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"In the last six months, municipal bond prices have climbed steadily upward, while interest rates have declined."
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SCUDDER STRATEGIC MUNICIPAI
INCOME TRUST TOTAL RETURNS
FOR THE SIX-MONTH PERIOD ENDED MAY 31, 2001

```
    BASED ON NET ASSET VALUE 6.73\%
    BASED ON MARKET PRICE 14.58\%
NET ASSET VALUE AND MARKET PRICE
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
AS OF & AS OF
\end{tabular}
    NET ASSET VALUE \$11.75 \$11.37
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
    MARKET PRICE \(\$ 11.66 \quad \$ 10.51\)
DIVIDEND REVIEW
THE FOLLOWING TABLE SHOWS PER SHARE DIVIDEND AND YIELD INFORMATION FOR THE
TRUST AS OF MAY 31, 2001.
    SIX-MONTHS INCOME \$ 0.375
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
    MAY DIVIDEND \$0.0625
    ANNUALIZED DISTRIBUTION RATE
    (BASED ON NET ASSET VALUE) 6.38\%
...........................................................
    ANNUALIZED DISTRIBUTION RATE
    (BASED ON MARKET PRICE) 6.43\%
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
    TAX-EQUIVALENT DISTRIBUTION RATE
    (BASED ON NET ASSET VALUE AND A 37.1\%
    FEDERAL INCOME TAX RATE) \(2.37 \%\)
TAX-EQUIVALENT DISTRIBUTION RATE
    (BASED ON MARKET PRICE AND A 37.1\%
    FEDERAL INCOME TAX RATE) 2.39\%

STATISTICAL NOTE: CURRENT ANNUALIZED DISTRIBUTION RATE IS THE LATEST MONTHLY DIVIDEND SHOWN AS AN ANNUALIZED PERCENTAGE OF NET ASSET VALUE/MARKET PRICE ON THE DATE SHOWN. DISTRIBUTION RATE SIMPLY MEASURES THE LEVEL OF DIVIDENDS AND IS NOT A COMPLETE MEASURE OF PERFORMANCE. TOTAL RETURN MEASURES AGGREGATE CHANGE IN
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NET ASSET VALUE/MARKET PRICE ASSUMING REINVESTMENT OF DIVIDENDS.

RETURNS ARE HISTORICAL AND DO NOT GUARANTEE FUTURE RESULTS. MARKET PRICE, DISTRIBUTION RATES, NET ASSET VALUE AND RETURNS ARE HISTORICAL AND WILL FLUCTUATE. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CONCERNING PERFORMANCE IS CONTAINED IN THE FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS APPEARING AT THE END OF THIS REPORT.

INCOME MAY BE SUBJECT TO STATE AND LOCAL TAXES, AND A PORTION OF THE INCOME MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX FOR CERTAIN INVESTORS.

INVESTMENT BY THE TRUST IN LOWER-RATED SECURITIES PRESENTS SPECIAL RISK CONSIDERATIONS.

TERMS TO KNOW

BASIS POINT The movement of interest rates or yields expressed in hundredths of a percent. For example, an increase in yield from 5 percent to 6 percent is 100 basis points.

DURATION A measure of the interest rate sensitivity of a portfolio, incorporating time to maturity and coupon size. The longer a portfolio's duration, the greater its sensitivity to interest rate changes.

INVERTED YIELD CURVE A market phenomenon in which intermediate-term bonds (securities with one- to 10-year maturities) have higher income potential and current yields than long-term bonds (securities with 10-to 30-year maturities). Historically, it has occurred during a period of rising short-term interest rates and been viewed as an indicator of a future economic slowdown.

YIELD A measure of net investment income per share earned over a specific onemonth or 30 -day period expressed as an annualized percentage of the maximum offering price of the fund's shares at the end of the period.
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ZURICH SCUDDER INVESTMENTS, INC., A LEADING GLOBAL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT FIRM, IS A MEMBER OF THE ZURICH FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP. ZURICH SCUDDER INVESTMENTS IS ONE OF THE LARGEST AND MOST EXPERIENCED INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATIONS IN THE WORLD, MANAGING MORE THAN \(\$ 360\) BILLION IN ASSETS FOR CORPORATE CLIENTS, RETIREMENT AND PENSION PLANS, INSURANCE COMPANIES, MUTUAL FUND INVESTORS AND INDIVIDUALS WORLDWIDE. HEADQUARTERED IN NEW YORK, ZURICH SCUDDER INVESTMENTS OFFERS A FULL RANGE OF INVESTMENT COUNSEL AND ASSET MANAGEMENT CAPABILITIES, BASED ON A COMBINATION OF PROPRIETARY RESEARCH AND DISCIPLINED, LONG-TERM INVESTMENT STRATEGIES. HEADQUARTERED IN ZURICH, SWITZERLAND, ZURICH FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP IS ONE OF THE GLOBAL LEADERS IN THE FINANCIAL SERVICES INDUSTRY, PROVIDING ITS CUSTOMERS WITH PRODUCTS AND SOLUTIONS IN THE AREA OF FINANCIAL PROTECTION AND ASSET ACCUMULATION. ECONOMIC OVERVIEW

DEAR SHAREHOLDER:

The plot thickens. Can the Economy in Distress hold out until our hero, Monetary and Fiscal Policy, rides to the rescue?

Actually, we think this story can have a happy ending, although maintaining that optimism requires a leap of faith. There's no economic evidence that a bottom is near. But all of the monetary indicators -- such as a steep yield curve, exploding money supply growth and record bond issuance -- give us hope. And now we have a tax cut. This suggests that the economy will escape recession -- but just barely. We expect economic growth to average just under 2 percent for the next 18 months -- and this slow advance will curtail inflation,
which we expect to rise just 2.5 percent in 2002.
Why is aggressive monetary and fiscal policy likely to produce such anemic growth? The answer is that even Federal Reserve Board Chairman Alan Greenspan can't fix everything, especially when a certain global villain is at work. Who is this malefactor? Oil. It's likely that purchasing power in the developed world was drained by the recent jump in oil prices.

But oil's not the only culprit. When the United States ran into trouble, so did other countries. For example, European politicians continue to focus on politics, not economics. And the Japanese government has let structural problems fester during the last 10 years. We now see near-recession conditions almost everywhere.

You might expect the dollar to decline as other countries become more dependent on the United States and increase the number of goods they sell here -- but astonishingly, it hasn't. That's not necessarily good news, however. More than 30 percent of U.S. corporate profits are earned abroad, and when the dollar rises, those earnings are worth less. Non-American companies also take advantage of their weaker currencies to aggressively price exports to the United States. That undercuts American companies' pricing power and ravages revenue growth. The result is a humdinger of a profits recession. We expect \(S \& P 500\) operating profits to be down 15 percent this year as a whole.

One result of the profit recession is that executives are trying to restore profitability by cutting costs. This hurts the economy. They first go after travel and entertainment, affecting hotels, airlines and restaurants. Next in line are capital spending and payroll, so we expect the unemployment rate to rise from 4.5 percent to 5.5 percent by the end of next year.

When unemployment rises, consumers feel the heat. Consumer spending won't decrease drastically, because Uncle Sam is putting almost \(\$ 40\) billion in the mail this summer. Still, they won't spend too much. We expect consumption growth through the end of next year to hover around 2 percent.

\section*{ECONOMIC GUIDEPOSTS}

ECONOMIC ACTIVITY IS A KEY INFLUENCE ON INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE AND SHAREHOLDER DECISION-MAKING. PERIODS OF RECESSION OR BOOM, INFLATION OR DEFLATION, CREDIT EXPANSION OR CREDIT CRUNCH HAVE A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON MUTUAL FUND PERFORMANCE.

THE FOLLOWING ARE SOME SIGNIFICANT ECONOMIC GUIDEPOSTS AND THEIR INVESTMENT RATIONALE THAT MAY HELP YOUR INVESTMENT DECISION-MAKING. THE 10-YEAR TREASURY RATE AND THE PRIME RATE ARE PREVAILING INTEREST RATES. THE OTHER DATA REPORT YEAR-TO-YEAR PERCENTAGE CHANGES.
[BAR GRAPH]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & NOW (6/30/01) & 6 MONTHS AGO & 1 YEAR \\
\hline 10-year Treasury rate1 & 5.3 & 5.2 & 6. \\
\hline Prime rate2 & 6.75 & 9.5 & 9 \\
\hline Inflation rate3* & 3.6 & 3.4 & 3 \\
\hline The U.S. dollar4 & 9.6 & 8.6 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
Capital goods orders5* & -9.2 & 14.3 \\
Industrial production 5* & -2.8 & 4.4 \\
Employment growth6 & 0.3 & 1.5
\end{tabular}
(1) FALLING INTEREST RATES IN RECENT YEARS HAVE BEEN A BIG PLUS FOR FINANCIAL ASSETS.
(2) THE INTEREST RATE THAT COMMERCIAL LENDERS CHARGE THEIR BEST BORROWERS.
(3) INFLATION REDUCES AN INVESTOR'S REAL RETURN. IN THE LAST FIVE YEARS, INFLATION HAS BEEN AS HIGH AS 6 PERCENT. THE LOW, MODERATE INFLATION OF THE LAST FEW YEARS HAS MEANT HIGH REAL RETURNS.
(4) CHANGES IN THE EXCHANGE VALUE OF THE DOLLAR IMPACT U.S. EXPORTERS AND THE VALUE OF U.S. FIRMS' FOREIGN PROFITS.
(5) THESE INFLUENCE CORPORATE PROFITS AND EQUITY PERFORMANCE.
(6) AN INFLUENCE ON FAMILY INCOME AND RETAIL SALES.
(7) THE TWO INTEREST RATES, EMPLOYMENT PLUS THE US DOLLAR HAVE DATA THROUGH JUNE, ALL THE OTHERS ONLY GO THROUGH MAY. THEREFORE " 6 MONTHS AGO" FOR THE TWO INTEREST RATES, THE DOLLAR AND EMPLOYMENT IS DECEMBER; FOR THE OTHERS (EXCEPT CAPITAL GOODS), IT'S NOVEMBER.
*DATA AS OF 5/31/01.

SOURCE: ECONOMICS DEPARTMENT, ZURICH SCUDDER INVESTMENTS, INC.
ECONOMIC OVERVIEW

Because consumption is two-thirds of the economy, it sets the pace for gross domestic product (GDP). And 2 percent GDP growth will not foster inflation. While many myths about the new economy were exaggerated, its disinflationary tendencies were not. We know that inflation is a complex phenomenon, but we don't believe it can survive under conditions of slow growth.

Moderate growth with low inflation is not a bad end to our story. We doubt that it will feel very satisfying, however. Monetary and Fiscal Policy may well rescue the Economy in Distress, but he can't undo the shock. The convalescence is likely to be prolonged.

Scudder Distributors, Inc.

THE OPINIONS AND FORECASTS EXPRESSED ARE THOSE OF THE ECONOMIC ADVISORS OF ZURICH SCUDDER INVESTMENTS, INC. AS OF JULY 1, 2001, AND MAY NOT ACTUALLY COME TO PASS.
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PERFORMANCE UPDATE
[LASTNAME PHOTO]
PHILIP G. CONDON JOINED THE FIRM IN 1983 AND IS LEAD PORTFOLIO MANAGER OF THE TRUST AND MANAGING DIRECTOR OF ZURICH SCUDDER INVESTMENTS' MUNICIPAL BOND GROUP. HE HAS ALSO SERVED AS DIRECTOR OF THE MUNICIPAL BOND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT.
[WILSON PHOTO]
REBECCA L. WILSON HAS 14 YEARS OF PROFESSIONAL INVESTMENT EXPERIENCE AND HAS BEEN CO-MANAGER OF THE TRUST SINCE 1998. SHE JOINED ZURICH SCUDDER INVESTMENTS, INC. IN 1986. SHE IS ALSO CO-LEAD PORTFOLIO MANAGER OF SCUDDER HIGH-YIELD TAX-FREE FUND.

THE VIEWS EXPRESSED IN THIS REPORT REFLECT THOSE OF THE PORTFOLIO MANAGERS ONLY THROUGH THE END OF THE PERIOD OF THE REPORT AS STATED ON THE COVER. THE MANAGERS' VIEWS ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AT ANY TIME, BASED ON MARKET AND OTHER CONDITIONS.

AMID A VOLATILE STOCK MARKET AND SLOWING U.S. ECONOMY, THE BOND MARKET CONTINUED TO CLIMB STEADILY OVER THE LAST SIX MONTHS. ISSUANCE OF MUNICIPAL BONDS INCREASED SIGNIFICANTLY AS THE COST OF BORROWING MONEY DECLINED FOLLOWING A STRING OF SHORT-TERM INTEREST RATES CUTS BY THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD. BELOW, THE MANAGEMENT TEAM DISCUSSES THE MARKET'S PERFORMANCE AS WELL AS HOW THE TRUST FARED DURING THE PERIOD.

Q HOW HAS THE U.S. BOND MARKET PERFORMED OVER THE SIX-MONTH PERIOD ENDED MAY 31, 2001?

A The bond market staged a significant rally in early 2001, as the U.S. economy cooled, interest rates declined, and investor interest in bonds grew. In the last six months, the Federal Reserve Board has cut interest rates on five separate occasions for a total reduction of 250 basis points, placing the federal funds lending rate at 4 percent. Those falling rates coupled with a slowing U.S. economy and a volatile stock market helped to rekindle investor interest in bonds.

While rates for Treasury bonds with maturities of two years or less fell sharply, those with maturities of five years or more saw only minor declines. Longer-maturity Treasury bonds typically were not as severely affected by interest rate cuts. In this case, longer maturity bonds might have been even less affected, since the bond market was worried that inflation could rear its ugly head. By May 31, 2001, the 10 -year Treasury bond's yield had slipped to 5.38 percent, just nine basis points less than six months earlier. However, a two-year Treasury bond saw its yield decline by 142 basis points. As a result, the Treasury yield curve regained a positive slope in early 2001, with long-term Treasuries yielding more than short-term Treasuries. It had been inverted in 2000. The municipal yield curve did not invert in 2000 and remained positively sloped through the first five months of 2001.

\section*{Q HOW DID THE FED'S RATE CUTS AFFECT THE MUNICIPAL BOND MARKET?}

A In the last six months, municipal bond prices have climbed steadily upward, while interest rates have declined. The Fed's interest rate cuts also led to a significant rise in municipal bond sales in the first half of 2001. Issuers have raced to market to take advantage of low borrowing costs and to get deals done before an expected large California issuance later this summer. Specifically, the California Department of Water Resources is expected to issue about \(\$ 13\) billion in bonds in August or September. The sale is designed to help pay back the state coffers drained by the energy crisis that has plagued most of the state. The sale will likely be the largest ever in the tax-exempt market.

The municipal bond yield curve has also steepened during the period with 30 -year bonds yielding about 203 basis points more than a bond with a maturity of two years as of May 31, 2001. Previously, the municipal curve had been fairly flat with 30 -year bonds yielding about 109 basis points more than two-year bonds as of November 30, 2000.

\section*{Edgar Filing: SCUDDER STRATEGIC MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-30D}

A We continue to manage the trust with a focus on structure and maturity, while carefully monitoring the credit quality of individual issues. Although we do keep in mind the interest rate environment, we typically try to keep duration neutral to our peers. That said, as the municipal bond yield curve has generally steepened over the period, partially because of these rate cuts, we have found 15-year municipal bonds to be particularly attractive and have added selectively in that area.

Q HOW DID SCUDDER STRATEGIC MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST PERFORM DURING THE PERIOD?

A The trust posted strong results on a net asset value as well as on a market value basis during the period. Thanks to the high-yield bond market's strength, the trust posted a 14.58 percent return on a market value basis for the six-month period ended May 31. The trust also posted a strong 6.73 percent return on a net asset value basis. Those results dramatically outpaced the fund's typical peer in the Lipper High-Yield Municipal Debt Funds category, which gained 4.54 percent. The trust also handily beat its benchmark, the unmanaged Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index*, which posted a 4.73 percent return during the same period.
* THE LEHMAN BROTHERS MUNICIPAL BOND INDEX CONTAINS APPROXIMATELY 15,000 BONDS. TO BE IN THE INDEX, A MUNICIPAL BOND MUST MEET THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA: A MINIMUM CREDIT RATING OF BBB, ISSUED AS PART OF AN ISSUE OF AT LEAST \$50 MILLION, ISSUED WITHIN THE LAST FIVE YEARS AND A MATURITY OF AT LEAST TWO YEARS. BONDS SUBJECT TO ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX, VARIABLE-RATE BONDS AND ZERO-COUPON BONDS ARE EXCLUDED FROM THE INDEX. INVESTORS CANNOT INVEST IN THE INDEX.

Q WHAT IS YOUR OUTLOOK FOR THE MUNICIPAL BOND MARKET AND FOR THE TRUST GOING FORWARD?

A We see growing interest in municipal bonds as more investors embrace the need for portfolio diversification and look to increase their exposure to bonds, particularly after the stock market volatility we've seen over the last year. And while the U.S. economic slowdown has caused stocks to struggle, most municipalities are still in solid shape. We believe municipal bond yields are now even more attractive than usual relative to Treasury bonds.
```
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PORTFOLIO STATISTICS

PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION

\section*{ON 5/31/01}

ON 11/30/00
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline REVENUE BONDS & 79\% & 45\% \\
\hline GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS & 12 & 13 \\
\hline LEASE OBLIGATIONS & 1 & -- \\
\hline U.S. GOVERNMENT SECURED & 8 & 42 \\
\hline & 100\% & 100\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

[PIE CHART] [PIE CHART]

```
QUALITY

(Cost \(\$ 800,000\) ) \$ 800,00
LONG-TERM MUNICIPAL INVESTMENTS--99.6\%

ALABAMA
Alabama State Public School and College Authority, Revenue, Series C, 5.625\%, 07/01/2013
\(1,000,00\)

ARIZONA
Arizona Health Facilities Authority Revenue, New Foundation Project, 8.25\%, 03/01/2019 2,230,00
Coconino County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, Health Care Institution Revenue, Guidance Center Income Project, Prerefunded 06/01/2001, 9.25\%, 06/01/2011 (b)
\(1,575,00\)
Flagstaff, AZ, Industrial Development Authority Revenue, Living Community Northern Community Project, 6.30\%, 09/01/2038
\(2,000,00\)
Pima County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, Private Activity Revenue, Larson County Project, 9.50\%, 08/01/2010
\(1,800,00\)

\section*{CALIFORNIA}

Foothill/Eastern Corridor Agency, California Toll Road Revenue, Senior Lien, Series A, Zero coupon, ETM, 01/01/2026** 11,500,00
Sacramento County, Bradshaw Road Project, Revenue, 7.20\%, 09/02/2015
\(1,225,00\)
Sacramento, CA, City Financing Authority, Convention Center Hotel, Series 1999 A, 6.25\%, 01/01/2030
\(2,000,00\)
San Diego, CA, Detention Facility, Certificates of Participation, Revenue, 8.00\%, 06/01/2002
San Joaquin Hills, CA, Transportation Corridor Agency, Toll Road Revenue, Senior Lien, ETM, Zero coupon, 01/01/2020**

Arapahoe County, CO, Capital Improvement Trust Fund, Capital Improvement Trust Fund, Revenue, Prerefunded 08/31/2005, Zero coupon, 08/31/2010 (b)

Colorado, Health Facilities Revenue, 6.50\%,

Denver, CO, City and County Airport Revenue: Series A, 6.00\%, 11/15/2012

2,000,00 Unrefunded Balance, Series A, 7.50\%, 11/15/2023 830,00 Series A, Prerefunded 11/15/2004, (b) 7.50\%, 11/15/2023
Unrefunded Balance, Series A, 8.00\%, 11/15/2025
170,00 Unrefunded Balance, Series A, 8.75\%, 11/15/2023

Denver, CO, Urban Renewal Authority, Tax Increment Revenue, AMT, Series 1989, 7.75\%, 09/01/2016 1,760,00
Lower Colorado River Authority, Texas, Revenue, Series B, 6.00\%, 05/15/2013

8 The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements. 9
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Mashantucket Western Pequot Tribe, Special Revenue:
Zero coupon, 09/01/2017 2,000,00
```

    Zero coupon, 09/01/2018 1,000,00
    ```

DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA
District of Columbia, General Obligation, Series A, 5.00\%, 06/01/2018 1,000,00

FLORIDA
Hillsborough County, FL, Industrial Development Authority Revenue, University Community Hospital Project, 5.625\%, 08/15/2023
Nassau County, Amelia Island Care Center Project, Revenue, 9.75\%, 01/01/2023
\(1,930,00\)
Orlando, FL, Special Assessment Revenue, Conroy Road Interchange, Series A, 5.80\%, 05/01/2026
\(1,000,00\)
Palm Beach County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, Retirement Community Revenue, Series 1998, 5.125\%, 11/15/2029
\(1,000,00\)

HAWAII
Hawaii State, General Obligation, MBIA, 5.75\%, 10/01/2011
\(1,250,00\)

ILLINOIS
Chicago, IL, Board of Education, 5.75\%, 12/01/2017 1,380,00
Chicago, IL, O'Hare International Airport, Special
Facilities Revenue:

American Airlines Income Project, 8.20\%, 12/01/2024 1,200,00

United Airlines Project, Series 1999 A, 5.35\%, 09/01/2016 500,00
Chicago, IL, Tax Increment Allocation, Central Station Project, Series A, Prerefunded \(01 / 01 / 2005,8.90 \%\), 01/01/2011 (b)
\(1,730,00\)
Illinois Health Facilities Authority Revenue, 6.75\%, 02/15/2016

Illinois State General Obligation, 6.00\%, 01/01/2013
\(2,180,00\)

St. Charles, IL, Multifamily Housing Revenue, Housing-Wessel Court Project, 7.60\%, 04/01/2024
\(3,315,0\)
\(1,835,00\)
University Park, IL, Tax Allocation, Governors Gateway Industrial Park, 8.50\%, 12/01/2011
\(1,685,00\)

INDIANA
Indiana Health Facilities Financing Authority: Revenue, Franciscan Eldercare Community Services, Series 1998, 5.875\%, 05/15/2029 3,000,0 Hospital Revenue, Fayette Memorial Hospital Project, 7.20\%, 10/01/2022
\(2,800,0\)
Indianapolis, IN, Airport Authority Revenue, Special Facilities, United Airlines Project, Series A, 6.50\%, 11/15/2031
\(1,900,0\)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements. 9 10
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PRINCIPA
AMOUNT

IOWA
Iowa Finance Authority, Health Care Facilities
Revenue, On With Life, Inc. Project, 7.25\%, 08/01/2015
Lake City, IA, Health Care Facility Revenue, Refinancing Opportunity Living Project, 6.45\%, 05/01/2011
\(1,750,0\)

KANSAS
Manhattan, KS, Health Care Facilities, Revenue Bond, Meadowlark Hills Retirement, Series 1999 A, 6.50\%, 05/15/2028

500,00
Overland Park Development Corp., 7.375\%, 01/01/2032
\(2,000,00\)

KENTUCKY
Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Health Systems Revenue, Norton Healthcare Inc., Series 2000 A, 5.625\%, 10/01/2028 1,000,00

MARYLAND
Anne Arundel County, MD, Special Obligation:
Arundel Mills Project, Revenue,
\(7.10 \%\), \(07 / 01 / 20291,500,00\)

National Business Park Project, 7.375\%, 07/01/2028
\(1,000,0\)
Maryland Economic Development, Student Housing Revenue Bond, Collegiate Housing, Series A, 5.75\%, 06/01/2019
\(1,000,00\)
Maryland Economic Development Corporation: University of Maryland, Series 1999 A, 5.75\%, 06/01/2031
\(1,000,00\)
Chesapeake Bay Conference, Series 1999 B, 7.625\%, 12/01/2022
\(4,000,0\)
Maryland State Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, University of Maryland Medical System Revenue, Series 2000, 6.75\%, 07/01/2030

\section*{MASSACHUSETTS}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Massachusetts State Health \& Education Facilities } \\
& \quad \text { Revenue Healthcare System, Series C, } 5.75 \%, \\
& 07 / 01 / 2032
\end{aligned}
\]

\footnotetext{
10 The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements. 11
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Prerefunded 10/01/2001, 8.75\%, 10/01/2016 (b)
Hillsdale, MI, Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue, Hillsdale Community Health Center, 5.25\%, 05/15/2026
Kalamazoo, MI, Economic Development Revenue Bond, Series 1999 A, \(7.500 \%\), 05/15/2029
Michigan State Strategic Funding Limited, Obligation Revenue, 5.75\%, 11/15/2028
Saginaw, MI, Hospital Finance Authority Revenue, Covenant Medical Center, Series F, 6.50\%, 07/01/2030
\(1,000,00\)
Tawas City, MI, Hospital Finance Authority, St. Joseph Health System, Series A, Revenue, ETM: 5.60\%, 02/15/2012**

470,0
5.75\%, 02/15/2023**
\(1,300,0\)

MISSOURI
St. Louis, MO, Tax Increment Revenue, Tax Allocation, Series A, 10.00\%, 08/01/2010 \(1,905,00\)
St. Louis, MO, Industrial Development Authority Revenue, Senior Lien, St. Louis Convention, Series A, 7.20\%, 12/15/2028
\(2,000,00\)

NEBRASKA
Nebraska Investment Finance Authority, Single Family Housing Revenue, Series A, 6.70\%, 09/01/2026

500,0

NEVADA
Nevada State Department Business and Industry, Las
Vegas Monorail Project, Revenue, 7.375\%, 01/01/2030
\(2,000,0\)
Nevada Housing Division, Single Family Mortgage: Revenue, Series C, 6.50\%, 04/01/2028

940,0
Program, Revenue, Series B2, 7.90\%, 10/01/2021 625,0

NEW HAMPSHIRE
New Hampshire Health and Educational Facilities
Authority Revenue, New Hampshire College Issue, Series 2000, 7.40\%, 01/01/2023
\(1,000,00\)
New Hampshire Higher Education and Health Facilities Authority: 5.75\%, \(07 / 01 / 2028 \quad 1,500,00\)

Revenue, Havenwood Heritage Heights, 7.45\%, 01/01/2025
\(2,000,0\)

New Jersey Economic Development Authority Revenue, Harrogate Inc., Series A, 5.875\%, 12/01/2026

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements. 11 12
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NEW MEXICO
Farmington, NM, Pollution Control Revenue, 5.80\%, 04/01/2022
\(\$ 2,750,00\)

NEW YORK
Monroe County, NY, Airport Authority Revenue, Greater Rochester International, 5.625\%, \(01 / 01 / 2010\) (c) 1,740,00
Nassau Health Care Corporation, New York Health Systems Revenue, 6.00\%, 08/01/2016
\(2,825,00\)
New York \& New Jersey Port Authority, Special Obligation Revenue, Continental/Eastern Project, Laguardia, 9.125\%, 12/01/2015 2,500,00
New York City, General Obligation, Series C, 7.00\%, 02/01/2010

New York Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Revenue, Series A, 5.125\%, 04/01/2019 1,450,00
New York State Dormitory Authority, Revenue, Series A, 5.125\%, 05/15/2021 (c) 1,880,00
New York State Medical Care Facilities Finance Agency Revenue, Partially Refunded to 08/15/2001, 7.30\%, 02/15/2021

20,00
City Transitional Financing Authority Revenue, Series B, 6.000\%, 11/15/2013
\(2,000,0\)
Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, New York, Revenue, Series Y, 6.000\%, 01/01/2012
\(5,000,00\)

NORTH CAROLINA
Charlotte, NC, Special Facilities Revenue, Douglas International Airport-US Airways, 7.75\%, 02/01/2028
\(1,000,00\)
North Carolina Municipal Power Agency, Electric Revenue, Series 1999 B, 6.375\%, 01/01/2013
\(1,300,00\)

Grand Forks, ND, Health Care Systems Revenue, Series 2000, 7.125\%, 08/15/2024
North Dakota State Housing Finance Agency, Single Family Mortgage Revenue, Series A, 8.375\%, 07/01/2021

OKLAHOMA
Woodward Municipal Authority, Hospital Revenue, 8.50\%, 11/01/2014
\(1,335,00\)

PENNSYLVANIA
Montgomery County, \(P A\), Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Philadelphia Geriatric Center Revenue, Series 1999 A, 7.25\%, 12/01/2027 2,000,00
Pennsylvania Higher Education Facilities Authority UPMC Health System Series A, 6.00\%, 01/15/2031

750,00
Pennsylvania State Higher Educational Facilities Authority, College \& University Revenues, Philadelphia College Text \& Science, 6.70\%, 04/01/2014
\(2,000,00\)
Westmoreland County, PA, Industrial Development Authority Revenue, Health Care Facilities-Redstone, Series 2000 B, 8.125\%, 11/15/2030
\(1,000,00\)

12 The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements. 13

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS

SOUTH CAROLINA

> South Carolina Jobs Economic Development Authority, Hospital Facilities Revenue, Series 2000 A, \(7.375 \%, 12 / 15 / 2021\)

TENNESSEE
Johnson City, TN, Health and Educational
Facilities Board Hospital Revenue, 7.50\%, 07/01/2033
\(2,000,00\)

Abilene, TX, Health Facilities Development, Corporate Retirement Facilities Revenue, Sears Methodist Retirement, Series A, 5.90\%, 11/15/2025
Austin, TX, Bergstrom Landhost Enterprises, Airport Hotel, Series 1999 A, 6.75\%, 04/01/2027
Crowley, TX, Independent School District, General Obligation, 5.125\%, 08/01/2025
\(2,500,00\)
\(2,000,00\)

Dallas-Fort Worth, TX, International Airport Facility:
American Airlines, Series C, 6.15\%, 05/01/2029 2,000,00 American Airlines, Revenue, 6.375\%, 05/01/2035 2,000,00
Houston, TX: General Obligation, Series A, 5.00\%, 03/01/2016 3,000,00 Independent School District, Series A, General Obligation, 5.00\%, 01/15/2024
\(2,000,00\)
Airport System Special Facilities, Continental Airlines, Inc., Improvement Projects, Revenue, 5.70\%, 07/15/2029 2,000,00
Airport System Special Facilities, Continental Airlines, Inc., Improvement Projects, Revenue, 6.125\%, 07/15/2027
San Antonio, TX, Electric \& Gas, Revenue, Series A, 5.00\%, 02/01/2018
Tarrant County, TX, Health Facilities Development Corp., Hospital Revenue, 6.70\%, 11/15/2030
\(5,000,00\)

Travis County, Texas, Health Facilities Development Corporation Revenue, Series A, \(6.00 \%\), 11/15/2012 (c)
\(2,000,00\)
\(1,100,00\)
\(1,000,00\)

UTAH
Utah Housing Finance Agency, Single Family Mortgage Revenue, 6.65\%, 07/01/2026

560,00

VIRGIN ISLANDS
Virgin Islands, Gross Receipts Taxes, Public Financial Authority Revenue, Series A, 6.375\%, 10/01/2019
\(3,000,00\)

VIRGINIA

Fairfax County, VA, Economic Development Authority Revenue, Series 1999 A, 7.250\%, 10/01/2019
Virginia Beach, VA, Development Authority Revenue, 7.00\%, 04/01/2010
\(1,410,00\)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements. 13 14

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS
```

West Virginia State Hospital Finance Authority,
Hospital Revenue, Charleston Area Medical
Center, Series A, 6.75%, 09/01/2022 \$ 2,000,00

```

WISCONSIN
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Authority, Home Ownership Revenue, Series F, 6.20\%, 03/01/2027 & 500,00 \\
\hline Wisconsin State Health And Education Facilities & \\
\hline Authority Revenue: & \\
\hline 5.125\%, 02/15/2020 & \(1,000,00\) \\
\hline Aurora Health Care Inc., Series 1999 A, 5.60\%, 02/15/2029 & \(1,000,00\) \\
\hline Memorial Hospital Oconomowoc Project, & \\
\hline Prerefunded 07/01/2005, 6.35\%, 07/01/2017 (b) & 600,00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL LONG-TERM MUNICIPAL INVESTMENTS
(Cost \(\$ 186,829,090\) )

TOTAL INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO--100.0\%
(Cost \(\$ 187,629,090\) )

NOTES TO PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS
(a) The cost for federal income tax purposes was \(\$ 187,629,090\). At May 31, 2001, net unrealized appreciation for all securities based on tax cost was \(\$ 7,597,547\). This consisted of aggregate gross unrealized appreciation for all securities in which there was an excess of market value over tax cost of \(\$ 10,741,261\) and aggregate gross unrealized depreciation for all securities in which there was an excess of tax cost over market value of \$3,143, 714 .
(b) Prerefunded: Bonds which are prerefunded are collateralized by U.S. Treasury securities which are held in escrow and are used to pay principal and interest on tax-exempt issues and to retire the bond in full at the earliest refunding date.
(c) Bond is insured by one of these companies: AMBAC, FGIC or MBIA/BIG.
* Floating rate and monthly, weekly, or daily demand notes are securities whose yields vary with a designated market index or market rate, such as the

\title{
Edgar Filing: SCUDDER STRATEGIC MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-30D
}
coupon-equivalent of the U.S. Treasury bill rate. Variable rate demand notes are securities whose yields are periodically reset at levels that are generally comparable to tax-exempt commercial paper. These securities are payable on demand within seven calendar days and normally incorporate an irrevocable letter of credit from a major bank. These notes are carried, for purposes of calculating average weighted maturity, at the longer of the period remaining until the next rate change or to the extent of the demand period.
** ETM: Bonds bearing the description ETM (escrowed to maturity) are collateralized by U.S. Treasury securities which are held in escrow by a trustee and used to pay principal and interest on bonds so designated.

14 The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements. 15

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

STATEMENT OF ASSETS \& LIABILITIES
As of May 31, 2001 (unaudited)

```

unlimited number of shares authorized; 2,800 shares
outstanding at \$25 thousand liquidation value per share
70,000,000
Paid-in capital 118,813,400
NET ASSETS, AT VALUE \$196,273,012
NET ASSET VALUE
NET ASSET VALUE PER COMMON SHARES
(\$126,273,012/10,747,327 outstanding shares of beneficial
interest, \$.01 par value, unlimited number of shares
authorized)
(NET ASSETS LESS REMARKETED PREFERRED SHARES AT LIQUIDATION
VALUE DIVIDED BY COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING)
\$11.75

```

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements. 15 16
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STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS
Six months ended May 31, 2001 (unaudited)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{INVESTMENT INCOME} \\
\hline Interest & \$6,280,635 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Expenses:} \\
\hline Management fee & 586,006 \\
\hline Services to shareholders & 17,596 \\
\hline Custodian fees & 4,212 \\
\hline Auditing & 38,194 \\
\hline Legal & 805 \\
\hline Trustees' fees and expenses & 6,876 \\
\hline Reports to shareholders & 4,990 \\
\hline Remarketing fee & 87,360 \\
\hline Other & 48,326 \\
\hline Total expenses, before expense reductions & 794,365 \\
\hline Expense reductions & \((3,683)\) \\
\hline Total expenses, after expense reductions & 790,682 \\
\hline NET INVESTMENT INCOME & 5,489,953 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS) ON INVESTMENT TRANSACTIONS} \\
\hline Net realized gain (loss) from investments & 192,228 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) during the on investments & 3,729,729 \\
\hline Net gain (loss) on investment transactions & 3,921,957 \\
\hline NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM & \\
\hline OPERATIONS & \$9,411,910 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
16 The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements. 17
}

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

SIX MONTHS ENDED
MAY 31, 2001
(UNAUDITED)

YEAR ENDED NOVEMBER 30 2000

INCREASE (DECREASE) IN NET ASSETS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Operations: & & \\
\hline Net investment income & \$ 5,489,953 & \$ 10,872,519 \\
\hline Net realized gain (loss) on investment transactions & 192,228 & \((374,722\) \\
\hline Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investment transactions during the period & 3,729,729 & \(1,799,954\) \\
\hline Net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations & 9,411,910 & \(12,297,751\) \\
\hline Distributions to shareholders: From net investment income Common shares & \((4,030,248)\) & \((8,056,860\) \\
\hline Remarketed preferred shares & \((1,315,123)\) & \((2,946,311\) \\
\hline From net realized gains Common shares & -- & \((644,549\) \\
\hline ```
Fund share transactions:
Net proceeds from shares issued to common shareholders in
reinvestment of distributions
``` & 56,854 & \\
\hline Net increase (decrease) in net assets from Fund share transactions & 56,854 & \\
\hline Increase (decrease) in net assets & \(4,123,393\) & 650,031 \\
\hline Net assets at beginning of period & 192,149,619 & 191,499,588 \\
\hline NET ASSETS AT END OF PERIOD (including undistributed net investment income of \(\$ 144,582\) as of May 31, 2001) & \$196, 273,012 & \$192,149,619 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Shares outstanding at beginning of period & 10,742,480 & 10,742,480 \\
\hline Shares issued to shareholders in reinvestment of distributions & 4,847 & \\
\hline Net increase in Fund shares & 4,847 & \\
\hline Shares outstanding at end of period & 10,747,327 & 10,742,480 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements. 17 18

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

THE FOLLOWING TABLE INCLUDES SELECTED DATA FOR A SHARE OUTSTANDING THROUGHOUT EACH PERIOD AND OTHER PERFORMANCE INFORMATION DERIVED FROM THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND MARKET PRICE DATA.


PER SHARE OPERATING PERFORMANCE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Net asset value, beginning of period & \$11.37 & 11.31 & 12.24 & 12.2 \\
\hline Income from investment operations: Net investment income (loss) & . 51 (a) & 1.01 (a) & . 78 (a) & 7 \\
\hline Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investment transactions & . 37 & . 13 & (.83) & (.0 \\
\hline Total from investment operations & . 88 & 1.14 & (.05) & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Less distributions from: \\
Net investment income to common shareholders
\end{tabular} & \[
\text { (. } 38 \text { ) }
\] & (.75) & (.75) & (. 7 \\
\hline Net investment income to preferred shareholders (common share equivalent) & \[
\text { (. } 12 \text { ) }
\] & (.27) & (.05) & \\
\hline Net realized gains on investment transactions (common shares) & -- & (.06) & (.01) & \\
\hline Total distributions & (.50) & (1.08) & (.81) & ( . 7 \\
\hline Dilution resulting from remarketed preferred shares (b) & -- & -- & (.07) & \\
\hline Net asset value, end of period & \$11.75 & 11.37 & 11.31 & 12.2 \\
\hline Market value, end of period TOTAL RETURN PER COMMON SHARE & \$11.66 & 10.51 & 10.31 & 12.8 \\
\hline BASED ON NET ASSET VALUE (\%) & 6.73 ** & 8.63 & (1.35) & 5.9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
BASED ON MARKET VALUE (\%) \\
RATIOS TO AVERAGE NET ASSETS AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA
\end{tabular} & 14.58** & 10.13 & (14.08) \\
\hline Net assets, end of period (\$ millions) net of remarketed preferred shares & 126 & 122 & 122 \\
\hline Ratio of expenses before expense reductions (\%) (excluding preferred shares) & 1.26\%* & 1.50 & 1.12 \\
\hline Ratio of expenses after expense reductions (\%) (excluding preferred shares) & 1.26\%* & 1.49 & 1.11 \\
\hline Ratio of expenses before expense reductions (\%) (including preferred shares) (b) & . \(81 \%\) * & . 95 & 1.01 \\
\hline Ratio of expenses after expense reductions (\%) (including preferred shares) (b) & & . 94 & 1.00 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Ratio of net investment income (\%) (excluding preferred shares) \\
(b)
\end{tabular} & 8.74\%* & 9.07 & 6.55 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Ratio of net investment income (\%) (including preferred shares) \\
(b)
\end{tabular} & 5.62\%* & 5.73 & 5.91 \\
\hline Portfolio turnover rate (\%) & 7* & 33 & 24 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Remarketed preferred shares information at end of period: \\
Aggregate amount outstanding (\$ thousands)
\end{tabular} & 70,000 & 70,000 & 70,000 \\
\hline Asset coverage per share (\$) & 70,000 & 69,000 & 68,000 \\
\hline Liquidation and market value per share (\$) & 25,000 & 25,000 & 25,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

NOTE: Total return based on net asset value reflects changes in the Fund's net asset value during the period. Total return based on market value reflects changes in market value. Each figure includes reinvestment of dividends. These figures will differ depending upon the level of any discount from or premium to net asset value at which the Fund's shares trade during the period.
(a) Based on monthly average shares outstanding during the period.
(b) Effective September 29, 1999, the Fund issued 2,800 remarketed preferred shares.
* Annualized.
** Not annualized.
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NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ACCOUNTING POLICIES Scudder Strategic Municipal Income Trust (the "Fund"), formerly Kemper Strategic Municipal Income Trust, is registered under the Investment Company

Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"), as a closed-end, diversified management investment company organized as a Massachusetts business trust.

The Fund's financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States which require the use of management estimates. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The policies described below are followed consistently by the Fund in the preparation of its financial statements.

SECURITY VALUATION. Investments are stated at value. Portfolio debt securities purchased with an original maturity greater than sixty days are valued by pricing agents approved by the officers of the Trust, whose quotations reflect broker/dealer-supplied valuations and electronic data processing techniques. If the pricing agents are unable to provide such quotations, the calculated mean between the most recent bid and asked quotation supplied by a bona fide market maker shall be used.

Money market instruments purchased with an original maturity of sixty days or less are valued at amortized cost. All other securities are valued at their fair value as determined in good faith by the Valuation Committee of the Board of Trustees.

FEDERAL INCOME TAXES. The Fund's policy is to comply with the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended, which are applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute all of its taxable and tax-exempt income to its shareholders. Accordingly, the Fund paid no federal income taxes and no federal income tax provision was required.

At November 30, 2000, the Fund had a net tax basis capital loss carryforward of approximately \(\$ 380,000\) which may be applied against any realized net taxable capital gains of each succeeding year until fully utilized or until November 30, 2008, the expiration date.

DISTRIBUTION OF INCOME AND GAINS. Distributions of net investment income to common shareholders, if any, are made monthly. Net realized gains from investment transactions, in excess of available capital loss carryforwards, would be taxable to the Fund if not distributed, and, therefore, will be distributed to shareholders at least annually.

The timing and characterization of certain income and capital gains distributions are determined annually in accordance with federal tax regulations which may differ from generally accepted accounting principles. As a result, net investment income (loss) and net realized gain (loss) on investment transactions for a reporting period may differ

\author{
significantly from distributions during such period. Accordingly, the Fund may periodically make reclassifications among certain of its capital accounts without impacting the net asset value of the Fund. \\ REMARKETED PREFERRED SHARES. Effective September 29, 1999, the Fund issued 2,800 shares of Series T Remarketed Preferred Shares at a purchase price of \(\$ 25,000\) per share plus dividends, if any, that had accumulated from the date the Fund first issued the shares. The Remarketed Preferred Shares will be entitled to receive cash dividends at an annual rate that may vary for the
}
successive dividend periods for such shares. The auction agent will determine the dividend rate for a particular period by an auction conducted on the business day immediately prior to the start of that dividend period. Investors and potential investors
in the Remarketed Preferred Shares may participate in auctions for the Municipal Preferred Shares through their broker-dealer.

OTHER. Investment transactions are accounted for on the trade date. Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis. Realized gains and losses from investment transactions are recorded on an identified cost basis. All discounts and premiums are accreted/amortized for both tax and financial reporting purposes.

```

Scudder Investments Service Company, ("SISC"), formerly Kemper Service Company, is the shareholder service agent of the Fund. Under the agreement, SISC received shareholder services fees of $\$ 12,000$ for the six months ended May 31, 2001, of which $\$ 5,601$ is unpaid at May 31, 2001.
OFFICERS AND TRUSTEES. Certain officers or trustees of the Fund are also officers of the Advisor. For the six months ended May 31, 2001, the Fund made no direct payments to its officers and incurred trustees' fees of $\$ 6,876$ to independent trustees.

```
```

4 EXPENSE OFF-SET
ARRANGEMENTS

```

The Fund has entered into arrangements with its custodian and transfer agent whereby credits realized as a result of uninvested cash balances were used to reduce a portion of the Fund's expenses. During the six months ended May 31, 2001, the Fund's custodian and transfer agent fees were reduced by \(\$ 2,647\) and \(\$ 1,036\) respectively, under these arrangements.

The Fund and several affiliated funds (the "Participants") share in a \(\$ 750\) million revolving credit facility with J.P. Morgan Chase \& Co. for temporary or emergency purposes. The Participants are charged an annual commitment fee which is allocated, pro rata based upon net assets, among each of the Participants. Interest is calculated at the Federal Funds Rate plus . 5\%. The Fund may borrow up to a maximum of 33 percent of its net assets under the agreement.

\section*{20}
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SHAREHOLDERS' MEETING

ANNUAL SHAREHOLDERS' MEETING

An annual shareholders' meeting was held on May 24, 2001, for Scudder Strategic Municipal Income Trust. Shareholders were asked to vote on two separate issues: election of members to the Board of Trustees, and ratification of Ernst \& Young LLP as independent auditors. The following are the results for each issue:
1) Election of Trustees (effective July 1, 2001)

For Withheld

John W. Ballantine
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(9,267,621\) & 1,700 \\
\(9,250,668\) & 1,700 \\
\(9,267,621\) & 1,700 \\
\(9,268,068\) & 1,700 \\
\(9,267,621\) & 1,700 \\
\(9,260,336\) & 1,700
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
William F. Glavin & \(9,271,084\) & 1,700 \\
Robert B. Hoffman & \(9,267,974\) & 1,700 \\
Shirley D. Peterson & \(9,264,958\) & 1,700 \\
Fred B. Renwick & \(9,250,834\) & 1,700 \\
William P. Sommers & \(9,243,168\) & 1,700 \\
John G. Weithers & \(9,271,084\) & 1,700
\end{tabular}
```

2) Ratification of the selection of Ernst \& Young LLP as independent auditors
for the fund. This item was approved.

| For | Against |
| :---: | ---: |
| $9,273,109$ | 33,603 |
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TRUSTEES\&OFFICERS

```

\section*{TRUSTEES}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
JAMES E. AKINS & & MAUREEN E. KANE \\
Trustee & MARK S. CASADY \\
President & Secretary \\
LINDA C. COUGHLIN & & \\
Trustee and Vice President & PHILIP J. COLLORA & CAROLINE PEARSON \\
JAMES R. EDGAR & Vice President and & Assistant Secretary \\
Trustee & Assistant Secretary & BRENDA LYONS \\
ARTHUR R. GOTTSCHALK & JOHN R. HEBBLE & Assistant Treasurer \\
Trustee & Treasurer & \\
FREDERICK T. KELSEY & PHILLIP G. CONDON & \\
Trustee & Vice President & \\
FRED B. RENWICK & KATHRYN L. QUIRK & \\
Trustee & Vice President & \\
JOHN G. WEITHERS & LINDA J. WONDRACK &
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & 222 North LaSalle Street Chicago, IL 60601 \\
\hline SERVICE AGENT & ```
SCUDDER INVESTMENTS SERVICE COMPANY
P.O. Box 219066
Kansas City, MO 64121
(800) 294-4366
``` \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
SHAREHOLDER \\
INFORMATION LINE
\end{tabular} & ```
ZURICH SCUDDER INVESTMENTS, INC.
Web information available at
cef.scudder.com
(800) 349-4281
``` \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
CUSTODIAN AND \\
TRANSFER AGENT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
STATE STREET BANK AND TRUST COMPANY 225 Franklin Street \\
Boston, MA 02110
\end{tabular} \\
\hline INDEPENDENT AUDITORS & ERNST \& YOUNG LLP 233 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```
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