

Halo Technology Holdings, Inc.

Form S-4

April 05, 2006

Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 5, 2006
Registration No. 333-

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington D.C. 20549

FORM S-4
REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

HALO TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS, INC.
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

NEVADA <i>(State Or Other Jurisdiction Of Incorporation Or Organization)</i>	7372 <i>(Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code Number)</i>	88-0467845 <i>(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)</i>
--	--	--

HALO TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS, INC.
200 Railroad Avenue, Third Floor
Greenwich, Connecticut 06830
(203) 422-2950
(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant's principal executive offices)

Ernest Mysogland, Esq.
HALO TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS, INC.
200 Railroad Avenue, Third Floor
Greenwich, Connecticut 06830
(203) 422-2950
(Name, address, including zip code and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)
Copies to:

Todd E. Wille
President and Chief Executive Officer
Unify Corporation
2101 Arena Blvd., Suite 100
Sacramento, California 95834
(916) 928-6400

Kevin A. Coyle, Esq.
DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary US LLP
400 Capitol Mall, Suite 2400
Sacramento, California 95814
(916) 930-3200

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to public: As soon as practicable after the effective date of this Registration Statement and all other conditions under the merger agreement (described in the proxy statement/prospectus herein) are satisfied or waived.

If the securities being registered on this Form are being offered in connection with the formation of a holding company and there is compliance with General Instruction G, check the following box. ☐

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. ☐

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. ☐

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

Title of Each Class of Securities to be Registered	Amount to be Registered	Proposed Maximum Offering Price Per Share	Proposed Maximum Aggregate Offering Price	Amount of Registration Fee
Common Stock, par value \$0.00001 per share	14,619,070(1)	Not Applicable	\$12,377,703(2)	\$1,324.41(3)

- (1) The number of shares being registered is based upon (x) an estimate of the maximum number of shares of common stock, par value \$0.00001 per share, of Unify Corporation (Unify) presently outstanding or issuable or expected to be issued in connection with the merger of Unify Corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of the registrant including shares issuable upon the exercise of Unify options and warrants prior to the date the merger is expected to be consummated and multiplied by (y) the exchange ratio of 0.437 common shares, par value \$0.00001 per share, of the registrant, for each share of common stock of Unify.
- (2) Estimated solely for the purpose of calculating the registration fee pursuant to Rule 457(f) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The proposed maximum aggregate offering price is the product of (x) \$0.37 (the average of the high and low prices of Unify common stock, as quoted on the Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board on March 31, 2006), and (y) 33,453,250, the estimated maximum number of shares of Unify common stock that may be exchanged for the common shares of the registrant being registered.
- (3) Calculated by multiplying the proposed maximum aggregate offering price of securities to be registered by 0.000107.

The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

Table of Contents

The information in this proxy statement/ prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell the securities offered by this proxy statement/ prospectus until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This proxy statement/ prospectus does not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities in any jurisdiction where an offer or solicitation is not permitted.

PRELIMINARY SUBJECT TO COMPLETION DATED APRIL 5, 2006

**Proxy Statement for Special Meeting of Stockholders of
UNIFY CORPORATION**

**Prospectus for Up to 14,619,070 Shares of Common Stock of
HALO TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS, INC.**

MERGER PROPOSED YOUR VOTE IS VERY IMPORTANT

To the Holders of Unify common stock:

Halo Technology Holdings, Inc. (Halo) and Unify Corporation (Unify) have entered into an agreement and plan of merger pursuant to which Halo will acquire Unify if the conditions to the merger are met. The combination of Halo and Unify is expected to substantially strengthen Halo's suite of enterprise software offerings while providing Unify with access to greater resources, a larger installed base of customers and significant product synergies with several of the Halo portfolio companies.

If the merger is completed, Unify will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of Halo. At the effective time of the merger (the Effective Time), each issued and outstanding share of Unify common stock (other than those exercising appraisal rights) shall be converted into the right to receive 0.437 of shares of Halo common stock (the Exchange Ratio). Further, each outstanding and unexercised Unify stock option that has an exercise price of less than \$1.00 per share shall become and represent an option to purchase shares of Halo common stock as adjusted for the Exchange Ratio. All other outstanding Unify options shall be cancelled at the Effective Time.

The value of the merger consideration to be received in exchange for each share of Unify common stock will fluctuate with the market price of the Halo common stock. Based on the closing sale price for Halo's common stock on March 14, 2006 (the day of public announcement of the proposed merger), the 0.437 Exchange Ratio represented approximately \$0.65 in value for each share of Unify common stock. Based on the closing sale price of the Halo common stock on March 29, 2006, the last trading day before the printing of this proxy statement/ prospectus for which it was practicable to obtain this information, the 0.437 exchange ratio represented approximately \$0.53 in value for each share of Unify common stock. Upon completion of the merger, Unify's former stockholders will own approximately 62% of the then outstanding Halo common stock, based on the number of shares of Halo and Unify outstanding on March 23, 2006. However, it is a condition to closing the merger that the holders of outstanding shares of Halo's preferred stock and holders of certain Halo convertible promissory notes convert these securities into shares of common stock of Halo. Therefore, if such conditions are met, upon completion of the merger (assuming that no shares have yet been issued in the InfoNow transaction described herein), Unify's former stockholders will own approximately 29% of the then outstanding Halo common stock based on the number of shares of Unify outstanding on March 23, 2006 and the number of shares of Halo estimated to be outstanding upon the conversion of preferred stock and certain convertible notes. Halo's shareholders will continue to own their existing shares, which will not be affected by the merger. Halo common shares are listed on the OTC/ BB under the symbol HALO . Unify common stock is listed on the OTC/ BB under the symbol UNFY. We urge you to obtain current market quotations for the shares of Halo and Unify.

YOUR VOTE IS VERY IMPORTANT. The proposed merger cannot be completed unless it is approved by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of Unify common stock. Whether or not you plan to attend the special meeting of Unify stockholders, please take the time to vote by completing and mailing the enclosed proxy card. If you sign, date and mail your proxy card without indicating how you want to vote, your proxy will be counted as a vote in favor of the merger. If you do not return your card, or you do not instruct your broker how to vote any shares held for you in street name, it will have the same effect as a vote against the merger. If you decide to attend the special meeting and wish to change your proxy vote, you may do so by voting in person at the meeting. Please note,

however, that if your shares are held of record by a broker, bank or other nominee and you wish to vote in person at the special meeting, you must obtain from the record holder a proxy issued in your name.

Unify's board of directors has approved and adopted the merger agreement and determined that the merger is advisable, fair to and in the best interests of Unify and its stockholders and recommends that Unify stockholders vote FOR adoption of the merger agreement.

In lieu of receiving the merger consideration, Unify stockholders who properly preserve their rights are entitled under Delaware law to an appraisal of and payment for their shares of Unify common stock if the merger is completed.

Only stockholders who hold shares of Unify common stock at the close of business on _____, 2006 will be entitled to vote at the special meeting. If the merger agreement is adopted by the Unify stockholders, the parties intend to close the merger as soon as possible after the special meeting and after all of the other conditions to closing the merger are satisfied or waived, if permissible under applicable law.

If the proposed merger is completed, you will be sent written instructions for exchanging your certificates of Unify common stock for the merger consideration. Please do not send in your certificates until you have received these instructions.

This proxy statement/ prospectus explains the merger in greater detail and provides you with detailed information concerning Halo, Unify and the special meeting. Please give all of the information contained in this proxy statement/ prospectus your careful attention. In particular, you should carefully consider the discussion of the risk factors relating to the proposed merger in the section entitled Risk Factors beginning on page 29 of this proxy statement/ prospectus.

On behalf of Unify's board of directors, I thank you for your support and urge you to **VOTE FOR ADOPTION** of the merger agreement.

Sincerely,

Steven D. Whiteman
Chairman

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of the securities to be issued in the merger or determined if this proxy statement/ prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this proxy statement/ prospectus is _____, 2006. This proxy statement/ prospectus and the form of proxy are first being mailed to the stockholders of Unify on or about _____, 2006.

Table of Contents

UNIFY CORPORATION
2101 Arena Blvd., Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95834
NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
TO BE HELD _____, 2006

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a special meeting of stockholders of Unify Corporation, a Delaware corporation, will be held on _____, 2006 at _____ at _____ a.m. local time, for the following purposes:

1. To consider and vote upon a proposal to adopt the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of March 14, 2006, by and among Warp Technology Holdings, Inc., operating under the name Halo Technology Holdings, UCA Merger Sub Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Halo, and Unify Corporation, as described in more detail in the proxy statement/ prospectus that accompanies this notice.

2. To consider and vote on a proposal to authorize the proxy holders to vote to adjourn or postpone the special meeting, in their sole discretion, for the purpose of soliciting additional votes for the adoption of the merger agreement.

3. To transact such other business as may properly come before the special meeting.

Unify's board of directors has fixed the close of business on _____, 2006 as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the special meeting and at any adjournments or postponements thereof. All stockholders are cordially invited to attend the special meeting, but only stockholders of record on _____, 2006 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the special meeting. A list of such stockholders will be available for inspection at the special meeting and at Unify's principal executive offices during ordinary business hours for the ten-day period prior to the special meeting. Adoption of the merger agreement will require the affirmative vote of Unify stockholders representing a majority of the outstanding shares of Unify common stock entitled to vote at the special meeting. Authorizing the proxy holders to vote to adjourn or postpone the special meeting for the purpose of soliciting additional votes for the adoption of the merger agreement will require the affirmative vote of Unify stockholders representing a majority of the shares of Unify common stock present and entitled to vote at the special meeting.

The board of directors of Unify has determined that the merger is advisable, fair to and in the best interests of the Unify stockholders and recommends that you vote to adopt the merger agreement and for the other matters proposed for approval at the special meeting. The affirmative vote of a majority of the outstanding shares of Unify common stock on the record date is required to adopt the merger agreement.

Unify stockholders have the right to dissent from the merger and obtain payment in cash of the fair value of their shares of common stock under applicable provisions of Delaware law. In order to perfect dissenters' rights, stockholders must give written demand for appraisal of their shares before the taking of the vote on the merger at the special meeting and must not vote in favor of the merger. A copy of the applicable Delaware statutory provision is included as Annex D to the attached proxy statement/ prospectus and a summary of this provision can be found in the section entitled "Appraisal Rights for Unify Stockholders" beginning on page 74 of the attached proxy statement/ prospectus.

Please do not send your stock certificates in at this time. If the merger is completed, you will be sent instructions regarding the surrender of your stock certificates.

By Order of the Board of Directors:

Steven D. Whiteman
Chairman

_____, 2006
Sacramento, California

Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting in person, please complete, date, sign and promptly return the enclosed proxy in the enclosed envelope, which requires no postage. You may revoke your proxy at any time before the vote is taken by delivering to the secretary of Unify a written revocation or a proxy with a later date or by voting your shares in person at the annual meeting. Please note, however, that if your shares are held of record by a broker, bank or other nominee and you wish to vote in person at the special meeting, you must obtain from the record holder a proxy issued in your name.

EACH VOTE IS IMPORTANT. PLEASE COMPLETE, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN YOUR PROXY CARD.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MERGER</u>	1
<u>SUMMARY OF THE PROXY STATEMENT/ PROSPECTUS</u>	5
<u>SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	12
<u>UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	12
<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	29
<u>Risks Related to the Merger</u>	29
<u>Risks Related to Halo's Business</u>	34
<u>Risk Factors Related to Halo's Acquisition Strategy</u>	38
<u>Additional Risk Factors Related to the Business of Halo's Operating Subsidiaries</u>	39
<u>FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>	46
<u>THE SPECIAL MEETING OF UNIFY STOCKHOLDERS</u>	47
<u>Date, Time and Place of the Special Meeting</u>	47
<u>Matters to be Considered at the Special Meeting</u>	47
<u>Shares Entitled to Vote</u>	48
<u>Quorum</u>	48
<u>Voting Rights; Vote Required for Approval</u>	48
<u>Voting of Proxies; Revocation of Proxies</u>	48
<u>Certain Beneficial Owners; Voting Agreement</u>	48
<u>Other Business</u>	49
<u>Quorum; Broker Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes</u>	49
<u>Expenses of Solicitation</u>	49
<u>Householding</u>	49
<u>Assistance</u>	50
<u>Board Recommendation</u>	50
<u>THE MERGER</u>	51
<u>General Description of the Merger</u>	51
<u>Background of the Merger</u>	51
<u>Halo's Reasons for the Merger</u>	55
<u>Unify's Reasons for the Merger</u>	56
<u>Opinion of Douglas Curtis & Allyn LLC</u>	59
<u>Completion and Effectiveness of the Merger</u>	67
<u>Operations Following the Merger</u>	68
<u>Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger</u>	68
<u>Stock Options and Warrants</u>	69
<u>Stockholder Agreement</u>	69
<u>Indemnification and Insurance</u>	70
<u>Unify Common Stock Ownership</u>	71
<u>Regulatory Matters</u>	72
<u>Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger</u>	72
<u>Accounting Treatment</u>	74
<u>Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board Listing of Halo Common Stock</u>	74
<u>APPRAISAL RIGHTS FOR UNIFY STOCKHOLDERS</u>	74

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>THE MERGER AGREEMENT</u>	78
The Merger	78
Timing of Closing and Effective Time	78
Merger Consideration	78
Exchange Procedures	79
Representations and Warranties	79
Covenants	81
Conditions to the Merger	82
No Solicitation	84
<u>Termination</u>	85
Effects of Termination	85
Termination Fee	85
Expenses	86
Amendment, Extensions, Waivers	86
<u>AGREEMENTS RELATING TO THE MERGER</u>	86
<u>Stockholder Agreements</u>	86
<u>Ownership of Halo Following the Merger</u>	87
<u>CERTAIN INFORMATION CONCERNING HALO</u>	87
<u>Historical Background</u>	87
<u>The Acquisition of Warp Solutions</u>	87
<u>The Upstream Merger and Name Change</u>	87
<u>The Acquisition of Spider Software, Inc.</u>	87
<u>Acquisition of Gupta Technologies, LLC</u>	88
<u>Acquisition of Kenosia Corporation</u>	88
<u>Acquisition of Five Enterprise Software Companies</u>	88
<u>Acquisition of Empagio</u>	89
<u>Acquisition of ECI</u>	90
<u>Agreement to Acquire InfoNow</u>	90
<u>Business of Halo</u>	91
<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION</u>	95
<u>Recent Accounting Pronouncements</u>	95
<u>Critical Accounting Policies</u>	96
<u>Results of Operations</u>	98
<u>Net Operating Loss Carryforwards</u>	101
<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	101
<u>Recent Developments</u>	105
<u>MANAGEMENT</u>	108
<u>Directors and Executive Officers</u>	108
<u>Other Executive Officers of Halo</u>	109
<u>Audit Committee and Financial Expert</u>	111
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	111
<u>Compensation Committee and Compensation Report</u>	111
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	112

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Options Granted in Last Fiscal Year</u>	113
<u>Aggregated Option Exercises in Last Fiscal Year and Fiscal Year-End Option Values</u>	113
<u>Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) Awards Table</u>	113
<u>Compensation of Directors</u>	113
<u>Employment Contracts, Termination of Employment and Change in Control Arrangements</u>	113
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	116
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT</u>	118
<u>DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTIES</u>	123
<u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	123
<u>MARKET PRICE OF AND DIVIDENDS ON REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u>	123
<u>Holders</u>	124
<u>Dividends</u>	124
<u>Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans</u>	125
<u>CERTAIN INFORMATION CONCERNING THE MERGER SUB</u>	125
<u>CERTAIN INFORMATION CONCERNING UNIFY</u>	125
<u>Description of Business</u>	125
<u>Competition</u>	130
<u>Employees</u>	131
<u>Description of Property</u>	131
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	131
<u>Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	131
<u>CERTAIN COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u>	141
<u>Equity Compensation Plan Information</u>	141
<u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u>	142
<u>COMPARISON OF STOCKHOLDER RIGHTS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MATTERS</u>	143
<u>Size of Board of Directors</u>	143
<u>Cumulative Voting</u>	144
<u>Power to Call Special Stockholders Meeting</u>	144
<u>Dissolution</u>	144
<u>Removal of Directors</u>	144
<u>Filling Vacancies on the Board of Directors</u>	145
<u>Voting Requirements to Amend Charter Documents and Bylaws</u>	145
<u>Inspection of Stockholders List</u>	146
<u>Dividends</u>	146
<u>Transactions Involving Officers or Directors</u>	146
<u>Limitation of Liability of Directors and Indemnification</u>	147
<u>Business Combinations/ Reorganizations</u>	148
<u>Appraisal or Dissenters Rights</u>	150
<u>Rights Plan</u>	150
<u>DESCRIPTION OF HALO SECURITIES</u>	151
<u>Common Stock</u>	151
<u>Preferred Stock</u>	151

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</u>	153
<u>Legal Matters</u>	153
<u>Experts</u>	153
<u>Stockholder Proposals</u>	154
<u>Where You Can Find More Information</u>	154
<u>Information if Proxies, Consents or Authorizations are not to be Solicited or in an Exchange Offer</u>	155
<u>MISCELLANEOUS</u>	155
<u>INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u>	F-1

AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF MERGER, DATED AS OF MARCH 14, 2006, BY AND AMONG HALO TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS, INC., UCA MERGER SUB, INC. AND UNIFY, INC	ANNEX A
OPINION OF DOUGLAS, CURTIS & ALLYN LLC	ANNEX B
FORM OF STOCKHOLDER AGREEMENT	ANNEX C
DELAWARE GENERAL CORPORATION LAW SECTION 262	ANNEX D

Table of Contents

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MERGER

The following are some questions that you, as a stockholder of Unify, may have regarding the merger and the other matters being considered at the special meeting and brief answers to those questions. We urge you to read carefully the remainder of this proxy statement/ prospectus, including the documents attached to this proxy statement/ prospectus, because the information in this section does not provide all the information that might be important to you with respect to the merger and the other matters being considered at the Unify special meeting. Additional important information is also contained in the annexes that are incorporated by reference in this proxy statement/ prospectus.

Q: Why am I receiving this proxy statement/ prospectus?

A: Unify and Halo have agreed to the acquisition of Unify by Halo pursuant to the terms of a merger agreement that is described in this proxy statement/ prospectus. A copy of the merger agreement is attached to this proxy statement/ prospectus as Annex A. In order to complete the merger, Unify stockholders must approve and adopt the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby. This proxy statement/ prospectus contains important information about the merger, the merger agreement and the special meeting, which you should read carefully. The enclosed voting materials allow you to vote your shares without attending the special meeting. Your vote is very important. We encourage you to vote as soon as possible. Halo stockholders are not required to vote to approve and adopt the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby. Halo is not asking its stockholders for a proxy and Halo stockholders are requested not to send us a proxy.

Q: What is the purpose of this document?

A: This document serves as both a proxy statement of Unify and a prospectus of Halo. As a proxy statement, it is provided to you because Unify's board of directors is soliciting your proxy for use at the Unify special meeting of stockholders called to consider and vote on the merger agreement. As a prospectus, it is provided to you because Halo is offering to exchange shares of its common stock for shares of Unify common stock in the merger.

Q: What will be the impact of the merger?

A: If the merger is completed, Unify will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of Halo. By becoming part of Halo, which is a holding company whose subsidiaries operate enterprise software and information technology businesses, Unify's ability to develop software, provide services, market its services and expand its business is expected to be enhanced. However, Unify will also become part of a much larger enterprise of which Unify management will not have control, and the ability of Unify to achieve positive results for its stockholders will depend on the success of Halo, and not of Unify as a separate company. Descriptions of anticipated impact of the merger, as well as the balance of this proxy statement/ prospectus, contain forward-looking statements about events that are not certain to occur as described, or at all, and you should not place undue reliance on these statements. Please carefully read the section entitled "Forward-Looking Statements" beginning on page 46 of this proxy statement/ prospectus. Halo's business is subject to risks, the occurrence of which may also impact such forward-looking statements. You should read the section entitled "Risk Factors" beginning on page 29 of this proxy statement/ prospectus.

Q: Why are Halo and Unify proposing the merger?

A: To review the reasons for the merger, see the sections entitled "The Merger - Halo's Reasons for the Merger" and "The Merger - Unify's Reasons for the Merger" beginning on pages 55 and 56, respectively, of this proxy statement/ prospectus.

Q: What will happen in the merger?

A: In the merger, UCA Merger Sub, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Halo, will merge with and into Unify, with Unify continuing after the merger as the surviving entity and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Halo.

Table of Contents

Q: As a Unify stockholder, what will I receive in the merger?

A: If the merger is completed, you will receive 0.437 shares of Halo common stock for each share of Unify common stock you own as the merger consideration. Certain outstanding options to purchase shares of Unify common stock and outstanding warrants to purchase shares of Unify common stock will also be converted into the right to acquire shares of Halo common stock. The merger consideration is more fully described in the sections of this proxy statement/ prospectus titled "The Merger Agreement", "Merger Consideration; Stock Payment", and "Common Stock Options and Warrants" and in the merger agreement, which is attached to this proxy statement/prospectus as Annex A.

Q: What are the principal risks relating to the merger?

A: If all of the conditions to the merger are not met, the merger may not occur and Halo and Unify may lose the intended benefits of the merger. Even if the merger is completed, the anticipated benefits of combining Halo and Unify may not be realized. Halo may have difficulty integrating Unify and may incur substantial costs in connection with the integration. The merger may result in the loss of customers and/or a drop in Halo's stock price. Halo may not be able to service its current debt obligations. If the merger fails to qualify as a tax-free reorganization, you will recognize a gain or loss on your Unify shares. These and other risks are explained in the section entitled "Risk Factors - Risks Related to the Merger" beginning on page 29 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: Can the value of the transaction change between now and the time the merger is completed?

A: Yes. While the exchange rate is fixed at 0.437 shares of Halo common stock for each share of Unify stock, the value of each share to you will be determined based on the Halo stock price from time to time. Each holder of Unify stock will receive 0.437 shares of Halo stock. We do not know what the Halo common stock will be worth at the time of closing or thereafter, and you should obtain a current quotation for Halo common stock before voting on the merger. See the sections entitled "The Merger Agreement", "Merger Consideration; Stock Payment", and "Common Stock Options and Warrants" beginning on page 78 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: As a holder of options or warrants to purchase Unify common stock, what will I receive in the merger?

A: Each outstanding option to acquire Unify common stock with a per share exercise price less than \$1.00 (whether or not then vested) that remains outstanding immediately prior to consummation of the merger will be converted into an equivalent Halo stock option with the number of shares and option price adjusted to reflect the Exchange Ratio. Each outstanding warrant to acquire Unify common stock will be converted into a warrant to purchase the number of shares of Halo common stock determined by multiplying the number of Unify common shares covered by the option by the Exchange Ratio, with an exercise price per warrant share of Halo common stock of \$1.836 irrespective of the Halo common stock price at the Effective Time of the merger. See the sections of this proxy statement/ prospectus titled "The Merger Agreement", "Stock Payment", and "Common Stock Options and Warrants" beginning on page 78 of this proxy statement/ prospectus and in the merger agreement, attached to this proxy statement/ prospectus as Annex A. Each outstanding option to acquire Unify common stock with an exercise price equal to or greater than \$1.00 (whether or not then vested) that remains outstanding immediately prior to the consummation of the merger will be cancelled.

Q: When and where will the special meeting take place?

A: The Unify special meeting is scheduled to take place at _____ a.m., local time, on _____, 2006 at the _____, _____, _____.

Q: Who is entitled to vote at the special meeting?

A: Holders of record of Unify common stock as of the close of business on _____, 2006, referred to as the record date, are entitled to vote at the special meeting. Each stockholder has one vote for each share of Unify common stock that the stockholder owns on the record date.

Table of Contents

Q: What vote is required to adopt the merger agreement?

A: The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Unify common stock outstanding as of the record date is the only vote required to adopt the merger agreement. No vote is required of the Halo stockholders.

Q: If my shares are held in street name by my broker or bank, will my broker or bank automatically vote my shares for me?

A: No, your broker or bank will not be able to vote your shares without instructions from you. You should instruct your broker or bank to vote your shares by following the instructions your broker or bank provides. If you do not instruct your broker or bank, they will not have the discretion to vote your shares.

Q: What are the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger to U.S. holders of Unify common stock?

A: The merger has been structured to qualify as a tax-free reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). Assuming the merger qualifies as a Code Section 368 reorganization, U.S. holders of Unify common stock will not recognize gain or loss for United States federal income tax purposes upon the exchange of shares of Unify common stock for Halo common shares.

Tax matters are very complicated, and the tax consequences of the merger applicable to a particular stockholder will depend in part on each stockholder's circumstances. Accordingly, we urge you to consult your own tax advisor for a full understanding of the tax consequences of the merger to you, including the applicability and effect of federal, state, local and foreign income and other tax laws.

For more information, please see the section entitled The Merger Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger beginning on page 72 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: Can the Merger Agreement be Terminated Before Closing?

A: Yes, there are several ways the merger agreement can be terminated before closing, including if the merger is not consummated by September 30, 2006, and there are several conditions to closing (including Halo raising an additional \$2 million in equity funding), which, if not met, could result in termination of the merger agreement.

Q: How does the Unify board of directors recommend that Unify stockholders vote?

A: Unify's board of directors recommends that Unify stockholders vote FOR the adoption of the merger agreement. Because consummation of the merger is conditioned on Halo raising at least \$2 million in equity funding and because one of our directors, Robert J. Majteles, has an agreement with Special Situation Funds, a Unify investor that is also expected to provide that financing. Mr. Majteles abstained from voting on the merger. Other than Mr. Majteles' abstention, the Unify board of directors recommendation was unanimous.

Q: What do I need to do now?

A: After carefully reading and considering the information contained in this proxy statement/prospectus, please mail your signed proxy card in the enclosed return envelope as soon as possible so that your shares may be represented at the special meeting. You may also attend the special meeting and vote in person. If your shares are held in street name by your broker or bank, your broker or bank will vote your shares only if you provide instructions on how to vote. You should follow the directions provided by your broker or bank regarding how to instruct your

broker or bank to vote your shares.

Q: What if I do not vote, do not fully complete my proxy card or fail to instruct my broker?

A: It is very important for you to vote. If you do not submit a proxy or instruct your broker how to vote your shares if your shares are held in street name, and you do not vote in person at the special meeting, the effect will be the same as if you voted **AGAINST** the adoption of the merger agreement. If you submit a signed proxy without specifying the manner in which you would like your

Table of Contents

shares to be voted, your shares will be voted **FOR** the adoption of the merger agreement. However, if your shares are held in street name and you do not instruct your broker how to vote your shares, your broker will not vote your shares, such failure to vote being referred to as a broker non-vote, which will have the same effect as voting **AGAINST** the adoption of the merger agreement. You should follow the directions provided by your broker regarding how to instruct your broker to vote your shares in order to ensure that your shares will be voted at the special meeting.

Q: Can I change my vote after I have delivered my proxy?

A: Yes. You may change your vote at any time before the vote takes place at the special meeting. To change your vote, you may (1) submit a new proxy card bearing a later date by mail, or (2) send a signed written notice bearing a date later than the date of the proxy to the Secretary of Unify stating that you would like to revoke your proxy. You may also change your vote by attending the special meeting and voting in person, although your attendance alone will not revoke your proxy. However, if you elect to vote in person at the special meeting and your shares are held by a broker, bank or other nominee, you must bring to the meeting a legal proxy from the broker, bank or other nominee authorizing you to vote the shares.

Q: Will a proxy solicitor be used?

A: No.

Q: Do I need to attend the special meeting in person?

A: No. It is not necessary for you to attend the special meeting to vote your shares if Unify has previously received your proxy, although you are welcome to attend.

Q: Should I send in my stock certificates now?

A: No. After the merger is completed, _____, acting the exchange agent, will send you instructions (including a letter of transmittal) explaining how to exchange your shares of Unify common stock for the appropriate number of shares of Halo common stock. Please do not send in your stock certificates with your proxy.

Q: When do you expect to complete the merger?

A: We are working to complete the merger as promptly as practicable after the special meeting. However, because the merger is subject to closing conditions, we cannot predict the exact timing.

Q: Will I have appraisal rights as a result of the merger?

A: If you do not wish to participate in the merger and wish to exercise your appraisal rights, you must follow the requirements of Delaware law. A copy of the applicable Delaware statutory provision is included as Annex D to this proxy statement/ prospectus and a summary of this provision can be found in the section entitled **Appraisal Rights for Unify Stockholders** beginning on page 74 of this proxy statement/ prospectus. If more than 10% of the Unify stockholders elect to exercise appraisal rights, then Halo can elect to terminate the merger agreement.

Q: How will Unify stockholders receive the merger consideration?

A: Following the merger, you will receive a letter of transmittal and instructions on how to obtain the merger consideration in exchange for your Unify common stock. You must return the completed letter of transmittal and

surrender your Unify shares of common stock as described in the instructions, and you will receive the merger consideration as soon as practicable after the exchange agent receives your completed letter of transmittal and Unify shares of common stock.

Q: Who can I call with questions?

A: If you have any questions about the merger, how to submit your proxy or other matters discussed in this proxy statement/ prospectus or if you need additional copies of this proxy statement/ prospectus or the enclosed proxy card, you should contact Unify Investor Relations at (916) 928-6288.

Table of Contents

SUMMARY OF THE PROXY STATEMENT/ PROSPECTUS

*This summary highlights selected information from this proxy statement/ prospectus. It does not contain all of the information that is important in deciding how to vote your shares. To understand the merger fully and for more complete description of the legal terms of the merger, you should read carefully this entire proxy statement/ prospectus and the annexes attached to it, including the merger agreement and fairness opinion which are attached as Annexes A and B to this proxy statement/ prospectus and made a part of this proxy statement/ prospectus. For more information about Halo and Unify, see the section of this proxy statement/ prospectus entitled *Where You Can Find More Information*. This summary and this proxy statement/ prospectus contain forward-looking statements about events that are not certain to occur as described, or at all, and you should not place undue reliance on those statements. Please carefully read the section of this proxy statement/prospects entitled *Forward-Looking Statements*.*

The Companies (see pages 87 and 125)

Unify Corporation

2101 Arena Blvd., Suite 100
Sacramento, California 95834
Telephone: (916) 928-6400

Unify provides automation solutions including specialty insurance risk management and driver performance applications. Unify's solutions deliver a broad set of capabilities for automating business processes, integrating existing information systems and delivering collaborative information. Through its industry expertise and market leading technologies, Unify helps organizations drive business optimization, apply governance and increase customer service.

Halo Technology Holdings, Inc.

200 Railroad Avenue
Greenwich, CT 06830
Telephone: (203) 422-2950

Halo is a holding company whose subsidiaries operate enterprise software and information technology businesses. In addition to holding its existing subsidiaries, Halo's strategy is to pursue acquisitions of businesses that either complement Halo's existing businesses or expand the industries in which Halo operates. Halo's current operating subsidiaries include Gupta Technologies, LLC (*Gupta*), Warp Solutions, Inc. (*Warp Solutions*), Kenosia Corporation (*Kenosia*), Tesseract Corporation (*Tesseract*), DAVID Corporation (*DAVID*), Process Software (*Process*), ProfitKey International (*ProfitKey*), Foresight Software, Inc. (*Foresight*), Empagio, Inc. (*Empagio*), and Executive Consultants, Inc. (*ECI*). Halo has merged Tesseract and ECI into Empagio.

Reasons for the Merger (see pages 55 and 56)

Halo's and Unify's board of directors, respectively, believe the proposed merger will enhance value for stockholders of both companies. We expect that the combination of Halo and Unify will substantially strengthen Halo's suite of enterprise software offerings while providing Unify with access to greater resources, a larger installed base of customers and significant product synergies with several of the Halo portfolio companies. In addition, as of the date Unify's board of directors approved the merger [and as of the date this proxy statement/ prospectus is mailed] , the merger consideration provides a premium for Unify stockholders. To review the reasons for the merger in greater detail, see the sections entitled *The Merger Halo's Reasons for the Merger* and *The Merger Unify's Reasons for the Merger* beginning on pages 55 and 56, respectively, of this proxy statement/ prospectus.

Structure of the Transaction (see page 51)

On the closing date, UCA Merger Sub, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Halo, will merge with and into Unify, with Unify continuing after the merger as the surviving entity and a wholly-owned subsidiary of

Table of Contents

Halo pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement that are described in this proxy statement/ prospectus. Holders of outstanding Unify common stock (other than holders perfecting appraisal rights, see the section of this proxy statement/ prospectus entitled Appraisal Rights for Unify Stockholders beginning on page 74 of this proxy statement/ prospectus) will receive as the merger consideration 0.437 shares of Halo common stock for each share of Unify common stock.

Further, each outstanding and unexercised Unify stock option that has an exercise price of less than \$1.00 per share shall become and represent an option to purchase shares of Halo common stock as adjusted for the Exchange Ratio. All other outstanding Unify options shall be cancelled at the Effective Time. The Halo options issued in substitution of assumed Unify options shall contain substantially the same terms and conditions as the applicable assumed Unify options.

Each outstanding warrant to purchase shares of common stock of Unify shall become and represent a warrant to purchase the number of shares of Halo common stock determined by multiplying the number of shares of Unify common stock subject to the warrant immediately prior to the Effective Time of the merger by the Exchange Ratio, with an exercise price of \$1.836 per share. The Halo warrants issued in substitution of Unify warrants shall contain substantially the same terms and conditions as the applicable Unify warrants.

The merger consideration and treatment of Unify options and warrants are more fully described in the sections entitled The Merger Agreement Merger Consideration and Stock Payment; Common Stock Options and Warrants beginning on page 78 of this proxy statement/ prospectus and in the merger agreement, which is attached to this proxy statement/ prospectus as Annex A. Stockholders of Unify are encouraged to carefully read the merger agreement in its entirety as it is the legal document that governs the merger.

Special Meeting of Unify Stockholders (see page 47)

The special meeting will be held on _____, 2006, at _____ a.m., local time, at _____.

The purpose of the Unify special meeting is to (1) consider and vote upon a proposal to adopt the merger agreement, (2) consider and vote upon a proposal to authorize the proxy holders to vote to adjourn or postpone the special meeting, in their sole discretion, for the purpose of soliciting additional votes for the adoption of the merger agreement, and (3) transact such other business as may properly come before the special meeting or any postponements or adjournments of the special meeting. Adoption of the merger agreement by Unify stockholders will also constitute approval of the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement as are described more fully in this proxy statement/ prospectus and in the merger agreement, which is attached to this proxy statement/ prospectus as Annex A.

Only stockholders who hold shares of Unify common stock at the close of business on _____, 2006, which is also referred to as the record date, are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Unify special meeting. As of the close of business on the record date, there were _____ shares of Unify common stock outstanding, which were held of record by approximately _____ stockholders. A majority of these shares, present in person or represented by proxy, will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Unify special meeting. If a quorum is not present, it is expected that the special meeting will be adjourned or postponed to solicit additional proxies. Each Unify stockholder is entitled to one vote for each share of Unify common stock held as of the record date.

Adoption of the merger agreement by Unify's stockholders is required by Delaware law in order to consummate the merger. Such adoption requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of Unify common stock outstanding on the record date and entitled to vote at the special meeting. In addition, authorizing the proxy holders to vote to adjourn or postpone the special meeting for the purpose of soliciting additional votes for the adoption of the merger agreement will require the affirmative vote of Unify stockholders representing a majority of the shares of Unify common stock present and entitled to vote at the special meeting.

Table of Contents

Unify stockholders representing approximately 33% of the Unify shares entitled to vote at the special meeting have entered into a Stockholder Agreement with Halo pursuant to which they have agreed to vote their shares in favor of the merger. As of the record date, Unify's directors, executive officers and their affiliates held approximately 6% of the shares entitled to vote at the special meeting.

Halo shareholders are not required to approve the issuance of the shares of Halo common stock as part of the merger consideration.

Recommendation of Unify's Board of Directors (see page 50)

After careful consideration, Unify's board of directors has approved and adopted the merger agreement and determined that the merger is advisable, fair to and in the best interests of Unify and its stockholders and recommends that Unify stockholders vote FOR adoption of the merger agreement. Because consummation of the merger is conditioned on Halo raising at least \$2 million in equity funding and because an affiliate of one of Unify's directors, Robert J. Majteles, has an agreement with Special Situation Funds, a Unify investor which is expected to provide that financing, Mr. Majteles abstained from voting on the merger. Other than Mr. Majteles' abstention, the Unify board's recommendation was unanimous.

Fairness Opinion of Douglas Curtis & Allyn LLC (see page 59)

In connection with the merger, Douglas Curtis & Allyn LLC (DCA), delivered a written opinion to Unify's board of directors to the effect that, as of March 10, 2006, and based upon and subject to the respective assumptions, factors, and limitations set forth in its opinion, the merger consideration to be received by the holders of the outstanding shares of Unify common stock pursuant to the merger agreement was fair from a financial point of view to those holders.

The full text of the written opinion of DCA dated March 10, 2006, which sets forth the procedures followed, matters considered, assumptions made and limitations on the review undertaken in connection with its opinion, is attached to this proxy statement/prospectus as Annex B. We encourage you to read this opinion carefully in its entirety for a description of the procedures followed, matters considered, assumptions made and limitations on the review undertaken. DCA provided its opinion for the information and assistance of Unify's board of directors in connection with its consideration of the merger. DCA's opinion is directed to Unify's board of directors as of March 10, 2006 and does not constitute a recommendation as to how any Unify stockholder should vote with respect to the merger.

Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger (see page 68)

Unify stockholders should be aware that certain members of the Unify board of directors and management have interests in the merger that are different from, or are in addition to, the interests of other Unify stockholders that may make them more likely to approve and adopt the merger agreement and approve the merger.

The merger agreement provides that rights to indemnification, found in Unify's certificate of incorporation and bylaws, benefiting Unify's directors and officers, will survive the closing of the merger. The merger agreement also provides for the purchase of a directors and officers insurance policy for the benefit of Unify's directors and officers.

In addition, certain executive officers of Unify are parties to change of control severance agreements that could be triggered if they are terminated prior to or following the merger and certain executive officers of Unify may continue as employees of Halo and may receive options to purchase Halo common stock following the merger.

It is a condition to closing the merger that Unify's Chief Executive Officer, Todd Wille, enter into an employment agreement with Halo.

Table of Contents

Additionally, the consummation of the merger is conditioned on Halo raising at least \$2 million in equity funding, which funding may be provided by Special Situations Funds, which is also a significant stockholder in Unify. An affiliate of one of the directors of Unify, Robert J. Majteles, has an agreement with Special Situation Funds under which such affiliate will benefit from any gain realized in Special Situations Funds' investment in Unify or Halo. See the section entitled "The Merger – Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger" beginning on page 68 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Risk Factors (see page 29)

In evaluating the merger and the merger agreement and before deciding how to vote your shares of Unify common stock at the Unify special meeting, you should carefully read this proxy statement/prospectus and consider the following risk factors in the section entitled "Risk Factors" beginning on page 29 of this proxy statement/prospectus for an explanation of the material risks of relating to the merger and Halo's business. Specific factors that might cause actual results to differ from our expectations include, but are not limited to:

- failure to complete the merger could negatively impact Unify's and/or Halo's stock price, future business, or operations;

- failure to satisfy or waive certain conditions could prevent the merger from occurring;

- because the market price of Halo common shares will fluctuate, the value of the Halo common shares that will be issued in the merger will not be known until the closing of the merger;

- Halo will incur significant costs to achieve and may not be able to realize the anticipated savings, synergies or revenue enhancements from the merger;

- Halo may not successfully integrate Unify into its business and operations;

- the costs of the merger and the costs of integrating Halo's and Unify's operations are substantial and may make it more difficult for the combined company to achieve profitability;

- the price of Halo common stock may be affected by factors different than those affecting the price of Unify common stock;

- the market price of Halo common stock may decline as a result of the merger;

- the issuance of shares of Halo common stock in the merger will result in immediate dilution of Halo's outstanding common stock;

- Halo's relatively low trading volume may limit your ability to sell your shares of Halo common stock received in the merger;

- sales of substantial amounts of Halo common stock in the open market could depress Halo's stock price;

- Halo and Unify may waive one or more of the conditions to the merger without resoliciting Unify stockholder approval for the merger;

- the termination fee may discourage other companies from trying to acquire Unify;

- departure of key personnel or the failure to attract qualified employees may negatively impact the business of Halo after the consummation of the merger;

general uncertainty related to the merger could harm Halo;

Halo's and Unify's officers and directors have interests that may influence them to support or approve the merger;

Halo has a limited operating history, has a history of losses and negative working capital and may need additional financing in the near future;

Table of Contents

Halo may be unable to borrow funds;

If Halo fails to meet its obligations under its debt agreements, its secured lender could foreclose on its assets;

The markets for Halo's products are highly competitive and rapidly changing;

The technology Halo uses is rapidly changing;

Halo success depends in part on its ability to protect its intellectual property;

Halo is dependent on key personnel;

failure to manage anticipated growth and expansion could have a material adverse effect on Halo's business;

Halo expects to pay no cash dividends;

Halo's common stock is subject to the penny stock restrictions under federal securities laws, which could reduce the liquidity of the Halo common stock;

Halo's acquisition strategy may place substantial burdens on Halo's management resources and financial controls;

Halo may be unable to finance future acquisitions; and

Halo may not be able to successfully integrate all of its other recent acquisitions with Unify.

Conditions to the Merger (see page 82)

Each party's obligation to complete the merger is subject to the prior satisfaction or waiver of each of the conditions specified in the merger agreement. The following conditions, in addition to other customary closing conditions, must be satisfied or waived, if permissible, before Halo and/or Unify are obligated to complete the merger: the merger agreement must be adopted by the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Unify common stock as of the record date;

there must not be any order, injunction or decree preventing the completion of the merger;

this proxy statement/ prospectus must be declared effective by the SEC and no stop order suspending such effectiveness shall be in effect;

there shall have been no material adverse change in the business, operations, condition (financial or otherwise), assets or liabilities of either Unify or Halo;

Halo must have received at least \$2,000,000 in new money equity investments;

certain holders of convertible promissory notes of Halo must have converted such promissory notes into shares of Halo common stock;

the holders of all outstanding shares of Halo's preferred stock must convert their shares of Halo preferred stock to shares of Halo common stock;

should any holders of Unify common stock exercise dissenters rights with respect to the merger, the number of shares of common stock held by such dissenting holders shall not be more than ten percent of Unify's common

stock; and

Todd Wille and Halo must have entered into an employment agreement.

Neither Halo nor Unify can assure you that all of the conditions to the merger will be either satisfied or waived or that the merger will occur.

Table of Contents

Termination of the Merger Agreement (see page 85)

Even if the Unify stockholders approve the merger agreement, the merger agreement may be terminated by mutual consent, or by either Halo or Unify, at any time before the completion of the merger under specified circumstances, including:

by mutual consent of Halo and Unify if the board of directors of each company so determines by a vote of a majority of the members of its entire board;

upon written notice to the other party 30 days after the date on which any request or application for a regulatory approval shall have been denied or withdrawn at the request or recommendation of the governmental entity which must grant such approval, unless within the 30 day period following such denial or withdrawal the Parties agree to file, and have filed with the applicable governmental entity, a petition for rehearing or an amended application;

if the merger is not completed, through no fault of the terminating party, by September 30, 2006;

if the approval of the stockholders of Unify required for the consummation of the merger is not obtained by reason of the failure to obtain the required vote at a duly held stockholders meeting;

if the other party has breached any of its representations and warranties or failed to perform any of its covenants and the breach or failure, individually or in the aggregate, has had or is likely to have a material adverse effect on the non-breaching party and such failure or breach is not cured or curable within 30 days following receipt of written notice of such breach or failure, or such breach, by its nature, cannot be cured prior to the closing of the merger; or

if Unify, after compliance with the terms of the merger agreement, accepts a superior proposal (as defined in the section entitled *The Merger Agreement No Solicitation* beginning on page 84 of this proxy statement/prospectus), provided that Unify pays Halo the termination fee under the merger agreement (see the section entitled *The Merger Agreement Termination Fee* beginning on page 85 of this proxy statement/prospectus).

In addition, Halo may unilaterally terminate the merger agreement if:

Unify or its board of directors fails to call and hold the Unify special meeting; fails to recommend the approval of the merger; fails to oppose a third party acquisition proposal under certain circumstances as defined in the merger agreement; or solicits, initiates, encourages inquiries or company acquisition proposals, or engages in negotiations or discussions regarding a company acquisition proposal (as defined in the sections entitled *The Merger Agreement Termination Fee* and *No Solicitation* beginning on pages 84 and 85, respectively, of this proxy statement/prospectus).

Payment of Termination Fee (see page 85)

Unify has agreed to pay Halo a termination fee of \$600,000 if the merger agreement is terminated under specified circumstances.

No Solicitation of Transactions Involving Unify (see page 84)

The merger agreement contains restrictions on the ability of Unify to solicit or engage in discussions or negotiations with a third party with respect to a proposal regarding a tender offer, exchange offer, merger, consolidation, business combination or similar transaction, involving Unify. Notwithstanding these restrictions, the merger agreement provides that under specified circumstances, if Unify receives an unsolicited proposal from a third party to acquire more than fifty percent of the Unify shares then outstanding or all or substantially all of Unify's assets and Unify's board of directors determines in good faith such proposal is, or is reasonably likely to be, a proposal that is superior to the merger, Unify may furnish nonpublic information to that third party and engage in negotiations regarding a takeover proposal with that third party.

Table of Contents

Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger (see page 72)

The merger is intended to qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Accordingly, holders of Unify common stock generally will not recognize any gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes on the exchange of their Unify common stock for Halo common stock in the merger, except for any gain or loss that may result from the receipt of cash instead of a fractional Halo common share or upon exercise of appraisal rights.

Tax matters are complicated and the tax consequences to you of the merger will depend on your particular tax situation. In addition, you may be subject to state, local or foreign tax laws that are not discussed in this proxy statement/ prospectus. You should consult your own tax advisor to fully understand the tax consequences of the merger to you.

Appraisal Rights (see page 74)

Unify is a Delaware corporation and under Delaware law, you have the right to dissent from the merger, exercise your appraisal rights and receive payment in cash for the fair value of your Unify common stock, as determined by the Delaware Court of Chancery. You should be aware that the fair value of your Unify shares as determined by the Chancery Court under Section 262 could be greater, the same, or less than the value that you are entitled to receive for your Unify shares pursuant to the merger agreement. This right to appraisal is subject to a number of restrictions and technical requirements, and Delaware law requires strict compliance with these provisions. Generally, in order to exercise your appraisal rights you must:

- deliver to Unify a written demand for appraisal of your shares in compliance with Delaware law before the vote on the merger;

- not vote in favor of the merger by proxy or in person; and

- continuously hold your Unify common stock as record holder, from the date you make the demand for appraisal through the closing of the merger.

Merely voting against the merger will not protect your rights to an appraisal, which requires strict compliance with all the steps mandated under Delaware law. These requirements under Delaware law for exercising appraisal rights are described in further detail in the section entitled *Appraisal Rights for Unify Stockholders* beginning on page 74 of this proxy statement/ prospectus. The relevant section of the Delaware General Corporation Law Section 262 regarding appraisal rights is reproduced and attached as Annex D to this proxy statement/ prospectus. We encourage you to read these provisions carefully and in their entirety.

IF YOU VOTE FOR THE MERGER, YOU WILL WAIVE YOUR RIGHTS TO SEEK APPRAISAL OF YOUR SHARES OF UNIFY COMMON STOCK UNDER DELAWARE LAW.

Surrender of Unify Shares of Common Stock (see page 79)

Following the Effective Time of the merger, Halo will cause a letter of transmittal to be mailed to all holders of Unify common stock containing instructions for surrendering their shares of common stock. Unify stockholders should not surrender their Unify common stock certificates until they receive the letter of transmittal and fully complete and return it as instructed in the letter of transmittal.

Certain Effects of the Merger (see page 143)

Upon completion of the merger, Unify stockholders will become stockholders of Halo. The internal affairs of Halo are governed by Nevada law and Halo's articles of incorporation and bylaws. The internal affairs of Unify are governed by Delaware law and Unify's certificate of incorporation and bylaws. Due to differences between the governing documents and governing state laws of Halo and Unify, the merger will result in Unify stockholders having different rights once they become Halo stockholders, which rights are summarized in the section entitled *Comparison of Stockholder Rights and Corporate Governance Matters* beginning on page 143 of this proxy statement/ prospectus.

Table of Contents

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

You should review the following financial information of Halo and its subsidiaries and of Unify: (i) the financial information and the consolidated financial statements of Halo for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005 and for the three and six months ended December 31, 2005 included in this prospectus beginning at page F-1; (ii) the financial statements for Halo's subsidiary, Tesseract, for the years ended June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004 included in this prospectus at page F-63; (iii) the financial statements of Halo's subsidiaries, Process Software, LLC and Affiliates (consisting of DAVID, ProfitKey, Foresight and Process) for the years ended June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004 included in this prospectus at page F-75; (iv) the pro forma information for Halo (which combines the historical financial data of Halo and reflects Halo's acquisition of Tesseract, Process and Affiliates), including notes describing various adjustments, included in this prospectus at page F-89; and (v) the financial statements of Unify for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2005 and for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2006, included in this prospectus beginning at page F-99.

Halo has included unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements that reflect the acquisition of Unify in this proxy statement/prospectus beginning on page F-136 and unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements that reflect the acquisition of Unify and InfoNow in this proxy statement/prospectus beginning on page F-144.

The historical financial information of Halo presented in this proxy statement/prospectus may not be indicative of Halo's future performance.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Halo and Unity Pro Forma Financial Statements

The following Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements for Halo give effect to the proposed acquisition of Unify by Halo using the purchase method of accounting for the transaction.

The unaudited pro forma consolidated condensed balance sheet of Halo gives effect to the proposed acquisition as if it occurred on December 31, 2005 and combines the audited historical consolidated balance sheet of Halo as of December 31, 2005 and the unaudited historical consolidated balance sheet of Unify as of January 31, 2006.

The unaudited pro forma consolidated condensed statement of operations of Halo for the year ended June 30, 2005 gives effect to the proposed acquisition as if it occurred on July 1, 2004. The unaudited pro forma consolidated condensed statement of operations of Halo for the year ended June 30, 2005 combines the results of operations of Halo for the year ended June 30, 2005 with the results of operations of Unify for the twelve months ended July 31, 2005.

The unaudited pro forma consolidated condensed statement of operations of Halo for the six months ended December 31, 2005 gives effect to the acquisition of Unify as if the transaction occurred on July 1, 2005. The unaudited pro forma consolidated condensed statement of operations combines the results of Halo for the six months ended December 31, 2005 with the results of operations of Unify for the six months ended January 31, 2006.

The pro forma statements do not reflect any increases or decreases in revenues or costs or any synergies that might result from the Unify acquisition. The pro forma statements reflect the adjustments described in the accompanying Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements.

The pro forma adjustments contained in the pro forma statements are based upon the best information available to management as of the date of report. Accordingly, the final allocation of purchase price could differ from that presented in the pro forma statements and the difference could be significant.

Table of Contents

The pro forma statements have been derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of Halo and Unify and are qualified in their entirety by reference to, and should be read in conjunction with, such historical consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto.

The pro forma statements are presented for illustrative purposes only and do not purport to be indicative of the operating results or financial position that would have actually occurred if the acquisition of Unify by Halo had occurred on the dates indicated, nor are they necessarily indicative of future operating results or financial position of Halo subsequent to its acquisition of Unify.

Table of Contents

Halo Technology Holdings, Inc.
Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet
December 31, 2005
(Unaudited)

Pro Forma Adjustments

	Halo(A)	Unify(B)	Conditions(C)	Purchase Accounting	Halo Pro Forma
ASSETS					
Current Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,844,373	\$ 2,729,170	\$ 2,000,000(D)	\$	\$ 6,573,543
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts	4,550,514	2,673,676			7,224,190
Due from Platinum Equity, LLC	465,000				465,000
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	925,460	618,100			1,543,560
Total current assets	7,785,347	6,020,946	2,000,000		15,806,293
Property and equipment, net	286,369	301,585			587,954
Deferred financing costs, net	1,529,036				1,529,036
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization	24,604,981	242,667		6,466,116(G)	31,313,763
Goodwill	28,730,708	1,405,111		9,609,706(G)	39,745,525
Investment and other assets	193,190	412,103			605,293
Total assets	\$ 63,129,631	\$ 8,382,411	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 16,075,822	\$ 89,587,864

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

Current liabilities:					
Accounts payable	\$ 1,832,028	\$ 160,764			\$ 1,992,792
Accrued expenses	6,825,837	1,325,816	(30,417)(E)	275,000(G)	8,396,236
Note payable to Bristol Technology, Inc	500,000				500,000
Note payable to Platinum Equity, LLC	2,750,000				2,750,000
Notes payable	1,591,770	811,955	(1,095,885)(E)		1,307,840
Deferred revenue	11,263,432	3,361,788		(1,633,565)(G)	12,991,655
Due to ISIS	1,293,717				1,293,717
Total current liabilities	26,056,784	5,660,323	(1,126,302)	(1,358,565)	29,232,240

Edgar Filing: Halo Technology Holdings, Inc. - Form S-4

Subordinate notes payable	1,453,504				1,453,504
Senior notes payable	21,763,619				21,763,619
Other long term liabilities	52,972	699,762			752,734
Total liabilities	49,326,879	6,360,085	(1,126,302)	(1,358,565)	53,202,097
Commitments and contingencies					
Stockholders' equity:					
Preferred stock (Canadian subsidiary)	2				2
Series C Preferred Stock	13,802,837		(13,802,837)(F)		
Series D Preferred Stock	6,750,000		(6,750,000)(F)		
Shares of Common Stock to be issued for accrued dividends on Series C Preferred Stock	208,006				208,006
Shares of Common Stock to be issued for accrued dividends on Series D Preferred Stock					
Preferred Stock	165,372				165,372
Shares of Common Stock to be issued for accrued interest on subordinate debt	41,667				41,667
Common stock	56	29,373	16(D) 9(E) 208(F)	128(G) (29,373)(H)	417
Additional paid-in-capital	64,733,038	63,885,760	1,999,984(D) 2,147,924(E) 20,552,629(F)	19,456,585(G) (63,885,760)(H) 514,250(I)	109,404,410
Deferred compensation	(874,123)			(514,250)(I)	(1,388,373)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(71,087)	23,888		(23,888)(H)	(71,087)
Accumulated deficit	(70,953,016)	(61,916,695)	(1,021,631)(E)	61,916,695(H)	(71,974,647)
Total stockholders' equity	13,802,752	2,022,326	3,126,302	17,434,387	36,385,767
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 63,129,631	\$ 8,382,411	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 16,075,822	\$ 89,587,864

See accompanying notes to unaudited pro forma consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO THE PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEET (UNAUDITED)

(A) Reflects the historical financial position of the Company at December 31, 2005.

(B) Reflects the historical financial position of Unify at January 31, 2006.

(C) Pro forma adjustments for the conditions to be met before closing this acquisition per the Merger Agreement.

(D) Equity is to be raised for \$2 million in Halo's common stock at \$1.25 per share. As a result, 1,600,000 shares of Halo's common stock will be issued. The par value of the common stock is \$.00001. \$16 is recorded as common stock, and \$1,999,984 is recorded as additional paid in capital.

(E) Certain existing Halo convertible notes are to be converted to Halo's common stock. As of December 31, 2005, these notes amounted to \$1,150,000 in principal, and \$30,417 in accrued interest. The total \$1,180,417 is to be converted at \$1.25 per share, issuing 944,334 shares of Halo's common stock. The value of the common stock issued is \$1,293,738 at \$1.37 per share market price as of December 31, 2005. At the \$.00001 par value, \$9 is recorded as common stock, and \$1,293,729 is recorded as additional paid in capital. Interest expense of \$113,321 is recorded for the excess of the market value of the common stock over the value of the original notes and accrued interest. One of these convertible notes were originally issued with warrants, whose fair market value was reduced from the principal. The accreted amount at December 31, 2005 was \$1,095,885. The unaccreted amount of \$54,115 as of December 31, 2005 is charged to interest expense as this note was converted to common stock. Furthermore, additional warrants are to be issued to the note holders on conversion of these notes. The fair market value of these warrants are estimated to be \$854,195, using the Black-Scholes method. This amount is also expensed as interest.

(F) Halo's Preferred Series C and Preferred Series D Stock are to be converted to Halo's common stock at a one share to one share ratio. 13,802,837 shares of Preferred Series C Stock (the liquidation value of \$13,802,837) and 7,045,454 shares of Preferred Series D Stock (the liquidation value of \$6,750,000) were converted into the same number of shares of Halo's common stock. At the \$.00001 par value, \$208 (\$138 for Series C and \$70 for Series D) is recorded as common stock. \$20,552,629 (\$13,802,699 for Series C and \$6,749,930 for Series D) is recorded as additional paid in capital.

(G) The following represents the acquisition of Unify and the preliminary allocation of the purchase price. Estimates are made based on Halo's stock price as of December 31, 2005, Unify's balance sheet, common stock, warrants, and stock options information as of January 31, 2006. The fair market value (FMV) of options and warrants are estimated using the Black-Scholes method. The final allocation of the purchase price will be determined based on a comprehensive final evaluation of the fair value of the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO THE PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEET
(UNAUDITED) (Continued)

Calculation of Purchase Price:

Estimated number of Unify shares to be acquired	29,373,201	
Exchange Ratio	0.437	
Estimated number of Halo shares to be issued	12,836,089	
Halo stock price as of 12/31/05	\$ 1.37	
Estimated stock consideration		\$ 17,585,442
FMV of vested Unify options to be converted to Halo options(1)		848,062
FMV of vested Unify warrants to be converted to Halo warrants(2)		1,023,209
Estimated Transaction Costs Accrued		275,000
Total Purchase Price		\$ 19,731,713

- (1) Unify's number of shares underlying the options outstanding as of 1/31/06 was 2,703,991, of which 2,406,374 options had an exercise price of less than \$1.00, and of which 1,498,008 options were vested. These options are converted into 654,622 Halo options whose FMV is estimated to be \$848,062.
- (2) Unify's number of shares underlying the warrants outstanding as of 1/31/06 was 2,272,715. These warrants were fully vested and are converted into 993,176 Halo warrants whose FMV was estimated to be \$1,023,209.

Allocation of Purchase Price:

Assets:		
Unify's historical assets	\$	8,382,411
Write-up of intangible assets consisting of developed technologies and customer relationships		6,466,116
Write-up of goodwill		9,609,706
Liabilities:		
Unify's historical liabilities		(6,360,085)
Adjustment of deferred revenue to fair market value		1,633,565
Total purchase price	\$	19,731,713

(H) Unify's stockholder's equity related to the pre-acquisition period is eliminated.

(I) Unvested portion of Unify's stock options to be converted to Halo's stock options is recorded as deferred compensation instead of as part of the purchase price. The fair market value of these options are estimated to be \$514,250 using the Black-Scholes method. This amount is amortized to expense over the vesting period of these options.

Table of Contents

Halo Technology Holdings, Inc.
Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations
Six Months ended December 31, 2005
(Unaudited)

	Halo(J)	Unify(K)	Pro Forma Adjustments	Halo Pro Forma
Revenue				
Licenses	\$ 2,819,062	\$ 2,275,286	\$	\$ 5,094,348
Services	5,759,979	2,807,768	(1,193,759)(L)	7,373,988
Total revenues	8,579,041	5,083,054	(1,193,759)	12,468,336
Cost of revenue				
Cost of license	200,500	216,367		416,867
Cost of services	1,098,048	1,031,564		2,129,612
Total cost of revenues	1,298,548	1,247,931		2,546,479
Gross Profit	7,280,493	3,835,123	(1,193,759)	9,921,857
Product development	2,516,793	1,367,097		3,883,890
Sales, marketing and business development	3,436,457	2,022,744		5,459,201
General and administrative	4,271,743	1,096,414	105,719(M)	5,473,876
Amortization of intangibles	1,194,439	60,666	479,199(N)	1,734,304
Loss before interest	(4,138,939)	(711,798)	(1,778,677)	(6,629,414)
Interest (expense) income	(3,553,807)	29,531	(1,021,631)(O)	(4,545,907)
Loss before income taxes	(7,692,746)	(682,267)	(2,800,308)	(11,175,321)
Income taxes	(86,488)		(P)	(86,488)
Net Loss	\$ (7,779,234)	\$ (682,267)	\$ (2,800,308)	\$ (11,261,809)
Computation of loss applicable to Common Shareholders				
Net loss before beneficial conversion				
Preferred dividends	\$ (7,779,234)	\$ (682,267)	\$ (2,800,308)	\$ (11,261,809)
Beneficial conversion Preferred dividends	(593,558)			(593,558)
Loss attributable to common stockholders	\$ (8,372,792)	\$ (682,267)	\$ (2,800,308)	\$ (11,855,367)
Basic and diluted loss per share pro forma	\$ (2.44)			\$ (0.30)
Weighted average shares outstanding pro forma	3,425,127			39,653,841(Q)

Table of Contents

Halo Technology Holdings, Inc.
Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations
Year ended June 30, 2005
(Unaudited)

	Halo(J)	Unify(K)	Pro Forma Adjustments	Halo Pro Forma
Revenue				
Licenses	\$ 2,986,752	\$ 5,210,035	\$	\$ 8,196,787
Services	2,137,170	6,085,751	(1,633,565)(L)	6,589,356
Total revenues	5,123,922	11,295,786	(1,633,565)	14,786,143
Cost of revenue				
Cost of license	151,051	392,040		543,091
Cost of services	396,490	1,290,641		1,687,131
Total cost of revenues	547,541	1,682,681		2,230,222
Gross Profit	4,576,381	9,613,105	(1,633,565)	12,555,921
Product development	1,589,099	2,825,834		4,414,933
Sales, marketing and business development	3,652,117	6,231,706		9,883,823
General and administrative	4,042,702	2,537,618	191,660(M)	6,771,980
Amortization of intangibles	946,063	60,666	958,397(N)	1,965,126
Late filing penalty	1,033,500			1,033,500
Intangible impairment	62,917			62,917
Goodwill impairment	3,893,294			3,893,294
Loss before interest	(10,643,311)	(2,042,719)	(2,783,622)	(15,469,652)
Interest (expense) income	(4,631,683)	54,635	(1,021,631)(O)	(5,598,679)
Loss before income taxes	(15,274,994)	(1,988,084)	(3,805,254)	(21,068,332)
Income taxes	(97,945)	(14,002)	(P)	(111,947)
Net Loss	\$ (15,372,939)	\$ (2,002,086)	\$ (3,805,254)	\$ (21,180,279)
Computation of loss applicable to Common Shareholders				
Net loss before beneficial conversion				
Preferred dividends	\$ (15,372,939)	\$ (2,002,086)	\$ (3,805,254)	\$ (21,180,279)
Beneficial conversion Preferred dividends	(7,510,590)			(7,510,590)
Loss attributable to common stockholders	\$ (22,883,529)	\$ (2,002,086)	\$ (3,805,254)	\$ (28,690,869)
Basic and diluted loss per share pro forma	\$ (11.97)			\$ (0.75)

Weighted average shares outstanding pro forma	1,912,033	38,140,747(Q)
--	-----------	---------------

See accompanying notes to unaudited pro forma consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO THE PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)

(J) Reflects the Company's historical statement of operations for the six months ended December, 2005 and the year ended June 30, 2005.

(K) Reflects Unify's historical statement of operations for the six months ended January 31, 2006 and the twelve months ended July 31, 2005, including various reclassifications to conform to the company's financial statement presentation. In order to conform Unify's fiscal year end from April 30 year end to Halo's June 30 year end, Unify's historical operating results have been derived from combinations of quarters in its two fiscal years. For the pro forma statements of operations for the six months ended December 31, 2005, Unify's results were derived by combining the quarter ended January 31, 2006 and quarter ended October 31, 2005 in its fiscal year ending April 30, 2006. For the pro forma statements of operations for the year ended June 30, 2005, Unify's results were derived by combining the quarter ended July 31, 2005 in the fiscal year ending April 30, 2006 and last three quarters of its fiscal year ended April 30, 2005.

(L) To record the decrease in amortization of the deferred revenue as a result of a fair value adjustment (in accordance with EITF 01-03, "Accounting in a Business Combination for Deferred Revenue of an Acquiree") of \$1,193,759 and \$1,633,565 for the six months ended December 31, 2005 and for the year ended June 30, 2005, respectively, which is included in services revenue.

(M) To record the increased amortization of deferred compensation of \$105,719 and \$191,660 for the six months ended December 31, 2005 and for the year ended June 30, 2005, respectively. These increases are the results of the conversion of unvested Unify stock options.

(N) To record the increased amortization of intangibles of \$479,199 and \$958,397 for the six months ended December 31, 2005 and for the year ended June 30, 2005, respectively. The increase in the amortization results from the increase in the fair market value of the intangible assets acquired.

(O) To record the increased interest expense of \$1,021,631 for both the six months ended December 31, 2005 and for the year ended June 30, 2005. The increase in the interest expense results from conversion of the convertible notes described in the note (E) of NOTES TO THE PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEET.

(P) The Company did not record an income tax benefit because the company provided a full valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset.

(Q) The weighted average number of shares are calculated as follows:

	Six Months Ended December 31, 2005	Year Ended June 30, 2005
Halo's weighted average shares as reported on 10Q and 10K	3,425,127	1,912,033
Common stock to be issued under Closing Conditions		
Equity to be raised	1,600,000	1,600,000
Convertible notes to be converted	944,334	944,334
Preferred Series C and Series D to be converted	20,848,291	20,848,291
Common stock to be issued to Unify stockholders	12,836,089	12,836,089
Weighted average shares pro forma	39,653,841	38,140,747

Table of Contents

Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Halo, Unify and InfoNow Pro Forma Financial Statements

On December 23, 2005, Halo entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger to acquire InfoNow. Halo anticipates that the InfoNow transaction is likely to close in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006, close to the timing of the consummation of the Unify merger and therefore Halo has included pro forma financial statements reflecting the acquisition of both companies.

The following Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements for Halo give effect to the proposed acquisitions of Unify and InfoNow by Halo using the purchase method of accounting for the transaction.

The unaudited pro forma consolidated condensed balance sheet of Halo gives effect to the proposed acquisitions as if they occurred on December 31, 2005 and combines the audited historical consolidated balance sheet of Halo and the unaudited historical consolidated balance sheets of Unify and InfoNow as of December 31, 2005 and January 31, 2006, respectively.

The unaudited pro forma consolidated condensed statement of operations of Halo for the year ended June 30, 2005 gives effect to the proposed acquisitions as if they occurred on July 1, 2004. The unaudited pro forma consolidated condensed statement of operations of Halo for the year ended June 30, 2005 combines the results of operations of Halo for the year ended June 30, 2005 with the results of operations of Unify for the twelve months ended July 31, 2005 and of InfoNow for the twelve months ended June 30, 2005.

The unaudited pro forma consolidated condensed statement of operations combines the results of Halo for the six months ended December 31, 2005 with the results of operations of Unify for the six months ended January 31, 2006 with the results of operations of InfoNow for the six months ended December 31, 2005.

The pro forma statements do not reflect any increases or decreases in revenues or costs or any synergies that might result from the Unify and InfoNow acquisitions. The pro forma statements reflect the adjustments described in the accompanying Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements.

The pro forma adjustments contained in the pro forma statements are based upon the best information available to management as of the date of report. Accordingly, the final allocation of purchase price could differ from that presented in the pro forma statements and the difference could be significant.

The pro forma statements have been derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of Halo, Unify and InfoNow and are qualified in their entirety by reference to, and should be read in conjunction with, such historical consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto. The historical consolidated financial statements of InfoNow are contained in reports and other information filed by InfoNow with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Securities and Exchange Commission maintains a website that contains such reports and other information which may be accessed at <http://www.sec.gov>.

The pro forma statements are presented for illustrative purposes only and do not purport to be indicative of the operating results or financial position that would have actually occurred if the acquisitions of Unify and InfoNow by Halo had occurred on the dates indicated, nor are they necessarily indicative of future operating results or financial position of Halo subsequent to its acquisition of Unify and InfoNow.

Table of Contents

HALO TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS, INC.
PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEET
December 31, 2005
(Unaudited)

	Pro Forma Adjustments				Pro Forma Adjustment		
	Halo(A)	Unify(B)	Conditions(C)	Purchase Accounting	InfoNow (J)	Purchase Accounting	Halo Pro Forma
Assets							
Current Assets:							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,844,373	\$ 2,729,170	\$ 2,000,000(D)	\$	\$ 3,031,708	\$ (1,349,447)(K)	\$ 8,255,804
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts	4,550,514	2,673,676			887,361		8,111,551
Due from Platinum Equity, LLC	465,000						465,000
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	925,460	618,100			376,029		1,919,589
Total current assets	7,785,347	6,020,946	2,000,000		4,295,098	(1,349,447)	18,751,944
Property and equipment, net	286,369	301,585			330,467		918,421
Deferred financing costs, net	1,529,036						1,529,036
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization	24,604,981	242,667		6,466,116(G)	466,681	2,090,774(K)	33,871,219
Goodwill	28,730,708	1,405,111		9,609,706(G)		2,876,285(K)	42,621,809
Investment and other assets	193,190	412,103					605,293
Total assets	\$ 63,129,631	\$ 8,382,411	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 16,075,822	\$ 5,092,246	\$ 3,617,612	\$ 98,297,722

Liabilities and stockholders equity							
Current liabilities:							
Accounts payable	\$ 1,832,028	\$ 160,764			\$ 670,008		\$ 2,662,800
Accrued expenses	6,825,837	1,325,816	(30,417)(E)	275,000(G)	362,023	275,000(K)	9,033,259
Note payable to Bristol Technology, Inc	500,000						500,000
Note payable to Platinum Equity, LLC	2,750,000						2,750,000
Notes payable	1,591,770	811,955	(1,095,885)(E)				1,307,840
Deferred revenue	11,263,432	3,361,788		(1,633,565)(G)	1,933,656	(551,092)(K)	14,374,219
Due to ISIS	1,293,717						1,293,717
Total current liabilities	26,056,784	5,660,323	(1,126,302)	(1,358,565)	2,965,687	(276,092)	31,921,835
Subordinate notes payable	1,453,504						1,453,504
Senior notes payable	21,763,619						21,763,619
Other long term liabilities	52,972	699,762			146,794	(24,011)(K)	875,517
Total liabilities	49,326,879	6,360,085	(1,126,302)	(1,358,565)	3,112,481	(300,103)	56,014,475
Commitments and contingencies							
Stockholders equity:							
Preferred stock (Canadian subsidiary)	2						2
Series C Preferred Stock	13,802,837		(13,802,837)(F)				
Series D Preferred Stock	6,750,000		(6,750,000)(F)				
Shares of Common	208,006						208,006

Stock to be issued for accrued dividends on Series C Preferred Stock							
Shares of Common Stock to be issued for accrued dividends on Series D Preferred Stock	165,372						165,372
Shares of Common Stock to be issued for accrued interest on subordinate debt	41,667						41,667
Common stock	56	29,373	16(D)	128(G)	10,157	43(K)	460
			9(E)	(29,373)(H)		(10,157)(L)	
			208(F)				
Additional paid-in-capital	64,733,038	63,885,760	1,999,984(D)	19,456,585(G)	40,145,725	5,897,437(K)	115,301,847
			2,147,924(E)	(63,885,760)(H)		(40,145,725)(L)	
			20,552,629(F)	514,250(I)			
Deferred compensation	(874,123)			(514,250)(I)			(1,388,373)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(71,087)	23,888		(23,888)(H)			(71,087)
Accumulated deficit	(70,953,016)	(61,916,695)	(1,021,631)(E)	61,916,695(H)	(38,176,117)	38,176,117(L)	(71,974,647)
Total stockholders equity	13,802,752	2,022,326	3,126,302	17,434,387	1,979,765	3,917,715	42,283,247
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 63,129,631	\$ 8,382,411	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 16,075,822	\$ 5,092,246	\$ 3,617,612	\$ 98,297,722

See accompanying notes to unaudited pro forma consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEET (Unaudited)**

(A) Reflects the historical financial position of the Company at December 31, 2005.

Acquisition

(B) Reflects the historical financial position of Unify at January 31, 2006.

(C) Pro forma adjustments for the conditions to be met before closing this acquisition per the Merger Agreement.

(D) Equity is to be raised for \$2 million in Halo's common stock at \$1.25 per share. As a result, 1,600,000 shares of Halo's common stock will be issued. The par value of the common stock is \$.00001. \$16 is recorded as common stock, and \$1,999,984 is recorded as additional paid in capital.

(E) Certain existing Halo convertible notes are to be converted to Halo's common stock. As of December 31, 2005, these notes amounted to \$1,150,000 in principal, and \$30,417 in accrued interest. The total \$1,180,417 is to be converted at \$1.25 per share, issuing 944,334 shares of Halo's common stock. The value of the common stock issued is \$1,293,738 at \$1.37 per share market price as of December 31, 2005. At the \$.00001 par value, \$9 is recorded as common stock, and \$1,293,729 is recorded as additional paid in capital. Interest expense of \$113,321 is recorded for the excess of the market value of the common stock over the value of the original notes and accrued interest. One of these convertible notes were originally issued with warrants, whose fair market value was reduced from the principal. The accreted amount at December 31, 2005 was \$1,095,885. The unaccreted amount of \$54,115 as of December 31, 2005 is charged to interest expense as this note was converted to common stock. Furthermore, additional warrants are to be issued to the note holders on conversion of these notes. The fair market value of these warrants are estimated to be \$854,195, using the Black-Scholes method. This amount is also expensed as interest.

(F) Halo's Preferred Series C and Preferred Series D Stock are to be converted to Halo's common stock at a one share to one share ratio. 13,802,837 shares of Preferred Series C Stock (the liquidation value of \$13,802,837) and 7,045,454 shares of Preferred Series D Stock (the liquidation value of \$6,750,000) were converted into the same number of shares of Halo's common stock. At the \$.00001 par value, \$208 (\$138 for Series C and \$70 for Series D) is recorded as common stock. \$20,552,629 (\$13,802,699 for Series C and \$6,749,930 for Series D) is recorded as additional paid in capital.

(G) The following represents the acquisition of Unify and the preliminary allocation of the purchase price. Estimates are made based on Halo's stock price as of December 31, 2005, Unify's balance sheet, common stock, warrants, and stock options information as of January 31, 2006. The fair market value (FMV) of options and warrants are estimated using the Black-Scholes method. The final allocation of the purchase price will be determined based on a comprehensive final evaluation of the fair value of the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

Calculation of Purchase Price:

Estimated number of Unify shares to be acquired	29,373,201
Exchange Ratio	0.437
Estimated number of Halo shares to be issued	12,836,089
Halo stock price as of 12/31/05	\$ 1.37
Estimated stock consideration	\$ 17,585,442
FMV of vested Unify options to be converted to Halo options(1)	848,062
FMV of vested Unify warrants to be converted to Halo warrants(2)	1,023,209
Estimated transaction costs accrued	275,000
Total purchase price	\$ 19,731,713

Table of Contents

NOTES TO THE PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEET
(Unaudited) (Continued)

- (1) Unify's number of shares underlying the options outstanding as of 1/31/06 was 2,703,991, of which 2,406,374 options had an exercise price of less than \$1.00, and of which 1,498,008 options were vested. These options are converted into 654,622 Halo options whose FMV is estimated to be \$848,062.
- (2) Unify's number of shares underlying the warrants outstanding as of 1/31/06 was 2,272,715. These warrants were fully vested and are converted into 993,176 Halo warrants whose FMV was estimated to be \$1,023,209.

Allocation of Purchase Price:

Assets:	
Unify's historical assets	\$ 8,382,411
Write-up of intangible assets consisting of developed technologies and customer relationships	6,466,116
Write-up of goodwill	9,609,706
Liabilities:	
Unify's historical liabilities	(6,360,085)
Adjustment of deferred revenue to fair market value	1,633,565
 Total purchase price	 \$ 19,731,713

(H) Unify's stockholder's equity related to the pre-acquisition period is eliminated.

(I) Unvested portion of Unify's stock options to be converted to Halo's stock options is recorded as deferred compensation instead of as part of the purchase price. The fair market value of these options are estimated to be \$514,250 using the Black-Scholes method. This amount is amortized to expense over the vesting period of these options.

(J) Reflects the historical financial position of InfoNow at December 31, 2005.

(K) The following represents the acquisition of InfoNow and the preliminary allocation of the purchase price. Estimates are made based on InfoNow's balance sheet, common stock, and stock options information as of December 31, 2005. The final allocation of the purchase price will be determined based on a comprehensive final evaluation of the fair value of the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO THE PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEET
(Unaudited) (Continued)

Calculation of Purchase Price:

Estimated number of InfoNow shares to be acquired	10,055,398	
Conversion price	\$ 0.71	
Total conversion value	\$ 7,139,333	100%
InfoNow cash balance as of 12/31/05	\$ 3,031,708	
InfoNow net working capital as of 12/31/05	\$ 1,329,411	
Lesser of two (to be paid in cash to InfoNow stockholders)	\$ 1,329,411	19%
Total conversion value minus cash consideration (to be paid in Halo common shares)	5,809,922	81%
Estimated value of InfoNow stock options with exercise price of \$.71 or lower	\$ 107,593	100%
Option conversion value allocated to cash	20,036	19%
Option conversion value allocated to stock	87,558	81%
Estimated transaction costs accrued	275,000	
Total purchase price	\$ 7,521,927	

* The estimated purchase prices by category: cash \$1,349,447; 4,304,730 shares of common stock par value \$0.00001, \$5,897,480; and transaction costs \$275,000.

Allocation of Purchase Price:

Assets:	
InfoNow's historical assets	\$ 5,092,246
Write-up of intangible assets consisting of developed technologies and customer relationships	2,090,774
Recording of goodwill	2,876,285
Liabilities:	
InfoNow's historical liabilities	(3,112,481)
Adjustment of deferred revenue to fair market value (\$24,011 long term)	575,103
Total purchase price	\$ 7,521,927

(L) InfoNow's stockholder's equity related to the pre-acquisition period is eliminated.

Table of Contents

HALO TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS, INC.
PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
Six Months ended December 31, 2005
(Unaudited)

	Halo(M)	Unify(N)	Pro Forma Adjustments	InfoNow(T)	Pro Forma Adjustments	Halo Pro Forma
Revenue						
Licenses	\$ 2,819,062	\$ 2,275,286	\$	\$ (28,111)	\$	\$ 5,066,237
Services	5,759,979	2,807,768	(1,193,759)(O)	4,348,770	(402,721)(U)	11,320,037
Total revenues	8,579,041	5,083,054	(1,193,759)	4,320,659	(402,721)	16,386,274
Cost of revenue						
Cost of license	200,500	216,367				416,867
Cost of services	1,098,048	1,031,564		2,925,688		5,055,300
Total cost of revenues	1,298,548	1,247,931		2,925,688		5,472,167
Gross Profit	7,280,493	3,835,123	(1,193,759)	1,394,971	(402,721)	10,914,107
Product development	2,516,793	1,367,097		271,691		4,155,581
Sales, marketing and business development	3,436,457	2,022,744		509,090		5,968,291
General and administrative	4,271,743	1,096,414	105,719(P)	1,234,991		6,708,867
Amortization of intangibles	1,194,439	60,666	479,199(Q)		182,675(V)	1,916,979
Loss before interest	(4,138,939)	(711,798)	(1,778,677)	(620,801)	(585,396)	(7,835,611)
Interest (expense) income	(3,553,807)	29,531	(1,021,631)(R)	45,714		(4,500,193)
Loss before income taxes	(7,692,746)	(682,267)	(2,800,308)	(575,087)	(585,396)	(12,335,804)
Income taxes	(86,488)		(S)		(W)	(86,488)
Net Loss	\$ (7,779,234)	\$ (682,267)	\$ (2,800,308)	\$ (575,087)	\$ (585,396)	\$ (12,422,292)
Computation of loss applicable to Common Shareholders						
Net loss before beneficial conversion	\$ (7,779,234)	\$ (682,267)	\$ (2,800,308)	\$ (575,087)	\$ (585,396)	\$ (12,422,292)

Preferred dividends							
Beneficial conversion							
Preferred dividends	(593,558)						(593,558)
Loss attributable to common stockholders	\$ (8,372,792)	\$ (682,267)	\$ (2,800,308)	\$ (575,087)	\$ (585,396)		\$ (13,015,850)
Basic and diluted loss per share pro forma	\$ (2.44)						\$ (0.30)
Weighted average shares outstanding pro forma	3,425,127						43,958,571(X)

See accompanying notes to unaudited pro forma consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

HALO TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS, INC.
PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
Year ended June 30, 2005
(Unaudited)

	Halo(M)	Unify(N)	Pro Forma Adjustments	InfoNow(T)	Pro Forma Adjustments	Halo Pro Forma
Revenue						
Licenses	\$ 2,986,752	\$ 5,210,035	\$	\$ 46,449	\$	\$ 8,243,236
Services	2,137,170	6,085,751	(1,633,565)(O)	9,454,962	(551,092)(U)	15,493,226
Total revenues	5,123,922	11,295,786	(1,633,565)	9,501,411	(551,092)	23,736,462
Cost of revenue						
Cost of license	151,051	392,040				543,091
Cost of services	396,490	1,290,641		6,177,554		7,864,685
Total cost of revenues	547,541	1,682,681		6,177,554		8,407,776
Gross Profit	4,576,381	9,613,105	(1,633,565)	3,323,857	(551,092)	15,328,686
Product development	1,589,099	2,825,834		865,067		5,280,000
Sales, marketing and business development	3,652,117	6,231,706		1,534,301		11,418,124
General and administrative	4,042,702	2,537,618	191,660(P)	3,002,134		9,774,114
Amortization of intangibles	946,063	60,666	958,397(Q)		365,351(V)	2,330,477
Late filing penalty	1,033,500					1,033,500
Intangible impairment	62,917					62,917
Goodwill impairment	3,893,294					3,893,294
Loss before interest	(10,643,311)	(2,042,719)	(2,783,622)	(2,077,645)	(916,443)	(18,463,741)
Interest (expense) income	(4,631,683)	54,635	(1,021,631)(R)	47,859		(5,550,820)
Loss before income taxes	(15,274,994)	(1,988,084)	(3,805,254)	(2,029,786)	(916,443)	(24,014,561)
Income taxes	(97,945)	(14,002)	(S)		(W)	(111,947)
Net Loss	\$ (15,372,939)	\$ (2,002,086)	\$ (3,805,254)	\$ (2,029,786)	\$ (916,443)	\$ (24,126,508)

Computation of
loss applicable
to Common
Shareholders

Net loss before beneficial conversion						
Preferred dividends	\$ (15,372,939)	\$ (2,002,086)	\$ (3,805,254)	\$ (2,029,786)	\$ (916,443)	\$ (24,126,508)
Beneficial conversion Preferred dividends	(7,510,590)					(7,510,590)

Loss attributable
to common
stockholders

\$ (22,883,529)	\$ (2,002,086)	\$ (3,805,254)	\$ (2,029,786)	\$ (916,443)	\$ (31,637,098)
-----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	--------------	-----------------

Basic and
diluted loss per
share pro forma

\$ (11.97)					\$ (0.75)
------------	--	--	--	--	-----------

Weighted
average shares
outstanding pro
forma

1,912,033					42,445,477(X)
-----------	--	--	--	--	---------------

See accompanying notes to unaudited pro forma consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

**NOTES TO THE PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED
CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)
(Unaudited)**

(M) Reflects the Company's historical statement of operations for the six months ended December 31, 2005 and the year ended June 30, 2005.

(N) Reflects Unify's historical statement of operations for the six months ended January 31, 2006 and the twelve months ended July 31, 2005, including various reclassifications to conform to the company's financial statement presentation. In order to conform Unify's fiscal year end from April 30 year end to Halo's June 30 year end, Unify's historical operating results have been derived from combinations of quarters in its two fiscal years. For the pro forma statements of operations for the six months ended December 31, 2005, Unify's results were derived by combining the quarter ended January 31, 2006 and quarter ended October 31, 2005 in its fiscal year ending April 30, 2006. For the pro forma statements of operations for the year ended June 30, 2005, Unify's results were derived by combining the quarter ended July 31, 2005 in the fiscal year ending April 30, 2006 and last three quarters of its fiscal year ended April 30, 2005.

(O) To record the decrease in amortization of the deferred revenue as a result of a fair value adjustment (in accordance with EITF 01-03, Accounting in a Business Combination for Deferred Revenue of an Acquiree) of \$1,193,759 and \$1,633,565 for the six months ended December 31, 2005 and for the year ended June 30, 2005, respectively, which is included in services revenue.

(P) To record the increased amortization of deferred compensation of \$105,719 and \$191,660 for the six months ended December 31, 2005 and for the year ended June 30, 2005, respectively. These increases are the results of the conversion of unvested Unify stock options.

(Q) To record the increased amortization of intangibles of \$479,199 and \$958,397 for the six months ended December 31, 2005 and for the year ended June 30, 2005, respectively. The increase in the amortization results from the increase in the fair market value of the intangible assets acquired.

(R) To record the increased interest expense of \$1,021,631 for both the six months ended December 31, 2005 and for the year ended June 30, 2005. The increase in the interest expense results from conversion of the convertible notes described in the note (E) of NOTES TO THE PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEET.

(S) The Company did not record an income tax benefit because the company provided a full valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset.

(T) Reflects InfoNow's historical statement of operations for the six months ended December 31, 2005 and the twelve months ended June 30, 2005, including various reclassifications to conform to the company's financial statement presentation. In order to conform InfoNow's fiscal year end from calendar year end to Halo's June 30 year end, InfoNow's historical operating results have been derived from combinations of quarters in its two fiscal years. For the pro forma statements of operations for the six months ended December 31, 2005, InfoNow's results were derived by combining the last two quarters ended December 31, 2005 in its fiscal year ended December 31, 2005. For the pro forma statements of operations for the year ended June 30, 2005, InfoNow's results were derived by combining the first two quarters of its the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 and last two quarters of its fiscal year ended December 31, 2004.

(U) To record the decrease in amortization of the deferred revenue as a result of a fair value adjustment (in accordance with EITF 01-03, Accounting in a Business Combination for Deferred Revenue of an Acquiree) of \$402,721 and \$551,092 for the six months ended December 31, 2005 and for the twelve months ended June 30, 2005, respectively, which is included in services revenue.

(V) To record the increased amortization of intangibles of \$182,675 and \$365,351 for the six months ended December 31, 2005 and for the year ended June 30, 2005, respectively. The increase in the amortization results from the increase in the fair market value of the intangible assets acquired.

Table of Contents

**NOTES TO THE PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED
CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)
(Unaudited)**

(W) The Company did not record an income tax benefit because the company provided a full valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset.

(X) The weighted average number of shares are calculated as follows:

	Six months ended December 31, 2005	Year ended June 30, 2005
Halo's weighted average shares as reported on 10Q and 10K	3,425,127	1,912,033
Common stock to be issued under Closing Conditions		
Equity to be raised	1,600,000	1,600,000
Convertible notes to be converted	944,334	944,334
Preferred Series C and Series D to be converted	20,848,291	20,848,291
Common stock to be issued to Unify stockholders	12,836,089	12,836,089
Common stock to be issued to InfoNow stockholders	4,304,730	4,304,730
Weighted average shares pro forma	43,958,571	42,445,477

Table of Contents**Comparative Market Price**

Halo common stock trades on the over the counter bulletin boards under the symbol HALO. Unify common stock trades on the over the counter bulletin boards under the symbol UNFY.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sale prices per share of Halo and Unify common stock, each as reported on the bulletin boards or the exchange on which the stock was trading as of the relevant date:

Three Months Ended	Halo		Unify	
	High	Low	High	Low
2004				
March 31, 2004	\$ 31.00	\$ 17.00	\$ 1.39	\$ 0.86
June 30, 2004	18.00	6.00	1.02	0.71
2005				
September 30, 2004	8.00	3.00	0.80	0.37
December 31, 2004	5.00	1.50	0.66	0.38
March 31, 2005	5.00	1.51	0.66	0.46
June 30, 2005	4.00	1.60	0.638	0.35
2006				
September 30, 2005	2.85	.92	0.42	0.35
December 31, 2005	1.75	1.10	0.37	0.30
March 31, 2006	1.80	1.20	0.45	0.32

The following table presents the last reported sale price of a share of Halo common stock and a share of Unify common stock on March 13, 2006, the last full trading day before announcement of the signing of the merger agreement, and on March 31, 2006, the last practicable date prior to the mailing of this document. The table also presents the implied value of a share of Unify common stock on an equivalent per share basis on each of these two dates, calculated by multiplying the last reported sale price of a Halo common share on each such date by 0.437, which is the exchange ratio.

Date	Halo Common Shares	Unify Common Shares	Implied per Share Value of Unify Common Shares
March 13, 2006	\$ 1.57	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.68
March 31, 2006	\$ 1.20	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.52

You should obtain current stock price quotations for Unify common stock and Halo common stock.

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the following factors, together with the other information contained in this proxy statement/ prospectus, before determining whether or not to vote in favor of the approval and adoption of the merger agreement and the merger. By voting in favor of the merger, you will be choosing to invest in Halo common stock. An investment in Halo common stock involves a high degree of risk. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to Halo or that Halo does not currently deem material may also become important factors that may harm Halo's business. If any of the following risks actually occur, Halo's business, financial condition or results of operations would likely suffer, the trading price of Halo's common stock would probably decline, and you may lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related to the Merger

Failure to complete the merger could negatively impact Unify's and/or Halo's stock price, future business, or operations.

If the merger is not completed, Halo and Unify may be subject to a number of material risks, including the following:

Unify may be required under certain circumstances to pay Halo a termination fee of \$600,000;

the price of Halo's and/or Unify's common stock may decline to the extent that the relevant current market price reflects a market assumption that the merger will be completed; and

costs related to the merger, such as legal, accounting, certain financial advisory and financial printing fees, must be paid, even if the merger is not completed.

Further, if the merger is terminated and Unify's board of directors determines to seek another merger or business combination, there can be no assurance that it will be able to find a partner on terms as attractive as those provided for in the merger agreement. In addition, while the merger agreement is in effect and subject to very narrowly defined exceptions, Unify is prohibited from soliciting, initiating, encouraging or entering into certain extraordinary transactions, such as a merger, disposition, consolidation, dissolution, sale of assets or other business combination, other than with Halo. See the sections entitled "The Merger Agreement," "No Solicitation," "Termination," "Termination Fee," and "Risk Factors—Risks Related to Halo's Business" beginning on pages 84, 85, 85 and 34, respectively, of this proxy statement/ prospectus.

Failure to satisfy or waive certain conditions could prevent the merger from occurring.

The closing of the merger is contingent upon various conditions being satisfied or waived. If all the conditions are not satisfied or waived, the merger will not occur. If the merger does not occur, each of Halo and Unify will lose the intended benefits of the merger. The following conditions, in addition to other customary closing conditions, must be satisfied or waived, if permissible, before Halo and/or Unify are obligated to complete the merger:

the merger agreement must be adopted by the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Unify common stock as of the record date;

there must not be any order, injunction or decree preventing the completion of the merger;

this proxy statement/ prospectus must be declared effective by the SEC and no stop order suspending such effectiveness shall be in effect;

there shall have been no material adverse change in the business, operations, condition (financial or otherwise), assets or liabilities of either Unify or Halo;

Halo must have received at least \$2,000,000 in new money equity investments;

certain holders of convertible promissory notes of Halo must have converted such promissory notes into shares of Halo common stock;

Table of Contents

the holders of all outstanding shares of Halo's preferred stock must convert their shares of Halo preferred stock to shares of Halo common stock;

should any holders of Unify common stock exercise dissenters rights with respect to the merger, the number of shares of common stock held by such holders shall not be more than ten percent of Unify's common stock; and

Todd Wille and Halo must have entered into an employment agreement.

Any termination of the merger agreement, regardless of whether termination expenses are required to be paid, could lead to a possible decline in the market price of Halo and/or Unify common stock to the extent current market prices reflect a market assumption that the merger will be completed.

Because the market price of Halo common shares will fluctuate, the value of the Halo common shares that will be issued in the merger will not be known until the closing of the merger.

The value of the Halo common stock to be issued in the merger could be considerably higher or lower than the value at the time the merger consideration was negotiated. Neither Halo nor Unify is permitted to terminate the merger agreement or resolicit the vote of Unify stockholders solely because of changes in the market prices of either company's stock. Stock price changes may result from a variety of factors, including changes in the respective businesses operations and prospects of Halo and Unify, changes in general market and economic conditions, and regulatory considerations. Many of these factors are beyond the control of Halo or Unify.

Upon the completion of the merger, each share of Unify common stock outstanding immediately prior to the merger will be converted into the right to receive 0.437 shares of Halo common stock. Because the exchange ratio for Halo common shares to be issued in the merger has been fixed, the value of the merger consideration will depend upon the market price of Halo common shares. This market price may vary from the closing price of Halo common shares on the date the merger was announced, on the date that the proxy statement/ prospectus is mailed to Unify stockholders and Halo shareholders and on the date of the Unify special meeting. Accordingly, at the time of the stockholder meetings, stockholders will not know or be able to calculate the value of the merger consideration that would be issued upon completion of the merger. Further, the time period between the stockholder votes taken at the meeting and the completion of the merger will depend on the satisfaction or waiver of other conditions to closing, and there is currently no way to predict how long it will take or the changes in Halo's and Unify's respective businesses, operations and prospects that may occur during this interval. See the sections entitled "The Merger Agreement," "Merger Consideration; Stock Payment," and "Common Stock Options and Warrants" beginning on page 78 of this proxy statement/ prospectus.

Halo will incur significant costs to achieve and may not be able to realize the anticipated savings, synergies or revenue enhancements from the merger.

Halo and Unify entered into the merger agreement with the expectation that the merger will result in various benefits, including among others, the ability to spread certain redundant costs associated with operating as separate public companies, including duplicative corporate functions and accounting and legal fees associated with SEC reporting, over a broader base of portfolio companies. Achieving the anticipated benefits of the merger is subject to a number of uncertainties, including whether Halo integrates Unify in an effective and efficient manner, and general competitive factors in the marketplace. Failure to achieve these anticipated benefits could result in increased costs and diversification of management's time and energy could materially impact Halo's business, financial condition and operating results.

Even if Halo is able to integrate successfully its operations with Unify's operations, Halo may not be able to realize the cost savings, synergies or revenue enhancements that Halo anticipates from the integration, either in the amount or the time frame that Halo currently expects. Halo's ability to realize

Table of Contents

anticipated cost savings, synergies and revenue enhancements may be affected by a number of factors, including, but not limited to:

Halo's ability to effectively eliminate duplicative back office overhead and overlapping and redundant general and administrative functions and costs associated with operating as separate public companies;

the anticipated utilization of cash resources on integration and implementation activities to achieve those cost savings, which could be greater than Halo currently expects and which could offset any such savings and other synergies resulting from the merger;

increases in other expenses, operating losses or problems unrelated to the merger, which may offset the cost savings and other synergies from the merger or divert resources intended to be used in the integration plan; and

Halo's ability to avoid labor disruption in connection with the integration.

Halo may not successfully integrate Unify into its business and operations.

Prior to the consummation of the merger, Halo and Unify operated as separate entities. Halo may experience material negative consequences to its business, financial condition or results of operations if it cannot successfully integrate Unify's operations with Halo's. The integration of companies that have previously been operated separately involves a number of risks, including, but not limited to:

demands on management related to the significant increase in the size of the business for which they are responsible;

diversion of management's attention from the management of daily operations to the integration of operations, whether perceived or actual;

management of employee relations across facilities;

difficulties in the assimilation of different corporate cultures and practices, as well as in the assimilation and retention of broad and geographically dispersed personnel and operations;

difficulties and unanticipated expenses related to the integration of departments, systems (including accounting systems), technologies, books and records, procedures and controls (including internal accounting controls, procedures and policies), as well as in maintaining uniform standards, including environmental management systems;

expenses of any undisclosed or potential liabilities; and

Halo's ability to maintain its customers and Unify's customers after the acquisition.

Successful integration of Unify's operations with Halo's depends on Halo's ability to manage the combined operations, to realize opportunities for revenue growth presented by broader product offerings and expanded geographic coverage and to eliminate redundant and excess costs. If Halo's integration efforts are not successful, Halo may not be able to maintain the levels of revenues, earnings or operating efficiency that it and Unify have achieved or might achieve separately. In addition, the unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial data presented in this proxy statement/prospectus cover periods during which Halo and Unify were not under the same management and, therefore, may not be indicative of Halo's future financial condition or operating results.

The costs of the merger and the costs of integrating Halo's and Unify's operations are substantial and will make it more difficult for the combined company to achieve profitability.

Halo and Unify will incur substantial costs in connection with the merger that may make it more difficult to achieve profitability in the future. Halo and Unify expect that they will incur costs associated with the merger,

consisting of transaction fees for attorneys, accountants and other related costs in an amount currently estimated to be approximately \$645,000. In addition, we anticipate incurring

32

Table of Contents

nonrecurring restructuring costs associated with the merger. There is no guarantee that Halo and Unify will not, however, incur merger related costs in excess of these amounts.

The price of Halo common stock may be affected by factors different than those affecting the price of Unify common stock.

Holders of Unify common stock will be entitled to receive Halo common stock in the merger and will become holders of Halo common stock. Halo's business differs in certain ways from Unify's business, and the factors affecting Halo's results of operations, as well as the price of Halo common stock, may be different than the factors affecting Unify's results of operations and the price of Unify common stock. The price of Halo common stock may fluctuate significantly following the merger for many reasons, including as a result of factors over which Halo has no control. For a discussion of the businesses of Halo and Unify and certain factors to consider in connection with their businesses, see the sections entitled "Certain Information Concerning Halo Business of Halo," "Certain Information Concerning the Merger Sub," "Certain Information Concerning Unify Description of Business" and "Where You Can Find More Information" beginning on pages 91, 121, 125 and 154, respectively, of this proxy statement/ prospectus.

The market price of Halo common stock may decline as a result of the merger.

The market price of Halo common stock may decline as a result of the merger for a number of reasons, including if:

- the premium offered by Halo relative to Unify's stock price on the date the merger agreement was announced is not viewed favorably by the market;

- the integration of Halo and Unify is unsuccessful;

- Halo does not achieve the perceived benefits of the merger as rapidly or to the extent anticipated by financial or industry analysts; or

- the effects of the merger on Halo's results are not consistent with the expectations of financial or industry analysts.

The issuance of shares of Halo common stock in the merger will result in immediate dilution of Halo's outstanding common stock.

Upon completion of the merger, up to 14,900,000 shares of Halo common stock will be issued or reserved for issuance to holders of common stock and options and warrants to purchase or acquire Unify common stock. The resulting dilution of Halo's common stock could have a negative impact the market price for its common stock.

Halo's relatively low trading volume may limit your ability to sell your shares of Halo common stock received in the merger.

The average daily trading volume of Halo's common stock was less than 5,000 shares during the year ended December 31, 2005. As a result of this low trading volume, you may have difficulty selling Halo shares received in the merger in the manner or at the price that might be attainable if Halo's common stock were more actively traded.

Sales of substantial amounts of Halo common stock in the open market could depress Halo's stock price.

Sales of a large number of shares of Halo common stock in the public market following the completion of the merger, or even the belief that such sales could occur, could cause a drop in the market price of Halo common stock and could impair Halo's ability to raise capital through offerings of Halo's equity securities. Based on current assumptions, we estimate that, immediately after the merger, there will be approximately 43,754,608 shares of Halo common stock outstanding (assuming Halo fulfills the conditions in the merger agreement requiring conversion of Halo preferred stock and convertible notes into

Table of Contents

Halo common stock; assuming no Unify options or warrants are converted or exercised prior to the merger; and excluding any shares of Halo common stock issuable in the InfoNow acquisition). All of the shares issued to Unify stockholders will be freely tradable without restrictions or further registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, unless such shares are held by any person who was an affiliate of Unify prior to the merger, as that term is defined in Rule 144 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The term affiliate would include directors and some officers and principal stockholders of Unify.

Halo and Unify may waive one or more of the conditions to the merger without resoliciting Unify stockholder approval for the merger.

Each of the conditions to Halo's and Unify's obligations to complete the merger may be waived, in whole or in part, to the extent permitted by applicable law, by agreement of Halo and Unify if the condition is a condition to both Halo's and Unify's obligation to complete the merger, or by the party for which such conditions are a condition of its obligation to complete the merger. Unify's board of directors will evaluate the materiality of any such waiver to determine whether amendment of this proxy/statement prospectus and resolicitation of proxies is necessary. However, Halo and Unify generally do not expect any such waiver to be significant enough to require resolicitation of stockholders. In the event that any such waiver is not determined to be significant enough to require resolicitation of the stockholders, the companies will have the discretion to complete the merger without seeking further stockholder approval.

The termination fee may discourage other companies from trying to acquire Unify.

Under the merger agreement, Unify has agreed to pay a termination fee of \$600,000 plus expenses to Halo in particular circumstances, including circumstances in which a third party seeks to acquire or acquires Unify. This termination fee could discourage other companies from trying to acquire Unify even though those other companies might be willing to offer greater consideration to Unify stockholders than Halo has offered in the merger agreement. In addition, payment of the termination fee could have a material adverse effect on Unify's financial condition.

Departure of key personnel or the failure to attract qualified employees may negatively impact the business of Halo after the consummation of the merger.

Halo's ability to maintain its competitive position after the consummation of the merger will depend, in large part, on its ability to attract and retain highly qualified development, sales, professional services and managerial personnel. Competition for these persons is intense. While the merger will increase Halo's human resources in this area, there is always a risk of departure of key employees due to the combination process. The announcement of the proposed merger may impede Halo's and Unify's ability to attract and retain personnel before and after the transaction. The loss of a significant group of key personnel would adversely affect Halo's business efforts after the consummation of the merger.

General uncertainty related to the merger could harm Halo.

Halo's or Unify's customers may, in response to the announcement of the proposed merger, delay or defer purchasing decisions. If Halo's or Unify's customers delay or defer purchasing decisions, Halo and Unify's pre-and post merger revenues could materially decline. Similarly, Halo's and Unify's employees may experience uncertainty about their future role after the merger is completed. This may harm Halo's and Unify's ability to attract and retain key management, sales, marketing and technical personnel. Also, speculation regarding the likelihood of the completion of the merger could increase the volatility of Halo's stock price. The disruption of the businesses of Halo and Unify caused by these issues could cause quarterly and annual operating results to be lower than expected.

Table of Contents

Halo's and Unify's officers and directors have interests that may influence them to support or approve the merger.

Unify stockholders should be aware that certain members of the Unify board of directors and management have interests in the merger that are different from, or are in addition to, the interests of other Unify stockholders that may make them more likely to approve and adopt the merger agreement and approve the merger.

The merger agreement provides that rights to indemnification, found in Unify's certificate of incorporation and bylaws, benefiting Unify's directors and officers, will survive the closing of the merger. The merger agreement also provides for the purchase of a directors and officers insurance policy for the benefit of Unify's directors and officers.

In addition, certain executive officers of Unify are parties to change of control severance agreements that could be triggered if they are terminated prior to or following the merger; and certain executive officers of Unify may continue as employees of Halo and may receive options to purchase Halo common stock following the merger.

It is a condition to the merger that Unify's Chief Executive Officer, Todd Wille, enters into an employment agreement with Halo.

Risks Related to Halo's Business

References to we, us and our throughout this Risks Related to Halo's Business section are references to Halo.

We have a limited operating history

Halo has a limited operating history. Such limited operating history makes it more difficult to predict whether or not we will be successful in the future. Our future financial and operational success is subject to the risks, uncertainties, expenses, delays and difficulties associated with managing a new business, many of which may be beyond our control. In addition, Halo competes in a relatively new market known as the information technology market. Because this market rapidly evolves, companies competing in it may face many uncertainties. Our success will depend on many factors, including those described in this Risk Factors section.

We have a history of losses and negative working capital and may need additional financing in the near future

We have experienced operating losses, as well as net losses, for each of the years during which we have operated. Halo has incurred recurring operating losses since its inception. As of December 31, 2005, Halo had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$70,953,000 and a working capital deficit of \$18,271,000.

Conditions may arise, including potential risks described herein, that may require Halo to raise additional funds for its working capital needs and to continue to execute the requirements of its business plan. If these conditions arise, there can be no assurance that Halo will be successful in its efforts to raise sufficient capital.

If we achieve profitability, we cannot give any assurance that we would be able to sustain or increase profitability on a quarterly or annual basis in the future. Furthermore, Halo intends to pursue opportunities to acquire other businesses, and may need to raise capital in order to pursue such acquisitions.

Similarly, in the future, we may not generate sufficient revenue from operations to pay our operating expenses. If we fail to generate sufficient cash from operations to pay these expenses, our management will need to identify other sources of funds. We may not be able to borrow money or issue more shares of common stock or preferred stock to meet our cash needs. Even if we can complete such transactions, they

Table of Contents

may not be on terms that are favorable or reasonable from our perspective. As a result, you may lose your entire investment.

We may not be able to borrow funds.

There currently are no legal limitations on our ability to borrow funds to increase the amount of capital available to us to carry out our business plan. However, our limited resources and limited operating history may make it difficult to borrow additional funds. The amount and nature of any such borrowings would depend on numerous considerations, including our capital requirements, our perceived ability to meet debt service on any such borrowings and the then prevailing conditions in the financial markets, as well as general economic conditions. There can be no assurance that debt financing, if required or sought, would be available on terms deemed to be commercially acceptable by us and in our best interest.

If we fail to meet our obligations under our debt agreements our secured lender could foreclose on our assets.

On August 2, 2005, Halo entered into a credit agreement (as amended, the Fortress Credit Agreement), between Halo, the Subsidiaries of Halo listed in Schedule 1 thereto, Fortress Credit Corp. as original lender (together with any additional lenders, the Fortress Lenders), and Fortress Credit Corp. as agent (the Fortress Agent) pursuant to which Halo may borrow up to \$50 million. Halo initially borrowed \$10 million, the proceeds of which were used to pay off prior senior secured notes and a portion of Halo's subordinated indebtedness. On October 26, 2005, in connection with the acquisitions of five enterprise software companies, Halo entered into Amendment Agreement with Fortress amending the Fortress Credit Agreement. Under the Amendment, the Fortress Lenders made an additional loan of \$15,000,000 under the credit facility. There can be no assurance that Halo will be able to borrow further amounts under the Fortress Credit Agreement. Future borrowings are subject to the satisfaction of various conditions precedent, including lender approval of the use of further borrowings.

The Fortress Credit Agreement contains numerous financial and operating covenants. There can be no assurance that Halo will be able to comply with these covenants, and failure to meet such covenants or the failure of the lenders to agree to amend or waive compliance with covenants that Halo does not meet would result in a default under the Fortress Credit Agreement. Moreover, Halo's subordinated debt incorporates the covenants and default provisions of the Fortress Credit Agreement. Any material default that is not amended or waived under any of these agreements will result in a default under most or all of Halo's financing arrangements.

The lenders under the Fortress Credit Agreement have a security interest in all of Halo's and its subsidiaries' assets, including the stock in the subsidiaries held by Halo. An unwaived default by Halo under the Fortress Credit Agreement could permit the lenders thereunder to foreclose on all of the assets of Halo, thereby causing Halo to cease doing business. Upon such an occurrence, stockholders would lose their entire investment in Halo.

Rapidly Changing Markets

The markets for our products are characterized by:

- rapidly changing technologies;

- evolving and competing industry standards;

- changing customer needs;

- frequent new product introductions and enhancements;

- increased integration with other functions; and

- rapid product obsolescence.

Table of Contents

To develop new products for our target markets, we must develop, gain access to and use leading technologies in a cost-effective and timely manner and continue to expand our technical and design expertise. In addition, we must maintain close working relationships with key customers and potential customers in order to develop new products that meet their changing needs.

Rapidly Changing Technology

Halo may not be able to identify new product opportunities successfully, develop and bring to market new products, achieve design wins or respond effectively to new technological changes or product announcements by its competitors. In addition, we may not be successful in developing or using new technologies or in developing new products or product enhancements that achieve market acceptance. Our pursuit of necessary technological advances may require substantial time and expense. Failure in any of these areas could harm our operating results.

Our Ability to Compete Successfully Will Depend, In Part, On Our Ability to Protect Our Intellectual Property Rights

Halo relies on a combination of patent, trade secrets, copyrights, nondisclosure agreements and other contractual provisions and technical measures to protect its intellectual property rights. Policing unauthorized use of our products, however, is difficult, especially in foreign countries. Litigation may be necessary in the future to enforce our intellectual property rights, to protect our trade secrets, to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others, or to defend against claims of infringement or invalidity. Litigation could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources and could harm our business, operating results and financial condition regardless of the outcome of the litigation. In addition, there can be no assurance that the courts will enforce the contractual arrangements which Halo has entered into to protect its intellectual property rights. Our operating results could be harmed by any failure to protect our intellectual property rights.

Competition

Halo's subsidiaries are engaged in businesses which are highly competitive and we expect significant competition for our technologies. Many of our competitors, for example, IBM, Microsoft, and Oracle (with respect to Gupta's business) and Cisco Systems, Inc. (with respect to Warp Solutions) have been in business for a number of years, have established customer bases, are larger, and have greater financial resources than Halo. There can be no assurance as to the degree to which we will be able to successfully compete in our industry.

Development of Products

Halo's subsidiaries are currently developing new products, as well as new applications of existing products. There can be no assurance that we will not experience difficulties that could delay or prevent the successful development, introduction or marketing of our products, or that our new or enhanced products will adequately meet the requirements of our current or prospective customers. Any failure by Halo or its subsidiaries to successfully design, develop, test and introduce such new products, or the failure of Halo's recently introduced products to achieve market acceptance, could prevent us from maintaining existing customer relationships, gaining new customers or expanding our markets and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are Dependent on Key Personnel

Our future success depends in part on the continued service of our key design engineering, sales, marketing and executive personnel and our ability to identify, recruit and retain additional personnel. At the date of this prospectus, there were four employment agreements between Halo and its executive officers.

Table of Contents

Managing Growth and Expansion

Halo is currently anticipating a period of growth as a result of its recent marketing and sales efforts. The resulting strain on our managerial, operational, financial and other resources could be significant. Success in managing this expansion and growth will depend, in part, upon the ability of senior management to manage effectively. Any failure to manage the anticipated growth and expansion could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We Expect to Pay No Cash Dividends

We presently do not expect to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future. The payment of cash dividends, if any, will be contingent upon our revenues and earnings, if any, capital requirements, and general financial condition. The payment of any cash dividends will be within the discretion of our board of directors. We presently intend to retain all earnings, if any, to implement our business plan. Accordingly, we do not anticipate the declaration of any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

Indemnification of Officers and Directors

Our Articles of Incorporation provide for the indemnification of our officers and directors to the fullest extent permitted by the laws of the State of Nevada and the federal securities laws. It is possible that the indemnification obligations imposed under these provisions could result in a charge against our earnings and thereby affect the availability of funds for other uses.

Halo May be Subject from Time to Time to Certain Legal Proceedings Which Could Be Material

From time to time, Halo may be involved in litigation that arises in the normal course of its business operations. As of the date of this proxy statement/ prospectus, Halo is not a party to any litigation that it believes could reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on its business or results of operations.

Our Common Stock Is Subject To Penny Stock Restrictions Under Federal Securities Laws Which Could Reduce The Liquidity Of Our Common Stock

The Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted regulations, which generally define penny stocks to be an equity security that has a market price less than \$5.00 per share or an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exemptions. On March 31, 2006, the last sale price for our common stock, as quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board, was \$1.20 per share and therefore, our common stock is designated a Penny Stock. As a penny stock, our common stock may become subject to Rule 15g-9 under the Exchange Act or the Penny Stock Rules. These rules include, but are not limited to, Rules 3a5-1, 15g-1, 15g-2, 15g-3, 15g-4, 15g-5, 15g-6 and 15g-7 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These rules impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers that sell such securities to persons other than established customers and accredited investors (generally, individuals with a net worth in excess of \$1,000,000 or annual incomes exceeding \$200,000, or \$300,000 together with their spouses). For transactions covered by Rule 15g-9, a broker-dealer must make a special suitability determination for the purchaser and have received the purchaser's written consent to the transaction prior to sale. As a result, this rule may affect the ability of broker-dealers to sell our securities and may affect the ability of purchasers to sell any of our securities in the secondary market.

The rules may further affect the ability of owners of our shares to sell their securities in any market that may develop for them. There may be a limited market for penny stocks, due to the regulatory burdens on broker-dealers. The market among dealers may not be active. Investors in penny stock often are unable to sell stock back to the dealer that sold them the stock. The mark-ups or commissions charged by the broker-dealers may be greater than any profit a seller may make. Because of large dealer spreads, investors may be unable to sell the stock immediately back to the dealer at the same price the dealer sold the stock to the investor. In some cases, the stock may fall quickly in value. Investors may be unable to reap any profit from any sale of the stock, if they can sell it at all.

Table of Contents

For any transaction involving a penny stock, unless exempt, the rules require delivery, prior to any transaction in a penny stock, of a disclosure schedule prepared by the Securities and Exchange Commission relating to the penny stock market. Disclosure is also required to be made about sales commissions payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative and current quotations for the securities. Finally, monthly statements are required to be sent disclosing recent price information for the penny stock held in the account and information on the limited market in penny stock.

The penny stock restrictions will no longer apply to our common stock if we become listed on a national exchange. In any event, even if our common stock were exempt from the penny stock restrictions, we would remain subject to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, which gives the Securities and Exchange Commission the authority to restrict any person from participating in a distribution of penny stock, if the Securities and Exchange Commission finds that such a restriction would be in the public interest.

Risk Factors Related to Halo's Acquisition Strategy

References to we, us and our throughout this Risk Factors Related to Halo's Acquisition Strategy section are references to Halo.

Growth and Acquisition Risks

One of Halo's primary strategies is to pursue the acquisition of other companies or assets that either complement or expand its existing business. Halo completed the acquisition of Gupta in January 2005, the acquisition of Kenosia in July 2005, and the acquisition of Tesseract and four other software companies, DAVID, Process, ProfitKey and Foresight, in October 2005. In addition, Halo completed the acquisition of Empagio in January 2006 and ECI in March 2006, and entered into an agreement for the acquisition of InfoNow in December 2005. The acquisition of Unify and InfoNow are expected to close in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006. Halo has also had preliminary acquisition discussions with, or has evaluated the potential acquisition of, several other companies. However, Halo is unable to predict the likelihood or timing of a material acquisition being completed in the future.

Halo anticipates that one or more potential acquisition opportunities, including those that would be material, may become available in the near future. If and when appropriate acquisition opportunities become available, Halo intends to pursue them actively. There can be no assurance that Halo will be able to profitably manage the addition of Gupta, Kenosia, Tesseract, DAVID, ProfitKey, Foresight, Process, Empagio, ECI, InfoNow and Unify or that it will be able to identify, acquire or profitably manage additional companies or successfully integrate such additional companies into its operations without substantial costs, delays or other problems. In addition, there can be no assurance that any companies acquired will be profitable at the time of their acquisition or will achieve sales and profitability that justify the investment therein. Acquisitions may involve a number of special risks, including adverse effects on Halo's reported operating results, diversion of management's attention, dependence on retention and hiring of key personnel, and risks associated with unanticipated problems or legal liabilities, some or all of which could have a material adverse effect on Halo's operations and financial performance. The expansion of Halo's operations, whether through acquisitions or internal growth, may place substantial burdens on Halo's management resources and financial controls. There is no assurance that the increasing burdens on Halo's management resources and financial controls will not have an adverse effect on Halo's operations.

We May Not Be Able To Finance Future Acquisitions

We seek to use shares of our common stock to finance a portion of the consideration for acquisitions. If our common stock does not maintain a sufficient market value or the owners of businesses we may seek to acquire are otherwise unwilling to accept shares of common stock as part of the consideration for the sale of their businesses, we may be required to use more of our cash resources in order to implement our acquisition strategy. If we have insufficient cash resources, our ability to pursue acquisitions could be limited unless we are able to obtain additional funds through debt or equity financing. Our ability to obtain

Table of Contents

debt financing may be constrained by existing or future loan covenants, the satisfaction of which may be dependent upon our ability to raise additional equity capital through either offerings for cash or the issuance of stock as consideration for acquisitions. We cannot assure you that our cash resources will be sufficient, or that other financing will be available on terms we find acceptable. If we are unable to obtain sufficient financing, we may be unable to implement fully our acquisition strategy.

Additional Risk Factors Related to the Business of Halo's Operating Subsidiaries

References to we, us and our throughout this Additional Risk Factors Related to the Business of Halo's Operating Subsidiaries section are references to Halo.

Financial Results May Vary Significantly from Quarter to Quarter

Halo's operating results have varied significantly from quarter to quarter at times in the past and may continue to vary significantly from quarter to quarter in the future due to a variety of factors. Many of these factors are outside of our control. These factors include:

- fluctuations in demand for Halo's products, upgrades to Halo's products, or services;

- fluctuations in demand for Halo's products due to the potential deteriorating economic conditions of Halo's customer base;

- seasonality of purchases and the timing of product sales and shipments;

- unexpected delays in introducing new products and services or improvements to existing products and services;

- new product releases, licensing models or pricing policies by Halo's competitors;

- acquisitions or mergers involving Halo's competitors or customers;

- impact of changes to Halo's product distribution strategy and pricing policies;

- lack of order backlog;

- loss of a significant customer or distributor;

- changes in purchasing and/or payment practices by Halo's distributors or other customers;

- a reduction in the number of independent software vendors (ISVs), who embed Halo's products, or value-added resellers (or VARs), who sell and deploy Halo's products;

- changes in the mix of domestic and international sales;

- impact of changes to Halo's geographic investment levels and business models;

- gains or losses associated with discontinued operations; and

- changes in Halo's business plan or strategy.

Halo's revenue growth and profitability depend on the overall demand for Halo's products and services, which in turn depends on general economic and business conditions. The nature and extent of the effect of the current economic climate on Halo's ability to sell its products and services is uncertain. A softening of demand for Halo's products and services caused by weakening of the economy may result in decreased revenues or lower growth rates. There can be no assurance that we will be able to effectively promote revenue growth rates in all economic conditions.

Significant portions of Halo's expenses are not variable in the short term and cannot be quickly reduced to respond to decreases in revenues. Therefore, if Halo's revenues are below expectations, Halo's operating results are likely to be adversely and disproportionately affected. In addition, Halo may change its prices, modify its distribution strategy and policies, accelerate its investment in research and development, sales or marketing efforts in response to competitive pressures or pursue new market

Table of Contents

opportunities. Any one of these activities may further limit Halo's ability to adjust spending in response to revenue fluctuations.

Seasonality May Contribute to Fluctuations in Halo's Quarterly Operating Results

Halo's business has experienced seasonal customer buying patterns with relatively weaker demand in the quarters ending June 30 and September 30. We believe that this pattern may continue.

Halo Currently Operates Without a Backlog

Halo generally operates with virtually no order backlog because Halo's software products are shipped and revenue is recognized shortly after orders are received. This lack of backlog makes product revenues in any quarter substantially dependent on orders booked and shipped throughout that quarter.

Our Efforts to Develop and Maintain Brand Awareness of Halo Products May Not be Successful

Brand awareness is important given competition in the markets where Halo operates. We are aware of other companies that use similar product names in order to promote their competing products and services, including but not limited to services to port Halo's customers' applications to other database's and/or programming languages or development suites. We expect that it may be difficult or impossible to prevent third-party usage of Halo's or its operating subsidiaries' names and our products names and variations of these names for competing goods and services. Competitors or others who use marks similar to Halo brand names may cause confusion among actual and potential customers, which could prevent Halo from achieving significant brand recognition. If we fail to promote and maintain the Halo brand or incur significant related expenses, Halo's business, operating results and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

Halo must succeed in the Cross Platform Application Development Market if it is to Realize the Expected Benefits of its Linux Development

Halo's long-term strategic plan for its Gupta subsidiary depends upon the successful development and introduction of products and solutions that address the needs of cross platform development of applications targeting both Microsoft Windows and Linux operating systems. In order for Halo to succeed in these markets, it must implement strategies and products to ensure single-source code line compatibility on both platforms and provide a Web services model that is capable of consuming both J2EE and .Net Web services consistently on both the Microsoft Windows and Linux platforms. This will require focusing a significant portion of Halo's resources on product development.

The challenges involved include the following:

- coordinating software development operations in a rapid and efficient manner to ensure timely release of products to market;

- combining product offerings and support services quickly and effectively;

- successfully managing difficulties associated with transitioning current customers to new technologies;

- demonstrating to Halo customers the new technology will provide greater integration throughout the enterprise; and

- creating key alliances.

Table of Contents

In addition, Halo's success in these markets will depend on several factors, many of which are outside Halo's control including:

General adoption of Web services as the preferred method of integrating data and applications; and

Halo's ability to position itself as a premier provider of cross platform application development tools for integrating enterprise data and information.

If we are unable to succeed in this market, Halo's business may be harmed and we may be prevented from realizing the anticipated benefits of Halo's cross platform strategy.

Halo May Face Problems in Connection With Contractual or Licensing Arrangements

Halo is a party to certain offshore development, consulting, and services agreements, pursuant to which Halo receives quality assurance testing and certain enhancements to Halo's products. Halo's product development plans are dependent on maintaining similar arrangements in the future. There is no assurance that such contractual arrangements will continue to be available on economically beneficial terms.

In addition, Halo has licensed technology from and entered into services agreements with other software development companies. The licensed technology and services enhance Halo's products, and assist in the design, development, testing and deployment of certain of Halo's software products. We cannot be certain that the market acceptance or demand for these new products will meet our expectations.

Halo May Face Problems in Connection With Product Line Expansion

In the future, Halo may acquire, license or develop additional products. Future product line expansion may require Halo to modify or expand its business. If Halo is unable to fully integrate new products with its existing operations, Halo may not receive the intended benefits of such product line expansion. We cannot be certain that the market acceptance or demand for these new products will meet our expectations.

A Small Number of Distributors Account For a Significant Percentage of Halo's Billings

The loss of a major distributor, changes in a distributor's payment practices, changes in the financial stability of a major distributor or any reduction in orders by such distributor, including reductions due to market or competitive conditions combined with the potential inability to replace the distributor on a timely basis, or any modifications to our pricing or distribution channel strategy could materially adversely affect Halo's business, operating results and financial condition. Many of Halo's ISVs, VARs and end users place their orders through distributors. A relatively small number of distributors have accounted for a significant percentage of Halo's revenues. The loss of one or more significant distributors, unless it was offset by the attraction of sufficient new customers, could have a material adverse impact on the business of Halo. Halo expects it will continue to depend on a limited number of distributors for a significant portion of its revenues in future periods and the loss of a significant distributor could have a material adverse impact on Halo. Halo's distributors have not agreed to any minimum order requirements.

Halo Depends on an Indirect Sales Channel

Halo's failure to grow its indirect sales channel or the loss of a significant number of members of its indirect channel partners would have a material adverse effect on Halo's business, financial condition and operating results. Halo derives a substantial portion of its revenues from indirect sales through a channel consisting of independent software vendors, value-added resellers, systems integrators, consultants and distributors. Halo's sales channel could be adversely affected by a number of factors including:

the emergence of a new platform resulting in the failure of independent software vendors to develop and the failure of value-added resellers to sell Halo's products based on Halo's supported platforms;

pressures placed on the sales channel to sell competing products;

Table of Contents

Halo's failure to adequately support the sales channel;

consolidation of certain of Halo's indirect channel partners;

competing product lines offered by certain of Halo's indirect channel partners; and

business model or licensing model changes of Halo's channel partners or their competitors.

We cannot be certain Halo will be able to continue to attract additional indirect channel partners or retain its current channel partners. In addition, we cannot be certain that Halo's competitors will not attempt to recruit certain of Halo's current or future channel partners. This may have an adverse effect on Halo's ability to attract and retain channel partners.

Halo May Not Be Able to Develop Strategic Relationships

Halo's current collaborative relationships may not prove to be beneficial to us, and they may not be sustained. We may not be able to enter into successful new strategic relationships in the future, which could have a material adverse effect on Halo's business, operating results and financial condition. From time to time, Halo has collaborated with other companies in areas such as product development, marketing, distribution and implementation. However, many of Halo's current and potential strategic relationships are with either actual or potential competitors. In addition, many of Halo's current relationships are informal or, if written, terminable with little or no notice.

Halo Depends on Third-Party Technology in Its Products

Halo relies upon certain software that it licenses from third parties, including software integrated with Halo's internally developed software and used in Halo's products to perform key functions. These third-party software licenses may not continue to be available to Halo on commercially reasonable terms. In addition, some of Halo's software components have been licensed from the open source community. The loss of, or inability to maintain or obtain any of these software licenses, could result in shipment delays or reductions until Halo develops, identifies, licenses and integrates equivalent software. Any delay in product development or shipment could damage Halo's business, operating results and financial condition.

We May be Unable to Protect Halo's Intellectual Property and Proprietary Rights

Halo's success depends to a significant degree upon our ability to protect Halo's software and other proprietary technology. We rely primarily on a combination of patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, confidentiality procedures and contractual provisions to protect Halo's proprietary rights. However, these measures afford us only limited protection. Furthermore, Halo uses third-party service providers in India for some of its development and the laws of India do not protect proprietary rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. In addition, Halo relies in part on shrink wrap and click wrap licenses that are not signed by the end user and, therefore, may be unenforceable under the laws of certain jurisdictions. Therefore, our efforts to protect Halo's intellectual property may not be adequate. We cannot be certain that others will not develop technologies that are similar or superior to Halo's technology or design around the copyrights and trade secrets owned by Halo. Unauthorized parties may attempt to copy aspects of Halo's products or to obtain and use information we regard as proprietary. Although we believe software piracy may be a problem, we are unable to determine the extent to which piracy of Halo's software products occurs. In addition, portions of Halo's source code are developed in foreign countries with laws that do not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States.

We may be subjected to claims of intellectual property infringement by third parties as the number of products and competitors in Halo's industry segment continues to grow and the functionality of products in different industry segments increasingly overlaps. Additionally, the fact that some of Halo's software components have been licensed from the open source community may expose us to increased risk of infringement claims by third parties. Any infringement claims, with or without merit, could be time-consuming, result in costly litigation, divert management attention and resources, cause product shipment

Table of Contents

delays or the loss or deferral of sales or require Halo to enter into royalty or licensing agreements. Such royalty or licensing agreements, if required, may not be available on terms acceptable to us, if at all. In the event of a successful claim of intellectual property infringement against Halo, should we fail or be unable to either license the technology or similar technology or develop alternative technology on a timely basis, Halo's business, operating results and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

Halo Must Adapt to Rapid Technological Change

Halo's future success will depend upon its ability to continue to enhance its current products and to develop and introduce new products on a timely basis that keep pace with technological developments and new industry standards and satisfy increasingly sophisticated customer requirements. Rapid technological change, frequent new product introductions and enhancements, uncertain product life cycles, changes in customer demands and evolving industry standards characterize the market for Halo's products. The introduction of products embodying new technologies and the emergence of new industry standards can render existing products obsolete and unmarketable. As a result of the complexities inherent in client/server and Web computing environments and in data and application integration solutions, new products and product enhancements can require long development and testing periods. As a result, significant delays in the general availability of such new releases or significant problems in the installation or implementation of such new releases could have a material adverse effect on Halo's business, operating results and financial condition. Halo has experienced delays in the past in the release of new products and new product enhancements. Halo may not be successful in:

developing and marketing, on a timely and cost-effective basis, new products or new product enhancements that respond to technological change, evolving industry standards or customer requirements;

avoiding difficulties that could delay or prevent the successful development, introduction or marketing of these products; or

achieving market acceptance for its new products and product enhancements.

Halo's Software May Contain Errors or Defects

Errors or defects in Halo's products may result in loss of revenues or delay in market acceptance, and could materially adversely affect Halo's business, operating results and financial condition. Software products such as Halo's may contain errors, sometimes called "bugs," particularly when first introduced or when new versions or enhancements are released. From time to time, Halo discovers software errors in certain of its new products after their introduction. Despite testing, current versions, new versions or enhancements of Halo's products may still have errors after commencement of commercial shipments. Product errors can put us at a competitive disadvantage and can be costly and time-consuming to correct.

Halo May Become Subject to Product or Professional Services Liability Claims

A product or professional services liability claim, whether or not successful, could damage Halo's reputation and business, operating results and financial condition. Halo's license and service agreements with its customers typically contain provisions designed to limit Halo's exposure to potential product or service liability claims. However, these contract provisions may not preclude all potential claims. Product or professional services liability claims could require us to spend significant time and money in litigation or to pay significant damages.

Halo Competes with Microsoft while Simultaneously Supporting Microsoft Technologies

Halo currently competes with Microsoft in the market for application development tools and data management products while simultaneously maintaining a working relationship with Microsoft. Microsoft has a longer operating history, a larger installed base of customers and substantially greater financial, distribution, marketing and technical resources than Halo. As a result, Halo may not be able to compete

Table of Contents

effectively with Microsoft now or in the future, and Halo's business, operating results and financial condition may be materially adversely affected.

We expect that Microsoft's commitment to and presence in the application development and data management products market will substantially increase competitive pressures. We believe that Microsoft will continue to incorporate SQL Server database technology into its operating system software and certain of its server software offerings, possibly at no additional cost to its users. We believe that Microsoft will also continue to enhance its SQL Server database technology and that Microsoft will continue to invest in various sales and marketing programs involving certain of Halo's channel partners.

We believe Halo must maintain a working relationship with Microsoft to achieve success. Many of Halo's customers use Microsoft-based operating platforms. Thus it is critical to Halo's success that Halo's products be closely integrated with Microsoft technologies. Notwithstanding Halo's historical and current support of Microsoft platforms, Microsoft may in the future promote technologies and standards more directly competitive with or not compatible with Halo's technology.

Halo Faces Significant Competition From Other Companies

Halo encounters competition for its embedded database products primarily from large, public companies, including Microsoft, Oracle, Sybase, IBM, Progress, Pervasive Software, and Borland. In particular, Sybase's small memory footprint database software product, Adaptive Server Anywhere, and Microsoft's product, SQL Server, directly compete with Halo's products. There are also competitive pressures for application development tools from Microsoft Visual Studio, SYBASE PowerBuilder and Borland Delphi and Kylix. And, because there are relatively low barriers to entry in the software market, Halo may encounter additional competition from other established or emerging companies providing database products based on existing, new or open-source technologies.

Open-source software, which is an emerging trend in the software marketplace, may impact Halo's business as interest, demand and use increases in the database segment and poses a challenge to Halo's business model, including recent efforts by proponents of open-source software to convince governments worldwide to mandate the use of open-source software in their purchase and deployments of software products. Firms adopting the open-source software model typically provide customers software produced by loosely associated groups of unpaid programmers and made available for license to end users at nominal cost, and earn revenue on complementary services and products, without having to bear the full costs of research and development for the open-source software. Because the present demand for open-source database software is largely concentrated in major corporations, Halo's embedded database business has not been adversely affected to date. However, it is likely that increased adoption of Linux will drive heightened interest in other more mature software categories such as database and certain business applications. To the extent competing open-source software products gain increasing market acceptance, sales of Halo's products may decline, Halo may have to reduce prices it charges for its products, and Halo's revenue and operating margins may decline. Mass adoption of open source databases in the SME market could have a material adverse impact on Halo's database business.

Application service providers (ASPs) may enter Halo's market and could cause a change in revenue models from licensing of client/server and Web-based applications to renting applications. Halo's competitors may be more successful than it is in adopting these revenue models and capturing related market share.

In addition, Halo competes or may compete against database vendors that currently offer, or may develop, products with functionalities that compete with Halo's solutions. These products typically operate specifically with these competitors' proprietary databases. Such competitors include IBM, Microsoft and Oracle. Competition also comes in the form of custom code, where potential customers have sufficient internal technical resources to develop solutions in-house without the aid of Halo's products or those of its competitors.

Table of Contents

Most of Halo's competitors have longer operating histories, significantly greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources, significantly greater name recognition and a larger installed base of customers. In addition, some competitors have demonstrated willingness to, or may willingly in the future, incur substantial losses as a result of deeply discounted product offerings or aggressive marketing campaigns. As a result, Halo's competitors may be able to respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements, or to devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of competitive products, than we can. There is also a substantial risk that changes in licensing models or announcements of competing products by competitors such as Microsoft, Oracle, Sybase, IBM, Progress, MySQL, or others could result in the cancellation of customer orders in anticipation of the introduction of such new licensing models or products. In addition, current and potential competitors have established or may establish cooperative relationships among themselves or with third parties to increase the ability of their products to address customer needs which may limit Halo's ability to sell its products through particular partners. Accordingly, new competitors or alliances among, or consolidations of, current and new competitors may emerge and rapidly gain significant market share in Halo's current or anticipated markets. We also expect that competition will increase as a result of software industry consolidation. Increased competition is likely to result in price reductions, fewer customer orders, reduced margins and loss of market share, any of which could materially adversely affect Halo's business. We cannot be certain Halo will be able to compete successfully against current and future competitors or that the competitive pressures Halo faces will not materially adversely affect Halo's business, operating results and financial condition.

Halo is Susceptible to a Shift in the Market for Client/ Server Applications toward Server based thin client or Web-Based Applications

Halo has derived substantially all of its historical application development tool and embedded database product revenues from the use of its products in client/server applications. Halo expects to rely on continued market demand for client/server applications indefinitely. However, we believe market demand may shift from client/server applications to server based solutions using Citrix or similar technology or, Web-based applications. If so, this shift could occur before Halo's product line has achieved market acceptance for use in Web-based applications. In addition, we cannot be certain that Halo's existing client/server developers will migrate to Web-based applications and continue to use Halo's products or that other developers of Web-based applications would select Halo's data management products. Further, this shift could result in a change in revenue models from licensing of client/server and Web-based applications to renting of applications from application service providers. A decrease in client/server application sales coupled with an inability to derive revenues from the Web-based application market could have a material adverse effect on Halo's business, operating results and financial condition.

Halo Depends on International Sales and Operations

We anticipate that for the foreseeable future Halo will derive a significant portion of its revenues from sources outside North America. In the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, Halo derived more than 60% of its revenues outside North America. Halo's international operations are generally subject to a number of risks. These risks include:

- foreign laws and business practices favoring local competition;
- dependence on local channel partners;
- compliance with multiple, conflicting and changing government laws and regulations;
- longer sales cycles;
- greater difficulty or delay in collecting payments from customers;
- difficulties in staffing and managing foreign operations;

Table of Contents

foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations and the associated effects on product demand and timing of payment;

increased tax rates in certain foreign countries;

difficulties with financial reporting in foreign countries;

quality control of certain development, translation or localization activities; and

political and economic instability.

Halo may expand or modify its operations internationally. Despite Halo's efforts, it may not be able to expand or modify its operations internationally in a timely and cost-effective manner. Such an outcome would limit or eliminate any sales growth internationally, which in turn would materially adversely affect Halo's business, operating results and financial condition. Even if Halo successfully expands or modifies its international operations, Halo may be unable to maintain or increase international market demand for its products.

We expect Halo's international operations will continue to place financial and administrative demands on us, including operational complexity associated with international facilities, administrative burdens associated with managing relationships with foreign partners, and treasury functions to manage foreign currency risks and collections.

Fluctuations in the Relative Value of Foreign Currencies Can Affect Halo's Business

To date, the majority of Halo's transactions have been denominated in U.S. dollars. However, the majority of Halo's international operating expenses and substantially all of its international sales have been denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Therefore, Halo's operating results may be adversely affected by changes in the value of the U.S. dollar. Certain of Halo's international sales are denominated in U.S. dollars, especially in Europe. Any strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the currencies of countries where Halo sells products denominated in U.S. dollars will increase the relative cost of Halo's products and could negatively impact its sales in those countries. To the extent Halo's international operations expand or are modified, our exposure to exchange rate fluctuations may increase. Although these transactions have not resulted in material gains and losses to date, similar transactions could have a damaging effect on Halo's business, results of operations or financial condition in future periods.

Halo Must Continue to Hire and Retain Skilled Personnel

Halo's success depends in large part on its ability to attract, motivate and retain highly skilled employees on a timely basis, particularly executive management, sales and marketing personnel, software engineers and other senior personnel. Halo's efforts to attract and retain highly skilled employees could be harmed by its past or any future workforce reductions. Halo's failure to attract and retain the highly trained technical personnel who are essential to its product development, marketing, service and support teams may limit the rate at which Halo can generate revenue and develop new products or product enhancements. This could have a material adverse effect on Halo's business, operating results and financial condition.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This proxy statement/ prospectus contains forward-looking statements about Halo and Unify, which we intended to be covered by the safe harbor for forward-looking statements provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. In particular, statements contained in this prospectus that concern future operating results or other statements using words such as anticipate, believe, could, estimate, expect, intend, may, plan, project, will, would, and similar expressions constitute forward-looking statements and are made under these safe harbor provisions.

Table of Contents

Forward-looking statements are statements that are not historical facts, and include financial projections and estimates and their underlying assumptions; statements regarding plans, objectives and expectations with respect to future operations, results, ability to generate income or cash flows, products and services; the outcome of litigation; the impact of regulatory initiatives on our operations; our share of new and existing markets; general industry and macroeconomic growth rates and our performance relative to them and statements regarding future performance.

The forward-looking statements in this proxy statement/ prospectus are subject to various risks and uncertainties, most of which are difficult to predict and are generally beyond our control. Accordingly, our actual results following the merger may differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, the forward-looking statements. The risks and uncertainties to which forward-looking statements are subject include:

those risks and uncertainties we discuss under **Risk Factors** ;

those risks and uncertainties we discuss or identify in our public filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission;

changes in both companies' businesses during the period between now and the completion of the merger; and

the successful integration of Unify into Halo's business subsequent to the completion of the merger.

You should understand that various factors, in addition to those discussed elsewhere in this document and in the documents referred to in this document, could affect the future results of Halo and Unify following the merger and could cause results to differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements. The actual results, performance or achievement of Halo or Unify following the merger could differ significantly from those expressed in, or implied by, our forward-looking statements. In addition, any of the events anticipated by our forward-looking statements might not occur, and if they do, we cannot predict what impact they might have on the results of operations and financial condition of Halo and Unify following the merger. The forward-looking statements included in this document are made only as of the date of this document, and we do not have any obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements to reflect subsequent events or circumstances.

THE SPECIAL MEETING OF UNIFY STOCKHOLDERS

Unify is furnishing this proxy statement/ prospectus to Unify's stockholders as part of the solicitation of proxies for use at the Unify special meeting of stockholders, including any adjournment or postponement of the meeting.

Date, Time and Place of the Special Meeting

The special meeting of Unify stockholders is scheduled to be held on _____, _____, 2006 at _____ a.m. local time at _____.

Matters to be Considered at the Special Meeting

At the special meeting, stockholders of Unify will be asked to (1) consider and vote upon a proposal to adopt the merger agreement, (2) consider and vote on a proposal to authorize the proxy holders to vote to adjourn or postpone the special meeting, in their sole discretion, for the purpose of soliciting additional votes for the adoption of the merger agreement, and (3) transact such other business as may properly come before the special meeting or any postponements or adjournments of the special meeting. Adoption of the merger agreement will also constitute approval of the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

Table of Contents

Shares Entitled to Vote

Unify's board of directors has fixed the close of business on _____, 2006 as the record date for determination of Unify stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the special meeting. As of the close of business on _____, 2006, there were _____ shares of Unify common stock outstanding and entitled to vote, held of record by approximately _____ stockholders.

Quorum

The presence of a majority of Unify common stock entitled to vote, present in person or represented by proxy, will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. If a quorum is not present, it is expected that the special meeting will be adjourned or postponed to solicit additional proxies.

Voting Rights; Vote Required for Approval

Each Unify stockholder is entitled to one vote for each share of Unify common stock held as of the record date. Adoption of the merger agreement by Unify's stockholders is required by Delaware law. Such adoption requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of Unify common stock outstanding on the record date and entitled to vote at the special meeting. Authorizing the proxy holders to vote to adjourn or postpone the special meeting for the purpose of soliciting additional votes for the adoption of the merger agreement will require the affirmative vote of Unify stockholders representing a majority of the shares of Unify common stock present and entitled to vote at the special meeting.

Voting of Proxies; Revocation of Proxies

If you vote your shares of Unify common stock by signing and returning the enclosed proxy in the enclosed prepaid and addressed envelope, your shares, unless your proxy is revoked, will be voted at the special meeting as you indicate on your proxy. If no instructions are indicated on your signed proxy card, your shares will be voted FOR adoption of the merger agreement and authorization of the proxy holders to vote for the adjournment or postponement of the special meeting for the purpose of soliciting additional votes.

You are urged to mark the box on the proxy card, following the instructions included on your proxy card, to indicate how to vote your shares. If your shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm or bank, you must instruct such institution on how to vote your shares. Your broker or bank will vote your shares only if you provide instructions on how to vote by following the information provided to you by your broker or bank. If you do not instruct your broker, bank or other nominee, they will not be able to vote your shares and such vote will be recorded as a vote against the merger.

You may revoke your proxy at any time prior to its use by delivering to the Secretary of Unify, at Unify's offices at 2101 Arena Boulevard, Suite 100, Sacramento, California 95834, a signed notice of revocation bearing a date later than the date of the proxy stating that the proxy is revoked, by granting a duly executed new, signed proxy bearing a later date, or if you are a holder of record by attending the special meeting and voting in person (although, attendance at the special meeting does not in itself constitute the revocation of a proxy). If you hold your shares in street name, you must get a proxy from your broker, bank or other custodian to vote your shares in person at the special meeting.

Certain Beneficial Owners; Voting Agreement

Unify's board of directors, executive officers and their affiliates, who collectively beneficially own approximately 6% of the outstanding shares of Unify common stock as of the record date, expect to vote their shares FOR adoption of the merger agreement. In addition, holders who beneficially own approximately 33% of the outstanding shares of Unify common stock as of the record date have agreed to vote their shares FOR adoption of the merger agreement.

Table of Contents

Other Business

Unify's board of directors does not presently intend to bring any other business before the special meeting and, so far as is presently known to Unify's board of directors, no other matters are to be brought before the special meeting. As to any business that may properly come before the special meeting, however, it is intended that proxies, in the form enclosed, will be voted in respect of such business in accordance with the judgment of the proxy holders voting such proxies.

Quorum; Broker Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes

The required quorum for the transaction of business at the special meeting is a majority of the shares of Unify common stock issued and outstanding on the record date. Abstentions and broker non-votes each will be included in determining the number of shares present and voting at the meeting for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum. Because adoption of the merger agreement requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the outstanding shares of Unify common stock entitled to vote, abstentions and broker non-votes will have the same effect as votes against adoption of the merger agreement. Abstentions and broker non-votes also will have the same effect as votes against the authorization of the proxy holders to vote to adjourn or postpone the special meeting for the purpose of soliciting additional votes. In addition, the failure of a Unify stockholder to return a proxy will have the effect of a vote against the adoption of the merger agreement.

The actions proposed in this proxy statement/ prospectus are not matters that can be voted on by brokers holding shares for beneficial owners without the owners' specific instructions. If you do not instruct your broker, bank or other nominee, they will not be able to vote your shares, such failure to vote is a broker non-vote. Accordingly, if a broker or bank holds your shares you are urged to instruct your broker or bank on how to vote your shares.

Expenses of Solicitation

Halo will pay 50% of the costs of printing and distributing this proxy statement/ prospectus for the special meeting and Unify will bear 50% of those costs. Halo and Unify will pay their own costs incurred in connection with preparing this proxy statement/ prospectus and Halo will pay the SEC registration fee. In addition to solicitation by mail, directors, officers and regular employees of Unify or its subsidiaries may solicit proxies from stockholders by telephone, telegram, e-mail, personal interview or other means. Halo and Unify currently expect not to incur any costs beyond those customarily expended for a solicitation of proxies in connection with a merger agreement. Directors, officers and employees of Halo and Unify will not receive additional compensation for their solicitation activities, but may be reimbursed for reasonable out of pocket expenses incurred by them in connection with the solicitation. Brokers, dealers, commercial banks, trust companies, fiduciaries, custodians and other nominees have been requested to forward proxy solicitation materials to their customers and such nominees will be reimbursed for their reasonable out of pocket expenses.

Householding

Some banks, brokers and other nominee record holders may be participating in the practice of householding proxy statements and annual reports. This means that only one copy of this proxy statement/ prospectus may have been sent to multiple stockholders in your household. Unify will promptly deliver a separate copy of this proxy statement/ prospectus, including the attached Annexes to you if you write to Unify Investor Relations, 2101 Arena Boulevard, Suite 100, Sacramento, California 95834, Attention: Investor Relations or call (916) 928-6400. If you wish to receive separate copies of an annual report or proxy statement in the future, or if you are receiving multiple copies and would like to receive only one copy for your household, you should contact your bank, broker or other nominee record holder, or you may contact Unify, as applicable, at the above address and phone number.

Table of Contents

Assistance

If you need assistance in completing your proxy card or have questions regarding the special meeting, please contact Unify at (916) 928-6400 or write to Unify Investor Relations, 2101 Arena Boulevard, Suite 100, Sacramento, California 95834.

The matters to be considered at the special meeting are of great importance to the stockholders of Unify. Accordingly, you are urged to read and carefully consider the information contained in or incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/ prospectus, and to complete, date, sign and promptly return the enclosed proxy in the enclosed postage-paid envelope.

Board Recommendation

The Unify board of directors has approved and adopted the merger agreement and recommends that Unify stockholders vote FOR the adoption of the merger agreement and authorization of the proxy holders to vote to adjourn or postpone the special meeting for the purpose of soliciting additional votes for the adoption of the merger agreement. See the section entitled The Merger Unify's Reasons for the Merger beginning on page 56 of this proxy statement/ prospectus.

Table of Contents

THE MERGER

This section of the proxy statement/prospectus describes material aspects of the merger. While Halo and Unify believe that the description covers the material terms of the merger and the related transactions, this summary does not contain all of the information that is important to you. You should carefully read this entire proxy statement/prospectus, the attached annexes, and the other documents to which this proxy statement/prospectus refers, for a more complete understanding of the merger.

General Description of the Merger

At the effective time of the merger, UCA Merger Sub, Inc. will merge with and into Unify. Upon completion of the merger, the separate corporate existence of UCA Merger Sub, Inc. will cease and Unify will continue as the surviving entity.

As a result of the merger, each share of Unify common stock outstanding at the effective time of the merger will be converted automatically into 0.437 shares of Halo common stock. The merger consideration is more fully described in the sections entitled "The Merger Agreement," "Merger Consideration; Stock Payment," and "Common Stock Options and Warrants," beginning on page 78, respectively, of this proxy statement/prospectus and in the merger agreement, which is attached to this proxy statement/prospectus as Annex A.

The exchange ratio is subject to adjustment to reflect the effects of any stock split, reverse stock split, stock dividend, extraordinary stock dividend, reorganization, recapitalization, reclassification, combination, exchange of shares or other like change in the outstanding common stock of Halo or Unify.

The merger is expected to qualify for U.S. federal income tax purposes as a "reorganization" within the meaning in Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. See the section entitled "Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger," beginning on page 72 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Background of the Merger

For a number of years, Unify's board of directors and senior management have periodically reviewed changes and developments in the enterprise software industry and Unify's strategic position. In the course of this review, Unify's board of directors and management explored various potential strategic alternatives to improve Unify's strategic position and increase stockholder value.

One of these alternatives was the acquisition, on February 3, 2005, of Acuitrek, Inc. as a result of a shift in Unify's revenue growth strategy to an application solution orientation. The Acuitrek acquisition, which became the centerpiece of Unify's Insurance Risk Management (IRM) division, promised great potential with an underserved specialty market, a strong, functionally-rich application, and 10 customers. During the first twelve months, the IRM division has generated revenue growth for Unify, but as Acuitrek was a young company, significant investments were required resulting in net losses for the IRM division since its creation.

On August 25, 2005, Unify's board of directors met and reviewed Unify's strategic plan for both its long-time Unify Business Solutions (UBS) and IRM divisions. Management discussed the revenue assumptions for each division including the expectation that the UBS revenues would continue to decline at a rate consistent with the decline over the last three fiscal years. The IRM division, however, was expected to generate significant revenue growth and break even results, and management noted that the market appeared to be validating the IRM value proposition.

On September 12, 2005, Halo entered into an agreement to acquire DAVID Corporation, a claims management vendor, and made a public announcement regarding the acquisition agreement. Thereafter, Todd Wille, President and CEO of Unify, contacted Halo to discuss the possibility of Unify acquiring DAVID Corporation.

Table of Contents

On September 26, 2005, Ron Bienvenu, Halo's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, forwarded a nondisclosure agreement to Todd Wille so that Mr. Bienvenu and Mr. Wille could begin a dialog regarding the acquisition of DAVID Corporation by Unify. This nondisclosure agreement was executed and returned by Mr. Wille on September 28, 2005.

On October 3, 2005, Todd Wille contacted Ron Bienvenu to discuss the possibility of acquiring DAVID Corporation. Mr. Bienvenu stated that Halo was not interested in selling DAVID, but that after reviewing Unify's SEC filings and website, it was Mr. Bienvenu's belief that Unify might be a very good strategic fit with Halo and Halo was interested in exploring an acquisition of Unify. Mr. Bienvenu explained to Mr. Wille Halo's valuation models and its general valuation of Unify, but no understanding was reached regarding a purchase price or other terms.

During October and November of 2005, Unify continued to pursue its business plan of growing the IRM division through internal growth and potential acquisitions of claims administration vendors. During this period, Unify had informal discussions with over fifteen claims administration vendors. However, once Unify's second quarter financial results were published, management believed that it was becoming increasingly difficult to generate interest in an acquisition.

On November 15, 2005, Unify's board of directors held a regularly scheduled meeting and discussed Unify's business and financial plan, including its business model and related assumptions, the competitive environment, the decline in UBS revenues and projected losses for the IRM division. For the six months ended October 31, 2005, the actual UBS revenue decline was 11%. Management noted that this decline plus the impact of the net losses from the IRM division put significant strain on Unify's financial model and resources. The statement of cash flows for the six months ended October 31, 2005 showed a decline in cash of over \$1,000,000. Based on Unify's financial performance, the board had lengthy discussions regarding the potential need for additional financing and the anticipated difficulty of finding funding sources with the performance to date. The Unify board of directors also discussed the possibility of a sale of or some type of strategic investment in Unify, including the strategic rationale for each and including the benefits, opportunities, risks and uncertainties associated with Unify remaining an independent company. Mr. Wille informed the Unify board of directors, among other things, of his discussions with Halo and other potential strategic alternatives. The Unify board of directors instructed Mr. Wille to continue discussions with Halo exploring a possible business combination or other strategic relationship.

From November 2005 through January 2006, Unify informally contacted multiple parties regarding a potential investment in Unify or acquisition of certain of the Unify product lines or even the company as a whole. While there was some interest in acquiring the NXJ product line (part of Unify's UBS product suite), no additional parties contacted expressed interest in pursuing an acquisition of Unify as a company or a financing transaction for Unify. In late December 2005, an enterprise software company sent an inquiry to Unify. Unify responded to the inquiry of the interested party, referred to as Buyer B. On January 31, 2006, Unify conducted an initial discussion with Buyer B, providing an overview of Unify with Buyer B providing an overview as well.

In December 2005 and January 2006, Halo and Unify had multiple discussions (both in person and by teleconference) regarding the potential business combination of Halo and Unify.

On December 14, 2005, Halo's board of directors held a regularly scheduled meeting where Halo's management updated the Halo board of directors on the status of discussions with Unify. Halo's management discussed the strategic reasons for a possible combination, outlined potential financial risks and benefits resulting from an acquisition of Unify, and the synergies offered by Unify's products and customers with those of Halo's Gupta and DAVID subsidiaries. Halo's management and board also reviewed the possible risks and uncertainties of an acquisition by Halo of Unify. After discussion, the Halo board of directors authorized continued discussions with Unify regarding a potential transaction.

On January 27, 2006, Unify received an indication of interest from Halo regarding the possible acquisition of Unify. After multiple discussions, Unify received an updated summary of proposed terms from Halo regarding the possible acquisition of Unify on February 3, 2006. Unify circulated and discussed

Table of Contents

the proposal with representatives of its legal counsel, DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary, as well as informally with each member of the Unify board.

On February 7, 2006, Mr. Bienvenu and Jude Sullivan, Director of Mergers & Acquisitions and Business Development for Halo, met with Unify to present information about Halo, its business operations, strategy and financial history and outlook, and answered questions about Halo and its proposal for Unify. After lengthy discussions, Halo provided Unify an updated summary of proposed terms from Halo regarding the possible acquisition of Unify on February 9, 2006.

On February 8, 2006, Buyer B requested certain revenue and other financial information which Unify provided shortly thereafter.

On February 14, 2006, Mr. Bienvenu, Mr. Sullivan and Mark Finkel, Halo's Chief Financial Officer, under an executed non-disclosure agreement, met with representatives of Special Situations Funds (SSF), Unify's largest stockholder to present information about Halo, its business operations, strategy and financial history and outlook, and answered questions about Halo and its proposal to acquire Unify. From February 14, 2006 until execution of the merger agreement, Halo management had additional direct contact with representatives of SSF for the purpose of negotiating a funding commitment from SSF for an equity investment into Halo.

On February 16, 2006, Unify's board of directors held a regularly scheduled meeting where management updated the Unify board of directors on the status of discussions with Halo and reviewed the Halo corporate presentation with the board as an overview. At the meeting, Unify's board of directors also reviewed with management Unify's financial condition and prospects for the remainder of fiscal 2006 and fiscal 2007 and a discussion of strategic alternatives available to Unify, including other companies that could be interested parties. Management and the board also discussed the benefits, opportunities, risks and uncertainties associated with Unify remaining an independent company, as well as the merits of a possible business combination transaction with Halo. After discussion, the Unify board of directors authorized continued discussions with Halo regarding a potential offer.

On February 17, 2006, Unify received an updated summary of proposed terms from Halo regarding the possible acquisition of Unify. Unify subsequently requested that Halo submit a final indication of interest detailing the possible terms of a transaction between Halo and Unify for Unify's board of directors to review and consider.

On February 23, 2006, after reviewing the financial information provided by Unify, Buyer B informed Unify that Unify was not a strategic fit and they would not be submitting an acquisition offer.

On February 28, 2006, Halo provided a draft merger agreement to Unify detailing the terms that had been generally described in its most recent indication of interest. Halo also communicated to Unify that its offer was based on the satisfaction of certain contingencies including lender and board approval.

On February 28, 2006, Unify's board of directors held a meeting to evaluate the possible business combination with Halo. At the meeting, management updated the Unify board of directors on the status of negotiations with Halo. Representatives of DLA Piper discussed the process of evaluating and acquisition and recommended that Unify obtain a fairness opinion in connection with this evaluation. The board discussed the proposed business combination with Halo and requested Mr. Wille to hire a financial advisor to advise the board on the fairness of the consideration being offered. At the meeting, Unify's board of directors also reviewed the merits of a possible business combination transaction with Halo. After discussion, the Unify board of directors authorized continued negotiations with Halo to finalize the draft merger agreement.

In January and February, Halo's management informed members of Halo's board of the status of the ongoing acquisition discussions with Unify.

On March 1, 2 and 3, 2006, Halo met with certain members of Unify's senior management team. Both Halo and Unify conducted financial, operational and legal due diligence which continued until the parties signed the merger agreement on March 14, 2006. During that time, Halo and Unify and their

Table of Contents

respective legal advisors had extensive negotiations in meetings and conversations regarding the terms of the draft merger agreement including, among others, final consideration, closing conditions and final disclosure schedules.

On March 3, 2006, Unify's board of directors held a meeting to evaluate the possible business combination with Halo. At the meeting, management updated the Unify board of directors on the status of negotiations with Halo and the results of the Halo due diligence of Unify to date. The board discussed the proposed business combination with Halo and Halo due diligence. At the meeting, Unify's board of directors also reviewed potential strategic alternatives available to Unify, including the benefits, opportunities, risks and uncertainties associated with Unify remaining an independent company, as well as the merits of a possible business combination transaction with Halo. After discussion, the Unify board of directors authorized continued negotiations with Halo to finalize the draft merger agreement.

On March 6 and 7, 2006, Unify met with certain members of Halo's senior management. Unify conducted financial, operational and legal due diligence which continued until the board's approved the merger agreement on March 10, 2006. During that time, Halo and Unify and their respective legal and financial advisors had extensive discussions regarding Halo's capital structure, twelve month cash flow projections and operating plan and an in depth review of Halo's long-term business model and expected shareholder value creation.

On March 10, 2006, Unify's board of directors met to discuss the rationale, opportunities, benefits, prospects, risks and disadvantages associated with the proposed transaction with Halo and continuing as an independent company. Representatives from DLA Piper were present at the meeting and provided an update of the status of certain legal due diligence on Halo as well as an overview of the terms of the proposed transaction with Halo and the status of the merger agreement. Representatives from DLA Piper also reviewed the board's legal duties and fiduciary obligations and other considerations regarding the proposed business combination transaction. Unify's board of directors again considered whether accepting Halo's offer or continuing as an independent company would best maximize stockholder value. Management presented a description of the mechanics of the merger consideration, an overview of Halo and its financial performances and capitalization. Douglas, Curtis & Allyn LLC (DCA), provided its financial analysis regarding the proposed business combination transaction, and rendered to the Unify board of directors its oral opinion, subsequently confirmed by delivery of a written opinion dated March 10, 2006, to the effect that, as of the date of the opinion and based on and subject to the various assumptions and limitations described in the opinion, the merger consideration to be received by Unify stockholders pursuant to the merger agreement (which on that date was proposed to be 0.43 shares of Halo common stock for each share of Unify common stock) was fair from a financial point of view to such holders. Such opinion is attached hereto as Annex B (see the section entitled "Opinion of Douglas Curtis & Allyn LLC" beginning on page 59 of this proxy statement/ prospectus). After deliberation, Unify's board of directors determined, among other things, that the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including the merger, are advisable, fair to, and in the best interests of, Unify and its stockholders, and authorized Mr. Wille to attempt to negotiate an increase in the merger exchange ratio. The Unify board of directors then approved the merger agreement and resolved to recommend to Unify stockholders the approval and adoption of the merger agreement. Due to a financial interest in the investment in Unify by Special Situations Funds and their possible investment in Halo, director Robert J. Majteles abstained from voting on the proposed merger. Later that day, Halo increased the exchange ratio to 0.437.

On March 10, 2006, Halo's board of directors, with one director absent, after consideration of the opportunity including its risks and benefits, approved the merger agreement and the consummation of the merger in accordance with the terms outlined by Halo's management team.

On March 14, 2006, Unify, UCA Merger Sub, Inc. and Halo executed the merger agreement. Thereafter, on March 14, 2006, Unify and Halo issued a joint press release announcing the transaction.

Table of Contents

Halo's Reasons for the Merger

The Halo board of directors believes that the Unify merger is fair to, and in the best interest of, Halo's stockholders and, with one director not present at the meeting at which action was taken, voted to unanimously approve the merger and the issuance of Halo common stock to the Unify stockholders.

In reaching its decision, in addition to the anticipated joint benefits described above, the Halo board of directors consulted with Halo's management, and considered, among others, the following information and potential material factors:

the compatibility of Unify's products and services with Halo's technology, products and risk management solutions and software as a service enterprise solutions business strategy;

the economics of the software solutions industry, and the belief of the Halo board and management team that greater product variety and company size will increasingly be required for companies to compete successfully;

the belief that the combination of Unify's technology, products and services with Halo's products, services and sales and marketing infrastructure should enable the combined company to expand the range of products and services offered to the combined company's customers and increase sales;

the Halo board's belief that the addition of Unify's operations to Halo could possibly increase the overall value and profitability of Halo;

information concerning Halo's and Unify's respective businesses, historical financial performance and condition, operations, technology, products, customers, competitive positions, prospects and management;

current financial market conditions and the historical market prices and trading information of Halo common stock and Unify common stock;

the financial and other terms of the merger, including the relationship between the market value of Halo common stock and Unify common stock;

the belief that the terms of the merger agreement, including the parties' representations, warranties and covenants, and the conditions to their respective obligations, are fair and reasonable;

the likelihood that the merger would be completed, including the limited conditions to the closing of the merger, as well as the experience and reputation of Unify;

Halo management's view of the financial condition, results of operations and businesses of Halo and Unify before and after giving effect to the merger and Halo management's and the board of directors' view of the merger's potential effect on stockholder value;

the potential impact of the merger on strategic partners, customers and employees of Halo and Unify;

the likely reaction to the merger in the financial markets; and

the results of the due diligence investigation of Unify.

The Halo board of directors considered various alternatives to the merger, including alternative acquisitions and directly competing with Unify in its markets. The Halo board of directors also identified and considered a variety of potentially negative factors in its deliberations concerning the merger, including, but not limited to:

the possibility that the potential benefits set forth above may not be fully realized;

the substantial costs of integrating the businesses of Halo and Unify and the transaction expenses arising from the merger;

Table of Contents

the difficulty of integrating Unify with Halo's existing development tools and risk management operating spheres and the management effort required to complete the integration;

the risk that the premium offered relative to Unify's stock price at the time the merger agreement was executed may not be viewed favorably by the market;

the effect of the public announcement of the merger on Unify's customer relations;

certain risks applicable to the merger and the business of the combined company as set forth under Risk Factors beginning on page 29; and

the possibility that the merger might not be consummated, resulting in a potential adverse effect on the market price of the Halo common stock.

The Halo board of directors concluded that certain of these risks could be managed or mitigated or were unlikely to have a material impact on Halo or the merger, and that, on balance, the potential benefits of the merger outweighed the risks of the merger.

The foregoing discussion of the information and factors considered by the Halo board of directors is not intended to be exhaustive but is believed to include the material factors considered by the Halo board of directors in connection with its review of the proposed merger. In view of the variety of factors, both positive and negative, considered, the Halo board did not find it practical to, and did not, quantify or otherwise assign relative weight to the specific factors considered. Rather, the Halo board viewed its position and recommendations as being based on the totality of the information presented to, and considered by, the board. In addition, individual members of the Halo board may have given different weight to different factors.

Based on the above-described analysis, the Halo board of directors has determined that the terms of the merger and the merger agreement are fair to, and in the best interests of, the Halo stockholders.

Unify's Reasons for the Merger

After careful consideration, Unify's board of directors approved the merger agreement and determined that the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including the merger are advisable, fair to, and in the best interests of, Unify and its stockholders. In reaching this decision, Unify's board of directors consulted with senior management, independent financial advisors and legal counsel.

The decision of Unify's board of directors to enter into the merger agreement was the result of careful consideration over a number of months by the Unify's board of directors of a number of factors, including the following positive factors:

the value of the merger consideration represents a premium of approximately 65% over the last reported sales price per share for Unify's common stock as reported on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board on March 13, 2006, the last trading day for Unify's common stock prior to the announcement of the merger;

Unify stockholders will retain the ability to hold stock in a larger and more diversified technology holding company;

the financial presentations of Unify's financial advisors, DCA, including their opinion as to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the merger consideration to be paid to Unify stockholders pursuant to the merger agreement, as more fully described in the section entitled The Merger Opinion of Douglas Curtis & Allyn LLC beginning on page 59 of this proxy statement/ prospectus;

Unify's board of directors' analysis and understanding of Unify's stand-alone strategic alternative in the context of the increasingly competitive market for database and development tool products, and Unify's board of directors' analysis of the business, operations, financial performance, earnings and prospects for Unify on a stand-alone basis, and Unify's board of directors' belief, based on its

Table of Contents

analysis and understanding, that the combined company would best maximize value for Unify's shareholders in light of the risks and potential rewards associated with Unify continuing to operate on a stand-alone basis;

Unify's board of directors' evaluation of Unify's financial performance and future opportunities and prospects, including the risks related to achieving these prospects and current industry, economic and market conditions, including the recognition that Unify has experienced declining revenues and net losses;

the risk that the trading price of Unify stock may decline further;

the fact that Unify had reviewed potential strategic alternatives as described in the section entitled "The Merger Background of the Merger" beginning on page 51 of this proxy statement/ prospectus. In this process, no party expressed interest in pursuing a financing transaction, and two potential acquirers were identified. Ultimately, one of the potentially interested parties dropped out of the process. In light of these developments, Unify's board of directors considered the risk of losing the sole potential transaction;

Unify's board of directors' belief there are synergies likely to result in combining Unify's business with two of Halo's subsidiaries, Gupta and DAVID, and the ability to spread certain redundant costs associated with operating Unify as a separate public company, including duplicative corporate functions and accounting and legal fees associated with SEC reporting, over a broader base of portfolio companies;

the opportunity for Unify stockholders to participate, as Halo stockholders, in a larger and more diversified company with diversified partnership opportunities and significant cash flow;

the opportunity for Unify to have access to significantly greater financial and operational resources as part of Halo than Unify would otherwise have on a stand-alone basis;

Unify's board of directors' understanding of the information concerning Unify's and Halo's respective businesses, financial performance, and condition, operations, capitalization and stock performance;

Unify will not be obligated to consummate the merger unless Halo receives \$2 million of new equity financing and Halo successfully converts all of its preferred stock and the majority of its convertible debt to common stock, as described in the sections entitled "The Merger Agreement" "Conditions to the Merger" beginning on page 82 of this proxy statement/ prospectus;

the merger agreement provisions permitting Unify to engage in discussions with a third party that makes an unsolicited bona fide proposal to engage in a business combination or other transaction, provided that Unify's board of directors determines in good faith, after consulting with outside counsel, that there is a reasonable probability that failure to take such action would result in Unify's board of directors breaching its fiduciary duties under applicable law and determines in good faith, after receiving the advice from its legal advisor, that the proposal reasonably would be expected to result in a transaction that, if consummated, would be more favorable to Unify stockholders than the merger with Halo (see the section entitled "The Merger Agreement" "No Solicitation" beginning on page 84 of this proxy statement/ prospectus);

the merger agreement provisions permitting Unify's board of directors to, under certain circumstances, withdraw, modify or change its recommendation with respect to the merger if Unify's board of directors determines in good faith, after consulting with its outside counsel, that there is a reasonable probability that the failure to take such action would result in the Unify's board of directors breaching its fiduciary duties under applicable law (see the section entitled "The Merger Agreement" "No Solicitation" beginning on page 84 of this proxy statement/ prospectus);

Table of Contents

the structure of the transaction and the terms of the merger agreement, including the fact that the merger should qualify as a tax-free reorganization within the meaning of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended, meaning the merger is not expected to be taxable to Unify stockholders;

the merger will offer stockholders of Halo the potential benefits described in the section entitled "The Merger: Halo's Reasons for the Merger" beginning on page 55 of this proxy statement/ prospectus;

Unify's board of directors also identified and considered the following potentially negative factors in its deliberations:

the possible disruption to Unify's business that may result from the announcement of the transaction;

the potential adverse effects of the public announcement of the merger on Unify's sales and operating results; ability to retain key employees; the progress of some of Unify's strategic initiatives; and Unify's overall strategic position;

the inherent difficulties of integrating diverse businesses and the risk that the cost savings, synergies and other benefits expected to be obtained in the merger might not be fully realized;

the terms of the merger agreement regarding the restrictions on the operation of Unify's business during the period between the signing of the merger agreement and the completion of the merger;

the \$600,000 termination fee to be paid to Halo if the merger agreement is terminated under circumstances specified in the merger agreement, which may discourage other parties that may otherwise have an interest in a business combination with, or an acquisition of, Unify, as described in the section entitled "The Merger Agreement: Termination" beginning on page 85 of this proxy statement/ prospectus);

the terms of the merger agreement placing limitations on the ability of Unify to solicit alternative business combination transactions or engage in negotiations or discussions with, a third party interested in pursuing an alternative business combination transaction (see the section entitled "The Merger Agreement: No Solicitation" beginning on page 84 of this proxy statement/ prospectus);

the amount of time it could take to complete the merger, including the fact that completion of the transaction depends on factors outside of Unify's control;

the transaction costs expected to be incurred in connection with the merger;

the risk that, notwithstanding the likelihood of the merger being completed, the merger might not be completed and the effect of the resulting public announcement of termination of the merger agreement on:

the market price of Unify common stock, and

Unify's ability to attract and retain customers and personnel; and

the risks described in the section entitled "Risk Factors" beginning on page 29 of this proxy statement/ prospectus.

Unify's board also carefully reviewed its due diligence examination of Halo, including its financial condition and prospects, contractual obligations and management team, and discussed Halo's experiences in consummating and integrating its prior acquisitions.

Unify's board of directors also considered the interests that certain executive officers and directors of Unify may have with respect to the merger in addition to their interests as stockholders of Unify generally (see the section entitled "The Merger: Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger" beginning on page 68 of this proxy statement/ prospectus), which the Unify's board of directors considered as being neutral in its evaluation of the proposed transaction.

Table of Contents

Although the foregoing discussion sets forth the material factors considered by Unify's board of directors in reaching its determination to recommend the merger, it does not include all of the factors considered by Unify's board of directors, and each director may have considered different factors or given different weights to different factors. In view of the variety of factors and the amount of information considered, Unify's board of directors did not find it practicable to, and did not, make specific assessments of, quantify or otherwise assign relative weights to the specific factors considered in reaching its recommendation. Unify's board of directors realized that there can be no assurance about future results, including results expected or considered in the factors above. However, Unify's board of directors concluded that the potential positive factors described above significantly outweighed the neutral and negative factors described above. The recommendation was made after consideration of all of the factors as a whole. This explanation of Unify's reasons for the merger and the other information presented in this section are forward-looking in nature and, therefore, should be read in light of the factors discussed in the section entitled "Forward-Looking Statements" beginning on page 46 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

UNIFY'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS HAS APPROVED THE MERGER AGREEMENT AND DETERMINED THAT THE MERGER AGREEMENT AND THE TRANSACTIONS CONTEMPLATED BY THE MERGER AGREEMENT, INCLUDING THE MERGER, ARE ADVISABLE, FAIR TO AND IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF UNIFY AND ITS STOCKHOLDERS. ACCORDINGLY, UNIFY'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT THE UNIFY STOCKHOLDERS VOTE **FOR** APPROVAL OF THE MERGER AGREEMENT.

In considering the recommendation of Unify's board of directors with respect to the merger agreement, you should be aware that certain of Unify's directors and officers have arrangements that cause them to have interests in the transaction that are different from, or are in addition to, the interests of Unify stockholders generally. See the section entitled "The Merger - Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger" beginning on page 68 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Opinion of Douglas Curtis & Allyn LLC

By letter dated March 2, 2006, Unify retained Douglas Curtis & Allyn LLC ("DCA") to provide it with a fairness opinion in connection with the potential merger with Halo. DCA is a recognized investment banking firm headquartered in Roseville, California. In the ordinary course of its investment banking business, DCA engages in the valuation of companies and their securities in connection with mergers and acquisitions and other corporate transactions.

At the March 10, 2006 Board of Directors meeting at which the board considered and approved the merger, DCA delivered to the board its oral opinion, subsequently confirmed in writing, that, as of such date, and subject to the assumptions, qualifications and limitations set forth therein the merger consideration was fair to Unify's shareholders from a financial point of view. **The full text of DCA's opinion is attached as Annex B to this proxy statement/prospectus and is incorporated herein by reference. The opinion provides disclosure about the procedures followed, information reviewed, assumptions made, matters considered, and qualifications and limitations on the review undertaken by DCA in rendering its opinion. The summary of the opinion set forth below is qualified in its entirety by reference to the opinion. You are urged to read the entire opinion carefully in connection with your consideration of the proposed merger.**

DCA's opinion speaks only as of the date of the opinion. The opinion was directed to the Unify Board of Directors and is directed only to the fairness of the merger consideration to Unify shareholders from a financial point of view under the assumptions specified. The opinion does not address the underlying business decision of Unify to engage in the merger or any other aspect of the merger and is not a recommendation to any Unify shareholder as to how such shareholder should vote with respect to the merger or any other matter.

The material used by DCA to evaluate the fairness of the consideration was compiled for use solely by the Unify Board of Directors in evaluating the proposed merger with Halo. The opinion is not intended to provide the sole basis for evaluating the merger, does not purport to contain all information that may or

Table of Contents

should be considered, and should not be considered a recommendation with respect to the merger. The opinion was not prepared to conform with any disclosure standards under applicable securities laws, SEC requirements, generally accepted accounting or reporting standards, or otherwise. Neither DCA nor any of its officers, directors, employees, affiliates, advisors, agents or representatives warrants the accuracy or completeness of any of the material set forth in the opinion, and nothing contained in the opinion is, or shall be relied upon as, a promise or representations as to the past, present or future conditions or performance of the Company, Halo, or the combined entity.

DCA was not asked to and did not independently verify the accuracy or completeness of any of the financial information, analyses, projections, and other information that was publicly available or otherwise furnished to, reviewed by, or discussed with DCA, and further relied on the assurance of management of Unify and Halo that they were not aware of any facts or circumstances that would make such information inaccurate or misleading. DCA did not make an independent evaluation or appraisal of the assets, liabilities (including any intangible, contingent, derivative or off-balance sheet assets and liabilities) of Unify, Halo, or any of their respective subsidiaries. With Unify's consent, DCA assumed that Unify's technology and software code were adequate and capable to be used for the purpose intended and will continue to produce the revenues forecast by management on a pro forma basis for the combined entity. In addition, DCA did not conduct any physical inspection or review of the software code, properties or facilities, or other assets of Unify or Halo. DCA was not requested to solicit, and did not solicit, interest from other parties with respect to an acquisition of, or other business combination with, Unify. The opinion does not attempt to assess whether the merger represents the highest potential value for which Unify could be sold.

Materials used to prepare the opinion, including any estimates, valuations and/or projections contained in the opinion were prepared or derived from information supplied by Unify, Halo management, or from public sources, and DCA has not assumed the responsibility for any independent verification thereof nor for conducting any due diligence investigation of Unify or Halo, or their underlying business risks, as such validation and investigations are beyond the scope of its engagement. Accordingly, DCA makes no representation or warranty as to the accuracy or achievability of any such valuations, estimates, forecasts, and/or projections including synergies, and DCA expressly disclaims any and all liability relating to or resulting from use of the opinion.

The opinion was necessarily based upon information available to DCA and financial, stock market and other conditions and circumstances existing and disclosed or available as of March 9, 2006, and the opinion speaks as of such date. DCA does not have any obligation to update or otherwise revise the opinion. Actual results may vary from such valuations, estimates or projections including synergies, and such variations may be material.

In connection with rendering the opinion, DCA reviewed and considered, among other things:

a draft of the merger agreement dated March 9, 2006, together with certain of the exhibits and schedules thereto;

historical and projected earnings, other operating data and other historical financial information of Unify and Halo;

Unify's and Halo's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q or Form 10-QSB, as the case may be, and annual reports on Form 10-K or Form 10-KSB, as the case may be, and a draft of Unify's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 31, 2006;

certain internal financial projections, analyses and forecasts prepared by management of Unify and Halo;

publicly-reported historical price and trading activity for Unify's and Halo's common stock, and similar publicly available information for certain other publicly-traded companies;

the views of the senior managements of Unify and Halo regarding the business, financial condition, and results of operations and prospects of their respective companies;

Table of Contents

publicly-available financial terms of certain recent business combinations in the software industry; and

such other information, financial studies, analyses, investigations and financial criteria as DCA considered relevant.

In rendering its opinion, DCA, with the permission of the Board of Unify, relied upon the following assumptions:

- (i) the accuracy and completeness of all the financial, accounting, legal, tax and other information discussed with or reviewed by DCA;
- (ii) the internal financial forecasts prepared by the management of Unify and Halo have been reasonably prepared on a basis reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments of Unify and Halo and that such forecasts will be realized in the amounts and time periods contemplated thereby;
- (iii) All representations and warranties contained in the Agreement and Plan of Merger, including the disclosure schedules attached thereto, are true and correct;
- (iv) All representations made and information provided by, as well as interviews conducted with, the management of Unify and Halo are true and correct and contain no omissions of material facts or information;
- (v) Each party will perform all of the covenants required by the Agreement and Plan of Merger;
- (vi) Conditions precedent to the merger have not been waived;
- (vii) There are no material changes in Unify's or Halo's assets, financial condition, results of operations, business or prospects;
- (viii) There are no material changes to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, including exhibits and schedules thereto, from the draft version as of March 9, 2006 provided to DCA prior to DCA issuing its opinion as of March 10, 2006;
- (ix) Unify's and Halo's technology, intellectual property rights and source code are adequate and capable of being used for the purpose intended and will continue to produce the revenues forecast by management on a pro forma basis for the separate companies and combined entity;
- (x) Unify and Halo remain going concerns for all periods relevant to this analysis;
- (xi) All debt, other than that owed to Fortress and approximately \$1.1 million of subordinated debt, will be paid, retired or converted into Halo common stock prior to close;
- (xii) Sufficient liquidity will exist in Halo shares to prevent share price erosion resulting from increased trading volume;
- (xiii) The acquisition of InfoNow by Halo has not closed by the time this transaction closes and the effect thereof has not been considered in DCA's fairness opinion analysis; and
- (xiv) Performance by the parties of all conditions precedent to effect the Merger including (among other obligations):
 - a. the required registration statement shall have been declared effective
 - b. certain Unify stockholder agreements shall have been executed

- c. receipt by Halo of at least \$2,000,000 in new equity investment on terms described to DCA prior to the effective time of the Merger
- d. conversion of each share of all classes of Halo preferred stock into common stock, and
- e. execution of mutually acceptable employment agreements with certain Unify employees.

Table of Contents

DCA performed a variety of financial analyses in preparing the opinion. The following is a summary of the material analyses performed by DCA, but is not a complete description of all the analyses underlying DCA's opinion. In order to fully understand these financial analyses, you should read the opinion attached as Annex B in its entirety.

The preparation of a fairness opinion is a complex process involving subjective judgments as to the most appropriate and relevant methods of financial analysis and the application of those methods to the particular circumstances. The process, therefore, is not necessarily susceptible to a partial analysis or summary description. DCA believes that its analyses must be considered as a whole and that selecting portions of the factors and analyses considered without considering all factors and analyses, or attempting to ascribe relative weights to some or all such factors and analyses, could create an incomplete view of the evaluation process underlying its opinion. Also, no company included in DCA's comparative analyses described below is identical to Unify or Halo and no transaction is identical to the proposed merger. Accordingly, an analysis of comparable companies or transactions involves complex considerations and judgments concerning differences in financial operations characteristics of the companies and other factors that could affect the public trading values or merger transaction values, as the case may be, of Unify or Halo and the companies to which they are being compared.

DCA expressed no opinion as to the financial projections provided by the managements of Unify and Halo or the assumptions on which they were based. With respect to such financial projections, Unify's and Halo's managements confirmed to DCA that they reflected the best currently available information, estimates and judgments of such managements of the future financial performance of Unify and Halo, respectively. The financial projections provided by management of Unify and Halo were prepared for internal purposes only and not with a view towards public disclosure. These projections, as well as the other estimates used by DCA in its analyses, were based on numerous variables and assumptions that are inherently uncertain, and, accordingly, actual results could vary materially from those set forth in such projections.

In performing its analyses, DCA also made numerous assumptions with respect to industry performance, business and economic conditions and various other matters, many of which cannot be predicted and are beyond the control of Unify, Halo, and DCA. The analyses performed by DCA are not necessarily indicative of actual values or future results, which may be significantly more or less favorable than suggested by such analyses. DCA prepared its analyses solely for purposes of advising the Unify Board of Directors and estimates on the values of companies, including Unify and Halo, do not purport to be appraisals or necessarily reflect the prices at which companies or their securities may actually be sold. Such estimates are inherently subject to uncertainty and actual values may be materially different. Accordingly, DCA's analyses do not necessarily reflect the value of Unify's common stock or Halo's common stock or the prices at which Unify or Halo's common stock may be sold at any time. Further, the opinion does not address the question of whether the consideration to be received by Unify in the merger is the maximum value it could receive for its shares, but only that the consideration being offered is fair to Unify shareholders, from a financial point of view.

In performing its analysis and rendering its opinion as to the fairness of the consideration, DCA acknowledges that its conclusion may be affected by the risk factors listed below, among others, whose effect, outcome or circumstances are currently unknown, indeterminate, and not subject to quantification. The effect and impact of these risk factors may be, and in most cases would be, material to the factors considered in arriving at DCA's conclusion. In addition to the risk factors described elsewhere in this prospectus/proxy solicitation and cited by Unify and Halo in their respective SEC filings and other documents, there are other risks introduced by the proposed merger, including, but not limited to:

there is no mechanism in the merger agreement to protect Unify stockholders against downward movement in Halo's share price between signing the merger agreement and closing the merger;

the ability of Halo and Unify to access additional debt and/or equity capital;

the ability of Unify and Halo to meet forecasted results;

risks that certain customers or suppliers may elect not to do business with the combined company;

Table of Contents

the dilutive impact that Halo's future acquisitions may have on earnings per share (EPS);

potential adverse impact on the market perception of the combined company due to the diversity of its business model;

the limited trading market for Halo common stock;

Halo's significant debt load and Halo's ability to maintain covenant compliance;

ability to maintain Sarbanes-Oxley compliance;

the potential loss of key management or employees; and

the ability of the combined company to achieve NASDAQ listing.

Summary of Findings. DCA based its opinion on several financial analyses, including:

Premium paid analysis

Comparable public company analysis

Analysis of selected merger and acquisition transactions

Discounted cash flow and terminal value analysis

Pro Forma ownership and contribution analysis

Premium Paid. DCA reviewed the financial terms negotiated between Halo and Unify as commemorated in the merger agreement. The parties structured the proposed merger as a stock for stock exchange with an agreed upon exchange ratio of at least 0.43 shares of Halo Common stock for each share of Unify common stock. The parties arrived at this ratio based on a value of \$1.65 per share of Halo stock implying a value of \$0.71 per share of Unify common stock. The ratio was subsequently increase to \$0.437 shares of Halo common stock for each share of Unify common stock after DCA delivered its opinion.

Based upon this implied value per share and the number of shares of Unify stock outstanding or options to be assumed by Halo subsequent to the merger, the aggregate transaction has a value of approximately \$21.35 million. This value represents an approximate 82% premium to Unify's pre-announcement market capitalization of approximately \$11.75 million as of the close of the market on March 9, 2006.

The proposed purchase price of approximately \$21.35 million also represents an approximate 43% premium to the estimated fair market value determined by DCA based on the average of the premiums calculated using the following three valuation models:

a premium of approximately 80% based on the comparable public company analysis;

a premium of approximately 15% based on the analysis of selected merger and acquisition transactions; and

a premium of approximately 50% based on the discounted cash flow analysis.

In addition, the proposed purchase price represents an approximate 90% premium to the 3-month trailing average stock price compared to an average control premium of 29.8% that DCA calculated for other acquisitions in the computer software, supplies and services industries.

Finally, the proposed purchase price premium compares favorably to the median premiums offered of 35.1%, 30.5% and 29.8% in DCA's analysis of acquisition premiums offered in computer software, supplies and services acquisition transactions for the years 2002, 2003 and 2004, respectively.

Comparable Public Company Analysis. DCA used publicly available information to compare selected financial and market trading information for Unify and Halo and certain public companies determined to

64

Table of Contents

be relevant by DCA. The analysis methodology included, among other procedures and criteria, the following:

identification of appropriate public companies in the software development tools and vertical software applications sectors;

compilation of appropriate operating and financial statistics;

identification of those companies with similar operating statistics to relevant Unify operating statistics;

if multiple companies had the same number of similarities, the company(s) with revenues that most closely approximated Unify's revenues were selected in the comparison set;

the analyses were weighted in accordance with Unify's percentage of UBS (Unify Business Solutions) and IRM (Insurance Risk Management) revenues contained in the draft of Unify's most recent quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 31, 2006 reviewed by DCA; and

the median of the various ratios or multiples were selected for comparison because the median most closely approximates the center point of the array and reduces the effect of outlying data points.

Fifteen publicly-traded companies were used in the analysis for the software development tools sector. Of these DCA identified the following five companies as having operating statistics similar to Unify's:

Borland Software Corp.

NetIQ Corp.

Quovadx, Inc.

NetManage, Inc

IDI Global, Inc.

For these five companies, DCA calculated the Total Enterprise Value/ Last Twelve Months Revenue (TEV/ LTM Revenue) ratio which resulted in a median of 0.8, compared to 2.0 for Unify based on the implied transaction value.

Fifteen publicly-traded companies were used in the analysis for the vertical software applications sector. Of these, DCA identified the following five companies as having operating statistics similar to Unify's:

Misys plc

S1 Corp.

Sapiens International Corp. NV

Sirius Financial Solutions plc

Healthaxis, Inc.

For these five companies DCA calculated the TEV/ LTM Revenue ratio, which resulted in a median of 0.9, compared to 2.0 for Unify based on the implied transaction value.

DCA concluded that the implied merger multiple of 2.0x compares favorably to the TEV/ LTM Revenue median multiples of comparable Software Development Tools and Vertical Applications Software public companies of 0.8x and 0.9x, respectively. These multiples were applied against Unify's gross revenues from each business unit (i.e. UBS and IRM) for the twelve months ending January 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

Applying the application of a control premium of 29.8%, the implied transaction value of approximately \$21.35 million represents a premium of approximately 80%.

Comparable Public Company Analysis Premium	Median Multiple		Applicable Unify Metric*		Implied Value	Premium of Proposed Transaction Value to Implied Value
TEV/ LTM of Comparable Software Development Tools Companies	0.8	x	\$ 9,789	=	\$ 8,043	
TEV/ LTM of Comparable Vertical Application Software Companies	0.9	x	\$ 793	=	\$ 701	
Total				=	\$ 8,744	
Control Premium Adjustment				x	(1 + 29.8%)	
Adjusted Total				=	\$ 11,887	79.6%

* Unify gross revenues for UBS and IRM.

Analysis of Selected Merger Transactions. DCA reviewed thirty merger and acquisition transactions announced during the period January 1, 2004 through March 6, 2006, involving acquisitions of software companies with transaction values greater than \$5.0 million. The analysis methodology included, among other procedures and criteria, the following:

identification of appropriate merger and acquisition transactions in the software development tools and vertical software applications sectors;

compilation of appropriate operating and financial statistics;

identification of those companies with the most similarities to relevant Unify operating statistics;

if multiple companies had the same number of similarities, the company(s) with revenues that most closely approximated Unify's revenues were selected in the comparison set;

the analyses were weighted in accordance with Unify's percentage of UBS and IRM revenues contained in its draft quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 31, 2006; and

the median of the various ratios or multiples were selected for comparison because the median most closely approximates the center point of the array and reduces the effect of outlying data points.

Twelve transactions were used in the analysis for the software development tools sector. Of these, DCA identified the acquisitions of the five following companies as having operating statistics similar to Unify's:

UGS Corp.

Plumtree Software, Inc.

Segue Software, Inc.

NEON Systems, Inc.

Persistence Software, Inc.

DCA calculated the TEV/ LTM Revenue ratio for these five companies, which resulted in a median of 1.8, compared to 2.0 for Unify based on the implied transaction value.

66

Table of Contents

Eighteen transactions were used in the analysis for the vertical software applications sector. Of these DCA identified the acquisitions of the following five companies as having operating statistics similar to Unify s:

Vertex Financial Services

Financial Models Company, Inc.

DAOU Systems, Inc.

UCA Services, Inc.

InteliData Technologies, Corp.

DCA calculated the TEV/ LTM Revenue ratio for these five companies, which resulted in a median of 1.5, compared to 2.0 for Unify.

Selected Merger Transactions	Multiples	Software Development Tools Sector (5 comparable companies)	Vertical Software Application Sector (5 comparable companies)
		TEV/LTM Revenue	TEV/LTM Revenue
Median		1.8	1.5
Unify*		2.0	2.0

* TEV for Unify based on proposed merger transaction value. LTM Revenue for Unify based on draft quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 31, 2006.

DCA determined that the implied merger multiple of 2.0x compares favorably to the TEV/ LTM Revenue median multiples of comparable software development tools and vertical applications software public companies of 1.8x and 1.5x, respectively. These multiples were applied against Unify s gross revenue from each business unit (i.e. UBS and IRM) for the twelve months ending January 31, 2006. The implied transaction value of approximately \$21.35 million represents a premium of approximately 15% over the median acquisition multiple.

								Premium of Proposed Transaction Value to Implied Value
Selected Merger Transactions	Premiums	Median Multiple		Applicable Unify Metric*		Implied Value		
TEV/ LTM of Comparable Software Development Tools Companies M&A Transactions		1.8	x	\$	9,789	=	\$ 17,380	
TEV/ LTM of Comparable Vertical Application Software Companies M&A Transactions		1.5	x	\$	793	=	\$ 1,211	

Total	=	\$ 18,591	14.8%
-------	---	-----------	-------

* Unify gross revenues for UBS and IRM.

Discounted Cash Flow and Terminal Value Analysis. DCA performed an analysis that estimated the future stream of projected free cash flows of Unify through April 30, 2009, in accordance with the financial projections received from, and reviewed with, Unify management. To that estimated stream of future cash flows, DCA applied a discount rate equal to 23.9% determined by utilizing factors including a risk-free rate, Unify's Beta, equity risk premium and equity size adjustment. Among those factors used in calculating the discount rate, DCA used Unify's actual 5-year Beta of 1.77, rather than a weighted average of 2.64 of certain companies selected by DCA. The decision to use Unify's actual Beta had the effect of reducing the discount rate and increasing the implied value of Unify when compared to using the weighted average of the selected companies.

The terminal value multiple selected was computed as the weighted average of the median multiples of the selected software development tools and vertical applications software public companies of 2.06 and

Table of Contents

1.62, respectively, applied against Unify's estimated gross income percentage from UBS and IRM for the twelve months ending April 30, 2009.

The estimated value of Unify's projected free cash flows over the period ending April 30, 2009, discounted at a rate of 23.9% implies a present value of the Company equal to approximately \$14.24 million. The proposed transaction value of approximately \$21.35 million represents a premium of approximately 50% above this implied discounted cash flow value.

In connection with its analyses, DCA considered and discussed with the Unify board how the discounted cash flow analyses would be affected by changes in the underlying assumptions, including variations with respect to net income, the growth rate of revenues, and free cash flows. DCA noted that the discounted free cash flow and terminal value analysis is a widely used valuation methodology, but the results of such methodology are highly dependent upon the numerous assumptions that must be made and results thereof are not necessarily indicative of actual values or future results.

Pro Forma Ownership and Contribution Analysis. DCA analyzed certain potential pro forma effects of the merger, based upon the following assumptions, among others: (i) a per share transaction value of \$0.71 per share, with 100% of the outstanding shares of Unify being exchanged for shares of Halo common stock at an assumed exchange ratio of 0.43 to 1.00, and (ii) the revenue and EBITDA projections of Unify and Halo as provided by the senior managements of Unify and Halo. The analysis indicated that for the year ending June 30, 2007, the first full year following the merger, Unify shareholders would own approximately 18.6% of the combined company while projected Unify operations would contribute approximately 21.5% and 1.9% to the combined entity's revenues and EBITDA, respectively. DCA was unable to analyze the pro forma effects after the first year because Halo management only provided estimates of quarterly revenues and EBITDA (and no other detail) through December 31, 2007. Consequently, no Halo revenues and EBITDA were included in this analysis for the period January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009, so DCA did not prepare a pro forma ownership and contribution analysis for that period. Additionally, Unify and Halo have year ends of April 30 and June 30; the effects of conforming such year ends were ignored for purposes of this analysis. Halo did not provide DCA with detailed consolidating or consolidated financial projections or budgets for any periods subsequent to January 1, 2006, limiting DCA's ability to perform a full contribution analysis. The actual results achieved by the combined company may vary from projected results and the variations may be material.

Unify agreed to pay DCA \$80,000 for rendering its opinion, all of which was paid prior to delivery of the opinion to the Unify Board of Directors on March 10, 2006. Unify has also agreed to reimburse DCA for its reasonable attorney's fees and out of pocket expenses, up to a maximum of \$5,000 or such higher amount as is approved by Unify, incurred in connection with its engagement, and to indemnify DCA and its affiliates and their respective partners, directors, officers, employees, agents and controlling persons against certain expenses and liabilities, including, but not limited to, liabilities under securities laws. None of DCA's fees or other rights under the engagement are in any way dependent upon the ultimate conclusion of the opinion.

Completion and Effectiveness of the Merger

The merger will be completed when all of the conditions to completion of the merger are satisfied or waived, if permissible, including adoption of the merger agreement by the stockholders of Unify. The merger will become effective upon the filing of a certificate of merger with the State of Delaware.

Halo and Unify are working to complete the merger as quickly as possible, and we hope to do so as promptly as practicable after the Unify special meeting. However, because the merger is subject to closing conditions, Halo and Unify cannot give any assurance that all the conditions to the merger will be either satisfied or waived or that the merger will occur and cannot predict the exact timing of the completion of the merger.

As promptly as practicable after the merger is completed, _____, the exchange agent for the merger, will mail to you instructions (including a letter of transmittal) for surrendering your shares of

Table of Contents

Unify common stock in exchange for Halo common stock, and cash for fractional shares, if any. When you deliver your Unify stock certificates to the exchange agent along with a properly executed letter of transmittal and any other required documents, your Unify stock certificates will be cancelled and you will receive a certificate representing that number of whole shares of Halo stock that you are entitled to receive pursuant to the merger agreement.

You should not submit your stock certificates for exchange until you have completed and mailed the letter of transmittal as directed by the instructions referred to above.

You will be entitled to receive dividends or other distributions on Halo common stock with a record date after the merger is completed, but only after you have surrendered your Unify stock certificates. If there is any dividend or other distribution on Halo common stock with a record date after completion of the merger, you will receive the dividend or distribution promptly after the later of the date that your Halo shares are issued to you or the date the dividend or other distribution is paid to all Halo shareholders.

Halo will issue a Halo stock certificate and a check for fractional shares, if applicable, in a name other than the name in which a surrendered Unify stock certificate is registered only if you present the exchange agent with all documents required to show and effect the unrecorded transfer of ownership and show that you paid any applicable stock transfer taxes.

Operations Following the Merger

Following completion of the merger, the business of Unify will be continued as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Halo. The stockholders of Unify will become stockholders of Halo and their rights as stockholders will be governed by the Halo articles of incorporation, the Halo bylaws and the laws of the State of Nevada. See the section entitled

Comparison of Stockholder Rights and Corporate Governance Matters beginning on page 143 of this proxy statement/prospectus for a discussion of some of the differences in the rights of stockholders of Halo and the stockholders of Unify.

Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger

Unify stockholders should be aware that certain members of the Unify board of directors and management have interests in the merger that are different from, or are in addition to, the interests of other Unify stockholders. The Unify board of directors was aware of these interests and considered the following matters, among others, in approving the merger agreement, as amended, and the merger:

Indemnification. If we complete the merger, for a period of five years Halo will provide rights to indemnification benefiting Unify's directors and officers that are at least as favorable as those in effect under Unify's certificate of incorporation and bylaws as of the closing of the merger agreement, as amended. The merger agreement also provides for the purchase of a D&O tail policy for the benefit of Unify's directors and officers.

Change of Control/ Severance Agreements.

Unify has an employment agreement with Mr. Wille, its president and chief executive officer. Under the agreement, Mr. Wille receives an annual salary, subject to adjustment by the Unify board of directors, which was initially set at \$210,000. The employment agreement also provides that Mr. Wille is eligible to receive bonuses of up to \$150,000 as determined by Unify, and that Mr. Wille was to receive an option to purchase 500,000 shares of Unify common stock to be granted pursuant to the Unify 1991 Stock Option Plan. Should Mr. Wille be terminated upon a

Change of Control of Unify, Mr. Wille will receive twelve months' salary payable in accordance with Unify's regular payroll cycle, the continuance of Mr. Wille's employee benefits for twelve months, and 100% immediate vesting of any unvested portion of the option to purchase 500,000 shares provided for in the employment agreement.

It is a condition to completion of the merger that Halo and Mr. Wille enter into a new employment agreement under which Mr. Wille will be employed as a senior manager of the Unify business unit or an equivalent position. Under the new agreement, Mr. Wille will receive an annual salary of \$220,000, subject

Table of Contents

to increase at the discretion of the Halo board, and will be eligible for performance bonuses consistent with Halo employees with similar responsibilities. The term of the agreement is a minimum of two years, with additional one year terms upon mutual agreement between Halo and Mr. Wille. If Mr. Wille is terminated prior to the end of the term without cause, or Mr. Wille resigns for good reason (in each case as defined in the agreement) Halo is obligated to pay his salary and bonus and continue his benefits for a period of 12 months.

Under Unify's 2001 Stock Option Plan, should Unify merge with or be acquired by another company in a transaction where Unify stockholders do not retain a majority of the voting stock, a Change of Control occurs. If there is a Change of Control, the successor company may, without the consent of any option holders, either assume any outstanding options or substitute substantially equivalent options for its own stock. Any options neither assumed or substituted by the successor company in connection with the Change of Control nor exercised prior to the Change in Control shall be terminate and cease to be outstanding effective as of the date of the Change of Control.

Under Unify's 1991 Stock Option Plan, in the event of a proposed merger or sale of Unify with or into another corporation in which Unify is not the surviving corporation, Unify shall notify option holders no less than 15 days prior to the consummation of such transaction and all outstanding options shall become immediately exercisable, whether vested or not. Unexercised outstanding options that are not assumed or substituted by the acquiring corporation (or a parent or subsidiary of the acquiring corporation) shall terminate immediately prior to the consummation of such transaction.

Stock Options and Warrants

In connection with the merger, each outstanding option to acquire Unify common stock with a per share exercise price of less than \$1.00 which remains outstanding and unexercised immediately prior to consummation of the merger will become and represent an option to purchase the number of shares of Halo common stock (rounded down to the nearest whole share) determined by multiplying the number of shares of Unify common stock subject to such option by 0.437. The exercise price of the substituted Halo option will be determined by dividing the exercise price of the Unify option by the exchange ratio and rounding the result up to the nearest tenth of a cent. All other Unify options will be cancelled. Each outstanding and unexercised warrant to purchase Unify common stock immediately prior to consummation of the merger will become and represent a warrant to purchase the number of shares of Halo common stock (rounded down to the nearest whole share) determined by multiplying the number of shares of Unify common stock subject to such warrant by 0.437. See the section entitled "The Merger Agreement - Stock Payment; Common Stock Options and Warrants" beginning on page 78 of this proxy statement/ prospectus.

Stockholder Agreement

Holders beneficially owning approximately 33% of Unify's outstanding voting securities have entered into a stockholder agreement with Halo. Pursuant to the stockholder agreement, such holders have agreed to vote all of their shares of Unify common stock beneficially owned:

for adoption and approval of the merger agreement, the merger and all agreements related to the merger and any actions related to or contemplated by the merger; and

not in favor of any other proposal to acquire Unify (other than an unsolicited superior proposal, as defined in the merger agreement and described in the section entitled "The Merger Agreement - No Solicitation" beginning on page 84 of this proxy statement/ prospectus), any reorganization, recapitalization, liquidation or winding up of Unify or any other extraordinary transaction involving Unify, any corporate action the consummation of which would frustrate the purposes of, or prevent or delay the consummation of the merger or other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement or any other related matters.

Table of Contents

Robert J. Majteles is a director of Unify and the general partner of Treehouse Capital, LLC (Treehouse), an investment firm. Special Situations Fund III, L.P., Special Situations Cayman Fund, L.P., Special Situations Private Equity Fund, L.P. and Special Situations Fund, L.P. (who collectively beneficially own 29.67% of the outstanding Unify common stock and are parties to the stockholder agreement) have entered into an agreement with Mr. Majteles and Treehouse pursuant to which Treehouse, through Mr. Majteles, agrees to provide certain management and financial advisory services for the funds on request. If Mr. Majteles' services are requested by the funds with respect to a particular portfolio investment, Treehouse is entitled to 10% of the funds' net gain (as defined) or net loss (as defined) on the investment during the term of the agreement, offset by certain fees that may be paid by the portfolio company to Treehouse or Mr. Majteles directly. Under the agreement, Mr. Majteles is required to act independently of the funds in discharging his fiduciary duties to shareholders of any company for which he serves as a member of the board of directors and also is obliged not to disclose to the funds or use for his own benefit any confidential information he obtains in connection with his service for a particular portfolio company. Mr. Majteles does not have or share voting or dispositive power over any securities held by the funds. Mr. Majteles has agreed to serve as a director of Unify pursuant to this agreement.

Indemnification and Insurance

In the event of any threatened or actual claim against a current or former director or officer of Unify, Unify and Halo have agreed to defend the director or officer against the threatened or actual claim to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law and the certificate of incorporation and bylaws of Unify. The merger agreement also provides that after the effectiveness of the merger, the surviving corporation and Halo shall indemnify and hold harmless each current or former director and officer of Unify, to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law and the certificate of incorporation and bylaws of Unify, against any damages incurred in connection with any threatened or actual claim or suit for a period of five years after the consummation of the merger.

The merger agreement provides that Unify will purchase directors' and officers' liability insurance coverage for five years after the consummation of the merger for the benefit of its executive officers and directors serving prior to the merger under either Unify's current policy or under a policy of similar coverage containing terms and conditions which are generally not less advantageous than Unify's current policy, except that Unify will not be obligated to purchase directors' and officers' liability insurance with a premium of more than \$200,000.

Table of Contents**Unify Common Stock Ownership**

The following table and notes set forth as of March 23, 2006, the number of shares of Unify's outstanding common stock beneficially owned by (i) beneficial owners of 5% or more of Unify's common stock; (ii) the named executive officers of Unify, (iii) each director and nominee for director of Unify, and (iv) all named executive officers and directors of Unify as a group. All information is taken from or based upon ownership filings made by such persons with the SEC or upon information provided by such persons to Unify, and the percentages are based upon 29,523,608 shares of common stock outstanding on March 23, 2006.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class Beneficially Owned(1)	Shares Beneficially Owned(2)
<i>Certain Beneficial Owners</i>			
Diker Management, LLC(3)(7) 745 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1409 New York, New York 10151	3,463,517	11.73%	0
Special Situations Funds(4)(7) 527 Madison Ave, Suite 2600 New York, New York 10022	8,608,135	29.67%	0
<i>Executive Officers and Directors(5):</i>			
Steven D. Whiteman	254,905	0.86%	131,666
Robert J. Majteles	30,057	0.10%	0
Tery R. Larrew	222,211	0.75%	59,722
Richard M. Brooks	24,750	0.08%	6,250
Todd E. Wille	782,894	2.61%	450,000
Frank Verardi	348,193	1.17%	191,250
David M. Glende	473,955	1.59%	378,875
Daniel S. Romine(6)	1,002,531	3.39%	39,062
Steven D. Bonham	41,666	0.14%	41,666
<i>All Executive Officers and Directors as a Group (9 persons):</i>	3,181,162	10.69%	1,298,491

(1) Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC, and generally includes voting power and/or investment power with respect to securities. Shares of common stock subject to options or warrants which are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of March 23, 2006 are deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of the person holding such options or warrants but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of any other person. Except as indicated by footnote, Unify believes that the persons named in the table above have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them.

(2) Represents the number of shares of common stock set forth under the column Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership that the beneficial owner has the right to acquire through the exercise of warrants or options that are currently exercisable or that are exercisable within 60 days of March 23, 2006.

(3)

As the sole general partner of the Diker Funds, Diker GP, has the power to vote and dispose of the shares of the common stock owned by the Diker Funds and, accordingly, may be deemed the beneficial owner of such shares. Pursuant to investment advisory agreements, Diker Management serves as the investment manager of the Diker Funds. Accordingly, Diker Management may be deemed the beneficial owner of shares held by the Diker Funds. Charles M. Diker and Mark N. Diker are the managing members of each of Diker GP and Diker Management, and in that capacity direct their operations. Therefore, Charles M. Diker and Mark N. Diker may be beneficial owners of shares beneficially owned by Diker GP and Diker Management. The Reporting Persons disclaim all

Table of Contents

beneficial ownership, however, as affiliates of a Registered Investment Adviser, and in any case disclaim beneficial ownership except to the extent of their pecuniary interest in the shares.

- (4) AWM Investment Company, Inc. is a hedge fund management firm based in New York. The firm is owned by David Greenhouse and Austin Marx. They manage the Special Situations Fund III, L.P., Special Situations Cayman Fund L.P., Special Situations Private Equity Fund, L.P., and Special Situations Tech. Fund, L.P.
- (5) The business address for each named executive officer and director is 2101 Arena Blvd, Suite 100, Sacramento, California 95834.
- (6) Daniel Romine owns 481,734 shares of the common stock of Unify and Carrie Romine owns 481,735 shares of common stock of Unify. Daniel and Carrie Romine are husband and wife and shares held by one of them are deemed beneficially owned by the other.
- (7) Diker Management, LLC and Special Situations Funds have entered into a stockholder agreement whereby they agreed to vote their shares together in favor of, among other things, the merger agreement and, as a group, they beneficially own 12,071,652 shares of the common stock of Unify, which represents beneficial ownership of approximately 41.09% of the common stock of Unify.

Regulatory Matters

Other than compliance with applicable federal and state securities laws pursuant to the issuance of Halo common stock in connection with the merger, and compliance with applicable provisions of the Nevada Revised Statutes, no federal or state regulatory requirements must be satisfied in connection with the merger.

Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger

General

The following discussion sets forth the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger to Unify stockholders.

This discussion does not address any tax consequences arising under the laws of any state, local or foreign jurisdiction. This discussion is based upon the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code) and the regulations of the U.S. Treasury Department and court and administrative rulings and decisions in effect on the date of this document. These laws may change, possibly retroactively, and any change could affect the continuing validity of this discussion. The discussion below also assumes that the merger will be completed in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement.

This discussion is limited to shareholders who hold Unify common stock and, after the merger, will hold Halo common stock, as capital assets within the meaning of section 1221 of the Code. Further, this discussion does not address all aspects of U.S. federal income taxation that may be relevant to you in light of your particular circumstances or that may be applicable to you if you are subject to special treatment under the U.S. federal income tax laws, including, without limitation, if you are:

- a non-United States person or entity;
- a financial institution;
- a tax-exempt organization;
- an S corporation or other pass-through entity;
- an insurance company;
- a mutual fund;

a dealer in securities or foreign currencies;

a trader in securities who elects the mark-to-market method of accounting for your securities;

73

Table of Contents

a shareholder subject to the alternative minimum tax provisions of the Code;

a person that has a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar;

a holder of options, or a shareholder who acquired Unify common stock pursuant to employee stock options or otherwise as compensation;

a broker-dealer; or

a shareholder who holds Unify common stock or shares as part of a hedge against currency risk, straddle or a constructive sale or conversion transaction.

You are advised to consult your own tax advisor as to the U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger, and the ownership and disposition of Halo common stock, in each case in light of the facts and circumstances that may be unique to you.

Based on representations contained in representation letters provided by Halo and Unify and on certain customary factual assumptions, all of which must continue to be true and accurate in all material respects as of the effective time of the merger, it is the opinion of Day, Berry and Howard, tax counsel to Halo, and DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary US LLP, counsel to Unify, that the merger will be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. Accordingly, the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger to a U.S. holder of Unify common stock will be as follows:

you will not recognize gain or loss when you exchange your Unify common stock solely for Halo common stock, except to the extent of any cash received in lieu of a fractional share of Halo;

your aggregate tax basis in the Halo common stock that you receive in the merger (including any fractional share interest you are deemed to receive and exchange for cash) will equal your aggregate tax basis in the Unify common stock you surrender; and

your holding period for the Halo common stock that you receive in the merger will include your holding period for the shares of Unify common stock that you surrender in the exchange.

If you acquired different blocks of Unify common stock at different times and at different prices, your tax basis and holding period in your Halo common stock may be determined with reference to each block of Unify common stock.

Cash in lieu of Fractional Shares. A U.S. holder will generally recognize capital gain or loss on any cash received in lieu of a fractional share of Halo common stock equal to the difference between the amount of cash received and the tax basis allocated to such fractional share. That gain or loss will constitute long-term capital gain or loss if such U.S. holder's holding period in Unify common stock surrendered in the merger is greater than 12 months as of the date of the merger.

Tax Opinion. It is a condition to the completion of the merger that Halo and Unify each receive an opinion from its respective counsel that the merger will be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. An opinion of counsel represents counsel's best legal judgment and is not binding on the Internal Revenue Service or any court. If the merger fails to qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code, you will recognize taxable gain or loss on the merger equal to the difference between the fair market value of the Halo stock received in the merger and your tax basis in the Unify stock surrendered in the merger. Such gain or loss will be capital gain or loss and will be long-term capital gain or loss if your holding period for your Unify stock is greater than one year. Long-term capital gain of non-corporate stockholders is subject to reduced rates of taxation. The deductibility of capital losses is subject to limitations. Unify stockholders will receive an information report notifying them if Halo or Unify determines that, due to some unanticipated change in facts and circumstances, the merger is a fully taxable transaction.

Table of Contents

Backup Withholding. If you are a non-corporate holder of Unify common stock you may be subject to information reporting and backup withholding on any cash payments received in lieu of a fractional share of Halo common stock. You will not be subject to backup withholding, however, if you:

furnish a correct taxpayer identification number and certify that you are not subject to backup withholding on the substitute Form W-9 or successor form included in the letter of transmittal to be delivered to you following the completion of the merger; or

are otherwise exempt from backup withholding.

Any amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules will be allowed as a refund or credit against your U.S. federal income tax liability, provided you furnish the required information to the Internal Revenue Service.

Reporting Requirements. Upon the receipt of Halo common shares as a result of the merger, you will be required to retain records pertaining to the merger. You will also be required to file with your U.S. federal income tax return for the year in which the merger takes place a statement setting forth certain facts relating to the merger.

Dissenting Stockholders. A dissenting holder of Unify common stock who perfects dissenter's rights will generally be treated as having received a distribution in redemption of his, her, or its shares subject to the provisions of the Code, which, in certain circumstances, treat such payments as a stockholder distribution not in connection with a sale or exchange. While the tax consequences of such a redemption depend on a stockholder's particular circumstances, a dissenting stockholder who, after the transaction, does not own (actually or constructively) any common stock of either Unify or Halo will generally recognize gain or loss with respect to a share of Unify common stock equal to the difference between the amount of cash received and his, her, or its basis in such share. This gain or loss should be a capital gain or loss.

Accounting Treatment

Halo will record the merger using the purchase method of accounting in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. This method assumes that for financial reporting purposes, Halo will treat both companies as one company beginning as of the date we complete the merger. In addition, under this method of accounting, Halo will record the fair value of Unify's net assets on its consolidated financial statements, with the remaining purchase price in excess of the fair value of Unify's net assets recorded as goodwill. See Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements of Warp Technology Holdings Reflecting Acquisition of Unify Corporation on page F-136.

Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board Listing of Halo Common Stock

Shares of Halo's common stock are quoted on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board, operated by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. and after the completion of the merger the Halo common stock issued to Unify stockholders will be quoted on the OTC:BB as well. Shares of Unify common stock will be deregistered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 on completion of the merger.

APPRAISAL RIGHTS FOR UNIFY STOCKHOLDERS

Unify is a Delaware corporation and under Delaware law, you have the right to dissent from the merger and receive payment in cash for the fair value of your Unify common stock, as determined by the Delaware Court of Chancery. This right is often referred to as appraisal or dissenters' rights. Appraisal rights are governed by Section 262 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, and strict compliance with the statutory procedures of Section 262 is required of stockholders in order to perfect their appraisal rights.

The following is a brief summary of the material provisions of the statutory procedural requirements to be followed by a Unify stockholder in order to dissent from the merger and perfect the stockholder's appraisal rights under Section 262. This summary, however, is not a complete statement of all applicable requirements and is qualified in its entirety by reference to Section 262, a copy of which is attached to this

Table of Contents

proxy statement/ prospectus as Annex D. The following summary of Section 262 does not constitute any legal or other advice, nor does it constitute a recommendation that Unify stockholders exercise their appraisal rights. Should you wish to exercise your appraisal rights, you should carefully review the text of Section 262 contained in Annex D. Failure to timely and properly comply with the requirements of Section 262 will result in the loss of your appraisal rights under Delaware law.

Under Section 262, stockholders as of the record date must be notified not less than 20 days before the special meeting to vote on the merger that appraisal rights will be available. This notice must be accompanied by a copy of Section 262. This proxy statement/ prospectus, including Annex D, constitutes Unify's notice to its stockholders regarding the availability of appraisal rights in connection with the merger in compliance with Section 262.

If you elect to exercise your dissenters' rights and demand appraisal of your shares, you must satisfy each of the following conditions:

1. Before the vote is taken on the merger agreement at the Unify special meeting, you must deliver to Unify a written demand for appraisal of your shares. The requirement that you make written demand for appraisal is in addition to and separate from any proxy or vote abstaining from or voting against the merger. Under Section 262, voting against or failing to vote for the merger itself does not constitute a demand for appraisal.

2. You must not vote in favor of the merger by proxy or in person. A vote in favor of the merger, whether by proxy or in person, will constitute a waiver of your appraisal rights in respect of the shares so voted and will nullify any previously filed written demand for appraisal.

3. You must be the record holder of the shares of Unify common stock on the date the written demand for appraisal is made and continue to hold the shares as record holder through the completion of the merger.

If you fail to comply with any of these conditions, and the merger is completed, you will be entitled to receive the shares of Halo common stock and cash in lieu of fractional shares, if any, for your shares of Unify common stock as provided for in the merger agreement, but will have no appraisal rights with respect to your shares of Unify common stock.

A Unify stockholder who wishes to exercise his, her or its appraisal rights and who votes by proxy must vote against the merger, or abstain from voting on the merger. A proxy that is signed but does not contain voting instructions will, unless revoked, be voted in favor of the merger, and will constitute a waiver of the stockholder's appraisal rights and will nullify any previously delivered written demand for appraisal.

All demands for appraisal should be delivered before the vote on the merger is taken at the Unify special meeting to the following address: Unify Corporation, Attention: Investor Relations, 2101 Arena Boulevard, Suite 100, Sacramento, California 95834, and should be executed by, or on behalf of, the record holder of the shares of Unify common stock. Any demand must reasonably inform Unify of the identity of the stockholder and the stockholder's intention to demand appraisal of his, her or its shares, and should specify the stockholder's mailing address and the number of shares registered in the stockholder's name for which the stockholder is demanding appraisal.

Under Section 262, a stockholder's demand for appraisal must be made by, or in the name of, such record stockholder, fully and correctly, as the stockholder's name appears on his or her stock certificate(s) and cannot be made a the beneficial owner if he or she does not also hold the shares of record. In such cases, the beneficial holder must have the record owner submit the required demand with respect to such shares.

If the Unify shares for which appraisal rights are demanded are owned of record in a fiduciary capacity, such as by a trustee, guardian or custodian, execution of demand for appraisal should be made in such capacity. If more than one person owns the shares of record, as in a joint tenancy or tenancy in common, the demand for appraisal should be executed by or for all joint owners. An authorized agent,

Table of Contents

including an authorized agent for two or more joint owners, may execute the demand for appraisal for a Unify stockholder of record. In order for such demand to be effective, the agent must identify the record owner(s) and expressly disclose the fact that, in executing the demand, he or she is acting as agent for the record owner(s). A record owner who holds shares as a nominee for others, such as a broker, may exercise his, her or its right of appraisal with respect to the shares held for one or more beneficial owners, while not exercising this right for other beneficial owners. The written demand in such case should state the number of shares as to which appraisal is demanded. In the case of demand where no number of shares is expressly stated, the demand will be presumed to cover all shares held in the name of such record owner.

If you wish to exercise appraisal rights and you hold your shares of Unify common stock in a brokerage or bank account or in other nominee form, you should consult with your broker or bank or such other nominee to determine the appropriate procedures for such nominee to make demand.

Within 10 days after the effective date of the merger, Unify must give written notice of the date the merger became effective to each Unify stockholder who has properly filed a written demand for appraisal and who did not vote in favor of the merger. Within 120 days after the effective date of the merger, either Unify or any Unify stockholder who has complied with the requirements of Section 262 may file a petition in the Delaware Court of Chancery to demand a determination of the fair value of the shares held by all Unify stockholders entitled to appraisal. Should any Unify stockholders exercise their dissenters' rights, Unify has no obligation to file such a petition and has no present intention to do so. Therefore, the failure of a Unify stockholder to file such a petition under Section 262 could nullify such stockholder's previous written demand for appraisal.

Any Unify stockholder who has demanded an appraisal has the right to withdraw the demand at any time within 60 days after the effective date of the merger and to accept the shares of Halo common stock and cash in lieu of fractional shares, if any, specified by the merger agreement for his or her shares of Unify common stock. A Unify stockholder's attempt to withdraw an appraisal demand more than 60 days after the effective date of the merger will require the written approval of Unify. Within 120 days after the effective date of the merger, any Unify stockholder who has complied with Section 262 will be entitled, upon written request, to receive a statement setting forth the aggregate number of shares of Unify common stock not voted in favor of the merger, and the aggregate number of shares for which demands for appraisal have been received, and the aggregate number of holders of such shares. Such statement must be mailed to the Unify stockholder exercising appraisal rights within ten days after a written request has been received by Unify or within ten days after the expiration of the period for delivery of demands for appraisal, whichever is later. If an Unify stockholder duly files a petition for appraisal and delivers a copy of the petition to Unify, Unify will then be obligated within 20 days after receiving service of a copy of the petition to provide the Chancery Court with a duly verified list containing the names and addresses of all Unify stockholders who have demanded an appraisal of their shares. After providing notice to dissenting stockholders, the Chancery Court is empowered to conduct a hearing upon the petition, to determine those Unify stockholders who have complied with Section 262 and who are become entitled to appraisal rights. Under Section 262, the Chancery Court may require the Unify stockholders who have demanded payment for their shares to submit their stock certificates to the Register in Chancery for notation thereon of the pendency of the appraisal proceedings. If the Chancery Court so requires and if any Unify stockholder fails to comply with such direction, the Chancery Court may dismiss the proceedings with respect to such stockholder.

After determination of the Unify stockholders, if any, entitled to appraisal of their shares of Unify common stock, the Chancery Court will appraise the shares, determining their fair value exclusive of any element of value arising from the accomplishment or expectation of the merger, together with a fair rate of interest, if any, to be paid. The Chancery Court is required to take into account all relevant factors in determining fair value of the shares. You should be aware that the fair value of your Unify shares as determined by the Chancery Court under Section 262 could be greater, the same, or less than the value that you are entitled to receive for your Unify shares pursuant to the merger agreement. After determining the value of such shares and upon surrender by the holders of the certificates representing such shares, the

Table of Contents

Chancery Court will direct the payment of such value to the holders, with interest thereon accrued during the pendency of the proceeding, if any, as determined by the Chancery Court.

The Chancery Court may impose the costs of the appraisal proceeding upon Unify and the Unify stockholders participating in the appraisal proceeding as the Chancery Court deems equitable under the circumstances. Upon the application of a stockholder, the Chancery Court may order all or a portion of the expenses incurred by any Unify stockholder in connection with the appraisal proceeding, including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys' fees and the fees and expenses of experts, to be charged pro rata against the value of all Unify shares entitled to appraisal. After the effective date of the merger, any Unify stockholder who had demanded appraisal rights will not be entitled to vote shares subject to such demand for any purpose or to receive payments of dividends or any other distribution with respect to such shares (other than with respect to payment as of a record date prior to the effective date); however, if no petition for appraisal is filed within 120 days after the effective date of the merger, or if such stockholder delivers a written withdrawal of his or her demand for appraisal and an acceptance of the merger within 60 days after the effective date of the merger, then the appraisal rights of such stockholder will cease and such stockholder will be entitled to receive the shares of Halo common stock and cash in lieu of fractional shares, if any, for shares of his or her Unify common stock pursuant to the merger agreement. Any Unify stockholder's withdrawal of a demand for appraisal made more than 60 days after the effective date of the merger may only be made with the written approval of Unify. In order to be effective, such request for withdrawal must be made within 120 days after the effective date of the merger.

A Unify stockholder's failure to take any required step in exercising appraisal rights under Section 262 may result in the termination or waiver of such appraisal rights. Given the complexity of Section 262, and the strict compliance required by its provisions, Unify stockholders who wish pursue their appraisal rights under Section 262 should consult their legal advisors.

Table of Contents

THE MERGER AGREEMENT

The following is a summary of the material provisions of the merger agreement. This summary does not purport to describe all the terms of the merger agreement and is qualified by reference to the complete merger agreement, which is attached as Annex A to this proxy statement/prospectus and incorporated by reference. You should read the merger agreement in its entirety, as it represents the legal document governing this merger.

The Merger

Pursuant to the merger agreement, UCA Merger Sub, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Halo, will merge with and into Unify. Unify will survive the merger and, as a result, will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of Halo. The directors and officers of the merger subsidiary immediately prior to the effective time of the merger shall be the directors and officers of the surviving corporation. The certificate of incorporation and bylaws of Unify shall be amended and restated to be the same in substance as the bylaws of the merger subsidiary as in effect immediately prior to the effective time. The merger is intended to constitute a reorganization for federal income tax purposes.

Timing of Closing and Effective Time

The closing of the merger will take place no later than the second business day after satisfaction or waiver of the conditions to the merger agreement (except for those conditions to be satisfied at closing, unless another time or date is agreed to by the parties).

Halo and Unify cannot assure you when, or if, all the conditions to completion of the merger will be satisfied or waived or that the merger will not be terminated. See **Conditions To The Merger** and **Termination**. The parties intend to complete the merger as promptly as practicable, subject to the approval and adoption of the merger agreement by the Unify stockholders and receipt of all requisite regulatory approvals.

The merger will be completed and become effective when the certificate of merger is filed with the Secretary of State of Delaware or at such later date or time as the parties may agree and specify in the certificate of merger.

Merger Consideration

Stock Payment

At the completion of the merger, holders of Unify common stock issued and outstanding prior to the completion of the merger will have the right to receive 0.437 shares of Halo common stock for each share of Unify stock.

Common Stock Options and Warrants

In connection with the merger, each outstanding option to acquire Unify common stock with a per share exercise price of less than \$1.00 which remains outstanding and unexercised immediately prior to consummation of the merger will become and represent an option to purchase the number of shares of Halo common stock (rounded down to the nearest whole share) determined by multiplying the number of shares of Unify common stock subject to such option by 0.437. The exercise price of the substituted Halo option will be determined by dividing the exercise price of the Unify option by the exchange ratio and rounding the result up to the nearest tenth of a cent. All other Unify options will be cancelled. Each new Halo option will contain substantially the same terms and conditions, including vesting, as the applicable Unify option.

Each outstanding and unexercised warrant to purchase Unify common stock immediately prior to consummation of the merger will become and represent a warrant to purchase the number of shares of Halo common stock (rounded down to the nearest whole share) determined by multiplying the number of

Table of Contents

shares of Unify common stock subject to such warrant by 0.437. The exercise price of the warrant and other terms and conditions have been negotiated between Halo and the warrant holder.

Exchange Procedures

Exchange Agent

Halo has appointed [] to serve as exchange and payment agent to handle the exchange of Unify certificates and stock options for Halo common stock. At or before the completion of the merger, Halo will cause to be deposited with the exchange agent sufficient certificates representing whole shares of Halo stock to make all deliveries required under the merger agreement.

Payment Procedures

As soon as reasonably practicable after the closing of the merger, but no later than two days thereafter, the exchange agent will mail to each former Unify stockholder or option holder a letter of transmittal and instructions explaining the procedure for exchanging Unify stock certificates or options for the merger consideration.

You should not surrender your stock certificates or options for exchange until you received a letter of transmittal and instructions from the exchange agent.

Upon delivery to the exchange agent of the Unify certificates or options, along with the properly completed letter of transmittal, the exchange agent will deliver to the holder:

a stock certificate representing the number of whole shares of Halo common stock such holder has a right to receive pursuant to the merger; and

cash in lieu of any fractional shares.

At the time of the completion of the merger, all shares of Unify common stock and options and warrants to purchase shares of Unify common stock will be cancelled, and all such shares and options and warrants will cease to have any rights except the right to receive the merger consideration, including the substituted Halo option or warrant, as the case may be. No interest will be paid or accrued on any amount payable to holders of Unify common stock. In addition, no holder of Unify common shares, options, or warrants will receive any dividends or other distributions nor will they be permitted to exercise any voting rights with respect to Halo common stock to which the holder may be entitled, until such holder surrenders the certificates representing its shares of Unify common stock, options, or warrants to the exchange agent with a properly executed letter of transmittal.

If any Unify stock certificate shall have been lost, stolen, or destroyed, Halo will require the person claiming such lost, stolen, or destroyed certificate to provide an appropriate affidavit and may require the delivery of a bond as indemnity against any claim that may be made against the exchange agent, Halo, or Unify with respect to such certificate.

Halo stockholders will not exchange their certificates representing common stock or preferred stock.

Representations and Warranties

The merger agreement contains a number of representations and warranties made by Unify to Halo and Merger Sub, and by Halo and Merger Sub to Unify. Some of these representations and warranties are qualified as to materiality, knowledge, or the disclosure made by Halo or Unify in certain of their respective filings with the SEC. The representations and warranties by Unify include, but are not limited to, those regarding:

capitalization;

corporate organization and good standing;

Table of Contents

corporate power and authority to enter into the merger agreement and, subject to receipt of Unify stockholder approval, to consummate the transactions contemplated thereby;

absence of breach of corporate governance documents, certain contracts, and laws at the time of the merger, and as a result of the merger;

the receipt of all third party and other governmental consents and filings required for the merger;

accuracy of financial statements and compliance with the filing requirements of the SEC and certain accounting principals and requirements and the absence of any change in accounting principles;

the absence of broker's fees, other than those specified in the merger agreement;

the existence of legal proceedings;

material indebtedness, obligations, and liabilities;

tax matters;

Unify's employee benefit plans;

certain Unify contracts and Unify's property and assets, including intellectual property;

compliance with laws, including environmental laws and the absence of unlawful payments and contributions;

accuracy of information supplied in the merger agreement or for use in this proxy statement/ prospectus and the registration statement of which it is a part;

the receipt of a fairness opinion with respect to the merger; and

ownership of Halo securities;

The representations and warranties by Halo include, but are not limited to, those regarding:
capitalization;

corporate organization and good standing;

corporate power and authority to enter into the merger agreement and consummate the transactions contemplated thereby;

the receipt of all third party and other governmental consents and filings required for the merger;

adequacy of financial resources to consummate the merger;

the absence of broker's fees, other than those specified in the merger agreement;

the existence of legal proceedings;

accuracy of financial statements and compliance with the filing requirements of the SEC and certain accounting principals and requirements and the absence of any change in accounting principles;

the absence of any material adverse effect, or any condition, event, change, or occurrence that is reasonably likely to result in a material adverse effect;

material indebtedness, obligations, and liabilities;

tax matters;

Halo's employee benefit plans;

compliance with laws, including the absence of unlawful payments and contributions;

Table of Contents

accuracy of information supplied in the merger agreement or for use in this proxy statement/ prospectus and the registration statement of which it is a part;

the absence of any state takeover statute or similar statute or regulation; and

ownership of Unify common stock.

Covenants

Conduct Of Unify's Business Prior To Completion Of The Merger

Unify has agreed to certain restrictions on the manner in which it will carry on its business until either completion of the merger or the termination of the merger agreement. In general, except as specifically contemplated by the merger agreement, or to the extent Halo consents in writing, Unify will conduct its business in the ordinary course consistent with past practices and use reasonable best efforts to preserve intact its business organization, keep available the present services of its employees, and preserve the goodwill of its customers and those having business relationships with it. In addition, Unify has agreed that, subject to specified exceptions or the written consent of Halo, it will not, among other things:

declare or pay dividends on, or make any distributions in respect of, its capital stock;

make changes in its share capital, including by stock splits, combinations, and reclassifications;

issue, or sell shares of its capital stock or securities convertible into or exercisable for any shares;

amend its certificate of incorporation, bylaws or other similar governing documents;

repurchase or redeem its capital stock or other securities, or rights to any securities;

amend its governing documents;

make individual capital expenditures in excess of \$50,000 in the aggregate;

enter into any new line of business or material partnership, joint development agreements or strategic alliances;

acquire or agree to acquire an entity through a merger, or by purchasing an equity interest in, or the assets of, such other entity;

take action that may reasonably be expected to result in any of its representations and warranties in the merger agreement being untrue or in any of the conditions to the merger not being satisfied, or in violation the merger agreement, except as may be required by law;

change its methods of accounting in effect at April 30, 2005, except to comply with applicable accounting rules or principles;

enter into or change employee benefit plans, or increase compensation or severance for any employee, director or officer, except as required by law or the merger agreement;

hire a new employee at a salary greater than \$100,000 or promote an employee to rank of senior vice president or higher;

incur any indebtedness, except for draw downs from the existing line of credit in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice;

dispose of any properties or assets, except in the ordinary course of business;

settle any claim, action, or investigation against Unify in excess of \$50,000;

transfer or license, or amend, rights to Unify's intellectual property, other than in the ordinary course of business; or

commence material litigation, except in specified circumstances.

Table of Contents

Conduct Of Halo's Business Prior To Completion Of The Merger

Halo also has agreed to certain restrictions on the manner in which it will carry on its business until either completion of the merger or the termination of the merger agreement. In general, except as specifically contemplated by the merger agreement, or to the extent Unify consents in writing, Halo will conduct its business in the ordinary course consistent with past practices. In addition, Halo has agreed that, subject to specified exceptions or the written consent of Unify, it will, among other things:

- notify Unify of any change in the normal course of its or its subsidiaries businesses, or in the operation of its properties, and of complaints or investigations by government entities having a material adverse effect on Halo;

- notify Unify of any material transaction;

- not declare or pay dividends on, or make any distributions in respect of, its capital stock (other than dividends on Halo's outstanding Series C and Series D preferred stock);

- make changes in its share capital, including by stock splits, combinations, and reclassifications other than as contemplated by the merger agreement;

- not repurchase or redeem its capital stock or other securities, or rights to any securities;

- not amend its governing documents (except to change its name to Halo Technology Holdings, Inc.);

- not modify its operating model in any material respect;

- not acquire or agree to acquire any business or entity if the acquisition or related announcement would adversely affect the timing of the merger or the preparation and distribution of the proxy materials and registration statement;

- not change its method of accounting in effect at June 30, 2005, except to comply with applicable accounting rules and principles;

- not sell, lease, license, encumber or dispose of any material properties or assets, except in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice; and

- not, and will not permit its subsidiaries to, agree to take any of the actions set forth directly above, or except as allowed in the merger agreement, take action that could reasonably be expected to interfere with the merger agreement.

Conditions To The Merger

The obligations of all parties to complete the merger are subject to the satisfaction or waiver, at or before the closing of the merger, of each of the conditions described below:

- approval and adoption of the merger agreement by holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Unify common stock entitled to vote thereon;

- absence of any legal restraints or prohibitions preventing consummation of the merger;

- effectiveness of the registration statement of which this proxy statement/ prospectus is a part and the absence of any stop order suspending such effectiveness;

Table of Contents

the entry by Halo and Todd Wille into an employment agreement; and

receipt of tax opinions from counsel to Unify and Halo.

Additionally, unless waived by Halo, Halo's obligations to complete the merger are subject to a number of customary conditions, including the following:

certain of the representations and warranties of Unify being true and correct in all respects, and the remaining representations and warranties being true and correct in all material respects, both as of the date of the merger agreement and the date of the closing of the merger;

Unify's performance in all material respects of all of its covenants and agreements under the merger agreement, except where failure to perform would not likely have a material adverse effect on Unify;

Unify's having obtained consents from third parties that are necessary for the consummation of the merger, except as would not have a material adverse effect on Unify or the surviving corporation;

absence of any change in the business, operations, condition (financial or otherwise), assets, or liabilities of Unify that individually or in the aggregate has had or would likely have a material adverse effect on Unify;

each stockholder agreement being in full force and effect; and

to the extent appraisal rights are available, the number of shares of Unify common stock whose holders exercise appraisal rights does not exceed ten percent (10%) of Unify's outstanding shares of common stock.

Further, unless waived by Unify, Unify's obligations to complete the merger are subject to the satisfaction or waiver, at or prior to the closing of the merger, of the following additional conditions:

certain of the representations and warranties of Halo and Merger Sub being true and correct in all respects, and the remaining representations and warranties being true and correct in all material respects, both as of the date of the merger agreement and the date of the closing of the merger;

Halo's performance in all material respects of all of its covenants and agreements under the merger agreement, except where failure to perform would not likely have a material adverse effect on Halo;

Halo's having obtained consents from third parties, other than governmental entities, which are required in connection with the merger under any loan agreement, note, mortgage, indenture, or other agreement to which Halo is bound, except where failure to obtain such consent would not likely have a material adverse effect on Halo;

absence of any change in the business, operations, condition (financial or otherwise), assets, or liabilities of Halo that individually or in the aggregate has had or would likely have a material adverse effect on Halo;

Halo will have received at least \$2,000,000 in new money equity investments;

the conversion of all of Halo's issued and outstanding shares of preferred stock into shares of Halo common stock; and

the holders of certain convertible promissory notes of Halo will have converted such notes into shares of Halo common stock.

Compliance with Antitrust Laws

Halo and Unify agree to use reasonable best efforts to resolve any objections asserted with respect to the merger under antitrust law. Halo and Unify also agree to use reasonable best efforts to take such action as may be required by

a court or governmental entity with respect to the merger under antitrust law.

Table of Contents

No Solicitation

The merger agreement provides that Unify will not, and will not permit its directors, officers, affiliates, or agents to:

solicit, initiate, encourage, or otherwise facilitate any inquiries that relate to any alternative acquisition proposal;

participate in any discussions or negotiations regarding an alternative acquisition proposal; or

withdraw approval or recommendation by the Unify board, or approve or recommend or permit Unify to enter into an agreement relating to an alternative acquisition proposal.

The prohibition on solicitation does not prevent Unify's board, as required by its fiduciary duties, as determined by its board in good faith, and upon consultation with Unify's outside counsel, from engaging in discussions or negotiations with, and furnishing information concerning Unify to, a person or entity that makes an unsolicited superior proposal. The merger agreement requires Unify to promptly notify Halo after receiving any request for information or any proposal which could lead to an alternative acquisition proposal, indicating the identity of the potential acquirer and the principal terms and conditions of the request or proposal, and to keep Halo informed of the status and details of that request or proposal.

A superior proposal is an unsolicited alternative acquisition proposal on terms which the Unify board determines in good faith, based on consultation with a financial advisor, among other things, would result in a transaction more favorable to Unify's stockholders than the merger with Halo and, in the good faith judgment of the board of Unify after consultation with its financial advisor, the persons or entity making such alternative acquisition proposal has the financial means to conclude such transaction.

Further, the prohibition on solicitation does not prevent Unify or its board from complying with SEC rules with regard to an alternative acquisition proposal by means of a tender offer or from making any disclosure to the Unify stockholders if Unify's board determines in good faith and after consultation with Unify's outside counsel, such disclosure is necessary for the Unify board to comply with its fiduciary duties under applicable law.

Other Covenants

The merger agreement contains certain other covenants and agreements, including agreements relating to preparation and distribution of this proxy statement/prospectus, public announcements, and cooperation regarding certain filings with governmental and other agencies and organizations. In addition, the merger agreement contains a general covenant requiring each of the parties to use its reasonable best efforts to effectuate the merger.

Stockholder Meeting

Unify has agreed to convene and hold a meeting of its stockholders promptly after the date of the merger agreement to vote upon the approval of the merger agreement and the merger. The board of Unify, subject to its fiduciary duties, also will recommend that its stockholders approve the merger agreement and merger.

Indemnification and Insurance

Halo and Unify have agreed that, to the fullest extent permitted under applicable law, after the merger has become effective, Halo and the surviving corporation in the merger will indemnify and hold harmless each present and former director and officer of Unify against all losses, costs, expenses, claims, judgments, fines, damages, or liabilities incurred in connection with any threatened or actual claim, action, suit, proceeding, or investigation based at least in part on, the fact that the indemnified party is or was a director or officer of Unify or any of its predecessors, or the merger agreement. In addition, Unify will purchase directors' and officers' liability insurance for the benefit of those holding such positions in Unify immediately prior to the completion of the merger. The coverage will last five years after the completion

Table of Contents

of the merger and will be similar to Unify's current policy. However, Unify will not purchase such insurance for a premium of more than \$200,000.

Termination

The merger agreement may be terminated at any time prior to the completion of the merger, whether before or after approval of Unify's stockholders:

by mutual consent of Halo and Unify if the board of directors of each so determines by a majority vote;

by either party, thirty (30) days after a request for regulatory approval is denied or withdrawn at the request of a governmental entity which must grant such approval, unless the denial or withdrawal request is due to failure of the party seeking to terminate the merger to observe the covenants and agreements of such party in the merger agreement;

by either party, if the merger is not consummated by September 30, 2006, unless the failure to close by such date is due to the failure of the party seeking to terminate the merger to observe the covenants and agreements of such party in the merger agreement;

by either party, if the approval of the merger by the stockholders is not obtained because of the failure to obtain the required vote at Unify's meeting of stockholders held for the purpose of voting on the approval of the merger agreement;

by either party, if the other party has breached any representation or warranty in the merger agreement, if such breach has had or is likely to have a material adverse effect on the breaching party, and such breach is not cured within thirty (30) days of notice by the breaching party of the breach or such breach cannot be cured prior to the closing of the merger agreement;

by either party, if the other party has breached any covenant or agreement in the merger agreement, if such breach has had or is likely to have a material adverse effect on the breaching party, and such breach is not cured within thirty (30) days of notice by the breaching party of the breach or such breach cannot be cured prior to the closing of the merger agreement;

by Halo, if the management or board of directors of Unify fails to hold a stockholders meeting to consider the merger agreement, fails to recommend to its stockholders the approval of the merger agreement, fails to oppose a third party proposal that is inconsistent with the merger agreement, or violates the No Solicitation covenant of the merger agreement; or

by either party, if Unify agrees to enter into a superior proposal in compliance with the No Solicitation covenant of the merger agreement, provided that Unify complies with the Termination Fee requirements in the merger agreement.

Effects of Termination

If the merger agreement is terminated as described above, it will become void, without any liability or obligation on the part of Halo, Merger Sub, or Unify or their respective directors, officers, or stockholders, except with respect to the treatment of confidential information, payment of expenses as provided for in the merger agreement, to the extent that such termination results from the willful breach of a party's representations or warranties or covenants or agreements, or knowing misrepresentation in connection with the merger agreement.

Termination Fee

Unify will be required to pay a termination fee of \$600,000 to Halo if the merger agreement is terminated under the following circumstances:

if (i) an alternative acquisition proposal is made or intended to be made directly to Unify's stockholders or is otherwise publicly announced, (ii) such proposal is not irrevocably and publicly

Table of Contents

withdrawn, and (iii) the merger agreement is then terminated by either party because the merger has not been consummated by September 30, 2006, due to the Unify stockholders meeting not occurring due to such proposal or the Unify stockholders failing to vote in favor of the adoption of the merger agreement;

Unify's board withdraws its recommendation, fails to call a stockholders meeting to consider the merger agreement, fails to oppose a third party proposal that is inconsistent with the merger agreement, or violates the No Solicitation clause of the merger agreement, and Halo terminates the agreement; or

If Unify agrees to enter into a superior proposal in compliance with the No Solicitation clause of the merger agreement and the merger agreement is terminated by either party.

Expenses

All costs and expenses incurred in connection with the merger agreement will be paid by the party incurring such expense, except that:

Halo shall pay the registration statement filing fee; and

The cost of printing the registration statement and proxy materials will be borne one-half (50%) by Halo and one-half (50%) by Unify.

Amendment, Extensions, Waivers

Unify and Halo may amend the merger agreement in writing at any time before or after the Unify stockholders approve the merger agreement. However, after such approval by the Unify stockholders, the merger agreement cannot be amended in any way that would reduce the amount or change the form of merger consideration to be delivered to the Unify stockholders other than as contemplated by the merger agreement. Any amendment must be in writing signed on behalf of each of the parties.

At any time prior to the completion of the merger, Unify or Halo may extend the time for performance of any of the obligations or other acts of the parties, waive any inaccuracies in the representations and warranties in the merger agreement or in any document delivered pursuant to the merger agreement, or waive compliance with any of the agreements or conditions contained in the merger agreement. Any agreement of extension or waiver must be in writing.

AGREEMENTS RELATING TO THE MERGER

Stockholder Agreements

As an inducement to Halo entering into the merger agreement, Unify stockholders holding shares representing 33% of the outstanding shares entitled to vote at the special meeting have executed and delivered a stockholder agreement. Under the stockholder agreement, each such holder has agreed to vote all shares of Unify stock owned by such holder in favor of the merger, the merger agreement, and all related agreements. In addition, each such holder has irrevocably appointed Halo as its lawful attorney and proxy to vote all Unify stock owned by such holder in favor of the approval and adoption of the merger agreement and all transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. The stockholder agreements terminate upon the termination of the merger agreement or upon receipt by Unify of a superior offer under the merger agreement.

A copy of the form of stockholder agreement is attached as Annex C to this proxy statement/ prospectus.

Table of Contents

Ownership of Halo Following the Merger

If we complete the merger, the actual number of shares of Halo common stock that you will receive in the merger will be determined by multiplying the total number of shares of Unify common stock that you own by 0.437, rounded down to the nearest whole share.

Assuming (1) Halo has 30,852,791 shares of common stock issued and outstanding as of the closing of the merger (after satisfaction of the closing condition to the merger that the holders of outstanding shares of Halo's preferred stock and holders of certain Halo convertible promissory notes convert those securities into shares of common stock of Halo and assuming that no shares have yet been issued in the InfoNow transaction), and (2) that 29,523,608 shares of Unify common stock are issued and outstanding as of the second business day prior to the closing of the merger, Unify stockholders would receive 12,901,817 shares of Halo common stock in the merger and would hold approximately 29% of the issued and outstanding common stock of Halo after the merger.

CERTAIN INFORMATION CONCERNING HALO

Halo is sometimes referred to throughout this section as we, us, our and the Company.

Historical Background

Halo was incorporated in the State of Nevada on June 26, 2000 under the name Abbott Mines, Ltd. to engage in the acquisition and exploration of mining properties. Halo obtained an interest in one mining property with mining claims on land located near Vancouver in British Columbia, Canada. To finance its exploration activities, Halo completed a public offering of its common stock, par value \$.00001 per share, on March 14, 2001 and listed its common stock on the OTC Bulletin Board on July 3, 2001. Halo conducted its exploration program on the mining property and the results did not warrant further mining activity. Halo then attempted to locate other properties for exploration but was unable to do so.

The Acquisition of Warp Solutions

On May 24, 2002, Halo and Warp Solutions closed a share exchange transaction (the Warp Solutions Share Exchange) pursuant to a share exchange agreement dated as of May 16, 2002, by and among Halo, Carlo Civelli, Mike Muzykowski, Warp Solutions, Karl Douglas, John Gnip and related sellers. Following the closing of the Warp Solutions Share Exchange, Warp Solutions became a subsidiary of Halo and the operations of Warp Solutions became the sole operations of Halo.

Subsequent to the closing of the Warp Solutions Share Exchange, Halo ceased all mineral exploration activities and the sole operations of Halo were the operations of its subsidiary, Warp Solutions.

The Upstream Merger and Name Change

On August 19, 2002, the board of directors of Halo authorized and approved the upstream merger of WARP Technology Holdings, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Halo which had no operations, with and into Halo pursuant to Chapter 92A of the Nevada Revised Statutes. The upstream merger became effective on August 21, 2002, when Halo filed Articles of Merger with the Nevada Secretary of State. In connection with the upstream merger, and as authorized by Section 92A.180 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, Halo changed its name from Abbott Mines Ltd. to WARP Technology Holdings, Inc.

In February, 2006, Halo's board of directors approved resolutions to change the Company's name from Warp Technology Holdings, Inc. to Halo Technology Holdings, Inc. by amending our Articles of Incorporation. We received from our shareholders the consent of a majority of the outstanding votes entitled to be cast approving the amendment. Accordingly, effective April 2, 2006, our name changed to Halo Technology Holdings, Inc.

Table of Contents

The Acquisition of Spider Software, Inc.

On January 10, 2003, Halo, through its wholly-owned subsidiary 6043577 Canada Inc., acquired one hundred percent (100%) of the issued and outstanding capital stock of Spider Software, Inc. (Spider), a privately held Canadian corporation, through a share exchange transaction pursuant to a Share Exchange Agreement (the Spider Exchange Agreement) dated as of December 13, 2002. Pursuant to the Spider Exchange Agreement the Spider shareholders were issued 1,500,000 shares of the preferred stock of 6043577 Canada Inc., and Halo forgave outstanding Spider promissory notes of approximately \$262,000, all in exchange for one hundred percent (100%) of the issued and outstanding capital stock of Spider. Halo owns 100% of the voting common stock of 6043577 Canada Inc. The preferred stock of 6043577 Canada Inc. has no voting rights or other preferences but is convertible on a 100 for 1 basis into the common stock of Halo. As a result, following the closing, Spider became a wholly-owned subsidiary of 6043577 Canada Inc. and thereby an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Halo.

Acquisition of Gupta Technologies, LLC

On January 31, 2005, Halo completed the acquisition of Gupta Technologies, LLC and its wholly-owned subsidiaries Gupta Technologies GmbH, a German company, Gupta Technologies Ltd., a U.K. company, and Gupta Technologies S.A. de C.V., a Mexican company (collectively referred to herein as Gupta). The acquisition of Gupta was made pursuant to a Membership Interest Purchase Agreement (as amended, the Gupta Agreement) between Halo and Gupta Holdings, LLC.

Under the Gupta Agreement, the total purchase price was \$21,000,000, excluding transaction costs, of which Halo delivered \$15,750,000 in cash on or before the closing. The remainder of the purchase price was paid in Halo equity and debt securities. As a result, following the closing, Gupta became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Halo.

Acquisition of Kenosia Corporation

On July 6, 2005 Halo purchased Kenosia, a software company whose products include its DataAlchemy product line. DataAlchemy is a sales and marketing analytics platform that is utilized by global companies to drive retail sales and profits through timely and effective analysis of transactional data. Kenosia's installed customers span a wide range of industries, including consumer packaged goods, entertainment, pharmaceutical, automotive, spirits, wine and beer, brokers and retailers. The purchase price paid for Kenosia was \$1,800,000 (net of a working capital adjustment).

Acquisition of Five Enterprise Software Companies

On October 26, 2005, Halo completed the acquisition of Tesseract and four other companies; DAVID Corporation, Process Software, ProfitKey International, and Foresight Software, Inc. (collectively Process and Affiliates).

Tesseract, headquartered in San Francisco, is a total HR solutions provider offering an integrated Web-enabled HRMS suite. Tesseract's Web-based solution suite allows HR users, employees and external service providers to communicate securely and electronically in real time. The integrated nature of the system allows for easy access to data and a higher level of accuracy for internal reporting, assessment and external data interface. Tesseract's customer base includes corporations operating in a diverse range of industries, including financial services, transportation, utilities, insurance, manufacturing, petroleum, retail, and pharmaceuticals.

DAVID Corporation is a pioneer in Risk Management Information Systems. DAVID Corporation offers client/server-based products to companies that provide their own workers' compensation and liability insurance. Many of DAVID Corporation's clients have been using its products for 10 years or longer.

Process Software develops infrastructure software solutions for mission-critical environments, including industry-leading TCP/IP stacks, an Internet messaging product suite, and an anti-spam software subscription service to large enterprises worldwide. With a loyal customer base of over 5,000 organizations,

Table of Contents

including Global 2000 and Fortune 1000 companies, Process Software has earned a strong reputation for meeting the stringent reliability and performance requirements of enterprise networks.

ProfitKey International develops and markets integrated manufacturing software and information control systems for make-to-order and make-to-stock manufacturers. ProfitKey's offering includes a suite of e-business solutions that includes customer, supplier and sales portals. ProfitKey's highly integrated system emphasizes online scheduling, capacity management, and cost management.

Foresight Software, Inc. provides client/server Enterprise Resource Planning and Customer Relationship Management software to global organizations that depend on customer service operations for critical market differentiation and competitive advantage. Foresight's software products and services enable customers to deliver superior customer service while achieving maximum profitability.

The purchase price for the acquisition of DAVID Corporation, Process Software, ProfitKey International, and Foresight Software was an aggregate of \$12,000,000, which Halo paid in cash. Under the merger agreement for the acquisition of Tesseract (the "Tesseract Merger Agreement"), the merger consideration consisted of (i) \$4,500,000 in cash which was paid at closing, (ii) 7,045,454 shares of Series D Preferred Stock of Halo, and (iii) \$1,750,000 payable no later than March 31, 2006 and evidenced by a promissory note to Platinum Equity, LLC (the "Platinum Note"). Additionally, Halo is required to pay a working capital adjustment of \$1,000,000. Since this amount was not paid by November 30, 2005, Platinum Equity, LLC ("Platinum"), the seller of Tesseract, has the option to convert the working capital adjustment into up to 1,818,182 shares of Series D Preferred Stock. To date, the Platinum has not elected to do so. Furthermore, since the working capital adjustment was not paid by November 30, 2005, Halo must pay Platinum a monthly transaction advisory fee of \$50,000 per month, commencing December 1, 2005. At December 31, 2005, Halo accrued \$50,000 of such fees.

On March 31, 2006, the Company and Platinum entered into an Amendment and Consent (the "Amendment and Consent") to the Platinum Note. Pursuant to the Amendment and Consent, the maturity of the Platinum Note was modified such that the aggregate principal amount of the Platinum Note and all accrued interest thereon shall be due and payable as follows: (i) \$1,000,000 on March 31, 2006; and (ii) the remaining \$750,000 in principal, plus all accrued but unpaid interest shall be paid on the earliest of (w) the second business day following the closing of the acquisition of Unify by the Company, (x) the second business day following termination of the merger agreement pursuant to which Unify is to be acquired by the Company, (y) the second business day after the Company closes an equity financing of at least \$2.0 million subsequent to the date of the Amendment and Consent or (z) July 31, 2006. In accordance with the Amendment and Consent, \$1,000,000 was paid to Platinum on March 31, 2006. Since the entire amount of the Platinum Note was not paid on or before March 31, 2006, Platinum retained 909,091 shares of Series D Preferred Stock of the Company, which had been previously issued to Platinum as part of the consideration under the Tesseract Merger Agreement. These shares would have been canceled if the Platinum Note had been paid in full by that date.

The Tesseract Merger Agreement further provides that the rights, preferences and privileges of the Series D Preferred Stock will adjust to equal the rights, preferences and privileges of the next round of financing if such financing is a "Qualified Equity Offering". Under the Tesseract Merger Agreement, a Qualified Equity Offering is defined as an equity financing (i) greater than \$5,000,000, (ii) not consummated with any affiliate of Halo, and (iii) the securities issued in such equity financing are equal or senior in liquidation and dividend preference to the Series D Preferred Stock. If Halo's next round of equity financing is not a Qualified Equity Offering, the shares of the Series D Preferred Stock will convert at the option of Platinum into the terms of the offering, or maintain the terms of the Series D Preferred Stock. In addition, the Series D Stock may be converted into common stock at the election of the holder.

Acquisition of Empagio

Halo entered into a merger agreement dated December 19, 2005, to acquire Empagio. On January 13, 2006, the closing occurred under the merger agreement and Empagio is now a wholly-owned subsidiary of Halo. The merger consideration consisted of 1,438,455 shares of common stock. Based on the closing price

Table of Contents

of Halo's Common Stock on the day of the closing, the total purchase price was \$1,869,992, subject to adjustment.

Empagio is a human resources management software company. Its signature product is its SymphonyHR hosted software solution which automates HR procedures and reduces paperwork, ranging from payroll to benefits administration. Halo intends to integrate Empagio with additional HR solutions already within its portfolio to create a premier human resources management solutions provider. Empagio's operations have been consolidated with the operations of Tesseract and the consolidated entity operates under the name Empagio.

Acquisition of ECI

On January 30, 2006, Halo entered into a merger agreement with ECI (the ECI Merger Agreement). On March 1, 2006, the closing occurred under the ECI Merger Agreement, and ECI became a wholly owned subsidiary of Halo. The total merger consideration for all of the equity interests in ECI was \$603,571 in cash and cash equivalents and 330,668 shares of Halo's common stock (with a value of \$558,829 at the closing price of Halo's common stock), subject to adjustment based on the Net Working Capital (as defined in the ECI Merger Agreement) on the closing date. The acquisition of ECI's clients will enhance Empagio's human resources software offerings. ECI's operations will be consolidated with the operations of Empagio.

Agreement to Acquire InfoNow

On December 23, 2005, Halo entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the InfoNow Merger Agreement) with a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, and InfoNow in a transaction valued at \$7.2 million. Pursuant to the InfoNow Merger Agreement, the merger subsidiary will be merged with and into InfoNow, with InfoNow surviving the merger as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Halo.

InfoNow is a public enterprise software company, headquartered in Denver, Colorado. InfoNow provides channel visibility and channel management solutions, in the form of software and services to companies that sell their products through complex networks of distributors, dealers, resellers, retailers, agents or branches (i.e. channel partners). Companies use InfoNow's software and services to collaborate with their channel partners to create demand, increase revenues, lower operating costs and maximize the return on investment of their channel strategies. InfoNow's clients are generally companies with extensive channel partner networks, and include companies such as Apple, Hewlett-Packard, Juniper Networks, NEC Display Solutions of America, The Hartford, Visa, and Wachovia Corporation. The merger with InfoNow is expected to close in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006.

Under the terms of the InfoNow Merger Agreement, which was approved by both companies' boards of directors, each share of InfoNow's common stock outstanding immediately prior to the merger will be converted into the right to receive approximately \$0.71 in a combination of cash and common stock of Halo. The amount of cash per share to be received in the merger by InfoNow stockholders will be determined by the amount of InfoNow's cash on hand and net working capital available to it three days prior to the closing. The lesser of the two amounts will be paid in cash by Halo pro rata in proportion to each stockholder's ownership in InfoNow at the closing of the merger. The remainder of the approximately \$0.71 per share merger consideration will be paid in shares of Halo common stock, the value of which will be deemed to be the greater of \$1.00 or the average closing price of Halo's common stock as reported on the over-the-counter bulletin board for the twenty consecutive trading days ending two trading days prior to the closing of the merger (the InfoNow Conversion Price). The merger is intended to qualify as a tax-free reorganization under Section 368(a) of the Code.

In addition, each InfoNow common stock option outstanding at the closing with an exercise price less than \$0.71 per share will be converted into the right to receive cash and Halo common stock to the extent that the approximately \$0.71 per share merger consideration exceeds the applicable exercise price. The amount of cash and Halo common stock to be issued in respect of the outstanding in-the-money stock

Table of Contents

options as described above will be calculated based upon the relative proportions of the cash and Halo common stock issued in the merger in respect of the outstanding InfoNow common stock.

Halo will also issue a contingent value right (a CVR) in respect of each share of Halo common stock issued in the merger. The CVRs will be payable on the 18-month anniversary of the closing date, and will entitle each holder thereof to an additional cash payment if the trading price of Halo's common stock (based on a 20-day average) is less than the InfoNow Conversion Price. The CVRs will expire prior to the 18-month payment date if during any consecutive 45-day trading period during that time when the volume of Halo's common stock is not less than 200,000 per day, the stock price is 175% of the InfoNow Conversion Price. The shares of Halo common stock and related CVRs to be issued in the merger are expected to be registered with the SEC.

The InfoNow Merger Agreement includes representations and warranties regarding, among other things, InfoNow's corporate organization and capitalization, the accuracy of its reports and financial statements filed under the Exchange Act, the absence of certain changes or events relative to InfoNow since September 30, 2005, and InfoNow's receipt of a fairness opinion regarding the merger from its financial advisor. Similarly, Halo makes representations and warranties regarding, among other things, its corporate organization and capitalization and the accuracy of its reports and financial statements filed under the Exchange Act. The InfoNow Merger Agreement also includes covenants governing, among other things, InfoNow's and Halo's operations outside the ordinary course of business prior to the closing. Consummation of the merger is subject to several closing conditions, including, among others, approval by a majority of InfoNow's common shares entitled to vote thereon, negotiation of the final terms of the CVR agreement and the effectiveness of a registration statement on Form S-4 to be filed by Halo, registering the shares of Halo common stock and related CVRs to be issued in the merger. In addition, the merger agreement contains certain termination rights allowing InfoNow, Halo or both parties to terminate the agreement upon the occurrence of certain conditions, including the failure to consummate the merger by July 31, 2006. The InfoNow Merger Agreement is expected to close in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006.

Under separate stockholder agreements executed at time of the execution of the InfoNow Merger Agreement, each member of the board of directors of InfoNow has agreed to vote the shares of InfoNow held by such director in favor of the merger.

Business of Halo

Halo is a holding company whose subsidiaries operate enterprise software and information technology businesses. The following pages describe the business of Halo's existing subsidiaries, Gupta Technologies, LLC, Warp Solutions, Kenosia Corporation, Tesseract Corporation, DAVID Corporation, Process Software, ProfitKey International, Foresight Software, Inc., Empagio and ECI. In addition to holding its existing subsidiaries, Halo's strategy is to pursue acquisitions of businesses, which either complement Halo's existing businesses or expand the industries in which Halo operates.

Gupta Business

Gupta develops, markets and supports software products that enable software programmers to create enterprise class applications, operating on either the Microsoft Windows or Linux operating systems that are used in large and small businesses and governmental entities around the world. Applications developed using Gupta products are used in mission-critical processes in thousands of businesses worldwide. Everyday, people rely on Gupta products when filling a prescription at their local pharmacy, banking online, shipping a package, riding a train, or shopping at a convenience store. Businesses rely on Gupta products to run their manufacturing operations, track their finances and organize their data.

Gupta's flagship products, Team Developer and SQLBase, are specifically designed to meet the demands for enterprise performance and functionality combined with low total cost of ownership. SQLBase is a low/zero-administration relational database that features a high level of security with more than one million copies in use worldwide. It is ideal for rich client applications and environments where it is

Table of Contents

impractical to have a database administrator. Team Developer is used by over 10,000 developers worldwide and offers an object-oriented, 4GL toolset with built-in version control, customizable coding environment, and native connectivity to most popular databases. It can be used by a single developer or by large teams to develop robust applications in a managed environment. Gupta's primary customers are independent software vendors (ISVs), value-added resellers (VARs), systems integrators and corporate IT departments.

While Gupta products can be used independently with other tools and databases, the majority of Gupta's customers use them in conjunction with each other to develop business applications. A typical customer uses Team Developer to create a software application for a business solution, with SQLBase as the embedded database, and deploys that application within their organization (a corporate user), or sells the application as a proprietary product (ISVs and VARs).

Gupta sells its products using a traditional software licensing model. Developers buy Team Developer licenses by the seat. SQLBase licenses are sold as either a single workstation version or a multi-user server version on a per seat basis. Gupta additionally offers maintenance and support contracts that allow customers to receive product upgrades and telephone support on an annual basis.

Gupta in its present form originated in February 2001 when Platinum, a private equity firm in Los Angeles, California, acquired certain assets and liabilities from Centura Software Corporation (Centura). These assets and liabilities related principally to the SQLBase and Team Developer product lines and included all rights to the intellectual property, the working capital, fixed assets, contracts, and operating subsidiaries that supported these products. Gupta also hired certain employees from Centura to support the development, sales, technical support, and administration of the acquired assets. Originally founded in 1983 as Plum Computers, Inc., the entity became Gupta Technologies, Inc. in 1984, then Gupta Corporation in 1992, then Centura Software Corporation in 1996. Gupta is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the State of Delaware. In January 2005, Gupta was acquired by the Company from Gupta Holdings, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Platinum.

Gupta is based in Redwood Shores, California with offices in Munich, London, and Paris. It has over 1,000 customers in over 50 countries.

Warp Solutions Business

In addition to the Gupta businesses, Halo operates in the United States, Canada and the U.K. through its subsidiaries, Warp Solutions, Inc. a Delaware corporation, Warp Solutions, Ltd., a U.K. corporation, 6043577 Canada, Inc., a Canadian corporation, and Spider Software, Inc., a Canadian corporation. These subsidiaries are collectively referred to in this prospectus as Warp Solutions. Warp Solutions produces a series of application acceleration products that improve the speed and efficiency of transactions and information requests that are processed over the Internet and intranet network systems. These products and technologies are designed to accelerate network applications, reduce network congestion, and reduce the cost of expensive server deployments for enterprises engaged in high volume network activities.

The primary product offered is the SpiderSoftware product, which is a software solution designed to enable caching of pure dynamic content at the web server layer. This product is installed on the web server of an enterprise to allow network administrators to select certain sections of its content to remain dynamic, a feature known as partial page caching.

The benefits of the SpiderSoftware solution are increased speed, performance, scalability, availability and efficiency of a network infrastructure's informational and transactional data flow. The primary advantages of the SpiderSoftware solution include highly granular cache control, support for both static and dynamic page caching, partial page caching, database trigger support for dynamic cache management, clustering support, cross platform web administration tool, real-time cache efficiency performance monitoring, automatic image optimization, and support for multiple operating systems including Windows NT, Linux, Solaris, and Unix.

Table of Contents

Kenosia Business

Kenosia is a software company whose products include its DataAlchemy product line. DataAlchemy is a sales and marketing analytics platform that is utilized by global companies to drive retail sales and profits through timely and effective analysis of transactional data. Kenosia's installed customers span a wide range of industries, including consumer packaged goods, entertainment, pharmaceutical, automotive, spirits, wine and beer, brokers and retailers.

Tesseract Business

Tesseract, headquartered in San Francisco, is a total HR solutions provider offering an integrated Web-enabled HRMS suite. Tesseract's Web-based solution suite allows HR users, employees and external service providers to communicate securely and electronically in real time. The integrated nature of the system allows for easy access to data and a higher level of accuracy for internal reporting, assessment and external data interface. Tesseract's customer base includes corporations operating in a diverse range of industries, including financial services, transportation, utilities, insurance, manufacturing, petroleum, retail, and pharmaceuticals.

DAVID Business

DAVID is a pioneer in Risk Management Information Systems. DAVID offers client/server-based products to companies that provide their own workers' compensation and liability insurance. Many of DAVID's clients have been using its products for 10 years or longer.

Process Business

Process Software develops infrastructure software solutions for mission-critical environments, including industry-leading TCP/IP stacks, an Internet messaging product suite, and an anti-spam software subscription service to large enterprises worldwide. With a loyal customer base of over 5,000 organizations, including Global 2000 and Fortune 1000 companies, Process Software has earned a strong reputation for meeting the stringent reliability and performance requirements of enterprise networks.

ProfitKey Business

ProfitKey International develops and markets integrated manufacturing software and information control systems for make-to-order and make-to-stock manufacturers. ProfitKey's offering includes a suite of e-business solutions that includes customer, supplier and sales portals. ProfitKey's highly integrated system emphasizes online scheduling, capacity management, and cost management.

Foresight Business

Foresight provides client/server Enterprise Resource Planning and Customer Relationship Management software to global organizations that depend on customer service operations for critical market differentiation and competitive advantage. Foresight's software products and services enable customers to deliver superior customer service while achieving maximum profitability.

Empagio Business

Empagio is a human resources management software company. Its signature product is its SymphonyHR hosted software solution which automates HR procedures and reduces paperwork, ranging from payroll to benefits administration.

Halo has integrated the operations of Empagio and Tesseract and has merged those entities. The intent is to create a premier human resources management solutions provider. Halo also intends to integrate the operations of ECI and merge ECI into Empagio.

Table of Contents

ECI Business

ECI is a human resource solutions provider. Halo is integrating the business of ECI, including its clients and delivery assets, into its Empagio subsidiary.

Sales and Marketing

Halo currently uses both indirect and direct sales models, based on geography. In Europe, Halo uses an indirect sales channel relying on VARs and distributors to sell its products to end users. Halo's sales and marketing team in Europe works directly with its VAR partners to help them market and sell Halo's products by engaging in joint efforts to meet with their customers, attend their roadshows, provide technical support and training and attending major technology trade events. In North America, Halo relies on direct sales force to sell its products. Halo is currently working on developing an indirect channel in North America. Halo is targeting VARs and ISVs, similar to ones Halo is successfully working with in Europe, to partner with in selling Halo's products. Throughout Latin America and AsiaPacific, Halo uses an indirect sales model similar to Europe. It is Halo's intent to increase its marketing activities worldwide in fiscal 2006 to increase Halo brand awareness, attract new partners and customers and generate increased revenues.

Software Product Development

Halo's software development effort is based in its North American offices with another 30 full-time contractors based in India. It is Halo's intent to continue developing enhanced functionality in Halo's existing products.

Intellectual Property and Proprietary Rights

We regard certain aspects of Halo's operations, products and documentation as proprietary. We rely on a combination of patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws and other measures to protect our proprietary rights. We also rely on contractual restrictions in Halo's agreements with customers, employees and others to protect our intellectual property rights. However, in certain foreign countries, effective copyright and trade secret protection may be unavailable or the laws of these other jurisdictions may not protect our proprietary technology rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. Failure to obtain and/or maintain appropriate patent, copyright or trade secret protection either in the United States or in certain foreign countries, for any reason, may have a material adverse effect on Halo's business, operating results and financial condition.

Halo licenses software and technology from third parties, including some competitors, and incorporates them into its own software products, some of which are critical to the operation of Halo's software.

The source code for Halo's software products is protected both as a trade secret and as a copyrighted work. Some of Halo's customers are beneficiaries of a source code escrow account arrangement which enables the customer to obtain a contingent future limited right to use Halo's source code solely for the customer's internal use. If Halo's source code is accessed, the likelihood of misappropriation or other misuse of Halo's intellectual property may increase.

We believe that Halo's copyrights, trademarks and other proprietary rights do not infringe upon the proprietary rights of third parties. However, there can be no assurance that third parties will not assert infringement claims against Halo in the future with respect to current or future products or that any such assertion will not require Halo to enter into royalty arrangements or result in litigation.

Competition

The market for Halo's products and services is extremely competitive and contains a number of companies that are larger, more established and better financed than Halo. Competitors include Microsoft, Oracle, Sybase, Cisco and many other companies. To the extent that our products or services have a

Table of Contents

competitive advantage, due to the fact that there are larger, better capitalized companies in the marketplace, there is no assurance that Halo can maintain a competitive position.

Raw Materials

Halo does not use any raw materials in its business.

Dependence on Major Customers

Halo has no customer that accounted for more than 10% of Halo's revenues in fiscal 2004. In fiscal 2005, Halo had one customer that accounted for approximately 15% of Halo's revenue.

Research and Development

During the fiscal year 2004, Halo spent approximately \$812,000 on research and development of its products. During the fiscal year 2005, Halo spent approximately \$1,589,000 on research and the development of its products. The pricing of Halo's products reflects, among other things, the cost of their development as well as the cost of the component parts and applicable license fees.

Personnel

As of June 30, 2005, Halo employed 57 people, including 25 in sales and marketing, 12 in research and development, 5 in technical support and 15 in administration. As of March 1, 2006, Halo employed 234 people, including 50 in sales and marketing, 99 in research and development, 40 in technical support and 45 in administration, all of whom are full-time employees. None of Halo's employees are covered by a labor union or collective bargaining agreement.

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion and analysis provides information that Halo's management believes is relevant to an assessment and understanding of Halo's results of operations and financial condition. This discussion is based on, and should be read together with, Halo's consolidated financial statements, and the notes to such financial statements, which are included in this proxy statement/prospectus. This proxy statement/ prospectus contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Halo's actual results may differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. References to we, us and our throughout this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section are references to Halo.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, which establishes standards for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods or services. This standard requires an entity to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant date fair value of the award. This eliminates the exception to account for such awards using the intrinsic method previously allowable under APB Opinion No. 25. SFAS No. 123(R) will be effective for the period beginning January 1, 2006. The impact on this new standard, if it had been in effect on the net loss and related per share amounts of our three and six months ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 is disclosed above in Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies-Stock Based Compensation. We believe the adoption will have an effect on our results of operations.

On March 29, 2005, the Staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC or the Staff) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, Share-Based Payment (SAB 107). Although not altering any conclusions reached in SFAS 123R, SAB 107 provides the views of the Staff regarding the interaction between SFAS 123R and certain SEC rules and regulations and, among other things, provide the Staff's views regarding the valuation of share-based payment arrangements for public companies. Halo intends to

Table of Contents

follow the interpretative guidance on share-based payment set forth in SAB 107 during Halo's adoption of SFAS 123R.

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of Halo's financial condition and results of operations is based on Halo's consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses and disclosure of contingent liabilities.

On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition and accounting for intangible assets. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We have identified the accounting policies below as the policies critical to Halo's business operations and the understanding of Halo's results of operations. We believe the following critical accounting policies and the related judgments and estimates affect the preparation of Halo's consolidated financial statements:

Revenue Recognition

Halo recognizes revenue in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Statement of Position (SOP) 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition.

Revenues are derived from the licensing of software, maintenance contracts, training, and other consulting services.

In arrangements that include rights to multiple software products and/or services, Halo allocates and defers revenue for the undelivered items, based on vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value, and recognizes the difference between the total arrangement fee and the amount deferred for the undelivered items as revenue. In arrangements in which Halo does not have vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value of maintenance, and maintenance is the only undelivered item, Halo recognizes the total arrangement fee ratably over the contractual maintenance term.

Software license revenues are recognized upon receipt of a purchase order and delivery of software, provided that the license fee is fixed or determinable; no significant production, modification, or customization of the software is required; and collection is considered probable by management. For licensing of Gupta's software through its indirect sales channel, revenue is recognized when the distributor sells the software to its end-users, including value-added resellers. For licensing of software to independent software vendors, revenue is recognized upon shipment to the independent software vendors.

Service revenue for maintenance contracts is deferred and recognized ratably over the term of the agreement. Revenue from training and other consulting services is recognized as the related services are performed.

Business Combinations and Deferred Revenue

In accordance with business combination accounting, we allocate the purchase price of acquired companies to the tangible and intangible assets acquired, and liabilities assumed, based on their estimated fair values. We engage third-party appraisal firms to assist management in determining the fair values of certain assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Such a valuation requires management to make significant estimates and assumptions, especially with respect to intangible assets and deferred revenue.

Management makes estimates of fair value based upon assumptions believed to be reasonable. These estimates are based on historical experience and information obtained from the management of the acquired companies and are inherently uncertain. Critical estimates in valuing certain of the intangible assets include but are not limited to: future expected cash flows from license sales, maintenance

Table of Contents

agreements, consulting contracts, customer contracts and acquired developed technologies and patents; the acquired company's brand awareness and market position, as well as assumptions about the period of time the acquired brand will continue to be used in the combined company's product portfolio; and discount rates. Unanticipated events and circumstances may occur which may affect the accuracy or validity of such assumptions, estimates or actual results.

We have acquired several software companies in fiscal 2006, and we plan to make more acquisitions in the future. Acquired deferred revenue is recognized at fair value to the extent it represents a legal obligation assumed by us in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 01-03, Accounting in a Business Combination for Deferred Revenue of an Acquiree. Under this guidance, Halo estimates fair values of acquired deferred revenue by adding an approximated normal profit margin to the estimated cost required to fulfill the obligation underlying the deferred revenue. As a result of this valuation, the deferred revenues of the acquired companies normally decrease substantially. In the enterprise software industry, this reduction averages between forty to sixty percent of the original balance. The reduction of the deferred revenue has a negative effect on the recognized revenue until the deferred revenue balance builds up to a normal level of the acquired business. The length of this effect depends on contracts underlying the deferred revenue. As Halo continues to acquire more businesses in the enterprise software industry, the effect of this deferred revenue valuation will have significant effect on Halo's results of operations.

Product Development Costs

Product development costs incurred in the process of developing product improvements and enhancements or new products are charged to expense as incurred. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 86, Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to be Sold, Leased or Otherwise Marketed, requires capitalization of certain software development costs subsequent to the establishment of technological feasibility. Based on Halo's product development process, technological feasibility is established upon the completion of a working model. Costs incurred by Halo between the completion of the working model and the point at which the product is ready for general release has been insignificant.

Intangible assets and Goodwill

Intangible assets are primarily comprised of customer relationships, developed technology, trade names and contracts. Goodwill represents acquisition costs in excess of the net assets of businesses acquired. In accordance with SFAS 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets goodwill is no longer amortized; instead goodwill is tested for impairment on an annual basis. We assess the impairment of identifiable intangibles and goodwill whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors we consider to be important which could trigger an impairment review include the following:

Significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results;

Significant changes in the manner of use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the overall business; and

Significant negative industry or economic trends.

When we determine that the carrying value of intangibles and other long-lived assets may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment and the carrying value of the asset cannot be recovered from projected undiscounted cash flows, we record an impairment charge. We measure any impairment based on a projected discounted cash flow method using a discount rate determined by management to be commensurate with the risk inherent in the current business model. Significant management judgment is required in determining whether an indicator of impairment exists and in projecting cash flows. Trade names are considered to have indefinite life. All other intangibles are being amortized over their estimated useful life of three to ten years.

Table of Contents

We have recorded a significant amount of goodwill on our balance sheet. As of December 31, 2005, goodwill was approximately \$29 million, representing approximately 46% of our total assets and approximately 52% of our long-lived assets subject to depreciation, amortization and impairment. In the future, goodwill may increase as a result of additional acquisitions we will make. Goodwill is recorded on the date of acquisition and is reviewed at least annually for impairment. Impairment may result from, among other things, deterioration in the performance of our business, adverse market conditions and a variety of other circumstances. Any future determination requiring the write-off of a significant portion of the goodwill recorded on our balance sheet could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Stock-Based Compensation

Halo uses the intrinsic value method to account for stock-based compensation in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and have adopted the disclosure-only provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, as amended by SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure. Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for fixed stock option grants. Had compensation costs for Halo's stock option grants been determined based on the fair value at the grant dates for awards under these plans in accordance with SFAS No. 123, Halo's net loss and loss per share would have been reduced to amounts disclosed in Note 2 to the financial statements under caption Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Stock Based Compensation. SFAS No. 123(R) became effective for the period beginning January 1, 2006. The adoption of this standard will generally result in increased compensation expense as it values any unvested options previously not recognized by APB 25.

Results of Operations***Revenue***

Revenue is derived from the licensing of software, maintenance contracts, training, and other consulting services. License revenue is derived from licensing of our software and third-party software products. Services revenue results from consulting and education services, and maintaining, supporting and providing periodic unspecified upgrades for previously licensed products.

Total revenue increased by \$5.3 million to \$5.4 million for the three months ended December 31, 2005 from \$107,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2004. Total revenue increased by \$8.3 million to \$8.6 million for the six months ended December 31, 2005 from \$265,000 for the six months ended December 31, 2004. During the twelve months ending June 30, 2005, Halo recognized approximately \$5,124,000 of revenues, compared to \$882,000 for the twelve months ended June 30, 2004. The total revenue of \$5.4 million for the three months ended December 31, 2005 was primarily due to the acquisitions of Gupta, \$2.8 million, Kenosia, \$183,000, Tesseract, \$737,000, and Process and Affiliates, \$1.6 million. The total revenue of \$8.6 million for the six months ended December 31, 2005 was due to the acquisitions of Gupta, \$5.8 million, Kenosia, \$468,000, Tesseract, \$737,000, and Process and Affiliates, \$1.6 million. The increase in revenue during the twelve months ending June 30, 2004 as compared to the twelve months ended June 30, 2004 was due primarily to the acquisition of Gupta, which accounted for approximately \$4,781,000 of the fiscal 2005 revenues.

License revenue increased by \$1.4 million to \$1.5 million for the three months ended December 31, 2005 from \$85,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2004. License revenue increased by \$2.6 million to \$2.8 million for the six months ended December 31, 2005 from \$212,000 for the six months ended December 31, 2004. The total license revenue of \$1.5 million for the three months ended December 31, 2005 was primarily due to the acquisitions of Gupta, \$1.1 million, and Process and Affiliates, \$429,000. The total license revenue of \$2.8 million for the six months ended December 31, 2005 was primarily due to the acquisitions of Gupta, \$2.3 million, Kenosia, \$90,000, and Process and Affiliates, \$429,000.

Table of Contents

Services revenue increased by \$3.8 million to \$3.9 million for the three months ended December 31, 2005 from \$21,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2004. Services revenue increased \$5.7 million to \$5.8 million for the six months ended December 31, 2005 from \$53,000 for the six months ended December 31, 2004. The total service revenue increase of \$3.9 million for the three months ended December 31, 2005 was primarily due to the acquisitions of Gupta, \$1.8 million, Kenosia, \$178,000, Tesseract, \$736,000, and Process and Affiliates, \$1.2 million. The total revenue of \$5.8 million for the six months ended December 31, 2005 was due to the acquisitions of Gupta, \$3.5 million, Kenosia, \$378,000, Tesseract, \$736,000, and Process and Affiliates, \$1.2 million.

Because of the reduction of deferred revenue after an acquisition under generally accepted accounting principles, which has the effect of reducing the amount of revenue recognized in a given period from what would have been recognized had the acquisition not occurred, past reported periods should not be relied upon as predictive of future performance. Additionally, Halo's operating strategy is to continue to acquire technology companies. Each of such transactions will cause a change to our future financial results. Halo believes such transactions will have a positive effect on Halo's revenues and income (loss) before interest.

Cost of Revenue

Total cost of revenue increased by \$919,000 to \$959,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2005 from \$40,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2004. Total cost of revenue increased by \$1.2 million to \$1.3 million for the six months ended December 31, 2005 from \$54,000 for the six months ended December 31, 2004. Total cost of revenue for the twelve months ended June 30, 2005 was approximately \$548,000, as compared to \$425,000 for the same period in 2004. The total cost of revenue of \$959,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2005 was due to the acquisitions of Gupta, \$270,000, Kenosia, \$107,000, Tesseract, \$179,000, and Process and Affiliates, \$403,000. The total cost of revenue of \$1.3 million for the six months ended December 31, 2005 was due to the acquisitions of Gupta, \$525,000, Kenosia, \$164,000, Tesseract, \$179,000, and Process and Affiliates, \$403,000. The increase in cost of revenue for the twelve months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same period in 2004 is directly related to the increase in revenues. In addition, for the twelve months ended June 30, 2004, the cost of sales included a write-off of approximately \$238,000 of obsolete and damaged WARP 2063 servers.

The principal components of cost of license fees are manufacturing costs, shipping costs, and royalties paid to third-party software vendors. Cost of license revenue increased by \$115,000 to \$155,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2005 from \$40,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2004. Cost of license revenue increased by \$147,000 to \$201,000 for the six months ended December 31, 2005 from \$54,000 for the six months ended December 31, 2004. The total cost of license fees of \$155,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2005 was primarily due to the acquisitions of Gupta, \$47,000, Kenosia, \$8,000, and Process and Affiliates, \$100,000. The total cost of license fees of \$201,000 for the six months ended December 31, 2005 was primarily due to the acquisitions of Gupta, \$92,000, Kenosia, \$8,000, and Process and Affiliates, \$100,000.

The principal components of cost of services are salaries paid to our customer support personnel and professional services personnel, amounts paid for contracted professional services personnel and third-party resellers, maintenance royalties paid to third-party software vendors and hardware costs. Cost of services revenue increased by \$804,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2005 from \$0 for the three months ended December 31, 2004. Cost of services revenue increased by \$1.1 million for the six months ended December 31, 2005 from \$0 for the six months ended December 31, 2004. The cost of service revenue increase of \$804,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2005 was a result of an increase in employee compensation directly related to additional headcount added in conjunction with the acquisitions of Gupta, \$224,000, Kenosia, \$99,000, Tesseract, \$178,000, and Process and Affiliates, \$303,000. The cost of service revenue increase of \$1.1 million for the six months ended December 31, 2005 was a result of an increase in employee compensation directly related to additional headcount added in conjunction with the acquisitions of Gupta, \$460,000, Kenosia, \$156,000, Tesseract, \$178,000, and Process and Affiliates, \$303,000.

Table of Contents

Gross profit margins were 82% for the three months ended December 31, 2005, compared to 63% for the three months ended December 31, 2004. Gross profit margins increased to 85% for the six months ended December 31, 2005, compared to 80% for the six months ended December 31, 2004. The gross margin increase was mainly due to the change in the product mix (increase in the proportion of maintenance and services revenue) Halo sells from the new subsidiaries during 2005. Gross profit margins increased to 89% for the year ended June 30, 2005, compared to 52% for the year ended June 30, 2004. The gross margin increase was mainly due to the change in the product mix Halo sells due to its Gupta subsidiary, which was acquired in January 2005.

Operating Expenses**Research and Development**

Research and development expense consists primarily of salaries and other personnel-related expenses for engineering personnel, expensable hardware and software costs, overhead costs and costs of contractors. Research and development expenses increased by approximately \$1.5 million to \$1.6 million for the three months ended December 31, 2005 from \$36,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2004. Research and development expenses increased by approximately \$2.4 million to \$2.5 million for the six months ended December 31, 2005 from \$113,000 for the six months ended December 31, 2004. Product development expenses were approximately \$1,589,099 and \$812,000 for the twelve months ended June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004, respectively. The increase for the six months ended December 31, 2004 was almost entirely attributable to an increase in employee compensation, and third party off shore consulting costs. The increase of \$1.5 million for the three months ended December 31, 2005 was mainly resulted from the acquisition of Gupta, \$793,000, Kenosia, \$60,000, Tesseract, \$237,000, and Process and Affiliates, \$438,000. The increase of \$2.4 million for the six months ended December 31, 2005 mainly resulted from the acquisitions of Gupta, \$1.7 million, Kenosia, \$125,000, Tesseract, \$237,000, and Process and Affiliates, \$438,000. The increase in product development expenses for the twelve months ended June 30, 2005 was due to the acquisition of Gupta, which accounted for approximately \$1,397,000 of the 2005 product development expense. To date, all software development costs have been expensed as incurred.

Sales and Marketing

Selling and marketing expenses consist primarily of salaries, commissions, benefits, advertising, tradeshow, travel and overhead costs for Halo's sales and marketing personnel. Sales and marketing expenses increased by approximately \$1.8 million to \$2 million for the three months ended December 31, 2005 from \$223,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2004. Sales and marketing expenses increased by approximately \$3 million to \$3.4 million for the six months ended December 31, 2005 from \$477,000 for the six months ended December 31, 2004. Sales, marketing and business development expenses were approximately \$3,652,000 and \$2,310,000 for the twelve months ended June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004, respectively. The increase of \$1.8 million in sales and marketing expense was directly attributable to the acquisitions of Gupta, \$1.4 million, Kenosia, \$17,000, Tesseract, \$49,000, and Process and Affiliates, \$267,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2004. The increase of \$3.0 million in sales and marketing expense was directly attributable to the acquisitions of Gupta, \$2.7 million, Kenosia, \$40,000, Tesseract, \$49,000, and Process and Affiliates, \$267,000 for the six months ended December 31, 2005. The increase in sales, marketing and business development expenses for the twelve months ended June 30, 2005 was due to the acquisition of Gupta, which accounted for approximately \$2,171,000 of the 2005 sales and marketing expense.

General and Administrative

General and administrative costs include salaries and other direct employment expenses of our administrative and management employees, as well as legal, accounting and consulting fees and bad debt expense. General and administrative expenses increased by approximately \$3.4 million to \$3.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 2005 from \$251,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2004. General and administrative expenses increased by approximately \$4.2 million to \$5.5 million for the

Table of Contents

six months ended December 31, 2005 from \$1.2 million for the six months ended December 31, 2004. General and administrative expense was approximately \$4,989,000 and \$8,468,000 for the twelve months ended June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004 respectively. The increase for the six months ended December 31, 2005 is attributable to increased headcount to manage the increasing size and complexity of Halo's operations, as Halo has acquired new subsidiaries, as well as professional services fees associated with the acquisitions and securities laws and tax compliance. For the three months ended December 31, 2005, general and administrative expenses increased by \$2.7 million was directly attributable to the acquisitions of Gupta, \$1.1 million, Kenosia, \$160,000, Tesseract, \$446,000, and Process and Affiliates, \$957,000. For the six months ended December 31, 2005, general and administrative expenses increased by \$4 million was directly attributable to the acquisitions of Gupta, \$2.3 million, Kenosia, \$332,000, Tesseract, \$446,000, and Process and Affiliates, \$957,000. The decrease of \$3,479,000 in general and administrative expense from the twelve months ended June 30, 2004 to the twelve months ended June 30, 2005 was due primarily to a decrease in non-cash compensation of \$4,464,000, which was off set by increased cost due to the acquisition of Gupta.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased by \$2.2 million to \$2.3 million for the three months ended December 31, 2005 from \$46,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2004. Interest expense increased by \$3.5 million to \$3.6 million for the six months ended December 31, 2005 from \$46,000 for the six months ended December 31, 2004. The increase was primarily due to the following: accretion of fair values of warrants issued in connection with Halo's debt, amortization of deferred financing costs (such as legal fees, due diligence fees, etc), and cash interest. The accretion of the fair values of the warrants accounted for approximately \$1.1 million and \$1.8 million for the three and six months ended December 31, 2005, respectively. The amortization of the deferred financing costs accounted for \$139,000 and \$374,000 for the three and six months ended December 31, 2005, respectively. And, the cash interest and the conversion of interest into common stock accounted for \$1.0 million and \$1.3 million for the three and six months ended December 31, 2005, respectively.

Net Operating Loss Carryforwards

Halo has a U.S. federal net operating loss carryforward of approximately \$41,128,000 as of December 31, 2005, which may be used to reduce taxable income in future years through the year 2025. The deferred tax asset primarily resulting from net operating losses was approximately \$16,700,000. Due to uncertainty surrounding the realization of the favorable tax attributes in future tax returns, Halo has placed a full valuation allowance against its net deferred tax asset. At such time as it is determined that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset is realizable, the valuation allowance will be reduced. Furthermore, the net operating loss carryforward may be subject to further limitation pursuant to Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Halo has foreign subsidiaries based in the United Kingdom, Canada and Germany and is responsible for paying certain foreign income taxes. As a result, there is an income tax provision of \$34,000 and \$86,000 for the three and six months ended December 31, 2005 as compared to \$0 and \$0 for the three and six months ended December 31, 2004.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Halo has three primary cash needs. These are (1) operations, (2) acquisitions and (3) debt service and repayment. Halo has financed a significant component of its cash needs through the sale of equity securities and debt.

For the six months ended December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, Halo used approximately \$265,000 and \$1,297,000, respectively to fund its operations. The cash was used primarily to fund operating losses, as well as approximately \$16,374,000 for acquisitions, \$8,325,000 for repayment of the principle

Table of Contents

portion of outstanding debt. For the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 Halo used approximately \$3.4 and \$4.8 million, respectively to fund its operations.

As of June 30, 2005 Halo used approximately \$15.8 million for investing activities. Halo paid approximately \$15 million in cash for the acquisition of Gupta and deposited approximately \$.8 million for the Kenosia acquisition.

As of June 30, 2005 Halo raised approximately \$20.8 million, of which \$12.2 million was from the sale of preferred stock, \$2.5 million from issuance of subordinated notes and \$6.1 million from the issuance of senior notes.

On January 31, 2005, Halo issued \$2,500,000 principal amount of subordinated convertible promissory notes (the Subordinated Notes). The Subordinated Notes bear interest at 10%, payable in common stock or cash, and mature January 31, 2007. The Subordinated Notes are convertible at any time into shares of Halo common stock at \$1.00 per share, which conversion rate is subject to certain anti-dilution adjustments. The common stock issuable upon conversion of the Subordinated Notes has certain registration rights.

Halo entered into a \$50,000,000 credit facility with Fortress Credit Opportunities I LP and Fortress Credit Corp. on August 2, 2005 (the Credit Agreement). Subject to the terms and conditions of the Credit Agreement, the lenders thereunder (the Lenders) agreed to make available to Halo a term loan facility in three Tranches, Tranches A, B and C, in an aggregate amount equal to \$50,000,000 (the Loan). In connection with entering into the Credit Agreement, Halo borrowed \$10,000,000 under Tranche A to repay its then-existing senior indebtedness, as well as certain existing subordinated indebtedness and to pay certain closing costs. On October 26, 2005, in connection with the closings of the acquisition of Tesseract, DAVID Corporation, Process Software, ProfitKey International and Foresight Software, Inc., Halo entered into Amendment Agreement No. 1 (Amendment Agreement) to the Credit Agreement under which the Lenders made an additional loan of \$15,000,000 under Tranche B of the credit facility under the Credit Agreement. The rate of interest payable on the amounts borrowed under the Loan is a floating percentage rate per annum equal to the sum of the LIBOR for that period plus the Margin . For these purposes, LIBOR means the rate offered in the London interbank market for U.S. Dollar deposits for the relevant period but no less than 2.65%. For these purposes, Margin means 9% per annum. Interest is due and payable monthly in arrears.

The Credit Agreement contains certain financial covenants usual and customary for facilities and transactions of this type. In the event Halo completes further acquisitions, Halo and the other parties to the credit agreement will agree upon modifications to the financial covenants to reflect the changes to Halo's consolidated assets, liabilities, and expected results of operations in amounts to be mutually agreed to by the parties. In addition, the Credit Agreement provides that in the event of certain changes of control, including (i) a reduction in the equity ownership in Halo of Ron Bienvenu or his immediate family members below 90% of such equity interests on the date of the Credit Agreement, or (ii) Ron Bienvenu ceases to perform his current management functions and is not replaced within 90 days by a person satisfactory to Fortress, all amounts due may be declared immediately due and payable.

The Credit Agreement contains specific events of default, including failure to make a payment, the breach of certain representations and warranties, and insolvency events. There is also a cross-default provision that provides that certain events of default under certain contracts between Halo or its subsidiaries and third parties will constitute an event of default under the Credit Agreement.

Halo's obligations under the Credit Agreement are guaranteed by the direct and indirect subsidiaries of Halo, and any new subsidiaries of Halo are obligated to become guarantors. Halo and its subsidiaries granted first priority security interests in their assets, and pledged the stock or equity interests in their respective subsidiaries, as collateral for the Loans. In addition, Halo has undertaken to complete certain matters, including the delivery of stock certificates in subsidiaries, and the completion of financing statements perfecting the security interests granted under the applicable state or foreign jurisdictions

Table of Contents

concerning the security interests and rights granted to the Lenders. Any new subsidiary of Halo will become subject to the same provisions.

On September 20, 2005, Halo issued a \$500,000 principal amount promissory note (the September 2005 Note). The maturity on this note was December 19, 2005, unless it was converted prior to that date into equity. On January 11, 2006, the holder of this note converted the \$500,000 principal (plus accrued interest) into the Series E Subscription Agreement described under Recent Developments; Series E Notes and Series E Subscription Agreements below. Under the Series E Subscription Agreement, the holder of the September 2005 note had the right, in the event that Halo completed or entered into agreements to sell equity securities on or before February 15, 2006, to convert the securities received under the Series E Subscription Agreement into such other equity securities as if the investor had invested the amount invested in such securities. The holder of the September 2005 Note has indicated to Halo that it intends to exercise this right and receive the same securities as were issued under the January 2006 Subscription Agreements. The terms of the January 2006 Subscription Agreements are described more fully below under Recent Developments; January 2006 Subscription Agreements.

On October 26, 2005, as part of the acquisition of Tesseract, Halo issued a promissory note in the amount of \$1,750,000 to Platinum (the Platinum Note). The principal under the Platinum Note accrues interest at a rate of 9.0% per annum. The principal and accrued interest under the Platinum Note was due on March 31, 2006. Interest is payable in registered shares of common stock of Halo, provided that until such shares are registered, interest shall be paid in cash. The Platinum Note contains certain negative covenants including that Halo will not incur additional indebtedness, other than permitted indebtedness under the Platinum Note. Under the Platinum Note, the following constitute an event of default: (a) Halo shall fail to pay the principal and interest when due and payable; (b) Halo fails to pay any other amount under the Platinum Note when due and payable; (c) any representation or warranty of Halo was untrue or misleading in any material respect when made; (d) there shall have occurred an acceleration of the state maturity of any indebtedness for borrowed money of Halo or any Halo subsidiary of \$50,000 or more in aggregate principal amount; (e) Halo shall sell, transfer, lease or otherwise dispose of all or any substantial portion of its assets in one transaction or a series of related transactions, participate in any share exchange, consummate any recapitalization, reclassification, reorganization or other business combination transaction or adopt a plan of liquidation or dissolution or agree to do any of the foregoing; (f) one or more judgments in an aggregate amount in excess of \$50,000 shall have been rendered against Halo or any Halo subsidiary; (g) Halo breaches certain of its covenants set forth in the Platinum Note; or (h) an Insolvency Event (as defined in the Platinum Note) occurs with respect to Halo or a Halo subsidiary. Upon such an event of default, the holder may, at its option, declare all amounts owed under the Platinum Note to be due and payable.

On October 21, 2005, Halo entered into certain convertible promissory notes to various accredited investors (the October 2005 Notes) in the aggregate principal amount of One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000). Interest accrues under the October 2005 Notes at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum. The principal amount of the October 2005 Notes, together with accrued interest, was due February 19, 2006, or 90 days after the date it was entered into, unless the October 2005 Notes were converted into debt or equity securities of Halo in Halo's next financing involving sales by Halo of a class of its preferred stock or convertible debt securities, or any other similar or equivalent financing transaction. Five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000) in principal amount (plus accrued interest) of the October 2005 Notes was repaid by Halo in early March. On January 11, 2006, the holder of the remaining \$500,000 October 2005 Note converted the \$500,000 principal (plus accrued interest) under this October 2005 Note into the Series E Subscription Agreement described under Recent Developments; Series E Notes and Series E Subscription Agreements below. Under the Series E Subscription Agreement, the holder of this October 2005 Note had the right, in the event that Halo completed or entered into agreements to sell equity securities on or before February 15, 2006, to convert the securities received under the Series E Subscription Agreement into such other equity securities as if the investor had invested the amount invested in such securities. The holder of the October 2005 Note has indicated to Halo that it intends to exercise this right and receive the same securities as were issued under the January 2006 Subscription

Table of Contents

Agreements. The terms of the January 2006 Subscription Agreements are described more fully below under Recent Developments; January 2006 Subscription Agreements.

Also on October 21, 2005, Halo issued warrants (the October 2005 Warrants) to purchase an aggregate of 363,636 shares of common stock, par value \$0.00001 per share of Halo. The October 2005 Warrants were issued in connection with the October 2005 Notes described above. The exercise price for the October 2005 Warrants is \$1.375, subject to adjustment as provided in the October 2005 Warrants. The October 2005 Warrants are exercisable until October 21, 2010. The October 2005 Warrants contain an automatic exercise provision in the event that the warrant has not been exercised but the Fair Market Value of the Warrant Shares (as defined in the October 2005 Warrants) is greater than the exercise price per share on the expiration date. The October 2005 Warrants also contain a cashless exercise provision. The October 2005 Warrants also contain a limitation on exercise which limits the number of shares of Halo common stock that may be acquired by the holder on exercise to that number of shares as will insure that, following such exercise, the total number of shares of common stock then beneficially owned by such holder and its affiliates will not exceed 9.99% of the total number of issued and outstanding shares of Halo common stock. This provision is waivable by the holder on 60 days notice.

On October 14, 2005, one of Halo's directors, David Howitt, made a short-term loan to Halo for \$150,000. On January 11, 2006, Mr. Howitt converted the principal (plus accrued interest) under this loan into the Series E Subscription Agreement described under Recent Developments; Series E Notes and Series E Subscription Agreements below. Under the Series E Subscription Agreement, Mr. Howitt has the right, in the event that Halo completed or entered into agreements to sell equity securities on or before February 15, 2006, to convert the securities received under the Series E Subscription Agreement into such other equity securities as if he had invested the amount invested in such securities. Mr. Howitt has indicated to Halo that he intends to exercise this right and receive the same securities as were issued under the January 2006 Subscription Agreements. The terms of the January 2006 Subscription Agreements are described more fully below under Recent Developments; January 2006 Subscription Agreements.

As of December 31, 2005 Halo had approximately \$1,844,000 in cash and cash equivalents, \$4,550,000 in net accounts receivable, \$8,658,000 in accounts payable and accrued expenses, and \$4,842,000 in short-term notes and loans payable, net of warrants' fair value discount of \$108,000 and \$1,293,000 to ISIS and affiliated companies.

For the six months ended December 31, 2005, Halo used approximately \$16,425,928 for investing activities. During the same period, Halo paid approximately \$507,000 in cash as part of consideration to acquire Kenosia and approximately \$15,867,102 in cash as part of consideration to purchase Tesseract, Process, DAVID Corporation, Profitkey, and Foresight from Platinum Equity, LLC.

As of December 31, 2005, Halo had debt that matures in the next 12 months in the amount of \$4,950,000. This consists of \$500,000 of note payable to Bristol Technology, Inc. (seller of Kenosia), \$2,750,000 payable to Platinum Equity, LLC (seller of Tesseract, Process, DAVID Corporation, Profitkey, and Foresight), and \$1,700,000 in notes payable to other investors. As of the date hereof, \$500,000 of the \$1,700,000 notes have been paid, and the \$500,000 note payable to Bristol Technology, Inc has been paid. Halo has also taken additional debt in the amount of \$700,000 and \$1,375,000 in January 2006, both of which are expected to be paid in equity securities.

Halo continues to evaluate strategic alternatives, including opportunities to strategically grow the business, enter into strategic relationships, make acquisitions or enter into business combinations. Halo can provide no assurance that any such strategic alternatives will come to fruition and may elect to terminate such evaluations at any time.

Halo's future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including cash flow from operations, continued progress in research and development programs, competing technological and market developments, and Halo's ability to maintain its current customers and successfully market its products, as well as any future acquisitions it undertakes. Halo intends to meet its cash needs, as in the past, through

Table of Contents

cash generated from operations, the proceeds of privately placed equity issuances and debt. Even without further acquisitions, in order to meet its financial obligations including repayment of outstanding debt obligations, Halo will have to issue further equity and engage in further debt transactions. There can be no guarantee that Halo will be successful in such efforts. In the absence of such further financing, Halo will either be unable to meet its debt obligations or with have to significantly restructure its operations, or a combination of these two actions. Such actions would significantly negatively affect the value of Halo's common stock.

Recent Developments

Options Granted to Mark Finkel

In connection with his employment by Halo, and under the Halo Technology Holdings 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, on January 4, 2006, Mr. Finkel received stock options for 600,000 shares of Halo's common stock. The exercise price for Mr. Finkel's options is \$1.22 per share (the Fair Market Value on the date of grant by the Compensation Committee). The options granted to Mr. Finkel have a ten year term. 25% of these options vest on the first anniversary of the award, provided Mr. Finkel remains in his position through that date, and the remaining options vest ratably over the following 36 months, provided that Mr. Finkel remains with Halo.

Series E Notes and Series E Subscription Agreements

On January 11, 2006, Halo entered into certain convertible promissory notes (the "Series E Notes") in the aggregate principal amount of Seven Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$700,000). Interest accrues under the Series E Notes at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum. The Notes provide that they automatically convert into (i) such number of fully paid and non-assessable shares of Halo's Series E Preferred Stock (the "Series E Stock") equal to the aggregate outstanding principal amount due under the Series E Notes plus the amount of all accrued but unpaid interest under the Series E Notes divided by \$1.25, and (ii) warrants (the "Series E Warrants") to purchase a number of shares of Halo's common stock equal to 40% of such number of shares of Series E Stock issued to the holder. Under the terms of the Series E Notes, the automatic conversion was to occur upon the effectiveness of the filing of the Certificate of Designations, Preferences and Rights (the "Certificate of Designations") pertaining to Halo's Series E Stock, and, in the event that the Certificate of Designations was not filed 30 days after the Series E Notes were issued (February 10, 2006) then the holders of the Series E Notes may demand that Halo pay the principal amount of the Series E Notes, together with accrued interest. No demand for payment has been made.

Under the Series E Subscription Agreements described below, holders of the Series E Notes had the right, in the event that Halo completed or entered into agreements to sell equity securities on or before February 15, 2006, to convert the Series E Notes into such other equity securities as if the investor had invested the amount invested in such securities. The holders of the Series E Notes have indicated to Halo that they intend to exercise this right and receive the same securities as were issued under the January 2006 Subscription Agreements. The terms of the January 2006 Subscription Agreements are described more fully below under "Recent Developments" *January 2006 Subscription Agreements*.

Also on January 11, 2006, Halo entered into certain Subscription Agreements (the "Series E Subscription Agreements") for the sale of Series E Stock and Series E Warrants. In addition to the conversion of the principal and interest under the Series E Notes described above, investors under the Series E Subscription Agreements agreed to invest \$150,000 in cash and committed to convert the \$500,000 principal (plus accrued interest) under the September 2005 Note, and the \$500,000 principal (plus accrued interest) under the outstanding October 2005 Note (each as described above). Accordingly, Halo has taken the position that these notes were amended by the Series E Subscription Agreement. Also under the Series E Subscription Agreement, an investor agreed to convert \$67,500 in certain advisory fees due from Halo into Series E Stock and Warrants.

Table of Contents

The material terms of the Subscription Agreements are as follows. Halo designates the closing date. The closing is anticipated to occur when the Series E Certificate of Designations becomes effective. The obligations of the investors under the Series E Subscription Agreement are revocable if the closing has not occurred within 30 days of the date of the agreement. No later than seventy five (75) days after the completion of the offering, Halo agreed to file with the SEC a registration statement covering the Halo common stock underlying the Series E Stock and the Series E Warrants, and any common stock that Halo may elect to issue in payment of the dividends due on the Series E Stock.

Upon the completion of this offering, with a full round of investment of \$10,000,000, the Series E investors will have the right for 15 months to invest, in the aggregate, an additional \$10,000,000 in common stock of Halo, at \$2.00 per share of common stock (as adjusted for stock splits, reverse splits, and stock dividends) or a 20% discount to the prior 30 day trading period, whichever is lower. Each such investor's right shall be his, her or its pro rata amount of the initial offering.

In the event that Halo completes or enters into agreements to sell equity securities on or before February 15, 2006, investors in Series E Stock may convert the securities received under the Series E Subscription Agreement into such other equity securities as if the investor had invested the amount invested in such securities. Halo will provide the Series E investors with five business days notice of such right. The investor will be required to execute and deliver all such transaction documents as required by Halo in order to convert such securities into such other securities.

Certain of the transactions in connection with the Series E Subscription Agreement were entered into by Mr. David Howitt, a director of Halo. Mr. Howitt invested \$350,000 under the Series E Notes, and agreed to invest another \$150,000 under the Series E Subscription Agreement. Mr. Howitt recused himself from the Halo board of directors decisions approving these transactions.

Investors under the Series E Subscription Agreements have indicated to Halo that they intend to exercise the right described above and receive the same securities as were issued under the January 2006 Subscription Agreements. The terms of the January 2006 Subscription Agreements are described more fully below under Recent Developments; January 2006 Subscription Agreements.

Issuance of common stock in connection with the Acquisition of Empagio

Halo entered into a merger agreement dated December 19, 2005, with Empagio, certain stockholders of Empagio, and a wholly owned subsidiary of Halo. On January 13, 2006, the closing under the merger agreement occurred and Empagio became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Halo.

Upon the closing of the Empagio merger, Halo issued 1,438,455 shares of its common stock. Halo has delivered to the Empagio stockholders 1,330,571 shares of Halo common stock and retained 107,884 shares of Halo common stock as security for Empagio stockholder indemnification obligations under the merger agreement (the Empagio Indemnity Holdback Shares). The Empagio Indemnity Holdback Shares shall be released to the Empagio stockholders on the later of (i) the first anniversary of the closing date of the transaction and (ii) the date any indemnification issues pending on the first anniversary of the closing date are finally resolved.

January 2006 Convertible Promissory Notes

On January 27 and on January 30, 2006, Halo entered into certain convertible promissory notes (the January 2006 Convertible Notes) in the aggregate principal amount of One Million Three Hundred Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars (\$1,375,000). The principal amount of the January 2006 Convertible Notes, together with accrued interest, shall be due and payable on demand by the holder thereof on the maturity date which is no earlier than sixty (60) days after the date such January 2006 Convertible Notes were issued (the Original Maturity Date), unless the January 2006 Convertible Notes are converted into common stock and warrants as described below. In the event that the January 2006 Convertible Notes are not converted by their Original Maturity Date, interest will begin to accrue at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum.

Table of Contents

Each January 2006 Convertible Note shall convert into (i) such number of fully paid and non-assessable shares of Halo's common stock equal to the aggregate outstanding principal amount due under the January 2006 Convertible Note plus the amount of all accrued but unpaid interest on the January 2006 Convertible Note divided by \$1.25, and (ii) warrants (the January 2006 Warrants) to purchase a number of shares of Halo's common stock equal to 75% of such number of shares of common stock. The January 2006 Convertible Notes shall so convert automatically (Mandatory Conversion) and with no action on the part of the holder on their Original Maturity Date to the extent that upon such conversion, the total number of shares of common stock then beneficially owned by such holder does not exceed 9.99% of the total number of issued and outstanding shares of Halo common stock. For such purposes, beneficial ownership shall be determined in accordance with Section 13(d) of the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder. In the event that a portion of the principal and interest under the January 2006 Convertible Notes has not been converted on the first Mandatory Conversion (and the holder has not demanded payment), there will be subsequent mandatory conversions until all of the principal and interest has been converted, provided that at each such Mandatory Conversion the total number of shares of common stock then beneficially owned by such lender does not exceed 9.99% of the total number of issued and outstanding shares of common stock. Prior to any such mandatory conversion the holder may at its option by writing to Halo, convert all or a portion of the principal and interest due under such holder's January 2006 Convertible Notes into common stock and January 2006 Warrants provided that at each such conversion the total number of shares of common stock then beneficially owned by such holder does not exceed 9.99% of the total number of issued and outstanding shares of Halo common stock. By written notice to Halo, each holder may waive the foregoing limitations on conversion but any such waiver will not be effective until the 61st day after such notice is delivered to Halo.

January 2006 Subscription Agreements

Also on January 27 and January 30, 2006, Halo entered into certain Subscription Agreements (the January 2006 Subscription Agreements) for the sale of the January 2006 Convertible Notes and the underlying common stock and January 2006 Warrants.

The material terms of the January 2006 Subscription Agreements are as follows. Halo and the investors under the January 2006 Subscription Agreements made certain representations and warranties customary in private financings, including representations from the Investors that they are accredited investors as defined in Rule 501(a) of Regulation D (Regulation D) under the Securities Act.

The January 2006 Subscription Agreements further provide that Halo shall register the shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the January 2006 Convertible Notes and upon conversion of the January 2006 Warrants (together, the Registrable Shares) via a suitable registration statement. If a registration statement covering the Registrable Shares has not been declared effective after 180 days following the closing, the holders shall receive a number of shares of common stock equal to 1.5% of the number of shares received upon conversion of the January 2006 Convertible Notes for each 30 days thereafter during which the Registrable Shares have not been registered, subject to a maximum penalty of 9% of the number of shares received upon conversion of the January 2006 Convertible Notes.

The January 2006 Subscription Agreements allow the Investors to piggyback on the registration statements filed by Halo. Halo agreed that it will maintain the registration statement effective under the Securities Act until the earlier of (i) the date that all of the Registrable Shares have been sold pursuant to such registration statement, (ii) all Registrable Shares have been otherwise transferred to persons who may trade such shares without restriction under the Securities Act, or (iii) all Registrable Shares may be sold at any time, without volume or manner of sale limitations pursuant to Rule 144(k) under the Securities Act.

Upon the completion of the offering under the January 2006 Subscription Agreements, with a full round of investment of \$10,000,000, the investors will have the right for 15 months after the final closing to invest, in the aggregate an additional \$10,000,000 in common stock of Halo. The price of such follow-on investment will be \$2.00 per share of common stock or a 20% discount to the prior 30 day trading

Table of Contents

period, whichever is lower; provided that the price per share shall not be less than \$1.25. Each investor's portion of this follow-on right shall be such investor's pro rata amount of the January 2006 Convertible Notes issued pursuant to the January 2006 Subscription Agreements. Once Halo has issued a total of \$5,000,000 of January 2006 Convertible Notes, the investors will be able to invest up to 50% of the amount which they may invest pursuant to this follow-on right; subsequent to the completion of the full round of \$10,000,000 the investors may invest the remainder of the amount which they may invest pursuant to this follow-on right.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the January 2006 Subscription Agreements, the number of shares of common stock that may be acquired by any investor upon any exercise of this follow-on right (or otherwise in respect hereof) shall be limited to the extent necessary to insure that, following such exercise (or other issuance), the total number of shares of common stock then beneficially owned by such investor and its Affiliates and any other persons whose beneficial ownership of common stock would be aggregated with such investor for purposes of Section 13(d) of the Exchange Act, does not exceed 9.99% of the total number of issued and outstanding shares of Halo common stock. By written notice to Halo, any investor may waive this provision, but any such waiver will not be effective until the 61st day after such notice is delivered to Halo.

In addition to the \$1,375,000 in January 2006 Convertible Notes issued January 27 and January 30, 2006, pursuant to the January 2006 Subscription Agreements, the following investors have expressed an intention to exercise their right to accept the terms of the January 2006 Subscription Agreements in lieu of the Series E Subscription Agreements:

the holder of the \$500,000 principal amount September 2005 Note;

the holder of the \$500,000 principal amount October 2005 Note that is still outstanding;

the holders of the \$700,000 principal amount of Series E Notes;

David Howitt, who made a \$150,000 short term loan to Halo;

the investor who had agreed to convert \$67,500 in certain advisory fees due from Halo into a Series E Subscription Agreement.

It is a condition to the closing of the merger with Unify that all such convertible notes and all shares of Halo preferred stock shall have been converted into common stock of Halo.

Acquisition of ECI

On January 30, 2006, Halo entered into a Merger Agreement (the "Merger Agreement") with ECI Acquisition, Inc., a Maryland corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of Halo ("MergerSub"), Executive Consultants, Inc., a Maryland corporation ("ECI"), and certain stockholders of ECI (the "Sellers"). On March 1, 2006, the closing occurred under the Merger Agreement. Accordingly, under the terms of the Merger Agreement, MergerSub was merged with and into ECI (the "Merger") and ECI survived the Merger and is now a wholly-owned subsidiary of Halo. The total merger consideration for all of the equity interests in ECI (the "Purchase Price") was \$603,571 in cash and cash equivalents and 330,668 shares of Halo's common stock (the "Halo Shares"), subject to adjustment based on the Net Working Capital (as defined in the Merger Agreement) on the Closing Date.

MANAGEMENT

Directors and Executive Officers

Directors of Halo

Rodney A. Bienvenu, Jr., 40, has been Chief Executive Officer of Halo, a Director of Halo and Chairman of Halo's Board of Directors since August 4, 2004. From September 2003 through the present, Mr. Bienvenu has been a founder and Managing Partner of ISIS Capital Management, LLC ("ISIS"), an

Table of Contents

investment firm specializing in active investment strategies and strategic transactions in information technology and other sectors. Prior to ISIS, Mr. Bienvenu founded Strategic Software Holdings, LLC, a successful investment vehicle that initiated a takeover attempt of Mercator Software, Inc., and invested in other public and private enterprise software companies. Mr. Bienvenu acted as Chief Executive Officer of Strategic Software Holdings, LLC, from August 2002 through September 2003. Prior to Strategic Software Holdings, LLC, Mr. Bienvenu served as President of Software at Halo, a publicly traded software company, from May 2001 through July 2002. During his tenure at Halo, Mr. Bienvenu led the planning, acquisition and consolidation of over thirty companies, including five public companies. Prior to Halo, Mr. Bienvenu served as CEO and President of SageMaker, Inc., a provider of digital asset management solutions for Global 2000 companies that he founded in 1992. Under his guidance, SageMaker raised more than \$33 million in venture capital funding and acquired several technology companies in the U.S. and Europe. SageMaker was sold to Halo in early 2001. Mr. Bienvenu's previous industry experience includes the founding of a successful electronic publishing company and sale to a major publisher in 1991. Mr. Bienvenu has a seventy percent interest in ISIS, and ISIS has entered into transactions with Halo as described below under the heading "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions".

John A. Boehmer, 42, has been a director since March 30, 2005. Mr. Boehmer is an executive recruitment and human resources professional with more than 20 years experience. Mr. Boehmer is a Managing Partner with the Barlow Group, LLC, an executive search firm, specializing in matching early and mature growth-stage technology businesses with executive leadership and industry partnerships. Mr. Boehmer has been with the Barlow Group since September, 2005. Previously, Mr. Boehmer was a Managing Director with Korn/ Ferry International, a position he has held since September 2003. Prior to joining Korn/ Ferry, from January 2002 through September 2003, Mr. Boehmer was the Founder and Managing Director of Matlin Partners LLC. Previously, from July 1999 through December 2001, Mr. Boehmer served as Vice President of Executive Recruiting at Internet Capital Group. Mr. Boehmer holds a B.A. from Denison University.

Mr. David M. Howitt, 37, has been a director since March 30, 2005. Mr. Howitt is the President and CEO of The Meriwether Group, Inc., a boutique brand consulting and marketing firm which he founded in May 2004. From May 2001 until April 2004, Mr. Howitt served as director of licensing and business development at adidas America, Inc. Mr. Howitt also worked for several years as corporate counsel with adidas. Mr. Howitt holds a B.A. from Denison University, and a J.D. from the Lewis & Clark Northwestern School of Law. Mr. Howitt has a fifty percent interest in ISIS Acquisition Partners II, LLC, ("IAP II") an entity which has entered into transactions with Halo as described below under the heading "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions".

Mark J. Lotke, 37, has been a director since March 30, 2005. Mr. Lotke is a Partner with FT Ventures, which he joined in 2005, and where he leads the Software Team. Mr. Lotke currently serves on the boards of ProfitLine, a provider of outsourced telecommunications expense management services, and of Digital Harbor, a composite applications company. Mr. Lotke has over 15 years experience in the information technology industry including over 10 years of private equity experience. Prior to joining FT Ventures, he has invested over \$350 million in leading enterprise software, e-commerce and IT-enabled services companies generating over \$1.2 billion in realized gains. From January 2003 through December 2004, Mr. Lotke was a General Partner with Pequot Ventures. From January 2001 through December 2002, Mr. Lotke was a General Partner with Covalent Partners. Prior to that, Mr. Lotke was a Managing Director with Internet Capital Group. Mr. Lotke also worked for several years as a principal with General Atlantic Partners. Mr. Lotke began his professional career as a strategy consultant at Corporate Decisions, Inc. and also worked at LHS Group, a mobile billing and customer care software company. Mr. Lotke received a B.S. in Economics summa cum laude from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania and an MBA from the Stanford University Graduate School of Business.

Other Executive Officers of Halo

Mark Finkel, 51, has been Halo's Chief Financial Officer since December 28, 2005. Mr. Finkel has over 20 years of senior financial and operational experience at both public and private companies. Prior to

Table of Contents

joining Halo, Mr. Finkel, served as chief executive officer of ISD Corporation from 2003 through February 2004, after being part of a group that purchased ISD from its founders. ISD is a leader in the payment technology industry. From 2001 through 2002, Mr. Finkel served as chief executive officer of RightAnswers, Inc., which provides enterprise customers with Self Service solutions for IT support. Mr. Finkel led a group of investors in acquiring Halo in 2001, which was then a division of a public company. After serving as CEO, Mr. Finkel continued to serve as non-executive chairman of ISD Corporation and RightAnswers, Inc. Since 1996, Mr. Finkel has also served as president of Emerging Growth Associates, a consulting firm for early stage, high growth companies, where he has provided counsel on strategic planning, business model development, market positioning, and operational execution. Mr. Finkel also serves as a venture partner with the Prism Opportunity Fund, a \$50 million venture fund focused on early stage companies. Previously, Mr. Finkel has taken three companies public as CFO: Consilium, Inc, Logic Works, Inc. and ServiceWare Technologies, Inc. He also served as CFO of BackWeb Technologies, Inc. and Neuron Data, Inc. Mr. Finkel holds a J.D. from the University of California, Davis, an M.B.A. from New York University, and a B.A. from Oberlin College.

Ernest C. Mysogland, 40, has been Chief Legal Officer, Executive Vice President and Secretary of Halo since August 4, 2004. Mr. Mysogland has more than 15 years experience in mergers and acquisitions, equity and debt financing and investment. From September, 2003 through the present, Mr. Mysogland has been a founder and Managing Partner of ISIS Capital Management, LLC (ISIS), an investment firm specializing in active investment strategies and strategic transactions in information technology and other sectors. Prior to ISIS, Mr. Mysogland managed the legal and administrative matters of Strategic Software Holdings, LLC from May, 2003 through September, 2003. Prior to Strategic Software Holdings, LLC, from September, 1990 through April, 2003, Mr. Mysogland engaged in private legal practice representing investors, issuers, acquirers and targets in hundreds of public and private mergers and acquisitions, equity and debt financings, and other strategic transactions ranging in size up to \$3.5 billion. Mr. Mysogland's clients have included numerous software and technology companies, private equity funds and institutional investors. Mr. Mysogland graduated from the University of Notre Dame and the Columbia University School of Law.

Brian J. Sisko, 44, has been Chief Operating Officer of Halo since March 2005. Mr. Sisko has 20 years of experience in the areas of corporate finance, mergers and acquisitions and strategic development. From February 2002 to March 2005, Mr. Sisko ran B/ T Business and Technology, which served as an advisor and strategic management consultant to a variety of public and private companies, including Halo. From April 2000 to January 2002, he was Managing Director of Katalyst, LLC, a venture capital and operational advisory firm where he was responsible for business development and client/portfolio company engagement management in that firm's Philadelphia and Boston offices. Mr. Sisko also previously served as Senior Vice President Corporate Development and General Counsel of National Media Corporation, a large public company with international operations. In addition, Mr. Sisko was a partner in the Corporate Finance/ Mergers and Acquisitions practice group of the Philadelphia-based law firm, Klehr Harrison, Harvey Branzburg & Ellers. Mr. Sisko also teaches as an adjunct professor in the MBA program of the Fox School of Business at Temple University. He earned his Juris Doctorate from The Law School of the University of Pennsylvania and his B.S. from Bucknell University.

Jeff Bailey, 52, Chief Executive Officer of Gupta Technology Holdings LLC (Gupta), a significant operating subsidiary of Halo since March 2005, and served as Interim Chief Financial Officer and Principal Financial Officer for Halo from March 2005 to December 2005. Since January 2002, Mr. Bailey served as Gupta's Chief Executive Officer, responsible for guiding Gupta's strategic direction as well as day-to-day operations. Mr. Bailey joined Gupta in October 2001 as its Chief Financial Officer. From August 2001 through October 2001, Mr. Bailey was also the CEO of DAVID Corporation. Prior to that experience, Mr. Bailey served as vice president of finance and CFO at Vivant Corporation until August 2001. He has also held positions as vice president of finance and CFO at Uniteq Application Systems Inc. and Phoenix Network Inc. He earned his B.S. in Business Administration from the University of California, Berkeley, and is a certified public accountant.

Table of Contents

Takeshi Taniguchi, 34, has been interim Principal Accounting Officer for Halo since March 2005. Since July 2004 through the present, Mr. Taniguchi has served as Corporate Controller of Gupta, responsible for the overall financial management of Gupta. Mr. Taniguchi has worked at Gupta or its predecessors since 2000, serving as a senior financial analyst prior to his current position. He earned his Master of Business Administration from the University of Nevada, Reno, and is a Certified Management Accountant.

No director, executive officer, promoter or control person of Halo has, within the last five years: (i) had a bankruptcy petition filed by or against any business of which such person was a general partner or executive officer either at the time of the bankruptcy or within two years prior to that time; (ii) been convicted in a criminal proceeding or is currently subject to a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations or similar misdemeanors); (iii) been subject to any order, judgment or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or otherwise limiting his involvement in any type of business, securities or banking activities; (iv) been found by a court of competent jurisdiction (in a civil action), the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Commission or SEC) or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law, and the judgment has not been reversed, suspended or vacated. There are no family relationships among any directors and executive officers of Halo.

Audit Committee and Financial Expert

We do not have a separately-designated standing audit committee but our full board of directors performs some of the same functions of an audit committee, including selecting the firm of independent certified public accountants to audit the annual financial statements, reviewing the independent auditors independence, the financial statements and the audit report, and reviewing Halo's system of internal controls over financial reporting. Halo does not currently have a written audit committee charter or similar document.

Although Halo does not have an audit committee, the board of directors has determined that it does have a director qualifying as an audit committee financial expert sitting on the board of directors. Mr. Lotke meets the definition of audit committee financial expert adopted by the SEC. Mr. Lotke is independent under the definition of independence contained in Rule 4200(a)(15) of the NASD's listing standards.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Committee and Compensation Report

The Halo board of directors appointed a Compensation Committee on September 13, 2005, consisting of Mr. Boehmer and Mr. Lotke, both of whom meet the requirements of non-employee directors under the rules under section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the requirements of outside directors under section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). The Compensation Committee does not yet have a written charter. The Compensation Committee will administer the Halo Technology Holdings 2005 Equity Incentive Plan. The Compensation Committee did not meet during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005.

Since Halo did not have a compensation committee of the board of directors for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, the entire board of directors reviewed all forms of compensation provided to our executive officers, directors, consultants and employees including stock compensation. The board of directors had no existing policy with respect to the specific relationship of corporate performance to executive compensation. The board of directors has set executive compensation at what the board of directors considered to be the minimal levels necessary to retain and compensate the officers of Halo for their activities on Halo's behalf.

Table of Contents**Summary Compensation Table**

The following Summary Compensation Table sets forth information concerning the annual and long-term compensation earned by our Chief Executive Officer and each of the four other most highly compensated executive officers (collectively the named executive officers) at the end of the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005. This information includes the dollar value of base salaries and bonus awards and the number of stock options granted, and certain other compensation, if any.

Summary Compensation Table

Executive Officer and Principal Position	Year	Long-Term Compensation						
		Annual Compensation			Awards		Payouts	
					Restricted Securities			
		Salary (US\$)	Bonus (US\$)	Other Annual Compensation (US\$)	Stock Awards (US\$)	Underlying Options/SAR (#)	LTIP Payoff (US\$)	All Other Compensation (US\$)
Year	(US\$)	(US\$)	(US\$)	(US\$)	(#)	(US\$)	(US\$)	
Rodney A. Bienvenu, Jr.(1) Chairman & Chief Executive Officer	2005	275,000	270,500	0	0	301,372	0	0
	2004	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2003	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ernest C. Mysogland(2) Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer, and Secretary	2005	160,417	65,625	0	0	100,456	0	0
	2004	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2003	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brian J. Sisko(3) Chief Operating Officer	2005	67,436	0	94,000	0	0	0	0
	2004	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2003	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jeff Bailey(4) Former Chief Financial Officer	2005	93,656	202,322	0	0	0	0	0
	2004	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2003	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gus Bottazzi(5) Former President and Director	2005	106,667	0	500,000	0	187,520	0	0
	2004	198,693	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2003	56,250	0	0	0	2,000	0	0

(1) *Rodney A. Bienvenu, Jr.* Mr. Bienvenu was appointed Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of Halo on August 4, 2004. Mr. Bienvenu did not receive any compensation for fiscal 2004 or for fiscal 2003.

(2) *Ernest C. Mysogland.* Mr. Mysogland was appointed Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer of Halo on August 4, 2004. Mr. Mysogland did not receive any compensation for fiscal 2004 or for fiscal 2003.

(3) *Brian J. Sisko.* Mr. Sisko was appointed Chief Operating Officer of Halo in March 2005. Mr. Sisko did not receive any compensation for fiscal 2004 or for fiscal 2003. Amount under Other Annual Compensation includes

consulting and transaction fees paid to or earned by Mr. Sisko during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005 for his work as a consultant to Halo prior to March 2005 when he became Halo's Chief Operating Officer.

- (4) *Jeff Bailey*. Mr. Bailey served as interim Chief Financial Officer of Halo from March 2005 through December 2005. Mr. Bailey did not receive any compensation for fiscal 2004 or for fiscal 2003. Bonus amounts include bonuses paid to Mr. Bailey in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, bonuses earned by Mr. Bailey due to the change in control of Gupta, and a performance bonus paid to Mr. Bailey in fiscal 2005, which related to the period prior to Halo's acquisition of Gupta on January 31, 2005. Mr. Bailey continues to serve as Chief Executive Officer of Halo's subsidiary, Gupta.
- (5) *Gus Bottazzi*. The compensation shown in this Summary Compensation Table represents the total compensation paid to Mr. Bottazzi for all executive positions held by him at Halo beginning April 15, 2003. As of June 30, 2005, Mr. Bottazzi was no longer employed with Halo. Amount under Other Annual Compensation represents the value of 200,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock issued to Mr. Bottazzi pursuant to the terms of the Separation Agreement dated March 3, 2005.

Table of Contents**Options Granted in Last Fiscal Year**

The following table contains certain information regarding stock options we have granted to our named executive officers during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005.

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Options Granted	Percent of Total Options Granted to Employees in Fiscal Year	Exercise or Base Price (\$/share)	Expiration Date
Rodney A. Bienvenu, Jr.	301,372	45%	6.75	8/4/2014
Ernest C. Mysogland	100,456	15%	6.75	8/4/2014
Gus Bottazzi	187,520.00	28%	6.75	8/4/2014

Aggregated Option Exercises in Last Fiscal Year and Fiscal Year-End Option Values

The following table contains certain information regarding stock options exercised during the past twelve months and stock options held as of June 30, 2005, by each of our named executive officers. The stock options listed below were granted without tandem stock appreciation rights. We have no freestanding stock appreciation rights outstanding.

Option Exercise Table

Name	Shares Acquired On Exercise (#)	Value	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options at 6/30/05 (#)(\$)		Value of Unexercised In the Money Options at 6/30/05(1)	
			Realized	Exercisable Non-Exercisable	Exercisable	Non-Exercisable
Rodney A. Bienvenu, Jr.				301,372		
Ernest C. Mysogland				100,456		
Gus Bottazzi			189,520			

(1) Calculated on the basis of \$1.75 per share, the last reported bid price of the common stock on the over-the-counter market on June 30, 2005, less exercise price payable for such shares.

Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) Awards Table

Halo made no long-term incentive awards in the fiscal year ended on June 30, 2005.

Compensation of Directors

Halo has a verbal agreement with each of the non-employee directors pursuant to which Halo has agreed to pay each non-employee director (Messrs. Howitt, Boehmer and Lotke) either \$30,000 in cash annually or options to acquire 45,000 shares of common stock. Directors receive no additional compensation for serving on committees of the board of directors. The Compensation Committee determines annually whether the non-employees directors will receive cash or options. With respect to the fiscal year ending June 30, 2006, on September 13, 2005, the Compensation Committee as compensation for serving as members of the Board of Directors granted each of Messrs. Howitt, Boehmer and Lotke an option to acquire 45,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of

1.08 per share. The options have a ten year term and vest 25% on December 31, 2005 and ratably each month over the next 36 months provided that the director remains a director of Halo. These options were awarded subject to the approval of the Halo Technology Holdings 2005 Equity Incentive Plan. If the Plan is not approved by the stockholders, the non-employee directors will instead receive cash compensation. Mr. Bienvenu, Halo's Chief Executive Officer, receives no additional compensation for his service on the board of directors.

Employment Contracts, Termination of Employment and Change in Control Arrangements

Halo entered into a written employment agreement with Rodney A. Bienvenu, Jr., its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer as of August 4, 2004. Under the terms of this agreement, Halo agreed to pay

Table of Contents

Mr. Bienvenu a monthly salary of \$25,000 beginning on August 4, 2004 through December 31, 2005. Upon execution of the agreement, Mr. Bienvenu was entitled to receive a payment equal to \$37,500. In addition, Mr. Bienvenu agreed to defer 20% of his base salary for a period of time while Halo had little operating capital. This period lasted through March 2005. Under the agreement, Mr. Bienvenu was also entitled to receive an amount equal to 25% of his annual base salary upon the completion of the Gupta acquisition. This amount has not yet been paid. Halo expects to pay these deferred amounts in the second quarter of fiscal 2006. Mr. Bienvenu's base salary is subject to upward adjustment pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement. In addition to the foregoing, the Board voted to award Mr. Bienvenu a discretionary bonus in the amount of \$158,000 for fiscal 2005, and awarded him options to acquire 158,000 shares of common stock under Halo's 2002 Equity Incentive Plan. The employment agreement automatically renews for successive one-year terms unless either party gives notice of his or its intention to terminate at least 60 days prior to the end of the term. Halo may terminate Mr. Bienvenu's employment at any time for Cause (as defined in the employment agreement) or at any time on or after June 30, 2005 upon 60 days prior written notice other than for Cause. Mr. Bienvenu may terminate his employment at any time for Good Reason (as defined in the employment agreement) or upon 30 days written notice without Good Reason. Mr. Bienvenu is eligible for up to 12 months severance if he is terminated by Halo without Cause or terminates his employment with Good Reason. Pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement, Mr. Bienvenu was also required to execute Halo's standard form of Non-Competition Agreement and Confidential Information Agreement. Mr. Bienvenu is permitted to continue his activities with respect to ISIS Capital Management, LLC, Bienvenu Management, LLC, their affiliates and portfolio companies. In addition, under the employment agreement, any investment, acquisition or other opportunities that Mr. Bienvenu may become aware of, other than through an employee, agent or representative of Halo, are not to be considered opportunities of Halo but shall be considered his personal opportunities.

Also as of August 4, 2004, Halo entered into a written employment agreement with Ernest C. Mysogland, its Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer, and Secretary. Under the terms of this agreement, Halo agrees to pay Mr. Mysogland a monthly salary of \$14,583.33 beginning on August 4, 2004 through December 31, 2005 as well as an annual bonus upon the achievement of specified financial and business objectives as determined by the board of directors. Upon execution of the employment agreement, Mr. Mysogland was entitled to receive a payment equal to \$21,875. In addition, Mr. Mysogland agreed to defer 20% of his base salary for a period of time while Halo had little operating capital. This period lasted through March 2005. Under the agreement, Mr. Mysogland was also entitled to receive an amount equal to 25% of his annual base salary upon the completion of the Gupta acquisition. This amount has not yet been paid. Halo expects to pay these deferred amounts in the second fiscal quarter. Mr. Mysogland's base salary is subject to upward adjustment pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement. The agreement automatically renews for successive one-year terms unless either party gives notice of his or its intention to terminate at least 60 days prior to the end of the term. Halo may terminate Mr. Mysogland's employment at any time for Cause (as defined in the employment agreement) or at any time on or after June 30, 2005 upon 60 days prior written notice other than for Cause. Mr. Mysogland may terminate his employment at any time for Good Reason (as defined in the employment agreement) or upon 30 days written notice without Good Reason. Mr. Mysogland is eligible for up to 12 months severance if he is terminated by Halo without Cause or terminates his employment with Good Reason. Pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement, Mr. Mysogland was also required to execute Halo's standard form of Non-Competition Agreement and Confidential Information Agreement. Mr. Mysogland is permitted to continue his activities with respect to ISIS Capital Management, LLC, Bienvenu Management, LLC, their affiliates and portfolio companies. In addition, under the employment agreement, any investment, acquisition or other opportunities that Mr. Mysogland may become aware of, other than through an employee, agent or representative of Halo, are not to be considered opportunities of Halo but shall be considered his personal opportunity.

On October 31, 2003, Gupta Technologies, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Halo, entered into a letter agreement with Jeffrey A. Bailey, Chief Executive Officer of Gupta and interim Chief Financial Officer and Principal Financial Officer of Halo, under which Mr. Bailey became entitled to severance

Table of Contents

benefits as described therein. In the event Gupta terminates Mr. Bailey's employment without Cause or Mr. Bailey terminates his employment for Good Reason (as defined in the letter agreement), Gupta shall pay Mr. Bailey an amount equal to 12 months of his then current base salary and he and his dependents will remain eligible to receive medical, dental, vision health benefits during the term of the severance payments at the same rates and under the same conditions applicable to current employees of Gupta.

On March 3, 2005, Halo entered into an agreement (Separation Agreement) with Gus Bottazzi related to Mr. Bottazzi's resignation as an officer and director of Halo. Under the Separation Agreement, Halo committed to issue to Mr. Bottazzi 200,000 shares of Halo's Series C Preferred Stock. In connection with this Separation Agreement, Halo recorded a non-cash charge of \$500,000.

On September 13, 2005, Rodney A. Bienvenu, Jr., Halo's Chief Executive Officer, received stock options for 158,000 shares of Halo's common stock. The exercise price for these options is \$1.08 per share (the Fair Market Value on the date of grant by the Compensation Committee). These options have a ten year term. 25% of these options vested on December 31, 2005, and the remaining options vest ratably over the following 36 months, provided that Mr. Bienvenu remains with Halo.

At the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Halo, held October 21, 2005, the stockholders of Halo approved the Halo Technology Holdings 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2005 Plan) previously approved by the board of directors of Halo. The Compensation Committee of the board of directors of Halo will administer the 2005 Plan, including selecting the employees, consultants and directors to be granted Awards under the 2005 Plan and determining the type and size of each Award and the terms and conditions of each Award. Halo's employees, consultants and directors, or the employees, consultants and directors of Halo's related companies, may receive Awards under the 2005 Plan. The types of Awards that may be granted under the 2005 Plan are stock options (both incentive and non-qualified), stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance stock, contract stock, bonus stock and dividend equivalent rights.

Subject to adjustment for stock splits and similar events, the total number of shares of common stock that can be delivered under the 2005 Plan is 8,400,000 shares. No employee may receive options, stock appreciation rights, shares or dividend equivalent rights for more than four million shares during any calendar year. No incentive stock option will be granted under the 2005 Plan after September 13, 2015.

As a result of stockholder approval of the 2005 Plan on October 21, 2005, certain executive officers and directors of Halo received options previously approved by the board of directors of Halo. Rodney A. Bienvenu, Jr., Brian Sisko, Ernest Mysogland and Jeff Bailey received stock options for 1,800,000 shares, 600,000 shares, 200,000 shares and 25,000 shares, respectively. The exercise price for Messrs. Bienvenu and Mysogland's options is \$1.19 per share (110% of Fair Market Value on the date of grant by the Compensation Committee) and the exercise price for Messrs. Sisko and Bailey's options is \$1.08 per share (the Fair Market Value on the date of grant by the Compensation Committee). The options granted to Messrs. Bienvenu and Mysogland have a five year term and the options granted to Messrs. Sisko and Bailey have a ten year term. John A. Boehmer, David M. Howitt and Mark J. Lotke, the non-employee directors, each received a stock option for 45,000 shares. These options all have an exercise price of \$1.08 per share and a ten year term. Additionally, Jeff Bailey, Chief Executive Officer of Gupta Technologies, LLC, Halo's subsidiary, and Takeshi Taniguchi, Corporate Controller of Gupta received performance-vesting stock options for 225,000 and 10,000 shares, respectively. These options will vest if Gupta achieves specified increases in EBITDA as determined by the Compensation Committee for the fiscal year July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006. These options have an exercise price of \$1.08 per share and a ten year term.

Also as a result of the stockholder's approval of the 2005 Plan, the Compensation Committee of the Halo board of directors determined to award cash bonus amounts, options and/or shares pursuant to the Fiscal 2006 Halo Senior Management Incentive Plan.

On January 4, 2006, Mark Finkel, Halo's Chief Financial Officer, received stock options for 600,000 shares of Halo's common stock. The exercise price for Mr. Finkel's options is \$1.22 per share (the

Table of Contents

fair market value on the date of grant by the Compensation Committee). The options granted to Mr. Finkel have a ten year term. Twenty-five percent (25%) of these options vest on the first anniversary of the award, provided Mr. Finkel remains in his position through that date, and the remaining options vest ratably over the following 36 months, provided that Mr. Finkel remains employed by Halo.

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

On August 4, 2004, IAP II entered into that certain Series B-2 Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement (the Series B-2 Purchase Agreement) between and among Halo and the persons listed on Schedule 1.01 thereto. Under the Series B-2 Purchase Agreement, IAP II agreed to purchase 750 shares of Halo's Series B-2 Preferred Stock (the Series B-2 Preferred Stock) and warrants to acquire 750 shares of Series B-2 Preferred Stock, for a purchase price of \$750,000 (the Series B-2 Warrants). Upon the closings under the Series B-2 Purchase Agreement, IAP II received 750 shares of Series B-2 Preferred Stock and the Series B-2 Warrants, exercisable over five (5) years, to purchase an aggregate of 750 shares of Series B-2 Preferred Stock at an exercise price of \$1,000 per share. On January 31, 2005, the 750 Shares of Series B-2 Preferred Stock converted into 389,114 shares of common stock. Also on January 31, 2005, the Series B-2 Warrants became warrants, exercisable over five (5) years, to purchase an aggregate of 375,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share.

Mr. David Howitt, a director of Halo, invested \$500,000 in IAP II and currently has approximately a fifty percent interest therein. ISIS Capital Management, LLC (ISIS), is the managing member of IAP II. The managing members of ISIS are Mr. Rodney A. Bienvenu, Jr., Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Halo, and Mr. Ernest C. Mysogland, Halo's Chief Legal Officer. Mr. Bienvenu holds a seventy percent equity interest in ISIS. Mr. Mysogland holds a thirty percent equity interest in ISIS. ISIS's interest in IAP II provides for ISIS to receive twenty percent of the net profits received from IAP II's investments.

On August 4, 2004, ISIS and Halo entered into a Consulting Agreement, pursuant to which Halo will pay ISIS for services requested of ISIS from time to time, including, without limitation, research services, at ISIS's regular rates or at the cost incurred by ISIS to provide such services, and will reimburse ISIS for any costs incurred by ISIS on behalf of Halo.

On August 4, 2004, Halo granted ISIS certain non-qualified options to acquire 200,914 shares of common stock. All such options have an exercise price of \$6.75 per share. The exercise of such options is subject to the achievement of certain vesting and milestone terms (subject to the terms of the stock option agreement). Any of the above-described options not previously exercisable shall be vested and exercisable on August 4, 2009.

Halo has entered into a written employment agreements with Rodney A. Bienvenu, Jr., its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and Ernest C. Mysogland, its Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary, each as of August 4, 2004. Under the terms of these agreements, any investment, acquisition or other opportunities that Mr. Bienvenu or Mr. Mysogland may become aware of, other than through an employee, agent or representative of Halo, are not to be considered opportunities of Halo but shall be considered personal opportunities.

As of October 13, 2004, Halo entered into that certain Purchase Agreement Assignment (the Assignment). Under the Assignment, Halo acquired all of the rights and assumed all of the liabilities of the Purchaser under that certain Membership Interest Purchase Agreement (as amended by the Extension, the Purchase Agreement) made and entered into as of September 2, 2004, by and between ISIS Capital Management, LLC (as the Purchaser) and Gupta Holdings, LLC (the Seller).

In contemplation of the Assignment to Halo ISIS negotiated for an extension of the closing date (originally scheduled for September 30, 2004) until October 15, 2004, and paid the Seller \$1,000,000 in exchange for such right. Under the Assignment, Halo agreed to repay ISIS (or its assignees), for the \$1,000,000 ISIS paid to the Seller. Halo has issued certain notes to ISIS evidencing such obligations in the principal amount of \$1,000,000. On January 31, 2005, the notes were automatically converted into

Table of Contents

Series C Notes. On March 31, 2005, in accordance with their terms, the Series C Notes converted into 1,000,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock and warrants to acquire 1,000,000 shares of common stock. These warrants have an exercise price of \$1.25 per share and are exercisable for a period of five years from the date of issuance.

Furthermore, upon the acquisition of Gupta, in consideration of the Assignment and services in connection with due diligence, financing contacts and structure, for its efforts in negotiating the terms of the acquisition (including the specific right to assign the Purchase Agreement to Halo), and undertaking the initial obligation regarding the purchase of Gupta, Halo paid ISIS and its investors, as allocated by ISIS, a transaction fee equal to \$1,250,000, payable either in cash or, at the election of ISIS, in Series B-2 Securities, or senior debt or senior equity issued in connection with the acquisition of Gupta. As of September 30, 2005, this transaction fee has not been paid to ISIS. Halo will also reimburse ISIS for any amount it has incurred in connection with the negotiation and consummation of the transaction.

One of the Senior Noteholders under the Senior Note Agreement entered into in connection with the acquisition of Gupta, was B/T Investors, a general partnership. B/T Investors lent Halo a total of \$975,000 under the Senior Note Agreement, and received Senior Notes in that principal amount. One of the partners in B/T Investors is Brian J. Sisko who is now Halo's Chief Operating Officer. B/T Investors assigned its Senior Notes to its various partners, and Mr. Sisko received a Senior Note in the principal amount of \$100,000. This note held by Mr. Sisko was paid off in August, 2005 when Halo refinanced its debt when it entered into the long term credit facility with Fortress Credit Corp.

Convertible Promissory Notes and Effect on Previously Issued Convertible Notes

On January 11, 2006, Halo entered into certain convertible promissory notes (the "Series E Notes") in the aggregate principal amount of Seven Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$700,000) that automatically convert into (i) such number of fully paid and non-assessable shares of Halo's Series E Preferred Stock (the "Series E Stock") equal to the aggregate outstanding principal amount due under the Series E Notes plus the amount of all accrued but unpaid interest under the Series E Notes divided by \$1.25, and (ii) warrants (the "Series E Warrants") to purchase a number of shares of Halo's common stock equal to 40% of such number of shares of Series E Stock issued to the holder.

Also on January 11, 2006, Halo entered into certain Subscription Agreements (the "Series E Subscription Agreements") for the sale of Series E Stock and Series E Warrants. In addition to the conversion of the principal and interest under the Series E Notes described above, investors under the Series E Subscription Agreements agreed to invest \$150,000 in cash and committed to convert principal and interest due under certain other promissory notes issued by Halo.

Certain of these transactions were entered into by Mr. David Howitt, a director of Halo. Mr. Howitt invested \$350,000 under the notes, and agreed to invest another \$150,000 under the Subscription Agreement. Mr. Howitt recused himself from the board of directors decisions approving these transactions.

Table of Contents**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

The following table sets forth as of March 23, 2006, certain information regarding the beneficial ownership (1) of Halo's capital stock outstanding by (i) each person who is known to Halo to own 5% or more of Halo's Common Stock, Series C Preferred Stock or Series D Preferred Stock, the outstanding voting securities, (ii) each director of Halo, (iii) certain executive officers of Halo and (iv) all executive officers and directors of Halo as a group. Unless otherwise indicated, each of the stockholders shown in the table below has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares beneficially owned. Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each person named in the table below is c/o Halo Technology Holdings, 200 Railroad Avenue, Greenwich, CT 06830. As of March 23, 2006, Halo had 7,810,840 shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding, 13,362,688 shares of Series C Preferred Stock issued and outstanding and 7,045,454 shares of Series D Preferred Stock issued and outstanding. As of March 23, 2006, the outstanding shares of Common Stock were held by approximately 400 stockholders of record, the outstanding shares of Series C Preferred Stock were held by 26 stockholders of record, and the outstanding shares of Series D Preferred Stock were held by one stockholder of record. The Series C Preferred Stock and Series D Preferred Stock vote together with the Common Stock as a single class on all matters submitted to a vote of the stockholders of Halo, each share of Series C Preferred Stock, each share of Series D Preferred Stock and each share of Common Stock is entitled to one vote per share.

Title of Class	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner(1)	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class	Percent of Outstanding Voting Securities(2)
Common	Rodney A. Bienvenu, Jr.(3)	5,192,625	9.99%	17.74%
Series C	Rodney A. Bienvenu, Jr.(3)	1,813,261	13.31%	17.74%
Common	Ernest C. Mysogland(4)	4,679,873	9.99%	15.96%
Series C	Ernest C. Mysogland(4)	1,813,261	13.31%	15.96%
Common	Brian J. Sisko(5)	175,000	2.19%	*
Common	Jeff Bailey(6)	7,290	*	*
Common	Gus Bottazzi(7)	603,863	7.18%	2.12%
Common	John A. Boehmer(8)	13,124	*	*
Common	David M. Howitt(9)	1,196,805	9.99%	4.12%
Common	Mark J. Lotke(10)	13,124	*	*
Common	Mark Finkel			
Common	All directors and executive officers as a group (9 persons)(11)	6,483,383	40.73%	20.08%
Series C	All directors and executive officers as a group (9 persons)(11)	2,117,913	15.28%	20.08%
Common	Asset Managers International Ltd.(12)	2,406,319	9.99%	8.13%
Common	Manuel D. Ron.(13)	2,389,781	9.99%	8.13%
Series C	Asset Managers International Ltd.	1,000,000	7.22%	8.13%
Series C	Manuel D. Ron.(13)	1,000,000	7.22%	8.13%
Common	Carmignac Infotech(14)	627,828	7.46%	2.20%
Common	Carmignac Technologies(15)	1,425,692	9.99%	4.93%
Series C	Carmignac Technologies	707,000	5.10%	4.93%
Common	Rajesh Varma(16)	2,053,520	17.45%	7.28%
Series C	Rajesh Varma(16)	1,010,000	7.29%	7.28%
Common	Carnegie Fund(17)	455,533	5.75%	1.61%
Common	Mikael Kadri(18)	455,533	5.75%	1.61%

Edgar Filing: Halo Technology Holdings, Inc. - Form S-4

Common	Viktor Rehnqvist(19)	455,533	5.75%	1.61%
Common	Crestview Capital Master, LLC(20)	7,661,407	9.99%	23.76%

Table of Contents

Title of Class	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner(1)	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class	Percent of Outstanding Voting Securities(2)
Common	Robert Hoyt(21)	7,661,407	9.99%	23.76%
Series C	Crestview Capital Master, LLC	2,020,000	14.58%	23.76%
Series C	Robert Hoyt(21)	2,020,000	14.58%	23.76%
Common	CAMOFI Master LDC(22)	5,827,449	9.99%	18.21%
Common	Richard Smithline(23)	5,827,449	9.99%	18.21%
Series C	DCOFI Master LDC	2,000,000	14.43%	18.21%
Series C	Richard Smithline(23)	2,000,000	14.43%	18.21%
Common	Gibralt Capital Corporation(24)	472,873	5.88%	1.66%
Common	John Ciampi(25)	472,873	5.88%	1.66%
Common	Gupta Holdings, LLC(26)	4,384,316	9.99%	14.36%
Common	Tom T. Gores(27)	11,429,770	19.98%	39.33%
Common	Jerome N. Gold(28)	4,384,316	9.99%	14.36%
Common	Robert J. Joubran(29)	4,384,316	9.99%	14.36%
Common	Eva Kawalski(30)	4,384,316	9.99%	14.36%
Series C	Gupta Holdings, LLC	2,020,000	14.83%	14.36%
Series C	Tom T. Gores(27)	2,020,000	14.83%	39.33%
Series C	Jerome N. Gold(28)	2,020,000	14.83%	14.36%
Series C	Robert J. Joubran(29)	2,020,000	14.83%	14.36%
Series C	Eva Kawalski(30)	2,020,000	14.83%	14.36%
Common	ISIS Acquisition Partners II, LLC(31)	1,344,465	9.99%	4.66%
Common	ISIS Acquisition Partners, LLC(32)	485,085	5.85%	1.70%
Common	ISIS Capital Management, LLC(33)	4,621,541	25.83%	15.76%
Series C	ISIS Capital Management, LLC(34)	1,813,261	13.31%	15.94%
Common	Fortress Credit Corp.(35)	2,109,042	21.26%	6.95%
Common	OXA Trade and Finance, Inc.(36)	917,425	9.99%	3.19%
Common	Pogue Capital International Ltd.(37)	513,218	6.23%	1.80%
Common	DCI Master LDC(38)	1,476,727	9.99%	4.97%
Common	SEB Investments(39)	4,073,406	9.99%	13.47%
Common	Tobias Hagstrom(40)	4,073,406	9.99%	13.47%
Series C	SEB Investments	2,020,000	14.83%	13.47%
Series C	Tobias Hagstrom(40)	2,020,000	14.83%	13.47%
Common	Vision Opportunity Master Fund, Ltd.(41)	1,005,834	9.99%	3.44%
Common	Mai N. Pogue(42)	1,459,052	16.59%	5.09%
Common	Platinum Equity, LLC(43)	7,045,454	9.99%	24.97%
Series D	Platinum Equity, LLC	7,045,454	100%	24.97%
Series D	Tom T. Gores(27)	7,045,454	100%	39.33%

* Indicates less than one percent.

(1) As used in this table, a beneficial owner of a security includes any person who, directly or indirectly, through contract, arrangement, understanding, relationship or otherwise has or shares (a) the power to vote, or direct the

voting of, such security or (b) investment power which includes the power to dispose, or to direct the disposition of, such security. In addition, a person is deemed to be the

Table of Contents

beneficial owner of a security if that person has the right to acquire beneficial ownership of such security within 60 days.

- (2) Considers Common Stock, Series C Preferred Stock and Series D Preferred Stock voting together as a single class, with the Common Stock entitled to one vote per share, the Series C Preferred Stock entitled to one vote per share of Series C Preferred Stock, and the Series D Preferred Stock entitled to one vote per share of Series D Preferred Stock.
- (3) *Rodney A. Bienvenu, Jr.* Amount includes the securities or rights to acquire securities held or deemed to be held by ISIS Acquisition Partners II LLC (IAP II), ISIS Acquisition Partners LLC (IAP), and by ISIS Capital Management, LLC (ISIS) as described in notes 31, 32, 33 and 34 below. Mr. Bienvenu is a managing member of ISIS, and ISIS is the managing member of IAP and IAP II. Mr. Bienvenu may be deemed to have voting and investment power with respect to shares beneficially owned by IAP II, IAP and/or ISIS and disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares, except to the extent of his respective proportionate pecuniary interest therein. Amount also includes (i) vested options to acquire 46,084 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.08 per share, and (ii) vested options to acquire 525,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.19 per share.
- (4) *Ernest C. Mysogland.* Amount includes the securities or rights to acquire securities held by ISIS Acquisition Partners II LLC (IAP II), ISIS Acquisition Partners LLC (IAP), and by ISIS Capital Management, LLC (ISIS) as described in notes 31, 32, 33 and 34 below. Mr. Mysogland is a managing member of ISIS, and ISIS is the managing member of IAP and IAP II. Mr. Mysogland may be deemed to have voting and investment power with respect to shares beneficially owned by IAP II, IAP and/or ISIS and disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares, except to the extent of his respective proportionate pecuniary interest therein. Amount also includes vested options to acquire 58,332 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.19 per share.
- (5) *Brian J. Sisko.* Amount includes vested options to acquire 175,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.08 per share.
- (6) *Jeff Bailey.* Amount includes vested options to acquire 7,290 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.08 per share.
- (7) *Gus Bottazzi.* Amount includes (i) vested options to acquire 187,520 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$6.75 per share, (ii) vested options to acquire 2,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$25.00 per share, (iii) 304,652 shares of Series C Preferred Stock, convertible into 304,652 shares of Common Stock and (iv) Warrants to acquire 104,652 shares of Common Stock at \$1.25 per share. Mr. Bottazzi was a director and President of the Company until March, 2005.
- (8) *John A. Boehmer.* Amount includes vested options to acquire 13,124 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.08 per share.
- (9) *David M. Howitt.* Amount includes amounts held by IAP II as described in note 31 below, to the extent of Mr. Howitt's interest in IAP II. Amount also includes vested options to acquire 13,124 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.08 per share, and 406,901 shares of Common Stock issuable upon conversion of principal and interest under a convertible promissory note held by Mr. Howitt.
- (10) *Mark J. Lotke.* Amount includes vested options to acquire 13,124 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.08 per share.
- (11) *Officers and Directors as a group.* Amount includes shares held or deemed to be held by Messrs. Bienvenu, Mysogland and Howitt, without duplication, as described in notes 3, 4 and 7 above, and amounts held by

Mr. Sisko and Mr. Bottazzi as described in notes 5 and 6 above.

- (12) *Asset Managers International Ltd.* Amount includes 1,000,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock convertible into 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock, and warrants to acquire 1,389,781 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share.

Table of Contents

- (13) *Manuel D. Ron*. Amount includes securities or rights to acquire securities held by Asset Managers International Ltd. as described in note 12 above. Mr. Manuel D. Ron exercises voting and investment power over the shares held by this entity. Mr. Ron disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares, except to the extent of his pecuniary interests therein.
- (14) *Carmignac Infotech*. Amount includes 21,828 shares of Common Stock, 303,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock convertible into 303,000 shares of Common Stock, and warrants to acquire 303,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share.
- (15) *Carmignac Technologies*. Amount includes 707,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock convertible into 707,000 shares of Common Stock, and warrants to acquire 707,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share.
- (16) *Rajesh Varma*. Amount includes securities and rights to acquire securities held by Carmignac Infotech and Carmignac Technologies as described in notes 14 and 15. Mr. Rajesh Varma exercises voting and investment power over the shares held by these entities. Mr. Varma disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares, except to the extent of his pecuniary interests therein.
- (17) *Carnegie Fund*. Amount includes 341,149 shares of Common Stock, warrants to acquire 8,000 shares of Common Stock for an exercise price of \$2.00 per share, and warrants to acquire 104,653 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share.
- (18) *Mr. Mikael Kadri*. Amount includes securities and rights to acquire securities held by Carnegie Fund as described in note 17. Mr. Kadri exercises voting and investment power over the shares held by this entity. Mr. Kadri disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares except to the extent of his pecuniary interests therein.
- (19) *Mr. Viktor Rehnqvist*. Amount includes securities and rights to acquire securities held by Carnegie Fund as described in note 17. Mr. Rehnqvist exercises voting and investment power over the shares held by this entity. Mr. Rehnqvist disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares except to the extent of his pecuniary interests therein.
- (20) *Crestview Capital Master, LLC*. Amount includes 2,020,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock convertible into 2,020,000 shares of Common Stock, warrants to acquire 2,020,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share, subordinated debt convertible into 2,000,000 shares of Common Stock, and 1,621,407 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share.
- (21) *Robert Hoyt*. Amount includes securities or rights to acquire securities held by Crestview Capital Master, LLC as described in note 20. Mr. Robert Hoyt exercises voting and investment power over the shares held by this entity. Mr. Hoyt disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares, except to the extent of his pecuniary interests therein.
- (22) *CAMOFI Master LDC*. Amount includes 2,000,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock convertible into 2,000,000 shares of Common Stock, warrants to acquire 2,000,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share, warrants to acquire 779,562 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share, subordinated debt convertible into 500,000 shares of Common Stock, warrants to acquire 500,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share, and 47,887 shares of Common Stock.
- (23) *Richard Smithline*. Amount includes securities or rights to acquire securities held by DCOFI Master LDC as described in note 22. Mr. Smithline exercises voting and investment power over the shares held by this entity.

Mr. Smithline disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares, except to the extent of his pecuniary interests therein.

- (24) *Gibralt Capital Corporation*. Amount includes 234,497 shares of Common Stock, warrants to acquire 234,497 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share, and 3,879 additional shares of Common Stock.
- (25) *John Ciampi*. Amount includes the securities and rights to acquire securities held by Gibralt Capital Corporation as described in note 24. Mr. Ciampi exercises voting and investment power over

Table of Contents

the shares held by this entity. Mr. Ciampi disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares, except to the extent of his pecuniary interests therein.

- (26) *Gupta Holdings, LLC*. Amount includes 2,020,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock convertible into 2,020,000 shares of Common Stock, warrants to acquire 2,020,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share, warrants to acquire 292,336 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share, and 51,980 shares of Common Stock.
- (27) *Tom T. Gores*. Amount includes securities and rights to acquire securities held by Gupta Holdings, LLC as described in note 26, and Platinum Equity, LLC as described in note 45. Mr. Gores exercises voting and investment power over the shares held by these entities. Mr. Gores disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares, except to the extent of his pecuniary interests therein.
- (28) *Jerome N. Gold*. Amount includes securities and rights to acquire securities held by Gupta Holdings, LLC as described in note 26. Mr. Gold exercises voting and investment power over the shares held by this entity. Mr. Gold disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares, except to the extent of his pecuniary interests therein.
- (29) *Robert J. Joubran*. Amount includes securities and rights to acquire securities held by Gupta Holdings, LLC as described in note 26. Mr. Joubran exercises voting and investment power over the shares held by this entity. Mr. Joubran disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares, except to the extent of his pecuniary interests therein.
- (30) *Eva Kawalski*. Amount includes securities and rights to acquire securities held by Gupta Holdings, LLC as described in note 26. Ms. Kawalski exercises voting and investment power over the shares held by this entity. Ms. Kawalski disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares, except to the extent of her pecuniary interests therein.
- (31) *ISIS Acquisition Partners II, LLC*. Amount includes 389,114 shares of Common Stock, warrants to acquire 375,000 shares of Common Stock for an exercise price of \$1.00 per share, 287,795 shares of Series C Preferred Stock convertible into 287,795 shares of Common Stock, and warrants to acquire 287,795 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share.
- (32) *ISIS Acquisition Partners, LLC*. Amount includes 240,553 shares of shares of Series C Preferred Stock convertible into 240,553 shares of Common Stock, and warrants to acquire 240,553 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share.
- (33) *ISIS Capital Management, LLC (ISIS)*. Amount includes 1,284,913 shares of Series C Preferred Stock convertible into 1,284,913 shares of Common Stock, and warrants to acquire 1,284,913 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share. Amount also includes the securities or rights to acquire securities held by ISIS Acquisition Partners II LLC (IAP II) and by ISIS Acquisition Partners LLC (IAP) as described in footnotes 31 and 32. ISIS is the managing member of IAP and IAP II and has voting and investment power with respect to shares beneficially owned by IAP II and/or IAP.
- (34) *ISIS Capital Management, LLC (ISIS)*. Amount includes 1,284,913 shares of Series C Preferred Stock. Amount also includes the Series C Preferred Stock held by ISIS Acquisition Partners II LLC (IAP II) and by ISIS Acquisition Partners LLC (IAP) as described in footnotes 31 and 32. ISIS is the managing member of IAP and IAP II and has voting and investment power with respect to shares beneficially owned by IAP II and/or IAP.
- (35) *Fortress Credit Corp.* Amount includes warrants to acquire 2,109,042 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.01 per share.

- (36) *Oxa Trade and Finance, Inc.* Amount includes 52,500 shares of Common Stock, warrants to acquire 50,000 shares of Common Stock for an exercise price of \$1.00 per share, 313,958 shares of Series C Preferred Stock convertible into 313,958 shares of Common Stock, warrants to acquire 313,958 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share, 5,193 shares of Common Stock, and warrants to acquire 181,818 shares of Common Stock at \$1.25 per share.
- (37) *Pogue Capital International Ltd.* Amount includes 88,348 shares of Common Stock, warrants to acquire 6,260 shares of Common Stock for an exercise price of \$2.00 per share, 209,305 shares of

123

Table of Contents

Series C Preferred Stock convertible into 209,305 shares of Common Stock, and warrants to acquire 209,305 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share.

- (38) *DCI Master LDC*. Amount includes warrants to acquire 363,636 shares of Common Stock, and 1,113,091 shares of Common Stock issuable upon the conversion of debt.
- (39) *SEB Asset Management*. Amount includes 2,020,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock convertible into 2,020,000 shares of Common Stock, warrants to acquire 2,020,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share, and 33,406 shares of Common Stock.
- (40) *Tobias Hagstrom*. Amount includes securities and rights to acquire securities held by SEB Asset Management as described in note 39. Mr. Hagstrom exercises voting and investment power over the shares held by this entity. Mr. Hagstrom disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares, except to the extent of his pecuniary interests therein.
- (41) *Vision Opportunity Master Fund, Ltd.* Amount 1,005,834 shares of Common Stock issuable upon the conversion of debt.
- (42) *Mai N. Pogue*. Ms. Pogue, jointly with her husband, Gerald A. Pogue, owns 28,408 shares of Common Stock. In addition, the amount includes securities held by Oxa Trade and Finance, Inc. and Pogue Capital International as described in notes 36 and 37.
- (43) *Platinum Equity, LLC*. Amount includes 7,045,054 shares of Series D Preferred Stock, convertible into 7,045,054 shares of Common Stock.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTIES

The principal executive offices of Halo are located at 200 Railroad Avenue, 3rd Floor, Greenwich, Connecticut 06830. Halo has a four-year lease on its current office space. The property has a general-purpose use for sales and administration, and Halo believes it will be sufficient for our needs for the foreseeable future.

Halo's wholly-owned subsidiary, Gupta, leases 6,319 square feet of office space at its headquarters in Redwood Shores, California, and 5,349 square feet of office space in Munich, Germany. Gupta additionally leases small sales offices in Paris and London.

The principal executive offices of Halo's Process subsidiary are located in Framingham, Massachusetts. Halo's subsidiary ProfitKey International leases 9,000 square feet of office space at its headquarters in Salem, New Hampshire. The Foresight Software subsidiary leases 5,920 square feet of office space at its headquarters in Atlanta, Georgia. Halo's DAVID Corporation subsidiary leases 5,180 square feet of office space at its headquarters in San Francisco, California. Empagio leases 1,788 square feet of office space at its headquarters in Atlanta, Georgia, and 13,500 square feet of office space in San Francisco, California. Halo believes these premises will be sufficient for our needs for the foreseeable future.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, Halo may be involved in litigation that arises in the normal course of its business operations. As of the date of this proxy statement/prospectus, Halo is not a party to any litigation that it believes could reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on its business or results of operations.

MARKET PRICE OF AND DIVIDENDS ON REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Halo's common stock, par value \$.00001 per share, is quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board operated by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. under the symbol HALO.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the range of high and low closing bid prices for Halo's common stock for the periods indicated as reported by the National Quotation Bureau, Inc. These prices represent quotations between dealers, do not include retail markups, markdowns or commissions, and do not necessarily represent actual transactions.

Fiscal Year	Quarter Ended	Bid Price	
		Low	High
2004	March 31, 2004	17.00	31.00
	June 30, 2004	6.00	18.00
2005	September 30, 2004	3.00	8.00
	December 31, 2004	1.50	5.00
	March 31, 2005	1.51	5.00
	June 30, 2005	1.60	4.00
2006	September 30, 2005	.92	2.85
	December 31, 2005	1.10	1.75
	March 31, 2006	1.20	1.80

As of March 31, 2006, the National Quotation Bureau, Inc. reported that the closing bid and ask prices on the Halo's common stock were \$1.20 and \$1.28 respectively.

Holders

As of December 31, 2005, Halo's financial statements show 5,601,548 shares of common stock outstanding.

At March 10, 2006, there were approximately 400 common stockholders of record, including shares held by brokerage clearing houses, depositories or otherwise in unregistered form. The beneficial owners of such shares are not known to us.

Dividends

We have not declared any cash dividends, nor do we intend to do so. We are not subject to any legal restrictions respecting the payment of dividends, except as provided under the rights and preferences of the Company's Series C Preferred Stock (the "Series C Stock") and the Company's Series D Preferred Stock (the "Series D Stock") which restrict, the payment of any dividend with respect to the common stock without paying dividends on the Series C Stock and Series D Stock, and which provide for a preference in the payment of the dividends on the Series C Stock and Series D Stock requiring such dividends to be paid before any dividend or distribution is made to the common stockholders. Dividends on the Series C Preferred Stock accrue at the rate of 6% of the stated value of the preferred stock per annum, and are payable in cash or in shares of common stock at the time of conversion of the Series C Stock. In addition, dividends may not be paid so as to render us insolvent. Dividends on the Series D Stock accrue at the rate of 13% of the stated value of the preferred stock per annum, and are payable in cash or in shares of common stock. Dividends on each share of Series D Stock shall be paid initially on March 31, 2006 and quarterly in arrears thereafter, in either cash or additional shares of common stock, at the election of the Company.

Our dividend policy will be based on our cash resources and needs and it is anticipated that all available cash will be needed for our operations in the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents**Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans**

The following table sets forth as of June 30, 2005, certain information regarding the securities authorized for issuance under the 2002 Stock Incentive Plan, which is the sole equity compensation plan of the Company as of June 30, 2005.

	Equity Compensation Plan Information		
	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	0	0	0
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	628,453	\$ 6.84	148,158
Total	628,453	\$ 6.84	148,158

In November 2002, Halo's board of directors approved and adopted the Warp Technology Holdings, Inc. 2002 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2002 Plan") as a means through which Halo and its subsidiaries may attract, retain and compensate employees and consultants. So that the appropriate incentive can be provided, the 2002 Plan provides for granting Incentive Stock Options, Nonqualified Stock Options, Restricted Stock Awards and Stock Bonuses, or a combination of the foregoing. A total of 776,611 Shares have been reserved for issuance pursuant to the 2002 Plan plus shares that are subject to: (a) issuance upon exercise of an option but cease to be subject to such option for any reason other than exercise of such option; (b) an award granted under the 2002 Plan but forfeited or repurchased by the Company at the original issue price; and (c) an award that otherwise terminates without shares being issued. The 2002 Plan is administered by the board of directors. The board of directors may at any time terminate or amend the 2002 Plan in any respect, including without limitation amendment of any form of award agreement or instrument to be executed pursuant to the 2002 Plan; provided, however, that the board of directors will not, without the approval of the stockholders of the Company, amend the 2002 Plan in any manner that requires stockholder approval. Unless earlier terminated as provided under the 2002 Plan, the 2002 Plan will terminate November 2012. As of June 30, 2005, there were outstanding options to purchase 628,453 shares and 148,158 shares available for award under the 2002 Plan.

CERTAIN INFORMATION CONCERNING THE MERGER SUB

UCA Merger Sub, Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Halo. If the merger is completed, UCA will be merged with and into Unify and its separate corporate existence will cease. As a result, Unify will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of Halo. UCA was incorporated by Halo in Delaware on March 9, 2006 with minimal capitalization and has conducted no business since its incorporation other than executing the merger agreement.

CERTAIN INFORMATION CONCERNING UNIFY

Unify is sometimes referred to throughout this section as we, us, our and the Company.

Description of Business

Company Overview

Unify provides business automation software solutions, including applications for specialty markets within the insurance and transportation industries. Our solutions deliver a broad set of capabilities for

Table of Contents

automating business processes, integrating existing information systems and delivering collaborative information. Through our industry expertise and technologies, we help organizations reduce risk, drive business optimization, apply governance principles, and increase customer and member services.

Our products include vertical application software for the alternative risk insurance market and driver performance management, and infrastructure and database software that helps our customers build business process automation solutions and information-rich and database-driven applications. Our products enable organizations to rapidly, efficiently and seamlessly deliver the right information to the right people at the right time. By consolidating, automating and managing data, our customers see increases in efficiencies and services, as well as reductions in costs.

In February 2005, we acquired privately-held Acuitrek Inc., a provider of policy administration and underwriting solutions for the alternative risk management market. The acquisition was the result of our strategy to penetrate specialty, underserved markets with our solutions. As a result, Unify now offers solutions in the alternative risk market, in particular public entity risk pools made up of cities, counties, special districts, third party administrators and insurance carriers that administer self-insurance funds for public entities.

Our customers also include corporate information technology departments (IT), software value added resellers (VARs), solutions integrators (SIs) and independent software vendors (ISVs) from a variety of industries, including insurance, financial services, healthcare, government, manufacturing and many other industries. We are headquartered in Sacramento, California with a subsidiary office in Paris, France and a sales office in the United Kingdom (UK). We market and sell products directly in the United States, UK and France, and indirectly through worldwide distributors in Japan, Russia, South Africa, Italy, Brazil, Australia and Latin America with customers in more than 45 countries.

Our mission is to deliver infrastructure and application software solutions that give customers transactional efficiency, a rich user experience and quality information cost effectively and with a high degree of customer satisfaction. Our strategy is to leverage our award-winning technology with our newly acquired vertical applications to deliver a broad set of solutions to the market that streamline and automate processes and workflow; present rich user experiences; and deliver consolidated information from multiple sources. We believe that by integrating our technology and applications, we have created a unique and compelling offering in our marketplace. By combining best-of-breed capabilities, we offer customers a better way to manage, integrate, view and report data to help them drive their business objectives.

Unify was incorporated in Delaware on April 10, 1996. Over the past five years we have expanded our product offering from the ACCELL, DataServer and Vision suite of products to the Unify NXJ, NavRisk and ViaMode products. We are organized into two business units comprised of the Insurance Risk Management division and the Unify Business Solutions division.

Insurance Risk Management

As a result of the acquisition of Acuitrek, we formed the Insurance Risk Management (IRM) division. The integration of the companies was completed during the fourth quarter with IRM now including all former Acuitrek employees, combined with the addition of Unify resources to support expansion and growth of the division. The division is responsible for the development, implementation and sales of the NavRisk suite of products. NavRisk is a policy administration and underwriting application that automates labor-intensive processes, streamlines access to information, and integrates and automates delivery of information for pools, policy administrators and brokers.

The NavRisk application is configured uniquely for each customer based on the customer specific premium rating requirements. The NavRisk application is generally licensed with a three year term with additional professional services for customization as required by the customer. IRM customer contracts are typically billed at contract signing, a midpoint project milestone and upon project completion. As a result, the application license fee is deferred until the customer acceptance is received. Upon acceptance, the

Table of Contents

license fee will be recognized, which in some instances can take up to two quarters. Acuitrek was acquired in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005 and therefore there were no license revenues for fiscal 2005.

Unify Business Solutions

Unify Business Solutions is comprised of our technology products and customer base. Our technology products include Unify NXJ, ACCELL, DataServer and Vision product families. Our customers are corporate end user IT departments, ISVs and our worldwide distributors. This division is dedicated to providing exceptional technology to this customer base and continues to meet their current and future technology needs. This division serves our extensive customer installed base including sales and marketing, support, and professional services. Included in the division is our driver performance management application, ViaMode, which was built on our Unify NXJ technology.

Products

Applications

NavRisk is an end-to-end policy administration and underwriting solution for the alternative risk market. The NavRisk application automates labor-intensive processes, improves accuracy and enhances analytical capability in addition to reducing operating costs. NavRisk combines deep expertise and understanding of the market with standards-based technologies and workflow to deliver an integration solution that automates labor-intensive processes, streamlines access to information, and integrates and automates delivery of information for policy administrators and brokers. Key product features include ad hoc reporting, loss control, flexible rating and contact management and communications modules to help customers reduce risk, increase services and boost productivity.

ViaMode: Transportation is a software and services solution delivered in partnership by Unify and one of our premier domain partners. The ViaMode solution combines a software application built on Unify NXJ with professional services within the transportation efficiency and labor standards space.

Business Automation Solution

The Unify NXJ business automation platform creates and extends process-centric, collaborative-based web applications. We released Unify NXJ 11 in October 2005. Unify NXJ enables IT organizations to rapidly build and deploy a range of systems from process automation to composite applications to extending legacy applications to the Web. Unify NXJ's comprehensive set of application services includes business process management, reporting, portal and portal integration, web services, forms processing, and enterprise application integration, as well as assembly and orchestration components that can be deployed on all leading J2EE infrastructure platforms. Organizations use Unify NXJ to productively and effectively automate business processes, consolidate multiple legacy systems into unified applications, and provide advanced collaborative information systems for employees, suppliers and partners.

Rapid Application Development Product Solution

Unify's ACCEL® development products facilitate the productive, cost-effective development of mission-critical, host-based applications. The ACCELL products support native interfaces to leading database products including Unify DataServer, IBM DB2, Informix, Microsoft SQL Server, Oracle and Sybase. The ACCELL product suite includes ACCELL/ Web, ACCELL/ SQL and ACCELL/ IDS.

ACCELL/ Web™ quickly transforms existing ACCELL/ SQL applications into fully featured graphical Web-browser based applications without the requirement for any source code modifications.

ACCELL/ SQL™ powerful 4GL-based rapid application development software for the cost-effective development of character-based client/server applications. ACCELL/ SQL utilizes native optimized connectivity for Unify, Oracle, Sybase and Informix databases creating one of the fastest application performance environments in the market.

Table of Contents

ACCELL/ IDS™ powerful 4GL-based rapid application development software for applications that utilize Unify's DataServer ELS database.

Database Management Product Line

DataServer® A high performance enterprise relational database management system with minimal maintenance and memory requirements. It can quickly accommodate the growth of user requirements over time, making it an attractive choice for mission critical applications. DataServer makes it easy for developers to create graphical applications and migrate existing database applications to enterprise network and Internet environments.

DataServer® ELS A high performance, easily embeddable relational database management system. Its small footprint and proven reliability make it an industry favorite for embedded applications that require relational databases.

Graphical Client/ Server Product Solution

Unify VISION® is a powerful graphical, client/server application development and deployment system that allows for rapid creation and easy modification of complex business applications based on 4GL technology. Unify VISION consists of an object-oriented, repository-based component framework designed to enable developers to rapidly create and easily modify application components. Its powerful pre-built components enable developers to focus on the business components and processes that make up the heart of their applications. VISION also contains an application server to allow organizations to integrate custom-built and packaged applications with the Internet. Unify VISION's scalable architecture delivers a high level of performance, availability and reliability by offering server replication, load balancing, fail-over and recovery, and publish-and-subscribe capabilities.

Customers

Our customer base consists of a significant number of businesses of many sizes, public entities and independent software vendors who sell packaged applications and resellers. We sell to the alternative risk management insurance market, transportation labor market and broad horizontal markets including healthcare, government, education, financial services, technology, transportation, and manufacturing. No single customer accounted for 10% or more of revenues in fiscal 2005, 2004 or 2003.

Sales, Marketing and Distribution

Unify's products and professional services are marketed and distributed to customers globally using a combination of a direct corporate sales force and indirect distribution channels, including ISVs, VARs, SIs and worldwide distributors. The indirect sales channels leverage Unify's sales, support and consulting resources to provide complete solutions to our customers.

Our direct sales organization consists of sales representatives and pre-sales consultants. Our North America sales representatives are located in our headquarters. We market our products internationally through offices in the UK, France and Australia. We have distributors in Asia Pacific, Europe, Japan, Latin America, Russia and South Africa. International revenues accounted for 65%, 68% and 54% of total revenues in fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003 respectively.

Our marketing is focused on generating demand and marketing awareness for Unify products including efforts to support the direct and indirect sales channels. Marketing activities include e-business initiatives, strategic demand generation, public relations, customer communications, trade shows and our web site.

As of April 30, 2005, we had 20 employees engaged in sales and marketing activities, 14 in North America and 6 in Europe.

Table of Contents

Customer Support and Professional Services

Unify's customer support and professional services organizations play an important role in maintaining customer satisfaction, facilitating license sales and enabling customers to successfully architect, design, develop, deploy and manage applications.

Customer Support and Maintenance

Unify provides customer support via telephone, Web, e-mail and fax from its support centers located in Sacramento, California and Paris, France. Distribution partners provide telephone support to international customers with technical assistance from the U.S.-based support personnel who also respond to e-mail inquiries. Customers are offered tailored support service levels including response time, information reporting, and other features, such as 24-hour a day, seven-day a week support. During each of the past three fiscal years, over 75% of our support and maintenance customers have renewed their annual support contracts.

Consulting

Unify offers a full range of consulting services ranging from application implementation services including delivering proof of concepts to completed applications using our technology infrastructure. Our products allow companies to maximize return on investment, get to market quickly or be more efficient. Consulting services include: project implementations and application updates, business process-centric application development, Web-enablement, technology/knowledge transfer, application architecture audits and database tuning. The level of consulting services is tailored to customer-defined needs and includes development plans, hands-on development tasks and project management.

Education

Unify offers education courses provided on a regularly scheduled basis at Unify training centers located in Sacramento, California and Paris, France. We also offer on-site training at customer facilities.

As of April 30, 2005, we had a total of 13 employees engaged in providing professional services, 6 in support and 7 in consulting and training. Of those employees, 11 were located in the United States and 2 were located in Europe.

Product Development

We focus our development efforts on a combination of new development for our NavRisk and ViaMode applications and the Unify NXJ platform, as well as enhancing and broadening the functionality and ports of our database and application development products. During fiscal 2005, we developed and released Unify NXJ Version 10.5, ViaMode, a comprehensive application platform, as well as additional versions of our database and tools products. Additionally, we added the NavRisk policy administration and underwriting solution to our offerings with the acquisition of Acuitrek.

Our product development expenses for fiscal 2005, 2004, and 2003, were \$2.8 million, \$3.0 million and \$4.1 million. In fiscal 2004, we made a strategic decision to focus more resources on sales and marketing initiatives which reduced product development expenditures 27% in fiscal 2004 compared to fiscal 2003.

Most of our current software products have been developed internally; however, we have licensed certain software components from third parties and we may do so again in the future. We are committed to delivering products that meet customer and market needs today and in future periods.

Our product development activities are conducted at the Sacramento, California headquarters facility. As of April 30, 2005, we had a total of 17 employees in product development, including 12 software development engineers.

Table of Contents***Intellectual Property***

We rely on a combination of copyright, trademark and trade-secret laws, non-disclosure agreements and other methods to protect our proprietary technology. Despite efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties may attempt to copy aspects of our products or to obtain and use information that we regard as proprietary. Policing unauthorized use of our products is difficult, and while we are unable to determine the extent to which piracy of our software products exists, software piracy can be expected to be a persistent problem. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries in which we sell products do not protect our proprietary rights as fully as do the laws of the United States. There can be no assurance that our means of protecting our proprietary rights in the United States or abroad will be adequate or that competition will not independently develop similar technology.

Although there are no pending lawsuits against Unify regarding infringement of any existing patents or other intellectual property rights and we have not received any notices that we are infringing or allegedly infringing the intellectual property rights of others, there can be no assurance that infringement claims will not be asserted by third parties in the future. If any such claims are asserted, there can be no assurance that we will be able to defend such claim or obtain licenses on reasonable terms. Our involvement in any patent dispute or any other intellectual property dispute or action to protect trade secrets and know-how may have an adverse effect on our business, operating results, and financial condition. Adverse determinations in any litigation may subject us to significant liabilities to third parties, require us to seek licenses from third parties, and prevent us from developing and selling its products. Any of these situations could have an adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

We are dependent on third-party suppliers for certain software which is embedded in some of our products. Although we believe that the functionality provided by software which is licensed from third parties is obtainable from multiple sources or could be developed by us if any such third-party licenses were terminated or not renewed or if these third parties fail to develop new products in a timely manner, we could be required to develop an alternative approach to developing its products, which could require payment of additional fees to third parties, internal development costs and delays and might not be successful in providing the same level of functionality. Such delays, increased costs, or reduced functionality could adversely affect our business, operating results, and financial condition.

Competition

The market for our software is intensely competitive, subject to rapid change and significantly affected by new product introductions and other activities of market participants. With the Unify NXJ platform, we compete in the market with providers of application platform suites, integrated services environments and business process management infrastructure software. These competitors include BEA Systems, (BEA), International Business Machines (IBM), Microsoft Corporation (Microsoft), Oracle Corporation (Oracle), FileNet Corporation and Pegasystems. With our NavRisk application, we compete with Computer Sciences Corporation (CSC) and Valley Oak.

The Company generally derives sales from new project initiatives, additional deployment of existing applications and product upgrades. As a result, the key competitive factor is generally the decision by a customer as to whether or not to begin a new project initiative or upgrade or keep things status quo.

As new products and technologies are introduced, increased competition could result in fewer customer orders, reduced prices and reduced gross margins, any one of which could adversely affect our business, operating results, and financial condition. In addition, current and potential competitors may make strategic acquisitions or establish cooperative relationships among themselves or with third parties, thereby increasing the ability of their products to address the needs of our prospective customers. Accordingly, it is possible that new competitors or alliances among current and new competitors may emerge and gain significant market share. Such competition could adversely affect our ability to sell additional licenses and maintenance and support renewals on favorable terms.

Table of Contents

We believe we compare favorably with respect to comprehensiveness of our offerings; ease of use and rich interface; product performance, quality and scalability; customer support and service; and price.

Employees

As of April 30, 2005, we had a total of 60 employees, including 17 in product development, 20 in sales and marketing, 13 in customer support, consulting, and training, and 10 in finance, information systems, operations and general administration. Of these employees, 51 were located in the United States, 8 were located in Europe and 1 was located in Australia.

Our success depends in large part on our ability to attract and retain qualified employees, particularly senior management, engineering, direct sales and support personnel. The competition for such employees is intense. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in attracting or retaining key employees. Any failure we have in attracting and retaining qualified senior management, engineering, direct sales, and support personnel could adversely affect our business, operating results, and financial condition. None of our employees are represented by a collective bargaining agreement, nor have we experienced any work stoppage. We consider our relations with our employees to be good.

Description of Property

Unify's principal administrative offices and headquarters are in Sacramento, California where we lease a 38,000 square foot facility. We also lease sales and support offices in the United Kingdom and France. We believe that our existing facilities are adequate for our needs and that suitable additional or alternative space will be available on commercially reasonable terms as needed.

Legal Proceedings

The Company is subject to legal proceedings and claims that arise in the normal course of business. If such matters arise, the Company cannot assure that it would prevail in such matters, nor can it assure that any remedy could be reached on mutually agreeable terms, if at all. Due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation, were there any such matters, the Company would not be able to accurately predict their ultimate outcome. As of April 30, 2005, there were no current proceedings or litigation involving the Company that management believes would have a material adverse impact on its financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of Unify should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus.

Certain statements set forth below constitute forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on Unify's current expectations, assumptions, estimates and projections about its industry and business and include statements about markets for its software and services, planned development of products and anticipated expense and revenue levels. These forward-looking statements contain words such as anticipate, believe, plan, expect, or similar language. These forward-looking statements are made subject to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and are subject to business and economic risks. Unify's actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in such forward-looking statements as a result of many factors, including those set forth in this discussion and in other documents Unify has filed with the SEC.

Critical Accounting Policies

The following discussion and analysis of the Company's financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets,

Table of Contents

liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent liabilities. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. The areas that require significant judgment are as follows.

Revenue Recognition

The Company generates revenue from software license sales and related services, including maintenance and support, and consulting services. The Company licenses its products to end user customers, independent software vendors (ISVs), international distributors and value added resellers (VARs). The Company's contracts with ISVs, VARs and international distributors do not include special considerations such as rights of return, stock rotation, price protection, special acceptance or warranty provisions. With the exception of its NavRisk product, the Company recognizes revenue for software license sales in accordance with Statement of Position 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition . For the NavRisk product, the Company recognizes revenue for software licenses sales in accordance with Statement of Position 81-1, Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts and Accounting Research Bulletin (ARB) 45, Long-Term Construction Type Contracts . The Company exercises judgment in connection with the determination of the amount of software and services revenue to be recognized in each accounting period. The nature of each licensing arrangement determines how revenues and related costs are recognized.

With the exception of the NavRisk software application, the Company's products are generally sold with a perpetual license. The Company sells the NavRisk software under both perpetual and term licenses. Term licenses allow the customer to use the NavRisk software for a fixed period of time, generally 3 to 5 years, and at the conclusion of the term the customer must cease using the software or purchase a new license term. The customer does not receive any additional software during the license term. Under both perpetual and term licenses the customer can, at their discretion, elect to purchase related maintenance and support on an annual basis.

For software license arrangements that do not require significant modification or customization of the underlying software, revenue is recognized when the software product or service has been shipped or electronically delivered, the license fees are fixed and determinable, uncertainties regarding customer acceptance are resolved, collectibility is probable and persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists.

For arrangements of \$10,000 or more a signed noncancelable license agreement is required for revenue recognition. For arrangements that are less than \$10,000 the Company considers a customer purchase order, a customer purchase requisition, or a sales quotation signed by an officer of the customer to be persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists such that revenue can be recognized.

For software license arrangements that do require significant modification or customization of the underlying software, revenue is recognized based on contract accounting under the provisions of Accounting Research Bulletin (ARB) 45, Long-Term Construction Type Contracts and Statement of Position (SOP) 81-1, Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts . This guidance is followed since contracts with customers purchasing the NavRisk application require significant configuration to the software and the configuration activities are essential to the functionality of the software. The Company is using the completed-contract method for revenue recognition as it has limited experience determining the accuracy of progress-to-completion estimates for installation hours and project milestones. Under the completed-contract method, revenue is recognized when the software product or service has been shipped or electronically delivered, the license fees are fixed and determinable, uncertainties regarding customer acceptance are resolved, collectibility is probable and persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists. Project costs incurred for contracts in progress are deferred and reflected on the Balance Sheet as Contracts in Progress. As of January 31, 2006 Contracts in Progress was \$116,000. When a contract is completed, revenue is recognized and deferred costs are expensed. The

Table of Contents

Company anticipates it will switch to the percentage-of-completion method to recognize NavRisk revenue when it is capable of accurately establishing progress-to-completion estimates for the NavRisk contracts.

The Company's customer contracts include multi-element arrangements that include a delivered element (a software license) and undelivered elements (such as maintenance and support and/or consulting). The value allocated to the undelivered elements is unbundled from the delivered element based on vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE) of the fair value of the maintenance and support and/or consulting, regardless of any separate prices stated within the contract. VSOE of fair value is defined as (i) the price charged when the same element is sold separately, or (ii) if the element has not yet been sold separately, the price for the element established by management having the relevant authority when it is probable that the price will not change before the introduction of the element into the marketplace. The Company then allocates the remaining balance to the delivered element (a software license) regardless of any separate prices stated within the contract using the residual method as the fair value of all undelivered elements is determinable.

We defer revenue for any undelivered elements, and recognize revenue for delivered elements only when the fair values of undelivered elements are known, uncertainties regarding customer acceptance are resolved, and there are no customer-negotiated refund or return rights affecting the revenue recognized for delivered elements. If we cannot objectively determine the fair value of any undelivered element included in bundled software and service arrangements, we defer revenue until all elements are delivered and services have been performed, or until fair value can objectively be determined for any remaining undelivered elements.

An assessment of the ability of the Company's customers to pay is another consideration that affects revenue recognition. In some cases, the Company sells to undercapitalized customers. In those circumstances, revenue recognition is deferred until cash is received, the customer has established a history of making timely payments or the customer's financial condition has improved. Furthermore, once revenue has been recognized, the Company evaluates the related accounts receivable balance at each period end for amounts that we believe may no longer be collectible. This evaluation is largely done based on a review of the financial condition via credit agencies and historical experience with the customer. Any deterioration in credit worthiness of a customer may impact the Company's evaluation of accounts receivable in any given period.

Revenue from support and maintenance activities, which consist of fees for ongoing support and unspecified product updates, are recognized ratably over the term of the maintenance contract, typically one year, and the associated costs are expensed as incurred. Consulting service arrangements are performed on a "best efforts" basis and are generally billed under time-and-materials arrangements. Revenues and expenses relating to providing consulting services are recognized as the services are performed.

Valuation of Other Investments

At January 31, 2006, we had \$214,000 in long-term investments, which are accounted for under the cost method. We assess the valuation of long-lived assets whenever circumstances indicate that there is a decline in carrying value below cost that is other-than-temporary. Several factors can trigger an impairment review such as significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results and significant negative industry or economic trends. In assessing potential impairment for such investments, we consider these factors as well as the forecasted financial performance. When such decline in value is deemed to be other-than-temporary, we recognize an impairment loss in the current period operating results to the extent of the decline.

Deferred Tax Asset Valuation Allowance

As of January 31, 2006, we have approximately \$21 million of deferred tax assets related principally to net operating loss carry forwards, reserves and other accruals, deferred revenue, and foreign tax credits. A valuation allowance has been recorded to offset these deferred tax assets. The ability of the Company to

Table of Contents

ultimately realize its deferred tax assets will be contingent upon the Company achieving taxable income. There can be no assurance that this will occur in amounts sufficient to utilize the deferred tax assets. Should we determine that we would be able to realize the deferred tax assets in the future in excess of the recorded amount, an adjustment to the deferred tax asset would increase income in the period such determination was made.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment*. This Statement establishes standards for the accounting for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods or services, primarily with respect to transactions in which employee services are obtained in exchange for share-based payment. Statement 123R is effective as of the beginning of the first fiscal year that begins after June 15, 2005. We have not completed the process of evaluating the impact that will result from adopting this pronouncement. The Company is therefore unable to disclose the impact that adopting FASB Statement 123R will have on its financial position and the results of operations when such statement is adopted.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement No. 153, *Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets*, an amendment of APB Opinion No. 29, *Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions*. This statement addresses the measurement of exchanges of nonmonetary assets and redefines the scope of transactions that should be measured based on the fair value of the assets exchanged. Provisions of this Statement are effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005 and were required to be adopted by the Company in the second quarter of fiscal 2006. The adoption of Statement 153 did not have a material impact on our financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

In June 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections* a replacement of APB No. 20 and FAS No. 3. SFAS No. 154 provides guidance on the accounting for and reporting of accounting changes and error corrections. It establishes, unless impracticable, retrospective application as the required method for reporting a change in accounting principle in the absence of explicit transition requirements specific to the newly adopted accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 also provides guidance for determining whether retrospective application of a change in accounting principle is impracticable and for reporting a change when retrospective application is impracticable. The correction of an error in previously issued financial statements is not an accounting change. However, the reporting of an error correction involves adjustments to previously issued financial statements similar to those generally applicable to reporting an accounting change retrospectively. Therefore, the reporting of a correction of an error by restating previously issued financial statements is also addressed by SFAS No. 154. SFAS No. 154 is required to be adopted in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. We do not expect the adoption of this accounting pronouncement to have a material impact on our financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations For the Quarter and Nine Months Ended January 31, 2006**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain financial data (unaudited) as a percentage of total revenue:

	Three Months Ended January 31,		Nine Months Ended January 31,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Revenues:				
Software licenses	42.5%	49.2%	44.1%	46.1%
Services	57.5%	50.8%	55.9%	53.9%
Total revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of revenues:				
Software licenses	3.9%	3.2%	4.5%	3.1%
Services	26.2%	11.8%	17.4%	12.6%
Total cost of revenues	30.1%	15.0%	21.9%	15.7%
Gross profit	69.9%	85.0%	78.1%	84.3%
Operating expenses:				
Product development	31.2%	22.7%	26.5%	24.7%
Selling, general and administrative	67.8%	85.2%	62.1%	80.5%
Total operating expenses	99.0%	107.9%	88.6%	105.2%
Loss from operations	(29.1)%	(22.9)%	(10.5)%	(20.9)%
Other income, net	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%	0.4%
Loss before income taxes	(28.5)%	(21.8)%	(10.2)%	(20.5)%
Provision for income taxes	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Net loss	(28.5)%	(21.9)%	(10.2)%	(20.6)%

Revenues

Total revenues for the fiscal 2006 quarter ended January 31, 2006 were \$2.4 million compared to total revenues for the third quarter of fiscal 2005 which were \$3.0 million. Software license revenue for the quarter ended January 31, 2006 was \$1.0 million compared to \$1.5 million for the same quarter of the prior year. In the third quarter of the fiscal 2006 the Company experienced a general softness in the market in all geographic regions resulting in a decrease in software license revenue compared to previous quarters. Contributing to the decrease in software license revenue was the fact that for the third quarter of fiscal 2006 the Company had only one high dollar software license contract included in revenue while in prior quarters the Company has generally had several high value software license contracts. Services revenue was \$1.4 million for the quarter ended January 31, 2006 and \$1.5 million for the same quarter of the prior year. Included in the fiscal 2006 software license revenue was \$0.3 million from NavRisk,

the primary product of Unify's Insurance Risk Management division.

Total revenues for the nine months ended January 31, 2006 decreased by 8% to \$7.8 million from the same period of the prior year when total revenues were \$8.5 million. For the nine months ended January 31, 2006 software licenses were \$3.4 million and services revenue was \$4.4 million. For the same period in the prior year software licenses revenue was \$3.9 million and services revenue was \$4.6 million. For the nine months ended January 31, 2006 total revenue from NavRisk was \$0.8 million which included \$0.5 million in software license revenue and \$0.3 million in services revenue.

Table of Contents***Cost of Revenues***

Cost of software licenses consists primarily of product packaging and production costs as well as the amortization of royalties and license fees paid for licensed technology. Cost of software licenses was \$0.1 million for both the three months ended January 31, 2006 and the three months ended January 31, 2005. For the nine months ended January 31, 2006 the cost of software licenses was \$0.4 million compared to \$0.3 million for the same period in the prior year. Cost of software licenses as a percent of software licenses revenues for the nine months ended January 31, 2006 was 10.3% as compared to 6.7% for the same period of fiscal 2005. The increase in the cost of software licenses was the result of an increase in amortization of purchased software licenses in fiscal year 2006. Costs associated with royalties and other direct production costs are expensed as incurred at the time of the sale and purchased technology from third parties is amortized ratably over their expected useful life.

Cost of services consists primarily of employee, facilities and travel costs incurred in providing customer support under software maintenance contracts and consulting services as well as costs associated with providing customization and implementation services for NavRisk contracts. Total cost of services was \$0.6 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2006 and \$0.4 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2005. Total cost of services was \$1.4 million for the nine months ended January 31, 2006 compared to \$1.1 million for the same period of the prior year. Cost of services as a percent of services revenues was 31% for the nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 23% for the same period of fiscal 2005. The increase in cost of services for the nine months ended January 31, 2006 was primarily the result of an increase in the number of personnel providing customization and implementation services in support of NavRisk contracts. In the prior year the Company did not have NavRisk as a product line until February 2005.

Cost of revenues for NavRisk is comprised of both costs associated with providing consulting services and costs for contracts that were completed in accordance with the completed contract method of accounting. Prior to contract completion, costs are deferred and reflected on the Balance Sheet as Contracts in Progress. When a contract is completed, revenue is recognized and deferred costs are expensed. For the three months ended January 31, 2006 costs of revenues for NavRisk was \$0.3 million.

Product Development

Product development expenses consist primarily of employee and facilities costs incurred in the development and testing of new products and in the porting of new and existing products to additional hardware platforms and operating systems. Product development costs were \$0.8 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2006 and \$0.7 million for the same period in the prior year. Product development costs as a percentage of total revenues were 26% for the nine months ended January 31, 2006 compared to 25% in the same period of fiscal 2005.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses consist primarily of salaries and incentive pay, marketing programs, travel expenses, professional services, facilities expenses and bad debt expense or recoveries. SG&A expenses were \$1.6 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2006 compared to \$2.5 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2005. As a percentage of total revenue, SG&A expenses were 68% in the third quarter of fiscal 2006 and 85% in the third quarter of fiscal 2005. The \$0.9 million reduction in SG&A was primarily the result of reductions in sales personnel and marketing expenses. The largest change was in sales expenses which decreased by \$0.5 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2006 compared to the same period in fiscal 2005. The major components of SG&A for the third quarter of fiscal 2006 were sales expenses of \$0.8 million, marketing expenses of \$0.2 million and general and administrative expenses of \$0.6 million.

Provision for Income Taxes

No federal or state tax provisions were recorded in the three and nine month periods ended January 31, 2006, as the Company has significant net operating loss carryforwards.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations For the Years Ended April 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003**

The following table sets forth our consolidated statement of operations expressed as a percentage of total revenues for the periods indicated:

	Years Ended April 30,		
	2005	2004	2003
Revenues:			
Software licenses	46.0%	51.2%	48.4%
Services	54.0	48.8	51.6
Total revenues	100.0	100.0	100.0
Cost of revenues:			
Software licenses	3.0	5.0	2.2
Services	11.8	10.9	9.3
Total cost of revenues	14.8	15.9	11.5
Gross profit	85.2	84.1	88.5
Operating expenses:			
Product development	24.9	25.1	33.7
Selling, general and administrative	81.6	65.7	52.6
Write-down of other investments	0.0	1.5	1.6
Total operating expenses	106.5	92.3	87.9
Income (loss) from operations	(21.3)	(8.2)	0.6
Other income (expense), net	0.4	(0.2)	0.1
Income (loss) before income taxes	(20.9)	(8.4)	0.7
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	0.0	0.1	0.3
Net income (loss)	(20.9)%	(8.5)%	1.0%

Total Revenues

The Company generates revenue from software license sales and related services, including maintenance and support, and consulting services. We license our software through our direct sales force in the United States and Europe, and through indirect channels comprised of distributors, ISVs, VARs, and other partners worldwide. Revenues from our distributor, ISV and VAR indirect channels accounted for approximately 51%, 62%, and 54% of our software license revenues for fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. International revenues include all our software license and service revenues from customers located outside North America. International revenues accounted for 65%, 68%, and 54% of total revenues in fiscal years 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Total revenues in fiscal 2005 were \$11.3 million, a decrease of \$0.6 million or 5% from fiscal 2004 revenues of \$11.9 million. Total software licenses revenues were \$5.2 million, a decrease of \$0.9 million or 15% from fiscal 2004 while total services revenues were \$6.1 million, an increase of \$0.3 million or 5% from fiscal 2004. Total revenues in

fiscal 2004 were \$11.9 million, a decrease of \$0.2 million or 2% from fiscal 2003 revenues of \$12.2 million. Total software license revenues increased by \$0.2 million or 4% from fiscal 2003 while total service revenues decreased \$0.5 million or 7% from fiscal 2003.

For fiscal 2005, the decrease in software license revenues of \$0.9 million as compared to fiscal 2004 consists of new product revenues from our application products of \$0.1 million, offset by a \$0.2 million or 24% decrease in NXJ licenses, and a \$0.8 million or 15% decrease in the database and tools products. The expected revenue growth from Unify NXJ did not materialize in fiscal 2005 as we had limited success attracting new customers, despite multiple NXJ product awards and customer success stories. We did

Table of Contents

experience customer wins from the Company's existing installed base of IT and ISV customers and expect to see this trend continue during fiscal 2006. The decrease in database and tools product licenses year over year is subject to the timing of product upgrades, end user customers purchasing additional runtime licenses or upgrading hardware, and the health of our partner's business and the number of runtime licenses generated from sales of their applications. Based upon the performance of the database and tools products for the last three years, we anticipate these revenues will continue to decline in fiscal 2006, but at a reduced rate as a result of an improved database and tools focused sales strategy and centralized, stronger sales management for all territories. We expect revenue from the application product families (NavRisk and ViaMode) to become significant over the next several years and eventually offset the database and tools revenue declines.

For fiscal 2005, software license revenues from North America increased to 33% of total software licenses, up from 29% of total software licenses in fiscal 2004. Software license revenues from North America in absolute dollars were flat at \$1.7 million. Software license revenues from our European territory were \$2.3 million, a decrease of \$0.2 million or 6%. Software license revenues from our distribution territories were \$1.1 million, down \$0.8 million or 43% from fiscal 2004 representing 80% of the total software license revenues decrease for fiscal 2005. The majority of the distribution territories decrease can be attributed to the Russian territory, with historical performance that is typically very strong every other year. In fiscal 2004, the territory had a strong performance followed by a moderate performance in fiscal 2005. Because of the significance of the distribution territory and the dramatic impact on the company's financial performance, we centralized the management of all the non-European distributors back to corporate headquarters with the expectation of reversing this year over year decline. For fiscal 2004, software license revenues were \$6.1 million, an increase of \$0.2 million or 4% from \$5.9 million in fiscal 2003. Software license revenues from North America decreased to 29% of total software licenses, down from 49% of total software licenses in fiscal 2003. Software license revenues from North America were \$1.8 million, a decrease of 38% from fiscal 2003. These decreases in software license revenues in North America were from the decline in database and tools product licenses year over year as those revenues are subject to the timing of product upgrades and customers purchasing additional runtime licenses and the sales team was focused on sales of Unify NXJ. Sales of Unify NXJ from North America did substantially increase in fiscal 2004, but the absolute dollars did not offset the decrease in database and tools product licenses during the year. Software license revenues from outside of North America were \$4.3 million, an increase of 44% from fiscal 2003. This increase was primarily from an increase in the database and tools products revenues with the largest contribution coming from the Russian territory.

For fiscal 2005, consulting and training revenues were \$0.6 million, an increase of \$0.3 million or 100% as compared to fiscal 2004 as the Company provided additional consulting services implementing NXJ projects for North American customers. Consulting revenues for fiscal 2004 were \$0.3 million and \$0.7 million in fiscal 2003. In fiscal 2005, we renewed approximately 79% of our maintenance agreements, consistent with the previous years. Maintenance revenues remained consistent at \$5.5 million for fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003. The changes in total services revenue were entirely attributable to the changes in consulting revenues for fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003.

Cost of Revenues

Cost of Software Licenses. Cost of software licenses consists primarily of product packaging and production costs as well as the amortization of royalties and license fees paid for licensed technology. Cost of software licenses was \$0.3 million for fiscal 2005, \$0.6 million for fiscal 2004 and \$0.3 million for fiscal 2003. The increase noted in fiscal 2004 was the result of higher third party royalties paid and the amortization of purchased technology. Costs associated with royalties and other direct production costs are expensed as incurred at the time of the sale and purchased technology from third parties are amortized ratably over their expected useful lives.

Cost of Services. Cost of services consists primarily of employee, facilities and travel costs incurred in providing customer support under software maintenance contracts and consulting services. Total cost of services was \$1.3 million for fiscal 2005, \$1.3 million for fiscal 2004 and \$1.1 million for fiscal 2003. The

Table of Contents

increase in fiscal 2004 from fiscal 2003 was the result of additional costs to support NXJ customers. Cost of services generally has a high component of fixed costs and therefore does not fluctuate directly with changes in services revenues. Our cost of services as a percent of services revenues in fiscal 2005 was 22% as compared to 22% in fiscal 2004 and 18% in fiscal 2003. We continue to carefully monitor and strive to improve the efficiency of our support, consulting and training operations. In fiscal 2006, we expect to expand our consulting and implementation teams as our application product customer wins increase. As a result, our service costs will increase and, as there is generally a period of time between when additional consulting personnel are hired and when they become fully productive, our results of operations may be adversely affected by the expansion of our services teams.

Operating Expenses

Product Development. Product development expenses consist primarily of employee and facilities costs incurred in the development and testing of new products and in the porting of new and existing products to additional hardware platforms and operating systems. Product development costs were \$2.8 million in fiscal 2005, \$3.0 million in fiscal 2004 and \$4.1 million in fiscal 2003. The decrease in fiscal 2004 was primarily the result of a reduction in the work force in June 2003 as the Company reduced its product development costs as part of a restructuring designed to afford increased investments in sales and marketing, particularly for Unify NXJ. Product development costs as a percentage of total revenues were 25% in fiscal 2005 and 2004 and 34% in fiscal 2003. The Company believes that investments in product development are critical to maintaining technological leadership and therefore intends to continue to devote significant resources to product development in line with typical software industry averages.

Selling, General and Administrative. Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses consist primarily of salaries and incentive pay, marketing programs, travel expenses, professional services, facilities expenses and bad debt expense or recoveries. SG&A expenses were \$9.2 million in fiscal 2005, \$7.8 million for 2004 and \$6.4 million for 2003. As a percentage of total revenue, SG&A expenses were 82% in fiscal 2005, 66% in fiscal 2004 and 53% in fiscal 2003. However, included in fiscal 2005 SG&A expenses are \$1.1 million of non-operating expenses for the North American and UK sales management teams and the conversion of the UK subsidiary to a sales office. As of April 30, 2005, the Company had a remaining severance accrual balance of \$57,000 which was paid during the first quarter of fiscal 2005. The major components of SG&A for fiscal 2005, net of the non-operating expenses, were sales expenses of \$4.8 million, marketing expenses of \$1.2 million and general and administrative expenses of \$2.2 million. Sales expenses increased by \$0.2 million, net of \$0.7 million of restructuring and severance charges. Marketing expenses increased by \$0.6 million as a result of increased spending in lead generation and analyst relations for the NXJ products. General and administrative expenses decreased by \$0.5 million, net of \$0.4 million of professional services fees for restructuring the Unify UK subsidiary to a more normalized expense level. For fiscal 2004, the major components of SG&A were sales expenses of \$4.5 million, marketing expenses of \$0.6 million, and general and administrative expenses of \$2.7 million. Sales expenses increased \$1.0 million from fiscal 2003 as the Company increased its business development activities, hired a new Vice President of sales and marketing, and increased its direct sales force and telemarketing staff to focus on increasing sales of Unify NXJ. Marketing expenses were flat during the same period. General and administrative expenses increased by \$0.6 million fiscal 2004 compared to fiscal 2003, primarily due to increases in professional fees associated with legal and accounting.

Write-down of Other Investments. We continue to periodically review the recorded value of our investments. In fiscal 2004, we reduced the carrying value of our investment in Arango Software International, Inc. from \$350,000 to its estimated fair value of \$175,000. During fiscal 2003, we recorded impairment charges of \$0.2 million related to Arango and Evergreen Internet, Inc. We record an investment impairment charge if and when we believe an investment has experienced a decline in market value that is other than temporary. Future adverse changes in market conditions or poor operating results of Arango could result in losses or an inability to recover the carrying value of the investment, thereby possibly requiring additional impairment charges in the future.

Table of Contents

Other Income (Expense). Other income (expense), net consists primarily of foreign exchange gains and losses, interest earned by the Company on our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments offset by interest expense incurred on debt. Other income (expense) was \$44,000, (\$27,000) and \$3,000 in fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Provision for Income Taxes. For fiscal 2005, we recorded state income expense of \$8,000 and with no federal or foreign tax provisions due to reported net losses. For fiscal 2004, we recorded a foreign income tax expense of \$9,000 and a federal tax benefit of \$6,000 for federal income tax refunds from prior periods related to NOL carry backs. For fiscal 2003, we recorded a foreign income tax benefit as a result of refunds applied for and a minimal state and federal tax benefit. At April 30, 2005, we had net operating losses for federal tax purposes of approximately \$49.1 million.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At January 31, 2006, the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$2.7 million compared to \$3.7 million at April 30, 2005. Working capital was \$0.4 million as of January 31, 2006 and \$0.8 million as of April 30, 2005.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2006 the Company renewed its loan agreement with the Silicon Valley Bank. The agreement provides for a \$1.0 million revolving line of credit and for term loans up to \$250,000 for the purchase of qualifying equipment. As of January 31, 2006, the Company had \$0.7 million outstanding under the line of credit and \$0.2 million in available credit based upon eligible assets at that date. As of January 31, 2006, the company had a term loan balance of \$0.1 million.

A summary of certain contractual obligations as of January 31, 2006, is as follows (in thousands):

Contractual Obligations	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		1 Year or Less	2-3 Years	4-5 Years	After 5 Years
Short-term borrowings	\$ 675	\$ 675	\$	\$	\$
Long-Term Debt	130	125	5		
Capital Lease Obligations	12	7	5		
Other Long-Term Liabilities	68				68
Operating Leases	2,135	958	1,168	9	
Total Contractual Cash Obligations	\$ 3,020	\$ 1,765	\$ 1,178	\$ 9	\$ 68

Operating Cash Flows. Cash flows used by operations were \$1.5 million for the first nine months of fiscal 2006, compared to a usage of cash for operations of \$2.7 million for the first nine months of fiscal 2005. For the nine months ended January 31, 2006, operating cash was provided by a decrease in prepaid expenses of \$0.1 million and an increase in deferred revenue of \$0.2 million. Operating cash was used as a result of increases in accounts receivable of \$0.2 million and contracts in progress of \$0.1 million and decreases in accounts payable of \$0.6 million, accrued compensation of \$0.1 million and other accrued liabilities of \$0.4 million.

In fiscal 2005, we had negative cash flows from operations totaling \$2.4 million. This compares to fiscal 2004 and 2003 where cash flows from operations were negative \$0.1 million and positive \$0.4 million, respectively. The negative operating cash flow for fiscal 2005 principally resulted from \$2.4 million in net loss, a \$0.3 million increase in other accrued liabilities, a \$0.2 million decrease in deferred revenue, a \$0.1 million increase in accrued compensation, and a \$0.1 million increase in prepaid expenses and other expenses. Offsetting these amounts was a \$0.4 million decrease in accounts receivable, a \$0.1 increase in accounts payable and \$0.2 million in depreciation.

In fiscal 2004, we had negative cash flows from operations totaling \$0.1 million. The negative operating cash flow for fiscal 2004 principally resulted from \$1.0 million in net loss, offset by both a \$0.6 million increase in other accrued liabilities, and a \$0.3 million increase in deferred revenue. Other

Table of Contents

factors included \$0.2 million in depreciation, \$0.2 million write-down of other investments, \$0.1 million in stock-based expense, together with a \$0.2 million increase in accounts receivable, a \$0.2 million increase in prepaid expenses and offset by a net \$0.1 million increase in accounts payable and accrued compensation.

In fiscal 2003, we had positive cash flows from operations totaling \$0.4 million. The positive operating cash flow for fiscal 2003 principally resulted from \$0.1 million in net income, a \$1.1 million decrease in amounts owed by customers, net of a \$0.6 million decrease in accrued liabilities and a \$0.6 decrease in deferred revenue. Other factors include \$0.2 million in depreciation, \$0.2 million write-down of other investments, \$0.1 in stock compensation, together with a \$0.1 million decrease in prepaid expenses and offset by a \$0.1 million decrease in accounts payable and a \$0.1 million decrease in accrued compensation.

Investing Cash Flows. For the nine months ended January 31, 2006 investing activities used cash of \$0.1 million for the purchase of property and equipment. Net cash and cash equivalents used by investing activities approximated \$0.7 million for fiscal 2005. Net cash and cash equivalents used by investing activities approximated \$0.2 million in fiscal 2004 and \$0.2 million in fiscal 2003. The use of cash by investing activities for fiscal 2005 was \$0.2 million for capital expenditures, and \$0.5 million for the purchase of Acuitrek. The use of cash by investing activities for fiscal 2004 and 2003 was \$0.2 million of capital expenditures for both years.

Financing Cash Flows. Cash provided by financing activities in the first nine months of fiscal 2006 was \$0.7 million which was primarily the result of a net borrowings on the Company's line of credit of \$.7 million, proceeds from issuance of common stock from stock option exercises and purchases of common stock under the employee stock purchase plan of \$0.1, offset by payments made on debt obligations of \$0.2 million. Cash provided by financing activities in fiscal 2005 was \$0.1 million, \$3.8 million in fiscal 2004 and cash used in financing activities was \$0.4 million in fiscal 2003. The cash provided in 2005 was the result of \$0.1 million from the proceeds of the issuance of common stock from stock options exercises and purchases under the employee stock purchase plan with principal payments and borrowings under debt obligations offsetting each other. The cash provided in fiscal 2004 was the result of \$3.8 million from the proceeds of the issuance of common stock from a private financing closed in April 2004, the issuance of common stock from stock options exercises, and purchases under the employee stock purchase plan. Cash was also provided by the collection of notes receivable from a stockholder of \$0.1 million with principal payments and borrowings under debt obligations offsetting each other. The cash used in fiscal 2003 was the result of \$0.3 million decrease in amounts payable to minority stockholders, a \$0.2 million repayment of debt obligations and \$0.1 million received for the issuance of our common stock.

The Company's cash flow also reflects a decrease of \$0.1 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2006 as a result of the effect of currency exchange rates related to international operations.

CERTAIN COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Dividends

We have never paid dividends on our common stock.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Unify maintains two compensation plans that provide for the issuance of its Common Stock to officers, directors and employees. These consist of the 2001 Stock Option Plan (the "Option Plan") and the 1996 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "Purchase Plan"), which have been approved by the stockholders. The 1991 Stock Option Plan, which had a ten-year life, has expired and has therefore ceased to be available for new grants. In fiscal 2003, the Unify board adopted, without a need for shareholder approval, the 2002 Director Restricted Stock Plan (the "Director Restricted Stock Plan") as part of a program to provide compensation they felt was necessary to attract, retain and reward members of their board of directors who were willing to provide the time and energy that we believe is required to meet our

Table of Contents

business goals. The following table sets forth information regarding outstanding options and shares reserved for future issuance under the foregoing plans as of April 30, 2005:

Plan Category	Number of Shares to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b)	Number of Shares Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Shares Reflected in Column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders(1)	1,924,310	\$ 0.42	1,320,295
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders:			
Director Restricted Stock Plan(2)	365,284	\$ 0.94	134,716
Non-Registered Options(3)	308,957	\$ 0.44	0

(1) Comprised of shares reserved for future issuance under the Purchase Plan (496,376 shares) and the Option Plan (823,919 shares). Since April 30, 2005, an additional 258,308 shares have been granted under the Option Plan and the Purchase Plan.

(2) There are 500,000 shares authorized under the Director Restricted Stock Plan, of which 365,284 shares were awarded and outstanding on April 30, 2005. Since April 30, 2005, an additional 115,403 shares have been granted to Unify's non-employee directors.

(3) In fiscal 2003, the board of directors authorized the issuance of non-registered non-plan stock options for individual senior level executive recruitment.

Material Features of Director Restricted Stock Plan

On May 1, 2002, Unify established the Director Restricted Stock Plan as part of a compensation program designed to attract and retain independent members for its board of directors. The maximum aggregate number of shares of common stock that may be issued under the Director Restricted Stock Plan is 500,000. In May, each independent director is granted a fully vested restricted stock award for the number of shares which is equal to \$10,000 divided by the fair market value of a share of stock at the award date. There were 42,556 and 100,000 shares awarded in the fiscal 2005 and 2004 under this plan, respectively, and an additional 115,403 shares awarded during fiscal 2006.

Material Features of Individual Non-Registered Stock Options

As of April 30, 2005, the Unify board granted options to purchase up to 735,000 shares of Unify common stock under individual, non-plan option agreements. During fiscal 2006 options were granted to purchase an additional 225,000 shares of Unify common stock under individual, non-plan option agreements. The options and shares issuable under such agreements are restricted securities under the Securities Act and may not be issued or sold except under an effective registration statement or an applicable exemption there from. The non-plan option agreements contain substantially similar terms as options issued under Unify's 2001 Stock Option Plan.

**CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL
DISCLOSURE**

At a meeting held on December 15, 2004, Unify dismissed the firm of Ernst and Young LLP (Ernst and Young) as its Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm effective December 15, 2004. In addition, the board of directors approved the engagement of Grant Thornton LLP (Grant Thornton) as its Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the fiscal year ending April 30, 2005. The Audit Committee of the board of directors approved the change in Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms on December 15, 2004.

143

Table of Contents

The reports of Ernst and Young on Unify's financial statements for the two fiscal years ended April 30, 2004 did not contain an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion and were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles.

In connection with the audits of Unify's financial statements for each of the two fiscal years ended April 30, 2004 through the date of dismissal, there were no disagreements with Ernst and Young on any matters of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope and procedures which, if not resolved to the satisfaction of Ernst and Young, would have caused Ernst and Young to make reference to the matter in their report.

During the two fiscal years ended April 30, 2004, and through December 15, 2004, Unify did not consult Grant Thornton regarding the application of accounting principles to a specific completed or contemplated transaction, or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on Unify's financial statements.

On December 15, 2004, pursuant to the approval of the Audit Committee of Unify's board of directors, Unify engaged Grant Thornton LLP (Grant Thornton) to serve as its independent auditors. During the fiscal year ended April 30, 2004 and during the subsequent period through December 15, 2004, Unify did not consult with Grant Thornton on any accounting or auditing issues.

COMPARISON OF STOCKHOLDER RIGHTS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MATTERS

Unify is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware and Halo is incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada. The holders of shares of Unify common stock, whose rights as shareholders are currently governed by Delaware law, Unify's certificate of incorporation, and Unify's bylaws, will, pursuant to the merger, become holders of Halo common stock, and their rights as stockholders will be governed by Nevada law, Halo's articles of incorporation, and the bylaws of Halo. The material differences between the rights of holders of Unify common stock and the rights of holders of shares of Halo common stock, which are summarized below, result from differences in Delaware and Nevada law and the governing corporate documents of the two companies.

The following summary does not purport to be a complete statement of the rights of holders of shares of Halo common stock under applicable Nevada law, Halo's articles of incorporation, and Halo's bylaws or a comprehensive comparison with the rights of the holders of shares of Unify common stock under Delaware law, Unify's articles of incorporation, and Unify's bylaws, or a complete description of the specific provisions referred to herein. The identification of specific differences is not meant to indicate that other equally or more significant differences do not exist. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Delaware General Corporation Law and the Nevada Revised Statutes and the governing corporate documents of Halo and Unify, to which holders of shares of Unify are referred. See the section entitled "Where You Can Find More Information" at page 154.

Size of Board of Directors

Delaware law permits the board of directors of a Delaware corporation to change the authorized number of directors by amendment to the corporation's bylaws or in the manner provided in the bylaws, unless the number of directors is fixed in the corporation's certificate of incorporation, in which case a change in the number of directors may be made only by amendment to the certificate of incorporation. The Unify bylaws provide that the authorized number of directors constituting the board shall be four, and shall be subject to change as set from time to time pursuant to a resolution approved by a majority of the board of directors then in office.

Under Nevada law, a corporation may provide in its articles of incorporation or bylaws for a fixed number of directors or a variable number of directors within a fixed minimum and maximum and for the manner in which the number of directors may be increased or decreased. Halo's articles of incorporation and bylaws provide that the number of directors constituting the board shall be not less than one and not

Table of Contents

more than thirteen and the articles of incorporation state that the number of directors may from time to time be increased or decreased in such manner as will be provided by the bylaws.

Cumulative Voting

In an election under cumulative voting, each share of stock normally having one vote is entitled to a number of votes equal to the number of directors to be elected. A stockholder may then cast all such votes for a single candidate or may allocate them among as many candidates as the stockholder may choose, up to the number of directors to be elected. Without cumulative voting, the holders of a majority of the shares present at an annual meeting or any special meeting held to elect directors would have the power to elect all the directors to be elected at that meeting, and no person would be elected without the support of holders of a majority of the shares voting at such meeting.

Under Delaware law, cumulative voting in the election of directors is not available unless specifically provided for in a corporation's certificate of incorporation. The Unify certificate of incorporation does not provide for cumulative voting.

Under Nevada law, cumulative voting in the election of directors is only available if the corporation's articles of incorporation provide for such an election. Halo's articles of incorporation do not provide for cumulative voting.

Power to Call Special Stockholders Meeting

Under Delaware law, a special meeting of stockholders may be called by the board of directors or any other person authorized to do so in the corporation's certificate of incorporation or bylaws. The Unify bylaws provide that special meetings of stockholders may be called by the president of Unify, and by the board of directors.

Nevada law provides that, unless otherwise provided in the corporation's articles of incorporation or bylaws, the entire board of directors, any two directors or the president may call special meetings of stockholders. Halo's bylaws provide that special meetings of stockholders may be called at any time by the holders of 10% of the voting shares of Halo, or by the president, or by the board of directors or a majority thereof.

Dissolution

Under Delaware law, a dissolution must be approved by all the stockholders entitled to vote or the dissolution must be initiated by the board of directors and approved by the holders of a majority of the outstanding voting shares of the corporation.

Under Nevada law, if the directors recommend dissolution to the stockholders, the corporation must then notify each stockholder entitled to vote on dissolution and the stockholders entitled to vote must approve the dissolution. Action by the stockholders on such a matter is approved if at a meeting of the stockholders the number of votes cast in favor of the action exceeds the number of votes cast in opposition to the action.

Removal of Directors

Under Delaware law, any director or the entire board of directors may be removed with or without cause by the holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote unless the certificate of incorporation provides otherwise. Unify's certificate of incorporation does not provide otherwise.

Under Nevada law, a director may be removed by the vote of the holders of not less than two-thirds of the voting power of the voting stock, subject to certain restrictions concerning cumulative voting. However, a Nevada corporation may include in its articles of incorporation a provision requiring the approval of a larger percentage of the voting power to remove a director. The Halo articles of incorporation do not provide for a larger percentage of voting power to remove a director.

Table of Contents

Filling Vacancies on the Board of Directors

Under Delaware law, vacancies on the board of directors and newly created directorships may be filled by a majority of the directors then in office, even though less than a quorum, unless otherwise provided in the certificate of incorporation or bylaws of the corporation or the certificate of incorporation directs that a particular class is to elect such director, in which case any other directors elected by such class, or a sole remaining director, shall fill such vacancy.

Under Nevada law, all vacancies, including those caused by an increase in the number of directors, may be filled by a majority of the remaining directors, even though less than a quorum, unless otherwise provided in the articles of incorporation.

The Halo bylaws, like the Unify bylaws, provide that vacancies may be filled by a majority of the remaining directors though less than a quorum.

Voting Requirements to Amend Charter Documents and Bylaws

Under Delaware law, unless otherwise specified in a Delaware corporation's certificate of incorporation, an amendment to the certificate of incorporation requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the outstanding stock entitled to vote thereon. Furthermore, under Delaware law, the holders of the outstanding shares of a class are entitled to vote as a class upon any proposed amendment to the certificate of incorporation, whether or not entitled to vote thereon by the provisions of the corporation's certificate of incorporation, if the amendment would increase or decrease the aggregate number of authorized shares of such class, increase or decrease the par value of the shares of such class, or alter or change the powers, preferences or specific rights of the shares of such class so as to adversely affect them. The Unify certificate of incorporation does not contain any provision modifying the statute with respect to amendments to the certificate of incorporation.

Delaware law provides that the power to amend the bylaws shall be in the stockholders, provided that a corporation may in its certificate of incorporation confer the power to amend the bylaws upon the directors. Delaware law also provides that granting the directors the power to amend the bylaws in no way impairs or limits the power to amend the bylaws conferred upon the stockholders by statute. The Unify certificate of incorporation expressly authorizes the board of directors to amend the bylaws.

Under Nevada law, any amendment to the articles of incorporation must be proposed by the board of directors and submitted to the stockholders for approval by the holders of a majority of the outstanding stock entitled to vote thereon, and if such amendments would increase or decrease the number of authorized shares of any class or series or the par value of such shares or would adversely affect the shares of such class or series, the holders of the outstanding shares of a class or series so affected shall be entitled to vote as a class or series to approve the amendment. The articles of incorporation may require, in the case of any specified amendments, the vote of a larger proportion of the voting power of stockholders. Although Halo's articles of incorporation do not require such a vote of a larger proportion of the voting power of the stockholders for amendments that do not adversely affect any series of preferred stock, Halo's articles of incorporation provide that no provision of the terms of the Series C Preferred Stock and Series D Preferred Stock, respectively, may be amended, modified or waived as to each such respective series without the approval of the holders of at least fifty-one percent (51%) of the then outstanding shares of each such respective series.

Under Nevada law, unless otherwise prohibited by any bylaw adopted by the stockholders, directors may adopt, amend or repeal any bylaw, including any bylaw adopted by the stockholders. Nevada law also provides that the articles of incorporation may grant the authority to adopt bylaws exclusively to the directors. The Halo articles of incorporation and bylaws give both the Halo board and the shareholders the power to may amend, alter, or repeal the bylaws.

Table of Contents

Inspection of Stockholders List

Delaware law allows any stockholder to inspect the stockholder list for a purpose reasonably related to such person's interest as a stockholder.

Under Nevada law, a corporation must allow a stockholder who has been a stockholder of record of the corporation for at least six months or any stockholder (or authorized representative) holding at least fifteen percent of a corporation's shares the right, upon at least five days' written demand, to inspect, in person or by an agent, during normal business hours, the books of account and financial records of the corporation, to make extracts therefrom and to conduct an audit of such records, except any corporation listed and traded on any recognized stock exchange or any corporation that furnishes to its stockholders a detailed, annual financial statement is exempt from this requirement.

Dividends

Subject to any restrictions contained in a corporation's certificate of incorporation, Delaware law generally provides that a corporation may declare and pay dividends out of surplus or, when no surplus exists, out of net profits for the fiscal year in which the dividend is declared and/or for the preceding fiscal year. Surplus is defined as net assets minus stated capital. Dividends may not be paid out of net profits if the capital of the corporation is less than the amount of capital represented by the issued and outstanding stock of all classes having a preference upon the distribution of assets.

Except as otherwise provided in the corporation's articles of incorporation, Nevada law authorizes a corporation to make distributions to its stockholders as authorized by its board of directors; provided, however, the corporation may not make such a distribution if (i) the corporation would not be able to pay its debts as they become due in the usual course of business, or (ii) unless otherwise specifically provided in the corporation's articles of incorporation, the corporation's total assets would be less than the sum of its total liabilities plus any amount owed, if the corporation were to be dissolved at the time of distribution, to stockholders with preferential rights superior to those receiving the distribution.

Transactions Involving Officers or Directors

A Delaware corporation may lend money to, or guarantee any obligation incurred by, its officers or directors if, in the judgment of the board of directors, such loan or guarantee may reasonably be expected to benefit the corporation. With respect to any other contract or transaction between the corporation and one or more of its directors or officers, such transactions are neither void nor voidable if either:

the director's or officer's interest is made known to the disinterested directors or the stockholders of the corporation, who thereafter approve the transaction in good faith, or

the contract or transaction is fair to the corporation as of the time it is approved or ratified by either the board of directors, a committee thereof, or the stockholders.

Pursuant to the Section 13(k) of the Exchange Act, an issuer (as defined by Section 12 of the Exchange Act) may not, directly or indirectly, extend or maintain credit, arrange for the extension of credit, or renew an existing extension of credit, in the form of a personal loan to or for a director or executive officer is thus barred from lending money to, or guaranteeing any obligation incurred by, its officers or directors.

Under Nevada law, there is no corresponding provision with respect to loans or guarantees. A contract or transaction, under Nevada law, between a corporation and one or more of its directors or officers, or between a corporation and any other corporation, partnership, association, or other organization in which one or more of its directors or officers are directors or officers, or have a financial interest, shall not be void or voidable solely for that reason, or solely because the director or officer was present at or

Table of Contents

participated in the meeting of the board or committee thereof which authorized the contract or transaction, or solely because his or her vote was counted for such purpose, if:

the interest of the officer or director is known to the board of directors or committee, and the board or committee approves the transaction in good faith without counting the vote of the interested director,

the interest of the officer or director is known to the stockholders, and they approve the transaction in good faith by a majority vote of stockholders holding a majority of the voting power,

the interest of the officer or director is not known to the officer or director at the time the transaction is brought before the board of directors of the corporation for action, or

the transaction is fair as to the corporation at the time it is authorized or approved.

The bylaws of Halo forbid a loan by the corporation to an officer or director unless it is first approved by the holders of two-thirds of the voting shares, and the corporation may not make a loan secured by its shares. Loans of up to \$25,000 per individual may be made to officers or directors for moving expenses.

Limitation of Liability of Directors and Indemnification

Under Delaware law, a corporation may include in its certificate of incorporation a provision that would, subject to the limitations described below, eliminate or limit directors' liability for monetary damages for breaches of their fiduciary duty of care. Under the Delaware law, a director's liability cannot be eliminated or limited:

for breaches of the duty of loyalty,

for acts or omissions not in good faith or that involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law,

for the payment of unlawful dividends or expenditure of funds for unlawful stock purchases or redemptions, or

for transaction from which such director derived an improper personal benefit.

Pursuant to Unify's certificate of incorporation and under Delaware law, directors of Unify are not liable to Unify or its stockholders for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty, except for liability in connection with a breach of duty of loyalty, for acts or omissions not in good faith or which involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law, for dividend payments or stock repurchases illegal under Delaware law or any transaction in which a director has derived an improper personal benefit.

Under Nevada law, a director or officer is not individually liable to the corporation or its stockholders for any damages as a result of any act or failure to act in his or her capacity as a director or officer unless it is proven that such act or failure to act constituted a breach of fiduciary duties as a director or officer; and the breach of those duties involved intentional misconduct, fraud or a knowing violation of law. Such provisions, however, will not eliminate a director or officer's liability to the corporation in the case of a judgment of ouster rendered against a corporation on account of the misconduct of the director or officer, a violation of Nevada state securities laws, or certain other violations of law.

Under Section 78.7502 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, a corporation may indemnify any person who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending or completed action, suit or proceeding, except an action by or in the right of the corporation, by reason of the fact that such person is or was a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation, against expenses, including attorneys' fees, judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred in connection with the action, suit or proceeding, but only if such person did not breach his or her fiduciary duties in a manner involving intentional misconduct, fraud or a knowing violation of law, or acted in good faith and in a manner which he or she reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation, and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe his

Table of Contents

or her conduct was unlawful. A corporation may indemnify any person who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending or completed action or suit by or in the right of the corporation to procure a judgment in its favor by reason of the fact that such person is or was a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation against expenses, including amounts paid in settlement and attorneys' fees actually and reasonably incurred in connection with the defense or settlement of the action or suit if such person did not breach his or her fiduciary duties in a manner involving intentional misconduct, fraud or a knowing violation of law or acted in good faith and in a manner which he or she reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation.

Nevada law further provides that indemnification may not be made for any claim, issue or matter as to which such a person has been adjudged to be liable to the corporation or for amounts paid in settlement to the corporation, unless and only to the extent that the court determines the person is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnity for such expenses as the court deems proper. Nevada law provides for mandatory indemnification of a director, officer, employee or agent of a corporation to the extent that such person has been successful on the merits or otherwise in defense of any action, suit or proceeding referred to in this paragraph against expenses, including attorneys' fees, actually and reasonably incurred by him in connection with the defense.

Halo's bylaws and articles of incorporation provide for indemnification of directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted by Nevada law. The Halo bylaws also provide for the advancement of indemnified expenses.

Business Combinations/ Reorganizations

A provision of Delaware law prohibits certain transactions between a Delaware corporation and an interested stockholder. An interested stockholder for purposes of this Delaware law provision is a stockholder that is directly or indirectly a beneficial owner of fifteen percent or more of the voting power of the outstanding voting stock of a Delaware corporation, or its affiliate or associate. This provision prohibits certain business combinations between an interested stockholder and a corporation for a period of three years after the date the interested stockholder acquired its stock unless:

the business combination is approved by the corporation's board of directors prior to the stock acquisition date;

the interested stockholder acquired at least 85% of the voting stock of the corporation in the transaction in which such stockholder became an interested stockholder; or

the business combination is approved by a majority of the board of directors and the affirmative vote of two-thirds of disinterested stockholders.

Unify has not opted out of this provision through its certificate of incorporation, in accordance with such provision of Delaware law.

Sections 78.411 to 78.444 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, inclusive, restrict the ability of a resident domestic corporation to engage in any combination with an interested stockholder for three years after the interested stockholder's date of acquiring the shares that cause such stockholder to become an interested stockholder unless the combination or the purchase of shares by the interested stockholder on the interested stockholder's date of acquiring the shares that cause such stockholder to become an interested stockholder is approved by the board of directors of the resident domestic corporation before that date. If the combination was not previously approved, the interested stockholder may effect a combination after the three-year period only if such stockholder receives approval from a majority of the disinterested shares or the offer meets various fair price criteria. For purposes of the foregoing provisions, resident domestic

Table of Contents

corporation means a Nevada corporation that has 200 or more stockholders and interested stockholder means any person, other than the resident domestic corporation or its subsidiaries, who is:

the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of ten percent or more of the voting power of the outstanding voting shares of the resident domestic corporation; or

an affiliate or associate of the resident domestic corporation and at any time within three years immediately before the date in question was the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of ten percent or more of the voting power of the outstanding shares of the resident domestic corporation.

The above provisions do not apply to any combination involving a resident domestic corporation:

whose original articles of incorporation expressly elect not to be governed by Sections 78.411 to 78.444 of Nevada law, inclusive;

which does not, as of the date of acquiring shares, have a class of voting shares registered with the SEC under Section 12 of the Securities Act, unless the corporation's articles of incorporation provide otherwise;

whose articles of incorporation were amended to provide that the corporation is subject to the above provisions and which did not have a class of voting shares registered under Section 12 of the Securities Act on the effective date of such amendment, if the combination is with an interested stockholder whose date of acquiring shares is before the effective date of such amendment; or

that amends its articles of incorporation, approved by a majority of the disinterested shares, to expressly elect not to be governed by Sections 78.411 to 78.444 of Nevada law, inclusive. Such an amendment, however, would not become effective until eighteen months after its passage and would apply only stock acquisitions occurring after the effective date of the amendment. The Halo articles of incorporation do not exempt Halo from the restrictions imposed by such provisions of Nevada law.

Sections 78.378 to 78.3793 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, inclusive, provide in effect that a person acquiring a controlling interest in an issuing corporation, and those acting in association with such person, obtain only such voting rights in the control shares as are conferred by stockholders (excluding such acquiring and associated persons) holding a majority of the voting power of the issuing corporation. For purposes of the foregoing provisions, issuing corporation means a corporation organized in Nevada which has 200 or more stockholders of record, at least 100 of whom have addresses in Nevada on the corporation's stock ledger, and does business in Nevada directly or through an affiliate, and controlling interest means the ownership of outstanding voting shares enabling the acquiring person to exercise (either directly or in association with others) one-fifth or more but less than one-third, one-third but less than a majority, or a majority or more of the voting power of the issuing corporation in the election of directors. Accordingly, the provisions could require multiple votes with respect to voting rights in share acquisitions effected in separate stages.

The above provisions do not apply to an acquisition of a controlling interest if the articles of incorporation or bylaws of the issuing corporation in effect on the tenth day following the acquisition of such controlling interest provide either specifically or generally that the provisions do not apply to such acquisitions. The provisions are also inapplicable to shares acquired pursuant to a statutory merger (such as the merger) effected pursuant to Nevada law or by operation of law such as inheritance or the enforcement of a judgment or security interest.

Depending on the issuing corporation's articles and bylaws in effect on the tenth day following the applicable controlling interest acquisition, the issuing corporation may have rights to redeem the shares so acquired, and its stockholders may have dissenters' rights with respect to the approval of voting rights equivalent to those described under Appraisal or Dissenters' Rights below and set forth in Annex D.

Table of Contents

Appraisal or Dissenters' Rights

Under the Delaware General Corporation Law, dissenters' rights of appraisal are available to a stockholder of a corporation only in connection with some mergers or consolidations involving that corporation. Appraisal rights are not available under the Delaware General Corporation Law if the corporation's stock is either:

listed on a national securities exchange or designated as a national market system security on an interdealer quotation system by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc., or

held of record by more than 2,000 stockholders; except that appraisal rights will be available if the merger or consolidation requires stockholders to exchange their stock for anything other than:

shares of the surviving corporation,

shares of another corporation that will be listed on a national securities exchange, designated as a national market system security on an interdealer quotation system by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. or held of record by more than 2,000 stockholders, or

cash in place of fractional shares.

Additionally, no appraisal rights are available if the corporation is the surviving corporation, and no vote of its stockholders is required for the merger.

A stockholder of a Nevada corporation, with certain exceptions, has the right to dissent from, and obtain payment of the fair value of his shares in the event of:

a merger or consolidation to which the corporation is a party,

consummation of a plan of exchange to which the corporation is a party as the corporation whose shares will be acquired, if the stockholder is entitled to vote on the plan, and

any corporate action taken pursuant to a vote of the stockholders to the extent that the articles of incorporation, bylaws or a resolution of the board of directors provides that voting or non-voting stockholders are entitled to dissent and obtain payment for their shares.

Under Nevada law unless a corporation's articles of incorporation provide otherwise, a stockholder does not have dissenters' rights with respect to a plan of merger or share exchange if the shares held by the stockholder are either registered on a national securities exchange, or designated as a national market system security on an interdealer quotation system by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc., or held of record by 2,000 or more stockholders. A stockholder of record of a Nevada corporation may dissent as to less than all the shares registered in his name only if he dissents with respect to all shares beneficially owned by any one person and notifies the corporation in writing of the name and address of each person on whose behalf he asserts dissenters' rights. In such event, the stockholder's rights will be determined as if the shares to which he dissented and his other shares were registered in the names of different stockholders.

Rights Plan

Under both Delaware and Nevada law, every corporation may create and issue rights entitling the holders of such rights to purchase from the corporation shares of its capital stock of any class or classes, subject to provisions in its charter documents. The price and terms of such shares must be stated in the charter documents or in a resolution adopted by the board of directors for the creation or issuance of such rights.

Table of Contents

DESCRIPTION OF HALO SECURITIES

Common Stock

Halo is registering shares of common stock, par value \$0.00001. Halo has authorized 150,000,000 shares of common stock. The holders of Halo common stock:

are subject to the rights of the holders of Halo's preferred stock, have equal ratable rights to dividends from funds legally available if and when declared by Halo's board of directors;

are entitled to share ratably in all of Halo's assets available for distribution to holders of common stock in the event of a liquidation, dissolution or winding up of Halo's affairs;

do not have preemptive, subscription or conversion rights and there are no redemption or sinking fund provisions or rights; and

are entitled to one non-cumulative vote per share on all matters on which stockholders may vote.

Preferred Stock

Halo also has authorized 50,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.00001 per share (Preferred Stock). Halo's board of directors is authorized to issue shares of such Preferred Stock in series, to establish and change the number of shares constituting any series and to provide for and change the voting powers, designations, preferences, redemption prices, conversion rights and liquidation preferences of any such series, subject to limitations prescribed by law and Halo's Articles of Incorporation.

Currently, there are shares of Series C Preferred Stock (Series C Stock) and Series D Preferred Stock (Series D Stock) outstanding. Halo had previously issued Series A Preferred Stock, Series B Preferred Stock and Series B-2 Preferred Stock, but these series have been converted into common stock.

The Series C Stock has the following material terms:

The Series C Stock is convertible into Halo common stock, at the option of the holder, at a conversion price (the Series C Stock Applicable Conversion Price) that will initially be equal to \$1.00. Accordingly, the Series C Stock is convertible into Halo common stock at a one to one (1:1) ratio. However, the ratio is subject to adjustment pursuant to the anti-dilution protections extended to the holders of Series C Stock. Under the anti-dilution provisions, in the event Halo issues, at any time while shares of Series C Stock are still outstanding, shares of Halo common stock or any type of securities convertible or exchangeable for, or otherwise giving a right to acquire, shares of Halo common stock, at a price below the Series C Stock Applicable Conversion Price, then the Series C Stock Applicable Conversion Price will be adjusted to the price per share equal to the price per share paid for such Halo common stock in such subsequent financing. This full-ratchet anti-dilution protection on the Series C Stock will also be extended to any warrants received in connection with the Series C Subscription Agreements dated January 31, 2005 that are outstanding at such time. In addition to the full-ratchet protection, the Applicable Conversion Price will be equitably adjusted in the event of any stock split, stock dividend or similar change in Halo's capital structure.

If Halo's market capitalization based on the shares of Halo common stock outstanding (including all shares of Halo common stock underlying the Shares of Series C Stock on an as converted basis) exceeds \$50,000,000, the shares of Halo common stock underlying the Series C Stock are registered, and Halo has an average daily trading volume for 20 consecutive trading days of 100,000 shares per day, then Halo may require the holders of Series C Stock to convert the Series C Stock into Halo common stock at the then Series C Stock Applicable Conversion Price.

The holders of shares of Series C Stock will be entitled to receive dividends, at a 6% annual rate, payable quarterly in arrears, either in cash, or at the election of Halo, in shares of Halo common

Table of Contents

stock. The dividends are preferred dividends, payable in preference to any dividends which may be declared on Halo common stock. Halo common stock delivered in payment of dividends will be valued at 90% of the average of the volume weighted average price for the 20 trading day period ending on the trading day immediately prior to the date set for payment of the dividend.

Any unconverted and non-redeemed Shares of Series C Stock outstanding on the third anniversary of the initial issuance of the Series C Stock, will be automatically redeemed on that date, in cash, at \$1.00 per share, plus all accrued but unpaid dividends thereon (subject to equitable adjustment for all stock splits, stock dividends, or similar events involving a change in the capital structure of Halo).

In the event of any liquidation of Halo, the Series C Stock will receive an amount equal to the Series C Face Amount (as defined in the Certificate of Designations designating the Series C Stock), plus all accrued but unpaid dividends thereon, prior to any amounts being distributed to any junior series of Preferred Stock or to Halo common stock holders. After payment of all liquidation preferences to all holders of Preferred Stock, including the Series C Stock, the entire remaining available assets, if any, shall be distributed among the holders of Halo common stock, the holders of Series C Stock, and any other class or series of Preferred Stock entitled to participate with Halo common stock in a liquidating distribution, in proportion to the shares of Halo common stock then held by them and the shares of Halo common stock which they then have the right to acquire upon conversion of such shares of Preferred Stock held by them.

Holders of Series C Stock have the pre-emptive right to participate in offerings of Halo common stock, or securities convertible into or exercisable for Halo common stock, based on the holders' pro-rata share, on an as-converted basis, of the number of shares of outstanding Series C Stock, plus the portion, if any, of such offering as to which other holders of Series C Stock have elected to not exercise this pre-emptive right.

The Series D Stock has the following material terms:

The Series D Stock will be convertible into Halo common stock, at the option of the holder, at a conversion price (the Series D Stock Applicable Conversion Price) that will initially be equal to \$1.10. Accordingly, the Series D Stock is convertible into Halo common stock at a ratio equal to the quotient obtained by dividing the sum of the Series D Face Amount (as defined in the Certificate of Designations designating the Series D Stock) plus any accrued but unpaid dividends by the Series D Applicable Conversion Price, in effect at the time of conversion. However, the ratio is subject to adjustment pursuant to the anti-dilution protections extended to the holders of Series D Stock. Under the anti-dilution provisions, in the event Halo issues, at any time while shares of Series D Stock are still outstanding, shares of Halo common stock or any type of securities convertible or exchangeable for, or otherwise giving a right to acquire, shares of Halo common stock, at a price below the Series D Applicable Conversion Price, then the Series D Applicable Conversion Price will be adjusted to the price per share equal to the price per share paid for such Halo common stock in such subsequent financing. In addition to the full-ratchet protection, the Series D Applicable Conversion Price will be equitably adjusted in the event of any stock split, stock dividend or similar change in Halo's capital structure.

If Halo's market capitalization based on the shares of Halo common stock outstanding (including all shares of Halo common stock underlying the Shares of Series D Stock on an as converted basis) exceeds \$50,000,000, the shares of Halo common stock underlying the Series D Stock are registered, and Halo has an average daily trading volume for 20 consecutive trading days of 100,000 shares per day, then Halo may require the holders of Series D Stock to convert the Series D Stock into Halo common stock at the then Series D Stock Applicable Conversion Price.

The holders of shares of Series D Stock will be entitled to receive dividends, at a 13% annual rate, payable quarterly in arrears beginning on March 21, 2006, either in cash, or at the election of Halo, in shares of Halo common stock. The dividends are preferred dividends, payable in preference to

Table of Contents

any dividends which may be declared on the Series A 8% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, the Series B 10% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, the Series C Convertible Preferred Stock and Halo common stock. Halo common stock delivered in payment of dividends will be valued at 90% of the average of the volume weighted average price for the 20 trading day period ending on the trading day immediately prior to the date set for payment of the dividend.

Any unconverted and non-redeemed Shares of Series D Stock outstanding on the third anniversary of the initial issuance of the Series D Stock, will be automatically redeemed on that date, in cash, at an amount per share equal to the sum of the Series D Face Amount, as adjusted, plus all accrued but unpaid dividends thereon (subject to equitable adjustment for all stock splits, stock dividends, or similar events involving a change in the capital structure of Halo).

In the event of any liquidation of Halo, the Series D Stock will receive an amount equal to the Series D Face Amount, plus all accrued but unpaid dividends thereon, prior to any amounts being distributed to any other series of Preferred Stock or to Halo common stock holders. After payment of all liquidation preferences to all holders of Preferred Stock, including the Series D Stock, the entire remaining available assets, if any, shall be distributed among the holders of Halo common stock, the holders of Series D Stock, and any other class or series of Preferred Stock entitled to participate with Halo common stock in a liquidating distribution, in proportion to the shares of Halo common stock then held by them and the shares of Halo common stock which they then have the right to acquire upon conversion of such shares of Preferred Stock held by them.

Halo's Articles of Incorporation and bylaws contain provisions, such as the authorization of the undesignated Preferred Stock and prohibitions on cumulative voting in the election of directors, which could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire Halo.

Unless waived by the Unify board of directors, it is a condition to the closing of the Merger that that the shares of Series C Stock and Series D Stock convert into common stock of Halo prior to the effective time of the merger.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Legal Matters

The legality of the shares is being passed upon for Halo by Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard. It is a condition to the consummation of the merger that Day, Berry and Howard LLP, tax counsel to Halo, and DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary US LLP, counsel to Unify, will issue an opinion that the merger will be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code.

Experts

The consolidated financial statements of Warp Technology Holdings, Inc. as of June 30, 2005 and 2004 and for the years then ended appearing herein have been audited by Mahoney Cohen & Company, CPA, P.C., independent registered public accounting firm, as set forth in their report thereon included herein. Such consolidated financial statements are included herein in reliance upon such report given on the authority of such firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

The financial statements of Tesseract Corporation as of June 30, 2005 and 2004 and for the years then ended appearing herein have been audited by Mahoney Cohen & Company, CPA, P.C., independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report thereon included herein. Such financial statements are included herein in reliance upon such report given on the authority of such firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

The combined financial statements of Process Software, LLC, and Affiliates as of June 30, 2005 and 2004 and for the years then ended appearing herein have been audited by Mahoney Cohen & Company,

Table of Contents

CPA, P.C., independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report thereon included herein. Such combined financial statements are included herein in reliance upon such report given on the authority of such firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

The consolidated financial statements of Unify Corporation as of April 30, 2004 and for the years ended April 30, 2004 and 2003, included in the Proxy Statement of Unify Corporation, which is referred to and made a part of the Halo Technology Holdings, Inc. Prospectus and Registration Statement, have been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, as set forth in their report appearing elsewhere herein, and are included in reliance upon such report given on the authority of such firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

The consolidated financial statements of Unify as of April 30, 2005 and for the year then ended, appearing herein, have been audited by Grant Thornton, independent registered public accounting firm, as set forth in their report thereon included herein. Such consolidated financial statements are included herein in reliance upon such report given on the authority of such firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

Stockholder Proposals

Unify tentatively anticipates that its next annual meeting of stockholders will take place [in late fall 2006], but the annual meeting will not be held if the merger is completed before that time. The deadline for submitting a stockholder proposal for inclusion in Unify's proxy statement and form of proxy for Unify's 2006 annual meeting of stockholders, as calculated pursuant to Rule 14a-8 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is . If a stockholder intends to submit a proposal at the Unify 2006 annual meeting, which proposal is not to be considered for inclusion in Unify's proxy statement and form of proxy relating to such meeting, Unify must receive proper written notice on or before . Stockholders are also advised to review Unify's bylaws, which contain additional requirements with respect to advance notice of stockholder proposals and director nominations. To be included in the proxy materials relating to the next Unify annual meeting, all proposals must have been received at Unify's principal executive offices on or before the above mentioned date.

Where You Can Find More Information

Reports, proxy statements and other information concerning may be Halo inspected at:

Halo Technology Holdings, Inc.

Attn: Investor Relations
200 Railroad Avenue, Third Floor
Greenwich, Connecticut 06830
Telephone: (203) 422-2950

Requests for documents relating to Halo should be directed to:

Halo Technology Holdings, Inc.

Attn: Investor Relations
200 Railroad Avenue, Third Floor
Greenwich, Connecticut 06830
Telephone: (203) 422-2950

Reports, proxy statements and other information concerning Unify may be inspected at:

Unify Corporation

Attn: Investor Relations
2101 Arena Blvd., Suite 100
Sacramento, California 95834
Telephone: (916) 928-6400

Requests for documents relating to Unify should be directed to:

Unify Corporation

Attn: Investor Relations
2101 Arena Blvd., Suite 100
Sacramento, California 95834
Telephone: (916) 928-6400

Alternatively, you may access these reports or documents from Halo's and Unify's websites at the following URLs: Unify: www.unify.com and Halo: www.haloholdings.com.

Unify and Halo each file reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Copies of their respective reports, proxy statements and other information may be read and copied at the Securities and Exchange Commission's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The

Securities and Exchange Commission also maintains a

155

Table of Contents

website that contains reports, proxy statements and other information regarding each of us. The address of the Securities and Exchange Commission website is <http://www.sec.gov>.

Halo will mail without charge to any holder of Halo common stock, upon written request, a copy of its annual report on Form 10-KSB, including the financial statements, schedules and list of exhibits. If you are a holder of Halo common stock and you would like to receive a copy of any exhibits listed in Halo's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, you should submit a request in writing to Halo at the address indicated above, and Halo will provide you with such exhibits upon the payment of a nominal fee (which fee will be limited to the expenses Halo incurs in providing you with the requested exhibits).

Halo has filed a registration statement under the Securities Act with the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to Halo's common stock to be issued to Unify stockholders in the merger. This proxy statement/ prospectus constitutes the prospectus of Halo filed as part of the registration statement. All information in this proxy statement/ prospectus regarding Halo has been furnished by Halo, and Halo is responsible for such information. All information in this proxy statement/ prospectus regarding Unify has been furnished by Unify, and Unify is responsible for such information. Halo represents that it has taken reasonable care to ensure that this prospectus does not make any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements herein not misleading. This proxy statement/ prospectus does not contain all of the information set forth in the registration statement because certain parts of the registration statement are omitted as provided by the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. You may inspect and copy the registration statement at any of the addresses listed above.

This proxy statement/ prospectus, the articles of incorporation and the most recent annual report of Halo, will be available, without charge, at the offices of Halo, 200 Railroad Avenue, Third Floor, Greenwich, Connecticut 06830.

You should rely only on the information contained in this proxy statement/ prospectus to vote to adopt the merger agreement. Halo and Unify have not authorized anyone to provide you with information that is different from what is contained in this proxy statement/ prospectus. This proxy statement/ prospectus is dated [], 2006. You should not assume that the information contained in this proxy statement/ prospectus is accurate as of any date other than [], 2006, and neither the mailing of the proxy statement/ prospectus to Unify stockholders nor the issuance of Halo common stock in the merger shall create any implication to the contrary.

This document does not constitute an offer to sell, or a solicitation of an offer to purchase, the Halo common stock or the solicitation of a proxy, in any jurisdiction to or from any person to whom or from whom it is unlawful to make the offer, solicitation of an offer or proxy solicitation in that jurisdiction. Neither the delivery of this proxy statement/ prospectus nor any distribution of securities means, under any circumstances, that there has been no change in the information set forth in this document or in its affairs since the date of this proxy statement/ prospectus.

Information if Proxies, Consents or Authorizations are not to be Solicited or in an Exchange Offer

Halo is not soliciting proxies from its stockholders, no stockholder consent of Halo stockholders is required for completion of the merger. Unify is soliciting proxies from its stockholders in connection with the merger.

MISCELLANEOUS

[Outside Back Cover of Prospectus]

Until [**25 days after effective date**], all dealers that effect transactions in these securities, whether or not participating in this offering, may be required to deliver a prospectus. This is in addition to the dealers' obligation to deliver a prospectus when acting as underwriters and with respect to their unsold allotments or subscriptions.

Table of Contents

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

WARP Technology Holdings, Inc.

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2005 and 2004</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended June 30, 2005 and 2004</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity</u>	F-6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended June 30, 2005 and 2004</u>	F-9
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the Year Ended June 30, 2005</u>	F-10
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2005 and June 30, 2005</u>	F-36
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 and the Six Months Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004</u>	F-37
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004</u>	F-38
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005</u>	F-40

Tesseract Corporation

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-63
<u>Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2005</u>	F-64
<u>Statements of Income for the Years Ended June 30, 2005 and 2004</u>	F-65
<u>Statements of Shareholders' Deficit for the Years Ended June 30, 2005 and 2004</u>	F-66
<u>Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended June 30, 2005 and 2004</u>	F-67
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	F-68

Process Software, LLC and Affiliates

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-75
<u>Combined Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2005</u>	F-76
<u>Combined Statements of Operations for the Years Ended June 30, 2005 and 2004</u>	F-77
<u>Combined Statements of Members' and Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended June 30, 2005 and 2004</u>	F-78
<u>Combined Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended June 30, 2005 and 2004</u>	F-79
<u>Notes to Combined Financial Statements</u>	F-80

Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Statements of Warp Technologies Holdings, Inc.

Reflecting Acquisition of Tesseract, Process Software and Affiliates

<u>Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2005</u>	F-89
<u>Notes to Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet</u>	F-92
<u>Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations for Three Months Ended September 30, 2005</u>	F-93
<u>Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations for Year Ended June 30, 2005</u>	F-95
<u>Notes to Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations</u>	F-96

Table of Contents

Unify Corporation	F-98
<u>Report of Grant Thornton LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-99
<u>Report of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-100
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of April 30, 2005 and April 30, 2004</u>	F-101
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended April 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003</u>	F-102
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity</u>	F-103
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended April 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003</u>	F-104
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the Year Ended April 30, 2005</u>	F-105
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of January 31, 2006 and April 30, 2005</u>	F-124
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended January 31, 2006 and 2005 and the Nine Months Ended January 31, 2006 and 2005</u>	F-125
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended January 31, 2006 and 2005</u>	F-126
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the Period Ended January 31, 2006</u>	F-127
Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements of Halo Technologies Holdings	
Reflecting Acquisition of Unify Corporation	F-136
<u>Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2005</u>	F-138
<u>Notes to Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet</u>	F-139
<u>Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations for the Six Months Ended December 31, 2005</u>	F-141
<u>Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations for the Year Ended June 30, 2005</u>	F-142
<u>Notes to Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations</u>	F-143
Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements of Halo Technologies Holdings	
Reflecting Acquisition of Unify and InfoNow	F-144
Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2005	F-147
Notes to Pro Forma Consolidated Balance Sheet	F-148
Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations for the Six Months Ended December 31, 2005	F-151
Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations for Year Ended June 30, 2005	F-152
Notes to Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Statements	F-153

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of
WARP Technology Holdings, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of WARP Technology Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company has determined that it is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of WARP Technology Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2005 and 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Mahoney Cohen & Company, CPA, P.C.

New York, New York

August 12, 2005, except for Note 21 paragraphs 29 through 33 which are

As of September 12, 2005 and paragraphs 34 and 35 which are as of September 20, 2005

Table of Contents**WARP Technology Holdings, Inc.
Consolidated Balance Sheets**

	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2004
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,548,013	\$ 115,491
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$30,845 and \$0 respectively	2,024,699	117,847
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	409,496	29,878
Total current assets	3,982,208	263,216
Property and equipment, net	223,025	36,312
Deferred financing costs, net	476,876	
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$756,064 and \$277,083	15,678,736	252,917
Goodwill	7,055,264	3,893,294
Investment and other assets	884,379	
Total assets	\$ 28,300,488	\$ 4,445,739
Liabilities and stockholders equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 872,433	\$ 672,105
Accrued expenses	3,752,731	336,496
Deferred revenue	3,392,896	155,826
Deferred compensation		444,000
Due to ISIS	1,293,534	
Total current liabilities	9,311,594	1,608,427
Subordinate note	2,317,710	
Senior note	6,446,750	
Other long term liabilities	43,275	
Total liabilities	18,119,329	1,608,427
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock (Canadian subsidiary)	2	4
Cumulative convertible preferred stock, Series B; \$.00001 par value; (2,915 shares issued and outstanding with liquidation value of \$2,915,100 at June 30, 2004)		2,915,100
Shares to be issued, cumulative, convertible Preferred stock of Series B (393 shares June 30, 2004)		392,939
Series C Preferred Stock: \$.00001 par value; 16,000,000 shares authorized, 14,193,095 issued and outstanding (Liquidation value \$14,193,095) at June 30, 2005	14,193,095	
	212,897	

Shares of Common Stock to be issued for accrued dividends on Series C Preferred Stock		
Common stock, \$.00001 par value; 150,000,000 shares authorized, 3,110,800 and 971,115 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	31	10
Additional paid-in capital	59,431,331	40,122,777
Deferred compensation	(970,711)	(891,833)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(105,262)	(4,990)
Accumulated deficit	(62,580,224)	(39,696,695)
Total stockholders' equity	10,181,159	2,837,312
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 28,300,488	\$ 4,445,739

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-4

Table of Contents

WARP Technology Holdings, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Operations

	Year Ended June 30, 2005	Year Ended June 30, 2004
Revenue		
Licenses	\$ 2,986,752	\$ 705,697
Services	2,137,170	176,424
Total revenues	5,123,922	882,121
Cost of revenue		
Cost of licenses	151,051	340,267
Cost of services	396,490	85,067
Total cost of revenues	547,541	425,334
Gross Profit	4,576,381	456,787
Product development	1,589,099	811,725
Sales, marketing and business development	3,652,117	2,310,055
General and administrative (including non-cash compensation of \$1,542,686 and \$6,007,255, respectively)	4,988,765	8,468,385
Late filing penalty	1,033,500	
Intangible impairment	62,917	
Goodwill impairment	3,893,294	
Loss before interest	(10,643,311)	(11,133,378)
Interest (expense) income	(4,631,683)	63,073
Net loss before income taxes	(15,274,994)	(11,070,305)
Income taxes	(97,945)	
Net Loss	\$ (15,372,939)	\$ (11,070,305)
Computation of loss applicable to common shareholders		
Net loss before beneficial conversion and preferred dividends	\$ (15,372,939)	\$ (11,070,305)
Beneficial conversion and preferred dividends	(7,510,590)	(1,623,046)
Loss attributable to common stockholders	\$ (22,883,529)	\$ (12,693,351)
Basic and diluted net loss per share attributable to common stockholders	\$ (11.97)	\$ (16.58)
Weighted-average number common shares basic and diluted	1,912,033	765,510

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

WARP Technology Holdings, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity

	Canadian Convertible Preferred		Convertible Preferred Series B-2		Convertible Preferred Series B	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount
BALANCE JUNE 30, 2003	15,000	\$ 15		\$		\$
Issuance of common stock to a Consultant						
Conversion of Series A to Series B stock					976	975,940
Issuance of Series B shares and Warrants					3,706	3,705,780
Cost in connection with issuance						
Warrant exchange program						
Issuance of common stock						
Cost in connection with issuance						
Amortization of stock options						
Forfeited stock options						
Issuance of common stock to a Consultant						
Issuance of common stock						
Warrants issued to investors						
Penalties on Series B stock					73	73,115
Dividends on Series B stock					60	60,000
Conversion of Series B stock					(1,900)	(1,899,735)
Shares issued to employees						
Beneficial Conversion						
Foreign currency						
Canadian conversion of preferred stock	(10,736)	(11)				
Net Loss for the year ended June 30, 2004						
BALANCE JUNE 30, 2004	4,264	4			2,915	2,915,100
Canadian conversion of preferred stock	(2,554)	(2)				
Issuance of Series B-2 shares			1,600	1,600		
Conversion of Series B-2 shares			(1,600)	(1,600)		
Issuance cost						
Accrued dividends on Series B Stock						
Stock dividends on Series B stock						
Beneficial conversion						
Warrants issued to consultant						
Options issued to Isis						
Amortization of stock options						
Forfeiture of stock options						
Issuance of common stock relating to settlements						
Settlements with Mr. Beller and Dr Milch					570	570,000
Mr. Bottazzi separation agreement						
Conversion of Series B-2						

Edgar Filing: Halo Technology Holdings, Inc. - Form S-4

Conversion of Series B	(3,485)	(3,485,100)
Conversion of Series C debt		
Conversion of Bridge loan		
Issuance of Series C shares		
Issuance cost for Series C shares		
Dividends on Series C stock		
Warrants issued to note holders		
Warrants issued to investment bankers		
Warrants issued to consulting firm		
Foreign currency		
Net Loss for the year ended June 30, 2005		

BALANCE	JUNE 30, 2005	1,710	\$	2	\$	\$
----------------	----------------------	-------	----	---	----	----

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-6

Table of Contents

WARP Technology Holdings, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

Convertible Preferred Series C		Shares to be Issued Amount	Common Shares	Stock Amount	Paid in Capital	Deferred Compensation
Shares	Amount					
BALANCE						
JUNE 30, 2003	\$	\$	672,626	\$ 7	\$ 37,659,644	\$ (7,911,000)
Issuance of common stock to a Consultant			50,000	1	949,999	
Issuance of Series A stock and warrants, subsequently converted to Series B stock					(60,000)	
Issuance of Series B shares and Warrants						
Cost in connection with issuance					(368,258)	
Warrant exchange program			44,373		658,858	
Issuance of common stock			16,000		288,000	
Cost in connection with issuance					(28,000)	
Amortization of stock options						3,203,483
Forfeited stock options					(3,815,684)	3,815,684
Issuance of common stock to a Consultant			50,000	1	949,999	
Issuance of common stock			1,302		24,411	
Warrants issued to investors					285,193	
Penalties on Series B stock		202,882				
Dividends on Series B stock		190,057				
Conversion of Series B stock			105,541	1	1,899,734	
Shares issued to employees			20,537		305,881	
Beneficial Conversion					1,372,989	

Foreign Currency					
Canadian conversion of preferred stock		10,736		11	
Net Loss for the year ended June 30, 2004					
BALANCE					
JUNE 30, 2004	\$ 392,939	971,115	10	\$ 40,122,777	\$ (891,833)
Canadian conversion of preferred stock					
		2,555		2	
Issuance of Series B-2 shares					
Conversion of Series B-2 shares	(559,053)	827,874	8	2,159,045	
Issuance cost				(50,000)	
Accrued dividends on Series B Stock					
	166,114				
Dividends on Series B stock					
				2,105,350	
Beneficial conversion Warrants issued to consultant					
				96,000	
Options issued to Isis					
				1,052,919	(1,052,919)
Amortization of stock options					
					647,041
Forfeiture of stock options					
				(327,000)	327,000
Issuance of common stock relating to settlements					
		24,525		105,373	
Settlements with Mr. Beller and Dr Milch					
				40,430	
Mr. Bottazzi separation agreement					
200,000	200,000			300,000	
Conversion of Series B					
		1,284,731	13	3,485,087	
Conversion of Series C debt					
8,559,750	8,559,750				
Conversion of Bridge loan					
2,433,345	2,433,345				
Issuance of Series C shares					
3,000,000	3,000,000				
Issuance cost for series C share					
				(180,000)	
Dividends on Series C stock					
	212,897				
Warrants issued to note holders					
				4,394,500	
				1,023,907	

Warrants issued to investment bankers	
Warrants issued to consulting firm	76,711
Foreign currency	
Net Loss for the year ended June 30, 2005	

BALANCE

JUNE 30, 2005 14,193,095 \$ 14,193,095 \$ 212,897 3,110,800 \$ 31 \$ 59,431,331 \$ (970,711)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-7

Table of Contents

WARP Technology Holdings, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Accumulated Deficit	Annual Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Totals
BALANCE JUNE 30, 2003	\$ 18,773	\$ (27,003,344)	\$	\$ 2,764,095
Issuance of common stock to a Consultant				950,000
Conversion of Series A to Series B stock				915,940
Issuance of Series B shares and Warrants				3,705,780
Cost in connection with issuance				(368,258)
Warrant exchange program				658,858
Issuance of common stock				288,000
Cost in connection with issuance				(28,000)
Amortization of stock options				3,203,483
Forfeited stock options				
Issuance of common stock to a Consultant				950,000
Issuance of common stock				24,411
Warrants issued to investors				285,193
Penalties on Series B stock				275,997
Dividends on Series B stock		(250,057)		
Conversion of Series B stock				
Shares issued to employees				305,881
Beneficial Conversion		(1,372,989)		
Foreign Currency	(23,763)		(23,763)	(23,763)
Canadian Conversion of Preferred Stock				
Net Loss for the year ended June 30, 2004		(11,070,305)	(11,070,305)	(11,070,305)
BALANCE JUNE 30, 2004	(4,990)	(39,696,695)	(11,094,068)	2,837,312
Canadian conversion of preferred stock				
Issuance of Series B-2 shares				1,600,000
Conversion of Series B-2 shares				(50,000)
Issuance cost				
Accrued dividends on Series B Stock		(166,114)		
Dividends on Series B stock		(2,105,350)		
Beneficial conversion		(5,026,230)		
Warrants issued to consultants				96,000
Options issued to Isis				
Amortization of stock options				647,041
Forfeiture of stock options				105,373

Issuance of common stock relating to settlements

Settlements with Mr. Beller and Dr Milch					610,430
Mr. Bottazzi separation agreement					500,000
Conversion of Series B-2					
Conversion of Series B					
Conversion of Series C debt					8,559,750
Conversion of Bridge loan					2,433,345
Issuance of Series C shares					3,000,000
Issuance cost for series C shares					(180,000)
Dividends on Series C stock		(212,897)			
Warrants issued to note holders					4,394,500
Warrants issued to investment bankers					1,023,907
Warrants issued to consulting firm					76,711
Foreign currency	(100,272)		(100,272)		(100,272)
Net Loss for the year ended June 30, 2005		(15,372,939)	(15,372,939)		(15,372,939)
BALANCE JUNE 30, 2005	\$	(105,262)	\$ (62,580,224)	\$	(15,473,211)
				\$	10,181,159

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

WARP Technology Holdings, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	Year Ended June 30, 2005	Year Ended June 30, 2004
Operating activities		
Net loss	\$ (15,372,939)	\$ (11,070,305)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	991,717	241,017
Stock-based compensation, consulting and other fees	1,542,686	6,007,255
Non-cash interest expense	3,323,974	
Goodwill and impairment charges	3,956,211	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities net of effect of acquisition of business:		
Accounts receivable	610,869	(105,398)
Inventory		207,000
Prepaid expenses and other	69,096	48,403
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	230,837	63,956
Deferred revenue	1,261,903	58,002
Deferred compensation payable		(250,000)
Net cash used in operating activities	(3,385,646)	(4,800,070)
Investing activities		
Security deposits		28,115
Gupta acquisition net of cash acquired of \$742,915	(15,007,085)	
Kenosia acquisition deposit	(801,750)	
Purchase of property and equipment	(40,610)	(3,179)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(15,849,445)	24,936
Financing activities		
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock, net of issuance costs	12,191,500	4,682,320
Repayment of bridge loan		(120,000)
Proceeds from subordinated notes	2,500,000	
Proceeds from senior notes	6,075,000	
Net cash provided by financing activities	20,766,500	4,562,320
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(98,887)	(31,759)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	1,432,522	(244,573)
Cash and cash equivalents beginning of year	115,491	360,064
Cash and cash equivalents end of year	\$ 1,548,013	\$ 115,491
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow Information:		
Income tax paid	\$ 241,017	\$ 2,546
Interest paid	\$ 271,250	\$

Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:

Edgar Filing: Halo Technology Holdings, Inc. - Form S-4

For the year ended June 30, 2005, the Company recorded \$212,897 in connection with Series C Convertible Preferred dividends.

In connection with the acquisition of Gupta in 2005, the Company issued \$2,000,000 of Series C note, \$1,500,000 of Subordinated note and \$750,000 of Senior note to the Seller.

For the year ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, the Company recorded \$166,114 and \$392,939 for the issuance of approximately 166 and 393 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Shares in connection with penalties and dividends due to preferred stockholders.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-9

Table of Contents

**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005**

Note 1. Organization, Merger, Description of Business and Basis of Presentation

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc. (collectively with its subsidiaries, the Company) is a Nevada corporation with its principal executive office in Greenwich, Connecticut.

The Company is a holding company whose subsidiaries operate enterprise software and information technology businesses. In addition to holding its existing subsidiaries, the Company's strategy is to pursue acquisitions of businesses which either complement the Company's existing businesses or expand the segments in which the Company operates.

On January 31, 2005, the Company completed the acquisition of Gupta Technologies, LLC (together with its subsidiaries, Gupta). Gupta is now a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, and Gupta's wholly owned subsidiaries, Gupta Technologies GmbH, a German corporation, Gupta Technologies Ltd., a U.K. company, and Gupta Technologies, S.A. de C.V., a Mexican company, have become indirect subsidiaries of the Company.

Gupta develops, markets and supports software products that enable software programmers to create enterprise class applications, operating on either the Microsoft Windows or Linux operating systems that are used in large and small businesses and governmental entities around the world. Gupta's products include a popular database application and a well-known set of application development tools. The relational database product allows companies to manage data closer to the customer, where capturing and organizing information is becoming increasingly critical. This product is designed for applications being deployed in situations where there are little or no technical resources to support and administer databases or applications.

Gupta recently released its Linux product line. Compatible with its existing Microsoft Windows-based product line, the Linux line of products will enable developers to write one application to run in both Microsoft Windows and Linux operating systems.

Gupta has headquarters in California, and has regional office in Munich and sales offices in London and Paris.

Warp Solutions, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, produce a series of application acceleration products that improve the speed and efficiency of transactions and information requests that are processed over the internet and intranet network systems. The subsidiaries' suite of software products and technologies are designed to accelerate network applications, reduce network congestion, and reduce the cost of expensive server deployments for enterprises engaged in high volume network activities.

On November 12, 2004, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K which disclosed the Company's one hundred for one (100:1) reverse stock split. The reverse split became effective on the opening of business on November 18, 2004 and is reflected in the financial statements for all periods presented.

6043577 Canada, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, was established in January 2003 to acquire SpiderSoftware, Inc a Canadian Corporation. Effective January 13, 2003 the Company, through its wholly owned subsidiary 6043577 Canada, Inc acquired SpiderSoftware, Inc.

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of WARP and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, (collectively the Company). All inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Table of Contents

**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)**

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation of property and equipment is provided by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, ranging from three to seven years. Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the lesser of their estimated useful lives or the life of the underlying lease.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Statement of Position (SOP) 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition.

Revenues are derived from the licensing of software, maintenance contracts, training, and other consulting services.

In arrangements that include rights to multiple software products and/or services, the Company allocates and defers revenue for the undelivered items, based on vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value, and recognizes the difference between the total arrangement fee and the amount deferred for the undelivered items as revenue. In arrangements in which the Company does not have vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value of maintenance, and maintenance is the only undelivered item, the Company recognizes the total arrangement fee ratably over the contractual maintenance term.

Software license revenues are recognized upon receipt of a purchase order and delivery of software, provided that the license fee is fixed or determinable; no significant production, modification, or customization of the software is required; and collection is considered probable by management. For licensing of Gupta s software through its indirect sales channel, revenue is recognized when the distributor sells the software to its end-users, including value-added resellers. For licensing of software to independent software vendors, revenue is recognized upon shipment to the independent software vendors.

Service revenue for maintenance contracts is deferred and recognized ratably over the term of the agreement. Revenue from training and other consulting services is recognized as the related services are performed.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue includes costs related to product and service revenue and amortization of acquired developed technology. Cost of product revenue includes material, packaging, shipping, and other production costs. Cost of service revenue includes salaries, benefits, and overhead costs associated with employees providing maintenance and technical support, training, and consulting services. Third-party consultant fees are also included in cost of service revenue.

Shipping and Handling Costs

Costs to ship products from the Company s warehouse facilities to customers are recorded as a component of cost of revenues in the consolidated statement of income.

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)

Reclassification

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2004 financial statements to conform to the 2005 presentation.

Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

Intangible Assets and Goodwill

Intangible assets are primarily comprised of customer relationships, developed technology, trade names and contracts. Goodwill represents acquisition costs in excess of the net assets of businesses acquired. In accordance with SFAS 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets goodwill is no longer amortized; instead goodwill is tested for impairment on an annual basis. The Company assesses the impairment of identifiable intangibles and goodwill whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors the Company considers to be important which could trigger an impairment review include the following:

Significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results;

Significant changes in the manner of use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the overall business; and

Significant negative industry or economic trends.

When the Company determines that the carrying value of intangibles and other long-lived assets may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment and the carrying value of the asset cannot be recovered from projected undiscounted cash flows, the company records an impairment charge. The Company measures any impairment based on a projected discounted cash flow method using a discount rate determined by management to be commensurate with the risk inherent in the current business model. Significant management judgment is required in determining whether an indicator of impairment exists and in projecting cash flows. As of June 30, 2005 the Company determined that the goodwill and intangible assets related to the acquisition of Spider Software were impaired and wrote off \$3,956,211. Intangible assets, subject to amortization, are being amortized over their estimated useful lives of three to ten years.

Concentration of Risk

Cash

The company maintains cash balances at several banks. Accounts at each institution are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation up to \$100,000.

Accounts Receivable

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to significant concentration of credit risk consist primarily of accounts receivable. The Company performs on going credit evaluations of its customers and maintains allowances for potential credit issues. Historically, such losses have been within management's expectations.

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)

Product Development Costs

Product development costs incurred in the process of developing product improvements and enhancements or new products are charged to expense as incurred. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 86, Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to be Sold, Leased or Otherwise Marketed, requires capitalization of certain software development costs subsequent to the establishment of technological feasibility. Based on the Company's product development process, technological feasibility is established upon the completion of a working model. Costs incurred by the Company between the completion of the working model and the point at which the product is ready for general release has been insignificant.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes using the liability method. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized with respect to the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their carrying amounts for financial statement purposes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the period that includes the enactment date.

Foreign Currency

The functional currency of the Company's international subsidiaries is the local currency. The financial statements of these subsidiaries are translated to United States dollars using period-end rates of exchanges for assets and liabilities, and average rates of exchanges for the period for revenues and expenses. Translation gains (losses) are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (losses) as a component of stockholders' equity. Net gain and losses resulting from foreign exchange transactions are included in operations and were not significant during the periods presented.

Deferred Financing Costs

Deferred financing costs, which are mainly costs associated with the Company's Senior Note and the Company's Subordinated Note, are amortized over the term of the notes on a straight-line basis.

Loss Per Share

Basic and diluted net loss per share information for all periods is presented under the requirements of SFAS No. 128, Earnings Per Share. Basic loss per share is calculated by dividing the net loss attributable to common stockholders by the weighted-average common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted loss per share is calculated by dividing net loss attributable to common stockholders by the weighted-average common shares outstanding. The dilutive effect of preferred stock, warrants and options convertible into an aggregate of approximately 33,880,908 and 418,520 of common shares as of June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004, respectively, are not included as the inclusion of such would be anti-dilutive for all periods presented.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company uses the intrinsic value method to account for stock-based compensation in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and have adopted the disclosure-only provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, as amended by SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

Table of Contents**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)**

Transition and Disclosure. Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for fixed stock option grants. Had compensation costs for the Company's stock option grants been determined based on the fair value at the grant dates for awards under these plans in accordance with SFAS No. 123, the Company's net loss and loss per share would have been reduced to the proforma amounts as follows:

	Year Ended June 30, 2005	Year Ended June 30, 2004
Net loss, as reported	\$ (15,372,939)	\$ (11,070,305)
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net loss	454,000	3,203,483
Deduct: Stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value method for all awards	(828,173)	(3,702,564)
Net loss, pro forma	(15,747,112)	(11,569,386)
Beneficial conversion and preferred dividends	(7,510,590)	(1,623,046)
Net loss attributable to common stockholders Proforma	\$ (23,257,712)	\$ (13,192,432)
Basic and diluted net loss per share attributable to common stockholders, as reported	\$ (11.97)	\$ (16.58)
Basic and diluted net loss per share attributable to common stockholders pro forma	\$ (12.16)	\$ (17.23)

Pro forma information regarding net loss is required by SFAS No. 123, and has been determined as if Warp had accounted for its employees' stock options under the fair value method provided by this statement. The fair value for these options was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Year Ended June 30, 2005	Year Ended June 30, 2004
Expected life	3 years	3 years
Risk-free interest rate	3.00%	2.13%
Expected volatility	177.25%	183%
Dividend yield	0%	0%

Option pricing models require the input of highly subjective assumptions. Because the Company's employee stock has characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its employee stock options.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

For financial statement instruments, including cash, accounts receivable, subordinated note, senior note, the amount due to Isis and accounts payable, the carrying amount approximated fair value because of their short maturity.

Recent Accounting Pronouncement

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, which establishes standards for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods or services. This standard requires an entity to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant date fair value of the award. This eliminates the exception to

F-14

Table of Contents**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)**

account for such awards using the intrinsic method previously allowable under APB Opinion No. 25. SFAS No. 123[®] will be effective for the interim period beginning January 1, 2006. The impact on this new standard, if it had been in effect on the net loss and related per share amounts of our years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 is disclosed above in Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies – Stock Based Compensation. We believe the adoption will have an effect on our results of operations.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets, an amendment of APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting for Nonmonetary transactions. The amendments made by SFAS No. 153 are based on the principle that exchanges of nonmonetary assets should be measured based on the fair value of the assets exchanged. Further, the amendments eliminate the narrow exception for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replace it with a broader exception for exchanges of nonmonetary assets that do not have commercial substance. A nonmonetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. This statement shall be applied prospectively and is effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. Earlier application is permitted for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after the date of issuance. The Company does not anticipate that the adoption of SFAS No. 153 will have a significant impact on the Company's overall results of operations or financial position.

In May 2005 the FASB issued SFAS 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections, that applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle. This Statement requires retrospective application to prior periods' financial statements of changes in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. When it is impracticable to determine the period-specific effects of an accounting change on one or more individual prior periods presented, this Statement requires that the new accounting principle be applied to the balances of assets and liabilities as of the beginning of the earliest period for which retrospective application is practicable and that a corresponding adjustment be made to the opening balance of retained earnings (or other appropriate components of equity or net assets in the statement of financial position) for that period rather than being reported in an income statement. When it is impracticable to determine the cumulative effect of applying a change in accounting principle to all prior periods, this Statement requires that the new accounting principle be applied as if it were adopted prospectively from the earliest date practicable. SFAS 154 will be effective for the Company for fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. The Company does not anticipate that the adoption of SFAS No. 154 will have an impact on the Company's overall results of operations or financial position.

Note 3. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of the following:

	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2004
Purchased software	\$ 78,088	\$ 84,283
Computer equipment	165,476	144,596
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	54,322	98,679
	297,886	327,558
Accumulated depreciation	(74,861)	(291,246)
	\$ 223,025	\$ 36,312

Depreciation expense was \$45,653 and \$51,091 for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)

Note 4. Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consists of the following:

	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2004
Accrued professional fees	\$ 960,032	\$ 95,563
Accrued vendor costs	276,686	96,000
Accrued penalties on late registration	1,033,500	
Accrued compensation expense	1,078,033	
Other accrued expenses	404,480	144,933
	\$ 3,752,731	\$ 336,496

Note 5. Stockholders Equity***Common and Preferred Stock***

In January 2005, the Company issued 889 shares of common stock to Mr. Malcolm Coster pursuant to the terms and conditions of his separation agreement as compensation for services rendered by Mr. Coster to the Company. The Company recorded \$3,556 of non-cash compensation related to this stock issuance.

In January, 2005, the Company issued 3,636 shares of common stock to CIV, a firm which had consulted to the Company, for services rendered. The Company recorded \$15,817 of non-cash compensation related to this stock issuance.

In January, 2005, the Company issued 20,000 shares of common stock and warrants to acquire 1,500 shares of Common Stock to Darien Corporation to settled all outstanding claims under a prior Fee Agreement. Warrants have \$1.00 per share exercise price, cashless exercise feature and are exercisable over 5 years. The Company recorded an expense of \$86,000 related to this settlement.

On August 4, 2004, the Company entered into a Series B-2 Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement (the Purchase Agreement). The Purchase Agreement related to the sale of 1,600 shares (the Series B-2 Preferred Shares) of the Company's authorized but unissued shares of Preferred Stock, \$0.00001 par value per share, designated Series B-2 Preferred Stock (the Series B-2 Preferred Stock) at a purchase price of \$1,000 per share, and warrants, exercisable over five (5) years, to purchase an aggregate of 1,600 shares of Series B-2 Preferred Stock (the Warrants) and together with the shares of Series B-2 Preferred Stock, collectively, the Securities) to investors. The aggregate purchase price for the Securities was \$1,600,000, of which \$1,474,500 was received by December 31, 2004 and the remainder of \$125,500 was received by the Company in January 2005. The Company incurred approximately \$20,000 in dividends for the year ended June 30, 2005 to the Series B-2 shareholders. The number of shares of Common Stock receivable upon conversion shall be equal to the Series B-2 Face Amount, which is initially equal to the per share purchase price of \$1,000, plus any accrued but unpaid dividends, divided by the conversion price, which was initially set at \$5.00. Under certain anti-dilution protection rights of the Series B-2 Preferred Stock, the conversion price will adjust from time to time if the Company issues any shares of Common Stock, or options, warrants, or other securities convertible or exchangeable into Common Stock, at a purchase price below \$5.00 per share, and will also be adjusted for any stock splits or similar corporate actions. Under the initial conversion price, each share of Series B-2 Preferred Stock is convertible into 200 shares of Common Stock. Accordingly, the Company recorded approximately \$539,000 as beneficial conversion relating to this transaction because the fair market value of the common stock was greater than the conversion price. In January, 2005, in connection with the Series C financing, the conversion price of the Series B-2 stock was reduced from \$5 to \$2, and the Company recorded a stock dividend to the

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)

Series B-2 holders valued at approximately \$2,280,000. In addition on January 31, 2005 all of the Series B-2 shareholders converted all of their outstanding shares into common stock.

On April 22, 2004 the Company approved the issuance of 14,981 shares of common stock to employees. In connection with this issuance the Company recorded compensation of approximately \$195,000.

On March 29, 2004, the Company issued 50,000 shares of common stock to Noah Clark as consideration for financial consulting services beginning April 1, 2004, to be provided by Mr. Clark pursuant to the Consulting Agreement dated March 26, 2004 between the Company and Mr. Clark (the Consulting Agreement).The Company recognized approximately \$950,000 of expense relating to this agreement. The shares issued to Mr. Clark were restricted shares on the date of issuance. On April 26, 2004, the Company filed an Amendment Number 1 to a Registration Statement on Form S-2 originally filed on April 4, 2004 (hereinafter referred to as the April Form S-2), which covered the shares of common stock issued to Mr. Clark under his consulting agreement. On April 29, 2004, the April Form S-2 was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

On March 12, 2004, the Company approved the issuance of 976 shares of common stock to Bradley L. Steere, Esq. as consideration for legal services rendered to the Company in the amount of approximately \$18,500.

On March 12, 2004, the Company approved the issuance of 326 shares of common stock to Mr. Wesley Ramjeet as consideration for professional accounting services rendered to the Company in the amount of approximately \$5,900.

On March 12, 2004, the Company approved the issuance of 5,555 shares of common stock to Mr. Malcolm Coster pursuant to the terms and conditions of his Employment Contract as compensation for services rendered by Mr. Coster to the Company in the amount of approximately \$111,000 as its interim Chief Executive Officer.

In fiscal 2005 and 2004, several holders of the preferred stock of 6043577 Canada, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company converted their preferred stock to shares of the Company s common stock. Such conversions resulted in the issuance of 2,554 and 10,736 shares of common stock, respectively.

On February 10, 2004, the Company completed an offering of 1,058 shares of Series B 10% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock (the B Shares) with gross proceeds to the Company from the sales equaling \$1,058,000. The B Shares had a purchase price of \$1,000.00 per share. The B Shares have a cumulative dividend of 10% per year, which is payable in cash or stock at the time of conversion at the election of the Company. The B Share subscribers also received warrants to purchase a number of common shares equal to 50% of the common shares such subscriber would receive upon the conversion of their B Shares to common shares. The exercise price of the warrants is \$33.00 per share of common stock and the exercise price is only payable with cash. Under certain anti-dilution protection rights of the Series B Preferred Stock, the conversion price will adjust from time to time if the Company issues any shares of Common Stock, or options, warrants, or other securities convertible or exchangeable into Common Stock, at a purchase price below the conversion price then in effect. In August 2004, the Company completed its first closing of the Series B-2 offering at an effective price of \$5.00 per common share. As a result of the Series B-2 financing, the conversion price of the Series B Stock was reduced from \$18.00 to \$5.00, and the Company recorded a stock dividend to the Series B shareholders for approximately 121,290 shares of common stock valued at approximately \$606,000. In January 2005 in connection with the Series C financing, the conversion price of all Series B stock was reduced from \$5 to \$3, and the Company recorded a dividend to the Series B holders of approximately \$2,207,000. In addition, on January 31, 2005 all of the Series B holders converted all of their Series B stock, accrued dividend and penalties to common stock.

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)

On February 10, 2004, the Company closed an offering of 16,000 restricted shares of its common stock and 8,000 warrants to purchase common stock in a private transaction for gross proceeds of \$288,000 in cash. The exercise price of the warrants is \$33 per share of common stock and the exercise price is only payable with cash. The Company paid approximately \$28,000 in placement agent fees relating to this private placement.

In 2004, holders of 1,766.62 shares of the Company's Series B 10% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock (B Shares) converted their B Shares into shares of the Company's common stock. Such conversions resulted in the issuance of 98,145 shares of common stock. The 98,145 common shares issued on the conversions is derived from the B Shares' \$18 conversion price. In connection with the conversion an additional 3,305 shares were issued as payment of the B Shares 10% cumulative dividend, and 4,089 shares were issued as payment of a 6% penalty for the failure by the Company to cause its March Form S-2 to be declared effective in a timely manner.

In December 2003, the Company issued 50,000 shares of common stock to Blue & Gold Enterprises LLC (Blue & Gold) as consideration for financial consulting services provided by Mr. Steven Antebi pursuant to the Consulting Agreement dated December 2003 between the Company and Mr. Antebi. The shares issued to Mr. Antebi were restricted shares on the date of issuance. The April Form S-2, declared effective on April 29, 2004, registered the shares of common stock issued to Mr. Antebi under his consulting agreement. In connection with this agreement the Company recorded approximately \$950,000 as non-cash compensation.

On November 4, 2003, the Company completed an offering of 2,647.78 shares of Series B 10% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock (the B Shares) with gross proceeds to the Company from the sale equaling \$2,647,780. The B Shares had a cumulative dividend of 10% per year, which is payable in cash or stock at the time of conversion. The B Share subscribers also received warrants to purchase a number of common shares equal to 50% of the common shares such subscriber would receive upon the conversion of their B Shares to common shares. The exercise price of the warrants was \$33.00 per share of common stock. The Company was required to pay a penalty equivalent to 6% of the common shares underlying the B Shares sold in this offering because it was not able to get its registration statement effective by the date in the purchase agreement. Under certain anti-dilution protection rights of the Series B Preferred Stock, the conversion price will adjust from time to time if the Company issues any shares of Common Stock, or options, warrants, or other securities convertible or exchangeable into Common Stock, at a purchase price below the conversion price then in effect. In August 2004, the Company completed its first closing of the Series B-2 offering at an effective price of \$5.00 per common share. As a result of the Series B-2 financing, the conversion price of the Series B Stock was reduced from \$18.00 to \$5.00, and the Company recorded a stock dividend to the Series B shareholders for approximately 290,770 shares of common stock valued at approximately \$1,499,000.

On September 30, 2003, the Company completed an offering of 975,940 shares of its Series A 8% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock (the A Shares) with gross proceeds to the Company from the sale equaling \$975,940. Pursuant to a most favored nation provision of the A Shares offering, the holders of the A Shares were entitled to receive the better terms of any offering that was completed subsequent to the closing of the A Shares offering. As a result, the Company has cancelled all 975,940 A Shares which were to be issued and has instead issued 975.94 B Shares to the A Share subscribers. The A Share subscribers also received warrants with the same terms as the B Share subscribers. The conversion to common stock of all the B Shares issued to the A Share subscribers resulted in the Company issuing approximately 54,220 shares of common stock to the A Share subscribers. Pursuant to a registration rights agreement between the Company and the B Share subscribers, the Company was obligated to register the shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the B Shares within 45 days of issuance of the B Shares. This registration rights agreement contained a penalty provision that required the Company to

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)

issue the number of shares of common stock equal to 2% of the shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the B Shares for each 30-day period until such shares were registered. When the March 2004 Form S-2 was declared effective, the Company was obligated to issue an aggregate of 12,427 shares of common stock pursuant to this penalty provision. Exercise of all the warrants held by the A Share subscribers will result in the issuance of approximately 27,110 shares of common stock to the A Share subscribers. The Company recorded approximately \$271,000 as beneficial conversion relating to this transaction because the fair market value of the common stock was greater than the conversion price. The March 2004 Form S-2, declared effective on March 31, 2004, covered the common shares issuable upon the conversion of the B Shares and warrants held by the A Share subscribers. The Company recorded approximately \$60,000 for fees relating to this private placement.

Stock Options

On August 4, 2004, the Company amended its 2002 Employee Stock Plan to increase the total number of shares authorized for issuance under the plan to a total of 776,611 shares of Common Stock, and to reserve such shares for issuance under the plan.

On August 4, 2004 the Company granted its executive officers, Rodney A. Bienvenu, Jr., Gus Bottazzi, Ernest C. Mysogland and Michael D. Liss, certain options to acquire shares of Common Stock. The total number of shares subject to these options is 468,799. In addition, the Company granted ISIS certain non-qualified options to acquire 200,914 shares of Common Stock. All such options have an exercise price of \$6.75 per share. The exercise of such options is subject to the achievement of certain vesting and milestone terms (subject in each case to the terms of the optionee's stock option agreement). Any of the above-described options not previously exercisable shall be vested and exercisable on the fifth anniversary of the initial closing of the B-2 Financing. In connection, with the options granted to ISIS the Company recorded deferred compensation of approximately \$1,053,000 that will be amortized over five years from the date of grant. The Company recognized approximately \$193,000 of expense for the year ended June 30, 2005 relating to the ISIS options.

In fiscal 2004, the Board of Directors granted 45,130 options to certain employees of the Company under the 2002 Plan. Of those options, 22,565 vested on the date of grant and the remainder vest over a two-year period. Such options have a term of ten years and have an exercise price of \$13.00 per share, the fair market price of the stock on the date of grant.

In fiscal 2003 the Company's Board of Directors granted 15,000 options to a consultant, Dr. Milch, at an exercise price of \$25.00 per share. As of September 30, 2004 all 15,000 of these options have been vested. The Company had agreed to compensate this consultant in an amount equal to the difference between \$100 and the market price of the stock received upon exercise of each option for up to 14,500 of these options. In January 2005 the Company issued 330 shares of Series B Preferred stock and 7,612 warrants to purchase common stock at \$33 per share to settle all outstanding liability owed to this former consultant.

In fiscal 2003, the Company granted 4,200 options to an employee, Mr. Beller, at an exercise price of \$25.00 per share. The Company had agreed to compensate this employee in an amount equal to the difference between \$100 and the market price of the stock received upon exercise of each option. The total amount was capped at \$400,000 and expired in December 2003. In January 2005 the Company issued 240 shares of Series B Preferred stock and 5,973 warrants to purchase common stock at \$33 per share to settle all outstanding liability owed to this former employee.

In November 2002 the Company's Board of Directors approved and adopted the Warp Technology Holdings, Inc. 2002 Stock Incentive plan (the "2002 Plan") as a means through which the Company and its subsidiaries may attract, retain and compensate employees and consultants. In fiscal 2003, the Board of

Table of Contents**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)**

Directors issued 70,980 options to certain employees of the Company under the 2002 Plan. Of those options, 18,333 vested on the date of grant and the remainder vest over a two-year period. Such options have a term of ten years and have an exercise price of \$.25 per share. For financial statement purposes the Company recorded deferred compensation of \$18,996,000, representing the difference between the market price of the Company's stock and \$.25 on the date of grant. The amount recognized as expense for the period ending June 30, 2005 and 2004 was \$454,000 and \$3,562,241, respectively.

Detailed information concerning WARP Technology Holding, Inc activity for the 2002 Plan is as follows:

	Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Average Fair Value of Grants
Options outstanding at June 30, 2003	76,996	\$ 25.00	
Options cancelled	(31,793)	23.00	
Options granted	45,130	13.00	\$ 13.00
Options outstanding at June 30, 2004	90,333	22.00	
Options cancelled	(131,592)	13.05	
Options granted	669,712	6.75	\$ 5.24
Options outstanding at June 30, 2005	628,453	\$ 6.84	

The following table summarizes information about options outstanding at June 30, 2005.

Options Outstanding				Options Exercisable			
Exercise Price	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in Years)		Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price	
\$ 13	7,400	8.8		\$ 13	6,000	\$ 13	
\$ 25	31,705	7.0		\$ 25	29,651	\$ 25	
\$ 6.75	589,348	9.1		\$ 6.75	187,519	\$ 6.75	

As of June 30, 2005, there were 148,158 shares available for future grants under the 2002 Plan.

The fair value for options have been estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model thereafter, with the following assumptions:

Year Ended June 30, 2005	Year Ended June 30, 2004
-----------------------------	-----------------------------

Expected life	3 years	3 years
Risk-free interest rate	3.0%	2.13%
Expected volatility	177.25%	183%
Dividend yield	0%	0%

During 2005 and 2004, no options were issued or exercised under the Warp Solutions, Inc. 1999 Plan. Additionally, all previously outstanding options were canceled. Therefore, as of June 30, 2005, there are no options outstanding under the Warp Solutions, Inc. 1999 Plan.

Table of Contents

**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)**

Warrants

During 2000, in conjunction with the sale of its Series B Convertible Preferred Stock to certain investors, The Company issued warrants to purchase 10,636 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$9.05 per share. The warrants expire on the fifth anniversary of issuance. In fiscal 2003 certain holders of these warrants converted 7,334 of these warrants in a cashless exercise for 5,438 shares of the Company's common stock.

On August 1, 2000, the Company issued warrants to purchase 1,105 shares of its common stock to an outside consultant for services rendered. The warrants have an exercise price of \$9.05 per share and expire on the fifth anniversary of issuance.

In connection with the February, 2003 private placement the Company issued 4,209 warrants to purchase shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$10.00 per share. The warrants expire on the fifth anniversary of issuance. In fiscal 2004, 1,350 of these warrants were exercised; the Company received approximately, \$13,500.

In January 2004, the Company issued 15,000 warrants to Mr. Ray Musson and Killick & Co. as a settlement for not registering previously sold shares. The warrants have a (5) five-year term, an exercise price of \$36 per share and no cashless exercise provision. The Company recorded as expense \$180,000 relating to this warrants issuance. The March Form S-2, declared effective on March 31, 2004, registered the shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of the warrants issued to Mr. Musson and Killick & Co.

On March 5, 2004, the Company initiated a warrant exchange program (the Program) applicable to all of the Company's outstanding warrants (collectively the Original Warrants). The Program was an opportunity for the Company's warrant holders to choose whether they wanted to keep their Original Warrants or exchange them for new warrants (the Exchanged Warrants). The Exchanged Warrants had an exercise price of \$15 per share, as compared to the Original Warrants, which have exercise prices of \$36, \$33, \$25, or \$18 per share, and were required to be exercised immediately after their issuance. The Program closed on March 18, 2004, and resulted in the exchange of 43,023 Original Warrants for Exchanged Warrants. The immediate exercise of the Exchanged Warrants caused the issuance by the Company of 43,023 shares of common stock for gross proceeds to the Company of \$645,358. The Company recorded approximately \$132,000 as a beneficial conversion dividend relating to this transaction because the fair market value of the common stock was greater than the conversion price.

In April 2004, the Company issued warrants to purchase 8,600 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$25 per share to Lighthouse Capital Ltd and warrants to purchase 1,500 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$25 to Peter Bailey in payment of services provided by Lighthouse Capital Ltd to the Company under the terms of a consulting agreement. In connection with this issuance the Company recorded an expense of approximately \$105,000.

In August 2004, the Company issued 20,000 warrants to purchase common stock to Malcolm Coster at an exercise price of \$18.00 per share for services performed. In connection with this issuance the Company recorded an expense of approximately \$96,000.

In September 2004, the Company agreed to issue 35,200 warrants to purchase common Stock at an exercise price of \$5.00 per share to Griffin Securities, Inc. for advisory services to be provided to the Company. In connection with these warrants the Company recorded an expense of \$25,696.

In January 2005 in connection with the various sales of the Bridge Notes, the Series C Notes, the Senior Notes and the Subordinated Notes under the financing agreements, the Company has incurred brokers or finders fees and commissions of a total of \$1,058,900. In addition, the Company has committed to issue to such brokers and finders warrants to acquire up to an aggregate of 1,210,601 shares of Common

F-21

Table of Contents**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)**

Stock. These warrants are exercisable for a period of five years and 280,000 have an exercise price of \$4.75 and 930,601 have an exercise price of \$1.25 per share. These warrants were valued at \$998,211 using the black-scholes model. The value of the warrants is being amortized over the length of the various debt financing as interest expense. The Company's amortization expense for the year ended June 30, 2005 was \$1,580,235.

In May 2005 the Company issued warrants to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.25 to Lippert Heilshorn and Associates for consulting services. In connection with this issuance the Company valued the warrants at \$76,711, which will be expensed ratably over the life of the consulting agreement.

Note 6. Gupta Technologies, LLC Acquisition

On January 31, 2005, the Company completed the acquisition of Gupta. The acquisition of Gupta (the Acquisition) was made pursuant to a Membership Interest Purchase Agreement (as amended, the Purchase Agreement) between the Company and Gupta Holdings, LLC (the Seller). The Board of Directors agreed to purchase Gupta because it fit the profile of the type of companies that is necessary for the Company to create a sustainable, profitable company. The Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended June 30, 2005 includes the results of operations of Gupta for five months beginning as of February 1, 2005.

Under the Purchase Agreement, the total purchase price was \$21,000,000, of which the Company delivered \$15,750,000 in cash on or before the closing. The remainder of the purchase price was paid in equity and debt securities issued or provided by the Company with the terms described below.

In order to raise funds to pay the cash portion of the purchase price for Gupta, and in order to provide the non-cash portion of the purchase price, the Company entered into certain financing agreements described herein. An Amendment to the Company's Articles of Incorporation was necessary to allow the Company to reserve for issuance of sufficient shares of Common Stock to be issued upon conversion or exercise of the securities sold by the Company pursuant to the financing agreements.

The financing agreements include the Subscription Agreement, the Bridge Notes, the Senior Note Agreement, the Subordinated Note Agreement, the Broker Warrants and the Assignment, as such terms are defined below.

The purchase price for Gupta was \$21 million, plus transaction costs of \$1,325,000, the purchase price allocation is as follows:

Cash	\$ 742,915
Accounts Receivables	2,489,517
Other current assets	393,126
Fixed assets	161,345
Intangibles	16,434,800
Goodwill	7,055,264
Other assets	71,093
Accounts Payable and accrued expenses	(3,047,893)
Deferred Revenues	(1,975,167)
	\$ 22,325,000

The Company's management and the Board of directors believes that the purchase of Gupta that resulted in approximately \$7,055,000 of goodwill is justified because of Gupta's position in the marketplace

Table of Contents**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)**

and expected increased cash flows to the Company. The company expects all of the goodwill will be deductible for income tax purposes.

Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information

The following unaudited pro forma financial information is provided for informational purposes only and should not be construed to be indicative of the Company's consolidated results of operations had the acquisitions been consummated on the dates assumed and does not project the Company's results of operations for any future period:

The following unaudited pro forma financial information presents the consolidated operations of the Company for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 as if the acquisition of Gupta had occurred as of July 1, 2004 and July 1, 2003, respectively.

	2005	2004
Revenue	\$ 13,890,560	\$ 16,675,544
Net loss	(14,122,849)	(10,231,577)
Loss per share	\$ (7.39)	\$ (13.36)

Note 7. Acquired Intangible Assets

In connection with the acquisition of Gupta the Company recorded intangible assets as follows:

Amortized Intangible Assets:		
Developed Technology		2,284,100
Customer Relationships		6,165,800
Contracts		7,547,200
Total amortized intangible assets		\$ 15,997,100
Accumulated amortization		756,064
Net		\$ 15,241,036
Unamortized intangible assets:		
Goodwill		\$ 7,055,264
Trade names		\$ 437,700
Estimated amortization expense:		
For year ending June 30, 2006		\$ 1,815,000
For year ending June 30, 2007		\$ 1,815,000
For year ending June 30, 2008		\$ 1,627,000
For year ending June 30, 2009		\$ 1,610,000
For year ending June 30, 2010		\$ 1,610,000

Amortization expense for the years ended June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004 were approximately \$946,000 and \$190,000 respectively.

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)

Note 8. Series C Subscription Agreement.

On January 31, 2005, the Company entered into certain Series C Subscription Agreements (collectively, the Subscription Agreement), with the Investors. The Subscription Agreement has the following material terms:

An aggregate of \$8,475,000 of Series C Notes were sold to Investors under the Subscription Agreement.

Most of the proceeds of the sale of the Series C Notes were used to fund a portion of the purchase price in the Gupta acquisition and the remainder of the proceeds were used for working capital purposes.

The Series C Notes were unsecured and bore interest at the rate of 6% per annum.

The Series C Notes were converted into a new series of Preferred Stock, the Series C Stock with a par value of \$.00001 per share, and Warrants to acquire Common Stock.

On March 31, 2005, all amounts due under the Series C Notes (principal and interest) automatically converted into (i) 8,559,750 shares of Series C Stock, and (ii) Warrants (the Warrants) to acquire 8,559,750 shares of Common Stock. The Company reserved for issuance 17,119,500 shares of Common stock to cover those shares of Common Stock issuable upon conversion of the Series C Stock and exercise of the Warrants.

Since the Series C Notes were not converted by March 17, 2005, due to a delay in receiving approval required before effecting the Amendment to the Company s Articles of Incorporation, the Company may be required to pay to the Investors a penalty in cash equal to ten percent (10%) of the principal amount of the Series C Notes. Accordingly, the Company anticipates that it will need to obtain a waiver or an acknowledgment that the penalties do not apply. The Company intends to work with the Investors to obtain waiver of this penalty or an acknowledgment that no penalty is due, and has received such waiver and acknowledgment from certain Investors. However, there is no assurance that the Company will receive sufficient waivers or acknowledgements from other Investors. As such the Company has accrued \$647,500 for this penalty.

On March 31, 2005, all amounts due under the Series C Notes (principal and interest) automatically converted into (i) 8,559,750 shares of Series C Stock, and (ii) Warrants (the Warrants) to acquire 8,559,750 shares of Common Stock, and on April 4, 2005, under the Subscription Agreement, the Company issued an additional 3,000,000 shares of Series C Stock, and Warrants to acquire an additional 3,000,000 shares of Common Stock for \$3,000,000 in cash.

The Series C Stock which the Investors received upon conversion of their Series C Notes, has the following material terms:

The Series C Stock is convertible into Common Stock, at the option of the holder, at a conversion price (the Applicable Conversion Price) that is initially equal to \$1.00. Accordingly, the Series C Stock is convertible into Common Stock at a one to one (1:1) ratio. However, the ratio is subject to adjustment pursuant to the anti-dilution protections extended to the holders of Series C Stock. Under the anti-dilution provisions, in the event the Company issues, at any time while shares of Series C Stock are still outstanding, shares of Common Stock or any type of securities convertible or exchangeable for, or otherwise giving a right to acquire, shares of Common Stock, at a price below the Applicable Conversion Price, then the Applicable Conversion Price will be adjusted to the price per share equal to the price per share paid for such Common Stock in such subsequent financing. This full-ratchet anti-dilution protection on the Series C Stock will also be extended to any warrants received in connection with the Subscription Agreement that are outstanding at such

Table of Contents

**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)**

time. In addition to the full-ratchet protection, the Applicable Conversion Price will be equitably adjusted in the event of any stock split, stock dividend or similar change in the Company's capital structure.

If the Company's market capitalization based on the shares of Common Stock outstanding (including all shares of Common Stock underlying the Shares of Series C Stock on an as converted basis) exceeds \$50,000,000, the shares of Common Stock underlying the Series C Stock are registered, and the Company has an average daily trading volume for 20 consecutive trading days of 100,000 shares per day, then the Company may require the holders of Series C Stock to convert the Series C Stock into Common Stock at the then Applicable Conversion Price.

The holders of shares of Series C Stock will be entitled to receive dividends, at a 6% annual rate, payable quarterly in arrears, either in cash, or at the election of the Company, in shares of Common Stock. The dividends are preferred dividends, payable in preference to any dividends which may be declared on the Common Stock. Common Stock delivered in payment of dividends will be valued at 90% of the average of the volume weighted average price for the 20 trading day period ending on the trading day immediately prior to the date set for payment of the dividend. As of June 30, 2005 the Company has accrued \$212,897 for dividends.

Any unconverted and non-redeemed Shares of Series C Stock outstanding on the third anniversary of the initial issuance of the Series C Stock, will be automatically redeemed on that date, in cash, at \$1.00 per share, plus all accrued but unpaid dividends thereon (subject to equitable adjustment for all stock splits, stock dividends, or similar events involving a change in the capital structure of the Company).

The Warrants issued to the Investors upon conversion of their Series C Notes, allow the Investors to purchase an aggregate of 8,559,750 shares of Common Stock. The Warrants have an exercise price of \$1.25 per share. The Warrants are exercisable over a five-year term.

Note 9. Bridge Notes.

In October 2004, December 2004 and January 2005, the Company raised funds from investors in order to make certain payments, totaling \$2,250,000 to the Seller, toward the purchase price of Gupta. In exchange for such investment the Company issued certain promissory notes (the "Bridge Notes") in the aggregate principal amount of \$2,250,000.

The Bridge Notes had the following material terms:

Interest accrues at the annual rate of 12%.

Contemporaneously with the closing of the Gupta Purchase Agreement, the Bridge Notes were automatically converted into Series C Notes.

An aggregate of \$2,409,253 of Series C Notes were issued upon conversion of the principal and accrued interest on the Bridge Notes.

In accordance with their terms, these Series C Notes converted into 2,433,345 shares of Series C Preferred Stock and Warrants to acquire 2,433,345 shares of Common Stock. These warrants (the "Bridge Warrants") have an exercise price of \$1.25 per share and are exercisable for a period of five years from the date of issuance. The Company reserved sufficient common stock to issue upon conversion of these Series C shares and exercise of the Bridge Warrants.

Table of Contents

**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)**

Note 10. Senior Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement.

On January 31, 2005, the Company entered into that certain Senior Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement (the Senior Note Agreement), by and among the Company and the Purchasers (the Senior Noteholders) identified therein. The Senior Note Agreement has the following material terms:

Senior Notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$6,825,000 were sold.

The Senior Notes bear interest at an annual rate of 10%, with interest payments due quarterly in arrears.

Most of the proceeds of the sale of the Senior Notes was used to fund a portion of the purchase price in the Gupta acquisition and the remainder of the proceeds was used for working capital purposes.

The Senior Notes are due on July 31, 2005. The Senior Notes are not convertible.

The Senior Notes are secured by a first priority security interest in the assets of the Company, including the equity interests of the Company in Gupta and the Company's other subsidiaries.

Under the Senior Note Agreement the Senior Noteholders received warrants to purchase an aggregate of 2,670,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock (the Senior Lender Warrants). These warrants have an exercise price of \$1.25, and are exercisable for a period of five years from the date of issuance. The proceeds from the Senior Notes and the detachable warrants were allocated to the fair value of the warrants and the balance to the Senior Notes. Based on the fair market value, \$2,269,500 was allocated to the warrants and the remainder of \$4,556,500 was allocated to the Senior Notes. The discount to the note will be accreted over 6 months. For the period ended June 30, 2005, \$1,891,250 was accreted and charged to interest expense.

In August 2005 the Company refinanced this debt with a long term credit facility from Fortress Credit Corp. (See Note 18 Subsequent Events) Accordingly, the Company has classified this debt as long-term in accordance with SFAS No. 6.

Note 11. Subordinated Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement.

On January 31, 2005, the Company entered into that certain Subordinated Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement (the Subordinated Note Agreement) by and among the Company and the Purchasers (the Subordinated Noteholders) identified therein.

The Subordinated Note Agreement has the following material terms:

Subordinated Notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$4,000,000 were issued of which \$2,500,000 was sold for cash and \$1,500,000 was issued to the Seller under the Purchase Agreement (the Gupta Note).

The Subordinated Notes bear interest at an annual rate of 10%, with interest payments due quarterly in arrears. Interest is payable in registered shares of Common Stock of the Company, provided that until such shares are registered, interest shall be payable in cash.

Most of the proceeds of the sale of the Subordinated Notes was used to fund a portion of the purchase price in the Gupta acquisition and the remainder of the proceeds was used for working capital purposes.

The Subordinated Notes are due on January 31, 2007, other than the Gupta Note, which is due on January 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)**

The Subordinated Notes are secured by a security interest in the assets of the Company, including the equity interests of the Company in Gupta and the Company's other subsidiaries, subordinated only to the security interest granted to secure the Senior Notes.

The Subordinated Noteholders have the right to convert all principal amounts due under the Subordinated Notes other than the Gupta Note which is not convertible into such number of Shares of Common Stock equal to the principal amount due under the Subordinated Notes divided by \$1.00. Accordingly, an aggregate of 2,500,000 shares of Common Stock is issuable upon conversion of the Subordinated Notes.

Under the Subordinated Note Agreement, the Subordinated Noteholders other than the holder of the Gupta Note also received warrants to purchase 2,500,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock (the Subordinated Lender Warrants). The Warrants will have an exercise price of \$1.25, and will be exercisable for a period of five years from the date of issuance. The proceeds from the Subordinated Note and the detachable warrants were allocated to the fair value of the warrants and the balance to the Senior Notes. Based on the fair market value, \$2,125,000 was allocated to the warrants and the remainder of \$375,000 was allocated to the Senior Notes. The discount to the note will be accreted over 24 months. For the period ended June 30, 2005 \$442,708 was accreted and charged to interest expense.

In August 2005 the Company refinanced the \$1,500,000 Gupta Note due January 31, 2006 with a long term credit facility from Fortress Credit Corp. (See Note 18 Subsequent Events) Accordingly, the Company has classified this debt as long-term in accordance with SFAS No. 6.

Note 12. Registration Rights.

The Company agreed, within forty-five (45) days after the closing of the Series C notes, Bridge Notes and Subordinated notes financing, to complete all required audits and make all related filings concerning the acquisition of Gupta. Within fifteen (15) days after the end of such 45-day period, the Company agreed to file a registration statement for the purpose of registering all of the Conversion Shares for resale, and to use its best efforts to cause such registration statement to be declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Commission) at the earliest practicable date thereafter.

If (i) the registration statement has not been filed with the Commission by the filing deadline or (ii) the registration statement has not been declared effective by the Commission before the date that is ninety (90) days after the filing deadline or, in the event of a review of the Registration Statement by the Commission, one hundred and twenty (120) days after the filing deadline, or (iii) after the registration statement is declared effective, the registration statement or related prospectus ceases for any reason to be available to the investors and noteholders as to all Conversion Shares the offer and sale of which it is required to cover at any time prior to the expiration of the effectiveness period (as defined in the Investors' Agreement) for an aggregate of more than twenty (20) consecutive trading days or an aggregate of forty (40) trading days (which need not be consecutive) in any twelve (12) month period, the Company will pay to the Investors an amount in cash equal to 2% of the face value of the Series C Stock issued under the Subscription Agreement or upon conversion of the Bridge Notes, and 2% in cash of the principal amount of the Senior Notes and Subordinated Notes, and will continue to pay such 2% monthly penalties every thirty days until such registration statement is filed, declared effective and available to the investors at the earliest practicable date thereafter. The registration statement was filed after the date due. Accordingly, the Company may have incurred a penalty. The Company is seeking an acknowledgement from the affected investors that no penalty has yet incurred and that no such penalty will be incurred so long as the registration statement is declared effective within the applicable time period. If such acknowledgement is not forthcoming, the Company will seek a waiver of the penalty. As there can be no assurance it will receive an acknowledgement or waiver, the Company has accrued \$386,000.

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)

Note 13. Separation Agreement.

On March 3, 2005, the Company entered into an agreement (the "Separation Agreement") with Gus Bottazzi related to Mr. Bottazzi's resignation as an officer and director of the Company. Under the Separation Agreement, the Company committed to issue to Mr. Bottazzi 200,000 shares of the Company's Series C Preferred Stock. In connection with this separation agreement the Company recorded a non-cash charge of \$500,000.

Note 14. Income Taxes

The income tax effects of significant items, comprising the Company's net deferred tax assets and liabilities, are as follows:

	June 30,	
	2005	2004
	(In thousands)	
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Differences between book and tax basis of goodwill	\$ 41	
Deferred tax assets:		
Operating loss carryforwards	\$ 13,211	\$ 8,740
Net deferred tax asset	\$ 13,170	\$ 8,740
Valuation allowance	\$ 13,170	\$ 8,740

The Company has foreign subsidiaries based in the United Kingdom, Canada and Germany and is responsible for paying certain foreign income taxes. As a result, there is an income tax provision of \$97,945 and \$0 for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

For the U.S. operations the difference between the federal statutory tax rate of 40% and the effective rate of 0% reflected in the accompanying financial statements is attributable to no tax benefit being recorded for the future utilization of the net operating loss carry forward.

The Company has a U.S. Federal net operating loss carry forward of approximately \$33,028,000 as of June 30, 2005, which may be used to reduce taxable income in future years. These NOL's will expire in the year 2020 through 2025. The deferred tax asset primarily resulting from net operating losses was approximately \$13,170,000 at June 30, 2005 and \$8,740,000 at June 30, 2004. Due to uncertainty surrounding the realization of the favorable tax attributes in future tax returns, the Company has placed a full valuation allowance against its net deferred tax asset. At such time as it is determined that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset is realizable, the valuation allowance will be reduced. Furthermore, some portion of the net operating loss carryforward will be subject to further limitation pursuant to Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Note 15. Commitments and Contingencies***Legal Proceedings.***

On May 6, 2005, the Company received notice of a demand for arbitration before the American Arbitration Association from attorneys representing Michael Liss, a former employee of the Company who had the title Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Liss disputes the circumstances surrounding the termination of his employment and claims that he is entitled to severance benefits, other compensation and damages totaling approximately \$187,000 in addition to attorneys fees and statutory damages. The Company

Table of Contents**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)**

believes that Mr. Liss's claim is without merit and intends to vigorously defend itself. The Company has accrued \$50,000 for legal cost related to this matter.

Leases

Rent expense amounted to approximately \$230,000 and \$201,000 for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Minimum rental payments under non-cancelable operating leases in California, Connecticut and Germany as of June 30, 2005 is as follows:

2006	\$	519,389
2007		316,279
2008		227,848
2009		187,024
2010		80,152
Total	\$	1,330,693

Note 16. Amendment to Articles of Incorporation.

The Company filed with the Nevada Secretary of State the Certificate of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation described in its Definitive Information Statement filed on March 11, 2005, increasing the Company's authorized Common Stock from 5,000,000 to 150,000,000.

Note 17. Series C Certificate of Designations.

Effective March 31, 2005, the Company filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Nevada a Certificate of Designation establishing the series of preferred stock to be referred to as the Series C Preferred Stock.

Note 18. Geographic Information

The Company sells its products to customers primarily through direct sales to independent software vendors and end-users in North America and through distributors and value added resellers in the rest of the world. For the years ended June 30 2005 and 2004, the geographic breakdown of revenues was as follows:

	Year Ended June 30, 2005		
	Product	Service	Total
North America	\$ 1,283,296	\$ 719,319	\$ 2,002,615
Europe, Africa and the Middle East	1,447,982	1,228,744	2,676,726
Asia Pacific	177,767	139,180	316,947
Latin America	77,707	49,927	127,634
Total	\$ 2,986,752	\$ 2,137,170	\$ 5,123,922

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)

	Year Ended June 30, 2004		
	Product	Service	Total
North America	\$ 378,485	\$ 94,621	\$ 473,106
Europe, Africa and the Middle East	327,212	81,803	409,015
Total	\$ 705,697	\$ 176,424	\$ 882,121

Many of Gupta's ISVs, VARs and end users place their orders through distributors. A relatively small number of distributors have accounted for a significant percentage of Gupta's revenues. One of Gupta's distributors, accounted for 22% of Gupta's revenue for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004. The same distributor accounted for 23% of Gupta's accounts receivable at June 30, 2005. In addition, Gupta had one customer which accounted for 15% of the Company's revenue for the year ended June 30, 2005. The loss of this Gupta distributor, or this customer, unless it was offset by the attraction of sufficient new customers, could have a material adverse impact on the business of Gupta, and therefore, the business of the Company as a whole.

Note 19. Employee Benefit Plan

The Company has a 401(k) plan, which covers substantially all employees. Participants in the plan may contribute a percentage of compensation, but not in excess of the maximum allowed under the Internal Revenue Code. The plan provides for matching contributions. The 401(k) expense for the year ended June 30, 2005 was \$34,837.

Note 20. Related Party Transactions.

The Company has certain contractual relationships with ISIS which were entered into in connection with the Company's Series B-2 Preferred Stock financing (as previously described in, and included as exhibits to, the Company's Form 8-K dated August 4, 2004). In addition, certain individuals are members of ISIS and directors or officers of the Company.

ISIS is a limited liability company whose managing members are Rodney A. Bienvenu, Jr. (Bienvenu), the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Company's Board of Directors, and Ernest C. Mysogland (Mysogland), the Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer of the Company. ISIS is the managing member of ISIS Acquisition Partners II LLC (IAP II). IAP II is a stockholder of the Company having purchased shares of the Company's Series B-2 Preferred Stock (the Series B-2 Preferred Stock), pursuant to that certain Series B-2 Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement (the Series B-2 Purchase Agreement), as of August 4, 2004, between and among the Company and the investors. In addition, pursuant to that certain Stockholders Agreement, dated as of August 4, 2004, between and among the Company, the holders of the Series B-2 Preferred Stock and such other Stockholders as named therein (the Stockholders Agreement), IAP II and other Series B-2 Stockholders have certain rights to designate directors of the Company. Further, ISIS and the Company entered into a Consulting Agreement, dated as of August 4, 2004, pursuant to which the Company will pay ISIS for services requested of ISIS from time to time, including, without limitation, research services, at ISIS's regular rates or at the cost incurred by ISIS to provide such services, and will reimburse ISIS for any costs incurred by ISIS on behalf of the Company.

Furthermore, in October, 2004, Company and ISIS entered into that certain Purchase Agreement Assignment and Assumption (the Assignment), pursuant to which the Company acquired all of the rights and assumed all of the liabilities of the Purchaser under that certain Membership Interest Purchase Agreement to acquire Gupta Technologies, LLC.

Under the Assignment, the Company agreed to repay ISIS (or its assignees), for the \$1,000,000 ISIS paid to the Seller in October, 2004. Furthermore, upon the acquisition of Gupta, in consideration of the

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)

assignment, and services in connection with due diligence, financing contacts and structure, for its efforts in negotiating the terms of the acquisition (including the specific right to assign the Purchase Agreement to the Company), and undertaking the initial obligation regarding the purchase of Gupta, the Company shall pay ISIS and its investors, as allocated by ISIS, a transaction fee equal to \$1,250,000, payable either in cash or, at the election of ISIS, in Series B-2 securities, or senior debt or senior equity issued in connection with the Gupta financing. As of June 30, 2005 this transaction fee was not paid to ISIS and is shown on the balance sheet as a due to ISIS. The Company will also reimburse ISIS for any amounts it has incurred in connection with the negotiation and consummation of the transaction. In addition, the Company also owed approximately \$44,000 to Isis for various expenses paid by Isis on behalf of the Company.

One of the Senior Noteholders under the Senior Note Agreement described above in Note 10, was B/ T Investors, a general partnership. B/ T Investors lent the Company a total of \$975,000 under the Senior Note Agreement, and received Senior Notes in that principal amount. One of the partners in B/ T Investors is Brian J. Sisko who is now the Company's Chief Operating Officer. B/ T Investors assigned its Senior Notes to its various partners, and Mr. Sisko received a Senior Note in the principal amount of \$100,000. This note held by Mr Sisko was paid off in August, 2005 when the Company refinanced its debt when it entered into the long term credit facility with Fortress Credit Corp.

Note 21. Subsequent Events

Acquisition of Kenosia Corporation Kenosia

On July 6, 2005 the Company purchased all of the stock of Kenosia Corporation from Bristol Technology, Inc. for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,800,000 (net of working capital adjustment), subject to certain adjustments. Prior to the Closing, \$800,000 of the Purchase Price was deposited into an escrow account, and subsequently released to Bristol at the Closing. The remainder of the Purchase Price is to be paid in two equal payments of \$500,000 each, in cash. The first payment was made on September 1, 2005 and the second one is due January 31, 2006.

The Company's management and the Board of directors believes that the purchase of Kenosia will result in approximately \$500,000 of goodwill and is justified because of Kenosia's position in the marketplace and expected increased cash flows to the Company. The company expects all of the goodwill will be deductible for income tax purposes.

Credit Agreement

On August 2, 2005, the Company entered a Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement"), with Fortress Credit Corp. as original lender (together with any additional lenders, the "Lenders"), and Fortress Credit Corp. as Agent (the "Agent"). In addition, the Company entered into a \$10,000,000 Promissory Note (the "Note") with the Lenders, an Intercreditor Agreement with the Lenders, the Agent and certain subordinated lenders (the "Intercreditor Agreement"), a Security Agreement with the Agent (the "Security Agreement"), Pledge Agreements with the Lender (the "Pledge Agreements"), and a Warrant Agreement with the Agent (the "Warrant Agreement").

Collectively the Credit Agreement, such other agreements and the subsidiary security agreements referenced below are referred to as the "Financing Documents".

The Credit Agreement and the other Financing Documents have the following material terms:

Subject to the terms and conditions of the Credit Agreement, the Lenders agreed to make available to the Company a term loan facility in three Tranches, Tranches A, B and C, in an aggregate amount equal to \$50,000,000.

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)

The maximum amount of loans under Tranche A of the credit facility is \$10,000,000. The purpose of amounts borrowed under Tranche A is to refinance certain of the Company's existing debt and to pay certain costs and expenses incurred in connection with the closing under the Credit Agreement.

The maximum amount of loans under Tranche B of the credit facility is \$15,000,000. Amounts borrowed under Tranche B may be used only to partially fund the acquisition by the Company of one or more companies, the acquisition costs related thereto, and other costs and expenses incurred in connection with the Credit Agreement and to finance an agreed amount of working capital for the companies being acquired.

The maximum amount of loans under Tranche C of the credit facility is \$25,000,000. Amounts borrowed under Tranche C may be used only to partially fund the acquisition by the Company of one or more publicly-traded companies, the acquisition costs related thereto, and other costs and expenses incurred in connection with the Credit Agreement and to finance an agreed amount of working capital for the companies being acquired.

The Company has borrowed \$10,000,000 under Tranche A of the credit facility to pay-off its existing senior indebtedness, in the aggregate principal amount of \$6,825,000, plus accrued interest thereon, as well as certain existing subordinated indebtedness, in the aggregate principal amount of \$1,500,000. In addition, amounts borrowed under this Tranche A were used to pay certain closing costs, including the Lender's legal fees, commitment fees, and other costs and expenses under the Credit Agreement.

The obligation to repay the \$10,000,000 principal amount borrowed at the closing, along with interest as described below, is further evidenced by the Note.

Advances under Tranche B and Tranche C must be approved by the Lenders, and are subject to the satisfaction of all conditions precedent required by the Lenders including the condition that a default not occur under the loans as a result of the advance.

The rate of interest (the "Interest Rate") payable on the Loan for each calendar month (an "Interest Period") is a floating percentage rate per annum equal to the sum of the LIBOR for that period plus the Margin. For these purposes, LIBOR means for any Interest Period the rate offered in the London interbank market for U.S. Dollar deposits for the relevant Interest Period; provided, however, that for purposes of calculating the Interest Rate, LIBOR shall at no time be less than a rate equal to 2.65%. For these purposes, Margin means 9% per annum. Interest is due and payable monthly in arrears.

Provided there has been no event of default under the Loan, an amount of interest equal to 4% per annum that would otherwise be paid in cash instead may be paid in kind ("PIK") by such amount being added to the principal balance of the Loan on the last day of each month. Such PIK amount will then accrue interest and be due and payable on the same terms and conditions as the Loan. The Company may, at its option, elect to terminate the PIK interest arrangement and instead pay such amount in cash.

If any sum due and payable under the credit facility is not paid on the due date therefore, the Company shall be liable to pay interest on such overdue amount at a rate equal to the then current Interest Rate plus 3% per annum.

Principal amounts due under the Loans begin to be amortized eighteen months after the closing date of the Credit Agreement, with the complete Loan to be repaid in full no later than the Maturity Date which is four years after the closing.

Table of Contents

**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)**

A mandatory prepayment is required if, prior to the date which is 9 months after the Closing Date, (i) the Company has not borrowed under Tranche B, and (ii) the Company has not acquired (without the incurrence of any indebtedness) 100% of the equity interests of any new subsidiary which at the time of acquisition had a twelve month trailing EBITDA of greater than \$1,000,000. If prepayments are required due to this reason, the amount of the prepayment is 85% of the Excess Cash Flow which means, cash provided by operations by the Company and its subsidiaries determined quarterly less capital expenditures for such period, provided that the Company shall at all times be allowed to retain a minimum of \$1,500,000 of cash for operating purposes. In addition, the Company must prepay the loan in full no later than the date which is 21 months after the Closing Date.

The Credit Agreement contains certain financial covenants usual and customary for facilities and transactions of this type. In the event the Company completes further acquisitions, the Company and the Agent and lenders will agree upon modifications to the financial covenants to reflect the changes to the Company's consolidated assets, liabilities, and expected results of operations in amounts to be mutually agreed to by the parties.

The Company's obligations are guaranteed by the direct and indirect subsidiaries of the Company, including, without limitation, Gupta Technologies, LLC, Kenosia Corporation, and Warp Solutions, Inc.

The Company and its subsidiaries granted first priority security interests in their assets, and pledged the stock or equity interests in their respective subsidiaries, to the Agent as security for the financial obligations under the Credit Agreement and the Financing Documents. In addition, the Company has undertaken to complete certain matters, including the delivery of stock certificates in subsidiaries, and the completion of financing statements perfecting the security interests granted under the applicable state or foreign jurisdictions concerning the security interests and rights granted to the Lenders and the Agent.

As additional security for the lenders making the loans under the Credit Agreement, certain subsidiaries of the Company have entered into Security Agreements with Fortress Credit Corp. relating to their assets in the U.K., and have pledged their interests in the subsidiaries organized under English law, Gupta Technologies Limited and Warp Solutions Limited, by entering into a Mortgages of Shares with Fortress. Also, the Company's subsidiary, Gupta Technologies, LLC (Gupta) and its German subsidiary, Gupta Technologies GmbH, have entered into a Security Trust Agreement with Fortress Credit Corp. granting a security interest in the assets of such entities located in Germany. Gupta has also pledged its interests in the German subsidiary under a Share Pledge Agreement with Fortress Credit Corp.

Under the Intercreditor Agreement, the holders of the Company's outstanding subordinated notes which were issued pursuant to that certain Subordinated Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement dated January 31, 2005, agreed to subordinate the payment terms and security interests of the subordinated notes to the payment terms and security interests of the senior lenders under the Credit Agreement.

Pursuant to the Warrant Agreement, the Company agreed to issue warrants to acquire up to an aggregate of 7% of the fully diluted stock of the Company (as of the date of the Warrant Agreement) if the Lenders make all the advances under the total commitments of the credit facility. All warrants will have an exercise price of \$0.01 per share. The exercise price and number of shares issuable upon exercise of each warrant are subject to adjustment as provided in the Warrant Agreement, including weighted average anti-dilution protection.

Table of Contents

**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)**

Warrants to acquire an aggregate of 5% of the fully diluted stock of the Company (2,109,042 shares of Common Stock, par value \$.00001 per share) are issuable upon the Company receiving advances under Tranche A or B of the credit facility (Tranche A/ B Available Shares) in proportion to the amount of the advance compared with the total \$25,000,000 in commitments under Tranche A and B.

Since the Company borrowed \$10,000,000 under Tranche A at the closing, warrants to acquire 40% of the Available Tranche A/ B Shares (843,617 shares of the Company's Common Stock) were issued at closing to the Lenders. The warrants have an exercise price of \$.01 per share, have a cashless exercise feature, and are exercisable until December 10, 2010. As further advances are made to the Company under Tranche B, the Company will issue additional warrants in proportion to the advances received. Additionally, if the unused total commitments attributable to Tranche A and Tranche B are cancelled in accordance with the Credit Agreement, warrants shall be used for the number of shares based on the Pro Rata Portion of the Total Commitments attributable to Tranche A or Tranche B which are cancelled.

Warrants to acquire an aggregate of 2% of the fully diluted stock of the Company (843,617 shares of Common Stock) are issuable upon the Company receiving advances under Tranche C of the credit facility (Tranche C Available Shares) in proportion to the amount of the Tranche C advance compared with the total \$25,000,000 in commitments under Tranche C.

Lease of Office Space for Principal Executive Offices

The Company entered into a lease for office space in Greenwich, Connecticut, where the Company has relocated its principal executive offices.

The lease commenced on August 29, 2005 and expires on August 14, 2009. Under the terms of the lease, the Company will pay an aggregate rent over the term of the lease of \$313,362.

Agreements to Acquire Five Software Companies

On September 12, 2005, the Company entered into a Purchase Agreement (the Purchase Agreement) with Platinum Equity, LLC (the David/ ProfitKey Seller), EnergyTRACS Acquisition Corp. (the Foresight Seller) and Milgo Holdings, LLC (the Process Seller) and together with the David/ ProfitKey Seller and the Foresight Seller, the Sellers) for the acquisition of 100% of the Equity Interests in The David Corporation, ProfitKey International, LLC, Foresight Software, Inc. and Process Software, LLC (the Acquisition). Under the terms of the Purchase Agreement, the David/ ProfitKey Seller shall sell, assign and deliver 100% of the common stock, no par value per share of the David Corporation, a California Corporation (the David Stock) and a 100% membership interest in ProfitKey International LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the ProfitKey Membership Interest), the Foresight Seller shall sell, assign and deliver 100% of the common stock, par value \$0.01 per share of the Foresight Software, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the Foresight Stock) and the Process Seller shall sell, assign and deliver a 100% membership interest in Process Software, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the Process Membership Interest) to the Company in exchange for the payment of an aggregate of Twelve Million Dollars (\$12,000,000) in cash.

The Acquisition is scheduled to close on September 30, 2005, subject to customary conditions precedent including accuracy of representations and warranties at the closing date, satisfaction of all closing conditions and simultaneous closing of the Tesseract Merger Agreement described below. The Company expects to raise the funds to close the Acquisition and the Merger described below from lenders under its existing Credit Agreement, and from equity investors.

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2005 (Continued)

Platinum Equity, LLC is a Seller under the Purchase Agreement. An affiliate of Platinum Equity, Gupta Holdings, LLC, owns 2,020,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock of the Company, which is convertible into 2,020,000 shares of Common Stock of the Company, and warrants to acquire 2,312,336 shares of Common Stock. On an as converted basis, the shares of Series C Preferred Stock held by Gupta Holdings, LLC would represent approximately 10% of the then outstanding shares of Common Stock of the Company.

On September 12, 2005, the Company entered into a Merger Agreement (the *Merger Agreement*) with TAC/ Halo, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (the *Merger Sub*), Tesseract Corporation (*Tesseract*) and Platinum Equity, LLC (*Seller*). Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, Tesseract shall be merged with and into the Merger Sub (the *Merger*) and shall survive as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. The aggregate consideration payable pursuant to the Merger to Seller as the holder of 100% of the common stock, par value \$0.01 per share of Tesseract (the *Stock*) shall consist of (a) \$5,500,000 in cash payable at the closing of the Merger, (b) that number of shares of Series D Preferred Stock as shall be obtained by dividing \$6,750,000 by a divisor to be agreed upon by the Company and Seller, and (c) a promissory note in the original principal amount of \$1,750,000, delivered at closing and payable no later than March 31, 2006. The number of shares and terms of the Series D Preferred Stock have not yet been agreed upon.

In connection with the issuance of Series D Preferred Stock to Tesseract, the Company has agreed to enter into a Registration Rights Agreement pursuant to which the Company agrees to register the common stock issuable upon conversion of the Series D Preferred Stock. This agreement will be in a form to be agreed upon by the Company and the Seller.

Promissory Note and Warrant

On September 20, 2005, the Company entered into a Promissory Note (the *Note*) in the principal amount of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000) payable to the order of DCI Master LDC or its affiliates. Interest accrues under the Note at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum. The principal amount of the Note, together with accrued interest, is due and payable 90 days after the date it was entered into, December 19, 2005, unless the Note is converted into debt or equity securities of the Company in the Company's next financing involving sales by the Company of a class of its preferred stock or convertible debt securities, or any other similar or equivalent financing transaction. The terms of such conversion have not yet been determined.

Also on September 20, 2005, the Company issued to DCI Master LDC a Warrant to Purchase 181,818 Shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.00001 per share of the Company. The Warrant was issued in connection with the Note described above. The exercise price for the Warrant Shares is \$1.375, subject to adjustment as provided in the Warrant. The Warrant is exercisable until September 20, 2010. The Warrant contains an automatic exercise provision in the event that the warrant has not been exercised but the Fair Market Value of the Warrant Shares (as defined in the Warrant) is greater than the exercise price per share on the expiration date. The Warrant also contains a cashless exercise provision. The Warrant also contains a limitation on exercise which limits the number of shares of Common Stock that may be acquired by the Holder on exercise to that number of shares as will insure that, following such exercise, the total number of shares of Common Stock then beneficially owned by such Holder and its affiliates will not exceed 9.99% of the total number of issued and outstanding shares of Common Stock. This provision is waivable by the Holder on 60 days notice.

Table of Contents**WARP Technology Holdings, Inc.
Consolidated Balance Sheets**

	December 31, 2005	June 30, 2005
	(Unaudited)	(Audited)
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,844,373	\$ 1,548,013
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$139,973 and \$30,845 respectively	4,550,514	2,024,699
Due from Platinum Equity, LLC	465,000	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	925,460	409,496
Total current assets	7,785,347	3,982,208
Property and equipment, net	286,369	223,025
Deferred financing costs, net	1,529,036	476,876
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$1,950,503 and \$756,064 respectively	24,604,981	15,678,736
Goodwill	28,730,708	7,055,264
Investment and other assets	193,190	884,379
Total assets	\$ 63,129,631	\$ 28,300,488
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,832,028	\$ 872,433
Accrued expenses	6,825,837	3,752,731
Note payable to Bristol Technology, Inc.	500,000	
Note and Working Capital Adjustment payable to Platinum Equity, LLC	2,750,000	
Notes payable	1,591,770	
Deferred revenue	11,263,432	3,392,896
Due to ISIS	1,293,717	1,293,534
Total current liabilities	26,056,784	9,311,594
Subordinate notes payable	1,453,504	2,317,710
Senior notes payable	21,763,619	6,446,750
Other long term liabilities	52,972	43,275
Total liabilities	49,326,879	18,119,329
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock (Canadian subsidiary)	2	2
Series C Preferred Stock: \$.00001 par value; 16,000,000 shares authorized, 13,802,837 and 14,193,095 issued and outstanding (Liquidation value \$13,802,837 and \$14,193,095) respectively	13,802,837	14,193,095
	208,006	212,897

Shares of Common Stock to be issued for accrued dividends on Series C Preferred Stock		
Series D Preferred Stock: \$.00001 par value; 8,863,636 shares authorized, 7,045,454 issued and outstanding (Liquidation value \$7,750,000)	6,750,000	
Shares of Common Stock to be issued for accrued dividends on Series D Preferred Stock	165,372	
Shares of Common Stock to be issued for accrued interest on subordinated debt	41,667	
Common stock: \$.00001 par value; 150,000,000 shares authorized, 5,601,548 and 3,110,800 shares issued and outstanding respectively	56	31
Additional paid-in-capital	64,733,038	59,431,331
Deferred compensation	(874,123)	(970,711)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(71,087)	(105,262)
Accumulated deficit	(70,953,016)	(62,580,224)
Total stockholders' equity	13,802,752	10,181,159
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 63,129,631	\$ 28,300,488

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

WARP Technology Holdings, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Operations

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
(Unaudited)				
Revenue				
Licenses	\$ 1,504,493	\$ 85,311	\$ 2,819,062	\$ 211,616
Services	3,866,219	21,328	5,759,979	52,904
Total revenues	5,370,712	106,639	8,579,041	264,520
Cost of revenue				
Cost of licenses	154,766	39,730	200,500	53,758
Cost of services	804,140		1,098,048	
Total cost of revenues	958,906	39,730	1,298,548	53,758
Gross Profit	4,411,806	66,909	7,280,493	210,762
Product development	1,560,236	35,657	2,516,793	112,723
Sales, marketing and business development	2,063,932	223,393	3,436,457	476,575
General and administrative (including non-cash compensation three months 2005-\$153,898; 2004-\$127,145; six months 2005-\$273,226; 2004-\$542,742)	3,663,824	251,019	5,466,182	1,218,383
Loss before interest	(2,876,186)	(443,160)	(4,138,939)	(1,596,919)
Interest expense	(2,257,705)	(46,374)	(3,553,807)	(45,679)
Loss before income taxes	(5,133,891)	(489,534)	(7,692,746)	(1,642,598)
Income taxes	(34,325)		(86,488)	
Net Loss	\$ (5,168,216)	\$ (489,534)	\$ (7,779,234)	\$ (1,642,598)
Computation of loss applicable to common stockholders Net loss before beneficial conversion and preferred dividends	\$ (5,168,216)	\$ (489,534)	\$ (7,779,234)	\$ (1,642,598)
Beneficial conversion and preferred dividends	(373,379)	(472,057)	(593,558)	(2,810,465)
Loss attributable to common stockholders	\$ (5,541,595)	\$ (961,591)	\$ (8,372,792)	\$ (4,453,063)
Basic and diluted net loss per share attributable to common stockholders	\$ (1.53)	\$ (0.99)	\$ (2.44)	\$ (4.59)
Weighted-average number common shares basic and diluted	3,624,747	971,115	3,425,127	971,115

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-37

Table of Contents

WARP Technology Holdings, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2005	2004
	(Unaudited)	
Operating Activities		
Net Loss	\$ (7,779,234)	\$ (1,642,598)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,259,738	101,215
Non cash compensation	273,226	542,742
Non cash interest expense	2,425,544	
Loss on sales of property and equipment	3,270	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquired business:		
Accounts receivable	(488,535)	33,199
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(359,403)	15,631
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	931,287	(228,506)
Deferred revenue	3,468,677	(132,370)
Deferred product cost		14,028
Net cash used in operating activities	(265,430)	(1,296,659)
Investing activities		
Purchase of property and equipment	(53,370)	
Advance to Gupta Holdings LLC		(1,000,000)
Tesseract, Process and Affiliates acquisition, net of cash acquired of \$632,899	(15,867,102)	
Kenosia acquisition, net of cash acquired of \$6,125	(507,145)	
Proceeds from sales of property and equipment	1,689	
Net cash used in investing activities	(16,425,928)	(1,000,000)
Financing activities		
Repayment of Bridge loan		950,100
Repayment of Subordinated notes	(1,500,000)	
Repayment of Senior notes	(6,825,000)	
Proceeds from Senior notes, net of issuance cost of \$1,426,486	23,573,514	
Proceeds from Promissory notes	1,700,000	
Proceeds from issuance of preferred and common stock net of issuance costs		1,474,500
Net cash provided by financing activities	16,948,514	2,424,600
Effects of exchange rates on cash	39,204	(22,784)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	296,360	105,157
Cash and cash equivalents beginning of period	1,548,013	115,491
Cash and cash equivalents end of period	\$ 1,844,373	\$ 220,648

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

Income tax paid	\$	122,766	\$
Interest paid	\$	822,486	\$

Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:

For the six months ended December 31, 2005, the Company recorded \$593,558 in connection with convertible preferred dividends.

In connection with the acquisition of Tesseract, the Company gave to Platinum Promissory Note and a working capital adjustment agreement for \$2,750,000 and Series D Preferred Stock of \$6,750,000.

F-38

Table of Contents

Transaction costs of \$478,000 were accrued for the acquisitions of Tesseract, Process and Affiliates at December 31, 2005.

On July 6, 2005, the Company acquired the stock of Kenosia (see Note 4). The following table summarizes the purchase transaction:

Purchase price:	
Cash	\$ 1,247,175
Transaction costs	67,845
Note Payable	500,000
Total purchase price	1,815,020
Fair Value of:	
Assets acquired	(1,611,793)
Liability assumed	386,025
Goodwill	\$ 589,252

On October 26, 2005, the Company acquired Tesseract Corporation (see Note 5). The following table summarizes the purchase transaction:

Purchase price:	
Cash	\$ 3,500,000
Advances to Platinum made prior to September 30, 2005	1,000,000
Promissory Note and Working Capital Adjustment	2,750,000
Series D Preferred Stock	6,750,000
Transaction costs	126,500
Total purchase price	14,126,500
Fair Value of:	
Assets acquired	(4,600,357)
Liability assumed	2,456,041
Goodwill	\$ 11,982,184

Also, on October 26, 2005, the Company acquired Process Software, LLC, David Corporation, ProfitKey International, LLC, and Foresight Software, Inc. (see Note 5). The following table summarizes the purchase transaction:

Purchase price:	
Cash	\$ 12,000,000
Transaction costs	351,500
Total purchase price	12,351,500
Fair Value of:	
Assets acquired	(7,855,827)
Liability assumed	4,608,335
Goodwill	\$ 9,104,008

Table of Contents

**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005**

Note 1. Organization, Merger, Description of Business and Basis of Presentation

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc. (collectively with its subsidiaries, the Company), operating under the name Halo Technology Holdings, is a Nevada corporation with its principal executive office in Greenwich, Connecticut.

The Company is a holding company whose subsidiaries operate enterprise software and information technology businesses. In addition to holding its existing subsidiaries, the Company's strategy is to pursue acquisitions of businesses which either complement the Company's existing businesses or expand the industries in which the Company operates.

On January 31, 2005, the Company completed the acquisition of Gupta Technologies, LLC (together with its subsidiaries, Gupta). Gupta is now a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, and Gupta's wholly owned subsidiaries, Gupta Technologies GmbH, a German corporation, and Gupta Technologies Ltd., a U.K. company, have become indirect subsidiaries of the Company.

Gupta develops, markets and supports software products that enable software programmers to create enterprise class applications, operating on either the Microsoft Windows or Linux operating systems that are used in large and small businesses and governmental entities around the world. Gupta's products include a popular database application and a well-known set of application development tools. The relational database product allows companies to manage data closer to the customer, where capturing and organizing information is becoming increasingly critical. This product is designed for applications being deployed in situations where there are little or no technical resources to support and administer databases or applications.

Gupta recently released its Linux product line. Compatible with its existing Microsoft Windows-based product line, the Linux line of products will enable developers to write one application to run in both Microsoft Windows and Linux operating systems.

Gupta has headquarters in California, and has a regional office in Munich and sales offices in London and Paris.

Warp Solutions, Inc. a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, produces a series of application acceleration products that improve the speed and efficiency of transactions and information requests that are processed over the internet and intranet network systems. The subsidiary's suite of software products and technologies are designed to accelerate network applications, reduce network congestion, and reduce the cost of expensive server deployments for enterprises engaged in high volume network activities.

On July 6, 2005 the Company purchased Kenosia Corporation (Kenosia). Kenosia is a software company whose products include its DataAlchemy product line. DataAlchemy is a sales and marketing analytics platform that is utilized by global companies to drive retail sales and profits through timely and effective analysis of transactional data. Kenosia's installed customers span a wide range of industries, including consumer packaged goods, entertainment, pharmaceutical, automotive, spirits, wine and beer, brokers and retailers.

On October 26, 2005, the Company completed the acquisition of Tesseract and four other software companies, DAVID Corporation, Process Software, ProfitKey International, and Foresight Software, Inc. (collectively Process and Affiliates).

Tesseract, headquartered in San Francisco, is a total HR solutions provider offering an integrated Web-enabled HRMS suite. Tesseract's Web-based solution suite allows HR users, employees and external service providers to communicate securely and electronically in real time. The integrated nature of the system allows for easy access to data and a higher level of accuracy for internal reporting, assessment and external data interface. Tesseract's customer base includes corporations operating in a diverse range of

F-40

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)

industries, including financial services, transportation, utilities, insurance, manufacturing, petroleum, retail, and pharmaceuticals.

DAVID Corporation is a pioneer in Risk Management Information Systems. DAVID Corporation offers client/server-based products to companies that provide their own workers' compensation and liability insurance. Many of DAVID Corporation's clients have been using its products for 10 years or longer.

Process Software develops infrastructure software solutions for mission-critical environments, including industry-leading TCP/IP stacks, an Internet messaging product suite, and an anti-spam software subscription service to large enterprises worldwide. With a loyal customer base of over 5,000 organizations, including Global 2000 and Fortune 1000 companies.

ProfitKey International develops and markets integrated manufacturing software and information control systems for make-to-order and make-to-stock manufacturers. ProfitKey's offering includes a suite of e-business solutions that includes customer, supplier and sales portals. ProfitKey's highly integrated system emphasizes online scheduling, capacity management, and cost management.

Foresight Software, Inc. provides client/server Enterprise Resource Planning and Customer Relationship Management software to global organizations that depend on customer service operations for critical market differentiation and competitive advantage. Foresight's software products and services enable customers to deliver superior customer service while achieving maximum profitability.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-QSB and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended December 31, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2006. For further information, refer to the financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 2005.

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Reclassification

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2004 financial statements to conform to the 2005 presentation.

Loss Per Share

Basic and diluted net loss per share information for all periods is presented under the requirements of SFAS No. 128, Earnings Per Share. Basic loss per share is calculated by dividing the net loss attributable to common stockholders by the weighted-average common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted loss per share is calculated by dividing net loss attributable to common stockholders by the weighted-average common shares outstanding. The dilutive effect of preferred stock, warrants and options convertible into an aggregate of approximately 46,642,643 and 2,049,170 of common shares as of December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively, are not included as the inclusion of such would be anti-dilutive for all periods presented.

F-41

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company uses the intrinsic value method to account for stock-based compensation in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and have adopted the disclosure-only provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, as amended by SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure. Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for fixed stock option grants. Had compensation costs for the Company's stock option grants been determined based on the fair value at the grant dates for awards under these plans in accordance with SFAS No. 123, the Company's net loss and loss per share would have been increased to the pro forma amounts as follows:

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Net loss, as reported	\$ (5,168,216)	\$ (489,534)	\$ (7,779,234)	\$ (1,642,598)
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net loss	82,070	74,500	129,570	359,000
Deduct: Stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value method for all awards	(1,163,880)	(77,480)	(1,336,120)	(370,210)
Net loss, pro forma	(6,250,026)	(492,514)	(8,985,784)	(1,653,808)
Beneficial conversion and preferred dividends	(373,379)	(472,057)	(593,558)	(2,810,465)
Net loss attributable to common stockholders				
Pro forma	\$ (6,623,405)	\$ (964,571)	\$ (9,579,342)	\$ (4,464,273)
Basic and diluted net loss per share attributable to common stockholders, as reported	\$ (1.53)	\$ (0.99)	\$ (2.44)	\$ (4.59)
Basic and diluted net loss per share attributable to common stockholders pro forma	\$ (1.82)	\$ (0.99)	\$ (2.80)	\$ (4.60)

Pro forma information regarding net loss is required by SFAS No. 123, and has been determined as if Warp had accounted for its employees' stock options under the fair value method provided by this statement. The fair value for these options was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Option pricing models require the input of highly subjective assumptions. Because the Company's employee stock has characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its employee stock options.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, which establishes standards for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods or services. This standard requires an entity to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant date fair value of the award. This eliminates the exception to account for such awards using the intrinsic method previously allowable under APB Opinion No. 25. SFAS No. 123(R) will be effective for the period beginning January 1, 2006. The impact on this new

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)

standard, if it had been in effect on the net loss and related per share amounts of our three and six months ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 is disclosed above in Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies-Stock Based Compensation. We believe the adoption will have an effect on our results of operations.

On March 29, 2005, the Staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC or the Staff) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, Share-Based Payment (SAB 107). Although not altering any conclusions reached in SFAS 123R, SAB 107 provides the views of the Staff regarding the interaction between SFAS 123R and certain SEC rules and regulations and, among other things, provide the Staff's views regarding the valuation of share-based payment arrangements for public companies. The Company intends to follow the interpretative guidance on share-based payment set forth in SAB 107 during the Company's adoption of SFAS 123R.

Note 3. Stockholders' Equity

Common and Preferred Stock

On September 19, 2005, the Company issued 8,543 shares of Common Stock valued at \$8,543 as a dividend to a former Series B preferred stockholder to settle a dispute on an inadvertent conversion.

On September 23, 2005, the Company issued 47,963 shares of Common Stock to pay \$100,000 of interest on its Subordinated Notes, which covers the interest period of May 1, 2005 to July 31, 2005.

On September 23, 2005, the Company issued 90,973 shares of Common Stock as Series C Preferred Stock dividend. The dividend period was April 1, 2005 to June 30, 2005. The value of Common Stock was \$212,897.

On December 23, 2005, the Company issued 44,665 shares of Common Stock to pay \$63,333 of interest on its Subordinated Notes, which covers the interest period of August 1, 2005 to October 31, 2005.

Also on December 23, 2005, the Company issued 143,769 shares of Common Stock as Series C Preferred Stock dividend. The dividend period was July 1, 2005 to September 30, 2005. The value of Common Stock was \$211,636.

On December 31, 2005, the Company issued an aggregate of 664,577 shares of Common Stock valued at \$910,470 to former Senior Noteholders and an aggregate of 1,100,000 shares valued at \$1,507,000 to former and existing Subordinated Noteholders in exchange for the rescission of certain warrants as described below in Warrants section of Note 3 Stockholders' Equity.

On October 26, 2005, the Company issued 7,045,454 shares of Series D Preferred Stock to Platinum Equity, LLC (Platinum) as part of Amendment to Tesseract Merger Agreement. Under the Amendment, Platinum agrees to retain 909,091 shares of Series D Preferred Stock delivered as part of the Merger Consideration, which Platinum will return for cancellation, without additional consideration from the Company, if the Company repay the \$1,750,000 note on or before March 31, 2006. The details of this agreement are described in Note 5 Acquisition of Five Software Companies.

During the three months and six months ended December 31, 2005, the holders of respectively 133,807 and 390,258 Series C Preferred Stocks converted their shares into Common Stock. The conversions were made on a one to one (1:1) ratio.

Stock Options

On September 13, 2005, the Board of Directors granted 158,000 options to the Company CEO, Rodney A. Bienvenu under the 2002 Plan. Of those options, 39,500 vested on December 31, 2005, and the

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)

remainder vest ratably over the next 36 months. Such options have a term of ten years and have an exercise price of \$1.08 per share. In connection with the options, the Company recorded a deferred compensation of \$42,660 that will be amortized in the next 36 months. The Company recognized \$10,665 of expense for the three months ended December 31, 2005 relating to these options.

At the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company held on October 21, 2005, the stockholders of the Company approved the Halo Technology Holdings 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2005 Plan) previously approved by the Board of Directors of the Company. A copy of the 2005 Plan was filed as Appendix A to the Company's definitive proxy statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 7, 2005. Subject to adjustment for stock splits and similar events, the total number of shares of common stock that can be delivered under the 2005 Plan is 8,400,000 shares. No employee may receive options, stock appreciation rights, shares or dividend equivalent rights for more than four million shares during any calendar year.

Under the 2005 Plan, the Company issued 4,366,000 options to certain employees and directors of the Company and its subsidiaries. Of those options, 3,366,000 were issued to the corporate senior management 25% of these options vest on December 31, 2005, and the remaining portion will vest ratably each month during the next 36 months, provided that the employee remains an employee of the Company. 1,000,000 of the 4,366,000 options were issued to the subsidiary management. These options will vest based on each subsidiary's performance. The vesting conditions are determined by the compensation committee. All the options have an exercise price of \$1.08 and the term of ten years except for the options issued to the Company's CEO, Rodney A. Bienvenu, Jr., and the CLO, Ernest C. Mysogland, which have an exercise price of \$1.19 and a term of five years. In connection with the options issued to the corporate senior management, the company recorded a deferred compensation of \$95,620 that will be amortized in the next 36 months. The Company recognized \$23,905 of expense for the three months ended December 31, 2005 relating to these options. The Company did not recognize deferred compensation for the options issued to the subsidiary management because the probability of vesting is uncertain. Further details are available in the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 27, 2005.

Warrants

On August 2, 2005, the Company issued warrants to acquire 843,617 shares of the Company's Common Stock to Fortress Credit Corp. as part of a Credit Agreement entered into on the same date. The warrants have an exercise price of \$.01 per share, have a cashless exercise feature, and are exercisable until December 10, 2010. Additional information related to the issuance of these warrants is in Note 7 Credit Agreement .

On September 20, 2005, the Company issued to DCI Master LDC a warrant to Purchase 181,818 Shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.00001 per share of the Company. The warrant was issued in connection with a Promissory Note issued to DCI Master LDC. Additional information related to the issuance of this warrant is in Note 8 Promissory Notes. The exercise price for the warrant shares is \$1.375, subject to adjustment as provided in the warrant. The warrant is exercisable until September 20, 2010. The warrant contains an automatic exercise provision in the event that the warrant has not been exercised but the fair market value of the warrant shares is greater than the exercise price per share on the expiration date. The warrant also contains a cashless exercise provision. The warrant also contains a limitation on exercise which limits the number of shares of Common Stock that may be acquired by the Holder on exercise to that number of shares as will insure that, following such exercise, the total number of shares of Common Stock then beneficially owned by such Holder and its affiliates will not exceed 9.99% of the total number of issued and outstanding shares of Common Stock. This provision is waivable by the Holder on 60 days notice.

Table of Contents**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)**

On October 21, 2005, the Company issued warrants (the Warrants) to purchase an aggregate of 363,636 Shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.00001 per share of the Company. The Warrants were issued in connection with the Convertible Promissory Notes described in Note 8 (Promissory Notes). The exercise price for the Warrant Shares is \$1.375, subject to adjustment as provided in the Warrant. The Warrants are exercisable for five years after the date of the Warrants. The Warrants contain an automatic exercise provision in the event that the warrant has not been exercised but the Fair Market Value of the Warrant Shares (as defined in the Warrant) is greater than the exercise price per share on the expiration date. The Warrants also contain a cashless exercise provision. The Warrants also contain a limitation on exercise which limits the number of shares of Common Stock that may be acquired by the Holder on exercise to that number of shares as will insure that, following such exercise, the total number of shares of Common Stock then beneficially owned by such Holder and its affiliates will not exceed 9.99% of the total number of issued and outstanding shares of Common Stock. This provision is waivable by the Holder on 60 days notice.

On October 26, 2005, the Company issued warrants to acquire 1,265,425 shares of the Company's Common Stock to Fortress Credit Corp. as part of a Credit Agreement entered into on August 2, 2005. This issuance relates to the Company's utilization of the Tranche B of the credit facility under the agreement. The warrants have an exercise price of \$.01 per share, have a cashless exercise feature, and are exercisable until December 10, 2010. Additional information related to the issuance of these warrants is in Note 7 Credit Agreement .

On December 31, 2005, the Company has rescinded certain warrants (the Senior Lender Warrants) previously issued pursuant to that certain Senior Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement (the Senior Note Agreement), as of January 31, 2005, by and among the Company and the Purchasers (the Senior Noteholders) identified therein and certain warrants (the Subordinated Lender Warrants) issued pursuant to that certain Subordinated Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement (the Subordinated Note Agreement), as of January 31, 2005, by and among the Company and the Purchasers (the Subordinated Noteholders) identified therein. As originally issued, the Senior Lender Warrants were for an aggregate of 2,670,000 shares of Common Stock. Senior Lender Warrants to acquire 1,208,321 shares of Common Stock were rescinded. As originally issued, the Subordinated Lender Warrants were for an aggregate of 2,500,000 shares of Common Stock. Subordinated Lender Warrants to acquire 2,000,000 shares of Common Stock were rescinded. The Company issued an aggregate of 664,577 shares of Common Stock valued at \$910,470 to former Senior Noteholders and an aggregate of 1,100,000 shares valued at \$1,507,000 to former and existing Subordinated Noteholders in exchange for the rescission of these warrants described above.

Note 4. Kenosia Acquisition

On July 6, 2005 the Company purchased all of the stock of Kenosia Corporation (Kenosia) from Bristol Technology, Inc. for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,800,000, subject to certain adjustments. Prior to the Closing, \$800,000 of the Purchase Price was deposited into an escrow account, and subsequently released to Bristol at the Closing. The remainder of the Purchase Price is to be paid in two equal payments of \$500,000 each, in cash. The first payment \$447,175 (net of working capital adjustment) was made on September 1, 2005 and the second payment was made on January 31, 2006. The results of Kenosia acquisition are reflected in the combined statement of operations as of the date of acquisition.

The Company's management and the Board of directors believes that the purchase of Kenosia that resulted in approximately \$589,000 of goodwill is justified because of Kenosia's position in the marketplace and Track record of positive cash flow . The tax deductibility of the acquired goodwill is to be determined.

Table of Contents**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)**

The net purchase price for Kenosia was \$1,815,020, after certain transaction costs and net working capital adjustments. The preliminary purchase price allocation, which is subject to adjustment, is as follows:

Cash	\$ 6,125
Accounts receivables	312,750
Other current assets	15,000
Fixed assets	7,635
Intangibles	1,270,283
Goodwill	589,252
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(10,979)
Deferred revenues	(375,046)
	\$ 1,815,020

Note 5. Acquisition of Five Software Companies***Foresight, Milgo, ProfitKey International and David Corporation Purchase Agreement***

On October 26, 2005, the Company completed the transactions contemplated by that certain Purchase Agreement (the Purchase Agreement) dated as of September 12, 2005 by and among Warp Technology Holdings, Inc. operating under the name Halo Technology Holdings (Company) and Platinum Equity, LLC (Platinum), EnergyTRACS Acquisition Corp. (the Foresight Seller) and Milgo Holdings, LLC (the Process Seller and together with Platinum and the Foresight Seller, the Sellers) for the acquisition of 100% of the Equity Interests in David Corporation (David), ProfitKey International, LLC (Profitkey), Foresight Software, Inc.(Foresight) and Process Software, LLC (Process). Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, Platinum sold, assigned and delivered 100% of the common stock, no par value per share of the David Corporation, a California Corporation and a 100% membership interest in ProfitKey International LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, the Foresight Seller sold, assigned and delivered 100% of the common stock, par value \$0.01 per share of the Foresight Software, Inc., a Delaware corporation and the Process Seller sold, assigned and delivered a 100% membership interest in Process Software, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company to the Company in exchange for the payment of an aggregate of twelve million dollars (\$12,000,000) in cash. These four companies are collectively referred to as Process and Affiliates . The Purchase Agreement has previously been filed as Exhibit 10.86 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 16, 2005 and is incorporated herein by reference.

The Company's management and the Board of directors believes that the purchase of Process and Affiliates that resulted in approximately \$9,517,000 of goodwill is justified because of their positions in the marketplace and Track record of positive cash flow . The tax deductibility of the acquired goodwill is to be determined.

F-46

Table of Contents**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)**

The net purchase price for Process and Affiliates was \$12,351,500, after certain transaction costs. The preliminary purchase price allocation, which is subject to adjustment, is as follows:

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 378,141
Accounts receivable	1,723,231
Other current assets	726,478
Fixed assets	73,023
Intangibles	4,843,800
Goodwill	9,104,008
Other assets	111,154
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(2,003,805)
Deferred revenue	(2,604,530)
	\$ 12,351,500

Tesseract Merger Agreement and Amendment

On October 26, 2005, Warp Technology Holdings, Inc. operating under the name Halo Technology Holdings (the Company or WARP), completed the transactions contemplated by that certain Merger Agreement (the Merger Agreement) dated as of September 12, 2005 by and among the Company and TAC/ Halo, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (the Merger Sub), Tesseract Corporation (Tesseract) and Platinum Equity, LLC (Platinum), as amended by Amendment No. 1 to Merger Agreement (the Amendment) dated October 26, 2005 by and among such parties and TAC/ Halo, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (New Merger Sub). Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, Tesseract was merged with and into the New Merger Sub (the Merger) which survived as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. The Amendment provided that the Merger Consideration shall consist of (i) \$4,500,000 in cash payable at Closing, (ii) 7,045,454 shares of Series D Preferred Stock of the Company, and (iii) \$1,750,000 payable no later than March 31, 2006 and evidenced by a Promissory Note. The Amendment provided for a Working Capital Adjustment of \$1,000,000 to be paid no later than November 30, 2005. If not paid by such date, at the option of the Seller, the Working Capital Adjustment may be converted into up to 1,818,181 shares of Series D Preferred Stock. Additionally, if the Working Capital Adjustment is not paid on or before November 30, 2005, the Company must pay Platinum a monthly transaction advisory fee of \$50,000 per month, commencing December 1, 2005. As of December 31, 2005, the Working Capital Adjustment has not been paid or converted to Series D Preferred Stock. As such, the Company accrued \$50,000 for the advisory fee as of December 31, 2005. Under the Amendment, Platinum agrees to retain 909,091 shares of Series D Preferred Stock delivered as part of the Merger Consideration. If the Promissory Note is paid on or before March 31, 2006, Platinum will return for cancellation, without additional consideration from the Company, 909,091 shares of Series D Preferred Stock to the Company. The Amendment further provides that the rights, preferences and privileges of the Series D Preferred Stock will adjust to equal the rights, preferences and privileges of the next round of financing if such financing is a Qualified Equity Offering (as defined in the Amendment). If the next round is not a Qualified Equity Offering, the rights, preferences and privileges of the Series D Preferred Stock will adjust to equal the rights, preferences and privileges of the next round of financing at the option of the holder. The descriptions of the Merger Agreement and Amendment No. 1 to the Merger Agreement are qualified in their entirety by reference to the Merger Agreement, which was previously filed as Exhibit 10.87 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 16, 2005, and to Amendment No. 1 to the Merger Agreement filed as Exhibit 10.94 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 1, 2005.

Table of Contents**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)**

The Company's management and the Board of directors believes that the purchase of Tesseract that resulted in approximately \$12,211,000 of goodwill is justified because of Tesseract's positions in the marketplace and Track record of positive cash flow. The tax deductibility of the acquired goodwill is to be determined.

The net purchase price for Tesseract was \$14,126,500, after certain transaction costs. The preliminary purchase price allocation, which is subject to adjustment, is as follows:

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 254,757
Accounts receivable	1,299
Other current assets	333,871
Fixed assets	3,830
Intangibles	4,006,600
Goodwill	11,982,184
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(1,015,350)
Deferred revenue	(1,422,282)
Other long term liabilities	(18,409)
	\$ 14,126,500

The Company financed the purchase price under the Purchase Agreement and the Merger Agreement in part with borrowings under its \$50,000,000 credit facility with Fortress Credit Opportunities I LP and Fortress Credit Corp. On October 26, 2005, in connection with the closings of the above described transactions, the Company entered into Amendment Agreement No. 1 (Amendment Agreement) between the Company, Fortress Credit Opportunities I LP (Lender) and Fortress Credit Corp., as Agent (the Agent) relating to the Credit Agreement dated August 2, 2005 between the Company, the Subsidiaries of the Company listed in Schedule 1 thereto (the Subsidiaries), Fortress Credit Corp., as original lender (together with any additional lenders, the Original Lenders), and the Agent under which the Lender made an additional loan of \$15,000,000 under Tranche B of the credit facility under the Credit Agreement, as more fully described below in Note 7 Credit Agreement.

The Company's results of operations include results of operations of Tesseract, Process and Affiliates since October 27, 2005.

Note 6. Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information

The following unaudited pro forma financial information presents the consolidated operations of the Company as if the acquisitions of Gupta, Kenosia, Tesseract, David, Profitkey, Foresight, and Process had occurred as of July 1, 2004.

This financial information is provided for informational purposes only and should not be construed to be indicative of the Company's consolidated results of operations had the acquisitions of Gupta, Kenosia,

Table of Contents**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)**

Tesseract, David, ProfitKey, Foresight, and Process been consummated on the dates assumed and does not project the Company's results of operations for any future period:

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Revenue	\$ 7,606,852	\$ 9,581,947	\$ 15,478,083	\$ 19,421,400
Net loss	\$ (5,401,586)	\$ (485,135)	\$ (6,915,745)	\$ (106,269)
Beneficial Conversion and preferred dividends	(373,379)	(472,057)	(593,558)	(2,810,465)
Loss attributable to common stockholders	(5,774,965)	(957,192)	(7,509,303)	(2,916,734)
Basic and diluted net loss per share attributable to common stockholders	\$ (1.59)	\$ (0.99)	\$ (2.19)	\$ (3.00)
Weighted-average number of common shares	3,624,747	971,115	3,425,127	971,115

For the period from July 1, 2005 through July 5, 2005, Kenosia had no significant operations.

Note 7. Credit Agreement

On August 2, 2005, the Company entered a Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement"), with Fortress Credit Corp. as original lender (together with any additional lenders, the "Lenders"), and Fortress Credit Corp. as Agent (the "Agent"). In addition, the Company entered into a \$10,000,000 Promissory Note (the "Note") with the Lenders, an Intercreditor Agreement with the Lenders, the Agent and certain subordinated lenders (the "Intercreditor Agreement"), a security agreement with the Agent (the "Security Agreement"), Pledge Agreements with the Lender (the "Pledge Agreements"), and a Warrant Agreement with the Agent (the "Warrant Agreement").

Collectively the Credit Agreement, such other agreements and the subsidiary security agreements referenced below are referred to as the "Financing Documents".

The Credit Agreement and the other Financing Documents have the following material terms:

Subject to the terms and conditions of the Credit Agreement, the Lenders agreed to make available to the Company a term loan facility in three Tranches, Tranches A, B and C, in an aggregate amount equal to \$50,000,000.

The maximum amount of loans under Tranche A of the credit facility is \$10,000,000. The purpose of amounts borrowed under Tranche A is to refinance certain of the Company's existing debt and to pay certain costs and expenses incurred in connection with the closing under the Credit Agreement.

The maximum amount of loans under Tranche B of the credit facility is \$15,000,000. Amounts borrowed under Tranche B may be used only to partially fund the acquisition by the Company of one or more companies, the acquisition costs related thereto, and other costs and expenses incurred in connection with the Credit Agreement and to finance an agreed amount of working capital for the companies being acquired.

The maximum amount of loans under Tranche C of the credit facility is \$25,000,000. Amounts borrowed under Tranche C may be used only to partially fund the acquisition by the Company of one or more publicly-traded companies, the acquisition costs related thereto, and other costs and

Table of Contents

**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)**

expenses incurred in connection with the Credit Agreement and to finance an agreed amount of working capital for the companies being acquired.

The Company has borrowed \$10,000,000 under Tranche A of the credit facility to pay-off its existing senior indebtedness, in the aggregate principal amount of \$6,825,000, plus accrued interest thereon, as well as certain existing subordinated indebtedness, in the aggregate principal amount of \$1,500,000. In addition, amounts borrowed under this Tranche A were used to pay certain closing costs, including the Lender's legal fees, commitment fees, and other costs and expenses under the Credit Agreement amounting to \$1,083,872. These closing costs have been deferred, and will be amortized over 4 years. \$67,743 and \$112,904 was amortized for the three and six months ended December 31, 2005, respectively. The remaining balance of \$664,003 was used for working capital needs.

The obligation to repay the \$10,000,000 principal amount borrowed at the closing, along with interest as described below, is further evidenced by the Note.

Advances under Tranche B and Tranche C must be approved by the Lenders, and are subject to the satisfaction of all conditions precedent required by the Lenders including the condition that a default not occur under the loans as a result of the advance.

The rate of interest (the Interest Rate) payable on the Loan for each calendar month (an Interest Period) is a floating percentage rate per annum equal to the sum of the LIBOR for that period plus the Margin. For these purposes, LIBOR means for any Interest Period the rate offered in the London interbank market for U.S. Dollar deposits for the relevant Interest Period; provided, however, that for purposes of calculating the Interest Rate, LIBOR shall at no time be less than a rate equal to 2.65%. For these purposes, Margin means 9% per annum. Interest is due and payable monthly in arrears.

Provided there has been no event of default under the Loan, an amount of interest equal to 4% per annum that would otherwise be paid in cash instead may be paid in kind (PIK) by such amount being added to the principal balance of the Loan on the last day of each month. Such PIK amount will then accrue interest and be due and payable on the same terms and conditions as the Loan. The Company may, at its option, elect to terminate the PIK interest arrangement and instead pay such amount in cash. As of December 31, 2005, the Company accrued and expensed \$279,136 in relation to the PIK interest.

If any sum due and payable under the credit facility is not paid on the due date therefore, the Company shall be liable to pay interest on such overdue amount at a rate equal to the then current Interest Rate plus 3% per annum.

Principal amounts due under the Loans begin to be amortized eighteen months after the closing date of the Credit Agreement, with the complete Loan to be repaid in full no later than the Maturity Date which is four years after the closing.

A mandatory prepayment is required if, prior to the date which is 9 months after the Closing Date, (i) the Company has not borrowed under Tranche B, and (ii) the Company has not acquired (without the incurrence of any indebtedness) 100% of the equity interests of any new subsidiary which at the time of acquisition had a twelve month trailing EBITDA of greater than \$1,000,000. If prepayments are required due to this reason, the amount of the prepayment is 85% of the Excess Cash Flow which means, cash provided by operations by the Company and its subsidiaries determined quarterly less capital expenditures for such period, provided that the

Company shall at all times be allowed to retain a minimum of \$1,500,000 of cash for operating

F-50

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)

purposes. In addition, the Company must prepay the loan in full no later than the date which is 21 months after the Closing Date.

The Credit Agreement contains certain financial covenants usual and customary for facilities and transactions of this type. In the event the Company completes further acquisitions, the Company and the Agent and lenders will agree upon modifications to the financial covenants to reflect the changes to the Company's consolidated assets, liabilities, and expected results of operations in amounts to be mutually agreed to by the parties.

The Company's obligations are guaranteed by the direct and indirect subsidiaries of the Company, including, without limitation, Gupta Technologies, LLC, Kenosia Corporation, and Warp Solutions, Inc.

The Company and its subsidiaries granted first priority security interests in their assets, and pledged the stock or equity interests in their respective subsidiaries, to the Agent as security for the financial obligations under the Credit Agreement and the Financing Documents. In addition, the Company has undertaken to complete certain matters, including the delivery of stock certificates in subsidiaries, and the completion of financing statements perfecting the security interests granted under the applicable state or foreign jurisdictions concerning the security interests and rights granted to the Lenders and the Agent.

As additional security for the lenders making the loans under the Credit Agreement, certain subsidiaries of the Company have entered into Security Agreements with Fortress Credit Corp. relating to their assets in the U.K., and have pledged their interests in the subsidiaries organized under English law, Gupta Technologies Limited and Warp Solutions Limited, by entering into a Mortgage of Shares with Fortress. Also, the Company's subsidiary, Gupta Technologies, LLC (Gupta) and its German subsidiary, Gupta Technologies GmbH, have entered into a Security Trust Agreement with Fortress Credit Corp. granting a security interest in the assets of such entities located in Germany. Gupta has also pledged its interests in the German subsidiary under a Share Pledge Agreement with Fortress Credit Corp.

Under the Intercreditor Agreement, the holders of the Company's outstanding subordinated notes which were issued pursuant to that certain Subordinated Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement dated January 31, 2005, agreed to subordinate the payment terms and security interests of the subordinated notes to the payment terms and security interests of the senior lenders under the Credit Agreement.

Pursuant to the Warrant Agreement, the Company agreed to issue warrants to acquire up to an aggregate of 7% of the fully diluted stock of the Company (as of the date of the Warrant Agreement) if the Lenders make all the advances under the total commitments of the credit facility. All warrants will have an exercise price of \$0.01 per share. The exercise price and number of shares issuable upon exercise of each warrant are subject to adjustment as provided in the Warrant Agreement, including weighted average anti-dilution protection.

Warrants to acquire an aggregate of 5% of the fully diluted stock of the Company (2,109,042 shares of Common Stock, par value \$.00001 per share) are issuable upon the Company receiving advances under Tranche A or B of the credit facility (Tranche A/ B Available Shares) in proportion to the amount of the advance compared with the total \$25,000,000 in commitments under Tranche A and B.

Since the Company borrowed \$10,000,000 under Tranche A at the closing, warrants to acquire 40% of the Available Tranche A/ B Shares (843,617 shares of the Company's Common Stock) were issued at closing to the Lenders. The warrants have an exercise price of \$.01 per share, have a

Table of Contents

**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)**

cashless exercise feature, and are exercisable until December 10, 2010. As further advances are made to the Company under Tranche B, the Company will issue additional warrants in proportion to the advances received. Additionally, if the unused total commitments attributable to Tranche A and Tranche B are cancelled in accordance with the Credit Agreement, warrants shall be used for the number of shares based on the Pro Rata Portion of the Total Commitments attributable to Tranche A or Tranche B which are cancelled. The proceeds from the Tranche A were allocated to the fair value of the warrants and Tranche A. Based on the fair market value, \$1,599,615 was allocated to the warrants and the remainder of \$8,400,385 was allocated to Tranche A. The fair value of the warrants was determined by utilizing Black-Scholes method. The discount to Tranche A will be accreted over 48 months. For the three months and six months ended December 31, 2005, \$99,975 and \$166,625 respectively were accreted and charged to interest expense.

On October 26, 2005, in connection with the acquisition of the five software companies (referred to as Agreements to Acquire Five Software Companies in Note 5 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements), the Company entered into Amendment Agreement No. 1 (Amendment Agreement) between the Company, Fortress Credit Opportunities I LP (Lender) and Fortress Credit Corp., as Agent (the Agent) relating to the Credit Agreement dated August 2, 2005 between the Company, Fortress Credit Corp., as original lender (together with any additional lenders, the Original Lenders), and the Agent. Pursuant to this Amendment Agreement, the Lender made a loan of \$15,000,000 under Tranche B of the credit facility under the Credit Agreement. Additional information of this amendment is qualified in its entirety by reference to Amendment Agreement No. 1, which was previously filed as Exhibit 10.87 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 1, 2005.

Since the Company borrowed \$15,000,000 under Tranche B on October 26, 2005, warrants to acquire 60% of the Available Tranche A/ B Shares (1,265,425 shares of the Company's Common Stock) were issued to the Lenders. The warrants have an exercise price of \$.01 per share, have a cashless exercise feature, and are exercisable until December 10, 2010. Based on the fair market value, \$1,892,415 was allocated to the warrants and the remainder of \$13,107,585 was allocated to Tranche B. The fair value of the warrants was determined by utilizing Black-Scholes method. The discount to Tranche B will be accreted over 45 months. For the three months ended December 31, 2005, \$89,024 was accreted and charged to interest expense.

Warrants to acquire an aggregate of 2% of the fully diluted stock of the Company (843,617 shares of Common Stock) are issuable upon the Company receiving advances under Tranche C of the credit facility (Tranche C Available Shares) in proportion to the amount of the Tranche C advance compared with the total \$25,000,000 in commitments under Tranche C.

Note 8. Promissory Notes

On September 20, 2005, the Company entered into a Promissory Note in the principal amount of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000) payable to the order of DCI Master LDC or its affiliates. Interest accrues under the Promissory Note at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum. The principal amount of the Promissory Note, together with accrued interest, is due and payable 90 days after the date it was entered into, December 19, 2005, unless the Promissory Note is converted into debt or equity securities of the Company in the Company's next financing involving sales by the Company of a class of its preferred stock or convertible debt securities, or any other similar or equivalent financing transaction. The terms of such conversion have not yet been determined. As of December 31, 2005, the Company has not repaid this Promissory Note or converted it into debt or equity securities. As such, interest of \$12,361

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)

was accrued and charged to interest expense in the current quarter. The principal and accrued interest may be converted to Series E Stock per the Subscription Agreements reached on January 11, 2006. Further information on these agreements is in Note 14 Subsequent Event.

Also on September 20, 2005, the Company issued to DCI Master LDC a Warrant to Purchase 181,818 Shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.00001 per share of the Company. The Warrant was issued in connection with the Promissory Note described above. The exercise price for the Warrant Shares is \$1.375, subject to adjustment as provided in the Warrant. The Warrant is exercisable until September 20, 2010. The Warrant contains an automatic exercise provision in the event that the warrant has not been exercised but the Fair Market Value of the Warrant Shares (as defined in the Warrant) is greater than the exercise price per share on the expiration date. The Warrant also contains a cashless exercise provision. The Warrant also contains a limitation on exercise which limits the number of shares of Common Stock that may be acquired by the Holder on exercise to that number of shares as will insure that, following such exercise, the total number of shares of Common Stock then beneficially owned by such Holder and its affiliates will not exceed 9.99% of the total number of issued and outstanding shares of Common Stock. This provision is waivable by the Holder on 60 days notice.

The proceeds from the Promissory Note were allocated to the fair value of the warrants and Promissory Note. Based on the fair market value, \$276,606 was allocated to the warrants and the remainder of \$223,394 was allocated to Promissory Note. The fair value of the warrants was determined by utilizing Black-Scholes method. The discount to the Promissory Note will be accreted over 3 months. For the three and six months ended December 31, 2005, \$245,872 and \$276,606 was accreted and charged to interest expense respectively.

On October 14, 2005, one of the Company's directors, David Howitt, made a short-term loan to the Company for \$150,000. This loan will be converted into equity under the Subscription Agreement described under *Convertible Promissory Notes and Effect on Previously Issued Convertible Notes* in Note 14 Subsequent Events.

On October 21, 2005, the Company entered into certain Convertible Promissory Notes in the aggregate principal amount of One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000). Interest accrues under the Notes at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum. The principal amount of the Notes, together with accrued interest, is due and payable 90 days after the date it was entered into, unless the Notes are converted into debt or equity securities of the Company in the Company's next financing involving sales by the Company of a class of its preferred stock or convertible debt securities, or any other similar or equivalent financing transaction. During the three months ended December 31, 2005, interest of \$19,444 was accrued and charged to interest expense. The principal and accrued interest may be converted to Series E Stock per the Subscription Agreements reached on January 11, 2006. Further information on these agreements is in Note 14

Subsequent Event. The Company also issued warrants (the Warrants) to purchase an aggregate of 363,636 Shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.00001 per share of the Company in connection with the Convertible Promissory Notes described above. The exercise price for the Warrant Shares is \$1.375, subject to adjustment as provided in the Warrant. The Warrants are exercisable for five years after the date of the Warrants. Based on the fair market value, \$512,691 was allocated to the warrants and the remainder of \$487,309 was allocated to the Convertible Promissory Notes. The fair value of the warrants was determined by utilizing Black-Scholes method. The discount to Convertible Promissory Notes will be accreted over 3 months. For the three months ended December 31, 2005, \$404,461 was accreted and charged to interest expense.

On October 26, 2005, as part of the Merger Consideration under the Merger Agreement, the Company issued a Promissory Note in the amount of \$1,750,000 to Platinum. The principal under the Promissory Note accrues interest at a rate of 9.0% per annum. The principal and accrued interest under the Promissory Note are due on March 31, 2006. Interest is payable in registered shares of common stock

Table of Contents**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)**

of the Company, provided that until such shares are registered, interest shall be paid in cash. During the three months ended December 31, 2005, interest of \$28,875 was accrued and charged to interest expense. The Promissory Note contains certain negative covenants including that the Company will not incur additional indebtedness, other than permitted indebtedness under the Promissory Note. Under the Promissory Note, the following constitute an Event of Default: (a) the Company shall fail to pay the principal and interest when due and payable; (b) the Company fails to pay any other amount under the Promissory Note when due and payable; (c) any representation or warranty of the Company was untrue or misleading in any material respect when made; (d) there shall have occurred an acceleration of the state maturity of any indebtedness for borrowed money of the Company or any Subsidiary of \$50,000 or more in aggregate principal amount; (e) the Company shall sell, transfer, lease or otherwise dispose of all or any substantial portion of its assets in one transaction or a series of related transactions, participate in any share exchange, consummate any recapitalization, reclassification, reorganization or other business combination transaction or adopt a plan of liquidation or dissolution or agree to do any of the foregoing; (f) one or more judgments in an aggregate amount in excess of \$50,000 shall have been rendered against the Company or any subsidiary; (g) the Company breaches any covenant set forth in Section 4 of the Promissory Note; or (h) an Insolvency Event (as defined in the Promissory Note) occurs with respect to the Company or a subsidiary. Upon an Event of Default, the Holder may, at its option, declare all amounts owed under the Promissory Note to be due and payable. The agreement also provided for a Working Capital Adjustment of \$1,000,000 to be paid no later than November 30, 2005. If not paid by such date, at the option of the Seller, the Working Capital Adjustment may be converted into up to 1,818,181 shares of Series D Preferred Stock. Additionally, if the Working Capital Adjustment is not paid on or before November 30, 2005, the Company must pay Platinum a monthly transaction advisory fee of \$50,000 per month, commencing December 1, 2005. As of December 31, 2005, the Working Capital Adjustment has not been paid or converted to Series D Preferred Stock. As such, the Company accrued \$50,000 for the advisory fee as of December 31, 2005.

Note 9. Registration Rights

The Company agreed, within forty-five (45) days after the closing of the Series C notes, Bridge Notes and Subordinated notes financing, to complete all required audits and make all related filings concerning the acquisition of Gupta. Within fifteen (15) days after the end of such 45-day period, the Company agreed to file a registration statement for the purpose of registering all of the Conversion Shares for resale, and to use its best efforts to cause such registration statement to be declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Commission) at the earliest practicable date thereafter.

If (i) the registration statement has not been filed with the Commission by the filing deadline or (ii) the registration statement has not been declared effective by the Commission before the date that is ninety (90) days after the filing deadline or, in the event of a review of the Registration Statement by the Commission, one hundred and twenty (120) days after the filing deadline, or (iii) after the registration statement is declared effective, the registration statement or related prospectus ceases for any reason to be available to the investors and noteholders as to all Conversion Shares the offer and sale of which it is required to cover at any time prior to the expiration of the effectiveness period (as defined in the Investors Agreement) for an aggregate of more than twenty (20) consecutive trading days or an aggregate of forty (40) trading days (which need not be consecutive) in any twelve (12) month period, the Company will pay to the Investors an amount in cash equal to 2% of the face value of the Series C Stock issued under the Subscription Agreement or upon conversion of the Bridge Notes, and 2% in cash of the principal amount of the Senior Notes and Subordinated Notes, and will continue to pay such 2% monthly penalties every thirty days until such registration statement is filed, declared effective and available to the investors at the earliest practicable date thereafter. The registration statement was filed after the date due. Accordingly, the Company may have incurred a penalty. The Company is seeking an acknowledgement

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)

from the affected investors that no penalty has yet incurred and that no such penalty will be incurred so long as the registration statement is declared effective within the applicable time period. If such acknowledgement is not forthcoming, the Company will seek a waiver of the penalty. As there can be no assurance it will receive an acknowledgement or waiver, the Company accrued \$386,000 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005.

Note 10. Series C Subscription Agreement

On January 31, 2005, the Company entered into certain Series C Subscription Agreements (collectively, the Subscription Agreement), with the Investors. Since the Series C Notes were not converted by March 17, 2005, due to a delay in receiving approval required before effecting the Amendment to the Company's Articles of Incorporation, the Company may be required to pay to the Investors a penalty in cash equal to ten percent (10%) of the principal amount of the Series C Notes. Accordingly, the Company anticipates that it will need to obtain a waiver or an acknowledgment that the penalties do not apply. The Company intends to work with the Investors to obtain waiver of this penalty or an acknowledgment that no penalty is due, and has received such waiver and acknowledgement from certain Investors. However, there is no assurance that the Company will receive sufficient waivers or acknowledgements from other Investors. As such the Company accrued \$647,500 for this penalty for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005.

Note 11. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Proceedings

On May 6, 2005, the Company received notice of a demand for arbitration before the American Arbitration Association from attorneys representing Michael Liss, a former employee of the Company who had the title Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Liss disputes the circumstances surrounding the termination of his employment and claims that he is entitled to severance benefits, other compensation and damages totaling approximately \$187,000 in addition to attorneys fees and statutory damages. The Company believes that Mr. Liss's claim is without merit and intends to vigorously defend itself. The Company has accrued \$50,000 for legal cost related to this matter.

Lease of Office Space for Principal Executive Offices

The Company entered into a lease for office space in Greenwich, Connecticut, where the Company has relocated its principal executive offices.

The lease commenced on August 29, 2005 and expires on August 14, 2009. Under the terms of the lease, the Company will pay an aggregate rent over the term of the lease of \$313,362.

Note 12. Acquisition of InfoNow

On December 23, 2005, the Company entered into a Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement) with WTH Merger Sub, Inc. (Merger Sub), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, and InfoNow Corporation (InfoNow) in a transaction valued at \$7.2 million (the Merger). Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, Merger Sub will be merged with and into InfoNow, with InfoNow surviving the merger as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company.

Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, which was approved by both companies' boards of directors, each share of InfoNow's common stock outstanding immediately prior to the Merger will be converted into the right to receive approximately \$0.71 in a combination of cash and common stock of the Company. The amount of cash per share to be received in the Merger by InfoNow stockholders will be determined by the amount of InfoNow's cash on hand and net working capital available to it three days

Table of Contents**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)**

prior to the closing. The lesser of the two amounts will be paid in cash by the Company pro rata in proportion to each stockholder's ownership in InfoNow at the closing of the Merger. The remainder of the approximately \$0.71 per share Merger consideration will be paid in shares of the Company's common stock, the value of which will be deemed to be the greater of \$1.00 or the average closing price of the Company's common stock as reported on the over-the-counter bulletin board for the twenty consecutive trading days ending two trading days prior to the closing of the Merger (the HALO Conversion Price). The Merger is intended to qualify as a tax-free reorganization under Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

In addition, each InfoNow common stock option outstanding at the closing with an exercise price less than \$0.71 per share will be converted into the right to receive cash and the Company common stock to the extent that the approximately \$0.71 per share merger consideration exceeds the applicable exercise price. The amount of cash and the Company common stock to be issued in respect of the outstanding in-the-money stock options as described above will be calculated based upon the relative proportions of the cash and the Company common stock issued in the Merger in respect of the outstanding Company common stock.

The Company will also issue a contingent value right (a CVR) in respect of each share of the Company's common stock issued in the Merger. The CVRs will be payable on the 18-month anniversary of the closing date, and will entitle each holder thereof to an additional cash payment if the trading price of the Company's common stock (based on a 20-day average) is less than the HALO Conversion Price. The CVRs will expire prior to the 18-month payment date if during any consecutive 45-day trading period during that time when the volume of the Company's common stock is not less than 200,000 per day, the stock price is 175% of the HALO Conversion Price. The shares of the Company common stock and related CVRs to be issued in the Merger are expected to be registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

The Merger Agreement includes representations and warranties regarding, among other things, InfoNow's corporate organization and capitalization, the accuracy of its reports and financial statements filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), the absence of certain changes or events relative to InfoNow since September 30, 2005, and InfoNow's receipt of a fairness opinion regarding the Merger from its financial advisor. Similarly, the Company makes representations and warranties regarding, among other things, its corporate organization and capitalization and the accuracy of its reports and financial statements filed under the Exchange Act. The Merger Agreement also includes covenants governing, among other things, InfoNow's and the Company's operations outside the ordinary course of business prior to the

InfoNow is a public enterprise software company, headquartered in Denver, Colorado. InfoNow provides channel visibility and channel management solutions, in the form of software and services, to companies that sell their products through complex networks of distributors, dealers, resellers, retailers, agents or branches (i.e., channel partners). Companies use InfoNow's software and services to collaborate with their channel partners to create demand, increase revenues, lower operating costs and maximize the return on investment of their channel strategies. InfoNow's clients are generally companies with extensive channel partner networks, and include companies such as Apple, Hewlett-Packard, Juniper Networks, NEC Display Solutions of America, The Hartford, Visa, and Wachovia Corporation. closing. Consummation of the Merger is subject to several closing conditions, including, among others, approval by a majority of InfoNow's common shares entitled to vote thereon, negotiation of the final terms of the CVR agreement and the effectiveness of a registration statement on Form S-4 to be filed by the Company, registering the shares of the Company common stock and related CVRs to be issued in the Merger. In addition, the Merger Agreement contains certain termination rights allowing InfoNow, the

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)

Company or both parties to terminate the agreement upon the occurrence of certain conditions, including the failure to consummate the Merger by July 31, 2006.

This Merger is expected to close in Fiscal Q4, 2006. A copy of the Merger Agreement is attached as Exhibit 10.110 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 27, 2005, and is incorporated herein by reference. The foregoing description of the Merger Agreement is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the Merger Agreement.

Note 13. Employment Agreement and Related Agreements with Mark Finkel

On December 28, 2005, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Mark Finkel in connection with Mr. Finkel's appointment as the Company's Chief Financial Officer. Under the terms of Mr. Finkel's employment agreement, the Company agreed to pay Mr. Finkel a monthly salary of \$20,833. Mr. Finkel's base salary is subject to upward adjustment pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement. In addition to base salary, Mr. Finkel is to receive a quarterly bonus equivalent to 25% of his annual Base Salary for each quarter, commencing with the fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2006, in which Mr. Finkel has met the objectives determined by the Company's Compensation Committee. In addition, Mr. Finkel will participate in cash and equity compensation bonuses under the Company's Fiscal 2006 Senior Management Incentive Plan (which was filed as Exhibit 10.93 to the Company's third Current Report on form 8-K filed on October 27, 2005). The initial term of the employment agreement ends on December 31, 2006. The employment agreement automatically renews for successive one-year terms unless either party gives notice of his or its intention to terminate at least 120 days prior to the end of the then current term. The Company may terminate Mr. Finkel's employment at any time for Cause (as defined in the employment agreement) or at any time upon 120 days prior written notice other than for Cause. Mr. Finkel may terminate his employment at any time for Good Reason (as defined in the employment agreement) or upon 120 days written notice without Good Reason. Mr. Finkel is eligible for up to 12 months severance if he is terminated by the Company without Cause or terminates his employment with Good Reason. A copy of the employment agreement was attached as Exhibit 10.111 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 4, 2006.

Pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement, Mr. Finkel was also required to execute the Company's standard form of Non-Competition Agreement and Confidential Information Agreement. The Non-Competition Agreement provides that, during a period commencing with the execution of the agreement and terminating (i) one (1) year after the termination of Mr. Finkel's employment with the Company, or (ii) if termination of employment is under circumstances where severance is due under the Employment Agreement, the period during which severance is paid by the Company, Mr. Finkel will not engage in certain activities which are competitive with the Company's Business (as defined in such agreement). The Confidential Information Agreement provides that Mr. Finkel shall maintain the confidentiality of the Company's Proprietary Information, and that Mr. Finkel assign any intellectual property rights arising during his employment to the Company. A copy of the Non-Competition Agreement is attached as Exhibit 10.112 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 4, 2006. A copy of the Confidentiality Agreement is attached as Exhibit 10.113 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 4, 2006.

Note 14. Subsequent Events

Options Granted to Mark Finkel

In connection with his employment by the Company, and under the Halo Technology Holdings 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, on January 4, 2006, Mr. Finkel received stock options for 600,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock. The options were awarded pursuant to the form of Stock Option Agreement which was attached as Exhibit 10.91 to the Company's third Current Report on form 8-K filed on

F-57

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)

October 27, 2005, and hereby incorporated by reference. The exercise price for Mr. Finkel's options is \$1.22 per share (the Fair Market Value on the date of grant by the Compensation Committee). The options granted to Mr. Finkel have a ten year term. 25% of these options vest on the first anniversary of the award, provided Mr. Finkel remains in his position through that date, and the remaining options vest ratably over the following 36 months, provided that Mr. Finkel remains with the Company.

Convertible Promissory Notes and Effect on Previously Issued Convertible Notes

On January 11, 2006, the Company entered into certain convertible promissory notes (the "Notes") in the aggregate principal amount of Seven Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$700,000). Interest accrues under the Notes at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum. The Notes will automatically convert into (i) such number of fully paid and non-assessable shares of the Company's Series E Preferred Stock (the "Series E Stock") equal to the aggregate outstanding principal amount due under the Notes plus the amount of all accrued but unpaid interest under the Notes divided by \$1.25, and (ii) warrants (the "Warrants") to purchase a number of shares of the Company's Common Stock equal to 40% of such number of shares of Series E Stock issued to the holder. This automatic conversion will occur upon the effectiveness of the filing of the Certificate of Designations, Preferences and Rights (the "Certificate of Designations") pertaining to the Company's Series E Preferred Stock. In the event that the Certificate of Designations is not filed 30 days after the notes were entered into (February 10, 2006) then the holders of the Notes may demand that the Company pay the principal amount of the Notes, together with accrued interest. No demand for payment has been made, and the Company expects the holders to convert their notes into equity. A copy of the form of the Notes is attached as Exhibit 4.14 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 18, 2006, and is incorporated herein by reference. The foregoing description of the Notes is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the Notes.

Also on January 11, 2006, the Company entered into certain Subscription Agreements (the "Subscription Agreements") for the sale of Series E Stock and Warrants. In addition to the conversion of the principal and interest under the Notes, investors (the "Investors") under the Subscription Agreements agreed to invest \$150,000 and committed to convert the principal and interest due under certain promissory notes issued by the Company in the aggregate principal amount of \$1,000,000. Of these notes, an aggregate of \$500,000 in principal amount was issued on September 20, 2005 and described in the Company's current report on Form 8-K filed on September 26, 2005, and an aggregate of \$500,000 in principal amount was issued in October 21, 2005 and described in the second Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company on October 27, 2005. Accordingly, these notes were amended by the Subscription Agreement. Also under the Subscription Agreement, an investor agreed to convert \$67,500 in certain advisory fees due from the Company into Series E Stock and Warrants.

The material terms of the Subscription Agreements are as follows. The Company designates the closing date. The closing is anticipated to occur when the Series E Certificate of Designations becomes effective. The obligations of the investors under the Subscription Agreement are irrevocable, provided that if the closing has not occurred within 30 days of the date of the agreement, the investors may revoke the agreement.

No later than seventy five (75) days after the completion of the offering, the Company agreed to file with the SEC a registration statement covering the Common Stock underlying the Series E Stock and the Warrants, and any Common Stock that the Company may elect to issue in payment of the dividends due on the Series E Stock.

Upon the completion of this offering, with a full round of investment of \$10,000,000, the Investors will have the right for 15 months to invest, in the aggregate, an additional \$10,000,000 in Common Stock of the Company, at \$2.00 per share of Common Stock (as adjusted for stock splits, reverse splits, and

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)

stock dividends) or a 20% discount to the prior 30 day trading period, whichever is lower. Each Investor's right shall be his, her or its pro rata amount of the initial offering.

In the event that the Company completes or enters into agreements to sell equity securities on or before February 15, 2006, the Investor may convert the Securities received under the Subscription Agreement into such other equity securities as if the Investor had invested the amount invested in such securities. The Company will provide the Investor with five business days notice of such right. The Investor will be required to execute and deliver all such transaction documents as required by the Company in order to convert the Securities into such other securities. If the Investor so converts, all rights in the Securities shall cease.

Certain of these transactions were entered into by Mr. David Howitt, a director of the Company. Mr. Howitt invested \$350,000 under the Notes, and agreed to invest another \$150,000 under the Subscription Agreement. Mr. Howitt recused himself from the Board of Directors decisions approving these transactions.

A copy of the form of the Subscription Agreement is attached as Exhibit 10.115 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 18, 2006.

Issuance of Common Stock in connection with the Acquisition of Empagio, Inc.

The Company entered into a Merger Agreement (the "Merger Agreement") dated December 19, 2005, with EI Acquisition, Inc., a Georgia corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of the Company ("Merger Sub"), Empagio, Inc. ("Empagio"), and certain stockholders of Empagio (the "Sellers"). On January 13, 2006, the closing occurred under the Merger Agreement. Accordingly, under the terms of the Merger Agreement, Empagio was merged with and into the Merger Sub (the "Merger") and Empagio survived the Merger and is now a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company.

Upon the closing of the Merger, the Company issued 1,438,455 shares of its Common Stock (the "Halo Shares"). The Company has delivered to the Empagio Stockholders 1,330,571 Halo Shares and retained 107,884 Halo Shares as security for Empagio Stockholder indemnification obligations under the Merger Agreement (the "Indemnity Holdback Shares"). The Indemnity Holdback Shares shall be released to the Empagio Stockholders on the later of (i) the first anniversary of the Closing Date and (ii) the date any indemnification issues pending on the first anniversary of the Closing Date are finally resolved.

Empagio is a human resources management software company. Its signature product is its SymphonyHR hosted software solution which automates HR procedures and reduces paperwork, ranging from payroll to benefits administration. The Company intends to integrate Empagio with additional HR solutions already within its portfolio to create a premier human resources management solutions provider.

A copy of the Merger Agreement was attached as Exhibit 10.109 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 23, 2005.

Convertible Promissory Notes in the Principal Amount of \$1,375,000

On January 27 and on January 30, 2006, the Company entered into certain convertible promissory notes (the "Notes") in the aggregate principal amount of One Million Three Hundred Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars (\$1,375,000). The principal amount of the Notes, together with accrued interest, shall be due and payable on demand by the Lender on any date which is no earlier than sixty (60) days after the date of the Notes (the "Original Maturity Date"), unless the Note is converted into Common Stock and Warrants as described below. In the event that the Notes are not converted by the Original Maturity Dates of the Notes, interest will begin to accrue at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum.

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)

Each Note shall convert into (i) such number of fully paid and non-assessable shares of the Company's Common Stock (the "Common Stock") equal to the aggregate outstanding principal amount due under the Note plus the amount of all accrued but unpaid interest on the Note divided by \$1.25, and (ii) warrants (the "Warrants") to purchase a number of shares of the Company's Common Stock equal to 75% of such number of shares of Common Stock. The Notes shall so convert automatically ("Mandatory Conversion") and with no action on the part of the Lender on the Original Maturity Date to the extent that upon such conversion, the total number of shares of Common Stock then beneficially owned by such Lender, does not exceed 9.99% of the total number of issued and outstanding shares of Common Stock. For such purposes, beneficial ownership shall be determined in accordance with Section 13(d) of the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder. In the event that a portion of the principal and interest under the Notes has not been converted on the first Mandatory Conversion (and the Lender has not demanded payment), there will be subsequent Mandatory Conversions until all of the principal and interest has been converted, provided that at each such Mandatory Conversion the total number of shares of Common Stock then beneficially owned by such Lender does not exceed 9.99% of the total number of issued and outstanding shares of Common Stock. Prior to any Mandatory Conversion the Lender may at its option exercisable in writing to the Company, convert all or a portion of the principal and interest due hereunder into Common Stock and Warrants provided that at each such conversion the total number of shares of Common Stock then beneficially owned by such Lender does not exceed 9.99% of the total number of issued and outstanding shares of Common Stock. By written notice to the Company, each Lender may waive the foregoing limitations on conversion but any such waiver will not be effective until the 61st day after such notice is delivered to the Company.

A copy of the form of the Notes was attached as Exhibit 4.15 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 2, 2006.

Also on January 27 and January 30, 2006, the Company entered into certain Subscription Agreements (the "Subscription Agreements") for the sale of the Notes and the underlying Common Stock and Warrants.

The material terms of the Subscription Agreements are as follows. The Company and the investors (the "Investors") under the Subscription Agreements made certain representations and warranties customary in private financings, including representations from the Investors that they are "accredited investors" as defined in Rule 501(a) of Regulation D ("Regulation D") under the Securities Act.

The Company undertakes to register the shares of Common Stock issuable upon conversion of the Notes, and upon conversion of the Warrants (together, the "Registrable Shares") via a suitable registration statement pursuant to the registration rights set forth in the Subscription Agreement. If a registration statement covering the Registrable Shares has not been declared effective no later than 180 days from the closing, the holders shall receive a number of shares of Common Stock equal to 1.5% of the number of shares received upon conversion of the Notes for each 30 days thereafter during which the Registrable Shares have not been registered, subject to a maximum penalty of 9% of the number of shares received upon conversion of the Notes.

The Subscription Agreement allows the Investors to "piggyback" on the registration statements filed by the Company. The Company agreed that it will maintain the registration statement effective under the Securities Act until the earlier of (i) the date that all of the Registrable Shares have been sold pursuant to such Registration Statement, (ii) all Registrable Shares have been otherwise transferred to Persons who may trade such shares without restriction under the Securities Act, or (iii) all Registrable Shares may be sold at any time, without volume or manner of sale limitations pursuant to Rule 144(k) under the Securities Act.

Table of Contents

Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)

Upon the completion of the offering under the Subscription Agreements, with a full round of investment of \$10,000,000, the Investors in the Offering, together with the investors who participated in the Company's offering of Series E Preferred Stock and Warrants (the "Series E Offering") as described initially in the Company's current report on Form 8-K filed on January 18, 2006, will have the right for 15 months after the final closing of the Offering, to invest, in the aggregate (together with any investors in such Series E offering), an additional \$10,000,000 in Common Stock of the Company. The price of such follow-on investment will be \$2.00 per share of Common Stock or a 20% discount to the prior 30 day trading period, whichever is lower; provided that the price per share shall not be less than \$1.25. The aggregate amount which may be invested pursuant to this follow-on right will be equivalent to the aggregate amount invested by the Investor in the Offering or in the Series E Offering. Each Investor's right shall be his, her or its pro rata amount of the initial offering.

Once the Company has raised a total of \$5,000,000 in this Offering and the Series E Offering, the Investors will be able to invest up to 50% of the amount which they may invest pursuant to this follow-on right; subsequent to the completion of the full round of \$10,000,000 the Investors may invest the remainder of the amount which they may invest pursuant to this follow-on right.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Subscription Agreements, the number of shares of Common Stock that may be acquired by the Investor upon any exercise of this follow-on right (or otherwise in respect hereof) shall be limited to the extent necessary to insure that, following such exercise (or other issuance), the total number of shares of Common Stock then beneficially owned by such Investor and its Affiliates and any other Persons whose beneficial ownership of Common Stock would be aggregated with the Investor's for purposes of Section 13(d) of the Exchange Act, does not exceed 9.99% of the total number of issued and outstanding shares of Common Stock. By written notice to the Company, the Investor may waive the provisions of this Section but any such waiver will not be effective until the 61st day after such notice is delivered to the Company.

A copy of the form of the Subscription Agreement is attached as Exhibit 10.116 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K.

Acquisition of Executive Consultants, Inc.

On January 30, 2006, the Company entered into a Merger Agreement (the "Merger Agreement") with ECI Acquisition, Inc., a Maryland corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of the Company ("Merger Sub"), Executive Consultants, Inc., a Maryland corporation ("ECI"), and certain stockholders of ECI (the "Sellers"). Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, the Merger Sub shall be merged with and into ECI (the "Merger") and ECI shall be the surviving corporation. The total merger consideration for all of the equity interests in ECI (the "Purchase Price") shall be \$603,571 in cash and cash equivalents and 330,668 shares of the Company's Common Stock (the "Halo Shares"), subject to adjustment based on the Net Working Capital (as defined in the Merger Agreement) on the Closing Date.

The Purchase Price shall be paid as follows:

At the Closing, Halo shall make available for delivery to the ECI Stockholders \$603,571 in cash and cash equivalents and 330,668 Halo Shares.

Not later than thirty (30) days after the Closing Date, Halo shall calculate the Net Working Capital as of the Closing Date and shall provide Sellers with a written copy of such calculation. Such calculation shall be definitive and binding upon the parties unless Sellers shall give Halo written notice of any objection to such calculation within thirty (30) days after the receipt thereof (an "Objection Notice"). If Sellers deliver an Objection Notice, the parties shall negotiate in good faith to resolve all disputes regarding the Net Working Capital. If the parties can not resolve such a

Table of Contents

**Warp Technology Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for Period Ended December 31, 2005 (Continued)**

dispute they shall mutually agree upon a nationally or regionally recognized accounting firm to determine the Net Working Capital, whose decision, absent manifest error, shall be binding upon the parties.

On January 30, 2006, the Company entered into a Merger Agreement with Executive Consultants, Inc., a Maryland corporation (ECI). Upon the closing under the Merger Agreement, ECI will become a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. The total merger consideration for all of the equity interests in ECI (the Purchase Price) will be \$603,571 in cash and cash equivalents and 330,668 shares of the Company s Common Stock (the Halo Shares), subject to adjustment based on the Net Working Capital (as defined in the Merger Agreement) on the Closing Date. Following completion of the transaction, ECI will be combined with Empagio, a subsidiary of the Company. The acquisition of ECI s clients, will enhance Empagio s human resources software offerings. The Merger is scheduled to close in February 2006, subject to customary closing conditions.

To the extent the Net Working Capital as of the Closing Date is less than \$0 (the amount of any such difference referred to as the Purchase Price Reduction Amount), the Purchase Price, shall be reduced, dollar for dollar and share for share (based on the per share closing valuation), by the Purchase Price Reduction Amount. To the extent the Net Working Capital as of the Closing Date is greater than \$0 (the amount of any such difference referred to as the Purchase Price Increase Amount) the Purchase Price, shall be increased, dollar for dollar and share for share (based on the per share closing valuation), by such amount. The amount due under the Net Working Capital adjustment shall be paid within five (5) business days of the final determination of the Purchase Price Reduction Amount or Purchase Price Increase Amount, as the case may be, by wire transfer of immediately available funds and transfer of Halo Shares. To the extent the calculation of Net Working Capital results in a Purchase Price Reduction Amount, the Sellers shall be responsible for this amount, although the Sellers may make arrangements among the ECI Stockholders to allocate this obligation pro rata among all ECI Stockholders.

Under the Merger Agreement, the Sellers made certain customary representations and warranties to the Company concerning ECI and the Company made certain customary representations and warranties to the Sellers. The Merger Agreement contains indemnity terms which provide that each party shall indemnify the other party for breaches of representations and warranties and covenants made under the agreement, provided that neither party shall be required to pay any damages unless the aggregate amount of all damages exceeds certain limits and provided further that neither party shall be liable for damages in excess of certain limits, other than for breaches by the Seller of representations relating to authority to enter into the agreement, capitalization, subsidiaries, certain liabilities, taxes and brokers fees.

The Merger is scheduled to close in February 2006, subject to customary conditions precedent including accuracy of representations and warranties at the closing date, and satisfaction of all closing conditions, but in no event later than February 28, 2006.

A copy of the Merger Agreement is attached as Exhibit 10.117 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 3, 2006.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
Tesseract Corporation
Years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004
with Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
Contents

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-64
<u>Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2005</u>	F-65
<u>Statements of Income for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004</u>	F-66
<u>Statements of Shareholder's Deficit for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004</u>	F-67
<u>Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004</u>	F-68
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	F-69

F-63

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Shareholders

Tesseract Corporation

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of Tesseract Corporation (the Company) as of June 30, 2005, and the statements of income, shareholder's deficit, and cash flows for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Tesseract Corporation as of June 30, 2005, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

Mahoney Cohen & Company, CPA, P.C.

January 6, 2006

New York, NY

F-64

Table of Contents**Tesseract Corporation
Balance Sheet****June 30,
2005**

ASSETS	
Current assets:	
Cash	\$ 825,104
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,809	126,630
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	89,036
Total current assets	1,040,770
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$193,316	6,120
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$1,225,918	94,302
Due from affiliates	3,198,463
Total assets	\$ 4,339,655
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDER S DEFICIT	
Current liabilities:	
Accounts payable	\$ 226,856
Other accrued liabilities	368,186
Due to affiliates	156,041
Loan payable	82,174
Note payable	72,442
Deferred revenue-current portion	4,649,081
Total current liabilities	5,554,780
Deferred revenue-long-term portion	101,734
Total liabilities	5,656,514
Commitments	
Shareholder s deficit:	
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 1,000 shares authorized, 100 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2005	1
Additional paid in capital	1,805,469
Accumulated deficit	(3,122,329)
Total shareholder s deficit	(1,316,859)
Total liabilities and member s deficit	\$ 4,339,655

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**Tesseract Corporation
Statements of Income**

	Years Ended June 30,	
	2005	2004
Revenues:		
Products	\$ 762,585	\$ 127,604
Services	9,136,808	10,649,571
Total revenues	9,899,393	10,777,175
Cost of revenues:		
Cost of products	85,647	128,767
Cost of services	1,522,840	1,637,651
Total cost of revenues	1,608,487	1,766,418
Gross profit	8,290,906	9,010,757
Operating expenses:		
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	2,974,832	3,570,116
Research and development expenses	1,803,455	1,671,009
Depreciation and amortization	200,174	256,093
Management fees to Platinum Equity, LLC	2,575,000	2,400,000
Total operating expenses	7,553,461	7,897,218
Income from operations	737,445	1,113,539
Other income (expense):		
Interest income	258,018	237,204
Interest expense	(102,354)	(85,853)
Other income (expense), net	12,000	(10,127)
Income before provision (benefit) for income taxes	905,109	1,254,763
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(2,281)	43,066
Net income	\$ 907,390	\$ 1,211,697
Earnings per share	\$ 9,073.90	\$ 12,116.97
Weighted-average number of common shares	100	100

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

Tesseract Corporation
Statements of Shareholder s Deficit
For the Years Ended June 30, 2005 and 2004

	Common Stock		Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss)		Accumulated Deficit	Total Shareholder s Deficit
	Shares	Amount	Paid in Capital			
Balance at July 1, 2003	100	\$ 1	\$ 496,419	\$ (53,253)	\$ (3,921,436)	\$ (3,478,269)
Contributions			500,000			500,000
Distributions					(1,319,980)	(1,319,980)
Unrealized holding gain arising during the year				38,423		38,423
Reclassification adjustment for realized loss				14,830		14,830
Net income					1,211,697	1,211,697
Balance at June 30, 2004		1	996,419		(4,029,719)	(3,033,299)
Contributions			809,050			809,050
Net income					907,390	907,390
Balance at June 30, 2005		\$ 1	\$ 1,805,469	\$	\$ (3,122,329)	\$ (1,316,859)

See accompanying notes.

F-67

Table of Contents

Tesseract Corporation
Statements of Cash Flows

	Year Ended June 30	
	2005	2004
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 907,390	\$ 1,211,697
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	200,174	256,093
Loss on sale of investments		14,830
Provision for bad debt	2,810	(26,711)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	55,097	289,950
Due from affiliates	(258,018)	(237,204)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(11,869)	(20,770)
Accounts payable	2,232	(220,309)
Other accrued liabilities	(105,951)	(141,873)
Due to affiliates	1,927	(118,649)
Deferred revenue	(543,360)	132,418
Net cash provided by operating activities	250,432	1,139,472
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Purchases of property and equipment	(3,760)	(5,589)
Proceeds from sale of securities		1,282,307
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(3,760)	1,276,718
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Shareholder distributions		(1,319,965)
Shareholder contributions	809,050	500,000
Repayments of note payable	(1,271,256)	(500,000)
Repayments of loan payable	(410,870)	
Net cash used in financing activities	(873,076)	(1,319,965)
Net (decrease) increase in cash	(626,404)	1,096,225
Cash at beginning of year	1,451,508	355,283
Cash at end of year	\$ 825,104	\$ 1,451,508
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information		
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 22,080	\$ 43,066
Cash paid for interest	\$ 102,354	\$ 85,853

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

**Tesseract Corporation
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2005**

1. Organization and Nature of Business

In January of 1999, Platinum Equity, LLC (*Platinum*), purchased Tesseract Corporation (*Tesseract* or *Company*) from Ceridian Corporation and Tesseract became a wholly owned subsidiary of Platinum. On October 26, 2005, the Company was acquired by WARP Technology Holdings, Inc. operating under the name Halo Technology Holdings, a publicly traded company. (See note 7). On December 31, 2004, Westgarde Holdings, Inc. (*Westgarde*), owned by Platinum, merged with Tesseract. Westgarde's issued and outstanding shares of common stock were retired and cancelled, Westgarde ceased to exist and Tesseract was the surviving entity. Due to the common ownership of the companies, Tesseract's financial statement give effect to the merger as of July 1, 2003.

Tesseract, headquartered in San Francisco, is a total HR solutions provider offering an integrated Web-enabled HRMS suite. Tesseract's Web-based solution suite allows HR users, employees and external service providers to communicate securely and electronically in real time. The integrated nature of the system allows for easy access to data and a higher level of accuracy for internal reporting, assessment and external data interface. Tesseract's customer base features Fortune 100 corporations operating in a diverse range of industries, including financial services, transportation, utilities, insurance, manufacturing, petroleum, pharmaceuticals and retail.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of revenue and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash

The Company typically maintains cash at commercial banks. At times, bank account balances exceed FDIC insurance limits. Generally, the FDIC insures depositor funds up to \$100,000.

Concentration of Credit Risk and Certain Other Risks

Financial instruments that subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk include accounts receivable. The Company sells its products and services primarily to end-users in the United States and limited in Canada. Credit is extended based on an ongoing evaluation of the customer's financial condition and, generally, collateral is not required. The Company maintains allowances for potential credit losses based on management's evaluation of the customer's financial condition, past collection history, and age of the accounts receivable balances. Historically, losses have been within the range of management's expectations.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

At June 30, 2005, the respective carrying values of the Company's financial instruments, including accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued liabilities, loans payable and notes payable approximated their fair values.

Table of Contents

Tesseract Corporation
Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is comprised of net income or loss and unrelated gain or loss on marketable securities for the year ended June 30, 2004. For the year ended June 30, 2005, comprehensive income consisted of net income only. Comprehensive income for the year ended June 30, 2004 is as follows:

Net income	\$ 1,211,697
Unrealized holding gain during the year	38,423
Reclassification adjustment for realized loss	14,830
Comprehensive income	\$ 1,264,950

Marketable Securities

Marketable securities are stated at fair value as determined by quoted market price. The Company has classified its securities as investments available for sale pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 115,

Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities. The related unrealized holding gains and losses are excluded from operations and recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss on the Statement of Shareholders Equity. Realized gains and losses and declines in value judged to be other-than-temporary on marketable securities are included in other expense. In September 2003, the Company sold all of its Marketable Securities and recognized a loss of \$14,830 for the year ended June 30, 2004.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment recorded as part of the acquisition by Platinum was recorded at fair value. Property and equipment acquired subsequent to the date of the acquisition is recorded at cost. Significant renewals and betterments to property and equipment are capitalized and maintenance and repairs that do not improve or extend the lives of the assets are expensed as incurred. When assets are sold, replaced, or otherwise retired, the cost and related accumulated depreciation or amortization is eliminated from the accounts in the year of disposal and the related gains and losses are included in income. Depreciation or amortization is computed on the straight-line method over one to three years, the estimated useful lives of the assets.

Intangible Assets

Amortization of intangible assets is computed using the straight-line method over seven years, the useful lives of the assets.

Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share has been calculated by dividing net income by the weighted-average shares outstanding.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues are derived from the licensing of software, maintenance contracts, training, and other consulting services.

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Statement of Position (SOP) 97-2, *Software Revenue Recognition*, as amended. In arrangements that include rights to multiple software products and/or services, the Company allocates and defers revenue for the undelivered items, based on vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value, and

Table of Contents

Tesseract Corporation

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

recognizes the difference between the total arrangement fee and the amount deferred for the undelivered items as revenue. In arrangements in which the Company does not have vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value of maintenance, and maintenance is the only undelivered item, the Company recognizes the total arrangement fee ratably over the contractual maintenance term.

Software license revenues are recognized upon receipt of a purchase order and delivery of software, provided that the license fee is fixed or determinable; no significant production, modification, or customization of the software is required; and collection is considered probable by management.

Service revenue for maintenance contracts is deferred and recognized ratably over the term of the agreement. Revenue from training and other consulting services is recognized as the related services are performed.

At June 30, 2005, the Company recorded deferred revenue of \$4,750,815, primarily for customer upfront payments on maintenance contracts and arrangements for which the Company is recognizing the total arrangement fee ratably over the contractual maintenance term.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue includes costs related to product and service revenue. Cost of product revenue includes third-party licensing fees. Cost of service revenue includes salaries, benefits, and overhead costs associated with employees providing maintenance and technical support, training and consultant services. Third-party consultant fees are also included in cost of service revenue.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company evaluates its long-lived assets and intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to the future net undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the future discounted cash flows compared to the carrying amount of the asset.

Income Taxes

The Company is an S corporation and is treated as a disregarded entity for federal income tax purposes and, therefore, is not liable for United States (U.S.) federal income taxes. As an S corporation, the Company's taxable income is included in the income tax returns of the shareholder. However, some states do not recognize the disregarded entity status and, therefore, the Company will continue to be taxed as a C corporation within those states. Additionally, there are certain states in the U.S. that assess a fee against S corporations. Accordingly, for those various states, the Company utilizes the liability method to determine the provision for income taxes.

Income tax expense and benefit relates to state income taxes and income tax refunds. The book and tax basis of the assets and liabilities with the exception of deferred revenue, intangible assets and accrued interest receivable are the same. Since the Company is an S corporation, a deferred tax asset or liability was not recorded.

Shipping and Handling Costs

Costs to ship products from the Company's facilities to customers are recorded as a component of cost of products in the statements of income.

Table of Contents

Tesseract Corporation
Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

Advertising Expense

The Company expenses the costs of advertising when incurred. Advertising expense was \$43,899 and \$9,141 for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Research and Development and Software Development Costs

Research and development expenses are charged to operations as incurred. Software development costs, which are required to be capitalized pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 86, *Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to Be Sold, Leased, or Otherwise Marketed*, have been insignificant. Accordingly, no software development costs have been capitalized. Research and development expense was \$1,803,455 and \$1,671,009 for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*, which establishes standards for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods or services. This standard requires an entity to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant date fair value of the award. This eliminates the exception to account for such awards using the intrinsic method previously allowable under APB Opinion No. 25. SFAS No. 123(R) will be effective for the interim period beginning July 1, 2006. The Company believes the adoption will not have an effect on our results of operations.

3. Property and Equipment

At June 30, 2005, property and equipment consisted of the following:

Computer Equipment	\$ 199,436
Less accumulated depreciation	(193,316)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 6,120

Depreciation expense was \$11,570 and \$67,489 for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 respectively.

4. Intangible Assets

At June 30, 2005, intangible assets consisted of the following:

	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Customer relationships	\$ 1,320,220	\$ 1,225,918	\$ 94,302

Amortization expense was \$188,604 for each of the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004.

Amortization expense for the year ending June 30, 2006 will be \$94,302 relating to customer relationships.

5. Related Party Transactions***Note Receivable, Management Fees and Expense Reimbursements***

The Note Receivable from Platinum was \$3,198,463 for the year ended June 30, 2005. The Promissory Note has a principal amount of \$2,000,000 with interest on unpaid principal amount at an

Table of Contents**Tesseract Corporation****Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)**

interest rate equal to eight and one-half percent per annum due January 14, 2009. At June 30, 2005, accrued interest was \$1,198,463. Interest income was \$258,018 and \$237,204 for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The Company is party to a management agreement with Platinum that requires Platinum to provide the Company with financial, management and strategic services. The Company incurred management fees of \$2,575,000 and \$2,400,000 to Platinum for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Expenses incurred by Platinum on behalf of the Company were \$2,362 and \$4,501 for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Such expense reimbursements are recorded in general and administrative expenses in the accompanying statements of operations. At June 30, 2005, the Company had \$156,041 payable to Platinum for management fees and expense reimbursements.

Transactions with Affiliates

The Company enters into certain transactions with companies that are owned directly or indirectly by Platinum. Sales to affiliates were \$12,000 during the year ended June 30, 2005. Purchases from affiliates were \$1,673 and \$14,523 during the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and were included in selling, general, and administrative expenses in the statements of operations.

6. Notes and Loans Payable

The Company has a loan payable to a bank in the amount of \$82,174 that bears interest at the bank's prime lending rate (6.25% at June 30, 2005). The loan was due July 2005 and was paid in full.

The Company has an unsecured note payable to a lender in the amount of \$72,442 that bears interest at 8.0% annually. The loan was due July 2005 and was paid in full.

7. Commitments***Leases***

The Company has operating leases for certain office facilities. Rental expense for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 was approximately \$471,000 and \$392,000, respectively. Future minimum lease payments required under operating leases that have initial or remaining noncancelable lease terms in excess of one year as of June 30, 2005, are as follows:

2006	\$ 471,345
2007	392,790
Total minimum lease payments	\$ 864,135

Rental income in connection with a sublease was approximately \$79,000 for the year ended June 30, 2004.

8. Employee Benefits

The Company has a 401(k) plan which includes an employer match of 50% of the first 6% of a participant's eligible contributions. The Company made matching contributions of \$78,149 and \$96,498 for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

9. Subsequent Event

On October 26, 2005, WARP Technology Holdings, Inc. operating under the name Halo Technology Holdings (Halo) completed the transactions contemplated by that certain Merger Agreement (the

Table of Contents

Tesseract Corporation

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

Merger Agreement) dated as of September 12, 2005 with Tac/ Halo, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Halo (the Merger Sub), Tesseract and Platinum Equity, LLC (Seller). Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, Tesseract shall be merged with and into the Merger Sub (the Merger) and shall survive as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Halo. The aggregate consideration shall consist of (a) \$4,500,000 in cash payable at the closing of the Merger, (b) 7,045,454 shares of Series D Preferred Stock as calculated by dividing \$7,750,000 by \$1.10, (c) a Promissory Note in the original principal amount of \$1,750,000, delivered at closing and payable no later than March 31, 2006, and (d) a Working Capital Adjustment of \$1,000,000 to be paid no later than November 30, 2005 (which have not been paid). If the Promissory Note is paid on or before March 31, 2006, Platinum will return for cancellation, without additional consideration from the Company, 909,091 shares of Series D Preferred Stock to Halo.

In addition, the amount due from Platinum at the closing was forgiven by the Company and accordingly, will not be collected by the Company.

F-74

Combined Financial Statements
Process Software, LLC and Affiliates
Years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004
With Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
Contents

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-76
<u>Combined Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2005</u>	F-77
<u>Combined Statements of Operations for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004</u>	F-78
<u>Combined Statements of Member's and Shareholder's Equity for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004</u>	F-79
<u>Combined Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004</u>	F-80
<u>Notes to Combined Financial Statements</u>	F-81

F-75

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Members and Shareholders

Process Software, LLC and Affiliates

We have audited the accompanying combined balance sheet of Process Software, LLC and Affiliates (the Company) as of June 30, 2005, and the combined statements of operations, member s and shareholder s equity, and cash flows for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004. These combined financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these combined financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the combined financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the combined financial position of Process Software, LLC and Affiliates as of June 30, 2005, and the combined results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

Mahoney Cohen & Company, CPA, P.C.

January 6, 2006

New York, NY

F-76

Table of Contents**Process Software, LLC and Affiliates
Combined Balance Sheet****June 30,
2005**

ASSETS	
Current assets:	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 983,630
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$112,281	1,546,015
Due from affiliates	22,138
Prepaid expenses and other assets	322,782
Total current assets	2,874,565
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$2,411,177	101,540
Other assets	111,154
Goodwill	1,642,760
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$7,307,910	5,992,090
Total assets	\$ 10,722,109
LIABILITIES AND MEMBER S AND SHAREHOLDER S EQUITY	
Current liabilities:	
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 1,214,904
Deferred revenues - current portion	5,688,873
Due to Platinum Equity, LLC	2,259,460
Total current liabilities	9,163,237
Deferred revenues - long term	20,323
Commitments	
Member s and shareholder s equity:	
Member s equity	2,026,293
Common stock	120,000
Paid in capital	3,672,736
Accumulated deficit	(4,280,480)
Total member s and shareholder s equity	1,538,549
Total liabilities and member s and shareholder s equity	\$ 10,722,109

See accompanying notes.

F-77

Table of Contents**Process Software, LLC and Affiliates
Combined Statements of Operations**

	Year Ended June 30 2005	Year Ended June 30 2004
Revenues:		
Products	\$ 2,463,329	\$ 2,578,529
Service	13,654,402	15,364,931
Total revenues	16,117,731	17,943,460
Cost of revenues:		
Cost of products	684,046	830,834
Cost of services	1,785,936	2,127,438
Total cost of revenues	2,469,982	2,958,272
Gross profit	13,647,749	14,985,188
Operating expenses:		
Engineering and development	3,412,322	3,780,801
Selling and marketing	1,613,641	2,126,612
General and administrative	3,873,562	4,025,906
Depreciation and amortization	1,611,512	1,543,197
Management fees to Platinum Equity, LLC	2,916,046	4,509,677
Total operating expenses	13,427,083	15,986,193
Income (loss) from operations	220,666	(1,001,005)
Other income (expense):		
Interest income, net	(35,924)	17,488
Other expense, net	(2,248)	(84,938)
Income (loss) before provision for taxes	182,494	(1,068,455)
Provision for Income taxes	22,707	6,900
Net income (loss)	\$ 159,787	\$ (1,075,355)

See accompanying notes.

F-78

Table of Contents

Process Software, LLC and Affiliates
Combined Statements of Member s and Shareholder s Equity

	Member s Equity	David Common Stock Shares	Amount	Foresight Common Stock Shares	Amount	Paid in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Totals
Balance at June 30, 2003	\$ 1,003,019	10	\$	12,000,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 3,568,394	\$ (3,406,637)	\$ (109,986)	\$ 1,174,790
Contributions						104,342			104,342
Distributions to Shareholder							(135,000)		(135,000)
Distributions to Member	(6,251)								(6,251)
Unrealized holding gain arising during the year								41,039	41,039
Reclassification adjustment for realized loss								68,947	68,947
Net loss	(684,038)						(391,317)		(1,075,355)
Balance at June 30, 2004	312,730	10		12,000,000	120,000	3,672,736	(3,932,954)		172,512
Contributions	1,306,250								1,306,250
Distributions to Shareholder							(100,000)		(100,000)
Net income (loss)	407,313						(247,526)		159,787
Balance at June 30, 2005	\$ 2,026,293	10		12,000,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 3,672,736	\$ (4,280,480)		\$ 1,538,549

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**Process Software, LLC and Affiliates
Combined Statements of Cash Flows**

	Year Ended June 30, 2005	Year Ended June 30, 2004
Operating activities		
Net income (loss)	\$ 159,787	\$ (1,075,355)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,611,512	1,543,197
Loss on sale of securities		68,947
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	251,954	333,473
Due from affiliate	14,907	(3,387)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	103,102	70,293
Other assets	35,600	13,093
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	401,571	(467,159)
Due to affiliates	(3,519,528)	(547,820)
Deferred revenue	(790,071)	(668,804)
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,731,166)	(733,522)
Investing activities		
Purchases of property and equipment	(61,912)	(170,789)
Sale of marketable securities		451,117
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(61,912)	280,328
Financing activities		
Stockholder's and member's distributions	(100,000)	(141,251)
Member's contribution	1,306,250	104,342
Net cash provided by (used in) in financing activities	1,206,250	(36,909)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(586,828)	(490,103)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	1,570,458	2,060,561
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 983,630	\$ 1,570,458
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 22,707	\$ 6,900
Cash paid for interest	\$ 35,770	\$ 40,042

See accompanying notes

Table of Contents

**Process Software, LLC and Affiliates
Notes to Combined Financial Statements
June 30, 2005**

1. Organization and Nature of Business

The combined financial statements include Process Software, LLC (*Process*), David Corporation (*David*), ProfitKey International, LLC (*ProfitKey*) and Foresight Software, Inc. (*Foresight*) (combined the *Company*). These four entities are affiliated through common ownership and management. Platinum Equity, LLC (*Platinum*) either directly or indirectly owns all the common stock or complete membership interest in these affiliated companies. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in combination.

Process designs, develops and markets networking software solutions, including a suite of TCP/ IP applications and services for Compaq's OpenVMS Alpha and VAX systems. *Process* focuses on providing the most advanced, secure and reliable networking software available. *Process* products are cross-platform, directory-centric solution sets for the administration and proactive provisioning of secure reliable end-to-end network services and applications.

David provides risk management information systems, and serves clients ranging from Fortune 500 companies to public entities and third-party administrators. *David* offers client/server-based products to companies that provide their own workers' compensation and liability insurance.

ProfitKey designs, develops and markets ERP Software and Manufacturing Execution Software (*MES*) to small to mid-market make-to-order/make-to-stock manufacturers. *ProfitKey* focuses on providing a comprehensive solution including quality control, engineering change management and e-commerce capabilities. *ProfitKey*'s products are written using the GUPTA programming language and operate on the Oracle, SQL server and Linux database platforms.

Foresight designs, develops and markets ERP and SMS software to small to mid-market make-to-order/make-to-stock manufacturers. The *Foresight*'s products are written using the Progress programming language and operate on the Progress database platform.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Concentrations of Credit Risk and Major Customers

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of accounts receivable.

The credit risk with respect to accounts receivable is limited due to the creditworthiness of the Company's customers, and the Company's credit and collection policies. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers, generally does not require collateral and maintains allowances for potential credit losses which, when realized, have been within the range of management's expectations. No one customer accounted for a significant percentage of the Company's revenue during the years ended June 30, 2004 or 2005. Additionally, no one customer accounted for a significant percentage of the Company's accounts receivable at June 30, 2005.

F-81

Table of Contents

**Process Software, LLC and Affiliates
Notes to Combined Financial Statements (Continued)**

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company invests its excess cash primarily in money market mutual funds. Accordingly, these investments are subject to minimal credit and market risk. For financial reporting purposes, the Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Income Taxes

Process and ProfitKey are single member limited liability companies that are treated as a disregarded entity for federal income tax purposes and, therefore, are not liable for United States (U.S.) federal income taxes. As a limited liability company treated as a disregarded entity, the Process and ProfitKey s taxable income is included in the income tax returns of the member. However, some states do not recognize the disregarded entity status and, therefore, the Company will continue to be taxed as a C corporation in those states. Additionally, there are certain states in the U.S. that assess a fee against limited liability companies. Accordingly, for those various states, the Company utilizes the liability method to determine the provision for income taxes.

David and Foresight are entities that are an S corporation and are treated as a disregarded entity for federal income tax purposes and, therefore, are not liable for United States (U.S.) federal income taxes. As an S Corporation they are treated as a disregarded entity, the David and Foresight s taxable income is included in the income tax returns of the shareholder. However, some states do not recognize the disregarded entity status and, therefore, the Company will continue to be taxed as a C corporation in those states. Additionally, there are certain states in the U.S. that assess a fee against S corporations. Accordingly, for those various states, the Company utilizes the liability method to determine the provision for income taxes.

Income tax expense relates to state income taxes. The book and tax basis of the assets and liabilities with the exception of deferred revenue, intangible assets and goodwill are the same. Since the Company comprises of entities that are limited liability companies and S corporations, a deferred tax asset or liability was not recorded.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment recorded are cost. Property and equipment acquired subsequent to the date of acquisition is stated at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from three to seven years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the estimated life of the asset or lease term.

Engineering and Development and Software Development Costs

Engineering and development expenses are charged to operations as incurred. Software development costs incurred subsequent to the establishment of technological feasibility are capitalized. Based on the Company s product development process, technological feasibility is established upon completion of a working model. Costs incurred by the Company between completion of the working model and the point at which the product is ready for general release have been insignificant. Accordingly no software development costs have been capitalized.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Intangible assets are primarily comprised of customer relationships, and developed technology. Goodwill represents acquisition costs in excess of the net assets of businesses acquired. In accordance with SFAS 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets goodwill is no longer amortized; instead goodwill is

Table of Contents

Process Software, LLC and Affiliates

Notes to Combined Financial Statements (Continued)

tested for impairment on an annual basis. We assess the impairment of identifiable intangibles and goodwill whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors we consider to be important which could trigger an impairment review include the following:

Significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results;

Significant changes in the manner of use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the overall business; and

Significant negative industry or economic trends.

When we determine that the carrying value of intangibles and other long-lived assets may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment and the carrying value of the asset cannot be recovered from projected undiscounted cash flows, we record an impairment charge. We measure any impairment based on a projected discounted cash flow method using a discount rate determined by management to be commensurate with the risk inherent in the current business model. Significant management judgment is required in determining whether an indicator of impairment exists and in projecting cash flows.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues are derived from the licensing of software, annual maintenance contracts software, training and other support services.

Software license revenues are recognized upon receipt of a purchase order and delivery of software, provided that the license fee is fixed or determinable; no significant production, modification, or customization of the software is required; and collection is considered probable by management. For licensing of the Company's software through its indirect sales channel, revenue is recognized when the distributor sells the software to its end-users, including value-added resellers. For licensing of the Company's software to independent software vendors, revenue is recognized upon shipment to the independent software vendors. For licensing of the Company's software through its indirect Sales channel revenue is recognized when the distributor sells the software to its end-user, including value-added resellers. For licensing of the Company's software to independent software vendors, revenue is recognized upon shipment to the independent software vendors.

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Statement of Position (SOP) 97-2, *Software Revenue Recognition*, as amended. In arrangements that include rights to multiple software products and/or services, the Company allocates and defers revenue for the undelivered items, based on vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value, and recognizes the difference between the total arrangement fee and the amount deferred for the undelivered items as revenue. In arrangements in which the Company does not have vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value of maintenance, and maintenance is the only undelivered item, the Company recognizes the total arrangement fee ratably over the contractual maintenance term.

Service revenue for annual maintenance contracts is deferred and recognized ratably over the term of the agreement. Revenue from training and other services is recognized as the related services are performed.

Deferred Revenue

At June 30, 2005, the Company recorded deferred revenue of \$5,709,196 primarily for customer upfront payments on maintenance contractual arrangements for which the Company is recognizing the total arrangement fee ratably over the contractual maintenance term.

Table of Contents

Process Software, LLC and Affiliates
Notes to Combined Financial Statements (Continued)

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue includes costs related to product and service revenue. Cost of product revenue includes material, packaging, shipping, and other production costs. Cost of service revenue includes salaries, benefits, and overhead costs associated with employees providing maintenance and technical support, training, and consulting services. Third-party consultant fees are also included in cost of service revenue.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company evaluates its long-lived assets and intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to the future net undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the future discounted cash flows compared to the carrying amount of the asset.

Comprehensive Income

For the year ended June 30, 2004, comprehensive loss consist of following:

Net loss	\$ (1,075,355)
Unrealized holding gain arising during the year	41,039
Reclassification adjustment for realized loss	68,947
Comprehensive loss	\$ (965,369)

For the year ended June 30, 2005, comprehensive income consisted of net income only.

Marketable Securities

Marketable securities are stated at fair value as determined by quoted stock price. The Company has classified its securities as investments available for sale pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 115

Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities . The related unrealized holding gains or losses are excluded from operations and recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss on the Combined Statement of Member s and Shareholder s Equity. Realized gains and losses and declines in value judged to be other than temporary on marketable securities are included in other expense. In May 2004, the Company sold all of its securities for proceeds of approximately \$451,000 and recognized a loss of approximately \$69,000 for the year ended June 30, 2004.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

At June 30, 2005, the respective carrying values of the Company s financial instruments, including receivables, accounts payable, and accrued liabilities, approximated their fair values.

Shipping and Handling Costs

Costs to ship products from the Company s warehouse facilities to customers are recorded as a component of cost of products in the combined statement of operations.

Table of Contents

Process Software, LLC and Affiliates
Notes to Combined Financial Statements (Continued)

Advertising Expense

The Company expenses the costs of advertising when incurred. Advertising expense were \$20,000 and \$9,000 for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*, which establishes standards for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods or services. This standard requires an entity to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant date fair value of the award. This eliminates the exception to account for such awards using the intrinsic method previously allowable under APB Opinion No. 25. SFAS No. 123 (R) will be effective for the period beginning July 1, 2006. The adoption of SFAS No. 123 (R) will not have an effect on our results of operations.

Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Earnings (Loss) per share for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 is not applicable to the Company as they are a combination of privately held companies that are different legal entities, and accordingly, the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding is not determinable.

3. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2005
Computer equipment	\$ 1,482,510
Furniture and fixtures	757,409
Leasehold improvements	272,798
	2,512,717
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	2,411,177
	\$ 101,540

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$199,012 in 2005 and \$130,697 in 2004.

4. Intangible Assets

Intangible assets are amortized on a straight-line basis over their expected useful lives ranging from eight to ten years. Amortization expense was \$1,412,500 in 2005 and 2004.

Intangible assets consisted of the following:

	Amortization Period (in Years)	June 30 2005
Customer relationships	10	\$ 10,000,000
Technology core and developed	8	3,300,000
		13,300,000
Less accumulated amortization		7,307,910

\$ 5,992,090

F-85

Table of Contents**Process Software, LLC and Affiliates****Notes to Combined Financial Statements (Continued)**

The Company expects to incur amortization expense of the following:

Year ending June 30:	
2006	\$ 1,413,000
2007	1,413,000
2008	1,344,000
2009	1,000,000
2010	822,000
	\$ 5,992,000

5. Related Party Transactions***Management Fees and Expense Reimbursements***

The Company is party to a management agreement with Platinum that requires Platinum to provide the Company with financial, management and strategic services. The Company incurred management fees of \$2,916,046 and \$4,509,677 to Platinum in 2005 and 2004, respectively. At June 30, 2005, \$2,259,460 was payable to Platinum Equity, LLC for unpaid management fees.

Expenses incurred by Platinum on behalf of the Company were \$165,491 and \$826,041 during 2005 and 2004, respectively. Such expense reimbursements are recorded in general and administrative expense in the accompanying combined statements of operations.

The Company paid approximately \$36,000 and \$35,000, interest to Platinum for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Transactions with Affiliates

The Company enters into certain transactions with companies that are owned directly or indirectly by Platinum. Purchases from affiliates were \$181,225 and \$253,533 during the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and were included in general, and administrative expense in the combined statements of operations. Amounts due from affiliates at June 30, 2005 were \$22,138.

6. Lease Commitments

The Company has operating leases for its principle office facilities.

Future minimum lease payments required under all operating leases that have initial or remaining noncancelable lease terms in excess of one year as of June 30, 2005 are as follows:

Year ending June 30:	
2006	\$ 668,735
2007	572,488
2008	379,620
	\$ 1,620,843

Rent expense incurred under these leases for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 were approximately \$764,000 and \$742,000, respectively.

Total minimum lease payments have not been reduced by \$183,000 to be received in the future under a non-cancelable sublease with an uncombined affiliate. Rental income for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 were approximately \$88,000.

Table of Contents

Process Software, LLC and Affiliates
Notes to Combined Financial Statements (Continued)

Note 7 Common Stock

At June 30, 2005 and 2004, common stock consists of:

David Corporation, No par value:	
Authorized	10,000,000 shares
Issued and outstanding	10 shares \$
Foresight Software, Inc., \$0.01 par value:	
Authorized	15,000,000 shares
Issued and outstanding	12,000,000 120,000
	\$ 120,000

8. Employee Benefit Plan

The Company maintains a qualified defined contribution plan for all employees. The Company's plan is part of Platinum's defined contribution plan. Platinum's plan allows participating companies to have different contribution and vesting formula. Participants may elect to defer up to 19% of their wages (subject to the annual limitations imposed by Section 402 of the Internal Revenue Code). The Company matches participant contributions at the rate of 50% of the first 6% of salary contributed. The Company made matching contributions of \$139,166 and \$168,776 in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

9. Segment Information

For the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, the breakdown of revenues and depreciation and amortization and total assets by segment were as follows:

Year Ended June 30, 2005

	Process	David	ProfitKey	Foresight	Total
Revenue					
Products	\$ 917,839	\$ 391,266	\$ 491,815	\$ 662,409	\$ 2,463,329
Service	8,320,292	1,887,756	2,395,812	1,050,542	13,654,402
Total	\$ 9,238,131	\$ 2,279,022	\$ 2,887,627	\$ 1,712,951	\$ 16,117,731
Depreciations and Amortization	\$ 1,567,496	\$ 25,200	\$ 13,570	\$ 5,246	\$ 1,611,512
Net income (loss)	\$ 260,989	\$ (372,312)	\$ 146,324	\$ 124,786	\$ 159,787
Total Assets	\$ 9,155,899	\$ 745,134	\$ 446,310	\$ 374,766	\$ 10,772,109

Year Ended June 30, 2004

	Process	David	ProfitKey	Foresight	Total
Revenue					
Products	\$ 1,467,192	\$ 415,942	\$ 414,510	\$ 280,885	\$ 2,578,529
Service	9,574,852	2,013,645	2,388,011	1,388,423	15,364,931

Edgar Filing: Halo Technology Holdings, Inc. - Form S-4

Total	\$ 11,042,044	\$ 2,429,587	\$ 2,802,521	\$ 1,669,308	\$ 17,943,460
Depreciations and Amortization	\$ 1,486,779	\$ 27,357	\$ 23,411	\$ 5,650	\$ 1,543,197
Net income (loss)	\$ (644,418)	\$ 101,770	\$ (39,620)	\$ (493,087)	\$ (1,075,355)
Total Assets	\$ 10,735,257	\$ 1,299,345	\$ 674,089	\$ 555,469	\$ 13,264,160

F-87

Table of Contents

Process Software, LLC and Affiliates

Notes to Combined Financial Statements (Continued)

No one customer accounted for more than 10% of the Company's revenue for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004. The Company sells its product and services to customers primarily in North America.

10. Subsequent Event

On October 26, 2005, WARP Technology Holdings Inc. (Halo) completed the transactions contemplated by WARP Technology Holdings Inc. operating under that certain Purchase Agreement (the Purchase Agreement) dated as of September 12, 2005 by and among the Halo and Platinum Equity, LLC (Platinum), EnergyTRACS Acquisition Corp. (the Foresight Seller) and Milgo Holdings, LLC (the Process Seller) and together with Platinum and the Foresight Seller, the Sellers) for the acquisition of 100% of the Equity Interests in David, ProfitKey, Foresight, and Process (the Acquisition). Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, Platinum sold, assigned and delivered 100% of the common stock of David and a 100% membership interest in ProfitKey, the Foresight Seller sold, assigned and delivered 100% of the common stock of Foresight, and the Process Seller sold, assigned and delivered a 100% membership interest in Process to Halo in exchange for the payment of an aggregate of \$12,000,000.

In addition, the amount due to Platinum at the closing was not assumed by the Company.

F-88

Table of Contents

**WARP TECHNOLOGIES HOLDINGS, INC.
TESSERACT Corporation/Process Software, LLC and Affiliates
UNAUDITED PRO FORMA
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

On October 26, 2005, Warp Technology Holdings, Inc. operating under the name Halo Technology Holdings (the Company or WARP), completed the transactions contemplated by that certain Merger Agreement (the Merger Agreement) dated as of September 12, 2005 by and among the Company and TAC/ Halo, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (the Merger Sub), Tesseract Corporation (Tesseract) and Platinum Equity, LLC (Platinum), as amended by Amendment No. 1 to Merger Agreement (the Amendment) dated October 26, 2005 by and among such parties and TAC/ Halo, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (New Merger Sub). Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, Tesseract was merged with and into the New Merger Sub (the Merger) which survived as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. The Amendment provided that the Merger Consideration shall consist of (i) \$4,500,000 in cash payable at Closing, (ii) 7,045,454 shares of Series D Preferred Stock of the Company, and (iii) \$1,750,000 payable no later than March 31, 2006 and evidenced by a Promissory Note. The Amendment provided for a Working Capital Adjustment of \$1,000,000 to be paid no later than November 30, 2005. If not paid by such date, at the option of the Seller, the Working Capital Adjustment may be converted into up to 1,818,181 shares of Series D Preferred Stock. Additionally, if the Working Capital Adjustment is not paid on or before November 30, 2005, the Company must pay Platinum a monthly transaction advisory fee of \$50,000 per month, commencing December 1, 2005. Under the Amendment, Platinum agrees to retain 909,091 shares of Series D Preferred Stock delivered as part of the Merger Consideration. If the Promissory Note is paid on or before March 31, 2006, Platinum will return for cancellation, without additional consideration from the Company, 909,091 shares of Series D Preferred Stock to the Company. The Amendment further provides that the rights, preferences and privileges of the Series D Preferred Stock will adjust to equal the rights, preferences and privileges of the next round of financing if such financing is a Qualified Equity Offering (as defined in the Amendment). If the next round is not a Qualified Equity Offering, the rights, preferences and privileges of the Series D Preferred Stock will adjust to equal the rights, preferences and privileges of the next round of financing at the option of the holder. The descriptions of the Merger Agreement and Amendment No. 1 to the Merger Agreement are qualified in their entirety by reference to the Merger Agreement, which was previously filed as Exhibit 10.87 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 16, 2005, and to Amendment No. 1 to the Merger Agreement filed as Exhibit 10.94 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 1, 2005.

Also on October 26, 2005, the Company completed the transactions contemplated by that certain Purchase Agreement (the Purchase Agreement) dated as of September 12, 2005 by and among Warp Technology Holdings, Inc. operating under the name Halo Technology Holdings (Company) and Platinum Equity, LLC (Platinum), EnergyTRACS Acquisition Corp. (the Foresight Seller) and Milgo Holdings, LLC (the Process Seller and together with Platinum and the Foresight Seller, the Sellers) for the acquisition of 100% of the Equity Interests in David Corporation, ProfitKey International, LLC, Foresight Software, Inc. and Process Software, LLC (the Acquisition). Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, Platinum sold, assigned and delivered 100% of the common stock, no par value per share of the David Corporation, a California Corporation and a 100% membership interest in ProfitKey International LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, the Foresight Seller sold, assigned and delivered 100% of the common stock, par value \$0.01 per share of the Foresight Software, Inc., a Delaware corporation and the Process Seller sold, assigned and delivered a 100% membership interest in Process Software, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company to the Company in exchange for the payment of an aggregate of twelve million dollars (\$12,000,000) in cash. These four companies are collectively referred to as Process and Affiliates . The Purchase Agreement has previously been filed as Exhibit 10.86 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 16, 2005 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

**WARP TECHNOLOGIES HOLDINGS, INC.
TESSERACT Corporation/Process Software, LLC and Affiliates
UNAUDITED PRO FORMA**

CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

This unaudited pro forma information should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements of the Company included in our Annual Report filed on Form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 2005 and our Quarterly Report filed on Form 10-QSB for the three months ended September 30, 2005 filed on November 14, 2005. In addition, this pro forma information should be read in conjunction with the financial statements of Tesseract for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 and with the financial statements of Process and Affiliates for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, both of which are included within this Amendment to Current Report on Form 8-K/A.

The following unaudited pro forma statement of operations for the year ended June 30, 2005 has been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States to give effect to the October 26, 2005 acquisition of Tesseract, and Process and Affiliates as if the transaction occurred on July 1, 2004. The pro forma statement of operations combines the results of operations of the Company for the year ended June 30, 2005 with the results of operations of Tesseract, and Process and Affiliates for the year ended June 30, 2005. Pro forma adjustments include decrease in intangible amortization, decrease in deferred revenue amortization, elimination of management fees paid to Platinum, interest on debt relating to this acquisition, amortization of financing costs, and accretion of the fair value of the warrants issued as part of this financing. Platinum was the sole owner of Tesseract, and Process and Affiliates at June 30, 2005.

The following unaudited pro forma statement of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2005 has been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States to give effect to the October 26, 2005 acquisition of Tesseract, and Process and Affiliates as if the transaction occurred on July 1, 2005. Such pro forma statement of operations combines the results of operations of the Company for the three months ended September 30, 2005 with the results of operations of Tesseract, and Process and Affiliates for the three months ended September 30, 2005. Pro forma adjustments include decrease in intangible amortization, decrease in deferred revenue amortization, elimination of management fees paid to Platinum, interest on debt relating to this acquisition, amortization of financing costs, and accretion of the fair value of the warrants issued as part of this financing.

The following unaudited pro forma balance sheet has been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States; gives effect to the October 26, 2005 acquisition of Tesseract, and Process and Affiliates and the financing raised in connection with the acquisition as if the acquisition and financing occurred on September 30, 2005; and combines the consolidated balance sheet of the Company as of September 30, 2005, which is included in the Company's Quarterly Report filed on Form 10-QSB for the three months ended September 30, 2005 with the balance sheets of Tesseract, and Process and Affiliates as of September 30, 2005.

Under the purchase method of accounting, the estimated cost of approximately \$14 million to acquire Tesseract, plus transaction costs, will be allocated to Tesseract's underlying net assets at their respective fair values. Similarly, the estimated cost of approximately \$12 million to acquire Process and Affiliates, plus transaction costs, will be allocated to their underlying net assets at their respective fair values. As more fully described in the notes to the pro forma consolidated condensed financial statements, a preliminary allocation of the excess of the purchase price over the value of the net assets acquired has been allocated to goodwill. Intangible assets consisting of trade names, customer relationships, and developed technologies, are expected to be amortized over approximately seven years. At this time, the work needed to provide the basis for estimating these fair values, and amortization periods, has not been completed. As a result, the final allocation of the purchase price, intangible assets acquired, and their estimated useful lives, as well as the amount recorded as goodwill could differ materially. Accordingly, a change in the amortization period would impact the amount of annual amortization expense.

Table of Contents

**WARP TECHNOLOGIES HOLDINGS, INC.
TESSERACT Corporation/Process Software, LLC and Affiliates
UNAUDITED PRO FORMA**

CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

These unaudited pro forma financial statements are prepared for informational purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of future results or of actual results that would have been achieved had the acquisition of Tesseract, and Process and Affiliates been consummated as of the dates specified above.

F-91

Table of Contents

WARP Technology Holdings, Inc.
Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet
September 30, 2005

Pro Forma Adjustments

	WARP(A)	Tesseract(B)	Process and Affiliates(C)	Financing	Tesseract Purchase Accounting	Process and Affiliate Purchase Accounting	WARP Pro Forma
(Unaudited)							
ASSETS							
Current assets:							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 751,033	\$ 369,075	\$ 947,953	\$ 14,704,906(D)	\$ (3,500,000)(G)	\$ (12,000,000)(H)	\$ 1,272,967
Accounts receivable, net	2,129,875	64,673	1,677,514				3,872,062
Due from Platinum Equity, LLC and Affiliates		3,266,430	124,183		(3,275,685)(G)		114,928
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	443,217	72,534	249,195				764,946
Total current assets	3,324,125	3,772,712	2,998,845	14,704,906	(6,775,685)	(12,000,000)	6,024,903
Property and equipment, net	246,688	4,339	79,618				330,645
Deferred financing cost, net	1,325,110			295,094(F)			1,620,204
Intangible assets, net	16,462,587	47,150	5,638,961		3,919,650(G)	(891,481)(H)	25,176,867
Goodwill	7,601,420		1,642,765		12,094,214(G)	6,998,535(H)	28,336,934
Investment and other assets	1,086,360		111,154		(1,000,000)(G)		197,514
Total assets	\$ 30,046,290	\$ 3,824,201	\$ 10,471,343	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 8,238,179	\$ (5,892,946)	\$ 61,687,067
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY							
Current liabilities:							
Accounts payable	\$ 997,060	\$ 169,432	\$ 312,678	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,479,170

Edgar Filing: Halo Technology Holdings, Inc. - Form S-4

Accrued expenses	3,579,633	407,048	833,740		84,000(G)	266,000(H)	5,170,421
Due to Platinum Equity, LLC and Affiliates		153,537	1,356,897		(153,537)(G)	(1,039,123)(H)	317,774
Note payable to Bristol Technology, Inc.	500,000						500,000
Note payable	254,128				2,750,000(G)		3,004,128
Deferred revenue	4,098,187	3,532,063	5,694,951		(1,681,030)(G)	(2,879,758)(H)	8,764,413
Due to ISIS	1,293,701						1,293,701
Total current liabilities	10,722,709	4,262,080	8,198,266		999,433	(3,652,881)	20,529,607
Subordinated notes payable	1,083,336						1,083,336
Senior notes payable	8,467,035			13,107,585(D,E)			21,574,620
Other long term liabilities	41,602	50,867	33,012				125,481
Total liabilities	20,314,682	4,312,947	8,231,278	13,107,585	999,433	(3,652,881)	43,313,044
Commitments and Contingencies							
Stockholders equity:							
Preferred stock (Canadian subsidiary)	2						2
Series C Preferred Stock	13,936,644						13,936,644
Series D Preferred Stock					6,750,000(G)		6,750,000
Shares of Common Stock to be issued for accrued dividends on Series C Preferred Stock	211,636						211,636
	42,500						42,500

Shares of Common Stock to be issued for accrued interest on subordinated debt							
Common stock	35	1	120,000		(1)(I)	(120,000)(I)	35
Additional paid-in capital	61,885,439	1,805,469	3,672,736	1,892,415(E)	(1,805,469)(I)	(3,672,736)(I)	63,777,854
Deferred compensation	(870,562)						(870,562)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(62,664)						(62,664)
(Accumulated deficit) retained earnings	(65,411,422)	(2,294,216)	(4,259,470)		2,294,216(I)	4,259,470(I)	(65,411,422)
Member s equity			2,706,799			(2,706,799)(I)	
Total stockholders equity	9,731,608	(488,746)	2,240,065	1,892,415	7,238,746	(2,240,065)	18,374,023
Total liabilities and stockholders equity							
equity	\$ 30,046,290	\$ 3,824,201	\$ 10,471,343	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 8,238,179	\$ (5,892,946)	\$ 61,687,067

See accompanying notes to unaudited pro forma consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEET (UNAUDITED)**

(A) Reflects the historical financial position of the Company at September 30, 2005.

(B) Reflects the historical financial position of Tesseract at September 30, 2005.

(C) Reflects the historical financial position of Process and Affiliates at September 30, 2005

(D) The Company used a credit facility previously secured with Fortress Credit Corp. (Lender) in order to complete the acquisition of Tesseract, and Process and Affiliates. The Lender made a loan of \$15,000,000 under the credit facility. The cash received by the company is net of financing costs of \$295,094.

(E) The company issued 1,265,425 shares of warrants in connection with the \$15,000,000 loan. The fair market value of these warrants, \$1,892,415 as estimated by using the Black-Scholes method, adjusts the original amount of the loan down and increases the equity.

(F) The Company has paid \$295,094 for financing costs in connection with the financing raised, as it is included in other assets as deferred financing costs.

(G) The following represents the acquisition of Tesseract and the preliminary allocation of the purchase price: The final allocation of the purchase price will be determined based on a comprehensive final evaluation of the fair value of the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

Calculation of Purchase Price for Tesseract:

Cash	\$	3,500,000
Advances to Platinum made prior to September 30, 2005		1,000,000
Promissory note and Working Capital Adjustment		2,750,000
Series D Preferred Stock (6,136,363 shares)		6,750,000
Transaction costs		84,000
 Total purchase price	 \$	 14,084,000

Allocation of Purchase Price for Tesseract:

Assets:		
Tesseract's historical assets	\$	3,824,201
Write-up of intangibles assets consisting of trade names, developed technologies and customer relationships		3,919,650
Write-up of goodwill		12,094,214
Forgiveness of receivables due from Platinum		(3,275,685)
Liabilities:		
Tesseract's historical liabilities		(4,312,947)
Adjustment of deferred revenues to fair market value		1,681,030
Forgiveness of payables to Platinum		153,537
 Total purchase price	 \$	 14,084,000

(H) The following represents the acquisition of Process and Affiliates and the preliminary allocation of the purchase price: The final allocation of the purchase price will be determined based on a comprehensive final evaluation of the fair value of the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO THE PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEET
(UNAUDITED) (Continued)

Calculation of Purchase Price for Process and Affiliates:

Cash	\$ 12,000,000
Transaction costs	266,000
Total purchase price	\$ 12,266,000

Allocation of Purchase Price for Process and Affiliates:

Assets:	
Process and Affiliates historical assets	\$ 10,471,343
Write-down of intangibles assets consisting of developed technologies and customer relationships	(891,481)
Increase in goodwill	6,998,535
Liabilities:	
Process and Affiliates historical liabilities	(8,231,278)
Adjustment of deferred revenues to fair market value	2,879,758
Forgiveness of payables to Platinum	1,039,123
Total purchase price	\$ 12,266,000

(I) Eliminate Tesseract's stockholder's equity of \$488,746 and Process and Affiliates' member's and stockholder's equity of \$2,240,065 related to the pre-acquisition period.

Table of Contents

**WARP Technology Holdings, Inc.
Pro Forma Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations
Three Months ended September 30, 2005**