LINDSAY CORP Form 10-Q July 09, 2010

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q

(MARK ONE)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended May 31, 2010 OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number <u>1-13419</u> Lindsay Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 47-0554096

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2222 N 111th Street, Omaha, Nebraska

68164

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

402-829-6800

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes þ No o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer , accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer b

Non-accelerated filer o

Smaller reporting company o

(Do not check if smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b

As of July 6, 2010, 12,486,372 shares of the registrant s common stock were outstanding.

Lindsay Corporation INDEX FORM 10-Q

	Page No.
Part I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
ITEM 1 Financial Statements	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2010 and 2009	3
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, May 31, 2010 and 2009 and August 31, 2009	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended May 31, 2010 and 2009	5
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6-17
ITEM 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	18-25
ITEM 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	25-26
ITEM 4 Controls and Procedures	26
Part II OTHER INFORMATION	
ITEM 1 Legal Proceedings	26-27
ITEM 1A Risk Factors	27
ITEM 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	27
ITEM 6 Exhibits	28
SIGNATURE	29
Exhibit 10.1 Exhibit 31.1 Exhibit 31.2 Exhibit 32.1	

Part I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1 Financial Statements

Lindsay Corporation and Subsidiaries CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (unaudited)

	Three months ended May 31,			Nine months ended May 31,			
(in thousands, except per share amounts)	2010		2009		2010		2009
Operating revenues Cost of operating revenues	\$ 100,073 74,818	\$	84,578 63,509	\$	271,239 198,051	\$	262,845 199,851
Gross profit	25,255		21,069		73,188		62,994
Operating expenses: Selling expense General and administrative expense Engineering and research expense Total operating expenses	5,909 7,348 1,949 15,206		5,186 7,000 1,346 13,532		16,683 22,963 5,418 45,064		17,567 21,837 4,706 44,110
Operating income	10,049		7,537		28,124		18,884
Other income (expense): Interest expense Interest income Other income (expense), net	(474) 49 12		(465) 200 636		(1,291) 215 72		(1,570) 741 (832)
Earnings before income taxes	9,636		7,908		27,120		17,223
Income tax provision	3,388		2,639		8,217		5,482
Net earnings	\$ 6,248	\$	5,269	\$	18,903	\$	11,741
Basic net earnings per share	\$ 0.50	\$	0.43	\$	1.52	\$	0.96
Diluted net earnings per share	\$ 0.50	\$	0.42	\$	1.50	\$	0.94
Weighted average shares outstanding Diluted effect of stock equivalents	12,486 124		12,305 136		12,439 138		12,280 168

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-Q

Weighted average shares outstanding assuming

dilution 12,610 12,441 12,577 12,448

Cash dividends per share \$ 0.080 \$ 0.075 \$ 0.240 \$ 0.225

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

- 3 -

Lindsay Corporation and Subsidiaries CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(A :- 41 In In	(Unaudited) May 31,	(Unaudited) May 31,	August 31,
(\$ in thousands, except par values) ASSETS	2010 2009		2009
Current Assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 83,509	\$ 63,212	\$ 85,929
Receivables, net of allowance, \$2,246, \$1,503, and \$1,864,			
respectively	56,804	57,371	42,862
Inventories, net	47,070	54,355	46,255
Deferred income taxes	5,974	8,591	6,881
Other current assets	9,071	5,886	7,602
Total current assets	202,428	189,415	189,529
Property, plant and equipment, net	56,379	56,964	59,641
Other intangible assets, net	26,728	28,383	29,100
Goodwill, net	23,292	24,079	24,174
Other noncurrent assets	5,652	5,479	5,453
Total assets	\$ 314,479	\$ 304,320	\$ 307,897
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY			
Current Liabilities:	.	40.462
Accounts payable	\$ 29,547	\$ 18,463	\$ 20,008
Current portion of long-term debt	4,286	6,171	6,171
Other current liabilities	29,981	30,957	33,008
Total current liabilities	63,814	55,591	59,187
Pension benefits liabilities	6,192	5,588	6,407
Long-term debt	9,643	20,997	19,454
Deferred income taxes	9,431	11,935	10,391
Other noncurrent liabilities	2,053	5,619	4,800
Total liabilities	91,133	99,730	100,239
Shareholders equity: Preferred stock, (\$1 par value, 2,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding) Common stock, (\$1 par value, 25,000,000 shares authorized, 18,184,620, 18,121,203 and 18,128,743 shares issued at May 31,			
2010 and 2009 and August 31, 2009, respectively)	18,185	18,121	18,129
Capital in excess of stated value	30,515	28,304	28,944
Retained earnings	265,373	248,594	249,588

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-Q

Less treasury stock (at cost, 5,698,448, 5,813,448 and 5,763,448 shares at May 31, 2010 and 2009 and August 31, 2009, respectively) (90,961)(92,796)(91,998)Accumulated other comprehensive income, net 234 2,367 2,995 Total shareholders equity 223,346 204,590 207,658 Total liabilities and shareholders equity \$ 314,479 \$ 304,320 307,897

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Lindsay Corporation and Subsidiaries CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended May 31,					
(\$ in thousands)		2010	01,	2009		
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:						
Net earnings	\$	18,903	\$	11,741		
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating		•		•		
activities:						
Depreciation and amortization		8,027		7,917		
Provision for uncollectible accounts receivable		568		205		
Deferred income taxes		(990)		(1,897)		
Stock-based compensation expense		1,755		1,504		
(Gain) loss on disposal of fixed assets		(537)		89		
Other, net		121		983		
Changes in assets and liabilities:						
Receivables		(16,095)		28,703		
Inventories		(2,280)		(2,248)		
Other current assets		(3,127)		1,406		
Accounts payable		10,439		(13,443)		
Other current liabilities		(2,768)		(9,715)		
Current taxes payable		2,285		(2,356)		
Other noncurrent assets and liabilities		(1,513)		1,372		
Net cash provided by operating activities		14,788		24,261		
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:						
Purchases of property, plant and equipment		(3,962)		(6,148)		
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment		577		25		
Acquisition of business, net of cash acquired		(132)		25		
Proceeds from settlement of net investment hedge		565		859		
Net cash used in investing activities		(2,952)		(5,264)		
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Proceeds from issuance of common stock under stock compensation plan		544		638		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock under stock compensation plan		(11,697)				
Principal payments on long-term debt Net borrowing (payments) on revolving line of credit		345		(4,628)		
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation		368		(108) 321		
*		(2,991)		(2,764)		
Dividends paid		(2,991)		(2,704)		
Net cash used in financing activities		(13,431)		(6,541)		
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash		(825)		(4)		

Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(2,420)	12,452
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	85,929	50,760
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 83,509	\$ 63,212

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Lindsay Corporation and Subsidiaries NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

(1) Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

The condensed consolidated financial statements are presented in accordance with the requirements of Form 10-Q and do not include all of the disclosures normally required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for financial statements contained in Lindsay Corporation s (the Company) annual Form 10-K filing. Accordingly, these condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2009.

In the opinion of management, the condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company reflect all adjustments of a normal recurring nature necessary to present a fair statement of the financial position and the results of operations and cash flows for the respective interim periods. The results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of trends or results expected by the Company for a full year.

Notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements describe various elements of the financial statements and the accounting policies, estimates, and assumptions applied by management. While actual results could differ from those estimated by management in the preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements, management believes that the accounting policies, assumptions, and estimates applied promote the representational faithfulness, verifiability, neutrality, and transparency of the accounting information included in the condensed consolidated financial statements. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior financial statements and notes to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications were not material to the Company s condensed consolidated financial statements.

(2) Net Earnings per Share

Basic net earnings per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net earnings per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding plus dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period. Dilutive potential common shares consist of stock options and restricted stock units to the extent they are not anti-dilutive. Performance stock units are excluded from the calculation of dilutive potential common shares until the threshold performance conditions have been satisfied. At May 31, 2010, the threshold performance conditions for the November 16, 2007 grants had been satisfied resulting in the inclusion of 11,650 performance stock units in the calculation of diluted net earnings per share. The threshold performance conditions for the Company s outstanding performance stock units that were granted on November 3, 2008 and November 12, 2009 had not been satisfied as of May 31, 2010, resulting in the exclusion of 70,693 performance stock units from the calculation of diluted net earnings per share.

Employee equity share options, nonvested shares and similar equity instruments granted by the Company are treated as potential common shares outstanding in computing diluted net earnings per share. The Company s diluted common shares outstanding reported in each period include the dilutive effect of restricted stock units, in-the-money options, and performance stock units for which threshold performance conditions have been satisfied and is calculated based on the average share price for each fiscal period using the treasury stock method. Under the treasury stock method, the amount the employee must pay for exercising stock options, the amount of compensation cost for future service that the Company has not yet recognized on share based awards, and the amount of excess tax benefits that would be recorded in additional paid-in capital when shares are issued and assumed to be used to repurchase shares.

There were no restricted stock units excluded from the calculation of diluted net earnings per share for the three months ended May 31, 2010. There were 37,465 restricted stock units excluded from the calculation of diluted net earnings per share for the three months ended May 31, 2009, since their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive. Additionally, there were 635 and 32,044 restricted stock units excluded from the calculation of diluted net earnings per share as a result of being anti-dilutive for the nine months ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(3) Comprehensive Income

The accumulated other comprehensive income, net, shown in the Company s consolidated balance sheets includes the unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedges, changes in the transition obligation and net actuarial losses from the defined benefit pension plan and the accumulated foreign currency translation adjustment, net of hedging activities. The following table shows the difference between the Company s reported net earnings and its comprehensive income:

	Three months ended May 31,				Nine months ended May 31,			
\$ in thousands	2010		2009		2010		2009	
Comprehensive income:								
Net earnings	\$	6,248	\$	5,269	\$	18,903	\$	11,741
Other comprehensive income ⁽¹⁾ :								
Defined benefit pension plan, net of tax		27		27		83		81
Unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedges, net of								
tax		603		(607)		1,159		(192)
Foreign currency translation, net of hedging								
activities		(3,131)		6,545		(4,003)		(2,616)
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$	3,747	\$	11,234	\$	16,142	\$	9,014

expense of \$523 and \$1,016 for the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2010, respectively.

> Net of tax (benefit) expense of (\$191) and \$225 for the three months and nine months ended May 31, 2009, respectively.

(4) Income Taxes

It is the Company s policy to report income tax expense for interim periods using an estimated annual effective income tax rate. However, the tax effects of significant or unusual items are not considered in the estimated annual effective tax rate. The tax effects of such discrete events are recognized in the interim period in which the events occur. The Company recorded income tax expense of \$3.4 million and \$8.2 million for the three and nine months ended May 31, 2010, respectively. The Company recorded income tax expense of \$2.6 million and \$5.5 million for the three and nine months ended May 31, 2009, respectively. The estimated effective tax rate used to calculate income tax expense before discrete items was 35.4% and 34.7% for the periods ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

For the three months ended May 31, 2010, the Company recorded discrete items that had a minimal impact on income tax expense. These included an expense of \$0.3 million related to a change in estimate used in calculating a certain tax credit and a benefit of \$0.3 million that related to an immaterial adjustment for tax expense that had been incorrectly recorded in prior periods.

For the nine months ended May 31, 2010, the Company recorded discrete items that reduced income tax expense. The discrete items included a benefit of \$1.1 million related to income tax credits earned in the first nine months of fiscal 2010, a benefit of \$0.3 million for an immaterial correction of previously recorded tax expense and a benefit of \$0.4 million for the reversal of previously recorded liabilities for uncertain tax positions. This reversal was recorded due to the expiration of the statute of limitations in the applicable tax jurisdictions without any actual tax liability being assessed. These benefits were slightly offset by additional expense of \$0.4 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 relating to a tax ruling impacting the Company s French subsidiary.

For the three months ended May 31, 2009, no discrete items were recorded. For the nine months ended May 31, 2009, the Company recorded discrete items that reduced income tax expense for the period. These included a benefit of \$0.1 million related to the reversal of previously recorded liabilities for uncertain tax positions due to the expiration of the statute of limitations as well as a benefit of \$0.4 million resulting from finalizing the fiscal 2008 income tax return calculation that was less than the estimated fiscal 2008 income tax provision.

(5) Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined by the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method for the Company s Lindsay, Nebraska inventory and two warehouses in Idaho and Texas. Cost is determined by the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method for inventory at the Company s Omaha, Nebraska warehouse, its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Barrier Systems, Inc. (BSI) and Watertronics, LLC, China and other non-U.S. warehouse locations. Cost is determined by the weighted average cost method for inventory at the Company s other operating locations in Washington State, France, Brazil, Italy and South Africa. At all locations, the Company reserves for obsolete, slow moving, and excess inventory by estimating the net realizable value based on the potential future use of such inventory.

- 7 -

\$ in thousands		May 31, 2010		May 31, 2009		August 31, 2009	
Inventory: FIFO inventory	\$	21,141	\$	23,610	\$	16,561	
LIFO reserves	Ф	(6,927)	Ф	(7,864)	Ф	(7,190)	
LIFO inventory		14,214		15,746		9,371	
Weighted average inventory		17,475		18,180		14,762	
Other FIFO inventory		17,747		21,896		23,765	
Obsolescence reserve		(2,366)		(1,467)		(1,643)	
Total inventories	\$	47,070	\$	54,355	\$	46,255	

The estimated percentage distribution between major classes of inventory before reserves is as follows:

	May 31,	May 31,	August 31,
	2010	2009	2009
Raw materials	11%	10%	7%
Work in process	8%	10%	8%
Finished goods and purchased parts	81%	80%	85%
(6) Droporty Dlont and Fauinment			

(6) Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization, as follows:

\$ in thousands Operating property, plant and equipment:	May 31, 2010		May 31, 2009		August 31, 2009	
Land Buildings Equipment Other	\$	2,407 28,126 63,228 5,072	\$	2,266 25,778 60,090 6,895	\$	2,271 28,622 60,717 6,863
Total operating property, plant and equipment Accumulated depreciation		98,833 (57,122)		95,029 (54,691)		98,473 (55,077)
Total operating property, plant and equipment, net	\$	41,711	\$	40,338	\$	43,396
Leased property: Machines Barriers		4,169 16,106		4,148 16,227		4,248 16,253
Total leased property Accumulated depreciation	\$	20,275 (5,607)	\$	20,375 (3,749)	\$	20,501 (4,256)
Total leased property, net	\$	14,668	\$	16,626	\$	16,245

Property, plant and equipment, net

\$ 56,379

\$ 56,964

59,641

\$

Depreciation expense was \$2.0 million and \$1.9 million for the three months ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, and \$6.1 million and \$5.7 million for the nine months ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

-8-

Table of Contents

(7) Credit Arrangements

Euro Line of Credit

The Company s wholly-owned European subsidiary, Lindsay Europe, has an unsecured revolving line of credit with Societe Generale, a European commercial bank, under which it could borrow up to 2.3 million Euros, which equates to approximately USD \$2.8 million as of May 31, 2010, for working capital purposes (the Euro Line of Credit). There was \$0.3 million and \$1.6 million outstanding on the Euro Line of Credit as of May 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. These amounts were included in other current liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets. As of August 31, 2009, there were no borrowings outstanding on the Euro Line of Credit. Under the terms of the Euro Line of Credit, borrowings, if any, bear interest at a floating rate in effect from time to time designated by the commercial bank as the Euro Interbank Offered Rate plus 150 basis points (1.84% at May 31, 2010). Unpaid principal and interest is due by January 31, 2011, which is the termination date of the Euro Line of Credit.

BSI Term Note

The Company entered into an unsecured \$30.0 million Term Note and Credit Agreement, effective June 1, 2006, with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (the BSI Term Note) to partially finance the acquisition of BSI. Borrowings under the BSI Term Note bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 50 basis points. The Company has fixed the rate at 6.05% through an interest rate swap as described in Note 8, *Financial Derivatives*. Principal is repaid quarterly in equal payments of \$1.1 million over a seven-year period that began in September of 2006. The BSI Term Note is due in June of 2013.

Snoline Term Note

The Company s wholly-owned Italian subsidiary, Snoline S.P.A. (Snoline) had an unsecured \$13.2 million seven-year Term Note and Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. that was effective on December 27, 2006 (the Snoline Term Note). Borrowings under the Snoline Term Note were guaranteed by the Company and had interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 50 basis points. In connection with the Snoline Term Note, the Company entered into a cross currency swap transaction obligating the Company to make quarterly payments of 0.4 million Euros per quarter over the same seven-year period as the Snoline Term Note and to receive payments of \$0.5 million per quarter over a seven year period commencing March 27, 2007. This was approximately equivalent to converting the \$13.2 million seven-year Snoline Term Note into a 10.0 million Euro seven-year note at a fixed rate of 4.7% as described in Note 8, Financial Derivatives. On May 17, 2010, the Company repaid the \$7.1 million outstanding balance on the Snoline Term Note in its entirety.

Revolving Credit Agreement

The Company has an unsecured \$30.0 million Revolving Credit Note and Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (the Revolving Credit Agreement). The Company entered into the First Amendment to the Revolving Credit Agreement, effective January 23, 2010 in order to extend the Revolving Credit Agreement s termination date from January 23, 2010 to January 23, 2012. The Revolving Credit Agreement, as amended, is hereinafter referred to as the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement . The borrowings from the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement will primarily be used for working capital purposes and funding acquisitions. At May 31, 2010 and 2009 and August 31, 2009, there was no outstanding balance on the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement.

Borrowings under the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 120 basis points compared to LIBOR plus 50 basis points under the previous Revolving Credit Agreement, subject to adjustment as set forth in the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement. Interest is paid on a monthly to quarterly basis depending on loan type. The Company also pays an annual commitment fee of 0.25% on the unused portion of the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement. Unpaid principal and interest is due by January 23, 2012, which is the termination date of the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement.

The BSI Term Note and the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement (collectively, the Notes) each contain the same covenants, including certain covenants relating to the Company s financial condition. These include maintaining a funded debt to EBITDA ratio, a fixed charge coverage ratio, and a current ratio (all as defined in the Notes) at specified levels. In connection with entering into the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement during the second quarter of fiscal 2010, these covenants for each of the Notes were modified, at the lenders request, by adding a tangible net worth requirement to the already existing covenants. Upon the occurrence of any event of default of these covenants

specified in the Notes, including a change in control of the Company (as defined in the Notes), all amounts due thereunder may be declared to be immediately due and payable.

- 9 -

Outstanding long-term debt consists of the following:

	N	May 31,			August 31,		
\$ in thousands		2010		2009	2009		
BSI Term Note	\$	13,929	\$	18,214	\$	17,143	
Snoline Term Note	\$			8,954		8,482	
Less current portion		(4,286)		(6,171)		(6,171)	
Total long-term debt	\$	9,643	\$	20,997	\$	19,454	

Interest expense was \$0.5 million for each of the three months ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, and \$1.3 million and \$1.6 million for the nine months ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Principal payments due on long-term debt are as follows:

Due within:

1 year	\$ 4,286
2 years	4,286
3 years	4,286
4 years	1,071
Thereafter	
	\$ 13,929

(8) Financial Derivatives

The Company uses certain financial derivatives to mitigate its exposure to volatility in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company uses these derivative instruments to hedge exposures in the ordinary course of business and does not invest in derivative instruments for speculative purposes. Each derivative is designated as a cash flow hedge, a hedge of a net investment, or remains undesignated. The Company records the fair value of these derivative instruments on the balance sheet. For those instruments that are designated as a cash flow hedge and meet certain documentary and analytical requirements to qualify for hedge accounting treatment, changes in the fair value for the effective portion are reported in other comprehensive income (OCI), net of related income tax effects, and are reclassified to the income statement when the effects of the item being hedged are recognized in the income statement. Changes in fair value of derivative instruments that qualify as hedges of a net investment in foreign operations are recorded as a component of accumulated currency translation adjustment in accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI), net of related income tax effects. Changes in the fair value of undesignated hedges are recognized currently in the income statement as other income (expense). All changes in derivative fair values due to ineffectiveness are recognized currently in income.

Financial derivatives consist of the following:

\$ in thousands	Fair Valu Asse						
	Balance Sheet Location		May 31, 2010		ay 31, 2009	August 31, 2009	
Derivatives designated as hedging							
instruments:							
Foreign currency forward contracts	Other current assets	\$	117	\$		\$	
Interest rate swap	Other current liabilities		(477)		(650)		(602)
	Other noncurrent						
Interest rate swap	liabilities		(525)		(897)		(732)

Cross currency swap	Other current liabilities		(380)	(425)
Cross currency swap	Other noncurrent liabilities		(772)	(847)
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$ (885)	\$ (2,699)	\$ (2,606)

- 10 -

Table of Contents

In addition, accumulated other comprehensive income included (gains) losses, net of related income tax effects of (\$1.0) million, \$0.6 million and \$0.5 million at May 31, 2010 and 2009, and August 31, 2009, respectively, related to derivative contracts designated as hedging instruments.

Cash Flow Hedging Relationships

In order to reduce interest rate risk on the BSI Term Note, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. that is designed to convert the variable interest rate on the entire amount of this borrowing to a fixed rate of 6.05% per annum. Under the terms of the interest rate swap, the Company receives variable interest rate payments and makes fixed interest rate payments on an amount equal to the outstanding balance of the BSI Term Note, thereby creating the equivalent of fixed-rate debt (see Note 7, *Credit Arrangements*). Changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap designated as a hedging instrument that effectively offset the variability of cash flows associated with variable-rate, long-term debt obligations are reported in AOCI, net of related income tax effects.

Similarly, the Company entered into a cross currency swap transaction with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. fixing the conversion rate of Euro to U.S. dollars for the Snoline Term Note at 1.3195 and obligating the Company to make quarterly payments of 0.4 million Euros per quarter over the same seven-year period as the Snoline Term Note and to receive payments of \$0.5 million per quarter. In addition, the variable interest rate was converted to a fixed rate of 4.7%. This is approximately equivalent to converting the \$13.2 million seven-year Snoline Term Note into a 10.0 million Euro seven-year term note at a fixed rate of 4.7%. Under the terms of the cross currency swap, the Company received variable interest rate payments and made fixed interest rate payments, thereby creating the equivalent of fixed-rate debt (see Note 7, *Credit Arrangements*). Changes in the fair value of the cross currency swap designated as a hedging instrument that effectively offset the hedged risks were reported in AOCI, net of related income tax effects. On May 17, 2010, in conjunction with repaying the Snoline Term Note, the Company exited the cross currency swap transaction with a zero fair value.

In order to reduce exposures related to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, the Company, at times, may enter into forward exchange or option contracts for transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency for certain of its operations. This activity primarily relates to economically hedging against foreign currency risk in purchasing inventory, sales of finished goods, and future settlement of foreign denominated assets and liabilities. Changes in the fair value of the forward exchange contracts or option contracts designated as hedging instruments that effectively offset the hedged risks are reported in AOCI, net of related income tax effects. The Company had no forward exchange contracts or option contracts with cash flow hedging relationships outstanding at May 31, 2010 and 2009 or August 31, 2009. However, as described below the Company did have a foreign currency forward contract with a net investment hedging relationship outstanding at May 31, 2010.

- 11 -

Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow Hedging Relationships

	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivatives									
	7	Three months ended					Nine months ended			
		May	y 31,			May	y 31 ,			
\$ in thousands	2	2010		2009		2010		2009		
Interest rate swap	\$	78	\$	95	\$	237	\$	(136)		
Cross currency swap		525		(702)		922		(56)		
Total ¹	\$	603	\$	(607)	\$	1,159	\$	(192)		

(1) Net of tax expense of \$247 and \$493 for the three and nine months ended May 31, 2010, respectively.

> Net of tax (benefit) of (\$208) and (\$105) for the three and nine months ended May 31, 2009, respectively.

		Amount of (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income								
	Location of Loss	Three months ended				Nine months ended				
	Reclassified from AOCI	May 31,				May 31,				
\$ in thousands	into Income		2010		2009		2010		2009	
Interest rate swap	Interest expense	\$	(204)	\$	(248)	\$	(660)	\$	(739)	
Cross currency swap	Interest expense		(604)		(80)		(884)		(239)	
Foreign currency										
forward contracts	Revenue								(15)	
Foreign currency										
forward contracts	Other income (expense), net								(49)	
		\$	(808)	\$	(328)	\$	(1,544)	\$	(1,042)	

		Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivati				
	(Ineffectiveness)					
Location of Gain/(Loss)		Three mon	nths ended	Nine mon	ths ended	
	Recognized in Income	Mag	May 31,		y 31 ,	
\$ in thousands	(Ineffectiveness)	2010	2009	2010	2009	

Edgar Filin	g: LINDSAY	CORP -	Form	10-Q

Interest rate swap Cross currency swap Foreign currency	Other income (expense), net Other income (expense), net	\$ 1	\$ 21	\$ (49)	\$ 103
forward contracts	Other income (expense), net				
		\$ 1	\$ 21	\$ (49)	\$ 103

- 12 -

Net Investment Hedging Relationships

In order to reduce translation exposure resulting from translating the financial statements of its international subsidiaries into U.S. dollars, the Company, at times, utilizes Euro foreign currency forward contracts to hedge its Euro net investment exposure in its foreign operations. These foreign currency forward contracts qualify as a hedge of net investments in foreign operations. Changes in fair value of the net investment hedge contracts are reported in OCI as part of the currency translation adjustment, net of tax.

	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivatives						
	Three months ended		ed	Nine months ended			
		May	y 31 ,		Ma	y 31,	
	2	2010	20	09	2010		2009
Foreign currency forward contracts ¹	\$	73	\$	\$	424	\$	533

expense of \$44 and \$258 for the three and nine months ended May 31, 2010.

> Net of tax expense of \$326 for the nine months ended May 31, 2009.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2010, the Company entered into and settled a Euro foreign currency forward contract resulting in an after-tax gain of \$0.4 million which was included in OCI as part of a currency translation adjustment. For the three and nine months ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, there were no amounts recorded in the consolidated statement of operations related to ineffectiveness of Euro foreign currency forward contracts. Accumulated currency translation adjustment in AOCI at May 31, 2010 and 2009 and August 31, 2009 reflected after-tax gains of \$1.7 million, \$1.2 million and \$1.2 million, net of related income tax effects of \$1.0 million, \$0.8 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, related to settled foreign currency forward contracts.

At May 31, 2010, the Company had one outstanding Euro foreign currency forward contract to sell 5.0 million Euro on August 25, 2010 at a fixed price of \$1.2505 USD per Euro. The forward spot rate at May 31, 2010 was \$1.2271 USD per Euro. The Company s foreign currency forward contract qualifies as a hedge of a net investment in foreign operations. At May 31, 2009 and August 31, 2009, the Company had no outstanding Euro foreign currency forward contracts with net investment hedging relationships.

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments

In order to reduce exposures related to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, the Company, at times, may enter into forward exchange or option contracts for transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency for certain of the Company s operations. This activity primarily relates to economically hedging against foreign currency risk in purchasing inventory, sales of finished goods, and future settlement of foreign denominated assets and liabilities. Changes in the fair value of undesignated hedges are recognized currently in the income statement as other income (expense).

		Amount Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income or							
		Derivatives							
	Location of	Three months ended May 31,			Nine months ended May 31,				
	Gain/(Loss)								
\$ in thousands	Recognized in Income	2010	2	009	2010	2	009		
Foreign currency forward	Other income (expense)	\$	\$	(78)	\$	\$	68		
contracts									

(9) Fair Value Measurements

The Financial Accounting Standards Board s guidance on fair value measurements that establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements was adopted by the Company for its financial assets and liabilities, effective September 1, 2008. In addition, the Company adopted this guidance for its nonfinancial assets and liabilities effective September 1, 2009. These nonfinancial assets and liabilities requiring nonrecurring fair value measurements include long-lived assets, goodwill and certain other intangible assets. These items are recognized at fair value when they are considered other than temporarily impaired. There were no required fair value adjustments for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis for the three and nine months ended May 31, 2010.

The fair value measurements guidance establishes the fair value hierarchy that prioritizes inputs to valuation techniques based on observable and unobservable data and categorizes the inputs into three levels, with the highest priority given to Level 1 and the lowest priority given to Level 3. The levels are described below.

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Significant observable pricing inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date. Essentially, this represents inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Generally unobservable inputs, which are developed based on the best information available and may include the Company s own internal data.

The following table presents the Company s financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value based upon the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall, as of May 31, 2010:

\$ in thousands]	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	83,509	\$	\$	\$ 83,509
Derivative Assets			117		117
Derivative Liabilities			(1,002)		(1,002)

The carrying amount of long-term debt (including current portion) was \$13.9 million as of May 31, 2010. The fair value of this debt at May 31, 2010 was estimated at \$13.5 million. Fair value of long-term debt (including current portion) is estimated by discounting the future estimated cash flows of each instrument at current market interest rates for similar debt instruments of comparable maturities and credit quality.

(10) Commitments and Contingencies

In 1992, the Company entered into a consent decree with the Environmental Protection Agency of the United States Government (the EPA) in which the Company committed to remediate environmental contamination of the groundwater that was discovered in 1982 through 1990 at and adjacent to its Lindsay, Nebraska facility (the site). The site was added to the EPA s list of priority superfund sites in 1989. Between 1993 and 1995, remediation plans for the site were approved by the EPA and fully implemented by the Company. Since 1998, the primary remaining contamination at the site has been the presence of volatile organic chemicals in the groundwater. The current remediation process consists of drilling wells into the aquifer and pumping water to the surface to allow these contaminants to be removed by aeration. In 2008, the Company and the EPA conducted a periodic five-year review of the status of the remediation of the contamination of the site. In response to the review, the Company and its environmental consultants have developed a remedial action work plan that will allow the Company and the EPA to better identify the boundaries of the contaminated groundwater and determine whether the contaminated groundwater is being contained by current and planned remediation methods. The Company accrues the anticipated cost of remediation when the obligation is probable and can be reasonably estimated. Although the Company has accrued all reasonably estimable costs of completing the actions defined in the current ongoing work plan agreed to between the Company and the EPA, it is possible that additional testing may be required or additional actions could be requested or mandated by the EPA at any time, resulting in the recognition of additional related expenses.

(11) Retirement Plan

The Company has a supplemental non-qualified, unfunded retirement plan for six former employees. Plan benefits are based on the participant s average total compensation during the three highest compensation years of employment during the ten years immediately preceding the participant s retirement or termination. This unfunded supplemental retirement plan is not subject to the minimum funding requirements of ERISA. The Company has purchased life insurance policies on four of the participants named in this supplemental retirement plan to provide partial funding for this liability. Components of net periodic benefit cost for the Company s supplemental retirement plan include:

	Three months ended May 31,				Nine months ended May 31,			
\$ in thousands	20	10	2	009	2	010	2	009
Net periodic benefit cost:								
Service cost	\$		\$		\$		\$	
Interest cost		88		87		263		260
Net amortization and deferral		44		44		134		131
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$	132	\$	131	\$	397	\$	391

(12) Warranties

The Company generally warrants its products against certain manufacturing and other defects. These product warranties are provided for specific periods and/or usage of the product. The accrued product warranty costs are for a combination of specifically identified items and other incurred, but not identified, items based primarily on historical experience of actual warranty claims. This reserve is classified within other current liabilities.

The following tables provide the changes in the Company s product warranties:

	Three months ended May 31,						
\$ in thousands		2010		2009			
Warranties:							
Product warranty accrual balance, beginning of period	\$	1,405	\$	1,598			
Liabilities accrued for warranties during the period		701		778			
Warranty claims paid during the period		(818)		(689)			
Product warranty accrual balance, end of period	\$	1,288	\$	1,687			

	Nine months ended May 31,						
\$ in thousands	2010		2009				
Warranties:							
Product warranty accrual balance, beginning of period	\$	1,736	\$	2,011			
Liabilities accrued for warranties during the period		2,122		2,164			
Warranty claims paid during the period		(2,570)		(2,488)			
Product warranty accrual balance, end of period	\$	1,288	\$	1,687			

(13) Industry Segment Information

The Company manages its business activities in two reportable segments:

Irrigation: This segment includes the manufacture and marketing of center pivot, lateral move, and hose reel irrigation systems as well as various water pumping stations and controls. The irrigation segment consists of eight operating segments that have similar economic characteristics and meet the aggregation criteria, including similar products, production processes, type or class of customer and methods for distribution.

- 15 -

Infrastructure: This segment includes the manufacture and marketing of moveable barriers, specialty barriers and crash cushions, providing outsource manufacturing services and the manufacturing and selling of large diameter steel tubing and railroad signaling structures. The infrastructure segment consists of three operating segments that have similar economic characteristics and meet the aggregation criteria.

The accounting policies of the two reportable segments are described in the Accounting Policies section of Note A to the consolidated financial statements contained in the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2009. The Company evaluates the performance of its reportable segments based on segment sales, gross profit, and operating income, with operating income for segment purposes excluding unallocated corporate general and administrative expenses, interest income, interest expense, other income and expenses, and income taxes. Operating income for segment purposes does include general and administrative expenses, selling expenses, engineering and research expenses and other overhead charges directly attributable to the segment. There are no inter-segment sales. Certain segment reporting prescribed by current accounting standards is not shown as this information cannot be reasonably disaggregated by segment and is not utilized by the Company s management.

The Company had no single customer representing 10% or more of its total revenues during the three months or nine months ended May 31, 2010 or 2009, respectively.

Summarized financial information concerning the Company s reportable segments is shown in the following table:

		Three months ended May 31,				Nine months ended May 31,			
\$ in thousands		2010		2009		2010	,	2009	
Operating revenues:									
Irrigation	\$	80,341	\$	66,362	\$	201,502	\$	200,750	
Infrastructure		19,732		18,216		69,737		62,095	
Total operating revenues	\$	100,073	\$	84,578	\$	271,239	\$	262,845	
Operating income:									
Irrigation	\$	14,386	\$	10,603	\$	33,158	\$	28,098	
Infrastructure		(937)		210		5,594		219	
Segment operating income		13,449		10,813		38,752		28,317	
Unallocated general and administrative expenses		(3,400)		(3,276)		(10,628)		(9,433)	
Interest and other income, net		(413)		371		(1,004)		(1,661)	
Earnings before income taxes	\$	9,636	\$	7,908	\$	27,120	\$	17,223	
Total Capital Expenditures:									
Irrigation	\$	1,562	\$	702	\$	2,104	\$	4,673	
Infrastructure	4	415	Ψ	270	Ψ	1,858	4	1,475	
	\$	1,977	\$	972	\$	3,962	\$	6,148	
Total Depreciation and Amortization:									
Irrigation	\$	1,665	\$	1,079	\$	3,886	\$	3,330	
Infrastructure	т	1,012	r	1,527	7	4,141	7	4,587	
	Ф	2.677	ф	2 (0(ф	0.027	Ф	7.017	
	\$	2,677	\$	2,606	\$	8,027	\$	7,917	

	May 31,		May 31,		August 31,	
Total Assets:		2010		2009		2009
Irrigation Infrastructure	\$	210,471 104,008	\$	189,234 115,086	\$	186,558 121,339
	\$	314,479	\$	304,320	\$	307,897

- 16 -

Table of Contents

(14) Share Based Compensation

The Company measures and recognizes compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors based on estimated fair values. The Company's current share-based compensation plan, approved by the stockholders of the Company, provides for awards of stock options, restricted shares, restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights, performance shares and performance stock units to employees and non-employee directors of the Company. In connection with the restricted stock units and performance stock units, the Company is accruing compensation expense based on the estimated number of shares expected to be issued utilizing the most current information available to the Company at the date of the financial statements. Share-based compensation expense was \$0.6 million for each of the three months ended May 31, 2010 and 2009. Share-based compensation expense was \$1.8 million and \$1.5 million for the nine months ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

On January 25, 2010, the stockholders of the Company approved the 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 2010 Plan). The 2010 Plan replaces its predecessor plan, the 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the Predecessor Plan). The 2010 Plan provides for awards of stock options, restricted shares, restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights, performance shares and performance stock units to employees and non-employee directors of the Company. The maximum number of shares as to which stock awards may be granted under the 2010 Plan is 435,000 shares. In addition, any shares subject to awards under the Predecessor Plan or the Company s 2001 Long-Term Incentive Plan that expire, are forfeited or become unexercisable without having been issued will also be authorized for issuance under the 2010 Plan. At May 31, 2010, no awards had been granted under the 2010 Plan.

- 17 -

Table of Contents

ITEM 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Concerning Forward-Looking Statements

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains not only historical information, but also forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Statements that are not historical are forward-looking and reflect expectations for future Company conditions or performance. In addition, forward-looking statements may be made orally or in press releases, conferences, reports, on the Company s worldwide web site, or otherwise, in the future by or on behalf of the Company. When used by or on behalf of the Company, the words expect, anticipate, estimate, believe, intend, will, and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. The entire section entitled Market Conditions and Fiscal 2010 Outlook should be considered forward-looking statements. For these statements, the Company claims the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Forward-looking statements involve a number of risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to those discussed in the Risk Factors section in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended August 31, 2009. Readers should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statement and should recognize that the statements are predictions of future results or conditions, which may not occur as anticipated. Actual results or conditions could differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements and from historical results, due to the risks and uncertainties described herein, as well as others not now anticipated. The risks and uncertainties described herein are not exclusive and further information concerning the Company and its businesses, including factors that potentially could materially affect the Company's financial results, may emerge from time to time. Except as required by law, the Company assumes no obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect actual results or changes in factors or assumptions affecting such forward-looking statements.

Accounting Policies

In preparing the Company s condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, management must make a variety of decisions, which impact the reported amounts and the related disclosures. These decisions include the selection of the appropriate accounting principles to be applied and the assumptions on which to base accounting estimates. In making these decisions, management applies its judgment based on its understanding and analysis of the relevant circumstances and the Company s historical experience.

The Company s accounting policies that are most important to the presentation of its results of operations and financial condition, and which require the greatest use of judgments and estimates by management, are designated as its critical accounting policies. See further discussion of the Company s critical accounting policies under Item 7 Management so Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Company s year ended August 31, 2009. Management periodically re-evaluates and adjusts its critical accounting policies as circumstances change. There were no changes in the Company s critical accounting policies during the nine months ended May 31, 2010.

Overview

Lindsay Corporation (Lindsay or the Company) is a leading designer and manufacturer of self-propelled center pivot and lateral move irrigation systems that are used principally in the agricultural industry to increase or stabilize crop production while conserving water, energy, and labor. The Company has been in continuous operation since 1955 and is one of the pioneers in the automated irrigation industry. Through the acquisition of Watertronics, LLC (Watertronics) in January 2008, the Company entered the market for water pumping stations and controls which provides further opportunities for integration with irrigation control systems. The Company also manufactures and markets various infrastructure products, including moveable barriers for traffic lane management, crash cushions, road marking and other road safety devices. In addition, the Company s infrastructure segment produces large diameter steel tubing and railroad signaling structures, and provides outsourced manufacturing and production services for other companies. Industry segment information about Lindsay is included in Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Lindsay, a Delaware corporation, maintains its corporate offices in Omaha, Nebraska. The Company s principal irrigation manufacturing facility is located in Lindsay, Nebraska. The Company also has international sales and irrigation production facilities in France, Brazil, South Africa and China which provide it with important bases of operations in key international markets. Lindsay Europe SAS, located in La Chapelle, France, was acquired in March 2001 and manufactures and markets irrigation equipment for the European market. Lindsay America do Sul Ltda., located in Mogi Mirim, Brazil, was acquired in April 2002 and manufactures and markets irrigation equipment for the South American market. Lindsay Manufacturing Africa, (PTY) Ltd., located in Paarl, South Africa, was organized in September 2002 and manufactures and markets irrigation equipment for the sub-Saharan Africa market. Lindsay (Tianjin) Industry Co., Ltd., located in Tianjin, China, was organized in June 2009 and manufactures and markets irrigation equipment for the Chinese market. In addition, the Company leases office space in Beijing, China. Watertronics, located in Hartland, Wisconsin, designs, manufactures, and services water pumping stations and controls for the agriculture, golf, landscape and municipal markets. Watertronics has been in business since 1986 and was acquired by the Company in January 2008.

Lindsay has two additional irrigation operating subsidiaries. Irrigation Specialists, Inc. (Irrigation Specialists) is a retail irrigation dealership based in Washington State that operates at three locations. Irrigation Specialists was acquired by the Company in March 2002 and provides a strategic distribution channel in a key regional irrigation market. Lindsay Transportation, Inc. (LTI), located in Lindsay, Nebraska, primarily brokers delivery of irrigation equipment in the U.S.

Barrier Systems, Inc. (BSI), located in Rio Vista, California, manufactures moveable barrier products, specialty barriers and crash cushions. BSI has been in business since 1984 and was acquired by the Company in June 2006. Snoline S.P.A. (Snoline), located in Milan, Italy, was acquired in December 2006, and is engaged in the design, manufacture and sale of road marking and safety equipment for use on roadways.

- 19 -

Results of Operations

For the Three Months ended May 31, 2010 compared to the Three Months ended May 31, 2009

The following section presents an analysis of the Company s operating results displayed in the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended May 31, 2010 and 2009. It should be read together with the industry segment information in Note 13 to the condensed consolidated financial statements:

		Percent Increase			
\$ in thousands	May 2010		2009	(Decrease)	
Consolidated					
Operating revenues	\$	100,073	\$ 84,578	18.3%	
Cost of operating revenues	\$	74,818	\$ 63,509	17.8%	
Gross profit	\$	25,255	\$ 21,069	19.9%	
Gross margin		25.2%	24.9%		
Operating expenses (1)	\$	15,206	\$ 13,532	12.4%	
Operating income	\$	10,049	\$ 7,537	33.3%	
Operating margin		10.0%	8.9%		
Interest expense	\$	(474)	\$ (465)	1.9%	
Interest income	\$	49	\$ 200	(75.5)%	
Other income (expense), net	\$	12	\$ 636	(98.1)%	
Income tax provision	\$	3,388	\$ 2,639	28.4%	
Effective income tax rate		35.2%	33.4%		
Net earnings	\$	6,248	\$ 5,269	18.6%	
Irrigation Equipment Segment					
Segment operating revenues	\$	80,341	\$ 66,362	21.1%	
Segment operating income (2)	\$	14,386	\$ 10,603	35.7%	
Segment operating margin (2)		17.9%	16.0%		
Infrastructure Products Segment					
Segment operating revenues	\$	19,732	\$ 18,216	8.3%	
Segment operating income (loss) (2)	\$	(937)	\$ 210	546.2%	
Segment operating margin (2)		(4.7)%	1.2%		

- (1) Includes \$3.4 million and \$3.3 million of unallocated general and administrative expenses for the three months ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
- (2) Excludes unallocated general and administrative expenses.

Beginning in fiscal 2009, segment-specific general and administrative expenses have been allocated to each of the Company s reporting segments. Prior year disclosures have been modified accordingly.

Revenues

Operating revenues for the three months ended May 31, 2010 increased by 18% to \$100.1 million compared with \$84.6 million for the three months ended May 31, 2009. The increase is attributable to a \$14.0 million increase in irrigation equipment revenues and a \$1.5 million increase in infrastructure revenues.

Domestic irrigation equipment revenues for the three months ended May 31, 2010 of \$48.5 million increased 17% compared to the same period last year. The increase in domestic irrigation revenues is primarily due to an increase in the number of irrigation systems sold compared to the prior year s third fiscal quarter. While commodity prices for corn, soybeans and wheat are down 20%-30% compared to the same time last year, prices have been relatively stable at current levels for the past six to nine months, resulting in some improvement in the sentiment of farmers toward capital goods purchases. USDA projections for 2010 Net Farm Income indicate a 12% increase compared to 2009 estimates, putting projected 2010 Net Farm Income near the ten year average. International irrigation equipment revenues for the three months ended May 31, 2010 of \$31.9 million increased 29% from \$24.7 million compared to the same prior year period. Significant export increases in Australia and Mexico along with strong revenues from the Company s South American and South African international irrigation business units drove the third quarter increase.

Table of Contents

Infrastructure products segment revenues for the three months ended May 31, 2010 of \$19.7 million increased 8% from the same prior year period. This was driven by increased sales of railroad structures and lights and commercial tubing used in grain handling, wind energy and industrial manufacturing. Road safety products revenue rose in the quarter and the Company has experienced somewhat higher quote activity related to stimulus funded projects.

Gross Margin

Gross profit was \$25.3 million for the three months ended May 31, 2010. This was an increase of \$4.2 million compared to the three months ended May 31, 2009. Gross margin was 25.2% for the three months ended May 31, 2010 compared to 24.9% for the same prior year period. Irrigation margins increased from improved factory efficiencies at the Company s Lindsay, Nebraska facility and favorable regional sales mix compared to the same period last year. Infrastructure margins were lower primarily due to decreased revenues from higher margin moveable barrier products.

Operating Expenses

The Company s operating expenses of \$15.2 million for the three months ended May 31, 2010 were \$1.7 million higher than the same prior year period. The increase in operating expenses was primarily due to increased incentive compensation and research and development investments. Operating expenses were 15.2% of sales for the three months ended May 31, 2010 compared to 16.0% of sales for the three months ended May 31, 2009.

Interest, Other Income (Expense), net

Interest expense for the three months ended May 31, 2010 was essentially flat compared to the same prior year period. Interest income for the three months ended May 31, 2010 decreased by \$0.2 million compared to the same prior year period. The decrease in interest income is primarily due to earning a lower interest rate on investments of the Company s cash balances.

Other income, net during the three months ended May 31, 2010 decreased \$0.6 million compared with the same prior year period. The higher income for the three months ended May 31 2009, primarily resulted from foreign currency transaction gains realized from the volatility of exchange rates.

Income Taxes

The Company recorded income tax expense of \$3.4 million and \$2.6 million for the three months ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

For the three months ended May 31, 2010, the Company recorded discrete items that had a minimal impact on income tax expense. These included an expense of \$0.3 million related to a change in estimate used in calculating a certain tax credit and a benefit of \$0.3 million that related to an immaterial adjustment for tax expense that had been incorrectly recorded in prior periods.

Net Earnings

Net earnings were \$6.2 million or \$0.50 per diluted share for the three months ended May 31, 2010 compared with \$5.3 million or \$0.42 per diluted share for the same prior year period.

- 21 -

Table of Contents

For the Nine Months ended May 31, 2010 compared to the Nine Months ended May 31, 2009

	Nine months ended				Percent	
	May 31,			Increase		
\$ in thousands		2010		2009	(Decrease)	
Consolidated						
Operating revenues	\$	271,239	\$	262,845	3.2%	
Cost of operating revenues	\$	198,051	\$	199,851	(0.9)%	
Gross profit	\$	73,188	\$	62,994	16.2%	
Gross margin		27.0%		24.0%		
Operating expenses (1)	\$	45,064	\$	44,110	2.2%	
Operating income	\$	28,124	\$	18,884	48.9%	
Operating margin		10.4%		7.2%		
Interest expense	\$	(1,291)	\$	(1,570)	(17.8)%	
Interest income	\$	215	\$	741	(71.0)%	
Other income (expense), net	\$	72	\$	(832)	108.7%	
Income tax provision	\$	8,217	\$	5,482	49.9%	
Effective income tax rate		30.3%		31.8%		
Net earnings	\$	18,903	\$	11,741	61.0%	
Irrigation Equipment Segment						
Segment operating revenues	\$	201,502	\$	200,750	0.4%	
Segment operating income (2)	\$	33,158	\$	28,098	18.0%	
Segment operating margin (2)		16.5%		14.0%		
Infrastructure Products Segment						
Segment operating revenues	\$	69,737	\$	62,095	12.3%	
Segment operating income (2)	\$	5,594	\$	219	2454.3%	
Segment operating margin (2)		8.0%		0.4%		

(1) Includes \$10.6 million and \$9.4 million of unallocated general and administrative expenses for the nine months ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(2) Excludes
unallocated
general and
administrative
expenses.
Beginning in
fiscal 2009,
segment-specific
general and

administrative expenses have been allocated to each of the Company's reporting segments. Prior year disclosures have been modified accordingly.

Revenues

Operating revenues for the nine months ended May 31, 2010 increased by \$8.4 million to \$271.2 million compared with \$262.8 million for the nine months ended May 31, 2009. The increase is attributable to a \$0.8 million increase in irrigation equipment revenues and \$7.6 million increase in infrastructure segment revenues.

Domestic irrigation equipment revenues for the nine months ended May 31, 2010 of \$120.1 million decreased \$8.5 million compared to the same period last year. The nine months ended May 31, 2009 reflected a record first quarter irrigation revenue, working off a record backlog from the end of fiscal 2008. The Company saw a significant decline in orders in the quarters following August 31, 2008 as a result of the economic slowdown. International irrigation equipment revenues for the nine months ended May 31, 2010 increased \$9.3 million compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2009. Management believes that the combination of factors described above in the discussion of the three months ended May 31, 2010 also contributed to the increase in international irrigation revenues for the nine month period.

Infrastructure products segment revenue of \$69.7 million for the first nine months ended May 31, 2010 increased 12% over the same prior year period. For the nine month period ended May 31, 2010, revenue increased at Barrier Systems by over 50% compared to the same prior year period. The completion of the \$19.6 million Mexico City road project benefited Barrier Systems during the first half of fiscal 2010. This was partially offset by a decrease of over 20% in the Company s Diversified Manufacturing business for the same period. The decrease in the Company s Diversified Manufacturing revenues was primarily in contract manufacturing and in commercial tubing in the first half of fiscal 2010.

- 22 -

Table of Contents

Gross Margin

Gross profit for the nine months ended May 31, 2010 was \$73.2 million, an increase of \$10.2 million compared to the same prior year period. Gross margin percentage for the nine months ended May 31, 2010 increased to 27.0% from the 24.0% achieved during the same prior year period. Infrastructure margins increased primarily due to increased revenues from moveable barrier products, resulting from the Mexico City road project completed in the first half of fiscal 2010. Irrigation margins increased from improved factory efficiencies at the Company s Lindsay, Nebraska facility and a favorable regional sales mix compared to the same prior year period.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses for the first nine months of fiscal 2010 increased by \$1.0 million to \$45.1 million compared to the same prior year period. Management believes that the combination of factors described above in the discussion of the three months ended May 31, 2010 are also the primary factors that contributed to the increase in operating expenses for the nine-month period. Operating expenses were 16.6% of sales for the nine months ended May 31, 2010 compared to 16.8% of sales for the nine months ended May 31, 2009.

Interest, Other Income (Expense), net

Interest expense during the nine months ended May 31, 2010 of \$1.3 million decreased \$0.3 million from the \$1.6 million recognized during the same prior year period for fiscal 2009. The decrease in interest expense is primarily due to principal reductions on the Company s outstanding term notes.

Interest income during the nine months ended May 31, 2010 decreased by \$0.5 million compared to the same prior year period. The decrease in interest income is primarily due to earning a lower interest rate on investments of the Company s cash balances.

Other income (expense), net during the nine months ended May 31, 2010 increased to income of \$0.1 million from an expense of \$0.8 million during the same prior year period. The higher expense for the nine months ended May 31, 2009 resulted primarily from foreign currency transaction losses realized from the volatility of exchange rates.

Income Taxes

The Company recorded income tax expense of \$8.2 million and \$5.5 million for the nine months ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The effective tax rate used to calculate income tax expense before discrete items was 35.4% and 34.7% for the nine months ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

For the nine months ended May 31, 2010, the Company recorded discrete items that reduced income tax expense. The discrete items included a benefit of \$1.1 million related to income tax credits earned in the first nine months of fiscal 2010, a benefit of \$0.3 million for an immaterial correction of previously recorded tax expense and a benefit of \$0.4 million for the reversal of previously recorded liabilities for uncertain tax positions. This reversal was recorded due to the expiration of the statute of limitations in the applicable tax jurisdictions without any actual tax liability being assessed. These benefits were slightly offset by additional expense of \$0.4 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 relating to a tax ruling impacting the Company s French subsidiary.

For the nine months ended May 31, 2009, the Company recorded discrete items that reduced income tax expense for the period. These included a benefit of \$0.1 million related to the reversal of previously recorded liabilities for uncertain tax positions due to the expiration of the statute of limitations as well as a benefit of \$0.4 million resulting from finalizing the fiscal 2008 income tax return calculation that was less than the estimated fiscal 2008 income tax provision.

Net Earnings

Net earnings were \$18.9 million or \$1.50 per diluted share for the nine months ended May 31, 2010 compared with \$11.7 million or \$0.94 per diluted share for the same prior year period.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company requires cash for financing its receivables and inventories, paying operating costs and capital expenditures, and for dividends. The Company meets its liquidity needs and finances its capital expenditures from its available cash and funds provided by operations along with borrowings under three credit arrangements that are described below.

The Company s cash and cash equivalents totaled \$83.5 million at May 31, 2010 compared with \$63.2 million at May 31, 2009 and \$85.9 million at August 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

The Company currently maintains a bank line of credit with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and another with Societe Generale to provide additional working capital or to fund acquisitions, if needed. The Company has an unsecured \$30.0 million Revolving Credit Note and Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (the Revolving Credit Agreement).

The Company entered into the First Amendment to the Revolving Credit Agreement (the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement), effective as of January 23, 2010, in order to extend the Revolving Credit Agreement s termination date from January 23, 2010 to January 23, 2012 as well as to modify the interest rate from LIBOR plus 50 basis points to LIBOR plus 120 basis points. As of May 31, 2010 and 2009 and August 31, 2009, there was no outstanding balance on the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement.

Borrowings under the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 120 basis points, subject to adjustment as set forth in the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement. Interest is repaid on a monthly or quarterly basis depending on loan type. The Company also pays an annual commitment fee of 0.25% on the unused portion of the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement. Unpaid principal and interest is due by January 23, 2012, which is the termination date of the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement.

The Company s wholly-owned European subsidiary, Lindsay Europe, has an unsecured revolving line of credit with Societe Generale, a European commercial bank, under which it could borrow up to 2.3 million Euros, which equates to approximately \$2.8 million as of May 31, 2010, for working capital purposes (the Euro Line of Credit). There was \$0.3 million and \$1.6 million outstanding on the Euro Line of Credit as of May 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. At August 31, 2009, there were no borrowings outstanding under the Euro Line of Credit. Under the terms of the Euro Line of Credit, borrowings, if any, bear interest at a floating rate in effect from time to time designated by the commercial bank as the Euro Interbank Offered Rate plus 150 basis points (all inclusive, 1.84% at May 31, 2010). Unpaid principal and interest is due by January 31, 2011, which is the termination date of the Euro Line of Credit. The Company entered into an unsecured \$30.0 million Term Note and Credit Agreement, each effective as of June 1, 2006, with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (collectively, the BSI Term Note) to partially finance the acquisition of BSI. Borrowings under the BSI Term Note bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 50 basis points. However, this variable interest rate has been converted to a fixed rate of 6.05% through an interest rate swap agreement with the lender. Principal is repaid quarterly in equal payments of \$1.1 million over a seven-year period that commenced in September, 2006. The BSI Term Note is due in June of 2013.

On December 27, 2006, the Company s wholly-owned Italian subsidiary entered into an unsecured \$13.2 million seven-year Term Note and Credit Agreement (the Snoline Term Note) with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. On May 17, 2010, the Company repaid the \$7.1 million outstanding balance on the Snoline Term Note in its entirety. In conjunction with the repayment of the Snoline Term Note, the Company exited the cross currency swap associated with this term note at zero fair value.

The BSI Term Note and the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement (collectively, the Notes) each contain the same covenants, including certain covenants relating to Lindsay s financial condition. These include maintaining a funded debt to EBITDA ratio, a fixed charge coverage ratio, and a current ratio (all as defined in the Notes) at specified levels. In connection with entering into the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement during the second quarter of fiscal 2010, the covenants for each of the Notes were modified by adding a tangible net worth requirement. Upon the occurrence of any event of default of these covenants specified in the Notes, including a change in control of the Company (as defined in the Notes), all amounts due under the Notes may be declared to be immediately due and payable. At May 31, 2010, the Company was in compliance with all loan covenants.

The Company believes its current cash resources, projected operating cash flow, and remaining capacity under its bank lines of credit are sufficient to cover all of its expected working capital needs, planned capital expenditures, dividends, and other cash requirements, excluding potential acquisitions.

Cash flows provided by operations totaled \$14.8 million during the nine months ended May 31, 2010 compared to \$24.3 million provided by operations during the same prior year period. Cash provided by operations decreased \$9.5 million primarily due to an increase in cash used for working capital items partially offset by an increase in cash provided by net earnings.

Cash flows used in investing activities totaled \$3.0 million during the nine months ended May 31, 2010 compared to cash flows used in investing activities of \$5.3 million during the same prior year period. The decrease in cash used for investing activities was primarily due to a decrease of \$2.2 million of purchases of property, plant and equipment. Cash flows used in financing activities totaled \$13.4 million during the nine months ended May 31, 2010 compared to cash flows used in financing activities of \$6.5 million during the same prior year period. The increase in cash used in financing activities was primarily due to the \$7.1 million repayment of the Snoline Term Note during the third quarter of fiscal 2010. This was partially offset by an increase of \$0.5 million in net borrowings on revolving lines of credit.

- 24 -

Table of Contents

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

There have been no material changes in the Company s contractual obligations and commercial commitments as described in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2009.

Market Conditions and Fiscal 2010 Outlook

While commodity prices for corn, soybeans and wheat are down 20%-30% compared to the same time last year, prices have been relatively stable at current levels for the past six to nine months. USDA projections for 2010 Net Farm Income indicate a 12% increase compared to 2009 estimates which puts projected 2010 Net Farm Income near the ten year average. Globally, the Company s management believes that farmer sentiment has improved over last year; however, the peak selling period for irrigation equipment has now ended for 2010. Irrigation equipment sales are seasonal by nature. Farmers generally order systems to be delivered and installed before the growing season. Shipments to customers in the northern hemisphere, which includes the majority of the Company s sales, usually peak during the Company s second and third fiscal quarters for the spring planting period.

In the infrastructure markets, because of the project nature and uniqueness of the movable barrier product line, it is difficult to estimate the global market size. For planning purposes, the Company maintains a list of potential projects in the moveable barrier product line for traffic mitigation that remains very strong. Management believes stimulus spending has supported increased road safety product sales and quote activity; however, a multi-year highway bill is essential for sustainable growth in the U.S.

Overall, the Company continues to focus on working capital management and tight spending control in all of the Company s operations. The Company s focus on improving cash flow has resulted in increasing cash and cash equivalents by \$20.3 million to \$83.5 million compared with May 31, 2009. The Company also reduced debt by \$13.2 million over the same period.

As of May 31, 2010, the Company had an order backlog of \$33.9 million compared with \$33.6 million at February 28, 2010 and \$40.2 million at May 31, 2009. The May 31, 2009 backlog included \$19.6 million for the Mexico City road project that was completed in the first half of fiscal 2010.

In the long term, the global drivers of increasing food production, improving water-use efficiency, expanding bio-fuel production, expanding interest in reducing environmental impacts and improving transportation infrastructure, continue to be positive drivers of demand for the Company s products. The Company s strong balance sheet has well-positioned the Company to invest in growth initiatives both organically and through acquisitions.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-13 (ASU 2009-13), which addresses the accounting for multiple-deliverable arrangements to enable vendors to account for products or services (deliverables) separately rather than as a combined unit. ASU 2009-13 is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. The Company is still assessing the impact that the adoption of this standard will have on its consolidated financial statements, but expects the impact to be minimal.

ITEM 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company uses certain financial derivatives to mitigate its exposure to volatility in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company uses these derivative instruments to hedge exposures in the ordinary course of business and does not invest in derivative instruments for speculative purposes. The credit risk under these interest rate and foreign currency agreements is not considered to be significant.

The Company has manufacturing operations in the United States, France, Brazil, Italy, South Africa and China. The Company has sold products throughout the world and purchases certain of its components from third-party international suppliers. Export sales made from the United States are principally U.S. dollar denominated. At times, export sales may be denominated in a currency other than the U.S. dollar. A majority of the Company s revenue generated from operations outside the United States is denominated in local currency. Accordingly, these sales are not typically subject to significant foreign currency transaction risk. The Company s most significant transactional foreign currency exposures are the Euro, the Brazilian real, the South African rand and the Chinese renminbi in relation to the U.S. dollar. Fluctuations in the value of foreign currencies create exposures, which can adversely affect the Company s results of operations.

In order to reduce exposures related to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, the Company, at times, may enter into forward exchange or option contracts for transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency for certain of our operations. This activity primarily relates to economically hedging against foreign currency risk in purchasing inventory, sales of finished goods, and future settlement of foreign denominated assets and liabilities.

- 25 -

Table of Contents

In order to reduce translation exposure resulting from translating the financial statements of its international subsidiaries into U.S. dollars, the Company, at times, utilizes Euro foreign currency forward contracts to hedge its Euro net investment exposure in its foreign operations. During the third quarter of fiscal 2010, the Company entered into a Euro foreign currency forward contract to sell 5.0 million Euro on August 25, 2010 at a fixed price of \$1.2505 USD per Euro. The forward spot rate at May 31, 2010 was 1.2271 USD per Euro. The Company s foreign currency forward contract qualifies as a hedge of net investments in foreign operations.

In order to reduce interest rate risk on the \$30 million BSI Term Note, the Company has entered into an interest rate swap agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. that is designed to convert the variable interest rate on the entire amount of this borrowing to a fixed rate of 6.05% per annum. Under the terms of the interest rate swap, the Company receives variable interest rate payments and makes fixed interest rate payments on an amount equal to the outstanding balance of the BSI Term Note, thereby creating the equivalent of fixed-rate debt.

Similarly, the Company entered into a cross currency swap transaction fixing the conversion rate of Euros to U.S. dollars for the Snoline Term Note at 1.3195 and obligated the Company to make quarterly payments of 0.4 million Euros per quarter over the same seven-year period as the Snoline Term Note and to receive payments of \$0.5 million per quarter. In addition, the variable interest rate was converted to a fixed rate of 4.7%. This is approximately equivalent to converting the \$13.2 million seven-year Snoline Term Note into a 10.0 million Euro seven-year term note at a fixed rate of 4.7%.

On May 17, 2010, in conjunction with repaying the Snoline Term Note, the Company exited the cross currency swap transaction with a zero fair value.

ITEM 4 Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation under the supervision and the participation of the Company s management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e). Based upon that evaluation, the CEO and CFO concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of May 31, 2010.

Additionally, the CEO and CFO determined that there has not been any change to the Company s internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) during the last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

Part II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1 Legal Proceedings

In the ordinary course of its business operations, the Company is involved, from time to time, in commercial litigation, employment disputes, administrative proceedings, and other legal proceedings. None of these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, is expected to have a material effect on the business or financial condition of the Company.

- 26 -

Table of Contents

Environmental Matters

In 1992, the Company entered into a consent decree with the Environmental Protection Agency of the United States Government (the EPA) in which the Company committed to remediate environmental contamination of the groundwater that was discovered in 1982 through 1990 at and adjacent to its Lindsay, Nebraska facility (the site). The site was added to the EPA s list of priority superfund sites in 1989. Between 1993 and 1995, remediation plans for the site were approved by the EPA and fully implemented by the Company. Since 1998, the primary remaining contamination at the site has been the presence of volatile organic chemicals in the groundwater. The current remediation process consists of drilling wells into the aquifer and pumping water to the surface to allow these contaminants to be removed by aeration. In 2008, the Company and the EPA conducted a periodic five-year review of the status of the remediation of the contamination of the site. In response to the review, the Company and its environmental consultants have developed a remedial action work plan that will allow the Company and the EPA to better identify the boundaries of the contaminated groundwater and determine whether the contaminated groundwater is being contained by current and planned remediation methods. The Company accrues the anticipated cost of remediation when the obligation is probable and can be reasonably estimated. Although the Company has accrued all reasonably estimable costs of completing the actions defined in the current ongoing work plan agreed to between the Company and the EPA, it is possible that additional testing may be required or additional actions could be requested or mandated by the EPA at any time, resulting in the recognition of additional related expenses.

ITEM 1A Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in our risk factors as described in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2009.

ITEM 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The Company made no repurchases of its common stock under the Company s stock repurchase plan during the quarter ended May 31, 2010; therefore, tabular disclosure is not presented. From time to time, the Company s Board of Directors has authorized the Company to repurchase shares of the Company s common stock. Under this share repurchase plan, the Company has existing authorization to purchase, without further announcement, up to 881,139 shares of the Company s common stock in the open market or otherwise.

- 27 -

Table of Contents

ITEM 6 Exhibits

3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, incorporated by reference to
	Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 14, 2006.

- Restated By-Laws of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 6, 2007.
- 4.1 Specimen Form of Common Stock Certificate, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) of the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended November 30, 2006.
- 10.1* Lindsay Corporation 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan, approved by the Company s stockholders on January 25, 2010, together with forms of award agreements
- 31.1* Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 31.2* Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 32.1* Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

* filed herein

- 28 -

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on this 9th day of July 2010.

LINDSAY CORPORATION

By: /s/ DAVID B. DOWNING

Name: David B. Downing

Title: Chief Financial Officer and

President International Operations

- 29 -