PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE LP Form 8-K August 06, 2007

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 8-K CURRENT REPORT

Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported) August 6, 2007 Plains All American Pipeline, L.P.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE1-1456976-0582150(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)(Commission (IRS Employer Identification No.)

333 Clay Street, Suite 1600, Houston, Texas 77002

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code 713-646-4100

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report.)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

- o Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
- o Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
- o Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
- o Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits

Item 2.02 and Item 7.01. Results of Operations and Financial Condition; Regulation FD Disclosure

SIGNATURES

Exhibit Index

Press Release

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits
Item 2.02 and Item 7.01. Results of Operations and Financial Condition; Regulation FD Disclosure SIGNATURES
Exhibit Index

2

Table of Contents

Item 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits

(d) Exhibit 99.1 Press release dated August 6, 2007

Item 2.02 and Item 7.01. Results of Operations and Financial Condition; Regulation FD Disclosure

Plains All American Pipeline, L.P. (the Partnership) today issued a press release reporting its second quarter 2007 results. We are furnishing the press release, attached as Exhibit 99.1, pursuant to Item 2.02 and Item 7.01 of Form 8-K. Pursuant to Item 7.01 we are providing detailed guidance for financial performance for the third and fourth quarter of calendar 2007 and modifying certain aspects of our previous guidance for financial performance for the full calendar year 2007 (which supersedes guidance in our Form 8-K furnished on May 2, 2007 and press release dated May 29, 2007). In accordance with General Instruction B.2. of Form 8-K, the information presented herein under Item 2.02 and Item 7.01 shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, nor shall it be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, except as expressly set forth by specific reference in such a filing.

Disclosure of Third and Fourth Quarter 2007 Guidance; Update of Full Year 2007 Guidance

EBIT and EBITDA (each as defined below in Note 1 to the Operating and Financial Guidance table) are non-GAAP financial measures. Net income and cash flows from operating activities are the most directly comparable GAAP measures to EBIT and EBITDA. In Note 10 below, we reconcile EBITDA and EBIT to net income for the 2007 guidance periods presented. It is, however, impractical to reconcile EBIT and EBITDA to cash flows from operating activities for forecasted periods. We encourage you to visit our website at www.paalp.com, in particular the section entitled Non-GAAP Reconciliation, which presents a historical reconciliation of certain commonly used non-GAAP financial measures, including EBIT and EBITDA. We present EBIT and EBITDA because we believe they provide additional information with respect to both the performance of our fundamental business activities and our ability to meet our future debt service, capital expenditures and working capital requirements. We also believe that debt holders commonly use EBITDA to analyze partnership performance. In addition, we have highlighted the impact of our long-term incentive plan, and, to the extent known, gains and losses related to SFAS 133 (primarily non-cash, mark-to-market adjustments) on Segment Profit, Net Income and Net Income per Basic and Diluted Limited Partner Unit.

The following guidance for the three-month periods ending September 30 and December 31, 2007 and the twelve-month period ending December 31, 2007 is based on assumptions and estimates that we believe are reasonable given our assessment of historical trends, business cycles and other information reasonably available. Our assumptions and future performance are both, however, subject to a wide range of business risks and uncertainties, so no assurance can be provided that actual performance will fall within the guidance ranges. Please refer to the information under the caption Forward-Looking Statements and Associated Risks below. These risks and uncertainties, as well as other unforeseeable risks and uncertainties, could cause our actual results to differ materially from those in the following table. The operating and financial guidance provided below is given as of the date hereof, based on information known to us as of August 6, 2007. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements.

3

Table of Contents

Plains All American Pipeline, L.P. Operating and Financial Guidance (in millions, except per unit data)

	Actual			Three N	Λo	nths		Gui Three N	dan Aon		Twelve Months					
	Six I	Months		End	ing	3		End				End				
		nded	September 30, 2007		December 31, 2007					December	31,	2007				
		ne 30, 2007		Low		High		Low]	High		Low		High		
Segment Profit Net revenues (including																
equity in earnings) Field operating costs	\$	726.7 (261.4)		339.5 (136.0)		357.0 (134.0)	\$	329.5 (130.0)	\$	347.0 (128.0)	\$	1,395.7 (527.4)	\$	1,430.7 (523.4)		
General and administrative expenses		(94.5)		(36.5)		(36.0)		(37.5)		(37.0)		(168.5)		(167.5)		
Depreciation and		370.8		167.0		187.0		162.0		182.0		699.8		739.8		
amortization expense		(92.0)		(48.0)		(46.0)		(49.0)		(47.0)		(189.0)		(185.0)		
Interest expense, net		(82.3)		(40.0)		(38.0)		(42.0)		(40.0)		(164.3)		(160.3)		
Income tax expense Other income (expense),		(12.2)		(1.7)		(1.5)		(1.7)		(1.5)		(15.6)		(15.2)		
net		5.2										5.2		5.2		
Net Income	\$	189.5	\$	77.3	\$	101.5	\$	69.3	\$	93.5	\$	336.1	\$	384.5		
Net Income to Limited Partners	\$	154.4	\$	56.2	\$	79.9	\$	48.4	\$	72.1	\$	259.0	\$	306.4		
Basic Net Income Per Limited Partner Unit Weighted Average Units																
Outstanding		109.9		116.0		116.0		116.0		116.0		113.1		113.1		
Net Income Per Unit Diluted Net Income Per Limited Partner Unit Weighted Average Units	\$	1.40	\$	0.48	\$	0.69	\$	0.42	\$	0.62	\$	2.30	\$	2.71		
Outstanding		110.9		116.8		116.8		116.9		116.9		114.1		114.1		
Net Income Per Unit	\$	1.39	\$	0.48	\$	0.68	\$	0.41	\$	0.62	\$	2.28	\$	2.69		
EBIT	\$	284.0	\$	119.0	\$	141.0	\$	113.0	\$	135.0	\$	516.0	\$	560.0		
EBITDA	\$	376.0	\$	167.0	\$	187.0	\$	162.0	\$	182.0	\$	705.0	\$	745.0		

Selected Items
Impacting
Comparability

LTIP charge Deferred Income Tax Expense** SFAS 133 Mark-to-Market	\$ (37.4) (10.8)	\$ (8.0)	\$ (8.0)	\$ (8.0)	\$ (8.0)	\$ (53.4) (10.8)	\$ (53.4) (10.8)
Adjustment	(2.1)					(2.1)	(2.1)
	\$ (50.3)	\$ (8.0)	\$ (8.0)	\$ (8.0)	\$ (8.0)	\$ (66.3)	\$ (66.3)
Excluding Selected Items Impacting Comparability Adjusted Segment Profit							
Transportation Facilities Marketing Other income (expense),	\$ 171.7 55.7 182.6	\$ 88.0 25.0 62.0	\$ 93.0 29.0 73.0	\$ 89.0 28.0 53.0	\$ 94.0 31.0 65.0	\$ 348.7 108.7 297.6	\$ 358.7 115.7 320.6
net	5.5					5.5	5.5
Adjusted EBITDA***	\$ 415.5	\$ 175.0	\$ 195.0	\$ 170.0	\$ 190.0	\$ 760.5	\$ 800.5
Adjusted Net Income	\$ 239.8	\$ 85.3	\$ 109.5	\$ 77.3	\$ 101.5	\$ 402.4	\$ 450.8
Adjusted Basic Net Income per Limited Partner Unit	\$ 1.85	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.69	\$ 2.88	\$ 3.30
Adjusted Diluted Net Income per Limited Partner Unit	\$ 1.84	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.68	\$ 2.87	\$ 3.27

^{*} The projected average foreign exchange rate is \$1.07 CAD to \$1 USD. The rate as of August 3, 2007 was \$1.05 CAD to \$1 USD.

^{**} Amount related to Canadian tax legislation.

^{***} Excludes deferred income

tax expenses included in the list of selected items impacting comparability.

4

Table of Contents

Notes and Significant Assumptions:

1. Definitions.

Bcf Billion cubic feet

EBIT Earnings before interest and taxes

EBITDA Earnings before interest, taxes and depreciation and amortization expense

Bbls/d Barrels per day

Segment Profit Net revenues (including equity earnings, as applicable) less purchases, field

operating costs, and segment general and administrative expenses

LTIP Long-Term Incentive Plan

LPG Liquefied petroleum gas and other petroleum products

FX Foreign currency exchange

- 2. *Business Segments*. We manage our operations through three operating segments: (i) Transportation, (ii) Facilities, and (iii) Marketing. The following is a brief explanation of the operating activities for each segment as well as key metrics.
 - a. *Transportation*. Our transportation segment operations generally consist of fee-based activities associated with transporting crude oil and refined products on pipelines, trucks and gathering systems. We generate revenue through a combination of tariffs, third-party leases of pipeline capacity and transportation fees. We also include in this segment our equity earnings from our investments in the Butte and Frontier pipeline systems, in which we own minority interests, and Settoon Towing, in which we own a 50% interest.

Pipeline volume estimates are based on historical trends, anticipated future operating performance and completion of internal growth projects. Volumes are influenced by temporary market-driven storage and withdrawal of oil, maintenance schedules at refineries, production declines and other external factors beyond our control. Segment profit is forecast using the volume assumptions in the table below, priced at forecasted tariff rates, less estimated field operating costs and G&A expenses. Field operating costs do not include depreciation. Actual segment profit could vary materially depending on the level of volumes transported or expenses incurred during the period.

The following table summarizes our total pipeline volumes and highlights major systems that are significant either in total volumes transported or in contribution to total transportation segment profit.

5

Table of Contents

	Calendar 2007									
	Actual		Guidance							
	Six	Three	Three	Twelve						
	Months	Months	Months	Months						
	Ended	Ending	Ending	Ending						
	June	September	December							
	30	30	31	December 31						
Average Daily Volumes (000 Bbls/d)										
All American	48	47	48	48						
Basin	374	400	375	379						
Capline	233	225	225	229						
Line 63 / Line 2000	181	180	180	183						
Salt Lake City	63	63	63	63						
N. Dakota / Trenton	96	100	100	98						
West Texas / New Mexico ¹	381	385	380	381						
Manito	74	75	75	75						
Refined Products	110	110	110	110						
Other	1,131	1,110	1,154	1,129						
	2,691	2,695	2,710	2,695						
Trucking	108	110	115	111						
	2,799	2,805	2,825	2,806						
Average Segment Profit (\$/Bbl) Excluding Selected Items Impacting										
Comparability	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.35 (2)	\$ 0.35 (2)	\$ 0.35 (2)						

The aggregate of multiple systems in the West Texas / New Mexico area.

b. Facilities. Our facilities segment operations generally consist of fee-based activities associated with providing storage, terminalling and throughput services for crude oil, refined products and LPG, as well as LPG fractionation and isomerization services. We generate revenue through a combination of month-to-month and multi-year leases and processing arrangements. This segment also includes our equity earnings from our 50% investment in PAA/Vulcan Gas Storage, LLC which owns and operates approximately 25.7 billion cubic feet of underground natural gas storage capacity and is constructing an additional 24 Bcf of underground storage capacity.

Segment profit is forecast using the volume assumptions in the table below, priced at forecasted rates, less estimated field operating costs and G&A expenses. Field operating costs do not include depreciation.

Calendar 2007

Mid-point of guidance.

Edgar Filing: PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE LP - Form 8-K

	Actual		Guidance		
	Six	Three	Three	Twel	ve
	Months	Months	Months	Mont	hs
	Ended	Ending	Ending	Endi	ng
	June	September	December		
	30	30	31	Decemb	er 31
Operating Data					
Crude oil, refined products and LPG storage					
(MMBbls/Mo.)	35.6	37.0	39.0		36.9
Natural Gas Storage (Bcf/Mo.)	12.9	12.9	12.9		12.9
LPG Processing (MBbl/d)	16.9	17.0	17.0		17.0
,					
Facilities Activities Total ¹					
Avg. Capacity (MMBbls/Mo.)	38.3	39.7	41.7		39.6
Segment Profit per Barrel (\$/Bbl)					
Excluding Selected Items Impacting Comparability	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.23 2	\$ 0.24 2	\$	0.24^{-2}
	•	•	•	•	

(1) Calculated as

the sum of: (i)

crude oil,

refined products

and LPG

storage

capacity; (ii)

natural gas

storage capacity

divided by 6 to

account for the

6:1 mcf of gas

to crude oil

barrel ratio; and

(iii) LPG

processing

volumes

multiplied by

the number of

days in the

month and

divided by

1,000 to convert

to monthly

volumes in

millions.

Table of Contents 11

6

Table of Contents

- (2) Mid-point of guidance.
- C. *Marketing*. Our marketing segment operations generally consist of the following merchant activities: the purchase of crude oil at the wellhead and the bulk purchase of crude oil at pipeline and terminal facilities, as well as the purchase of foreign cargoes at their load port and various other locations in transit;

storage of inventory during contango market conditions;

the purchase of refined products and LPG from producers, refiners and other marketers;

the resale or exchange of crude oil, refined products and LPG at various points along the distribution chain to refiners or other resellers; and

arranging for the transportation of crude oil, refined products and LPG on trucks, barges, railcars, pipelines and ocean-going vessels to our terminals and third-party terminals.

The level of profit in the marketing segment is influenced by overall market structure and the degree of volatility in the crude oil market as well as variable operating expenses. Forecasted operating results for the three-month period ending September 30 reflect a moderately strong market, whereas forecasted operating results for the three-month period ending December 31, 2007 reflect a weaker, less volatile market than experienced in the first nine months of 2007. Unexpected changes in market structure or volatility (or lack thereof) could cause actual results to differ materially from forecasted results.

We forecast segment profit using the volume assumptions stated below and estimates of unit margins, field operating costs, G&A expenses and carrying costs for contango inventory based on current and anticipated market conditions. Field operating costs do not include depreciation. Realized unit margins for any given lease-gathered barrel could vary significantly based on a variety of factors including location, quality and contract structure.

	Calendar 2007								
	Actual		Guidance						
	Six	Three	Three	Τ	welve				
	Months	Months	Months	N	Months				
	Ended	Ending	Ending	F	Ending				
	June	September	December						
	30	30	31	Dec	ember 31				
Average Daily Volumes (MBbl/d)									
Crude Oil Lease Gathering	694	705	705		701				
LPG Sales	89	65	105		87				
Refined Products	8	15	15		17				
Waterborne Foreign Crude Imported	72	75	75		74				
	863	860	900		873				
Segment Profit per Barrel (\$/Bbl)									
Excluding Selected Items Impacting Comparability	\$ 1.17	\$ 0.85 1	\$ 0.71 1	\$	0.97^{-1}				

(1) Mid-point of guidance.

3.

Depreciation and Amortization. We forecast depreciation and amortization based on our existing depreciable assets, forecasted capital expenditures and projected in-service dates. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives, which range from 3 years (for office furniture and equipment) to 40 years (for certain pipelines, crude oil terminals and facilities) and includes gains and losses on the sale of assets.

7

Table of Contents

- 4. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133 Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended (SFAS 133). The guidance presented above does not include forecasts with respect to potential gains or losses related to derivatives accounted for under SFAS 133, as there is no accurate way to forecast these potential gains or losses. The potential gains or losses related to these derivatives (primarily mark-to-market adjustments) could cause actual net income to differ materially from our projections.
- 5. Capital Expenditures and Acquisitions. Although acquisitions constitute a key element of our growth strategy, the forecasted results and associated estimates do not include any forecasts for any acquisition that may be made after the date hereof. Capital expenditures for expansion projects are forecasted to be approximately \$550 million during calendar 2007, of which \$257 was incurred in the first six months. Following are some of the more notable projects and forecasted expenditures for the year:

	20	endar 007 illions)
Expansion Capital		
St. James, Louisiana Storage Facility	\$	75
Cheyenne Pipeline		58
Salt Lake City Expansion		52
Cushing Tankage Phase VI		34
Patoka Tankage		32
Martinez Terminal		25
Fort Laramie Tank Expansion		21
High Prairie Rail Terminal		13
Paulsboro Expansion		12
Elk City to Calumet		12
Pier 400		10
Kerrobert Tankage		10
Other Projects		196
		550
Maintenance Capital		52
Total Projected Capital Expenditures (excluding acquisitions)	\$	602

Capital expenditures for maintenance projects are forecast to be approximately \$52 million during 2007, of which \$22 million was incurred in the first six months.

- 6. Capital Structure. This guidance is based on our capital structure as of June 30, 2007. The Partnership s policy is to finance acquisitions and major growth capital projects with at least 50% equity or cash flow in excess of distributions. As a result of our recent equity financing activities in combination with our projected 2007 cash flows in excess of distributions, we have pre-funded the required equity financing associated with our 2007 expansion capital program but will continue to monitor the potential need for additional equity necessary to maintain credit metrics consistent with our targeted credit ratings should inventory requirements associated with our continuing expansion of merchant activities in crude oil, LPG and refined products increase meaningfully.
- 7. *Interest Expense*. Debt balances are projected based on estimated cash flows, current distribution rates, forecasted capital expenditures for maintenance and expansion projects, expected timing of collections and payments, and forecasted levels of inventory and other working capital sources and uses.

Annual 2007 interest expense is expected to be between \$160 million and \$164 million, assuming an average long-term debt balance of approximately \$2.6 billion during the period. Included in interest expense are commitment fees, amortization of long-term debt discounts or premiums, deferred amounts associated with terminated interest-rate hedges and interest on short-term debt for non-contango inventory (primarily hedged LPG inventory and New York Mercantile Exchange and IntercontinentalExchange margin deposits). Interest expense is net of amounts capitalized for major expansion capital projects and does not include interest on borrowings for contango inventory. We treat interest on contango related borrowings as carrying costs of crude oil and include it as part of the purchase price of crude oil.

8. *Net Income per Unit*. Basic net income per limited partner unit is calculated by dividing net income allocated to limited partners by the basic weighted average units outstanding during the period.

8

Table of Contents

	Actual 6 Mo. Ended	Three I End Septembe	ling	End	ve Months Ending ber 31, 2007		
	06/30/07	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per limited partner unit:							
Net Income General partners	\$ 189.5	\$ 77.3	\$ 101.5	\$ 69.3	\$ 93.5	\$ 336.1	\$ 384.5
incentive distribution General partners incentive distribution	(42.0)	(25.0)	(25.0)	(25.0)	(25.0)	(92.0)	(92.0)
reduction	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	20.0	20.0

Total stockholders' equity

1,847,599

1,685,177

Noncontrolling interests:

Series D preferred units, aggregate redemption value of \$50,000 at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010

49,158

49,158

Exchangeable operating partnership units, aggregate redemption value of \$7,790 and \$7,483 at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively

(862)

(762

Limited partners' interests in consolidated partnerships

12,799

Edgar Filing: PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE LP - Form 8-K
10,829
Total noncontrolling interests
61,095
59,225
Total equity
1,908,694
1,744,402
Total liabilities and equity
\$ 4,020,290
3,994,539
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.
1

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Operations (in thousands, except per share data) (unaudited)

		Three months ended June 30,				Six mont June 30,	hs	ended		
		2011		2010		2011		2010		
Revenues:										
Minimum rent	\$	89,684		85,845	\$	179,068		172,049		
Percentage rent		151		264		1,058		624		
Recoveries from tenants and other income		26,352		25,624		55,317		56,207		
Management, transaction, and other fees		12,195		9,518		20,053		16,449		
Total revenues		128,382		121,251		255,496		245,329		
Operating expenses:										
Depreciation and amortization		32,057		31,395		67,247		62,623		
Operating and maintenance		17,861		17,221		37,436		34,819		
General and administrative		15,177		12,656		32,131		27,974		
Real estate taxes		14,297		14,378		29,000		28,871		
Provision for doubtful accounts		1,586		63		2,212		2,402		
Other expenses (income)		735		1,080		(174)	1,687		
Total operating expenses		81,713		76,793		167,852		158,376		
Other expense (income):										
Interest expense, net of interest income of \$587 and \$640 for										
the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively,		30,563		20 625		61 120		50.764		
and \$1,188 and \$1,315 for the six months ended June 30, 2011	1	30,303		30,635		61,428		59,764		
and 2010, respectively										
Loss (gain) on sale of properties in development		_		226		_		(565)	
(Income) loss from deferred compensation plan		(143)	988		(888))	374		
Loss on derivative instruments				579				922		
Total other expense (income)		30,420		32,428		60,540		60,495		
Income before equity in income (loss) of investments in real		16,249		12,030		27,104		26,458		
estate partnerships		10,249		12,030		27,104		20,436		
Equity in income (loss) of investments in real estate		2,688		1,782		(37)	(2,110	`	
partnerships		2,000		1,762		(37	,	(2,110)	
Income from continuing operations		18,937		13,812		27,067		24,348		
Discontinued operations, net:										
Operating (loss) income				(76)			30		
(Loss) gain on sale of operating properties and properties in				(32	`			6,765		
development				(32	,			0,703		
(Loss) income from discontinued operations				(108)			6,795		
Net income		18,937		13,704		27,067		31,143		
Noncontrolling interests:										
Preferred units		(931)	(931)	(1,862)	(1,862)	
Exchangeable operating partnership units		(37)	(27)	(50)	(121)	
Limited partners' interests in consolidated partnerships		(189)	(79)	(271)	(175)	
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests		(1,157)	(1,037)	(2,183)	(2,158)	
Net income attributable to controlling interests		17,780		12,667		24,884		28,985		
Preferred stock dividends		(4,919)	(4,919)	(9,838)	(9,838)	
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$	12,861		7,748	\$	15,046		19,147		

Edgar Filing: PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE LP - Form 8-K

Income per common share - basic:				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.14	0.09	\$ 0.17	0.15
Discontinued operations				0.08
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$ 0.14	0.09	\$ 0.17	0.23
Income per common share - diluted:				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.14	0.09	\$ 0.17	0.15
Discontinued operations	_			0.08
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$ 0.14	0.09	\$ 0.17	0.23

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

2

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss) For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands, except per share data) (unaudited)

(shaddices)								Noncon	trolling l		1	
P. I. see of	Preferred Stock		m īhœa sury cl S tock	Additional Paid In Capital	Accumul Other Compreh Loss	la Dis tributio in Excess hænsive Net Incom	Stockholde	Preferre ers Units	Exchan edOperati Partners Units	Limited ng Pabthe ers in Interest rshinp Consolid Partners	S'Total Noncont Interests	
Balance at December 31, 2009		815	(16,509)	2,024,883	(49,973)	(371,837)	1,862,379	49,158	7,321	11,748	68,227	1,930
Comprehensive income: Net income	, 		_	_	_	28,985	28,985	1,862	121	175	2,158	31,14
Amortization						20,7 02	20,702	1,00=	1	1,0	2,100	J 2,.
of loss on derivative		_	_	_	1,752	_	1,752		4	_	4	1,756
instruments Change in fair												
value of derivative instruments	_	_	_	_	(25,729)	_	(25,729) —	(60)	, —	(60)	(25,7
Total comprehensive income							5,008				2,102	7,110
Deferred compensation plan, net	_	_	(314)	203	_	_	(111) —	_	_	_	(111
Restricted stock issued, net of amortization	_		_	3,426	_	_	3,426	_	_	_	_	3,426
Common stock redeemed for taxes withheld for stock based compensation, net		_	_	(1,404)) —	_	(1,404) —	_	_	_	(1,40
Common stock issued for dividend reinvestment	_	1	_	1,313	_	_	1,314	_	_	_	_	1,314
plan Common stock issued for stock		3	_	7,308	_	_	7,311	_	(7,311)	<i>,</i> —	(7,311)	

												<i></i>
offerings, net												
of issuance												- 1
costs												
Contributions	_		_			_	_	_		128	128	128
from partners												
Distributions to		_							_	(1,212)	(1,212)	(1,21
partners Cash dividends												-
declared:												-
Preferred												-
stock/unit		—				(9,838)	(9,838)) (1,862)	—		(1,862)	(11,7
Common												-
stock/unit						(25.524.)	(DE EDA		(200		(200)	(75.0
(\$.925 per	_		_	_	_	(75,574)	(75,574)) —	(290) —	(290)	(75,8
share)												
Balance at June 30, 2010	¢ 275 000	910	(16 922)	2.025.720	(72.050)	(120 261)	1 702 511	40 159	(215	10.920	50 782	1 051
30, 2010	\$273,000	819	(10,823)	2,033,129	(73,930)	(428,204)	1,/92,311	49,130	(213) 10,839	39,782	1,854
Balance at	:	- 10			:= 0 00 = 1	:=== 10.4				: 2 220		
December 31,	\$275,000	819	(16,175)	2,039,612	(80,885)	(533,194)	1,685,177	49,158	(762) 10,829	59,225	1,744
2010												-
Comprehensive												-
income:						24 004	24 004	1 0/7	50	271	2 102	27.04
Net income Amortization		_				24,884	24,884	1,862	50	271	2,183	27,06
of loss on												
derivative	_	—	_	_	4,723	_	4,723	_	10	_	10	4,733
instruments												
Total												1
comprehensive							29,607				2,193	31,80
income							,				-,	, -
Deferred												
compensation		_	1,190	715		_	1,905		_	_		1,905
plan, net												
Restricted												
stock issued,				5,391			5,391					5,391
net of	_		_	3,391	_	_	3,391	_		_	_	3,39
amortization												-
Common stock												-
redeemed for												
taxes withheld	_	_		(1,824)	ı <u>—</u>	_	(1,824)) —		_		(1,82
for stock based				(1,0=: ,			(-,,	,				(-,-
compensation,												
net												
Common stock												
issued for				560			560					560
dividend		_	_	562		_	562		_			562
reinvestment												ľ
plan Common stock		80		215,289			215,369					215,3
issued for stock		80	_	213,209	_	_	213,309	_	_	_	_	∠1 <i>J</i> ,.
issued for stock												/

offerings, net of issuance costs												
Contributions from partners		_		_	_		_		_	2,509	2,509	2,509
from partners Distributions to partners	· _	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	(810) (810) (810
Cash dividends	S											
declared: Preferred stock/unit	_		_	_	_	(9,838) (9,838) (1,862)	_	_	(1,862) (11,7
Common stock/unit (\$.925 per	_	_	_	_	_	(78,750) (78,750) —	(160) —	(160) (78,9
share) Balance at June 30, 2011	e\$275,000	899	(14,985)	2,259,745	(76,162)	(596,898) 1,847,599	9 49,158	(862) 12,799	61,095	1,908
3												

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands) (unaudited)

(Maddice)	2011	2010	
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 27,067	31,143	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	67,247	62,832	
Amortization of deferred loan cost and debt premium	6,140	3,185	
Accretion of above and below market lease intangibles, net	(390) (777)
Stock-based compensation, net of capitalization	5,010	3,199	
Equity in loss of investments in real estate partnerships	37	2,110	
Net gain on sale of properties		(7,350)
Provision for doubtful accounts	2,212	2,361	
Distribution of earnings from operations of investments in real estate partnerships	22,872	21,694	
Settlement of derivative instruments	_	(26,761)
Loss on derivative instruments	_	922	
Deferred compensation expense (income)	1,724	(240)
Realized gain on trading securities held in trust	(172) (157)
Unrealized (gain) loss on trading securities held in trust	(731) 517	
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	5,163	5,418	
Straight-line rent receivables, net	(2,526) (2,365)
Deferred leasing costs	(7,003) (6,278)
Other assets	(6,833) 4,168	
Accounts payable and other liabilities	(17,220) (8,630)
Tenants' security and escrow deposits	310	(126)
Net cash provided by operating activities	102,907	84,865	
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Acquisition of operating real estate	(5,415) —	
Development of real estate including acquisition of land	(32,066) (22,983)
Proceeds from sale of real estate investments	2,067	34,501	
Investments in real estate partnerships	(188,132) (210,021)
Distributions received from investments in real estate partnerships	149,357	79,600	
Dividends on trading securities held in trust	98	106	
Acquisition of trading securities held in trust	(10,274) (6,601)
Proceeds from sale of trading securities held in trust	8,685	6,653	
Net cash used in investing activities	(75,680) (118,745)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Net proceeds from common stock issuance	215,369		
Proceeds from sale of treasury stock	2,096	801	
Distributions to limited partners in consolidated partnerships, net	(633) (1,182)
Distributions to exchangeable operating partnership unit holders	(160) (290)
Distributions to preferred unit holders	(1,862) (1,862)
Dividends paid to common stockholders	(78,188) (74,260)
Dividends paid to preferred stockholders	(9,838) (9,838)
Repayment of fixed rate unsecured notes	(161,691) —	

Edgar Filing: PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE LP - Form 8-K

Proceeds from issuance of fixed rate unsecured notes, net	_	148,949	
Proceeds from line of credit	320,000	110,000	
Repayment of line of credit	(300,000) (110,000)
Proceeds from notes payable	1,790	3,378	
Repayment of notes payable	(15,560) (21,188)
Scheduled principal payments	(2,470) (2,449)
Payment of loan costs	(132) (1,223)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(31,279) 40,836	
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(4,052) 6,956	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period	22,460	99,477	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period	\$ 18,408	106,433	

4

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands) (unaudited)

	2011	2010
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid for interest (net of capitalized interest of \$957 and \$3,323 in 2011 and	\$ 67,052	59,081
2010, respectively)	\$ 07,032	39,001
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash transactions:		
Common stock issued for partnership units exchanged	\$ —	7,311
Real estate received through distribution in kind	\$ 46,157	_
Mortgage loans assumed through distribution in kind	\$ 27,405	
Mortgage loans assumed for the acquisition of operating real estate	\$ 5,937	
Real estate received through foreclosure on notes receivable	\$ —	990
Change in fair value of derivative instruments	\$ —	84
Common stock issued for dividend reinvestment plan	\$ 562	1,314
Stock-based compensation capitalized	\$ 515	333
Contributions from limited partners in consolidated partnerships, net	\$ 2,332	98
Common stock issued for dividend reinvestment in trust	\$ 323	318
Contribution of stock awards into trust	\$ 1,105	1,112
Distribution of stock held in trust	\$ —	51
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.		

5

REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010

(in thousands, except unit data)

(in thousands, except unit data)		
	2011	2010
Assets	(unaudited)	
Real estate investments at cost:		
Land	\$ 1,168,160	1,093,700
Buildings and improvements	2,408,966	2,284,522
Properties in development	499,584	610,932
	4,076,710	3,989,154
Less: accumulated depreciation	755,378	700,878
1	3,321,332	3,288,276
Investments in real estate partnerships	425,559	428,592
Net real estate investments	3,746,891	3,716,868
Cash and cash equivalents	18,408	22,460
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$4,827 and \$4,819 at		•
June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	27,301	36,600
Straight-line rent receivable, net of allowance of \$1,598 and \$1,396 at June 30, 2011		
and December 31, 2010, respectively	47,768	45,241
Notes receivable	35,931	35,931
	33,931	33,931
Deferred costs, less accumulated amortization of \$68,172 and \$69,158 at June 30,	62,912	63,165
2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively		
Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$13,707 and	18,320	18,219
\$13,996 at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	22.205	
Trading securities held in trust, at fair value	23,285	20,891
Other assets	39,474	35,164
	\$ 4,020,290	3,994,539
Liabilities and Capital		
Liabilities:		
• •	\$ 1,940,145	2,084,469
Unsecured line of credit	30,000	10,000
Accounts payable and other liabilities	121,617	138,196
Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$4,434 and \$11,010	8,682	6,682
at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	0,002	0,002
Tenants' security and escrow deposits	11,152	10,790
Total liabilities	2,111,596	2,250,137
Commitments and contingencies		
Capital:		
Partners' capital:		
Series D preferred units, par value \$100: 500,000 units issued and outstanding at June	40.150	40.450
30, 2011 and December 31, 2010	49,158	49,158
Preferred units of general partner, \$.01 par value per unit, 11,000,000 units issued and		
outstanding at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, liquidation preference of \$25	275,000	275,000
per unit	270,000	2.0,000
General partner; 89,905,318 and 81,886,872 units outstanding at June 30, 2011 and		
December 31, 2010, respectively	1,648,761	1,491,062
Limited partners; 177,164 units outstanding at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010	(862) (762
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	•) (80,885)
11000malated other comprehensive 1000	(70,102	, (00,000

Edgar Filing: PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE LP - Form 8-K

Total partners' capital	1,895,895	1,733,573
Noncontrolling interests:		
Limited partners' interests in consolidated partnerships	12,799	10,829
Total noncontrolling interests	12,799	10,829
Total capital	1,908,694	1,744,402
Total liabilities and capital	\$ 4,020,290	3,994,539

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

6

REGENCY CENTERS, L.P. Consolidated Statements of Operations (in thousands, except per unit data) (unaudited)

	Three months ended June 30,				Six months ended June 30,			
	2011		2010		2011		2010	
Revenues:								
Minimum rent	89,684		85,845	\$	179,068		172,049	
Percentage rent	151		264		1,058		624	
Recoveries from tenants and other income	26,352		25,624		55,317		56,207	
Management, transaction, and other fees	12,195		9,518		20,053		16,449	
Total revenues	128,382		121,251		255,496		245,329	
Operating expenses:								
Depreciation and amortization	32,057		31,395		67,247		62,623	
Operating and maintenance	17,861		17,221		37,436		34,819	
General and administrative	15,177		12,656		32,131		27,974	
Real estate taxes	14,297		14,378		29,000		28,871	
Provision for doubtful accounts	1,586		63		2,212		2,402	
Other expenses (income)	735		1,080		(174)	1,687	
Total operating expenses	81,713		76,793		167,852		158,376	
Other expense (income):								
Interest expense, net of interest income of \$587 and \$640								
for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010,	30,563		30,635		61,428		59,764	
respectively, and \$1,188 and \$1,315 for the six months	30,303		30,033		01,420		37,704	
ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively								
Loss (gain) on sale of properties in development	_		226		_		(565)
(Income) loss from deferred compensation plan	(143)	988		(888))	374	
Loss on derivative instruments			579				922	
Total other expense (income)	30,420		32,428		60,540		60,495	
Income before equity in income (loss) of investments in	16,249		12,030		27,104		26,458	
real estate partnerships	10,247		12,030		27,104		20,730	
Equity in income (loss) of investments in real estate	2,688		1,782		(37)	(2,110)
partnerships					•	,		,
Income from continuing operations	18,937		13,812		27,067		24,348	
Discontinued operations, net:								
Operating (loss) income			(76)	_		30	
(Loss) gain on sale of operating properties and properties			(32)			6,765	
in development				,				
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	_		(108)	_		6,795	
Net income	18,937		13,704		27,067		31,143	
Noncontrolling interests:								
Limited partners' interests in consolidated partnerships	(189)	(79)	(271)	(175)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(189)	(79)	(271)	(175)
Net income attributable to controlling interests	18,748	,	13,625		26,796		30,968	
Preferred unit distributions	(5,850)	(5,850)	(11,700)	(11,700)
Net income attributable to common unit holders	\$ 12,898		7,775	\$	15,096		19,268	
Income per common unit - basic								
Continuing operations	\$ 0.14		0.09	\$	0.17		0.15	

Edgar Filing: PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE LP - Form 8-K

Discontinued operations	_			0.08
Net income attributable to common unit holders	\$ 0.14	0.09	\$ 0.17	0.23
Income per common unit - diluted				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.14	0.09	\$ 0.17	0.15
Discontinued operations	_			0.08
Net income attributable to common unit holders	\$ 0.14	0.09	\$ 0.17	0.23

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

7

REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

Consolidated Statements of Capital and Comprehensive Income (Loss) For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands) (unaudited)

(unaudited)			General Partner			Accumulated			Noncontrolling Interests in			
		Preferred Units	Preferred an Common Units				Other Comprehe Income (Loss)	ensi	Total vPartners' Capital	Limited Partn Interest in Consolidated Partnerships	efßòtal Capital	
	Balance at December 31, 2009	\$49,158	1,912,352		7,321		(49,973)	1,918,858	11,748	1,930,60	6
	Comprehensive income: Net income	1,862	28,985		121		_		30,968	175	31,143	
	Amortization of loss on derivative instruments		_		4		1,752		1,756	_	1,756	
	Change in fair value of derivative instrument	_	_		(60)	(25,729)	(25,789)	_	(25,789)
	Total comprehensive income								6,935		7,110	
	Deferred compensation plan, net	_	(111)	_		_		(111)	_	(111)
	Contributions from partners	· —	_		_				_	128	128	
	Distributions to partners Preferred unit distributions	— (1,862)	(75,574 (9,838)	(290)	_		(75,864) (11,700)	(1,212)	(77,076 (11,700)
	Restricted stock issued by Parent Company, net of amortization	_	3,426		_		_		3,426	_	3,426	
	Common units issued as a result of common stock issued by Parent Company, net of repurchases	_	(90)	_		_		(90)	_	(90)
	Common units exchanged for common stock of Parent Company	-	7,311		(7,311)	_		_	_	_	
	Balance at June 30, 2010	\$49,158	1,866,461		(215)	(73,950)	1,841,454	10,839	1,852,29	3
	Balance at December 31, 2010	\$49,158	1,766,062		(762)	(80,885)	1,733,573	10,829	1,744,40	2
	Comprehensive income: Net income	1,862	24,884		50		_		26,796	271	27,067	
	Amortization of loss on derivative instruments	_	_		10		4,723		4,733	_	4,733	
	Total comprehensive income								31,529		31,800	
	Deferred compensation plan, net	_	1,905		_		_		1,905	_	1,905	
	Contributions from partners	· —	_		_		_		_	2,509	2,509	

Distributions to partners	_	(78,750)	(160) —		(78,910)	(810)	(79,720)
Preferred unit distributions	(1,862)	(9,838)				(11,700)		(11,700)
Restricted stock issued by									
Parent Company, net of		5,391					5,391		5,391
amortization									
Common units issued as a									
result of common stock		214,107					214,107		214,107
issued by Parent Company,		214,107					214,107		214,107
net of repurchases									
Balance at June 30, 2011	\$49,158	1,923,761		(862) (76,162)	1,895,895	12,799	1,908,694

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

8

REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

(unaudited)				
	2011		2010	
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net income	\$ 27,067		31,143	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization	67,247		62,832	
Amortization of deferred loan cost and debt premium	6,140		3,185	
Accretion of above and below market lease intangibles, net	(390)	(777)
Stock-based compensation, net of capitalization	5,010		3,199	
Equity in loss of investments in real estate partnerships	37		2,110	
Net gain on sale of properties			(7,350)
Provision for doubtful accounts	2,212		2,361	,
Distribution of earnings from operations of investments in real estate partnerships	22,872		21,694	
Settlement of derivative instruments			(26,761)
Loss on derivative instruments			922	,
Deferred compensation expense (income)	1,724		(240)
Realized gain on trading securities held in trust	(172)	(157)
Unrealized (gain) loss on trading securities held in trust	(731)	517	,
Changes in assets and liabilities:	(731	,	317	
Accounts receivable	5,163		5,418	
Straight-line rent receivables, net	(2,526)	(2,365)
Deferred leasing costs	(7,003		(6,278)
Other assets	(6,833		4,168	,
Accounts payable and other liabilities	(17,220		(8,630)
Tenants' security and escrow deposits	310	,	(126)
Net cash provided by operating activities	102,907		84,865	,
Cash flows from investing activities:	102,707		04,003	
Acquisition of operating real estate	(5,415)		
Development of real estate including acquisition of land	(32,066)	(22,983)
Proceeds from sale of real estate investments	2,067	,	34,501	,
Investments in real estate partnerships	(188,132)	(210,021)
Distributions received from investments in real estate partnerships	149,357	,	79,600)
	98		106	
Dividends on trading securities held in trust		`	(6,601	`
Acquisition of trading securities held in trust Proceeds from sale of trading securities held in trust	(10,274 8,685	,	6,653)
		`		`
Net cash used in investing activities	(75,680)	(118,745)
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Net proceeds from common units issued as a result of common stock issued by	215,369			
Parent Company	2.006		001	
Proceeds from sale of treasury stock	2,096	`	801	`
Distributions to limited partners in consolidated partnerships, net	(633)	(1,182)
Distributions to partners	(78,348		(74,550)
Preferred unit distributions	(11,700)	(11,700)
Repayment of fixed rate unsecured notes	(161,691)	140.040	
Proceeds from issuance of fixed rate unsecured notes, net			148,949	

Edgar Filing: PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE LP - Form 8-K

Proceeds from line of credit	320,000	110,000	
Repayment of line of credit	(300,000) (110,000)
Proceeds from notes payable	1,790	3,378	
Repayment of notes payable	(15,560) (21,188)
Scheduled principal payments	(2,470) (2,449)
Payment of loan costs	(132) (1,223)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(31,279) 40,836	
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(4,052) 6,956	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period	22,460	99,477	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period	\$ 18,408	106,433	

9

REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:	
Cash paid for interest (net of capitalized interest of \$957 and \$3,323 in 2011 and \$67,052	59,081
2010, respectively) \$\\^{07,032}\$	39,001
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash transactions:	
Common stock issued by Parent Company for partnership units exchanged \$ —	7,311
Real estate received through distribution in kind \$ 46,157	_
Mortgage loans assumed through distribution in kind \$ 27,405	_
Mortgage loans assumed for the acquisition of real estate \$ 5,937	_
Real estate received through foreclosure on notes receivable \$ —	990
Change in fair value of derivative instruments \$ —	84
Common stock issued by Parent Company for dividend reinvestment plan \$ 562	1,314
Stock-based compensation capitalized \$ 515	333
Contributions from limited partners in consolidated partnerships, net \$ 2,332	98
Common stock issued for dividend reinvestment in trust \$ 323	318
Contribution of stock awards into trust \$ 1,105	1,112
Distribution of stock held in trust \$ —	51
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.	

10

Table of Contents

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2011

(unaudited)

1. Organization and Principles of Consolidation

General

Regency Centers Corporation (the "Parent Company") began its operations as a Real Estate Investment Trust ("REIT") in 1993 and is the managing general partner of Regency Centers, L.P. (the "Operating Partnership"). The Parent Company currently owns approximately 99.8% of the outstanding common Partnership Units of the Operating Partnership. The Parent Company engages in the ownership, management, leasing, acquisition, and development of retail shopping centers through the Operating Partnership, and has no other assets or liabilities other than through its investment in the Operating Partnership. At June 30, 2011, the Parent Company, the Operating Partnership and their controlled subsidiaries on a consolidated basis ("the Company" or "Regency") directly owned 220 retail shopping centers and held partial interests in an additional 147 retail shopping centers through investments in real estate partnerships (also referred to as joint ventures or co-investment partnerships).

Estimates, Risks, and Uncertainties

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") requires the Company's management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The most significant estimates in the Company's financial statements relate to the carrying values of its investments in real estate including its shopping centers, properties in development and its investments in real estate partnerships, accounts receivable, net, and derivative instruments. Although the U.S. economy is recovering, economic conditions remain challenging, and therefore, it is possible that the estimates and assumptions that have been utilized in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements could change significantly, if economic conditions were to weaken.

Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Parent Company, the Operating Partnership, its wholly-owned subsidiaries, and consolidated partnerships in which the Company has a controlling interest. Investments in real estate partnerships not controlled by the Company are accounted for under the equity method. All significant inter-company balances and transactions are eliminated in the consolidated financial statements.

2. Real Estate Investments

On June 2, 2011, the Company acquired a shopping center for a purchase price of \$11.0 million which included the assumption of \$5.9 million in debt, recorded net of an approximately \$310,000 debt premium. Acquired lease intangible assets and acquired lease intangible liabilities of \$1.7 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, were recorded for this acquisition.

On May 4, 2011, the Company entered into an agreement with the DESCO Group ("DESCO") to redeem its entire 16.35% interest in Macquarie CountryWide-Regency-DESCO, LLC ("MCWR-DESCO"). The agreement allowed for a distribution-in-kind ("DIK") of the assets in the co-investment partnership. The assets were distributed as 100% ownership interests to DESCO and to Regency after a selection process, as provided for by the agreement. Regency selected four assets, all in the St. Louis market. The properties which the Company received through the DIK were recorded at the carrying value of the Company's equity investment of \$18.8 million. Additionally, as part of the agreement, Regency received a \$5.0 million disposition fee at closing on May 4, 2011 to buyout its asset, property, and leasing management contracts, and will receive \$1.0 million for transition services it will continue to provide through 2011, of which approximately \$250,000 has been recognized. At December 31, 2010, MCWR-DESCO

owned 32 shopping centers, had total assets of \$366.8 million and recorded a net loss of \$4.9 million for the year ended and the Company's share of its total assets and net loss was \$60.0 million and approximately \$817,000, respectively.

11

Table of Contents

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements June 30, 2011 (unaudited)

3. Discontinued Operations

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company did not sell any operating or development properties. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company sold 100% of its ownership interest in one operating property and one property in development for net proceeds of \$25.5 million. The combined operating income and gain on the sale of these properties were reclassified to discontinued operations. The revenues included in discontinued operations were approximately \$626,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The operating income and gain on sales of properties included in discontinued operations are reported net of income taxes, if the property is sold by Regency Realty Group, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Operating Partnership, which is a Taxable REIT Subsidiary as defined in Section 856(1) of the Internal Revenue Code. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, an immaterial amount of income tax expense was allocated to gain on sale of properties in development from discontinued operations.

4. Income Taxes

Income tax expense (benefit) is included in other expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and consists of the following for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

		For the three months ended June 30,		months ended
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Income tax expense (benefit) from:				
Continuing operations	\$ 217	74	\$ (1,597)	81
Discontinued operations	_	2	_	20
Total income tax expense (benefit)	\$ 217	76	\$ (1,597)	101

5. Notes Payable and Unsecured Line of Credit

The Parent Company does not hold any indebtedness, but guarantees all of the unsecured debt and 8.2% of the secured debt of the Operating Partnership.

Notes Payable

Notes payable consist of mortgage loans secured by properties and unsecured public debt. Mortgage loans may be prepaid, but could be subject to yield maintenance premiums. Mortgage loans are generally due in monthly installments of principal and interest or interest only, and mature over various terms through 2022, whereas, interest on unsecured public debt is payable semi-annually and the debt matures over various terms through 2021. Fixed interest rates on mortgage loans range from 5.22% to 8.40% with a weighted average rate of 6.55%. Fixed interest rates on unsecured public debt range from 4.80% to 7.95% with a weighted average rate of 5.61%. As of June 30, 2011, the Company had two variable rate mortgage loans, one in the amount of \$3.9 million with a variable interest rate equal to LIBOR plus 380 basis points maturing on October 1, 2014 and one construction loan with a current balance of \$8.9 million with a variable interest rate of LIBOR plus 300 basis points maturing on September 2, 2011. On January 18, 2011, the Company paid the maturing balance of \$161.7 million of 7.95% ten-year senior unsecured notes from proceeds from the unsecured line of credit.

The Company is required to comply with certain financial covenants for its unsecured public debt as defined in the indenture agreements such as Consolidated Debt to Consolidated Assets, Consolidated Secured Debt to Consolidated

Assets, Consolidated Income for Debt Service to Consolidated Debt Service, and Unencumbered Consolidated Assets to Unsecured Consolidated Debt. As of June 30, 2011, management of the Company believes it is in compliance with all financial covenants for its unsecured public debt.

12

Table of Contents

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements June 30, 2011 (unaudited)

Unsecured Line of Credit

The Company has a \$600.0 million unsecured line of credit (the "Line") commitment under an agreement with Wells Fargo Bank and a syndicate of other banks that matures in February 2012. The Line has a variable interest rate of LIBOR plus 55 basis points and an annual facility fee of 15 basis points subject to Regency maintaining its corporate credit and senior unsecured ratings at BBB. The balance on the Line was \$30.0 million and \$10.0 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The Company has initiated discussions with its lender to enter into a new Line commitment and term, and expects to close on a new commitment prior to February 2012.

The Company is required to comply with certain financial covenants as defined in the Credit Agreement such as Minimum Net Worth, Ratio of Total Liabilities to Gross Asset Value ("GAV") and Ratio of Recourse Secured Indebtedness to GAV, Ratio of Earnings Before Interest Taxes Depreciation and Amortization ("EBITDA") to Fixed Charges, and other covenants customary with this type of unsecured financing. As of June 30, 2011, management of the Company believes it is in compliance with all financial covenants for the Line. The proceeds from the Line are used to finance the acquisition and development of real estate and for general working-capital purposes.

The Company previously had a \$113.8 million revolving credit facility under an agreement with Wells Fargo Bank and a syndicate of other banks that matured in February 2011. There was no balance outstanding at December 31, 2010 and the Company did not renew this facility when it matured in February 2011.

The Company's outstanding debt at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 consists of the following (in thousands):

2011

2010

	2011	2010
Notes payable:		
Fixed rate mortgage loans	\$ 417,712	402,151
Variable rate mortgage loans	12,767	11,189
Fixed rate unsecured loans	1,509,666	1,671,129
Total notes payable	1,940,145	2,084,469
Unsecured line of credit	30,000	10,000
Total	\$ 1,970,145	2,094,469

As of June 30, 2011, scheduled principal payments and maturities on notes payable were as follows (in thousands):

Scheduled Principal Payments	Mortgage Loan Maturities	Unsecured Maturities (1)	Total	
\$ 2,825	8,850	20,000	31,675	
5,836	_	222,377	228,213	
5,763	16,342		22,105	
5,174	20,928	150,000	176,102	
3,783	46,313	350,000	400,096	
11,398	302,238	800,000	1,113,636	
	1,029	(2,711)	(1,682)
\$ 34,779	395,700	1,539,666	1,970,145	
	Principal Payments \$ 2,825 5,836 5,763 5,174 3,783 11,398 —	Principal Payments \$ 2,825	Principal Payments \$ 2,825	Principal Payments Mortgage Loan Maturities Unsecured Maturities (1) Total \$ 2,825 8,850 20,000 31,675 5,836 — 222,377 228,213 5,763 16,342 — 22,105 5,174 20,928 150,000 176,102 3,783 46,313 350,000 400,096 11,398 302,238 800,000 1,113,636 — 1,029 (2,711) (1,682

⁽¹⁾ Includes unsecured public debt and the Line. The Line is included in 2012 maturities and matures in February 2012.

6. Fair Value Measurements

Trading Securities Held in Trust

The Company has investments in marketable securities that are classified as trading securities held in trust on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. The fair value of the trading securities held in trust was determined using Level 1 quoted prices in active markets. The fair value of the trading securities held in trust was \$23.3 million and \$20.9 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

13

Table of Contents

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2011

(unaudited)

Notes Payable

The fair value of the Company's notes payable are estimated based on the current rates available to the Company for debt of the same terms and remaining maturities. Fixed rate loans assumed in connection with real estate acquisitions are recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements at fair value at the time the property is acquired including those loans assumed in distribution-in-kind liquidations. Each of these fair value measurements fall within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Based on the estimates used by the Company, the fair value of notes payable was \$2.2 billion at both June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

7. Equity and Capital

Common Stock of the Parent Company

On March 9, 2011, the Parent Company settled its forward sale agreements dated December 4, 2009 (the "Forward Equity Offering") with J.P. Morgan and Wells Fargo Securities by delivering an aggregate 8.0 million shares of common stock. Upon physical settlement of the Forward Equity Offering, the Company received net proceeds of approximately \$215.4 million. The Company used a portion of the proceeds to repay the Line, which had been drawn upon to repay unsecured notes of \$161.7 million that matured in January 2011.

8. Stock-Based Compensation

The Company recorded stock-based compensation in general and administrative expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations, the components of which are further described below for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

	For the the ended Jui	nree months ne 30,	For the six ended June	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Restricted stock Directors' fees paid in common stock	\$ 2,695	1,713	\$ 5,391	3,426
	72	57	134	106
Less: Amount capitalized	(258) (166) (515)	(333)
Total	\$ 2,509	1,604	\$ 5,010	3,199

The recorded amounts of stock-based compensation expense represent amortization of the grant date fair value of restricted stock awards over the respective vesting periods. Compensation expense specifically identifiable to development and leasing activities is capitalized and included above.

14

Table of Contents

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2011 (unaudited)

There were no stock options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2011. The Company issues new shares to fulfill option exercises from its authorized shares available. The following table reports stock option activity during the six months ended June 30, 2011:

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousar	
Outstanding December 31, 2010	442,880	\$ 51.85	3.5	(4,255)
Less: Exercised	12,561	35.61			
Less: Forfeited	26,754	51.43			
Less: Expired	12,023	60.18			
Outstanding June 30, 2011	391,542	\$ 52.14	3.5	\$ (3,200)
Vested and expected to vest - June 30, 2011	391,542	\$ 52.14	3.5	\$ (3,200)
Exercisable June 30, 2011	391,542	\$ 52.14	3.5	\$ (3,200)

The following table presents information regarding non-vested option activity during the six months ended June 30, 2011:

	Non-vested Number of Options	Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Non-vested at December 31, 2010	2,185	\$ 8.78
Less: 2011 Vesting	2,185	8.78
Non-vested at June 30, 2011	_	\$ _

The following table reports non-vested restricted stock activity during the six months ended June 30, 2011:

	Number of Shares	Intrinsic Value (in thousands)	Weighted Average Grant Price
Non-vested at December 31, 2010	436,559		
Add: Time-based awards granted	126,139		\$ 42.15
Add: Performance-based awards granted	18,246		\$ 41.54
Add: Market-based awards granted	165,689		\$ 41.54
Less: Vested and Distributed	171,292		\$ 43.08
Less: Forfeited	79		\$ 63.26
Non-vested at June 30, 2011	575,262 \$	25,294	

The weighted-average grant price for restricted stock granted during the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$41.79. The total intrinsic value of restricted stock vested during the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$7.5 million.

As of June 30, 2011, there was \$19.0 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted stock granted under the Parent Company's Long-term Omnibus Plan. When recognized, this compensation results in

additional paid in capital in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss) of the Parent Company and in general partner preferred and common units in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Capital and Comprehensive Income (Loss) of the Operating Partnership. This unrecognized compensation cost is expected to be recognized over the next three years, through 2014. The Company issues new restricted stock from its authorized shares available at the date of grant.

15

Table of Contents
REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
June 30, 2011
(unaudited)

9. Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan

The Company maintains a non-qualified deferred compensation plan ("NQDCP"). This plan allows select employees and directors to defer part or all of their salary, cash bonus, and restricted stock awards. Restricted stock awards that are designated to be deferred into the NODCP upon vesting are classified as liabilities from the grant date through the vesting date. All contributions into the participants' accounts are fully vested upon contribution to the NODCP and are deposited in a Rabbi trust. The Company accounts for the NQDCP in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 710 and the restricted stock awards under Topic 718. The assets in the Rabbi trust remain subject to the claims of creditors of the Company and are not the property of the participant. The NQDCP allows participants to allocate their account balance among various investments, including several mutual funds and the Company's common stock. The assets of the Rabbi trust, exclusive of the shares of the Company's common stock, are classified as trading securities on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets, and accordingly, realized and unrealized gains and losses are recognized within income from deferred compensation plan in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. Investments in shares of the Company's common stock are included, at cost, as treasury stock in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets of the Parent Company and as a reduction of general partner capital in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets of the Operating Partnership. The participants' deferred compensation liability is included within accounts payable and other liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and was \$37.3 million and \$35.0 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Increases or decreases in the deferred compensation liability, including amounts attributable to participant investments in the shares of the Company's common stock, are recorded as general and administrative expense within the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Effective June 20, 2011, the Company amended its NQDCP such that participant account balances held in the Regency common stock fund including future deferrals of Regency common stock must remain allocated to the Regency common stock fund and may only be distributed to the participant in the form of Regency common stock upon termination from the plan. Additionally, participant account balances allocated to various diversified mutual funds are now prohibited from being allocated into the Regency common stock fund. As a result, changes in participant account balances related to the Regency common stock fund will be recorded directly within stockholders' equity rather than general and administrative expense.

16

Table of Contents

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2011 (unaudited)

10. Earnings per Share and Unit

Parent Company Earnings per Share

The following summarizes the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively (in thousands except per share data):

	Three months ended		hs ended		Six months ended	
		2011	2010		2011	2010
Numerator:						
Income from continuing operations	\$	18,937	13,812		27,067	24,348
Discontinued operations			(108)	,		6,795
Net income		18,937	13,704		27,067	31,143
Less: Preferred stock dividends		4,919	4,919		9,838	9,838
Less: Noncontrolling interests		1,157	1,037		2,183	2,158
Net income attributable to common stockholders		12,861	7,748		15,046	19,147
Less: Dividends paid on unvested restricted stock		218	178		437	356
Net income attributable to common stockholders - basic	\$	12,643	7,570		14,609	18,791
Add: Dividends paid on Treasury Method restricted stock		20			34	
Net income for common stockholders - diluted	\$	12,663	7,570		14,643	18,791
Denominator:						
Weighted average common shares outstanding for basic EPS		89,074	81,054		86,090	80,952
Incremental shares to be issued under unvested restricted stock		44			36	
Incremental shares under Forward Equity Offering			1,522		848	1,305
Weighted average common shares outstanding for diluted EPS		89,118	82,576		86,974	82,257
Income per common share – basic						
Continuing operations	\$	0.14	0.09		0.17	0.15
Discontinued operations		_			_	0.08
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$	0.14	0.09		0.17	0.23
Income per common share – diluted						
Continuing operations	\$	0.14	0.09		0.17	0.15
Discontinued operations		_			_	0.08
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$	0.14	0.09		0.17	0.23

Income (Loss) allocated to noncontrolling interests of the Operating Partnership has been excluded from the numerator and Exchangeable Operating Partnership units have been omitted from the denominator for the purpose of computing diluted earnings per share since the effect of including these amounts in the numerator and denominator would have no impact. Weighted average Exchangeable Operating Partnership units outstanding for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were 177,164 and 256,598, respectively. Weighted average Exchangeable Operating Partnership units outstanding for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were 177,164 and 354,126, respectively.

17

Table of Contents

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2011

(unaudited)

Operating Partnership Earnings per Unit

The following summarizes the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per unit for the periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively (in thousands except per unit data):

and 2010, respectively (in thousands except per aint data).					
	Three months ended			Six months ended	
	2011	2010		2011	2010
Numerator:					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 18,937	13,812		27,067	24,348
Discontinued operations	_	(108)		6,795
Net income	18,937	13,704		27,067	31,143
Less: Preferred unit distributions	5,850	5,850		11,700	11,700
Less: Noncontrolling interests	189	79		271	175
Net income attributable to common unit holders	12,898	7,775		15,096	19,268
Less: Dividends paid on unvested restricted stock	218	178		437	356
Net income attributable to common unit holders - basic	\$ 12,680	7,597		14,659	18,912
Add: Dividends paid on Treasury Method restricted stock	20	_		34	
Net income for common unit holders - diluted	\$ 12,700	7,597		14,693	18,912
Denominator:					
Weighted average common units outstanding for basic EPU	89,251	81,311		86,267	81,306
Incremental units to be issued under unvested restricted stock	44	_		36	_
Incremental units under Forward Equity Offering	_	1,522		848	1,305
Weighted average common units outstanding for diluted EPU	89,295	82,833		87,151	82,611
Income per common unit – basic					
Continuing operations	\$ 0.14	0.09		0.17	0.15
Discontinued operations		_			0.08
Net income attributable to common unit holders	\$ 0.14	0.09		0.17	0.23
Income per common unit – diluted					
Continuing operations	\$ 0.14	0.09		0.17	0.15
Discontinued operations	_	_			0.08
Net income attributable to common unit holders	\$ 0.14	0.09		0.17	0.23

18

Table of Contents
REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
June 30, 2011
(unaudited)

11. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is involved in litigation on a number of matters and is subject to certain claims which arise in the normal course of business, none of which, in the opinion of management, is expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or liquidity. The Company is also subject to numerous environmental laws and regulations as they apply to real estate pertaining to chemicals used by the dry cleaning industry, the existence of asbestos in older shopping centers, and underground petroleum storage tanks. The Company estimates the cost associated with remediating all of its environmental obligations at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 to be \$2.7 million and \$2.9 million, respectively, all of which has been accrued in accounts payable and other liabilities on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company believes that the ultimate disposition of currently known environmental matters will not have a material effect on its financial position, liquidity, or operations; however, it can give no assurance that existing environmental studies with respect to the shopping centers have revealed all potential environmental liabilities; that any previous owner, occupant or tenant did not create any material environmental condition not known to it; that the current environmental condition of the shopping centers will not be affected by tenants and occupants, by the condition of nearby properties, or by unrelated third parties; or that changes in applicable environmental laws and regulations or their interpretation will not result in additional environmental liability to the Company.

The Company has the right to issue letters of credit under the Line up to an amount not to exceed \$50.0 million which reduces the credit availability under the Line. The Company also has stand alone letters of credit with other banks. These letters of credit are primarily issued as collateral to facilitate the construction of development projects. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had \$4.0 million and \$5.3 million letters of credit outstanding, respectively.

19

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

In addition to historical information, the following information contains forward-looking statements as defined under federal securities laws. These forward-looking statements include statements about anticipated changes in our revenues, the size of our development program, earnings per share and unit, returns and portfolio value, and expectations about our liquidity. These statements are based on current expectations, estimates and projections about the industry and markets in which Regency Centers Corporation (the "Parent Company") and Regency Centers, L.P. (the "Operating Partnership"), collectively "Regency" or "the Company", operate, and management's beliefs and assumptions. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such statements. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, changes in national and local economic conditions; financial difficulties of tenants; competitive market conditions, including timing and pricing of acquisitions and sales of properties and out-parcels; changes in leasing activity and market rents; timing of development starts; meeting development schedules; our inability to exercise voting control over the co-investment partnerships through which we own or develop many of our properties; consequences of any armed conflict or terrorist attack against the United States; and the ability to obtain governmental approvals. For additional information, see "Risk Factors" under Part II Item 1A of this quarterly report on Form 10-O and in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto of Regency Centers Corporation and Regency Centers, L.P. appearing elsewhere herein.

Overview

Regency Centers Corporation began its operations as a Real Estate Investment Trust ("REIT") in 1993 and is the managing general partner in Regency Centers, L.P. We are focused on achieving total shareholder returns in excess of REIT shopping center averages, and sustaining growth in our net asset value and our earnings over an extended period of time. We will achieve these goals through owning, operating, and investing in a high-quality portfolio of primarily grocery-anchored shopping centers that are tenanted by market-dominant grocers, category-leading anchors, specialty retailers, and restaurants located in areas with above average household incomes and population densities. All of our operating, investing, and financing activities are performed through the Operating Partnership, its wholly-owned subsidiaries, and through its investments in real estate partnerships with third parties (also referred to as co-investment partnership or joint ventures). The Parent Company currently owns approximately 99.8% of the outstanding common partnership units of the Operating Partnership. Because of our structure and certain public debt financing, the Operating Partnership is also a registrant.

At June 30, 2011, we directly owned 220 shopping centers located in 24 states representing 23.8 million square feet of gross leasable area ("GLA"). Through co-investment partnerships, we own partial ownership interests in 147 shopping centers located in 23 states and the District of Columbia representing 18.7 million square feet of GLA. We earn revenues and generate cash flow by leasing space in our shopping centers to grocery stores, major retail anchors, side-shop retailers, and restaurants, including ground leasing or selling building pads (out-parcels) to these same types of tenants. Historically, we have experienced growth in revenues by increasing occupancy and rental rates in our existing shopping centers and by acquiring and developing new shopping centers. Increasing occupancy in our shopping centers to historical levels and achieving positive rental rate growth are key objectives of our strategic plan. At June 30, 2011, the consolidated operating shopping centers were 91.7% leased, down slightly from 92.6% leased at December 31, 2010. We currently expect rental rates to decline moderately in isolated markets as we release vacant space, or renew expiring leases, where the previous tenant's rental rates were above market.

We continue to closely monitor the operating performance and rent collections of all tenants in our shopping centers, especially those tenants operating retail formats that are experiencing significant changes in competition, business practice, and store closings in other locations. We expect these weaker tenants to continue moving out of our shopping centers during 2011, which will provide us the opportunity to release these vacancies to financially stronger vibrant tenants that will contribute to the overall success of our shopping centers. We also evaluate consumer preferences, shopping behaviors and demographics to anticipate both challenges and opportunities in the changing retailing industry.

We closely monitor tenants who have co-tenancy clauses in their lease agreements. These tenants are typically located in larger format community shopping centers that contain multiple anchor tenants whose leases contain these types of clauses. Co-tenancy clauses have several variants: they may allow a tenant to postpone a store opening if certain other tenants fail to open their store; they may allow a tenant the opportunity to close their store prior to lease expiration if another tenant closes

20

their store prior to lease expiration; or more commonly, they may allow a tenant to pay reduced levels of rent until a certain number of tenants open their stores within the same shopping center. In certain geographic areas where economic weakness persists, we have centers that contain leases with these types of clauses, and we could experience reductions in rent and occupancy related to tenants exercising their co-tenancy clauses.

We grow our shopping center portfolio through acquisitions of operating centers and new shopping center development. We will continue to use our unique combination of development capabilities, market presence, and anchor relationships to invest in value-added opportunities sourced from land owners and joint venture partners, the redevelopment of existing centers, and the development of land. Development is customer driven, meaning we generally have an executed lease from the anchor before we start construction. Developments serve the growth needs of our anchors and specialty retailers, resulting in modern shopping centers with long-term anchor leases that produce attractive returns on our invested capital. This development process typically requires three to five years from initial land or redevelopment acquisition through construction, lease-up, and stabilization of rental income, but can take longer depending upon tenant demand for new stores and the size of the project. We intend to fund our acquisition and development activity from various capital sources including new debt, equity and through capital recycling. Capital recycling involves identifying non-strategic assets from our real estate portfolio and selling those in the open market; and reinvesting the sale proceeds into new higher quality developments and acquisitions that will generate sustainable revenue growth and attractive returns.

Co-investment partnerships provide us with a reliable capital source for shopping center acquisitions, as well as, the opportunity to earn fees for asset management, property management, and other investing and financing services. As asset manager, we are engaged by our partners to apply similar operating, investment and capital strategies to the portfolios owned by the co-investment partnerships as those applied to the portfolio that we wholly-own. Co-investment partnerships grow their shopping center investments through acquisitions from third parties or direct purchases from us. Although selling properties to co-investment partnerships reduces our direct ownership interest, it provides a source of capital that further strengthens our balance sheet while we continue to share, to the extent of our ownership interest, in the risks and rewards of shopping centers that meet our high quality standards and long-term investment strategy.

Our co-investment partnerships have significant levels of debt that mature through 2012 and are subject to significant borrowing risks if the capital markets again become unavailable as they were during the recession. While we have to date successfully refinanced our maturing loans, a downturn in the U.S. economy could hinder our ability to access capital, including access from or by our joint venture partners, or to obtain future financing to fund maturing debt. Currently, we believe that our joint venture partners have sufficient capital or access thereto for these future capital requirements. The impact to the Company or a co-investment partner defaulting on its share of a capital call is discussed below under "Liquidity and Capital Resources".

Shopping Center Portfolio

The following table summarizes general information related to the consolidated properties in our shopping center portfolio, which we use to evaluate and monitor our performance:

	June 30,	December 31,	
	2011	2010	
Number of Properties	220	215	
Properties in Development	18	25	
Gross Leasable Area	23,829,082	23,266,987	
% Leased – Operating and Development	91.4	6 91.6	%
% Leased – Operating	91.7	% 92.6	%

The following table summarizes general information related to the unconsolidated properties owned in co-investment partnerships in our shopping center portfolio, which we use to evaluate and monitor our performance:

June 30,	December 31
2011	2010

Edgar Filing: PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE LP - Form 8-K

Number of Properties	147		181	
Properties in Development	1	1	. 1	
Gross Leasable Area	18,661,675		21,809,665	
% Leased – Operating and Development	93.5	%	93.6	%
% Leased – Operating	93.6	%	93.8	%
21				

We seek to reduce our operating and leasing risks through diversification which we achieve by geographically diversifying our shopping centers, avoiding dependence on any single property, market, or tenant, and owning a portion of our shopping centers through co-investment partnerships. The following table summarizes our four largest tenants, each of which is a grocery tenant, occupying the shopping centers at June 30, 2011:

Grocery Anchor	Number of Stores (1)	Percentage of Company- owned GLA ⁽²⁾	Percentage of Annualized Base Rent ⁽²⁾
Kroger	53	7.2	% 4.5
Publix	56	6.8	% 4.4
Safeway	57	5.6	% 3.7
Super Valu	29	3.1	% 2.4 %

⁽¹⁾ Includes stores owned by grocery anchors that are attached to our centers.

Although base rent is supported by long-term lease contracts, tenants who file bankruptcy have the legal right to reject any or all of their leases and close related stores. In the event that a tenant with a significant number of leases in our shopping centers files bankruptcy and cancels its leases, we could experience a significant reduction in our revenues and tenant receivables. We are closely monitoring industry trends and sales data to help us identify declines in retail categories or tenants who might be experiencing financial difficulties as a result of slowing sales, lack of credit, changes in retail formats or increased competition. As a result of our findings, we may reduce new leasing, suspend leasing, or curtail the allowance for the construction of leasehold improvements within a certain retail category or to a specific retailer.

Blockbuster Video, which filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection on September 23, 2010, represents the majority of our video rental leases with annual base rent of less than 1.0% of our annualized base rent on a pro-rata basis. Most of the remaining leases are expected to be assigned to Dish Network, which purchased Blockbuster's assets in April 2011.

Movie Gallery/Hollywood Video filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection on February 2, 2010 and closed all of its stores in our shopping centers. The base rent loss associated with these store closings is insignificant to our annual base rent on a pro-rata basis.

We periodically monitor the credit quality of our tenants by reviewing their reported credit ratings and sales data. We communicate often with those tenants who have announced store closings or filed bankruptcy. We are not currently aware of the pending bankruptcy or announced store closings of any tenants in our shopping centers beyond those described above that would individually cause a material reduction in our revenues, and no tenant represents more than 5% of our annual base rent on a pro-rata basis.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our Parent Company has no capital commitments other than its guarantees of the commitments of our Operating Partnership. The Parent Company will from time to time access the capital markets for the purpose of issuing new equity and will simultaneously contribute all of the offering proceeds to the Operating Partnership in exchange for additional partnership units. Any new debt is issued by our Operating Partnership or by our co-investment partnerships. Accordingly, the discussion below regarding liquidity and capital resources is presented on a consolidated basis for the Company. The following table summarizes net cash flows related to operating, investing, and financing activities of the Company for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

2011	2010	
\$ 102,907	84,865	
(75,680) (118,745)
(31,279) 40,836	
\$ (4,052) 6,956	
Ψ	\$ 102,907 (75,680 (31,279	\$ 102,907 84,865 (75,680) (118,745 (31,279) 40,836

⁽²⁾ Includes Regency's pro-rata share of unconsolidated properties.

22

On June 30, 2011 our cash balance was \$18.4 million. We operate our business such that we expect net cash provided by operating activities, in combination with proceeds generated from sales of development properties and land, will provide the necessary funds to pay our scheduled mortgage loan principal payments, capital expenditures necessary to maintain our shopping centers, and distributions to our share and unit holders. The following table summarizes these amounts for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

	2011	2010
Cash flow from operations	\$ 102,907	84,865
Settlement of derivative instruments	_	26,761
Gain on sales of developments and land	_	473
Total	\$ 102,907	112,099
Scheduled principal payments	\$ 2,470	2,449
Capital expenditures to maintain shopping centers	3,941	3,247
Distributions to share and unit holders	90,048	86,250
Total	\$ 96,459	91,946

Our dividend distribution policy is set by our Board of Directors and they continuously review our financial results. Our Board of Directors recently declared our quarterly dividend of \$0.4625 per share, payable August 31, 2011 to stock and unit holders of record as of August 17, 2011. Our dividend has remained unchanged since May 2009. We plan to continue paying an aggregate amount of distributions to our stock and unit holders that at a minimum meet the requirements to continue qualifying as a REIT for Federal income tax purposes.

We endeavor to maintain a high percentage of unencumbered assets - 81.7% of our real estate assets at June 30, 2011 are unencumbered. Such assets allow us to access the secured and unsecured debt markets and to maintain significant availability on our \$600.0 million unsecured line of credit ("the Line"). Our debt to asset ratio (before the effect of accumulated depreciation), including our pro-rata share of the debt and assets of joint ventures is 44.7% at June 30, 2011, a significant decline from our ratio at December 31, 2010 of 48.1% due to the settlement of our forward sale agreements ("Forward Equity Offering") in March 2011. Our coverage ratio including our pro-rata share of our partnerships was 2.2 times for the six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to 2.1 times for the year ended December 31, 2010. We define our coverage ratio as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") divided by the sum of the gross interest and scheduled mortgage principal paid to our lenders plus dividends paid to our preferred stockholders.

At June 30, 2011, commitments available to us under the Line totaled \$600.0 million, which had an outstanding balance of \$30.0 million. The Line matures in February 2012. We have initiated discussions with our lenders to evaluate our Line requirements and we expect to complete and close on a new \$600.0 million line commitment prior to the maturity date of the Line. In February 2011, a \$113.8 million revolving credit facility expired with no balance outstanding and we did not renew this facility.

On January 15, 2011, \$161.7 million of unsecured debt matured, and we repaid the maturity by borrowing on the Line. On March 9, 2011, we received net proceeds of \$215.4 million from the settlement of the 8.0 million common share Forward Equity Offering and used a portion of the proceeds to payoff the balance of the Line. Through 2013, we currently estimate that we will require approximately \$325.1 million primarily to repay \$267.6 million of maturing debt (excluding scheduled principal payments), complete in-process developments, and to fund our pro-rata share of estimated capital contributions to our co-investment partnerships for repayment of debt.

At June 30, 2011 we had 19 development properties, which includes one unconsolidated development property owned in a co-investment partnership, that were either under construction or in lease up, which when completed, will represent a net investment of \$400.7 million after projected sales of adjacent land and out-parcels. This compares to 26 development properties at December 31, 2010 representing an investment of \$530.6 million upon completion. We estimate that we will earn an average return on investment from our current development projects of 6.8% when completed and fully leased. Costs necessary to complete in-process development projects, net of reimbursements and projected land sales, are estimated to be \$4.6 million.

At June 30, 2011, our joint ventures had \$323.5 million of scheduled secured mortgage loans and credit lines maturing through 2013. A more detailed loan maturity schedule and further discussion about the repayment of maturing debt is included below under Notes Payable. Year to date through June 30, 2011, the co-investment partnerships have repaid \$440.9 million of maturing 2011 debt and expect to repay remaining 2011 maturities from new mortgage loan financings.

We believe that our joint venture partners are financially sound and have sufficient capital or access thereto to fund future capital requirements. We communicate with our co-investment partners regularly regarding the operating and capital budgets of our co-investment partnerships, and believe that we will successfully complete the refinancing of our joint venture debt as it matures in the future. In the event that a co-investment partner was unable to fund its share of the capital requirements of the co-investment partnership, we would have the right, but not the obligation, to loan the defaulting partner the amount of its capital call at an interest rate at the lesser of prime plus a pre-defined spread or the maximum rate allowed by law. A decision to loan to a defaulting joint venture partner, which would be secured by the defaulting partner's partnership interest, would be based on the fair value of the co-investment partnership assets, our joint venture partner's financial health, and would be subject to an evaluation of our own capital commitments and sources to fund those commitments. Alternatively, should we determine that our joint venture partners will not have sufficient capital to meet future capital needs, we could trigger liquidation of the partnership. For the co-investment partnerships that have distribution-in-kind ("DIK") provisions, and own multiple properties, a liquidation of the co-investment partnership could be completed by either a DIK of the properties to each joint venture partner in proportion to its partnership interest, open market sale, or a combination of both methods. Our co-investment partnership properties have been financed with non-recourse loans that represent 100% of the total debt of the co-investment partnerships including lines of credit as of June 30, 2011. We and our partners have no guarantees related to these loans. In those co-investment partnerships which have DIK provisions, if we trigger liquidation by distribution-in-kind, each partner would receive title to properties selected in a rotation process for distribution and would assume any related loans secured by the properties distributed. The loan agreements generally provide for assumption by either joint venture partner after obtaining any required lender consent. We would only be responsible for those loans we assume through the DIK and only to the extent of the value of the property we receive, since after assumption through the DIK the loans would remain non-recourse.

Our preferred stock and preferred units, though callable by us, are not redeemable in cash at the option of the holders. Although common or preferred equity raised in the public markets by the Parent Company is an option to fund future capital needs, access to these markets could be limited at times. When conditions for the issuance of securities are acceptable, we will evaluate issuing debt or equity to fund new acquisition opportunities, fund new developments, or repay maturing debt. At June 30, 2011, the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership have an existing universal shelf registration statement available for the issuance of new equity and debt securities.

Investments in Real Estate Partnerships

At June 30, 2011, we had investments in real estate partnerships of \$425.6 million. The following table is a summary of unconsolidated combined assets and liabilities of these co-investment partnerships and our pro-rata share (see note below) at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	2011	2010
Number of Co-investment Partnerships	17	18
Regency's Ownership	20%-50%	16.35%-50%
Number of Properties	147	181
Combined Assets	\$ 3,572,268	3,983,122
Combined Liabilities	\$ 1,960,569	2,262,476
Combined Equity	\$ 1,611,699	1,720,646
Regency's Share of ⁽¹⁾ :		
Assets	\$ 1,186,650	1,263,400
Liabilities	\$ 635,142	706,026

(1) Pro-rata financial information is not, and is not intended to be, a presentation in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. However, management believes that providing such information is useful to investors in assessing the impact of its investments in real estate partnership activities on the operations of Regency, which includes such items on a single line presentation under the equity method in its consolidated financial statements.

24

Investments in real estate partnerships are primarily composed of co-investment partnerships in which we currently invest with five co-investment partners and a closed-end real estate fund ("Regency Retail Partners" or the "Fund"), as further summarized below. In addition to earning our pro-rata share of net income or loss in each of these co-investment partnerships, we receive recurring market-based fees for asset management, property management, and leasing as well as fees for investment and financing services, which were \$14.2 million and \$13.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. During 2011 and 2010 we received transaction fees from our co-investment partnerships of \$5.0 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, which are non-recurring. Our investments in real estate partnerships as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 consist of the following (in thousands):

,	Ownership		2011	2010
GRI - Regency, LLC (GRIR)	40.00	% \$	290,066	277,235
Macquarie CountryWide-Regency III, LLC (MCWR III)	24.95	%	(137)	63
Macquarie CountryWide-Regency-DESCO, LLC (MCWR-DESCO) (1)	_		_	20,050
Columbia Regency Retail Partners, LLC (Columbia I)	20.00	%	19,350	20,025
Columbia Regency Partners II, LLC (Columbia II)	20.00	%	9,159	9,815
Cameron Village, LLC (Cameron)	30.00	%	17,281	17,604
RegCal, LLC (RegCal)	25.00	%	22,995	15,340
Regency Retail Partners, LP (the Fund)	20.00	%	16,917	17,478
US Regency Retail I, LLC (USAA)	20.01	%	3,591	3,941
Other investments in real estate partnerships	50.00	%	46,337	47,041
Total		\$	425,559	428,592

(1) At December 31, 2010, our ownership interest in MCWR-DESCO was 16.35%. The liquidation of MCWR-DESCO was complete effective May 4, 2011.

On May 4, 2011, we entered into an agreement with the DESCO Group ("DESCO") to redeem our entire 16.35% interest in Macquarie CountryWide-Regency-DESCO, LLC ("MCWR-DESCO"). The agreement allowed for a DIK of the assets in the co-investment partnership. The assets were distributed as 100% ownership interests to DESCO and to Regency after a selection process, as provided for by the agreement. Regency selected four assets, all in the St. Louis market. The properties which we received through the DIK were recorded at the carrying value of our equity investment of \$18.8 million. Additionally, as part of the agreement, we received a \$5.0 million disposition fee at closing on May 4, 2011 to buyout our asset, property, and leasing management contracts, and will receive \$1.0 million for transition services we will continue to provide through 2011, of which approximately \$250,000 has been recognized.

Notes Payable and Unsecured Line of Credit Notes Payable

Notes payable consist of mortgage loans secured by properties and unsecured public debt. The Parent Company does not hold any indebtedness, but guarantees all of the unsecured public debt and 8.2% of the secured debt of the Operating Partnership. Mortgage loans may be prepaid, but could be subject to yield maintenance premiums and are generally due in monthly installments of principal and interest or interest only, and mature over various terms through 2022. Interest on unsecured public debt is payable semi-annually and the debt matures over various terms through 2021. Fixed interest rates on mortgage loans range from 5.22% to 8.40% with a weighted average rate of 6.55%. Fixed interest rates on unsecured public debt range from 4.80% to 7.95% with a weighted average rate of 5.61%. As of June 30, 2011, we had two variable rate mortgage loans, one in the amount of \$3.9 million with an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus 380 basis points maturing on October 1, 2014 and one construction loan with a current balance of \$8.9 million with a variable interest rate of LIBOR plus 300 basis points maturing on September 2, 2011. On January 18, 2011, we paid the maturing balance of \$161.7 million of 7.95% ten-year senior unsecured notes from a portion of the proceeds received with the Forward Equity Offering settlement as further discussed below under Equity

and Capital.

25

At June 30, 2011, 97.8% of our total debt had fixed interest rates, compared with 99.0% at December 31, 2010. We intend to limit the percentage of variable interest rate debt to be no more than 30% of total debt, which we believe to be an acceptable risk. Currently, our variable rate debt represents less than 3.0% of our total debt.

We are required to comply with certain financial covenants for our unsecured public debt as defined in the indenture agreements such as Consolidated Debt to Consolidated Assets, Consolidated Secured Debt to Consolidated Assets, Consolidated Income for Debt Service to Consolidated Debt Service, and Unencumbered Consolidated Assets to Unsecured Consolidated Debt. As of June 30, 2011, management believes we are in compliance with all financial covenants for our unsecured public debt.

Unsecured Line of Credit

We have a \$600.0 million Line commitment under an agreement with Wells Fargo Bank and a syndicate of other banks that matures in February 2012. The Line has a current interest rate of LIBOR plus 55 basis points and an annual facility fee of 15 basis points subject to maintaining our corporate credit and senior unsecured ratings at BBB. The balance on the Line was \$30.0 million and \$10.0 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. We have initiated discussions with our lender to enter into a new Line commitment and term, and we expect to close on the new commitment prior to February 2012.

The interest spread paid on the Line commitment is dependent upon maintaining specific investment-grade ratings. We are also required to comply with certain financial covenants as defined in the Credit Agreement such as Minimum Net Worth, Ratio of Total Liabilities to Gross Asset Value ("GAV") and Ratio of Recourse Secured Indebtedness to GAV, Ratio of EBITDA to Fixed Charges, and other covenants customary with this type of unsecured financing. As of June 30, 2011, management believes we are in compliance with all financial covenants for the Line. The Line is used to finance the acquisition and development of real estate and for general working-capital purposes.

We previously had a \$113.8 million revolving credit facility under an agreement with Wells Fargo Bank and a syndicate of other banks that matured in February 2011. There was no balance outstanding at December 31, 2010 and we did not renew this facility when it matured.

2011

2010

Outstanding debt at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 consists of the following (in thousands):

	2011	2010
Notes payable:		
Fixed rate mortgage loans	\$ 417,712	402,151
Variable rate mortgage loans	12,767	11,189
Fixed rate unsecured loans	1,509,666	1,671,129
Total notes payable	1,940,145	2,084,469
Unsecured line of credit	30,000	10,000
Total	\$ 1,970,145	2,094,469

As of June 30, 2011, scheduled principal payments and maturities on notes payable were as follows (in thousands):

Scheduled Principal Payments and Maturities by	Scheduled Principal	Mortgage Loan	Unsecured	Total	
Year:	Payments	Maturities	Maturities (1)		
2011	\$ 2,825	8,850	20,000	31,675	
2012	5,836		222,377	228,213	
2013	5,763	16,342	_	22,105	
2014	5,174	20,928	150,000	176,102	
2015	3,783	46,313	350,000	400,096	
Beyond 5 Years	11,398	302,238	800,000	1,113,636	
Unamortized debt (discounts)/premiums, net		1,029	(2,711	(1,682)
Total	\$ 34,779	395,700	1,539,666	1,970,145	

⁽¹⁾ Includes unsecured public debt and the Line. The Line is included in 2012 maturities and matures in February 2012.

Notes Payable - Investments in Real Estate Partnerships

At June 30, 2011, our investments in real estate partnerships had notes payable of \$1.8 billion maturing through 2028, of which 99.4% had weighted average fixed interest rates of 5.7%, and the remaining note payable had a variable interest rate based on LIBOR plus 270 basis points. These loans are all non-recourse and our pro-rata share was \$594.6 million.

On April 14, 2011, GRIR placed mortgages totaling \$340.0 million on 20 assets in the partnership. The refinance included a weighted average interest rate of 4.9% over a weighted average 11-year term and is interest-only for the first year. The GRIR partners contributed their pro-rata share of the capital necessary to repay the balance of the 2011 maturities not repaid from the new loans, which we funded from the proceeds of the settlement of the Forward Equity Offering. Year to date through June 30, 2011, the co-investment partnerships have repaid \$440.9 million of maturing 2011 debt and expect to repay remaining 2011 maturities from new mortgage loan financings during 2011. As of June 30, 2011, scheduled principal payments and maturities on notes payable held by our investments in real estate partnerships were as follows (in thousands):

Scheduled Principal Payments	Scheduled Principal	Mortgage Loan	Unsecured	Total	Regency's Pro-Rata
and Maturities by Year:	Payments	Maturities	Maturities		Share
2011	\$ 2,942	43,868	_	46,810	14,752
2012	10,942	244,418	10,872	266,232	100,879
2013	14,538	24,373		38,911	14,308
2014	15,234	78,189		93,423	27,693
2015	15,634	132,546		148,180	48,177
Beyond 5 Years	80,906	1,157,510	_	1,238,416	388,137
Unamortized debt premiums, net		2,888		2,888	634
Total	\$ 140,196	1,683,792	10,872	1,834,860	594,580

Equity and Capital

Common Stock of the Parent Company

On March 9, 2011, we settled the Forward Equity Offering dated December 4, 2009 with J.P. Morgan and Wells Fargo Securities by delivering an aggregate 8.0 million shares of the Company's common stock and received net proceeds of approximately \$215.4 million. We used a portion of the proceeds to repay the Line, which had been drawn upon to repay unsecured notes of \$161.7 million that matured in January 2011. The balance of the proceeds is being used for general working capital purposes.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued new guidance concerning fair value measurements and disclosure. The new guidance is the result of joint efforts by the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") to develop a single, converged fair value framework on how to measure fair value and the necessary disclosures concerning fair value measurements. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and no early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating this new guidance and its materiality to the consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued revised guidance as the manner in which entities present comprehensive income in the financial statements. This guidance removes the previous presentation options and provides that entities must report comprehensive income in either a continuous statement of comprehensive income or two separate but consecutive statements. This guidance does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income nor does it require incremental disclosures in addition to those previously required. The guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. We are currently evaluating this new guidance and its impact to the consolidated financial statements.

27

Results from Operations

Comparison of the three months ended June 30, 2011 to 2010:

Our revenues increased by \$7.1 million or 5.9% to \$128.4 million in 2011, as summarized in the following table (in thousands):

	2011	2010	Change	
Minimum rent	\$ 89,684	85,845	3,839	
Percentage rent	151	264	(113)
Recoveries from tenants and other income	26,352	25,624	728	
Management, transaction, and other fees	12,195	9,518	2,677	
Total revenues	\$ 128,382	121,251	7,131	

Minimum rent and recoveries from tenants and other income increased due to the acquisition of two operating properties during the second half of 2010 and the four properties received through the DESCO DIK in May 2011. The increase in management, transaction, and other fees was due to the \$5.0 million disposition fee and approximately \$250,000 in consulting fees we received as a result of the DESCO DIK liquidation during the three months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the \$2.6 million disposition fee we received related to GRI's acquisition of MCW's investment during the three months ended June 30, 2010.

We earn fees, at market-based rates, for asset management, disposition, property management, leasing, acquisition, and financing services that we provide to our co-investment partnerships and third parties as follows (in thousands):

	2011	2010	Change	
Asset management fees	\$ 1,679	1,772	(93)
Property management fees	3,709	3,892	(183)
Transaction fees	5,000	2,594	2,406	
Leasing commissions and other fees	1,807	1,260	547	
	\$ 12,195	9,518	2,677	

Our operating expenses increased by \$4.9 million or 6.4% to \$81.7 million in 2011. The following table summarizes our operating expenses (in thousands):

2011	2010	Change	
\$ 32,057	31,395	662	
32,158	31,599	559	
15,177	12,656	2,521	
1,586	63	1,523	
735	1,080	(345)
\$ 81,713	76,793	4,920	
\$	\$ 32,057 32,158 15,177 1,586 735	\$ 32,057 31,395 32,158 31,599 15,177 12,656 1,586 63 735 1,080	\$ 32,057 31,395 662 32,158 31,599 559 15,177 12,656 2,521 1,586 63 1,523 735 1,080 (345

Increases in depreciation and amortization expense along with operating, maintenance, and real estate tax expense are primarily related to the acquisition of the four properties received through the DESCO DIK during the three months ended June 30, 2011. The majority of these cost increases are recoverable from our tenants and included in our revenues. General and administrative expense increased \$2.5 million due to higher levels of compensation earned as a result of higher levels of performance during the three months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to 2010.

28

TT1 C 11 '				,	
The following	table presents	s the change	in interest	expense (in thousands).

	2011		2010		Change	
Interest on notes payable	\$ 28,835		31,213		(2,378)
Interest on line of credit	317		374		(57)
Capitalized interest	(368)	(1,243)	875	
Hedge interest	2,366		931		1,435	
Interest income	(587)	(640)	53	
	\$ 30,563		30,635		(72)

Interest on notes payable decreased during the three months ended June 30, 2011 as a result of the repayment of \$161.7 million of unsecured debt in January 2011. Capitalized interest decreased as a result of reduced development activity during the three months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to 2010. Hedge interest increased as a result of \$61.6 million of hedges settled subsequent to the three months ended June 30, 2010 that resulted in increased hedge interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2011.

During the three months ended June 30, 2011, we sold two out-parcels for net proceeds of approximately \$764,000 and recognized no gain, whereas during the three months ended June 30, 2010, we sold three out-parcels for net proceeds of \$3.9 million and recognized a gain of approximately \$65,000.

Our equity in income (loss) of investments in real estate partnerships changed by approximately \$906,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to 2010 as follows (in thousands):

	Ownership	2011	2010	Change	
GRI - Regency, LLC (GRIR)	40.00 %	\$ 1,565	1,853	(288)	
Macquarie CountryWide-Regency III, LLC (MCWR III)	24.95 %	(75) (27) (48	
Macquarie CountryWide-Regency-DESCO, LLC (MCWR-DESCO) (1)		(84) (159) 75	
Columbia Regency Retail Partners, LLC (Columbia I)	20.00 %	202	(171) 373	
Columbia Regency Partners II, LLC (Columbia II)	20.00 %	36	6	30	
Cameron Village, LLC (Cameron)	30.00 %	53	(141) 194	
RegCal, LLC (RegCal)	25.00 %	198	72	126	
Regency Retail Partners, LP (the Fund)	20.00 %	37	6	31	
US Regency Retail I, LLC (USAA)	20.01 %	270	(23) 293	
Other investments in real estate partnerships	50.00 %	486	366	120	
Total		\$ 2,688	1,782	906	

(1) At June 30, 2010, our ownership interest in MCWR-DESCO was 16.35%. The liquidation of MCWR-DESCO was complete effective May 4, 2011.

Related to our Parent Company's results, our net income attributable to common stockholders for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was \$12.9 million, an increase in net income of \$5.2 million as compared to net income of \$7.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase in net income was primarily related to the \$5.0 million disposition fee received for the DESCO DIK that was recognized during the three months ended June 30, 2011. Our diluted net income per share was \$0.14 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to diluted net income per share of \$0.09 for the three months ended June 30, 2010.

Related to our Operating Partnership results, our net income attributable to common unit holders for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was \$12.9 million, an increase of \$5.1 million as compared to net income of \$7.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 for the same reason stated above. Our diluted net income per unit was \$0.14 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to net income per unit of \$0.09 for the three months ended June 30, 2010.

29

Comparison of the six months ended June 30, 2011 to 2010:

Our revenues increased by \$10.2 million or 4.1% to \$255.5 million in 2011, as summarized in the following table (in thousands):

	2011	2010	Change	
Minimum rent	\$ 179,068	172,049	7,019	
Percentage rent	1,058	624	434	
Recoveries from tenants and other income	55,317	56,207	(890)
Management, transaction, and other fees	20,053	16,449	3,604	
Total revenues	\$ 255,496	245,329	10,167	

Minimum rent increased due to the acquisition of two operating properties during the second half of 2010 and the four properties received through the DESCO DIK in May 2011. The increase in percentage rent was due to increased sales during the six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to 2010. The decrease in recoveries from tenants and other income resulted from a higher level of store closures and termination fees experienced during the six months ended June 30, 2010 that did not reoccur at the same level during 2011; the result of an improving retail sales environment. The increase in management, transaction, and other fees was due to the \$5.0 million disposition fee and approximately \$250,000 in consulting fees we received as a result of the DESCO DIK liquidtion during the six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the \$2.6 million disposition fee we received related to GRI's acquisition of MCW's investment during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

We earn fees, at market-based rates, for asset management, disposition, property management, leasing, acquisition, and financing services that we provide to our co-investment partnerships and third parties as follows (in thousands):

	2011	2010	Change	
Asset management fees	\$ 3,406	3,180	226	
Property management fees	7,672	7,844	(172)
Transaction fees	5,000	2,594	2,406	
Leasing commissions and other fees	3,975	2,831	1,144	
	\$ 20,053	16,449	3,604	

Our operating expenses increased by \$9.5 million or 6.0% to \$167.9 million in 2011. The following table summarizes our operating expenses (in thousands):

	2011		2010	Change	
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 67,247		62,623	4,624	
Operating, maintenance, and real estate taxes	66,436		63,690	2,746	
General and administrative	32,131		27,974	4,157	
Provision for doubtful accounts	2,212		2,402	(190)
Other expenses	(174)	1,687	(1,861)
Total operating expenses	\$ 167,852		158,376	9,476	

Increases in depreciation and amortization expense along with operating, maintenance, and real estate tax expense are primarily related to the acquisition of the four properties received through the DESCO DIK during the six months ended June 30, 2011. The majority of these cost increases are recoverable from our tenants and included in our revenues. General and administrative expense increased \$4.2 million due to higher levels of compensation earned as a result of higher levels of performance during the six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to 2010. Other expenses decreased as a result of a \$1.6 million income tax benefit recorded during the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to a tax expense of approximately \$81,000 recorded during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

30

The following table presents the change in interest expense (in thousands):	
2011	2010

2011		2010		Change	
\$ 58,067		61,905		(3,838)
773		741		32	
(957)	(3,323)	2,366	
4,733		1,756		2,977	
(1,188)	(1,315)	127	
\$ 61,428		59,764		1,664	
\$	773 (957 4,733 (1,188	\$ 58,067 773 (957 4,733 (1,188)	\$ 58,067 61,905 773 741 (957) (3,323 4,733 1,756 (1,188) (1,315	\$ 58,067 61,905 773 741 (957) (3,323) 4,733 1,756 (1,188) (1,315)	\$ 58,067 61,905 (3,838 773 741 32 (957) (3,323) 2,366 4,733 1,756 2,977 (1,188) (1,315) 127

Interest on notes payable decreased during the six months ended June 30, 2011 as a result of the repayment of \$161.7 million of unsecured debt in January 2011. Capitalized interest decreased as a result of reduced development activity during the six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to 2010. Hedge interest increased as a result of \$61.6 million of hedges settled subsequent to June 30, 2010 that resulted in increased hedge interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, we sold four out-parcels for net proceeds of \$2.1 million and recognized no gain, whereas during the six months ended June 30, 2010, we sold eight out-parcels for net proceeds of \$8.3 million and recognized a gain of approximately \$442,000.

Our equity in income (loss) of investments in real estate partnerships changed by \$2.1 million million during the six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to 2010 as follows (in thousands):

-	Ownership)	2011		2010		Change	
GRI - Regency, LLC (GRIR)	40.00	%\$	2,742		(2,324)	5,066	
Macquarie CountryWide-Regency III, LLC (MCWR III)	24.95	%	(130)	(60)	(70)
Macquarie CountryWide-Regency-DESCO, LLC (MCWR-DESCO) (1)	_		(359)	(418)	59	
Columbia Regency Retail Partners, LLC (Columbia I)	20.00	%	484		193		291	
Columbia Regency Partners II, LLC (Columbia II)	20.00	%	113		27		86	
Cameron Village, LLC (Cameron)	30.00	%	190		(237)	427	
RegCal, LLC (RegCal)	25.00	%	261		21		240	
Regency Retail Partners, LP (the Fund)	20.00	%	75		(38)	113	
US Regency Retail I, LLC (USAA)	20.01	%	254		(98)	352	
Other investments in real estate partnerships	50.00	%	(3,667)	824		(4,491)
Total		\$	(37)	(2,110)	2,073	

(1) At June 30, 2010, our ownership interest in MCWR-DESCO was 16.35%. The liquidation of MCWR-DESCO was complete effective May 4, 2011.

The change in our equity in income (loss) of investments in real estate partnerships is primarily related to a \$4.6 million provision for impairment recorded on our investment in a joint venture in which we have an ownership interest of 50% ("Other investments in real estate partnerships") for the six months ended June 30, 2011 as well as the provision for impairment of \$12.3 million, of which our pro-rata share was \$4.9 million that GRIR recognized for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Also, our equity in income of GRIR increased for the six months ended June 30, 2011, resulting from lower interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to 2010 due to significant repayments of debt during 2010.

If we sell a property or classify a property as held-for-sale, we are required to reclassify its operations into discontinued operations for all prior periods which results in a reclassification of amounts previously reported as continuing operations into discontinued operations. There was no income from discontinued operations for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Income from discontinued operations was \$6.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and includes \$6.8 million in gains from the sale of one operating property and one property in development for net proceeds of \$25.5 million and the operations of the shopping centers sold.

31

Related to our Parent Company's results, our net income attributable to common stockholders for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$15.0 million, a decrease in net income of \$4.1 million as compared to net income of \$19.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The lower net income was primarily related to the \$6.8 million gain recorded from the sale of an operating property and a development property recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2010, offset by the increase in disposition fees recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2011. Our diluted net income per share was \$0.17 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to diluted net income per share of \$0.23 for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Related to our Operating Partnership results, our net income attributable to common unit holders for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$15.1 million, a decrease of \$4.2 million as compared to net income of \$19.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 for the same reasons stated above. Our diluted net income per unit was \$0.17 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to net income per unit of \$0.23 for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

32

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

There have been no material changes from the quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk disclosed in Item 7A. of Part II of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures (Regency Centers Corporation)

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Based on this evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q to ensure information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time period specified in the SEC's rules and forms. These disclosure controls and procedures include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit is accumulated and communicated to management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting identified in connection with this evaluation that occurred during the second quarter of 2011 and that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Controls and Procedures (Regency Centers, L.P.)

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act. Based on this evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q to ensure information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time period specified in the SEC's rules and forms. These disclosure controls and procedures include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit is accumulated and communicated to management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting identified in connection with this evaluation that occurred during the second quarter of 2011 and that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

33

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are a party to various legal proceedings which arise in the ordinary course of our business. We are not currently involved in any litigation nor to our knowledge, is any litigation threatened against us, the outcome of which would, in our judgment based on information currently available to us, have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in Item 1A. of Part I of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

There were no unregistered sales of equity securities during the quarter ended June 30, 2011.

The following table represents information with respect to purchases by the Parent Company of its common stock during the monthly periods ended June 30, 2011:

Period	Total number of shares purchased (1)	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	Maximum number or approximate dollar value of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs
April 1 through	paramasa	511417	primis of programs	praise of programs
April 30, 2011	422	\$43.21	_	_
May 1 through May 31, 2011	364	45.96	_	_
June 1 through June 30, 2011	_	_	_	_
Total	786	\$44.48		_

⁽¹⁾ Represents shares delivered in payment of withholding taxes in connection with options exercised and restricted stock vesting by participants under Regency's Long-Term Omnibus Plan.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

Item 5. Other Information

None

34

Item 6. Exhibits

In reviewing the agreements included as exhibits to this report, please remember they are included to provide you with information regarding their terms and are not intended to provide any other factual or disclosure information about the Company, its subsidiaries or other parties to the agreements. The Agreements contain representations and warranties by each of the parties to the applicable agreement. These representations and warranties have been made solely for the benefit of the other parties to the applicable agreement and:

should not in all instances be treated as categorical statements of fact, but rather as a way of allocating the risk to one of the parties if those statements prove to be inaccurate;

have been qualified by disclosures that were made to the other party in connection with the negotiation of the applicable agreement, which disclosures are not necessarily reflected in the agreement;

may apply standards of materiality in a way that is different from what may be viewed as material to you or other investors; and

were made only as of the date of the applicable agreement or such other date or dates as may be specified in the agreement and are subject to more recent developments.

Accordingly, these representations and warranties may not describe the actual state of affairs as of the date they were made or at any other time. We acknowledge that, notwithstanding the inclusion of the foregoing cautionary statements, we are responsible for considering whether additional specific disclosures of material information regarding material contractual provisions are required to make the statements in this report not misleading. Additional information about the Company may be found elsewhere in this report and the Company's other public files, which are available without charge through the SEC's website at http://www.sec.gov.

Unless otherwise indicated below, the Commission file number to the exhibit is No. 001-12298.

Exhibit No. Description

- 31. Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications.
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14 Certification of Chief Executive Officer for Regency Centers Corporation
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14 Certification of Chief Financial Officer for Regency Centers Corporation
- 31.3 Rule 13a-14 Certification of Chief Executive Officer for Regency Centers, L.P.
- 31.4 Rule 13a-14 Certification of Chief Financial Officer for Regency Centers, L.P.
- 32. Section 1350 Certifications.
- 32.1* 18 U.S.C. § 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer for Regency Centers Corporation
- 32.2* 18 U.S.C. § 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer for Regency Centers Corporation
- 32.3* 18 U.S.C. § 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer for Regency Centers, L.P.
- 32.4* 18 U.S.C. § 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer for Regency Centers, L.P.

101. Interactive Data Files

101.INS**+ XBRL Instance Document

101.SCH**+ XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document

101.CAL**+ XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document

101.DEF**+ XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase Document

35

101.LAB**+ XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document

101.PRE**+ XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

36

^{*} Furnished, not filed

^{**} Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability.

⁺ To be furnished by amendment.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

August 5, 2011

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION and REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

/s/ Bruce M. Johnson

By: Bruce M. Johnson, Executive Vice President, Chief

Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer), and

Director

/s/ J. Christian Leavitt

J. Christian Leavitt, Senior Vice President and Treasurer

(Principal Accounting Officer)

37