

BRASKEM SA
Form 20-F/A
August 31, 2004

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 20-F/A

(Amendment No. 1)

- REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR 12(g)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
- OR**
- ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003**
- OR**
- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission file number: 001-14862

BRASKEM S.A.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

N/A

(Translation of Registrant's name into English)

Federative Republic of Brazil

(Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

Av. das Nações Unidas, 4777
São Paulo, SP - CEP 05477-000 Brazil

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

<u>Title of Each Class</u>	<u>Name of Each Exchange on which Registered</u>
Preferred Shares, Class A, no par value per share, each represented by American Depositary Receipts	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation
pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act:

None

The total number of issued shares of each class of stock of BRASKEM S.A. as of December 31, 2003 was:

25,608,113,580	Common Shares, no par value per share
43,216,640,680	Preferred Shares, Class A, no par value per share
229,154,800	Preferred Shares, Class B, no par value per share

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark which financial statement item the Registrant has elected to follow Item 17 Item 18 .

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART I</u>	
<u>ITEM 1. Identity of Director, Senior Management and Advisers</u>	4
<u>ITEM 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable</u>	4
<u>ITEM 3. Key Information</u>	4
<u>ITEM 4. Information on Our Company</u>	19
<u>ITEM 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects</u>	72
<u>ITEM 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees</u>	117
<u>ITEM 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions</u>	128
<u>ITEM 8. Financial Information</u>	138
<u>ITEM 9. The Offer and Listing</u>	147
<u>ITEM 10. Additional Information</u>	151
<u>ITEM 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	171
<u>ITEM 12. Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities</u>	176
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>ITEM 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies</u>	177
<u>ITEM 14. Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds</u>	177
<u>ITEM 15. Controls and Procedures</u>	177
<u>ITEM 16A. Audit Committee Financial Expert</u>	178
<u>ITEM 16B. Code of Ethics</u>	178
<u>ITEM 16C. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	178
<u>ITEM 16D. Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees</u>	179
<u>PART III</u>	
<u>ITEM 17. Financial Statements</u>	179
<u>ITEM 18. Financial Statements</u>	179
<u>ITEM 19. Exhibits</u>	179
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	183
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION

References to *real*, *reais* or R\$ are to Brazilian *reais* (plural) and to the Brazilian *real* (singular), the official currency of Brazil; and references to U.S. dollars, dollars or US\$ are to United States dollars.

All references herein (i) to we, us or our company are references to Braskem S.A. and its consolidated subsidiaries and (ii) to Braskem are references solely to Braskem S.A.

On December 31, 2003, the exchange rate for *reais* into U.S. dollars was R\$2.889 to US\$1.00, based on the commercial selling rate as reported by the Central Bank of Brazil (*Banco Central do Brasil*), or the Central Bank. The commercial selling rate was R\$3.533 to US\$1.00 at December 31, 2002. The *real*/dollar exchange rate fluctuates widely, and the commercial selling rate at December 31, 2003 may not be indicative of future exchange rates. See Item 3. Key Information Exchange Rates for information regarding exchange rates for the Brazilian currency since January 1, 1999.

Solely for the convenience of the reader, we have translated some amounts included in Item 3. Key Information Selected Financial Data and elsewhere in this annual report from *reais* into U.S. dollars using the commercial selling rate as reported by the Central Bank at December 31, 2003 of R\$2.889 to US\$1.00. These translations should not be considered representations that any such amounts have been, could have been or could be converted into U.S. dollars at that or at any other exchange rate. Such translations should not be construed as representations that the *real* amounts represent or have been or could be converted into U.S. dollars as of that or any other date.

Financial Statements

We maintain our books and records in *reais*.

Our consolidated and combined financial statements at December 31, 2003 and 2002 and for each of the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 have been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers Auditores Independentes, as stated in their report appearing herein, and are included in this annual report.

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting practices adopted in Brazil, or Brazilian GAAP, which are based on:

Brazilian Law No. 6,404/76, as amended by Brazilian Law No. 9,457/97 and Brazilian Law No. 10,303/01, which we refer to collectively as the Brazilian Corporation Law;

the rules and regulations of the Brazilian Securities Commission (*Comissão de Valores Mobiliários*); and

the accounting standards issued by the Brazilian Institute of Independent Accountants (*Instituto dos Auditores Independentes do Brasil*).

Brazilian GAAP differs in significant respects from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or U.S. GAAP. For more information about the differences between Brazilian GAAP and U.S. GAAP and a reconciliation of our net income (loss) and shareholders' equity from Brazilian GAAP to U.S. GAAP, see note 29 to our audited consolidated and combined financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report.

Our consolidated and combined financial statements under Brazilian GAAP proportionally consolidate the results of operations and financial condition of jointly controlled companies (meaning companies that are not our subsidiaries, but which we jointly control with one or more other shareholders). The U.S. GAAP reconciliation

eliminates the effects of proportional consolidation for those companies that are not jointly controlled by all voting shareholders.

Table of Contents

Share Split

On October 20, 2003, we authorized the split of all of our issued common shares, class A preferred shares and class B preferred shares into 20 shares for each issued share. This share split was effective on October 21, 2003. As a result of this share split, the ratio of our class A preferred shares to American Depositary Shares, or ADSs changed from 50 class A preferred shares per ADS to 1,000 class A preferred shares per ADS. All references to numbers of shares and dividend amounts in this annual report have been adjusted to give effect to this 20-for-one share split.

Market Share and Other Information

We make statements in this annual report about our market share in the petrochemical industry in Brazil and our production capacity relative to that of other petrochemical producers in Brazil and Latin America. We have made these statements on the basis of information obtained from third party sources that we believe are reliable. We have calculated our Brazilian market shares with respect to specific products by dividing our domestic net sales volumes of these products by the total Brazilian domestic consumption of these products estimated by the Brazilian Association of Chemical Industry and Derivative Products (*Associação Brasileira de Indústrias Químicas e de Produtos Derivados*). We derive information regarding the production capacity of other companies in the Brazilian petrochemical industry and the estimated total Brazilian domestic consumption of petrochemical products principally from reports published by the Brazilian Association of Chemical Industry and Derivative Products. Although we have no reason to believe that any of this information is inaccurate in any material respect, we have not independently verified the production capacity, market share, market size or similar data provided by third parties or derived from industry or general publications.

Technical and Other Terms

As used in this annual report, the following terms have these meanings:

EDC	ethylene dichloride, a product of our Vinyls Unit.
first generation producer	a petrochemical cracker that transforms or cracks naphtha and other inputs into basic petrochemicals, such as ethylene and propylene.
HDPE	high density polyethylene, a product of our Polyolefins Unit.
LDPE	low density polyethylene, a product of our Polyolefins Unit.
LLDPE	linear low density polyethylene, a product of our Polyolefins Unit.
PET	polyethylene terephthalate, a product of our Business Development Unit.
production capacity	the annual projected capacity for a particular facility, calculated based upon operations 24 hours for each day of a year and deducting scheduled downtime for regular maintenance.
PVC	polyvinylchloride, a product of our Vinyls Unit.
second generation producer	a producer of resins and other intermediate petrochemical products.

third generation producer

a producer that transforms resins and other intermediate petrochemical products into end-products, such as film, piping and containers.

ton

a metric ton, which is equal to 1,000 kilograms or 2,204.62 pounds.

Table of Contents

Rounding

We have made rounding adjustments to reach some of the figures included in this annual report. As a result, numerical figures shown as totals in some tables may not be an arithmetic aggregation of the figures that preceded them.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT WITH RESPECT TO FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This annual report contains forward-looking statements. Some of the matters discussed concerning the Company's business operations and financial performance include forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act.

Statements that are predictive in nature, that depend upon or refer to future events or conditions or that include words such as expects, anticipates, intends, plans, believes, estimates and similar expressions are forward-looking statements. Although we believe that these forward-looking statements are based upon reasonable assumptions, these statements are subject to several risks and uncertainties and are made in light of information currently available to us.

Our forward-looking statements may be influenced by factors, including the following:

general economic, political and business conditions in our company's markets, both in Brazil and abroad, including demand and prices for petrochemical products;

interest rate fluctuations, inflation and exchange rate movements of the *real* in relation to the U.S. dollar;

the cyclical nature of the Brazilian and global petrochemical industries;

the level of our indebtedness and related debt service requirements;

our ability to obtain financing on satisfactory terms;

competition;

actions taken by our major shareholders and other shareholders with options or convertible securities entitling them to acquire significant numbers of our shares;

prices of naphtha and other raw materials;

decisions rendered in pending major tax, labor and other legal proceedings;

final decisions by Brazilian antitrust authorities of the transactions resulting in the formation of our company as it exists today; and

other factors identified or discussed under Risk Factors.

Our forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance, and the actual results or developments may differ materially from the expectations expressed in the forward-looking statements. As for the forward-looking statements that relate to future financial results and other projections, actual results will be different due to the inherent uncertainty of estimates, forecasts and projections. Because of these uncertainties, holders of the ADSs should not rely on these forward-looking statements.

We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

Brazilian GAAP:

Net sales revenue	US\$ 3,508.1	R\$ 10,135.8	R\$ 7,576.6	R\$ 4,459.5	R\$ 2,897.5	R\$ 1,874.8
Cost of sales and services rendered	<u>(2,799.8)</u>	<u>(8,089.3)</u>	<u>(6,175.5)</u>	<u>(3,637.6)</u>	<u>(2,357.1)</u>	<u>(1,344.1)</u>
Gross profit	708.3	2,046.5	1,401.1	821.9	540.4	530.7
Selling and general and administrative expenses	(163.3)	(471.9)	(577.7)	(210.3)	(116.2)	(101.7)
Investment in associated companies, net (3)	(54.8)	(158.2)	(251.7)	(214.3)	(3.6)	4.2
Depreciation and amortization	(67.0)	(193.5)	(222.4)	(111.3)	(36.5)	(36.1)
Financial expenses	(246.6)	(712.6)	(3,481.5)	(801.2)	(250.0)	(346.6)
Financial income	3.1	9.0	619.6	294.7	178.6	173.2
Zero-rated IPI credit			1,030.1			

Table of Contents**At and for the Year Ended December 31,**

	2003(1)	2003	2002	2001(2)	2000	1999
	(in millions of US\$, except per thousand shares and per ADS amounts)					
	(in millions of <i>reais</i> , except per thousand shares, per ADS amounts and operating data)					
Other operating income (expenses)	17.2	49.7	102.6	103.3	(12.5)	5.5
Operating income (loss)	196.9	569.0	(1,379.9)	(117.2)	300.2	229.2
Non-operating expenses, net	(1.7)	(4.8)	(98.0)	(120.8)	(0.6)	(9.1)
Income (loss) before income tax and social contribution (current and deferred) and minority interest	195.2	564.2	(1,477.9)	(238.0)	299.6	220.1
Income tax and social contribution (current and deferred)	(42.5)	(122.9)	(89.8)	(77.6)	(73.3)	(54.4)
Income (loss) before minority interest	152.7	441.3	(1,567.7)	(315.6)	226.3	165.7
Minority interest	(78.3)	(226.2)	189.0	(108.9)	1.3	0.2
Net income	US\$ 74.4	R\$ 215.1	R\$ (1,378.7)	R\$ (424.5)	R\$ 227.6	R\$ 165.9

(loss) for the
yearNumber of
shares
outstanding at
year end,
excluding
treasury shares
(in thousands):

Common shares			25,608,114		24,521,820		12,933,860		12,933,860		12,933,860	
Class A preferred shares			42,594,754		42,122,880		21,592,900		21,592,900		21,574,900	
Class B preferred shares			229,155		229,155		229,155		229,155		229,155	
Net income (loss) per thousand shares at year end (4)	US\$	1.09	R\$	3.15	R\$	(20.62)	R\$	(12.21)	R\$	6.55	R\$	4.78
Net income (loss) per ADS at year end (4)		1.09		3.15		(20.62)		(12.21)		6.55		4.78
Dividends declared per thousand shares:												
Common shares								1.73		3.44		2.42
Class A preferred shares						0.52		2.08		3.44		2.42
Class B preferred shares						0.52		2.08		2.08		2.08
Dividends declared per ADS						0.52		2.08		3.44		2.42
U.S. GAAP: Net income (loss) for the year	US\$	130.9	R\$	378.1	R\$	(1,144.0)	R\$	(471.0)				
Basic earnings (loss) per thousand shares (weighted average) (4):												
Common shares		1.95		5.63		(47.71)		(26.71)				
Class A preferred shares		1.90		5.48								
Class B preferred shares		0.60		1.74								
Basic earnings (loss) per ADS		1.90		5.48								

(weighted
average) (4)
Diluted earnings
(loss) per
thousand shares
(weighted
average) (4):

Common shares	US\$	1.93	R\$	5.58	R\$	(47.71)	R\$	(26.71)
Class A preferred shares		1.89		5.46				
Class B preferred shares		0.60		1.74				
Diluted earnings (loss) per ADS (weighted average) (4)		1.89		5.46				

**Balance Sheet
Data**

Brazilian

GAAP:

Cash, cash
equivalents and
marketable

securities US\$ 409.9 R\$ 1,184.3 R\$ 821.0 R\$ 513.2 R\$ 708.9 R\$ 561.4

Trade accounts
receivable 420.9 1,216.2 959.0 484.1 231.6 188.6

Inventories 370.9 1,071.6 889.1 667.8 163.4 119.9

Property, plant
and equipment,
net 1,741.7 5,032.0 5,296.7 4,429.7 1,969.0 1,977.2

Total assets 4,805.1 13,883.0 13,898.2 9,555.3 3,748.7 3,544.3

Short-term loans
and financing

(including
current portion of
long-term debt) 943.7 2,726.5 2,746.1 1,966.4 331.5 257.4

Short-term
debentures 120.8 349.0 32.1 26.2

Short-term
related company
debt 0.1 0.2 8.2 88.7

Long-term loans
and financing 1,251.3 3,615.3 3,891.6 3,101.7 861.8 915.6

Long-term
debentures 395.6 1,143.0 1,190.2 473.6

Table of Contents**At and for the Year Ended December 31,**

	2003(1)	2003	2002	2001(2)	2000	1999
	(in millions of US\$, except per thousand shares and per ADS amounts)					
	(in millions of reais, except per thousand shares, per ADS amounts and operating data)					
Long-term related company debt	61.5	177.6	189.3	626.7	0.9	1.1
Minority interest	191.9	554.4	433.1	738.0	27.4	30.1
Share capital	653.3	1,887.4	1,845.4	1,201.6	1,203.9	1,203.9
Shareholders equity	731.2	2,112.6	1,821.8	1,729.0	2,267.8	2,085.3
U.S. GAAP:						
Total assets	US\$3,827.4	R\$11,058.2	R\$10,531.7	R\$7,803.0		
Shareholders equity	2.7	7.8	(415.2)	291.4		
Other Financial Information						
Brazilian GAAP:						
Net cash provided by (used in):						
Operating activities	US\$ 200.9	R\$ 580.5	R\$ 790.0	R\$1,453.9	R\$ 550.3	R\$ 613.6
Investing activities	(159.4)	(460.4)	(646.7)	(862.2)	(115.6)	(34.6)
Financing activities	127.3	367.8	(237.2)	(404.9)	(287.2)	(210.7)
Capital expenditures:						
Property, plant and equipment	74.3	214.7	419.9	318.0	18.4	48.0
Interest in other companies	24.8	71.7	13.1	1,172.3	82.6	26.6

At and for the year ended December 31,

	2003	2002	2001(2)	2000	1999	
	(in millions of reais, except per thousand shares, per ADS amounts and operating data)					
Operating Data (5):						
Ethylene:						
Domestic sales volume (in thousands of tons)		1,047.3	994.8	1,064.8	1,103.8	1,121.1
Average domestic price per ton (in R\$)		1,655	1,292	1,135	1,090	633
Propylene:						
		403.4	415.2	421.1	487.7	494.3

Domestic sales volume (in thousands of tons)					
Average domestic price per ton (in R\$)	1,477	1,106	829	875	444
Polyethylene:					
Domestic sales volume (in thousands of tons) (6)	446.1	491.7	199.3		
Average domestic price per ton (in R\$)	2,567	2,007	2,114		
Polypropylene:					
Domestic sales volume (in thousands of tons) (6)	374.9	395.1	140.4		
Average domestic price per ton (in R\$)	2,689	1,931	1,969		
PVC:					
Domestic sales volume (in thousands of tons) (7)	342.4	350.1	125.9		
Average domestic price per ton (in R\$)	2,390	2,034	1,612		
Number of employees (at period end)	2,868	2,817	1,424	1,161	1,104

-
- (1) Translated for convenience only using the commercial selling rate as reported by the Central Bank at December 31, 2003 for *reais* into U.S. dollars of R\$2.889=US\$1.00.
- (2) The financial information for 2001 is not comparable with the financial information for 2000 and 1999 as a result of our merger with OPP Produtos Petroquímicos S.A., or OPP Produtos, which we accounted for as if it had occurred on July 25, 2001 as a result of the common control exercised by the Odebrecht Group over our company and OPP Produtos.
- (3) Investment in associated companies, net comprises equity in the results, amortization of goodwill, net, foreign exchange variation and tax incentives and other.
- (4) Net income (loss) per 1,000 shares or ADS under Brazilian GAAP is based on shares outstanding at the end of each year. Earnings (loss) per 1,000 shares or ADS under U.S. GAAP is based on the weighted average number of class A preferred shares outstanding during each period.
- (5) Including intra-company sales within Braskem.
- (6) Represents the sum of the sales volumes of Polialden Petroquímica S.A. and OPP Química S.A. for 2001.
- (7) Represents the sales volume of Trikem S.A. for 2001.

Table of Contents**Exchange Rates**

There are two principal legal foreign exchange markets in Brazil:

the commercial rate exchange market; and

the floating rate exchange market.

Each of these markets is separately regulated. Most trade and financial foreign-exchange transactions are carried out on the commercial rate exchange market. These transactions include the purchase and sale of ordinary and preferred shares and the payment of dividends or other distributions with respect to them.

Foreign currencies may be purchased only through a Brazilian bank authorized to operate in these markets. The Brazilian government unified the operating limits imposed on Brazilian banks with respect to both markets, which reduced the difference between their rates. In both markets, rates are still freely negotiated but may be strongly influenced by intervention of the Central Bank.

From March 1995 through January 1999, the Central Bank allowed the gradual devaluation of the *real* against the U.S. dollar. In January 1999, the Central Bank allowed the *real*/U.S. dollar exchange rate to float freely. Since then, the *real*/U.S. dollar exchange rate has been established mainly by the Brazilian interbank market and has fluctuated considerably. From December 31, 1998 through December 31, 2003, the *real* devalued by 58.2% against the U.S. dollar, and at August 27, 2004, the commercial selling rate for U.S. dollars was R\$2.957 per US\$1.00. The Central Bank has intervened occasionally to control unstable movements in the foreign exchange rate. However, the exchange market may continue to be volatile, and the *real* may depreciate or appreciate substantially in value in relation to the U.S. dollar in the future.

The following table shows the commercial selling rate for U.S. dollars for the periods and dates indicated. The information in the Average column represents the average of the exchange rates on the last day of each month during the periods presented.

Year	Reais per U.S. Dollar			Period End
	High	Low	Average	
1999	R\$2.165	R\$1.210	R\$1.851	R\$ 1.789
2000	1.985	1.723	1.835	1.956
2001	2.801	1.936	2.353	2.320
2002	3.955	2.271	2.998	3.533
2003	3.662	2.822	3.071	2.889

Source: Central Bank

Month	Reais per U.S. Dollar	
	High	Low
February 2004	R\$2.988	R\$2.904
March 2004	2.941	2.872

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

April 2004	2.952	2.874
May 2004	3.205	2.957
June 2004	3.165	3.103
July 2004	3.075	2.994

Source: Central Bank

7

Table of Contents

Risk Factors

Risks Relating to Brazil

Brazilian political and economic conditions, and the Brazilian government's economic and other policies, may negatively affect demand for our products as well as our net sales revenue and overall financial performance.

The Brazilian economy has been characterized by frequent and occasionally extensive intervention by the Brazilian government and unstable economic cycles. The Brazilian government has often changed monetary, taxation, credit, tariff and other policies to influence the course of Brazil's economy. The Brazilian government's actions to control inflation and implement other policies have at times involved wage and price controls, blocking access to bank accounts, imposing capital controls and limiting imports into Brazil.

Our results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected by factors such as:

fluctuations in exchange rates;

exchange control policies;

interest rates;

inflation;

tax policies;

expansion or contraction of the Brazilian economy, as measured by rates of growth in gross domestic product, or GDP;

liquidity of domestic capital and lending markets; and

other political, diplomatic, social and economic developments in or affecting Brazil.

Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva of the Workers' Party took office as President of Brazil on January 1, 2003. In the period leading up to and following the October 2002 presidential election, there was substantial uncertainty regarding the policies that the new government would pursue. This uncertainty resulted in a loss of confidence in the Brazilian capital markets and a 34.3% devaluation of the *real* against the U.S. dollar between January 1, 2002 and December 31, 2002. While the Brazilian government has adopted economic measures that are more conservative than initially expected by some observers, the Brazilian government may change these policies in a manner that slows the growth of the Brazilian economy, reducing demand for our products and, consequently, impairing our net sales revenue and overall financial performance. Any negative effect on our overall financial performance would also likely lead to a decrease in the market price of our class A preferred shares and the American Depositary Shares, or ADSs.

The Brazilian government's actions to combat inflation may contribute significantly to economic uncertainty in Brazil and reduce demand for our products and negatively affect our net sales revenue and our overall financial performance.

Historically, Brazil has experienced high rates of inflation. Inflation, as well as government efforts to combat inflation, had significant negative effects on the Brazilian economy, particularly prior to 1995. The inflation rate, as measured by the General Price Index - Internal Availability (*Índice Geral de Preços - Disponibilidade Interna*), reached 2,708% in 1993. Although inflation rates have been substantially lower since 1994 than in previous periods,

inflationary pressures persist. Inflation rates were 20.0% in 1999, 9.8% in 2000, 10.4% in 2001, 26.4% in 2002 and

Table of Contents

7.7% in 2003 and 6.9% during the six months ended June 30, 2004, as measured by the General Price Index - Internal Availability. The Brazilian government's measures to control inflation have often included maintaining a tight monetary policy with high interest rates, thereby restricting availability of credit and reducing economic growth. Inflation, actions to combat inflation and public speculation about possible additional actions also contributed materially to economic uncertainty in Brazil and to heightened volatility in the Brazilian securities markets.

Brazil may experience high levels of inflation in future periods. Increasing prices for petroleum, the depreciation of the *real* and future governmental measures seeking to maintain the value of the *real* in relation to the U.S. dollar, may trigger increases in inflation in Brazil. Periods of higher inflation may slow the rate of growth of the Brazilian economy, which would lead to reduced demand for our products in Brazil and decreased net sales revenue. Inflation also is likely to increase some of our costs and expenses, which we may not be able to pass on to our customers and, as a result, may reduce our profit margins and net income. In addition, high inflation generally leads to higher domestic interest rates, and, as a result, the costs of servicing our *real*-denominated debt may increase, causing our net income to be reduced. Inflation and its effect on domestic interest rates can, in addition, lead to reduced liquidity in the domestic capital and lending markets, which could adversely affect our ability to refinance our indebtedness in those markets. Any decline in our net sales revenue or net income and any deterioration in our financial condition would also likely lead to a decline in the market price of our class A preferred shares and the ADSs.

Fluctuations in interest rates could raise the cost of servicing our debt and negatively affect our overall financial performance.

Our financial expenses are affected by changes in the interest rates that apply to our floating rate debt. At December 31, 2003, we had R\$1,144.7 million of loans and financing and debentures that were subject to the Long-Term Interest Rate, R\$911.6 million of loans and financing and debentures that were subject to the CDI (*Certificado Depositário Interbancário*), an interbank rate, and R\$1,155.5 million of loans and financing that were subject to LIBOR. The Long-Term Interest Rate is a Brazilian long-term interest rate that includes an inflation factor and is determined quarterly by the Central Bank. In particular, the Long-Term Interest Rate and the CDI rate have fluctuated significantly in the past in response to the expansion or contraction of the Brazilian economy, inflation, Brazilian government policies and other factors. For example, in 2003 the CDI rate ranged from 25.3% per annum at January 31, 2003 to 16.3% per annum at December 31, 2003. See Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk. A significant increase in any of these interest rates could adversely affect our financial expenses and negatively affect our overall financial performance.

Fluctuations in the real/U.S. dollar exchange rate could increase inflation in Brazil, raise the cost of servicing our foreign currency-denominated debt, and negatively affect our overall financial performance.

The exchange rate between the *real* and the U.S. dollar and the relative rates of depreciation and appreciation of the *real* have affected our results of operations and may continue to do so.

The Brazilian currency has devalued often during the last four decades. Throughout this period, the Brazilian government has implemented various economic plans and various exchange rate policies, including sudden devaluations, periodic mini-devaluations (during which the frequency of adjustments has ranged from daily to monthly), exchange controls, dual exchange rate markets and a floating exchange rate system. From time to time, there have been significant fluctuations in the exchange rate between the Brazilian currency and the U.S. dollar and other currencies. For example, the *real* depreciated in value against the U.S. dollar by 15.7% in 2001 and 34.3% in 2002 as compared with appreciation of 22.3% in 2003. The *real* depreciated against the U.S. dollar by 7.0% during the six months ended June 30, 2004.

Devaluation of the *real* relative to the U.S. dollar also could result in additional inflationary pressures in Brazil by generally increasing the price of imported products and services and requiring recessionary government policies to curb demand. In addition, a devaluation of the *real* could weaken investor confidence in Brazil and reduce the market price of the ADSs. On the other hand, appreciation of the *real* against the U.S. dollar may lead to a deterioration of the country's current account and the balance of payments and may dampen export-driven growth.

We had total foreign currency-denominated debt obligations in an aggregate amount of R\$5,220.0 million (US\$1,806.7 million) at December 31, 2003, representing 66.0% of our indebtedness, excluding related party debt, on a consolidated basis. Although we manage a portion of our exchange rate risk through foreign currency derivative instruments, our foreign currency debt obligations are not completely hedged. A significant devaluation of the *real* in relation to the U.S. dollar or other currencies could reduce our ability to meet debt service requirements of our foreign currency-denominated obligations, particularly as our net sales revenue is primarily denominated in *reais*.

In addition, any significant devaluation of the *real* will increase our financial expenses as a result of foreign exchange losses that we must record. For example, the 34.3% devaluation of the *real* in 2002 substantially increased our financial expenses and was a significant factor in our net loss for that year.

The prices of naphtha, our most important raw material, and of some of our other raw materials are denominated in or linked to the U.S. dollar. In 2003, 65.2% of our direct and indirect cost of sales and services represented the cost of naphtha. When the *real* depreciates against the U.S. dollar, the cost in *reais* of our U.S. dollar-linked raw materials increases, and our operating income in *reais* decreases.

Table of Contents

Brazilian government exchange control policies could increase the cost of servicing our foreign currency-denominated debt and impair our liquidity.

The purchase and sale of foreign currency in Brazil is subject to governmental control. In the past, the Central Bank has centralized certain payments of principal on external obligations. Many factors could cause the Brazilian government to institute more restrictive exchange control policies, including the extent of Brazil's foreign currency reserves, the availability of sufficient foreign exchange on the date a payment is due, the size of Brazil's debt service burden relative to the economy as a whole, Brazil's policy towards the International Monetary Fund and political constraints to which Brazil may be subject. A more restrictive policy could increase the cost of servicing (and thereby reduce our ability to pay) our foreign currency-denominated debt obligations and other liabilities. At December 31, 2003, 66.6% of our indebtedness on a consolidated basis was denominated in foreign currencies. If we fail to make payments under any of these obligations, we will be in default under those obligations, which could reduce our liquidity as well as on the market price of our class A preferred shares and the ADSs.

Energy shortages in Brazil could slow Brazilian economic growth and cause us to curtail our production, which would negatively affect our net sales revenue and overall financial performance.

The Northeast and certain other regions of Brazil faced an energy shortage during the second half of 2001. In response, the Brazilian government instituted an electric power rationing program from June 2001 to February 2002 that aimed to reduce electricity consumption by 20%. As a result of this program, we experienced a temporary reduction in our PVC production in our plants located in the state of Alagoas, and many of our customers curtailed their demand for our products. We utilize electricity supplied by Companhia Hidro Elétrica do São Francisco - Chesf, or CHESF, for some of our power needs at the petrochemical complex located in Camaçari in the State of Bahia, or the Northeastern Complex, and most of our power needs in Alagoas. A severe drought in Brazil's northeastern region in 2003 reduced hydroelectric generation in the region. In addition, there are inadequate transmission lines to connect the power grids in northeastern and southeastern Brazil, so that any excess power in the Southeast, as was available in 2003, may not be transmitted to meet energy needs in the Northeast. As a result, electric power to the Northeastern Complex supplied by CHESF was interrupted in November 2003, and we had to shutdown our operations there for three days. Continued energy shortages in the Northeast and a lack of adequate transmission infrastructure may result in further unexpected shutdowns of our operations at the Northeastern Complex in the future, which could cause us to decrease our production and consequently reduce our net sales revenue and negatively affect our overall financial performance.

In addition, energy shortages could slow Brazilian economic growth, which could lead to reduced demand for our products and negatively affect our net sales revenue and our overall financial performance.

Changes in tax laws may result in increases in certain direct and indirect taxes, which could reduce our gross margin and negatively affect our overall financial performance.

The Brazilian government regularly implements changes to tax regimes that may increase our and our customers tax burdens. These changes include modifications in the rate of assessments and, on occasion, enactment of temporary taxes, the proceeds of which are earmarked for designated governmental purposes. In April 2003, the Brazilian government presented a tax reform proposal, which was mainly designed to simplify tax assessments, to avoid internal disputes within and between the Brazilian states and municipalities, and to redistribute tax revenues. The tax reform proposal provided for changes in the rules governing the federal Social Integration Program (*Programa de Integração Social*), or PIS, the federal Contribution for Social Security Financing (*Contribuição para Financiamento da Seguridade Social - COFINS*), or COFINS, the Tax on the Circulation of Merchandise and Services (*Imposto Sobre a Circulação de Mercadorias e Serviços*), or ICMS, the Tax on Bank Account Transactions (*Contribuição Provisória sobre Movimentação ou Transmissão de Valores e de Créditos e Direitos de Natureza Financeira*), or CPMF, and

some other taxes.

In December 2003, the Brazilian Federal Senate approved part of this tax reform proposal following its approval by the Brazilian Federal House of Representatives. Other parts of the tax reform proposal were amended by the Senate and returned to the House of Representatives for further examination. If approved, these tax reform measures will be gradually adopted in 2005 and 2007.

Table of Contents

The effects of these proposed tax reform measures and any other changes that result from enactment of additional tax reforms have not been, and cannot be, quantified. However, some of these measures, if enacted, may result in increases in our overall tax burden, which could reduce our gross margin and negatively affect our overall financial performance.

Risks Relating to Our Company and the Petrochemical Industry

The cyclical nature of the petrochemical industry may reduce our net sales revenue and gross margin.

The Brazilian petrochemical industry, including the markets in which we compete, is cyclical and sensitive to changes in supply and demand that are, in turn, affected by political and economic conditions in Brazil and elsewhere. This cyclicity may reduce our net sales revenue and gross margin. In particular:

downturns in general business and economic activity may cause demand for our products to decline;

when demand falls, we may be under competitive pressure to lower our prices; and

if we decide to expand our plants or construct new plants, we may do so based on an estimate of future demand that never materializes or materializes at levels lower than we predicted.

The global petrochemical industry is also cyclical. Historically, the international petrochemical markets have experienced alternating periods of limited supply, which have caused prices and profit margins to increase, followed by expansion of production capacity, which has resulted in oversupply and reduced prices and profit margins. The Brazilian petrochemical industry has become increasingly integrated with the global petrochemical industry for a number of reasons, including increased demand for, and consumption of, petrochemical products in Brazil and the ongoing integration of regional and world markets for commodity products. Prices for our products sold in Brazil are established with reference to international market prices. Our net sales revenue and gross margin are increasingly linked to global industry conditions that we cannot control.

We face competition from producers of polyolefins, vinyls and other petrochemical products.

We face competition in Brazil from Brazilian and international producers of polyethylene, polypropylene, vinyls and other petrochemical products. In addition, our prices for our second generation products are generally set with reference to the prices charged for these products by foreign producers in international markets. We anticipate that we may experience increasingly intense competition from international producers of polyolefins and vinyls products, both in Brazil and in selected foreign markets in which we sell these products. Many of our foreign competitors are substantially larger and have substantially greater financial, manufacturing, technological and marketing resources than our company.

We face significant competition in the polyethylene market. In 2001, The Dow Chemical Company, or Dow Chemical, commenced operation of a polyethylene facility in Argentina with an annual capacity of 700,000 tons. In addition, Rio Polímeros S.A., or Rio Polímeros, a Brazilian petrochemical company, is currently constructing a large petrochemical plant in Brazil that has announced plans to commence operations in December 2004. The announced annual capacity of this plant is 520,000 tons of ethylene, 75,000 tons of propylene and 540,000 tons of polyethylene (representing an increase of approximately 35% of the current total Brazilian production capacity of polyethylene). Actions by our competitors, including any future increases in their capacity, may make it increasingly difficult for us to maintain our domestic market share in polyethylene.

Higher naphtha costs would increase our cost of sales and services rendered and may reduce our gross margin and negatively affect our overall financial performance.

Naphtha is the principal raw material of our Basic Petrochemicals Unit. In 2003, naphtha accounted, directly and indirectly, for approximately two-thirds of our consolidated cost of sales and services rendered. The price of naphtha supplied by Petróleo Brasileiro S.A., or Petrobras, is linked to the Amsterdam-Rotterdam-Antwerp market price of naphtha and to the

Table of Contents

U.S. dollar/*real* exchange rate. The price of naphtha that we purchase from other suppliers is also linked to the Amsterdam-Rotterdam-Antwerp market price.

During 2003, the price of naphtha in U.S. dollars increased by 5.6%, from US\$287.00 per ton in December 2002 to US\$313.00 per ton in December 2003. The U.S. dollar price of naphtha was volatile during 2003, increasing substantially between January and February prior to the commencement of the war in Iraq, declining sharply through May before rebounding in June and increasing steadily through the end of the year. During the six months ended June 30, 2004, the price of naphtha in U.S. dollars increased by 7.0%, from US\$313.00 per ton at December 31, 2003 to US\$335.00 per ton at June 30, 2004. Since the end of the first half of 2004, the price of naphtha in U.S. dollars has increased significantly, reaching US\$401.50 per ton at July 31, 2004 and US\$398.00 per ton at August 27, 2004. The price of naphtha may increase significantly or the *real* may devalue significantly in the future. An increase in naphtha costs would reduce our gross margin and negatively affect our overall financial performance to the extent that we are unable to pass on these increased costs to our customers and could result in reduced sales volumes of our products.

We do not hedge against changes in naphtha prices, so that we are exposed to fluctuations in the price of our primary raw material.

We currently do not hedge our exposure to fluctuations in naphtha prices, which are linked to the U.S. dollar/*real* exchange rate. Although we attempt to pass on increases in naphtha prices through the prices of our products, in periods of high volatility in the U.S. dollar/*real* exchange rate, there is usually a lag between the time that the U.S. dollar appreciates and the time that we may effectively pass on those increased costs in *reais* to our customers in Brazil. As a result, if the *real* depreciates precipitously against the U.S. dollar in the future, we may not immediately be able to pass on all of the corresponding increases in our naphtha costs to our customers in Brazil, which would likely reduce our gross margin and net income.

We depend on Petrobras to supply us with the substantial portion of our naphtha requirements.

Petrobras currently is the only Brazilian supplier of naphtha and supplied 68.8% of the naphtha consumed by our company in 2003. Petrobras produces some of the naphtha it sells to us and imports the balance. Our production volume and net sales revenue would likely decrease and our overall financial performance would likely be negatively affected in the event of:

significant damage to Petrobras refineries or to the port facilities through which Petrobras imports naphtha, or to any of the pipelines connecting us to Petrobras facilities, whether as a consequence of an accident, natural disaster, fire or otherwise; or

any termination by Petrobras of the naphtha supply contract with our company, which provides that Petrobras may terminate the contract for a number of reasons, including as a result of a national emergency adversely affecting the supply of petroleum derivatives in Brazil.

In addition, although regulatory changes have ended Petrobras monopoly in the Brazilian naphtha market and have allowed us to import naphtha, any reversal in the continuing deregulation of the oil and gas industry in Brazil could increase our production costs.

Our Polyolefins and Vinyls Units depend on our Basic Petrochemicals Unit and Copesul to supply them with their ethylene and propylene requirements.

Our Basic Petrochemicals Unit is the only supplier of ethylene to our Vinyls Unit, and our Basic Petrochemicals Unit and Copesul are the only suppliers of ethylene and propylene to our Polyolefins Unit. Because the cost of storing ethylene and propylene is substantial and there is inadequate infrastructure in Brazil to permit the importation of large

quantities of these products, our production volumes of, and net sales revenue from, vinyls and polyolefins products would decrease, and our overall financial performance would be negatively affected, in the event of;

significant damage to our Basic Petrochemicals Unit s or Copesul s facilities through which ethylene or propylene is produced, or to the pipeline or other facilities that connect these units to our Basic Petrochemicals Unit or Copesul, whether as a consequence of an accident, natural disaster, fire or otherwise:

any termination by Copesul of the ethylene and propylene supply contracts with our company; or

any significant reduction in the supply of naphtha to our Basic Petrochemicals Unit or Copesul, as naphtha is the principal raw material used in the production of ethylene and propylene.

In addition, any significant expansion of the production capacity of our Polyolefins Unit in the Southern Complex will depend on our ability to obtain additional ethylene and propylene from Copesul.

Table of Contents

Our indebtedness will require that a significant portion of our cash flow be used to meet debt-service obligations on that indebtedness.

We had R\$7,833.8 million of total indebtedness on a consolidated basis at December 31, 2003, including R\$490.7 million of indebtedness of Copesul – Companhia Petroquímica do Sul, or Copesul, Politéno Indústria e Comércio S.A., or Politéno, Cetrel S.A. – Empresa de Proteção Ambiental, or Cetrel, and our other jointly controlled companies which we consolidate on a proportional basis as required by Brazilian GAAP, and excluding R\$177.6 million of related party debt and R\$113.4 million of advances for purchase of credit rights, a form of long-term obligation. We had consolidated negative working capital of R\$726.8 million at December 31, 2003, principally as a result of the level of our short-term indebtedness, including the current portion of our long-term debt.

The level of our indebtedness could have important consequences, including the following:

our ability to obtain any necessary financing in the future for working capital, capital expenditures, debt-service requirements or other purposes could be limited;

a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations must be dedicated to pay principal and interest on our indebtedness and may not be available for other purposes, such as the payment of dividends;

our level of indebtedness could limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to changes in, our business; and

our level of indebtedness could make us more vulnerable in the event of a downturn in our business.

Any downgrade in the ratings of our company or our debt securities would likely result in increased interest and other financial expenses related to our borrowings and debt securities and could reduce our liquidity.

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., or Standard and Poor's, and Fitch, Inc., or Fitch, maintain ratings of our company and our debt securities. Currently, Standard and Poor's maintains a local rating for our company of Br A, a local currency rating for our company of BB – stable, and a foreign currency rating for our company of B+ – positive, and Fitch maintains a local currency rating for our company of Br A – with outlook stable. Any decision by these or other rating agencies to downgrade the ratings of our company or of our debt securities in the future would likely result in increased interest and other financial expenses relating to our borrowings and debt securities and could significantly reduce our ability to obtain such financing on satisfactory terms or in amounts required by us and our liquidity.

Some of our shareholders may have the ability to determine the outcome of corporate actions or decisions, which could affect the holders of the ADSs.

A group of companies formed by the Odebrecht family in the State of Bahia, or the Odebrecht Group, directly holds 42.9% of our voting common shares, and its designees currently constitute a majority of the members of our board of directors. In addition, the Odebrecht Group owns 50.1% of the voting share capital of Nordeste Química S.A.

Norquisa, or Norquisa, which owns 29.4% of our voting share capital and 10.7% of our total share capital. Some of our other shareholders, consisting of Petrobras Química S.A. – Petroquisa, or Petroquisa, a subsidiary of Petrobras, and two Brazilian pension funds, have veto and other rights under shareholders agreements as described under Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions – Major Shareholders – Shareholders Agreements. As discussed below, Petroquisa also has an option to purchase a significant number of common and preferred shares in our company that would give Petroquisa substantial voting and other rights in respect of our company. As a result, the Odebrecht Group, Petroquisa and these other shareholders may have the ability to determine the outcome of major corporate actions or decisions requiring the approval of our shareholders or our board of directors, which could affect the holders of the ADSs.

We may be required to issue over the next ten months a substantial number of new common and preferred shares to Petroquisa, a subsidiary of Petrobras, which would result in a change in the composition of our board of directors and could influence changes in our strategy and the outcome of major corporate actions and decisions, and also could have a dilutive effect on our net income per 1,000 shares.

Under a memorandum of understanding between Petroquisa, on the one hand, and Odebrecht and other key shareholders, on the other, Petroquisa has an option exercisable through April 30, 2005 to acquire from us, and in certain circumstances from the Odebrecht Group, a number of our common and preferred shares that would provide it with the same equity participation in our voting and total share capital as the participation owned collectively by the Odebrecht Group, Petroquímica da Bahia S.A., or Petroquímica da Bahia, and Norquisa (which participation at December 31, 2003 represented an aggregate of 75.6% of our voting share capital and 58.5% of our total share capital). Under this memorandum of understanding, the consideration for the shares issued by our company upon Petroquisa's exercise of the option will consist of shares of Copesul, and the exchange ratio of such consideration for our common and preferred shares will be based upon independent valuations of our company and Copesul. See

Table of Contents

Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions Petroquisa Memorandum of Understanding for a description of how these valuations will be conducted.

If Petroquisa exercises the option, Petroquisa will also be entitled to representation on our board of directors equal to that of the Odebrecht Group, a group of companies formed by the Mariani family, or the Mariani Group, and Norquisa, jointly. In addition, Petroquisa and the other shareholders party to the memorandum of understanding will be obligated to coordinate their votes and to vote as a block at meetings of our board of directors and shareholders.

We are unable to predict whether Petroquisa will exercise the option or, because the independent enterprise valuations have not been conducted, the number of new common and preferred shares to be issued by our company if Petroquisa exercises the option. We cannot determine whether the option, if exercised, will have a dilutive effect on our net income per 1,000 shares, which could, in turn, affect the market prices of our class A preferred shares and the ADSs. If it exercises the option, Petroquisa and its controlling shareholder, Petrobras, are required under the terms of the Petroquisa memorandum of understanding to negotiate and enter into a new shareholders agreement with Odebrecht S.A., or Odebrecht, and other shareholders, which may include new or different terms than the Petroquisa memorandum of understanding and as a result, could influence changes in our strategy and otherwise influence the outcome of major corporate actions and decisions.

We may face conflicts of interest in transactions with related parties.

We maintain trade accounts receivable and current and long-term payables with some of our affiliates and other related parties, including Petrobras (which is our sole domestic supplier of naphtha), Copesul in the petrochemical complex located in Triunfo in the State of Rio Grande do Sul, or the Southern Complex, (which supplies us with ethylene and propylene), and Politeno (which purchases ethylene from our company). Through Petroquisa, Petrobras is the indirect holder of 7.8% of our common shares and 11.1% of our total share capital. These accounts receivable and accounts payable balances result mainly from purchases and sales of goods, which are at prices and on terms equivalent to the average terms and prices of transactions that we enter into with third parties. We also engage in financial and other transactions with some of our shareholders, such as the grant of the Petroquisa option discussed above. These and other commercial and financial transactions between us and our affiliates could result in conflicting interests.

Future adjustments in tariffs on imports that compete with our products could cause us to lower our prices.

We take into account, when setting the domestic prices for our products, tariff rates imposed by the Brazilian government on imports of similar products and the products of our customers. We currently benefit from tariffs that allow us to charge lower prices for our polyolefins and vinyls products than imports of those products. Our margins from sales in the Brazilian market are therefore significantly higher than our margins from exports. However, the Brazilian government has in the past used import and export tariffs to effect economic policies, with the consequence that tariffs can vary considerably, especially tariffs on petrochemical products. Future adjustments of tariffs could cause us to lower our domestic prices, which would likely result in lower net sales revenue and could negatively affect our overall financial performance.

Our business is subject to stringent environmental regulations, and imposition of new regulations could require significant capital expenditures and increase our operating costs.

Our company, like other Brazilian petrochemical producers, is subject to stringent Brazilian federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations concerning human health, the handling and disposal of solid and hazardous wastes and discharges of pollutants into the air and water. Petrochemical producers are sometimes subject to unfavorable market perceptions as a result of the environmental impact of their business, which can have an adverse effect on their

results of operations. As environmental laws become more stringent in Brazil and worldwide, the amount and timing of future expenditures required to remain compliant could increase substantially and could decrease the availability of funds for other capital expenditures and other purposes.

Table of Contents

We manufacture products that are subject to the risk of fire, explosions and other hazards.

Our operations are subject to hazards, such as fires, explosions and other accidents, associated with the manufacture of petrochemicals and the storage and transportation of feedstocks and petrochemical products. These hazards can cause personal injury and loss of life, severe damage to or destruction of property and equipment and environmental damage. A sufficiently large accident at one of our plants or storage facilities could force us to suspend our operations temporarily and result in significant remediation costs and lost net sales revenue. Although we maintain insurance coverage for losses due to fire damage and for losses of income resulting from shutdowns due to fire, explosion or electrical damage, those insurance proceeds may not be available on a timely basis and may be insufficient to cover all losses.

The Brazilian antitrust authorities could impose costly or restrictive conditions on the approval of the formation of our company.

As part of our corporate reorganization process that began in 2001, we merged with each of OPP Química S.A., or OPP Química, Trikem S.A., or Trikem, Proppet S.A., or Proppet, and Nitrocarbano S.A., or Nitrocarbano, and we acquired Polialden Petroquímica S.A., or Polialden. We closed these transactions, as permitted by Brazilian law, subject to the final approval of the Brazilian antitrust authorities. We have submitted the terms and conditions of these transactions to the Brazilian antitrust authorities. These antitrust authorities will determine whether these transactions negatively impact competitive conditions in the markets in which we compete or whether they would negatively affect consumers in these markets. Although two of the three Brazilian antitrust authorities have issued non-binding opinions recommending the unconditional approval of these corporate reorganization transactions, the third and governing antitrust authority continues to review this matter and may disagree with these opinions and impose conditions or performance commitments on our company. Any adverse decision by the Brazilian antitrust authorities could materially adversely affect our business and negatively affect our overall financial performance.

Unfavorable outcomes in pending litigation may reduce our liquidity and negatively affect our financial performance and financial condition.

We are involved in numerous tax, civil and labor disputes involving significant monetary claims. If unfavorable decisions are rendered in one or more of these lawsuits, we could be required to pay substantial amounts, which could materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. For some of these lawsuits, we have not established any provision on our balance sheet or have established provisions only for part of the amounts in question, based on our judgments about the likelihood of winning these lawsuits.

The lawsuits for which we have not established provisions or have established only partial provisions include the following:

Social Contribution on Net Income. We and some of our subsidiaries have challenged the constitutionality of the Brazilian federal Social Contribution on Net Income (*Contribuição Social Sobre o Lucro Líquido*). A Brazilian Federal Supreme Court (*Supremo Tribunal Federal*) decision in our favor was overruled in a subsequent rescission action filed by the Brazilian tax authorities, and our appeal of that suit is pending. Our total estimated exposure, including interest, was R\$416.8 million at December 31, 2003. This amount does not include approximately R\$153.6 million in penalties at December 31, 2003 that we believe are not payable because we relied upon a judicial decision in not paying the Social Contribution on Net Income. We believe that it is reasonably possible that we will lose this rescission action, and we believe that there is a remote possibility that we will be required to pay fines and related interest as a result of this tax litigation. We have not established a provision for these lawsuits. However, as there are adverse precedents under Brazilian law that allow rescission actions to relate back to, and to take effect from, the date of the initial decision, we believe that it is reasonably possible that we will be

required to pay these taxes from the date of the original decision.

Cost of Living Adjustments on Workers Wages. The unions that represent employers and workers in the Northeastern Complex are involved in a lawsuit over the indices we and other companies have used for cost of living adjustments on workers wages since early 1990. For a description of the legal bases of this suit, see Item 8. Financial Information Legal Proceedings Labor Proceedings. The Brazilian Federal

Table of Contents

Supreme Court has held in favor of the employers' union, but the workers' union has requested reconsideration of the decision, and the decision of the Brazilian Federal Supreme Court is not yet final and does not address damages. We believe it is reasonably possible that the employers' union will lose this suit, which could adversely affect us. While we believe that it is possible (although unlikely) that an adverse judgment against the employers' union could impact wages that we paid from April 1990 to the present, we believe that any judgment would most likely impact wages that we paid from April 1990 to September 1990 (when the next collective bargaining agreement was entered into). As we believe that it is not probable that the employers' union will lose this suit, we have not recorded a provision in respect of this suit. If the employers' union loses this suit and we are required to pay damages from April 1990 to September 1990, we estimate that we could be subject to a liability of up to R\$35.0 million, although additional claims would have to be brought by the workers' union or individual employees to quantify the amount of damages that we would be required to pay.

In addition, we and some of our subsidiaries believe that our chances of success are remote in a series of lawsuits in which we challenged the constitutionality of an increase in the COFINS tax rate. For a description of the legal bases of these suits, see Item 8. Financial Information Legal Proceedings Tax Proceedings. We had established total provisions of R\$284.9 million at December 31, 2003 for all our lawsuits relating to PIS and COFINS, including separate lawsuits challenging the basis of calculation of PIS and COFINS. Because we have deposited only R\$94.0 million of this amount with the courts, we would be required, in the event we and our subsidiaries receive final, unfavorable decisions, to pay the remaining amounts for which we have not made deposits.

We are also parties to a number of lawsuits seeking tax credits that we believe the Brazilian tax authorities have disallowed or limited in violation of the Brazilian Constitution and/or applicable law. In some cases where we have received favorable lower court decisions, we have used these credits to offset other tax obligations and have established provisions in an equivalent amount until a final decision is rendered (adjusting these provisions based on the SELIC (*Sistema Especial de Liquidação e de Custódia - SELIC*), or SELIC, interest rate). These provisions totaled R\$752.0 million at December 31, 2003. If we ultimately lose any of these lawsuits, we would be required to pay the tax obligations we had previously offset with those credits, which could materially reduce our liquidity. We believe that losses related to some of these lawsuits are reasonably possible.

For more information about our legal proceedings, see Item 8. Financial Information Legal Proceedings.

Risks Relating to Our Class A Preferred Shares and the ADSs

Our class A preferred shares and the ADSs have limited voting rights.

Under the Brazilian Corporation Law and our by-laws, holders of our class A preferred shares and, consequently, the ADSs are not entitled to vote at meetings of our shareholders, except in very limited circumstances. These limited circumstances directly relate to key rights of the holders of class A preferred shares, such as modifying basic terms of our class A preferred shares or creating a new class of preferred shares with superior rights. Holders of preferred shares without voting rights are entitled to elect one member and his or her respective alternate to our board of directors and our fiscal council. However, until our general shareholders meeting in 2006, any member elected to our board of directors by these preferred shareholders must be selected from a list of three nominees chosen by our controlling shareholder. Holders of our class A preferred shares and the ADSs are not entitled to vote to approve corporate transactions, including mergers or consolidations of our company with other companies.

Holders of the ADSs may find it difficult to exercise even their limited voting rights at our shareholders' meetings.

Holders may exercise the limited voting rights with respect to our class A preferred shares represented by the ADSs only in accordance with the deposit agreement relating to the ADSs. There are practical limitations upon the ability of ADS holders to exercise their voting rights due to the additional steps involved in communicating with ADS

holders. For example, we are required to publish a notice of our shareholders' meetings in certain newspapers in Brazil. To the extent that holders of our class A preferred shares are entitled to vote at a shareholders' meeting, they will be able to exercise their voting rights by attending the meeting in person or voting by proxy. By contrast, holders of the ADSs will receive notice of a shareholders' meeting by mail from the depositary following our notice to

Table of Contents

the ADR depository requesting the ADR depository to do so. To exercise their voting rights, ADS holders must instruct the depository on a timely basis. This noticed voting process will take longer for ADS holders than for holders of class A preferred shares. If it fails to receive timely voting instructions for all or part of the ADSs, the depository will assume that the holders of those ADSs are instructing it to give a discretionary proxy to a person designated by us to vote their ADSs, except in limited circumstances.

In the limited circumstances in which holders of the ADSs have voting rights, they may not receive the voting materials in time to instruct the depository to vote our class A preferred shares underlying their ADSs. In addition, the depository and its agents are not responsible for failing to carry out voting instructions of the holders of the ADSs or for the manner of carrying out those voting instructions. Accordingly, holders of the ADSs may not be able to exercise voting rights, and they will have no recourse if the class A preferred shares underlying their ADSs are not voted as requested.

Exchange controls and restrictions on remittances abroad may adversely affect holders of the ADSs and the underlying class A preferred shares.

Brazilian law provides that whenever there is a significant imbalance in Brazil's balance of payments or a significant possibility that such imbalance will exist, the Brazilian government may impose temporary restrictions on the remittance to foreign investors of the proceeds of their investment in Brazil (as it did for approximately six months in 1989 and early 1990) and on the conversion of Brazilian currency into foreign currencies. These restrictions could hinder or prevent the Brazilian custodian of the class A preferred shares underlying the ADSs or holders who have exchanged the ADSs for the underlying class A preferred shares from converting dividends, distributions or the proceeds from any sale of such shares into U.S. dollars and remitting such U.S. dollars abroad. In such an event, the Brazilian custodian for our class A preferred shares will hold the *reais* that it cannot convert for the account of holders of the ADSs who have not been paid. Neither the custodian nor the depository will be required to invest the *reais* or be liable for any interest.

Holders of the ADSs may face difficulties in protecting their interests because we are subject to different corporate rules and regulations as a Brazilian company and our shareholders may have fewer and less well-defined rights.

Holders of the ADSs are not direct shareholders of our company and are unable to enforce the rights of shareholders under our by-laws and the Brazilian Corporation Law.

Our corporate affairs are governed by our by-laws and the Brazilian Corporation Law, which differ from the legal principles that would apply if we were incorporated in a jurisdiction in the United States, such as the State of Delaware or New York, or elsewhere outside Brazil. Even if a holder of ADSs surrenders its ADSs and becomes a direct shareholder, its rights as a holder of our class A preferred shares underlying the ADSs under the Brazilian Corporation Law to protect its interests relative to actions by our board of directors may be fewer and less well-defined than under the laws of those other jurisdictions.

Although insider trading and price manipulation are crimes under Brazilian law, the Brazilian securities markets are not as highly regulated and supervised as the U.S. securities markets or the markets in some other jurisdictions. In addition, rules and policies against self-dealing or for preserving shareholder interests may be less well-defined and enforced in Brazil than in the United States and certain other countries, which may put holders of our class A preferred shares and the ADSs at a potential disadvantage. Corporate disclosures also may be less complete or informative than for a public company in the United States or in certain other countries.

Holders of the ADSs may face difficulties in serving process on or enforcing judgments against us and other persons.

We are a corporation (*sociedade anônima*) organized under the laws of Brazil, and all of our directors and executive officers and our independent public accountants reside or are based in Brazil. Most of our assets and those of these other persons are located in Brazil. As a result, it may not be possible for holders of the ADSs to effect service of process upon us or these other persons within the United States or other jurisdictions outside Brazil or to enforce against us or these other persons judgments obtained in the United States or other jurisdictions outside Brazil.

Table of Contents

Because judgments of U.S. courts for civil liabilities based upon the U.S. federal securities laws may only be enforced in Brazil if certain conditions are met, holders may face greater difficulties in protecting their interests in the case of actions by us or our directors or executive officers than would shareholders of a U.S. corporation.

Actual or anticipated sales of a substantial number of class A preferred shares could decrease the market prices of our class A preferred shares and the ADSs.

Sales of a substantial number of our class A preferred shares could negatively affect the market prices of our class A preferred shares and the ADSs. Petroquisa is entitled to exercise an option over the next ten months to acquire a substantial number of new common and preferred shares from our company. If, in the future, substantial sales of shares are made by the Odebrecht Group, Petroquisa or other existing or future holders of class A preferred shares, the market price of our class A preferred shares and, by extension, the ADSs may decrease significantly. As a result, holders of the ADSs may not be able to sell the ADSs at or above the price they paid for them.

Holders of the ADSs may be unable to exercise preemptive rights with respect to our class A preferred shares underlying the ADSs.

Holders of the ADSs will be unable to exercise the preemptive rights relating to our class A preferred shares underlying ADSs unless a registration statement under the Securities Act is effective with respect to those rights or an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act is available. We are not obligated to file a registration statement with respect to the shares relating to these preemptive rights or to take any other action to make preemptive rights available to holders of the ADSs, and we may not file any such registration statement. If we do not file a registration statement or if we and the depository decide not to make preemptive rights available to holders of the ADSs, those holders may receive only the net proceeds from the sale of their preemptive rights by the depository, or if they are not sold, their preemptive rights will be allowed to lapse.

Holders of the ADSs could be subject to Brazilian income tax on capital gains from sales of ADSs.

Historically, any capital gain realized on a sale or other disposition of ADSs between non-Brazilian holders outside Brazil was not subject to Brazilian income tax. However, a new Brazilian law provides that, commencing on February 1, 2004, the acquiror, individual or legal entity resident or domiciled in Brazil, or the acquiror's attorney-in-fact, when such acquirer is resident or domiciled abroad, shall be responsible for the retention and payment of the income tax applicable to capital gains . . . earned by the individual or legal entity resident or domiciled abroad who disposes of property located in Brazil. The Brazilian tax authorities have recently issued a normative instruction confirming that they intend to assess income tax on capital gains earned by non-Brazilian residents whose assets are located in Brazil. It is unclear whether ADSs representing class A preferred shares, which are issued by the depository outside Brazil, will be deemed to be property located in Brazil for purposes of this law. Accordingly, we cannot determine whether Brazilian tax authorities will attempt to tax any capital gains arising from the sale or other disposition of ADSs, even when the transaction is consummated outside Brazil between non-Brazilian residents.

The relative volatility and liquidity of the Brazilian securities markets may decrease the liquidity and market price of our class A preferred shares and the ADSs.

The Brazilian securities markets are substantially smaller, less liquid and more volatile than major securities markets in the United States. The São Paulo Stock Exchange, which is the principal Brazilian stock exchange, had a market capitalization of US\$234.2 billion (or R\$676.7 billion) at December 31, 2003 and an average daily trading volume of US\$271.9 million for 2003. In comparison, The New York Stock Exchange had a market capitalization of US\$17.3 trillion at December 31, 2003 and an average daily trading volume of US\$38.5 billion for 2003. There is also significantly greater concentration in the Brazilian securities markets. The ten largest companies in terms of market

capitalization represented approximately 48% of the aggregate market capitalization of the São Paulo Stock Exchange at December 31, 2003. The ten most widely traded stocks in terms of trading volume accounted for approximately 54% of all shares traded on The São Paulo Stock Exchange in 2003. These market characteristics may substantially limit the ability of holders of the ADSs to sell class A preferred shares underlying ADSs at a price

Table of Contents

and at a time when they wish to do so and, as a result, could negatively impact the market price of the ADSs themselves.

Developments in other emerging markets may decrease the market price of our class A preferred shares and the ADSs.

The market price of the ADSs may decrease due to declines in the international financial markets and world economic conditions. Although economic conditions are different in each country, investors' reaction to developments in one country can affect the securities markets and the securities of issuers in other countries, including Brazil. Brazilian securities markets are, to varying degrees, influenced by economic and market conditions in other emerging market countries, especially those in Latin America. Any return to economic turmoil in Argentina or adverse economic developments in other emerging markets may adversely affect investor confidence in securities issued by Brazilian companies, causing their market price and liquidity to suffer. Any such developments could immediately affect our ability to raise capital when needed and the market price of our class A preferred shares and the ADSs.

ITEM 4. INFORMATION ON OUR COMPANY

History and Development of Our Company

We were founded in 1972 as Petroquímica do Nordeste Copene Ltda. to plan, execute and coordinate the activities of the Northeastern Complex. The construction of the Northeastern Complex formed part of a development policy of the Brazilian government implemented in the early 1970s to diversify the geographical distribution of industrial assets and to promote economic growth across different regions of Brazil. On June 18, 1974, we were incorporated as a corporation (*sociedade anônima*) under the laws of Brazil (with Brazilian company registry No. 29300006939) and were renamed Copene Petroquímica do Nordeste S.A.

Acquisition of Control by Norquisa

Prior to August 1995, Petroquisa, the petrochemical subsidiary of Petrobras, owned 36.2% of our total share capital, representing 48.2% of our voting share capital. Petrobras historically provided all of our requirements of naphtha, our principal raw material. At that time, Norquisa owned 17.3% of our total share capital, representing 47.6% of our voting share capital, and the remainder of our share capital was owned by various Brazilian private sector groups, pension funds, banks and our employees. Norquisa is a holding company that was formed in 1980 for the purpose of holding shares of the petrochemical companies in the Northeastern Complex.

In August 1995, as part of the Brazilian government's privatization program, Petroquisa sold 14.8% of our total share capital, representing 32.8% of our voting share capital through an auction. Norquisa acquired 5.5% of our total share capital, representing 10.8% of our voting share capital, in this auction for R\$79.2 million, and the remaining shares were acquired by various Brazilian pension funds.

At the time of this auction, Norquisa was controlled by several second generation producers in the Northeastern Complex. These companies, in turn, were controlled by several groups involved in the petrochemical business in Brazil. The owners of Norquisa's voting share capital immediately before and after this auction were as follows:

**% of
Voting
Share**

Shareholders of Norquisa	Controlling Group	Capital of Norquisa
Petronor Participações Petroquímicas do Nordeste Ltda. (1)	Conepar (2)	21.2%
Pronor Petroquímica S.A.	Mariani Group	10.8
Trikem	Odebrecht Group	14.4
Politeno(3)	Suzano Group/Conepar(2)	11.2

Table of Contents

Shareholders of Norquisa	Controlling Group	% of Voting Share Capital of Norquisa
EDN Estireno do Nordeste S.A.	The Dow Chemical Company	11.2
Oxiteno do Nordeste S.A.	Ultra Group	9.3
Polipropileno Participações S.A.	Suzano Group	8.0
Conepar Companhia Nordeste de Participações (2)(4)		—
Others		13.9
Total		100.0%

- (1) Petronor Participações Petroquímicas do Nordeste Ltda. was a holding company owned by Polialden. Conepar Companhia Nordeste de Participações, or Conepar, owned 66.7% of the voting share capital of Polialden.
- (2) Conepar was a holding company controlled by Banco Econômico S.A., which owned 63.8% of the voting share capital of Conepar. The remaining 36.2% of Conepar's voting share capital was owned by the Odebrecht Group and the Mariani Group through Intercapital Comércio e Participações Ltda. Conepar was originally formed in 1980 as a holding company for the petrochemical assets of Banco Econômico S.A.
- (3) Conepar owned 35.0% of the voting share capital of Politeno.
- (4) Represents less than 0.1%.

Following this auction, our corporate structure was as set forth in the following chart. The percentages in bold italics represent the percentage of the voting share capital owned directly and indirectly by the parent company of each entity, and the percentages not in bold italics represent the percentage of the total share capital owned directly and indirectly by the parent company of each entity, and the percentages not in bold italics represent the percentage of the total share capital owned directly and indirectly by the parent company of each entity. All of these companies are or were organized under Brazilian law.

- (1) Pension funds include the pension fund of Petrobras (*Fundação Petrobras de Seguridade Social – Petros*) and the pension fund of the Banco do Brasil S.A. (*Caixa de Previdência dos Funcionários do Banco do Brasil*).
- (2) Odebrecht Química S.A. is a member of the Odebrecht Group.
- (3) Includes various other Brazilian pension funds and private investors.

- (4) At the time of this transaction, our company was named Copene-Petroquímica do Nordeste S.A.
- (5) We acquired our equity interest in Petroflex Indústria e Comércio S.A. in 1992 from Petroquisa, which sold the interest as part of the Brazilian government's efforts to privatize the Brazilian petrochemical industry. See Our Principal Subsidiaries and Jointly Controlled Companies Petroflex and Overview of Our Company's Operations Jointly Controlled Companies Petroflex.

Table of Contents

Econômico S.A. Empreendimentos Auction and Related Transactions

Late in 1995, a Brazilian financial institution, Banco Econômico S.A., or Banco Econômico, collapsed, at which time the Central Bank intervened. Banco Econômico then held a 63.8% of the voting share capital of Conepar Companhia Nordeste de Participações, or Conepar, which, in turn, held 66.7% of the voting share capital of Polialden and 35.0% of the voting share capital of Politeno.

The Brazilian government decided to liquidate the petrochemical assets of Banco Econômico as part of a broader initiative to restructure the Brazilian petrochemical sector. The Central Bank, as liquidator of Banco Econômico, formed Econômico S.A. Empreendimentos to hold the share capital of Conepar owned by Banco Econômico and then conducted an auction of Econômico S.A. Empreendimentos on July 25, 2001.

Immediately prior to this auction, the corporate structure of Econômico S.A. Empreendimentos and Conepar was as set forth in the following chart. The percentages in bold italics represent the percentage of the voting share capital owned directly and indirectly by the parent company of each entity, and the percentages not in bold italics represent the percentage of the total share capital owned directly and indirectly by the parent company of each entity. All of these companies are or were organized under Brazilian law.

-
- (1) Intercapital Comércio e Participações Ltda. was formed in 1987. The Odebrecht Group acquired Intercapital Comércio e Participações Ltda. in 1995. Intercapital Comércio e Participações Ltda. acquired its shares of Conepar in 1999.
 - (2) BNDES Participações S.A. BNDESPAR is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Brazilian development bank, or BNDES (*Banco Nacional de Desenvolvimento Econômico e Social*).
 - (3) Polialden was formed in 1974 and produces HDPE and ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene. Conepar acquired its shares of Polialden in 1974. The remaining voting share capital of Polialden was owned by Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation and Nissho Iwai. Polialden is a public company in Brazil, and its shares trade on the São Paulo Stock Exchange. For more information regarding Politeno, see Our Principal Subsidiaries and Jointly Controlled Companies Polialden.
 - (4) Politeno was formed in 1974 and produces LDPE, LLDPE and HDPE. Conepar acquired its shares of Politeno in 1982. The remaining voting share capital of Politeno is owned by Companhia Suzano de Papel e Celulose, Sumitomo Chemical Company Limited and Itochu Corporation. Politeno is a public company in Brazil, and its shares trade on the Sao Paulo Stock Exchange. For more information regarding Politeno, see Our Principal

Table of Contents

Subsidiaries and Jointly Controlled Companies Politeno and Overview of Our Company s Operations Jointly Controlled Companies Politeno.

In order to increase its investment in the Brazilian petrochemical industry, the Odebrecht Group formed Nova Camaçari Participações S.A., or Nova Camaçari, for the purpose of participating in the auction. Nova Camaçari placed the winning bid in the auction and acquired Econômico S.A. Empreendimentos for R\$785.0 million. On the same date, Nova Camaçari and the Odebrecht Group entered into the following transactions:

Nova Camaçari acquired the remainder of the share capital of Conepar through the acquisition of Intercapital Comércio e Participações Ltda., or Intercapital, from the Odebrecht Group and the Mariani Group for R\$445.0 million and through a purchase from BNDES Participações S.A . BNDESPAR, or BNDESPAR, for R\$167.8 million;

Nova Camaçari was obligated to purchase the shares of Intercapital and Proppet, and the shares of Conepar owned by BNDESPAR, under the terms of various shareholders agreements entered into by the direct and indirect shareholders of Conepar. The Odebrecht Group purchased the Norquisa shares held by Petronor in order to increase its percentage ownership of Norquisa. We acquired Nova Camaçari in order to expand the scope of our operations and become a vertically integrated producer of petrochemical products.

As a result of these transactions, we acquired ownership, directly and indirectly, of 100% of the share capital of Conepar, and of Proppet, and through Conepar, we acquired a controlling interest in Polialden and a minority interest in Politeno. We remained controlled by Norquisa. The Odebrecht Group owned 39.7% of the voting share capital of Norquisa and, together with the Mariani Group, held a combined 55.8% of the voting share capital of Norquisa.

On July 27, 2001, Odebrecht Química S.A., or Odebrecht Química, and Petroquímica da Bahia, a member of the Mariani Group, entered into a shareholders agreement covering their direct and indirect equity interests in Norquisa and our company. In addition, on July 3, 2001 and July 20, 2001, Odebrecht Química and Petroquímica da Bahia entered into memoranda of understanding with respect to the terms of shareholders agreements to be entered into with Petroquisa, Caixa de Previdência dos Funcionários do Banco do Brasil, or Previ, and Fundação Petrobras de Seguridade Social Petros, or Petros. These agreements are described in Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions Major Shareholders Shareholders Agreements.

Immediately after these transactions, our corporate structure was as set forth in the following chart. The percentages in bold italics represent the percentage of the voting share capital owned directly and indirectly by the parent company of each entity, and the percentages not in bold italics represent the percentage of the total share capital owned directly and indirectly by the parent company of each entity. All of these companies are or were organized under Brazilian law.

Table of Contents

- (1) At the time of this transaction our company was named Copene Petroquímica do Nordeste S.A.
- (2) Proppet was formed in 1996 by the Mariani Group and produces PET. The Odebrecht Group acquired its shares of Proppet in 1996.

In order to streamline our corporate structure, in September 2001, we merged our wholly-owned subsidiaries Nova Camaçari, Intercapital and Proppet into our company.

The following chart presents the corporate structure of our principal subsidiaries and equity investments following this merger. The percentages in bold italics represent the percentage of the voting share capital owned directly and indirectly by the parent company of each entity, and the percentages not in bold italics represent the percentage of the total share capital owned directly and indirectly by the parent company of each entity. All of these companies are or were organized under Brazilian law.

Table of Contents

(1) At the time of these transactions, our company was named Copene Petroquímica do Nordeste S.A.
Mergers with OPP Produtos and 52114 Participações

In order to continue to implement our strategy of vertically integrating our operations and to further expand the scope of our operations, we completed the following transactions on August 16, 2002:

we merged with OPP Produtos Petroquímicos S.A., or OPP Produtos, the holding company of the Odebrecht Group's chemical and petrochemical assets and a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Odebrecht Group, and issued shares representing 43.7% of our voting and total share capital to the Odebrecht Group; and

we also merged with 52114 Participações S.A., or 52114 Participações, the holding company of the Mariani Group's chemical and petrochemical assets and a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Mariani Group, and issued shares representing 3.6% of our voting and total share capital to Pronor Petroquímica S.A., or Pronor, a member of the Mariani Group.

Upon completing these mergers, we changed our corporate name to Braskem S.A.

The principal assets of OPP Produtos were:

81.3% of the total share capital of OPP Química, including 100% of its voting share capital. OPP Química, in turn, owned 41.6% of the total share capital of Trikem, representing 64.4% of its voting share capital; and

29.5% of the total share capital and voting share capital of Copesul.

The principal asset of 52114 Participações was 92.3% of the total share capital of Nitrocarbono, representing 95.5% of its voting share capital.

Table of Contents

The following chart presents the corporate structure of our principal subsidiaries and equity investments following the transactions described above. The percentages in bold italics represent the percentage of the voting share capital owned directly and indirectly by the parent company of each entity, and the percentages not in bold italics represent the percentage of the total share capital owned directly and indirectly by the parent company of each entity. All of these companies are or were organized under Brazilian law.

-
- (1) Copesul was formed in 1976 and began operations as the raw materials center for the Southern Complex in 1982. The Odebrecht Group acquired its shares of Copesul in 1992 in connection with the privatization of the Copesul, and these shares were transferred to OPP Produtos in 2001. OPP Produtos was formed in 2001 as a holding company for some petrochemical assets of the Odebrecht Group. Ipiranga PetroQuímica S.A. owns 29.5% of the voting share capital of Copesul, and Petroquisa owns 15.6% of the voting share capital of Copesul. Copesul is a public company in Brazil, and its shares trade on the São Paulo Stock Exchange. For more information regarding Copesul, see Our Principal Subsidiaries and Jointly Controlled Companies Copesul and Overview of Our Company s Operations Jointly Controlled Companies Copesul.
 - (2) Excludes 1.6% of Odebrecht Química s total share capital owned by Odequi Overseas Inc., our wholly-owned subsidiary. Odebrecht Química was formed in 1987 as a holding company for some petrochemical assets of the Odebrecht Group. OPP Produtos acquired its shares of Odebrecht Química in 2002.
 - (3) Nitrocarbano was formed in 1974 and produced caprolactam. 52114 Participações acquired its shares of Nitrocarbano in 2002. Nitrocarbano was a public company in Brazil and its shares traded on the São Paulo Stock Exchange.
 - (4) Conepar Companhia Nordeste de Participações changed its name to Copene Participações S.A. on April 30, 2002.
 - (5) Excludes 2.5% of OPP Química S.A. s total share capital owned by Odequi Investments Ltd., our wholly-owned subsidiary. OPP Química was formed in 1978 and produced various polyolefins products. Odebrecht Química acquired its shares of OPP Química in 1987. The share capital of OPP Química not owned by Odebrecht Química was owned by a financial institution in connection with a financing transaction. See " Transactions in 2003 and 2004 Acquisition of Remaining Shares of OPP Química.
 - (6) Includes 5.3% of Trikem s total share capital owned by our company. Trikem was formed in 1972 and produced various vinyls products. The Odebrecht Group acquired its shares of Trikem in 1978. Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation owned 13.4% of the voting share capital of Trikem, and Nissho Iwai owned 10.1% of the

Table of Contents

voting share capital of Trikem. Trikem was a public company in Brazil, and its shares traded on the São Paulo Stock Exchange.

Transactions in 2003 and 2004

Since August 2002, we have completed additional transactions to consolidate our ownership of some of our subsidiaries and further streamline our corporate structure.

Acquisition of Remaining Shares of OPP Química

In October 2002, Odebrecht Química acquired 2.5% of OPP Química's total share capital from Odequi Investments Ltd. for US\$16.3 million. In December 2002, in connection with the repayment of a financing transaction, a lender returned 16.2% of OPP Química's share capital to Odebrecht Química. Consequently, Odebrecht Química then owned all of OPP Química's total share capital.

Exchange Offer for Remaining Shares of Nitrocarbano and Subsidiary Mergers

In February 2003, as a result of our merger with 52114 Participações and as required by the Brazilian Corporation Law, we commenced a public exchange offer for the remaining voting share capital of Nitrocarbano not owned by our company. On February 13, 2003, immediately following our exchange of the shares tendered in this exchange offer for 32,243,380 of our class A preferred shares, we owned 93.8% of the total share capital of Nitrocarbano, including 99.99% of its voting share capital.

On March 31, 2003, we consummated the following transactions:

in anticipation of our merger with OPP Química, Odebrecht Química spun off the shares of OPP Química that it owned to our company;

we merged with OPP Química, Nitrocarbano and Econômico S.A. Empreendimentos. In connection with these mergers, we issued 1,353,960 of our class A preferred shares to the holders of shares of Nitrocarbano other than our company. As a consequence of our merger with OPP Química, we acquired direct ownership of the share capital of Trikem previously owned by OPP Química; and

in order to enable Odebrecht Química to enter into financial transactions, we transferred all of the share capital of Trikem that we owned to Odebrecht Química. As a result of this transaction, our direct interest in Odebrecht Química increased to 98.6% of Odebrecht Química's total share capital, and the interest of our wholly-owned subsidiary, Odequi Overseas Inc., decreased to 1.4% of Odebrecht Química's total share capital.

Immediately after these transactions, our corporate structure was as set forth in the following chart. The percentages in bold italics represent the percentage of the voting share capital owned directly and indirectly by the parent company of each entity, and the percentages not in bold italics represent the percentage of the total share capital owned directly and indirectly by the parent company of each entity. All of these companies are or were organized under Brazilian law.

Table of Contents

- (1) Excludes 1.4% of Odebrecht Química's total share capital owned by Odequi Overseas Inc., our wholly-owned subsidiary.
- (2) The percentage of our total share capital in Politeno increased in December 2002 and April 2003 as the result of the suspension of an injunction blocking the implementation of the capitalization of reserves that had been approved in 1990.

Transfer of Polialden and Politeno Shares to Our Company

To further streamline our corporate structure, on June 30, 2003, we entered into an agreement under which we assumed debt of Copene Participações S.A., owed to Polialden in the amount of R\$30.2 million as well as debt of Copene Participações S.A. owed to the Brazilian development bank, or BNDES (*Banco Nacional de Desenvolvimento Econômico e Social*), in the amount of R\$38.9 million. In return, we received the shares of Polialden and Politeno owned by Copene Participações S.A. As a result, all of our equity interests in Polialden and Politeno were, and continue to be, held directly by our company, and Copene Participações S.A. no longer owns material assets or conducts any material operations.

Acquisition of Common Shares of Trikem and Polialden Held by Mitsubishi and Nissho Iwai

In order to acquire the remaining outstanding common shares of Polialden and substantially all of the remaining outstanding common shares of Trikem, on July 14, 2003, we entered into (1) a share purchase and sale agreement with Odebrecht and Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation, or Mitsubishi, and (2) a memorandum of understanding with Odebrecht and Nissho Iwai. Under the share purchase and sale agreement, Mitsubishi agreed to sell to us all of the share capital of Trikem and Polialden it owned, consisting of 16.7% of Polialden's voting share capital and 13.4% of Trikem's voting share capital for R\$44.2 million. We paid a portion of the purchase price in cash, and we are obligated to pay the remaining US\$13.5 million to Mitsubishi on July 31, 2007, or earlier if before that date we meet certain financial tests, including specified net debt to EBITDA and short-term debt to EBITDA ratios. In addition, we are required to pay interest to Mitsubishi on the outstanding balance of the aggregate purchase price at an annual rate of LIBOR plus 3.0% in January and July of each year until the remaining balance is paid in full.

Under this agreement, we are required to make an additional payment to Mitsubishi in an amount that is contingent upon the outcome of pending litigation filed against Polialden by certain of its preferred shareholders.

Table of Contents

See Item 8. Financial Information Legal Proceedings Shareholders Rights Proceedings. The amount of the additional payment that we are obligated to pay to Mitsubishi is (1) R\$21.6 million if Polialden prevails in this litigation or if a definitive settlement is reached, or (2) R\$5.4 million if Polialden loses this litigation. In either event, we will convert the amount of this additional payment (as adjusted for inflation at the General Price Index Market (*Índice Geral de Preços Mercado*), or IGP-M, rate from July 31, 2003 until the date that this litigation is finally adjudicated or settled) into U.S. dollars on the final adjudication or settlement date. We are required to make this additional payment either together with the remaining balance under the share purchase and sale agreement or, if the remaining balance is due prior to the date on which this litigation is finally adjudicated or settled, within 60 days from the later date. We will pay interest on this payment on the same terms as we are required to pay interest on the remaining balance under the share purchase and sale agreement.

Odebrecht has agreed to guarantee our obligation to pay Mitsubishi the remaining balance of the aggregate purchase price, together with the additional payment in connection with the Polialden shareholders rights litigation.

Under the memorandum of understanding with Odebrecht and Nissho Iwai, we agreed to purchase all of the share capital of Trikem and Polialden that Nissho Iwai owned, consisting of 16.7% of Polialden s voting share capital and 10.1% of Trikem s voting share capital, in exchange for 1,086,290,620 of our common shares. As a result of this transaction, which closed on July 31, 2003, and after giving effect to the purchase from Mitsubishi described above, we increased our direct and indirect ownership of Trikem s voting share capital to 87.9% and increased our ownership of Polialden s voting share capital to 100%.

Immediately after these transactions, our corporate structure was as set forth in the following chart. The percentages in bold italics represent the percentage of the voting share capital owned directly and indirectly by the parent company of each entity, and the percentages not in bold italics represent the percentage of the total share capital owned directly and indirectly by the parent company of each entity. All of these companies are or were organized under Brazilian law.

-
- (1) The percentage of our total share capital in Politeno decreased in April 2003 as the result of a recalculation of the effects of the capitalization of reserves that had been approved in 1990 and implemented in December 2002 and April 2003.
 - (2) Excludes 1.4% of Odebrecht Química s total share capital owned by Odequi Overseas Inc.
 - (3) Odebrecht Química s percentage interest in Trikem s total share capital decreased by 0.6% as a result of the exchange on July 1, 2003 of shares of Trikem for 16% of the total share capital of our subsidiary Companhia Alagoas Industrial Cinal held by BNDESPAR.

Table of Contents

Merger of Trikem into Braskem

On November 3, 2003, as a result of the increase of our holdings of Trikem and as required by Brazilian Corporation Law, we commenced a public exchange offer for the remaining voting share capital of Trikem not owned by our company. On December 4, 2003, immediately following our exchange of the shares tendered in this exchange offer for 438,270,001 of our class A preferred shares, we owned, directly and indirectly, 53.8% of Trikem's total share capital, including 99.9% of its voting share capital.

In order to facilitate the merger of Trikem into our company, on January 12, 2004, Odebrecht Química spun off the share capital of Trikem held by it to our company. Following this spin-off, Odebrecht Química no longer owns material assets or conducts any material operations.

On January 15, 2004, Trikem merged with and into our company. In connection with this merger, we issued 148,083 of our class A preferred shares in exchange for 514,366 of Trikem's common shares and 8,136,017,401 of our class A preferred shares in exchange for 28,260,456,441 of Trikem's preferred shares.

At an extraordinary shareholders meeting on January 15, 2004, our shareholders approved our merger with Trikem, an amendment to our by-laws to permit the conversion of our class A preferred shares into common shares upon the approval of the majority of our voting share capital, and the conversion of 121,948,261 of our class A preferred shares into 121,948,261 of our common shares in order to maintain the required minimum ratio of our common shares to preferred shares in accordance with Brazilian Corporation Law after completion of the merger with Trikem.

Current Corporate Structure

The following chart presents the corporate structure of our principal subsidiaries and equity investments following the transactions described above. The percentages in bold italics represent the percentage of the voting share capital owned directly and indirectly by the parent company of each entity, and the percentages not in bold italics represent the percentage of the total share capital owned directly and indirectly by the parent company of each entity. All of these companies are organized under Brazilian law.

-
- (1) The percentage of our total share capital in Politeno increased in December 2003 as the result of the capitalization of reserves.

Registered and Principal Executive Offices of Our Company

Our registered office is at Rua Eteno 1561, CEP 42810-000 Camaçari, Bahia, Brazil, and our telephone number at this address is: (55-71) 632-5000. Our principal executive office is at Av. Nações Unidas, 4777, CEP 05477-000 São Paulo, SP, Brazil, and our telephone number at this address is (55-11) 3443-9999.

Our Principal Subsidiaries and Jointly Controlled Companies

Our principal subsidiary is Polialden. In addition, our equity investments in Copesul and Politeno have a significant impact on our consolidated and combined financial statements. The following is a summary of our equity investments in these companies and the shareholders agreements we have signed with respect to Copesul and Politeno.

Table of Contents

Polialden

Polialden is a corporation (*sociedade anônima*) organized under the laws of Brazil. At December 31, 2003, we indirectly owned all of the voting share capital and 56.3% of the total share capital of Polialden. Polialden is engaged in the manufacturing, processing, selling, importing and exporting of high-density polyethylene, ultra high molecular weight polyethylene and other chemical and petrochemical products. Polialden operates its industrial unit in the Northeastern Complex. For information concerning these operations, see Polyolefins Unit.

Copesul

Copesul is a corporation (*sociedade anônima*) organized under the laws of Brazil. At December 31, 2003, we owned, directly and indirectly, 29.5% of the voting and total share capital of Copesul. Copesul is the second largest petrochemical cracker in Brazil based on production capacity, with approximately 39% of Brazilian production capacity of ethylene. We provide more information about Copesul's business in Jointly Controlled Companies Copesul.

We have entered into a shareholders agreement with Ipiranga Petroquímica S.A., or Ipiranga, relating to our shares of Copesul. Ipiranga owns 29.5% of the voting and total share capital of Copesul. Under the Copesul shareholders agreement, we and Ipiranga jointly control Copesul. We have agreed to consult with Ipiranga prior to any meeting of Copesul's board of directors or shareholders and to vote our shares together with Ipiranga on specified matters, including policies relating to the allocation of excess amounts of raw materials, policies relating to the distribution of profits, the election of members to Copesul's board of directors, amendments to Copesul's by-laws, approval of indebtedness of Copesul in excess of certain limits, sales of assets in excess of specified limits, investments in excess of certain limits and the merger of Copesul with another company. We have also agreed that neither we nor Ipiranga will vote to approve any of the above matters unless we and Ipiranga vote 75% of the shares collectively held by us in favor of that action at a meeting between Ipiranga and our company or, if no quorum is obtained at such a meeting, of 75% of the shares present at a second meeting called for this purpose.

The Copesul shareholders agreement also provides a right of first refusal for transfers or sales of the voting share capital of Copesul to third parties, except for transfers and sales of Copesul voting share capital to companies directly or indirectly controlled by the selling shareholder. Third-party purchasers of common shares of Copesul from our company or Ipiranga also must agree to comply with the Copesul shareholders agreement. The shareholders agreement also includes provisions designed to ensure that each of our company and Ipiranga will continue to own the same proportion of shares of Copesul if it so elects.

The Copesul shareholders agreement provides that we will vote with Ipiranga in a manner designed to ensure that both we and Ipiranga are able to elect the maximum possible number of members of Copesul's board of directors. The shareholders agreement is effective until August 2022. We have agreed with Ipiranga not to enter into another shareholders agreement regarding Copesul with any other shareholders of Copesul.

Politeno

Politeno is a corporation (*sociedade anônima*) organized under the laws of Brazil. At December 31, 2003, we owned 33.9% of Politeno's total share capital, including 35.0% of its voting share capital. Politeno produces polyethylenes, which are widely used in the flexible and rigid packaging industries. Politeno produces low, medium and HDPE, LLDPE, linear medium density polyethylene, ethyl vinyl acetate copolymer and other special resins. We provide more information about Politeno's business in Jointly Controlled Companies Politeno.

Through Conepar, we have entered into a shareholders agreement with Companhia Suzano de Papel e Celulose, Sumitomo Chemical Company Limited and Itochu Corporation with respect to our shares of Politeno. Companhia

Suzano de Papel e Celulose owns 33.9% of Politeño's total share capital, including 35.0% of its voting share capital; Sumitomo Chemical Company Limited owns 18.8% of Politeño's total share capital, including 20.0% of its voting share capital; and Itochu Corporation owns 9.4% of Politeño's total share capital, including 10.0% of its voting share capital. The Politeño shareholders agreement contains provisions governing voting, transfer and preemptive rights.

Table of Contents

We have the right to elect two of the seven members of Politeño's board of directors and the right to elect an additional member of Politeño's board of directors in alternating years. We also have the right to elect one of the six executive officers.

We have agreed in the Politeño shareholders agreement to attempt to reach unanimous decisions with the other parties with respect to certain actions to be taken by Politeño's board of directors or shareholders, including: changes to Politeño's by-laws, subject to certain exceptions; Politeño's dissolution or liquidation; the merger of Politeño with another company; certain transactions with holders of Politeño's common shares; transactions involving the purchase, sale, assignment or encumbrance of fixed assets of Politeño in excess of specified amounts; and Politeño's incurrence of secured indebtedness in excess of certain specified levels. The parties to the shareholders agreement also granted each other certain rights of first refusal and agreed not to encumber their shares of Politeño without the consent of parties representing at least 50% of Politeño's issued and outstanding common shares, subject to certain exceptions. Third-party purchasers of common shares of Politeño from any of the parties to the shareholders agreement also must agree to comply with its terms.

We also have equity interests in other companies, including Petroflex Indústria e Comércio S.A., or Petroflex, and Borealis Brasil S.A., for which we have entered into shareholders agreements that include provisions governing voting, transfer and preemptive rights.

Principal Capital Expenditures

Our capital expenditures on property, plant and equipment were R\$214.7 million in 2003, R\$419.9 million in 2002 and R\$318.0 million in 2001. Additionally, our investments in interests in other companies were R\$71.7 million in 2003, R\$13.1 million (excluding cash acquired of R\$4.1 million) in 2002 and R\$1,172.3 million (excluding cash acquired of R\$595.2 million) in 2001. Our principal capital expenditures projects during 2001 through 2003 were:

the acquisition of Nova Camaçari in 2001;

the expansion of our ethylene production capacity at the Northeastern Complex;

the upgrade in the facilities of the Aratú port terminal and the related pipeline system; and

other operating improvements made in our Vinyls, Polyolefins and Business Development Units to improve the operating reliability of our manufacturing facilities and to expand our production capacity.

In response to the termination of Petrobras' monopoly over naphtha supply in Brazil, in 2001, we invested in the adaptation of the maritime pier located at Aratú and the construction of pipelines, storage tanks and other facilities necessary to receive and transport imported naphtha to our basic petrochemicals plants. This project was undertaken between 2001 and 2003 at a total cost of R\$83.5 million.

In 2003, we completed the expansion of the ethylene production capacity of one of our pyrolysis plants. As a result of this expansion, this plant's ethylene production capacity has reached 1,280,000 tons of annual nominal capacity. The total cost of this expansion was R\$237.1 million. In connection with this expansion, we also (1) increased our steam production, which we intend to use to produce electric power, (2) installed new turbines, and (3) improved the technology and automation of our electric power production in the Northeastern Complex.

In the first half of 2004, we completed an efficiency enhancement project at one of our polypropylene plants in the Southern Complex that we commenced in 2003. This project increased our annual polypropylene production capacity by 100,000 tons at a cost of R\$21 million.

In the first half of 2004, we completed the first stage of our modernization and improvement project at our Aromatics 1 and 2 units in the Northeastern Complex at a cost of R\$25.0 million. As a result of the completion of this stage, we increased our annual para-xylene production capacity by 50,000 tons.

Our ability to compete in the Brazilian and foreign markets that we serve depends on our ability to integrate new production processes developed by our company and third parties in order to lower our costs and offer new thermoplastic products. In addition, our relationships with our customers are enhanced by our ability to develop new products and customize existing products to meet their needs. To meet these challenges, we maintain a research and development program that is primarily implemented at the Braskem Center for Innovation and Technology in the Southern Complex. We invested R\$35.5 million, R\$20.1 million and R\$14.5 million in research and development during 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Table of Contents

In 2003, we conducted studies to identify potential efficiency enhancements for our polyethylene plants and our polypropylene plants. As a result of these studies, in addition to the expansions of our polypropylene and para-xylene production capacity that were completed in the first half in 2004, we plan to invest approximately R\$84 million during 2004 and 2005 in an expansion project at our Alagoas PVC plant that we believe will increase its annual production capacity by 50,000 tons. We intend to finance this project with the proceeds of a secured credit agreement. See

Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects Recent Developments. In addition, we plan to invest approximately R\$12 million during 2004 and 2005 in an efficiency enhancement project at one of our polyethylene plants in the Northeastern Complex that we believe will increase its annual production capacity by 30,000 tons. We intend to finance this project with the proceeds of a secured credit agreement. See Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospectus Recent Developments.

Maintenance

Most of our maintenance is performed by third party service providers. For example, we have contracts with Asea Brown Boveri Ltd. and other service providers to perform maintenance for our Basic Petrochemicals Unit and our Business Development Unit. We also perform some of our ordinary course maintenance with our small team of maintenance technicians, which also coordinate the planning and execution of maintenance services performed by third parties.

Because we have two independent Olefins units and two independent Aromatics units, we may continue production of basic petrochemicals without interruption, even while we perform certain maintenance services. We occasionally undertake other brief shutdowns of our operations that do not materially affect our production output, primarily for maintenance purposes, catalyst regeneration and equipment cleaning.

Regular basic petrochemicals plant maintenance requires complete plant shutdowns from time to time, and these shutdowns usually take approximately 30 days to complete. Since commencing operations in July 1978, our largest basic petrochemicals plant (Olefins 1) has undergone seven scheduled major maintenance services as part of our regular maintenance activities. The last general maintenance shutdown of our Olefins 1 unit was carried out in July and August 2001 and lasted for 25 days. This shutdown permitted inspection and maintenance of this unit, which had been operational for almost five years without a shutdown. This shutdown was intended to improve the plant's efficiency and production capacity. The cost of servicing the unit was approximately US\$15 million (not including the value of lost production during this shutdown). The next general shutdown of our Olefins 1 unit has been scheduled for 2008 with an estimated duration of approximately 35 days.

In 2002, we also shut down our Olefins 1 unit for 92 days in order to increase its production capacity and to modernize and upgrade its technology. This shutdown reduced our Basic Petrochemical Unit's ethylene and propylene production in 2002. The cost of these improvements to this Unit was approximately US\$61 million (not including lost production).

We also have a regular maintenance program for each of our polyolefins plants. Production at each of our polyolefins plants generally is shut down for 15 to 30 days every two years to allow for regular inspection and maintenance. In addition, we undertake other brief shutdowns for maintenance purposes that do not materially affect our production of polyolefins. We coordinate the maintenance cycles of our polyolefins plants with those of our basic petrochemicals plants. While our basic petrochemicals facilities must be shut down for up to 30 days for maintenance, our polyolefins facilities may be shut down for shorter periods because these facilities are less complex to operate and maintain than our basic petrochemicals facilities.

We also have a regular maintenance program for each of our vinyls plants. Our Camaçari and Alagoas PVC plants are generally shut down for 20 days every two years to allow for regular inspection and maintenance. The last general

maintenance shutdown of our PVC plant in Camaçari was carried out in January 2004 and lasted for 14 days. The next general shutdown of this plant is scheduled for August 2005. The last general maintenance shutdown of our PVC plant in Alagoas was carried out in July 2002 and lasted for 18 days. The next general shutdown of this plant is scheduled for December 2004. Our São Paulo PVC plant generally shuts down for five days of maintenance each year. Our caustic soda and chlorine plant in Alagoas generally shuts down for 15 days of maintenance every two years. The last general maintenance shutdown of this plant was carried out in March 2004 and lasted for 10 days. The next general shutdown of this plant is scheduled for March 2005. Our caustic soda and chlorine plant in Camaçari does not require prolonged maintenance shutdowns and is shut down for two or three days each year.

Regular maintenance of our Business Development Unit plants usually requires plant shutdowns every two years that take approximately 20 days to complete. The last general maintenance shutdown of our caprolactam plant was carried out in March 2003 and lasted 20 days. During this maintenance shutdown, we also changed certain production equipment caprolactam, which (together with other measures that we have adopted) we anticipate will extend the periods between general maintenance shut downs of this plant from two to three years. The cost of the last maintenance shutdown was approximately US\$2.5 million (not including lost production value). The last general maintenance

Table of Contents

shutdown of our Business Development Unit's dimethyl terephthalate, or DMT, and PET plant was carried out in June 2003. The cost was approximately US\$2.5 million (not including lost production value). During this maintenance shutdown, we successfully upgraded the PET plant's reactor, resulting in resin quality improvements as well as increasing the plant's annual production capacity from 60,000 tons to 70,000 tons.

Petrochemical Industry Overview

The petrochemical industry transforms crude oil by-products, principally naphtha, or natural gas into widely used industrial and consumer goods. The Brazilian petrochemical industry is generally organized into first, second and third generation producers based on the stage of transformation of various petrochemical raw materials, or feedstocks.

First Generation Producers

Brazil's first generation producers, which are referred to as crackers, break down or crack naphtha, their principal feedstock, into basic petrochemicals. The crackers purchase their naphtha, which is a by-product of the oil refining process, primarily from Petrobras, as well as from other suppliers located outside of Brazil. The basic petrochemicals produced by the crackers include:

olefins, primarily ethylene, propylene and butadiene; and

aromatics, such as benzene, toluene and xylenes.

We, Copesul and Petroquímica União S.A., or Petroquímica União, operate Brazil's three crackers and sell basic petrochemicals to second generation producers, including, in our case, second generation producers that are part of our company. The basic petrochemicals, which are in gaseous or liquid form, are primarily transported via pipelines to the second generation producers' plants, generally located near the crackers, for further processing.

Second Generation Producers

Second generation producers process the basic petrochemicals obtained from the crackers to produce intermediate petrochemicals. These intermediate petrochemicals include:

polyethylene, polystyrene and PVC (each produced from ethylene);

polypropylene and acrylonitrile (each produced from propylene);

caprolactam (produced from benzene); and

polybutadiene (produced from butadiene).

There are approximately 50 second generation producers operating in Brazil. Intermediate petrochemicals are produced in solid form as plastic pellets or powders and are transported primarily by truck to third generation producers, which generally are located far from the second generation producers. We are currently the only integrated first and second generation petrochemical company in Brazil.

Third Generation Producers

Third generation producers, known as transformers, purchase the intermediate petrochemicals from second generation producers and transform them into final products including:

plastics (produced from polyethylene, polypropylene and PVC);

Table of Contents

acrylic fibers (produced from acrylonitrile);

nylon (produced from caprolactam);

elastomers (produced from butadiene); and

disposable containers (produced from polystyrene).

Third generation producers manufacture a variety of consumer and industrial goods, including containers and packaging materials, such as bags, film and bottles, textiles, detergents, paints, automobile parts, toys and consumer electronic goods. There are over 6,000 third generation producers operating in Brazil.

Petrochemical Complexes

The production of first and second generation petrochemicals in Brazil centers around three major complexes. These complexes include:

the Northeastern Complex located in Camaçari in the State of Bahia, where we operate the cracker;

a petrochemical complex located in Capuava in the State of São Paulo, or the São Paulo Complex, where Petroquímica União operates the cracker; and

the Southern Complex located in Triunfo in the State of Rio Grande do Sul, where Copesul operates the cracker. Each complex has a single first generation producer, also known as the raw materials center, and several second generation producers that purchase feedstock from the raw materials center.

The Northeastern Complex began operations in 1978. The Northeastern Complex consists of 28 second generation producers situated around the raw materials center operated by our company. At December 31, 2003, our raw materials center had an annual ethylene production capacity of 1,280,000 tons, which we estimate accounted for approximately 44% of Brazil's ethylene production capacity.

The São Paulo Complex, which is the oldest petrochemical complex in Brazil, began operations in 1968. Petroquímica União is the raw materials center at the São Paulo Complex and supplies first generation petrochemicals to 11 second generation producers, including our company. At December 31, 2003, Petroquímica União had an annual ethylene production capacity of 500,000 tons.

The Southern Complex began operations in 1982. Copesul, in which we have a 29.5% equity interest, is the raw materials center at the Southern Complex and supplies first generation petrochemicals to six second generation producers, including our company's polyolefins business unit. At December 31, 2003, Copesul had an annual ethylene production capacity of 1,135,000 tons.

A fourth petrochemical complex is currently being constructed at Duque de Caxias in the State of Rio de Janeiro. Rio Polímeros, a Brazilian petrochemical company, will serve as the cracker for the new complex and has announced that the new petrochemical complex will be an integrated first and second generation producer with an annual production capacity of 520,000 tons of ethylene, 75,000 tons of propylene and 540,000 tons of polyethylene (LLDPE and HDPE). This plant will use natural gas as a feedstock, and Rio Polímeros has announced that it is expected to commence operation in December 2004.

Table of Contents***Role of the Brazilian Government***

The current structure of the Brazilian petrochemical industry reflects the Brazilian government's plan, developed during the 1970's, to establish a domestic petrochemical industry to serve Brazilian markets. First and second generation producers, including our company, are located within close proximity of each other to allow the common use of facilities, such as utilities, and to facilitate the delivery of feedstocks. Prior to their privatization by the Brazilian government, the expansion of production capacity at the crackers and the second generation producers was coordinated to ensure that the supply of petrochemicals met expected demand. The infrastructure developed around the complexes fostered the interdependence of first and second generation producers, as limited facilities were constructed for purposes of transportation and storage of feedstocks for import or export. Following their privatization, this interdependence has increased as second generation producers, which continue to rely upon the crackers for feedstocks and utilities, have increased their ownership of, and participation in the management of, the crackers.

The Brazilian government developed the Brazilian petrochemical industry generally by promoting the formation of three-way joint ventures among the Brazilian government, foreign petrochemical companies and private Brazilian investors. In these joint ventures, Petrobras' subsidiary, Petroquisa, participated as the representative of the Brazilian government, with Petrobras as the supplier of naphtha; a foreign petrochemical company provided technology; and a Brazilian private sector company provided management.

In 1992, the Brazilian government began a privatization program to reduce significantly its ownership of the petrochemical industry. This program was designed to increase private investment in the petrochemical industry and to improve its efficiency. As a result of the privatization program, the Brazilian government's ownership of our common shares, and of the common shares of Copesul and Petroquímica União, was significantly reduced, replaced by private sector entities. As a result of a similar privatization process, private ownership of the second generation producers increased.

The following table sets forth the percentage of the indirect ownership interests held in the crackers' voting shares by Petroquisa, private sector entities and other investors before the privatization of the crackers and at December 31, 2003.

	Date of Privatization	Before privatization			At December 31, 2003		
		Petroquisa	Private Sector Groups	Other Investors(1)	Petroquisa	Private Sector Groups	Other Investors(1)
Copesul	May 15, 1992	67.2%	2.1%	30.7%	15.6%	58.9%	25.4%
Petroquímica União	Jan. 24, 1994	67.8	31.9	0.3	17.5	58.3	4.2
Braskem	Aug. 15, 1995	48.2	50.4	1.4	11.1	83.8	5.1

(1) Pension funds, banks and employees.

Role of Petrobras

Prior to 1995, Brazil's Constitution granted a monopoly to the Brazilian government, exercised through Petrobras, over the research, exploration, production, refining, importing and transporting of crude oil and refined petroleum products (excluding petrochemical products) in Brazil. The Brazilian Constitution also provided that by-products of the refining process, such as naphtha, could only be supplied in Brazil by or through Petrobras. Naphtha is the principal feedstock used in Brazil for the production of basic petrochemicals such as ethylene and propylene. In 1995, the Brazilian Constitution was amended to allow petroleum and petroleum related activities to be carried out by private companies, by concession or authorization from the Brazilian government. Since 1995, the Brazilian government has taken several measures to liberalize the petrochemical industry in Brazil.

Table of Contents

In 1997, Law No. 9,478/97 implemented the 1995 constitutional amendment by creating the Brazilian Energy Policy Council (*Conselho Nacional de Política Energética*) and the National Petroleum Agency (*Agência Nacional de Petróleo*), which were charged with regulating and monitoring of the oil industry and the Brazilian energy sector. Following the creation of the National Petroleum Agency, new rules and regulations have been implemented, aimed at gradually ending Petrobras' monopoly. Since 1997, first generation producers, including our company, have imported naphtha from trading companies and oil and gas producers located abroad.

During 2003, Petrobras produced and sold approximately 70% of the naphtha consumed in Brazil, and Copesul and our company collectively imported the remaining naphtha consumed in Brazil.

Tariffs

We set prices for ethylene, the principal first generation petrochemical product that we sell to second generation producers, using a margin sharing system. See Overview of Our Company's Operations Basic Petrochemicals Unit Sales and Marketing of Our Basic Petrochemicals Unit. Prices paid by second generation producers for imported first generation petrochemical products partly reflect transportation and tariff costs. We establish the prices of ethylene by-products, such as butadiene, by reference to several market factors, including the prices paid by second generation producers for imported products, which also take into account transportation and tariff costs.

Second generation producers, including our company, generally set prices for their petrochemical products by reference to several market factors, including the prices paid by third generation producers for imported products. Prices paid for such imports also reflect transportation and tariff costs.

The Brazilian government has frequently used import tariffs to implement economic policies. As a result, import tariffs generally vary significantly, especially those imposed on petrochemical products. In November 1997, for example, the import tariffs for polyethylene, polypropylene and PVC were increased from 14.0% to 17.0% but were subsequently reduced to 16.5% in 2001 and then to 15.5% on January 1, 2002. On January 1, 2002, the import tariff for caustic soda was reduced from 10.5% to 9.5%. At December 31, 2003, the import tariffs for basic petrochemical products ranged between 3.5% and 5.5% (except for caustic soda) and the import tariffs for second generation petrochemical products ranged between 13.5% and 15.5%. Imports and exports within the free trade area composed of Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay and Uruguay in South America, or Mercosul (*Mercado Comum do Sul*), have not been subject to tariffs since December 2001.

The following table shows the fluctuation of the tariffs on certain basic petrochemicals and second generation petrochemicals from 1994 through 2003. The tariff rates shown are those applicable at the end of the respective years.

	2003	2002 (1)	2001 (2)	2000	1999	1998	1997 (3)	1996	1995	1994
	(%)									
First generation petrochemicals:										
Ethylene	3.5	3.5	4.5	5	5	5	5	2	2	
Propylene	3.5	3.5	4.5	5	5	5	5	2	2	
Caustic soda	9.5	9.5	10.5	11	11	11	11	8	1	(4)
Second generation petrochemicals:										
Polyethylene	15.5	15.5	16.5	17	17	17	17	14	2	2(5)
Polypropylene	15.5	15.5	16.5	17	17	17	17	14	2	2(5)
PVC	15.5	15.5	16.5	17	17	17	17	14	2	2(5)

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

Caprolactam 13.5 13.5 14.5 15 15 15 15 12 8(6) 2(7)

- (1) In 2002, the official tariff was 1.5% less than the rate shown. An additional surcharge of 1.5% assessed on imported products is included in the rate shown.
- (2) In 2001, the official tariff was 2.5% less than the rate shown. An additional surcharge of 2.5% assessed on imported products is included in the rate shown.
- (3) An additional tariff of 3% was assessed commencing on November 13, 1997, which is included in the rate shown.

Table of Contents

- (4) A 5% rate applied until March 1, 1994; an 8% rate applied from March 1, 1994 through October 1, 1994; and a 0% rate applied from October 4, 1994.
- (5) A 15% rate applied until September 25, 1994; a 14% rate applied from September 26, 1994 through November 23, 1994; and a 2% rate applied beginning on November 24, 1994.
- (6) A 4% rate applied until April 27, 1995; and an 8% rate applied after that date.
- (7) A 15% rate applied until September 22, 1994; a 14% rate applied from September 26, 1994 through November 23, 1994; and a 2% rate applied beginning on November 24, 1994.
Source: Brazilian Association of Chemical Industry and Derivative Products.

Operating Environment

The Brazilian markets in which we compete are cyclical and are sensitive to relative changes in supply and demand. Demand for petrochemical products is significantly affected by general economic conditions in Brazil and other countries in Mercosul, particularly Argentina. The Brazilian markets are also impacted by the cyclical nature of international markets as prices for petrochemical products in Brazil are determined in part with reference to international market prices for these products and by the prices, including tariff and transportation costs, paid by importers of petrochemical products into Brazil. Reductions in tariffs and other trade barriers have increasingly exposed the Brazilian petrochemical industry to price competition in the international markets.

Traditionally, the second and third calendar quarters have been the periods of the year with the highest sales for the petrochemical industry in the Brazilian market. The increase during this six-month period is tied in part to the production of consumer goods for sale during the year-end holiday season.

Brazilian GDP decreased by 0.2% in 2003 compared to an increase of 1.5% in 2002. This decrease in Brazilian GDP in 2003 contributed to a decrease in domestic demand for two principal petrochemical product categories elastomers and acrylic fibers because they are used in consumer goods for which demand is closely tied to the strength of the economy. As a result of this decrease in domestic demand, coupled with the increase in the production capacity of Brazilian producers, particularly Polibrasil Resinas S.A., or Polibrasil, and increased rates of capacity utilization, Brazilian producers significantly increased their exports of polyolefins and vinyls products in 2003.

We anticipate that demand for our products in Brazil may grow due to increasing consumption of plastic-based products, as well as population growth and expected general economic growth in Brazil. In addition, Brazilian per capita consumption of second generation petrochemicals has been modest compared to per capita consumption in many other more developed countries, which we believe suggests a potential for future growth in demand in Brazil. However, that growth could be hindered by the factors described in Risk Factors Risks Relating to Brazil and Risks Relating to Our Company and the Petrochemical Industry.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information relating to our production, the estimated production of other Brazilian companies and exports and imports of the products included therein for the years indicated.

	Total Brazilian Production	Our Total Production	Total Production of Other Brazilian Companies	Total Imports	Total Exports	Estimated Total Brazilian Domestic Consumption
	(thousands of tons)					
Olefins (1)						
2003	4,455.6	1,675.8	2,779.8	24.0	127.7	4,351.8
2002	3,847.8	1,591.8	2,256.0	11.8	95.6	3,996.0
2001	4,077.8	1,705.4	2,372.4	29.1	108.6	3,998.2
Aromatics (2)						
2003	1,323.7	643.1	680.6	102.7	335.9	1,090.4
2002	1,541.2	659.8	881.4	694.6	588.3	1,324.0
2001	1,282.9	694.6	588.3	110.5	283.3	1,110.1
Polyolefins (3)						
2003	2,854.4	1,115.4	1,741.5	324.4	718.8	2,460.0
2002	2,623.0	1,064.7	1,558.3	335.6	492.0	2,466.6
2001	2,557.1	1,031.0	1,526.1	302.3	487.8	2,371.6
PVC						
2003	604.1	396.9	207.1	86.4	86.9	603.6
2002	602.4	401.8	200.6	141.8	55.5	688.8
2001	538.1	363.4	174.7	129.2	46.7	620.5
PET						
2003	339.0	56.2	260.2	136.2	44.5	430.6
2002	333.0	59.0	274.0	147.2	55.8	424.5
2001	329.0	56.5	272.5	181.6	28.3	482.4
Caprolactam						
2003	49.6	49.6		4.9	7.8	46.7
2002	57.5	57.5		4.4	9.4	52.5
2001	48.2	48.2		3.3	4.2	47.3

(1) Includes ethylene, propylene and butadiene.

(2) Includes benzene, toluene and xylenes.

(3) Includes polyethylene, HDPE, LDPE, LLDPE and polypropylene.

Sources: Brazilian Association of Chemical Industry and Derivative Products and Braskem

The above estimates of total domestic consumption assume that all domestic production is immediately sold in the market and that there has been no change in total domestic inventory.

Overview of Our Company's Operations

We are the leading petrochemical company in Latin America, based on average annual production capacity, and we are one of the five largest Brazilian-owned private sector industrial companies, based on net sales revenue. We recorded net income of R\$215.1 million in 2003 on net sales revenue of R\$10,135.8 million, in each case under Brazilian GAAP. We produce a diversified portfolio of petrochemical products and have a strategic focus on polyethylene, polypropylene and PVC. We are the only Brazilian company with integrated first and second generation petrochemical production facilities, with 13 plants in Brazil.

We have grown over the past three years primarily as the result of the integration of the operations of six Brazilian petrochemical companies: our company; OPP Química; Polialden; Trikem; Proppet; and Nitrocarbano. We have merged with these companies, other than Polialden. Our business operations are organized into four business units, which correspond to our principal production processes and products:

Table of Contents

Basic Petrochemicals, which accounted for R\$4,765.3 million, or 47.8%, of the net sales revenue of all segments, including net sales to our other business units, and had an operating margin of 10.5% in 2003;

Polyolefins, which accounted for R\$3,386.8 million, or 33.9%, of the net sales revenue of all segments and had an operating margin of 15.6% in 2003;

Vinyls, which accounted for R\$1,371.8 million, or 13.7%, of the net sales revenue of all segments and had an operating margin of 22.9% in 2003; and

Business Development, which accounted for R\$455.3 million, or 4.6%, of the net sales revenue of all segments and had an operating margin of 6.3% in 2003.

We believe the integration of the operations of the companies that formed our company has produced, and will continue to provide, significant synergies and cost savings from reduced taxes, procurement and logistics expenses, general and administrative expenses and other operating expenses.

Strategy

Our vision is to strengthen our position as a world-class petrochemical company. We seek to reinforce our leading position in the Latin American petrochemical market, with a focus on polyethylene, polypropylene and PVC and integration with our production of ethylene and propylene. Our business model focuses on enhancing shareholder value, with strategic drivers consisting of market leadership, cost competitiveness and technological autonomy.

We are the first Brazilian company to integrate first and second generation petrochemical production facilities. Our competitive advantages are derived from our leadership position in the Latin American market and on our favorable cost structure, resulting from our production scale and synergies realized from integration of the companies that formed our company.

We are committed to providing technological support to our customers through the Braskem Technology and Innovation Center, which develops processes, products and applications for the sector.

The formation of our company marked a milestone in the restructuring of an industrial sector that is vital to Brazil's economic development. We supply petrochemical products with application in a wide variety of industries, such as food packaging, automotive parts, paints, construction, agriculture, fabrics and personal care products.

The key elements of our strategy include:

Focus on Customer Relationships:

We seek to establish close, long-term relationships with our customers. We serve as partners with our customers in developing new products and applications and, consequently, business opportunities for them. We recognize the cyclical nature of the markets for our petrochemical products and believe that, by focusing on relationships with our customers, we can foster customer loyalty even during periods of lower demand. Our growth strategy is centered on increasing customers' consumption of our products, and enabling them to substitute non-plastic materials with thermoplastics.

Our Polyolefins Unit and our Vinyls Unit maintain technology and innovation centers that seek to:

optimize customers' processing of our products;

identify new products and applications to meet our clients' needs; and

increase customers productivity.

Table of Contents

Pursuit of Selected Business Opportunities: We are pursuing new business opportunities by, for example:

manufacturing new products such as UTEC , our ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene product that is used in technical applications, and new sealants for bi-oriented polypropylene film that are used for packaging snacks, pasta and confectionary goods. We are the world's second largest producer of ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene and sell UTEC mainly in the United States. We are also the first Brazilian company to produce a new line of sealants for bi-oriented polypropylene film;

manufacturing LLDPE and low density polyethylene using a specialized production process that permits us to produce thermoplastics with distinctive characteristics for the flexible packaging industry, including greater resistance to impact and punctures, improved polish and greater transparency; and

replacing traditional materials such as glass, wood, steel and paper, with our thermoplastics products.

Expansion of Our Production Capacity: We plan to expand the production capacity of our business units during the next several years based on anticipated growth in demand for our products. We plan to expand primarily through efficiency enhancements at our plants and by modernizing our production technology. We believe that additional capacity will enable us to maintain and expand our leadership position in Latin America and support our expansion into strategic export markets.

Continued Reductions in Operating Costs and Increases in Operating Efficiencies: As a result of the integration of our facilities and large production scale, we believe that we are a low-cost producer of second generation petrochemicals. We have an ongoing program the Braskem Production System to increase operating efficiencies and to reduce operating costs. We also continue to realize synergies from our integration process.

Our cost reduction program is linked to initiatives to purchase feedstocks at competitive prices. We began to import lower-cost naphtha in 2000, and during 2003, we imported 31.2% of our feedstock requirements, primarily from North Africa. We also intend to continue to substitute lower-cost petroleum condensate for a portion of our naphtha needs.

Commitment to Our Employees and Our Communities: We are focused on our human resources, which are vital to our competitiveness and growth. We continue to train our employees to develop skills necessary to operate an internationally competitive, vertically integrated petrochemical company. We have adopted a policy that makes all of our directors, officers, and employees responsible for worker safety and for preserving the environment. We are also committed to sustainable development and to improving the quality of life in the communities in which our facilities are located.

Basic Petrochemicals Unit

At December 31, 2003, our Basic Petrochemicals facilities had one of the largest average annual production capacities of all first generation producers in Latin America. Our Basic Petrochemicals Unit accounted for R\$4,765.3 million, or 47.8%, of the net sales revenue of all segments in 2003, including net sales to our other business units. Our Basic Petrochemicals Unit produces:

olefins, such as ethylene, polymer and chemical grade propylene, butadiene, isoprene and butene-1;

aromatics, such as benzene, toluene, para-xylene and ortho-xylene;

fuels, such as automotive gasoline and liquefied petroleum gas, or LPG; and

Table of Contents

methyl tertiary butyl ether, or MTBE, solvent C9 and pyrolysis C9.

We also supply utilities to other plants located in the Northeastern Complex and render services to the operators of those plants. In 2003, 86.7% of our Basic Petrochemicals Unit's sales (including intra-company sales) were derived from the sale of basic petrochemicals, 8.1% from the sale of utilities and 5.2% from the sale of fuels.

The products of our Basic Petrochemicals Unit are used primarily in the manufacture of intermediate petrochemical products, including those manufactured by our other business units. We believe that our Basic Petrochemicals Unit is well positioned to take advantage of increasing demand for basic petrochemicals products in Brazil, both by our other business units and by third parties. We anticipate that long-term growth for these products in Brazil will continue due to increasing consumption of plastic-based consumer products, the trend towards substitution of plastics for more traditional packaging materials, such as glass and paper, as well as general economic growth in Brazil.

Products of Our Basic Petrochemicals Unit

The following chart shows the major products produced by our Basic Petrochemicals Unit, their derivative intermediate products and their most common end uses.

Our Basic Petrochemical Products	Intermediate Products Derived from Our Basic Petrochemical Products	Common End Uses
Olefins Ethylene	LDPE /LLDPE (1)	Garbage bags, packaging film, toys, housewares, electrical insulation, paper coatings
	HDPE (1)	Blow-molded plastic bottles (such as milk bottles)
	Ethylene oxide, used to produce ethylene glycol	Polyester fibers and PET resin
	EDC, used to produce PVC (2)	Pipes, home siding, upholstery, floor coverings
	Ethylbenzene, used to produce styrene monomer and then polystyrene	Disposable cups and containers, high-impact plastics
Propylene (polymer and chemical grade)	Polypropylene (1)	Carpet-backing, luggage, bottles, diapers, raffia bags
	Acrylonitrile	Clothing, plastics
	Propylene oxide	Polyurethane foams for furniture and insulation, cleaning compounds and coatings
Butadiene	Synthetic rubber, elastomers, resins	Tires, shoes, hoses, surgical gloves
Butene 1	LLDPE (1)	Garbage bags, packaging film, toys housewares, electrical insulation, paper coatings

Aromatics

Benzene	Ethylbenzene (used to make styrene monomer/polystyrene)	Disposable cups, containers, high-impact plastics
	Cumene	Epoxies
	Cyclohexane and cyclohexanone (3)	Nylon
	Linear alkyl benzene	Detergents
	Caprolactam (3)	Nylon
	Ammonium sulfate (3)	Fertilizers
Isoprene	Styrene-isoprene-styrene	Adhesive
Toluene	Toluenediisocyanate	Urethane foams Solvents
Para-xylene	Purified terephthalic acid and DMT (3)	Polyester film and fibers, PET resin (3)

Table of Contents

Ortho-xylene	Phthalic anhydride and plasticizers	Flexible products from PVC
Others		
MTBE		Octane booster for gasoline
Solvent C9		Solvents and thinners
Pyrolysis C9		Octane booster for gasoline
Fuels		
Automotive Gasoline		Fuel for internal combustion engines
LPG (liquified petroleum gas)		Cooking gas
<hr/>		
(1)	Produced by our Polyolefins Unit.	
(2)	Produced by our Vinyls Unit.	
(3)	Produced by our Business Development Unit.	

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth a breakdown of the sales volume and net sales revenue of our Basic Petrochemicals Unit (including our intra-company sales) by product line and by market for the years indicated.

	Years Ended December 31,								
	2003			2002			2001		
	Quantities Sold (1)	Net Sales Revenue		Quantities Sold (1)	Net Sales Revenue		Quantities Sold (1)	Net Sales Revenue	
	(thousands of tons)	(millions of reais)	(%)	(thousands of tons)	(millions of reais)	(%)	(thousands of tons)	(millions of reais)	(%)
Domestic net sales:									
Ethylene	1,047.3	R\$1,733.1	41.9%	994.8	R\$1,285.3	42.5%	1,064.8	R\$1,209.1	43.0%
Propylene	403.4	595.9	14.4	415.2	459.3	15.2	421.1	349.0	12.4
Para-xylene	117.3	195.5	4.7	99.4	121.9	4.0	114.8	129.6	4.6
Benzene	217.9	298.3	7.2	223.5	214.2	7.1	223.2	154.2	5.5
Butadiene	150.3	278.7	6.7	147.3	177.0	5.9	134.5	152.0	5.4
Mixed xylenes	53.7	83.4	2.0	52.9	52.9	1.7	50.6	44.5	1.6
Ortho-xylene	49.9	80.0	1.9	48.9	65.2	2.2	41.9	41.6	1.5
Toluene	38.9	51.4	1.2	78.7	74.6	2.5	108.0	83.9	3.0
Others	195.8	324.6	8.1	184.5	262.6	8.7	144.5	216.0	7.7
Total domestic net sales	2,274.5	3,640.9	88.1	2,245.2	2,713.0	89.8	2,303.4	2,379.9	84.6
Total export net sales	405.9	490.7	11.9	353.0	309.7	10.2	376.4	280.1	15.4
Total basic petrochemicals net sales	2,680.4	4,131.6	100%	2,598.2	3,022.7	100%	2,679.8	2,660.0	100%
Fuels and utilities (2).		633.7			476.4			483.9	
Total Basic Petrochemicals Unit net sales revenue(3)		R\$4,765.3			R\$3,499.1			R\$3,143.9	

% of the total net sales revenue of all segments	47.8%	47.3%	51.4%
--	-------	-------	-------

(1) Includes the following intra-company sales:

488.3 thousand tons of ethylene in 2003, 472.0 thousand tons in 2002 and 150.2 thousand tons in 2001;

4.3 thousand tons of propylene in 2003, 27.1 thousand tons in 2002 and 8.5 thousand tons in 2001;

39.7 thousand tons of para-xylene in 2003 and 45.2 thousand tons in 2002; and

60.0 thousand tons of benzene in 2003, 25.0 thousand tons in 2002 and 48.9 thousand tons in 2001.

(2) Fuels include automotive gasoline and liquified petroleum gas. Utilities include electric power, steam, treated water and compressed air.

(3) Includes basic petrochemicals, fuels and utilities.

Olefins

Olefins are relatively unstable hydrocarbons characterized by a structure that is chemically active and permits other chemically reactive elements, such as oxygen, to be added. Ethylene and propylene, which are types of olefins, are the chemical backbone for many consumer plastic products. Our primary olefins products include polymer grade ethylene and propylene, also known as monomers. Different combinations of monomers are polymerized, or linked together, to form polymers or plastic resins with different properties and characteristics.

Aromatics

Aromatics are hydrocarbons identified by one or more benzene rings or by chemical behavior similar to benzene. Aromatics readily react to add other active molecular groups, such as nitrates and sulfonates.

Fuels

Our company has been authorized by the National Petroleum Agency to produce and sell automotive gasoline since August 15, 2000 and LPG since October 2, 2001, both domestically and for export. We have been producing and selling both automotive gasoline and LPG since these dates. We have requested authorization from the National Petroleum Agency to produce and sell diesel fuel and expect this authorization to be granted during 2004.

Table of Contents*Utilities*

We also produce electric power, steam, compressed air and drinking and demineralized water as by-products of our production of basic petrochemicals. We use these utilities in our own production processes, including those of our Polyolefins Unit and our Vinyls Unit, and sell these utilities to approximately 40 companies in the Northeastern Complex. Our utilities facilities include units for thermoelectric power generation, water treatment and the production of steam and compressed air.

We self-generate approximately 70% of the Northeastern Complex's energy consumption requirements, and CHESF, a Brazilian government-owned electric power distribution company located in the State of Bahia, furnishes the remainder.

Production Facilities of Our Basic Petrochemicals Unit

We believe that the technological processes we use at our basic petrochemicals plants are among the most advanced in the world. We currently own and operate five major Basic Petrochemicals units (Olefins 1, Olefins 2, Aromatics 1, Aromatics 2 and energy and services), each of which is located at the Northeastern Complex. Our Basic Petrochemicals Unit defines the term "unit" to mean several plants that are linked together to produce olefins or aromatics. As a result, the production capacity of Aromatics units 1 and 2 is the sum of the production capacities of the various plants that form these units. At December 31, 2003, our basic petrochemicals plants had total annual production capacity of 1,280,000 tons of ethylene and 537,000 tons of propylene.

The table below sets forth the name, primary products, annual production capacity at December 31, 2003 and annual production for the years presented for each of our principal Basic Petrochemicals units and plants.

Name	Main Products	Annual Production Capacity	Production Year Ended December 31,		
			2003	2002	2001
		(in tons, except automotive gasoline)	(in tons, except automotive gasoline)		
Olefins units 1 and 2	Ethylene	1,280,000	1,040,858	989,276	1,069,724
	Propylene	537,000	486,959	464,521	491,957
Plants of Aromatics units 1 and 2:					
Butadiene plants 1 and 2	Butadiene	180,000	150,719	137,976	143,700
MTBE plants 1 and 2	MTBE	140,000	113,996	106,449	108,251
Butene-1 plant	Butene-1	31,000	27,022	20,530	
Isoprene plant	Isoprene	19,000	16,396	16,380	
	Dicyclopentadiene (1)	24,000	20,459	19,799	18,897
Sulfolane plants 1, 2 and 3	Coperaf 1 (2)	130,000	110,769	116,575	102,848
BTX fractionation plants 1 and 2	Benzene	432,000	364,762	318,373	317,223

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

C8+ fractionation plant	Toluene (1)	40,000	41,757	129,200	173,960
	Mixed xylenes (1)	60,000	65,932	62,679	50,137
	Ortho-xylene	60,000	54,475	48,135	46,001
Parex plant	Solvent C9 (2)	30,000	25,650	6,803	3,455
	Para-xylene	150,000	116,203	101,426	107,321
Blending plant	Automotive gasoline				
	(3)	570,000	365,256	326,493	351,806
	LPG (3)	18,000	17,403	30,780	4,553

(1) Actual production may exceed production capacity of certain plants when excess capacity of other plants in the Aromatics units is utilized.

(2) Solvents.

(3) Automotive gasoline in cubic meters per year.

In the first half of 2004, we completed the first stage of our modernization and improvement project at our Aromatics 1 and 2 units in the Northeastern Complex, which increased our annual para-xylene production capacity by 50,000 tons.

Table of Contents**Raw Materials of our Basic Petrochemicals Unit***Naphtha*

Naphtha, a crude oil derivative, is the principal raw material that we use to produce our basic petrochemical products and represents the principal production and operating cost of our Basic Petrochemicals Unit. The price of naphtha that we purchase varies primarily based on changes in the U.S. dollar-based, international price of crude oil.

Both of our olefins plants are capable of using naphtha as a feedstock, and our Olefins 1 unit also uses petroleum condensate. Until the early 1980 s, gas oil represented approximately 60% of the feedstock used by first generation producers in Brazil and naphtha represented the remainder, but the increased use of diesel fuel by trucks and buses in Brazil in the 1980 s reduced the supply of gas oil available to petrochemical producers. Currently, we use naphtha as our primary feedstock, and in 2003, naphtha accounted for (1) 84.6% of the total cost of sales of our Basic Petrochemicals Unit and (2) 65.2% of our overall direct and indirect cost of sales. However, due to the high price of naphtha, we have increased our use of alternate feedstocks, such as petroleum condensate. We intend to further increase our use of alternate feedstocks in the future.

The following table shows the average Amsterdam-Rotterdam-Antwerp market price of naphtha for the periods indicated.

Amsterdam-Rotterdam-Antwerp Market Price of Naphtha

	2003	2002	2001
	(in U.S. dollars per ton)		
Average(1)	US\$274.63	US\$228.00	US\$215.92
Month ended:			
January	319.00	173.00	267.50
February	359.00	205.00	255.50
March	267.00	225.00	240.00
April	203.00	225.00	275.00
May	231.00	210.00	268.00
June	254.00	218.50	221.00
July	253.50	232.00	191.00
August	269.00	247.50	215.00
September	258.00	255.00	183.00
October	275.00	230.00	162.50
November	294.00	228.00	145.00
December	313.00	287.00	167.50

(1) The information in the Average row represents the average of average monthly naphtha prices during the years presented.

Source: Bloomberg L.P.

During the six months ended June 30, 2004, the price of naphtha in U.S. dollars increased by 7.0%, from US\$313.00 per ton at December 31, 2003 to US\$335.00 per ton at June 30, 2004. Since the end of the first half of 2004, the price of naphtha in U.S. dollars has increased significantly, reaching US\$401.50 per ton at July 31, 2004 and US\$398.00 per ton at August 27, 2004.

Our Basic Petrochemicals Unit is located:

36 kilometers from the Madre de Deus Port Terminal (located in the State of Bahia), a port terminal owned and operated by Petrobras;

27 kilometers from Refinaria Landulfo Alves (located in the State of Bahia), one of the largest refineries in Brazil, which is owned and operated by Petrobras; and

45

Table of Contents

22 kilometers from the port terminal of Aratú (located in the State of Bahia), which is operated by Terminal Químico de Aratú S.A. TEQUIMAR, a subsidiary of Ultrapar Participações S.A, a Brazilian LPG distribution company.

We use the Madre de Deus Port Terminal to unload naphtha imported by Petrobras or that is shipped from other Petrobras refineries located outside the State of Bahia. A pipeline owned and operated by Petrobras transports naphtha from the Madre de Deus Terminal to Refinaria Landulfo Alves where it interconnects with the refinery's naphtha pipeline system. Refinaria Landulfo Alves naphtha pipeline system interconnects with the pipeline system of the port terminal of Aratú, through which naphtha and petroleum condensate are transported to our basic petrochemicals plants.

Following the end of Petrobras' monopoly over the supply of naphtha, we invested approximately US\$37 million in our transportation infrastructure to enable our port facilities at Aratú to receive shipments of imported naphtha.

Supply Contracts and Pricing

Our Basic Petrochemicals Unit purchased:

from Petrobras: 2,691 thousand tons of naphtha in 2003, representing 68.8% of our naphtha requirements; 2,778 thousand tons in 2002, representing 72.4% of our naphtha requirements; and 4,087 thousand tons in 2001, representing 99.1% of our naphtha requirements; and

from suppliers located principally in Africa and elsewhere in South America: 1,220 thousand tons of naphtha in 2003, representing 31.2% of our naphtha requirements; 1,059 thousand tons in 2002, representing 27.6% of our naphtha requirements; and 35 thousand tons in 2001, representing 0.9% of our naphtha requirements.

On June 22, 1978, we and Petrobras entered into a Naphtha and Gas Oil Purchase and Sale Contract (which was amended in February 1993 and in February 2003). This contract has a term of 10 years, expiring in 2008, and is automatically renewable for further 10-year periods, unless either party notifies the other party in writing at least one year prior to the expiration of the contract that it does not intend to renew the contract. Under this contract:

Petrobras has agreed to sell and deliver naphtha and gas oil to our basic petrochemicals plants in the Northeastern Complex exclusively for our use as a raw material;

we may establish on September 30 of each year the minimum volumes of naphtha and gas oil that we expect to consume in the following calendar year;

if we request to purchase volumes of naphtha and gas oil that exceed the minimum volumes we establish, Petrobras must use its best efforts to attempt to meet our higher demand;

if we fail to purchase the minimum volumes that we establish for a given year, we are required to pay damages to Petrobras, and if Petrobras fails to deliver the minimum volumes, Petrobras is required to pay damages to us;

Petrobras can suspend deliveries, in whole or in part, or may terminate this contract without penalties if required by the National Petroleum Agency as a result of a national contingency plan that adversely affects the supply of petroleum derivatives in Brazil; and

Petrobras can rescind the contract, without prior notice, if: (1) we violate any provision of the contract; (2) we declare bankruptcy, or we are declared bankrupt or are liquidated; (3) we transfer all or part of our rights and obligations under the contract to a third party without Petrobras' consent; or (4) we are involved in a reorganization or merger.

Table of Contents

Petrobras has provided us with a R\$570.0 million credit line to purchase naphtha and gas oil that it produces. This credit line is secured by first mortgages on two parcels of our property used by our Polyolefins Unit in the Southern Complex.

On August 9, 2000, regulations issued by the National Petroleum Agency ended Petrobras' monopoly over the supply of naphtha in Brazil. These regulations also established a policy of free negotiation of naphtha prices. After a series of negotiations, the Brazilian basic petrochemicals producers and Petrobras entered into a pricing agreement for naphtha sales. According to this agreement, the price of naphtha supplied by Petrobras is linked to the Amsterdam-Rotterdam-Antwerp market price for naphtha and to the U.S. dollar/*real* exchange rate.

La Société Nationale pour la Recherche, la Production, le Transport, la Transformation et la Commercialisation des Hydrocarbures SONATRACH (the Algerian national petroleum company), or SONATRACH, is our most important supplier of imported naphtha. We and SONATRACH entered into a Contract for the Sale and Purchase of Naphtha, which became effective on January 1, 2002. This contract has a one-year term and is renewable based on the mutual agreement of the parties for further one-year periods. We have renewed this contract twice, and the current contract expires on December 31, 2004. Under this contract:

SONATRACH has agreed to sell and deliver naphtha to us exclusively for our use as a raw material; and

we agreed to purchase, and SONATRACH agreed to sell, a minimum annual volume of naphtha up to a maximum annual volume.

If this contract were not renewed or were otherwise terminated, we believe that we could purchase sufficient quantities of naphtha from other suppliers, including Petrobras, to meet our naphtha supply needs.

In order to reduce our financing costs and to extend the payment terms for certain of our purchases of imported naphtha and petroleum condensate, on November 24, 2003, our company and our Cayman Islands subsidiary CPN Incorporated Ltd., or CPN, entered into a purchase agreement with certain finance companies and financial institutions. Under this purchase agreement, CPN imported and resold naphtha and petroleum condensate to our company, and we became obligors under bills of exchange (which are similar to promissory notes) to CPN to evidence our obligation to pay for these purchases. CPN simultaneously sold these bills of exchange to the finance companies and the financial institutions through February 19, 2004 and paid commitment fees to those companies and institutions for the unused portions of their purchase commitments. The terms of the purchase agreement limit the aggregate principal amount of the bills of exchange outstanding at any time to US\$38.0 million. Each bill of exchange was sold at a discount of LIBOR plus 5.0% per year and is payable in full 270 days after the sale date thereof. CPN used the proceeds from these discounted sales of the bills of exchange to pay for its purchases of naphtha and petroleum condensate.

On July 26, 2004, our company entered into an import note assignment agreement with certain financial institutions. Under this agreement, we issue short-term non-interest bearing promissory notes, or import notes, to designated trading companies outside Brazil (including our subsidiary CPN) to evidence our obligation to pay for purchases of naphtha and petroleum condensate from these trading companies. These designated trading companies have the right through October 31, 2004 to assign up to an aggregate principal amount of US\$100.0 million of these import notes to the financial institutions. These assignments are made at a discount based on a rate of LIBOR plus 2.75% per annum, and the proceeds of these assignments may be used to purchase imported naphtha or petroleum condensate or refinance existing obligations in respect of imported naphtha or petroleum condensate incurred within 90 days prior to the date of the assignment. The designated trading companies are required to pay participation and commitment fees to the financial institutions, which fees are deducted from the discounted purchase price of the import notes.

Technology of our Basic Petrochemicals Unit

We use engineering process technology from a variety of sources that we implemented in constructing or upgrading the manufacturing facilities of our Basic Petrochemicals Unit, including the following technology:

ABB Lummus Global technology; technology developed jointly by CENPES (Petrobras) Research Center and TECHNIP; and technology developed by Linde AG, each of which we use in our olefins plants; and

technology developed by Nippon Zeon, a Japanese petrochemical company, which we use in our butadiene plants.

These non-exclusive contracts generally provided for payment to those companies at stages specified in the contracts, but we do not pay ongoing royalties under these contracts.

We also use technology under non-exclusive arrangements from a variety of sources for specific production processes, including the following:

Table of Contents

Petroflex technology, which we use in our MTBE plants;

technology developed by Japan Synthetic Rubber Company, which we use in our isoprene plant;

technology developed by Universal Oil Products, or UOP, which we use in our sulfolane plants, our parex plant and our BTX fractionation plants; and

technology licensed from Mobil, which we use in the conversion of toluene to benzene and xylenes.

Our Basic Petrochemicals Unit also uses technology developed by our company. We do not pay any continuing royalties under any of these license agreements, except for the technology licensing agreement with Mobil. We paid an initial royalty under these license agreements (excluding our agreement with Mobil). If any of these licenses were terminated, we believe that we would be able to replace this technology with comparable or better technology from other sources.

Sales and Marketing of Our Basic Petrochemicals Unit

We sell our basic petrochemical products principally in Brazil, mainly to second generation petrochemical producers located in the Northeastern Complex, as well as to customers in the United States and Europe. Our Basic Petrochemicals Unit also produces utilities for its own use and for sale to approximately 40 companies located in the Northeastern Complex.

As is common with other first generation petrochemical producers, our Basic Petrochemicals Unit has a high concentration of sales to a limited number of customers. Net sales to our 10 largest customers (excluding intra-company sales) accounted for 72.3% of our Basic Petrochemicals Unit's total net sales revenue during the year ended December 31, 2003.

As part of our commercial strategy, our Basic Petrochemicals Unit has focused on developing longer-term relationships with our customers. We have entered into long-term supply contracts with several second generation producers located in the Northeastern Complex, including Politeno, Oxiteno, Polibrasil and Petroflex. These supply contracts generally have an initial 10-year term and are automatically renewable for five-year periods unless one party notifies the other of its intention not to renew. These contracts also provide for minimum and maximum quantities to be purchased and monthly deliveries. We also sell automotive gasoline and LPG to Petrobras pursuant to a long-term contract.

We determine the prices for our olefins and aromatics products with reference to several market factors. The price of ethylene that we charge is based on a margin sharing system. Under this system, the benefit or burden of higher or lower prices for naphtha and for ethylene derivatives, such as polyethylene, is shared between us and our customers. The margin shared by first and second generation producers is calculated for second generation products based on the market price charged by the second generation producer for its polyolefins resins. The market price for ethylene is based on benchmark costs imputed to, and actual costs incurred by, both first and second generation producers for the production of second generation products. The benchmark cost factors considered in determining the full cost margin are the fixed costs, including depreciation, of leading first and second generation producers located in Europe. European producers are included in the calculation because, like Brazilian producers, they generally use naphtha as their primary feedstock (whereas first generation producers in the United States generally use natural gas as a feedstock). The margin for each product is determined by subtracting the production cost of each product from the average market price charged by the second generation producers for such product. This margin is then divided between the relevant first and second generation producers *pro rata* based on the capital investments made by the first and second generation producers in the production process for each product. The actual margins received by the first and second generation producers vary depending on the degree to which their actual costs compare with the

benchmark costs used in the pricing formula to calculate the margin. In light of the integration of our company with second generation producers, we are evaluating whether the margin sharing system is the optimal pricing structure for our company.

Table of Contents

We calculate the monthly price of propylene by multiplying our monthly ethylene price (including Brazilian taxes) by the ratio of the European contract price for propylene to the European contract price for ethylene. We determine the price of butadiene by using the European contract price for butadiene, and our prices for butadiene, unlike our prices for our other basic petrochemical products, include freight costs. We set the prices of benzene, para-xylene and ortho-xylene monthly by determining the mean average of European contract prices and U.S. contract prices for those products as set forth in specialized trade publications. We set the prices of solvents, fuels and utilities with reference to Brazilian market prices for these products.

We are mainly focused on maintaining our leading position in the Brazilian market, while continuing to use our export operations to manage the relationship between our production capacity and domestic demand. Accordingly, we believe that our continued presence in export markets is essential to manage overcapacity in the Brazilian market. Our volume of export sales has generally varied based on the level of domestic demand for our products. Export net sales represented 13.0% of our Basic Petrochemicals Unit's net sales revenue in 2003, 11.2% in 2002 and 13.2% in 2001. We exported mainly to customers in Europe and the United States. Our most important market for basic petrochemicals is Brazil, although we intend to increase export sales of automotive gasoline to the United States. The following table sets forth our export sales and export volumes of basic petrochemicals (excluding automotive gasoline) for the years indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Export net sales (in millions of <i>reais</i>)	490.7	309.7	280.2
As % of total net sales revenue of basic petrochemicals	11.9	10.2	10.5
Export volumes (thousands of tons)	405.9	258.1	376.4
As % of total sales volume of basic petrochemicals (excluding automotive gasoline)	15.1	10.3	14.0

We set export prices for:

benzene, toluene, MTBE and gasoline with reference to market prices prevailing in the U.S. Gulf market;

propylene, para-xylene, ortho-xylene and butene-1 with reference to market prices prevailing in the European market; and

ethylene and butadiene on the basis of a derivative pricing formula.

Since August 15, 2000, we have been authorized by the National Petroleum Agency to produce and sell automotive gasoline. Our net sales revenue from gasoline was R\$249.2 million in 2003 as compared to R\$156.2 million in 2002 and R\$202.1 million in 2001. Our net export sales revenue from gasoline was R\$128.1 million in 2003 as compared to R\$82.6 million in 2002 and zero in 2001. Our sales of type A gasoline reached 360,458 cubic meters in 2003, as compared to 324,567 cubic meters in 2002, and 349,108 cubic meters in 2001. Over the last three years, we have continued to increase our supply of gasoline in the Northeastern Brazilian states of Pernambuco, Bahia, Paraíba and Alagoas.

In addition to basic petrochemicals and fuels, we produce electric power, steam, treated water and compressed air for our own use and for sale to other second generation producers in the Northeastern Complex. Our net sales revenue from sales of utilities (including sales to our other business units) was R\$384.5 million in 2003, R\$320.2 million in

2002 and R\$281.7 million in 2001. We also provide storage services to companies located in the Northeastern Complex through our subsidiary Tegal Terminal de Gases Ltda., providing storage for gaseous petrochemical products. Tegal Terminal de Gases Ltda. operates in the port terminal of Aratú in the State of Bahia. In 2003, our net sales revenue from services totaled R\$7.4 million.

Competition

Although there are currently three major petrochemical complexes in Brazil, our basic petrochemical customers, which are mostly second generation petrochemical producers with plants located in the Northeastern Complex,

Table of Contents

would have difficulty obtaining their feedstocks from other sources at lower prices due to the high cost of transportation of these products, as well as other logistical difficulties. In addition, because Brazil produces sufficient quantities of olefins to meet domestic demand, imports of these products are generally sporadic and usually related to scheduled plant maintenance shutdowns. In 2003, a very small quantity of ethylene was imported into Brazil.

Polyolefins Unit

At December 31, 2003, our polyolefins production facilities had the largest average annual production capacity of all second generation producers of polyolefins products in Brazil and elsewhere in Latin America. Our Polyolefins Unit accounted for R\$3,386.8 million, or 33.9%, of the net sales revenue of all segments in 2003. Our Polyolefins Unit is comprised of the operations of Polialden and the operations historically conducted by OPP Química prior to its merger with our company. Historical information with respect to our Polyolefins Unit for the year ended December 31, 2001 that is set forth in this section is based on the sum of the annual results of Polialden and OPP Química, and may not be indicative of the results that our Polyolefins Unit will achieve in the future.

Our Polyolefins Unit produces:

polyethylene, including LDPE, LLDPE, HDPE and ultra high molecular weight polyethylene; and

polypropylene.

Approximately two-thirds of our Polyolefins Unit's sales volumes in 2003 were derived from the sale of polyethylene products, and the remainder was derived from the sale of polypropylene products.

We manufacture a broad range of polyolefins products for use in consumer and industrial applications, including:

plastic films for food and industrial packaging;

bottles, shopping bags and other consumer goods containers;

automotive parts; and

household appliances.

In 2003, we had an approximate 29% share of the Brazilian polyethylene market and an approximate 40% share of the Brazilian polypropylene market, based on sales volumes. We anticipate that domestic growth in demand for these products will continue to increase due to:

greater consumption of plastic-based consumer products, as Brazil's consumption of plastic-based products on a per-capita basis is low compared to that of the United States and many European countries; and

the trend towards substitution of plastics for more traditional packaging materials, such as glass and paper.

Products of Our Polyolefins Unit

The following table sets forth a breakdown of the sales volumes and net sales revenue of our Polyolefins Unit for 2003 and 2002 and the sum of the net sales revenue of Polialden and OPP Química for 2001 by product and by market.

Table of Contents**Year Ended December 31,**

	2003			2002			2001		
	Quantities sold		Net Sales Revenue	Quantities sold		Net Sales Revenue	Quantities sold		Net Sales Revenue
	(thousands of tons)	(millions of reais)	(%)	(thousands of tons)	(millions of reais)	(%)	(thousands of tons)	(millions of reais)	(%)
Domestic net sales:									
Polypropylene	374.9	R\$1,008.0	29.8%	395.1	R\$ 763.2	30.7%	338.5	R\$ 612.7	31.5%
LDPE	120.4	314.9	9.3	133.0	269.0	10.8	116.5	232.6	12.0
LLDPE	119.8	311.0	9.2	130.0	264.6	10.7	124.7	238.7	12.3
HDPE	204.6	515.0	15.2	227.7	449.8	18.1	250.7	466.3	24.0
Ultra high molecular weight polyethylene	1.2	4.2	0.1	1.0	3.5	0.1	0.8	8.3	0.4
Total domestic net sales	<u>820.9</u>	<u>2,153.1</u>	<u>63.6</u>	<u>886.8</u>	<u>1,750.1</u>	<u>70.5</u>	<u>833.4</u>	<u>1,558.6</u>	<u>80.1</u>
Total export net sales	<u>288.1</u>	<u>1,233.7</u>	<u>36.4</u>	<u>184.6</u>	<u>732.2</u>	<u>29.5</u>	<u>174.2</u>	<u>386.9</u>	<u>19.9</u>
Total polyolefins net sales	<u>1,109.0</u>	<u>R\$3,386.8</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>1,071.4</u>	<u>R\$2,482.3</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>1,007.6</u>	<u>R\$1,945.5</u>	<u>100.0%</u>
% of the total net sales revenue of all segments			33.9%			33.6%			31.8%

We provide technical assistance to our customers to meet their specific needs by adapting and modifying our polyethylene and polypropylene products. In particular, we develop customized value-added polypropylene compounds for use by our customers in their specialized applications.

Polyethylene Products

Polyethylene has the simplest chemical structure of all commercial polymers and is a very versatile material. World production volume of polyethylene is the highest among all commercial plastics. Polyethylene is used to manufacture a wide variety of products.

Our customers purchase different polyethylene resins depending on the manufacturing process that they employ and the desired physical characteristics of the end products that they manufacture. LDPE is the most flexible of polyethylene products and is used in a variety of plastic or film applications and in food packaging, trash bags and shopping bags. LLDPE is used in applications that require greater sealing capacity and better mechanical resistance, including plastic films and flexible food packaging. HDPE is used for applications that require rigidity and strength.

While each form of polyethylene is used for different applications, there is some overlap in the uses of these resins, and with certain modifications, polyethylene resins may be substituted for each other in certain end product manufacturing processes. For example, demand for LLDPE has grown since it was first introduced in 1989 and has resulted in reduced demand for LDPE, as manufacturers of certain containers and plastic film applications have switched their production processes and technology to use LLDPE in a blend with LDPE. We expect that production of LDPE will be phased out for the packaging segment over the next few years and replaced by LLDPE. As a result, we believe that growth of the LDPE market should be limited, although the reduced supply of LDPE in the short term may temporarily result in its price exceeding the price of LLDPE.

Polypropylene Products

Polypropylene is a versatile polymer that serves both as a plastic and as a fiber and is typically used to manufacture bottles, crates and automotive fuel tanks. Ultra high molecular weight polyethylene is commonly used in compression molded sheets. As a plastic, polypropylene is also used to make products such as dishwasher-safe food containers because it has favorable thermal characteristics. As a fiber, polypropylene is used to make indoor-outdoor carpeting such as that commonly used around swimming pools and on miniature golf courses. Polypropylene is a suitable raw material for these products because it, unlike nylon, does not absorb water and can be produced in a variety of colors through a relatively simple production process.

We manufacture polypropylene and polypropylene copolymers for use in food and non-food packaging, as well as for use in consumer products such as housewares, bottles and industrial products, including automotive parts.

Table of Contents

Additional uses for polypropylene products include multifilament textiles and carpets, carpet liners and raffia, a long grass-like fiber.

Production Facilities of Our Polyolefins Unit

We believe that the technological processes at our polyolefins plants are among the most advanced in the world. We currently own and operate seven plants located in the Northeastern Complex and the Southern Complex. At December 31, 2003, our plants had a total annual production capacity of 550,000 tons of polypropylene and 840,000 tons of polyethylene.

The table below sets forth the location, the primary products, annual production capacity at December 31, 2003, and annual production for the years presented of each of our polyolefins plants.

Location (Complex)	Main Products	Annual Production Capacity (in tons)	Production		
			Year Ended December 31,		
			2003	2002	2001
Triunfo (Southern)	LDPE	210,000	195,637	184,861	194,060
	Polypropylene (1)	100,000			
	Polypropylene	215,000	212,433	195,935	184,371
	Polypropylene	235,000	226,313	216,308	192,316
	HDPE/LLDPE (2)	300,000	229,237	212,184	197,319
	HDPE/Ultra High Molecular Weight				
Camaçari (Northeastern)	Polyethylene	130,000	99,720	103,892	125,441

(1) This plant is currently inactive.

(2) Plant with swing line capable of producing two types of resins. Capacity varies depending on actual production.

In the first half of 2004, we completed an efficiency enhancement project at one of our polypropylene plants in the Southern Complex, which increased our annual polypropylene production capacity by 100,000 tons.

Raw Materials of Our Polyolefins Unit***Ethylene and Propylene***

The most significant direct costs associated with our production of polyethylene and polypropylene are the costs of purchasing ethylene and propylene, which together accounted for approximately 78% of our Polyolefins Unit's total costs of sales for 2003, compared to 74% for 2002 and 64% for 2001. In 2003, approximately 21% of these raw materials were supplied by our Basic Petrochemicals Unit and 79% were supplied by Copesul. Our Polyolefins Unit is highly dependent on ethylene and propylene supplied by our Basic Petrochemicals Unit and by Copesul because the costs of storing and transporting ethylene and propylene are substantial and there is inadequate infrastructure in Brazil to import large quantities of ethylene and propylene.

At December 31, 2003, Copesul had an annual ethylene production capacity of 1,135,000 tons and an annual propylene production capacity of 581,000 tons. Copesul is our principal supplier of propylene.

Supply Contracts and Pricing

We have entered into a long-term ethylene and propylene supply contract with Copesul that extends through 2007 and is automatically renewable for additional five-year terms. We own 29.5% of the total share capital of Copesul. Under this contract, we are required to purchase an annual minimum of 268,200 tons of ethylene and an annual maximum of 451,000 tons, as well as an annual minimum of 262,200 tons of propylene and an annual maximum of 439,500 tons, in each case subject to daily and monthly limits. In 2003, we purchased 388,000 tons of ethylene and all of our requirements of propylene (approximately 390,000 tons) from Copesul for our polyolefins operations in the Southern Complex.

Table of Contents

We negotiate the prices for the feedstocks for our polyolefins products with Copesul, based upon a pricing formula developed by the Brazilian petrochemical industry. The pricing formula provides for full cost margin sharing between the first generation and second petrochemical producers located at the respective petrochemical complexes. For a description of the pricing of ethylene that our Polyolefins Unit purchases from our Basic Petrochemicals Unit, see

Basic Petrochemicals Unit Sales and Marketing of Our Basic Petrochemicals Unit. The prices Copesul charges for ethylene that it supplies to our Polyolefins Unit are calculated based on a similar formula.

The following table sets forth the average prices per ton in *reais* paid by our company in 2003 and 2002 and paid by OPP Química and Polialden in 2001 for ethylene and propylene:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
	(R\$ per ton)		
Ethylene supplied by our Basic Petrochemicals Unit	R\$1,786	R\$1,360	R\$1,213
Ethylene supplied by Copesul	1,769	1,313	1,233
Propylene supplied by Copesul	1,608	1,111	931

We also use butene and hexene as raw materials in the production of LLDPE. Butene is supplied by Copesul and by our Basic Petrochemicals Unit, and we import hexene from suppliers located in South Africa.

Other Materials

In addition to overhead costs such as labor and maintenance, our other costs associated with the production of polyethylene and polypropylene include our purchase of chemical catalysts, solvents and utilities, such as electric power, water, steam and nitrogen.

Our Unipol® Plant in the Northeastern Complex uses catalysts supplied to us by Union Carbide under a 15-year license. Our HDPE slurry plant produces its own catalysts. Akzo Chemicals Inc. supplies us with catalysts for polypropylene production on our bulk production lines in the Southern Complex. We also import some catalysts from the United States and Europe. In addition, we have invested in the production of our own catalysts for use in most of our existing production processes, as we believe that increasing our production of catalysts will decrease our production costs and reduce our dependency on imported catalysts. We purchase other catalytic agents, together with the inputs that we need to produce our own catalysts for one of our plants in the Northeastern Complex (to produce high density and ultra high molecular weight polyethylene), from various suppliers at market prices.

Our Basic Petrochemicals Unit supplies our Polyolefins Unit's facilities in the Northeastern Complex with steam and water. In addition, we purchase electric power at both complexes from third parties pursuant to long-term power purchase agreements and, in the Northeastern Complex, from our Basic Petrochemicals Unit. Our polyolefins plants in the Northeastern Complex are able to purchase electric power from alternate sources if our Basic Petrochemicals Unit is unable to meet our total demand for electric power. In general, we believe that there are sufficient alternative sources available at reasonable prices for each of these other inputs used in our polyolefins production process such that the loss of any single supplier would not have a material adverse effect on our operations.

Technology of Our Polyolefins Unit

Rights to Use Technology

We have entered into several non-exclusive agreements with a number of leading petrochemical companies to use certain technology and catalysts for our Polyolefins Unit.

We entered into an agreement with Union Carbide in 1988, effective in 1992, pursuant to which we were granted the right to use Unipol® catalyst technology. We made a lump sum payment to Union Carbide at the time of execution of this license agreement, in lieu of additional royalty payments. Our license

Table of Contents

agreement is now with Univation Technologies, a joint venture of Union Carbide and Exxon Mobil to which Union Carbide transferred all of its rights to Unipol® catalyst technology.

We entered into agreements with Basell, the largest polypropylene manufacturer in the world and a leader in polypropylene technology, in 1987 (effective in 1991) to use Spheripol® technology at our Southern Complex polypropylene plant. Under these agreements, we may use this technology for our current and future plants. We have fully paid all royalties due under the terms of these license agreements.

We entered into agreements with Basell in 1995, effective in 1999, to use Spherilene® technology. We pay royalties on a quarterly basis under these license agreements based on the amounts of polyethylene that we produce using this technology at our swing HDPE/LLDPE plant located at the Southern Complex.

We entered into an agreement with Univation Technologies in 2003, under which we were granted the right to use metallocene technology and related catalysts. We pay quarterly royalties based on amounts of LLDPE and very low density polyethylene that we produce using metallocene technology and catalysts at our Unipol® polyethylene plant located at the Northeastern Complex.

If any of these licenses were terminated, we believe that we would be able to replace the relevant technology with comparable or better technology from other sources.

Research and Development

We maintain technology, innovation and application facilities at the Southern Complex. Our Polyolefins Unit's research and development staff of approximately 150 employees seeks to:

- optimize our products' processability;

- identify new product market opportunities;

- increase existing capacity;

- reduce operating costs; and

- upgrade and optimize product technology in order to develop new products in response to our customers' requirements.

Our Polyolefins Unit maintains six pilot plants located in the Southern Complex and the Northeastern Complex that use Spheripol®, Spherilene® and Unipol® technology. Two of our Polyolefins Unit pilot plants operate at 1/150 of the scale of our full-scale plants, and one pilot plant operates at 1/400 of the scale of our full-scale plants. Our Polyolefins Unit uses these pilot plants to test potential efficiency-enhancing modifications at various stages of our production processes, as well as new product formulations. We believe that these pilot plants give us a competitive advantage over our competitors in Latin America, which do not maintain similar pilot plants.

Our Polyolefins Unit maintains catalysis, polymerization and polymer sciences laboratories in the Southern Complex and the Northeastern Complex. These laboratories enable us to identify new and to improve existing (licensed or otherwise) catalysts and grades of portfolio resins. We have developed or improved upon a majority of the polyethylene and polypropylene grades that we sell based on technology that we have created or improved.

Our Polyolefins Unit maintains process engineering and automation centers in the Southern Complex and the Northeastern Complex. These centers assist us in developing advanced process control technology, reducing our variable costs, achieving operational stability and increasing our production of polyolefins.

While our Polyolefins Unit does not engage in new process technology research and development, it is in regular contact with international process technology licensors to acquire new technologies and improvements. We

Table of Contents

test new processes on a regular basis, and we follow advances and trends in the petrochemical industry through our relationships with Brazilian and international research universities and consortia. In addition, we maintain ongoing contracts with licensors in order to receive and install improvements developed for our existing processes.

Sales and Marketing of Our Polyolefins Unit

We sell our polyethylene and polypropylene products to approximately 1,000 customers, and sales by our Polyolefins Unit accounted for 33.9% of our net sales revenue of all segments in 2003. We have a diversified product mix that allows us to serve a broad range of end users in several industries. Our customers generally are third generation petrochemical producers that manufacture a wide variety of plastic-based consumer and industrial goods.

Net sales revenue to our 10 largest customers accounted for 33.9% of our Polyolefins Unit's total net sales revenue during the year ended December 31, 2003. No customer accounted for more than 6.0% of our total net sales revenue during 2003, 2002 or 2001.

Domestic Sales

We are focused on developing longer-term relationships with our customers. Given the cyclical nature of the markets for our petrochemical products, we believe that we can strengthen customer loyalty during periods of reduced demand for polyethylene or polypropylene by providing a reliable source of supply to these customers during periods of high demand. We work closely with our customers to determine their needs, to provide technical assistance and to coordinate the production and delivery of our products. Customers submit annual proposals giving their estimated monthly requirements for the upcoming year for each of our polyolefins products, including technical specifications, delivery terms and proposed payment conditions. We evaluate these proposals on a monthly basis to make any required adjustments and to monitor and attempt to ensure adequate supply for each customer.

In addition to direct sales to our customers, our Polyolefins Unit sells our products in Brazil through nine exclusive independent distributors. These distributors sell our polyethylene and polypropylene products to manufacturers with lower production requirements and are able to aggregate multiple orders for production and delivery to customers that would otherwise be uneconomical for us to service. Furthermore, by serving smaller customers through a network of distributors, account managers in our Polyolefins Unit focus their efforts on delivering high quality service to a smaller number of large, direct customers. We have selected our distributors based on their ability to provide full service to their customers, including the ability to prepare our products on a customized basis. Our Polyolefins Unit intends to continue to establish relationships with additional distributors that can further consolidate sales to smaller customers and increase our polyolefins sales.

Export Sales

Our volume of export sales has generally varied based upon the level of domestic demand for our products. Export sales represented 36.4% of our Polyolefins Unit's net sales revenue in 2003, 29.5% in 2002 and 19.9% in 2001. Our principal export market for polyolefins is other countries in South America, particularly the Mercosul countries, and we intend to increase our export sales in the Mercosul countries as well as in Chile. We have established a strategic position in the Southern Cone countries through regular sales to local distributors and agents who understand their respective markets. Our strategy to increase our presence in the Southern Cone is intended, among other things, to reduce our exposure to the cyclicity of the international spot market for polyolefins through the development of long-term relationships with customers in neighboring countries.

The following table sets out export sales and export volumes of polyolefins by our company in 2003 and 2002 and the sum of the export sales and export volumes of Polialden and OPP Química in 2001.

Table of Contents

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Net export sales revenue (in millions of <i>reais</i>)	1,233.7	732.2	386.9
As % of total net sales revenue of polyolefins.	36.4	29.5	19.9
Export volumes (thousands of tons)	288.1	184.6	174.2
As % of total sales volumes of polyolefins	26.0	17.2	17.3

In January 2002, we acquired the ultra high molecular weight polyethylene, or UHMWP, business of Basell Polyolefins Company N.V. in the United States and in Brazil, and as a result became the world's second largest producer of UHMWP, a high technical performance engineering plastic. Our exports of UHMWP increased by 22.1% in 2003, from 14,344 tons in 2002 to 17,514 tons in 2003, giving us an important strategic position for this product in the United States and in Europe. During 2002, our exports of UHMWP increased by 184.4% to 14,344 tons in 2002 from 5,044 tons in 2001.

The main focus of our Polyolefins Unit is to maintain our leading position in the Brazilian market while continuing to export in order to manage the relationship between our production capacity and domestic demand for our products. Currently, we target an annual average production that is approximately 20% in excess of anticipated Brazilian market demand in order to meet variations in local demand and to respond to production fluctuations, seasonality and export product sales. As a result, we believe that our continued presence in export markets is essential to help manage any overcapacity in the Brazilian market.

Prices and Sales Terms

We determine the prices for our polyethylene and polypropylene products with reference to several market factors that include international market prices and prevailing prices in Brazil. Our customers in Brazil may pay in full on delivery or elect credit terms that require payment in full within 14 to 63 days following delivery. We charge interest based on prevailing market rates to our Brazilian customers that elect longer payment options.

We generally conduct our export sales to buyers in countries outside the Southern Cone through the international spot market. Our customer base in these markets consists primarily of trading houses, most of which have operations in the United States or in Hong Kong. Pricing is based on international spot market prices. We make all sales in these markets with letters of credit. Export prices for polyolefins sales in the Southern Cone countries are based on regional prices and sales are generally made either with letters of credit or through direct bank collections.

Competition

We compete with a small number of Brazilian polyolefins producers and, to a lesser extent, with importers of these products. In the Brazilian polyethylene market, we compete with a number of companies that produce one or two of the products in our production line. LDPE is produced in Brazil by Polietilenos União S.A. with an annual production capacity in 2003 of 130,000 tons, Dow Chemical S.A. with 144,000 tons, Petroquímica Triunfo S.A. with 160,000 tons and Politeno with 145,000 tons, compared to our annual production capacity of 210,000 tons. Politeno, in which we own 33.9% of the total share capital (including 35.0% of the voting share capital), produces the same range of polyolefins products that we produce.

Politeno and Ipiranga are the only other Brazilian producers of LLDPE. In 2003, Politeno had an annual production capacity of 195,000 tons and Ipiranga had 150,000 tons, compared to our annual production capacity of 500,000 tons. Politeno, Ipiranga and our company produce LLDPE at swing plants that are also capable of producing HDPE. Rio

Polímeros, a Brazilian petrochemical company, is constructing a petrochemical plant in Duque de Caxias, Rio de Janeiro, with an annual production capacity of 540,000 tons of LLDPE and HDPE, which is expected to increase annual aggregate Brazilian production capacity of polyethylene by approximately 35%.

Table of Contents

Ipiranga, Politeno, and Solvay also produce HDPE. In 2003, Ipiranga had annual production capacity of 500,000 tons, Politeno had 195,000 tons and Solvay had 82,000 tons, compared to our annual production capacity of 600,000 tons. A portion of this production capacity includes swing plants that can also produce LLDPE.

In the Brazilian polypropylene market, we compete with Ipiranga and Polibrasil, which is controlled by Basell Polyolefins Company N.V. and Companhia Suzano de Papel e Celulose. In 2003, Ipiranga had annual production capacity of 150,000 tons and Polibrasil had 625,000 tons, compared to our annual production capacity of 550,000 tons. Polibrasil's annual production capacity includes a 300,000-ton polypropylene plant in Mauá, in the State of São Paulo, which commenced operation in 2003. Rio Polímeros has announced that its plant in Duque de Caxias, Rio de Janeiro will have an annual production capacity of 75,000 tons of propylene.

We do not have any domestic competitors in the Brazilian ultra high molecular weight polyethylene market. Internationally, our primary competitor in this market is Celanese AG, a German chemical company that produces Tacona and has approximately 52% of the worldwide production capacity of ultra high molecular weight polyethylene, approximately twice our production capacity.

Traditionally, we have not faced substantial competition from imports of polyethylene and polypropylene due to tariff rates, transportation costs for imported products and other factors relating primarily to the logistics involved in importing these products. In 2003, imports of polypropylene into Brazil represented 15.8% (16.3% in 2002) of Brazil's total consumption of polyethylene, and imports of polypropylene into Brazil represented 8.9% (8.9% in 2002) of Brazil's total consumption of polypropylene. We expect competition from international producers to increase substantially, both in Brazil and in selected foreign markets in which we intend to attempt to increase our sales of polyolefins products. For example, since 2001, Dow Chemical has operated a LLDPE facility in Bahía Blanca, Argentina, with an annual production capacity of 700,000 tons. However, in light of the apparent recent stabilization of the Argentine economy, we expect that Dow Chemical will increase its Argentine sales volumes of polyethylene and decrease its exports to Brazil.

Vinyls Unit

We are the leading producer of PVC, based on sales volumes in 2003. At December 31, 2003, our PVC production facilities had the largest average annual production capacity of all second generation producers of PVC in Latin America. Our Vinyls Unit accounted for R\$1,371.8 million, or 13.7%, of our net sales revenue of all segments in 2003. Our Vinyls Unit is comprised of the operations historically conducted by Trikem prior to its merger with our company. Historical information with respect to our Vinyls Unit for 2001 that is set forth in this section is based on the annual results of Trikem, and may not be indicative of the results that our Vinyls Unit will achieve in the future.

Our Vinyls Unit is the only vertically integrated producer of PVC in Brazil. Our PVC production is integrated through our production of chlorine and other raw materials. Our Vinyls Unit also manufactures caustic soda, which is used by producers of aluminum and paper; EDC; and chlorine, which is used internally to manufacture EDC. In 2003, 66.6% of our Vinyls Unit's net sales revenue was derived from the sale of PVC products, 21.2% was derived from the sale of caustic soda, 7.9% from the sale of EDC and the remainder from the sale of other products.

In 2003, we had an approximate 57% share of the Brazilian PVC market based on sales volumes. PVC is a versatile polymer, and global production volume of PVC is the second highest among all commercial plastics. We manufacture a broad range of PVC resins used in the manufacture of pipes, fittings and wire and cable coverings for use in the construction industry. PVC is also used in many household and other products, including plastic films and laminated sheets, packaging materials, synthetic leather, window frames and bottles. We produce EDC for use in PVC production and caustic soda, which is used by producers of aluminum and of pulp and paper. We also produce chlorine, which we use to manufacture EDC. Our vinyls products are manufactured in facilities located in the

Brazilian states of Bahia, Alagoas and São Paulo.

Table of Contents**Products of Our Vinyls Unit**

The following table sets forth a breakdown of the sales volume and net sales revenue of our Vinyls Unit for 2003 and 2002 and the net sales of Trikem for 2001, by product and by market:

	Years Ended December 31,								
	2003			2002			2001		
	Quantities		Net Sales Revenue	Quantities		Net Sales Revenue	Quantities		Net Sales Revenue
	Sold			Sold			Sold		
(thou-		(thou-		(thou-					
sands of	(millions	sands of	(millions	sands of	(millions	of	(millions	(%)	
tons)	of reais)	tons)	of reais)	tons)	of reais)	tons)	of reais)	(%)	
Domestic sales:									
PVC suspension	323.6	R\$ 756.5	55.1%	332.5	R\$ 663.3	59.3%	309.3	R\$502.1	53.2%
PVC emulsion	18.8	61.7	4.5	17.6	49.0	4.4	18.3	43.1	4.6
Caustic soda	426.6	290.4	21.2	400.9	227.3	20.3	358.7	298.3	31.6
Other (1)	126.0	59.5	4.3	122.4	47.2	4.2	137.1	32.5	2.8
Total domestic sales.	895.0	1,168.1	85.2	873.4	986.8	88.2	823.4	876.0	92.2
Total exports	215.6	203.7	14.8	168.9	131.0	11.8	145.5	73.8	7.8
Total vinyl net sales	1,110.6	R\$1,371.8	100%	1042.3	R\$1,117.8	100%	968.9	R\$949.8	100%
% of the total net sales revenue of all segments			13.7%			15.1%			15.5%

(1) Includes chlorine, hydrogen and sodium hypochlorite.
PVC

We produce suspension and dispersion PVC in various grades, as well as PVC copolymers and emulsion PVC. PVC is sold in bags and transported to third generation producers by truck. Approximately 94% of our PVC production is in the form of suspension PVC. The grades of PVC produced by the suspension production process are the most widely used, including for use in the manufacture of pipes, sheeting, flooring, cable insulation, electrical conduit, packaging, laminated products and medical applications. The grades of PVC produced by the dispersion and emulsion processes are more specialized products and are used in the manufacture of toys, synthetic leather, flooring materials, bottle caps and seals, automobile corrosion prevention treatments and wallpaper coatings. Our Vinyls Unit also produces EDC, the principal feedstock used in the production of PVC. We used approximately 65% of our EDC production in 2003 for further processing into PVC and exported the remainder.

Caustic Soda and Chlorine

Our Vinyls Unit also produces caustic soda and chlorine. Caustic soda is a basic commodity chemical that is sold to producers of aluminum, pulp and paper, petrochemicals and other chemicals, soaps and detergents and to waste treatment plants. Caustic soda is also used in the textile industry to make fabrics more absorbent and to improve the strength of dyes, as well as in food processing and electroplating. We consume approximately 5% of the caustic soda produced by our Vinyls Unit, and sell the remainder to third parties.

Chlorine is a basic chemical commodity that is used in a large variety of industries, including applications in water treatment and chemical and pharmaceutical production. We consume approximately 85% of our chlorine production in our production of EDC. We sell most of our remaining chlorine to a Brazilian company located near the Northeastern Complex that is connected to one of our plants via a specialized pipeline.

Production Facilities of Our Vinyls Unit

We own five vinyls production facilities. Two of our facilities are located in the Northeastern Complex, and two others are located in the State of Alagoas. Our fifth facility is located near the City of São Paulo.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the name and location, primary products, annual production capacity at December 31, 2003, and annual production for the years presented for each of our vinyls plants.

Location (Complex)	Main Products	Annual Production Capacity	Production Year Ended December 31,		
			2003	2002	2001
		(in tons)		(in tons)	
Camaçari (Northeastern)	PVC	246,000	181,780	200,056	173,921
Camaçari (Northeastern)	Caustic Soda	73,000	72,458	68,964	70,420
	Chlorine	64,000	63,857	61,206	62,497
Maceió (Alagoas)	Caustic Soda	460,000	386,967	370,629	331,198
	Chlorine	400,000	360,677	342,747	314,091
	EDC	520,000	475,024	443,955	393,803
Marechal Deodoro (Alagoas)	PVC	204,000	193,150	180,870	166,882
Vila Prudente (São Paulo)	PVC	25,000	21,897	20,654	20,932

Raw Materials of Our Vinyls Unit*Ethylene*

The most significant direct cost associated with the production of PVC and EDC is the cost of ethylene, which accounted for 61.3% of our variable cost of PVC sales in 2003, as compared to 59.5% in 2002 and 60.4% in 2001, and 75.4% of EDC sales in 2003, as compared to 77.1% in 2002 and 80.0% in 2001. Our Basic Petrochemical Unit supplies all of the ethylene required by our Vinyls Unit. Ethylene is delivered to our Alagoas plant via a 477 kilometer pipeline that we own, and our São Paulo plant receives vinylchloride monomer (raw material used in manufacturing PVC) by ship from our plant in the Northeastern Complex. Because the cost of storing and transporting ethylene and propylene is substantial and there is inadequate infrastructure in Brazil to permit the importation of large quantities of ethylene or propylene, our Vinyls Unit is highly dependent on ethylene that is supplied by our Basic Petrochemicals Unit. For a description of the pricing of ethylene purchased by our Vinyls Unit from our Basic Petrochemicals Unit, see Basic Petrochemicals Unit Sales and Marketing of Our Basic Petrochemicals Unit.

Electric Power

Electric power is a significant cost component in our production of chlorine and caustic soda. In 2003, electric power accounted for 49.6% of our Vinyls Unit's cost of caustic soda sales, as compared to 52.6% in 2002 and 46.7% in 2001, and 22.4% of our Vinyls Unit's cost of sales, as compared to 18.8% in 2002 and 15.7% in 2001.

Our Vinyls Unit obtains its electric power requirements from various generators under long-term power purchase agreements. Our caustic soda plants at Camaçari and Alagoas and our PVC plant at Camaçari purchase their electric power requirements from CHESF. Companhia Energética de Alagoas S.A. distributes electric power to our PVC plant in Alagoas. Our São Paulo plant obtains its electric power from Eletropaulo Metropolitana-Eletricidade de São Paulo S.A. The power purchase agreements are renewable contracts with automatic rolling three-year extensions. The agreements provide us with the option to purchase our total electric power requirements based on an annual estimate. The price terms of the contracts are based upon tariffs regulated by the Brazilian National Electrical Energy Agency (*Agência Nacional de Energia Elétrica*).

Salt

We used approximately 700,000 tons of salt during 2003 in our production of chlorine and caustic soda. Salt accounted for 3.4% of our variable costs of caustic soda sales in 2003, compared to 3.4% in 2002 and 3.7% in 2001, and 1.1% of our Vinyls Unit's total cost of sales, compared to 1.1% in 2002 and 1.5% in 2001. We have exclusive salt exploration rights at a salt mine located near our Alagoas plant. We estimate that the salt reserves of this mine

Table of Contents

are sufficient to allow us to produce chlorine, with which we produce EDC, at expected rates of production for approximately 40 to 50 years. During 2003, we drilled three new salt extraction wells at this mine, helping to ensure continued sufficient salt supplies. We enjoy significant cost advantages when compared to certain of our competitors due to low extraction costs of rock salt (particularly as compared to sea salt), low transportation costs due to the proximity of the salt mine to our production facility and the higher purity of rock salt as compared to sea salt.

Other Utilities

All of our Vinyls Unit's facilities in the Northeastern Complex are supplied with other required basic utilities, including steam, purified and demineralized water, compressed air and nitrogen, by our Basic Petrochemicals Unit. Most basic utilities are supplied to our Alagoas PVC plant by Companhia Alagoas Industrial, which is owned by the companies operating in the Alagoas complex, including our company. We supply certain other basic utilities to our Alagoas PVC plant. Our chlorine and caustic soda plants in Alagoas supply their own utilities requirements. Our São Paulo plant supplies its own utility requirements.

Technology of Our Vinyls Unit

We have entered into several non-exclusive agreements with a number of leading petrochemical companies to use technology for our Vinyls Unit. We have been granted the right to use vinylchloride monomer manufacturing technology from Oxyvinyls Company and PVC technology from Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation. We also have chlorine manufacturing technology agreements with Denora (used in Bahia), Eltech (used in Alagoas) and EVC (used to produce ethylene dichloride in Alagoas). In addition, we own 11 patents and six trademarks in Brazil related to our PVC business.

We do not pay any continuing royalties under any of these license agreements, but we paid an initial fee under these agreements. If any of these licenses were terminated, we believe that we would be able to replace the relevant technology with comparable or better technology from other sources.

Our plant in the Northeastern Complex uses mercury cell technology to produce chlorine, which technology can no longer be used in new petrochemical production facilities under recent Brazilian legislation due in part to environmental concerns regarding mercury emissions resulting from this manufacturing process. The Brazilian government may require us to shift to newer diaphragm technology, which we use in our Alagoas plant, or membrane technology. We have not shifted to these newer technologies yet, in part because the return from the capital expenditures associated with this shift would not be as high as those from other potential investments that we may undertake.

Pilot Plant and Braskem Center for Technology and Innovation

Our Vinyls Unit maintains a pilot plant for PVC research and development in the State of Bahia and the Braskem Center for Technology and Innovation in the State of São Paulo. This center currently employs six product engineers, four chemical engineers and seven technicians specialized in plastics. At this center, we produce new PVC resins, develop and improve PVC production technology, render support services to our customers, develop applications for PVC and train our customers' personnel. We developed several of the PVC resins that we sell to our clients at this center and in our pilot plant. At this center, we also develop new applications for PVC in Brazil, including vertical blinds, coatings for industrial PVC pipes and resins used in automotive parts and in the manufacture of doors, windows and other building components.

Sales and Marketing of Our Vinyls Unit

Net sales to our 10 largest Vinyls Unit customers accounted for approximately 48% of our Vinyls Unit's total net sales revenue during 2003. No customer accounted for more than 12% of the total net sales revenue of our Vinyls Unit during 2003, 2002 or 2001. One customer accounted for 73.0% of our total external EDC sales in 2003, compared to 89.6% in 2002 and 89.2% in 2001, and our largest caustic soda customer accounted for 13.4% of total caustic soda sales in 2003, compared to 5.2% in 2002 and 6.1% in 2001.

Table of Contents

There is a structural link between the PVC and caustic soda markets that exists because caustic soda is a by-product of the production of chlorine required to produce PVC. When demand for PVC is high, then greater amounts of caustic soda are produced, leading to an increase in supply and generally lower prices for caustic soda. Conversely, when demand for PVC is low, prices for caustic soda tend to rise.

Domestic Sales

In 2003, our Vinyls Unit had domestic net sales revenue of R\$1,168.1 million, which accounted for 85.2% of our Vinyls Unit net sales revenue. In 2003, 70.0% of domestic net sales revenue was attributable to sales of PVC, 24.9% was attributable to sales of caustic soda and 5.1% was attributable to sales of other products.

We make most of our domestic sales of PVC and caustic soda directly to customers without the use of third party distributors. However, our Vinyls Unit maintains contractual relationships with three distribution centers located in Paulínea and Itapevi, both in the State of São Paulo, and Joinville in the State of Santa Catarina that provide logistical support. In addition, we operate three warehouse facilities for PVC and six terminal tank facilities for caustic soda strategically located along the Brazilian coast to enable us to deliver our products to our customers on a just-in-time basis. Our Vinyls Unit develops its business through close collaboration with its customers, working together to improve existing products as well as to develop new applications for PVC. Our marketing and technical assistance groups also advise customers and potential customers that are considering the installation of manufacturing equipment for PVC end products.

Export Sales

In 2003, our Vinyls Unit had export net sales revenue of R\$203.7 million, which accounted for 14.8% of our Vinyls Unit's total net sales revenue. We do not export chlorine and did not export caustic soda in 2003. Our export sales of PVC and EDC vary from year to year, influenced principally by domestic market demand.

In addition, we have an ongoing obligation to export PVC and EDC under a supply agreement with Nissho Iwai, which exports accounted for 64.9% of our total export sales PVC and EDC during 2003, compared to 78.5% during 2002 and 79.6% during 2001. Under this supply agreement, we have agreed to supply, and Nissho Iwai has agreed to purchase, minimum annual volumes of PVC, which increase from 21,000 to 24,000 tons during this agreement's term, and minimum annual volumes of EDC, which decline from 160,000 to 100,000 tons. The export receivables generated under this supply agreement are collateral for an export prepayment facility that we have entered into. Any PVC, EDC or caustic soda that is made available by our Vinyls Unit for export in excess of these contractual minimums, up to certain maximum amounts, must first be offered to Nissho Iwai. Our supply agreement with Nissho Iwai expired on May 1, 2004, but has been (and will continue to be) automatically extended for additional one-year periods unless either party gives notice to the other that it does not wish to extend this agreement.

We use a variety of methods to distribute our exports, depending generally on the total size of the export market, including direct sales, independent distributors, negotiations conducted through trading companies and sales on the spot market.

We exported 13.9% of our PVC sales volume in 2003. Our export sales of PVC are focused principally on the Mercosul and Southeast Asian markets and to a lesser extent on the United States and Europe.

Prices and Sales Terms

We determine the domestic prices for our PVC resins with reference principally to the prices paid by third generation producers in Brazil for imports of PVC plus additional service charges. Our export price for PVC is

generally equal to the international market price but also takes transportation costs into account. In addition to price, delivery, quality and technical service also affect the levels of sales of PVC resins. We establish our domestic price for caustic soda based on international market prices and domestic market prices charged by our three domestic competitors, taking into account import duties and freight costs. Approximately 65% of our caustic soda sales are effected pursuant to agreements that are generally for one- to three-year terms and may include floor and ceiling

Table of Contents

prices. As with PVC, our export prices for caustic soda and EDC are generally determined according to international market prices but also take import duties and freight costs into account.

Prices that we charge for our vinyls products in the Brazilian market are traditionally higher than the prices that we obtain for our exports of these products. The difference in prices between the Brazilian and export markets results generally from:

transportation costs;

tariffs, duties and other trade barriers;

a pricing premium reflecting the tighter demand/supply relationship in Brazil; and

our reliability of supply, coupled with the technical support that we provide.

Our customers in Brazil may pay in full on delivery or elect credit terms that require payment in full within seven to 90 days following delivery. We charge interest based on prevailing market rates to our Brazilian customers that elect longer payment options. Sales terms for exports generally require payment between 90 and 120 days following delivery. We usually require irrevocable letters of credit for export sales made on the spot market.

Competition

PVC

We and Solvay are the only two producers of PVC in Brazil. Solvay's total Brazilian installed annual production capacity is 236,000 tons, compared to our annual production capacity of 475,000 tons. Solvay's two production facilities are located in São Paulo and, therefore, are closer than our facilities to the primary PVC market in Brazil. However, we believe that our vertical production capabilities, our modern PVC suspension plants, our strong customer service and our technical assistance programs enable us to compete effectively with Solvay.

We also compete with importers of PVC. Approximately 13% of Brazil's total PVC consumption in 2003 was imported, compared to approximately 20% in 2002 and approximately 21% in 2001. Domestically produced PVC is currently competitively priced with imported PVC after taking into account transportation costs and import duties. Solvay, which has an additional plant in Argentina, is also our principal competitor in the PVC market both in Brazil and elsewhere in South America.

We also compete with other producers of thermoplastics that manufacture the same line of vinyls products or products that compete with our vinyls product line. Thermoplastics principally consist of polyethylene and polypropylene and are used in certain applications as substitutes for PVC. Wood, glass and metals also are used in some cases as substitutes for PVC.

Other Products

The four largest Brazilian producers of caustic soda account for approximately 92% of Brazilian production. Our company and Dow Chemical operate in this market throughout Brazil, while the other domestic producers of caustic soda generally operate on a local or regional basis. In 2003, approximately 34% of Brazil's total caustic soda consumption was imported, compared to approximately 30% in 2002 and approximately 25% in 2001. We do not believe that imports of caustic soda will increase substantially because of the high cost of transporting caustic soda, which is usually sold in suspension form. In the caustic soda market, we compete mainly on the basis of price and timeliness of delivery.

Our principal competitors in the caustic soda market elsewhere in South America are Dow Chemical, Solvay and producers located on the U.S. Gulf Coast.

Table of Contents**Business Development Unit**

Our Business Development Unit accounted for R\$455.3 million, or 4.6%, of the net sales revenue of all segments in 2003. Our Business Development Unit is comprised principally of the operations historically conducted by Propet and Nitrocarbano prior to their mergers with us.

Our Business Development Unit produces PET resin, DMT, caprolactam, cyclohexane, cyclohexanone and ammonium sulfate. Our Business Development Unit also manages certain of our minority equity investments, principally our investments in Petroflex and Cetrel, and manages certain of our ventures in the energy and environmental areas. In 2003, 37.8% of our Business Development Unit's net sales revenue was derived from the sale of PET products and 45.9% was derived from the sale of caprolactam.

In 2003, we estimate that we had an approximate 13% share of the Brazilian PET market, based on sales volumes. PET is used in manufacturing packaging for soft drinks, medications, cleaning products, mineral water and food products, and caprolactam is used in manufacturing Nylon-6 textile thread. We also produce DMT for use in PET production, ammonium sulfate for use as a fertilizer, and cyclohexane and cyclohexanone, both for use in paint solvents, pesticides, natural resins, oils and rubber. Our Business Development Unit conducts its manufacturing operations in two plants located in the Northeastern Complex.

Products of Our Business Development Unit

The following table sets forth a breakdown of the sales volume and net sales revenue of our Business Development Unit by product and by market for the years indicated.

	Year Ended December 31,								
	2003			2002			2001		
	Quantities			Quantities			Quantities		
	sold	Net Sales Revenue		sold	Net Sales Revenue		Sold	Net Sales Revenue	
(thousands of tons)	(millions of reais)	(%)	(thousands of tons)	(millions of reais)	(%)	(thousands of tons)	(millions of reais)	(%)	
Domestic Sales:									
PET	55.1	R\$ 168.3	37.0%	59.8	R\$ 152.5	52.4%	24.1	R\$ 66.2	90.9%
Caprolactam	42.5	180.1	39.6	15.1	56.0	19.3			
Ammonium sulfate	96.9	29.7	6.5	41.0	8.7	3.0			
Others	15.0	42.9	9.4	16.8	53.5	18.4	4.7	6.6	9.0
Total domestic sales	209.5	R\$ 421.0	92.5	132.7	270.7	93.1	28.8	72.7	100
Total exports	9.1	34.3	7.5	5.2	20.1	6.9	0.0	0.0	0.0

	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Total net sales	218.6	R\$455.3	100%	137.9	R\$290.8	100%	28.8	R\$72.7	100%
	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
% of total net sales revenue of all segments			4.6%			3.9%			1.3%

Caprolactam is a raw material (monomer) that forms the basis for the production of Nylon-6 fibers, engineering resins and film, and is a structural material in the motor and electronics industry. PET is one of the most widely used polymers in industry today and is used to make most plastic bottles, containers and textile fibers.

Production Facilities of Our Business Development Unit

Our Business Development Unit operates two plants at the Northeastern Complex. At December 31, 2003, our Business Development Unit plants had a total annual production capacity of 70,000 tons of PET and 62,000 tons of caprolactam.

The table below sets forth the location, primary products, annual production capacity at December 31, 2003, and annual production for the years presented for each of our Business Development Unit plants.

Table of Contents

Location (Complex)	Main Products	Annual Production Capacity (in tons)	Production Year Ended December 31,		
			2003	2002	2001
Camaçari (Northeastern)	PET	70,000	56,288	59,031	25,237
	DMT	80,000	63,369	76,899	31,094
Camaçari (Northeastern)	Caprolactam	62,000	48,850	19,699	
	Cyclohexane	72,000	63,712	24,403	
	Cyclohexanone	55,000	47,813	18,637	
	Ammonium sulfate	114,000	97,157	37,723	

Raw Materials of Our Business Development Unit

The most significant direct cost associated with the production of caprolactam is the cost of benzene, which accounted for approximately 39% of our Business Development Unit's variable caprolactam production costs in 2003. All of the benzene that we use in producing caprolactam is supplied by our Basic Petrochemicals Unit.

The most significant direct cost associated with our production of PET is the cost of para-xylene, which accounted for approximately 46% of our Business Development Unit's variable PET production costs in 2003, as compared to 47% in 2002 and 12% in 2001. All of the para-xylene that we use in producing PET is supplied by our Basic Petrochemicals Unit.

Technology of Our Business Development Unit

We have entered into several non-exclusive agreements with a number of leading petrochemical companies to use technology for our Business Development Unit. The technology of our Business Development Unit includes:

hydroxylammonium phosphate oximation, or HPO, technology, licensed by Dutch State Mines, which we use at our caprolactam plant;

Dynamite Nobel technology, which we use at our DMT plant; and

DuPont and UOP Sinco S.r.l. technologies, licensed by Chemtex International Inc., which we use in the production of polyester bottle grade chips from DMT.

We do not pay any continuing royalties under any of these license agreements, but we paid an initial fee under these license agreements. If any of these licenses were terminated, we believe that we would be able to replace the relevant technology with comparable or better technology from other sources.

Sales and Marketing of Business Development Unit

Our Business Development Unit sells its products primarily in northeastern Brazil, mainly to third generation petrochemical producers located in the Northeastern Complex. We determine the prices for the products of our Business Development Unit with reference to several market factors that include the prices paid by third generation producers for imports of these products and prevailing market prices in Brazil.

Our Business Development Unit sells its products to a highly concentrated customer base. Five customers accounted for approximately 70% of our total PET sales during the year ended December 31, 2003. Our Business

Development Unit's caprolactam customer base is even more concentrated, as one customer accounted for approximately 66% of our total caprolactam sales during the year ended December 31, 2003.

Table of Contents

Competition

We are the only manufacturer of caprolactam in the Mercosul market. Imports of caprolactam represented only 29% of domestic consumption in 2003. Although there is a caprolactam plant in Colombia that is focused on the fertilizers market, it does not pose a significant competitive threat to our company's caprolactam sales.

There are two other producers of PET in Brazil: Rhodia-ster S.A., or Rhodia-ster (a subsidiary of Mossi & Ghisolfi Group); and Vicunha Têxtil S.A., or Vicunha Têxtil. In 2003, Rhodia-ster had annual production capacity of 290,000 tons and Vicunha Têxtil had 10,000 tons, as compared to our annual production capacity of 70,000 tons. We also compete with importers of PET. Approximately 40% of Brazil's total PET consumption in 2003 was imported as compared to approximately 35% in 2002 and 38% in 2001. Although international producers of PET have greater economies of scales than our company, we are able to compete with these producers due to the high transportation costs and import duties applicable to PET imports. Our PET production is aimed principally at the bottled water segment of the PET market, and we believe that our quality products will continue to remain competitive in the Brazilian PET market.

Petroflex

Our Business Development Unit also manages certain of our minority equity investments, including our investment in Petroflex.

At December 31, 2003, we owned 20.1% of the total and voting share capital of Petroflex, a producer of synthetic rubber. We account for our interest in Petroflex in our Brazilian GAAP financial statements using the equity method. Petroflex is the leading producer of synthetic rubber in Latin America and produces approximately 370,000 tons of more than 50 types of elastomers per year. Petroflex operates three plants in Brazil located in Duque de Caxias, Rio de Janeiro; Cabo, Pernambuco; and Triunfo, Rio Grande do Sul. Petroflex sells its products to customers in approximately 60 countries throughout the world. Petroflex purchases butadiene from us from which it produces styrene-butadiene, polybutadiene, liquid hidroxyolated polybutadiene and other elastomers.

Petroflex was formed in 1976 with Petroquisa as its majority shareholder. In 1992, as part of the Brazilian government's efforts to privatize the Brazilian petrochemical industry, Petroquisa auctioned a portion of its equity interest in Petroflex to private investors. At December 31, 2003, we and Suzano Química Ltda. each owned 20.1% of the voting and total share capital of Petroflex, Resitec Indústria Química Ltda. owned 12.9% of Petroflex's voting share capital and Unipar - União de Indústrias Petroquímicas S.A. owned 9.8% of Petroflex's voting share capital.

The main customers of Petroflex are manufacturers of tires, shoes, adhesives and sealants. The major raw materials used in Petroflex's production process are butadiene and styrene. Petroflex purchases part of its raw materials requirements from our company. Due to high naphtha prices in 2003, the price of butadiene increased by 52% in the international market and the price of styrene increased by 32%. However, the recovery of rubber prices in *reais*, due to increases in international prices and the devaluation of the *real* against the U.S. dollar, allowed Petroflex to pass on these increased costs to its customers.

In 2003, Petroflex's net income was R\$60.3 million, compared to net income of R\$30.4 million in 2002 and R\$10.9 million in 2001.

Jointly Controlled Companies

Copesul

At December 31, 2003, we owned 29.5% of the voting and total share capital of Copesul, the cracker based in the Southern Complex, as a result of our merger with OPP Produtos. We account for our interest in Copesul in our Brazilian GAAP financial statements using the proportional consolidation method.

Table of Contents

Copesul is the second largest petrochemical cracker in Brazil based on production capacity, with approximately 39% of Brazilian production capacity of ethylene. It provides petrochemical feedstocks to second generation petrochemical producers located in the Southern Complex, including our Polyolefins Unit's plants located there. Copesul's manufacturing operations in the Southern Complex and the products that it produces are similar to the products of our Basic Petrochemicals Unit.

Copesul's annual ethylene production capacity is 1,135,000 tons, the equivalent of approximately 39% of Brazilian total production capacity for this raw material, and its annual propylene production capacity is 581,000 tons. In 2003, Copesul produced 1,081 thousand tons of ethylene and 569,000 tons of propylene.

Copesul was formed in 1976 with Petroquisa as its majority shareholder and commenced operations in 1982. In May 1992, as part of the Brazilian government's efforts to privatize the Brazilian petrochemical industry, Petroquisa auctioned a portion of its interest in Copesul to private investors. At December 31, 2003, a consortium, including the Odebrecht Group and Ipiranga and its affiliates, owned 58.9% of the total share capital of Copesul. Petroquisa continued to own 15.6% of the total share capital of Copesul.

The main customers of Copesul are the second generation petrochemical producers located in the Southern Complex, including our company. Copesul has long-term supply contracts with its major customers, including our Polyolefins Unit.

In 2003, Copesul's net income on a consolidated basis was R\$149.9 million, compared to a net loss of R\$32.1 million in 2002 and a net loss of R\$12.6 million in 2001, in each case as adjusted to conform to our accounting policies. Copesul's net sales revenue on a consolidated basis was R\$4,177.9 million in 2003, R\$2,932.8 million in 2002 and R\$936.2 million in 2001, in each case as adjusted to conform to our accounting policies.

Politeno

At December 31, 2003, we owned 33.9% of the Politeno's total share capital, including 35.0% of its voting share capital. Politeno is a second generation petrochemical producer operating in the Northeastern Complex. We account for our interest in Politeno in our Brazilian GAAP financial statements using the proportional consolidation method.

Politeno produces polyethylenes, which are widely used in the flexible and rigid packaging industries. Politeno produces low, medium and HDPE, LLDPE, linear medium density polyethylene, ethyl vinyl acetate copolymer and other special resins.

The production facility of Politeno is comprised of two industrial plants, a LDPE facility and a linear polyethylene facility. The LDPE facility produces LDPE and ethyl vinyl acetate copolymer, with an annual production capacity of 145,000 tons. The linear polyethylene facility produces LLDPE and HDPE and has an annual production capacity of 195,000 tons.

The principal raw materials used in Politeno's production processes are ethylene and propylene, which are primarily supplied by our Basic Petrochemicals Unit. Politeno also uses butadiene, benzene, toluene and xylene.

Politeno's principal customers are third generation petrochemical producers.

Table of Contents

In 2003, Politenó's net income was R\$67.2 million, compared to net income of R\$45.6 million in 2002 and R\$47.2 million in 2001. Politenó's net sales revenue was R\$943.9 million in 2003, R\$733.6 million in 2002 and R\$983.9 million in 2001.

Environment

Environmental Regulation

We are subject to Brazilian federal, state and local laws and regulations governing the discharge of effluents and emissions into the environment and the handling and disposal of industrial waste and otherwise relating to the protection of the environment.

Under federal and state environmental laws and regulations, we are required to obtain operating permits for our manufacturing facilities. State authorities in the State of Bahia issued operating permits for our plants in the Northeastern Complex in 2000, which permits must be renewed in 2005 and every five years thereafter. Our environmental operating permit obligates us to engage in systematic measures for the treatment of wastewater and hazardous solid waste. State authorities in the State of Rio Grande do Sul, where our Southern Complex plants are located, regulate our operations by prescribing specific environmental standards in our operating permits, which must be renewed annually. State authorities in the States of Alagoas and São Paulo have issued permits for our plants in those respective complexes, which must be renewed every four years. If any of our environmental licenses and permits lapse or are not renewed or if we fail to obtain any required environmental licenses and permits, we may be subject to fines ranging from R\$500 to R\$10 million, and the Brazilian government may partially or totally suspend our activities and impose civil and criminal sanctions on our company or both. All our environmental licenses and permits are in full force and effect.

All projects for the installation and operation of industrial facilities in the Northeastern Complex are subject to approval by the Council for Environmental Protection of the State of Bahia. The State's Environmental Protection Council's technical office, the Environmental Resources Center, conducts an analysis of each project and enforces the State of Bahia's laws on environmental protection. The State's Research and Development Center and other outside consultants act as technical advisors to the Environmental Resources Center. The State's Environmental Protection Council must approve installed projects prior to their commencement of operations and must renew such approval every five years thereafter. In 2000, the State's Environmental Protection Council issued an authorization to our company for the construction of new pipelines between the Port of Aratú and our plant for the transportation of raw materials. This authorization required us to adopt measures to prevent and detect leaks and spillages.

The Brazilian government enacted an Environmental Crimes Law in 1998 that imposes criminal penalties on corporations and individuals causing environmental damage. Corporations found to be polluting can be fined up to R\$50 million, have their operations suspended, be prohibited from government contracting, be required to repair damage that they cause and lose certain tax benefits and incentives. Executive officers, directors and other individuals may be imprisoned for up to five years for environmental violations.

Cetrel treats wastewater generated by our company and the other petrochemical producers at the Northeastern Complex at a liquid effluents treatment station located in the Northeastern Complex. This treatment station also includes a system for the collection and disposal of wastewater contaminated with inorganic waste. Cetrel also stores and incinerates, treats and disposes of hazardous solid waste. For other kinds of solid waste, Cetrel maintains a landfill. In 1998, Cetrel installed a hazardous solid waste incinerator with an annual incineration capacity of 4,400 tons. Another Brazilian company co-processes hazardous solid waste in a cement kiln located in the City of Pedro Leopoldo, State of Minas Gerais.

In January 1996, Cetrel obtained its BS 7750 environmental certification (British Standard) and in September 1996 became one of the first companies in the world to receive the ISO 14001 certification, an international standard for environmental control. In 1998, Cetrel obtained certification of its laboratory by the ISO Guide 25 standards system from the Brazilian Institute of Metrology and Industrial Quality.

Table of Contents

We believe our operations are in compliance in all material respects with applicable environmental laws and regulations currently in effect. From time to time, environmental studies that we have commissioned have indicated instances of environmental contamination and atmospheric emissions at certain of our plants. In addition, we and certain of our subsidiaries and executive officers of our company and of our subsidiaries have received notices from time to time of environmental violations and are or have been subject to investigations or legal proceedings with respect to certain alleged environmental violations. These environmental issues, and any future environmental issues that may arise, could subject us to fines or other civil or criminal penalties imposed by Brazilian authorities. We are addressing all environmental issues of which we are aware, and we believe that none of these issues will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or operations.

Our consolidated annual expenditures on environmental control were R\$51.7 million during 2003, R\$40.1 million during 2002 and R\$35.5 million during 2001. We contract our jointly controlled company Cetrel, our subsidiary Companhia Alagoas Industrial Cinal and third parties to dispose of our industrial wastewater and solid hazardous waste. These companies treat our industrial waste immediately after this waste is generated and dispose of our solid waste in landfills. Our consolidated environmental expenses relate to our continuous control and monitoring policies, and we do not have any material future environmental liabilities related to our ongoing operations. Accordingly, we have not established a provision (under Brazilian GAAP or U.S. GAAP) for environmental contingencies. However, our environmental compliance costs are likely to increase as a result of the projected increase in our production capacity, as well as due to the cost of compliance with future environmental regulations.

Our environmental compliance in 2003 included the following results:

no significant environmental accidents; and

no fines were levied on any of our plants by state environmental authorities.

In September 2002, we created a Health, Safety and Environment Committee, composed of leaders of each of our business units and other members of our management. This committee supports and monitors our environmental, health and safety efforts. In February 2003, our board of directors approved a comprehensive health, safety and environment policy.

Safety and Quality Control

Safety

We have adopted a policy that makes all of our officers, directors and employees responsible for the safety of our workers and for preserving the environment.

We participate in the Responsible Care program, which establishes international standards for environmental, occupational health and safety practices for chemical manufacturers. Through our participation in this program, we adopted policies and procedures that require us to follow detailed instructions in matters of health, safety and the environment. We seek to maintain these environmental standards and have qualified each of our plants for NBR-ISO 9001 and 14001 certification, which includes internationally prescribed environmental management practices.

We are currently seeking OHSAS 18000 certification for environmental, health and safety compliance for all of our plants.

Our safety record ranks above the average of companies in the Brazilian chemical industry. The following table illustrates our progress in terms of our safety record and compares our safety record to the average for the Brazilian chemical industry:

Table of Contents

Safety Indicator	Year Ended December 31,		
	2003	2002	2002 (1)
	Braskem		Brazilian Chemical Industry Average
Index of Accident Frequency (accidents/200,000 man-hours)	0.6	1.1	3.0
Index of Severity (lost and deducted days/200,000 man-hours)	5.0	17.0	70.1

- (1) Brazilian petrochemical industry average of the members of the Brazilian Association of Chemical Industry and Derivative Products for 2002, as reported by the Brazilian Association of Chemical Industry and Derivative Products.

Our safety record in 2003 included the following results:

a 60% reduction in our rate of accidents causing injuries and requiring a worker to be absent from work;

a 49% reduction in our rate of personal accidents of all types, compared to 2002;

eight of our 13 units had no accidents causing injuries requiring a worker to be absent from work during 2003; and

our total cost resulting from accidents was approximately 52% lower in 2003 than in 2002.

The Northeastern Complex is equipped with a comprehensive firefighting safety system. Water is available from a 200,000 cubic meter artificial lake, connected to the companies in the Northeastern Complex by a pumping station and a distribution network and built according to international safety standards. We and the other companies in the Northeastern Complex maintain emergency equipment and trained safety crews. The safety plan for the Northeastern Complex provides for firefighting brigades of all companies in the complex to jointly assist in the event of any major accidents. The Northeastern Complex has safety standards for construction density and the design of pipelines and highways. Similar systems are employed at the Southern Complex, our plant in the State of Alagoas and our plant in the State of São Paulo (except with respect to safety standards for construction density and design of pipelines and highways, as we do not have such facilities in São Paulo).

Quality Control

Our quality control management uses the following international norms and regulations as its base:

ISO 9001/00, an internationally recognized quality control standard, and ISO 14,001, an internationally recognized environmental control standard;

OHSAS 18,000, a health and safety standard issued by the department of health in the United Kingdom;

standards issued by the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration; and

Responsible Care standards implemented by the American Chemistry Council.

We have instituted systematic improvement processes in our operational areas, focusing on integrating production, maintenance, inventory management, customer satisfaction and profitability.

ISO Certifications

We have obtained ISO 9001 certifications for all of our products. We have also has obtained ISO 14001 certifications for all of our products.

Table of Contents

These certifications take into account both the quality of our products and the quality of our operating procedures. We are currently seeking ISO 14001 certification for 12 of our 14 plants in respect of our environmental management systems.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Our properties consist primarily of petrochemical production facilities in Camaçari in the State of Bahia, in Maceió in the State of Alagoas, in Triunfo in the State of Rio Grande do Sul and in São Paulo in the State of São Paulo. Our principal executive offices are located in São Paulo in the State of São Paulo, and we have an administrative support office in the City of Rio de Janeiro. We also have equity interests in investments located in other parts of the country. We own all our production facilities, but we generally rent our administrative offices.

The following table sets forth our properties and the properties of our principal subsidiary by location of facilities, products produced and size of plant.

Name of Company	Type of Product	Location of Facilities	Size of Plant (in hectares (1))
Braskem	Basic petrochemicals	Camaçari	94.0
Braskem	Polyethylene	Triunfo	5.8
Braskem	Polypropylene	Triunfo	6.7
Polialden	Polyethylene	Camaçari	8.4
Braskem	PVC/caustic soda/chlorine	Camaçari	26.2
Braskem	Caustic soda/EDC/chlorine	Maceió	10.9
Braskem	PVC	Marechal Deodoro	6.0
Braskem	PVC	Vila Prudente/Capuava	2.1
Braskem	PET	Camaçari	3.8
Braskem	Caprolactam	Camaçari	4.8

(1) One hectare equals 10,000 square meters.

The descriptions of each of our business units above contain detailed charts showing the location, primary products, annual production capacity and historical annual production for each of our company's production facilities.

We believe that all of our production facilities are in good operating condition. At December 31, 2003, the consolidated net book value of our property, plant and equipment was R\$5,032.0 million. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, the net book value of our property, plant and equipment was R\$4,509.4 million.

Certain of our properties located in the Northeastern Complex (including our DMT and PET plants and all of the equipment located in these plants) and two of our polyolefins plants in the Southern Complex are mortgaged or pledged to secure certain of our financial transactions.

Insurance

We carry insurance for our plants against material damages and consequent business interruption through all risks policies with a total replacement value of US\$4.2 billion. Our insurance coverage is underwritten in the Brazilian insurance market by large Brazilian insurance companies. Approximately 88% of our insurance coverage is reinsured

in the London insurance market. Our existing all risks policies are in force until November 30, 2004 and are renewed annually.

Table of Contents

The material damages insurance provides insurance coverage for losses due to material damages like fire and machinery breakdown. This coverage has a maximum indemnification limit of US\$1.87 billion (combined material damages and business interruption coverage) and has deductibles of up to US\$5.0 million depending on the plant. The business interruption coverage provides insurance for interruptions resulting from shutdowns due to any material damage covered by the policy. This coverage is calculated to insure against losses up to US\$420.7 million due to shutdowns extending beyond 60 days. The losses are covered until the plant and production are re-established, with maximum indemnity periods ranging from 12 to 24 months.

We also have a third party liability policy, which covers losses for damages caused to third parties from our operations, including sudden environmental pollution, up to a limit of US\$60.0 million per loss or occurrence.

In addition to these policies, we maintain other insurance policies for specific risks, including directors and officers liability coverage, marine and transport insurance, vehicles insurance and other kinds of coverages that are not covered by our all risks policies.

We do not anticipate having any difficulties in renewing any of our insurance policies and believe that our insurance coverage is reasonable in amount and consistent with industry standards applicable to chemical companies operating in Brazil.

Antitrust Matters

Under Brazilian Law No. 8,884/94, any transaction that results in a concentration of market share equal to or greater than 20.0% of any relevant market or that involves any company or group of companies with annual gross sales of R\$400.0 million or more must be submitted to and approved by the Brazilian antitrust authorities, which consist of three entities:

the Administrative Council for Economic Defense (*Conselho Administrativo de Defesa Econômica*), or CADE, an independent agency consisting of a president and six members;

the Economic Law Office of the Ministry of Justice (*Secretaria de Direito Econômico*), or SDE; and

the Economic Monitoring Office of the Ministry of Finance (*Secretaria de Acompanhamento Econômico*), or SEAE.

CADE is the antitrust authority responsible for reviewing and authorizing transactions that may lead to economic concentration. SEAE and SDE analyze the economic and legal implications of mergers and acquisitions under Brazilian antitrust law. As part of the antitrust review process, SDE, SEAE, the attorney general of CADE and the Brazilian federal public prosecutor each render preliminary opinions, which are delivered to the members of CADE. The members of CADE then render a final decision.

As part of our corporate reorganization process that began in 2001, we merged with each of OPP Química, Trikem, Propet and Nitrocarbano and we acquired Polialden as described in History and Development of Our Company. We closed these transactions, as permitted by Brazilian law, subject to the final approval of the Brazilian antitrust authorities. We submitted the acquisition of the petrochemical assets of Banco Econômico and the terms and conditions of our corporate reorganization transactions to the Brazilian antitrust authorities on September 18, 2001. We supplemented our submission to the antitrust authorities after September 2001 in order to update these authorities with respect to, among other transactions, our mergers with OPP Produtos and 52114 Participações, Nitrocarbano and Trikem. These antitrust authorities will determine whether any of the transactions in our corporate reorganization process adversely impact competitive conditions in the Brazilian markets in which we compete or whether they would negatively affect consumers in these markets.

Favorable non-binding opinions recommending the unconditional approval of our acquisition of Nova Camaçari and the subsequent steps in our reorganization, including our mergers with OPP Produtos, 52114 Participações, Nitrocarbono and Trikem, were issued by SEAE in July 2002 and SDE in May 2003. In November 2003, the attorney general of CADE issued an opinion recommending further analysis of the impact of the transactions in the

Table of Contents

polyethylene and polypropylene markets in Mercosul, even though SDE conducted its analysis of the impact of the transactions on these two productions in the narrower Brazilian market and issued a favorable opinion. In February and June 2004, the Brazilian federal prosecutor issued opinions recommending approval of these transactions without restrictions. CADE is continuing to review these transactions and may disagree with those opinions and may impose conditions on our company. Any adverse decision by CADE could result in a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

Item 5. OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated and combined financial statements at December 31, 2003 and 2002 and for the three years ended December 31, 2003 included in this annual report, as well as with the information presented under Item 3: Key Information Selected Financial Data.

The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including those set forth in Cautionary Statement with Respect to Forward Looking Statements, those set forth in Item 3: Key Information Risk Factors and the matters set forth in this annual report generally.

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations has been organized to present the following:

- a brief overview of our company and the principal factors that influence our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity;
- a review of our financial presentation and accounting policies, including our critical accounting policies;
- a discussion of the principal factors that influence our results of operations;
- a discussion of developments since the end of 2003 that may materially affect our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity;
- a discussion of our results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001;
- a discussion of our liquidity and capital resources, including our working capital at December 31, 2003 and 2002, our cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, and our material short-term and long-term indebtedness;
- a discussion of our off-balance sheet arrangements;
- a discussion of our capital expenditures and our contractual commitments;
- a qualitative and quantitative discussion of market risks that we face; and
- a brief overview of the differences between Brazilian GAAP and U.S. GAAP as they relate to our financial statements.

Overview

We are the leading petrochemical company in Latin America and one of the five largest Brazilian-owned private sector industrial companies based on net sales revenue. We recorded net income of R\$215.1 million in 2003 on net sales revenue of R\$10,135.8 million. We produce a diversified portfolio of petrochemical products and have a strategic focus on polyethylene, polypropylene and PVC. We are the only Brazilian company with integrated first and second generation petrochemical production facilities, with 13 plants in Brazil.

Table of Contents

Our results of operations have been influenced and will continue to be influenced by a variety of factors, including:

our substantial increase in production capacity and product offerings through our acquisition of Nova Camaçari, our mergers with OPP Produtos and 52114 Participações and internal growth, and our ability to realize additional cost savings through the integration into our company of the companies that we have acquired during the past few years;

the growth rate of Brazilian GDP, which affects the demand for our products and, consequently, our domestic sales volume;

the international market price of naphtha, our principal raw material, which significantly affects the cost of producing our products;

the expansion of global production capacity for the products that we sell and the growth rate of the global economy;

the exchange rate of the Brazilian *real* against the U.S. dollar;

the level of our outstanding indebtedness and the interest rates we pay on this indebtedness, which affects our net financial expenses;

the results of operations of those companies in which we have minority equity interests, such as Copesul and Politeno, a portion of which are consolidated into our results of operations as required by Brazilian GAAP; and

the tax policies adopted by, and resulting tax obligations to, the Brazilian government and the governments of the Brazilian states in which we operate.

Our financial condition and liquidity is influenced by a variety of factors, including:

our ability to generate cash flows from our operations;

prevailing Brazilian and international interest rates and movements in exchange rates, which affect our debt service requirements;

our ability to continue to be able to borrow funds from Brazilian and international financial institutions and to sell our debt securities in the Brazilian and international securities markets, which is influenced by a number of factors discussed below;

our ability to extend the average maturity of our loans and debt securities as we refinance our existing indebtedness; and

our capital expenditure requirements, which consist primarily of maintenance of our operating facilities, expansion of our production capacity and research and development activities.

Financial Presentation and Accounting Policies

Presentation of Financial Statements

We have prepared our consolidated and combined financial statements at December 31, 2003 and 2002 and for the three years ended December 31, 2003 in accordance with Brazilian GAAP, which differs in significant respects from U.S. GAAP. See note 29 to our audited consolidated and combined financial statements included elsewhere in

Table of Contents

this annual report for an explanation of these differences. The financial information contained in this annual report is in accordance with Brazilian GAAP, except as otherwise noted.

Since July 25, 2001, our company has grown substantially through acquisitions and mergers, principally the acquisition of Nova Camaçari and our mergers with OPP Produtos and 52114 Participações. See Item 4. Information on Our Company History and Development of Our Company. Prior to our mergers with OPP Produtos and 52114 Participações, Odebrecht, a member of the Odebrecht Group, owned all of the voting share capital of OPP Produtos, and Pronor, a member of the Mariani Group, owned all of the voting share capital of 52114 Participações.

We accounted for the acquisition of Nova Camaçari and our merger with 52114 Participações at the respective date of the acquisition or merger. However, as a result of the common control exercised by the Odebrecht Group over our company and OPP Produtos prior to the merger of OPP Produtos, we accounted for the merger of OPP Produtos as if this acquisition had occurred on July 25, 2001, the date we acquired Nova Camaçari and the date on which such common control had commenced. As a result:

our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2001 reflects the inclusion of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the acquisition of Nova Camaçari and our merger with OPP Produtos;

our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2002 reflects the inclusion of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in our acquisition of Nova Camaçari and our mergers with OPP Produtos and 52114 Participações;

our consolidated statement of operations and cash flow accounts for the year ended December 31, 2001 reflect the operations and cash flows of Nova Camaçari and OPP Produtos and their subsidiaries for the period beginning on July 25, 2001; and

our consolidated statement of operations and cash flow accounts for the year ended December 31, 2002 reflect the operations and cash flows of Nova Camaçari and OPP Produtos and their subsidiaries for that year and the operations and cash flows of 52114 Participações and its subsidiaries for the period beginning on August 16, 2002.

Our financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Brazilian Securities Commission Instruction No. 247/96, as amended by Brazilian Securities Commission Instruction Nos. 269/97, 285/98 and 319/99, which we refer to collectively as Instruction 247. Instruction 247 requires our company to proportionally consolidate jointly controlled companies that are not our subsidiaries, principally Copesul and Politeno.

Our results of operations for 2001 and 2002 are not fully comparable because our results of operations for 2001 include the results of OPP Química, Trikem, Polialden and Proppet and proportional consolidation of the results of Copesul and Politeno only for the period after July 25, 2001. Our results of operations for 2002 include the results of these companies for the full year.

Our results of operations for 2002 and 2003 are not fully comparable because our results of operations for 2002 include the results of Nitrocarbano only for the period after August 16, 2002, and our results of operations for 2003 include the results of Nitrocarbano for the full year. However, because of the size of our Business Development Unit relative to our company, we do not believe that this lack of full comparability is material.

Business Segments and Presentation of Segment Financial Data

In 2002, we implemented an organizational structure that we believe reflects our business activities and corresponds to our principal products and production processes. We report our results by four market segments to reflect this organizational structure:

Table of Contents

Basic Petrochemicals This segment includes our production and sale of basic petrochemicals and our supply of utilities to second generation producers, including some producers owned or controlled by our company. These activities consist of operations historically conducted by our company;

Polyolefins This segment includes our production and sale of polyethylene and polypropylene and consists of the operations historically conducted by OPP Química and Polialden;

Vinyls This segment includes our production and sale of PVC, caustic soda and chlorine and consists of the operations historically conducted by Trikem; and

Business Development This segment includes our production and sale of other second generation petrochemical products, and consists of the operations historically conducted by Nitrocarbano and Proppet and our management of some minority equity investments, principally our investments in Petroflex and Cetrel.

In 2003, sales by our Basic Petrochemicals Unit, our Polyolefins Unit, our Vinyls Unit and our Business Development Unit represented 47.8%, 33.9%, 13.7% and 4.6%, respectively, of our net sales revenue of all segments before reflecting the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies.

We report business segment data in note 29 to our audited combined and consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information. SFAS No. 131 requires that segment data be presented on the basis of the internal information that is used by management to assess performance and make operating decisions, including decisions regarding the allocation of resources among segments. Because we evaluate and manage segment performance based on information generated from our statutory accounting records, which are maintained in accordance with Brazilian GAAP, the segment data included in our financial statements is presented under Brazilian GAAP.

Critical Accounting Policies

The presentation of our financial condition and results of operations in conformity with Brazilian GAAP requires us to make certain judgments and estimates regarding the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain and that impact the carrying value of our assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ from those estimates. In order to provide an understanding about how we form our judgments and estimates about certain future events, including the variables and assumptions underlying the estimates, and the sensitivity of those judgments to different variables and conditions, we have included comments related to the following critical accounting policies under Brazilian GAAP:

Revenue Recognition and Provision for Doubtful Accounts. We recognize net sales revenue for our product sales when risk and title to the product are transferred to our customer. Transfer generally occurs at the time when the product is delivered to our customers or their freight carriers. For the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, the Company recognized revenue for product sales when the products were shipped. We record a provision for doubtful accounts in selling expenses for an amount that we consider sufficient to cover any probable losses on realization of our accounts receivable. In order to determine the overall adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts, we evaluate the amount and characteristics of our accounts receivable on a quarterly basis. When significant payment delays occur and the likelihood of receiving payment in full of our accounts receivable decreases, we record a provision. We do not record a provision when the accounts receivable are guaranteed by a creditworthy entity or where there are other reasonable grounds to believe that they will be paid.

Impairment and Depreciation and Amortization of Permanent Assets. We perform annual cash flow studies to determine if the accounting value of our assets, primarily our property, plant and equipment, goodwill and other intangible assets, is compatible with the profitability resulting from the respective business units. If the expected

cash flows are lower than the accounting value, we record a provision for

75

Table of Contents

impairment of the asset's value. In order to estimate future cash flows, we must make various assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain, including future production and sales, product prices (which we estimate based on current and historical prices, price trends and related factors), future taxes payable and operating costs. We regularly recognize expenses related to the depreciation of our property, plant and equipment and to the amortization of our deferred charges, goodwill and other intangible assets. The rates of depreciation or amortization are based on our or third-party estimates of the useful lives of the fixed assets or otherwise over the periods during which these assets can be expected to provide benefits to us.

Valuation of Long-Term Investments. We record long-term investments at cost or under the equity accounting method, depending on our participation in voting capital and the degree of influence that we exercise over the operations of the companies involved. We evaluate the fair value of investments for impairment whenever the performance of the underlying entity indicates that impairment may exist. In such cases, the fair value of the investments is estimated principally based on discounted estimated cash flows using assumptions. Arriving at assumptions and estimates concerning these cash flows is a complex and often subjective process involving estimation of future revenues, costs and taxes.

Valuation of Derivative Instruments. We use swaps, forwards, options and other derivative instruments to manage risks from changes in foreign exchange and interest rates. We record these instruments at their estimated fair market value based on market quotations for similar instruments and assumptions as to future foreign exchange and interest rates. During the periods presented, we did not designate any derivative financial instruments as hedges and the fair value adjustments to our derivatives were thus recorded in current net income.

Pension Plans. For defined benefit plans sponsored by us, we calculate our funding obligations based on calculations performed by independent actuaries using assumptions that we provide about interest rates, investment returns, levels of inflation, mortality rates and future employment levels. These assumptions directly impact our liability for accrued pension costs and the amounts we record as pension costs.

Deferred Taxes. We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities based on the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax basis of assets and liabilities using prevailing rates. We regularly review any deferred tax assets for recoverability and reduce their carrying value, as required, based on our historical taxable income, projected future taxable income and the expected timing of any reversals of existing temporary differences. If one of our subsidiaries operates at a loss or is unable to generate sufficient future taxable income, or if there is a material change in the actual effective tax rates or the time period within which the underlying temporary differences become taxable or deductible, we evaluate the need to reduce partially or completely the carrying value of our deferred tax assets.

Contingencies. We are currently involved in numerous judicial and administrative proceedings, as described under Item 8. Financial Information Legal Proceedings and in notes 11, 17, 18 and 21 to our audited consolidated and combined financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report. We record accrued liabilities for contingencies that we deem probable of creating an adverse effect on the result of operations or financial condition. We believe that these contingencies are properly recognized in our financial statements. We are also involved in judicial and administrative proceedings that are aimed at obtaining or defending our legal rights with respect to taxes that we believe to be unconstitutional or otherwise not required to be paid by our company. We believe that these proceedings will ultimately result in tax credits or benefits, which we do not recognize in our financial statements until the contingency has been resolved. When, based on favorable but appealable court decisions, we use tax credits or benefits in dispute to offset current tax obligations, we establish a provision equal to the amount used and maintain the provision until a final decision on those credits or benefits. Our provisions include interest on the tax obligations we have offset with disputed credits or benefits at the interest rate defined in the relevant tax law.

Table of Contents

Principal Factors Affecting Our Results of Operations

Nova Camaçari Acquisition and Mergers with OPP Produtos and 52114 Participações

Before July 25, 2001, the date of our acquisition of Nova Camaçari, our operations consisted principally of the operations of our Basic Petrochemicals Unit.

As a result of our acquisition of Nova Camaçari on July 25, 2001:

we acquired Proppet, whose operations are accounted for in our Business Development segment;

we acquired control of Polialden, whose operations are accounted for in our Polyolefins segment; and

we acquired a substantial minority interest in Politeno.

On August 16, 2002, we merged with OPP Produtos and 52114 Participações. As a result of these mergers:

we acquired OPP Química, whose operations are accounted for in our Polyolefins segment;

we acquired control of Trikem, whose operations are accounted for in our Vinyls segment;

we acquired control of Nitrocarbano, whose operations are accounted for in our Business Development segment; and

we acquired a substantial minority interest in Copesul.

As a result of these acquisitions and mergers, our net sales revenue, gross profit and operating income have increased significantly. Because we and OPP Produtos have been under common control since July 25, 2001 (the date of our acquisition of Nova Camaçari), the results of OPP Química and Trikem have been included in our results of operations and the results of Copesul and Politeno have been proportionally consolidated in our results since that date.

At June 30, 2004, we estimated that the implementation of our integration program will result in our achieving approximately R\$314 million in annual recurring cost reductions as compared to costs that would have been incurred by our company and the companies that we have acquired, as estimated by our management. These cost reductions have been achieved primarily in the areas of tax, logistics, operations and information technology, and personnel. We believe that we will be able to achieve additional annual recurring cost reductions over the next three years through other actions that are expected to complete our integration program. We cannot assure holders of the ADSs that we will realize the full benefit of the existing or future identified annual cost savings in upcoming years. To the extent that we fail to do so, for any reason, in any year, our results of operations for that year will be adversely affected.

Growth of Brazil's Gross Domestic Product and Domestic Demand for Our Products

In 2003, 74.2% of our net sales revenue was derived from sales in Brazil. As a Brazilian company with substantially all of our operations in Brazil, we are significantly affected by economic conditions in Brazil. Our results of operations and financial condition have been, and will continue to be, affected by the growth rate of GDP in Brazil because our products are used in the manufacture of a wide range of consumer and industrial products.

Because of our significant market share in many of the Brazilian markets in which our petrochemical products are sold, fluctuations in Brazilian demand for polyethylene, polypropylene and PVC affect our production levels and net sales revenue. GDP in Brazil grew at a compound average annual rate of 2.4% from 1994 through 2003,

Table of Contents

although Brazilian GDP declined by 0.2% in 2003. From 1994 through 2003, the consumption volumes in Brazil of polyethylene, polypropylene and PVC increased at compound average annual rates of 5.9%, 10.1% and 4.9%, respectively.

In 2001, GDP in Brazil increased by 1.3%. In 2001, Brazilian consumption volumes of polyethylene decreased by 2.5%, polypropylene increased by 5.1% and PVC decreased by 15.1% compared to consumption volumes in 2000. The decreased consumption volumes of polyethylene and PVC were primarily due to reductions in the operations of many of our customers as a result of the Brazilian government's electric power rationing program that was initiated in June 2001.

In 2002, GDP in Brazil increased by 1.9%. In 2002, Brazilian consumption volumes of polyethylene, polypropylene and PVC increased by 0.2%, 11.3% and 11.0%, respectively, compared to depressed 2001 levels, principally as a result of increased production of third generation products following the termination of the Brazilian government's electric power rationing program in February 2002.

In 2003, GDP in Brazil declined by 0.2%. In 2003, Brazilian consumption volumes of polyethylene decreased by 2.1%, polypropylene increased by 2.9% and PVC decreased by 12.4%, respectively, compared to 2002. The decreased consumption volumes of polyethylene and PVC were primarily a result of reduced economic activity.

Brazilian GDP growth has fluctuated significantly, and we anticipate that it will likely continue to do so. Our management believes that economic growth in Brazil would positively affect our future net sales revenue and results of operations. However, continued low growth or a recession in Brazil would likely reduce our future net sales revenue and have negative impacts on our results of operations.

Our management believes that there has been a trend in Brazil during the last several years toward the substitution of plastics for more traditional packaging materials, such as glass and paper. Our management anticipates that this trend will continue to stimulate the domestic demand for petrochemical products suitable for use as packaging materials. However, trends in the substitution of packaging materials depend on many factors beyond our control, and the current beliefs of our management may prove to be incorrect.

Effects of Fluctuations in Naphtha Prices

Fluctuations in the international market price of naphtha have significant effects on our costs of goods sold and the prices that we are able to charge our customers for our first and second generation products.

Effects on Cost of Sales

Naphtha is the principal raw material used by our Basic Petrochemicals Unit. In 2003, naphtha represented 84.6% of the total cost of sales and services rendered of our Basic Petrochemicals Unit and, directly and indirectly through the cost of basic petrochemicals that we bought from Copesul, 65.2% of our consolidated cost of sales and services rendered.

The cost of naphtha varies in accordance with international market prices, which fluctuate depending upon the supply and demand for oil and other refined petroleum products. We purchase naphtha under a long-term supply contract with Petrobras and we import naphtha through our terminal at Aratú. The prices that we pay for naphtha under all of these arrangements are based on the Amsterdam-Rotterdam-Antwerp market price. As a result, fluctuations in the Amsterdam-Rotterdam-Antwerp market price for naphtha have a direct impact on the cost of our first generation products.

Because the primary raw materials of our Polyolefins and Vinyls Units, principally ethylene and propylene, are first generation products produced by our Basic Petrochemicals Unit and Copesul, fluctuations in the Amsterdam-Rotterdam-Antwerp market price for naphtha result in similar fluctuations in the cost of the primary raw materials of these Units.

Table of Contents

The international price of naphtha has fluctuated significantly in the past, and we expect that it will continue to do so in the future. Significant increases in the price of naphtha and, consequently, the cost of producing our products, would likely reduce our gross margins and our results of operations to the extent that we are unable to pass all of these increased costs on to our customers and could result in reduced sales volumes of our products. Conversely, significant decreases in the price of naphtha and, consequently, the cost of producing our products, would likely increase our gross margins and our results of operations and could result in increased sales volumes if this lower cost leads us to lower our prices.

We do not currently hedge our exposure to changes in the prices of naphtha because a portion of our sales are exports payable in foreign currencies and linked to the international market prices of naphtha and also because the prices of our polyethylene, polypropylene and PVC products sold in Brazil generally reflect changes in the international market prices of these products.

Effects on Prices of Our Products

The prices that we charge for ethylene reflect both the international market prices for naphtha and the international and domestic prices for second generation products. The price of ethylene is calculated based on a margin sharing system described in Item 4. Information on Our Company Basic Petrochemicals Unit Sales and Marketing of Our Basic Petrochemicals Unit.

The prices that we charge for propylene are based on our ethylene prices and the ratio of the European contract price for propylene to the European contract price for ethylene. Over the past several years, this ratio has increased. The prices that we charge for butadiene are based on the European contract price for butadiene. Because European producers of these products primarily use naphtha as a raw material, changes in the European contract prices are strongly influenced by fluctuations in international market prices for naphtha. As our cost structures are similar to theirs, the prices that we charge for propylene and butadiene are also significantly influenced by international market prices for naphtha.

We negotiate the *real* prices for certain of our products, principally polyethylene, polypropylene and PVC, on a monthly basis with our domestic customers. We attempt to revise our prices to reflect changes in the international market prices of these products and the appreciation or depreciation of the *real* against the U.S. dollar. However, during periods of high volatility in international market prices or exchange rates, we are sometimes unable to reflect these changes fully in our prices quickly.

The international market prices of our petrochemical products have fluctuated significantly, and we believe that they will continue to do so. Significant increases in the international market prices of our petrochemical products and, consequently, the prices that we are able to charge, would likely increase our net sales revenue and our results of operations to the extent that we are able to maintain our operating margins and increased prices do not reduce sales volumes of our products. Conversely, significant decreases in the international prices of our petrochemical products, and, consequently, the prices that we are able to charge, would likely reduce our net sales revenue and our results of operations if we are unable to increase our operating margins or these reduced prices do not result in increased sales volumes of our products.

Cyclicality Affecting the Petrochemical Industry and Capacity Utilization

Capacity Expansions

Global consumption of petrochemical products has increased significantly over the past 30 years. Due to this growth in consumption, producers have experienced periods of insufficient capacity for these products. Periods of

insufficient capacity, including some due to raw material shortages, have usually resulted in increased capacity utilization rates and international market prices for our products, leading to increased operating margins. These periods have often been followed by periods of capacity additions, which have resulted in declining capacity utilization rates and international selling prices, leading to declining operating margins.

Table of Contents

We expect that these cyclical trends in international selling prices and operating margins relating to global capacity shortfalls and additions will likely persist in the future, principally due to the continuing impact of four general factors:

cyclical trends in general business and economic activity produce swings in demand for petrochemicals;

during periods of reduced demand, the high fixed cost structure of the capital intensive petrochemicals industry generally leads producers to compete aggressively on price in order to maximize capacity utilization;

significant capacity additions, whether through plant expansion or construction, can take two to three years to implement and are therefore necessarily based upon estimates of future demand; and

as competition in petrochemical products is generally focused on price, being a low-cost producer is critical to improved profitability. This favors producers with larger plants that maximize economies of scale, but construction of plants with high capacity may result in significant increases in capacity that can outstrip demand growth.

Rio Polímeros, a Brazilian petrochemical company, is currently constructing a petrochemical plant in Brazil that has announced plans to commence operations in December 2004. The announced annual capacity of this plant is 520,000 tons of ethylene, 75,000 tons of propylene and 540,000 tons of polyethylene, representing an increase of approximately 35% of the current total Brazilian production capacity of polyethylene. In 2003, Polibrasil commenced operation of a polypropylene facility in Mauá, São Paulo with an annual capacity of 300,000 tons in 2003. In 2001, Dow Chemical commenced operation of a polyethylene facility in Argentina with an annual capacity of 700,000 tons. We plan to increase our annual production capacity of polypropylene by 100,000 tons by the end of 2004 and to increase our annual production capacity of PVC by 50,000 tons by the end of 2005.

Based on historical growth of Brazilian domestic demand for polyethylene, polypropylene and PVC, we believe that this additional capacity will be absorbed by the domestic market over the next several years. Although there may be a short period of overcapacity in the domestic market for several of our petrochemical products following Rio Polímeros commencement of operations, we believe that export opportunities will be available for the sale of these products not sold domestically. We cannot assure holders of the ADSs, however, that the additional capacity will be so absorbed by the domestic market or that satisfactory export opportunities will be available for products not sold domestically. In the latter event, the additional capacity may result in pressure on prices for the affected products, which could adversely affect our net sales revenues, gross margins and overall results of operations.

Capacity Utilization

Our operations are capital intensive. Accordingly, to obtain lower unit production costs and maintain adequate operating margins, we seek to maintain a high capacity utilization rate at all of our production facilities.

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth capacity utilization rates with respect to the production facilities for some of our principal products for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2003	2002 (1)	2001 (2)
Ethylene (3)	84%	83%	89%
Polyethylene	83	80	73
Polypropylene	95	90	84
PVC	85	86	76

(1) Gives effect to our mergers with OPP Produtos and 52114 Participações as if they had occurred on January 1, 2002.

(2) Gives effect to our acquisition of Nova Camaçari and our mergers with OPP Produtos and 52114 Participações as if they had occurred on January 1, 2001.

(3) Based on production capacity of 1,280,000 tons in 2003 and 1,200,000 tons in 2002 and 2001.

The utilization rate of our ethylene production capacity was adversely affected during 2003 as a result of an unscheduled shutdown of one of our olefins units for 11 days due to a maintenance problem.

Effect of Export Levels on our Financial Performance

We generally obtain higher prices in Brazil for our products than the prevailing international prices. The difference in prices between the Brazilian and export markets results from:

high costs of transporting products to and within Brazil;

warehousing and other logistics costs; and

tariffs and duties.

In addition, we are generally able to charge higher prices for our products than the *real* price of imports because we are able to provide better product customization services to our customers than sellers of imported products.

During periods in which the domestic demand for our products is reduced, we actively pursue export opportunities for our products. In 2003, 25.8% of our net sales revenue were derived from export sales of our products. Exports to other countries in the Americas accounted for 54.0% of our export sales, with the remainder of our exports sold in Europe (20.0%), Far East (22.0%) and other regions (4.0%).

Our ability to export to other South American countries is a function of the level of economic growth in these countries and other economic conditions, including prevailing inflation rates. We believe that significant growth in the global economy would likely lead to increased global demand and international market prices for our products, and consequently increased domestic prices for our products. In addition, increased global demand for our products would enhance our ability to export our products in the event that the Brazilian economy does not similarly expand. Conversely, slow or negative growth of the global economy would have the opposite effects on our company.

Effects of Fluctuations in Exchange Rates between Real and U.S. Dollar

Our results of operations and financial condition have been, and will continue to be, affected by the rate of depreciation or appreciation of the *real* against the U.S. dollar because:

a substantial portion of our net sales revenue is linked to U.S. dollars;

81

Table of Contents

the costs of some of our raw materials, principally naphtha and certain catalysts required in our production processes, are incurred in U.S. dollars or are U.S. dollar-linked;

we have operating expenses, and make other expenditures, that are denominated in or linked to U.S. dollars; and

we have significant amounts of U.S. dollar-denominated liabilities that require us to make principal and interest payments in U.S. dollars.

Virtually all of our sales are of petrochemical products, which generally trade freely in the international markets at prices expressed in U.S. dollars. We generally attempt to set prices that take into account the international market prices for our petrochemical products and variations in the U.S. dollar/*real* exchange rate. As a result, although a significant portion of our net sales revenue is in *reais*, substantially all of our products are sold at prices that are based on international market prices that are quoted in the U.S. dollars.

The price of naphtha, our principal raw material, is linked to the U.S. dollar. Our naphtha purchase contract with Petrobras provides that the prices that we pay to Petrobras for naphtha in any month are established based on the average Amsterdam-Rotterdam-Antwerp market price for naphtha in U.S. dollars during the previous month, converted into *reais* at the U.S. dollar/*real* exchange rate in effect on the last day of the previous month. Fluctuations in the *real* affect the cost of naphtha and other U.S. dollar-linked or imported raw materials.

When the *real* depreciates against the U.S. dollar, assuming naphtha costs and international market prices of our products remain constant in U.S. dollars, the production cost for our products increases and we generally attempt to increase the prices for our products in *reais* (to the extent possible in light of then-prevailing market conditions in Brazil), which may result in reduced sales volumes of our products. To the extent that our price increases are not sufficient to cover the increased costs for raw materials, our operating income decreases. Conversely, when the *real* appreciates against the U.S. dollar, assuming naphtha costs and international market prices of our products remain constant in U.S. dollars, the production cost for our products decreases and we generally decrease the prices for our products in *reais*, which may result in increased sales volumes of our products. In periods of high volatility in the U.S. dollar/*real* exchange rate, there is usually a lag between the time that the U.S. dollar appreciates or depreciates and the time that we are able to pass on increased or reduced costs in *reais* to our customers in Brazil. These pricing mismatches decrease when fluctuations in the U.S. dollar/*real* exchange rate are less volatile.

At December 31, 2003, 66.6% of our consolidated indebtedness was denominated in U.S. dollars. As a result, when the *real* depreciates against the U.S. dollar:

the interest costs on our U.S. dollar-denominated indebtedness increase in *reais*, which negatively affects our results of operations in *reais*;

the amount of our U.S. dollar-denominated indebtedness increase in *reais*, and our total liabilities and debt service obligations in *reais* increase; and

our financial expenses tend to increase as a result of foreign exchange losses that we must record. For example, the 34.3% devaluation of the *real* in 2002 substantially increased our financial expenses and was a significant factor in our net loss for that year.

Conversely, when the *real* appreciates against the U.S. dollar:

the interest costs on our U.S. dollar-denominated indebtedness decrease in *reais*, which positively affects our results of operations in *reais*;

the amount of our U.S. dollar-denominated indebtedness decreases in *reais*, and our total liabilities and debt service obligations in *reais* decrease; and

Table of Contents

our financial expenses tend to decrease as a result of foreign exchange gains that we must record.

Any major devaluation of the *real* against the U.S. dollar would significantly increase our financial expenses and our short-term and long-term indebtedness, as expressed in *reais*. Conversely, any major appreciation of the *real* against the U.S. dollar would significantly decrease our financial expenses and our short-term and long-term indebtedness, as expressed in *reais*.

Export sales, which enable us to generate receivables payable in foreign currencies, tend to provide a hedge against a portion of our U.S. dollar-denominated debt service obligations, but they do not fully match them. Accordingly, we often enter into hedges to mitigate exchange rate fluctuations in our U.S. dollar-denominated indebtedness. To further mitigate our exposure to exchange rate risk, we try, where possible, to enter into trade finance loans for our working capital needs, which funding is generally available at a lower cost because it is linked to U.S. dollar exports. However, future U.S. dollars generated by us from exports may not be in an amount sufficient to cover all of our U.S. dollar trade finance liabilities.

Inflation affects our financial performance by increasing some of our operating expenses denominated in *reais* (and not linked to the U.S. dollar). A significant portion of our costs of sales and services rendered, however, are linked to the U.S. dollar and are not substantially affected by the Brazilian inflation rate. In addition, some of our *real*-denominated debt is indexed to take into account the effects of inflation. Under this debt, the principal amount generally is adjusted with reference to the IGP M, an inflation index, so that inflation results in increases in our financial expenses and debt service obligations. In addition, a significant portion of our *real*-denominated debt bears interest at the Long-Term Interest Rate or the CDI rate, which are partially adjusted for inflation.

Effect of Level of Indebtedness and Interest Rates

At December 31, 2003, our total outstanding consolidated indebtedness on a consolidated basis, excluding related party debt and advances for purchase of credit rights, was R\$7,833.8 million. The level of our indebtedness results in significant financial expenses that are reflected in our statement of operations. Financial expenses consist of interest expense, exchange variations of U.S. dollar- and other foreign-currency denominated debt, foreign exchange losses or gains, and other items as set forth in note 23 to our audited consolidated and combined financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report. In 2003, we recorded total financial expenses of R\$712.6 million, of which R\$543.6 million consisted of interest expense and R\$969.4 million consisted of foreign exchange gains. By contrast, in 2002, we recorded total financial expenses of R\$3,481.5 million, of which R\$735.4 million consisted of interest expense and R\$2,076.1 million consisted of foreign exchange losses. The interest rates that we pay depend on a variety of factors, including prevailing Brazilian and international interest rates and risk assessments of our company, our industry and the Brazilian economy made by potential lenders to our company, potential purchasers of our debt securities and the rating agencies that assess our company and its debt securities.

Standard & Poor's and Fitch maintain ratings of our company and our debt securities. As of the date of this annual report, Standard and Poor's maintains a local rating for our company of Br A, a local currency rating for our company of BB- stable, and a foreign currency rating for our company of B+ positive, and Fitch maintains a local currency rating for our company of Br A with outlook stable. We have not been informed of any proposed actions by either of these ratings agencies to modify their ratings on our company or its indebtedness. Any rating downgradings in the future would likely result in increased interest and other financial expenses relating to borrowings and debt securities and could adversely affect our ability to obtain such financing on satisfactory terms or in amounts required by us.

Our debt obligations with variable interest rates expose our company to market risks from changes in the Long-Term Interest Rate, the CDI rate, IGP-M and the London interbank offered rate, or LIBOR. To mitigate our exposure to interest rate risk, we often have sought to enter into hedges to mitigate fluctuations in LIBOR.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations of Jointly Controlled Companies

We own 29.5% of the voting and total share capital of Copesul. We also own 33.9% of Politeno's total share capital, including 35.0% of its voting share capital. The operations of Copesul are similar to the operations of our Basic Petrochemicals Unit and the operations of Politeno are similar to the operations of our Polyolefins Unit. Accordingly, the results of operations of these companies are influenced by factors similar to the factors that influence our results of operations. However, these companies have management that is independent from ours and capital structures, including levels of indebtedness and corresponding levels of financing costs, that are different from ours. As a result of the application of Instruction 247 to our financial statements, we are required to proportionally consolidate the results of jointly controlled companies that are not our subsidiaries, such as Copesul and Politeno. Consequently, our results of operations are subject to fluctuations that depend on the results of these jointly controlled companies.

However, in evaluating our results of operations, cash flows and liquidity, our management relies on financial information that does not include the effects of proportional consolidation, principally because we have limited, if any, control over the operations and policies of the companies whose results we are required to proportionally consolidate with our own. In our discussion of our results of operations and our discussion of our liquidity and capital resources, we have provided supplemental information drawn from our accounting records with respect to our results of operations, working capital, cash flows and indebtedness without giving effect to this proportional consolidation to provide holders of the ADSs with information that our management believes more accurately reflects the results of operations and financial position of our company.

Effect of Taxes on Our Income

We are subject to a variety of generally applicable Brazilian federal and state taxes on our operations and results.

Tax Exemptions

We are generally subject to Brazilian federal income tax at an effective rate of 25%, which is the standard corporate tax rate in Brazil. We have available certain federal tax exemptions based upon federal law that offers tax incentives to companies that locate their manufacturing operations in the northeastern region of Brazil. These exemptions entitle us to pay only 25% of the statutory income tax rate on the profit arising from the sale of basic petrochemical products and utilities until December 31, 2011. We are also exempt from corporate income tax until December 31, 2004 on the results of our industrial operations at our PVC plant in the Northeastern Complex and until December 31, 2008 on the results of our industrial operations at our PVC plant in Alagoas. We are entitled to pay only 25.0% of the statutory income tax rate on the results of our industrial operations at our polyethylene plant in the Northeastern Complex (until December 31, 2011) and at Polialden's plant in the Northeastern Complex (until December 31, 2012). We are also entitled to pay only 25.0% of the statutory income tax rate until December 31, 2011 on the results of our industrial operations at our caprolactam plant in the Northeastern Complex.

Our production of caustic soda and EDC in the States of Bahia and Alagoas, and our production of dimethyl teraphthalate, or DMT, are not covered by these exemptions, but in accordance with Law No. 9,532/97, we are entitled to reductions in the statutory income tax rate with respect to the profits arising from sales by these plants and must pay only:

62.5% of the statutory income tax rate from January 1, 1998 to December 31, 2003;

75.0% of the statutory income tax rate from January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2008; and

87.5% of the statutory income tax rate from January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

At the end of each year, if we or any of our affected subsidiaries has taxable profit resulting from the operations described above, the amount of the income tax exemption or reduction is credited to a capital reserve, which can only be used to increase capital or absorb losses which exceed retained earnings and profits reserves as defined in the Brazilian Corporation Law or redeem or repurchase share capital or participation certificates.

Due to operating losses sustained by us in the past, we had R\$322.8 million of deferred tax assets made up of tax loss carryforwards available at December 31, 2003. Income tax loss carryforwards available for offset in Brazil do not expire. However, the annual offset is limited to 30% of our adjusted net income. This limit also affects the Social Contribution on Net Income.

Our export sales are currently exempt from PIS (a federal value-added tax), COFINS (a federal value-added tax), IPI (a federal value-added tax on industrial products) and ICMS (a state value-added tax on sales and services) under generally available exemptions, subject to our compliance with the requirements of these exemptions.

The eventual expiration of the income tax exemptions will not affect our net income because we record the full amount of the income tax in our income statement and credit the amount of the income tax exemptions to a reserve account in shareholders' equity to increase our capital or absorb our losses.

Tax Disputes

We pay IPI tax on industrial products that we manufacture. The regulations governing the IPI tax assess this tax on a non-cumulative basis, which means that companies may offset their IPI tax obligations with the amount of IPI taxes paid by suppliers earlier in the production chain. The Brazilian federal tax authorities have asserted that purchases of raw materials that are tax-exempt, non-taxable or taxed at a zero percent rate do not generate IPI tax credits, because they maintain that there is no legal provision that expressly authorizes these credits. We believe that this interpretation is contrary to Article 153, paragraph 3 of the Brazilian Constitution, which sets forth the principle of non-cumulative taxation in a broad manner and does not exclude purchases of raw materials that are tax-exempt, non-taxable or taxed at a zero percent rate. OPP Química brought a suit against the Brazilian government claiming that it had the right to IPI tax credits on its purchases of raw materials that are in a zero percent tax bracket. In December 2002, the Brazilian Federal Supreme Court ruled in favor of OPP Química in this suit. The Brazilian government has appealed this decision, requesting clarification of the calculation of these tax credits but not challenging their validity. Accordingly, we recognized a tax credit of R\$1,030.1 million in our statement of operations included in our audited consolidated and combined financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2002 included elsewhere in this annual report. Of this total tax credit, we used R\$265.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2002 and R\$364.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2003 to offset IPI tax obligations, and we will use the remainder of this tax credit to offset other IPI tax obligations in the future based on our earnings and future results. Although the ruling of the Brazilian Federal Supreme Court only applies to our operations in the State of Rio Grande do Sul, we have also brought litigation against the Brazilian government in respect of our purchases of raw materials in the States of São Paulo, Bahia and Alagoas seeking to obtain a similar tax credit. We have not recognized any assets or gains in relation to our claims in these states.

We are currently involved in numerous tax proceedings. We have established reserves based on our obligations under current legislation, utilization of the contingent IPI tax credits, and our estimated costs of resolving other claims in which we believe we have a probable tax loss. The tax contingencies relate primarily to the Social Contribution on Net Income (a tax similar to the corporate income tax), PIS, COFINS and IPI. If any of these legal proceedings is decided adversely to us, our results of operations or financial condition could be materially adversely affected. For more information on our tax proceedings, the amounts claimed by governmental authorities and the amounts we have reserved against some of these claims, see Item 8. Financial Information Legal Proceedings Tax Proceedings.

Tax Reform

In April 2003, the Brazilian Government presented a tax reform proposal, mainly designed to simplify tax assessments, to avoid internal disputes within and between the Brazilian states and municipalities, and to redistribute tax revenues. The tax reform proposal provided for changes in the rules governing PIS, COFINS, ICMS, CPMF and

Table of Contents

other taxes. The implementation of such changes depended on the approval of an amendment to the Brazilian Constitution.

In December 2003, the Brazilian Federal Senate approved part of this tax reform proposal following its approval by the Brazilian Federal House of Representatives. These tax reforms were consolidated in Constitutional Amendment No. 42, which became effective on December 31, 2003.

Constitutional Amendment No. 42 provides for the assessment of PIS and COFINS on import transactions. Law No. 10,865/04, which implemented this provision, requires PIS and COFINS to be assessed on the import of goods, as well as on the remuneration paid to non-residents for the rendering of services. These changes became effective on May 1, 2004. Constitutional Amendment No. 42 also provides for an extension of the CPMF assessment until December 31, 2007. Prior to the adoption of Amendment No. 42, CPMF was scheduled to expire on December 31, 2004.

Other parts of the tax reform proposal were amended by the Senate and returned to the House of Representatives for further examination. These parts of the tax reform proposal relate to:

harmonization of ICMS tax rules, which would be governed by a single federal legislation applicable to all Brazilian states;

equalization of ICMS rates, that would be applied uniformly by all states in Brazil; and

limitations on the grant of regional tax incentives.

If approved, these tax reform measures will be gradually adopted in 2005 and 2007.

We are unable to predict the effect of these proposed tax reform measures, if approved, on our results of operations. Although some of these measures may result in increases in our tax payments, others are likely to reduce our tax obligations. We currently believe that the effects of the changes to COFINS will be neutral to our results of operation. In addition, as discussed above, we have significant income tax loss carryforwards, tax exemptions and tax credits that should, to a degree, mitigate the effects of the tax reform measures on us. We currently do not anticipate that the tax reform measures will have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in future periods, although we cannot provide holders of the ADSs with any assurances in this regard.

Recent Developments

Merger of Trikem with Our Company

On January 15, 2004, our subsidiary Trikem merged with and into our company. Trikem has been fully consolidated in our consolidated and combined financial statements since July 25, 2001, the date of our acquisition of Nova Camaçari. See Item 4. Information on Our Company History and Development of Our Company Merger of Trikem into Braskem.

Issuance and Sale of Eleventh Issue of Debentures

On December 1, 2003, we issued R\$1,200.0 million aggregate principal amount of our eleventh issue of secured non-convertible debentures in Brazil. These debentures were initially held in treasury and were sold on January 16, 2004 and February 4, 2004 following their registration with the Brazilian Securities Commission on January 14, 2004. They will amortize in 36 equal monthly installments beginning on January 1, 2005, with a final maturity date of December 1, 2007, and bear interest at the CDI rate, an interbank rate, plus a margin of 4.5% per annum, payable

monthly. These debentures are secured by a pledge of some of our current and future customer receivables. As part of our effort to extend the maturity profile of our indebtedness, we issued R\$243.0 million of these debentures to existing creditors in exchange for outstanding obligations with shorter maturities; and we issued R\$380.0 million of these new debentures in exchange for a portion of a series of our outstanding debentures that were scheduled to mature on October 1, 2006. We sold the remaining debentures (R\$584.8 million) for cash.

Table of Contents

Issuance and Sale of 11.75% Notes due 2014

On January 22, 2004, we issued and sold US\$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 11.75% Notes due 2014 pursuant to Rule 144A and Regulation S under our US\$1.0 billion medium-term note program. The notes have the latest maturity date of any of our outstanding debt obligations. Interest on these notes is payable on January 22 and July 22 of each year, commencing on July 22, 2004 and ending on January 22, 2014, the final maturity date for the notes.

Syndicated Credit Agreement

On June 7, 2004, Overseas III Export Ltd., a special purpose company we created, entered into a US\$200.0 million syndicated credit agreement. During June 2004, US\$70.0 million was disbursed to Overseas III Export Ltd. and lent by Overseas III Export Ltd. to our company on identical terms and conditions as those contained in the syndicated credit agreement. During August 2004, the remaining US\$130.0 million was disbursed to Overseas III Export Ltd. and lent by Overseas III Export Ltd. to our company on identical terms and conditions as those contained in the syndicated credit agreement. The loan to Overseas III Export Ltd. has been guaranteed by our company and is secured by certain of our exports. The first tranche of this loan in the principal amount of US\$145.0 million bears interest at the rate of six-month LIBOR plus 3.5% per annum, payable semi-annually in arrears. Principal on the first tranche is payable in five semi-annual installments beginning in December 2005. The second tranche of this loan in the principal amount of US\$55.0 million bears interest at the rate of six-month LIBOR plus 4.5% per annum, payable semi-annually in arrears. Principal on the second tranche is payable in eight semi-annual installments beginning in December 2005. The principal covenants under this agreement include financial ratios and limitations on indebtedness, liens, mergers, sales of assets, investments and acquisitions.

Secured Credit Agreement

On June 30, 2004, we entered into a secured credit agreement in the aggregate amount of R\$152.7 million to finance capital expenditures that we plan to make in certain of our plants located in the Northeastern Complex and in Alagoas in the second half of 2004 and in 2005. The loans under this credit agreement are secured by a first mortgage on our PVC plant located in São Paulo and our chloro-soda plant located in the Northeastern Complex, as well as a purchase-money security interest in machinery and equipment that we will purchase with the proceeds of this loan. Under this credit agreement, we are required to invest up to R\$62.4 million of our own funds in accordance with an investment schedule as a condition precedent to disbursements of the loans. As of August 27, 2004, we have invested R\$15.4 million of our own funds in accordance with this investment schedule and the lender has disbursed a loan to our company in the aggregate principal amount of R\$16.9 million. The loans under this credit agreement bear interest at the rate of 14.0% per annum, payable quarterly in arrears from June 30, 2004 through June 30, 2008 and thereafter monthly in arrears through July 30, 2016. The lender under this credit agreement may modify the interest rate annually based on fluctuations in the Long-Term Interest Rate during the preceding year and upon written notice to our company. The principal of these loans is payable in 96 equal monthly installments, commencing on July 30, 2008.

Export Prepayment Facility

On July 21, 2004, we entered into an export prepayment facility in an aggregate amount of US\$50.0 million. The loan under this facility is secured by certain of our exports and bears interest at a rate of six-month LIBOR plus 3.0% per annum, payable semi-annually in arrears commencing on January 21, 2005. The principal amount of this facility is payable in eight equal semi-annual installments beginning in January 2005. The principal covenants under this export prepayment facility include financial ratios and limitations on sales of assets.

Results of Operations

The following discussion of our results of operations is based on our financial statements prepared in accordance with Brazilian GAAP.

The discussion of the results of our business segments is based upon financial information reported for each of the four segments of our business, as detailed in note 29 to our audited consolidated and combined financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report. There are certain differences between the concepts used by our company in preparing information about segments and the requirements of Brazilian GAAP as applied in the statutory financial statements. The principal differences are:

investments in certain jointly controlled companies which are required to be proportionally consolidated under Brazilian GAAP are not considered as part of any segment for segment reporting purposes; and

the concept of operating income for segment reporting purposes does not consider the results of investments in associated companies and financial income and expenses whereas such results and income and expenses are classified as operating items for statutory reporting purposes.

Table of Contents

As discussed above, the acquisitions of Nova Camaçari and OPP Produtos significantly affected our results due to the significant size of their operations in relation to the operations historically conducted by our company. As a result:

The results of operations of our Basic Petrochemicals segment are comparable for 2003, 2002 and 2001.

The results of operations of our Polyolefins segment, which consists of the operations historically conducted by OPP Química and Polialden, only include the results of these operations for the period after July 25, 2001. Therefore, the results of operations of our Polyolefins segment for 2002 and 2001 are not comparable.

The results of operations of our Vinyls segment, which consists of the operations historically conducted by Trikem, only include the results of these operations for the period after July 25, 2001. Therefore, the results of operations of our Vinyls segment for 2002 and 2001 are not comparable.

The results of operations of our Business Development segment, which consists of the operations historically conducted by Proppet and Nitrocarbano, only include the results of the operations of Proppet for the period after July 25, 2001, the date on which we acquired Proppet, and the results of the operations of Nitrocarbano for the period after August 16, 2002, the date of our merger with 52114 Participações. Therefore, the results of operations of our Business Development segment for 2003, 2002 and 2001 are not comparable.

In evaluating the results of operations of our business segments, our management relies on financial information that includes the aggregate results of operations of the companies that have historically conducted the operations of our Polyolefins, Vinyls and Business Development segments, principally to be able to make comparisons of the results of these segments with the historical results of the companies that were acquired to conduct the operations of these segments. In order to enhance comparability and make an analysis of the results of operations of our Polyolefins and Vinyls segments during 2002 and 2001 more meaningful, our management has provided supplemental information drawn from the audited financial statements of OPP Química, Polialden and Trikem for the year ended December 31, 2001 prepared in accordance with Brazilian GAAP as the basis of comparisons between:

the results of operations of our Polyolefins segment during 2002 and the aggregate results of operations of the OPP Química and Polialden during 2001; and

the results of operations of our Vinyls segment during 2002 and the results of operations of Trikem for 2001.

The supplemental financial information for 2001 is not necessarily indicative of the results of operations of our Polyolefins and Vinyls segments that would have occurred had we acquired Nova Camaçari and merged with OPP Produtos on January 1, 2001. In addition, the supplemental financial information is not necessarily indicative of our future results of operations. We have not provided supplemental information with respect to our Business Development Unit because of its small size relative to our company.

In the following discussion, references to increases or decreases in any year are made by comparison with the corresponding prior year, except as the context otherwise indicates.

Table of Contents***Year Ended December 31, 2003 Compared with Year Ended December 31, 2002****Consolidated and Combined Results*

The following table sets forth consolidated and combined financial information for each of the two years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002.

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2003	2002
	Consolidated	Combined
	(In millions of reais)	
Net sales revenue	R\$ 10,135.8	R\$ 7,576.6
Cost of sales and services rendered	(8,089.3)	(6,175.5)
Gross profit	2,046.5	1,401.1
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(471.9)	(577.7)
Investment in associated companies, net(1)	(158.2)	(251.7)
Depreciation and amortization	(193.5)	(222.4)
Financial expenses, net	(703.6)	(2,861.9)
Other operating income	49.7	1,132.7
Operating income (loss)	569.0	(1,379.9)
Non-operating expenses, net	(4.8)	(98.0)
Income (loss) before income tax and social contribution and minority interest	564.2	(1,477.9)
Income tax and social contribution	(122.9)	(89.8)
Income (loss) before minority interest	441.3	(1,567.7)
Minority interest	(226.2)	189.0
Net income (loss) for the year	R\$ 215.1	R\$(1,378.7)

(1) Investment in associated companies, net, comprises equity in the results, amortization of goodwill, net, foreign exchange variation and tax incentives and other.

Net Sales Revenue

Net sales revenue increased by 33.8% in 2003, primarily as a result of the growth in net sales revenue in each of our segments (as discussed below), particularly the 36.2% growth in net sales revenue of our Basic Petrochemicals segment and the 36.4% growth in net sales revenue of our Polyolefins segment. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, our net sales revenue increased by 33.8% in 2003.

Cost of Sales and Services Rendered and Gross Profit

Cost of sales and services rendered increased by 31.0% in 2003, primarily as a result of the growth in cost of sales in each of our segments, particularly the 36.8% growth in cost of sales and services rendered of our Basic Petrochemicals segment and the 31.9% growth in cost of sales of our Polyolefins segment, both related to the greater direct and indirect cost of naphtha. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, our cost of sales and services rendered increased by 30.4% in 2003.

Table of Contents

As a result, gross profit increased by 46.1% in 2003. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, gross profit increased by 49.3% in 2003.

Gross profit as a percentage of net sales revenue, or gross margin, for 2003 was 20.2% compared to 18.5% in 2002. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, gross margin increased to 20.1% for 2003 compared to 18.0% in 2002.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by 18.3% in 2003, primarily as a result of the incurrence of non-recurring expenses in 2002 in an aggregate amount of R\$136.0 million arising from our mergers with OPP Produtos and 52114 Participações and the integration into our company of the companies that we acquired and with whom we merged. Our ongoing integration process generated efficiencies that reduced our selling, general and administrative expenses in both periods, particularly in 2003. Selling, general and administrative expenses represented 4.7% of net sales revenue in 2003 compared to 7.6% of net sales revenue in 2002. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by 23.3% in 2003, and selling, general and administrative expenses represented 4.4% of net sales revenue in 2003 compared to 7.6% of net sales revenue in 2002.

Investment in Associated Companies, Net

Investment in associated companies, net, decreased by 37.1% in 2003, primarily as a result of the effect of tax benefits from our associated companies and a decrease of the amortization of goodwill. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, investment in associated companies, net, decreased by 61.9% in 2003.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization decreased by 13.0% in 2003, primarily as a result of decrease of depreciation and amortization from proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies depreciation and amortization increased by 9.1% in 2003.

Financial Expenses, Net

Financial expenses, net, decreased by 75.4% in 2003, primarily as a result of the effects of the *real*/U.S. dollar exchange rate on our U.S. dollar-denominated and U.S. dollar-indexed assets and liabilities. The 34.3% devaluation of the *real* against the U.S. dollar in 2002 resulted in a financial expense of R\$2,076.1 million related to the exchange rate effect on our U.S. dollar-denominated and U.S. dollar-indexed liabilities and financial income of R\$137.5 million related to the exchange rate effect on our U.S. dollar-denominated assets. By contrast, as a result of the 22.3% appreciation of the *real* against the U.S. dollar in 2003, we recorded financial income of R\$969.4 million related to the exchange rate effect on our monetary liabilities and financial expense of R\$211.1 million related to the exchange rate effect on our monetary assets. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, financial expenses, net decreased by 76.5% in 2003.

Other Operating Income, Net

Other operating income, net decreased by 95.6% in 2003, primarily as a result of our recognition of the IPI tax credit of R\$1,030.1 million that was recorded in the fourth quarter of 2002 as a result of a final judgment by the

Brazilian Federal Supreme Court. See Principal Factors Affecting Our Results of Operations Effect of Taxes on Our Income Tax Disputes. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, other operating income, net decreased by 95.4% to R\$51.2 million in 2003 from R\$1,113.8 million in 2002.

Table of Contents

Operating Income (Loss)

Operating income was R\$569.0 million in 2003 as compared to an operating loss of R\$1,379.9 million in 2002. Operating income represented 5.6% of net sales revenue in 2003, while operating loss represented 18.2% of net sales revenue in 2002. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, operating income was R\$535.9 million in 2003 as compared to an operating loss of R\$1,445.9 million in 2002, and operating income was 5.8% of net sales revenue in 2003, while operating loss represented 21.1% of net sales revenue in 2002.

Non-Operating Expenses, Net

Non-operating expenses decreased by 95.1% in 2003. This decrease was primarily as a result of the effects of a reversal in 2002 of the provision for losses in investments in Norcel S.A. and Codeverde-Companhia de Desenvolvimento Rio Verde in the amount of R\$37.8 million because we no longer expect to incur losses on these investments, and a reversal of the provision for losses on our Electrobras compulsory loan in the amount of R\$32.9 million. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, non-operating expenses decreased by 93.8% in 2003.

Income Tax and Social Contribution

Income tax and social contribution increased by 36.9% in 2003. This increase was primarily as a result of our recording taxable income in 2003 as compared to our loss in 2002, the effect of which was partially offset by the net changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, income tax and social contribution increased by 59.1% in 2003.

Minority Interest

Minority interest was an expense of R\$226.2 million in 2003 compared to a gain of R\$189.0 million in 2002. This change was primarily as a result of the improved net results recorded in 2003 by Trikem, compared to the losses recorded by Trikem in 2002. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, minority interest was an expense of R\$224.4 million in 2003 compared to a gain of R\$199.1 million in 2002.

Net Income (Loss)

We recorded net income of R\$215.1 million, or 2.1% of net sales revenue, in 2003 compared to a net loss of R\$1,378.7 million, or 18.2% of net sales revenue, in 2002.

Table of Contents*Business Segment Results*

The following table sets forth consolidated and combined financial information for our business segments for each of the two years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002.

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2003	2002
	Consolidated	Combined
	(in millions of reais, except percentages)	
Basic Petrochemicals		
Net sales revenue	R\$ 4,765.3	R\$ 3,499.1
Cost of sales	(4,111.5)	(3,006.3)
Gross profit	653.8	492.8
Operating income (1)	499.9	409.1
Gross margin (%)	13.7%	14.1%
Operating margin (%)	10.5%	11.7%
Polyolefins		
Net sales revenue	R\$ 3,386.8	R\$ 2,482.3
Cost of sales	(2,719.7)	(2,062.4)
Gross profit	667.1	419.9
Operating income (1)	529.5	284.7
Gross margin (%)	19.7%	16.9%
Operating margin (%)	15.6%	11.5%
Vinyls		
Net sales revenue	R\$ 1,371.8	R\$ 1,117.8
Cost of sales	(1,007.0)	(804.7)
Gross profit	364.8	313.1
Operating income (1)	313.7	265.8
Gross margin (%)	26.6%	28.0%
Operating margin (%)	22.9%	23.8%
Business Development		
Net sales revenue	R\$ 455.3	R\$ 290.8
Cost of sales	(416.8)	(246.1)
Gross profit	38.5	44.7
Operating income (1)	28.8	35.3
Gross margin (%)	8.5%	15.4%
Operating margin (%)	6.3%	12.1%

(1) Operating income does not include financial income and expenses.

Basic Petrochemicals

Net Sales Revenue. Net sales revenue of the Basic Petrochemicals segment increased by 36.2% in 2003. Significant factors contributing to this growth were:

a R\$266.3 million, or 39.1%, increase in net sales revenue from domestic sales of ethylene to third parties;

a R\$165.8 million, or 38.5%, increase in net sales revenue from domestic sales of propylene to third parties; and
a R\$103.9 million, or 58.6%, increase in net sales revenue from domestic sales of butadiene to third parties.

Table of Contents

For more information about the sales volumes and net sales revenue of our basic petrochemicals products by product lines and markets, see Item 4. Information on Our Company Overview of Our Company's Operations Products of Our Basic Petrochemicals Unit.

Sales of basic petrochemicals by our Basic Petrochemicals segment to our other segments increased by 23.2% to R\$910.7 million in 2003 from R\$739.0 million in 2002 and sales of utilities by our Basic Petrochemicals segment to our other segments increased by 36.1% to R\$103.8 million in 2003 from R\$76.3 million in 2002. Sales of utilities to third parties increased by 15.1% to R\$280.7 million in 2003 from R\$244.0 million in 2002. Net export sales of the Basic Petrochemicals segment increased by 57.8% to R\$618.8 million in 2003 from R\$392.2 million in 2002, primarily as a result of the recovery of our production levels following the scheduled shutdown of one of our pyrolysis plants that is part of our Olefins 1 unit for 92 days in 2002.

Domestic sales volume of ethylene to third parties increased by 6.9% to 559.1 thousand tons in 2003 from 522.8 thousand tons in 2002, principally due to the recovery of our production of ethylene following the maintenance shutdown of one of our pyrolysis plants in 2002 and our increased production capacity for this product. Average domestic prices for ethylene increased by 30.1% to R\$1,694 per ton in 2003 from R\$1,302 per ton in 2002.

Domestic sales volume of propylene to third parties increased by 2.9% to 399.2 thousand tons in 2003 from 388.1 thousand tons in 2002, principally due to the recovery of our production of propylene following the maintenance shutdown of one of our pyrolysis plants in 2002. Average domestic prices for propylene increased by 34.7% to R\$1,495 per ton in 2003 from R\$1,110 per ton in 2002.

Domestic sales volume of butadiene to third parties increased by 2.0% to 150.3 thousand tons in 2003 from 147.3 thousand tons in 2002, principally due to the recovery of our production of butadiene following the maintenance shutdown of one of our pyrolysis plants in 2002. Average domestic prices for butadiene increased by 55.4% to R\$1,870 per ton in 2003 from R\$1,203 per ton in 2002.

Cost of Sales and Services and Gross Profit. Cost of sales and services of the Basic Petrochemicals segment increased by 36.8% in 2003. This increase was primarily attributable to an increase of 34.1% in the average price of naphtha purchased in 2003, as well as the increased sales volume recorded in 2003. Naphtha accounted for 84.6% of the Basic Petrochemicals segment's cost of sales in 2003 and 83.2% in 2002.

Gross profit of the Basic Petrochemicals segment increased by 32.7% in 2003, and gross margin decreased to 13.7% for 2003 compared to 14.1% for 2002.

Operating Income. Operating income of the Basic Petrochemicals segment (which excludes financial income and expense and results from investment in associated companies) increased by 22.2% in 2003, principally as a result of a R\$161.0 million increase in gross profit. The increase in gross profit was partially offset by a R\$37.9 million increase in selling, general and administrative expenses, primarily as a result of the allocation of overhead expenses in 2003, and a R\$33.1 million decrease in other income, net, primarily as a result of the decline in amounts of PIS recovered in 2003 as compared to 2002. Operating margin of the Basic Petrochemical segment for 2003 was 10.5% compared with 11.7% in 2002.

Polyolefins

Net Sales Revenue. Net sales revenue of the Polyolefins segment increased by 36.4% in 2003. This increase was primarily attributable to a 32.1% increase in domestic sales of polypropylene, a 60.1% increase in export sales of polyethylene, a 16.0% increase in domestic sales of polyethylene and a 359.8% increase in export sales of polypropylene. Net export sales of the Polyolefins segment increased by 68.5% to R\$1,233.7 million in 2003 from R\$732.2 million in 2002. For more information about the sales volumes and net sales revenue of our polyolefins

products by product line and markets, see Item 4. Information on Our Company Overview of Our Company's Operations Products of Our Polyolefins Unit.

Table of Contents

Domestic sales volume of polyethylene decreased by 9.4% to 446.1 thousand tons in 2003 from 491.7 thousand tons in 2002, principally due to our strategic decision to maintain our margins on polyethylene despite decreased demand for polyethylene as a result of the recession in Brazil. Average domestic prices for polyethylene increased by 27.9% to R\$2,567 per ton in 2003 from R\$2,007 per ton in 2002.

Domestic sales volume of polypropylene decreased by 5.1% to 374.9 thousand tons in 2003 from 395.1 thousand tons in 2002, primarily as a result of reduced demand for polypropylene in 2003 and increased competition in this market as a result of the commencement of operations of Polibrasil's polypropylene plant in 2003. Average domestic prices for polypropylene increased by 39.2% to R\$2,689 per ton in 2003 from R\$1,931 per ton in 2002.

Export sales volume of polyethylene increased by 31.8% to 221.9 thousand tons in 2003 from 168.3 thousand tons in 2002, principally due to our strategic decision to increase our exports of polyethylene despite the lower margins available in the international market rather than lower our capacity utilization rate in response to the reduced domestic demand for polyethylene. Average export prices for polyethylene increased by 20.0% to R\$2,072 per ton in 2003 from R\$1,727 per ton in 2002.

Export sales volume of polypropylene increased by 306.2% to 66.2 thousand tons in 2003 from 16.3 thousand tons in 2002, principally due to our strategic decision to increase our exports of polypropylene despite the lower margins available in the international market rather than lower our capacity utilization rate in response to the reduced domestic demand for, and increased domestic supply of, polypropylene. Average export prices for polypropylene increased by 13.2% to R\$1,781 per ton in 2003 from R\$1,573 per ton in 2002.

Cost of Sales and Gross Profit. Cost of sales of the Polyolefins segment increased by 31.9% in 2003. This increase was primarily attributable to increases in the prices of ethylene and propylene, the principal raw materials of this segment, as well as our increased sales volume in 2003.

Gross profit of the Polyolefins segment increased by 58.9% in 2003, and gross margin increased to 19.7% in 2003 compared to 16.9% in 2002.

Operating Income. Operating income of the Polyolefins segment (which excludes financial income and expenses and results from investment in associated companies) increased by 86.0% in 2003, primarily as a result of the R\$247.2 million increase in the gross profit of this segment. Our Polyolefins segment reduced its selling, general and administrative expenses to 4.1% of net sales revenue in 2003 from 5.5% of net sales revenue in 2002, primarily as a result of cost cutting initiatives introduced and efficiencies achieved in this segment following our merger with OPP Produtos. Operating margin of the Polyolefins segment increased to 15.6% in 2003 compared to 11.5% in 2002.

Vinyls

Net Sales Revenue. Net sales revenue of the Vinyls segment increased by 22.7% in 2003. This increase was primarily attributable to an 18.4% increase in this segment's domestic sales, principally as a result of the increase in the average domestic prices of our vinyls products. Net export sales of this segment increased by 55.5% to R\$203.7 million in 2003 from R\$131.0 million in 2002. This increase in net export sales was primarily attributable to our increased export sales of PVC and EDC. For more information about the sales volumes and net sales revenue of our vinyls products by product line and markets, see Item 4. Information on Our Company Overview of Our Company's Operations Products of Our Vinyls Unit.

Domestic sales volume of PVC decreased by 2.2% to 342.4 thousand tons in 2003 from 350.1 thousand tons in 2002, principally due to reduced demand for applications in the infrastructure, sanitation and construction sectors. This reduced demand was partially offset by increased exports of PVC and increased demand for PVC for applications

in footwear, plastic films and laminates. Average domestic prices for PVC increased by 17.4% to R\$2,390 per ton in 2003 from R\$2,035 per ton in 2002.

Table of Contents

Export sales volume of PVC increased by 12.0% to 55.4 thousand tons in 2003 from 49.5 thousand tons in 2002, principally due to our strategic decision to increase our exports of PVC despite the lower margins available in the international market rather than lower our capacity utilization rate in response to the reduced domestic demand for PVC. Average export prices for PVC increased by 27.3% to R\$1,710 per ton in 2003 from R\$1,343 per ton in 2002.

Domestic sales of caustic soda increased by 6.4% to 426.6 thousand tons in 2003 from 400.9 thousand tons in 2002, principally due to increased demand by our customers in the domestic aluminum and pulp and paper industries. Average domestic prices for caustic soda increased by 20.1% to R\$681 per ton in 2003 from R\$567 per ton in 2002.

Export sales of EDC increased by 34.1% to 160.1 thousand tons in 2003 from 119.4 thousand tons in 2002, principally due to increased sales to our distributor in the Asian market as a result of increased demand by producers of PVC products in that market. Average export prices for EDC increased by 25.9% to R\$680 per ton in 2003 from R\$540 per ton in 2002, primarily due to the upward trend in international market prices for EDC in 2003 caused by, among other factors, the limited global production capacity for this product.

Cost of Sales and Gross Profit. Cost of sales of the Vinyls segment increased by 25.1% in 2003. This increase was primarily attributable to (1) the increased cost of ethylene, (2) the increased cost of electric power in 2003 resulting from the institution of a surcharge by the Brazilian federal energy regulator to compensate electric power distribution companies for losses attributable to the Brazilian government's electric power rationing program in 2001 and 2002, and (3) our increased sales volume for most of our vinyls products in 2003.

Gross profit of the Vinyls segment increased by 16.5% to R\$364.8 million in 2003 from R\$313.1 million in 2002, while gross margin declined to 26.6% in 2003 from 28.0% in 2002.

Operating Income. Operating income of the Vinyls segment (which excludes financial income and expenses and results from investment in associated companies) increased by 18.0% in 2003, primarily as a result of the increase in gross profits of this segment. Operating margin of the Vinyls segment declined to 22.9% in 2002 from 23.8% in 2002.

Business Development

Our Business Development Unit is responsible for managing certain of our minority investments, principally our investments in Petroflex and Cetrel. However, as the results of our investments managed by our Business Development Unit are reported as investments in associated companies, the results of these companies are not included in the following segment discussion.

Net Sales Revenue. Net sales revenue of our Business Development segment increased by 56.6% in 2003. This increase was primarily attributable to the effects of our merger with 52114 Participações, through which we acquired the caprolactam and other operations of Nitrocarbano in August 2002. Net export sales of this segment, consisting primarily of caprolactam, increased to R\$34.3 million in 2003 from R\$20.1 million in 2002 due to the effects of our merger with 52114 Participações. For more information about the sales volumes and net sales revenue of our business development products by product line and markets, see Item 4. Information on Our Company Overview of Our Company's Operations Products of Our Business Development Unit.

Domestic sales volume of PET decreased by 7.9% to 55.1 thousand tons in 2003 from 59.8 thousand tons in 2002, principally due to reduced demand for PET for soft drink packaging applications. This reduced demand was partially offset by increased demand for PET for packaging applications in the cleaning products, cosmetics and pharmaceuticals industries. Average domestic prices for PET increased by 19.9% to R\$3,056 per ton in 2003 from R\$2,548 per ton in 2002.

Domestic sales volume of caprolactam increased by 181.7% to 42.5 thousand tons in 2003 from 15.1 thousand tons in 2002, principally due to the effect of our merger with 52114 Participações. Average domestic prices for

Table of Contents

caprolactam increased by 14.2% to R\$4,237 per ton in 2003 from R\$3,711 per ton in 2002, primarily as a result of a 42.8% increase in the average domestic price of benzene, the principal raw material used to manufacture caprolactam.

Cost of Sales and Gross Profit. Cost of sales of the Business Development segment increased by 69.4% in 2003, reflecting the effects of our merger with 52114 Participações and the increased cost for caprolactam on a unit basis due to the shutdown of our caprolactam plant in the first quarter of 2003 for maintenance, and in the third quarter of 2003 due to temporary problems with the quality of the ammonia delivered to this plant. Gross profit of the Business Development segment decreased by 13.9% in 2003, resulting in a gross margin for 2003 of 8.5% from 15.4% in 2002.

Operating Income. Operating income of the Business Development segment (which excludes financial income and expenses and results from investment in associated companies) declined by 18.4% in 2003, principally as a result of a R\$9.6 million increase in the selling, general and administrative expenses of this segment due to the effects of our merger with 52114 Participações and the R\$6.2 million decline in this segment's gross profit. These factors were partially offset by a R\$9.6 million increase in other income, net, primarily as a result of our receipt of insurance proceeds related to the interruption of our caprolactam plant's operations. Operating margin of the Business Development segment declined to 6.3% in 2003 from 12.1% in 2002.

Year Ended December 31, 2002 Compared with Year Ended December 31, 2001*Combined Results*

The following table sets forth combined financial information for our company for each of the two years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001.

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2002	2001
	Combined	Combined
	(in millions of reais)	
Net sales revenue	R\$ 7,576.6	R\$ 4,459.5
Cost of sales and services rendered	(6,175.5)	(3,637.6)
Gross profit	1,401.1	821.9
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(577.7)	(210.3)
Investment in associated companies, net	(251.7)	(214.3)
Depreciation and amortization	(222.4)	(111.3)
Financial expenses, net	(2,861.9)	(506.5)
Other operating income	1,132.7	103.3
Operating loss	(1,379.9)	(117.2)
Non-operating expenses, net	(98.0)	(120.8)
Income (loss) before income tax and social contribution and minority interest	(1,477.9)	(238.0)

Income tax and social contribution	<u>(89.8)</u>	<u>(77.6)</u>
Loss before minority interest	(1,567.7)	(315.6)
Minority interest	<u>189.0</u>	<u>(108.9)</u>
Net loss for the year	<u>R\$(1,378.7)</u>	<u>R\$ (424.5)</u>

Table of Contents

Net Sales Revenue

Net sales revenue increased by 69.9% in 2002, primarily as a result of the inclusion of the net sales revenue of the Polyolefins, Vinyls and Business Development Units in our net sales revenue following the date of our acquisition of Nova Camaçari and the OPP Produtos Merger. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, our net sales revenue increased by 66.0% in 2002, primarily as a result of the inclusion of the net sales revenue of the Polyolefins, Vinyls and Business Development Units following the date of our acquisition of Nova Camaçari and our merger with OPP Produtos.

Cost of Sales and Services Rendered and Gross Profit

Cost of sales and services rendered increased by 69.8% in 2002, primarily as a result of the inclusion of the cost of sales of the Polyolefins, Vinyls and Business Development Units following the date of our acquisition of Nova Camaçari and our merger with OPP Produtos. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, our cost of sales and services rendered increased by 66.4% in 2002, primarily as a result of the inclusion of the cost of sales of the Polyolefins, Vinyls and Business Development Units following the date of our acquisition of Nova Camaçari and our merger with OPP Produtos.

As a result, gross profit increased by 70.5% in 2002. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, gross profit increased by 64.5% in 2002.

Gross margin increased slightly to 18.5% in 2002 from 18.4% in 2001.

Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, gross margin declined to 18.0% for 2002 from 18.2% in 2001.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by 174.7% in 2002, primarily as a result of the inclusion of the selling expenses of the Polyolefins, Vinyls and Business Development Units following the date of our acquisition of Nova Camaçari and our merger with OPP Produtos. As a percentage of net sales, selling, general and administrative expenses increased to 7.6% of net sales revenue in 2002 from 4.7% in 2001, principally as a result of the incurrence of expenses related to our mergers with OPP Produtos and 52114 Participações in 2002. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, selling, general and administrative expenses increased by 189.3% in 2002, and selling, general and administrative expenses increased to 7.6% of net sales revenue in 2002 from 4.4% in 2001.

Investment in Associated Companies, Net

Investment in associated companies, net, increased by 17.4% in 2002, primarily as a result of the amortization of goodwill on the associated companies from the acquisition of Nova Camaçari and our merger with OPP Produtos. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, investment in associated companies, net, expenses increased by 34.7% in 2002.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization increased by 99.8% in 2002, primarily as a result of the inclusion of a portion of the depreciation and amortization expenses of Copesul following the date of our merger with OPP Produtos.

Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, depreciation and amortization increased by 51.3% in 2002.

Table of Contents*Financial Expenses, Net*

Financial expenses, net increased by 465.0% in 2002, primarily as a result of the inclusion of the indebtedness from the companies that we acquired in the acquisition of Nova Camaçari and our merger with OPP Produtos. In addition, our financial expenses, net increased as a result of the effects of the *real*/U.S. dollar exchange rate on our U.S. dollar-denominated and U.S. dollar-indexed assets and liabilities. The 34.3% devaluation of the *real* against the U.S. dollar in 2002 resulted in a financial expense of R\$2,076.1 million related to the exchange rate effect on our U.S. dollar-denominated and U.S. dollar-indexed liabilities and financial income of R\$137.5 million related to the exchange rate effect on our U.S. dollar-denominated assets. In contrast, as a result of the 15.7% depreciation of the *real* against the U.S. dollar in 2001, we recorded financial expense of R\$290.7 million related to the exchange rate effect on our U.S. dollar-denominated and U.S. dollar-indexed liabilities and financial income of R\$92.8 million related to the exchange rate effect on our U.S. dollar-denominated assets.

Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, financial expenses, net increased by 495.7% in 2002.

Other Operating Income

Other operating income increased to R\$1,132.7 million in 2002 from R\$103.3 million in 2001, primarily as a result of our recognition of the IPI tax credit of R\$1,030.1 million that was recorded in the fourth quarter of 2002 as a result of a final judgment by the Brazilian Federal Supreme Court. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, other operating income increased to R\$1,113.8 million in 2002 from R\$102.6 million in 2001.

Operating Loss

Operating loss increased to R\$1,379.9 million in 2002 from R\$117.2 million in 2001. Operating loss represented 18.2% of net sales revenue in 2002 compared to 2.6% of net sales revenue in 2001. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, operating loss increased to R\$1,445.9 million in 2002 from R\$140.2 million in 2001, and operating loss increased to 21.1% of net sales revenue in 2002 from 3.4% of net sales revenue in 2001.

Non-Operating Expenses, Net

Non-operating expenses decreased by 18.9% in 2002. This decrease was primarily as a result of higher expenses on disposal of permanent assets in 2001. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, non-operating expenses decreased by 36.1% in 2002.

Income Tax and Social Contribution

Income tax and social contribution increased by 15.7% in 2002, primarily as a result of a R\$45.3 million increase of non-deductible amortization of goodwill, despite our larger losses in 2002 which was offset by a valuation allowance on our net operating loss carryforward. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, income tax and social contribution increased by 3.0% in 2002.

Minority Interest

Minority interest was R\$189.0 million in 2002 compared to negative R\$108.9 million in 2001. The gain recorded in 2002 primarily represented the proportion of the R\$476.7 million loss sustained by Trikem in that year that was

attributable to the interests in Trikem held by its minority shareholders. In addition, the gain in 2002 was partially offset by the proportion of income earned by Polialden in 2002 that was attributable to the interests in Polialden held by its minority shareholders. In 2001, both Trikem and Polialden recorded net income, a portion of which was recorded as minority interest by our company to reflect the proportional interests of the minority

Table of Contents

shareholders in these companies. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, minority interest was R\$199.1 million in 2002 compared to negative R\$112.2 million in 2001.

Net Loss

Net loss increased by 224.8% in 2002. Net loss represented 18.2% of net sales revenue in 2002, compared to 9.5% of net sales revenue in 2001.

Business Segment Results

The following table sets forth combined financial information for our business segments for each of the two years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001.

	Year Ended December 31,	
	Combined	
	2002	2001
	(in millions of reais, except percentages)	
Basic Petrochemicals		
Net sales revenue	R\$ 3,499.1	R\$ 3,143.9
Cost of sales	(3,006.3)	(2,746.5)
Gross profit	492.8	397.4
Operating income (1)	409.1	299.1
Gross margin (%)	14.1%	12.6%
Operating margin (%)	11.7%	9.5%
Polyolefins		
Net sales revenue	R\$ 2,482.3	R\$ 896.8
Cost of sales	(2,062.4)	(679.1)
Gross profit	419.9	217.7
Operating income (1)	284.7	139.2
Gross margin (%)	16.9%	24.3%
Operating margin (%)	11.5%	15.5%
Vinyls		
Net sales revenue	R\$ 1,117.8	R\$ 377.5
Cost of sales	(804.7)	(274.9)
Gross profit	313.1	102.6
Operating income (1)	265.8	141.7
Gross margin (%)	28.0%	27.2%
Operating margin (%)	23.8%	37.5%
Business Development		
Net sales revenue	R\$ 290.8	R\$ 72.7
Cost of sales	(246.1)	(61.4)
Gross profit	44.7	11.3
Operating income (1)	35.3	7.7
Gross margin (%)	15.4%	15.5%

Operating margin (%)	12.1%	10.6%
----------------------	-------	-------

(1) Operating income does not include financial income and expenses.

Basic Petrochemicals

Net Sales Revenue. Net sales revenue of our Basic Petrochemicals segment increased by 6.1% in 2002. Significant factors contributing to this growth were:

a R\$111.9 million, or 35.1%, increase in net sales revenue from domestic sales of propylene to third parties;

Table of Contents

a R\$99.1 million, or 167.4%, increase in net sales revenue from export sales of benzene to third parties;

a R\$72.1 million, or 83.4%, increase in net sales revenue from export sales of methyl tertiary butyl ether, or MTBE, to third parties;

a R\$68.8 million, or 61.4%, increase in net sales revenue from domestic sales of benzene to third parties; and

a R\$41.5 million, or 71.6%, increase in net sales revenue from export sales of propylene to third parties.

These factors were partially offset by:

a R\$302.2 million, or 30.8%, decline in net sales revenue from domestic sales of ethylene to third parties; and

a R\$49.2 million, or 40.8%, decline in net sales revenue from domestic sales of para-xylene to third parties.

Production and sales volumes of some of our basic petrochemical products were affected in 2002 by the scheduled shutdown of one of our pyrolysis plants for 92 days in 2002. Domestic sales volumes of certain of our basic petrochemical products decreased in 2002 primarily due to the effects of our acquisition of Nova Camaçari and our merger with OPP Produtos. Prior to the date of our acquisition of Nova Camaçari, sales of our basic petrochemicals products to the companies that currently form our other segments were classified as sales to third parties. Sales to Polialden, OPP Química, Trikem and Proppet following our acquisition of Nova Camaçari were classified as intercompany sales in our consolidated accounting records.

Sales of basic petrochemicals by our Basic Petrochemicals segment to our other segments increased by 61.7% to R\$739.0 million in 2002 from R\$457.0 million in 2001, and sales of utilities by our Basic Petrochemicals segment to our other segments increased by 34.3% to R\$76.3 million in 2002 from R\$56.8 million in 2001. Sales of utilities to third parties increased by 8.5% to R\$244.0 million in 2002 from R\$224.9 million in 2001. Net export sales of the Basic Petrochemicals segment increased by 40.0% to R\$392.2 million in 2002 from R\$280.2 million in 2001, primarily as a result of the commencement of exports of automotive gasoline and of a 68.8% increase in the average export price of benzene.

Domestic sales volume of propylene to third parties decreased by 5.9% to 388.1 thousand tons in 2002 from 412.6 thousand tons in 2001, principally due to a scheduled shutdown of one of our pyrolysis plants and the effects of our acquisition of Nova Camaçari and our merger with OPP Produtos. Average domestic prices for propylene increased by 43.6% to R\$1,110 per ton in 2002 from R\$773 per ton in 2001.

Export sales volume of benzene increased by 2.8% in 2002 to 96.3 thousand tons from 93.7 thousand tons in 2001, principally due to attractive export opportunities. Average export prices for benzene increased by 68.8% to R\$1,067 per ton in 2002 from R\$632 per ton in 2001.

Export sales volume of MTBE decreased by 5.7% in 2002 to 104.6 thousand tons from 110.9 thousand tons in 2001, principally due to a scheduled shutdown of our pyrolysis plants. Average export prices for MTBE increased by 26.3% to R\$985 per ton in 2002 from R\$780 per ton in 2001.

Domestic sales volume of benzene to third parties increased by 13.9% to 198.5 thousand tons in 2002 from 174.2 thousand tons in 2001, principally due to the recovery and growth in 2002 of the domestic benzene market. Average domestic prices for benzene increased by 41.7% to R\$911 per ton in 2002 from R\$643 per ton in 2001.

Export sales volume of propylene decreased by 31.4% in 2002 to 48.4 thousand tons from 70.6 thousand tons in 2001, principally due to a scheduled shutdown of our pyrolysis plants. Average export prices for propylene increased by 62.8% to R\$1,335 per ton in 2002 from R\$820 per ton in 2001.

Domestic sales volume of ethylene to third parties decreased by 42.8% to 522.8 thousand tons in 2002 from 914.6 thousand tons in 2001, principally due to a scheduled shutdown of one of our pyrolysis plants and the effects

Table of Contents

of our acquisition of Nova Camaçari and our merger with OPP Produtos. Average domestic prices for ethylene increased by 21.2% to R\$1,302 per ton in 2002 from R\$1,074 per ton in 2001.

Domestic sales volume of para-xylene to third parties decreased by 52.8% to 54.2 thousand tons in 2002 from 114.8 thousand tons in 2001, principally due to a scheduled shutdown of one of our pyrolysis plants and the effects of our acquisition of Nova Camaçari. Average domestic prices for para-xylene increased by 25.3% to R\$1,317 per ton in 2002 from R\$1,051 per ton in 2001, primarily as a result of increases in international market prices for ethylene in 2002 coupled with the effect of the significant devaluation of the *real* in 2002.

Cost of Sales and Services and Gross Profit. Cost of sales and services of the Basic Petrochemicals segment increased by 3.7% in 2002. This increase was primarily attributable to a 22.7% increase in the average price of naphtha we purchased in 2002. Naphtha accounted for 83.2% of the Basic Petrochemicals segment's cost of sales in 2002 and 75.0% in 2001.

Gross profit of the Basic Petrochemicals segment increased by 24.0% in 2002, and gross margin increased to 14.1% in 2002 from 12.1% in 2001.

Operating Income. The operating income of the Basic Petrochemicals segment (which excludes financial income and expenses and results from investment in associated companies) increased by 36.8% in 2002, principally as a result of a R\$95.4 million increase in the gross profit of this segment and a R\$59.3 million increase in other income, net, primarily as a result of the increase in amounts of PIS recovered in 2002 as compared to 2001. These factors were partially offset by a R\$41.7 million increase in selling, general and administrative expenses due to certain non-recurring expenses incurred in connection with our acquisitions and mergers and our integration with the companies that we acquired and with which we merged. The Basic Petrochemical segment's operating margin increased to 11.7% in 2002 from 9.1% in 2001.

Polyolefins

Net Sales Revenue. Net sales revenue of our Polyolefins segment increased by 176.8% in 2002. This increase was primarily attributable to the effects of our merger with OPP Produtos and the acquisition of Nova Camaçari, as we did not produce polyolefins products prior to the date of our acquisition of Nova Camaçari. Net export sales of the Polyolefins segment increased by 267.9% to R\$732.2 million in 2002 from R\$199.0 million in 2001. This increase in net export sales was primarily attributable to the effects of our merger with OPP Produtos.

Net sales revenue of our Polyolefins segment increased by 27.6% to R\$2,482.3 million in 2002 as compared to aggregate net sales revenue of OPP Química and Polialden of R\$1,945.5 million in 2001. This increase was primarily attributable to the 33.7% increase of net export sales of polyethylene by the Polyolefins segment to R\$290.7 million in 2002 as compared to aggregate net export sales of polyethylene by OPP Química and Polialden of R\$217.4 million in 2001 and the 24.6% increase of domestic sales of polypropylene by the Polyolefins segment to R\$763.2 million in 2002 as compared to aggregate domestic sales of polypropylene by OPP Química and Polialden of R\$612.7 million in 2001.

Domestic sales volume of polyethylene decreased by 0.2% to 491.7 thousand tons in 2002 as compared to an aggregate of 492.7 thousand tons for OPP Química and Polialden in 2001, principally as a result of the reduced supply of ethylene available from our Basic Petrochemicals Unit as a result of the maintenance shutdown of one of our pyrolysis plants in 2002. Average domestic prices for polyethylene increased by 4.5% to R\$2,007 per ton in 2002 from R\$1,920 per ton in 2001.

Domestic sales volume of polypropylene increased by 16.7% to 395.1 thousand tons in 2002 as compared to an aggregate of 338.5 thousand tons for OPP Química and Polialden in 2001, reflecting improved demand for polypropylene in 2002 as a result of the growth of the Brazilian economy and the termination in February 2002 of the Brazilian government's electric power rationing program initiated in June 2001. Average domestic prices for polypropylene increased by 6.7% to R\$1,931 per ton in 2002 from R\$1,810 per ton in 2001.

Table of Contents

Export sales volume of polyethylene increased by 24.0% to 163.3 thousand tons in 2002 as compared to an aggregate of 135.8 thousand tons for OPP Química and Polialden in 2001, principally as a result of favorable export prices. Average export prices for polyethylene increased by 7.9% to R\$1,727 per ton in 2002 from R\$1,601 per ton in 2001.

Export sales volume of polypropylene decreased by 57.5% to 16.3 thousand tons in 2002 as compared to an aggregate of 38.4 thousand tons for OPP Química and Polialden in 2001, reflecting our decision to decrease exports of polypropylene to take advantage of the improved demand for polypropylene in the domestic market. Average export prices for polypropylene increased by 24.2% to R\$1,573 per ton in 2002 from R\$1,267 per ton in 2001.

Cost of Sales and Gross Profit. Cost of sales of the Polyolefins segment increased by 203.7% in 2002. This increase was primarily attributable to the effects of our merger with OPP Produtos and the acquisition of Nova Camaçari. Gross profit of the Polyolefins segment increased by 92.9% in 2002, primarily due to the effects of our merger with OPP Produtos and the acquisition of Nova Camaçari.

Cost of sales of the Polyolefins segment increased by 37.3% to R\$2,062.4 million in 2002 as compared to aggregate cost of sales of OPP Química and Polialden of R\$1,502.4 million in 2001. This increase was primarily due to (1) increases in the cost of ethylene and propylene in 2002, (2) the inclusion of freight costs in cost of sales in 2002, and (3) our increased sales volume in 2002. Freight costs were previously classified as selling, general and administrative expenses.

Gross margin for the Polyolefins segment decreased to 16.9% in 2002 from 24.3% in 2001. This decrease was primarily due to increases in the cost of ethylene and propylene in 2002 resulting from the significant devaluation of the *real*, which we were not able to pass through quickly to our customers through increases in the prices of our polyolefins products and to the inclusion of freight costs in cost of sales in 2002.

Gross profit of the Polyolefins segment decreased by 5.3% to R\$419.9 million in 2002 as compared to aggregate gross profit of OPP Química and Polialden of R\$443.2 million in 2001, and gross margin decreased to 16.9% in 2002 from 22.8% in 2001, primarily as a result of our inability to pass through the full increased cost of raw materials to our customers through increases in the prices of our polyolefins products.

Operating Income. Operating income of the Polyolefins segment (which excludes financial income and expenses and results from investment in associated companies) increased by 104.5% in 2002, primarily due to a R\$202.2 million increase in this segment's gross profit and a R\$49.6 million increase in selling, general and administrative expenses, primarily due to the effects of our merger with OPP Produtos and the acquisition of Nova Camaçari.

Operating income of the Polyolefins segment increased by 13.5% to R\$284.7 million in 2002 as compared to aggregate operating income of OPP Química and Polialden of R\$250.8 million in 2001, principally due to a R\$54.3 million decline in selling, general and administrative expenses, primarily due to the effects of the reclassification of freight expenses as part of cost of sales in 2002. Operating margin for 2002 decreased to 11.5% from 15.5% in 2001.

Vinyls

Net Sales Revenue. Net sales revenue of the Vinyls segment increased by 196.1% in 2002. This increase was primarily attributable to the effects of our merger with OPP Produtos, as we did not produce vinyls products prior to July 25, 2001. Net export sales of the Vinyls segment increased by 118.5% to R\$131.0 million in 2002 from R\$60.0 million in 2001. This increase in net export sales was primarily attributable to the effects of our merger with OPP Produtos.

Net sales revenue of our Vinyls unit increased by 18.4% to R\$1,117.8 million in 2002 as compared to net sales revenue of Trikem of R\$944.2 million in 2001. This increase was primarily attributable to a 30.6% increase in domestic sales of PVC and a 284.7% increase in export sales of EDC. Net export sales of the Vinyls segment

Table of Contents

increased by 77.5% in 2002 to R\$131.0 million as compared to net export sales by Trikem of R\$73.8 million in 2001.

Domestic sales volume of PVC by the Vinyls segment increased by 6.9% to 350.1 thousand tons in 2002 as compared to Trikem's sales volume of 327.6 thousand tons in 2001, principally as a result of the return to normal production and consumption levels in 2002 following the termination of the Brazilian government's electric power rationing program, which had depressed domestic consumption in 2001. Average domestic prices for PVC increased by 22.3% to R\$2,034 per ton in 2002 from R\$1,664 per ton in 2001.

Domestic sales volume of caustic soda by the Vinyls segment increased by 11.8% to 400.9 thousand tons in 2002 as compared to Trikem's sales volume of 358.7 thousand tons in 2001, principally due to higher domestic demand for caustic soda stemming from the termination of the Brazilian government's electric power rationing program. Average domestic prices for caustic soda decreased by 31.8% to R\$567 per ton in 2002 from R\$832 per ton in 2001.

Export sales volume of EDC by the Vinyls segment increased by 16.0% to 119.4 thousand tons in 2002 as compared to Trikem's sales volume of 102.9 thousand tons in 2002, principally due increased sales to our distributor in the Asian market as a result of increased demand for EDC by producers of PVC products in this market. Average export prices for EDC increased by 231.3% to R\$540 per ton in 2002 from R\$163 per ton in 2001, primarily due to the upward trend in international market prices for EDC in 2002 caused by, among other factors, the limited global production capacity for this product, coupled with the effects of the significant devaluation of the *real* in 2002.

Cost of Sales and Gross Profit. Cost of sales of the Vinyls segment increased by 192.7% in 2002. This increase was primarily attributable to the effects of our merger with OPP Produtos.

Cost of sales of the Vinyls segment increased by 25.5% to R\$804.7 million in 2002 as compared to Trikem's cost of sales of R\$641.1 million in 2001. This increase was primarily a result of increases in the prices that this segment paid for ethylene and electric power, as well as our increased sales volume in 2002.

Gross profit of the Vinyls segment increased by 205.2% in 2002, and gross margin of the Vinyls segment declined to 28.0% for 2002 from 27.2% in 2001. This decline in gross margin was primarily due to the higher unit costs of the products of this segment in 2002 as a result of increases in the prices that this segment paid for ethylene and electric power.

Gross profit of the Vinyls segment increased by 3.3% to R\$313.1 million in 2002 as compared to Trikem's gross profit of R\$303.1 million in 2001, and gross margin decreased to 28.0% in 2002 from 32.1% in 2001.

Operating Income. The operating income of the Vinyls segment (which excludes financial income and expenses and results from investment in associated companies) increased by 87.6% in 2002, primarily as a result of an increase of R\$210.5 million in this segment's gross profit. This increase was partially offset by a decrease of R\$42.0 million in other income, net, primarily as a result of the effects of an insurance recovery recorded in 2001 in connection with an industrial accident at our PVC plant in Alagoas. This segment's selling, general and administrative expenses in 2002 decreased as a percentage of its net sales revenue from 1.4% in 2001 to 4.3% in 2002. Operating margin of the Vinyls segment declined to 23.8% in 2002 from 37.5% in 2001.

Operating income of our Vinyls segment declined by 21.5% to R\$265.8 million in 2002 as compared to Trikem's operating income of R\$338.6 million in 2001, principally as a result of a decrease of R\$41.5 million in other income, net, primarily as a result of the effects of an insurance recovery recorded in 2001 in connection with an industrial accident at our PVC plant in Alagoas. Operating margin of the Vinyls segment for 2002 was 23.8% in 2002 as compared to Trikem's operating margin of 32.7% in 2001.

Table of Contents

Business Development

Net Sales Revenue. Net sales revenue of the Business Development segment increased by 300.0% in 2002. This increase was primarily attributable to effects of our merger with 52114 Participações and the acquisition of Proppet on July 25, 2001. Domestic sales volumes of PET increased by 148.2% to 59.8 thousand tons in 2002 from 24.1 thousand tons in 2001. Domestic sales volume of caprolactam was 15.1 thousand tons in 2002. We did not sell caprolactam prior to our acquisition of Nitrocarbano in our merger with 52114 Participações. Net export sales of this segment, consisting primarily of caprolactam, was R\$20.1 million in 2002. Exports of this segment were insignificant in 2001.

Cost of Sales and Gross Profit. Cost of sales of the Business Development segment increased by 300.8% in 2002, reflecting the effects of our merger with 52114 Participações and our acquisition of Proppet.

Gross profit of this segment increased by 295.6% in 2002. The gross margin of this segment remained relatively stable at 15.4% in 2002 compared to 15.5% in 2001.

Operating Income. Operating income of the Business Development segment (which excludes financial income and expenses and results from investment in associated companies) increased by 358.4% in 2002, primarily as a result of an increase of R\$33.4 million in this segment's gross profit. This increase was partially offset by a R\$7.6 million increase in selling, general and administrative expenses attributable to effects of our merger with 52114 Participações and the acquisition of Proppet on July 25, 2001. Operating margin of the Business Development segment increased to 12.1% in 2002 from 10.6% in 2001.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal cash requirements consist of the following:

working capital requirements;

the servicing of our indebtedness;

capital expenditures related to investments in operations, maintenance and expansion of plant facilities; and

in the future, dividends on our shares, including in the form of interest attributable to shareholders' equity.

Our principal sources of liquidity have traditionally consisted of the following:

cash flows from operating activities;

short-term and long-term borrowings; and

sales of debt securities in domestic and international capital markets.

In 2003, cash flow generated by operations was used primarily for investing activities, for working capital requirements and to service our outstanding debt obligations. At December 31, 2003, our consolidated cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities amounted to R\$1,184.3 million, including R\$148.1 million that has been included in our consolidated financial statements due to the effects of proportional consolidation and to which we do not generally have access because we jointly control our proportionally consolidated companies with third parties.

Working Capital

We had consolidated negative working capital of R\$726.8 million at December 31, 2003. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, we had consolidated negative working capital

Table of Contents

of R\$913.0 million at December 31, 2003. Our working capital deficit at December 31, 2003 principally reflected the level of our outstanding short-term indebtedness, including the current portion of our long-term debt. Part of our debt strategy over the next few years involves the use of a substantial portion of our consolidated cash flow, including from synergies resulting from our mergers with OPP Produtos and 52114 Participações, to pay principal and interest with respect to this indebtedness, particularly the dollar-denominated portion of our debt not associated with trade finance lines, including by repaying short-term debt through longer-term borrowings and issuing longer-term debt securities.

As a result of our debt strategy and the improvement of our results of operations, our consolidated negative working capital decreased by 56.6% to R\$726.8 million at December 31, 2003 from R\$1,672.9 million at December 31, 2002. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, our consolidated negative working capital decreased by 45.0% to R\$913.0 million in 2003 from R\$1,658.9 million at December 31, 2002. After December 31, 2003, we reduced our short-term indebtedness by issuing and selling US\$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 11.75% Notes due 2014 under our medium-term note program and R\$1,200.0 million aggregate principal amount of our eleventh series of debentures in January and February 2004. See Recent Developments. Some of these debentures were issued in exchange for a portion of our outstanding debt and the remainder of the proceeds of these transactions was used to repay a portion of our short-term debt. As a result, the average tenor of our indebtedness has been extended and we have experienced an increase in our liquidity. We believe that the proceeds of the offerings concluded in January and February 2004, together with our cash flows generated by operations, will be sufficient to meet our short-term financial obligations during 2004.

Our consolidated current assets increased by R\$527.1 million to R\$4,077.5 million at December 31, 2003 from R\$3,550.4 million at December 31, 2002. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, our consolidated current assets increased by R\$580.7 million to R\$3,654.5 million at December 31, 2003 compared to R\$3,073.8 million at December 31, 2002.

The increase in our consolidated current assets during 2003 was largely due to:

an increase of R\$363.3 million in cash and cash equivalents and other investments, primarily as a result of our strategy to increase the amount of our liquid assets;

an increase of R\$257.2 million in trade accounts receivable, primarily as a result of the higher overall sales volume and prices during the period in which the receivables recorded at December 31, 2003 were generated than during the corresponding period in 2002; and

an increase of R\$182.5 million in inventories, primarily as a result of our increased production in late 2003 of certain products for inventory in anticipation of the shutdown of our Olefins 2 and Aromatics units in February 2004 for maintenance and inspection.

The increases in 2003 were partially offset by a R\$296.9 million decrease in taxes recoverable, primarily as a result of our use of tax credits to offset federal taxes payable.

Our other short-term investments balance totaled R\$494.7 million at December 31, 2003 compared with R\$619.3 million at December 31, 2002. Of the balance at December 31, 2003, R\$434.6 million consisted of interests in an investment fund managed, on a discretionary basis, by a leading international bank. The substantial portion of the assets of this investment fund was comprised of U.S. dollar-denominated debt securities issued by our company. We believe that the fund manager plans to remarket these debt securities from time to time and realize funds from the resale of these debt securities. In the event that investor interest in debt securities of our company specifically or Brazilian issuers generally deteriorates, then the market value of the investment fund would decline and the fund manager's ability to resell our debt securities would be affected, which could adversely impact our working capital and liquidity position. In addition, the U.S. GAAP reconciliation of shareholders' equity eliminates the investment balances

consisting of our own debt securities in the fund on the asset side of our balance sheet against long-term indebtedness on the liability side of our balance sheet. See note 29(p) to our audited consolidated and combined financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report.

Table of Contents

Our consolidated current liabilities decreased by R\$419.0 million to R\$4,804.3 million at December 31, 2003 compared to R\$5,223.3 million at December 31, 2002. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, our consolidated current liabilities decreased by R\$165.2 million to R\$4,567.5 million at December 31, 2003 compared to R\$4,732.7 million at December 31, 2002. The decrease in our consolidated current liabilities was largely due to a decrease of R\$525.4 million in suppliers, primarily as a result of our reduction of our accounts payable to Petrobras and Copesul as part of our strategy to reduce our use of relatively expensive credit lines from suppliers.

Projected Sources and Uses of Cash

We anticipate that we will be required to spend approximately R\$7,232.5 million to meet our short-term contractual obligations and commitments and budgeted capital expenditures in 2004, without giving effect to proportional consolidation. We expect that we will meet these cash requirements through a combination of cash generated from operating activities and cash generated by financing activities, including the proceeds of offerings that we concluded in January and February 2004 and the syndicated credit agreement, secured credit agreement and export prepayment facility that we entered into in June and July 2004, new debt financing and the refinancing of our existing short-term indebtedness as it becomes due.

We anticipate that we will be required to spend approximately R\$9,531.2 million to meet our long-term contractual obligations and commitments and budgeted capital expenditures through 2006, without giving effect to proportional consolidation. We anticipate that we will meet these cash requirements through a combination of: (1) cash generated from operating activities; (2) the sale of certain non-core assets; (3) dividends received from our subsidiaries and associated companies; and (4) cash generated by financing activities, including new debt financing and the refinancing of our indebtedness as it becomes due.

Cash Flows

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was R\$580.5 million in 2003, R\$790.0 million in 2002 and R\$1,453.9 million in 2001. Without giving effect to proportional consolidation, net cash provided by operating activities was R\$431.9 million in 2003, R\$708.6 million in 2002 and R\$1,196.2 million in 2001.

The significant factors that led to the generation of our consolidated cash flows from operating activities in 2003 included our net income of R\$215.1 million, and a R\$321.2 million decrease in our taxes recoverable primarily as a result of the use of our tax credits to offset R\$364.9 million of our federal tax assessment in 2003.

These positive effects on our cash flows from operations were partially offset by the effects of, among other factors:

- a R\$609.7 million decrease in our accounts payable to suppliers as a result of our reduced reliance on this high-cost source of financing;

- a R\$238.9 million increase in our trade accounts receivable resulting from increased prices for certain of our principal products due to our realignment of the prices of certain of our principal products with international market prices in 2003; and

- a R\$197.3 million increase in inventories resulting from our production of certain products for inventory in late 2003 in anticipation of the shutdown of our Olefins 2 and Aromatics units for maintenance in early 2004.

The significant factors that led to the generation of our consolidated cash flows from operating activities in 2002 included a R\$1,482.5 million increase in our balance due to suppliers as a result of our increased reliance on financing of purchases of our primary raw materials in 2002. This positive effect on our cash flows from operations was partially offset by the effects of (1) a R\$809.6 million increase in our trade accounts receivable resulting from

Table of Contents

increased prices for our products primarily due to the significant devaluation of the *real* in 2002, and (2) a R\$425.3 million increase in our other investments in 2002.

The significant factors that led to the generation of our consolidated cash flows from operating activities in 2001 included:

a R\$213.0 million decrease in our other investments as a result of our disposition of these investments; and

a R\$191.3 million decrease in our trade accounts receivable resulting from the reduced volume of our domestic sales as a result of the Brazilian government's electric power rationing program that was initiated in June 2001.

Cash Flows Used in Investing Activities

Investing activities used net cash of R\$460.4 million in 2003, R\$646.7 million in 2002, and R\$862.2 million in 2001. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, investing activities used net cash of R\$494.8 million in 2003, R\$611.0 million in 2002, and R\$1,086.4 million in 2001.

In 2003, our cash investments on a consolidated basis primarily consisted of additions to equipment related to upgrading, maintaining and modernizing the Olefins 1 unit of our Basic Petrochemicals Unit during a scheduled shutdown. In 2002, our cash investments on a consolidated basis primarily consisted of additions to property, plant and equipment related to maintaining one of our pyrolysis plants and expanding the production capacity of the Olefins 1 unit of our Basic Petrochemicals Unit during a scheduled shutdown. In 2001, our cash investments on a consolidated basis primarily consisted of acquisitions made by Nova Camaçari prior to our acquisition of Nova Camaçari.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Financing activities provided net cash of R\$367.8 million in 2003, used net cash of R\$237.2 million in 2002, and used net cash of R\$404.9 million in 2001. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, financing activities provided net cash of R\$481.9 million in 2003, used net cash of R\$185.0 million in 2002, and provided net cash of R\$88.4 million in 2001.

In 2003, our principal source of long-term borrowed funds consisted of issuances in an aggregate amount of US\$461.0 million under our medium-term note program and US\$30.0 million of proceeds of a syndicated, secured pre-export finance facility. We used cash in 2003 to repay R\$389.3 million of our outstanding long-term debt and to repay R\$854.7 million of our outstanding short-term debt as part of our strategy to increase the average maturity of our indebtedness. We borrowed R\$833.6 million on market terms from related parties, principally from Copesul Trading International Inc. and Petroflex, to finance our working capital requirements during 2003 and repaid R\$843.2 million to these related parties.

In 2002, our principal sources of long-term borrowed funds consisted of an issuance of unsecured convertible debentures in an aggregate amount of R\$591.9 million, and an export prepayment financing in an aggregate amount of US\$97.2 million. We used cash in 2002 to reduce our outstanding short-term debt by R\$566.8 million as part of our strategy to increase the average maturity of our indebtedness. We borrowed R\$1,140.6 million on market terms from related parties, including members of the Odebrecht Group, Copesul Trading International Inc. and Petroflex, to finance our working capital requirements during 2002 and repaid R\$1,920.9 million to these related parties. All of these transactions to which members of the Odebrecht Group were parties were conducted by OPP Produtos prior to the legal date of its merger with our company.

In 2001, our principal sources of long-term borrowed funds were:

Table of Contents

our issuance of two series of secured, nonconvertible debentures, in an aggregate principal amount of R\$625.0 million;

a syndicated export prepayment credit agreement in an aggregate principal amount equal to US\$250.0 million;

an export pre-payment loan entered into by Trikem in an aggregate principal amount equal to US\$50 million; and

a loan from BNDESPAR in an aggregate principal amount of R\$163.9 million extended in connection with our purchase of BNDESPAR shares of Conepar.

We used cash in 2001 to repay R\$228.8 million of our outstanding long-term debt and to reduce our outstanding short-term debt by R\$2,862.3 million as part of our strategy to increase the average maturity of our indebtedness. We borrowed R\$1,476.8 million on market terms from related parties, primarily members of the Odebrecht Group, to finance our working capital requirements during 2001 and repaid R\$1,152.7 million to these related parties. All of these transactions to which members of the Odebrecht Group were parties were conducted by OPP Produtos prior to the legal date of its merger with our company.

We paid cash dividends and interest attributable to shareholders' equity (including withholding taxes paid by our company on behalf of our shareholders in respect thereof) of R\$19.1 million and R\$60.0 million for 2002 and 2001, respectively. Since May 20, 2002, we have suspended payment of the mandatory dividend distribution because we did not have retained earnings (but rather had an accumulated deficit). As a result, we did not pay cash dividends or interest attributable to shareholders' equity in 2003. We anticipate that we will, in the future, resume paying dividends on our shares, including in the form of interest attributable to shareholders' equity, when our net income in future periods results in our having retained earnings (instead of our existing accumulated deficit at December 31, 2003). Payment of dividends is mandatory under our by-laws and also is required under agreements with two of our shareholders and, consequently, may give rise to a significant cash requirement in future periods. See Item 8. Financial Information Dividends and Dividend Policy Payment of Dividends. As a result of the effects of the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, we recorded dividend payments of R\$72.3 million in 2003, R\$32.4 million in 2002 and R\$131.8 million in 2001 in our combined and consolidated financial statements.

Indebtedness and Financing Strategy

At December 31, 2003, our total outstanding indebtedness on a consolidated basis, excluding related party debt was R\$7,833.8 million, consisting of R\$3,075.5 million of short-term indebtedness (or 39.3% of our total indebtedness), including current portion of long-term indebtedness, and R\$4,758.3 million of long-term indebtedness (or 60.7% of our total indebtedness). Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, at December 31, 2003, our total outstanding indebtedness was R\$7,343.1 million, consisting of R\$2,858.0 million of short-term indebtedness, including current portion of long-term indebtedness, and R\$4,485.1 million of long-term indebtedness.

On a consolidated basis, our *real*-denominated indebtedness at December 31, 2003 was R\$2,613.8 million, and our foreign currency-denominated indebtedness was R\$5,220.0 million. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, our *real*-denominated indebtedness at December 31, 2003 was R\$2,540.0 million, and our foreign currency-denominated indebtedness was R\$4,803.1 million. At December 31, 2003, our total outstanding indebtedness to related parties on a consolidated basis was R\$177.8 million.

Our financing strategy has been to extend the average maturity of our outstanding indebtedness, including by repaying short-term debt through longer-term borrowings and issuing longer-term debt securities in order to increase our liquidity levels and improve our strategic, financial and operational flexibility. Part of our financing strategy over

the next several years involves our use of a substantial portion of our consolidated cash flow, including from synergies resulting from our mergers with OPP Produtos and 52114 Participações, to pay principal and interest with respect to our outstanding indebtedness, particularly the dollar-denominated portion of our debt not associated with trade finance lines.

Table of Contents*Short-Term Indebtedness*

Our consolidated short-term debt, including debentures and current portion of long-term debt, increased to R\$3,075.5 million at December 31, 2003 from R\$2,778.2 million at December 31, 2002 primarily as a result of the reclassification of debentures in the amount of R\$329.8 million as current portion of long-term debt to reflect a put option which may be exercised by the holders of these debentures on October 1, 2004. The effects of this reclassification were partially offset by our successful completion of several financing transactions. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, our short-term debt decreased to R\$2,858.0 million at December 31, 2003, compared to R\$2,540.9 million at December 31, 2002.

We maintain short-term finance lines denominated in *reais* with a number of financial institutions in Brazil. Although we have no committed lines of credit with these financial institutions, we believe that we will continue to be able to obtain sufficient credit to finance our working capital needs based on current market conditions. At December 31, 2003, the consolidated outstanding balance under our working capital lines denominated in *reais* was R\$556.0 million. Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, the aggregate outstanding balance under our working capital lines was R\$516.4 million. In addition to our short-term financing lines, we have financing arrangements for the purchase of naphtha which are accounted for in our balance sheet as accounts payable to suppliers. For a discussion of these financing arrangements, see Item 4. Information on Our Company Basic Petrochemicals Unit Supply Contracts and Pricing.

We also obtain advances on certain export contracts from a variety of Brazilian financial institutions. These advances generally have a maturity of less than one year and relatively low interest rates. These advances on export contracts are generally secured by receivables to be generated from future export sales under those contracts. At December 31, 2003, our consolidated outstanding advances on export contracts totaled R\$458.5 million (US\$158.7 million). Without giving effect to the proportional consolidation of our jointly controlled companies, we had received outstanding advances on export contracts in an aggregate amount of R\$275.4 million (US\$95.3 million). See note 15 to our audited consolidated and combined financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report.

Long-Term Indebtedness

The following table sets forth selected information with respect to certain of our principal outstanding long-term debt instruments at December 31, 2003. See also Recent Developments.

Instrument	Outstanding Principal Amount at December 31, 2003	Final Maturity	Principal Covenants
Debentures:			
10 th Issue of Debentures (1 st series)	R\$410.8 million	October 2006	Financial ratios, limitations on liens, dividends, indebtedness, asset sales and mergers
10 th Issue of Debentures (2 nd series)	R\$299.0 million	October 2006	Financial ratios, limitations on liens, dividends, indebtedness, asset sales and mergers
Subordinated Convertible Debentures	R\$636.6 million	July 2007	
Medium-Term Notes:			

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

10.50% Notes due 2004	US\$121.0 million	July 2004 (repaid)	Limitations on liens, dividends, indebtedness, related party transactions, investments and mergers
9.25% Notes due 2005	US\$65.0 million	October 2005	Limitations on liens, dividends, indebtedness, related party transactions, investments and mergers
12.50% Notes due 2008	US\$275.0 million	November 2008	Limitations on liens, dividends, indebtedness, related party transactions, investments and mergers
Other Fixed-Rate Notes:			
9.0% Notes due 2007	US\$150.0 million	June 2007	Limitations on liens
11.5% Notes due 2004	US\$50.7 million	February 2004 (repaid)	Limitations on liens, dividends, indebtedness, related party transactions, asset sales, and mergers
11.0% Notes due 2004	US\$100.0 million	October 2004	Limitations on liens, dividends, indebtedness, related party transactions and mergers

Table of Contents

Instrument	Outstanding Principal Amount at December 31, 2003	Final Maturity	Principal Covenants
10.625% Notes due 2007	US\$250.0 million	July 2007	Limitations on liens, dividends, indebtedness, related party transactions, asset sales, and mergers
Bank Credit Facilities: Syndicated Bank Loan (acquisition financing)	US\$80.0 million	July 2004 (repaid)	Limitations on mergers (applicable to Odebrecht Química and OPE Investimentos S.A.)
Bank Loan (construction financing)	US\$15.0 million	December 2007	Limitations on liens and mergers
Acquisition Financing: Bank Loans (acquisition financing)	R\$74.6 million	October 2004/March 2005	Limitations on liens
BNDESPAR Loan (acquisition financing)	R\$167.7 million	August 2006	Limitation on share transfers
Export Finance Facilities: Export Prepayment Credit Facility (1st and 2nd tranches)	US\$193.6 million	December 2004 (1st tranche) December 2006 (2nd tranche)	Financial ratios, limitations on liens, dividends, investments, indebtedness, asset sales and mergers
6.73% Investor Certificates	US\$35.9 million	June 2004 (repaid)	Limitations on liens, dividends, indebtedness, investments and mergers
Export Prepayment Loan	US\$16.4 million	June 2004 (repaid)	
Customer Export Prepayment	US\$93.9 million	June 2006	Financial ratios, limitations on liens, dividends, indebtedness, investments, mergers and asset sales
Syndicated Secured Pre-Export Finance Facility	US\$30.0 million	February 2005	Limitations on liens on receivables, indebtedness, dividends, investments, asset sales and mergers

Many of our debt instruments require that we comply with financial covenants that we must maintain, the most restrictive of which are as follows:

Net Debt to EBITDA less than or equal to 3.5 to 1.0 at the end of and for each fiscal quarter until maturity; and

EBITDA to Net Financial Expenses greater than or equal to 3.5 to 1.0 at the end of and for each fiscal quarter until maturity.

We also are required to maintain a maximum ratio of Short-Term Net Debt to EBITDA for a particular fiscal quarter if our Net Debt to EBITDA ratio is greater than a specified level or our EBITDA to Net Financial Expenses ratio is less than a specified level.

The definitions of EBITDA contained in these instruments vary, and in the instruments containing the most restrictive financial ratios described above, EBITDA is generally defined, for purposes of the Net Debt to EBITDA ratio and the EBITDA to Net Financial Expenses ratio, as operating income less financial expenses, taxes, depreciation and amortization, plus dividends and interest attributable to shareholders equity paid to us by our

unconsolidated associated companies. These instruments define EBITDA in a similar manner for purposes of the Short-Term Net Debt to EBITDA ratio but exclude the effect of proportional consolidation for purposes of calculating that ratio. For the year ended December 31, 2003, we reported the following financial ratios to certain of our creditors:

Net Debt to EBITDA of 3.1 to 1.0; and

EBITDA to Net Financial Expenses of 4.0 to 1.0.

110

Table of Contents

Accordingly, we were in compliance with these financial covenants at December 31, 2003, and we believe that we will be able to comply with these financial covenants for the foreseeable future. In addition, we believe that our compliance with these financial covenants will not adversely affect our ability to implement our financing plans.

Many of these instruments also contain other covenants that restrict, among other things, the ability of our company and most of our subsidiaries to:

- incur additional indebtedness;
- incur liens;
- issue guarantees;
- issue or sell capital stock of subsidiaries;
- pay dividends or make certain other restricted payments;
- consummate certain asset sales;
- enter into certain transactions with affiliates; or

merge or consolidate with any other person or sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our assets.

In addition, the instruments governing a substantial portion of our indebtedness contain cross-default or cross-acceleration clauses, such that the occurrence of an event of default under one of these instruments could trigger an event of default under other indebtedness or enable the creditors under other indebtedness to accelerate that indebtedness.

At December 31, 2003, R\$840.8 million of our *real*-denominated debt and R\$232.8 million of our foreign currency-denominated debt (in each case, excluding related party debt) was secured. As collateral for this debt, we have pledged (1) a substantial number of shares owned by our company in subsidiaries and affiliates, including Copesul, Polialden and Odebrecht Química, (2) certain of our property and equipment and (3) certain of our accounts receivable. The security arrangements for our secured debt vary depending on the transaction.

The following discussion briefly describes certain of our significant financing transactions. We have assumed the obligations of predecessor companies, including, among others, OPP Química (and its predecessor OPP Petroquímica S.A., or OPP Petroquímica) and Trikem, under these financing transactions as a result of mergers referred to under Principal Factors Affecting Our Results of Operations Nova Camaçari Acquisition and Mergers with OPP Produtos and 52114 Participações, Recent Developments and Item 4. Information on Our Company History and Development of Our Company.

Tenth Issue of Debentures. On October 1, 2001, we issued our tenth issue of secured non-convertible debentures. The debentures were issued in two series and are secured by a floating lien (*garantia flutuante*) over our assets. We used the proceeds from these debentures to refinance in part our obligations in connection with our acquisition of Nova Camaçari. The first series consisted of 4,108 debentures and the second series consisted of 2,142 debentures. At December 31, 2003, the aggregate outstanding principal amount of the first series was R\$410.8 million and the aggregate outstanding principal amount of the second series was R\$299.0 million. Between January 16, 2004 and February 2, 2004, we issued an aggregate of R\$380.0 million principal amount of our eleventh series of debentures in exchange for 2,289 debentures in the first series of the tenth issue and 945 debentures in the second series of the tenth issue. See Recent Developments Issuance and Sale of Eleventh Issue of Debentures.

Interest on the first series of the tenth issue accrues at the rate of 118.33% of the CDI rate per annum and is payable semi-annually. The first series of the tenth issue matures on October 1, 2006. Interest on the second series of the tenth issue accrues at a rate of 13.25% per annum, payable annually, and the principal amount is adjusted for inflation on each payment date using the IGP-M. The second series matures on October 1, 2006. The initial interest rates described above in respect of both series of debentures will be in effect until October 1, 2004, when our board of directors will establish new interest rates for the period commencing on October 1, 2004 through the final maturity date thereof.

Table of Contents

We must redeem in full the debentures of any holders who do not accept the new interest rates established by our board of directors.

Subordinated Convertible Debentures. On May 31, 2002, OPP Produtos issued subordinated convertible debentures. As a result of our merger with OPP Produtos, these debentures became our obligations. At December 31, 2003, the outstanding principal amount of these debentures was R\$636.6 million. Interest and monetary adjustment on these debentures accrues at the Long-Term Interest Rate plus 5.0% per annum and will be accreted until their maturity on July 31, 2007. ODBPAR Investimentos S.A., or ODBPAR Investments, as the holder of these debentures, has the option to convert the debentures into shares of our share capital at any time. The initial conversion price of these debentures was R\$48.76 per 1,000 of our class A preferred shares, plus accrued interest. The conversion price increases at a rate equal to the Long-Term Interest Rate less 6.0% per annum from the date of the issuance of these debentures. At December 31, 2003, the conversion price of these debentures was R\$52.45 per 1,000 shares of our class A preferred stock. Upon conversion, we will issue class A preferred shares up to the legal limit for preferred shares of two-thirds of our total share capital. After this two-thirds limit is reached, we will issue any remaining shares to be converted in the following proportions: one-third in common shares; and two-thirds in class A preferred shares. If ODBPAR Investments had exercised its option to convert these debentures in full on December 31, 2003, ODBPAR Investments would have received 4,785,481,165 of our common shares and 9,570,962,330 of our class A preferred shares in exchange for these debentures.

Medium-Term Note Program. On July 16, 2003, we established a medium-term note program permitting us to issue up to US\$500.0 million aggregate principal amount of notes with maturities of up to five years from date of issuance. On December 16, 2003, we amended our medium-term note program to increase the maximum aggregate principal amount that we are permitted to issue to US\$1,000.0 million and to extend the maximum maturity of the notes issued under this program to ten years from the date of issuance. We have issued four series of notes under the program.

Between July 16, 2003 and October 23, 2003, we issued and sold US\$121.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 10.50% Notes due 2004. We paid the principal amount of these notes in full on July 16, 2004.

On October 28, 2003, we issued and sold US\$65.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 9.25% Notes due 2005 under our medium-term note program. Interest on these notes is payable in April and October of each year, commencing on April 28, 2004, and these notes mature on October 28, 2005.

Between November 5, 2003 and November 26, 2003, we issued and sold US\$275.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 12.50% Notes due 2008. Interest on these notes is payable in May and November of each year, commencing on May 5, 2004, and these notes mature on November 5, 2008.

See Recent Developments Issuance and Sale of 11.75% Notes due 2014 for a description of the fourth series of notes issued under our medium-term note program in January 2004.

Fixed Rate Notes. On June 25, 1997, we issued and sold US\$150.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 9.0% Notes due 2007. Interest on these notes is payable semi-annually in arrears in June and December in each year, and these notes mature on June 25, 2007.

On February 22, 1996, OPP Petroquímica issued and sold US\$125.0 million aggregate principal amount of its 11.5% Notes due 2004. At December 31, 2003, the outstanding principal amount of these notes was US\$50.7 million. These notes matured and were paid in full on February 23, 2004.

On October 29, 1996, OPP Petroquímica issued and sold US\$100.0 million aggregate principal amount of its 11.0% Notes due 2004. Interest on these notes is payable semi-annually in arrears in April and October in each year, and these notes mature on October 29, 2004.

On July 24, 1997, Trikem issued and sold US\$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of its 10.625% Notes due 2007. Interest on these notes is payable semi-annually in arrears in January and July in each year, and these notes mature on July 24, 2007. We assumed the obligations of Trikem under these notes as a result of the merger of

Table of Contents

Trikem with and into our company on January 15, 2004. We have the right to repurchase these notes on July 24 of each year. In addition, the holders of these notes have the option (exercisable up to 60 days after a change of control of our company) to require us to purchase all or part of these notes if the Odebrecht Group ceases to own, directly or indirectly, at least 50.1% of our total voting share capital, unless the Odebrecht Group guarantees our obligations under these notes.

Bank Credit Facilities. In July 1999, Odequi Overseas Inc., now one of our direct subsidiaries, received a loan in the principal amount of US\$110.0 million from a syndicate of financial institutions. The remaining amounts due under this loan were repaid on March 3, 2004. In January 1998, several financial institutions granted a loan in the amount of US\$30.0 million to Proppet to finance construction of its PET plant in Camaçari, Bahia. This loan has since been amended to, among other provisions, reflect the merger of Proppet with and into our company. ODBPAR Investments, Norquisa and Mitsubishi, have guaranteed this loan. ODBPAR Investments and Norquisa have also agreed to reimburse Mitsubishi for any payments that it makes in respect of this guarantee if we default on our payment obligations under the loan. To guarantee their reimbursement obligations, Norquisa and ODBPAR Investments have caused our company to grant Mitsubishi a second mortgage on its DMT and PET plants and to pledge the equipment related to its DMT and PET production. The loan amortizes in equal semi-annual installments until its final maturity in December 2007. At December 31, 2003, the outstanding principal balance of this loan was US\$15.0 million. The loan bears interest at the rate of LIBOR plus 3.875% per annum, payable semi-annually in arrears in June and December of each year. Ninety-five percent of the principal and interest of this loan is supported by insurance from Nippon Export and Investment Insurance, and we pay annual premiums in yen for this insurance.

Acquisition Financing. In September 1992, Odebrecht Química purchased shares of PPH Companhia Industrial de Polipropileno and Poliolefinas S/A, predecessor companies of OPP Química. Odebrecht Química paid 10.0% of the purchase price in cash and the remaining 90.0% was financed by Banco do Brasil. At December 31, 2003, the aggregate outstanding principal balance of the Banco do Brasil loans was R\$74.6 million. On January 16, 2004, we issued R\$75.1 million aggregate principal amount of our eleventh series of debentures in exchange for the outstanding balance of these loans. See Recent Developments Issuance and Sale of Eleventh Issue of Debentures.

In September 2001, BNDESPAR sold 1,000,000,000 class B preferred shares of Conepar to Nova Camaçari for a purchase price of R\$163.9 million and, as part of this transaction, BNDESPAR extended a loan to Nova Camaçari in a principal amount equal to the purchase price. This loan bears interest at the Long-Term Interest Rate plus 4.0% per annum, payable annually each August 15, and matures on August 15, 2006. At December 31, 2003, the outstanding principal balance of this loan was R\$167.8 million.

Subject to the preemptive rights of existing shareholders, BNDESPAR has the option to convert the principal amount of and accrued interest on this loan into our class A preferred shares at any time prior to the maturity of this loan. If this loan is converted in connection with a public offering, the conversion price will be the offering price. If this loan is converted other than in connection with a public offering, the conversion price will be the greater of the offering price in our last public offering occurring within the nine months prior to the conversion, monetarily restated by the IGP-M, and the weighted average of the average daily prices for our class A preferred shares on the São Paulo Stock Exchange during the 60 days prior to the conversion. Upon conversion, we will issue class A preferred shares up to the legal limit for preferred shares of two-thirds of our total share capital. After this two-thirds limit is reached, we will issue any remaining shares to be converted in the following proportions: one-third in common shares; and two-thirds in class A preferred shares.

Export Prepayment Facilities. In December 2001, we entered into a US\$250.0 million syndicated credit agreement. The loan is secured by certain of our exports. We used the proceeds from the loan to refinance in part our obligations in connection with our acquisition of Nova Camaçari. The first tranche of this loan in the principal amount of US\$80.0 million bears interest at the rate of three-month LIBOR plus 3.75% per annum, payable quarterly in arrears.

Principal on the first tranche is payable in seven installments beginning in June 2003 with a final maturity date in December 2004. The second tranche of this loan in the principal amount of US\$170.0 million bears interest at the rate of three-month LIBOR plus 4.75% per annum, payable quarterly in arrears. Principal on the second tranche is payable in 15 installments beginning in June 2003 with a final maturity date in December 2006. At December 31, 2003, the aggregate outstanding principal balance of the two tranches of this loan was US\$193.6 million.

On August 1, 1997, a trust formed by Trikem issued and sold US\$100.0 million aggregate principal amount of 6.73% Investor Certificates due 2004, and we guaranteed payment on the investor certificates. The investor certificates were to be paid from the proceeds of accounts receivable generated from export sales of PVC and EDC to a Japanese company. The investor certificates represented fractional interests in the trust whose sole asset was receivables generated pursuant to a supply agreement with the Japanese company. At December 31, 2003, the aggregate outstanding principal amount of the investor certificates was US\$35.9 million. We paid the remaining principal amount of these investor certificates on June 1, 2004.

Table of Contents

In April 2001, Trikem received an export pre-payment loan of US\$50.0 million from two financial institutions. We assumed the obligations of Trikem under this loan as a result of its merger with and into our company on January 15, 2004. This loan amortized in 13 equal quarterly installments structured to correspond to excess distributions to our company from the trust that issued the 6.73% Investor Certificates due 2004. At December 31, 2003, the outstanding principal balance of this loan was US\$16.4 million. We paid the remaining principal amount of this loan on June 1, 2004.

In December 2002, OPP Química entered into a prepayment advance for products to be exported to a foreign customer in the amount of US\$97.2 million. This prepayment advance bears interest at the rate of six-month LIBOR plus 3.75% per annum. This prepayment advance will be paid through partial semi-annual shipments from December 2003 to June 2006. At December 31, 2003, the outstanding principal amount of this prepayment advance was US\$93.9 million. Our obligation to deliver export products is guaranteed by a surety bond.

On August 19, 2003, we entered into a syndicated, secured pre-export finance facility in an aggregate principal amount of US\$30.0 million. The loans under this facility are secured by certain of our exports and bear interest at a rate of 60-day LIBOR plus 4.625% per annum, payable bi-monthly in arrears commencing on October 24, 2003. The principal amount of this facility is payable in seven equal bi-monthly installments beginning on February 23, 2004, with a final maturity date of February 15, 2005.

BNDES Development Loans. We maintain credit facilities that are granted directly or indirectly by the BNDES to fund general capital expenditures associated principally with the expansion of our production capacity, environmental projects and the development of operation control centers, laboratories and waste treatment station, of which R\$274.4 million principal amount was outstanding at December 31, 2003. Amounts borrowed from BNDES are secured by a pledge of certain equipment and machinery owned by us. The interest rate on most of the amounts we borrowed from BNDES is based on the Long-Term Interest Rate plus a margin of 2% to 5% per annum. Other amounts borrowed from BNDES bear interest at a government reference rate (the *Taxa Referencial*), plus a margin of 6.5%, or at a rate based on the UM, a BNDES rate based on a basket of currencies (which rate reflects the daily exchange rate fluctuations in the currencies in which BNDES borrows), plus a margin. The principal and interest on these credit facilities is payable monthly through July 2007.

Related Party Debt. During the second and third quarters of 2003, we, through our subsidiary Lantana Trading Co. Inc., entered into several loan agreements with Copesul International Trading Inc., an affiliate of Copesul, which loans have an aggregate outstanding amount of R\$157.4 million.

Assignment of Accounts Receivable

On October 24, 2003, we entered into a conditional receivables assignment agreement with a Brazilian financial institution under which we transferred a portion of the accounts receivable resulting from a specific polyethylene supply contract with a Brazilian company for the period from October 2003 through September 2004. In consideration of this assignment, this financial institution advanced to our company R\$66.7 million, net of taxes, and a monthly fee equal to 1.89% of each monthly installment under the supply contract. We will repay this advance through collections on the assigned receivables. If the amount of receivables collected is greater than the amount of this advance, then the financial institution will pay us the outstanding balance, net of a monthly fee in an amount to be agreed from time to time. However, if the outstanding balance is negative, we must pay the difference to the financial institution. We are responsible for collecting the receivables, and if a receivable is past due, we must pay the financial institution the value thereof within 10 days from its due date. In connection with the receivables assignment, our company executed a promissory note in favor of the financial institution in an aggregate principal amount of R\$86.7 million and made the financial institution the beneficiary of an insurance policy in the amount of the advance. At December 31, 2003, the outstanding balance under this assignment of account receivables was R\$49.7 million.

Table of Contents

Advance for Purchase of Credit Rights

In June 2002, OPP Química and Trikem entered into a facility under which they received advances for the assignment of credit rights. See note 7 to our audited consolidated and combined financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report. At December 31, 2003, the aggregate outstanding principal balance under this advance for the assignment of credit rights was R\$113.4 million. On January 16, 2004, we issued R\$107.7 million in aggregate principal amount of our eleventh series of debentures in exchange for the outstanding balance under this facility. See Recent Developments Issuance and Sale of Our Eleventh Issue of Debentures.

Research and Development

Our ability to compete in the Brazilian and foreign markets that we serve depends on our ability to integrate new production processes developed by our company and third parties in order to lower our costs and offer new thermoplastic products. In addition, our relationships with our customers are enhanced by our ability to develop new products and customize existing products to meet their needs. To meet these challenges, we maintain research and development programs that are primarily implemented in our Polyolefins Unit and our Vinyls Unit. For a description of our research and development activities, see Item 4. Information on Our Company Overview of Our Company s Operations Technology of Our Polyolefins Unit and Technology of Our Vinyls Unit. We invested R\$35.5 million, R\$20.1 million and R\$14.5 million in research and development during 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have retained an interest in subordinated quotas (shares) of an investment fund in a securitization of receivables described below. The securitization of receivables is accounted for as a sale under Brazilian GAAP to the extent that the receivables are sold to a securitization fund without recourse. We have entered into this off-balance sheet arrangement in order to increase our liquidity, as it enables us to receive immediate payment for purchases of petrochemical products by clients to whom we provide short-term trade financing in the ordinary course of our business.

On November 6, 2003, our company, Trikem and Polialden entered into a receivables purchase and sale agreement with a special purpose receivables investment fund under which our company, Trikem and Polialden agreed to sell to the fund from time to time, without recourse, certain trade receivables represented by negotiable invoices (*duplicatas*). Under this agreement, this fund may purchase these receivables using (1) the net proceeds that it obtains from the sale of interests, or senior quotas, in the fund to certain qualified investors in Brazil and (2) past due receivables that our company, Trikem or Polialden have previously sold to the fund and, although not obligated to do so, agree to repurchase. The fund also may invest a portion of such net proceeds in cash and certain cash equivalents. The aggregate amount of the quotas of all series outstanding at any time may not exceed R\$500.0 million. Each series of quotas will have a maturity of not less than 12 months from the date of issuance and will amortize on June 15 and December 15 of each year. The amortization payments will include amounts in respect of interest calculated as a multiple of the CDI rate.

The fund may cause the early amortization of quotas to the extent necessary to meet specified coverage ratios or to ensure that receivables constitute at least a specified percentage of the fund s net worth. We, Trikem and Polialden also agreed to purchase subordinated quotas from the fund to the extent necessary to enable the fund to meet specified coverage ratios, whether measured on periodic calculation dates or measured on a pro forma basis before the issuance of quotas to investors. In addition, we, Trikem and Polialden are required to maintain an insurance policy covering an aggregate amount of 20% of the value of any series of quotas issued by the fund, and the fund may demand payment under the insurance policy in the amount of the receivables under any negotiable invoice for which documents necessary to pursue a collection action against the applicable obligor are unavailable. We assumed the retained

interests of Trikem under the sale of receivables as a result of its merger into our company on January 15, 2004.

On November 13, 2003, the fund commenced the offering of an initial series of quotas to be issued by the fund. On December 15, 2003, the fund issued R\$100.0 million in aggregate amount of quotas, and on January 9, 2004, the

Table of Contents

fund issued another R\$100.0 million in aggregate amount of quotas. These quotas will mature on December 15, 2006. The first series of quotas consists of 8,000 quotas, each with a unit value of R\$25,000. The quotas began amortizing on June 15, 2004, and the amortization payments include a targeted (but not guaranteed) amount of interest at a rate of 113.5% of the CDI rate based on market conditions. At December 31, 2003, we held R\$15.0 million of subordinated quotas. We purchased an additional R\$15.0 million of subordinated quotas in the first quarter of 2004.

Under U.S. GAAP, the fund is consolidated on our balance sheet, because we are considered the primary beneficiary of the fund. See note 29(m) to our consolidated and combined financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report.

Contractual Commitments

The following table summarizes significant contractual obligations and commitments at December 31, 2003 that have an impact on our liquidity:

	Payments Due by Period				Total
	Less than One Year	One to Three Years	Three to Five Years	More Than Five Years	
	(in millions of reais)				
Loans and financings	R\$2,726.5	R\$1,546.1	R\$2,069.2	R\$	R\$ 6,341.8
Debentures	349.0	390.1	752.9		1,492.0
Purchase obligations (1)	3,757.0	5,634.6	4,951.1	1,304.0	15,646.7
Other long-term liabilities		270.4	37.8		308.2
	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Total contractual obligations	6,832.5	7,841.2	7,811.0	1,304.0	23,788.7
Exclusion of proportional consolidation:					
Loans and financings	(217.5)	(219.5)	(53.5)		(490.5)
Other long-term liabilities		(19.6)			(19.6)
	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Total contractual obligations, excluding the effects of proportional consolidation	R\$6,615.0	R\$7,602.1	R\$7,757.5	R\$1,304.0	R\$23,278.6
	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

(1) Consists of purchase commitments for raw material and electric power pursuant to binding obligations which include all significant terms, including fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction. Based upon the applicable purchase prices at December 31, 2003.

We are also subject to contingencies with respect to tax, labor, distributors, and other claims and have made provisions for accrued liability for legal proceedings related to certain tax claims of R\$1,149.1 million at

December 31, 2003. The tax contingencies relate primarily to the COFINS, PIS, IPI federal income tax and the Social Contribution on Net Income. See Item 8: Financial Information Legal Proceedings and notes 17, 18 and 21 to our audited consolidated and combined financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report.

We have budgeted total capital expenditures of approximately R\$400 million for 2004. Our principal capital expenditures for 2004 consist of, in addition to the efficiency enhancing projects described in Item 4. Information on Our Company Overview of Our Company s Operations Principal Capital Expenditures, approximately R\$100 million for health, environmental and quality improvement projects, approximately R\$88 million for the replacement of depreciated equipment, approximately R\$74 million for productivity improvements and approximately R\$55 million for plant modernization and information systems.

Table of Contents

U.S. GAAP Reconciliation

Our net income (loss) in accordance with Brazilian GAAP was R\$215.1 million in 2003, R\$(1,378.7) million in 2002 and R\$(424.5) million in 2001. Under U.S. GAAP, we would have reported net income (loss) of R\$378.1 million in 2003, R\$(1,144.0) million in 2002 and R\$(471.0) million in 2001.

Our shareholders' equity in accordance with Brazilian GAAP was R\$2,112.6 million at December 31, 2003 and R\$1,821.8 million at December 31, 2002. Under U.S. GAAP, we would have reported shareholders' equity of R\$7.8 million at December 31, 2003 and a shareholders' equity deficit of R\$415.2 million at December 31, 2002.

The principal differences between Brazilian GAAP and U.S. GAAP that affected our net income in 2003, 2002 and 2001, as well as shareholders' equity at December 31, 2003 and 2002, are described in note 29 to our audited consolidated and combined financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report. The major differences relate to the accounting treatment of the following items:

supplementary inflation restatement of permanent assets and shareholders' equity in 1996 and 1997;

capitalized interest;

deferred charges and other intangible assets;

business combinations;

transactions giving rise to distributions to shareholders;

pension benefits;

earnings per share;

deferred taxes;

tax incentives;

sales shipped but not delivered; and

consolidation of securitization fund.

For a discussion of the principal differences between Brazilian GAAP and U.S. GAAP as they relate to our financial statements and a reconciliation of net income and shareholders' equity, see note 29 to our audited consolidated and combined financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report.

ITEM 6. DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES

Directors and Senior Management

Our board of directors (*conselho de administração*) and our board of executive officers (*diretoria*) are responsible for operating our business.

Board of Directors

Our by-laws provide for a board of directors of eleven members and eleven alternate members. During periods of absence or temporary unavailability of a regular member of our board of directors, the corresponding alternate member substitutes for the absent or unavailable regular member. Our board of directors is a decision-making body responsible for, among other things, determining policies and guidelines for our business and our wholly-owned

Table of Contents

subsidiaries and controlled companies. Our board of directors also supervises our board of executive officers and monitors its implementation of the policies and guidelines that are established from time to time by the board of directors. Under the Brazilian Corporation Law, our board of directors is also responsible for hiring an external independent registered public accounting firm.

The members of our board of directors are elected at general meetings of shareholders for two-year terms and are eligible for reelection. The terms of all current members expire at our annual shareholders meeting in 2006. Members of our board of directors are subject to removal at any time with or without cause at a general meeting of shareholders. Although our by-laws do not contain any citizenship or residency requirements for members of our board of directors, the members of our board of directors must be shareholders of our company. Our board of directors is presided over by the president of the board of directors, and, in his absence, the vice president of the board of directors. The president and the vice president of our board of directors are elected at a general meeting of shareholders from among the members of our board of directors, serve for one-year terms and are eligible for reelection.

Our board of directors ordinarily meets four times a year and extraordinarily when a meeting is called by the president, the vice president or any two other members of our board of directors. Decisions of our board of directors require a quorum of a majority of the directors and are taken by majority vote, subject to the veto rights of Petroquisa and of Petros and Previ over resolutions of our board of directors relating to certain matters under the Petroquisa memorandum of understanding and the Pension Funds memorandum of understanding, respectively. See Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions Major Shareholders Shareholders Agreements.

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the current members of our board of directors and their alternates:

Name	Member Since	Position Held	Age
Pedro Augusto Ribeiro Novis	Aug. 15, 2001	President of the Board	56
Ruy Lemos Sampaio	April 29, 2003	Alternate	54
Alvaro Fernandes da Cunha Filho	Nov. 6, 1997	Vice President of the Board	55
Adriano Sá de Seixas Maia	March 4, 2002	Alternate	30
José de Freitas Mascarenhas	Aug. 15, 2001	Board Member	62
Guilherme Simões de Abreu	March 4, 2002	Alternate	52
Luiz Fernando Cirne Lima	Aug. 15, 2001	Board Member	71
Hilberto Mascarenhas Alves da Silva Filho	April 29, 2003	Alternate	48
Newton Sergio de Souza	Aug. 15, 2001	Board Member	51
José Augusto Cardoso Mendes	Sept. 29, 2002	Alternate	43
Alvaro Pereira Novis	Aug 15, 2001	Board Member	60
Marcos Wilson Spyer Rezende	Sept. 29, 2002	Alternate	56
Francisco Teixeira de Sá	May 24, 2001	Board Member	55
Lucio Jose Santos Junior	Aug. 15, 2001	Alternate	38
Fernando de Castro Sá	April 29, 2003	Board Member	36
Victor Manuel Martins Pais	April 29, 2003	Alternate	55
Carlos Alberto de Meira Fontes	Aug. 15, 2001	Board Member	53
Edmundo José Correia Aires	Aug. 15, 2001	Alternate	45
Margareth Feijó Brunnet	Sept. 27, 2002	Board Member	45
Rogério Gonçalves Mattos	Sept. 29, 2002	Alternate	48
Andre Tapajós Cunha	March 31, 2004	Board Member	35
Deusdedite Fagundes de Brito Filho	March 4, 2002	Alternate	56

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

The following is a summary of the business experience, areas of expertise and principal outside business interests of our current directors and their alternates. Except as otherwise set forth below, the business address of (1) each of our current directors is Avenida Nações Unidas, 4777, São Paulo, SP CEP 05477-000, Brazil and (2) each of our alternate directors is the same as the business address of the director for which he or she is an alternate.

Table of Contents

Directors

Pedro Augusto Ribeiro Novis. Mr. Novis was elected to our board of directors as a nominee of Odebrecht. He has been a member of our board of directors since August 2001 and was elected president of our board of directors in March 2002. He has served as a member of the board of directors of Odebrecht since October 1997 and as the chief executive officer of Odebrecht since January 2002. In addition, Mr. Novis serves in various capacities with other companies in the Odebrecht Group. He has been with the Odebrecht Group since 1968. He holds a law degree from the Universidade Federal da Bahia. Mr. Novis is a cousin of Mr. Alvaro Pereira Novis.

Alvaro Fernandes da Cunha Filho. Mr. Cunha Filho was elected to our board of directors as a nominee of Odebrecht and has been a member of our board of directors since 1997. He is currently the vice president of our board of directors and the president of Valora Participações Ltda. Mr. Cunha Filho served as vice president of the board of directors of Norquisa from 1997 through 1999, and from 2001 through 2003 as a member of the board of directors of Norquisa. He has also occupied several executive positions in subsidiaries and affiliates of Odebrecht. Mr. Cunha Filho holds a bachelor's degree in civil engineering and a master's degree in economics from the Universidade Federal da Bahia.

José de Freitas Mascarenhas. Mr. Mascarenhas has been a member of our board of directors as a nominee of Odebrecht since 2001. He has been an executive officer of Odebrecht since September 2001 and serves in various capacities with other companies in the Odebrecht Group. He also has served as vice president of CNI – Confederação Nacional das Indústrias since October 1985 and of the Brazilian Association of Chemical Industry and Derivative Products since May 1993. Mr. Mascarenhas holds a bachelor's degree in civil engineering from Universidade Federal da Bahia. Mr. Mascarenhas' business address is Avenida Luiz Viana Filho, 2841, Salvador, BA – CEP 41730-900, Brazil.

Luiz Fernando Cirne Lima. Mr. Lima has been a member of our board of directors as a nominee of Odebrecht since 2001. He is currently the superintendent executive officer of Copesul and a member of the board of directors of Banco Icatu S.A., a Brazilian bank. Mr. Lima has also served as the Brazilian Minister of Agriculture from 1969 through 1973. Mr. Lima holds a bachelor's degree in agronomical engineering from the Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul. Mr. Lima's business address is Rua Dolores Alcaraz Caldas, 90, Porto Alegre, RS – CEP 90110-180, Brazil.

Newton Sergio de Souza. Mr. Souza has been a member of our board of directors as a nominee of Odebrecht since 2001. He has been the general counsel and an executive officer of Odebrecht since May 1997, and the vice president of the board of directors and an executive officer of Norquisa since April 2003. He also serves in several executive positions in subsidiaries and affiliates of Odebrecht. Mr. Souza served as the president of the board of directors of Companhia de Concessões Rodoviárias – CCR. He was also a visiting lawyer at the law firm Dechert, Price & Rhoads (Philadelphia), a senior lawyer at the law firm Pinheiro Neto Advogados from 1976 through 1982 and a senior counsel of the Latin America and Caribbean Division of the World Bank (Washington D.C.) from 1982 through 1987. Mr. Souza holds a law degree from Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro and an LL.M. from the University of Pennsylvania.

Alvaro Pereira Novis. Mr. Novis has been a member of our board of directors as a nominee of Odebrecht since 2001. He has been the administrator of the board of directors of Odebrecht since December 2003, the chief financial officer and an executive officer of Odebrecht since July 1998, and serves in various capacities with other companies in the Odebrecht Group. In 1980, he was elected managing director of Banco Iochpe de Investimentos, where he became president in 1995 upon its association with Bankers Trust Company. Mr. Novis holds a bachelor's degree in economics from the Universidade do Rio de Janeiro and a master's degree in Public Administration from Fundação Getúlio Vargas. Mr. Novis is a cousin of Pedro Augusto Ribeiro Novis.

Francisco Teixeira de Sá. Mr. Sá has been a member of our board of directors as a nominee of the Mariani Group since 2001. He has been a member of the board of directors of Norquisa since April 2001 and served as president of the board of directors of Norquisa from April 2001 through April 2003. He is also president of Pronor. Mr. Sá served as engineering and production manager of Dow Química S.A. from 1973 through 1984. He holds a

Table of Contents

bachelor's degree in chemical engineering from the Universidade Federal da Bahia. Mr. Sá's business address is Quadra 3 do SESFI, Cia Sul, Simões Filho, BA CEP 437800-000 Brazil.

Fernando de Castro Sá. Mr. Sá has been a member of our board of directors as a nominee of Petros since April 29, 2003. He is currently the legal manager in the supply division of Petrobras and a partner of the law office De Castro Sá e Pagnano Advogados Associados. Mr. Sá has served as a lawyer with the law firm of Teixeira & Advogados Associados. Mr. Sá holds a bachelor's degree in sociology from the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro and a post-graduate degree in business law from Fundação Getúlio Vargas. Mr. Sá's business address is Avenida República do Chile, 65, Rio de Janeiro, JF CEP 20031-912 Brazil.

Carlos Alberto de Meira Fontes. Mr. Fontes has been a member of our board of directors as a nominee of Petroquisa since 2001. He has been the president of Petroquisa since April 2000 and is the petrochemical executive manager of Petrobras. He is also the president of the advisory council of the Fábrica Carioca de Catalisadores, a chemical catalyst company, a member of the board of directors of Petroquímica União S.A., the president of the board of directors of Rio Polímeros and a member of the officers council of the Brazilian Association of Chemical Industry and Derivative Products. Mr. Fontes holds a bachelor's degree in chemical engineering from the Instituto Militar de Engenharia, took engineering courses given by Petrobras, holds a postgraduate degree in business management from the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro and attended an advanced management program. Mr. Fontes' business address is Avenida República do Chile, 65, Rio de Janeiro, RJ CEP 20031-912, Brazil.

Margareth Feijó Brunnet. Mrs. Brunnet has been a member of our board of directors as a nominee of Petroquisa since 2002. She is the general manager of Petrobras for investments in basic petrochemical companies in which Petrobras is a shareholder, an executive officer of Petroquisa, an executive officer of Downstream Participações S.A., a holding company, and a member of the board of directors of Copesul. Mrs. Brunnet holds a bachelor's degree in chemical engineering from the Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, took courses in process engineering from Petrobras and holds a postgraduate degree in management development. Mrs. Brunnet's business address is Avenida República do Chile, 65, Rio de Janeiro, RJ CEP 20031-912, Brazil.

André Tapajós Cunha. Mr. Cunha has been a member of our board of directors as a nominee of Previ since March 31, 2004. Mr. Cunha has been the manager of real estate investments of Previ since April 2003, an alternate member of the Board of Directors of Valepar S.A. since April 2003 and a professor of economics of the Centro Universitário Unicarioca since July 2003. Mr. Cunha also served as a senior analyst in the management and investment policy area of Previ from February 1999 through April 2003. Mr. Cunha holds a degree in economics from the Universidade Gama Filho and in Corporate Economy (Economia Empresarial) from the Universidade Cândido Mendes, an MBA in finance from the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, and a post-graduate degree in economics from Fundação Getúlio Vargas. Mr. Cunha's business address is Praia do Botafogo, 901, Rio de Janeiro, RJ CEP 22250-040, Brazil.

Alternate Directors

Ruy Lemos Sampaio. Mr. Sampaio has been an alternate member of our board of directors as a nominee of Odebrecht since September 27, 2002. He has been the investment office of Odebrecht since August 2002. Previously, Mr. Sampaio occupied several executive positions within the Odebrecht Group beginning in 1985. Mr. Sampaio holds a bachelor's degree of business administration from the Universidade Federal da Bahia and a MBA degree from Michigan State University.

Adriano Sá de Seixas Maia. Mr. Maia has been an alternate member of our board of directors as a nominee of Odebrecht since March 4, 2002. Mr. Maia has been a member of the board of directors of Norquisa since April 2003 and a member of the board of directors of Companhia de Maracás since January 2002. He has also been an alternate

member of the board of directors of North Sea Company Limited since April 2004 and a member of the board of directors of Tenenge (UK) Holding Limited since June 2004. Mr. Maia has been the assistant general counsel of Odebrecht since September 2001. Previously, Mr. Maia served as legal counsel for several Odebrecht Group companies beginning in 1996 (except from November 1998 through April 1999 when he was a visiting attorney at the law firm of Chadbourne & Parke LLP). Mr. Maia holds a law degree from the Universidade Federal da Bahia.

Guilherme Simões de Abreu. Mr. Abreu has been an alternate member of our board of directors as a nominee of Odebrecht since March 4, 2002. He has been the assistant to the president of Odebrecht since 2002 and an officer of

Table of Contents

Odeprev Odebrecht Previdência since 1998. Previously, Mr. Abreu occupied several executive positions with Odebrecht beginning in 1986. Mr. Abreu holds a bachelor's degree in business administration from the Universidade Federal da Bahia.

Hilberto Mascarenhas Alves da Silva Filho. Mr. Silva has been an alternate member of our board of directors as a nominee of Odebrecht since April 29, 2003. He has been the assistant to the chief financial officer of Odebrecht since 1998. Previously, Mr. Silva occupied several executive positions with the Odebrecht Group beginning in 1978. He is also an officer of the Commercial Association of Bahia (*Associação Comercial da Bahia*) and a member of the Financial Committee of the Brazilian Infrastructure and Basic Industry Association (*ABDIB Associação Brasileira de Infra-Estrutura e Indústria de Base*). Mr. Silva holds a bachelor's degree in business administration from the Universidade Federal da Bahia and attended a senior executive program at Columbia Business School and a financial planning and management course at Fundação Getúlio Vargas.

José Augusto Cardoso Mendes. Mr. Mendes has been an alternate member of our board of directors as a nominee of Odebrecht since November 18, 2002. He has been the planning, organization and personnel officer of Odebrecht since November 2002. Previously, Mr. Mendes served in several capacities at McKinsey & Company from 1994 to 2000 and as an officer with Diamond Cluster International Inc. from 2000 to 2002. Mr. Mendes holds a bachelor's degree in metallurgy engineering from the Escola Politécnica de São Paulo and a master's degree in metallurgical engineering from the Escola Politécnica de São Paulo.

Marcos Wilson Spyer Rezende. Mr. Rezende has been an alternate member of our board of directors as a nominee of Odebrecht since September 29, 2002. He has been the executive officer of institutional relations of the Odebrecht Group since 2002. Mr. Rezende served as a journalist in various capacities for newspapers and television stations from 1972 through 2002. Mr. Rezende holds a bachelor's degree in sociology and politics from the Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais and in social communication from the Faculdade Casper Libero/PUC São Paulo.

Lucio Jose Santos Junior. Mr. Santos has been an alternate member of our board of directors as a nominee of the Mariani Group since August 15, 2001. He has been the superintendent officer of Pronor since 2001 and a member of the board of directors of Pronor since 2002. Mr. Santos served as chief financial officer of Nitrocarbano from 1996 through 2002. Mr. Santos holds a bachelor's degree in economics from the Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro and a post graduate degree in finance from Ibemec Instituto Brasileiro de Mercado de Capitais.

Victor Manuel Martins Pais. Mr. Pais has been an alternate member of our board of directors as a nominee of Petroquisa since April 29, 2003. He has been petrochemical projects manager of Petrobras since April 2002. Previously, Mr. Pais occupied several executive positions with Petrobras beginning in 1974. Mr. Pais also served as a member of Copesul's board of directors from March 2002 through March 2003. He holds a bachelor's degree in chemical engineering from the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro.

Edmundo Jose Correia Aires. Mr. Aires has been an alternate member of our board of directors as a nominee of Petroquisa since August 15, 2001. He has been the partnership manager of Petroquisa since 2001. Previously, Mr. Aires occupied several executive positions with Petroquisa and Petrobras beginning in 1980. Mr. Aires holds a bachelor's degree in chemical engineering from the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro.

Rogério Gonçalves Mattos. Mr. Mattos has been an alternate member of our board of directors as a nominee of Petroquisa since September 29, 2002. Mr. Mattos has occupied several executive positions with Petrobras since 1979. He has been the manager of business development for Petrobras since 1998 and a member of the fiscal council of Petros since 2003. He holds a bachelor's degree in chemical engineering from the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro and a bachelor's degree in economics from the Universidade Estadual do Rio de Janeiro. Mr. Mattos also attended a senior executive program at the Harvard Business School.

Deusdedite Fagundes de Brito Filho. Mr. de Brito has been an alternate member of our board of directors as a nominee of Previ since March 4, 2002. He is a retired employee of Banco do Brasil S.A. and has served as an independent consultant to Banco do Brasil S.A. since 1999. Mr. de Brito holds a bachelor's degree in business

Table of Contents

administration from the Universidade Católica de Salvador and MBA degrees from the Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro and the Fundação Getulio Vargas do Rio de Janeiro.

Board of Executive Officers

Our board of executive officers is our executive management body. Our executive officers are our legal representatives and are responsible for our internal organization and day-to-day operations and the implementation of the general policies and guidelines established from time to time by our board of directors.

Our by-laws require that the board of executive officers consist of a chief executive officer and between three and nine additional members, each responsible for business areas that our board of directors assigns to them. The members of our board of executive officers, other than our chief executive officer, have no formal titles (other than the title of executive officer or "*diretor* ") but have the informal titles set forth in the table below.

The members of our board of executive officers are elected by our board of directors for two-year terms, corresponding to the terms of the members of our board of directors, and are eligible for reelection. The current term of all of our executive officers ends at our annual shareholders meeting in 2006. Our board of directors may remove any executive officer from office at any time with or without cause. According to the Brazilian Corporation Law, executive officers must be residents of Brazil but need not be shareholders of our company. Our board of executive officers holds meetings when called by our chief executive officer.

The following table lists the current members of our board of executive officers:

Name	Year of Appointment	Position Held	Age
José Carlos Grubisich Filho	2002	Chief Executive Officer	47
Paul Elie Altit	2002	Vice President Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Director of Investor Relations	45
Bernardo Afonso de Almeida Gradin	2002	Vice President Executive Officer	39
Luiz de Mendonça	2002	Vice President Executive Officer	40
Mauricio Roberto de Carvalho Ferro	2002	Vice President Executive Officer and General Counsel	38
Roberto Prisco Paraíso Ramos	2002	Vice President Executive Officer	57
Roberto Lopes Pontes Simões	2004	Vice President Executive Officer	47

Summarized below is information regarding the business experience, areas of expertise and principal outside business interests of our current executive officers. The business address of each of our current executive officers is Avenida Nações Unidas, 4777, São Paulo, SP CEP 05477-000, Brazil.

José Carlos Grubisich Filho. Mr. Grubisich is currently our chief executive officer. He is also currently the president of the board of directors of Copesul. He was the chief executive officer of OPP Química and the president of

the board of directors of OPP Química. From 2000 to 2001, Mr. Grubisich served as vice president of Rhodia Fine Organics worldwide and was a member of the executive committee of the Rhône Poulenc Group. Prior to 2000, he served as vice president of Rhodia S.A. (currently known as Rhodia Brasil Ltda., a member of the Rhône Poulenc Group) for Brazil and Latin America. Mr. Grubisich holds a bachelor's degree in chemical engineering from Escola Superior de Química Oswaldo Cruz and completed an Advanced Management Program at INSEAD France.

Paul Elie Altit. Mr. Altit is currently one of our vice president executive officers, our chief financial officer and director of investor relations. He is also a member of the board of directors of Copesul, a member of the board of directors of Politeno, a member of the board of directors of Polialden and the investor relations officer of Polialden. Mr. Altit has also served in several executive positions at Construtora Norberto Odebrecht S.A., most recently as the chief financial officer and investor relations officer of Construtora Norberto Odebrecht S.A. from 1993 through 2002. He also served as assistant vice president of Odebrecht from 1989 to 1992. Mr. Altit holds a bachelor's

Table of Contents

degree in engineering from the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro and a post-graduate degree in finance from the Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro.

Bernardo Afonso de Almeida Gradin. Mr. Gradin is currently one of our vice president executive officers and head of our Basic Petrochemicals Unit. He is also a member of the board of directors of Cetrel. Mr. Gradin was a member of the board of directors of OPP Química and Trikem and an executive officer of Trikem. Mr. Gradin holds a bachelor's degree in civil engineering from the Universidade Federal da Bahia, a master's degree in international politics from the University of Pennsylvania and an MBA degree from The Wharton School of Business.

Luiz de Mendonça. Mr. Mendonça is currently one of our vice president executive officers and head of our Polyolefins Unit. He is also an executive officer (Superintendent) of Polialden, a member of the board of directors of Polialden and an alternate member of the board of directors of Politeno. Mr. Mendonça also worked for 15 years at Rhodia S.A., where he served as general manager of production, supply and finance and marketing, as an officer in the chemical division (Latin America) and as vice president of Rhodia U.S.A. Mr. Mendonça holds a bachelor's degree in production engineering from Escola Politécnica da Universidade de São Paulo and an MBA degree from INSEAD France.

Mauricio Roberto de Carvalho Ferro. Mr. Ferro is currently our vice president executive officer and general counsel, the vice president of the board of directors of Politeno, a member of the board of directors of Polialden, and an alternate member of the board of directors of Petroflex. He served as a lawyer at the law firm of Carlos Eduardo Paladini Cardoso in 1989 and as a lawyer at the law firm of Bulhões Pedreira, Bulhões Carvalho e Advogados Associados from 1991 until 1995. Mr. Ferro holds a law degree from the Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro and an LL.M. degree from the University of London and from the London School of Economics.

Roberto Prisco Paraíso Ramos. Mr. Ramos is currently one of our vice president executive officers and head of our Business Development Unit. He is a member of the board of directors of Cetrel and Companhia Alagoas Industrial Cinal, and the vice president of the board of directors of Petroflex. Mr. Ramos was a member of the board of directors of Trikem and served on the board of directors of several companies in the Odebrecht Group. Mr. Ramos holds a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, a post-graduate degree in the Program for Management Development from Harvard Business School and a master's degree in finance from the University of Leicester, England.

Rogério Affonso de Oliveira. Mr. Oliveira is currently one of our vice president executive officers and head of our Basic Petrochemicals Unit. He is also a member of the board of directors of Cetrel, Companhia Alagoas Industrial Cinal and Petroflex, and an alternate member of the board of directors of Polialden and of Politeno. Mr. Oliveira also served as a member of the board of directors of Copesul from 1989 to 2002. Mr. Oliveira holds a degree of mechanical engineering from the Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul and took courses in equipment engineering given by Petrobras.

Roberto Lopes Pontes Simões. Mr. Simões is currently one of our vice president executive officers and head of our Vinyls Unit. He was the president of IG – Internet Group from 2002 to 2004, where he had served as the chief operational officer since 2000. He also was the president of Opportrans Concessão Metroviária – Metrô Rio from 1999 to 2000 and an executive officer of Odebrecht Engenharia e Construções from 1994 to 1999. Mr. Simões holds a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from the Universidade Federal da Bahia and in maintenance engineering from CEMANT (a program developed by the Universidade Federal da Bahia and Petrobras/Petroquisa).

Fiscal Council

The Brazilian Corporation Law requires us to establish a permanent or non-permanent fiscal council (*conselho fiscal*). Our by-laws provide for a permanent fiscal council composed of five members and their respective alternate members. The fiscal council is a separate corporate body independent of our management and our external independent registered public accounting firm. The primary responsibility of the fiscal council is to review our management's activities and our financial statements and to report their findings to our shareholders.

The members of our fiscal council are elected by our shareholders at the annual general shareholders' meeting for one-year terms and are eligible for reelection. The terms of the members of our fiscal council expire at the next annual general shareholders' meeting. Under the Brazilian Corporation Law, the fiscal council may not contain members who are members of our board of directors or our board of executive officers or are employees or spouses or relatives of any member of our management. To be eligible to serve on our fiscal council, a person must be a resident of Brazil and either be a university graduate or have been a company officer or fiscal council member of another Brazilian company for at least three years prior to election to our fiscal council.

Table of Contents

Holders of preferred shares without voting rights and non-controlling common shareholders that together hold at least 10.0% of our voting shares are each entitled to elect one member and his or her respective alternate to the fiscal council.

The following table lists the current members of our fiscal council and their alternates:

Name	First Year of Appointment
Sérgio Pereira da Rocha	2001
Geraldo Humberto de Araújo (alternate)	2001
Júlio Diniz Bastos Pinto	1999
María Jesuina de Oliveira Rosa (alternate)	1999
Ismael Campos de Abreu	2003
Anna Cecilia Dutra da Silva (alternate)	2003
Manoel Mota Fonseca	2002
Maria Claudia Freitas Sampaio (alternate)	2002
Walter Murilo Melo de Andrade	2002
Marcelo André Lajchter (alternate)	2002

The following is a summary of the business experience, areas of expertise and principal outside business interests of the current members of our fiscal council and their alternates.

Fiscal Council Members

Sérgio Pereira da Rocha. Mr. Rocha has been a member of our fiscal council as a nominee of Petros, Petroquisa and Previ since 2001. Mr. Rocha has been a member of the governing board of PREVHAB Associação de Previdência dos Empregados do BNH, an employee pension fund, since 2000, and previously served as a collection manager of Caixa Econômica Federal from 1980 to 1998. Mr. Rocha holds a bachelor's degree in economics from the Universidade Estadual do Rio de Janeiro, with a specialization in financial administration from Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro and in business consulting from Fundação Getúlio Vargas. Mr. Rocha's business address is R. Ferreira Fontes, No 286 Bloco A apto. 1306, Grajaú, RJ CEP 20541-280, Brazil.

Júlio Diniz Bastos Pinto. Mr. Pinto has been a member of our fiscal council as a nominee of Petroquisa and Previ since 1999. Mr. Bastos has been the chief finance officer of Petroquisa since 1997 and previously served as a senior auditor at Ernst & Young from 1974 to 1978. Mr. Bastos is currently the president of the fiscal council of Peroria Petroquímica do Rio do Janeiro S.A. and a member of the fiscal council of Petroquímica S.A. Mr. Bastos holds a bachelor's degree in economics from the Faculdade de Economia e Finanças do Rio de Janeiro and a post-graduate degree in accounting from Fundação Getúlio Vargas do Rio de Janeiro. Mr. Bastos' business address is Av. República do Chile No. 65, 9º andar, Sala 903-E, Rio de Janeiro, RJ CEP 20035-900, Brazil.

Ismael Campos de Abreu. Mr. Abreu has been a member of our fiscal council as a nominee of Norquisa and Odebrecht since 2003. Mr. Abreu has been the controller of Odebrecht since 1995, and previously served as manager of the tax consulting division of PricewaterhouseCoopers Auditores Independentes from 1973 to 1985, controller of Corrêa Ribeiro S.A. Comércio e Indústria from 1986 to 1988, manager of the consulting area of Arthur Andersen from 1989 to 1991, and a partner of Performance Auditoria e Consultoria from 1992 to 1995. He is currently a member of the fiscal council of Polialden and Petroflex. Mr. Abreu holds a bachelor's degree in accounting from Fundação

Visconde de Cairú, and a post-graduate degree in economic engineering from Centro Interamericano de Desenvolvimento. Mr. Abreu's business address is Av. Luis Viana Filho, 2841, Salvador, BA CEP 41730-900, Brazil.

Manoel Mota Fonseca. Mr. Fonseca has been a member of our fiscal council as a nominee of Norquisa and Odebrecht since 2002 and has served as president of our fiscal council since 2003. Mr. Fonseca has been a partner of the law firm Mota Fonseca e Advogados since 1990 and previously served as legal and tax counsel of Coopers & Lybrand, KPMG and PricewaterhouseCoopers Auditores Independentes. Mr. Fonseca holds a law degree from the Universidade de São Paulo, and a post-graduate degree in tax law from Fundação Getúlio Vargas. Mr. Fonseca's

Table of Contents

business address is Rua Frederico Simões, 85, Edifício Empresarial Simonsen, Sala 304 3° andar, Salvador, BA CEP 41820-020, Brazil.

Walter Murilo Melo de Andrade. Mr. Andrade has been a member of our fiscal council as a nominee of Norquisa and Odebrecht since 2002. Mr. Andrade has been a legal counsel of Engpack Embalagens S.A. since 2002. Previously, he served as legal counsel of Nitrocarbono, Pronor and Propet from 1998 to 2002. Mr. Andrade holds a law degree from the Universidade Católica de Salvador, with a specialization in civil law from Fundação Faculdade de Direito da Bahia and in corporate law from Escola de Magistratura do Estado do Rio de Janeiro. Mr. Andrade's business address is Quadra 03 do Sesfi, Cia. Sul, Simões Filho, BA CEP 42780-000, Brazil.

Alternate Members of Our Fiscal Council

Geraldo Humberto de Araújo. Mr. Araújo has been an alternate member of our fiscal council as a nominee of Petros, Petroquisa and Previ since 2001. Mr. Araújo has been manager of the control department of Fundação de Seguridade Social SISTEL since 1995. Previously, he served as manager of the capital control division of Telebrás Telecomunicações Brasileiras S.A. from 1993 to 1995. Mr. Araújo holds a bachelor's degree in accounting from the Faculdade de Economia e Finanças do Rio de Janeiro and a post-graduate degree in financial administration from Fundação Getúlio Vargas. Mr. Araújo's business address is Rua SQSW 304, Bloco E, apto. 502, Setor Sudeste, Brasília CEP 70673-400, Brazil.

Maria Jesuína de Oliveira Rosa. Ms. Rosa has been an alternate member of our fiscal council as a nominee of Petroquisa and Previ since 1999. Ms. Rosa has been the interim head of the accounting sector and coordinator of the management accounting system of Petroquisa since 1987. Ms. Rosa is currently a member of the fiscal council of Peroria Petroquímica do Rio de Janeiro S.A. Ms. Rosa holds a bachelor's degree in accounting from Universidade Santa Úrsula. Ms. Rosa's business address is Av. República do Chile, No 65, 9° andar sala 903E, Rio de Janeiro, RJ CEP 20035-900, Brazil.

Anna Cecilia M.C. Dutra da Silva. Ms. Silva has been an alternate member of our fiscal council as a nominee of Norquisa and Odebrecht since 2003. Ms. Silva has been an associate at the law firm of Barbosa, Müssnich & Aragão Advogados since 2001 and an alternate member of the fiscal council of Pronor since 2002 and of Polialden and Cimento Tupi S.A. since 2003. She holds a law degree from the Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro. Mr. Silva's business address is Av. Pres. Juscelino Kubitschek, 50 4th Floor, São Paulo, SP CEP 04543-000, Brazil.

Maria Claudia Freitas Sampaio. Ms. Sampaio has been an alternate member of our fiscal council as a nominee of Norquisa and Odebrecht since 2002. Mrs. Sampaio has been a lawyer at the law firm Mota Fonseca e Advogados since 2001 and a member of the fiscal council of Norquisa since 1991. Previously, she served as an auditor of PricewaterhouseCoopers Auditores Independentes from 1986 to 1991. Ms. Sampaio holds a law degree and a bachelor's degree in business administration from the Universidade Católica de Salvador. Ms. Sampaio's business address is Rua Frederico Simões, 85 Edifício Empresarial, Simonsen Sala 304 30° andar, Salvador, BA CEP 41820-020, Brazil.

Marcelo André Lajchter. Mr. Lajchter has been an alternate member of our fiscal council as a nominee of Norquisa and Odebrecht since 2002. Mr. Lajchter has been a partner of the law firm Barbosa, Müssnich & Aragão Advogados since 2000 and a professor at Fundação Getúlio Vargas since 2003. Previously, he served as a lawyer in the tax litigation area of PricewaterhouseCoopers Auditores Independentes from 1993 to 1995. Mr. Lajchter holds a law degree from the Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro. Mr. Lajchter's business address is Av. Pres. Juscelino Kubitschek, 50 4th Floor, 04543-000, São Paulo, SP CEP 04543-000, Brazil.

Compensation

According to our by-laws, our shareholders are responsible for establishing the aggregate compensation we pay to the members of our board of directors, our board of executive officers and our fiscal council. Our shareholders determine this aggregate compensation at the general shareholders meeting each year. Once aggregate compensation is established, the members of the board of directors are responsible for distributing such aggregate

Table of Contents

compensation individually to the members of our board of directors and our board of executive officers and our fiscal council in compliance with our by-laws. Our board of directors does not have a compensation committee.

The aggregate compensation paid by us to all members of our board of directors, board of executive officers and our fiscal council for services in all capacities was R\$7.3 million in 2003. On March 31, 2004, our shareholders (acting in the annual general meeting) established the following compensation for the year 2004:

board of directors: an aggregate limit of R\$1.0 million;

board of executive officers: a total amount of R\$10.7 million; and

fiscal council: 10% of the average monthly compensation of the members of our board of executive officers to each regular member of our fiscal council, plus travel and lodging expenses (the statutory minimum set forth in the Brazilian Corporation Law and in our by-laws).

We compensate our alternate directors for each meeting of our board of directors that they attend. We compensate alternate members of our fiscal council for each meeting of our fiscal council that they attend.

Our executive officers receive the same benefits generally provided to our employees, such as medical (including dental) assistance, private pension plan and meal vouchers. Like our employees, our executive officers also receive a yearly bonus equal to one-month's salary (known as the thirteenth (monthly) salary in Brazil), an additional one-third of one-month's salary for vacation, and contributions of 8.5% of their salary into a defined contribution pension fund known as the Guarantee Fund for Time of Service (*Fundo de Garantia por Tempo de Serviço*). Members of our board of directors and fiscal council are not entitled to these benefits. We made contributions into the Guarantee Fund for Time of Service for our executive officers in an aggregate amount of R\$159,136 during the year ended December 31, 2003.

Members of our board of directors, board of executive officers and fiscal council are not parties to contracts providing for benefits upon the termination of employment other than, in the case of executive officers, the benefits described above.

Employees

The following table sets forth the number of our employees by main category of activity and location, giving effect to our acquisition of Nova Camaçari on July 25, 2001 and our mergers with OPP Produtos and 52114 Participações on August 16, 2002.

Main Category Of Activity	At December 31,	
	2003 (1)	2002 (2)
Coordinators and operators	1,494	1,474
Engineers and other professionals	491	440
Administrative and support	273	332
Technicians	223	211
Maintenance	206	212
Managers and directors	181	149

Total (3)	2,868	2,818
-----------	-------	-------

(1) At December 31, 2003, 1,754 employees worked in the State of Bahia, 377 employees worked in the State of Alagoas, 372 employees worked in the State of Rio Grande do Sul, 341 employees worked in the State of São Paulo and 24 employees worked in other states in Brazil.

126

Table of Contents

(2) At December 31, 2002, 1,749 employees worked in the State of Bahia, 361 employees worked in the State of Rio Grande do Sul, 360 employees worked in the State of Alagoas, 323 employees worked in the State of São Paulo and 25 employees worked in other states in Brazil.

(3) Our total number of employees at December 31, 2001 was 1,424. Information regarding the main category of activity and location of our employees at December 31, 2001 is not available.

We do not employ a material number of temporary employees.

In Brazil, both employees and employers have the right to organize unions. Employees belonging to a specific professional category and employers constituting a specific economic category may each be represented by a single union in a particular geographic area. Individual unions generally belong to state-wide union federations, which in turn belong to nationwide union confederations. We are a member of the Petrochemicals and Synthetic Resins Industries Union of the States of Bahia, Alagoas and Rio Grande do Sul, and our employees belong to the Petrochemicals Industries Workers Unions in each of these states.

Approximately 35% of our non-management employees were union members at December 31, 2003. We believe that we have good ongoing relations with our employees and their unions. We have not experienced a strike since OPP Química was privatized in 1992 and Trikem was privatized in 1995. The current collective bargaining agreements with our unions have one-year terms and are subject to annual renegotiation. We have traditionally applied the terms of bargaining agreements entered into with the unions equally to unionized and non-unionized employees.

Since coming under the control of the Odebrecht Group, we have adopted and applied the personnel management philosophy of the Odebrecht Group, which emphasizes a performance-related pay structure and a decentralized management structure. Employees in each of our business units participate in setting and achieving their business unit's annual objectives. As a result, employees in those business units that meet or exceed their goals share in our financial performance through performance-based employee compensation plans. During 2003, this program paid R\$27.3 million to 2,608 employees. We paid an additional R\$4.8 million to our executive officers under this program during 2003. The members of our board of directors do not participate in this program.

We seek to recruit top graduates from Brazilian technical schools and universities to work at each of our plants and offer career development training to employees to develop skills necessary to operate an internationally competitive, vertically integrated petrochemical company. We have invested in a series of training courses for our operating, laboratory and maintenance personnel through agreements with technical training organizations. During 2003, our total investment in education and training amounted to R\$4.1 million for 295,000 hours of training, representing an average of 102 hours per employee.

We tailor career development programs to each employee's individual needs and abilities. We established this program with our own resources and technology, and it has become a Brazilian benchmark in human resources practices. In 2003, 49.5% of our employees received salary increases as a result of their participation in our career development programs.

Our employees and their dependents receive medical and dental assistance through a network of accredited doctors. We pay most of the costs for these services, with a small portion being paid by our employees. A small monthly fee is also charged to our employees to pay for more costly medical services. In 2003, we spent R\$13.8 million on this assistance.

We pay part of the monthly payments made by our employees to three private pension funds: Odebrecht Pension Plan (*ODEPREV - Odebrecht Previdência*); Petros; and Previnor Pension Plan (*PREVINOR - Associação de Previdência Privada*). The majority of our employees participate in these pension funds. These pension funds pay

supplementary pension and retirement amounts similar in amount to those paid by the Brazilian government's pension system and are intended to provide their members with income on retirement. In 2003, we paid R\$9.1 million into these funds. The Odebrecht Pension Plan and the Previnor Pension Plan are defined contribution plans. The Petros plan is a defined benefit plan. The present value of our obligations under the Petros plan exceeded the

Table of Contents

value of the assets of that fund by R\$60.4 million at December 31, 2003 and R\$48.0 million at December 31, 2002. See note 26 to our audited consolidated and combined financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report.

Share Ownership of Directors and Officers

The total number of shares owned by members of Braskem's Board of Directors and Executive Officers as of June 25, 2004 represents 0.01% of Braskem's capital stock. Under our by-laws, each of our directors must also be a shareholder of our company. Accordingly, if a person is appointed to our board of directors and is not one of our shareholders at the time, the shareholder that designated such person to be one of our directors (in accordance with the terms of the relevant shareholders agreement) generally transfers one share (or 20 shares after giving effect to the 20-for-one share split) to the newly appointed director at no cost. All other shares owned by our directors were purchased at market prices through the São Paulo Stock Exchange. As of the date hereof, none of our executive officers owns any of our shares.

ITEM 7. MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

At August 27, 2004, we had an authorized share capital of 122,000,000,000 shares, consisting of 43,920,000,000 common shares, 76,860,000,000 class A preferred shares and 1,220,000,000 class B preferred shares. At August 27, 2004, we had 25,730,061,841 outstanding common shares, 50,608,970,631 outstanding class A preferred shares (excluding 621,887,272 shares held in treasury), and 229,154,800 outstanding class B preferred shares, all without par value. Under the Brazilian Corporation Law, the aggregate number of our non-voting shares may not exceed two-thirds of the total number of our outstanding shares.

We have registered one class of ADSs, or ADSs, with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, or the Commission, pursuant to the Securities Act. Each ADS is evidenced by American Depositary Receipts, or ADRs, representing 1,000 of our class A preferred shares. At August 27, 2004, we had approximately 9,600 shareholders, including three U.S. resident holders of our common shares, approximately 49 U.S. resident holders of our class A preferred shares (including The Bank of New York, as depository) and no U.S. resident holders of our class B preferred shares.

Major Shareholders

The following table sets forth information concerning the ownership of our common shares and preferred shares at August 27, 2004 by each person whom we know to be the owner of more than 5.0% of any class of our outstanding share capital, and by all of our directors and executive officers as a group. Our principal shareholders have the same voting rights with respect to each class of our shares that they own as other holders of shares of that class.

Common Shares		Class A Preferred Shares		Class B Preferred Shares (1)		Total (2)	
Number of Shares	%	Number of shares	%	Number of Shares	%	Number of shares	%
18,724,747,111	72.8	19,442,447,389	38.0			38,167,194,500	49.4

Odebrecht Group (2) Mariani Group (3)	872,698,179	3.4	728,032,080	1.4	1,600,730,259	2.1
Norquisa (4)	7,558,456,469	29.4	706,405,071	1.4	8,264,861,540	10.7
Petroquisa (5)	2,109,311,790	7.8	5,638,988,270	11.0	7,658,300,050	9.9
All directors, fiscal council members, their alternates and executive officers as a group (39 persons)	105	*	18,157,716	*	18,157,821	*

* less than 1%.

- (1) All of our class B preferred shares were subscribed for by the Northeast Investment Fund (*Fundo de Investimento do Nordeste FINOR*) and are subject to restrictions on transfer for four years from their exchange by FINOR with investors.
- (2) Represents direct ownership of 2,536,330,602 common shares owned by Odebrecht, 8,484,877,060 common shares owned by ODBPAR investments (a subsidiary of Odebrecht), 7,558,456,469 common shares owned by Norquisa, 145,082,980 common shares owned by Copene Participações, 18,663,500,838 class A preferred

Table of Contents

shares owned by Odebrecht, 706,405,071 class A preferred shares owned by Norquisa and 72,541,480 class A preferred shares owned by Copene Participações. The Odebrecht Group disclaims ownership of our shares owned by Norquisa other than with respect to its proportionate interest in these shares. ODBPAR Investments owns convertible debentures issued originally by OPP Produtos. These debentures may be converted into our shares at any time, at the discretion of the Odebrecht Group, if such right had been exercised at May 31, 2004, 5,001,059,022 new common shares and 10,002,118,044 new class A preferred shares of our company would have been issued. These shares have not been included in the above table.

- (3) Represents direct ownership of 872,698,179 common shares owned by Pronor and 728,032,080 class A preferred shares owned by Pronor.
- (4) Represents direct ownership of 7,558,456,469 common shares owned by Norquisa and 706,405,071 class A preferred shares owned by Norquisa.
- (5) Represents direct ownership of 2,019,311,790 common shares owned by Petroquisa and 5,638,988,270 class A preferred shares owned by Petroquisa. Because the price at which we will be required to issue shares to Petroquisa will be based on an independent valuation of our company and Copesul, which will not be conducted prior to Petroquisa's exercise of the Petroquisa option, we cannot determine the number of common shares or class A preferred shares that we would be required to issue on the exercise of the Petroquisa option. Accordingly, we have not included these shares in the above table. See Shareholders Agreements Petroquisa Memorandum of Understanding.

We have no management or employee option plans or management or employee options outstanding.

Changes in Ownership

At January 1, 2001:

Norquisa owned 22.8% of our total share capital, including 58.4% of our voting share capital;

Petroquisa owned 21.4% of our total share capital, including 15.4% of our voting share capital; and

Odebrecht Química, a member of the Odebrecht Group, owned 0.6% of our total share capital, including 1.7% of our voting share capital.

In addition, Trikem, a member of the Odebrecht Group, owned 16.0% of the voting share capital of Norquisa.

On July 25, 2001, Nova Odequi Ltda., a subsidiary of Odebrecht Química, acquired common shares of Norquisa representing 20.4% of its total share capital and 23.7% of Norquisa's voting share capital from Participações Petroquímicas do Nordeste Ltda.

In December 2001, Odebrecht Química acquired common shares of Norquisa representing 13.8% of its total share capital and 16.0% of its voting share capital from Trikem. Following this transaction, Odebrecht Química transferred to ODBPAR Investments (1) common shares of Norquisa representing 34.2% of its total share capital and 39.7% of its voting share capital, and (2) common shares and class A preferred shares of our company, which then represented 0.6% of our total share capital and 1.7% of our voting share capital.

On August 16, 2002, we issued (1) 10,715,261,540 of our common shares and 18,983,847,740 of our class A preferred shares, which then represented 43.7% of our total share capital and 43.7% of our voting share capital, to Odebrecht in exchange for the share capital of OPP Produtos. Following the issuance of these shares:

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

Norquisa owned 12.2% of our total share capital, including 30.8% of our voting share capital;

Petroquisa owned 11.3% of our total share capital, including 8.1% of our voting share capital; and

the Odebrecht Group owned 44.0% of our total share capital, including 44.6% of our voting share capital.

129

Table of Contents

Following our merger with OPP Produtos, Odebrecht transferred common shares and class A preferred shares, of our company, which then represented 12.5% of our total share capital and 34.6% of our voting share capital, to ODBPAR Investments.

On January 15, 2003, Odebrecht transferred 11,467,000 class A preferred shares of our company to Oxiteno do Nordeste S.A. in exchange for 59,750,617 common shares and 675,460 preferred shares of Norquisa. Following this transaction, the Odebrecht Group owned 42.9% of Norquisa's total share capital, including 50.1% of its voting share capital, and the Odebrecht Group, including Norquisa, owned 56.2% of our total share capital, including 76.4% of our voting share capital.

On July 31, 2003, we issued 1,086,290,620 of our common shares to Nissho Iwai in exchange for the share capital of NI Participações Ltda. in connection with its merger into our company. Following the issuance of these shares:

Norquisa owned 12.0% of our total share capital, including 29.5% of our voting share capital;

Petroquisa owned 11.1% of our total share capital, including 7.8% of our voting share capital; and

the Odebrecht Group, including Norquisa, owned 55.3% of our total share capital, including 73.1% of our voting share capital.

On January 15, 2004, we issued 8,138,165,484 of our class A preferred shares to the shareholders of Trikem, other than our company, in exchange for their share capital of Trikem. In addition, at an extraordinary general shareholders meeting held on January 15, 2004, Norquisa, Odebrecht and Petroquisa converted 3,444,569, 91,006,882 and 27,496,810 of their class A preferred shares, respectively, into the same number of common shares.

As a result of these transactions, at August 27, 2004:

Norquisa owned 10.7% of our total share capital, including 29.4% of our voting share capital;

Petroquisa owned 9.9% of our total share capital, including 7.8% of our voting share capital; and

the Odebrecht Group, including Norquisa, owned 49.4% of our total share capital, including 72.8% of our voting share capital.

Shareholders Agreements

Mariani Group Shareholders Agreement

On July 27, 2001, Odebrecht Química and Petroquímica da Bahia entered into a shareholders agreement with respect to their shares of Norquisa and our company, which was amended on July 29, 2002 pursuant to the First Amendment to Shareholders Agreement among Odebrecht Química, Odebrecht, ODBPAR Investments and Petroquímica da Bahia. We refer to this agreement, as amended, as the Mariani Group Shareholders Agreement.

The Mariani Group Shareholders Agreement provides that:

The Odebrecht Group has the right to nominate a majority of Norquisa's board of directors and a majority of our board of directors;

The Mariani Group has the right to nominate at least one member of Norquisa's board of directors and at least one member of our board of directors;

The Odebrecht Group has the right to nominate all of the members of Norquisa's board of executive officers and our board of executive officers; however, if Norquisa's shareholders eliminate its board of directors, (as
130

Table of Contents

shareholders of privately held companies, such as Norquisa, are permitted to do under the Brazilian Corporation Law), the Mariani Group will have the right to nominate at least one member of Norquisa's board of executive officers; and

Odebrecht, ODBPAR Investments and Petroquímica da Bahia will exercise their voting rights with respect to our company and Norquisa, and cause their representatives on the boards of directors of our company and Norquisa to vote, to implement the organizational restructuring of our company.

Under the Mariani Group Shareholders Agreement, Odebrecht, ODBPAR Investments and Petroquímica da Bahia will vote their shares as a block in each general shareholders meeting of Norquisa. Odebrecht, ODBPAR Investments and Petroquímica da Bahia will meet prior to each meeting to determine how to vote these shares with respect to matters to be submitted to the meeting. These determinations will be made by majority vote among these shareholders based on the number of Norquisa shares held by each of them. As a result, the Odebrecht Group may effectively determine the vote for all of these shareholders.

Under the Mariani Group Shareholders Agreement, Odebrecht and ODBPAR Investments, on the one hand, and Petroquímica da Bahia, on the other hand, granted to the other a right of first refusal in respect of sales, transfers or encumbrances of common shares of Norquisa owned directly or indirectly by either of them. If the Odebrecht Group intends to sell any of its shares of Norquisa, Petroquímica da Bahia has the right to sell a *pro rata* portion of its shares of Norquisa on the terms and conditions under which the Odebrecht Group intends to sell its shares. If the Odebrecht Group intends to sell a sufficient number of its shares of Norquisa to result in a change of joint control of Norquisa, Petroquímica da Bahia has the right to sell all of its shares of Norquisa on the same terms and conditions under which the Odebrecht Group intends to sell its shares. If the Odebrecht Group intends to sell or transfers direct or indirect control of our company to a third party, Petroquímica da Bahia also has the right to sell all of its shares of our company on the same terms offered by the third party acquiring control of our company from the Odebrecht Group.

On September 9, 2003, Odebrecht granted to Pronor an option to exchange all its shares of Norquisa for 363,606,220 of our class A preferred shares owned directly or indirectly by Odebrecht. On December 26, 2003, Pronor exercised its option and is required to complete the exchange of shares between January 30, 2005 and January 30, 2009.

Petroquisa Memorandum of Understanding

On July 3, 2001, Odebrecht, Odebrecht Química, Petroquisa and Petroquímica da Bahia entered into a Memorandum of Understanding regarding Shareholders Agreement, which was amended on July 26, 2002, which we refer to as the Petroquisa memorandum of understanding. The Petroquisa memorandum of understanding grants Petroquisa (1) an option to purchase additional shares from our company and Odebrecht, (2) veto rights over certain actions by the shareholders and the board of directors, and (3) tag-along rights. The Petroquisa memorandum of understanding also grants Petroquisa a right to include a right of first refusal in respect of our common or preferred shares in the final shareholders agreement. The Petroquisa memorandum of understanding terminates on July 25, 2021, unless a shareholders agreement including the terms set forth below is entered into prior to that date.

Under the Petroquisa memorandum of understanding, Odebrecht granted to Petroquisa an option to purchase such number of our common shares and preferred shares as may be necessary for Petroquisa to own the same percentage of our total share capital and total voting shares as is held collectively by Odebrecht, Petroquímica da Bahia and Norquisa. Petroquisa can exercise the Petroquisa option in full on a single occasion on the last day of any month on or prior to April 30, 2005. If Petroquisa exercises the Petroquisa option, Odebrecht will cause our company to issue shares to Petroquisa in exchange for Copesul shares that Petroquisa holds.

The percentage of Copesul shares owned by Petroquisa to be exchanged for our common and preferred shares will be determined as follows:

Table of Contents

each of Petroquisa and Odebrecht will retain an investment bank to perform an independent valuation of both Copesul and our company;

if the difference between the valuations of either Copesul or our company performed by each investment bank is less than 10%, then the value of the relevant company will be the average of the two valuations for that company;

if the difference in the valuations of either Copesul or our company performed by each investment bank is greater than 10%, then both investment banks will jointly appoint a third investment bank to perform an independent valuation of both Copesul and our company. If the third investment bank's valuation is between the valuations of the two investment banks, then the valuation of the third investment bank will be binding on Petroquisa and Odebrecht;

after the valuation of Copesul and our company through the procedures described above, (1) the value of Copesul's share capital owned by Petroquisa will be determined based on the percentage of Copesul shares owned by Petroquisa, and (2) the value of our company's share capital owned collectively by the Odebrecht Group, Petroquímica da Bahia and Norquisa will be determined based on the aggregate percentage of our share capital owned by these companies;

the percentage of Copesul shares owned by Petroquisa to be exchanged for our common and preferred shares will be calculated by dividing the value of the aggregate number of our shares owned collectively by the Odebrecht Group, Petroquímica da Bahia and Norquisa by the value of Copesul's shares owned by Petroquisa and multiplying the result by 100; and

if the percentage calculated in accordance with the preceding bullet point is:

less than or equal to 100% (meaning that the valuation of the Copesul shares owned by Petroquisa is greater than or equal to the valuation of our shares owned collectively by the Odebrecht Group, Petroquímica da Bahia and Norquisa), then Petroquisa will exchange the specified percentage of Copesul shares that it owns for the percentage of our shares owned collectively by the Odebrecht Group, Petroquímica da Bahia and Norquisa; or

greater than 100% (meaning that the valuation of the Copesul shares owned by Petroquisa was less than the valuation of our shares owned collectively by the Odebrecht Group, Petroquímica da Bahia and Norquisa), then (1) Petroquisa will exchange all of the Copesul shares that it owns for our shares and (2) Odebrecht will be required to sell to Petroquisa such additional number of our shares at a price based on the valuation of our company determined through the procedures described above, so that the resulting percentage ownership of our share capital by the Odebrecht Group, Petroquímica da Bahia and Norquisa collectively is equal to the percentage of our share capital owned by Petroquisa.

If at the time Petroquisa exercises the Petroquisa option the Copesul shares that Petroquisa will exchange for our shares constitute more than one-third of Copesul's outstanding share capital (excluding shares held by the controlling shareholders, their related parties, the management of Copesul and shares held in treasury), then we shall conduct a public exchange offer for all of Copesul's common shares not then-owned by our company, as required by the Brazilian Corporation Law.

Under the Petroquisa memorandum of understanding, Odebrecht, Petroquímica da Bahia and Petroquisa agreed that in the event that Petroquisa exercises the Petroquisa option:

Odebrecht and Petroquímica da Bahia, acting jointly, and Petroquisa will have equal decision-making powers with respect to our company, including the right to elect an equal number of members to our board of directors;

Table of Contents

Odebrecht, Petroquímica da Bahia and Petroquisa will coordinate their votes in shareholders meetings and meetings of our board of directors and will vote as a block;

Petroquisa would be required to sell all of its investments, other than passive investments, in companies that compete with us within 18 months of its exercise of the Petroquisa option;

Odebrecht, Petroquímica da Bahia and Petroquisa will be prohibited from acquiring additional common shares of our company from third parties unless otherwise agreed by the non-acquiring parties; and

Odebrecht, Petroquímica da Bahia and Petroquisa will each have tag-along rights and drag-along rights with respect to each of the other parties in the event of the direct or indirect sale of common shares representing control of our company.

Under the Petroquisa memorandum of understanding, Petroquisa has veto rights over the following matters at any general meeting of our shareholders:

any modification of the rights conferred on our shares by our by-laws if that modification would adversely affect the value of our shares;

any change, increase or reduction of the scope of our corporate purpose, except as necessary for us to operate as an integrated petrochemical company;

any increase in the number of members of our board of directors;

any decrease in the number of members of our board of directors to be nominated by Petroquisa;

any capital increase by us paid in by tendering goods or rights, unless those goods or rights relate to our corporate purpose and a valuation of those goods or rights is performed by a first tier investment bank or independent auditing firm;

any merger or spin-off of our company into another company or of another company into our company that could result in the unjustified dilution of the percentage ownership of Petroquisa except that the integration of the second generation petrochemical producers controlled by the Odebrecht Group is expressly permitted; and

our dissolution or liquidation.

Under the Petroquisa memorandum of understanding, Petroquisa has veto rights over resolutions of our board of directors relating to the following matters:

acquisitions, sales or granting of liens against our fixed assets with values in excess of 30.0% of our net worth, if such acquisition, sale or grant of a lien is not related to, or is outside the scope of, our corporate purpose;

transactions involving affiliates of the parties to the Petroquisa memorandum of understanding, other than transactions involving the integration of the second generation petrochemical producers controlled by the Odebrecht Group or the Mariani Group;

investments in other companies, unless they are in the same business as our company; and

any resolution that would cause us to fail to meet any of the following financial ratios, with any projections to determine compliance with this provision to be performed by an internationally recognized entity:

Table of Contents

projected net debt to EBITDA;

EBITDA to interest expense; and

EBITDA to debt service (excluding debt service on trade finance transactions).

Although the Petroquisa memorandum of understanding does not provide a definition of EBITDA, we calculate EBITDA for purposes of this agreement on the same basis as set forth in the most restrictive financial covenants among our debt instruments. See Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects Liquidity and Capital Resources. Petroquisa has the right to sell a *pro rata* portion of its shares of our company in connection with any direct or indirect sale of our common shares by the Odebrecht Group to a third party that involves a change of our control.

Pension Funds Memorandum of Understanding

On July 20, 2001, Odebrecht Química and Petroquímica da Bahia, Petros and Previ entered into a Memorandum of Understanding Regarding Shareholders Agreement, which we refer to as the Pension Funds memorandum of understanding. The Pension Funds memorandum of understanding grants certain preemptive, share transfer and voting rights to Petros and Previ, including veto rights to Petros and Previ over certain actions by our shareholders and our board of directors. The Pension Funds memorandum of understanding has a term of 20 years, unless a shareholders agreement containing the terms set forth below is entered into prior to that date.

Under the Pension Funds memorandum of understanding, the parties agreed to adopt the following basic principles for our management:

our board of executive officers will be composed of competent professionals;

our dividend policy will have as its objective the distribution of at least 50.0% of net income available during the relevant period, provided that all necessary reserves for the efficient operation and development of our business are established and maintained; and

we will adopt a commercial policy that assures the regular and continuous supply of raw materials and utilities on a competitive basis and consistent with the domestic and international markets.

Under the Pension Funds memorandum of understanding, Petros and Previ have veto rights (to be exercised jointly) over the matters for which Petroquisa would have veto rights at meetings of our shareholders or our board of directors under the Petroquisa memorandum of understanding (except that Petros and Previ have no veto right over investments in other companies, unless they are in the same business as our company).

The veto rights of Petros and Previ are valid so long as on a combined basis they own, together with other private pension funds, at least 15.0% of our voting capital. If the percentage of voting capital owned by Petros and Previ together is diluted below 15.0% at any time due to the integration of the second generation petrochemical producers, those veto rights will remain in effect for three years after that time, during which Petros and Previ may purchase more of our shares in order to maintain their veto rights beyond such three-year period. Accordingly, although as a result of our mergers with OPP Produtos and 52114 Participações, the participation of Petros and Previ in our voting capital was diluted to 6.1%, their veto rights remain in effect until August 16, 2005, unless they increase their participation accordingly.

The Pension Funds memorandum of understanding contains the following liquidity provisions with respect to our shares owned by Petros and Previ:

Petros and Previ have the right to sell our shares owned by each of them in connection with any sale of our shares by our controlling shareholders to a third party that involves a change of our control; and

Table of Contents

In order to transfer their veto rights under the Pension Funds memorandum of understanding, Petros and Previ must give our controlling shareholders a right of first refusal to purchase our shares owned by each of them in the event of a proposed sale except that our controlling shareholders will not have a right of first refusal if our shares are being sold to another private pension fund or to a company that does not compete with our controlling shareholders.

Trikem Shareholders Agreement

Prior to the merger of Trikem with and into our company, we (through OPP Química), Odebrecht and BNDESPAR had entered into a shareholders agreement in respect of Trikem. Under that shareholders agreement, we and Odebrecht agreed to vote our shares to elect one member of Trikem's board of directors designated by BNDESPAR. In connection with our merger with Trikem, we, Odebrecht Química, Odebrecht and BNDESPAR amended the Trikem shareholders agreement on January 12, 2004 and on July 13, 2004. These amendments provide that BNDESPAR has the right to appoint one member of our board of directors if it owns more than 5.0% of our total share capital on or prior to November 30, 2004. Through November 30, 2004 or until BNDESPAR earlier appoints a member of our board of directors, a representative of BNDESPAR may attend meetings of our board of directors, but is not allowed to participate or to vote in such meetings. The amended shareholders agreement expires on August 24, 2011, or on any earlier date (after November 30, 2004) that BNDESPAR ceases to own more than 5.0% of our total share capital. All other provisions of the Trikem shareholders agreement remain in effect, including BNDESPAR's rights to (1) require our company and Odebrecht to purchase the shares that it owns in our company if we do not comply with the terms of the shareholders agreement and do not cure any such non-compliance within a specified period and (2) sell its shares in the event that Odebrecht sells its voting control of our company to a third party.

Related Party Transactions

The following summarizes the material transactions that we have engaged in with our principal shareholders and their affiliates since January 1, 2003.

We and our subsidiaries have engaged in extensive transactions with our principal shareholders and their affiliates and expect to do so in the future. The Odebrecht Group was a party to several of the mergers, acquisitions and other transactions described in History and Development of Our Company. We also have commercial relationships with some of our affiliates and, as a result, record trade accounts receivable and current and long-term liabilities mainly from purchases and sales of goods and services at prices and on terms equivalent to the average terms and prices of transactions that we enter into with third parties. In addition, we have entered into financial and other transactions with our principal shareholders and their affiliates, including, among others, as obligor on R\$636.6 million, at December 31, 2003, of convertible subordinated debentures held by the Odebrecht Group and as a party to three shareholder's agreements or memoranda of understandings.

Under the Brazilian Corporation Law, each of our directors, their alternates and our executive officers cannot vote on any matter in which they have a conflict of interest and such transactions can only be approved on reasonable and fair terms and conditions that are no more favorable than the terms and conditions prevailing in the market or offered by third parties. However, if one of our directors is absent from a meeting of our board of directors, that director's alternate may vote even if that director has a conflict of interest, unless the alternate director shares that conflict of interest or has another conflict of interest. See Item 10. Additional Information Description of Our Company's Bylaws Fiduciary Duties and Conflicts of Interest.

The Odebrecht Group

In early 2004, Construtora Noberto Odebrecht S.A. served as the general contractor for maintenance services related to the shutdown of our Olefins 2 unit. We paid approximately R\$32.5 million to Construtora Noberto

Odebrecht S.A. for these services.

OPP Química

OPP Química merged into our company on March 31, 2003. From August 16, 2002 until March 31, 2003, OPP Química was our subsidiary. From July 25, 2001 to August 16, 2002, OPP Química was our affiliate as a result of the common control exercised by the Odebrecht Group over OPP Química and our company. OPP Química has

Table of Contents

been fully consolidated in our financial statements since July 25, 2001. Prior to our merger with OPP Química, we sold ethylene and propylene to OPP Química under a long-term contract.

Trikem

Trikem merged into our company on January 15, 2004. See Item 4. Information on Our Company History and Development of Our Company Merger of Trikem into Braskem. From August 16, 2002 until January 15, 2004, Trikem was our subsidiary. Trikem has been fully consolidated in our financial statements since July 25, 2001. Prior to our merger with Trikem, Trikem purchased all its ethylene, its primary raw material, from our Basic Petrochemicals Unit. Trikem also purchased electric power, steam, water, compressed air and nitrogen on markets terms from our Basic Petrochemicals Unit.

Petrobras

Petrobras is the controlling shareholder of Petroquisa, which owns 9.9% of our total share capital, including 7.8% of our voting share capital. We purchase naphtha from Petrobras, and we sell automotive gasoline and LPG to Petrobras Distribuidora S.A., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Petrobras.

On June 22, 1978, we entered into a 10-year renewable contract with Petrobras under which the prices paid by us to Petrobras for naphtha are established based on the Amsterdam-Rotterdam-Antwerp market price and are linked to fluctuations in the U.S. dollar/*real* exchange rate. This contract was amended and renewed in February 1993 and in February 2003 and currently expires in 2008. See Item 4. Information on Our Company Overview of Our Company Operations Raw Materials of Our Basic Petrochemicals Unit Supply Contracts and Pricing.

We maintain a rotating naphtha supply line of credit with Petrobras that permits us to finance purchases of naphtha from Petrobras. We are permitted to maintain balances up to an aggregate of R\$570.0 million under this line of credit. This line of credit is guaranteed by the mortgage of two of our plants located in the Southern Complex.

We purchased raw materials and utilities from Petrobras and Petrobras Distribuidora S.A. of R\$1,982.9 million in the six months ended June 30, 2004 and R\$4,546.9 million in 2003. We sold automotive gasoline and LPG to Petrobras and Petrobras Distribuidora S.A. of R\$1.9 million in the six months ended June 30, 2004 and R\$14.1 million in 2003.

Petrobras subsidiary, Petroquisa, is a party to the Petroquisa memorandum of understanding with Odebrecht and Petroquímica da Bahia. This agreement grants certain voting and other rights in respect of our company to Petroquisa, together with an option to purchase a number of our common and preferred shares that would provide it with the same equity participation in our share capital as the participation owned collectively by our controlling shareholder and certain of our other shareholders. See Major Shareholders Shareholders Agreements Petroquisa Memorandum of Understanding.

Petros and Previ

Petros and Previ are party to the Pension Funds memorandum of understanding with Odebrecht and Petroquímica da Bahia. This agreement grants certain voting and other rights in respect of our company to Petros and Previ. See Major Shareholders Shareholders Agreements Pension Funds Memorandum of Understanding.

Our Subsidiaries, Jointly Controlled Companies and Associated Companies

Copesul

Our Polyolefins Unit purchases ethylene and propylene from Copesul, in which we have a 29.5% interest. We have a long-term supply contract with Copesul that is described in Item 4. Information on Our Company Overview of Our Company's Operations Raw Materials of Our Polyolefins Unit Supply Contracts and Pricing. Our Polyolefins Unit also buys nitrogen on market terms from Copesul. We recorded purchases from Copesul of R\$700.5 million in the six months ended June 30, 2004 and R\$1,220.9 million in 2003.

Table of Contents

COPEsul International Trading Inc.

COPEsul International Trading Inc. is a subsidiary of Copesul, in which we have a 29.5% interest. At December 31, 2003, one of our subsidiaries, Lantana Trading Company Inc., had four outstanding loans from COPEsul International Trading Inc. of R\$223.2 million (R\$157.4 million giving effect to proportional consolidation) at interest rates equivalent to the market rates. At June 30, 2004, the outstanding balance of these loans was R\$229.2 million (R\$161.7 million giving effect to proportional consolidation).

Polialden

Polialden has been our subsidiary since July 25, 2001. Polialden has been fully consolidated in our financial statements since July 25, 2001. We sell ethylene, utilities and hydrogen to Polialden under long-term contracts that are renewable automatically for five-year periods.

Politeno

We own 33.9% of the total share capital of Politeno, including 35.0% of its voting share capital. Our Basic Petrochemicals Unit supplies ethylene to Politeno. Politeno recorded purchases from our company of R\$272.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2004 and R\$451.4 million in 2003. We had accounts receivable from Politeno of R\$7.7 million at June 30, 2004 and R\$11.6 million at December 31, 2003.

Cetrel

We own, directly and indirectly, 41.0% of the voting and total share capital of Cetrel. We purchase treatment services on market terms from Cetrel for the wastewater and organic residues generated by us in the Northeastern Complex. We recorded purchases from Cetrel of R\$7.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2004 and R\$7.5 million in 2003.

Petroflex

We own 20.1% of the voting and total share capital of Petroflex. We sell butadiene-1 to Petroflex on market terms under a long-term contract that is renewable automatically for five-year periods. We recorded net sales to Petroflex of R\$165.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2004 and R\$331.3 million in 2003.

On June 11, 2004, Petroflex entered into a R\$34.3 million secured credit agreement with BNDES to finance capital expenditures. In accordance with the terms of a shareholders agreement among our company and the other controlling shareholders of Petroflex, we have guaranteed severally, but not jointly, 40% of this loan, or approximately R\$13.7 million of its aggregate principal amount. The first tranche of this loan in the principal amount of R\$10.3 million bears interest at a rate based on the UM, a BNDES rate based on a basket of currencies (which rate reflects the daily exchange rate fluctuations in the currencies in which BNDES borrows), plus 5.125% per annum. The second and third tranches of this loan in the aggregate principal amount of R\$24.0 million bear interest at the Long-Term Interest Rate, plus 5.125% per annum. As set forth in the shareholders agreement, we charge Petroflex a fee of 1.25% of the outstanding principal amount of this loan that we guarantee.

Borealis Brasil S.A.

We sell polypropylene and polyethylene to Borealis Brasil S.A. in which we have a 20.0% equity interest. We recorded sales to Borealis of R\$67.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2004 and R\$107.6 million in 2003. We paid Borealis R\$0.2 million in interest expense during 2003 and had a current liability to Borealis of R\$6.0 million at

December 31, 2002.

Pronor Petroquímica S.A.

At December 31, 2003, we had long-term liabilities to Pronor of R\$3.1 million.

Other

We sell PET from time to time to Engepack Embalagens S.A., or Engepack, a packaging manufacturer. Francisco Teixeira de Sá, the chairman of the board of directors of Engepack, is a member of our board of directors. In addition, one of the alternate members of our board of directors, Lucio José Santos Junior, is an executive officer of Engepack, and one of the members of our fiscal council, Walter Murilo Melo de Andrade, is the internal counsel of Engepack. We recorded net sales to Engepack of R\$23.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2004, R\$35.8 million in 2003, R\$38.1 million in 2002 and R\$7.0 million in 2001. Engepack purchases PET from a variety of second generation producers, including our company.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information

Reference is made to Item 19 for a list of all financial statements filed as part of this annual report.

Legal Proceedings

Tax Proceedings

We are engaged in several legal proceedings with Brazilian tax authorities for which we have established provisions in an aggregate amount of R\$1,149.1 million at December 31, 2003. In addition, there are currently certain legal proceedings pending in which we are involved for which we have not established provisions. If any of these legal proceedings is decided adversely to us, our results of operations or financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

IPI

IPI Credits on Raw Materials Purchases. We pay IPI tax on industrial products that we manufacture. The regulations governing the IPI tax assess this tax on a non-cumulative basis, meaning that companies may offset their IPI tax obligations with the amount of IPI taxes paid by suppliers earlier in the production chain. The Brazilian federal tax authorities have asserted that the purchase of raw materials that are tax-exempt, non-taxable or taxed at a zero percent rate does not generate IPI tax credits, on the basis that there is no law or regulation that expressly authorizes these credits. We believe that this interpretation is contrary to Article 153, paragraph 3 of the Brazilian Constitution, which sets forth the principle of non-cumulative taxation and does not exclude purchases of raw materials that are tax-exempt, non-taxable or taxed at a zero percent rate.

In July 2000, OPP Química filed suit in the State of Rio Grande do Sul requesting the acknowledgement of IPI tax credits for its purchases of raw materials from our company and Copesul. The amount of credits claimed by OPP Química comprised the book value of those raw materials plus monetary adjustments. In December 2002, the Brazilian Federal Supreme Court held that OPP Química was entitled to IPI tax credits in an aggregate amount of R\$1,030.1 million, including R\$367.9 million attributable to monetary adjustments, for the ten-year period ended in 2002, calculated based on the price of raw materials purchased during the ten-year period preceding the filing of the suit, plus monetary adjustments based on official indices.

The Brazilian government has appealed the decision of the Brazilian Federal Supreme Court. The appeal does not challenge the validity of IPI tax credits, but only challenges the method of calculating monetary adjustments on those credits. We believe that we will prevail in this appeal and that the probability of loss is remote. However, if the government prevails in its appeal, we could lose all or a portion of the IPI tax credits that are attributable to monetary adjustments.

As the validity of IPI tax credits is not in dispute on appeal, we recognized IPI tax credits in an aggregate amount of R\$1,030.1 million in December 2002. At December 31, 2003, we have used an aggregate of R\$630.5 million of the R\$1,030.1 million IPI tax credit to offset our IPI and other federal tax obligations. We anticipate that we will use the remaining credits to offset other federal tax obligations by the end of 2005.

We have three other similar suits pending on behalf of OPP Química and Trikem (which have merged into our company) in federal courts in the States of Bahia, Sao Paulo and Alagoas. In two of these cases, we obtained preliminary injunctions that allowed these companies to use these credits to offset other IPI tax obligations. In the

third case, we obtained a favorable decision in federal trial court that recognized our right to these credits for the ten-year period preceding the filing of this suit, which decision the Brazilian government appealed to the Superior Court of Justice and Federal Supreme Court in 2002. All three of these suits remain pending. Based on (1) these favorable lower court decisions, and (2) the favorable decision of the Federal Supreme Court in OPP Química's suit in Rio Grande do Sul described above, we have used R\$153.0 million at December 31, 2003 of Trikem's and OPP Química's IPI tax credits to offset our IPI tax obligations and have recorded a provision in the amount of R\$198.4 million at December 31, 2003. We have not recognized any assets or gains in relation to these claims.

Our subsidiary, Polialden, has a similar suit pending in federal court in the State of Bahia. Polialden won this suit in federal trial court, which ruled that Polialden was entitled to IPI tax credits for the ten-year period preceding the filing of this suit. However, the Brazilian government appealed this decision to the Federal Superior Court of Justice, which appeal remains pending. Based on (1) this favorable lower court decision, and (2) the favorable decision of the Federal Supreme Court in OPP Química's suit in Rio Grande do Sul, Polialden has used R\$78.8 million at December 31, 2003 in IPI tax credits to offset its IPI tax obligations and recorded a provision in the amount of R\$108.6 million at December 31, 2003. Polialden has not recognized any assets or gains in relation to these claims.

For further information on our accounting treatment of these IPI credits, see note 17 to our audited consolidated and combined financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report.

Table of Contents

IPI Export Credits. Brazilian Decree Law No. 491/69 provides a tax credit to exporters of manufactured products to compensate them for taxes paid in Brazil prior to exporting their products. The regulations governing the IPI tax permit exporters to offset IPI taxes with IPI export credits and/or to transfer their IPI export credits to third parties. However, the Brazilian tax authorities issued a series of administrative rules that reduced, restricted and ultimately suspended the use of these credits based on Decree Law No. 1,724/79, which expressly delegated these powers to the Brazilian Ministry of Finance. We believe that this delegation of powers to the Ministry of Finance violated the distribution of functions among the executive branch, and that secondary administrative rules may not restrict or suspend a benefit created by a constitutionally superior norm. Accordingly, we believe that the administrative rules promulgated under the authority of Decree Law No. 1,724/79 have no legal effect. On these grounds, we and some of our subsidiaries filed suits against the Brazilian government challenging Decree Law No. 1,742/79 and these administrative rules and seeking to offset and transfer IPI export credits as provided under Decree Law No. 491/96.

We and our subsidiaries are claiming more than R\$551 million in IPI export credits. In one of these suits, the Regional Federal Court ruled against OPP Química, holding that it does not have the right to IPI export credits. OPP Química's appeals before the Superior Court of Justice and the Brazilian Federal Supreme Court remain pending. Although our other suits also are pending, the Brazilian tax authorities have issued deficiency notices against us (1) attempting to collect amounts offset using these IPI export credits, and (2) asserting their right to do so before the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations. We filed administrative appeals in respect of these deficiency notices, but we received unfavorable decisions in each of these appeals. We have appealed each of these unfavorable decisions to the taxpayers' council (an administrative appeal board). However, based on recent Brazilian Federal Supreme Court and other jurisprudence holding that the sub-delegation by the Ministry of Finance of the authority to regulate IPI export credits under Decree Law No. 1,742/79 was unconstitutional and recognizing the right to offset IPI export credits as provided under Decree Law No. 491/96, we believe that we will prevail in all of these judicial and administrative proceedings. Accordingly, we have used a portion of these credits to offset IPI and other federal taxes in the amount of R\$364.9 million at December 31, 2003 and recorded a provision in the amount of R\$413.1 million at December 31, 2003 because these suits remain pending. For further information on our accounting treatment of these IPI credits, see note 17 to our audited consolidated and combined financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report.

IPI Credits Arising from the Acquisition of Fixed Assets and Materials not used in Production. We are involved in four suits against the Brazilian government seeking the acknowledgment of IPI credits arising from the acquisition of fixed assets and materials not used by us in the production of our products. The regulations governing the IPI tax permit companies to offset against their IPI tax liability, IPI credits arising from the acquisition of raw materials and other unfinished products only to the extent that they are used in production. We believe that this limitation imposed by the IPI regulations is unconstitutional. Article 153, paragraph 3 of the Brazilian Constitution sets forth a broad principle of non-cumulative taxation and does not limit the grant or use of IPI credits arising from the acquisition of fixed assets or in respect of materials not used in production.

We lost one of these suits in federal trial court and appealed this decision, which appeal remains pending. Although our three other suits also remain pending in federal court, the Brazilian tax authorities have issued deficiency notices against us attempting to collect amounts offset using these credits, asserting their right to do so before the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations. We appealed the issuance of these deficiency notices to the taxpayers' council. We believe that it is reasonably possible for us to prevail in all of these judicial and administrative proceedings. We have used R\$31.9 million at December 31, 2003 of these credits to offset IPI taxes and recorded a provision in an equal amount because these suits remain pending. For further information on our accounting treatment of these IPI credits, see note 17 to our audited consolidated and combined financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report

Social Contribution on Net Income

Law No. 7,689/88 created the Social Contribution on Net Income (CSLL), a tax similar to the corporate income tax. Under Article 146, item III of the Brazilian Constitution, the Social Contribution on Net Income should have been enacted as a supplementary law and not as an ordinary law. Under Brazilian law, supplementary laws must be approved by at least a minority of the members of each house of the Brazilian Congress, while an ordinary law may

Table of Contents

be approved by a simple majority of the members of Congress present at the relevant voting session. In addition, we believe that the Social Contribution on Net Income violates Article 154, item II of the Brazilian Constitution, which provides that new taxes may not be assessed and calculated on the same basis as existing taxes. We believe that the Social Contribution on Net Income is assessed and calculated on the same basis as the corporate income tax.

On these grounds, we and some of our subsidiaries filed suit challenging the constitutionality of the Social Contribution on Net Income. We received a final decision in our favor in 1992. However, in 1993, Brazilian tax authorities filed a rescission action (*ação rescisória*) against us seeking to overrule this decision on the basis of a ruling by the Brazilian Federal Supreme Court in an unrelated case that recognized the constitutionality of the Social Contribution on Net Income. The Brazilian tax authorities prevailed in their rescission action both in the first instance and on appeal. We filed further appeals in respect of this decision with the Superior Court of Justice and the Brazilian Federal Supreme Court, which appeals remain pending.

Brazilian tax authorities issued several deficiency notices against us and our subsidiaries attempting to collect the Social Contribution on Net Income. We obtained suspensions of all deficiency notices that we have received to date based on a Brazilian civil procedure provision that states that a rescission action may take effect only after the court publishes a final decision.

We believe it is reasonably possible that we will lose our appeals. However, if we lose this suit, we believe that we would be required to pay the Social Contribution on Net Income only from the date that a final decision is published. We note, however, that these are adverse precedents under Brazilian law that allow rescission actions to relate back to, and to take effect from, the date of the initial decision. Although these precedents do not involve tax proceedings and the Federal Supreme Court has not ruled on this issue, the same line of reasoning has been adopted by the Brazilian tax authorities and may be adopted by the courts in our suit. Accordingly, we believe that it is reasonably possible that we will be required to pay these taxes retroactively.

If the Social Contribution on Net Income is charged retroactively, then our total estimated exposure at December 31, 2003 would be R\$416.8 million, including interest. This amount does not include approximately R\$53.6 million in penalties at December 31, 2003, which we believe are not payable because we relied upon a judicial decision in not paying the Social Contribution on Net Income. However, we believe that there is a remote possibility that we will be required to pay fines and related interest as a result of this tax litigation.

As we believe that the Social Contribution on Net Income may not be payable for periods before the date the final decision is published in the rescission action, we have not made any provision in our consolidated and combined financial statements for these contingencies.

PIS and COFINS

The PIS and COFINS taxes are Brazilian federal taxes created to fund the government's unemployment payments, social security and other social programs. Prior to February 1999, PIS and COFINS were assessed on gross billings, that is, billings or invoices for sales of goods and services. Effective February 1999, Law No. 9,718/98 introduced significant changes in the assessment of PIS and COFINS, which changes have materially increased the tax burden of our company on a consolidated basis. Law No. 9,718/98 substantially broadened the concept of gross billings to include revenue generated from sources other than sales of goods and services, increasing the tax base upon which PIS and COFINS are assessed. At the same time, the rate of COFINS increased from 2% to 3%.

We believe that these changes were unconstitutional. The provisions of Law 9,718/98 that broadened the concept of gross billings in our view violated the original terms of Article 195 of the Brazilian Constitution, as well as Article 110 of the Brazilian tax code, which prohibits tax laws from changing the definition, content and reach of

private law doctrines and concepts. In addition, although the Brazilian Congress enacted Constitutional Amendment No. 20 on December 15, 1998 to modify Article 195 of the Brazilian Constitution in order to validate

Table of Contents

the expanded calculation basis of PIS and COFINS as set forth in Law No. 9,718/98, we believe that this law cannot be validated by constitutional amendment for periods before the effectiveness of the constitutional amendment. On these grounds, we and our subsidiaries have filed suit against the Brazilian government seeking to pay PIS and COFINS in accordance with the rules prevailing prior to February 1999.

We and some of our subsidiaries lost our suits challenging the change in the definition of gross billings and have appealed these decisions to the Brazilian Federal Supreme Court. In light of these unfavorable decisions, it is reasonably possible that we will lose in these proceedings.

We and some of our subsidiaries also lost suits challenging the increase in the COFINS tax rate and have appealed these decisions to the Brazilian Federal Supreme Court. Based on recent Brazilian Federal Supreme Court and Superior Court of Justice jurisprudence, we believe that our chances of success in these suits are remote. Two of our subsidiaries have voluntarily settled their COFINS liabilities under a special program created by Law No. 10,684/03, for which we have recorded a long-term liability in an aggregate amount of R\$56.3 million at December 31, 2003.

We recorded a provision in accordance with Law No. 9,718/98 in respect of the proceedings that were not settled in an aggregate amount of R\$284.9 million at December 31, 2003 and have deposited R\$56.3 million of this amount in court. For further information on our accounting treatment of these contingencies, see note 17 to our audited consolidated and combined financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report.

Tax on Net Profits

Law No. 7,713/88 imposed an 8.0% income tax on equity holders, the tax on net profits, which was calculated on net profits recorded by companies in which such holders own equity and was assessed even when the net profits have not been distributed to those equity holders. We believe that Law No. 7,713/88 violates Article 43 of the Brazilian tax code, which provides that income tax may be assessed only at the moment when the equity holder effectively receives or is entitled to receive income.

On these grounds, we filed a lawsuit in 1997 against the Brazilian government seeking a refund of, or the right to offset other taxes with, the tax on net profits that we overpaid with respect to the 1990 and 1991 tax years, which totaled approximately R\$67.0 million at December 31, 2003. We deposited a portion of this amount with the court and have used this amount to offset our PIS and COFINS liabilities. In March 2002, the Regional Federal Court recognized our right to use these overpaid amounts to offset other taxes, as adjusted for inflation and including interest accrued on these amounts at the SELIC rate. The Brazilian government filed a special appeal before the Superior Court of Justice, which decided the case in favor of our company. Based on the decision of the Superior Court of Justice, we have petitioned the Regional Federal Court, requesting the refund of our judicial deposits and the nullification of the deficiency notices issued by the tax authorities with respect to such amounts.

Other Tax Proceedings

We, our subsidiaries and our affiliates are involved in several other proceedings regarding withholding taxes, corporate income taxes (*Imposto de Renda da Pessoa Jurídica*), ICMS, monetary adjustments, the Social Investment Fund (*Fundo de Investimento Social*), compulsory loans to Eletrobrás and other issues related to tax matters. Some of these disputes involve substantial amounts, such as the action filed by Copesul seeking the right to deduct monetary adjustment losses incurred in 1990 from the calculation basis of the corporate income tax and the Social Contribution on Net Income.

Table of Contents

Labor Proceedings

Clause Four Cláusula Quarta

Our company and other companies in the Northeastern Complex enter into annual collective bargaining agreements with the petrochemical workers union. The collective bargaining agreement that was valid between September 1989 and August 1990 required employers to pay workers monthly cost of living adjustments equal to 84.3% of the consumer price index (*Índice de Preços ao Consumidor*), or IPC, and prohibited the substitution of the IPC by another index with lower values.

In March 1990, the Brazilian government introduced an economic plan known as the Collor Plan, named after the then-President of Brazil. The Collor Plan provided that cost of living adjustments in wages could be based on other indices but not on the IPC. Based on judicial precedent, we interpreted the Collor Plan as prohibiting wage increases based on the IPC, which interpretation was contrary to the terms of the annual collective bargaining agreements. The petrochemical employers union filed a lawsuit against the petrochemical workers union, seeking to confirm that the cost of living adjustment indices provided in the Collor Plan preempted the conflicting provisions in the collective bargaining agreements. The Regional Labor Court ruled in favor of the workers union, and the decision was later modified in part on appeal to the Superior Labor Court. In 1998, the employers union filed an extraordinary appeal to the Brazilian Federal Supreme Court.

The Brazilian Federal Supreme Court initially held in favor of the workers union but reversed its decision in December 2002 and held that a private collective bargaining agreement cannot preempt federal law, particularly a law that related to Brazilian public policy. In 2003, the workers union requested reconsideration of this decision. The decision of the Brazilian Federal Supreme Court in this matter is not final and does not address damages. We believe it is reasonably possible that the employers union will lose this suit, which could adversely affect us. While we believe that it is possible (although unlikely) that an adverse judgment against the employers union could impact wages that we paid from April 1990 to the present, we believe that any judgment would most likely impact wages that we paid from April 1990 to September 1990 (when the next collective bargaining agreement was entered into). As we believe that it is not probable that the employers union will lose this suit, we have not recorded a provision in respect of this suit. If the employers union loses this suit and assuming that (1) we are required to pay damages from April 1990 to September 1990, (2) the decision would affect approximately 3,100 employees, (3) advances and other payments previously made to certain of these employees would be deducted from the amount of damages owed to these employees, and (4) damages would be calculated based on the average salary of these employees during this period, we estimate that we could be subject to a liability of up to R\$35.0 million, although additional claims would have to be brought by the workers union or individual employees to quantify the amount of damages that we would be required to pay.

Table of Contents

Overtime

There are two claims by an employees' union pending against our company. These claims were originally brought against OPP Química in its capacity as successor of OPP Petroquímica and OPP Polietilenos S.A. The union alleges that there has been no valid collective bargaining agreement since 1991 and seeks payment of overtime. One of the claims was partially granted by the applicable Regional Labor Court, which reversed the initial decision and rendered a judgment requiring OPP Química to pay overtime from June 1997 through April 1999. OPP Química filed a motion for review, which was accepted and is pending judgment. The second claim was dismissed without prejudice by the Regional Labor Court, which ruled that the union had no standing to sue. The union filed a motion for review, which was denied. The appeal filed by the union against the denial of the motion for review was not accepted by the Superior Labor Court and the publication of such decision is still pending. We cannot determine whether the employees' union will appeal such decision. We believe that the possibility of the employees' union prevailing in this suits is remote.

The chemical workers' union in the State of Bahia recently filed a third lawsuit against our company on behalf of its members seeking overtime pay for (1) time worked in excess of six hours per day for our employees that work on rotating six-hour shifts, (2) time worked in excess of eight hours per day for clerical staff (for time spent changing uniforms and waiting for collective transportation provided by our company) and other employees (for time spent waiting for collective transportation provided by our company) that work on rotating eight-hour shifts, and (3) one hour for rest and meals of employees working on rotating shifts. We believe that these claims are groundless under Brazilian law and intend to defend these claims vigorously. As this case has recently been filed and an initial hearing in the case has not yet occurred, we do not have an estimate of our potential exposure (if any) at this time.

Other

In addition, we and our subsidiaries are involved in approximately 970 labor lawsuits that involve claims totaling R\$53.4 million at December 31, 2003. We have established a provision for labor contingencies in an aggregate amount of R\$9.3 million at December 31, 2003.

Occupational Health and Safety Proceedings

We are party to a number of occupational health and safety proceedings as to which the total amounts claimed are not material. We do not believe that these proceedings will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or operations.

Social Security Proceedings

We are involved in several administrative and judicial proceedings regarding social security disputes, which totaled R\$45.4 million at December 31, 2003. We have deposited R\$10.2 million of this amount in court, and R\$24.8 million of this amount is secured by a portion of our inventory. We have established a provision for social security contingencies in an aggregate amount of R\$11.2 million at December 31, 2003.

Other Proceedings

We (through Trikem prior to its merger into our company) are involved in several suits filed by some of our former customers for breach of a caustic soda distribution agreement. The plaintiffs in these suits are seeking damages in an aggregate amount of R\$318.7 million at December 31, 2003 (monetarily adjusted). We prevailed in two of these cases, one of which the plaintiff appealed. This appeal and the remaining suits are pending. We believe that we will prevail in these suits.

We have also filed a claim in the amount of R\$1.2 million against one of these plaintiffs that has declared bankruptcy.

We and our subsidiary Polialden are parties to certain proceedings brought by some preferred shareholders which we do not believe will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Dividends And Dividend Policy

Payment of Dividends

Our dividend distribution policy has historically included the distribution of periodic dividends, based on quarterly balance sheets approved by our board of directors. When we pay dividends on an annual basis, they are declared at our annual shareholders meeting, which we are required by the Brazilian Corporation Law and our by-laws to hold by April 30 of each year. When we declare dividends, we are generally required to pay them within 60 days of

Table of Contents

declaring them unless the shareholders' resolution establishes another payment date. In any event, if we declare dividends, we must pay them by the end of the fiscal year for which they are declared.

The following table sets forth the dividends paid to holders of our common shares, class A preferred shares and class B preferred shares since 1999 in *reais* and in U.S. dollars translated from *reais* at the commercial market selling rate in effect as of the payment date.

Year	Payment Date	Nominal Brazilian Currency per 1,000			US\$ equivalent per 1,000		
		Common Shares	Class A Preferred Shares	Class B Preferred Shares	Common Shares	Class A Preferred Shares	Class B Preferred Shares
1999	February 10, 1999	R\$0.51	R\$0.51	R\$0.51	US\$0.27	US\$0.27	US\$0.27
	May 12, 1999	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.31	0.31	0.31
	August 17, 1999	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.28	0.28	0.28
	November 18, 1999	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.27	0.27	0.27
2000	February 22, 2000	0.86	0.52	0.86	0.48	0.48	0.29
	May 23, 2000	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.46	0.46	0.46
	August 22, 2000	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.47	0.47	0.47
	November 21, 2000	0.86	0.86	0.36	0.45	0.45	0.19
2001	February 20, 2001	0.86	0.86		0.43	0.43	
	May 20, 2001	0.58	0.58	0.58	0.25	0.25	0.25
	August 20, 2001	0.58	0.58	0.58	0.23	0.23	0.23
	November 20, 2001	0.58	0.58	0.58	0.23	0.23	0.23
2002	February 25, 2002		0.35	0.35		0.15	0.15
	May 20, 2002		0.52	0.52		0.21	0.21

We have not paid dividends since May 20, 2002 because of our accumulated deficit arising from net losses in 2002. We expect to resume paying dividends, which may be in the form of interest attributable to shareholders' equity, when we have retained earnings.

Amounts Available for Distribution

The following discussion summarizes the principal provisions of the Brazilian Corporation Law and our by-laws relating to the distribution of dividends, including interest attributable to shareholders' equity.

Calculation of Adjusted Net Profits

At each annual shareholders' meeting, our board of directors is required to recommend how to allocate our net profits for the preceding fiscal year. This allocation is subject to approval by our common shareholders. The Brazilian Corporation Law defines net profits for any fiscal year as our net income after income taxes for that fiscal year, net of any accumulated losses from prior fiscal years and any amounts allocated to employees' participation in our net profits in that fiscal year. Under the Brazilian Corporation Law, our adjusted net profits available for distribution are equal to

our net profits in any fiscal year, reduced by amounts allocated to our legal reserve and other applicable reserves, and increased by any reversals of reserves that we constituted in prior years.

Our calculation of net profits and allocations to reserves for any fiscal year are determined on the basis of financial statements prepared in accordance with Brazilian GAAP.

Reserve Accounts

Under the Brazilian Corporation Law and our by-laws, we are required to maintain a legal reserve. In addition, we are permitted by the Brazilian Corporation Law to establish the following discretionary reserves:

Table of Contents

a contingency reserve for an anticipated loss that is deemed probable in future years. Any amount so allocated in a previous year must be reversed in the fiscal year in which the loss had been anticipated if the loss does not occur as projected or charged off in the event that the anticipated loss occurs;

a reserve for investment projects, in an amount based on a capital expenditure budget approved by our shareholders;

an unrealized income reserve described under **Mandatory Distributions** below; and

a tax incentive investment reserve, included in our capital reserve accounts, in the amount of the reduction in our income tax obligations due to government tax incentive programs. See note 19(a) to our audited consolidated and combined financial statements.

Allocations to each of these reserves (other than the legal reserve) are subject to approval by our common shareholders voting at our annual shareholders meeting.

The Brazilian Corporation Law provides that the legal reserve and the tax incentive investment reserve may be credited to shareholders equity or used to absorb losses, but these reserves are unavailable for the payment of distributions in subsequent years. The amounts allocated to the other reserves may be credited to shareholders equity and used for the payment of distributions in subsequent years.

Legal Reserve Account

Under the Brazilian Corporation Law and our by-laws, we must allocate 5% of our net profits for each fiscal year to our legal reserve until the aggregate amount of our legal reserve equals 20% of our paid-in capital. However, we are not required to make any allocations to our legal reserve in a fiscal year in which our legal reserve, when added to our other reserves, exceeds 30% of our shareholders equity. At December 31, 2003, we had a zero balance in our legal reserve account.

Capital Reserve Accounts

Under the Brazilian Corporation Law, we are also permitted to record a capital reserve that may be used only (1) to absorb losses which exceed retained earning and profit reserves as defined in the Brazilian Corporation Law, and (2) to redeem or repurchase share capital and/or participation certificates, (3) to increase our capital, or (4) if specified in our by-laws (which currently do not so specify), to pay preferred share dividends. Amounts allocated to our capital reserves are unavailable for the payment of distributions and are not taken into consideration for purposes of determining the mandatory distributable amount. At December 31, 2003, we had a balance of R\$744.3 million in our capital reserve accounts.

Mandatory Distributions

As permitted by the Brazilian Corporation Law, our by-laws specify that 25% of our adjusted net profits for each fiscal year must be distributed to shareholders as dividends or interest attributable to shareholders equity. We refer to this amount as the mandatory distributable amount.

Under the Brazilian Corporation Law, the amount by which the mandatory distributable amount exceeds the realized portion of net income for any particular year may be allocated to the unrealized income reserve, and the mandatory distribution may be limited to the realized portion of net income. The realized portion of net income is the amount by which our net income exceeds the sum of (1) our net positive results, if any, from the equity method of accounting for earnings and losses of our subsidiaries and certain associated companies, and (2) the profits, gains or

income obtained on transactions maturing after the end of the following fiscal year. As amounts allocated to the unrealized income reserve are realized in subsequent years, such amounts must be added to the dividend payment relating to the year of realization.

In addition to the mandatory distributable amount, our board of directors may recommend that holders of our common shares approve the payment of additional distributions from other funds legally available for distribution. Distributions made to holders of our class A preferred shares and our class B preferred shares are computed in determining whether we have paid the required mandatory distribution. We net any payment of interim distributions against the required mandatory distribution for that fiscal year.

As described under Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions Major Shareholders Shareholders Agreements Pension Funds Memorandum of Understanding, we have entered into an agreement with two of our shareholders that establishes as our dividend policy the distribution of at least 50% of our adjusted net profits during

Table of Contents

any relevant period, provided that we have established and maintained all necessary reserves for the efficient operation and development of our business.

Under our 11% Notes due 2004 and our 10.625% Notes due 2007, we may not pay dividends in excess of two times the minimum dividends payable under our by-laws, and under the Export Prepayment Credit Agreement we entered into in December 2001, we may not make restricted dividend and other payments in cash in excess the amount required under our shareholders' agreements, subject to limited exceptions. In addition, under the provisions of our tenth series of debentures, we may not pay dividends, interest attributable to shareholders' equity or any other participation in our profits that exceed the greater of 50% of our net profits for any fiscal year and 6% of the value of our class A preferred shares and class B preferred share capital.

The Brazilian Corporation Law permits us to suspend the mandatory distribution if our board of directors reports to our annual shareholders' meeting that the distribution would be incompatible with our financial condition at that time. Our fiscal council must approve any suspension of the mandatory distribution. In addition, our management must report the reasons of any suspension of the mandatory distribution to the Brazilian Securities Commission. We must allocate net profits not distributed by our company as a result of a suspension to a special reserve and, if not absorbed by subsequent losses, we must distribute these amounts as soon as our financial condition permits. In case our profits reserves, as defined in the Brazilian Corporation Law, exceed our share capital, the excess must be credited to shareholders' equity or used for the payment of distributions.

Payment of Dividends and Interest Attributable to Shareholders' Equity

We may pay the mandatory distributable amount as dividends or as interest attributable to shareholders' equity, which is similar to a dividend but is deductible in calculating our income tax obligations. There are no restrictions on our ability to distribute dividends that have been lawfully declared under Brazilian law. However, as with other types of remittances from Brazil, the Brazilian government may impose temporary restrictions on remittances to foreign investors of the proceeds of their investments in Brazil, as it did for approximately six months in 1989 and early 1999, and on the conversion of Brazilian currency into foreign currencies, which could hinder or prevent the depositary from converting dividends into U.S. dollars and remitting these U.S. dollars abroad. See Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Exchange controls and restrictions on remittances abroad may adversely affect holders of the ADSs and the underlying class A preferred shares

Dividends

We are required by the Brazilian Corporation Law and by our by-laws to hold an annual shareholders' meeting by April 30 of each year. At our annual shareholders' meeting, our common shareholders may vote to declare an annual dividend. Our payment of annual dividends is based on our audited financial statements prepared for our preceding fiscal year.

Any holder of record of shares at the time that a dividend is declared is entitled to receive dividends. Under the Brazilian Corporation Law, we are generally required to pay dividends within 60 days after declaring them, unless the shareholders' resolution establishes another payment date, which, in any case, must occur prior to the end of the fiscal year in which the dividend is declared.

Our board of directors may declare interim dividends based on the accrued profits recorded or the realized profits in our annual or semi-annual financial statements approved by our common shareholders. In addition, we may pay dividends from net income based on our unaudited quarterly financial statements. These quarterly interim dividends may not exceed the amounts included in our capital reserve accounts. We may set off any payment of interim dividends against the amount of the mandatory distributable amount for the year in which the interim dividends were

paid.

Interest Attributable to Shareholders' Equity

Brazilian companies, including our company, are permitted to pay interest attributable to shareholders' equity as an alternative form of payment of dividends to our shareholders. These payments may be deducted when calculating Brazilian income tax and social contribution tax. The interest rate applied to these distributions generally cannot

Table of Contents

exceed the Long-Term Interest Rate for the applicable period. The amount of interest paid that we can deduct for tax purposes cannot exceed the greater of:

50% of our net income (after the deduction of the provision for social contribution tax and before the deduction of the provision for corporate income tax) before taking into account any such distribution for the period for which the payment is made; and

50% of the sum of our retained earnings and profit reserves.

Any payment of interest attributable to shareholders' equity to holders of common shares, preferred shares or ADSs, whether or not they are Brazilian residents, is subject to Brazilian withholding tax at the rate of 15%, except that a 25% withholding tax rate applies if the recipient is a resident of a tax haven jurisdiction. A tax haven jurisdiction is a country (i) that does not impose income tax or whose income tax rate is lower than 20% or (ii) which does not permit disclosure of the identity of shareholders of entities organized under its jurisdiction. See Item 10. Additional Information Taxation Brazilian Tax Considerations Taxation of Dividends. Under our by-laws, we may include the amount distributed as interest attributable to shareholders' equity, net of any withholding tax, as part of the mandatory distributable amount.

Prescription of Payments

Our shareholders have three years to claim dividend distributions made with respect to their shares, as from the date that we distribute the dividends to our shareholders, after which any unclaimed dividend distributions legally revert to us. We are not required to adjust the amount of any distributions for inflation that occurs during the period from the date of declaration to the payment date.

Dividend Preference of Preferred Shares

Under our by-laws, our preferred shareholders are entitled to a minimum annual non-cumulative preferential dividend equal to 6% of their *pro rata* share of our capital before dividends may be paid to our common shareholders. If we declare a dividend in an amount that exceeds the preferential dividends due to our preferred shareholders, our common shareholders are then entitled to receive distributions equivalent, on a per share basis, to the preferential dividend payable to our preferred shareholders. If any additional dividend amounts remain, our common shareholders and our class A preferred shareholders are entitled to receive the same amount per share. Our class B preferred shareholders, however, are not entitled to receive any additional dividend amounts after they have received the preferential dividend.

ITEM 9. THE OFFER AND LISTING

Markets for Our Equity Securities

The principal trading market for our common shares, class A preferred shares and class B preferred shares is the São Paulo Stock Exchange. Our common shares and class A preferred shares began trading on the São Paulo Stock Exchange on November 11, 1980, and our class B preferred shares began trading on the São Paulo Stock Exchange on August 19, 1983.

On December 21, 1998, ADSs representing our class A preferred shares began trading on The New York Stock Exchange. On December 31, 2003, there were 969,303 ADSs outstanding, representing 969,303,000 class A preferred shares, or 2.2% of our outstanding class A preferred shares.

On October 8, 2003, we listed our class A preferred shares on the LATIBEX, a stock market for Latin American issuers that is quoted in euros on the Madrid Stock Exchange, under the symbol XBRK. Our class A preferred shares are traded on the LATIBEX in lots of 1,000 shares.

Table of Contents

At August 27, 2004, we had approximately 9,600 shareholders, including three U.S. resident holders of our common shares, approximately 53 U.S. resident holders of our class A preferred shares (including The Bank of New York, as depositary) and no U.S. resident holders of our class B preferred shares. At August 27, 2004, there were 217,667,900 common shares, 3,282,305,212 class A preferred shares (including class A preferred shares represented by ADSs), and no class B preferred shares held by U.S. resident holders.

Price History of Our Class A Preferred Shares and the ADSs

The tables below set forth the high and low closing sales prices for our class A preferred shares on the São Paulo Stock Exchange and the high and low closing sales prices for the ADSs on The New York Stock Exchange for the periods indicated.

	São Paulo Stock Exchange		New York Stock Exchange	
	Reais per 1,000			
	Class A Preferred Shares		U.S. dollars per ADS	
	High	Low	High	Low
1999	R\$23.72	R\$ 3.85	US\$16.19	US\$ 3.68
2000	34.67	20.34	22.88	14.19
2001	31.00	15.43	17.88	6.14
2002	29.24	9.60	12.75	2.57
2003	66.85	7.90	23.39	2.20
	São Paulo Stock Exchange		New York Stock Exchange	
	Reais per 1,000			
	Class A Preferred Shares		U.S. dollars per ADS	
	High	Low	High	Low
2002				
First Quarter	R\$29.24	R\$23.02	US\$12.75	US\$10.05
Second Quarter	27.11	18.75	11.77	6.09
Third Quarter	20.35	11.50	7.11	3.18
Fourth Quarter	12.25	9.60	3.50	2.57
2003				
First Quarter	13.25	7.90	4.10	2.20
Second Quarter	20.75	11.40	7.20	3.35
Third Quarter	36.30	19.20	12.49	6.60

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

Fourth Quarter 2004	66.85	35.80	23.39	12.40
First Quarter	80.51	63.00	29.25	21.50
Second Quarter	72.49	40.02	25.53	12.63

	São Paulo Stock Exchange		New York Stock Exchange	
	Reais per 1,000			
	Class A Preferred Shares		U.S. dollars per ADS	
	High	Low	High	Low
February 2004	R\$77.00	R\$63.00	US\$26.00	US\$21.50
March 2004	77.41	72.88	26.79	23.22
April 2004	72.49	58.00	35.53	20.12
May 2004	57.62	40.02	19.51	12.63
June 2004	56.50	48.41	18.46	15.33
July 2004	61.69	56.90	20.55	18.23

Source: Economática Ltda.

On August 27, 2004, the closing sales price of:

our class A preferred shares on the São Paulo Stock Exchange was R\$ 81.40 per 1,000 shares;

Table of Contents

our class A preferred shares on the LATIBEX was 22.70 per 1,000 shares; and

the ADSs on The New York Stock Exchange was US\$27.41 per ADS.

The following table sets forth the average daily trading volume for our class A preferred shares on the São Paulo Stock Exchange and for the ADSs on The New York Stock Exchange for the periods indicated.

	Average Daily Trading Volume	
	São Paulo Stock Exchange Lots of 1,000 Class A Preferred Shares	New York Stock Exchange ADSs
2002		
First Quarter	37,027	4,435
Second Quarter	26,946	5,091
Third Quarter	30,059	5,613
Fourth Quarter	42,813	8,988
2003		
First Quarter	74,239	6,672
Second Quarter	81,541	11,435
Third Quarter	106,240	18,414
Fourth Quarter	105,632	14,661
2004		
First Quarter	142,360	29,868
Second Quarter	153,387	25,060

Trading on the São Paulo Stock Exchange

Settlement of transactions conducted on the São Paulo Stock Exchange is effected three business days after the trade date without any adjustment for inflation. Delivery of and payment for shares is made through the facilities of the São Paulo Stock Exchange's clearinghouse (*Companhia Brasileira de Liquidação e Custódia*). The seller is ordinarily required to deliver the shares to the exchange on the second business day following the trade date.

The São Paulo Stock Exchange is significantly less liquid than The New York Stock Exchange and many other of the world's major stock exchanges. While all of the outstanding shares of a listed company may trade on the São Paulo Stock Exchange, in most cases fewer than half of the listed shares are actually available for trading by the public. The remaining shares are often held by a single or small group of controlling persons or by governmental entities.

Trading on the São Paulo Stock Exchange by a holder not deemed to be domiciled in Brazil for Brazilian tax and regulatory purposes, or a non-Brazilian holder, is subject to certain limitations under Brazilian foreign investment regulations. With limited exceptions, non-Brazilians holders may trade on the São Paulo Stock Exchange only in accordance with the requirements of Resolution No. 2,689 of January 26, 2000 of the National Monetary Council. Resolution No. 2,689 requires securities held by non-Brazilian holders to be maintained in the custody of, or in deposit accounts with, financial institutions that are authorized by the Central Bank and the Brazilian Securities Commission. In addition, Resolution No. 2,689 requires non-Brazilian holders to restrict their securities trading to transactions on the São Paulo Stock Exchange or organized over-the-counter markets. With limited exceptions,

non-Brazilian holders may not transfer the ownership of investments made under Resolution No. 2,689 to other non-Brazilian holders through private transactions. See Item 10. Additional Information Regulation of Foreign Investment.

Regulation of Brazilian Securities Markets

The Brazilian securities markets are regulated by the Brazilian Securities Commission, which has authority over stock exchanges and the securities markets generally, by the National Monetary Council and by the Central Bank, which has, among other powers, licensing authority over brokerage firms and which regulates foreign investment and

Table of Contents

foreign exchange transactions. The Brazilian securities market is governed by Brazilian Law No. 6,385/76, as amended, and by the Brazilian Corporation Law and other Brazilian Securities Commission rulings and regulations.

Under the Brazilian Corporation Law, a company may be either public (*companhia aberta*), as we are, or closely held (*companhia fechada*). All public companies are registered with the Brazilian Securities Commission and are subject to periodic reporting requirements. A company registered with the Brazilian Securities Commission may have its securities traded on the Brazilian stock exchanges or in the Brazilian over-the-counter market. The shares of a listed company, like those of our company, also may be traded privately subject to certain limitations.

The Brazilian over-the-counter market consists of direct trades between persons in which a financial institution registered with the Brazilian Securities Commission serves as intermediary. No special application, other than registration with the Brazilian Securities Commission, is necessary for securities of a public company to be traded in this market. The Brazilian Securities Commission must receive notice of all trades carried out in the Brazilian over-the-counter market by the respective intermediaries.

Trading of a company's securities on the São Paulo Stock Exchange may be suspended in anticipation of a material announcement. A company must also suspend trading of its securities on international stock exchanges on which its securities are traded. Trading may also be suspended by a Brazilian stock exchange or the Brazilian Securities Commission, among other reasons, based on or due to a belief that a company has provided inadequate information regarding a material event or has provided inadequate responses to an inquiry by the Brazilian Securities Commission or the relevant stock exchange.

Brazilian Law No. 6,385/76, as amended, the Brazilian Corporation Law and regulations issued by the Brazilian Securities Commission provide for, among other things, disclosure obligations, restrictions on insider trading and price manipulation and protections for minority shareholders. However, the Brazilian securities markets are not as highly regulated and supervised as securities markets in the United States and some other jurisdictions. In addition, rules and policies against self-dealing or for preserving shareholder interests may be less well-defined and enforced in Brazil than in the United States, which may put holders of our class A preferred shares and the ADSs at a disadvantage. Corporate disclosures also may be less complete than for public companies in the United States and certain other jurisdictions.

São Paulo Stock Exchange Corporate Governance Standards

On December 11, 2000, the São Paulo Stock Exchange launched three new listing segments:

Corporate Governance Level 1;

Corporate Governance Level 2; and

The New Market (*Novo Mercado*) of the São Paulo Stock Exchange.

These new listing segments have been designed for the trading of shares issued by companies that voluntarily undertake to abide by corporate governance practices and disclosure requirements in addition to those already required under the Brazilian Corporation Law. The inclusion of a company in any of the new segments requires adherence to a series of corporate governance rules. These rules are designed to increase shareholders' rights and enhance the quality of information provided by Brazilian corporations.

On February 13, 2003, we agreed to comply with Level 1. At that time, we announced our intention to adhere to Level 2 by December 31, 2004.

In becoming a Level 1 company, we agreed to:

ensure that shares representing 25% of our total share capital are available for trading;

150

Table of Contents

adopt offering procedures that favor widespread ownership of shares whenever making a public offering;

comply with minimum quarterly disclosure standards;

follow stricter disclosure policies with respect to transactions involving our securities made by our controlling shareholder and our directors and executive officers;

disclose any existing shareholders agreements and stock option plans; and

make a schedule of corporate events available to our shareholders.

To become a Level 2 company, a company must agree to the following additional provisions:

confer upon preferred shares the right to vote on at least the following issues: (1) transformation, merger, consolidation or spin-off of the company; (2) approval of transactions between the company and its controlling shareholder and/or related parties, whenever such matter is subject to authorization at a general meeting of shareholders pursuant to law or under the company's by-laws; (3) appraisal of assets contributed to pay the company's capital increases; (4) selection of a specialized company in charge of determining the company's economic value for delisting purposes; and (5) amendment to or revocation of any provisions contained in the company's by-laws, whenever such acts alter or modify any requirements set forth in the São Paulo Stock Exchange regulations;

offer tag-along rights to minority shareholders (meaning that upon the acquisition of a controlling interest, the purchaser must also agree to purchase the shares of the company's minority shareholders in an amount equivalent to 100% of the price paid for each share in the controlling stake, in the case of holders of common shares, and at least 70% of the price paid for each share in the controlling stake, in the case of holders of preferred shares);

conduct a tender offer at fair market value in the event of a delisting of shares;

present an annual balance sheet prepared in accordance with, or reconciled to, U.S. GAAP or international financial reporting standards;

establish a one-year term for all members of the board of directors; and

resolve corporate conflicts with or among the company's shareholders through arbitration.

To be a company listed on the New Market, a company must have its share capital composed exclusively of common shares in addition to meeting the Level 1 and the Level 2 requirements. We have no current plans to propose to amend our share capital structure to provide solely for the issuance of common shares.

ITEM 10. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Description of Our Company's Bylaws

The following is a summary of the material provisions of our by-laws and of the Brazilian Corporation Law. In Brazil, a company's by-laws (*estatuto social*) is the principal governing document of a corporation (*sociedade anônima*).

General

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

Our registered name is Braskem S.A., and our registered office is located in the Municipality of Camaçari, State of Bahia, Brazil. Our registration number with the Brazilian Commercial Registry is No. 29300006939. We have been duly registered with the Brazilian Securities Commission under No. 4820 since December 18, 1978. Our

Table of Contents

principal place of business is in the Municipality of Camaçari, State of Bahia, Brazil. Our company has a perpetual existence.

At August 27, 2004, we have an authorized share capital of 122,000,000,000 shares, consisting of 43,920,000,000 common shares, 76,860,000,000 class A preferred shares and 1,220,000,000 class B preferred shares. Under the Brazilian Corporation Law, the aggregate number of our non-voting and limited voting class A and class B preferred shares may not exceed two-thirds of our total outstanding share capital.

At August 27, 2004, we have outstanding share capital of R\$2,192,018,293.84, equal to 76,568,187,272 total outstanding shares consisting of 25,730,061,841 outstanding common shares, 50,608,970,631 outstanding class A preferred shares (excluding 621,887,272 shares held in treasury), and 229,154,800 outstanding class B preferred shares. All of our outstanding share capital is fully paid. All of our shares are without par value.

Corporate Purposes

Article 2 of our by-laws establishes our corporate purposes to include:

the manufacture, trading, import and export of chemical and petrochemical products;

the production of utilities for use by component companies of the Northeastern Complex, including the supply of steam, water, compressed air, industrial gases, electric power, as well as the provision of various services to these companies;

the holdings of equity stakes (quotas or shares) in other companies; and

the manufacture, distribution, sale, import and export of gasoline, diesel oil, LPG and other oil derivatives.

Board of Directors

Under the Brazilian Corporation Law, any matters subject to the approval of our board of directors can be approved by a simple majority of votes of the members present at a duly convened meeting, unless our by-laws otherwise specify. Under our by-laws, our board of directors may only deliberate if a majority of its members are present at a duly convened meeting. Any resolutions of our board of directors may be approved by the affirmative vote of a majority of the members present at the meeting, subject to veto rights of Petroquisa, Petros and Previ over resolutions of our board of directors relating to certain matters under the Petroquisa memorandum of understanding and the Pension Funds memorandum of understanding. See Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions Major Shareholders Shareholders Agreements Petroquisa Memorandum of Understanding and Pension Funds Memorandum of Understanding.

Election of Directors

In accordance with the provisions of the Mariani Group Shareholders Agreement, the majority of the members of our board of directors are elected by the Odebrecht Group. In addition, any director appointed by a shareholder pursuant to a shareholders agreement is bound by the terms of such agreement. See Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions Major Shareholders Shareholders Agreements.

The members of our board of directors are elected at general meetings of shareholders for concurrent two-year terms.

Qualification of Directors

The Brazilian Corporation Law requires members of our board of directors to own shares of our company. However, there is no minimum share ownership or residency requirement to qualify for membership on our board of

Table of Contents

directors. Our by-laws do not require the members of our board of directors to be resident in Brazil. The Brazilian Corporation Law requires each of our executive officers to be residents of Brazil.

Fiduciary Duties and Conflicts of Interest

All members of our board of directors and their alternates owe fiduciary duties towards us and all of our shareholders.

Under the Brazilian Corporation Law, if one of our directors, their alternates or our executive officers has a conflict of interest with our company in connection with any proposed transaction, such director, alternate director or executive officer may not vote in any decision of our board of directors or of our board of executive officers, as the case may be, regarding such transaction and must disclose the nature and extent of his conflicting interest for inclusion in the minutes of the applicable meeting. However, if one of our directors is absent from a meeting of our board of directors, that director's alternate may vote even if that director has a conflict of interest, unless the alternate director shares that conflict of interest or has another conflict of interest.

Any transaction in which one of our directors (including the alternate members) or executive officers may have an interest, including any financings, can only be approved on reasonable and fair terms and conditions that are no more favorable than the terms and conditions prevailing in the market or offered by third parties. If any such transaction does not meet this requirement, then the Brazilian Corporation Law provides that the transaction may be nullified and the interested director or executive officer must return to us any benefits or other advantages that he obtained from, or as result of, such transaction. Under the Brazilian Corporation Law and upon the request of a shareholder who owns at least 5.0% of our total share capital, our directors and executive officers must reveal to our shareholders at an ordinary meeting of our shareholders certain transactions and circumstances that may give rise to a conflict of interest. In addition, our company or shareholders who own 5.0% or more of our share capital may bring an action for civil liability against directors and executive officers for any losses caused to us as a result of a conflict of interest.

Compensation

Under our by-laws, our common shareholders approve the aggregate compensation payable to our directors, executive officers and members of our fiscal council. Subject to this approval, our board of directors establishes the compensation of its members and of our executive officers. See Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees Compensation.

Mandatory Retirement

Neither the Brazilian Corporation Law nor our by-laws establish any mandatory retirement age for our directors or executive officers.

Share Capital

Under the Brazilian Corporation Law, the number of our issued and outstanding non-voting shares or shares with limited voting rights, such as our class A preferred shares and class B preferred shares, may not exceed two-thirds of our total outstanding share capital.

Each of our common shares entitles its holder to one vote at our annual and extraordinary shareholders' meetings. Holders of our common shares are not entitled to any preference in respect of our dividends or other distributions or otherwise in case of our liquidation.

Our class A preferred shares and class B preferred shares are non-voting, except in limited circumstances, and have priority over our common shares in the case of our liquidation. See [Voting Rights](#) for information regarding the voting rights of our preferred shares, [Liquidation](#) for information regarding the liquidation preferences of our preferred shares, and [Item 8. Financial Information Dividends and Dividend Policy Amounts](#)

Table of Contents

Available for Distribution Dividend Preference of Preferred Shares for information regarding the distribution preferences of our preferred shares.

Shareholders Meetings

Under the Brazilian Corporation Law, we must hold an annual shareholders meeting by April 30 of each year in order to:

approve or reject the financial statements approved by our board of directors and board of executive officers, including any recommendation by our board of directors for the allocation of net profits and distribution of dividends;

elect members of our board of directors and members of our fiscal council, subject to the right of minority shareholders to elect members of our board of directors and our fiscal council; and

approve any monetary adjustment to our share capital.

In addition to the annual shareholders meetings, holders of our common shares have the power to determine any matters related to changes in our corporate purposes and to pass any resolutions they deem necessary to protect and enhance our development whenever our interests so require, by means of extraordinary shareholders meetings.

Under the Brazilian Corporation Law, the holders of our common shares have the power, among other powers, to vote at shareholders meetings to:

amend our by-laws;

approve any capital increase in excess of the amount of our authorized capital;

approve any capital reduction;

accept or reject the valuation of assets contributed by any of our shareholders in exchange for the issuance of our share capital;

suspend the rights of any of our shareholders in default of their obligations established by law or by our by-laws;

authorize the issuance of convertible debentures;

approve any reorganization of our legal form or any merger, consolidation or split-off involving us;

authorize our dissolution and liquidation, the election and dismissal of liquidators appointed in connection with any dissolution or liquidation of our company, and the examination of the liquidators accounts;

participate in a centralized group of companies (as defined under the Brazilian Corporation Law);

approve the aggregate compensation payable to our directors and executive officers; and

authorize management to declare us insolvent or bankrupt and to request a *concordata* (a procedure involving our protection from our creditors similar in many respects to a reorganization under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code).

We convene our shareholders meetings, including our annual shareholders meeting, by publishing a notice in the *Diário Oficial do Estado da Bahia*, in at least one additional newspaper designated by our shareholders with

Table of Contents

general circulation in Bahia, where we maintain our registered office, and in at least one newspaper with general circulation in the City of São Paulo, where the São Paulo Stock Exchange, the principal securities market for our shares, is located. On the first call of any meeting, the notice must be published no fewer than three times, beginning at least 15 calendar days prior to the scheduled meeting date. The notice must contain the meeting's place, date, time, agenda and, in the case of a proposed amendment to our by-laws, a description of the subject matter of the proposed amendment.

Our board of directors may convene a shareholders' meeting. Under the Brazilian Corporation Law, shareholders' meetings also may be convened by our shareholders as follows:

by any of our shareholders if, under certain circumstances set forth in the Brazilian Corporation Law, our directors do not convene a shareholders' meeting within 60 days;

by shareholders holding at least 5.0% of our total share capital if, after a period of eight days, our directors fail to call a shareholders' meeting that has been requested by such shareholders;

by shareholders holding at least 5.0% of either our total voting share capital or our total non-voting share capital, if after a period of eight days, our directors fail to call a shareholders' meeting for the purpose of appointing a fiscal council that has been requested by such shareholders; and

by the fiscal council, if the board of directors does not convene an annual shareholders' meeting within 30 days or at any other time to consider any urgent and serious matters.

Each shareholders' meeting is presided over by a president and secretary elected by the shareholders present at the meeting. A shareholder may be represented at a shareholders' meeting by an attorney-in-fact appointed by the shareholder not more than one year before the meeting. The attorney-in-fact must be a shareholder, a member of our board of directors, a lawyer or a financial institution, and the power of attorney appointing the attorney-in-fact must comply with certain formalities set forth under Brazilian law. To be admitted to a shareholders' meeting, a person must produce proof of his or her shareholder status or a valid power of attorney.

In order for a valid action to be taken at a shareholders' meeting, shareholders representing at least one quarter of our issued and outstanding voting share capital must be present on first call. However, shareholders representing at least two-thirds of our issued and outstanding voting share capital must be present at a shareholders' meeting to amend our by-laws. If a quorum is not present, our board of directors may issue a second call by publishing a notice as described above at least eight calendar days prior to the scheduled meeting. The quorum requirements do not apply to the second call, when the shareholders' meetings shall be convened with the presence of shareholders representing any number of shares (subject to the voting requirements for certain matters described below). A shareholder without a right to vote may attend a shareholders' meeting and take part in the discussion of matters submitted for consideration.

Voting Rights

Under the Brazilian Corporation Law and our by-laws, each of our common shares carries the right to vote at a shareholders' meeting. Our preferred shares generally do not confer voting rights, except in limited circumstances described below. We may not restrain or deny any voting rights without the consent of the majority of the shares affected. Whenever the shares of any class of share capital are entitled to vote, each share is entitled to one vote.

Except as otherwise provided by law, resolutions of a shareholders' meeting are passed by a simple majority vote of the holders of our common shares present or represented at the meeting, without taking abstentions into account. Under the Brazilian Corporation Law, the approval of shareholders representing at least a majority of our voting shares is required for the types of action described below, as well as, in the case of the first and second bullet points

below, ratification by the majority of issued and outstanding shares of the affected class within one year from the shareholders meeting:

Table of Contents

creating preferred shares or disproportionately increasing an existing class of our preferred shares relative to the other classes of our preferred shares, other than to the extent permitted by our by-laws;

changing a priority, preference, right, privilege or condition of redemption or amortization of any class of our preferred shares or creating a new class of preferred shares that has a priority, preference, right, condition or redemption or amortization superior to an existing class of our preferred shares;

reducing the mandatory distribution of dividends;

changing our corporate purpose;

merging our company with another company, or consolidating our company, subject to the conditions set forth in the Brazilian Corporation Law;

transferring all of our shares to another company, known as an *incorporação de ações* under the Brazilian Corporation Law;

participating in a centralized group of companies as defined under the Brazilian Corporation Law and subject to the conditions set forth in the Brazilian Corporation Law;

dissolving or liquidating our company or canceling any ongoing liquidation of our company;

creating any participation certificates entitling the holders thereof to participate in the profits of our company; and

spinning-off of all or any part of our company.

Decisions on the transformation of our company into another form of company require the unanimous approval of our shareholders, including the holders of our class A and class B preferred shares.

Our company is required to give effect to shareholders agreements that contain provisions regarding the purchase or sale of our shares, preemptive rights to acquire our shares, the exercise of the right to vote our shares or the power to control our company, if these agreements are filed with our headquarters in Camaçari. Brazilian Corporation Law obligates the president of any shareholder or board of directors meeting to disregard any vote taken by any of the parties to any shareholders agreement that has been duly filed with our company that violates the provisions of any such agreement. In the event that a shareholder that is party to a shareholders agreement (or a director appointed by such shareholder) is absent from any shareholders or board of directors meeting or abstains from voting, the other party or parties to that shareholders agreement have the right to vote the shares of the absent or abstaining shareholder (or on behalf of the absent director) in compliance with that shareholders agreement.

Under the Brazilian Corporation Law, neither our by-laws nor actions taken at a shareholders meeting may deprive any of our shareholders of certain specific rights, including:

the right to participate in the distribution of our profits;

the right to participate in any remaining residual assets in the event of our liquidation;

the right to supervise the management of our corporate business as specified in the Brazilian Corporation Law;

the right to preemptive rights in the event of an issuance of our shares, debentures convertible into our shares or subscription bonuses, other than with respect to a public offering of our securities; and
156

Table of Contents

the right to withdraw from our company under the circumstances specified in the Brazilian Corporation Law.
Voting Rights of Minority Shareholders

Shareholders holding shares representing not less than 5.0% of our shares entitled to vote at our shareholders meeting have the right to request that we adopt a cumulative voting procedure. Under a cumulative voting procedure, each voting share shall have as many votes as there are positions of directors to be filled, and each shareholder may cast all of its votes for a single candidate or distribute them among various candidates. If the cumulative voting procedure is adopted, our controlling shareholders always retain the right to elect at least one member more than the number of members elected by the other shareholders, regardless of the total number of members of our board of directors. This procedure must be requested by the required number of shareholders at least 48 hours prior to a shareholders meeting.

Under the Brazilian Corporation Law, shareholders that are not controlling shareholders, but that together hold either:

preferred shares representing at least 10% of our total share capital; or

common shares representing at least 15% of our voting capital, have the right to appoint one member and an alternate to our board of directors at our shareholders meeting. If no group of our common or preferred shareholders meets the thresholds described above, shareholders holding preferred shares or common shares representing at least 10% of our total share capital are entitled to combine their holdings to appoint one member and an alternate to our board of directors. The shareholders seeking to exercise these minority rights must prove that they have held their shares for not less than three months preceding the shareholders meeting at which the director will be appointed. Until the annual shareholders meeting to be held in 2005, the director or directors appointed by our preferred shareholders as a group, or collectively with our common shareholders, will be chosen from a list of three names prepared by the Odebrecht Group, our controlling shareholder. Any directors appointed by the non-controlling shareholders have the right to veto for cause the selection of our independent registered public accounting firm.

In accordance with the Brazilian Corporation Law, the holders of preferred shares without voting rights or with restricted voting rights are entitled to elect one member and an alternate to our fiscal council in a separate election. Minority shareholders have the same right as long as they jointly represent 10% or more of the voting shares. The other shareholders with the right to vote may elect the remaining members and alternates, who, in any event, must number more than the directors and alternates elected by the holders of the non-voting preferred shares and the minority shareholders.

Voting Rights of Preferred Shares

Holders of our preferred shares are not entitled to vote on any matter, except with respect to the election of a member of our board of directors by preferred shareholders holding at least 10% of our total share capital, the election of a member of the fiscal council and in the limited circumstances described above and as provided below.

The Brazilian Corporation Law and our by-laws provide our preferred shares will acquire unrestricted voting rights after the third consecutive fiscal year that we fail to pay the minimum dividends to which our preferred shares are entitled. This voting right shall continue until the past due minimum dividend for any year in that three consecutive-year period is paid in full. Our preferred shareholders will also obtain unrestricted voting rights if we enter into a liquidation process.

Liquidation

We may be liquidated in accordance with the provisions of Brazilian law. In the event of our extrajudicial liquidation, a shareholders meeting will determine the manner of our liquidation, appoint our liquidator and our fiscal council that will function during the liquidation period.

Table of Contents

Upon our liquidation, our preferred shares have a liquidation preference over our common shares in respect of the distribution of our net assets. In the event of our liquidation, the assets available for distribution to our shareholders would be distributed first to our preferred shareholders in an amount equal to their *pro rata* share of our legal capital, prior to making any distributions to our common shareholders. If the assets to be so distributed are insufficient to fully compensate our preferred shareholders for their legal capital, each of our preferred shareholders would receive a *pro rata* amount (based on their *pro rata* share of our legal capital, excluding our common shares in such calculation) of any assets available for distribution.

Conversion Rights

Under our by-laws, the general shareholders meeting may authorize the conversion of our preferred class A shares into common shares by means of the affirmative vote of shareholders representing the majority of our common shares, which will establish:

the number of shares to be converted;

the ratio of any such conversion; and

the term during which any conversion must be performed.

Holders of our class B preferred shares are not permitted to convert their shares into common shares, but any such holder is permitted by our by-laws to convert its shares into our class A preferred shares. The ratio for any such conversion is two class B preferred shares for each class A preferred share.

Preemptive Rights

Under the Brazilian Corporation Law, each of our shareholders has a general preemptive right to subscribe for our shares or securities convertible into our shares in any capital increase, in proportion to the number of our shares held by such shareholder. However, under our by-laws, the holders of our class B preferred shares do not have preemptive rights. In the event of a capital increase that would maintain or increase the proportion of our capital represented by our class A preferred shares, holders of our class A preferred shares would have preemptive rights to subscribe to newly issued class A preferred shares only. In the event of a capital increase that would reduce the proportion of our capital represented by our class A preferred shares, holders of our preferred shares would have preemptive rights to subscribe to any new class A preferred shares in proportion to the number of our shares that they hold, and to our common shares only to the extent necessary to prevent dilution of their interests in our total capital.

Under our by-laws, except when issuing voting shares or securities convertible into voting shares, our board of directors or our shareholders, as the case may be, may decide to reduce the term of preemptive rights or not to extend preemptive rights to our shareholders with respect to any issuance of our non-voting shares, debentures convertible into our shares or warrants made in connection with a public exchange made to acquire control of another company or in connection with a public offering or through a stock exchange. The preemptive rights are transferable and must be exercised within a period of at least 30 days following the publication of notice of the issuance of shares or securities convertible into our shares. Holders of the ADSs may not be able to exercise the preemptive rights relating to our class A preferred shares underlying their ADSs unless a registration statement under the Securities Act is effective with respect to those rights or an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act is available. We are not obligated to file a registration statement with respect to the shares relating to these preemptive rights or to take any other action to make preemptive rights available to holders of the ADSs, and we may not file any such registration statement.

Redemption, Amortization, Tender Offers and Rights of Withdrawal

Our by-laws or our shareholders at a shareholders meeting may authorize us to use our profits or reserves to redeem or amortize our shares in accordance with conditions and procedures established for such redemption or amortization. The Brazilian Corporation Law defines redemption (*resgate de ações*) as the payment of the value of the shares in order to

Table of Contents

permanently remove such shares from circulation, with or without a corresponding reduction of our share capital. The Brazilian Corporation Law defines amortization (*amortização*) as the distribution to the shareholders, without a corresponding capital reduction, of amounts that they would otherwise receive if we were liquidated. If an amortization distribution has been paid prior to our liquidation, then upon our liquidation, the shareholders who did not receive an amortization distribution will have a preference equal to the amount of the amortization distribution in the distribution of our capital.

The Brazilian Corporation Law authorizes us to redeem shares not held by our controlling shareholders, if, after a tender offer effected as a consequence of delisting or a substantial reduction in the liquidity of our shares, our controlling shareholders increase their participation in our total share capital to more than 95%. The redemption price in such case would be the same price paid for our shares in any such tender offer.

The Brazilian Corporation Law and our by-laws also require the acquiror of control (in case of a change of control) or the controller (in case of delisting or a substantial reduction in liquidity of our shares) to make a tender offer for the acquisition of the shares held by minority shareholders under certain circumstances described below under Mandatory Tender Offers. The shareholder can also withdraw its capital from our company under certain circumstances described below under Rights of Withdrawal.

Mandatory Tender Offers

The Brazilian Corporation Law requires that if we are delisted or there is a substantial reduction in liquidity of our shares, as defined by the Brazilian Securities Commission, in each case as a result of purchases by our controlling shareholders, our controlling shareholders must effect a tender offer for acquisition of our remaining shares at a purchase price equal to the fair value of our shares taking into account the total number of our outstanding shares.

Our by-laws provide that all of our shares, including our class A preferred shares, would be entitled to such tag-along rights in the event that the control of our company is transferred, with all shares receiving the same price per share paid to the controlling shareholders. If our controlling shareholders enter into a transaction which results in a change of control of our company, the controlling shareholders must include in the documentation of the transaction an obligation to effect a public offer for the purchase of all our common shares and preferred shares for the same price per share paid to the controlling shareholders. The tender offer must be submitted to the Brazilian Securities Commission within 30 days from the date of execution of the documents that provide for the change of control.

Our by-laws provide that no change of control will be deemed to occur if the party acquiring control is an existing member of the block of controlling shareholders and/or a signatory to an agreement among our shareholders governing the exercise of rights over the shares held by the controlling shareholders. Our by-laws also provide that the tag-along right will not apply in the event that the change of control occurs as a result of:

a court ruling or act, such as a judicial seizure or execution; or

a final decision by regulatory authorities, including CADE, that obliges our controlling shareholders to divest all or part of their shares in our company.

Rights of Withdrawal

The Brazilian Corporation Law provides that, in certain limited circumstances, a dissenting shareholder may withdraw its equity interest from our company and be reimbursed by us for the value of our common or preferred shares that it then holds.

This right of withdrawal may be exercised by the holders of the adversely affected common or preferred shares if we decide:

Table of Contents

to create a new class of our preferred shares with greater privileges than the existing classes of our preferred shares;

to increase an existing class of our preferred shares relative to the other classes of our preferred shares (unless such actions are provided for or authorized by our by-laws); or

to modify a preference, privilege or condition of redemption or amortization conferred on one or more classes of our preferred shares.

In addition, holders of our common and preferred shares may exercise their right of withdrawal if we decide to undertake any of the following actions:

to merge with another company or to consolidate with another company in a transaction in which our company is not the surviving entity;

to transfer all of our shares to another company or to acquire all of the shares of another company (*incorporação de ações*);

to participate in a centralized group of companies as defined under the Brazilian Corporation Law;

to reduce the mandatory distribution of dividends;

to change our corporate purposes; or

to spin-off a portion of our company.

Only shareholders who own shares on the date of publication of the first notice convening the relevant shareholders meeting or the press release concerning the relevant shareholders meeting is published, whichever is earlier, will be entitled to withdrawal rights.

Shareholders will not be entitled to this right of withdrawal if the shares of the entity resulting from a merger, incorporation, consolidation of our company or participation of our company in a group of companies have minimal market liquidity and are dispersed among a sufficient number of shareholders. For this purpose, shares that are part of general indices representative of portfolios of securities traded in Brazil or abroad are considered liquid, and sufficient dispersion will exist if the controlling shareholder holds less than half of the class and type of the outstanding shares. In case of a spin-off, the right of withdrawal will only exist if there is a significant change in the corporate purpose or a reduction in the mandatory dividend.

The redemption of shares arising out of the exercise of any withdrawal rights would be made at book value per share, determined on the basis of their most recent audited balance sheet approved by our dissenting shareholders. However, if the shareholders meeting approving the action that gave rise to withdrawal rights occurred more than 60 days after the date of the most recent approved audited balance sheet, a shareholder may demand that its shares be valued on the basis of a balance sheet prepared specifically for this purpose. The right of withdrawal lapses 30 days after the date of publication of the minutes of the shareholders meeting that approved one of the matters described above. Our shareholders may reconsider any resolution giving rise to withdrawal rights within 10 days following the expiration date for such rights if we believe that the withdrawal of shares of dissenting shareholders would jeopardize our financial stability.

Liability of Our Shareholders for Further Capital Calls

Neither Brazilian law nor our by-laws require any capital calls. Our shareholders' liability for capital calls is limited to the payment of the issue price of any shares subscribed or acquired.

Table of Contents

Inspection of Corporate Records

Shareholders that own 5.0% or more of our outstanding share capital have the right to inspect our corporate records, including shareholders lists, corporate minutes, financial records and other documents of our company, if (1) we or any of our officers or directors have committed any act contrary to Brazilian law or our by-laws or (2) there are grounds to suspect that there are material irregularities in our company. However, in either case, the shareholder that desires to inspect our corporate records must obtain a court order authorizing the inspection.

Disclosures of Share Ownership

Brazilian regulations require that (1) each of our controlling shareholders, directly or indirectly, (2) shareholders who have elected members of our board of directors, and (3) any person or group of persons representing a person that has directly or indirectly acquired or sold an interest corresponding to at least 5% of the total number of our shares of any type or class to disclose its or their share ownership or divestment to the Brazilian Securities Commission and to the São Paulo Stock Exchange. In addition, a statement (*fato relevante*) containing certain required information must be published in the *Diário Oficial do Estado da Bahia*, at least one additional newspaper designated by our shareholders with wide circulation in Bahia, and in at least one newspaper with general circulation in the City of São Paulo, where the São Paulo Stock Exchange, the principal securities market for our shares, is located.

Our controlling shareholders, shareholders that appoint members of our board of directors or fiscal council and members of our board of directors, board of executive officers or fiscal council must file a statement of any change in their holdings of our shares with the Brazilian Securities Commission and the Brazilian stock exchanges on which our securities are traded.

Regulation of Foreign Investment

There are no restrictions on ownership or voting of our class A preferred shares by individuals or legal entities domiciled outside Brazil. However, the right to convert dividend payments and proceeds from the sale of class A preferred shares into foreign currency and to remit such amounts outside Brazil is subject to restrictions under foreign investment regulations, which generally require, among other things, the electronic registration of the relevant investment with the Central Bank.

Foreign investors may register their investment as foreign direct investments under Law No. 4,131/62 or as foreign portfolio investments under Resolution No. 2,689/00 of the National Monetary Council.

Under Resolution No. 2,689/00, foreign investors may invest in almost all financial assets and engage in almost all transactions available in the Brazilian financial and capital markets, provided that certain requirements are met. Resolution No. 2,689/00 affords favorable tax treatment to foreign portfolio investors who are not residents of a tax haven jurisdiction as defined by Brazilian tax laws (meaning a country that does not impose taxes, a country where the maximum income tax rate is lower than 20% or a country that restricts the disclosure of shareholder composition or the ownership of investments). Nevertheless, securities trading is restricted to transactions carried out on the stock exchanges or organized over-the-counter markets licensed by the Brazilian Securities Commission.

Foreign direct investors under Law No. 4,131/62 may sell their shares in both private or open market transactions, but these investors will generally be subject to less favorable tax treatment on gains with respect to our class A preferred shares or the ADSs.

A foreign portfolio investor under Resolution No. 2,689/00 must:

appoint at least one representative in Brazil that will be responsible for complying with registration and reporting requirements and reporting procedures with the Central Bank and the Brazilian Securities Commission. If the representative is an individual or a non-financial company, the investor must also

Table of Contents

appoint an institution duly authorized by the Central Bank that will be jointly and severally liable for the representative's obligations;

complete the appropriate foreign investor registration form;

register as a foreign investor with the Brazilian Securities Commission;

register the foreign investment with the Central Bank;

appoint a tax representative in Brazil; and

obtain a taxpayer identification number from the Brazilian federal tax authorities.

Securities and other financial assets held by foreign investors pursuant to Resolution No. 2,689/00 must be registered or maintained in deposit accounts or under the custody of an entity duly licensed by the Central Bank or the Brazilian Securities Commission.

A foreign direct investor under Law No. 4,131/62 must:

register as a foreign direct investor with the Central Bank;

obtain a taxpayer identification number from the Brazilian tax authorities;

appoint a tax representative in Brazil; and

appoint a representative in Brazil for service of process in respect of suits based on the Brazilian Corporation Law.

Resolution No. 1,927 of the National Monetary Council, which restated and amended Annex V to Resolution No. 1,289 of the National Monetary Council, provides for the issuance of depositary receipts in foreign markets in respect of shares of Brazilian issuers. We filed an application to have the ADSs approved under Resolution 1,927 by the Central Bank and the Brazilian Securities Commission, and we received final approval in January 1993.

At the time that ADSs representing our class A preferred shares were first listed on The New York Stock Exchange, the custodian obtained an electronic registration in the name of the depositary. Pursuant to the electronic registration, the custodian and the depositary are authorized to convert dividends and other distributions with respect to the class A preferred shares underlying by the ADSs into foreign currency and remit the proceeds outside Brazil.

If a holder of ADSs decides to exchange ADSs for the underlying class A preferred shares, the holder will be entitled to: (1) sell the class A preferred shares on the São Paulo Stock Exchange and rely on the depositary's electronic registration for five business days from the date of exchange to obtain and remit U.S. dollars abroad upon the holder's sale of our class A preferred shares; (2) convert its investment into a foreign portfolio investment under Resolution No. 2,689/00; or (3) convert its investment into a foreign direct investment under Law No. 4,131/62.

If a holder of ADSs wishes to convert its investment into either a foreign portfolio investment under Resolution No. 2,689/00 or a foreign direct investment under Law No. 4,131/62, it should begin the process of obtaining his own foreign investor registration with the Central Bank or with the Brazilian Securities Commission, as the case may be, in advance of exchanging the ADSs for class A preferred shares. If the holder of ADSs does not timely complete this process, the custodian will neither effect the conversion nor deliver the underlying class A shares, and will instruct the depositary to cancel the exchange and return the ADSs to the holder.

The custodian is authorized to update the depository's electronic registration to reflect conversions of ADSs into foreign portfolio investments under Resolution No. 2,689/00. If a holder of ADSs elects to convert its ADSs into a foreign direct investment under Law 4,131/62, the conversion will be effected by the Central Bank after receipt of an electronic request from the custodian with details of the transaction.

Table of Contents

See Item 10. Additional Information Taxation Brazilian Tax Considerations Registered Capital for details on the determination of the registered capital that will be reflected in the electronic registration resulting from conversions.

If a foreign direct investor under Law No. 4,131/62 wishes to deposit its shares into the ADR program in exchange for ADSs, such holder will be required to present to the custodian evidence of payment of capital gains taxes. The conversion will be effected by the Central Bank after receipt of an electronic request from the custodian with details of the transaction. See Item 10. Additional Information Taxation Brazilian Tax Considerations Taxation on Gains in Brazil and Registered Capital.

Form and Transfer

Our preferred shares and common shares are in book-entry form, registered in the name of each shareholder or its nominee. The transfer of our shares is governed by Article 35 of the Brazilian Corporation Law, which provides that a transfer of shares is effected by our transfer agent, Banco Itaú S.A., by an entry made by the transfer agent in its books, upon presentation of valid written share transfer instructions to us by a transferor or its representative. When preferred shares or common shares are acquired or sold on a Brazilian stock exchange, the transfer is effected on the records of our transfer agent by a representative of a brokerage firm or the stock exchange's clearing system. The transfer agent also performs all the services of safe-keeping of our shares. Transfers of our shares by a non-Brazilian investor are made in the same manner and are executed on the investor's behalf by the investor's local agent. If the original investment was registered with the Central Bank pursuant to foreign investment regulations, the non-Brazilian investor is also required to amend, if necessary, through its local agent, the electronic certificate of registration to reflect the new ownership.

The São Paulo Stock Exchange operates a central clearing system. A holder of our shares may choose, at its discretion, to participate in this system, and all shares that such shareholder elects to be put into the clearing system are deposited in custody with the Brazilian Clearing System (*Companhia Brasileira de Liquidação e Custódia*) (through a Brazilian institution that is duly authorized to operate by the Central Bank and maintains a clearing account with the Brazilian Clearing System). Shares subject to the custody of the Brazilian Clearing System are noted as such in our registry of shareholders. Each participating shareholder will, in turn, be registered in the register of the Brazilian Clearing System and will be treated in the same manner as shareholders registered in our books.

Material Contracts

We have not entered into any other material contracts, other than those described elsewhere in this annual report or entered into in the ordinary course of business.

Exchange Controls

There are no restrictions on ownership or voting of Braskem's capital stock by individuals or legal entities domiciled outside Brazil.

The right to convert dividend payments and proceeds from the sale of the Company's capital stock into foreign currency and to remit such amounts outside Brazil is subject to restrictions under foreign investment legislation which generally requires, among other things, that the relevant investment have been registered with the Central Bank. Restrictions on the remittance of foreign capital abroad could hinder or prevent Banco Itaú S.A., or the Custodian, as custodian for the class A preferred shares represented by ADSs or holders who have exchanged ADSs for class A preferred shares from converting dividends, distributions or the proceeds from any sale of Preferred Shares into U.S. dollars and remitting such U.S. dollars abroad. Holders of the ADSs could be adversely affected by delays in, or refusal to grant any required government approval for conversions of Brazilian currency payments and remittances

abroad of the class A preferred shares underlying the ADSs.

Resolution No. 1,927 of the National Monetary Council, which is the Amended and Restated Annex V to Resolution No. 1,289, or the Annex V Regulations, provides for the issuance of depositary receipts in foreign markets in respect of shares of Brazilian issuers. The Central Bank and the Brazilian Securities Commission have

Table of Contents

approved the ADSs under the Annex V Regulations. Accordingly, the proceeds from the sale of the ADSs by ADR holders outside Brazil are free of Brazilian foreign investment controls and holders of the ADSs will be entitled to favorable tax treatment. See [Taxation Brazilian Tax Considerations](#).

A certificate of capital registration has been issued in the name of The Bank of New York, as depositary, or the Depositary, and is maintained by the Custodian on behalf of the Depositary. Pursuant to the certificate, the Custodian and the Depositary are able to convert dividends and other distributions with respect to the class A preferred shares represented by ADRs into foreign currency and remit the proceeds outside Brazil. In the event that a holder of ADRs exchanges ADRs for class A preferred shares, such holder will be entitled to continue to rely on the Depositary's certificate of capital registration for only five business days after such exchange, following which such holder must seek to obtain its own certificate of capital registration with the Central Bank. Thereafter, unless the class A preferred shares are held pursuant to the [Annex IV Regulations](#) of the National Monetary Council by a duly qualified investor, such holder may not be able to convert into foreign currency and remit outside Brazil the proceeds from the disposition of, or distributions with respect to, such class A preferred shares, and such holder generally will be subject to less favorable Brazilian tax treatment than a holder of ADRs. See [Taxation Brazilian Tax Considerations](#).

We make cash distributions with respect to the class A preferred shares in Brazilian currency. Accordingly, exchange rate fluctuations may affect the U.S. dollar amounts received by the holders of the ADSs on conversion by the Depositary of such distributions into U.S. dollars for payment to holders of the ADSs. Fluctuations in the exchange rate between *reais* and the U.S. dollar may also affect the U.S. dollar equivalent of the *reais* price of the class A preferred shares on the Brazilian stock exchanges.

Brazilian law provides that, whenever there is a serious imbalance in Brazil's balance of payments or serious reasons to foresee such imbalance, temporary restrictions may be imposed on remittances of foreign capital abroad. For approximately six months in 1989 and early 1990, for example, to conserve Brazil's foreign currency reserves, the Brazilian government froze all dividend and capital repatriations that were owed to foreign equity investors. These amounts were subsequently released in accordance with Brazilian Government directives. There can be no assurance that similar measures will not be taken by the Brazilian Government in the future.

For a description of the foreign exchange markets in Brazil, see [Item 3. Key Information Selected Financial Data Exchange Rates](#).

Taxation

The following summary contains a description of the material Brazilian and U.S. federal income tax consequences of the purchase, ownership and disposition of class A preferred shares and ADSs, but it does not purport to be a comprehensive description of all of the tax considerations that may be relevant to a decision to purchase any such securities. In particular, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, this summary is applicable only to holders that hold class A preferred shares or ADSs as capital assets within the meaning of Section 1221 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, and does not address the tax treatment of a holder that may be subject to special tax rules, such as financial institutions, insurance companies, real estate investment trusts, regulated investment companies, grantor trusts, tax-exempt entities, traders or dealers in securities or currencies, persons that will hold class A preferred shares or ADSs in a hedging transaction or as a position in a straddle or conversion transaction for U.S. federal income tax purposes, persons that have a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar, persons that will hold class A preferred shares or ADSs as compensation for the performance of services, persons liable for alternative minimum tax or estate and gift tax or persons that own or are treated as owning 10% or more of the voting shares or value of our company.

The summary is based upon the tax laws of Brazil and the United States and regulations thereunder and administrative and judicial interpretations thereof, in each case as in effect and available on the date hereof, which are subject to change (possibly with retroactive effect in the case of changes to U.S. tax laws and regulations thereunder and administrative and judicial interpretations thereof), and to differing interpretations. There is at present no income tax treaty between Brazil and the United States. This summary is also based upon the

Table of Contents

representations of the depositary and on the assumption that each obligation in the deposit agreement relating to the ADSs and any related documents will be performed in accordance with its terms.

The description below is not intended to constitute a complete analysis of all tax consequences relating to acquisition, ownership and disposition of class A preferred shares or ADSs. Prospective purchasers of our class A preferred shares or ADSs are advised to consult their own tax advisors in respect of the consequences that the purchase, ownership or disposition of our class A preferred shares or ADS might trigger under the laws of Brazil, the United States or any other jurisdiction in light of their particular investment circumstances.

Brazilian Tax Considerations

The following discussion summarizes the material Brazilian tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of class A preferred shares or ADSs by a holder that is not domiciled or resident in Brazil for purposes of Brazilian taxation and, in the case of a holder of class A preferred shares, which has registered its investment with the Central Bank, or in each case a non-Brazilian holder. The following discussion does not specifically address all of the Brazilian tax considerations applicable to any particular non-Brazilian holder, and each non-Brazilian holder should consult his or her own tax advisor concerning the Brazilian tax consequences of an investment in any of such securities.

Acquisition of ADSs or Class A Preferred Shares

The acquisition of ADSs or class A preferred shares by non-Brazilian holders is not a taxable event in Brazil. See *Taxation of Gains Outside Brazil* for further information on the tax implications arising from the exchange of existing class A preferred shares for ADSs, as well as those arising from the exchange of ADSs for class A preferred shares.

Taxation of Dividends

Dividends paid with respect to income earned since January 1, 1996, including dividends paid in kind to the depositary in respect of our class A preferred shares underlying the ADSs or to a non-Brazilian holder in respect of class A preferred shares, are not subject to any withholding tax in Brazil.

Interest Attributable to Shareholders' Equity

Distributions of interest attributable to our shareholders' equity in respect of our class A preferred shares or the ADSs as an alternative form of dividends are subject to Brazilian withholding tax at the rate of 15% (or 25% in the case of a non-Brazilian holder located in a tax haven jurisdiction (as defined below)). Since 1997 and in accordance with Laws Nos. 9,249/95 and 9,430/96, we may deduct these distributions in calculating the amount of the Social Contribution on Net Income and the income taxes that we owe, provided that each such distribution is approved by our shareholders in a general meeting and complies with the limits established by Brazilian tax legislation.

Taxation of Gains Outside Brazil

Until December 31, 2003, the sale or other disposition of ADSs or class A preferred shares entered into by and between non-Brazilian holders outside Brazil was not subject to Brazilian income tax, as such a transaction did not involve payments by a person located in Brazil. Brazilian Law No. 10,833/03 provides that, commencing on February 1, 2004, the acquiror, individual or legal entity resident or domiciled in Brazil, or the acquiror's attorney-in-fact, when such acquiror is resident or domiciled abroad, shall be responsible for the retention and payment of the income tax applicable to capital gains under Article 18 of Law 9,249 of December 26, 1995 earned by the individual or legal entity resident or domiciled abroad who disposes of property located in Brazil.

The Brazilian tax authorities have recently issued a normative instruction confirming that, pursuant to Law No. 10,833/03, these tax authorities intend to assess income tax on capital gains earned by non-Brazilian residents whose assets are located in Brazil. Holders of the ADSs outside of Brazil may have grounds to assert that Brazilian Law No. 10,833/03 does not apply to sales or other dispositions of ADSs as ADSs are not assets located in Brazil. However, the sale or other disposition of class A preferred shares abroad may be subject to the provisions of Brazilian Law No.

Table of Contents

10,833/03. Any capital gains arising from sales or other dispositions outside Brazil would be subject to Brazilian income tax at the rate from 15% to 25% if the investor is located in a tax haven jurisdiction. Brazilian Law No. 10,833/03 requires the purchaser of our class A preferred shares outside Brazil or its attorney-in-fact in Brazil to withhold the tax. A disposition of class A preferred shares can only occur abroad if any investor decides to cancel its investment in ADSs and register the underlying class A preferred shares as a direct foreign investment under Law No. 4,131/62.

Taxation of Gains in Brazil

The exchange of ADSs for class A preferred shares is not subject to Brazilian tax. A holder of the ADSs may exchange its ADSs for the underlying class A preferred shares, sell the class A preferred shares on a Brazilian stock exchange and remit abroad the proceeds of the sale within five business days from the date of exchange (in reliance on the depositary's electronic registration), with no tax consequences.

Upon receipt of the underlying class A preferred shares in exchange of ADSs, a non-Brazilian investor will be entitled to register with the Central Bank the U.S. dollar value of such shares as a foreign portfolio investment under Resolution No. 2,689/00. See Regulation of Foreign Investments and Registered Capital. The sale or disposition of class A preferred shares on a Brazilian stock exchange is exempt from capital gains tax, provided that such shares are held by a non-Brazilian holder as a foreign portfolio investment under Resolution No. 2,689/00.

Upon receipt of the underlying class A preferred shares, a non-Brazilian holder is also entitled to register with the Central Bank the U.S. dollar value of such shares as a foreign direct investment under Law 4,131/62. See Regulation of Foreign Investments and Registered Capital. A 15% capital gains tax is applicable to the sale or other disposition of preferred class A shares in Brazil where such shares are held by a non-Brazilian holder as a foreign direct investment and the transaction is performed outside a Brazilian stock exchange. If the non-Brazilian holder is domiciled in a tax haven jurisdiction, the income tax rate will be 25%.

If the sale or other disposition of such shares is carried out on a Brazilian stock exchange, the capital gains on the sale or disposition will be taxed at a rate of 20%. This rate applies to all transactions carried out on a Brazilian stock exchange by non-Brazilian holders regardless of whether or not they are domiciled in tax haven jurisdictions. As from January 1, 2005, the capital gains arising from transactions carried out on a Brazilian stock exchange will be subject to income tax at the rate of 15%. In these transactions, the gain realized is calculated based on the amount registered with the Central Bank. Also, as from January 1, 2005, a withholding tax of 0.005% will also be assessed on the sales price or other disposition value of shares sold or disposed of in transactions carried out on a Brazilian stock exchange. The withholding tax must be withheld by one of the following entities: (i) the agent receiving the sale or disposition order from the client; (ii) the stock exchange responsible for registering the transactions; or (iii) the entity responsible for the settlement and payment of the transactions.

The deposit of class A preferred shares in exchange for ADSs is not subject to Brazilian tax, provided that these shares are held by the non-Brazilian holder as a foreign portfolio investment under Resolution No. 2,689/00. In the event our class A preferred shares are held by the non-Brazilian holder as a foreign direct investment under Law No. 4,131/62, the deposit of these shares in exchange for ADSs is subject to payment of Brazilian capital gains tax at the rate of 15% (25% in the case of a non-Brazilian holder located in a tax haven jurisdiction).

The current preferential treatment for non-Brazilian holders of ADSs and non-Brazilian holders of class A preferred shares under Resolution No. 2,689/00 may not continue in the future.

Any exercise of preemptive rights relating to our class A preferred shares will not be subject to Brazilian taxation. Gains on the sale or assignment of preemptive rights relating to our class A preferred shares by the depositary may be

subject to Brazilian taxation. Tax authorities may attempt to tax such gains even when the sale or assignment of such rights takes place outside Brazil, based on the provisions of Law 10,833/03. These authorities may allege that the preemptive rights relate to assets located in Brazil (the class A preferred shares) and demand payment of capital gains tax at the rate of 15% or 25% (if the beneficiary of the payments is resident of a tax haven jurisdiction). If the preemptive rights are assigned or sold in Brazil, capital gains tax will apply at a rate of 15%. Sales or assignments of preemptive rights effected on Brazilian stock exchanges are exempt from income tax, provided that such preemptive rights relate to shares registered as a foreign portfolio investment under Resolution No. 2,689/00.

Table of Contents***Other Brazilian Taxes***

There are no Brazilian inheritance, gift or succession taxes applicable to the ownership, transfer or disposition of class A preferred shares or ADSs by a non-Brazilian holder except for gift and inheritance taxes which are levied by some states of Brazil on gifts made or inheritances bestowed by individuals or entities not resident or domiciled in Brazil or domiciled within the state to individuals or entities resident or domiciled within such state in Brazil. There are no Brazilian stamp, issue, registration or similar taxes or duties payable by holders of class A preferred shares or ADSs.

Under Decree 4,494 of December 3, 2002, the amount in reais resulting from the conversion of the proceeds received by a Brazilian entity from a foreign investment in the Brazilian securities market (including those in connection with the investment in our class A preferred shares or ADSs and those made under the provisions of Resolution No. 2,689/00 of the National Monetary Council) is subject to the IOF transaction tax, although at present the rate of such tax is 0%. IOF is also assessed on transactions executed on a stock exchange. As of the date hereof, Article 33, Paragraph 2, of Decree No. 4,494 imposes an IOF tax on such transactions at a 0% rate. The Minister of Finance is empowered to establish the applicable IOF tax rate. Under Law 8,894 of June 21, 1994, such IOF tax rate may be increased at any time to a maximum of 25%, but any such increase will only be applicable to transactions occurring after such increase becomes effective.

CPMF tax is levied at a rate of 0.38% on all fund transfers in connection with financial transactions in Brazil. Payments of dividends on our class A preferred shares and the ADSs are subject to the CPMF tax. However, only our company is liable for the CPMF tax on its dividends, which are payable without reduction for this tax. The CPMF tax was scheduled to expire in December 2004, but Amendment No. 42 to the Brazilian Constitution extended the CPMF tax through December 31, 2007. Investments under Resolution No. 2,689/00 are exempt from the CPMF tax in respect of transactions carried out on a Brazilian stock exchange. There can be no assurance that the Brazilian government will not extend the payment of the CPMF tax beyond 2007, or will convert it into a new permanent tax.

Residents of Tax Haven Jurisdictions

The general rules establish that any income, capital gains or earnings received by a beneficiary resident in a tax haven jurisdiction is subject to income tax at the rate of 25%. A tax haven is a location where no income tax is imposed or where its maximum applicable rate is lower than 20%. A country will also be deemed a tax haven if its internal laws require that the identity of shareholders or members of corporate entities organized and existing under the jurisdiction of such country be kept secret or otherwise not be disclosed. Tax benefits granted through the provisions of Resolution No. 2,689/00 and Annex V to Resolution No. 1,289/87 are not applicable to residents in a so-called tax haven jurisdiction. In this case, such investors shall be taxed according to the same rules that are applicable to Brazilian residents. Dividends are not affected by tax haven jurisdiction rules.

Registered Capital

The amount of an investment in class A preferred shares held by a non-Brazilian holder as a foreign direct investment under Law No. 4,131/02 or a foreign portfolio investment under Resolution No. 2,689/00 or in ADSs held by the depositary representing such holder, as the case may be, is eligible for registration with the Central Bank; such registration (the amount so registered is referred to as registered capital) allows the remittance outside Brazil of foreign currency, converted at the commercial market rate, acquired with the proceeds of distributions on, and amounts realized with respect to disposition of, such class A preferred shares. The registered capital for class A preferred shares purchased in the form of ADSs, or purchased in Brazil and deposited with the depositary in exchange for an ADS, is equal to their purchase price in U.S. dollars paid by the purchaser. The registered capital for class A preferred shares that are withdrawn upon surrender of ADSs is the U.S. dollar equivalent of (1) the average price of

our class A preferred shares on the Brazilian stock exchange on which the greatest number of such class A preferred shares was sold on the day of withdrawal, or (2) if no class A preferred shares were sold on such day, the average price of class A preferred shares that were sold in the fifteen trading sessions immediately preceding such withdrawal. The U.S. dollar value of our class A preferred shares is determined on the basis of the average

Table of Contents

commercial market rates quoted by the Central Bank on such date (or, if the average price of class A preferred shares is determined under clause (2) of the preceding sentence, the average of such average quoted rates on the same fifteen dates used to determine the average price of our class A preferred shares).

A non-Brazilian holder of class A preferred shares may experience delays in effecting the registration of registered capital, which may delay remittances abroad. Such a delay may adversely affect the amount, in U.S. dollars, received by the non-Brazilian holder. See Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Exchange controls and restrictions on remittances abroad may adversely affect holders of the ADSs and the underlying class A preferred shares and Regulation of Foreign Investment.

U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations

The following is a discussion of the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the ownership and disposition of class A preferred shares and ADSs. As used below, a U.S. holder is a beneficial owner of a class A preferred share or ADS that is, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, (1) an individual citizen or resident of the United States, (2) a partnership or corporation organized under the laws of the United States, any state thereof or the District of Columbia, (3) an estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source, or (4) a trust if such trust validly elects to be treated as a United States person for U.S. federal income tax purposes or if (a) a court within the United States is able to exercise primary supervision over its administration and (b) one or more United States persons have the authority to control all of the substantial decisions of such trust. As used below, a Non-U.S. holder is a beneficial owner of a class A preferred share or ADS that is not a U.S. holder.

If a partnership (or any other entity treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes) holds class A preferred shares or ADSs, the tax treatment of a partner in such partnership will generally depend on the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. A partnership or its partners should consult their tax advisor as to its tax consequences.

The class A preferred shares will be treated as equity for U.S. federal income tax purposes. In general, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, a holder of an ADR evidencing an ADS will be treated as the beneficial owner of our class A preferred shares represented by the applicable ADS. The U.S. Treasury Department has expressed concern that depositaries for ADSs, or other intermediaries between the holders of shares of an issuer and the issuer, may be taking actions that are inconsistent with the claiming of U.S. foreign tax credits by U.S. holders of such receipts or shares. Accordingly, the analysis regarding the availability of a United States foreign tax credit for Brazilian taxes and sourcing rules described below could be affected by future actions that may be taken by the U.S. Treasury Department.

Taxation of Dividends

Subject to the discussion under Passive Foreign Investment Company Rules, in general, the gross amount of a distribution made with respect to a class A preferred share or ADS (which for this purpose will include distributions of interest attributable to shareholders equity before any reduction for any Brazilian taxes withheld therefrom) will, to the extent made from the current or accumulated earnings and profits of our company, as determined under U.S. federal income tax principles, constitute a dividend to a U.S. holder for U.S. federal income tax purposes. For taxable years beginning on or before December 31, 2008, non-corporate U.S. holders may be taxed on dividends from a qualified foreign corporation at the lower rates applicable to long-term capital gains (*i.e.*, gains with respect to capital assets held for more than one year). A foreign corporation is treated as a qualified foreign corporation with respect to dividends received from that corporation on shares or ADSs that are readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States. United States Treasury Department guidance indicates that the ADSs (which are listed on the New York Stock Exchange), but not our class A preferred shares, are readily tradable on an established securities

market in the United States. Thus, subject to the discussion below under Passive Foreign Investment Company Rules, dividends that we pay on the ADS, but not on our class A preferred shares, currently meet the conditions required for these reduced tax rates. There, however, can be no assurance that the ADSs will be considered readily tradable on an established securities market in later years. Furthermore, non-corporate holders

Table of Contents

that do not meet a minimum holding period requirement during which they are not protected from the risk of loss, that elect to treat the dividend income as investment income pursuant to Section 163(d)(4) of the Code or that receive dividends with respect to which they are obligated to make related payments, will not be eligible for the reduced rates of taxation. Such dividends will not be eligible for the dividends received deduction generally allowed to U.S. corporations. Subject to the discussion below under **Passive Foreign Investment Company Rules**, if a distribution exceeds the amount of our company's current and accumulated earnings and profits, it will be treated as a non-taxable return of capital to the extent of the U.S. holder's tax basis in our class A preferred share or ADS on which it is paid and thereafter as capital gain. Our company does not maintain calculations of our earnings and profits under U.S. federal income tax principles.

A dividend paid in Brazilian currency will be includible in the income of a U.S. holder at its value in U.S. dollars calculated by reference to the prevailing spot market exchange rate in effect on the day it is received by the U.S. holder in the case of our class A preferred shares or, in the case of a dividend received in respect of ADSs, on the date the dividend is received by the depositary, whether or not the dividend is converted into U.S. dollars.

The gross amount of any dividend paid (which will include any amounts withheld in respect of Brazilian taxes) with respect to a class A preferred share or ADS will be subject to U.S. federal income taxation as foreign source dividend income, which may be relevant in calculating a U.S. holder's foreign tax credit limitation. Subject to generally applicable limitations under U.S. federal income tax law, any Brazilian withholding tax will be treated as a foreign income tax eligible for credit against a U.S. holder's U.S. federal income tax liability. For purposes of the computation of the foreign tax credit limitation separately for specific categories of income, any dividends generally will constitute foreign source passive income or, in the case of certain holders, financial services income. Alternatively, a U.S. holder may elect not to claim a credit for any of its foreign taxes and deduct all of those taxes in computing taxable income.

Subject to the discussion under **Information Reporting and Backup Withholding**, a Non-U.S. holder of class A preferred shares or ADSs generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income or withholding tax on dividends received on such shares or ADSs, unless such income is effectively connected with the conduct by such Non-U.S. holder of a trade or business in the United States.

Sale, Exchange or Other Disposition of Class A Preferred Shares or ADSs

A deposit or withdrawal of class A preferred shares by a holder in exchange for an ADS that represent such shares will not result in the realization of gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes. A U.S. holder generally will recognize capital gain or loss upon a sale, exchange or other disposition of a class A preferred share or ADS held by the U.S. holder or the depositary, as the case may be, in an amount equal to the difference between the U.S. holder's adjusted basis in our class A preferred share or ADS (determined in U.S. dollars) and the U.S. dollar amount realized on the sale, exchange or other disposition. If a Brazilian tax is withheld on the sale, exchange or other disposition of a share, the amount realized by a U.S. holder will include the gross amount of the proceeds of that sale, exchange or other disposition before deduction of the Brazilian tax. In the case of a non-corporate U.S. holder, the maximum marginal U.S. federal income tax rate applicable to capital gain will generally be lower than the maximum marginal U.S. federal income tax rate applicable to ordinary income (other than, as discussed above, certain dividends) if such holder's holding period for such class A preferred share or ADS exceeds one year. Capital gain or loss, if any, realized by a U.S. holder on the sale or exchange of a class A preferred share or ADS generally will be treated as U.S. source income for U.S. foreign tax credit purposes. Consequently, in the case of a disposition or deposit of a class A preferred share or ADS that is subject to Brazilian tax, the U.S. holder may not be able to use the foreign tax credit for that Brazilian tax unless it can apply the credit against U.S. tax payable on other income from foreign sources in the appropriate income category, or, alternatively, it may take a deduction for the Brazilian tax if it elects to deduct all of its foreign income taxes. In general, any loss will be U.S. source, subject to certain exceptions that can treat a loss

recognized by a U.S. resident in whole or in part as a foreign source loss. The deductibility of capital losses is subject to limitations.

Table of Contents

The initial tax basis of class A preferred shares or ADSs to a U.S. holder is the U.S. dollar value of the *real*-denominated purchase price determined on the date of purchase. If our class A preferred shares or ADSs are treated as traded on an established securities market, a cash basis U.S. holder, or, if it elects, an accrual basis U.S. holder, will determine the dollar value of the cost of such class A preferred shares or ADSs by translating the amount paid at the spot rate of exchange on the settlement date of the purchase. The conversion of U.S. dollars to *reais* and the immediate use of that currency to purchase class A preferred shares or ADSs generally will not result in taxable gain or loss for a U.S. holder.

With respect to the sale or exchange of class A preferred shares or ADSs, the amount realized generally will be the U.S. dollar value of the payment received determined on (1) the date of receipt of payment in the case of a cash basis U.S. holder and (2) the date of disposition in the case of an accrual basis U.S. holder. If our class A preferred shares or ADSs are treated as traded on an established securities market, a cash basis taxpayer, or, if it elects, an accrual basis taxpayer, will determine the U.S. dollar value of the amount realized by translating the amount received at the spot rate of exchange on the settlement date of the sale.

Subject to the discussion below under *Information Reporting and Backup Withholding*, a Non-U.S. holder of class A preferred shares or ADSs generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income or withholding tax on any gain realized on the sale or exchange of such shares or ADSs unless (1) such gain is effectively connected with the conduct by such Non-U.S. holder of a trade or business in the United States or (2) in the case of any gain realized by an individual Non-U.S. holder, such holder is present in the United States for 183 days or more in the taxable year of such sale or exchange and certain other conditions are met.

Passive Foreign Investment Company Rules

Based upon the nature of its current and projected income, assets and activities, our company does not believe that our class A preferred shares or the ADSs will be considered shares of a passive foreign investment company, or PFIC, for U.S. federal income tax purposes for the taxable year ended December 31, 2003. In general, a foreign corporation is a PFIC if, after applying certain look-through rules with respect to certain subsidiaries, at least 75% of its gross income for the taxable year (or, in general, a preceding taxable year in which the taxpayer owned shares in the corporation) is passive income or if at least 50% of the average value of its gross assets for the taxable year (or, in general, a preceding year in which the taxpayer owned shares in the corporation) produce passive income or are held for the production of passive income. In general, passive income for this purpose includes dividends, interest, rents, royalties, and gains from commodities and securities transactions. The determination of whether our class A preferred shares or the ADSs constitute shares of a PFIC is a factual determination made annually, and therefore our company's failure to constitute a PFIC at one time is subject to change. Our company has no reason to believe that our assets or activities will change in a manner that would cause us to be classified as a PFIC, but there can be no assurance that our company will not be considered a PFIC for any taxable year.

If we are treated as a PFIC, notwithstanding the discussion in *Taxation of Dividends and Sale, Exchange or Other Disposition of Class A Preferred Shares or ADSs* above, a U.S. holder of class A preferred shares or ADSs generally would be subject to imputed interest charges and other disadvantageous tax treatment (including the denial of the taxation of dividends received in respect of class A preferred shares or the ADSs at the lower rates applicable to long-term capital gains, as discussed above under *Taxation of Dividends*) with respect to any gain from the sale or exchange of, and certain distributions with respect to, our class A preferred shares or the ADSs.

If we are treated as a PFIC, a U.S. holder of class A preferred shares or ADSs could make a variety of elections that may alleviate certain of the tax consequences referred to above, and one of these elections may be made retroactively. However, it is expected that the conditions necessary for making certain of such elections will not apply in the case of our class A preferred shares or the ADSs. U.S. holders should consult their own tax advisors regarding

the tax consequences that would arise if we are treated as a PFIC and the availability of any of the elections described above.

Table of Contents

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

U.S. backup withholding tax and information reporting requirements generally apply to certain payments to certain non-corporate holders of shares. Information reporting generally will apply to payments of dividends on, and to proceeds from the sale or redemption of, our class A preferred shares or the ADSs made within the United States to a holder of our class A preferred shares or the ADSs, other than an exempt recipient, including a corporation, a payee that is not a United States person that provides an appropriate certification and certain other persons. Backup withholding tax will apply to any payments of dividends on, or the proceeds from the sale or redemption of, class A preferred shares or the ADSs within the United States to a holder, other than an exempt recipient, if such holder fails to furnish its correct taxpayer identification number or otherwise fails to comply with, or establish an exemption from, such backup withholding tax requirements. The backup withholding tax rate is 28% through 2010.

The above description is not intended to constitute a complete analysis of all tax consequences relating to ownership and disposition of Preferred Class A Shares or Preferred Class A ADSs. Prospective purchasers should consult their tax advisors concerning the tax consequences of their particular situations.

Documents on display

Statements contained in this annual report regarding the contents of any contract or other document are not necessarily complete, and, where the contract or other document is an exhibit to the annual report, each of these statements is qualified in all respects by the provisions of the actual contract or other documents.

We are subject to the information requirements of the Exchange Act applicable to a foreign private issuer, and accordingly we file or furnish reports, information statements and other information with the Commission. These reports and other information may be inspected and copied at the public reference room maintained by the Commission at 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Room 1024, Washington, D.C. 20549. Copies of this material may be obtained by mail from the Public Reference Section of the Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549, at prescribed rates. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the public reference room. Electronic filings made through the Electronic Data Gathering, Analysis and Retrieval System are also publicly available through the Commission's web site on the Internet at <http://www.sec.gov>.

As a foreign private issuer, we are exempt from the proxy requirements of Section 14 of the Exchange Act and from the reporting and short swing profit recovery provisions of Section 16 of the Exchange Act, although the rules of the New York Stock Exchange may require us to solicit proxies from our shareholders under some circumstances.

We also file financial statements and other periodic reports with the Brazilian Securities Commission.

Copies of documents referred to in this annual report and our bylaws are available for inspection upon request at our headquarters at: Av. Nações Unidas, 4777, São Paulo, SP - CEP 05477-000 Brazil.

ITEM 11. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to market risks arising from our normal business activities. These market risks principally involve the possibility that changes in interest rates, exchange rates or commodity prices will adversely affect the value of our financial assets and liabilities or future cash flows and earnings. Market risk is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices. We enter into derivatives and other financial instruments for purposes other than trading, in order to manage and reduce the impact of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates and LIBOR. We have established policies and procedures for risk assessment and the approval, reporting and monitoring of derivative

financial activities.

Table of Contents

We developed a risk management policy as from December 31, 2001 to mitigate our exposure to exchange rate risks and risks of fluctuations of LIBOR with the objective of maintaining coverage of principal and interest settlements maturing within 12 months for, at a minimum:

60% of our total U.S. dollar-denominated indebtedness that is related to exports, or trade finance, excluding advances on currency contracts with a remaining maturity of up to six months and advances on export contracts; and

75% of our total U.S. dollar-denominated indebtedness unrelated to exports, or non-trade finance.

Compliance with this policy varies based upon applicable market conditions, credit availability and our cash balances.

To further mitigate our exposure to exchange rate risk, we try, when possible, to borrow for our working capital needs using trade finance loans, which are generally available at a lower cost than other working capital financing because these loans are linked to our U.S. dollar-denominated exports. However, the U.S. dollar revenues that we generate from exports may not be in an amount sufficient to cover fully our U.S. dollar trade finance liabilities in the future.

On December 31, 2003, we had swap contracts for foreign currency and interest, with a total notional amount of US\$157.5 million. These instruments are intended to reduce the impacts of any devaluation of the *real* against the U.S. dollar and any increase in international interest rates on U.S. dollar liabilities. In addition, we have U.S. dollar-denominated cash equivalents, marketable securities and time deposits, which may partially offset the effects of any devaluation of the *real* against the U.S. dollar on our ability to service our U.S. dollar-denominated debt to the extent of these U.S. dollar denominated cash equivalents, marketable securities and time deposits.

Our derivative instruments do not qualify for deferral, hedge, accrual or settlement accounting and are marked to market, with the resulting gains and losses reflected in the statement of operations as financial income and expenses, respectively. See note 22 to our audited consolidated and combined financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report for a discussion of our accounting policies and information on derivative financial instruments.

Interest Rate Risk

Our variable interest rate exposure is primarily subject to the variations of (1) LIBOR for U.S. dollar-denominated borrowings, and (2) the Long-Term Interest Rate and the CDI rate for *real*-denominated borrowings. In addition, the principal amounts of certain of our *real*-denominated obligations are periodically restated by the IGP-M.

The improvement of economic conditions in Brazil during 2003 due to the positive perception of the new administration resulted in a substantial decrease of risk spreads both in public and private internationally traded securities. The second half of 2003 was marked by an increase of international capital availability to Brazil and the maintenance of inflation indicators under control. As a result, the Central Bank lowered short term interest rates, expressed by the SELIC rate from 26.5% per annum to 16.3% per annum at December 31, 2003. The SELIC rate averaged 23.6% per annum during 2003. In addition, there was a gradual decrease in other interest rates during 2003 with:

the short-term domestic CDI rate ranging from 25.3% per annum at January 31, 2003 to 16.3% per annum at December 31, 2003, and averaging 23.2% per annum during 2003;

the Long-Term Interest Rate at 11.0% per annum in January 2003, increasing in April through September 2003 to 12.0 % per annum and then returning to 11.0% per annum through December 2003; and

the IGP-M at 8.7% in 2003 compared to 25.3% in 2002.

172

Table of Contents

The table below provides information about our significant interest-rate sensitive instruments:

	Interest Rate Sensitivity Principal (Notional) Amount by Expected Maturity						Average Interest Rate
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008 Thereafter	Total	
At December 31, 2003							
Expected Maturity Date							
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008 Thereafter	Total	Fair Value
(in millions of reais)							
LIABILITIES:							
Loans and financings (excluding debentures):							
Fixed rate, denominated in U.S. dollars	1,440.0	213.2	25.4	1,167.3	794.6	3,640.5	4,140.2
Average interest rate	8.7%	8.8%	5.8%	10.0%	12.5%	9.9%	
Variable rate, denominated in U.S. dollars	523.6	434.0	197.9			1,155.5	1,881.9
Average interest rate (over LIBOR)	6.3%	5.6%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%	
Fixed rate, denominated in Japanese yen	2.6	1.3	1.3	1.3	0.6	7.1	6.0
Average interest rate	6.8%	6.9%	6.9%	6.9%	6.9%	6.9%	
Fixed rate, denominated in reais	85.5	0.1				85.6	67.9
Average interest rate	27.3%	21.4%				27.2%	
Variable rate, denominated in reais	356.1	7.1				363.2	199.6
Average interest rate (of CDI)	121.6%	130.0%				121.4%	
Variable rate, denominated in reais	86.2	130.7	200.4	8.9		426.2	407.8
Average interest rate (over Long-Term Interest Rate)	4.2%	4.5%	4.1%	3.5%	0.0%	4.2%	
Variable rate, denominated in reais		16.7				16.7	16.7
Average interest rate (over IGP-M)	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.8%	
Other variable rate, denominated in reais	15.0	55.2	43.2	42.9		156.3	156.3
Loans and financings (excluding debentures)	2,509.0	858.3	468.2	1,220.4	795.2	5,851.1	6,876.4

before proportional consolidation								
Loans and financings, of proportionally consolidated companies	<u>217.5</u>	<u>184.4</u>	<u>35.2</u>	<u>17.2</u>	<u>36.4</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>490.7</u>	<u>490.7</u>
Total loans and financings (excluding debentures)	<u>2,726.5</u>	<u>1,042.7</u>	<u>503.4</u>	<u>1,237.6</u>	<u>831.6</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>6,341.8</u>	<u>7,367.1</u>
Debtentures:								
Variable rate, denominated in <i>reais</i>	117.4		191.0				308.4	318.3
Average interest rate (over IGP-M)	13.3%	0.0%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%		13.3%	
Variable rate, denominated in <i>reais</i>	231.6		188.9				420.5	258.2
Average interest rate (of CDI)	118.3%	0.0%	118.3%	0.0%	0.0%		118.3%	
Variable rate, denominated in <i>reais</i>				763.1			763.1	624.7
Average interest rate (over Long-Term Interest Rate)	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>5.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>5.0%</u>	
Total debtentures	<u>349.0</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>379.9</u>	<u>763.1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1,492.0</u>	<u>1,201.2</u>

Table of Contents

	Interest Rate Sensitivity						Principal (Notional) Amount by Expected Maturity	
	Average Interest Rate						Average Interest Rate	
At December 31, 2003								
Expected Maturity Date								
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Thereafter	Total	Fair Value
(in millions of reais)								
ASSETS:								
Cash and cash equivalents and other investments:								
Fixed rate, denominated in U.S. dollars	531.3						531.3	531.3
Average interest rate	7.1%						7.1%	
Cash and cash equivalents	202.6						202.6	202.6
Other investments	302.3						302.3	302.3
Average interest rate (of CDI)	100.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		100.3%	
Cash and cash equivalents and other investments, before proportional consolidation	1,036.2						1,036.2	1,036.2
Cash and cash equivalents and other investments of proportionally consolidated companies	148.1						148.1	148.1
Total cash and cash equivalents and other investments	1,184.3						1,184.3	1,184.3

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

Our liabilities that are exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risk are primarily denominated in U.S. dollars. To partially offset our risk of any devaluation of the *real* against the U.S. dollar, we maintain several derivative contracts. Because we borrow in the international markets to support our operations and investments, we are exposed to market risks from changes in foreign exchange rates and interest rates. Export sales, which generate receivables payable in U.S. dollars, do not cover all of our U.S. dollar-denominated liabilities.

The table below provides information about our significant foreign currency exposure:

**Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Sensitivity Principal (Notional) Amount by
Expected Maturity**

**At December 31, 2003
Expected Maturity Date**

	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Thereafter	Total	Fair Value
	<i>(In millions of reais)</i>							
LIABILITIES:								
Loans and financings (excluding debentures):								
Denominated in U.S. dollars	1,963.6	647.2	223.3	1,167.3	794.6		4,796.0	6,022.1
Denominated in Japanese Yen	2.6	1.3	1.3	1.3	0.6		7.1	6.0
Denominated in <i>reais</i>	542.8	209.8	243.6	51.8			1,048.0	848.3
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Loans and financings (excluding debentures) before proportional consolidation	2,509.0	858.3	468.2	1,220.4	795.2		5,851.1	6,876.4
Loans and financings, of proportionally consolidated companies	217.5	184.4	35.2	17.2	36.4		490.7	490.7
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total loans and financings (excluding debentures)	2,726.5	1,042.7	503.4	1,237.6	831.6	-	6,341.8	7,367.1
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Debentures:								
Denominated in <i>reais</i>	349.0		379.9	763.1			1,492.0	1,201.2
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>

Table of Contents**Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Sensitivity Principal (Notional) Amount by
Expected Maturity****At December 31, 2003
Expected Maturity Date**

	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Thereafter	Total	Fair Value
	(in millions of <i>reais</i>)							
Total debentures, including current portion	349.0	-	379.9	763.1	-	-	1,492.0	1,201.2
ASSETS:								
Cash and cash equivalents and other investments: Denominated in U.S. dollars	531.3						531.3	531.3
Denominated in <i>reais</i>	504.9	-			-	-	504.9	504.9
Cash and cash equivalents and other investments, before proportional consolidation	1,036.2	-			-	-	1,036.2	1,036.2
Cash and cash equivalents and other investments of proportionally consolidated companies	148.1	-			-	-	148.1	148.1
Total cash and cash equivalents and other investments	1,184.3	-			-	-	1,184.3	1,184.3

Table of Contents

The table below provides information about our derivative instruments:

At December 31, 2003

	Expected Maturity Date						Total	Fair Value
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Thereafter		
Cross currency and interest rate swaps contracts (notional amounts) U.S. dollars to <i>reais</i> :								
Variable to fixed (US\$)	16.3						16.3	(0.6)
Average receiving rate (LIBOR 6M)	LIBOR + 0%						LIBOR + 0%	
Average paying rate (US\$)	4.87%						4.87%	
Foreign currency Non-deliverable Forward (NDF)								
Purchased US\$ NDF	10.0						10.0	(2.0)
Average strike prices (R\$ to US\$1.00)	3.17						3.17	
Foreign currency option: Purchased US\$ put options	30.0						30.0	2.8
Average strike prices (R\$ to US\$1.00)	3.04						3.04	
Sold US\$ put options	111.2						111.2	(4.3)
Average strike prices (R\$ to US\$1.00)	2.99						2.99	
Purchased US\$ call options	131.2						131.2	0.0
Average strike prices (R\$ to US\$1.00)	3.63						3.63	
Sold US\$ call options	121.2						121.2	(0.0)
Average strike prices (R\$ to US\$1.00)	3.73						3.73	

Our foreign currency exposure gives rise to market risks associated with exchange rate movements of the *real* against the U.S. dollar. Foreign currency-denominated liabilities at December 31, 2003 consisted primarily of U.S. dollar-denominated debt. Our foreign currency-denominated debt, including short-term debt and current portion of long-term debt, was R\$5,220.0 million (US\$1,806.7 million) at December 31, 2003 compared to R\$5,250.3 million (US\$1,485.9 million) at December 31, 2002. Our foreign currency exposure includes indebtedness of proportionally consolidated companies of R\$416.8 million (US\$144.3 million) at December 31, 2003 compared to R\$441.9 million (US\$125.1 million) at December 31, 2002. Our foreign currency exposure without the indebtedness of proportionally consolidated companies was R\$4,803.2 million (US\$1,662.5 million) at December 31, 2003 compared to R\$4,808.4 million (US\$1,360.9 million) at December 31, 2002. This foreign currency exposure is represented by debt in the form of notes, pre-export finance facilities and working capital loans. Our derivative U.S. instruments partially protect us against exposure arising from the U.S. dollar-denominated debt.

Commodity Prices

Although the majority of our revenues are in *reais*, we do not currently hedge our exposure to changes in prices of naphtha, our principal raw material, which are linked to the Amsterdam-Rotterdam-Antwerp market price denominated in U.S. dollars. We do not hedge this exposure in part because a portion of our sales in 2003 were exports payable in foreign currencies and linked to the international market prices of these commodities, and in part because the prices of our polyethylene, polypropylene and PVC products sold in domestic markets generally reflect changes in the international market prices of these products. In periods of high volatility in the U.S. dollar/*real* exchange rate, there is usually a lag between the time that the U.S. dollar appreciates and the time that we can effectively pass on the resulting increased cost in *reais* to our customers in Brazil. Accordingly, if the *real* depreciates significantly against the U.S. dollar in the future, we may not immediately be able to pass on all of the corresponding increases in our naphtha costs to our customers in Brazil, which could materially adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. See Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Higher naphtha costs would increase our cost of sales and services rendered and may reduce our gross margin and negatively affect our overall financial performance.

ITEM 12. DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 13. DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREARAGES AND DELINQUENCIES

Not applicable.

Item 14. MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS

On September, 2002, the Company modified its bylaws in order to include a tag-along (joint sale) right for all of the Company's shareholders, including non-voting preferred shareholders. The Company's bylaws provide that in the event that the controlling shareholder(s) sell(s), assign(s), or otherwise transfer(s) the control of the Company at any time, the acquiring party(ies) must agree in writing to make a public tender offer for the remaining shares in the Company at the same price per share paid to the controlling shareholder(s). The public tender offer must be conducted within 30 days of the closing of the sale, assignment or transfer to the acquiring party(ies) of the shares owned by the controlling shareholder(s).

The acquiring party(ies) may disregard the tag-along rights of Braskem's minority shareholders only if the transfer of control of the Company occurs as the result of a court ruling or of a final decision by a Brazilian regulatory authority, including, without limitation, CADE, that requires the controlling shareholder(s) of the Company to sell, transfer or exchange all or part of their shares in the Company.

Item 15. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our chief executive officer, or CEO, and our chief financial officer, or CFO, are responsible for establishing and maintaining our disclosure controls and procedures. These controls and procedures were designed to ensure that information relating to our company that we are required to disclose in the reports that we file under the Exchange Act, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Commission. We evaluated these disclosure controls and procedures under the supervision of our CEO and CFO as of December 31, 2003. Based on our evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to information that is required to be included in our periodic reports to the Commission.

As of the date of this annual report, there has been no change in our internal controls over financial reporting in effect during the year ended December 31, 2003 that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect our internal controls over financial reporting, except as described below.

In connection with the audit of our financial statements as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, the independent registered public accounting firm informed us that they had identified two issues that constituted material weaknesses in our internal controls over financial reporting. These material weaknesses relate to our internal controls over U.S. GAAP information in connection with the application of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 140 Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishment of Liabilities and consolidation due to our lack of (a) a formal review process for spreadsheets with manual accounting entries and (b) a formal written review process regarding the accounting treatment of U.S. GAAP consolidation procedures.

Our management has taken steps to strengthen our internal control processes and procedures to correct these material weaknesses and has provided reasonable assurance that the noted weaknesses in internal controls over

financial reporting did not result in a material misstatement of the audited consolidated and condensed financial statements as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 included elsewhere in this annual report. These steps include our retention of qualified external financial consultants to conduct a detailed review of the controls over the consolidation of our U.S GAAP financial information and to evaluate and recommend enhancements to our internal control system. We are also closely monitoring of our U.S. GAAP financial information and are currently implementing the internal control recommendations of the external financial consultants.

Table of Contents**Item 16A. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT**

We have not formally designated an audit committee financial expert, as we are currently evaluating our compliance with the requirements of Rule 10A-3 under the Exchange Act relating to audit committees, with which we are not required to comply until we file our annual report for the fiscal year ending on or after July 31, 2005. Our current audit committee does not meet the independence requirements of Rule 10A-3, as we are exempt from these requirements until July 31, 2005 under Rule 10A-3(a)(5)(A). We expect to appoint an audit committee financial expert and will disclose the identity of that expert not later than the date on which we file our annual report for the fiscal year ending on or after July 31, 2005.

Item 16B. CODE OF ETHICS

We have adopted a code of ethics that applies to member of our board of directors, fiscal council and board of executive officers, as well as to our other employees. We post our code of ethics on our website at <http://www.braskem.com.br>. We do not, however, incorporate by reference or otherwise make part of this annual report any information contained on our website.

Item 16C. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES**Audit And Non-Audit Fees**

The following table sets forth the fees billed to us by our independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers Auditores Independentes, during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002:

	Year ended	
	December 31,	
	2003	2002
	_____	_____
	(in millions of reais)	
Audit fees(1)	8.6	4.4
Audit-related fees(2)	0.5	
Tax fees(3)	0.4	0.2
Other fees	_____	_____
Total fees	9.5	4.6
	_____	_____

- (1) Audit fees consist of the aggregate fees billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers Auditores Independentes in connection with the audit of our annual financial statements, interim audits, interim reviews of our quarterly financial information, issuance of comfort letters, procedures as related to audit of income tax provisions and related reserves in connection with the audit and review of financial statements and review of documents filed with the Brazilian Securities Commission and the Commission.

- (2) Audit-related fees consist of the aggregate fees billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers Auditores Independentes for internal control reviews.
- (3) Tax fees consist of the aggregate fees billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers Auditores Independentes for tax compliance reviews.

Pre-Approval Policies And Procedures

Our board of directors approves all audit, audit-related, tax and other services provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers Auditores Independentes, which presents all related documentation to a committee of our board of directors for approval. Any services provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers Auditores Independentes that are not specifically included within the scope of the audit fees above must be pre-approved by both a committee of our board of directors and our board of directors prior to any engagement. Pursuant to this policy, our board of directors pre-approves all non-audit services.

Table of Contents

Item 16D. EXEMPTIONS FROM THE LISTING STANDARDS FOR AUDIT COMMITTEES

We note that pursuant to Rule 10A-3(a)(5) under the Exchange Act we are not required to comply with Item 16D of Form 20-F until we file our annual report for the fiscal year ending on or after July 31, 2005. We are currently evaluating our compliance with the requirements of Item 16D and expect that we will be able to make the disclosures required by Item 16D not later than the date on which we file our annual report for the fiscal year ending on or after July 31, 2005.

PART III

ITEM 17. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We have responded to Item 18 in lieu of responding to this item.

ITEM 18. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Reference is made to Item 19 for a list of all financial statements filed as part of this annual report.

ITEM 19. EXHIBITS

(a) Financial Statements

Report of Pricewaterhouse Coopers Auditores Independentes	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2003 and 2002	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001	F-7
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	F-9

(b) List of Exhibits

- 1.01 By-laws, as amended through January 15, 2004 (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.01 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 2.01 Amended and Restated Deposit Agreement, dated as of September 17, 2003, among Braskem S.A., The Bank of New York and all holders and beneficial owners of ADSs evidenced by ADRs issued thereunder (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.01 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 2.02 Form of Certificate representing American Depositary Shares (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.02 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 2.03 The total amount of long-term debt securities of our company and its subsidiaries under any one instrument does not exceed 10% of the total assets of our company and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. We agree

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

to furnish copies of any or all such instruments to the Commission upon request.

- 3.01 Shareholders Agreement, dated as of July 27, 2001, between Odebrecht Química and Petroquímica da Bahia S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 20-F of Braskem S.A. filed on June 30, 2003).
- 3.02 First Amendment to Shareholders Agreement, dated as of July 29, 2002, between Odebrecht Química Química and Petroquímica da Bahia S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3. 2 to Form 20-F of Braskem S.A. filed on June 30, 2003).
- 3.03 Memorandum of Understanding Regarding Shareholders Agreement, dated as of July 3, 2001, among Odebrecht Química S.A., Petroquímica da Bahia S.A. and Petrobras Química S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.03 to Form 20-F of Braskem S.A. filed on June 30, 2003).

Table of Contents

- 3.04 First Amendment to Memorandum of Understanding Regarding Shareholders Agreement, dated July 26, 2002, among Odebrecht S.A and Petrobras Química S.A., acknowledged by Petroquímica da Bahia S.A., Nordeste Química S.A. and the Registrant. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.04 to Form 20-F of Braskem S.A. filed on June 30, 2003).
- 3.05 Memorandum of Understanding Regarding Shareholders Agreement, dated July 20, 2001, among Odebrecht Química S.A., Petroquímica da Bahia S.A., Petros Fundação Petrobras de Seguridade Social and Previ Caixa de Previdência dos Funcionários do Banco do Brasil (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.05 to Form 20-F of Braskem S.A. filed on June 30, 2003).
- 4.01 Protocol and Justification of the Operation of Incorporation of OPP Produtos Petroquimicos S.A. by the Registrant, dated July 26, 2002 (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.04 to Form 20-F of Braskem S.A. filed on June 30, 2003).
- 4.02 Protocol and Justification of the Operation of Incorporation of 52114 Participações S.A. by the Registrant, dated July 26, 2002 (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.05 to Form 20-F of Braskem S.A. filed on June 30, 2003).
- 4.03 Protocol and Justification of the Operation of Incorporation of Nitrocarbono S.A. by the Registrant, dated March 10, 2003 (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.06 to Form 20-F of Braskem S.A. filed on June 30, 2003).
- 4.04 Protocol and Justification of the Operation of Incorporation of NI Participacoes Ltda. by the Registrant, dated July 15, 2003 (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.5 to Form 6-K of Braskem S.A. filed on July 21, 2003).
- 4.05 Protocol and Justification of the Operation of Incorporation of Trikem S.A. by the Registrant, dated December 8, 2003 (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.10 to Form 6-K of Braskem S.A. filed on December 23, 2003).
- 4.06 Naphtha and Gas Oil Purchase and Sale Contract, dated as of June 22, 1978, between Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. and the Registrant (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.06 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.07 First Amendment to Naphtha and Gas Oil Purchase and Sale Contract, dated as of February 8, 1993, between Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. and the Registrant (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.07 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.08 Second Amendment to Naphtha and Gas Oil Purchase and Sale Contract, dated as of February 24, 2003, between Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. and the Registrant. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.08 to Form 20-F of Braskem S.A. filed on June 30, 2003).
- 4.09 Reserve Power and Electricity Supply Contract dated June 2, 1998, between Companhia Hidroelétrica do São Francisco CHESF and Trikem S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.09 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.10 First Amendment to the Potency Reserve and Power Supply Contract, dated March 8, 1999, between Companhia Hidroelétrica do São Francisco CHESF and Trikem S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).

- 4.11 Second Amendment to the Potency Reserve and Power Supply Contract, dated December 31, 1999, between Companhia Hidroelétrica do São Francisco CHESF and Trikem S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.12 Third Amendment to the Potency Reserve and Power Supply Contract, dated January 31, 2000, between Companhia Hidroelétrica do São Francisco CHESF and Trikem S.A. (English Translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.13 Fourth Amendment to the Potency Reserve and Power Supply Contract, dated February 4, 2000, between Companhia Hidroelétrica do São Francisco CHESF and Trikem S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.14 Fifth Amendment to the Potency Reserve and Power Supply Contract, dated February 29, 2000, between Companhia Hidroelétrica do São Francisco CHESF and Trikem S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.15 Sixth Amendment to the Potency Reserve and Power Supply Contract, dated June 28, 2001, between Companhia Hidroelétrica do São Francisco CHESF and Trikem S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).

Table of Contents

- 4.16 Seventh Amendment to the Potency Reserve and Power Supply Contract, dated September 17, 2001, between Companhia Hidroelétrica do São Francisco CHESF and Trikem S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.17 Eighth Amendment to the Potency Reserve and Power Supply Contract, dated July 30, 2002, between Companhia Hidroelétrica do São Francisco CHESF and Trikem S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.18 Ninth Amendment to the Potency Reserve and Power Supply Contract, dated December 3, 2001, between Companhia Hidroelétrica do São Francisco CHESF and Trikem S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.19 Tenth Amendment to the Potency Reserve and Power Supply Contract, dated November 27, 2001, between Companhia Hidroelétrica do São Francisco CHESF and Trikem S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.20 Eleventh Amendment to the Potency Reserve and Power Supply Contract, dated December 4, 2001, between Companhia Hidroelétrica do São Francisco CHESF and Trikem S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.21 Twelfth Amendment to the Potency Reserve and Power Supply Contract, dated December 20, 2001, between Companhia Hidroelétrica do São Francisco CHESF and Trikem S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.22 Thirteenth Amendment to the Potency Reserve and Power Supply Contract, dated January 21, 2002, between Companhia Hidroelétrica do São Francisco CHESF and Trikem S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.23 Fourteenth Amendment to the Potency Reserve and Power Supply Contract, dated February 1, 2002, between Companhia Hidroelétrica do São Francisco CHESF and Trikem S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.24 Raw Materials Supply Contract (RS 486/82) dated December 8, 1982, between Copesul Companhia Petroquímica do Sul and Poliolefinas S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.25 First Amendment to the Raw Materials Supply Contract (RS 486/82), dated December 8, 1982, between Copesul Companhia Petroquímica do Sul and Poliolefinas S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.26 Second Amendment to the Raw Materials Supply Contract (RS 486/82), dated December 8, 1986, between Copesul Companhia Petroquímica do Sul and Poliolefinas S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.27 Third Amendment to the Raw Materials Supply Contract (RS 486/82), dated March 7, 1988, between Copesul Companhia Petroquímica do Sul and Poliolefinas S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.28

Fourth Amendment to the Raw Materials Supply Contract (RS 486/82), dated June 14, 1995, between Copesul Companhia Petroquímica do Sul and Poliolefinas S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).

- 4.29 Fifth Amendment to the Raw Materials Supply Contract (RS 486/82), dated August 19, 1995, between Copesul Companhia Petroquímica do Sul and Poliolefinas S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.30 Sixth Amendment to the Raw Materials Supply Contract (RS 486/82), dated May 22, 2001, between Copesul Companhia Petroquímica do Sul and Poliolefinas S.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.31 Raw Materials Supply Contract (RS 789/95) dated August 30, 1995, between Copesul Companhia Petroquímica do Sul and OPP Polietilenos S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 4.32 First Amendment to the Raw Materials Supply Contract (RS 789/95), dated May 22, 2001, between Copesul Companhia Petroquímica do Sul and Poliolefinas S.A. (English translation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to Form F-1 of Braskem S.A. filed on April 6, 2004).
- 8.01 List of subsidiaries (incorporated by reference to note 4 to our audited consolidated and combined financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report).

Table of Contents

- 12.01 Certification of Principal Executive Officer dated August 31, 2004 pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)
- 12.02 Certification of Principal Financial Officer dated August 31, 2004 pursuant to Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)
- 13.01 Certification of Principal Executive Officer dated August 31, 2004 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 13.02 Certification of Principal Financial Officer dated August 31, 2004 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this annual report to be signed on its behalf.

BRASKEM S.A.

By: /s/ José Carlos Grubisich Filho
Name: José Carlos Grubisich Filho
Title: Chief Executive Officer
Date: August 31, 2004

183

Table of Contents

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2003 and 2002	F-3
Consolidated and Combined Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001	F-5
Consolidated and Combined Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001	F-6
Consolidated and Combined Statements of Changes in Financial Position for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001	F-7
Consolidated and Combined Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001	F-8
Notes to the Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements	F-9

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders

Braskem S.A. and its Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Braskem S.A. and its subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the related consolidated and combined statements of operations, of changes in shareholders' equity, of changes in financial position and of cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2003. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated and combined financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Braskem S.A. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the results of their operations, the changes in their financial position and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2003, in conformity with accounting practices adopted in Brazil.

Accounting practices adopted in Brazil vary in certain significant respects from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP). Information relating to the nature and effect of such differences is presented in Note 29 to the consolidated and combined financial statements.

As described in Notes 18 and 21 to the consolidated and combined financial statements, Braskem S.A. and certain subsidiaries are involved in significant legal proceedings involving exemption from the Brazilian Social Contribution tax and a wage and salary adjustment clause in a collective labor agreement with the chemical workers union in the state of Bahia. Based on the opinions of the Company's external legal counsel and management that losses in these cases are not probable, no provision for losses has been established for these proceedings.

As described in Note 29 (m) to the consolidated and combined financial statements, the Company changed its accounting practice in relation to consolidation under U.S. GAAP.

PricewaterhouseCoopers
Auditores Independentes

Salvador, Brazil
March 18, 2004

F-2

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AT DECEMBER 31**

In millions of reais

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	689.6	201.7
Other investments	494.7	619.3
Trade accounts receivable	1,216.2	959.0
Taxes recoverable	395.9	692.8
Inventories	1,071.6	889.1
Dividends receivable	1.1	4.0
Other receivables	121.4	71.5
Prepaid expenses	87.0	113.0
	<u>4,077.5</u>	<u>3,550.4</u>
Long-term assets		
Trade accounts receivable	27.1	42.3
Related companies	62.7	117.0
Other investments	49.2	153.5
Judicial deposits and compulsory loan	191.3	140.9
Deferred income tax	166.0	143.9
Taxes recoverable	640.6	708.6
Inventories	115.6	82.3
Other assets	12.9	10.8
	<u>1,265.4</u>	<u>1,399.3</u>
Permanent assets		
Investments		
Goodwill, net	1,912.7	2,156.0
Associated companies	37.7	27.4
Other investments	34.5	44.8
Property, plant and equipment	5,032.0	5,296.7
Deferred charges	1,523.2	1,423.6
	<u>8,540.1</u>	<u>8,948.5</u>
Total assets	<u>13,883.0</u>	<u>13,898.2</u>

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AT DECEMBER 31 (Continued)**

In millions of reais

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Liabilities and shareholders equity		
Current liabilities		
Suppliers	1,081.9	1,607.3
Loans and financing	2,726.5	2,746.1
Debentures	349.0	32.1
Salaries and payroll charges	81.7	73.3
Taxes and social contributions payable	152.4	333.1
Dividends proposed	7.3	24.0
Advances for purchase of credit rights		61.6
Advances from customers	256.4	96.4
Related companies	0.2	8.2
Insurance premiums payable	72.6	97.7
Other liabilities	76.3	143.5
	<u>4,804.3</u>	<u>5,223.3</u>
Long-term liabilities		
Suppliers	61.3	78.8
Loans and financing	3,615.3	3,891.6
Debentures	1,143.0	1,190.2
Advances for purchase of credit rights	113.4	113.4
Related companies	177.6	189.3
Deferred income tax	9.8	26.8
Taxes and contributions payable	1,149.1	833.4
Other liabilities	133.5	75.3
	<u>6,403.0</u>	<u>6,398.8</u>
Deferred income		
Negative goodwill on investments in subsidiary companies	8.7	21.2
	<u>554.4</u>	<u>433.1</u>
Minority interest	554.4	433.1
Shareholders equity		
Capital	1,887.4	1,845.4
Capital reserves	744.3	717.8
Treasury shares	(23.2)	(30.4)
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	(495.9)	(711.0)
	<u>2,112.6</u>	<u>1,821.8</u>
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	<u>13,883.0</u>	<u>13,898.2</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****Years Ended December 31****In millions of reais, except amounts per thousand shares**

	Consolidated	Combined	
	2003	2002	2001
Gross sales			
Domestic market	9,927.0	7,810.3	5,265.2
Foreign markets	2,617.7	1,828.9	545.3
Taxes, freights and return on sales	(2,408.9)	(2,062.6)	(1,351.0)
Net sales revenue	10,135.8	7,576.6	4,459.5
Cost of sales and services rendered	(8,089.3)	(6,175.5)	(3,637.6)
Gross profit	2,046.5	1,401.1	821.9
Operating expenses (income)			
Selling	158.3	232.1	113.7
General and administrative	313.6	345.6	96.6
Investment in associated companies			
Equity in the results	(13.6)	(6.7)	67.9
Amortization of goodwill (negative goodwill), net	256.0	294.4	161.2
Foreign exchange variation	(22.4)	(39.3)	(0.1)
Tax incentives and other	(61.8)	3.3	(14.7)
Depreciation and amortization	193.5	222.4	111.3
Financial expenses	712.6	3,481.5	801.2
Financial income	(9.0)	(619.6)	(294.7)
Zero-rated IPI credit		(1,030.1)	
Other operating income, net	(49.7)	(102.6)	(103.3)
	1,477.5	2,781.0	939.1
Operating income (loss)	569.0	(1,379.9)	(117.2)
Non-operating expenses, net	(4.8)	(98.0)	(120.8)
Income (loss) before income tax and social contribution	564.2	(1,477.9)	(238.0)
Income tax and social contribution			
Current	(143.3)	(128.0)	(74.0)
Deferred	20.4	38.2	(3.6)
Income (loss) before minority interest	441.3	(1,567.7)	(315.6)
Minority interest	(226.2)	189.0	(108.9)
Net income (loss) for the year	215.1	(1,378.7)	(424.5)
Net income (loss) per thousand shares outstanding at the end of the year R\$ (considering the retroactive effect of share split for 2002 and 2001)	3.15	(20.62)	(12.21)

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-5

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY**

In millions of reais, except amounts per thousand shares

	Capital Reserves				Revenue Reserve	Treasury Share	Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)	Total
	Capital	Capital Restatement	Tax Incentives	Other				
At December 31, 2000 (combined)	1,201.6	2.3	685.6	0.6	97.7	(17.3)	297.4	2,267.9
Changes in treasury shares						(30.6)		(30.6)
Tax incentives			28.9					28.9
Loss for the year							(424.5)	(424.5)
Change in accounting policy								
Actuarial valuation according to Brazilian Securities Commission (CVM) Deliberation 371 Braskem							(53.7)	(53.7)
Deferred income tax on actuarial valuation							13.4	13.4
Actuarial valuation according to CVM Deliberation 371 associated company							(4.8)	(4.8)
Appropriation								
Interim dividends								
Common and preferred class A and B shares R\$ 34.50 per thousand shares							(60.0)	(60.0)
Proposed dividends								
Preferred class A and B shares R\$ 7.07 per thousand shares							(7.7)	(7.7)
Other							0.1	0.1
At December 31, 2001 (combined)	1,201.6	2.3	714.5	0.6	97.7	(47.9)	(239.8)	1,729.0
Changes in treasury shares						17.5		17.5
Capital increase	643.8						821.0	1,464.8
Tax incentives			0.4					0.4
Absorption of accumulated losses with legal reserve					(97.7)		97.7	
Appropriation								
Interim dividends								
Preferred class A and B shares R\$ 10.40 per thousand shares							(11.4)	(11.4)
Net loss for the year							(1,378.7)	(1,378.7)
Other							0.2	0.2
At December 31, 2002	1,845.4	2.3	714.9	0.6		(30.4)	(711.0)	1,821.8
Capital increase	42.0	(2.3)						39.7
Tax incentives			28.8					28.8
Treasury share exchange						7.2		7.2
Net income for the year							215.1	215.1
At December 31, 2003	1,887.4		743.7	0.6		(23.2)	(495.9)	2,112.6

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED STATEMENTS OF****CHANGES IN FINANCIAL POSITION****Years Ended December 31****In millions of reais**

	Consolidated	Combined	
	2003	2002	2001
Financial resources were provided by:			
Operations			
Net income (loss) for the year	215.1	(1,378.7)	(424.5)
Expenses (income) not affecting working capital:			
Depreciation, amortization and depletion	572.0	449.2	198.9
Amortization of goodwill (negative goodwill), net	256.0	294.4	161.2
Investment in subsidiary and associated companies			
Equity in the results	(75.4)	(3.4)	53.2
Foreign exchange variation	(22.4)	(39.3)	(0.1)
Adjustment to investments realization value	3.8	41.5	0.9
Net book value of permanent assets disposal	69.9	76.5	55.2
Long-term interest and monetary variations, net	(94.4)	1,594.8	69.4
Deferred tax expense (benefit)	(20.4)	(38.2)	3.6
Minority interest	226.2	(189.0)	108.9
Recognition of tax credits, net of amounts realized		(813.4)	
Other	93.0	46.8	(31.0)
Total resulting from operations	1,223.4	41.2	195.7
Shareholders			
Capital increase		0.3	
Advance for future capital increase	2.9		
Write-off of share premium		6.6	
	2.9	6.9	
Third parties			
Transfer from long-term receivables to current assets	374.2	73.9	48.7
Decrease in long-term assets	964.1	189.3	35.5
Increase in long-term liabilities	892.0	768.2	1,495.5
Effect on net working capital purchased subsidiaries			341.3
Dividends receivable	1.2	2.4	45.4
Tax incentives	(65.6)	47.2	72.9
Other	(0.2)	8.3	2.2
	2,165.7	1,089.3	2,041.5
Total funds provided	3,392.0	1,137.4	2,237.2
Financial resources were used for:			
Increase in long-term receivables	164.3	180.9	320.0
Dividends proposed	4.8	26.9	92.3
Transfer from long-term to current liabilities	1,626.9	949.6	1,468.2
Decrease in long-term liabilities	108.2	89.7	80.4
Others		4.2	

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

Permanent assets			
Investments	71.7	13.1	1,172.3
Property, plant and equipment	214.7	419.9	318.0
Deferred charges	255.3	250.4	40.5
Net working capital of purchased companies		175.6	
Total funds used	<u>2,445.9</u>	<u>2,110.3</u>	<u>3,491.7</u>
Increase (decrease) in working capital	<u>946.1</u>	<u>(972.9)</u>	<u>(1,254.5)</u>
Current assets			
At the end of the year	4,077.5	3,550.4	2,308.4
At the beginning of the year	3,550.4	2,308.4	3,586.1
	<u>527.1</u>	<u>1,242.0</u>	<u>(1,277.7)</u>
Current liabilities			
At the end of the year	4,804.3	5,223.3	3,008.4
At the beginning of the year	5,223.3	3,008.4	3,031.6
	<u>(419.0)</u>	<u>2,214.9</u>	<u>(23.2)</u>
Increase (decrease) in working capital	<u>946.1</u>	<u>(972.9)</u>	<u>(1,254.5)</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****Years Ended December 31****In millions of reais**

	2003	2002	2001
Net income (loss) for the year	215.1	(1,378.7)	(424.5)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to cash provided by operating activities			
Depreciation, amortization and depletion	572.0	449.2	198.9
Amortization of goodwill (negative goodwill), net	256.0	294.4	161.2
Equity in loss (earnings) of associated companies	(13.6)	(6.7)	67.9
Foreign exchange variation on investments	(22.4)	(39.3)	(0.1)
Tax incentives and other effects of investments in associated companies	(61.8)	3.3	(14.7)
Adjustment to realization value of investments	3.8	41.5	0.9
Loss (gain) on permanent assets disposed of	52.4	55.6	(9.6)
Interest and monetary and exchange variations	(502.1)	1,838.8	967.9
Deferred tax expense (benefit)	(20.4)	(38.2)	3.6
Minority interest	226.2	(189.0)	108.9
Recognition of tax credit, net of amounts realized		(813.4)	
Other	66.6	69.8	(22.8)
Decrease (increase) in assets:			
Other investments	124.6	(425.3)	213.0
Trade accounts receivable	(238.9)	(809.6)	191.3
Fair market value of derivative financial instruments	33.8	(22.2)	(13.0)
Inventories	(197.3)	(174.5)	(28.5)
Taxes recoverable	321.2	52.1	5.8
Prepaid expenses	26.0	(14.0)	(64.9)
Other receivables	201.2	33.9	(42.5)
Increase (decrease) in liabilities:			
Suppliers	(609.7)	1,482.5	(29.7)
Taxes, charges and contributions	(57.4)	185.4	24.5
Tax incentives	(65.6)	47.2	72.9
Advances from customers	153.0	70.2	(2.1)
Other payables	117.8	77.0	89.5
Net cash provided by operating activities	580.5	790.0	1,453.9
Proceeds from sale of permanent assets	17.5	20.9	64.8
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(214.7)	(419.9)	(318.0)
Additions to investments	(71.7)	(13.1)	(1,172.3)
Additions to deferred charges	(255.3)	(250.4)	(40.5)
Dividends received	63.8	11.7	8.6
Cash and cash equivalents of acquired businesses		4.1	595.2
Net cash used in investing activities	(460.4)	(646.7)	(862.2)
Short-term debt, net	(854.7)	(566.8)	(2,862.3)
Long-term debt			
Issuances	1,693.5	1,142.0	2,493.9
Repayments	(389.3)	(1.8)	(228.8)
Related companies			
Issuances	833.6	1,140.6	1,476.8
Repayments	(843.2)	(1,920.9)	(1,152.7)

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

Dividends paid to shareholders and minority interests	(72.3)	(32.4)	(131.8)
Share issue		14.4	
Other	0.2	(12.3)	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	367.8	(237.2)	(404.9)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	487.9	(93.9)	186.8
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Represented by			
Cash and cash equivalents, at the beginning of the year	201.7	295.6	108.8
Cash and cash equivalents, at the end of the year	689.6	201.7	295.6
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	487.9	(93.9)	186.8
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Supplemental information			
Cash paid during the year for:			
Interest	675.2	805.5	410.1
Income taxes	0.3	0.5	0.8
Major non-cash transactions			
Corporate reorganization transactions involving companies under common control (Note 1(b))			

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

1 Operations

(a) Braskem S.A. (Braskem) and its subsidiaries, including its jointly-controlled companies (together, we , us , our or the Company), is the only integrated petrochemical cracker and thermoplastics producer in Brazil, and produces a diversified portfolio of petrochemical products. Braskem's principal corporate objective is manufacturing, selling, importing and exporting chemical and petrochemical products and fuels, as well as producing and supplying utilities to companies in the Camaçari Petrochemical Complex (the Northeastern Complex) in Bahia, Brazil and rendering of services to those companies.

(b) Formation of Braskem

(i) Acquisition of control by Norquisa

In 1995, as part of the Brazilian government's privatization program, Petrobras Química S.A. (Petroquisa) sold 32.8% of Braskem's voting share capital. Nordeste Química S.A. (Norquisa), a company owned by petrochemical producers located in the Northeastern Complex, acquired a portion of the shares sold in the auction, and the remainder was acquired by various Brazilian pension funds. Through this auction, Norquisa became the Company's controlling shareholder, with 58.4% of its voting share capital.

(ii) Econômico S.A. Empreendimentos (ESAE) auction and related transactions

Later in 1995, a Brazilian financial institution, Banco Econômico S.A. (Banco Econômico), collapsed, and the Central Bank intervened. At that time, Banco Econômico held a majority of the voting share capital of Conepar Companhia Nordeste de Participações (Conepar), which in turn held 35.0% of the voting share capital of Politeno Indústria e Comércio S.A. (Politeno) and 66.7% of the voting share capital of Poliaden Petroquímica S.A. (Polialden), each of which had a minority participation in Norquisa. The Central Bank transferred Banco Econômico's investment in Conepar to ESAE which was auctioned on July 25, 2001.

Nova Camaçari Participações S.A. (Nova Camaçari), an entity formed by the Odebrecht Group for the specific purpose of participating in the auction, acquired ESAE in the auction. On the same date, Nova Camaçari and the Odebrecht Group entered into the following transactions:

Nova Camaçari acquired the remainder of the share capital of Conepar through the acquisition of Intercapital Comércio e Participações Ltda. (Intercapital), which held shares of Conepar, and through a purchase from BNDES Participações S.A. BNDESPAR;

Nova Camaçari acquired all the share capital of Proppet S.A. (Proppet);

The Odebrecht Group acquired a total of 39.7% of the voting share capital of Norquisa from Trikem S.A. (Trikem) and a subsidiary of Polialden; and

Braskem acquired Nova Camaçari.

The acquisitions made by Nova Camaçari, described above, were performed for a total purchase price of R\$ 1,448.9 for investments with a book value of R\$ 160.0 resulting in goodwill of R\$ 1,288.9. The goodwill was attributable to the expected future profitability of the operating companies acquired and is being amortized on the straight-line basis over ten years as from August 2001, in conformity with a forecast of annual profitability which is periodically reviewed. The Company acquired Nova Camaçari for a nominal amount (one hundred reais) and generated negative goodwill of R\$ 45.9.

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001****All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated**

In September 2001, Nova Camaçari, Intercapital and Proppet were legally merged into Braskem. As a result Braskem held, directly and indirectly, 100% of the share capital of Conepar, as well as controlling and minority interests in several other petrochemical companies. We remained controlled by Norquisa. The Odebrecht Group owned 39.7% of the voting share capital of Norquisa and, together with the Mariani Group, held a combined 55.8% of the voting share capital of Norquisa. On July 27, 2001, Odebrecht Química S.A. (Odequi), a subsidiary of the Odebrecht Group, and Petroquímica da Bahia S.A. (PQBA), a subsidiary of the Mariani Group, entered into a shareholders' agreement covering their direct and indirect equity interests in Norquisa and the Company. Considering this shareholders' agreement and the related voting rights, the Company is controlled by the Odebrecht Group since July 2001. In addition, on July 3, 2001 and July 20, 2001, Odequi and PQBA entered into memoranda of understanding with other Braskem shareholders: Petroquisa, and the Brazilian pension funds of Petrobras S.A. (Petrobras) and Banco do Brasil S.A. Under this memorandum of understanding, Petroquisa has an option exercisable through April 30, 2005 to acquire from us and in certain circumstances from the Odebrecht Group an equity participation in our voting and total share capital that would provide it with the same participation as collectively held by the Odebrecht Group, PQBA and Norquisa. The option is exercisable using Petroquisa's shares in COPESUL - Companhia Petroquímica do Sul (Copesul) as consideration.

The change in control of Braskem was reported in a timely manner to the Brazilian antitrust authorities. In July 2002, the Secretariat for Economic Monitoring of the Finance Ministry (SEAE) issued a favorable opinion on the operation. On May 2, 2003 the favorable opinion of the Secretariat for Economic Law (SDE) was published without any restriction. The operation has been submitted for the review and analysis of the Administrative Council for Economic Defense (CADE), but has not been judged up to the present time.

Under Brazilian GAAP, Braskem (through Nova Camaçari) acquired i) all of the share capital of Conepar and ii) all the share capital of Proppet. The amounts paid in the auction and in the joint sale process gave rise to goodwill of R\$ 1,288.9 as follows:

Investment Acquired	Sellers	Purchase Price	Investments	Goodwill
100% of ESAE (56.31% of Conepar)	Banco Econômico	785.0	87.6	697.4
100% of Intercapital (31.92% of Conepar)	Nova Odequi Ltda.(51%)/ Pronor Petroquímica S.A./CBP Companhia Brasileira de Poliolefinas	445.0	47.7	397.3
11.76% of Conepar	BNDESPAR	167.8	24.7	143.1
Subtotal (Conepar)		1,397.8	160.0	1,237.8
100% Proppet	Nova Odequi Ltda. (49%)/ Nitrocarbono S.A.	51.1		51.1
		1,448.9	160.0	1,288.9

The goodwill generated by the acquisition of Conepar was subsequently allocated to Conepar's operating investees: Polialden and Politeno.

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001****All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated**

In summary, the Company acquired i) 42.64% of the share capital of Polialden, ii) 30.99% of the share capital of Politeno, and iii) all of the remaining share capital of Proppet. The amounts paid in the auction and in the joint sale process gave rise to goodwill of R\$ 1,288.9 under Brazilian GAAP as follows:

Investment Acquired	Purchase Price	Book Value of Investments	Goodwill
30.99% of Politeno	739.4	56.9	682.5
42.64% of Polialden	658.4	103.1	555.3
Subtotal (100% of Conepar)	1,397.8	160.0	1,237.8
100% of Proppet	51.1		51.1
	1,448.9	160.0	1,288.9

(iii) Mergers with OPP Produtos Petroquímicos S.A. (OPP PP) and acquisition of 52114 Participações S.A. (52114)

On August 16, 2002, to create a more fully integrated petrochemical company:

OPP PP, the holding company of the Odebrecht Group's chemical and petrochemical assets, was merged into the Company which issued shares representing 43.7% of the voting and total share capital to the Odebrecht Group. This was a transaction between parties under common control and has been reflected retroactively in the financial statements since July 2001 when OPP PP and the Company initially came under common control. The principal assets of OPP PP were 81.3% of the total share capital of OPP Química S.A. (OPP Química), representing 100% of its voting share capital, (OPP Química, in turn, owned 64.6% of the voting share capital of Trikem) and 29.46% of the total share capital and voting share capital of Copesul; and

The Company acquired 52114, the holding company of the Mariani Group's chemical and petrochemical assets, and issued shares representing 3.6% of the Company's voting and total share capital to Pronor Petroquímica S.A. (Pronor), a subsidiary of the Mariani Group. The principal asset of 52114 was 92.3% of the total share capital of Nitrocarbono S.A. (Nitrocarbono).

The Company assumed the goodwill amounts already recorded in the legally merged companies, being, in August 2002, as follows:

(1) R\$ 1,935.4 in OPP PP directly related to expected future profitability and fair values of property, plant and equipment of OPP Química and Trikem; (2) R\$ 281.6 in OPP PP relating to its participation in Copesul, based on expected future profitability; and (3) R\$ 56.6 in 52114 directly related to fair values of property, plant and equipment of the then subsidiary Nitrocarbono.

(iv) Exchange offer for remaining shares of Nitrocarbono and incorporation of subsidiaries

In February 2003, as a result of Braskem's merger with 52114 and as required by the Brazilian Corporation Law, a public exchange offer was made for the remaining voting share capital of Nitrocarbono not owned by the Company. On February 13, 2003, immediately following Braskem's purchase of the shares tendered in this exchange offer, Braskem owned 99.99% of the voting share capital of Nitrocarbono, representing 93.80% of its total share capital.

On March 31, 2003, OPP Química, ESAE and Nitrocarbono were legally merged into the Company. Before Braskem's legal merger with OPP Química, Odebrecht Química transferred the shares of OPP Química that it owned to the Company.

Table of Contents

BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

(v) Sale of Norcell S.A. (*Norcell*) shares and acquisition of Cetrel S.A. *Empresa de Proteção Ambiental (Cetrel)* shares

On July 31, 2003, we sold 75% of the total capital of our subsidiary Norcell to affiliates of Klabin S.A. (*Klabin*) for R\$ 74.6. This amount was originally to be received in 32 quarterly installments as from October 2003, but in September 2003 we negotiated early settlement of this receivable for a discount of R\$ 28.1. In connection with this transaction, we agreed to transfer an additional 10.54% of Norcell's total capital to Klabin in exchange for 4.99% of the common shares of Cetrel owned by Klabin. As a result, the Company's participation in Cetrel's total capital increased from 27.17% to 33.92%.

(vi) Acquisition of common shares of Trikem and Polialden held by Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation (*Mitsubishi*) and Nissho Iwai Corporation (*Nissho Iwai*)

In July 2003, the Company increased its direct and indirect participation in the voting capital of its subsidiaries Trikem and Polialden to 87.9% and 100%, respectively, in transactions with the minority shareholders Nissho Iwai and Mitsubishi. Mitsubishi sold its participations in Trikem and Polialden for R\$ 28.0 and R\$ 21.6, respectively, which includes R\$ 5.4 payable when the claim brought by Polialden's shareholders (Note 21(b)) is settled. Additionally, further consideration of R\$ 16.2 is payable to Mitsubishi should settlement of this claim be favorable to Polialden. Nissho Iwai exchanged its participations in Trikem and Polialden for a participation in Braskem resulting in an increase in Braskem's capital of R\$ 39.7.

(vii) Purchase of Trikem minority interests

On December 4, 2003, immediately following a Brazilian public exchange offer for the outstanding minority interests of Trikem in exchange for shares of the Company, we owned 52.33% of the total capital of Trikem.

(c) As of December 31, 2003, the Company had consolidated negative working capital (current assets less current liabilities) in the amount of R\$ 726.8 (2002 R\$ 1,672.9). Current liabilities—loans and financing includes an amount of R\$ 1,009.8 relating to advances on export contracts, prepayment of exports and advances from foreign customers that are expected to be settled with future exports of the Company. Moreover, in January and February 2004, the Company issued and sold notes under its medium-term notes (MTN) program, in the amount of US\$250 million, and also issued and sold debentures, in the principal amount of R\$ 1.2 billion (Note 28(b)). The principal objective of these transactions was to manage this working capital position, by lengthening the Company's debt maturity profile and continuing the gradual and consistent reduction of suppliers accounts that began during 2003. In order to manage its working capital position, the Company depends on its operating cash generation capacity and funds raised based on the regular flow of exports as the principal sources to finance its operating activities during 2004.

2 Presentation of Financial Statements

The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the accounting practices adopted in Brazil (*Brazilian GAAP*) which are based on:

Brazilian Law No. 6,404/76, as amended by Brazilian Law No. 9,457/97 and Brazilian Law No. 10,303/01;

the rules and regulations of the Brazilian Securities Commission (*Comissão de Valores Mobiliários*, or *CVM*); and

F-12

Table of Contents

BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

the accounting standards issued by the Brazilian Institute of Independent Accountants (*Instituto dos Auditores Independentes do Brasil*).

Up to and including the filing of its 2002 Annual Report on Form 20-F with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), Braskem had presented its primary consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP). For these purposes, Braskem had selected the U.S. dollar as its reporting currency, even though it transacts the majority of its business in Brazilian reais (R\$).

In order to facilitate the understanding of its financial information, and to provide uniform information to its foreign and local investors, as from 2003 Braskem has elected to use the consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with Brazilian GAAP and expressed in reais as its primary financial statements. Accordingly, the financial statements for 2002 and 2001 are also being presented on this basis.

For the purposes of improved presentation, certain prior year information in these financial statements has been reclassified to be presented on a basis consistent with that of 2003.

Brazilian GAAP differs in significant respects from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or U.S. GAAP. For more information about the differences between Brazilian GAAP and U.S. GAAP and a reconciliation of our net income (loss) and shareholders equity from Brazilian GAAP to U.S. GAAP, see Note 29.

3 Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Use of Estimates

In the preparation of the financial statements, it is necessary to use estimates to record certain assets, liabilities and transactions. The financial statements of the Company include, therefore, various estimates regarding the selection of the useful lives of property, plant and equipment, as well as provisions for contingencies, income tax, pension plan assumptions and other similar amounts.

(b) Revenue Recognition and Other Income Statement Items

Revenue is recognized for product sales when risk and title to the product are transferred to the Company's customers. Title generally passes when the product is delivered to our customers or their freight carriers. For the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, the Company recognized revenue for product sales when shipped. This change in practice led to a reduction in operational income of R\$ 3.9 in 2003 (Note 29(1)).

Shipping and handling costs are reported within net sales and amounted R\$ 171.1, R\$ 145.6 and R\$ 41.0 in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Results of operations are determined on the accrual basis of accounting. The provisions for income tax and the value-added tax on sales and services (Imposto Sobre a Circulação de Mercadorias e Serviços, or ICMS) expenses are recorded gross of the tax incentive portions, with the amounts related to tax exemption and reduction recorded in capital reserves.

In accordance with the requirements of CVM Deliberation 273 and Instruction 371, deferred income tax is stated at expected realizable value, as described in Note 18(b).

Exchange variations on foreign currency assets and liabilities are recorded as financial income and expenses, respectively.

Table of Contents

BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

Advertising costs are expensed when incurred and were not significant for the years presented herein.

(c) Current Assets and Long-term Assets

Cash equivalents consist principally deposits having a ready market and an original maturity of 90 days or less.

Other investments are recorded at the lower of cost and market value, except for derivative instruments.

Derivative instruments are recorded at their estimated fair value, based on market quotations for similar instruments as to future foreign exchange and interest rates. The Company has recognized in results for the year changes in the market value of derivative contracts relating to cross-currency interest rate and cross-currency interest rate swaps.

The allowance for doubtful accounts is established in an amount considered sufficient to cover estimated losses on the realization of the receivables, taking into account the Company's loss experience, and includes amounts subject to litigation.

Inventories are stated at average purchase or production cost, which is lower than replacement cost or realizable value. Imports in transit are stated at the accumulated cost of each import.

Maintenance inventories are classified as current and long-term assets based on the Company's estimates as to when such materials will be consumed.

Other assets are shown at realizable values, including, where applicable, accrued income and monetary variations, or in the case of prepaid expenses, at cost.

Deferred tax assets are recognized when recoverability is more likely than not. Valuation allowances are recorded when necessary.

(d) Permanent Assets

Permanent assets are stated at cost indexed for inflation through December 31, 1995 and take into consideration the following:

Investments in associated companies are accounted for using the equity method, plus unamortized goodwill, less negative goodwill relating to the same investment. Negative goodwill, less any goodwill on the same investment, is recorded as a net liability. Goodwill is calculated as the difference between the consideration paid and the book value of the net assets acquired. Total goodwill is divided between the fair values of assets and expected future profitability of the investees and is amortized over the useful life of the related assets or up to 10 years in the case of future profitability. Goodwill on acquisitions, other than goodwill on merged companies, is presented separately on the balance sheet within investments. Other investments are carried at the cost of acquisition.

Property, plant and equipment are shown at cost and, as from 1997, include capitalized interest incurred during the construction period in connection with the expansion of production capacity of the plants. Previously interest was not capitalized.

Depreciation of property, plant and equipment is recorded on the straight-line method at the rates mentioned in Note 13.

Table of Contents

BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

Impairment provisions are recorded when the projected operating income is not sufficient to absorb the depreciation or amortization of permanent assets. During 2002, our jointly-controlled company Polialden recorded an impairment provision of R\$ 3.6.

Plant routine maintenance and repair costs are expensed as incurred. Planned major maintenance of facilities occurs every one to six years. Expenditures that extend the useful lives or improve the capacity or efficiency of production facilities are recorded in deferred charges and amortized over the expected period or benefit.

Goodwill on legally merged companies is reclassified to property, plant and equipment, if related to a write-up of property, plant and equipment and the remainder is reclassified to deferred charges. Deferred charges are amortized over the period of expected future profitability of up to ten years. Goodwill related to the fair value of assets on legally merged companies is amortized following the useful lives of the underlying assets.

Long-term investments are recorded at the lower of cost and market value, except for shares in associated company intended for sale which is recorded under the equity method.

(e) Current and Long-term Liabilities

These liabilities are stated at known or estimated amounts, including accrued charges and monetary and exchange adjustments, when applicable.

Defined benefit pension plans are accounted for based on calculations performed by independent actuaries based on assumptions determined by the Company.

As from 2001, in adopting CVM 371 accounting for employee benefits, the Company recorded a net liability directly against stockholder equity, for the initial calculation of the funded status of the Company's pension plan.

Provisions are recorded based on (i) existing legislation (even if that legislation is expected to be overturned as unconstitutional), (ii) for elimination of contingent gains resulting from compensation of tax credits which arose from judicial disputes and (iii) for losses on claims when they are deemed probable.

(f) Combined Statements

The combined balance sheet, statements of operations, changes in shareholders' equity, changes in financial position and cash flows include results of OPP PP including retroactive amortization of goodwill generated from acquisitions of entities under common control, as from July 25, 2001. This is the date when Copene Petroquímica do Nordeste S.A. (Copene) and OPP PP came under common control of the Odebrecht Group. Braskem (previously Copene) legally merged with OPP PP on August 16, 2002 and since that date, OPP PP has been consolidated in the Company's previously disclosed financial statements.

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

This presentation has been approved by CVM. The differences between the loss for 2002 and 2001 as previously disclosed and as presented herein on a combined basis are as follows:

	Loss for Year Ended December 31	
	2002	2001
Previously published Brazilian GAAP figures	(957.7)	(29.2)
Combination effects on pre August 16, 2002 results of OPP PP	(310.7)	(332.6)
Retroactive amortization of goodwill generated on by the common control transactions	(100.3)	(62.7)
Others	(10.0)	
	(1,378.7)	(424.5)

(g) Reclassifications

(i) In addition to the item in note 28(b), the following reclassifications were made to the 2002 balance sheet previously disclosed in order to retroactively reflect the reclassification of goodwill, net to property, plant and equipment and deferred charges at the date of the legal merger.

	At December 31, 2002		
	As Previously Disclosed	Reclassifications	As Presented Herein
Goodwill, net	3,618.5	(1,462.5)	2,156.0
Property, plant and equipment	4,579.2	717.5	5,296.7
Deferred charges	678.6	745.0	1,423.6

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

4 Consolidated Financial Statements

The consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of Braskem, its subsidiaries and jointly-controlled entities in which it has direct or indirect share control, as follows:

	Reference	Head Office (Country)	Interest in Total Capital (%)		
			2003	2002	2001
Subsidiaries					
Companhia Alagoas Industrial Cinal (Cinal)		Brazil	32.98	19.86	19.86
Copene Monômeros Especiais S.A. (Monômeros)		Brazil	87.24	87.24	87.24
Conepar		Brazil	100.00	100.00	100.00
CPC Cayman Ltd.		Cayman Islands	52.33	42.12	42.12
CPN Distribuidora de Combustíveis Ltda. (CPN Distribuidora)		Brazil	100.00	100.00	100.00
CPN Incorporated Ltd. (CPN Inc.)		Cayman Islands	100.00	100.00	100.00
CPP Companhia Petroquímica Paulista (CPP)		Brazil	90.71	90.71	90.71
ESAE	(i)	Brazil		100.00	100.00
Investimentos Petroquímicos Ltda. (IPL)		Brazil	100.00	100.00	100.00
Lantana Trading Company Inc. (Lantana)		Bahamas	100.00	100.00	100.00
Nitrocarbono	(i)	Brazil		92.29	
Odebrecht Mineração e Metalurgia Ltda.		Brazil	52.33	42.12	42.12
Odebrecht Química S.A.		Brazil	100.00	100.00	100.00
Odequi Investments Ltd. (Oil)		Bahamas	100.00	100.00	100.00
Odequi Overseas Inc. (Overseas)		Cayman Islands	100.00	100.00	100.00
OPE Investimentos S.A.		Brazil	100.00	100.00	100.00
OPP Finance Ltd. (OPP Finance)		Cayman Islands	100.00	100.00	100.00
OPP PP	(ii)	Brazil			100.00
OPP Química	(i)	Brazil		100.00	100.00
OPP Resinas S.A.	(iii)	Brazil		100.00	100.00
OQPA Administração e Participações Ltda. (OQPA)		Brazil	100.00	100.00	100.00
Polialden América Inc.		U.S.A	56.27	42.64	
Polialden		Brazil	56.27	42.64	42.64
Proppet Overseas Ltd. (Proppet Overseas)	(iv)	Bahamas	100.00	100.00	100.00
PSA Trading AVV	(v)	Aruba		100.00	100.00
Tegal -Terminal de Gases Ltda. (Tegal)		Brazil	89.43	83.53	83.72
Trikem		Brazil	52.33	42.12	42.12
TRK Brasil Trust S.A.		Brazil	52.33	42.12	42.12

(i) Legally merged into Braskem on March 31, 2003 (Note 1(b)).

(ii) Legally merged into Braskem in August 2002 (Note 1(b)).

(iii) Legally merged into Braskem in March, 2003.

(iv) In the process of being wound up.

(v) Ceased operations in 2003.

F-17

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

	Reference	Head Office (Country)	Interest in Total Capital (%)		
			2003	2002	2001
Jointly-controlled companies (i)					
Cetrel		Brazil	33.92	27.17	18.46
Codeverde Cia. de Desenvolvimento Rio Verde (Codeverde)		Brazil	35.44	35.42	35.39
Copesul		Brazil	29.46	29.46	29.46
Norcell	(ii)	Brazil		86.15	88.42
Politeno Industria e Comércio S.A.		Brazil	33.88	34.66	30.99

(i) Investments proportionally consolidated.

(ii) Investment sold in July 2003 (Note 1(b)).

In the financial statements, intercompany investments and the equity in the results, as well as intercompany assets, liabilities, income, expenses and unrealized gains arising from transactions between consolidated companies, have been eliminated. Minority interest in the equity and in the results of subsidiaries has been separately stated on the consolidated balance sheet and statement of operations, respectively.

Minority interest corresponds to the respective participations of minority shareholders in all subsidiaries consolidated in these financial statements.

For purposes of the financial statements, the cross-holding between the subsidiary Conepar and the Company, which arose from the corporate reorganization, has been recorded in the treasury share account within shareholders' equity. The subsidiary Conepar holds 145,082,980 common shares and 72,541,480 class A preferred shares of the Company, representing 0.32% of the Company's total share capital at December 31, 2003.

Proportional consolidation is applied to all companies jointly controlled by the Company together with one or more other voting shareholders. Joint control does not require the participation of all other voting shareholders. Information concerning the balance sheets and statement of operations of the jointly-controlled entities, which are proportionally consolidated (or combined, as applicable), is summarized as follows:

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

	Copesul(i)			Cetrel			Norcell		Codeverde(ii)		Politeno		
	2003	2002	2001	2003	2002	2001	2002	2001	2003	2002	2003	2002	2001
Assets													
Current assets	1,386.4	1,304.7		27.1	20.3		10.1		0.1	0.1	289.1	345.8	
Long-term receivables	445.3	768.6		8.7	9.4		2.6		0.1	0.1	56.0	15.2	
Permanent assets	1,230.3	1,435.3		107.2	107.8		114.6		96.4	95.7	199.3	198.8	
Total assets	3,062.0	3,508.6		143.0	137.5		127.3		96.6	95.9	544.4	559.8	
Liabilities													
Current liabilities	1,052.2	1,713.1		20.0	15.2		3.1		0.1	0.1	87.3	99.7	
Long-term liabilities	950.1	794.7		57.7	50.6		0.7		0.7	0.7	15.4	26.3	
Deferred income							14.5						
Minority interest							0.6						
Shareholders equity	1,059.7	1,000.8		65.3	71.7		108.4		95.8	95.1	441.7	433.8	
Total liabilities	3,062.0	3,508.6		143.0	137.5		127.3		96.6	95.9	544.4	559.8	
Statement of operations													
Net sales revenue	4,177.9	2,932.8	936.2	69.4	51.3	40.3	32.4	34.9			943.9	733.6	983.9
Cost of sales and services rendered	(3,773.1)	(2,536.7)	(815.5)	(57.0)	(45.6)	(36.1)	(28.5)	(28.7)			(749.0)	(592.2)	(786.0)
Gross profit	404.8	396.1	120.7	12.4	5.7	4.2	3.9	6.2			194.9	141.4	197.9
Operating income (expenses), net	(208.5)	(405.1)	(94.6)	(19.0)	(14.5)	(19.5)	2.3	(1.0)			(87.4)	(67.9)	(118.4)
Non-operating income (expenses), net	(0.9)	(54.3)	7.7	0.1	(0.8)	1.1	(8.1)	(8.5)					(6.5)
Income (loss) before social contribution and income tax and minority interest	195.4	(63.3)	33.8	(6.5)	(9.6)	(14.2)	(1.9)	(3.3)			107.5	73.5	73.0
Social contribution and income tax	(45.5)	31.2	(46.4)				(2.9)	(6.2)			(40.3)	(27.9)	(27.4)
Minority interest							0.1	0.6					1.6
Net income (loss) for the year/period	149.9	(32.1)	(12.6)	(6.5)	(9.6)	(14.2)	(4.7)	(8.9)			67.2	45.6	47.2

(i) For purposes of proportional consolidation, exchange losses deferred in Copesul's financial statements (as permitted by applicable CVM resolutions) have been recorded as incurred in accordance with the Company's accounting policy.

(ii) Pre-operating stage, consequently all pre-operational expenses are capitalized.

F-19

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

5 Other Investments

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Current assets		
Restricted cash equivalents	60.1	0.1
Investment fund (trading)	434.6	560.1
Swap contracts (trading)		59.1
	<u>494.7</u>	<u>619.3</u>

The Company's investment fund comprises quotas, with underlying U.S. dollar-denominated debt securities, of a majority-owned fund which is managed and controlled by a leading international bank.

6 Other Long-Term Investments

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Shares of associated company held for sale	19.6	18.9
Restricted cash equivalents	15.0	73.4
Swap contracts (trading)		33.8
Other	14.6	27.4
	<u>49.2</u>	<u>153.5</u>

The shares in associated company held for sale refer to the book value of 20% of the capital of Borealis Brasil S.A. (Borealis).

7 Trade Accounts Receivable

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Customers		
Domestic market	996.6	973.7
International market	418.3	579.9
Advances on foreign deliveries	(65.9)	(419.1)
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(105.7)	(133.2)
	<u>1,243.3</u>	<u>1,001.3</u>
Long-term receivables	(27.1)	(42.3)

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

Current assets	1,216.2	959.0
----------------	---------	-------

The Company has been adopting a policy of realizing domestic trade accounts, consisting mainly of the sale of its receivables to a securitization entity which pays the Company earlier than the normal due date of these customer receivables.

F-20

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

The changes in allowance for doubtful accounts are as follows:

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
At the beginning of the year	(133.2)	(50.6)
Additions charged to selling expenses	(24.2)	(92.0)
Write-off of uncollectible receivables		6.2
Recoveries	51.7	6.5
Foreign exchange variation		(3.3)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
At the end of the year	<u>(105.7)</u>	<u>(133.2)</u>

Advances for Purchase of Credit Rights

On June 6, 2002, OPP Química and Trikem obtained funds from Multichem Trust S.A. (Multichem) in the amount of R\$ 175.0, as an advance for a receivables securitization recorded as Advances for purchase of credit rights . The receivables securitization never was consummated and repayment of this advance began in the first quarter of 2003. The balance of R\$ 113.4 at December 31, 2003, under this facility were exchanged for debentures of the Company in January 2004 (Note 28(b)).

8 Inventories

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Finished goods	475.8	373.5
Work in process	58.6	28.3
Raw material, production inputs and packaging	224.4	200.1
Maintenance materials	224.5	200.4
Advances to suppliers	170.4	80.8
Imports in transit and others	33.5	88.3
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total	1,187.2	971.4
Long-term maintenance materials(*)	(115.6)	(82.3)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Current assets	<u>1,071.6</u>	<u>889.1</u>

(*) Based on management's expectation of utilization, part of the maintenance materials inventory was reclassified to long-term.

Advances to suppliers and expenditures for imports in transit are mainly related to the acquisition of naphtha, which is the Company's main raw material.

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

9 Judicial Deposits and Compulsory Loan

	2003	2002
Judicial deposits		
Social Integration Program (PIS)/Contribution for Social Security Financing (COFINS) (Note 17(iii))	94.0	73.6
Educational and social security contribution	21.5	21.0
Work accident insurance	14.1	12.0
Labor claims	15.6	5.7
Other	27.1	23.4
	191.3	140.9
Compulsory loan		
Eletrobras compulsory loan	19.0	5.2
	191.3	140.9

10 Related Parties**Balances at December 31, 2003**

	Current Assets	Long-term Receivables	Current Liabilities		Long-term Liabilities	
	Trade Accounts Receivable	Related Parties	Suppliers	Related Parties	Suppliers	Related Parties
Jointly-controlled entities						
Copesul	2.6		259.3			157.4
Cetrel		0.3	0.1			
Politeno	11.6					
Associated company						
Petroflex Indústria e Comércio S.A. (Petroflex)	18.1					
Related parties						
Refinaria Alberto Pasqualini REFAP S.A. (related party of Copesul)			5.1			
Ipiranga Petroquímica S.A. (related party of Copesul)	123.0	18.1	0.5			
Natal Trading (related party of Copesul)		13.2				
Nitroclor Produtos Químicos S.A. (related party of Braskem)	0.9					1.5
Petrobras	0.3	28.0	250.2		58.4	
Pronor (related party of Cetrel)						3.1
Other		3.1		0.2		15.6

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

<u>156.5</u>	<u>62.7</u>	<u>515.2</u>	<u>0.2</u>	<u>58.4</u>	<u>177.6</u>
--------------	-------------	--------------	------------	-------------	--------------

F-22

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

Balances at December 31, 2002

	Balances at December 31, 2002					
	Current Assets	Long-term Receivables	Current Liabilities		Long-term Liabilities	
	Trade Accounts Receivable	Related Parties	Suppliers	Related Parties	Suppliers	Related Parties
Jointly-controlled entities						
Copesul	4.6		495.9			181.5
Politeno	50.9					
Associated companies						
Borealis				6.0		
Related parties						
Refinaria Alberto Pasqualini REFAP S.A. (related party of Copesul)			39.2			
Copener Copene Florestal S.A. (related party of Braskem)				1.8		4.8
Ipiranga Petroquímica S.A. (related party of Copesul)	196.7	77.0	1.2			
Petrobras	0.2	24.6	189.9		79.4	
Other		15.4	0.6	0.4		3.0
	<u>252.4</u>	<u>117.0</u>	<u>726.8</u>	<u>8.2</u>	<u>79.4</u>	<u>189.3</u>

Transactions

	Transactions								
	2003			2002			2001		
	Products Sales/ Revenues	Utilities and Raw Material Purchases	Interest Income and (Expenses)	Products Sales/ Revenues	Utilities and Raw Material Purchases	Interest Income and (Expenses)	Products Sales/ Revenues	Utilities and Raw Material Purchases	Interest Income and (Expenses)
Jointly-controlled entities									
Copesul	72.0	1,220.9	(80.2)	32.0	1,196.9	(58.7)	27.4	984.9	(23.2)
Cetrel	0.1	7.5		0.1	13.5		0.3	5.4	
Polialden							127.1		
Politeno	451.4			352.2			341.3		
Associated companies									
Borealis	107.6		(0.2)	62.9			50.4		
Petroflex	331.3			216.9		3.6	177.6		3.5
Related parties									
Refinaria Alberto Pasqualini REFAP S.A.		163.8		1.3	290.7		236.6		
	358.2	78.9	7.4	630.9	28.5	53.7	230.5		

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

Ipiranga									
Petroquímica S.A.									
Petrobras(*)	14.1	4,546.9	2.8	14.0	2,801.1		55.6	2,978.3	5.7
Polibrasil							212.3		
Pronor							54.2		(0.8)
Other			0.2	57.9	58.6		637.5	23.2	(6.4)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Year ended									
December 31	1,334.7	6,018.0	(70.0)	1,368.2	4,389.3	(1.4)	2,150.8	3,991.8	(21.2)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

(*) The Company is dependent on Petrobras for supply of raw materials.

F-23

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

Transactions with related parties are carried out at market prices.

11 Taxes Recoverable

	<u>Reference</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Value-added Tax (ICMS) recoverable		213.4	182.2
Excise Tax (IPI) recoverable (regular operations)		68.2	156.3
Zero-rated IPI	(i)	480.9	764.5
Presumed IPI credit			22.3
PIS		52.7	54.9
Income tax and social contribution		108.8	91.4
Income tax on net income (ILL)		67.0	62.1
Social Investment Fund Finsocial		14.2	14.2
Other		31.3	53.5
		<u>1,036.5</u>	<u>1,401.4</u>
Current assets		(395.9)	(692.8)
		<u>640.6</u>	<u>708.6</u>
Long-term receivables			

(i) Zero-rated IPI

We brought a suit against the Brazilian government claiming that we had the right to IPI tax credits on our purchases of raw materials that are taxed at a zero rate. The Brazilian Federal Supreme Court ruled in favor of the Company. The Brazilian government has filed a special appeal requesting clarification of the calculation but not challenging its existence. This appeal will not affect the validity of this tax credit for which we have recorded an asset. Accordingly, we recognized a tax credit of R\$1,030.1 in our 2002 combined statement of income. Of this total tax credit, R\$265.6 and R\$364.9 were used by the Company to offset federal tax assessments in the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively, and the remainder of this tax credit will be used to offset federal tax assessments in the future based on our earnings and future results. Although the ruling of the Brazilian Federal Supreme Court only applies to our operations in the State of Rio Grande do Sul, we have also brought litigation against the federal government in respect of our purchases of raw materials in the States of São Paulo, Bahia and Alagoas seeking to obtain a similar tax credit (see Note 17(ii)).

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

12 Investments**(a) Goodwill, Net**

	Polialden	Politeno	Copesul	Trikem	Goodwill	Negative Goodwill	2003	2002
					Other	Other	Total	Total
As of January 1	542.1	522.7	251.1	917.8	1.0	(78.7)	2,156.0	2,144.1
Goodwill (negative goodwill) on the acquisition of shares				10.8	6.8		17.6	344.1
Write-off due to exchange of shares				(10.2)			(10.2)	
Negative goodwill on the acquisition of shares	(24.1)			(8.8)			(32.9)	
Sale of investments						37.8	37.8	(37.8)
Amortization of (goodwill)/negative goodwill	(63.0)	(60.8)	(28.1)	(107.9)	(0.4)	4.6	(255.6)	(294.4)
As of December 31	455.0	461.9	223.0	801.7	7.4	(36.3)	1,912.7	2,156.0

(b) Associated companies

The Company's participation on associated companies is as follows:

	Head Office (Country)	Interest in Total Capital (%)			
		2003	2002	2001	
Associated companies					
Rionil Compostos Vinílicos Ltda. (Rionil)	Brazil	33.33	33.33	33.33	
Sansuy Indústrias Químicas S.A. (Sansuy)	Brazil	20.00	20.00	20.00	
Petroflex	Brazil	20.12	20.12	20.12	
		2003			2002
		Rionil	Sansuy	Petroflex	Total
As of January 1		2.7	2.9	21.8	27.4
Equity in results		(0.7)	0.6	12.8	12.7
Dividends				(0.7)	(0.7)
Reversal of revaluation			(1.3)		(1.3)

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

Amortization of goodwill			(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.9)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
As of December 31	2.0	2.2	33.5	37.7	27.4
	 	 	 	 	

F-25

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

(c) Information on investments in the main jointly-controlled companies, included in proportional consolidation under CVM 247
Copesul

Copesul is engaged in the manufacture, sale, import and export of basic petrochemical products and the production and supply of utilities, such as steam, water, compressed air, electrical energy, to the companies in the Triunfo Petrochemical Complex in the state of Rio Grande do Sul. Copesul also provides various other services to these companies.

Politeno

Politeno is engaged in the manufacture, processing, direct or indirect sale, consignment, export, import and transportation of polyethylene and by-products, as well as the participation in other companies. The main raw material for all of its products is ethylene, which is currently supplied by Braskem. Politeno operates an industrial plant in the Northeastern Complex.

Cetrel

The principal activity of Cetrel is to provide services related to environmental controls and protection to petrochemical companies.

13 Property, Plant and Equipment

	2003		2002		Annual Depreciation Rates %
	Cost	Accumulated Depreciation	Net	Net	
Land	52.8		52.8	82.8	
Buildings and improvements	759.9	(294.4)	465.5	492.0	2 to 10
Machinery, equipment and installations	7,150.7	(3,097.7)	4,053.0	4,231.2	3.3 to 20
Furniture and fixtures	35.3	(28.3)	7.0	7.9	10
Information technology	53.4	(43.5)	9.9	12.9	10
Construction-in-progress	405.4		405.4	379.7	
Other	92.9	(54.5)	38.4	90.2	Up to 20
	<u>8,550.4</u>	<u>(3,518.4)</u>	<u>5,032.0</u>	<u>5,296.7</u>	

Construction in progress relates principally to projects for operating improvements to increase the useful life of the industrial units, as well as health, technology and security projects.

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001****All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated****14 Deferred Charges**

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Costs		
Pre-operating expenses	289.4	470.8
Rights to manufacturing processes	53.7	49.8
Organization and implementation expenses	239.9	192.4
Expenditures for structured operations	314.3	245.8
Goodwill on the acquisition of investments	885.8	835.1
Expenditures for programmed stoppages (major overhauls)	342.6	474.2
Research and development	86.2	104.5
Catalysts and other	57.1	87.9
	<u>2,269.0</u>	<u>2,460.5</u>
Accumulated amortization	<u>(745.8)</u>	<u>(1,036.9)</u>
	<u>1,523.2</u>	<u>1,423.6</u>

Goodwill on acquisition of investments relates to the portion of goodwill from legally merged companies based on expected future profitability. Goodwill on acquisition of investments includes the amounts of R\$ 834.7 and R\$ 51.1 at December 31, 2003 arising from the merger of OPP Química and Proppet, respectively (2002 R\$ 773.2, R\$ 51.1 and R\$ 10.8 from the merger of OPP Química, Proppet and Odequi respectively). This goodwill is being amortized over up to ten years.

On scheduled dates, varying from one to six years, the Company performs total or partial overhauls of its facilities. The costs associated with overhauls are deferred and amortized over the period until the beginning of the next corresponding overhaul.

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

15 Loans and financing

	Annual Financial Charges	2003	2002
Foreign currency-denominated			
Foreign notes payable (Eurobonds)(a)	9.00% to 11.50% (2002 from 9.00% to 11.50%)	1,636.9	1,751.4
Advances on export contracts	6.25% to 12.30% (2002 from 3.80% to 12.00%)	458.5	434.2
Export prepayment(b)	Note 15 (b)	1,182.5	1,939.1
Medium term notes(c)	Note 15 (c)	1,369.2	
Raw material financing	Fixed interest of 4.11% to 8.26% Braskem	13.2	48.1
Raw material financing	Jointly-controlled company Copesul (2.30% 7.59%)	230.1	308.6
Fixed capital	0.50% above LIBOR	232.8	284.7
Fixed capital	Fixed interest from 3.38% to 8.64% (2002 from 4.25% to 8.64%)	88.8	207.8
Working capital	6.25% (2002 from 3.11% to 6.50%)	8.0	276.4
Local currency-denominated			
Working capital	Average interest rate at year end was 32% (2002 29%)	556.0	694.8
FINAME(d)	9.35% to 11.00% + TJLP	32.0	19.5
BNDES(d)	6.50% to 11.00% + TJLP and UMBNDES (2002 from 3.90% to 10.75%)	280.1	304.2
Acquisition of shares(e)	4.00% to 4.50% + TJLP or IGP-M	253.0	300.5
Vendor		0.7	68.4
		<u>6,341.8</u>	<u>6,637.7</u>
Less: current liabilities		(2,726.5)	(2,746.1)
		<u>3,615.3</u>	<u>3,891.6</u>

CDI	=	Interbank Certificate of Deposit Rate
UMBNDES	=	BNDES Monetary Unit
LIBOR	=	London Interbank Offered Rate
TJLP	=	Long-term Interest Rate, published by the Brazilian Central Bank

(a) U.S. dollar-denominated notes payable

In June 1997, the Company issued bonds in the amount of US\$150.0 million, maturing in June 2007 and bearing annual interest of 9%, payable semiannually.

The Company also has outstanding bonds originally issued through OPP Química as follows: (1) US\$125.0 million thousand issued in February 1996, maturing in February 2004 and bearing annual interest of 11.5%, payable semiannually and (2) US\$100.0 million thousand issued in October 1996, maturing in October 2004 and bearing annual interest of 11%, payable semiannually.

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

In July 1997, Trikem issued bonds in the amount of US\$250.0 million, maturing in July 2007 and bearing annual interest of 10.625%, payable semiannually. These notes grant exclusively to Trikem the right to repurchase the bonds on July 24 of each year as from July 2002.

(b) Export prepayment

On December 28, 2001, the Company obtained funds in the amount of US\$250.0 million as a prepayment of exports. This loan was placed in two tranches and structured by a syndicate of banks led by ABN AMRO Real S.A. and Citibank N.A. The first tranche, in the amount of US\$80.0 million, is payable in installments through December 2004 and bears interest of 3.75% per annum plus three-month LIBOR, payable quarterly. The second tranche, in the amount of US\$170.0 million, is payable in installments through December 2006 and bears interest of 4.75% per annum plus three-month LIBOR, payable quarterly. The debt balance, including accrued interest, at December 31, 2003, is US\$223.1 million R\$ 644.5 (2002 US\$250.1 million R\$ 883.5).

In June 2000, OPP Petroquímica S.A. entered into an Export Prepayment in the amount of US\$75.3 million bearing annual interest of 3.75% over six month LIBOR. This loan was fully paid in December 2003.

In December 2002, OPP Química entered into an export prepayment, in the amount of US\$97.2 million. The advance bears annual interest of 3.75% over six month LIBOR. This contract is guaranteed by a surety bond and is to be paid through shipments from June 2003 to June 2006. The debt balance, including accrued interest, at December 31, 2003 is US\$96.7 million (R\$ 279.3). The consolidated balance of prepayment of exports includes the balance of an advance of US\$100.0 million made by a foreign customer of Trikem in August 1997. This advance bears annual interest of 6.73% maturing on June 1, 2004. The balance, including accrued interest at December 31, 2003 is US\$47.2 million (R\$ 136.4).

The Company has other export prepayment obligations of export operations in the amount of US\$42.3 million (R\$ 122.3) which are repayable in installments through February 2006. The Company is subject to annual interest from 4.25% to 5.25%, above LIBOR.

<u>Issuance</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Interest Rate Per Annum</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
December 2001	Prepayment of exports (1st tranche)	3.75% above LIBOR	206.2	282.7
December 2001	Prepayment of exports (2nd tranche)	4.75% above LIBOR	438.3	600.8
June 2000	Advance from foreign customer	3.75% above LIBOR		266.1
December 2002	Advance from foreign customer	3.75% above LIBOR	279.3	343.4
August 1997	Advance from foreign customer	6.73%	136.4	166.8
Various dates	Other export prepayments	4.25% - 5.25% above LIBOR	122.3	149.4
Various dates	Other export prepayments	2.88% - 4.75% above LIBOR		129.9
			<u>1,182.5</u>	<u>1,939.1</u>

(c) Medium-term note (MTN) program

In July 2003, Braskem established a MTN program providing for issuances of notes in an aggregate principal amount of up to US\$500.0 million. On July 16, 2003, a first tranche of MTNs in the amount of US\$75.0 million was issued and sold in the international capital markets, bearing annual interest of 10.5%

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001****All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated**

and maturing in one year. Further issues of the first tranche were made between August 1 and October 23, 2003, in the amount of US\$46.0 million, with the same annual interest of 10.5% and maturing on July 16, 2004.

On October 28, 2003, the Company issued and sold a second tranche of MTNs in the amount of US\$65.0 million that bears annual interest of 9.25% and matures in two years.

On November 5, 2003, the Company issued and sold a third tranche of MTNs in the amount of US\$200.0 million that bears annual interest of 12.5% and matures in five years. On November 28, 2003 the Company issued and sold a further US\$75.0 million under this third tranche, with the same annual interest of 12.5% and maturity date.

The total of notes issued under the MTN program at December 31, 2003 is summarized as follows:

Issue	Annual Interest %	Maturity	Millions of U.S. Dollars	R\$
1st tranche	10.50	July 2004	121.0	349.6
2nd tranche	9.25	October 2005	65.0	187.8
3rd tranche	12.50	November 2008	275.0	794.6
Total issued			461.0	1,332.0
Interest accrued				37.2
Total			461.0	1,369.2

The Company's Board of Directors, at a meeting on December 16, 2003, authorized an increase in the MTN program up to an aggregate principal amount of US\$1 billion and an extension in the term from five to ten years. In January 2004, a fourth tranche of MTNs in the amount of US\$250.0 million was issued and sold (Note 28(b)).

(d) FINAME and BNDES

The proceeds of the loans in reais from FINAME and BNDES were applied to increase in production capacity, implementation of environmental programs and construction of operating control centers and for laboratory and waste treatment stations. Principal and interest are payable monthly up to July 2007.

(e) Acquisition of Shares

The loans for purchase of shares relate to:

(i) the acquisition from BNDESPAR of Conepar shares, in September 2001 by Nova Camaçari. The loan principal is payable in full on August 15, 2006. The principal bears annual interest of 4% plus TJLP, payable annually as from August 15, 2002. At December 31, 2003, including accrued interest was R\$ 177.3; and

(ii) the acquisition by Odequi in September 1992 of the shares of the companies that subsequently merged to form OPP Química. This acquisition was financed by the Banco do Brasil S.A. for 12.5 years and the loan is restated by the IGP-M index plus annual interest of 4.5%. Interest is paid semiannually in March and September as from March 1993 and the principal is being repaid in 18 semiannual

successive installments since April 1996. The balance at December 31, 2003 was R\$ 75.7.

F-30

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

(f) Maturity and guarantees

Long-term loans mature as follows:

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
2004		1,250.5
2005	1,042.7	689.4
2006	503.4	507.7
2007	1,237.6	1,442.3
2008	831.6	1.7
	<u>3,615.3</u>	<u>3,891.6</u>

In the case of short-term loans, the Company has given security in the form of trade bills receivable and promissory notes guaranteed by shares. Certain working capital borrowings are secured by letters of credit and bank guarantees.

Long-term loans are secured by fixed assets, shares, guarantees of the shareholders and bank guarantees. Certain long-term borrowings are secured by mortgages on the Company's industrial plants with a net book value of R\$ 193.5. Additionally, certain loans related to the acquisition of fixed assets are secured by shares.

16 Debentures

On October 1, 2001, the Company issued and sold two series of its 10th issue of non-convertible debentures, both with an initial 36 month interest holiday, in the total amount of R\$ 625.0, secured by a floating lien and with repricing in October 2004. This issue was fully subscribed and sold in two series, as follows:

	<u>1st Series (R\$ 410.8)</u>	<u>2nd Series (R\$ 214.2)</u>
Final maturity date	October 1, 2006	October 1, 2006
Annual interest	110% 118.3% of CDI	IGP-M + 13.25%
Payment frequency	Semi-annual, as from April 2002	Annual, as from October 2002

On May 31, 2002, OPP PP issued R\$ 591.9 of debentures convertible into preferred class A shares of the Company at any time at the option of the debentureholders. These debentures have the following characteristics:

	<u>Single Series</u>
Final maturity date	July 31, 2007
Annual interest	TJLP variation, plus 5% p.a.

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

These debentures are subordinated, and the payment of the principal and interest will be made on the final maturity date of July 31, 2007. There is no partial or total redemption clause allowing payments before this date. A summary of the debentures is as follows:

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
As of January 1	1,222.3	499.9
Interest accrued	280.7	184.8
Issuance		591.9
Resale of debentures held by the Company	140.3	
Interest payments	(151.3)	(54.3)
	<u>1,492.0</u>	<u>1,222.3</u>
Less: current liabilities	(349.0)	(32.1)
	<u>1,143.0</u>	<u>1,190.2</u>
Long-term liabilities	1,143.0	1,190.2

The Extraordinary Shareholders Meeting held on November 19, 2003 approved the 11th public issue of non-convertible debentures, with a maturity of four years and a one-year grace period for the principal. On December 1, 2003, a single series of 12,000 debentures was issued in the total amount of R\$ 1.2 billion and initially held in treasury. These debentures were sold in January and February 2004 (Note 28(b)).

17 Taxes and Contributions Payable Long-term Liabilities

	<u>Reference</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Compensation of IPI credits			
IPI export credit	(i)	413.1	174.9
IPI purchase of zero-rated materials	(ii)	307.0	286.3
IPI consumable materials and equipment		31.9	25.4
Other taxes and contributions payable			
PIS/ COFINS Law 9718 of 1998	(iii)	284.9	308.0
Education contribution, SAT and INSS		26.5	28.2
Special Installment Program (PAES) Law 10684/03	(iv)	56.3	
Tax Recovery Program (REFIS) Law 9964/00	(v)	9.2	10.6
Others		20.2	
		<u>1,149.1</u>	<u>833.4</u>

The Company has brought legal actions challenging certain alterations in the tax law and defending, among other things, the right to IPI credits on the purchase of raw materials and the export of products. In relation to offset of IPI credits, our challenges, if successful, will ultimately generate definitive IPI tax credits or benefits. However, for those contingent IPI tax credits which were used to offset other federal taxes payable we have recorded a liability in order to offset the contingent gain and have accrued interest on such liabilities using the SELIC interest rate. The Company has not recorded tax assets for uncompensated credits that have not been used to offset other tax obligations as they are considered contingent assets.

Table of Contents

BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

(i) IPI Export credit

Relates to a legal action initiated by the merged company OPP Química and the subsidiary Trikem, requesting the legal recognition of the IPI credit, introduced by Decree Law 491/69 to provide incentives for exports of manufactured products. OPP Química obtained a preliminary injunction in this action, partially confirmed by a ruling, authorizing it to use the benefit calculated on the exports of the units located in Rio Grande do Sul to offset federal taxes due. The decision was revoked, against which special and extraordinary appeals were lodged by the Company.

The subsidiary Trikem, in Alagoas, obtained a preliminary injunction, confirmed by a ruling, permitting it to use the benefit to offset federal taxes due. The Regional Federal Tribunal (TRF) maintained this decision.

Braskem has a favorable ruling in this matter from the Federal Justice of Bahia.

Based upon recent Federal Supreme Court jurisprudence, the Company believes its chances of success with respect to these contingent assets, the export credit itself and the effects of monetary restatement (official monetary connection, additional inflation effects not officially recognized and SELIC interest), are good, but no asset was recorded. Based on a judicial order, the Company used credits relative to these proceedings to compensate IPI, PIS, COFINS and Federal Income tax payable on its operations. A liability is recorded in the amount of the potential contingent gain in respect of the utilization of the contingent IPI export credits tax, updated by the SELIC rate, amounting to R\$ 413.1 at December 31, 2003 (2002 R\$ 174.9).

(ii) IPI Purchase of zero-rated materials

Based on the favorable final ruling received in relation to recognition of IPI tax credits on the acquisition of raw materials in the State of Rio Grande do Sul (see Note 11(i)), claims for similar credits have been made in the States of São Paulo and Bahia, and by the subsidiaries Trikem and Polialden. The Company received an injunction to use the IPI tax credits but has not recorded an asset in relation to these credits. Through the injunction the Company is using IPI credits relative to these processes to offset IPI, PIS, COFINS and Federal Income Tax payable. In order to avoid recognition of contingent gains the Company has recorded a liability equal to the amount used to offset these other tax obligations, updated at the SELIC rate. This liability will be reversed if the Company receives final favorable decisions in these lawsuits.

(iii) PIS/ COFINS Law 9718 of 1998

Effective as from February 1999, the basis and applicable rates for PIS and COFINS contributions were increased through Law 9718 of 1998.

COFINS Increase in the rate from 2% to 3% and expansion of the concept of billings to include in the contribution calculation practically all income earned by companies, in addition to the sales of products and services.

PIS Expansion of the calculation basis identical to COFINS.

The Company, in different legal actions, has challenged the constitutionality of the expansion in the calculation basis for the period from February 1999 to November 2002. Based on a new PIS law issued in 2002, the expanded basis was applicable since December 2002, and is no longer questioned as from that date. The Company records provisions in accordance with amounts due under law 9718, and, based on the advice of its legal counsel, the Company believes that it is reasonably possible that the portion of the law

Table of Contents

BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

in respect of the expanded contribution base may be upheld as being constitutional. Provisions have been recorded based on amounts payable under the expanded basis and increased rates in accordance with law 9718, while awaiting a final decision in relation to constitutionality.

The amounts set forth in Note 9 with respect to PIS and COFINS are judicial deposits made by the Company with respect to PIS and COFINS taxes calculated using the expanded basis under Law 9718 for the periods the law applied.

The status of each of the actions is as follows:

Braskem continues its COFINS claim and deposits on the calculation expanded basis under Law 9718. PIS, on the same calculation basis, was deposited up to November 2002.

Based on a judicial order, OPP Química, up to August 2002, was not obliged to pay or deposit any of the increases introduced by Law 9718 in respect of COFINS. Also, based on a judicial order, OPP Química was not obliged to pay or deposit any of the increases in respect of PIS up to November 2002. As from December 2002, this contribution was paid on the expanded basis.

In August 2003, Trikem chose to desist from part of the proceedings with respect to the increase in rate and, through PAES (Note 17(iv)), settled the amount due in installments between February 1999 and February 2003.

With respect to PIS, the situation of Trikem is the same as OPP Química, as parties to the same legal process.

The subsidiary Polialden filed a legal action to pay COFINS at the rate of 2% and not 3%. In September 2001, the ruling rejecting the claim was published. Polialden lodged an appeal with the lower court, but this was rejected. An extraordinary appeal to the Federal Supreme Court (STF) against this ruling has been lodged.

(iv) Special Installment Program (PAES) Law 10684/03

On May 30, 2003, Law No. 10684 was published, introducing the PAES program, which offers taxpayers with liabilities to the Federal Revenue Office or the National Treasury (that have been confessed or are being challenged in the courts) the option of paying their overdue tax obligations at February 28, 2003, in up to 180 consecutive monthly installments.

The legislation, among other benefits, provides for a 50% reduction in the fines on arrears as well as the utilization of the TJLP to update the installments due (replacing the usual SELIC rate which is more onerous).

In August 2003, Trikem chose to desist from its legal action in relation to claims relating to the COFINS (Note 17(iii)) to take advantage of the favorable payment terms of the program. The amount due is being paid in 120 installments and the option was confirmed with payment of the first installment on August 31, 2003. At December 31, 2003 the total balance due is R\$ 62.8, of which R\$ 6.5 is classified within current liabilities.

(v) REFIS Law 9964/00

On August 1, 1996, the Federal Revenue Office raised an assessment against Nitrocarbano, corresponding to the Social Contribution, not previously paid based on a prior ruling, that would have been due for 1992 through 1995.

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

In December 2000, management chose to settle the assessed amount of R\$ 14.8, through enrollment in the REFIS program (established by Law No. 9964/00). Under the REFIS program, Nitrocarbono began to pay the social contribution in accordance with current legislation. At December 31, 2003 the balance due was R\$ 9.2 (2002 R\$ 10.6).

18 Income Tax and Social Contribution on Net Income**(a) Income tax reconciliation**

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Income (loss) before income tax and minority interest	564.2	(1,477.9)	(238.0)
Income tax and social contribution benefit (expense) at statutory rate 34%	(191.8)	502.5	80.9
Income tax on equity in earnings of associates	24.5	(29.4)	(13.3)
Non-deductible amortization of goodwill	(87.0)	(100.1)	(54.8)
Exempt exchange gains (losses) on foreign currency	(43.2)	111.3	110.0
Income tax incentives (Note 19(a))	28.8	0.4	28.9
Other permanent differences	(23.4)	(12.7)	(11.5)
Tax effect of social contribution tax exemption ((c) below)	64.0	(128.6)	(55.6)
Net change in valuation allowance	109.6	(428.1)	(161.4)
Other	(4.4)	(5.1)	(0.8)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Income tax expense, per consolidated and combined statement of operations	(122.9)	(89.8)	(77.6)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

(b) Deferred Taxes

In accordance with a pronouncement issued by the Brazilian Institute of Independent Accountants (IBRACON) on the accounting for income tax and social contribution, supplemented by CVM Instruction 371/02, the Company has recognized deferred tax assets, demonstrated as follows:

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Deferred tax assets		
Net operating loss carryforwards	322.8	442.5
Goodwill and deferred charges	128.0	95.5
Non-deductible accrued expenses and other temporary differences	366.7	367.0
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Gross deferred tax assets	817.5	905.0
Valuation allowance	(651.5)	(761.1)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Net long-term deferred tax assets	166.0	143.9
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Deferred tax liabilities		
Accelerated depreciation	(9.8)	(9.8)

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

Other temporary differences	<u> </u>	<u>(17.0)</u>
Long-term deferred tax liabilities	<u>(9.8)</u>	<u>(26.8)</u>

F-35

Table of Contents

BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

The Company believes that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset, net of the valuation allowance, will be recovered within three to five years. Valuation allowances have been provided for deferred tax assets whose realization is considered not more likely than not.

In addition to the expected positive impacts of the corporate reorganization described in Note 1(b), the expectation of generating taxable income is based on projections that are mainly influenced by price, foreign exchange rates, interest rates and market growth assumptions and other variables relevant to the Company.

(c) Social Contribution

The Company has not paid or recognized an expense in relation to social contribution taxes in any of the years presented.

The subsidiary OPP Química obtained a final court decision which exempted it from the social contribution. In 1992, Braskem and its subsidiaries Trikem and Polialden also received final favorable decisions exempting them from the social contribution, but in 1997, the Superior Court of Justice overturned the 1992 decision. Since then the companies have filed several appeals to reverse this unfavorable decision.

The Company believes that it is reasonably possible that it will lose the appeals to maintain the Company's exemption. If the appeals are not successful, the Company believes that the loss of the exemption will be effective only as from the date of a final unfavorable decision and may not be applied retroactively. For this reason, no liability has been recorded. However, we believe that it is reasonably possible that we will be required to pay these taxes retroactively. If a retroactive claim were made by the government, the exposure to the Company would be approximately R\$416.8, including interest but excluding fines.

19 Tax Incentives

(a) Corporate income tax

From 2002 to 2011, the Company has the right to reduce by 75% the income tax rate on profits arising from the sale of basic petrochemical products and utilities. The Camaçari polyethylene plant of the merged company OPP Química has the same right for the same period. The polyvinylchloride (PVC) plants of Trikem, in the States of Bahia and Alagoas, are exempt from Corporate Income Tax (IRPJ) calculated on the results of their industrial operations until 2004 and 2008, respectively. The subsidiary Polialden was exempt from income tax on the results of its industrial operations through 2003. As from 2004 and through 2012, Polialden will have the right to reduce by 75% the income tax rate that applies to its results from such operations.

Production of caustic soda and ethylene dichloride by the subsidiary Trikem are not covered by these exemptions, but the income tax on the related profits may be reduced by the following percentages according to Law No. 9532/97 (Article 3, § 2 and § 3):

(i) 37.5% from January 1, 1998 to December 31, 2003; (ii) 25.0% from January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2008; (iii) 12.5% from January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2013; and (iv) zero, thereafter.

At the end of each year, in the case of taxable profit resulting from the benefited operations, the amount of the income tax exemption or reduction is credited to a capital reserve, which can only be used

Table of Contents

BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

to increase capital or absorb losses. The incentive covered R\$ 84.2 of the income tax of the Company in 2003.

(b) Value-added tax (ICMS)

The merged company OPP Química and the jointly-controlled company Copesul have ICMS tax incentives granted by the State of Rio Grande do Sul, through the Company Operation Fund FUNDOPEM, with the purpose of providing incentives for the installation and expansion of industries in the State. This incentive is determined based on approved projects and in percentages on the amounts of tax payments expected. The amounts are recorded in shareholders' equity as a capital reserve. The ICMS tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2003 was R\$ 31.7 (2002 R\$ 28.2).

20 Shareholders' Equity

(a) Capital

The Company's authorized share capital at December 31, 2003 consists of 43,920,000,000 common shares, 76,860,000,000 Class A preferred shares and 1,220,000,000 Class B preferred shares.

The Extraordinary General Meeting held on October 20, 2003 approved the split of the Company's shares, as proposed by management. All shares were split using the ratio of 20 shares of each type and class for each existing share. Accordingly, the relation between the Class A shares and the American Deposit Shares (ADS) was changed from 50 to 1,000 Class A preferred shares for each ADS. This 20-for-one share split has been retroactively applied to all periods presented in these financial statements.

Under our by-laws, the general shareholders' meeting may authorize the conversion of our preferred class A shares into common shares by means of the affirmative vote of shareholders representing the majority of our common shares, which will establish (i) the number of shares to be converted; (ii) the ratio of any such conversion; and (iii) the term during which any conversion must be performed.

Holders of our Class B preferred shares are not permitted to convert their shares into common shares, but are permitted by our by-laws to convert their shares into our Class A preferred shares. The ratio for any such conversion is two Class B preferred shares for each Class A preferred share.

At December 31, 2003, the Company's outstanding share capital consisted of 69,053,909,060 shares, of which 25,608,113,580 were common shares, 43,216,640,680 were Class A preferred shares and 229,154,800 were Class B preferred shares.

Preferred shares do not carry voting rights, but they have a priority right to a minimum non-cumulative annual dividend of 6% of their nominal value, depending on the availability of income for distribution. Only the Class A preferred shares have the right to participation equal to common shares in the remaining income for distributions exceeding the 6% minimum, and this right exists only after the payment of dividends to the holders of preferred shares. The Class A preferred shares also have equal rights with the common shares to receive share dividends arising from the capitalization of other reserves. Subsequent to the expiration of the period of non-transferability provided under applicable law, the Class B preferred shares may be converted into Class A preferred shares, at the ratio of two Class B preferred shares for one Class A preferred share.

Shares paid up through the Northeast Investment Fund (FINOR) tax incentives (Class B preferred shares) do not have preferential rights in the event of new share subscriptions.

In the event of dissolution of the Company, the Class A and B preferred shares have priority to capital reimbursement.

Table of Contents

BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

All shareholders are entitled to an annual dividend of not less than 25% of the net income of each year if there are accumulated retained earnings, calculated in accordance with Brazilian Corporation Law.

As described in the shareholders' agreements and memorandum of understanding described in Note 1(b), the Company must distribute dividends in a percentage not less than 50% of available net income of each year, as long as remaining reserves are sufficient to maintain efficient operations and business development.

According to the terms of the 10th issue of debentures (Note 16) and export prepayment facility described in the first paragraph of Note 15 (b), the payment of dividends, interest attributable to shareholders' equity or any other participation in profits is limited to 50% of net income for the year or 6% of the nominal value of the Class A and B preferred shares, whichever is higher.

(b) Shares Held in Treasury

At December 31, 2002, the Company held in treasury 54,620,037 Class A preferred shares. With the reissuance of treasury shares related to the acquisition of minority interests in Nitrocarbano and Trikem (Note 1(b)) and the share split, in October 2003 (Note 20(a)), the number of Class A preferred shares held in treasury was 621,887,272 at December 31, 2003.

21 Contingencies

(a) Collective Labor Agreement

The chemical workers union in the Camaçari region (SINDIQUÍMICA) and the syndicate of chemical manufacturers in the same region (SINPEQ) are disputing in the courts whether the wage and salary indexation clause in their collective labor agreement was overruled by a 1990 economic policy law which restricted wage and salary increases. Braskem, Polialden, Nitrocarbano and Politenio operated plants in the region in 1990 and are members of SINPEQ. The workers' union is requesting that salaries and wages be adjusted retroactively and cumulatively since 1990. The most recent ruling by the STF, in December 2002, was favorable to SINPEQ and established that the economic policy law overruled the collective labor agreement. Nevertheless, the decision is subject to reconsideration by the STF.

However, management believes that it is reasonably possible that the employers' union will lose this suit. If the employers' union loses this suit and assuming that (1) the Company is required to pay damages from April 1990 to September 1990 (the date of the next collective bargaining agreement) and (2) the employees' union or individual employees file additional claims necessary to quantify the amount of damages, the Company estimates that it could be subject to liability of up to R\$35.0.

(b) Other Litigation of the Company

Trikem has civil claims involving various matters totaling R\$ 252.0. R\$ 206.0 relates to an ex-distributor action, including claims for damages relating to the prior distribution agreement. Management, supported by the opinion of its external legal advisors, believe that an unfavorable decision is remote and, for this reason, no provisions have been established.

The Company is defending various claims filed by employees. A provision had been made for probable losses of R\$ 9.3 relating to certain claims, while no provision was recorded for the remaining claims because, based on the advice of external legal advisors, these latter claims should be judged in favor of the Company.

Table of Contents

BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

22 Financial Instruments

(a) Risk Management

Because the Company operates in the international markets, obtaining funds for its operations and investments, it is exposed to market risks mainly arising from changes in the foreign exchange and interest rates. Bank accounts, financial investments and other accounts receivable are subject to credit risk. The Company has developed policies and procedures for risk evaluation, report preparation and monitoring of derivative activity.

To cover the exposure to market risk, the Company utilizes various types of currency hedges, some involving the use of cash and others not. The most common cash-based currency hedges used by the Company, are financial instruments denominated in foreign currencies (certificates of deposit, foreign mutual funds, time deposits and overnight deposits) and put and call options. The non-cash types of currency hedges used by the Company are swaps of U.S. dollar obligations that bear interest at the CDI rate and forwards.

To hedge its exposure to exchange risks and interest rate risks arising from loan and financing agreements, as well as to meet the requirements established in loan agreements, the Company adopted, at December 31, 2001, the following methodology: hedging of the principal and interest (on a consolidated basis), falling due in the next 12 months of, at least, (i) 60% of the debt linked to exports (trade finance), except for advances on exchange contracts of up to six months and advances on export contracts; and (ii) 75% of the debt not linked to exports (non-trade finance). Implementation of this policy is dependent upon market conditions, credit availability and cash balances.

(b) Exposure to Foreign Exchange Risks

The Company has long-term loans and financing to finance its operations, cash flows and modernization projects. A substantial part of long-term loans and financing is denominated in U.S. dollars (Note 15).

(c) Exposure to Interest Rate Risks

The Company is exposed to interest rate risks on its short-term and long-term debt. The debt in foreign currency, bearing floating interest rates, is mainly subject to LIBOR variation and the domestic debt, bearing floating interest rates, is mainly subject to fluctuations in the TJLP and the CDI rate.

(d) Exposure to Commodities Risks

The Company is exposed to fluctuations in the price of several petrochemical commodities, especially its main raw material, naphtha. Because the Company seeks to transfer to its own selling prices the effect of price changes in this raw material, arising from changes in the international market price for naphtha, financial instruments were not used to hedge the prices of this commodity, or any other petrochemical commodities sold by Braskem.

(e) Exposure to Credit Risk

The operations that subject the Company to concentration of credit risk are mainly bank accounts, financial investments and other accounts receivable. In order to manage the credit risk, the Company maintains its bank accounts and financial investments with large financial institutions.

In relation to credit risk, the Company protects itself by performing detailed analyses before granting credit and by obtaining real and personal guarantees, when necessary.

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

(f) Market Value

To determine the estimated market value of its financial instruments, the Company uses public information available in the financial market and valuation methodologies generally accepted and used by the counterparties. These estimates do not necessarily guarantee that such operations could be realized in the market at the indicated amounts. The use of different market information and/or valuation methodologies could have a significant effect on the estimated market value.

The following table provides information on the main derivative financial instruments, interest rate swaps and cross currency interest rate swaps, at December 31, 2003:

Type	Nominal Value US\$ Thousand	Fair Market Value at December 31, 2003	Final Maturity
Interest rate derivatives (LIBOR)	16,292	(0.6)	June 1, 2004
Currency derivatives (R\$ x US\$)	141,200	(3.5)	July 20, 2004
	<u>157,492</u>	<u>(4.1)</u>	

In 2003, a loss on derivative transactions was recorded within Financial income (expenses) as Losses with hedges and swap instruments (Note 23).

23 Financial Income and Financial Expenses

Financial income is comprised as follows:

	2003	2002	2001
Interest income	51.5	52.9	121.4
Monetary variation on financial investments, related parties and accounts receivables	33.4	209.7	38.7
Monetary variation on taxes recoverable	88.0	4.4	
Gains from hedges and swaps instruments	24.0	194.0	
Foreign exchange gains (losses)	(211.1)	137.5	92.8
Others	23.2	21.1	41.8
	<u>9.0</u>	<u>619.6</u>	<u>294.7</u>

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

Financial expenses are comprised as follows:

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Interest expenses on loans, financings and debentures	543.6	735.4	380.7
Monetary variation on loans, financings and debentures	293.1	319.2	17.9
Monetary variation and interest with suppliers	205.8	43.8	
Monetary variation and interest with taxes and contributions	157.1	22.8	
Losses from hedges and swaps instruments	63.6	56.7	1.1
Financial discounts	149.0	77.6	0.6
Foreign exchange losses (gains)	(969.4)	2,076.1	290.7
Taxes and charges on financial operations	105.2	48.2	16.0
Others	164.6	101.7	94.2
	<u>712.6</u>	<u>3,481.5</u>	<u>801.2</u>

24 Other Operating Income (Expenses) and Non-Operating Income (Expenses)

Other operating income (expenses), net is comprised as follows:

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Income (expenses)			
Rental of installations	18.2	12.2	
Recovery of taxes and compulsory deposits	22.8	60.9	29.4
Insurance recoveries	11.6	18.2	16.2
Other operating income, net	(2.9)	11.3	57.7
	<u>49.7</u>	<u>102.6</u>	<u>103.3</u>

Non-operating income (expenses), net is comprised as follows:

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Income (expenses)			
Gain (loss) on participation in investments	(2.7)	7.8	
Net book value of permanent assets disposal	(0.1)	(55.6)	(81.1)
Assets removal costs	(16.2)		
Loss on disposal of investment	(3.8)	(41.5)	(0.9)
Other	18.0	(8.7)	(38.8)
	<u>18.0</u>	<u>(8.7)</u>	<u>(38.8)</u>

(4.8)	(98.0)	(120.8)
-------	--------	---------

25 Insurance Coverage

The Company has a broadly-based risk management program designed to provide coverage for all assets, as well as possible losses caused by production stoppages, through an all-risks insurance policy. This insurance policy establishes for maximum probable damage, which the Company considers sufficient to cover possible losses, taking into account the nature of its Company's activities and the advice of

F-41

Table of Contents

BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

insurance consultants. At December 31, 2003, insurance coverage for inventories, property, plant and equipment and loss of profits amounted to approximately R\$ 11,995.9.

26 Private Pension Plans

The actuarial obligations relating to the pension and retirement plans are accrued in conformity with the procedures established by CVM Resolution 371 of December 13, 2000, except for ODEPREV Odebrecht Previdência (Odeprev), for which such procedures are not applicable.

(a) ODEPREV Odebrecht Previdência

The merged company OPP Química and the subsidiary Trikem have a defined-contribution plan for their employees. The plan is managed by Odeprev, which is sponsored by the Odebrecht Group as a closed private pension entity.

Sponsor's contributions for 2003 were R\$ 1.1, and those of the participants in the plan were R\$ 3.9.

(b) Fundação PETROBRAS de Seguridade Social PETROS (Petros)

Braskem and its subsidiary Trikem sponsor a defined-benefit plan for certain employees. The plan is managed by Petros. Its main objectives are to (i) complement retirement benefits provided by the government; and (ii) implement social assistance programs with the support of the sponsoring companies. The sponsoring companies and their employees pay monthly contributions to Petros based on the employees remuneration.

In accordance with the CVM Resolution, in relation to Accounting for Employee Benefits, actuarial calculations were made for the defined benefit under the projected unit method. The pension plan is sponsored by the Company. The initial unfunded status at December 31, 2001 was recorded directly against shareholders' equity and the pension liability is recorded in long-term liabilities under Other accounts payable.

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

The amounts are as follows:

	2003	2002
(1) Present value of actuarial obligation at year end		
Benefits to be granted (active employees)	127.1	88.2
Benefits granted (retired employees and pensioners)	253.6	231.6
	380.7	319.8
(2) Fair value of plan assets at year end	320.3	271.8
	60.4	48.0
(3) Present value of obligations in excess of assets (1) - (2)	60.4	48.0
(4) Unrecognized net actuarial gain (loss)	(4.1)	6.2
Net actuarial liability (3) + (4)	56.3	54.2
	9.7	6.2
Net Periodic Pension Cost		
Service cost	8.8	6.4
Interest cost benefits to be granted (active employees)	9.4	5.3
Interest cost benefits granted (retired employees and pensioners)	17.2	13.8
Expected return on plan assets	(22.4)	(16.1)
Expected contributions of participants	(3.8)	(4.1)
Cost of amortization	0.5	0.9
	9.7	6.2
	9.7	6.2

Additional information on the pension plan managed by Petros:

Type of Plan	Defined-Benefit
Method of actuarial valuation	Projected Unit Method
Mortality table	GAM-71
Discount rate applied to the actuarial obligations	6% per year plus inflation
Rate of return expected on plan assets	6% per year plus inflation
Projected inflation rate	5% per year

Sponsor's contributions to this plan in 2003 were R\$ 6.5 (2002 R\$ 6.1 and 2001 R\$ 5.3).

(c) PREVINOR Associação de Previdência Privada

The Company, through the merged companies Nitrocarbono and Proppet and its subsidiary Polialden, has a defined-contribution plan for certain employees. The plan is managed by PREVINOR Associação da Previdência Privada.

Sponsor's contributions for 2003 were R\$ 1.5 (2002 R\$ 1.3, 2001 R\$ 0.8).

27 Commitments

Purchase Commitments

The Company has electric power purchase contracts for the consumption of energy by its industrial plants located in the States of Alagoas, Bahia and Rio Grande do Sul. The minimum annual commitment under these contracts is approximately R\$ 96.0 and the contracts extend for five years.

F-43

Table of Contents

BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

The Company purchases ethylene and propylene for its units in the Southern Petrochemical Complex from Copesul under a long-term contract that expires in 2014. The minimum annual purchase commitment is 268,200 metric tons of ethylene and 262,200 metric tons of propylene at December 31, 2003 market prices, amounts to R\$ 693.0 (unaudited). If not purchased the Company must pay for the unpurchased amount at 40% of the current price, which, based on 40% of the December 31, 2003 prices, is R\$ 277.2 per year (unaudited).

Braskem purchases naphtha based under contracts with a total minimum annual purchase volume of metric tons equivalent to R\$ 2,972.2, (unaudited) at December 31, 2003 market prices.

28 Subsequent Events

(a) Upstream merger of Trikem

At an extraordinary general meeting held on January 15, 2004, the Company's shareholders approved the upstream merger of Trikem into Braskem. As a result of the upstream merger, the Company acquired minority interests representing 46.4% of the total share capital of Trikem. The Company's capital was increased by R\$ 304.6, through the issue of 8,136,165,484 Class A preferred shares to be delivered to other shareholders of Trikem. Common shares were increased by 0.5% through the conversion of 121,948,261 Class A preferred shares into common shares.

(b) Issue of debentures and MTN program

On January 16 and February 2, 2004, R\$ 1.2 billion of the 11th series issue of non-convertible debentures was sold. These debentures have a final maturity of December 1, 2007 and annual interest at the rate of CDI plus 4.5% p.a. payable in 36 monthly, equal and consecutive installments, as from January 1, 2005. This issue refinanced the amounts outstanding at December 31, 2003 related to the advance for credit rights and the 10th series issue of debentures, except for R\$ 329.8 of such debentures which was classified as short-term based on the Company's decision.

On January 22, 2004 the 4th tranche of notes under the MTN program was issued and sold in the amount of US\$250.0 million (R\$ 710.4), bearing annual interest of 11.75% and maturing in January 2014.

(c) Monômeros

Under an Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Shares, dated February 3, 2004, the Company purchased the outstanding minority shareholdings of Monômeros, becoming the owner of 100% of the shares of this subsidiary. The acquisition price totaled R\$ 14.8, corresponding to the book value of the shares acquired as at December 31, 2003.

29 Summary of Principal Differences Between Brazilian GAAP and U.S. GAAP

(a) Change in presentation of financial information

As described in Note 2, the Company has changed the presentation of its financial statements for the purposes of filing under the U.S. Securities Act of 1933 from U.S. GAAP to Brazilian GAAP.

A summary of the Company's principal accounting policies that differ significantly from U.S. GAAP is set forth below.

(b) Supplementary inflation restatement in 1996 and 1997 for US GAAP

Under Brazilian GAAP, inflation accounting was discontinued effective January 1, 1996. Prior to that date, Brazilian GAAP statements included indexation adjustments which partially accounted for the effect

Table of Contents

BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

of inflation on property, plant and equipment, investments, deferred charges (together denominated permanent assets) and shareholders equity, and reported the net charge or credit in the statement of operations. However, under U.S. GAAP, Brazil ceased to be treated as a highly inflationary economy only as from January 1, 1998. Accordingly the financial information for purposes of U.S. GAAP should include additional inflation restatement adjustments for 1996 and 1997 made by applying the General Price Index Internal Availability (IGP-DI) to permanent assets and shareholders equity. The IGP-DI index increased by 9.3% in 1996 and 7.5% in 1997.

For purposes of the U.S. GAAP reconciliation, shareholders equity under U.S. GAAP was increased by R\$ 798.9 and R\$ 832.1, at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, due to the additional inflation restatement adjustments, net of depreciation. These amounts generated increases in depreciation charges of R\$ 33.2, R\$ 36.8 and R\$ 40.7 in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

(c) Property, plant and equipment

(i) Capitalized interest

Under Brazilian GAAP, prior to January 1, 1997 there was no accounting standard requiring capitalization of interest as part of the cost of the related assets and, consequently, Braskem did not capitalize interest in this period. As from January 1, 1997, interest on loans identified to be used to finance assets under construction is required to be capitalized. Under U.S. GAAP, capitalization of the financial costs of borrowed funds, excluding foreign exchange losses, during construction of major facilities is recognized as part of the cost of the related assets.

(ii) Impairment

Under Brazilian GAAP, companies are required to determine if operating income is sufficient to absorb the depreciation or amortization of long-lived assets in order to assess potential asset impairment. In the event such operating income is insufficient to recover the depreciation, the assets, or groups of assets, are written down to recoverable values, preferably based on the projected discounted cash flows of future operations. In the event of a planned substitution of assets prior to the end of the original estimated useful life of the asset, depreciation of such asset is accelerated to ensure that the asset is depreciated according to the estimated net realizable values at the estimated date of substitution.

Under U.S. GAAP, Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets , requires companies to evaluate the carrying value of long-lived assets to be held and used, and for long-lived assets to be disposed of, when events and circumstances require such a review. The carrying value of long-lived assets is considered impaired when the anticipated undiscounted cash flow from identified asset groups, representing the lowest level for which identifiable cash flow are largely independent of the cash flows of other groups of assets, is less than their carrying value. In that event, a loss is recognized based on the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair market value of the assets or discounted cash flows generated by the assets.

For Braskem, there were no differences relating to impairment provisions, between Brazilian GAAP and U.S. GAAP for the years presented.

(d) Deferred charges and other intangible assets

Brazilian GAAP permits deferral of expenses, major overhaul costs, pre-operating expenses incurred in the construction or expansion of a facility before the facility begins operations, research and development expenditure and other items listed in Note 14.

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001****All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated**

For purposes of the U.S. GAAP reconciliation, only costs relative to major overhauls meet the conditions established for deferral and, accordingly, all other Brazilian GAAP deferred costs, other than those reclassified to property, plant and equipment, and goodwill generated on common control transactions, which is eliminated, have been charged to income.

For purposes of the U.S. GAAP reconciliation, deferred charges adjustments, net under U.S. GAAP amounted to R\$ 7.5, R\$ (78.9) and R\$ (21.7) in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Under U.S. GAAP research and development costs are expensed as incurred and recorded in the statement of operations within general and administrative expense. Expenditure on research and development totaled R\$ 35.5, R\$ 20.1 and R\$ 9.6, for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

A reconciliation of the net adjustments to net income for all three years presented is set forth in the following table:

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Expense of deferred charges under U.S. GAAP			
Pre-operating expenses	(0.2)	(45.2)	(40.7)
Organization and implementation expenses	(29.9)	(5.1)	(33.9)
Expenditures for structured operations	(33.3)	(61.2)	(20.7)
Research and development	(0.1)	(11.6)	
Other	(6.6)	(26.6)	(5.7)
	<u>(70.1)</u>	<u>(149.7)</u>	<u>(101.0)</u>
Reversal of amortization of deferred charges under Brazilian GAAP			
Pre-operating expenses	12.9	23.8	16.7
Organization and implementation expenses	20.8	14.4	15.8
Expenditures for structured operations	10.3	22.1	19.4
Research and development	8.6	9.1	6.1
Other	25.0	1.4	21.3
	<u>77.6</u>	<u>70.8</u>	<u>79.3</u>
	<u>7.5</u>	<u>(78.9)</u>	<u>(21.7)</u>

(e) Business combinations

Under Brazilian GAAP, goodwill arises from the difference between the amount paid and the Brazilian GAAP book value (normally also the tax basis) of the net assets acquired. Goodwill is generally justified on the difference between the book value and the market value of assets acquired and/or based on expectation of future profitability and is amortized over the remaining useful lives of the assets or up to 10 years, respectively. Negative goodwill arises under Brazilian GAAP when the book value of assets acquired exceeds the purchase consideration. Negative goodwill is amortized over 10 years. Goodwill in a subsidiary subsequently merged into its parent is reclassified to deferred charges, or property, plant and equipment.

Under U.S. GAAP, fair values are assigned to acquired assets and liabilities in business combinations, including intangible assets. The residual of consideration paid over the fair value of assets and liabilities is

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001****All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated**

recorded as goodwill. Upon the adoption of SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, as from January 1, 2002 goodwill is no longer amortized but is instead assigned to an entity's reporting units and tested for impairment at least annually. Goodwill is not recorded on transactions between parties under common control; instead the difference between consideration and book value of the net assets acquired is recorded as a capital distribution or contribution. Under U.S. GAAP, goodwill generated after July 1, 2001 is not being amortized in 2001 and no goodwill amortization is recorded as from 2002. The differences in relation to Brazilian GAAP arise principally from (i) non-recognition of goodwill arising from transactions between parties under common control under U.S. GAAP (Note 29(f)); (ii) valuation of assets and liabilities acquired at their fair value at the date of acquisition; and (iii) difference between goodwill calculated under U.S. GAAP and under Brazilian GAAP.

These adjustments can be summarized as follows:

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Fair value adjustments	(818.2)	(940.1)
Difference on goodwill with third parties	345.0	234.4
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total	<u>(473.2)</u>	<u>(705.7)</u>

For Brazilian GAAP purposes, the balance of goodwill at December 31, 2003 was R\$ 1,912.7 (2002 R\$ 2,156.0), which is being amortized to income over a period of up to 10 years for items reclassified to deferred charges, or the remaining useful lives of the underlying assets, for the items reclassified to property, plant and equipment. Negative goodwill at December 31, 2003 was R\$ 8.7 (2002 R\$ 21.2).

Under U.S. GAAP purposes, the net balance of goodwill at December 31, 2003 was R\$ 818.2 (2002 R\$ 807.7). In the U.S. GAAP reconciliation, goodwill and negative goodwill amortization under Brazilian GAAP of R\$ 256.0 in 2003, R\$ 294.4 in 2002 and R\$ 161.2 in 2001 have been reversed.

(f) Transactions giving rise to distributions to shareholders under U.S. GAAP

Transactions between parties under common control gave rise to goodwill under Brazilian GAAP, which is treated as capital distributions and contributions under U.S. GAAP:

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Acquisition of ESAE and related transactions	(363.2)	(363.2)
OPP PP transaction	(1,814.6)	(1,814.6)
Contributions from shareholders	15.0	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total	<u>(2,162.6)</u>	<u>(2,177.8)</u>

(i) Acquisition of ESAE and Related Transactions (Note 1(b))

Under Brazilian GAAP, the acquisition of ESAE was accounted for at book value. Under U.S. GAAP, the acquisition would be accounted for using the purchase method with the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed from third parties recorded at fair value. The portions of net

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

assets that were already held by the Odebrecht Group would be maintained at their existing book values, and the excess of the proportional amount of the purchase price over these book values would be considered a distribution to the Odebrecht Group in the amount of R\$ 363.2.

F-47

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

Under U.S. GAAP, the acquisition of ESAE and related transactions were accounted for as follows:

Investment Acquired	Purchase Price	Value of Investments	Goodwill	Capital Distribution
30.99% of Politeno	739.4	141.9	373.8	223.7
42.64% of Polialden	658.4	157.3	387.8	113.3
Subtotal (100% of Conepar)	1,397.8	299.2	761.6	337.0
100% of Proppet	51.1	10.6	14.3	26.2
	1,448.9	309.8	775.9	363.2

Under U.S. GAAP, the total payment of R\$ 1,448.9 made in the acquisition of ESAE and related transactions is divided into payments made to third parties and payments made to companies under common control as follows:

	Common control transactions			Third party transactions		
	Payment made	Book value	Capital distribution	Purchase price	Fair value of net assets	Goodwill
100% of Conepar	381.0	44.1	336.9	1,016.7	255.1	761.6
100% of Proppet	39.1	12.8	26.4	12.0	(2.3)	14.3
	420.2	56.9	363.3	1,028.7	252.9	775.9

Nova Camaçari acquired Conepar through the acquisition of the entire share capital of ESAE and Intercapital and the acquisition of an 11.76% direct interest in Conepar. Nova Camaçari acquired Intercapital for total consideration of R\$ 445.0, of which R\$ 381.0 was paid to members of the Odebrecht Group and the remaining R\$ 64.0 was paid to members of the Mariani Group (Pronor Petroquímica S.A. and Companhia Brasileira de Poliolefinas). The net assets acquired from the Odebrecht Group were valued at a carryover basis of R\$ 12.8, while the net assets acquired from the Mariani Group were valued at fair value of R\$ 16.1.

(ii) OPP PP Transaction (Note 1(b))

Under Brazilian GAAP, since the terms of the exchange of Braskem and OPP PP shares were based on the appraised economic value of each company, the transaction was accounted for on that basis.

Under U.S. GAAP, the common control transaction would be recorded at the book value of OPP PP's consolidated net assets as of July 25, 2001. On that date the difference between consideration paid and the net liabilities of OPP PP under U.S. GAAP was R\$ 1,814.6 and the issuance of Braskem shares to the Odebrecht Group would, therefore, be considered a distribution in that amount.

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

The fair value of the stock issued by the Company for the acquisition of OPP PP was R\$ 1,268.4 on August 16, 2002. At that time OPP PP had a negative carryover book basis of R\$ 546.2 under U.S. GAAP, resulting in a capital distribution of R\$ 1,814.6 under U.S. GAAP. The Company adjusted the Brazilian GAAP shareholders' equity to reflect the U.S. GAAP capital distribution of R\$ 1,814.6 and made corresponding adjustments to deferred charges, property, plant and equipment and goodwill recorded in investments. At December 31, 2003, the residual balances within deferred charges of R\$ 629.8 was represented by cost of R\$ 834.7 and accumulated amortization of R\$ 204.9.

F-48

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001****All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated****(g) Pension benefits**

In determining the pension and other post-retirement benefit obligations for Brazilian GAAP purposes, Brazilian Accounting Standard NPC 26 is effective for financial statements beginning with the year ended December 31, 2001. As permitted by NPC 26, the transitional obligation, which is the difference between a plan's net assets and the projected benefit obligation at that date, was fully recognized as a direct charge to retained earnings. Under U.S. GAAP, SFAS No. 87, *Employer's Accounting for Pensions*, is effective for fiscal years beginning after 1988. As from such date, when an initial transition obligation determined based on an actuarial valuation is recognized, actuarial gains and losses, as well as unexpected variations in plan assets and the projected benefit obligation and the effects of amendments, settlements and other events, would be recorded in accordance with these standards and therefore result in deferral differences. Through 1997, these amounts were treated as non-monetary and were indexed for inflation. U.S. GAAP also requires recognition of an additional minimum liability.

Although the calculation of the funded status is the same since December 31, 2001, differences arise on (i) actuarial gains and losses as there is initially no actuarial gain or loss at December 31, 2001, (ii) recognition of the initial transition obligation and (iii) the minimum liability under U.S. GAAP.

Based on the report of the Company's independent actuaries, the funded status and amounts recorded in the U.S. GAAP condensed balance sheet as at December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the condensed statement of operations for 2003, 2002 and 2001 for our pension obligations to retirees in accordance with SFAS No. 132, *Employer's Disclosures About Pensions and Other Post-Retirement Benefits*, as amended, are as follows:

	2003	2002
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Change in benefit obligation		
Net projected benefit obligation at beginning of year	(258.3)	(228.4)
Service cost	(4.6)	(5.1)
Interest cost	(14.1)	(13.7)
Actuarial loss	(44.5)	(26.9)
Gross benefits paid	16.3	15.8
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Net projected benefit obligation at end of year	(305.2)	(258.3)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

The measurement date for the pension plan was November 30, 2003.

	2003	2002
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Change in plan assets		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	214.2	172.7
Actual return on plan assets	30.6	49.0
Employer contributions	4.0	5.1
Employee contributions	2.7	3.2
Gross benefits paid	(16.3)	(15.8)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	235.2	214.2
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Prepaid pension cost		
Unfunded status at end of year	(70.0)	(44.1)
Unrecognized net actuarial gain	124.1	103.4
Unrecognized net transition obligation		(2.6)
	<u>54.1</u>	<u>56.7</u>
Additional minimum pension liability		
Prepaid pension cost	59.6	62.1
Unrecognized pension obligation		(2.9)
Additional amount recognized in shareholders equity	(120.2)	(97.0)
	<u>(60.6)</u>	<u>(37.8)</u>

	<u>%</u>	
	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Weighted-average assumptions as of December 31		
Discount rate	6.0	6.0
Expected return on plan assets	6.0	6.0
Rate of compensation increase	2.1	2.0
Projected annual inflation rate (added to the above percentages)	5.0	5.0

The charge in the statement of operations is comprised as follows:

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Components of net periodic benefit cost (credit)			
Service cost	4.6	5.1	3.9
Interest cost	14.1	13.7	11.3
Expected return on assets	(11.5)	(10.1)	(11.4)
Amortization of:			
Transition obligation	(2.6)	(2.6)	(2.6)
Actuarial loss			2.0
Employee contributions	(2.7)	(3.7)	(5.2)
	<u>1.9</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>(2.0)</u>

%

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

	Range of Allocation for 2004	Plan Assets at December 31, 2003
Investments targets and composition of plan assets		
Equity securities	20.0 to 30.0	20.7
Real estate	4.0 to 5.9	4.6
Fixed income	63.2 to 75.0	71.1
Loans	3.0 to 5.0	3.6

F-50

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

Because the Company expects a continuing decrease in returns on fixed income investments, it has set new allocation targets for 2004 based on reductions of either federal government securities or fixed income investments and increases in private securities.

Sponsor's contributions in 2004 are estimated at R\$ 4.9.

(h) Earnings per share

Under Brazilian GAAP, net income or loss per share is calculated based on the number of shares outstanding at the balance sheet date retroactively restated for the 20-for-one share split in October 2003 (Note 20(a)) for all periods. Information is disclosed per lot of one thousand shares, because generally this is the minimum number of shares that can be traded on the Brazilian stock exchanges.

Under U.S. GAAP, because the preferred and common shares have different voting and liquidation rights, basic and diluted earnings per share have been calculated using the two-class method, pursuant to SFAS No. 128, Earnings per Share, which provides computation, presentation and disclosure requirements for earnings per share. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share for preferred and common shares according to the dividends to be paid as required by the Company's by-laws and participation rights in undistributed earnings. Basic earnings per common share are computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common and preferred shares outstanding during the year.

The table below presents the determination of U.S. GAAP net income available to common and preferred shareholders and weighted average common and preferred shares outstanding used to calculate basic and diluted earnings per share for each of the years presented under U.S. GAAP.

All references to the number of preferred and common shares and per share amounts have been restated to give retroactive effect to the October 2003 share split (Note 20(a)) for all periods presented.

	December 31, 2003			
	Common Share	Class A Preferred Shares	Class B Preferred Shares	Total
Basic numerator undiluted				
Minimum 6% dividend	42.0	70.9	0.4	113.3
Undistributed earnings allocation	98.5	166.3		264.8
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total undistributed earnings	140.5	237.2	0.4	378.1
Weighted average number of outstanding shares undiluted (millions)	24,974.4	43,273.3	229.2	68,476.9
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Basic earnings per thousand outstanding shares U.S. GAAP (*) (whole reais) R\$ undiluted	5.63	5.48	1.74	
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	
Effect of conversion of class B preferred shares to class A preferred shares	(0.02)	(0.02)		
Effect of conversion of debentures to class A preferred shares	(0.03)			
	<hr/>	<hr/>		
	5.58	5.46		

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

Diluted earnings per thousand outstanding shares U.S.
GAAP (*) (whole reais) R\$

F-51

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

	December 31, 2002	December 31, 2001
	Common Shares	Common Shares
Basic numerator		
Loss from continuing operations available to common shares(*)	(1,144.0)	(471.0)
Weighted average number of common shares (millions)	23,976.7	17,630.9
Basic earnings per thousand outstanding shares U.S. GAAP(*) (whole reais) R\$ undiluted	(47.71)	(26.71)

(*) Common and preferred shareholders do not share in undistributed losses.

(i) Comprehensive income

Under Brazilian GAAP, the concept of comprehensive income is not recognized.

Under U.S. GAAP, SFAS No. 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income, requires the disclosure of comprehensive income. Comprehensive income is comprised of net income and other comprehensive income that include charges or credits directly to equity which are not the result of transactions with owners. For Braskem, the components of comprehensive income are its net income or loss and the changes in minimum pension liability (Note 29(t(iii))).

(j) Income taxes

Under Brazilian GAAP, the deferred income tax asset represents the estimated amount to be recovered.

Under U.S. GAAP, deferred taxes are accrued on all temporary tax differences. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are classified as current or long-term based on the classification of the asset or liability underlying the temporary difference. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities in the same tax jurisdiction are netted rather than presented gross.

For purposes of the U.S. GAAP reconciliation, the adjustment for deferred taxes relates to the U.S. GAAP adjustments. Additional income tax (charges) in the reconciliation benefits relating to other U.S. GAAP adjustments were recognized in the statement of operations under U.S. GAAP of R\$ (20.6), R\$ 12.5 and R\$ (82.9) in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

(k) Tax incentives

Under Brazilian GAAP, the various tax incentives of the Company (in the form of tax reduction or exemption for defined periods) are accounted for directly in a capital reserve account in shareholders' equity.

For U.S. GAAP reconciliation purposes the amount of those incentives has been credited to the statement of operations instead of to a capital reserve.

(l) Sales shipped but not delivered

Under Brazilian GAAP, it is acceptable to record sales revenues either at the date of shipment or at the date that the product is delivered to and accepted by the customer, although the prevalent practice is to record sales at the date of shipment. In 2002, 2001 and 2000 Braskem adopted the shipment date and changed its practice in 2003 to the acceptance date, resulting in a reduction of R\$3.9 in operating profit in 2003.

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001****All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated**

Under U.S. GAAP, and in accordance with SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, sales are normally recorded when legal title passes to the buyer. Accordingly, for U.S. GAAP reconciliation purposes the amount of sales shipped but not delivered at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 has been adjusted in the balance sheet at those dates, with corresponding effects on the results of each of the years presented.

(m) Consolidation of securitization funds and OPP Química

In respect of the accounting treatment for the Braskem's trade receivable securitization program, under Brazilian GAAP, the transfer of receivables to the fund is treated as a sale of receivables and the discount on the sale is immediately recorded in the statement of operations. Under U.S. GAAP, in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 46 Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (revised December 2003), trade receivable securitization funds are variable interest entities and have been consolidated in all periods presented. Consolidation of these entities under U.S. GAAP differs from the previously disclosed U.S. GAAP presentation due to financial expenses related to the sale of trade receivables of R\$ (0.6), R\$ (0.2) and R\$ (1.0) for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Under U.S. GAAP, OPP Química and Braskem were under common control as from July 2001 and OPP Química's results for the first five months of 2002 have been included in the 2002 U.S. GAAP condensed income statement, the net effect of which had been recorded as a capital distribution under U.S. GAAP even though it was legally acquired by Braskem as from June 2002.

In 2001 similar minor consolidation adjustments have been included in the U.S. GAAP statement of operations. The 2002 and 2001 US GAAP condensed statement of operations previously disclosed has been impacted as follows:

	As Previously Disclosed	Adjustments	Year Ended December 31, 2002 As Presented Herein
Net sales revenue	6,073.4	998.4	7,071.8
Cost of sales	(5,135.2)	(785.6)	(5,920.8)
Gross profit	938.2	212.8	1,151.0
Operating income (expenses)	586.9	(164.6)	422.3
Non-operating income (expenses)	(2,454.0)	(408.9)	(2,862.9)
Income tax benefit (expense)	(54.0)	(0.5)	(54.5)
Equity in earnings (losses)	100.5	(92.9)	7.6
Minority interest	183.7	8.8	192.5
Net income (loss)	(698.7)	(445.3)	(1,144.0)

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

	As Previously Disclosed	Adjustments	Year Ended December 31, 2001 As Presented Herein
Net sales revenue	4,143.9	92.1	4,236.0
Cost of sales	(3,458.2)	(60.2)	(3,518.4)
Gross profit	685.7	31.9	717.6
Operating income (expenses)	(109.3)	(80.6)	(189.9)
Non-operating income (expenses)	(578.8)	(7.7)	(586.5)
Income tax benefit (expense)	(105.1)	(7.9)	(113.0)
Equity in earnings (losses)	(244.8)	60.6	(184.2)
Minority interest	(117.7)	2.7	(115.0)
Net income (loss)	(470.0)	(1.0)	(471.0)

Additionally, the previously disclosed condensed U.S. GAAP balance sheet is impacted by the consolidation of securitization funds and reclassification of inventories as follows:

	As Previously Disclosed	Adjustments	At December 31, 2003 As Presented Herein
Cash and cash equivalents	573.3	17.6	590.9
Trade account receivable, net	1,145.1	103.2	1,248.3
Taxes recoverable	347.9	5.7	353.6
Inventories	990.7	(149.8)	840.9
Advances to suppliers		149.8	149.8
Other receivables	114.2	0.2	114.4
Long-term investments	85.8	(24.9)	60.9
Taxes on income payable	17.0	0.1	17.1
Other payable	176.8	1.0	177.8
Quotas subject to mandatory redemption		100.0	100.0
Shareholders equity	7.1	0.7	7.8

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

	As Previously Disclosed	Adjustments	At December 31, 2002 As Presented Herein
Cash and cash equivalents	133.8	104.6	238.4
Trade account receivable, net	895.8	65.4	961.2
Taxes recoverable	655.6	3.5	659.1
Inventories	810.3	(95.6)	714.7
Advances to suppliers		95.6	95.6
Other receivables	79.1	0.5	79.6
Long-term investments	119.7	(19.3)	100.4
Other taxes payable	310.6	0.6	311.2
Debentures	1,190.2	152.7	1,342.9
Shareholders' equity	(416.5)	1.3	(415.2)

(n) Proportional consolidation of jointly-controlled entities

Under Brazilian GAAP, jointly-controlled entities must be consolidated using the proportional consolidation method. Proportional consolidation requires that the share of the assets, liabilities, income and expenses be combined on a line-by-line basis with similar items in the Company's financial statements. Under U.S. GAAP, jointly-controlled entities are recorded under the equity method, except that joint ventures for which the principal financial and operational decisions are jointly controlled by all of the entities' equity holders are permitted to be proportionally consolidated for U.S. GAAP reconciliation purposes. The pro-rated accounts of our jointly-controlled investees have not been proportionally consolidated in the condensed U.S. GAAP balance sheet and statements of operations, except for those of Politeno which meets the U.S. GAAP joint venture requirements.

The following table presents summarized assets and liabilities of Politeno that are proportionally consolidated in the balance sheet in accordance with U.S. GAAP:

	At December 31	
	2003	2002
Balance sheet		
Total current assets	81.3	104.7
Property, plant and equipment	119.1	129.9
Total assets	232.5	260.7
Total current liabilities	21.1	19.4
Total long-term liabilities	5.2	9.1

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

The following table shows summarized income and expenses of Politeno that are proportionally consolidated in the statement of operations in accordance with U.S. GAAP:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Statement of operations			
Net sales	123.8	80.4	106.8
Operating income (loss)	4.2	25.3	12.5
Net income	30.6	15.7	6.7
Cash flows			
Cash flow resulting from operating activities	34.0	13.5	49.3
Cash flow resulting from investing activities	(3.1)	(24.4)	(21.3)
Cash flow resulting from financing activities	(29.7)	(4.3)	(47.0)

(o) Classification of statement of operations line items

Under Brazilian GAAP, in addition to the issues noted above, the classification of certain income and expense items is presented differently from U.S. GAAP. We have recast our statement of operations under Brazilian GAAP to present a condensed statement of operations in accordance with U.S. GAAP (Note 29(t)(ii)). The reclassifications are summarized as follows:

(i) Interest income and interest expense, together with other financial charges, are displayed within operating income in the statement of operations presented in accordance with Brazilian GAAP. These amounts have been reclassified to non-operating income and expenses in the condensed statement of operations in accordance with U.S. GAAP;

(ii) Under Brazilian GAAP, gains and losses on the disposal or impairment of permanent assets are classified as non-operating income (expense). Under U.S. GAAP, gains and losses on the disposal or impairment of property, plant and equipment are classified as an adjustment to operating income;

(iii) Under Brazilian GAAP, charges arising from provision for contingencies are presented in a single line item in operating expense. Under U.S. GAAP, provisions for contingencies are recorded in the statement of operations based on the type of contingency;

(iv) Under Brazilian GAAP equity in income of associated companies, financial expenses and financial income are included in operating income. Under U.S. GAAP financial expenses and financial income are treated as non-operational items and equity in the income of associated companies is recorded net of income taxes after income taxes;

(v) Under Brazilian GAAP, foreign exchange gains and losses may be recorded as financial income or expenses. Under U.S. GAAP foreign exchange gains and losses are recorded as financial income and expenses, respectively; and

(vi) Under Brazilian GAAP shipping and handling costs are deducted from gross revenues, whereas under U.S. GAAP such costs are included in cost of sales.

Table of Contents

BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

(p) Classification of balance sheet line items

Under Brazilian GAAP, the classification of certain balance sheet items is presented differently from U.S. GAAP. We have recast our consolidated balance sheet under Brazilian GAAP to present a condensed consolidated balance sheet in accordance with U.S. GAAP (Note 29(t)(i)). The reclassifications are summarized as follows:

(i) Under U.S. GAAP, certain deferred charges were reclassified to property, plant and equipment, and goodwill on legally merged companies within deferred charges is reclassified to goodwill accordingly to their nature;

(ii) Under U.S. GAAP, certain property, plant and equipment were reclassified to intangible assets, according to their nature;

(iii) Under Brazilian GAAP, deferred income taxes are not netted and assets are shown separately from liabilities. For U.S. GAAP purposes, deferred tax assets and liabilities are netted and classified as current or non-current based on the classification of the underlying temporary difference;

(iv) Under Brazilian GAAP advances to suppliers are classified as inventories, such advances are classified as other current assets under U.S. GAAP;

(v) Under Brazilian GAAP, restricted cash equivalents are recorded based on the liquidity of the asset whereas under U.S. GAAP restricted assets are classified based on the classification of the related liability that has caused the restriction;

(vi) Under Brazilian GAAP, the Company's majority owned independently controlled investment funds are classified separately within other investments whereas under U.S. GAAP the underlying debt securities held by these funds are consolidated, eliminating the entire fund balance against the Company's long-term debt. The amounts consolidated are R\$ 406.5 and R\$ 468.1 at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively; and

(vii) Under Brazilian GAAP, investments in equity investees intended for sale are classified as long-term investments whereas under U.S. GAAP they are classified as investments in equity investees.

(q) Segment reporting

Under Brazilian GAAP, there is no requirement to present disaggregated information with respect to the business segments of an enterprise.

Under U.S. GAAP, SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information", requires that public enterprises disclose certain information about segments on the basis that top management uses to allocate resources among segments and evaluate their performance.

Braskem has the following business segments:

Basic Petrochemicals comprising basic petrochemical production activities and supply of electricity, steam and compressed air to second generation producers. This segment is dependent on Petrobras for supply of raw material.

Polyolefins comprising activities related to the production of polyethylene and polypropylene.

Vinyls comprising activities related is the production of PVC, caustic soda and chlorine. One client represents 11.4%, 10.8% and 19.2% of Vinyl revenues for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

F-57

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001****All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated**

Business Development comprises activities related to the production of other second generation petrochemical products. One client represents 30.9%, 28.8% and 30.1% of Business Development revenues for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

The Company evaluates and manages segment performance based on information generated from its statutory accounting records maintained in accordance with accounting practices adopted in Brazil and reflected in its consolidated and combined financial statements. However, management evaluates jointly controlled companies the purposes of its segment analysis under the equity method as the Company does not control these companies. Certain equity investments are not allocated to any segment.

Information on total segment assets as at December 31, 2003 and 2002, under Brazilian GAAP, is as follows:

	December 31	
	2003	2002
Basic Petrochemicals	5,871.1	6,329.8
Polyolefins	3,367.1	3,580.8
Vinyls	2,551.3	2,667.8
Business Development	598.0	677.3
Other	1,495.5	642.5
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total assets	13,883.0	13,898.2
	<hr/>	<hr/>

Allocation of investments by business segment was not significant in the periods presented.

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

Information on segment results for 2003, 2002 and 2001 is as follows:

	2003								
	Business Segments				Total Segments	Eliminations and adjustments	Braskem consolidated before CVM 247(*)	CVM 247	Braskem consolidated
	Vinyls	Polyolefins	Basic Petrochemicals	Business Development					
Net sales revenue	1,371.8	3,386.8	4,765.3	455.3	9,979.2	(788.3)	9,190.9	944.9	10,135.8
Cost of sales and services rendered	(1,007.0)	(2,719.7)	(4,111.5)	(416.8)	(8,255.0)	913.4	(7,341.6)	(747.7)	(8,089.3)
Gross profit	364.8	667.1	653.8	38.5	1,724.2	125.1	1,849.3	197.2	2,046.5
Operating expenses (income)									
Selling, general and administrative	54.8	139.3	196.0	19.2	409.3	(7.8)	401.5	70.4	471.9
Depreciation and amortization		0.9	9.0	0.5	10.4	177.8	188.2	5.3	193.5
Other, net	(3.7)	(2.6)	(51.1)	(10.0)	(67.4)	16.2	(51.2)	1.5	(49.7)
	51.1	137.6	153.9	9.7	352.3	186.2	538.5	77.2	615.7
Operating income	313.7	529.5	499.9	28.8	1,371.9	(61.1)	1,310.8	120.0	1,430.8

[Additional columns below]

[Continued from above table, first column(s) repeated]

	2003	
	U.S. GAAP Differences	U.S. GAAP
Net sales revenue	(607.6)	9,528.2
Cost of sales and services rendered	426.8	(7,662.5)
Gross profit	(180.8)	1,865.7
Operating expenses (income)		
	41.5	513.4

Selling, general and administrative		
Depreciation and amortization	(179.7)	13.8
Other, net	(2.1)	(51.8)
	<u>(140.3)</u>	<u>475.4</u>
Operating income	(40.5)	1,390.3

(*) CVM 247 refers to proportional consolidation under Brazilian GAAP (Note 4), and Braskem consolidated before CVM 247 includes depreciation at R\$ 309.4 within cost of sales.

F-59

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

	2002								
	Business Segments				Total Segments	Eliminations and adjustments	Braskem consolidated before CVM 247(*)	CVM 247	Braskem consolidated
	Vynyls	Polyolefins	Basic Petrochemicals	Business Development					
Net sales revenue	1,117.8	2,482.3	3,499.1	290.8	7,390.0	(522.4)	6,867.6	709.0	7,576.6
Cost of sales and services rendered	(804.7)	(2,062.4)	(3,006.3)	(246.1)	(6,119.5)	490.6	(5,628.9)	(546.6)	(6,175.5)
Gross profit	313.1	419.9	492.8	44.7	1,270.5	(31.8)	1,238.7	162.4	1,401.1
Operating expenses (income)									
Selling, general and administrative	48.6	135.4	158.1	9.6	351.7	172.0	523.7	54.0	577.7
Depreciation and amortization	2.5	3.1	9.8	0.2	15.6	156.9	172.5	49.9	222.4
Other, net	(3.8)	(3.3)	(84.2)	(0.4)	(91.7)	(1,022.1)	(1,113.8)	(18.9)	(1,132.7)
	47.3	135.2	83.7	9.4	275.6	(693.2)	(417.6)	85.0	(332.6)
Operating income	265.8	284.7	409.1	35.3	994.9	661.4	1,656.3	77.4	1,733.7

[Additional columns below]

[Continued from above table, first column(s) repeated]

	2002	
	U.S. GAAP Differences	U.S. GAAP
Net sales revenue	(504.8)	7,071.8
Cost of sales and services rendered	254.7	(5,920.8)
Gross profit	(250.1)	1,151.0
Operating expenses (income)		
Selling, general and administrative	(57.4)	520.3

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

Depreciation and amortization	(26.0)	196.4
Other, net	(6.3)	(1,139.0)
	<u>(89.7)</u>	<u>(422.3)</u>
Operating income	(160.4)	1,573.3

(*) CVM 247 refers to proportional consolidation under Brazilian GAAP (Note 4), and Braskem consolidated before CVM 247 includes depreciation at R\$ 241.2 within cost of sales.

F-60

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

	2001						Braskem consolidated before CVM 247(*)
	Business Segments				Total Segments	Eliminations and adjustments	
	Vinyls	Polyolefins	Basic Petrochemicals	Business Development			
Net sales revenue	377.5	896.8	3,143.9	72.7	4,490.9	(354.4)	4,136.5
Cost of sales and services rendered	(274.9)	(679.1)	(2,746.5)	(61.4)	(3,761.9)	378.5	(3,383.4)
Gross profit	102.6	217.7	397.4	11.3	729.0	24.1	753.1
Operating expenses (income)							
Selling, general and administrative	5.2	85.8	116.4	2.0	209.4	(28.4)	181.0
Depreciation and amortization	1.5	3.1	6.8		11.4	102.6	114.0
Other, net	(45.8)	(10.4)	(24.9)	1.6	(79.5)	(23.1)	(102.6)
	(39.1)	78.5	98.3	3.6	141.3	51.1	192.4
Operating income	141.7	139.2	299.1	7.7	587.7	(27.0)	560.7

[Additional columns below]

[Continued from above table, first column(s) repeated]

	2001			
	CVM 247	Braskem consolidated	U.S. GAAP Differences	U.S. GAAP
Net sales revenue	323.0	4,459.5	(223.5)	4,236.0
Cost of sales and services rendered	(254.2)	(3,637.6)	119.2	(3,518.4)
Gross profit	68.8	821.9	(104.3)	717.6
Operating expenses (income)				
Selling, general and administrative	29.3	210.3	(31.0)	179.3
	(2.7)	111.3	4.2	115.5

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

Depreciation and amortization				
Other, net	(0.7)	(103.3)	(1.6)	(104.9)
	<u>25.9</u>	<u>218.3</u>	<u>(28.4)</u>	<u>189.9</u>
Operating income	42.9	603.6	(75.9)	527.7

(*) CVM 247 refers to proportional consolidation under Brazilian GAAP (Note 4), and Braskem consolidated before CVM 247 includes depreciation at R\$ 34 within cost of sales.

F-61

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

	Years Ended December 31		
	2003	2002	2001
Domestic sales	7,518.1	5,747.7	3,914.2
Exports from Brazil	2,617.7	1,828.9	545.3
	10,135.8	7,576.6	4,459.5

Information on the geographical composition of the Company's sales is as follows:

	Years Ended December 31		
	2003	2002	2001
Destination of exports from Brazil			
Americas	1,413.6	987.6	358.9
Far East	575.9	402.4	92.3
Europe	523.5	365.8	62.1
Other	104.7	73.1	32.0
	2,617.7	1,828.9	545.3
Total exports	2,617.7	1,828.9	545.3
Domestic sales	7,518.1	5,747.7	3,914.2
	10,135.8	7,576.6	4,459.5

(r) Recently issued accounting standards

In December 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 46 Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, (revised December 2003) (FIN 46R). The primary objectives of FIN 46R are to provide guidance on the identification of entities for which control is achieved through means other than through voting rights (variable interest entities or VIEs) and how to determine when and which business enterprise should consolidate the VIE (the primary beneficiary). This new model for consolidation applies to an entity in which either (1) the equity investors (if any) do not have a controlling financial interest; or (2) the equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance that entity's activities without receiving additional subordinated financial support from other parties. In addition, FIN 46R requires that both the primary beneficiary and all other enterprises with a significant variable interest in a VIE make additional disclosures regarding the nature, purpose, size and activities of the VIE and the enterprise's maximum exposure to loss as a result of its involvement with the VIE.

The implementation date of FIN 46R is the first period ending after December 15, 2003 for Special Purpose Entities (SPEs) and as from January 1, 2004 for previously existing variable interest entities which are not SPEs. FIN 46R may be applied prospectively with a cumulative adjustment as of the date on which it is first applied or by restating previously issued financial statements for one or more years with a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the beginning of the first year restated. The adoption of FIN 46R resulted in the consolidation of trade receivable securitization funds (Note 29(m)).

In May 2003 FASB issued SFAS No. 150 Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity , which establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. It requires that an issuer classify a financial instrument that is within its scope as a liability (or an asset in some circumstances). The

Edgar Filing: BRASKEM SA - Form 20-F/A

FASB decided to make this statement effective shortly after issuance for contracts created or modified after it is issued and for existing contracts at the beginning of the first interim period

F-62

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001****All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated**

beginning after June 15, 2003. The Company does not expect SFAS 150 to have a material impact on the financial statements.

(s) Reconciliation of principal differences between Brazilian GAAP and U.S. GAAP*Net income (loss)*

	Reference	Years Ended December 31		
		2003	2002	2001
Net income (loss) under Brazilian GAAP		215.1	(1,378.7)	(424.5)
Depreciation of additional indexation of permanent assets for 1996 and 1997	29(b)	(33.2)	(36.8)	(40.7)
Capitalized interest	29(c)(i)	34.7	60.0	43.3
Amortization of capitalized interest	29(c)(i)	(38.9)	(38.6)	(35.7)
Deferred charges, net	29(d)	7.5	(78.9)	(21.7)
Business combination adjustments	29(e),(f)	260.1	290.0	75.7
Pension plan	29(g)	(2.2)	(2.6)	5.3
Tax incentives	29(k)	28.8	0.4	28.9
Sales shipped but not delivered	29(l)	3.9	(2.9)	(1.0)
Consolidation of variable interest entities	29(m)	(0.6)	(0.2)	(1.0)
Effects of U.S. GAAP adjustments on equity investees		(44.8)	37.6	(14.0)
Deferred income tax on adjustments above		(20.6)	12.5	(82.9)
Minority interest on adjustments above		(31.7)	(5.8)	(2.7)
Net income (loss) under U.S. GAAP		378.1	(1,144.0)	(471.0)

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

Shareholders equity

	Reference	December 31	
		2003	2002
Shareholders equity under Brazilian GAAP		2,112.6	1,821.8
Additional indexation of permanent assets for 1996 and 1997	29(b)	1,135.8	1,138.9
Depreciation of additional indexation of permanent assets for 1996 and 1997	29(b)	(336.9)	(306.8)
Capitalized interest	29(c)(i)	451.9	417.3
Amortization of capitalized interest	29(c)(i)	(254.5)	(215.6)
Deferred charges, net	29(d)	(382.0)	(389.6)
Business combination adjustments	29(e)	(473.2)	(705.7)
Distributions to shareholders	29(f)	(2,162.6)	(2,177.8)
Pension plan	29(g)	(9.7)	15.3
Sales shipped but not delivered	29(l)		(3.9)
Consolidation of variable interest entities	29(m)	0.7	1.3
Equity investees		(43.9)	0.7
Deferred income tax adjustments		(151.2)	(163.6)
Minority interest on adjustments above		120.8	152.5
Shareholders equity under U.S. GAAP		7.8	(415.2)

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

(t) U.S. GAAP condensed financial information

Based on the reconciling items and discussion above, Braskem's consolidated balance sheet, statement of operations and statement of changes in shareholders' equity under U.S. GAAP have been recast in condensed format as follows:

(i) Condensed balance sheet under U.S. GAAP

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	590.9	238.4
Short-term investments	30.8	18.0
Trade accounts receivable, net	1,248.3	961.2
Taxes recoverable	353.6	659.1
Inventories	840.9	714.7
Dividends receivable	7.1	17.6
Prepaid expenses	82.6	102.2
Advances to suppliers	149.8	95.6
Other receivables	114.4	79.6
	<u>3,418.4</u>	<u>2,886.4</u>
Investments	363.1	472.0
	<u>818.2</u>	<u>807.7</u>
Goodwill, net		
Property, plant and equipment	4,936.4	5,095.6
	<u>1,522.1</u>	<u>1,270.0</u>
Other noncurrent assets		
Receivables from related parties	32.1	32.4
Long term investments	60.9	100.4
Prepaid expenses	82.1	35.1
Inventories, net	115.6	82.2
Intangible assets	19.3	57.2
Deferred charges, net	246.6	131.6
Other taxes recoverable	626.5	675.6
Deferred income tax, net	105.1	
Restricted deposits for legal proceedings	181.2	136.4
Other receivables	52.7	19.1
	<u>1,522.1</u>	<u>1,270.0</u>
Total assets	<u>11,058.2</u>	<u>10,531.7</u>

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

	2003	2002
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Liabilities and shareholders equity		
Current liabilities		
Suppliers	1,124.6	1,604.3
Salaries and payroll charges	70.3	63.9
Fair market value of derivative financial instruments	4.1	21.1
Taxes on income payable	17.1	1.1
Other taxes payable	108.9	311.2
Short-term debt, including current portion of long-term debt	2,515.3	2,405.7
Debentures	349.0	32.1
Related parties	10.9	8.2
Advances for credits rights		61.6
Advances from customers	192.4	96.4
Dividends payable	8.8	16.9
Other	177.8	106.1
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	4,579.2	4,728.6
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Long-term liabilities		
Long-term debt	2,826.1	3,199.9
Debentures	1,143.0	1,342.9
Advances for credits rights	113.4	113.4
Quotas subject to mandatory redemption	100.0	
Related parties	266.1	264.7
Deferred income tax		45.5
Minimum pension liability	60.6	40.7
Taxes and contributions payable	1,145.3	829.0
Other	198.9	102.1
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	5,853.4	5,938.2
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Minority interest	617.8	280.1
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Shareholders equity	7.8	(415.2)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	11,058.2	10,531.7
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

(ii) *Condensed statement of operations under U.S. GAAP*

	2003	2002	2001
Gross sales	11,487.0	8,833.8	5,480.2
Value-added and other taxes, discounts and returns	(1,958.8)	(1,762.0)	(1,244.2)
Net sales revenue	9,528.2	7,071.8	4,236.0
Cost of sales and services rendered	(7,662.5)	(5,920.8)	(3,518.4)
Gross profit	1,865.7	1,151.0	717.6
Operating income (expenses)			
Selling, general and administrative	(513.4)	(520.3)	(179.3)
Depreciation and amortization	(13.8)	(196.4)	(115.5)
Zero-rated tax credit		1,030.1	
Other, net	51.8	108.9	104.9
Operating income	1,390.3	1,573.3	527.7
Non-operating income (expenses)			
Financial income	58.7	565.1	267.8
Financial expenses	(770.8)	(3,354.0)	(737.1)
Other	27.8	(74.0)	(117.2)
Income (loss) before income tax, equity in results of associated companies and minority interest	706.0	(1,289.6)	(58.8)
Income tax benefit (expense)			
Current	(42.9)	(67.0)	(32.7)
Deferred	(120.9)	12.5	(80.3)
Income (loss) before equity in results of associated companies and minority interest	542.2	(1,344.1)	(171.8)
Equity in earnings (losses) of associated companies	36.7	7.6	(184.2)
Minority interest	(200.8)	192.5	(115.0)
Net income (loss) for the year	378.1	(1,144.0)	(471.0)

Table of Contents**BRASKEM S.A. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
All amounts in millions of reais, unless otherwise indicated

(iii) Condensed changes in shareholders' equity under U.S. GAAP

	Years Ended December 31	
	2003	2002
At beginning of the year	(415.2)	291.3
Net income (loss)	378.1	(1,144.0)
Changes in minimum pension liability	(17.1)	10.2
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total comprehensive income	361.0	(1,133.8)
Capital increase	39.7	643.8
Contributing from (distribution to) shareholders	15.1	(214.6)
Sales (acquisitions) of treasury shares	7.2	17.5
Dividends		(19.4)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
At end of the year	7.8	(415.2)
	<hr/>	<hr/>

F-68