index ETF's and Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF's daily NAV calculations

as a deduction from the Fund's NAV. Egyptian tax laws and regulations and interpretations thereof may be revised or amended in the future and may be applied retroactively.

CAPITAL STOCK AND SHAREHOLDER REPORTS

The Trust currently is comprised of 55 investment funds. The Trust issues Shares of beneficial interest with no par value. The Board may designate additional funds of the Trust.

Each Share issued by the Trust has a pro rata interest in the assets of the corresponding Fund. Shares have no pre-emptive, exchange, subscription or conversion rights and are freely transferable. Each Share is entitled to participate equally in dividends and distributions declared by the Board with respect to the relevant Fund, and in the net distributable assets of such Fund on liquidation. A Fund may liquidate and terminate at any time and for any reason, including as a result of the termination of the license agreement between the Adviser and the Index Provider, without shareholder approval.

Each Share has one vote with respect to matters upon which a shareholder vote is required consistent with the requirements of the 1940 Act and the rules promulgated thereunder and each fractional Share has a proportional fractional vote. Shares of all funds vote together as a single class except that if the matter being voted on affects only a particular fund it will be voted on only by that fund, and if a matter affects a particular fund differently from other funds, that fund will vote separately on such matter. Under Delaware law, the Trust is not required to hold an annual meeting of shareholders unless required to do so under the 1940 Act. The policy of the Trust is not to hold an annual meeting of shareholders unless required to do so under the 1940 Act. All Shares of the Trust have noncumulative voting rights for the election of Trustees. Under Delaware law, Trustees of the Trust may be removed by vote of the shareholders.

Under Delaware law, the shareholders of a Fund are not generally subject to liability for the debts or obligations of the Trust. Similarly, Delaware law provides that a Fund will not be liable for the debts or obligations of any other series of the Trust. However, no similar statutory or other authority limiting statutory trust shareholder liability may exist in other states. As a result, to the extent that a Delaware statutory trust or a shareholder is subject to the jurisdiction of courts of such other states, the courts may not apply Delaware law and may thereby subject the Delaware statutory trust's shareholders to liability for the debts or obligations of the Trust. The Trust's Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust (the "Declaration of Trust") provides for indemnification by the relevant Fund for all loss suffered by a shareholder as a result of an obligation of the Fund. The Declaration of Trust also provides that a Fund shall, upon request, assume the defense of any claim made against any shareholder for any act or obligation of the Fund and satisfy any judgment thereon.

The Trust will issue through DTC Participants to its shareholders semi-annual reports containing unaudited financial statements and annual reports containing financial statements audited by an independent auditor approved by the Trust's Trustees and by the shareholders when meetings are held and such other information as may be required by applicable laws, rules and regulations. Beneficial Owners also receive annually notification as to the tax status of the Trust's distributions.

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

Shareholder inquiries may be made by writing to the Trust, c/o Van Eck Associates Corporation, 335 Madison Avenue, 19th Floor, New York, New York 10017.

COUNSEL AND INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Dechert LLP, 1095 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10036, is counsel to the Trust and has passed upon the validity of each Fund's Shares.

Ernst & Young LLP, 5 Times Square, New York, New York 10036, is the Trust's independent registered public accounting firm and audits the Funds' financial statements and performs other related audit services.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The audited financial statements of each Fund, including the financial highlights, and the report of Ernst & Young LLP, appearing in the Trust's Annual Report to shareholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 and filed electronically with the SEC, are incorporated by reference and made part of this SAI. You may request a copy of the Trust's Annual Report and Semi-Annual Report for the Funds at no charge by calling 1.888.MKT.VCTR (658-8287) during normal business hours.

LICENSE AGREEMENTS AND DISCLAIMERS

The information contained herein regarding the NYSE Arca Gold Miners Index (the “Gold Miners Index”) and NYSE Arca Steel Index (the “Steel Index”) was obtained from Archipelago Holdings Inc., an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of NYSE.

The Gold Miners Index, a trademark of NYSE, is licensed for use by the Adviser in connection with Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF. NYSE neither sponsors nor endorses Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF and makes no warranty or representation as to the accuracy and/or completeness of the Gold Miners Index or results to be obtained by any person from using the Gold Miners Index in connection with trading Market Vectors Gold Miners ETF.

The Steel Index, a trademark of NYSE, is licensed for use by the Adviser in connection with Market Vectors Steel ETF. NYSE neither sponsors nor endorses Market Vectors Steel ETF and makes no warranty or representation as to the accuracy and/or completeness of the Steel Index or the results to be obtained by any person from the using the Steel Index in connection with trading Market Vectors Steel ETF.

EACH OF THE GOLD MINERS INDEX AND STEEL INDEX IS BASED ON EQUITY SECURITIES OF PUBLIC COMPANIES SELECTED FROM THE UNIVERSE OF ALL U.S. TRADED STOCKS AND AMERICAN DEPOSITORY RECEIPTS AND CLASSIFIED AS APPROPRIATE FOR INCLUSION BY THE NYSE.

THE SHARES OF EACH OF MARKET VECTORS GOLD MINERS ETF AND MARKET VECTORS STEEL ETF ARE NOT SPONSORED, ENDORSED, SOLD OR PROMOTED BY NYSE. NYSE, AS INDEX COMPILATION AGENT (THE “INDEX COMPILATION AGENT”), MAKES NO REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, TO THE OWNERS OF SHARES OF MARKET VECTORS GOLD MINERS ETF AND MARKET VECTORS STEEL ETF OR ANY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC REGARDING THE ADVISABILITY OF INVESTING IN SECURITIES GENERALLY OR IN THE SHARES OF MARKET VECTORS GOLD MINERS ETF AND MARKET VECTORS STEEL ETF PARTICULARLY OR THE ABILITY OF THE GOLD MINERS INDEX AND STEEL INDEX TO TRACK STOCK MARKET PERFORMANCE. NYSE IS THE LICENSOR OF CERTAIN TRADEMARKS, SERVICE MARKS AND TRADE NAMES, INCLUDING THE GOLD MINERS INDEX AND STEEL INDEX. EACH OF THE GOLD MINERS INDEX AND STEEL INDEX IS DETERMINED, COMPOSED AND CALCULATED WITHOUT REGARD TO THE SHARES OF MARKET VECTORS GOLD MINERS ETF AND MARKET VECTORS STEEL ETF OR THE ISSUER THEREOF. THE INDEX COMPILATION AGENT IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR, NOR HAS IT PARTICIPATED IN, THE DETERMINATION OF THE TIMING OF, PRICES AT, OR QUANTITIES OF THE SHARES OF MARKET VECTORS GOLD MINERS ETF AND MARKET VECTORS STEEL ETF TO BE ISSUED OR IN THE DETERMINATION OR CALCULATION OF THE EQUATION BY WHICH THE SHARES ARE REDEEMABLE. THE INDEX COMPILATION AGENT HAS NO OBLIGATION OR LIABILITY TO OWNERS OF SHARES OF MARKET VECTORS GOLD MINERS ETF AND MARKET VECTORS STEEL ETF IN CONNECTION WITH THE ADMINISTRATION, MARKETING OR TRADING OF THE SHARES OF MARKET VECTORS GOLD MINERS ETF AND MARKET VECTORS STEEL ETF.

ALTHOUGH THE INDEX COMPILATION AGENT SHALL OBTAIN INFORMATION FOR INCLUSION IN OR FOR USE IN THE CALCULATION OF THE GOLD MINERS INDEX AND STEEL INDEX FROM SOURCES WHICH IT CONSIDERS RELIABLE, THE INDEX COMPILATION AGENT DOES NOT GUARANTEE THE ACCURACY AND/OR THE COMPLETENESS OF THE COMPONENT DATA OF THE GOLD MINERS INDEX AND STEEL

89

INDEX OBTAINED FROM INDEPENDENT SOURCES. THE INDEX COMPILATION AGENT MAKES NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY THE TRUST AS SUB-LICENSEE, THE ADVISER'S CUSTOMERS AND COUNTERPARTIES, OWNERS OF SHARES OF MARKET VECTORS GOLD MINERS ETF AND MARKET VECTORS STEEL ETF, OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR ENTITY FROM THE USE OF EACH OF THE GOLD MINERS INDEX AND STEEL INDEX OR ANY DATA INCLUDED THEREIN IN CONNECTION WITH THE RIGHTS LICENSED AS DESCRIBED HEREIN OR FOR ANY OTHER USE. THE INDEX COMPILATION AGENT MAKES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, AND HEREBY EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE WITH RESPECT TO EACH OF THE GOLD MINERS INDEX AND STEEL INDEX OR ANY DATA INCLUDED THEREIN. WITHOUT LIMITING ANY OF THE FOREGOING, IN NO EVENT SHALL THE INDEX COMPILATION AGENT HAVE ANY LIABILITY FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL, PUNITIVE, CONSEQUENTIAL OR ANY OTHER DAMAGES (INCLUDING LOST PROFITS) EVEN IF NOTIFIED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

The information contained herein regarding the Ardour Global IndexSM (Extra Liquid) (the "Ardour Global Index") was provided by Ardour Global Indexes LLC ("Ardour").

THE SHARES OF MARKET VECTORS GLOBAL ALTERNATIVE ENERGY ETF ARE NOT SPONSORED, ENDORSED, SOLD OR PROMOTED BY ARDOUR. ARDOUR MAKES NO REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, TO THE OWNERS OF SHARES OF MARKET VECTORS GLOBAL ALTERNATIVE ENERGY ETF OR ANY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC REGARDING THE ADVISABILITY OF INVESTING IN SECURITIES GENERALLY OR IN THE SHARES OF MARKET VECTORS GLOBAL ALTERNATIVE ENERGY ETF PARTICULARLY OR THE ABILITY OF ARDOUR GLOBAL INDEX TO TRACK THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PHYSICAL COMMODITIES MARKET.

ARDOUR DOES NOT GUARANTEE THE ACCURACY AND/OR THE COMPLETENESS OF THE ARDOUR GLOBAL INDEX OR ANY DATA INCLUDED THEREIN AND ARDOUR SHALL HAVE NO LIABILITY FOR ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, OR INTERRUPTIONS THEREIN. ARDOUR MAKES NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY THE ADVISER, OWNERS OF SHARES OF MARKET VECTORS GLOBAL ALTERNATIVE ENERGY ETF OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR ENTITY FROM THE USE OF THE ARDOUR GLOBAL INDEX OR ANY DATA INCLUDED THEREIN. ARDOUR MAKES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, AND EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE WITH RESPECT TO THE ARDOUR GLOBAL INDEX OR ANY DATA INCLUDED THEREIN. WITHOUT LIMITING ANY OF THE FOREGOING, IN NO EVENT SHALL ARDOUR HAVE ANY LIABILITY FOR ANY SPECIAL, PUNITIVE, INDIRECT, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING LOST PROFITS), EVEN IF NOTIFIED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

"ARDOUR GLOBAL INDEXES, LLCSM", "ARDOUR GLOBAL INDEXSM (COMPOSITE)", "ARDOUR COMPOSITESM", "ARDOUR GLOBAL INDEXSM (EXTRA LIQUID)", "ARDOUR XL", "ARDOUR GLOBAL ALTERNATIVE ENERGY INDEXESSM" AND "ARDOUR FAMILYSM" ARE SERVICE MARKS OF ARDOUR AND HAVE BEEN LICENSED FOR USE BY THE ADVISER. THE SHARES OF MARKET VECTORS GLOBAL ALTERNATIVE ENERGY ETF ARE NOT SPONSORED, ENDORSED, SOLD OR PROMOTED BY ARDOUR

AND ARDOUR MAKES NO REPRESENTATION REGARDING THE ADVISABILITY OF INVESTING IN THE SHARES OF MARKET VECTORS GLOBAL ALTERNATIVE ENERGY ETF.

THE ARDOUR GLOBAL INDEX IS CALCULATED BY DOW JONES INDEXES, A BUSINESS UNIT OF DOW JONES & COMPANY, INC. (“DOW JONES”). THE SHARES OF MARKET VECTORS GLOBAL ALTERNATIVE ENERGY ETF ARE BASED ON THE ARDOUR GLOBAL INDEX AND ARE NOT SPONSORED, ENDORSED, SOLD OR PROMOTED BY DOW JONES INDEXES, AND DOW JONES INDEXES MAKES NO REPRESENTATION REGARDING THE ADVISABILITY OF INVESTING IN THE SHARES OF MARKET VECTORS GLOBAL ALTERNATIVE ENERGY ETF.

DOW JONES, ITS AFFILIATES, SOURCES AND DISTRIBUTION AGENTS (COLLECTIVELY, THE “INDEX CALCULATION AGENT”) SHALL NOT BE LIABLE TO MARKET VECTORS GLOBAL ALTERNATIVE ENERGY ETF, ANY CUSTOMER OR ANY THIRD PARTY FOR ANY LOSS OR DAMAGE, DIRECT, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL, ARISING FROM (I) ANY INACCURACY OR INCOMPLETENESS IN, OR DELAYS, INTERRUPTIONS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS IN THE DELIVERY OF THE ARDOUR GLOBAL INDEX OR ANY DATA RELATED THERETO (THE “INDEX DATA”) OR (II) ANY DECISION MADE OR ACTION TAKEN BY MARKET VECTORS GLOBAL ALTERNATIVE ENERGY ETF, ANY CUSTOMER OR THIRD PARTY IN RELIANCE UPON THE INDEX DATA. THE INDEX CALCULATION AGENT DOES NOT MAKE ANY WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, TO MARKET VECTORS GLOBAL ALTERNATIVE ENERGY ETF, ANY OF ITS CUSTOMERS OR ANY ONE ELSE REGARDING THE INDEX DATA, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY WARRANTIES WITH RESPECT TO THE TIMELINESS, SEQUENCE, ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, CURRENTNESS, MERCHANTABILITY, QUALITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR ANY WARRANTIES AS TO THE RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY MARKET VECTORS GLOBAL ALTERNATIVE ENERGY ETF, ANY OF THEIR CUSTOMERS OR OTHER PERSON IN CONNECTION WITH THE USE OF THE INDEX DATA. THE INDEX CALCULATION AGENT SHALL NOT BE LIABLE TO MARKET VECTORS GLOBAL ALTERNATIVE ENERGY ETF, THEIR CUSTOMERS OR OTHER THIRD PARTIES FOR LOSS OF BUSINESS REVENUES, LOST PROFITS OR ANY INDIRECT, CONSEQUENTIAL, SPECIAL OR SIMILAR DAMAGES WHATSOEVER, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, TORT OR OTHERWISE, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

The information contained herein regarding The *Rogers*TM-Van Eck Natural Resources Index (the “Natural Resources Index”) was provided by S-Network Global Indexes, LLC (“S-Network”).

S-NetworkSM is a service mark of S-Network and has been licensed for use by the Adviser in connection with Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF. The Shares of Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF is not sponsored, endorsed, sold or promoted by S-Network, which makes no representation regarding the advisability of investing in the Shares of Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF.

The Shares of Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF are not sponsored, endorsed, sold or promoted by S-Network. S-Network makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, to the owners of Shares of Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF or any member of the public regarding the advisability of investing in securities generally or in the Shares of Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF particularly or the ability of the Natural Resources Index to track the performance of the physical commodities market. S-Network’s only relationship to the Adviser is the licensing of certain service marks and trade names and of the Natural Resources Index that is determined, composed and calculated by S-Network without regard to the Adviser or the Shares of Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF. S-Network has no obligation to take the needs of the Adviser or the owners of Shares of Market Vectors Natural

Resources ETF into consideration in determining, composing or calculating the Natural Resources Index. S-Network is not responsible for and has not participated in the determination of the

timing of, prices at, or quantities of the Shares of Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF to be issued or in the determination or calculation of the equation by which the Shares of Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF are to be converted into cash. S-Network has no obligation or liability in connection with the administration, marketing or trading of the Shares of Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF.

S-NETWORK DOES NOT GUARANTEE THE ACCURACY AND/OR THE COMPLETENESS OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES INDEX OR ANY DATA INCLUDED THEREIN AND S-NETWORK SHALL HAVE NO LIABILITY FOR ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, OR INTERRUPTIONS THEREIN. S-NETWORK MAKES NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY THE ADVISER, OWNERS OF SHARES OF MARKET VECTORS NATURAL RESOURCES ETF, OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR ENTITY FROM THE USE OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES INDEX OR ANY DATA INCLUDED THEREIN. S-NETWORK MAKES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, AND EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE WITH RESPECT TO THE NATURAL RESOURCES INDEX OR ANY DATA INCLUDED THEREIN. WITHOUT LIMITING ANY OF THE FOREGOING, IN NO EVENT SHALL S-NETWORK HAVE ANY LIABILITY FOR ANY SPECIAL, PUNITIVE, INDIRECT, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING LOST PROFITS), EVEN IF NOTIFIED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

The Shares of Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF are not sponsored, endorsed, sold or promoted by S&P or its third party licensors. Neither S&P nor its third party licensors make any representation or warranty, express or implied, to the owners of Shares of Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF or any member of the public regarding the advisability of investing in securities generally or in the Shares of Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF particularly or the ability of the Natural Resources Index to track general stock market performance. S&P's and its third party licensor's only relationship to S-Network is the licensing of certain trademarks, service marks and trade names of S&P and/or its third party licensors and for the providing of calculation and maintenance services related to The *Rogers*TM-Van Eck Natural Resources Index. Neither S&P nor its third party licensors is responsible for and has not participated in the determination of the prices and amount of the Shares of Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF or the timing of the issuance or sale of the Shares of Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF or in the determination or calculation of the equation by which the Shares of Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF is to be converted into cash. S&P has no obligation or liability in connection with the administration, marketing or trading of the Shares of Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF.

NEITHER S&P, ITS AFFILIATES NOR THEIR THIRD PARTY LICENSORS GUARANTEE THE ADEQUACY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS OR COMPLETENESS OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES INDEX OR ANY DATA INCLUDED THEREIN OR ANY COMMUNICATIONS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ORAL OR WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS (INCLUDING ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS) WITH RESPECT THERETO. S&P, ITS AFFILIATES AND THEIR THIRD PARTY LICENSORS SHALL NOT BE SUBJECT TO ANY DAMAGES OR LIABILITY FOR ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS OR DELAYS THEREIN. S&P MAKES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, AND EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE WITH RESPECT TO ITS TRADEMARKS, THE INDEX OR ANY DATA INCLUDED THEREIN. WITHOUT LIMITING ANY OF THE FOREGOING, IN NO EVENT WHATSOEVER SHALL S&P, ITS AFFILIATES OR THEIR THIRD PARTY LICENSORS BE LIABLE FOR ANY INDIRECT, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, PUNITIVE OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, LOSS OF PROFITS, TRADING LOSSES, LOST TIME OR GOODWILL, EVEN IF THEY HAVE BEEN

ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, TORT, STRICT LIABILITY OR OTHERWISE.

Standard & Poor's® and S&P® are registered trademarks of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.; "Calculated by S&P Custom Indices" and its related stylized mark are service marks of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. These marks have been licensed for use by S-Network.

"Jim Rogers," "James Beeland Rogers, Jr." and "Rogers" are trademarks, service marks and/or registered trademarks of Beeland Interests, Inc. ("Beeland Interests"), which is owned and controlled by James Beeland Rogers, Jr., and are used subject to license. The personal names and likeness of Jim Rogers/James Beeland Rogers, Jr. are owned and licensed by James Beeland Rogers, Jr.

The Shares of Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF are not sponsored, endorsed, sold or promoted by Beeland Interests or James Beeland Rogers, Jr. Neither Beeland Interests nor James Beeland Rogers, Jr. makes any representation or warranty, express or implied, nor accepts any responsibility, regarding the accuracy or completeness of this Prospectus, or the advisability of investing in securities or commodities generally, or in the Shares of Market Vectors Natural Resources ETF or in futures particularly.

BEELAND INTERESTS AND ITS AFFILIATES SHALL NOT HAVE ANY LIABILITY FOR ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, OR INTERRUPTIONS, AND MAKES NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY OWNERS OF SHARES OF MARKET VECTORS NATURAL RESOURCES ETF, OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR ENTITY FROM THE USE OF THE ROGERS™-VAN ECK NATURAL RESOURCES INDEX. WITHOUT LIMITING ANY OF THE FOREGOING, IN NO EVENT SHALL BEELAND INTERESTS OR ANY OF ITS AFFILIATES HAVE ANY LIABILITY FOR ANY LOST PROFITS OR INDIRECT, PUNITIVE, SPECIAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES OR LOSSES, EVEN IF NOTIFIED OF THE POSSIBILITY THEREOF.

VAN ECK AND ITS AFFILIATES SHALL NOT HAVE ANY LIABILITY FOR ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, OR INTERRUPTIONS, AND MAKES NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED AS TO RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY OWNERS OF SHARES OF MARKET VECTORS NATURAL RESOURCES ETF, OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR ENTITY FROM THE USE OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES INDEX. WITHOUT LIMITING ANY OF THE FOREGOING, IN NO EVENT SHALL VAN ECK INTERESTS OR ANY OF ITS AFFILIATES HAVE ANY LIABILITY FOR ANY LOST PROFITS OR INDIRECT, PUNITIVE, SPECIAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES OR LOSSES, EVEN IF NOTIFIED OF THE POSSIBILITY THEREOF.

The information contained herein regarding the Africa Index, Agribusiness Index, the Brazil Small-Cap Index, the Coal Index, the Egypt Index, the Gulf States Index, the India Small-Cap Index, the Indonesia Index, the Indonesia Small-Cap Index, the Junior Gold Miners Index, the Nuclear Energy Index, the Oil & Gas Index, the Oil Services Index, the Poland Index, the Rare Earth/Strategic Metals Index, the Russia Index, the Russia Small-Cap Index, the

Solar Energy Index and the Vietnam Index was provided by MVIS.

The Shares of Market Vectors Africa Index ETF, Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF, Market Vectors Brazil Small Cap-ETF, Market Vectors Coal ETF, Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF, Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF, Market Vectors Oil Services ETF, Market Vectors Poland ETF, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF, Market Vectors Russia ETF, Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF,

Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF, Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF and Market Vectors Vietnam ETF are not sponsored, endorsed, sold or promoted by MVIS. MVIS makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, to the owners of Shares of Market Vectors Africa Index ETF, Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF, Market Vectors Brazil Small Cap-ETF, Market Vectors Coal ETF, Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF, Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF, Market Vectors Oil Services ETF, Market Vectors Poland ETF, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF, Market Vectors Russia ETF, Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF, Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF, Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF and Market Vectors Vietnam ETF or any member of the public regarding the advisability of investing in securities generally or in the Shares of Market Vectors Africa Index ETF, Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF, Market Vectors Brazil Small Cap-ETF, Market Vectors Coal ETF, Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF, Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF, Market Vectors Oil Services ETF, Market Vectors Poland ETF, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF, Market Vectors Russia ETF, Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF, Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF, Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF and Market Vectors Vietnam ETF particularly or the ability of the Africa Index, Agribusiness Index, the Brazil Small-Cap Index, the Coal Index, the Egypt Index, the Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF, the India Small-Cap Index, the Indonesia Index, the Indonesia Small-Cap Index, the Junior Gold Miners Index, the Nuclear Energy Index, the Oil & Gas Index, the Oil Services Index, the Poland Index, the Rare Earth/Strategic Metals Index, the Russia Index, the Russia Small-Cap Index, the Solar Energy Index and the Vietnam Index to track the performance of the relevant securities markets. The Africa Index, Agribusiness Index, the Brazil Small-Cap Index, the Coal Index, the Egypt Index, the Gulf States Index, the India Small-Cap Index, the Indonesia Index, the Indonesia Small-Cap Index, the Junior Gold Miners Index, the Nuclear Energy Index, the Oil & Gas Index, the Oil Services Index, the Poland Index, the Rare Earth/Strategic Metals Index, the Russia Index, the Russia Small-Cap Index, the Solar Energy Index and the Vietnam Index are determined and composed by MVIS without regard to the Adviser or the Shares of Market Vectors Africa Index ETF, Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF, Market Vectors Brazil Small Cap-ETF, Market Vectors Coal ETF, Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF, Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF, Market Vectors Oil Services ETF, Market Vectors Poland ETF, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF, Market Vectors Russia ETF, Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF, Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF, Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF and Market Vectors Vietnam ETF. MVIS has no obligation to take the needs of the Adviser or the owners of Shares of Market Vectors Africa Index ETF, Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF, Market Vectors Brazil Small Cap-ETF, Market Vectors Coal ETF, Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF, Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF, Market Vectors Oil Services ETF, Market Vectors Poland ETF, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF, Market Vectors Russia ETF, Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF, Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF, Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF and Market Vectors Vietnam ETF into consideration in determining or composing the Africa Index, the Agribusiness Index, the Brazil Small-Cap Index, the Coal Index, the Egypt Index, the Gulf States Index, the India Small-Cap Index, the Indonesia Index, the Indonesia Small-Cap Index, the Junior Gold Miners Index, the Nuclear Energy Index, the Oil & Gas Index, the Oil Services Index, the Poland Index, the Rare Earth/Strategic Metals Index, the Russia Index, the Russia Small-Cap Index, the Solar Energy Index and the Vietnam Index. MVIS is not responsible for and has not participated in the determination of the timing of, prices at, or quantities of the Shares of Market Vectors Africa Index ETF, Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF,

Market Vectors Brazil Small Cap-ETF, Market Vectors Coal ETF, Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF, Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF, Market Vectors Oil Services ETF, Market Vectors Poland ETF, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF, Market Vectors Russia ETF, Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF, Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF, Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF and Market Vectors Vietnam ETF to be issued or in the determination or calculation of the equation by which the Shares of Market Vectors Africa Index ETF, Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF, Market Vectors Brazil Small Cap-ETF, Market Vectors Coal ETF, Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF, Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF, Market Vectors Oil Services ETF, Market Vectors Poland ETF, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF, Market Vectors Russia ETF, Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF, Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF, Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF and Market Vectors Vietnam ETF are to be converted into cash. MVIS has no obligation or liability in connection with the administration, marketing or trading of the Shares of Market Vectors Africa Index ETF, Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF, Market Vectors Brazil Small Cap-ETF, Market Vectors Coal ETF, Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF, Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF, Market Vectors Oil Services ETF, Market Vectors Poland ETF, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF, Market Vectors Russia ETF, Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF, Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF, Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF and Market Vectors Vietnam ETF.

MVIS DOES NOT GUARANTEE THE ACCURACY AND/OR THE COMPLETENESS OF THE AFRICA INDEX, Agribusiness Index, the Brazil Small-Cap Index, the Coal Index, the Egypt Index, THE GULF STATES INDEX, the India Small-Cap Index, the Indonesia Index, the Indonesia Small-Cap Index, the Junior Gold Miners Index, THE NUCLEAR ENERGY INDEX, the Oil & Gas Index, the Oil Services Index, the Poland Index, the Rare Earth/Strategic Metals Index, the Russia Index, the Russia Small-Cap Index, the Solar Energy Index and the Vietnam Index OR ANY DATA INCLUDED THEREIN AND MVIS SHALL HAVE NO LIABILITY FOR ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS OR INTERRUPTIONS THEREIN. MVIS MAKES NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY THE ADVISER, OWNERS OF SHARES OF MARKET VECTORS AFRICA INDEX ETF, Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF, Market Vectors Brazil Small Cap-ETF, Market Vectors Coal ETF, Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF, MARKET VECTORS GULF STATES INDEX ETF, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF, Market Vectors Oil Services ETF, Market Vectors Poland ETF, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF, Market Vectors Russia ETF, Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF, Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF, MARKET VECTORS URANIUM+NUCLEAR ENERGY ETF and Market Vectors Vietnam ETF, OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR ENTITY FROM THE USE OF THE AFRICA INDEX, Agribusiness Index, the Brazil Small-Cap Index, the Coal Index, the Egypt Index, THE GULF STATES INDEX, the India Small-Cap Index, the Indonesia Index, the Indonesia Small-Cap Index, the Junior Gold Miners Index, THE NUCLEAR ENERGY INDEX, the Oil & Gas Index, the Oil Services Index, the Poland Index, the Rare Earth/Strategic Metals Index, the Russia Index, the Russia Small-Cap Index,

the Solar Energy Index and the Vietnam Index OR ANY DATA INCLUDED THEREIN. MVIS MAKES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, AND EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE WITH RESPECT TO THE AFRICA INDEX, Agribusiness Index, the Brazil Small-Cap Index, the Coal Index, the Egypt Index, THE GULF STATES INDEX, the India Small-Cap Index, the Indonesia Index, the Indonesia Small-Cap Index, the Junior Gold Miners Index, THE NUCLEAR ENERGY INDEX, the Oil & Gas Index, the Oil Services Index, the Poland Index, the Rare Earth/Strategic Metals Index, the Russia Index, the Russia Small-Cap Index, the Solar Energy Index and the Vietnam Index OR ANY DATA INCLUDED THEREIN. WITHOUT LIMITING ANY OF THE FOREGOING, IN NO EVENT SHALL MVIS HAVE ANY LIABILITY FOR ANY SPECIAL, PUNITIVE, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING LOST PROFITS), EVEN IF NOTIFIED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

The Shares of Market Vectors Africa Index ETF, Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF, Market Vectors Brazil Small Cap-ETF, Market Vectors Coal ETF, Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF, Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF, Market Vectors Oil Services ETF, Market Vectors Poland ETF, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF, Market Vectors Russia ETF, Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF, Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF, Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF and Market Vectors Vietnam ETF are not sponsored, promoted, sold or supported in any other manner by Solactive AG nor does Solactive AG offer any express or implicit guarantee or assurance either with regard to the results of using the Africa Index, Agribusiness Index, the Brazil Small-Cap Index, the Coal Index, the Egypt Index, the Gulf States Index, the India Small-Cap Index, the Indonesia Index, the Indonesia Small-Cap Index, the Junior Gold Miners Index, the Nuclear Energy Index, the Oil & Gas Index, the Oil Services Index, the Poland Index, the Rare Earth/Strategic Metals Index, the Russia Index, the Russia Small-Cap Index, the Solar Energy Index and the Vietnam Index and/or its trade mark or its price at any time or in any other respect. The Africa Index, the Agribusiness Index, the Brazil Small-Cap Index, the Coal Index, the Egypt Index, the Gulf States Index, the India Small-Cap Index, the Indonesia Index, the Indonesia Small-Cap Index, the Junior Gold Miners Index, the Nuclear Energy Index, the Oil & Gas Index, the Oil Services Index, the Poland Index, the Rare Earth/Strategic Metals Index, the Russia Index, the Russia Small-Cap Index, the Solar Energy Index and the Vietnam Index are calculated and maintained by Solactive AG. Solactive AG uses its best efforts to ensure that the Africa Index, the Agribusiness Index, the Brazil Small-Cap Index, the Coal Index, the Egypt Index, the Gulf States Index, the India Small-Cap Index, the Indonesia Index, the Indonesia Small-Cap Index, the Junior Gold Miners Index, the Nuclear Energy Index, the Oil & Gas Index, the Oil Services Index, the Poland Index, the Rare Earth/Strategic Metals Index, the Russia Index, the Russia Small-Cap Index, the Solar Energy Index and the Vietnam Index are calculated correctly. Irrespective of its obligations towards MVIS, Solactive AG has no obligation to point out errors in the Africa Index, the Agribusiness Index, the Brazil Small-Cap Index, the Coal Index, the Egypt Index, the Gulf States Index, the India Small-Cap Index, the Indonesia Index, the Indonesia Small-Cap Index, the Junior Gold Miners Index, the Nuclear Energy Index, the Oil & Gas Index, the Oil Services Index, the Poland Index, the Rare Earth/Strategic Metals Index, the Russia Index, the Russia Small-Cap Index, the Solar Energy Index and the Vietnam Index to third parties including but not limited to investors and/or financial intermediaries of Market Vectors Africa Index ETF, Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF, Market Vectors Brazil Small Cap-ETF, Market Vectors Coal ETF, Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF, Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF, Market Vectors Oil Services ETF, Market Vectors Poland ETF, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF, Market Vectors Russia ETF, Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap

ETF, Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF, Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF, Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF and Market Vectors Vietnam ETF. Neither publication of the Africa Index, the Agribusiness Index, the Brazil Small-Cap Index, the Coal Index, the Egypt Index, the Gulf States Index, the India Small-Cap Index, the Indonesia Index, the Indonesia Small-Cap Index, the Junior Gold Miners Index, the Nuclear Energy Index, the Oil & Gas Index, the Oil Services Index, the Poland Index, the Rare Earth/Strategic Metals Index, the Russia Index, the Russia Small-Cap Index, the Solar Energy Index and the Vietnam Index by Solactive AG nor the licensing of the Africa Index, the Agribusiness Index, the Brazil Small-Cap Index, the Coal Index, the Egypt Index, the Gulf States Index, the India Small-Cap Index, the Indonesia Index, the Indonesia Small-Cap Index, the Junior Gold Miners Index, the Nuclear Energy Index, the Oil & Gas Index, the Oil Services Index, the Poland Index, the Rare Earth/Strategic Metals Index, the Russia Index, the Russia Small-Cap Index, the Solar Energy Index and the Vietnam Index or its trade mark for the purpose of use in connection with the Fund constitutes a recommendation by Solactive AG to invest capital in Market Vectors Africa Index ETF, Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF, Market Vectors Brazil Small Cap-ETF, Market Vectors Coal ETF, Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF, Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF, Market Vectors Oil Services ETF, Market Vectors Poland ETF, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF, Market Vectors Russia ETF, Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF, Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF, Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF and Market Vectors Vietnam ETF nor does it in any way represent an assurance or opinion of Solactive AG with regard to any investment in Market Vectors Africa Index ETF, Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF, Market Vectors Brazil Small Cap-ETF, Market Vectors Coal ETF, Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF, Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF, Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF, Market Vectors Oil Services ETF, Market Vectors Poland ETF, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF, Market Vectors Russia ETF, Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF, Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF, Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF, Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF and Market Vectors Vietnam ETF. Solactive AG is not responsible for fulfilling the legal requirements concerning the accuracy and completeness of Market Vectors Africa Index ETF's, Market Vectors Agribusiness ETF's, Market Vectors Brazil Small Cap-ETF's, Market Vectors Coal ETF's, Market Vectors Egypt Index ETF's, Market Vectors Gulf States Index ETF's, Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF's, Market Vectors Indonesia Index ETF's, Market Vectors Indonesia Small-Cap ETF's, Market Vectors Junior Gold Miners ETF's, Market Vectors Oil Services ETF's, Market Vectors Poland ETF's, Market Vectors Rare Earth/Strategic Metals ETF's, Market Vectors Russia ETF's, Market Vectors Russia Small-Cap ETF's, Market Vectors Solar Energy ETF's, Market Vectors Unconventional Oil & Gas ETF's, Market Vectors Uranium+Nuclear Energy ETF's and Market Vectors Vietnam ETF's Prospectus.

The Market Vectors India Small-Cap Index ETF invests substantially all of its assets in the Subsidiary, SCIF Mauritius, a private company limited by shares incorporated in Mauritius. The Subsidiary is regulated by the Mauritius Financial Services Commission which has issued a Category 1 Global Business License to the Subsidiary to conduct the business of "investment holding." Neither investors in the Subsidiary nor investors in the Fund are protected by any statutory compensation arrangements in Mauritius in the event of the Subsidiary's or the Fund's failure.

The Mauritius Financial Services Commission does not vouch for the financial soundness of the Subsidiary or the Fund or for the correctness of any statements made or opinions expressed with regard to it in any offering document or other similar document of the Subsidiary or the Fund.

The information contained herein regarding the Bluestar Israel Global Index™ (the “Israel Index”) was obtained from BlueStar Global Investors, LLC (“BlueStar”). The Adviser has entered into a

licensing agreement with BlueStar to use the Israel Index. Market Vectors Israel ETF is entitled to use the Israel Index pursuant to a sub-licensing arrangement with the Adviser.

Market Vectors Israel ETF is not sponsored, endorsed, sold or promoted by BlueStar. BlueStar makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, to the shareholders of Market Vectors Israel ETF or any member of the public regarding the advisability of acquiring, bidding, investing or trading in Market Vectors Israel ETF. BlueStar has licensed to the Adviser certain trademarks and trade names of BlueStar and of the Israel Index which is determined, composed and calculated by BlueStar without regard to Adviser or Market Vectors Israel ETF and BlueStar has no obligation to take the needs of Adviser or the owners of Market Vectors Israel ETF into consideration in determining, composing or calculating the Israel Index. BlueStar is not responsible for and has not participated in the determination of the timing of, prices at, or quantities of Market Vectors Israel ETF. BlueStar has no obligation or liability in connection with the administration, marketing or trading of Market Vectors Israel ETF.

BLUESTAR DOES NOT GUARANTEE THE ACCURACY AND/OR THE COMPLETENESS OF THE ISRAEL INDEX OR ANY DATA INCLUDED THEREIN AND BLUESTAR SHALL HAVE NO LIABILITY FOR ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, OR INTERRUPTIONS THEREIN. BLUESTAR MAKES NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY THE ADVISER, OWNERS OF MARKET VECTORS ISRAEL ETF, OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR ENTITY FROM THE USE OF THE ISRAEL INDEX OR ANY DATA INCLUDED THEREIN OR FOR ANY OTHER USE. BLUESTAR MAKES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, AND EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE WITH RESPECT TO THE ISRAEL INDEX OR ANY DATA INCLUDED THEREIN, WITHOUT LIMITING ANY OF THE FOREGOING, IN NO EVENT SHALL BLUESTAR HAVE ANY LIABILITY FOR ANY LOST PROFITS OR DIRECT, INDIRECT, PUNITIVE, SPECIAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES OR ANY OTHER DAMAGES (INCLUDING LOST PROFITS), EVEN IF NOTIFIED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. THERE ARE NO THIRD PARTY BENEFICIARIES OF ANY AGREEMENTS OR ARRANGEMENTS BETWEEN BLUESTAR AND THE ADVISER.

The information contained herein regarding CSI 300 Index (the “CSI Index”) was provided by China Securities Index Co., Ltd. (“China Securities”).

Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF is neither sponsored nor promoted, distributed or in any other manner supported by China Securities. CSI Indices are compiled and calculated by China Securities. China Securities will apply all necessary means to ensure the accuracy of the CSI Index. However, neither China Securities nor the Shanghai Stock Exchange nor the Shenzhen Stock Exchange shall be liable (whether in negligence or otherwise) to any person for any error in the CSI Index and neither China Securities nor the Shanghai Stock Exchange nor the Shenzhen Stock Exchange shall be under any obligation to advise any person of any error therein. All copyright in CSI Index values and constituent lists vests in China Securities. Neither the publication of the CSI Index by China Securities nor the granting of a license regarding the CSI Index as well as the Index Trademark for the utilization in connection with Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF, which derived from the CSI Index, represents a recommendation by China Securities for a capital investment or contains in any manner a warranty or opinion by China Securities with respect to the attractiveness on an investment in Market Vectors ChinaAMC A-Share ETF.

APPENDIX A

VAN ECK GLOBAL PROXY VOTING POLICIES

Van Eck Global (the “Adviser”) has adopted the following policies and procedures which are reasonably designed to ensure that proxies are voted in a manner that is consistent with the best interests of its clients in accordance with its fiduciary duties and Rule 206(4)-6 under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. When an adviser has been granted proxy voting authority by a client, the adviser owes its clients the duties of care and loyalty in performing this service on their behalf. The duty of care requires the adviser to monitor corporate actions and vote client proxies. The duty of loyalty requires the adviser to cast the proxy votes in a manner that is consistent with the best interests of the client.

Rule 206(4)-6 also requires the Adviser to disclose information about the proxy voting procedures to its clients and to inform clients how to obtain information about how their proxies were voted. Additionally, Rule 204-2 under the Advisers Act requires the Adviser to maintain certain proxy voting records.

An adviser that exercises voting authority without complying with Rule 206(4)-6 will be deemed to have engaged in a “fraudulent, deceptive, or manipulative” act, practice or course of business within the meaning of Section 206(4) of the Advisers Act.

The Adviser intends to vote all proxies in accordance with applicable rules and regulations, and in the best interests of clients without influence by real or apparent conflicts of interest. To assist in its responsibility for voting proxies and the overall voting process, the Adviser has engaged an independent third party proxy voting specialist, Glass Lewis & Co., LLC. The services provided by Glass Lewis include in-depth research, global issuer analysis, and voting recommendations as well as vote execution, reporting and recordkeeping.

Resolving Material Conflicts of Interest

When a material conflict of interest exists, proxies will be voted in the following manner:

1. Strict adherence to the Glass Lewis guidelines , or
2. The potential conflict will be disclosed to the client:
 - a. with a request that the client vote the proxy,
 - b. with a recommendation that the client engage another party to determine how the proxy should be voted or if the foregoing are not acceptable to the client, disclosure of how Van Eck intends to vote and a written consent to
 - c. that vote by the client.

Any deviations from the foregoing voting mechanisms must be approved by the Chief Compliance Officer with a written explanation of the reason for the deviation.

A **material conflict of interest** means the existence of a business relationship between a portfolio company or an affiliate and the Adviser, any affiliate or subsidiary, or an “affiliated person” of a Van Eck mutual fund. Examples of when a material conflict of interest exists include a situation where the adviser provides significant investment advisory, brokerage or other services to a company whose management is soliciting proxies; an officer of the Adviser serves on the board of a charitable organization that receives charitable contributions from the portfolio company and the charitable organization is a client of the

Adviser; a portfolio company that is a significant selling agent of the Adviser's products and services solicits proxies; a broker-dealer or insurance company that controls 5% or more of the Adviser's assets solicits proxies; the Adviser serves as an investment adviser to the pension or other investment account of the portfolio company; the Adviser and the portfolio company have a lending relationship. In each of these situations voting against management may cause the Adviser a loss of revenue or other benefit.

Client Inquiries

All inquiries by clients as to how the Adviser has voted proxies must immediately be forwarded to Portfolio Administration.

Disclosure to Clients

1. Notification of Availability of Information

Client Brochure - The Client Brochure or Part II of Form ADV will inform clients that they can obtain information from the Adviser on how their proxies were voted. The Client Brochure or Part II of Form ADV will be mailed to each client annually. The Legal Department will be responsible for coordinating the mailing with Sales/Marketing Departments.

2. Availability of Proxy Voting Information

At the client's request or if the information is not available on the Adviser's website, a hard copy of the account's proxy votes will be mailed to each client.

Recordkeeping Requirements

1. Van Eck will retain the following documentation and information for each matter relating to a portfolio security with respect to which a client was entitled to vote:

- a. proxy statements received;
- b. identifying number for the portfolio security;
- c. shareholder meeting date;
- d. brief identification of the matter voted on;
- e. whether the vote was cast on the matter;
- f. how the vote was cast (e.g., for or against proposal, or abstain; for or withhold regarding election of directors);
- g. records of written client requests for information on how the Adviser voted proxies on behalf of the client; a copy of written responses from the Adviser to any written or oral client request for information on how the
- h. Adviser voted proxies on behalf of the client; and any documents prepared by the Adviser that were material to the decision on how to vote or that memorialized the basis for the decision, if such documents were prepared.

Copies of proxy statements filed on EDGAR, and proxy statements and records of proxy votes maintained with a third party (i.e., proxy voting service) need not be maintained. The third party must agree in writing to provide a copy of the documents promptly upon request.

3. If applicable, any document memorializing that the costs of voting a proxy exceed the benefit to the client or any other decision to refrain from voting, and that such abstention was in the client's best interest.

100

Proxy voting records will be maintained in an easily accessible place for five years, the first two at the office of the 4. Adviser. Proxy statements on file with EDGAR or maintained by a third party and proxy votes maintained by a third party are not subject to these particular retention requirements.

Voting Foreign Proxies

At times the Adviser may determine that, in the best interests of its clients, a particular proxy should not be voted. This may occur, for example, when the cost of voting a foreign proxy (translation, transportation, etc.) would exceed the benefit of voting the proxy or voting the foreign proxy may cause an unacceptable limitation on the sale of the security. Any such instances will be documented by the Portfolio Manager and reviewed by the Chief Compliance Officer.

Securities Lending

Certain portfolios managed by the Adviser participate in securities lending programs to generate additional revenue. Proxy voting rights generally pass to the borrower when a security is on loan. The Adviser will use its best efforts to recall a security on loan and vote such securities if the Portfolio Manager determines that the proxy involves a material event.

Proxy Voting Policy

The Adviser has reviewed the Glass Lewis Proxy Guidelines (“Guidelines”) and has determined that the Guidelines are consistent with the Adviser’s proxy voting responsibilities and its fiduciary duty with respect to its clients. The Adviser will review any material amendments to the Guidelines.

While it is the Adviser’s policy to generally follow the Guidelines, the Adviser retains the right, on any specific proxy, to vote differently from the Guidelines, if the Adviser believes it is in the best interests of its clients. Any such exceptions will be documented by the Adviser and reviewed by the Chief Compliance Officer.

The portfolio manager or analyst covering the security is responsible for making proxy voting decisions. Portfolio Administration, in conjunction with the portfolio manager and the custodian, is responsible for monitoring corporate actions and ensuring that corporate actions are timely voted.

Edgar Filing: MARKET VECTORS ETF TRUST - Form 497

PROXY PAPER™

GUIDELINES

2015 PROXY SEASON

AN OVERVIEW OF THE GLASS LEWIS
APPROACH TO PROXY ADVICE

UNITED STATES

COPYRIGHT 2015 GLASS, LEWIS & CO., LLC

Table of Contents

<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	1
<u>Summary of Changes for the 2015 United States Policy Guidelines</u>	1
<u>I. A BOARD OF DIRECTORS THAT SERVES SHAREHOLDER INTEREST</u>	3
<u>Election of Directors</u>	3
<u>Independence</u>	3
<u>Voting Recommendations on the Basis of Board Independence</u>	5
<u>Committee Independence</u>	5
<u>Independent Chairman</u>	5
<u>Performance</u>	6
<u>Voting Recommendations on the Basis of Performance</u>	6
<u>Board Responsiveness</u>	7
<u>The Role of a Committee Chairman</u>	8
<u>Audit Committees and Performance</u>	8
<u>Standards for Assessing the Audit Committee</u>	9
<u>Compensation Committee Performance</u>	11
<u>Nominating and Governance Committee Performance</u>	13
<u>Board-Level Risk Management Oversight</u>	15
<u>Other Considerations</u>	15
<u>Controlled Companies</u>	17
<u>Significant Shareholders</u>	18
<u>Exceptions for Recent IPOs</u>	18
<u>Dual-Listed Companies</u>	19
<u>Mutual Fund Boards</u>	19
<u>Declassified Boards</u>	20
<u>Mandatory Director Term and Age limits</u>	21
<u>Proxy Access</u>	22
<u>Majority Vote for the Election of Directors</u>	22
<u>The Plurality Vote Standard</u>	22
<u>Advantages of a Majority Vote Standard</u>	23
<u>II. TRANSPARENCY AND INTEGRITY IN FINANCIAL REPORTING</u>	24
<u>Auditor Ratification</u>	24
<u>Voting Recommendations on Auditor Ratification</u>	24
<u>Pension Accounting Issues</u>	25
<u>III. THE LINK BETWEEN COMPENSATION AND PERFORMANCE</u>	26
<u>Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation (“Say-on-Pay”)</u>	26
<u>Say-on-Pay Voting Recommendations</u>	27
<u>Company Responsiveness</u>	28
<u>Pay for Performance</u>	28
<u>Short-Term Incentives</u>	28
<u>Long-Term Incentives</u>	29
<u>One-Off Awards</u>	29
<u>Recoupment Provisions (“Clawback”)</u>	30
<u>Hedging of Stock</u>	30
<u>Pledging of Stock</u>	30
<u>Compensation Consultant Independence</u>	31

I

<u>Frequency of Say-on-Pay</u>	31
<u>Vote on Golden Parachute Arrangements</u>	32
<u>Equity-Based Compensation Plan Proposals</u>	32
<u>Option Exchanges</u>	33
<u>Option Backdating, Spring-Loading and Bullet-Dodging</u>	34
<u>Director Compensation Plans</u>	35
<u>Employee Stock Purchase Plans</u>	35
<u>Executive Compensation Tax Deductibility (IRS 162(m) Compliance)</u>	35
<u>IV. GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE AND THE SHAREHOLDER FRANCHISE</u>	37
<u>Anti-Takeover Measures</u>	37
<u>Poison Pills (Shareholder Rights Plans)</u>	37
<u>NOL Poison Pills</u>	37
<u>Fair Price Provisions</u>	38
<u>Reincorporation</u>	38
<u>Exclusive Forum and Fee-Shifting Bylaw Provisions</u>	39
<u>Authorized Shares</u>	40
<u>Advance Notice Requirements</u>	40
<u>Voting Structure</u>	41
<u>Cumulative Voting</u>	41
<u>Supermajority Vote Requirements</u>	41
<u>Transaction of Other Business</u>	42
<u>Anti-Greenmail Proposals</u>	42
<u>Mutual Funds: Investment Policies and Advisory Agreements</u>	42
<u>Real Estate Investment Trusts</u>	42
<u>Preferred Stock Issuances at REITs</u>	43
<u>Business Development Companies</u>	43
<u>Authorization to Sell Shares at a Price below Net Asset Value</u>	43
<u>V. COMPENSATION, ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL AND GOVERNANCE SHAREHOLDER INITIATIVES OVERVIEW</u>	44

Guidelines Introduction

Glass Lewis evaluates these guidelines on an ongoing basis and formally updates them on an annual basis. This year we've made noteworthy revisions in the following areas, which are summarized below but discussed in greater detail in the relevant section of this document:

SUMMARY OF CHANGES FOR THE 2015 UNITED STATES POLICY GUIDELINES

GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE PERFORMANCE

We have adopted a policy regarding instances where a board has amended the company's governing documents to reduce or remove important shareholder rights, or to otherwise impede the ability of shareholders to exercise such right, and has done so without shareholder approval. Examples of board actions that may cause such a recommendation include: the elimination of the ability of shareholders to call a special meeting or to act by written consent; an increase to the ownership threshold required for shareholders to call a special meeting; an increase to vote requirements for charter or bylaw amendments; the adoption of provisions that limit the ability of shareholders to pursue full legal recourse—such as bylaws that require arbitration of shareholder claims or that require shareholder plaintiffs to pay the company's legal expenses in the absence of a court victory (i.e., "fee-shifting" or "loser pays" bylaws); the adoption of a classified board structure; and the elimination of the ability of shareholders to remove a director without cause. In these instances, depending on the circumstances, we may recommend that shareholders vote against the chairman of the governance committee, or the entire committee.

BOARD RESPONSIVENESS TO MAJORITY-APPROVED SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

Glass Lewis will generally recommend that shareholders vote against all members of the governance committee during whose tenure a shareholder proposal relating to important shareholder rights received support from a majority of the votes cast (excluding abstentions and broker non-votes) and the board failed to respond adequately. Examples of such shareholder proposals include those seeking a declassified board structure, a majority vote standard for director elections, or a right to call a special meeting. We have expanded this policy to specify that in determining whether a board has sufficiently implemented such a proposal, we will examine the quality of the right enacted or proffered by the board for any conditions that may unreasonably interfere with the shareholders' ability to exercise the right (e.g., overly prescriptive procedural requirements for calling a special meeting).

VOTE RECOMMENDATIONS FOLLOWING IPO

We have increased our scrutiny of provisions adopted in a company's charter or bylaws prior to an initial public offering ("IPO"). While Glass Lewis will generally refrain from issuing voting recommendations on the basis of most corporate governance best practices (e.g., board independence, committee membership and structure, meeting attendance, etc.) during the one-year period following an IPO, we will scrutinize certain provisions adopted in the company's charter or bylaws prior to the IPO. Specifically, we will consider recommending to vote against all members of the board who served at the time of the adoption of an anti-takeover provision, such as a poison pill or classified board, if the provision is not put up for shareholder vote following the IPO. Additionally, consistent with our general approach to boards that adopt exclusive forum provisions or fee-shifting bylaws without shareholder approval, we will recommend that shareholders vote against the governance committee chair in the

case of an exclusive forum provision, and against the entire governance committee in the case of a provision limiting the ability of shareholders to pursue full legal recourse (e.g., “fee-shifting” bylaws), if these provisions are not put up to shareholder vote following the IPO.

GLASS LEWIS STANDARDS FOR ASSESSING “MATERIAL” TRANSACTIONS WITH DIRECTORS

With regard to Glass Lewis’ \$120,000 threshold for those directors employed by a professional services firm such as a law firm, investment bank, or consulting firm, where the company pays the firm, not the individual, for services, we have clarified that we may deem such a transaction to be immaterial where the amount represents less than 1% of the firm’s annual revenues and the board provides a compelling rationale as to why the director’s independence is not affected by the relationship.

ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

We have added a discussion of our approach to analyzing one-off awards granted outside of existing incentive programs (see page 29). We have also provided clarification regarding our qualitative and quantitative approach to say-on-pay analysis.

EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLANS

We have added a discussion of our approach to analyzing employee stock purchase plans (see page 35).

- I. A Board of Directors that Serves Shareholder Interest

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The purpose of Glass Lewis' proxy research and advice is to facilitate shareholder voting in favor of governance structures that will drive performance, create shareholder value and maintain a proper tone at the top. Glass Lewis looks for talented boards with a record of protecting shareholders and delivering value over the medium- and long-term. We believe that a board can best protect and enhance the interests of shareholders if it is sufficiently independent, has a record of positive performance, and consists of individuals with diverse backgrounds and a breadth and depth of relevant experience.

INDEPENDENCE

The independence of directors, or lack thereof, is ultimately demonstrated through the decisions they make. In assessing the independence of directors, we will take into consideration, when appropriate, whether a director has a track record indicative of making objective decisions. Likewise, when assessing the independence of directors we will also examine when a director's track record on multiple boards indicates a lack of objective decision-making. Ultimately, we believe the determination of whether a director is independent or not must take into consideration both compliance with the applicable independence listing requirements as well as judgments made by the director.

We look at each director nominee to examine the director's relationships with the company, the company's executives, and other directors. We do this to evaluate whether personal, familial, or financial relationships (not including director compensation) may impact the director's decisions. We believe that such relationships make it difficult for a director to put shareholders' interests above the director's or the related party's interests. We also believe that a director who owns more than 20% of a company can exert disproportionate influence on the board, and therefore believe such a director's independence may be hampered, in particular when serving on the audit committee.

Thus, we put directors into three categories based on an examination of the type of relationship they have with the company:

Independent Director – An independent director has no material financial, familial or other current relationships with the company, its executives, or other board members, except for board service and standard fees paid for that service. Relationships that existed within three to five years¹ before the inquiry are usually considered “current” for purposes of this test.

Affiliated Director – An affiliated director has, (or within the past three years, had) a material financial, familial or other relationship with the company or its executives, but is not an employee of the company.² This includes directors whose employers have a material financial relationship with the company.³ In addition, we view a director who either owns or controls 20% or more of the company’s voting stock, or is an employee or affiliate of an entity that controls such amount, as an affiliate.⁴

1 NASDAQ originally proposed a five-year look-back period but both it and the NYSE ultimately settled on a three-year look-back prior to finalizing their rules. A five-year standard is more appropriate, in our view, because we believe that the unwinding of conflicting relationships between former management and board members is more likely to be complete and final after five years. However, Glass Lewis does not apply the five-year look-back period to directors who have previously served as executives of the company on an interim basis for less than one year.

2 If a company does not consider a non-employee director to be independent, Glass Lewis will classify that director as an affiliate.

3 We allow a five-year grace period for former executives of the company or merged companies who have consulting agreements with the surviving company. (We do not automatically recommend voting against directors in such cases for the first five years.) If the consulting agreement persists after this five-year grace period, we apply the materiality thresholds outlined in the definition of “material.”

4 This includes a director who serves on a board as a representative (as part of his or her basic responsibilities) of an investment firm with greater than 20% ownership. However, while we will generally consider him/her to be affiliated, we will not recommend voting against unless (i) the investment firm has disproportionate board representation or (ii) the director serves on the audit committee.

We view 20% shareholders as affiliates because they typically have access to and involvement with the management of a company that is fundamentally different from that of ordinary shareholders. More importantly, 20% holders may have interests that diverge from those of ordinary holders, for reasons such as the liquidity (or lack thereof) of their holdings, personal tax issues, etc.

Glass Lewis applies a three-year look back period to all directors who have an affiliation with the company other than former employment, for which we apply a five-year look back.

Definition of “Material”: A material relationship is one in which the dollar value exceeds:

- \$50,000 (or where no amount is disclosed) for directors who are paid for a service they have agreed to perform for the company, outside of their service as a director, including professional or other services; or

- \$120,000 (or where no amount is disclosed) for those directors employed by a professional services firm such as a law firm, investment bank, or consulting firm and the company pays the firm, not the individual, for services.⁵ This dollar limit would also apply to charitable contributions to schools where a board member is a professor; or charities where a director serves on the board or is an executive;⁶ and any aircraft and real estate dealings between the company and the director’s firm; or

- 1% of either company’s consolidated gross revenue for other business relationships (e.g., where the director is an executive officer of a company that provides services or products to or receives services or products from the company).⁷

Definition of “Familial”: Familial relationships include a person’s spouse, parents, children, siblings, grandparents, uncles, aunts, cousins, nieces, nephews, in-laws, and anyone (other than domestic employees) who shares such person’s home. A director is an affiliate if: i) he or she has a family member who is employed by the company and receives more than \$120,000 in annual compensation; or, ii) he or she has a family member who is employed by the company and the company does not disclose this individual’s compensation.

Definition of “Company”: A company includes any parent or subsidiary in a group with the company or any entity that merged with, was acquired by, or acquired the company.

Inside Director – An inside director simultaneously serves as a director and as an employee of the company. This category may include a chairman of the board who acts as an employee of the company or is paid as an employee of the company. In our view, an inside director who derives a greater amount of income as a result of affiliated transactions with the company rather than through compensation paid by the company (i.e., salary, bonus, etc. as a company employee) faces a conflict between making decisions that are in the best interests of the company versus those in the director’s own best interests. Therefore, we will recommend voting against such a director.

Additionally, we believe a director who is currently serving in an interim management position should be considered an insider, while a director who previously served in an interim management position for less than one year and is no longer serving in such capacity is considered independent. Moreover, a director who previously served in an interim management position for over one year and is no longer serving in such capacity is considered an affiliate for five years following the date of his/her resignation or departure from the interim management position.

5 We may deem such a transaction to be immaterial where the amount represents less than 1% of the firm's annual revenues and the board provides a compelling rationale as to why the director's independence is not affected by the relationship.

6 We will generally take into consideration the size and nature of such charitable entities in relation to the company's size and industry along with any other relevant factors such as the director's role at the charity. However, unlike for other types of related party transactions, Glass Lewis generally does not apply a look-back period to affiliated relationships involving charitable contributions; if the relationship between the director and the school or charity ceases, or if the company discontinues its donations to the entity, we will consider the director to be independent.

7 This includes cases where a director is employed by, or closely affiliated with, a private equity firm that profits from an acquisition made by the company. Unless disclosure suggests otherwise, we presume the director is affiliated.

VOTING RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE BASIS OF BOARD INDEPENDENCE

Glass Lewis believes a board will be most effective in protecting shareholders' interests if it is at least two-thirds independent. We note that each of the Business Roundtable, the Conference Board, and the Council of Institutional Investors advocates that two-thirds of the board be independent. Where more than one-third of the members are affiliated or inside directors, we typically⁸ recommend voting against some of the inside and/or affiliated directors in order to satisfy the two-thirds threshold.

In the case of a less than two-thirds independent board, Glass Lewis strongly supports the existence of a presiding or lead director with authority to set the meeting agendas and to lead sessions outside the insider chairman's presence.

In addition, we scrutinize avowedly "independent" chairmen and lead directors. We believe that they should be unquestionably independent or the company should not tout them as such.

COMMITTEE INDEPENDENCE

We believe that only independent directors should serve on a company's audit, compensation, nominating, and governance committees.⁹ We typically recommend that shareholders vote against any affiliated or inside director seeking appointment to an audit, compensation, nominating, or governance committee, or who has served in that capacity in the past year.

Pursuant to Section 952 of the Dodd-Frank Act, as of January 11, 2013, the SEC approved new listing requirements for both the NYSE and NASDAQ which require that boards apply enhanced standards of independence when making an affirmative determination of the independence of compensation committee members. Specifically, when making this determination, in addition to the factors considered when assessing general director independence, the board's considerations must include: (i) the source of compensation of the director, including any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee paid by the listed company to the director (the "Fees Factor"); and (ii) whether the director is affiliated with the listing company, its subsidiaries, or affiliates of its subsidiaries (the "Affiliation Factor").

Glass Lewis believes it is important for boards to consider these enhanced independence factors when assessing compensation committee members. However, as discussed above in the section titled Independence, we apply our own standards when assessing the independence of directors, and these standards also take into account consulting and advisory fees paid to the director, as well as the director's affiliations with the company and its subsidiaries and affiliates. We may recommend voting against compensation committee members who are not independent based on our standards.

INDEPENDENT CHAIRMAN

Glass Lewis believes that separating the roles of CEO (or, more rarely, another executive position) and chairman creates a better governance structure than a combined CEO/chairman position. An executive manages the business according to a course the board charts. Executives should report to the board regarding their performance in achieving goals set by the board. This is needlessly complicated when a CEO chairs the board, since a CEO/chairman presumably will have a significant influence over the board.

While many companies have an independent lead or presiding director who performs many of the same functions of an independent chairman (e.g., setting the board meeting agenda), we do not believe this alternate form of independent board leadership provides as robust protection for shareholders as an independent chairman.

8 With a staggered board, if the affiliates or insiders that we believe should not be on the board are not up for election, we will express our concern regarding those directors, but we will not recommend voting against the other affiliates or insiders who are up for election just to achieve two-thirds independence. However, we will consider recommending voting against the directors subject to our concern at their next election if the issue giving rise to the concern is not resolved.

9 We will recommend voting against an audit committee member who owns 20% or more of the company's stock, and we believe that there should be a maximum of one director (or no directors if the committee is comprised of less than three directors) who owns 20% or more of the company's stock on the compensation, nominating, and governance committees.

It can become difficult for a board to fulfill its role of overseer and policy setter when a CEO/chairman controls the agenda and the boardroom discussion. Such control can allow a CEO to have an entrenched position, leading to longer-than-optimal terms, fewer checks on management, less scrutiny of the business operation, and limitations on independent, shareholder-focused goal-setting by the board.

A CEO should set the strategic course for the company, with the board's approval, and the board should enable the CEO to carry out the CEO's vision for accomplishing the board's objectives. Failure to achieve the board's objectives should lead the board to replace that CEO with someone in whom the board has confidence.

Likewise, an independent chairman can better oversee executives and set a pro-shareholder agenda without the management conflicts that a CEO and other executive insiders often face. Such oversight and concern for shareholders allows for a more proactive and effective board of directors that is better able to look out for the interests of shareholders.

Further, it is the board's responsibility to select a chief executive who can best serve a company and its shareholders and to replace this person when his or her duties have not been appropriately fulfilled. Such a replacement becomes more difficult and happens less frequently when the chief executive is also in the position of overseeing the board.

Glass Lewis believes that the installation of an independent chairman is almost always a positive step from a corporate governance perspective and promotes the best interests of shareholders. Further, the presence of an independent chairman fosters the creation of a thoughtful and dynamic board, not dominated by the views of senior management. Encouragingly, many companies appear to be moving in this direction—one study even indicates that less than 12 percent of incoming CEOs in 2009 were awarded the chairman title, versus 48 percent as recently as 2002.¹⁰ Another study finds that 45 percent of S&P 500 boards now separate the CEO and chairman roles, up from 23 percent in 2003, although the same study found that of those companies, only 25 percent have truly independent chairs.¹¹

We do not recommend that shareholders vote against CEOs who chair the board. However, we typically recommend that our clients support separating the roles of chairman and CEO whenever that question is posed in a proxy (typically in the form of a shareholder proposal), as we believe that it is in the long-term best interests of the company and its shareholders.

Further, where the company has neither an independent chairman nor independent lead director, we will recommend voting against the chair of the governance committee.

PERFORMANCE

The most crucial test of a board's commitment to the company and its shareholders lies in the actions of the board and its members. We look at the performance of these individuals as directors and executives of the company and of other companies where they have served.

We find that a director's past conduct is often indicative of future conduct and performance. We often find directors with a history of overpaying executives or of serving on boards where avoidable disasters have occurred serving on the boards of companies with similar problems. Glass Lewis has a proprietary database of directors serving at over 8,000 of the most widely held U.S. companies. We use this database to track the performance of directors across companies.

VOTING RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE BASIS OF PERFORMANCE

We typically recommend that shareholders vote against directors who have served on boards or as executives of companies with records of poor performance, inadequate risk oversight, excessive compensation, audit- or accounting-related issues, and/or other indicators of mismanagement or

10 Ken Favaro, Per-Ola Karlsson and Gary Neilson. "CEO Succession 2000-2009: A Decade of Convergence and Compression." Booz & Company (from Strategy+Business, Issue 59, Summer 2010).

11 Spencer Stuart Board Index, 2013, p. 5

actions against the interests of shareholders. We will reevaluate such directors based on, among other factors, the length of time passed since the incident giving rise to the concern, shareholder support for the director, the severity of the issue, the director's role (e.g., committee membership), director tenure at the subject company, whether ethical lapses accompanied the oversight lapse, and evidence of strong oversight at other companies.

Likewise, we examine the backgrounds of those who serve on key board committees to ensure that they have the required skills and diverse backgrounds to make informed judgments about the subject matter for which the committee is responsible.

We believe shareholders should avoid electing directors who have a record of not fulfilling their responsibilities to shareholders at any company where they have held a board or executive position. We typically recommend voting against:

1. A director who fails to attend a minimum of 75% of board and applicable committee meetings, calculated in the aggregate.¹²
2. A director who belatedly filed a significant form(s) 4 or 5, or who has a pattern of late filings if the late filing was the director's fault (we look at these late filing situations on a case-by-case basis).
3. A director who is also the CEO of a company where a serious and material restatement has occurred after the CEO had previously certified the pre-restatement financial statements.
4. A director who has received two against recommendations from Glass Lewis for identical reasons within the prior year at different companies (the same situation must also apply at the company being analyzed).
5. All directors who served on the board if, for the last three years, the company's performance has been in the bottom quartile of the sector and the directors have not taken reasonable steps to address the poor performance.

BOARD RESPONSIVENESS

Glass Lewis believes that any time 25% or more of shareholders vote contrary to the recommendation of management, the board should, depending on the issue, demonstrate some level of responsiveness to address the concerns of shareholders. These include instances when 25% or more of shareholders (excluding abstentions and broker non-votes): WITHHOLD votes from (or vote AGAINST) a director nominee, vote AGAINST a management-sponsored proposal, or vote FOR a shareholder proposal. In our view, a 25% threshold is significant enough to warrant a close examination of the underlying issues and an evaluation of whether or not a board response was warranted and, if so, whether the board responded appropriately following the vote. While the 25% threshold alone will not automatically generate a negative vote recommendation from Glass Lewis on a future proposal (e.g., to recommend against a director nominee, against a say-on-pay proposal, etc.), it may be a contributing factor to our recommendation to vote against management's recommendation in the event we determine that the board did not respond appropriately.

As a general framework, our evaluation of board responsiveness involves a review of publicly available disclosures (e.g., the proxy statement, annual report, 8-Ks, company website, etc.) released following the date of the company's last annual meeting up through the publication date of our most current Proxy Paper. Depending on the specific issue, our focus typically includes, but is not limited to, the following:

• At the board level, any changes in directorships, committee memberships, disclosure of related party transactions, meeting attendance, or other responsibilities;

12 However, where a director has served for less than one full year, we will typically not recommend voting against for failure to attend 75% of meetings. Rather, we will note the poor attendance with a recommendation to track this issue going forward. We will also refrain from recommending to vote against directors when the proxy discloses that the director missed the meetings due to serious illness or other extenuating circumstances.

- Any revisions made to the company's articles of incorporation, bylaws or other governance documents;
 - Any press or news releases indicating changes in, or the adoption of, new company policies, business practices or special reports; and
- Any modifications made to the design and structure of the company's compensation program, as well as an
- assessment of the company's engagement with shareholders on compensation issues as discussed in the CD&A, particularly following a material vote against a company's say-on-pay.

Our Proxy Paper analysis will include a case-by-case assessment of the specific elements of board responsiveness that we examined along with an explanation of how that assessment impacts our current vote recommendations.

THE ROLE OF A COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN

Glass Lewis believes that a designated committee chairman maintains primary responsibility for the actions of his or her respective committee. As such, many of our committee-specific vote recommendations are against the applicable committee chair rather than the entire committee (depending on the seriousness of the issue). However, in cases where we would ordinarily recommend voting against a committee chairman but the chair is not specified, we apply the following general rules, which apply throughout our guidelines:

- If there is no committee chair, we recommend voting against the longest-serving committee member or, if the longest-serving committee member cannot be determined, the longest-serving board member serving on the committee (i.e., in either case, the "senior director"); and

- If there is no committee chair, but multiple senior directors serving on the committee, we recommend voting against both (or all) such senior directors.

In our view, companies should provide clear disclosure of which director is charged with overseeing each committee. In cases where that simple framework is ignored and a reasonable analysis cannot determine which committee member is the designated leader, we believe shareholder action against the longest serving committee member(s) is warranted. Again, this only applies if we would ordinarily recommend voting against the committee chair but there is either no such position or no designated director in such role.

On the contrary, in cases where there is a designated committee chair and the recommendation is to vote against the committee chair, but the chair is not up for election because the board is staggered, we do not recommend voting against any members of the committee who are up for election; rather, we will note the concern with regard to the committee chair.

AUDIT COMMITTEES AND PERFORMANCE

Audit committees play an integral role in overseeing the financial reporting process because “[v]ibrant and stable capital markets depend on, among other things, reliable, transparent, and objective financial information to support an efficient and effective capital market process. The vital oversight role audit committees play in the process of producing financial information has never been more important.”¹³

When assessing an audit committee’s performance, we are aware that an audit committee does not prepare financial statements, is not responsible for making the key judgments and assumptions that affect the financial statements, and does not audit the numbers or the disclosures provided to investors. Rather, an audit committee member monitors and oversees the process and procedures that management and auditors perform. The 1999 Report and Recommendations of the Blue Ribbon Committee on Improving the Effectiveness of Corporate Audit Committees stated it best:

13 Audit Committee Effectiveness – What Works Best.” PricewaterhouseCoopers. The Institute of Internal Auditors Research Foundation. 2005.

A proper and well-functioning system exists, therefore, when the three main groups responsible for financial reporting – the full board including the audit committee, financial management including the internal auditors, and the outside auditors – form a ‘three legged stool’ that supports responsible financial disclosure and active participatory oversight. However, in the view of the Committee, the audit committee must be ‘first among equals’ in this process, since the audit committee is an extension of the full board and hence the ultimate monitor of the process.

STANDARDS FOR ASSESSING THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

For an audit committee to function effectively on investors’ behalf, it must include members with sufficient knowledge to diligently carry out their responsibilities. In its audit and accounting recommendations, the Conference Board Commission on Public Trust and Private Enterprise said “members of the audit committee must be independent and have both knowledge and experience in auditing financial matters.”¹⁴

We are skeptical of audit committees where there are members that lack expertise as a Certified Public Accountant (CPA), Chief Financial Officer (CFO) or corporate controller, or similar experience. While we will not necessarily recommend voting against members of an audit committee when such expertise is lacking, we are more likely to recommend voting against committee members when a problem such as a restatement occurs and such expertise is lacking.

Glass Lewis generally assesses audit committees against the decisions they make with respect to their oversight and monitoring role. The quality and integrity of the financial statements and earnings reports, the completeness of disclosures necessary for investors to make informed decisions, and the effectiveness of the internal controls should provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements are materially free from errors. The independence of the external auditors and the results of their work all provide useful information by which to assess the audit committee.

When assessing the decisions and actions of the audit committee, we typically defer to its judgment and generally recommend voting in favor of its members. However, we will consider recommending that shareholders vote against the following:¹⁵

All members of the audit committee when options were backdated, there is a lack of adequate controls in place,
1. there was a resulting restatement, and disclosures indicate there was a lack of documentation with respect to the option grants.

The audit committee chair, if the audit committee does not have a financial expert or the committee’s financial
2. expert does not have a demonstrable financial background sufficient to understand the financial issues unique to public companies.

3. The audit committee chair, if the audit committee did not meet at least four times during the year.

4. The audit committee chair, if the committee has less than three members.

5. Any audit committee member who sits on more than three public company audit committees, unless the audit committee member is a retired CPA, CFO, controller or has similar experience, in which case the limit shall be four committees, taking time and availability into consideration including a review of the audit committee member's attendance at all board and committee meetings.¹⁶

14 Commission on Public Trust and Private Enterprise. The Conference Board. 2003.

15 As discussed under the section labeled "Committee Chairman," where the recommendation is to vote against the committee chair but the chair is not up for election because the board is staggered, we do not recommend voting against the members of the committee who are up for election; rather, we will note the concern with regard to the committee chair.

16 Glass Lewis may exempt certain audit committee members from the above threshold if, upon further analysis of relevant factors such as the director's experience, the size, industry-mix and location of the companies involved and the director's attendance at all the companies, we can reasonably determine that the audit committee member is likely not hindered by multiple audit committee commitments.

6. All members of an audit committee who are up for election and who served on the committee at the time of the audit, if audit and audit-related fees total one-third or less of the total fees billed by the auditor.
7. The audit committee chair when tax and/or other fees are greater than audit and audit-related fees paid to the auditor for more than one year in a row (in which case we also recommend against ratification of the auditor).

All members of an audit committee where non-audit fees include fees for tax services (including, but not limited to, such things as tax avoidance or shelter schemes) for senior executives of the company. Such services are prohibited by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (“PCAOB”).

8. All members of an audit committee that reappointed an auditor that we no longer consider to be independent for reasons unrelated to fee proportions.
9. All members of an audit committee when audit fees are excessively low, especially when compared with other companies in the same industry.

The audit committee chair¹⁷ if the committee failed to put auditor ratification on the ballot for shareholder approval. However, if the non-audit fees or tax fees exceed audit plus audit-related fees in either the current or the prior year, then Glass Lewis will recommend voting against the entire audit committee.

12. All members of an audit committee where the auditor has resigned and reported that a section 10A¹⁸ letter has been issued.

13. All members of an audit committee at a time when material accounting fraud occurred at the company.¹⁹

14. All members of an audit committee at a time when annual and/or multiple quarterly financial statements had to be restated, and any of the following factors apply:

- The restatement involves fraud or manipulation by insiders;
- The restatement is accompanied by an SEC inquiry or investigation;
- The restatement involves revenue recognition;
- The restatement results in a greater than 5% adjustment to costs of goods sold, operating expense, or operating cash flows; or
- The restatement results in a greater than 5% adjustment to net income, 10% adjustment to assets or shareholders equity, or cash flows from financing or investing activities.

All members of an audit committee if the company repeatedly fails to file its financial reports in a timely fashion. For example, the company has filed two or more quarterly or annual financial statements late within the last 5 quarters.

16. All members of an audit committee when it has been disclosed that a law enforcement agency has charged the company and/or its employees with a violation of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA).

17. All members of an audit committee when the company has aggressive accounting policies and/or poor disclosure or lack of sufficient transparency in its financial statements.

17 As discussed under the section labeled “Committee Chairman,” in all cases, if the chair of the committee is not specified, we recommend voting against the director who has been on the committee the longest.

18 Auditors are required to report all potential illegal acts to management and the audit committee unless they are clearly inconsequential in nature. If the audit committee or the board fails to take appropriate action on an act that has been determined to be a violation of the law, the independent auditor is required to send a section 10A letter to the SEC. Such letters are rare and therefore we believe should be taken seriously.

19 Research indicates that revenue fraud now accounts for over 60% of SEC fraud cases, and that companies that engage in fraud experience significant negative abnormal stock price declines—facing bankruptcy, delisting, and material asset sales at much higher rates than do non-fraud firms (Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. “Fraudulent Financial Reporting: 1998-2007.” May 2010).

18. All members of the audit committee when there is a disagreement with the auditor and the auditor resigns or is dismissed (e.g., the company receives an adverse opinion on its financial statements from the auditor).
19. All members of the audit committee if the contract with the auditor specifically limits the auditor's liability to the company for damages.²⁰

All members of the audit committee who served since the date of the company's last annual meeting, and when, since the last annual meeting, the company has reported a material weakness that has not yet been corrected, or, when the company has an ongoing material weakness from a prior year that has not yet been corrected.

We also take a dim view of audit committee reports that are boilerplate, and which provide little or no information or transparency to investors. When a problem such as a material weakness, restatement or late filings occurs, we take into consideration, in forming our judgment with respect to the audit committee, the transparency of the audit committee report.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE PERFORMANCE

Compensation committees have a critical role in determining the compensation of executives. This includes deciding the basis on which compensation is determined, as well as the amounts and types of compensation to be paid. This process begins with the hiring and initial establishment of employment agreements, including the terms for such items as pay, pensions and severance arrangements. It is important in establishing compensation arrangements that compensation be consistent with, and based on the long-term economic performance of, the business's long-term shareholders returns.

Compensation committees are also responsible for the oversight of the transparency of compensation. This oversight includes disclosure of compensation arrangements, the matrix used in assessing pay for performance, and the use of compensation consultants. In order to ensure the independence of the board's compensation consultant, we believe the compensation committee should only engage a compensation consultant that is not also providing any services to the company or management apart from their contract with the compensation committee. It is important to investors that they have clear and complete disclosure of all the significant terms of compensation arrangements in order to make informed decisions with respect to the oversight and decisions of the compensation committee.

Finally, compensation committees are responsible for oversight of internal controls over the executive compensation process. This includes controls over gathering information used to determine compensation, establishment of equity award plans, and granting of equity awards. For example, the use of a compensation consultant who maintains a business relationship with company management may cause the committee to make decisions based on information that is compromised by the consultant's conflict of interests. Lax controls can also contribute to improper awards of compensation such as through granting of backdated or spring-loaded options, or granting of bonuses when triggers for bonus payments have not been met.

Central to understanding the actions of a compensation committee is a careful review of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (“CD&A”) report included in each company’s proxy. We review the CD&A in our evaluation of the overall compensation practices of a company, as overseen by the compensation committee. The CD&A is also integral to the evaluation of compensation proposals at companies, such as advisory votes on executive compensation, which allow shareholders to vote on the compensation paid to a company’s top executives.

20 The Council of Institutional Investors. “Corporate Governance Policies,” p. 4, April 5, 2006; and “Letter from Council of Institutional Investors to the AICPA,” November 8, 2006.

When assessing the performance of compensation committees, we will consider recommending that shareholders vote against the following:²¹

All members of a compensation committee during whose tenure the committee failed to address shareholder concerns following majority shareholder rejection of the say-on-pay proposal in the previous year. Where the proposal was approved but there was a significant shareholder vote (i.e., greater than 25% of votes cast) against

1. the say-on-pay proposal in the prior year, if the board did not respond sufficiently to the vote including actively engaging shareholders on this issue, we will also consider recommending voting against the chairman of the compensation committee or all members of the compensation committee, depending on the severity and history of the compensation problems and the level of shareholder opposition.

2. All members of the compensation committee who are up for election and served when the company failed to align pay with performance (e.g., a company receives an F grade in our pay-for-performance analysis) if shareholders are not provided with an advisory vote on executive compensation at the annual meeting.²²

3. Any member of the compensation committee who has served on the compensation committee of at least two other public companies that have consistently failed to align pay with performance and whose oversight of compensation at the company in question is suspect.

4. The compensation committee chair if the company consistently has received deficient grades in our pay-for-performance analysis, and if during the past year the company performed the same as or worse than its peers.²³

5. All members of the compensation committee (during the relevant time period) if the company entered into excessive employment agreements and/or severance agreements.

6. All members of the compensation committee when performance goals were changed (i.e., lowered) when employees failed or were unlikely to meet original goals, or performance-based compensation was paid despite goals not being attained.

7. All members of the compensation committee if excessive employee perquisites and benefits were allowed.

8. The compensation committee chair if the compensation committee did not meet during the year.

9. All members of the compensation committee when the company repriced options or completed a “self tender offer” without shareholder approval within the past two years.

10. All members of the compensation committee when vesting of in-the-money options is accelerated.

11. All members of the compensation committee when option exercise prices were backdated. Glass Lewis will recommend voting against an executive director who played a role in and participated in option backdating.

21 As discussed under the section labeled “Committee Chairman,” where the recommendation is to vote against the committee chair and the chair is not up for election because the board is staggered, we do not recommend voting against any members of the committee who are up for election; rather, we will note the concern with regard to the committee chair.

22 Where there are multiple CEOs in one year, we will consider not recommending against the compensation committee but will defer judgment on compensation policies and practices until the next year or a full year after arrival of the new CEO. In addition, if a company provides shareholders with a say-on-pay proposal, we will initially only recommend voting against the company's say-on-pay proposal and will not recommend voting against the members of the compensation committee unless there is a pattern of failing to align pay and performance and/or the company exhibits egregious compensation practices. However, if the company repeatedly fails to align pay and performance, we will then recommend against the members of the compensation committee in addition to recommending voting against the say-on-pay proposal.

23 In cases where a company has received two consecutive D grades, or if its grade improved from an F to a D in the most recent period, and during the most recent year the company performed better than its peers (based on our analysis), we refrain from recommending to vote against the compensation committee chair. In addition, if a company provides shareholders with a say-on-pay proposal in this instance, we will consider voting against the advisory vote rather than the compensation committee chair unless the company exhibits unquestionably egregious practices.

12. All members of the compensation committee when option exercise prices were spring-loaded or otherwise timed around the release of material information.

13. All members of the compensation committee when a new employment contract is given to an executive that does not include a clawback provision and the company had a material restatement, especially if the restatement was due to fraud.

14. The chair of the compensation committee where the CD&A provides insufficient or unclear information about performance metrics and goals, where the CD&A indicates that pay is not tied to performance, or where the compensation committee or management has excessive discretion to alter performance terms or increase amounts of awards in contravention of previously defined targets.

15. All members of the compensation committee during whose tenure the committee failed to implement a shareholder proposal regarding a compensation-related issue, where the proposal received the affirmative vote of a majority of the voting shares at a shareholder meeting, and when a reasonable analysis suggests that the compensation committee (rather than the governance committee) should have taken steps to implement the request.²⁴

NOMINATING AND GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE PERFORMANCE

The nominating and governance committee, as an agent for the shareholders, is responsible for the governance by the board of the company and its executives. In performing this role, the committee is responsible and accountable for selection of objective and competent board members. It is also responsible for providing leadership on governance policies adopted by the company, such as decisions to implement shareholder proposals that have received a majority vote. (At most companies, a single committee is charged with these oversight functions; at others, the governance and nominating responsibilities are apportioned among two separate committees.)

Consistent with Glass Lewis' philosophy that boards should have diverse backgrounds and members with a breadth and depth of relevant experience, we believe that nominating and governance committees should consider diversity when making director nominations within the context of each specific company and its industry. In our view, shareholders are best served when boards make an effort to ensure a constituency that is not only reasonably diverse on the basis of age, race, gender and ethnicity, but also on the basis of geographic knowledge, industry experience, board tenure and culture.

Regarding the committee responsible for governance, we will consider recommending that shareholders vote against the following:²⁵

1. All members of the governance committee²⁶ during whose tenure a shareholder proposal relating to important shareholder rights received support from a majority of the votes cast (excluding abstentions and broker non-votes) and the board has not begun to implement or enact the proposal's subject matter²⁷ Examples of such shareholder proposals include those seeking a declassified board structure, a majority vote standard for director elections, or a right to call a special meeting. In determining whether a board has sufficiently implemented such a proposal, we

will examine the quality of the right enacted or proffered by the board for any

24 In all other instances (i.e., a non-compensation-related shareholder proposal should have been implemented) we recommend that shareholders vote against the members of the governance committee.

25 As discussed in the guidelines section labeled “Committee Chairman,” where we would recommend to vote against the committee chair but the chair is not up for election because the board is staggered, we do not recommend voting against any members of the committee who are up for election; rather, we will note the concern with regard to the committee chair.

26 If the board does not have a committee responsible for governance oversight and the board did not implement a shareholder proposal that received the requisite support, we will recommend voting against the entire board. If the shareholder proposal at issue requested that the board adopt a declassified structure, we will recommend voting against all director nominees up for election.

27 Where a compensation-related shareholder proposal should have been implemented, and when a reasonable analysis suggests that the members of the compensation committee (rather than the governance committee) bear the responsibility for failing to implement the request, we recommend that shareholders only vote against members of the compensation committee.

conditions that may unreasonably interfere with the shareholders' ability to exercise the right (e.g., overly restrictive procedural requirements for calling a special meeting).

2. The governance committee chair,²⁸ when the chairman is not independent and an independent lead or presiding director has not been appointed.²⁹
3. In the absence of a nominating committee, the governance committee chair when there are less than five or the whole nominating committee when there are more than 20 members on the board.
4. The governance committee chair, when the committee fails to meet at all during the year.

The governance committee chair, when for two consecutive years the company provides what we consider to be "inadequate" related party transaction disclosure (i.e., the nature of such transactions and/or the monetary amounts involved are unclear or excessively vague, thereby preventing a shareholder from being able to reasonably interpret the independence status of multiple directors above and beyond what the company maintains is compliant with SEC or applicable stock exchange listing requirements).

The governance committee chair, when during the past year the board adopted a forum selection clause (i.e., an exclusive forum provision)³⁰ without shareholder approval, or, if the board is currently seeking shareholder approval of a forum selection clause pursuant to a bundled bylaw amendment rather than as a separate proposal.

All members of the governance committee during whose tenure the board adopted, without shareholder approval, provisions in its charter or bylaws that, through rules on director compensation, may inhibit the ability of shareholders to nominate directors.

In addition, we may recommend that shareholders vote against the chairman of the governance committee, or the entire committee, where the board has amended the company's governing documents to reduce or remove important shareholder rights, or to otherwise impede the ability of shareholders to exercise such right, and has done so without seeking shareholder approval. Examples of board actions that may cause such a recommendation include: the elimination of the ability of shareholders to call a special meeting or to act by written consent; an increase to the ownership threshold required for shareholders to call a special meeting; an increase to vote requirements for charter or bylaw amendments; the adoption of provisions that limit the ability of shareholders to pursue full legal recourse—such as bylaws that require arbitration of shareholder claims or that require shareholder plaintiffs to pay the company's legal expenses in the absence of a court victory (i.e., "fee-shifting" or "loser pays" bylaws); the adoption of a classified board structure; and the elimination of the ability of shareholders to remove a director without cause.

Regarding the nominating committee, we will consider recommending that shareholders vote against the following:³¹

All members of the nominating committee, when the committee nominated or renominated an individual who had a significant conflict of interest or whose past actions demonstrated a lack of integrity or inability to represent shareholder interests.

²⁸ As discussed in the guidelines section labeled "Committee Chairman," if the committee chair is not specified, we recommend voting against the director who has been on the committee the longest. If the longest-serving committee

member cannot be determined, we will recommend voting against the longest-serving board member serving on the committee.

29 We believe that one independent individual should be appointed to serve as the lead or presiding director. When such a position is rotated among directors from meeting to meeting, we will recommend voting against the governance committee chair as we believe the lack of fixed lead or presiding director means that, effectively, the board does not have an independent board leader.

30 A forum selection clause is a bylaw provision stipulating that a certain state, typically where the company is incorporated, which is most often Delaware, shall be the exclusive forum for all intra-corporate disputes (e.g., shareholder derivative actions, assertions of claims of a breach of fiduciary duty, etc.). Such a clause effectively limits a shareholder's legal remedy regarding appropriate choice of venue and related relief offered under that state's laws and rulings.

31 As discussed in the guidelines section labeled "Committee Chairman," where we would recommend to vote against the committee chair but the chair is not up for election because the board is staggered, we do not recommend voting against any members of the committee who are up for election; rather, we will note the concern with regard to the committee chair.

2. The nominating committee chair, if the nominating committee did not meet during the year.
3. In the absence of a governance committee, the nominating committee chair³² when the chairman is not independent, and an independent lead or presiding director has not been appointed.³³
4. The nominating committee chair, when there are less than five or the whole nominating committee when there are more than 20 members on the board.³⁴
5. The nominating committee chair, when a director received a greater than 50% against vote the prior year and not only was the director not removed, but the issues that raised shareholder concern were not corrected.³⁵

BOARD-LEVEL RISK MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT

Glass Lewis evaluates the risk management function of a public company board on a strictly case-by-case basis. Sound risk management, while necessary at all companies, is particularly important at financial firms which inherently maintain significant exposure to financial risk. We believe such financial firms should have a chief risk officer reporting directly to the board and a dedicated risk committee or a committee of the board charged with risk oversight. Moreover, many non-financial firms maintain strategies which involve a high level of exposure to financial risk. Similarly, since many non-financial firms have complex hedging or trading strategies, those firms should also have a chief risk officer and a risk committee.

Our views on risk oversight are consistent with those expressed by various regulatory bodies. In its December 2009 Final Rule release on Proxy Disclosure Enhancements, the SEC noted that risk oversight is a key competence of the board and that additional disclosures would improve investor and shareholder understanding of the role of the board in the organization's risk management practices. The final rules, which became effective on February 28, 2010, now explicitly require companies and mutual funds to describe (while allowing for some degree of flexibility) the board's role in the oversight of risk.

When analyzing the risk management practices of public companies, we take note of any significant losses or writedowns on financial assets and/or structured transactions. In cases where a company has disclosed a sizable loss or writedown, and where we find that the company's board-level risk committee's poor oversight contributed to the loss, we will recommend that shareholders vote against such committee members on that basis. In addition, in cases where a company maintains a significant level of financial risk exposure but fails to disclose any explicit form of board-level risk oversight (committee or otherwise)³⁶, we will consider recommending to vote against the chairman of the board on that basis. However, we generally would not recommend voting against a combined chairman/ CEO, except in egregious cases.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

In addition to the three key characteristics – independence, performance, experience – that we use to evaluate board members, we consider conflict-of-interest issues as well as the size of the board of directors when making voting recommendations.

32 As discussed under the section labeled “Committee Chairman,” if the committee chair is not specified, we will recommend voting against the director who has been on the committee the longest. If the longest-serving committee member cannot be determined, we will recommend voting against the longest-serving board member on the committee.

33 In the absence of both a governance and a nominating committee, we will recommend voting against the chairman of the board on this basis, unless if the chairman also serves as the CEO, in which case we will recommend voting against the longest-serving director.

34 In the absence of both a governance and a nominating committee, we will recommend voting against the chairman of the board on this basis, unless if the chairman also serves as the CEO, in which case we will recommend voting against the the longest-serving director.

35 Considering that shareholder discontent clearly relates to the director who received a greater than 50% against vote rather than the nominating chair, we review the severity of the issue(s) that initially raised shareholder concern as well as company responsiveness to such matters, and will only recommend voting against the nominating chair if a reasonable analysis suggests that it would be most appropriate. In rare cases, we will consider recommending against the nominating chair when a director receives a substantial (i.e., 25% or more) vote against based on the same analysis.

36 A committee responsible for risk management could be a dedicated risk committee, the audit committee, or the finance committee, depending on a given company’s board structure and method of disclosure. At some companies, the entire board is charged with risk management.

Conflicts of Interest

We believe board members should be wholly free of identifiable and substantial conflicts of interest, regardless of the overall level of independent directors on the board. Accordingly, we recommend that shareholders vote against the following types of directors:

1. A CFO who is on the board: In our view, the CFO holds a unique position relative to financial reporting and disclosure to shareholders. Due to the critical importance of financial disclosure and reporting, we believe the CFO should report to the board and not be a member of it.

2. A director who is on an excessive number of boards: We will typically recommend voting against a director who serves as an executive officer of any public company while serving on more than two other public company boards and any other director who serves on more than six public company boards.³⁷ Academic literature suggests that one board takes up approximately 200 hours per year of each member's time. We believe this limits the number of boards on which directors can effectively serve, especially executives at other companies.³⁸ Further, we note a recent study has shown that the average number of outside board seats held by CEOs of S&P 500 companies is 0.6, down from 0.7 in 2008 and 1.0 in 2003.³⁹

3. A director who provides — or a director who has an immediate family member who provides — material consulting or other material professional services to the company. These services may include legal, consulting, or financial services. We question the need for the company to have consulting relationships with its directors. We view such relationships as creating conflicts for directors, since they may be forced to weigh their own interests against shareholder interests when making board decisions. In addition, a company's decisions regarding where to turn for the best professional services may be compromised when doing business with the professional services firm of one of the company's directors.

4. A director, or a director who has an immediate family member, engaging in airplane, real estate, or similar deals, including perquisite-type grants from the company, amounting to more than \$50,000. Directors who receive these sorts of payments from the company will have to make unnecessarily complicated decisions that may pit their interests against shareholder interests.

5. Interlocking directorships: CEOs or other top executives who serve on each other's boards create an interlock that poses conflicts that should be avoided to ensure the promotion of shareholder interests above all else.⁴⁰

6. All board members who served at a time when a poison pill with a term of longer than one year was adopted without shareholder approval within the prior twelve months.⁴¹ In the event a board is classified and shareholders are therefore unable to vote against all directors, we will recommend voting against the remaining directors the next year they are up for a shareholder vote. If a poison pill with a term of one year or less was adopted without shareholder approval, and without adequate justification, we will consider recommending that shareholders vote against all members of the governance committee. If the board has, without seeking shareholder approval, and without adequate justification, extended the term of a poison pill by one year or less in two consecutive years, we will consider recommending that shareholders vote against the entire board.

³⁷ Glass Lewis will not recommend voting against the director at the company where he or she serves as an executive officer, only at the other public companies where he or she serves on the board.

38 Our guidelines are similar to the standards set forth by the NACD in its “Report of the NACD Blue Ribbon Commission on Director Professionalism,” 2001 Edition, pp. 14-15 (also cited approvingly by the Conference Board in its “Corporate Governance Best Practices: A Blueprint for the Post-Enron Era,” 2002, p. 17), which suggested that CEOs should not serve on more than 2 additional boards, persons with full-time work should not serve on more than 4 additional boards, and others should not serve on more than six boards.

39 Spencer Stuart Board Index, 2013, p. 6.

40 We do not apply a look-back period for this situation. The interlock policy applies to both public and private companies. We will also evaluate multiple board interlocks among non-insiders (i.e., multiple directors serving on the same boards at other companies), for evidence of a pattern of poor oversight.

41 Refer to Section V. Governance Structure and the Shareholder Franchise for further discussion of our policies regarding anti-takeover measures, including poison pills.

Size of the Board of Directors

While we do not believe there is a universally applicable optimum board size, we do believe boards should have at least five directors to ensure sufficient diversity in decision-making and to enable the formation of key board committees with independent directors. Conversely, we believe that boards with more than 20 members will typically suffer under the weight of “too many cooks in the kitchen” and have difficulty reaching consensus and making timely decisions. Sometimes the presence of too many voices can make it difficult to draw on the wisdom and experience in the room by virtue of the need to limit the discussion so that each voice may be heard.

To that end, we typically recommend voting against the chairman of the nominating committee at a board with fewer than five directors. With boards consisting of more than 20 directors, we typically recommend voting against all members of the nominating committee (or the governance committee, in the absence of a nominating committee).⁴²

CONTROLLED COMPANIES

We believe controlled companies warrant certain exceptions to our independence standards. The board’s function is to protect shareholder interests; however, when an individual, entity (or group of shareholders party to a formal agreement) owns more than 50% of the voting shares, the interests of the majority of shareholders are the interests of that entity or individual. Consequently, Glass Lewis does not apply our usual two-thirds board independence rule and therefore we will not recommend voting against boards whose composition reflects the makeup of the shareholder population.

Independence Exceptions

The independence exceptions that we make for controlled companies are as follows:

We do not require that controlled companies have boards that are at least two-thirds independent. So long as the

1. insiders and/or affiliates are connected with the controlling entity, we accept the presence of non-independent board members.

2. The compensation committee and nominating and governance committees do not need to consist solely of independent directors.

- We believe that standing nominating and corporate governance committees at controlled companies are unnecessary. Although having a committee charged with the duties of searching for, selecting, and nominating independent directors can be beneficial, the unique composition of a controlled company’s shareholder base makes such

committees weak and irrelevant.

Likewise, we believe that independent compensation committees at controlled companies are unnecessary. Although independent directors are the best choice for approving and monitoring senior executives' pay, controlled companies serve a unique shareholder population whose voting power ensures the protection of its interests. As such, we believe that having affiliated directors on a controlled company's compensation committee is acceptable. However, given that a controlled company has certain obligations to minority shareholders we feel that an insider should not serve on the compensation committee. Therefore, Glass Lewis will recommend voting against any insider (the CEO or otherwise) serving on the compensation committee.

Controlled companies do not need an independent chairman or an independent lead or presiding director. Although an independent director in a position of authority on the board –such as chairman or presiding director – can best carry out the board's duties, controlled

42 The Conference Board, at p. 23 in its May 2003 report "Corporate Governance Best Practices, Id.," quotes one of its roundtable participants as stating, "[w]hen you've got a 20 or 30 person corporate board, it's one way of assuring that nothing is ever going to happen that the CEO doesn't want to happen."

companies serve a unique shareholder population whose voting power ensures the protection of its interests.

Size of the Board of Directors

We have no board size requirements for controlled companies.

Audit Committee Independence

Despite a controlled company's status, unlike for the other key committees, we nevertheless believe that audit committees should consist solely of independent directors. Regardless of a company's controlled status, the interests of all shareholders must be protected by ensuring the integrity and accuracy of the company's financial statements. Allowing affiliated directors to oversee the preparation of financial reports could create an insurmountable conflict of interest.

SIGNIFICANT SHAREHOLDERS

Where an individual or entity holds between 20-50% of a company's voting power, we believe it is reasonable to allow proportional representation on the board and committees (excluding the audit committee) based on the individual or entity's percentage of ownership.

EXCEPTIONS FOR RECENT IPOs

We believe companies that have recently completed an initial public offering ("IPO") should be allowed adequate time to fully comply with marketplace listing requirements as well as to meet basic corporate governance standards. We believe a one-year grace period immediately following the date of a company's IPO is sufficient time for most companies to comply with all relevant regulatory requirements and to meet such corporate governance standards. Except in egregious cases, Glass Lewis refrains from issuing voting recommendations on the basis of corporate governance best practices (e.g., board independence, committee membership and structure, meeting attendance, etc.) during the one-year period following an IPO.

However, two specific cases warrant strong shareholder action against the board of a company that completed an IPO within the past year:

Adoption of an anti-takeover provision such as a poison pill or classified board: In cases where a board adopts an anti-takeover provision preceding an IPO, we will consider recommending to vote against the members of the board who served when it was adopted if the board: (i) did not also commit to submit the anti-takeover provision to a shareholder vote within 12 months of the IPO; or (ii) did not provide a sound rationale for adopting the anti-takeover provision (such as a sunset for the pill of three years or less). In our view, adopting such an anti-takeover device unfairly penalizes future shareholders who (except for electing to buy or sell the stock) are

1. unable to weigh in on a matter that could potentially negatively impact their ownership interest. This notion is strengthened when a board adopts a classified board with an infinite duration or a poison pill with a five to ten year term immediately prior to having a public shareholder base so as to insulate management for a substantial amount of time while postponing and/or avoiding allowing public shareholders the ability to vote on the anti-takeover provision adoption. Such instances are indicative of boards that may subvert shareholders' best interests following their IPO.

Adoption of an exclusive forum provision or fee-shifting bylaw: Consistent with our general approach to boards that adopt exclusive forum provisions or fee-shifting bylaws without shareholder approval (refer to our discussion of nominating and governance committee performance in Section I of the guidelines), we believe shareholders

2. should hold members of the governance committee responsible. In cases where a board adopts an exclusive forum provision for inclusion in a company's charter or bylaws before the company's IPO, we will recommend voting against the chairman of the governance committee, or, in the absence

of such a committee, the chairman of the board, who served during the period of time when the provision was adopted. However given the even stronger impediment on shareholder legal recourse of a fee-shifting bylaw, in cases where a board adopts such a bylaw before the company's IPO, we will recommend voting against the entire governance committee, or, in the absence of such a committee, the chairman of the board, who served during the period of time when the provision was adopted.

In addition, shareholders should also be wary of companies that adopt supermajority voting requirements before their IPO. Absent explicit provisions in the articles or bylaws stipulating that certain policies will be phased out over a certain period of time (e.g., a predetermined declassification of the board, a planned separation of the chairman and CEO, etc.) long-term shareholders could find themselves in the predicament of having to attain a supermajority vote to approve future proposals seeking to eliminate such policies.

DUAL-LISTED COMPANIES

For those companies whose shares trade on exchanges in multiple countries, and which may seek shareholder approval of proposals in accordance with varying exchange- and country-specific rules, we will apply the governance standards most relevant in each situation. We will consider a number of factors in determining which Glass Lewis country-specific policy to apply, including but not limited to: (i) the corporate governance structure and features of the company including whether the board structure is unique to a particular market; (ii) the nature of the proposals; (iii) the location of the company's primary listing, if one can be determined; (iv) the regulatory/governance regime that the board is reporting against; and (v) the availability and completeness of the company's SEC filings.

MUTUAL FUND BOARDS

Mutual funds, or investment companies, are structured differently from regular public companies (i.e., operating companies). Typically, members of a fund's adviser are on the board and management takes on a different role from that of regular public companies. Thus, we focus on a short list of requirements, although many of our guidelines remain the same.

The following mutual fund policies are similar to the policies for regular public companies:

1. Size of the board of directors: The board should be made up of between five and twenty directors.
2. The CFO on the board: Neither the CFO of the fund nor the CFO of the fund's registered investment adviser should serve on the board.
3. Independence of the audit committee: The audit committee should consist solely of independent directors.

4. Audit committee financial expert: At least one member of the audit committee should be designated as the audit committee financial expert.

The following differences from regular public companies apply at mutual funds:

1. Independence of the board: We believe that three-fourths of an investment company's board should be made up of independent directors. This is consistent with a proposed SEC rule on investment company boards. The Investment Company Act requires 40% of the board to be independent, but in 2001, the SEC amended the Exemptive Rules to require that a majority of a mutual fund board be independent. In 2005, the SEC proposed increasing the independence threshold to 75%. In 2006, a federal appeals court ordered that this rule amendment be put back out for public comment, putting it back into "proposed rule" status. Since mutual fund boards play a vital role in overseeing the relationship between the fund and its investment manager, there is greater need for independent oversight than there is for an operating company board.

When the auditor is not up for ratification: We do not recommend voting against the audit committee if the auditor is not up for ratification. Due to the different legal structure of an investment company compared to an operating company, the auditor for the investment company (i.e., mutual fund) does not conduct the same level of financial review for each investment company as for an operating company.

Non-independent chairman: The SEC has proposed that the chairman of the fund board be independent. We agree that the roles of a mutual fund's chairman and CEO should be separate. Although we believe this would be best at all companies, we recommend voting against the chairman of an investment company's nominating committee as well as the chairman of the board if the chairman and CEO of a mutual fund are the same person and the fund does not have an independent lead or presiding director. Seven former SEC commissioners support the appointment of an independent chairman and we agree with them that "an independent board chairman would be better able to create conditions favoring the long-term interests of fund shareholders than would a chairman who is an executive of the adviser." (See the comment letter sent to the SEC in support of the proposed rule at <http://www.sec.gov/news/studies/indchair.pdf>)

Multiple funds overseen by the same director: Unlike service on a public company board, mutual fund boards require much less of a time commitment. Mutual fund directors typically serve on dozens of other mutual fund boards, often within the same fund complex. The Investment Company Institute's ("ICI") Overview of Fund Governance Practices, 1994-2012, indicates that the average number of funds served by an independent director in 2012 was 53. Absent evidence that a specific director is hindered from being an effective board member at a fund due to service on other funds' boards, we refrain from maintaining a cap on the number of outside mutual fund boards that we believe a director can serve on.

DECLASSIFIED BOARDS

Glass Lewis favors the repeal of staggered boards and the annual election of directors. We believe staggered boards are less accountable to shareholders than boards that are elected annually. Furthermore, we feel the annual election of directors encourages board members to focus on shareholder interests.

Empirical studies have shown: (i) staggered boards are associated with a reduction in a firm's valuation; and (ii) in the context of hostile takeovers, staggered boards operate as a takeover defense, which entrenches management, discourages potential acquirers, and delivers a lower return to target shareholders.

In our view, there is no evidence to demonstrate that staggered boards improve shareholder returns in a takeover context. Some research has indicated that shareholders are worse off when a staggered board blocks a transaction; further, when a staggered board negotiates a friendly transaction, no statistically significant difference in premium occurs.⁴³ Additional research found that charter-based staggered boards "reduce the market value of a firm by 4% to 6% of its market capitalization" and that "staggered boards bring about and not merely reflect this reduction in market value."⁴⁴ A subsequent study reaffirmed that classified boards reduce shareholder value, finding "that the ongoing process of dismantling staggered boards, encouraged by institutional investors, could well contribute to increasing shareholder wealth."⁴⁵

43 Lucian Bebchuk, John Coates IV, Guhan Subramanian, “The Powerful Antitakeover Force of Staggered Boards: Further Findings and a Reply to Symposium Participants,” 55 Stanford Law Review 885-917 (2002).

44 Lucian Bebchuk, Alma Cohen, “The Costs of Entrenched Boards” (2004).

45 Lucian Bebchuk, Alma Cohen and Charles C.Y. Wang, “Staggered Boards and the Wealth of Shareholders: Evidence from a Natural Experiment,” SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1706806> (2010), p. 26.

Shareholders have increasingly come to agree with this view. In 2013, 91% of S&P 500 companies had declassified boards, up from approximately 40% a decade ago.⁴⁶ Management proposals to declassify boards are approved with near unanimity and shareholder proposals on the topic also receive strong shareholder support; in 2014, shareholder proposals requesting that companies declassify their boards received average support of 84% (excluding abstentions and broker non-votes), whereas in 1987, only 16.4% of votes cast favored board declassification.⁴⁷ Further, a growing number of companies, nearly half of all those targeted by shareholder proposals requesting that all directors stand for election annually, either recommended shareholders support the proposal or made no recommendation, a departure from the more traditional management recommendation to vote against shareholder proposals.

Given our belief that declassified boards promote director accountability, the empirical evidence suggesting staggered boards reduce a company's value and the established shareholder opposition to such a structure, Glass Lewis supports the declassification of boards and the annual election of directors.

MANDATORY DIRECTOR TERM AND AGE LIMITS

Glass Lewis believes that director age and term limits typically are not in shareholders' best interests. Too often age and term limits are used by boards as a crutch to remove board members who have served for an extended period of time. When used in that fashion, they are indicative of a board that has a difficult time making "tough decisions."

Academic literature suggests that there is no evidence of a correlation between either length of tenure or age and director performance. On occasion, term limits can be used as a means to remove a director for boards that are unwilling to police their membership and to enforce turnover. Some shareholders support term limits as a way to force change when boards are unwilling to do so.

While we understand that age limits can be a way to force change where boards are unwilling to make changes on their own, the long-term impact of age limits restricts experienced and potentially valuable board members from service through an arbitrary means. Further, age limits unfairly imply that older (or, in rare cases, younger) directors cannot contribute to company oversight.

In our view, a director's experience can be a valuable asset to shareholders because of the complex, critical issues that boards face. However, we support routine director evaluation, preferably performed independently by an external firm, and periodic board refreshment to foster the sharing of new perspectives in the boardroom and the generation of new ideas and business strategies. Further, we believe the board should evaluate the need for changes to board composition based on an analysis of skills and experience necessary for the company, as well as the results of an independent board evaluation, instead of relying on arbitrary age or tenure limits. When necessary, shareholders can address concerns regarding proper board composition through director elections.

We believe that shareholders are better off monitoring the board's approach to corporate governance and the board's stewardship of company performance rather than imposing inflexible rules that don't necessarily correlate with returns or benefits for shareholders.

However, if a board adopts term/age limits, it should follow through and not waive such limits. If the board waives its term/age limits, Glass Lewis will consider recommending shareholders vote against the nominating and/or governance committees, unless the rule was waived with sufficient explanation, such as consummation of a corporate transaction like a merger.

46 Spencer Stuart Board Index, 2013, p. 4

47 Lucian Bebchuk, John Coates IV and Guhan Subramanian, "The Powerful Antitakeover Force of Staggered Boards: Theory, Evidence, and Policy".

PROXY ACCESS

In lieu of running their own contested election, proxy access would not only allow certain shareholders to nominate directors to company boards but the shareholder nominees would be included on the company's ballot, significantly enhancing the ability of shareholders to play a meaningful role in selecting their representatives. Glass Lewis generally supports affording shareholders the right to nominate director candidates to management's proxy as a means to ensure that significant, long-term shareholders have an ability to nominate candidates to the board.

Companies generally seek shareholder approval to amend company bylaws to adopt proxy access in response to shareholder engagement or pressure, usually in the form of a shareholder proposal requesting proxy access, although some companies may adopt some elements of proxy access without prompting. Glass Lewis considers several factors when evaluating whether to support proposals for companies to adopt proxy access including the specified minimum ownership and holding requirement for shareholders to nominate one or more directors, as well as company size, performance and responsiveness to shareholders.

For a discussion of recent regulatory events in this area, along with a detailed overview of the Glass Lewis approach to Shareholder Proposals regarding Proxy Access, refer to Glass Lewis' *Proxy Paper Guidelines for Shareholder Initiatives*, available at www.glasslewis.com.

MAJORITY VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

In stark contrast to the failure of shareholder access to gain acceptance, majority voting for the election of directors is fast becoming the de facto standard in corporate board elections. In our view, the majority voting proposals are an effort to make the case for shareholder impact on director elections on a company-specific basis.

While this proposal would not give shareholders the opportunity to nominate directors or lead to elections where shareholders have a choice among director candidates, if implemented, the proposal would allow shareholders to have a voice in determining whether the nominees proposed by the board should actually serve as the overseer-representatives of shareholders in the boardroom. We believe this would be a favorable outcome for shareholders.

During the first half of 2014, Glass Lewis tracked approximately 28 shareholder proposals seeking to require a majority vote to elect directors at annual meetings in the U.S. While this is roughly on par with what we have reviewed in each of the past several years, it is a sharp contrast to the 147 proposals tracked during all of 2006. This large drop in the number of proposals being submitted in recent years compared to 2006 is a result of many companies having already adopted some form of majority voting, including approximately 84% of companies in the S&P 500 Index, up from 56% in 2008.⁴⁸

Investors are also increasingly supporting this measure. During the 2014 proxy season, shareholder proposals requesting that companies adopt a majority voting standard for director elections received, on average, 59% shareholder support (excluding abstentions and broker non-votes). Further, nearly half of these resolutions received majority shareholder support and a number of companies either recommended shareholders vote in favor of or did not make a recommendation for how shareholders should vote on these proposals.

THE PLURALITY VOTE STANDARD

Today, most US companies still elect directors by a plurality vote standard. Under that standard, if one shareholder holding only one share votes in favor of a nominee (including that director, if the director is a shareholder), that nominee “wins” the election and assumes a seat on the board. The common concern among companies with a plurality voting standard is the possibility that one or more directors would not receive a majority of votes, resulting in “failed elections.”

48 Spencer Stuart Board Index, 2013, p. 13

ADVANTAGES OF A MAJORITY VOTE STANDARD

If a majority vote standard were implemented, a nominee would have to receive the support of a majority of the shares voted in order to be elected. Thus, shareholders could collectively vote to reject a director they believe will not pursue their best interests. Given that so few directors (less than 100 a year) do not receive majority support from shareholders, we think that a majority vote standard is reasonable since it will neither result in many failed director elections nor reduce the willingness of qualified, shareholder-focused directors to serve in the future. Further, most directors who fail to receive a majority shareholder vote in favor of their election do not step down, underscoring the need for true majority voting.

We believe that a majority vote standard will likely lead to more attentive directors. Although shareholders only rarely fail to support directors, the occasional majority vote against a director's election will likely deter the election of directors with a record of ignoring shareholder interests. Glass Lewis will therefore generally support proposals calling for the election of directors by a majority vote, excepting contested director elections.

In response to the high level of support majority voting has garnered, many companies have voluntarily taken steps to implement majority voting or modified approaches to majority voting. These steps range from a modified approach requiring directors that receive a majority of withheld votes to resign (i.e., a resignation policy) to actually requiring a majority vote of outstanding shares to elect directors.

We feel that the modified approach does not go far enough because requiring a director to resign is not the same as requiring a majority vote to elect a director and does not allow shareholders a definitive voice in the election process. Further, under the modified approach, the corporate governance committee could reject a resignation and, even if it accepts the resignation, the corporate governance committee decides on the director's replacement. And since the modified approach is usually adopted as a policy by the board or a board committee, it could be altered by the same board or committee at any time.

II. Transparency and Integrity
in Financial Reporting

AUDITOR RATIFICATION

The auditor's role as gatekeeper is crucial in ensuring the integrity and transparency of the financial information necessary for protecting shareholder value. Shareholders rely on the auditor to ask tough questions and to do a thorough analysis of a company's books to ensure that the information provided to shareholders is complete, accurate, fair, and that it is a reasonable representation of a company's financial position. The only way shareholders can make rational investment decisions is if the market is equipped with accurate information about a company's fiscal health. As stated in the October 6, 2008 Final Report of the Advisory Committee on the Auditing Profession to the U.S. Department of the Treasury:

"The auditor is expected to offer critical and objective judgment on the financial matters under consideration, and actual and perceived absence of conflicts is critical to that expectation. The Committee believes that auditors, investors, public companies, and other market participants must understand the independence requirements and their objectives, and that auditors must adopt a mindset of skepticism when facing situations that may compromise their independence."

As such, shareholders should demand an objective, competent and diligent auditor who performs at or above professional standards at every company in which the investors hold an interest. Like directors, auditors should be free from conflicts of interest and should avoid situations requiring a choice between the auditor's interests and the public's interests. Almost without exception, shareholders should be able to annually review an auditor's performance and to annually ratify a board's auditor selection. Moreover, in October 2008, the Advisory Committee on the Auditing Profession went even further, and recommended that "to further enhance audit committee oversight and auditor accountability ... disclosure in the company proxy statement regarding shareholder ratification [should] include the name(s) of the senior auditing partner(s) staffed on the engagement."⁹

On August 16, 2011, the PCAOB issued a Concept Release seeking public comment on ways that auditor independence, objectivity and professional skepticism could be enhanced, with a specific emphasis on mandatory audit firm rotation. The PCAOB convened several public roundtable meetings during 2012 to further discuss such matters. Glass Lewis believes auditor rotation can ensure both the independence of the auditor and the integrity of the audit; we will typically recommend supporting proposals to require auditor rotation when the proposal uses a reasonable period of time (usually not less than 5-7 years), particularly at companies with a history of accounting problems.

VOTING RECOMMENDATIONS ON AUDITOR RATIFICATION

We generally support management's choice of auditor except when we believe the auditor's independence or audit integrity has been compromised. Where a board has not allowed shareholders to review and ratify an auditor, we typically recommend voting against the audit committee chairman. When there have been material restatements of annual financial statements or material weaknesses in internal controls, we usually recommend voting against the entire audit committee.

Reasons why we may not recommend ratification of an auditor include:

1. When audit fees plus audit-related fees total less than the tax fees and/or other non-audit fees.
2. Recent material restatements of annual financial statements, including those resulting in the

49 "Final Report of the Advisory Committee on the Auditing Profession to the U.S. Department of the Treasury." p. VIII:20, October 6, 2008.

reporting of material weaknesses in internal controls and including late filings by the company where the auditor bears some responsibility for the restatement or late filing.⁵⁰

3. When the auditor performs prohibited services such as tax-shelter work, tax services for the CEO or CFO, or contingent-fee work, such as a fee based on a percentage of economic benefit to the company.
4. When audit fees are excessively low, especially when compared with other companies in the same industry.
5. When the company has aggressive accounting policies.
6. When the company has poor disclosure or lack of transparency in its financial statements.
7. Where the auditor limited its liability through its contract with the company or the audit contract requires the corporation to use alternative dispute resolution procedures without adequate justification.
8. We also look for other relationships or concerns with the auditor that might suggest a conflict between the auditor's interests and shareholder interests.

PENSION ACCOUNTING ISSUES

A pension accounting question occasionally raised in proxy proposals is what effect, if any, projected returns on employee pension assets should have on a company's net income. This issue often arises in the executive-compensation context in a discussion of the extent to which pension accounting should be reflected in business performance for purposes of calculating payments to executives.

Glass Lewis believes that pension credits should not be included in measuring income that is used to award performance-based compensation. Because many of the assumptions used in accounting for retirement plans are subject to the company's discretion, management would have an obvious conflict of interest if pay were tied to pension income. In our view, projected income from pensions does not truly reflect a company's performance.

⁵⁰ An auditor does not audit interim financial statements. Thus, we generally do not believe that an auditor should be opposed due to a restatement of interim financial statements unless the nature of the misstatement is clear from a reading of the incorrect financial statements.

III. The Link Between
Compensation and Performance

Glass Lewis carefully reviews the compensation awarded to senior executives, as we believe that this is an important area in which the board's priorities are revealed. Glass Lewis strongly believes executive compensation should be linked directly with the performance of the business the executive is charged with managing. We believe the most effective compensation arrangements provide for an appropriate mix of performance-based short- and long-term incentives in addition to fixed pay elements while promoting a prudent and sustainable level of risk-taking.

Glass Lewis believes that comprehensive, timely and transparent disclosure of executive pay is critical to allowing shareholders to evaluate the extent to which pay is aligned with company performance. When reviewing proxy materials, Glass Lewis examines whether the company discloses the performance metrics used to determine executive compensation. We recognize performance metrics must necessarily vary depending on the company and industry, among other factors, and may include a wide variety of financial measures as well as industry-specific performance indicators. However, we believe companies should disclose why the specific performance metrics were selected and how the actions they are designed to incentivize will lead to better corporate performance.

Moreover, it is rarely in shareholders' interests to disclose competitive data about individual salaries below the senior executive level. Such disclosure could create internal personnel discord that would be counterproductive for the company and its shareholders. While we favor full disclosure for senior executives and we view pay disclosure at the aggregate level (e.g., the number of employees being paid over a certain amount or in certain categories) as potentially useful, we do not believe shareholders need or will benefit from detailed reports about individual management employees other than the most senior executives.

ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION ("SAY-ON-PAY")

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") required companies to hold an advisory vote on executive compensation at the first shareholder meeting that occurs six months after enactment of the bill (January 21, 2011).

This practice of allowing shareholders a non-binding vote on a company's compensation report is standard practice in many non-US countries, and has been a requirement for most companies in the United Kingdom since 2003 and in Australia since 2005. Although say-on-pay proposals are non-binding, a high level of "against" or "abstain" votes indicates substantial shareholder concern about a company's compensation policies and procedures.

Given the complexity of most companies' compensation programs, Glass Lewis applies a highly nuanced approach when analyzing advisory votes on executive compensation. We review each company's compensation on a case-by-case basis, recognizing that each company must be examined in the context of industry, size, maturity,

performance, financial condition, its historic pay for performance practices, and any other relevant internal or external factors.

We believe that each company should design and apply specific compensation policies and practices that are appropriate to the circumstances of the company and, in particular, will attract and retain competent executives and other staff, while motivating them to grow the company's long-term shareholder value.

Where we find those specific policies and practices serve to reasonably align compensation with performance, and such practices are adequately disclosed, Glass Lewis will recommend supporting

the company's approach. If, however, those specific policies and practices fail to demonstrably link compensation with performance, Glass Lewis will generally recommend voting against the say-on-pay proposal.

Glass Lewis reviews say-on-pay proposals on both a qualitative basis and a quantitative basis, with a focus on several main areas:

- The overall design and structure of the company's executive compensation programs including selection and challenging nature of performance metrics;
- The implementation and effectiveness of the company's executive compensation programs including pay mix and use of performance metrics in determining pay levels;
- The quality and content of the company's disclosure;
- The quantum paid to executives; and
- The link between compensation and performance as indicated by the company's current and past pay-for-performance grades.

We also review any significant changes or modifications, and rationale for such changes, made to the company's compensation structure or award amounts, including base salaries.

SAY-ON-PAY VOTING RECOMMENDATIONS

In cases where we find deficiencies in a company's compensation program's design, implementation or management, we will recommend that shareholders vote against the say-on-pay proposal. Generally such instances include evidence of a pattern of poor pay-for-performance practices (i.e., deficient or failing pay for performance grades), unclear or questionable disclosure regarding the overall compensation structure (e.g., limited information regarding benchmarking processes, limited rationale for bonus performance metrics and targets, etc.), questionable adjustments to certain aspects of the overall compensation structure (e.g., limited rationale for significant changes to performance targets or metrics, the payout of guaranteed bonuses or sizable retention grants, etc.), and/or other egregious compensation practices.

Although not an exhaustive list, the following issues when weighed together may cause Glass Lewis to recommend voting against a say-on-pay vote:

- Inappropriate peer group and/or benchmarking issues;

- Inadequate or no rationale for changes to peer groups;
- Egregious or excessive bonuses, equity awards or severance payments, including golden handshakes and golden parachutes;
- Problematic contractual payments, such as guaranteed bonuses;
- Targeting overall levels of compensation at higher than median without adequate justification;
- Performance targets not sufficiently challenging, and/or providing for high potential payouts;
- Performance targets lowered without justification;
- Discretionary bonuses paid when short- or long-term incentive plan targets were not met;
- Executive pay high relative to peers not justified by outstanding company performance; and
- The terms of the long-term incentive plans are inappropriate (please see “Long-Term Incentives” on page 29).

In instances where a company has simply failed to provide sufficient disclosure of its policies, we may recommend shareholders vote against this proposal solely on this basis, regardless of the appropriateness of compensation levels.

COMPANY RESPONSIVENESS

At companies that received a significant level of shareholder opposition (25% or greater) to their say-on-pay proposal at the previous annual meeting, we believe the board should demonstrate some level of engagement and responsiveness to the shareholder concerns behind the discontent, particularly in response to shareholder engagement. While we recognize that sweeping changes cannot be made to a compensation program without due consideration and that a majority of shareholders voted in favor of the proposal, given that the average approval rate for say-on-pay proposals is about 90% we believe the compensation committee should provide some level of response to a significant vote against, including engaging with large shareholders to identify their concerns. In the absence of any evidence that the board is actively engaging shareholders on these issues and responding accordingly, we may recommend holding compensation committee members accountable for failing to adequately respond to shareholder opposition, giving careful consideration to the level of shareholder protest and the severity and history of compensation problems.

Where we identify egregious compensation practices, we may also recommend voting against the compensation committee based on the practices or actions of its members during the year. Such practices may include: approving large one-off payments, the inappropriate, unjustified use of discretion, or sustained poor pay for performance practices.

PAY FOR PERFORMANCE

Glass Lewis believes an integral part of a well-structured compensation package is a successful link between pay and performance. Our proprietary pay-for-performance model was developed to better evaluate the link between pay and performance of the top five executives at US companies. Our model benchmarks these executives' pay and company performance against peers selected using Equilar's market-based peer groups and across five performance metrics. By measuring the magnitude of the gap between two weighted-average percentile rankings (executive compensation and performance), we grade companies based on a school letter system: "A", "B", "F", etc. The grades guide our evaluation of compensation committee effectiveness and we generally recommend voting against compensation committee of companies with a pattern of failing our pay-for-performance analysis.

We also use this analysis to inform our voting decisions on say-on-pay proposals. As such, if a company receives a failing grade from our proprietary model, we are more likely to recommend that shareholders vote against the say-on-pay proposal. However, other qualitative factors such as an effective overall incentive structure, the relevance of selected performance metrics, significant forthcoming enhancements or reasonable long-term payout levels may give us cause to recommend in favor of a proposal even when we have identified a disconnect between pay and performance.

SHORT-TERM INCENTIVES

A short-term bonus or incentive (“STI”) should be demonstrably tied to performance. Whenever possible, we believe a mix of corporate and individual performance measures is appropriate. We would normally expect performance measures for STIs to be based on company-wide or divisional financial measures as well as non-financial factors such as those related to safety, environmental issues, and customer satisfaction. While we recognize that companies operating in different sectors or markets may seek to utilize a wide range of metrics, we expect such measures to be appropriately tied to a company’s business drivers.

Further, the target and potential maximum awards that can be achieved under STI awards should be disclosed. Shareholders should expect stretching performance targets for the maximum award to be achieved. Any increase in the potential maximum award should be clearly justified to shareholders.

Glass Lewis recognizes that disclosure of some measures may include commercially confidential information. Therefore, we believe it may be reasonable to exclude such information in some cases as long as the company provides sufficient justification for non-disclosure. However, where a short-term

bonus has been paid, companies should disclose the extent to which performance has been achieved against relevant targets, including disclosure of the actual target achieved.

Where management has received significant STIs but short-term performance over the previous year prima facie appears to be poor or negative, we believe the company should provide a clear explanation of why these significant short-term payments were made.

LONG-TERM INCENTIVES

Glass Lewis recognizes the value of equity-based incentive programs, which are often the primary long-term incentive for executives. When used appropriately, they can provide a vehicle for linking an executive's pay to company performance, thereby aligning their interests with those of shareholders. In addition, equity-based compensation can be an effective way to attract, retain and motivate key employees.

There are certain elements that Glass Lewis believes are common to most well-structured long-term incentive ("LTI") plans. These include:

- No re-testing or lowering of performance conditions;
- Performance metrics that cannot be easily manipulated by management;
- Two or more performance metrics;
- At least one relative performance metric that compares the company's performance to a relevant peer group or index;
- Performance periods of at least three years;
- Stretching metrics that incentivize executives to strive for outstanding performance while not encouraging excessive risk-taking; and
- Individual limits expressed as a percentage of base salary.

Performance measures should be carefully selected and should relate to the specific business/industry in which the company operates and, especially, the key value drivers of the company's business.

While cognizant of the inherent complexity of certain performance metrics, Glass Lewis generally believes that measuring a company's performance with multiple metrics serves to provide a more complete picture of the company's performance than a single metric; further, reliance on just one metric may focus too much management attention on a

single target and is therefore more susceptible to manipulation. When utilized for relative measurements, external benchmarks such as a sector index or peer group should be disclosed and transparent. The rationale behind the selection of a specific index or peer group should also be disclosed. Internal benchmarks should also be disclosed and transparent, unless a cogent case for confidentiality is made and fully explained.