

STATE STREET CORP
Form 10-Q
November 06, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549
Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. 001-07511

STATE STREET CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Massachusetts

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

One Lincoln Street

Boston, Massachusetts

(Address of principal executive office)

617-786-3000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

04-2456637

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

02111

(Zip Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The number of shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of October 31, 2013 was 439,001,221.

STATE STREET CORPORATION
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

<u>Table of Contents for Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Income (Unaudited) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>57</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Condition as of September 30, 2013 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2012</u>	<u>59</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders’ Equity (Unaudited) for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>60</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>Table of Contents for Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	<u>63</u>
<u>Review Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>114</u>
<u>FORM 10-Q PART I CROSS-REFERENCE INDEX</u>	<u>115</u>
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>116</u>
<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>116</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>117</u>
<u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u>	<u>118</u>

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>General</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Forward-Looking Statements</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Overview of Financial Results</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Consolidated Results of Operations</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>Total Revenue</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Fee Revenue</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Net Interest Revenue</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Gains (Losses) Related to Investment Securities, Net</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>Expenses</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Income Tax Expense</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>Line of Business Information</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>Financial Condition</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>Investment Securities</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>Loans and Leases</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>Cross-Border Outstandings</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Capital</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Liquidity</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Risk Management</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>Market Risk</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>Trading Activities</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>Asset-and-Liability Management Activities</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Credit and Counterparty Risk</u>	<u>54</u>
<u>Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Recent Accounting Developments</u>	<u>55</u>

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

GENERAL

State Street Corporation, or the parent company, is a financial holding company headquartered in Boston, Massachusetts. Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this Management's Discussion and Analysis to "State Street," "we," "us," "our" or similar terms mean State Street Corporation and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. Our principal banking subsidiary is State Street Bank and Trust Company, or State Street Bank. As of September 30, 2013, we had consolidated total assets of \$217.18 billion, consolidated total deposits of \$154.20 billion, consolidated total shareholders' equity of \$20.43 billion and 29,230 employees. With \$26.03 trillion of assets under custody and administration and \$2.24 trillion of assets under management as of September 30, 2013, we are a leading specialist in meeting the needs of institutional investors worldwide.

We have two lines of business:

Investment Servicing provides services for mutual funds, collective investment funds and other investment pools, corporate and public retirement plans, insurance companies, foundations and endowments worldwide. Products include custody, product- and participant-level accounting, daily pricing and administration; master trust and master custody; record-keeping; foreign exchange, brokerage and other trading services; securities finance; deposit and short-term investment facilities; loans and lease financing; investment manager and alternative investment manager operations outsourcing; and performance, risk and compliance analytics to support institutional investors.

Investment Management, through State Street Global Advisors, or SSgA, provides a broad range of investment management strategies, specialized investment management advisory services and other financial services, such as securities finance, for corporations, public funds, and other sophisticated investors. Management strategies offered by SSgA include passive and active, such as enhanced indexing, using quantitative and fundamental methods for both U.S. and non-U.S. equity and fixed-income securities. SSgA also offers exchange-traded funds, or ETFs.

For financial and other information about our lines of business, refer to "Line of Business Information" included in this Management's Discussion and Analysis and in note 16 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q.

In July 2013, Moody's Investors Service announced that it had placed the long-term ratings of State Street and State Street Bank on review for possible downgrade. Moody's made a similar announcement regarding two other major U.S. trust and custody banks. Other major independent credit rating agencies did not take similar actions. In September 2013, Moody's Investors Service announced that it was continuing to review the long-term ratings of State Street and State Street Bank and the two other major U.S. trust and custody banks. In addition, in August 2013, Moody's also undertook a review of its systemic support assumptions for the eight largest U.S. banks, including State Street.

This Management's Discussion and Analysis is part of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, and updates the Management's Discussion and Analysis in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, referred to as our 2012 Form 10-K, and in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013, all of which we previously filed with the SEC. You should read the financial information contained in this Management's Discussion and Analysis and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q in conjunction with the financial and other information contained in those reports. Certain previously reported amounts presented in this Form 10-Q have been reclassified to conform to current-period presentation.

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., referred to as GAAP. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions in its application of certain accounting policies that materially affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, equity, revenue and expenses.

The significant accounting policies that require us to make estimates and assumptions that are difficult, subjective or complex about matters that are uncertain and may change in subsequent periods are accounting for fair value measurements; other-than-temporary impairment of investment securities; and impairment of goodwill and other

intangible assets. These significant accounting policies require the most subjective or complex judgments, and underlying estimates and assumptions could be subject to revision as new information becomes available. An understanding of the judgments, estimates and assumptions underlying these significant accounting policies is essential in order to understand our reported consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

Additional information about these significant accounting policies is included under “Significant Accounting Estimates” in Management’s Discussion and Analysis in our 2012 Form 10-K. We did not change these significant accounting policies during the first nine months of 2013.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Certain financial information provided in this Management's Discussion and Analysis is prepared on both a GAAP, or reported basis, and a non-GAAP, or operating basis, including certain non-GAAP measures used in the calculation of identified regulatory capital ratios. We measure and compare certain financial information on an operating basis, as we believe that this presentation supports meaningful comparisons from period to period and the analysis of comparable financial trends with respect to State Street's normal ongoing business operations. We believe that operating-basis financial information, which reports non-taxable revenue, such as interest revenue associated with tax-exempt investment securities, on a fully taxable-equivalent basis, facilitates an investor's understanding and analysis of State Street's underlying financial performance and trends in addition to financial information prepared and reported in conformity with GAAP.

We also believe that the use of certain non-GAAP measures in the calculation of identified regulatory capital ratios is useful in understanding State Street's capital position and is of interest to investors. Operating-basis financial information should be considered in addition to, not as a substitute for or superior to, financial information prepared in conformity with GAAP. Any non-GAAP, or operating-basis, financial information presented in this Management's Discussion and Analysis is reconciled to its most directly comparable GAAP-basis measure.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10-Q (including statements in this Management's Discussion and Analysis), as well as other reports submitted by us under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, registration statements filed by us under the Securities Act of 1933, our annual report to shareholders and other public statements we may make, contain statements that are considered "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of U.S. securities laws, including statements about industry, regulatory, economic and market trends, management's expectations about our financial performance, capital, market growth, acquisitions, joint ventures and divestitures, new technologies, services and opportunities and earnings, management's confidence in our strategies and other matters that do not relate strictly to historical facts. Terminology such as "plan," "expect," "intend," "forecast," "outlook," "believe," "anticipate," "estimate," "seek," "may," "will," "strategy" and "goal," or similar statements or variations of such terms, are intended to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain such terms.

Forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties, which change over time, are based on management's expectations and assumptions at the time the statements are made, and are not guarantees of future results. Management's expectations and assumptions, and the continued validity of the forward-looking statements, are subject to change due to a broad range of factors affecting the national and global economies, the equity, debt, currency and other financial markets, as well as factors specific to State Street and its subsidiaries, including State Street Bank. Factors that could cause changes in the expectations or assumptions on which forward-looking statements are based cannot be foreseen with certainty and include, but are not limited to:

- the financial strength and continuing viability of the counterparties with which we or our clients do business and to which we have investment, credit or financial exposure, including, for example, the direct and indirect effects on counterparties of the current sovereign-debt risks in the U.S., Europe and other regions;
- financial market disruptions or economic recession, whether in the U.S., Europe, Asia or other regions;
- increases in the volatility of, or declines in the level of, our net interest revenue, changes in the composition or valuation of the assets recorded in our consolidated statement of condition (and our ability to measure the fair value of investment securities) and the possibility that we may change the manner in which we fund those assets;
- the liquidity of the U.S. and international securities markets, particularly the markets for fixed-income securities and inter-bank credits, and the liquidity requirements of our clients;
- the level and volatility of interest rates and the performance and volatility of securities, credit, currency and other markets in the U.S. and internationally;
- the credit quality, credit-agency ratings and fair values of the securities in our investment securities portfolio, a deterioration or downgrade of which could lead to other-than-temporary impairment of the respective securities and the recognition of an impairment loss in our consolidated statement of income;

our ability to attract deposits and other low-cost, short-term funding, and our ability to deploy deposits in a profitable manner consistent with our liquidity requirements and risk profile;
the manner and timing with which the Federal Reserve and other U.S. and foreign regulators implement the Dodd-Frank Act, the Basel II and Basel III capital and liquidity standards, and European legislation with respect to the levels of regulatory capital we must maintain, our credit exposure to third parties, margin requirements applicable to derivatives, banking and financial activities and other regulatory initiatives in the U.S. and internationally, including regulatory developments that result in changes to our structure or operating model, increased costs or other changes to how we provide services;
adverse changes in the regulatory capital ratios that we are required to meet, whether arising under the Dodd-Frank Act,

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

the Basel II or Basel III capital and liquidity standards or due to changes in regulatory positions, practices or regulations in jurisdictions in which we engage in banking activities, including changes in internal or external data, formulae, models, assumptions or other advanced systems used in calculating our capital ratios that cause changes in those ratios as they are measured from period to period;

increasing requirements to obtain the prior approval of the Federal Reserve or our other regulators for the use, allocation or distribution of our capital or other specific capital actions or programs, including acquisitions, dividends and equity purchases, without which our growth plans, distributions to shareholders, equity purchase programs or other capital initiatives may be restricted;

changes in law or regulation that may adversely affect our business activities or those of our clients or our counterparties, and the products or services that we sell, including additional or increased taxes or assessments thereon, capital adequacy requirements, margin requirements and changes that expose us to risks related to the adequacy of our controls or compliance programs;

our ability to promote a strong culture of risk management, operating controls, compliance oversight and governance that meet our expectations or those of our clients and our regulators;

the credit agency ratings of our debt and depository obligations and investor and client perceptions of our financial strength;

delays or difficulties in the execution of our previously announced Business Operations and Information Technology Transformation program, which could lead to changes in our estimates of the charges, expenses or savings associated with the planned program and may cause volatility of our earnings;

the results of, and costs associated with, government investigations, litigation, and similar claims, disputes, or proceedings;

the possibility that our clients will incur substantial losses in investment pools for which we act as agent, and the possibility of significant reductions in the liquidity or valuation of assets underlying those pools;

adverse publicity or other reputational harm;

dependencies on information technology, complexities and costs of protecting the security of our systems and difficulties with protecting our intellectual property rights;

our ability to grow revenue, control expenses, attract and retain highly skilled people and raise the capital necessary to achieve our business goals and comply with regulatory requirements;

potential changes to the competitive environment, including changes due to regulatory and technological changes, the effects of industry consolidation, and perceptions of State Street as a suitable service provider or counterparty;

potential changes in how and in what amounts clients compensate us for our services, and the mix of services provided by us that clients choose;

the ability to complete acquisitions, joint ventures and divestitures, including the ability to obtain regulatory approvals, the ability to arrange financing as required and the ability to satisfy closing conditions;

the risks that acquired businesses and joint ventures will not achieve their anticipated financial and operational benefits or will not be integrated successfully, or that the integration will take longer than anticipated, that expected synergies will not be achieved or unexpected negative synergies will be experienced, that client and deposit retention goals will not be met, that other regulatory or operational challenges will be experienced and that disruptions from the transaction will harm our relationships with our clients, our employees or regulators;

our ability to recognize emerging needs of our clients and to develop products that are responsive to such trends and profitable to us, the performance of and demand for the products and services we offer, and the potential for new products and services to impose additional costs on us and expose us to increased operational risk;

our ability to anticipate and manage the level and timing of redemptions and withdrawals from our collateral pools and other collective investment products;

our ability to control operational risks, data security breach risks, information technology systems risks and outsourcing risks, and our ability to protect our intellectual property rights, the possibility of errors in the quantitative models we use to manage our business and the possibility that our controls will prove insufficient, fail or be

circumvented;

• changes in accounting standards and practices; and

• changes in tax legislation and in the interpretation of existing tax laws by U.S. and non-U.S. tax authorities that affect the amount of taxes due.

Actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expressed in our forward-looking statements and from our historical financial results due to the factors discussed in this section and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q or disclosed in our other SEC filings, including the risk factors discussed in our 2012 Form 10-K. Forward-looking statements should not be relied on as representing our expectations or beliefs as of any date subsequent to the time this Form 10-Q is filed with the SEC. We undertake no obligation to revise our forward-looking statements after the time they are made. The factors discussed above are not intended to be a complete statement of all risks and uncertainties that may affect our businesses. We cannot anticipate all developments that may adversely affect our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

6

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

The following "Highlights" and "Financial Results" sections provide information related to significant events, as well as highlights of our consolidated financial results for the third quarter of 2013 presented in the preceding table. More detailed information about our consolidated financial results, including comparisons of our results for the third quarter of 2013 to those for the third quarter of 2012 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 to those for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, is provided under "Consolidated Results of Operations," which follows these sections.

Highlights

In the third quarter of 2013, under a program approved by our Board of Directors in March 2013 which authorizes us to purchase up to \$2.10 billion of our common stock through March 31, 2014, we purchased approximately 8.2 million shares of our common stock at an average cost of \$68.57 per share and an aggregate cost of approximately \$560 million. As of September 30, 2013, approximately \$980 million remained available for purchases of our common stock under the March 2013 program. In addition, in the third quarter of 2013, we declared a quarterly common stock dividend of \$0.26 per share, totaling approximately \$115 million, which was paid in October 2013. Additional information about our common stock purchase program and our common stock dividends, as well as our preferred stock dividends, is provided under "Financial Condition – Capital" in this Management's Discussion and Analysis.

In 2011 and 2012 combined, our Business Operations and Information Technology Transformation program generated approximately \$198 million of total pre-tax expense savings compared to our 2010 expenses from operations, all else being equal. In 2013, we expect to achieve incremental pre-tax expense savings of approximately \$220 million compared to our 2010 expense base, all else being equal, or approximately \$418 million of total pre-tax expense savings compared to our 2010 expense base, all else being equal, under the program since its inception at the end of 2010. These pre-tax expense savings relate only to the Business Operations and Information Technology Transformation program and are based on projected improvement from our total 2010 expenses from operations. Our actual total expenses have increased since 2010, and may in the future increase or decrease, due to other factors. Additional information about our Business Operations and Information Technology Transformation program is provided under "Consolidated Results of Operations – Expenses" in this Management's Discussion and Analysis.

Financial Results

Total revenue in the third quarter of 2013 increased 3% compared to the third quarter of 2012, as a combined 10% increase in aggregate servicing fee and management fee revenue and a 10% increase in trading services revenue, due to increases in foreign exchange trading, were partly offset by declines in securities finance revenue and net interest revenue of 19% and 12%, respectively.

Servicing fee revenue in the third quarter of 2013 increased 10% compared to the third quarter of 2012, mainly the result of stronger global equity markets, the addition of revenue from the Goldman Sachs Administration Services, or GSAS, business, acquired in October 2012, and the impact of net new business installed. Servicing fees generated outside the U.S. in each of the third quarter of 2013 and the third quarter of 2012 were approximately 42% of total servicing fees for those periods. Management fee revenue increased 10% compared to the third quarter of 2012, primarily the result of stronger equity markets and the impact of net new business installed. Management fees generated outside the U.S. in the third quarter of 2013 and the third quarter of 2012 were approximately 37% and 35%, respectively, of total management fees for those periods.

In the third quarter of 2013, trading services revenue, composed of revenue generated by foreign exchange trading and revenue generated by brokerage and other trading services, increased 10% compared to the third quarter of 2012. Foreign exchange trading revenue was up 28%, with estimated indirect foreign exchange revenue up 33% and direct sales and trading foreign exchange revenue up 23%, from the prior-year quarter, with all increases mainly the result of higher client volumes and currency volatility, as well as higher spreads. Brokerage and other trading services revenue declined 7% compared to the third quarter of 2012, primarily reflecting the impact of lower distribution fees

associated with the SPDR[®] Gold ETF, which resulted from decreases in gold prices and net outflows of ETF assets. Securities finance revenue declined 19% in the third quarter of 2013 compared to the third quarter of 2012, generally the result of lower spreads and slightly lower lending volumes.

Net interest revenue in the third quarter of 2013 declined 12% compared to the third quarter of 2012, generally the result of lower yields on earning assets related to lower global interest rates, partly offset by lower funding costs. The decline in net interest revenue also reflected the continued impact of the reinvestment of paydowns on existing investment securities in lower-yielding investment securities. Net interest revenue in the third quarter of 2013 and the third quarter of 2012 included \$28 million and \$40 million, respectively, of discount accretion related to investment securities added to our consolidated statement of condition in connection with the consolidation of the commercial paper conduits in 2009.

Net interest margin, calculated on fully taxable-equivalent net interest revenue, declined 20 basis points to 1.33% in the third quarter of 2013 from 1.53% in the third quarter of 2012. Continued elevated levels of client deposits, amid continued

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

market uncertainty, increased our average interest-earning assets, but negatively affected our net interest margin, as we generally placed a portion of these deposits with central banks and earned the relatively low interest rates paid by the central banks on these balances over the period. Discount accretion, fully taxable-equivalent net interest revenue and net interest margin are discussed in more detail under "Consolidated Results of Operations - Net Interest Revenue" in this Management's Discussion and Analysis.

Total expenses for the third quarter of 2013 increased 22% compared to the third quarter of 2012. Total expenses for the third quarter of 2013 reflected aggregate credits of \$30 million to other expenses, related to gains and recoveries associated with Lehman Brothers-related assets. Total expenses for the third quarter of 2012 reflected a net credit of \$277 million, composed of recoveries of \$362 million associated with the 2008 Lehman Brothers bankruptcy, partly offset by provisions for litigation exposure and other costs of \$85 million. Excluding the credits recorded in the third quarters of 2013 and 2012, total expenses increased 4% in the quarter-to-quarter comparison, to \$1.75 billion (\$1.72 billion plus \$30 million) from \$1.69 billion (\$1.42 billion plus \$277 million).

Compensation and employee benefits expenses were down 1% in the third quarter of 2013 compared to the third quarter of 2012, primarily due to savings associated with the execution of our Business Operations and Information Technology Transformation program and lower benefit costs, partly offset by an increase in costs to support new business and higher incentive compensation. Information systems and communications expenses increased 11% compared to the third quarter of 2012, primarily from the planned transition of certain functions to third-party service providers in connection with the execution of our Business Operations and Information Technology Transformation program and costs to support new business. Transaction processing services expenses were higher by 9%, the result of higher equity market values and higher transaction volumes in the asset servicing business. Finally, other expenses declined 24%, mainly the result of a decline in provisions for litigation exposure and the above-described third-quarter-2013 gains and recoveries associated with Lehman Brothers-related assets. Additional information with respect to our expenses is provided under "Consolidated Results of Operations - Expenses" in this Management's Discussion and Analysis.

In the third quarter of 2013, we secured mandates for approximately \$200 billion of new business in assets to be serviced; of the total, \$57 billion was installed prior to September 30, 2013, with the remaining \$143 billion expected to be installed in the remainder of 2013 and later periods. In the third quarter of 2013, we also installed approximately \$39 billion of new business in assets to be serviced that was awarded to us in periods prior to the third quarter of 2013. The new business not installed by September 30, 2013 was not included in our assets under custody and administration as of that date, and had no impact on our servicing fee revenue in the third quarter of 2013, as the assets are not included until their installation is complete and we begin to service them. Once installed, the assets generate servicing fee revenue in subsequent periods in which the assets are serviced.

We will provide one or more of various services for these new assets to be serviced, including accounting, bank loan servicing, compliance reporting and monitoring, custody, depository banking services, foreign exchange, fund administration, hedge fund servicing, middle office outsourcing, performance and analytics, private equity administration, real estate administration, securities finance, transfer agency, and wealth management services. In the third quarter of 2013, SSgA had approximately \$15 billion of net lost business in assets to be managed, generally composed of approximately \$20 billion of net outflows from active and enhanced equity funds, partly offset by approximately \$5 billion of net inflows into ETFs.

An additional \$25 billion of new business awarded to SSgA but not installed by September 30, 2013 was not included in our assets under management as of that date, and had no impact on our management fee revenue for the third quarter of 2013, as the assets are not included until their installation is complete and we begin to manage them. Once installed, the assets generate management fee revenue in subsequent periods in which the assets are managed.

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This section discusses our consolidated results of operations for the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 compared to the same periods in 2012, and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying condensed notes included in this Form 10-Q.

In light of the above, we estimate, assuming all other factors remain constant, that a 10% increase or decrease in worldwide equity valuations would result in a corresponding change in our total revenue of approximately 2%. If fixed-income security valuations were to increase or decrease by 10%, we would anticipate, assuming all other factors remain constant, a corresponding change of approximately 1% in our total revenue.

Total	\$26,033	\$24,371	\$23,441
-------	----------	----------	----------

11

(2) Includes currency, alternatives, assets passed to sub-advisors and multi-asset class solutions.

(3) Decline as of September 30, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012 mainly resulted from net outflows, partly offset by market appreciation and impact of foreign currency translation.

Total trading services revenue	\$256	\$232	10	\$833	\$767	9
--------------------------------	-------	-------	----	-------	-------	---

13

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Trading services revenue is composed of revenue generated by foreign exchange, or FX, trading, as well as revenue generated by brokerage and other trading services. We earn FX trading revenue by acting as a principal market maker. We offer a range of FX products, services and execution models. Most of our FX products and execution services can be grouped into three broad categories, which are further explained below: "direct sales and trading FX," "indirect FX" and "electronic FX trading." With respect to electronic FX trading, we provide an execution venue but do not act as agent or principal.

We also offer a range of brokerage and other trading products tailored specifically to meet the needs of the global pension community, including transition management and commission recapture. These products are differentiated by our position as an agent of the institutional investor. Revenue earned from these brokerage and other trading products is recorded in other trading, transition management and brokerage within brokerage and other trading services revenue.

FX trading revenue is influenced by three principal factors: the volume and type of client FX transactions; currency volatility; and the management of market risk associated with currencies and interest rates. Revenue earned from direct sales and trading FX and indirect FX is recorded in FX trading revenue. Revenue earned from electronic FX trading is recorded in brokerage and other trading services revenue.

The changes in trading services revenue in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 compared to the same periods in 2012, composed of separate changes related to FX trading and brokerage and other trading services, is explained below.

Total FX trading revenue increased 28% and 18% in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 compared to the same periods in 2012, primarily the result of higher client volumes and higher currency volatility, as well as higher spreads. Aggregate client volumes increased 18% and 32% in the quarterly and nine-month comparisons, respectively. In the same comparisons, volatility increased 12% and 8%, respectively.

We enter into FX transactions with clients and investment managers that contact our trading desk directly. These trades are all executed at negotiated rates. We refer to this activity, and our principal market-making activities, as "direct sales and trading FX." Alternatively, clients or their investment managers may elect to route FX transactions to our FX desk through our asset-servicing operation; we refer to this activity as "indirect FX." We execute indirect FX trades as a principal at rates disclosed to our clients. We calculate revenue for indirect FX using an attribution methodology based on estimated effective mark-ups/downs and observed client volumes. All other FX trading revenue, other than this indirect FX revenue estimate, is considered by us to be direct sales and trading FX revenue. Our clients can transition to either direct sales and trading FX execution, including our "Street FX" service that enables our clients to define their FX execution strategy and automate the FX trade execution process, in which State Street continues to act as a principal market maker, or to one of our electronic trading platforms.

For the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, our estimated indirect FX revenue increased 33% and 14%, respectively. For the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 compared to the same periods in 2012, our direct sales and trading FX revenue increased 23% and 22%, respectively. The increases in all comparisons were mainly the result of higher client volumes and higher currency volatility, as well as higher spreads.

Total brokerage and other trading services revenue declined 7% and 1% in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2012.

Our clients may choose to execute FX transactions through one of our electronic trading platforms. This service generates revenue through a "click" fee. Revenue from such electronic FX trading increased 2% and 14% in the third quarter and the first nine months of 2013 compared to the same periods in 2012, mainly due to increases in client volumes. In the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, other trading, transition management and brokerage revenue declined 14% and 13%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2012. The decrease in the quarterly comparison mainly resulted from a decline in distribution fees associated with the SPDR[®] Gold ETF, which resulted from decreases in gold prices and net outflows of ETF assets. In the nine-month comparison, the decline in distribution fees associated with the SPDR[®] Gold ETF and a decline in transition management revenue contributed to the decrease. With respect to the SPDR[®] Gold ETF, fees earned by us as distribution agent are recorded in other

trading, transition management and brokerage revenue within brokerage and other trading services revenue, and not in management fee revenue.

We continue to expect that some clients may choose, over time, to reduce their level of indirect FX transactions in favor of other execution methods, including either direct FX transactions or electronic FX trading which we provide. To the extent that clients shift to other execution methods that we provide, our FX trading revenue may decrease, even if volumes remain consistent.

Securities Finance

Our agency securities finance business consists of two principal components: an agency lending program for SSgA-managed investment funds with a broad range of investment objectives, which we refer to as the SSgA lending funds, and an agency lending program for third-party investment managers and asset owners, which we refer to as the agency lending funds.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

We also participate in securities lending transactions as a principal. As principal, we borrow securities from the lending client and then lend such securities to the subsequent borrower, either a State Street client or a broker/dealer. Our involvement as principal is utilized when the lending client is unable to, or elects not to, transact directly with the market and requires us to execute the transaction and furnish the securities. In our role as principal, we provide support to the transaction through our credit rating, and we have the ability to source securities through our assets under custody and administration.

Securities finance revenue earned from our agency lending activities, which is composed of our split of both the spreads related to cash collateral and the fees related to non-cash collateral, is principally a function of the volume of securities on loan, the interest-rate spreads and fees earned on the underlying collateral, and our share of the fee split. In the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, securities finance revenue declined 19% and 15%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2012, mainly due to lower spreads and slightly lower lending volumes. Average spreads declined 27% and 17% in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2012. Securities on loan averaged approximately \$316 billion and \$320 billion for the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, respectively, compared to approximately \$321 billion and \$330 billion, respectively, for the same periods in 2012, a 2% and 3% decline, respectively.

Market influences may continue to affect client demand for securities finance, and as a result our revenue from, and the profitability of, our securities lending activities in future periods. In addition, proposed or anticipated regulatory changes may affect the volume of our securities lending activity and related revenue and profitability in future periods.

Processing Fees and Other

Processing fees and other revenue increased 47% and 3% in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2012. The increases were primarily the result of higher fee revenue associated with our investment in bank-owned life insurance. The year-to-date increase also benefited from a gain from the sale of an investment by one of our joint ventures. These increases were partly offset in both comparisons by the impact of positive fair-value adjustments recorded in 2012 related to our withdrawal from our fixed-income trading initiative and hedge ineffectiveness recorded in 2013.

NET INTEREST REVENUE

Net interest revenue is defined as total interest revenue earned on interest-earning assets less interest expense incurred on interest-bearing liabilities. Interest-earning assets, which principally consist of investment securities, interest-bearing deposits with banks, repurchase agreements, loans and leases and other liquid assets, are financed primarily by client deposits, short-term borrowings and long-term debt. Net interest margin represents the relationship between annualized fully taxable-equivalent net interest revenue and average total interest-earning assets for the period. Revenue that is exempt from income taxes, mainly that earned from certain investment securities (state and political subdivisions), is adjusted to a fully taxable-equivalent basis using a federal statutory income tax rate of 35%, adjusted for applicable state income taxes, net of the related federal tax benefit.

discount accretion depends, in part, on factors that are outside of our control, including anticipated prepayment speeds and credit quality. The impact of these factors is uncertain and can be significantly influenced by general economic and financial market conditions. The timing and recognition of any applicable discount accretion can also be influenced by our ongoing management of the risks and other characteristics associated with our investment securities portfolio, including sales of securities which would otherwise generate accretion.

Depending on the factors discussed above, among others, we anticipate that, until the former conduit securities remaining in our investment portfolio mature or are sold, discount accretion will continue to contribute, though in declining amounts, to

interest rates worldwide made deposits attractive to our clients relative to other investment options. In addition, non-U.S. transaction accounts associated with new and existing business in assets under custody and administration continued to grow, although there has been a modest decline in non-interest bearing deposits following the expiration of the FDIC's Transaction Account Guarantee, or TAG, program effective December 31, 2012. Future deposit levels will be influenced by the underlying asset servicing business, as well as market conditions, including the general levels of U.S. and non-U.S. interest rates.

Average long-term debt increased to \$8.82 billion for the third quarter of 2013 from \$6.41 billion for the third quarter of 2012, and to \$8.15 billion from \$7.16 billion in the year-to-date comparison. The increases primarily reflected the issuance of

deterioration in the creditworthiness of the underlying collateral, including significant downgrades of the securities' external credit ratings.

We regularly review our investment securities portfolio to identify other-than-temporary impairment of individual securities. Additional information about investment securities, the gross gains and losses that compose the net gains from sales of securities and other-than-temporary impairment is provided in note 3 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q.

well as costs to support new business.

Additional information with respect to the impact of the Business Operations and Information Technology Transformation program on future compensation and employee benefits and information systems and communications expenses is provided in the following “Restructuring Charges” section.

20

execution of the business operations transformation component of the program, we have identified 1,234 additional involuntary terminations and role eliminations, including 263 in the first nine months of 2013. As of September 30, 2013, we have eliminated 1,168 of these positions.

In connection with the continuing execution of the program, we achieved approximately \$86 million of pre-tax expense savings in 2011, and incremental pre-tax expense savings of approximately \$112 million in 2012, compared to our 2010 total expenses from operations. As of December 31, 2012, we have achieved total pre-tax expense savings of approximately \$198

eliminations of 960 employees (630 positions after replacements). As of September 30, 2013, 720 positions had been eliminated through voluntary and involuntary terminations.

LINE OF BUSINESS INFORMATION

We have two lines of business: Investment Servicing and Investment Management. Given our services and management organization, the results of operations for these lines of business are not necessarily comparable with those of other companies, including companies in the financial services industry. Information about our two lines of business, as well as the revenues, expenses and capital allocation methodologies associated with them, is provided in note 24 to the consolidated financial statements included in our 2012 Form 10-K.

The following tables provide a summary of our line of business results for the periods indicated. The “Other” column for the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 included net acquisition and restructuring costs of \$30 million and \$74 million, respectively, and certain provisions for litigation exposure and other costs of \$5 million and \$20 million, respectively. The third quarter and first nine months of 2012 included the \$362 million credit related to recoveries associated with the 2008 Lehman Brothers bankruptcy, as well as certain provisions for litigation exposure and other costs of \$85 million and \$107 million, respectively, and net acquisition and restructuring costs of \$28 million and \$86 million, respectively. In addition, the first nine months of 2012 included the net realized loss from the sale of all of our Greek investment securities. The amounts in the

Servicing fees in both the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 increased 10% compared to the same periods in 2012. The increase primarily resulted from stronger global equity markets, the addition of revenue from the October 2012 GSAS acquisition and the impact of net new business installed on current-period revenue.

Trading services revenue in the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 increased 16% and 12%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2012, mainly due to higher foreign exchange trading revenue associated with higher client volumes and higher currency volatility, as well as higher spreads.

Processing fees and other revenue in the third quarter of 2013 increased 58% compared to the third quarter of 2012, with the increase mainly due to higher fee revenue associated with our investment in bank-owned life insurance. The nine-month comparison showed a slight decline, as the fee revenue from bank-owned life insurance was offset by the impact of positive fair-value adjustments recorded in 2012 related to our withdrawal from our fixed-income trading initiative and hedge ineffectiveness recorded in 2013.

Securities finance revenue in both the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 decreased compared to the same periods in 2012, primarily as a result of lower spreads and slightly lower lending volumes.

Servicing fees and net gains (losses) related to investment securities for our Investment Servicing business line are identical to the respective consolidated results. Refer to "Servicing Fees," and "Gains (Losses) Related to Investment Securities, Net" under "Total Revenue" in this Management's Discussion and Analysis for a more in-depth discussion. A discussion of trading services revenue, securities finance revenue and processing fees and other revenue is provided under "Trading Services," "Securities Finance" and "Processing Fees and Other" in "Total Revenue."

deposits and securities purchased under resale agreements. The actual mix of assets is determined by the characteristics of the client liabilities and our desire to maintain a well-diversified portfolio of high-quality assets. The following table presents the components of our average total interest-earning and noninterest-earning assets, average total interest-bearing and noninterest-bearing liabilities, and average preferred and common shareholders' equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. Additional information about our average statement of condition, primarily our

⁽¹⁾ Substantially composed of securities guaranteed by the federal government with respect to at least 97% of defaulted principal and accrued interest on the underlying loans.

28

Our aggregate exposure to Spain, Italy, Ireland and Portugal as of September 30, 2013 did not include any direct sovereign debt exposure to any of these countries. Our indirect exposure to these countries totaled approximately \$732 million, including approximately \$570 million of mortgage- and asset-backed securities with an aggregate pre-tax net unrealized gain of approximately \$39 million as of September 30, 2013, composed of gross unrealized gains of \$63 million and gross unrealized losses of \$24 million. We recorded no other-than-temporary impairment on any of these securities in the third quarter of 2013. We recorded other-than-temporary impairment of \$6 million on certain of these securities in our consolidated statement of income in the first nine months of 2013, all in the second quarter of 2013, associated with management's intent to sell an

We conduct periodic reviews of individual securities to assess whether other-than-temporary impairment exists. Our assessment of other-than-temporary impairment involves an evaluation, more fully described in note 3 to the consolidated

September 30, 2013 to be temporary and not the result of any material changes in the credit characteristics of the securities. Additional information about these net unrealized losses and our assessment of impairment is provided in note 3 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q.

Commercial real estate	—	1
Ending balance	\$22	\$22

34

In the first quarter of 2013, we completed a \$1.80 billion program, authorized by the Board in March 2012, with our purchase of 6.5 million shares at an average per-share and aggregate cost of \$54.95 and approximately \$360 million, respectively.

In the first nine months of 2013, under the March 2013 and March 2012 programs, we purchased in the aggregate approximately 23.2 million shares of our common stock at an average per-share cost of \$63.69 and an aggregate cost of approximately \$1.48 billion.

In the third quarter of 2013, we declared a quarterly common stock dividend of \$0.26 per share, totaling approximately \$115 million, which was paid in October 2013. In the first nine months of 2013, we declared aggregate common stock dividends of \$0.78 per share, totaling approximately \$350 million, compared to aggregate common stock dividends of \$0.72 per share, totaling approximately \$346 million, declared in the first nine months of 2012.

2013, supplements Basel I and Basel II, and replaces the prior market risk capital framework under Basel I and Basel II in place since 1998, by requiring banking organizations with significant trading activities, as defined in the rule, to adjust their regulatory risk-based capital ratios to reflect the market risk inherent in their trading activities. Among other things, the final rule requires the use of internal models to calculate daily measures of Value-at-Risk, or VaR, that reflect general market risk for certain trading positions defined as “covered positions,” as well as stressed VaR-based measures to supplement the VaR-based measures.

Our adoption of the new market risk capital rule on January 1, 2013 did not significantly affect our or State Street Bank's

Estimated Basel III Tier 1 Common Ratio

As described above, the Basel III final rule adds a requirement for a minimum common equity tier 1 capital ratio, or tier 1 common ratio. The tier 1 common ratio is a measurement of capital representing tier 1 capital, reduced by the deduction of "non-common elements," such as trust preferred capital securities and preferred stock, divided by total risk-weighted assets. The tier 1 common ratio is not formally required under Basel I, although it is used by regulators and by management to monitor and assess State Street's capital position, both individually and relative to other financial institutions, and management believes it may be of interest to investors.

The following table presents State Street's tier 1 common ratio as of September 30, 2013, calculated using Basel I standards, and our estimated tier 1 common ratios as of September 30, 2013, calculated in conformity with the Basel III final rule under both the standardized approach and the advanced approach. These estimated Basel III tier 1 common ratios are

interest in subsidiaries.

- Under the standardized approach, total risk-weighted assets used in the calculation of the estimated tier 1 common ratio increased by \$40.092 billion as a result of applying the provisions of the Basel III final rule to Basel I total risk-weighted assets of \$80.362 billion as of September 30, 2013. Under the advanced approach, total risk-weighted assets used in the calculation of the estimated tier 1 common ratio increased by \$28.592 billion as a result of applying the provisions of the final rule to Basel I total risk-weighted assets of \$80.362 billion as of September 30, 2013.

The primary differences between total risk-weighted assets under Basel I and total risk-weighted assets under the Basel III final rule include the following: under Basel I, credit risk is quantified using pre-determined risk weights and asset classes, and in part, uses external credit ratings, while the Basel III final rule, specifically the standardized and advanced approaches, introduces a broader range of pre-determined risk weights and asset classes, uses certain alternatives to external credit ratings, includes additional adjustments for operational risk (under the advanced approach) and counterparty credit risk, and revises the treatment of equity exposures. In particular, asset

capital ratios.

In addition, the qualification of trust preferred capital securities as tier 1 capital will be phased out over a two-year period beginning on January 1, 2014 and ending on January 1, 2016, and subsequently, the qualification of these securities as tier 2 capital will be phased out over multi-year transition period beginning on January 1, 2016. We had trust preferred capital securities of \$950 million outstanding as of September 30, 2013.

There remains considerable uncertainty with respect to multiple provisions of the Basel III final rule, and the timing and manner in which they will be applied to us. In particular, the timing under which we will complete our required qualification period, as determined by the Federal Reserve, and our transition to the calculation of risk-based capital ratios that incorporate the advanced approach, remain uncertain. Models implemented under the Basel III final rule, particularly those implementing

We are designated as a large bank holding company subject to enhanced supervision and prudential standards, commonly referred to as a “systemically important financial institution,” or SIFI, and we are one among a group of 28 institutions worldwide that have been identified by the Financial Stability Board, or FSB, and the Basel Committee as “global systemically important banks,” or G-SIBs. Our designation as a G-SIB will require us to maintain an additional capital buffer, ranging between 1% and 2.5%, above the Basel III minimum common equity tier 1 capital ratio of 4.5%, based on a number of factors, as evaluated by banking regulators. Factors in this evaluation will include our size, interconnectedness, substitutability, complexity and cross-jurisdictional activities. In November 2012, the FSB designated us as a category-1 organization, with a

the maintenance of broad access to the global capital markets and by the asset structure in our consolidated statement of condition. Additional information about our liquidity is provided under “Financial Condition - Liquidity” in Management's Discussion and Analysis included in our 2012 Form 10-K.

We generally manage our liquidity on a global, consolidated basis. We also manage liquidity on a stand-alone basis at the parent company, as well as at certain branches and subsidiaries of State Street Bank. State Street Bank generally has broader access to funding products and markets limited to banks, specifically the federal funds market and the Federal Reserve's

billion and \$114.06 billion for the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively. Due to the unusual size and volatile nature of client deposits as of quarter-end, we maintained cash balances in excess of regulatory requirements of approximately \$30.39 billion at the Federal Reserve, the ECB and other non-U.S. central banks as of September 30, 2013, compared to \$41.11 billion as of December 31, 2012. As of September 30, 2013, the value of the parent company's net liquid assets totaled \$2.98 billion, compared with \$3.80 billion as of December 31, 2012. The parent company's liquid assets consisted primarily of overnight placements with its banking subsidiaries. Aggregate investment securities carried at \$48.28 billion as of September 30, 2013, compared to \$46.66 billion as of December 31, 2012, were designated as pledged for public and trust deposits, borrowed funds and for other purposes as provided by law, and are excluded from the liquid assets calculation, unless pledged internally between State Street affiliates. Liquid assets included securities pledged to the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston to secure State Street Bank's ability to borrow

The execution of duties with respect to the management of people, products, business operations and processes is the responsibility of business unit managers. The function of risk management is designing and directing the implementation of risk management programs and processes consistent with corporate and regulatory standards, and providing oversight of the business-owned risks. Accordingly, risk management is a shared responsibility between ERM and the business units, and requires joint efforts in goal setting, program design and implementation, resource management, and performance evaluation between business and functional units. In addition, Corporate Audit separately assesses the effectiveness of business units and risk management in the execution of their responsibilities.

match positions closely with the objective of minimizing related currency and interest-rate risk. All foreign exchange contracts are valued daily at current market rates. Additional information about derivative instruments entered into in connection with our trading activities is provided in note 11 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q.

Governance

Our assumption of market risk in our trading activities is an integral part of our corporate risk appetite. The Board reviews and oversees our management of market risk, including the approval of key market risk policies and the receipt and review of regular market risk reporting, as well as periodic updates on selected market risk topics.

We use an internal rating system to assess our risk of credit loss. State Street's risk-rating process incorporates the use of risk-rating tools in conjunction with management judgment. Qualitative and quantitative inputs are captured in a transparent and replicable manner; following a formal review and approval process, an internal credit rating based on our credit scale is assigned. We evaluate and risk-rate the credit of our counterparties on an individual basis at least annually. Significant exposures are reviewed daily by ERM. Processes for credit approval and monitoring are in place for all extensions of credit. As part of the approval and renewal process, due diligence is conducted based on the size and term of the exposure, as well as the creditworthiness of the counterparty. At any point in time, having one or more counterparties to which our exposure exceeds 10% of our consolidated total shareholders' equity, exclusive of unrealized gains or losses, is not unusual.

RECENT ACCOUNTING DEVELOPMENTS

Information with respect to recent accounting developments is provided in note 1 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q.

55

The accompanying condensed notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

57

The accompanying condensed notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

58

Balance as of September 30, 2013	\$ 490	503,885	\$504	\$9,753	\$12,963	\$ (114)	62,587	\$(3,166)	\$20,430
-------------------------------------	--------	---------	-------	---------	----------	-----------	--------	-----------	----------

The accompanying condensed notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

60

accounting and reporting services to investment companies in our role as an asset servicer. The amendment is effective, for State Street, for interim and annual periods beginning on January 1, 2014. While the amendment could affect how we measure our interests in investment companies, our adoption of the amendment is not expected to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2013, the FASB issued an amendment to GAAP that requires a liability associated with an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of that unrecognized tax benefit, to be presented in the financial statements as a reduction of a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward. The amendment is effective, for

observable spot and forward points, as well as observable interest-rate curves. With respect to derivative instruments, we evaluate the impact on valuation of the credit risk of our counterparties and our own credit risk. We consider factors such as the likelihood of default by us and our counterparties, our current and potential future net exposures and remaining maturities in determining the fair value. Valuation adjustments associated with derivative instruments were not material to those instruments in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 or 2012.

64

assumptions, including any price-valuation adjustments, are developed by the business units and independently reviewed by the valuation group. Model valuations are compared to available market information including appropriate proxy instruments and other benchmarks to highlight abnormalities for further investigation. Measuring fair value requires the exercise of management judgment. The level of subjectivity and the degree of management judgment required is more significant for financial instruments whose fair value is measured using inputs that are not observable. The areas requiring significant judgment are identified, documented and reported to the Valuation Committee as part of the valuation control framework. We believe that our valuation methods are appropriate; however, the use of different methodologies or assumptions, particularly as they apply to level-3 financial assets and liabilities, could materially affect fair-value measurements as of the reporting date.

Edgar Filing: STATE STREET CORP - Form 10-Q

Other	—	1	—	—	1
Total derivative instruments	—	10,348	77	(6,105)	4,320
Other	101	—	—	—	101
Total assets carried at fair value	\$579	\$ 103,465	\$ 7,380	\$ (6,105)	\$ 105,319
Liabilities:					
Accrued expenses and other liabilities:					
Derivative instruments:					
Foreign exchange contracts		\$ 10,206	\$ 55	\$ (4,211)	\$ 6,050
Interest-rate contracts		307	—	(59)	248
Other		—	9	—	9
Total derivative instruments		10,513	64	(4,270)	6,307
Other	\$ 101	—	—	—	101
Total liabilities carried at fair value	\$ 101	\$ 10,513	\$ 64	\$ (4,270)	\$ 6,408

⁽¹⁾ Represents counterparty netting against level-2 financial assets and liabilities, where a legally enforceable master netting agreement exists between State Street and the counterparty. Netting also reflects asset and liability reductions of \$2.30 billion and \$468 million, respectively, for cash collateral received from and provided to derivative counterparties.

Edgar Filing: STATE STREET CORP - Form 10-Q

Total assets carried at fair value	\$551	\$ 112,457	\$6,980	\$ (5,045)	\$ 114,943
Liabilities:					
Accrued expenses and other liabilities:					
Derivative instruments:					
Foreign exchange contracts		\$ 8,978	\$ 106	\$ (4,052)	\$ 5,032
Interest-rate contracts		345	—	(19)	326
Other		—	9	—	9
Total derivative instruments		9,323	115	(4,071)	5,367
Other	\$66	—	—	—	66
Total liabilities carried at fair value	\$66	\$ 9,323	\$ 115	\$ (4,071)	\$ 5,433

(1) Represents counterparty netting against level-2 financial assets and liabilities, where a legally enforceable master netting agreement exists between State Street and the counterparty. Netting also reflects asset and liability reductions of \$1.45 billion and \$478 million, respectively, for cash collateral received from and provided to derivative counterparties.

Edgar Filing: STATE STREET CORP - Form 10-Q

State and political subdivisions	45	—	—	(1))	—	—	44	
Collateralized mortgage obligations	238	\$ 15	(100))	—	(5))	50	— (11)) 187
Other U.S. debt securities	9	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9
Total investment securities available for sale	6,773	15	(297))	14	(15))	1,156	(24)) (319)) 7,303
Other assets:									
Derivative instruments, Foreign exchange contracts	121	—	—	(21))	—	7	— (30)) 77	\$(16)
Total assets carried at fair value	\$6,894	\$ 15	\$(297))	\$(7))	\$(15))	\$ 1,163 — \$(24) \$(349)) \$7,380 \$(16)

Edgar Filing: STATE STREET CORP - Form 10-Q

Other U.S. debt securities	9	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9	
Total investment securities available for sale	6,867	154	(796)	46	25	2,367	(59)	(1,301)	7,303	
Other assets:										
Derivative instruments, Foreign exchange contracts	113	—	—	119	—	25	—	(180)	77	\$ 29
Total assets carried at fair value	\$6,980	\$ 154	\$ (796)	\$ 165	\$ 25	\$ 2,392	—	\$(59)	\$(1,481)	\$7,380 \$ 29

70

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Fair-Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

	Total Realized and Unrealized (Gains) Losses								Change in Unrealized (Gains) Losses Related to Instruments Held as of September 30, 2013		
	Fair Value as of December 31, 2012	Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3	Recorded in Revenue	Recorded in Other Comprehensive Income	Purchases	Issuances	Sales	Settlements	Fair Value as of September 30, 2013	
Liabilities:											
Accrued expenses and other liabilities:											
Derivative instruments:											
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 106			\$ 59		\$ 24		\$ (134)		\$ 55	\$ 15
Other	9			—		—		—		9	—
Total derivative instruments	115			59		24		(134)		64	15
Total liabilities carried at fair value	\$ 115	—	—	\$ 59	—	—	\$ 24	—	\$ (134)	\$ 64	\$ 15

Edgar Filing: STATE STREET CORP - Form 10-Q

State and political subdivisions										
Collateralized mortgage obligations	301	—	(123)	168	1	—		\$(45)	(178)	124
Other U.S. debt securities	—	9	—	—	—	—		—	—	9
Total investment securities available for sale	6,771	21	(993)	181	25	957		(45)	(498)	6,419
Other assets:										
Derivative instruments, Foreign exchange contracts	160	—	—	(69)	—	75		—	(67)	99
Total assets carried at fair value	\$6,931	\$ 21	\$ (993)	\$ 112	\$ 25	\$ 1,032	—	(45)	\$(565)	\$6,518
										\$(47)

72

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

(In millions)	Fair-Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs Three Months Ended September 30, 2012										Fair Value as of September 30, 2012	Change in Unrealized (Gains) Losses Related to Financial Instruments Held as of September 30, 2012
	Fair Value as of June 30, 2012	Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3	Total Realized and Unrealized (Gains) Losses Recorded in Revenue	Recorded in Other Comprehensive Income	Purchases	Issuances	Sales	Settlements			
Liabilities:												
Accrued expenses and other liabilities:												
Derivative instruments:												
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 157			\$ (58)		\$ 76		\$ (75)		\$ 100	\$ (43)	
Other	9			—		—		—		9	—	
Total derivative instruments	166			(58)		76		(75)		109	(43)	
Total liabilities carried at fair value	\$ 166	—	—	\$ (58)	—	—	\$ 76	—	\$ (75)	\$ 109	\$ (43)	

Edgar Filing: STATE STREET CORP - Form 10-Q

Collateralized mortgage obligations	227	44	(314)	369	1	283	(45)	(441)	124
Other U.S. debt securities	2	9	—	—	—	—	—	(2)	9
Total investment securities available for sale	8,513	136	(5,210)	407	37	3,853	(118)	(1,199)	6,419
Other assets:									
Derivative instruments:									
Foreign exchange contracts	168	—	—	(127)	—	162	—	(104)	99 \$(71)
Interest-rate contracts	10	—	—	(10)	—	—	—	—	—
Total derivative instruments	178	—	—	(137)	—	162	—	(104)	99 (71)
Total assets carried at fair value	\$8,691	\$ 136	\$(5,210)	\$ 270	\$ 37	\$ 4,015	—	\$(118)	\$(1,303) \$6,518 \$(71)

Edgar Filing: STATE STREET CORP - Form 10-Q

(In millions)			September 30,				September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
Fee revenue:								
Trading services	\$(4)	\$(11)	\$(1)	\$(4)	\$60	\$5	\$14	\$(1)
Total fee revenue	(4)	(11)	(1)	(4)	60	5	14	(1)
Net interest revenue	14	181	—	—	46	407	—	—
Total revenue	\$10	\$170	\$(1)	(4)	\$106	\$412	\$14	\$(1)

Edgar Filing: STATE STREET CORP - Form 10-Q

Asset-backed securities, other	101	4,249	4,350
Non-U.S. debt securities, mortgage-backed securities	—	340	340
Non-U.S. debt securities, asset-backed securities	—	799	799
Non-U.S. debt securities, other	—	441	441
State and political subdivisions	44	—	44
Collateralized mortgage obligations	—	187	187
Other U.S. debt securities	—	9	9
Derivative instruments, foreign exchange contracts	77	—	77
Total	\$259	\$7,121	\$7,380
Liabilities:			
Derivative instruments, foreign exchange contracts	\$55	—	\$55
Derivative instruments, other	9	—	9
Total	\$64	—	\$64

76

The significant unobservable input used in the measurement of the fair value of our asset-backed securities and investment securities issued by state and political subdivisions is the credit spread. Significant increases (decreases) in the credit spread would result in measurements of significantly lower (higher) fair value.

The significant unobservable input used in the measurement of the fair value of our foreign exchange option contracts is the implied volatility surface. A significant increase (decrease) in the implied volatility surface would result in measurements of significantly higher (lower) fair value.

The significant unobservable input used in the measurement of the fair value of our other derivative instruments, specifically stable value wrap contracts, is participant redemptions. Increased volatility of redemptions may result in changes to the measurement of fair value. Generally, significant increases (decreases) in participant redemptions may result in measurements of significantly higher (lower) fair value of this liability.

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

Fair Values of Financial Instruments:

Estimates of fair value for financial instruments not carried at fair value on a recurring basis in our consolidated statement of condition, as defined by GAAP, are generally subjective in nature, and are made as of a specific point in time based on the characteristics of the financial instruments and relevant market information. Disclosure of fair-value estimates is not required by GAAP for certain items, such as lease financing, equity-method investments, obligations for pension and other post-retirement plans, premises and equipment, other intangible assets and income-tax assets and liabilities. Accordingly, aggregate fair-value estimates presented do not purport to represent, and should not be considered representative of, our underlying “market” or franchise value. In addition, because of potential differences in methodologies and assumptions used to estimate fair values, our estimates of fair value should not be compared to those of other financial institutions.

We use the following methods to estimate the fair values of our financial instruments:

For financial instruments that have quoted market prices, those quoted prices are used to estimate fair value.

For financial instruments that have no defined maturity, have a remaining maturity of 180 days or less, or repriced frequently to a market rate, we assume that the fair value of these instruments approximates their reported value, after taking into consideration any applicable credit risk.

For financial instruments for which no quoted market prices are available, fair value is estimated using information obtained from independent third parties, or by discounting the expected cash flows using an estimated current market interest rate for the financial instrument.

The generally short duration of certain of our assets and liabilities results in a significant number of financial instruments for which fair value equals or closely approximates the amount reported in our consolidated statement of condition. These financial instruments are reported in the following captions in our consolidated statement of condition: cash and due from banks; interest-bearing deposits with banks; securities purchased under resale agreements; accrued income receivable; deposits; securities sold under repurchase agreements; federal funds purchased; and other short-term borrowings.

In addition, due to the relatively short duration of certain of our net loans (excluding leases), we consider fair value for these loans to approximate their reported value. The fair value of other types of loans, such as senior secured bank loans, purchased receivables and commercial real estate loans, is estimated using information obtained from independent third parties or by discounting expected future cash flows using current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings for the same remaining maturities. Commitments to lend have no reported value because their terms are at prevailing market rates.

The following tables present the reported amounts and estimated fair values of the financial instruments defined by GAAP, excluding financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value on a recurring basis, as they would be categorized within the fair-value hierarchy, as of the dates indicated.

Edgar Filing: STATE STREET CORP - Form 10-Q

Other short-term borrowings	4,502	4,502	—	4,502	—
Long-term debt	7,429	7,780	—	6,871	909

79

Edgar Filing: STATE STREET CORP - Form 10-Q

Mortgage-backed securities	4,109	130	67	4,172	3,122	85	68	3,139
Asset-backed securities	1,486	18	2	1,502	434	16	1	449
Government securities	16	—	—	16	3	—	—	3
Other	190	—	—	190	167	—	2	165
Total non-U.S. debt securities	5,801	148	69	5,880	3,726	101	71	3,756
State and political subdivisions	61	1	—	62	74	2	—	76
Collateralized mortgage obligations	2,882	193	31	3,044	2,410	259	12	2,657
Total	\$16,698	\$349	\$504	\$16,543	\$11,379	\$373	\$91	\$11,661

(1) Substantially composed of securities guaranteed by the federal government with respect to at least 97% of defaulted principal and accrued interest on the underlying loans.

the analysis of the collectibility of those future cash flows, including information about past events, current conditions and reasonable and supportable forecasts;

84

in 2013.

Our assessment of other-than-temporary impairment of these portfolios considers, among other factors, adverse conditions specifically related to the industry, geographic area or financial condition of the issuer; the structure of the security, including collateral, if any, and payment schedule; rating agency changes to the security's credit rating; the volatility of the fair value changes; and our intent and ability to hold the security until its recovery in value. If the impairment of the security is credit-related, we estimate the future cash flows from the security, tailored to the security and considering the above-described factors, and any resulting other-than-temporary impairment is recorded in our consolidated statement of income. We recorded no other-than-temporary impairment on these securities in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

86

After a review of the investment portfolio, taking into consideration current economic conditions, adverse situations that might affect our ability to fully collect principal and interest, the timing of future payments, the credit quality and performance of the collateral underlying mortgage- and asset-backed securities and other relevant factors, and excluding other-than-temporary impairment recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2013, management considers the aggregate decline in fair value of the investment securities portfolio and the resulting gross pre-tax unrealized losses of \$1.56 billion related to 2,607 securities as of September 30, 2013 to be temporary, and not the result of any material changes in the credit characteristics of the securities.

Edgar Filing: STATE STREET CORP - Form 10-Q

Special mention ⁽³⁾	—	20	—	—	—	—	20
Total	\$12,015	\$1,995	\$291	\$1,111	\$137	\$29	\$15,578

88

Edgar Filing: STATE STREET CORP - Form 10-Q

	Balance			Balance		
With no related allowance recorded:						
CRE—property development	\$ 130	\$ 143	\$ —	\$ 197	\$ 224	\$ —
CRE—property development—acquired credit-impaired	—	34	—	—	34	—
CRE—other—acquired credit-impaired	—	21	—	—	64	—
Total CRE	\$ 130	\$ 198	\$ —	\$ 197	\$ 322	\$ —

⁽¹⁾ As of both September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we maintained an allowance for loan losses of \$22 million associated with loans and leases that were not impaired.

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

(In millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	Average Recorded Investment		Interest Revenue Recognized		Average Recorded Investment		Interest Revenue Recognized	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
With no related allowance recorded:								
CRE—property development	\$130	\$199	\$2	\$4	\$160	\$199	\$17	\$12
CRE—other—acquired credit-impaired	—	5	—	—	—	17	—	—
With an allowance recorded:								
CRE—other—acquired credit-impaired	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total CRE	\$130	\$204	\$2	\$4	\$160	\$216	\$17	\$12

As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we held an aggregate of approximately \$130 million and \$197 million, respectively, of commercial real estate, or CRE, loans which were modified in troubled debt restructurings. No impairment loss was recognized upon restructuring of the loans, as the discounted cash flows of the modified loans exceeded the carrying amount of the original loans as of the modification date. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2012, no loans were modified in troubled debt restructurings. The following table presents activity in the allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated:

(In millions)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013			2012		
	Institutional	Commercial Real Estate	Total Loans and Leases	Institutional	Commercial Real Estate	Total Loans and Leases
Allowance for loan losses:						
Beginning balance	\$22	\$—	\$ 22	\$22	\$—	\$22
Provisions	—	—	—	—	—	—
Recoveries	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ending balance	\$22	\$—	\$ 22	\$22	\$—	\$22

(In millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013			2012		
	Institutional	Commercial Real Estate	Total Loans and Leases	Institutional	Commercial Real Estate	Total Loans and Leases
Allowance for loan losses:						
Beginning balance	\$22	\$—	\$ 22	\$22	\$—	\$22
Provisions	—	—	—	—	(1)	(1)
Recoveries	—	—	—	—	1	1
Ending balance	\$22	\$—	\$ 22	\$22	\$—	\$22

Loans and leases are reviewed on a regular basis, and any provisions for loan losses that are recorded reflect management's estimate of the amount necessary to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level considered appropriate to absorb estimated incurred losses in the loan-and-lease portfolio.

Note 5. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The following table presents changes in the carrying amount of goodwill during the periods indicated:

(In millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013			2012		
	Investment Servicing	Investment Management	Total	Investment Servicing	Investment Management	Total
Beginning balance	\$5,941	\$36	\$5,977	\$5,610	\$35	\$5,645

Edgar Filing: STATE STREET CORP - Form 10-Q

Divestitures	(7)	—	(7)	—	—	—
Foreign currency translation, net	35		1	36		5	—	5
Ending balance	\$5,969		\$37	\$6,006		\$5,615	\$35	\$5,650

90

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

The following table presents changes in the net carrying amount of other intangible assets during the periods indicated:

(In millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30,			2012		
	Investment Servicing	Investment Management	Total	Investment Servicing	Investment Management	Total
Beginning balance	\$2,492	\$47	\$2,539	\$2,408	\$51	\$2,459
Divestitures	(5) —	(5) —	—	—
Amortization	(153) (7) (160) (143) (2) (145
Foreign currency translation, net	22	—	22	(3) —	(3
Ending balance	\$2,356	\$40	\$2,396	\$2,262	\$49	\$2,311

The following table presents the gross carrying amount, accumulated amortization and net carrying amount of other intangible assets by type as of the dates indicated:

(In millions)	September 30, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
Client relationships	\$2,669	\$(917) \$1,752	\$2,653	\$(755) \$1,898
Core deposits	712	(180) 532	706	(192) 514
Other	248	(136) 112	244	(117) 127
Total	\$3,629	\$(1,233) \$2,396	\$3,603	\$(1,064) \$2,539

Note 6. Other Assets and Other Liabilities**Other Assets:**

The following table presents the components of other assets as of the dates indicated:

(In millions)	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Collateral deposits, net	\$12,106	\$7,649
Unrealized gains on derivative financial instruments, net	4,320	4,556
Bank-owned life insurance	2,027	2,000
Investments in joint ventures and other unconsolidated entities	1,466	1,405
Accounts receivable	1,109	511
Receivable for securities settlement	358	33
Deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance	302	353
Prepaid expenses	299	267
Income taxes receivable	261	252
Deposits with clearing organizations	179	174
Receivable for securities sold	92	1
Other ⁽¹⁾	838	815
Total	\$23,357	\$18,016

⁽¹⁾ Included other real estate owned of approximately \$58 million and \$65 million, respectively.

Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities:

Accrued expenses and other liabilities as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 included \$6.39 billion and \$4.99 billion, respectively, of collateral received from clients in connection with our participation in principal securities finance transactions.

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

Note 7. Long-Term Debt

In May 2013, we issued an aggregate of \$1.50 billion of long-term debt, composed of \$500 million of 1.35% senior notes due May 15, 2018 and \$1.0 billion of 3.1% subordinated notes due May 15, 2023. Interest on the 1.35% senior notes and the 3.1% subordinated notes is payable semi-annually in arrears on May 15 and November 15 of each year, beginning on November 15, 2013. The 3.1% subordinated notes qualify for inclusion in tier 2 regulatory capital under federal regulatory capital guidelines.

Note 8. Commitments, Guarantees and Contingencies

Commitments:

We had unfunded off-balance sheet commitments to extend credit totaling \$19.98 billion and \$17.86 billion as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The potential losses associated with these commitments equal the gross contractual amounts, and do not consider the value of any collateral. Approximately 75% of our unfunded commitments to extend credit expire within one year from the date of issue. Since many of these commitments are expected to expire or renew without being drawn upon, the gross contractual amounts do not necessarily represent our future cash requirements.

Guarantees:

Off-balance sheet guarantees are composed of indemnified securities financing, stable value protection, unfunded commitments to purchase assets, and standby letters of credit. The potential losses associated with these guarantees equal the gross contractual amounts, and do not consider the value of any collateral. The following table presents the aggregate gross contractual amounts of off-balance sheet guarantees as of the dates indicated. Amounts presented do not reflect participations to independent third parties.

(In millions)	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Indemnified securities financing	\$ 315,632	\$ 302,341
Stable value protection	27,913	33,512
Asset purchase agreements	4,646	5,063
Standby letters of credit	4,601	4,552

Indemnified Securities Financing

On behalf of our clients, we lend their securities, as agent, to brokers and other institutions. In most circumstances, we indemnify our clients for the fair market value of those securities against a failure of the borrower to return such securities. We require the borrowers to maintain collateral in an amount equal to or in excess of 100% of the fair market value of the securities borrowed. Securities on loan are revalued daily to determine if additional collateral is necessary. Collateral received in connection with our securities lending services is held by us as agent and is not recorded in our consolidated statement of condition.

The cash collateral held by us as agent is invested on behalf of our clients. In certain cases, the cash collateral is invested in third-party repurchase agreements, for which we indemnify the client against loss of the principal invested. We require the counterparty to the indemnified repurchase agreement to provide collateral in an amount equal to or in excess of 100% of the amount of the repurchase agreement. In our role as agent, the indemnified repurchase agreements and the related collateral held by us are not recorded in our consolidated statement of condition.

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

The following table summarizes the fair values of indemnified securities financing and related collateral, as well as collateral invested in indemnified repurchase agreements, as of the dates indicated:

(In millions)	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Aggregate fair value of indemnified securities financing	\$ 315,632	\$ 302,341
Aggregate fair value of cash and securities held by us, as agent, as collateral for indemnified securities financing	327,567	312,223
Aggregate fair value of collateral for indemnified securities financing invested in indemnified repurchase agreements	86,461	80,224
Aggregate fair value of cash and securities held by us or our agents as collateral for investments in indemnified repurchase agreements	91,884	85,411

In certain cases, we participate in securities finance transactions as a principal. As principal, we borrow securities from the lending client and then lend such securities to the subsequent borrower, either a State Street client or a broker/dealer. Collateral provided and received in connection with such transactions is recorded in other assets and accrued expenses and other liabilities, respectively, in our consolidated statement of condition. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had approximately \$9.76 billion and \$6.83 billion, respectively, of collateral provided and approximately \$6.39 billion and \$4.99 billion, respectively, of collateral received from clients in connection with our participation in principal securities finance transactions.

Stable Value Protection

In the normal course of our business, we offer products that provide book-value protection, primarily to plan participants in stable value funds managed by non-affiliated investment managers of post-retirement defined contribution benefit plans, particularly 401(k) plans. The book-value protection is provided on portfolios of intermediate, investment grade fixed-income securities, and is intended to provide safety and stable growth of principal invested. The protection is intended to cover any shortfall in the event that a significant number of plan participants withdraw funds when book value exceeds market value and the liquidation of the assets is not sufficient to redeem the participants. The investment parameters of the underlying portfolios, combined with structural protections, are designed to provide cushion and guard against payments even under extreme stress scenarios.

These contingencies are individually accounted for as derivative financial instruments. The notional amounts of these contingencies are presented as “derivatives not designated as hedging instruments” in the table of aggregate notional amounts of derivative financial instruments provided in note 11. As of September 30, 2013, we have not made a payment under these contingencies that we consider material to our consolidated financial condition, and management believes that the probability of payment under these contingencies in the future, that we would consider material to our consolidated financial condition, is remote.

Contingencies:**Legal Proceedings**

In the ordinary course of business, we and our subsidiaries are involved in disputes, litigation and regulatory inquiries and investigations, both pending and threatened. These matters, if resolved adversely against us, may result in monetary damages, fines and penalties or require changes in our business practices. The resolution of these matters is inherently difficult to predict. Based on our assessment of these pending matters, we do not believe that the amount of any judgment, settlement or other action arising from any pending matter is likely to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition. However, an adverse outcome in certain of the matters described below could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations for the period in which such matter is resolved or a reserve is determined to be required, on our consolidated financial condition or on our reputation.

We evaluate our needs for accruals of loss contingencies related to legal proceedings on a case-by-case basis. When we have a liability that we deem probable and can be reasonably estimated as of the date of our consolidated financial statements, we accrue for our estimate of the loss. We may also establish a reserve if we determine that the cost of

litigation can be avoided through a settlement. Once established, a reserve is subject to subsequent adjustment as a result of additional information. The resolution of proceedings and a range of reasonably estimable loss are inherently difficult to predict, especially in the early stages of proceedings. Even if a loss is probable, due to many complex factors, such as speed of discovery and the timing of court decisions or rulings, a range of loss might not be reasonably estimated until the later stages of the proceeding.

To the extent that we have established reserves in our consolidated statement of condition for probable loss contingencies,

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

such reserves may not be sufficient to cover our ultimate financial exposure associated with any settlements or judgments. We may be subject to proceedings in the future that, if adversely resolved, would have a material adverse effect on our businesses or on our future consolidated financial statements. Except where otherwise noted below, we have not established reserves with respect to the claims discussed and do not believe that potential exposure is either probable or can be reasonably estimated.

SSgA

We are currently defending two related ERISA class actions by investors in unregistered SSgA-managed collective trust funds and common trust funds which challenge the division of our securities lending-related revenue between those funds and State Street in its role as lending agent. The first action alleges, among other things, that State Street breached its fiduciary duty to investors in those funds. The plaintiff contends that other State Street agency lending clients received more favorable fee splits than did the SSgA lending funds. In August 2012, the Court certified a class consisting of ERISA plans that invested in SSgA collective trust funds between April 2004 and the present. The plaintiff alleges that class members paid between \$145 million and \$237 million in excess fees during the class period. The second action, filed in January 2013, challenges the division of our securities lending-related revenue between common trust funds and State Street in its role as lending agent. It alleges, among other things, that State Street breached its fiduciary duty under ERISA and state common law to investors in those funds. We have established a reserve of \$15 million in connection with these matters.

Securities Finance

Two related participants in our agency securities lending program have brought suit against us challenging actions taken by us in response to their withdrawal from the program. We believe that certain withdrawals by these participants were inconsistent with the redemption policy applicable to the agency lending collateral pools and, consequently, redeemed their remaining interests through an in-kind distribution that reflected the assets these participants would have received had they acted in accordance with the collateral pools' redemption policy. In taking these actions, we believe that we acted in the best interests of all participants in the collateral pools. The two participants have asserted damages of \$120 million, an amount that plaintiffs have stated was the difference between the amortized cost and market value of the assets that State Street proposed to distribute to the plans in-kind on or about August 2009. While management does not believe that such difference is an appropriate measure of damages, as of September 30, 2010, the last date on which State Street acted as custodian for the participants, the difference between the amortized cost and market value of the in-kind distribution was approximately \$49 million. We have been informed that the participants liquidated these securities in June 2013, and that the realized loss on those sales was approximately \$11.3 million. We have established a reserve of \$10 million in connection with this matter.

Foreign Exchange

We offer our custody clients and their investment managers the option to route foreign exchange transactions to our foreign exchange desk through our asset servicing operation. We record as revenue an amount approximately equal to the difference between the rates we set for those trades and indicative interbank market rates at the time of settlement of the trade. As discussed more fully below, claims have been asserted on behalf of certain current and former custody clients, and future claims may be asserted, alleging that our indirect foreign exchange rates (including the differences between those rates and indicative interbank market rates at the time we executed the trades) were not adequately disclosed or were otherwise improper, and seeking to recover, among other things, the full amount of the revenue we obtained from our indirect foreign exchange trading with them.

In October 2009, the Attorney General of the State of California commenced an action under the California False Claims Act and California Business and Professional Code related to services State Street provides to California state pension plans. The California Attorney General asserts that the pricing of certain foreign exchange transactions for these pension plans was governed by the custody contracts for these plans and that our pricing was not consistent with the terms of those contracts and related disclosures to the plans, and that, as a result, State Street made false claims and engaged in unfair competition. The Attorney General asserts actual damages of approximately \$100 million for

periods from 2001 to 2009 and seeks additional penalties, including treble damages. This action is in the discovery phase.

In October 2010, we entered into a \$12 million settlement with the State of Washington. This settlement resolves a contract dispute related to the manner in which we priced some foreign exchange transactions during our ten-year relationship with the State of Washington. Our contractual obligations and related disclosures to the State of Washington were significantly different from those presented in our ongoing litigation in California.

We provide custody and principal foreign exchange services to government pension plans in other jurisdictions. Since the commencement of the litigation in California, attorneys general and other governmental authorities from a number of jurisdictions, as well as U.S. Attorney's offices, the U.S. Department of Labor and the SEC, have requested information or

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

issued subpoenas in connection with inquiries into the pricing of our foreign exchange services. We continue to respond to such inquiries and subpoenas.

We offer indirect foreign exchange services such as those we offer to the California pension plans to a broad range of custody clients in the U.S. and internationally. We have responded and are responding to information requests from a number of clients concerning our indirect foreign exchange rates. In February 2011, a putative class action was filed in federal court in Boston seeking unspecified damages, including treble damages, on behalf of all custodial clients that executed certain foreign exchange transactions with State Street from 1998 to 2009. The putative class action alleges, among other things, that the rates at which State Street executed foreign currency trades constituted an unfair and deceptive practice under Massachusetts law and a breach of the duty of loyalty.

Two other putative class actions are currently pending in federal court in Boston alleging various violations of ERISA on behalf of all ERISA plans custodied with us that executed indirect foreign exchange transactions with State Street from 1998 onward. The complaints allege that State Street caused class members to pay unfair and unreasonable rates for indirect foreign exchange transactions with State Street. The complaints seek unspecified damages, disgorgement of profits, and other equitable relief.

We have not established a reserve with respect to any of the pending legal proceedings related to our indirect foreign exchange services. We cannot provide any assurance as to the outcome of the pending proceedings, or whether other proceedings might be commenced against us by clients or government authorities. We expect that plaintiffs will seek to recover their share of all or a portion of the revenue that we have recorded from providing indirect foreign exchange services.

Our estimated total revenue worldwide from such services was approximately \$223 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, approximately \$248 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, approximately \$331 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, approximately \$336 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, approximately \$369 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 and approximately \$462 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Although we did not calculate revenue for such services prior to 2006 in the same manner, and have refined our calculation method over time, we believe that the amount of our revenue for such services has been of a similar or lesser order of magnitude for many years. Our revenue calculations related to indirect foreign exchange services reflect a judgment concerning the relationship between the rates we charge for indirect foreign exchange execution and indicative interbank market rates near in time to execution. Our revenue from foreign exchange trading generally depends on the difference between the rates we set for indirect trades and indicative interbank market rates on the date trades settle.

We cannot predict the outcome of any pending matters or whether a court, in the event of an adverse resolution, would consider our revenue to be the appropriate measure of damages.

Shareholder Litigation

Three shareholder-related complaints are currently pending in federal court in Boston. One complaint purports to be a class action on behalf of State Street shareholders. The two other complaints purport to be class actions on behalf of participants and beneficiaries in the State Street Salary Savings Program who invested in the program's State Street common stock investment option. The complaints variously allege violations of the federal securities laws, common law and ERISA in connection with our foreign exchange trading business, our investment securities portfolio and our asset-backed commercial paper conduit program. A fourth complaint, a purported shareholder derivative action on behalf of State Street, was dismissed in September 2013. We have not established a reserve with respect to these matters.

Transition Management

In 2011, we identified a limited number of instances in which clients of our U.K. transition management businesses had been intentionally charged amounts in excess of the contractual terms. We conducted an investigation of such business with the assistance of external counsel and accounting firms; we notified and have reimbursed the limited number of clients which we identified as having been intentionally overcharged. We also reported this matter to the

U.K. Financial Conduct Authority, or FCA, and are cooperating with them and the SEC in connection with this matter. We have established reserves in an aggregate amount of \$13.4 million for indemnification costs and the potential for a financial penalty in connection with a resolution of this matter with the FCA.

Investment Servicing

State Street is named as a defendant in a series of related complaints by investment management clients of TAG Virgin Islands, Inc., or TAG, who hold or held custodial accounts with State Street. The complaints, collectively, allege various claims in connection with certain assets managed by TAG and custodied with State Street. We established a reserve of \$9 million in the three months ended June 30, 2013 in connection with these matters. During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we entered into settlements with certain of the plaintiffs and had a remaining reserve of \$6.5 million as of September 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

Income Taxes

In the normal course of our business, we are subject to challenges from U.S. and non-U.S. income tax authorities regarding the amount of income taxes due. These challenges may result in adjustments to the timing or amount of taxable income or deductions or the allocation of taxable income among tax jurisdictions. Unrecognized tax benefits totaled approximately \$116 million and \$95 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, is currently reviewing our U.S. income tax returns for the tax years 2010 and 2011. Management believes that we have sufficiently accrued liabilities as of September 30, 2013 for tax exposures, including, but not limited to, exposures related to the review by the IRS of the tax years 2010 and 2011.

Note 9. Variable Interest Entities

Asset-Backed Investment Securities:

We invest in various forms of asset-backed securities, which we carry in our investment securities portfolio. These asset-backed securities meet the GAAP definition of asset securitization entities, which are considered to be VIEs as defined by GAAP. We are not considered to be the primary beneficiary of these VIEs, as defined by GAAP, since we do not have control over their activities. Additional information about our asset-backed securities is provided in note 3.

Tax-Exempt Investment Program:

In the normal course of our business, we structure and sell certificated interests in pools of tax-exempt investment-grade assets, principally to our mutual fund clients. We structure these pools as partnership trusts, and the assets and liabilities of the trusts are recorded in our consolidated statement of condition as investment securities available for sale and other short-term borrowings. We may also provide liquidity and re-marketing services to the trusts. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we carried investment securities available for sale, composed of securities related to state and political subdivisions, with a fair value of \$2.38 billion and \$2.68 billion, respectively, and other short-term borrowings of \$1.98 billion and \$2.15 billion, respectively, in our consolidated statement of condition in connection with these trusts.

We transfer assets to the trusts from our investment securities portfolio at adjusted book value, and the trusts finance the acquisition of these assets by selling certificated interests issued by the trusts to third-party investors and to State Street as residual holder. These transfers do not meet the de-recognition criteria defined by GAAP, and therefore, are recorded in our consolidated financial statements. The trusts had a weighted-average life of approximately 6.7 years as of September 30, 2013, compared to approximately 6.9 years as of December 31, 2012.

Under separate legal agreements, we provide standby bond-purchase agreements to these trusts and, with respect to certain securities, letters of credit. Our commitments to the trusts under these standby bond-purchase agreements and letters of credit totaled \$2.02 billion and \$691 million, respectively, as of September 30, 2013, none of which was utilized at period-end. In the event that our obligations under these agreements are triggered, no material impact to our consolidated results of operations or financial condition is expected to occur, because the securities are already recorded at fair value in our consolidated statement of condition.

Interests in Sponsored Investment Funds:

In the normal course of business, we manage various types of sponsored investment funds through SSgA. The services we provide to these sponsored investment funds generate management fee revenue. From time to time, we may invest cash in the funds, which we refer to as seed capital, in order for the funds to establish a performance history for newly-launched strategies. These funds may be considered VIEs. As of September 30, 2013, we have not been deemed to be the primary beneficiary of these funds, and as a result have not included the funds in our consolidated financial statements.

Our potential maximum loss exposure associated with our investments in these unconsolidated funds totaled \$18 million and \$28 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and represented the carrying value of our seed capital investment, which is recorded in either investment securities available for sale or other assets

in our consolidated statement of condition. The amount of loss we may recognize in any period is limited to the carrying amount of our seed capital investment in the unconsolidated fund.

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

Note 10. Shareholders' Equity

Common Stock:

In March 2013, our Board of Directors approved a program authorizing the purchase by us of up to \$2.10 billion of our common stock through March 31, 2014. In the three months ended September 30, 2013, under this program, we purchased approximately 8.2 million shares of our common stock at an average cost of \$68.57 per share and an aggregate cost of approximately \$560 million. No shares were purchased by us under this program in the three months ended March 31, 2013. From April 1, 2013 through September 30, 2013, we purchased approximately 16.7 million shares of our common stock under this program at an average per-share and aggregate cost of \$67.12 and \$1.12 billion, respectively. As of September 30, 2013, approximately \$980 million remained available for purchases of our common stock under the March 2013 program.

In the three months ended March 31, 2013, we purchased approximately 6.5 million shares of our common stock at an average cost of \$54.95 per share and an aggregate cost of approximately \$360 million, under a previous Board-approved program which ended on March 31, 2013.

In the nine months ended September 30, 2013, under both programs, we purchased in the aggregate approximately 23.2 million shares of our common stock at an average per-share cost of \$63.69 and an aggregate cost of approximately \$1.48 billion. Shares acquired in connection with our common stock purchase programs which remained unissued as of September 30, 2013 were recorded as treasury stock in our consolidated statement of condition as of September 30, 2013.

In the three months ended September 30, 2013, we declared a quarterly common stock dividend of \$0.26 per share, totaling approximately \$115 million. In the three months ended September 30, 2012, we declared a quarterly common stock dividend of \$0.24 per share, or approximately \$113 million. In the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we declared aggregate common stock dividends of \$0.78 per share, totaling approximately \$350 million, compared to aggregate common stock dividends of \$0.72 per share, totaling approximately \$346 million, declared in the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Preferred Stock:

In the three months ended September 30, 2013, we declared a quarterly dividend on our non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock, Series C, of \$1,312.50 per share, or approximately \$0.33 per depositary share (represented by depositary shares, each representing a 1/4,000th ownership interest in a share of State Street's non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock, Series C), totaling approximately \$7 million. In the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we declared aggregate dividends on our non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock, Series C, of \$3,937.50 per share, or approximately \$0.98 per depositary share, totaling approximately \$20 million. In both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, dividends declared on our perpetual preferred stock, Series C, totaled approximately \$8 million. In the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, we declared dividends on our non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock, Series A, totaling approximately \$7 million and \$21 million, respectively. We redeemed our perpetual preferred stock, Series A, in the three months ended December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss):

The following table presents the after-tax components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), or AOCI, as of the dates indicated:

(In millions)	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	
Net unrealized gains on cash flow hedges	\$130	\$69	
Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities portfolio	138	815	
Net unrealized losses related to reclassified available-for-sale securities	(81)	(110))
Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities	57	705	
Net unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities designated in fair value hedges	(122)	(183))
Other-than-temporary impairment on available-for-sale securities related to factors other than credit	3	(3))
Net unrealized losses on hedges of net investments in non-U.S. subsidiaries	(14)	(14))
Other-than-temporary impairment on held-to-maturity securities related to factors other than credit	(51)	(65))
Net unrealized losses on retirement plans	(274)	(283))
Foreign currency translation	157	134	
Total	\$(114)	\$360	

The following table presents changes in AOCI by component, net of related taxes, in the nine months ended September 30:

(In millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013							Total
	Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Cash Flow Hedges	Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Available-for-Sale Securities	Net Unrealized Losses on Net Investments in Non-U.S. Subsidiaries	Other-Than-Temporary Impairment on Held-to-Maturity Securities	Net Unrealized Losses on Retirement Plans	Foreign Currency Translation		
Beginning balance	\$69	\$ 519	\$ (14)	\$ (65)	\$ (283)	\$ 134	\$360	
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	59	(578)	—	11	(6)	22	(492)	
Amounts reclassified out of AOCI	2	(3)	—	3	15	1	18	
Other comprehensive income (loss)	61	(581)	—	14	9	23	(474)	
Ending balance	\$130	\$ (62)	\$ (14)	\$ (51)	\$ (274)	\$ 157	\$(114)	

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

The following table presents reclassifications out of AOCI in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013:

(In millions)	Amount Reclassified out of AOCI		Affected Line Item in Consolidated Statement of Income
	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	
Cash flow hedges:			
Interest-rate contracts, net of related taxes of \$1 Available-for-sale securities:	\$—	\$2	Net interest revenue
Net realized gains from sales of available-for-sale securities, net of related taxes of (\$2) and (\$4), respectively	(4) (7	Net gains (losses) from sales of available-for-sale securities
Other-than-temporary impairment on available-for-sale securities related to factors other than credit, net of related taxes of \$2	—	4	Losses reclassified (from) to other comprehensive income
Held-to-maturity securities:			
Other-than-temporary impairment on held-to-maturity securities related to factors other than credit, net of related taxes of \$1 and \$3, respectively	1	3	Losses reclassified (from) to other comprehensive income
Retirement plans:			
Amortization of actuarial losses, net of related taxes of \$3 and \$9, respectively	5	15	Compensation and employee benefits expense
Foreign currency translation:			
Sale of foreign entities, net of related taxes of \$1	—	1	Processing fees and other revenue
Total reclassifications out of AOCI	\$2	\$18	

In the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we realized net gains of \$11 million, or \$7 million net of related taxes as presented in the table above, from sales of available-for-sale securities. Unrealized pre-tax gains of \$25 million were included in AOCI as of December 31, 2012, net of deferred taxes of \$10 million, related to these sales. In the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we realized net gains of \$29 million from sales of available-for-sale securities. Unrealized pre-tax gains of \$22 million were included in AOCI as of December 31, 2011, net of deferred taxes of \$9 million, related to these sales.

Note 11. Derivative Financial Instruments

We use derivative financial instruments to support our clients' needs and to manage our interest-rate and currency risk. In undertaking these activities, we assume positions in both the foreign exchange and interest-rate markets by buying and selling cash instruments and using derivative financial instruments, including foreign exchange forward contracts, foreign exchange and interest-rate options and interest-rate swaps, interest-rate forward contracts and interest-rate futures.

Interest-rate contracts involve an agreement with a counterparty to exchange cash flows based on the movement of an underlying interest-rate index. An interest-rate swap agreement involves the exchange of a series of interest payments, at either a fixed or variable rate, based on the notional amount without the exchange of the underlying principal amount. An interest-rate option contract provides the purchaser, for a premium, the right, but not the obligation, to

receive an interest rate based upon a predetermined notional amount during a specified period. An interest-rate futures contract is a commitment to buy or sell, at a future date, a financial instrument at a contracted price; it may be settled in cash or through the delivery of the contracted instrument.

Foreign exchange contracts involve an agreement to exchange one currency for another currency at an agreed-upon rate and settlement date. Foreign exchange contracts generally consist of foreign exchange forward and spot contracts, option contracts and cross-currency swaps. Future cash requirements, if any, related to foreign exchange contracts are represented by the gross amount of currencies to be exchanged under each contract unless we and the counterparty have agreed to pay or to receive the net contractual settlement amount on the settlement date.

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

Derivative financial instruments involve the management of interest-rate and foreign currency risk, and involve, to varying degrees, market risk and credit and counterparty risk (risk related to repayment). Market risk is defined by U.S. banking regulators as the risk of loss that could result from broad market movements, such as changes in the general level of interest rates, credit spreads, foreign exchange rates or commodity prices. We use a variety of risk management tools and methodologies to measure, monitor and manage the market risk associated with our trading activities. One such risk-management measure is Value-at-Risk, or VaR. VaR is an estimate of potential loss for a given period within a stated statistical confidence interval. We use a risk-measurement system to measure VaR daily. We have adopted standards for measuring VaR, and we maintain regulatory capital for market risk in accordance with currently applicable bank regulatory market risk capital guidelines.

Derivative financial instruments are also subject to credit and counterparty risk, which is defined as the risk of financial loss if a borrower or counterparty is either unable or unwilling to repay borrowings or settle a transaction in accordance with the underlying contractual terms. We manage credit and counterparty risk by performing credit reviews, maintaining individual counterparty limits, entering into netting arrangements and requiring the receipt of collateral. Collateral requirements are determined after a review of the creditworthiness of each counterparty, and the requirements are monitored and adjusted daily. Collateral is generally held in the form of cash or highly liquid U.S. government securities. We may be required to provide collateral to the counterparty in connection with our entry into derivative financial instruments. Cash collateral received from and provided to counterparties in connection with derivative financial instruments is recorded in accrued expenses and other liabilities and other assets, respectively, in our consolidated statement of condition. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had recorded approximately \$2.87 billion and \$1.68 billion, respectively, of cash collateral received from counterparties and approximately \$2.80 billion and \$1.30 billion, respectively, of cash collateral provided to counterparties in connection with derivative financial instruments in our consolidated statement of condition.

We enter into master netting agreements with many of our derivative counterparties, and we have elected to net derivative assets and liabilities, including cash collateral received or deposited, which are subject to those agreements. Certain of these agreements contain credit risk-related contingent features in which the counterparty has the option to declare State Street in default and accelerate cash settlement of our net derivative liabilities with the counterparty in the event our credit rating falls below specified levels. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit risk-related contingent features that were in a net liability position as of September 30, 2013 totaled approximately \$421 million, against which we had no underlying collateral, due to timing differences with respect to the mark-to-market valuation of the collateral. If State Street's credit rating were downgraded below levels specified in the agreements, the maximum additional amount of payments related to termination events that could have been required pursuant to these contingent features as of September 30, 2013 was approximately \$421 million. Such accelerated settlement would not affect our consolidated results of operations.

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments:

In connection with our trading activities, we use derivative financial instruments in our role as a financial intermediary and as both a manager and servicer of financial assets, in order to accommodate our clients' investment and risk management needs. In addition, we use derivative financial instruments for risk management purposes as economic hedges, which are not formally designated as accounting hedges, in order to contribute to our overall corporate earnings and liquidity. These activities are designed to generate trading services revenue and to manage volatility in our net interest revenue. The level of market risk that we assume is a function of our overall objectives and liquidity needs, our clients' requirements and market volatility.

With respect to cross-border investing, our clients often enter into foreign exchange forward contracts to convert currency for international investments and to manage the currency risk in their international investment portfolios. As an active participant in the foreign exchange markets, we provide foreign exchange forward contracts and options in support of these client needs, and also act as a dealer in the currency markets. As part of our trading activities, we assume positions in both the foreign exchange and interest-rate markets by buying and selling cash instruments and

using derivative financial instruments, including foreign exchange forward contracts, foreign exchange and interest-rate options and interest-rate swaps, interest-rate forward contracts, and interest-rate futures. In the aggregate, we seek to match positions closely with the objective of minimizing related currency and interest-rate risk.

We offer products that provide book-value protection primarily to plan participants in stable value funds managed by non-affiliated investment managers of post-retirement defined contribution benefit plans, particularly 401(k) plans.

We account for the associated contingencies, more fully described in note 8, individually as derivative financial instruments. These contracts are valued quarterly and unrealized losses, if any, are recorded in other expenses in our consolidated statement of income.

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments:

In connection with our asset-and-liability management activities, we use derivative financial instruments to manage our interest-rate risk. Interest-rate risk, defined as the sensitivity of income or financial condition to variations in interest rates, is a significant non-trading market risk to which our assets and liabilities are exposed. These hedging relationships are formally designated, and qualify for hedge accounting, as fair value or cash flow hedges. We manage interest-rate risk by identifying, quantifying and hedging our exposures, using fixed-rate portfolio securities and a variety of derivative financial instruments, most frequently interest-rate swaps and options (for example, interest-rate caps and floors). Interest-rate swap agreements alter the interest-rate characteristics of specific balance sheet assets or liabilities. When appropriate, forward-rate agreements, options on swaps, and exchange-traded futures and options are also used.

Fair value hedges

Derivatives designated as fair value hedges are utilized to mitigate the risk of changes in fair value of recognized assets and liabilities. Differences between the gains and losses on fair value hedges and the gains and losses on the asset or liability attributable to the hedged risk represent hedge ineffectiveness. We use interest-rate or foreign exchange contracts in this manner to manage our exposure to changes in the fair value of hedged items caused by changes in interest rates or foreign exchange rates.

We have entered into interest-rate swap agreements to modify our interest revenue from certain available-for-sale investment securities from a fixed rate to a floating rate. The securities hedged had a weighted-average life of approximately 6.7 years as of September 30, 2013, compared to 6.9 years as of December 31, 2012. These securities are hedged with interest-rate swap contracts of similar maturity, repricing and fixed-rate coupons. The interest-rate swap contracts convert the interest revenue from a fixed rate to a floating rate indexed to LIBOR, thereby mitigating our exposure to fluctuations in the fair value of the securities attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate.

We have entered into interest-rate swap agreements to modify our interest expense on one senior note and one subordinated note from fixed rates to floating rates. The senior note matures in 2018 and pays fixed interest at a 1.35% annual rate. The subordinated note matures in 2023 and pays fixed interest at a 3.1% annual rate. The senior and subordinated notes are hedged with interest-rate swap contracts with notional amounts, maturities and fixed-rate coupon terms that align with the hedged notes. The interest-rate swap contracts convert the fixed-rate coupons to floating rates indexed to LIBOR, thereby mitigating our exposure to fluctuations in the fair values of the senior notes and subordinated notes stemming from changes in the benchmark interest rates.

We have entered into forward foreign exchange contracts to hedge the change in fair value attributable to foreign exchange movements in the funding of non-functional currency-denominated investment securities. These forward contracts convert the foreign currency risk to U.S. dollars, thereby mitigating our exposure to fluctuations in the fair value of the securities attributable to changes in foreign exchange rates. Generally, no ineffectiveness is recorded in earnings, since the notional amount of the hedging instruments is aligned with the carrying value of the hedged securities. The forward points on the hedging instruments are considered to be a hedging cost, and accordingly are excluded from the evaluation of hedge effectiveness and recorded in net interest revenue.

Cash flow hedges

Derivatives categorized as cash flow hedges are utilized to offset the variability of cash flows to be received from or paid on a floating-rate asset or liability. Ineffectiveness of cash flow hedges is defined as the extent to which the changes in fair value of the derivative exceed the variability of cash flows of the forecasted transaction.

We have entered into an interest-rate swap agreement to modify our interest revenue from an available-for-sale debt security from a floating rate to a fixed rate. The hedged security had a remaining life of approximately 1 year as of September 30, 2013, compared to 1.8 years as of December 31, 2012. The security is hedged with an interest-rate swap contract of similar maturity, repricing and other characteristics. The interest-rate swap contract converts the interest revenue from a floating rate to a fixed rate, thereby mitigating our exposure to fluctuations in the cash flows of the security attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate.

We have entered into foreign exchange contracts to hedge the change in cash flows attributable to foreign exchange movements in the funding of non-functional currency-denominated investment securities. These foreign exchange contracts convert the foreign currency risk to U.S. dollars, thereby mitigating our exposure to fluctuations in the cash flows of the securities attributable to changes in foreign exchange rates. Generally, no ineffectiveness is recorded in earnings, since the critical terms of the hedging instruments and the hedged securities are aligned.

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

The following table presents the aggregate contractual, or notional, amounts of derivative financial instruments entered into in connection with our trading and asset-and-liability management activities as of the dates indicated:

(In millions)	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:		
Interest-rate contracts:		
Swap agreements and forwards	\$1,112	\$1,578
Options and caps purchased	36	68
Options and caps written	36	68
Futures	5,126	1,910
Foreign exchange contracts:		
Forward, swap and spot	1,118,507	897,354
Options purchased	2,797	9,454
Options written	2,357	8,734
Credit derivative contracts:		
Credit swap agreements	136	27
Other:		
Stable value contracts	27,913	33,512
Futures	3	—
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:		
Interest-rate contracts:		
Swap agreements	4,222	3,153
Foreign exchange contracts:		
Forward and swap	2,987	3,477

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

In connection with our asset-and-liability management activities, we have entered into interest-rate contracts designated as fair value and cash flow hedges to manage our interest-rate risk. The following table presents the aggregate notional amounts of these interest-rate contracts and the related assets or liabilities being hedged as of the dates indicated:

(In millions)	September 30, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Fair Value Hedges	Cash Flow Hedges	Total	Fair Value Hedges	Cash Flow Hedges	Total
Investment securities available for sale	\$2,592	\$130	\$2,722	\$1,573	\$130	\$1,703
Long-term debt ⁽¹⁾	1,500	—	1,500	1,450	—	1,450
Total	\$4,092	\$130	\$4,222	\$3,023	\$130	\$3,153

⁽¹⁾ As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, fair value hedges of long-term debt increased the carrying value of long-term debt presented in our consolidated statement of condition by \$18 million and \$174 million, respectively. The following table presents the contractual and weighted-average interest rates for long-term debt, which include the effects of the hedges presented in the table above, for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		2012	
	Contractual Rates	Rate Including Impact of Hedges	Contractual Rates	Rate Including Impact of Hedges
Long-term debt	3.34	% 2.67	% 4.13	% 3.20

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		2012	
	Contractual Rates	Rate Including Impact of Hedges	Contractual Rates	Rate Including Impact of Hedges
Long-term debt	3.49	% 2.77	% 4.01	% 3.20

For cash flow hedges, any changes in the fair value of the derivative financial instruments remain in AOCI, and are generally recorded in our consolidated statement of income in future periods when earnings are affected by the variability of the hedged cash flow.

The following tables present the fair value of derivative financial instruments, excluding the impact of master netting agreements, recorded in our consolidated statement of condition as of the dates indicated. The impact of master netting agreements is disclosed in note 2.

(In millions)	Asset Derivatives		
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	
		September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:			
Foreign exchange contracts	Other assets	\$10,109	\$9,243
Interest-rate contracts	Other assets	34	61
Credit derivative contracts	Other assets	1	—
Total		\$10,144	\$9,304
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:			
Foreign exchange contracts	Other assets	\$257	\$135
Interest-rate contracts	Other assets	24	162

Total	\$281	\$297
-------	-------	-------

103

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

(In millions)	Location	Liability Derivatives		
		Balance Sheet	Fair Value	
			September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:				
Foreign exchange contracts	Other liabilities	\$10,210	\$9,067	
Interest-rate contracts	Other liabilities	35	61	
Other derivative contracts	Other liabilities	9	9	
Total		\$10,254	\$9,137	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:				
Interest-rate contracts	Other liabilities	\$272	\$284	
Foreign exchange contracts	Other liabilities	51	17	
Total		\$323	\$301	

The following tables present the impact of our use of derivative financial instruments on our consolidated statement of income for the periods indicated:

(In millions)	Location of Gain (Loss) on Derivative in Consolidated Statement of Income	Amount of Gain (Loss) on Derivative Recognized in Consolidated Statement of Income			
		Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
		September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:					
Foreign exchange contracts	Trading services revenue	\$147	\$113	\$461	\$459
Foreign exchange contracts	Processing fees and other revenue	—	1	—	(2)
Interest-rate contracts	Trading services revenue	—	1	3	(87)
Interest-rate contracts	Processing fees and other revenue	—	—	—	2
Credit derivative contracts	Processing fees and other revenue	1	—	1	—
Total		\$148	\$115	\$465	\$372

(In millions)	Location of Gain (Loss) on Derivative in Consolidated Statement of Income	Amount of Gain (Loss) on Derivative Recognized in Consolidated Statement of Income		Hedged Item in Fair Value Hedging Relationship	Location of Gain (Loss) on Hedged Item in Consolidated Statement of Income	Amount of Gain (Loss) on Hedged Item Recognized in Consolidated Statement of Income	
		Three Months Ended	Nine Months Ended			Three Months Ended	Nine Months Ended
		September 30, 2013	September 30, 2013			September 30, 2013	September 30, 2013

Derivatives designated as fair value hedges:

Foreign exchange contracts	Processing fees and other revenue	\$20)	Investment securities	Processing fees and other revenue	\$(20)	\$135	
Interest-rate contracts	Processing fees and other revenue	(14)	(146)	Long-term debt	Processing fees and other revenue	12	133	
Interest-rate contracts	Processing fees and other revenue	(6)	15		Available-for-sale securities	Processing fees and other revenue	7	(15)
Total		\$—		\$(266)			\$(1)	\$253

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

	Location of Gain (Loss) on Derivative in Consolidated Statement of Income	Amount of Gain (Loss) on Derivative Recognized in Consolidated Statement of Income		Hedged Item in Fair Value Hedging Relationship	Location of Gain (Loss) on Hedged Item in Consolidated Statement of Income	Amount of Gain (Loss) on Hedged Item Recognized in Consolidated Statement of Income	
		Three Months Ended September 30, 2012	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012			Three Months Ended September 30, 2012	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012
(In millions)							
Derivatives designated as fair value hedges:							
Foreign exchange contracts	Processing fees and other revenue	\$26	\$30	Investment securities	Processing fees and other revenue	\$(26)	\$(30)
Interest-rate contracts	Processing fees and other revenue	(1)	(7)	Available-for-sale securities	Processing fees and other revenue	1	3
Interest-rate contracts	Processing fees and other revenue	22	62	Long-term debt	Processing fees and other revenue	(21)	(58)
Total		\$47	\$85			\$(46)	\$(85)

Differences between the gains (losses) on the derivative and the gains (losses) on the hedged item, excluding any amounts recorded in net interest revenue, represent hedge ineffectiveness.

	Amount of Gain (Loss) on Derivative Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income		Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from OCI to Consolidated Statement of Income	Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from OCI to Consolidated Statement of Income		Location of Gain (Loss) on Derivative Recognized in Consolidated Statement of Income	Amount of Gain (Loss) on Derivative Recognized in Consolidated Statement of Income	
	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013
(In millions)								
Derivatives designated as cash flow hedges:								
Interest-rate contracts	\$(1)	\$10	Net interest revenue	\$(1)	\$(3)	Net interest revenue	\$1	\$3
Foreign exchange contracts	(55)	98	Net interest revenue	—	—	Net interest revenue	—	5
Total	\$(56)	\$108		\$(1)	\$(3)		\$1	\$8

	Amount of Gain (Loss) on Derivative Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income		Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from OCI to Consolidated Statement of Income	Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from OCI to Consolidated Statement of Income		Location of Gain (Loss) on Derivative Recognized in Consolidated Statement of Income	Amount of Gain (Loss) on Derivative Recognized in Consolidated Statement of Income	
	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012		Three Months Ended September 30, 2012	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012		Three Months Ended September 30, 2012	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012
(In millions)								
Derivatives designated as cash flow hedges:								
Interest-rate contracts	\$—	\$3	Net interest revenue	\$(1)	\$(4)	Net interest revenue	\$1	\$2
Foreign exchange contracts	(2)	(1)	Net interest revenue	—	—	Net interest revenue	2	2
Total	\$(2)	\$2		\$(1)	\$(4)		\$3	\$4

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

Note 12. Offsetting Arrangements

We manage credit and counterparty risk by entering into enforceable netting agreements and other collateral arrangements with counterparties to derivative financial instruments and secured financing transactions, including resale and repurchase agreements, and principal securities borrowing and lending agreements. These netting agreements mitigate our counterparty credit risk by providing for a single net settlement with a counterparty of all financial transactions covered by the agreement in an event of default as defined under such agreement. In limited cases, a netting agreement may also provide for the periodic netting of settlement payments with respect to multiple different transaction types in the normal course of business.

Certain of our derivative contracts are executed under either standardized netting agreements or, for exchange-traded derivatives, the relevant contracts for a particular exchange which contain enforceable netting provisions. In certain cases, we may have cross-product netting arrangements which allow for netting and set-off of a variety of types of derivatives with a single counterparty. A derivative netting arrangement creates an enforceable right of set-off that becomes effective, and effects the realization or settlement of individual financial assets and liabilities, only following a specified event of default. Collateral requirements associated with our derivative contracts are determined after a review of the creditworthiness of each counterparty, and the requirements are monitored and adjusted daily, typically based on net exposure by counterparty. Collateral is generally in the form of cash or highly liquid U.S. government securities.

In connection with our secured financing activities, we enter into netting agreements and other collateral arrangements with counterparties, which provide for the right to liquidate collateral upon an event of default. Required collateral is generally in the form of cash, equity securities or fixed-income securities. Default events may include the failure to make payments or deliver securities timely, material adverse changes in financial condition or insolvency, the breach of minimum regulatory capital requirements, or loss of license, charter or other legal authorization necessary to perform under the contract.

In order for an arrangement to be eligible for netting, we must have a basis to conclude that such netting arrangements are legally enforceable. The analysis of the legal enforceability of an arrangement differs by jurisdiction, depending on the laws of that jurisdiction. In many jurisdictions, specific legislation exists that provides for the enforceability in bankruptcy of close-out netting under a netting agreement, typically by way of specific exception from more general prohibitions on the exercise of creditor rights.

When we have a basis to conclude that a legally enforceable netting arrangement exists between us and the derivative counterparty and the relevant transaction is the type of transaction that is recorded in our consolidated statement of condition, we offset derivative assets and liabilities, and the related collateral received and provided, in our consolidated statement of condition. We also offset secured financing assets and liabilities with the same counterparty or clearinghouse which have the same maturity date and are settled in the normal course of business on a net basis.

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

The following tables present information about the offsetting of derivative financial instruments and secured financing transactions, as of the dates indicated:

Assets:	September 30, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Gross Amounts of Recognized Assets ⁽¹⁾	Gross Amounts Offset in Statement of Condition ⁽²⁾	Net Amounts of Assets Presented in Statement of Condition	Gross Amounts of Recognized Assets ⁽¹⁾	Gross Amounts Offset in Statement of Condition ⁽²⁾	Net Amounts of Assets Presented in Statement of Condition
(In millions)						
Derivatives:						
Interest-rate contracts	\$58	\$(45)) \$13	\$223	\$(19)) \$204
Foreign exchange contracts	10,366	(3,757)) 6,609	9,378	(3,575)) 5,803
Other derivative contracts	1	—) 1	—	—) —
Cash collateral netting	—	(2,303)) (2,303)	—	(1,451)) (1,451)
Total derivatives	\$10,425	\$(6,105)) \$4,320	\$9,601	\$(5,045)) \$4,556
Other financial instruments:						
Resale agreements and securities borrowing ⁽³⁾	\$45,563	\$(29,974)) \$15,589	\$35,658	\$(23,809)) \$11,849
Total derivatives and other financial instruments	\$55,988	\$(36,079)) \$19,909	\$45,259	\$(28,854)) \$16,405

⁽¹⁾ Amounts include all transactions regardless of whether or not they are subject to an enforceable netting arrangement.

⁽²⁾ Amounts subject to netting arrangements which have been determined to be legally enforceable.

⁽³⁾ Included in the \$15,589 million as of September 30, 2013 was \$5,827 million of resale agreements and \$9,762 million of collateral related to securities borrowing. Included in the \$11,849 million as of December 31, 2012 was \$5,016 million of resale agreements and \$6,833 million of collateral related to securities borrowing. Resale agreements and collateral related to securities borrowing were recorded in securities purchased under resale agreements and other assets, respectively, in our consolidated statement of condition. Refer to note 8 for additional information with respect to principal securities finance transactions.

	September 30, 2013				December 31, 2012			
	Net Amount of Assets Presented in Statement of Condition	Counterparty Netting	Collateral Received	Net Amount ⁽²⁾	Net Amount of Assets Presented in Statement of Condition	Counterparty Netting	Collateral Received	Net Amount ⁽²⁾
(In millions)								
Derivatives	\$4,320	\$—	\$(95)) \$4,225	\$4,556	\$—	\$(105)) \$4,451
	15,589	(144)	(15,360)) 85	11,849	(126)	(11,626)) 97

Resale
agreements
and
securities
borrowing

Total	\$19,909	\$(144)	\$(15,455)	\$4,310	\$16,405	\$(126)	\$(11,731)	\$4,548
-------	----------	--------	---	-----------	---	---------	----------	--------	---	-----------	---	---------

(1) Amounts subject to netting arrangements which have been determined to be legally enforceable.

(2) Includes amounts secured by collateral not determined to be subject to enforceable netting arrangements.

107

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

The following tables present information about the offsetting of derivative financial instruments and secured financing transactions, as of the dates indicated:

(In millions)	September 30, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Gross Amounts of Recognized Liabilities ⁽¹⁾	Gross Amounts Offset in Statement of Condition ⁽²⁾	Net Amounts of Liabilities Presented in Statement of Condition	Gross Amounts of Recognized Liabilities ⁽¹⁾	Gross Amounts Offset in Statement of Condition ⁽²⁾	Net Amounts of Liabilities Presented in Statement of Condition
Derivatives:						
Interest-rate contracts	\$307	\$(45)) \$262	\$345	\$(19)) \$326
Foreign exchange contracts	10,261	(3,757)) 6,504	9,084	(3,574)) 5,510
Other derivative contracts	9	—) 9	9	—) 9
Cash collateral netting	—	(468)) (468)	—	(478)) (478)
Total derivatives	\$10,577	\$(4,270)) \$6,307	\$9,438	\$(4,071)) \$5,367
Other financial instruments:						
Repurchase agreements and securities lending ⁽³⁾	\$46,488	\$(29,974)) \$16,514	\$36,801	\$(23,809)) \$12,992
Total derivatives and other financial instruments	\$57,065	\$(34,244)) \$22,821	\$46,239	\$(27,880)) \$18,359

⁽¹⁾ Amounts include all transactions regardless of whether or not they are subject to an enforceable netting arrangement.

⁽²⁾ Amounts subject to netting arrangements which have been determined to be legally enforceable.

⁽³⁾ Included in the \$16,514 million as of September 30, 2013 was \$10,123 million of repurchase agreements and \$6,391 million of collateral related to securities lending. Included in the \$12,992 million as of December 31, 2012 was \$8,006 million of repurchase agreements and \$4,986 million of collateral related to securities lending. Repurchase agreements and collateral related to securities lending were recorded in securities sold under repurchase agreements and accrued expenses and other liabilities, respectively, in our consolidated statement of condition. Refer to note 8 for additional information with respect to principal securities finance transactions.

(In millions)	September 30, 2013				December 31, 2012			
	Net Amount of Liabilities Presented in Statement of Condition	Counterparty Netting	Collateral Provided	Net Amount ⁽²⁾	Net Amount of Liabilities Presented in Statement of Condition	Counterparty Netting	Collateral Provided	Net Amount ⁽²⁾
Derivatives	\$6,307	\$—	\$—	\$6,307	\$5,367	\$—	\$—	\$5,367

Repurchase agreements and securities lending	\$16,514	\$(144)) \$(14,002)) 2,368	12,992	(126)) (12,067)) 799
Total	\$22,821	\$(144)) \$(14,002)) \$8,675	\$18,359	\$(126)) \$(12,067)) \$6,166

(1) Amounts subject to netting arrangements which have been determined to be legally enforceable.

(2) Includes amounts secured by collateral not determined to be subject to enforceable netting arrangements.

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

Note 13. Net Interest Revenue

The following table presents the components of interest revenue and interest expense, and related net interest revenue, for the periods indicated:

(In millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2013	2012	September 30, 2013	2012
Interest revenue:				
Deposits with banks	\$29	\$31	\$91	\$108
Investment securities:				
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies	165	200	542	605
State and political subdivisions	59	53	164	161
Other investments	323	374	1,003	1,185
Securities purchased under resale agreements	8	15	33	37
Loans and leases	58	57	193	183
Other interest-earning assets	1	—	4	2
Total interest revenue	643	730	2,030	2,281
Interest expense:				
Deposits	17	37	78	127
Short-term borrowings	15	18	46	55
Long-term debt	59	52	169	172
Other interest-bearing liabilities	6	4	19	11
Total interest expense	97	111	312	365
Net interest revenue	\$546	\$619	\$1,718	\$1,916

Note 14. Acquisition and Restructuring Costs

The following table presents net acquisition and restructuring costs recorded in the periods indicated:

(In millions)	Three Months		Nine Months Ended	
	Ended September 30, 2013	2012	September 30, 2013	2012
Acquisition costs	\$18	\$13	\$52	\$41
Restructuring charges, net	12	15	22	45
Total acquisition and restructuring costs	\$30	\$28	\$74	\$86

Acquisition Costs

Acquisition costs incurred in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 were related to previously disclosed acquisitions.

Restructuring Charges

Information with respect to our Business Operations and Information Technology Transformation program and our 2011 and 2012 expense control measures, including charges, employee reductions and aggregate activity in the related accruals, is provided in the two sections that follow.

Business Operations and Information Technology Transformation Program

In November 2010, we announced a global multi-year Business Operations and Information Technology Transformation program. The program includes operational, information technology and targeted cost initiatives, including plans related to reductions in both staff and occupancy costs. To date, we have recorded aggregate restructuring charges of \$375 million in our

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

consolidated statement of income, composed of \$156 million in 2010, \$133 million in 2011, \$67 million in 2012 and \$19 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

The charges related to the program included costs related to severance, benefits and outplacement services, as well as costs which resulted from actions taken to reduce our occupancy costs through the consolidation of leases and properties. The charges also included costs related to information technology, including transition fees associated with the expansion of our use of third-party service providers associated with components of our information technology infrastructure and application maintenance and support.

In 2010, in connection with the program, we initiated the involuntary termination of 1,400 employees, or approximately 5% of our global workforce, which we had substantially completed by the end of 2011. In addition, in connection with our announcement in 2011 of the expansion of our use of third-party service providers associated with our information technology infrastructure and application maintenance and support, as well as the continued execution of the business operations transformation component of the program, we have identified 1,234 additional involuntary terminations and role eliminations, including 263 in the nine months ended September 30, 2013. As of September 30, 2013, we have eliminated 1,168 of these positions.

Expense Control Measures

In December 2011, in connection with expense control measures designed to calibrate our expenses to our outlook for our capital markets-facing businesses in 2012, we took two actions. First, we withdrew from our fixed-income trading initiative, in which we traded in fixed-income securities and derivatives as principal with our custody clients and other third-parties that trade in these securities and derivatives. Second, we undertook other targeted staff reductions. As a result of these actions, we recorded aggregate pre-tax restructuring charges of \$120 million in 2011 and net pre-tax credit adjustments of \$(1) million in 2012 in our consolidated statement of income.

The charges recorded in 2011 included costs related to severance, benefits and outplacement services with respect to both our withdrawal from our fixed-income initiative and the other targeted staff reductions; costs associated with fair-value adjustments to the initiative's trading portfolio resulting from our decision to withdraw from the initiative; and costs for asset and other write-offs related to asset write-downs and contract terminations. In 2011, in connection with the above-described employee-related actions, we identified 442 employees to be involuntarily terminated as their roles were eliminated. As of September 30, 2013, we had substantially completed these reductions.

In December 2012, in connection with expense control measures designed to better align our expenses to our business strategy and related outlook for 2013, we identified additional targeted staff reductions. As a result of these actions, we have recorded aggregate pre-tax restructuring charges of \$133 million in 2012 and \$3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2013, which included \$1 million in the three months ended September 30, 2013, in our consolidated statement of income. Employee-related costs included severance, benefits and outplacement services. Costs for asset and other write-offs were primarily related to contract terminations. We originally identified involuntary terminations and role eliminations of 960 employees (630 positions after replacements). As of September 30, 2013, 720 positions had been eliminated through voluntary and involuntary terminations.

Aggregate Restructuring-Related Accrual Activity

The following table presents aggregate activity associated with accruals that resulted from the charges associated with the Business Operations and Information Technology Transformation program and the 2011 and 2012 expense control measures:

(In millions)	Employee- Related Costs	Real Estate Consolidation	Information Technology Costs	Asset and Other Write-Offs	Total
Balance as of December 31, 2012	\$ 195	\$ 49	\$ 5	\$ 13	\$ 262
Additional accruals for Business Operations and Information Technology Transformation program	9	11	(1)	—	19
Additional accruals for 2012 expense control measures	(2)	—	—	5	3

Edgar Filing: STATE STREET CORP - Form 10-Q

Payments and adjustments	(125)	(11)	(4)	(8)	(148)
Balance as of September 30, 2013	\$77		\$ 49		\$—		\$ 10		\$136	

110

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION
CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(UNAUDITED)

Note 15. Earnings Per Common Share

The following table presents the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net income	\$540	\$674	\$1,583	\$1,591
Less:				
Preferred stock dividends	(7)	(15)	(20)	(29)
Dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities ⁽¹⁾	(2)	(5)	(6)	(11)
Net income available to common shareholders	\$531	\$654	\$1,557	\$1,551
Average common shares outstanding (in thousands):				
Basic average common shares	442,860	472,355	449,742	479,536
Effect of dilutive securities: common stock options and common stock awards	9,294	7,655	8,650	6,277
Diluted average common shares	452,154	480,010	458,392	485,813
Anti-dilutive securities ⁽²⁾	1,788	5,443	2,384	5,613
Earnings per Common Share:				
Basic	\$1.20	\$1.39	\$3.46	\$3.23
Diluted	1.17	1.36	3.40	3.19

(1) Represented the portion of net income available to common equity allocated to participating securities; participating securities, composed of unvested restricted stock and director stock awards, contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends during the vesting period on a basis equivalent to dividends paid to common shareholders.

(2) Represented common stock options and other equity-based awards outstanding, but not included in the computation of diluted average shares because their effect was anti-dilutive.

Note 16. Line of Business Information

We have two lines of business: Investment Servicing and Investment Management. Given our services and management organization, the results of operations for these lines of business are not necessarily comparable with those of other companies, including companies in the financial services industry. Information about our two lines of business, as well as revenues, expenses and capital allocation methodologies associated with these lines of business, is provided in note 24 to the consolidated financial statements included in our 2012 Form 10-K.

The following tables provide a summary of our line of business results for the periods indicated. The "Other" column for the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 included net acquisition and restructuring costs of \$30 million and \$74 million, respectively, and certain provisions for litigation exposure and other costs of \$5 million and \$20 million, respectively. The third quarter and first nine months of 2012 included the \$362 million credit related to recoveries associated with the 2008 Lehman Brothers bankruptcy, as well as certain provisions for litigation exposure and other costs of \$85 million and \$107 million, respectively, and net acquisition and restructuring costs of \$28 million and \$86 million, respectively. In addition, the first nine months of 2012 included the net realized loss from the sale of all of our Greek investment securities. The amounts in the "Other" columns were not allocated to State Street's business lines. Results for 2012 reflect reclassifications, for comparative purposes, related to management changes in methodology associated with funds transfer pricing and expense allocation reflected in results for 2013.

management policies and our allocation of certain indirect corporate expenses. Interest expense allocations are based on our internal funds transfer pricing methodology.

Table of Contents

STATE STREET CORPORATION

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

The following table presents our non-U.S. financial results for the periods indicated. Results for 2012 reflect changes in methodology associated with funds transfer pricing and expense allocation reflected in results for 2013.

(In millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Total fee revenue	\$771	\$700	\$2,347	\$2,169
Net interest revenue	306	240	874	709
Gains (losses) related to investment securities, net	(2) (4) (12) (36
Total revenue	1,075	936	3,209	2,842
Expenses	786	708	2,279	2,226
Income before income taxes	289	228	930	616
Income tax expense	74	57	228	153
Net income	\$215	\$171	\$702	\$463

The following table presents the significant components of our non-U.S. assets as of the dates indicated, based on the domicile of the underlying counterparties:

(In millions)	September 30,	December 31,
	2013	2012
Interest-bearing deposits with banks	\$5,221	\$20,665
Investment securities	30,586	28,976
Other assets	16,982	13,441
Total non-U.S. assets	\$52,789	\$63,082

REVIEW REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Shareholders and Board of Directors of
State Street Corporation

We have reviewed the consolidated statement of condition of State Street Corporation (the "Corporation") as of September 30, 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 and changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Corporation's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole.

Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated statement of condition of State Street Corporation as of December 31, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended, not presented herein, and in our report dated February 22, 2013, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated statement of condition of the Corporation as of December 31, 2012, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated statement of condition from which it has been derived.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Boston, Massachusetts

November 6, 2013

FORM 10-Q PART I CROSS-REFERENCE INDEX

The information required by the items presented below is incorporated herein by reference from the “Financial Information” section of this Form 10-Q.

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	<u>Consolidated Statement of Income (Unaudited) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>57</u>
	<u>Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>58</u>
	<u>Consolidated Statement of Condition as of September 30, 2013 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2012</u>	<u>59</u>
	<u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders’ Equity (Unaudited) for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>60</u>
	<u>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>61</u>
	<u>Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	<u>62</u>
	<u>Review Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>114</u>
Item 2.	<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>3</u>
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>56</u>
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>56</u>

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(c) In March 2013, our Board of Directors approved a new common stock purchase program authorizing the purchase by us of up to \$2.10 billion of our common stock through March 31, 2014.

The following table presents purchases of our common stock and related information for each of the months in the quarter ended September 30, 2013. We may employ third-party broker/dealers to acquire shares on the open market in connection with our common stock purchase programs.

(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts, shares in thousands)	Total Number of Shares Purchased Under Publicly Announced Program	Average Price Paid Per Share	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares Purchased Under Publicly Announced Program	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares Yet to be Purchased Under Publicly Announced Program
Period:				
July 1 - July 31, 2013	3,072	\$68.69	\$211	\$1,329
August 1 - August 31, 2013	4,149	68.58	285	1,044
September 1 - September 30, 2013	946	68.14	64	980
Total	8,167	\$68.57	\$560	\$980

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The exhibits listed in the Exhibit Index on page 118 of this Form 10-Q are filed herewith or are incorporated herein by reference to other SEC filings.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

STATE STREET CORPORATION
(Registrant)

Date: November 6, 2013 By: /s/ MICHAEL W. BELL
Michael W. Bell,
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: November 6, 2013 By: /s/ JAMES J. MALERBA
James J. Malerba,
Executive Vice President, Corporate Controller and
Chief Accounting Officer
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

12	Ratios of earnings to fixed charges
15	Letter regarding unaudited interim financial information
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32	Section 1350 Certifications
*	101.INS XBRL Instance Document
*	101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
*	101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document
*	101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
*	101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document
*	101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document

* Submitted electronically herewith

Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are the following formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) consolidated statement of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, (ii) consolidated statement of comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, (iii) consolidated statement of condition as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, (iv) consolidated statement of changes in shareholders' equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, (v) consolidated statement of cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and (vi) condensed notes to consolidated financial statements.